The average number of splitters in a random permutation

If $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \ldots, \sigma_n)$ is a permutation of $[n] := \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, then we say that " σ splits at j" or "j is a splitter in σ " if $\sigma_i < \sigma_j$ for all j < i and $\sigma_j < \sigma_k$ for all j < k (so that necessarily $s_j = j$). Splitting properties of random permutations are of interest because of the appearance of that concept in the *quicksort* algorithm (see e.g. section 2.2 in H. WILFS book Algorithms and Complexity, Prentice-Hall, 1986).

In this note I give a very short proof of the fact that the average number of splitters in a random permutation of n elements behaves as 2/n for large n.

• Counting splitters is easy: j appears as a splitter in precisely (j-1)! (n-j)! permutations of [n], so that there is a total of

$$s_n := \sum_{j=0}^n (j-1)! (n-j)! = (n-1)! \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{j}^{-1}$$

splitters in permutations of [n].

 In order to deal with the reciprocals of binomial coefficients it is useful to remember (the β-integral)

$$\frac{1}{a+b+1} \binom{a+b}{a}^{-1} = \int_0^1 t^a \, (1-t)^b dt$$

for integers $a, b \ge 0$, which can be proved by simple induction using partial integration.

• Let us now consider

$$b_n := \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k}^{-1} = (n+1) \int_0^1 \sum_{k=0}^n t^k (1-t)^{n-k} dt = (n+1) a_n$$

Note that the a_n satisfy a very simple recursion:

$$a_{n+1} = \frac{a_n}{2} + \frac{1}{n+2}$$
, $a_0 = 1$

This can be seen as follows:

$$a_{n+1} - \frac{a_n}{2} = \int_0^1 t^{n+1} dt + \int_0^1 \sum_{k=0}^n \left[t^k (1-t)^{n+1-k} - \frac{1}{2} t^k (1-t)^{n-k} \right] dt$$
$$= \frac{1}{n+2} + \int_0^1 \left[\sum_{k=0}^n t^k (1-t)^{n-k} \right] \left(\frac{1}{2} - t \right) dt$$

where the last integral vanishes for reasons of symmetry!

• Now $\overline{s}_n = s_n/n! = b_{n-1}/n = a_{n-1}$, the average number of splitters in permutations of [n], satisfies the recursion

$$\overline{s}_{n+1} = \frac{\overline{s}_n}{2} + \frac{1}{n+1}$$
, $\overline{s}_1 = 1$

from which it follows immediately that $n \cdot \overline{s}_n \to_{n \to \infty} 2$.

V. Strehl, june 15, 1994.