Hydrogen atom as a nonlinear oscillator under circularly polarized light: epicyclical electron orbits

Quirino Sugon Jr^{1*}, Clint Dominic G. Bennett¹ and Daniel J. McNamara^{1,2}

^{1*}Department of Physics, School of Science and Engineering, Ateneo de Manila University, Loyola Heights, Quezon City, 1108, Philippines. ²Manila Observatory, Ateneo de Manila University Campus, Loyola Heights, Quezon City, 1108, Philippines.

*Corresponding author(s). E-mail(s): qsugon@gmail.com; Contributing authors: cbennett@ateneo.edu; dmcnamara@observatory.ph;

Abstract

In this paper, we use Clifford algebra $C\ell_{2,0}$ to find the 2D orbit of Hydrogen electron under a Coulomb force and a perturbing circularly polarized electric field of light at angular frequency ω , which is turned on at time t = 0 via a unit step switch. Using a coordinate system co-rotating with the electron's unperturbed circular orbit at angular frequency ω_0 , we derive the complex nonlinear differential equation for the perturbation which is similar to but different from the Lorentz oscillator equation: (1) the acceleration terms are similar, (2) the damping term coefficient is not real but imaginary due to Coriolis force, (3) the term similar to spring force is not positive but negative, (3) there is a complex conjugate of the perturbation term which has no Lorentz analog but which makes the equation nonlinear, and (4) the angular frequency of the forcing term is not ω but $\omega - \omega_0$. By imposing that the position and velocity of the electron are continuous at time t = 0, we show that the orbit of the electron is a sum of five exponential Fourier terms with frequencies 0, ω_0 , $2\omega_0$, $(2\omega_0 - \omega)$, and ω , which correspond to the eccentric, deferent, and three epicycles in Copernican astronomy. We show that at the three resonant light frequencies 0, ω_0 , and $2\omega_0$, the electron's orbit is divergent, but approximates a Keplerian ellipse. At other light frequencies, the orbits are nondivergent with periods that are integer multiples of π/ω_0 depending on the frequency ratio ω/ω_0 . And as $\omega/\omega_0 \to \pm \infty$, the orbit approaches the electron's unperturbed circular orbit.

 ${\bf Keywords:}$ Clifford algebra, Light-Atom Interaction, Exponential Fourier series, Nonlinear differential equation

 ${\bf MSC}$ Classification: 15A67 , 34C15 , 34M04 , 42A16 , 70F07 , 70K60 , 78A35 , 78A40

Contents

1	Intr	oduction	3
2	Clif 2.1	ford Algebra $C\ell_{2,0}$ Vectors and imaginary numbers	8 8
	2.2	Vector products and complex numbers	9
	2.3	Vector rotations and Euler's identity	11
3	Non	linear Differential Equation for Perturbed Circular Orbits	11
	3.1	Circularly polarized light	12
	3.2	Unperturbed circular orbit of a Hydrogen electron	12
	3.3	Perturbed circular orbit: equations of motion	13
	3.4	Nonlinear oscillator equation in co-rotating coordinates	15
	3.0 2.6	Homogeneous solution: Fourier series in frequency ω_0	10 17
	3.0 3.7	Tatticular Solution: Fourier Series in Frequency $\omega = \omega_0 \dots \dots \dots$ Total Solution: Sum of Homogeneous and Particular Solutions	11 99
	3.8	Initial Conditions for Position and Velocity at $t = 0$	$\frac{22}{23}$
	3.9	Homogeneous Coefficients \hat{c}_{-1} , \hat{c}_0 , and \hat{c}_1 in Terms of Particular	20
		Coefficients \hat{b}_{-1} and \hat{b}_1	24
	3.10	Subscript Notation $\alpha_k \equiv \alpha - k$	27
	3.11	Particular Coefficients \hat{b}_{-1} and \hat{b}_1 in Terms of the Complex Amplitude \hat{a}_{-1}	20
	9 1 9	Of Light	28
	3.12	Amplitude \hat{a} of Light	28
			-0
4	Fou	rier Analysis of Electron Orbits at Different Light Frequencies	30
	4.1	Fourier Harmonics: Eccentric, Deferent, and Epicycles	31
	4.2	Frequency Ratio Subscript Notation $\alpha_k = \alpha - \kappa \dots \dots$	31 20
	4.0	Fourier Harmonic at Frequency 0	32 32
	4.5	Fourier Harmonic at Frequency ω_0	33
	4.6	Fourier Harmonic at Frequency $2\omega_0$	36
	4.7	Fourier Harmonic at Frequency $-(2\omega - \omega_0)$	37
	4.8	Fourier Harmonic at Frequency ω	38
	4.9	Conjugate Frequency Ratios $\{\alpha_0, -\alpha_2\}$	38
	4.10	Orbits at Resonant Frequency Ratios $\alpha = \{0, 1, 2\}$	39
	4.11	Orbits at Inter-Resonant Frequency Ratios $\alpha = \{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\}$	40
	4.12	Orbits at Non-Resonant Frequency Ratios $\alpha = \{-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{5}{2}\}$	41

$\mathbf{2}$

	4.13 Orbits at Non-Resonant Frequency Ratios $\alpha = \{-1, 3\}$	41		
	4.14 Orbits at Particular Non-Resonant Frequency Ratios	42		
	4.15 Orbits at Frequency Ratios $\alpha = \{-\infty, \infty\}$	42		
5	Conclusions			
•	Conclusions	42		
Ű	5.1 Summary	42 42		
Ū	5.1 Summary Summary 5.2 Significance Summary	42 42 43		

1 Introduction

The two-dimensional (2D) interaction between a Hydrogen atom and a circularly polarized (CP) light may be expressed as a force equation relating the position \mathbf{r} of the electron with respect to the massive proton at the origin and electric field \mathbf{E} of light:

$$\ddot{\mathbf{r}} = -k \frac{\mathbf{r}}{|\mathbf{r}|^3} - \frac{q}{m} \mathbf{E},\tag{1}$$

where k is the electrostatic force constant, q is the magnitude of electron charge, and m is the electron mass. This equation is nonlinear and has no exact analytical solutions.

One reason for this absence of analytical solution is that the CP problem belongs to a class of difficult problems: the restricted 3-body problem [1]. Some restricted 3body problems are the orbits of the moon under the gravitational force of the earth and sun [2] or the orbits of Trojan asteroids under the gravitational force of the sun and Jupiter [3]. For our case, our problem is to find the orbit of an electron under the influence of a massive proton and an electric force of a circularly polarized light. This problem is similar to the motion of a dust particle around a planet far from the sun [4].

The CP problem may be difficult to solve analytically, but it provides a framework for classically interpreting the experiments on the ionization of Hydrogen atoms by circularly polarized radiation (e.g., microwaves) [5–9]. That is why numerous attempts had been made to shed some light into the problem, usually via Hamiltonian analysis. For example, some authors construct the Hamiltonian of the system, derive the Hamiltonian in a coordinate system co-rotating with the frequency ω of the incident light, average out the fast oscillations, draw phase plots to analyze stable points, or analyze zero-velocity surfaces [10–20]. Other authors use the Hamiltonian to derive the equations of motion and use computational and analytical techniques (e.g., Runge-Kutta algorithm, Kustaanheimo-Steifel transformation, and action-angle variables) to draw the orbits or compute the binding energies and ionization probabilities [13, 14, 18, 19, 21–31]. And other authors used the Hamiltonian to analytically determine the precession frequencies of the Keplerian orbit of the electron [32, 33].

The Hamiltonian approach rests on the assumption that scalars are easier to handle than vectors. This is true if there are constants in the motion, such as energy. But for the CP problem, energy is not constant in time [34]. Also, since the orbits appear to be epicyclical, complex numbers and 2D vectors would be a more direct approach for two reasons: (1) epicyclical orbits can be expressed as a sum of vectors rotating at different frequencies and (2) Copernican and Ptolemaic epicycles in Celestial Mechanics are best described in terms of exponential Fourier series in Complex Analysis [35]. But can we combine vectors and complex numbers in a single mathematical formalism?

To answer this need for a unified mathematical formalism, we propose the Clifford (geometric) algebra $\mathcal{C}\ell_{2,0}$ [36, 37]. In this algebra, the square of orthonormal unit vectors \mathbf{e}_1 and \mathbf{e}_2 are equal to unity or normalized to unit length, while their product anticommutes due to the orthogonality of the two vectors. From this orthonormality axiom, we can show that if we define the unit bivector $\hat{\imath} \equiv \mathbf{e}_1 \mathbf{e}_2$, then $\hat{\imath}$ is an imaginary number that anticommutes with unit vectors \mathbf{e}_1 and \mathbf{e}_2 . That is,

$$\hat{\imath}^2 = (\mathbf{e}_1 \mathbf{e}_2)^2 = -1,$$
 (2)

and

$$\mathbf{e}_1 \hat{\imath} = -\hat{\imath} \mathbf{e}_1 = \mathbf{e}_2,\tag{3a}$$

$$\mathbf{e}_2\hat{\imath} = -\hat{\imath}\mathbf{e}_2 = -\mathbf{e}_1. \tag{3b}$$

All theorems of 2D Vector Algebra [38] and of Complex Analysis [39] can be used in Clifford Algebra $C\ell_{2,0}$, though there are some theorems such as Eq. (3a) and (3b) that are unique to $C\ell_{2,0}$: the right-multiplication of the bivector \hat{i} to the unit vector \mathbf{e}_1 and \mathbf{e}_2 rotates these vectors counterclockwise by $\pi/4$.

Thus, since we are going to use vectors in Clifford Algebra $C\ell_{2,0}$, we shall not use the Hamiltonian approach via scalar kinetic and potential energies, but the Newtonian approach via force and acceleration vectors as given in Eq. (1). To simplify this force-acceleration equation, we shall assume that the electric field **E** of the circularly polarized light is much weaker than the Coulomb field. We shall assume that the light is switched on at t = 0 and never turned off:

$$\ddot{\mathbf{r}} = -k\frac{\mathbf{r}}{|\mathbf{r}|^3} - \frac{q}{m}u(t)\mathbf{E},\tag{4}$$

where u(t) is the Heaviside unit-step function [40],

$$u(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & t < 0, \\ 1, & t \ge 0, \end{cases}$$
(5)

and not some other more complicated switch function [8, 13, 21–23]. We shall assume that the electron is initially in a uniform circular motion around the massive proton just before the light is switched on. We shall assume that the electric field **E** of light lies in the orbital plane of the electron, so that the 3D problem is reduced to 2D. On the other hand, we shall not assume a frictional damping force proportional to the velocity $\mathbf{v} = \dot{\mathbf{r}}$ or a radiation damping force proportional to the jerk $\ddot{\mathbf{r}} = \ddot{\mathbf{v}} = \dot{\mathbf{a}}$ [41–52]. We shall not also take into account the force $-q\dot{\mathbf{r}} \times \mathbf{B}$ of the circularly polarized magnetic field **B** of light [33, 45–48, 50].

Given these assumptions, we may now rephrase our problem as follows: what is the resulting orbit of the Hydrogen electron after the circularly polarized light is switched on? Because this is a classical problem, we cannot assume the electron simply jumps

to a new orbit with a different radius, as given in Bohr model of the atom. Instead, we have to assume that the position \mathbf{r} and the velocity $\mathbf{v} = \dot{\mathbf{r}}$ are continuous just before and just after the light is switched on at time t = 0. We shall show that at light frequencies close to the atom's resonant frequencies, the electron would change its orbit from circular to a divergent orbit that is approximately elliptical, as in the case of the Bohr-Sommerfeld model, though we shall not impose the corresponding quantization rules [53]. We shall also show that the orbit would be chaotic in the sense that it is sensitive to initial conditions, though such orbits can be completely described by a handful of harmonic and anharmonic frequencies, as in the case of epicyclical planetary orbits in the Copernican model.

Now, if we assume that the electric field \mathbf{E} of a circularly polarized light on the electron is much weaker compared to Coulomb field due to the proton, then we can use the methods of perturbation theory [54]. That is, if $\mathbf{r}_0 = \mathbf{r}_0(t)$ is the electron's unperturbed circular orbit and $\mathbf{r}_1 = \mathbf{r}_1(t)$ is the orbit perturbation due to the electric field \mathbf{E} of light, then we may rewrite Eq. (4) for time t > 0 as

$$m(\ddot{\mathbf{r}}_0 + \lambda \ddot{\mathbf{r}}_1) = -kq^2 \frac{\mathbf{r}_0 + \lambda \mathbf{r}_1}{|\mathbf{r}_0 + \lambda \mathbf{r}_1|^3} - \lambda q \mathbf{E},\tag{6}$$

where λ is a perturbation parameter that we shall later set to unity. Separating the equations for the zeroth and first-order terms in λ , we shall get two simultaneous equations:

$$\ddot{\mathbf{r}}_0 = -\omega_0^2 \mathbf{r}_0,\tag{7a}$$

$$\ddot{\mathbf{r}}_1 = -\omega_0^2 \left[\mathbf{r}_1 - 3 \left(\frac{\mathbf{r}_0 \cdot \mathbf{r}_1}{r_0^2} \right) \mathbf{r}_0 \right] - \frac{q}{m} \mathbf{E},\tag{7b}$$

Since the unperturbed circular orbit $\mathbf{r}_0 = \mathbf{r}_0(t)$ satisfies the zeroth-order equation, then our remaining problem is to solve for the perturbation $\mathbf{r}_1 = \mathbf{r}_1(t)$. Notice that the differential equation for the perturbation \mathbf{r}_1 is a second order differential equation, though the presence of $(\mathbf{r}_0 \cdot \mathbf{r}_1)\mathbf{r}_1$ makes the equation nonlinear.

To solve for the perturbation $\mathbf{r}_1 = \mathbf{r}_1(t)$, we shall first rewrite \mathbf{r}_0 , \mathbf{r}_1 , and \mathbf{E} as rotating vectors, which we may express in Clifford algebra $C\ell_{2,0}$ as

$$\mathbf{r}_0 = \mathbf{e}_1 \hat{r}_0 \hat{\psi}_0 = \mathbf{e}_1 r_0 \, e^{\hat{\imath}(\omega_0 t + \phi_0)},\tag{8a}$$

$$\mathbf{r}_1 = \mathbf{e}_1 \hat{r}_1 \hat{\psi}_0 = \mathbf{e}_1 r_1 \, e^{\hat{\imath}(\omega_0 t + \phi_1)},\tag{8b}$$

$$\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{e}_1 \hat{a} \hat{\psi} = \mathbf{e}_1 a \, e^{\hat{\imath}(\omega t + \delta)}. \tag{8c}$$

Here, we assumed that the atom lies at the origin, so that $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r} = kz = 0$, which reduces the wave function of light to $\hat{\psi} = e^{\hat{\imath}(\omega t - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{r})} = e^{\hat{\imath}\omega t}$, which in turn also serves as a circular rotation operator of light's electric field amplitude $\mathbf{E}_0 = \mathbf{e}_1 \hat{a}$ of light. (In other authors, the bivector $\hat{\imath}$ in the wave function $\hat{\psi}$ in Clifford algebra $C\ell_{2,0}$ is written as $i\mathbf{e}_3$ in Clifford algebra $C\ell_{3,0}$ [55].) Notice that we do not set the rotational phase angles ϕ_0 and δ as zero, so that our solution for the perturbation \mathbf{r}_1 will hold for any value of the initial rotational phase angle ϕ_0 of the unperturbed orbit position

 $\mathbf{r}_0 = \mathbf{r}_0(t)$ and the rotational phase angle δ of the perturbing circularly polarized electric field $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}(t)$. Notice, too, that unlike in [56], our perturbation would not be perpendicular to but along the plane of the electron's unperturbed circular orbit, because our driving electric field is not perpendicular to but along the said orbital plane.

Substituting Eqs. (8a) to (8c) back to the perturbation equation in Eq. (7b), we shall get

$$\ddot{\hat{r}}_1 + 2\hat{\imath}\omega_0\dot{\hat{r}}_1 - \frac{3}{2}\,\omega_0^2\left(\hat{r}_1 + e^{2\phi_0\hat{\imath}}\,\hat{r}_1^*\right) = -\frac{q}{m}\,\hat{a}\,\hat{\psi}\hat{\psi}_0^{-1},\tag{9}$$

after factoring out the rotation operator $\hat{\psi}_0 = e^{i\omega_0 t}$. Notice that Eq. (9) is in a coordinate system that is rotating not with the angular frequency ω of light as used by most authors, but with the angular frequency ω_0 of the electron's unperturbed circular orbit (also known as the Kepler frequency). Notice, too, that if light's electric field amplitude $\hat{a} = 0$, then Eq. (9) reduces to the nonlinear differential equation approximation of Coulomb's law, which is similar to that for Newton's Law of Gravitation [37].

Let us compare and contrast each of the terms in the perturbation equation in Eq. (9) with those of the standard Lorentz oscillator equation [51, 56]:

$$\ddot{x} + \frac{\gamma}{m}\dot{x} + \omega_0^2 x = -\frac{q}{m}E_0 e^{i\omega t}.$$
(10)

First, the perturbation acceleration \ddot{r}_1 looks like the Lorentz \ddot{x} . Second, the perturbation velocity \dot{r}_1 looks like the Lorentz velocity \dot{x} , but their coefficients are different: the perturbation coefficient $2\hat{\iota}\omega_0$ is imaginary which is characteristic of the Coriolis force term in the rotating (or synodical) frame [57, 58], while the Lorentz coefficient γ/m is real which corresponds to mechanical damping (e.g., friction). Third, the perturbation position \hat{r}_1 is similar to Lorentz positon x, but their coefficients are different: the perturbation coefficient $-3\,\omega_0^2$ is negative which creates a centrifugal acceleration, while the Lorentz coefficient $\omega_0^2 = k_s/m$ is due to Hooke's force $F_s = -k_s x$ of the spring, where $k_s = m\omega_0^2$ is the spring's force constant. Fourth, the term involving the \hat{r}_1^* has no analog in the Lorentz model. This term destroys the linearity of the pertubative equation, because we cannot anymore express this equation simply as a linear combination of the derivatives of \hat{r}_1 and apply the usual techniques of Laplace transform to solve the equation. (Actually, the term $(\hat{r}_1 + e^{\hat{\iota}\phi_0}\hat{r}_1^*)$ is related to the term $(\mathbf{r}_0 \cdot \mathbf{r}_1)\mathbf{r}_0/r_0^2$ in Eq. (7b).) And fifth, the amplitude of the perturbing function $-(q/m)\hat{a}$ is similar to the Lorentz forcing amplitude $(q/m)E_0$, but the perturbing wave function $\hat{\Psi} = \hat{\psi}\hat{\psi}_0^{-1} = e^{\hat{\iota}(\omega-\omega_0)t}$ has an angular frequency $\omega - \omega_0$, while the Lorentz wave function $\psi = e^{i\omega t}$ has an angular frequency ω .

To solve the perturbation equation in Eq. (9), we shall define the solution \hat{r}_1 as a sum of its homogeneous solution \hat{r}_{1h} and its particular solution \hat{r}_{1p} , as done in the theory of ordinary differential equations [59]:

$$\hat{r}_1 = \hat{r}_{1h} + \hat{r}_{1p},\tag{11}$$

where \hat{r}_{1h} and \hat{r}_{1p} satisfy the equations

$$\ddot{\hat{r}}_{1h} + 2\hat{\imath}\omega_0 \,\dot{\hat{r}}_{1h} - \frac{3}{2}\,\omega_0^2 \left(\hat{r}_{1h} + e^{2\phi_0\hat{\imath}}\,\hat{r}_{1h}^*\right) = 0,\tag{12}$$

$$\ddot{\hat{r}}_{1p} + 2\hat{\imath}\omega_0 \,\dot{\hat{r}}_{1p} - \frac{3}{2}\,\omega_0^2 \left(\hat{r}_{1p} + e^{2\phi_0\hat{\imath}}\,\hat{r}_{1p}^*\right) = -\frac{q}{m}\,\hat{a}\,\hat{\psi}\hat{\psi}_0^{-1}.$$
(13)

The homogeneous solution \hat{r}_{1h} has been shown before to be a Fourier series in the wave function $\hat{\psi}_0 = e^{\hat{\iota}\omega_0 t}$ [37]:

$$\hat{r}_{1h} = \hat{c}_{-1}\hat{\psi}_0^{-1} + \hat{c}_0 + \hat{c}_1\hat{\psi}_0, \qquad (14)$$

where the coefficients \hat{c}_{-1} and \hat{c}_1 are related by

$$\hat{c}_1 = -\frac{1}{3} e^{2\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} \hat{c}^*_{-1}.$$
(15)

On the other hand, we shall show that the particular solution \hat{r}_{1p} is a Fourier series in the wave function $\hat{\Psi} = \hat{\psi}\hat{\psi}_0^{-1} = e^{\hat{\iota}(\omega-\omega_0)t}$:

$$\hat{r}_{1p} = \hat{b}_{-1}\hat{\Psi}^{-1} + \hat{b}_1\hat{\Psi} = \hat{b}_{-1}\hat{\psi}^{-1}\hat{\psi}_0 + \hat{b}_1\hat{\psi}\hat{\psi}_0^{-1},$$
(16)

where the coefficients \hat{b}_{-1} and \hat{b}_1 can be expressed in terms of the coefficient \hat{a} of the perturbing electric field of light. Notice that the expressions for \hat{r}_{1h} and the \hat{r}_{1p} are the essentially the same: they both describe counterrotating vectors whose sum describes an elliptical orbit—not in the Keplerian sense, but in the Lissajous sense (e.g., elliptically polarized light) [55, 60]. The homogeneous solution \hat{r}_{1h} describes a Lissajous elliptical orbit with frequency $\omega - \omega_0$ with component radii $|\hat{c}_{-1}|$ and $|\hat{c}_1|$; the center of the ellipse is displaced by \hat{c}_0 in the complex plane. On the other hand, the particular solution \hat{r}_{1p} describes a Lissajous elliptical orbit with frequency $\omega - \omega_0$ with component radii $|\hat{b}_{-1}|$ and $|\hat{b}_1|$. Note that it is possible to obtain the Lissajous elliptical orbit parameters (e.g. semimajor axis, seminor axis, tilt angle, and phase angle) of the homogeneous and particular solution \hat{r}_{1h} and \hat{r}_{1p} from the \hat{c} — and \hat{b} —coefficients, respectively. [55]

We shall use the expressions for the homogeneous and particular solutions \hat{r}_{1h} and \hat{r}_{1p} in Eqs. (14) and (16) in order to obtain the total solution for the complex perturbation \hat{r}_1 in Eq. (11). Using this result in Eq. (8b), we shall obtain the perturbation vector \mathbf{r}_1 , so that the perturbed position vector \mathbf{r} becomes

$$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}_0 + \mathbf{r}_1 = \mathbf{e}_1 \left[\hat{c}_{-1} + (\hat{r}_0 + \hat{c}_0) \,\hat{\psi}_0 + \hat{c}_1 \hat{\psi}_0^2 + \hat{b}_{-1} \hat{\psi}^{-1} \hat{\psi}_0^2 + \hat{b}_1 \hat{\psi} \right].$$
(17)

Here, the unperturbed complex radius \hat{r}_0 is assumed to be known, while the particular coefficients \hat{b}_{-1} and \hat{b}_1 can be expressed in terms of the coefficient \hat{a} of the perturbing electric field of light by using the particular equation in Eq. (13). What is left now for us is to determine the unknown homogeneous \hat{c} -coefficients.

In order to determine the homogeneous coefficients \hat{c}_{-1} , \hat{c}_0 , and \hat{c}_1 , we shall impose that the position $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}(t)$ and velocity $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}(t) = \dot{\mathbf{r}}(t)$ of the electron must be continuous just before and just after the light is switched on. That is,

$$\mathbf{r}(0^{-}) = \mathbf{r}(0^{+}),\tag{18a}$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{r}}(0^-) = \dot{\mathbf{r}}(0^+). \tag{18b}$$

These two simultaneous equations, together with condition in Eq. (15), would allow us to solve for all three homogeneous \hat{c} -coefficients in terms of the particular coefficients \hat{b}_{-1} and \hat{b}_1 . Since the \hat{b} -coefficients can already be expressed in terms of the coefficient \hat{a} of light, then this would allow us to express all the \hat{c} -coefficients in terms of the coefficient \hat{a} of light. If we can do all these, then all the exponential Fourier coefficients of the position vector \mathbf{r} of the electron in Eq. (17) would be determined, so that the electron's exact position \mathbf{r} would be known for all time t after the circularly polarized light is switched on at time t = 0.

We shall divide the paper into five sections. Section 1 is Introduction. In Section 2, we shall discuss the fundamental axioms and theorems of Clifford geometric algebra $C\ell_{2,0}$ as a complex vector algebra. In Section 3, we shall derive the nonlinear differential equation in complex form for a Hydrogen electron perturbed from its initial circular orbit by a circularly polarized light, and then find the homogenous and particular solutions of this equation. In Section 4, we shall impose the continuity of position and velocity when the light is switched on at time t = 0 to find the homogeneous coefficients in terms of the amplitude \hat{a} of the circularly polarized light. We shall express the position of the electron as a linear combination of the five exponential Fourier terms as given in Eq. (17), which we shall interpret as a vector sum of the eccentric, deferent, and three epicycles, as similarly done in the Copernican celestial model. We shall also show the electron orbit becomes approximately a Keplerian ellipse though divergent at three resonant frequncy ratios: $\omega/\omega_0 = \{0, 1, 2\}$. Section 5 is Conclusions.

2 Clifford Algebra $C\ell_{2,0}$

In this section, we shall discuss the important axioms and theorems of Clifford (geometric) algebra $C\ell_{2,0}$ and show how this algebra combines vectors and complex numbers in a single formalism. In particular, we shall show how Euler's identity can be used to rotate vectors—a theorem which will become important later in the description of circular orbits and circularly polarized light. Our discussion and notations shall follow that of [37].

2.1 Vectors and imaginary numbers

Let \mathbf{e}_1 and \mathbf{e}_2 be two vectors which satisfy the orthonormality relations in Clifford geometric algebra $\mathcal{C}l_{2,0}$:

$$\mathbf{e}_1^2 = \mathbf{e}_2^2 = 1,\tag{19a}$$

$$\mathbf{e}_1 \mathbf{e}_2 = -\mathbf{e}_2 \mathbf{e}_1. \tag{19b}$$

That is, \mathbf{e}_1 and \mathbf{e}_2 are unit vectors that anticommute. If we define the unit bivector \hat{i} as

$$\hat{i} = \mathbf{e}_1 \mathbf{e}_2,\tag{20}$$

we can use the orthonormality relations together with associativity property of vector products to obtain

$$\hat{i}^2 = -1,$$
 (21)

and

$$\mathbf{e}_1 \hat{\imath} = -\hat{\imath} \mathbf{e}_1 = \mathbf{e}_2,\tag{22a}$$

$$\mathbf{e}_2 \hat{\imath} = -\hat{\imath} \mathbf{e}_2 = -\mathbf{e}_1. \tag{22b}$$

That is, \hat{i} is a unit imaginary that anticommutes with vectors \mathbf{e}_1 and \mathbf{e}_2 . Furthermore, right-multiplying \hat{i} to \mathbf{e}_1 and \mathbf{e}_2 results to a counterclockwise rotation of these vectors by $\pi/2$.

2.2 Vector products and complex numbers

Let **a** and **b** be two vectors in Clifford algebra $C\ell_{2,0}$:

$$\mathbf{a} = a_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + a_2 \mathbf{e}_2,\tag{23a}$$

$$\mathbf{b} = b_1 \mathbf{e}_1 + b_2 \mathbf{e}_2,\tag{23b}$$

where a_1 , a_2 , b_1 , and b_2 are their scalar components. The geometric product of these two vectors may be expressed as

$$\mathbf{a}\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b},\tag{24}$$

where

$$\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} = a_1 b_1 + a_2 b_2, \tag{25a}$$

$$\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b} = (a_1 b_2 - a_2 b_1)\hat{\imath} \tag{25b}$$

are the inner (dot) and outer (wedge) products, respectively. Notice that the product \mathbf{ab} is a complex number (or a scalar-bivector cliffor). Also, notice that these definitions allow us to define the dot and wedge products in terms of the anticommutator and commutator of \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} :

$$\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} = \frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{a}\mathbf{b} + \mathbf{b}\mathbf{a}), \tag{26a}$$

$$\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b} = \frac{1}{2\hat{\imath}} (\mathbf{a}\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b}\mathbf{a}).$$
 (26b)

In order to facilitate the smooth transition from vectors to complex numbers and vice-versa, we use the identities in Eqs. (22a) and (22b) to rewrite the expressions for

vectors \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} into

$$\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{e}_1 \hat{a} = \hat{a}^* \mathbf{e}_1, \tag{27a}$$

$$\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{e}_1 \hat{b} = \hat{b}^* \mathbf{e}_1,\tag{27b}$$

where

$$\hat{a} = a_1 + a_2 \hat{i}, \tag{28a}$$

$$a^* = a_1 - a_2 \hat{i} \tag{28b}$$

$$\hat{b} = b_1 + b_2 \hat{\imath},\tag{28c}$$

$$\hat{b}^* = b_1 - b_2 \hat{i}$$
 (28d)

are complex numbers (or scalar-bivector cliffors) and their corresponding complex conjugates (denoted by *).

The relations in Eq. (27a) and (27b) let us express the geometric products **ab** and **ba** as

$$\mathbf{ab} = \mathbf{e}_1 \hat{a} \, \mathbf{e}_1 \hat{b} = \mathbf{e}_1 \mathbf{e}_1 \hat{a}^* \hat{b} = \hat{a}^* \hat{b},\tag{29a}$$

$$\mathbf{b}\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{e}_1 \hat{b} \, \mathbf{e}_1 \hat{a} = \mathbf{e}_1 \mathbf{e}_1 \hat{b}^* \hat{a} = \hat{b}^* \hat{a}, \tag{29b}$$

which allow us to rewrite the expressions for $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b}$ in Eqs. (26a) and (26b) into complex form:

$$\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\hat{a}^* \hat{b} + \hat{b}^* \hat{a} \right), \tag{30a}$$

$$\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b} = \frac{1}{2\hat{\imath}} \left(\hat{a}^* \hat{b} - \hat{b}^* \hat{a} \right).$$
(30b)

Thus, the proucts $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b}$ are related to the commutator and anticommutator of $\hat{a}^*\hat{b}$ and $\hat{b}^*\hat{a}$, respectively.

If we set $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{b}$ in Eq. (29a), then

$$\mathbf{a}^2 = \hat{a}^* \hat{a} = |\hat{a}|^2 = |\mathbf{a}|^2.$$
(31)

That is, the square of the length of a vector **a** is equal to the product of the complex number \hat{a} and its complex conjugate \hat{a}^* . Equation (31) also allows us define the inverse of a vector **a** and its corresponding complex number \hat{a} as

$$\mathbf{a}^{-1} = \frac{\mathbf{a}}{|\mathbf{a}|^2},\tag{32a}$$

$$\hat{a}^{-1} = \frac{\hat{a}^*}{|\hat{a}|^2}.$$
(32b)

Note that the inverse \hat{a}^{-1} is a familiar expression in Complex Analysis, while the inverse \mathbf{a}^{-1} is undefined in ordinary vector algebra.

2.3 Vector rotations and Euler's identity

Since $\hat{i}^2 = -1$, then we may use Euler's identity to write

$$e^{\pm\hat{\imath}\phi} = \cos\phi \pm \hat{\imath}\sin\phi,\tag{33}$$

where ϕ is a real number scalar. Multiplying this from the left by the unit vectors \mathbf{e}_1 or \mathbf{e}_2 and using the anticommutation relations in Eqs. (22a) and (22b), we obtain

$$\mathbf{e}_1 e^{i\phi} = \mathbf{e}_1 \cos\phi + \mathbf{e}_2 \sin\phi = e^{-i\phi} \mathbf{e}_1, \tag{34a}$$

$$\mathbf{e}_2 e^{\hat{\imath}\phi} = -\mathbf{e}_1 \sin\phi + \mathbf{e}_2 \cos\phi = e^{-\hat{\imath}\phi} \mathbf{e}_2. \tag{34b}$$

Notice that the argument of the exponential changes sign if we move either \mathbf{e}_1 or \mathbf{e}_2 to the right. Notice also that $\mathbf{e}_1 e^{i\phi}$ and $\mathbf{e}_2 e^{i\phi}$ are rotations of \mathbf{e}_1 and \mathbf{e}_2 counterclockwise by an angle ϕ , respectively. If we wish to change the rotations to clockwise, we simply replace the angle ϕ by $-\phi$.

Using exponential functions, we may express the vectors \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} in terms of their polar forms:

$$\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{e}_1 \hat{a} = \mathbf{e}_1 a \, e^{\hat{i}\phi_a} = a \, e^{-\hat{i}\phi_a} \, \mathbf{e}_1 = \hat{a}^* \mathbf{e}_1, \tag{35a}$$

$$\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{e}_1 \hat{b} = \mathbf{e}_1 b \, e^{\hat{\imath} \phi_a} = b \, e^{-\hat{\imath} \phi_b} \, \mathbf{e}_1 = \hat{b}^* \mathbf{e}_1, \tag{35b}$$

where a and b are the lengths of vectors **a** and **b**, while ϕ_a and ϕ_b are their azimuthal angles measured counterclockwise from the direction of **e**₁. The product of vectors **a** and **b** becomes

$$\mathbf{ab} = \hat{a}^* \hat{b} = ab \, e^{i(\phi_b - \phi_a)} = ab \left[\cos(\phi_b - \phi_a) + \hat{i} \sin(\phi_b - \phi_a) \right]. \tag{36}$$

Separating the scalar and bivector parts yields

$$\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} = ab \, \cos(\phi_b - \phi_a),\tag{37a}$$

$$\mathbf{a} \wedge \mathbf{b} = ab\,\hat{\imath}\sin(\phi_b - \phi_a),\tag{37b}$$

which corresponds to real and imaginary parts of $\hat{a}^*\hat{b}$, respectively.

3 Nonlinear Differential Equation for Perturbed Circular Orbits

In Section 2, we introduced the Clifford algebra $C\ell_{2,0}$. Now in Section 3, we shall use the $C\ell_{2,0}$ formalism to discuss how the two-dimensional interaction of a circularly polarized light and a hydrogen atom leads to a complex nonlinear oscillator equation whose nonlinearity is not due to higher powers of the perturbation, but simply to the presence of the complex conjugate in the perturbation in a coordinate system co-rotating with the unperturbed circular orbit of the electron. We shall solve this complex nonlinear differential equation by finding its homogeneous and particular

solutions, and then combine these two solutions to obtain the total solution. To solve for the unknown coefficients, we shall impose that the position and velocity and of the electron are continuous just before and just after the circularly polarized light is switched on.

3.1 Circularly polarized light

If the electric field **E** of light is left-circularly polarized (or rotating counterclockwise) in the plane defined by the unit vectors \mathbf{e}_1 and \mathbf{e}_2 , then

$$\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{e}_1 \hat{E} = \mathbf{e}_1 \hat{a} \hat{\psi} = \mathbf{e}_1 a e^{\hat{\imath}(\omega t + \delta)},\tag{38}$$

where the electric field amplitude \hat{a} and the wave function $\hat{\psi}$ are given by

$$\hat{a} = ae^{\hat{\imath}\delta},\tag{39a}$$

$$\hat{\psi} = e^{\hat{\imath}\omega t},\tag{39b}$$

with a, δ , and ω as the amplitude, phase angle, and angular frequency of light's electric field. We may also rewrite Eq. (38) as

$$\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{e}_1 \hat{E} = \mathbf{e}_1 (E_x + \hat{\imath} E_y), \tag{40}$$

where E_x and E_y are the x- and y-components of the field, respectively. Substituting Eq. (40) back to Eq. (38) and separating the components along \mathbf{e}_1 and \mathbf{e}_2 , we obtain

$$E_x = a\cos(\omega t + \delta),\tag{41a}$$

$$E_y = a\sin(\omega t + \delta),\tag{41b}$$

which are the known rectangular coordinate expressions for a circularly polarized electric field.

3.2 Unperturbed circular orbit of a Hydrogen electron

In an unperturbed Hydrogen atom, the electrostatic force on an electron at a position \mathbf{r} with respect to the proton at the origin is given by Coulomb's law, so that the equation of motion of the electron may be written as

$$m\ddot{\mathbf{r}} = -kq^2 \frac{\mathbf{r}}{r^3},\tag{42}$$

where q is the magnitude of the charge of electron and proton, m is the mass of the electron, and k is the electrostatic force constant.

If we assume that the electron moves in circular orbit around the proton with a radius r_0 , angular frequency ω_0 , and phase angle ϕ_0 , then the position **r** of the electron may be expressed as

$$\mathbf{r} = x \,\mathbf{e}_1 + y \,\mathbf{e}_2 = \mathbf{e}_1 \hat{r}_0 \hat{\psi}_0 = \hat{r}_0^* \,\hat{\psi}_0^* \,\mathbf{e}_1 = \hat{r}_0^* \,\hat{\psi}_0^{-1} \mathbf{e}_1, \tag{43}$$

where

$$\hat{r}_0 = r_0 \, e^{\hat{i}\phi_0},\tag{44a}$$

$$\hat{\psi}_0 = e^{\hat{\imath}\omega_0 t} \tag{44b}$$

are the electron's complex radius and wave function, respectively. Separating the scalar and vector parts of Eq. (43), we obtain

$$x = r_0 \cos(\omega_0 t + \phi_0), \tag{45a}$$

$$y = r_0 \sin(\omega_0 t + \phi_0). \tag{45b}$$

Notice that at time t = 0, the electron is at position $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{e}_1 \hat{r}_0 = \mathbf{e}_1 r_0 e^{\hat{i}\phi_0}$, so that the wave function $\hat{\psi}_0$ acts as a circular rotation operator of the electron's initial position \mathbf{r}_0 , rotating at angular frequency ω_0 .

To get the magnitude $r = |\mathbf{r}|$ of the electron's orbit, we first square the expression for its position vector \mathbf{r} in Eq. (43):

$$\mathbf{r}^{2} = \mathbf{e}_{1}\hat{r}_{0}\hat{\psi}_{0} \,\mathbf{e}_{1}\hat{r}_{0}\hat{\psi}_{0} = (\hat{r}_{0}\hat{\psi}_{0})^{*}(\hat{r}_{0}\hat{\psi}_{0}) = \hat{r}_{0}^{*}\,\hat{\psi}_{0}^{*}\,\hat{r}_{0}\hat{\psi}_{0} = \hat{r}_{0}^{*}\hat{r}_{0} = r_{0}^{2}.$$
(46)

This gives

$$r = |\mathbf{r}| = \sqrt{\mathbf{r}^2} = r_0. \tag{47}$$

Thus, the magnitude r of the position \mathbf{r} is constant and is equal to the radius r_0 .

Now, substituting the expression for the position \mathbf{r} in Eq. (43) back to the electrostatic force equation in Eq. (42) and using the relations

$$\dot{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{e}_1 \hat{\imath} \omega_0 \hat{r}_0 \hat{\psi}_0 = -(\hat{\imath} \omega_0) \, \mathbf{e}_1 \hat{r}_0 \hat{\psi}_0 = -\hat{\imath} \omega_0 \, \mathbf{r},\tag{48a}$$

$$\ddot{\mathbf{r}} = -\mathbf{e}_1 \omega_0^2 \, \hat{r}_0 \hat{\psi}_0 = -\omega_0^2 \, \mathbf{e}_1 \hat{r}_0 \hat{\psi}_0 = -\omega_0^2 \, \mathbf{r},\tag{48b}$$

we obtain

$$\omega_0 = \sqrt{\frac{kq^2}{mr_0^3}},\tag{49}$$

which is the known classical expression for the angular frequency ω_0 of the electron of atomic Hydrogen in terms of the electrostatic force constant k, the electron charge magnitude q, the electron mass m, and the electron orbital radius r_0 .

3.3 Perturbed circular orbit: equations of motion

When light hits a Hydrogen atom, the equation of motion for the Hydrogen electron in Eq. (42) should be rewritten as

$$m\ddot{\mathbf{r}} = -kq^2\frac{\mathbf{r}}{r^3} - q\mathbf{E},\tag{50}$$

where \mathbf{E} is the electric field of light. We assume that the Hydrogen proton is so much heavier than the electron, so that it is practically at rest compared to the electron. We

also assume that the force on the electron due to the light's magnetic field is negligible compared to that of light's electric field.

Now, if we assume that the electric force $-q\mathbf{E}$ is much smaller in magnitue compared to that of the Coulomb force $-kq^2\mathbf{r}/r^3$, then we may apply the methods of perturbation theory. To do this, we use the pertubation parameter λ (which will later be set as $\lambda = 1$) to rewrite Eq. (50) as

$$m\ddot{\mathbf{r}} = -kq^2 \frac{\mathbf{r}}{r^3} - \lambda q \mathbf{E}.$$
(51)

We assume that the zeroth-order perturbation is the circular orbit solution as given in Eq. (43),

$$\mathbf{r}_0 = \mathbf{e}_1 \hat{r}_0 \hat{\psi}_0 = \mathbf{e}_1 \hat{r}_0 e^{\hat{\imath} \omega_0 t},\tag{52}$$

so that the approximate solution for the position \mathbf{r} of the electron is given by

$$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}_0 + \lambda \mathbf{r}_1. \tag{53}$$

Substituting Eq. (53) back to Eq. (51), we get

$$m(\ddot{\mathbf{r}}_0 + \lambda \ddot{\mathbf{r}}_1) = -kq^2 \frac{\mathbf{r}_0 + \lambda \mathbf{r}_1}{|\mathbf{r}_0 + \lambda \mathbf{r}_1|^3} - \lambda q \mathbf{E}.$$
(54)

Notice that the Coulomb term makes the equation nonlinear.

To linearize the Coulomb term, we first take the square of the position \mathbf{r} in Eq. (53) and retain only the terms up to first order in λ :

$$\mathbf{r}^2 = |\mathbf{r}|^2 = (\mathbf{r}_0 + \lambda \mathbf{r}_1)^2 \approx r_0^2 + 2\lambda(\mathbf{r}_0 \cdot \mathbf{r}_1).$$
(55)

Using the binomial theorem, we may expand the magnitude r as,

$$r = |\mathbf{r}| \approx r_0 \left(1 + \lambda \frac{\mathbf{r}_0 \cdot \mathbf{r}_1}{r_0^2} \right), \tag{56}$$

so that

$$\frac{1}{r^3} = \frac{1}{|\mathbf{r}|^3} \approx \frac{1}{r_0^3} \left(1 - 3\lambda \frac{\mathbf{r}_0 \cdot \mathbf{r}_1}{r_0^2} \right).$$
(57)

Multiplying this by the expression for the position \mathbf{r} in Eq. (53) yields

$$\frac{\mathbf{r}}{r^3} = \frac{\mathbf{r}_0 + \lambda \mathbf{r}_1}{|\mathbf{r}_0 + \lambda \mathbf{r}_1|^3} = \frac{1}{r_0^3} \left(\mathbf{r}_0 - 3\lambda \frac{\mathbf{r}_0 \cdot \mathbf{r}_1}{r_0^2} \, \mathbf{r}_0 + \lambda \mathbf{r}_1 \right),\tag{58}$$

after removing higher order terms in the perturbation parameter λ .

Substituting Eq. (58) back to equation of motion in Eq. (54) and separating the terms zeroth and first order in λ , we get

$$\ddot{\mathbf{r}}_0 = -\omega_0^2 \, \mathbf{r}_0,\tag{59a}$$

$$\ddot{\mathbf{r}}_1 = -\omega_0^2 \left(\mathbf{r}_1 - 3 \frac{\mathbf{r}_0 \cdot \mathbf{r}_1}{r_0^2} \, \mathbf{r}_0 \right) - \frac{q}{m} \mathbf{E},\tag{59b}$$

where we used the definition of the angular frequency ω_0 in Eq. (49). Notice that the zeroth order equation is already satisfied, because it is the same as Eq. (48b). Our remaining problem is to solve for the perturbation \mathbf{r}_1 .

3.4 Nonlinear oscillator equation in co-rotating coordinates

Let us assume that the perturbation \mathbf{r}_1 is co-rotating with the circular orbit solution $\mathbf{r}_0 = \mathbf{e}_1 \hat{r}_0 \hat{\psi}_0$ at angular frequency ω_0 :

$$\mathbf{r}_1 = \mathbf{e}_1 \hat{r}_1 \hat{\psi}_0 = \mathbf{e}_1 \hat{r}_1 e^{\hat{\imath} \omega_0 t},\tag{60}$$

where the complex amplitude \hat{r}_1 is a function of time t. The first and second time derivatives of the perturbation \mathbf{r}_1 are

$$\dot{\mathbf{r}}_1 = \mathbf{e}_1 \left(\dot{\hat{r}}_1 + \hat{\imath}\omega_0 \, \hat{r}_1 \right) \hat{\psi}_0,\tag{61a}$$

$$\ddot{\mathbf{r}}_1 = \mathbf{e}_1 \left(\ddot{\hat{r}}_1 + 2\hat{\imath}\omega_0 \, \dot{\hat{r}}_1 - \omega_0^2 \, \hat{r}_1 \right) \hat{\psi}_0. \tag{61b}$$

On the other hand, the products of \mathbf{r}_1 and \mathbf{r}_0 are

$$\mathbf{r}_{0}\mathbf{r}_{1} = \mathbf{e}_{1}\hat{r}_{0}\hat{\psi}_{0} \,\mathbf{e}_{1}\hat{r}_{1}\hat{\psi}_{0} = \hat{r}_{0}^{*}\hat{\psi}_{0}^{*}\,\hat{r}_{1}\hat{\psi}_{0} = \hat{r}_{0}^{*}\hat{r}_{1}, \qquad (62a)$$

$$\mathbf{r}_{1}\mathbf{r}_{0} = \mathbf{e}_{1}\hat{r}_{1}\hat{\psi}_{0}\,\mathbf{e}_{1}\hat{r}_{0}\hat{\psi}_{0} = \hat{r}_{1}^{*}\hat{\psi}_{0}^{*}\,\hat{r}_{0}\hat{\psi}_{0} = \hat{r}_{1}^{*}\hat{r}_{0}.$$
(62b)

Using the expressions for the dot and wedge products in Eqs. (26a) and (26b), we obtain

.

$$\mathbf{r}_0 \cdot \mathbf{r}_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\hat{r}_0^* \hat{r}_1 + \hat{r}_1^* \hat{r}_0 \right), \tag{63a}$$

$$\mathbf{r}_0 \wedge \mathbf{r}_1 = \frac{1}{2\hat{\imath}} \left(\hat{r}_0^* \hat{r}_1 - \hat{r}_1^* \hat{r}_0 \right), \tag{63b}$$

which are now expressed solely in terms of the sum and difference of the complex products $\hat{r}_0^* \hat{r}_1$ and $\hat{r}_1^* \hat{r}_0$.

Employing the expression for the electric field \mathbf{E} in Eq. (38), together with the relations in Eqs. (52), (60), and (63), the perturbation equation in Eq. (59b) becomes

$$\ddot{\hat{r}}_1 + 2\hat{\imath}\omega_0\dot{\hat{r}}_1 - \omega_0^2\hat{r}_1 = -\omega_0^2 \left[\hat{r}_1 - \frac{3}{2r_0^2} \left(\hat{r}_0^*\hat{r}_1 + \hat{r}_1^*\hat{r}_0 \right) \hat{r}_0 \right] - \frac{q}{m} \hat{E}\hat{\psi}_0^{-1}, \qquad (64)$$

after factoring out the unit vector \mathbf{e}_1 from the left and the wave function $\hat{\psi}_0$ from the right. Rearranging the terms and simplifying the equation, we arrive at

$$\ddot{\hat{r}}_1 + 2\hat{\imath}\omega_0\dot{\hat{r}}_1 - \frac{3}{2}\,\omega_0^2\left(\hat{r}_1 + e^{2\phi_0\hat{\imath}}\,\hat{r}_1^*\right) = -\frac{q}{m}\hat{E}\hat{\psi}_0^{-1},\tag{65}$$

where ϕ_0 is the initial rotational phase of the electron, as defined in Eq. (44a). Equation (65) is the complex form of the vector equation in Eq. (59b).

3.5 Homogeneous solution: Fourier series in frequency ω_0

The homogeneous part of the differential equation in Eq. (65) is

$$\ddot{\hat{r}}_{1h} + 2\hat{\imath}\omega_0\,\dot{\hat{r}}_{1h} - \frac{3}{2}\,\omega_0^2\,\left(\hat{r}_{1h} + e^{2\phi_0\,\hat{\imath}}\,\hat{r}_{1h}^*\right) = 0,\tag{66}$$

where r_{1h} is the homogeneous solution.

To solve the homogeneous differential equation in Eq. (66), we first assume that the perturbation \hat{r}_{1h} may be expressed as an exponential Fourier series in frequency ω_0 :

$$\hat{r}_{1h} = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{c}_k \hat{\psi}_0^k = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{c}_k e^{ik\omega_0 t},$$
(67)

where k is an integer and \hat{c}_k is a complex coefficient of the wave function $\hat{\psi}_0 = e^{\hat{i}\omega_0 t}$. The complex conjugate of the perturbation \hat{r}_1 is

$$\hat{r}_{1h}^* = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{c}_k^* \, \hat{\psi}_0^{-k} = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{c}_{-k}^* \hat{\psi}_0^k, \tag{68}$$

while its time derivatives are

$$\dot{\hat{r}}_{1h} = \hat{\imath}\omega_0 \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} k \, \hat{c}_k \hat{\psi}_0^k, \tag{69a}$$

$$\ddot{\hat{r}}_{1h} = -\omega_0^2 \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} k^2 \hat{c}_k \hat{\psi}_0^k,$$
(69b)

Substituting Eqs. (67) to (69b) back to the homogeneous differential equation in Eq. (66), we obtain

$$-\omega_0^2 \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left[k^2 \hat{c}_k + 2k \, \hat{c}_k + \frac{3}{2} \left(\hat{c}_k + e^{2\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} \, \hat{c}_{-k}^* \right) \right] \hat{\psi}_0^k = 0.$$
(70)

Because $\hat{\psi}_0^k$ and $\hat{\psi}_0^{-k}$ are orthonormal in the Fourier sense for integer $k \neq k'$, then the coefficient of $\hat{\psi}_0^k$ should be equal to zero for all integer k:

$$\left(k^2 + 2k + \frac{3}{2}\right)\hat{c}_k + \frac{3}{2}e^{2\phi_0\hat{i}}\hat{c}^*_{-k} = 0,$$
(71)

after rearranging the terms. Solving for the coefficient \hat{c}_{-k} in Eq. (71) yields

$$\hat{c}_{-k} = -\frac{2}{3} \left(k^2 + 2k + \frac{3}{2} \right) e^{2\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} \hat{c}_k^*, \tag{72}$$

which relates the coefficients \hat{c}_{-k} and \hat{c}_k .

Now, replacing k by -k in Eq. (72),

$$\hat{c}_k = -\frac{2}{3} \left(k^2 - 2k + \frac{3}{2} \right) e^{2\phi_0 \hat{i}} \, \hat{c}^*_{-k},\tag{73}$$

and substituting the result back to Eq. (71), we obtain

$$-\frac{2}{3}\left(k^2+2k+\frac{3}{2}\right)\left(k^2-2k+\frac{3}{2}\right)+\frac{3}{2}=0,$$
(74)

after factoring out $e^{2\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} \hat{c}^*_{-k}$. Simplifying the equation yields

$$k^{4} - k^{2} = k^{2}(k^{2} - 1) = k^{2}(k + 1)(k - 1) = 0.$$
 (75)

Hence,

$$k = \{-1, 0, 1\}. \tag{76}$$

Thus, the Fourier series expansion in Eq. (67) for the homogeneous solution \hat{r}_{1h} is only valid if Eq. (76) holds. This condition reduces the expression for \hat{r}_{1h} to

$$\hat{r}_{1h} = \hat{c}_{-1}\hat{\psi}_0^{-1} + \hat{c}_0 + \hat{c}_1\hat{\psi}_0.$$
(77)

Note that there are only two unknown coefficients here, since the coefficients \hat{c}_{-1} and \hat{c}_1 are related by Eq. (73):

$$\hat{c}_1 = -\frac{1}{3} e^{2\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} \hat{c}^*_{-1}, \tag{78a}$$

$$\hat{c}_{-1} = -3 \, e^{-2\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} \, \hat{c}_1^*. \tag{78b}$$

These equivalent relations also arise between the eccentric and epicycle in the Copernican model of planetary orbits [37], so we shall refer to Eqs. (78a) and (78b) as the Copernican eccentric-epicycle relations.

3.6 Particular Solution: Fourier Series in Frequency $\omega - \omega_0$

The particular part of the differential equation in Eq. (66) is

$$\ddot{\hat{r}}_{1p} + 2\hat{\imath}\omega_0\dot{\hat{r}}_{1p} - \frac{3}{2}\,\omega_0^2\left(\hat{r}_{1p} + e^{2\phi_0\hat{\imath}}\,\hat{r}_{1p}^*\right) = -\frac{q}{m}\hat{E}\hat{\psi}_0^{-1},\tag{79}$$

where \hat{r}_{1p} is the particular solution. Substituting the expression $\hat{E} = \hat{a}\hat{\psi}$ in Eq. (38) back into the forcing term, we get

$$\ddot{\hat{r}}_{1p} + 2\hat{\imath}\omega_0\,\dot{\hat{r}}_{1p} - \frac{3}{2}\,\omega_0^2\,\left(\hat{r}_{1p} + e^{2\phi_0\,\hat{\imath}}\,\hat{r}_{1p}^*\right) = -\frac{q}{m}\,\hat{a}\hat{\Psi},\tag{80}$$

where

$$\hat{\Psi} = \hat{\psi}\hat{\psi}_0^{-1} = e^{i(\omega - \omega_0)t}$$
(81)

is the the co-rotating perturbing wave function with angular frequency $\omega - \omega_0$.

To solve the particular differential equation in Eq. (79), we first assume that the perturbation \hat{r}_{1p} may be expressed as an exponential Fourier series in $\hat{\Psi}$:

$$\hat{r}_{1p} = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{b}_k \hat{\Psi}^k = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{b}_k e^{\hat{i}k(\omega-\omega_0)t},$$
(82)

where k is an integer and \hat{b}_k is a complex coefficient. The complex conjugate of the perturbation \hat{r}_{1p} is

$$\hat{r}_{1p}^{*} = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{b}_{k}^{*} \hat{\Psi}^{-k} = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{b}_{-k}^{*} \hat{\Psi}^{k},$$
(83)

while its time derivatives are

$$\dot{\hat{r}}_{1p} = \hat{\imath}(\omega - \omega_0) \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} k \, \hat{c}_k \hat{\Psi}^k, \tag{84a}$$

$$\ddot{\hat{r}}_{1p} = -(\omega - \omega_0)^2 \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} k^2 \, \hat{c}_k \hat{\Psi}^k.$$
(84b)

Substituting Eqs. (82) to (84b) back to Eq. (80), we obtain

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \left[-k^2 (\omega - \omega_0)^2 - 2k \,\omega_0 (\omega - \omega_0) - \frac{3}{2} \,\omega_0^2 \right] \hat{b}_k - \frac{3}{2} \,\omega_0^2 \, e^{2\phi_0 \hat{i}} \, \hat{b}_{-k}^* \right\} \hat{\Psi}^k = -\frac{q}{m} \hat{a} \hat{\Psi}. \tag{85}$$

Dividing this equation by ω_0^2 , we get

$$\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \left[-k^2(\alpha-1)^2 - 2k(\alpha-1) - \frac{3}{2} \right] \hat{b}_k - \frac{3}{2} e^{2\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} \hat{b}_{-k}^* \right\} \hat{\Psi}^k = -\frac{q}{m\omega_0^2} \hat{a} \hat{\Psi}, \quad (86)$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{\omega}{\omega_0} \tag{87}$$

is the ratio between the angular frequency ω of the circularly polarized light and the angular frequency ω_0 of the electron's unperturbed circular orbit. Other authors refer

to ω_0 as the Kepler frequency (ω_K in their notation) and to α as the scaled frequency $(\omega_0 \text{ or } \Omega_0 \text{ in their notation})$ [9, 21]. Now, since the wave functions $\hat{\Psi}^k$ and $\hat{\Psi}$ in Eq. (86) are orthonormal in the Fourier

sense, we may consider two cases for the value of k: |k| = 1 and $|k| \neq 1$.

Case |k| = 1. For this case, Eq. (86) leads to two simultaneous coefficient relations for k = -1 and k = 1:

$$\left[(\alpha - 1)^2 - 2(\alpha - 1) + \frac{3}{2} \right] \hat{b}_{-1} + \frac{3}{2} e^{2\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} \hat{b}_1^* = 0,$$
(88a)

$$\left[(\alpha - 1)^2 + 2(\alpha - 1) + \frac{3}{2} \right] \hat{b}_1 + \frac{3}{2} e^{2\phi_0 \hat{i}} \hat{b}_{-1}^* = \frac{q}{m\omega_0^2} \hat{a}.$$
 (88b)

Solving for the coefficient \hat{b}_1 in Eq. (88a),

$$\hat{b}_1 = -\frac{2}{3} \left[(\alpha - 1)^2 - 2(\alpha - 1) + \frac{3}{2} \right] e^{2\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} \hat{b}_{-1}^*, \tag{89}$$

and substituting the result to Eq. (88b), we get

$$-\frac{2}{3}\left[(\alpha-1)^2 + 2(\alpha-1) + \frac{3}{2}\right] \left[(\alpha-1)^2 - 2(\alpha-1) + \frac{3}{2}\right] e^{2\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} \hat{b}_{-1}^* + \frac{3}{2} e^{2\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} \hat{b}_{-1}^* = \frac{q}{m\omega_0^2} \hat{a}.$$
(90)

Distributing the terms and using the identity

$$-\frac{2}{3}\left[(\alpha-1)^{2}+2(\alpha-1)+\frac{3}{2}\right]\left[(\alpha-1)^{2}-2(\alpha-1)+\frac{3}{2}\right]+\frac{3}{2}$$
$$=-\frac{2}{3}\left[(\alpha-1)^{4}-(\alpha-1)^{2}+\frac{9}{4}\right]+\frac{3}{2}$$
$$=-\frac{2}{3}\left[(\alpha-1)^{4}-(\alpha-1)^{2}\right]$$
$$=-\frac{2}{3}\alpha(\alpha-1)^{2}(\alpha-2),$$
(91)

we obtain

$$-\frac{2}{3}\alpha(\alpha-1)^2(\alpha-2)e^{2\phi_0\hat{\imath}}\hat{b}^*_{-1} = \frac{q}{m\omega_0^2}\hat{a}.$$
(92)

Hence,

$$\hat{b}_{-1} = \left[\frac{-\frac{3}{2}}{\alpha(\alpha-1)^2(\alpha-2)}\right] e^{2\phi_0\hat{\imath}} \frac{q}{m\omega_0^2} \hat{a}^*,$$
(93)

which is the expression for the particular coefficient \hat{b}_{-1} in terms of the coefficient \hat{a} of the perturbing circularly polarized light.

On the other hand, substituting Eq. (93) back to Eq. (89), we obtain

$$\hat{b}_1 = \frac{(\alpha - 1)^2 - 2(\alpha - 1) + \frac{3}{2}}{\alpha(\alpha - 1)^2(\alpha - 2)} \cdot \frac{q}{m\omega_0^2} \hat{a},$$
(94)

which may be rewritten as

$$\hat{b}_1 = \frac{(\alpha - 1)(\alpha - 3) + \frac{3}{2}}{\alpha(\alpha - 1)^2(\alpha - 2)} \cdot \frac{q}{m\omega_0^2} \hat{a}.$$
(95)

Equation (95) is the expression for the particular coefficient \hat{b}_1 in terms of the coefficient \hat{a} of the perturbing circularly polarized light.

As a check, let us substitute the expressions for \hat{b}_{-1} and \hat{b}_1 in Eqs. (93) and (94) back to Eqs. (88a) to get

$$\left[(\alpha - 1)^2 - 2(\alpha - 1) + \frac{3}{2} \right) \left\{ \left[\frac{-\frac{3}{2}}{\alpha(\alpha - 1)^2(\alpha - 2)} \right] e^{2\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} \cdot \frac{q}{m\omega_0^2} \hat{a}^* \right\} + \frac{3}{2} e^{2\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} \left[\frac{(\alpha - 1)^2 - 2(\alpha - 1) + \frac{3}{2}}{\alpha(\alpha - 1)^2(\alpha - 2)} \cdot \frac{q}{m\omega_0^2} \hat{a}^* \right] = 0,$$
(96a)
$$\left[(\alpha - 1)^2 + 2(\alpha - 1) + \frac{3}{2} \right] \left[\frac{(\alpha - 1)^2 - 2(\alpha - 1) + \frac{3}{2}}{\alpha(\alpha - 1)^2(\alpha - 2)} \cdot \frac{q}{m\omega_0^2} \hat{a} \right] + \frac{3}{2} e^{2\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} \left\{ -\frac{3}{2} \left[\frac{1}{\alpha(\alpha - 1)^2(\alpha - 2)} \right] e^{-2\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} \cdot \frac{q}{m\omega_0^2} \hat{a} \right\} = \frac{q}{m\omega_0^2} \hat{a},$$
(96b)

The first equation is trivial. On the other hand, the second equation becomes obvious once we use a corollary to the identity in Eq. (91):

$$\left[(\alpha - 1)^2 + 2(\alpha - 1) + \frac{3}{2} \right] \left[(\alpha - 1)^2 - 2(\alpha - 1) + \frac{3}{2} \right] - \frac{9}{4} = \alpha(\alpha - 1)^2(\alpha - 2).$$
(97)

This ends the proof.

Case $|k| \neq 1$. For this case, Eq. (86) leads to two simultaneous coefficient relations for \hat{b}_{-k} and \hat{b}_k :

$$\left[k^{2}(\alpha-1)^{2}-2k(\alpha-1)+\frac{3}{2}\right]\hat{b}_{-k}+\frac{3}{2}e^{2\phi_{0}\hat{\imath}}\hat{b}_{k}^{*}=0,$$
(98a)

$$\left[k^{2}(\alpha-1)^{2}+2k(\alpha-1)+\frac{3}{2}\right]\hat{b}_{k}+\frac{3}{2}e^{2\phi_{0}\hat{\imath}}\hat{b}_{-k}^{*}=0.$$
(98b)

Solving for the coefficient \hat{b}_k in Eq. (98a),

$$\hat{b}_k = -\frac{2}{3} \left[k^2 (\alpha - 1)^2 - 2k(\alpha - 1) + \frac{3}{2} \right] e^{2\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} \hat{b}^*_{-k}, \tag{99}$$

and substituting the result to Eq. (98b), we get

$$-\frac{2}{3}\left[k^{2}(\alpha-1)^{2}+2k(\alpha-1)+\frac{3}{2}\right]\left[k^{2}(\alpha-1)^{2}-2k(\alpha-1)+\frac{3}{2}\right]e^{2\phi_{0}\hat{\imath}}\hat{b}_{-k}^{*} +\frac{3}{2}e^{2\phi_{0}\hat{\imath}}\hat{b}_{-k}^{*}=0.$$
(100)

Distributing the terms and using the identity

$$-\frac{2}{3}\left[k^{2}(\alpha-1)^{2}+2k(\alpha-1)+\frac{3}{2}\right]\left[k^{2}(\alpha-1)^{2}-2k(\alpha-1)+\frac{3}{2}\right]+\frac{3}{2}$$
$$=-\frac{2}{3}\left[k^{4}(\alpha-1)^{4}-k^{2}(\alpha-1)^{2}+\frac{9}{4}\right]+\frac{3}{2}$$
$$=-\frac{2}{3}\left[k^{4}(\alpha-1)^{4}-k^{2}(\alpha-1)^{2}\right],$$
(101)

we obtain

$$-\frac{2}{3} \left[k^4 (\alpha - 1)^4 - k^2 (\alpha - 1)^2 \right] e^{2\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} \hat{b}^*_{-k} = 0.$$
(102)

Since the exponential $e^{\hat{i}\phi_0} \neq 0$ for any real number ϕ_0 and we are not yet sure if the coefficient \hat{b}_{-k} is zero, then Eq. (102) can only be zero if

$$k^{4}(\alpha - 1)^{4} - k^{2}(\alpha - 1)^{2} = 0.$$
(103)

If we set $\alpha = 1$, then Eq. (103) is satisfied. But since our model is not quantum but classical, we cannot assume that the frequency ratio $\alpha = \omega/\omega_0$ has only one allowed frequency: we have to assume that all frequency ratios are possible, unless they lead to divergent terms. Thus, Eq. (103) should hold for all values of the frequency ratio α .

Because we cannot impose conditions on the frequency ratio α , the only other parameter left in Eq. (103) that we can vary is k, which is index integer of the Fourier series for the particular solution \hat{r}_{1p} in Eq.(83). Since $\alpha = 1$ already satisfies Eq. (103), we now assume that $\alpha \neq 1$, so that we can divide Eq. (103) by $(\alpha - 1)^2$ and solve for the integer k to get

$$k = \pm \frac{1}{|\alpha - 1|}.\tag{104}$$

But since the frequency ratio α can be any real number, then k may not be an integer, which contradicts our assumption that k is an integer.

Thus, k cannot be a function of the frequency ratio α , so that the only possible value of k that would satisfy Eq. (103) is

$$k = 0, \tag{105}$$

which corresponds to the coefficient \hat{b}_0 . And since \hat{b}_{-1} and b_1 are given in Eqs. (93) and (95) for the case |k| = 1, then Eq. (82) reduces to

$$\hat{r}_{1p} = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{b}_k \hat{\Psi}^k = \hat{b}_{-1} \hat{\Psi}^{-1} + \hat{b}_0 + \hat{b}_1 \hat{\Psi}.$$
(106)

Our task now is to find the value of the coefficient \hat{b}_0 .

3.7 Total Solution: Sum of Homogeneous and Particular Solutions

The total solution \hat{r}_1 for the nonlinear differential equation in Eq. (65) may be expressed as a sum of its homogeneous solution \hat{r}_{1h} and particular solution \hat{r}_{1p} :

$$\hat{r}_1 = \hat{r}_{1h} + \hat{r}_{1p}.\tag{107}$$

Using the Fourier series expansions for the homogeneous solution r_{1h} in Eqs. (77) and for the particular solution r_{1p} in Eqs. (106) for $\hat{\Psi} = \hat{\psi}\hat{\psi}_0^{-1}$,

$$\hat{r}_{1h} = \hat{c}_{-1}\hat{\psi}_0^{-1} + \hat{c}_0 + \hat{c}_1\hat{\psi}_0, \qquad (108a)$$

$$\hat{r}_{1p} = \hat{b}_{-1}\hat{\psi}^{-1}\hat{\psi}_0 + \hat{b}_0 + \hat{b}_1\hat{\psi}\hat{\psi}_0^{-1}, \qquad (108b)$$

Eq. (107) becomes

$$\hat{r}_1 = \hat{c}_{-1}\hat{\psi}_0^{-1} + (\hat{c}_0 + \hat{b}_0) + \hat{c}_1\hat{\psi}_0 + \hat{b}_{-1}\hat{\psi}^{-1}\hat{\psi}_0 + \hat{b}_1\hat{\psi}\hat{\psi}_0^{-1},$$
(109)

which is the full expression for the solution of the nonlinear differential equation in Eq. (65).

Notice that there are five coefficients in Eq. (109): \hat{c}_{-1} , \hat{c}_0 , \hat{c}_1 , \hat{b}_{-1} , \hat{b}_0 , and \hat{b}_1 . The particular coefficients \hat{b}_{-1} and \hat{b}_1 are given in Eqs. (93) and (144b), while the homogeneous coefficients \hat{c}_{-1} and \hat{c}_1 are related by the two equivalent relations in Eqs. (78a) and (78b). These equations reduce the number of our unknown coefficients in Eq. (109) to three, e.g., \hat{c}_0 , \hat{c}_1 , and \hat{b}_0 . If we shall later impose the initial conditions for position and velocity at time t = 0, we shall have two simultaneous equations in three unknowns, so that one of the remaining three coefficients should be zero. From the form of Eq. (109), the extraneous coefficient is either \hat{c}_0 or \hat{b}_0 . Since it is normally the homogeneous coefficients which are obtained from the initial conditions, then we have to set the particular coefficient \hat{b}_0 to zero,

$$\hat{b}_0 = 0,$$
 (110)

so that Eq. (109) reduces to

$$\hat{r}_1 = \hat{c}_{-1}\hat{\psi}_0^{-1} + \hat{c}_0 + \hat{c}_1\hat{\psi}_0 + \hat{b}_{-1}\hat{\psi}^{-1}\hat{\psi}_0 + \hat{b}_1\hat{\psi}\hat{\psi}_0^{-1}.$$
(111)

Equation (111) is the final form of the total solution \hat{r}_1 of the nonlinear differential equation in Eq. (65).

3.8 Initial Conditions for Position and Velocity at t = 0

Setting the perturbation parameter $\lambda = 1$, the position **r** in Eq. (53) simplifies to

$$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}_0 + \mathbf{r}_1. \tag{112}$$

Using the expressions for the unperturbed position \mathbf{r}_0 and the perturbation \mathbf{r}_1 in Eq. (52) and (60), we get

$$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{e}_1 \hat{r}_0 \hat{\psi}_0 + \mathbf{e}_1 \hat{r}_1 \hat{\psi}_0 = \mathbf{e}_1 (\hat{r}_0 + \hat{r}_1) \hat{\psi}_0.$$
(113)

Substituting the expression for the perturbation \hat{r}_1 in Eq. (111), Eq. (113) becomes

$$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{e}_1 \left[\hat{c}_{-1} + (\hat{r}_0 + \hat{c}_0)\hat{\psi}_0 + \hat{c}_1\hat{\psi}_0^2 + \hat{b}_{-1}\hat{\psi}^{-1}\hat{\psi}_0^2 + \hat{b}_1\hat{\psi} \right],$$
(114)

after distributing the wave function $\hat{\psi}_0$. Equation (114) is the position **r** of the electron as a function of time t, with the time dependence embedded in the wave functions $\hat{\psi}_0 = e^{\hat{\iota}\omega_0 t}$ and $\hat{\psi} = e^{\hat{\iota}\omega t}$.

Taking the time derivative of the position \mathbf{r} in Eq. (114), we get

$$\dot{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{e}_1 \hat{\imath} \left[\omega_0 \left(\hat{r}_0 + \hat{c}_0 \right) \hat{\psi}_0 + 2\omega_0 \, \hat{c}_1 \hat{\psi}_0^2 - \left(\omega - 2\omega_0 \right) \hat{b}_{-1} \hat{\psi}^{-1} \hat{\psi}_0^2 + \omega \, \hat{b}_1 \hat{\psi} \right].$$
(115)

Factoring out $\hat{\iota}\omega_0$ and using the definition $\alpha = \omega/\omega_0$ in Eq. (87), we obtain

$$\dot{\mathbf{r}} = \mathbf{e}_1 \hat{\imath} \omega_0 \left[\left(\hat{r}_0 + \hat{c}_0 \right) \hat{\psi}_0 + 2 \, \hat{c}_1 \hat{\psi}_0^2 - (\alpha - 2) \, \hat{b}_{-1} \hat{\psi}^{-1} \hat{\psi}_0^2 + \alpha \, \hat{b}_1 \hat{\psi} \right].$$
(116)

Notice that like the position **r**, the velocity $\dot{\mathbf{r}}$ is also a linear combination of the five wave functions 1, $\hat{\psi}_0$, $\hat{\psi}_0^2$, $\hat{\psi}^{-1}\hat{\psi}_0^2$, and $\hat{\psi}$.

Let time t = 0 be the initial time of light-atom interaction.

At time t < 0, we assume that the electron moves in unperturbed circular orbit around the proton subject to the Coulomb force alone. Thus, if the unperturbed position and velocity of the electron are given in Eqs. (43) and (48a), then the initial position **r** and initial velocity $\dot{\mathbf{r}}$ at time $t = 0^-$ just before light hits the electron are

$$\mathbf{r}(0^{-}) = \mathbf{e}_1 \hat{r}_0, \tag{117a}$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{r}}(0^{-}) = \mathbf{e}_1 \hat{\imath} \omega_0 \hat{r}_0. \tag{117b}$$

Notice that the initial position $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{e}_1 \hat{r}_0$ is perpendicular to the initial velocity $\mathbf{e}_1 \hat{\iota} \omega_0 \hat{r}_0$, because $\mathbf{e}_1 \hat{\iota} = \mathbf{e}_2$ by Eq. (22a).

On the other hand, at time t > 0, we assume that the electron is subject to both the Coulomb force and the external circularly polarized electric field of light. At time

 $t = 0^+$ just after the start of the light-atom interaction, the electron's position and velocity are given in Eqs. (114) and (116), so that

$$\mathbf{r}(0^+) = \mathbf{e}_1 \left[\hat{c}_{-1} + (\hat{r}_0 + \hat{c}_0) + \hat{c}_1 + \hat{b}_{-1} + \hat{b}_1 \right],$$
(118a)

$$\dot{\mathbf{r}}(0^+) = \mathbf{e}_1 \hat{\imath} \omega_0 \left[\left(\hat{r}_0 + \hat{c}_0 \right) + 2 \, \hat{c}_1 - (\alpha - 2) \, \hat{b}_{-1} + \alpha \, \hat{b}_1 \right], \tag{118b}$$

which differ from the expressions for position and velocity for $t = 0^-$ in Eqs. (117a) and (117b), due to the presence of the \hat{c} - and \hat{b} -coefficients.

Now, if we assume that the position and velocity of the electron are continuous at t = 0, then

$$\mathbf{r}(0^{-}) = \mathbf{r}(0^{+}), \tag{119a}$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{r}}(0^-) = \dot{\mathbf{r}}(0^+). \tag{119b}$$

Substituting the results in Eqs. (117a), (117b), (118a) and (118b), we get two simultaneous equations:

$$\mathbf{e}_{1}\hat{r}_{0} = \mathbf{e}_{1}\left[\hat{c}_{-1} + (\hat{r}_{0} + \hat{c}_{0}) + \hat{c}_{1} + \hat{b}_{-1} + \hat{b}_{1}\right],$$
(120a)

$$\mathbf{e}_{1}\hat{\imath}\omega_{0}\hat{r}_{0} = \mathbf{e}_{1}\hat{\imath}\omega_{0}\left[\left(\hat{r}_{0}+\hat{c}_{0}\right)+2\,\hat{c}_{1}-(\alpha-2)\,\hat{b}_{-1}+\alpha\,\hat{b}_{1}\right],\tag{120b}$$

where we used the definition $\alpha = \omega/\omega_0$ in Eq. (87). Factoring out the unit vector \mathbf{e}_1 and $\hat{\imath}$, and simplifying the terms, we arrive at

$$\hat{c}_{-1} + \hat{c}_0 + \hat{c}_1 + \hat{b}_{-1} + \hat{b}_1 = 0,$$
 (121a)

$$\hat{c}_0 + 2\,\hat{c}_1 - (\alpha - 2)\,\hat{b}_{-1} + \alpha\hat{b}_1 = 0.$$
 (121b)

Note that since the values of the particular solution coefficients \hat{b}_{-1} and \hat{b}_1 are expressed in terms of the electric field amplitude \hat{a} in Eqs. (93) and (95), then our next problem is to express the homogeneous solution coefficients \hat{c}_{-1} , \hat{c}_0 , and \hat{c}_1 in terms of \hat{b}_{-1} and \hat{b}_1 .

3.9 Homogeneous Coefficients \hat{c}_{-1} , \hat{c}_0 , and \hat{c}_1 in Terms of Particular Coefficients \hat{b}_{-1} and \hat{b}_1

Using the expression for the coefficient \hat{c}_{-1} in Eq. (78b),

$$\hat{c}_{-1} = -3e^{-2\phi_0 \hat{i}} \hat{c}_1^*, \tag{122}$$

Eq. (121a) becomes

$$-3 e^{-2\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} \hat{c}_1^* + \hat{c}_0 + \hat{c}_1 + \hat{b}_{-1} + \hat{b}_1 = 0.$$
(123)

Solving for the coefficient \hat{c}_0 ,

$$\hat{c}_0 = 3 \, e^{-2\phi_0 \hat{i}} \, \hat{c}_1^* - \hat{c}_1 - \hat{b}_{-1} - \hat{b}_1, \tag{124}$$

and substituting the result to Eq. (121b), we obtain

$$3 e^{-2\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} \hat{c}_1^* + \hat{c}_1 - (\alpha - 1) \hat{b}_{-1} + (\alpha - 1) \hat{b}_1 = 0, \qquad (125)$$

which may be rewritten as

$$3 e^{-2\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} \hat{c}_1^* + \hat{c}_1 = (\alpha - 1) \left(\hat{b}_{-1} - \hat{b}_1 \right).$$
(126)

Notice that even though only the coefficient \hat{c}_1 remains on the left side, the presence of its complex conjugate \hat{c}_1^* complicates the solution for explicit expression for the coefficient \hat{c}_1 .

Separating the scalar and bivector (imaginary) parts of Eq. (126), we get

$$(3\cos 2\phi_0 + 1)c_{1x} + (-3\sin 2\phi_0)c_{1y} = (\alpha - 1)(b_{-1x} - b_{1x}), \qquad (127a)$$

$$(-3\sin 2\phi_0)c_{1x} + (-3\cos 2\phi_0 + 1)c_{1y} = (\alpha - 1)(b_{-1y} - b_{1y}), \qquad (127b)$$

which are two simultaneous linear equations in two unknowns c_{1x} and c_{1y} . Solving for these two unknowns using the theory of determinants, we obtain

$$c_{1x} = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} (\alpha - 1)(b_{-1x} - b_{1x}) & (-3\sin 2\phi_0) \\ (\alpha - 1)(b_{-1y} - b_{1y}) & (-3\cos 2\phi_0 + 1) \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} (3\cos 2\phi_0 + 1) & (-3\sin 2\phi_0) \\ (-3\sin 2\phi_0) & (-3\cos 2\phi_0 + 1) \end{vmatrix}},$$
(128a)
$$c_{1y} = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} (3\cos 2\phi_0 + 1) & (\alpha - 1)(b_{-1x} - b_{1x}) \\ (-3\sin 2\phi_0) & (\alpha - 1)(b_{-1y} - b_{1y}) \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} (3\cos 2\phi_0 + 1) & (-3\sin 2\phi_0) \\ (-3\sin 2\phi_0) & (-3\cos 2\phi_0 + 1) \end{vmatrix}}.$$
(128b)

Expanding the determinants results to

$$c_{1x} = \frac{(\alpha - 1)(b_{-1x} - b_{1x})(-3\cos 2\phi_0 + 1) - (\alpha - 1)(b_{-1y} - b_{1y})(-3\sin 2\phi_0)}{(3\cos 2\phi_0 + 1)(-3\cos 2\phi_0 + 1) - (3\sin 2\phi_0)(3\sin 2\phi_0)}, \quad (129a)$$

$$c_{1y} = \frac{(3\cos 2\phi_0 + 1)(\alpha - 1)(b_{-1y} - b_{1y}) - (-3\sin 2\phi_0)(\alpha - 1)(b_{-1x} - b_{1x})}{(3\cos 2\phi_0 + 1)(-3\cos 2\phi_0 + 1) - (3\sin 2\phi_0)(3\sin 2\phi_0)}, \quad (129b)$$

which may be simplified as

$$c_{1x} = -\frac{1}{8}(\alpha - 1)\left[(-3\cos 2\phi_0 + 1)(b_{-1x} - b_{1x}) + (3\sin 2\phi_0)(b_{-1y} - b_{1y})\right], \quad (130a)$$

$$c_{1y} = -\frac{1}{8}(\alpha - 1)\left[(3\cos 2\phi_0 + 1)(b_{-1y} - b_{1y}) + (3\sin 2\phi_0)(b_{-1x} - b_{1x})\right].$$
 (130b)

Notice that the rectangular components c_{1x} and c_{1x} of the coefficient \hat{c}_1 are now expressed in terms of the rectangular components b_{-1x} , b_{-1y} , b_{1x} , and b_{1y} of the coefficients \hat{b}_{-1} and \hat{b}_1 .

Using the rectangular definition of the complex number \hat{c}_1 ,

$$\hat{c}_1 = c_{1x} + \hat{i}c_{1y},\tag{131}$$

Eqs. (130a) and (130b) may be combined into

$$\hat{c}_{1} = -\frac{1}{8} (\alpha - 1) \left[(3\cos 2\phi_{0})(-b_{-1x} + \hat{i}b_{-1y} + b_{1x} - \hat{i}b_{1y}) + (3\sin 2\phi_{0})(b_{-1y} + \hat{i}b_{-1x} - b_{1y} - \hat{i}b_{1x}) + (b_{-1x} + \hat{i}b_{-1y} - b_{1x} - \hat{i}b_{1y}) \right].$$
(132)

Furthermore, using the rectangular definitions of the complex numbers \hat{b}_{-1} and \hat{b}_{1} ,

$$\hat{b}_{-1} = b_{-1x} + \hat{i} \, b_{-1y},\tag{133a}$$

$$\hat{b}_1 = b_{1x} + \hat{i} \, b_{1y},\tag{133b}$$

Eq. (132) becomes

$$\hat{c}_{1} = -\frac{1}{8}(\alpha - 1) \left[(3\cos 2\phi_{0}) \left(-\hat{b}_{-1}^{*} + b_{1}^{*} \right) + (3\sin 2\phi_{0}) \left(\hat{i}b_{-1}^{*} - \hat{i}\hat{b}_{1}^{*} \right) + \left(\hat{b}_{-1} - \hat{b}_{1} \right) \right].$$
(134)

Thus,

$$\hat{c}_1 = -\frac{1}{8}(\alpha - 1) \left[3 e^{-2\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} \left(-b_{-1}^* + b_1^* \right) + \left(\hat{b}_{-1} - \hat{b}_1 \right) \right], \qquad (135)$$

which is the desired expression for the coefficient \hat{c}_1 in terms of the coefficients \hat{b}_{-1} and \hat{b}_1 .

Next, substituting the expression for the coefficient \hat{c}_1 in Eq. (135) back into Eq. (122) results to

$$\hat{c}_{-1} = \frac{3}{8}(\alpha - 1) \left[3 \left(-\hat{b}_{-1} + \hat{b}_1 \right) + e^{-2\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} \left(\hat{b}_{-1}^* - \hat{b}_1^* \right) \right],$$
(136)

which is the desired expression for the coefficient \hat{c}_{-1} in terms of the coefficients \hat{b}_{-1} and \hat{b}_1 .

To check if our expressions for the coefficients \hat{c}_{-1} and \hat{c}_1 are indeed correct, we first rewrite Eq. (126) in terms of these coefficients:

$$-\hat{c}_{-1} + \hat{c}_1 = (\alpha - 1)\left(\hat{b}_{-1} - \hat{b}_1\right).$$
(137)

Substituting the expressions for \hat{c}_1 and \hat{c}_{-1} in Eqs. (135) and (136) into the left side of Eq. (137), we obtain

$$-\hat{c}_{-1} + \hat{c}_1 = \frac{1}{8}(\alpha - 1) \left[-9 \left(-\hat{b}_{-1} + \hat{b}_1 \right) - 3e^{-2\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} \left(\hat{b}_{-1}^* - \hat{b}_1^* \right) - 3e^{-2\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} \left(-b_{-1}^* + b_1^* \right) - \left(\hat{b}_{-1} - \hat{b}_1 \right) \right].$$
(138)

Since the terms involving $3e^{-2\phi_0\hat{\imath}}(-b_{-1}^*+b_1^*)$ cancel out, then Eq. (137) immediately follows. This ends the proof.

Now that we have found the expressions for the coefficients \hat{c}_1 and \hat{c}_{-1} in Eqs. (135) and (136), our last task is to find the expression for the coefficient \hat{c}_0 . Rewriting Eq. (124) as

$$\hat{c}_0 = -\hat{c}_{-1} - \hat{c}_1 - \hat{b}_{-1} - \hat{b}_1, \qquad (139)$$

and substituting the expressions for the coefficients \hat{c}_1 and \hat{c}_{-1} , we get

$$\hat{c}_{0} = -\frac{3}{8}(\alpha - 1) \left[3 \left(-\hat{b}_{-1} + \hat{b}_{1} \right) + e^{-2\phi_{0}\hat{\imath}} \left(\hat{b}_{-1}^{*} - \hat{b}_{1}^{*} \right) \right] + \frac{1}{8}(\alpha - 1) \left[3 e^{-2\phi_{0}\hat{\imath}} \left(-\hat{b}_{-1}^{*} + \hat{b}_{1}^{*} \right) + \left(\hat{b}_{-1} - \hat{b}_{1} \right) \right] - \left(\hat{b}_{-1} + \hat{b}_{1} \right).$$
(140)

Combining similar terms, we arrive at

$$\hat{c}_0 = \frac{1}{8}(\alpha - 1) \left[10 \left(\hat{b}_{-1} - \hat{b}_1 \right) - 6 e^{-2\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} \left(\hat{b}_{-1}^* - \hat{b}_1^* \right) \right] - \left(\hat{b}_{-1} + \hat{b}_1 \right), \quad (141)$$

which is the desired expression for the coefficient \hat{c}_0 in terms of the coefficients \hat{b}_{-1} and \hat{b}_1 .

3.10 Subscript Notation $\alpha_k \equiv \alpha - k$

To shorten the length of our expressions for the homogeneous and particular coefficients, we define the following subscript notation for the relative frequency $\alpha = \omega/\omega_0$:

$$\alpha_k = \alpha - k,\tag{142}$$

where k is an integer. In this way, if we see terms involving the reciprocal of α_k ,

$$\frac{1}{\alpha_k} = \frac{1}{\alpha - k},\tag{143}$$

we can immediately see that the term is divergent at $\alpha = k$.

3.11 Particular Coefficients \hat{b}_{-1} and \hat{b}_{1} in Terms of the Complex Amplitude \hat{a} of Light

For the particular coefficients \hat{b}_{-1} and \hat{b}_1 , we know that their expressions are given in Eqs. (93) and (95):

$$\hat{b}_{-1} = \frac{q}{m\omega_0^2} \left[\frac{-\frac{3}{2}e^{2\phi_0 \hat{i}}}{\alpha(\alpha-1)^2(\alpha-2)} \right] \hat{a}^*,$$
(144a)

$$\hat{b}_1 = \frac{q}{m\omega_0^2} \left[\frac{(\alpha - 1)(\alpha - 3) + \frac{3}{2}}{\alpha(\alpha - 1)^2(\alpha - 2)} \right] \hat{a}.$$
 (144b)

Using the α_k subscript notation in Eq. (142), we may now rewrite these expressions as

$$\hat{b}_{-1} = \frac{q}{m\omega_0^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha_0 \alpha_1^2 \alpha_2} \left(-\frac{3}{2} e^{2\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} \right) \hat{a}^*$$
(145a)

$$\hat{b}_1 = \frac{q}{m\omega_0^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha_0 \alpha_1^2 \alpha_2} \left(\alpha_1 \alpha_3 + \frac{3}{2} \right) \hat{a}, \tag{145b}$$

which are much more compact than the original expressions.

3.12 Homogeneous Coefficients \hat{c}_{-1} , \hat{c}_0 , and \hat{c}_1 in Terms of the Complex Amplitude \hat{a} of Light

For the homogeneous coefficients \hat{c}_{-1} , \hat{c}_0 , and \hat{c}_1 , we have the following expressions, as given in Eqs. (136), (141), and (135):

$$\hat{c}_{-1} = \frac{3}{8}(\alpha - 1) \left[3 \left(-\hat{b}_{-1} + \hat{b}_1 \right) + e^{-2\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} \left(\hat{b}_{-1}^* - \hat{b}_1^* \right) \right],$$
(146a)

$$\hat{c}_0 = \frac{1}{8}(\alpha - 1) \left[10 \left(\hat{b}_{-1} - \hat{b}_1 \right) - 6 e^{-2\phi_0 \hat{i}} \left(\hat{b}_{-1}^* - \hat{b}_1^* \right) \right] - \left(\hat{b}_{-1} + \hat{b}_1 \right), \quad (146b)$$

$$\hat{c}_1 = -\frac{1}{8}(\alpha - 1) \left[3 e^{-2\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} \left(-\hat{b}_{-1}^* + \hat{b}_1^* \right) + \left(\hat{b}_{-1} - \hat{b}_1 \right) \right].$$
(146c)

In subscript notation, we may rewrite these as

$$\hat{c}_{-1} = -\frac{9}{8} \alpha_1 \left(\hat{b}_{-1} - \hat{b}_1 \right) + \frac{3}{8} \alpha_1 e^{-2\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} \left(\hat{b}_{-1}^* - \hat{b}_1^* \right), \qquad (147a)$$

$$\hat{c}_0 = \frac{10}{8} \alpha_1 \left(\hat{b}_{-1} - \hat{b}_1 \right) - \frac{6}{8} \alpha_1 e^{-2\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} \left(\hat{b}_{-1}^* - \hat{b}_1^* \right) - \left(\hat{b}_{-1} + \hat{b}_1 \right), \qquad (147b)$$

$$\hat{c}_1 = \frac{3}{8} \alpha_1 e^{-2\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} \left(\hat{b}_{-1}^* - \hat{b}_1^* \right) - \frac{1}{8} \alpha_1 \left(\hat{b}_{-1} - \hat{b}_1 \right).$$
(147c)

Now, the sum and difference of the coefficients \hat{b}_{-1} and \hat{b}_1 in Eqs.!(145a) and (145b) are

$$\hat{b}_{-1} + \hat{b}_1 = \frac{q}{m\omega_0^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha_0 \alpha_1^2 \alpha_2} \left[\left(-\frac{3}{2} e^{2\phi_0 \hat{i}} \right) \hat{a}^* + \left(\alpha_1 \alpha_3 + \frac{3}{2} \right) \hat{a} \right],$$
(148a)

$$\hat{b}_{-1} - \hat{b}_1 = \frac{q}{m\omega_0^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha_0 \alpha_1^2 \alpha_2} \left[\left(-\frac{3}{2} e^{2\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} \right) \hat{a}^* - \left(\alpha_1 \alpha_3 + \frac{3}{2} \right) \hat{a} \right],$$
(148b)

while those of their complex conjugates are

$$\hat{b}_{-1}^{*} + \hat{b}_{1}^{*} = \frac{q}{m\omega_{0}^{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha_{0}\alpha_{1}^{2}\alpha_{2}} \left[\left(-\frac{3}{2}e^{-2\phi_{0}\hat{i}} \right) \hat{a} + \left(\alpha_{1}\alpha_{3} + \frac{3}{2} \right) \hat{a}^{*} \right],$$
(149a)

$$\hat{b}_{-1}^{*} - \hat{b}_{1}^{*} = \frac{q}{m\omega_{0}^{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha_{0}\alpha_{1}^{2}\alpha_{2}} \left[\left(-\frac{3}{2}e^{-2\phi_{0}\hat{i}} \right) \hat{a} - \left(\alpha_{1}\alpha_{3} + \frac{3}{2} \right) \hat{a}^{*} \right].$$
(149b)

Substituting the expressions for the sum and difference coefficient relations in Eqs. (148a) to (149b) back to expressions for the coefficients \hat{c}_{-1} , \hat{c}_0 , and \hat{c}_1 in Eqs. (147a) to (147c), we get

$$\hat{c}_{-1} = \frac{q}{m\omega_0^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha_0 \alpha_1^2 \alpha_2} \left\{ -\frac{9}{8} \alpha_1 \left[\left(-\frac{3}{2} e^{2\phi_0 \hat{i}} \right) \hat{a}^* - \left(\alpha_1 \alpha_3 + \frac{3}{2} \right) \hat{a} \right] \\
+ \frac{3}{8} \alpha_1 e^{-2\phi_0 \hat{i}} \left[\left(-\frac{3}{2} e^{-2\phi_0 \hat{i}} \right) \hat{a} - \left(\alpha_1 \alpha_3 + \frac{3}{2} \right) \hat{a}^* \right] \right\}, \quad (150a)$$

$$\hat{c}_0 = \frac{q}{m\omega_0^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha_0 \alpha_1^2 \alpha_2} \left\{ \frac{10}{8} \alpha_1 \left[\left(-\frac{3}{2} e^{2\phi_0 \hat{i}} \right) \hat{a}^* - \left(\alpha_1 \alpha_3 + \frac{3}{2} \right) \hat{a} \right] \\
- \frac{6}{8} \alpha_1 e^{-2\phi_0 \hat{i}} \left[\left(-\frac{3}{2} e^{-2\phi_0 \hat{i}} \right) \hat{a} - \left(\alpha_1 \alpha_3 + \frac{3}{2} \right) \hat{a}^* \right] \\
- \left[\left(-\frac{3}{2} e^{2\phi_0 \hat{i}} \right) \hat{a}^* + \left(\alpha_1 \alpha_3 + \frac{3}{2} \right) \hat{a} \right] \right\}, \quad (150b)$$

$$\hat{c}_1 = \frac{q}{m\omega_0^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha_0 \alpha_1^2 \alpha_2} \left\{ \frac{3}{8} \alpha_1 e^{-2\phi_0 \hat{i}} \left[\left(-\frac{3}{2} e^{-2\phi_0 \hat{i}} \right) \hat{a} - \left(\alpha_1 \alpha_3 + \frac{3}{2} \right) \hat{a}^* \right] \\
- \frac{1}{8} \alpha_1 \left[\left(-\frac{3}{2} e^{2\phi_0 \hat{i}} \right) \hat{a}^* - \left(\alpha_1 \alpha_3 + \frac{3}{2} \right) \hat{a} \right] \right\}, \quad (150c)$$

after factoring out $q/m\omega_0^2$ and $1/\alpha_0\alpha_1^2\alpha_2$. Distributing some terms,

$$\hat{c}_{-1} = \frac{q}{m\omega_0^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha_0 \alpha_1^2 \alpha_2} \left[-\frac{9}{8} \alpha_1 \left(-\frac{3}{2} e^{2\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} \right) \hat{a}^* + \frac{9}{8} \alpha_1 \left(\alpha_1 \alpha_3 + \frac{3}{2} \right) \hat{a} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{3}{8} \alpha_1 e^{-2\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} \left(-\frac{3}{2} e^{-2\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} \right) \hat{a} - \frac{3}{8} \alpha_1 e^{-2\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} \left(\alpha_1 \alpha_3 + \frac{3}{2} \right) \hat{a}^* \right], \quad (151a)$$
$$\hat{c}_0 = \frac{q}{m\omega_0^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha_0 \alpha_1^2 \alpha_2} \left[\frac{10}{8} \alpha_1 \left(-\frac{3}{2} e^{2\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} \right) \hat{a}^* - \frac{10}{8} \alpha_1 \left(\alpha_1 \alpha_3 + \frac{3}{2} \right) \hat{a} \right. \\ \left. - \frac{6}{8} \alpha_1 e^{-2\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} \left(-\frac{3}{2} e^{-2\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} \right) \hat{a} + \frac{6}{8} \alpha_1 e^{-2\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} \left(\alpha_1 \alpha_3 + \frac{3}{2} \right) \hat{a}^* \\ \left. - \left(-\frac{3}{2} e^{2\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} \right) \hat{a}^* - \left(\alpha_1 \alpha_3 + \frac{3}{2} \right) \hat{a} \right], \quad (151b)$$

$$\hat{c}_{1} = \frac{q}{m\omega_{0}^{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha_{0}\alpha_{1}^{2}\alpha_{2}} \left[\frac{3}{8} \alpha_{1} e^{-2\phi_{0}\hat{\imath}} \left(-\frac{3}{2} e^{-2\phi_{0}\hat{\imath}} \right) \hat{a} -\frac{3}{8} \alpha_{1} e^{-2\phi_{0}\hat{\imath}} \left(\alpha_{1}\alpha_{3} + \frac{3}{2} \right) \hat{a}^{*} - \frac{1}{8} \alpha_{1} \left(-\frac{3}{2} e^{2\phi_{0}\hat{\imath}} \right) \hat{a}^{*} +\frac{1}{8} \alpha_{1} \left(\alpha_{1}\alpha_{3} + \frac{3}{2} \right) \hat{a} \right],$$
(151c)

and simplifying the result, we arrive at

$$\hat{c}_{-1} = \frac{q}{m\omega_0^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha_0 \alpha_1^2 \alpha_2} \left[\left(\frac{27}{16} \alpha_1 e^{2\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} - \frac{3}{8} \alpha_1^2 \alpha_3 e^{-2\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} - \frac{9}{16} \alpha_1 e^{-2\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} \right) \hat{a}^* + \left(\frac{9}{8} \alpha_1^2 \alpha_3 + \frac{27}{16} \alpha_1 - \frac{9}{16} \alpha_1 e^{-4\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} \right) \hat{a} \right], \quad (152a)$$
$$\hat{c}_0 = \frac{q}{2^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha_0^2} \left[\left(-\frac{15}{\alpha_0} \alpha_1 e^{2\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} + \frac{3}{4} \alpha_1^2 \alpha_3 e^{-2\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} \right) \hat{a} \right] \hat{a} + \frac{1}{\alpha_0^2} \hat{a}_0^2 \hat{a}_0^$$

$$\hat{c}_{0} = \frac{1}{m\omega_{0}^{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha_{0}\alpha_{1}^{2}\alpha_{2}} \left[\left(-\frac{1}{8} \alpha_{1}e^{-1} + \frac{1}{4} \alpha_{1}\alpha_{3}e^{-1} + \frac{1}{4} \alpha_{1}\alpha_{1}\alpha_{1}a^{-1} + \frac{1}{4} \alpha_{1}\alpha_{1}\alpha_{1}a^{-1} + \frac{1}{4} \alpha_{1}\alpha_{1}\alpha_{1}a^{-1} +$$

which are the desired expressions for the homogeneous coefficients \hat{c}_{-1} , \hat{c}_0 , and \hat{c}_1 in terms of the complex amplitude \hat{a} of the perturbing circularly polarized light $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{e}_1 \hat{a} \hat{\psi}$. Notice that these coefficients, which also depend on the complex conjugate \hat{a}^* of the complex amplitude \hat{a} , are now in forms similar to those for the particular coefficients \hat{b}_{-1} and \hat{b}_1 in Eqs. (144a) and (144b).

4 Fourier Analysis of Electron Orbits at Different Light Frequencies

In Section 3, we solved the nonlinear differential equation for the orbit by finding the equation's homogeneous and particular solutions, and then imposed the continuity of position and velocity when the light switch is turned on in order to determine all the Fourier coefficients of the total solution. Now in Section 4, we shall discuss the orbits at different light frequencies using the framework of Fourier analysis, which we shall interpret in terms of the Copernican concepts of eccentric, deferent, and epicycle.

4.1 Fourier Harmonics: Eccentric, Deferent, and Epicycles

The unperturbed position of the electron in a Hydrogen atom is given by $\mathbf{r}_0 = \mathbf{e}_1 \hat{r}_0 \hat{\psi}_0$ in Eq. (8a). If at time t = 0 the electron interacts with a circularly polarized light's electric field $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{e}_1 \hat{a} \hat{\psi}$ in Eq. (8c), the position \mathbf{r} of the electron for time t > 0 is given in Eq. (114):

$$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{e}_1 \left[\hat{c}_{-1} + (\hat{r}_0 + \hat{c}_0)\hat{\psi}_0 + \hat{c}_1\hat{\psi}_0^2 + \hat{b}_{-1}\hat{\psi}^{-1}\hat{\psi}_0^2 + \hat{b}_1\hat{\psi} \right],$$
(153)

where the particular coefficients \hat{b}_{-1} and \hat{b}_1 are given in Eqs. (145a) and (145b), while the homogeneous coefficients \hat{c}_{-1} , \hat{c}_0 , and \hat{c}_1 are given in Eqs. (152a), (152b), and (152c). Note that even though the \hat{b} - and \hat{c} -coefficients are already expressed in terms of the amplitude \hat{a} of light, it is not possible to express the deviation \mathbf{r}_1 from the unperturbed circular orbit as proportional the the perturbing electric field $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{e}_1 \hat{a} \hat{\psi}$, because the Hydrogen atom acts as a nonlinear oscillator in the presence of the circularly polarized light.

Geometrically, we may interpret each term of Eq. (153) in terms of Copernican notions of eccentric, deferent, and epicycle, which were used to describe the motion of the planets around the sun, but which we now use to describe the motion of an electron in a Hydrogen atom subjec to a circularly polarized light. The first term $\mathbf{e}_1 \hat{c}_{-1}$ is the eccentric, which is the displacement of the center of the electron's orbit away from the proton by a distance of $|\hat{c}_{-1}|$. The second term $\mathbf{e}_1(\hat{r}_0 + \hat{c}_0)\hat{\psi}_0$ is the deferent whose radius $|\hat{r}_0 + \hat{c}_0|$ is smaller or bigger than the unperturbed radius r_0 , depending on the phases of \hat{r}_0 and \hat{c}_0 ; the angular frequency of rotation of the deferent is ω_0 , which is the electron's unperturbed orbital angular frequency. The third term $\hat{c}_1\hat{\psi}_0^2$ is an epicycle with radius $|\hat{c}_1|$ and angular frequency $-(\omega - 2\omega_0)$. The last term $\mathbf{e}_1\hat{b}_{-1}\hat{\psi}^2_0$ is an epicycle with radius $|\hat{b}_{-1}|$ and angular frequency ω , which is the same frequency as that of the perturbing circularly polarized light. Note that the rotation of the deferent circle and the epicycle are counterclockwise if corresponding angular frequencies are positive, and clockwise if the corresponding angular frequencies are negative.

4.2 Frequency Ratio Subscript Notation $\alpha_k \equiv \alpha - k$

Using the definition of the frequency ratio $\alpha = \omega/\omega_0$ in Eq.(87), we may rewrite the wave function $\hat{\psi}$ as

$$\hat{\psi} = e^{\hat{\imath}\omega t} = e^{\hat{\imath}\alpha\omega_0 t} = \hat{\psi}_0^{\alpha}.$$
(154)

Substituting this back to Eq. (153), we get

$$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{e}_1 \left[\hat{c}_{-1} + (\hat{r}_0 + \hat{c}_0)\hat{\psi}_0 + \hat{c}_1\hat{\psi}_0^2 + \hat{b}_{-1}\hat{\psi}_0^{-(\alpha-2)} + \hat{b}_1\hat{\psi}_0^\alpha \right].$$
(155)

Using the α_k notation in Eq. (142), we may also rewrite Eq. (155) as

$$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{e}_1 \left[\hat{c}_{-1} + (\hat{r}_0 + \hat{c}_0)\hat{\psi}_0 + \hat{c}_1\hat{\psi}_0^2 + \hat{b}_{-1}\hat{\psi}_0^{-\alpha_2} + \hat{b}_1\hat{\psi}_0^{\alpha_0} \right].$$
(156)

This form is important because the coefficients \hat{b}_{-1} , b_1 , \hat{c}_{-1} , \hat{c}_0 , and \hat{c}_1 are expressed in the compact α_k notation, as given in Eqs. (145a), (145b), (152a), (152b), and (152c).

4.3 Fourier Harmonics in Rectangular Coordinates

Let us rewrite the expression for the electron's position in Eq. (156) as

$$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}_{(0)} + \mathbf{r}_{(1)} + \mathbf{r}_{(2)} + \mathbf{r}_{(-\alpha_2)} + \mathbf{r}_{(\alpha_0)}, \tag{157}$$

where

$$\mathbf{r}_{(0)} = x \,\mathbf{e}_1 + y \,\mathbf{e}_2 = \mathbf{e}_1 \hat{c}_{-1},$$
 (158a)

$$\mathbf{r}_{(1)} = x_{(0)}\mathbf{e}_1 + y_{(0)}\mathbf{e}_2 = \mathbf{e}_1(\hat{r}_0 + \hat{c}_0)\psi_0, \tag{158b}$$

$$\mathbf{r}_{(2)} = x_{(2)}\mathbf{e}_1 + y_{(2)}\mathbf{e}_2 = \mathbf{e}_1\hat{c}_1\psi_0^2, \tag{158c}$$

$$\mathbf{r}_{(-\alpha_2)} = x_{(-\alpha_2)}\mathbf{e}_1 + y_{(-\alpha_2)}\mathbf{e}_2 = \mathbf{e}_1\hat{b}_{-1}\hat{\psi}_0^{-\alpha_2},\tag{158d}$$

$$\mathbf{r}_{(\alpha_0)} = x_{(\alpha_0)}\mathbf{e}_1 + y_{(\alpha_0)}\mathbf{e}_2 = \mathbf{e}_1\hat{b}_1\hat{\psi}_0^{\alpha_0} \tag{158e}$$

are the five exponential Fourier terms of the orbit and their corresponding x- and y-coordinate expansions in \mathbf{e}_1 and \mathbf{e}_2 basis, with the subscripts 0, 1, 2, $-\alpha_2$, and α_0 of the position vector correspond to the powers of the wave function $\hat{\psi}_0$.

If we can determine the x- and y-components of each circular Fourier term in Eq. (157), then we can determine the x- and y-component of the electron's orbital position **r** in time:

$$x = x_{(0)} + x_{(1)} + x_{(2)} + x_{(-\alpha_2)} + x_{(\alpha_0)},$$
(159a)

$$y = y_{(0)} + y_{(1)} + y_{(2)} + y_{(-\alpha_2)} + y_{(\alpha_0)}.$$
(159b)

Our aim then is to determine these x- and y-components.

4.4 Fourier Harmonic at Frequency 0

For the eccentric $\mathbf{r}_{(0)}$ in Eq. (158a),

$$\mathbf{r}_{(0)} = x_{(0)}\mathbf{e}_1 + y_{(0)}\mathbf{e}_2 = \mathbf{e}_1\hat{c}_{-1},\tag{160}$$

we first substitute the expression for the coefficients \hat{c}_{-1} and \hat{a} in Eq. (152a) to get

$$\mathbf{r}_{(0)} = \frac{q}{m\omega_0^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha_0 \alpha_1^2 \alpha_2} \mathbf{e}_1 \left[\left(\frac{27}{16} \alpha_1 e^{2\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} - \frac{3}{8} \alpha_1^2 \alpha_3 e^{-2\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} - \frac{9}{16} \alpha_1 e^{-2\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} \right) \hat{a}^* + \left(\frac{9}{8} \alpha_1^2 \alpha_3 + \frac{27}{16} \alpha_1 - \frac{9}{16} \alpha_1 e^{-4\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} \right) \hat{a} \right].$$
(161)

Since the coefficient $\hat{a} = ae^{\hat{i}\delta}$ as given in Eq. (39a), then

$$\mathbf{r}_{(0)} = \frac{q}{m\omega_0^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha_0 \alpha_1^2 \alpha_2} \times \mathbf{e}_1 \left[\left(\frac{27}{16} \alpha_1 e^{2\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} - \frac{3}{8} \alpha_1^2 \alpha_3 e^{-2\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} - \frac{9}{16} \alpha_1 e^{-2\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} \right) a e^{-\hat{\imath}\delta} + \left(\frac{9}{8} \alpha_1^2 \alpha_3 + \frac{27}{16} \alpha_1 - \frac{9}{16} \alpha_1 e^{-4\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} \right) a^{\hat{\imath}\delta} \right],$$
(162)

Combining the exponentials,

$$\mathbf{r}_{(0)} = \frac{q}{m\omega_0^2} \cdot \frac{a}{\alpha_0 \alpha_1^2 \alpha_2} \times \mathbf{e}_1 \left[\frac{27}{16} \alpha_1 e^{(2\phi_0 - \delta)\hat{\imath}} - \frac{3}{8} \alpha_1^2 \alpha_3 e^{-(2\phi_0 + \delta)\hat{\imath}} - \frac{9}{16} \alpha_1 e^{-(2\phi_0 + \delta)\hat{\imath}} + \frac{9}{8} \alpha_1^2 \alpha_3 e^{\hat{\imath}\delta} + \frac{27}{16} \alpha_1 e^{\hat{\imath}\delta} - \frac{9}{16} \alpha_1 e^{-(4\phi_0 - \delta)\hat{\imath}} \right],$$
(163)

and rewriting the \mathbf{e}_1 and \mathbf{e}_2 components into two separate equations, we arrive at

$$\begin{split} x_{(0)} &= \frac{q}{m\omega_0^2} \cdot \frac{a}{\alpha_0 \alpha_1^2 \alpha_2} \times \\ & \left[-\frac{9}{16} \cos(4\phi_0 - \delta) + \frac{27}{16} \alpha_1 \cos(2\phi_0 - \delta) \right. \\ & \left. - \left(\frac{3}{8} \alpha_1^2 \alpha_3 + \frac{9}{16} \alpha_1 \right) \cos(2\phi_0 + \delta) + \left(\frac{9}{8} \alpha_1^2 \alpha_3 + \frac{27}{16} \alpha_1 \right) \cos \delta \right], \quad (164a) \\ y_{(0)} &= \frac{q}{m\omega_0^2} \cdot \frac{a}{\alpha_0 \alpha_1^2 \alpha_2} \times \\ & \left[\frac{9}{16} \sin(4\phi_0 - \delta) + \frac{27}{16} \alpha_1 \sin(2\phi_0 - \delta) \right. \\ & \left. + \left(\frac{3}{8} \alpha_1^2 \alpha_3 + \frac{9}{16} \alpha_1 \right) \sin(2\phi_0 + \delta) + \left(\frac{9}{8} \alpha_1^2 \alpha_3 + \frac{27}{16} \alpha_1 \right) \sin \delta \right], \quad (164b) \end{split}$$

which are the equations for the x- and y-components for the eccentric $\mathbf{r}_{(0)} = \mathbf{e}_1 \hat{c}_{-1}$. Note that $\alpha_0 = \omega/\omega_0$, $\alpha_1 = (\omega - \omega_0)/\omega_0$, $\alpha_2 = (\omega - 2\omega_0)/\omega_0$, and $\alpha_3 = (\omega - 3\omega_0)/\omega_0$.

4.5 Fourier Harmonic at Frequency ω_0

For the deferent $\mathbf{r}_{(1)}$ in Eq. (158b),

$$\mathbf{r}_{(1)} = x_{(1)}\mathbf{e}_1 + y_{(1)}\mathbf{e}_2 = \mathbf{e}_1(\hat{r}_0 + \hat{c}_0)\hat{\psi}_0, \tag{165}$$

(164b)

we first distribute the unit vector \mathbf{e}_1 and the wave function $\hat{\psi}_0$ to get

$$\mathbf{r}_{(1)} = \mathbf{e}_1 \hat{r}_0 \hat{\psi}_0 + \mathbf{e}_1 \hat{c}_0 \hat{\psi}_0.$$
(166)

Our task now is to expand individually each of these terms in order to obtain the expression for the deferent $\mathbf{r}_{(1)}$.

For the first term $\mathbf{e}_1 \hat{r}_0 \hat{\psi}_0$ of $\mathbf{r}_{(1)}$, we substitute the expressions for \hat{r}_0 and $\hat{\psi}_0$ in Eqs. (44a) and (44b) to get

$$\mathbf{e}_{1}\hat{r}_{0}\hat{\psi}_{0} = \mathbf{e}_{1}r_{0}e^{\hat{i}\phi_{0}}e^{\hat{i}\omega_{0}t}.$$
(167)

Combining the exponentials,

$$\mathbf{e}_{1}\hat{r}_{0}\hat{\psi}_{0} = \mathbf{e}_{1}r_{0}e^{\hat{\imath}(\omega_{0}t+\phi_{0})},\tag{168}$$

and expanding the exponential, we arrive at

$$\mathbf{e}_{1}\hat{r}_{0}\hat{\psi}_{0} = \mathbf{e}_{1}r_{0}\cos(\omega_{0}t + \phi_{0}) + \mathbf{e}_{1}r_{0}\sin(\omega_{0}t + \phi_{0}),$$
(169)

which is equivalent to the expressions for the x- and y-components for the electron's unperturbed circular orbit, as given in Eqs. (45a) and (45b).

On the other hand, for the second term $\mathbf{e}_1 \hat{c}_0 \hat{\psi}_0$, we substitute the expensions for \hat{c}_0 and ψ_0 in Eqs. (152b) and (44b) to get

$$\mathbf{e}_{1}\hat{c}_{0}\hat{\psi}_{0} = \frac{q}{m\omega_{0}^{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha_{0}\alpha_{1}^{2}\alpha_{2}} \mathbf{e}_{1} \left[\left(-\frac{15}{8}\alpha_{1}e^{2\phi_{0}\hat{\imath}} + \frac{3}{4}\alpha_{1}^{2}\alpha_{3}e^{-2\phi_{0}\hat{\imath}} + \frac{9}{8}\alpha_{1}e^{-2\phi_{0}\hat{\imath}} + \frac{3}{2}e^{2\phi_{0}\hat{\imath}} \right) \hat{a}^{*} + \left(-\frac{5}{4}\alpha_{1}^{2}\alpha_{3} - \frac{15}{8}\alpha_{1} + \frac{9}{8}\alpha_{1}e^{-4\phi_{0}\hat{\imath}} - \alpha_{1}\alpha_{3} - \frac{3}{2} \right) \hat{a} \right] e^{\hat{\imath}\omega_{0}t}.$$
 (170)

Since the coefficient $\hat{a} = ae^{i\delta}$ as given in Eq. (39a), then

$$\mathbf{e}_{1}\hat{c}_{0}\hat{\psi}_{0} = \frac{q}{m\omega_{0}^{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha_{0}\alpha_{1}^{2}\alpha_{2}} \mathbf{e}_{1} \left[\left(-\frac{15}{8}\alpha_{1}e^{2\phi_{0}\hat{\imath}} + \frac{3}{4}\alpha_{1}^{2}\alpha_{3}e^{-2\phi_{0}\hat{\imath}} + \frac{9}{8}\alpha_{1}e^{-2\phi_{0}\hat{\imath}} + \frac{3}{2}e^{2\phi_{0}\hat{\imath}} \right) ae^{-\hat{\imath}\delta} + \left(-\frac{5}{4}\alpha_{1}^{2}\alpha_{3} - \frac{15}{8}\alpha_{1} + \frac{9}{8}\alpha_{1}e^{-4\phi_{0}\hat{\imath}} - \alpha_{1}\alpha_{3} - \frac{3}{2} \right) ae^{\hat{\imath}\delta} \right] e^{\hat{\imath}\omega_{0}t}.$$
 (171)

Distributing the exponentials,

$$\mathbf{e}_1 \hat{c}_0 \hat{\psi}_0 = \frac{q}{m\omega_0^2} \cdot \frac{a}{\alpha_0 \alpha_1^2 \alpha_2} \mathbf{e}_1 \left[\left(-\frac{15}{8} \alpha_1 + \frac{3}{2} \right) e^{\hat{\imath}(\omega_0 t + 2\phi_0 - \delta)} \right]$$

$$+ \left(\frac{3}{4}\alpha_{1}^{2}\alpha_{3} + \frac{9}{8}\alpha_{1}\right)e^{\hat{\imath}(\omega_{0}t - 2\phi_{0} - \delta)} \\ + \left(-\frac{5}{4}\alpha_{1}^{2}\alpha_{3} - \frac{15}{8}\alpha_{1} - \alpha_{1}\alpha_{3} - \frac{3}{2}\right)e^{\hat{\imath}(\omega_{0}t + \delta)} \\ + \left(\frac{9}{8}\alpha_{1}\right)e^{\hat{\imath}(\omega_{0}t - 4\phi_{0} + \delta)} \right]$$
(172)

and separating the components along \mathbf{e}_1 and \mathbf{e}_2 , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{e}_{1}\hat{c}_{0}\hat{\psi}_{0} &= \frac{q}{m\omega_{0}^{2}} \cdot \frac{a}{\alpha_{0}\alpha_{1}^{2}\alpha_{2}} \mathbf{e}_{1} \left[\left(-\frac{15}{8}\alpha_{1} + \frac{3}{2} \right) \cos(\omega_{0}t + 2\phi_{0} - \delta) \right. \\ &+ \left(\frac{3}{4}\alpha_{1}^{2}\alpha_{3} + \frac{9}{8}\alpha_{1} \right) \cos(\omega_{0}t - 2\phi_{0} - \delta) \\ &+ \left(-\frac{5}{4}\alpha_{1}^{2}\alpha_{3} - \frac{15}{8}\alpha_{1} - \alpha_{1}\alpha_{3} - \frac{3}{2} \right) \cos(\omega_{0}t + \delta) \\ &+ \left(\frac{9}{8}\alpha_{1} \right) \cos(\omega_{0}t - 4\phi_{0} + \delta) \right] \end{aligned}$$
(173a)
$$\begin{aligned} &+ \frac{q}{m\omega_{0}^{2}} \cdot \frac{a}{\alpha_{0}\alpha_{1}^{2}\alpha_{2}} \mathbf{e}_{2} \left[\left(-\frac{15}{8}\alpha_{1} + \frac{3}{2} \right) \sin(\omega_{0}t + 2\phi_{0} - \delta) \\ &+ \left(\frac{3}{4}\alpha_{1}^{2}\alpha_{3} + \frac{9}{8}\alpha_{1} \right) \sin(\omega_{0}t - 2\phi_{0} - \delta) \\ &+ \left(-\frac{5}{4}\alpha_{1}^{2}\alpha_{3} - \frac{15}{8}\alpha_{1} - \alpha_{1}\alpha_{3} - \frac{3}{2} \right) \sin(\omega_{0}t + \delta) \\ &+ \left(\frac{9}{8}\alpha_{1} \right) \sin(\omega_{0}t - 4\phi_{0} + \delta) \right]. \end{aligned}$$
(173b)

Finally, substituting the expressions for $\mathbf{e}_1 \hat{r}_0 \hat{\psi}_0$ and $\mathbf{e}_1 \hat{c}_0 \hat{\psi}_0$ in Eqs. (169) and (173a) back to the expression for the deferent $\mathbf{r}_{(1)}$ in Eq. (166) and separating the components along \mathbf{e}_1 and \mathbf{e}_2 , we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} x_{(1)} &= r_0 \cos(\omega_0 t) + \frac{q}{m\omega_0^2} \cdot \frac{a}{\alpha_0 \alpha_1^2 \alpha_2} \left[\left(-\frac{15}{8} \alpha_1 + \frac{3}{2} \right) \cos(\omega_0 t + 2\phi_0 - \delta) \right. \\ &+ \left(\frac{3}{4} \alpha_1^2 \alpha_3 + \frac{9}{8} \alpha_1 \right) \cos(\omega_0 t - 2\phi_0 - \delta) \\ &+ \left(-\frac{5}{4} \alpha_1^2 \alpha_3 - \frac{15}{8} \alpha_1 - \alpha_1 \alpha_3 - \frac{3}{2} \right) \cos(\omega_0 t + \delta) \\ &+ \left(\frac{9}{8} \alpha_1 \right) \cos(\omega_0 t - 4\phi_0 + \delta) \right] \\ y_{(1)} &= r_0 \cos(\omega_0 t) + \frac{q}{m\omega_0^2} \cdot \frac{a}{\alpha_0 \alpha_1^2 \alpha_2} \left[\left(-\frac{15}{8} \alpha_1 + \frac{3}{2} \right) \sin(\omega_0 t + 2\phi_0 - \delta) \right] \end{aligned}$$
(174a)

$$+ \left(\frac{3}{4}\alpha_{1}^{2}\alpha_{3} + \frac{9}{8}\alpha_{1}\right)\sin(\omega_{0}t - 2\phi_{0} - \delta) \\+ \left(-\frac{5}{4}\alpha_{1}^{2}\alpha_{3} - \frac{15}{8}\alpha_{1} - \alpha_{1}\alpha_{3} - \frac{3}{2}\right)\sin(\omega_{0}t + \delta) \\+ \left(\frac{9}{8}\alpha_{1}\right)\sin(\omega_{0}t - 4\phi_{0} + \delta)\right], \qquad (174b)$$

which are the desired x- and y-components of the deferent $\mathbf{r}_{(1)}$. Note that $\alpha_0 = \omega/\omega_0$, $\alpha_1 = (\omega - \omega_0)/\omega_0$, $\alpha_2 = (\omega - 2\omega_0)/\omega_0$, and $\alpha_3 = (\omega - 3\omega_0)/\omega_0$.

4.6 Fourier Harmonic at Frequency $2\omega_0$

For the epicycle $\mathbf{r}_{(2)}$ in Eq. (158c),

$$\mathbf{r}_{(2)} = x_{(2)}\mathbf{e}_1 + y_{(2)}\mathbf{e}_2 = \mathbf{e}_1\hat{c}_1\hat{\psi}_0^2.$$
(175)

we first substitute the expressions for \hat{c}_1 and $\hat{\psi}_0$ in Eqs. (152c) and (44b) to get

$$\mathbf{r}_{(2)} = \frac{q}{m\omega_0^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha_0 \alpha_1^2 \alpha_2} \left[\left(-\frac{3}{8} \alpha_1^2 \alpha_3 e^{-2\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} - \frac{9}{16} \alpha_1 e^{-2\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} + \frac{3}{16} \alpha_1 e^{2\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} \right) \hat{a}^* + \left(-\frac{9}{16} \alpha_1 e^{-4\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} + \frac{1}{8} \alpha_1^2 \alpha_3 + \frac{3}{16} \alpha_1 \right) \hat{a} \right] e^{\hat{\imath}(2\omega_0 t)}, \quad (176)$$

Since the coefficient $\hat{a} = ae^{\hat{i}\delta}$ as given in Eq. (39a), then

$$\mathbf{r}_{(2)} = \frac{q}{m\omega_0^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha_0 \alpha_1^2 \alpha_2} \left[\left(-\frac{3}{8} \alpha_1^2 \alpha_3 e^{-2\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} - \frac{9}{16} \alpha_1 e^{-2\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} + \frac{3}{16} \alpha_1 e^{2\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} \right) a e^{-\hat{\imath}\delta} + \left(-\frac{9}{16} \alpha_1 e^{-4\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} + \frac{1}{8} \alpha_1^2 \alpha_3 + \frac{3}{16} \alpha_1 \right) a e^{\hat{\imath}\delta} \right] e^{\hat{\imath}(2\omega_0 t)}.$$
 (177)

Distributing the exponentials,

$$\mathbf{r}_{(2)} = \frac{q}{m\omega_0^2} \cdot \frac{a}{\alpha_0 \alpha_1^2 \alpha_2} \mathbf{e}_1 \left[\left(-\frac{3}{8} \alpha_1^2 \alpha_3 - \frac{9}{16} \alpha_1 \right) e^{\hat{\imath}(2\omega_0 t - 2\phi_0 - \delta)} + \left(\frac{3}{16} \alpha_1 \right) e^{\hat{\imath}(2\omega_0 t + 2\phi_0 - \delta)} + \left(-\frac{9}{16} \alpha_1 \right) e^{\hat{\imath}(2\omega_0 t - 4\phi_0 + \delta)} + \left(\frac{1}{8} \alpha_1^2 \alpha_3 + \frac{3}{16} \alpha_1 \right) e^{\hat{\imath}(2\omega_0 t + \delta)} \right],$$
(178)

and separating the components along \mathbf{e}_1 and \mathbf{e}_2 into individual equations, we arrive at

$$x_{(2)} = \frac{q}{m\omega_0^2} \cdot \frac{a}{\alpha_0 \alpha_1^2 \alpha_2} \mathbf{e}_1 \left[\left(-\frac{3}{8} \alpha_1^2 \alpha_3 - \frac{9}{16} \alpha_1 \right) \cos(2\omega_0 t - 2\phi_0 - \delta) \right]$$

$$+ \left(\frac{3}{16}\alpha_{1}\right)\cos(2\omega_{0}t + 2\phi_{0} - \delta) + \left(-\frac{9}{16}\alpha_{1}\right)\cos(2\omega_{0}t - 4\phi_{0} + \delta) \\ + \left(\frac{1}{8}\alpha_{1}^{2}\alpha_{3} + \frac{3}{16}\alpha_{1}\right)\cos(2\omega_{0}t + \delta)\right], \qquad (179)$$

$$y_{(2)} = \frac{q}{m\omega_0^2} \cdot \frac{a}{\alpha_0 \alpha_1^2 \alpha_2} \mathbf{e}_1 \left[\left(-\frac{3}{8} \alpha_1^2 \alpha_3 - \frac{9}{16} \alpha_1 \right) \sin(2\omega_0 t - 2\phi_0 - \delta) + \left(\frac{3}{16} \alpha_1 \right) \sin(2\omega_0 t + 2\phi_0 - \delta) + \left(-\frac{9}{16} \alpha_1 \right) \sin(2\omega_0 t - 4\phi_0 + \delta) + \left(\frac{1}{8} \alpha_1^2 \alpha_3 + \frac{3}{16} \alpha_1 \right) \sin(2\omega_0 t + \delta) \right],$$
(180)

which are the x- and y-components of the epicycle $\mathbf{r}_{(2)}$. Note that $\alpha_0 = \omega/\omega_0$, $\alpha_1 = (\omega - \omega_0)/\omega_0$, $\alpha_2 = (\omega - 2\omega_0)/\omega_0$, and $\alpha_3 = (\omega - 3\omega_0)/\omega_0$.

4.7 Fourier Harmonic at Frequency $-(2\omega - \omega_0)$

For the epicycle $\mathbf{r}_{(-\alpha_2)}$ in Eq. (158d),

$$\mathbf{r}_{(-\alpha_2)} = x_{(-\alpha_2)}\mathbf{e}_1 + y_{(-\alpha_2)}\mathbf{e}_2 = \mathbf{e}_1\hat{b}_{-1}\hat{\psi}_0^{-\alpha_2},\tag{181}$$

we first substitute the expression for \hat{b}_{-1} and $\hat{\psi}_0$ in Eqs. (145a) and (44b) to get

$$\mathbf{r}_{(-\alpha_2)} = \frac{q}{m\omega_0^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha_0 \alpha_1^2 \alpha_2} \,\mathbf{e}_1 \left(-\frac{3}{2} \,e^{2\phi_0 \hat{\imath}}\right) \hat{a}^* e^{\hat{\imath}(-\alpha_2 \omega_0 t)} \tag{182}$$

Since the coefficient $\hat{a} = ae^{i\delta}$ as given in Eq. (39a), then

$$\mathbf{r}_{(-\alpha_2)} = \frac{q}{m\omega_0^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha_0 \alpha_1^2 \alpha_2} \mathbf{e}_1 \left(-\frac{3}{2} e^{2\phi_0 \hat{\imath}} \right) a e^{-\hat{\imath}\delta} e^{\hat{\imath}(-\alpha_2 \omega_0 t)}.$$
 (183)

Combining the exponentials,

$$\mathbf{r}_{(-\alpha_2)} = \frac{q}{m\omega_0^2} \cdot \frac{a}{\alpha_0 \alpha_1^2 \alpha_2} \,\mathbf{e}_1 \left[-\frac{3}{2} \,e^{\hat{\imath}(-\alpha_2 \omega_0 t + 2\phi_0 - \delta)} \,\right] \tag{184}$$

and separating the components along \mathbf{e}_1 and \mathbf{e}_2 into individual equations, we arrive at

$$x_{(-\alpha_2)} = \frac{q}{m\omega_0^2} \cdot \frac{a}{\alpha_0 \alpha_1^2 \alpha_2} \left[-\frac{3}{2} \cos(-\alpha_2 \omega_0 t + 2\phi_0 - \delta) \right], \quad (185a)$$

$$y_{(-\alpha_2)} = \frac{q}{m\omega_0^2} \cdot \frac{a}{\alpha_0 \alpha_1^2 \alpha_2} \left[-\frac{3}{2} \sin(-\alpha_2 \omega_0 t + 2\phi_0 - \delta) \right],$$
 (185b)

which are the x- and y-components of the epicycle $\mathbf{r}_{(-\alpha_2)}$. Note that $\alpha_0 = \omega/\omega_0$, $\alpha_1 = (\omega - \omega_0)/\omega_0$, and $\alpha_2 = (\omega - 2\omega_0)/\omega_0$

4.8 Fourier Harmonic at Frequency ω

For the epicycle $\mathbf{r}_{(\alpha_0)}$ in Eq. (158e),

$$\mathbf{r}_{(\alpha_0)} = x_{(\alpha_0)}\mathbf{e}_1 + y_{(\alpha_0)}\mathbf{e}_2 = \mathbf{e}_1\hat{b}_1\hat{\psi}_0^{\alpha_0},\tag{186}$$

we first substitute the expressions for \hat{b}_1 and $\hat{\psi}_0$ in Eqs. (145b) and (44b) to get

$$\mathbf{r}_{(\alpha_0)} = \frac{q}{m\omega_0^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha_0 \alpha_1^2 \alpha_2} \mathbf{e}_1 \left[\left(\alpha_1 \alpha_3 + \frac{3}{2} \right) \hat{a} \right] e^{\hat{\imath}(\alpha_0 \omega_0 t)}.$$
 (187)

Since the coefficient $\hat{a} = ae^{i\delta}$ as given in Eq. (39a), then

$$\mathbf{r}_{(\alpha_0)} = \frac{q}{m\omega_0^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha_0 \alpha_1^2 \alpha_2} \mathbf{e}_1 \left[\left(\alpha_1 \alpha_3 + \frac{3}{2} \right) a e^{i\delta} \right] e^{i(\alpha_0 \omega_0 t)}.$$
 (188)

Combining the exponentials,

$$\mathbf{r}_{(\alpha_0)} = \frac{q}{m\omega_0^2} \cdot \frac{a}{\alpha_0 \alpha_1^2 \alpha_2} \mathbf{e}_1 \left[\left(\alpha_1 \alpha_3 + \frac{3}{2} \right) e^{\hat{\imath}(\alpha_0 \omega_0 t + \delta)} \right], \tag{189}$$

and separating the components along \mathbf{e}_1 and \mathbf{e}_2 into individual equations, we arrive at

$$x_{(\alpha_0)} = \frac{q}{m\omega_0^2} \cdot \frac{a}{\alpha_0 \alpha_1^2 \alpha_2} \left[\left(\alpha_1 \alpha_3 + \frac{3}{2} \right) \cos(\alpha_0 \omega_0 t + \delta) \right], \tag{190a}$$

$$y_{(\alpha_0)} = \frac{q}{m\omega_0^2} \cdot \frac{a}{\alpha_0 \alpha_1^2 \alpha_2} \left[\left(\alpha_1 \alpha_3 + \frac{3}{2} \right) \sin(\alpha_0 \omega_0 t + \delta) \right],$$
(190b)

which are the x- and y-components of the epicycle $\mathbf{r}_{(\alpha_0)}$. Note that $\alpha_0 = \omega/\omega_0$, $\alpha_1 = (\omega - \omega_0)/\omega_0$, $\alpha_2 = (\omega - 2\omega_0)/\omega_0$, and $\alpha_3 = (\omega - 3\omega_0)/\omega_0$.

4.9 Conjugate Frequency Ratios $\{\alpha_0, -\alpha_2\}$

Let us recall the position \mathbf{r} of the electron given in Eq. (156):

$$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{e}_1 [\hat{c}_{-1} + (\hat{r}_0 + \hat{c}_0)\hat{\psi}_0 + \hat{c}_1\hat{\psi}_0^2 + \hat{b}_{-1}\hat{\psi}_0^{-\alpha_2} + \hat{b}_1\hat{\psi}_0^{\alpha_0}],$$
(191)

where

$$\alpha_0 \equiv \alpha = \frac{\omega}{\omega_0},\tag{192a}$$

$$-\alpha_2 \equiv -(\alpha - 2) = -(\alpha_0 - 2) = -\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_0} - 2\right)$$
 (192b)

are the frequency ratios in α_k subscript notation.

The pair of frequency ratios α_0 and $-\alpha_2$ in Eqs. (192a) and (192b) have an interesting property. If we set

$$\alpha_0' = -\alpha_2 = -(\alpha_0 - 2), \tag{193}$$

then the corresponding $-\alpha'_2$ is

$$-\alpha_2' = -(\alpha_0' - 2) = -[-(\alpha_0 - 2) - 2] = \alpha_0.$$
(194)

Thus, we still get the same pair of frequency ratios α_0 and $-\alpha_2$, so that the Fourier basis elements in Eq. (156) would remain the same, though the values of their corresponding coefficients may be different:

$$\mathbf{r}' = \mathbf{e}_1 [\hat{c}'_{-1} + (\hat{r}_0 + \hat{c}'_0)\hat{\psi}_0 + \hat{c}'_1\hat{\psi}_0^2 + \hat{b}'_{-1}\hat{\psi}_0^{-\alpha'_2} + \hat{b}'_1\hat{\psi}_0^{\alpha'_0}],$$
(195a)

$$= \mathbf{e}_{1} [\hat{c}_{-1}' + (\hat{r}_{0} + \hat{c}_{0}')\hat{\psi}_{0} + \hat{c}_{1}'\hat{\psi}_{0}^{2} + \hat{b}_{-1}'\hat{\psi}_{0}^{\alpha_{0}} + \hat{b}_{1}'\hat{\psi}_{0}^{-\alpha_{2}}].$$
(195b)

We shall call such pairs of frequency ratios that yield the same Fourier basis set for the orbit as conjugate frequency ratios. The conjugate frequency ratios may be pairs of real numbers in general. But for simplicity, we shall discuss only integral and half-integral conjugate frequency ratios.

4.10 Orbits at Resonant Frequency Ratios $\alpha = \{0, 1, 2\}$

If we study the expressions for the \hat{b} - and \hat{c} -coefficients, we notice that all of them have the denominator

$$\alpha \alpha_1^2 \alpha_2 = \alpha (\alpha - 1)^2 (\alpha - 2), \tag{196}$$

which make the electron orbit divergent at the following resonant ratios between the angular frequency ω of light and the unperturbed angular frequency ω_0 of the electron:

$$\alpha = \frac{\omega}{\omega_0} = \{0, 1, 2\}.$$
 (197)

Note that these resonant frequency ratios belong to two sets of conjugate frequency ratios: $\alpha = \{1, 1\}$ and $\alpha = \{0, 2\}$. The first pair is degenerate.

Nevertheless, even if we cannot remove this divergence in our first-order perturbation model, we can already see that at the different resonant frequency ratios, the orbit in Eq. (155) reduces to the same Fourier basis wave functions 1, $\hat{\psi}_0$, and $\hat{\psi}_0^2$:

$$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{e}_{1} \lim_{\alpha \to 0} \left[\left(\hat{c}_{-1} + \hat{b}_{1} \right) + \left(\hat{r}_{0} + \hat{c}_{0} \right) \hat{\psi}_{0} + \left(\hat{c}_{1} + \hat{b}_{-1} \right) \hat{\psi}_{0}^{2} \right],$$
(198a)

$$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{e}_1 \lim_{\alpha \to 1} \left[\hat{c}_{-1} + \left(\hat{r}_0 + \hat{c}_0 + \hat{b}_{-1} + \hat{b}_1 \right) \hat{\psi}_0 + \hat{c}_1 \hat{\psi}_0^2 \right],$$
(198b)

$$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{e}_1 \lim_{\alpha \to 2} \left[\left(\hat{c}_{-1} + \hat{b}_{-1} \right) + \left(\hat{r}_0 + \hat{c}_0 \right) \hat{\psi}_0 + \left(\hat{c}_1 + \hat{b}_1 \right) \hat{\psi}_0^2 \right].$$
(198c)

Since the linear combination of these three wave functions approximate an elliptical orbit, then the resonant frequency ratios result to approximately elliptical orbits that are distinct.

Now, since we showed earler that the wave functions 1, ψ_0 , and $\hat{\psi}_0^2$ correspond to the eccentric, deferent, and epicycle in Copernican model, we may interpret each of the three resonance cases in Copernican terms:

- Eccentric-Epicycle Antisymmetric Resonance ($\alpha = 0$). The particular coefficient \hat{b}_1 becomes part of the coefficient ($\hat{c}_{-1} + \hat{b}_1$) of the eccentric wave function 1, while the the particular coefficient \hat{b}_{-1} becomes part of the coefficient ($\hat{c}_1 + \hat{b}_{-1}$) of the epicycle wave function $\hat{\psi}_0^2$. The resonance is called antisymmetric because the \hat{c}_- and \hat{b}_- components of the eccentric and epicycle wave function coefficients have different subscripts.
- Deferent Resonance ($\alpha = 1$), The particular coefficients \hat{b}_{-1} and \hat{b}_1 become part of the coefficient ($\hat{r}_0 + \hat{c}_0 + \hat{b}_{-1} + \hat{b}_1$) of the deferent wave function $\hat{\psi}_0$.
- Eccentric-Epicycle Symmetric Resonance ($\alpha = 2$). The particular coefficient \hat{b}_{-1} becomes part of the coefficient $(\hat{c}_{-1} + \hat{b}_{-1})$ of the eccentric wave function 1, while the the particular coefficient \hat{b}_1 becomes part of the coefficient $(\hat{c}_1 + \hat{b}_1)$ of the epicycle wave function $\hat{\psi}_0^2$. The resonance is called symmetric because the \hat{c}_- and \hat{b}_- components of the eccentric and epicycle wave function coefficients have the same subscripts.

4.11 Orbits at Inter-Resonant Frequency Ratios $\alpha = \{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\}$

Between the resonant frequencies $\alpha = \{0, 1, 2\}$, we have the non-resonant frequency ratios $\alpha = \{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}\}$. The orbits of the electron at these frequency ratios are

$$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{e}_{1} \lim_{\alpha \to \frac{1}{2}} \left[\hat{c}_{-1} + (\hat{r}_{0} + \hat{c}_{0})\hat{\psi}_{0} + \hat{c}_{1}\hat{\psi}_{0}^{2} + \hat{b}_{-1}\hat{\psi}_{0}^{\frac{3}{2}} + \hat{b}_{1}\hat{\psi}_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right],$$
(199a)

$$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{e}_1 \lim_{\alpha \to \frac{3}{2}} \left[\hat{c}_{-1} + (\hat{r}_0 + \hat{c}_0)\hat{\psi}_0 + \hat{c}_1\hat{\psi}_0^2 + \hat{b}_{-1}\hat{\psi}_0^{\frac{1}{2}} + \hat{b}_1\hat{\psi}_0^{\frac{3}{2}} \right].$$
(199b)

Notice that both orbits are linear combinations of the wave functions 1, $\hat{\psi}_0$, $\hat{\psi}_0^2$, $\hat{\psi}_0^1$, and $\hat{\psi}_0^2$, though their \hat{c} - and \hat{b} -coefficients have different values. These wave functions have angular frequencies 0, ω_0 , $2\omega_0$, $\frac{1}{2}\omega_0$, and $\frac{3}{2}\omega_0$, respectively. The last four angular frequencies correspond to rotational periods of $2\pi/\omega_0$, π/ω_0 , $4\pi/\omega_0$, and $\frac{4}{3}(\pi/\omega_0)$. The orbit will repeat itself at the least common multiple of these four rotational periods:

$$\tau = \frac{2\pi}{\omega_0} \cdot \operatorname{lcm}\left(1, \frac{1}{2}, 2, \frac{2}{3}\right) = \frac{2\pi}{\omega_0} \cdot \frac{\operatorname{lcm}\left(6, 3, 12, 4\right)}{6} = \frac{4\pi}{\omega_0} = 2\tau_0.$$
(200)

Notice that the period τ of the of the perturbed orbit is twice the period $\tau_0 = 2\pi/\omega_0$ of the unperturbed orbit.

4.12 Orbits at Non-Resonant Frequency Ratios $\alpha = \{-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{5}{2}\}$

Beyond the resonant frequencies $\alpha = \{0, 1, 2\}$, we have the first non-resonant halfintegral conjugate frequency ratio pair $\alpha = \{-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{5}{2}\}$. The orbits of the electron at these frequency ratios are

$$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{e}_{1} \lim_{\alpha \to -\frac{1}{2}} \left[\hat{c}_{-1} + (\hat{r}_{0} + \hat{c}_{0})\hat{\psi}_{0} + \hat{c}_{1}\hat{\psi}_{0}^{2} + \hat{b}_{-1}\hat{\psi}_{0}^{\frac{5}{2}} + \hat{b}_{1}\hat{\psi}_{0}^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right],$$
(201a)

$$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{e}_{1} \lim_{\alpha \to \frac{5}{2}} \left[\hat{c}_{-1} + (\hat{r}_{0} + \hat{c}_{0})\hat{\psi}_{0} + \hat{c}_{1}\hat{\psi}_{0}^{2} + \hat{b}_{-1}\hat{\psi}_{0}^{-\frac{1}{2}} + \hat{b}_{1}\hat{\psi}_{0}^{\frac{5}{2}} \right].$$
(201b)

Notice that both orbits are linear combinations of the wave functions 1, $\hat{\psi}_0$, $\hat{\psi}_0^2$, $\hat{\psi}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, and $\hat{\psi}_0^{\frac{5}{2}}$, though the \hat{c} - and \hat{b} -coefficients have different values. These wave functions have angular frequencies 0, ω_0 , $2\omega_0$, $-\frac{1}{2}\omega_0$, and $\frac{5}{2}\omega_0$, respectively. The last four angular frequencies correspond to rotational periods of $2\pi/\omega_0$, π/ω_0 , $|-4\pi/\omega_0| = 4\pi/\omega_0$, and $\frac{4}{5}(\pi/\omega_0)$. The orbit will repeat itself at the least common multiple of these four rotational periods:

$$\tau = \frac{2\pi}{\omega_0} \cdot \operatorname{lcm}\left(1, \frac{1}{2}, 2, \frac{2}{5}\right) = \frac{2\pi}{\omega_0} \cdot \frac{\operatorname{lcm}\left(10, 5, 20, 8\right)}{10} = \frac{8\pi}{\omega_0} = 4\tau_0.$$
(202)

Notice that the period τ of the of the perturbed orbit is 4 times the period $\tau_0 = 2\pi/\omega_0$ of the unperturbed orbit.

4.13 Orbits at Non-Resonant Frequency Ratios $\alpha = \{-1, 3\}$

Beyond the resonant frequencies $\alpha = \{0, 1, 2\}$, we have the first non-resonant integral conjugate frequency ratio pair $\alpha = \{-1, 3\}$. The orbits of the electron at these frequency ratios are

$$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{e}_1 \lim_{\alpha \to -1} \left[\hat{c}_{-1} + (\hat{r}_0 + \hat{c}_0)\hat{\psi}_0 + \hat{c}_1\hat{\psi}_0^2 + \hat{b}_{-1}\hat{\psi}_0^3 + \hat{b}_1\hat{\psi}_0^{-1} \right],$$
(203a)

$$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{e}_1 \lim_{\alpha \to 3} \left[\hat{c}_{-1} + (\hat{r}_0 + \hat{c}_0)\hat{\psi}_0 + \hat{c}_1\hat{\psi}_0^2 + \hat{b}_{-1}\hat{\psi}_0^{-1} + \hat{b}_1\hat{\psi}_0^3 \right].$$
 (203b)

Notice that both orbits are linear combinations of the wave functions 1, $\hat{\psi}_0$, $\hat{\psi}_0^2$, $\hat{\psi}^{-1}$, and $\hat{\psi}_0^3$, though the \hat{c} - and \hat{b} -coefficents have different values. These wave functions have angular frequencies 0, ω_0 , $2\omega_0$, $-\omega_0$, and $3\omega_0$, respectively. The last four angular frequencies correspond to rotational periods of $2\pi/\omega_0$, π/ω_0 , $|-2\pi/\omega_0| = 2\pi/\omega_0$, and $\frac{2}{3}(\pi/\omega_0)$. The orbit will repeat itself at the least common multiple of these four rotational periods:

$$\tau = \frac{2\pi}{\omega_0} \cdot \operatorname{lcm}\left(1, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{1}{3}\right) = \frac{2\pi}{\omega_0} \cdot \frac{\operatorname{lcm}\left(6, 3, 6, 2\right)}{6} = \frac{2\pi}{\omega_0} = \tau_0.$$
(204)

Notice that the period τ of the of the perturbed orbit is the same as the period $\tau_0 = 2\pi/\omega_0$ of the unperturbed orbit.

4.14 Orbits at Particular Non-Resonant Frequency Ratios

For non-resonant frequency ratios $\alpha \neq \{0, 1, 2\}$, the orbits are non-divergent and the position **r** of the electron is given by the general form in Eq. (155). The orbit is spanned by the wave functions 1, $\hat{\psi}_0$, $\hat{\psi}_0^2$, $\psi_0^{\alpha-2}$, and $\hat{\psi}_0^{\alpha}$, which correspond to angular frequencies 0, ω_0 , $2\omega_0$, $(\alpha - 2)\omega_0 = \omega - 2\omega_0$, and $\alpha\omega_0 = \omega$, respectively. The last four angular frequencies correspond to rotational periods of $2\pi/\omega_0$, π/ω_0 , $2\pi/(\omega - 2\omega_0)$, and $2\pi/\omega$. The orbit will repeat itself at the least common multiple of these four rotational periods:

$$\tau = \frac{2\pi}{\omega_0} \cdot \operatorname{lcm}\left(1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{|\alpha - 2|}, \frac{1}{|\alpha|}\right).$$
(205)

In general, the period τ of the orbit would be of the form

$$\tau = \frac{n}{2}\tau_0 = \frac{n\pi}{\omega_0},\tag{206}$$

where n is a positive integer, which may be finite or infinite depending on whether the frequency ratio α is a rational or irrational, respectively.

4.15 Orbits at Frequency Ratios $\alpha = \{-\infty, \infty\}$

In the limit as the frequency ratio $\alpha = \omega/\omega_0 \to \pm \infty$, the position **r** of the electron given in Eq. (155) reduces to

$$\lim_{\alpha \to \pm \infty} \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{e}_1 \hat{r}_0 \hat{\psi}_0,\tag{207}$$

because the denominators of the \hat{c} - and \hat{b} -coefficients contain the factor $\alpha_0 \alpha_1^2 \alpha_2$ and

$$\lim_{\alpha \to \pm \infty} \frac{1}{\alpha_0 \alpha_1^2 \alpha_2} = \lim_{\alpha \to \pm \infty} \left[\frac{1}{\alpha (\alpha - 1)^2 (\alpha - 2)} \right] = 0.$$
(208)

Notice that Eq. (207) is the same as Eq. (43). Thus, as the angular frequency ω of the circularly polarized light becomes much greater than the electron's unperturbed orbital frequency ω_0 , the electron's orbit approaches its circular unperturbed orbit, which is what we expect.

5 Conclusions

5.1 Summary

In this paper, we use Clifford (geometric) algebra $C\ell_{2,0}$ to find the 2D orbit of Hydrogen electron under a Coulomb force and a perturbing circularly polarized electric field of light at angular frequency ω , which is turned on at time t = 0 via a unit step switch. Using a coordinate system co-rotating with the electron's unperturbed circular orbit at angular frequency ω_0 , we derive the complex nonlinear differential equation for the perturbation which is similar to but different from the Lorentz oscillator equation: (1)

the acceleration terms are similar, (2) the damping term coefficient is not real but imaginary due to Coriolis force, (3) the term similar to spring force is not positive but negative, (3) there is a complex conjugate of the pertubation term which has no Lorentz analog but which makes the equation nonlinear, and (4) the angular frequency of the forcing term is not ω but $\omega - \omega_0$.

We solved the nonlinear differential equation's particular equation and determined the two Fourier coefficients \hat{b}_{-1} and \hat{b}_1 in terms of the complex amplitude \hat{a} of the circularly polarized electric field of light. We also solved for the nonlinear differential equation's homogeneous equation and showed that there are three unknown Fourier coefficients \hat{c}_{-1} , \hat{c}_0 , and \hat{c}_1 , with the coefficients \hat{c}_{-1} and \hat{c}_1 related by the Copernican eccentric-epicycle relation. In order to solve for these unknown \hat{c} -coefficients, we substituted the homogeneous and particular solutions back to the expression for the electron's position in time and imposed the following intial conditions: the position and velocity of the electron are continuous just before and just after the light-atom interaction. From these two boundary conditions we obtained the homogeneous \hat{c} -coefficients in terms of the \hat{b} -coefficients, which we then express in terms of the complex amplitude \hat{a} of light. This completes the solution to the first-order perturbation model of the the interaction of a circularly polarized light and the Hydrogen atom.

The perturbed circular orbit of the electron that we obtained is a linear combination of wave functions. The first three terms is an exponential Fourier series consisting of the zeroth, first, and second harmonics of the unperturbed circular orbit, which is characterized by the wave function $\hat{\psi}_0 = e^{\hat{\iota}\omega_0 t}$. These three terms correspond to the eccentric, deferent, and epicycle, which are similarly used in the Copernican model of the planetary orbits. The fifth term is the first harmonic of the circularly polarized wave function $e^{\hat{\iota}\omega t}$. This epicycle term is the only term which is proportional to the circularly polarized electric field of light. And the fourth term is the first harmonic of the wave function $\hat{\psi}^{-1}\hat{\psi}_0^2$ with angular frequency $-\omega + 2\omega_0$. Because the \hat{b} - and \hat{c} -coefficients all contain the denominator $\alpha(\alpha - 1)^2(\alpha - 2)$, we concluded that the electron orbit is divergent at three resonant frequency ratios: $\alpha = \omega/\omega_0 = \{0, 1, 2\}$.

5.2 Significance

The 2D interaction of a Hydrogen atom and a circularly polarized light has never been solved exactly before because it belongs to a class of difficult problems called the restricted three-body problem. In this paper, we showed that if we apply first-order perturbation theory, we can solve the resulting nonlineear differential equation exactly using exponential Fourier series analysis. We did not use the standard techniques of Hamiltonian mechanics as done by most of the other authors, but instead used vectors and complex numbers in Newtonian Mechanics within the framework of Clifford (geometric) algebra $C\ell_{2,0}$.

Unlike the Lorentz oscillator model that yields only one resonant frequency $\omega = \omega_0$, our oscillator model of the atom yields three resonant frequencies: 1, ω_0 , and $2\omega_0$. These frequencies would have required three separate Lorentz oscillator models. We expect that if we extend the perturbation theory from first-order to second-order and higher or take into account the force due to the circularly polarized magnetic field of light, we shall obtain more resonant frequencies, which may be integral multiples

of the fundamental angular frequency ω_0 of the electron's unperturbed circular orbit, as similarly obtained in the quantum harmonic oscillator. We expect that we may be able to remove the divergent orbits at the resonant frequencies by adding radiation damping terms as done in the Lorentz model (eg., terms proportional to either $\dot{\mathbf{r}}$ or $\ddot{\mathbf{r}}$).

Similarly, unlike the Bohr-Sommerfeld planetary model of the atom, we do not assume that the atom only absorbs radiation in quantized or discrete frequency values. Instead, we assume that the atom can absorb radiation at any frequency, though the atom resonates only at certain discrete frequencies. Also, there are no quantum jumps in our model, i.e., the electron changes the radius of its orbit at infinitesimally small time. Instead, we assume that the electron's position and velocity are continuous just before and just after the circularly polarized light is switched on. If we can remove the divergence of the orbits at the resonant frequencies through the addition of damping terms and/or higher-ordered perturbation terms, the apparent change in the radius of the orbit at resonant frequencies in the Bohr-Sommerfeld model may be explained by the change in the average distance of the electron from the proton as the electron changes its orbit from circular to approximately Keplerian elliptical orbit, which may be expressed as a sum of different harmonics of the unperturbed wave function $\hat{\psi}_0 = e^{\hat{i}\omega_0 t}$.

Finally, the use of perturbation theory and exponential Fourier series methods may be applied to a diverse set of many-body problems: (1) rotational-vibrational modes of oscillations of planar molecules, (2) the orbits of celestial bodies around Lagrange points (e.g. Trojan asteroids), (3) the discrete gap structure in Saturn's rings, (4) the discrete orbits of planets in Bode's law, and (5) the motion of the moon. These methods may also be applied to other problems involving orbits of charges in electromagnetic fields: (1) gyroscopic motion of charges around the earth's dipole field during geomagnetic storms, (2) motion of electrons in Stark and Zeeman effect, and (3) the refractive index of polarized radio waves in magnetized ionosheric plasma.

5.3 Future Work

In the next set of papers, we shall plot the resulting orbits at different light frequencies and phase angles. We shall study the kinematical and dynamical properties of such orbits, such as times of retrograde motion and the temporal variation of orbital angular momentum. We shall also compute the resulting permittivity, refractive index, and energy spectra of a Hydrogen atomic gas. Finally, we shall extend the theory of the 2D interaction of circularly polarized light and the Hydrogen atom by including the force of the magnetic field of light.

Funding Statement

Quirino Sugon Jr wishes to thank Ateneo de Manila University for the Loyola Schools Scholarly Work Grant (SOSE 04 2023), which gave him a 15-unit research deloading from 1 Jan to 31 Dec 2023 to write this paper.

Conflict of Interest/Competing Interests Statement

There is no known conflict of interest or competing interest.

Ethics Approval Statement

Not applicable.

Consent to Participate Statement

Not applicable.

Consent for Publication Statement

All authors approved the final manuscript for submission.

Data and Materials Availability Statement

The manuscript has no associated data and materials.

Code Availability Statement

There are no codes used in the manuscript.

Authors' Contribution Statement

Quirino Sugon Jr derived the theoretical equations and wrote the the manuscript. Clint Dominic G. Bennett and Daniel J. McNamara reviewed the derivations of the equations. Both of them submitted corrections and suggestions to improve manuscript.

References

- Szebehely, V.: Theory of Orbit: The Restricted Problem of Three Bodies. Academic, New York (1967)
- Gutzwiller, M.C.: Moon-earth-sun: The oldest three-body problem. Reviews of Modern Physics 70(2), 589 (1998) https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.70.589
- Robutel, P., Souchay, J.: An introduction to the dynamics of trojan asteroids. Dynamics of Small Solar System Bodies and Exoplanets, 195–227 (2010) https:// doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-04458-8_4
- [4] Von Milczewski, J., Uzer, T.: Canonical perturbation treatment of a Rydberg electron in combined electric and magnetic fields. Physical Review A 56(1), 220 (1997) https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.56.220
- [5] Bayfield, J., Koch, P.: Multiphoton ionization of highly excited hydrogen atoms. Physical Review Letters 33(5), 258 (1974) https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett. 33.258

- [6] Fu, P., Scholz, T., Hettema, J., Gallagher, T.: Ionization of Rydberg atoms by a circularly polarized microwave field. Physical Review Letters 64(5), 511 (1990) https://doi.org/IonizationofRydbergatomsbyacircularlypolarizedmicrowavefield
- [7] Gallagher, T.: Ionization of Rydberg atoms by circularly polarized microwave fields. Modern Physics Letters B 5(04), 259–272 (1991) https://doi.org/10.1142/ S0217984991000307
- [8] Ganesan, K., Gębarowski, R.: Chaos in the hydrogen atom interacting with external fields. Pramana 48, 379–410 (1997) https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02845652
- [9] Koch, P.M.: Polarization dependence of microwave "ionization" of excited hydrogen atoms. Acta Physica Polonica A 93(1), 105–133 (1998)
- [10] Alaburda, M., Gontis, V., Kaulakys, B.: Interaction and chaotic dynamics of the classical hydrogen atom in an electromagnetic field. Preprint at https://arxiv. org/abs/1001.0689 (2010). https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1001.0689
- [11] Barrabés, E., Ollé, M., Borondo, F., Farrelly, D., Mondelo, J.M.: Phase space structure of the hydrogen atom in a circularly polarized microwave field. Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena 241(4), 333–349 (2012) https://doi.org/10.1016/j. physd.2011.10.016
- [12] Bialynicki-Birula, I., Kaliński, M., Eberly, J.: Lagrange equilibrium points in celestial mechanics and nonspreading wave packets for strongly driven Rydberg electrons. Physical Review Letters 73(13), 1777 (1994) https://doi.org/10.1103/ PhysRevLett.73.1777
- [13] Brunello, A.F., Uzer, T., Farrelly, D.: Hydrogen atom in circularly polarized microwaves: nchaotic ionization via core scattering. Physical Review A 55(5), 3730 (1997) https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.55.3730
- [14] Chism, W., Choi, D.-I., Reichl, L.: Classical origins of stabilization in circularly polarized laser fields. Physical Review A 61(5), 054702 (2000) https://doi.org/ 10.1103/PhysRevA.61.054702
- [15] Delande, D., Zakrzewski, J.: Ionization of hydrogen atoms by circularly polarized microwaves. In: Classical, Semiclassical and Quantum Dynamics in Atoms, pp. 205–232. Springer, ??? (2007). https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0105976
- [16] Farrelly, D., Uzer, T.: Ionization mechanism of Rydberg atoms in a circularly polarized microwave field. Physical Review Letters 74(10), 1720 (1995) https:// doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.74.1720
- [17] Lee, E., Brunello, A.F., Farrelly, D.: Coherent states in a Rydberg atom: Classical mechanics. Physical Review A 55(3), 2203 (1997) https://doi.org/10.1103/ PhysRevA.55.2203

- [18] Ollé, M.: To and fro motion for the hydrogen atom in a circularly polarized microwave field. Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation 54, 286–301 (2018) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cnsns.2017.05.026
- [19] Ollé, M., Pacha, J.R.: Hopf bifurcation for the hydrogen atom in a circularly polarized microwave field. Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation 62, 27–60 (2018) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cnsns.2018.02.005
- [20] Raković, M.J., Chu, S.I.: Hydrogen atoms in circularly polarized microwave fields: Near-integrability and ionization. Physical Review A 52, 1358 (1995) https://doi. org/10.1103/PhysRevA.52.1358
- [21] Gębarowski, R., Zakrzewski, J.: Ionization of hydrogen atoms by circularly polarized microwaves. Physical Review A 51(2), 1508 (1995) https://doi.org/10.1103/ PhysRevA.51.1508
- [22] Gębarowski, R.: Ionization of Rydberg hydrogen atoms driven by a strong halfcycle microwave pulse. Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics 30(9), 2143 (1997) https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-4075/30/9/015
- [23] Gębarowski, R., Zakrzewski, J.: Power-law decay in the ionization of H Rydberg atoms. Open Systems & Information Dynamics 4(3), 323–326 (1997) https://doi. org/10.1023/A:1009698706525
- [24] Griffiths, J.A., Farrelly, D.: Ionization of Rydberg atoms by circularly and elliptically polarized microwave fields. Physical Review A 45(5), 2678 (1992) https:// doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.45.R2678
- [25] Howard, J.: Stochastic ionization of hydrogen atoms in a circularly polarized microwave field. Physical Review A 46(1), 364 (1992) https://doi.org/10.1103/ PhysRevA.46.364
- [26] Jung, C., Waalkens, H.: Dynamics associated with the normally hyperbolic invariant manifold that governs the ionization of hydrogen in a circularly polarized electric field. International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos 30(08), 2030022 (2020) https://doi.org/10.1142/S0218127420300220
- [27] Kappertz, P., Nauenberg, M.: Circularly polarized microwave ionization of hydrogen. Physical Review A 47(6), 4749 (1993) https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA. 47.4749
- [28] Kwon, D.-H., Chun, Y.-J., Lee, H.-W., Rhee, Y.: Stabilization dynamics in an intense circularly polarized laser field. Physical Review A 65(5), 055401 (2002) https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.65.055401
- [29] Okon, E., Parker, W., Chism, W., Reichl, L.E.: Chaotic scattering from hydrogen atoms in a circularly polarized laser field. Physical Review A 66(5), 053406 (2002)

https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.66.053406

- [30] Rzążewski, K., Piraux, B.: Circular Rydberg orbits in circularly polarized microwave radiation. Physical Review A 47(3), 1612 (1993) https://doi.org/10. 1103/PhysRevA.47.R1612
- [31] Sacha, K., Zakrzewski, J.: Resonance overlap criterion for h atom ionization by circularly polarized microwave fields. Physical Review A 55(1), 568 (1997) https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.55.568
- [32] Kryukov, N., Oks, E.: Relativistic effects for a hydrogen Rydberg atom in a high-frequency laser field: Analytical results. Foundations 2(1), 105–113 (2022) https://doi.org/10.3390/foundations2010005
- [33] Luo, S., Grugan, P., Walker, B.: Classical study of atomic bound state dynamics in circularly polarized ultrastrong fields. Journal of Physics B: Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics 47(13), 135601 (2014) https://doi.org/10.1088/0953-4075/ 47/13/135601
- [34] Mostowski, J., Sànchez-Mondragón, J.J.: Interaction of highly excited hydrogen atoms with a resonant oscillating field. Optics Communications 29(3), 293–296 (1979) https://doi.org/10.1016/0030-4018(79)90102-0
- [35] Gallavotti, G.: Quasi periodic motions from Hipparchus to Kolmogorov. arXiv preprint chao-dyn/9907004 (1999) https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.chao-dyn/ 9907004
- [36] Hitzer, E.: Introduction to Clifford's geometric algebra. Journal of the Society of Instrument and Control Engineers 51(4), 338–350 (2012) https://doi.org/10. 11499/sicejl.51.338
- [37] Sugon Jr, Q.M., Bragais, S., McNamara, D.J.: Copernicus's epicycles from newton's gravitational force law via linear perturbation theory in geometric algebra. arXiv preprint arXiv:0807.2708 (2008) https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.0807. 2708
- [38] Spiegel, M.R.: Schaum's Outline of Theory and Problems of Vector Analysis. McGraw-Hill, New York (1959)
- [39] Brown, J.W., Churchill, R.V.: Complex Variables and Applications. McGraw-Hill, New York (2009)
- [40] Asher, K.: An introduction to Laplace transform. Int. J. Sci. Res 2(1), 2319–7064 (2013)
- [41] Boyer, T.H.: Comments on Cole and Zou's calculation of the hydrogen ground state in classical physics. Foundations of Physics Letters 16, 613–617 (2003)

https://doi.org/10.1023/B:FOPL.0000012787.05764.4d

- [42] Boyer, T.H.: Any classical description of nature requires classical electromagnetic zero-point radiation. American Journal of Physics 79(11), 1163–1167 (2011) https://doi.org/10.1119/1.3630939
- [43] Cole, D.C., Zou, Y.: Analysis of orbital decay time for the classical hydrogen atom interacting with circularly polarized electromagnetic radiation. Physical Review E 69(1), 016601 (2004) https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.69.016601
- [44] Cole, D.C., Zou, Y.: Perturbation analysis and simulation study of the effects of phase on the classical hydrogen atom interacting with circularly polarized electromagnetic radiation. Journal of Scientific Computing 21, 145–172 (2004) https://doi.org/10.1023/B:JOMP.0000030073.54361.ba
- [45] Cole, D.C., Zou, Y.: Simulation study of aspects of the classical hydrogen atom interacting with electromagnetic radiation: Circular orbits. Journal of Scientific Computing 20, 43–68 (2004) https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1025846412872
- [46] Cole, D.C., Zou, Y.: Simulation study of aspects of the classical hydrogen atom interacting with electromagnetic radiation: Elliptical orbits. Journal of Scientific Computing 20, 379–404 (2004) https://doi.org/10.1023/B:JOMP.0000025930. 62480.05
- [47] Cole, D.C., Zou, Y.: Subharmonic resonance behavior for the classical hydrogen atomic system. Journal of Scientific Computing 39, 1–27 (2009) https://doi.org/ 10.1007/s10915-008-9248-y
- [48] Cole, D.C.: Subharmonic resonance and critical eccentricity for the classical hydrogen atomic system. The European Physical Journal D 72, 1–14 (2018) https://doi.org/10.1140/epjd/e2018-90137-4
- [49] Kowalski, M.: Classical description of photon emission from atomic hydrogen. Physics Essays 12(2), 312–331 (1999)
- [50] Maclay, G.J.: The role of vacuum fluctuations and symmetry in the hydrogen atom in quantum mechanics and stochastic electrodynamics. Atoms 7(2), 39 (2019) https://doi.org/10.3390/atoms7020039
- [51] Semak, V.V., Shneider, M.N.: Invicem lorentz oscillator model (ilom). arXiv preprint arXiv:1709.02466 (2017) https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1709.02466
- [52] Semak, V.V., Shneider, M.N.: Atomic model best suited for physical optics. Physics Letters A 387, 127031 (2021) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physleta.2020. 127031
- [53] Zhang, J., Li, K., Fang, Z.J., Li, J., Li, Q.Y., Li, X.Q., Zheng, Y., Peng, Y.T.,

Zhang, Y., Zhao, P.D.: Quantum impedance lorentz oscillator and its 1-and 2-photon-absorption applications. AIP Advances **11**(7) (2021) https://doi.org/10. 1063/5.0055077

- [54] Simmonds, J.G., Mann Jr, J.E.: A First Look at Perturbation Theory. Courier Corporation, New York (1998)
- [55] Santos, A.G., Sugon Jr, Q.M., McNamara, D.J.: Polarization ellipse and Stokes parameters in geometric algebra. Journal of Optical Society of America A 29(1), 89–98 (2012) https://doi.org/10.1364/JOSAA.29.000089
- [56] Perez, U., Ang, A.S., Sugon Jr, Q.M., McNamara, D.J., Yoshikawa, A.: Lorentz dispersion law from classical hydrogen electron orbits in ac electric field via geometric algebra. arXiv preprint arXiv:1507.04509 (2015) https://doi.org/10.48550/ arXiv.1507.04509
- [57] Diniz, E.M.: On the Foucault pendulum. Revista Brasileira de Ensino de Física 45, 20220325 (2023) https://doi.org/10.1590/1806-9126-RBEF-2022-0325
- [58] Ollé, M., Rodríguez, O., Soler, J.: Ejection-collision orbits in the RTBP. Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation 55, 298–315 (2018) https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cnsns.2017.07.013
- [59] Trench, W.F.: Elementary Differential Equations with Boundary Value Problems. Brooks/Cole Thomson Learning, Digital Commons at University of South Florida (2013)
- [60] Liu, F., Wu, Y., Wu, F.: Correction of phase extraction error in phase-shifting interferometry based on Lissajous figure and ellipse fitting technology. Optics Express 23(8), 10794–10807 (2015) https://doi.org/10.1364/OE.23.010794