

**ENERGY DISSIPATION IN HILBERT ENVELOPES ON MOTION  
WAVEFORMS DETECTED IN VIBRATING SYSTEMS:  
AN AXIOMATIC APPROACH**

J.F. PETERS AND T.U. LIYANAGE

ABSTRACT. This paper introduces an axiomatic approach in the theory of energy dissipation in Hilbert envelopes on waveforms emanating from various vibrating systems. A Hilbert envelope is a curve tangent to peak points on a motion waveform. The basic approach is to compare non-modulated vs. modulated waveforms in measuring energy loss during the vibratory motion  $m(t)$  at time  $t$  of moving object such as a walker, runner or biker recorded in a video. Modulation of  $m(t)$  is achieved by using Mersenne primes to adjust the frequency  $\omega$  in the Fourier transform  $m(t)e^{\pm j2\pi\omega t}$ . Expenditure of energy  $E_{m(t)}$  by a system is measured in terms of the area bounded by the motion  $m(t)$  waveform at time  $t$ .

CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Preliminaries	2
3.	Application: Modulating System Waveform with Minimal Energy Dissipation	7
4.	Conclusion	10
	Acknowledgements	10
	References	11

1. INTRODUCTION

Dynamical system vibrations appear as varying oscillations in motion waveforms [15, 5]. The focus in this paper is on the detection of energy dissipation that commonly occurs in vibrating dynamical systems. For a motion waveform  $m(t)$  at time  $t$ , measure of motion dissipated energy  $E_{diss}$  is defined in terms of the difference between non-modulated energy  $E_{nmod}$  and modulated energy  $E_{mod}(t)$ , i.e.,

$$E_{diss}(t) = |E_{nmod}(t) - E_{mod}(t)| \\ = |\text{non-modulated } E_{nmod}(t) - \text{modulated } E_{mod}(t)|.$$

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 74H80 (Energy minimalization), 76F20 (Dynamical systems), 93A05 (Axiomatic systems theory).

*Key words and phrases.* Dissipation, Energy, Frequency, Hilbert envelope, Mersenne prime, Motion waveform, Vibrating System, Video Frames.

at time  $t$  of a vibratory system. In this work, two forms of motion waveform energy are considered, namely, non-modulated (smoothing) of  $m(t)$  and modulated  $m(t)$  that results from the product of  $m(t)$  and the exponential  $e^{\pm j2\pi\omega t}$  introduced by Euler [4]. A formidable source of waveform energy measurement result from the Fourier transform  $m(t)e^{\pm j2\pi\omega t}$  [6].

A non-modulated form of waveform energy  $E_m(t)$  is associated with the planar area bounded by motion curve beginning at instant  $t_0$  and ending instant  $t_1$ , namely,

$E_{m(t)} = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} |m(t)|^2 dt$ . In other words, system energy is identified with system waveform area, instead of the more usual energy graph [13]. Modulated system

energy is measured using  $E_{m(t)} = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} |m(t)e^{\pm j2\pi\omega t}|^2 dt$ .

An application of the proposed approach in measuring energy dissipation is given in terms of the Hilbert envelope on the peak points on waveforms derived from the up-and-down movements of the up-and-down movements of a walker, runner or biker recorded in a sequence of video frames. An important finding in this paper is the effective use of Mersenne primes to adjust the frequency  $\omega$  of the Euler exponential to achieve waveform modulation with minimal energy dissipation (in the uniform waveform case (see Conjecture 1.i). We prove that waveform energy is a characteristic, which maps to the complex plane (See Theorem 1. This result extends the waveform energy results in [20], [18]).

TABLE 1. Principal Symbols Used in this Paper

Symbol	Meaning
$2^A$	Collection of subsets of a nonempty set $A$
$A_i \in 2^A$	Subset $A_i$ that is a member of $2^A$
$\mathbb{C}$	Complex plane
$t$	Clock tick
$e^{j\omega t}$	$\cos(\omega t) + j\sin(\omega t)$ [4]
$M$	Mersenne prime
$\omega$	Waveform Oscillation Frequency
$E_{m(t)}$	Energy of motion waveform $m(t)$
$\varphi_t : 2^A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$	$\varphi$ maps $2^A$ to complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ at time $t$
$\varphi_t(A_i \in 2^A) \in \mathbb{C}$	Characteristic of $\varphi(A_i \in 2^A) \in \mathbb{C}$ at time $t$ .

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

Highly oscillatory, non-periodic waveforms provide a portrait of vibrating system behavior. Energy dissipation (decay) is a common characteristic of every vibrating dynamical system. Included in this paper is an axiomatic basis is given for measuring this characteristic of dynamical systems. A characteristic is a mapping  $\varphi_t : A_i \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , which maps a subsystem  $A_i$  in a system  $A$  to a point in the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$ .




FIGURE 1. Morse instants clock

**Definition 1. (System).**

A **system**  $A$  is a collection of interconnected components (subsystems  $A_i \in 2^A$ ) with input-output relationships. ■

**Definition 2. (Dynamical System).**

A **dynamical system** is a time-constrained physical system. ■

**Definition 3. (Dynamical System Output Waveform).**

The **output of a dynamical system** is a time-constrained sequence of discrete values. ■

It has been observed that the theory of dynamical systems is a major mathematical discipline closely aligned with many areas of mathematics [11]. Energy dissipation is considered in many contexts such as heating, liquid (viscosity) and water-wave scattering. In this work, the focus is on energy decay represented by the difference between the energy of non-smooth (non-modulated) and smooth (modulated) motion waveforms. A motion waveform is a graphical portrait of the radiation emitted by moving system (e.g., walker, runner, biker) with oscillatory output.

**Axiom 1. (Instants Clock).**

Every system has its own instants clock, which is a cyclic mechanism that is a simple closed curve with an instant hand with one end of the instant hand at the centroid of the cycle and the other end tangent to a curve point indicating an elapsed time in the motion of a vibrating system. A clock tick occurs at every instant that a system changes its state. ■

**Remark 1. (What Euler tells us about time).**

On an instants clock, every reading  $t \in (C)$ , a point  $t = a + jb, a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  in the complex plane. For example,  $t_{0.25} = 0.25 + j0 = 0.25$  in Fig. 1. The Morse instants clock is also called a homographic clock [9], since the tip of an instant clock  $t$ -hand moves on the circumference a circle, where  $t$  is a complex number [10]. For  $t$  at the tip of a vector with radius  $r = 1$ , angle  $\theta$  and  $a = \cos\theta, b = \sin\theta$  in the complex plane, then

$$t = a + jb = \cos\theta + j\sin\theta = e^{j\theta}.$$

An instant of time viewed as an exponential is inspired by Euler [4]. ■

**Example 1.** A sample Morse instants clock is shown in Fig. 1. The clock hand points to the elapsed time in the interval  $(t_{0.25} \leq t \leq t_{0.25})$  in milliseconds (ms) after a system has begun vibrating. The clock face is a polyhedral surface in a Morse-Smale in a convex polyhedron in 3D Euclidean space [17], since Morse-Smale polyhedron is an example of a mechanical shape descriptor ideally suited as clock model because of its underlying piecewise smooth geometry. This form of an instants clock has been chosen to emphasize that the elapsed time  $t_k$  is a real number in an instants interval  $[t_0, t_k] \in \mathbb{C}^2$  in which  $t_k$  is indeterminate. From a planar perspective, the proximity of sets of instants clock times is related to results given for computational proximity in the digital plane [19]. In this example, the instant hand is pointing to an elapsed time between  $t_{0.25}$  ms and  $t_{0.50}$  ms. ■

**Definition 4. (Clocked Characteristic of a subsystem).**

The clocked characteristic of a subsystem  $A_i$  of a system  $A$  at time  $\varphi_t(A_i)$  is a mapping  $\varphi_t : A_i \in 2^A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined by  $\varphi_t(A_i) = a + bj \in \mathbb{C}, a, b \in \mathbb{R}, j = \sqrt{-1}, \varphi_t(A_i) \in \mathbb{C}$ . ■

#### Vibrating System Time-Constrained Motion Characteristic.

**Axiom 2. (Subsystem Motion Characteristic).**

Let  $A_i \in 2^A$  (subsystem  $A_i$  in the collection of subsystems  $2^A$  in system  $A$ ) that emits changing radiation due to system movements (motion) and let  $t$  be a clock tick. The motion characteristic of subsystem motion  $A_i \in 2^A$  is a mapping  $m_t : A_i \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  defined by

$$m_t(A_i) = a + bj \in \mathbb{C}, a, b \in \mathbb{R}, j = \sqrt{-1}, t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

i.e., a subsystem  $A_i$  motion characteristic of a system  $A$  is a mapping  $m_t(A_i \in 2^A) \in \mathbb{C}$  at time  $t$ . ■

**Remark 2.** For the motion characteristic, we write  $m(t)$  when it is understood that motion is on a subset  $A_i \in 2^A$  in a dynamical system  $A$ . Axiom 2 is consistent with the view [1, p. 81] of the characteristic vector field, represented here with a planer characteristic vector field  $\xi$  of a dynamical system with points  $p(x, y, t) \in \xi$  that has positive complex characteristic coordinates at clock tick (time)  $t$  such that

$$\varphi_t(A_i \in 2^A) = p \in \xi = (a - jb) \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x} + (a - jb) \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial y} + (a - jb) \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial t}, a, b \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The 1-1 correspondence between every point  $p$  having coordinates in the Euclidean plane and points in the complex plane is lucidly introduced by D. Hilbert

and S. Cohn-Vossen [7, §38, 263-265]. For an introduction to characteristic groups, see [21],[2],[14]. ■




FIGURE 2. Sample spring system waveforms

**Example 2. Spring system vibration.**

A pair of sample sinusoidal waveforms emitted by an expanding and contracting spring system is shown in Fig. 2. ■

Vibrating system waveform  $m(t)$  modulation (smoothing) is achieved by adjusting the frequency  $\omega$  in an Euler exponential  $e^{\pm j2\pi\omega t}$ , which is used in oscillatory waveform curve smoothing. It has been found that Mersenne primes provide an effective means of adjusting the frequency  $\omega$ . It has been observed by G.W. Hill [8] that Mersenne primes  $M_p = 2^p - 1 = 3, 7, 31, \dots$  for Prime  $p = 2, 3, 5, \dots$  are useful in estimating variability as well as in estimating average values in sequences of discrete values.

**Axiom 3. (Waveform Energy).**

A measure of dynamical system energy is the area of a finite planar region bounded by system waveform  $m(t)$  curve at time  $t$ , defined by

$$E_{m(t)} = \int_{t_0}^{t_1} |m(t)|^2 dt.$$

**Lemma 1.** Dynamical system energy is time-constrained and is always limited.

*Proof.* Let  $E_m$  be the energy of a dynamical system, defined in Axiom 3. From Axiom 3, system energy always occurs in a bounded temporal interval  $[t_0, t_1]$ . Hence,  $E_m$  is time constrained. From Axiom 1, the length of a system waveform is finite, since, from Axiom 3, system duration is finite. From Axiom 3, system energy is derived from the area of a finite, bounded region. Consequently, system energy is always finite. □

**Theorem 1.** If  $X$  is a dynamical system with waveform  $m(t)$  at time  $t$  and which changes with every clock tick, then observe

- 1<sup>o</sup> System waveform characteristic values are in the complex plane.
- 2<sup>o</sup> System energy varies with every clock tick.
- 3<sup>o</sup> System radiation characteristics are finite.
- 4<sup>o</sup> All system characteristics map to the complex plane.
- 5<sup>o</sup> Waveform energy decay is a characteristic, which maps to  $\mathbb{C}$ .

*Proof.*

- 1<sup>o</sup> From Def. 4, a system characteristic is a mapping from a subsystem to the complex plane at time  $t$ , From Axiom 2, every waveform motion characteristic  $m(t) \in \mathbb{C}$  at time  $t$ , which is the desired result.
- 2<sup>o</sup> From Lemma 1, system energy is time-constrained and always occurs in a bounded temporal interval. From Axiom 1, there is a new clock tick at every instant in time  $t$  ms. From Axiom 3, system energy varies with every clock tick.
- 3<sup>o</sup> From Axiom 1, all system radiation characteristics are finite, since system duration is finite.
- 4<sup>o</sup> From Axiom 2, every system  $A$  characteristic is a mapping from a subsystem  $A_i \in 2^A$  to the complex plane, which is the desired result.
- 5<sup>o</sup> From the proof of step 4, the desired result follows. □




FIGURE 3. Hilbert envelope on modulated vibration waveform.

To obtain an approximation of system energy, a system waveform is represented by a continuous curve defined by a Hilbert envelope tangent to waveform peak points, forming what known as Hilbert lobes.

**Hilbert envelope lobes.**

A **Hilbert envelope** (denoted by  $H_{env}$ ) is a curve that is tangent to the peak points on a waveform [12, §18.4, p. 132]. A **Hilbert envelope lobe** (denoted

by  $H_{envlobe}$  is a tiny bounded planar region attached to single waveform peak point on a waveform envelope, defined by

$$H_{env} = \sqrt{m(t)^2 + (-m(t))^2} [3]$$

The energy represented by a lobe  $H_{envlobe}$  area of a tiny planar region attached to an oscillatory motion waveform  $m(t)$  is defined by

$$H_{envlobe} = \int_a^b |m(t)|^2 dt$$

It is lobe area that provides a measure of the energy represented by a waveform segment.

The modulated vibration waveform  $m(t)$  in Fig. 3 varies with lower peak points than the original motion waveform, depending on the choice of Mersenne prime frequency. To minimize energy loss due to modulation, a Mersenne prime is chosen for the frequency  $\omega$  in an Euler exponential in  $m(t)e^{\pm j\omega t}$  to obtain

**result.1<sup>o</sup>** Modulated system waveform  $m(t)$  is smoother for a particular Mersenne prime frequency (i.e., the waveform oscillations are more uniform).

**result.2<sup>o</sup>** Modulated system energy loss is minimal, for a particular Mersenne prime frequency.

### 3. APPLICATION: MODULATING SYSTEM WAVEFORM WITH MINIMAL ENERGY DISSIPATION

In this section, we illustrate how Mersenne primes can be used effectively to obtain the following results:

M $\rightarrow$   $\omega$ -1<sup>o</sup> Usage of a M-prime as the frequency in the Euler exponential in

$$m(t)e^{\pm j\omega M t}$$

reduces motion  $m(t)$  waveform motion energy.


M $\rightarrow$   $\omega$ -2<sup>o</sup> Energy dissipation varies in modulated vs. non-modulated waveforms for different choices of frequency  $M$  in  $e^{\pm j\omega M t}$ , depending on whether a waveform has uniformly or non-uniformly varying cycles around the origin.

**Conjecture 1.** *The choice of a Mersenne prime  $M \leq 31$  will always result in lower motion waveform peak values using  $M$  as the frequency in the Euler exponential to achieve waveform modulation and minimal energy dissipation.*

There are two cases to consider: **[Partial Picture Proof]**

Case(i) Assume  $m(t)$  waveform uniformly fluctuates and frequency  $\omega = M = 1$  results in the lowest energy loss.

*Proof.* Partial picture proof: Recall that  $e^{j\omega t} = \cos\omega t + j\sin\omega t$ , where  $m(t)e^{j\omega t}$  forces the oscillation in a motion waveform to increase. Let  $m(t) = \text{sinc}(t)$ , introduced in 1822 by Fourier [6]. Then  $m(t)$  oscillates uniformly on either side of the origin (see sample plot of  $\text{sinc}(t)$  in Fig. 4).

FIGURE 4. 3 forms of  $m(t)e^{j\omega t}$ 

The area of  $m(t) = \int_{-k}^k \text{sinc}(t)e^{j\omega t} dt, \omega \geq 1$  is always less than the area

$\int_{-k}^k \text{sinc}(t) dt$ ,  $e^{j\omega t}$  partitions  $m(t)$  each cycle into regions with smaller

areas whose total areas is less than the total area  $\int_{-k}^k \text{sinc}(t) dt$ . With  $\omega = M = 1$ , the modulated waveform energy is closest to non-modulated waveform energy, which is the desired result.  $\square$

Case(ii) Let  $m(t)$  be a non-uniform waveform. We make the unproved claim that the choice of  $\omega = M$ , varies, i.e.,  $M$  is not always 1.

**Example 3. Sample Energy Dissipation: Non-uniform waveform Case [16].**

Let  $m(t) = \text{sinc}(t)$ , with cycles that vary uniformly relative to the origin. This is the case in Fig. 4. The result for 3 choices of  $M \in \{1, 3, 7\}$  are shown in the plots in Fig. 4. This leads to the following energy dissipation levels:

$$\begin{aligned} E_{m(t)} &= 0.9028 \text{ non-modulated waveform energy} \\ E_{m(t)e^{jt}} &= 0.1503 \text{ } M = 1, \text{ modulated waveform energy loss} \\ E_{m(t)e^{j3t}} &= 0.3371 \text{ } M = 3, \text{ modulated waveform energy loss} \\ E_{m(t)e^{j7t}} &= 0.8954 \text{ } M = 7, \text{ modulated waveform energy loss} \\ E_{m(t)e^{j31t}} &= 0.9021 \text{ } M = 31, \text{ modulated waveform energy loss} \end{aligned}$$

The  $\omega = M = 31$  case is not shown in Fig. 4.  $\blacksquare$

Evidence of the correctness of our Conjecture for the non-uniform waveform case in the choice of the Mersenne prime to achieve minimal energy dissipation can be seen in the following two examples.

**Example 4. Sample Energy Dissipation for a walker waveform.**

A sample collection of non-modulated and modulated waveforms for a walker for






FIGURE 5. Energy Analysis for  $M = 1$  of Walker: Comparison of Non-Modulated and Modulated Motion Signals of Frame = 60

$M = 1$  is shown in Fig. 5. In Table 2,  $M = 1$  for the exponential frequency of a modulated waveform results in the lowest energy dissipation. ■

However, if consider the choice of  $M$  for the modulation frequency for a biker, this choice differs from the choice of  $M = 1$  in Example 4.

TABLE 2. Energy Dissipation for Walking

M	Non-Modulated Energy ( $E_x$ )	Modulated Energy ( $E_m$ )	Energy Dissipation Percentage
1	1.2359	0.9709	21.44%
3	1.2359	0.9222	25.38%
7	1.2359	0.9559	22.65%
31	1.2359	0.9166	25.83%

TABLE 3. Energy Dissipation for Biking

M	Non-Modulated Energy ( $E_x$ )	Modulated Energy ( $E_m$ )	Energy Dissipation Percentage
1	0.9635	0.6317	34.43%
3	0.9635	0.6729	30.16%
7	0.9635	0.6561	31.90%
31	0.9635	0.6396	33.61%




FIGURE 6. Energy Analysis for  $M = 3$  of Biker: Comparison of Non-Modulated and Modulated Motion Signals of Frame = 60

**Example 5. Sample Energy Dissipation for a biker waveform.**

A sample collection of non-modulated and modulated waveforms for a biker for  $M = 3$  is shown in Fig. 6. In Table 3,  $M = 3$  for the exponential frequency of a modulated waveform results in the lowest energy dissipation. ■

#### 4. CONCLUSION

This paper focuses on the frequency characteristic in modulating dynamical system waveforms. The appropriate choice of Mersenne prime  $M$  as the frequency  $\omega$  for the Euler exponential  $e^{j\omega t} \rightarrow e^{jMt}$  is considered in modulating a dynamical system waveform to obtain a smoother waveform and achieve minimal energy dissipation, applying Mersenne primes. It has been found that  $M = 1$  is the best choice for waveforms whose cycles vary uniformly about the origin. Choice of  $M \in \{1.3, 7, 31\}$  for the non-uniform waveforms varies, depending on how extreme the lack of self-similarity is present in waveforms that vary in a chaotic fashion on either side of the origin. The appropriate choice of  $M$  in modulating a non-uniform waveform is an open problem.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research has been supported by the Natural Sciences & Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) discovery grant 185986 and Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica (INdAM) Francesco Severi, Gruppo Nazionale per le Strutture Algebriche, Geometriche e Loro Applicazioni grant 9 920160 000362, n.prot U 2016/000036 and Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey (TÜBİTAK)

Scientific Human Resources Development (BIDEB) under grant no: 2221-1059B211301223.

## REFERENCES

1. D.E. Blair, *Contact manifolds in riemannian geometry*, Springer Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1976, vi+146 pp.,MR0046650.
2. E. Bombieri and D. Mumford, *Enriques' classification of surfaces in char. p. iii*, Invent. Math. **35** (1976), 197–232, MR0491720.
3. A. Brandt, *Noise and vibration analysis. Signal analysis and experimental procedures*, Wiley, N.Y.,U.S.A., 2011, xxv + 429 pp, ISBN: 9780470746448.
4. L. Euler, *Introductio in analysin infinitorum. (latin)*, Sociedad Andaluza de Educacion Matematica Thales, Springer, Seville, New York, 1748,1988, xvi+30 pp.,ISBN: 84-923760-2-3 01A50, MR1841792,MR0961255.
5. M. Feldman, *Hilbert transform applications in mechanical vibration*, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., N.Y., 2011, xxvii+287pp., ISBN: 9781119991649.
6. J.B.J. Fourier, *Théorie analytique de la chaleur. (french) [analytic theory of heat]*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U.K., 1822,2009, ii+xxiii+643 pp., MR2856180.
7. David Hilbert and Stefan Cohn-Vossen, *Geometry and the imagination*, Chelsea Publishing Co., New York, 1952, iv+357 pp.,MR0467588.
8. G.W. Hill, *Cyclic properties of pseudorandom sequences of mersenne prime residues*, Comput. J. **22** (1979), no. 1, 80–85, MR0524811.
9. L. Hofmann and E. Kasner, *Homographic circles or clocks*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **34** (1928), no. 4, 495–503, MR1561594.
10. E. Kasner, *General theory of polygenic or non-monogenic functions. the derivative congruence of circles*, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America **14**, no. 1, pages = 75–82, year = 1928.
11. A. Katok and B. Hasselblatt, *Introduction to the modern theory of dynamical systems. encyclopedia of mathematics and its applications,vol. 54*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge,UK, 1995, xviii+802 pp.,MR1326374.
12. F.W. King, *Hilbert transforms. vol. 1.*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2009, xxxviii+858 pp.,MR2542214.
13. J. Kok, N.K. Sudev, K.P. Chitha, and U. Mary, *Jaco-type graphs and black energy dissipation*, Advances in Pure and Applied Mathematics **8** (2017), no. 2, 141–152, MR3630100.
14. W.E. Lang, *Quasi-elliptic surfaces in characteristic three*, Annales Scientifiques de l'Ecole Normale Superieure. Quatrieme Serie **12** (1979), no. 4, 473–500, MR0565468.
15. R. De Leo and J.A. Yorke, *Streams and graphs of dynamical systems*, Qual.Theory Dyn. Syst **24** (2024), no. 1, Paper No. 1, MR4782584.
16. T.U. Liyanage, *Detecting energy dissipation in modulated vs. non-modulated motionwaveforms emanating from vibrating systems recorded in videos*, Master's thesis, University of Manitoba, Dept. of Electrical & Computer Engineering, 2024, vii+159pp, supervisor: J.F. Peters.
17. B. Ludany, Z. Langi, and G. Domokos, *Morse smale complexes on convex polyhedra*, Periodica Mathematica Hungarica. Journal of the János Bolyai Mathematical Society **89** (2024), no. 1, 1–22, MR4784962.
18. J.F. Peters, *Computational geometry, topology and physics of digital images with applications. Shape complexes, optical vortex nerves and proximities. Intelligent systems reference library, 162*, Springer, Cham, 2020, xxv + 420 pp, ISBN: 978-3-030-22192-8, MR4180789.
19. J.F. Peters, K. Kordzaya, and I. Dochviri, *Computable proximity  $\ell_1$ -discs on the digital plane*, Communications in Advanced Mathematical Sciences **2** (2019), no. 3, 213–218.
20. Surabhi Tiwari and J. F. Peters, *Proximal groups: Extension of topological groups. application in the concise representation of hilbert envelopes on oscillatory motion waveforms*, Communications in Algebra **52** (2024), no. 9, 3904–3914.
21. N. Tziolas, *Topics in group schemes and surfaces in positive characteristic*, Annali dell'Universita di Ferrara. Sezione VII. Scienze Matematiche **70** (2024), no. 3, 891–954, MR4767553.

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL & COMPUTER ENGINEERING, UNIVERSITY OF MANITOBA, WPG,  
MB, R3T 5V6, CANADA AND DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF ARTS AND SCIENCES,  
ADIYAMAN UNIVERSITY, 02040 ADIYAMAN, TÜKIYE,

*Email address:* james.peters3@umanitoba.ca

DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL & COMPUTER ENGINEERING, UNIVERSITY OF MANITOBA, WPG,  
MB, R3T 5V6, CANADA

*Email address:* uswattat@myumanitoba.ca