

ROK Defense M&S in the Age of Hyperscale AI: Concepts, Challenges, and Future Directions

Youngjoon Lee, Taehyun Park, Yeongjoon Kang, Jonghoe Kim, Joonhyuk Kang

Abstract—Integrating hyperscale AI into national defense modeling and simulation (M&S) is crucial for enhancing strategic and operational capabilities. We explore how hyperscale AI can revolutionize defense M&S by providing unprecedented accuracy, speed, and the ability to simulate complex scenarios. Countries such as the United States and China are at the forefront of adopting these technologies and are experiencing varying degrees of success. Maximizing the potential of hyperscale AI necessitates addressing critical challenges, such as closed networks, long-tail data, complex decision-making, and a shortage of experts. Future directions emphasize the adoption of domestic foundation models, the investment in various GPUs / NPUs, the utilization of big tech services, and the use of open source software. These initiatives will enhance national security, maintain competitive advantages, and promote broader technological and economic progress. With this blueprint, the Republic of Korea can strengthen its defense capabilities and stay ahead of the emerging threats of modern warfare.

Index Terms: hyperscale AI, defense M&S, defense innovation, defense policy

I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, integrating AI into defense M&S is crucial as global security threats evolve [1], [2]. The ability to simulate complex scenarios is crucial, and AI can revolutionize defense M&S with its advanced algorithms [3]. These systems provide unprecedented accuracy and speed, leading to better strategic decisions. Improved outcomes ensure greater national security, making it essential to stay ahead of adversaries. AI-driven models precisely predict enemy movements, while accelerated simulations allow real-time decisions [4]. Increased computational power supports complex analyses, while data-driven insights improve tactical responses [5]. In addition, AI can efficiently streamline resource allocation [6].

Countries around the world are recognizing the strategic importance of AI in defense. Nations such as United States (U.S.), China, Japan, European Union, and United Kingdom have comprehensive AI strategies, which highlight the role of AI in modern warfare. The Republic of Korea (ROK) has also announced defense AI strategies to adopt AI to remain competitive. National defense strategies are rapidly evolving, and AI integration is now a global priority. Falling behind can have severe consequences, especially as the pace of AI adoption accelerates. Therefore, early adopters will gain significant advantages, with investment in AI technologies increasing worldwide [7].

Recently, many countries have taken advantage of AI to address previously unexplored areas in traditional defense M&S [8]. This integration not only solves uncharted challenges, but also automates tasks that previously required significant




Fig. 1: Illustration of an example of Generative AI used by the Republic of Korea Ministry of National Defense.

manual effort and time. For example, the ROK Ministry of National Defense (MND) has developed and is using an LLM called GeDAI (Generative Defense AI), as shown in Fig. 1, to streamline various processes. This approach demonstrates a shift towards more efficient, AI-driven solutions that enhance operational capabilities and reduce reliance on human intervention in critical defense tasks. Furthermore, the implementation of AI technologies such as GeDAI is expected to accelerate decision-making processes and improve strategic planning within the defense sectors.

Main contributions are as follows:

- Analysis of the differences in Defense M&S operation methods and AI adoption strategies between U.S. and ROK. This comparison highlights key areas where both nations diverge in their approach to modernizing defense systems with AI.
- Identification of the challenges that need to be addressed for integrating AI into Defense M&S in ROK. These challenges include technical, operational, and policy barriers unique to ROK's defense landscape.
- Proposal of AI adoption strategies tailored not only to U.S. strategies, but also specialized for ROK. The strategies are specifically designed to take into account the current environment of ROK military.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In section II, we explore key concepts of defense M&S and hyperscale AI. In section III, the challenges of applying AI into ROK defense M&S are described. Subsequently, future directions are presented in section IV. Finally, we present our concluding remarks in section V.

II. CONCEPTS

A. Defense M&S




Fig. 2: Illustration of defense M&S hierarchical structure.

Defense M&S is a critical tool that enables military organizations to create virtual representations of real-world systems, processes, and scenarios [9], [10]. By simulating combat situations, training exercises, and equipment performance, defense M&S allows for thorough analysis and preparation without the risks and costs associated with live experiments. As shown in Fig. 2, the hierarchical levels of simulation range from constructive simulations at the base, through virtual simulations in the middle, to live simulations at the apex. For example, using defense M&S to predict the outcomes of battle scenarios can significantly reduce the expenses of conducting full-scale field exercises, as potential issues can be identified and addressed within the simulation environment.

As shown in the ROK part of Table II, the ROK’s M&S operation plan involves a multilayered structure with various institutions sharing responsibilities. The ROK MND leads the field in policy development, budget management, and international cooperation. Specialized centers like the Joint Analysis Center and Joint Battle Simulation Center focus on requirements analysis, system operation, and ensuring interoperability. Each military branch establishes its own M&S policies, maintains systems, and trains specialists. Agencies such as KIDA, DAPA, and ADD contribute to technology development and strategic analysis, emphasizing a decentralized approach to managing M&S activities.

In contrast, the U.S. centralizes its M&S operations under the Department of Defense (DoD), as illustrated in the U.S. part in Table II. Key roles are played by offices like USD AT&L and the DoD M&S Coordination Office, which focus on strategic planning, policy approval, and coordination across training, intelligence, and analysis communities. Both countries emphasize advancing M&S capabilities through coordinated efforts and strategic planning. Commonalities include a focus on policy development, interoperability, international cooperation, and the management of M&S standards and architectures. A notable difference lies in organizational structure: ROK employs multiple agencies handling specific M&S aspects, while the U.S. adopts a centralized model with the DoD M&S Coordination Office serving as a focal point.

B. Hyperscale AI




Fig. 3: Illustration of fields where hyperscale AI can be applied.

Hyperscale AI operates on an unprecedented scale, utilizing massive computational resources and vast datasets to solve complex problems [11]. As illustrated in Fig. 3, possible applications of hyperscale AI include computer vision, natural language, multimodal, tabular, and audio processing. Hyperscale AI is being used to enhance predictive analytics, automate decision-making processes, and provide deep insights through deep learning and machine learning algorithms. By applying hyperscale AI to defense M&S, it is possible to conduct wargames with reduced human intervention as COA-GPT [12]. This allows simulation of numerous scenarios, strategic decision making, and outcome predictions, thereby decreasing the time and resources required for human-led simulations.

TABLE I: Representative foundation models announced by Korean and American companies (2023-2024).

Country	Date	Model	Type	Creator(s)
ROK	July 2023	Exaone 2.0	Large Multimodal Model	LG
	Aug. 2023	HyperCLOVA X	Large Language Model	Naver
	Aug. 2023	VARCO	Large Language Model	NCSOFT
	Oct. 2023	Mi:dm	Large Multimodal Model	KT
	Nov. 2023	Samsung Gauss	Large Multimodal Model	Samsung Electronics
	Feb. 2024	Solar	Large Language Model	Upstage
	Mar. 2024	Karlo 2.1	Text-to-Image Model	Kakao
	Mar. 2024	Marengo 2.6	Large Multimodal Model	Twelve Labs
	Apr. 2024	KoGPT 2.0	Large Language Model	Kakao
	Apr. 2024	DASH	Large Language Model	Naver
U.S.	Jun. 2024	Telco	Large Language Model	SKT
	Jul. 2024	GeDAI	Large Language Model	ROK MND
	Mar. 2023	Stable Diffusion v2	Text-to-Image Model	Stability AI
	Apr. 2023	Segment Anything	Image Segmentation	Meta
	Jul. 2023	Llama 2	Large Language Model	Meta
	Aug. 2023	DALL-E 3	Image Generation	Microsoft, OpenAI
	Aug. 2023	SynthID	Watermarking	Google, DeepMind
	Sep. 2023	Mistral 7B	Large Language Model	Mistral AI
	Oct. 2023	Ernie 4.0	Large Language Model	Baidu
	Nov. 2023	GPT-4 Turbo	Large Language Model	Microsoft, OpenAI
	Nov. 2023	Whisper v3	Speech-to-Text	Microsoft, OpenAI
	Nov. 2023	Claude 2.1	Large Language Model	Anthropic
	Nov. 2023	Inflection-2	Large Language Model	Inflection
	Dec. 2023	Gemini	Large Language Model	Google
Dec. 2023	Midjourney v6	Text-to-Image Model	Midjourney	
Apr. 2024	Llama 3	Large Language Model	Meta	
May 2024	Chameleon	Large Multimodal Model	Meta	
Jun. 2024	Claude 3.5 Sonnet	Large Language Model	Anthropic	
Jul. 2024	GPT-4o mini	Large Language Model	Microsoft, OpenAI	

As shown in Table I, ROK is consistently releasing diverse foundation models, much like U.S. companies. These models range from large language models to multimodal and text-to-image models, showcasing ROK’s active participation in advancing AI technologies. A notable difference between the two countries is the accessibility of these foundation models. Unlike ROK, U.S. is actively trying to adopt services created

by its big tech companies, integrating AI solutions from firms like OpenAI, Google, and Meta into various industries. This strategy accelerates innovation while expanding the influence of AI technologies across various sectors.

III. CORE CHALLENGES

A. Closed Network

One of the major challenges in adopting AI in defense M&S is closed networks. In practice, military secrets are hard to utilize for AI training in a closed network environment. However, open source tools and libraries are foundational for modern AI development, offering cutting-edge algorithms and efficient frameworks that accelerate innovation. Without access to these resources, researchers are compelled to invest time and effort in developing basic functionalities from scratch, leading to redundant work and slower progress. This not only delays project timelines, but also increases costs, as proprietary solutions require continuous maintenance and updates. Furthermore, limited interaction with the open source community isolates defense researchers from collaborative progress, impeding information sharing and the adoption of best practices.

Similarly, the inability to leverage publicly available datasets poses a critical challenge for AI development in defense M&S. High-quality, large-scale datasets are essential for training robust AI models, particularly in machine learning and deep learning applications. Without access to such data, researchers are forced to depend on restricted or synthetic datasets that may not adequately represent real-world scenarios. This limitation can result in models with poor generalization capabilities, reducing their effectiveness in practical applications. Furthermore, generating proprietary datasets is often resource-intensive and may not match the diversity and scale of public datasets, thereby impeding the development of advanced AI solutions within the defense sector.

B. Long-Tail Data

Long-tail data challenges significantly hinder AI development in defense M&S, particularly when training data for rare or adversarial scenarios are limited or unavailable. For example, while extensive data may be available for ROK unmanned aerial vehicles (UAVs), there is a lack of data on enemy UAVs, limiting the AI's ability to accurately model or predict adversarial behavior. This absence impedes the development of robust AI models equipped to handle a broad spectrum of scenarios, particularly those involving adversarial equipment or tactics that remain insufficiently documented or inaccessible. Consequently, AI systems may underperform in critical situations where understanding enemy actions is vital.

Moreover, acquiring data through actual combat experiments is prohibitively expensive and resource-intensive, often resulting in only a small subset of the necessary data being collected. The high costs associated with these experiments mean that researchers must operate with limited datasets, which may not capture the full spectrum of operational variables and conditions. This scarcity of comprehensive data

can result in AI models that lack effectiveness or exhibit greater susceptibility to errors when applied to real-world scenarios, thus reducing the reliability and overall performance of defense M&S applications. Addressing this gap is crucial for developing AI solutions that can adapt to complex and unpredictable combat environments.

C. Complex Decisions

Importing external software or data into closed networks poses a major challenge for AI development in defense M&S due to complex decision-making processes. Researchers must navigate a labyrinth of approvals from numerous officials, each bearing responsibility for security and compliance. For instance, bringing in pretrained weights from publicly available AI models necessitates proving that they pose no risks of viruses or hacking. Should a security incident occur, the researchers are held accountable, which can have serious professional repercussions. This cumbersome process not only consumes valuable time but also cultivates an atmosphere of caution and risk aversion. Consequently, researchers may hesitate to explore innovative or experimental methodologies.

As a result, there is a tendency to rely solely on existing, familiar approaches, which can stifle innovation and slow the advancement of AI capabilities within the defense sector. The apprehension of potential consequences dissuades researchers from pursuing cutting-edge technologies that could provide considerable advancements. This reliance on established methods may prevent the development of solutions that address emerging threats or capitalize on new opportunities. Addressing this challenge is crucial for fostering an environment where innovation thrives and researchers feel empowered to pursue advanced solutions without undue procedural obstacles.

D. Scarcity of AI Experts

Lastly, the scarcity of AI professionals poses a notable obstacle for defense M&S in ROK, driven by the increasing wage disparity between large corporations and the military. Highly skilled AI developers and researchers are increasingly attracted to the private sector, where they receive more competitive compensation packages. This trend leads to a talent drain from the military and related institutions, hindering their ability to recruit and retain the expertise necessary for advanced AI development. The lack of sufficient incentives in the defense sector makes it difficult to attract top-tier talent, which is crucial for maintaining technological superiority and fostering innovation in defense applications.

Furthermore, the rotational position system for military officers in ROK exacerbates the shortage of specialized AI personnel. Officers are frequently reassigned to different roles, preventing them from developing deep expertise in the AI field. This lack of continuity and specialization undermines the military's capacity to cultivate and retain skilled professionals who can drive AI initiatives forward. The absence of dedicated AI specialists means that defense projects may suffer from a lack of technical proficiency, leading to suboptimal outcomes. Addressing this issue is essential for building a robust AI capability within the military, ensuring that personnel can develop and apply specialized knowledge effectively.

TABLE II: Division of responsibilities among institutions related to defense M&S in Republic of Korea and United States.

Country	Institution (Division)	Mission
ROK	ROK MND	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Develop and coordinate defense M&S policies • Review defense M&S system requirements • Manage defense M&S budget • Run Defense CIO Working Group and M&S Council • Oversee international M&S cooperation
	Joint Analysis Center	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Analyze defense M&S requirements • Coordinate joint experiments • Update JCS models • Standardize M&S data
	Joint Battle Simulation Center	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Operate M&S systems • Ensure M&S system interconnectivity
	Force Planning Directorate	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review defense M&S requirements
	C4I Directorate	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Support M&S system interoperability
	Each Military Branch	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Establish branch-specific M&S policies • Develop M&S budget requests • Maintain branch M&S systems • Train M&S specialists
	USFK (United States Forces Korea)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Plan US-Korea joint simulations • Ensure M&S system interconnectivity • Manage Korean War Game System maintenance
	DAPA (Defense Acquisition Program Administration)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Manage M&S acquisition policies • Plan and assess M&S technology needs • Oversee defense M&S projects
	M&S Research Group	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Develop defense M&S core technologies • Support institute-managed M&S projects
	Defense Technology Support Center	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Support company M&S projects • Manage core tech development projects
	KIDA (Korea Institute of Defense Analyses)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Develop branch-specific M&S policies • Manage international M&S cooperation • Research advanced M&S methods • Analyze defense strategies and experiments
	DTaQ (Defense Agency for Technology and Quality)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Support M&S technology planning • Research M&S systems • Conduct HLA tests
	ROK DCC (Defense Communication Command)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Evaluate M&S interoperability • Manage M&S standards and architectures
	U.S.	USD AT&L (Undersecretary of Defense for Acquisition, Technology and Logistics)
DoD M&SCO (Modeling and Simulation Coordination Office)		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Serves as DoD focal point for M&S coordination • Provides oversight for M&S projects/activities • Manages USD (AT&L) M&S plans and investments • Coordinates international M&S activities for DoD • Chairs M&S working groups as directed • Publishes DoD M&S glossary and manages changes
USD P&R (Under Secretary of Defense for Personnel and Readiness)		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Represent Training community on the M&S committee • Develop Training community M&S strategic plan
USD I&S (Under Secretary of Defense for Intelligence and Security)		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Represent Intelligence community on the M&S committee • Develop Intelligence community M&S strategic plan
DCAPE (Director, Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation)		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Represent Analysis community on the M&S committee • Develop Analysis community M&S strategic plan
DoD Component Heads		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Develop metadata for key M&S assets • Review metadata for reuse before development • Advise USD (AT&L) on M&S capabilities/uses
Each Military Branch		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provide each representative to the M&S committee
CJCS (Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff)		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Represent Combatant Commands on the M&S committee • Represent Planning and Experimentation communities • Develop M&S strategic plans

IV. FUTURE DIRECTIONS




Fig. 4: Illustration of defense M&S with hyperscale AI.

A. Adopt Domestic Foundation Models

A potential future direction for integrating AI into defense M&S in ROK is the active adoption of foundation models such as HyperCLOVA X and Exaone 2.0 developed by domestic companies, mirroring strategies employed by U.S.. By leveraging the expertise of private sector AI developers, the military can accelerate the implementation of advanced AI technologies. These foundation models, already robust and well-tested, can provide a solid starting point for defense applications, reducing development time and costs. Collaborating with Korean AI companies can also foster innovation and ensure that the models are tailored to the specific needs and contexts of ROK's defense landscape.

While transferring data to private companies raises concerns about security and potential leaks, this collaboration can help address the shortage of AI professionals within the military. By engaging with external experts, the defense sector can benefit from specialized knowledge and skills that may not be readily available internally. Furthermore, these partnerships can offer valuable insights into which data should be collected through combat experiments to optimize AI models for military use. By working closely with private companies, the military can develop a clearer direction for data acquisition, ensuring that AI models are effectively adapted to their operational requirements while mitigating security risks through strict data handling protocols.

B. Involve Major IT Corporations

Mirroring the strategy of the U.S. Defense Innovation Unit (DIU), a key future direction for ROK involves encouraging its leading IT corporations—such as NAVER, Samsung, and SK—to actively participate in R&D initiatives within the defense M&S sector. By opening doors to these industry leaders who are pioneering and dominating the latest IoT market, the military can leverage cutting-edge technologies to enhance defense M&S performance. This collaboration enables the integration of advanced IoT solutions into military simulations and operations, fostering innovation and bridging the gap between civilian technological advancements and defense applications. Such partnerships can accelerate the development of sophisticated simulation models and AI systems tailored to the military's specific needs.

Engaging large IT companies in defense projects also allows the military to rapidly acquire new weapon systems

by adapting existing corporate solutions for military use. These companies possess cutting-edge technologies and specialized expertise that can be optimized to address defense requirements, thereby reducing both the development time and associated costs. By collaborating with IT giants, the military can ensure that its defense IoT infrastructure is built using the most efficient and secure technologies available. This not only enhances operational capabilities, but also addresses the shortage of specialized AI personnel by tapping into the skilled workforce of these corporations. Ultimately, this approach can strengthen national defense while fostering a synergistic relationship between the military and the private sector.

C. Diversify GPU/NPU Infrastructure

The need for a diverse GPU/NPU infrastructure is a critical future direction for integrating AI into defense M&S in ROK. Currently, procuring NVIDIA AI hardware is challenging, and over-reliance on a single company's products can lead to vulnerabilities where national defense capabilities might be influenced or constrained by corporate decisions. This dependency poses risks to security and operational readiness, as any disruptions in the supply chain or changes in corporate policies could adversely affect the military's AI capabilities. Therefore, it is imperative to reduce reliance on a single vendor to ensure robustness and autonomy in defense technology.

By adopting and utilizing GPUs and NPUs from multiple sources such as Intel, Samsung Electronics, and AMD, the military can build a more resilient and flexible AI infrastructure. This diversification not only mitigates the risks associated with supply chain disruptions but also fosters competitive innovation among suppliers, potentially leading to better performance and cost savings. Incorporating hardware from domestic companies like Samsung Electronics also supports the national industry and can enhance security through closer collaboration. A multivendor approach ensures that the defense sector is not held hostage by any single company's technology, thereby strengthening national security and technological sovereignty.

D. Leverage Open Source Software & Data

To enhance AI-based M&S for confidential data within the military, it is essential to utilize not only software and data from private companies, but also publicly available software and data. By integrating open source tools and datasets, the military can develop robust AI models without the need to export sensitive data, thus maintaining security while benefiting from the latest technological advancements. This approach allows for the internal development of AI solutions tailored to the military's specific needs, leveraging the collective innovation of the global AI community.

Achieving this integration requires the elimination of complex approval procedures when using externally available software and data internally. By streamlining bureaucratic processes and providing an environment relatively free from punitive measures, researchers and developers can more rapidly adopt new technologies in defense M&S. This reduction in administrative barriers encourages innovation and agility,

enabling the military to stay ahead of technological advancements. Creating a more permissive environment for technology adoption not only accelerates development but also attracts talent eager to work in a supportive and forward-thinking environment.

V. CONCLUSION

Integrating hyperscale AI into ROK's defense M&S offers significant opportunities and challenges. Addressing obstacles such as closed networks, long-tail data scarcity, complex decision-making processes, and a shortage of AI experts is crucial for advancing the nation's defense capabilities. Through the adoption of domestic foundation models, the participation of major IT corporations in defense R&D, diversification of GPU/NPU infrastructure, and utilization of open source software and data, ROK can significantly enhance its defense M&S capabilities. These strategic directions not only address existing challenges but also promote innovation, enhance operational readiness, and safeguard technological sovereignty. Embracing these initiatives will enable ROK to strengthen national security, stay ahead of emerging threats, and contribute to broader technological and economic growth in the age of hyperscale AI.

VI. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This research was supported by the MSIT (Ministry of Science and ICT), Korea, under the ITRC (Information Technology Research Center) support program (IITP-2024-2020-0-01787) supervised by the IITP (Institute of Information & Communications Technology Planning & Evaluation).

REFERENCES

- [1] A. J. Fawkes, "Developments in artificial intelligence: Opportunities and challenges for military modeling and simulation," in *in Proc. NATO M&S Symp.*, vol. 11, no. 1-12, 2017.
- [2] G. Nacouzi, O. A. Osoba, J. Tran, and S. Ishikawa, "Artificial intelligence and machine learning applications for defensive counterspace," *Santa Monica: RAND Corporation*, 2024.
- [3] P. K. Davis and P. Bracken, "Artificial intelligence for wargaming and modeling," *J. Def. Model. Simul.*, p. 15485129211073126, 2022.
- [4] K. McDowell, E. Novoseller, A. Madison, V. G. Goecks, and C. Kelshaw, "Re-envisioning command and control," in *in Proc. Int. Conf. Mil. Commun. Inf. Syst. (ICMCIS)*. IEEE, 2024, pp. 1–7.
- [5] D. H. Hagos and D. B. Rawat, "Neuro-symbolic ai for military applications," *IEEE Trans. Artif. Intell.*, pp. 1–15, 2024.
- [6] F. E. Morgan, B. Boudreaux, A. J. Lohn, M. Ashby, C. Curriden, K. Klima, and D. Grossman, "Military applications of artificial intelligence," *Santa Monica: RAND Corporation*, 2020.
- [7] A. Madison, E. Novoseller, V. G. Goecks, B. T. Files, N. Waytowich, A. Yu, V. J. Lawhern, S. Thurman, C. Kelshaw, and K. McDowell, "Scalable interactive machine learning for future command and control," in *in Proc. Int. Conf. Mil. Commun. Inf. Syst. (ICMCIS)*. IEEE, 2024, pp. 1–10.
- [8] W. N. Caballero and P. R. Jenkins, "On large language models in national security applications," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.03453*, 2024.
- [9] D. K. Pace, "Modeling and simulation verification and validation challenges," *Johns Hopkins APL technical digest*, vol. 25, no. 2, pp. 163–172, 2004.
- [10] N. R. Council, D. on Engineering, P. Sciences, B. on Mathematical Sciences, T. Applications, C. on Modeling, and S. for Defense Transformation, *Defense modeling, simulation, and analysis: meeting the challenge*. National Academies Press, 2006.
- [11] C. Zhou, Q. Li, C. Li, J. Yu, Y. Liu, G. Wang, K. Zhang, C. Ji, Q. Yan, L. He *et al.*, "A comprehensive survey on pretrained foundation models: A history from bert to chatgpt," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2302.09419*, 2023.
- [12] V. G. Goecks and N. Waytowich, "Coa-gpt: Generative pre-trained transformers for accelerated course of action development in military operations," in *in Proc. Int. Conf. Mil. Commun. Inf. Syst. (ICMCIS)*. IEEE, 2024, pp. 01–10.

VII. BIOGRAPHIES



Youngjoon Lee (yjlee22@kaist.ac.kr) received the B.S. degree in electrical engineering from UNIST (Ulsan National Institute of Science and Technology), South Korea, in 2019, and the M.S. degree in electrical engineering from KAIST (Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology), South Korea, in 2021. From 2021 to 2023, he was a researcher with the Research Institute of Clean Manufacturing System, KITECH (Korea Institute of Industrial Technology), South Korea. He was a researcher with the Center for Military Analysis and Planning, KIDA (Korea Institute for Defense Analyses), South Korea, from 2023 to 2024. He is currently pursuing the Ph.D. degree under the supervision of Prof. Joonhyuk Kang at KAIST, South Korea. His research interests lie in practical, reliable AI and its application to privacy-preserving systems, as well as federated learning and synthetic data generation.



Taehyun Park (tpark@kida.re.kr) received the B.S. degree in computer science from KAIST (Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology), South Korea, in 2010, and the M.S. degree in software engineering from CMU (Carnegie Mellon University), United States, in 2012. He is currently working as a senior researcher with the Defense Data Research Group, KIDA (Korea Institute for Defense Analyses), South Korea. His research interests lie in practical, reliable AI and its application to defense systems, as well as data-based software engineering, software architecture based testing.



Yeongjoon Kang (yjkang@kida.re.kr) received the B.S. and M.S. degrees in mathematical science from KAIST (Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology), South Korea, in 2016, and 2018, respectively. He is currently working as a researcher with the Center for Military Analysis and Planning, KIDA, South Korea. His research interests include wargame and discrete event modeling and simulation.



Jongho Kim (kimjh@kida.re.kr) received the B.S., M.S. and Ph.D. degrees in industrial and systems engineering from KAIST (Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology), South Korea, in 2008, 2010, and 2014, respectively. He is currently working as a research fellow with the Center for Military Analysis and Planning, KIDA (Korea Institute for Defense Analyses), South Korea. His research interests include vehicle routing problem, concerted system design, real-time system control, and discrete event simulation.



Joonhyuk Kang (jkang@kaist.ac.kr) received the B.S.E. and M.S.E. degrees from Seoul National University, Seoul, South Korea, in 1991 and 1993, respectively. He earned his Ph.D. degree in electrical and computer engineering from the University of Texas at Austin in 2002. He is currently working as a faculty member of School of Electrical Engineering at Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology (KAIST) in Daejeon, South Korea. From 1993 to 1998, he was a research staff at SAMSUNG Electronics (Suwon, Korea), where he involved in the development of DSP-based real-time control systems. In 2000, he worked at Cwill Telecommunications (Austin, Texas, U.S.A.), where he participated in the project for multi-carrier CDMA systems with antenna array. He was a visiting scholar in the School of Engineering and Applied Sciences at Harvard University (Cambridge, Massachusetts, U.S.A.) from 2008 to 2009. His research interest includes signal processing for information transmission, security, and machine cognition. He is a recipient of the IEEE VTS Jack Neubauer Memorial Award in 2021 for his paper titled “Mobile Edge Computing via a UAV-Mounted Cloudlet: Optimization of Bit Allocation and Path Planning.”