

# THE HELICITY DISTRIBUTION FOR THE INCOMPRESSIBLE EULER EQUATIONS ON BOUNDED DOMAINS

MARCO INVERSI AND MASSIMO SORELLA

**ABSTRACT.** This paper is concerned with the helicity associated to solutions of the 3D incompressible Euler equations. We show that under mild conditions on the regularity of the velocity field of an incompressible ideal fluid it is possible to define a defect distribution describing the local helicity balance. Under suitable regularity assumptions, we also provide the global helicity balance on bounded domains in terms of the boundary contributions of the vorticity, velocity and pressure.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we consider the Euler equations in  $\Omega \times (0, T)$  for the velocity field  $u$  of an ideal incompressible fluid in a spatial domain  $\Omega$ , that is

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \operatorname{div}(u \otimes u) + \nabla p = 0 & (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T), \\ \operatorname{div}(u) = 0 & (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T). \end{cases} \quad (\text{E})$$

Here  $\Omega$  is either  $\mathbb{T}^3, \mathbb{R}^3$  or any bounded domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  with Lipschitz boundary. If  $\partial\Omega \neq \emptyset$ , the system (E) is coupled with the impermeability boundary condition  $u(t) \cdot n = 0$  at  $\partial\Omega$  for any  $t \in (0, T)$ , the latter to be understood in a suitable way according to the regularity of the velocity field. Throughout this note,  $n$  is the outer unit normal to  $\partial\Omega$ . Weak solutions to (E) are defined in the usual distributional sense.

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be any open set and  $u \in L^2_{\text{loc}}(\Omega \times (0, T)), p \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega \times (0, T))$ . We say that  $(u, p)$  is a weak solution to (E) if

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_{\Omega} [\partial_t \varphi \cdot u + u \otimes u : \nabla \varphi + p \operatorname{div}(\varphi)] \, dx \, dt &= 0 \quad \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T); \mathbb{R}^3), \\ \int_{\Omega} u(x, t) \cdot \nabla \psi(x) \, dx &= 0 \quad \forall \psi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega), \text{ for a.e. } t \in (0, T). \end{aligned}$$

The boundary condition for weak solutions according to Definition 1.1 can be interpreted in the sense of normal distributional traces. Indeed, if  $\Omega$  is a bounded open set and  $u(\cdot, t) \in L^1(\Omega)$  for a.e.  $t$ , the system (E) is complemented with the *impermeability boundary condition* that is

$$\int_{\Omega} u(x, t) \cdot \nabla \psi(x) \, dx = 0 \quad \forall \psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3), \text{ for a.e. } t \in (0, T). \quad (1.1)$$

By the divergence theorem, it is clear that (1.1) is equivalent to  $u(\cdot, t) \cdot n = 0$  at  $\partial\Omega$  whenever  $u(\cdot, t)$  is smooth up to the boundary. Moreover, given a regular solution  $u$  to (E), it is well-known that the vorticity  $\omega := \operatorname{curl}(u)$  satisfies

$$\partial_t \omega + (u \cdot \nabla) \omega - (\omega \cdot \nabla) u = 0 \quad (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T) \quad (\text{V})$$

and, letting the *helicity* be the scalar product between the velocity and the vorticity, a direct computation shows that

$$\partial_t(u \cdot \omega) + \operatorname{div} \left( (u \cdot \omega) u + \left( p - \frac{|u|^2}{2} \right) \omega \right) = 0 \quad (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, T). \quad (1.2)$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35Q31, 35Q35, 35D30, 76M15.

*Key words and phrases.* Incompressible Euler equations, helicity, Duchon–Robert distribution, boundary.

Motivated by Duchon–Robert [17], the main goal of this paper is to show that (1.2) can be defined for weak solutions of (E) up to a defect distribution  $D[u]$ , under mild regularity assumptions on the velocity fields. Moreover, the distribution  $D[u]$  can be characterized as a weak limit of regular functions, as for the Duchon–Robert measure describing the possible failure of the local energy balance. We state the first result of this paper (see Section 2.1 for a precise definition of the function spaces involved).

**Theorem 1.2** (Duchon–Robert type helicity distribution). *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be any open set and let  $(u, p)$  be a weak solution to (E) in  $\Omega \times (0, T)$  according to Definition 1.1 with  $u \in L^2_{\text{loc}}((0, T); H^{1/2}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)) \cap L^\infty_{\text{loc}}(\Omega \times (0, T))$ . Then, there exists  $D[u] \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega \times (0, T))$  such that*

$$\partial_t(u \cdot \omega) + \operatorname{div} \left( (u \cdot \omega)u + \left( p - \frac{|u|^2}{2} \right) \omega \right) = -D[u] \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(\Omega \times (0, T)). \quad (1.3)$$

Furthermore, letting  $u_\varepsilon, (u \otimes u)_\varepsilon, \omega_\varepsilon$  be the spatial mollification of  $u, u \otimes u, \omega$  respectively, it holds

$$D[u] = 2 \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \nabla \omega_\varepsilon : R_\varepsilon,$$

where  $R_\varepsilon := (u_\varepsilon \otimes u_\varepsilon) - (u \otimes u)_\varepsilon$  and the limit is intended in  $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega \times (0, T))$ .

If  $\Omega = \mathbb{T}^3$  the statement and the proof of Theorem 1.2 are modified accordingly. In this case, (1.3) can be tested with any periodic smooth function on  $\mathbb{T}^3$ , since  $\mathbb{T}^3$  has no boundary. We highlight that a similar result in the periodic setting has been obtained simultaneously in [4, Theorem 2.7] with the assumption  $u \in L^2_t W_x^{1/3+, 3}$ . We briefly comment on our assumption  $u \in L^2_t H_x^{1/2} \cap L^\infty_{x,t}$ . If  $u \in L^2_t H_x^{1/2}$ , then  $\omega \in L^2_t H_x^{-1/2}$  and the coupling  $u \cdot \omega$  can be defined as a distribution (see Lemma 2.5 and Definition 2.6). If we assume in addition that  $u \in L^\infty_{x,t}$ , then it is straightforward to check that quadratic functions of  $u$  belong to  $L^2_t H_x^{1/2}$  (see Lemma 2.7). The pressure term can be treated by Calderon–Zygmund estimates. In other words, the assumption  $u \in L^2_t H_x^{1/2} \cap L^\infty_{x,t}$  is natural from the equations to interpret (1.3) in the sense of distributions. Moreover, by interpolation it is readily checked that  $H^{1/2} \cap L^\infty$  embeds continuously into  $B_{3,\infty}^{1/3}$ , which is the critical space for the celebrated Onsager conjecture related to the conservation of the kinetic energy for weak solutions to (E). The rigidity part of the conjecture has been established by Constantin–E–Titi and Eyink [6, 18] by commutator type estimates based essentially on [16] (see also [5, 12, 17]). The flexible part has been proved in [20] by Isett, building on the ground breaking ideas of convex integration introduced in the context of incompressible fluids by De Lellis and Szekelyhidi [8, 9]. To the best of our knowledge, the investigation of similar questions for the helicity is still at a preliminary stage. It is known that  $B_{3,\infty}^{2/3}$  is the critical space for the conservation of the total helicity [5, 10]. We are not aware of construction of solutions to (E) for which the total/local helicity is not conserved. To this end, it seems important to establish a local helicity balance (up to a defect distribution) as in (1.3) for rough solutions of (E). As a consequence of our method, we prove the validity of the exact helicity balance (i.e.  $D[u] \equiv 0$  in (1.3)) under a  $2/3$  fractional spatial regularity on the velocity field (see Section 2.2 for a precise definition of Besov spaces).

**Corollary 1.3.** *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1.2, suppose that for any open set  $I \subset\subset (0, T)$ ,  $O \subset\subset \Omega$  it holds that  $u \in L^3(I; B_{3,c_0}^{2/3}(O))$  according to Section 2.2. Then  $D[u] \equiv 0$ .*

This result has essentially been proved in [5, Theorem 4.2] on the periodic box  $\mathbb{T}^3$  by the analysis of the energy flux with a Paley–Littlewood decomposition. Our approach is a mollification type argument inspired by [10], which is based on [16, 17]. However, in [10] the author proves conservation of helicity assuming that  $\operatorname{curl}(u)$  is integrable, together with further suitable assumptions. We remark that on  $\mathbb{T}^3$  both the space  $B_{3,c(\mathbb{N})}^{2/3}(\mathbb{T}^3)$  considered in [5] and the space of  $B_{3,c_0}^{2/3}(\mathbb{T}^3)$  defined in Section 2.2 can be characterized as the closure of smooth functions with respect to the  $B_{3,\infty}^{2/3}(\mathbb{T}^3)$  norm. Indeed, on the periodic box  $\mathbb{T}^d$ , it turns out that Besov spaces can be equivalently defined with Paley–Littlewood decomposition or in terms of Gagliardo seminorm [21]. Since we are interested in the *local* helicity balance,

it seems more natural to describe fractional differentiability in terms of the Gagliardo seminorm, but the approaches are completely equivalent.

On a bounded domain  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  the total helicity might not be conserved if the vorticity is not tangent to the boundary. Indeed, due to lack of a natural boundary condition on the vorticity, the boundary can be used in simulations to create nontrivial vorticity which force the fluid to develop a turbulent behaviour inside the domain [19, Section 8.9]. For technical reasons, on an open set we need additional assumptions on the vorticity to define the total helicity.

**Definition 1.4** (Total helicity). Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded open set and let  $u \in L^2(\Omega)$  with  $\omega = \text{curl}(u) \in L^2(\Omega)$ . We define the total helicity by

$$H := \int_{\Omega} \omega \cdot u \, dx.$$

Under suitable regularity assumptions, we compute the variation of the total helicity in terms of the normal component of the vorticity and the full trace of the velocity and the pressure at the boundary. Our approach is based on the analysis of the *normal Lebesgue boundary* trace given in [7, 12].

**Theorem 1.5.** Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be a simply connected bounded open set with smooth boundary and let  $(u, p) \in L^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T))$  be a weak solution to (E) according to Definition 1.1 with the impermeability boundary condition (1.1). Assume that  $\omega \in L^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T))$  has normal Lebesgue trace  $\omega_n(t)$  for a.e.  $t \in (0, T)$  according to Definition 2.10. Then,  $u(\cdot, t), p(\cdot, t) \in C^0(\overline{\Omega})$  for a.e.  $t \in (0, T)$  and the total helicity (see Definition 1.4) satisfies

$$\int_0^T H(t) \alpha'(t) \, dt = \int_0^T \alpha(t) \left[ \int_{\partial\Omega} \left( \frac{|u|^2}{2} - p \right) \omega_n \, d\mathcal{H}^2(x) \right] dt \quad \forall \alpha \in C_c^\infty((0, T)).$$

We point out that the assumptions on  $\partial\Omega, u, p$  and on the vorticity away from the boundary in Theorem 1.5 could be weakened. Since the statements and the proofs become very technical, we decided to avoid this discussion. We recall that for a smooth solution  $u$  of (E), then  $\omega = \text{curl}(u)$  satisfies the Cauchy formula

$$\omega(x, t) = [\nabla X_t \omega_0](X_t^{-1}(x)), \quad (1.4)$$

where  $X_t$  is the flow map associated to the velocity field  $u$  at time  $t$ . For the reader convenience, we enclose a proof of this standard formula in Lemma 2.12. In the smooth setting, on a bounded domain  $\Omega$ , the boundary is invariant under the flow map thanks to the impermeability condition. Therefore, if  $\omega_0 \equiv 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ , then  $\omega(t) \equiv 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$  for any  $t > 0$ . In this case, a simple integration by parts shows that the total helicity is conserved.

## 2. TOOLS

In this section, we collect some basic tools that will be used throughout this note.

**2.1. The fractional Sobolev space  $H^{1/2}$ .** In order to keep this note self-contained, we recall some basic facts on the fractional Sobolev space  $H^{1/2}$  and its dual. We follow [21, Chapter 6]. Given an open set  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , we denote by

$$[u]_{H^{1/2}(\Omega)}^2 := \iint_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{d+1}} \, dx \, dy, \quad \|u\|_{H^{1/2}(\Omega)} := \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + [u]_{H^{1/2}}$$

the Gagliardo seminorm and the fractional Sobolev norm. Similarly, we say that  $f \in H_{\text{loc}}^{1/2}(\Omega)$  if  $f \in H^{1/2}(U)$  for any bounded open set  $U$  with  $\overline{U} \subset \Omega$ . If  $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^d$ , then  $H^{1/2}$  can be equivalently defined via the Fourier transform (see [15, 21]). Indeed, by [15, Proposition 3.7], there exists a purely dimensional constant  $\gamma_d$  such that

$$[f]_{H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 = \gamma_d \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\xi| \left| \hat{f}(\xi) \right|^2 \, d\xi.$$

It is classical to define  $H^{-1/2}(\Omega) = (H_0^{1/2}(\Omega))'$ , where  $H_0^{1/2}(\Omega)$  is the completion of  $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$  with respect to the  $H^{1/2}$  norm. However, if  $\Omega$  is a bounded open set with Lipschitz boundary, by [21, Theorem 6.78] we have  $H^{1/2}(\Omega) = H_0^{1/2}(\Omega)$  and therefore  $H^{-1/2}(\Omega) = (H^{1/2}(\Omega))'$ . Given  $U, V$  any open sets such that  $U \subset\subset V$ , we denote by  $H_U^{1/2}(V)$  the collection of functions in  $H^{1/2}(V)$  with support in  $U$ . Since  $H_U^{1/2}(V)$  is a closed linear subspace of  $H^{1/2}(V)$ , then  $H_U^{1/2}(V)$  is a Banach space with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{H^{1/2}(V)}$  and we denote by  $H_U^{-1/2}(V)$  its dual. Unless otherwise specified, we denote by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  the duality pairing between  $H_U^{-1/2}(V)$  and  $H_U^{1/2}(V)$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be any open set and  $f \in H_{\text{loc}}^{1/2}(\Omega)$ . Define  $f_\varepsilon = \tilde{f} * \rho_\varepsilon$ , where  $\rho$  is a standard mollifier and  $\tilde{f}$  is the extension of  $f$  to 0 outside  $\Omega$ . Then  $f_\varepsilon \rightarrow f$  in  $H_{\text{loc}}^{1/2}(\Omega)$ . Moreover, for any open sets  $U, V$  with  $U \subset\subset V \subset\subset \Omega$  and  $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0 = \text{dist}(U, V^c)$  it holds*

$$\|f_\varepsilon\|_{H^{1/2}(U)} \leq \|f\|_{H^{1/2}(V)}. \quad (2.1)$$

*Proof.* Fix  $U, V$  open sets with  $U \subset\subset V \subset\subset \Omega$  and let  $\varepsilon < \varepsilon_0 = \text{dist}(U, V^c)$ . For any  $\alpha > 0$ , we set  $D_\alpha := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d : |x - y| \leq \alpha\}$ . Then, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \iint_{(D_\alpha \cap U) \times U} \frac{|f_\varepsilon(x) - f_\varepsilon(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{d+1}} dy dx &\leq \iint_{(D_\alpha \cap U) \times U} \frac{\left| \int_{B_\varepsilon} |f(x-z) - f(y-z)| \rho_\varepsilon(z) dz \right|^2}{|x - y|^{d+1}} dy dx \\ &\leq \iint_{(D_\alpha \cap U) \times U} \int_{B_\varepsilon} \frac{|f(x-z) - f(y-z)|^2}{|x - y|^{d+1}} \rho_\varepsilon(z) dz dy dx \\ &\leq \iint_{(D_\alpha \cap V) \times V} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{d+1}} dy dx. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we write

$$\begin{aligned} [f - f_\varepsilon]_{H^{1/2}(U)}^2 &= \left[ \iint_{(D_\alpha \cap U) \times U} + \iint_{(D_\alpha^c \cap U) \times U} \right] \frac{|f_\varepsilon(x) - f_\varepsilon(y) - f(x) + f(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{d+1}} dx dy \\ &\leq \iint_{(D_\alpha \cap V) \times V} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{d+1}} dx dy + \mathcal{L}^d(U) \alpha^{-1-d} \|f - f_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(U)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  and recalling that  $f_\varepsilon \rightarrow f$  in  $L^2(U)$ , we have that

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} [f - f_\varepsilon]_{H^{1/2}(U)}^2 \leq \iint_{(D_\alpha \cap V) \times V} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{d+1}} dx dy.$$

Letting  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ , the right hand side goes to 0 by dominated convergence, since  $f \in H^{1/2}(V)$ . The proof of (2.1) is completely similar.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $U, V$  be bounded open sets such that  $U \subset\subset V$ . Let  $f \in H^{1/2}(V)$  and let  $\chi \in C_c^\infty(V; [0, 1])$  be such that  $\chi \equiv 1$  on  $U$ . Letting  $g = \chi f$ , then*

$$\|g\|_{H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \|f\|_{H^{1/2}(V)}, \quad (2.2)$$

where the implicit constant depends only on  $d, V, U, \chi$ .

*Proof.* It is enough to estimate the Gagliardo seminorm on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . Splitting the double integral and recalling that  $g$  has compact support in  $V$ , we compute

$$\begin{aligned} [g]_{H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^d)}^2 &= \iint_{U \times U} \frac{|g(x) - g(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{d+1}} dx dy + \iint_{(V \setminus U) \times (V \setminus U)} \frac{|g(x) - g(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{d+1}} dx dy \\ &\quad + 2 \iint_{U \times (V \setminus U)} \frac{|g(x) - g(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{d+1}} dx dy + 2 \iint_{V \times V^c} \frac{|g(x) - g(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{d+1}} dx dy \\ &= I + II + III + IV. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\chi \equiv 1$  on  $U$ , we have that  $I = [f]_{H^{1/2}(U)}^2$ . Since  $\chi$  is Lipschitz continuous, we estimate

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\chi(x) - \chi(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{d+1}} dy \right| \lesssim \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left[ \int_{B_1(x)} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{d-1}} dy + \int_{B_1(x)^c} \frac{1}{|x - y|^{d+1}} dy \right] < +\infty.$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} II &\lesssim \iint_{(V \setminus U) \times (V \setminus U)} |\chi(x)|^2 \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{d+1}} dx dy + \iint_{(V \setminus U) \times (V \setminus U)} |f(y)|^2 \frac{|\chi(x) - \chi(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{d+1}} dx dy \\ &\lesssim [f]_{H^{1/2}(V \setminus U)}^2 + \|f\|_{L^2(V \setminus U)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we estimate

$$III \lesssim [f]_{H^{1/2}(V)}^2 + \|f\|_{L^2(V \setminus U)}^2.$$

Lastly, recalling that  $\chi$  has compact support in  $V$ , we estimate

$$IV \lesssim \iint_{V \times V^c} |f(x)|^2 \frac{|\chi(x) - \chi(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{d+1}} dx dy \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2(V)}^2,$$

thus proving (2.2).  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $U, V$  be bounded open sets such that  $U \subset\subset V$  and let  $f \in H^{1/2}(V)$ . Then,  $\nabla f \in H_U^{-1/2}(V)$ . More precisely, we find an implicit constant depending only on  $U, V$  such that*

$$|\langle \nabla f, \varphi \rangle| \lesssim \|f\|_{H^{1/2}(V)} \|\varphi\|_{H^{1/2}(V)} \quad \forall \varphi \in H_U^{1/2}(V).$$

*Proof.* Fix bounded open sets  $W, Z$  such that  $U \subset\subset W \subset\subset Z \subset\subset V$  and let  $\chi \in C_c^\infty(V; [0, 1])$  such that  $\chi \equiv 1$  on  $W$ . From now on, we neglect multiplicative constants depending on  $d, U, V, W, Z$ . Letting  $g = \chi f$ , by Lemma 2.2 it results that  $g \in H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then, by the properties of Fourier transform, for any  $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$  we have

$$|\langle \nabla g, \varphi \rangle| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\xi| |\hat{g}(\xi)| |\hat{\varphi}(\xi)| d\xi \lesssim \left\| |\xi|^{1/2} \hat{g} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \left\| |\xi|^{1/2} \hat{\varphi} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \lesssim \|g\|_{H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|\varphi\|_{H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^d)},$$

where we use the characterization of fractional Sobolev norms on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  with the Fourier transform (see e.g. [15, Proposition 3.7]). Hence, by (2.2), we infer that

$$|\langle \nabla f, \varphi \rangle| \lesssim \|f\|_{H^{1/2}(Z)} \|\varphi\|_{H^{1/2}(Z)} \quad \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(W).$$

To conclude, we prove that the estimate above holds for any test function  $\varphi \in H_U^{1/2}(V)$ . Indeed, given  $\varphi \in H_U^{1/2}(V)$  and letting  $\varphi_\varepsilon$  be the approximation by convolution, by Lemma 2.1  $\varphi_\varepsilon \rightarrow \varphi$  in  $H^{1/2}(Z)$  and  $\varphi_\varepsilon$  has support in  $W$  for  $\varepsilon$  small enough.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $U, V$  be bounded open sets such that  $U \subset\subset V$  and let  $f \in H^{1/2}(V)$ . Let  $f_\varepsilon$  be defined as in Lemma 2.1. Let  $\{\varphi^\varepsilon\}_\varepsilon$  be a sequence in  $H_U^{1/2}(V)$  such that  $\varphi^\varepsilon \rightarrow \varphi$  in  $H^{1/2}(V)$ . Then, it holds that*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \langle \nabla f_\varepsilon, \varphi^\varepsilon \rangle = \langle \nabla f, \varphi \rangle.$$

*Proof.* Fix bounded open sets  $W, Z$  such that  $U \subset\subset W \subset\subset Z \subset\subset V$  and define

$$\varepsilon_0 = \min\{\text{dist}(U, W^c), \text{dist}(W, Z^c), \text{dist}(Z, V^c)\}.$$

We neglect constants depending only on  $U, V, Z, W, d$ . Hence, for any  $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ , since  $\varphi_\varepsilon = \tilde{\varphi} * \rho_\varepsilon$  has support in  $W$  ( $\tilde{\varphi}$  is the extension of  $\varphi$  to 0 outside  $V$ ), by Lemma 2.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \nabla f_\varepsilon, \varphi^\varepsilon \rangle - \langle \nabla f, \varphi \rangle| &\leq |\langle \nabla f_\varepsilon - \nabla u, \varphi \rangle| + |\langle \nabla f_\varepsilon, \varphi - \varphi^\varepsilon \rangle| \\ &\lesssim |\langle \nabla f, \varphi - \varphi_\varepsilon \rangle| + \|f_\varepsilon\|_{H^{1/2}(Z)} \|\varphi - \varphi_\varepsilon\|_{H^{1/2}(Z)} \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_{H^{1/2}(V)} \|\varphi - \varphi_\varepsilon\|_{H^{1/2}(V)}. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $U, V$  be bounded open sets such that  $U \subset\subset V$ , let  $I$  be a time interval and let  $f \in L^2(I; H^{1/2}(V))$ . Then,  $\nabla f \in (L^2(I; H_U^{1/2}(V)))'$  and there exists an implicit constant depending only on  $U, V$  such that*

$$\|\nabla f\|_{(L^2(I; H_U^{1/2}(V)))'} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2(I; H^{1/2}(V))}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $f_\varepsilon, \varphi_\varepsilon$  be the spatial mollification of  $f, \varphi$  respectively. By Lemma 2.4 it holds that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \langle \nabla f_\varepsilon(t), \varphi_\varepsilon(t) \rangle = \langle \nabla f(t), \varphi(t) \rangle$$

for a.e.  $t \in I$ . Since the functions  $t \mapsto \langle \nabla f_\varepsilon(t), \varphi_\varepsilon(t) \rangle$  is measurable for any  $\varepsilon$  by Fubini's theorem, we infer that the same holds for  $t \mapsto \langle \nabla f(t), \varphi(t) \rangle$ . Then, by the estimates of Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.3 and by dominated convergence, we infer that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_I \langle \nabla f_\varepsilon(t), \varphi_\varepsilon(t) \rangle dt = \int_I \langle \nabla f(t), \varphi(t) \rangle dt,$$

which defines the duality relation. Moreover, we have that

$$\left| \int_I \langle \nabla f(t), \varphi(t) \rangle dt \right| \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2(I; H^{1/2}(V))} \|\varphi\|_{L^2(I; H^{1/2}(V))}.$$

□

Thanks to Lemma 2.5, given  $f, g \in L_t^2 H_x^{1/2}$  we can define  $f \cdot \nabla g$  in  $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega \times (0, T))$ .

**Definition 2.6.** Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be any open set and let  $f, g \in L_{\text{loc}}^2((0, T); H_{\text{loc}}^{1/2}(\Omega))$ . We define the duality  $f \cdot \nabla g$  in  $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega \times (0, T))$  by

$$\langle f \cdot \nabla g, \varphi \rangle = \int_0^T \langle \nabla g(t), f(t) \varphi(t) \rangle dt \quad \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T)).$$

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $\Omega$  be any open set and let  $f, g \in H^{1/2} \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$ . Then,  $fg \in H^{1/2} \cap L^\infty(\Omega)$  and, up to a universal constant, it holds*

$$\|fg\|_{H^{1/2}(\Omega)} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \|g\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + \|g\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} [f]_{H^{1/2}(\Omega)} + \|f\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} [g]_{H^{1/2}(\Omega)}.$$

*Proof.* By direct computation, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|fg\|_{L^2(\Omega)} &\leq \|f\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} \|g\|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \\ [fg]_{H^{1/2}(\Omega)}^2 &= \iint_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{|f(x)g(x) - f(y)g(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{d+1}} dx dy \\ &\lesssim \iint_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{|g(x)|^2 |f(x) - f(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{d+1}} dx dy + \iint_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{|f(y)|^2 |g(x) - g(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{d+1}} dx dy \\ &\lesssim \|g\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^2 [f]_{H^{1/2}(\Omega)}^2 + \|f\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^2 \|g\|_{H^{1/2}(\Omega)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 2.8.** *Let  $\Omega$  be any open set,  $f, g \in H_{\text{loc}}^{1/2} \cap L_{\text{loc}}^\infty(\Omega)$  and let  $\rho$  be a standard mollifier. Define  $f_\varepsilon = \tilde{f} * \rho_\varepsilon, g_\varepsilon = \tilde{g} * \rho_\varepsilon$ , where  $\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}$  are the extensions of  $f, g$  to 0 outside  $\Omega$ . Then  $f_\varepsilon g_\varepsilon \rightarrow fg$  in  $H_{\text{loc}}^{1/2}(\Omega)$ .*

*Proof.* Fix bounded open sets  $U, V$  such that  $U \subset\subset V \subset\subset \Omega$  and let  $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0 = \text{dist}(U, V^c)$ . Fix  $\alpha > 0$  and set  $D_\alpha := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d : |x - y| \leq \alpha\}$ . We neglect constants depending on  $d, U, V$ . Then, for any

$\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ , since  $\|f_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(U)} \leq \|f\|_{L^\infty(V)}$  and the same holds for  $g_\varepsilon, g$ , we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{(D_\alpha \cap U) \times U} \frac{|(f_\varepsilon g_\varepsilon)(x) - (f_\varepsilon g_\varepsilon)(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{d+1}} dy dx \\ & \lesssim \iint_{(D_\alpha \cap U) \times U} |g_\varepsilon(x)|^2 \frac{|f_\varepsilon(x) - f_\varepsilon(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{d+1}} dy dx + \iint_{(D_\alpha \cap U) \times U} |f_\varepsilon(y)|^2 \frac{|g_\varepsilon(x) - g_\varepsilon(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{d+1}} dy dx \\ & \lesssim \|g\|_{L^\infty(V)}^2 \iint_{(D_\alpha \cap V) \times V} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{d+1}} dy dx + \|f\|_{L^\infty(V)}^2 \iint_{(D_\alpha \cap V) \times V} \frac{|g(x) - g(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{d+1}} dy dx, \end{aligned}$$

as in the proof of Lemma 2.1. Then, we write

$$\begin{aligned} [(f_\varepsilon g_\varepsilon) - fg]_{H^{1/2}(U)}^2 &= \left[ \iint_{(D_\alpha \cap U) \times U} + \iint_{(D_\alpha^c \cap U) \times U} \right] \frac{|(f_\varepsilon g_\varepsilon)(x) - (f_\varepsilon g_\varepsilon)(y) - (fg)(x) + (fg)(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{d+1}} dx dy \\ &\lesssim \iint_{(D_\alpha \cap V) \times V} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{d+1}} dy dx + \iint_{(D_\alpha \cap V) \times V} \frac{|g(x) - g(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{d+1}} dy dx \\ &\quad + \alpha^{-1-d} \|fg - f_\varepsilon g_\varepsilon\|_{L^2(U)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  and recalling that  $f_\varepsilon g_\varepsilon \rightarrow fg$  in  $L^2(U)$ , we have that

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} [f - f_\varepsilon]_{H^{1/2}(U)}^2 \lesssim \iint_{(D_\alpha \cap V) \times V} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{d+1}} dx dy + \iint_{(D_\alpha \cap V) \times V} \frac{|g(x) - g(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{d+1}} dy dx.$$

Letting  $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ , then the right hand side goes to 0 by dominated convergence, since  $f, g \in H^{1/2}(V)$ .  $\square$

**2.2. Some commutator estimates of Besov vector fields.** We recall the definition of Besov spaces in arbitrary open sets (see the monograph [22] for an extensive presentation of the topic) and we provide some standard mollification estimates in the spirit of [6, 10, 16]. We include the short proof for the reader's convenience. Let  $O \subset\subset \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be open sets,  $\theta \in (0, 1)$  and  $p \in [1, +\infty]$ . Given  $u \in L^p(O)$ , we say that  $u \in B_{p,\infty}^\theta(O)$  if it holds that

$$\sup_{|h| \leq \text{dist}(O, \Omega^c)} \frac{\|u(\cdot + h) - u(\cdot)\|_{L^p(O)}}{|h|^\theta} =: [u]_{B_{p,\infty}^\theta(O)} < +\infty.$$

We denote by

$$\|u\|_{B_{p,\infty}^\theta(O)} := [u]_{B_{p,\infty}^\theta(O)} + \|u\|_{L^p(O)}.$$

We say that  $u \in B_{p,c_0}^\theta(O)$  if  $u \in L^p(O)$  and there exists a modulus of continuity  $\ell_{u,O}$  such that for any  $\varepsilon \leq \text{dist}(O, \Omega^c)$  it holds that

$$\sup_{|h| \leq \varepsilon} \frac{\|u(\cdot + h) - u(\cdot)\|_{L^p(O)}}{|h|^\theta} \leq \ell_{u,O}(\varepsilon).$$

We say that  $u \in L^q(I; B_{p,c_0}^\theta(O))$  if  $u \in L^q(I; B_{p,\infty}^\theta(O))$  and  $u(t) \in B_{p,c_0}^\theta(O)$  for a.e.  $t \in I$ .

**Lemma 2.9.** *Let  $O \subset\subset \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be open sets and let  $f, g \in B_{p,c_0}^\theta(O)$ . Let  $\rho$  be a standard mollifier and for any  $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0 = \text{dist}(U, \Omega^c)$  let  $f_\varepsilon = f * \rho_\varepsilon, g_\varepsilon = g * \rho_\varepsilon$ . There are implicit constants independent on  $f, g, \varepsilon, k$  such that for any  $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$  it holds*

$$\|\nabla^k f_\varepsilon\|_{L^p(U)} \lesssim \varepsilon^{-k+\theta} \|f\|_{B_{p,\infty}^\theta(V)} \ell_{f,U}(\varepsilon) \quad \forall k \geq 1, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\|f_\varepsilon g_\varepsilon - (fg)_\varepsilon\|_{L^{p/2}(U)} \lesssim \varepsilon^{2\theta} \|f\|_{B_{p,\infty}^\theta(V)} \|g\|_{B_{p,\infty}^\theta(V)} \ell_{f,U}(\varepsilon) \ell_{g,U}(\varepsilon) \quad \text{if } p \geq 2. \quad (2.4)$$

*Proof.* We assume  $p < \infty, k \geq 1$  and we neglect constants depending on  $k, p, \rho$ . The case  $p = \infty$  is completely analogous. Following [10, Proposition 2.1], given  $k \geq 1$  and  $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$  we have that

$$\nabla^k f_\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon^{-k} \int_{B_1} (f(x - \varepsilon y) - f(x)) \nabla^k \rho(y) dy.$$

Then, using Jensen's inequality, we infer that

$$\int_U |\nabla f_\varepsilon(x)|^p dx \lesssim \varepsilon^{-kp} \int_{B_1} \int_U |f(x - \varepsilon y) - f(x)|^p dx |\nabla^k \rho(y)| dy \lesssim \varepsilon^{p(\theta-k)} [f]_{B_{p,\infty}^\theta(O)}^p \ell_{f,O}(\varepsilon)^p.$$

Then, (2.3) follows by taking the  $p$  root. Similarly, to prove (2.4), if  $p \geq 2$  we write

$$\begin{aligned} (fg)_\varepsilon(x) - f_\varepsilon(x)g_\varepsilon(x) &= \int_{B_1} (f(x - \varepsilon y) - f(x))(g(x - \varepsilon y) - g(x))\rho(y) dy \\ &\quad - \left( \int_{B_1} (f(x - \varepsilon y) - f(x))\rho(y) dy \right) \left( \int_{B_1} (g(x - \varepsilon y) - g(x))\rho(y) dy \right) \\ &= I_\varepsilon(x) + II_\varepsilon(x). \end{aligned}$$

Then, by Jensen's and Hölder's inequality, we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_U |I_\varepsilon(x)|^{p/2} dx &\lesssim \int_{B_1} \int_U |f(x - \varepsilon y) - f(x)|^{p/2} |g(x - \varepsilon y) - g(x)|^{p/2} dx \rho(y) dy \\ &\lesssim \int_{B_1} \left( \int_U |f(x - \varepsilon y) - f(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_U |g(x - \varepsilon y) - g(x)|^p \right)^{1/2} \rho(y) dy \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon^{\theta p} \left[ \|f\|_{B_{p,\infty}^\theta(U)} \|g\|_{B_{p,\infty}^\theta(U)} \ell_{f,U}(\varepsilon) \ell_{g,U}(\varepsilon) \right]^{p/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we estimate

$$\int_U |II_\varepsilon(x)|^{p/2} dx \lesssim \varepsilon^{\theta p} \left[ \|f\|_{B_{p,\infty}^\theta(U)} \|g\|_{B_{p,\infty}^\theta(U)} \ell_{f,U}(\varepsilon) \ell_{g,U}(\varepsilon) \right]^{p/2}.$$

Then, (2.3) follows by taking the  $p/2$  root.  $\square$

**2.3. Boundary trace.** We recall the distributional notion of normal trace of a measure divergence vector field vector field. Given an open set  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $p \in (1, +\infty)$  and  $u \in L^p(\Omega)$  a vector field whose divergence is a Radon measure  $\lambda$  on  $\Omega$ , the *outer distributional normal trace*  $\text{Tr}_n(u, \partial\Omega)$  is defined in  $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$  by

$$\langle \text{Tr}_n(u, \partial\Omega); \varphi \rangle := \int_\Omega \varphi d\lambda + \int_\Omega u \cdot \nabla \varphi dx \quad \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

As described in [1], it is known that if  $u \in L^\infty(\Omega)$  and  $u$  has measure divergence, then the outer distributional normal trace is represented by a function in  $L^\infty(\partial\Omega; \mathcal{H}^{d-1})$ . Despite being too weak to be handled in many situations, this notion of trace allows to interpret the impermeability boundary condition for weak solutions to (E) on bounded domains. We recall the definition of the *normal Lebesgue boundary trace* and some basic properties studied by the first author in [7, 12]. This notion of normal trace is appropriate to deal with nonlinear problems and it lies between the distributional one for measure-divergence vector fields [1] and the strong one for BV functions [2].

**Definition 2.10.** Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be a bounded open set with Lipschitz boundary and let  $u \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ . We say that  $u$  admits *full Lebesgue trace*  $u_{\partial\Omega} \in L^\infty(\partial\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$  on  $\partial\Omega$  if for any sequence  $r_k \rightarrow 0$  it holds that

$$\lim_{r_k \rightarrow 0} \int_{B_{r_k}(x) \cap \Omega} |u(y) - u_{\partial\Omega}(x)| dy = 0 \quad \text{for } \mathcal{H}^{d-1}\text{-a.e. } x \in \partial\Omega.$$

If  $u \in L^\infty(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ , we say that  $u$  admits *outer normal Lebesgue trace*  $u_n \in L^\infty(\partial\Omega)$  on  $\partial\Omega$  if for any sequence  $r_k \rightarrow 0$  it holds that

$$\lim_{r_k \rightarrow 0} \int_{B_{r_k}(x) \cap \Omega} |(u \cdot \nabla d_{\partial\Omega})(y) + u_n(x)| dy = 0 \quad \text{for } \mathcal{H}^{d-1}\text{-a.e. } x \in \partial\Omega.$$

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be a bounded open set with Lipschitz boundary and let  $n : \partial\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$  be the outer unit normal. Some remarks are in order.



(i) By [12, Theorem 2.4] for  $\mathcal{H}^{d-1}$ -a.e.  $x \in \partial\Omega$  we have that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \int_{B_r(x)} |\nabla d_{\partial\Omega}(y) + n(x)| dy = 0.$$

Hence, if  $u$  has a full trace  $u_{\partial\Omega}$  in the sense of Definition 2.10, then  $u$  has outer normal Lebesgue trace and it holds that  $u_n = u_{\partial\Omega} \cdot n$ .

- (ii) If  $u$  has full trace (normal Lebesgue trace) 0 at  $\partial\Omega$ , then  $gu$  has full Lebesgue trace (respectively, normal Lebesgue trace) 0 at  $\partial\Omega$  for any  $g \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ .
- (iii) If  $u, f$  are scalar functions with full trace at  $\partial\Omega$ , then  $fg$  has full Lebesgue trace and it holds  $(fg)_{\partial\Omega} = f_{\partial\Omega}g_{\partial\Omega}$ .
- (iv) If  $u$  has normal Lebesgue trace at  $\partial\Omega$  and  $f$  is a scalar function with full trace  $f_{\partial\Omega}$  at  $\partial\Omega$ , then  $fu$  has normal Lebesgue trace and  $(fu)_n = f_{\partial\Omega}u_n$ .

We recall one of the main properties of the normal Lebesgue trace [7, Corollary 3.3].

**Proposition 2.11.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  be a bounded open set with Lipschitz boundary and let  $u \in L^\infty(\Omega)$  be a vector field with outer normal Lebesgue trace  $u_n$  according to Definition 2.10. Setting  $\chi_r(x) := \min(r^{-1} \text{dist}_{\partial\Omega}(x), 1)$ , it holds that*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Omega} \varphi U \cdot \nabla \chi_r dx = - \int_{\partial\Omega} \varphi U_n d\mathcal{H}^{d-1} \quad \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

**2.4. Explicit formula for the vorticity.** For the reader convenience, we discuss the proof of the Cauchy formula for the vorticity of a smooth Euler flow (1.4).

**Lemma 2.12.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be a smooth bounded open set open bounded and let  $u \in C^\infty([0, T] \times \overline{\Omega}; \mathbb{R}^3)$  be a smooth solution to (E) on  $\Omega$  with the boundary condition  $u(t) \cdot n \equiv 0$  at  $\partial\Omega$  for any  $t \in (0, T)$  and smooth initial datum  $u_0$ . Letting  $X_t$  be the flow map associated to the velocity field  $u$  at time  $t$ , then  $\omega = \text{curl}(u)$  satisfies*

$$\omega(x, t) = [\nabla X_t \omega_0](X_t^{-1}(x)), \quad (2.5)$$

*Proof.* By Grönwall lemma, it is clear that given a smooth divergence free velocity field  $u : [0, T] \times \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ , there exists at most a unique smooth solution  $\omega$  to (V) with a smooth initial datum  $\omega_0 = \text{curl}(u_0)$ . Then, it is enough to check that  $\omega$  given by (2.5) satisfies (V). Since,  $u$  is divergence free and it satisfies  $u(t) \cdot n \equiv 0$  at  $\partial\Omega$  for any  $t \in (0, T)$ , then  $X_t$  is measure preserving,  $X_t(\Omega) = \Omega$  and

$$\int_{\Omega} \varphi(x) \omega(x, t) dx = \int_{\Omega} \varphi(X_t(x)) \nabla X_t(x) \omega_0(x) dx \quad \forall \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega).$$

Therefore, for any  $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x) \partial_t \omega(x, t) dx &= \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \varphi(X_t(x)) \nabla X_t(x) \omega_0(x) dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \varphi(X_t) \cdot \partial_t X_t) \nabla X_t \omega_0 dx + \int_{\Omega} \varphi(X_t) (\nabla \partial_t X_t) \omega_0 dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \varphi(X_t) \cdot u(X_t)) \nabla X_t \omega_0 dx + \int_{\Omega} \varphi(X_t) \nabla u(X_t) \nabla X_t \omega_0 dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \varphi(x) \cdot u(x, t)) \omega(x, t) dx + \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x) \nabla u(x, t) \omega(x, t) dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} (\omega(x, t) \cdot \nabla u(x, t) - u(x, t) \cdot \nabla \omega(x, t)) \varphi(x) dx, \end{aligned}$$

from which we conclude the proof.  $\square$

## 3. PROOFS

**3.1. Local helicity balance.** We discuss the proof of Theorem 1.2.

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* For the reader's convenience, we split the proof in several steps.

**STEP 1:** To begin, we discuss the regularity of the pressure. We prove that  $p \in L^1_{\text{loc}}((0, T); H^{1/2}_{\text{loc}}(\Omega)) \cap L^q_{\text{loc}}(\Omega \times (0, T))$ . Fix bounded open sets  $U \subset\subset V \subset\subset Z \subset\subset \Omega, I \subset\subset (0, T)$  and  $\chi \in C^\infty_c(Z; [0, 1])$  such that  $\chi \equiv 1$  on  $V$ . We neglect multiplicative constants depending only on  $U, V, Z$ . Let  $\tilde{u} = u\chi \in L^\infty(I \times \mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^2(I; H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3))$  and let  $\tilde{p}(t)$  be the unique solution to the elliptic problem

$$(-\Delta)\tilde{p}(t) = \text{div div}(\tilde{u}(t) \otimes \tilde{u}(t)) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3,$$

decaying at infinity. By standard Calderon–Zygmund estimates, it turns out that  $\tilde{p}(t)$  satisfies

$$\|\tilde{p}(t)\|_{H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \|\tilde{u}(t) \otimes \tilde{u}(t)\|_{H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \|u(t)\|_{H^{1/2}(Z)} \|u(t)\|_{L^\infty(Z)}, \quad (3.1)$$

where we have used Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.7. Similarly, for any  $q \in (1, +\infty)$  we have that

$$\|\tilde{p}(t)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)} \lesssim \|\tilde{u}(t)\|_{L^{2q}(\mathbb{R}^3)}^2 \lesssim \|u(t)\|_{L^\infty(Z)}^2, \quad (3.2)$$

where the implicit constant depends also on  $q$ . Then, it is immediate to check that  $p(t) - \tilde{p}(t)$  is harmonic in  $V$  and by the mean value property, for any  $k \geq 0$ , we can estimate

$$\|p(t) - \tilde{p}(t)\|_{C^k(U)} \lesssim \|p(t) - \tilde{p}(t)\|_{L^1(V)} \lesssim \|p(t)\|_{L^1(V)} + \|\tilde{p}(t)\|_{L^1(V)}$$

where the implicit constant depends also on  $k$ . Then, by (3.1) and (3.2) we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \|p\|_{L^1(I; H^{1/2}(U))} &\lesssim \|\tilde{p} - p\|_{L^1(I; H^{1/2}(U))} + \|\tilde{p}\|_{L^1(I; H^{1/2}(U))} \\ &\lesssim \|p\|_{L^1(V \times I)} + \|\tilde{p}\|_{L^1(V \times I)} + \|u\|_{L^1(I; H^{1/2}(Z))} \|u\|_{L^\infty(Z \times I)} \\ &\lesssim \|p\|_{L^1(V \times I)} + \|u\|_{L^\infty(Z \times I)}^2 + \|u\|_{L^1(I; H^{1/2}(Z))} \|u\|_{L^\infty(Z \times I)}. \end{aligned}$$

With the same argument, we prove that  $p \in L^q_{\text{loc}}(\Omega \times (0, T))$  for any  $q < +\infty$ .

**STEP 2:** From now on, we fix bounded open sets  $U, V, I$  as above and we set  $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0 = \text{dist}(U, V^c)$ . Letting  $u_\varepsilon, \omega_\varepsilon$  be the spatial mollification of  $u, \omega$  in  $U$ , we check that  $u_\varepsilon, \omega_\varepsilon \in W^{1,1}(U \times I)$  so that all the computations in the following steps are fully justified. Mollifying (E) in  $U$  with respect to the spatial variable and taking the curl, we get

$$\partial_t u_\varepsilon + \text{div}(u \otimes u)_\varepsilon + \nabla p_\varepsilon = 0, \quad \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon + \text{curl}(\text{div}(u \otimes u)_\varepsilon) = 0 \quad \text{in } U. \quad (3.3)$$

From now on, we neglect multiplicative constants depending on the convolution kernel and on  $U, V, I, k$ . We estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla^k u_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(U \times I)} &\lesssim \varepsilon^{-k} \|u\|_{L^\infty(V \times I)}, \quad \|\nabla^k (u \otimes u)_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(U \times I)} \lesssim \varepsilon^{-k} \|u\|_{L^\infty(V \times I)}^2, \\ \|\nabla p_\varepsilon\|_{L^1(U \times I)} &\lesssim \varepsilon^{-1} \|p\|_{L^1(V \times I)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, recalling (3.3), we infer that  $\partial_t u_\varepsilon, \partial_t \omega_\varepsilon \in L^1(U \times I)$ .

**STEP 3:** The computations below hold in  $U \times I$ . Letting  $R_\varepsilon = u_\varepsilon \otimes u_\varepsilon - (u \otimes u)_\varepsilon$ , we write

$$\partial_t u_\varepsilon + \text{div}(u_\varepsilon \otimes u_\varepsilon) + \nabla p_\varepsilon = \text{div}(R_\varepsilon), \quad (3.4)$$

$$\partial_t \omega_\varepsilon + (u_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla)\omega_\varepsilon - (\omega_\varepsilon \cdot \nabla)u_\varepsilon = \text{curl div}(R_\varepsilon). \quad (3.5)$$

Then, multiplying (3.4) by  $\omega_\varepsilon$  and (3.5) by  $u_\varepsilon$  and after some standard manipulations, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t (u_\varepsilon \cdot \omega_\varepsilon) + \text{div} \left( u_\varepsilon (u_\varepsilon \cdot \omega_\varepsilon) + \left( p_\varepsilon - \frac{|u_\varepsilon|^2}{2} \right) \omega_\varepsilon \right) &= \omega_\varepsilon \cdot \text{div } R_\varepsilon + u_\varepsilon \cdot \text{curl div}(R_\varepsilon) \\ &= \text{div}(\omega_\varepsilon R_\varepsilon) - \nabla \omega_\varepsilon : R_\varepsilon + u_\varepsilon \cdot \text{curl div } R_\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Using the Levi–Civita notation, we check that

$$\begin{aligned} u_\varepsilon \cdot \operatorname{curl} \operatorname{div} R_\varepsilon &= u_\varepsilon^i \varepsilon_{i,j,k} \partial_j [\operatorname{div} R_\varepsilon]^k = \partial_j (u_\varepsilon^i \varepsilon_{i,j,k} [\operatorname{div} R_\varepsilon]^k) - \varepsilon_{i,j,k} \partial_j u_\varepsilon^i [\operatorname{div} R_\varepsilon]^k \\ &= -\partial_j (\varepsilon_{j,i,k} u_\varepsilon^i [\operatorname{div} R_\varepsilon]^k) + \varepsilon_{k,j,i} \partial_j u_\varepsilon^i [\operatorname{div} R_\varepsilon]^k \\ &= -\operatorname{div}(u_\varepsilon \times \operatorname{div} R_\varepsilon) + \operatorname{curl} u_\varepsilon \cdot \operatorname{div} R_\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

We recall that

$$\operatorname{curl} u_\varepsilon \cdot \operatorname{div} R_\varepsilon = \operatorname{div}(\omega_\varepsilon R_\varepsilon) - \nabla \omega_\varepsilon : R_\varepsilon.$$

Moreover, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}(u_\varepsilon \times \operatorname{div} R_\varepsilon) &= \partial_i \varepsilon_{i,j,k} u_\varepsilon^j [\operatorname{div} R_\varepsilon]^k = \partial_i (\varepsilon_{i,j,k} \partial_l (u_\varepsilon^j R_\varepsilon^{k,l}) - \varepsilon_{i,j,k} (\partial_l u_\varepsilon^j R_\varepsilon^{k,l})) \\ &= \partial_i (\partial_l [u_\varepsilon \times R_\varepsilon^l]^i) - \partial_i (\partial_l u_\varepsilon \times R_\varepsilon^l)^i = \partial_l \operatorname{div}(u_\varepsilon \times R_\varepsilon^l) - \operatorname{div}(\partial_l u_\varepsilon \times R_\varepsilon^l). \end{aligned}$$

To summarize, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t(u_\varepsilon \cdot \omega_\varepsilon) + \operatorname{div} \left( u_\varepsilon (u_\varepsilon \cdot \omega_\varepsilon) + \left( p_\varepsilon - \frac{|u_\varepsilon|^2}{2} \right) \omega_\varepsilon \right) &= \omega_\varepsilon \cdot \operatorname{div} R_\varepsilon + u_\varepsilon \cdot \operatorname{curl} \operatorname{div}(R_\varepsilon) \\ &= 2 \operatorname{div}(\omega_\varepsilon R_\varepsilon) - 2 \nabla \omega_\varepsilon : R_\varepsilon - \operatorname{div}(u \times \operatorname{div} R) \\ &= 2 \operatorname{div}(\omega_\varepsilon R_\varepsilon) - 2 \nabla \omega_\varepsilon : R_\varepsilon + \operatorname{div}(\partial_l u \times R_\varepsilon^l) - \operatorname{div}(\partial_l (u_\varepsilon \times R_\varepsilon^l)) \\ &= -2 \nabla \omega_\varepsilon : R_\varepsilon + \operatorname{div}(2 \omega_\varepsilon R_\varepsilon + \partial_l u \times R_\varepsilon^l - \partial_l (u_\varepsilon \times R_\varepsilon^l)). \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

**STEP 4:** We check that all the terms in the divergence at the right hand side go to 0 in the sense of distributions. Fix a time slice  $t \in [0, T]$ . To begin, we prove that  $R_\varepsilon(t) \rightarrow 0$  in  $H_{\operatorname{loc}}^{1/2}(\Omega)$ . By Lemma 2.8 it holds that  $u_\varepsilon(t) \otimes u_\varepsilon(t) \rightarrow u(t) \otimes u(t)$  in  $H_{\operatorname{loc}}^{1/2}(\Omega)$  and, by Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.7, the same holds for  $(u(t) \otimes u(t))_\varepsilon$ . Thus,  $R_\varepsilon(t) \rightarrow 0$  in  $H_{\operatorname{loc}}^{1/2}(\Omega)$ . By Lemma 2.4 we infer that  $\partial_l u_\varepsilon^i(t) R_\varepsilon^{j,k}(t) \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ , for any  $i, j, k = 1, 2, 3$ . Moreover, given a test function  $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(U \times I)$ , take an open set  $W$  such that  $U \subset\subset W \subset\subset V$ . Then, by Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.4, Lemma 2.7 and Lemma 2.8, for  $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0 = \min\{\operatorname{dist}(U, W^c), \operatorname{dist}(W, V^c)\}$ , it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \partial_i u_\varepsilon^l(t), R_\varepsilon^{j,k}(t) \varphi(t) \rangle| &\lesssim \|u_\varepsilon\|_{H^{1/2}(W)} \|R_\varepsilon(t) \varphi(t)\|_{H^{1/2}(W)} \\ &\lesssim \|u\|_{H^{1/2}(V)} \|u_\varepsilon(t)\|_{H^{1/2}(W)} \|u_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^\infty(W)} \\ &\lesssim \|u(t)\|_{H^{1/2}(V)}^2 \|u(t)\|_{L^\infty(V)} =: g(t), \end{aligned}$$

where the implicit constant depends also on  $\varphi, W$ . Since  $u \in L^2(I; H^{1/2}(V)) \cap L^\infty(V \times I)$ , then we infer that  $g \in L^1(I)$ . Hence, we conclude that  $\partial_l u_\varepsilon^i R_\varepsilon^{j,k} \rightarrow 0$  in  $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega \times (0, T))$ . This argument proves that

$$\operatorname{div}(2 \omega_\varepsilon R_\varepsilon + \partial_l u \times R_\varepsilon^l) \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(\Omega \times (0, T)).$$

Similarly, it can be checked that

$$\operatorname{div}(\partial_l (u_\varepsilon \times R_\varepsilon^l)) \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(\Omega \times (0, T)),$$

thus the right hand side in (3.6) vanishes in the sense of distributions as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

**STEP 5:** We consider the left hand side in (3.6). By the same argument of the previous step, we deduce that

$$\partial_t(u_\varepsilon \cdot \omega_\varepsilon) + \operatorname{div} \left( u_\varepsilon (u_\varepsilon \cdot \omega_\varepsilon) - \frac{|u_\varepsilon|^2}{2} \omega_\varepsilon \right) \rightarrow \partial_t(u \cdot \omega) + \operatorname{div} \left( u(u \cdot \omega) - \frac{|u|^2}{2} \omega \right) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{T}^3 \times (0, T)).$$

To conclude, by **STEP 1**, it holds that  $p \in L_{\operatorname{loc}}^1((0, T); H_{\operatorname{loc}}^{1/2}(\Omega))$ . Therefore, with the same argument of the previous step, we obtain that

$$\operatorname{div}(p_\varepsilon \omega_\varepsilon) \rightarrow \operatorname{div}(p \omega) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(\Omega \times (0, T)).$$

Finally, the term  $2 \nabla \omega_\varepsilon : R_\varepsilon$  (which a priori is not under control) defines a distribution in the limit by

$$\partial_t(u \cdot \omega) + \operatorname{div} \left( u(u \cdot \omega) + \left( p - \frac{|u|^2}{2} \right) \omega \right) = -D[u] = -\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} 2 \nabla \omega_\varepsilon : R_\varepsilon \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'(\Omega \times (0, T)).$$

□

*Proof of Corollary 1.3.* Fix open sets  $I \subset\subset (0, T)$ ,  $U \subset\subset V \subset\subset \Omega$ . For  $\varepsilon \leq \min\{\text{dist}(U, V^c)\}$ , by Lemma 2.9 we get that

$$\|\nabla\omega_\varepsilon(t) : R_\varepsilon(t)\|_{L^1(U)} \lesssim [u(t)]_{B_{3,\infty}^{2/3}(U)}^3 \ell_{u(t),U}(\varepsilon)^3.$$

Since  $\ell_{u(t),U}(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  for a.e.  $t \in (0, T)$ , we conclude that  $\nabla\omega_\varepsilon : R_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  in  $L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Omega \times (0, T))$  by dominated convergence.  $\square$

**3.2. Global helicity balance.** In this section we study the global helicity. We recall that, given a distribution  $T$  on an open set  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ , the support of  $T$  is the complement of the largest open set  $A$  such that  $T(\varphi) = 0$  for any  $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(A)$ . Consider a weak solution  $u \in L^2((0, T); H^{1/2}(\mathbb{T}^3)) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^3 \times (0, T))$  to (E) and let  $D[u]$  be the helicity distribution defined by Theorem 1.2. Under these assumptions, since  $\mathbb{T}^3$  has no physical boundary, then we can define the total helicity

$$H(t) := \langle \omega(t), u(t) \rangle$$

and (1.3)  $D[u]$  can be integrated in space. Thus, the time marginal of  $D[u]$  is the distributional derivative of the total helicity  $H$ . Inspired by [11, Lemma 2.1] we prove the following result.

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $u \in L^\infty((0, T), H^\theta(\mathbb{T}^3)) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^3 \times (0, T))$  be a solution of (E) with  $\theta \in (1/2, 2/3]$  such that  $H' \neq 0$ . Then*

$$\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\text{supp}(H')) \geq \frac{2\theta - 1}{1 - \theta}.$$

*Proof.* Denote by  $\sigma := \frac{2\theta - 1}{1 - \theta}$  and suppose by contradiction that  $\dim_{\mathcal{H}}(\text{supp}(H')) < \sigma$ . Then, by the definition of Hausdorff measure, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and any  $\delta > 0$  there exists  $N(\varepsilon, \delta) > 0$  and a finitely many balls  $\{B_{r_i}(t_i)\}_{i=1}^N$  with  $r_i \leq \delta$  and

$$\text{supp}(H') \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^N B_{r_i}(t_i), \quad \sum_{i=1}^N r_i^\sigma < \varepsilon.$$

Then, we prove that  $H' \equiv 0$ , which is a contradiction. By [10, Theorem 1.4], then  $H$  agrees almost everywhere with a  $C^\sigma$  function. Then, still denoting by  $H$  the continuous representative, for any  $t \in (0, T)$  we have that

$$|H(t) - H(0)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^N \sup_{\tau, s \in B_{r_i}(t_i)} |H(\tau) - H(s)| \leq \|H\|_{C^\sigma(\mathbb{T}^3)} \sum_{i=1}^N r_i^\sigma < \varepsilon \|H\|_{C^\sigma(\mathbb{T}^3)}.$$

In the first inequality we used that  $H$  is locally constant in the open set  $(\text{supp}(H'))^c$ , which is such that  $(\cup_{i=1}^N B_{r_i}(t_i))^c \subset (\text{supp}(H'))^c$ , and  $(\cup_{i=1}^N B_{r_i}(t_i))^c$  is a closed set. Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary we conclude that  $H \equiv H(0)$ .  $\square$

The remaining goal of this section is to prove Theorem 1.5, i.e. to study the variation of the total helicity in terms of the boundary flux of the vorticity. In particular, if the vorticity happens to be tangent to the boundary, then the total helicity is conserved. We recall that the condition of vanishing initial vorticity at the boundary is preserved by smooth Euler flows (see Lemma 2.12).

We recall some results needed in the proof of Theorem 1.5.

**Proposition 3.2** ([23, Theorem 3.2]). *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be a simply connected smooth bounded domain. Assume  $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$  has the impermeability condition in the sense of trace operator. There exists an implicit constant depending only on  $\Omega$  such that*

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \lesssim \|\text{curl}(u)\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|\text{div}(u)\|_{L^p(\Omega)}.$$

**Lemma 3.3** ([3, Lemma 3.5]). *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be a smooth domain and  $p \in (1, \infty)$ . Denote by*

$$X(\Omega) := \{u \in L^p(\Omega) : \text{curl}(u) \in L^p(\Omega), \text{div}(u) \in L^p(\Omega), u \cdot n = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega\},$$

where the condition  $u \cdot n = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$  is defined in the sense of the distributional normal traces. Then,  $W^{1,p}(\Omega) \cap X(\Omega)$  is dense in  $X(\Omega)$  with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|_X := \|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|\operatorname{curl}(u)\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|\operatorname{div}(u)\|_{L^p(\Omega)}.$$

The regularity of the pressure in bounded domains is rather delicate. We refer to [13, 14] and the references therein for a detailed presentation on the topic.

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be a simply connected bounded open set with smooth boundary. Let  $(u, p) \in L^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T))$  be a weak solution to (E) according to Definition 1.1 such that  $\omega = \operatorname{curl}(u) \in L^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T))$ . Assume that  $p(t)$  has zero average for a.e.  $t$ . Then, it holds that  $u \in L^\infty((0, T); H^{1/2}(\Omega))$  and  $(u, p) \in L^\infty((0, T); C^\alpha(\Omega))$  for any  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ .*

*Proof.* We fix a time  $t \in (0, T)$  and we prove all the estimates with respect to the spatial variables uniformly in time. Fix  $p \in (1, +\infty)$ . By Lemma 3.3 there exists  $u_\varepsilon \rightarrow u$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  with respect to the metric  $X$  introduced in Lemma 3.3. Applying Proposition 3.2 we find an implicit constant depending only on  $\Omega$  such that

$$\|\nabla u_\varepsilon\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \lesssim \|\operatorname{curl}(u_\varepsilon)\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|\operatorname{div}(u_\varepsilon)\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$$

for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ . The right hand side converges to  $\|\omega\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$  and on the left hand side by the lower semicontinuity of the norm we deduce that

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \lesssim \|\omega\|_{L^p(\Omega)}.$$

Since  $p \in (1, \infty)$  is arbitrary we deduce that  $u \in L^\infty((0, T); C^\alpha(\overline{\Omega}))$  for any  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . Then, it is immediate to deduce also that  $u \in L^2((0, T); H^{1/2}(\Omega))$ . For the pressure, for any  $\alpha \in (1/2, 1)$  by the discussion in [14, Section 2.1] and [13, Theorem 1.1] it follows that  $p(\cdot, t) \in C^{1, 2\alpha-1}(\Omega)$  and it holds

$$\|p(\cdot, t)\|_{C^{1, 2\alpha-1}(\Omega)} \lesssim \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{C^\alpha(\Omega)} \lesssim \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} + \|\omega(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}.$$

□

*Proof of Theorem 1.5.* Given  $r > 0$ , we define  $\chi_r \in W^{1, \infty}(\Omega)$  as in Proposition 2.11. Pick any test function  $\alpha \in C_c^\infty((0, T))$ . Since  $\chi_r$  is Lipschitz continuous and vanishing on  $\partial\Omega$ , it holds that  $D[u]$  can be tested against  $\varphi_r(x, t) := \chi_r(x)\alpha(t)$ . Under our assumptions, by Lemma 3.4 and Corollary 1.3,  $D[u]$  is well defined and it vanishes. Then, we have that

$$\underbrace{\int_0^T \alpha' \int_\Omega \omega \cdot u \chi_r \, dx \, dt}_{I_r} + \underbrace{\int_0^T \alpha \int_\Omega \chi_r (u \cdot \omega) u \, dx \, dt}_{II_r} + \underbrace{\int_0^T \alpha \int_\Omega \chi_r \left( p - \frac{|u|^2}{2} \right) \omega \, dx \, dt}_{III_r} = 0.$$

Since  $\chi_r \rightarrow 1$  pointwise and  $0 \leq \chi_r \leq 1$  and  $u, \omega \in L_{x,t}^\infty$ , by dominated convergence we

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} I_r = \int_0^T \alpha'(t) H(t) \, dt.$$

We recall that  $u(t)$  is tangent to the boundary in the sense of (1.1) and  $u(t) \in C^0(\overline{\Omega})$  for a.e.  $t$  by Lemma 3.4. Thus,  $u(t)$  has a normal Lebesgue trace on  $\partial\Omega$  according to Definition 2.10 and by the discussion in Section 2.3 and [7, Theorem 1.4] it holds that  $u(t) \cdot n \equiv 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$  for a.e.  $t \in (0, T)$ . Since  $u, \omega \in L_{x,t}^\infty$ , by Proposition 2.11 and dominated convergence we infer that  $II_r \rightarrow 0$  as  $r \rightarrow 0$ . Similarly, since  $u(t), p(t)$  have a full trace on  $\partial\Omega$  by Lemma 3.4 and  $\omega(t)$  is assumed to have normal Lebesgue trace  $\omega_n(t)$  according to Definition 2.10, by Proposition 2.11 and dominated convergence we infer that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} III_r = - \int_0^T \alpha(t) \left[ \int_{\partial\Omega} \left( \frac{|u|^2}{2} - p \right) \omega_n \, d\mathcal{H}^2(x) \right] dt.$$

□

**Acknowledgements.** MI is partially funded by the SNF grant FLUTURA: Fluids, Turbulence, Advection No. 212573. MS is supported by the Swiss State Secretariat for Education, Research and Innovation (SERI) under contract number MB22.00034 through the project TENSE.

## REFERENCES

- [1] L. Ambrosio, G. Crippa, and S. Maniglia, *Traces and fine properties of a BD class of vector fields and applications*, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6) **14** (2005), no. 4, 527–561.
- [2] L. Ambrosio, N. Fusco, and D. Pallara, *Functions of bounded variation and free discontinuity problems*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 2000.
- [3] C. Amrouche and N. E. H. Seloula,  *$L^p$ -theory for vector potentials and Sobolev's inequalities for vector fields: application to the Stokes equations with pressure boundary conditions*, Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci. **23** (2013), no. 1, 37–92.
- [4] D. W. Boutros and E. S. Titi, *On the conservation of helicity by weak solutions of the 3D Euler and inviscid MHD equations*, Preprint available at [arxiv:2410.00813](https://arxiv.org/abs/2410.00813) (2024).
- [5] A. Cheskidov, P. Constantin, S. Friedlander, and R. Shvydkoy, *Energy conservation and Onsager's conjecture for the Euler equations*, Nonlinearity **21** (2008), no. 6, 1233–1252.
- [6] P. Constantin, W. E, and E. S. Titi, *Onsager's conjecture on the energy conservation for solutions of Euler's equation*, Comm. Math. Phys. **165** (1994), no. 1, 207–209.
- [7] G. Crippa, L. D. Rosa, M. Inversi, and M. Nesi, *Normal traces and applications to continuity equations on bounded domains*, Preprint available at [arXiv:2405.11486](https://arxiv.org/abs/2405.11486) (2024).
- [8] C. De Lellis and L. Székelyhidi Jr., *The Euler equations as a differential inclusion*, Ann. of Math. (2) **170** (2009), no. 3, 1417–1436.
- [9] ———, *Dissipative continuous Euler flows*, Invent. Math. **193** (2013), no. 2, 377–407.
- [10] L. De Rosa, *On the helicity conservation for the incompressible Euler equations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **148** (2020), no. 7, 2969–2979.
- [11] L. De Rosa and S. Haffter, *Dimension of the singular set of wild Hölder solutions of the incompressible Euler equations*, Nonlinearity **35** (2022), no. 10, 5150–5192.
- [12] L. De Rosa and M. Inversi, *Dissipation in Onsager's critical classes and energy conservation in  $BV \cap L^\infty$  with and without boundary*, Comm. Math. Phys. **405** (2024), no. 1, Paper No. 6, 34.
- [13] L. De Rosa, M. Latocca, and G. Stefani, *On double Hölder regularity of the hydrodynamic pressure in bounded domains*, Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **62** (2023), no. 3, Paper No. 85, 31.
- [14] ———, *Full double Hölder regularity of the pressure in bounded domains*, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **3** (2024), 2511–2560.
- [15] E. Di Nezza, G. Palatucci, and E. Valdinoci, *Hitchhiker's guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces*, Bull. Sci. Math. **136** (2012), no. 5, 521–573.
- [16] R. J. DiPerna and P.-L. Lions, *Ordinary differential equations, transport theory and Sobolev spaces*, Invent. Math. **98** (1989), no. 3, 511–547.
- [17] J. Duchon and R. Robert, *Inertial energy dissipation for weak solutions of incompressible Euler and Navier-Stokes equations*, Nonlinearity **13** (2000), no. 1, 249–255.
- [18] G. L. Eyink, *Energy dissipation without viscosity in ideal hydrodynamics. I. Fourier analysis and local energy transfer*, Phys. D **78** (1994), no. 3-4, 222–240.
- [19] U. Frisch, *Turbulence*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1995. The legacy of A. N. Kolmogorov.
- [20] P. Isett, *A proof of Onsager's conjecture*, Ann. of Math. (2) **188** (2018), no. 3, 871–963.
- [21] G. Leoni, *A first course in fractional Sobolev spaces*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 229, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, [2023] ©2023.
- [22] H. Triebel, *Theory of function spaces*, Monographs in Mathematics, vol. 78, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1983.
- [23] W. von Wahl, *Estimating  $\nabla u$  by  $\operatorname{div} u$  and  $\operatorname{curl} u$* , Math. Methods Appl. Sci. **15** (1992), no. 2, 123–143.

(M. Inversi) DEPARTEMENT MATHÉMATIK UND INFORMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT BASEL, SPIEGELGASSE 1, 4051 BASEL, CH

*Email address:* [marco.inversi@unibas.ch](mailto:marco.inversi@unibas.ch)

(M. Sorella) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, IMPERIAL COLLEGE LONDON, SW7 2AZ, LONDON, UK

*Email address:* [m.sorella@imperial.ac.uk](mailto:m.sorella@imperial.ac.uk)