

Extragalactic fast X-ray transient from a weak relativistic jet associated with a Type Ic-BL supernova

H. Sun^{1*}, W.-X. Li^{1*}, L.-D. Liu^{2,3*}, H. Gao^{4,5†}, X.-F. Wang^{6‡}, W. Yuan^{1,7§}, B. Zhang^{8,9¶}, A. V. Filippenko¹⁰, D. Xu^{1,11}, T. An^{12,7}, S. Ai¹³, T. G. Brink¹⁰, Y. Liu¹, Y.-Q. Liu¹², C.-Y. Wang¹⁴, Q.-Y. Wu^{1,7}, X.-F. Wu¹⁵, Y. Yang^{6,10}, B.-B. Zhang^{16,17,15}, W.-K. Zheng¹⁰, T. Ahumada¹⁸, Z.-G. Dai¹⁹, J. Delaunay²⁰, N. Elias-Rosa^{21,22}, S. Benetti²¹, S.-Y. Fu^{1,7}, D. A. Howell^{23,24}, Y.-F. Huang^{16,17}, M. M. Kasliwal¹⁸, V. Karambelkar¹⁸, R. Stein¹⁸, W.-H. Lei²⁵, T.-Y. Lian^{1,7}, Z.-K. Peng^{4,5}, A. V. Ridnaia²⁶, D. S. Svinkin²⁶, X.-Y. Wang^{16,17}, A.-L. Wang^{12,27}, D.-M. Wei¹⁵, J. An^{1,7}, M. Andrews²³, J.-M. Bai²⁸, C.-Y. Dai^{16,17}, S. A. Ehgamberdiev^{29,30}, Z. Fan¹, J. Farah^{23,24}, H.-C. Feng²⁸, J. P. U. Fynbo^{31,32}, W.-J. Guo¹, Z. Guo^{33,34,35}, M.-K. Hu⁶, J.-W. Hu¹, S.-Q. Jiang^{1,7}, J.-J. Jin¹, A. Li⁵, J.-D. Li⁵, R.-Z. Li²⁸, Y.-F. Liang^{15,36}, Z.-X. Ling^{1,4,7}, X. Liu^{1,7}, J.-R. Mao²⁸, C. McCully^{23,24}, D. Mirzaqulov²⁹, M. Newsome^{23,24}, E. Padilla Gonzalez^{23,24}, X. Pan¹, G. Terreran²³, S. Tinyanont³⁷, B.-T. Wang²⁸, L.-Z. Wang³⁸, X.-D. Wen⁵, D.-F. Xiang^{6,39}, S.-J. Xue¹, J. Yang^{16,17}, Z.-P. Zhu¹, Z.-M. Cai⁴⁰, A. J. Castro-Tirado^{41,42}, F.-S. Chen⁴³, H.-L. Chen^{44,45}, T.-X. Chen²⁷, W. Chen^{1,7}, Y.-H. Chen⁴⁰, Y.-F. Chen⁴³, Y. Chen²⁷, H.-Q. Cheng¹, B. Cordier⁴⁶, C.-Z. Cui^{1,7}, W.-W. Cui²⁷, Y.-F. Dai¹, D.-W. Fan¹, H. Feng²⁷, J. Guan²⁷, D.-W. Han²⁷, D.-J. Hou²⁷, H.-B. Hu¹, M.-H. Huang^{1,7}, J. Huo²⁷, S.-M. Jia²⁷, Z.-Q. Jia¹, B.-W. Jiang⁴⁷, C.-C. Jin^{1,4,7}, G. Jin⁴⁷, E. Kuulkers⁴⁸, C.-K. Li²⁷, D.-Y. Li¹, J.-F. Li⁴³, L.-H. Li⁴⁷, M.-S. Li²⁷, W. Li²⁷, Z.-D. Li⁴³, C.-Z. Liu²⁷, H.-Y. Liu¹, H.-Q. Liu⁴⁰, M.-J. Liu^{1,7}, F.-J. Lu²⁷, L.-D. Luo²⁷, J. Ma²⁷, X. Mao^{1,7}, K. Nandra⁴⁹, P. O'Brien⁵⁰, H.-W. Pan¹, A. Rau⁴⁹, N. Rea^{22,51}, J. Sanders⁴⁹, L.-M. Song²⁷, S.-L. Sun⁴³, X.-J. Sun⁴³, Y. -Y. Tan⁵³, Q.-J. Tang^{54,45}, Y.-H. Tao¹, H. Wang²⁷, J. Wang²⁷, L. Wang⁵⁵, W.-X. Wang¹, Y.-L. Wang^{1,7}, Y.-S. Wang²⁷, D.-R. Xiong²⁸, H.-T. Xu⁵³, J.-J. Xu²⁷, X.-P. Xu^{1,7}, Y.-F. Xu^{1,7}, Z. Xu⁴⁷, C.-B. Xue⁵³, Y.-L. Xue⁴³, A.-L. Yan⁴³, H.-N. Yang^{1,7}, X.-T. Yang²⁷, Y.-J. Yang²⁷, C. Zhang¹, J. Zhang²⁷, M. Zhang¹, S.-N. Zhang²⁷, W.-D. Zhang¹, W.-J. Zhang¹, Y.-H. Zhang⁴⁰, Z. Zhang^{1,7}, Z. Zhang⁴⁷, Z.-L. Zhang²⁷, D.-H. Zhao¹, H.-S. Zhao²⁷, X.-F. Zhao²⁷, Z.-J. Zhao²⁷, Y.-L. Zhou⁴⁰, Y.-X. Zhu²⁷, Z.-C. Zhu⁴⁰

¹National Astronomical Observatories, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, China.

²Institute of Astrophysics, Central China Normal University, Wuhan 430079, China.

³Key Laboratory of Quark and Lepton Physics (Central China Normal University), Ministry of

*These authors contributed equally to this work

†E-mail: gaohe@bnu.edu.cn

‡E-mail: wang_xf@mail.tsinghua.edu.cn

§E-mail: wmy@nao.cas.cn

¶E-mail: bing.zhang@unlv.edu

Education, Wuhan 430079, China

⁴Institute for Frontier in Astronomy and Astrophysics, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 102206, China.

⁵School of Physics and Astronomy, Beijing Normal University, Beijing 100875, China.

⁶Physics Department, Tsinghua University, Beijing, 100084, China.

⁷School of Astronomy and Space Science, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China.

⁸Nevada Center for Astrophysics, University of Nevada Las Vegas, NV 89154, USA.

⁹Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Nevada Las Vegas, NV 89154, USA.

¹⁰Department of Astronomy, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3411, USA.

¹¹Altay Astronomical Observatory, Altay, Xinjiang 836500, China.

¹²Shanghai Astronomical Observatory, Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS), 80 Nandan Road, Shanghai 200030, China.

¹³Niels Bohr International Academy and DARK, Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, Blegdamsvej 17, 2100, Copenhagen, Denmark.

¹⁴Department of Astronomy, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, China.

¹⁵Purple Mountain Observatory, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Nanjing 210023, China.

¹⁶School of Astronomy and Space Science, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093, China.

¹⁷Key Laboratory of Modern Astronomy and Astrophysics (Nanjing University), Ministry of Education, China.

¹⁸Cahill Center for Astrophysics, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125, USA.

¹⁹Department of Astronomy, School of Physical Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, China.

²⁰Department of Astronomy and Astrophysics, The Pennsylvania State University, 525 Davey Lab, University Park, PA 16802, USA.

²¹INAF-Osservatorio Astronomico di Padova, Vicolo dell'Osservatorio 5, 35122 Padova, Italy.

²²Institute of Space Sciences (ICE), Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (CSIC), Barcelona, Spain.

²³Las Cumbres Observatory, 6740 Cortona Drive, Suite 102, Goleta, CA 93117-5575, USA.

²⁴Department of Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106-9530, USA.

²⁵Department of Astronomy, School of Physics, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430074, China.

²⁶Ioffe Institute, Politekhnicheskaya 26, 194021 St. Petersburg, Russia.

²⁷Key Laboratory of Particle Astrophysics, Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China.

- ²⁸ Yunnan observatories, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 650011 Kunming, China.
- ²⁹ Ulugh Beg Astronomical Institute, Uzbekistan Academy of Sciences, Tashkent, Uzbekistan.
- ³⁰ National University of Uzbekistan, Tashkent, Uzbekistan.
- ³¹ Cosmic DAWN Center.
- ³² Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, Jagtvej 155, 2200 Copenhagen N, Denmark.
- ³³ Instituto de Física y Astronomía, Universidad de Valparaíso, ave. Gran Bretaña, 1111, Casilla 5030, Valparaíso, Chile.
- ³⁴ Millennium Institute of Astrophysics, Nuncio Monseñor Sotero Sanz 100, Of. 104, Providencia, Santiago, Chile.
- ³⁵ Centre for Astrophysics Research, University of Hertfordshire, Hatfield AL10 9AB, UK.
- ³⁶ School of Astronomy and Space Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026, China.
- ³⁷ National Astronomical Research Institute of Thailand, 260 Moo 4, Donkaew, Maerim, Chiang Mai, 50180, Thailand.
- ³⁸ Chinese Academy of Sciences South America Center for Astronomy (CASSACA), National Astronomical Observatories, CAS, Beijing 100101, China.
- ³⁹ Beijing Planetarium, Beijing Academy of Sciences and Technology, Beijing, 100044, China.
- ⁴⁰ Innovation Academy for Microsatellites, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 201210, China.
- ⁴¹ Instituto de Astrofísica de Andalucía (IAA-CSIC), Granada, Spain.
- ⁴² Unidad Asociada al CSIC, Departamento de Ingeniería de Sistemas y Automática, Escuela de Ingenierías, Universidad de Málaga, Málaga, Spain.
- ⁴³ Shanghai Institute of Technical Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shanghai 200083, China.
- ⁴⁴ Key Laboratory of Technology on Space Energy Conversion, Technical Institute of Physics and Chemistry, CAS, Beijing 100190, China.
- ⁴⁵ University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China
- ⁴⁶ CEA Paris-Saclay, IRFU/Département d'Astrophysique-AIM, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France.
- ⁴⁷ North Night Vision Technology Co., LTD, Nanjing, China.
- ⁴⁸ European Space Agency, ESTEC, Keplerlaan 1, NL-2200 AG, Noordwijk, The Netherlands.
- ⁴⁹ Max-Planck-Institut für extraterrestrische Physik, Giessenbachstrasse 1, 85748 Garching, Germany.
- ⁵⁰ School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Leicester, LE1 7RH, UK.
- ⁵¹ Institut d'Estudis Espacials de Catalunya (IEEC), Barcelona, Spain.
- ⁵² National Space Science Center, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100190, China.
- ⁵³ Key Laboratory of Technology on Space Energy Conversion, Technical Institute of Physics and

Chemistry, CAS, Beijing 100190, China.

⁵⁴Key Laboratory of Technology on Space Energy Conversion, Technical Institute of Physics and Chemistry, CAS, Beijing 100190, China.

⁵⁵Institute of Electrical Engineering, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100190, China.

Massive stars end their life as core-collapse supernovae, amongst which some extremes are Type Ic broad-lined supernovae associated with long-duration gamma-ray bursts (LGRBs) having powerful relativistic jets^{1,2}. Their less-extreme brethren make unsuccessful jets that are choked inside the stars, appearing as X-ray flashes or low-luminosity GRBs^{3,4}. On the other hand, there exists a population of extragalactic fast X-ray transients (EFXTs) with timescales ranging from seconds to thousands of seconds, whose origins remain obscure⁵⁻⁹. Known sources that contribute to the observed EFXT population include the softer analogs of LGRBs^{10,11}, shock breakouts of supernovae, or unsuccessful jets^{4,12}. Here, we report the discovery of the bright X-ray transient EP240414a detected by the Einstein Probe (EP), which is associated with the Type Ic supernova SN 2024gsa at a redshift of 0.401. The X-ray emission evolution is characterised by a very soft energy spectrum peaking at < 1.3 keV, which makes it distinct from known LGRBs, X-ray flashes, or low-luminosity GRBs. Follow-up observations at optical and radio bands revealed the existence of a weak relativistic jet that interacts with an extended shell surrounding the progenitor star. Located on the outskirts of a massive galaxy, this event reveals a new population of explosions of Wolf-Rayet stars characterised by a less powerful engine that drives a successful but weak jet, possibly owing to a progenitor star with a smaller core angular momentum than in traditional LGRB progenitors.

EP240414a triggered the Wide-field X-ray Telescope (WXT) onboard the EP satellite in the 0.5–4 keV band (Fig. 1a) at $T_0 = 09:49:10$ on 14 April 2024¹³ [Coordinated Universal Time (UTC) is used throughout this paper]. Within a similar time window, no significant gamma-ray signals were detected in association with this event (see Methods). Subsequent follow-up observations of EP240414a revealed counterparts at soft X-ray (at $\sim T_0 + 2$ hr, Fig. 1b), optical (at $\sim T_0 + 3$ hr¹⁴, Fig. 1c), and radio (at $\sim T_0 + 9$ days¹⁵) wavelengths. Optical spectra suggested that it is likely associated with the galaxy SDSS J124601.99-094309.3 (J1246) at a redshift of $z = 0.401$ (Fig. 1d, Methods).

The X-ray burst captured by the WXT is characterised by a generally single pulse with marginal variability, as shown in Fig. 2a. The T_{90} of the transient, i.e. the time during which the central 90% of the fluence is observed, is 155 s (Table 1). The integrated spectrum within T_{90} can be fitted by an absorbed power-law model with a photon index $\alpha = -3.1_{-0.8}^{+0.7}$, and an

intrinsic absorption of $N_{\text{int}} = 7.4_{-3.7}^{+4.1} \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ in excess of the absorption within the Milky Way Galaxy (Methods). The soft spectrum fitted with the power-law model indicates that the energy peak E_{peak} is near or below the lower limit of WXT’s energy range. The spectral fitting result with the absorbed broken power-law model implies an upper limit of less than 1.3 keV for the E_{peak} (Methods). At the redshift of 0.401, the absorbed peak isotropic X-ray luminosity in the 0.5–4 keV band is $1.3 \times 10^{48} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$, which exceeds that of the supernova (SN) shock-breakout event XRO 080109 by more than four orders of magnitude¹². As shown in Fig. 2b, this luminosity is also much higher than the predicted value for nonrelativistic SN shock-breakout models¹⁶. The luminosity of EP240414a falls into the range of low-luminosity GRBs^{17–19} which have also been proposed to be relativistic shock breakouts^{20,21}. This transient, however, is much softer than the prediction based on the so-called closure relation of the relativistic shock-breakout model²¹ given the observed duration and isotropic energy. The X-ray fluence indicates a total isotropic equivalent energy of $5.3_{-0.6}^{+0.8} \times 10^{49} \text{ erg}$. Combining the upper limit of the peak energy, we find that this event is a unique outlier in the so-called “Amati relation,” which was believed to be generally satisfied by LGRBs, X-ray flashes, or low-luminosity GRBs^{3,22,23} (see Fig. 2c). This hints that EP240414a may have a distinct origin compared with previously known bursts.

Motivated by the discovery of EP240414a, we initiated multiwavelength follow-up observations at X-ray, optical, near-infrared (NIR), and radio bands, extending up to $T_0 + 50$ days (Methods). An X-ray counterpart was detected by the EP Follow-up X-ray Telescope (FXT) ~ 2 hr after the trigger²⁴ with an absorbed flux of $1.8 \times 10^{-13} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ in the 0.5–10 keV band (see Methods), implying a rapid decline in its X-ray flux by more than three orders of magnitude within about 3 hr after the detection. The spectra observed in the two FXT observations can be fitted with an absorbed power-law model with a photon index of $-2.2_{-0.4}^{+0.3}$ (Methods). During the phase from $t \approx 3$ hr to 3 days, the evolution of the X-ray emission enters a plateau, with a sign of rebrightening from the detection by the *Swift* X-ray Telescope.

Fig. 3 shows the overall luminosity evolution of the optical counterpart SN 2024gsa collected within ~ 50 days after the discovery; the photometry we obtained is shown in Extended Data Table 2. Optical emission associated with EP240414a was detected within a few hours after the X-ray trigger, possibly representing the afterglow of the prompt X-ray emission (Phase 1). At $T_0 + 4$ days, a second optical bump was observed peaking at ~ -21.5 mag in the z band, which represents the most luminous optical emission ever recorded to be associated with an X-ray transient (Phase 2). The third optical bump appears at $\sim T_0 + 15$ days, fainter but apparently broader than the second one, with a peak luminosity of $M_I \approx -19.5$ mag (Phase 3). Follow-up radio observations

reveal that the radio counterpart, detected at $\sim T_0 + 19$ days, exhibited a rising spectrum between 5.5 and 9 GHz (Methods, Extended Data Figure 5), consistent with synchrotron emission from a relativistic jet. The radio luminosity is comparable to that of bright GRBs, such as GRB 030329, and is significantly brighter than that of most SNe (Extended Data Figure 3).

To identify the properties of the optical counterpart SN 2024gsa, an optical spectrum was taken with LRIS on the Keck I telescope²⁵ at $\sim T_0 + 15$ days. Fig. 4 shows the redshift-corrected spectrum, which is consistent with a broad-lined Type Ic SN (SN Ic-BL) at an age of ~ 10 days after the explosion, with a best-fit redshift of 0.38 ± 0.02 . The overall spectral profile is quite similar to that of other GRB-SNe Ic, such as SN 1998bw associated with GRB 980425 and SN 2006aj associated with GRB 060218, with the broad absorption features at 460–490 nm and 590–620 nm attributed to Fe II blended lines and Si II $\lambda 6355$, respectively. With the above spectral features and an ejecta velocity of about $16,700 \text{ km s}^{-1}$ from the Si II absorption, we infer that SN 2024gsa arises from an energetic explosion of a Wolf-Rayet star.

A luminous spiral galaxy, J1246, is observed in close proximity to SN 2024gsa; its redshift is consistent with that of SN 2024gsa (Methods), suggesting it is the host galaxy of EP240414a/SN 2024gsa. A spectrum of J1246 further revealed the presence of an active galactic nucleus (AGN) at its core (Methods). The projected offset of 26.3 ± 0.1 kpc from the galaxy centre is unusually large for SNe Ic-BL²⁶ (Extended Data Figure 1). Such a host galaxy and location in a galaxy is quite different from those of LGRBs and low-luminosity GRBs, which are typically dwarf star-forming galaxies with the bursts occurring in the brightest region in the galaxies²⁷. On the other hand, the spectrum of J1246 indicates that the central region of the galaxy has low metallicity. The SN, located on the outskirts of J1246, is likely in a region with even lower metallicity than the galaxy’s nucleus. Thus, the possibility of an association with an undetected satellite dwarf galaxy or a distant star-forming H II region cannot be ruled out (see Methods and Extended Data Figure 1).

Multiband observations suggest that EP240414a/SN 2024gsa originated from the core-collapse explosion of an extragalactic massive star with its envelope significantly stripped prior to the explosion. The core collapse induced shock waves sequentially moving through the stellar envelope and a previously ejected circumstellar medium (CSM), ultimately producing an optical bump on a timescale of days, rather than an X-ray signal on a shorter timescale. Detailed modeling shows that as long as the radius and mass of the ejected shell reach $R_{\text{ext}} \approx 2.41 \times 10^{14} \text{ cm}$ and $M_{\text{ext}} \approx 0.33 M_{\odot}$, the analytical shock-cooling emission from this extended material²⁸ can well

fit the optical light curve of SN2024gsa during Phase 2 (see Methods). The optical light curve in Phase 3 is powered by the radioactive decay of ^{56}Ni , which is typical for SNe Ic-BL. Fitting the data with the standard SN model reveals the following explosion parameters: the ejecta mass $M_{\text{ej}} \approx 2.38 M_{\odot}$ and synthesised nickel mass $M_{\text{Ni}} \approx 0.74 M_{\odot}$, which exceeds the nickel mass reported for SN 1998bw ($0.3 - 0.6 M_{\odot}$)²⁹. This is consistent with the higher luminosity observed for this event. Additionally, the derived ejecta velocity $v_{\text{ej}} \approx 1.5 \times 10^9 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$ matches that measured from the observed SN spectrum.

Excluding the radiation signals originating from the Type Ic SN and its breakout from a dense shell, other multiwavelength observations reveal the emission signature of a weak, relativistic jet. The achromatic decay in the X-ray and optical data up to $T_0 + 2$ days is consistent with the standard afterglow synchrotron emission from a decelerating relativistic jet, with a lower limit on the initial Lorentz factor (Γ_0) of ~ 13 . The isotropic kinetic energy of the jet is estimated to be $\sim 10^{51}$ erg with a CSM of density $\sim 1 \text{ cm}^{-3}$. The late-time radio afterglow is also consistent with the afterglow model but requires a lower CSM density (see Methods).

The unique properties of EP240414a, including its extremely soft spectrum that does not agree with any previously known LGRBs and relatives, a substantial amount of pre-explosion ejected mass, its peculiar host galaxy, and the unusual position within it, suggest that it is the prototype of a previously unknown population of envelope-stripped Wolf-Rayet stars. EP240414a/SN 2024gsa-like events bridge the gap between traditional GRBs and those broad-lined SNe Ic that do not have any high-energy counterparts, suggesting a diverse zoo of progenitor stars. The lower limit of the intrinsic event rate density for EFXTs similar to EP240414a, derived from the eight months of operation of EP-WXT, is $\approx 0.3 \text{ Gpc}^{-3}\text{yr}^{-1}$ (see Methods), suggesting that this population contributes to a non-negligible fraction of cosmic explosions. The peculiar properties of EP240414a may be related to moderately high core angular momentum, lower magnetic field, or higher mass and/or metallicity of the progenitor star. Future studies of EFXTs analogous to EP240414a, utilising facilities such as the EP, will offer valuable insights into the physical processes governing the death of massive stars, including mass loss, angular momentum transfer, jet formation, energy conversion between the jet and the stellar envelope, and the mechanisms driving the initial kinetic energy of SNe.

Table 1: Properties of EP240414a. Errors represent the 1σ uncertainties.

Observed Properties	EP240414a*
Soft X-Ray [0.5–4 keV]	
Duration T_{90} (s)	155^{+64}_{-22}
Photon Index α	$-3.1^{+0.7}_{-0.8}$
Intrinsic Absorption N_{int} (cm^{-2})	$7.4^{+4.1}_{-3.7} \times 10^{21}$
Peak Energy (keV)	< 1.3
Peak Flux ($\text{erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$)	$(2.2 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-9}$
Peak Luminosity (erg s^{-1})	$(1.3 \pm 0.4) \times 10^{48}$
Total Fluence (erg cm^{-2})	$1.2^{+0.3}_{-0.2} \times 10^{-7}$
Isotropic Energy (erg)	$5.3^{+1.2}_{-0.9} \times 10^{49}$
Host Galaxy:	
Redshift	0.401 ± 0.003
Projected Offset (kpc)	26.3 ± 0.1
Associations:	
Gamma-ray Counterpart	No
Supernova	SN 2024gsa (Ic-BL)

* The source position is R.A. = $12^{\text{h}}46^{\text{m}}01.682^{\text{s}} \pm 0.003^{\text{s}}$, Dec. = $-09^{\circ}43'08.13'' \pm 0.33''$, inferred from the radio observation.




Fig. 1: Multiwavelength images and host-galaxy spectrum of EP240414a/SN 2024gsa. **a**, The image of EP240414a in one of the WXT CMOS detectors. **b**, The image of EP240414a taken by FXT. The white circle represents the centre of FXT localisation with a radius of $40''$. The yellow circle shows the localisation of WXT with an error circle of $3'$. **c**, An SDSS *gri*-band composite image of SN 2024gsa using LCO images (see Methods). SN 2024gsa is marked with orange ticks, while the white and red circles indicate the error circles of FXT and XRT, respectively. The host galaxy J1246 is also marked with a white tick. **d**, The spectrum of the nucleus of J1246. Prominent emission lines, including $H\alpha$, $H\beta$, [O III] $\lambda 5007$, and [N II] $\lambda 6583$, are labeled. The spectral type and redshift are also indicated.




Fig. 2: X-ray properties of EP240414a. **a**, The light curve of the net count rate and the spectral evolution of EP240414a in the 0.5–4 keV band. The bin size is 5 s. The T_{90} of the transient, during which 5%–95% of the fluence is observed, is 155 s. **b**, Long-term X-ray luminosity light curves in the 0.5–10 keV band. The flux in the 0.5–10 keV band of the data from EP-WXT is derived from the count rate with a count-to-flux conversion factor of $1.43 \times 10^{-9} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \text{ ct}^{-1}$ assuming the best-fit result for the average spectrum fitted with the absorbed power-law model in Extended Data Table 4. The dashed and dash-dotted lines respectively represent the X-ray luminosity of SN shock breakout from Wolf-Rayet (WR) star and blue supergiant (BSG) explosions¹⁶. The data of low-luminosity GRBs 060218, 100316D, and 120422A are obtained from BAT and XRT observations in the Swift Burst Analyser³⁰. The luminosity light curve of XRO 080109 was obtained from Ref.³¹ **c**, The rest-frame peak energy versus isotropic energy correlation (Amati relation). The burst is an outlier compared with classical GRBs and some low-luminosity GRBs. Uncertainties are given at the 1σ confidence level.




Fig. 3: Optical and NIR light curves of SN 2024gsa. Magnitude is plotted against the rest-frame phase on the lower abscissa and the observer-frame phase on the upper abscissa. The left ordinate denotes apparent magnitude, while the right ordinate is absolute magnitude. Data from different bands are distinguished using various colours. Circles represent data points, whereas triangles give upper limits. All magnitudes shown have been corrected for Galactic extinction. The dashed line corresponds to the extinction-corrected light curves of SNe 1998bw and 2006aj placed at the same distance as SN 2024gsa for comparison^{4,32}. The light curve is segmented into three phases by two vertical dot-dashed lines (see the main text for details). Vertical solid lines mark the epochs of spectroscopy from the GTC and Keck I/II telescopes, as well as radio observations from ATCA. Data points represented by open circles are from public GCN Circulars^{14,33,34}. The modeled light curves for the *i* band (red solid line) and *r* band (orange solid line) are also displayed, with the shaded region indicating the 1σ uncertainty in Phases 2 and 3.

a




Fig. 4: Comparison of optical spectrum of SN 2024gsa with those of selected stripped-envelope SNe. The smoothed spectrum of SN 2024gsa, taken on $T_0 + 15$ days, is compared with those of four selected SNe Ib/c, including two SNe Ic-BL, SN 1998bw³⁵ and SN 2006aj³⁶; a normal SN Ic, SN 2017ein³⁷; and an SN associated with an X-ray outburst (XRO), SN 2008D³⁸. The rest-frame phases, relative to the time of B -band or V -band maximum brightness, are labeled next to the corresponding spectra. The wavelengths are redshift-corrected to the rest frame. Grey regions indicate two commonly seen broad absorption features in SNe Ic-BL: Fe II blended lines and the Si II $\lambda 6355$ line.

Methods

Observations and data reduction.

X-rays. The Einstein Probe (EP) ³⁹, launched on 9 Jan. 2024, is a mission led by the Chinese Academy of Sciences (CAS), in collaboration with the European Space Agency (ESA) and the Max Planck Institute for Extraterrestrial Physics (MPE), Germany, dedicated to time-domain high-energy astrophysics. The Wide-field X-ray Telescope (WXT) is one of the two main payloads on the EP. It employs novel lobster-eye micro-pore optics (MPO) to enable a large instantaneous field of view (FoV) of 3600 square degrees and a sensitivity of $\sim 2.6 \times 10^{-11}$ erg cm⁻² s⁻¹ in the 0.5–4 keV band for an exposure time of 1 ks.

EP240414a was detected by the WXT during the commissioning phase of EP at 09:49:10 on 2024-04-14 UTC. It also triggered the WXT on-board processing unit at 2024-04-14T09:50:12 with a signal-to-noise ratio of 8.7 ¹. The X-ray photons were processed using the data reduction pipeline and calibration database (CALDB) designed for WXT (Liu et al. in prep.). The CALDB was built combining the results of the on-ground calibration experiments and in-orbit calibration observations (Cheng et al. in prep.). The overall procedure is similar to that applied to the pathfinder of WXT, i.e. *Lobster Eye Imager for Astronomy*^{40,41}. The positions of photons were re-projected to celestial coordinates (J2000). The Pulse Invariant value (the energy in the channel unit) of each event was calculated according to the bias and gain stored in CALDB. After flagging bad/flaring pixels and assigning grade, the events with grade 0–12 and without anomalous flags were selected to form the cleaned event file and the image in the 0.5–4 keV band for further source detection. The light curve and the spectrum of the source and background in a given time interval were extracted from a circle with a radius of 9 arcmin and an annulus with inner and outer radii of 18 arcmin and 36 arcmin, respectively.

Follow-up observations were carried out with the EP Follow-up X-ray Telescope (FXT)⁴² and *Swift* X-Ray Telescope (Extended Data Table 1), spanning from 2 hr to 50 days after the trigger. The FXT is one of the main payloads of EP in the 0.3–10 keV range. It consists of two co-aligned modules (FXT-A and FXT-B), each containing 54 nested Wolter-I paraboloid-hyperboloid mirror shells. Both the source and background regions of the FXT data were processed using the FXT data analysis software (fxtsoftware v1.10; <http://epfxt.ihep.ac.cn/analysis>). The process involved particle event identification, PI conversion, grade calculation and selection (grade 0–12), bad and hot pixel flag and selection of good time intervals using housekeeping files. The pipeline finally

¹The WXT on-board trigger requires the signal-to-noise ratio above 8.

resulted in the cleaned event files, energy spectra and response files.

Gamma rays. The *Fermi* Gamma-ray Burst Monitor was temporarily turned off owing to the South Atlantic Anomaly during the trigger time. *Konus-Wind* was observing the whole sky around the EP240414a. No significant signal was observed during $T_0 \pm 2000$ s. The upper limit (90% confidence level) of the flux in the 15–350 keV band of *Konus-Wind* is 2.7×10^{-7} erg cm⁻² s⁻¹ (2.944 s scale) assuming a power-law model with $\alpha = -3.1$. The *Swift* Burst Alert Telescope (BAT) did not detect any significant signal at $T_0 \pm 50$ s. The direction of the target was slightly outside the coded FoV of BAT. The upper limits of BAT in the 15–350 keV band for a power-law model with $\alpha = -3.1$ are 1.1×10^{-6} erg cm⁻² s⁻¹ for a 1 s time scale and 3.5×10^{-7} erg cm⁻² s⁻¹ for a 16 s time scale.

Optical and near-infrared photometric observations.

Photometric observations were carried out using numerous facilities, including the network of 1.0 m telescopes of the Las Cumbres Observatory (LCO⁴³), the Nordic Optical Telescope (NOT), the Palomar 5 m telescope, the Gran Telescopio Canarias (GTC), the Keck I telescope, the Xinglong 2.16 m Telescope (XLT), the Lijiang 2.4 m Telescope (LJT), and the Aletai Telescopes (ALT).

Through the Global Supernova Project⁴⁴, we obtained the *BVgri*-band images with the network of 1.0 m telescopes of the LCO at the Siding Spring Observatory (New South Wales, Australia), South African Astronomical Observatory (Sutherland, South Africa), and Cerro Tololo Interamerican Observatory (Cerro Tololo, Chile), starting on 2024 April 18.06 (MJD = 60418.06; $T_0 + 3.65$ days). Photometric observations were carried out at the Southern Astrophysical Research Telescope (SOAR) in Chile, the AZT-22 telescope in Uzbekistan, and the VLT Survey Telescope (VST) in Chile. Image stacking was performed to improve the signal-to-noise ratio (S/N) of the data using the REPROJECT package (<https://reproject.readthedocs.io/en/stable/index.html>). *BV* and *gri* point-spread function (PSF) photometry was calibrated to Vega⁴⁵ and AB⁴⁶ magnitudes, respectively. PSF photometry was performed using AutoPhOT (<https://github.com/AstroSean/autophot/>)⁴⁷. Photometric observations of SN 2024gsa were also conducted using OSIRIS+ mounted on GTC (GTCMULTIPLE2G-24A; PI: Nancy Elias-Rosa) on April 19.9. The photometric measurements were extracted using the same procedure as the LCO observations.

The optical photometry from other facilities were processed using standard procedures with the Image Reduction and Analysis Facility (IRAF) v2.16⁴⁸, including bias subtraction, flat-fielding, and image combination. Aperture photometry was conducted on the stacked frames, and the flux

was calibrated against neighbouring reference stars from the Pan-STARRS (PS1)⁴⁹ field.

Besides the optical images, we also collected the NIR images of SN 2024gsa using the Wide-field Infrared Camera (WIRC⁵⁰) on the Palomar 5 m telescope. For WIRC data, a Python-based pipeline applied standard reduction techniques, stacked using Swarp⁵¹ and photometrically calibrated against the 2MASS point-source catalogue⁵². Image subtraction was performed following the ZOGY algorithm⁵³, using its implementation in Python⁵⁴.

Very deep images were obtained with the Keck-I telescope and the Low Resolution Imaging Spectrometer (LRIS)⁵⁵ at the W. M. Keck Observatory on June 3, at a phase of about 50 days after the EP trigger. The data were processed using the LPIPE data-reduction pipeline⁵⁶. SN 2024gsa was detected in V -, g -, R -, and I -band images. PSF photometry was performed on all images using AutoPhOT. For flux calibration, photometric data from Gaia DR3^{57,58} were transformed to the Johnson-Cousins photometric system BRI magnitudes by referencing the standard stars within the field of view, following the Gaia DR3 documentation⁵⁹. The g -band flux was calibrated against reference stars from the PS1 field.

Optical and near-infrared spectroscopic observations.

A few spectra were collected for SN 2024gsa. On April 19.9 (MJD = 60419.9; $T_0 + 5.5$ days), we took a spectrum with the OSIRIS+ mounted on the GTC. The spectrum underwent bias and flat-field correction, extraction, and wavelength and flux calibration using the FOSCGUI² pipeline, adapted for the OSIRIS+ instrument. Telluric lines were also removed from the spectra. The blue part of Extended Data Figure 2 presents the smoothed redshift-corrected (see below for redshift discussion) GTC/OSIRIS+ spectrum of SN 2024gsa, exhibiting a featureless continuum. However, this spectrum does not provide sufficient information to determine the physical properties of the transient.

A NIR spectrum of SN 2024gsa was obtained using NIRES⁶⁰ on the Keck II telescope at a similar phase (i.e., on April 19). We reduced the NIRES data using PypeIt³, which performs flat-field calibration, background subtraction, and spectral extraction. Flux calibration, coaddition, and telluric correction are done using a sensitivity function fitted to the telluric-star observation. The red part of Extended Data Figure 2 presents the smoothed redshift-corrected (see below for redshift

²FOSCGUI is a graphic user interface aimed at extracting SN spectroscopy and photometry obtained with FOSC-like instruments. It was developed by E. Cappellaro. A package description can be found at <http://sngroup.oapd.inaf.it/foscgui.html>

³<https://pypeit.readthedocs.io/en/release/index.html#pypeit-bibtex-entries>

discussion) Keck/NIRES spectrum of SN 2024gsa. Owing to the insufficient S/N, the accuracy of the flux calibration is limited to within a factor of a few.

On May 4.3 ($T_0 + 20$ days), we obtained a long-slit spectroscopy of SN 2024gsa using Keck-I/LRIS, which is equipped with an atmospheric dispersion corrector. The data were processed with the LPIPE data-reduction pipeline. The spectra of SN 2024gsa and host J1246, a potential host galaxy of SN 2024gsa source located $5.7''$ away (see Fig. 1 (a)), were obtained simultaneously. By template matching using GELATO⁶¹ (gelato.tng.iac.es) and Superfit⁶², we classified SN 2024gsa as an SN Ic-BL with redshift $z = 0.38 \pm 0.02$. It is worth noting that the spectrum of SN 2024gsa was obtained before its optical maximum brightness (Fig. 3), which is earlier than the phase of the best-fit spectrum from both GELATO and Superfit. Given the slow spectral evolution of SNe Ic-BL over several days, we conclude that the difference does not affect the classification of SN 2024gsa. The relatively large uncertainty in the redshift is due to the lack of narrow emission lines and the low S/N of the spectrum. The redshift-corrected spectrum displays a continuum with two broad spectral features: the blended Fe II and Si II $\lambda 6355$ lines. We measured the Si II $\lambda 6355$ expansion velocity of SN 2024gsa by fitting the feature with a Gaussian function, deriving a velocity of $v_{\text{Si II}} = (16.7 \pm 1.1) \times 10^3 \text{ km s}^{-1}$, which is relatively high for SNe Ic-BL at a similar phase³⁷.

Radio observations. The radio follow-up observations of SN 2024gsa were conducted with the Australia Telescope Compact Array (ATCA) on May 3, from 12:39:29.9 to 15:46:39.9, under project code CX568 (PI Tao An). The target had an on-source (exposure) time of 80 min. The array was configured in its most extended 6 km setup (6A). Data were simultaneously recorded at central frequencies of 5.5 GHz and 9.0 GHz, each with a bandwidth of 2 GHz. The source position was determined using a flux density weighted mean of the peak values obtained at these two frequencies. The object is located at a right ascension (R.A.) of $12^{\text{h}}46^{\text{m}}01.682^{\text{s}} \pm 0.003^{\text{s}}$ and a declination (Dec.) of $-09^{\circ}43'08.13'' \pm 0.33''$. The radio observation positioning uncertainties arise from Gaussian fitting uncertainties and thermal noise. The overall accuracy of the source location is calculated using error propagation formulae and averaged from the measurement of the two frequencies.

Standard data reduction was performed using the Common Astronomy Software Application (CASA). This process included flagging, calibration, and imaging. We created individual images for the 5.5 GHz and 9.0 GHz datasets to derive the spectral index.

The target was detected in ATCA C-band observations. The measured flux densities at various frequencies are presented in Table 3 and Figure 3. The source exhibits a rising spectrum with a spectral index of $+0.85 \pm 0.02$ between 5.5 and 9 GHz, which is consistent with synchrotron self-absorption (SSA) radiation.

Host-galaxy properties.

The spectrum of J1246 is consistent with a redshifted Seyfert 1 AGN displaying typical emission lines, including $H\alpha$, $H\beta$, [O III] $\lambda 5007$, and [N II] $\lambda 6583$ (see Fig. 1 d). We fitted the emission lines in the observed spectrum of J1246 using a Gaussian model to determine the redshift. The fitting was performed with the SCIPY⁶³ package. The redshift and its uncertainty were derived from the mean and standard deviation of the fitted Gaussian, resulting in $z = 0.401 \pm 0.003$. The redshifts of SN 2024gsa and J1246 are consistent within the uncertainties. Therefore, SN 2024gsa is likely associated with J1246 or one of its undetected satellite dwarf galaxies. In either case, the host-galaxy extinction for SN 2024gsa is expected to be minimal, while the Galactic extinction is $E(B - V) = 0.032 \text{ mag}^{64}$. We adopt $z = 0.401$ for the analysis. Utilising the cosmological parameters from the Planck Collaboration model⁶⁵, we determine the corresponding luminosity distance to be $2245 \pm 10 \text{ Mpc}$, the angular diameter distance to be $1144 \pm 5 \text{ Mpc}$, and the distance modulus to be $41.76 \pm 0.01 \text{ mag}$. Therefore, the projected offset between SN 2024gsa and the centre of J1246 is $26.3 \pm 0.1 \text{ kpc}$, significantly larger than the typical offset for SNe Ic-BL²⁶ (Extended Data Figure 1).

To determine the metallicity of J1246, we utilised the O3N2 method based on flux ratios of specific emission lines in the optical spectrum. The spectral analysis was performed using the DASpec⁴ package⁶⁶. The O3N2 parameter is defined as

$$\text{O3N2} = \log_{10} \left(\frac{[\text{O III}] \lambda 5007 / H\beta}{[\text{N II}] \lambda 6584 / H\alpha} \right). \quad (1)$$

Using the observed fluxes of these emission lines, we calculated the O3N2 value and derived the oxygen abundance following the calibration by⁶⁷,

$$12 + \log(\text{O}/\text{H}) = 8.73 - 0.32 \times \text{O3N2}. \quad (2)$$

Our measurements yielded a metallicity of $12 + \log(\text{O}/\text{H}) = 8.55 \pm 0.02$. Since the [O III] and $H\beta$ lines, as well as the [N II] and $H\alpha$ lines, are in close proximity in wavelength, the differential extinction between them is minimal. Therefore, we did not apply extinction correction to the emission-line fluxes. We note that the SN is located in the outskirts of J1246. If it is the host

⁴<https://github.com/PuDu-Astro/DASpec>

galaxy of SN 2024gsa, the metallicity at the SN’s location is expected to be lower than the value we measured near the nucleus. Consequently, the metallicity at the location of SN 2024gsa is lower than that of most SNe Ic-BL^{68,69}.

The DESI Legacy Imaging Survey⁷⁰ did not detect any objects at the position of SN 2024gsa. The 5σ limiting absolute magnitudes at the luminosity distance of SN 2024gsa are -17.71 (g band), -17.85 (r band), -18.05 (i band), and -18.47 (z band). By converting the apparent magnitudes obtained from SDSS⁷¹, we derived that the absolute magnitudes of J1246 are -21.68 ± 0.03 (g), -22.72 ± 0.02 (r), -23.17 ± 0.02 (i), and -23.56 ± 0.05 (z). In the images taken with the Keck telescope, the galaxy exhibits a prominent central core accompanied by two distinct spiral arms (inset of Extended Data Figure 1). We classify it as a spiral galaxy with an active nucleus. An additional object near SN 2024gsa, identified as Object 3 in the inset of Extended Data Figure 1, is a star in the Milky Way, as confirmed by Gaia distance measurements⁷².

Spectral Analysis.

EP-WXT. The integrated spectrum of the WXT prompt emission in the T_{90} time interval (ranging from 19 s to 174 s) was fitted in *XSPEC* by an absorbed power-law model $tbabs*ztbabs*powerlaw$, where the first and second components are responsible for the Galactic absorption and the intrinsic absorption N_{int} , and the third component is a power-law function, $N(E) = K \times E^\alpha$, in the observer’s frame. The column density of the Galactic absorption in the direction of EP240414a is fixed at $3.35 \times 10^{20} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ ⁷³ and the redshift is fixed at 0.401. A time-averaged intrinsic absorption of $N_{\text{int}} = 7.4_{-3.7}^{+4.1} \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ and a photon index $\alpha = -3.1_{-0.8}^{+0.7}$ are obtained with an acceptable statistic $\text{CSTAT}/(\text{d.o.f.}) \approx 6.66/13$ (Extended Data Figure 4a). The best-fit values of the photon index and intrinsic absorption and confidence contours are shown in Extended Data Figure 4b. The spectral energy distribution and the flux upper limits inferred from the Konus Wind and *Swift*/BAT are shown in Extended Data Figure 4c. Furthermore, we consider an absorbed blackbody model $tbabs*ztbabs*bbbody$. The intrinsic absorption cannot be well constrained. An upper limit at the 90% confidence level is given as $N_{\text{int}} < 3.9 \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ with this model. The temperature obtained is $0.35_{-0.04}^{+0.04} \text{ keV}$. The statistic is not significantly improved in comparison with that fitted with the power-law model. The fitting results obtained and the corresponding fitting statistics are presented in Extended Data Table 4.

The soft spectrum fitted with the power-law model indicates that the energy peak E_{peak} is near or below 0.5 keV, the lower limit of WXT’s energy range. To place a quantitative constraint on the E_{peak} , we fitted the spectrum with an absorbed broken power-law model $tbabs*ztbabs*bknpower$.

The first power-law index was fixed at -1, which is the typical value for GRBs. The second power-law index is fitted to be $-2.9_{-0.9}^{+0.6}$, which is consistent with the photon index derived from the fitting result with the single power-law model. The peak energy cannot be well constrained. An upper limit at the 90% confidence level for the E_{peak} is obtained as 1.3 keV. The fitted result gives a comparable statistic with an additional parameter.

Given the limited number of counts detected, we study the spectral evolution by decomposing the light curves into three smaller time slices with approximately equal net counts. We generated the source and background spectra, and fitted them with the absorbed power-law model. The intrinsic absorption is fixed at the same value as that derived from the power-law fit. The results in Extended Data Table 4 suggest that the photon index in the first time interval is softer than that in the later two time intervals. However, it is not statistically significant enough to claim a clear spectral evolution during the WXT observation.

EP-FXT and Swift/XRT. An X-ray source coincident with the optical position was detected in the first two FXT observations. The spectra (both FXT-A and FXT-B) can be fitted simultaneously by the same absorbed power-law model applied to the WXT spectra with an intrinsic absorption of $N_{\text{int}} = 2.4_{-2.0}^{+2.2} \times 10^{21} \text{ cm}^{-2}$ and a photon index of $-2.2_{-0.4}^{+0.3}$. In the seven XRT follow-up observations of the *Swift* XRT, a weak signal was detected only in the first epoch, with a significance of 5.2σ as evaluated by the Li-Ma formula⁷⁴. The absorbed flux is derived assuming a counts-to-flux ratio of $3.3 \times 10^{-11} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ ct}^{-1}$ with the HEASARC tool WebPIMMS⁷⁵.

The upper flux limits at 90% confidence level for the third FXT observation and the remaining XRT observations are inferred under the assumption of the same results from the FXT spectral fitting.

Theoretical Modelling.

Based on the multiband observations, the evolution of EP240414a/SN 2024gsa emission after the WXT detection can be characterised by three distinct physical processes. Detailed analysis and modelling for each phase are presented below.

Afterglow of a relativistic jet interacting with surrounding medium (Phase 1). The simultaneous decay of X-ray and optical fluxes, from approximately 10^4 s to 10^5 s , can be attributed to the afterglow of a successful jet. Using the classical GRB afterglow model^{76,77} and fitting the multi-band light curves with $F_\nu \propto t^{-\alpha} \nu^{-\beta}$, we find $\alpha \approx 0.3$ and $\beta \approx 0.7$. Assuming the Lorentz-factor

distribution for shock-accelerated electrons follows $dN(\gamma_e) \propto \gamma_e^{-p} d\gamma_e$ ^{76,78}, the spectrum suggests $p = 2.4$ which is within the slow cooling regime. The temporal index indicates the jet is in the self-deceleration phase with an energy injection. For an injection rate $L_{\text{inj}} \propto t^{-q}$ (Ref.⁷⁹), $q = 0.44$ is obtained. The radio observation, taken 19 days after T_0 , can also be explained by the jet afterglow. While the narrow radio spectrum is not sufficient to determine the exact spectral index, the flux clearly increases with frequency. Thus, we have $\max(\nu_m, \nu_a) > 9.0$ GHz, where ν_a and ν_m are the self-absorption frequency and the synchrotron frequency related to the accelerated electrons at the low-energy end, respectively. By this time, the energy injection is assumed to have stopped.

We have tried to constrain the parameters for the jet-medium interaction, including the isotropic kinetic energy ($E_{k,\text{iso}}$), the CSM density (n_0), and the fraction of energy converted to the random motion of electrons (ϵ_e) and magnetic field (ϵ_B). Analytical expressions for the characteristic frequencies and fluxes are used⁷⁷. A homogenous medium and constant energy conversion efficiency is found difficult addressing both the low afterglow luminosity in X-ray/optical bands and the radio afterglow's frequency. We assume an evolving CSM and treat the early (X-ray/optical) and late (radio) afterglows separately. Despite limited data, it is possible to find parameters that explain the observations. The best-fit parameters are $n_{0,1} = 1.0 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, $\epsilon_{e,1} = 0.01$, $\epsilon_{B,1} = 0.0001$ for the early phase, and $n_{0,2} = 0.2 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, $\epsilon_{e,2} = 0.5$, $\epsilon_{B,2} = 0.05$ for the late phase, with $E_{k,\text{iso}} = 1.0 \times 10^{51}$ erg. The results of the fit are presented in Extended Data Figure 5. The jet's deceleration time (t_{dec})⁷⁷ must be shorter than the first afterglow observation at $t_s = 1.3 \times 10^4$ s, setting a rough lower limit for the jet's initial bulk Lorentz factor (Γ_0) at ~ 13 . The radio luminosity (νL_ν) of SN 2024gsa is compared with that of other transient events in Extended Data Figure 3. The νL_ν of SN 2024gsa is significantly brighter than that of SNe and fast blue optical transients (FBOTs) at similar phases, and is comparable to that of luminous GRBs, such as GRB 030329. This further suggests that the radio emission originates from the afterglow of a jet, rather than from the radio emission of a supernova.

Shock-cooling model for the optical peak during Phase 2. The optical light curve of SN 2024gsa during Phase 2 is featured by rapid rise and fall times, along with high luminosities. These fast variations and bright peak suggest that the peak cannot be powered by the radioactive decay of ^{56}Ni , which is the primary energy source for many core-collapse SNe, nor by cooling emission after shock breakout from the surface of a supergiant, as the latter typically occurs on much shorter timescales⁸⁰. One potential mechanism for generating such a rapid and luminous light curve is to deposit energy into dense, extended material at large radii^{81,82}. If this dense material possesses a sufficiently high optical depth near its outer edge (R_{ext}), radiation will first break out when the

shock reaches R_{ext} . In this scenario, the optical peak is primarily driven by shock breakout and cooling emission from the expanding hot material, rather than by ongoing shock interaction^{28,83}.

We model the early light curve of SN 2024gsa as cooling emission from shock-heated extended material surrounding the progenitor. To fit the Phase 2 optical light curve, we apply the model from Ref.²⁸, which improves upon earlier shock-cooling emission frameworks^{84,85} by explicitly solving the radiative diffusion equation, accounting for energy transport and losses within the extended material. The rise time of the emission is mainly determined by the mass of the extended material (M_{ext}), while the peak luminosity is influenced by its radius (R_{ext}). Our modeling yields an envelope radius of $R_{\text{ext}} = 2.41_{-1.03}^{+0.51} \times 10^{14}$ cm and a mass of $M_{\text{ext}} = 0.33_{-0.03}^{+0.06} M_{\odot}$. These results support a scenario in which a compact SN Ic-BL progenitor experienced eruptive mass loss shortly before the explosion, with the light curve initially dominated by shock breakout and post-shock cooling of the recently ejected material. For comparison, SN 2006aj/GRB 060218 was modeled as a shock breakout from a low-mass ($0.01 M_{\odot}$) extended envelope with a large radius ($100 R_{\odot}$), powered by a low-luminosity GRB⁸⁶. Other SNe Ic-BL, such as iPTF14gqr and iPTF16asu, also showed early signs of interaction driven by post-shock cooling, but with lower masses and smaller radii in their extended material, such as $M_{\text{ext}} \approx 8 \times 10^{-3} M_{\odot}$ and $R_{\text{ext}} \approx 3 \times 10^{13}$ cm for iPTF14gqr⁸⁷, and $M_{\text{ext}} \approx 0.45 M_{\odot}$ and $R_{\text{ext}} \approx 1.7 \times 10^{12}$ cm for iPTF16asu⁸⁸.

Nickel-powered model for the peak during Phase 3. Given the similarities in the optical spectrum of SN 2024gsa with those of typical SNe Ic-BL, we propose that the peak during Phase 3 is powered by the radioactive decay of ^{56}Ni . To model this, we applied a simple Arnett model⁸⁹ to fit the observed optical light curve, where the key parameters are the nickel mass, ejecta mass, and ejecta velocity. Using the Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) method implemented in the Python *emcee* package⁹⁰, we fit the multiband light curve during Phase 3. Our best-fit model yields an ejecta mass of $M_{\text{ej}} = 2.38_{-0.35}^{+0.45} M_{\odot}$, a nickel mass of $M_{\text{Ni}} = 0.74_{-0.04}^{+0.05} M_{\odot}$, and an ejecta velocity of $v_{\text{ej}} = 1.5_{-0.19}^{+0.21} \times 10^9$ cm s⁻¹.

These derived parameters suggest that SN 2024gsa is consistent with the properties of typical SNe Ic-BL, especially in terms of ejecta mass and velocity, which fall within the typical ranges for this SN type²⁹. The relatively high nickel mass inferred from our model indicates a significant amount of synthesised radioactive material, which is crucial in explaining the brightness of the Phase 3 light-curve peak.

Event rate density.

The unique properties of EP240414a suggest a distinct physical origin from SN shock breakout and low-luminosity GRBs. With one detection, we estimate the local event rate density $\rho_{0,\text{EFXT}}$ through

$$N_{\text{EFXT}} = \frac{\eta \Omega_{\text{WXT}} T_{\text{OT}}}{4\pi} \rho_{0,\text{EFXT}} V_{\text{max}} = 1. \quad (3)$$

The field of view of EP-WXT is 3600 square degrees. The operation time of EP-WXT is ~ 8 months with a duty cycle $\eta = 50\%$. The sensitivity of EP-WXT with a typical exposure time of 200 s reaches $\sim 1 \times 10^{-10} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ in the 0.5–4 keV band. With a peak luminosity of $1.3 \times 10^{48} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$, the maximum redshift that the source can be detected is $z_{\text{max}} = 1.4$. The effective maximum volume V_{max} weighted by the density evolution $f(z)$ (e.g., the star-formation history⁹¹) within z_{max} can be calculated from

$$V_{\text{max}} = \int_0^{z_{\text{max}}} \frac{\Omega}{4\pi} \frac{f(z)}{(1+z)} \frac{dV(z)}{dz} dz. \quad (4)$$

The comoving volume is given by

$$\frac{dV(z)}{dz} = \frac{c}{H_0} \frac{4\pi D_L(z)^2}{(1+z)^2 [\Omega_M(1+z)^3 + \Omega_\Lambda]^{1/2}}, \quad (5)$$

where $D_L(z)$ is the luminosity distance at the corresponding redshift z .

The above calculation resulted in a local event rate density of

$$\rho_{0,\text{EFXT}}(L_{\text{iso}} > 3.5 \times 10^{47} \text{ erg s}^{-1}) \approx 0.3_{-0.2}^{+0.7} \text{ Gpc}^{-3} \text{ yr}^{-1}. \quad (6)$$

It is crucial to acknowledge that this rate is the lower limit of the intrinsic value, given that EP has detected more than thirty fast X-ray transients without gamma-ray counterparts (Wu et al. in prep.). The physical origins of these transients remain uncertain owing to the lack of timely follow-up observations and reliable multiband confirmation. Under the assumption that these events have an origin similar to that of EP240414a, we obtain an upper limit on the event rate density of approximately of $< 10 \text{ Gpc}^{-3} \text{ yr}^{-1}$.

Data Availability

The processed data are presented in the tables and figures of the paper, which are available upon reasonable request. The authors point out that some data used in the paper are publicly available through the UK Swift Science Data Centre website or GCN Circulars.

Code Availability

Upon reasonable requests, the code (mostly in Python) used to produce the results and figures will be provided.

1. Woosley, S. E. & Bloom, J. S. The Supernova Gamma-Ray Burst Connection. *Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.* **44**, 507–556 (2006).
2. Zhang, B. *The Physics of Gamma-Ray Bursts* (Cambridge: Cambridge Univ. Press, 2018).
3. Sakamoto, T. *et al.* Global characteristics of x-ray flashes and x-ray-rich gamma-ray bursts observed by hete-2. *The Astrophysical Journal* **629**, 311 (2005).
4. Campana, S. *et al.* The association of GRB 060218 with a supernova and the evolution of the shock wave. *Nature* **442**, 1008–1010 (2006).
5. Jonker, P. G. *et al.* Discovery of a New Kind of Explosive X-Ray Transient near M86. *Astrophys. J.* **779**, 14 (2013).
6. Glennie, A., Jonker, P. G., Fender, R. P., Nagayama, T. & Pretorius, M. L. Two fast X-ray transients in archival Chandra data. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **450**, 3765–3770 (2015).
7. Xue, Y. Q. *et al.* A magnetar-powered X-ray transient as the aftermath of a binary neutron-star merger. *Nature* **568**, 198–201 (2019).
8. Lin, D., Irwin, J. A., Berger, E. & Nguyen, R. Discovery of Three Candidate Magnetar-powered Fast X-Ray Transients from Chandra Archival Data. *Astrophys. J.* **927**, 211 (2022).
9. Quirola-Vásquez, J. *et al.* Extragalactic fast X-ray transient candidates discovered by Chandra (2000-2014). *Astron. Astrophys.* **663**, A168 (2022).

10. Liu, Y. *et al.* Soft X-ray prompt emission from a high-redshift gamma-ray burst EP240315a. *arXiv e-prints* arXiv:2404.16425 (2024).
11. Yin, Y.-H. I. *et al.* Triggering the Untriggered: The First Einstein Probe-Detected Gamma-Ray Burst 240219A and Its Implications. *arXiv e-prints* arXiv:2407.10156 (2024).
12. Soderberg, A. M. *et al.* An extremely luminous X-ray outburst at the birth of a supernova. *Nature* **453**, 469–474 (2008).
13. Lian, T. Y. *et al.* EP240414a: EP-WXT detection of a fast X-ray transient. *GRB Coordinates Network* **36091**, 1 (2024).
14. Aryan, A. *et al.* EP240414a: Kinder optical counterpart candidate possibly associated with the galaxy SDSS J124601.99-094309.3. *GRB Coordinates Network* **36094**, 1 (2024).
15. Bright, J., Carotenuto, F., Jonker, P. G., Fender, R. & Smartt, S. MeerKAT Discovery of a Probable Radio Counterpart to EP240414a. *GRB Coordinates Network* **36362**, 1 (2024).
16. Nakar, E. & Sari, R. Early Supernovae Light Curves Following the Shock Breakout. *Astrophys. J.* **725**, 904–921 (2010).
17. Virgili, F. J., Liang, E.-W. & Zhang, B. Low-luminosity gamma-ray bursts as a distinct GRB population: a firmer case from multiple criteria constraints. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **392**, 91–103 (2009).
18. Hjorth, J. & Bloom, J. S. The Gamma-Ray Burst - Supernova Connection. In Kouveliotou, C., Wijers, R. A. M. J. & Woosley, S. (eds.) *Chapter 9 in "Gamma-Ray Bursts*, 169–190 (Cambridge University Press, 2012).
19. Sun, H., Zhang, B. & Li, Z. Extragalactic High-energy Transients: Event Rate Densities and Luminosity Functions. *Astrophys. J.* **812**, 33 (2015).
20. Wang, X.-Y., Li, Z., Waxman, E. & Mészáros, P. Nonthermal gamma-ray/x-ray flashes from shock breakout in gamma-ray burst-associated supernovae. *The Astrophysical Journal* **664**, 1026 (2007).
21. Nakar, E. & Sari, R. Relativistic Shock Breakouts—A Variety of Gamma-Ray Flares: From Low-luminosity Gamma-Ray Bursts to Type Ia Supernovae. *Astrophys. J.* **747**, 88 (2012).
22. Amati, L. *et al.* Intrinsic spectra and energetics of BeppoSAX Gamma-Ray Bursts with known redshifts. *Astron. Astrophys.* **390**, 81–89 (2002).

23. Zhang, B. *et al.* Discerning the Physical Origins of Cosmological Gamma-ray Bursts Based on Multiple Observational Criteria: The Cases of $z = 6.7$ GRB 080913, $z = 8.2$ GRB 090423, and Some Short/Hard GRBs. *Astrophys. J.* **703**, 1696–1724 (2009).
24. Guan, J. *et al.* EP240414a: EP-FXT follow-up observation. *GRB Coordinates Network* **36129**, 1 (2024).
25. Oke, J. B. *et al.* The keck low-resolution imaging spectrometer. *Publications of the Astronomical Society of the Pacific* **107**, 375 (1995).
26. Japelj, J. *et al.* Host galaxies of SNe Ic-BL with and without long gamma-ray bursts. *Astron. Astrophys.* **617**, A105 (2018).
27. Fruchter, A. S. *et al.* Long γ -ray bursts and core-collapse supernovae have different environments. *Nature* **441**, 463–468 (2006).
28. Margalit, B. Analytic Light Curves of Dense CSM Shock Breakout and Cooling. *Astrophys. J.* **933**, 238 (2022).
29. Cano, Z., Wang, S.-Q., Dai, Z.-G. & Wu, X.-F. The Observer’s Guide to the Gamma-Ray Burst Supernova Connection. *Advances in Astronomy* **2017**, 8929054 (2017).
30. Evans, P. A. *et al.* The Swift Burst Analyser. I. BAT and XRT spectral and flux evolution of gamma ray bursts. *Astron. Astrophys.* **519**, A102 (2010).
31. Modjaz, M. *et al.* From Shock Breakout to Peak and Beyond: Extensive Panchromatic Observations of the Type Ib Supernova 2008D Associated with Swift X-ray Transient 080109. *Astrophys. J.* **702**, 226–248 (2009).
32. Clocchiatti, A., Suntzeff, N. B., Covarrubias, R. & Candia, P. The Ultimate Light Curve of SN 1998bw/GRB 980425. *Astron. J.* **141**, 163 (2011).
33. Srivastav, S. *et al.* EP240414a: Pan-STARRS detects re-brightening of the candidate counterpart AT2024gsa. *GRB Coordinates Network* **36150**, 1 (2024).
34. Levan, A. J. *et al.* X-ray transient EP240414a: Detection of associated supernova. *GRB Coordinates Network* **36355**, 1 (2024).
35. Patat, F. *et al.* The Metamorphosis of SN 1998bw. *Astrophys. J.* **555**, 900–917 (2001).
36. Pian, E. *et al.* An optical supernova associated with the X-ray flash XRF 060218. *Nature* **442**, 1011–1013 (2006).

37. Xiang, D. *et al.* Observations of SN 2017ein Reveal Shock Breakout Emission and a Massive Progenitor Star for a Type Ic Supernova. *Astrophys. J.* **871**, 176 (2019).
38. Mazzali, P. A. *et al.* The Metamorphosis of Supernova SN 2008D/XRF 080109: A Link Between Supernovae and GRBs/Hypernovae. *Science* **321**, 1185 (2008).
39. Yuan, W., Zhang, C., Chen, Y. & Ling, Z. The einstein probe mission. In *Handbook of X-ray and Gamma-ray Astrophysics*, 1–30 (Springer, 2022).
40. Zhang, C. *et al.* First Wide Field-of-view X-Ray Observations by a Lobster-eye Focusing Telescope in Orbit. *Astrophys. J. Let.* **941**, L2 (2022).
41. Cheng, H. *et al.* Ground calibration result of the Lobster Eye Imager for Astronomy. *Experimental Astronomy* **57**, 10 (2024).
42. Chen, Y. *et al.* Status of the follow-up x-ray telescope onboard the Einstein Probe satellite. In den Herder, J.-W. A., Nikzad, S. & Nakazawa, K. (eds.) *Space Telescopes and Instrumentation 2020: Ultraviolet to Gamma Ray*, vol. 11444 of *Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series*, 114445B (2020).
43. Brown, T. M. *et al.* Las Cumbres Observatory Global Telescope Network. *Publ. Astron. Soc. Pac.* **125**, 1031 (2013).
44. Howell, D. A. & Global Supernova Project. The Global Supernova Project. In *American Astronomical Society Meeting Abstracts #230*, vol. 230 of *American Astronomical Society Meeting Abstracts*, 318.03 (2017).
45. Landolt, A. U. UBVRI Photometric Standard Stars in the Magnitude Range $11.5 < V < 16.0$ Around the Celestial Equator. *Astron. J.* **104**, 340 (1992).
46. SDSS Collaboration *et al.* The 13th Data Release of the Sloan Digital Sky Survey: First Spectroscopic Data from the SDSS-IV Survey Mapping Nearby Galaxies at Apache Point Observatory. *Astrophys. J. Supp.* **233**, 25 (2017).
47. Brennan, S. J. & Fraser, M. The Automated Photometry of Transients pipeline (AUTOPHOT). *Astron. Astrophys.* **667**, A62 (2022).
48. Tody, D. The IRAF Data Reduction and Analysis System. In Crawford, D. L. (ed.) *Instrumentation in astronomy VI*, vol. 627 of *Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series*, 733 (1986).

49. Chambers, K. C. *et al.* The Pan-STARRS1 Surveys. *arXiv e-prints* arXiv:1612.05560 (2016).
50. Wilson, J. C. *et al.* A wide-field infrared camera for the palomar 200-inch telescope. In Iye, M. & Moorwood, A. F. M. (eds.) *Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series*, vol. 4841 of *SPIE Conference Series*, 451–458 (2003).
51. Bertin, E. *et al.* The terapix pipeline. In Bohlender, D. A., Durand, D. & Handley, T. H. (eds.) *Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems XI*, vol. 281 of *Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series*, 228 (2002).
52. Skrutskie, M. F. *et al.* The two micron all sky survey (2mass). *The Astronomical Journal* **131**, 1163–1183 (2006).
53. Zackay, B., Ofek, E. O. & Gal-Yam, A. Proper image subtraction—optimal transient detection, photometry, and hypothesis testing. *The Astrophysical Journal* **830**, 27 (2016).
54. Guevel, D. & Hosseinzadeh, G. Dguevel/pyzogy: Initial release (2017).
55. Oke, J. B. *et al.* The Keck Low-Resolution Imaging Spectrometer. *Publ. Astron. Soc. Pac.* **107**, 375 (1995).
56. Perley, D. A. Fully Automated Reduction of Longslit Spectroscopy with the Low Resolution Imaging Spectrometer at the Keck Observatory. *Publ. Astron. Soc. Pac.* **131**, 084503 (2019).
57. Gaia Collaboration *et al.* The Gaia mission. *Astron. Astrophys.* **595**, A1 (2016).
58. Gaia Collaboration *et al.* Gaia Data Release 3. Summary of the content and survey properties. *Astron. Astrophys.* **674**, A1 (2023).
59. van Leeuwen, F. *et al.* Gaia DR3 documentation. European Space Agency; Gaia Data Processing and Analysis Consortium. (2022).
60. Wilson, J. C. *et al.* Mass producing an efficient NIR spectrograph. In Moorwood, A. F. M. & Iye, M. (eds.) *Ground-based Instrumentation for Astronomy*, vol. 5492 of *Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE) Conference Series*, 1295–1305 (2004).
61. Harutyunyan, A. H. *et al.* ESC supernova spectroscopy of non-ESC targets. *Astron. Astrophys.* **488**, 383–399 (2008).

62. Howell, D. A. *et al.* Gemini Spectroscopy of Supernovae from the Supernova Legacy Survey: Improving High-Redshift Supernova Selection and Classification. *Astrophys. J.* **634**, 1190–1201 (2005).
63. Virtanen, P. *et al.* SciPy 1.0: fundamental algorithms for scientific computing in Python. *Nature Methods* **17**, 261–272 (2020).
64. Schlafly, E. F. & Finkbeiner, D. P. Measuring Reddening with Sloan Digital Sky Survey Stellar Spectra and Recalibrating SFD. *Astrophys. J.* **737**, 103 (2011).
65. Planck Collaboration *et al.* Planck 2018 results. VI. Cosmological parameters. *Astron. Astrophys.* **641**, A6 (2020).
66. Du, P. DASpec: A code for spectral decomposition of active galactic nuclei (2024).
67. Pettini, M. & Pagel, B. E. J. [OIII]/[NII] as an abundance indicator at high redshift. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **348**, L59–L63 (2004).
68. Graham, J. F. & Fruchter, A. S. The Metal Aversion of Long-duration Gamma-Ray Bursts. *Astrophys. J.* **774**, 119 (2013).
69. Qin, Y.-J. & Zabludoff, A. Linking transients to their host galaxies - II. A comparison of host galaxy properties and rate dependencies across supernova types. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **533**, 3517–3545 (2024).
70. Dey, A. *et al.* Overview of the DESI Legacy Imaging Surveys. *Astron. J.* **157**, 168 (2019).
71. Alam, S. *et al.* The Eleventh and Twelfth Data Releases of the Sloan Digital Sky Survey: Final Data from SDSS-III. *Astrophys. J. Supp.* **219**, 12 (2015).
72. Bailer-Jones, C. A. L., Rybizki, J., Fouesneau, M., Demleitner, M. & Andrae, R. Estimating Distances from Parallaxes. V. Geometric and Photogeometric Distances to 1.47 Billion Stars in Gaia Early Data Release 3. *Astron. J.* **161**, 147 (2021).
73. Willingale, R., Starling, R. L. C., Beardmore, A. P., Tanvir, N. R. & O’Brien, P. T. Calibration of X-ray absorption in our Galaxy. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **431**, 394–404 (2013).
74. Li, T. P. & Ma, Y. Q. Analysis methods for results in gamma-ray astronomy. *Astrophys. J.* **272**, 317–324 (1983).
75. Mukai, K. PIMMS and Viewing: proposal preparation tools. *Legacy* **3**, 21–31 (1993).

76. Sari, R., Piran, T. & Narayan, R. Spectra and Light Curves of Gamma-Ray Burst Afterglows. *Astrophys. J. Let.* **497**, L17–L20 (1998).
77. Gao, H., Lei, W.-H., Zou, Y.-C., Wu, X.-F. & Zhang, B. A complete reference of the analytical synchrotron external shock models of gamma-ray bursts. *New Astronomy Reviews* **57**, 141–190 (2013).
78. Mészáros, P., Rees, M. J. & Wijers, R. A. M. J. Viewing Angle and Environment Effects in Gamma-Ray Bursts: Sources of Afterglow Diversity. *Astrophys. J.* **499**, 301–308 (1998).
79. Zhang, B. & Mészáros, P. Gamma-Ray Burst Afterglow with Continuous Energy Injection: Signature of a Highly Magnetized Millisecond Pulsar. *Astrophys. J. Let.* **552**, L35–L38 (2001).
80. Li, G. *et al.* A shock flash breaking out of a dusty red supergiant. *Nature* **627**, 754–758 (2024).
81. Ofek, E. O. *et al.* Supernova PTF 09UJ: A Possible Shock Breakout from a Dense Circumstellar Wind. *Astrophys. J.* **724**, 1396–1401 (2010).
82. Chevalier, R. A. & Irwin, C. M. Shock Breakout in Dense Mass Loss: Luminous Supernovae. *Astrophys. J. Let.* **729**, L6 (2011).
83. Khatami, D. K. & Kasen, D. N. The Landscape of Thermal Transients from Supernovae Interacting with a Circumstellar Medium. *Astrophys. J.* **972**, 140 (2024).
84. Piro, A. L. Using Double-peaked Supernova Light Curves to Study Extended Material. *Astrophys. J. Let.* **808**, L51 (2015).
85. Piro, A. L., Haynie, A. & Yao, Y. Shock Cooling Emission from Extended Material Revisited. *Astrophys. J.* **909**, 209 (2021).
86. Nakar, E. A Unified Picture for Low-luminosity and Long Gamma-Ray Bursts Based on the Extended Progenitor of IIGRB 060218/SN 2006aj. *Astrophys. J.* **807**, 172 (2015).
87. De, K. *et al.* A hot and fast ultra-stripped supernova that likely formed a compact neutron star binary. *Science* **362**, 201–206 (2018).
88. Whitesides, L. *et al.* iPTF 16asu: A Luminous, Rapidly Evolving, and High-velocity Supernova. *Astrophys. J.* **851**, 107 (2017).

89. Arnett, W. D. Type I supernovae. I - Analytic solutions for the early part of the light curve. *Astrophys. J.* **253**, 785–797 (1982).
90. Foreman-Mackey, D., Hogg, D. W., Lang, D. & Goodman, J. emcee: The MCMC Hammer. *Publ. Astron. Soc. Pac.* **125**, 306 (2013).
91. Yüksel, H., Kistler, M. D., Beacom, J. F. & Hopkins, A. M. Revealing the High-Redshift Star Formation Rate with Gamma-Ray Bursts. *Astrophys. J. Let.* **683**, L5 (2008).
92. Berger, E. *et al.* Radio Monitoring of the Tidal Disruption Event Swift J164449.3+573451. I. Jet Energetics and the Pristine Parsec-scale Environment of a Supermassive Black Hole. *Astrophys. J.* **748**, 36 (2012).
93. Alexander, K. D., Berger, E., Guillochon, J., Zauderer, B. A. & Williams, P. K. G. Discovery of an Outflow from Radio Observations of the Tidal Disruption Event ASASSN-14li. *Astrophys. J. Let.* **819**, L25 (2016).
94. Soderberg, A. M. *et al.* The Radio and X-Ray-Luminous Type Ibc Supernova 2003L. *Astrophys. J.* **621**, 908–920 (2005).
95. Salas, P., Bauer, F. E., Stockdale, C. & Prieto, J. L. SN 2007bg: the complex circumstellar medium around one of the most radio-luminous broad-lined Type Ic supernovae. *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **428**, 1207–1217 (2013).
96. Kulkarni, S. R. *et al.* Radio emission from the unusual supernova 1998bw and its association with the γ -ray burst of 25 April 1998. *Nature* **395**, 663–669 (1998).
97. Soderberg, A. M. *et al.* A relativistic type Ibc supernova without a detected γ -ray burst. *Nature* **463**, 513–515 (2010).
98. Berger, E. *et al.* A common origin for cosmic explosions inferred from calorimetry of GRB030329. *Nature* **426**, 154–157 (2003).
99. Soderberg, A. M. *et al.* Relativistic ejecta from x-ray flash xrf 060218 and the rate of cosmic explosions. *Nature* **442**, 1014–1017 (2006).
100. Perley, D. A. *et al.* The Afterglow of GRB 130427A from 1 to 10^{16} GHz. *Astrophys. J.* **781**, 37 (2014).
101. Margutti, R. *et al.* An Embedded X-Ray Source Shines through the Aspherical AT 2018cow: Revealing the Inner Workings of the Most Luminous Fast-evolving Optical Transients. *Astrophys. J.* **872**, 18 (2019).


102. Coppejans, D. L. *et al.* A Mildly Relativistic Outflow from the Energetic, Fast-rising Blue Optical Transient CSS161010 in a Dwarf Galaxy. *Astrophys. J. Let.* **895**, L23 (2020).
103. Ho, A. Y. Q. *et al.* The Koala: A Fast Blue Optical Transient with Luminous Radio Emission from a Starburst Dwarf Galaxy at $z = 0.27$. *Astrophys. J.* **895**, 49 (2020).

Acknowledgments This work is based on data obtained with the Einstein Probe (EP), a space mission supported by the Strategic Priority Program on Space Science of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, in collaboration with ESA, MPE, and CNES (grant XDA15310000); the Strategic Priority Program on Space Science of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (grant No. E02212A02S) and the Strategic Priority Research Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Grant No. XDB0550200). We acknowledge the support by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC, grants 12373040, 12288102, 12021003, 12103065, 12333004, 12203071, 12033003, 12233002, and 12303047). This work is also supported by the National Key R&D Program of China (grant 2022YFF0711500). W.X.L., S.J.X., and W.J.G. acknowledge the supports from the Strategic Priority Research Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (grant Nos. XDB0550100 and XDB0550000), National Key R&D Program of China (grant Nos. 2023YFA1607804, 2022YFA1602902, and 2023YFA1608100), and National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC; grant Nos. 12120101003, 12373010, and 12233008). X.W.’s group at Tsinghua University is supported by NSFC (grants 12288102 and 12033003), and the Tencent Explorer Prize. A.V.F.’s group at UC Berkeley is grateful for financial assistance from the Christopher R. Redlich Fund, Gary and Cynthia Bengier, Clark and Sharon Winslow, Alan Eustace (W.Z. is a Bengier-Winslow-Eustace Specialist in Astronomy), William Draper, Timothy and Melissa Draper, Briggs and Kathleen Wood, Sanford Robertson (T.G.B. is a Draper-Wood-Robertson Specialist in Astronomy), and many other donors. S.A. has received support from the Carlsberg Foundation (CF18-0183, PI: I. Tamborra). This work is supported by the ANID FONDECYT project No. 3220029. Z.G. is funded by ANID, Millennium Science Initiative, AIM23-001. Partly based on observations made with the Nordic Optical Telescope, owned in collaboration by the University of Turku and Aarhus University, and operated jointly by Aarhus University, the University of Turku and the University of Oslo, representing Denmark, Finland and Norway, the University of Iceland and Stockholm University at the Observatorio del Roque de los Muchachos, La Palma, Spain, of the Instituto de Astrofísica de Canarias. We acknowledge the support of the staffs of the 10.4 m Gran Telescopio Canarias (GTC) and Keck I 10 m telescope. This work makes use of the Las Cumbres Observatory global network of robotic telescopes. The LCO group is supported by NSF grants AST-1911225 and AST-1911151. S.B. and N. Elias-Rosa acknowledges support from the PRIN-INAF 2022, ‘Shedding light on the nature of gap transients: from the observations to the models’.


Author Contributions W.Y. has been leading the Einstein Probe project as Principal Investigator since the mission proposal stage. H.G., H.S., B.Z., W.X.L., X.F. Wang, and Y.L. initiated the study. H.G., X.F. Wang, B.Z., X.F. Wu, H.S., W.X.L., and L.D.L. coordinated the scientific investigations of the event and led the discussions. H.S., Y.L., T.Y.L. processed and analysed the WXT data. Q.-Y.W. and H.S. processed and analysed the FXT data. Q.-Y.W. processed and analysed the XRT data. W.X.L., X.F. Wang, and D.X. led the optical and near-infrared data taking and data analysis. A.V.F., W.Z. Y.Y., T.G.B., K.S.T., N. Elias-Rosa obtained and reduced the optical/NIR spectroscopy and photometry. T.A. and Y.Q.L. helped with the radio data taking and analysis. S.B. helped with the reduction of GTC photometry and spectroscopy. D.M., S.A.E., and A.H. contributed to the optical data taking with AZT-22 telescope and LCO 1-m telescopes,

respectively. L.D.L., H.G., B.Z., S.A. led the theoretical investigation of the event. W.X.L, H.S., B.B.Z., X.F. Wang, D.X. contributed to the theoretical investigation of the event. C.Y.W., B.B.Z., B.Z. contributed to comparing this event with GRBs. H.S. contributed to the event rate density. J.D. performed the GRB search in Swift/BAT data and the upper limit. D.S.S. and A.V.R. performed GRB search in the Konus-Wind data and the upper limit. T.-Y.L., X.P., Y.-F.L., J.Y., and C.-Y.D. are the transient advocates on April 14 2024 and contributed to the discovery and preliminary analysis of the event. Z.-X.L., C.Z., S.-N.Z., X.-J.S., S.-L.S., X.-F.Z., Y.-H.Z., Z.-M.C. F.-S.C. and W.Y. contributed to the development of the WXT instrument. C.Z., Z.-X.L., H.-Q.C., D.-H.Z. and Y.L. contributed to the calibration of WXT data. Y.L., H.-Q.C., C.J., W.-D.Z., D.-Y.L., J.-W.H., H.-Y.L., H.S., H.-W.P. and M.-J.L. contributed to the development of WXT data analysis software. Y.C., S.-M.J., W.-W.C., C.-K.L., D.-W.H., J.W., W.L., Y.-J.Y., Y.-S.W., H.-S.Z., J.G., J.Z., X.-F.Z., J.-J.X., J.M., L.-D.L., H.W., X.-T.Y., T.-X.C., J.H., Z.-J.Z., Z.-L.Z., M.-S.L., Y.-X.Z., D.-J.H., L.-M.S., F.-J.L., C.-Z.L., Q.-J.T. and H.-L.C. contributed to the development of the FXT instrument. S.-M.J., H.-S.Z., C.-K.L., J.Z., and J.G. contributed to the development of FXT data analysis software. W.X.L., H.S., L.D.L., H.G., X.F. Wang, B.Z., and S.A. drafted the manuscript with the help from all authors. A.V.F. assisted with editing the manuscript.


Competing Interests The authors declare that they have no competing financial interests.




Extended Data Fig. 1. Projected offsets of SNe Ic-BL and GRB-SNe. The cumulative distributions of the projected offsets from the host-galaxy centres for a sample of SNe Ic-BL (blue) and GRB-SNe (yellow) are shown as solid lines²⁶, with SN 2024gsa marked by a red star. An *I*-band image of the host galaxy, obtained with the Keck-I telescope, is overlaid as an inset; Object 1 corresponds to J1246, the faint Object 2 to SN 2024gsa, and Object 3 to a foreground point source.




Extended Data Fig. 2. Smoothed redshift-corrected optical and NIR spectra of SN 2024gsa. The optical spectrum was obtained on April 19.9 using the GTC/OSIRIS+ instrument (blue) and the NIR spectrum was obtained on April 19.3 using the Keck/NIRES instrument (red). Two photometric data points taken at similar phases in the *i* and *z* bands, converted to flux density, along with their transmission curves, are also plotted. The effective wavelengths have been redshift-corrected, while the flux density remains uncorrected for redshift.



Extended Data Fig. 3. Radio luminosity of EP240414a/SN 2024gsa. The 9 GHz radio luminosity of EP240414a/SN 2024gsa is compared to low-frequency (1–10 GHz) light curves of different classes of energetic explosions: tidal disruption events^{92,93}, SNe^{94,95}, relativistic Ic-BL SNe^{96,97}, long-duration GRBs^{98–100}, and fast blue optical transients^{101–103}.



Extended Data Fig. 4. The WXT spectrum in the time interval of T_{90} . **a**, The WXT observed spectrum and the predicted best-fit absorbed power-law model. **b**, Best-fit values of photon index and intrinsic absorption N_{int} and the 1σ , 2σ confidence contours. **c**, The spectral energy distribution. The upper limits displayed in brown and green represent those of Konus Wind and *Swift*/BAT, respectively. Errors represent the 1σ uncertainties.



Extended Data Fig. 5. Fitting of the theoretical afterglow model to the X-ray, optical, and radio data. Left panel: The X-ray and optical afterglow light curves. Right panel: The synchrotron spectrum in the radio band.

Extended Data Table 1. Log of X-ray follow-up observations by EP-FXT and *Swift*/XRT.

ObsID	Start time (UTC)	End time (UTC)	Exposure (s)
FXT			
08500000064	2024-04-14T11:54:10	2024-04-14T15:24:50	7218
08500000068	2024-04-16T16:19:57	2024-04-16T20:24:01	9246
08500000104	2024-05-29T13:13:02	2024-05-29T17:15:01	8934
XRT			
00016609001	2024-04-18T15:02:27	2024-04-18T16:54:25	1865
00016609002	2024-04-24T13:35:51	2024-04-24T19:58:53	1344
00016609003	2024-04-27T01:28:59	2024-04-27T23:51:52	1702
00016609004	2024-05-05T09:54:49	2024-05-05T16:40:53	4434
00016609006	2024-05-15T00:34:58	2024-05-15T02:05:52	1877
00016609007	2024-06-02T00:56:15	2024-06-02T22:02:53	5541
00016609008	2024-06-04T00:17:44	2024-06-04T19:45:54	2822

Extended Data Table 2. Optical and NIR photometry of SN 2024gsa. Errors represent the 1σ uncertainties.

Time (day)	Magnitude	Filter	Telescope
0.23	> 17.4	clear	BOOTES-4
0.521	21.88 ± 0.07	<i>r</i>	NOT
1.196	> 20.50	<i>R</i>	XLT
1.501	22.77 ± 0.21	<i>g</i>	NOT
1.519	22.32 ± 0.19	<i>i</i>	NOT
1.534	22.40 ± 0.15	<i>r</i>	NOT
3.632	20.62 ± 0.10	<i>r</i>	NOT
3.645	20.30 ± 0.25	<i>z</i>	NOT
3.656	21.26 ± 0.24	<i>B</i>	LCO
3.662	20.98 ± 0.23	<i>V</i>	LCO
3.665	20.96 ± 0.16	<i>g</i>	LCO
3.671	20.72 ± 0.19	<i>r</i>	LCO
3.674	20.65 ± 0.14	<i>i</i>	LCO
4.4	20.80 ± 0.30	<i>r</i>	LJT
4.42	20.37 ± 0.26	<i>i</i>	LCO
4.668	20.64 ± 0.14	<i>i</i>	NOT
4.699	20.62 ± 0.08	<i>z</i>	NOT
5.52	20.79 ± 0.03	<i>r</i>	GTC
5.56	21.16 ± 0.16	<i>i</i>	NOT
5.569	> 21.20	<i>z</i>	NOT
6.457	> 21.00	<i>z</i>	NOT
15.258	> 21.40	<i>i</i>	ALT
15.26	> 20.60	<i>z</i>	ALT
15.322	> 20.70	<i>g</i>	ALT
15.345	> 19.70	<i>i</i>	ALT
15.35	> 18.90	<i>z</i>	ALT
17.44	> 22.10	<i>i</i>	LCO
17.92	21.05 ± 0.15	<i>J</i>	WIRC
18.582	22.24 ± 0.11	<i>i</i>	NOT

Continued on next page

Time (day)	Mag	Filter	Telescope
19.553	22.43 ± 0.14	<i>z</i>	NOT
29.3	> 22.50	<i>R</i>	AZT
29.3	> 21.60	<i>I</i>	AZT
30.5	> 22.40	<i>i</i>	NOT
43.65	> 21.90	<i>r</i>	SOAR
43.67	> 22.65	<i>g</i>	VST
43.69	> 22.30	<i>r</i>	VST
43.72	23.14 ± 0.19	<i>i</i>	VST
49.94	25.98 ± 0.21	<i>g</i>	Keck
49.94	24.19 ± 0.12	<i>R</i>	Keck
49.96	23.54 ± 0.16	<i>I</i>	Keck
49.97	25.70 ± 0.29	<i>V</i>	Keck

Extended Data Table 3. Afterglow C-band flux density observed on May 3 by ATCA. Errors represent the 1σ uncertainties.

Frequency (MHz)	Flux Density (μJy)	Expected Thermal Noise ($\mu\text{Jy}/\text{beam}$)	Synthesis beam (arcsec \times arcsec)
5500	1011 ± 20	19.9	40.55×1.79
9000	1419 ± 17	16.8	24.19×1.13

Extended Data Table 4. Spectral results of X-ray observations of EP240414a. Errors represent the 1σ uncertainties. The upper limits are at the 90% confidence level.

Instrument	Time Interval	Model*	α	β	E_{peak} (keV)	T_{BB} (keV)	N_{int} (10^{21}cm^{-2})	F_{abs} ($\text{erg cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$)	CSTAT/(d.o.f.)
EP-WXT	[19 s, 174 s]	PL	$-3.1^{+0.7}_{-0.8}$	–	–	–	$7.4^{+4.1}_{-3.7}$	$6.5^{+1.3}_{-1.0} \times 10^{-10}$	6.66/13
	[19 s, 174 s]	BB	–	–	–	$0.35^{+0.04}_{-0.04}$	< 3.9	$6.2^{+0.9}_{-0.9} \times 10^{-10}$	8.60/13
	[19 s, 174 s]	BPL	–1 (Fixed)	$-2.9^{+0.6}_{-0.9}$	< 1.3	–	$4.0^{+6.2}_{-3.4}$	$6.6^{+1.2}_{-1.1} \times 10^{-10}$	6.21/12
	[19 s, 49 s]	PL	$-3.9^{+0.9}_{-0.9}$	–	–	–	7.4 (Fixed)	$9.2^{+3.5}_{-2.2} \times 10^{-10}$	9.16/10
	[49 s, 73 s]	PL	$-2.7^{+0.5}_{-0.6}$	–	–	–	7.4 (Fixed)	$1.5^{+0.5}_{-0.4} \times 10^{-9}$	15.36/11
	[73 s, 174 s]	PL	$-3.0^{+0.7}_{-0.7}$	–	–	–	7.4 (Fixed)	$3.5^{+1.4}_{-0.9} \times 10^{-10}$	14.93/11
EP-FXT	[7.5 ks, 20.1 ks]			–	–	–		$1.8^{+0.4}_{-0.3} \times 10^{-13}$	
	[2.27 d, 2.44 d]	PL	$-2.2^{+0.3}_{-0.4}$	–	–	–	$2.4^{+2.2}_{-2.0}$	$8.3^{+1.9}_{-1.5} \times 10^{-14}$	115.66/97
	[45.14 d, 45.31 d]			–	–	–		$< 2.8 \times 10^{-14}$	–
Swift/XRT	[4.22 d, 4.30 d]			–	–	–		$1.4^{+0.6}_{-0.6} \times 10^{-13}$	–
	[10.16 d, 10.42 d]			–	–	–		$< 7.7 \times 10^{-14}$	–
	[12.65 d, 13.59 d]			–	–	–		$< 9.7 \times 10^{-14}$	–
	[21.00 d, 21.29 d]	PL	–2.2	–	–	–	2.4	$< 7.3 \times 10^{-14}$	–
	[30.61 d, 30.67 d]		(Fixed)	–	–	–	(Fixed)	$< 1.5 \times 10^{-13}$	–
	[48.63 d, 49.51 d]			–	–	–		$< 9.9 \times 10^{-14}$	–
	[50.60 d, 51.41 d]			–	–	–		$< 4.0 \times 10^{-14}$	–

* PL, BB, and BPL represent the power-law, blackbody, and broken power-law models, respectively.