# arXiv:2409.18651v1 [quant-ph] 27 Sep 2024 arXiv:2409.18651v1 [quant-ph] 27 Sep 2024

# Interference of photons from independent hot atoms

Jaromír Mika<sup>1</sup>, Stuti Joshi<sup>1</sup>, Robin Kaiser<sup>2</sup>, Lukáš Slodička<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *Department of Optics, Palack´y University, 17. listopadu 12, 771 46 Olomouc, Czech Republic*

<sup>2</sup> Université Côte d'Azur, CNRS, INPHYNI, 17 Rue Julien Lauprête, 06200 Nice, France

We demonstrate the interference of photons scattered from independent ensembles of warm atoms in atomic vapor. It employs finite temporal coherence of light scattered elastically in the forward and backward directions from Doppler-broadened atomic ensembles, such that photons with chaotic photon statistics from two opposite atomic velocity groups contribute to the same detection mode. While the random phase fluctuations of the scattered light caused by a large thermal motion prevent direct observability of the interference in the detected photon rate, the stable frequency difference between photons collected from scattering off counter-propagating laser beams provides strong periodic modulation of the photon coincidence rate with the period given by the detuning of the excitation laser from the atomic resonance. Presented interferometry promises direct applications in Doppler-free atomic and molecular spectroscopy.

*Introduction.*— The coherence of light is paramount to all areas of modern optics and its applications. However, weak single photon-level light generated in intrinsically independent photon sources often does not possess sufficient phasespace density for its direct observability, not for the conventional methods of the active relative frequency stabilization, or its amplification and distribution. It typically emerges from fast and inherently random processes, including the thermal motion of the emitters, or quantum noise related to the bare process of photon emission. Already early pioneering works on the quantum theory of optical coherence recognized, that photons produced from such, in principle, disparate and statistically independent light sources, can manifest the presence of *transient interference* [\[1\]](#page-4-0), despite no indication of interference in the bare expectation value of intensity [\[2–](#page-4-1)[4\]](#page-4-2). Besides obvious application prospects in optical frequency metrology, imaging, or scalability of quantum communication networks [\[5–](#page-4-3)[7\]](#page-4-4), the corresponding predictions of the feasibility of experimental evidence of coherence through measurements of the second-order photon correlations provided intriguing questions on the multi-photon aspects of the observable interference [\[8](#page-4-5)[–10\]](#page-4-6).

The pioneering experiments on the observability of a beating from independent light sources employed two Zeemann components from a mercury discharge lamp [\[11\]](#page-4-7). The realization of the first lasers stimulated early observations of interference from independent coherent light sources and the corresponding intrinsic potential of their spectral analysis [\[12–](#page-4-8) [14\]](#page-4-9), and soon after emerged first demonstrations of transient interference effects from independent lasers in a few-photon regime [\[8,](#page-4-5) [15\]](#page-4-10). The advent of the nonclassical light sources provided observations of interference between partially independent quantum light sources [\[16–](#page-4-11)[18\]](#page-4-12), employing diverse spectral filtering and laser stabilization tools for leveraging challenging experimental requirements. The ongoing research in this direction further included diverse combinations with sources of pseudo-thermal light, single photons, or phaserandomized coherent states [\[19–](#page-4-13)[29\]](#page-4-14). However, despite the clear fundamental relevance as an elementary probe of the emergence of optical coherence on an atomic level and perspectives of direct spectroscopic applications, the interference

of independent light fields from statistically independent ensembles of atomic emitters has not been demonstrated besides the seminal experiment by Forrester et al. [\[11\]](#page-4-7), where the observability and applicability of the interference were severely limited by both the detection methods and available technology.

We present the scheme for the observation of interference of light from independent atomic ensembles, which correspond to different atomic velocity classes in a warm atomic vapor. It is enabled by a broad spectral distribution of thermal atoms at high temperatures and employs a natural atomic velocity selectivity in a weak excitation regime. Excitation using a single laser beam aligned in the retro-reflected spatial configuration allows for indistinguishable generation and observation of photons at two well-defined frequencies separated by the stable relative difference determined by the excitation laser detuning  $\Delta_l$  from the atomic resonance. The interference is confirmed by the beating of contributing optical frequencies in the second-order correlation function. We demonstrate a direct applicability of the developed interferometric scheme for atomic spectroscopy. It allows for an estimation of the absolute value of the laser detuning without any need for the modulation of the laser probe frequency or atomic transition parameters, and neither requires calibration of any interaction parameters or thermal motion.

*Interference scheme.—* The experiments on optical interference of light from atoms consistently acknowledge the dominant detrimental role of atomic thermal motion. The usual approaches towards mitigation of its impact correspond to the minimization of thermal kinetic energy using laser cooling and the employment of tight atomic trapping potentials. Different excitation geometries and spectral filtering schemes have been utilized for suppressing the residual which-way information enabled by thermal motion, focusing on the enhancement of the frequency and phase stability of interfering photons and minimization of the which-way information due to atomic recoils. The scheme for observation of interference between independent atoms presented here employs exactly opposite limit - large thermal motional distributions of atomic velocities with inhomogeneous Doppler frequency broadening on the order of many tens of natural transition linewidths,



<span id="page-1-0"></span>Figure 1. The principle of interference of light from independent warm atomic ensembles. The laser at the frequency  $\omega_{\rm L}$  is detuned by  $\Delta$  from the atomic transition  $\omega_A$  and scatters off the particular velocity class of atoms which follow the thermal velocity distribution  $f(v)$  along the laser propagation axis. In the forward scattering (F), the corresponding Doppler shift effectively compensates. The retroreflected laser scatters off atoms possessing the opposite direction of motion but with the same velocity magnitude. The corresponding backward-scattered photons (B) are frequency-shifted by  $\approx \Delta$  concerning an observer in the frame moving with the atomic scatterer and by approximately 2∆ to the forward-scattered photons.

which becomes advantageous for the observability of interference in otherwise identical ensembles of atoms.

The principle of the interferometric scheme is depicted in Fig. [1.](#page-1-0) The excitation laser beam with a wavevector  $k_{L_1}$  and frequency  $\omega$ <sub>L</sub> is detuned from the atomic transition  $\omega_A$  by  $\Delta$ and elastically scatters from hot thermal atoms with the particular projection of atomic velocity to the direction of the excitation laser  $\vec{v}_z$ , which fulfills  $\vec{k}_{L_1} \cdot \vec{v} \approx \Delta$ . The photons with a wave vector  $\vec{k}$ , secttored in along to a forward (E) diwith a wave vector  $\vec{k}_F$  scattered in close to a forward (F) direction at an angle  $\theta \rightarrow 0$  retain the central frequency of the laser  $\omega_F = \omega_L + (\vec{k}_{L_1} - \vec{k}_F) \cdot \vec{v} \approx \omega_L$ . The standard deviation of their Gaussian spectral distribution corresponds to  $\sigma_F = \sigma_{\omega,D} \sin \theta$ , where  $\sigma_{\omega,D}$  is the width of the complete frequency redistributed spectrum for photon scattered in warm atomic vapor [\[30\]](#page-4-15). The scattered light is expected to present chaotic statistics with nearly ideal photon bunching  $g^{(2)}(0) \approx 2$  in the single-mode detection regime [\[31\]](#page-4-16). The second interferometer path is implemented by scattering the retro-reflected excitation laser with  $\vec{k}_{L2} = -\vec{k}_{L1}$ , which interacts with atoms with exactly opposite velocity direction  $-\vec{v}_z$ .<br>Photons sosttared bookward (B) with a wave vector  $\vec{k}_z$  at an Photons scattered backward (B) with a wave vector  $\vec{k}_B$  at an angle  $\theta \rightarrow \pi$  towards the same detector will acquire the frequency  $\omega_B = \omega_L + (\vec{k}_{L_2} - \vec{k}_B) \cdot \vec{v}$ , which means, a frequency difference of  $(\vec{k}_{L_2} - \vec{k}_B) \cdot \vec{v} \approx 2\Delta$  to the forward scattered part. Their spectral width results from the residual Doppler broadening for scattering at the angle  $\theta + \pi$  and an additional term stemming from the longitudinal part of the velocity distribution  $f(v_7)$  of contributing atoms. In a low saturation limit, it corresponds to the natural linewidth  $\Gamma$  of the atomic transition. The total spectral width of back-scattered light then approximately results in  $\sigma_B \approx \sqrt{(\sigma_{\omega,D} \sin \theta)^2 + (2\Gamma)^2}$ , where the factor of two comes from the sum of the two equal Doppler shifts tor of two comes from the sum of the two equal Doppler shifts in the excitation and emission processes. The fluctuating positions of atomic scatterers with Brownian motion prevent direct observability of interference of weak photon signals scattered

from independent atoms in the detected photon rate. However, the stable frequency difference between forward and backward scattered fields can provide visible interference in the second-order correlations  $g^{(2)}(\tau)$  with the characteristic beat-<br>ing with a period of  $1/(2\Delta)$  [1]. The oscillations of photon ing with a period of  $1/(2\Delta)$  [\[1\]](#page-4-0). The oscillations of photon correlations become observable for any detunings ∆ in the range  $\sigma_{\omega,D} > \Delta > \sigma_F, \sigma_B$ . The upper limit is set by the width of the Doppler broadened atomic spectra  $\sigma_{\omega,D}$ . The lower is given by the requirement on observation of modulation within the coherence time given by the residual Doppler broadening corresponding to  $\sigma_F$  and  $\sigma_B$  or frequency difference to other electronic levels in hyperfine manifolds. Note that in addition to these fundamental limitations, particular technological constraints, including especially the temporal resolution of the employed photon detectors, or the overall detection efficiency, will set the upper limit on observable beating frequency 2∆.

The single-mode optical field resulting from scattering on the two atomic velocity classes corresponding to the forward (F) and backward (B) -scattered light can be expressed as

<span id="page-1-2"></span>
$$
E(t) \propto \sum_{i=1}^{N} E_i e^{-i(\omega_{\mathrm{F},i}t - \mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{F}} \cdot \mathbf{r}_i)} + \sum_{j=1}^{N} E_j e^{-i(\omega_{\mathrm{B},i}t - i\mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{B}} \cdot \mathbf{r}_j)} \qquad (1)
$$

where  $E_{i(j)}$  are the amplitudes of fields scattered from  $i(j)$ -th atoms, r are the position vectors of atoms, and the summation includes contributions from *N* atoms in each of the two opposite atomic velocity classes. Here, we assume that the numbers of contributing atoms to the forward and backward scattered fields are equal. The evaluation of the second-order correlation function for a large number of contributing atoms  $(N \gg 1)$  gives

<span id="page-1-1"></span>
$$
g^{(2)}(\tau) = 1 + \frac{1}{(\bar{n}_{\rm F} + \bar{n}_{\rm B})^2} |\bar{n}_{\rm F} \bar{g}_{\rm F}^{(1)}(\tau) + \bar{n}_{\rm B} \bar{g}_{\rm B}^{(1)}(\tau) e^{{\rm i}(\omega_{\rm F} - \omega_{\rm B})\tau}|^2. (2)
$$

Here  $\bar{g}^{(1)}(\tau)$  is the first-order degree of coherence of light scat-<br>tered from individual atoms from independent ensembles for tered from individual atoms from independent ensembles for forward and backward scattered light and  $\bar{n}_{F(B)}$  correspond to mean photon numbers from a particular atomic ensemble, which can be affected by different atomic level populations and losses experienced by the two fields. The complete derivation can be found in Supplementary Materials. Eq. [\(2\)](#page-1-1) reveals the crucial contribution of the beating of the first-order correlation functions  $\bar{g}^{(1)}(\tau)$ , which modulates the second-<br>order photon correlations at their mean frequency difference order photon correlations at their mean frequency difference  $\omega_F - \omega_B$ . It represents an extended version of the Siegert relation for chaotic light for contributing two atomic thermal light sources at different frequencies [\[32\]](#page-4-17). The visibility of the beating of first-order coherences can be controlled by the relative contributions of two atomic velocity classes, which depends on the amount of detected forward and backward scattered light. For equal number of photons from the two atomic velocity classes contributing to the signal, the contrast is maximal. We emphasize that the resonant nature of the interaction is essential for the velocity selectivity of scatterers, as assumed in Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-2). Consequently, high visibility of interference requires



<span id="page-2-0"></span>Figure 2. Experimental scheme and example measurement of interference of light from warm atomic vapors. The scattering of two counter-propagating laser beams off the particular velocity classes of atoms results in forward (F) and backward (B) scattered photons with a frequency difference of  $\approx 2\Delta$  collected in the same optical mode defined by the single mode optical fiber (SMF). The measurement of the second-order correlations  $g^{(2)}(\tau)$  provides coherent frequency<br>beating with a period of  $1/(2\Delta)$ . The additional optical filtering (OF) beating with a period of 1/(2∆). The additional optical filtering (OF) in the detection path suppresses various noise contributions. Its detailed specification can be found in Supplementary materials.

 $(\omega_{\rm F}-\omega_{\rm B}) \approx 2\Delta > \sigma_{\rm F}, \sigma_{\rm B}$ , such that the emitted photons correspond to different non-overlapping spectral components with width given by the residual Doppler-broadening.

*Experimental results.—* The experimental demonstration of the scheme for observation of interference from independent warm atoms employs the excitation of warm  $87Rb$  vapor using a single laser beam in a retro-reflected standing-wave configuration, as displayed in Fig. [2.](#page-2-0) A collimated Gaussian laser beam has a radius of  $w_E = 1.1 \pm 0.1$  mm and frequency detuning  $\Delta_L$  from the  $5S_{1/2}(F = 2) \leftrightarrow 5P_{3/2}(F' = 3)$  transition. The optical power of the transmitted laser of  $50 \mu$ W measured at the output of the cell along the forward-scattering direction corresponds to the excitation in a regime far from saturation. The standing wave configuration is achieved by the reflection from the planar mirror behind the cell. The excitation and observation optical modes overlap in the proximity of the cell output viewport, which reduces photon losses for both interfering channels. The forward-scattered photons are observed under the small angle  $\theta = 2^{\circ} \pm 0.5^{\circ}$ . The backward-scattered<br>photons are collected into the same spatial mode secured by photons are collected into the same spatial mode secured by coupling to a single-mode optical fiber. The observation spatial Gaussian mode waist of  $w_0 = 95 \pm 5 \mu m$  is positioned at the intersection with the excitation beam. The collected photons pass the polarization filter optimized for maximal transmission of the same circular polarization as the forward propagating excitation laser beam. We note that the selection of this particular polarization mode is not unique to the functioning of the scheme and it has been successfully tested also for linear polarization mode with similar results. Fabry-Pérot frequency filter is set up to suppress any residual light originating from Raman scatterings to the  $F = 1$  state manifold. A balanced set of two single-photon counting modules (SPCM) in a Hanbury-Brown-Twiss arrangement is employed for the analysis of the second-order photon correlations. The detection setup is assembled such that it provides the feasibility of simultaneous measurement of the modulus of the first-order coherence  $|g^{(1)}(\tau)|$  in a Michelson interferometer, see Supple-<br>mentary Materials mentary Materials.

Examples of the measured interference of light from independent warm atomic ensembles are shown in Fig. [3.](#page-3-0) The independent photon correlation measurements of forward and backward scattered fields for laser detuning  $\Delta$ <sub>L</sub> ≈ 100 MHz in a) demonstrate a close-to ideal bunching values  $g_F^{(2)}$  $_{\rm F}^{(2)}(0) =$  $1.94 \pm 0.02$  and  $g_B^{(2)}$ <br>photon statistics [3]  $B_B^{(2)}(0) = 1.92 \pm 0.03$ , signifying their chaotic<br>[31–33] Importantly these individual corphoton statistics [\[31](#page-4-16)[–33\]](#page-4-18). Importantly, these individual correlation functions demonstrate large temporal coherences corresponding to spectral bandwidths  $\sigma_F \approx 9.6$  MHz and  $\sigma_B \approx$ <sup>15</sup>.8 MHz, respectively. These spectral widths set an upper limit on the observable period of interference modulation in the corresponding  $g_{F+}^{(2)}$  $F_{\text{H-B}}^{(2)}(\tau)$ . They agree with their independent evaluations within the uncertainty of measurement of the scattering angle  $\theta$  and confirm the predicted scaling of temporal coherence for the backward-scattered signal. We note that the presented backscattering measurements correspond to a first experimental study of  $g_R^{(2)}$  $\frac{d^{(2)}}{B}(\tau)$  from warm atoms in such configuration and provide an important confirmation of the feasibility of coherence of light in this direction. The plot in b) depicts the corresponding  $g_{F+}^{(2)}$  $E_{F+B}^{(2)}(\tau)$ , where both forward and backward-scattered fields contribute simultaneously. The interference results in clear modulation of photon coincidence detection probabilities for  $|\tau| > 0$  with the period of  $f_{\text{mod}}$  = 210.8  $\pm$  1.2 MHz, in agreement with the independently set laser detuning from the  $F = 2 \leftrightarrow F' = 3$ . The periodic modulation between constructive and destructive interference at the frequency difference of interfering photons signifies the fulfillment of the critical conditions for the presented scheme. It confirms the sufficiency of the velocity selectivity in the given excitation regime and, at the same time, the mutually coherent contribution with sufficient coherence time from atoms at different velocity classes in a strongly Doppler-broadened thermal atomic ensemble. The detected mean photon rate for presented excitation conditions was  $R_{(F+B)} = (64 \pm 2) \times 10^4$  counts/s. The measured photon bunching at zero time delay *g* (2)  $F_{F+B}^{(2)}(0) = 1.96 \pm 0.01$  and the rate of si-<br>f two photons  $R_0 = (4.9 \pm 0.3) \times 10^3$  comultaneous detection of two photons  $R_C = (4.9 \pm 0.3) \times 10^3$  co-<br>incidences/s for the time bin of 14.3 ps. incidences/s for the time bin of 14.3 ns.

A complementary perspective on the emergence of the modulation of photon bunching can be obtained from the measurement of a first-order correlation function. The corresponding beating can still be observed in the  $|g^{(1)}(\tau)|$  evaluated from the measured visibilities of the field autocorrelation meafrom the measured visibilities of the field autocorrelation measurement. However, rather than emerging from a direct phase interference between the photons emitted from the two disparate atomic velocity classes, the oscillations in  $|g^{(1)}(\tau)|$  re-<br>sult from a periodic rephasing of the self-interference of these sult from a periodic rephasing of the self-interference of these two independent narrowband fields for different relative time delays in the interferometer. The  $g^{(2)}(\tau)$  evaluated from the measured  $|g^{(1)}(\tau)|$  using a Siegert relation [32, 34] shown as measured  $|g^{(1)}(\tau)|$  using a Siegert relation [\[32,](#page-4-17) [34\]](#page-4-19) shown as



<span id="page-3-0"></span>Figure 3. a) shows the measurements of the individual  $g_{(B)(\tau)}^{(2)}(\tau)$  for the individual  $g_{(B)(B)}^{(2)}(\tau)$  for photons emitted from two different velocity classes of ensembles of warm atoms. The corresponding  $g_{F+B}^{(2)}(\tau)$  emerging from their inter-<br>ference is shown in b). The fit of the interference pattern uses Eq. (2) ference is shown in b). The fit of the interference pattern uses Eq. [\(2\)](#page-1-1), where  $\bar{g}^{(1)}(\tau)$  of atoms from the two atomic ensembles are taken from<br>their independent measurements. The evaluation of particular  $g^{(2)}(\tau)$ their independent measurements. The evaluation of particular  $g^{(2)}(\tau)$ <br>data points using the Siegert relation from the independently meadata points using the Siegert relation from the independently measured  $|g^{(1)}(\tau)|$  is shown with black triangles. The error bars depict a single standard deviation. Graph in c) summarizes measurements of single standard deviation. Graph in c) summarizes measurements of  $g^{(2)}(\tau)$  for different laser detunings  $\Delta$ . The evaluated mean modulation frequencies  $f_{\perp}$  from a direct Fourier analysis are shown in d) tion frequencies  $f_{\text{mod}}$  from a direct Fourier analysis are shown in d). Here, green dotted lines illustrate its linear dependence on independently measured detuning  $\Delta$ <sub>L</sub>. The stability of such frequency estimation is practically limited by detected photon rates shown as orange data points. The filled area depicts the corresponding simulation considering the atomic populations at different velocity classes with a Gaussian uncertainty of the Doppler broadened spectra  $\sigma_{\text{DB}}$ , including also the residual modification due to the Fabry-Pérot spectral filter. The marked data point corresponds to the measurement presented in b).

black triangle data points in Fig. [3](#page-3-0) is in agreement with the independently measured second-order correlations within statistically estimated measurement uncertainties.

The fit of the data in Fig. [3-](#page-3-0)b) using the model based on Eq. [\(2\)](#page-1-1) confirms, that the interference visibility of  $32 \pm 1$  % is indeed determined by the relative difference in probabilities of detection of the forward to backward scattered photons. The corresponding photon rates were estimated from fit to  $R_F = (54 \pm 2) \times 10^4$  counts/s and  $R_B = (10 \pm 0.8) \times 10^4$  counts/s.<br>The large difference in the photon rates corresponds to the The large difference in the photon rates corresponds to the smaller intensity of the back-scattering laser beam, which experiences additional attenuation in the optically dense atomic sample before reaching the observed interaction volume in the cell. We note, that the feasibility of the modification of contributing photon rates by changing the polarization settings of the excitation beams and observation mode was tested, which allowed for observation of a high-visibility interference. We also tested the experimental geometry, where the transversal position shift of the excitation beam on the scale much beyond the beam diameter preserves the observability of the interference, which provides complementary proof of the independence of the two contributing atomic ensembles.

The presented interferometry has intrinsic potential for diverse applications in spectroscopy. It can be readily applied for a direct precise estimation of an absolute value of the relative frequency detuning between the excitation laser and internal atomic transition. The photon-correlation detection allows for direct measurement of the absolute value of laser detuning  $\Delta$  from the Fourier analysis of  $g^{(2)}(\tau)$  with a sub-<br>natural linewidth precision. The estimation should also innatural linewidth precision. The estimation should also include the corresponding residual systematic shift of the beating frequency  $f_{\text{mod}} = |\omega_F - \omega_B| = |\omega_L + \Delta(1 - \cos \theta)|$  $(\omega_L + \Delta(1 + \cos(\theta + \pi))] = 2\Delta \cos \theta$  with  $\theta$ . The experimental geometry presented here with  $\theta = 2^{\circ} \pm 0.5^{\circ}$  implies<br>a relative shift in the estimated frequency difference on the ora relative shift in the estimated frequency difference on the or-der of 10<sup>-4</sup>. Fig. [3-](#page-3-0)c) presents the series of measured  $g^{(2)}(\tau)$ <br>with emergent linear dependence of the modulation frequency with emergent linear dependence of the modulation frequency *f*<sub>mod</sub> on the independently estimated laser detuning Δ<sub>L</sub>. Here, ∆*<sup>L</sup>* was measured on the optical wavemeter with measured short-term instability below  $\sigma \approx 1$  MHz. The linear fit using  $f_{\text{mod}} = |\alpha \Delta_L|$  provides  $\alpha = 1.995 \pm 0.003$ , with no apparent deviation from linearity in the measured range within experimental error bars. The evaluations of larger statistical sets at this particular setting corresponding to an average count rate of  $(640 \pm 30) \times 10^3$  counts/s provide rapid enhancement of stability for short measurement timescales, in close agreement with the  $1/\sqrt{T}$  scaling, where *T* is the averaging period. The stability of 0.3 + 0.1 MHz has been reached for  $T = 45$  s. The stability of  $0.3 \pm 0.1$  MHz has been reached for  $T = 45$  s. The sampling resolution of the Fourier spectra is given by the maximal evaluated  $\tau_{\text{max}} = 3.2 \,\mu s$  and corresponds to 0.154 MHz. The actual feasible frequency resolution is determined by the inverse of the coherence time of contributing photons. In the time domain, the temporal resolution of frequency estimation is set by the acquisition time necessary for achieving desired measurement stability, which is practically limited by the detectable photon rates. It severely decreases for large ∆ which relies on the scattering of atoms from marginal velocity classes. The technical upper limit on the measurement bandwidth is set by the temporal jitter of the employed SPCMs with the average of  $500 \pm 100$  ps.

*Conclusions.—* The presented experimental methodology provides an exclusive tool for observation of the emergence of coherence of light from independent ensembles of elementary atomic scatterers. It is directly applicable in a broad range of fundamental interferometric tests and in diverse applications in atomic precision spectroscopy and sensing [\[5,](#page-4-3) [6,](#page-4-20) [35,](#page-4-21) [36\]](#page-4-22). It can be implemented with different classical or quantum emitters with feasible resonant interaction, independently of the availability of their motional cooling. Despite operating in a small saturation parameter limit, the resonant character of interaction is indispensable for its functioning, as it provides the necessary selectivity of velocity classes of contributing scatterers. For atoms, the observation of interference can thus be considered as a unique signature of the quantized internal structure in the elastic scattering limit. Importantly, the interference is not compromised by the large thermal motion. On the contrary, it enables a large measurement bandwidth of atomic spectroscopy. The combination of a high atomic velocity selectivity and photon correlation detection provides sub-Doppler and sub-natural linewidth precision in the regime of large thermal motion. The high atomic temperature enhances the spectral bandwidth of the spectroscopy and the temporal resolution and stability of frequency estimation can benefit from the availability of a large number of atoms in vapor cells. Extensions of presented elementary examples to atoms or molecules with closely spaced electronic level manifolds can result in complex beating structures providing information about the contributing energy level structure.

*Acknowledgments.—* S. J. and J. M. acknowledge the support of the Czech Science Foundation under the project GA21- 13265X. L. S. is grateful for the national funding from the MEYS under the project CZ.02.01.01/00/22 008/0004649. L. S. and R. K. acknowledge funding from the QUAN-TERA ERA-NET cofund in quantum technologies implemented within the European Union's Horizon 2020 Programme (project PACE-IN, 8C20004). L. S. is grateful to Morgan Mitchell for stimulating discussion on the scattering of light in warm atomic vapors.

- <span id="page-4-0"></span>[1] L. Mandel, Physical Review 134, A10 (1964).
- <span id="page-4-1"></span>[2] L. Mandel and E. Wolf, Reviews of Modern Physics 37, 231 (1965).
- <span id="page-4-2"></span>[3] U. Fano, American Journal of Physics 29, 539 (1961).
- [4] T. Jordan and F. Ghielmetti, Physical Review Letters 12, 607 (1964).
- <span id="page-4-3"></span>[5] A. D. Ludlow, M. M. Boyd, J. Ye, E. Peik, and P. O. Schmidt, Reviews of Modern Physics 87, 637 (2015).
- <span id="page-4-20"></span>[6] P.-A. Moreau, E. Toninelli, T. Gregory, and M. J. Padgett, Nature Reviews Physics 1, 367 (2019).
- <span id="page-4-4"></span>[7] N. Sangouard, C. Simon, H. De Riedmatten, and N. Gisin, Reviews of Modern Physics 83, 33 (2011).
- <span id="page-4-5"></span>[8] R. L. Pfleegor and L. Mandel, Physical Review 159, 1084 (1967).
- [9] R. J. Glauber, American Journal of Physics 63, 12 (1995).
- <span id="page-4-6"></span>[10] L. Mandel, Reviews of Modern Physics **71**, S274 (1999).
- <span id="page-4-7"></span>[11] A. T. Forrester, R. A. Gudmundsen, and P. O. Johnson, Physical Review 99, 1691 (1955).
- <span id="page-4-8"></span>[12] A. Javan, E. Ballik, and W. Bond, JOSA 52, 96 (1962).
- [13] G. Magyar and L. Mandel, Nature 198, 255 (1963).
- <span id="page-4-9"></span>[14] M. S. Lipsett and L. Mandel, Nature 199, 553 (1963).
- <span id="page-4-10"></span>[15] R. L. Pfleegor and L. Mandel, JOSA 58, 946 (1968).
- <span id="page-4-11"></span>[16] H. de Riedmatten, I. Marcikic, W. Tittel, H. Zbinden, and N. Gisin, Physical Review A 67, 022301 (2003).
- [17] M. Halder, A. Beveratos, N. Gisin, V. Scarani, C. Simon, and H. Zbinden, Nature Physics 3, 692 (2007).
- <span id="page-4-12"></span>[18] R. Kaltenbaek, B. Blauensteiner, M. Żukowski, M. Aspelmeyer, and A. Zeilinger, Physical Review Letters 96, 240502 (2006).
- <span id="page-4-13"></span>[19] J. Rarity, P. Tapster, and R. Loudon, Journal of Optics B: Quantum and Semiclassical Optics 7, S171 (2005).
- [20] J. Liu, Y. Zhou, F.-l. Li, and Z. Xu, Europhysics Letters 105, 64007 (2014).
- [21] J. Liu, H. Zheng, H. Chen, Y. Zhou, F.-l. Li, and Z. Xu, Optics Express 23, 11868 (2015).
- [22] T. F. da Silva, G. C. do Amaral, D. Vitoreti, G. P. Temporão, and J. P. von der Weid, JOSA B 32, 545 (2015).
- [23] J. Liu, D. Wei, H. Chen, Y. Zhou, H. Zheng, H. Gao, F.-L. Li, and Z. Xu, Chinese Physics B 25, 034203 (2016).
- [24] E. Moschandreou, J. I. Garcia, B. J. Rollick, B. Qi, R. Pooser, and G. Siopsis, Journal of Lightwave Technology 36, 3752 (2018).
- [25] H. Semenenko, P. Sibson, M. G. Thompson, and C. Erven, Optics letters 44, 275 (2019).
- [26] C. Agnesi, B. Da Lio, D. Cozzolino, L. Cardi, B. B. Bakir, K. Hassan, A. Della Frera, A. Ruggeri, A. Giudice, G. Vallone, *et al.*, Optics Letters 44, 271 (2019).
- [27] S. Zhang, F. Raza, I. Ahmed, W. Li, K. Jin, and Y. Zhang, Journal of Physics Communications 3, 095003 (2019).
- [28] H. Kim, D. Kim, J. Park, and H. S. Moon, Photonics Research 8, 1491 (2020).
- <span id="page-4-14"></span>[29] A. Romanova, K. Katamadze, G. Avosopiants, L. Biguaa, N. Skryabin, A. Kalinkin, I. Dyakonov, Y. Bogdanov, and S. Kulik, Optics Letters 47, 4708 (2022).
- <span id="page-4-15"></span>[30] A. Dussaux, T. Passerat de Silans, W. Guerin, O. Alibart, S. Tanzilli, F. Vakili, and R. Kaiser, Physical Review A 93, 043826 (2016).
- <span id="page-4-16"></span>[31] M. Morisse, S. Joshi, J. Mika, J. Capella, R. Kaiser, R. Bachelard, L. Slodička, and M. Hugbart, Europhysics Letters 147, 15001 (2024).
- <span id="page-4-17"></span>[32] R. Loudon, *The quantum theory of light* (OUP Oxford, 2000).
- <span id="page-4-18"></span>[33] J. Mika, L. Podhora, L. Lachman, P. Obšil, J. Hloušek, M. Ježek, R. Filip, and L. Slodička, [New Journal of Physics](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/aadc9d) 20[, 093002 \(2018\).](https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/aadc9d)
- <span id="page-4-19"></span>[34] A. Eloy, Z. Yao, R. Bachelard, W. Guerin, M. Fouché, and R. Kaiser, Physical Review A 97, 013810 (2018).
- <span id="page-4-21"></span>[35] D. Pizzey, J. Briscoe, F. Logue, F. Ponciano-Ojeda, S. Wrathmall, and I. Hughes, New Journal of Physics 24, 125001 (2022).
- <span id="page-4-22"></span>[36] K. Jensen, M. Zugenmaier, J. Arnbak, H. Stærkind, M. V. Balabas, and E. S. Polzik, Physical Review Research 1, 033087 (2019).

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: INTERFERENCE OF PHOTONS FROM INDEPENDENT HOT ATOMS

### Experimental setup

The vapor of isotopically pure atoms is stored in a 7.5 cm long cylindrical glass cell with antireflection-coated input and output windows. The cell temperature is about 60◦C. A collimated Gaussian laser beam with a circular polarization and a half width  $w_{\text{E}} = 1.1 \pm 0.1$  mm scatters off atoms in a weak saturation limit. The frequency is set to detuning  $\Delta_L$ from the  $5S_{1/2}(F = 2) \leftrightarrow 5P_{3/2}(F' = 3)$  transition. The optical power is set to  $50 \mu W$  measured at the output of the cell along the forward-scattering excitation beam. This corresponds to a small on-resonant saturation parameter *s* ≪ 1. After the first pass of the vapor cell, the laser beam is retroreflected by a planar mirror, which results in an optical standing wave. As illustrated in Fig. [S1,](#page-5-0) the excitation and observation optical modes overlap in the proximity of the cell output viewport, which reduces photon losses and the probability of multiple scattering [\[S30\]](#page-4-15). The forward scattered photons are observed under the small angle  $\theta = 2^{\circ} \pm 0.5^{\circ}$  and the backward scattered photons are collected into the exactly the backward scattered photons are collected into the exactly same spatial mode. The observation Gaussian spatial mode waist has a half width  $w_0 = 95 \pm 5 \mu m$  at the intersection with the excitation beam. The coupled mode is defined using a combination of a  $f = 300$  mm collection lens, which is employed for the collimation of coupled photons, followed by coupling to a single-mode optical fiber using an aspheric lens. The collected photons pass the polarization filter consisting of a quarter-wave plate and the Glan-Thompson polarizer optimized for the maximal transmission of the circular polarization with the same helicity as the forward propagating excitation laser beam. We note that the selection of this particular polarization mode is not unique to the functioning of the scheme in the presented experimental settings, and it has been successfully tested also for linear polarization mode with similar results. The Fabry-Pérot filter with a full width at a half maximum linewidth of  $900 \pm 100$  MHz and the free spectral range of 30 GHz is set up to suppress any residual light originating from Raman scattering to the  $F = 1$  state manifold. The overall losses of photons scattered into the detection spatial mode were estimated to be about 26 %, which includes the transmission of all optical components and the efficiency of the employed single-photon counting modules.

The collected light is decoupled from the single-mode fiber into a free-space Hanbury-Brown-Twiss detection arrangement employing a balanced coupling to two single-photon avalanche photodiodes for analysis of the second-order correlation functions. The implemented regime results in the detected mean photon rate of  $(64 \pm 2) \times 10^4$  counts/s and the corresponding rate of simultaneous detection of two photons for the time bin of 14.3 ns is  $4900 \pm 300$  coincidences/s. The chosen time bin corresponds to the full width at the half maximum of the envelope of measured  $g_{F+}^{(2)}$  $E_{F+B}^{(2)}(\tau)$ . The detection setup is<br>sally provides the feasibility of assembled such that it additionally provides the feasibility of



<span id="page-5-0"></span>Figure S1. Experimental scheme for observation of interference of light from warm atomic vapors. The excitation laser beam generated from an ECDL laser at 780 nm is detuned by ∆ from the Rb  $5S_{1/2}(F = 2) \leftrightarrow 5P_{3/2}(F' = 3)$  transition. It is scattered from the section is a standing way configuration. The sectional photons are atoms in a standing wave configuration. The scattered photons are observed in the optical mode at angle  $\theta$  defined by the composite optical filter (OF). It consists of the spatial mode filer implemented by the combination of collecting lens (L), fiber coupler lens (FC), and single-mode optical fiber (SMF). The polarization mode coincident with the excitation laser polarization is set by the combination of the quarter waveplate (QWP) and Glan-Thompson polarizer (GT). The Fabry-Pérot (FP) resonator is set to suppress the contributions from residual Raman scattering. In addition, the optical attenuator (A) corresponding to the optical neutral density filter was employed to suppress the detected count rate below the saturation limit of employed single photon detectors. The collected photons are analyzed in the setup including two single-photon counting modules (SPCM) for measurement of the second-order correlations  $g^{(2)}(\tau)$ .<br>Additional measurement of the degree of the modulus of the first-Additional measurement of the degree of the modulus of the firstorder coherence  $|g^{(1)}(\tau)|$  is implemented in the Michelson interfer-<br>ometer with feasible relative arm length difference corresponding to ometer with feasible relative arm length difference corresponding to up to  $\tau = 12$  ns.

simultaneous measurement of the modulus of the first-order coherence  $|g^{(1)}(\tau)|$  in a free-space Michelson interferometer.<br>We note that the saturation limit of the employed single pho-We note, that the saturation limit of the employed single photon detectors necessitated the installation of an additional optical attenuation component based on polarization optics in the detection optical mode in the presented interaction parameters configuration. For the measurements presented here, its transmission efficiency factor was set to  $\approx 0.25$ . The separate measurements of  $g_F^{(2)}$  $F_F^{(2)}(\tau)$  presented in Fig. [3-](#page-3-0)a) in the main<br>were realized by simply blocking the part of the manuscript were realized by simply blocking the back-reflected beam. The corresponding  $g_R^{(2)}$  $B_{\rm B}^{(2)}(\tau)$  from solely back-reflected photon signal was achieved by implementing an additional separate beam with the same optical power and wavevector corresponding to exactly  $k_{L_2}$ . Here, the same excitation spatial mode was guaranteed by coupling the excitation beam to the input single-mode fiber SMF*in*. The implementations of the excitation standing wave with a mirror, or, by employing a second excitation beam, are fully analogous. How-

2

ever, the employment of the mirror offers a simpler alignment procedure.

As the two ensembles of atoms are intrinsically independent, the contributing mean photon numbers  $\bar{n}_{F(B)}$  can be independently modified by controlling the respective excitation probabilities by adjusting the relative excitation powers of the corresponding laser beams. This can be simply achieved by spatially displacing the retro-reflected laser beam, or by changing its polarization. The excitation geometry, where the backward-scattering atomic ensemble is closer to the detector setup simultaneously places its overlap with the observation spatial mode closer to the vapour cell window. Consequently, the back-scattered photons experience less absorption, which can be also employed for balancing the photon rates. We experimentally confirmed that the transversal beam position shift on the scale much beyond the beam diameter preserves the observability of the interference, which provides complementary proof of the intrinsic independence of the two contributing atomic ensembles. As a result of these optimizations, the intensity ratio of the forward and backwardscattered photons became close to equal and the interference visibility reached more than 90 %.

### Second-order coherence from warm atoms

The light fields scattered off the atomic ensembles with two opposite velocity classes have observable frequencies  $\omega_{F,i}$  =

 $\omega_L + (\vec{k}_{L_1} - \vec{k}_F) \cdot \vec{v}$  and  $\omega_{B,i} = \omega_L + (\vec{k}_{L_2} - \vec{k}_B) \cdot \vec{v}$ , corresponding to the forward (F) and backward (B) scattered photons from *i*-th and *j*-th atoms, respectively. Their coupling to the same single optical mode results in

<span id="page-6-0"></span>
$$
E(t) \propto \sum_{i=1}^{N} E_i e^{-i(\omega_{\text{F},i}t - \mathbf{k}_{\text{F}} \cdot \mathbf{r}_i)} + \sum_{j=1}^{N} E_j e^{-i(\omega_{\text{B},j}t - \mathbf{k}_{\text{B}} \cdot \mathbf{r}_j)} \tag{S1}
$$

where  $E_{i,(j)}$  is the amplitude of the fields from  $i(j)$ -th atom, **k** are the user user the user is not the oterain no are the wave vectors of scattered light, and r are the atomic position vectors. The summation includes contributions from *N* atoms in each of the two velocity classes. With relevance to the presented experimental configuration, the number of contributing atoms to the forward and backward scattered fields is assumed to be equal. It is determined by the excitationobservation mode overlap of the two atomic ensembles, which is set mostly by the same diameter of the excitation laser beam and of the observation Gaussian mode. In addition, the Doppler distribution of atomic velocity classes of thermal vapor affects the number of contributing atoms in both ensembles equally, as the effective laser detuning is the same for both forward and backward scattered contributions. The evaluation of the second-order correlation function

$$
G^{(2)}(\tau) = \langle E^*(t)E^*(t+\tau)E(t+\tau)E(t)\rangle
$$
 (S2)

follows the expansion to different combinations of fields from the two ensembles

$$
G^{(2)}(\tau) = \sum_{i} \langle E_{F,i}^{*}(t)E_{F,i}^{*}(t+\tau)E_{F,i}(t+\tau)E_{F,i}(t)\rangle + \sum_{j} \langle E_{F,j}^{*}(t)E_{F,j}^{*}(t+\tau)E_{F,j}(t+\tau)E_{F,j}(t)\rangle
$$
(S3)  
+ 
$$
\sum_{i\neq l} \{ \langle E_{F,i}^{*}(t)E_{F,i}(t+\tau) \rangle \langle E_{F,i}^{*}(t+\tau)E_{F,i}(t) \rangle + \langle E_{F,i}^{*}(t)E_{F,i}(t) \rangle \langle E_{F,i}^{*}(t+\tau)E_{F,i}(t+\tau) \rangle \}
$$
  
+ 
$$
\sum_{j\neq m} \{ \langle E_{B,j}^{*}(t)E_{B,j}(t+\tau) \rangle \langle E_{B,m}^{*}(t+\tau)E_{B,m}(t) \rangle + \langle E_{B,j}^{*}(t)E_{B,j}(t) \rangle \langle E_{B,m}^{*}(t+\tau)E_{B,m}(t+\tau) \rangle \}
$$
  
+ 
$$
\sum_{i,j} \{ \langle E_{F,i}^{*}(t)E_{F,i}(t+\tau) \rangle \langle E_{B,j}^{*}(t+\tau)E_{B,j}(t) \rangle + \langle E_{F,i}^{*}(t+\tau)E_{F,i}(t) \rangle \langle E_{B,j}^{*}(t)E_{B,j}(t+\tau) \rangle
$$
  
+ 
$$
\langle E_{F,i}^{*}(t)E_{F,i}(t) \rangle \langle E_{B,j}^{*}(t+\tau)E_{B,j}(t+\tau) \rangle + \langle E_{B,j}^{*}(t)E_{B,j}(t) \rangle \langle E_{F,i}^{*}(t+\tau)E_{F,i}(t+\tau) \rangle \}.
$$

Here,  $E_{F,i}(t) = E_i e^{-i\omega_{F,i}t}$  and  $E_{B,j}(t) = E_j e^{-i\omega_{B,j}t}$ . The correlations between different stems uppided due to their gap. relations between different atoms vanished due to their random relative phase fluctuations on time scales of multiple emissions. In the remaining correlation expressions, the multiplication of position-dependent phase factors from expression [\(S1\)](#page-6-0) resulted in unity factors. In the limit of a large number of contributing atoms ( $N \gg 1$ ), the distribution of atomic velocity classes and of corresponding observed photon frequencies  $\omega_{F(B)}$  become continuous

$$
\sum_{i} \langle E^*(t)E(t+\tau) \rangle \to \int \langle E^*(t)E(t+\tau) \rangle d\omega. \tag{S4}
$$

The resulting second-order correlation function can then be expressed as

<span id="page-6-1"></span>
$$
G^{(2)}(\tau) = N(\bar{G}_{F}^{(2)}(\tau) + \bar{G}_{B}^{(2)}(\tau))
$$
\n
$$
+ N^{2}|\bar{G}_{F}^{(1)}(\tau) + \bar{G}_{B}^{(1)}(\tau)e^{i(\omega_{F}-\omega_{B})\tau}|^{2}
$$
\n
$$
+ N^{2}(\bar{n}_{F} + \bar{n}_{B})^{2},
$$
\n(S5)

where,  $\bar{G}_{\text{FB}}^{(1)}(\tau)$  and  $\bar{G}_{\text{FB}}^{(2)}(\tau)$  are the first- and second-order<br>correlation functions of contributing individual atomic scattercorrelation functions of contributing individual atomic scatterers, and  $\bar{n}_{F(B)}$  are the mean photon numbers from a particular ensemble. The Eq. [\(S5\)](#page-6-1) reveals the crucial contribution of the

beating of the first-order correlation functions, which modulates the second-order photon correlation at the relative mean frequency difference  $\omega_F - \omega_B$ . The corresponding normalized second-order correlation function reads

<span id="page-7-0"></span>
$$
g^{(2)}(\tau) = 1 + \frac{1}{(\bar{n}_{\rm F} + \bar{n}_{\rm B})^2} |\bar{n}_{\rm F} g_{\rm F}^{(1)}(\tau) + \bar{n}_{\rm B} g_{\rm B}^{(1)}(\tau) e^{{\rm i}(\omega_{\rm F} - \omega_{\rm B})\tau}|^2, \tag{S6}
$$

where  $\bar{g}^{(1)}(\tau)$  are the normalized first-order correlation func-

tions of light. The Eq. [\(S6\)](#page-7-0) represents an extended version of the Siegert relation for chaotic light [\[S32\]](#page-4-17) for contributing two atomic thermal light sources at frequencies  $\omega_F$  and  $\omega_B$ . We note that the same single-mode limit can be approached also by  $\bar{n}_{F(\text{or }B)} \approx 0$ , which can be experimentally observed by, for example, frequency filtering of one of the contributing atomic groups or by blocking the corresponding excitation laser beam.