

Stochastic resetting prevails over sharp restart for broad target distributions

Martin R. Evans*

*SUPA, School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Edinburgh,
Peter Guthrie Tait Road, Edinburgh EH9 3FD, UK*

Somrita Ray†

Department of Chemical Sciences, IISER Berhampur, Odisha 760003, India

(Dated: October 4, 2024)

Resetting has been shown to reduce the completion time for a stochastic process, such as the first passage time for a diffusive searcher to find a target. The time between two consecutive resetting events is drawn from a waiting time distribution $\psi(t)$, which defines the resetting protocol. Previously, it has been shown that deterministic resetting process with a constant time period, referred to as sharp restart, can minimize the mean first passage time to a fixed target. Here we consider the more realistic problem of a target positioned at a random distance R from the resetting site, selected from a given target distribution $P_T(R)$. We introduce the notion of a conjugate target distribution to a given waiting time distribution. The conjugate target distribution, $P_T^*(R)$, is that $P_T(R)$ for which $\psi(t)$ extremizes the mean time to locate the target. In the case of diffusion we derive an explicit expression for $P_T^*(R)$ conjugate to a given $\psi(t)$ which holds in arbitrary spatial dimension. Our results show that stochastic resetting prevails over sharp restart for target distributions with exponential or heavier tails.

Resetting a stochastic process to begin anew may drastically improve the time to complete a task, such as locating a target [1]. In a nutshell, resetting can cut off errant trajectories that take the process away from its desired end. The idea has proven useful in many different contexts including optimising the performance of computer algorithms [2–4], chemical reactions [5–7], animal foraging [8–10], biophysical processes [11, 12] and other searches [13–18]. In the last decade, diffusion with stochastic resetting has received particular attention [19–39] as it provides a simple paradigm where analytic results may be obtained. It is well known that the mean time for a diffusive process to locate a fixed target, the mean first passage time (MFPT), diverges. Notably, the introduction of a resetting rate, at which the process is restarted from some chosen initial position, renders the MFPT finite. Moreover, there is an optimal resetting rate which minimizes the MFPT [19].

A more general formulation of the resetting process is to consider, rather than a resetting rate, the waiting time distribution, $\psi(t)$, of the random time t between resets. A constant resetting rate r , referred to as Poissonian resetting, has waiting time distribution $\psi(t) = re^{-rt}$ [19], whereas resetting with a deterministic period τ , referred to as sharp restart or periodic resetting [14, 40, 41], has $\psi(\tau) = \delta(t - \tau)$ [see Fig. 1]. Both Poissonian and periodic resetting have been realized experimentally [42–45]. One can also consider other distributions $\psi(t)$ such as power-law distributions [26–28]. For diffusion with resetting the choice of waiting time distribution that minimizes the MFPT is, in fact, sharp restart [14, 15, 27, 29], where the period τ has to be chosen optimally, given a fixed distance R_0 from the resetting position to the target.

This result was somewhat anticlimactic as it implied that stochasticity in the reset times was not advanta-




FIG. 1: A schematic position vs. time diagram for diffusion with resetting (in one dimension). An absorbing target is located at a random distance $R = |\vec{x}|$, chosen from a distribution of target centred around the origin (in grey). Two types of resetting protocols are illustrated. The red trajectory is subject to deterministic, periodic reset, i.e., where two consecutive resetting events occur after a constant interval of time τ . The blue trajectory is subject to stochastic reset, i.e., where the time intervals t_i between the $(i-1)^{\text{th}}$ and i^{th} reset are taken from a waiting time distribution $\psi(t)$.

geous. However, the optimization of τ relies on the knowledge of the distance to the target R_0 , which is somewhat unrealistic from a practical point of view. A more realistic scenario, first considered in [20], is where the target is located at a *random* distance R from an expected location, say the origin, [see Fig. 1]. We will assume that the distribution of the target is symmetric about the origin, so that there is no angular dependence in $d > 1$ where d is the spatial dimension. Thus the distance R is drawn from a target distribution $P_T(R)$ [20]. Then

it is natural to take the origin as the resetting position and ask what is the waiting time distribution $\psi(t)$ that minimizes the expected time to locate the target. How to optimize resetting for a given target distribution is an open problem that we address in this work.

We define a *conjugate target distribution*, $P_T^*(R)$, to a given waiting time distribution, $\psi(t)$, as that target distribution for which $\psi(t)$ is optimal. For diffusion with stochastic resetting, we are able to obtain an explicit formula for the conjugate distribution. This formula reveals that stochastic resetting protocols are optimal when the target distribution is broad, e.g. an exponential or a power law. Thus, generally stochastic resetting prevails over sharp restart.

The explicit formula for $P_T^*(R)$ that we obtain for diffusion with stochastic resetting reads

$$P_T^*(R) = Z^{-1} f^2(R), \quad (1a)$$

$$\text{where } f(R) = \int_0^\infty dt \psi(t) (1 - Q_0(R, t)). \quad (1b)$$

Here $Z = \int_0^\infty dR S_d(R) f^2(R)$ is the normalization constant chosen, for convenience, so that $\int_0^\infty dR S_d(R) P_T^*(R) = 1$ where $S_d(R) = \frac{2\pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma(d/2)} R^{d-1}$. As noted above, the target distribution is symmetric about the origin and there is no angular dependence. In Eq. (1b), $Q_0(R, t)$ is the survival probability for free diffusion, in the absence of resetting, commencing at a point at distance R from an absorbing target. The conjugate target distribution Eq. (1a) is that which renders a particular waiting time distribution optimal, in the sense that $\psi(t)$ extremizes the MFPT averaged over this target distribution.

The significance of the result manifested in Eqs. (1a), (1b) is that it furnishes a relation between a resetting protocol, characterized by a waiting time distribution, and its optimal target distribution. This relation will allow an informed choice of resetting protocol suitable for a given problem where one has a knowledge of $P_T(R)$.

We now give a derivation of the result Eqs. (1a), (1b). We begin with the general first renewal equation [1, 15, 27] for $Q_r(R, t)$, the survival probability in the presence of resetting, where the initial position of the particle and the resetting position are both taken as the origin and the absorbing target is located at distance $R > 0$

$$Q_r(R, t) = \Psi(t) Q_0(R, t) + \int_0^t d\tau \psi(\tau) Q_0(R, \tau) Q_r(R, t - \tau). \quad (2)$$

Here $\Psi(t) = \int_t^\infty d\tau \psi(\tau)$ is the probability of no reset up to time t and $Q_0(R, t)$ is the survival probability up to time t in the absence of resetting. Thus the first term in Eq. (2) is the probability of no resets and survival up to t . The second term in Eq. (2) integrates the probability of first reset at time τ and survival: the integrand is the

probability of first reset at time τ , survival up to time τ with no resetting, then survival from τ to t with resetting.

Eq. (2) may be solved by Laplace transform to yield

$$\tilde{Q}_r(R, s) = \frac{\int_0^\infty dt e^{-st} \Psi(t) Q_0(R, t)}{1 - \int_0^\infty dt e^{-st} \psi(t) Q_0(R, t)}, \quad (3)$$

where the Laplace transform is defined as $\tilde{Q}_r(R, s) = \int_0^\infty dt e^{-st} Q_r(R, t)$. The MFPT is obtained by setting $s = 0$, and integration by parts gives [See Supplemental Material [46] for derivation]

$$\langle T_r(R) \rangle = \frac{\int_0^\infty dt \psi(t) \int_0^t dt' Q_0(R, t')}{\int_0^\infty dt \psi(t) (1 - Q_0(R, t))}. \quad (4)$$

We now average the MFPT over the target distribution $P_T(R)$, where R is the distance of the target from the resetting site, and denote the average as

$$\overline{\langle T_r \rangle} = \int_0^\infty dR S_d(R) P_T(R) \langle T_r(R) \rangle. \quad (5)$$

In order to extremize Eq. (5) with respect to $\psi(t)$ we take the functional derivative [See [46] for derivation]

$$\frac{\delta \overline{\langle T_r \rangle}}{\delta \psi(t')} = \int_0^\infty dR S_d(R) P_T(R) \left[\frac{\int_0^{t'} d\tau Q_0(R, \tau)}{\int_0^\infty dt \psi(t) (1 - Q_0(R, t))} - \frac{(1 - Q_0(R, t')) \int_0^\infty dt \psi(t) \int_0^t d\tau Q_0(R, \tau)}{[\int_0^\infty dt \psi(t) (1 - Q_0(R, t))]^2} \right]. \quad (6)$$

Equation (6) holds for a general first passage process, with survival probability $Q_0(R, t)$, and a general waiting time distribution $\psi(t)$. Ideally, for a given $P_T(R)$, we would like to find the optimal $\psi(t)$, for which the r.h.s of Eq. (6) is zero for all t' . However this is a difficult, general problem. We instead consider the converse: we seek to find the target distribution for which Eq. (6) vanishes for all t' , for a given $\psi(t)$.

We solve this problem for diffusion with stochastic resetting. We show that in this particular case the ansatz Eqs. (1a), (1b) renders $\psi(t)$ extremal—remarkably, it ensures the functional derivative Eq. (6) is equal to zero $\forall t'$. To show this we insert Eqs. (1a) and (1b) into Eq. (6) and require that

$$\int_0^\infty dR S_d(R) \left[\int_0^\infty dt \psi(t) (1 - Q_0(R, t)) \int_0^{t'} d\tau Q_0(R, \tau) - (1 - Q_0(R, t')) \int_0^\infty dt \psi(t) \int_0^t d\tau Q_0(R, \tau) \right] = 0. \quad (7)$$

Taking the Laplace transform with respect to t' with Laplace variable s and after relabelling of integration variables we obtain the condition [See [46] for derivation]

$$\int_0^\infty dt \int_0^\infty dt' \left[e^{-st'} \psi(t) - e^{-st} \psi(t') \right] I(t, t') = 0, \quad (8)$$

where

$$I(t, t') = \int_0^{t'} d\tau \int_0^\infty dR S_d(R) (1 - Q_0(R, t)) Q_0(R, \tau). \quad (9)$$

Note that the term in the square bracket in Eq. (8) is anti-symmetric in the integration variables t, t' . Therefore, if $I(t, t')$ is symmetric in t, t' , the integrand is anti-symmetric under interchange of t, t' and we conclude that the integral in Eq. (8) is identically zero.

For simplicity of exposition, we present the proof of Eq. (8) in $d = 1$, where $S_1 = 2$, for which it is well known [see e.g. [1, 47, 48]] that $Q_0(R, t) = \text{erf}(R/\sqrt{4Dt})$ where D is the diffusion constant. The integrals in Eq. (9) can be performed using identity 4.7.1 of [49]

$$\int_0^\infty dR \text{erfc}(aR) \text{erf}(bR) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}b} \left(\frac{\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}}{a} - 1 \right) \quad (10)$$

to give

$$I(t, t') = \frac{8}{3} \sqrt{\frac{D}{\pi}} \left[(t + t')^{\frac{3}{2}} - t^{\frac{3}{2}} - (t')^{\frac{3}{2}} \right]. \quad (11)$$

Thus, $I(t, t')$ is symmetric under $t \leftrightarrow t'$, therefore Eq. (8) holds, from which it follows that Eq. (7) holds $\forall t'$.

We now check that Eq. (1a), the conjugate target distribution to an arbitrary $\psi(t)$ for one-dimensional free diffusion with resetting, is a proper distribution. Clearly it is positive and the normalization in Eq. (1a) is given by

$$Z = \left(\frac{4D}{\pi} \right)^{1/2} \left[2 \langle t^{1/2} \rangle - \langle (t' + t)^{1/2} \rangle \right], \quad (12)$$

utilizing the identity $\int_0^\infty dR \text{erfc}(a^{-1/2}R) \text{erfc}(b^{-1/2}R) = \pi^{-1/2} (a^{1/2} + b^{1/2} - (a + b)^{1/2})$. In Eq. (12), $\langle (t + t')^{1/2} \rangle = \int_0^\infty dt \psi(t) \int_0^\infty dt' \psi(t') (t + t')^{1/2}$ and $\langle t^{1/2} \rangle = \int_0^\infty dt \psi(t) t^{1/2}$. A simple application of Jensen's inequality [see [46] for proof] then yields $Z \leq \left(\frac{4D}{\pi} \right)^{1/2} \langle t^{1/2} \rangle$. Thus a sufficient condition for the conjugate of $\psi(t)$, Eq. (1a), to be normalizable is that the expectation value of $t^{1/2}$ is finite, where t is the random waiting time.

We now consider some particular choices of $\psi(t)$ and evaluate $P_T^*(R)$ in $d = 1$ from Eq. (1a). First we take the case of Poissonian resetting $\psi(t) = re^{-rt}$ and find the conjugate target distribution to be

$$P_T^*(R) = \sqrt{\frac{r}{D}} e^{-2\sqrt{\frac{r}{D}}R}, \quad (13)$$

which itself is an exponential distribution. With these choices of $\psi(t)$ and $P_T^*(R)$ one finds that $\langle T_r \rangle = 1/r$, which is finite for $r > 0$.

Next, we consider the conjugate target distribution for sharp restart [14, 27, 29, 40, 41] where $\psi(t) = \delta(t - \tau)$

and τ is the deterministic reset period. The conjugate target distribution Eq. (1a) is

$$P_T^*(R) = Z^{-1} \text{erfc}^2(R/\sqrt{4D\tau}), \quad (14)$$

where $Z = (4(2 - \sqrt{2})\sqrt{D\tau})/\sqrt{\pi}$. It is interesting to contrast the distributions Eqs. (13) and (14) [see Fig. 2(a)]. The first is a broad distribution with exponential decay length $\sqrt{D}/4r$ whereas the latter has a gaussian tail $\sim e^{-R^2/2D\tau}$ i.e. it is a narrow distribution with effective cutoff at $\sqrt{2D\tau}$. Indeed when sharp restart is used with target distribution Eq. (13) one finds that the integral in Eq. (5) for the MFPT always diverges for any τ . This is because for sharp resetting, the MFPT for a point target at distance R [given by Eq. (4)] diverges as $e^{R^2/4D\tau}$ for large R and fixed τ , and this divergence dominates when the average Eq. (5) is taken over a broad target distribution such as Eq. (13). Thus sharp resetting is not a good strategy when the target has a broad distribution decaying more slowly than $P_T(R) \sim e^{-R^2/4D\tau}$ and in this case Poissonian resetting clearly prevails over sharp restart. On the other hand, when the distribution of R has a tail that decays faster, as with Eq. (14), then sharp restart will be the superior strategy.

As noted in the introduction, sharp reset has been of interest as it minimizes the MFPT when the distance R_0 to the target is known [15]. This situation corresponds to a target distribution $P_T(R) = \delta(R - R_0)$, which evidently does not coincide with Eq. (14). The resolution is that another extremum of the MFPT occurs in this case, driven by the constraint of $\psi(t)$ being a positive distribution [see [46] for details].

Another relevant choice of $\psi(t)$ is a heavy-tailed distribution. We choose $\psi(t) = \Gamma^{-1}(\alpha - 1)e^{-1/t}t^{-\alpha}$ which is normalizable for $\alpha > 1$. Then $P_T^*(R)$ may be found from $f(R)$ [Eq. (1b)], computed using identity 4.3.9 of [49]

$$f(R) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha - \frac{1}{2})}{\pi^{1/2}\Gamma(\alpha)} \frac{1}{\tilde{R}^{p+1}} {}_2F_1(\alpha - 1, \alpha - \frac{1}{2}, \alpha; -\frac{1}{\tilde{R}^2}), \quad (15)$$

where $\tilde{R} = R/(4D)^{1/2}$, $p = 2\alpha - 3$ and ${}_2F_1(a, b, c; z)$ is the standard hypergeometric function. The large R behaviour is $P_T^*(R) \sim R^{-4(\alpha-1)}$. Thus the conjugate of a heavy-tailed waiting time distribution with exponent α is a heavy-tailed target distribution with exponent $4(\alpha-1)$.

So far we have shown that Eqs. (1a), (1b) are extremal but it remains to confirm that $\psi(\tau)$ minimizes Eq. (5) and hence is optimal. To do so, we now consider a couple of specific cases numerically. First, to confirm our claim that the target distribution given in Eq. (13) is conjugate to the case of free diffusion under Poissonian resetting, we consider a general waiting time distribution

$$\psi_1(t) = \mathcal{N}_1 e^{-(t/\tau)^\alpha}, \quad (16)$$

where $\mathcal{N}_1 = \alpha/[\tau\Gamma(1/\alpha)]$ is the normalization constant. Therefore, $\psi_1(t)$ reduces to Poissonian resetting with rate




FIG. 2: (a) Target distributions $P_T^*(R)$ conjugate to waiting time distributions $\psi(t)$ [see Eqs.(1a) and (1b)]. Grey curve: Poissonian resetting [from Eq. (13), with $\tau = 1/r = 5$]. Red curve: sharp restart [from Eq. (14), with $\tau = 5$]. For the same τ , the distribution conjugate to Poissonian resetting has a heavier tail compared to that of sharp restart. (b) MFPT averaged over $P_T^*(R)$ [from Eq. (13)], obtained from a general (normalized) waiting time distribution $\psi_1(t)$ [Eq. (16)] that reduces to Poissonian reset for $\alpha = 1$. (c) MFPT averaged over $P_T^*(R)$ [from Eq. (14)], obtained from a general (normalized) waiting time distribution $\psi_2(t)$ [Eq. (17)] that reduces to sharp reset when $\sigma \rightarrow 0$. In each case shown in panels (b) and (c), the minimum $\langle T_r \rangle$ (obtained for $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ and $\alpha = 1$, respectively) is marked by colored discs, which confirms our result. For all cases, $D = 1$.

$r = \tau^{-1}$ when $\alpha \rightarrow 1$. We use Eq. (5) to numerically compute the MFPT averaged over $P_T^*(R)$ [taken from Eq. (13)] with $\psi_1(t)$ for different values of α . We discover that $\langle T_r \rangle$ is always minimal for $\alpha = 1$ [see Fig. 2(b)], thus confirming that Poissonian resetting minimizes the MFPT computed with Eq. (13), within the class of waiting time distributions given by Eq. (16).

Next, we consider the case of sharp restart. Taking a general Gaussian waiting time distribution

$$\psi_2(t) = \mathcal{N}_2 e^{-(t-\tau)^2/2\sigma^2}, \quad (17)$$

where $\mathcal{N}_2 = \sqrt{2/\pi}(\sigma[1 + \text{erf}(\frac{\tau}{\sqrt{2}\sigma})])^{-1}$, we see that it reduces to sharp resetting with period τ as the standard deviation $\sigma \rightarrow 0$. Calculating $\langle T_r \rangle$ numerically with $P_T^*(R)$ [taken from Eq. (14)] and $\psi_2(t)$ as a function of σ for different values of τ , we observe that for each τ , $\langle T_r \rangle$ is minimum when $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ [see Fig. 2(c)]. In other words, the MFPT averaged over the target distribution is minimized for the class of waiting time distributions given by Eq. (17) when $\psi_2(t)$ reduces to $\psi(t) = \delta(t - \tau)$. These two specific cases thus suggest that for a well-behaved waiting time distribution $\psi(t)$, there exists an optimal target distribution that *minimizes* $\langle T_r \rangle$.

The proof of Eqs. (1a) and (1b) can be easily generalized to diffusion with resetting in higher dimensions d . In $d > 1$ the target must be taken as an absorbing d -dimensional sphere of radius a centred at \vec{x} (where $|\vec{x}| = x > a$) [22]. The particle starts at the origin and undergoes diffusion with diffusion constant D and stochastic resetting to the origin. When it reaches the surface of the target sphere, the particle is absorbed. For general d the Laplace transform of the survival probability without resetting is known in closed form [47, 48]

$$\tilde{Q}_0(R, s) = \frac{1}{s} \left[1 - \left(\frac{R}{a} \right)^\nu \frac{K_\nu(R(s/D)^{1/2})}{K_\nu(a(s/D)^{1/2})} \right], \quad (18)$$

where $\nu = 1 - d/2$ and $K_\nu(z)$ is a modified Bessel function of the second kind. The proof of Eqs. (1a) and (1b) follows the one-dimensional case, but we now take the double Laplace transform $\tilde{I}(u, v) = \int_0^\infty dt e^{-ut} \int_0^\infty dt' e^{-vt'} I(t, t')$ and require that it is symmetric under $u \leftrightarrow v$. This can be shown using integral identities for the product of functions $K_\nu(z)$ [50].

Thus in any dimension d , Eq. (1a) gives the particular target distribution for which an arbitrary $\psi(t)$ is extremal. For the Poissonian case, $\psi(t) = re^{-rt}$, the integral in Eq. (1a) can be performed using Eq. (18) and we obtain [see [46] for derivation]

$$P_T^*(R) = Z^{-1} \left[\left(\frac{R}{a} \right)^\nu \frac{K_\nu(R(r/D)^{1/2})}{K_\nu(a(r/D)^{1/2})} \right]^2. \quad (19)$$

This distribution is normalizable for $d < 4$. In one dimension one can show using $K_{1/2}(z) = (\pi/2z)^{1/2} e^{-z}$ that the target distribution reduces to $P_T^*(R) = \alpha_0 e^{-2\alpha_0 R}$ with $\alpha_0 = \sqrt{r/D}$ as found above.

In conclusion, we have defined the target distribution conjugate to a waiting time distribution $\psi(t)$ as the target distribution for which the $\psi(t)$ extremizes the MFPT. In the case of diffusion with stochastic resetting we have found a simple expression [Eqs. (1a), (1b)] for the conjugate target distribution. In $d = 1$ we have shown that the target distribution conjugate to exponential waiting time, the case of Poissonian resetting, is itself an exponential distribution, whereas the conjugate distribution to sharp restart is given by Eq. (14) which decays more quickly for large R . Consequently, Poissonian resetting outperforms sharp restart when the target distribution decays more slowly than $P_T(R) \sim e^{-R^2/4D\tau}$.

It is interesting to note that for Poissonian resetting in one dimension, with no absorbing boundary, the steady-state distribution of position x , $p^*(x) = \alpha_0 e^{-\alpha_0|x|}$ [19], is proportional to the square root of the conjugate

target distribution Eq. (13), when $|x| = R$. The same square-root relation holds in higher dimension. This is reminiscent of the square-root principle for biased sampling which states that the best search distribution to sample in order to locate a target, is proportional to the square root of the target distribution [51, 52]. However, the square-root relation between P_T^* and p^* is particular to Poissonian resetting and does not hold for sharp restart or the heavy-tailed waiting time distribution.

It would be of interest to determine conjugate target distributions for first passage problems under resetting other than simple diffusion, e.g. diffusion in a potential [53–57] or active Brownian motion [58–60]. Importantly, several experimental groups have been able to implement resetting protocols and reproduce theoretical results. In these experiments optical traps (with a finite width) are generally used to reset and confine colloidal particles and distribution of positions naturally emerge [42–45]. Thus, our theoretical predictions hold the promise of experimental realization.

Acknowledgements: We thank Richard Blythe and Satya Majumdar for reading and commenting on the manuscript. SR is thankful to the New Faculty Seed Grant by IISER Berhampur and INSPIRE Faculty (IFA19-CH326) Research Grant (DST/CHM/BPR/110124/063) by DST, Govt. of India. She gratefully acknowledges the Elizabeth Gardner Fellowship by the School of Physics & Astronomy, University of Edinburgh that supported her during the initial stage of this work. For the purpose of open access, the authors have applied a Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) licence to any Author Accepted Manuscript version arising from this submission.

* m.evans@ed.ac.uk

† somrita@iiserbpr.ac.in

- [1] M. R. Evans, S. N. Majumdar, and G. Schehr, Stochastic resetting and applications, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **53**, 193001 (2020).
- [2] M. Luby, A. Sinclair, and D. Zuckerman, Optimal speedup of las vegas algorithms, *Information Processing Letters* **47**, 173 (1993).
- [3] O. Blumer, S. Reuveni, and B. Hirshberg, Stochastic resetting for enhanced sampling, *J. Chem. Phys. Lett.* **13**, 11230–11236 (2022).
- [4] O. Blumer, S. Reuveni, and B. Hirshberg, Combining stochastic resetting with metadynamics to speedup molecular dynamics simulations, *Nature Communications* **15**, 240 (2024).
- [5] S. Reuveni, M. Urbakh, and J. Klafter, Role of substrate unbinding in Michaelis–Menten enzymatic reactions, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **111**, 4391 (2014).
- [6] T. Rotbart, S. Reuveni, and M. Urbakh, Michaelis-Menten reaction scheme as a unified approach towards the optimal restart problem, *Phys. Rev. E* **92**, 060101 (2015).
- [7] A. Biswas, A. Pal, D. Mondal, and S. Ray, Rate enhancement of gated drift-diffusion process by optimal resetting, *J. Chem. Phys.* **159**, 054111 (2023).
- [8] D. Boyer and C. Solis-Salas, Random walks with preferential relocations to places visited in the past and their application to biology, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 240601 (2014).
- [9] O. Vilck, D. Campos, V. Méndez, E. Lourie, R. Nathan, and M. Assaf, Phase transition in a non-Markovian animal exploration model with preferential returns, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128**, 148301 (2022).
- [10] M. R. Evans, S. N. Majumdar, and G. Schehr, An exactly solvable predator prey model with resetting, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **55**, 274005 (2022).
- [11] É. Roldán, A. Lisica, D. Sánchez-Taltavull, and S.W. Grill, Stochastic resetting in backtrack recovery by RNA polymerases, *Phys. Rev. E* **93**, 062411 (2016).
- [12] P. C. Bressloff, Modeling active cellular transport as a directed search process with stochastic resetting and delays, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **53**, 355001 (2020).
- [13] D. Campos and V. Méndez, Phase transitions in optimal search times: How random walkers should combine resetting and flight scales, *Phys. Rev. E* **92**, 062115 (2015).
- [14] U. Bhat, C. De Bacco, and S. Redner, Stochastic search with poisson and deterministic resetting, *J. Stat. Mech.: Theor. Expt.* **2016**, 083401 (2016).
- [15] A. Chechkin and I. M. Sokolov, Random Search with Resetting: A Unified Renewal Approach, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 050601 (2018).
- [16] S. Belan, Restart Could Optimize the Probability of Success in a Bernoulli Trial, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, 080601 (2018).
- [17] M. Biroli, S. N. Majumdar, G. Schehr, Critical number of walkers for diffusive search processes with resetting, *Phys. Rev. E*, **107**, 064141 (2023).
- [18] J. C. Sunil, R. A. Blythe, M. R. Evans, S. N. Majumdar, Minimizing the Profligacy of Searches with Reset, [arXiv:2404.00215](https://arxiv.org/abs/2404.00215) (2024).
- [19] M. R. Evans and S. N. Majumdar, Diffusion with stochastic resetting, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 160601 (2011).
- [20] M. R. Evans and S. N. Majumdar, Diffusion with optimal resetting, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **44**, 435001 (2011).
- [21] J. Whitehouse, M. R. Evans, and S. N. Majumdar, Effect of partial absorption on diffusion with resetting, *Phys. Rev. E* **87**, 022118 (2013).
- [22] M. R. Evans and S. N. Majumdar, Diffusion with resetting in arbitrary spatial dimension, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **47**, 285001 (2014).
- [23] S. N. Majumdar, S. Sabhapandit, and G. Schehr, Dynamical transition in the temporal relaxation of stochastic processes under resetting, *Phys. Rev. E* **91**, 052131 (2015).
- [24] C. Christou, and A. Schadschneider, Diffusion with resetting in bounded domains, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **48**, 285003 (2015).
- [25] S. Jain, D. Boyer, A. Pal, and L. Dagdug, Fick–jacob description and first passage dynamics for diffusion in a channel under stochastic resetting, *J. Chem. Phys.* **158**, 054113 (2023).
- [26] S. Eule and J. J. Metzger, Non-equilibrium steady states of stochastic processes with intermittent resetting, *New J. Phys.* **18**, 033006 (2016).

- [27] A. Pal, A. Kundu, and M. R. Evans, Diffusion under time-dependent resetting, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **49**, 225001 (2016).
- [28] A. Nagar and S. Gupta, Diffusion with stochastic resetting at power-law times, *Phys. Rev. E* **93**, 060102(R) (2016).
- [29] A. Pal and S. Reuveni, First passage under restart, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, 030603 (2017).
- [30] D. Gupta, Stochastic resetting in underdamped Brownian motion, *J. Stat. Mech: Theor. Expt.* **2019**, 033212 (2019).
- [31] A. Bodrova and I. M. Sokolov, Resetting processes with non-instantaneous return, *Phys. Rev. E* **101**, 052130 (2020).
- [32] B. De Bruyne, J. Randon-Furling, and S. Redner, Optimization in First-Passage Resetting, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125**, 050602 (2020).
- [33] V. Méndez, A. Masó-Puigdellosas, T. Sandev, and D. Campos, Continuous time random walks under Markovian resetting, *Phys. Rev. E* **103**, 022103 (2021).
- [34] B. De Bruyne, S. N. Majumdar, and G. Schehr, Resetting Brownian Bridges via Enhanced Fluctuations, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **128**, 200603 (2022).
- [35] I. M. Sokolov, Linear Response and Fluctuation-Dissipation Relations for Brownian Motion under Resetting, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **130**, 067101 (2023).
- [36] B. De Bruyne and F. Mori, Resetting in stochastic optimal control, *Phys. Rev. Research* **5**, 013122 (2023).
- [37] F. Mori, K. S. Olsen, and S. Krishnamurthy, Entropy production of resetting processes, *Phys. Rev. Research* **5**, 023103 (2023).
- [38] J. C. Sunil, R.A. Blythe, M.R. Evans, S.N. Majumdar, The cost of stochastic resetting, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **56**, 395001 (2023)
- [39] E. Barkai, R. Flaquer-Galmés, and V. Méndez, Ergodic properties of Brownian motion under stochastic resetting, *Phys. Rev. E* **108**, 064102 (2023).
- [40] I. Eliazar and S. Reuveni, Mean-performance of sharp restart I: statistical roadmap, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **53**, 405004 (2020).
- [41] I. Eliazar and S. Reuveni, Mean-performance of sharp restart II: inequality roadmap, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **54**, 355001 (2021).
- [42] O. Tal-Friedman, A. Pal, A. Sekhon, S. Reuveni, Y. Roichman, Experimental realization of diffusion with stochastic resetting, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **11**, 7350 (2020).
- [43] B. Besga, A. Bovon, A. Petrosyan, S. N. Majumdar, S. Ciliberto, Optimal mean first-passage time for a Brownian searcher subjected to resetting: experimental and theoretical results. *Phys. Rev. Res.* **2**, 032029 (2020).
- [44] F. Faisant, B. Besga, A. Petrosyan, S. Ciliberto, S. N. Majumdar, Optimal mean first-passage time of a Brownian searcher with resetting in one and two dimensions: experiments, theory and numerical tests, *J. Stat. Mech.: Theory Expt.* **2021**, 113203 (2021).
- [45] B. Besga, F. Faisant, A. Petrosyan, S. Ciliberto, and S. N. Majumdar, Dynamical phase transition in the first-passage probability of a Brownian motion. *Physical Review E*, **104**, L012102 (2021).
- [46] See Supplemental Material for full derivations of Equations (4), (6), (8) and (19); the condition for $\psi(\tau)$ to be normalizable, and the case of a delta function target distribution.
- [47] S. Redner, *A Guide to First-Passage Processes*, Cambridge University Press, (2001).
- [48] A. J. Bray, S. N. Majumdar, and G. Schehr, Persistence and first-passage properties in non-equilibrium systems, *Adv. Phys.* **62**, 225 (2013).
- [49] E. W. Ng and M. Geller, A table of integrals of the error functions, *Journal of Research of the National Bureau of Standards B* **73**, 1 (1969).
- [50] I. S. Gradshteyn and I. M. Ryzhik, *Table of integrals, series, and products*, Academic press, (1980).
- [51] J. Snider, Optimal random search for a single hidden target, *Phys. Rev. E* **83**, 011105 (2011).
- [52] W. H. Press, Strong profiling is not mathematically optimal for discovering rare malfeasors, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **106**, 1716 (2009).
- [53] S. Ray, D. Mondal, and S. Reuveni, Péclet number governs transition to acceleratory restart in drift-diffusion, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **52**, 255002 (2019).
- [54] S. Ahmad, I. Nayak, A. Bansal, A. Nandi, D. Das, First passage of a particle in a potential under stochastic resetting: A vanishing transition of optimal resetting rate, *Phys. Rev. E* **99**, 022130 (2019).
- [55] S. Ray and S. Reuveni, Diffusion with resetting in a logarithmic potential, *J. Chem. Phys.* **152**, 234110 (2020).
- [56] S. Ray, Space-dependent diffusion with stochastic resetting: A first-passage study, *The Journal of Chemical Physics*, **153**, 234904 (2020).
- [57] S. Ahmad, K. Rijal, and D. Das, First passage in the presence of stochastic resetting and a potential barrier, *Phys. Rev. E* **105**, 044134 (2022).
- [58] M. R. Evans and S. N. Majumdar, Run and tumble particle under resetting: a renewal approach, *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **51**, 475003 (2018).
- [59] V Kumar, O Sadekar, U Basu, Active Brownian motion in two dimensions under stochastic resetting, *Phys. Rev. E* **102**, 052129 (2018).
- [60] I. Santra, U. Basu, S. Sabhapandit, Run-and-tumble particles in two dimensions under stochastic resetting conditions, *Phys. Rev. E* **101**, 062120 (2020).

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

DERIVATION OF EQ. (4)

Equation (4) relates the mean first passage time to the target starting from distance R , $\langle T_r(R) \rangle$, to the survival probability up to time t starting from distance R , $Q_r(R, t)$, and the waiting time distribution for resetting, $\psi(t)$. The first-passage time distribution for a dynamic process is given by the rate of decay of its survival probability. Therefore, the mean first passage time, $\langle T_r(R) \rangle$, satisfies the identity $\langle T_r \rangle = - \int_0^\infty dt t (\partial Q_r(R, t) / \partial t)$ [S1]. Integrating

the rhs by parts one gets $\langle T_r \rangle = \int_0^\infty Q_r(R, t) dt = [\tilde{Q}_r(R, s)]_{s=0}$, where $\tilde{Q}_r(R, s) = \int_0^\infty dt e^{-st} Q_r(R, t)$ is the Laplace transform of $Q_r(R, t)$. Following this relation, we put $s = 0$ in Eq. (3) in the main text to obtain

$$\langle T_r(R) \rangle = [\tilde{Q}_r(R, s)]_{s=0} = \frac{\int_0^\infty dt \Psi(t) Q_0(R, t)}{1 - \int_0^\infty dt \psi(t) Q_0(R, t)}. \quad (\text{S1})$$

Since the waiting time distribution $\psi(t)$ is normalized, i.e., $\int_0^\infty \psi(t) dt = 1$, the denominator of Eq. (S1) can be rewritten as

$$\left[1 - \int_0^\infty dt \psi(t) Q_0(R, t) \right] = \int_0^\infty dt \psi(t) [1 - Q_0(R, t)]. \quad (\text{S2})$$

Integrating the numerator of Eq. (S1) by parts we get

$$\int_0^\infty dt \Psi(t) Q_0(R, t) = \left[\left(\int_0^t dt' Q_0(R, t') \right) \Psi(t) \right]_0^\infty - \int_0^\infty dt \left[\frac{\partial \Psi(t)}{\partial t} \left(\int_0^t dt' Q_0(R, t') \right) \right]. \quad (\text{S3})$$

For $t \rightarrow 0$, the integral $\int_0^t dt' Q_0(R, t')$ vanishes, and for $t \rightarrow \infty$, $\Psi(t) = 1 - \int_0^t dt' \psi(t') = 0$, as $\psi(t)$ is normalised. Therefore, the first term at the lhs of Eq. (S3) is always zero. Moreover, since $\psi(t) = -\partial \Psi(t) / \partial t$, Eq. (S3) reduces to

$$\int_0^\infty dt \Psi(t) Q_0(x, t) = \int_0^\infty dt \psi(t) \int_0^t dt' Q_0(x, t'). \quad (\text{S4})$$

Substituting the numerator and denominator of Eq. (S1) by Eqs. (S4) and (S2), respectively, we obtain Eq. (4) in the main text.

DERIVATION OF EQ. (6):

We consider $\langle T_r(R) \rangle$ as a functional of the waiting time distribution $\psi(t)$. The parameter R is held fixed and to lighten the notation we suppress the R dependence and write $\langle T_r[\psi(t)] \rangle$. Following Eq. (5) in the main text, we see that

$$\frac{\delta \langle T_r[\psi(t)] \rangle}{\delta \psi(t')} = \int_0^\infty dR S_d(R) P_T(R) \left[\frac{\delta \langle T_r[\psi(t)] \rangle}{\delta \psi(t')} \right]. \quad (\text{S5})$$

The functional derivative of $\langle T_r[\psi(t)] \rangle$ with respect to the waiting time distribution $\psi(t)$ is defined as

$$\frac{\delta \langle T_r \rangle}{\delta \psi(t')} = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\langle T_r[\psi(t) + \epsilon \delta(t - t')] \rangle - \langle T_r[\psi(t)] \rangle}{\epsilon}. \quad (\text{S6})$$

Utilizing Eq. (4) from the main text that shows the explicit dependence of $\langle T_r \rangle$ on $\psi(t)$, we obtain

$$\langle T_r[\psi(t) + \epsilon \delta(t - t')] \rangle = \frac{\int_0^\infty dt [\psi(t) + \epsilon \delta(t - t')] \int_0^t dt' Q_0(R, t')}{\int_0^\infty dt [\psi(t) + \epsilon \delta(t - t')] [1 - Q_0(R, t)]}, \quad (\text{S7})$$

which, using the relation $\int_0^\infty dt \delta(t - t') f(t) = f(t')$, can be simplified to

$$\langle T_r[\psi(t) + \epsilon \delta(t - t')] \rangle = \frac{\int_0^\infty dt \psi(t) \int_0^t dt' Q_0(R, t') + \epsilon \int_0^{t'} dt Q_0(R, t)}{\int_0^\infty dt \psi(t) [1 - Q_0(R, t)] + \epsilon [1 - Q_0(R, t')]} \quad (\text{S8})$$

Further simplification of Eq. (S8) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T_r[\psi(t) + \epsilon \delta(t - t')] \rangle &= \frac{\int_0^\infty dt \psi(t) \int_0^t dt' Q_0(R, t') + \epsilon \int_0^{t'} dt Q_0(R, t)}{\int_0^\infty dt \psi(t) [1 - Q_0(R, t)] \left[1 + \frac{\epsilon [1 - Q_0(R, t')]}{\int_0^\infty dt \psi(t) [1 - Q_0(R, t)]} \right]}, \\ &= \frac{\int_0^\infty dt \psi(t) \int_0^t dt' Q_0(R, t') + \epsilon \int_0^{t'} dt Q_0(R, t)}{\int_0^\infty dt \psi(t) [1 - Q_0(R, t)]} \left[1 - \frac{\epsilon [1 - Q_0(R, t')]}{\int_0^\infty dt \psi(t) [1 - Q_0(R, t)]} \right] \quad (\text{since } \epsilon \text{ is small}), \\ &= \langle T_r[\psi(t)] \rangle + \epsilon \left[\frac{\int_0^{t'} dt Q_0(R, t)}{\int_0^\infty dt \psi(t) [1 - Q_0(R, t)]} - \frac{[1 - Q_0(R, t')] \int_0^\infty dt \psi(t) \int_0^t dt' Q_0(R, t')}{\left(\int_0^\infty dt \psi(t) [1 - Q_0(R, t)] \right)^2} \right] + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{S9})$$

Plugging in the final (third) equality from Eq. (S9) into Eq. (S6) and considering the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we get an expression of $\frac{\delta\langle T_r \rangle}{\delta\psi(t')}$. Putting that expression in Eq. (5), one gets Eq. (6).

DERIVATION OF THE LHS OF EQ. (8)

Incorporating the ansatz [introduced in Eqs. (1a) and (1b)] into Eq. (6) we get

$$\frac{\delta\langle T_r \rangle}{\delta\psi(t')} = Z^{-1} \int_0^\infty dR S_d(R) \left[\int_0^\infty dt \psi(t)(1 - Q_0(R, t)) \int_0^{t'} d\tau Q_0(R, \tau) - (1 - Q_0(R, t')) \int_0^\infty dt \psi(t) \int_0^t d\tau Q_0(R, \tau) \right]. \quad (\text{S10})$$

Laplace transforming Eq. (S10) with respect to t' with Laplace variable s , we obtain

$$\mathcal{L} \left\{ \frac{\delta\langle T_r \rangle}{\delta\psi(t')} \right\} = \int_0^\infty dt' e^{-st'} \left[\frac{\delta\langle T_r \rangle}{\delta\psi(t')} \right] = \frac{\mathcal{A}(s)}{Z} \quad (\text{S11})$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}(s) = \int_0^\infty dt' e^{-st'} \left(\int_0^\infty dR S_d(R) \left[\int_0^\infty dt \psi(t)(1 - Q_0(R, t)) \int_0^{t'} d\tau Q_0(R, \tau) - (1 - Q_0(R, t')) \int_0^\infty dt \psi(t) \int_0^t d\tau Q_0(R, \tau) \right] \right). \quad (\text{S12})$$

Rearranging the order of integration over R and t' of the rhs of Eq. (S13), and then interchanging the integration variables t and t' in the second term, we can rewrite it as

$$\mathcal{A}(s) = \int_0^\infty dR S_d(R) \left\{ \int_0^\infty dt' e^{-st'} \int_0^\infty dt \psi(t)(1 - Q_0(R, t)) \int_0^{t'} d\tau Q_0(R, \tau) - \int_0^\infty dt e^{-st}(1 - Q_0(R, t)) \int_0^\infty dt' \psi(t') \int_0^{t'} d\tau Q_0(R, \tau) \right\}. \quad (\text{S13})$$

Rearranging the orders of integration, Eq. (S13) can be further simplified to

$$\mathcal{A}(s) = \int_0^\infty dt \int_0^\infty dt' \left[e^{-st'} \psi(t) - e^{-st} \psi(t') \right] I(t, t'), \quad (\text{S14})$$

where

$$I(t, t') = \int_0^{t'} d\tau \int_0^\infty dR S_d(R) (1 - Q_0(R, t)) Q_0(R, \tau), \quad (\text{S15})$$

which give Eqs. (8) and (9), respectively.

We now evaluate $I(t, t')$ in the case $d = 1$ discussed in the main text, for which $S_d(R) = 2$ and $Q_0(R, t) = \text{erf}(R/\sqrt{4Dt})$. Then we have

$$I(t, t') = 2 \int_0^{t'} d\tau \int_0^\infty dR \text{erfc}(R/\sqrt{4Dt}) \text{erf}(R/\sqrt{4D\tau}) \quad (\text{S16})$$

$$= \frac{4D^{1/2}}{\pi^{1/2}} \int_0^{t'} d\tau \left[(\tau + t)^{1/2} - \tau^{1/2} \right] \quad (\text{S17})$$

$$= \frac{8}{3} \frac{D^{1/2}}{\pi^{1/2}} \left[(t' + t)^{3/2} - t^{3/2} - (t')^{3/2} \right], \quad (\text{S18})$$

where we have used identity 4.7.2 of [S2], quoted in the main text.

In arbitrary dimension d , the proof that $I(t, t')$ is symmetric, requires taking the double Laplace transform of $I(t, t')$ with respect to t and t' and using identities for integrals of modified Bessel functions. The details will be presented elsewhere.

CONDITION FOR $\psi(\tau)$ TO BE NORMALIZABLE USING JENSEN'S INEQUALITY

We first compute the normalization Z in the case $d = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= 2 \int_0^\infty dR f^2(R) \\ &= 2 \int_0^\infty dR \int_0^\infty dt \psi(t) \int_0^\infty dt' \psi(t') \operatorname{erfc}(R/\sqrt{4Dt}) \operatorname{erfc}(R/\sqrt{4Dt'}) \\ &= \frac{4D^{1/2}}{\pi^{1/2}} \int_0^\infty dt \psi(t) \int_0^\infty dt' \psi(t') \left[t^{1/2} + (t')^{1/2} - (t+t')^{1/2} \right], \end{aligned}$$

where we have used identity 4.7.2 from [S2].

Jensen's inequality states that any two points x_1 and x_2 on a concave function $\mathcal{G}(x)$ satisfies the relation

$$\mathcal{G}\left(\frac{x_1 + x_2}{2}\right) \geq \frac{\mathcal{G}(x_1) + \mathcal{G}(x_2)}{2}.$$

Since \sqrt{t} is a concave function, we can write

$$\sqrt{\frac{t+t'}{2}} \geq \frac{\sqrt{t} + \sqrt{t'}}{2}.$$

As $t, t' > 0$ are random variables (waiting times), averaging both sides of the above inequality we obtain

$$\left\langle \sqrt{\frac{t+t'}{2}} \right\rangle \geq \frac{\langle \sqrt{t} \rangle}{2} + \frac{\langle \sqrt{t'} \rangle}{2} = \langle \sqrt{t} \rangle,$$

where $\langle \cdot \rangle$ denotes average with waiting time distribution $\psi(\tau)$, e.g., $\langle \sqrt{t} \rangle = \int_0^\infty dt \psi(t) \sqrt{t}$. Note that t, t' are random variables drawn independently from the same distribution $\psi(\tau)$. That leads to $\langle \sqrt{t} \rangle = \langle \sqrt{t'} \rangle$, which explains the equality. From the above inequality, one easily gets

$$\begin{aligned} Z &\leq \frac{4D^{1/2}}{\pi^{1/2}} \int_0^\infty dt \psi(t) \int_0^\infty dt' \psi(t') (1 - 2^{-1/2}) \left[t^{1/2} + (t')^{1/2} \right] \\ &= \frac{4D^{1/2}}{\pi^{1/2}} (2 - 2^{1/2}) \langle t^{1/2} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

This condition ensures that a conjugate target distribution (conjugate to a certain waiting time distribution $\psi(t)$) is normalizable when the expectation value of $t^{1/2}$ is finite.

SHARP RESTART IS OPTIMAL FOR FIXED TARGET

As noted in the introduction, sharp reset has been of interest as it minimizes the MFPT when the distance R_0 to the target is known. A fixed target at distance R_0 from the resetting site (taken to be the origin) corresponds to a target distribution $P_T(R) = \delta(R - R_0)$. It has been shown that for this scenario sharp restart minimizes the mean first passage time when the deterministic period of resetting, τ , is suitably chosen [S3]. Here we show how this result is recovered within our formalism.

To see this we insert $\psi(t) = \delta(t - \tau)$ and $P_T(R) = \delta(R - R_0)$ in Eq. (6) yielding

$$\frac{\delta \overline{\langle T_r \rangle}}{\delta \psi(t')} = \frac{1 - Q_0(R_0, t')}{1 - Q_0(R_0, \tau)} [G(t') - G(\tau)] \quad (\text{S19})$$

where $G(t') = \int_0^{t'} dt Q_0(R_0, t)/(1 - Q_0(R_0, t))$. If τ is chosen as the value of t' that minimizes $G(t')$ [S3], we have

$$\frac{\delta \overline{\langle T_r \rangle}}{\delta \psi(t')} \geq 0, \quad (\text{S20})$$

with the equality only holding when $t' = \tau$. Then sharp reset with period τ minimizes $\overline{\langle T_r \rangle}$ because of the constraints that $\psi(t) \geq 0$ and $\int_0^\infty dt \psi(t) = 1$ i.e. variation of the delta distribution implies increasing $\psi(t')$ when $t' \neq \tau$ and decreasing $\psi(\tau)$. Consequently, since Eq. (S20) holds, $\overline{\langle T_r \rangle}$ always increases.

DERIVATION OF EQ. (19) FROM EQ. (18)

The waiting time distribution for Poissonian resetting is given by $\psi(t) = re^{-rt}$. Plugging in that into the definition of $f(R)$ (given by Eq. (1b) in the main text), we get $f(R) = r \int_0^\infty dt e^{-rt}(1 - Q_0(R, t))$. The first term of rhs is simply $\int_0^\infty dt r e^{-rt} = 1$ and the second term can be written as a Laplace transform of $Q_0(R, t)$, leading to $f(R) = 1 - r \tilde{Q}_0(R, r)$. Utilizing Eq. (18), we thus obtain

$$f(R) = \left(\frac{R}{a}\right)^\nu \frac{K_\nu(R(r/D)^{1/2})}{K_\nu(a(r/D)^{1/2})}. \quad (\text{S21})$$

Eq. (S21), when combined with Eq. (1a), leads to Eq. (19).

* m.evans@ed.ac.uk

† somrita@iiserbpr.ac.in

[S1] S. Redner, *A Guide to First-Passage Processes*, Cambridge University Press, (2001).

[S2] E. W. Ng and M. Geller, A table of integrals of the error functions, *Journal of Research of the National Bureau of Standards B* **73**, 1 (1969).

[S3] A. Chechkin and I. M. Sokolov, Random Search with Resetting: A Unified Renewal Approach, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 050601 (2018).
