Gluon TMDs from J/ψ production in longitudinally polarized deeply inelastic scattering

Huachao Liu,¹ Xiupeng Xie,¹ and Zhun Lu^{1,*}

¹School of Physics, Southeast University, Nanjing 211189, China

We investigate the feasibility of exploring the gluon transverse-momentum-dependent distribution functions (TMDs) inside a longitudinally polarized nucleon. We utilize quarkonium production via the color-octet mechanism combined with TMD formalism in semi-inclusive deeply inelastic scattering (SIDIS) at low transverse momentum as a tool to access polarized gluon TMDs. The corresponding cross-section of the process is expressed in terms of gluon TMDs and non-relativistic QCD matrix elements. We provide the expressions for the sin 2ϕ azimuthal asymmetries of J/ψ production in SIDIS with a unpolarized beam colliding on longitudinally polarized nucleon. The asymmetry is contributed by the time-reversal-odd gluon TMD $h_{1L}^{\perp D}(x, p_T^2)$. The maximum possible asymmetry deduced from the positivity bound is sizable and could be measured. We also estimate the double longitudinal spin asymmetry A_{LL} of J/ψ production using a spectator model result for $g_{1L}^g(x, p_T^2)$.

I. INTRODUCTION

The study of the 3-dimensional partonic structure of nucleons has gained significant interests [1–5]. The corresponding knowledge is encoded in the transverse momentum dependent parton distribution functions (TMDs) of quarks and gluon. TMDs contain not only the information of the light-cone momentum fraction x, but also the transverse motion p_T of partons inside a nucleon. Certain TMDs can be aroused from the correlation between spin and partonic transverse momenta, and give rise to single-spin asymmetries [6–11] in high-energy process. Furthermore, TMDs reflects nontrivial QCD dynamics due to the gauge-link structure [12] of their operator definitions, which leads to their process dependence, such as the sign change of time-reversal-odd (T-odd) TMDs between SIDIS and Drell-Yan processes [13].

Recently the quark TMDs have been extensively studied by theoretical approaches as well as experimental measurement. On the contrary, the gluon TMDs [3] are almost unknown from experimental aspects. This is because the processes sensitive to gluon require high energy collision and gluon TMDs are difficult to disentangle from the contributions of quark TMDs. Theoretically and phenomenologically, several gluon TMDs were explored in literature. The unpolarized gluon TMD $f_1^g(x, p_T^2)$ and the linearly polarized gluon distribution inside an unpolarized nucleon $h_1^{\perp g}(x, p_T^2)$ have been calculated in the context of the small x physics [15, 16]. Proposals to measure $h_1^{\perp g}(x, p_T^2)$ have been suggested through jet [17, 18] or heavy quark pair production [17, 19] in ep/pp collision and heavy quarkonia [20, 21] production. Meanwhile, the unpolarized TMD gluon distribution has been extracted [22] from LHCb data on the transverse spectra of J/ψ pairs by assuming a Gaussian shape. Regarding the naive time-reversal-odd (T-odd) gluon TMDs, the gluon

Sivers function [6, 23] has been studied by model calculations [24-26] and phenomenological studies [27-30].

In Refs. [31], the process ep \rightarrow $e'Q\bar{Q}X$ has been explored to access the gluon TMDs $f_{1T}^{\perp g}$, $h_1^{\perp,g}$, h_1^g and $h_{1T}^{\perp g}$ at an Electron-Ion Collider (EIC). Different azimuthal asymmetries have been defined to single out certain gluon TMD. Recently, the feasibility to access gluon TMDs via azimuthal asymmetries in the processes of J/ψ [32, 33] or Υ [32] production off unpolarized or transversely polarized target has been explored. Apart from giving access to the gluon TMDs $f_{1T}^{\perp g}$, $h_1^{\perp,g}$, h_1^g and $h_{1T}^{\perp g}$, the study also provides a new method to extract specific color-octet NRQCD long-distance matrix elements. In this work, we present a comprehensive analysis on the $e + p \rightarrow e' J/\psi X$ process with the electron or the proton target either longitudinally polarized or unpolarized. Similar to Ref. [32], in this analysis we adopt TMD framework in combination with nonrelativistic QCD (NRQCD) [34–36]. It is notable that color-octet diagrams and color-singlet diagrams contribute to quarkonia differential cross-sections at the same order [37]. A quarkonium is primarily the interaction between the heavy quark Q and its antiquark \overline{Q} in a bound state. In the initial analysis, the coloroctet amplitude was hypothesized to have two parts: a short-distance component and a long-distance component that contains all the non-perturbative QCD effects. The long-distance component was measured in non-relativistic wave function or its derivatives. The primary benefit of NRQCD is the capacity to differentiate between various order contributions. The production cross-sections can be calculated systematically to any preferred order in both the strong coupling constant and the velocity [38, 39].

Specifically, we provide the expressions for the azimuthal asymmetry of J/ψ production in SIDIS with a unpolarized beam colliding on longitudinally polarized nucleon. The T-odd parton distributions on TMDs are significant in giving rise to the azimuthal asymmetries [6]. The gluon TMD $h_{1L}^{\perp g}$, often referred to as the gluon Kotzinian-Mulders function, is a vital element in this con-

^{*} zhunlu@seu.edu.cn

text. $h_{1L}^{\perp g}$ denotes the linearly polarization of the gluon inside a longitudinally proton and has seldom been explored in literature. It gives rise to the sin $2\phi_T$ azimuthal asymmetry in the process, with ϕ_T the azimuthal angle between the transverse momentum of the J/ψ and the lepton plane. We also estimate the double longitudinal spin asymmetry A_{LL} of J/ψ production using a spectator model result for g_{1L}^g [40].

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section. II, we present the operator definition of the gluon TMDs and introduce the spectator model. In Section. III, a QCD analysis of the production of a heavy quarkonium is presented, including the derivation of the amplitude of the S-wave and P-wave states in the partonic subprocess. In Section. IV the cross-section of J/ψ production in polarized SIDIS is derived by incorporating the contribution of the antisymmetric component of the leptonic tensor. In Section. V, we conduct a numerical study that utilizes the positivity bound to evaluate the sin $2\phi_T$ azimuthal asymmetry in the kinematic range accessible at EIC. We summarize the work in Section. VI.

II. GLUON TMDS

In order to connect the partons involved in a hard scattering process to the corresponding hadrons in the final or initial state, it is customary to use parton-parton correlation functions as the soft part of the process [1–5]. In particular, the gluon TMDs can be defined by using the following gluon-gluon correlator within a non-lightlike interval. Since only the soft parts integrated over the momentum component k^- are considered in this process, one can define:

$$\Gamma^{\mu\nu}(x, \boldsymbol{p}_T) = \int d\xi^- d^2 \xi_T e^{ip \cdot \xi} \langle P, S | F^{+\mu}(0) \mathcal{U}(0, \xi) \\ \times F^{+\nu}(\xi) | P, S \rangle|_{\xi^+=0} \,. \tag{1}$$

The variables in this equation are denoted as follows: P and S are the momentum and spin of the hadron, respectively, whereas p is the momentum of the gluon.

The gluon-gluon correlator depends on the momentum fraction x, the transverse momentum p_T , as well as the momentum and spin of the target. It is convenient to project the correlator on the basis of transverse tensors and vectors and parameterize it using gluon TMDs [3]. The correlator can be further categorized based on the type of hadron spin dependency: unpolarized (O), longitudinally polarized (L), and transversely polarized (T). This leads to:

$$\Gamma_{O}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{x}{2} \left[-g_{T}^{\mu\nu} f_{1}^{g} \left(x, \boldsymbol{p}_{T}^{2} \right) + \left\{ \frac{p_{T}^{\mu} p_{T}^{\nu}}{M_{p}^{2}} + g_{T}^{\mu\nu} \frac{\boldsymbol{p}_{T}^{2}}{2M_{p}^{2}} \right\} h_{1}^{\perp g} \left(x, \boldsymbol{p}_{T}^{2} \right) \right], \quad (2)$$

in leading twist, where $f_1^g(x, \boldsymbol{p}_T^2)$ is the TMD unpolarized distribution, and $h_1^{\perp g}(x, \boldsymbol{p}_T^2)$ is the distribution of linearly polarized gluons. And

$$\Gamma_{L}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{x}{2} \left[-i\epsilon_{T}^{\mu\nu} S_{L} g_{1L}^{g} \left(x, \boldsymbol{p}_{T}^{2} \right) + \frac{\epsilon_{T}^{p_{T} \{i} p_{T}^{j\}}}{2M_{p}^{2}} S_{L} h_{1L}^{\perp g} \left(x, \boldsymbol{p}_{T}^{2} \right) \right].$$
(3)

Here, $g_{1L}(x, p_T^2)$ represents the difference of the numbers of gluons with opposite circular polarization in a longitudinally polarized nucleon; $h_{1L}^{\perp g}(x, p_T^2)$ is the gluon Kotzinian-Mulders function representing the linearly polarization of the gluon in a longitudinally polarized nucleon. Finally, in the transverse basis, the projection reads

$$\Gamma_{T}^{\mu\nu} = \frac{x}{2} \left[-g_{T}^{\mu\nu} \frac{\epsilon_{T}^{p_{T}S_{T}}}{M_{p}} f_{1T}^{\perp g} \left(x, \boldsymbol{p}_{T}^{2} \right) - i\epsilon_{T}^{\mu\nu} \frac{\boldsymbol{p}_{T} \cdot \boldsymbol{S}_{T}}{M_{p}} g_{1T}^{g} \left(x, \boldsymbol{p}_{T}^{2} \right) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\epsilon_{T}^{p_{T}\{\mu} \boldsymbol{p}_{T}^{\nu\}}}{2M_{p}^{2}} \frac{\boldsymbol{p}_{T} \cdot \boldsymbol{S}_{T}}{M_{p}} h_{1T}^{\perp g} \left(x, \boldsymbol{p}_{T}^{2} \right) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\epsilon_{T}^{p_{T}\{\mu} S_{T}^{\nu\}} + \epsilon_{T}^{S_{T}\{\mu} \boldsymbol{p}_{T}^{\nu\}}}{4M_{p}} \left[h_{1T}^{g} \left(x, \boldsymbol{p}_{T}^{2} \right) \right. \\ \left. - \frac{\boldsymbol{p}_{T}^{2}}{2M_{p}^{2}} h_{1T}^{\perp g} \left(x, \boldsymbol{p}_{T}^{2} \right) \right] \right], \tag{4}$$

where $g_{1T}^g(x, \boldsymbol{p}_T^2)$ represents the difference of the numbers of gluons with opposite circular polarization in a longitudinally polarized nucleon, $f_{1T}^{\perp g}(x, \boldsymbol{p}_T^2)$ is the gluon sivers function, while $h_{1T}^g(x, \boldsymbol{p}_T^2)$ and $h_{1T}^{\perp g}(x, \boldsymbol{p}_T^2)$ are chiral-even distributions of linearly polarized gluons inside a transversely polarized nucleon. Among the eight leading-twist gluon TMDs, $f_{1T}^{\perp g}$, $h_{1L}^{\perp g}$, h_{1T}^g and $h_{1T}^{\perp g}$ are T-odd.

In our calculation the involved gluon TMDs are $f_1^g(x, \mathbf{p}_T^2)$, $h_{1L}^{\perp g}$ and g_{1L}^g . In order to predict relevant observables for gluon TMDs, we adopt the spectator model results from Ref. [40]. The model is based on the assumption that a nucleon can emit a gluon, and that what remains after the emission is treated as a single spectator particle. The model expression for a generic gluon TMD $\Gamma^g(x, \mathbf{p}_T^2)$ weighing on the spectral function ρ_X reads:

$$F^{g}(x, \boldsymbol{p}_{T}^{2}) = \int_{Mp}^{\infty} dM_{X} \rho_{X} \left(M_{X} \right) \hat{F}^{g} \left(x, \boldsymbol{p}_{T}^{2}; M_{X} \right) .$$
 (5)

Apart form the model results, model independent constraints such as the positivity bounds can be applied on the gluon TMDs. For $g_{1L}^g(x, \boldsymbol{p}_T^2)$ and $h_{1L}^{\perp g}(x, \boldsymbol{p}_T^2)$, the following bounds [3, 41]

$$\left|g_{1L}^{g}\left(x,\boldsymbol{p}_{T}^{2}\right)\right| \leq f_{1}^{g}\left(x,\boldsymbol{p}_{T}^{2}\right) . \tag{6}$$

$$\frac{\boldsymbol{p}_T^2}{2M_p^2} \left| h_{1L}^{\perp g} \left(x, \boldsymbol{p}_T^2 \right) \right| \le f_1^g \left(x, \boldsymbol{p}_T^2 \right) \,. \tag{7}$$

can be derived from the positivity of the corresponding matrix elements for the distribution functions in the gluon spin \otimes nucleon spin space established in Ref. [3].

III. J/ψ PRODUCTION IN NRQCD FRAMEWORK

We consider the electroproduction of J/ψ in longitudinally polarized SIDIS by including the coloroctet contributions from the various partial wave states $(c\bar{c})_8(^{2S+1}L_J)$. At the partonic level, the relevant subprocess is $\gamma^*(q) + g(p) \rightarrow J/\psi(P_Q)$, where the state $(c\bar{c})_8(^{2S+1}L_J)$ evolves into a physical J/ψ by absorbing soft gluons at the long distance scale [42]. As pointed out in Ref. [43], the color-singlet contribution is relatively suppressed by a perturbative coefficient of order α_s/π compared to the color-octet one. Within the framework of NRQCD, the scattering amplitudes at leading order for partonic processes $\gamma^*(q) + g(p) \rightarrow c\bar{c}(P_Q)$ contributing to $e+p \rightarrow e'J/\psi X$ can be obtained using the approaches in Refs. [20, 32], while the long distance parts are treated as parameters $\langle 0|\mathcal{O}_8^{J/\psi}(^{2S+1}L_J)|0\rangle$. The amplitudes of parton processes can be evaluated from [32]:

$$\mathcal{A}^{\mu\nu}[{}^{1}S_{0}^{(8)}](q,p) = \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{\frac{\mathcal{C}_{S,0}}{2M_{\mathcal{Q}}}}Tr[O^{\mu\nu}(0)(\not\!\!\!P_{\mathcal{Q}} - M_{\mathcal{Q}})\gamma^{5}], \qquad (8)$$

$$\mathcal{A}^{\mu\nu}[{}^{1}P_{1}^{(8)}](q,p) = -i\sqrt{\frac{3\mathcal{C}_{P,0}}{8N_{c}M_{\mathcal{Q}}}}Tr[(O^{\mu\nu}(0)\not_{L_{z}}\frac{\not_{\mathcal{Q}}}{M_{\mathcal{Q}}} + \varepsilon^{\alpha}_{L_{z}}O^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha}(0)\frac{\not_{\mathcal{Q}}-M_{\mathcal{Q}}}{2})\gamma^{5}],\tag{10}$$

$$\mathcal{A}^{\mu\nu}[{}^{3}P_{2}^{(8)}](q,p) = i\sqrt{\frac{3\mathcal{C}_{P,2}}{8N_{c}M_{\mathcal{Q}}}}\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta}_{J_{z}}(P_{\mathcal{Q}})Tr[\gamma_{\alpha}O^{\mu\nu}_{\beta}(0)\frac{\not\!\!\!/\rho_{\mathcal{Q}}-M_{\mathcal{Q}}}{2}],\tag{13}$$

where $O^{\mu\nu}(0) \equiv O^{\mu\nu}(q, p, 0, P_Q)$ and $O^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha}(0) \equiv \mathrm{su} O^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha}(q, p, 0, P_Q)$ with

$$O^{\mu\nu}(q, p, k, P_{\mathcal{Q}}) = -\sqrt{2}\delta^{ab} \frac{ee_c g_s}{2(M_{\mathcal{Q}}^2 + Q^2)} \times \left[\gamma^{\mu}(\not p - \not q + 2\not k + M_{\mathcal{Q}})\gamma^{\nu} - \gamma^{\nu}(\not p - \not q - 2\not k - M_{\mathcal{Q}})\gamma^{\mu}\right].$$
(14)

$$O^{\mu\nu}_{\alpha} = \frac{\partial}{\partial k^{\alpha}} O^{\mu\nu}(q, p, k, P_{\mathcal{Q}})|_{k=0}, \qquad (15)$$

calculated at leading order in perturbative QCD. Here, k is half of the relative momentum of the outgoing quarkantiquark pair and we consider the SU(3) color-octet projector We use the shorthand notation

$$C_{S,J} = \frac{1}{2J+1} \langle 0 | \mathcal{O}_8^{J/\psi} (^{2S+1}L_J) | 0 \rangle$$

By employing substitutions, we can perform a summation over the J/ψ spin states, some useful relations for polarization vectors can be adopted [44]. Using $\varepsilon^{\mu}_{J_z}$ to denote the polarization vector for a bound state with total angular momentum J = 1, the following polarization sum is satisfied

$$\sum_{J_z=-1}^{1} \varepsilon_{J_z}^{\mu} \varepsilon_{J_z}^{*\nu} = -g^{\mu\nu} + \frac{P_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\mu} P_{\mathcal{Q}}^{\nu}}{M_{\mathcal{Q}}^2} \equiv \mathcal{P}^{\mu\nu} , \qquad (16)$$

with P_Q the four-momentum of the state and M_Q the mass. Finally, for a J = 2 system, the summation of the polarization tensors $\mathcal{E}_{J_z}^{\mu\nu}$ yields

$$\sum_{J_z=-2}^{2} \varepsilon_{J_z}^{\mu\nu} \varepsilon_{J_z}^{*\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2} [\mathcal{P}^{\mu\alpha} \mathcal{P}^{\nu\beta} + \mathcal{P}^{\mu\beta} \mathcal{P}^{\nu\alpha}] - \frac{1}{3} \mathcal{P}^{\mu\nu} \mathcal{P}^{\alpha\beta}.$$
(17)

IV. DIFFERENTIAL CROSS-SECTION FOR J/ψ PRODUCTION IN LONGITUDINALLY POLARIZED SIDIS

We will use the light-cone coordinate system, in which a vector a^{μ} is expressed as $a^{\mu} = (a^+, a^-, \vec{a}_T)$. By means of the symmetric and antisymmetric transverse projectors, we introduce

$$g_T^{\mu\nu} = g^{\mu\nu} - \frac{P^{\mu}n^{\nu}}{P \cdot n} - \frac{P^{\nu}n^{\mu}}{P \cdot n}, \qquad (18)$$

$$\epsilon_T^{\mu\nu} = \frac{\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}P_{\alpha}n_{\beta}}{P\cdot n} \,. \tag{19}$$

We choose the reference frame such that both the virtual photon exchanged in the reaction and the incoming proton move along the \hat{z} - axis. Azimuthal angle ϕ_T is defined respect to the lepton scattering plane, such that $\phi_{\ell} = \phi_{\ell'} = 0$. The calculation follows the same lines of Ref. [32]. The light-like vectors n_+ and n_- satisfy the relation $n_+^2 = n_-^2 = 0$ and $n_+ \cdot n_- = 1$, so we can write the four-momenta P and q as

$$P = n_{+} + \frac{M_{p}^{2}}{2}n_{-} \approx n_{+}, \qquad (20)$$

$$q = -x_B n_+ + \frac{Q^2}{2x_B} n_- \approx -x_B n_+ + (P \cdot q) n_- , \quad (21)$$

where $Q^2 = -q^2$ and x_B is Bjorken variable, M_p is the mass of the proton. The four-momenta of the leptons are expanded in terms of $P = n_+$ and $n = n_- = (q + q)$

 $(x_B P)/P \cdot q$ by performing a Sudakov decomposition:

$$\ell = \frac{1-y}{y} x_B P + \frac{1}{y} \frac{Q^2}{2x_B} n + \frac{\sqrt{1-y}}{y} Q \hat{\ell}_{\perp} , \qquad (22)$$

$$\ell' = \frac{1}{y} x_B P + \frac{1-y}{y} \frac{Q^2}{2x_B} n + \frac{\sqrt{1-y}}{y} Q \hat{\ell}_{\perp} , \qquad (23)$$

where y denotes the inelasticity variable $y = P \cdot q/P \cdot \ell$. The invariant mass of the electron-target system is $s = (P + \ell)^2 = 2P \cdot \ell$, while the virtual photon-target invariant mass square is defined as $W^2 = (P + q)^2 = Q^2(1 - x_B)/x_B$. For the four momentum of the initial gluon p and the final quarkonium state Q we have

$$p = xP + p_T = (p \cdot P - xM_p^2)n \approx xP + p_T, \quad (24)$$

$$P_{\mathcal{Q}} = z(P \cdot q)n + \frac{M_{\mathcal{Q}}^2 + P_{\mathcal{Q}T}^2}{2zP \cdot q}P + P_{\mathcal{Q}T}, \qquad (25)$$

with $z = P_{\mathcal{Q}} \cdot P/q \cdot P$ and $P_{\mathcal{Q}T}^2 = -P_{\mathcal{Q}T}^2$.

After introducing the matrix elements Γ_g of the correlator for the gluon field strengths, the differential cross section can be written as

$$d\sigma = \frac{1}{2s} \frac{d^3 \ell'}{(2\pi)^3 2E'_{\ell}} \frac{d^3 P_{\mathcal{Q}}}{(2\pi)^3 2E_{\mathcal{Q}}} \int dx d^2 \boldsymbol{p}_T (2\pi)^4 \delta^4 (q+p-P_{\mathcal{Q}}) \frac{1}{x^2 Q^4} L_{\mu\rho}(\ell,q) \Gamma_{g\nu\sigma}(x,\boldsymbol{p}_T) H^{\mu\nu}_{\gamma*g\to\mathcal{Q}} H^{*\rho\sigma}_{\gamma*g\to\mathcal{Q}} \,. \tag{26}$$

The function $H^{\mu\nu}$ represents the scattering amplitude of the $\gamma^*g \rightarrow J/\psi$ process. The momentum conservation delta function can be expressed as

$$(2\pi)^4 \delta^4 (q+p-P_{\mathcal{Q}}) = \frac{2}{ys} \delta \left(x - x_B - \frac{M_{\mathcal{Q}}^2 + P_{\mathcal{Q}T}^2}{yzs} \right) \\ \times \delta (1-z) \delta^2 (\mathbf{p}_T - \mathbf{P}_{\mathcal{Q}T}), \quad (27)$$

corresponding to unpolarized and longitudinally polarized lepton beams, respectively. The leptonic tensor $L_{\mu\nu}$ can be decomposed into a symmetric and an antisymmetric part under $\mu \leftrightarrow \nu$ interchange. Therefore, the leptonic tensor $L(\ell, q)$ is given by:

$$L^{\mu\nu}(\ell,q) = e^2 \left[-g^{\mu\nu}Q^2 + 2\left(\ell^{\mu}\ell'^{nu} + \ell^{\nu}\ell'^{\mu}\right) \right] + 2ie^2\epsilon^{\mu\nu\ell\ell'}$$
(28)

We present the cross sections for this process where the lepton beam or the nucleon target is longitudinally polarized or unpolarized with respect to the direction of its three momentum in the photon-proton center-ofmass frame. Lepton tensors are either asymmetric or symmetric, and the polarization state of the initial proton can also be considered. The polarization of the J/ψ is not considered. We use this notation:

$$\frac{d\sigma^{P_1P_2}}{dydx_Bd^2\boldsymbol{q}_T} \equiv d\sigma^{P_1P_2}(\phi_T)\,,\tag{29}$$

where the superscript $P_1 = U/L$ denotes whether leptonic tensor is symmetrical or not, the superscript $P_2 = U/T/L$ refers to the possible polarization states of the initial proton. $d\sigma^{UU}$ and $d\sigma^{UT}$ can be found in Ref. [32]. Within these approximations, the final cross-sections read:

$$d\sigma^{UL} = \mathcal{N}|S_L| \frac{p_T^2}{M_p^2} \ B^L h_{1L}^{\perp g}(x, p_T^2) \ \sin 2\phi_T \,, \qquad (30)$$

$$d\sigma^{LU} = 0, \qquad (31)$$

$$l\sigma^{LT} = 0, \qquad (32)$$

$$d\sigma^{LL} = \mathcal{N} \ C^L \ \Delta g_{1L}(x, \boldsymbol{p}_T^2) \,, \tag{33}$$

where ϕ_T in Eq. (30) is the azimuthal angle between the transverse momentum of the J/ψ and the lepton plane. The normalization factor \mathcal{N} is given by

$$\mathcal{N} = (2\pi)^2 \frac{\alpha^2 \alpha_s e_Q}{y Q^2 M_Q (M_Q^2 + Q^2)}, \qquad (34)$$

where e_Q is the fractional electric charge of the quark Q. The explicit expression of the terms B^L, C^L can be found in Appendix.



FIG. 1. Left: upper bound on the asymmetry A_{UL} as function of y at $Q^2 = 1$, 5, 10 GeV². Right: upper bound on the asymmetry A_{UL} as function of Q at y = 0.3, 0.5, 0.7.

V. NUMERICAL RESULTS

In this section we present the numerical results for the experimental observables in the J/ψ production in longitudinally polarized DIS. The mass of J/ψ is set to be $M_{J/\psi} = 3.1$ GeV, while the mass of the charm quark is assumed to be $M_Q = M_{J/\psi}/2$. To obtain numerical estimates for the asymmetries, various sets of extractions of the color-octet LDMEs for J/ψ are available, which are derived from fits to TEVA-TRON, RHIC, and LHC data. In this study, we adopt the SV set based on a leading-order calculation [45]. Since both of the color-octet matrix elements are positive, one has $\langle 0|\mathcal{O}8^{J/\psi}({}^{1}S0)|0\rangle = 1.8 \times 10^{-2} \text{GeV}^{3}$ and $\langle 0|\mathcal{O}8^{J/\psi}({}^{1}P0)|0\rangle/M_{c}^{2} = 1.8 \times 10^{-2} \text{GeV}^{3}$.

The cross section of the process possesses various azimuthal modulations, these modulations can be used to extract information about the ratio of different TMDs [32]. Therefore, we define the following azimuthal moments in J/ψ production in SIDIS:

$$A^{W(\phi_T)} \equiv 2 \frac{\int d\phi_T W(\phi_T) d\sigma(\phi_T)}{\int d\phi_T d\sigma(\phi_T)} , \qquad (35)$$

where $d\sigma(\phi_T) = d\sigma^{UU} + d\sigma^{UL}$. By taking $W = \sin 2\phi_T$ we obtain

$$A_{UL} = \left| A^{\sin 2\phi_T} \right| = \left| \frac{p_T^2}{M_p^2} \frac{B^L}{A^U} \frac{h_{1L}^{\perp g}(x, p_T^2)}{f_1^g(x, p_T^2)} \right|.$$
(36)

It is worth noting that the angular structures and TMDs they probe are analogous to the case in transversely polarized proton [32, 33], where $\cos 2\phi_T$ plays the role of $\sin 2\phi_T$.

In order to single out $g_{1L}^g(x, p_T^2)$, we define the following azimuthal moment

$$A_{LL} = \frac{\int d\phi_T d\sigma^{LL}}{\int dd\phi_T d\sigma^{UU}} = \frac{C^L}{A^U} \frac{g_{1L}^g(x, \boldsymbol{p}_T^2)}{f_1^g(x, \boldsymbol{p}_T^2)} \,. \tag{37}$$

For the gluon unpolarized TMD $f_1^g(x, \boldsymbol{p}_T^2)$ and the helicity TMD $g_{1L}^g(x, \boldsymbol{p}_T^2)$, we adopt the recent spectator model result from Ref. [40]. As for the T-odd gluon TMD $h_{1L}^{\perp g}(x, \boldsymbol{p}_T^2)$, Since there is no any experimental nor theoretical information, in the calculation we apply the positivity bound in Eq. (7) for it, corresponding to the maximum value of the asymmetry.

In Fig. 1, we plot the $\sin 2\phi_T$ azimuthal asymmetry A_{UL} in the process $e^- + p^- \rightarrow e^- + J/\psi + X$. The left panel shows the asymmetry as a function of y at $Q^2 = 1, 5, 10 \text{ GeV}^2$, while the right one denotes the asymmetry vs Q at y = 0.3, 0.5, 0.7. As mentioned above, this result corresponds to the upper limit of the asymmetry. The asymmetry has the maximum value at y = 0 and it decreases with increasing y. On the other hand, the asymmetry vanishes in the region $Q \sim 3.1 \text{ GeV}^2$, due to the kinematical factor B_L which depends on Q. One can also find that this asymmetry is sizable in the smaller and higher Q regions.

In Fig. 2, we plot the double spin asymmetry A_{LL} in the process $e^{-\rightarrow} + p^{\rightarrow} \rightarrow J/\psi + X$ in which the J/ψ are unpolarized. The left panel and right panels show the asymmetry as a function of y and Q, respectively. We find that in this result the asymmetry is positive since in the spectator model $g_{1L}^g(x, \mathbf{p}_T^2)$ is positive. The asymmetry increases with increasing y or Q.

We note that a measurement of the ratio $A^{\sin 2\phi_T}/A^{\cos 2\phi_T}$ would directly probe the relative magnitude of $h_{1L}^{\perp,g}/h_1^{\perp g}$, since the ratio

$$\frac{A^{\sin 2\phi_T}}{A^{\cos 2\phi_T}} = \frac{h_{1L}^{\perp g}(x, \boldsymbol{p}_T^2)}{h_1^{\perp g}(x, \boldsymbol{p}_T^2)}.$$
(38)

The measurement of the ratio can be used to evaluate the precision on the prediction of gluon TMDs.

Finally, we would like to comment the role of $h_{1L}^{\perp g}$ in azimuthal asymmetries in high-energy processes. In our calculation we have not determine the sign of the $\sin 2\phi_T$



FIG. 2. Left: A_{LL} asymmetry in double longitudinally polarized SIDIS as function of y at $Q^2 = 1 \text{ GeV}^2$, 5 GeV² and 10 GeV², respectively. Right: A_{LL} asymmetry in double longitudinally polarized SIDIS as function of Q at y = 0.3, 0.5, 0.7, respectively.

asymmetry since we apply the positivity bound for $h_{1L}^{\perp g}$. It would be interesting to measure the sign of the asymmetry in order to constrain the sign of $h_{1L}^{\perp g}$. Furthermore, as $h_{1L}^{\perp g}$ is a T-odd distribution, it may change the sign between in SIDIS and Drell-Yan due to the gauge-link structure, analogous to the gluon Sivers function. The sign change of $h_{1L}^{\perp g}$ in ep collision and pp collision will be of great importance to test the dynamics of QCD.

VI. CONCLUSION

We studied azimuthal asymmetries in quarkonium production in the SIDIS process with beam or target longitudinally polarized. The observable disentangles the two different gluon TMDs contributing to the longitudinally polarized cross sections, each of them corresponds to a specific azimuthal modulation. We calculated the $\sin 2\phi_T$ asymmetry in ep collision using the TMD formalism and NRQCD. This asymmetry is sensitive to the T-odd gluon TMD $h_{1L}^{\perp}(x, \mathbf{p}_T^2)$ and is sizeable. We present a numerical estimate of the asymmetry in the kinematical region that will be accessible at future EIC using the positivity bound for $h_{1L}^{\perp}(x, \mathbf{p}_T^2)$. We also estimate the double longitudinal spin asymmetry A_{LL} of J/ψ production using a spectator model result for g_{1L}^g . The measurements of these azimuthal asymmetries would allow a sign change test of the T-odd gluon TMDs by comparing to corresponding observables in hadron-hadron collisions.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work is partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under grant number 12150013. H. Liu and X. Xie contribute equally to the work and should be considered as the co-first authors.

VII. APPENDIX

The explicit expressions of the $\{A, B, C\}$ terms in Eqs. (30), (33) and (36) read

$$A^{U} = A^{T} = [(1-y)^{2} + 1]\mathcal{A}_{1}^{\gamma * g \to \mathcal{Q}} - y^{2}\mathcal{A}_{2}^{\gamma * g \to \mathcal{Q}}, \quad (39)$$

$$B^U = B^T = B^L = (1 - y)\mathcal{B}^{\gamma * g \to \mathcal{Q}}, \qquad (40)$$

$$C^{L} = [(1-y)^{2} - 1]\mathcal{C}^{\gamma * g \to \mathcal{Q}}.$$
(41)

In terms of the color-octet LDMEs, one can express

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{1}^{\gamma * g \to \mathcal{Q}} &= \langle 0 | \mathcal{O}_{8}^{J/\psi}({}^{1}S_{0}) | 0 \rangle + \frac{4}{N_{c}M_{\mathcal{Q}}^{2}(M_{\mathcal{Q}}^{2} + Q^{2})^{2}} \\ &\times \left[(3M_{\mathcal{Q}}^{2} + Q^{2})^{2} \langle 0 | \mathcal{O}_{8}^{J/\psi}({}^{3}P_{0}) | 0 \rangle \right. \\ &+ 2Q^{2}(2M_{\mathcal{Q}}^{2} + Q^{2})^{2} \langle 0 | \mathcal{O}_{8}^{J/\psi}({}^{3}P_{1}) | 0 \rangle \\ &+ \frac{2}{5} (6M_{\mathcal{Q}}^{4} + M_{\mathcal{Q}}^{2}Q^{2} + Q^{4}) \langle 0 | \mathcal{O}_{8}^{J/\psi}({}^{3}P_{2}) | 0 \rangle \right], \end{aligned}$$

$$(42)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{2}^{\gamma * g \to \mathcal{Q}} = \frac{16}{N_{c}(M_{\mathcal{Q}}^{2} + Q^{2})^{2}} \times \left[\langle 0|\mathcal{O}_{8}^{J/\psi}(^{3}P_{1})|0\rangle + \frac{3}{5} \langle 0|\mathcal{O}_{8}^{J/\psi}(^{3}P_{2})|0\rangle \right],$$
(43)

$$\mathcal{B}^{\gamma*g \to \mathcal{Q}} = -\langle 0 | \mathcal{O}_8^{J/\psi}({}^1S_0) | 0 \rangle + \frac{4}{N_c M_\mathcal{Q}^2 (M_\mathcal{Q}^2 + Q^2)^2} \\ \times \left[(3M_\mathcal{Q}^2 + Q^2)^2 \langle 0 | \mathcal{O}_8^{J/\psi}({}^3P_0) | 0 \rangle \right. \\ \left. - 2Q^4 \langle 0 | \mathcal{O}_8^{J/\psi}({}^3P_1) | 0 \rangle \right. \\ \left. + \frac{2}{5} Q^4 \langle 0 | \mathcal{O}_8^{J/\psi}({}^3P_2) | 0 \rangle \right] .$$
(44)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}^{\gamma * g \to \mathcal{Q}} = & \langle 0 | \mathcal{O}_8^{J/\psi}({}^1S_0) | 0 \rangle + \frac{4}{N_c M_{\mathcal{Q}}^2 (M_{\mathcal{Q}}^2 + Q^2)^2} \\ & \times \left[(3M_{\mathcal{Q}}^2 + Q^2)^2 \langle 0 | \mathcal{O}_8^{J/\psi}({}^3P_0) | 0 \rangle \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$+ 2Q^{4} \langle 0|\mathcal{O}_{8}^{J/\psi}({}^{3}P_{1})|0\rangle + \frac{2}{5} (-6M_{Q}^{4} + Q^{4}) \langle 0|\mathcal{O}_{8}^{J/\psi}({}^{3}P_{2})|0\rangle \bigg] .$$
 (45)

The expressions can be further simplified by employing the heavy-quark spin symmetry relations.

$$\langle 0|\mathcal{O}_8^{J/\psi}({}^3P_J)|0\rangle = (2J+1)\langle 0|\mathcal{O}_8^{J/\psi}({}^3P_0)|0\rangle + \mathcal{O}(v^2).$$
(46)

At the leading order in v, we can get the following results

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{1}^{\gamma*g \to \mathcal{Q}} &= \langle 0 | \mathcal{O}_{8}^{J/\psi}({}^{1}S_{0}) | 0 \rangle \\ &+ \frac{12(7M_{\mathcal{Q}}^{2} + 3Q^{2})}{N_{c}M_{\mathcal{Q}}^{2}(M_{\mathcal{Q}}^{2} + Q^{2})} \langle 0 | \mathcal{O}_{8}^{J/\psi}({}^{3}P_{0}) | 0 \rangle \,, \quad (47) \end{aligned}$$

- P. J. Mulders and R. D. Tangerman, Nucl. Phys. B 461, 197 (1996) Erratum: [Nucl. Phys. B 484, 538 (1997)] [hep-ph/9510301].
- [2] D. Boer and P. J. Mulders, Phys. Rev. D 57, 5780-5786 (1998). [arXiv:hep-ph/9711485 [hep-ph]].
- [3] P. J. Mulders and J. Rodrigues, Phys. Rev. D 63, 094021 (2001). [arXiv:hep-ph/0009343 [hep-ph]].
- [4] K. Goeke, A. Metz and M. Schlegel, Phys. Lett. B 618, 90-96 (2005) [arXiv:hep-ph/0504130 [hep-ph]].
- [5] A. Bacchetta, M. Diehl, K. Goeke, A. Metz, P. J. Mulders and M. Schlegel, JHEP 02, 093 (2007) [arXiv:hepph/0611265 [hep-ph]].
- [6] D. W. Sivers, Phys. Rev. D 41, 83 (1990).
- [7] M. Anselmino, M. Boglione and F. Murgia, Phys. Lett. B 362, 164-172 (1995) [arXiv:hep-ph/9503290 [hep-ph]].
- [8] S. J. Brodsky, D. S. Hwang and I. Schmidt, Phys. Lett. B 530, 99-107 (2002) [arXiv:hep-ph/0201296 [hep-ph]].
- [9] A. Airapetian *et al.* [HERMES Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B **693**, 11 (2010) [arXiv:1006.4221 [hep-ex]].
- [10] X. Qian *et al.* [Jefferson Lab Hall A Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 072003 (2011) [arXiv:1106.0363 [nuclex]].
- [11] C. Adolph *et al.* [COMPASS Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B **744**, 250 (2015) [arXiv:1408.4405 [hep-ex]].
- [12] C. J. Bomhof, P. J. Mulders and F. Pijlman, Eur. Phys. J. C 47, 147-162 (2006) [arXiv:hep-ph/0601171 [hep-ph]].
- [13] J. C. Collins, Phys. Lett. B 536, 43-48 (2002) [arXiv:hepph/0204004 [hep-ph]].
- [14] A. D. Martin, R. G. Roberts and W. J. Stirling, Phys. Rev. D 37, 1161 (1988).
- [15] F. Dominguez, B. W. Xiao and F. Yuan, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 022301 (2011). [arXiv:1009.2141 [hep-ph]].
- [16] F. Dominguez, J. W. Qiu, B. W. Xiao and F. Yuan, Phys. Rev. D 85, 045003 (2012) [arXiv:1109.6293 [hep-ph]].
- [17] D. Boer, S. J. Brodsky, P. J. Mulders and C. Pisano, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 132001 (2011) [arXiv:1011.4225 [hep-ph]].
- [18] A. Dumitru, T. Lappi and V. Skokov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, no.25, 252301 (2015) [arXiv:1508.04438 [hep-ph]].
- [19] C. Pisano, D. Boer, S. J. Brodsky, M. G. A. Buffing and P. J. Mulders, JHEP **10**, 024 (2013) [arXiv:1307.3417 [hep-ph]].
- [20] D. Boer and C. Pisano, Phys. Rev. D 86, 094007 (2012).
 [arXiv:1208.3642 [hep-ph]].

$$\mathcal{A}_{2}^{\gamma * g \to \mathcal{Q}} = \frac{96Q^{2}}{N_{c}(M_{\mathcal{Q}}^{2} + Q^{2})^{2}} \langle 0|\mathcal{O}_{8}^{J/\psi}(^{3}P_{0})|0\rangle, \qquad (48)$$

$$\mathcal{B}^{\gamma*g \to \mathcal{Q}} = -\langle 0 | \mathcal{O}_8^{J/\psi}({}^1S_0) | 0 \rangle + \frac{12(3M_{\mathcal{Q}}^2 - Q^2)}{N_c M_{\mathcal{Q}}^2(M_{\mathcal{Q}}^2 + Q^2)} \langle 0 | \mathcal{O}_8^{J/\psi}({}^3P_0) | 0 \rangle, \quad (49)$$

$$\mathcal{C}^{\gamma * g \to \mathcal{Q}} = \langle 0 | \mathcal{O}_8^{J/\psi}({}^1S_0) | 0 \rangle + \frac{12(3Q^2 - M_{\mathcal{Q}}^2)}{N_c M_{\mathcal{Q}}^2(M_{\mathcal{Q}}^2 + Q^2)} \langle 0 | \mathcal{O}_8^{J/\psi}({}^3P_0) | 0 \rangle .$$
(50)

- [21] W. J. den Dunnen, J. P. Lansberg, C. Pisano and M. Schlegel, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 212001 (2014). [arXiv:1401.7611 [hep-ph]].
- [22] J. P. Lansberg, C. Pisano, F. Scarpa and M. Schlegel, Phys. Lett. B 784, 217-222 (2018)
 [erratum: Phys. Lett. B 791, 420-421 (2019)]
 //doi:10.1016/j.physletb.2018.08.004 [arXiv:1710.01684
 [hep-ph]].
- [23] D. Boer, C. Lorcé, C. Pisano and J. Zhou, Adv. High Energy Phys. **2015**, 371396 (2015) [arXiv:1504.04332 [hepph]].
- [24] K. Goeke, S. Meissner, A. Metz and M. Schlegel, Phys. Lett. B 637, 241-244 (2006) [arXiv:hep-ph/0601133 [hep-ph]].
- [25] Z. Lu and B. Q. Ma, Phys. Rev. D 94, no.9, 094022 (2016) [arXiv:1611.00125 [hep-ph]].
- [26] X. Yao, Y. Hagiwara and Y. Hatta, Phys. Lett. B 790, 361-366 (2019) [arXiv:1812.03959 [hep-ph]].
- [27] U. D'Alesio, F. Murgia and C. Pisano, JHEP 09, 119 (2015) [arXiv:1506.03078 [hep-ph]].
- [28] U. D'Alesio, F. Murgia, C. Pisano and P. Taels, Phys. Rev. D 96, no.3, 036011 (2017). [arXiv:1705.04169 [hepph]].
- [29] S. Rajesh, R. Kishore and A. Mukherjee, Phys. Rev. D 98, no.1, 014007 (2018). [arXiv:1802.10359 [hep-ph]].
- [30] L. Zheng, E. C. Aschenauer, J. H. Lee, B. W. Xiao and Z. B. Yin, Phys. Rev. D 98, no.3, 034011 (2018). [arXiv:1805.05290 [hep-ph]].
- [31] D. Boer, P. J. Mulders, C. Pisano and J. Zhou, JHEP 08, 001 (2016) [arXiv:1605.07934 [hep-ph]].
- [32] A. Bacchetta, D. Boer, C. Pisano and P. Taels, Eur. Phys.
 J. C 80, no.1, 72 (2020). [arXiv:1809.02056 [hep-ph]].
- [33] D. Chakrabarti, R. Kishore, A. Mukherjee and S. Rajesh, Phys. Rev. D 107, no.1, 014008 (2023). [arXiv:2211.08709 [hep-ph]].
- [34] P. Hagler, R. Kirschner, A. Schafer, L. Szymanowski and O. V. Teryaev, Phys. Rev. Lett. 86, 1446-1449 (2001) [arXiv:hep-ph/0004263 [hep-ph]].
- [35] F. Yuan and K. T. Chao, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 022002 (2001) [arXiv:hep-ph/0009224 [hep-ph]].
- [36] F. Yuan, Phys. Rev. D 78, 014024 (2008) [arXiv:0801.4357 [hep-ph]].
- [37] P. L. Cho and A. K. Leibovich, Phys. Rev. D 53, 150-162 (1996). [arXiv:hep-ph/9505329 [hep-ph]].

- [38] G. T. Bodwin, E. Braaten and G. P. Lepage, Phys. Rev. D 51, 1125-1171 (1995) [erratum: Phys. Rev. D 55, 5853 (1997)]. [arXiv:hep-ph/9407339 [hep-ph]].
- [39] E. Braaten and Y. Q. Chen, Phys. Rev. D 54, 3216-3227 (1996). [arXiv:hep-ph/9604237 [hep-ph]].
- [40] A. Bacchetta, F. G. Celiberto, M. Radici and P. Taels, Eur. Phys. J. C 80, no.8, 733 (2020). [arXiv:2005.02288 [hep-ph]].
- [41] A. Bacchetta, M. Boglione, A. Henneman and P. J. Mulders, Phys. Rev. Lett. 85, 712-715 (2000). [arXiv:hep-

ph/9912490 [hep-ph]].

- [42] P. Ko, J. Lee and H. S. Song, Phys. Rev. D 54, 4312-4325 (1996) [erratum: Phys. Rev. D 60, 119902 (1999)].
 [arXiv:hep-ph/9602223 [hep-ph]].
- [43] S. Fleming and T. Mehen, Phys. Rev. D 57, 1846-1857 (1998). [arXiv:hep-ph/9707365 [hep-ph]].
- [44] B. Guberina, J. H. Kuhn, R. D. Peccei and R. Ruckl, Nucl. Phys. B 174, 317-334 (1980).
- [45] R. Sharma and I. Vitev, Phys. Rev. C 87, no.4, 044905 (2013). [arXiv:1203.0329 [hep-ph]].