

## Look how it runs!

Siegfried Bethke

*Max-Planck-Institute of Physics,  
Föhringer Ring 6,  
80805 Munich, Germany,  
bethke@mpp.mpg.de*

Quantum Chromodynamics predicts that the strong coupling strength  $\alpha_s$  decreases with increasing energy or momentum transfer, and vanishes at asymptotically high energies. The history and the status of experimental tests of asymptotic freedom are summarised in this review.

### 1. Introduction

Quantum chromodynamics (QCD) is the quantum field theory of the strong interaction between quarks mediated by gluons. It is the strongest of the four fundamental forces of nature: between quarks and at sub-atomic distances, the strong interaction is a hundred times stronger than the electro-magnetic force,  $10^{14}$  times stronger than the weak force, and a mind-breaking  $10^{40}$  times stronger than gravity<sup>a</sup>.

QCD, exhibiting colour triplet quarks and colour octet gluons, was developed and introduced by Harald Fritzsch, Murray Gell-Mann and Heinrich Leutwyler in 1972/1973 [1, 2]. One of the key features of non-abelian gauge theories (like QCD), *asymptotic freedom*, was discovered by David Gross, Frank Wilczek and David Politzer in 1973 [3]. Asymptotic freedom determines that the strong coupling strength,  $\alpha_s$ , “runs” with energy and asymptotically vanishes at high energies. This allows to calculate physical quantities by means of perturbation theory, in regions of energies where  $\alpha_s$  is smaller than 1. After successful experimental verification of the running of  $\alpha_s$ , the discovery of Asymptotic Freedom was honoured with the Nobel Prize in 2004 [4].

On the occasion of the *Symposium in Memory of Harald Fritzsch* held at the Arnold-Sommerfeld Centre at Munich in July 2023. a personal (re-)view of experimental tests of asymptotic freedom in QCD is given, recapping and updating a more extended article that was published in 2006 [5].

---

<sup>a</sup>A factor of  $10^{40}$  corresponds to the difference in size between the visible universe ( $10^{26}\text{m}$ ) and an atomic nucleus ( $10^{-14}\text{m}$ ).

2 *S. Bethke*

## 2. Asymptotic freedom in QCD

In QCD, the energy dependence of the running coupling  $\alpha_s(\mu)$  is given by the renormalisation group equation

$$\beta(\alpha_s) = \mu^2 \frac{d\alpha_s}{d\mu^2} = -(b_0\alpha_s^2 + b_1\alpha_s^3 + b_2\alpha_s^4 + \dots) \quad (1)$$

with

$$b_0 = \frac{11C_A - 2N_f}{12\pi},$$

where  $C_A = 3$  is the number of “colour” charges in QCD, and  $N_f$  is the number of quark flavours produced at the energy scale  $\mu$ . The higher order coefficients  $b_1$ ,  $b_2$ ,  $b_3$  and  $b_4$  are also known to date, see e.g. [6].

The “-” sign in front of the right-hand-side expression of eq. 1 establishes asymptotic freedom, i.e. the running and decrease of  $\alpha_s$  with increasing energy, as long as  $N_f < 17$ .

In 1-loop (leading order) approximation, eq. 1 is solved by

$$\alpha_s(\mu^2) = \frac{1}{b_0 \ln(\mu^2/\Lambda^2)}, \quad (2)$$

so QCD predicts that  $\alpha_s$  logarithmically decreases with increasing energy scales  $\mu$ .

For a summary and discussion of higher order corrections, the role and treatment of quark production thresholds and other peculiarities of QCD see e.g. [5].

## 3. Experimental tests

Since the late 1980’s, studies of hadron jets and determinations of  $\alpha_s$ , in wide ranges of centre of mass energies and with increasing experimental and theoretical precision, provided growing evidence for asymptotic freedom. Equally important and equivalent to the running coupling, evidence for colour charged gluons and the SU(3) gauge structure as predicted by QCD provided key-indicators for the correctness of this theory describing the dynamics of the strong interaction between quarks and gluons.

### 3.1. Energy dependence of jet production rates

Studies of multi-jet event production rates in high-energy  $e^+e^-$  annihilation reactions,  $e^+e^- \rightarrow q\bar{q}(g) \rightarrow \text{hadrons}$ , provided first evidence for the energy dependence of  $\alpha_s$ , before summaries of explicit determinations of  $\alpha_s$  could provide a convincing case for asymptotic freedom. These studies used hadron jets as footprints of the initially produced quarks and gluons. They were based on the definition of resolvable hadron jets which could be applied to both perturbative QCD calculation and to measured hadronic final states:




Fig. 1. Energy dependence of 3-jet event production rates in hadronic final states from  $e^+e^-$  annihilation, measured using the JADE jet finder at a scaled jet energy resolution  $y_{cut} = 0.08$ . They are compared to theoretical expectations of QCD, of an abelian vector gluon model, and to the hypothesis of a constant coupling strength.

Within the JADE jet algorithm [7], the scaled invariant pair mass of two resolvable jets  $i$  and  $j$ ,  $y_{ij} = M_{ij}^2/E_{vis}^2$  is required to exceed a threshold value  $y_{cut}$ , where  $E_{vis}$  is the sum of all particles (resp. quarks and gluons) of the hadronic final state. Theory predicts that - in leading order - the relative production rate of 3-jet events is proportional to  $\alpha_s$ ,

$$R_3 = \frac{\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow 3\text{-jets})}{\sigma(e^+e^- \rightarrow \text{hadrons})} = C_1(y_{cut}) \alpha_s(\mu),$$

plus higher order corrections  $C_2(y_{cut})\alpha_s^2(\mu) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^3)$ , where the coefficients  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$  etc. are constants, independent of the energy scale, and can be calculated in QCD perturbation theory. Model studies showed that corrections due to non-calculable hadronisation effects and to limited detector resolution and acceptance are small.

The first study of the energy dependence of 3-jet event production rates, at c.m. energies between 22 and 46 GeV, analysed for constant jet resolution  $y_{cut}$  at the  $e^+e^-$  collider PETRA, provided first evidence for the energy dependence of  $\alpha_s$  in 1988 [8]. These data are shown in figure 1, together with more results from experiments at the PEP, TRISTAN [9] and finally, at the LEP collider [10]. The measured 3-jet rates significantly decrease with increasing centre of mass energy, in excellent agreement with the decrease predicted by QCD. The hypothesis of

4 *S. Bethke*

an energy independent coupling, and especially the prediction of an alternative, QED-like abelian vector gluon model, where gluons carry no colour charge, are in apparent contradiction with the data.




Fig. 2. Distribution of the azimuthal angle between two planes spanned by the two high- and the two low-energy jets of hadronic 4-jet events measured at LEP [13], compared to the predictions of QCD and of an abelian vector gluon model where gluons carry no colour charge [11].

### 3.2. Gluon self-coupling and QCD group structure constants

The gluon self-coupling is a direct consequence of gluons carrying colour charge by themselves, and is the essential feature leading to the prediction of asymptotic freedom. Methods to directly detect effects of gluon self-coupling are based on analysing distributions which are sensitive to the spin structure of hadronic 4-jet final states, see e.g. [11]. The Bengtson-Zerwas angle,  $\chi_{BZ}$  [12], measuring the angle between the planes defined by the two highest and the two lowest energy jets, is rather sensitive to the difference of a gluon splitting into two gluons, which in QCD is the dominant source of 4-jet final states, and a gluon splitting to a quark-

antiquark pair, which is the dominant process in an abelian vector theory where gluons carry no colour charge.

The results of an early study which showed convincing evidence for the gluon self coupling in 1990 [13], after one year of data taking at the  $e^+e^-$  collider LEP, is shown in figure 2. The data clearly favour the QCD prediction and rule out the abelian vector gluon case.




Fig. 3. Determinations of the QCD colour factors  $C_A$  and  $C_F$  [14].

The QCD group constants  $C_A$ ,  $C_F$  and  $N_f$ , assume values of 3,  $4/3$  and 5 in a theory exhibiting  $SU(3)$  symmetry, with 5 quark flavours in the vacuum polarisation loops. For alternative, QED-like toy models of the strong interaction with  $U(1)$  symmetry, these values would be  $C_A = 0$  and  $C_F = 0.5$ . Experimental determination of these two parameters thus constitute one of the most intimate tests of the predictions of QCD.

At LEP, data statistics and precision allowed to actually determine experimental values for  $C_A$ , which basically is the number of colour charges, and  $C_F$ . A summary of such studies, involving analyses of 4-jet angular correlations and fits to hadronic event shapes [14], is presented in figure 3. The data are in excellent agreement with the gauge structure constants of QCD. They rule out the Abelian vector gluon

model and theories exhibiting symmetries other than SU(3).

### 3.3. Energy dependence of $\alpha_s$

The *straight forward way*, clearly, is to determine  $\alpha_s(Q)$  from data at different and large ranges of energy scales  $Q$ .  $\alpha_s$ , however, is not an observable by itself, but must be determined through comparison of measurements of hadronic final states of high energy reactions with QCD predictions of the dynamics of quarks and gluons. Such determinations became available in the early 1980s, but their significance was rather restricted due to limited statistical precision, limited precision of theoretical calculations in only leading or next-to-leading order perturbation theory, and the uncertainties in parametrising the hadronisation process, i.e. the transition from quarks and gluons to observable hadrons.




Fig. 4. Summary of  $\alpha_s$  determinations in the year 1989 [15], with data from deep inelastic lepton-nucleon scattering (blue), heavy quarkonia (green) and  $e^+e^-$  annihilation experiments (red).

The status of early determinations of  $\alpha_s$ , as summarised in 1989 [15], is reproduced in Fig. 4. While being compatible with the QCD expectation, the limited energy range, data statistics and theory precision, at that time, were not yet sufficient to claim experimental evidence for the running of  $\alpha_s$ .

The situation rapidly improved with the start-up of the LEP  $e^+e^-$  collider at

Look how it runs! 7

CERN in 1989. By 1992, LEP provided significant results on  $\alpha_s$  at energies around the mass of the  $Z^0$  resonance,  $M_Z \sim 91.2 \text{ GeV}/c^2$ , from hadronic event shapes from the decay width of the  $Z^0$ -boson, and from hadronic decays of the  $\tau$ -lepton, at the energy scale of  $M_\tau \sim 1.78 \text{ GeV}/c^2$  - thus expanding the available energy range by almost an order of magnitude. Precision and reliability of theoretical calculations also improved by that time, in terms of next-to-next-to-leading order (NNLO) precision for hadronic  $Z^0$  and  $\tau$  decays, and resummation of NLO predictions for hadronic event shapes and jet production rates. These ingredients gradually led to emerging evidence for the running of  $\alpha_s$ , in accordance with QCD and Asymptotic Freedom, see e.g. [16] for a summary of  $\alpha_s$  results at that time.




Fig. 5. Summary of  $\alpha_s$  determinations in 2002 [17]




Fig. 6. The running  $\alpha_s$  from low energy PETRA to high energy LEP data [18].

Ten further years later, by 2002 - about 30 years after the birth of QCD -, increased precision of results from LEP, re-application of up-to-date analysis methods and theoretical developments on past data from the JADE experiment at PETRA, and precise results from lattice QCD calculations together provided convincing evidence for the running of  $\alpha_s$ , over a range of energy scales from 1.7 to 214 GeV, in perfect agreement with the expectation from QCD, see figs. 5 and 6. The evidence, at that point, was strong enough to justify the award of the 2004 Nobel Prize in Physics to David Gross, Frank Wilczek and David Politzer “for the discovery of asymptotic freedom in the theory of the strong interaction” [4]. In fact, in the official advanced information on the scientific background of this Prize [19], figs. 5 and 6 are presented as *the* experimental evidence for asymptotic freedom in QCD.

The 2004 Nobel Prize was not the end of demonstrating asymptotic freedom in


8 *S. Bethke*

Fig. 7. Summary of  $\alpha_s$  determinations in the year 2021 [20], compared with the QCD prediction of the running coupling, in 4-loop precision plus 3-loop matching at the charm- and bottom-quark thresholds.

QCD: many new and significant results were obtained since then - now about 50 years after the birth of QCD, and more than 30 years after Altarelli's review (c.f. fig. 4). Precise determinations of  $\alpha_s$  from lepton-hadron collisions at HERA and from hadron collisions at the Tevatron and the Large Hadron Collider (LHC) became available, now covering the huge energy range from 1.7 to more than 2000 GeV. In many cases, experimental uncertainties are at and below the one per-cent level. Theory predictions are up to the level of  $N^3LO$ , and lattice calculations also reached precisions of the order of one per-cent and below. An up-to-date summary of all results [20] is reproduced in fig. 7. It provides a very precise confirmation of the QCD prediction of asymptotic freedom and the specific functional form of  $\alpha_s$  logarithmically decreasing with increasing energy scale, c.f. equation 2. No other reasonable parametrisation can provide a similarly accurate description of the data.



#### 4. Conclusion

Fifty years after the foundation of quantum chromodynamics, the experimental evidence for the key-feature of QCD, asymptotic freedom and the logarithmic decrease of the coupling  $\alpha_s$  with energy, is overwhelming and leaves no doubt on QCD being the gauge theory correctly describing the strong interaction between quarks and gluons. As a well-tested and “proven” theory, its predictive power now serves as an indispensable and reliable source for defining and refining the “standard model” hadronic contributions and background to many other precision studies, like electro-weak phenomena and searches for new physics.

#### Acknowledgements

Harald Fritzsche was one of the founding pioneers of QCD. Thank you Harald, for your colossal contributions and lasting impact to the field!

#### References

- [1] H. Fritzsche and M. Gell-Mann, *Proc. XVI Int. Conf. on High Energy Physics*, Chicago-Batavia, 1972.
- [2] H. Fritzsche, M. Gell-Mann, H. Leutwyler, *Phys. Lett. B* **47**, 365 (1973).
- [3] D.J. Gross, F. Wilczek, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **30**, 1343 (1973); *Phys. Rev. D* **8**, 3633 (1973); H.D. Politzer, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **30**, 1346 (1973).
- [4] <https://www.nobelprize.org/prizes/physics/2004/summary/>
- [5] S. Bethke, *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* **58**, 351 (2007); hep-ex/0606035.
- [6] P.A. Baikov, K.G. Chetyrkin, J.H. Kühn, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118** 8, 082002 (2017); 1606.08659 [hep-ph].
- [7] W. Bartel et al. (JADE Collab.), *Z. Phys. C* **33**, 23 (1986).
- [8] S. Bethke et al. (JADE Collab.), *Phys. Lett B* **213**, 235 (1988).
- [9] S. Bethke, *Proc. of the 23rd Rencontre de Moriond: Current Issues in Hadron Physics, Les Arcs, France, 1988*, LBL-25247.
- [10] S. Bethke, *Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl.*, **54A**, 314 1997, hep-ex/9609014.
- [11] S. Bethke, A. Ricker and P.M. Zerwas, *Z. Phys. C*, **49**, 59 (1991).
- [12] M. Bengtsson and P.M. Zerwas, *Phys. Lett B*, **208**, 3061988.
- [13] B. Adeva et al. (L3 Collab.), *Phys. Lett. B*, **248**, 227 (1990).
- [14] S. Kluth, *Proc. 10<sup>th</sup> International QCD Conference (QCD 03)*, Montpellier, France, 2-9 Jul 2003; hep-ex/0309070.
- [15] G. Altarelli, *Annu. Rev. Nucl. Part. S.* **39**, 357 (1989).
- [16] S. Bethke and S. Catani, *Proc. of the XXVII<sup>th</sup> Rencontre de Moriond*, Les Arcs, France, 1992; CERN-TH.6484/92.
- [17] S. Bethke, *Nucl. Phys. B Proc. Suppl.* **121**, 74 (2003); hep-ex/0211012.
- [18] P. Zerwas, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **34**, 41 (2004).
- [19] <https://www.nobelprize.org/uploads/2018/06/advanced-physicsprize2004.pdf>
- [20] R.L. Workman et al. (Particle Data Group), *Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys.* 083C01 (2022).