

**Supporting information for: The role of orbital angular
momentum constraints in the variational optimization of the
two-electron reduced-density matrix**

Run R. Li¹ and A. Eugene DePrince III¹

¹ *Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry,
Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL 32306-4390*

I. SECOND-ROW ATOMS

Table SI reports absolute energies of second-row atoms determined by the direct variational optimization of the two-electron reduced-density matrix (2-RDM) and the full configuration interaction (CI) method. All variational 2-RDM (v2RDM) computations were performed under two-particle N -representability conditions. Numerical values listed under the heading “Real” were obtained from v2RDM computations using real-valued reduced-density matrices (RDMs). Numerical values listed under the headings L^2 and L_z were obtained from complex-valued v2RDM computations enforcing constraints on the expectation value of \hat{L}^2 or the expectation values of \hat{L}^2 and \hat{L}_z , respectively. The percent error in the correlation energy is defined as $(E_{\text{v2RDM}} - E_{\text{CI}})/(E_{\text{CI}} - E_{\text{Hartree-Fock}}) \times 100\%$. The missing data correspond to excited states for which Hartree-Fock or real-valued v2RDM solutions cannot be obtained. All computations were performed within the cc-pVDZ basis set. The convergence thresholds employed within the v2RDM computations are outlined in the main text. These standard thresholds could not be met in all cases; cases for which more loose criteria were employed are indicated by superscripts in Table SI.

TABLE I: Absolute v2RDM-derived energies (E_h) for second row atoms and the corresponding percent errors in the correlation energy. All errors are relative to values obtained from full CI computations.

Atom	Term	Energy/ E_h (Percent error of correlation energy)						
		Real		L^2		L_z		full CI
Li	2S	-7.4326	(0.2)	-7.4326	(-0.7)	-7.4326	(-3.7)	-7.4326
Be	1S	-14.6175	(0.2)	-14.6175	(0.1)	-14.6175	(0.1)	-14.6174
Be	3P	-14.5164	(1.8)	-14.5164	(1.5)	-14.5164	(0.7)	-14.5164
B	2P	-24.5933	(4.1)	-24.5929	(3.6)	-24.5913	(1.0)	-24.5906
B	4P	-24.4626	(7.8)	-24.4621	(4.0)	-24.4618	(1.2)	-24.4616
C	3P	-37.7690	(8.9)	-37.7685	(8.3)	-37.7641	(2.8)	-37.7619
C	1D	-37.7373	(26.9)	-37.7251	(16.0)	-37.7111	(3.4)	-37.7073
C	1S	-	-	-37.6656	-	-37.6656	-	-37.6542
C	5S	-37.6179	(1.2)	-37.6179	(1.3)	-37.6178	(1.2)	-37.6175
N	4S	-54.4836	(3.8)	-54.4836	(3.8)	-54.4836	(3.8)	-54.4801
N	2D	-54.4194	(29.8)	-54.4076	(20.8)	-54.3890	(6.7)	-54.3802
N	2P	-	-	-54.3764	-	-54.3585 ^a	-	-54.3364
N	4P	-	-	-54.2828	-	-54.0705	-	-54.0672
O	3P	-74.9449	(26.7)	-74.9431	(25.2)	-74.9261	(11.6)	-74.9117
O	1D	-74.8872	(32.3)	-74.8802	(28.1)	-74.8515	(11.0)	-74.8330
O	1S	-	-	-74.7858	-	-74.7858	-	-74.7538
F	2P	-99.5715	(26.6)	-99.5706	(26.1)	-99.5546 ^a	(15.9)	-99.5295
F	4P	-98.2868	(25.1)	-98.2854	(24.5)	-98.2684 ^a	(16.9)	-98.2302
Ne	1S	-128.7085	(14.4)	-128.7086	(14.4)	-128.7086	(14.4)	-128.6809

^a Loose convergence criterial were employed ($\epsilon_{\text{gap}} < 5.6 \times 10^{-4}E_h$ and $\epsilon_{\text{error}} < 4.4 \times 10^{-6}$).

II. MOLECULAR OXYGEN

Table SII reports the energies for multiple spin and angular momentum states of molecular oxygen, computed at the full CI, multireference CI (MRCISD+Q), and v2RDM levels of theory. All values are given relative to the energy of the ${}^3\Sigma$ state, as described by the relevant level of theory. Values listed under the headings L_z and $(\Delta L_z)^2$ were obtained from v2RDM computations in which constraints were placed on the expectation value of \hat{L}_z or the expectation value and variance of \hat{L}_z , respectively. The convergence thresholds employed within the v2RDM computations are outlined in the main text. These standard thresholds could not be met in all cases; cases for which more loose criteria were employed are indicated by superscripts in Table SII.

TABLE II: The relative energies (eV) of the spin and orbital angular momentum states of molecular oxygen described by the STO-3G, D95V, and cc-pVDZ basis sets. All energies are given relative to that of the $^3\Sigma$ state.

	STO-3G			d95v			cc-pVDZ		
	full CI	L_z	$(\Delta L_z)^2$	MRCISD+Q	L_z	$(\Delta L_z)^2$	MRCISD+Q	L_z	$(\Delta L_z)^2$
$^1\Sigma$	1.60	0.91	1.39	1.66	0.38	0.88	1.71	-0.19	0.52
$^1\Pi$	9.68	0.92	8.51 ^a	9.08	0.47	2.13 ^b	9.45	-0.04	1.52 ^e
$^1\Delta$	1.04	1.03	1.04	1.14	1.16	1.19	1.05	0.93	0.95
$^3\Sigma$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
$^3\Pi$	8.30	3.01	8.27	7.69	2.90	6.24 ^c	8.05	2.70	5.70 ^f
$^3\Delta$	6.20	6.30	6.30	6.30	6.55	6.57	6.30	6.47	6.48
$^5\Sigma$	16.89	15.67	16.95	14.64	13.68	14.64 ^d	15.37	14.11	15.09 ^g
$^5\Pi$	15.59	15.70	15.70	13.87	14.06	14.07	14.37	14.65	14.65
$^5\Delta$	22.49	22.60	22.60	20.62	20.91	20.93	21.38	21.78	21.78

^a Loose convergence criteria were employed ($\epsilon_{\text{gap}} < 6.5 \times 10^{-4} E_h$, $\epsilon_{\text{error}} < 1.4 \times 10^{-6}$).

^b Loose convergence criteria were employed ($\epsilon_{\text{gap}} < 3.8 \times 10^{-4} E_h$, $\epsilon_{\text{error}} < 3.2 \times 10^{-6}$).

^c Loose convergence criteria were employed ($\epsilon_{\text{gap}} < 8.3 \times 10^{-4} E_h$, $\epsilon_{\text{error}} < 1.2 \times 10^{-5}$).

^d Loose convergence criteria were employed ($\epsilon_{\text{gap}} < 1.3 \times 10^{-4} E_h$, $\epsilon_{\text{error}} < 4.1 \times 10^{-6}$).

^e Loose convergence criteria were employed ($\epsilon_{\text{gap}} < 9.3 \times 10^{-4} E_h$, $\epsilon_{\text{error}} < 5.4 \times 10^{-6}$).

^f Loose convergence criteria were employed ($\epsilon_{\text{gap}} < 2.0 \times 10^{-3} E_h$, $\epsilon_{\text{error}} < 1.4 \times 10^{-5}$).

^g Loose convergence criteria were employed ($\epsilon_{\text{gap}} < 2.8 \times 10^{-4} E_h$, $\epsilon_{\text{error}} < 3.6 \times 10^{-6}$).