

## A. Soundness for Typed Clojure

**Assumption A.1** ( $\text{JVM}_{\text{new}}$ ). If  $\forall i. v_i = C_i \{\overrightarrow{\text{fld}_j : v_j}\}$  or  $v_i = \text{nil}$  and  $v_i$  is consistent with  $\rho$  then either

- $\text{JVM}_{\text{new}}[C, [\overrightarrow{C_i}], [\overrightarrow{v_i}]] = C \{\overrightarrow{\text{fld}_k : v_k}\}$  which is consistent with  $\rho$ ,
- $\text{JVM}_{\text{new}}[C, [\overrightarrow{C_i}], [\overrightarrow{v_i}]] = \text{err}$ , or
- $\text{JVM}_{\text{new}}[C, [\overrightarrow{C_i}], [\overrightarrow{v_i}]]$  is undefined.

**Assumption A.2** ( $\text{JVM}_{\text{getstatic}}$ ). If  $v_1 = C_1 \{\text{fld} : v_f, \overrightarrow{\text{fld}_l : v_l}\}$ , then either

- $\text{JVM}_{\text{getstatic}}[C_1, v_1, \text{fld}, C_2] = v_f$ , and either
  - $v_f = C_2 \{\overrightarrow{\text{fld}_m : v_m}\}$  or
  - $v_f = \text{nil}$ , or
- $\text{JVM}_{\text{getstatic}}[C_1, v_1, \text{fld}, C_2] = \text{err}$ .

**Assumption A.3** ( $\text{JVM}_{\text{invokestatic}}$ ). If  $v_1 = C_1 \{\overrightarrow{\text{fld}_l : v_l}\}$ ,  $\forall i. v_i = C_i \{\overrightarrow{\text{fld}_j : v_j}\}$  or  $v_i = \text{nil}$  then either

- $\text{JVM}_{\text{invokestatic}}[C_1, v_m, \text{mth}, [\overrightarrow{C_i}], [\overrightarrow{v_i}], C_2] = v$  and either
  - $v = C_2 \{\overrightarrow{\text{fld}_m : v_m}\}$  or  $v = \text{nil}$ , or
- $\text{JVM}_{\text{invokestatic}}[C_1, v_m, \text{mth}, [\overrightarrow{C_i}], [\overrightarrow{v_i}], C_2] = \text{err}$ , or
- $\text{JVM}_{\text{invokestatic}}[C_1, v_m, \text{mth}, [\overrightarrow{C_i}], [\overrightarrow{v_i}], C_2]$  is undefined.

**Lemma A.1.** If  $\rho$  and  $\rho'$  agree on  $\text{fv}(\psi)$  and  $\rho \models \psi$  then  $\rho' \models \psi$ .

*Proof.* Since the relevant parts of  $\rho$  and  $\rho'$  agree, the proof follows trivially. □

**Lemma A.2.** If

- $\psi_1 = \psi_2[o/x]$ ,
- $\rho_2 \models \psi_2$ ,
- $\forall v \in \text{fv}(\psi_2) - x. \rho_1(v) = \rho_2(v)$ ,
- and  $\rho_2(x) = \rho_1(o)$

then  $\rho_1 \models \psi_1$ .

*Proof.* By induction on the derivation of the model judgement. □

**Lemma A.3.** If  $\rho \models \Gamma$  and  $\Gamma \vdash \psi$  then  $\rho \models \psi$ .

*Proof.* By structural induction on  $\Gamma \vdash \psi$ . □

**Lemma A.4.** If  $\Gamma \vdash \tau_{\pi(x)}$ ,  $\rho \models \Gamma$  and  $\rho(\pi(x)) = v$  then  $\vdash v : \tau$ ;  $\psi'_+ | \psi'_- ; o'$  for some  $\psi'_+$ ,  $\psi'_-$  and  $o'$ .

*Proof.* Corollary of lemma A.3. □

**Lemma A.5** (Paths are independent). If  $\rho(o) = \rho_1(o')$  then  $\rho(\pi(o)) = \rho_1(\pi(o'))$

*Proof.* By induction on  $\pi$ .

Case  $(\pi = \epsilon)$ .  $\rho(o) = \rho(o')$

As  $\rho(\epsilon(o)) = \rho(o)$  and  $\rho(\epsilon(o')) = \rho(o')$  we can conclude  $\rho(\epsilon(o)) = \rho(\epsilon(o'))$ .

Case  $(\pi = pe :: \pi_1)$ .  $\rho(o) = \rho(o')$

By cases on  $pe$ .

*Subcase*  $(pe = \text{key}_k)$ . By the induction hypothesis on  $\pi_1$  we know  $\rho(\pi_1(o)) = \rho_1(\pi_1(o'))$ . By the definition of path translation  $\rho(\pi_1(o)) = (\text{get } \rho(o) \ k)$  and  $\rho(\pi_1(o')) = (\text{get } \rho(o') \ k)$  □

**Lemma A.6** (class). If  $\rho \vdash (\text{class } \rho(\pi(x))) \Downarrow C$  then  $\rho \models C_{\pi(x)}$ .

*Proof.* Induction on the definition of class. □

**Definition A.1.**  $v$  is consistent with  $\rho$  iff  $\forall [\rho_1, \lambda x^\sigma.e]_c$  in  $v$ , if  $\vdash [\rho_1, \lambda x^\sigma.e]_c : \tau$ ;  $\mathbb{t} \ \mathbb{f} \ \mathbb{ff} ; \emptyset$  and  $\forall o'$  in  $\tau$ , either  $o' = \emptyset$ , or  $o' = \pi'(x)$ , or  $\rho(o') = \rho_1(o')$ .

**Definition A.2.**  $\rho$  is consistent iff

$\forall v \in \text{rng}(\rho)$ ,  $v$  is consistent with  $\rho$ .

**Definition A.3.**  $\text{TrueVal}(v)$  iff  $v \neq \text{false}$  and  $v \neq \text{nil}$ .

**Definition A.4.**  $\text{FalseVal}(v)$  iff  $v = \text{false}$  or  $v = \text{nil}$ .

**Lemma A.7.** If  $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau ; \psi_+ | \psi_- ; o, \rho \models \Gamma, \rho$  is consistent, and  $\rho \vdash e \Downarrow \alpha$  then either

- $\rho \vdash e \Downarrow v$  and all of the following hold:
  1. either  $o = \emptyset$  or  $\rho(o) = v$ ,
  2. either  $\text{TrueVal}(v)$  and  $\rho \models \psi_+$  or  $\text{FalseVal}(v)$  and  $\rho \models \psi_-$ ,
  3.  $\vdash v : \tau ; \psi'_+ | \psi'_- ; o'$  for some  $\psi'_+, \psi'_-$  and  $o'$ , and
  4.  $v$  is consistent with  $\rho$ , or
- $\rho \vdash e \Downarrow \text{err}$ .

*Proof.* By induction on the derivation of the typing judgement.

*Case (T-True).*  $e = \text{true}, \tau = \text{true}, \psi_+ = \text{tt}, \psi_- = \text{ff}, o = \emptyset$

*Subcase (B-Val).*  $v = \text{true}$

Proving part 1 is trivial:  $o$  is  $\emptyset$ . To prove part 2, we note that  $v = \text{true}$  and  $\psi_+ = \text{tt}$ , so  $\rho \models \psi_+$  by M-Top. Part 3 holds as  $e$  can only be reduced to itself via B-Val. Part 4 holds vacuously.

*Case (T-HMap).*  $e = \{\overrightarrow{v_k \mapsto v_v}\}, \tau = (\mathbf{HMap}^C \mathcal{M}), \psi_+ = \text{tt}, \psi_- = \text{ff}, o = \emptyset, \vdash v_k : (\mathbf{Val} k), \vdash v_v : \tau_v, \mathcal{M} = \{k \mapsto \tau_v\}$

*Subcase (B-Val).*  $v = \{\overrightarrow{v_k \mapsto v_v}\}$

Similar to T-True.

*Case (T-Kw).*  $e = k, \tau = (\mathbf{Val} k), \psi_+ = \text{tt}, \psi_- = \text{ff}, o = \emptyset$

*Subcase (B-Val).*  $v = k$

Similar to T-True.

*Case (T-False).*  $e = \text{false}, \tau = \text{false}, \psi_+ = \text{ff}, \psi_- = \text{tt}, o = \emptyset$

*Subcase (B-Val).*  $v = \text{false}$

Proving part 1 is trivial:  $o$  is  $\emptyset$ . To prove part 2, we note that  $v = \text{false}$  and  $\psi_- = \text{tt}$ , so  $\rho \models \psi_-$  by M-Top. Part 3 holds as  $e$  can only be reduced to itself via B-Val. Part 4 holds vacuously.

*Case (T-Class).*  $e = C, \tau = (\mathbf{Val} C), \psi_+ = \text{tt}, \psi_- = \text{ff}, o = \emptyset$

*Subcase (B-Val).*  $v = C$

Similar to T-True.

*Case (T-Instance).*  $e = C \{\overrightarrow{fld : v}\}, \tau = C, \psi_+ = \text{tt}, \psi_- = \text{ff}, o = \emptyset$

*Subcase (B-Val).*  $v = C \{\overrightarrow{fld_i : v_i}\}$

Similar to T-True.

Part 4 holds by the induction hypothesis on  $\overrightarrow{v_i}$ .

*Case (T-Nil).*  $e = \text{nil}, \tau = \text{nil}, \psi_+ = \text{ff}, \psi_- = \text{tt}, o = \emptyset$ ,

*Subcase (B-Val).*  $v = \text{nil}$

Similar to T-False.

*Case (T-Local).*  $e = x, \psi_+ = (\cup \text{nil false})_x, \psi_- = (\cup \text{nil false})_x, o = x, \Gamma \vdash \tau_x$ ,

*Subcase (B-Local).*  $\rho(x) = v$ ,  $\rho \vdash x \Downarrow v$

Part 1 follows from  $\rho(x) = v$  by B-Local.

Part 2 considers two cases: if  $v$  is not false or nil, then  $\rho \models \overline{(\cup \text{nil false})}_x$  holds by M-NotType; if  $v$  is false or nil, then  $\rho \models \overline{(\cup \text{nil false})}_x$  holds by M-Type.

We prove part 3 by observing  $\Gamma \vdash \tau_x$  and  $\rho \models \Gamma$ , so  $\rho(x) = v$  by B-Local gives us the desired result.

Part 4 holds vacuously.

*Case (T-Do).*  $e = (\text{do } e_1 \ e_2), \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 ; \psi_{1+} | \psi_{1-} ; o_1, \Gamma, \psi_{1+} \vee \psi_{1-} \vdash e_2 : \tau ; \psi_+ | \psi_- ; o,$

*Subcase (B-Do).*  $\rho \vdash e_1 \Downarrow v_1, \rho \vdash e_2 \Downarrow v$

For all parts we note since  $e_1$  can be either a true or false value then  $\rho \models \Gamma, \psi_{1+} \vee \psi_{1-}$  by M-Or, which together with  $\Gamma, \psi_{1+} \vee \psi_{1-} \vdash e_2 : \tau ; \psi_+ | \psi_- ; o$ , and  $\rho \vdash e_2 \Downarrow v$  allows us to apply the induction hypothesis on  $e_2$ .

To prove part 1 we use the induction hypothesis on  $e_2$  to show either  $o = \emptyset$  or  $\rho(o) = v$ , since  $e$  always evaluates to the result of  $e_2$ .

For part 2 we use the induction hypothesis on  $e_2$  to show if  $v \neq \text{false}$  (or nil) then  $\rho \models \psi_+$  or if  $v = \text{false}$  (or nil) then  $\rho \models \psi_-$ .

Parts 3 and 4 follow from the induction hypothesis on  $e_2$ .

*Subcase (BE-Do1).*  $\rho \vdash e_1 \Downarrow \text{err}, \rho \vdash e \Downarrow \text{err}$

Trivially reduces to an error.

*Subcase (BE-Do2).*  $\rho \vdash e_1 \Downarrow v_1, \rho \vdash e_2 \Downarrow \text{err}, \rho \vdash e \Downarrow \text{err}$

As above.

*Case (T-NewStatic).*  $e = (\text{new}_{[\vec{C}_i]} C \ \vec{e}_i), o = \emptyset, \psi_+ = \text{tt}, \psi_- = \text{ff}, \overrightarrow{\text{Convert}(C_i)} = \tau_i, \text{Convert}(C) = \tau, \Gamma \vdash e_i : \tau_i$

*Subcase (B-New).*  $\overrightarrow{\rho \vdash e_i \Downarrow v_i, \text{JVM}_{\text{new}}[C_1, [\vec{C}_i], [\vec{v}_i]]} = v$

Part 1 follows  $o = \emptyset$ .

Part 2 requires some explanation. The two false values in Typed Clojure cannot be constructed with new, so the only case is  $v \neq \text{false}$  (or nil) where  $\psi_+ = \text{tt}$  so  $\rho \models \psi_+$ .

Part 3 holds as B-New reduces to a *non-nilable* instance of  $C$  via  $\text{JVM}_{\text{new}}$  (by assumption A.1), and  $\text{Convert}(C) = \tau$ .

*Subcase (BE-New1).*  $\overrightarrow{\rho \vdash e_{i-1} \Downarrow v_{i-1}, \rho \vdash e_i \Downarrow \text{err}, \rho \vdash e \Downarrow \text{err}}$

Trivially reduces to an error.

*Subcase (BE-New2).*  $\overrightarrow{\rho \vdash e_i \Downarrow v_i, \text{JVM}_{\text{new}}[C_1, [\vec{C}_i], [\vec{v}_i]]} = \text{err}, \rho \vdash e \Downarrow \text{err}$

As above.

*Case (T-FieldStatic).*  $e = (. e_1 \text{fld}_{C_2}^{C_1}), \text{Convert}(C_1) = C, \text{Convert}_{\text{nil}}(C_2) = \tau, \Gamma \vdash e_1 : C$

*Subcase (B-Field).*  $\rho \vdash e_1 \Downarrow C_1 \{ \text{fld} : v \}$

Part 1 is trivial as  $o$  is always  $\emptyset$ . Part 2 holds trivially,  $v$  can be either a true or false value and both  $\psi_+$  and  $\psi_-$  are  $\text{tt}$ . Part 3 relies on the semantics of  $\text{JVM}_{\text{getstatic}}$  (assumption A.2) in B-Field, which returns a *nilable* instance of  $C_2$ , and  $\text{Convert}_{\text{nil}}(C_2) = \tau$ .

*Subcase (BE-Field).*  $\rho \vdash e_1 \Downarrow \text{err}, \rho \vdash e \Downarrow \text{err}$

Trivially reduces to an error.

*Case (T-MethodStatic).*  $e = (. e_m (\text{meth}_{[[\vec{C}_i], C_2]}^{C_1} \vec{e}_a)), \psi_+ = \text{tt}, \psi_- = \text{tt}, o = \emptyset, \overrightarrow{\text{Convert}(C_i)} = \tau_i, \text{Convert}(C_1) = C, \text{Convert}_{\text{nil}}(C_2) = \tau, \Gamma \vdash e_m : C, \overrightarrow{\Gamma \vdash e_a : \tau_a}$

*Subcase (B-Method).*  $\overrightarrow{\rho \vdash e_m \Downarrow v_m, \rho \vdash e_a \Downarrow v_a, \text{JVM}_{\text{invokestatic}}[C_1, v_m, \text{meth}, [\vec{C}_a], [\vec{v}_a], C_2]} = v$

Part 1 is trivial as  $o$  is always  $\emptyset$ . Part 2 holds trivially,  $v$  can be either a true or false value and both  $\psi_+$  and  $\psi_-$  are  $\text{tt}$ . Part 3 relies on the semantics of  $\text{JVM}_{\text{invokestatic}}$  (assumption A.3) in B-Method, which returns a *nilable* instance of  $C_2$ , and  $\text{Convert}_{\text{nil}}(C_2) = \tau$ .

*Subcase (BE-Method1).*  $\rho \vdash e_m \Downarrow \text{err}, \rho \vdash e \Downarrow \text{err}$

Trivially reduces to an error.

*Subcase (BE-Method2).*  $\overrightarrow{\rho \vdash e_m \Downarrow v_m, \rho \vdash e_{n-1} \Downarrow v_{n-1}, \rho \vdash e_n \Downarrow \text{err}, \rho \vdash e \Downarrow \text{err}}$

As above.

*Subcase (BE-Method3).*  $\rho \vdash e_m \Downarrow v_m, \overrightarrow{\rho \vdash e_a \Downarrow v_a}, \text{JVM}_{\text{invokestatic}}[C_1, v_m, mth, [\overrightarrow{C_a}], [\overrightarrow{v_a}], C_2] = \text{err}, \rho \vdash e \Downarrow \text{err}$   
 As above.

*Case (T-DefMulti).*  $e = (\text{defmulti } \sigma \ e_d), \tau = (\mathbf{Multi} \ \sigma \ \tau_d), \psi_+ = \mathbb{t}\mathbb{t}, \psi_- = \mathbb{f}\mathbb{f}, \sigma = x:\tau_1 \xrightarrow[o_1]{\psi_{1+}|\psi_{1-}} \tau_2, \tau_d = x:\tau_1 \xrightarrow[o_2]{\psi_{2+}|\psi_{2-}} \tau_3, \Gamma \vdash e_d : \tau_d$

*Subcase (B-DefMulti).*  $v = [v_d, \{\}]_m, \rho \vdash e_d \Downarrow v_d$

Part 1 and 2 hold for the same reasons as T-True. For part 3 we show  $\vdash [v_d, \{\}]_m : (\mathbf{Multi} \ \sigma \ \tau_d)$  by T-Multi, since  $\vdash v_d : \tau_d$  by the inductive hypothesis on  $e_d$  and  $\{\}$  vacuously satisfies the other premises of T-Multi, so we are done.

*Subcase (BE-DefMulti).*  $\rho \vdash e_d \Downarrow \text{err}, \rho \vdash e \Downarrow \text{err}$

Trivially reduces to an error.

*Case (T-DefMethod).*

1.  $e = (\text{defmethod } e_m \ e_v \ e_f),$
2.  $e_f = \lambda x^{\tau_1}. e_b,$
3.  $\tau = (\mathbf{Multi} \ \tau_m \ \tau_d),$
4.  $\psi_+ = \mathbb{t}\mathbb{t},$
5.  $\psi_- = \mathbb{f}\mathbb{f},$
6.  $o = \emptyset,$
7.  $\tau_m = x:\tau_1 \xrightarrow[o_m]{\psi_{m+}|\psi_{m-}} \sigma,$
8.  $\tau_d = x:\tau_1 \xrightarrow[o_d]{\psi_{d+}|\psi_{d-}} \sigma',$
9.  $\Gamma \vdash e_m : (\mathbf{Multi} \ \tau_m \ \tau_d),$
10.  $\text{IsAProps}(o_d, \tau_v) = \psi_{i+}|\psi_{i-},$
11.  $\Gamma \vdash e_v : \tau_v,$
12.  $\Gamma, \tau_1, \psi_{i+} \vdash e_b : \sigma ; \psi_{m+}|\psi_{m-} ; o_m$

*Subcase (B-DefMethod).*

13.  $v = [v_d, t']_m,$
14.  $\rho \vdash e_m \Downarrow [v_d, t]_m,$
15.  $\rho \vdash e_v \Downarrow v_v,$
16.  $\rho \vdash e_f \Downarrow v_f,$
17.  $t' = t[v_v \mapsto v_f]$

Part 1 and 2 hold for the same reasons as T-True, noting that the propositions and object agree with T-Multi.

For part 3 we show  $\vdash [v_d, t[v_v \mapsto v_f]]_m : (\mathbf{Multi} \ \tau_m \ \tau_d)$  by noting  $\vdash v_d : \tau_d, \vdash v_v : \top$  and  $\vdash v_f : \tau_m$ , and since  $t$  is in the correct form by the inductive hypothesis on  $e_m$  we can satisfy all premises of T-Multi, so we are done.

*Subcase (BE-DefMethod1).*  $\rho \vdash e_m \Downarrow \text{err}, \rho \vdash e \Downarrow \text{err}$

Trivially reduces to an error.

*Subcase (BE-DefMethod2).*  $\rho \vdash e_m \Downarrow [v_d, t]_m, \rho \vdash e_v \Downarrow \text{err}, \rho \vdash e \Downarrow \text{err}$

As above.

*Subcase (BE-DefMethod3).*  $\rho \vdash e_m \Downarrow [v_d, t]_m, \rho \vdash e_v \Downarrow v_v, \rho \vdash e_f \Downarrow \text{err}, \rho \vdash e \Downarrow \text{err}$

As above.

*Case (T-App).*

- $e = (e_1 \ e_2),$
- $\tau = \tau_f[o_2/x],$
- $\psi_+ = \psi_{f+}[o_2/x],$
- $\psi_- = \psi_{f-}[o_2/x],$
- $o = o_f[o_2/x],$
- $\Gamma \vdash e_1 : x:\sigma \xrightarrow[o_f]{\psi_{f+}|\psi_{f-}} \tau_f ; \psi_{1+}|\psi_{1-} ; o_1,$
- $\Gamma \vdash e_2 : \sigma ; \psi_{2+}|\psi_{2-} ; o_2,$

*Subcase (B-BetaClosure).*

- $\rho \vdash e \Downarrow v$ ,
- $\rho \vdash e_1 \Downarrow [\rho_c, \lambda x^\sigma . e_b]_c$ ,
- $\rho \vdash e_2 \Downarrow v_2$ ,
- $\rho_c[x \mapsto v_2] \vdash e_b \Downarrow v$

By inversion on  $e_1$  from T-Clos there is some environment  $\Gamma_c$  such that

- $\rho_c \models \Gamma_c$  and
- $\Gamma_c \vdash \lambda x^\sigma . e_b : x : \sigma \xrightarrow[o_f]{\psi_{f+} | \psi_{f-}} \tau_f ; \psi_{1+} | \psi_{1-} ; o_1$ ,

and also by inversion on  $e_1$  from T-Abs

- $\Gamma_c, \sigma_x \vdash e_b : \tau_f ; \psi_{f+} | \psi_{f-} ; o_f$ .

To prove part 1, we consider cases on the form of  $o_f$ :

- if  $o_f = \emptyset$ , then  $o = \emptyset$  by substitution,
- if  $o_f = \pi_f(x)$ , we consider cases on the form of  $o_2$ :
  - if  $o_2 = \emptyset$ , then  $o = \emptyset$ ,
  - otherwise  $o_2 = \pi_2(y)$ , where, because  $o = \pi_f(x)[o_2/x]$ , by substitution  $o = \pi_f(o_2)$ . To prove  $\rho(o) = v$  we note  $\rho_c[x \mapsto v_2](x) = v_2$  and  $\rho(o_2) = v_2$ , which implies  $\rho_c[x \mapsto v_2](x) = \rho(o_2)$ , and by applying lemma A.5 we can derive  $\rho(\pi_f(o_2)) = \rho_c[x \mapsto v_2](\pi_f(x))$ . By the induction hypothesis on  $e_b$  we know  $\rho_c[x \mapsto v_2](\pi_f(x)) = v$  so we can conclude  $\rho(\pi_f(o_2)) = v$ .
- otherwise  $o_f = \pi_f(y)$  where  $x \neq y$ , and  $o = o_f$  by substitution. We note  $[\rho_c, \lambda x^\sigma . e_b]_c$  is consistent with  $\rho$  by the induction hypothesis on  $e_1$ , so  $\rho_c(y) = \rho(y)$ , and because  $x \neq y$  we also know  $\rho_c[x \mapsto v_2](y) = \rho(y)$ . We apply lemma A.5 with this fact to derive  $\rho_c[x \mapsto v_2](\pi_f(y)) = \rho(\pi_f(y))$ , and since  $\rho_c[x \mapsto v_2](\pi_f(y)) = v$  by the induction hypothesis on  $e_b$  we can conclude  $\rho(\pi_f(o_2)) = v$ .

To prove part 2, we consider both cases:

- if  $v \neq \text{false}$  (or nil) then  $\rho \models \psi_+$ .  
 By the induction hypothesis on  $e_b$  we know  $\rho_c[x \mapsto v_2] \models \psi_{f+}$ .  
 By the induction hypothesis on  $e_1$  we know  $[\rho_c, \lambda x^\sigma . e_b]_c$  is consistent with  $\rho$ , so we know  $\forall v \in \text{fv}(\psi_+) - x, \rho_c(v) = \rho(v)$ .  
 By the induction hypothesis on  $e_2$  we know  $\rho(o_2) = v_2$  and since  $\rho_c[x \mapsto v_2](x) = v_2$  then  $\rho_c[x \mapsto v_2](x) = \rho(o_2)$ .  
 Then with the premises
  1.  $\psi_+ = \psi_{f+}[o_2/x]$ ,
  2.  $\rho_c[x \mapsto v_2] \models \psi_{f+}$ ,
  3.  $\forall v \in \text{fv}(\psi_+) - x. \rho_c[x \mapsto v_2](v) = \rho(v)$ ,
  4. and  $\rho_c[x \mapsto v_2](x) = \rho(o_2)$
 we can apply lemma A.2, to derive  $\rho \models \psi_+$  and we are done.
- if  $v = \text{false}$  (or nil) then  $\rho \models \psi_-$ .  
 Like above, but using  $\psi_-$  for  $\psi_+$  and  $\psi_{f-}$  for  $\psi_{f+}$ .

For part 3

- $\rho \vdash e \Downarrow v$ ,
- $\rho_c[x \mapsto v_2] \vdash e_b \Downarrow v$ ,
- $\tau = \tau_f[o_2/x]$ ,

*Subcase (B-BetaMulti).*

1.  $\rho \vdash e_1 \Downarrow [v_d, m]_m$ ,
2.  $\rho \vdash e_2 \Downarrow v_2$ ,
3.  $\rho \vdash (v_d v_2) \Downarrow v_e$ ,
4.  $\text{GM}(t, v_e) = v_g$ ,
5.  $\rho \vdash (v_g v_2) \Downarrow v$ ,
6.  $t = \{v_k \mapsto v_v\}$

By inversion on  $e_1$  via T-Multi we know

7.  $\Gamma \vdash e_1 : (\mathbf{Multi} \sigma_t \sigma_d) ; \psi_{1+} | \psi_{1-} ; o_1$ ,
8.  $\sigma_t = x : \sigma \xrightarrow[o_f]{\psi_{f+} | \psi_{f-}} \tau_f$ ,
9.  $\sigma_d = x : \sigma \xrightarrow[o_d]{\psi_{d+} | \psi_{d-}} \tau_d$ ,
10.  $\vdash v_d : \sigma_d ; \psi_{3+} | \psi_{3-} ; o_3$ ,
11.  $\overline{\vdash v_k : \tau_t}$ , and
12.  $\overline{\vdash v_v : \sigma_t}$ .

By the inductive hypothesis on  $e_2$  we know

13.  $\Gamma \vdash v_2 : \sigma$ , and
  14.  $o_2 = \emptyset$  or  $\rho(o_2) = v_2$ .
- We know by the definition of GM that
15.  $\{v_v | (v_k, v_v) \in t \text{ and } \text{lsA}(v_k, v_e) = \text{true}\} = (v_l, v_g)$ , so
  16.  $\text{lsA}(v_l, v_e) = \text{true}$   
and because  $v_g \in \{\vec{v_v}\}$  that
  17.  $\vdash v_g : \sigma_t$ .
- For part 1, we note
18.  $o = o_f[o_2/x]$ ,

*Subcase (B-Delta).*  $\rho \vdash e_1 \Downarrow c, \rho \vdash e_2 \Downarrow v_2, \delta(c, v_2) = v$   
Prove by cases on  $c$ .

$$\text{Subcase } (c = \text{class}). \quad x:\sigma \xrightarrow[o_f]{\psi_f+|\psi_f-} \tau_f = x:\top \xrightarrow[\text{class}(x)]{\text{tt}|\text{tt}} (\bigcup \text{nil } \mathbf{Class})$$

Prove by cases on  $v_2$ .

*Subcase*  $(v_2 = C \{\overrightarrow{fld_i : v_i}\})$ .  $v = C$

To prove part 1, note  $o = o_f[o_2/x]$  and  $o_f = \mathbf{class}(x)$ . There are two cases defined by substitution: if  $o_2 = \emptyset$  then  $o = \emptyset$  and we are done, or if  $o_2 = \pi(x')$  then  $o = \mathbf{class}(o_2)$ , by the induction hypothesis on  $e_2$   $\rho(o_2) = v_2$  and by the definition of path translation  $\rho(\mathbf{class}(o_2)) = (\text{class } \rho(o_2))$ , which evaluates to  $v$ .

Part 2 is trivial since both propositions are  $\text{tt}$  by substitution.

Part 3 holds because  $\vdash v_2 : \mathbf{Class}$ .

*Subcase*  $(v_2 = C)$ .  $v = \mathbf{Class}$

As above.

*Subcase*  $(v_2 = \text{true})$ .  $v = \mathbf{B}$

As above.

*Subcase*  $(v_2 = \text{false})$ .  $v = \mathbf{B}$

As above.

*Subcase*  $(v_2 = [\rho, \lambda x^\tau. e]_c)$ .  $v = \mathbf{Fn}$

As above.

*Subcase*  $(v_2 = [v_d, t]_m)$ .  $v = \mathbf{Map}$

As above.

*Subcase*  $(v_2 = \{\overrightarrow{v_1 \mapsto v_2}\})$ .  $v = \mathbf{K}$

As above.

*Subcase*  $(v_2 = \text{nil})$ .  $v = \text{nil}$

Parts 1 and 2 as above. Part 3 holds because  $\vdash v_2 : \mathbf{nil}$ .

*Subcase (BE-Beta1).*

*Subcase (BE-Beta2).*

*Subcase (BE-BetaClosure).*

*Subcase (BE-BetaMulti1).*

*Subcase (BE-BetaMulti2).*

*Case (T-IsA).*  $e = (\text{isa? } e_1 e_2), \tau = \mathbf{B}, \Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 ; \psi_{1+} | \psi_{1-} ; o_1, \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_2 ; \psi_{2+} | \psi_{2-} ; o_2, \text{lsAProps}(o_1, \tau_2) = \psi_+ | \psi_-, o = \emptyset$

*Subcase (B-IsA).*  $\rho \vdash e_1 \Downarrow v_1, \rho \vdash e_2 \Downarrow v_2, \text{lsA}(v_1, v_2) = v$

Part 1 holds trivially with  $o = \emptyset$ .

For part 2, we must prove, where  $\text{IsA}(v_1, v_2) = v$ , and  $\text{IsAProps}(o_1, \tau_2) = \psi_+ | \psi_-$  that if  $\text{TrueVal}(v)$  then  $\rho \models \psi_+$  or if  $\text{FalseVal}(v)$  then  $\rho \models \psi_-$ .

Prove by cases on the definition of  $\text{IsA}$ :

*Subcase* ( $\text{IsA}(v, v) = \text{true}$ , if  $v \neq C$ ).

$v_1 = v_2, v_1 \neq C, v_2 \neq C, \text{TrueVal}(v)$

Since  $\text{TrueVal}(v)$  we prove  $\rho \models \psi_+$  by cases on the definition of  $\text{IsAProps}$ :

*Subcase* ( $\text{IsAProps}(\text{class}(\pi(x)), (\text{Val } C)) = C_{\pi(x)} | \overline{C}_{\pi(x)}$ ).

$o_1 = \text{class}(\pi(x)), \tau_2 = (\text{Val } C), \psi_+ = C_{\pi(x)}$

Unreachable by inversion on the typing relation, since  $\tau_2 = (\text{Val } C)$ , yet  $v_2 \neq C$ .

*Subcase* ( $\text{IsAProps}(o, (\text{Val } s)) = ((\text{Val } s)_x | \overline{(\text{Val } s)}_x)[o/x]$  if  $s \neq C$ ).

$\tau_2 = (\text{Val } s), s \neq C, \psi_+ = (\text{Val } s)_x[o_1/x]$

Since  $\tau_2 = (\text{Val } s)$  where  $s \neq C$ , by inversion on the typing judgement  $v_2$  is either true, false, nil or  $k$  by T-True, T-False, T-Nil or T-Kw.

Since  $v_1 = v_2$  then  $\tau_1 = \tau_2$ , and since  $\tau_2 = (\text{Val } s)$  then  $\tau_1 = (\text{Val } s)$ , so  $\vdash v_1 : (\text{Val } s)$

If  $o_1 = \emptyset$  then  $\psi_+ = \text{tt}$  and we derive  $\rho \models \text{tt}$  with M-Top.

Otherwise  $o_1 = \pi(x)$  and  $\psi_+ = (\text{Val } s)_{\pi(x)}$ , and since  $\vdash v_1 : (\text{Val } s)$  then  $\vdash \rho(\pi(x)) : (\text{Val } s)$ , which we can use M-Type to derive  $\rho \models (\text{Val } s)_{\pi(x)}$ .

*Subcase* ( $\text{IsAProps}(o, \tau) = \text{tt} | \text{tt}$ ).

$\psi_+ = \text{tt}$

$\rho \models \text{tt}$  holds by M-Top.

*Subcase* ( $\text{IsA}(C_1, C_2) = \text{true}$ , if  $\vdash C_1 < C_2$ ).

$v_1 = C_1, v_2 = C_2, \vdash C_1 < C_2, \text{TrueVal}(v)$

Since  $\text{TrueVal}(v)$  we prove  $\rho \models \psi_+$  by cases on the definition of  $\text{IsAProps}$ :

*Subcase* ( $\text{IsAProps}(\text{class}(\pi(x)), (\text{Val } C)) = C_{\pi(x)} | \overline{C}_{\pi(x)}$ ).

$o_1 = \text{class}(\pi(x)), \tau_2 = (\text{Val } C_2), \psi_+ = C_{2\pi(x)}$

By inversion on the typing relation, since  $\text{class}$  is the last path element of  $o_1$  then  $\rho \vdash (\text{class } \rho(\pi(x))) \Downarrow v_1$ .

Since  $\rho \vdash (\text{class } \rho(\pi(x))) \Downarrow C_1$ , as  $v_1 = C_1$ , we can derive from lemma A.6  $\rho \models C_{1\pi(x)}$ .

By the induction hypothesis we can derive  $\Gamma \vdash C_{1\pi(x)}$ , and with the fact  $\vdash C_1 < C_2$  we can use L-Sub to conclude  $\Gamma \vdash C_{2\pi(x)}$ , and finally by lemma A.3 we derive  $\rho \models C_{2\pi(x)}$ .

*Subcase* ( $\text{IsAProps}(o, (\text{Val } s)) = ((\text{Val } s)_x | \overline{(\text{Val } s)}_x)[o/x]$  if  $s \neq C$ ).

$\tau_2 = (\text{Val } s), s \neq C, \psi_+ = (\text{Val } s)_x[o_1/x]$

Unreachable case since  $\tau_2 = (\text{Val } s)$  where  $s \neq C$ , but  $v_2 = C_2$ .

*Subcase* ( $\text{IsAProps}(o, \tau) = \text{tt} | \text{tt}$ ).

$\psi_+ = \text{tt}$

$\rho \models \text{tt}$  holds by M-Top.

*Subcase* ( $\text{IsA}(v_1, v_2) = \text{false}$ , otherwise).

$v_1 \neq v_2, \text{FalseVal}(v)$

Since  $\text{FalseVal}(v)$  we prove  $\rho \models \psi_-$  by cases on the definition of  $\text{IsAProps}$ :

*Subcase* ( $\text{IsAProps}(\text{class}(\pi(x)), (\text{Val } C)) = C_{\pi(x)} | \overline{C}_{\pi(x)}$ ).

$o_1 = \text{class}(\pi(x)), \tau_2 = (\text{Val } C), \psi_- = \overline{C}_{\pi(x)}$

By inversion on the typing relation, since  $\text{class}$  is the last path element of  $o_1$  then  $\rho \vdash (\text{class } \rho(\pi(x))) \Downarrow v_1$ .

By the definition of  $\text{class}$  either  $v_1 = C$  or  $v_1 = \text{nil}$ .

If  $v_1 = \text{nil}$ , then we know from the definition of  $\text{IsA}$  that  $\rho(\pi(x)) = \text{nil}$ .

Since  $\vdash \rho(\pi(x)) : \text{nil}$ , and there is no  $v_1$  such that both  $\vdash \rho(\pi(x)) : C$  and  $\vdash \rho(\pi(x)) : \text{nil}$ , we use M-NotType to derive  $\rho \models \overline{C}_{\pi(x)}$ .

Similarly if  $v_1 = C_1$ , by the definition of  $\text{IsAProps}$  we know  $\vdash C_1 \not< C$  and  $\rho(\pi(x)) = C_1$ .

Since  $\vdash \rho(\pi(x)) : C_1$ , and there is no  $v_1$  such that both  $\vdash v_1 : C$  and  $\vdash v_1 : C_1$ , we use M-NotType to derive  $\rho \models \overline{C}_{\pi(x)}$ .

*Subcase* ( $\text{IsAProps}(o, (\text{Val } s)) = ((\text{Val } s)_x | \overline{(\text{Val } s)}_x)[o/x]$  if  $s \neq C$ ).

$\tau_2 = (\text{Val } s), s \neq C, \psi_- = \overline{(\text{Val } s)}_x[o_1/x]$

Since  $\tau_2 = (\text{Val } s)$  where  $s \neq C$ , by inversion on the typing judgement  $v_2$  is either true, false, nil or  $k$  by T-True, T-False, T-Nil or T-Kw.

If  $o_1 = \emptyset$  then  $\psi_- = \text{tt}$  and we derive  $\rho \models \text{tt}$  with M-Top.

Otherwise  $o_1 = \pi(x)$  and  $\psi_- = (\mathbf{Val} s)_{\pi(x)}$ . Noting that  $v_1 \neq v_2$ , we know  $\vdash \rho(\pi(x)) : \sigma$  where  $\sigma \neq (\mathbf{Val} s)$ , and there is no  $v_1$  such that both  $\vdash v_1 : (\mathbf{Val} s)$  and  $\vdash v_1 : \sigma$  so we can use M-NotType to derive  $\rho \models (\mathbf{Val} s)_{\pi(x)}$ .

*Subcase (IsAProps( $o, \tau$ ) =  $\text{tt}|\text{tt}$ ).*

$\psi_- = \text{tt}$

$\rho \models \text{tt}$  holds by M-Top.

Part 3 holds because by the definition of IsA  $v$  can only be true or false, and since  $\Gamma \vdash \text{true} : \tau$  and  $\Gamma \vdash \text{false} : \tau$  we are done.

*Subcase (BE-IsA1).*  $\rho \vdash e_1 \Downarrow \text{err}$

Trivially reduces to an error.

*Subcase (BE-IsA2).*  $\rho \vdash e_1 \Downarrow v_1, \rho \vdash e_2 \Downarrow \text{err}$

Trivially reduces to an error.

*Case (T-GetHMap).*  $e = (\text{get } e_m \ e_k), \tau = (\bigcup \overrightarrow{\tau_i}) \ \psi_+ = \text{tt}, \psi_- = \text{tt}, o = \mathbf{key}_k(x)[o_m/x], \Gamma \vdash e_k : (\mathbf{Val} k), \Gamma \vdash e_m : (\bigcup (\mathbf{HMap}^\varepsilon \mathcal{M} \ \mathcal{A})) ; \psi_{m+}|\psi_{m-} ; o_m, \overrightarrow{\mathcal{M}[k]} = \tau_i$

*Subcase (B-Get).*  $\rho \vdash e_m \Downarrow v_m, v_m = \{\overrightarrow{(v_a \ v_b)}\}, \rho \vdash e_k \Downarrow k, k \in \text{dom}(\{\overrightarrow{(v_a \ v_b)}\}), \{\overrightarrow{(v_a \ v_b)}\}[k] = v$

To prove part 1 we consider two cases on the form of  $o_m$ :

- if  $o_m = \emptyset$  then  $o = \emptyset$  by substitution, which gives the desired result;
- if  $o_m = \pi_m(x_m)$  then  $o = \mathbf{key}_k(o_m)$  by substitution. We note by the definition of path translation  $\rho(\mathbf{key}_k(o_m)) = (\text{get } \rho(o_m) \ k)$  and by the induction hypothesis on  $e_m$   $\rho(o_m) = \{\overrightarrow{(v_a \ v_b)}\}$ , which together imply  $\rho(o) = (\text{get } \{\overrightarrow{(v_a \ v_b)}\} \ k)$ . Since this is the same form as B-Get, we can apply the premise  $\{\overrightarrow{(v_a \ v_b)}\}[k] = v$  to derive  $\rho(o) = v$ .

Part 2 holds trivially as  $\psi_+ = \text{tt}$  and  $\psi_- = \text{tt}$ .

To prove part 3 we note that (by the induction hypothesis on  $e_m$ )  $\vdash v_m : (\bigcup (\mathbf{HMap}^\varepsilon \mathcal{M} \ \mathcal{A}))$ , where  $\overrightarrow{\mathcal{M}[k]} = \tau_i$ , and both  $k \in \text{dom}(\{\overrightarrow{(v_a \ v_b)}\})$  and  $\{\overrightarrow{(v_a \ v_b)}\}[k] = v$  imply  $\vdash v : (\bigcup \overrightarrow{\tau_i})$ .

*Subcase (B-GetMissing).*  $v = \text{nil}, \rho \vdash e_m \Downarrow \{\overrightarrow{(v_a \ v_b)}\}, \rho \vdash e_k \Downarrow k, k \notin \text{dom}(\{\overrightarrow{(v_a \ v_b)}\})$

Unreachable subcase because  $k \notin \text{dom}(\{\overrightarrow{(v_a \ v_b)}\})$  contradicts  $\mathcal{M}[k] = \tau$ .

*Subcase (BE-Get1).*

*Subcase (BE-Get2).*

*Case (T-GetHMapAbsent).*  $e = (\text{get } e_m \ e_k), \tau = \mathbf{nil}, \psi_+ = \text{tt}, \psi_- = \text{tt}, o = \mathbf{key}_k(x)[o_m/x], \Gamma \vdash e_k : (\mathbf{Val} k), \Gamma \vdash e_m : (\mathbf{HMap}^\varepsilon \mathcal{M} \ \mathcal{A}) ; \psi_{m+}|\psi_{m-} ; o_m, k \in \mathcal{A}$

*Subcase (B-Get).*  $\rho \vdash e_m \Downarrow \{\overrightarrow{(v_a \ v_b)}\}, \rho \vdash e_k \Downarrow k, k \in \text{dom}(\{\overrightarrow{(v_a \ v_b)}\}), \{\overrightarrow{(v_a \ v_b)}\}[k] = v$

Unreachable subcase because  $k \in \text{dom}(\{\overrightarrow{(v_a \ v_b)}\})$ , contradicts  $k \in \mathcal{A}$ .

*Subcase (B-GetMissing).*  $v = \text{nil}, \rho \vdash e_m \Downarrow \{\overrightarrow{(v_a \ v_b)}\}, \rho \vdash e_k \Downarrow k, k \notin \text{dom}(\{\overrightarrow{(v_a \ v_b)}\})$

To prove part 1 we consider two cases on the form of  $o_m$ :

- if  $o_m = \emptyset$  then  $o = \emptyset$  by substitution, which gives the desired result;
- if  $o_m = \pi_m(x_m)$  then  $o = \mathbf{key}_k(o_m)$  by substitution. We note by the definition of path translation  $\rho(\mathbf{key}_k(o_m)) = (\text{get } \rho(o_m) \ k)$  and by the induction hypothesis on  $e_m$   $\rho(o_m) = \{\overrightarrow{(v_a \ v_b)}\}$ , which together imply  $\rho(o) = (\text{get } \{\overrightarrow{(v_a \ v_b)}\} \ k)$ . Since this is the same form as B-GetMissing, we can apply the premise  $v = \text{nil}$  to derive  $\rho(o) = v$ .

Part 2 holds trivially as  $\psi_+ = \text{tt}$  and  $\psi_- = \text{tt}$ . To prove part 3 we note that  $e_m$  has type  $(\mathbf{HMap}^\varepsilon \mathcal{M} \ \mathcal{A})$  where  $k \in \mathcal{A}$ , and the premises of B-GetMissing  $k \notin \text{dom}(\{\overrightarrow{(v_a \ v_b)}\})$  and  $v = \text{nil}$  tell us  $v$  must be of type  $\tau$ .

*Subcase (BE-Get1).*

*Subcase (BE-Get2).*



Case (T-GetHMapPartialDefault).  $e = (\text{get } e_m \ e_k)$ ,  $\tau = \top$ ,  $\psi_+ = \text{tt}$ ,  $\psi_- = \text{tt}$ ,  $o = \text{key}_k(x)[o_m/x]$ ,  $\Gamma \vdash e_k : (\mathbf{Val} \ k)$ ,  $\Gamma \vdash e_m : (\mathbf{HMap}^P \mathcal{M} \ \mathcal{A})$ ;  $\psi_{m+} | \psi_{m-}$ ;  $o_m, k \notin \text{dom}(\mathcal{M})$ ,  $k \notin \mathcal{A}$

Subcase (B-Get).  $\rho \vdash e_m \Downarrow \{\overrightarrow{(v_a \ v_b)}\}$ ,  $\rho \vdash e_k \Downarrow k$ ,  $k \in \text{dom}(\{\overrightarrow{(v_a \ v_b)}\})$ ,  $\{\overrightarrow{(v_a \ v_b)}\}[k] = v$   
Parts 1 and 2 are the same as the B-Get subcase of T-GetHMap. Part 3 is trivial as  $\tau = \top$ .

Subcase (B-GetMissing).  $v = \text{nil}$ ,  $\rho \vdash e_m \Downarrow \{\overrightarrow{(v_a \ v_b)}\}$ ,  $\rho \vdash e_k \Downarrow k$ ,  $k \notin \text{dom}(\{\overrightarrow{(v_a \ v_b)}\})$   
Parts 1 and 2 are the same as the B-GetMissing subcase of T-GetHMapAbsent. Part 3 is trivial as  $\tau = \top$ .

Subcase (BE-Get1).

Subcase (BE-Get2).

Case (T-AssocHMap).  $e = (\text{assoc } e_m \ e_k \ e_v)$ ,  $\tau = (\mathbf{HMap}^E \mathcal{M}[k \mapsto \tau] \ \mathcal{A})$ ,  $\psi_+ = \text{tt}$ ,  $\psi_- = \text{ff}$ ,  $o = \emptyset$ ,  $\Gamma \vdash e_m : (\mathbf{HMap}^E \mathcal{M} \ \mathcal{A})$ ,  $\Gamma \vdash e_k : (\mathbf{Val} \ k)$ ,  $\Gamma \vdash e_v : \tau$ ,  $k \notin \mathcal{A}$

Subcase (B-Assoc).  $v = \{\overrightarrow{(v_a \ v_b)}\}[k \mapsto v_v]$ ,  $\rho \vdash e_m \Downarrow \{\overrightarrow{(v_a \ v_b)}\}$ ,  $\rho \vdash e_k \Downarrow k$ ,  $\rho \vdash e_v \Downarrow v_v$   
Parts 1 and 2 hold for the same reasons as T-True.

Subcase (BE-Assoc1).

Subcase (BE-Assoc2).

Subcase (BE-Assoc3).

Case (T-If).  $e = (\text{if } e_1 \ e_2 \ e_3)$ ,  $\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1$ ;  $\psi_{1+} | \psi_{1-}$ ;  $o_1$ ,  $\Gamma, \psi_{1+} \vdash e_2 : \tau$ ;  $\psi_{2+} | \psi_{2-}$ ;  $o$ ,  $\Gamma, \psi_{1-} \vdash e_3 : \tau$ ;  $\psi_{3+} | \psi_{3-}$ ;  $o$ ,  $\psi_+ = \psi_{2+} \vee \psi_{3+}$ ,  $\psi_- = \psi_{2-} \vee \psi_{3-}$

Subcase (B-IfTrue).  $\rho \vdash e_1 \Downarrow v_1$ ,  $v_1 \neq \text{false}$ ,  $v_1 \neq \text{nil}$ ,  $\rho \vdash e_2 \Downarrow v$   
For part 1, either  $o = \emptyset$ , or  $e$  evaluates to the result of  $e_2$ .

To prove part 2, we consider two cases:

- if  $v = \text{false}$  (or  $\text{nil}$ ) then  $e_2$  evaluates to a false value so  $\rho \models \psi_{2-}$ , and thus  $\rho \models \psi_{2-} \vee \psi_{3-}$  by M-Or,
- otherwise  $v \neq \text{false}$  and  $v \neq \text{nil}$ , so  $e_2$  evaluates to a true value so  $\rho \models \psi_{2+}$ , and thus  $\rho \models \psi_{2+} \vee \psi_{3+}$  by M-Or.

Part 3 is trivial as  $\rho \vdash e_2 \Downarrow v$  and  $\vdash v : \tau$  by the induction hypothesis on  $e_2$ .

Subcase (B-IfFalse).  $\rho \vdash e_1 \Downarrow \text{false}$  or  $\rho \vdash e_1 \Downarrow \text{nil}$ ,  $\rho \vdash e_3 \Downarrow v$

For part 1, either  $o = \emptyset$ , or  $e$  evaluates to the result of  $e_3$ .

To prove part 2, we consider two cases:

- if  $v = \text{false}$  (or  $\text{nil}$ ) then  $e_3$  evaluates to a false value so  $\rho \models \psi_{3-}$ , and thus  $\rho \models \psi_{2-} \vee \psi_{3-}$  by M-Or,
- otherwise  $v \neq \text{false}$  and  $v \neq \text{nil}$ , so  $e_3$  evaluates to a true value so  $\rho \models \psi_{3+}$ , and thus  $\rho \models \psi_{2+} \vee \psi_{3+}$  by M-Or.

Part 3 is trivial as  $\rho \vdash e_3 \Downarrow v$  and  $\vdash v : \tau$  by the induction hypothesis on  $e_3$ .

Subcase (BE-If).

Case (T-Let).  $e = (\text{let } [x \ e_1] \ e_2)$ ,  $\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \sigma$ ;  $\psi_{1+} | \psi_{1-}$ ;  $o_1$ ,  $\psi' = \overline{(\cup \text{nil false})}_x \supset \psi_{1+}$ ,  $\psi'' = (\cup \text{nil false})_x \supset \psi_{1-}$ ,  $\Gamma, \sigma_x, \psi', \psi'' \vdash e_2 : \tau$ ;  $\psi_+ | \psi_-$ ;  $o$

Subcase (B-Let).  $\rho \vdash e_1 \Downarrow v_1$ ,  $\rho[x \mapsto v_1] \vdash e_2 \Downarrow v$

For all the following cases (with a reminder that  $x$  is fresh) we apply the induction hypothesis on  $e_2$ . We justify this by noting that occurrences of  $x$  inside  $e_2$  have the same type as  $e_1$  and simulate the propositions of  $e_1$  because  $\rho \vdash e_1 \Downarrow v_1$ , and  $\rho[x \mapsto v_1] \vdash e_2 \Downarrow v$ , so  $\rho \models \Gamma, \sigma_x, \psi', \psi''$ , by M-And.

We prove parts 1, 2 and 3 by directly using the induction hypothesis on  $e_2$ .

Subcase (BE-Let).

Case (T-Clos).  $e = [\rho, \lambda x^\sigma. e_1]_c$ ,  $\psi_+ \exists \Gamma'. \rho \models \Gamma'$  and  $\Gamma' \vdash \lambda x^\sigma. e_1 : \tau$ ;  $\psi_+ | \psi_-$ ;  $o$

*Subcase (B-Abs).*  $v = [\rho, \lambda x^\sigma . e_1]_c$

We assume some  $\Gamma'$ , such that

- $\rho \models \Gamma'$
- $\Gamma' \vdash \lambda x^\sigma . e_1 : \tau ; \psi_+ | \psi_- ; o$ .

Note the last rule in the derivation of  $\Gamma' \vdash \lambda x^\sigma . e_1 : \tau ; \psi_+ | \psi_- ; o$  must be T-Abs, so  $\psi_+ = \mathbb{tt}$ ,  $\psi_- = \mathbb{ff}$  and  $o = \emptyset$ . Thus parts 1 and 2 hold for the same reasons as T-True. Part 3 holds as  $v$  has the same type as  $\lambda x^\sigma . e_1$  under  $\Gamma'$ .

*Case (T-Multi).*  $e = [v_1, \{\overrightarrow{v_k \mapsto v_v}\}]_m$ ,  $\tau = (\mathbf{Multi} \ \sigma \ \tau_1)$ ,  $\psi_+ = \mathbb{tt}$ ,  $\psi_- = \mathbb{ff}$ ,  $o = \emptyset$ ,  $\vdash v_1 : \tau_1, \overrightarrow{\vdash v_k : \tau}, \overrightarrow{\vdash v_v : \sigma}$

*Subcase (B-Val).*  $v = [v_1, \{\overrightarrow{v_k \mapsto v_v}\}]_m$

Similar to T-True.

*Case (T-Abs).*  $e = \lambda x^\sigma . e_1$ ,  $\tau = x : \sigma \xrightarrow{o_1} \tau_1$ ,  $\psi_+ = \mathbb{tt}$ ,  $\psi_- = \mathbb{ff}$ ,  $o = \emptyset$ ,  $\Gamma, \sigma_x \vdash e_1 : \tau ; \psi_{1+} | \psi_{1-} ; o_1$ ,  $\Gamma \vdash \lambda x^\sigma . e_1 : \tau ; \psi_+ | \psi_- ; o$

*Subcase (B-Abs).*  $v = [\rho, \lambda x^\sigma . e_1]_c$ ,  $\rho \vdash \lambda x^\tau . e_1 \Downarrow [\rho, \lambda x^\sigma . e_1]_c$

Parts 1 and 2 hold for the same reasons as T-True. Part 3 holds directly via T-Clos, since  $v$  must be a closure.

*Case (T-Error).*  $e = \text{err}$ ,  $\tau = \perp$ ,  $\psi_+ = \mathbb{ff}$ ,  $\psi_- = \mathbb{ff}$ ,  $o = \emptyset$

*Subcase (BE-Error).*  $\rho \vdash e \Downarrow \text{err}$

Trivially reduces to an error.

*Case (T-Subsume).*  $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau' ; \psi'_+ | \psi'_- ; o'$ ,  $\Gamma, \psi'_+ \vdash \psi_+$ ,  $\Gamma, \psi'_- \vdash \psi_-$ ,  $\vdash \tau' <: \tau$ ,  $\vdash o' <: o$

Part 1 holds because  $o'$  is the object of  $e$  and  $\vdash o' <: o$ . Part 2 holds because the then and else propositions of  $e$  are  $\psi'_+$  and  $\psi'_-$  respectively, so  $\psi_+$  and  $\psi_-$  are also respectively as  $\Gamma, \psi'_+ \vdash \psi_+$  and  $\Gamma, \psi'_- \vdash \psi_-$ . Part 3 holds because  $e$  is of type  $\tau'$  and  $\vdash \tau' <: \tau$ .

*Case (T-Const).*  $e = c$ ,  $\tau = \delta_\tau(c)$ ,  $\psi_+ = \mathbb{tt}$ ,  $\psi_- = \mathbb{ff}$ ,  $o = \emptyset$

*Subcase (B-Val).* Parts 1, 2 and 3 hold for the same reasons as T-True.

□

**Theorem A.1** (Well-typed programs don't go wrong). *If  $\vdash e : \tau ; \psi_+ | \psi_- ; o$  then  $\nvdash e \Downarrow \text{wrong}$ .*

*Proof.* Corollary of lemma A.7, since by lemma A.7 when  $\vdash e : \tau ; \psi_+ | \psi_- ; o$ , either  $\vdash e \Downarrow v$  or  $\vdash e \Downarrow \text{err}$ , therefore  $\nvdash e \Downarrow \text{wrong}$ .

□

**Theorem A.2** (Type soundness). *If  $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau ; \psi_+ | \psi_- ; o$  and  $\rho \vdash e \Downarrow v$  then  $\vdash v : \tau ; \psi'_+ | \psi'_- ; o'$  for some  $\psi'_+$ ,  $\psi'_-$  and  $o'$*

*Proof.* Corollary of lemma A.7.

□

**Theorem A.3** (nil cannot be accidentally introduced). *If  $\Gamma \vdash v : \tau ; \psi_+ | \psi_- ; o$  and  $\vdash \text{nil} \not<: \tau$  then  $v \neq \text{nil}$ .*

*Proof.* Corollary of lemma A.7.

□

**Lemma A.8** (Field lookup never fails). *Proof.* Corollary of lemma A.7.

□

**Theorem A.4** (Field lookup on nil is disallowed). *Proof.* Corollary of lemma A.8.

□

**Theorem A.5** (Method invocation on nil is disallowed). *Proof.* Corollary of lemma A.7.

□

**Theorem A.6** (nil invocation is disallowed in typed code). *If  $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau ; \psi_+ | \psi_- ; o$  then  $e$  is not  $(\text{nil } e')$ ,  $(. \text{nil } fld)$ , or  $(. \text{nil } (mth \ \overrightarrow{e}))$ .*

*Proof.* Corollary of lemma A.7.

□

$d, e ::= x \mid v \mid (e \ e) \mid \lambda x^\tau. e \mid (\text{if } e \ e \ e) \mid (\text{do } e \ e) \mid (\text{let } [x \ e] \ e) \mid \beta \mid R \mid E \mid M \mid H \mid G$	Expressions
$v ::= s \mid \overrightarrow{I} \mid \{\} \mid c \mid n \mid m \mid [\rho, \lambda x^\tau. e]_c \mid [v, t]_m$	Values
$m ::= \{v \mapsto \vec{v}\}$	Map Values
$c ::= \text{class} \mid \text{inc} \mid \text{number?}$	Constants
$H ::= \hat{C} \ x \mid (\text{let } [\hat{C} \ x \ e] \ e)$	Type Hinted Expressions
$G ::= (\text{get } e \ e) \mid (\text{assoc } e \ e \ e)$	Hash Maps
$E ::= (. \ e \ fld_C^C) \mid (. \ e \ (mth_{[\vec{C}], C}^C \ \vec{e})) \mid (\text{new}_{[\vec{C}]} \ C \ \vec{e})$	Non-Reflective Java Interop
$R ::= (. \ e \ fld) \mid (. \ e \ (mth_{\vec{e}} \ \vec{e})) \mid (\text{new } C \ \vec{e})$	Reflective Java Interop
$M ::= (\text{defmulti } \tau \ e) \mid (\text{defmethod } e \ e \ e) \mid (\text{isa? } e \ e)$	Immutable First-Class Multimethods
$\sigma, \tau ::= \top \mid C \mid (\mathbf{Val} \ s) \mid (\bigcup \ \vec{\tau}) \mid x:\tau \xrightarrow[o]{\psi \psi} \tau \mid (\mathbf{HMap}^\mathcal{E} \ \mathcal{M} \ \mathcal{A}) \mid (\mathbf{Multi} \ \tau \ \tau)$	Typed Clojure Types
$\mathcal{M} ::= \{\overrightarrow{k \mapsto \tau}\}$	HMap mandatory entries
$\mathcal{A} ::= \{\overrightarrow{k}\}$	HMap absent entries
$\mathcal{E} ::= \mathcal{C} \mid \mathcal{P}$	HMap completeness tags
$s ::= k \mid C \mid \text{nil} \mid b$	Value types
$b ::= \text{true} \mid \text{false}$	Boolean values
$\rho ::= \{x \mapsto \vec{v}\}$	Value environments
$\gamma ::= ? \mid C$	Type Hints
$\psi ::= \tau_{\pi(x)} \mid \bar{\tau}_{\pi(x)} \mid \psi \supset \psi$ $\mid \psi \wedge \psi \mid \psi \vee \psi \mid \text{tt} \mid \text{ff}$	Propositions
$o ::= \pi(x) \mid \emptyset$	Objects
$\pi ::= p_{\vec{e}}$	Paths
$pe ::= \text{class} \mid \text{key}_k$	Path Elements
$\Gamma ::= \overrightarrow{\psi}$	Proposition Environment
$t ::= \{v \mapsto \vec{v}\}$	Multimethod dispatch table
$\Sigma ::= \{x:\vec{\gamma}\}$	Type Hint Environment
$ce ::= \{\text{mths} \mapsto \{\overrightarrow{[mth, [\vec{C}], C]}\},$ $\text{flds} \mapsto \{\overrightarrow{[fld, C]}\},$ $\text{ctors} \mapsto \{\overrightarrow{[\vec{C}]}\}\}$	Class descriptors
$C\mathcal{T} ::= \{C \mapsto ce\}$	Class Table
$C ::= \mathbf{Object} \mid \mathbf{K} \mid \mathbf{Class} \mid \mathbf{B} \mid \mathbf{Fn} \mid \mathbf{Multi} \mid \mathbf{Map} \mid \mathbf{Void}$	Class literals
$I ::= C \ \{\overrightarrow{fld : v}\}$	Class Values
$\beta ::= \text{wrong} \mid \text{err}$	Wrong or error
$\alpha ::= v \mid \beta$	Defined reductions
$pol ::= \text{pos} \mid \text{neg}$	Substitution Polarity

**Figure A.1.** Syntax of Terms, Types, Propositions, and Objects

<b>nil</b>	$\equiv$	$(\mathbf{Val} \ \text{nil})$
<b>true</b>	$\equiv$	$(\mathbf{Val} \ \text{true})$
<b>false</b>	$\equiv$	$(\mathbf{Val} \ \text{false})$

**Figure A.2.** Type abbreviations

$\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$	$\equiv$	$\Gamma \vdash e : \tau; \psi_+   \psi_- ; o \quad \text{for some } \psi_+, \psi_- \text{ and } o$
$\tau[o/x]$	$\equiv$	$\tau[o/x]^{\text{pos}}$
$\psi[o/x]$	$\equiv$	$\psi[o/x]^{\text{pos}}$
$\psi[\psi[o/x]]$	$\equiv$	$\psi[\psi[o/x]]^{\text{pos}}$
$o[o/x]$	$\equiv$	$o[o/x]^{\text{pos}}$

**Figure A.3.** Judgement abbreviations

<b>T-LOCAL</b> $\frac{\Gamma \vdash \tau_x \quad \sigma = (\cup \text{nil false})}{\Gamma \vdash x : \tau ; \bar{\sigma}_x   \sigma_x ; x}$	<b>T-CONST</b> $\Gamma \vdash c : \delta_\tau(c) ; \mathbb{tt}   \mathbb{ff} ; \emptyset$	<b>T-TRUE</b> $\Gamma \vdash \text{true} : \text{true} ; \mathbb{tt}   \mathbb{ff} ; \emptyset$	<b>T-FALSE</b> $\Gamma \vdash \text{false} : \text{false} ; \mathbb{ff}   \mathbb{tt} ; \emptyset$
<b>T-NIL</b> $\Gamma \vdash \text{nil} : \text{nil} ; \mathbb{ff}   \mathbb{tt} ; \emptyset$	<b>T-NUM</b> $\Gamma \vdash n : \mathbf{N} ; \mathbb{tt}   \mathbb{ff} ; \emptyset$	<b>T-DO</b> $\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 ; \psi_{1+}   \psi_{1-} ; o_1 \quad \Gamma, \psi_{1+} \vee \psi_{1-} \vdash e : \tau ; \psi_+   \psi_- ; o}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{do } e_1 \ e) : \tau ; \psi_+   \psi_- ; o}$	
<b>T-IF</b> $\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 ; \psi_{1+}   \psi_{1-} ; o_1 \quad \Gamma, \psi_{1+} \vdash e_2 : \tau ; \psi_{2+}   \psi_{2-} ; o \quad \Gamma, \psi_{1-} \vdash e_3 : \tau ; \psi_{3+}   \psi_{3-} ; o}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{if } e_1 \ e_2 \ e_3) : \tau ; \psi_{2+} \vee \psi_{3+}   \psi_{2-} \vee \psi_{3-} ; o}$		<b>T-LET</b> $\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \sigma ; \psi_{1+}   \psi_{1-} ; o_1 \quad \psi' = (\cup \text{nil false})_x \supset \psi_{1+} \quad \psi'' = (\cup \text{nil false})_x \supset \psi_{1-} \quad \Gamma, \sigma_x, \psi', \psi'' \vdash e : \tau ; \psi_+   \psi_- ; o}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{let } [x \ e_1] \ e) : \tau ; \psi_+   \psi_- [o_1/x] ; o[o_1/x]}$	
<b>T-APP</b> $\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : x : \sigma \xrightarrow[\text{of}]{\psi_{f+}   \psi_{f-}} \tau ; \psi_+   \psi_- ; o \quad \Gamma \vdash e' : \sigma ; \psi'_+   \psi'_- ; o'}{\Gamma \vdash (e \ e') : \tau[o'/x] ; \psi_{f+}   \psi_{f-}[o'/x] ; o_f[o'/x]}$		<b>T-ABS</b> $\frac{\Gamma, \sigma_x \vdash e : \tau ; \psi_+   \psi_- ; o}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x^\sigma . e : x : \sigma \xrightarrow[o]{\psi_+   \psi_-} \tau ; \mathbb{tt}   \mathbb{ff} ; \emptyset}$	
<b>T-CLOS</b> $\frac{\exists \Gamma. \rho \models \Gamma \text{ and } \Gamma \vdash \lambda x^\tau . e : \sigma ; \psi_+   \psi_- ; o}{\vdash [\rho, \lambda x^\tau . e]_c : \sigma ; \psi_+   \psi_- ; o}$		<b>T-ERROR</b> $\Gamma \vdash \text{err} : \perp ; \mathbb{ff}   \mathbb{ff} ; \emptyset$	
<b>T-SUBSUME</b> $\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau ; \psi_+   \psi_- ; o \quad \Gamma, \psi_+ \vdash \psi'_+ \quad \Gamma, \psi_- \vdash \psi'_- \quad \vdash \tau <: \tau' \quad \vdash o <: o'}{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau' ; \psi'_+   \psi'_- ; o'}$			

**Figure A.4.** Standard Typing Rules

$\frac{\text{T-NEWSTATIC} \quad \text{Convert}(C_i) = \tau_i \quad \text{Convert}(C) = \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash e_i : \tau_i}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{new}_{[\vec{C}_i]} C \ \vec{e}_i) : \tau ; \mathbb{tt}   \mathbb{ff} ; \emptyset}$	$\frac{\text{T-FIELDSTATIC} \quad \text{Convert}(C_1) = \sigma \quad \text{Convert}_{\text{nil}}(C_2) = \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash e : \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash (.e \ \text{fld}_{C_2}^{C_1}) : \tau ; \mathbb{tt}   \mathbb{tt} ; \emptyset}$
$\frac{\text{T-METHODSTATIC} \quad \text{Convert}(C_i) = \tau_i \quad \text{Convert}(C_1) = \sigma \quad \text{Convert}_{\text{nil}}(C_2) = \tau \quad \Gamma \vdash e : \sigma \quad \Gamma \vdash e_i : \tau_i}{\Gamma \vdash (.e \ (\text{mth}_{[[\vec{C}_i], C_2]}^{C_1} \vec{e}_i)) : \tau ; \mathbb{tt}   \mathbb{tt} ; \emptyset}$	$\frac{\text{T-CLASS} \quad \Gamma \vdash C : (\mathbf{Val} \ C) ; \mathbb{tt}   \mathbb{ff} ; \emptyset}{\text{T-INSTANCE} \quad \Gamma \vdash C \ \{\text{fld} : v\} : C ; \mathbb{tt}   \mathbb{ff} ; \emptyset}$

**Figure A.5.** Java Interop Typing Rules

<b>T-DEFMULTI</b> $\frac{\sigma = x : \tau \xrightarrow[o]{\psi_+   \psi_-} \tau' \quad \sigma' = x : \tau \xrightarrow[o']{\psi'_+   \psi'_-} \tau'' \quad \Gamma \vdash e : \sigma'}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{defmulti } \sigma \ e) : (\mathbf{Multi} \ \sigma \ \sigma') ; \mathbb{tt}   \mathbb{ff} ; \emptyset}$	<b>T-DEFMETHOD</b> $\frac{\tau_m = x : \tau \xrightarrow[o]{\psi_+   \psi_-} \sigma \quad \tau_d = x : \tau \xrightarrow[o']{\psi'_+   \psi'_-} \sigma' \quad \Gamma \vdash e_m : (\mathbf{Multi} \ \tau_m \ \tau_d) \quad \text{IsAProps}(o', \tau_v) = \psi''_+   \psi''_- \quad \Gamma \vdash e_v : \tau_v \quad \Gamma, \tau_x, \psi''_+ \vdash e_b : \sigma ; \psi_+   \psi_- ; o}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{defmethod } e_m \ e_v \ \lambda x^\tau . e_b) : (\mathbf{Multi} \ \tau_m \ \tau_d) ; \mathbb{tt}   \mathbb{ff} ; \emptyset}$
<b>T-ISA</b> $\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \sigma ; \psi'_+   \psi'_- ; o \quad \Gamma \vdash e' : \tau \quad \text{IsAProps}(o, \tau) = \psi_+   \psi_-}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{isa? } e \ e') : \mathbf{B} ; \psi_+   \psi_- ; \emptyset}$	<b>T-MULTI</b> $\frac{\vdash v : \tau \quad \vdash v_k : \top \quad \vdash v_v : \sigma}{\vdash [v, \{v_k \mapsto v_v\}]_m : (\mathbf{Multi} \ \sigma \ \tau) ; \mathbb{tt}   \mathbb{ff} ; \emptyset}$

**Figure A.6.** Multimethod Typing Rules

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{T-HMAP} \\
\frac{\overrightarrow{\vdash v_k : (\mathbf{Val} k)} \quad \overrightarrow{\vdash v_v : \tau_v} \quad \mathcal{M} = \{\overrightarrow{k \mapsto \tau_v}\}}{\overrightarrow{\vdash \{v_k \mapsto v_v\}} : (\mathbf{HMap}^C \mathcal{M}) ; \mathbb{tt}|\mathbb{ff} ; \emptyset} \quad \text{T-KW} \\
\Gamma \vdash k : (\mathbf{Val} k) ; \mathbb{tt}|\mathbb{ff} ; \emptyset
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{T-GETHMAP} \\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : (\bigcup (\mathbf{HMap}^E \mathcal{M} \mathcal{A})) ; \psi_{1+}|\psi_{1-} ; o \quad \Gamma \vdash e_k : (\mathbf{Val} k) \quad \overrightarrow{\mathcal{M}[k] = \tau}}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{get } e \ e_k) : (\bigcup \overrightarrow{\tau}^i) ; \mathbb{tt}|\mathbb{tt} ; \mathbf{key}_k(x)[o/x]}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{T-GETHMAPABSENT} \\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : (\mathbf{HMap}^E \mathcal{M} \mathcal{A}) ; \psi_{1+}|\psi_{1-} ; o \quad \Gamma \vdash e_k : (\mathbf{Val} k) \quad k \in \mathcal{A}}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{get } e \ e_k) : \mathbf{nil} ; \mathbb{tt}|\mathbb{tt} ; \mathbf{key}_k(x)[o/x]}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{T-GETHMAPPARTIALDEFAULT} \\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : (\mathbf{HMap}^P \mathcal{M} \mathcal{A}) ; \psi_{1+}|\psi_{1-} ; o \quad \Gamma \vdash e_k : (\mathbf{Val} k) \quad k \notin \text{dom}(\mathcal{M}) \quad k \notin \mathcal{A}}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{get } e \ e_k) : \top ; \mathbb{tt}|\mathbb{tt} ; \mathbf{key}_k(x)[o/x]}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{T-ASSOCHMAP} \\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : (\mathbf{HMap}^E \mathcal{M} \mathcal{A}) \quad \Gamma \vdash e_k : (\mathbf{Val} k) \quad \Gamma \vdash e_v : \tau \quad k \notin \mathcal{A}}{\Gamma \vdash (\text{assoc } e \ e_k \ e_v) : (\mathbf{HMap}^E \mathcal{M}[k \mapsto \tau] \mathcal{A}) ; \mathbb{tt}|\mathbb{ff} ; \emptyset}
\end{array}$$

Figure A.7. Map Typing Rules

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{TA-LOCAL} \\
\frac{\Sigma(x) = \gamma}{\Sigma \vdash_{\mathbf{h}} x : \gamma} \quad \text{TA-NIL} \quad \Sigma \vdash_{\mathbf{h}} \mathbf{nil} : ? \quad \text{TA-TRUE} \quad \Sigma \vdash_{\mathbf{h}} \mathbf{true} : \mathbf{Boolean} \quad \text{TA-FALSE} \quad \Sigma \vdash_{\mathbf{h}} \mathbf{false} : \mathbf{Boolean} \quad \text{TA-KW} \quad \Sigma \vdash_{\mathbf{h}} k : \mathbf{K} \quad \text{TA-CLASS} \quad \Sigma \vdash_{\mathbf{h}} C : \mathbf{Class}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{TA-NEWSTATIC} \quad \Sigma \vdash_{\mathbf{h}} (\text{new}_{[\vec{C}_i]} C \ \vec{e}) : C \quad \text{TA-NEWREFL} \quad \Sigma \vdash_{\mathbf{h}} (\text{new } C \ \vec{e}) : ? \quad \text{TA-ABS} \quad \Sigma \vdash_{\mathbf{h}} \lambda x^{\tau}. e : ? \quad \text{TA-LETHINT} \quad \frac{\Sigma, x : C \vdash_{\mathbf{h}} e : \gamma}{\Sigma \vdash_{\mathbf{h}} (\text{let } [\widetilde{C} \ x \ e_1] \ e) : \gamma} \quad \text{TA-LET} \quad \frac{\Sigma \vdash_{\mathbf{h}} e_1 : \gamma_1 \quad \Sigma, x : \gamma_1 \vdash_{\mathbf{h}} e : \gamma}{\Sigma \vdash_{\mathbf{h}} (\text{let } [x \ e_1] \ e) : \gamma}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{TA-FIELDREFL} \quad \Sigma \vdash_{\mathbf{h}} (. e \ \text{fld}) : ? \quad \text{TA-FIELDSTATIC} \quad \Sigma \vdash_{\mathbf{h}} (. e \ \text{fld}_{C^1}) : C \quad \text{TA-METHODREFL} \quad \Sigma \vdash_{\mathbf{h}} (. e \ (\text{mth} \ \vec{e}_i)) : ? \quad \text{TA-METHODSTATIC} \quad \Sigma \vdash_{\mathbf{h}} (. e \ (\text{mth}_{[[\vec{C}_i], C]}^{C_1} \ \vec{e}_i)) : C \quad \text{TA-APP} \quad \Sigma \vdash_{\mathbf{h}} (e \ e') : ?
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{TA-APLOCAL} \quad \frac{\Sigma(x) = \gamma}{\Sigma \vdash_{\mathbf{h}} (x \ e') : \gamma} \quad \text{TA-DO} \quad \frac{\Sigma \vdash_{\mathbf{h}} e : \gamma}{\Sigma \vdash_{\mathbf{h}} (\text{do } e_1 \ e) : \gamma} \quad \text{TA-DEFMULTI} \quad \Sigma \vdash_{\mathbf{h}} (\text{defmulti } \tau \ e) : ? \quad \text{TA-DEFMETHOD} \quad \Sigma \vdash_{\mathbf{h}} (\text{defmethod } e_1 \ e_2 \ e_3) : ? \quad \text{TA-IF} \quad \frac{\Sigma \vdash_{\mathbf{h}} e_2 : C \quad \Sigma \vdash_{\mathbf{h}} e_3 : C}{\Sigma \vdash_{\mathbf{h}} (\text{if } e_1 \ e_2 \ e_3) : C}
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\text{TA-IFUNKNOWN} \quad \Sigma \vdash_{\mathbf{h}} (\text{if } e_1 \ e_2 \ e_3) : ? \quad \text{TA-ISA} \quad \Sigma \vdash_{\mathbf{h}} (\text{isa? } e \ e) : \mathbf{Boolean} \quad \text{TA-CONST} \quad \Sigma \vdash_{\mathbf{h}} c : ?
\end{array}$$

Figure A.8. Type Hint Inference

<b>R-LOCAL</b> $\Sigma \vdash_r^{\mathcal{CT}} x \Rightarrow x$	<b>R-LOCALHINT</b> $\Sigma \vdash_r^{\mathcal{CT}} \hat{C} x \Rightarrow \hat{C} x$	<b>R-VAL</b> $\Sigma \vdash_r^{\mathcal{CT}} v \Rightarrow v$	<b>R-NEWREFL</b> $\frac{\Sigma \vdash_r^{\mathcal{CT}} e_i \Rightarrow e_j}{\Sigma \vdash_r^{\mathcal{CT}} (\text{new } C \vec{e}_i) \Rightarrow (\text{new } C \vec{e}_j)}$
<b>R-NEWELIMREFL</b> $\frac{\Sigma \vdash_r^{\mathcal{CT}} e_i \Rightarrow e_j \quad \Sigma \vdash_h e_j : \vec{C}_j}{\text{Ctor}(\mathcal{CT}, C, [\vec{C}_j]) = [\vec{C}_k]} \frac{}{\Sigma \vdash_r^{\mathcal{CT}} (\text{new } C \vec{e}_i) \Rightarrow (\text{new}_{[\vec{C}_k]} C \vec{e}_j)}$	<b>R-NEWSTATIC</b> $\frac{}{\Sigma \vdash_r^{\mathcal{CT}} (\text{new}_{[\vec{C}_p]} C \vec{e}_i) \Rightarrow (\text{new}_{[\vec{C}_p]} C \vec{e}_j)}$	<b>R-Abs</b> $\frac{\Sigma, x : ? \vdash_r^{\mathcal{CT}} e \Rightarrow e'}{\Sigma \vdash_r^{\mathcal{CT}} \lambda x^\tau. e \Rightarrow \lambda x^\tau. e'}$	
<b>R-LET</b> $\frac{\Sigma \vdash_r^{\mathcal{CT}} e_1 \Rightarrow e'_1 \quad \Sigma \vdash_h e'_1 : \gamma}{\Sigma, x : \gamma \vdash_r^{\mathcal{CT}} e \Rightarrow e'} \frac{}{\Sigma \vdash_r^{\mathcal{CT}} (\text{let } [x e_1] e) \Rightarrow (\text{let } [x e'_1] e')}$	<b>R-LETHINT</b> $\frac{\Sigma \vdash_r^{\mathcal{CT}} e_1 \Rightarrow e'_1 \quad \Sigma, x : C \vdash_r^{\mathcal{CT}} e \Rightarrow e'}{\Sigma \vdash_r^{\mathcal{CT}} (\text{let } [\hat{C} x e_1] e) \Rightarrow (\text{let } [\hat{C} x e'_1] e')}$	<b>R-FIELDREFL</b> $\frac{\Sigma \vdash_r^{\mathcal{CT}} e \Rightarrow e'}{\Sigma \vdash_r^{\mathcal{CT}} (. e \text{ fld}) \Rightarrow (. e' \text{ fld})}$	
<b>R-FIELDELIMREFL</b> $\frac{\Sigma \vdash_r^{\mathcal{CT}} e \Rightarrow e' \quad \Sigma \vdash_h e' : C}{\text{Fld}(\mathcal{CT}, C, \text{fld}) = [C_t, C_f]} \frac{}{\Sigma \vdash_r^{\mathcal{CT}} (. e \text{ fld}) \Rightarrow (. e' \text{ fld}_{C_f}^{\vec{C}_t})}$	<b>R-FIELDSTATIC</b> $\frac{\Sigma \vdash_r^{\mathcal{CT}} e \Rightarrow e'}{\Sigma \vdash_r^{\mathcal{CT}} (. e \text{ fld}_{C_2}^{\vec{C}_1}) \Rightarrow (. e' \text{ fld}_{C_2}^{\vec{C}_1})}$	<b>R-METHODREFL</b> $\frac{\Sigma \vdash_r^{\mathcal{CT}} e \Rightarrow e' \quad \Sigma \vdash_r^{\mathcal{CT}} e_i \Rightarrow e_j}{\Sigma \vdash_r^{\mathcal{CT}} (. e (\text{mth } \vec{e}_i)) \Rightarrow (. e' (\text{mth } \vec{e}_j))}$	
<b>R-METHODELIMREFL</b> $\frac{\Sigma \vdash_r^{\mathcal{CT}} e \Rightarrow e' \quad \Sigma \vdash_h e' : C}{\Sigma \vdash_r^{\mathcal{CT}} e_i \Rightarrow e_j \quad \Sigma \vdash_h e_j : \vec{C}_j} \frac{\text{Mth}(\mathcal{CT}, C, \text{mth}, [\vec{C}_j]) = [C_t, [\vec{C}_p], C_r]}{\Sigma \vdash_r^{\mathcal{CT}} (. e (\text{mth } \vec{e}_i)) \Rightarrow (. e' (\text{mth}_{[[\vec{C}_p], C_r]}^{\vec{C}_t} \vec{e}_j))}$	<b>R-METHODSTATIC</b> $\frac{\Sigma \vdash_r^{\mathcal{CT}} e \Rightarrow e' \quad \Sigma \vdash_r^{\mathcal{CT}} \vec{e}_i \Rightarrow \vec{e}_j}{\Sigma \vdash_r^{\mathcal{CT}} (. e (\text{mth}_{[[\vec{C}_1], C_2]}^C \vec{e}_i)) \Rightarrow (. e' (\text{mth}_{[[\vec{C}_1], C_2]}^C \vec{e}_j))}$		
	<b>R-APP</b> $\frac{\Sigma \vdash_r^{\mathcal{CT}} e \Rightarrow e' \quad \Sigma \vdash_r^{\mathcal{CT}} e_i \Rightarrow e_j}{\Sigma \vdash_r^{\mathcal{CT}} (e e_i) \Rightarrow (e' e_j)}$		

**Figure A.9.** Java Reflection Resolution

<b>SO-REFL</b> $\vdash o <: o$	<b>S-REFL</b> $\vdash \tau <: \tau$	<b>S-UNIONSUPER</b> $\frac{\exists i. \vdash \tau <: \sigma_i}{\vdash \tau <: (\bigcup \vec{\sigma}^i)}$	<b>S-UNIONSUB</b> $\frac{\vdash \tau_i <: \vec{\sigma}^i}{\vdash \tau_i <: \sigma}$	<b>S-FUNMONO</b> $\frac{\vdash x : \sigma \xrightarrow{\psi_+   \psi_-}_o \tau <: \tau'}{\vdash x : \sigma \xrightarrow{\psi_+   \psi_-}_o \tau <: \tau'}$	<b>S-OBJECT</b> $\vdash C <: \mathbf{Object}$
<b>SO-TOP</b> $\vdash o <: \emptyset$	<b>S-TOP</b> $\vdash \tau <: \top$				<b>S-SCLASS</b> $\vdash (\mathbf{Val } C) <: \mathbf{Class}$
	<b>S-SBOOL</b> $\vdash (\mathbf{Val } b) <: \mathbf{B}$	<b>S-FUN</b> $\frac{\vdash \sigma' <: \sigma \quad \vdash \tau <: \tau' \quad \psi_+ \vdash \psi'_+ \quad \psi_- \vdash \psi'_-}{\vdash o <: o'} \frac{}{\vdash x : \sigma \xrightarrow{\psi_+   \psi_-}_o \tau <: x : \sigma' \xrightarrow{\psi'_+   \psi'_-}_{o'} \tau'}$			
	<b>S-SKW</b> $\vdash (\mathbf{Val } k) <: \mathbf{K}$				
<b>S-PMULTIFN</b> $\vdash \sigma_i <: x : \sigma \xrightarrow{\psi_+   \psi_-}_o \tau$ $\vdash \sigma_d <: x : \sigma \xrightarrow{\psi'_+   \psi'_-}_{o'} \tau'$ $\vdash (\mathbf{Multi } \sigma_i \sigma_d) <: x : \sigma \xrightarrow{\psi_+   \psi_-}_o \tau$	<b>S-PMULTI</b> $\vdash \sigma <: \sigma' \quad \vdash \tau <: \tau'$ $\vdash (\mathbf{Multi } \sigma \tau) <: (\mathbf{Multi } \sigma' \tau')$	<b>S-MULTIMONO</b> $\vdash (\mathbf{Multi } x : \sigma \xrightarrow{\psi_+   \psi_-}_o \tau \quad x : \sigma \xrightarrow{\psi'_+   \psi'_-}_{o'} \tau') <: \mathbf{Multi}$			
<b>S-HMAP</b> $\forall i. \mathcal{M}[k_i] = \sigma_i \text{ and } \vdash \sigma_i <: \tau_i \quad \mathcal{A}_1 \supseteq \mathcal{A}_2$ $\vdash (\mathbf{HMap}^\mathcal{E} \mathcal{M} \mathcal{A}_1) <: (\mathbf{HMap}^\mathcal{E} \{k \mapsto \tau\}^i \mathcal{A}_2)$	<b>S-HMAPP</b> $\forall i. \mathcal{M}[k_i] = \sigma_i \text{ and } \vdash \sigma_i <: \tau_i$ $\vdash (\mathbf{HMap}^C \mathcal{M} \mathcal{A}') <: (\mathbf{HMap}^P \{k \mapsto \tau\}^i \mathcal{A})$	<b>S-HMAPMONO</b> $\vdash (\mathbf{HMap}^\mathcal{E} \mathcal{M} \mathcal{A}) <: \mathbf{Map}$			

**Figure A.10.** Subtyping rules

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Fld}(\mathcal{CT}, C, fld) &= [C, C_f] && \text{if } [fld, C_f] \in \mathcal{CT}[C][\text{flds}] \\
\text{Ctor}(\mathcal{CT}, C, [\vec{C_p}]) &= [\vec{C_p}] && \text{if } [\vec{C_p}] \in \mathcal{CT}[C][\text{ctors}] \\
\text{Mth}(\mathcal{CT}, C, mth, [\vec{C_p}]) &= [C, [\vec{C_p}], C_r] && \text{if } [mth, [\vec{C_p}], C_r] \in \mathcal{CT}[C][\text{mths}]
\end{aligned}$$

**Figure A.11.** Class table lookup

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Convert}(\text{Void}) &= \text{nil} \\
\text{Convert}(C) &= C \\
\text{Convert}_{\text{nil}}(\text{Void}) &= \text{nil} \\
\text{Convert}_{\text{nil}}(C) &= (\bigcup \text{nil } C)
\end{aligned}$$

**Figure A.12.** Java Type Conversion

$$\delta_\tau(\text{class}) = x : \top \xrightarrow[\text{class}(x)]{\text{tt}|\text{tt}} (\bigcup \text{nil } \mathbf{Class})$$

**Figure A.13.** Constant Typing

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta(\text{class}, C \{ \overrightarrow{fld : v} \}) &= C && \delta(\text{class}, \text{true}) = \mathbf{B} \\
\delta(\text{class}, C) &= \mathbf{Class} && \delta(\text{class}, \text{false}) = \mathbf{B} \\
\delta(\text{class}, [\rho, \lambda x^\tau. e]_c) &= \mathbf{Fn} && \delta(\text{class}, k) = \mathbf{K} \\
\delta(\text{class}, [v_d, t]_m) &= \mathbf{Multi} && \delta(\text{class}, \text{nil}) = \text{nil} \\
\delta(\text{class}, m) &= \mathbf{Map}
\end{aligned}$$

**Figure A.14.** Primitives

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{IsAProps}(\text{class}(\pi(x)), (\mathbf{Val } C)) &= C_{\pi(x)} | \overline{C_{\pi(x)}} \\
\text{IsAProps}(o, (\mathbf{Val } s)) &= ((\mathbf{Val } s)_x | (\mathbf{Val } s)_x)[o/x] \\
&\quad \text{if } s \neq C \\
\text{IsAProps}(o, \tau) &= \text{tt}|\text{tt} \quad \text{otherwise} \\
\text{IsA}(v, v) &= \text{true} \quad v \neq C \\
\text{IsA}(C, C') &= \text{true} \quad \vdash C <: C' \\
\text{IsA}(v, v') &= \text{false} \quad \text{otherwise}
\end{aligned}$$

**Figure A.15.** Definition of isa?

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{GM}(t, v_e) &= v_f \quad \text{if } \overrightarrow{v_{fs}} = \{v_f\} \\
&\quad \text{where } \overrightarrow{v_{fs}} = \{v_f | (v_v, v_f) \in t \text{ and } \text{IsA}(v_v, v_e) = \text{true}\} \\
\text{GM}(t, v_e) &= \text{err} \quad \text{otherwise}
\end{aligned}$$

**Figure A.16.** Definition of get-method

$\frac{\text{B-LOCAL} \quad \rho(x) = v}{\rho \vdash x \Downarrow v}$	$\frac{\text{B-DO} \quad \begin{array}{l} \rho \vdash e_1 \Downarrow v_1 \\ \rho \vdash e \Downarrow v \end{array}}{\rho \vdash (\text{do } e_1 \text{ } e) \Downarrow v}$	$\frac{\text{B-LET} \quad \begin{array}{l} \rho \vdash e_a \Downarrow v_a \\ \rho[x \mapsto v_a] \vdash e \Downarrow v \end{array}}{\rho \vdash (\text{let } [x \text{ } e_a] \text{ } e) \Downarrow v}$	$\text{B-VAL} \quad \rho \vdash v \Downarrow v$	$\frac{\text{B-IFTRUE} \quad \begin{array}{l} \rho \vdash e_1 \Downarrow v_1 \\ v_1 \neq \text{false} \quad v_1 \neq \text{nil} \\ \rho \vdash e_2 \Downarrow v \end{array}}{\rho \vdash (\text{if } e_1 \text{ } e_2 \text{ } e_3) \Downarrow v}$
$\frac{\text{B-IFFALSE} \quad \begin{array}{l} \rho \vdash e_1 \Downarrow \text{false} \text{ or } \rho \vdash e_1 \Downarrow \text{nil} \\ \rho \vdash e_3 \Downarrow v \end{array}}{\rho \vdash (\text{if } e_1 \text{ } e_2 \text{ } e_3) \Downarrow v}$	$\frac{\text{B-ABS} \quad \rho \vdash \lambda x^\tau. e \Downarrow [\rho, \lambda x^\tau. e]_c}{\rho \vdash \lambda x^\tau. e \Downarrow [\rho, \lambda x^\tau. e]_c}$	$\frac{\text{B-BETACLOSURE} \quad \begin{array}{l} \rho \vdash e_f \Downarrow [\rho_c, \lambda x^\tau. e_b]_c \\ \rho \vdash e_a \Downarrow v_a \\ \rho_c[x \mapsto v_a] \vdash e_b \Downarrow v \end{array}}{\rho \vdash (e_f \text{ } e_a) \Downarrow v}$	$\frac{\text{B-DELTA} \quad \begin{array}{l} \rho \vdash e \Downarrow c \\ \rho \vdash e' \Downarrow v \\ \delta(c, v) = v' \end{array}}{\rho \vdash (e \text{ } e') \Downarrow v'}$	$\frac{\text{B-BETAMULTI} \quad \begin{array}{l} \rho \vdash e \Downarrow [v_d, t]_m \\ \rho \vdash e' \Downarrow v' \\ \rho \vdash (v_d \text{ } v') \Downarrow v_e \\ \text{GM}(t, v_e) = v_f \\ \rho \vdash (v_f \text{ } v') \Downarrow v \end{array}}{\rho \vdash (e \text{ } e') \Downarrow v}$
$\frac{\text{B-FIELD} \quad \begin{array}{l} \rho \vdash e \Downarrow v_1 \\ \text{JVM}_{\text{getstatic}}[C_1, v_1, fld, C_2] = v \end{array}}{\rho \vdash (. \text{ } e \text{ } fld_{C_2}^{C_1}) \Downarrow v}$	$\frac{\text{B-METHOD} \quad \begin{array}{l} \rho \vdash e_m \Downarrow v_m \quad \overrightarrow{\rho \vdash e_a \Downarrow v_a} \\ \text{JVM}_{\text{invokestatic}}[C_1, v_m, mth, [\vec{C}_a], [\vec{v}_a], C_2] = v \end{array}}{\rho \vdash (. \text{ } e_m \text{ } (mth_{[[\vec{C}_a], C_2]}^{C_1} \vec{e}_a)) \Downarrow v}$	$\frac{\text{B-NEW} \quad \overrightarrow{\rho \vdash e_i \Downarrow v_i}}{\text{JVM}_{\text{new}}[C_1, [\vec{C}_i], [\vec{v}_i]] = v \quad \rho \vdash (\text{new}_{[\vec{C}_i]} \text{ } C \text{ } \vec{e}_i) \Downarrow v}$		
$\frac{\text{B-DEFMULTI} \quad \begin{array}{l} \rho \vdash e \Downarrow v_d \\ v = [v_d, \{\}]_m \end{array}}{\rho \vdash (\text{defmulti } \tau \text{ } e) \Downarrow v}$	$\frac{\text{B-DEFMETHOD} \quad \begin{array}{l} \rho \vdash e \Downarrow [v_d, t]_m \\ \rho \vdash e' \Downarrow v_v \\ \rho \vdash e_f \Downarrow v_f \\ v = [v_d, t[v_v \mapsto v_f]]_m \end{array}}{\rho \vdash (\text{defmethod } e \text{ } e' \text{ } e_f) \Downarrow v}$	$\frac{\text{B-ISA} \quad \begin{array}{l} \rho \vdash e_1 \Downarrow v_1 \\ \rho \vdash e_2 \Downarrow v_2 \\ \text{ISA}(v_1, v_2) = v \end{array}}{\rho \vdash (\text{isa? } e_1 \text{ } e_2) \Downarrow v}$	$\frac{\text{B-ASSOC} \quad \begin{array}{l} \rho \vdash e \Downarrow m \\ \rho \vdash e_k \Downarrow k \\ \rho \vdash e_v \Downarrow v_v \\ v = m[k \mapsto v_v] \end{array}}{\rho \vdash (\text{assoc } e \text{ } e_k \text{ } e_v) \Downarrow v}$	
$\frac{\text{B-GET} \quad \begin{array}{l} \rho \vdash e \Downarrow m \\ \rho \vdash e' \Downarrow k \\ k \in \text{dom}(m) \\ m[k] = v \end{array}}{\rho \vdash (\text{get } e \text{ } e') \Downarrow v}$	$\frac{\text{B-GETMISSING} \quad \begin{array}{l} \rho \vdash e \Downarrow m \\ \rho \vdash e' \Downarrow k \\ k \notin \text{dom}(m) \end{array}}{\rho \vdash (\text{get } e \text{ } e') \Downarrow \text{nil}}$			

**Figure A.17.** Operational Semantics



$\text{BS-METHODREFL} \quad \frac{}{\rho \vdash (. e (mth \overrightarrow{e})) \Downarrow wrong}$	$\text{BS-FIELDREFL} \quad \frac{}{\rho \vdash (. e fld) \Downarrow wrong}$	$\text{BS-NEWREFL} \quad \frac{}{\rho \vdash (. e fld) \Downarrow wrong}$	$\text{BS-BETA} \quad \frac{\rho \vdash e_f \Downarrow v \quad v \neq c \quad v \neq [v_d, t]_m \quad v \neq [\rho_c, \lambda x^\tau. e_b]_c}{\rho \vdash (e_f e_a) \Downarrow wrong}$
$\text{BS-BETAMULTI} \quad \frac{\rho \vdash e_f \Downarrow [v, t]_m \quad v \neq c \quad v \neq [v_d, t]_m \quad v \neq [\rho_c, \lambda x^\tau. e_b]_c}{\rho \vdash (e_f e_a) \Downarrow wrong}$	$\text{BS-FIELDTARGET} \quad \frac{\rho \vdash e \Downarrow v_1 \quad v \neq C_1 \{ \overrightarrow{fld_i : v_i} \}}{\rho \vdash (. e fld_{C_2}^{C_1}) \Downarrow wrong}$	$\text{BS-FIELDMISSING} \quad \frac{\rho \vdash e \Downarrow C_1 \{ \overrightarrow{fld_i : v_i} \} \quad fld \notin \{ \overrightarrow{fld_i} \}}{\rho \vdash (. e fld_{C_2}^{C_1}) \Downarrow wrong}$	
$\text{BS-METHODTARGET} \quad \frac{\rho \vdash e_m \Downarrow v \quad v \neq C_1 \{ \overrightarrow{fld_i : v_i} \}}{\rho \vdash (. e_m (mth_{[[\overrightarrow{C_a}], C_2]}^{C_1} \overrightarrow{e_a})) \Downarrow wrong}$	$\text{BS-METHODARITY} \quad \frac{i \neq a}{\rho \vdash (. e_m (mth_{[[\overrightarrow{C_i}], C_2]}^{C_1} \overrightarrow{e_a})) \Downarrow wrong}$	$\text{BS-METHODARG} \quad \frac{\rho \vdash e_m \Downarrow v_m \quad \rho \vdash e_a \Downarrow v_a \quad \exists a. v_a \neq C_a \{ \overrightarrow{fld_i : v_i} \} \text{ or } v_a \neq \text{nil}}{\rho \vdash (. e_m (mth_{[[\overrightarrow{C_a}], C_2]}^{C_1} \overrightarrow{e_a})) \Downarrow wrong}$	
$\text{BS-NEWARG} \quad \frac{\rho \vdash e_i \Downarrow v_i \quad \exists i. v_i \neq C_i \{ \overrightarrow{fld_i : v_i} \} \text{ or } v_i \neq \text{nil}}{\rho \vdash (\text{new}_{[\overrightarrow{C_i}]} C \overrightarrow{e_i}) \Downarrow wrong}$	$\text{BS-NEWARITY} \quad \frac{i \neq a}{\rho \vdash (\text{new}_{[\overrightarrow{C_i}]} C \overrightarrow{e_a}) \Downarrow wrong}$	$\text{BS-ASSOCMAP} \quad \frac{\rho \vdash e_m \Downarrow v \quad v \neq \{ \overrightarrow{(v_a v_b)} \}}{\rho \vdash (\text{assoc } e_m e_k e_v) \Downarrow wrong}$	
$\text{BS-ASSOCKEY} \quad \frac{\rho \vdash e_m \Downarrow \{ \overrightarrow{(v_a v_b)} \} \quad \rho \vdash e_k \Downarrow v_k \quad v_k \neq k}{\rho \vdash (\text{assoc } e_m e_k e_v) \Downarrow wrong}$	$\text{BS-GETMAP} \quad \frac{\rho \vdash e_m \Downarrow v \quad v \neq \{ \overrightarrow{(v_a v_b)} \}}{\rho \vdash (\text{get } e_m e_k) \Downarrow wrong}$	$\text{BS-GETKEY} \quad \frac{\rho \vdash e_m \Downarrow v \quad \rho \vdash e_k \Downarrow v_k \quad v \neq k}{\rho \vdash (\text{get } e_m e_k) \Downarrow wrong}$	$\text{BS-LOCAL} \quad \frac{x \notin \text{dom}(\rho)}{\rho \vdash x \Downarrow wrong}$
	$\text{BS-DEFMETHOD} \quad \frac{\rho \vdash e_m \Downarrow v_m \quad v_m \neq [v_d, t]_m}{\rho \vdash (\text{defmethod } e_m e_v e_f) \Downarrow wrong}$		

**Figure A.18.** Stuck programs

<b>BE-ERRORWRONG</b> $\rho \vdash \beta \Downarrow \beta$	<b>BE-LET</b> $\frac{\rho \vdash e_a \Downarrow \beta}{\rho \vdash (\text{let } [x \ e_a] \ e) \Downarrow \beta}$	<b>BE-DO1</b> $\frac{\rho \vdash e_1 \Downarrow \beta}{\rho \vdash (\text{do } e_1 \ e) \Downarrow \beta}$	<b>BE-DO2</b> $\frac{\rho \vdash e_1 \Downarrow v_1 \quad \rho \vdash e \Downarrow \beta}{\rho \vdash (\text{do } e_1 \ e) \Downarrow \beta}$	<b>BE-IF</b> $\frac{\rho \vdash e_1 \Downarrow \beta}{\rho \vdash (\text{if } e_1 \ e_2 \ e_3) \Downarrow \beta}$
<b>BE-IFTRUE</b> $\frac{\rho \vdash e_1 \Downarrow v_1 \quad v_1 \neq \text{false} \quad v_1 \neq \text{nil} \quad \rho \vdash e_2 \Downarrow \beta}{\rho \vdash (\text{if } e_1 \ e_2 \ e_3) \Downarrow \beta}$	<b>BE-IFFALSE</b> $\frac{\rho \vdash e_1 \Downarrow \text{false} \text{ or } \rho \vdash e_1 \Downarrow \text{nil} \quad \rho \vdash e_3 \Downarrow \beta}{\rho \vdash (\text{if } e_1 \ e_2 \ e_3) \Downarrow \beta}$	<b>BE-BETA1</b> $\frac{\rho \vdash e_f \Downarrow \beta}{\rho \vdash (e_f \ e_a) \Downarrow \beta}$	<b>BE-BETA2</b> $\frac{\rho \vdash e_f \Downarrow v_f \quad \rho \vdash e_a \Downarrow \beta}{\rho \vdash (e_f \ e_a) \Downarrow \beta}$	<b>BE-BETACLOSURE</b> $\frac{\rho \vdash e_f \Downarrow [\rho_c, \lambda x^\tau. e_b]_c \quad \rho \vdash e_a \Downarrow v_a \quad \rho_c[x \mapsto v_a] \vdash e_b \Downarrow \beta}{\rho \vdash (e_f \ e_a) \Downarrow \beta}$
<b>BE-BETAMULTI1</b> $\frac{\rho \vdash e_f \Downarrow [v_d, m]_m \quad \rho \vdash e_a \Downarrow v_a \quad \rho \vdash (v_d \ v_a) \Downarrow \beta}{\rho \vdash (e_f \ e_a) \Downarrow \beta}$	<b>BE-BETAMULTI2</b> $\frac{\rho \vdash e_f \Downarrow [v_d, m]_m \quad \rho \vdash e_a \Downarrow v_a \quad \rho \vdash (v_d \ v_a) \Downarrow v_e \quad \text{GM}(t, v_e) = \text{err}}{\rho \vdash (e_f \ e_a) \Downarrow \text{err}}$	<b>BE-DELTA</b> $\frac{\rho \vdash e \Downarrow c \quad \rho \vdash e' \Downarrow v \quad \delta(c, v) = \beta}{\rho \vdash (e \ e') \Downarrow \beta}$	<b>BE-FIELD</b> $\frac{\rho \vdash e \Downarrow \beta}{\rho \vdash (. \ e \ \text{fld}_{C_2}^{C_1}) \Downarrow \beta}$	<b>BE-METHOD1</b> $\frac{\rho \vdash e_m \Downarrow \beta}{\rho \vdash (. \ e_m \ (\text{mth}_{[[\vec{C}_a], C_2]}^{C_1} \vec{e})) \Downarrow \beta}$
<b>BE-METHOD2</b> $\frac{\rho \vdash e_m \Downarrow v_m \quad \rho \vdash e_{n-1} \Downarrow v_{n-1} \quad \rho \vdash e_n \Downarrow \beta}{\rho \vdash (. \ e_m \ (\text{mth}_{[[\vec{C}_a], C_2]}^{C_1} \vec{e})) \Downarrow \beta}$	<b>BE-METHOD3</b> $\frac{\rho \vdash e_m \Downarrow v_m \quad \rho \vdash e_a \Downarrow v_a \quad \text{JVM}_{\text{invokestatic}}[C_1, v_m, \text{mth}, [\vec{C}_a], [\vec{v}_a], C_2] = \text{err}}{\rho \vdash (. \ e_m \ (\text{mth}_{[[\vec{C}_a], C_2]}^{C_1} \vec{e}_a)) \Downarrow \text{err}}$	<b>BE-NEW1</b> $\frac{\rho \vdash e_{n-1} \Downarrow v_{n-1} \quad \rho \vdash e_n \Downarrow \beta}{\rho \vdash (\text{new}_{[\vec{C}_i]} C \ \vec{e}) \Downarrow \beta}$	<b>BE-NEW2</b> $\frac{\rho \vdash e_i \Downarrow v_i \quad \text{JVM}_{\text{new}}[C_1, [\vec{C}_i], [\vec{v}_i]] = \text{err}}{\rho \vdash (\text{new}_{[\vec{C}_i]} C \ \vec{e}_i) \Downarrow \text{err}}$	<b>BE-DEFMULTI</b> $\frac{\rho \vdash e_d \Downarrow \beta}{\rho \vdash (\text{defmulti } \tau \ e_d) \Downarrow \beta}$
<b>BE-DEFMETHOD1</b> $\frac{\rho \vdash e_m \Downarrow \beta}{\rho \vdash (\text{defmethod } e_m \ e_v \ e_f) \Downarrow \beta}$	<b>BE-DEFMETHOD2</b> $\frac{\rho \vdash e_m \Downarrow [v_d, t]_m \quad \rho \vdash e_v \Downarrow \beta}{\rho \vdash (\text{defmethod } e_m \ e_v \ e_f) \Downarrow \beta}$	<b>BE-DEFMETHOD3</b> $\frac{\rho \vdash e_m \Downarrow [v_d, t]_m \quad \rho \vdash e_v \Downarrow v_v \quad \rho \vdash e_f \Downarrow \beta}{\rho \vdash (\text{defmethod } e_m \ e_v \ e_f) \Downarrow \beta}$	<b>BE-ISA1</b> $\frac{\rho \vdash e_1 \Downarrow \beta}{\rho \vdash (\text{isa? } e_1 \ e_2) \Downarrow \beta}$	<b>BE-ISA2</b> $\frac{\rho \vdash e_1 \Downarrow v_1 \quad \rho \vdash e_2 \Downarrow \beta}{\rho \vdash (\text{isa? } e_1 \ e_2) \Downarrow \beta}$
<b>BE-ASSOC1</b> $\frac{\rho \vdash e_m \Downarrow \beta}{\rho \vdash (\text{assoc } e_m \ e_k \ e_v) \Downarrow \beta}$	<b>BE-ASSOC2</b> $\frac{\rho \vdash e_m \Downarrow \{(v_a \ v_b)\} \quad \rho \vdash e_k \Downarrow \beta}{\rho \vdash (\text{assoc } e_m \ e_k \ e_v) \Downarrow \beta}$	<b>BE-ASSOC3</b> $\frac{\rho \vdash e_m \Downarrow \{(v_a \ v_b)\} \quad \rho \vdash e_k \Downarrow v_k \quad \rho \vdash e_v \Downarrow \beta}{\rho \vdash (\text{assoc } e_m \ e_k \ e_v) \Downarrow \beta}$	<b>BE-GET1</b> $\frac{\rho \vdash e_m \Downarrow \beta}{\rho \vdash (\text{get } e_m \ e_k) \Downarrow \beta}$	<b>BE-GET2</b> $\frac{\rho \vdash e_m \Downarrow \{(v_a \ v_b)\} \quad \rho \vdash e_k \Downarrow \beta}{\rho \vdash (\text{get } e_m \ e_k) \Downarrow \beta}$

**Figure A.19.** Error and stuck propagation

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho(x) &= v & (x, v) \in \rho \\
\rho(\text{key}_k(o)) &= (\text{get } \rho(o) \ k) \\
\rho(\text{class}(o)) &= (\text{class } \rho(o))
\end{aligned}$$

**Figure A.20.** Path translation

$\text{update}((\mathbf{HMap}^{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{M} \mathcal{A}), \nu, \pi :: \mathbf{key}_k)$	$= (\mathbf{HMap}^{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{M}[k \mapsto \text{update}(\tau, \nu, \pi)] \mathcal{A})$	if $\mathcal{M}[k] = \tau$
$\text{update}((\mathbf{HMap}^{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{M} \mathcal{A}), \tau, \pi :: \mathbf{key}_k)$	$= \perp$	if $\vdash \mathbf{nil} \not<: \tau$ and $k \in \mathcal{A}$
$\text{update}((\mathbf{HMap}^{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{M} \mathcal{A}), \bar{\tau}, \pi :: \mathbf{key}_k)$	$= \perp$	if $\vdash \mathbf{nil} <: \tau$ and $k \in \mathcal{A}$
$\text{update}((\mathbf{HMap}^{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{M} \mathcal{A}), \nu, \pi :: \mathbf{key}_k)$	$= (\mathbf{HMap}^{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{M} \mathcal{A})$	if $k \in \mathcal{A}$
$\text{update}((\mathbf{HMap}^{\mathcal{P}} \mathcal{M} \mathcal{A}), \tau, \pi :: \mathbf{key}_k)$	$= (\cup (\mathbf{HMap}^{\mathcal{P}} \mathcal{M}[k \mapsto \tau] \mathcal{A})$ $\quad (\mathbf{HMap}^{\mathcal{P}} \mathcal{M} (\mathcal{A} \cup \{k\})))$	if $\vdash \mathbf{nil} <: \tau$ , $k \notin \text{dom}(\mathcal{M})$ and $k \notin \mathcal{A}$
$\text{update}((\mathbf{HMap}^{\mathcal{P}} \mathcal{M} \mathcal{A}), \nu, \pi :: \mathbf{key}_k)$	$= (\mathbf{HMap}^{\mathcal{P}} \mathcal{M}[k \mapsto \text{update}(\top, \nu, \pi)] \mathcal{A})$	if $k \notin \text{dom}(\mathcal{M})$ and $k \notin \mathcal{A}$
$\text{update}((\mathbf{HMap}^{\mathcal{P}} \mathcal{M} \mathcal{A}), \nu, \pi :: \mathbf{key}_k)$	$= (\mathbf{HMap}^{\mathcal{P}} \mathcal{M}[k \mapsto \text{update}(\top, \nu, \pi)] \mathcal{A})$	
$\text{update}((\bigcup (\mathbf{HMap}^{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{M} \mathcal{A}) \xrightarrow{i}), \nu, \pi :: \mathbf{key}_k)$	$= (\bigcup \text{update}((\mathbf{HMap}^{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{M} \mathcal{A}), \nu, \pi :: \mathbf{key}_k) \xrightarrow{i})$	
$\text{update}(\tau, (\mathbf{Val} C), \pi :: \mathbf{class})$	$= \text{update}(\tau, C, \pi)$	
$\text{update}(\tau, (\mathbf{Val} C), \pi :: \mathbf{class})$	$= \text{update}(\tau, C, \pi)$	if $\exists C'. \vdash C' <: C$ and $C' \neq C$
$\text{update}(\tau, \sigma, \pi :: \mathbf{class})$	$= \text{update}(\tau, \mathbf{Object}, \pi)$	if $\vdash \sigma <: \mathbf{Object}$
$\text{update}(\tau, \bar{\sigma}, \pi :: \mathbf{class})$	$= \text{update}(\tau, \mathbf{nil}, \pi)$	if $\vdash \mathbf{Object} <: \sigma$
$\text{update}(\tau, \sigma, \pi :: \mathbf{class})$	$= \text{update}(\tau, \mathbf{nil}, \pi)$	if $\vdash \sigma <: \mathbf{nil}$
$\text{update}(\tau, \bar{\sigma}, \pi :: \mathbf{class})$	$= \text{update}(\tau, \mathbf{Object}, \pi)$	if $\vdash \mathbf{nil} <: \sigma$
$\text{update}(\tau, \nu, \pi :: \mathbf{class})$	$= \tau$	
$\text{update}(\tau, \sigma, \epsilon)$	$= \text{restrict}(\tau, \sigma)$	
$\text{update}(\tau, \bar{\sigma}, \epsilon)$	$= \text{remove}(\tau, \sigma)$	
$\text{restrict}(\tau, \sigma)$	$= \perp$ if $\exists v. \vdash v : \tau ; \psi_1 ; o_1$ and $\vdash v : \sigma ; \psi_2 ; o_2$	
$\text{restrict}((\bigcup \vec{\tau}), \sigma)$	$= (\bigcup \text{restrict}(\tau, \sigma))$	
$\text{restrict}(\tau, \sigma)$	$= \tau$	if $\vdash \tau <: \sigma$
$\text{restrict}(\tau, \sigma)$	$= \sigma$	otherwise
$\text{remove}(\tau, \sigma)$	$= \perp$	if $\vdash \tau <: \sigma$
$\text{remove}((\bigcup \vec{\tau}), \sigma)$	$= (\bigcup \text{remove}(\tau, \sigma))$	
$\text{remove}(\tau, \sigma)$	$= \tau$	otherwise

Figure A.21. Type Update

<b>M-OR</b> $\frac{\Gamma \models \psi_1 \text{ or } \Gamma \models \psi_2}{\Gamma \models \psi_1 \vee \psi_2}$	<b>M-IMP</b> $\frac{\Gamma \models \psi_1 \text{ implies } \Gamma \models \psi_2}{\Gamma \models \psi_1 \supset \psi_2}$	<b>M-AND</b> $\frac{\Gamma \models \psi_1 \quad \Gamma \models \psi_2}{\Gamma \models \psi_1 \wedge \psi_2}$	<b>M-TOP</b> $\Gamma \models \mathbf{tt}$
<b>M-TYPE</b> $\frac{\vdash \rho(\pi(x)) : \tau ; \psi_+   \psi_- ; o}{\Gamma \models \tau_{\pi(x)}}$	<b>M-NOTTYPE</b> $\frac{\vdash \rho(\pi(x)) : \sigma ; \psi_+   \psi_- ; o \text{ and } \text{there is no } v \text{ such that } \vdash v : \tau ; \psi_{1+}   \psi_{1-} ; o_1 \text{ and } \vdash v : \sigma ; \psi_{2+}   \psi_{2-} ; o_2}{\Gamma \models \bar{\tau}_{\pi(x)}}$		

Figure A.22. Satisfaction Relation

<b>L-ATOM</b> $\frac{\psi \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash \psi}$	<b>L-TRUE</b> $\Gamma \vdash \text{tt}$	<b>L-FALSE</b> $\Gamma \vdash \text{ff}$	<b>L-ANDI</b> $\frac{\Gamma \vdash \psi_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash \psi_2}{\Gamma \vdash \psi_1 \wedge \psi_2}$	<b>L-ANDE</b> $\frac{\Gamma, \psi_1 \vdash \psi \text{ or } \Gamma, \psi_2 \vdash \psi}{\Gamma, \psi_1 \wedge \psi_2 \vdash \psi}$	<b>L-IMPLI</b> $\frac{\Gamma, \psi_1 \vdash \psi_2}{\Gamma \vdash \psi_1 \supset \psi_2}$	<b>L-IMPLE</b> $\frac{\Gamma \vdash \psi_1 \quad \Gamma \vdash \psi_1 \supset \psi_2}{\Gamma \vdash \psi_2}$
<b>L-ORI</b> $\frac{\Gamma \vdash \psi_1 \text{ or } \Gamma \vdash \psi_2}{\Gamma \vdash \psi_1 \vee \psi_2}$	<b>L-ORE</b> $\frac{\Gamma, \psi_1 \vdash \psi \quad \Gamma, \psi_2 \vdash \psi}{\Gamma, \psi_1 \vee \psi_2 \vdash \psi}$		<b>L-SUB</b> $\frac{\Gamma \vdash \tau_{\pi(x)} \quad \vdash \tau <: \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash \sigma_{\pi(x)}}$	<b>L-SUBNOT</b> $\frac{\Gamma \vdash \bar{\sigma}_{\pi(x)} \quad \vdash \tau <: \sigma}{\Gamma \vdash \bar{\tau}_{\pi(x)}}$	<b>L-BOT</b> $\frac{\Gamma \vdash \perp_{\pi(x)}}{\Gamma \vdash \psi}$	
<b>L-UPDATE</b> $\frac{\Gamma \vdash \tau_{\pi'(x)} \quad \Gamma \vdash \nu_{\pi(\pi'(x))}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{update}(\tau, \nu, \pi)_{\pi'(x)}}$						

(The metavariable  $\nu$  ranges over  $\tau$  and  $\bar{\tau}$  (without variables).)

**Figure A.23.** Proof System

$\psi_+   \psi_- [o/x]^{pol}$	$=$	$\psi_+ [o/x]^{pol}   \psi_- [o/x]^{pol}$	
$\nu_{\pi(x)}[\pi'(y)/x]^{pol}$	$=$	$(\nu[\pi'(y)/x]^{pol})_{\pi(\pi'(y))}$	
$\nu_{\pi(x)}[\emptyset/x]^{\text{pos}}$	$=$	$\text{tt}$	
$\nu_{\pi(x)}[\emptyset/x]^{\text{neg}}$	$=$	$\text{ff}$	
$\nu_{\pi(x)}[o/z]^{pol}$	$=$	$\nu_{\pi(x)}$	$x \neq z \text{ and } z \notin \text{fv}(\nu)$
$\nu_{\pi(x)}[o/z]^{\text{pos}}$	$=$	$\text{tt}$	$x \neq z \text{ and } z \in \text{fv}(\nu)$
$\nu_{\pi(x)}[o/z]^{\text{neg}}$	$=$	$\text{ff}$	$x \neq z \text{ and } z \in \text{fv}(\nu)$
$\text{tt}[o/x]^{pol}$	$=$	$\text{tt}$	
$\text{ff}[o/x]^{pol}$	$=$	$\text{ff}$	
$(\psi_1 \supset \psi_2)[o/x]^{\text{pos}}$	$=$	$\psi_1[o/x]^{\text{neg}} \supset \psi_2[o/x]^{\text{pos}}$	
$(\psi_1 \supset \psi_2)[o/x]^{\text{neg}}$	$=$	$\psi_1[o/x]^{\text{pos}} \supset \psi_2[o/x]^{\text{neg}}$	
$(\psi_1 \vee \psi_2)[o/x]^{pol}$	$=$	$\psi_1[o/x]^{pol} \vee \psi_2[o/x]^{pol}$	
$(\psi_1 \wedge \psi_2)[o/x]^{pol}$	$=$	$\psi_1[o/x]^{pol} \wedge \psi_2[o/x]^{pol}$	
$\pi(x)[\pi'(y)/x]^{pol}$	$=$	$\pi(\pi'(y))$	
$\pi(x)[\emptyset/x]^{pol}$	$=$	$\emptyset$	
$\pi(x)[o/z]^{pol}$	$=$	$\pi(x)$	$x \neq z$
$\emptyset[o/x]^{pol}$	$=$	$\emptyset$	

Substitution on types is capture-avoiding structural recursion.

**Figure A.24.** Substitution