

Topological stabilizer models on continuous variables

Julio C. Magdalena de la Fuente¹, Tyler D. Ellison^{2,3}, Meng Cheng³, and
Dominic J. Williamson⁴

¹Dahlem Center for Complex Quantum Systems, Freie Universität Berlin, 14195 Berlin, Germany

²Perimeter Institute for Theoretical Physics, Waterloo, Ontario N2L 2Y5, Canada

³Department of Physics, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut 06511-8499, USA

⁴School of Physics, University of Sydney, Sydney, New South Wales 2006, Australia

Abstract: We construct a family of two-dimensional topological stabilizer codes on continuous variable (CV) degrees of freedom, which generalize homological rotor codes and the toric-GKP code. Our topological codes are built using the concept of boson condensation – we start from a parent stabilizer code based on an \mathbb{R} gauge theory and condense various bosonic excitations. This produces a large class of topological CV stabilizer codes, including ones that are characterized by the anyon theories of $U(1)_{2n} \times U(1)_{-2m}$ Chern-Simons theories, for arbitrary pairs of positive integers (n, m) . Most notably, this includes anyon theories that are non-chiral and nevertheless do not admit a gapped boundary. It is widely believed that such anyon theories cannot be realized by any stabilizer model on finite-dimensional systems. We conjecture that these CV codes go beyond codes obtained from concatenating a topological qudit code with a local encoding into CVs, and thus, constitute the first example of topological codes that are intrinsic to CV systems. Moreover, we study the Hamiltonians associated to the topological CV stabilizer codes and show that, although they have a gapless spectrum, they can become gapped with the addition of a quadratic perturbation. We show that similar methods can be used to construct a gapped Hamiltonian whose anyon theory agrees with a $U(1)_2$ Chern-Simons theory. Our work initiates the study of scalable stabilizer codes that are intrinsic to CV systems and highlights how error-correcting codes can be used to design and analyze many-body systems of CVs that model lattice gauge theories.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Example: $K_{2,-4}$ stabilizer model	5
2.1	Hilbert space and Hamiltonian	5
2.2	Factorization of the Pauli group	10
2.3	Gapping out the bulk	12
3	Topological codes from condensation in an \mathbb{R} gauge theory	14
3.1	Definition of topological stabilizer codes on CVs	15
3.2	Model for an \mathbb{R} gauge theory	15
3.3	Algebraic description of deconfined excitations	17
3.4	Boson condensation	18

Julio C. Magdalena de la Fuente: jm@juliomagdalena.de

Tyler D. Ellison: tellison@perimeterinstitute.ca

Dominic J. Williamson: Current address, IBM Almaden Research Center, San Jose, CA 95120, USA

4	Examples	21
4.1	$U(1)$ gauge theory	21
4.2	\mathbb{Z}_n gauge theory	23
4.3	$U(1)_{2n}$	25
4.4	$U(1)_{2n} \times U(1)_{-2m}$	29
4.5	$U(1) \times U(1)$ even Chern-Simons theories	33
5	Conclusion and discussion	34
A	The algebra of open composite string operators	37
A.1	A choice of composite short string operators	37
A.2	Dictionary to cellular homology	39
A.3	Algebra of coboundaries – \mathbb{R} gauge theory	41
A.4	Homogeneous subalgebras and conditions on commutativity	42
B	Group structure of the anyons after condensing a composite boson	44

1 Introduction

Quantum error correction is essential for storing and processing quantum information so that it is kept protected from faulty operations and decoherence induced by the environment [1–3]. Many of the quantum error-correcting (QEC) schemes developed thus far employ qubits as their fundamental building blocks, which may arise from, for example, hyperfine states in neutral atoms or trapped ions [4, 5], or the lowest two energy levels of a superconducting circuit [6, 7]. These systems, however, generically support a much larger Hilbert space than the two levels that are actively used for quantum error correction. A promising approach to minimize the physical overhead of quantum computation is thus to tailor QEC codes to hardware to take full advantage of the available state space.

This motivates the use of continuous variable (CV) degrees of freedom for processing quantum information, which for example, arise from a superconducting qubit in a microwave cavity [8–10], the motional states of a trapped ion [11–13], or the rotational degrees of freedom of a molecule [14]. The Hilbert space of a CV is equivalent to the state space of a harmonic oscillator and as such, is formally infinite dimensional. CVs thus promise to be a hardware efficient alternative to processing quantum information with qubits.

The majority of QEC codes developed for CV systems have been designed for a single CV, i.e., a single mode [15–19]. There is by now a rich family of single-CV QEC codes, and they have been used to demonstrate the protection of quantum information beyond break-even [20]. Despite this progress, single-CV QEC codes ultimately offer only limited protection of encoded quantum information.¹ They are not able to achieve the logical error rates needed to perform complex quantum computations, such as factoring large prime numbers [26].

To suppress the logical error rate further, we need to develop *scalable* QEC codes, i.e., codes with a prescription for introducing additional degrees of freedom so as to obtain arbitrarily low logical error rates. Topological QEC codes, for which the code space is defined

¹We note that there has also been development of multi-mode CV codes, such as in Refs. [21–25]. However, they similarly only offer limited protection against a complete set of local errors if not concatenated with fault-tolerant codes on finite-dimensional degrees of freedom.

by the ground state subspace of a local Hamiltonian, are a paradigmatic class of scalable codes [27]. Topological QEC codes offer robust protection from geometrically local errors, only require local interactions, and can be implemented in planar geometries [3, 28]. They furthermore admit a well-understood set of natively fault-tolerant logical gates, and sophisticated decoders which yield high error thresholds [29–31].

To develop scalable CV codes, it is thus natural to consider topological QEC codes built from CVs. One approach is to leverage known topological QEC codes for systems of qudits. Specifically, one can begin by encoding a qudit into each CV using a single-CV Gottesman-Kitaev-Preskill (GKP) code [15]. Then, one can implement any known topological QEC code on the encoded qudits. In other words, a single-CV GKP code can be concatenated with a topological code. In the case of concatenating a GKP code with the toric code, this gives the toric-GKP code, which was first benchmarked in Ref. [32]. Although this approach is promising and indeed yields scalable QEC codes, it is unsatisfying in that each CV is used in isolation to encode a qudit and the scalability is coming entirely from the scalability of the qudit code.

Developing scalable CV QEC codes that are beyond concatenation with qudit codes has proven to be a challenging problem. Refs. [32–34] established no-go theorems which state that CVs cannot be encoded fault-tolerantly into systems of physical CVs. In this work fault-tolerant encodings refer to those that have an error-correcting threshold in the presence of local errors and faulty measurements. Ref. [35] then explored building scalable QEC codes out of rotors, which can be thought of as a CV with a large energetic constraint. The rotor codes introduced in Ref. [35] have the benefit that they fundamentally rely on the infinite-dimensional state space of each rotor. However, despite encoding a discrete number of qubits on homologically nontrivial manifolds, they unfortunately fail to be fault tolerant without additional concatenation, as they do not admit an increasing code distance for a reasonable set of local errors.

In this work, we generalize the toric-GKP code and homological rotor codes of Refs. [32] and [35] to construct fault-tolerant topological CV codes, which we expect are beyond code concatenation – in the sense that they are not simply a local encoding of a qudit into CVs concatenated with a stabilizer code on qudits. This expectation is based on the particular Abelian anyon theories exhibited by the topological stabilizer codes. Specifically, the anyon theories include those captured by $U(1)_{2n} \times U(1)_{-2m}$ Chern-Simons (CS) theories [36–39]. This is noteworthy since, for example, the theory parameterized by $(n, m) = (1, 2)$ is Witt nontrivial – that is, the anyon theory does not admit a gapped boundary, despite being nonchiral [40–42]. The prevailing expectation is that such theories do not admit a description in terms of a qudit stabilizer model, thus putting the topological CV stabilizer code beyond code concatenation.

We construct our new examples of topological CV stabilizer codes starting with a CV stabilizer code based on an \mathbb{R} gauge theory. This parent stabilizer code encodes two CVs, so in accordance with the no-go theorems of Refs. [32–34], it is not fault tolerant. However, from this model, we build fault-tolerant topological stabilizer codes by implementing boson condensation [43]. Boson condensation has proven to be a valuable tool for both building new QEC codes [39, 44, 45] and understanding the structures of familiar topological codes [46]. This work can thus be seen as generalizing constructions of QEC codes based on boson condensation to systems with infinite-dimensional degrees of freedom.

The parent stabilizer code has bosonic excitations that can be interpreted as the gauge

charges, gauge fluxes, and certain charge-flux composites in an \mathbb{R} gauge theory. When the bosonic excitations are proliferated – by for example, measuring the short string operators that create the excitations – this generically yields new topological QEC codes. For example, condensing bare charges and fluxes in the \mathbb{R} gauge theory produces the rotor codes of Ref. [35] or the toric-GKP code of Ref. [32].

On the other hand, condensing bosons formed from charge-flux composites gives rise to a much more diverse set of topological codes, including those characterized by $U(1)_{2n} \times U(1)_{-2m}$ CS theories. More generally, we show that this approach is capable of producing topological codes characterized by $U(1) \times U(1)$ CS theories for 2×2 K matrices with all even entries. Condensing charge-flux composites moreover gives a new mechanism for encoding discrete quantum information in CVs and sidesteps the issue faced by the codes in Ref. [35], in which a logical string operator that moves a pure flux can be smeared across the full system by applying stabilizers – thereby decreasing the distance when the code size is increased.

The organization of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we get started by providing an example of a topological CV stabilizer code, called the $K_{2,-4}$ stabilizer code. This stabilizer code is characterized by a $U(1)_2 \times U(1)_{-4}$ CS theory, which corresponds to an anyon theory that does not admit a gapped boundary and is beyond known qudit stabilizer codes. To prove that the code is topological, we exploit a particular factorization of the Hilbert space according to the $U(1)_2$ and $U(1)_{-4}$ factors of the CS theory, generalizing the invertible subalgebras of Ref. [47]. We then further show that, although the associated Hamiltonian is gapless, a local quadratic perturbation is sufficient to open up a gap for a system without boundary – thus, giving a gapped model of the $U(1)_2 \times U(1)_{-4}$ CS theory with a 8-fold ground state degeneracy on a torus. We additionally construct a controllably-solvable, gapped Hamiltonian for the anyon theory of a $U(1)_2$ CS theory. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first example of such a model defined on a tensor-product Hilbert space with short-range, physically realistic interactions.

In Section 3, we first formally extend the existing definitions of topological stabilizer codes to CVs and then describe our general construction of topological CV codes, starting from an \mathbb{R} gauge theory. In Section 4, we describe examples of topological CV stabilizer codes constructed by either (i) condensing a single type of boson, yielding the homological rotor codes and examples characterized by $U(1)_{2n}$ CS theories, or (ii) consecutively condensing two types of bosons, which yields codes associated to \mathbb{Z}_N toric codes and non-chiral CS theories with a 2×2 K matrix with even entries. We highlight the example where two consecutive condensations lead to models that admit the same anyon theory as $U(1)_{2n} \times U(1)_{-2m}$ CS theories. In Section 5, we discuss our results and potential future directions. In Appendix A, we elaborate on the algebra of operators that appears in the condensation of flux-charge composites. In Appendix B, we show how the single condensation leads to a finite subtheory of gapped excitations characterized by $U(1)_{2n}$ CS theory.

Note – An upcoming work [48] studies many-body generalizations of various cat and binomial codes, which, similar to the expectation for the codes presented in this work, are not obtained by concatenation.

2 Example: $K_{2,-4}$ stabilizer model

We begin with an example to illustrate the topological stabilizer models of Section 3 and 4. We refer to the example in this section as the $K_{2,-4}$ stabilizer model, where the notation $K_{2,-4}$ represents the fact that the gapped excitations of the model capture the anyon theory of a $U(1)_2 \times U(1)_{-4}$ CS theory.² We leave a complete description of the anyon theory for a later section, but for now, note that this anyon theory is not captured by any known stabilizer model or commuting projector Hamiltonian on qudits. One unique property of this model is that, on a torus, it has an 8-fold ground state degeneracy. This is in contrast to all known topological stabilizer models on qudits, where the dimension of the code space on a torus is a square [39].

We start by defining the Hilbert space and the $K_{2,-4}$ stabilizer Hamiltonian in Section 2.1. We then discuss the excitations of the Hamiltonian and emphasize that there are gapped point-like excitations that exhibit the properties of a $U(1)_2 \times U(1)_{-4}$ CS anyon theory. Accordingly, the model has an 8-fold ground state degeneracy on a torus, which we verify in Section 2.2. In Section 2.2, we also prove that the associated stabilizer code is topological by exploiting a particular non-spatial factorization of the Hilbert space. Finally, in Section 2.3 we demonstrate that, although the $K_{2,-4}$ Hamiltonian admits gapless excitations, local perturbations are sufficient to open up a gap – yielding a gapped (non-commuting) Hamiltonian for the $U(1)_2 \times U(1)_{-4}$ CS anyon theory. We similarly construct a gapped model for a $U(1)_2$ CS theory.

2.1 Hilbert space and Hamiltonian

The $K_{2,-4}$ stabilizer Hamiltonian is defined on a square lattice with a CV on every edge. This is to say that, for every edge e , there is an associated position operator \hat{x}_e and momentum operator \hat{p}_e , which satisfy the canonical commutation relations:

$$[\hat{x}_e, \hat{p}_{e'}] = \begin{cases} i & e = e', \\ 0 & e \neq e'. \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

We further define the displacement operators X_e and Z_e at the edge e as:

$$X_e = e^{-i\hat{p}_e}, \quad Z_e = e^{i\hat{x}_e}. \quad (2)$$

These satisfy the following commutation relations, for $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$:

$$Z_e^t X_{e'}^s = \begin{cases} e^{ist} X_e^s Z_e^t & e = e', \\ X_e^s Z_e^t & e \neq e'. \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

The displacement operators are natural generalizations of the Pauli operators on qubits, so as such, we occasionally refer to products of displacement operators as Pauli operators. We note that, in contrast to Pauli operators on qudits, the displacement operators have infinite order.

We are now prepared to introduce the $K_{2,-4}$ stabilizer Hamiltonian, denoted by $H_{2,-4}$. We first note that the Hamiltonian decomposes into a sum of two Hamiltonians H_2 and H_{-4} :

$$H_{2,-4} = H_2 + H_{-4}. \quad (4)$$

²Therefore the K matrix is $K = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & -4 \end{pmatrix}$.

H_2 and H_{-4} are further composed of vertex terms and edge terms. Explicitly, the Hamiltonians are:

$$\begin{aligned} H_2 &= -\sum_v A_v^{(2)} - \sum_e C_e^{(2)} + \text{h.c.}, \\ H_{-4} &= -\sum_v A_v^{(-4)} - \sum_e C_e^{(-4)} + \text{h.c.} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

The vertex terms $A_v^{(2)}$ and edge terms $C_e^{(2)}$ for H_2 are graphically represented as

$$A_v^{(2)} = \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline Z^{-1} \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline ZX^{-\frac{\pi}{2}} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{|c|} \hline ZX^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline X^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline v \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline Z \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline X_e^\pi \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline Z^{-1} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{|c|} \hline Z^{-1} \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline Z \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline Z \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}, \quad C_e^{(2)} = \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline Z \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline X_e^{-\pi} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{|c|} \hline Z \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline Z \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}. \quad (6)$$

Here and throughout, the figures should be implicitly understood as products of single-site Pauli operators. Likewise, the vertex terms $A_v^{(-4)}$ and edge terms $C_e^{(-4)}$ of H_{-4} are:

$$A_v^{(-4)} = \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline Z^{-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}} \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline Z^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}} X^{\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{|c|} \hline Z^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}} X^{-\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}} \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline X^{-\frac{\pi}{2\sqrt{2}}} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline v \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline Z^{\sqrt{2}} \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline X_e^{\pi\sqrt{2}} \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline Z^{-\sqrt{2}} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{|c|} \hline Z^{\sqrt{2}} \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline Z^{-\sqrt{2}} \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline Z^{\sqrt{2}} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}, \quad C_e^{(-4)} = \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline Z^{\sqrt{2}} \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline X_e^{\pi\sqrt{2}} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{|c|} \hline Z^{\sqrt{2}} \\ \hline \end{array} \begin{array}{|c|} \hline Z^{\sqrt{2}} \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}. \quad (7)$$

It can be checked, using Eq. (3), that the Hamiltonian terms are all mutually commuting. Therefore, the ground state subspace is spanned by the mutual +1 eigenstates of the Hamiltonian terms. This allows us to define a stabilizer group $\mathcal{S}_{2,-4}$ generated by the Hamiltonian terms of $H_{2,-4}$. We refer to the resulting stabilizer code as the $K_{2,-4}$ stabilizer code. It is convenient to define the following two subgroups, which generate $\mathcal{S}_{2,-4}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_2 &= \langle \{A_v^{(2)}, C_e^{(2)} \mid v \in V, e \in E\} \rangle, \\ \mathcal{S}_{-4} &= \langle \{A_v^{(-4)}, C_e^{(-4)} \mid v \in V, e \in E\} \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

where V and E are the sets of vertices and edges, respectively. The angled bracket notation here denotes the fact that the stabilizer subgroups are generated by integer powers of the arguments.

By construction, the ground state subspace of the Hamiltonian coincides with the code space of the $K_{2,-4}$ stabilizer code. On a torus, the $K_{2,-4}$ stabilizer code encodes one qubit and one four-dimensional qudit. In Fig. 1, we show a representation of the logical operators. In general, the logical operators are string operators that wrap around the torus and can be deformed to topologically equivalent paths by multiplication with stabilizers. We prove in the next section that the code space is indeed 8-dimensional and show that the $K_{2,-4}$ stabilizer code is a topological CV stabilizer code. This is to say that the stabilizer group is locally generated and there are no local representations of the logical operators.




Figure 1: The logical operators of the $K_{2,-4}$ stabilizer code on a torus. The logical operators \bar{X}_2 and \bar{Z}_2 square to a product of stabilizers in \mathcal{S}_2 and anticommute. Therefore, they define an encoding of a qubit. Likewise the fourth powers of \bar{X}_{-4} and \bar{Z}_{-4} are products of stabilizers in \mathcal{S}_{-4} and they fail to commute by a fourth root of unity. Hence, they define an encoding of a four-dimensional qudit.

Remark: The ground states of the Hamiltonian $H_{2,-4}$ are not normalizable – that is, they do not belong to the space of square-integrable states $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$, assuming that there are N CVs. To make this explicit, we first note that the stabilizer group $\mathcal{S}_{2,-4}$ can be understood as a multi-mode GKP code, as defined in Ref. [15]. Any such multi-mode GKP code is then unitarily equivalent to a collection of independent single-mode GKP codes [24, Cor. 1]. The ground states of a single-mode GKP code are sums over delta functions in the \hat{x} basis, peaked at integer multiples of specific values of x . Therefore, the ground states of a single-mode GKP code, and hence the ground states of $H_{2,-4}$, are not normalizable.³

First, however, we explore the excitations of the $K_{2,-4}$ stabilizer Hamiltonian. The excitations of the $K_{2,-4}$ stabilizer Hamiltonian can be generated using the short string operators $W_e^{(2)}$ and $W_e^{(-4)}$. The short string operators $W_e^{(2)}$ and $W_e^{(-4)}$ for an edge e are graphically represented as:

$$W_e^{(2)} = \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{ccc} & | & \\ & X^{\frac{\pi}{4}} & X^{-\frac{\pi}{4}} \\ & | & | \\ -X^{\frac{\pi}{4}} & \text{---} & Z_e \text{---} & X^{-\frac{\pi}{4}} \\ & | & | \\ & X^{\frac{\pi}{4}} & X^{\frac{\pi}{4}} \\ & | & | \end{array} , & \begin{array}{c} | \\ X^{-\frac{\pi}{4}} \\ \text{---} X^{-\frac{\pi}{4}} \text{---} | \\ \vdots \\ Z_e \\ \vdots \\ \text{---} X^{\frac{\pi}{4}} \text{---} | \\ X^{\frac{\pi}{4}} \\ | \end{array} , \end{array} \quad (9a)$$

³Moreover, unlike plane waves, the code states of an N -mode GKP code are not simple distributions over $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$. This is despite the fact that they are sums of delta functions, each of which is well-defined distribution on $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. For a single-mode GKP code, this can be seen by evaluating the code state on a wave function with an amplitude that goes as $1/|x|$ for large x . This does not evaluate to a finite value, due to the divergence of the sum $\sum_k 1/k$. One way to give precise mathematical meaning to GKP code states is as *tempered distributions*. These are distributions on the space of Schwartz functions, i.e., smooth functions that decay faster than any polynomial for large $|x|$.

$$W_e^{(-4)} = \begin{array}{c} | \\ X^{\frac{\pi}{4\sqrt{2}}} \\ -X^{-\frac{\pi}{4\sqrt{2}}} \text{---} | \text{---} X^{\frac{\pi}{4\sqrt{2}}} \\ \vdots \\ Z_e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}} \\ \vdots \\ -X^{-\frac{\pi}{4\sqrt{2}}} \text{---} | \text{---} X^{\frac{\pi}{4\sqrt{2}}} \\ X^{-\frac{\pi}{4\sqrt{2}}} \\ | \end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{c} | \quad | \\ X^{-\frac{\pi}{4\sqrt{2}}} \quad X^{\frac{\pi}{4\sqrt{2}}} \\ -X^{-\frac{\pi}{4\sqrt{2}}} \text{---} | \text{---} Z_e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}} \text{---} | \text{---} X^{\frac{\pi}{4\sqrt{2}}} \\ \vdots \\ X^{-\frac{\pi}{4\sqrt{2}}} \quad X^{-\frac{\pi}{4\sqrt{2}}} \\ | \quad | \end{array}, \quad (9b)$$

where the red dashed arrows denote an orientation of the short string operator. The opposite orientation is obtained by Hermitian conjugation.

To characterize the excitations, we further define long string operators from products of the short string operators. For any oriented path γ , we define the string operators:

$$W_\gamma^{(2)} = \prod_{e \in \gamma} \vec{W}_e^{(2)}, \quad W_\gamma^{(-4)} = \prod_{e \in \gamma} \vec{W}_e^{(-4)}. \quad (10)$$

Here, the notation $\vec{W}_e^{(2)}$ and $\vec{W}_e^{(-4)}$ should be understood as the Hermitian conjugation of the short string operators in Eqs. (9a) and (9b) only if the orientation is anti-aligned with the orientation of γ . Note that the short string operators are not all mutually commuting, so the ordering of the products in Eqs. (9a) and (9b) is not generally well defined.⁴ However, one can take as a convention that the short string operators are ordered according to the orientation of γ .

We now explore the excitations created by the string operators in Eq. (10) along an open path γ , as shown in Fig. 2. It can be checked that the string operators $W_\gamma^{(2)}$ and $W_\gamma^{(-4)}$ commute with all of the Hamiltonian terms along the length of γ and only fail to commute with isolated vertex terms at the endpoints. Moreover, the commutation relations of the string operator with the vertex terms at the endpoints cannot be reproduced using operators that are only supported in the vicinity of the endpoints. In this sense, the local excitations cannot be created or destroyed using operators localized to the endpoints and thus belong to nontrivial superselection sectors – analogous to anyonic excitations.

Formally, we can treat these gapped excitations as anyons and compute their characteristic properties, i.e., their fusion rules, exchange statistics, and braiding relations. We refer to the anyons created at the endpoints of γ by $W_\gamma^{(2)}$ as \bar{a}_2 and a_2 , where the orientation of the path γ points from \bar{a}_2 to a_2 . Here, \bar{a}_2 denotes the inverse of a_2 , i.e., composing two string operators of the same type from head to tail leaves no excitations along the length of the long string. Likewise, we refer to the anyons created by $W_\gamma^{(-4)}$ as \bar{a}_{-4} and a_{-4} .

The fusion rules of the anyons can be determined by taking integer powers of the string operators. It can be checked that $W_e^{(2)}$ squares to a product of two $A_v^{(2)}$ stabilizers and a $C_e^{(2)}$ stabilizer, as represented graphically in Eq. (19). Therefore, the short string operator $(W_e^{(2)})^2$ does not create any excitations. Similarly, the fourth power of $W_e^{(-4)}$ is a product of stabilizers. These relations imply the fusion rules:

$$a_2^2 = 1, \quad a_{-4}^4 = 1. \quad (11)$$

⁴Note that the string operators are only ambiguous up to a phase, which does not effect the excitations that they create.




Figure 2: Anyonic excitations of the $K_{2,-4}$ stabilizer Hamiltonian. The $K_{2,-4}$ stabilizer Hamiltonian admits point-like excitations that cannot be created or destroyed with operators localized near the excitations. The excitations are instead created at the endpoints of string operators (purple) along oriented paths (red dashed). Only the vertex terms $A_v^{(2)}$ and $A_v^{(-4)}$ are violated at the endpoints of the strings.

Thus, the anyons a_2 and a_{-4} generate a $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_4$ group under fusion.

Next, the exchange statistics and braiding relations can be determined using the standard T-junction calculation [49, 50].⁵ We find that a_2 and a_{-4} braid trivially with each other:

$$B(a_2, a_{-4}) = 1. \quad (12)$$

Furthermore, their exchange statistics are:

$$\theta(a_2) = i, \quad \theta(a_{-4}) = -i\sqrt{i}. \quad (13)$$

In other words, exchanging two a_2 or a_{-4} anyons produces a phase of i or $-i\sqrt{i}$, respectively.

For Abelian anyon theories, the anyon theory is fully determined by the fusion rules, the exchange statistics, and braiding relations of the generators. Therefore, we can conclude that the anyon theory generated by a_2 and a_{-4} is precisely the anyon theory of a $U(1)_2 \times U(1)_{-4}$ CS theory. It follows from the arguments in Section 2.2 that a_2 and a_{-4} exhaust all of the anyons in the system.

The stabilizers and logical operators of the $K_{2,-4}$ stabilizer code can be understood in terms of the anyons a_2 and a_{-4} . The vertex stabilizers $A_v^{(2)}$ and $A_v^{(-4)}$ are small, counterclockwise loops of string operator for a_2 and a_{-4} , respectively. Moreover, the logical operators in Fig. 1 are string operators of a_2 and a_{-4} , wrapped around non-contractible paths of the torus. Hence, the logical operators are created by moving the anyons around the torus, and their commutation relations are the result of the braiding of the anyons.

Besides the gapped excitations described above, the Hamiltonian $H_{2,-4}$ also admits gapless excitations. To create the gapless excitations, we consider the string operators $(W_\gamma^{(2)})^s$ and $(W_\gamma^{(-4)})^s$, for s valued in \mathbb{R} . One can check that, if $s \notin \mathbb{Z}$, the string operators fail to

⁵Since the anyon theory is Abelian, the braiding relations $B(a, b)$ of a and b can be determined from the exchange statistics by $B(a, b) = \theta(ab)/\theta(a)\theta(b)$.

commute with the vertex terms at their endpoints and also fail to commute with the edge terms along the length of γ . The string operators $(W_\gamma^{(2)})^s$ and $(W_\gamma^{(-4)})^s$ are able to create excitations with arbitrarily small energies as s is tuned infinitesimally above zero.

To gain physical intuition for the excitations created by the excitations with $s \notin \mathbb{Z}$, let us consider the string operators on an open path γ . For $s \notin \mathbb{Z}$, the string operators create point-like excitations at their endpoints in the sense that they violate the vertex terms. However, since the string operators fail to commute with the edge terms along their length, the point-like excitations are linearly confined. This is in contrast to the anyonic excitations a_2 and a_{-4} , which are deconfined. As a consequence of the confinement, the excitations with continuously parameterized energies do not play a significant role in the stabilizer code.

2.2 Factorization of the Pauli group

To argue that the $K_{2,-4}$ stabilizer code is topological and moreover that the logical operators in Fig. 1 exhaust all of the logical operators on a torus, we exploit a particular factorization of the group of Pauli operators. The factorization follows from the short string operators in Eqs. (9a) and (9b). To make this factorization explicit, we define \mathcal{W}_2 and \mathcal{W}_{-4} as the subgroups of Pauli operators generated by \mathbb{R} -valued powers of the $W_e^{(2)}$ and $W_e^{(-4)}$ short string operators, respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_2 &= \langle (W_e^{(2)})^s \mid e \in E, s \in \mathbb{R} \rangle \\ \mathcal{W}_{-4} &= \langle (W_e^{(-4)})^s \mid e \in E, s \in \mathbb{R} \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

We argue now that any Pauli operator can be expressed as $W_2 \times W_{-4}$, where $W_2 \in \mathcal{W}_2$ and $W_{-4} \in \mathcal{W}_{-4}$. It is insightful to first note that the stabilizer group of the $K_{2,-4}$ stabilizer code splits according to this factorization. That is, the subgroups \mathcal{S}_2 and \mathcal{S}_{-4} are contained within \mathcal{W}_2 and \mathcal{W}_{-4} , respectively. To see this explicitly, we note that the vertex stabilizers $A_v^{(2)}$ and $A_v^{(-4)}$ are small counterclockwise loops of string operator:

$$A_v^{(2)} \propto \begin{bmatrix} (W^{(2)})^{-1} \\ (W^{(2)})^{-1} & W^{(2)} \\ W^{(2)} & v \end{bmatrix}, \quad A_v^{(-4)} \propto \begin{bmatrix} (W^{(-4)})^{-1} \\ (W^{(-4)})^{-1} & W^{(-4)} \\ W^{(-4)} & v \end{bmatrix}. \quad (15)$$

Furthermore, the edge stabilizers are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} C_e^{(2)} &\propto \begin{bmatrix} W^{(2)} & & W^{(2)} \\ W^{(2)} & e & (W^{(2)})^{-1} \\ (W^{(2)})^{-1} & & W^{(2)} \end{bmatrix}, & \begin{bmatrix} W^{(2)} \\ W^{(2)} & (W^{(2)})^{-1} \\ e & \\ W^{(2)} & W^{(2)} \\ (W^{(2)})^{-1} \end{bmatrix}, \\ C_e^{(-4)} &\propto \begin{bmatrix} (W^{(-4)})^2 & & (W^{(-4)})^2 \\ (W^{(-4)})^2 & e & (W^{(-4)})^{-2} \\ (W^{(-4)})^{-2} & & (W^{(-4)})^2 \end{bmatrix}, & \begin{bmatrix} (W^{(-4)})^2 \\ (W^{(-4)})^2 & (W^{(-4)})^{-2} \\ e & \\ (W^{(-4)})^2 & (W^{(-4)})^2 \\ (W^{(-4)})^{-2} \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

More generally, the factorization follows from two properties of the short string operators. First, the group of Pauli operators is contained within $\mathcal{W}_2 \times \mathcal{W}_{-4}$. In particular, X and Z are given by:

$$X_e^{o_e} = \left(C_e^{(2)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2\pi}} \left(C_e^{(-4)}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}\pi}}, \quad Z_e = \left(W_e^{(2)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(W_e^{(-4)}\right)^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}}, \quad (17)$$

where o_e is $+1$ (-1) if e is vertical (horizontal), and $C_e^{(2)}$ and $C_e^{(-4)}$ are expressed in terms of $W_e^{(2)}$ and $W_e^{(-4)}$ in Eq. (16). Second, for any choice of $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ and for every pair of edges e and e' , the short string operators satisfy the commutation relations:

$$\left(W_e^{(2)}\right)^s \left(W_{e'}^{(-4)}\right)^t = \left(W_{e'}^{(-4)}\right)^t \left(W_e^{(2)}\right)^s. \quad (18)$$

Therefore, the groups \mathcal{W}_2 and \mathcal{W}_{-4} are independent, analogous to Pauli operators on two disjoint subsystems. In fact, it can further be shown that the sums of \hat{x} and \hat{p} operators that generate $W_e^{(2)}$ and $W_e^{(-4)}$ define a factorization of the Hilbert space into non-spatial subsystems.

One consequence of the splitting of the Pauli group is that the elements of \mathcal{W}_2 (\mathcal{W}_{-4}) necessarily commute with all of the stabilizers in \mathcal{S}_{-4} (\mathcal{S}_2). Therefore, the properties of the stabilizer code can be studied by considering \mathcal{S}_2 and \mathcal{S}_{-4} as independent stabilizer codes over the Pauli groups \mathcal{W}_2 and \mathcal{W}_{-4} , respectively. We use this fact to argue below that the $K_{2,-4}$ stabilizer code is topological. In particular, we show that the stabilizer subgroup \mathcal{S}_2 defines an encoding of a qubit and is topological with respect to the group \mathcal{W}_2 . Analogous arguments show that the \mathcal{S}_{-4} subgroup encodes a 4-dimensional qudit and is topological with respect to \mathcal{W}_{-4} .

We focus now on the subgroup \mathcal{S}_2 over the group \mathcal{W}_2 and search for logical operators generated by elements of \mathcal{W}_2 . In general, the elements of \mathcal{W}_2 fail to commute with the stabilizers in \mathcal{S}_2 . For example, if $s \in \mathbb{R}$, then the operator $\left(W_e^{(2)}\right)^s$ fails to commute with an edge stabilizer except for when $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, the logical operators in \mathcal{W}_2 must be composed of integer powers of $W_e^{(2)}$. If $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, however, then the operator $\left(W_e^{(2)}\right)^k$ fails to commute with a pair of vertex stabilizers except for when $k \in 2\mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, any operator in \mathcal{W}_2 that commutes with all of the stabilizers in \mathcal{S}_2 must be a product of: (i) operators $\left(W_e^{(2)}\right)^k$, for $k \in 2\mathbb{Z}$, and (ii) integer power of $W_e^{(2)}$ along oriented closed paths. We notice, however, that the operator $\left(W_e^{(2)}\right)^k$, for $k \in 2\mathbb{Z}$, is a stabilizer belonging to \mathcal{S}_2 . This can be seen from the relation:

$$\left(W_e^{(2)}\right)^2 = \text{---} \overset{(C^{(2)})^{-1}}{\underset{A^{(2)}}{\text{---}}} \underset{e}{\text{---}} \overset{A^{(2)}}{\text{---}} \text{---}, \quad \text{---} \overset{(C^{(2)})^{-1} A^{(2)}}{\underset{e}{\text{---}}} \text{---} \underset{A^{(2)}}{\text{---}} \text{---}. \quad (19)$$

Likewise, any integer power of $W_e^{(2)}$ along a contractible loop is a product of $A_v^{(2)}$ stabilizers.

Therefore, the only Pauli operators in \mathcal{W}_2 that commute with all of the stabilizers and are not themselves stabilizers are the non-contractible loops of $W_e^{(2)}$. These are precisely the two leftmost logical operators in Fig. 1. This further implies that there are no local logical operators generated by elements of \mathcal{W}_2 . Similar statements hold for the subgroup \mathcal{S}_{-4} , so the $K_{2,-4}$ stabilizer code is indeed a topological CV stabilizer code that encodes one qubit and one four-dimensional qudit.

2.3 Gapping out the bulk

In stark contrast to qudit stabilizer models, the Hamiltonian $H_{2,-4}$ is gapless. The spectrum can be gapped out, however, by adding quadratic perturbations. In this section, we argue, more specifically, that adding a small quadratic term to the Hamiltonian $H_{2,-4}$ opens a gap and realizes the $U(1)_2 \times U(1)_{-4}$ topological order. We then show that, if we add quadratic terms to the Hamiltonian H_2 , defined in Eq. (5), we realize a model whose anyon content is that of the $U(1)_2$ CS theory.

2.3.1 Gapped Hamiltonian for $U(1)_2 \times U(1)_{-4}$

We define the perturbed Hamiltonian as:

$$H'_{2,-4} = H_{2,-4} + H_0, \quad (20)$$

with the quadratic perturbation H_0 defined as

$$H_0 = \alpha \sum_e \left[(\hat{w}_e^{(2)})^2 + (\hat{w}_e^{(-4)})^2 \right], \quad (21)$$

for $\alpha > 0$. Here, the operators $\hat{w}_e^{(k)}$ are the quadrature of $W_e^{(k)}$, i.e., $W_e^{(k)} = e^{i\hat{w}_e^{(k)}}$. Similarly, we define $\hat{c}_e^{(k)}$ as the quadrature of $C_e^{(k)}$. It can be checked straightforwardly that the two operators satisfy

$$[\hat{c}_e^{(k)}, \hat{w}_{e'}^{(k')}] = \pm 2\pi i \delta_{ee'} \delta_{kk'}, \quad (22)$$

where \pm depends on the orientation of e, e' and the signs of k, k' . Importantly, this means that $\hat{w}_e^{(k)}$ commutes with the Hamiltonian $H_{k'}$ for $k \neq k'$.

To see that the perturbation is sufficient to gap out the Hamiltonian, it is convenient to first split the Hamiltonian into vertex terms and edge terms:

$$H'_{2,-4} = H_A + H_C + H_0, \quad (23)$$

where H_A and H_C are:

$$\begin{aligned} H_A &= -U' \sum_e (A_e^{(2)} + A_e^{(-4)}), \\ H_C &= -U \sum_e (C_e^{(2)} + C_e^{(-4)}). \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Following the method developed in Ref. [51], we first analyze the Hamiltonian $H_C + H_0$ and show that it has a fully gapped spectrum. The effective ground state subspace is extensively degenerate, however, without the vertex terms. We then treat H_A as a perturbation, which further lifts the extensive degeneracy leaving only a constant number of ground states.

To make this explicit, we review the formalism of Ref. [51]. For a CV with $\{\hat{x}_i, \hat{p}_i\}_{i=1}^N$, the formalism in Ref. [51] perturbatively solves the Hamiltonian of the following form

$$H = -U \sum_{i=1}^M \cos S_i + H_0 \quad (25)$$

in the limit $U \rightarrow \infty$. Here, H_0 is quadratic and the operators S_i are linear in the \hat{x}_i and \hat{p}_i operators. We further need to assume that (i) the S_i operators are linearly independent, and (ii) the commutator $\frac{1}{2\pi i}[S_i, S_j]$ is an integer, so $[\cos S_i, \cos S_j] = 0$.

The Hamiltonian H gives rise to an effective low-energy subspace \mathcal{H}_{eff} defined by $\cos S_i = 1$, for all i . This low-energy space is separated from other excited states by an energy gap Δ . To specify Δ , we define an $M \times M$ matrix

$$\mathcal{N}_{ij} = -\frac{1}{4\pi^2}[S_i, [S_j, H_0]]. \quad (26)$$

It can be checked that \mathcal{N} is a real symmetric matrix and is semi-positive definite. To carry out the perturbative analysis, we require that \mathcal{N} is positive-definite. In that case, we denote the minimal eigenvalue of \mathcal{N} by λ_{\min} . According to Ref. [51], the gap is then given by $\Delta \sim \sqrt{U\lambda_{\min}}$.

For our Hamiltonian, the $c_e^{(k)}$ operators play the role of the S_i operators. Due to the commutation relations in Eq. (22) the \mathcal{N} matrix is diagonal. In fact, the $U(1)_2$ and $U(1)_{-4}$ parts completely decouple, so \mathcal{N} is already block-diagonalized. This allows us to focus on one of the blocks, e.g., the block associated to the $U(1)_2$ layer. We find

$$\mathcal{N}_{ee'} = \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \delta_{ee'}. \quad (27)$$

Hence, the spectrum is independent of the system size, and the gap is $\Delta \sim \sqrt{U\alpha}$.

We can now describe the subspace \mathcal{H}_{eff} . To this end, define an $M \times M$ matrix \mathcal{Z} by

$$\mathcal{Z}_{ij} = \frac{1}{2\pi i}[S_i, S_j]. \quad (28)$$

It is integer, skew-symmetric, and completely determines the structure of the subspace \mathcal{H}_{eff} . In particular, the dimension of the space is given by $\sqrt{|\det \mathcal{Z}|}$, according to Ref. [51].

Let us now place the system on a $L \times L$ torus. Just as \mathcal{N} , we find that \mathcal{Z} is block diagonal: $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}^{(2)} \oplus \mathcal{Z}^{(-4)}$, and $\sqrt{|\det \mathcal{Z}^{(k)}|} = |2k|^{L^2}$.⁶ One can further show, as the dimension of the subspace suggests, that $\mathcal{H}_{\text{eff}} = \bigotimes_{i=1}^{L^2} \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^4$. We can choose a complete set of stabilizers for the subspace as $A_v^{(2)}$ and $A_v^{(-4)}$, for all v , along with the logical operators \bar{Z}_2 and \bar{Z}_{-4} . This is redundant however, due to the relation $\prod_v A_v^{(2)} = \prod_v A_v^{(-4)} = 1$, so that the number of independent $A_v^{(2)}$ operators is $L^2 - 1$. Since $(A_v^{(2)})^2 = 1$ in the code space, the number of states labeled by the eigenvalues of $A_v^{(2)}$ is 2^{L^2-1} . Similarly, the number of states labeled by the $A_v^{(-4)}$ eigenvalues is 4^{L^2-1} . Together with the logical operators, they completely describe the subspace \mathcal{H}_{eff} .

At this point we add the vertex terms H_A as a perturbation (so $U' \ll \sqrt{U\alpha}$). Because $A_v^{(2)}$ and $A_v^{(-4)}$ commute with the condensation terms, they act entirely within the subspace

⁶This can be computed explicitly by making use of the translation invariance and applying a Fourier transform.

\mathcal{H}_{eff} as Pauli operators. Thus, in the spirit of degenerate perturbation theory, assuming $U' \ll \Delta \sim \sqrt{U\alpha}$, we can work within \mathcal{H}_{eff} , with the following effective Hamiltonian:

$$H_{\text{eff}} = -U' \sum_v A_v^{(2)} - U' \sum_v A_v^{(-4)}. \quad (29)$$

This selects the states with $A_v^{(2)} = A_v^{(-4)} = 1$ as the true ground states with a gap $\sim U'$ to the excited states. The logical states remain degenerate, so the ground state degeneracy is $2 \times 4 = 8$.

2.3.2 Gapped Hamiltonian for $U(1)_2$

We now repeat the analysis to construct a gapped model that hosts the excitations corresponding to the $U(1)_2$ anyon theory. We consider, in particular, the following Hamiltonian:

$$H = H_2 + H_0, \quad (30)$$

with the quadratic perturbation H_0 given as before:

$$H_0 = \alpha \sum_e [(w_e^{(2)})^2 + (w_e^{(-4)})^2]. \quad (31)$$

Due to the factorization of the Hilbert space, within the $U(1)_2$ subsystem, the Hamiltonian is identical to the one analyzed in Section 2.3.1, which has an energy gap and a 2-fold degenerate ground state subspace on a torus. On the other hand, there are no longer any stabilizer terms for the $U(1)_{-4}$ subsystem, and the Hamiltonian is instead purely quadratic.

Thus, our remaining task is to solve the quadratic Hamiltonian $H_0^{(-4)} = \alpha \sum_e (w_e^{(-4)})^2$ and show that it is gapped. Diagonalizing $H_0^{(-4)}$ amounts to finding all operators b that are linear combinations of $w_e^{(-4)}$ such that $[b, H_0^{(-4)}] = Eb$. Assuming b is of the general form $b = \sum_e u_e w_e^{(-4)}$, then the equation $[b, H_0^{(-4)}] = Eb$ gives:

$$-2i\alpha \sum_{e'} K_{ee'} u_{e'} = E u_e. \quad (32)$$

Here, we have defined the matrix $K_{ee'}$ by $iK_{ee'} = [w_e, w_{e'}]$. If the modes with positive energy are indexed by ℓ , the Hamiltonian can be written as $H_0^{(-4)} = \sum_\ell E_\ell b_\ell^\dagger b_\ell + \text{const}$. With periodic boundary conditions, we find that the spectrum of iK is gapped, and therefore, $H_0^{(-4)}$ is gapped. It is also evident that $H_0^{(-4)}$ has a unique ground state defined by $b_\ell = 0$, for all ℓ , on a torus. Thus, we can conclude that the ground state of the Hamiltonian is characterized by the anyons of the $U(1)_2$ CS theory.

3 Topological codes from condensation in an \mathbb{R} gauge theory

In this section, we present a general construction of topological CV stabilizer codes, for which the $K_{2,-4}$ stabilizer code of the previous section is a special case. We first give a definition of topological stabilizer codes on CVs. We then introduce a stabilizer model for an \mathbb{R} gauge theory, which is the starting point of our constructions. The examples in Section 4 are derived from the \mathbb{R} gauge theory through condensing various bosons, summarized in Section 3.4.

3.1 Definition of topological stabilizer codes on CVs

To define topological CV stabilizer codes, we consider families of CV systems embedded in some fixed spatial dimension D . For the codes that we construct, $D = 2$. Each CV hosts displacement operators, as introduced in Eq. (2),

Definition 1 (topological CV stabilizer group) *A family of stabilizer groups \mathcal{S}_L , for varying system sizes L , is topological if the following two conditions are fulfilled:*

1. \mathcal{S}_L can be locally generated – *There exists a system-size independent range $r \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for each system size there exists a set of generators for which each generator acts nontrivially only on CVs contained in a ball of radius r .*⁷
2. \mathcal{S}_L is locally maximal – *The only geometrically local displacement operators that commute with all stabilizers are themselves stabilizers.*

The above definition is a straightforward generalization of the definition of topological qudit stabilizer codes in Ref. [52]. The codes presented in this work have an additional structure of translation invariance, fitting into a class of codes generalizing the stabilizer codes discussed in Ref. [50].

The logical algebra of topological CV stabilizer codes can be qualitatively different from that of discrete-variable codes. The main difference is that a CV code can encode continuous degrees of freedom or discrete degrees of freedom. More specifically, the logical operators can be those of encoded qudits, quantum rotors, or CVs.⁸ Note that, by quantum rotor, we have in mind an infinite-dimensional quantum system as described, for example, in Ref. [53].

3.2 Model for an \mathbb{R} gauge theory

Our construction is based on the concept of boson condensation within a lattice model for an \mathbb{R} gauge theory. To define this model, we consider a system of CVs on edges of a square lattice. We denote the set of vertices, edges, and plaquettes by V , E , and P , respectively. On each edge, we consider the operator algebra generated by the set of displacement operators X and Z , introduced in Eq. (2).

We define the \mathbb{R} gauge theory Hamiltonian $H_{\mathbb{R}}$ as

$$H_{\mathbb{R}} = - \sum_{v \in V} \int_{\mathbb{R}} d\varphi A_v^\varphi - \sum_{p \in P} \int_{\mathbb{R}} dc B_p^c, \quad (34)$$

⁷For concreteness, we think of the ball as being defined with respect to the Euclidean metric on \mathbb{R}^D .

⁸Formally, we can identify that the logical algebra – the commutant of the stabilizer algebra – decomposes into independent subfactors,

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{S}) \simeq \mathcal{S} \otimes \mathcal{L} \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{L} = \bigotimes_{i=1}^{N_d} \mathcal{M}_{d_i} \bigotimes_{j=1}^{N_r} \mathbb{L}(L^2(\mathbb{U}(1))) \bigotimes_{k=1}^{N_c} \mathbb{L}(L^2(\mathbb{R})), \quad (33)$$

where \mathcal{L} is the algebra that acts transitively on the codespace, \mathcal{M}_{d_i} denotes the algebra of $d_i \times d_i$ complex matrices, acting irreducibly on \mathbb{C}^{d_i} , and $\mathbb{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is the algebra of bounded operators on \mathcal{H} . We say that a code defined by \mathcal{S} encodes N_c CVs, N_r rotors and N_d qudits with dimensions $\{d_i\}_i$.




Figure 3: The topological excitations of the \mathbb{R} gauge theory Hamiltonian. The \mathbb{R} gauge theory Hamiltonian in Eq. (34) admits point-like excitations that are topological, in the sense that they cannot be created by operators supported solely in the vicinity of the excitation. The topological excitations are generated by the gauge charges, labeled by $c \in \mathbb{R}$, and the gauge fluxes, labeled by $\varphi \in \mathbb{R}$. The gauge charges and gauge fluxes are created by string operators on the direct lattice and dual lattice, respectively. Here, the notation \vec{X} and \vec{Z} denotes that the operator should be Hermitian conjugated if the orientation of the path (red dashed) points to the left or downward.

where the vertex terms A_v^φ and plaquette terms B_p^c are represented graphically as:

$$A_v^\varphi = \begin{array}{c} | \\ X^{-\varphi} \\ \text{---} X^\varphi \text{---} v \text{---} X^{-\varphi} \text{---} \\ X^\varphi \\ | \end{array}, \quad B_p^c = \begin{array}{c} Z^{-c} \\ \left[\begin{array}{c} Z^{-c} \quad p \quad Z^c \\ Z^c \end{array} \right] \end{array}. \quad (35)$$

Similar to a quantum double model for a finite group [27], we can think of A_v^φ as implementing a local gauge transformation of strength $\varphi \in \mathbb{R}$, while the integral over B_p^c projects onto the flux-free subspace. This Hamiltonian can also be interpreted as the natural generalization of the \mathbb{Z}_n toric code to CVs. Since all the terms in the Hamiltonian commute, we can identify the ground space as the space stabilized by the stabilizer group

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{R}} = \langle \{A_v^\varphi, B_p^c \mid v \in V, p \in P, \varphi, c \in \mathbb{R}\} \rangle. \quad (36)$$

Note that the stabilizer group is continuous, since φ and c are valued in \mathbb{R} .

In the following, we describe the local excitations of the Hamiltonian $H_{\mathbb{R}}$, which correspond to violations of the A_v^φ and B_p^c terms for some choice of φ and c . We take the violations of the B_p^c terms to be the gauge fluxes. These are created by products of displacement operators $X^{\pm\varphi}$ along a path in the dual lattice, as depicted in Fig. 3. We interpret each X_e^φ operator at an edge e as creating a $\pm\varphi$ flux pair at the adjacent plaquettes. Similarly, the gauge charges correspond to violations of the A_v^φ terms. The charges are created by products of $Z^{\pm c}$ operators along a path in the primal lattice, as shown in Fig. 3. We say that Z_e^c on the edge e creates a pair of $\pm c$ charges at the vertices connected by edge e . The

string operators for the fluxes and the charges commute with all of the Hamiltonian terms along the length of the string. In this sense, they are deconfined (topological) excitations of the model. More generally, the local excitations of the Hamiltonian $H_{\mathbb{R}}$ are composites of charges and fluxes. In fact, since X and Z generate all of the displacement operators, all of the eigenstate excitations of $H_{\mathbb{R}}$ are configurations of charges and fluxes.

From the commutation relation of the displacement operators, we can infer the braiding and exchange statistics of the underlying excitations. Exchanging the order in which a Z_e^c and X_e^φ is applied to the CV on edge e corresponds to adding a phase $e^{i\varphi c}$ to the state, resembling the braiding phase of a charge and a flux in an \mathbb{R} gauge theory, see Section 3.3.

Since the string operators that create charges and fluxes commute with the Hamiltonian along the length of the string, the string operators acting along homologically trivial⁹ loops correspond to products of terms in the stabilizer group. In fact, the group of homologically trivial loop operators is exactly the stabilizer group of the ground space, see Eq. (36), and act as the identity on the ground space. Additionally, on a surface with nontrivial homology, string operators that act along nontrivial loops do not commute with all other such string operators, but do commute with all stabilizers. On a torus, for example, there exist two pairs of string operators, indexed by a real number, that obey the commutation relation

$$\overline{Z}_j^b \overline{X}_i^a = e^{iab\delta_{i,j}} \overline{X}_i^a \overline{Z}_j^b \quad \forall a, b \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (37)$$

where $i, j = 1, 2$. The ground space transforms irreducibly under the group generated by these operators and we find that these string operators can be identified with displacement operators of two effective CVs, encoded in the ground space of $H_{\mathbb{R}}$.

Remark: The terms in the Hamiltonian $H_{\mathbb{R}}$ involve integrals over vertex terms A_v^φ and plaquette terms B_p^c . These integrals are unbounded in that both φ and c are integrated over all of \mathbb{R} . This makes the Hamiltonian unphysical. In particular, the integrals lead to a discontinuous coupling. Consider a single term in the Hamiltonian, e.g., $\int_{\mathbb{R}} d\varphi A_v^\varphi$. A_v^φ is a displacement operator and hence a complex exponential of a linear function of quadrature operators. Due to the integral we can understand the term similarly to a delta distribution, represented in a dual ‘‘Fourier’’ basis. For most values of the quadratures the integral vanishes. For specific values, when the exponent defining A_v^φ is exactly 0, the integral diverges. Note that these are isolated regions in phase space and shows that the Hamiltonian terms are discontinuous and unbounded. The same holds for the integral over plaquette operators B_p^c . In Section 4, we construct Hamiltonians that describe condensates of $H_{\mathbb{R}}$. We see that for most condensations the resulting Hamiltonians do not have this type of unphysical couplings. Condensing two independent bosons yields a Hamiltonian without any integral but which is a discrete sum of commuting displacement operators of bounded strength, similar to the Hamiltonian introduced in Section 2.1. Note that ground states of multi-mode GKP code Hamiltonians are not normalizable, see remark in Section 2.1.

3.3 Algebraic description of deconfined excitations

The Hamiltonian in Eq. (34) hosts point-like excitations that are generated by two types of excitations: \mathbb{R} -valued gauge fluxes, corresponding to violations of the plaquette terms, and

⁹Here, the appropriate homology is over the cellulation given by the lattice, with \mathbb{R} coefficients.

\mathbb{R} -valued gauge charges, corresponding to violations of the vertex terms. Similar to anyonic excitations, the point-like excitations of the Hamiltonian can be fused to create composite excitations that have a nontrivial braiding when their worldlines are nontrivially linked, see Fig. 3.

The fusion rules of the excitations are captured by the Abelian group

$$\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} = \{(\varphi, c) \mid \varphi, c \in \mathbb{R}\}. \quad (38)$$

If not stated otherwise we understand an element in the above group labeled by (φ, c) as a c -charge bound to a φ -flux, both taking possible values in \mathbb{R} . The group structure above says that the charge and flux of a pair of excitations fuse independently as real numbers,

$$(\varphi, c) + (\varphi', c') = (\varphi + \varphi', c + c'), \quad (39)$$

where $+$ on the left hand side indicates the Abelian fusion operation.

The exchange statistics of a generic flux-charge composite (φ, c) is given by

$$\theta((\varphi, c)) = e^{is(\varphi, c)} \quad \text{with} \quad s(\varphi, c) = \varphi c \pmod{2\pi}, \quad (40)$$

A flux-charge composite (φ, c) is a boson, i.e. has trivial self-exchange statistics, if and only if $s(\varphi, c) = 0$. Note that the expression for s in Eq. (40) is symmetric with respect to exchanging the flux φ and the charge c . Hence, we can assume without loss of generality that $c \neq 0$ and deduce that every boson (up to flux-charge exchange) is of the form

$$\left(\frac{2\pi}{a}, ak\right) \quad \text{with} \quad a \in \mathbb{R}, k \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (41)$$

Later, we will consider entire subgroups of bosons. If defined in terms of its generators, in order for such a subgroup to be bosonic, all fusion products of the generating bosons have to be of the above form as well. This is equivalent to saying that the *mutual braiding phase* between two flux-charge composites,

$$B((\varphi, c), (\varphi', c')) = e^{ib((\varphi, c), (\varphi', c'))} \quad \text{with} \quad b((\varphi, c), (\varphi', c')) = \varphi c' + \varphi' c \pmod{2\pi}, \quad (42)$$

equals 1. In fact, the fusion group together with the bi-linear form b fully describes the *Abelian anyon theory* represented by the excitations in the model. For a more in-depth discussion of the data defining an Abelian anyon model we refer the interested reader to Refs. [39, 54].

3.4 Boson condensation

We have discussed how to describe the anyon model of the deconfined excitations in a Hamiltonian model for \mathbb{R} gauge theory. In the following, we explain how to obtain the anyon model obtained from *condensing* a subgroup of bosons in the parent \mathbb{R} gauge theory.

We will first describe boson condensation on the abstract level with a generic bosonic subgroup. Then we list all the condensations for which we construct lattice models in Section 4 showing that different condensations can lead to qualitatively different anyon theories of the condensate.

3.4.1 Condensation in \mathbb{R} gauge theory

On the abstract level the procedure of condensation in an Abelian theory with fusion group \mathcal{A} involves three steps:

1. Pick a subgroup of bosons $\mathcal{B} \leq \mathcal{A}$
2. *Confinement*: Decompose the elements in \mathcal{A} into two subsets: $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}}$, the subgroup of anyons that braid trivially with all bosons in \mathcal{B} , and the subset of anyons that braid nontrivially with at least one boson in \mathcal{B} . The latter become *confined* after condensing \mathcal{B} .
3. *Identification*: The set of deconfined excitations after condensation are $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}}$. Two elements in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}}$ become *identified* if they differ by a boson in \mathcal{B} . This leads to the set of anyons in the condensed theory being labeled by the quotient group $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}}/\mathcal{B}$. As every element in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}}$ braids trivially with \mathcal{B} the braiding data in the parent theory can be unambiguously lifted onto $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}}/\mathcal{B}$.

In the following, we list the different types of condensations based on the structure of \mathcal{B} . Since the bosons in \mathbb{R} gauge theory are labeled by elements in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}$, see Eq. (41), \mathcal{B} must be a subgroup thereof.¹⁰ A simplification occurs if \mathcal{B} contains a continuous part, i.e. $\mathbb{R} \leq \mathcal{B}$. Since \mathcal{B} must be bosonic, the only continuous subgroups of bosons are pure charges or fluxes, see Eq. (42). Hence, up to flux-charge exchange, \mathcal{B} must include a subgroup $\mathcal{B}_f = \{(\varphi, 0) \mid \varphi \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Following the recipe above we find that $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}_f} = \mathcal{B}_f$ and hence the condensate only has trivial excitations. We can conclude that whenever \mathcal{B} admits a continuous factor, the condensate only has topologically trivial excitations and hence the ground space of any model implementing the condensate is one-dimensional and does not encode any logical information.

We obtain more interesting models by condensing discrete subgroups of bosons. There are two classes of discrete condensates: $\mathcal{B} \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathcal{B} \simeq \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$. Depending on the possible choice of generators for the discrete subfactors, we obtain qualitatively different condensates (accounting for flux-charge exchange). We group the condensates according to the number of independent generators of \mathcal{B} . Angular brackets should be understood as denoting the set obtained from any integer combinations of elements in the brackets. We construct exactly soluble lattice model for the following condensates:

1. Single condensation, $\mathcal{B} \simeq \mathbb{Z}$:

- (a) Condensing pure fluxes,

$$\mathcal{B} = \left\langle \left(\frac{2\pi}{a}, 0 \right) \right\rangle \quad (43)$$

for $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. The \mathbb{R} fluxes are compactified to $U(1)$ gauge fluxes and the charges are discretized. Together, they fuse according to $U(1) \times \mathbb{Z}$. On a torus the associated stabilizer code encodes two quantum rotors.

¹⁰Note that albeit the bosons being labeled by $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}$ their fusion is not described by that group. Two bosons can fuse to an excitation that is not a boson.

- (b) Condensing a charge-flux composite,

$$\mathcal{B} = \left\langle \left(\frac{2\pi}{a}, an \right) \right\rangle \quad (44)$$

for $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. The topological excitations that remain are nontrivial flux-charge composites resembling the excitations of a $U(1)_{2n}$ gauge theory. The set of all deconfined excitations decouples, both under fusion and braiding, into a finite chiral part \mathbb{Z}_{2n} and a continuous antichiral part that is isomorphic to \mathbb{R} . On a torus the associated stabilizer code encodes one qudit of dimension $2n$ and a single CV.

2. Double condensation, $\mathcal{B} \simeq \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$:

- (a) Condensing pure fluxes and charges,

$$\mathcal{B} = \left\langle \left(\frac{2\pi}{a}, 0 \right), (0, an) \right\rangle \quad (45)$$

for $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. The set of inequivalent deconfined excitations after condensation is finite and forms the fusion group $\mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_n$. The braiding reduces to the braiding of a \mathbb{Z}_n toric code, a topological \mathbb{Z}_n gauge theory. On a torus the associated stabilizer code encodes two qudits of dimension n .

- (b) Condensing independent flux-charge composites of the form

$$\mathcal{B} = \left\langle \left(\frac{2\pi}{a}, na \right), \left(-\frac{2\pi}{a} \sqrt{\frac{m}{n}}, a\sqrt{nm} \right) \right\rangle \quad (46)$$

for $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, $n, m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. This condensation transition can be viewed as a single condensation out of the condensate **1b**, where a boson in the continuous subfactor of excitations was condensed. After condensation the inequivalent topological excitations form two decoupled subfactors, a chiral \mathbb{Z}_{2n} factor and a \mathbb{Z}_{2m} factor with opposite chirality. The full condensed theory is equivalent to the emergent anyons in $U(1)_{2n} \times U(1)_{-2m}$ CS theory (see Ref. [37]). On a torus the associated stabilizer code encodes a qudit of dimension $2n$ and a qudits of dimension $2m$.

- (c) More generally, we consider condensing a bosonic subgroup generated by flux-charge composites of the form

$$\mathcal{B} = \left\langle \left(\frac{2\pi}{a}, an_1 \right), \left(\frac{2\pi n_2}{ac_2}, ac_2 \right) \right\rangle, \quad (47)$$

defined from $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ and $n_1, n_2, n' \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $n'^2 > n_1 n_2$. Here, we have introduced the quantity $c_2 = n' + \sqrt{n'^2 - n_1 n_2}$. This condensate leads to an anyon theory of a non-chiral $U(1) \times U(1)$ CS theory with an even-valued K matrix [55]. On a torus the associated stabilizer code encodes a qudit of dimension a and a qudit of dimension b such that $ab = 4(n'^2 - n_1 n_2)$. The exact values for a and b depend on the choice of parameters n_1, n_2, n' .

In the next section, we derive the algebraic structure of the individual cases explicitly and show how to realize these condensates microscopically as exactly-solvable lattice models.

The specific hopping terms have to be chosen carefully such that their commutation phase exactly agrees with the mutual braiding in the parent \mathbb{R} gauge theory. In particular, the construction requires the exact commutation of all hopping terms associated to bosons with trivial mutual braiding. We find such hopping terms for the condensates listed above. This includes theories that do not admit a Lagrangian subgroup and hence cannot be equipped with a fully gapped topological boundary, as for example the anyons in the code presented in Section 2.

In all cases the parameter a that enters the definition of the bosonic subgroups does not affect the resulting theory formed by the deconfined excitations after condensation. Different values of a merely correspond to a rescaling of the local degrees of freedom. Hence, we only consider the case $a = 1$.

4 Examples

In this section, we present various examples of topological CV stabilizer models realized via boson condensation in the \mathbb{R} gauge theory model. We recover all known classes of topological codes on CVs and extend the existing classes with models that realize anyon theories that are expected to be unrealizable in any topological stabilizer model on finite degrees of freedom. Throughout this section we use the notation introduced in the previous section. The models are defined on a square lattice with V denoting the set of vertices, E the set of edges and P the set of plaquettes.

4.1 $U(1)$ gauge theory

Consider the subgroup of bosons

$$\mathcal{B} = \langle (2\pi, 0) \rangle. \quad (48)$$

The set of deconfined excitations after condensing B is given by the set of solutions to the equation

$$b\left((2\pi, 0), (x, y)\right) = 2\pi y \pmod{2\pi} = 0, \quad (49)$$

which we identify with

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}} = \{(x, n) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}, n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \simeq \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}. \quad (50)$$

The inequivalent excitations are in 1-1 correspondence with B cosets. This condensation does not affect the \mathbb{Z} factor of $A_{\mathcal{B}}$ but compactifies the \mathbb{R} part to $[0, 2\pi) \simeq U(1)$. We find that the topological excitations after condensation form the fusion group

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{B}} = \{(\varphi, m) + \mathcal{B} \mid \varphi \in [0, 2\pi), m \in \mathbb{Z}\} \simeq U(1) \times \mathbb{Z}. \quad (51)$$

The braiding phase, see Eq. (42), reduces to

$$b\left((\varphi, m), (\varphi', m')\right) = \varphi m' + \varphi' m \pmod{2\pi}, \quad (52)$$

which agrees with the mutual braiding of a topological $U(1)$ gauge theory.

4.1.1 Lattice implementation – homological rotor codes

We start with the stabilizer group $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{R}}$, see Eq. (36). The bosons that we aim to condense are created by single-CV displacement operators $X^{2\pi}$. In fact, these operators generate the full group of string operators for bosons in B , and commute as operators. This makes them valid candidates for hopping terms that stabilize states in which B is condensed. We define the group of hopping terms for the condensed bosons as

$$\mathcal{C} = \langle \{X_e^{2\pi}\}_{e \in E} \rangle. \quad (53)$$

Following the procedure laid out at the start of Section 3.4, we first determine the centralizer¹¹ of \mathcal{B} over $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{R}}$ to determine the stabilizers that persist to the condensed model. We find

$$C_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathcal{C}) = \langle \{A_v^x \mid x \in \mathbb{R}\}_{v \in V}, \{B_p^n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}_{p \in P} \rangle, \quad (54)$$

and with that a stabilizer group of the B -condensed state

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S} &= \langle C_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{C} \rangle \\ &= \langle \{A_v^x \mid x \in [0, 2\pi)\}_{v \in V}, \{B_p^n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}_{p \in P}, \{X_e^{2\pi}\}_{e \in E} \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

The logical operators are inherited from the logical operators of the parent model defined by $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{R}}$ that also lie in the commutant of \mathcal{C} . Since B only consists of X -like displacements the logical operators composed of solely X displacements remain logical operators of the condensed code. For each homologically nontrivial cocycle there exists a nontrivial X logical operator of the parent acting nontrivially on CVs along the cocycle γ (loop in the dual lattice)

$$\overline{X}_{\gamma}^a = \prod_{e \in \gamma} X_e^a, \quad a \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (56)$$

After condensation \overline{X}_{γ}^a and $\overline{X}_{\gamma}^{a+2\pi}$ become identified since $\overline{X}_{\gamma}^{2\pi} \in \mathcal{C}(c)$. Hence, the inequivalent logical X operators after condensation are labelled by cohomology classes of the ambient manifold where now each cocycle supports a $U(1)$ -labeled family of inequivalent logical operators. Additionally, for each γ , there exists a conjugate cycle (loop on the direct lattice) η that has an odd intersection number with γ . In the parent model, there is a \mathbb{R} -labelled family of Z logical operators for each η that do not commute with all \overline{X}_{γ}^a of the form

$$\overline{Z}_{\eta}^b = \prod_{e \in \eta} Z_e^b, \quad b \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (57)$$

After condensation, only a \mathbb{Z} -labelled subset of these operators remain logical operators of the condensed model. Specifically, only integer powers of \overline{Z}_{η} commute with \mathcal{C} . The logical commutation phase in the condensed model is inherited from the parent model, and exactly resembles the algebra of a quantum rotor,

$$\overline{Z}_{\eta}^m \overline{X}_{\gamma}^a = e^{iam} \overline{X}_{\gamma}^a \overline{Z}_{\eta}^m, \quad (58)$$

where $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a \in [0, 2\pi)$. For each handle of the ambient manifold, there exists two cycle-cocycle pairs (η_1, γ_1) and (η_2, γ_2) along which two independent subgroups of logical operators

¹¹That is, the stabilizers of the \mathbb{R} model that commute exactly with each element in \mathcal{C} .

can be defined: $\{\overline{Z}_{\eta_j}^m \overline{X}_{\gamma_j}^a \mid a \in [0, 2\pi), m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ for $j = 1, 2$. Each operator assigned to a pair only commutes nontrivially with operators assigned to the same pair, e.g., $\overline{X}_{\eta_1}^a$ and $\overline{Z}_{\gamma_1}^m$. On a torus, for example, \mathcal{S} encodes $L^2(\text{U}(1))^{\otimes 2}$, two quantum rotors.

The codes obtained from single condensations encode a finite number of quantum rotors when defined on orientable surfaces. This is because enforcing the single CV stabilizer $X_e^{2\pi}$ on an edge, via the condensation process, reduces the local operator algebra of displacements to the operator algebra of a single quantum rotor. In this way, we recover the homological rotor codes introduced in Ref. [35] as a special case of single-condensed topological CV codes by embedding them into a system of CVs. Similar identifications of homological rotor codes embedded into the Hilbert space of CVs were found in Ref. [56].

Given \mathcal{S} we construct a Hamiltonian of commuting terms

$$H(c) = - \sum_{v \in V} \int_0^{2\pi} dx A_v^x - \sum_{p \in P} B_p^c - \sum_{e \in E} X_e^{2\pi} + \text{h.c.}, \quad (59)$$

where v runs over all vertices, p over all plaquettes and e over all edges. This Hamiltonian is topological in the sense that the exact ground states are locally indistinguishable and the dimension of the ground state space only depend on the homology group of the ambient manifold. In contrast to qudit topological code Hamiltonians, which are defined on finite-dimensional systems, the above Hamiltonian is gapless since there exist local operators of arbitrary small energy (e.g. Z_e^ε for arbitrarily small $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$).

4.2 \mathbb{Z}_n gauge theory

In this section we consider condensation of the bosonic subgroup

$$\mathcal{B} = \langle (2\pi, 0), (0, n) \rangle, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}. \quad (60)$$

Condensing this subgroup can be viewed as first performing a condensation to the U(1) theory described in Section 4.1, and then performing a second condensation of the bosons generated by $(0, n)$. This perspective simplifies the analysis as we can focus on the deconfined excitations after the first condensation $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}}/\mathcal{B} \simeq \text{U}(1) \times \mathbb{Z}$. The boson $(0, n)$ can be viewed as a pure charge in the \mathbb{Z} subfactor. From the braiding in the U(1) factor, see Eq. (52), we find that condensing this charge compactifies $\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n$ and discretizes $\text{U}(1) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_k$. Specifically, the set of deconfined excitations after the second condensation can be identified with

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}}/\mathcal{B} = \{(q2\pi/n, k) + B \mid q, k \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1\}\} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_n \times \mathbb{Z}_n. \quad (61)$$

The braiding reduces to

$$b\left((q2\pi/n, k), (q'2\pi/n, k')\right) = \frac{2\pi}{n} (qk' + q'k) \pmod{2\pi}, \quad (62)$$

which agrees exactly with the braiding of an untwisted \mathbb{Z}_n gauge theory.

4.2.1 Lattice implementation – Toric-GKP code

Building on the lattice model for the single untwisted condensation, see Section 4.1, we extend the group of hopping terms by adding generators to \mathcal{C} that serve as hopping terms of the

charges $(0, n)$ and commute with \mathcal{C} . A valid choice for these hopping terms is given by single-CV displacement operators Z^n . This leads to the group of hopping terms

$$\mathcal{C} = \left\langle \{X_e^{2\pi}, Z_e^n\}_{e \in E} \right\rangle. \quad (63)$$

To construct the condensed stabilizer group, we follow the procedure from Section 3.4 and determine the centralizer of \mathcal{C} over $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{R}}$. We obtain

$$C_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathcal{C}) = \left\langle \left\{ A_v^{2\pi/n} \right\}_{v \in V}, \left\{ B_p^1 \right\}_{p \in P} \right\rangle \quad (64)$$

yielding a topological stabilizer group for the B -condensed states

$$\mathcal{S} = \langle C_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{C} \rangle = \left\langle \left\{ A_v^{2\pi/n} \right\}_{v \in V}, \left\{ B_p^1 \right\}_{p \in P}, \{X_e^{2\pi}, Z_e^n\}_{e \in E} \right\rangle. \quad (65)$$

The code space is the subspace stabilized by \mathcal{S} . The logical operators are a subset of the logical operators in the parent model, which is defined by $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{R}}$ ¹². More specifically, we obtain the algebra of logical operators of the B -condensed model from the commutant of \mathcal{S} . Since the stabilizer group decomposes into independent factors generated by X -like stabilizers and Z -like stabilizers we can analyze the logical X and Z operators independently. We find that for each homologically nontrivial cocycle γ there exists a family of nontrivial logical X operators inherited from Eq. (56),

$$\overline{X}_{\gamma}^a, \quad a \in \frac{2\pi}{n} \mathbb{Z}. \quad (66)$$

Note that $\overline{X}^{2\pi} \in \mathcal{C}$ since it can be written as a product of condensation terms $X_e^{\pm 2\pi}$. Hence, this operator acts trivially on the subspace stabilized by \mathcal{S} .

The set of inequivalent X -like logical operators are now given by \mathbb{Z}_n -labelled cohomology classes $\overline{\gamma}(k)$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_n$.¹³ Within the space stabilized by \mathcal{S} , the logicals preserve the group structure,

$$\overline{X}_{\gamma}^k \overline{X}_{\gamma}^{k'} = \overline{X}_{\gamma}^{k+k'} \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{X}_{\gamma}^k \overline{X}_{\gamma'}^k = \overline{X}_{\gamma+\gamma'}^k, \quad (67)$$

where k and k' are summed up modulo n . The full algebra of operators on the code space is completed by including products of logical Z operators. For each cocycle γ there exist a conjugate cycle η that intersects nontrivially with γ . On this cycle, we can define products of Z -like displacements,

$$\overline{Z}_{\eta}^q, \quad q \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (68)$$

each of which commutes with \mathcal{S} and is a nontrivial logical in the parent model, defined in Eq. (57). In the condensate, we find that $\overline{Z}_{\eta}^n \in \mathcal{S}$ as it can be written as products of Z displacements in \mathcal{C} . Hence, the inequivalent logical Z operators are labelled by classes of \mathbb{Z}_n 1-cycles $\eta(k)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}_n$. Similar to the X logical operators, the logical Z operators also form a representation of the group on the code space. Additionally, there exists a basis of

¹²Since condensing \mathcal{B} can be interpreted as a two-step process where \mathcal{B} is condensed first, the final logical operators are a subset of the logical operators from the first condensed code, which is defined by \mathcal{S} .

¹³Note that for two cohomologous cocycles $\gamma \sim \gamma'$ the operator $\overline{X}_{\gamma}^{2\pi/n} \overline{X}_{\gamma'}^{-2\pi/n} \in \mathcal{S}(c, k)$.

(co)cycles that can be grouped into pairs (γ, η) such that the associated operators obey the commutation

$$\overline{Z}_\eta^{q2\pi/n} \overline{X}_\gamma^k = e^{2\pi i k q/n} \overline{X}_\gamma^k \overline{Z}_\eta^{q2\pi/n}, \quad (69)$$

and commute with all operators associated to other (co)cycles. This resembles the commutation of generalized Pauli operators on qudits of dimension n . They generate a basis for all $n \times n$ matrices. We conclude that the stabilizer code defined by \mathcal{S} encodes two such qudits per handle. On a torus, for example, \mathcal{S} encodes a logical algebra of $\mathcal{M}_n \otimes \mathcal{M}_n$, acting faithfully on two qudits of dimension n . In fact, the stabilizer group can be viewed as the stabilizer group of a *toric-GKP code* obtained from concatenating a single-CV GKP code, defined by the stabilizers $X_e^{2\pi}$ and Z_e^n , and a \mathbb{Z}_n toric code [27]. It can be considered the simplest topological CV code as its topological nature is inherited from a topological Pauli stabilizer code on qudits.

We find a stabilizer Hamiltonian that hosts the \mathcal{B} -condensate as a ground space,

$$H(n) = - \sum_{v \in V} A_v^{2\pi/n} - \sum_{p \in P} B_p^1 - \sum_{e \in E} (Z_e^n + X_e^{2\pi}) + \text{h.c.}, \quad (70)$$

where v runs over all vertices, p over all plaquettes and e over all edges. Since the Hamiltonian terms generate a topological stabilizer group, the ground states are locally indistinguishable and exhibit a topological ground state degeneracy.

In contrast to topological stabilizer Hamiltonians on finite-dimensional systems, the Hamiltonian H (we suppress the n dependency) is gapless since local operators can have arbitrarily small energy. Hence, ground states of H can be mapped to eigenstates with arbitrarily small energies by single-CV displacement operators. This is reminiscent of GKP-code Hamiltonians [15] and an intrinsic feature of the locally infinite Hilbert space in which the finite-dimensional ground space is embedded. An important distinction to make about the spectrum of the Hamiltonian H is that all the deconfined excitations of H are gapped as it realizes a finite Abelian anyon theory, described by a \mathbb{Z}_n gauge theory. Similar to the example from Section 2, and the more general family presented in the next section, all gapless excitations are confined and do not commute with the hopping terms of the condensed bosons.

Following similar arguments to those presented in Section 2.3, we expect that a quadratic perturbation to H is sufficient to open a constant energy gap.

4.3 $U(1)_{2n}$

Consider a subgroup of bosons generated by a flux-charge composite

$$\mathcal{B} = \langle (2\pi, n) \rangle, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}. \quad (71)$$

The set of deconfined excitations after condensation is given by the set of solutions to

$$b((2\pi, n), (x, y)) = 2\pi y + nx \pmod{2\pi} = 0, \quad (72)$$

which we identify with

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}} = \{(\varphi, k - n\varphi/2\pi) \mid \varphi \in \mathbb{R}, k \in \mathbb{Z}\} \simeq \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}. \quad (73)$$

We find that condensing \mathcal{B} discretizes the space of excitations along one direction. Additionally, it forces a certain charge-flux attachment of the excitations that remain deconfined after condensation. We show in this section that the identification of excitations induced by the condensation additionally compactifies an infinite discrete subgroup, resulting in a finite subgroup of deconfined excitations described by the anyons in $U(1)_{2n}$ gauge theory [36, 37].

For convenience, we define $[\varphi, k] := (\varphi, k - n\varphi/2\pi)$. Since φ and k enter linearly in both flux and charge label the group structure is inherited from the parent theory, $[\varphi, k] + [\varphi', k'] = [\varphi + \varphi', k + k']$. The inequivalent excitations correspond to cosets with respect to \mathcal{B} . Since \mathcal{B} is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} we expect the two-dimensional space $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}}$ to become compactified along one direction. Indeed, we find that that $[2\pi, 2n] \in \mathcal{B}$ and the coset represented by $[\pi/n, 1]$ generates a finite subgroup of $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}}/\mathcal{B}$ of order $2n$. The group of cosets has another factor, formed by cosets represented by $[\alpha, 0]$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. There is no value $\tilde{\alpha} \neq 0$ such that $[\tilde{\alpha}, 0] \in \mathcal{B}$. Taken together, the group of deconfined excitations after condensing \mathcal{B} is given by

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}}/\mathcal{B} = \{(k[\pi/n, 1] + [\alpha, 0]) + \mathcal{B} \mid m \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, 2n - 1\}, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}\} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{2n} \times \mathbb{R}. \quad (74)$$

In App. B we show that the group of cosets is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{2n} \times \mathbb{R}$. The braiding of the deconfined excitations after condensation reduces to

$$b([m\pi/n + \alpha, m], [m'\pi/n + \alpha', m']) = (mm' - \alpha\alpha')\pi/n \pmod{2\pi}. \quad (75)$$

Each term only involves m and m' or α and α' . This shows that the group of deconfined excitations factorizes fully into a finite and an infinite subgroup. In particular, the subtheory generated by $[1, 1]$ forms a chiral $U(1)_{2n}$ anyon theory. However, the full theory remains non-chiral as the infinite subfactor has opposite chirality, which is a consequence of the minus sign entering Eq. (75). The matching of chiral and anti-chiral factors is more transparent after a second subgroup of bosons is condensed, see Section 4.4.

4.3.1 Lattice implementation

In order to define an exactly soluble lattice model for the single condensation of a flux-charge composite, we have to find a suitable local basis of operators whose commutation relations mimic the braiding relations of the topological excitations in the \mathbb{R} gauge theory that we want to condense.

On each edge e we define an $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ -labelled set of operators $\{C_e(\varphi, c) \mid \varphi, a \in \mathbb{R}\}_{e \in E}$ with

$$C_e(\varphi, c) = \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{ccc} \begin{array}{c} Z^{\frac{c}{2}} \\ \vdots \\ Z^{-\frac{c}{2}} \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} Z^{\frac{c}{2}} \\ \vdots \\ Z^{-\frac{c}{2}} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{X_e^\varphi} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \end{array} \begin{array}{ccc} \begin{array}{c} Z^{-\frac{c}{2}} \\ \vdots \\ Z^{\frac{c}{2}} \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} Z^{-\frac{c}{2}} \\ \vdots \\ Z^{\frac{c}{2}} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \end{array} \end{array} \end{array} \cdot \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{ccc} \begin{array}{c} Z^{\frac{c}{2}} \\ \vdots \\ Z^{-\frac{c}{2}} \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} Z^{-\frac{c}{2}} \\ \vdots \\ Z^{\frac{c}{2}} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \end{array} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{X_e^{-\varphi}} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \end{array} \begin{array}{ccc} \begin{array}{c} Z^{\frac{c}{2}} \\ \vdots \\ Z^{-\frac{c}{2}} \end{array} & \begin{array}{c} Z^{\frac{c}{2}} \\ \vdots \\ Z^{-\frac{c}{2}} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \end{array} \end{array} \end{array}. \quad (76)$$

When these operators act on the ground space of $H_{\mathbb{R}}$, they create a flux-charge composite

on adjacent sites with the charge bound to the south east of the flux,

$$(77)$$

In the following, we refer to the above operators as (φ, c) -hopping operators since they generate any possible string operators for the excitations of $H_{\mathbb{R}}$. This is equivalent to these operators forming a basis of $\mathbb{L}(\mathcal{H})$, see App. A. Consider two different operators $C_e(\varphi, c)$ and $C_{e'}(\varphi', c')$. Since they are a tensor product of displacement operators, they commute up to a phase

$$C_e(\varphi, c)C_{e'}(\varphi', c') = \psi_{e,e'}((\varphi, c), (\varphi', c'))C_{e'}(\varphi', c')C_e(\varphi, c). \quad (78)$$

A straightforward calculation shows that $\psi_{e,e'}((\varphi, c), (\varphi', c'))$ takes values

$$1 \quad \text{or} \quad e^{\pm i(\varphi c' + \varphi' c)/2}, \quad (79)$$

depending on the relative positions of e and e' . For a more detailed treatment of the algebra generated by $\{C_e(\varphi, c) \mid \varphi, c \in \mathbb{R}\}_{e \in E}$ we refer to App. A.

To define the lattice model for the \mathcal{B} -condensate, consider the subgroup

$$\mathcal{C} = \langle \{C_e(2\pi, n)\}_e \rangle, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}. \quad (80)$$

Evaluating $\psi_{e,e'}$ on the above subgroup we find that all generators commute and hence generate a commutative subalgebra of $\mathbb{L}(\mathcal{H})$. To construct the lattice model for the condensate we first determine the centralizer of \mathcal{C} over $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{R}}$,

$$C_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathcal{C}) = \langle \{S_v(\varphi, k - n\varphi/2\pi) \mid \varphi \in \mathbb{R}, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}_{v \in V} \rangle, \quad (81)$$

where

$$S_v(\varphi, c) = \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \text{---} Z^{-c} \text{---} \\ | \\ Z^{-c} \quad Z^c X^{-\varphi} \\ | \\ Z^c X^{\varphi} \quad v \quad X^{-\varphi} \\ | \\ X^{\varphi} \end{array} \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{R}}. \end{array} \quad (82)$$

Together with $\mathcal{C}(c)$ this yields, by construction, a topological stabilizer group

$$\mathcal{S} = \langle C_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{C} \rangle = \langle \{S_v(\varphi, k - n\varphi/2\pi) \mid \varphi \in \mathbb{R}, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}_{v \in V}, \{C_e(2\pi, n)\}_{e \in E} \rangle. \quad (83)$$

The logical operators are inherited from the parent model $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{R}}$. In particular, they correspond to the logical operators of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{R}}$ that also lie in the commutant of \mathcal{C} . The commutation relation of logical operators, generated by $C_e(\varphi, a)$ along cocycles on the square lattice on which the model is defined, is exactly the braiding phase of the excitation created by elements in \mathcal{C} and

the excitations that is transported along the cocycle by the logical operator. Hence, we can use the analysis leading to Eq. (74) and find that for each homologically nontrivial (co)cycle γ there is a $\mathbb{Z}_{2n} \times \mathbb{R}$ -labelled set of logical operators

$$\left\{ \overline{W}_\gamma(m, \alpha) \mid m \in \mathbb{Z}_{2n}, \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \right\}, \quad (84)$$

where $\overline{W}_\gamma(m, \alpha)$ is a string operator of the \mathbb{R} gauge theory model that transports a $[m, \alpha]$ flux-charge composite around a nontrivial (co)cycle. We find that two logical operators associated to homologous (co)cycles differ by an element in \mathcal{S} and commute. Additionally we find that for a fixed γ they form a representation of $\mathbb{Z}_{2n} \times \mathbb{R}$,

$$\overline{W}_\gamma(m, \alpha) \overline{W}_\gamma(m', \alpha') = \overline{W}_\gamma(m + m', \alpha + \alpha'), \quad (85)$$

where the m values are summed modulo $2n$ and α values are summed as real numbers. Moreover, given two inequivalent (co)cycles that have an odd intersection number, the commutation between the associated logical operators factorizes fully over the discrete \mathbb{Z}_{2n} factor and the continuous \mathbb{R} factor. For example, on a torus, where there are two inequivalent generating (co)cycles γ and η we find that the associated logical operators obey the relation

$$\overline{W}_\gamma(m, \alpha) \overline{W}_\eta(m', \alpha') = e^{i(mm'\pi/n - \alpha\alpha')} \overline{W}_\eta(m', \alpha') \overline{W}_\gamma(m, \alpha). \quad (86)$$

In other words, the algebra generated by logical operators factorizes and is isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}_{2n} \otimes \mathbb{L}(L^2(\mathbb{R}))$. In that sense, \mathcal{S} encodes a qudit of dimension $2n$, \mathbb{C}^{2n} , and a CV, $L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

The algebra generated by \mathcal{S} is a locally generated commutative subalgebra of $\mathbb{L}(\mathcal{H})$. In that algebra, we define a Hamiltonian

$$H(n) = - \sum_v \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} d\alpha S_v(\alpha, -n\alpha/2\pi) + S_v(\pi/n, 1/2) \right) - \sum_e C_e(2\pi, n) + \text{h.c.}, \quad (87)$$

where v runs over all vertices and e over all edges. The ground space of H is the space that transforms trivially under the action of \mathcal{S} . Since \mathcal{S} is a topological stabilizer group, H is topologically ordered in the same sense as H , see Eq. (59).

In the following, we briefly comment on the spectrum of H when the condensation is enforced exactly, i.e. we consider the Hamiltonian

$$H'(n, J) = - \sum_v \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} d\alpha S_v(\alpha, -n\alpha/2\pi) + S_v(\pi/n, 1/2) \right) - J \sum_e C_e(2\pi, n) + \text{h.c.}, \quad (88)$$

in the $J \rightarrow \infty$ limit. It has two kinds of topological excitations. The first are gapped and discrete. These excitations can be created by integer powers of short string operators of the form

$$W_e^{(2n)} = -X^{\frac{\pi}{4n}} \begin{array}{c} | \\ X^{\frac{\pi}{4n}} \\ \text{---} \\ X^{\frac{\pi}{4n}} \\ | \end{array} Z_e \begin{array}{c} | \\ X^{-\frac{\pi}{4n}} \\ \text{---} \\ X^{\frac{\pi}{4n}} \\ | \end{array} X^{-\frac{\pi}{4n}} \text{---}, \quad (89)$$

Each of the eigenstates that are obtained by applying products of these operators has a finite energy of 2 or more. Moreover, applying these operators along a cycle leads to an operator that acts within the ground space, i.e. a logical operator.

Additionally, there is a gapless, continuous, set of excitations that can be created by products of operators $(W_e^{(2n)})^s$, for $s \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$. The energy of the eigenstates obtained by applying one of these operators on the ground space is a continuous function in α . Since α can take any value in \mathbb{R} the energy can be arbitrarily small. This means H has eigenstates of arbitrarily small energy. However, the spectrum in the condensed subspace fully decouples into a gapped and a gapless part. In particular, there is no combination of gapless excitations that lead to gapped one. Considering finite J , i.e. also allowing operators that do not commute with the condensation terms $C_e(2\pi, n)$, the Hamiltonian has additional confined excitations that can be created arbitrarily with local displacement operators. Taking products of these operators along a path violates condensation terms along that path. In this sense they are confined excitations.

In the above model, we observe a similar factorization of local operators as in the model discussed in Section 2.2. We expect that adding suitable quadratic perturbations, derived from the factorization, leads to a gapped Hamiltonian whose ground space agrees with that of H .

4.4 $U(1)_{2n} \times U(1)_{-2m}$

In this section, we consider the condensation of the subgroup of bosons

$$\mathcal{B} = \left\langle (2\pi, n), \left(-2\pi\sqrt{m/n}, \sqrt{nm} \right) \right\rangle. \quad (90)$$

This can be viewed as first condensing \mathcal{B} and then condensing the family of bosons generated by $(-2\pi\sqrt{m/n}, \sqrt{nm})$ in the condensed theory.

The group of deconfined excitations is defined by the solutions to the set of equations

$$b\left((2\pi, n), (x, y)\right) = 2\pi y + nx \pmod{2\pi} = 0, \quad (91a)$$

$$b\left(\left(-2\pi\sqrt{m/n}, \sqrt{nm}\right), (x, y)\right) = -2\pi\sqrt{m/ny} + \sqrt{nm}x \pmod{2\pi} = 0. \quad (91b)$$

We partially solved this set of equations in Section 4.3. Building on this, we find that the group of deconfined excitations after condensing \mathcal{B} is

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}} = \left\{ \left(2\pi \left(\frac{k_1}{2n} + \frac{k_2}{2\sqrt{nm}} \right), \left(k_1 - \sqrt{\frac{n}{m}} k_2 \right) / 2 \right) \mid k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \right\} \simeq \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}. \quad (92)$$

For convenience, we introduce the notation

$$[k_1, k_2] := \left(2\pi(k_1/2n + k_2/2\sqrt{nm}), (k_1 - \sqrt{n/m}k_2)/2 \right). \quad (93)$$

The inequivalent excitations are given by cosets with respect to \mathcal{B} . Taking the quotient with respect to this group of condensed bosons yields a finite set of topologically nontrivial excitations,

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}}/\mathcal{B} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{2n} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2m}. \quad (94)$$

The first factor can be identified with the finite factor in Eq. (74), and is generated by the coset with representative $[1, 0]$. The second factor is generated by the coset with representative $[0, 1]$. For the remainder of this section we label each coset by one of its representatives $[a, b]$, for $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{2n}$, $b \in \mathbb{Z}_{2m}$, making a slight abuse of notation.

The braiding of the excitations in the condensate is given by

$$b([a, b], [a', b']) = \pi \left(\frac{aa'}{2n} - \frac{bb'}{2m} \right) \pmod{2\pi}, \quad (95)$$

which agrees with the data of the anyons in $U(1)_{2n} \times U(1)_{-2m}$ CS theory. Again, the resulting theory is independent of the parameter c entering the definition of \mathcal{B} .

4.4.1 Lattice implementation

We build on the construction of the topological stabilizer group \mathcal{S} and consider the group of hopping terms

$$\mathcal{C} = \left\langle \left\{ C_e(2\pi, n), C_e \left(-2\pi\sqrt{m/n}, \sqrt{nm} \right) \right\}_{e \in E} \right\rangle, \quad (96)$$

$n, m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. The operators labeled with C_e are defined in Eq. (76). It can be seen, by evaluating ψ defined in Eq. (78), that all the operators in this group commute.

In order to obtain a topological stabilizer group, we take the centralizer of \mathcal{C} over $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{R}}$,

$$C_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathcal{C}) = \left\langle \left\{ S_v([k_1, k_2]) \mid k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}_{v \in V} \right\rangle, \quad (97)$$

where we have used the labelling from Eq. (93). This leads to the stabilizer group of the \mathcal{B} -condensate

$$\mathcal{S} = \langle C_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{C} \rangle \quad (98)$$

$$= \left\langle \left\{ S_v([k_1, k_2]) \mid k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{2n}, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{2m} \right\}_{v \in V}, \left\{ C_e(2\pi, n), C_e \left(-2\pi\sqrt{m/n}, \sqrt{nm} \right) \mid n, m \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}_{e \in E} \right\rangle. \quad (99)$$

Here, we have identified that $S_v([2n, 0])$ and $S_v([0, 2m])$ can be written as products of hopping terms in \mathcal{C} . The logical operators of the code stabilized by \mathcal{S} are inherited from the parent model. Again, we use that the commutation phase of the hopping terms that generate \mathcal{C} with the logical operators of the code defined by $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{R}}$ coincide with the braiding phases of the corresponding excitations in \mathbb{R} gauge theory. Following the preceding analysis we can conclude that for each homologically nontrivial (co)cycle γ there exists a $\mathbb{Z}_{2n} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2m}$ -labelled set of inequivalent logical operators,

$$\left\{ \overline{W}_{\gamma}(k_1, k_2) \mid k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{2n}, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{2m} \right\}, \quad (100)$$

each of which can be thought of as moving a deconfined excitation around a homologically nontrivial (co)cycle. Two such operators, with the same argument k_1, k_2 defined along (co)homologous (co)cycles differ exactly by an element in \mathcal{S} . Additionally, up to elements in \mathcal{S} , they represent the fusion group of the doubly condensed model for each (co)cycle,

$$\overline{W}_{\gamma}(k_1, k_2) \overline{W}_{\gamma}(k'_1, k'_2) = \overline{W}_{\gamma}(k_1 + k'_1, k_2 + k'_2), \quad (101)$$

where the first argument is summed modulo \mathbb{Z}_{2n} and the second argument is summed modulo \mathbb{Z}_{2m} . The operators associated to inequivalent (co)cycles generically do not commute. We find that they exactly represent the anyon model defining the double \mathcal{B} -condensate. More specifically, the algebra generated by inequivalent logical operators splits into two independent factors, described by the anyon theory of $U(1)_{2n} \times U(1)_{-2m}$ CS theory. On a torus, for example, where there are two inequivalent generating (co)cycles γ and η , the associated logical operators have the following commutation relation

$$\overline{W}_\gamma(k_1, k_2) \overline{W}_\eta(k'_1, k'_2) = e^{i\pi(k_1 k'_1/n - k_2 k'_2/m)} \overline{W}_\eta(k'_1, k'_2) \overline{W}_\gamma(k_1, k_2). \quad (102)$$

Equivalently, they generate a logical algebra isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}_{2n} \otimes \mathcal{M}_{2m}$ and the code defined by \mathcal{S} encodes two qudits, one with dimension $2n$, and one with dimension $2m$. On a torus, this leads to a codespace dimension of $4nm$. We can easily pick integers n, m such that this is not a square number. In contrast, all topological codes on qudits have a codespace dimension on the torus that is a square number [39], indicating that our construction goes beyond codes on finite-dimensional systems.

The stabilizer group \mathcal{S} generates a commuting subalgebra of $\mathbb{L}(\mathcal{H})$. Within this subalgebra, we define the Hamiltonian


$$H(n, m) = - \sum_{v \in V} (S_v([1, 0]) + S_v([0, 1])) - \sum_{e \in E} \left(C_e(2\pi, cn) + C_e \left(-2\pi \sqrt{\frac{m}{n}}, \sqrt{nm} \right) \right) + \text{h.c.}, \quad (103)$$


where v runs over all vertices and e over all edges. Since the above Hamiltonian involves a sum over all the generators of \mathcal{S} , its ground space coincides with the space that transforms trivially under \mathcal{S} . Since \mathcal{S} is a topological stabilizer group, the above Hamiltonian is topologically ordered, and has a finite-dimensional ground space.¹⁴

The deconfined excitations are all gapped and can be locally be created in pairs by

¹⁴Moreover, the Hamiltonian does not involve integrals over displacement operators with arbitrarily large strengths. Instead, only a finite set of displacement operators appear for each site.

operators of the form

$$W_e^{(2n)} = -X^{\frac{\pi}{4n}} \begin{array}{c} | \\ X^{\frac{\pi}{4n}} \\ \hline \dots \\ X^{\frac{\pi}{4n}} \\ | \end{array} Z_e \begin{array}{c} | \\ X^{-\frac{\pi}{4n}} \\ \hline \dots \\ X^{\frac{\pi}{4n}} \\ | \end{array} X^{-\frac{\pi}{4n}}, \quad (104a)$$


$$W_e^{(-2m)} = -X^{-\frac{\pi}{4\sqrt{nm}}} \begin{array}{c} | \\ X^{-\frac{\pi}{4\sqrt{nm}}} \\ \hline \dots \\ X^{-\frac{\pi}{4\sqrt{nm}}} \\ | \end{array} Z_e^{\sqrt{\frac{n}{m}}} \begin{array}{c} | \\ X^{\frac{\pi}{4\sqrt{nm}}} \\ \hline \dots \\ X^{\frac{\pi}{4\sqrt{nm}}} \\ | \end{array} X^{\frac{\pi}{4\sqrt{nm}}}, \quad (104b)$$


Up to rescaling by a factor of 2, we recover the operators from Eqs. (9a) and (9b) for $(n, m) = (1, 2)$. In fact, the operators from Eq. (104) generate the full algebra of operators that act within the condensed subspace, i.e. the space in which all operators in $\mathcal{B}(n, m)$ act as the identity. Physically, this corresponds to the limit in which a modified Hamiltonian,

$$H'(n, m) = - \sum_{v \in V} (S_v([1, 0]) + S_v([0, 1])) - J \sum_{e \in E} \left(C_e(2\pi, n) + C_e \left(-2\pi \sqrt{\frac{m}{n}}, \sqrt{nm} \right) \right) + \text{h.c.}, \quad (105)$$

is considered in the $J \rightarrow \infty$ limit. In that limit the algebra of operators factorizes into a chiral subalgebra and an antichiral subalgebra that are mutual commutants. Both chiral and antichiral subalgebras are locally generated.

Extending the space to states on which the condensation terms, elements in \mathcal{B} , can act nontrivially, leads to additional excitations. For example, non-integer powers of the operators defined in Eq. (104) create additional excitations that are confined. These excitations are confined in the sense that states obtained by acting on the groundspace with a product of the above operators along a path has an energy cost that is extensive in the path length as the operators do not commute with the condensation terms along the path. In this way we can create eigenstates of $H(n, m)$ with arbitrarily small energy, showing that the spectrum is indeed gapless. In contrast to the single-condensed model discussed in Section 4.3, in the present model all topological excitations are gapped and the associated logical ground space is topologically protected, implying a code distance that is extensive in the linear size of the system.

We expect that similar arguments to those presented in Section 2.3 can be used to show that adding a quadratic perturbation to H opens a gap above the ground space without changing the ground space itself.

4.5 $U(1) \times U(1)$ even Chern-Simons theories

We consider the condensation of a bosonic subgroup defined by a pair of non-zero integers $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ and an arbitrary integer $n' \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\mathcal{B} = \left\langle (2\pi, n_1), \left(\frac{2\pi n_2}{c_2}, c_2 \right) \right\rangle, \quad (106)$$

where we have introduced $c_2 = n' + \sqrt{n'^2 - n_1 n_2}$. In order for this to label a valid \mathbb{R} -charge the following must hold $n'^2 > n_1 n_2$. Additionally, the integers n_1, n_2, n' should be chosen such that \mathcal{B} is a lattice of dimension 2.¹⁵ After condensation the set of deconfined excitations correspond to solutions of the equations

$$b\left((2\pi, n_1), (x, y)\right) = b\left(\left(\frac{2\pi n_2}{c_2}, c_2\right), (x, y)\right) = 0. \quad (107)$$

Building on the solution to the first equation, derived in Section 4.3, we find the deconfined excitations to be

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}} = \{[k_1, k_2] \mid k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}\} \simeq \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}, \quad (108)$$

where we have introduced the shorthand notation

$$[k_1, k_2] := \left(\frac{\pi(k_2 - k_1 n_2 / c_2)}{n' - n_1 n_2 / c_2}, \frac{k_1(2n' - n_1 n_2 / c_2) - k_2 n_1}{2(n' - n_1 n_2 / c_2)} \right). \quad (109)$$

By expressing the generators of \mathcal{B} in terms of $[k_1, k_2]$ we find that the fusion group describing the inequivalent topological excitations in the condensate is given by the quotient

$$\mathbb{Z}^{\times 2} / \langle [2n_1, 2n'], [2n', 2n_2] \rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}_a \times \mathbb{Z}_b, \quad (110)$$

where $a, b \in 2\mathbb{Z}$ are positive even integers such that $ab = 4(n'^2 - n_1 n_2)$. The explicit values of a and b depend on number-theoretic properties of n_1, n_2, n' . This structure of the topological excitations agrees with the anyon theory of a $U(1) \times U(1)$ Chern-Simons theory with K -matrix

$$K_{n_1, n_2, n'} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} n_1 & n' \\ n' & n_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (111)$$

which corresponds to an arbitrary non-chiral Chern-Simons theory with an even K -matrix. The braiding of excitations in the condensed phase, written in the $[k_1, k_2]$ basis, is

$$b([k_1, k_2], [k'_1, k'_2]) = \frac{\pi}{2(n' - n_1 n_2 / c_2)^2} \left(k_1 k'_1 \frac{n_1 n_2^2 / c_2 - 2n' n_2}{c_2} - k_2 k'_2 n_1 \right. \\ \left. - k_1 k'_2 \frac{n_1 n_2}{c_2} + k_2 k'_1 \left(2n' - \frac{n_1 n_2}{c_2} \right) \right). \quad (112)$$

¹⁵There are choices of n_2, n' such that $(2\pi n_2 / c_2, c_2) \in \langle (2\pi, n_1) \rangle$ or vice versa. In that case, \mathcal{B} would only need a single generator and hence correspond to a single condensation.

4.5.1 Lattice implementation

Consider the group of hopping terms associated to B ,

$$\mathcal{C} = \left\langle \left\{ C_e(2\pi, n_1), C_e\left(\frac{2\pi n_2}{c_2}, c_2\right) \right\}_{e \in E} \right\rangle. \quad (113)$$

It is easily verified that the above is a commuting subgroup of displacement operators. We construct the topological stabilizer group by taking the centralizer over $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{R}}$,

$$C_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathcal{C}) = \langle \{S_v([k_1, k_2]) \mid k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}\}_{v \in V} \rangle, \quad (114)$$

where we have used the shorthand notation for the labels of the deconfined excitations $[k_1, k_2]$ from Eq. (109). Taken together, we can model the condensed phase with the topological code defined by the stabilizer group

$$\mathcal{S} = \langle C_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathbb{R}}}(\mathcal{C}), \mathcal{C} \rangle \quad (115)$$

$$= \left\langle \left\{ S_v([k_1, k_2]) \mid k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}_{v \in V}, \right. \\ \left. \left\{ C_e(2\pi, n_1), C_e\left(\frac{2\pi n_2}{c_2}, c_2\right) \mid n, m \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}_{e \in E} \right\rangle. \quad (116)$$

Note that the set of generators above is over-complete. In particular, there exist products of C_e terms on edges along (cellular) coboundaries that multiply to certain S_v operators. A minimal set of generators is obtained by only including $S_v([k_1, k_2])$ terms corresponding to a minimal set of representatives of the cosets of inequivalent topological excitations A_B/B .

The commutation relations of the condensation terms C_e that are part of the stabilizer group imply that the logical operators and their commutation relations are given exactly by the set of inequivalent excitations of the condensate and their braiding. For a detailed description of the commutation relations of C_e operators with the logical string operators we refer to App. A.

Following the examples above, we define a Hamiltonian

$$H(n_1, n_2, n') = - \sum_{v \in V} (S_v([1, 0]) + S_v([0, 1])) \\ - \sum_{e \in E} \left(C_e(2\pi, n_1) + C_e\left(\frac{2\pi n_2}{c_2}, c_2\right) \right) + \text{h.c.}, \quad (117)$$

where v runs over all vertices and e over all edges. Since the Hamiltonian terms generate the topological stabilizer group \mathcal{S} the ground space coincides with the relevant code space. The ground state degeneracy on a torus coincides with the number of anyons, $4(n'^2 - n_1 n_2)$. All deconfined excitations are gapped and are associated to states that violate S_v terms in the Hamiltonian but are stabilized by C_e terms. Operators that violate these terms create additional confined, gapless, excitations.

Following similar arguments to those presented in Section 2.3, we expect that a quadratic perturbation to H is sufficient to open a constant energy gap.

5 Conclusion and discussion

In this work, we have used boson condensation to construct a rich set of topological stabilizer codes on continuous variables, starting from a lattice model for a two-dimensional \mathbb{R} gauge

theory. By condensing pure fluxes and charges in the \mathbb{R} gauge theory, we recover existing families of topological codes on CVs, such as homological rotor codes [35] and codes obtained by concatenating qudit topological codes with (single-CV) GKP codes [32]. By considering a suitable set of bosonic hopping operators on the lattice, we construct code families that go beyond these existing examples in the literature. In particular, we find families of topological CV stabilizer codes that support anyon theories that do not admit gapped boundaries. This implies that at least one of the following is true:

1. There exist topological stabilizer codes on CVs, including our $K_{2,-4}$ example, that cannot be realized via concatenating a qudit stabilizer code with a local qudit-into-CV encoding.
2. There exist topological stabilizer codes on qudits that do not admit gapped boundary conditions.

The codes we have constructed in this work reveal unexplored possibilities for CV codes and motivate further investigations into many-body CV systems, more generally. Regarding the classification of CV stabilizer codes, there are a number of open questions raised by our work. First, is it possible to prove that all qudit stabilizer codes can be described by anyon theories that admit a gapped boundary? A positive answer to this question would be enough to prove that our codes are beyond concatenated qudit codes. Second, which anyon theories can be realized by boson condensation in multiple layers of \mathbb{R} gauge theory? Here, we have focused on topological codes built from a single layer, but one could consider condensing composite excitations between layers. Third, are there other classes of topological CV codes that are intrinsic to CV systems, i.e., that are beyond concatenating small CV codes with a qudit code?

We have furthermore derived local Hamiltonians from the topological codes. Importantly, we have shown that a gap can be opened in these models by adding quadratic perturbations, which naturally arise in physical realizations of the CV systems. From the perspective of QEC codes, these perturbations can be thought of as imposing a soft cutoff on the ground state wave functions, so that the code states become normalizable. It is then an interesting problem to understand the ground state wave functions of the perturbed models as approximate code states with finite energy and squeezing. More generally, it would be valuable to explore how the models proposed in this work can be implemented in an experimental platform, such as superconducting qubits.

Beyond QEC codes, this work provides controllably-solvable, and physically reasonable models that exhibit the anyons of a $U(1)_{2n}$ CS theory. Given that these CS theories are chiral or can be stacked to give models with ungappable boundaries, it is interesting to ask: what boundary theories can be constructed for these Hamiltonians? One might expect to be able to explicitly identify the characteristic low-energy edge modes. Further, can the bulk topological order of our models be diagnosed using standard methods – for example, what is the topological entanglement entropy of the ground states? In connection to lattice gauge theories, in upcoming work [55], we elaborate on the relations between the Hamiltonians in this work and previous constructions of CS theories on a lattice, e.g., Refs. [57–61]. Moving beyond topological order, it may be interesting to study whether similar techniques can be used to produce stable, gapped models for fractons in two dimensions [62–65]. We are looking forward to exploring these questions in future works.

Finally, a key component of our construction is a generalization of the invertible subalgebras introduced in Ref. [47]. For systems of prime-dimensional qudits, in particular, there is already an established relation between invertible subalgebras and the classification of nontrivial quantum cellular automata (QCAs) [47, 66]. However, a fundamental assumption in the conjectured classification of three-dimensional QCAs is that there does not exist a commuting projector Hamiltonian for anyon theories with ungappable boundaries [67–69]. Given that our construction yields two-dimensional stabilizer models with anyon theories that do not have gapped boundaries, it is natural to ask if their existence has implications for QCAs on CV systems. In particular, do nontrivial QCAs associated to non-chiral anyon theories with ungappable boundaries become trivial as QCAs on CVs?

Acknowledgements – We are grateful to Victor Albert for inspiring discussions about continuous variable stabilizer codes during the early stages of this work. TDE and MC thank Jing-Yuan Chen for valuable comments on connections to the lattice gauge theory literature. DJW thanks Guanyu Zhu and Vladimir Calvera for useful discussions about related ideas. JM wants to thank J. Conrad for insights into the theory of GKP codes. We thank Joseph Iosue and Bowen Yang for discussing their unpublished results. Part of this work was done during the TOPO 2023 workshop. JM is supported by the DFG (CRC 183). Research at Perimeter Institute is supported in part by the Government of Canada through the Department of Innovation, Science and Economic Development and by the Province of Ontario through the Ministry of Colleges and Universities. Part of this work was done while DJW was visiting the Simons Institute for the Theory of Computing. DJW was supported in part by the Australian Research Council Discovery Early Career Research Award (DE220100625). MC is supported by NSF grant DMR-2424315.

A The algebra of open composite string operators

In this appendix, we characterize the algebra generated by short string operators that we use to construct lattice models involving the condensation of a flux-charge composite.

A.1 A choice of composite short string operators

To each edge of a square lattice, we associate the $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ -labelled operators $\{W_e(\varphi, c) \mid \varphi, c \in \mathbb{R}\}$, where

$$W_e(\varphi, c) = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} \text{---} Z^{\frac{c}{2}} \text{---} & & \text{---} Z^{\frac{c}{2}} \text{---} \\ | & \cdots X_e^\varphi \cdots & | \\ \text{---} Z^{-\frac{c}{2}} \text{---} & & \text{---} Z^{-\frac{c}{2}} \text{---} \end{array} \right], \quad (118)$$

We consider it as a subalgebra of the algebra $\mathbb{L}(\mathcal{H})$, linear operators on \mathcal{H} , and build it out of the algebras $\mathcal{W}_e = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}(W_e(\varphi, c) \mid \varphi, c \in \mathbb{R})$ that we associate to each edge.

We first note that for a fixed edge $W_e : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}(\mathcal{H})$ defines a unitary representation of $\mathbb{R}^{\times 2}$ since

$$W_e(\varphi, c)W_e(\varphi', c') = W_e(\varphi + \varphi', c + c'), \quad (119)$$

holds for all $(\varphi, c), (\varphi', c') \in \mathbb{R}^{\times 2}$. We can hence think of \mathcal{W}_e as the group algebra “ $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{R}^{\times 2}]$ ”. Since it is a subalgebra of $\mathbb{L}(\mathcal{H})$ it is an associative, unital, $*$ -algebra. Here the $*$ operation is given by \dagger . Moreover, the fact that the above operators form a linear representation of $\mathbb{R}^{\times 2}$ under addition implies that the algebra is commutative.

We interpret \mathcal{W}_e as a commutative, graded algebra¹⁶

$$\mathcal{W}_e = \bigoplus_{(\varphi, c) \in \mathbb{R}} V_{(\varphi, c)}^e, \quad (120)$$

where $V_{(\varphi, c)}^e = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}(W_e(\varphi, c)) \simeq \mathbb{C}$.

The algebra of all string operators is generated by the algebras associated to each edge and can be thought of loosely as the tensor product algebra

$$\mathcal{W} = \bigotimes_{e \in E} \mathcal{W}_e = \bigotimes_{e \in E} \bigoplus_{\varphi, c \in \mathbb{R}} V_{(\varphi, c)}^e, \quad (121)$$

where the tensor product corresponds to the multiplication of operators in $\mathbb{L}(\mathcal{H})$. Note that $\{V_{(\varphi, c)}^e\}_{(\varphi, c), e}$ are not simple (irreducible subspaces) in that algebra but merely one decomposition on which we can describe the algebra.

¹⁶We use the direct sum symbol loosely here, as the index set is not discrete and not compact. For our purposes, however, it makes sense as elements in the algebra that correspond to bounded operators in $\mathbb{L}(\mathcal{H})$ can be expressed as integrals or infinite sums of displacement operators with coefficients that obey a physicality condition, i.e. they fall off sufficiently quickly for large φ, a . Note that we have not defined a norm on the above algebra in this work.

A.1.1 String operators form an operator basis

We now check that any element in $\mathbb{L}(\mathcal{H})$ can be represented by an element in \mathcal{W} and vice versa, any element in \mathcal{W} is a linear operator on \mathcal{H} by construction. This implies that they are the same algebra, $\mathbb{L}(\mathcal{H}) = \mathcal{W}$. To see this, we show that \mathcal{W} contains a complete basis of $\mathbb{L}(\mathcal{H})$, namely the single-edge displacement operators. single-CV displacement operators can be written as a product of X^φ and Z^c operators defined in Eq. (2), for $\varphi, c \in \mathbb{R}$ and a complex phase. We now identify these operators, on an arbitrary edge e , with elements in \mathcal{W} . The X -like displacements are easily generated. Namely, for each edge,

$$W_e(\varphi, 0) = X_e^\varphi. \quad (122)$$

To identify the Z -like displacements as elements in \mathcal{W} , we have to combine the generators $W_e(\varphi, c)$ in a nontrivial way since $W(0, c)$ acts nontrivially on 6 CVs. First, we note that taking an appropriate product of $W_e(\pm\varphi, \pm c)$, on edges surrounding a vertex v , we obtain trivial-loop operators $S_v(\varphi, c)$ defined in Eq. (82). These operators, in fact, define a commutative subalgebra of \mathcal{W} that is described in more detail in Appendix A.3. Setting $\varphi = 0$, the resulting operator only acts on CVs around a single plaquette, specifically, the plaquette to the north-west of vertex v . We can hence multiply $W_e(0, c)$ by $S_v(0, c/2)$ for a neighboring vertex v and obtain,

$$W_e(0, c)S_v(0, c/2) = Z_{e'}(c). \quad (123)$$

Since we can perform this mapping for any edge e' , we find that all displacement operators are contained in \mathcal{W} and with that $\mathcal{W} = \mathbb{L}(\mathcal{H})$.

Having established that \mathcal{W} is the same algebra as the full algebra generated by single-CV displacements, we continue our discussion of \mathcal{W} . Since the objects in \mathcal{W}_e and $\mathcal{W}_{e'}$ can have nontrivial overlap (as operators on $\mathbb{L}(\mathcal{H})$) they may not commute. This defines a braiding in terms of the basis,

$$W_e(\varphi, c)W_{e'}(\varphi', c') = \psi_{e, e'}((\varphi, c), (\varphi', c'))W_{e'}(\varphi', c')W_e(\varphi, c), \quad (124)$$

where $\psi \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^{\times 2}, \text{U}(1)^{E \times E})$, i.e. $\psi : \mathbb{R}^{\times 2} \times \mathbb{R}^{\times 2} \rightarrow \text{Hom}(E \times E, \text{U}(1)) \simeq \text{U}(1)^{E \times E}$. Since W_e forms a unitary representation of $\mathbb{R}^{\times 2}$ on each edge individually, it follows that $\forall g, h \in \mathbb{R}^{\times 2}$

$$\psi_{e, e'}(g, h) = \psi_{e', e}(-h, -g)^* \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_{e, e'}(g, h)\psi_{e, e'}(g', h) = \psi_{e, e'}(g + g', h), \quad (125)$$

where \pm denotes the addition (subtraction) in $\mathbb{R}^{\times 2}$ and $*$ denotes complex conjugation. From these two properties we can infer that the second line holds analogously for the second argument. As such, ψ is given by a bilinear form on $\mathbb{R}^{\times 2}$.¹⁷

Additionally, there is a *gauge transformation* on ψ . Given $\beta : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \text{U}(1)^E$, we can transform $W_e(\varphi, c) \mapsto \beta_e(\varphi, c)W_e(\varphi, c)$ and get an equivalent algebra as it only redefines the phases attached to short string operators. Effectively, this transforms ψ as

$$\psi^{e, e'}((\varphi, c), (\varphi', c')) \mapsto \beta^e(\varphi, c)\beta^{e'}(\varphi', c')\psi^{e, e'}((\varphi, c), (\varphi', c')). \quad (126)$$

¹⁷In fact, it is the symplectic form on the vector space spanned by the displacement operators modulo phases, in the basis defined by W_e .

In the following, we explicitly calculate ψ from the commutation relations of $W_e(\varphi, c)$ and $W_{e'}(\varphi', c')$ and find that it is connected to the exchange statistics of the charges and fluxes in the \mathbb{R} gauge theory. First, we observe that

$$\psi_{e,e} \equiv 1, \quad \psi_{e,e'}((0,0), (\varphi, c)) = 1, \quad \forall(\varphi, c) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_{e,e'} = (\psi^{e',e})^*. \quad (127)$$

Moreover, we find that $\psi_{e,e'} \equiv 1$ for all pairs of edges except these cases

$$\psi_{e,e'}((\varphi, c), (\varphi', c')) = \begin{cases} e^{i(\varphi c' + \varphi' c)/2} & \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline & e' \\ \hline e & \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline e' & \\ \hline & e \\ \hline \end{array} \\ e^{-i(\varphi c' + \varphi' c)/2} & \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline & e' \\ \hline & e \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline e' & \\ \hline e' & \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline e' & \\ \hline e & \\ \hline \end{array}, \begin{array}{|c|c|} \hline & \\ \hline e & e' \\ \hline \end{array} \end{cases}. \quad (128)$$

Note that $\psi_{e,e'}$ is uniquely defined by a bilinear form $b((\varphi, c), (\varphi', c')) = (\varphi c' + \varphi' c)/2$.¹⁸ From now on, we refer to ψ informally as the braiding of \mathcal{W} . We find that the braiding fully characterizes the algebra. For example, a T-junction calculation [44, 49] shows that the *topological spin* of the anyons that are created and moved by elements in \mathcal{W} is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \theta((\varphi, c)) &= \psi_{e,e'}((\varphi, c), (-\varphi, -c)) \psi_{e,e''}((\varphi, c), (-\varphi, -c)) \psi_{e',e''}((-\varphi, -c), (-\varphi, -c)) \\ &= e^{i\varphi c}. \end{aligned} \quad (129)$$

A.2 Dictionary to cellular homology

The operators $W_e(\varphi, c)$ are naturally associated to 1-cochains with $\mathbb{R}^{\times 2}$ coefficients on the cellulation Δ on which the model is defined (a cell complex with 0-chains V , 1-chains E and 2-chains P). Note that throughout this paper we work on a square lattice.

We first construct a chain complex of real vector spaces from Δ and $\mathbb{R}^{\times 2}$. Namely, we define $C(\Delta, \mathbb{R}^{\times 2})$ to be the chain complex with the i -chains being vectors in

$$C_i = \text{span}_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}}(\Delta_i). \quad (130)$$

Since Δ is equipped with an orientation, we impose that orientation reversal in the complex corresponds to inverting in $\mathbb{R}^{\times 2}$, i.e.

$$\alpha c^{-1} = -\alpha c, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^{\times 2}, c \in \Delta_i. \quad (131)$$

The simplicial complex is equipped with a notion of boundary obtained by mapping each i -cell to a formal sum over $(i-1)$ -cells that have a certain overlap with the original i -cell, taking orientations into account. In our case, we can interpret this boundary as summing over the $(i-1)$ -cells in the geometric boundary of a given i -cell, taking the orientation inherited from the i -cell into account. For example, the boundary of a 2-cell can be interpreted as summing over the surrounding edges in counterclockwise orientation. For a more thorough treatment,

¹⁸Bilinearity can be checked straight forwardly,

$$\begin{aligned} b((\varphi, c) + (\varphi', c'), (\vartheta, b)) &= \frac{1}{2}((\varphi + \varphi')b + \vartheta(c + c')) = \frac{1}{2}(\varphi b + \vartheta c) + \frac{1}{2}(\varphi' b + \vartheta c') \\ &= b((\varphi, c), (\vartheta, b)) + b((\varphi', c'), (\vartheta, b)), \end{aligned}$$

which holds similarly in the second argument.

we refer to Ref. [70]. Explicitly, we obtain a chain complex by defining the boundary operator $\partial_i : C_i \rightarrow C_{i-1}$, $v_i \mapsto \sum_{s \in \partial v_i} s$ where $v_i \in \Delta_i$, and extending it linearly, i.e.

$$\partial_i(\alpha v + \beta w) = \alpha \partial_i(v) + \beta \partial_i(w), \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^{\times 2}, v, w \in \Delta_i. \quad (132)$$

Below, we drop the subscript i if it is clear from context what chains ∂ it acts on. From this definition (with orientation dependend \pm signs included) it is clear that $\partial_{i-1} \circ \partial_i = 0$. Elements $\ker(\partial_i)$ are called *i-cycles* and the group of all i-cycles is denoted by $Z_i(\Delta, \mathbb{R}^{\times 2})$. Elements in $\text{Im}(\partial_{i+1})$ are *i-boundaries* and the group of all i-boundaries is denoted by $B_i(\Delta, \mathbb{R}^{\times 2})$. The homology groups of the complex are

$$H_i(\Delta, \mathbb{R}^{\times 2}) = Z_i(\Delta, \mathbb{R}^{\times 2}) / B_i(\Delta, \mathbb{R}^{\times 2}). \quad (133)$$

In the construction above we can take the dual complex to obtain cochains, cocycles, coboundaries, and cohomology groups. Since we only consider finite cellulations, each C_i is a finite-dimensional vector space and ∂ is represented by a matrix. The coboundary operator δ in this case is ∂^T and represents homology on the Poincaré dual cellulation. Since we have a finite-length complex, derived from a finite-dimensional manifold, i.e. $C_j = 0$ for $j \neq 0, 1, 2$ there is a natural identification $C_0 \simeq C^2$, $C_1 \simeq C^1$ and $C_2 \simeq C^0$ as vector spaces. In the following it is useful to consider cochains.

From the perspective introduced above one might be inclined to interpret the collection of W_e as defining a map from 1-cochains $C^1(\Delta, \mathbb{R}^{\times 2})$ to $\mathbb{L}(\mathcal{H})$. In order for this map to be well-defined, however, we would need to specify an order of multiplication since the operators in the image of W_e and $W_{e'}$ do not necessarily commute in $\mathbb{L}(\mathcal{H})$, but the addition in $C^1(\Delta, \mathbb{R}^{\times 2})$ is commutative. This can be solved by, for example, defining an arbitrary global ordering on Δ^1 . Since this is not necessary to capture the essential phenomena, we take a different approach. Namely, we do not aim to map onto individual operators in $\mathbb{L}(\mathcal{H})$ but into subspaces spanned by operators in the set of all linear subspaces of $\mathbb{L}(\mathcal{H})$, which we denote by $\mathbf{Gr}(\mathbb{L}(\mathcal{H}))$.¹⁹ Specifically, as the operators we consider are displacements and commute only up to a phase, the spaces spanned by a given product of displacement operators are independent of the order of multiplication.

More formally, we define the map $\Xi : C^1(\Delta, \mathbb{R}^{\times 2}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Gr}(\mathbb{L}(\mathcal{H}))$,

$$c = \sum_e \alpha_e e \mapsto \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\left(\prod_e W_e(\alpha_e)\right), \quad (135)$$

Note that the order of multiplication does not matter for the mapping. Equivalently, this map can be thought of as mapping cochains onto the space spanned by displacement operators modulo phases, a symplectic vector space.

We find that we can extend ψ from Eq. (124) onto the image of Ξ . This defines the algebra in terms of a natural basis, whose elements fail to commute only up to a phase.

In the following, we describe subalgebras in $\mathbb{L}(\mathcal{H})$ as images of subgroups of $C^1(\Delta, \mathbb{R}^{\times 2})$ under Ξ .

¹⁹We choose this notation since the set of all subspaces of a finite D -dimensional vector space V can be viewed as the union of all subspaces of fixed dimensions $0, 1, \dots, D$ which are commonly called *Grassmannian* and denoted by $\mathbf{Gr}(k, D)$, where k is the dimension of the subspaces considered. We can write

$$\mathbf{Gr}(V) = \bigcup_{k=1, \dots, D} \mathbf{Gr}(k, D). \quad (134)$$

A.3 Algebra of coboundaries – \mathbb{R} gauge theory

Consider the subgroup of all 1-coboundaries $B^1(\Delta, \mathbb{R}^{\times 2}) = \text{Im}(\delta_0)$. We define a space of operators

$$\mathcal{S} = \text{Im}(\Xi|_{B^1(\Delta, \mathbb{R}^{\times 2})}). \quad (136)$$

For example, for a vertex $v \in \Delta_0$, there is a 1D-subgroup in $B^1(\Delta, \mathbb{R}^{\times 2})$ of the form $\alpha_v v$ for $\alpha_v \in \mathbb{R}^{\times 2}$. The image of this subgroup under Ξ is the one-dimensional space $\mathcal{S}_v(\varphi, c)$ spanned by $S_v(\varphi, c)$, defined in Eq. (82). Since we included a subgroup of $C^1(\Delta, \mathbb{R}^{\times 2})$ we find that \mathcal{S} is a subalgebra of $\mathbb{L}(\mathcal{H})$, i.e. closed under multiplication. It is spanned by all possible products of operators $S_v(\varphi, c)$ for all values of φ, c and vertices v . We find that two such operators commute

$$[S_v(\varphi, c), S_{v'}(\varphi', c')]_G = \mathbb{1}, \quad \forall v, v' \in V, \varphi, \varphi', c, c' \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (137)$$

showing that \mathcal{S} is commutative. As a shorthand we write $\psi|_{\mathcal{S}} = 1_{\mathcal{S}}$. Hence, we refer to \mathcal{S} as a stabilizer algebra and the unitaries $\{S_v(\varphi, c)\}_{(\varphi, c), v}$ as stabilizers.

More concretely, any element in \mathcal{S} can be written as

$$S(\mathbf{c}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{\times 2}} d(\varphi, c) \prod_v \mathbf{c}_v(\varphi, c) S_v(\varphi, c), \quad (138)$$

where $c : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^V$ encode the coefficients²⁰ in front of the stabilizer $S_v(\varphi, c)$.

We now consider the commutant of \mathcal{S} , over \mathcal{W} ,

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{S}) = \{w \in \mathcal{W} \mid ws = sw \forall s \in \mathcal{S}\}. \quad (139)$$

We find that any open strings, i.e. operators in the image of $\Xi|_{C^1(\Delta, \mathbb{R}^{\times 2}) - Z^1(\Delta, \mathbb{R}^{\times 2})}$ do not commute with \mathcal{S} . At the same time, the algebra associated to cocycles commute with \mathcal{S} . Trivial loops are elements of \mathcal{S} , and hence commute with \mathcal{S} as shown above. Products along nontrivial cycles also commute with \mathcal{S} , as we now show explicitly. Let $\gamma \in Z^1(\Delta, \mathbb{R}^{\times 2})$ be a 1-cocycle and let $W_\gamma(\varphi, a)$ denote an operator in the one-dimensional subspace $\Xi(\gamma)$. We find

$$[S_v(\varphi, c), W_\gamma]_G = \mathbb{1}, \quad \forall v \in V, \gamma \in Z^1(\Delta) \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi, \varphi', c, c' \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (140)$$

This can be checked by picking a basis of $Z^1(\Delta, \mathbb{R}^{\times 2})$ and explicitly computing the commutation relation. Note that any $w \in \mathcal{W}$ can be written as a sum of terms that only involve products over cocycles (cochains with trivial coboundary) and a sum of terms that only involve products over cochains with nontrivial coboundary. Importantly, the subspace spanned by products along cocycles is closed under multiplication. Hence, we have found all elements of \mathcal{W} in the commutant and we can write

$$\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{S}) = \text{Im}(\Xi|_{Z^1(\Delta, \mathbb{R}^{\times 2})}). \quad (141)$$

We can separate the (co)homology classes and find that within each homology class, the spaces $\Xi(\gamma)$ and $\Xi(\gamma')$ for two cohomological γ, γ' differ by multiplication of an element in \mathcal{S} .

²⁰We do not make any assumptions on \mathbf{c} here. This allows unbounded operators and unphysical density matrices in \mathcal{S} . However, we can still make formal calculations in that algebra given the structure as described above.

Since \mathcal{S} is central in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{S})$ the commutation of operators in $\Xi(\gamma)$ and $\Xi(\gamma')$ within $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{S})$ only depend on the cohomology class $[\gamma] = [\gamma']$. More concretely, take two one-dimensional subspaces $\Xi(\gamma)$ and $\Xi(\eta)$ assigned to two cocycles $\gamma, \eta \in Z^1(\Delta, \mathbb{R}^{\times 2})$. Let $O_\gamma \in \Xi(\gamma)$ and $O_\eta \in \Xi(\eta)$. We can evaluate ψ on these γ and η to obtain a complex phase $\psi(\gamma, \eta)$ such that

$$O_\gamma O_\eta = \psi(\gamma, \eta) O_\eta O_\gamma. \quad (142)$$

What we find is that $\psi|_{Z^1(\Delta, \mathbb{R}^{\times 2}) \times 2}$ only depends on the cohomology class of the arguments.

For example, for a torus $H^1(\Delta_{\mathbb{T}^2}, \mathbb{R}^{\times 2}) = \mathbb{R}^{\times 2} \times \mathbb{R}^{\times 2}$. We can identify a natural basis for this group in which the homology class is defined by two coefficients $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^{\times 2}$, each of which is assigned to one of the two generating cocycle classes.²¹ In this basis, $\psi((\alpha, \beta), (\alpha', \beta')) = e^{i(\alpha_1 \beta'_2 + \alpha_2 \beta'_1 + \alpha'_1 \beta_2 + \alpha'_2 \beta_1)} = e^{i(\alpha_1 \beta'_2 + \alpha_2 \beta'_1)} e^{i(\alpha'_1 \beta_2 + \alpha'_2 \beta_1)}$. The factorization indicates that the logical algebra factorizes over two isomorphic subalgebras that commute with each other. In fact, each of these subfactors admits an $\mathbb{R}^{\times 2}$ -indexed basis $\{D(\varphi, c) \mid \varphi, c \in \mathbb{R}\}$ of operators that fulfill

$$D(\varphi, c)D(\varphi', c') = e^{i(\varphi c' + \varphi' c)} D(\varphi', c')D(\varphi, c). \quad (143)$$

which is the commutation relation of displacement operators. In that sense we find that on a torus, the nontrivial part of the commutant is isomorphic to $\mathbb{L}(L^2(\mathbb{R}))^{\otimes 2}$.

The construction above is a reoccurring theme in this work. We first find a commuting subalgebra of \mathcal{W} , calculate its commutant and identify the equivalence classes up to multiplication of elements in its center (which, by construction, includes the commuting subalgebra that we started with). This helps to decompose the commutant into its center and a potentially infinite product of commuting one-dimensional algebras, and a factor that spans a logical algebra. In the case encountered in this section we found an infinite dimensional algebra. Below, we see how different choices of commuting subalgebras lead to logical algebras of finite dimension, or with a subfactor that is isomorphic to a finite-dimensional matrix algebra.

A.4 Homogeneous subalgebras and conditions on commutativity

We have seen how reducing Ξ onto 1-coboundaries leads to a commuting subalgebra of $\mathcal{W} = \mathbb{L}(\mathcal{H})$. Loosely, we can view this subalgebra \mathcal{S} as being generated by certain products of operators in the image of W_e for e in a 1-coboundary. In particular, this algebra does not decompose as a product over 1-cochains spanned by edges. There are, however, other types of commuting subalgebras that have this structure. To achieve commutativity, we need to restrict to a proper subgroup of $\mathbb{R}^{\times 2}$. We first define a general algebra of that form, for any subgroup, and then give conditions on when such an algebra is commutative for different isomorphism classes of subgroups.

Definition 2 (homogeneous subalgebra) *Let $H \leq \mathbb{R}^{\times 2}$ be a subgroup. For each edge, we define an algebra²²*

$$\mathcal{W}_e|_H = \bigoplus_{(\varphi, c) \in H} V_{(\varphi, c)}^e. \quad (144)$$

²¹We can write each cocycle class as $\alpha[\gamma] + \beta[\gamma']$ where $[\gamma] \neq [\gamma']$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

²²Since H is a non-compact group, and can even be continuous, the direct sum symbol should be interpreted loosely. We use it to refer to a formal vector space whose elements can be expressed as group integrals over operators in $V_{(\varphi, c)}^e$, see the expansion in Eq. (138). Loosely, we can interpret $\mathcal{W}_e|_H$ as $\mathbb{C}[H]$.

We call an algebra of the form

$$\mathcal{W}|_H = \bigotimes_{e \in E} \mathcal{W}_e|_H, \quad (145)$$

a homogeneous subalgebra of the subgroup H .

We can view this construction in terms of restricting Ξ to a subgroup $C^1(\Delta, H) \leq C^1(\Delta, \mathbb{R}^{\times 2})$ and identify $\text{Im}(\Xi|_{C^1(\Delta, H)}) \subseteq \mathcal{W}$ with $\mathcal{W}|_H$. The fact that H forms a group ensures that $\mathcal{W}|_H$ is indeed an algebra.

There are finitely many isomorphism classes of subgroups of $\mathbb{R}^{\times 2}$:

1. $H_0 \simeq \mathbb{Z}_0 = \{0\}$
2. $H_{1,1} \simeq \mathbb{R}$
3. $H_{1,2} \simeq \mathbb{Z}$
4. $H_{2,1} \simeq \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}$
5. $H_{2,2} \simeq \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$
6. $H_3 \simeq \mathbb{R}^{\times 2}$.

The following cases lead to trivial results $\mathcal{W}|_{H_0} \simeq \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathcal{W}|_{H_3} = \mathcal{W}$, and hence we only consider the proper subgroups. In the following, we derive exact conditions for H to define a commuting subalgebra, i.e. for $\psi|_{\mathcal{W}_H} = 1|_{\mathcal{W}_H}$.

- $H_{1,1} = \{\alpha(\varphi_0, c_0) \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{R}\} \simeq \mathbb{R}$: The commutativity condition reads

$$\alpha\beta\varphi_0c_0 = 2\pi k, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}, \forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (146)$$

Since this condition has to hold for all α, β , we obtain that either φ_0 or c_0 have to be 0. This mimics the conditions on H that describe a bosonic continuous subgroup of the topological excitation in \mathbb{R} gauge theory isomorphic to \mathbb{R} , see Eq. (40).

- $H_{1,2} = \{n(\varphi_0, c_0) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \simeq \mathbb{Z}$: In the case of a finitely generated subgroup with a single generator the commutativity relation reduces to

$$nm\varphi_0c_0 = 2\pi k, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}, \forall n, m \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (147)$$

This is fulfilled either for φ_0 or c_0 equal to 0 or, assuming $c_0 \neq 0$, iff

$$\varphi_0 = \frac{2\pi}{c_0}k, \quad (148)$$

for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. This coincides with the condition on H that describes a finitely generated subgroup of bosons in \mathbb{R} gauge theory, see Eq. (41).

- $H_{2,1} = \{n(\varphi_0, c_0) + \alpha(\varphi_1, c_1) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}\} \simeq \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{R}$: If the subgroup admits one finitely generated factor and a continuous one, the commutativity condition reduces to

$$\alpha\beta\varphi_0c_0 + nm\varphi_1c_1 + \frac{\alpha m + \beta n}{2}(\varphi_1c_0 + \varphi_0c_1) = 2\pi k, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (149)$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, and $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Again, since this has to hold for all values of the coefficients, we can deduce that this is equivalent to

$$\varphi_0 c_0 = \varphi_0 c_1 + \varphi_1 c_0 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (150a)$$

$$\varphi_1 c_1 = 2\pi k', \quad k' \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (150b)$$

Again, we recover the same conditions for H as for a subgroup of bosons in the \mathbb{R} gauge theory. The first condition enforces that the continuous part of H is a bosonic subgroup that braids trivially with the generator of the discrete part. The second condition enforces that the discrete part is generated by a boson.

- $H_{2,2} = \{n(\varphi_0, c_0) + m(\varphi_1, c_1) \mid n, m \in \mathbb{Z}\} \simeq \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$: So far, we have seen how the condition of exact commutativity of hopping terms on the lattice force the anyons that they hop to be bosonic, in the sense that the associated topological spin is 1, see Eq. (40). We now encounter the first and only case where this is not so. On the lattice, there is an additional constraint on H coming from the commutativity.

Specifically, for subgroups of the form as $H_{2,2}$ the commutativity condition reads

$$nn'\varphi_0 c_0 + mm'\varphi_1 c_1 + \frac{nm' + n'm}{2}(\varphi_0 c_1 + \varphi_1 c_0) = 2\pi k, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (151)$$

for all $n, n', m, m' \in \mathbb{Z}$. Again we deduce that each factor individually has to be generated by a pair (φ_i, c_i) that fulfills

$$\varphi_i c_i = 2\pi k_i \quad \text{with} \quad k_i \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (152)$$

This agrees with the pair representing a boson in \mathbb{R} gauge theory, see Eq. (41). The cross terms, however, lead to a slightly different condition

$$\varphi_0 c_1 + \varphi_1 c_0 = 4\pi \tilde{k}, \quad \tilde{k} \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (153)$$

This is equivalent to demanding that the mutual monodromy phase of the excitations associated to the generators of $H_{2,2}$ should be an integer power of $e^{4\pi i}$ instead of $e^{2\pi i}$.

The considerations above applied only to homogeneous subalgebras where the local algebras are built from operators $W_e(\varphi, c)$. In general, one can modify Ξ to map to a different local algebra that is graded by $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$. For example, taking single-CV displacements could produce a valid choice. These, however, only lead to homogeneous subalgebras that describe the condensation of pure charges or pure fluxes in the \mathbb{R} gauge theory, see Section 3. This highlights the importance of picking the right set of condensation terms in the lattice model.

B Group structure of the anyons after condensing a composite boson

In this appendix, we prove that after condensing a discrete subgroup of bosons generated by a nontrivial flux-charge composite in \mathbb{R} gauge theory, the set of inequivalent deconfined excitations is of the form described in Eq. (74). We do so by explicitly show that the labeling of cosets

$$\left\{ \left(m \left[\frac{\pi}{nc}, 1 \right] + [\alpha, 0] \right) + B \mid m \in \{0, 1, \dots, 2n-1\}, \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \right\}, \quad (154)$$

defines a group isomorphism

$$\mathbb{Z}_{2n} \times \mathbb{R} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}}/\mathcal{B}. \quad (155)$$

This defines a group homomorphism $\mathbb{Z}_{2n} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}}/\mathcal{B}$.²³ In the following we show that this map is indeed an isomorphism, i.e. it is a bijection.

Surjectivity Let $[\varphi, q] + B \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{B}}/\mathcal{B}$ be an arbitrary coset. We now show that any such coset can be represented by $[m\frac{\pi}{nc} + \alpha, m]$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{2k}, \alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, i.e. we find a $b = [b_1, b_2] \in \mathcal{B}$ such that

$$\left[m\frac{\pi}{kc} + \alpha, m \right] = [\varphi + b_1, q + b_2], \quad (156)$$

for a suitable choice of m, α . A straightforward calculation shows that this is achieved by

$$[b_1, b_2] = \left[\frac{2\pi}{c}\ell, 2n\ell \right], \quad \ell = \frac{m - q}{2n}, \quad (157)$$

with $m = q \pmod{2n}$ and $\alpha = \varphi + 2\pi\ell/c - m\frac{\pi}{nc}$.

Injectivity Consider two cosets $[m\frac{\pi}{nc} + \alpha, m] + \mathcal{B}$ and $[m'\frac{\pi}{nc} + \alpha', m'] + \mathcal{B}$ with $(m, \alpha), (m', \alpha') \in \mathbb{Z}_{2n} \times \mathbb{R}$, i.e. the images under the map above for two elements in $\mathbb{Z}_{2n} \times \mathbb{R}$. These two cosets are the same if and only if $\exists \ell \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$\left[m\frac{\pi}{nc} + \alpha, m \right] = \left[m'\frac{\pi}{nc} + \alpha' + \frac{2\pi}{c}\ell, m' + 2n\ell \right] \quad (158a)$$

$$= \begin{cases} m = m' + 2n\ell. \\ \alpha = \alpha' + \frac{2\pi}{c}\ell. \end{cases} \quad (158b)$$

Since $m, m' \leq 2n$ it follows that $\ell = 0$ and with that $(m, \alpha) = (m', \alpha')$, proving injectivity.

References

- [1] John Preskill. “Quantum Computing in the NISQ era and beyond”. *Quantum* **2**, 79 (2018).
- [2] Peter W. Shor. “Fault-tolerant quantum computation” (1997). [arXiv:quant-ph/9605011](https://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/9605011).
- [3] Eric Dennis, Alexei Kitaev, Andrew Landahl, and John Preskill. “Topological quantum memory”. *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **43**, 4452–4505 (2002).
- [4] Dolev Bluvstein et al. “Logical quantum processor based on reconfigurable atom arrays”. *Nature* **626**, 58–65 (2024).
- [5] Quantinuum and collaborators. “A race-track trapped-ion quantum processor”. *Phys. Rev. X* **13**, 041052 (2023).
- [6] Google Quantum AI and collaborators. “Suppressing quantum errors by scaling a surface code logical qubit”. *Nature* **614**, 676–681 (2023).

²³The group homomorphism property can be seen by noting that the representatives $m[\frac{\pi}{nc}, 1] + [\alpha, 0]$ are closed under multiplication and the m and the α part add independently. Since all groups involved are Abelian it follows that the cosets inherit that group structure.

- [7] Google Quantum AI and collaborators. “Quantum error correction below the surface code threshold” (2024). [arXiv:2408.13687](#).
- [8] Weizhou Cai, Yuwei Ma, Weiting Wang, Chang-Ling Zou, and Luyan Sun. “Bosonic quantum error correction codes in superconducting quantum circuits”. *Fundamental Research* **1**, 50–67 (2021).
- [9] Atharv Joshi, Kyungjoo Noh, and Yvonne Y Gao. “Quantum information processing with bosonic qubits in circuit qed”. *Quantum Science and Technology* **6**, 033001 (2021).
- [10] Wen-Long Ma, Shruti Puri, Robert J. Schoelkopf, Michel H. Devoret, S.M. Girvin, and Liang Jiang. “Quantum control of bosonic modes with superconducting circuits”. *Science Bulletin* **66**, 1789–1805 (2021).
- [11] Michael Foss-Feig, Guido Pagano, Andrew C. Potter, and Norman Y. Yao. “Progress in trapped-ion quantum simulation” (2024). [arXiv:2409.02990](#).
- [12] Luis Ortiz-Gutiérrez, Bruna Gabrielly, Luis F. Muñoz, Kainã T. Pereira, Jefferson G. Filgueiras, and Alessandro S. Villar. “Continuous variables quantum computation over the vibrational modes of a single trapped ion”. *Optics Communications* **397**, 166–174 (2017).
- [13] H. C. J. Gan, Gleb Maslennikov, Ko-Wei Tseng, Chihuan Nguyen, and Dzmitry Matuskevich. “Hybrid quantum computing with conditional beam splitter gate in trapped ion system”. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124**, 170502 (2020).
- [14] Victor V. Albert, Jacob P. Covey, and John Preskill. “Robust encoding of a qubit in a molecule”. *Phys. Rev. X* **10**, 031050 (2020).
- [15] Daniel Gottesman, Alexei Kitaev, and John Preskill. “Encoding a qubit in an oscillator”. *Phys. Rev. A* **64**, 012310 (2001).
- [16] Mazyar Mirrahimi, Zaki Leghtas, Victor V Albert, Steven Touzard, Robert J Schoelkopf, Liang Jiang, and Michel H Devoret. “Dynamically protected cat-qubits: a new paradigm for universal quantum computation”. *New Journal of Physics* **16**, 045014 (2014).
- [17] Marios H. Michael, Matti Silveri, R. T. Brierley, Victor V. Albert, Juha Salmilehto, Liang Jiang, and S. M. Girvin. “New class of quantum error-correcting codes for a bosonic mode”. *Phys. Rev. X* **6**, 031006 (2016).
- [18] Victor V. Albert, Kyungjoo Noh, Kasper Duivenvoorden, Dylan J. Young, R. T. Brierley, Philip Reinhold, Christophe Vuillot, Linshu Li, Chao Shen, S. M. Girvin, Barbara M. Terhal, and Liang Jiang. “Performance and structure of single-mode bosonic codes”. *Phys. Rev. A* **97**, 032346 (2018).
- [19] Arne L. Grimsmo, Joshua Combes, and Ben Q. Baragiola. “Quantum computing with rotation-symmetric bosonic codes”. *Phys. Rev. X* **10**, 011058 (2020).
- [20] V. V. Sivak, A. Eickbusch, B. Royer, S. Singh, I. Tsioutsios, S. Ganjam, A. Miano, B. L. Brock, A. Z. Ding, L. Frunzio, S. M. Girvin, R. J. Schoelkopf, and M. H. Devoret. “Real-time quantum error correction beyond break-even”. *Nature* **616**, 50–55 (2023).
- [21] Baptiste Royer, Shraddha Singh, and S.M. Girvin. “Encoding qubits in multimode grid states”. *PRX Quantum* **3**, 010335 (2022).
- [22] Shubham P. Jain, Joseph T. Iosue, Alexander Barg, and Victor V. Albert. “Quantum spherical codes”. *Nature Physics* **20**, 1300–1305 (2024).
- [23] Aurélie Denys and Anthony Leverrier. “The t-qutrit, a two-mode bosonic qutrit”. *Quantum* **7**, 1032 (2023).
- [24] Jonathan Conrad, Jens Eisert, and Francesco Arzani. “Gottesman-kitaev-preskill codes: A lattice perspective”. *Quantum* **6**, 648 (2022).

- [25] Philippe Raynal, Amir Kalev, Jun Suzuki, and Berthold-Georg Englert. “Encoding many qubits in a rotor”. *Physical Review A* **81** (2010).
- [26] Craig Gidney and Martin Ekerå. “How to factor 2048 bit RSA integers in 8 hours using 20 million noisy qubits”. *Quantum* **5**, 433 (2021).
- [27] A.Yu. Kitaev. “Fault-tolerant quantum computation by anyons”. *Annals of Physics* **303**, 2–30 (2003).
- [28] S. B. Bravyi and A. Yu. Kitaev. “Quantum codes on a lattice with boundary” (1998). [arXiv:quant-ph/9811052](https://arxiv.org/abs/quant-ph/9811052).
- [29] H. Bombin. “Topological order with a twist: Ising anyons from an abelian model”. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 030403 (2010).
- [30] Daniel Litinski. “A Game of Surface Codes: Large-Scale Quantum Computing with Lattice Surgery”. *Quantum* **3**, 128 (2019).
- [31] Austin G. Fowler, Matteo Mariantoni, John M. Martinis, and Andrew N. Cleland. “Surface codes: Towards practical large-scale quantum computation”. *Phys. Rev. A* **86**, 032324 (2012).
- [32] Christophe Vuillot, Hamed Asasi, Yang Wang, Leonid P. Pryadko, and Barbara M. Terhal. “Quantum error correction with the toric gottesman-kitaev-preskill code”. *Phys. Rev. A* **99**, 032344 (2019).
- [33] Julien Niset, Jaromír Fiurášek, and Nicolas J. Cerf. “No-go theorem for gaussian quantum error correction”. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102**, 120501 (2009).
- [34] Lisa Haggli and Robert König. “Oscillator-to-oscillator codes do not have a threshold”. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* **68**, 1068–1084 (2022).
- [35] Christophe Vuillot, Alessandro Ciani, and Barbara M. Terhal. “Homological quantum rotor codes: Logical qubits from torsion” (2023). [arXiv:2303.13723](https://arxiv.org/abs/2303.13723).
- [36] Xiao-Gang Wen. “Quantum Field Theory of Many-Body Systems: From the Origin of Sound to an Origin of Light and Electrons”. *Oxford University Press*. (2007).
- [37] Jennifer Cano, Meng Cheng, Michael Mulligan, Chetan Nayak, Eugeniu Plamadeala, and Jon Yard. “Bulk-edge correspondence in $(2 + 1)$ -dimensional abelian topological phases”. *Phys. Rev. B* **89**, 115116 (2014).
- [38] Yuan-Ming Lu and Ashvin Vishwanath. “Theory and classification of interacting integer topological phases in two dimensions: A chern-simons approach”. *Phys. Rev. B* **86**, 125119 (2012).
- [39] Tyler D. Ellison, Yu-An Chen, Arpit Dua, Wilbur Shirley, Nathanan Tantivasadakarn, and Dominic J. Williamson. “Pauli stabilizer models of twisted quantum doubles”. *PRX Quantum* **3**, 010353 (2022).
- [40] Alexei Davydov, Michael Müger, Dmitri Nikshych, and Victor Ostrik. “The Witt group of non-degenerate braided fusion categories”. *Journal für die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik* **Pages 135–177** (2013). [arXiv:1009.2117](https://arxiv.org/abs/1009.2117).
- [41] Michael Levin. “Protected edge modes without symmetry”. *Phys. Rev. X* **3**, 021009 (2013).
- [42] Maissam Barkeshli, Chao Ming Jian, and Xiao Liang Qi. “Classification of topological defects in Abelian topological states”. *Physical Review B - Condensed Matter and Materials Physics* **88**, 241103 (2013). [arXiv:1304.7579](https://arxiv.org/abs/1304.7579).
- [43] F.J. Burnell. “Anyon condensation and its applications”. *Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics* **9**, 307–327 (2018).

- [44] Tyler D. Ellison, Yu-An Chen, Arpit Dua, Wilbur Shirley, Nathanan Tantivasadakarn, and Dominic J. Williamson. “Pauli topological subsystem codes from abelian anyon theories” (2022) [arXiv:2211.03798](#).
- [45] Arpit Dua, Nathanan Tantivasadakarn, Joseph Sullivan, and Tyler D. Ellison. “Engineering 3d floquet codes by rewinding”. *PRX Quantum* **5**, 020305 (2024).
- [46] Markus S. Kesselring, Julio C. Magdalena de la Fuente, Felix Thomsen, Jens Eisert, Stephen D. Bartlett, and Benjamin J. Brown. “Anyon condensation and the color code”. *PRX Quantum* **5**, 010342 (2024).
- [47] Jeongwan Haah. “Invertible subalgebras”. *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **403**, 661–698 (2023).
- [48] Yijia Xu, Yixu Wang, Christophe Vuillot, and Victor V. Albert. (In preparation).
- [49] Michael Levin and Xiao-Gang Wen. “Fermions, strings, and gauge fields in lattice spin models”. *Phys. Rev. B* **67**, 245316 (2003).
- [50] Jeongwan Haah. “Classification of translation invariant topological pauli stabilizer codes for prime dimensional qudits on two-dimensional lattices”. *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **62**, 012201 (2021).
- [51] Sriram Ganeshan and Michael Levin. “Formalism for the solution of quadratic hamiltonians with large cosine terms”. *Phys. Rev. B* **93**, 075118 (2016).
- [52] H. Bombin, Guillaume Duclos-Cianci, and David Poulin. “Universal topological phase of two-dimensional stabilizer codes”. *New Journal of Physics* **14**, 73048 (2012). [arXiv:1103.4606](#).
- [53] Victor V Albert, Saverio Pascazio, and Michel H Devoret. “General phase spaces: from discrete variables to rotor and continuum limits”. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* **50**, 504002 (2017).
- [54] Liang Wang and Zhenghan Wang. “In and around abelian anyon models *”. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* **53**, 505203 (2020).
- [55] Jing-Yuan Chen, Meng Cheng, Tyler D. Ellison, Julio C. Magdalena de la Fuente, and Dominic J. Williamson. (In preparation).
- [56] Yijia Xu, Yixu Wang, and Victor V. Albert. “Clifford operations and homological codes for rotors and oscillators” (2024). [arXiv:2311.07679](#).
- [57] D. Eliezer and G.W. Semenoff. “Intersection forms and the geometry of lattice chern-simons theory”. *Physics Letters B* **286**, 118–124 (1992).
- [58] D Eliezer and G.W Semenoff. “Anyonization of lattice chern-simons theory”. *Annals of Physics* **217**, 66–104 (1992).
- [59] Kai Sun, Krishna Kumar, and Eduardo Fradkin. “Discretized abelian chern-simons gauge theory on arbitrary graphs”. *Phys. Rev. B* **92**, 115148 (2015).
- [60] Theodore Jacobson and Tin Sulejmanpasic. “Modified Villain formulation of Abelian Chern-Simons theory”. *Phys. Rev. D* **107**, 125017 (2023). [arXiv:2303.06160](#).
- [61] Theodore Jacobson and Tin Sulejmanpasic. “Canonical quantization of lattice chern-simons theory” (2024). [arXiv:2401.09597](#).
- [62] Michael Pretko. “Higher-spin Witten effect and two-dimensional fracton phases”. *Physical Review B* **96**, 125151 (2017). [arXiv:1707.03838](#).
- [63] Abhinav Prem, Michael Pretko, and Rahul M. Nandkishore. “Emergent phases of fractonic matter”. *Physical Review B* **97**, 85116 (2018). [arXiv:1709.09673](#).
- [64] Dominic J. Williamson, Zhen Bi, and Meng Cheng. “Fractonic matter in symmetry-enriched U(1) gauge theory”. *Physical Review B* **100** (2019). [arXiv:1809.10275](#).

- [65] Leo Radzihovsky and Michael Hermele. “Fractons from vector gauge theory”. *Physical Review Letters***124** (2019). [arXiv:1905.06951v2](#).
- [66] Michael Freedman and Matthew B. Hastings. “Classification of quantum cellular automata”. *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **376**, 1171–1222 (2020).
- [67] Jeongwan Haah. “Clifford Quantum Cellular Automata: Trivial group in 2D and Witt group in 3D”. *Journal of Mathematical Physics***62** (2019). [arXiv:1907.02075v5](#).
- [68] Jeongwan Haah, Lukasz Fidkowski, and Matthew B. Hastings. “Nontrivial Quantum Cellular Automata in Higher Dimensions”. *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **398**, 469–540 (2023). [arXiv:1812.01625](#).
- [69] Wilbur Shirley, Yu-An Chen, Arpit Dua, Tyler D. Ellison, Nathanan Tantivasadakarn, and Dominic J. Williamson. “Three-dimensional quantum cellular automata from chiral semion surface topological order and beyond”. *PRX Quantum***3** (2022). [arXiv:2202.05442v1](#).
- [70] Allen Hatcher. “Algebraic topology”. Cambridge University Press. (2002).