

First-order spin magnetohydrodynamics

Zhe Fang, Koichi Hattori, Jin Hu

^a*Zhejiang Institute of Modern Physics Department of Physics Zhejiang University
Hangzhou Zhejiang 310027 China*

^b*Zhejiang Institute of Modern Physics Department of Physics Zhejiang University
Hangzhou Zhejiang 310027 China*

^c*Research Center for Nuclear Physics Osaka University 10-1 Mihogaoka Ibaraki Osaka
567-0047 Japan*

^d*Department of Physics Fuzhou University Fujian 350116 China*

Abstract

Based on recent papers, we discuss formulation of the first-order relativistic spin magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) with the totally antisymmetric spin current and properties of the anisotropic linear waves awoken near an equilibrium configuration. We show that there appears a critical angle in the momentum direction of the linear waves, where a pair of propagating modes turns into purely diffusive modes. Due to this critical behavior, polynomial solutions do not fully capture the angle dependence of the linear waves.

Keywords: Hydrodynamics, Strong magnetic field, Spin transport, Anisotropic systems

1. Introduction

We discuss relativistic spin magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) with dissipative effects from the first-order derivative expansion based on the recent papers [1, 2]. This framework describes the interplay between fluid dynamics and a dynamical magnetic field, giving rise to the Alfvén and magneto-sonic waves. We further include spin current in the framework. We obtain the complete set of dispersion relations for the anisotropic linear waves with the dissipative effects.

Email addresses: 12345071@zju.edu.cn (Zhe Fang), koichi.hattori@zju.edu.cn (Koichi Hattori), hu-j23@fzu.edu.cn (Jin Hu)

Strong magnetic fields induce intriguing transport phenomena such as the chiral magnetic effect discussed across research fields such as relativistic heavy-ion collisions, condensed matter physics, and cosmology/astrophysics (see, e.g., Refs. [3, 4, 5, 6, 7] for reviews and references therein). One can describe these phenomena with the low-energy effective theory, i.e., (chiral) hydrodynamics developed in recent years [8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13] (see Ref. [14, 15] for reviews).

Dynamics of angular momentum is another hot topic triggered by recent measurements for spin polarization/alignment of hadron species emitted from quark-gluon plasma (QGP) [16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22]. A nonzero angular momentum, which is mechanically created by a non-central collision of two large nuclei, is converted to thermal vorticity in QGP and spin of hadrons in the final state [23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28]. The experimental results on the local Λ spin polarization pose a spin sign puzzle that cannot be solely explained by thermal effects [29, 30, 31]. This deviation may be attributed to the non-equilibrium evolution of spin degrees of freedom, inspiring theoretical developments in spin hydrodynamics [32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44].

Recently, magnetic-field effects were discussed as sources of not only the spin splitting between hadrons and anti-hadrons [45, 46, 47] but also the local spin polarization [48]. The interplay between the magnetic fields and spin dynamics in QGP draws considerable interest.

In this article, we closely discuss the dispersion relations of the anisotropic linear waves in a magnetic field. We emphasize the existence of a critical angle where a pair of propagating modes turns into purely diffusive modes. This critical behavior is already seen in MHD without spin [1] and is not captured by polynomial solutions. Therefore, the solutions in the small-momentum expansion break down as the momentum direction approaches the critical angle. We investigate the behavior of the diffusive regime and provide an alternative form of solution.

We use the mostly plus metric convention $\eta^{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1)$ and the completely antisymmetric tensor with the convention $\epsilon^{0123} = +1$. Then, the fluid velocity u^μ is normalized as $u^\mu u_\mu = -1$. We define the projection operator $\Delta^{\mu\nu} = \eta^{\mu\nu} + u^\mu u^\nu$ such that $u_\mu \Delta^{\mu\nu} = 0$.

2. Formulation of spin magnetohydrodynamics

Hydrodynamics describes the low-energy dynamics, where the relevant degrees of freedom are gapless modes. These modes are associated with the conserved charges surviving in a long spacetime scale. Therefore, equations of motion are given by a set of conservation laws informed by symmetries of a system.

The conservation laws deduced from the translational, rotational, and one-form symmetries read [1, 2]

$$\partial_\mu \Theta^{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad \partial_\mu J^{\mu\alpha\beta} = 0, \quad \partial_\mu \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad (1)$$

where $\Theta^{\mu\nu}$, $J^{\mu\alpha\beta}$, $\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}$ are the energy-momentum tensor, the angular momentum tensor, and the dual electromagnetic field strength tensor, respectively. The last one is the magnetic-flux conservation law. The angular momentum tensor $J^{\mu\alpha\beta}$ can be decomposed into the contributions of the spin current $\Sigma^{\mu\alpha\beta}$ and the orbital angular momentum as

$$J^{\mu\alpha\beta} = \Sigma^{\mu\alpha\beta} + x^\alpha \Theta^{\mu\beta} - x^\beta \Theta^{\mu\alpha}. \quad (2)$$

Then, the total angular momentum conservation in Eq. (1) is cast into another form

$$\partial_\mu \Sigma^{\mu\alpha\beta} = -2\Theta^{[\alpha\beta]}. \quad (3)$$

Spin is not a conserved quantity by itself in relativistic systems. The anti-symmetric part of the energy-momentum tensor quantifies the torque exerted on a fluid cell that gives rise to conversion between the spin and orbital components of the angular momentum. Since the spin density is not a strictly conserved quantity, spin hydrodynamics should be understood as an extended hydrodynamic framework with a quasihydrodynamic mode [32].

The local conservation laws of the energy-momentum tensor and the angular momentum tensor are preserved under the simultaneous shifts of $\Theta^{\mu\nu}$ and $J^{\mu\alpha\beta}$ transformations. Then, the separation between the spin and orbital angular momentum is not unique. In the end, the definitions of the spin and orbital angular momentum may obey specific measurement processes. Here, we assume that $\Sigma^{\mu\alpha\beta}$ is a totally antisymmetric tensor.

The temporal components of these currents provide the density of corresponding conserved charges

$$e = u_\mu u_\nu \Theta^{\mu\nu}, \quad S^{\alpha\beta} = -u_\mu \Sigma^{\mu\alpha\beta}, \quad B^\mu = -\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} u_\nu. \quad (4)$$

The system of equations (1) is closed with the help of the constitutive equations that express the spatial components of the conserved currents as functionals of the conserved charges. The constitutive equations can be determined so that their forms are consistent with the first and second laws of thermodynamics as [2]

$$\Theta^{\mu\nu} = eu^\mu u^\nu + p\Xi^{\mu\nu} + \left(p - \frac{B^2}{\mu_m}\right)b^\mu b^\nu - T \begin{pmatrix} \eta^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} & \xi^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \\ \xi'^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} & \gamma^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{[\rho}\beta_{\sigma]} \\ \partial_{[\rho}\beta_{\sigma]} - 2\beta\omega_{\rho\sigma} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5a)$$

$$\Sigma^{\mu\alpha\beta} = u^\mu S^{\alpha\beta} - u^\alpha S^{\mu\beta} + u^\beta S^{\mu\alpha}, \quad (5b)$$

$$\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} = B^\mu u^\nu - B^\nu u^\mu - T\rho^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\partial_{[\rho}(\beta H_{\sigma]}), \quad (5c)$$

where we introduced a unit vector $b^\mu = B^\mu/\sqrt{B^\nu B_\nu}$ and the projection operator $\Xi^{\mu\nu} = \Delta^{\mu\nu} - b^\mu b^\nu$ such that $b_\mu \Xi^{\mu\nu} = 0 = u_\mu \Xi^{\mu\nu}$. We assume the linear relations between the conserved charges and the Lagrange multipliers, i.e., $H^\mu = B^\mu/\mu_m$ and $\omega^{\mu\nu} = S^{\mu\nu}/\chi$ with the magnetic permeability μ_m and the spin susceptibility χ being constants in spacetime. We choose to work with the Landau frame, so that the heat current is vanishing $h^\mu = 0$. Also, the rotational heat current introduced in Ref. [32] is higher order in derivative in the totally antisymmetric pseudogauge (see Ref. [2]). Onsager's reciprocal relation states the relations among the viscous tensors $\eta^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(b^\mu) = \eta^{\rho\sigma\mu\nu}(-b^\mu)$, $\gamma^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(b^\mu) = \gamma'^{\rho\sigma\mu\nu}(-b^\mu)$, $\xi^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(b^\mu) = \xi^{\rho\sigma\mu\nu}(-b^\mu)$, and $\xi^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}(b^\mu) = \xi'^{\rho\sigma\mu\nu}(-b^\mu)$.

One can use the available tensor $b^\mu b^\nu$ and $\Xi^{\mu\nu}$ to construct the viscous tensors $\eta^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$, $\gamma^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$, and $\xi^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ and the resistivity tensor $\rho^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$. The general forms allowed by the thermodynamic stability are found to be [2]

$$\eta^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = (b^\mu b^\nu \quad \Xi^{\mu\nu}) \begin{pmatrix} \zeta_{\parallel} & \zeta_{\times} \\ \zeta'_{\times} & \zeta_{\perp} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b^\rho b^\sigma \\ \Xi^{\rho\sigma} \end{pmatrix} \quad (6a)$$

$$+ 2\eta_{\parallel}(b^\mu \Xi^{\nu(\rho} b^{\sigma)} + b^\nu \Xi^{\mu(\rho} b^{\sigma)}) + \eta_{\perp}(\Xi^{\mu\rho} \Xi^{\nu\sigma} + \Xi^{\mu\sigma} \Xi^{\nu\rho} - \Xi^{\mu\nu} \Xi^{\rho\sigma}),$$

$$\gamma^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = \gamma_{\perp}(\Xi^{\mu\rho} \Xi^{\nu\sigma} - \Xi^{\mu\sigma} \Xi^{\nu\rho}) - 2\gamma_{\parallel}(b^\mu \Xi^{\nu[\rho} b^{\sigma]} - b^\nu \Xi^{\mu[\rho} b^{\sigma]}), \quad (6b)$$

$$\xi^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = 2\xi_{\parallel}(b^\mu \Xi^{\nu[\rho} b^{\sigma]} + b^\nu \Xi^{\mu[\rho} b^{\sigma]} + b^\mu \Xi^{\nu(\rho} b^{\sigma)} - b^\nu \Xi^{\mu(\rho} b^{\sigma)}), \quad (6c)$$

$$\rho^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} = -2\rho_{\perp}(b^\mu \Xi^{\nu[\rho} b^{\sigma]} - b^\nu \Xi^{\mu[\rho} b^{\sigma]}) + 2\rho_{\parallel}\Xi^{\mu[\rho} \Xi^{\sigma]\nu}, \quad (6d)$$

where $\zeta_{\times} = \zeta'_{\times}$ by virtue of Onsager's reciprocal relation. The semi-positivity




Figure 1: Two components of the shear viscosity in a magnetic field.




Figure 2: Cross bulk viscosity in a magnetic field

Figure 3: Rotational viscosity from the “non-slip” condition

of the entropy current requires the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned}
 \zeta_{\perp} \geq 0, \quad \zeta_{\parallel} \geq 0, \quad \zeta_{\parallel}\zeta_{\perp} \geq \zeta_{\times}^2, \quad \eta_{\parallel} \geq 0, \quad \eta_{\perp} \geq 0, \\
 \gamma_{\parallel} \geq 0, \quad \gamma_{\perp} \geq 0, \quad \gamma_{\parallel}\eta_{\parallel} \geq \xi_{\parallel}^2, \\
 \rho_{\perp} \geq 0, \quad \rho_{\parallel} \geq 0.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{7}$$

The resistivity tensor $\rho^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ provides the constitutive equations of an induced electric field and current that have two components in parallel and perpendicular to the magnetic field (see Ref. [14] for a review). There is no Hall term in neutral systems. The physical meaning of the viscous tensors is

as follows. The symmetric viscous tensor $\eta^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ contains the shear and bulk components. The shear viscosity is split into two components $\eta_{\parallel,\perp}$ in parallel and perpendicular to a magnetic field (see Fig. 1). The bulk viscosity is also split into two components $\zeta_{\parallel,\perp}$. There is one more bulk viscosity ζ_{\times} that quantifies the off-equilibrium pressure in the parallel (perpendicular) direction in response to a fluid expansion in the perpendicular (parallel) direction (see Fig. 2).

The antisymmetric viscous tensor $\gamma^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ provides the rotational viscosity introduced in spin hydrodynamics [32]. The rotational viscosity quantifies the friction between a rotating fluid cell and the surrounding rotational motion of fluid (see Fig. 3). The rotational viscosity is also split into two components in a magnetic field. Finally, there is a cross term between the symmetric and antisymmetric parts of the energy-momentum tensor given by $\xi^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$. This viscous term converts the shear to the antisymmetric torque and the vorticity to the symmetric stress.

3. Anisotropic linear waves

3.1. Linearized equations near an equilibrium

In this section, we derive the linearized first-order hydrodynamic equations for small perturbations near an equilibrium state specified as $u^\mu = (1, 0, 0, 0)$, $B^\mu = (0, 0, 0, B)$, and $S^{\mu\nu} = 0$. The conserved charges are displaced from their equilibrium values as

$$\begin{aligned} e &\rightarrow e + \delta e(x), & u^\mu &\rightarrow u^\mu + \delta u^\mu(x), \\ B^\mu &\rightarrow B^\mu + \delta B^\mu(x), & S^{\mu\nu} &\rightarrow S^{\mu\nu} + \delta S^{\mu\nu}(x). \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

We will linearize the hydrodynamic equations with respect to these perturbations. One can extract the three independent degrees of freedom of $S^{\mu\nu}$ by a transformation to a spatial vector $\sigma^\mu = -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}u_\nu S_{\rho\sigma}$.

We introduce a momentum representation of the perturbation

$$\delta\mathbf{u}(t, x, z) = \delta\tilde{\mathbf{u}}(\omega, k_\perp, k_\parallel)e^{-i\omega t + ik_\perp x + ik_\parallel z}, \quad (9)$$

and the same for δe and $\delta\mathbf{B}$. Here, without loss of generality, we have set the transverse coordinate system in such a way that the dependence on the y coordinate vanishes. Then, the linearized equation can be summarized in

matrix equations

$$\left(A_{(0)} + iA_{(1)} \right) \begin{pmatrix} \delta \tilde{B}_y \\ \delta \tilde{u}_y \\ \delta \tilde{\sigma}_x \\ \delta \tilde{\sigma}_z \end{pmatrix} = 0, \quad \left(M_{(0)} + iM_{(1)} \right) \begin{pmatrix} \delta \tilde{\epsilon} \\ \delta \tilde{u}_x \\ \delta \tilde{u}_z \\ \delta \tilde{B}_x \\ \delta \tilde{B}_z \\ \delta \tilde{\sigma}_y \end{pmatrix} = 0. \quad (10)$$

The first set of matrices is given as [1, 2]

$$A_{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} \omega & Bk_{\parallel} \\ h\frac{v_A^2}{B}k_{\parallel} & h\omega \\ & \omega + i\Gamma_{\parallel} \\ & \omega + i\Gamma_{\perp} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (11a)$$

$$A_{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} \rho'_{\perp} k_{\parallel}^2 + \rho'_{\parallel} k_{\perp}^2 & & & & & \\ & (\eta_{\parallel} + \gamma_{\parallel} - 2\xi_{\parallel})k_{\parallel}^2 + (\eta_{\perp} + \gamma_{\perp})k_{\perp}^2 & \frac{4i}{\chi}(\gamma_{\parallel} - \xi_{\parallel})k_{\parallel} & -\frac{i}{2}\Gamma_{\perp}k_{\perp} \\ & -2i(\gamma_{\parallel} - \xi)k_{\parallel} & 0 & 0 \\ & 2i\gamma_{\perp}k_{\perp} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (11b)$$

The second set is given as

$$M_{(0)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -k_{\perp}B & 0 & 0 & \omega & 0 \\ 0 & k_{\parallel}B & 0 & \omega & 0 & 0 \\ \omega & -hk_{\perp} & h(v_A^2 - 1)k_{\parallel} & 0 & h\frac{v_A^2}{B}\omega & 0 \\ -c_s^2k_{\perp} & h\omega & 0 & h\frac{v_A^2}{B}k_{\parallel} & -h\frac{v_A^2}{B}k_{\perp} & 0 \\ -c_s^2k_{\parallel} & 0 & -h(v_A^2 - 1)\omega & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \omega + i\Gamma_{\parallel} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (12a)$$

$$M_{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{Bc_s^2}{h(1-v_A^2)}\rho'_{\perp}k_{\perp}^2 & 0 & 0 & -\rho'_{\perp}k_{\parallel}k_{\perp} & \rho'_{\perp}k_{\perp}^2 & 0 \\ \frac{Bc_s^2}{h(1-v_A^2)}\rho'_{\perp}k_{\perp}k_{\parallel} & 0 & 0 & \rho'_{\perp}k_{\parallel}^2 & -\rho'_{\perp}k_{\perp}k_{\parallel} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m_{11} & m_{12} & 0 & 0 & -i\frac{4}{\chi}(\gamma_{\parallel} - \xi_{\parallel})k_{\parallel} \\ 0 & m_{21} & m_{22} & 0 & 0 & i\frac{4}{\chi}(\gamma_{\parallel} + \xi_{\parallel})k_{\perp} \\ 0 & m_{31} & m_{32} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (12b)$$

where

$$\begin{pmatrix} m_{11} & m_{12} \\ m_{21} & m_{22} \\ m_{31} & m_{32} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} (\zeta_{\perp} + \eta_{\perp})k_{\perp}^2 + (\eta_{\parallel} + \gamma_{\parallel} - 2\xi_{\parallel})k_{\parallel}^2 & (\zeta_{\times} + \eta_{\parallel} - \gamma_{\parallel})k_{\perp}k_{\parallel} \\ (\zeta_{\times} + \eta_{\parallel} - \gamma_{\parallel})k_{\perp}k_{\parallel} & \zeta_{\parallel}k_{\parallel}^2 + (\eta_{\parallel} + \gamma_{\parallel} + 2\xi_{\parallel})k_{\perp}^2 \\ 2i(\gamma_{\parallel} - \xi_{\parallel})k_{\parallel} & -2i(\gamma_{\parallel} + \xi_{\parallel})k_{\perp} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (13)$$

We assumed that the contributions of the matter and magnetic components to the equilibrium energy density and pressure can be separated as

$$p_{\perp} = P + \frac{B^2}{2\mu_m}, \quad e = \epsilon + \frac{B^2}{2\mu_m}, \quad (14)$$

where P and ϵ are the equilibrium energy density and pressure from the matter component. Then, the enthalpy is given as $h = e + p = \epsilon + P + B^2/\mu_m$. We defined the Alfvén velocity v_A and the sound velocity c_s as

$$v_A = \frac{B}{\sqrt{\mu_m h}}, \quad c_s = \sqrt{\frac{\delta P}{\delta \epsilon}}. \quad (15)$$

Also, we use the shorthand notations

$$\rho'_{\parallel} = \frac{\rho_{\parallel}}{\mu_m}, \quad \rho'_{\perp} = \frac{\rho_{\perp}}{\mu_m}, \quad \Gamma_{\parallel} = \frac{8\gamma_{\parallel}}{\chi}, \quad \Gamma_{\perp} = \frac{8\gamma_{\perp}}{\chi}. \quad (16)$$

3.2. Solutions for the linearized equations

Searching analytic solutions for the linearized equations become challenging as the symmetry is reduced and the number of degrees of freedom becomes large. Spin MHD is one such case with nine degrees of freedom and a broken spatial rotational symmetry.

We developed a general and simple analytic algorithm for the solution search in Ref. [1] and obtained the full analytic solution for the first-order MHD. This method works on an order-by-order basis in an arbitrary expansion parameter. We then applied this method to spin MHD in Ref. [2]. The relevant expansion parameter is the momentum k as in usual hydrodynamics. However, we find that the small-momentum expansion breaks down in MHD when the momentum direction approaches the perpendicular direction to an equilibrium magnetic field. In this region, a trigonometric function for the angle dependence serves as another expansion parameter as shown below.

In the momentum expansion, we search solutions up to the order k^2 since the higher terms are not under control within the first-order hydrodynamics. We find the solutions for the first equation in Eq. (10) in the following forms

$$\omega = \pm v_A k \cos \theta - iU_1 k^2, \quad (17a)$$

$$\omega = -i\Gamma_{\parallel} - iU_2 k^2, \quad (17b)$$

$$\omega = -i\Gamma_{\perp} - iU_3 k^2. \quad (17c)$$

with the momentum decomposition $k_{\parallel} = k \cos \theta$ and $k_{\perp} = k \sin \theta$. The corrections at the order k^2 are found to be

$$U_1 = \frac{1}{2h} [(\tilde{\eta}_{\parallel} + h\rho'_{\perp}) \cos^2 \theta + (\eta_{\perp} + h\rho'_{\parallel}) \sin^2 \theta], \quad (18a)$$

$$U_2 = \frac{(\gamma_{\parallel} - \xi_{\parallel})^2}{h\gamma_{\parallel}} \cos^2 \theta, \quad (18b)$$

$$U_3 = \frac{\gamma_{\perp}}{h} \sin^2 \theta. \quad (18c)$$

Here, we defined a specific combination of the viscous coefficients

$$\tilde{\eta}_{\parallel} = \eta_{\parallel} - \frac{\xi_{\parallel}^2}{\gamma_{\parallel}}. \quad (19)$$

The pair of solutions (17a) is called the Alfvén waves with the subluminal speed $0 \leq v_A \leq 1$. The other two are damping spin modes that relax to the magnitude determined by the thermal vorticity. This is required by the second law of thermodynamics in such a way that the entropy production by the rotational viscosity ceases. The thermal vorticity, and thus spin density, is vanishing in the current equilibrium configuration.

The solutions for the second equation in Eq. (10) are found to be

$$\omega = \pm v_1 k + ik^2 \frac{W_1 - W_2 v_1^2}{2(v_1^2 - v_2^2)}, \quad (20a)$$

$$\omega = \pm v_2 k - ik^2 \frac{W_1 - W_2 v_2^2}{2(v_1^2 - v_2^2)}, \quad (20b)$$

$$\omega = -i\Gamma_{\parallel} - ik^2 (W_3 - W_2), \quad (20c)$$

with the explicit forms of the phase velocities and of W_i :

$$v_{1,2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[v_A^2 + c_s^2(1 - v_A^2 \sin^2 \theta) \pm \sqrt{(v_A^2 + c_s^2(1 - v_A^2 \sin^2 \theta))^2 - 4v_A^2 c_s^2 \cos^2 \theta} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad (21a)$$

$$W_1 = \frac{1}{h} \left[\tilde{\eta}_{\parallel} \left(c_s^2 \cos^2(2\theta) + \frac{v_A^2 \sin^2 \theta}{1 - v_A^2} \right) + h\rho'_{\perp} c_s^2 \frac{1 - v_A^2 \cos^2 \theta}{1 - v_A^2} + \zeta_{\parallel} \frac{v_A^2}{1 - v_A^2} \cos^2 \theta \right. \\ \left. + (\zeta_{\parallel} + \zeta_{\perp} - 2\zeta_{\times} + \eta_{\perp}) c_s^2 \sin^2 \theta \cos^2 \theta \right], \quad (21b)$$

$$W_2 = \frac{1}{h} \left[\tilde{\eta}_{\parallel} \frac{1 - v_A^2 \cos^2 \theta}{1 - v_A^2} + \zeta_{\parallel} \frac{\cos^2 \theta}{1 - v_A^2} + (\zeta_{\perp} + \eta_{\perp}) \sin^2 \theta + h\rho'_{\perp} \left(1 + \frac{v_A^2 c_s^2}{1 - v_A^2} \sin^2 \theta \right) \right], \quad (21c)$$

$$W_3 = \frac{1}{h} \left[\cos^2 \theta (\eta_{\parallel} + \gamma_{\parallel} - 2\xi_{\parallel}) + \frac{\sin^2 \theta}{1 - v_A^2} (\eta_{\parallel} + \gamma_{\parallel} + 2\xi_{\parallel}) + \zeta_{\parallel} \frac{\cos^2 \theta}{1 - v_A^2} \right. \\ \left. + (\zeta_{\perp} + \eta_{\perp}) \sin^2 \theta + h\rho'_{\perp} \left(1 + \frac{v_A^2 c_s^2}{1 - v_A^2} \sin^2 \theta \right) \right]. \quad (21d)$$

We find two pairs of propagating modes in Eqs. (20a) and (20b) which are known as the fast and slow magneto-sonic waves, respectively. We showed that $0 \leq v_{1,2} \leq 1$ when $0 \leq c_s \leq 1$ and $0 \leq v_A \leq 1$ [1].

We also showed that all of the nine modes are damping in time as long as the thermodynamic inequalities (7) are satisfied [1, 2]. Note, however, that this can be an observer-dependent statement when causality is not guaranteed due to dissipative effects (see discussions in Ref. [1] and references therein).

3.3. Breakdown of the small-momentum expansion for MHD

We have obtained the solutions for an arbitrary angle θ up to the k^2 order in the above. However, we point out that the small- k expansion breaks down depending on the angle θ . One can easily realize the breakdown of the small- k expansion by comparing the solutions in Eqs. (17) and (20) with the solutions at $\theta = \pi/2$; The latter solutions can be obtained without any expansion. The small- k expansion breaks down because the n -th order term blows up as $\sim 1/\cos^n \theta$ when $\cos \theta \rightarrow 0$ (see below and Ref. [1] for details).

We show the angle dependence of the solutions with the numerical plots in Fig. 4 for the Alfvén waves and Fig. 5 for the slow magneto-sonic waves. Numerical solutions indicate the presence of a *critical angle* where a pair




Figure 4: The dispersion relations for the Alfvén modes. Blue curves show the “exact solution” without any expansion. Dotted curves show the small- k expansion in Eq. (18a). Red curves show the small-cosine expansion.




Figure 5: The dispersion relations for the slow magneto-sonic modes. The blue curves represent the numerical solutions of the quartic equation. Dotted curves show the small- k expansion in Eq. (20b). Red curves show the small-cosine expansion.

of propagating modes turns into two distinct diffusive modes. The small- k expansion shown with dotted lines significantly deviates from the numerical solutions shown in blue as θ approaches the critical angle. Beyond the critical angle, the small- k expansion does not work at all.

This singular behavior was recently recognized in MHD without spin [1]. This behavior can be confirmed with the solutions for the Alfvén waves that can be obtained without any expansion as

$$\omega = \pm \sqrt{v_A^2 k^2 \cos^2 \theta - \frac{1}{4}(\tilde{\rho} - \tilde{\eta})^2 k^4 - \frac{i}{2}(\tilde{\rho} + \tilde{\eta})k^2}, \quad (22)$$

where $\tilde{\rho} = \rho'_\perp \cos^2 \theta + \rho'_\parallel \sin^2 \theta$ and $\tilde{\eta} = \frac{1}{h}(\eta_\parallel \cos^2 \theta + \eta_\perp \sin^2 \theta)$. The square root in Eq. (22) becomes a pure imaginary number when k grows larger than $2v_A \left| \frac{\cos \theta}{\tilde{\rho} - \tilde{\eta}} \right|$. Namely, the dispersion relation loses the real part, turning to

purely diffusive modes. Clearly, this behavior is different from that of the solution in the small- k expansion (17a) that always has the nonzero Alfvén velocity. This is the breakdown of the momentum expansion beyond the critical angle seen in Fig. 4. One finds the same issue in the slow magnetosonic waves as seen in Fig. 5. Spin MHD shares the same issue originating from MHD. However, damping spin modes do not induce additional issues.

Beyond the critical angle, we organize another series in the order of $\cos \theta$. These results are shown in red in the figures. They reproduce the numerical results when $\cos \theta$ is small. The deviation seen in Fig. 5 near $\theta = \pi/2$ is due to the k expansion performed after the cosine expansion.¹ The reader is referred to Refs. [1, 2] for explicit forms of the cosine expansions.

4. conclusion

We derived the constitutive equations with a broken spatial rotational symmetry due to the presence of a magnetic field. This spatial anisotropy induces the two distinct components of shear and bulk viscous coefficients and of resistivity. Also, an additional viscous coefficient appears as the cross bulk viscosity. Including spin degrees of freedom, we find the two distinct components of the rotational viscous coefficients and the cross term that converts a vorticity into the symmetric stress and a shear into the antisymmetric torque.

We elaborated on the angle dependence of the linear waves with a complete set of analytic solutions. These solutions indicate that the small-momentum expansion is spoiled due to the blow-up of the higher-order terms. Numerical solutions indicate that there is a critical angle where the two competing expansion parameters, the momentum k and $\cos \theta$, have similar magnitudes. This non-analytic structure is potentially a general issue in anisotropic systems. Spin modes are damping in time in the absence of an order-one thermal vorticity. The stability of these modes within the fluid rest frame is discussed in Ref. [1, 2].

¹One gets a better agreement if one includes the higher k terms, which however does not mean a more accurate solution since the higher k terms are uncertain in the first-order hydrodynamics.

Acknowledgements

This contribution is partially supported by the JSPS KAKENHI under grant Nos. 20K03948 and 22H01216.

References

- [1] Z. Fang, K. Hattori, J. Hu, Analytic solutions for the linearized first-order magnetohydrodynamics and implications for causality and stability, *Phys. Rev. D* 110 (2024) 056049. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.110.056049. arXiv:2402.18601.
- [2] Z. Fang, K. Hattori, J. Hu, Anisotropic linear waves and breakdown of the momentum expansion in spin magnetohydrodynamics (2024). arXiv:2409.07096.
- [3] D. E. Kharzeev, J. Liao, S. A. Voloshin, G. Wang, Chiral magnetic and vortical effects in high-energy nuclear collisions: A status report, *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* 88 (2016) 1–28. doi:10.1016/j.ppnp.2016.01.001. arXiv:1511.04050.
- [4] K. Hattori, X.-G. Huang, Novel quantum phenomena induced by strong magnetic fields in heavy-ion collisions, *Nucl. Sci. Tech.* 28 (2017) 26. doi:10.1007/s41365-016-0178-3. arXiv:1609.00747.
- [5] B. Yan, C. Felser, Topological Materials: Weyl Semimetals, *Ann. Rev. Condensed Matter Phys.* 8 (2017) 337–354. doi:10.1146/annurev-conmatphys-031016-025458. arXiv:1611.04182.
- [6] N. P. Armitage, E. J. Mele, A. Vishwanath, Weyl and Dirac Semimetals in Three Dimensional Solids, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 90 (2018) 015001. doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.90.015001. arXiv:1705.01111.
- [7] K. Kamada, N. Yamamoto, D.-L. Yang, Chiral effects in astrophysics and cosmology, *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* 129 (2023) 104016. doi:10.1016/j.ppnp.2022.104016. arXiv:2207.09184.
- [8] D. T. Son, P. Surowka, Hydrodynamics with Triangle Anomalies, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 103 (2009) 191601. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.103.191601. arXiv:0906.5044.

- [9] S. Grozdanov, D. M. Hofman, N. Iqbal, Generalized global symmetries and dissipative magnetohydrodynamics, *Phys. Rev. D* 95 (2017) 096003. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.95.096003. arXiv:1610.07392.
- [10] K. Hattori, Y. Hirono, H.-U. Yee, Y. Yin, MagnetoHydrodynamics with chiral anomaly: phases of collective excitations and instabilities, *Phys. Rev. D* 100 (2019) 065023. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.100.065023. arXiv:1711.08450.
- [11] M. Hongo, X.-G. Huang, M. Kaminski, M. Stephanov, H.-U. Yee, Relativistic spin hydrodynamics with torsion and linear response theory for spin relaxation, *JHEP* 11 (2021) 150. doi:10.1007/JHEP11(2021)150. arXiv:2107.14231.
- [12] J. Matsumoto, N. Yamamoto, D.-L. Yang, Chiral plasma instability and inverse cascade from nonequilibrium left-handed neutrinos in core-collapse supernovae, *Phys. Rev. D* 105 (2022) 123029. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.105.123029. arXiv:2202.09205.
- [13] A. Brandenburg, K. Kamada, K. Mukaida, K. Schmitz, J. Schober, Chiral magnetohydrodynamics with zero total chirality, *Phys. Rev. D* 108 (2023) 063529. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.108.063529. arXiv:2304.06612.
- [14] K. Hattori, M. Hongo, X.-G. Huang, New Developments in Relativistic Magnetohydrodynamics, *Symmetry* 14 (2022) 1851. doi:10.3390/sym14091851. arXiv:2207.12794.
- [15] K. Hattori, K. Itakura, S. Ozaki, Strong-field physics in QED and QCD: From fundamentals to applications, *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* 133 (2023) 104068. doi:10.1016/j.pnpnp.2023.104068. arXiv:2305.03865.
- [16] L. Adamczyk, et al. (STAR), Global Λ hyperon polarization in nuclear collisions: evidence for the most vortical fluid, *Nature* 548 (2017) 62–65. doi:10.1038/nature23004. arXiv:1701.06657.
- [17] J. Adam, et al. (STAR), Global polarization of Λ hyperons in Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV, *Phys. Rev. C* 98 (2018) 014910. doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.98.014910. arXiv:1805.04400.
- [18] B. Mohanty, S. Kundu, S. Singha, R. Singh, Spin alignment measurement of vector mesons produced in high energy collisions, *Mod.*

- Phys. Lett. A 36 (2021) 2130026. doi:10.1142/S0217732321300263. arXiv:2112.04816.
- [19] J. Adam, et al. (STAR), Polarization of Λ ($\bar{\Lambda}$) hyperons along the beam direction in Au+Au collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV, Phys. Rev. Lett. 123 (2019) 132301. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.123.132301. arXiv:1905.11917.
- [20] J. Adam, et al. (STAR), Global Polarization of Ξ and Ω Hyperons in Au+Au Collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200$ GeV, Phys. Rev. Lett. 126 (2021) 162301. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.162301. arXiv:2012.13601.
- [21] S. Acharya, et al. (ALICE), Measurement of the J/ψ Polarization with Respect to the Event Plane in Pb-Pb Collisions at the LHC, Phys. Rev. Lett. 131 (2023) 042303. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.131.042303. arXiv:2204.10171.
- [22] L. Micheletti (ALICE), Vector Mesons Polarization in Pb-Pb and pp Collisions with ALICE, Acta Phys. Polon. Supp. 16 (2023) 1–A35. doi:10.5506/APhysPolBSupp.16.1-A35.
- [23] Z.-T. Liang, X.-N. Wang, Globally polarized quark-gluon plasma in non-central A+A collisions, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94 (2005) 102301. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.102301. arXiv:nucl-th/0410079, [Erratum: Phys.Rev.Lett. 96, 039901 (2006)].
- [24] Z.-T. Liang, X.-N. Wang, Spin alignment of vector mesons in non-central A+A collisions, Phys. Lett. B 629 (2005) 20–26. doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2005.09.060. arXiv:nucl-th/0411101.
- [25] B. Betz, M. Gyulassy, G. Torrieri, Polarization probes of vorticity in heavy ion collisions, Phys. Rev. C 76 (2007) 044901. doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.76.044901. arXiv:0708.0035.
- [26] F. Becattini, F. Piccinini, J. Rizzo, Angular momentum conservation in heavy ion collisions at very high energy, Phys. Rev. C 77 (2008) 024906. doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.77.024906. arXiv:0711.1253.
- [27] J.-H. Gao, S.-W. Chen, W.-t. Deng, Z.-T. Liang, Q. Wang, X.-N. Wang, Global quark polarization in non-central A+A collisions, Phys. Rev. C 77 (2008) 044902. doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.77.044902. arXiv:0710.2943.

- [28] F. Becattini, I. Karpenko, M. Lisa, I. Uppsala, S. Voloshin, Global hyperon polarization at local thermodynamic equilibrium with vorticity, magnetic field and feed-down, *Phys. Rev. C* 95 (2017) 054902. doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.95.054902. arXiv:1610.02506.
- [29] F. Becattini, M. Buzzegoli, G. Inghirami, I. Karpenko, A. Palermo, Local Polarization and Isothermal Local Equilibrium in Relativistic Heavy Ion Collisions, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 127 (2021) 272302. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.127.272302. arXiv:2103.14621.
- [30] H.-Z. Wu, L.-G. Pang, X.-G. Huang, Q. Wang, Local spin polarization in high energy heavy ion collisions, *Phys. Rev. Research.* 1 (2019) 033058. doi:10.1103/PhysRevResearch.1.033058. arXiv:1906.09385.
- [31] F. Becattini, M. Buzzegoli, T. Niida, S. Pu, A.-H. Tang, Q. Wang, Spin polarization in relativistic heavy-ion collisions (2024). arXiv:2402.04540.
- [32] K. Hattori, M. Hongo, X.-G. Huang, M. Matsuo, H. Taya, Fate of spin polarization in a relativistic fluid: An entropy-current analysis, *Phys. Lett. B* 795 (2019) 100–106. doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2019.05.040. arXiv:1901.06615.
- [33] K. Fukushima, S. Pu, Spin hydrodynamics and symmetric energy-momentum tensors – A current induced by the spin vorticity –, *Phys. Lett. B* 817 (2021) 136346. doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2021.136346. arXiv:2010.01608.
- [34] A. D. Gallegos, U. Gürsoy, A. Yarom, Hydrodynamics of spin currents, *SciPost Phys.* 11 (2021) 041. doi:10.21468/SciPostPhys.11.2.041. arXiv:2101.04759.
- [35] S. Li, M. A. Stephanov, H.-U. Yee, Nondissipative Second-Order Transport, Spin, and Pseudogauge Transformations in Hydrodynamics, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 127 (2021) 082302. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.127.082302. arXiv:2011.12318.
- [36] J. Hu, Kubo formulae for first-order spin hydrodynamics, *Phys. Rev. D* 103 (2021) 116015. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.103.116015. arXiv:2101.08440.

- [37] J. Hu, Cross effects in spin hydrodynamics: Entropy analysis and statistical operator, *Phys. Rev. C* 107 (2023) 024915. doi:10.1103/PhysRevC.107.024915. arXiv:2209.10979.
- [38] R. Singh, M. Shokri, S. M. A. T. Mehr, Relativistic hydrodynamics with spin in the presence of electromagnetic fields, *Nucl. Phys. A* 1035 (2023) 122656. doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2023.122656. arXiv:2202.11504.
- [39] Z. Cao, K. Hattori, M. Hongo, X.-G. Huang, H. Taya, Gyrohydrodynamics: Relativistic spinful fluid with strong vorticity, *PTEP* 2022 (2022) 071D01. doi:10.1093/ptep/ptac091. arXiv:2205.08051.
- [40] A. Daher, A. Das, R. Ryblewski, Stability studies of first-order spin-hydrodynamic frameworks, *Phys. Rev. D* 107 (2023) 054043. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.107.054043. arXiv:2209.10460.
- [41] G. Sarwar, M. Hasanujjaman, J. R. Bhatt, H. Mishra, J.-e. Alam, Causality and stability of relativistic spin hydrodynamics, *Phys. Rev. D* 107 (2023) 054031. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.107.054031. arXiv:2209.08652.
- [42] M. Kiamari, N. Sadooghi, M. S. Jafari, Relativistic magnetohydrodynamics of a spinful and vortical fluid: Entropy current analysis, *Phys. Rev. D* 109 (2024) 036024. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.109.036024. arXiv:2310.01874.
- [43] X.-Q. Xie, D.-L. Wang, C. Yang, S. Pu, Causality and stability analysis for the minimal causal spin hydrodynamics, *Phys. Rev. D* 108 (2023) 094031. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.108.094031. arXiv:2306.13880.
- [44] X. Ren, C. Yang, D.-L. Wang, S. Pu, Thermodynamic stability in relativistic viscous and spin hydrodynamics, *Phys. Rev. D* 110 (2024) 034010. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.110.034010. arXiv:2405.03105.
- [45] K. Xu, F. Lin, A. Huang, M. Huang, Λ/Λ^- polarization and splitting induced by rotation and magnetic field, *Phys. Rev. D* 106 (2022) L071502. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.106.L071502. arXiv:2205.02420.
- [46] H.-H. Peng, S. Wu, R.-j. Wang, D. She, S. Pu, Anomalous magnetohydrodynamics with temperature-dependent electric conductivity and

application to the global polarization, *Phys. Rev. D* 107 (2023) 096010.
doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.107.096010. [arXiv:2211.11286](#).

[47] M. Buzzegoli, Spin polarization induced by magnetic field and the relativistic Barnett effect, *Nucl. Phys. A* 1036 (2023) 122674.
doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysa.2023.122674. [arXiv:2211.04549](#).

[48] J.-A. Sun, L. Yan, Weak magnetic effect in quark-gluon plasma and local spin polarization (2024). [arXiv:2401.07458](#).