What do clever algorithms for glasses do? Time reparametrization at work

Federico Ghimenti,¹ Ludovic Berthier,^{2,3} Jorge Kurchan,⁴ and Frédéric van Wijland¹

¹Laboratoire Matière et Systèmes Complexes (MSC), Université Paris Cité & CNRS (UMR 7057), 75013 Paris, France

²Laboratoire Charles Coulomb (L2C), Université de Montpellier & CNRS (UMR 5221), 34095 Montpellier, France

³Gulliver, UMR CNRS 7083, ESPCI Paris, PSL Research University, 75005 Paris, France

⁴Laboratoire de physique LPENS, 24 rue Lhomond, 75005 Paris, France

(Dated: September 26, 2024)

The ultraslow dynamics of glass-formers has been explained by two views considered as mutually exclusive: one invokes locally hindered mobility, the other rests on the complexity of the configuration space. Here we demonstrate that the evolution responds strongly to the details of the dynamics by changing the speed of time-flow: it has *time-reparametrization softness*. This finding reconciles both views: while local constraints reparametrize the flow of time, the global landscape determines relationships between different correlations at the same times. We show that modern algorithms developed to accelerate the relaxation to equilibrium act by changing the time reparametrization. Their success thus relies on their ability to exploit reparametrization softness. We conjecture that these results extend beyond the realm of glasses to the optimization of more general constraint satisfaction problems and to broader classes of algorithms.

THEORIES OF GLASSES

In contrast to many fields of physics, acceptable microscopic models of glasses are easy to construct: hard spheres are a good example, and may be readily simulated or studied experimentally [1]. Theoretical efforts have instead been mostly directed at finding the right questions to ask [2]. Phenomenological arguments abound and are constantly being refined, while exact analytical results are rare, a recent notable exception being the case of spherical particles living in an infinite-dimensional space [3, 4].

Several ideas have been proposed to capture the origin of the dramatic slowdown of the dynamics as density is increased or as temperature is lowered, and the emergence of rigidity at the glass transition. These may be classified into two families. On the one hand, there is a landscape paradigm, where the energy function and associated static expectation values encode most of the dynamical behavior [5–10]. A dynamic transition is only possible if there exists an underlying, thermodynamic and landscape-based, one [11-16]. On the other hand stands the view that glassiness is *dynamical* in essence. The latter is supported by the observation that some numerical algorithms can drastically accelerate the dynamical evolution [17-19], while preserving the Boltzmann distribution. An example of a purely dynamical scenario is when local rearrangements, and hence flow, are dominated by dynamic facilitation [20, 21]. This occurs when localized regions with high mobility slowly diffuse, are essentially non-interacting and cannot be born or die except by branching and coalescing, a mechanism absent from the high-dimensional solution [22, 23].

Our central finding is that both pictures are simultaneously right. That there is no contradiction between them is the consequence of a remarkable invariance, *time reparametrization softness* [24–30].

Our presentation begins with numerical and analytical observations of parametric plots of correlations in glass-formers endowed with vastly different time evolutions but sharing the same long-time Boltzmann distribution. The spectacular impact of reparametrizations calls for theoretical support that we address with an exact solution in mean field and eventually by describing a general picture with consequences well beyond the physics of glasses.

THE PROOF IS IN THE PUDDING

Parametric plot of time correlations. As glassiness sets in, the decay of time correlations follows a two-step scenario beginning with a fast relaxation, followed by a slow decay that extends up to a characteristic time τ_{α} . We track the relaxation of the system in terms of a real space, collective overlap function F_o , defined by

$$F_o(a,t) \equiv \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i,j} \langle \theta \left(a - |\mathbf{r}_i(t) - \mathbf{r}_j(0) - \Delta \mathbf{r}_{\rm com}(t)| \right) \rangle,$$
(1)

where $\Delta \mathbf{r}_{\rm com}(t)$ is the displacement of the center of mass of the system. The parameter *a* selects the scale over which the relaxation is monitored. This is better suited than the usual self-intermediate scattering function (see SI [31]) for discussing various algorithms on equal footing. In practice, we plot a connected and normalized overlap function $Q_o(a,t) = (F_o - q_r)/(1 - q_r)$, with $q_r = \frac{4\pi}{3}\rho_0 a^3$ in three dimensions, and ρ_0 the density of the system. The statements we make concern the late stages of the relaxation process near τ_{α} .

When the goal is to sample the Boltzmann distribution, it is irrelevant that the algorithm being used to reach that state respects actual physical constraints. For instance, introducing particle swaps with detailed balance, while clearly unphysical, has been shown to drastically reduce τ_{α} . Other proposals rely on irreversible methods that affect translation moves and/or particle swaps. The overall two-step relaxation shape of $Q_o(a, t)$ is also found in these vastly different dynamical evolutions. Our central result, sketched in Fig. 1, is that all dynamics, fast and slow, encapsulate the same physical evolution of the correlations. To eliminate time, we explore parametric



FIG. 1. Sketch of three algorithms performing dramatically different moves on the same system: (a) local equilibrium translations; (b) directed displacement of chains of particles; (c) exchange of particle diameters. The effect of these moves can be encapsulated into a change of the pace at which the clock of the system is ticking. This is time reparametrization softness.

plots of $Q_o(a, t)$ for two values of a and for various dynamics in different systems with glassy behavior. The collapse of the curves expresses the time-reparametrization invariance cartooned in Fig. 1. The numerical evidence supporting these claims is our main result.

Irreversible translation moves. We begin with a threedimensional binary Kob-Andersen mixture [32] evolving through an overdamped Langevin process. The convergence of this dynamics to the same Boltzmann distribution can be accelerated by exerting on every particle an extra force transverse to its local energy gradient [33–35]. We use the dimensionless parameter γ to quantify the relative strength of transverse to radial forces: the larger γ , the greater the speed-up (see Methods for further details).

We numerically integrate the dynamics at a low temperature T = 0.48, where the relaxation spans several orders of magnitude. In Figs. 2 (a, b) we plot the time evolution of Q_o , evaluated at two distinct lengths a_1, a_2 . In the presence of transverse forces, the relaxation of the system is faster compared with the equilibrium dynamics by a factor ≈ 3 . Both dynamics exhibit signs of two-step relaxation, and in the late part of the relaxation the shape of the curves is very similar, even when passing from $\gamma = 0$ to $\gamma = 4$. In Fig. 2(c), we represent $Q_o(a_2, t)$ as a function of $Q_o(a_1, t)$ in a parametric plot, for $\gamma = 0$ and $\gamma = 4$. Their collapse demonstrates that transverse forces speed up the slow dynamics of the system by means of a time reparametrization. Recent results [33-35] demonstrated that in the low temperature regions the dynamical pathways accessed by transverse forces collapse into closed orbits. These collapsed pathways amount to a time reparametrization.

We have also compared the dynamics of polydisperse hard spheres with the equilibrium Metropolis Monte Carlo algorithm against the irreversible Event Chain Monte Carlo [36]: a protocol of driven and collective rejection-free displacements of chains of particles which are microscopically very different from the local moves of the Metropolis Monte Carlo algorithm. We discovered that Event Chain also operates in glassy dynamics by reparametrizing the time over which the longtime relaxation occurs. The numerical data supporting this statement are shown in the SI [31].

Swap Monte Carlo algorithm. We push our exploration of time reparametrization invariance by probing the Swap Monte Carlo algorithm [17] (hereafter denoted as "Swap"). Swap implements reversible exchanges of diameters between pairs of particles. For continuously polydisperse hard-spheres, this allows the particle diameters to fluctuate, potentially opening up additional relaxation channels [23, 37–39] that can speed up the dynamics by several orders of magnitude [18, 40]. However, we claim that in the region where the dynamics is slow for both Metropolis and Swap algorithms, the acceleration achieved by Swap results again from a reparametrization of time with respect to the local Metropolis dynamics.

To see this, we tune the rate of swaps p_s to work in a regime where the speedup provided by Swap encompasses about two orders of magnitude, and track the relaxation by means of the overlap function $Q_o(a,t)$. In Figs. 3(a-c), we show the time evolution of $Q_o(a,t)$ for three different values of a. For Metropolis dynamics, the decay of Q_o covers several orders of magnitude, with signs of a two-step relaxation showing up for the lowest value of a. Turning on the swap moves, the curves depart from the ones obtained with the Metropolis dynamics, and their decay is faster. In the window of explored p_s , the speedup over Metropolis increases with p_s , ranging from a factor 4 for $p_s = 10^{-4}$ to ≈ 120 for $p_s = 0.1$.

In Figs. 3(d-f), we show parametric plots of $Q_o(a_i, t)$ as a function of $Q_o(a_j, t)$ for all possible combinations (a_i, a_j) of distinct parameters for the overlap function. All the curves for the dynamics with different swap probabilities collapse on top of each other. Even an algorithm as powerful as Swap works by reparametrizing time.

Irreversible versions of Swap have recently been proposed [41, 42] that further accelerate the dynamics by performing driven, collective exchanges of particle diameters. The results are as above, see SI [31] for data supporting our findings.

The outstanding performance of Swap has been interpreted as indicating that the energy landscape paradigm is insuffi-



FIG. 2. (a-b) Time evolution of the overlap function $Q_o(a, t)$ for a Kob-Andersen mixture under equilibrium overdamped Langevin dynamics $(\gamma = 0)$ and with transverse forces $(\gamma = 4)$, for $a_1 = 0.2\sigma_{AA}$ and $a_2 = 0.3\sigma_{AA}$. (c) Time reparametrization invariant plot, obtained representing $Q_o(a_2, t)$ as a function of $Q_o(a_1, t)$.



FIG. 3. (a-c) Time evolution of the collective overlap function using Metropolis algorithm ($p_s = 0$) and Swap Monte Carlo with different swap probabilities. In each panel, a different value of a is used. $a_1 = 0.15\overline{\sigma}$, $a_2 = 0.2\overline{\sigma}$, $a_3 = 0.3\overline{\sigma}$. (d-f) Parametric plots of $F(a_i, t)$ as a function of $F_o(a_j, t)$.

cient to account for glassiness and thus as a sign that models with local kinetic constraints could be more useful [22, 43]. Our results provide a clear means of sieving out the energylandscape-dependent features of Swap dynamics. The question arises, nevertheless, whether time reparametrization softness is exploited by fast algorithms in kinetically constrained models themselves.

Kinetically constrained model. We study the dynamics of the soft-East kinetically constrained model and probe the time reparametrization by considering an analog of swap moves, as introduced in Ref. [43]. Without softness, the original East model consists of N binary variables $n_i \in \{0, 1\}$ on a one-dimensional periodic lattice. These binary variables encode the presence of an excitation. The thermodynamic properties are trivial, and are governed by the temperature T and the energy cost J of an excitation. The relaxation is hindered by

kinetic constraints: the reversible creation and destruction of an excitation can take place only on a site immediately to the right of an excitation, thus incorporating dynamical facilitation.

In the soft version, each site is supplemented with a binary softness parameter, which modifies the kinetic constraint, allowing for the birth and death of isolated excitations. Spontaneous updates of the softness are controlled by a swap-like process with rate r_s . When $r_s = 0$, only the softness of already existing excitations can change. For $r_s \neq 0$, the softness of any site can be updated (see Methods for more details). By changing r_s we can thus speed the dynamics up and investigate whether time reparametrization is at work in the system.

We simulate the dynamics of the soft-East model with swap updates. For a fixed temperature we change the softness update rate from $r_s = 0$ to large values. We track the relax-



FIG. 4. Dynamics of a soft-East kinetically constrained model with softness updates, using different values of the softness updated rate r_s . (a) persistence function as a function of time for different swap rates. (b) Time decay of the spin spin autocorrelation function C_n . (c) Parametric plot of C_n against P. The absence of collapse in (c) reveals the lack of time reparametrisation invariance.

ation of the system using the persistence function P(t), which measures the fraction of spins that have not yet flipped up to time t, and is thus analogous to the overlap function used for structural glasses. The evolution of P(t) with r_s is shown in Fig. 4(a). The persistence function starts from 1 when no spin has flipped and decays to 0 when all spins have been updated at least once. The behavior of P(t) at short times does not change upon varying r_s . At later times, the curves for $r_s \neq 0$ depart from the curve obtained for $r_s = 0$, achieving a speedup of almost three orders of magnitude for the largest r_s . We also looked at the time autocorrelation of the spins, $C_n(t)$, see Fig. 4(b). The decay of $C_n(t)$ is about an order of magnitude faster than the one of the persistence, but the overall behavior is very similar.

The long time decay of P(t) and $C_n(t)$ have different shapes when r_s changes. In Fig. 4(c) we show parametric plots of $C_n(t)$ against P(t). The different curves do not collapse, even for the lowest r_s value. The acceleration provided by the additional updates of the softness are not simply described by a simple reparametrization of time. More broadly, this also shows that the reparametrization-invariant data collapse observed in finite dimensional particle models is not trivial.

Time reparametrization softness. Mean-field glass models provide analytic support to the concept of time reparametrization. We first describe how time reparametrization softness generally arises in mean-field frameworks, and then tackle analytically a specific mean-field model.

Glasses primarily respond to external perturbations by changing the pace of their evolution, like the same movie projected at various speeds. Consider a correlation function obtained by measuring a quantity at two times and repeating the experiment many times under statistically equal conditions, $C_A(t,t') = \langle A(t)A(t') \rangle$. (Averages are over independent experiments). Alternatively, we may apply an infinitesimal pulse field $H \rightarrow H + hA$ at time t' and measure the change in the expectation at time t at linear order, $R_A(t,t') = \frac{\delta\langle A(t) \rangle}{\delta h}$. In equilibrium, the response and correlation functions are linearly related by the fluctuationdissipation theorem, $TR_A(t-t') = -\partial_t C_A(t-t')$. In mean-field models, given a set of observables A_{α} , it is possible to write closed exact equations for pairs of response-correlation functions $C_{A_{\alpha}}$ and $R_{A_{\alpha}}$, valid in and out of equilibrium, which do not have any explicit time dependence. If the relaxation of the system is very slow, one may neglect the time-derivatives and solve for all the $C_{A_{\alpha}}$, $R_{A_{\alpha}}$. The solution holds however up to a time reparametrization: if $\{R_{A_{\alpha}}(t,t'), C_{A_{\alpha}}(t,t')\}$ are a solution, then $\{R_{A_{\alpha}}(h(t), h(t'))\frac{dh}{dt'}, C_{A_{\alpha}}(h(t), h(t'))\}$ are also a solution. The function h(t) is smooth and increasing, and it encodes the adopted reparametrization of time.

The true solution, where the time derivative is not neglected, is unique, and hence only one of the h(t) constitutes the 'good' parametrization. However, other reparametrizations can be selected, for instance in the presence of an applied shear [44], or during jump events [30] between distant configurations at low energy, and can be made visible when looking at fluctuations of the correlation-response curves [25–27].

By making a parametric plot of any one of the $\{R_{A_{\alpha}}(t,t'), C_{A_{\alpha}}(t,t')\}$ in terms of a single, reference correlation $C_o(t,t')$, we obtain a single master curve independently from the chosen time reparametrization [45]. In this representation, we are measuring everything in terms of a 'clock' $C_o(t,t')$, which determines the 'material time' [46–48].

A solvable model. The above general discussion can be illustrated on an explicit example. We consider the mean-field p-spin glass model, which is driven out of equilibrium while respecting the Boltzmann distribution. To do so, we couple two copies of the system by means of a nonreciprocal force chosen to preserve the factorised Boltzmann distributions for the two systems [49]. As for transverse forces, a dimensionless parameter γ controls the relative strength of the antisymmetric coupling. While the non-reciprocal coupling accelerates the dynamics, we can establish that time reparametrization holds exactly and is entirely governed by the equilibrium free energy landscape.

Below a critical temperature T_d , the ergodicity of the system is broken. Here, we investigate the role of time reparametrization invariance by considering the dynamics of the coupled systems at temperature $T > T_d$, starting from

an initial configuration at infinite temperature. The fully connected nature of the model allows us to study its full time evolution in terms of spin-responses and spin-spin correlations $R_{\alpha\beta}(t,t')$ and $C_{\alpha\beta}(t,t')$, for $\alpha, \beta = 1, 2$. Our analysis (details in [31]) shows that the slow evolution of $R_{\alpha\beta}(t,t')$ and $C_{\alpha\beta}(t,t')$ is fully determined by two scalar quantities $\tilde{C}(t,t')$, $\tilde{R}(t,t')$, which satisfy integral equations of the form:

$$\mathcal{F}[\widetilde{C}(t,t'),\widetilde{R}(t,t')] = 0$$

$$\mathcal{G}[\widetilde{C}(t,t'),\widetilde{R}(t,t')] = 0,$$
(2)

with \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} functionals of $\widetilde{C}(t,t'), \widetilde{R}(t,t')$ which do not depend on γ . They are the same functionals found using equilibrium dynamics at $\gamma = 0$ [44, 50]. Equation (2) determines $\widetilde{R}(t,t')$ and $\widetilde{C}(t,t')$ up to a reparametrization of time [25, 44]. The choice of the specific solution of Eq. (2) is done by matching asymptotically the slow terms with the fast decaying part, whose evolution does depends on γ . The impact of γ on the slow decay of the correlations and response functions thus amounts to a time reparametrization.

THE BIG PICTURE: FRANZ PARISI POTENTIAL AND QUASI-DYNAMICS

The stimulating picture emerging from our results is that both the energy landscape and the specifics of the dynamics matter. The former determines the form of the relaxation, while the latter controls the speed at which the configuration space is explored. We now provide a broader physical interpretation of the presence of time-reparametrization invariance, inspired by mean-field results.

In a given system, we introduce a notion of correlation between two configurations \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} , such as

$$F_o(a, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i,j} \langle \theta \left(a - |\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{y}_j| \right) \rangle, \tag{3}$$

where **x** is drawn from the Boltzmann distribution. We further consider the Boltzmann distribution of **y** at fixed **x**, restricted to the surface $F_o(a, \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = q$, where q measures correlations between the two configurations. The corresponding q-dependent free energy V(q) is the Franz-Parisi potential [51]. A sketch of V(q) for different temperatures is shown in Fig. 5. Within mean-field, the potential is monotonic in the liquid phase and develops, at the dynamic transition point, a secondary minimum, whose location defines the Edwards-Anderson parameter q_{EA} . This minimum decreases until it becomes degenerate with the one at q = 0 at the equilibrium transition to the glass phase.

In the bottom figure we sketch what would happen if we fixed *two* distances. Clearly, integrating one constraint away gives back the original one-dimensional potential. The assumption behind this picture, is that there is a single minimum in this potential at the position (q_{EA}^1, q_{EA}^2) .



FIG. 5. Sketch of the Franz-Parisi construction in mean field: (a) above the dynamic transition temperature T_d . (b) Between the dynamic transition temperature and the static transition temperature T_K . (c) Below the static transition. (d-f) Sketch of the contour plots of a two dimensional Franz-Parisi potential, with two 'distances' q_1 and q_2 imposed. (g) Quasidynamics construction [52]: at each step we choose a new configuration subject to one or more constraints, keeping all the preceding links frozen.

Starting from here, we can make a 'quasidynamic' construction step by step, as sketched in Fig. 5. Very surprisingly, this construction gives, within mean-field, the correct reparametrization-invariant equations for the dynamics [52], just by interpreting the links in the chain as times. From this construction, the chain is independent of the choice of correlation used for the links. Thus, we have found a relation between correlations *that is a result of a purely equilibrium calculation*, as no allusion was made to an actual dynamical process. As a corollary, our numerical results in finite dimension support the existence of quasi-dynamics robust with respect to time evolution.

What we have argued in this paper is that clever algorithms work by efficiently exploiting the softness implied by ime reparametrization invariance to drastically accelerate the time evolution.

More broadly, reparametrization softness has recently been identified as the mechanism leading to the emergence of gravity as a low-energy limit of simple quantum (SYK) models [29]. In the context of supercooled liquids, the very same mechanism underlies the drastic time-rescaling with temperature (as described by the time-temperature superposition principle), as well as under shear, aging, and barrier-crossing processes, with experimental consequences that start to be explored [47]. In this work, we demonstrate that reparametrization softness also resolves the longstanding dichotomy between dynamical and landscape views on glasses: the latter determines reparametrization-invariant characteristics, while the former governs the actual time parametrization.

METHODS

Kob-Andersen mixture with transverse forces. The Kob Andersen potential is defined as [32]

$$V_{ab}(r) = 4\epsilon_{ab} \left[\left(\frac{\sigma_{ab}}{r}\right)^{12} - \left(\frac{\sigma_{ab}}{r}\right)^6 \right] + C \tag{4}$$

for $r_{ab} < 2.5\sigma_{ab}$, and 0 otherwise. The constant C ensures that $V_{ab}(2.5\sigma_{ab}) = 0$. The interaction diameters σ_{ab} are $\sigma_{11} = 1$ (which sets the units of length of the system), $\sigma_{12} = \sigma_{21} = 0.8$, $\sigma_{22} = 0.88$, while the interaction energies ϵ_{ab} are $\epsilon_{11} = 1$ (which sets the units of energy), $\epsilon_{12} = \epsilon_{21} = 1.5$, $\epsilon_{22} = 0.5$.

The overdamped Langevin dynamics for this system is [33]

$$\dot{\mathbf{r}}_{i}^{a} = -(\mathbf{1} + \gamma \mathbf{A}) \sum_{j \neq i} \sum_{b=1}^{2} \boldsymbol{\nabla}_{i}^{a} V_{ab}(|\mathbf{r}_{i}^{a} - \mathbf{r}_{j}^{b}|) + \sqrt{2T} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}^{a}(t), \quad (5)$$

where \mathbf{r}_{i}^{a} is the position of particle *i* of species *a*, with a = 1, 2. $\boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}^{a}(t)$ is a Gaussian white noise with zero mean and correlations $\langle \boldsymbol{\xi}_{i}^{a}(t) \otimes \boldsymbol{\xi}_{j}^{b}(t) \rangle = \mathbf{1}\delta(t-t')\delta_{ij}\delta_{ab}$. The temperature *T* is measured in units of $\epsilon_{11}/k_{\rm B}$, with $k_{\rm B}$ the Boltzmann constant. The transverse forces are implemented by means of an antisymmetric matrix $\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. When $\gamma \neq 0$, Eq. (5)

is an out of equilibrium dynamics, with a steady state given by the Boltzmann distribution for the Kob-Andersen mixture. The relaxation to the stationary state for $\gamma \neq 0$ is ensured to be shorter or equal then the one of equilibrium dynamics.

Simulations are performed in the NVT ensemble, using a box of side $L = 9.4\sigma_{11}$, so that the number density of the system $\rho_0 = \frac{N}{V}$ is $\rho_0 = 1.204...$ The equation of motion given by Eq. (5) are integrated by means of the Euler-Heun algorithm using a time step $\Delta t = 10^{-4}$. The data shown are obtained from the steady state dynamics equilibrated samples, obtained using the overdamped Langevin dynamics (Eq. (5) with $\gamma = 4$) for 10^8 time steps. The results shown in Fig. 2 of the main text are obtained by studying the stationary dynamics of 45 independent configurations.

Polydisperse hard spheres. The model consists of N polydisperse hard spheres in three dimensions [40]. The diameters σ are drawn from a power law distribution $\pi(\sigma) \propto \sigma^{-3}$. The boundaries of the distribution are chosen so that the polydispersity $\Delta \equiv \frac{\sqrt{\overline{\sigma}^2 - \overline{\sigma}^2}}{\overline{\sigma}}$ is $\Delta \approx 23\%$, with \cdots denoting an average over the diameter distribution. The average diameter $\overline{\sigma}$ sets the units of length. The hard sphere potential between two particles *i* and *j* separated by a distance r_{ij} is defined as $V(r_{ij}) = +\infty$ if $r_{ij} < \frac{\sigma_i + \sigma_j}{2}$, and $V(r_{ij}) = 0$ otherwise. The simulations are done in a cubic box of linear size *L* with periodic boundary conditions. We explore the dynamics of the system at high packing fractions $\phi \equiv \frac{\pi}{6} \frac{N}{L^3} \overline{\sigma^3}$.

Metropolis algorithm. In a single timestep of the Metropolis algorithm, N Metropolis moves are performed. During a Metropolis move, a sphere is selected uniformly at random, and a displacement is proposed within a cubic box of side δ , centered around the sphere. If the displacement does not generate any overlap between the sphere and its neighbors, the move is accepted. IN our simulations, we chose $\delta = 0.115$.

Event-Chain Monte Carlo. We implement the original, so called 'straight' version of the Event Chain Monte Carlo algorithm [36]. In the Event-Chain Monte Carlo algorithm, an activity label is assigned to a particle i chosen uniformly at random, together with a direction of motion $\mathbf{v} \in {\{\mathbf{e}_x, \mathbf{e}_y\}}$. The active particle is displaced along the direction v until a collision with another particle j occurs. After the collision, the activity label passes from particle i to particle j. The latter starts then to move along the direction v. The iteration of this procedure produces a driven, collective displacement of a chain of particles. When the sum of the displacements of all the particles involved in the chain add up to a value ℓ , the activity label and direction of self propulsion are uniformly resampled, initiating a new chain. One time step of the algorithm corresponds to one collision among the hard spheres, or to the random resampling of the activity label and selfpropulsion direction v. We studied the Event Chain Monte Carlo dynamics for a system of N = 1000 polydisperse hard spheres at $\phi = 0.604$. Following [42], we chose $\ell = 0.2L$, with L the linear size of the box. The relaxation curves and the time reparametrization invariant plots for Event Chain Monte Carlo, shown in the SI, have been obtained by averaging over 50 independent realizations of the dynamics of the system in the steady state.

Swap Monte Carlo. In the Swap algorithm, one alternates between a set of N Metropolis moves and N swap moves. A set of N Swap moves is performed with probability p_{Swap} . During a swap move, a pair of particles is selected uniformly at random and an exchange of the particle diameters is proposed. If the exchange does not generate overlaps with the neighbors, the move is accepted. The relaxation curves displayed in Fig. 3 of the main text and in Fig. 4 of the SI have been obtained by averaging over 25 independent realizations of the Swap dynamics in the equilibrium state.

Collective Swap. The collective Swap algorithm implementation for a three-dimensional system of polydisperse hard spheres is described in [42]. We alternate randomly between a set of N Metropolis moves and a set of N/2 collective Swap moves. The latter set occurs with probability $p_{cSwap} = 0.2$. We studied the collective Swap dynamics for s system of N = 1000 polydisperse hard spheres at $\phi = 0.648$. The relaxation curves and the time reparametrization invariant plots, shown in the SI, are obtained by averaging over 25

independent realizations of the dynamics in the steady state.

East model with soft kinetic constraints and 'swap' softness updates. We consider N sites on a one dimensional, periodic lattice. Each site i has a spin value $n_i \in \{0, 1\}$ and a softness value $s_i \in \{0, 1\}$. The Hamiltonian H of the system is the one of 2N non interacting spins

$$H = J \sum_{i} n_i + B \sum_{i} s_i.$$
(6)

The kinetic constraints of the model are implemented by means of a constraint function C_i for each site:

$$C_i = n_{i-1} + s_i,\tag{7}$$

which controls the rate at which a spin in site *i* flips. A spin n_i flips from state 1 to state 0 with rate C_i , and from state 0 to state 1 with rate $e^{-J/T}$.

The softness parameter s_i can be updated in two ways [43]: by means of spontaneous fluctuations or by means of 'swaps' (s-updates). Spontaneous softness fluctuations take place only on site with $n_i = 1$, with rate $r_x = e^{-J/T}$. When a spontaneous softness fluctuation occurs at site *i* the value of s_i becomes 1 or 0 with probability $(1+e^{B/T})^{-1}$ or $(1+e^{-B/T})^{-1}$, respectively. s-updates, on the other hand can occur on any site, independently from the value of n_i , with rate r_s , which is a parameter of the model and, when nonzero, is proportional to $e^{-J/T}$. This ensures that the s-updates dynamics takes place on a similar timescale as for the creation of excitations. During an s-update, the value of the softness is updated using the same probabilities as for the spontaneous softness fluctuations.

Following [43], we fix the energy scale of the softness to B/T = 2. This ensures that the introduction of s-updates yields substantial speedup to the dynamics. In fact, for values of B/T too low or too big the softness and the excitation dynamics decouple, making s-updates less effective.

Since the thermodynamics of the system is the one for a system of noninteracting spins, equilibrium initial condition at a given temperature can be directly generated. The dynamics of the system is instead simulated using the Botz-Kalos-Lebowitz algorithm [53], or continuous time Monte-Carlo. In a nutshell, this is a rejection-free method that relies on computing the time that the system spends in a given configuration before transitioning to a new one, instead of proposing moves toward new configurations that are prone to rejection. At each step of the algorithm, we

- 1. We start from the current configuration C of the system at time t, given by an assignment of the spins n_i and softness s_i to the N sites.
- 2. Enumerate the *M* configurations $C_1, \ldots, C_M \neq C$ that the system can evolve into, starting from C, and compute the rate ω_k at which the transition $C \rightarrow C_k$ can happen.
- 3. We compute the cumulative sum $S \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{M} \omega_k$.

- 4. We draw a configuration C^* from the set of M possible configurations. the probability weight of a configuration k is ω_k/S .
- 5. We update the configuration C to the new configuration C^* , and we increment the time by an amount $\Delta t = \frac{\log 1/r}{S}$, with r a random number uniformly distributed in the interval (0, 1].
- 6. We update $\mathcal{C}^* \to \mathcal{C}, t + \Delta t \to t$ and we start back from step 1.

The data presented in the main text in Fig. 4 are the results of an average over 50 independent runs for a system of N = 512 sites.

p-spin with Ichiki-Ohzeki dynamics. A *p*-spin spherical glass consists of N spins σ_i on a fully connected lattice, interacting through a *p*-body Hamiltonian $H(\sigma)$. A quench disorder is introduced by means of random, coupling constants among the spins.

$$H(\sigma) \equiv \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_p} J_{i_1 \dots i_p} \sigma_{i_1} \dots \sigma_{i_p}.$$
 (8)

The coupling constants $J_{i_1...i_p}$ are independent Gaussian random variable encoding the quenched disorder of the system, with variance $\overline{(J_{i_1...i_p})^2} = \frac{p!}{2N^{p-1}}$. The equilibrium overdamped Langevin dynamics for this model reads

$$\dot{\sigma}_i = F(\sigma_i, t) + \sqrt{2T}\xi_i(t), \tag{9}$$

where $\xi_i(t)$ is a Gaussian white noise with correlations $\langle \xi_i(t)\xi_j(t')\rangle = 2T\delta(t-t')$. The force $F_i(\sigma_i,t) = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial \sigma_i}$ contains a contribution coming from the gradient of the Hamiltonian and a harmonic restoring force, which ensures that the spherical constraint $\sum_i \langle \sigma_i(t)^2 \rangle = N$ is satisfied at all times.

The fully connected nature of the model allows to study its dynamics by means of correlations and response functions C(t, t'), R(t, t'), defined respectively as

$$R(t,t') = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \left\langle \frac{\partial \sigma_i(t)}{\partial h_i(t')} \Big|_{h_i=0} \right\rangle$$

$$C(t,t') = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \overline{\langle \sigma_i(t)\sigma_i(t') \rangle}.$$
(10)

To illustrate the concept of time reparametrization invariance, we consider an alternative dynamics for the p-spin. It exploits the possibility of injecting a nonequilibrium drive in the system which is specifically tailored to ensure that the steady state of the system follows the Boltzmann distribution. The use of these kind of dynamics can be rewarded by faster convergence [54]. In practice, the irreversible drift is implemented by means of the so-called Ichiki-Ohzeki dynamics [55].

The nonreciprocal coupling is obtained considering two *p*-spin models, each made by N spins $\sigma_i^{(\alpha)}$ with independent quenched disorders and a total Hamiltonian given by the sum

of the Hamiltonian of the two systems, $H_{\text{tot}}(\sigma^{(1)}, \sigma^{(2)}) = H(\sigma^{(1)}) + H(\sigma^{(2)})$. The two system evolve according to an overdamped Langevin dynamics, which contains an anti-symmetric coupling between the two copies:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{\sigma}_i^{(1)}(t) \\ \dot{\sigma}_i^{(2)}(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\gamma \\ \gamma & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} F^{(1)}(\sigma_i^{(1)}, t) \\ F^{(2)}(\sigma_i^{(2)}, t) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{2T}\xi_i^{(1)}(t) \\ \sqrt{2T}\xi_i^{(2)}(t) \end{bmatrix}.$$
(11)

The realization of the Gaussian noises $\xi_i^{(\alpha)}(t)$ are independent from one system to the other. The parameter γ encodes the strength of the nonreciprocal forces exerted between the two copies. For $\gamma = 0$, we fall back to the case of two independent *p*-spin models evolving through an equilibrium dynamics. When $\gamma \neq 0$, the dynamics becomes out of equilibrium, but it admits the Boltzmann distribution $\rho_{\rm B} \propto e^{-\beta H_{\rm tot}}$ in its steady state, which is reached with a shorter relaxation time compared to the equilibrium case [49].

The steady state dynamics of Eq. (11) has been studied previously [49], quantifying the acceleration of the system in an ergodic region above T_d , the dynamical transition temperature below ergodicity is broken. T_d is the same as in equilibrium. Below a critical temperature T_d , the ergodicity of the system is broken. Here, we investigate the role of time reparametrization invariance by consider the dynamics of the coupled systems at temperature $T > T_d$, starting from an initial configuration at infinite temperature. We consider a generalization of Eq. (10) to encode responses and correlations internal to each copy and among each copy of the system in two 2 × 2 matrices $R_{\alpha\beta}(t,t')$ and $C_{\alpha\beta}(t,t')$. From this quantities, which encode the full time evolution of the system, we isolate a slow contribution $C_{\alpha\beta}(t,t')$, $R_{\alpha\beta}(t,t')$, for which $\partial_t C_{\alpha\beta}(t,t') \approx \partial_t R_{\alpha\beta}(t,t') \approx 0$. Imposing the ansatz $C_{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta} \widetilde{C}(t,t'), \ \widetilde{R}_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{1+\gamma^2} \widetilde{R}(t,t') (\delta_{\alpha\beta} + \gamma \epsilon_{\alpha\beta}), \ \text{with} \ \epsilon_{\alpha\beta}$ the Levi-Civita tensor, we obtain the pair of integral equa-

$$0 = \left[-\frac{T}{1-q} + \frac{p(p-1)}{2T} (1-q) \widetilde{C}(t,t')^{p-2} \right] \widetilde{R}(t,t') + \frac{p(p-2)}{2} \int_{t'}^{t} d\tau \widetilde{C}(t,\tau)^{p-2} \widetilde{R}(t,\tau) \widetilde{R}(\tau,t')$$

$$0 = \left[-\frac{T}{1-q} + \frac{p}{2T} (1-q) \widetilde{C}(t,t')^{p-2} \right] \widetilde{C}(t,t')$$

$$+ \frac{p}{2} \int_{t'}^{t} d\tau \widetilde{C}(t,t')^{p-1} \widetilde{R}(\tau,t') + \frac{p(p-1)}{2} \int_{0}^{t} d\tau \widetilde{C}(t,\tau)^{p-2} \widetilde{R}(t,\tau) \widetilde{C}(\tau,t').$$
(12)

tions

More details about the derivation can be found in [31]. These Equations define explicitly the functionals \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} introduced in Eq. (2)

LB, FG and FvW acknowledge the financial support of the ANR THEMA AAPG2020 grant.

- C. P. Royall, P. Charbonneau, M. Dijkstra, J. Russo, F. Smallenburg, T. Speck, and C. Valeriani, Colloidal hard spheres: Triumphs, challenges and mysteries, arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.02452 (2023).
- [2] J.-P. Bouchaud, Why is the dynamics of glasses superarrhenius?, arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.01883 (2024).
- [3] G. Parisi, P. Urbani, and F. Zamponi, *Theory of simple glasses* (Cambridge University Press, 2020).
- [4] T. Maimbourg, J. Kurchan, and F. Zamponi, Solution of the dynamics of liquids in the large-dimensional limit, Physical review letters 116, 015902 (2016).
- [5] G. Adam and J. H. Gibbs, On the temperature dependence of cooperative relaxation properties in glass-forming liquids, The journal of chemical physics 43, 139 (1965).
- [6] M. Goldstein, Viscous liquids and the glass transition: a potential energy barrier picture, The Journal of Chemical Physics 51, 3728 (1969).
- [7] F. H. Stillinger and T. A. Weber, Hidden structure in liquids, Physical Review A 25, 978 (1982).

- [8] F. H. Stillinger, A topographic view of supercooled liquids and glass formation, Science 267, 1935 (1995).
- [9] C. Angell, Entropy, fragility, "landscapes", and the glass transition, in *Complex Behaviour of Glassy Systems: Proceedings* of the XIV Sitges Conference Sitges, Barcelona, Spain, 10–14 June 1996 (Springer, 2007) pp. 1–21.
- [10] F. H. Stillinger, Energy landscapes, inherent structures, and condensed-matter phenomena (Princeton University Press, 2015).
- [11] J.-P. Bouchaud and G. Biroli, On the adam-gibbs-kirkpatrickthirumalai-wolynes scenario for the viscosity increase in glasses, The Journal of chemical physics **121**, 7347 (2004).
- [12] G. Biroli and J.-P. Bouchaud, Diverging length scale and upper critical dimension in the mode-coupling theory of the glass transition, Europhysics Letters 67, 21 (2004).
- [13] A. Montanari and G. Semerjian, Rigorous inequalities between length and time scales in glassy systems, Journal of statistical physics 125, 23 (2006).
- [14] G. Biroli, J.-P. Bouchaud, A. Cavagna, T. S. Grigera, and P. Verrocchio, Thermodynamic signature of growing amorphous order in glass-forming liquids, Nature Physics 4, 771 (2008).
- [15] J. Kurchan and D. Levine, Correlation length for amorphous systems, arXiv preprint arXiv:0904.4850 (2009).
- [16] J. Kurchan and D. Levine, Order in glassy systems, Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical 44, 035001 (2010).
- [17] T. S. Grigera and G. Parisi, Fast monte carlo algorithm for supercooled soft spheres, Physical Review E 63, 045102 (2001).
- [18] A. Ninarello, L. Berthier, and D. Coslovich, Models and algorithms for the next generation of glass transition studies, Physi-

cal Review X 7, 021039 (2017).

- [19] L. Berthier, P. Charbonneau, D. Coslovich, A. Ninarello, M. Ozawa, and S. Yaida, Configurational entropy measurements in extremely supercooled liquids that break the glass ceiling, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 114, 11356 (2017).
- [20] A. S. Keys, L. O. Hedges, J. P. Garrahan, S. C. Glotzer, and D. Chandler, Excitations are localized and relaxation is hierarchical in glass-forming liquids, Physical Review X 1, 021013 (2011).
- [21] F. Ritort and P. Sollich, Glassy dynamics of kinetically constrained models, Advances in physics 52, 219 (2003).
- [22] M. Wyart and M. E. Cates, Does a growing static length scale control the glass transition?, Physical review letters 119, 195501 (2017).
- [23] L. Berthier, G. Biroli, J.-P. Bouchaud, and G. Tarjus, Can the glass transition be explained without a growing static length scale?, The Journal of chemical physics **150** (2019).
- [24] H. Sompolinsky and A. Zippelius, Relaxational dynamics of the edwards-anderson model and the mean-field theory of spinglasses, Phys. Rev. B 25, 6860 (1982).
- [25] C. Chamon, M. P. Kennett, H. E. Castillo, and L. F. Cugliandolo, Separation of time scales and reparametrization invariance for aging systems, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 217201 (2002).
- [26] C. Chamon, P. Charbonneau, L. F. Cugliandolo, D. R. Reichman, and M. Sellitto, Out-of-equilibrium dynamical fluctuations in glassy systems, J. Chem. Phys. **121**, 10120 (2004).
- [27] C. Chamon and L. F. Cugliandolo, Fluctuations in glassy systems, J. Stat. Mech. 2007, P07022 (2007).
- [28] A. Kitaev, A simple model of quantum holography (2015), A simple model of quantum holography, http://online. kitp.ucsb.edu/online/entangled15/kitaev/, http://online.kitp.ucsb.edu/online/ entangled15/kitaev2/.
- [29] J. Maldacena and D. Stanford, Remarks on the sachdev-yekitaev model, Physical Review D 94, 106002 (2016).
- [30] T. Rizzo, Path integral approach unveils role of complex energy landscape for activated dynamics of glassy systems, Physical Review B 104, 094203 (2021).
- [31] Supplementary material.
- [32] W. Kob and H. C. Andersen, Scaling behavior in the β -relaxation regime of a supercooled lennard-jones mixture, Physical review letters **73**, 1376 (1994).
- [33] F. Ghimenti, L. Berthier, G. Szamel, and F. van Wijland, Sampling efficiency of transverse forces in dense liquids, Physical Review Letters 131, 257101 (2023).
- [34] F. Ghimenti, L. Berthier, G. Szamel, and F. van Wijland, Transverse forces and glassy liquids in infinite dimensions, Physical Review E 109, 064133 (2024).
- [35] F. Ghimenti, L. Berthier, G. Szamel, and F. van Wijland, Irreversible boltzmann samplers in dense liquids: Weak-coupling approximation and mode-coupling theory, Phys. Rev. E 110, 034604 (2024).

- [36] E. P. Bernard, W. Krauth, and D. B. Wilson, Event-chain monte carlo algorithms for hard-sphere systems, Physical Review E 80, 056704 (2009).
- [37] H. Ikeda, F. Zamponi, and A. Ikeda, Mean field theory of the swap monte carlo algorithm, The Journal of chemical physics 147 (2017).
- [38] G. Szamel, Theory for the dynamics of glassy mixtures with particle size swaps, Physical Review E 98, 050601 (2018).
- [39] C. Brito, E. Lerner, and M. Wyart, Theory for swap acceleration near the glass and jamming transitions for continuously polydisperse particles, Physical Review X 8, 031050 (2018).
- [40] L. Berthier, D. Coslovich, A. Ninarello, and M. Ozawa, Equilibrium sampling of hard spheres up to the jamming density and beyond, Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 238002 (2016).
- [41] F. Ghimenti, L. Berthier, and F. van Wijland, Irreversible monte carlo algorithms for hard disk glasses: from event-chain to collective swaps, Physical Review Letters 133, 028202 (2024).
- [42] L. Berthier, F. Ghimenti, and F. van Wijland, Monte carlo simulations of glass-forming liquids beyond metropolis, The Journal of Chemical Physics 161 (2024).
- [43] R. Gutiérrez, J. P. Garrahan, and R. L. Jack, Accelerated relaxation and suppressed dynamic heterogeneity in a kinetically constrained (east) model with swaps, Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment **2019**, 094006 (2019).
- [44] Weak ergodicity breaking in mean-field spin-glass models, Philosophical Magazine B **71**, 501 (1995).
- [45] L. F. Cugliandolo and J. Kurchan, On the out-of-equilibrium relaxation of the sherrington-kirkpatrick model, Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General 27, 5749 (1994).
- [46] I. M. Douglass and J. C. Dyre, Distance-as-time in physical aging, Physical Review E 106, 054615 (2022).
- [47] T. Böhmer, J. P. Gabriel, L. Costigliola, J.-N. Kociok, T. Hecksher, J. C. Dyre, and T. Blochowicz, Time reversibility during the ageing of materials, Nature Physics 20, 637 (2024).
- [48] S. Mehri, L. Costigliola, and J. C. Dyre, Single-parameter aging in the weakly nonlinear limit, Thermo 2, 160 (2022).
- [49] F. Ghimenti and F. van Wijland, Accelerating, to some extent, the *p*-spin dynamics, Phys. Rev. E **105**, 054137 (2022).
- [50] L. F. Cugliandolo and J. Kurchan, Analytical solution of the offequilibrium dynamics of a long-range spin-glass model, Physical Review Letters 71, 173 (1993).
- [51] S. Franz and G. Parisi, Phase diagram of coupled glassy systems: A mean-field study, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 2486 (1997).
- [52] S. Franz and G. Parisi, Quasi-equilibrium in glassy dynamics: an algebraic view, Journal of Statistical Mechanics: Theory and Experiment 2013, P02003 (2013).
- [53] A. B. Bortz, M. H. Kalos, and J. L. Lebowitz, A new algorithm for monte carlo simulation of ising spin systems, Journal of Computational Physics 17, 10 (1975).
- [54] C.-R. Hwang, S.-Y. Hwang-Ma, and S.-J. Sheu, Accelerating gaussian diffusions, The Annals of Applied Probability, 897 (1993).
- [55] M. Ohzeki and A. Ichiki, Langevin dynamics neglecting detailed balance condition, Physical Review E 92, 012105 (2015).

Supplementary Information for 'What do clever algorithms for glasses do? Time reparametrization at work'

Federico Ghimenti,¹ Ludovic Berthier,^{2,3} Jorge Kurchan,⁴ and Frédéric van Wijland¹

¹Laboratoire Matière et Systèmes Complexes (MSC),

Université Paris Cité & CNRS (UMR 7057), 75013 Paris, France

²Laboratoire Charles Coulomb (L2C), Université de Montpellier & CNRS (UMR 5221), 34095 Montpellier, France

³Gulliver, UMR CNRS 7083, ESPCI Paris, PSL Research University, 75005 Paris, France

⁴Laboratoire de physique LPENS, 24 rue Lhomond, 75005 Paris, France

(Dated: September 26, 2024)

I. TRANSVERSE FORCES: SELF-INTERMEDIATE SCATTERING FUNCTION

In the main text, the relaxation of the Kob-Andersen mixture has been tracked by means of the overlap function $F_o(a, t)$ for the large-diameter particles of type A, namely

$$F_o(a,t) \equiv \frac{1}{N_A} \sum_{i,j} \langle \theta(a - |\mathbf{r}_i^{(A)}(t) - \mathbf{r}_j^{(A)}(0) - \Delta \mathbf{r}_{com}|) \rangle, \tag{1}$$

where $\Delta \mathbf{r}_{\rm com}$ is the displacement of the center of mass of the system from time 0 to time t. This function was previously introduced [1] to track the collective relaxation of the system, while being less prone to noise compared to the Fourier transform of the density-density autocorrelation function. A related –and certainly more common– quantity extensively used to track the relaxation of supercooled liquids is the self part of the intermediate scattering function, F_s . For the binary mixture studied here F_s is defined as

$$F_s(\mathbf{k},t) \equiv \frac{1}{N_A} \sum_i \langle \mathrm{e}^{-i\mathbf{k} \cdot \left[\mathbf{r}_i^{(A)}(t) - \mathbf{r}_i^{(A)}(0) - \Delta \mathbf{r}_{com}\right]} \rangle.$$
(2)

The modulus of the wave vector \mathbf{k} sets the inverse length scale over which the relaxation of the system is tracked.

We demonstrate numerically that, as far as the speedup is due to a change in the translational dynamics, time reparametrization is robust against a change of observable from F_o to F_s (we postpone to Section III an explanation of why this is not adapted to deal with Swap dynamics and thus not convenient for comparing various dynamics). Our results are shown in Fig. 1(a) and (b), where we plot the time evolution of F_s , evaluated at two distinct wave vectors $\mathbf{k}_1 = \frac{2\pi}{L}(1, 4, 0)$ and $\mathbf{k}_2 = \frac{2\pi}{L}(0, 4, 1)$, for different values of the strength of transverse forces γ . For both wavevectors we have $|\mathbf{k}_1| = |\mathbf{k}_2| \approx 7.21$, corresponding to the location of the first peak of the structure factor for particles of species A. Since the transverse force dynamics is anisotropic, the curves for F_s have different behaviors depending on the direction where the wave vector is pointing. This difference can be appreciated in the short time behavior of F_s . In the presence of transverse forces, the relaxation of the system is faster compared with the one observed for equilibrium dynamics by a factor ≈ 3 . Both curves exhibit signs of a two-step relaxation, and in the late



FIG. 1. (a-b) Time evolution of the self intermediate scattering function for a Kob-Andersen mixture under equilibrium overdamped Langevin dynamics ($\gamma = 0$) and with transverse forces ($\gamma = 4$), for two different wavevectors: \mathbf{k}_1 has a nonzero component in the direction z. This direction is not directly affected by transverse forces. \mathbf{k}_2 lies instead entirely within the xy plane. (c) Time reparametrization invariant plot, obtained representing $F_s(\mathbf{k}_2, t)$ as a function of $F_s(\mathbf{k}_1, t)$. After a first fast relaxation to the plateau, the curves obtained with and without transverse forces collapse onto the same master curve.



FIG. 2. (a-b) Time evolution of the collective overlap function $Q_o(a,t)$ for polydisperse hard sphere under Metropolis (green circles) and Event Chain (gray squares) dynamics. Two different cutoff lengths are used: $a_1 = 0.2\overline{\sigma}$, $a_2 = 0.3\overline{\sigma}$. (c) Parametric plot of $Q_o(a_1,t)$ as a function of $Q_o(a_2,t)$. The curves obtained with the Metropolis and Event Chain dynamics collapse onto the same master curve.

stage of the relaxation the shapes of the curves are very similar, even when passing from $\gamma = 0$ to $\gamma = 4$. In Fig. 1(c), we represent $F_s(\mathbf{k}_2, t)$ as a function of $F_s(\mathbf{k}_1, t)$ in a parametric plot, for $\gamma = 0$ and $\gamma = 4$. The curves obtained in this way are invariant under a reparametrization of time. Interestingly the curve for equilibrium dynamics and for transverse force dynamics are different from each other at the beginning of the relaxation, when F_s lie approximately between 1 and 0.8. As the relaxation of the system proceeds, at later times, the two curves remarkably collapse on top of each other. This result demonstrates that the time reparametrization invariance evidenced by transverse forces is robust with respect to a change of the observable used to track the relaxation of the system.

II. EVENT-CHAIN MONTE CARLO: NUMERICAL RESULTS

We implement the original, 'straight' version of the Event Chain Monte Carlo algorithm [2] for the polydisperse hard spheres described in Methods. Here we compare the dynamics of polydisperse hard spheres under Event-Chain evolution with the Metropolis one, through the lens of time reparametrization invariance ideas. We study the dynamics of N = 1000 polydisperse hard spheres, and we measure the time evolution of the normalized overlap function, given by Eq. (2) of the main text.

Starting from equilibrated samples at fixed density, we compare the evolution for the system under Metropolis and Even Chain Monte Carlo. We track the collective overlap function Q_o using two different cutoff lengths a_1 and a_2 , which are then used to construct a parametric plot that proves reparametrization invariant. Our results are shown in Fig. 2. When plotting Q_o as a function of time, as done in Fig. 2(a-b), all the curves exhibit a two step relaxation. The speedup of Event Chain over Metropolis can be quantified by looking at a cutoff-dependent relaxation time $\tau_{\alpha}(a)$ defined by $Q_o(a, \tau_{\alpha}) = e^{-1}$. Using this timescale, Event Chain beats the Metropolis algorithm by a factor ≈ 5 . We then show a parametric plot of one overlap function as a function of the other in Fig. 2. The collapse of the curves onto a single master curve demonstrates that Event Chain operates, in this regime, by means of a reparametrization of time.

We complement our analysis with fully consistent results for the self intermediate scattering function $F_s(\mathbf{k}, t)$, defined as

$$F_s(\mathbf{k},t) \equiv \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \langle \mathrm{e}^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\Delta\mathbf{r}_i(t)} \rangle,\tag{3}$$

with $\Delta \mathbf{r}_i(t) \equiv \mathbf{r}_i(t) - \mathbf{r}_i(0) - \Delta \mathbf{r}_{com}(t)$ the displacement of particle *i* relative to the displacement of the center of mass of the system, $\Delta \mathbf{r}_{com}(t)$, at time *t*. We choose two values of the modulus of \mathbf{k} , $k_1 = \frac{2\pi}{\sigma}$, $k_2 = \frac{4\pi}{\sigma}$. For each of these values k_i , we average our data for F_s over all the wave vectors \mathbf{k} such that $|\mathbf{k}| = k_i$, thus obtaining curves for two different correlation functions $F_s(k_1, t)$, $F_s(k_2, t)$. These two functions track single particle motion over length scales corresponding to a particle diameter and half a diameter, respectively. The behavior of these curves as a function of time for a fixed density for Event-Chain and the Metropolis algorithm is shown in Fig. 3(a-b). For a fixed value of *k*, the curves feature a two-step relaxation with both dynamics. As *k* increases, the height of the plateau decreases. This is because by increaseing *k* we probe the decorrelation of density fluctuations on a shorter length scale, where for a fixed time a larger number of particles have relaxed. For both values of *k*, we see that the relaxation is faster under Event-Chain dynamics by about an order of magnitude. However, the shape of the curves under Event-Chain Monte



FIG. 3. (a-b): Time evolution of the self intermediate scattering function for the Metropolis and the Event Chain Monte Carlo algorithms in a three dimensional polydisperse hard sphere system of N = 1000 particles. The moduli of the wavevectors are $k_1 = \frac{2\pi}{\overline{\sigma}}, k_2 = \frac{4\pi}{\overline{\sigma}}$. (c): Parametric plots of $F_s(\mathbf{k}_1, t)$ under Metropolis and Event-Chain Monte Carlo as a function of $F_s(\mathbf{k}_2, t)$ for the three possible combinations of wavevectors. The curves collapse onto the same master curve.

Carlo, a plateau of a height comparable to the one observed for Metropolis dynamics is reached at shorter times. In Fig. 3(c) we show the parametric plot that can be obtained from the two intermediate scattering functions. In the parametric plots, the curves obtained with the Metropolis and Event Chain algorithm are identical. These results demonstrate that the Event Chain Monte Carlo algorithm is identical to Metropolis Monte Carlo in glassy systems up to a reparametrization of time.

III. WHAT TO LOOK AT: SWAP ALGORITHMS AND SELF INTERMEDIATE SCATTERING FUNCTION

In Sections I and II we have shown that time reparametrization invariance is insensitive to whether the relaxation is tracked using collective quantities – such as the overlap function F_o – or single-particle quantities – such as the self-intermediate scattering function F_s . This is true as far as only translational moves are involved. However, when comparing, in the parametric plots, swap algorithms with their swap-free counterparts, there is a caveat in resorting to observables related to single-particle quantities. This is because F_s treats a *spontaneous* exchange of particle position produced by diffusive motion in position space as a form of relaxation. On the other hand swap moves, which we are implemented by exchanging the particle diameters, practically achieve the same result but do not decrease the value of F_s . This issue disappears from functions that track collective relaxation such as Q_o , hence or choice to focus on Q_o for all algorithms.

This observation has a qualitative consequence on the relative shapes of the parametric plots obtained using two self intermediate scattering functions $F_s(k_1,t)$, $F_s(k_2,t)$ for swap and swap-free algorithms. Let us take $k_1 > k_2$, so that $F_s(k_1,t)$ tracks the motion of the particles over shorter length scales than $F_s(k_2,t)$. In the absence of swap moves, a spontaneous exchange of the particle positions over a length scale larger than $\sim k_2^{-1}$ necessarily leads to a decrease of both $F_s(k_1,t)$ and $F_s(k_2,t)$. On the other hand, a swap move between particles separated by a length scale $\sim k_2^{-1}$ followed by a particle displacement over the shorter scale $\sim k_1^{-1}$ produces a decrease in $F_s(k_1,t)$, but not in $F_s(k_2,t)$. This means that on the parametric plot, we expect the swap curves to bend towards the $F_s(k_1,t)$ axis. This is indeed observed numerically in Fig. 4.

IV. COLLECTIVE SWAPS

In this Section we compare the Swap and collective Swap dynamics. For the implementation of the collective Swap algorithm and the parameter used in this study, we refer the reader to [3]. In Fig. 5 (a-b) we show the time evolution of the collective overlap function $Q_o(a, t)$ for Swap and collective Swap dynamics. The two panels correspond respectively to two values of the cutoff length a, as carried out in the study of the Event Chain Monte Carlo algorithm in Sec. II. The collective Swap dynamics allows for a faster relaxation of Q_o , by a factor ≈ 5 . In Fig. 5 (c) we show parametric plots of $Q_o(a_1, t)$ versus $Q_o(a_2, t)$. Within this representation, the curves obtained with Swap and collective Swap collapse onto of each other, demonstrating that collective swaps too accelerate the dynamics in a fashion captured by time reparametrization.



FIG. 4. (a-c): Time evolution of the self intermediate scattering function for the Metropolis (blue circles) and Swap Monte Carlo algorithms with different swap probabilities in a three dimensional polydisperse hard sphere system of N = 1000 particles. The three panels correspond to three different wave vector moduli, $k_1 = \frac{2\pi}{\sigma}$, $k_2 = \frac{4\pi}{\sigma}$, $k_3 = \frac{6\pi}{\sigma}$. (d-f): Parametric plots of $F_s(k_i,t)$ under Metropolis and Swap Monte Carlo as a function of $F_s(k_j,t)$ for the three possible combinations of wave vectors. The collapse is imperfect, which is a consequence of using single-particle functions to track the relaxation. Consistently with our discussion in Sec. III, in the parametric plots the swap curve bends towards the axis related to displacement over the longest length scales.



FIG. 5. Time evolution of the collective overlap function $Q_o(a,t)$ for polydisperse hard sphere under Swap (brown circles) and collective Swap (blue squares) dynamics. Two different cutoff lengths are used: $a_1 = 0.2\overline{\sigma}$, $a_2 = 0.3\overline{\sigma}$. (c) Parametric plot of $Q_o(a_1,t)$ as a function of $Q_o(a_2,t)$. The curves obtained with the Metropolis and collective Swap dynamics collapse onto the same master curve.

V. TIME REPARAMETRIZATION INVARIANCE FOR THE $p\mbox{-}{\rm SPIN}$ UNDER ICHIKI-OHZEKI DYNAMICS

A *p*-spin spherical glass consists of N spins σ_i on a fully connected lattice, interacting through a *p*-body Hamiltonian $H(\sigma)$. A quench disorder is introduced by means of random, coupling constants among the spins.

$$H(\sigma) \equiv \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_p} J_{i_1 \dots i_p} \sigma_{i_1} \dots \sigma_{i_p}.$$
(4)

The coupling constants $J_{i_1...i_p}$ are independent Gaussian random variable encoding the quenched disorder of the system, with variance $\overline{(J_{i_1...i_p})^2} = \frac{p!}{2N^{p-1}}$. The equilibrium overdamped Langevin dynamics for this model reads

$$\dot{\sigma}_i = F(\sigma_i, t) + \sqrt{2T}\xi_i(t),\tag{5}$$

where $\xi_i(t)$ is a Gaussian white noise with correlations $\langle \xi_i(t)\xi_j(t')\rangle = 2T\delta(t-t')$. The force $F_i(\sigma_i,t) = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial \sigma_i} + \mu(t)\sigma_i$ contains a contribution coming from the gradient of the Hamiltonian and a harmonic restoring force $\mu(t)\sigma_i$. The Lagrange multiplier $\mu(t)$ ensures that the spherical constraint $\sum_i \langle \sigma_i(t)^2 \rangle = N$ is satisfied at all times.

The fully connected nature of the model allows to study its dynamics by means of correlations and response functions C(t, t'), R(t, t'), defined respectively as

$$R(t,t') = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \left\langle \frac{\partial \sigma_i(t)}{\partial h_i(t')} \Big|_{h_i=0} \right\rangle$$

$$C(t,t') = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \overline{\langle \sigma_i(t)\sigma_i(t') \rangle}.$$
(6)

We now consider the Ichiki-Ohzeki irreversible dynamics of two coupled copies of the p-spin model, as described by Eq. (12) in Methods, which we repeat here for convenience:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \dot{\sigma}_i^{(1)}(t) \\ \dot{\sigma}_i^{(2)}(t) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -\gamma \\ \gamma & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} F^{(1)}(\sigma_i^{(1)}, t) \\ F^{(2)}(\sigma_i^{(2)}, t) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{2T}\xi_i^{(1)}(t) \\ \sqrt{2T}\xi_i^{(2)}(t) \end{bmatrix}.$$
(7)

Our focus is on the transient dynamics at temperature T, starting from an initial configuration at infinite temperature. The dynamics of the system is entirely characterized by its 2×2 response and correlation matrices, defined respectively as

$$R_{ab}(t,t') = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \overline{\langle \frac{\partial \sigma^{(a)}(t)}{\partial h^{(b)}(t')} \Big|_{h^{(b)}=0}} \rangle$$

$$C_{ab}(t,t') = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i} \overline{\langle \sigma_i^{(a)}(t) \sigma_i^{(b)}(t') \rangle}.$$
(8)

Where $h_i^{(a)}(t')$ is a small force field that perturbs the dynamics of spin *i* in system *a*. Using path integrals techniques as in [4], and exploiting the mean field nature of the model, equations of motion for the response and correlation matrices read

$$\partial_{t} \mathbf{C}(t,t') = -(\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{\Gamma})\boldsymbol{\mu}(t)\mathbf{C}(t,t') + \int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{d}s\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{R}(t,s)\mathbf{C}(s,t') + 2T\mathbf{R}^{T}(t',t) + \int_{0}^{t'} \mathrm{d}s\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{C}(t,s)\mathbf{R}^{T}(t',s)$$
(9)
$$\partial_{t}\mathbf{R}(t,t') = -(\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{\Gamma})\boldsymbol{\mu}(t)\mathbf{R}(t,t') + \int_{t'}^{t} \mathrm{d}s\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{R}(t,s)\mathbf{R}(s,t') + \mathbf{1}\delta(t-t').$$

These equations are supplemented with the boundary conditions $\lim_{t'\to t} \mathbf{R}(t,t') = \mathbf{1}$ and with the spherical constraint $\mathbf{C}(t,t) = \mathbf{1}$. The matrix $\mathbf{1}$ is the 2 × 2 identity matrix, while the matrix $\mathbf{\Gamma} \equiv \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\gamma \\ \gamma & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ encodes the antisymmetric coupling between the two copies. The complexity of the Markovian dynamics of this system of 2N spins has been reduced to a smaller set of equations, at the price of introducing memory effects through the kernels $\mathbf{\Sigma}_R(t,t'), \mathbf{\Sigma}_C(t,t')$. As customary in mean field approaches, the expression of these kernels is self consistently determined as a function of $\mathbf{C}(t,t')$ and $\mathbf{R}(t,t')$. Their expressions are

$$\Sigma_{R}(t,t') = \frac{p(p-1)}{2} \times \begin{bmatrix} C_{11}^{p-2} (R_{11} + \gamma R_{12}) - \gamma C_{21}^{p-2} (R_{21} + \gamma R_{22}) & C_{12}^{p-2} (R_{12} - \gamma R_{11}) - \gamma C_{22}^{p-2} (R_{22} - \gamma R_{21}) \\ C_{21}^{p-2} (R_{21} + \gamma R_{22}) + \gamma C_{11}^{p-2} (R_{11} + \gamma R_{12}) & C_{22}^{p-2} (R_{22} - \gamma R_{21}) + \gamma C_{12}^{p-2} (R_{12} - \gamma R_{11}) \end{bmatrix}$$
(10)
$$\Sigma_{C}(t,t') \equiv (\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{\Gamma}) \mathbf{C}_{p}(t,t') (\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{\Gamma}^{T}) \\ C_{p}(t,t')_{ij} = \frac{p}{2} C_{ij}^{p-1}(t,t').$$

Note that we omitted the (t, t') dependence of the terms on the right hand side of the first equation. The matrix $\mu(t)$ enforces the spherical constraint on the system. Its expression is

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}(t) = \operatorname{diag}\left[T\mathbf{1}_2 + \int_0^t \mathrm{d}\tau \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_R(t,\tau) \mathbf{C}^T(t,\tau) + \int_0^t d\tau \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_C(t,\tau) \mathbf{R}^T(t,\tau)\right],\tag{11}$$

where for any matrix **X** we define its diagonal part as $\text{diag}[\mathbf{X}]_{ij} \equiv \delta_{ij}X_{ij}$. Above T_d in the steady state, these response and correlation matrices are time translational invariant, *i. e.* $\mathbf{C}(t, t') = \mathbf{C}(t - t')$, and they obey an accidental fluctuation-dissipation theorem (AFDT) [4]:

$$-\frac{1}{T}\partial_t \mathbf{C}(t) = \mathbf{R}(t)(\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{\Gamma}).$$
(12)

Through the AFDT, a relation between the correlation and response memory kernels holds,

$$-\frac{1}{T}\partial_t \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_C(t) = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_R(t)(\mathbf{1} + \boldsymbol{\Gamma}^T),$$
(13)

which will soon prove useful. In this work we focus on long waiting times, *i. e.* $t, t' \gg 1$. In such a regime, we assume that the *weak ergodicity breaking hypothesis* [5, 6] holds. This amounts to considering two widely separated sectors of the t - t' plane. A first sector contains the domain where $\tau \equiv t - t' \ll t$. It comprises the fast decay of the correlations and the response functions, for which we assume that time translational invariance holds: $\mathbf{C}(t, t') = \mathbf{C}_f(\tau)$, $\mathbf{R}(t, t') = \mathbf{R}_f(\tau)$. Moreover, we also assume the AFDT to be valid in this regime,

$$-\frac{1}{T}\partial_{\tau}\mathbf{C}_{f}(\tau) = \mathbf{R}_{f}(\tau)(\mathbf{1}+\mathbf{\Gamma}),$$

$$-\frac{1}{T}\partial_{\tau}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{C,f}(\tau) = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{R}(\tau)(\mathbf{1}+\mathbf{\Gamma}^{T}).$$
 (14)

Using the results obtained for the steady state dynamics in [4], we assume that the correlation decays quickly to a plateau value, $\lim_{\tau \to +\infty} \mathbf{C}_f(\tau) = q\mathbf{1}$, $\lim_{\tau \to +\infty} \mathbf{R}_f(\tau) = \mathbf{0}$. The second time sector of interest is obtained when $\frac{t-t'}{t} \sim O(1)$. In this regime, time translational invariance is broken, and the correlation and response matrices contain an aging term $\mathbf{C}(t,t') = \widetilde{\mathbf{C}}(t,t')$, $\mathbf{R}(t,t') = \widetilde{\mathbf{R}}(t,t')$. We assume these terms to vary slowly over times much larger than the times over which the dynamics is observed, $\partial_t \widetilde{\mathbf{C}}(t,t') \approx \partial_t \widetilde{\mathbf{R}}(t,t') \approx \mathbf{0}$. We further impose the following structure

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{C}}(t,t') = \widetilde{C}(t,t')\mathbf{1}$$

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}(t,t') = \frac{1}{1+\gamma^2}\widetilde{R}(t,t')(\mathbf{1}+\mathbf{\Gamma}^T).$$
(15)

We thus suppose that in the aging regime cross correlations among the two copies have vanished, but not their cross responses. Furthermore, the assumption of Eq. (15) means that the correlation and response matrices are determined by only two scalar quantities, $\tilde{C}(t,t')$ and $\tilde{R}(t,t')$. As a consequence, the memory kernels in the aging regime, $\tilde{\Sigma}_R(t,t')$ and $\tilde{\Sigma}_C(t,t')$, become

$$\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{R}(t,t') = \frac{p(p-1)}{2} (\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{\Gamma}) \widetilde{C}(t,t')^{p-2} \widetilde{R}(t,t')$$

$$\widetilde{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{C}(t,t') = \mathbf{1} (1+\gamma^{2}) \frac{p}{2} \widetilde{C}(t,t')^{p-1}.$$
(16)

We will now show that the equations that determines $\tilde{C}(t, t')$ and $\tilde{R}(t, t')$ do not depend on γ . In particular they are identical to the ones obtained for $\gamma = 0$. We start by considering the equation for **C** in the first sector, where the evolution is fast. We have

$$\partial_{\tau} \mathbf{C}_{f}(\tau) = -(\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{\Gamma})\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\infty} \mathbf{C}_{f}(\tau) + \int_{0}^{t'+\tau} \mathrm{d}s \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{R}(t,s) \mathbf{C}(s,t') + \int_{0}^{t'} \mathrm{d}s \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{C}(t,s) \mathbf{R}^{T}(t',s).$$
(17)

We will now decompose the time integrals into their fast and slow regime contributions. First we introduce the quantity t'_{-} , which is used to discriminate between the two regimes. Time integrals of the form $\int_{s}^{t'_{-}} ds'$, with $s < t'_{-}$

concern the slow regime, while time integrals of the form $\int_{t'_{-}}^{s} ds'$ concern the fast regime. Such a separation is justified by the assumption that the two regimes are widely separated in time by the long-lived plateau of the correlation function. The separation reads:

$$\int_{0}^{t'+\tau} \mathrm{d}s \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{R}(t,s) \mathbf{C}(s,t') \approx \int_{0}^{t'_{-}} \mathrm{d}s \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{R}(t,s) \widetilde{\mathbf{C}}(s,t') + \int_{t'_{-}}^{t'+\tau} \mathrm{d}s \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{R,f}(t,s) \mathbf{C}_{f}(s,t').$$
(18)

Due to the slow evolution of the aging variable, we can replace t'_{-} with t' in the upper limit of the integral $\int_{0}^{t'_{-}} \mathrm{d}s \widetilde{\Sigma}_{R}(t,s) \widetilde{\mathbf{C}}(s,t')$. The second integral on the right band side becomes, using the AFDT of Eq. (13), the definition of Σ_{C} from Eq. (10), and integration by parts:

$$\int_{t'_{-}}^{t'+\tau} \mathrm{d}s \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{R,f}(t,s) \mathbf{C}_{f}(s,t') = \frac{1}{T} (\mathbf{1}+\mathbf{\Gamma}) \int_{t'_{-}}^{t'+\tau} \mathrm{d}s \partial_{s} \mathbf{C}_{p,f}(t-s) \mathbf{C}_{f}(s-t')$$

$$= \frac{1}{T} (\mathbf{1}+\mathbf{\Gamma}) \int_{t'_{-}}^{t'} \mathrm{d}s \partial_{s} \mathbf{C}_{p,f}(t-s) \mathbf{C}_{f}(s-t') + \frac{1}{T} (\mathbf{1}+\mathbf{\Gamma}) \int_{t'}^{\tau} \mathrm{d}s \partial_{s} \mathbf{C}_{p,f}(t-s) \mathbf{C}_{f}(s-t')$$

$$= \frac{p}{2T} (\mathbf{1}+\mathbf{\Gamma}) [\mathbf{C}_{f}(\tau) - q^{p}] - \frac{1}{T} (\mathbf{1}+\mathbf{\Gamma}) \int_{t'_{-}}^{t'} \mathrm{d}s \mathbf{C}_{p,f}(t+\tau-s) \partial_{s} \mathbf{C}_{f}(s-t)$$

$$- \frac{1}{T} (\mathbf{1}+\mathbf{\Gamma}) \int_{0}^{\tau} \mathrm{d}s \mathbf{C}_{p,f}(\tau-s) \partial_{s} \mathbf{C}_{f}(s).$$
(19)

Similarly, for the second integral we obtain

$$\int_{0}^{t'} \mathrm{d}s \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{C}(t,s) \mathbf{R}^{T}(t',s) = \int_{0}^{t'_{-}} \mathrm{d}s \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{C}(t,s) \widetilde{\mathbf{R}}^{T}(t',s) + \int_{t'_{-}}^{t'} \mathrm{d}s \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{C,f}(t-s) \mathbf{R}_{f}^{T}(t'-s)$$
$$= \int_{0}^{t'} \mathrm{d}s \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{C}(t,s) \widetilde{\mathbf{R}}^{T}(t',s)$$
$$+ \frac{1}{T} (\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{\Gamma}) \int_{t'_{-}}^{t'} \mathrm{d}s \mathbf{C}_{p,f}(t-s) \partial_{s} \mathbf{C}_{f}(t'-s),$$
(20)

while the Lagrange multiplier at long times becomes

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\infty} \approx \operatorname{diag}\left[T\mathbf{1} + \int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{d}s \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{C}(t,s) \widetilde{\mathbf{R}}^{T}(t,s) + \int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{d}s \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{R}(t,s) \widetilde{\mathbf{C}}(s,t) + \frac{p}{2T}(\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{\Gamma})(1-q^{p})\right].$$
(21)

The equation of motion for the fast evolution of the correlation matrix is therefore

$$\partial_{\tau} \mathbf{C}_{f}(\tau) = -(\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{\Gamma}) \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\infty} \mathbf{C}_{f}(\tau) + \frac{p}{2T} (\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{\Gamma}) \left[\mathbf{C}_{f}(\tau) - \mathbf{1} \right] - \frac{1}{T} (\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{\Gamma}) \int_{0}^{\tau} \mathrm{d}s \mathbf{C}_{p,f}(\tau - s) \partial_{\tau} \mathbf{C}_{f}(s) + \lim_{t \to +\infty} \left[\int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{d}s \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{R}(t, s) \widetilde{\mathbf{C}}(s, t) + \int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{d}s \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_{C}(t, s) \widetilde{\mathbf{R}}^{T}(t, s) \right] + \frac{p}{2T} (\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{\Gamma}) [1 - q^{p}].$$

$$(22)$$

Equations (21) and (22) describe the decay of the correlation matrix to its plateau value $q\mathbf{1}$. Note that the dynamics depends also on the slow contribution to correlation and responses.

We now write the equations that determine the slow functions $\widetilde{\mathbf{C}}(t,t')$ and $\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}(t,t')$:

$$0 = (\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{\Gamma}) \left[-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\infty} + \frac{p}{2T} (1 - q^{p-1}) \right] \widetilde{\mathbf{R}}(t, t') + \frac{1}{T(1 + \gamma^2)} \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_R(t, t') (1 - q) (\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{\Gamma}^T) + \int_{t'}^t \mathrm{d}s \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_R(t, s) \widetilde{\mathbf{R}}(s, t') 0 = (\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{\Gamma}) \left[-\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\infty} + \frac{p}{2T} (1 - q^{p-1}) \right] \widetilde{\mathbf{C}}(t, t') + \frac{1}{T} (\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{\Gamma}) \widetilde{\mathbf{C}}_p(t, t') (1 - q) + \int_0^t \mathrm{d}s \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_C(t, s) \widetilde{\mathbf{R}}(s, t') + \int_0^t \mathrm{d}s \widetilde{\boldsymbol{\Sigma}}_R(t, s) \widetilde{\mathbf{C}}(s, t')$$
(23)

Equations (22), (21), (23) describe the asymptotic dynamics of the *p*-spin under the Ichiki-Ohzeki dynamics.

We now proceed to implement the *ansatz* described in Eq. (15) for the slow contribution to **C** and **R**. The long time value of the Lagrange multiplier reads

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\infty} = \mathbf{1} \left[T + \frac{p^2}{2} \int_0^t \mathrm{d}s \widetilde{C}^{p-1}(t,s) \widetilde{R}(t,s) + \frac{p}{2T} (1-q^p) \right].$$
(24)

We can relate the value of μ_{∞} to the plateau value $q\mathbf{1} = \lim_{\tau \to +\infty} C_f(\tau)$ by taking the long time limit and the diagonal part of Eq. (22), thus obtaining $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\infty} = \mu_{\infty} \mathbf{1}$ where

$$\mu_{\infty} = \frac{p}{2T}(1 - q^{p-1}) + \frac{T}{1 - q}.$$
(25)

This equation does not depend on γ , and is the same as the one obtained for $\gamma = 0$ (Eq. (7) of [5]).

Substitution of Eqs. (15) and (25) in Eq. (23) finally yields

$$0 = \left[-\frac{T}{1-q} + \frac{p(p-1)}{2T} (1-q) \widetilde{C}(t,t')^{p-2} \right] \widetilde{R}(t,t') + \frac{p(p-2)}{2} \int_{t'}^{t} \mathrm{d}s \widetilde{C}(t,s)^{p-2} \widetilde{R}(t,s) \widetilde{R}(s,t')$$

$$0 = \left[-\frac{T}{1-q} + \frac{p}{2T} (1-q) \widetilde{C}(t,t')^{p-2} \right] \widetilde{C}(t,t')$$

$$+ \frac{p}{2} \int_{t'}^{t} \mathrm{d}s \widetilde{C}(t,s)^{p-1} \widetilde{R}(s,t') + \frac{p(p-1)}{2} \int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{d}s \widetilde{C}(t,s)^{p-2} \widetilde{R}(t,s) \widetilde{C}(s,t').$$
(26)

Again, these equations are the same as the one obtained for $\gamma = 0$ (see Eqs. (22-23) of [6]). This establishes time-reparametrization invariance for the driven *p*-spin à la Ichiki-Ozehki.

- A. Ninarello, L. Berthier, and D. Coslovich, Models and algorithms for the next generation of glass transition studies, Physical Review X 7, 021039 (2017).
- [2] E. P. Bernard, W. Krauth, and D. B. Wilson, Event-chain monte carlo algorithms for hard-sphere systems, Physical Review E 80, 056704 (2009).
- [3] L. Berthier, F. Ghimenti, and F. van Wijland, Monte carlo simulations of glass-forming liquids beyond metropolis, The Journal of Chemical Physics 161 (2024).
- [4] F. Ghimenti and F. van Wijland, Accelerating, to some extent, the p-spin dynamics, Phys. Rev. E 105, 054137 (2022).
- [5] L. F. Cugliandolo and J. Kurchan, Analytical solution of the off-equilibrium dynamics of a long-range spin-glass model, Physical Review Letters 71, 173 (1993).
- [6] Weak ergodicity breaking in mean-field spin-glass models, Philosophical Magazine B 71, 501 (1995).