

Siegel Vectors for Nongeneric Depth Zero Supercuspidals of $\mathrm{GSp}(4)$

Jonathan Cohen

Abstract. Let F be a non-archimedean local field of characteristic zero. If F has even residual characteristic, we assume F/\mathbb{Q}_2 is unramified. Let V be a depth zero, irreducible, nongeneric supercuspidal representation of $\mathrm{GSp}(4, F)$. We calculate the dimensions of the spaces of Siegel-invariant vectors in V of level \mathfrak{p}^n for all $n \geq 0$.

1 Introduction

This paper is concerned with a dimension counting problem in p -adic representation theory for the group $\mathrm{GSp}(4)$. Part of the motivation comes from the following problem in the classical theory of Siegel modular forms. If $\Gamma \subset \mathrm{Sp}(4, \mathbb{Q})$ is a congruence subgroup, then the dimension of the space of cusp forms of level Γ (and integer weight k , say) is not known in general. For example, if

$$\Gamma = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} \\ \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} \\ p^n \mathbb{Z} & p^n \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} \\ p^n \mathbb{Z} & p^n \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} \end{bmatrix} \cap \mathrm{Sp}(4, \mathbb{Z})$$

is the ‘‘Siegel congruence subgroup of level p^n ’’ then the associated dimensions are unknown if $n \geq 2$, for any prime p . On the other hand, if

$$\Gamma = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{Z} & 4\mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} \\ \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} \\ \mathbb{Z} & 4\mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} \\ 4\mathbb{Z} & 4\mathbb{Z} & 4\mathbb{Z} & \mathbb{Z} \end{bmatrix} \cap \mathrm{Sp}(4, \mathbb{Z})$$

is the ‘‘Klingen congruence subgroup of level 4’’ then the associated dimensions were recently computed in [RSY2022]. The method by which this was achieved required, as one of its several inputs, the dimensions of spaces of fixed vectors in all irreducible smooth representations of $\mathrm{GSp}(4, \mathbb{Q}_2)$ for the subgroups

$$\mathrm{Kl}(4) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{Z}_2 & \mathbb{Z}_2 & \mathbb{Z}_2 & \mathbb{Z}_2 \\ 4\mathbb{Z}_2 & \mathbb{Z}_2 & \mathbb{Z}_2 & \mathbb{Z}_2 \\ 4\mathbb{Z}_2 & \mathbb{Z}_2 & \mathbb{Z}_2 & \mathbb{Z}_2 \\ 4\mathbb{Z}_2 & 4\mathbb{Z}_2 & 4\mathbb{Z}_2 & \mathbb{Z} \end{bmatrix} \cap \mathrm{GSp}(4, \mathbb{Z}_2).$$

These dimensions, and more, had been computed in [Yi2021]. We remark that the different ‘‘shape’’ of the subgroups in question is an artifact of different conventions for alternating forms in the classical and representation-theoretic contexts.

If one hopes to use the approach taken in [RSY2022] for other cases, such as the Siegel congruence subgroups of level p^n , then a necessary component is the determination of dimensions of spaces of fixed vectors for all irreducible smooth representations of $\mathrm{GSp}(4, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ for appropriate

local subgroups. In this paper we are concerned with the Siegel congruence subgroups of level p^n given by

$$\mathrm{Si}(p^n) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbb{Z}_p & \mathbb{Z}_p & \mathbb{Z}_p & \mathbb{Z}_p \\ \mathbb{Z}_p & \mathbb{Z}_p & \mathbb{Z}_p & \mathbb{Z}_p \\ p^n \mathbb{Z}_p & p^n \mathbb{Z}_p & \mathbb{Z}_p & \mathbb{Z}_p \\ p^n \mathbb{Z}_p & p^n \mathbb{Z}_p & \mathbb{Z}_p & \mathbb{Z}_p \end{bmatrix} \cap \mathrm{GSp}(4, \mathbb{Z}_p).$$

At this time, computing the associated dimensions for all representations appears overly ambitious, so we restrict ourselves to the very special case of depth zero supercuspidals (constructed in the next section). These representations arise in two ways; we will consider one of them here and the other in a companion paper. The representations of $\mathrm{GSp}(4, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ considered in this paper will all be nongeneric. While these restrictions are a limitation, some interesting phenomena already arise. Perhaps the most significant of these is a strong dichotomy between the cases $p = 2$ and $p > 2$.

For applications, it is also useful to understand the effect of the Atkin-Lehner involution on the space of Siegel-invariant vectors. We compute the signature of this involution for the local representations considered.

In a previous paper [Co2024], we considered the analogous question of fixed vectors for higher depth Klingen subgroups. It was shown in that paper that the representations considered here had no fixed vectors for any n , nor was there a parity dichotomy. Thus, in our situation, the theory of Siegel vectors is substantially richer than that of Klingen vectors.

Here is a brief outline of this paper. Section 2 sets up notation and definitions. In section 3 we reduce the dimension computation to the determination and enumeration of a certain set of double cosets, together with a collection of character table computations for a certain finite reductive group. The main result, our dimension formula, is Theorem 5.1. The formula for the Atkin-Lehner signature is Theorem 6.2.

We thank Ralf Schmidt for many useful conversations in preparing this article.

2 Setup

Let F be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p , with ring of integers \mathfrak{o} , maximal ideal \mathfrak{p} , uniformizer ϖ , and residue field \mathbb{F}_q . We assume that if $p = 2$ then F/\mathbb{Q}_2 is unramified. Let $e = \mathrm{val}_F(2) \in \{0, 1\}$ and

$$\mathrm{GL}_{2,2}(q) := \{(g, h) \in \mathrm{GL}_2(q)^2 : \det(g) = \det(h)\}.$$

Let $J = \begin{bmatrix} & & & 1 \\ & & 1 & \\ & -1 & & \\ -1 & & & \end{bmatrix}$, $G = \mathrm{GSp}(4, F) = \{g \in \mathrm{GL}(4, F) : {}^t g J g = \mu(g) J\}$, $Z = Z(G)$, $T \subset G$ the set of diagonal matrices, and $G^0 = \{g \in G : \mu(g) \in \mathfrak{o}^\times\}$. We will need the two maximal compact subgroups $K = \mathrm{GSp}(4, \mathfrak{o})$ and

$$\mathrm{K}(\mathfrak{p}) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathfrak{o} & \mathfrak{o} & \mathfrak{o} & \mathfrak{p}^{-1} \\ \mathfrak{p} & \mathfrak{o} & \mathfrak{o} & \mathfrak{o} \\ \mathfrak{p} & \mathfrak{o} & \mathfrak{o} & \mathfrak{o} \\ \mathfrak{p} & \mathfrak{p} & \mathfrak{p} & \mathfrak{o} \end{bmatrix} \cap G^0$$

as well as the standard Iwahori subgroup

$$I = \begin{bmatrix} \mathfrak{o}^\times & \mathfrak{o} & \mathfrak{o} & \mathfrak{o} \\ \mathfrak{p} & \mathfrak{o}^\times & \mathfrak{o} & \mathfrak{o} \\ \mathfrak{p} & \mathfrak{p} & \mathfrak{o}^\times & \mathfrak{o} \\ \mathfrak{p} & \mathfrak{p} & \mathfrak{p} & \mathfrak{o}^\times \end{bmatrix} \cap G$$

and the sequence of Siegel congruence subgroups

$$\text{Si}(\mathfrak{p}^n) = \begin{bmatrix} \mathfrak{o} & \mathfrak{o} & \mathfrak{o} & \mathfrak{o} \\ \mathfrak{p}^n & \mathfrak{p}^n & \mathfrak{o} & \mathfrak{o} \\ \mathfrak{p}^n & \mathfrak{p}^n & \mathfrak{o} & \mathfrak{o} \end{bmatrix} \cap G^0$$

where n is a positive integer. Let $\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p})^+$ be the pronunipotent radical of $\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p})$. Given ϖ , there is an isomorphism $\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p})/\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p})^+ \rightarrow \text{GL}_{2,2}(q)$ defined by

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & * & * & \varpi^{-1}b \\ * & a' & b' & * \\ * & c' & d' & * \\ \varpi c & * & * & d \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \left(\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} a' & b' \\ c' & d' \end{bmatrix} \right) \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

For representations ρ_1 and ρ_2 of $\text{GL}_2(q)$, we write $[\rho_1 \boxtimes \rho_2]$ for the restriction of $\rho_1 \boxtimes \rho_2$ from $\text{GL}_2(q)^2$ to $\text{GL}_{2,2}(q)$. Every irreducible representation of $\text{GL}_{2,2}(q)$ occurs as a subrepresentation of $[\rho_1 \boxtimes \rho_2]$ for some ρ_1, ρ_2 .

Define the matrices

$$s_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & & 1 \\ & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad s_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & -1 & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad u_n = \begin{bmatrix} & & & 1 \\ \varpi^n & & & \\ & -\varpi^n & & \\ & & & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The images of s_1 and s_2 generate the Weyl group $W := N_G(T)/T$, and $u_n \in N_G(\text{Si}(\mathfrak{p}^n))$ is the Atkin-Lehner element. Note $u_1 \in N_G(\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p}))$ and represents the unique nontrivial coset in $N_G(\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p}))/Z(G)\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p})$.

For an irreducible complex representation σ of a group, we write ω_σ for its central character. If H is a subgroup of G and $\rho : H \rightarrow \text{GL}(W)$ is a representation of H , then $\text{c-Ind}_H^G(\rho)$ is the space of functions $f : G \rightarrow W$ such that $f(hg) = \rho(h)f(g)$ for all $h \in H, g \in G$, with f being smooth and having compact support modulo H . This space is a smooth representation of G under right translation.

If π is a depth zero supercuspidal representation of G then π arises in one of two ways. Either $\pi = \text{c-Ind}_{ZK}^G(\rho)$ where $\rho|_K$ is an inflation of an irreducible cuspidal representation of $K/K^+ = \text{GSp}(4, q)$, or $\pi = \text{c-Ind}_{N_G(\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p}))}^G(\tau)$ where τ is an irreducible representation of $N_G(\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p}))$ and $\tau|_{\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p})}$ is an inflation of a cuspidal representation of $\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p})/\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p})^+ = \text{GL}_{2,2}(q)$. In the second case, $\tau|_{\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p})}$ is either σ or $\sigma \oplus \sigma^{u_1}$, with the latter case occurring if and only if $\sigma \not\cong \sigma^{u_1}$; here $\sigma^{u_1}(g) := \sigma(u_1^{-1}gu_1)$. We will compute $\dim \pi^{\text{Si}(\mathfrak{p}^n)}$ for all such π that come from $\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p})$. In a companion article we will handle the case of K . We mention without proof that for the nongeneric π arising from K , one has $\pi^{\text{Si}(\mathfrak{p}^n)} = 0$ for all $n \geq 0$. So this article contains all the interesting cases of Siegel vectors in nongeneric depth zero supercuspidals.

3 Siegel vectors for $\pi = \text{c-Ind}_{N_G(\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p}))}^G(\tau)$

For $g \in G$ and fixed $n \geq 0$ define the objects

$$\begin{aligned} [g] &= N_G(\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p}))g\text{Si}(\mathfrak{p}^n) \\ R_g &= (g\text{Si}(\mathfrak{p}^n)g^{-1} \cap \mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p}))\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p})^+/\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p})^+ \\ \text{Supp}(\pi) &= \{[g] : \sigma^{R_g} \neq 0\} = \{[g] : \exists f \in \pi^{\text{Si}(\mathfrak{p}^n)}, f(g) \neq 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\dim \pi^{\text{Si}(\mathfrak{p}^n)} = \sum_{[g] \in \text{Supp}(\pi)} \dim \sigma^{R_g}.$$

We therefore require a determination of $\text{Supp}(\pi)$ and of $\dim \sigma^{R_g}$ for $[g] \in \text{Supp}(\pi)$. Observe that

$$N_G(\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p})) \cap g\text{Si}(\mathfrak{p}^n)g^{-1} = \mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p}) \cap g\text{Si}(\mathfrak{p}^n)g^{-1}$$

since $\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p})$ is the unique maximal compact subgroup of $N_G(\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p}))$.

3.1 Character table computations

We first compute $\dim \sigma^R$ for those subgroups R which will turn out to be the R_g above.

3.1 Remark. *When q is odd, the image of $Z(\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p}))$ has index 2 in $Z(\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p})/\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p})^+)$ with a representative of the complement given by $(I_2, -I_2)$. A priori, we only know that central characters of representations of $\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p})/\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p})^+$ must be trivial on the image of $Z(\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p}))$ in order for the associated compact induction to have Siegel vectors. As a consequence of the next result, we will see that the relevant representations of $\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p})/\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p})^+$ are precisely the ones with trivial central character.*

3.2 Lemma. *Let ρ_1 and ρ_2 be irreducible cuspidal representations of $\text{GL}_2(q)$ with $\omega_{\rho_1}\omega_{\rho_2} = 1$.*

a) *If $\sigma = [\rho_1 \boxtimes \rho_2]$ and $R = \{ \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & \\ & b \end{smallmatrix} \right), \left(\begin{smallmatrix} c & \\ & abc^{-1} \end{smallmatrix} \right) : a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times \}$ then*

$$\dim \sigma^R = \begin{cases} 1 + \omega_{\rho_i}(-1) & \text{if } q \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ 1 & \text{if } q \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \end{cases}.$$

b) *If $\sigma \subsetneq [\rho_1 \boxtimes \rho_2]$ and $R = \{ \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & \\ & b \end{smallmatrix} \right), \left(\begin{smallmatrix} c & \\ & abc^{-1} \end{smallmatrix} \right) : a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times \}$ then q is odd and*

$$\dim \sigma^R = \frac{1 + \omega_{\rho_i}(-1)}{2} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } q \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \\ 0 & \text{if } q \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \end{cases}.$$

c) *If $\sigma = [\rho_1 \boxtimes \rho_2]$ and $R = \{ \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & \\ u & a \end{smallmatrix} \right), \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & \\ u & a \end{smallmatrix} \right) : a \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times, u \in \mathbb{F}_q \}$ then*

$$\dim \sigma^R = q - 1.$$

d) *If q is even, $\sigma = [\rho_1 \boxtimes \rho_2]$ and $R = \{ \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & \\ u+a(b^2+b) & a \end{smallmatrix} \right), \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & \\ u & a \end{smallmatrix} \right) : a \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times, b, u \in \mathbb{F}_q \}$ then*

$$\dim \sigma^R = 1.$$

Proof. a) Note $\omega_{\rho_1}(a)\omega_{\rho_2}(a) = 1$ so $\omega_{\rho_1}(-1) = \omega_{\rho_2}(-1)$. Let $(t_1, t_2) \in R$. Since the ρ_i are cuspidal representations of $\text{GL}_2(q)$, the character table gives that $\dim(\rho_i) = q-1$ and $\text{tr } \rho_i(t_j) = 0$ if t_j is nonscalar. Thus the only contributions come from elements of the form (aI_2, aI_2) and, if q is odd, $(aI_2, -aI_2)$. So if q is odd then

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \sigma^R &= |R|^{-1} \sum_{(t_1, t_2) \in R} \text{tr } \rho_1(t_1) \text{tr } \rho_2(t_2) \\ &= (q-1)^{-3} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times} (\dim \rho_1)(\dim \rho_2) \omega_{\rho_1}(a) \omega_{\rho_2}(a) (1 + \omega_{\rho_2}(-1)) \end{aligned}$$

$$= 1 + \omega_{\rho_2}(-1).$$

If q is even then the same computation shows that $\dim \sigma^R = 1$.

b) This case occurs if and only if q is odd (see [Ros2016], Lemma A.6) and both ρ_i have reducible restriction to $\text{SL}_2(q)$. There then exist equidimensional irreducible representations σ_{\pm} of $\text{SL}_2(q)$ with $\rho_1|_{\text{SL}_2(q)} = \rho_2|_{\text{SL}_2(q)} = \sigma_+ \oplus \sigma_-$. The character table of $\text{SL}_2(q)$ gives $\text{tr} \sigma_{\pm} \left(\begin{bmatrix} t & \\ & t^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \right) = 0$ if $t \neq \pm 1$. If $x \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}$ is not a square then $\rho_i \left(\begin{bmatrix} x & \\ & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right)$ interchanges σ_+ and σ_- . It follows that

$$\sigma|_{\text{SL}_2(q)^2} \in \{ \sigma_+ \boxtimes \sigma_+ \oplus \sigma_- \boxtimes \sigma_-, \sigma_+ \boxtimes \sigma_- \oplus \sigma_- \boxtimes \sigma_+ \}.$$

Let $(t_1, t_2) \in R$. If $\det(t_1) = \det(t_2)$ is not a square, then $\sigma(t_1, t_2)$ interchanges the two summands, so $\text{tr} \sigma(t_1, t_2) = 0$. If $\det(t_1) = \det(t_2) = a^2$ then $(t_1, t_2) = (aI_2, aI_2)(t'_1, t'_2)$ with $\det(t'_i) = 1$, so $\text{tr} \sigma(t_1, t_2) = \text{tr} \sigma(t'_1, t'_2)$ and is zero unless $t'_1 = t'_2 = \pm I_2$ or $t'_1 = -t'_2 = \pm I_2$, which implies the t_i are scalar matrices. Clearly $\text{tr} \sigma(aI_2, \pm aI_2) = \dim \sigma \cdot \omega_{\sigma}(1, \pm 1) = \frac{(q-1)^2}{2} \omega_{\rho_2}(\pm 1)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \sigma^R &= |R|^{-1} \sum_{(t_1, t_2) \in R} \text{tr} \sigma(t_1, t_2) \\ &= (q-1)^{-3} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}} (\text{tr} \sigma(aI_2, aI_2) + \text{tr} \sigma(aI_2, -aI_2)) \\ &= \frac{1 + \omega_{\rho_2}(-1)}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we claim $\omega_{\rho_2}(-1) = (-1)^{\frac{q-3}{2}}$, from which the final conclusion follows. To see this, let $t \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}$ with $t^{q-1} = -1$ so $t^2 \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times} \setminus \mathbb{F}_q^{\times 2}$. The representation ρ_2 is associated to a character $\Lambda : \mathbb{F}_q^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$ with $\Lambda^{q-1} = \alpha \circ N$, where $N : \mathbb{F}_q^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}$ is the norm map and $\alpha : \mathbb{F}_q^{\times} \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ is the nontrivial quadratic character. Thus $\omega_{\rho_2}(-1) = \Lambda(-1) = \Lambda(t^{q-1}) = \alpha(t^{q+1}) = \alpha(-1)\alpha(t^2) = -\alpha(-1) = (-1)^{\frac{q-3}{2}}$.

c) From the character table for $\text{GL}_2(q)$ and cuspidality of ρ_i , we have $\text{tr} \rho_i \left(\begin{bmatrix} a & \\ & u \end{bmatrix} \right) = -\omega_{\rho_i}(a)$ if $u \neq 0$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \sigma^R &= |R|^{-1} \sum_{(g_1, g_2) \in R} \text{tr} \rho_1(g_1) \text{tr} \rho_2(g_2) \\ &= \frac{1}{q(q-1)} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}, u \in \mathbb{F}_q} \text{tr} \rho_1 \left(\begin{bmatrix} a & \\ & u \end{bmatrix} \right) \text{tr} \rho_2 \left(\begin{bmatrix} a & \\ & u \end{bmatrix} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{q} \left((q-1)^2 + \sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_q^{\times}} (-1)^2 \right) \\ &= q-1. \end{aligned}$$

d) Note $|\{b^2 + b : b \in \mathbb{F}_q\}| = \frac{q}{2}$. We have

$$\dim \sigma^R = |R|^{-1} \sum_{(g_1, g_2) \in R} \text{tr} \rho_1(g_1) \text{tr} \rho_2(g_2)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{2}{q^2(q-1)} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times, u \in \mathbb{F}_q, b^2+b \in \mathbb{F}_q} \text{tr } \rho_1 \left(\begin{bmatrix} a & \\ u+a(b^2+b) & a \end{bmatrix} \right) \text{tr } \rho_2 \left(\begin{bmatrix} a & \\ u & a \end{bmatrix} \right) \\
&= \frac{2}{q^2} \left((q-1) \sum_{b^2+b \in \mathbb{F}_q} \text{tr } \rho_1 \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \\ b^2+b & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) - \sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times, b^2+b \in \mathbb{F}_q} \text{tr } \rho_1 \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \\ u+b^2+b & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \right) \\
&= \frac{2}{q^2} \left((q-1)^2 - (q-1) \left(\frac{q}{2} - 1 \right) + (q-1) - \sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times, b^2+b \in \mathbb{F}_q} \text{tr } \rho_1 \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \\ u+b^2+b & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) \right) \\
&= \frac{2}{q^2} \left((q-1) \left(\frac{q}{2} + 1 \right) + (q-2) \left(\frac{q}{2} - 1 \right) - (q-1) \left(\frac{q}{2} - 1 \right) \right) \\
&= 1.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \blacksquare

Next we perform some computations which will be necessary to determine the signature of the Atkin-Lehner involution acting on $\pi^{\text{Si}(\mathfrak{p}^n)}$. Let $w = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(q)$. Observe that the conjugation action of u_1 on $\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p})$ descends to an action on $\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p})/\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p})^+ \cong \text{GL}_{2,2}(q)$, which can be described as the composition (in either order) of swapping factors and conjugation by (w, w) . The conditions $\sigma = [\rho_1 \boxtimes \rho_2] = \sigma^{u_1}$, and $\omega_\sigma = 1$ are equivalent to the given conditions on σ in the next lemma.

3.3 Lemma. *Suppose that $\sigma = [\lambda\rho\boxtimes\rho]$ for some irreducible cuspidal representation ρ of $\text{GL}_2(q)$ and $\lambda : \mathbb{F}_q^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ satisfying $\omega_\rho(-1) = 1$, and $(\lambda\omega_\rho)^2 = 1$. Let $s = s_\sigma$ be the involution acting on σ by $s(v_1 \otimes v_2) = v_2 \otimes v_1$.*

- a) *If q is even then s acts trivially on σ^R for each of the three subgroups R in Lemma 3.2.*
- b) *If q is even and $R = \{ \left(\begin{bmatrix} a & \\ & b \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} c & \\ & abc^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \right) : a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times \}$ then $\sigma(w, w)$ acts trivially on σ^R .*
- c) *Suppose q is odd and $R = \{ \left(\begin{bmatrix} a & \\ & b \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} c & \\ & abc^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \right) : a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times \}$. If $\lambda\omega_\rho = 1$ then $\sigma(w, w)$ and s act trivially on σ^R . If $\lambda\omega_\rho \neq 1$ then $\sigma(w, w)$ acts by $(-1)^{\frac{q-3}{2}}$ and s acts with signature 0.*

Proof. a), b). Since q is even we may replace ρ by $\lambda^{-1/2}\rho$, and so assume $\lambda = \omega_\rho = 1$.

Suppose first $R = \{ \left(\begin{bmatrix} a & \\ & b \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} c & \\ & abc^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \right) : a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times \}$. Let $S = \{ \begin{bmatrix} a & \\ & b \end{bmatrix} : a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times \}$. Since ρ is cuspidal, $\text{tr } \rho \begin{bmatrix} a & \\ & b \end{bmatrix} = (q-1)\delta_{a,b}$. Thus

$$\dim \rho^S = \frac{1}{(q-1)^2} \sum_{a, b \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times} \text{tr } \rho \begin{bmatrix} a & \\ & b \end{bmatrix} = 1.$$

Let v be a nonzero vector in ρ^S . Then clearly $v \otimes v \in \sigma^R$ and is fixed by s . Since $\rho(w)v \in V^S$, we have $\rho(w)v = \pm v$ (in fact $\rho(w)v = -v$ using the character table). Thus $\sigma(w, w)(v \otimes v) = \rho(w)v \otimes \rho(w)v = v \otimes v$. Since $\dim \sigma^R = 1$ by Lemma 3.2, the conclusion follows.

Next, suppose $R = \{ \left(\begin{bmatrix} a & \\ u & a \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} a & \\ u & a \end{bmatrix} \right) : a \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times, u \in \mathbb{F}_q \}$. Fix a nontrivial character $\psi : \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$, and for each $a \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ let $v_a \in \rho$ be the vector, unique up to scalars, such that $\rho \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \\ u & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) v_a = \psi(au)v_a$ for all $u \in \mathbb{F}_q$. The existence and uniqueness of v_a is equivalent to the genericity of cuspidal representations of $\text{GL}_2(q)$ (and uniqueness of Whittaker models), which can be proved directly with the character table. So $\sigma \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \\ u & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \\ u & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) (v_a \otimes v_a) = \psi(au)v_a \otimes \psi(au)v_a = \psi(2au)(v_a \otimes v_a) =$

$v_a \otimes v_a$ since q is even. We have $\dim \sigma^R = q - 1$ by Lemma 3.2, so one basis for σ^R consists of the vectors $\{v_a \otimes v_a : a \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times\}$. The conclusion follows.

If $R = \{([\begin{smallmatrix} u+a(b^2+b) & a \\ u & a \end{smallmatrix}], [\begin{smallmatrix} a & \\ u & a \end{smallmatrix}]) : a \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times, b, u \in \mathbb{F}_q\}$, then σ^R is a subspace of the one considered in the previous case, hence the conclusion follows.

c) By Lemma 3.2 we have $\dim \sigma^R = 2$. We will compute the signature of $\sigma(w, w)$ on σ^R and see that it is either 2 or $2(-1)^{\frac{q-3}{2}}$, according to $\lambda\omega_\rho$ being trivial and nontrivial. This signature equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{(q-1)^3} \sum_{a,b,c \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times} \text{tr} \sigma(w [\begin{smallmatrix} a & \\ & b \end{smallmatrix}], w [\begin{smallmatrix} c & \\ & abc^{-1} \end{smallmatrix}])) \\ &= \frac{1}{(q-1)^3} \sum_{a,b,c \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times} \lambda(ab) \text{tr} \rho([\begin{smallmatrix} -a & b \\ & \end{smallmatrix}])) \text{tr} \rho([\begin{smallmatrix} -c & abc^{-1} \\ & \end{smallmatrix}])) \\ &= \frac{1}{(q-1)^3} \sum_{a,b,c \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times} \lambda(ab^{-1}) (\lambda\omega_\rho)^2(b) \text{tr} \rho([\begin{smallmatrix} -ab^{-1} & 1 \\ & \end{smallmatrix}]))^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{q-1} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times} \lambda(a) \text{tr} \rho([\begin{smallmatrix} -a & 1 \\ & \end{smallmatrix}]))^2 \\ &= \frac{4}{q-1} \sum_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times \setminus -\mathbb{F}_q^{\times 2}} \lambda(a) \omega_\rho(a). \end{aligned}$$

In the second equality we used the fact that the two matrices are conjugate. The characteristic polynomial of $[\begin{smallmatrix} -a & 1 \\ & \end{smallmatrix}]]$ is $x^2 + a$. From the character table of $\text{GL}_2(q)$ we get no contribution if $-a$ is a square. Let $\theta : \mathbb{F}_{q^2}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ with $\theta \neq \theta^q$ correspond to ρ . Note $\omega_\rho = \theta|_{\mathbb{F}_q^\times}$. If $-a$ is a nonsquare then $\text{tr} \rho([\begin{smallmatrix} -a & 1 \\ & \end{smallmatrix}]))^2 = (-\theta(\sqrt{-a}) - \theta(-\sqrt{-a}))^2 = 4\theta(\sqrt{-a})^2 = 4\theta(-a) = 4\omega_\rho(a)$. This explains the last equality. If $\lambda\omega_\rho = 1$, the conclusion follows since there are $\frac{q-1}{2}$ terms in the summation. If $\lambda\omega_\rho$ is the nontrivial quadratic character and $-a$ is a nonsquare then $(\lambda\omega_\rho)(a) = (\lambda\omega_\rho)(-1)(\lambda\omega_\rho)(-a) = (-1)^{\frac{q-1}{2}}(-1) = (-1)^{\frac{q-3}{2}}$. The conclusion follows.

Finally, we consider the action of s . Since q is odd, there is an explicit model of ρ on the space $\{f : \mathbb{F}_q^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times\}$ in which we have $(\rho[\begin{smallmatrix} a & \\ & b \end{smallmatrix}]))f)(x) = \omega_\rho(b)f(\frac{ax}{b})$; we will not need the formulas for the other operators. Therefore σ can be realized on $\{f : \mathbb{F}_q^\times \times \mathbb{F}_q^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times\}$, $(sf)(x, y) = f(y, x)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma([\begin{smallmatrix} a & \\ & b \end{smallmatrix}]), [\begin{smallmatrix} ac & \\ & bc^{-1} \end{smallmatrix}]))f)(x, y) &= \lambda(ab)\omega_\rho(b^2c^{-1})f(ab^{-1}x, ab^{-1}c^2y) \\ &= \lambda(ab^{-1})\omega_\rho(c^{-1})f(ab^{-1}x, ab^{-1}c^2y), \end{aligned}$$

so f is R -invariant if and only if $f(x, y) = \lambda(a)\omega_\rho(c^{-1})f(ax, ac^2y)$. Let $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ be a fixed nonsquare. For $\zeta \in \{1, \varepsilon\}$, define the functions $f_1, f_2 \in \sigma$ by

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(x, x\zeta d^2) &= \lambda(x^{-1})\omega_\rho(d)\delta_{1,\zeta} \\ f_2(x, x\zeta d^2) &= \lambda(x^{-1})\omega_\rho(d)\delta_{\varepsilon,\zeta}. \end{aligned}$$

Here $\delta_{a,b}$ denotes the Kronecker delta function. It is easy to show that f_1 and f_2 lie in σ^R , hence are a basis. The reader may verify that $f_1(y, x) = f_1(x, y)$ and $f_2(y, x) = (\lambda\omega_\rho)(\varepsilon)f_2(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$. The conclusion follows. \blacksquare

3.4 Lemma. *Suppose $\sigma \neq \sigma^{u_1}$ is an irreducible representation of $\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p})/\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p})^+$ with $\omega_\sigma = 1$ and τ is an irreducible representation of $N_G(\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p}))$ containing the inflation of σ . If R is a subgroup of $\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p})/\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p})^+$ and $s \in u_1\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p})/\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p})^+$ normalizes R , then the trace of $\tau(s)$ on τ^R is zero.*

Proof. We have $\tau^R = \sigma^R \oplus (\sigma^{u_1})^R$, so $\tau(s)$ acts by an operator of the form $[\ast \ast]$. Alternatively, one may appeal to the formula for induced characters. ■

3.2 Determination of $\text{Supp}(\pi)$

In this section we obtain a parametrization of $\text{Supp}(\pi)$. We have $G = IN_G(T)I$ by a well-known decomposition (see [Iw1965]). Since $\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p}) \supset I$ and $\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p})$ contains a set of representatives for $N_G(T)/T$, using the Iwahori factorization for I we may take double coset representatives for $N_G(\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p})) \backslash G / \text{Si}(\mathfrak{p}^n)$ to have the form $t_{i,j}S(x, y, z)$ where

$$t_{i,j} := \begin{bmatrix} \varpi^{2i+j} & & & \\ & \varpi^{i+j} & & \\ & & \varpi^i & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad S(x, y, z) := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ x & y & 1 & \\ z & x & & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

with $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{p}$. Since

$$[t_{i,j}S(x, y, z)] = [t_{-1,1}s_1 t_{i,j}S(x, y, z)s_1] = [t_{-i-1,2i+j+1}S(x, z, y)]$$

we can and do assume $i \geq 0$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} [t_{i,j}S(x, y, z)] &= [S(\varpi^{-i-j}x, 0, 0)t_{i,j}S(0, y, z)] \\ &= [S(0, \varpi^{-j}y, 0)t_{i,j}S(x, 0, z)] \\ &= [S(0, 0, \varpi^{-2i-j}z)t_{i,j}S(x, y, 0)] \end{aligned}$$

we may assume $\text{val}(x) \leq i + j$ or $x = 0$, $\text{val}(y) \leq j - 1$ or $y = 0$, and $\text{val}(z) \leq 2i + j$ or $z = 0$, respectively. We now obtain additional relations on the valuations of x, y , and z .

3.5 Lemma. *Suppose that $i \geq 0$ and consider the double coset $[t_{i,j}S(x, y, z)]$.*

a) *If $x \neq 0$ we may assume that $0 \leq i + \text{val}(y/x)$.*

b) *If $xyz \neq 0$ and $\text{val}(z) = 2i + 1 + \text{val}(y)$ then we may assume that $i + \text{val}(y/x) \leq e - 1$.*

Proof. Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ c & 1 & & \\ & & 1 & \\ -c & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ where $c \in \mathfrak{p}^{i+1}$. Then

$$[t_{i,j}S(x, y, z)] = [(t_{i,j}At_{i,j}^{-1})t_{i,j}(A^{-1}S(x, y, z)A)] = [t_{i,j}S(x + cy, y, z + 2cx + c^2y)].$$

a) If $\text{val}(x) > i + \text{val}(y)$ take $c = -x/y \in \mathfrak{p}^{i+1}$ so $[t_{i,j}S(x, y, z)] = [t_{i,j}S(0, y, z - x^2/y)]$.

b) Let $k = \text{val}(zy/x^2)$. If $i + \text{val}(y/x) \geq e$ then $k = 1 + 2(i + \text{val}(y/x)) \geq 1 + 2e$ so there exists a unique $s \in 1 + \mathfrak{p}^{k-e}$ with $s^2 = 1 - zy/x^2$. Taking $c = -\frac{x}{y}(1 - s) \in \mathfrak{p}^{\text{val}(x/y)+k-e} = \mathfrak{p}^{2i+1+\text{val}(y/x)-e} \subset \mathfrak{p}^{i+1}$ shows $[t_{i,j}S(x, y, z)] = [t_{i,j}S(xs, y, 0)]$. ■

Define the two subgroups $U_1, U_2 \subset \text{GL}_{2,2}(q)$ by

$$U_1 = \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \\ \ast & 1 \end{bmatrix}, I_2 \right), \quad U_2 = \left(I_2, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \\ & \ast & \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

and observe that if $R_g \supset U_1$ or $R_g \supset U_2$ then $\tau^{R_g} = 0$ by cuspidality of σ .

3.6 Lemma. *Let $i \geq 0$. If $[t_{i,j}S(x, y, z)] \in \text{Supp}(\pi)$ then:*

- a) *We must have $1 \leq j \leq n - 2 - 2i$.*
- b) *We may assume $\text{val}(x^2) \geq 2i + j + 2$ and $\text{val}(y^2) \geq j + 1$,*
- c) *We may assume $\text{val}(z) = 2i + 1 + \text{val}(y)$.*

Proof. Let $g = t_{i,j}S(x, y, z)$.

- a) If $2i + j \geq n - 1$ then take $c \in \mathfrak{p}^{2i+j+1-n}$ and compute

$$g \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & 1 & \\ \varpi^n c & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} g^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & 1 & \\ \varpi^{n-2i-j} c & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

So $R_g \supset U_1$. If $j \leq 0$ then take $b \in \mathfrak{o}$ and compute

$$g \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ xb & 1 & b & \\ & & 1 & \\ -xb & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} g^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 1 - yb & \varpi^j b & \\ & \varpi^{-j} y^2 b & 1 - yb & \\ \varpi^{-2i-j} x^2 b & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Observe that $\varpi^{-j} y^2 b \in \mathfrak{p}$. If $x = 0$ then taking $b \in \mathfrak{p}^{-j}$ shows R_g contains a conjugate of U_2 . If $x \neq 0$, then $2i + j - 2\text{val}(x) + 1 \neq -j$ by parity. So let $M := \max(2i + j - 2\text{val}(x) + 1, -j) \geq 0$ and take $b \in \mathfrak{p}^M$. Thus R_g contains either U_1 or a conjugate of U_2 .

- b) Suppose $\text{val}(x^2) \leq 2i + j + 1$, so $x \neq 0$ and we may assume $0 \leq i + \text{val}(y/x)$ by Lemma 3.5. Let $b \in \mathfrak{p}^{2i+j+1-\text{val}(x^2)}$ and compute

$$g \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 1 & b & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} g^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ -\varpi^{-i} xb & 1 - yb & \varpi^j b & \\ \varpi^{-i-j} xyb & \varpi^{-j} y^2 b & 1 - yb & \\ -\varpi^{-2i-j} x^2 b & \varpi^{-i-j} xyb & \varpi^{-i} xb & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since $j \geq 1$ and $\text{val}(x) \leq i + j$, we have $\varpi^{-i} xb \in \mathfrak{p}^{i+j-\text{val}(x)} \subset \mathfrak{p}$, $\varpi^{-i-j} xyb \in \mathfrak{p}^{i+1+\text{val}(y/x)} \subset \mathfrak{p}$, and $\varpi^{-j} y^2 b \in \mathfrak{p}^{1+2(i+\text{val}(y/x))} \subset \mathfrak{p}$. So $R_g \supset U_1$ and we may assume $\text{val}(x^2) \geq 2i + j + 2$.

If $0 \leq i + \text{val}(y/x)$ then $2i + j + 2 \leq \text{val}(x^2) \leq 2i + \text{val}(y^2)$ so $\text{val}(y^2) \geq j + 2$. So if $\text{val}(y^2) \leq j$ then $\text{val}(x) > i + \text{val}(y) \geq i + 1$ and we repeat the previous computation, taking now $b \in \mathfrak{p}^{j-\text{val}(y^2)}$ and noting then that $xb \in \mathfrak{p}^{i+1}$, $xyb \in \mathfrak{p}^{j+\text{val}(x/y)} \subset \mathfrak{p}^{i+j+1}$, and $x^2 b \in \mathfrak{p}^{j+2\text{val}(x/y)} \subset \mathfrak{p}^{2i+j+2}$. So $R_g \supset U_2$ and we may assume that $\text{val}(y^2) \geq j + 1$.

- c) Suppose $\text{val}(z) \neq 2i + 1 + \text{val}(y)$. If $1 \leq \text{val}(y) \leq j - 1$ or $1 \leq \text{val}(z) \leq 2i + j$ then let $M := \max(j - \text{val}(y), 2i + j + 1 - \text{val}(z)) \geq 1$ and take $a \in 1 + \mathfrak{p}^M$. Then compute

$$g \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & a & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & a \end{bmatrix} g^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & a & & \\ & & \varpi^{-j} y(a - 1) & 1 \\ \varpi^{-2i-j} z(1 - a) & & & a \end{bmatrix}$$

so either $R_g \supset U_1$ or $R_g \supset U_2$. On the other hand, if $\text{val}(y) \geq j$ and $\text{val}(z) \geq 2i + j + 1$ then $[g] = [t_{i,j}S(x, 0, 0)]$. ■

3.7 Lemma. Suppose $[g] \in \text{Supp}(\pi)$ and let $M = \begin{bmatrix} * & * & & \\ * & * & & \\ & * & * & \\ & & * & * \end{bmatrix} \cap G$ be the Siegel Levi subgroup of G . Then

$$\begin{aligned} [g] &= N_G(\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p}))g(\text{Si}(\mathfrak{p}^n) \cap M) \\ R_g &= (g(\text{Si}(\mathfrak{p}^n) \cap M)g^{-1} \cap \mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p}))\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p})^+/\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p})^+. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We may take $g = t_{i,j}S(x, y, z)$ with $i \geq 0$, $1 \leq j \leq n - 2 - 2i$, $\text{val}(x^2) \geq 2i + j + 2$, $\text{val}(y^2) \geq j + 1$, and $\text{val}(z) = 2i + 1 + \text{val}(y)$. Since $2i + j \leq n - 2$ we have

$$g \begin{bmatrix} I_2 & \\ \varpi^n C & I_2 \end{bmatrix} g^{-1} \subset \mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p})^+$$

for all $C = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 & c_2 \\ c_3 & c_1 \end{bmatrix} \in M_2(\mathfrak{o})$. Since $\text{val}(xy), \text{val}(yz) \geq i + j + 1$ and $\text{val}(xz), \text{val}(z^2) \geq 2i + j + 2$ we have

$$g \begin{bmatrix} I_2 & B \\ & I_2 \end{bmatrix} g^{-1} \subset \mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p})^+$$

for all $B = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 & b_2 \\ b_3 & b_1 \end{bmatrix} \in M_2(\mathfrak{o})$. Every $s \in \text{Si}(\mathfrak{p}^n)$ can be written (uniquely) as

$$s = \begin{bmatrix} I_2 & \\ \varpi^n C & I_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A & \\ & \lambda A' \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I_2 & B \\ & I_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

with the factors in any order. The conclusions all follow. \blacksquare

Thanks to Lemma 3.6, if $[g] \in \text{Supp}(\pi)$ then we may assume that g takes on one of four forms: $g = t_{i,j}$, $g = t_{i,j}S(x, 0, 0)$, $g = t_{i,j}S(0, y, z)$, or $g = t_{i,j}S(x, y, z)$ where $\text{val}(z) = 2i + 1 + \text{val}(y)$. In the last case, when $xyz \neq 0$, Lemma 3.5 then shows that we may assume q is even and $i + \text{val}(y/x) = 0$. We now complete the determination of necessary and sufficient conditions to have $[g] \in \text{Supp}(\pi)$ for each of these forms.

3.8 Lemma. Suppose $i \geq 0$, $1 \leq j \leq n - 2 - 2i$, $\text{val}(x^2) \geq 2i + j + 2$, $\text{val}(y^2) \geq j + 1$, and $\text{val}(z) = 2i + 1 + \text{val}(y)$.

a) If q is even, or if q is odd and σ has trivial central character, then $[t_{i,j}] \in \text{Supp}(\pi)$ and

$$R_{t_{i,j}} = \{([{}^a b], [{}^c_{abc^{-1}}]) : a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times\}.$$

b) If $\text{val}(x) \leq i + j$ then $[t_{i,j}S(x, 0, 0)] \in \text{Supp}(\pi)$ if and only if q is even and $\text{val}(x) = i + j$, in which case

$$R_{t_{i,j}S(x,0,0)} = \{([{}^a b], [{}^c_{abc^{-1}}]) : a, b, c \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times\}.$$

c) If $\text{val}(y) \leq j - 1$ then $[t_{i,j}S(0, y, z)] \in \text{Supp}(\pi)$ if and only if q is even and if $j - \text{val}(y) \leq 2$. If $j - \text{val}(y) = 1$ then $R_{t_{i,j}S(0,y,z)}$ is conjugate to

$$\{([{}^a_u a], [{}^a_u a]) : a \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times, u \in \mathbb{F}_q\}.$$

If $j - \text{val}(y) = 2$ then $R_{t_{i,j}S(0,y,z)}$ is conjugate to

$$\{([u+a(b^2+b) \ a], [a \ a]) : a \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times, u, b \in \mathbb{F}_q\}.$$

d) Suppose q is even, $\text{val}(y) \leq j - 1$, and $i + \text{val}(y/x) = 0$. Then $[t_{i,j}S(x,y,z)] \in \text{Supp}(\pi)$ if and only if $j - \text{val}(y) = 1$, in which case $R_{t_{i,j}S(x,y,z)}$ is conjugate to

$$\{([u+a(b^2+b) \ a], [a \ a]) : a \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times, u, b \in \mathbb{F}_q\}.$$

We refer to the types of double cosets that appear in this lemma as types I, II, IIIa, IIIb, and IV, respectively; see Table 1.

Proof. Let $g = t_{i,j}S(x,y,z)$. Lemma 3.2 shows that if R_g has any of the claimed forms and $\omega_\sigma = 1$ then $[g] \in \text{Supp}(\pi)$. Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 \\ a_3 & a_4 \end{bmatrix} \in \text{GL}_2(\mathfrak{o})$, and $A' = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & -a_2 \\ -a_3 & a_4 \end{bmatrix}$.

a) This is clear.

b) Suppose $\text{val}(2x) \leq i + j$. Let $a \in \mathfrak{p}^{i+j+1-\text{val}(2x)} \subset \mathfrak{p}$ and compute

$$g \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ \varpi^i a & 1 & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & -\varpi^i a & 1 \end{bmatrix} g^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ a & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \\ 2x\varpi^{-i-j}a & & -a & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Thus $R_g \supset U_1$. Conversely, suppose that $\text{val}(2x) \geq i + j + 1$ and compute

$$g \begin{bmatrix} A & \\ & \lambda A' \end{bmatrix} g^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & \varpi^i a_2 & & \\ \varpi^{-i} a_3 & a_4 & & \\ \varpi^{-i-j} x a_1 (1 - \lambda) & \varpi^{-j} x a_2 (1 + \lambda) & \lambda a_1 & -\varpi^i \lambda a_2 \\ \varpi^{-2i-j} x a_3 (1 + \lambda) & \varpi^{-i-j} x a_4 (1 - \lambda) & -\varpi^{-i} \lambda a_3 & \lambda a_4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

For this to lie in $\mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{p})$ we must have $a_3 \in \mathfrak{p}^{i+1} \subset \mathfrak{p}$, so $a_1, a_4 \in \mathfrak{o}^\times$, and hence $\lambda \in 1 + \mathfrak{p}^{i+j+1-\text{val}(x)}$. Therefore $1 + \lambda \in 2 + \mathfrak{p}^{i+j+1-\text{val}(x)} \subset \mathfrak{p}^{i+j+1-\text{val}(x)}$, so $x a_3 (1 + \lambda) \in \mathfrak{p}^{2i+j+2}$ and $x a_2 (1 + \lambda) \in \mathfrak{p}^{j+1}$. Thus R_g is as claimed.

c) Suppose $2e < j - \text{val}(y)$. Set $a = 1 + \frac{v\varpi^j}{2y}$ where $v \in \mathfrak{o}$. Then compute

$$g \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & a & & \\ & & a^{-1} & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} g^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & a & & \\ \varpi^{-j} y (a - a^{-1}) & & a^{-1} & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and observe that

$$\varpi^{-j} y (a - a^{-1}) = v a^{-1} \left(1 + \frac{v\varpi^j}{4y} \right) \equiv v \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

Thus $R_g \supset U_2$.

We may now assume q is even so $e = 1$ and $2 \geq j - \text{val}(y) \geq 1$. Then

$$g \begin{bmatrix} A & \\ & \lambda A' \end{bmatrix} g^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & \varpi^i a_2 & & \\ \varpi^{-i} a_3 & a_4 & & \\ \varpi^{-i-j} (y a_3 + z \lambda a_2) & \varpi^{-j} y (a_4 - \lambda a_1) & \lambda a_1 & -\varpi^i \lambda a_2 \\ \varpi^{-2i-j} z (a_1 - \lambda a_4) & \varpi^{-i-j} (z a_2 + y \lambda a_3) & -\varpi^{-i} \lambda a_3 & \lambda a_4 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (1)$$

It is clear that if this matrix lies in $\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p})$, then its image in $\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p})/\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p})^+$ is independent of a_2 and a_3 . So for the purposes of determining R_g , we may assume that $a_2 = a_3 = 0$. Let $a_4 = \lambda a_1 + u\varpi^j y^{-1}$ with $u \in \mathfrak{o}$. Then $a_1 - \lambda a_4 = a_1(1 - \lambda^2) - \lambda u\varpi^j y^{-1}$. Since $a_1 \in \mathfrak{o}^\times$, it is necessary and sufficient that

$$\text{val}(\lambda^2 - 1) \geq j - \text{val}(y)$$

in order for the matrix to lie in $\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p})$; assume this holds. In particular, $\lambda \pm 1 \in \mathfrak{p}$ since q is even. So $\text{val}(\lambda^2 - 1) = \text{val}(\lambda - 1) + \text{val}(\lambda + 1) \geq 2$.

If $\text{val}(\lambda^2 - 1) > j - \text{val}(y)$ then the image of the matrix (1) above in R_g will be

$$([\begin{smallmatrix} a_1 \\ su & a_1 \end{smallmatrix}], [\begin{smallmatrix} a_1 \\ u & a_1 \end{smallmatrix}]) \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$$

where $s := zy^{-1}\varpi^{-2i-1} \in \mathfrak{o}^\times$. Conjugating by $([\begin{smallmatrix} t & \\ & t^{-1} \end{smallmatrix}], [\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & \\ & 1 \end{smallmatrix}]))$ where $t^2 \equiv s \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$, we obtain the claimed form of R_g when $j - \text{val}(y) = 1$.

If $\text{val}(\lambda^2 - 1) = j - \text{val}(y) = 2$ then $\text{val}(\lambda - 1) = \text{val}(2) = 1$ so $\lambda^2 - 1 = 4v(v+1)$ for some $v \in \mathfrak{o}^\times$ and the corresponding element of R_g is

$$([\begin{smallmatrix} a_1 \\ su+s'a_1v(v+1) & a_1 \end{smallmatrix}], [\begin{smallmatrix} a_1 \\ u & a_1 \end{smallmatrix}]) \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$$

where $s' := 4z\varpi^{-2i-j-1} \in \mathfrak{o}^\times$. Conjugating by $([\begin{smallmatrix} t & \\ & t^{-1} \end{smallmatrix}], [\begin{smallmatrix} r & \\ & r^{-1} \end{smallmatrix}]))$ where $t^2 \equiv s' \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $r^2 \equiv s's^{-1} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$, we obtain the claimed form of R_g .

d) Let $t = \varpi^i y x^{-1} \in \mathfrak{o}^\times$. For $\alpha \in F$ we have

$$g \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ \alpha x/y & 1 + \alpha & & \\ & & 1 + \alpha & \\ & & -(1 + \alpha)\alpha x/y & (1 + \alpha)^2 \end{bmatrix} g^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ \alpha/t & 1 + \alpha & & \\ 0 & 0 & 1 + \alpha & \\ m & 0 & -(1 + \alpha)\alpha/t & (1 + \alpha)^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$m = \frac{\alpha x^2}{\varpi^{2i+j}y} (2 + \alpha) \left(1 - \frac{zy}{x^2}\right).$$

Let $M := j + \text{val}(z/x^2) = 2(i + \text{val}(y/x) + 1) + j - \text{val}(y) - 1 \geq 2$. Suppose first that $M > 2e$. Let $r \in \mathfrak{o}$ and take $\alpha = \frac{ry\varpi^{2i+j+1}}{2x^2} \in \mathfrak{p}^{M-e} \subset \mathfrak{p}^{e+1}$. We have $\alpha/t \in \mathfrak{p}^{e-\text{val}(y/x)-i+1} \subset \mathfrak{p}$ and

$$m = (\varpi r) \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \left(1 - \frac{zy}{x^2}\right) \equiv \varpi r \pmod{\mathfrak{p}^2}.$$

Thus $R_g \supset U_1$.

We may now assume q is even so $e = 1$ and $M = 2$, so $j - \text{val}(y) = 1$. Then

$$g \begin{bmatrix} A & & & \\ & \lambda A' & & \end{bmatrix} g^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & \varpi^i a_2 & & \\ \varpi^{-i} a_3 & a_4 & & \\ \varpi^{-i-j} m_1 & \varpi^{-j} m_2 & \lambda a_1 & -\varpi^i \lambda a_2 \\ \varpi^{-2i-j} m_3 & \varpi^{-i-j} m_4 & -\varpi^{-i} \lambda a_3 & \lambda a_4 \end{bmatrix} \quad (2)$$

where

$$m_1 = xa_1(1 - \lambda) + ya_3 + z\lambda a_2$$

$$\begin{aligned} m_2 &= xa_2(1 + \lambda) + y(a_4 - \lambda a_1) \\ m_3 &= xa_3(1 + \lambda) + z(a_1 - \lambda a_4) \\ m_4 &= xa_4(1 - \lambda) + \lambda ya_3 + za_2. \end{aligned}$$

Assuming the matrix (2) lies in $\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p})$, its image in $\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p})/\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p})^+ = \text{GL}_{2,2}(q)$ is

$$\left(\begin{bmatrix} a_1 & 0 \\ \varpi^{-2i-j-1}m_3 & \lambda a_4 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} a_4 & 0 \\ \varpi^{-j}m_2 & \lambda a_1 \end{bmatrix} \right).$$

It is necessary that $a_3 \in \mathfrak{p}^{i+1}$ and $a_1, a_4, \mathfrak{o}^\times$ in order for the matrix (2) to lie in $\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p})$. Let $u = zy^{-1}\varpi^{-2i-1} \in \mathfrak{o}^\times$, $a'_3 = \varpi^{-i-1}a_3 \in \mathfrak{o}$ and $a'_2 = \varpi^i a_2 \in \mathfrak{p}^i$. The remaining conditions to lie in $\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p})$ can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &:= \frac{m_1}{\varpi xt} = a_1 \left(\frac{1 - \lambda}{\varpi t} \right) + a'_3 + u\lambda a'_2 \in \mathfrak{p} \\ R_1 &:= \frac{m_2}{y} = a'_2 \left(\frac{1 + \lambda}{t} \right) + a_4 - \lambda a_1 \in \mathfrak{p} \\ R_2 &:= \frac{m_3}{\varpi^{i+1}xt} = a'_3 \left(\frac{1 + \lambda}{t} \right) + u(a_1 - \lambda a_4) \in \mathfrak{p} \\ S_2 &:= \frac{m_4}{\varpi xt} = a_4 \left(\frac{1 - \lambda}{\varpi t} \right) + \lambda a'_3 + u a'_2 \in \mathfrak{p}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we must have $\lambda - 1 \in \mathfrak{p}$ so $\text{val}(\lambda^2 - 1) \geq 2$. We write

$$\begin{aligned} a'_3 &= a_1 \left(\frac{\lambda - 1}{\varpi t} \right) - u\lambda a'_2 + S_1 \in \mathfrak{o} \\ a_4 &= \lambda a_1 - a'_2 \left(\frac{1 + \lambda}{t} \right) + R_1 \in \mathfrak{o}^\times, \end{aligned}$$

noting this implies $a_1 \equiv a_4 \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$, and plug these into the other two expressions to obtain the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} S_2 &= a'_2 \left(\frac{\lambda^2 - 1}{\varpi t^2} \right) (1 - u\varpi t^2) + R_1 \left(\frac{1 - \lambda}{\varpi t} \right) + \lambda S_1 \\ R_2 &= a_1 \left(\frac{\lambda^2 - 1}{\varpi t^2} \right) (1 - u\varpi t^2) - \lambda u R_1 + \left(\frac{1 + \lambda}{t} \right) S_1 \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\lambda R_2 = \left(\frac{\lambda^2 - 1}{\varpi t^2} \right) (1 - u\varpi t^2) \left(a_1 \lambda - a'_2 \left(\frac{1 + \lambda}{t} \right) + R_1 \right) - u R_1 + \left(\frac{\lambda + 1}{t} \right) S_2.$$

Note that $\left(\frac{\lambda + 1}{t} \right) S_2 \in \mathfrak{p}^2$, so

$$\frac{\lambda R_2}{\varpi} \equiv \left(\frac{\lambda^2 - 1}{\varpi^2 t^2} \right) a_1 - \frac{u R_1}{\varpi} \pmod{\mathfrak{p}}$$

which implies a congruence relation between $\varpi^{-2i-j-1}m_3$ and $\varpi^{-j}m_2$. The rest of the argument is similar to the previous case. \blacksquare

4 Counting double cosets

We must enumerate the elements of $\text{Supp}(\pi)$. Since $[g]$ determines R_g up to $\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p})/\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p})^+$ -conjugacy, it follows from Lemma 3.7, Lemma 3.8, and some tedious matrix computations that there are no equalities between double cosets of different types, and that $[t_{i,j}S(x, y, z)] \in \text{Supp}(\pi)$ determines $i \geq 0$ and $j \geq 1$, as well as $\text{val}(x)$ and $\text{val}(y)$ in those cases when $x \neq 0$ and/or $y \neq 0$. Since $[t_{i,j}S(x, 0, 0)] = [t_{i,j}S(\varpi^{\text{val}(x)}, 0, 0)]$ if $x \neq 0$ by using integral diagonal matrices, these considerations quickly yield the following two results.

4.1 Lemma. *The number of double cosets of type I is*

$$\left\lfloor \frac{(n-1)^2}{4} \right\rfloor.$$

Proof. Compute $\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-j-2}{2} \rfloor} (1) = \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} \left\lfloor \frac{n-j}{2} \right\rfloor = \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} \left\lfloor \frac{j}{2} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{(n-1)^2}{4} \right\rfloor$. ■

4.2 Lemma. *If q is even then the number of double cosets of type II is*

$$\begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \leq 3 \\ \left\lfloor \frac{(n-2)^2}{4} \right\rfloor & \text{if } n \geq 4 \end{cases}.$$

Proof. Since $e = 1$ we must have $i + j = \text{val}(x) \geq i + 1 + \frac{j}{2}$. So the relevant inequalities are $2 \leq j \leq n - 2 - 2i$ and $0 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n-4}{2} \rfloor$. Compute $\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-4}{2} \rfloor} (n - 3 - 2i) = \left\lfloor \frac{(n-2)^2}{4} \right\rfloor$. ■

Suppose now that $g = t_{i,j}S(0, y, z)$ is type III (so q is even). Using integral diagonal matrices, we may assume that z is a power of ϖ . So the only ambiguity that remains is counting the number of distinct double cosets of the form $[t_{i,j}S(0, u\varpi^r, \varpi^{2i+1+r})]$, where $u \in \mathfrak{o}^\times / (1 + \mathfrak{p}^{j-r})$ and i, j, r are fixed. Using integral diagonal matrices again, it is easy to see that we may adjust u by squares. A tedious matrix computation shows that there are no additional equalities, so that (i, j, r, u) form a complete set of invariants if $u \in \mathfrak{o}^\times / \mathfrak{o}^{\times 2} (1 + \mathfrak{p}^{j-r})$. In particular, there are $q^{\lfloor \frac{j-r}{2} \rfloor}$ such double cosets for fixed i, j, r .

4.3 Lemma. *If q is even and $n \geq 2$ then the number of double cosets of type IIIa is*

$$\begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \leq 3 \\ \left\lfloor \frac{(n-3)^2}{4} \right\rfloor & \text{if } n \geq 4 \end{cases}.$$

Proof. Since $e = 1$ and $j - r$ is odd we must have $j - 1 = r \geq \frac{j+1}{2}$ so the relevant inequalities are $3 \leq j \leq n - 2 - 2i$ and $0 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n-5}{2} \rfloor$. Compute $\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-5}{2} \rfloor} (n - 4 - 2i) = \left\lfloor \frac{(n-3)^2}{4} \right\rfloor$. ■

4.4 Lemma. *If q is even then the number of double cosets of type IIIb is*

$$\begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \leq 3 \\ q \left\lfloor \frac{(n-5)^2}{4} \right\rfloor & \text{if } n \geq 4 \end{cases}.$$

Proof. The conditions $j = 2 + r \leq \min(n - 2, 2r - 1)$ can only be satisfied if $n \geq 7$. If $n \geq 7$ then the number of double cosets is $\sum_{j=5}^{n-2} \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-j-2}{2} \rfloor} |\mathfrak{o}^\times / \mathfrak{o}^{\times 2}(1 + \mathfrak{p}^2)| = q \sum_{j=5}^{n-2} \left\lfloor \frac{n-j}{2} \right\rfloor = q \left\lfloor \frac{(n-5)^2}{4} \right\rfloor$. ■

Finally, suppose $g = t_{i,j}S(x, y, z)$ is type IV (so q is even). Using integral diagonal matrices, we may assume that x and z are powers of ϖ . So the only ambiguity that remains is counting the number of distinct double cosets of the form $[t_{i,j}S(\varpi^k, u\varpi^r, \varpi^{2i+1+r})]$, where $u \in \mathfrak{o}^\times / (1 + \mathfrak{p}^{j-r})$ and i, j, k, r are fixed. Observe that the conditions in Lemma 3.8 part d) ensure that $k = i + j - 1$ and $r = j - 1$, so in particular we have $u \in \mathfrak{o}^\times / (1 + \mathfrak{p})$. A tedious matrix computation shows that if $[t_{i,j}S(\varpi^{i+j-1}, u\varpi^{j-1}, \varpi^{2i+j})] = [t_{i,j}S(\varpi^{i+j-1}, u'\varpi^{j-1}, \varpi^{2i+j})]$ then $u - u' \in \mathfrak{p}$. Thus there are $q - 1$ double cosets for fixed i, j .

4.5 Lemma. *If q is even then the number of double cosets of type IV is*

$$\begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \leq 3 \\ (q - 1) \left\lfloor \frac{(n-4)^2}{4} \right\rfloor & \text{if } n \geq 4 \end{cases}.$$

Proof. From the above considerations, and since $i + j - 1 = \text{val}(x) \geq i + 1 + \frac{j}{2}$, the relevant inequalities are $4 \leq j \leq n - 2 - 2i$ and $0 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n-6}{2} \rfloor$. Since there are $q - 1$ double cosets for each i, j our count is $(q - 1) \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-6}{2} \rfloor} (n - 5 - 2i) = (q - 1) \left\lfloor \frac{(n-4)^2}{4} \right\rfloor$. ■

5 Main dimension formulas

If $\tau|_{\mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{p})} = \sigma \oplus \sigma^{u_1}$ then $\dim \tau^{R_g} = \dim \sigma^{R_g} + \dim(\sigma^{u_1})^{R_g}$. If $\tau|_{\mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{p})} = \sigma$ (which is equivalent to $\sigma = \sigma^{u_1}$), then either $\sigma = [\rho \boxtimes \lambda_0 \rho]$, in which case $\dim \tau^{R_g} = \dim \sigma^{R_g}$, or (when q is odd) $\sigma \subsetneq [\rho \boxtimes \lambda_0 \rho]$, in which case $\dim \tau^{R_g} = \dim \sigma^{R_g}$ is 1 or 0 if $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ or $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, respectively. We know the contribution of the diagonal double cosets for these nongeneric representations, and these are the only double cosets when q is odd, so we have the following result.

5.1 Theorem. *Let $\pi = \text{c-Ind}_{N_G(\mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{p}))}^G(\tau)$ be an irreducible depth zero supercuspidal representation with trivial central character. Let σ be an irreducible constituent of $\tau|_{\mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{p})}$ and of $[\rho_1 \boxtimes \rho_2]$.*

- Suppose q is odd. If $\omega_\sigma \neq 1$ then $\dim \pi^{\text{Si}(\mathfrak{p}^n)} = 0$. If $\omega_\sigma = 1$ then

$$\dim \pi^{\text{Si}(\mathfrak{p}^n)} = \left\lfloor \frac{(n-1)^2}{4} \right\rfloor \cdot \begin{cases} 4 & \text{if } \sigma \neq \sigma^{u_1} \\ 2 & \text{if } \sigma = \sigma^{u_1} = [\rho_1 \boxtimes \rho_2] \\ 1 & \text{if } \sigma \subsetneq [\rho_1 \boxtimes \rho_2] \end{cases}.$$

- Suppose q is even. For $n \geq 0$ define

$$F(n, q) := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \leq 2 \\ 1 & \text{if } n = 3 \\ 2n - 5 + q\left(\left\lfloor \frac{3n^2+1}{4} \right\rfloor - 6n + 12\right) & \text{if } n \geq 4 \end{cases}.$$

Then

$$\dim \pi^{\text{Si}(\mathfrak{p}^n)} = F(n, q) \cdot \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } \sigma \neq \sigma^{u_1} \\ 1 & \text{if } \sigma = \sigma^{u_1} \end{cases}.$$

Proof. a) This follows from the preceding remarks, the character table computations above, together with Lemmas 3.8 and 4.1.

b) This follows from the preceding remarks, the character table computations above, Lemmas 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, 4.4, 4.5, and some routine algebra. ■

5.2 Corollary. Suppose $\pi = \text{c-Ind}_{N_G(\mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{p}))}^G(\tau)$ is a depth zero (nongeneric) supercuspidal representation of $\text{GSp}(4, \mathbb{Q}_2)$ with trivial central character; there are two such π , both arising from the unique cuspidal representation of $\text{GL}_{2,2}(2)$. We have

$$\dim \pi^{\text{Si}(\mathfrak{p}^n)} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \leq 2 \\ 1 & \text{if } n = 3 \\ \left\lfloor \frac{3n^2-20n+39}{2} \right\rfloor & \text{if } n \geq 4 \end{cases}.$$

6 The Atkin-Lehner involution

In Table 1 and in this section, we make the following abbreviations:

$$\begin{aligned} X_k &= S(\varpi^k, 0, 0), \\ Y_{i,j,r}(u) &= t_{i,j}S(0, \varpi^r u, \varpi^{2i+1+r}), \\ Z_{i,j}(u) &= t_{i,j}S(\varpi^{i+j-1}, \varpi^{j-1}u, \varpi^{2i+j}). \end{aligned}$$

The goal of this section is to compute the signature of the Atkin-Lehner involution acting on $\pi^{\text{Si}(\mathfrak{p}^n)}$. We assume below that $\omega_\sigma = 1$ so that we can have $f \in \pi^{\text{Si}(\mathfrak{p}^n)} \neq 0$.

6.1 Lemma. Suppose $f \in \pi^{\text{Si}(\mathfrak{p}^n)}$, and let $u_n \in N_G(\text{Si}(\mathfrak{p}^n))$ denote the Atkin-Lehner element. Then the following equalities hold:

- a) If $g = t_{i,j}$ represents a type I double coset then

$$(\pi(u_n)f)(t_{i,j}) = \tau(u_1)f(t_{i,n-2i-j}).$$

In particular, $[gu_n] = [g]$ if and only if n is odd and $n = 2i + 2j + 1$. If n is odd then there are $\frac{n-1}{2}$ double cosets of type I fixed by u_n .

- b) If $g = t_{i,j}X_k$ represents a type II double coset (so q is even and $k = i + j$) then

$$(\pi(u_n)f)(t_{i,j}X_k) = f(t_{i,j+n-2k}X_{n-k}).$$

In particular, $[g] = [gu_n]$ if and only if n is even and $n = 2k$. If $n \geq 4$ is even then there are $\frac{(n-2)}{2}$ double cosets of type II fixed by u_n .

c) If $g = Y_{i,j,r}(u)$ represents a type III double coset (so q is even and $1 \leq j - r \leq 2$) then

$$(\pi(u_n)f)(Y_{i,j,r}(u)) = \tau(u_1)\tau\left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & u \\ & u^{-1} \end{bmatrix}\right)f(Y_{i,j+n-2r-2i-1,n-r-2i-1}(u)).$$

In particular, $[g] = [gu_n]$ if and only if n is odd and $n = 2i + 2r + 1$. If $n \geq 3$ is odd there are $\frac{n-3}{2}$ double cosets of type IIIa fixed by u_n , and $\begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } n \leq 3 \\ q\left(\frac{n-5}{2}\right) & \text{if } n \geq 5 \end{cases}$ double cosets of type IIIb fixed by u_n .

d) If $g = Z_{i,j}(u)$ represents a type IV double coset (so q is even) then

$$(\pi(u_n)f)(Z_{i,j}(u)) = f(Z_{i,n-2i-j+2}(u)).$$

In particular, $[g] = [gu_n]$ if and only if n is even and $n = 2i + 2j - 2$. If $n \geq 4$ is even there are $(q-1)\left(\frac{n-4}{2}\right)$ double cosets of type IV fixed by u_n .

Proof. a) The first claims follow from the identity

$$t_{i,j}u_n = \varpi^{i+j}u_1t_{i,n-2i-j-1}.$$

Assuming n is odd, one then has the $\frac{n-1}{2}$ double cosets $[t_{i,\frac{n-1}{2}-i}]$, with $0 \leq i \leq \frac{n-3}{2}$.

b) The first claims follow from the identity

$$t_{i,j}X_ku_n = \varpi^k \begin{bmatrix} -1 & \varpi^{i+j-k} & & \\ & 1 & & -\varpi^{i+j-k} \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & -1 \end{bmatrix} t_{i,j+n-2k}X_{n-k}.$$

Assuming n is even, one then has the fixed double cosets $[t_{i,j}X_{i+j}]$ where $j = n/2 - i \geq 2$ (since $i + j \geq i + 1 + \frac{j}{2}$ by Lemma 3.8) so $0 \leq i \leq \frac{n-4}{2}$ and the count is $\frac{(n-2)}{2}$ as claimed.

c) Let $h = \begin{bmatrix} & & \varpi^{-1} \\ & 1 - \frac{1}{\varpi^{2i+j+1}z} & \\ \varpi & & -\frac{\varpi^j}{y} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\varpi^{n+j-1}}{y^2} & & \\ & \frac{\varpi^{n+i+j}}{yz} & \\ & & \frac{z}{y\varpi^{i+1}} \end{bmatrix}$ and observe the identity

$$t_{i,j}S(0, y, z)u_n = \varpi^i y u_1 h S\left(0, \frac{\varpi^n}{z}, \frac{\varpi^n}{y}\right) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Next note that if $y = u\varpi^r$ and $z = \varpi^{2i+1+r}$, with $u \in \mathfrak{o}^\times$, then

$$S\left(0, \frac{\varpi^n}{z}, \frac{\varpi^n}{y}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & u^{-1} \\ & & & u^{-1} \end{bmatrix} S(0, u\varpi^{n-2i-1-r}, \varpi^{n-r}) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & u \end{bmatrix}.$$

Noting that h introduces a factor of $\begin{bmatrix} u^{-2} & & \\ & u^{-1} & \\ & & u^{-1} \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, the first two claims follow.

To count the number of double cosets of type IIIa fixed by u_n , note that $r = j - 1$ and $i = (n + 1)/2 - j$. The reader may verify that the relevant inequalities are $3 \leq j \leq \frac{n+1}{2}$. Hence the count is $\frac{n-3}{2}$. To count the number of double cosets of type IIIb fixed by u_n , since $r = j - 2$

and $i = (n + 3)/2 - j$, the relevant inequalities are $5 \leq j \leq \frac{n+3}{2}$. There are q double cosets for each j , as seen in the proof of Lemma 4.4. Hence the count is as claimed.

d) Let $\lambda = 1 - \frac{yz}{x^2}$, $h = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\varpi^{2i+j+n}}{x^2} & & & \\ & \frac{\varpi^{i+j+n}}{x^2\lambda} & & \\ & & \lambda\varpi^i & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}$, $B = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\lambda\varpi^i x} & -1 & & \\ & & \lambda^{-1} & \\ & & \frac{z}{\lambda^2\varpi^i x} & -\lambda^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$ and observe the identity

$$t_{i,j}S(x, y, z)u_n = x \begin{bmatrix} -1 & \frac{\varpi^i y}{x} & \frac{\varpi^{i+j}}{x\lambda} & \\ & -1 & \frac{-\varpi^j z}{x^2\lambda} & \frac{\varpi^{i+j}}{x} \\ & & 1 & \frac{\varpi^i y}{x} \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} BhS \left(\frac{\varpi^n}{x}, \frac{\varpi^n y}{x^2}, \frac{\varpi^n z}{x^2} \right) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & \lambda & \\ & & & \lambda \end{bmatrix}.$$

Noting that $\text{val}(x) = i + \text{val}(y) = \text{val}(z) - i - 1$ and $\text{val}(\frac{yz}{x^2}) = 1$, the identity shows the first two claims.

To count the number of double cosets of type IV fixed by u_n , one argues in a manner similar to the previous cases. \blacksquare

6.2 Theorem. *Let $\pi = \text{c-Ind}_{N_G(\mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{p}))}^G(\tau)$ be an irreducible depth zero supercuspidal representation with trivial central character. Let σ be an irreducible constituent of $\tau|_{\mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{p})}$ and assume $\omega_\sigma = 1$. Let $s(\pi, n)$ denote the signature of the Atkin-Lehner involution on $\pi^{\text{Si}(\mathfrak{p}^n)}$.*

a) *Suppose $n \geq 4$ is even. If q is odd then $s(\pi, n) = 0$. If q is even then*

$$s(\pi, n) = \left(1 + \frac{q(n-4)}{2} \right) \cdot \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } \sigma \neq \sigma^{u_1} \\ 1 & \text{if } \sigma = \sigma^{u_1} \end{cases}$$

b) *Suppose $n \geq 3$ is odd. If q is even then*

$$s(\pi, n) = \pm \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \sigma \neq \sigma^{u_1} \\ 1 & \text{if } n = 3 \text{ and } \sigma = \sigma^{u_1} \\ 1 + q(n-4) & \text{if } n \geq 5 \text{ and } \sigma = \sigma^{u_1} \end{cases}$$

while if q is odd then

$$s(\pi, n) = \pm \frac{(n-1)}{2} \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \sigma \neq \sigma^{u_1} \\ 2 & \text{if } \sigma = \lambda[\rho \boxtimes \rho], \lambda\omega_\rho = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } \sigma = \lambda[\rho \boxtimes \rho], \lambda\omega_\rho = \lambda_0 \\ 1 & \text{if } \sigma \subsetneq \lambda[\rho \boxtimes \rho] \end{cases}.$$

Here the \pm sign is determined by the choice of extension $\tau(u_1)$ acting on $\sigma = \sigma^{u_1}$.

Proof. a) The results of Lemma 6.1 show that if $[gu_n] = [g]$ then $f(gu_n) = f(g)$ since g is of type II or IV. In particular, q is even. Note both of these types have $\dim \sigma^{R_g} = 1$ by lemma 3.2. The number of fixed double cosets was shown in Lemma 6.1 to be $\frac{n-2}{2} + (q-1)\left(\frac{n-4}{2}\right) = 1 + \frac{q(n-4)}{2}$. The conclusion follows.

b) The results of Lemma 6.1 show that if $[gu_n] = [g]$ then g is of type I or III and $f(gu_n) = \tau(s)f(g)$ for some element s of order 2, with $s \in u_1\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p})$. Hence the contribution of the double coset $[g] = [gu_n]$ is equal to the trace of $\tau(s)$ on τ^{R_g} . If $\sigma \neq \sigma^{u_1}$, the formula for the induced character shows that the trace of $\tau(s)$ on $\tau^{R_g} = \sigma^{R_g} \oplus \sigma^{*R_g}$ is zero. Suppose now that $\sigma = \sigma^{u_1}$, so $\tau|_{\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p})} = \sigma$, and there are two choices of τ for a given σ , distinguished by $\tau(u_1)$.

If q is even then we may combine Lemma 3.3 parts a) and b), together with the formulas in Lemma 6.1 parts a) and c), to conclude that $\tau(s)$ acts trivially on σ^{R_g} . Hence the signature is the number of fixed double cosets, weighted by $\dim \sigma^{R_g}$, which is thus 1 if $n = 3$ and, if $n \geq 5$, is $\frac{n-1}{2}(1) + \frac{n-3}{2}(q-1) + q\left(\frac{n-5}{2}\right)(1) = 1 + q(n-4)$.

Finally, suppose q is odd. In this case $s = u_1$. If $\sigma = \lambda[\rho \boxtimes \rho]$ then $\dim \sigma^{R_g} = 2$ and we may apply Lemma 3.3 part c), since in this case $s = u_1$ and one choice of $\tau(u_1)$ acts on σ^{R_g} by a composition of the swapping map s and $\sigma(w, w)$ where $w = \begin{bmatrix} & 1 \\ -1 & \end{bmatrix}$. If $\sigma \not\subseteq \lambda[\rho \boxtimes \rho]$, then $\dim \sigma^{R_g} = 1$, so $\tau(u_1)f(g) = \pm f(g)$ is automatic. The conclusion then follows from Lemma 6.1 part a). \blacksquare

6.3 Corollary. *Suppose $F = \mathbb{Q}_2$, $\sigma : \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{F}_2)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ is the unique irreducible cuspidal representation and τ_\pm denotes the extensions to $N_G(\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p}))$ by having u_1 act by ± 1 and $Z(G)$ acting trivially. Let $\pi_\pm = \mathrm{c}\text{-Ind}_{N_G(\mathbf{K}(\mathfrak{p}))}^G(\tau_\pm)$. Then*

$$s(\pi_\pm, 3) = \pm 1$$

and, for $n \geq 4$, we have

$$s(\pi_\pm, n) = \begin{cases} n-3 & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \pm(2n-7) & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \end{cases}.$$

Table 1: Siegel fixed vector information, q even, $n \geq 4$. If $n \leq 3$, only the first row applies.

g	R_g	$\dim \sigma^{R_g}$	$ \{g\} $	AL
I $t_{i,j}$	$(\begin{bmatrix} a & \\ & b \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} c & \\ & abc^{-1} \end{bmatrix})$	1	$\left\lfloor \frac{(n-1)^2}{4} \right\rfloor$	$t_{i,n-2i-j-1}$
II $t_{i,j}X_{i+j}$	$(\begin{bmatrix} a & \\ & b \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} c & \\ & abc^{-1} \end{bmatrix})$	1	$\left\lfloor \frac{(n-2)^2}{4} \right\rfloor$	$t_{i,n-2i-j}X_{n-i-j}$
IIIa $Y_{i,j,j-1}(u)$	$(\begin{bmatrix} a & \\ u & a \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} a & \\ u & a \end{bmatrix})$	$q-1$	$\left\lfloor \frac{(n-3)^2}{4} \right\rfloor$	$Y_{i,n-2i-j+1,n-2i-j}(u)$
IIIb $Y_{i,j,j-2}(u)$	$(\begin{bmatrix} a & \\ u+a(b^2+b) & a \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} a & \\ u & a \end{bmatrix})$	1	$q \left\lfloor \frac{(n-5)^2}{4} \right\rfloor$	$Y_{i,n-2i-j+3,n-2i-j+1}(u)$
IV $Z_{i,j}(u)$	$(\begin{bmatrix} a & \\ u+a(b^2+b) & a \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} a & \\ u & a \end{bmatrix})$	1	$(q-1) \left\lfloor \frac{(n-4)^2}{4} \right\rfloor$	$Z_{i,n-2i-j+2}(u)$

References

- [Co2024] Cohen, Jonathan. “Klingen vectors for depth zero supercuspidals of $\mathrm{GSp}(4)$.” *Journal of Number Theory* 261 (2024): 95-124.
- [En1972] Enomoto, Hikoe. “The characters of the finite symplectic group $Sp(4, q)$, $q = 2^f$.” *Osaka J. Math* 9.1 (1972): 75-94.
- [Iw1965] Iwahori, Nagayoshi, and Hideya Matsumoto. “On some Bruhat decomposition and the structure of the Hecke rings of p -adic Chevalley groups.” *Publications Mathématiques de l’IHÉS* 25 (1965): 5-48.
- [J2022] Johnson-Leung, Jennifer, Brooks Roberts, and Ralf Schmidt. *Stable Klingen vectors and Paramodular Newforms*. Springer, 2023.
- [L2010] Lust, Jaime. *Verifying depth-zero supercuspidal L-packets for inner forms of $\mathrm{GSp}(4)$* . Diss. UC San Diego, 2010.
- [Ro2007] Roberts, Brooks, and Ralf Schmidt. *Local newforms for $\mathrm{GSp}(4)$* . Vol. 1918. Springer Science and Business Media, 2007.
- [Ros2016] Rösner, Mirko Andreas. *Parahoric restriction for $\mathrm{GSp}(4)$ and the inner cohomology of Siegel modular threefolds*. Diss. 2016.
- [RSY2022] Roy, Manami, Ralf Schmidt, and Shaoyun Yi. “Dimension formulas for Siegel modular forms of level 4.” *Mathematika* 69.3 (2023): 795-840.
- [Sh1982] Shinoda, Ken-ichi. “The characters of the finite conformal symplectic group, $\mathrm{CSp}(4, q)$.” *Communications in Algebra* 10.13 (1982): 1369-1419.
- [Yi2021] Yi, Shaoyun. “Klingen \mathfrak{p}^2 vectors for $\mathrm{GSp}(4)$.” *The Ramanujan Journal* 54, no. 3 (2021): 511-554.