

Impact of a reclassification of Web of Science articles on bibliometric indicators

Agénor Lahatte¹ (1), Élisabeth de Turckheim² (1)

(1) Hcéres - Science and Technology Observatory

2, rue Albert Einstein, 75013 PARIS

Corresponding author agenor.lahatte@hceres.fr

Abstract

In order to avoid the ambiguous classification of articles in multiple categories in the Web of Science and the resulting complication of bibliometric indicators, a reclassification of articles in the Web of Sciences categories was carried out according to the method of S. Milojević (2020). The higher hierarchical level from the OST classification into 11 scientific disciplines is also revised. Though in most cases articles are assigned to a subject category close to the original category, the reclassification changes the subject category of about 50% of the documents of the database. Therefore, the world distribution of disciplines and disciplinary profiles of scientific actors are modified. A sample of twenty five countries highlights the impact of the reclassification on country specialization indexes. Field-normalized indicators are also impacted. The level of changes is explored in the case of the Mean Normalized Citation Indicator (MNCS). A more in-depth analysis of the MNCS in Mathematics is carried out and reveals different strategies of countries to publish works with a mathematical background.

Keywords: *WoS scientific categories, journal-based classification, paper-based classification, disciplinary specialization, MNCS*

Introduction

Scientific classifications are necessary to bibliometric analyses and many classification schemes have been proposed and used. Historically, classifications of scientific publications are via journals. Challenging the classifications of the databases WoS and Scopus (Wang & Waltman, 2016), new classifications of journals have been proposed, based on quantitative methods using similarity measures of citation data or hybrid methods (Borner, et al. 2012, Leydesdorff et al. 2017, Archambault et al. 2011). However such classifications have difficulties with multidisciplinary journals and with journals with a low specialization that does not fit with a precise classification scheme. In general, these journals are assigned to multiple categories. This multiple assignment leads to computational complications as fractional disciplinary counts in indicator definitions. Moreover, precise statistical methods based on sample simulations as those developed by Thelwal & Fairclough (2017) become very difficult to design and implement.

Classifications at the level of individual publications avoid these disadvantages (Boyack et al. 2011; Klavans and Boyack 2017; Waltman and van Eck, 2012). High quality algorithms have been developed (Traag et al, 2019). The CWTS classification for instance is based on direct citation data and open algorithms (Waltman & van Eck, 2012) and is now available on OpenAlex (Waltman & van Eck, 2024). This is surely the right choice for future developments.

However at OST, we historically use the WoS database and its classification system and we need to maintain a continuity between our regular reports. We thus implemented the reclassification algorithm into Web of Science categories proposed by Milojević (2020) which assigns a single category to each publication and reclassifies individual publications of multidisciplinary journals in disciplinary categories. The new category assigned to each paper is the most popular category of the references of the paper. Milojević's method restores a consistency between the category of a paper and the categories of its references. With some adjustments of Milojević's algorithm, we reclassified the whole OST in-house version of the WoS database and we use it since 2023 for our research works and our regular reports.

Though the names of WoS categories are conserved in this new classification, the documents in each category are different between the original WoS classification and the OST new classification. We say that documents *migrate* from a category to another. These migrations may be important. Shu et al. (2019) compared the classification system of science between the journal-level and the paper-level classification by authors recorded in the Chinese Science Citation Database and revealed the extent of paper misclassification in journal classifications. Milojević (2020) observed about 50% of reclassifications with her method which is consistent with Shu et al. (2019).

Bibliometric indicators are impacted by such reclassification and there is a need to measure and explain the impact of a reclassification on the resulting bibliometric analyses. For instance, Shu et al. (2020) explore how the reclassification at paper level in their dataset changes the productivity ranks of actors by discipline. Changes in disciplinary profiles can be measured with country specialization indexes. Field-normalized indicators are also impacted by a reclassification (Leydesdorff & Bormann, 2016) and we explore the case of the Mean Normalized Citation Count (MNCS) (Waltman et al. 2010).

1 <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1031-4364>

2 <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2372-5410>

After a description of Milojević’s reclassification algorithm in WoS categories and its OST adaptation and its extension to a higher hierarchical level of *disciplines* (Section 1), we briefly describe how categories are modified to pinpoint attractive and scattered categories (Section 2) with similar statistics as Shu et al. (2020) and how the distribution of world publications into disciplines is modified (Section 3). The impact on country disciplinary specialization indexes is discussed (Section 3) and on country MNCS by disciplines (Section 4). A more in-depth analysis of the variation of the MNCS in Mathematics is then carried up in Section 5 with a decomposition of category migrations as migrations within the mathematics discipline and migrations between mathematics and other disciplines.

1 Reclassification of WoS documents

Stasa Milojević (2019) suggested to keep nominal WoS categories and to revise the assignment of each article in these categories. Milojević’s reclassification is based on citation information, selecting their most frequent WoS category of the references of a paper as its paper-category (*P-category*). A unique category is chosen for each article. Papers in multidisciplinary journals are assigned to disciplinary categories.

1.1 Data

We use the whole in-house version of the WOS database³ as uploaded on May 5th, 2023. For the classification algorithm, we use all documents having WoS categories (called J-categories, as they are defined by the journal). Whereas all possible document on the database is used in classification algorithm, we provide statistics only for the “standard perimeter” of documents that are of type Article, Review or Conference Proceedings (denoted *Corpus Y*).

1.2 Reclassification algorithm

Each paper in the database with at least 2 references in the base will be assigned a unique P-category (category assigned by paper) obtained by a reclassification algorithm very similar to Milojević’s (2019). The P-categories have the same titles as the original WoS J-categories. Ten multidisciplinary categories are removed, as there are not selected as possible P-categories⁴.

The algorithm selects a unique category for each paper which is the most frequent category of its references. WoS categories of references are counted with fractional counts and references in the 10 multidisciplinary categories are ignored. A first run allows to assign a P-category to the documents that have a unique maximal J-category. Two others runs are necessary to adjust the P-category of a paper to the P-categories of its references when they are modified. This closes the first step (Run 1, 2 and 3, Table 1). As Milojević (2019), we solve the last tied issues in a second step, adding the paper category to the category count (Run 4, Table 1) and when ties remain, selecting the largest WoS category (Run 5, Table 1).

Table 1. Counts of reclassified documents at the different steps of the reclassification algorithm in corpus Z where Z is the subset of documents with at least 2 references. Counts are for Article, Review and Conference Proceedings types

	Documents to classify	Documents with assigned P-category	Documents without assigned P-category	Non-assigned documents with tied references	Non assigned documents with multi references
<i>Step1</i>					
Run 1	36,908,770	33,848,535	3,060,235	3,012,765	47,470
Run 2	36,908,770	34,482,274	2,426,496	2,406,552	19,944
Run 3	36,908,770	34,676,784	2,231,986	2,214,203	17,783
<i>Step 2</i>					
Run 4	2,231,986	997,342	<u>1,234,644</u>	1,224,853	9,791
Run 5	<u>1,234,644</u>	1,224,853	9,791	0	9,791
<i>Steps 1 + 2</i>	36,908,770	36,898,979	9,791		

A rule is finally defined to choose the P-category of 9,791 papers in multidisciplinary J-categories that have all their

3 including 5 WoS Indexes: Science Citation Index expanded, Social Science Citation, Art & Humanities Citation, Essential Sources Citation Index, Conference Proceedings. The WoS-OST database contains scientific publications since 1999.

4 AGRICULTURE, MULTIDISCIPLINARY (AH) - HUMANITIES, MULTIDISCIPLINARY (BQ), BIOLOGY (CU), CHEMISTRY, MULTIDISCIPLINARY (DY) - ENGINEERING, MULTIDISCIPLINARY (IF), GEOSCIENCES, MULTIDISCIPLINARY (LE), MATERIALS SCIENCE, MULTIDISCIPLINARY (PM), MULTIDISCIPLINARY SCIENCES (RO), PHYSICS, MULTIDISCIPLINARY (UI), PSYCHOLOGY, MULTIDISCIPLINARY (VJ).

references in multidisciplinary J-categories. For these documents, we assign the largest P-category of all reclassified papers from the same J-multidisciplinary category.

1.3 Grouping categories into disciplines

OST uses a higher level of classification, that consists in 11 disciplines⁵ defined as subsets of WoS categories with some categories assigned to two disciplines (Bassecoulard & Zitt, 1999). To revise this level of the classification, we first define a P-discipline for each document with the previous algorithm starting with the assignment of documents to these disciplines derived from the journal. This step assigns a provisional P-discipline to each document. To design a hierarchical classification, we assign a single discipline to each P-category which is the largest P-discipline of the documents of the category. In some cases the category is broken down into two or more disciplines. The rule is to break down a category when the three following conditions are satisfied

- the predominant discipline is less than 80% on the category,
- the share of another discipline is more than 15% and has at least 5,000 documents,
- the selected disciplines are from different *domains*⁶.

For example, the category SUBSTANCE ABUSE is broken down into two categories SUBSTANCE ABUSE-Medical Research and SUBSTANCE ABUSE-Social sciences with codes GM-02 and GM-SS (Table 2).

In these cases, documents of the category are assigned to the sub-category related to their P-discipline if it exists or to the sub-category of a discipline of the same large domain, or to the largest sub-category after they have been inflated with the disciplines of the same domain.

Table 2. The 11 P-categories broken down into 2 or 3 mono-discipline categories

CODE	CATEGORY	Disc 1	Disc 2	Disc 3
GM	SUBSTANCE ABUSE	02	SS	-
IG	ENGINEERING, BIOMEDICAL	07	02	-
LJ	GERONTOLOGY	SS	02	-
NE	PUBLIC, ENVIRONMENTAL & OCCUPATI	02	SS	-
PI	MARINE & FRESHWATER BIOLOGY	06	03	-
PW	MEDICAL LABORATORY TECHNOLOGY	02	07	-
RZ	NURSING	02	SS	-
VE	PSYCHIATRY	02	SS	-
VX	PSYCHOLOGY, EXPERIMENTAL	SS	01	-
WC	REHABILITATION	02	SS	-
HB	EDUCATION, SCIENTIFIC DISCIPLINES	02	05	SS

We therefore have two classifications of documents into the 11 disciplines: the old OST disciplines derived from WoS categories and the old correspondence: to be short, we call them **WoS disciplines** and we now call **OST disciplines** the new disciplines based on OST categories and the new hierarchical correspondence just described (Lahatte & Turckheim 2024, Supplementary document 1).

1.4 Final adjustments

Documents with less than two references

In the OST version of the WoS database (May 2023), there are **6,206,034 documents** of type Article, Review and Conference Proceeding with less than two references in the base (denoted corpus W). We leave these documents in their J-Category (MULTIDISCIPLINARY categories excluded) - or the largest category if the journal is assigned to multiple categories. The size of the whole corpus Y of documents of type Article, Review and Conference Proceeding (called OST standard perimeter) is

$$\text{Nb docs}(Y) = \text{Nb docs}(Z) + \text{Nb docs}(W) = 36,908,770 + 6,206,034 = 43,114,804$$

Removing 14 very small categories

Some P-categories have a very low number of documents. This is the case for small J-categories for some recently introduced categories or categories of interface fields that are mainly reclassified in one of the historic fields they emerged from. Too small categories may be hazardous to normalize individual scores by category, year and type of

5 Humanities (SH), Social sciences (SS), Biology (01), Medical research (02), Applied Biology & Ecology (03), Chemistry (04), Physics (05), Earth & Universe sciences (06), Engineering (07), Computer science (75), Mathematics (08)

6 Life sciences, Physics and Engineering, Humanities and Social sciences

document. Therefore these small categories are removed and their documents are assigned to the second most frequent P-category of the whole set of their references (Table 3).

Table 3. The 14 small categories merged with another category

REMOVED CATEGORY	Nb docs Y	MERGED CATEGORY	DISCIPLINES
RX NEUROIMAGING	44	RT CLINICAL NEUROLOGY	02
BV PSYCHOLOGY, BIOLOGICAL	302	CN BEHAVIORAL SCIENCES	01
QS QUANTUM SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY	449	UH PHYSICS, ATOMIC, MOLECULAR & C	05
CT CELL & TISSUE ENGINEERING	453	IG02 ENGINEERING, BIOMEDICAL_02	01 → 02
OO MEDICAL ETHICS	505	PY MEDICINE, GENERAL & INTERNAL	02
ML PRIMARY HEALTH CARE	1,284	PY MEDICINE, GENERAL & INTERNAL	02
FS DANCE	1,358	YG THEATER	SH
MR HISTORY OF SOCIAL SCIENCES	1,408	MM HISTORY	SH
QL LOGIC	1,596	PQ MATHEMATICS	075 → 08
OU LIMNOLOGY	1,629	JA ENVIRONMENTAL SCIENCES	06
AZ ANDROLOGY	1,803	WF REPRODUCTIVE BIOLOGY	02 → 01
WV SOCIAL SCIENCES, BIOMEDICAL	2,561	PY MEDICINE, GENERAL & INTERNAL	SS → 02
PS SOCIAL SCIENCES, MATHEMATICAL	2,726	XY STATISTICS & PROBABILITY	SS → 08
VS PSYCHOLOGY, MATHEMATICAL	2,913	XY STATISTICS & PROBABILITY	SH → 08
Total	19,031		

1.5 OST classification: Summary

The final 2-level OST new classification is therefore strictly hierarchical with a lower level of 242 P-Categories (i.e. 254 WoS categories - 10 MULTIDISCIPLINARY + 12 split categories - 14 removed small categories) and a higher level of 11 disciplines.

After merging the 14 small categories in other categories, the number of papers that stay in the same nominal category (i.e. with a P-category identical to one of its J-categories) is 51.26% for the corpus Z of reclassified documents and 57.32% for the corpus Y of all papers in the standard OST perimeter⁷.

This proportion may seem low and suggests that the reclassification process is susceptible to heavily impact the usual bibliometric indicators. However, this proportion of changes is consistent with the own choices of authors when they precise the category of their papers (Shu et al. 2019).

A comforting assumption is that 'migrations' (i.e. changes from a J-category to a different nominal P-category) are mainly between categories with close scientific objects and methods. We explore this assumption in the following section.

2 Comparison of the two classifications: quantitative results

We explore the migrations for documents in corpus Y (the standard OST perimeter) and we report statistics for the period 2010-2022.

2.1 Migrations between categories

Attention could be focused on two types of categories: attracting categories when the P-category gathers many papers from other J-categories and scattered categories when many papers from a J-category are assigned to other P-categories. We use the following ratios similar to those of Shu et al. (2020).

Ratio J is the percentage of papers of a J-category that are classified in a different P-Category (we use fractional counts for documents in multiple WoS categories).

Ratio P is the percentage of papers of the P-category that come from a different J-category.

When the Ratio J is large, the J-category is dispersed in other P-categories. When the Ratio P is large, the category is attractive. When both ratios are large, the category is shuffled (Figure 1).

⁷ For this count, we do not use fractional counts in WoS categories and we consider that a paper reclassified in one of its WoS category does not count as a category change. If we had used fractional counts, the proportions in Z and Y would have been 36.72% and 41.04%




Figure 1. Comparison between journal classification and paper classification, by categories (2010-2022).

Among the most attractive categories the P-category MEDICINE, GENERAL & INTERNAL (PY) has 93% of papers coming from another J-category while 56% (100% - 44%) of the J-category stayed in the P-category. This particular observation suggests a large reorganisation inside the Medical Research discipline (Figure 1a). Imported papers come from many medical research categories.

Among the most shuffled categories (attractive and scattered): BIOPHYSICS (DA), MATHEMATICAL & COMPUTATIONAL BIOLOGY (MC), MATERIALS SCIENCE, BIOMATERIALS (QE), PSYCHOLOGY (VI), NANOSCIENCE & NANOTECHNOLOGY (NS), BEHAVIORAL SCIENCES (CN).

On the contrary, many categories are conserved (Figure 1b) partly because the documents with less than two references in the WoS base are left in their J-category. This is not true for categories in Psychology whose perimeters are widely modified.




Figure 1a. Comparison between journal classification and paper classification for Medical categories (2010-2022).




Figure 1b. Comparison between journal classification and paper classification for Humanities categories (2010-2022).

We could describe these category reorganisation with an alluvial graph showing migration between categories. As these graphs are not easy to summarize, we restrict this analysis to migration between disciplines.

2.2 Distribution of world publications in disciplines for the two classifications

The migrations between categories have an impact on disciplines when category migrations occur between categories of different disciplines. The overall distribution across disciplines shows that discipline sizes are roughly preserved (Figure 2). Main changes concern Medical Research and Biology that are increasing (+16.2%, +28.1%). Other disciplines sizes are reduced (Applied Biology, -11.9%, Engineering -9.4% , Computer science -16.4%).




Figure 2. World distribution of papers across disciplines for WoS and OST classifications (publications years 2010-2022).

The alluvial graph of migrations (Figure 3) shows that there are many exchanges between the disciplines in Life science and also between Chemistry and Physics. A subset of papers classified as Engineering by their journal are reassigned to Chemistry, Physics or Computer science, due to their numerous references to these disciplines. Computer Science and Engineering exchange a part of their papers probably due to numerous applications of computer science in engineering. There is also a subset of papers in social sciences journals that are now classified as medical research.




Figure 3. Alluvial graph of migrations from disciplines based on J-categories - denoted *WoS Disciplines* - for Disciplines derived from WoS categories - to disciplines based on P-categories - denoted *OST Disciplines* - for the whole world.

3 Impact of category revision on country specialization indexes

As many documents migrate from WoS categories to OST categories, the distribution of documents in disciplines also change. If the changes are different among countries, specialization indexes of countries will change⁸.

Countries with most important changes of specialization indexes are India, Japan, Korea, Brazil, Russia, Iran, Taiwan and Pakistan showing a variation above 15% (Figure 4), (Lahatte & Turkheim 2024, Supplementary Document 2). On the contrary, changes for European and North American countries and Australia are lower. This means that these countries more often publish papers in journals of the “right” discipline from the WoS point of view. This remark may either suggest that WoS categorization is more consistent with the paper background of Western publications.

⁸ The specialization index in a discipline for a country is the ratio of the proportion of papers in the discipline for the country to the same proportion for the world




Figure 4. Variation of discipline specialization indexes for the 25 countries with more than 150,000 publications (2010-2022). Country order is of decreasing total number of publications.

Some of these changes are partly explained by a single type a migration from one discipline to another discipline. Such hypotheses can be supported when the rate of migrations between the corresponding pair of disciplines is more important for the country than for the whole world. This is the case for **India, Korea, Taiwan, Brazil and Iran** as confirmed by migration rates in Table 4 (Lahatte & Turkheim 2024, Supplementary Document 3). But of course, complex exchanges, involving more than two disciplines, also occur and they are not easy to track

Table 4: Comparison of discipline migration rates for specific pairs of disciplines between five selected countries and the world

Country	WoS Discipline	OST Discipline	World rate of migration	Country rate of migration	Country rate / World rate
India	Computer sciences	Engineering	0.26	0.35	1.36
Korea	Chemistry	Physics	0.14	0.23	1.68
Taiwan	Chemistry	Physics	0.14	0.25	1.81
Taiwan	Computer sciences	Engineering	0.26	0.34	1.34
Taiwan	Computer sciences	Social sciences	0.05	0.08	1.70
Brazil	Social sciences	Medical research	0.17	0.23	1.39
Iran	Engineering	Chemistry	0.11	0.13	1.20
Iran	Computer sciences	Engineering	0.26	0.38	1.48

4 Impact of the reclassification on the MNCS indicator

The MNCS indicator is the mean of document normalized citation scores where a document normalized score $ncs(d)$ is the ratio of the document number of citations over the mean number of citations of documents of the same type, in the same category and for the same year of publication (Waltman et al. 2010).

As other field normalized indicators, MNCS is modified when fields change. We explore these changes for the same 25 countries, for publication year 2019 and for document types *article*, *review* and *proceedings* (Lahatte & Turkheim 2024, Supplementary Document 4).

The first finding is that the overall MNCS is only slightly modified. A maximal difference (0.48) is observed for Switzerland which has rank 1 in both classifications (Figure 5). Rank changes among these 25 countries are less than 2 contiguous positions for most countries except for Germany and Spain that gain two positions and Egypt that loses 2 positions.





Figure 5. MNCS comparison between WoS and OST classifications (year 2019, all disciplines). Country order for decreasing WoS MNCS.

More important changes are observed for the disciplines, for example, in Chemistry, Physics, Mathematics and Humanities as reported in Table 5 (Figures 6.1, 6.2, 7).

Table 5. MNCS rank changes for the 25 countries by discipline (year of publication 2019). Only changes of more than two positions are reported. MNCS differences more than 0.2 are **bold**

DISCIPLINE	COUNTRY	Rank moved upwards more than 2 positions			Rank moved downwards more than 2 positions			
		RANK MNCS WoS	RANK MNCS OST	Diff MNCS	COUNTRY	RANK MNCS WoS	RANK MNCS OST	Diff MNCS
BIOLOGY	BRAZIL	22	19	-0.02	PAKISTAN	14	20	-0.22
MEDICAL RESEARCH	SWITZERLAND	5	2	0.07	IRAN	18	21	-0.15
	POLAND	19	16	0.05				
	IRAN	20	17	0.04				
APPLIED BIOLOGY - ECOLOGY					KOREA	13	19	0.02
CHEMISTRY	SAUDI ARABIA	9	4	0.13				
	PAKISTAN	24	18	0.35				
PHYSICS	SPAIN	13	10	0.08	EGYPT	9	15	-0.15
	CHINA	15	12	0.08	PAKISTAN	12	18	-0.24
	KOREA	19	14	0.13	IRAN	16	20	-0.15
	JAPAN	20	16	0.09	TURKEY	17	22	-0.12
EARTH & UNIVERSE SCIENCES	TAIWAN	20	17	0.03	CHINA	6	10	-0.07
					EGYPT	16	19	-0.09
ENGINEERING					CHINA	12	15	-0.04
COMPUTER SCIENCE	no change				no change			
MATHEMATICS	THE NETHERLANDS	12	5	0.31	TAIWAN	3	7	-0.22
	BRAZIL	21	18	0.12	CHINA	6	10	-0.08
					TURKEY	11	16	-0.03
HUMANITIES					IRAN	19	23	-0.05
	SPAIN	19	15	0.05	PAKISTAN	14	20	-0.24
	FRANCE	21	16	0.12	IRAN	15	21	-0.25
	RUSSIA	22	19	0.12				
SOCIAL SCIENCES	AUSTRALIA	7	3	0.09	SWITZERLAND	2	6	-0.04
	PAKISTAN	13	9	0.21	CANADA	9	13	-0.04

Main changes occur for Asian countries, in both directions as for example Pakistan which MNCS is decreased in Biology, Physics and Humanities and increased in Chemistry. These changes cannot be simply related with variation of discipline weights. Considering for instance MNCS changes larger than 0.20, there are simultaneous large changes in specialization indexes and MNCS for Pakistan in Physics (specialization decreased of 0.2, MNCS decrease of 0.24) or in Chemistry (specialization decreased of 0.3, MNCS increase of 0.35). Conversely, though the Physics specialization indexes increase for Taiwan and Korea, or the Chemistry specialization for Iran, we do not observe big changes of their MNCS for these disciplines. Therefore more insight is expected to understand the causes of MNCS variation.



Figures 6.1 & 6.2. MNCS comparison between normalization based on WoS and on OST categories for the disciplines Chemistry and Physics (all document types, publication year 2019).

5 MNCS in Mathematics

Changes of MNCS values result from changes in the normalizing factors between the WoS category and the OST category of each document but also from individual number of citations of papers migrating from and to the discipline. We study this issue with some details for the Mathematics discipline for the 25 countries of our sample (Figure 7).




Figure 7. MNCS Mathematics for WoS and OST normalizations (articles only, year of publication 2019).

To understand the cause of variation of the MNCS in Mathematics between its two values - denoted respectively as

$$MNCS_{WoS}(08) \text{ and } MNCS_{OST}(08),$$

we need to consider three sets of documents: the subset of documents in Mathematics for both classifications, denoted B, documents in the discipline Mathematics for the WoS categories but not for OST - denoted A - and documents in the discipline Mathematics for OST but not for WoS - denoted C (Figure 8).




Figure 8. Subsets contributing to the Mathematics discipline in the two classifications.

The mean normalized citation scores are

$$MNCS_{WoS}(08) = \frac{NCS_{WoS}(A+B)}{Nbdoc(A+B)} \quad \text{and} \quad MNCS_{OST}(08) = \frac{NCS_{OST}(B+C)}{Nbdoc(B+C)}.$$

where each normalized score NCS is the sum of two terms.

Breaking down the MNCS difference as the sum of an intra-discipline and an inter-discipline component, we get

$$MNCS_{OST}(08) - MNCS_{WoS}(08) = D1 + D2$$

where $D1 = MNCS_{OST}(B)d_{OST} - MNCS_{WoS}(B)d_{WoS}$ Intra-discipline component (1)

$D2 = MNCS_{OST}(C)(1 - d_{OST}) - MNCS_{WoS}(A)(1 - d_{WoS})$ Inter-discipline component (2)

with $d_{WoS} = \frac{Nbdoc(B)}{Nbdoc(A+B)}$ and $d_{OST} = \frac{Nbdoc(B)}{Nbdoc(C+B)}$.⁹

This decomposition of the MNCS difference into its intra- and inter-discipline components is displayed in Figure 9 (Lahatte & Turckheim 2024, Supplementary Document 5).




Figure 9. Difference of MNCS (2019, articles only) for the discipline Mathematics between WoS and OST normalizations and its decomposition into intra- and inter-discipline components.

⁹ For our data, $0.68 < d_{WoS} < 0.88$ and $0.61 < d_{OST} < 0.88$

Findings

The 8 largest MNCS gains are for European countries (The Netherlands, Great Britain, Switzerland (CHE), Italy, Poland, France) and two American countries, Mexico and Brazil. Among the main MNCS increases, five of them are mainly due to intra-discipline reclassification: **Mexico, Italy, Poland, Brazil and Japan** and three of them due to inter-discipline reclassification: **The Netherlands, Great Britain and Switzerland** with the largest gain for The Netherlands.

For four countries with a negative overall MNCS variation, this negative difference is due to a large loss of the intra-discipline component for **Pakistan, Saudi Arabia and Egypt** that is not compensated by an important gain in the inter-discipline component. For China, on contrary, the negative MNCS variation is due to a loss of the inter-discipline component not compensated by a gain in the intra-discipline component.

5.1 More insight on the causes of variation: Intra-discipline migrations

For countries with a large increase of the intra-discipline component, it can be confirmed that the variation is mainly due to important migrations from the highly cited category (PN, MATHEMATICS, APPLIED) to the less cited category PQ, MATHEMATICS. In such cases, the normalized citation score of each paper increases as the normalizing factor (denominator) decreases (Table 6).

Table 6. Mean number of citations (the normalizing denominator) in the 3 or 4 categories of the Mathematics discipline for WoS and OST classifications

Code	Category	OST	WOS
PN	MATHEMATICS, APPLIED	8.49	6.81
PQ	MATHEMATICS	3.54	3.83
XY	STATISTICS & PROBABILITY	7.09	6.85
PO	MATHEMATICS, INTERDISCIPLINARY APPLICATIONS		8.90

This is the case for **Italy, Poland, Spain, Mexico, Brazil, China and Japan** that have the largest migration rates from WoS PN to OST PQ (Figure A1.1 in Appendix 1). Conversely, a large decrease of the intra-discipline component is due to important migrations from the less cited WoS category MATHEMATICS (PQ) to the highly cited OST category MATHEMATICS, APPLIED (PN). This is the case for **Pakistan, Saudi Arabia, Egypt, Turkey and Taiwan**.

This means that in Italy, Poland, Spain, Brazil, China and Japan, quite a few papers published in journals that Clarivate classifies as APPLIED MATHEMATICS journals are reclassified in the OST MATHEMATICS category because they mainly cite theoretical mathematics works. The other direction - applied mathematics works published in theoretical mathematics journals - explain the low value of the intra-discipline component for Pakistan, Saudi Arabia and Egypt, Turkey and Taiwan (Details in Appendix 1).

This could result from a different strategy of publication, namely different choices of journals to publish works in mathematics: the first countries tend to publish applied papers with pure mathematics references in applied mathematics journals when the second countries prefer to publish applied mathematics works in pure mathematics journals.

5.2 More insight on the causes of variation: Inter-discipline migrations

The inter-discipline term is the difference between two terms involving the MNCS of the subset of the papers migrating from categories in the WoS Mathematics discipline to other OST disciplines (set A) and of another subset of papers migrating from other WoS disciplines to one of the three OST categories in Mathematics (set C)

$$D_2 = D_{2C} - D_{2A} \quad \text{where}$$

$$D_{2C} = MNCS_{OST}(C)(1 - d_{OST}) \quad \text{and} \quad D_{2A} = MNCS_{WOS}(A)(1 - d_{WOS}).$$

The countries with the highest positive values of the inter-discipline variation are divided into two groups: **Group 1 (The Netherlands, Great Britain, Switzerland and the USA)** and **Group 2 (Turkey, Egypt and Saudi Arabia)**. These two groups differ for the balance between intra and inter-discipline components (Figure 9).

As high values of D2 are mainly due to high values of D2C (see Table A2.1 in the Appendix 2), it is relevant to analyse the migrations in C for these two groups of countries. <for the four countries of Group 1 the greatest migration rate is from WoS Social Science categories to the STATISTICS & PROBABILITY OST category (Table 7). Moreover, these high rates are specific for the 4 countries of Group 1 and three other countries (Figure A2.1 in Appendix 2).

Table 7. Maximal migrations from non Mathematics WoS disciplines to Mathematics OST categories for the 7 countries with highest inter-discipline term D2. Each reported disciplines contribute to more than 10% of C

Country	WoS Disc code	WoS Discipline	OST Cat code	OST category	Nb docs WoS disc - OST Cat	Nb docs in C	% in C
NLD	SS	SOCIAL SCIENCES	XY	STATISTICS & PROBABILITY	33.14	156.86	21.12
GBR	SS	SOCIAL SCIENCES	XY	STATISTICS & PROBABILITY	72.93	484.26	15.06
GBR	07	ENGINEERING	PN	MATHEMATICS, APPLIED	54.71		11.30
CHE	SS	SOCIAL SCIENCES	XY	STATISTICS & PROBABILITY	21.46	109.53	19.59
CHE	07	ENGINEERING	PN	MATHEMATICS, APPLIED	14.15		12.92
USA	SS	SOCIAL SCIENCES	XY	STATISTICS & PROBABILITY	329.61	2,282.86	14.44
USA	07	ENGINEERING	PN	MATHEMATICS, APPLIED	256.63		11.24
TUR	07	ENGINEERING	PN	MATHEMATICS, APPLIED	76.91	345.44	22.26
TUR	05	PHYSICS	PN	MATHEMATICS, APPLIED	70.58		20.43
TUR	075	COMPUTER SCIENC	PN	MATHEMATICS, APPLIED	34.80		10.07
EGY	05	PHYSICS	PN	MATHEMATICS, APPLIED	34.63	90.86	38.11
EGY	07	ENGINEERING	PN	MATHEMATICS, APPLIED	21.85		24.04
EGY	075	COMPUTER SCIENC	PN	MATHEMATICS, APPLIED	9.22		10.15
SAU	05	PHYSICS	PN	MATHEMATICS, APPLIED	51.31	176.03	29.15
SAU	07	ENGINEERING	PN	MATHEMATICS, APPLIED	30.48		17.32

Decomposing the WoS discipline into categories shows that this is often (for about 1/3 of C) due to papers published in SOCIAL SCIENCE, MATHEMATICAL METHODS journals reclassified in STATISTICS & PROBABILITY and also for Swiss papers in BUSINESS, FINANCE journals reclassified in STATISTICS & PROBABILITY (Table A2.3 in Appendix 2). The reclassification in STATISTICS & PROBABILITY of papers published in SOCIAL SCIENCE, MATHEMATICAL METHODS (PS) was expected but its impact on the Mathematics MNCS is not obvious. These papers - with more references in STATISTICS & PROBABILITY - may be more cited than those staying in the WoS category.

In contrast, the three countries of Group 2 have high rates of migration for publications in Physics (Table 7) and this is specific to these four countries and two other countries (Figure A2.1 in Appendix 2). These migrations from Physics to MATHEMATICS, APPLIED is widely because papers in PHYSICS, MULTIDISCIPLINARY (UI) journals (about the half of C) and papers in ENGINEERING, MULTIDISCIPLINARY (IF) (about 1/3 of C) are reclassified as MATHEMATICS, APPLIED papers (See Appendix 2, Table A2.3). The papers migrating from these two MULTIDISCIPLINARY WoS categories to the MATHEMATICS, APPLIED category are likely to be well cited and therefore to have high new normalized scores. The main finding resulting from this Group 2 is that papers published in multidisciplinary journals with many references to MATHEMATICS, APPLIED papers (that are therefore reclassified in this category), may be more cited than those staying in the original discipline.

Finally, the two countries with the lowest inter-discipline MNCS variation, China and Taiwan nearly have the highest values of D2A (last lines of Table A2.1 in Appendix 2) but the main migrations in A of these two countries are not specific to these two countries or to the four countries with the highest D2A. Therefore this does not provide a general hypothesis to explain low values of MNCS associated with large migrations from WoS Mathematics categories to other OST disciplines (D2A component).

5.3 Summary about MNCS variation for Mathematics

This short review of the MNCS variation shows what happens when reclassifying papers with papers having by the same specialized (category) skills. Their normalized scores are now built on more relevant data. This is the case for papers backed on theoretical or pure mathematics published in applied mathematics journals. This happens for countries from Europe, Central and South America or Far East (Italy, Poland, Spain, Mexico, Brazil, China and Japan). This is revealed by an increase of their MNCS in Mathematics.

In a set of other countries (Pakistan, Saudi Arabia, Egypt, Turkey and Taiwan), papers with a strong applicative background are published in journals classified by WoS as pure mathematics, and the correction of their normalized scores to fit their applied orientation decreases their MNCS in Mathematics.

Reclassification that changes the discipline of papers increases the inter-discipline MNCS component for all countries except for China and Taiwan. Applied mathematics references of papers published in multidisciplinary journals in Physics and Engineering from Turkey, Egypt and Saudi Arabia are related with an increase of the MNCS in Mathematics when they are reclassified on a paper basis as MATHEMATICS, APPLIED papers.

In general, reclassifying papers on the base of their references increases the MNCS in Mathematics. This is only true for eighteen countries of our 25 countries. Six other countries have large variations on both the intra and inter-discipline components (Turkey, Egypt, China, Saudi Arabia, Taiwan and Pakistan) where the increase in the inter-discipline component does not compensate the higher decrease of the intra-discipline component for four of them (Turkey, Egypt, Saudi Arabia and Pakistan). This suggest different strategies of publication - different choices of journals - for works in mathematics or based on mathematical tools. This could deserve a more detailed analysis of the preferred journals by

each country to publish research works in mathematics or backed on mathematical tools.

6 Conclusion

The reclassification of scientific publications in modified WoS categories meets the first requirements we wanted for the OST nomenclature: a classification at paper level, with no overlapping of categories and no multidisciplinary categories, a two-level strictly hierarchical classification, with a continuity of categories and disciplines names from WoS. It also restores a better consistency between each paper and its references.

However this solution has some weaknesses. First, the level of dependence on the initial classification is strong. This is measured in the update procedure. For instance, at the 2024 update, Clarivate modified the categories of 8,678 papers already registered and this led to change the OST categories of 221,652 papers (0.4% of the whole base).

Secondly, other methods based on reference links based on high performance algorithms exist and among them the CWTS citation topics (Waltman & van Eck, 2012, Traag et al. 2019) challenge our choice. Moreover, the CWTS classification is now available on Open Alex data (Waltman & van Eck, 2024) and this makes it very attractive. As the familiarity with WoS category names may be misleading because category perimeters could be shifted, getting familiar with completely new categories names might be worth it.

As we claim that field-normalized indicators should be more relevant when based on more precise categories, the change in these indicator values makes the argument of continuity less convincing. Therefore the revision of the WoS classification, now implemented for the second year at OST is only a short term development.

The interest of this work is mainly to show the impact of shifting from a journal based to a paper based classification on a field-normalized indicator as MNCS. We suggest that this change is related with the actors' publication strategies, that is the choice of journals to publish their research works. The case of the MNCS in mathematics shows that the boundary between pure and applied mathematics is not defined in the same way in various countries. It also shows how the citation score of papers with a strong mathematical background published in multidisciplinary journals may be under-evaluated when compared with the citations of the journal. The method can be used in other disciplines to reveal various strategies of publication of scientific actors.

Finally, computations of disciplinary MNCS values for institutions (not presented here) show a higher impact of the reclassification on the MNCS indicators and this deserves increased attention when ranking institutions with field-normalized indicators.

Acknowledgments

This work uses Web of Science data by Clarivate Analytics in the in-house version of Hcéres-OST.

We are grateful to Luis Miotti for his support during the whole OST nomenclature discussion process and for his advice to experiment Milosević's method.

Author contributions

Agénor Lahatte: conceptualization, data curation, formal analysis, software, validation, writing_review & editing.
Élisabeth Turckheim: conceptualization, formal analysis, validation, visualization, writing.

Competing interests

No competing interests to declare.

Funding

This work was funded by the Hcéres-OST current budget.

Data availability

The data used in this paper is proprietary and cannot be posted in a repository. Intermediate results are given as Supplementary Documents (Lahatte & Turckheim, 2024).

References

Archambault, É., Beauchesne, O. & H., Caruso, J. (2011) Towards a multilingual, Comprehensive and Open Scientific Journal Ontology. *Proceedings of the 13th International Conference of the International Society for Scientometrics and Informetrics ISSI* (pp. 66–77).

http://www.science-metrix.com/pdf/Towards_a_Multilingual_Comprehensive_and_Open.pdf

<https://zenodo.org/records/10030868>

Bassecoulard, E. & Zitt, M. (1999). Indicators in a research institute: A multi-level classification of scientific journals.

Scientometrics, 44(3), 323–345. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02458483>

Börner, K., Klavans, R., Patek, M., Zoss, A. M., Biberstine, J. R., Light R. P., Larivière, V., Boyack, K. W. (2012). Design and Update of a Classification System: The UCSD Map of Science. *PLoS ONE* 7(7) <https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0039464>

Boyack, K. W., Newman, D., Duhon, R. J., Klavans, R., Patek, M., Biberstine, J. R., et al. (2011). Clustering more than two million biomedical publications: Comparing the accuracies of nine text-based similarity approaches. *PLoS ONE*, 6(3), e18029. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0018029>

Klavans, R., & Boyack, K. W. (2017). Which type of citation analysis generates the most accurate taxonomy of scientific and technical knowledge? *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, 68(4), 984–998. <https://doi.org/10.1002/asi.23734>

Lahatte, A. & Turckheim, E. de (2024), *SUPPL_DOCUMENTS.zip* <https://zenodo.org/records/13860735>

Leydesdorff, L., & Bornmann, L. (2016). The operationalization of “fields” as WoS subject categories (WCs) in evaluative bibliometrics: The cases of “library and information science” and “science & technology studies.” *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, 67(3), 707–714. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0018029>

Leydesdorff, L., Bornmann, L. & Wagner, C. S. (2017) Generating Clustered *Journal Maps*: An Automated System for Hierarchical Classification *Scientometrics*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-016-2226-5>

Milojević, S. (2020). Practical method to reclassify Web of Science articles into unique subject categories and broad disciplines. *Quantitative Science Studies*, https://doi.org/10.1162/qss_a_00014

Shu, F., Julien, C.-A., Zhang, L., Qiu, J., Zhang, J. & Larivière, V. (2019). Comparing journal and paper level classifications of science. *Journal of Informetrics*, 13(1), 202–225. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joi.2018.12.005>

Shu, F., Ma, Y., Qiu, J. & Larivière, V. (2020). Classifications of science and their effects on bibliometric evaluations. *Scientometrics* 125, 2727–2744 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11192-020-03701-4>

Thelwal, M. & Fairclough, R. (2017). The accuracy of confidence intervals for Field normalized indicators. *J. of Informetrics* <https://doi:10.1016/j.joi.2017.03.004>

Traag, V. A., Waltman, L. & van Eck, N. J. (2019). From Louvain to Leiden : guaranteeing well-connected communities. *Scientific Reports* <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-41695-z>

Waltman, L., van Eck, N.J., van Leeuwen, T. N., Visser, M.S. & van Raan, A. (2010). Towards a new crown indicator: Some theoretical considerations. *Journal of Informetrics* 5(1):37-47 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joi.2010.08.001>

Waltman, L. & van Eck, N. J. (2012). A new methodology for constructing a publication-level classification system of science. *J. Assoc Inf Sci Technol.* 66-12 (pp 2378-2392). <https://doi.org/10.1002/asi.22748>

Waltman, L., & van Eck, N. J. (2024) *An open approach for classifying research publications* <https://www.leidenmadtrics.nl/articles/an-open-approach-for-classifying-research-publications>

Wang, Q., & Waltman, L., (2016). Large-scale analysis of the accuracy of the journal classification systems of Web of Science and Scopus. *J. of Informetrics* <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joi.2016.02.003>

Appendix 1 : Intra-discipline migrations

As the normalizing factors for WoS and OST categories¹⁰ of the discipline Mathematics (08) are different, when a document migrates from a WoS category to an OST category, the normalization of its number of citations is modified. For both classifications, the normalizing factors (denominators) are large for PN and low for PQ (Table A1.1). Therefore the normalized scores of papers increase when papers migrates from PN to PQ and decrease when papers migrate from PQ to PN (Table A1.2, where migrations that significantly increase normalized scores are in dark grey cells and those that decreases it are in light grey cells).

10 Four categories for WoS and three categories for OST because MATHEMATICS, INTERDISCIPLINARY APPLICATIONS (PO) is in the discipline *Mathematics* for the WoS classification and in the discipline *Engineering* for the OST classification

Table A1.1: Mean number of citations in the 7 categories of the discipline MATHEMATICS for WoS and OST classification

Code	Category	OST	WOS
PN	MATHEMATICS, APPLIED	8.49	6.81
PQ	MATHEMATICS	3.54	3.83
XY	STATISTICS & PROBABILITY	7.09	6.85
PO	MATHEMATICS, INTERDISCIPLINARY APPLICATIONS		8.90

Table A1.2. Difference of normalization of the number of citations when a paper migrates from a WoS category to an OST category: $1/\text{mean Nbcit_OST} - 1/\text{mean Nbcit_WoS}$

WoS → OST	PN	PQ	XY
PN	-0.03	0.14	-0.01
PO	0.01	0.17	0.03
PQ	-0.14	0.02	-0.12
XY	-0.03	0.14	-0.01

We therefore explore the percentage of documents migrating for the 5 migration types PN to PQ, PO to PQ, XY to PQ and also from PQ to PN and PQ to XY. The data for the 25 countries show that, among the five migrations, only the migrations from PN to PQ and from PQ to PN are important (Fig A1.1), (Lahatte & Turckheim 2024, Supplementary Document 6).

The highest migrations from WoS-PN to OST-PQ are observed for Italy, Poland, Spain, Mexico, Brazil, China and Japan (in decreasing order of the migration rate). This explains the positive values of the intra-discipline MNCS variation for these seven countries as displayed on Figure 9.

The highest migration rates in the other direction, from WoS-PQ to OST-PN, are for Pakistan, Saudi Arabia, Egypt, Taiwan and Turkey (with decreasing migration rates) and this explain the negative values of the intra-discipline MNCS variation for these five countries.




Figure A1.1. Migration rates (% of B) from WoS MATHEMATICS (PQ) and to OST MATHEMATICS (PQ) for the 25 countries. Countries with a positive **intra**-discipline MNCS difference (plain line) have the highest migration rates from WoS PN to OST PQ. Countries with a negative intra-discipline MNCS difference (dotted line) have the highest migration rates from WoS PQ to OST PN.

A last precision has to be added: as these observations qualitatively explain the difference between the two values of MNCS(B), it is not exactly the first term $D1$ (Formula 1) because of the multiplicative factors d_{OST} and d_{WoS} . However the two differences are strongly correlated (Table A1.3) and our qualitative interpretation is still reasonable.

Table A1.3. Comparison of D1 with MNCS(B) difference

Country	D1	MNCS_ OST(B) - MNCS_ WoS(B)	Ratio
POL	0.11	0.08	0,75
MEX	0.11	0.07	0,60
ITA	0.11	0.10	0,92
TUR	-0.15	-0.10	0,66
SAU	-0.30	-0.23	0,77
EGY	-0.35	-0.30	0,84
PAK	-0.36	-0.37	1,02
Correlation			
7 countries		0.987	
25 countries		0.931	

Appendix 2: Inter-discipline migrations

The inter-discipline difference compares two terms involving two different sets of documents, A and C, and this makes the analysis more difficult.

$$D2 = MNCS_{OST}(C)(1 - d_{OST}) - MNCS_{WoS}(A)(1 - d_{WoS}) = D2C - D2A. \quad (3)$$

Table 1 shows that the seven countries with higher D2 component have high values of D2C and that the three countries with the lower values of D2 have high values for D2A. We therefore try to find a cause for high values of the two components D2C and D2A.

Table A2.1. Values of the inter-discipline component D2, and its two parts D2C and D2A (D2 decreasing)

Country	Rank D2	Rank D2C	Rank -D2A	D2	D2C	-D2A
EGY	1	3	17	0,324	0,565	-0,241
NLD	2	1	21	0,314	0,626	-0,313
SAU	3	4	20	0,199	0,444	-0,245
GBR	4	5	19	0,172	0,414	-0,243
CHE	5	6	13	0,167	0,373	-0,206
TUR	6	8	5	0,142	0,278	-0,137
USA	7	7	18	0,098	0,340	-0,243
DEU	8	10	11	0,062	0,263	-0,201
MEX	9	13	9	0,056	0,252	-0,196
PAK	10	2	25	0,055	0,581	-0,526
FRA	11	16	7	0,044	0,214	-0,170
BRA	12	22	1	0,030	0,129	-0,099
ITA	13	15	10	0,025	0,222	-0,197
SWE	14	20	6	0,023	0,181	-0,158
CAN	15	14	15	0,014	0,237	-0,222
POL	16	23	2	0,010	0,111	-0,101
IND	17	17	12	0,007	0,212	-0,205
JPN	18	24	3	0,000	0,103	-0,103
IRN	19	18	14	-0,006	0,202	-0,208
RUS	20	25	4	-0,032	0,086	-0,117
KOR	21	21	8	-0,040	0,151	-0,191
ESP	22	19	16	-0,043	0,194	-0,237
AUS	23	9	22	-0,048	0,268	-0,317
TWN	24	11	23	-0,104	0,263	-0,366
CHN	25	12	24	-0,158	0,258	-0,416
Correlation D2,D2C					0,736	
Correlation D2,-D2A						-0,062

A2.1 Analysing high values of D2 (high values of D2C)

Documents in C come from diverse non Mathematics WoS categories and are reclassified in one of the three OST Mathematics categories.

Among the 8 highest values of D2C, we restrict the analysis to two groups. The four countries in **Group 1** (The Netherlands, Great Britain, Switzerland, USA) with a positive total MNCS variation and the three countries of **Group 2** (Turkey, Egypt, Saudi Arabia) that have a negative total MNCS variation (Figure 8).

We first show the main disciplines contributing to C for the seven countries (Table 6 and A2.2). The main migrations are different between the two groups: from WoS-Social Sciences to OST-STATISTICS & Probability for Group1, and from WoS-Physics to OST-MATHEMATICS, APPLIED for Group2. The migration rates from WoS-Engineering to OST-MATHEMATICS, APPLIED are important for both groups but there are about twice as large in Group 2.

Table A2.2 (same as Table 6 plus Pakistan). Maximal migrations from non Mathematics WoS **disciplines** to Mathematics OST **categories** for the 7 countries of Groups 1 and 2 and Pakistan. Reported disciplines contribute to more than 10% of C

Country	WoS Disc code	WoS Discipline	OST Cat code	OST category	Nb docs WoS disc → OST Cat	Nb docs in C	% in C
NLD	SS	SOCIAL SCIENCES	XY	STATISTICS & PROBABILITY	33.14	156.86	21.12
GBR	SS	SOCIAL SCIENCES	XY	STATISTICS & PROBABILITY	72.93	484.26	15.06
GBR	07	ENGINEERING	PN	MATHEMATICS, APPLIED	54.71		11.30
CHE	SS	SOCIAL SCIENCES	XY	STATISTICS & PROBABILITY	21.46	109.53	19.59
CHE	07	ENGINEERING	PN	MATHEMATICS, APPLIED	14.15		12.92
USA	SS	SOCIAL SCIENCES	XY	STATISTICS & PROBABILITY	329.61	2,282.86	14.44
USA	07	ENGINEERING	PN	MATHEMATICS, APPLIED	256.63		11.24
TUR	07	ENGINEERING	PN	MATHEMATICS, APPLIED	76.91	345.44	22.26
TUR	05	PHYSICS	PN	MATHEMATICS, APPLIED	70.58		20.43
TUR	075	COMPUTER SCIENC	PN	MATHEMATICS, APPLIED	34.80		10.07
EGY	05	PHYSICS	PN	MATHEMATICS, APPLIED	34.63	90.86	38.11
EGY	07	ENGINEERING	PN	MATHEMATICS, APPLIED	21.85		24.04
EGY	075	COMPUTER SCIENC	PN	MATHEMATICS, APPLIED	9.22		10.15
SAU	05	PHYSICS	PN	MATHEMATICS, APPLIED	51.31	176.03	29.15
SAU	07	ENGINEERING	PN	MATHEMATICS, APPLIED	30.48		17.32
PAK	05	PHYSICS	PN	MATHEMATICS, APPLIED	52.34	232.25	22.54
PAK	07	ENGINEERING	PN	MATHEMATICS, APPLIED	43.45		18.71
PAK	075	COMPUTER SCIENC	PN	MATHEMATICS, APPLIED	41.88		18.03

To compare the seven countries with the other countries, we display the migration rates for all countries for the selected four migrations. Figure A2.1 shows that the high migration rates from WoS-Social sciences to OST-XY of Group 1 are shared with three other countries (Australia, Canada and Italy) and that the high migration rates from Physics-WoS to OST-PN of Group 2 are shared with two other countries (Mexico and Russia). Though not entirely specific, these high migration rates partly explain the high values of D2C for the seven countries (Lahatte & Turkckheim 2024, Supplementary Document 7).




Figure A2.1. Migration rates in C associated with migrations from selected WoS disciplines to the OST categories XY and PN. Countries of Group 1 are represented with thick plain lines, Group 2 and Pakistan with thick dotted lines.

More insight on these selected migrations is available when decomposing each WoS discipline into its main contributing categories (Table A2.3).

- The migrations from Social Sciences to STATISTICS & PROBABILITY in Group 1 are mainly from the WoS category SOCIAL SCIENCES, MATHEMATICAL METHODS (about 30% of the migration from the discipline) .
- The migrations from Physics to MATHEMATICS, APPLIED in Group 2 are mainly from the WoS category PHYSICS, MULTIDISCIPLINARY (about 60%) and secondly from PHYSICS, MATHEMATICAL (between 12% and 25%) (Table 3)
- The migrations from the Engineering discipline to MATHEMATICS, APPLIED are mainly from MECHANICS and OPERATION RESEARCH & MANAGEMENT SCIENCE for the first group of countries. It is mainly from ENGINEERING, MULTIDISCIPLINARY and also from MECHANICS for the countries of the second group.

Table A2.3. Larger migrations rates from WoS categories of the selected WoS disciplines for 8 countries Group 1, Group 2 and Pakistan. Categories representing more of 10% of the discipline are displayed

Country	WoS Discipline	Discipline code	WoS Category code	WoS Category	OST Category code	Nbdocs WoS Cat → OST Cat	Nbdocs WoS Disc → OST Cat	% Cat WoS in Disc WoS
NLD	Social Sciences	SS	PS	SOCIAL SCIENCES, MATHEMATICAL METHODS	XY	13.1	33.14	0.39
GBR			PS	SOCIAL SCIENCES, MATHEMATICAL METHODS		23.7	72.93	0.33
CHE			DK	BUSINESS, FINANCE		6.2	21.46	0.29
CHE			PS	SOCIAL SCIENCES, MATHEMATICAL METHODS		5.3	21.46	0.24
USA			PS	SOCIAL SCIENCES, MATHEMATICAL METHODS		106.0	329.61	0.32
TUR	Physics	05	UI	PHYSICS, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	PN	44.2	70.58	0.63
TUR			UR	PHYSICS, MATHEMATICAL		18.8	70.58	0.27
EGY			UI	PHYSICS, MULTIDISCIPLINARY		21.8	34.63	0.63
EGY			UR	PHYSICS, MATHEMATICAL		5.1	34.63	0.15
SAU			UI	PHYSICS, MULTIDISCIPLINARY		29.5	51.31	0.57
SAU			UR	PHYSICS, MATHEMATICAL		12.2	51.31	0.24
PAK			UI	PHYSICS, MULTIDISCIPLINARY		30.0	52.34	0.57
PAK			UR	PHYSICS, MATHEMATICAL		6.5	52.34	0.12
GBR			Engineering	07		PU	MECHANICS	PN
GBR	PE	OPERATIONS RESEARCH & MANAGEMENT SCIENCE			8.4	54.71	0.15	
CHE	PU	MECHANICS			2.7	8.42	0.32	
CHE	PE	OPERATIONS RESEARCH & MANAGEMENT SCIENCE			2.5	8.42	0.30	
USA	PE	OPERATIONS RESEARCH & MANAGEMENT SCIENCE			57.0	256.63	0.22	
TUR	IF	ENGINEERING, MULTIDISCIPLINARY			26.3	76.91	0.34	
TUR	DT	THERMODYNAMICS			25.7	76.91	0.33	
EGY	IF	ENGINEERING, MULTIDISCIPLINARY			7.5	21.85	0.34	
EGY	PU	MECHANICS			6.3	21.85	0.29	
SAU	IF	ENGINEERING, MULTIDISCIPLINARY			7.9	30.48	0.26	
SAU	PU	MECHANICS			6.5	30.48	0.21	
PAK	IF	ENGINEERING, MULTIDISCIPLINARY			9.2	43.45	0.21	
PAK	IQ	ENGINEERING, ELECTRICAL & ELECTRONIC			8.0	43.45	0.18	
PAK	IU	ENGINEERING, MECHANICAL	6.9	43.45	0.16			

Large differences between the normalized scores of papers are not easy to understand. There are two cases:

- If the papers have a number of citations similar to those of their WoS category, differences of the mean number of citations in the WoS and OST categories explain the MNCS variation. This could be the case with papers in WoS PHYSICS, MULTIDISCIPLINARY, or MECHANICS or OPERATION RESEARCH or THERMODYNAMICS categories (Table A2.4).
- In the other case, when the mean numbers of citations are similar between the WoS and OST categories, a larger MNCS is due to papers that are more cited than those of their WoS category (of their journal). For example papers in WoS- SOCIAL SCIENCES, MATHEMATICAL METHODS may be more cited than others in their WoS category when they are reclassified in the OST- STATISTICS & PROBABILITY because of their references in that category.

Table A2.4. Mean number of citations in the categories of Table A2.3.

WoS Cat code	WOS Category	Mean Nb citations WoS	OST Category	Mean Nb citations OST
PS	SOCIAL SCIENCES, MATHEMATICAL METHODS	9.02	XY	7.09
DK	BUSINESS, FINANCE	9.42		
UI	PHYSICS, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	13.75	PN	8.49
UR	PHYSICS, MATHEMATICAL	8.35		
PU	MECHANICS	12.81		
PE	OPERATIONS RESEARCH & MANAGEMENT SCIENCE	14.52		
IF	ENGINEERING, MULTIDISCIPLINARY	8.03		
DT	THERMODYNAMICS	14.42		
IQ	ENGINEERING, ELECTRICAL & ELECTRONIC	13.47		
IU	ENGINEERING, MECHANICAL	11.44		

A2.2 Analysing low values of D2 (high values of D2A)

We are looking at the case of the four countries with the higher D2A component.

Table A2.5. Migration rates larger than 10% of A for the four countries with highest D2A.

Country	WoS category code	WoS Category	OST Disc code	OST discipline	Nb docs WoS cat → OST disc	Nb docs in A	% in A
AUS	PO	MATHEMATICS, INTERDISC APPL	07	ENGINEERING	28.5	172.9	16.5
AUS	PN	MATHEMATICS, APPLIED	07	ENGINEERING	22.3	172.9	12.9
AUS	PN	MATHEMATICS, APPLIED	05	PHYSICS	18.4	172.9	10.7
TWN	PO	MATHEMATICS, INTERDISC APPL	07	ENGINEERING	20.7	130.4	15.8
TWN	PQ	MATHEMATICS	07	ENGINEERING	15.7	130.4	12.0
CHN	PO	MATHEMATICS, INTERDISC APPL	07	ENGINEERING	1044.2	3560.5	29.3
CHN	PN	MATHEMATICS, APPLIED	07	ENGINEERING	556.4	3560.5	15.6
PAK	PQ	MATHEMATICS	075	COMPUTER SCIENCI	32.3	172.8	18.7
PAK	PQ	MATHEMATICS	07	ENGINEERING	27.1	172.8	15.7
PAK	PN	MATHEMATICS, APPLIED	07	ENGINEERING	23.4	172.8	13.5
PAK	PO	MATHEMATICS, INTERDISC APPL	07	ENGINEERING	20.5	172.8	11.9
PAK	PN	MATHEMATICS, APPLIED	075	COMPUTER SCIENCI	18.3	172.8	10.6

As shown on Figure A2.2, the migration rates of Table A2.5 are not specific to the four countries. Therefore there is no general explanation for a high value of D2A. We only note that China has many papers from MATHEMATICS, INTERDISCIPLINARY APPLICATIONS journals reclassified in Engineering discipline and that Pakistan papers in MATHEMATICS journals are often reclassified in Computer science.




Figure A2.2. Percentages of A associated with the migrations from Mathematics WoS categories to OST selected disciplines. Countries with the highest D2A component are represented with thick plain lines