

Notes

Chapter 1

No notes

Chapter 2

1. Department of Transportation, Bureau of Transportation Statistics (www.bts.gov).
2. Aircraft Owners and Pilots Association (www.aopa.org). Assumes an average of 2.5 passengers per GA departure.
3. DRI.WEFA, Inc. (in collaboration with the Campbell-Hill Group), *The National Impact of Civil Aviation*, (July 2002).
4. Air Transport Association, Office of Economics.
5. *Ibid.*
6. Federal Aviation Administration, *Operational Evolution Plan, Version 4.0*, (December 2001).
7. RTCA Inc., *Final Report of RTCA Task Force 4 Certification*, (February, 1999).
8. Statement by the American Association of Airport Executives and Airports Council International – North America, before the Committee on Transportation and Infrastructure, Subcommittee on Aviation, U.S. House of Representatives, May 24, 2001.
9. Russ Shaver, Rand Corporation, presentation to Commission on the Future of the U.S. Aerospace Industry staff.
10. NASA Langley Research Center, Small Aircraft Transportation System Program Office.
11. DRI.WEFA. Inc.
12. *Ibid.*
13. *Ibid.*
14. Federal Aviation Regulations, Section 121.195.
15. George Donohue, George Mason University, report to Commission on the Future of the U.S. Aerospace Industry.
16. FAA Office of Environment and Energy.
17. General Accounting Office Report GAO-01-1053, *Aviation and the Environment*, September 2001.
18. National Aeronautics and Space Administration, *NASA Aeronautics Blueprint*, February 2002.
19. NASA Office of Aerospace Technology.

Chapter 3

1. NASA Office of Space Flight, Electronic Mail message, November 20, 2001.
2. Singer, Jeremy, "U.S. Air Force Planning Space Surveillance System," *Space News*, August 12, 2002, p. 11 and Leonard David "SAIC Report Envisions Earth Impact Warning Center," *Space News*, August 12, 2002, p. 26.
3. Kennedy Space Center/Cape Canaveral Air Force Station presentation to Commission on the Future of the U.S. Aerospace Industry staff, March 2002.
4. *Ibid.*
5. Federal Aviation Administration, *Commercial Space Transportation: 2001 Year in Review*, U.S. Department of Transportation, January 2002.
6. Federal Aviation Administration, *2002 Commercial Space Transportation Forecasts*, May 2002, pp. 1-3.
7. Caceres, Marco, Teal Group, "Industry Insight, Drop in Planned Payloads Reflects Stagnant Market," *Aerospace America*, July 2002, pp. 44-46.
8. Weber, Derek, "New Study Data Identifies Commercial Growth Sector," Electronic Mail message, August 28, 2002.

Chapter 4

1. In their 1993 book, *War and Anti-War*, the authors, Alvin and Heidi Tofler noted that "the way we make war reflects the way in which we create wealth," (New York: Warner Books, 1993), p. 2. The question of whether advanced technology, especially IT, contributes to economic growth or is simply a reflection of it has been an intensely studied empirical controversy in economics. A recent review of the data by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York suggests that the evidence now supports the hypothesis that a positive and causal link exists between information intensity and productivity. See K. J. Stiroh, "Investing in Information Technology; Productivity Payoffs for US Industries," *Current Issues in Economics and Finance*, Federal Reserve Bank of New York, June 2001. A recent study by Brian Harding of the Warwick Business School reported in a survey of 46 UK and 184 US high tech firms (including aerospace) from 1987-2000, that total shareholder returns were strongly correlated with R&D intensity. The study findings are summarized in C. Cookson, "R&D Scoreboard: Spending rises despite steep fall in profits," *Financial Times*, 14 October 2002, p. 10.
2. President's National Security Strategy, www.whitehouse.gov/nsc, September 20, 2002.
3. Statement of the Honorable Donald Rumsfeld, Secretary of Defense, before the Defense Subcommittee of the Appropriations Committee, U.S. House of Representatives, "Fiscal 2003 Defense Budget Testimony," February 14, 2002.

4. Statement of General Thomas S. Moorman, USAF (Retired), Vice President, Booz-Allen & Hamilton, before the Commission on the Future of the U.S. Aerospace Industry, “The Health of the Space Industrial Base – An Update,” May 14, 2002.
5. Statement of Dr. Thomas Kochan, Co-director, Labor Aerospace Research Agenda, MIT, before the Commission on the Future of the U.S. Aerospace Industry, “Developing and Maintaining the 21st Century Workforce,” May 14, 2002.
6. National Opinion Research Center, University of Chicago, *Doctorate Recipients from United States Universities: Summary Report*, 2000, 2001.
7. “Space Research and Development Industrial Base Study,” Booz-Allen & Hamilton under the direction of Deputy Undersecretary of Defense, Industrial Policy (DUSD/IP) and the National Reconnaissance Office, Advanced Systems and Technology Directorate(NRO/AS&T), February 2002, McLean Virginia.
8. Statement by the Honorable Donald Rumsfeld, Secretary of Defense, before the National Defense University, Washington D.C., “21st Century Transformation of the U.S. Armed Forces,” January 31, 2002.
9. Aerospace Industries Association, “Total Employment in the Aerospace Industry,” Statistics: 02-31, Series 12-03, August 7, 2002.
10. Statement of the Honorable Donald Rumsfeld, Secretary of Defense, before the Armed Services Committee, United States Senate, “Fiscal 2003 Defense Budget Testimony,” February 5, 2002.
11. The Honorable Donald Rumsfeld, Secretary of Defense, *Legislative Priorities for Fiscal Year 2004*, September 17, 2002.

Chapter 5

No notes

Chapter 6

1. U.S. Department of Commerce.
2. General Aviation Manufacturers Association.
3. Aerospace Industries Association, “Worldwide Space Launchings Which Attained Earth Orbit or Beyond”, *Aerospace Facts & Figures 2001/2002*.
4. U.S. Department of Commerce *U.S. Industrial Outlook 2000*, p. 29-2.
5. “World Military Aircraft Census”, Flight International 12-18 Feb, 2002; based on 2001 World Air Forces Directory.
6. *U.S. Industrial Outlook 2000*, p. 29-4.
7. Directorate General for Research, European Commission.

8. European Commission Decision to approve France's application for EU State Aid approval for 25 million ECU aid to Sextant issued December 3, 1997 (N 584/97).
9. U.S. Department of Commerce, Office of Aerospace, From analysis drawn on multiple sources.
10. *European Commission State Aid N 120/01, C(2001)3266 fin* [EC notification of aid approval].
11. U.S. Department of Commerce, Office of Aerospace, from analysis drawn on multiple sources.
12. *Status Report of the Presidential Commission on Offsets in International Trade*, January 18, 2001.
13. U.S. Department of Commerce, Office of Aerospace, from analysis drawn on multiple sources.

Chapter 7

1. Budget of the U.S. Government, FY 2003, Historical Tables.

Chapter 8

1. Aerospace Industries Association. "Total Employment in the Aerospace Industry," Statistics: 02-31, Series 12-03, August 7, 2002.
2. Aerospace Industries Association. *Aerospace Facts and Figures, 2001-2002*, (2001).
3. Statement of Phil Odeen before the Commission on the Future of the U.S. Aerospace Industry, May 14, 2002.
4. Aerospace Industries Association. "Aerospace Industry Sales by Customer, Calendar Years 1986-2002" Statistics 01-63, Series 02-01.

http://aia-aerospace.org/stats/yr_ender/tables/2001/table2.cfm.
5. Aerospace Industries Association. *Aerospace Facts & Figures, 2001/2002*, (2001).
6. Chilinguerian, Maryan, "The Changing Employment Picture," www.WashingtonPost.com, (2002); and Bernard Hodes Group, (2002).
7. "Recent Trends in U.S. Aeronautics Research and Technology," Committee on Strategic Assessment of U.S. Aeronautics, Aeronautics and Space Engineering Board, Commission on Engineering and Technical Systems, and National Research Council, (1999).
8. Aerospace Industries Association, Statistics Series 23-01, (2001).
9. Scott, Robert E., The Effects of Offsets, Outsourcing, and Competition on Output and Employment in the U.S. Aerospace Industry.
10. Lean Aerospace Research Agenda and Lean Aerospace Initiative, *Developing a 21st Century Aerospace Workforce: Policy White Paper*, (Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 2001), p. 26. (Attributed to David Mowery of the University of California, Berkeley).

11. Pritchard, David, *The Global Decentralization of Commercial Aircraft Production: Implications for U.S. Based Manufacturing Activity*, May 2002.
12. Statement of Dr. Thomas Kochan, Co-Director, Labor Aerospace Research Agenda, MIT before the Commission on the Future of the U.S. Aerospace Industry, “Developing and Maintaining the 21st Century Workforce,” May 14, 2002.
13. Written statement of Elliot G. Pulham, presented to the Commission on the Future of the U.S. Aerospace Industry, “Space and the Workforce of the Future,” May 14, 2002.
14. Aerospace Industries Association, *Attracting and Retaining Qualified Personnel in the Aerospace Industry*, 2001.
15. Lean Aerospace Research Agenda and Lean Aerospace Initiative. p. 11.
16. Hastings, Roberts, Ross, Saleh, Myles, and Weigel, *The Job Seeker’s Report Card on the Aerospace Industry: A College Student’s Perspective*, (Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 2001).
17. A Report to Congress, “Unlocking Our Future: Toward a New National Science Policy,” September 24, 1998, p. 42. See also Harold W. Stevenson, Thomas B. Fordham Foundation. “ATIMSS Primer: Lessons and Implications for U.S. Education,” (July 1998).
18. National Commission on Mathematics and Science Teaching for the 21st Century. *Before It’s Too Late: A Report to the Nation* (Washington, D.C.: September 2000), p. 19. See also Harold W. Stevenson, Thomas B. Fordham Foundation. “ATIMSS Primer: Lessons and Implications for U.S. Education,” July 1998.
19. U.S. Commission on National Security/21st Century, *Road Map for National Security: Imperative for Change* (Washington, D.C.: February 2001), p. 35.
20. U.S. Council on Competitiveness, *U.S. Competitiveness 2001: Strengths, Vulnerabilities and Long Term Priorities*, (2001), p. 46.
21. National Science Foundation, *Science and Engineering Indicators*, (2002), p. 5.
22. Quoted in National Commission on Mathematics and Science Teaching for the 21st Century, p. 13. See also Ohio Mathematics and Science Coalition, *Finding the Solution: A Call for Collaboration (Bringing Mathematics and Science Education in Ohio into the 21st Century)*, (2001), p. 5.
23. U.S. Department of Labor, ATELS, *Review of Aerospace Apprenticeship and Vocational Education Programs*, (Summer 2002).
24. U.S. Department of Labor, Bureau of Apprenticeship Training. “Registered Apprenticeship: A Solution to the Skills Shortage,” Fact Sheet, (1995).
25. Statement by Dr. Bernard Grossman, Executive Director, Aerospace Department Chairs Association, before the Commission on the Future of the U.S. Aerospace Industry, May 14, 2002.

26. National Opinion Research Center, University of Chicago, *Doctorate Recipients from United States Universities: Summary Report, 2000*, (2001).
27. *Ibid.*
28. *Ibid.*
29. *Ibid.*
30. National Science Foundation, *Survey of Federal Funds for Research and Development for FY 1998, 1999, 2000* (2001), p. 42.
31. National Opinion Research Center, University of Chicago, *Doctorate Recipients from United States Universities: Summary Report, 2000*, (2001), p. 42.
32. *Ibid*, p. 42.
33. U.S. Council on Competitiveness. *U.S. Competitiveness 2001: Strengths, Vulnerabilities and Long Term Priorities*, (2001), p. 45.

Chapter 9

1. Group of Personalities, European Commission, *European Aeronautics: A Vision For 2020*, (January 2001).
2. The Federal Transportation Advisory Group, *Vision 2050: An Integrated National Transportation System*, (Washington, D.C.: February 2001).

