

# Prediabetes detection in unconstrained conditions using wearable sensors

Dimitra Tatli<sup>1,2</sup>, Vasileios Papapanagiotou<sup>1,3</sup>, Aris Liakos<sup>4</sup>, Apostolos Tsapas<sup>4</sup>,  
Anastasios Delopoulos<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Multimedia Understanding Group, Dpt. of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, AUTH, Greece

<sup>2</sup>Embedded Systems Laboratory, Institute of Electrical and Micro Engineering, EPFL, Lausanne, Switzerland

<sup>3</sup>IMPACT research group, Dpt. of Medicine, Huddinge, Karolinska Institutet, Sweden

<sup>4</sup>Diabetes Centre, Second Medical Department at Ippokratio General Hospital, Thessaloniki, Greece

## Abstract

Prediabetes is a common health condition that often goes undetected until it progresses to type 2 diabetes. Early identification of prediabetes is essential for timely intervention and prevention of complications. This research explores the feasibility of using wearable continuous glucose monitoring along with smartwatches with embedded inertial sensors to collect glucose measurements and acceleration signals respectively, for the early detection of prediabetes. We propose a methodology based on signal processing and machine learning techniques. Two feature sets are extracted from the collected signals, based both on a dynamic modeling of the human glucose-homeostasis system and on the Glucose curve, inspired by three major glucose related blood tests. Features are aggregated per individual using bootstrap. Support Vector Machines are used to classify normoglycemic vs. prediabetic individuals. We collected data from 22 participants for evaluation. The results are highly encouraging, demonstrating high sensitivity and precision. This work is a proof of concept, highlighting the potential of wearable devices in prediabetes assessment. Future directions involve expanding the study to a larger, more diverse population and exploring the integration of CGM and smartwatch functionalities into a unified device. Automated eating detecting algorithms can also be used.

**Keywords:** Prediabetes, Continuous Glucose Monitors, Signal Processing, Machine Learning

## 1 Introduction

Prediabetes is the precursor stage of type 2 diabetes (T2D) that is characterized by impaired glucose tolerance and insulin resistance [1]. Glucose homeostasis in humans involves a complex interplay between insulin and glucagon that regulates blood sugar levels [2]. After eating, blood glucose rises, prompting the pancreas to release insulin, which in turn facilitates glucose uptake by cells for energy or storage [3]. In individuals with prediabetes, the body either becomes resistant to insulin or fails to produce enough, leading to elevated blood glucose levels. This condition increases the risk of developing T2D and cardiovascular complications [4].

Despite affecting around 300 million people globally, approximately 80% of all cases are undiagnosed, mainly due to lack of awareness [5, 6]. Prediabetes is a medical condition that does not exhibit any clear symptoms; thus, it is quite challenging to detect. Prompt diagnosis is crucial, since lifestyle modifications such as weight management, balanced diet, and regular exercise can prevent or delay the onset of T2D and its complications [7].

Blood tests commonly used to diagnose prediabetes include fasting plasma glucose (FPG), oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT), and hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) levels [8]. The FPG test measures glucose levels after overnight fasting, while OGTT insulin secretory response to a standardized glucose load. HbA1c reflects average blood sugar levels in the preceding three months. However, these tests exhibit various limitations

such as missed transient blood sugar spikes, influence from factors like anemia or race on HbA1c levels, and variations in testing protocols [9, 10, 11, 12, 13]. Additionally, reliance on single or few measurements may not capture continuous glucose fluctuations accurately, necessitating complementary assessments for accurate diagnosis [14, 15, 16, 17].

The objective of our research is to identify people with prediabetes using wearable devices, such as smartwatches and Continuous Glucose Monitors (CGMs). Our approach involves state-of-the-art signal-processing and machine-learning methods to analyze acceleration signals and glucose measurements, in order to accurately classify individuals as either normoglycemic or prediabetic. We propose two novel feature sets: one based on a dynamic modeling of the human glucose-homeostasis system,  $\Theta_h$ , and one based on parameters derived from the glucose curve of the CGM device,  $\Theta_c$ .

This non-invasive approach offers a practical and effective way of detecting prediabetes without the inherent limitations of traditional methods. It leverages CGM sensors, thereby eliminating the need for blood draws and fasting restrictions. Moreover, the use of CGMs (integrated in a wrist-worn wearable) ensures more reliable outcomes by enabling the detection of subtle changes in daily habits and avoiding inaccuracies related to sampling methods. Extensive research is currently underway to seamlessly integrate CGMs into smartwatches [18, 19, 20, 21, 22]; this will enable the application of our proposed method in a more streamlined and less intrusive approach (since it will not require a dedicated CGM device). Furthermore, sophisticated algorithms can detect eating patterns by analyzing accelerometer data [23, 24], further amplifying the effectiveness of our process. The proposed method intends to increase awareness and enable timely intervention for prediabetic individuals.

## 2 Related Work

The study of metabolic syndromes, including both diabetes and prediabetes, has been an area of intense focus for researchers for many years. Recent progress in this field has been greatly facilitated by the development and deployment of wearable, CGM sensors. These sensors play a crucial role in monitoring glucose levels, by enabling researchers to collect large amounts of data in almost real-time. Additionally, progress in machine learning and data analysis algorithms has enhanced researchers' capability to analyze and interpret this data effectively.

A promising approach to the identification of individuals at risk for diabetes involves the use of glucose variability (GV) markers: statistical calculations of CGM measurements. These markers can provide valuable information on an individual's glucose control status and can offer a comprehensive assessment of the glycemic fluctuations that occur over time. Acciaroli *et al.* [25] propose a method for classifying individuals into normal, prediabetic, and T2D groups based on a set of 25 well-established GV markers (also used in [26]). Using logistic regression (LR) researchers achieve high accuracy in identifying healthy subjects (91.4%), however, the markers are less effective in distinguishing between PD and T2D, with 79.5% accuracy. Another study from Longato *et al.* [27] distinguishes prediabetics from T2D using the 25 GV indices of [26] extended with another 12 GV markers and combined with biometric data, achieving an accuracy of 87.1%.

The development and application of dynamic models that accurately depict the human body's glucose homeostasis system is a topic of great interest in the research community. Due to its complexity, numerous attempts have been made to study the glucose homeostasis system, primarily for grasping the functioning of the human body, rather than identifying prediabetic individuals [28, 29, 30, 31]. L. Van Veen's research [32, 33] proposes a differential-equations model that proves promising in distinguishing individuals based on their metabolic syndrome status. The parameters derived from the model hold the potential to be an encouraging tool for effective classification of individuals with impaired glucose homeostasis (IGH), and individuals with effective glucose homeostasis (EGH). Consequently, it is evident that mathematical models hold great potential in identifying prediabetic individuals, and further research in this area is warranted.

The recent study by Bent *et al.* [34] aims to identify a biomarker using data collected non-invasively from a smartwatch equipped with multiple sensors. They gather data on skin temperature, skin conductivity, heart rate, accelerometer readings, and detailed meal records from 16 participants over two weeks, resulting in 69 features. Through a random-forest feature-selection model, they determine the most influential factors

affecting glucose levels and predict their approximate values with 84.3% accuracy.

Other research efforts have focused on detecting T2D and prediabetes using non-CGM sensor data, such as the PIMA dataset containing biometric data, general blood test results, and medical data from 768 individuals [35, 36]. Studies using this dataset have applied machine-learning algorithms like  $k$  Nearest Neighbors ( $k$ -NN), Support Vector Machine (SVM), and LR, achieving up to 77.21% accuracy. Deep learning techniques have also been employed in some studies, achieving an impressive accuracy of 90%, but they struggle to extract features that align with human physiology and lack meaningful interpretation.

### 3 Materials and Methods

This section describes the proposed methodology for creating a classification system for normoglycemic vs. prediabetic individuals. Specifically, Section 3.1 describes the study and data-collection processes, Sections 3.2 and 3.3 describe the novel features we propose (related to the glucose homeostasis system and to the glucose curve itself respectively), and Section 3.4 describes the process for training a Support Vector Machine that performs classification of subjects to prediabetic vs. normoglycemic.

#### 3.1 Study design

In this study, we aim at evaluating the effectiveness of our proposed method using a dataset collected in real-world, unconstrained conditions. The dataset was specifically created for this study since, to the best of our knowledge, no existing datasets combine data from CGMs and accelerometers, meal information, and blood tests.

The data collection was conducted in collaboration with the 2nd Medical Department at the Ippokrateio General Hospital of Thessaloniki and has been ethically approved by the Committee of Bioethics of the Medical School of Aristotle University of Thessaloniki on May 10th, 2023 (141/2023). All participants provided informed consent before participating, and recruitment and experimental procedures adhered to institutional and international guidelines for research involving adult human subjects. Clinical data were collected with REDCap [37].

For ground truth, all study participants underwent blood tests prior to data collection. These tests consist of the FPG test, OGTT, and HbA1c test; laboratory measurements included FPG, 2-hour glucose values after a 75-g oral glucose load and HbA1c. These values constitute the “golden standard” feature set,  $\Theta_l$ . Based on the results, each participant is classified as normal (normoglycemic) if all three test results were within normal values, otherwise they are classified as prediabetic.

Subsequently, each participant was provided with a FreeStyle Libre CGM 1st generation sensor from Abbott and a Ticwatch E2 smartwatch. The CGM device is an intermittently scanned CGM device (also called flash glucose monitoring, FGM), and the smartwatch is a commercially-available Android-based (with Wear OS 2) device. Participants were instructed to constantly wear the devices for 14 days without altering any habits of their everyday life. Additionally, they were strongly encouraged to use an Android application that has been specially developed for this study to record meals and eating activity (i.e., start and stop timestamp and a photo of the consumed food). This approach enables the collection of diverse data streams in order to study glucose regulation and activity patterns in realistic conditions.

In total, we collected data from 23 people, all of whom underwent blood tests according to the research protocol and were divided into two categories: with or without prediabetes. One participant developed T2D during the study, thus their data were not used in the analysis. Out of the 22 people who successfully participated in the study, 10 (45%) are in the prediabetes group and 12 (55%) exhibit normal glucose tolerance. In total, 13 men (59%) and 9 women (41%) participated. Sample statistics are summarized in Table 1. The dataset consists of a total of 305 days of recordings and 821 meals.

Table 1: Baseline characteristics of the recruited participants

	average	standard deviation
age [years]	43.32	16.82
weight [kg]	82.13	16.00
waist circumference [cm]	93.86	14.75
body mass index [kg/m <sup>2</sup> ]	27.12	5.03

### 3.2 Glucose-homeostasis feature set $\Theta_h$

This feature set is based on our variation of the mathematical model developed by Van Veen *et al.* [33]. This particular model is chosen not only for its simplicity in accurately describing a complex system with a minimal number of parameters (three) but also because it only requires glucose measurements. This detail is critical since other models and approaches [38] often require additional measurements, such as insulin levels, which can be challenging to obtain, as they typically require blood draws. Furthermore, this model has been validated against real-world data involving multiple individuals with promising results, showcasing the generalization ability of the model.

According to the Van Veen mathematical model, the dynamics of blood glucose homeostasis are modeled through the following system of coupled differential and integral equations:

$$\dot{e}(t) = -A_3 - u(t) \cdot (e(t) + \bar{e}) + F(t) \quad (1)$$

$$u(t) = A_1 e(t) + A_2 \int_{-\infty}^t \lambda e^{-\lambda(t-\tau)} e(\tau) d\tau \quad (2)$$

where  $e(t)$  is the excess glucose concentration from some set value  $\bar{e}$ ,  $\bar{e}$  is the glucose baseline which is calculated by averaging the glucose values of all the local minima from the entire time series,  $\dot{e}(t)$  is the time derivative of  $e(t)$ ,  $F(t)$  is the general glucose intake (i.e., when eating a meal) and consumption (i.e., when being physically active),  $u(t)$  is negative feedback mechanism that moderates blood glucose concentration as it deviates from its normal level,  $\lambda$  is related to the time scale of the delays in the feedback mechanism,  $A_1$  is the coefficient of the proportional and  $A_2$  the coefficient of the integral part of the closed-loop glucose control, while  $A_3$  is the base metabolic rate, assumed to be constant. Three main components influence glucose deviation from its baseline according to Eq. (1), in particular  $F(t)$ ,  $u(t)$ , and  $A_3$ .

The control variable  $u(t)$  represents all the mechanisms and the collective effects that return blood glucose to normal levels, and it is modeled using a proportional-integral strategy (Eq. (2)). Regardless of glucose deviation, the controller acts to restore glucose to baseline levels. Feedback mechanisms differ for positive and negative deviations, involving insulin excretion and glucose uptake for hyperglycemia, and glucagon release triggering liver glucose release for hypoglycemia. The feedback function accommodates these variations, ensuring stability in glucose regulation.

The model is fitted to the data using a custom gradient descend algorithm developed by Van Veen [33], yielding the optimal values for parameters  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ , and  $\lambda$ . These parameters are considered as features unique to the physiology of each individual, enabling discrimination between normoglycemic individuals and individuals with impaired glucose tolerance, such as prediabetes or diabetes.

Using this model as a foundation, we enhance its efficacy in two ways: by incorporating additional data, specifically accelerometer readings, and by changing the fitting process.

The first enhancement involves expanding the term  $F(t)$  of Equation 1 to specifically account for glucose consumption due to vigorous physical activity as:

$$F(t) = \tilde{F}(t) - A_4 \cdot c(t) \quad (3)$$

introducing a new parameter,  $A_4$ , which represents the rate at which glucose is metabolized due to exercise, and the term  $c(t)$  which is the rate at which glucose levels diminish in response to vigorous physical activity,

calculated using accelerometer data and participant biometrics. We estimate  $c(t)$  as:

$$c(t) = R \cdot m(t) \quad (4)$$

where  $R$  is the Basic Metabolic Rate (BMR) of each individual and  $m(t)$  is the Metabolic Equivalent of Task (MET) of the activity that is performed by the individual at time  $t$ .

BMR is well approximated by the Harris–Benedict equation [39, 40]:

$$R = \begin{cases} 66.473 + 13.752 \cdot \text{weight} + 5.003 \cdot \text{height} - 6.755 \cdot \text{age}, & \text{if gender} = \text{male} \\ 665.096 + 9.563 \cdot \text{weight} + 1.85 \cdot \text{height} - 4.676 \cdot \text{age}, & \text{if gender} = \text{female} \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

Respectively,  $m(t)$  is a way to estimate the amount of energy (or oxygen consumption) used during physical activity, relative to the amount of energy expended at rest. It is a unit that quantifies the intensity of physical activities based on basic metabolic rate [41]. MET can be estimated using activity counts, which can be easily calculated from acceleration signals using the algorithm of Brondeel [42]. The MET prediction based on activity counts per minute is given by [43]:

$$m(t) = \begin{cases} 1.0 & \text{if } a(t) < 50 \\ 1.83 & \text{if } 50 \leq a(t) \leq 350 \\ 1.935 + 0.003002 \cdot a(t) & \text{if } 350 < a(t) < 1200 \\ 2.768 + 0.0006397 \cdot a(t) & \text{if } a(t) \geq 1200 \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

where  $a(t)$  is the activity counts per minute at time  $t$ . We compute activity counts as the mean value of acceleration (in units of  $g \approx 9.80655 \text{ m/sec}^2$ ) across 1-minute epochs on a filtered version of the acceleration magnitude, using a Butterworth band-pass filter (range from 0.5 to 1.5 Hz).

The revised Equation 1 is:

$$\dot{e}(t) = -A_3 - u(t) \cdot (e(t) + \bar{e}) + \tilde{F}(t) - A_4 \cdot R \cdot m(t) \quad (7)$$

By introducing the new parameter  $A_4$  we incorporate additional metrics which were not included in the original model, leading to more robustness and efficiency.

The second enhancement involves changing the gradient descent algorithm. In the original work of Van Veen [33], the model is fitted to each extracted peak separately, with parameters subsequently calculated using the bootstrap mean (over all the data of each participant) of all peak-derived parameters. Instead, we opted to run the gradient descent algorithm concurrently for all peaks, minimizing the global error. While this approach does not ensure perfect fitting to each peak individually, it yields better results with improved generalization.

Consequently, the feature vector of a participant for  $\Theta_h$  is

$$\theta_h = [A_1, A_2, \lambda, A_4]^T \quad (8)$$

as defined in Equations 7 and 2, where each of the four parameters is estimated using the gradient descent algorithm described above across all data of each participant (independently).

### 3.3 Glucose-curve feature set $\Theta_c$

This feature set includes features that are based on parameters extracted from the glucose curve of the CGM device, in combination with information from the acceleration signals and the meal diaries. Continuous monitoring of glucose levels combined with food diaries enables the calculation of various parameters related to glucose fluctuations, including those influenced by meal timing and physical activity. These parameters are essential for understanding the dynamic nature of blood glucose regulation and provide valuable insights into metabolic health. Inspired by the blood tests typically prescribed by healthcare professionals to detect prediabetes, namely OGTT, FPG, and HbA1c, we derive features resembling the measured values.

Approximating FPG lab-test results is straight-forward process. By obtaining meal events, we detect fasting periods lasting longer than 8 hours and examine glucose levels during these time intervals. This approach offers several advantages over traditional medical examinations. Firstly, it eliminates the inconvenience of mandatory fasting and takes advantage of naturally occurring fasting periods (e.g., during sleep). Moreover, numerous spontaneous fasting events typically occur during the monitoring period, contributing to assessments based on multiple measurements, thereby enhancing objectivity and reducing bias. Additionally, this method allows for the exploration of various measurements associated with fasting periods, such as the mean and standard deviation of glucose levels during fasting.

Specifically, we produce 3 features from each such interval: the mean glucose level,  $\mu_G$ , the standard deviation of glucose level,  $\sigma_G$ , and the mean glucose level after discarding the first 8 hours,  $\mu_{FG}$ . It should be noted that  $\mu_{FG}$  is an approximation of the FPG test; FPG mandates a minimum of 8 hours of fasting and then blood glucose is measured. In our case, we detect naturally occurring fasting periods of at least 8 hours and then estimate the blood test result as the average of the glucose curve until the next eating event starts.

We also produce a 4-th feature that captures the rate of glucose consumption after an eating event, normalized by the level of physical activity, since physical activity also contributes to glucose consumption. This feature, denoted  $\mu_{NPGG}$ , is the normalized postprandial glucose gradient (NPGG), and is computed on the basis of each eating event. We obtain the interval from the maximum (peak) of the glucose curve during the eating event, until the next local minimum. Let  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  denote the start and end of such an interval. NPGG is then computed as:

$$\mu_{NPGG} = \frac{1}{t_2 - t_1} \sum_{t=t_1}^{t_2} \frac{\dot{c}(t)}{a(t)} \quad (9)$$

where  $\dot{c}(t)$  is the time derivative of  $c(t)$ . Derivatives are estimated as first-order discrete gradients.

Finally, we aggregate multiple occurrences of each feature by computing the mean value across all valid, detected intervals of a participant, yielding the feature vector

$$\theta_c = [\bar{\mu}_G, \bar{\sigma}_G, \bar{\mu}_{FG}, \bar{\mu}_{NPGG}]^T \quad (10)$$

Figure 1 shows an example of collected data (CGM curve and computed counts,  $c(t)$ ), and which part of the data is used for computing each feature of  $\Theta_c$ .

### 3.4 Classifier training

Extracting the features described in the previous sections yields two feature vectors for participants, one for each of the two feature sets of  $\Theta_h$  and  $\Theta_c$  respectively. In our experiments we evaluate the following three feature sets:  $\Theta_{vv}$  (i.e., the feature set introduced by Van Veet *et al.* [33]),  $\Theta_h$ , and  $\Theta_h \cup \Theta_c$ . We also use  $\Theta_l$  as a baseline feature set for comparison. Note, however, that  $\Theta_l$  requires lab blood tests, while the other three feature sets only require our proposed, passive data collection system.

We train SVM classifiers on these feature sets, using the radial-basis function (RBF) kernel. We opt for  $C = 1$  for the SVM and  $\gamma = 1/d$  for the RBF kernel, where  $d$  is the number of features (for each feature set), since we standardize our features. Experiments are carried out in a leave-one-subject-out (LOSO) way (which is equivalent to leave-one-out in our case since we only have one feature vector per participant). In addition, we repeat the computation of performance metrics, in particular accuracy, specificity, sensitivity, and area under the curve (AUC), for each algorithm 20 times. The final metric is determined by taking the mean value over the 20 iterations; this helps eliminate any influence from chance-based outcomes.

## 4 Results & Discussion

To get an overview of the lab blood test values over the dataset, we present the distribution of the three tests for normoglycemic and prediabetic participants in Figure 2.




Figure 1: Example of computing the  $\Theta_c$  features. Top figure shows the CGM curve (black); red areas denote eating, yellow and green denote fasting. The yellow area is exactly 8 hours long. Features  $\mu_G$  and  $\sigma_G$  are computed on  $e(t) + \bar{e}$  over the fasting (yellow and green) interval, while  $\mu_{FG}$  is computed as the average of  $e(t) + \bar{e}$  over only the green area. NPGG is computed within the interval between the dashed black lines (meal peak  $t_1$  and next local minimum  $t_2$  of Equation 9). Bottom figure shows  $a(t)$ .




Figure 2: Distribution of each feature of  $\Theta_l$  across normoglycemic and prediabetic participants

Table 2: Classification results for normoglycemic vs. prediabetic participants: SVM with each of the three feature sets of  $\Theta_{vv}$ ,  $\Theta_h$ , and  $\Theta_h \cup \Theta_c$ , baseline SVM with lab blood tests  $\Theta_l$  features, and results based on common medical practice where only two lab blood tests are used.

Method	Accuracy	Sensitivity	Specificity	AUC
SVM w. $\Theta_{vv}$	0.65	0.8	0.5	0.06
SVM w. $\Theta_h$	0.73	<b>0.92</b>	0.5	0.76
SVM w. $\Theta_h \cup \Theta_c$	<b>0.86</b>	<b>0.92</b>	<b>0.85</b>	<b>0.9</b>
SVM w. $\Theta_l$	0.9	1.0	0.78	0.99
HbA1c + FPG	0.95	0.92	1.00	
FPG + OGTT	0.86	0.80	1.00	

SVMs are robust, supervised, machine-learning classifiers; in our experiments, they exhibit the highest effectiveness with the combined  $\Theta_h \cup \Theta_c$  feature set (among the three feature sets that do not require lab blood tests). We compute accuracy, specificity, and sensitivity. We also plot the ROC curve (Figure 3) for each feature set and compute the area under the curve (AUC). All four metrics are reported in Table 2.

Table 2 shows that our proposed changes to the original model of Van Veen *et al.* [33] (i.e.,  $\Theta_{vv}$  vs.  $\Theta_h$ ) improve significantly the effectiveness of the classification. Note that the relatively low overall effectiveness of  $\Theta_{vv}$  is not completely unexpected since parameters  $\Theta_{vv}$  and the corresponding model of [33] have not been designed for classification of normoglycemic vs. prediabetic individuals originally, but to model the dynamic glucose homeostasis system of healthy (i.e., normoglycemic) individuals.

Expanding  $\Theta_h$  with feature set  $\Theta_c$  improves the classification effectiveness, increasing accuracy from 0.73 to 0.86 and specificity from 0.5 to 0.85, while sensitivity remains at 0.92. Figure 3 shows the ROC curves and the expanded feature set  $\Theta_h \cup \Theta_c$  in general improves over  $\Theta_h$ . This is also evident from the increase of AUC from 0.76 to 0.9.

We also train an SVM on the three lab tests to get an estimate of what an automatic classification scheme would attain if the standard blood tests were used for automatic decision. The SVM trained on  $\Theta_l$  achieves the highest effectiveness overall. However, it should be stated that  $\Theta_l$  requires lab blood tests, while  $\Theta_h$  and  $\Theta_c$  do not.

We also compute the effectiveness of two approaches commonly used in medical practice: having only the HbA1c and FPG lab blood tests, and having only the FPG and OGTT lab tests. In both cases, if any of the two tests exceed well-known thresholds, the individual is diagnosed as non-normoglycemic. Both of these approaches achieve superior specificity of 1.0 compared to the use of SVM and  $\Theta_h \cup \Theta_c$  features which achieves 0.85. However, our approach with SVM and  $\Theta_h \cup \Theta_c$  achieves sensitivity of 0.92 which is equal to the one achieved by HbA1c and FPG and superior to the 0.80 of FPG and OGTT. This is encouraging as it shows that our proposed method, which does not require lab tests, can be used as an effective and efficient screening tool in real practice.

## 5 Conclusions

In summary, CGM devices and smartwatches are valuable tools for prediabetes assessment, as they enable prompt identification of dysglycemia while avoiding the burden of traditional lab blood tests. Our proposed approach demonstrates promising results in automatic and effective prediabetes detection, achieving high accuracy and sensitivity which ensures that few undiagnosed cases occur.

However, limitations in data collection, such as a small and biased dataset, and occasional errors in CGM sensor measurements must be addressed. Future research efforts should prioritize expanding the dataset to include a more diverse population and developing integrated devices that combine CGM and smartwatch functionalities for enhanced data retrieval and assessment efficiency. While our current study serves as a proof of concept, further research involving a larger and more diverse population is crucial for definitive






Figure 3: Results of our experimental evaluation. SVM ROC curves for: features of lab blood tests  $\Theta_l$ ,  $\Theta_{vv}$ , features of [33], our version of modified features of [33]  $\Theta_h$ , and  $\Theta_h$  features extended with  $\Theta_c$ . Effectiveness of common medical practice of using either the combination of HbA1c and FPG blood tests or the combination of FPG and OGTT blood tests.

conclusions and to fully understand the potential impact of this approach.

We are optimistic that with additional improvements to the methodology and with access to more data, our approach can yield even better results, suitable for clinical applications. The integration of glucose monitoring into smartwatches would eliminate the need for a separate, stand-alone CGM device, further increase the deployment capabilities and reducing user intrusiveness. By integrating our algorithm in a smartwatch-based application, individuals at risk of prediabetes can be alerted and informed timely. This would facilitate early lifestyle changes and improve healthcare outcomes.

## Funding sources

This work was partially supported by the Information Processing Laboratory of AUTH by undertaking the cost of the CGM and the smartwatch devices.

## References

- [1] J. B. Echouffo-Tcheugui, E. Selvin, Prediabetes and what it means: The epidemiological evidence, *Annual Review of Public Health* 42 (1) (2021) 59–77, PMID: 33355476. arXiv:<https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-publhealth-090419-102644>, doi:10.1146/annurev-publhealth-090419-102644. URL <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-publhealth-090419-102644>
- [2] Hers, Mechanisms of blood glucose homeostasis, *Journal of Inherited Metabolic Disease* 13 (4) (1990) 395–410. doi:10.1007/bf01799497. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF01799497>

- [3] P. V. Röder, B. Wu, Y. Liu, W. Han, Pancreatic regulation of glucose homeostasis, *Experimental and Molecular Medicine* 48 (3) (2016) e219–e219. doi:10.1038/emm.2016.6.  
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/emm.2016.6>
- [4] R. K. Mahat, N. Singh, M. Arora, V. Rathore, Health risks and interventions in prediabetes: A review, *Diabetes and Metabolic Syndrome: Clinical Research and Reviews* 13 (4) (2019) 2803–2811. doi:10.1016/j.dsx.2019.07.041.  
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dsx.2019.07.041>
- [5] M. R. Rooney, M. Fang, K. Ogurtsova, B. Ozkan, J. B. Echouffo-Tcheugui, E. J. Boyko, D. J. Magliano, E. Selvin, Global prevalence of prediabetes, *Diabetes Care* 46 (7) (2023) 1388–1394. doi:10.2337/dc22-2376.  
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.2337/dc22-2376>
- [6] Prevalence of prediabetes among adults.  
URL <https://www.cdc.gov/diabetes/data/statistics-report/prevalence-of-prediabetes.html>
- [7] A. D. A. P. P. Committee, Prevention or delay of type 2 diabetes and associated comorbidities: Standards of medical care in diabetes—2022, *Diabetes Care* 45 (2021) S39–S45. doi:10.2337/dc22-s003.  
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.2337/dc22-s003>
- [8] A. D. Association, Classification and diagnosis of diabetes: standards of medical care in diabetes—2021, *Diabetes Care* 44 (2020) S15–S33. doi:10.2337/dc21-s002.  
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.2337/dc21-s002>
- [9] R. Little, Diabetes and prediabetes tests (08 2020).  
URL <https://www.niddk.nih.gov/health-information/professionals/clinical-tools-patient-management/diabetes/diabetes-prediabetes>
- [10] D. B. Sacks, A1c versus glucose testing: A comparison, *Diabetes Care* 34 (2) (2011) 518–523. doi:10.2337/dc10-1546.  
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.2337/dc10-1546>
- [11] NGSP, Factors that interfere with hba1c test results (06 2022).  
URL <https://ngsp.org/factors.asp>
- [12] D. M. Nathan, J. Kuenen, R. Borg, H. Zheng, D. Schoenfeld, R. J. Heine, Translating the a1c assay into estimated average glucose values, *Diabetes Care* 31 (8) (2008) 1473–1478. doi:10.2337/dc08-0545.  
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.2337/dc08-0545>
- [13] R. R. Little, The a1c test & race/ethnicity (March 2020).  
URL <https://www.niddk.nih.gov/health-information/diagnostic-tests/a1c-test-race-ethnicity>
- [14] D. B. Sacks, M. Arnold, G. L. Bakris, D. E. Bruns, A. R. Horvath, M. S. Kirkman, A. Lernmark, B. E. Metzger, D. M. Nathan, Guidelines and recommendations for laboratory analysis in the diagnosis and management of diabetes mellitus, *Clinical Chemistry* 57 (6) (2011) e1–e47. doi:10.1373/clinchem.2010.161596.  
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1373/clinchem.2010.161596>
- [15] R. W. Beck, C. G. Connor, D. M. Mullen, D. M. Wesley, R. M. Bergenstal, The fallacy of average: How using hba1c alone to assess glycemic control can be misleading, *Diabetes Care* 40 (8) (2017) 994–999. doi:10.2337/dc17-0636.  
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.2337/dc17-0636>

- [16] E. Selvin, Short-term variability in measures of glycemia and implications for the classification of diabetes, *Archives of Internal Medicine* 167 (14) (2007) 1545. doi:10.1001/archinte.167.14.1545. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/archinte.167.14.1545>
- [17] J. Qian, F. A. Scheer, Circadian system and glucose metabolism: Implications for physiology and disease, *Trends in Endocrinology & Metabolism* 27 (5) (2016) 282–293. doi:10.1016/j.tem.2016.03.005. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tem.2016.03.005>
- [18] H. Zafar, A. Channa, V. Jeoti, G. M. Stojanović, Comprehensive review on wearable sweat-glucose sensors for continuous glucose monitoring, *Sensors* 22 (2) (2022) 638. doi:10.3390/s22020638. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/s22020638>
- [19] D. Sankhala, M. Pali, K.-C. Lin, B. Jagannath, S. Muthukumar, S. Prasad, Analysis of bio-electrochemical signals from passive sweat-based wearable electro-impedance spectroscopy (eis) towards assessing blood glucose modulations doi:10.48550/ARXIV.2104.01793. URL <https://arxiv.org/abs/2104.01793>
- [20] A. Vaquer, E. Barón, R. de la Rica, Detection of low glucose levels in sweat with colorimetric wearable biosensors, *The Analyst* 146 (10) (2021) 3273–3279. doi:10.1039/d1an00283j. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/d1an00283j>
- [21] D. Sankhala, A. U. Sardesai, M. Pali, K.-C. Lin, B. Jagannath, S. Muthukumar, S. Prasad, A machine learning-based on-demand sweat glucose reporting platform, *Scientific Reports* 12 (1). doi:10.1038/s41598-022-06434-x. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-06434-x>
- [22] J. R. Sempionatto, J.-M. Moon, J. Wang, Touch-based fingertip blood-free reliable glucose monitoring: Personalized data processing for predicting blood glucose concentrations, *ACS Sensors* 6 (5) (2021) 1875–1883. doi:10.1021/acssensors.1c00139. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acssensors.1c00139>
- [23] K. Kyritsis, C. Diou, A. Delopoulos, A data driven end-to-end approach for in-the-wild monitoring of eating behavior using smartwatches, *IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics* 25 (1) (2021) 22–34. doi:10.1109/jbhi.2020.2984907. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/JBHI.2020.2984907>
- [24] K. Kyritsis, C. Diou, A. Delopoulos, Modeling wrist micromovements to measure in-meal eating behavior from inertial sensor data, *IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics* 23 (6) (2019) 2325–2334. doi:10.1109/jbhi.2019.2892011. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/JBHI.2019.2892011>
- [25] G. Acciaroli, G. Sparacino, L. Hakaste, A. Facchinetti, G. M. Di Nunzio, A. Palombit, T. Tuomi, R. Gabriel, J. Aranda, S. Vega, C. Cobelli, Diabetes and prediabetes classification using glycemic variability indices from continuous glucose monitoring data, *Journal of Diabetes Science and Technology* 12 (1) (2017) 105–113. doi:10.1177/1932296817710478. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1932296817710478>
- [26] C. Fabris, A. Facchinetti, G. Fico, F. Sambo, M. T. Arredondo, C. Cobelli, on behalf of the MOSAIC EU Project Consortium, Parsimonious description of glucose variability in type 2 diabetes by sparse principal component analysis, *Journal of Diabetes Science and Technology* 10 (1) (2016) 119–124. arXiv: <https://doi.org/10.1177/1932296815596173>, doi:10.1177/1932296815596173. URL <https://doi.org/10.1177/1932296815596173>
- [27] E. Longato, G. Acciaroli, A. Facchinetti, L. Hakaste, T. Tuomi, A. Maran, G. Sparacino, Glycaemic variability-based classification of impaired glucose tolerance vs. type 2 diabetes using continuous glucose

- monitoring data, *Computers in Biology and Medicine* 96 (2018) 141–146. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.combiomed.2018.03.007>.  
URL <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0010482518300581>
- [28] A. Mari, A. Tura, E. Grespan, R. Bizzotto, Mathematical modeling for the physiological and clinical investigation of glucose homeostasis and diabetes, *Frontiers in Physiology* 11. doi:[10.3389/fphys.2020.575789](https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2020.575789).  
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2020.575789>
- [29] P. Vicini, A. Caumo, C. Cobelli, The hot ivgtt two-compartment minimal model: indexes of glucose effectiveness and insulin sensitivity, *American Journal of Physiology-Endocrinology and Metabolism* 273 (5) (1997) E1024–E1032. doi:[10.1152/ajpendo.1997.273.5.e1024](https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo.1997.273.5.e1024).  
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo.1997.273.5.E1024>
- [30] A. De Gaetano, T. Hardy, B. Beck, E. Abu-Raddad, P. Palumbo, J. Bue-Valleskey, N. Pørksen, Mathematical models of diabetes progression, *American Journal of Physiology-Endocrinology and Metabolism* 295 (6) (2008) E1462–E1479. doi:[10.1152/ajpendo.90444.2008](https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo.90444.2008).  
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo.90444.2008>
- [31] C. Cobelli, C. Dalla Man, M. G. Pedersen, A. Bertoldo, G. Toffolo, Advancing our understanding of the glucose system via modeling: A perspective, *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering* 61 (5) (2014) 1577–1592. doi:[10.1109/tbme.2014.2310514](https://doi.org/10.1109/tbme.2014.2310514).  
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/tbme.2014.2310514>
- [32] J. M. Kaufman, L. van Veen, Y. Fossat, Screening for impaired glucose homeostasis: A novel metric of glycemic control, *Mayo Clinic Proceedings: Digital Health* 1 (2) (2023) 189–200. doi:[10.1016/j.mcpdig.2023.02.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcpdig.2023.02.008).  
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mcpdig.2023.02.008>
- [33] E. Ng, J. M. Kaufman, L. van Veen, Y. Fossat, A parsimonious model of blood glucose homeostasis, *PLOS Digital Health* 1 (7) (2022) e0000072. doi:[10.1371/journal.pdig.0000072](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pdig.0000072).  
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pdig.0000072>
- [34] B. Bent, P. J. Cho, M. Henriquez, A. Wittmann, C. Thacker, M. Feinglos, M. J. Crowley, J. P. Dunn, Engineering digital biomarkers of interstitial glucose from noninvasive smartwatches, *npj Digital Medicine* 4 (1). doi:[10.1038/s41746-021-00465-w](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41746-021-00465-w).  
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41746-021-00465-w>
- [35] R. Sehly, M. Mezher, Comparative analysis of classification models for pima dataset, in: *2020 International Conference on Computing and Information Technology (ICCI-1441)*, IEEE, 2020. doi:[10.1109/iccit-144147971.2020.9213821](https://doi.org/10.1109/iccit-144147971.2020.9213821).  
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/iccit-144147971.2020.9213821>
- [36] H. Naz, S. Ahuja, Deep learning approach for diabetes prediction using pima indian dataset, *Journal of Diabetes & Metabolic Disorders* 19 (1) (2020) 391–403. doi:[10.1007/s40200-020-00520-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40200-020-00520-5).  
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s40200-020-00520-5>
- [37] P. A. Harris, R. Taylor, R. Thielke, J. Payne, N. Gonzalez, J. G. Conde, Research electronic data capture (REDCap)—a metadata-driven methodology and workflow process for providing translational research informatics support, *J Biomed Inform* 42 (2) (2008) 377–381.
- [38] P. Palumbo, S. Ditlevsen, A. Bertuzzi, A. De Gaetano, Mathematical modeling of the glucose–insulin system: A review, *Mathematical Biosciences* 244 (2) (2013) 69–81. doi:[10.1016/j.mbs.2013.05.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mbs.2013.05.006).  
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.mbs.2013.05.006>

- [39] I. Bendavid, D. N. Lobo, R. Barazzoni, T. Cederholm, M. Coëffier, M. de van der Schueren, E. Fontaine, M. Hiesmayr, A. Laviano, C. Pichard, P. Singer, The centenary of the harris–benedict equations: How to assess energy requirements best? recommendations from the espen expert group, *Clinical Nutrition* 40 (3) (2021) 690–701. doi:10.1016/j.clnu.2020.11.012.  
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.clnu.2020.11.012>
- [40] T. A. d. M. Campos, V. G. Mariz, A. P. Mulder, C. C. Curioni, F. F. Bezerra, Adequacy of basal metabolic rate prediction equations in individuals with severe obesity: A systematic review and meta-analysis, *Obesity Reviews* doi:10.1111/obr.13739.  
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/obr.13739>
- [41] B. E. AINSWORTH, W. L. HASKELL, S. D. HERRMANN, N. MECKES, D. R. BASSETT, C. TUDOR-LOCKE, J. L. GREER, J. VEZINA, M. C. WHITT-GLOVER, A. S. LEON, 2011 compendium of physical activities: A second update of codes and met values, *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise* 43 (8) (2011) 1575–1581. doi:10.1249/mss.0b013e31821ece12.  
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0b013e31821ece12>
- [42] R. Brondeel, Y. Kestens, J. Rahimpour Anaraki, K. Stanley, B. Thierry, D. Fuller, Converting raw accelerometer data to activity counts using open-source code: Implementing a matlab code in python and r, and comparing the results to actilife, *Journal for the Measurement of Physical Behaviour* 4 (3) (2021) 205–211. doi:10.1123/jmpb.2019-0063.  
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1123/jmpb.2019-0063>
- [43] S. E. Crouter, J. R. Churilla, D. R. Bassett, Estimating energy expenditure using accelerometers, *European Journal of Applied Physiology* 98 (6) (2006) 601–612. doi:10.1007/s00421-006-0307-5.  
URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00421-006-0307-5>