

# Asymptotic distribution of the derivative of the taut string accompanying Wiener process

M.A. Lifshits, A.A. Podchishchailov

November 8, 2024

## Abstract

In the article, we find the asymptotic distribution of the derivative of the taut string accompanying a Wiener process  $W$  in a strip of fixed width on long time intervals. This enables to find explicit expressions for the limits

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \inf \int_0^T \varphi(h'(t)) dt,$$

where infimum is taken over the class of functions  $h$  satisfying assumptions

$$h \in AC[0, T], \quad h(0) = 0, \quad h(T) = W(T), \quad \max_{t \in [0, T]} |h(t) - W(t)| \leq \frac{r}{2}.$$

For example, for kinetic energy  $\varphi(u) = u^2$  which was considered earlier by Lifshits and Setterqvist [1], the limiting value equals to  $\frac{\pi^2}{6r^2}$ .

**Keywords:** Energy, law of large numbers, taut string, truncated variation, Wiener process.

## 1 Introduction and main results

Let  $AC[0, T]$  denote the class of all absolutely continuous functions on  $[0, T]$  and fix some non-strictly convex function  $\varphi$  on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Consider the integral functional

$$G_T^\varphi(h) := \int_0^T \varphi(h'(t)) dt, \quad h \in AC[0, T].$$

The most interesting examples are  $\varphi(t) = \sqrt{t^2 + 1}$  and  $\varphi(t) = \vartheta_p(t) := |t|^p$  for  $p \geq 1$ . In these cases,  $G_T^\varphi(h)$  is the graph length of  $h$ , the total variation of  $h$  (for  $p = 1$ ) and "kinetic energy" of  $h$ , (for  $p = 2$ ), respectively.

Introduce the uniform norm

$$\|h\|_{[a,b]} := \sup_{a \leq t \leq b} |h(t)|, \quad h \in C[0, T].$$

For brevity, we also write  $\|h\|_T := \|h\|_{[0,T]}$ .

Let  $W$  denote a Wiener process. Following the work [1] consider solutions of optimization problems

$$I_W(T, r, \varphi) := \inf\{G_T^\varphi(h); h \in AC[0, T], \|h - W\|_T \leq \frac{r}{2}, h(0) = 0\}, \quad (1)$$

$$I_W^0(T, r, \varphi) := \inf\{G_T^\varphi(h); h \in AC[0, T], \|h - W\|_T \leq \frac{r}{2}, h(0) = 0, h(T) = W(T)\}, \quad (2)$$

where  $r > 0$ . The functional  $G_T^\varphi(h)$  minimized in these problems is interpreted as a kind of "energy" of a function  $h$  approximating  $W$ . In this work, we consider the fixed end problem (2). For this setting, there exists a universal (not depending on  $\varphi$ ) minimizer called *taut string* accompanying Wiener process (see, e.g., [2]). We will denote it  $\eta_{T,r}$ . Thus,

$$I_W^0(T, r, \varphi) = G_T^\varphi(\eta_{T,r}) = \int_0^T \varphi(\eta'_{T,r}(t)) dt$$

for all  $T, r > 0$  and all  $\varphi$  from the class we consider. Let us mention that the taut string is the unique solution of the minimization problem in the case of *strictly* convex  $\varphi$ , while for non-strictly convex  $\varphi$ , including the case of total variation, other solutions are possible.

In the work [1] the asymptotic behavior of  $I_W^0$  was considered for kinetic energy, i.e., for the function  $\varphi(t) := \vartheta_2(t) = t^2$ . It was shown that

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} I_W^0(T, r, \vartheta_2) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \eta'_{T,r}(t)^2 dt = \frac{4\mathcal{C}^2}{r^2}, \quad (3)$$

where one has a.s.-convergence and convergence in  $L_q$  for every  $q > 0$ . Here  $\mathcal{C}$  is some positive constant unknown to the authors of [1]; they provided

some theoretical bounds for it and, by computer simulation, obtained an approximate value  $\mathcal{C} \approx 0.63$ . A similar result (with the same limit value) is also true for  $I_W$  instead of  $I_W^0$ . Various extensions (for strips of variable width, for random walks, for unilateral constraints) can be found in [3–5].

Later on, in [2] a result analogous to (3), was obtained for a rather broad class of functions  $\varphi$  (asserting convergence to an unknown constant depending on  $\varphi$ ), as well as a central limit theorem for  $G_T^\varphi(\eta_{T,r})$ . The main assumption here is a power bound on the growth of  $\varphi$  at infinity, i.e., one should have

$$\lim_{|u| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\varphi(u)}{|u|^\alpha} = 0 \quad (4)$$

for some  $\alpha > 0$ .

Our initial goal was to find more explicit expressions for the limits like (3), i.e.,

$$R_r(\varphi) := \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \varphi(\eta'_{T,r}(t)) dt. \quad (5)$$

This goal is achieved (in particular, the constant from (3) is identified as  $\mathcal{C} = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{24}}$ ); it became clear that the existence of the limits (5) is based on the asymptotic behavior of the distribution (normed sojourn measure) of the derivative of the taut string, i.e. the measure  $\nu_T$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(u) \nu_T(du) = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T f(\eta'_{T,r}(t)) dt \quad (6)$$

for every measurable bounded function  $f$ . Essentially, for an  $r$  fixed, the measures  $\nu_T$  converge, as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ , to a limiting measure  $\nu_\infty$ ; the continuous density of the latter admits an explicit computation, namely,

$$p_\infty(u) := \frac{d\nu_\infty(u)}{du} = \begin{cases} r \frac{(ru \coth(ru) - 1)}{\sinh^2(ru)}, & u \neq 0; \\ \frac{1}{3}r, & u = 0. \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

Heuristically, this leads us to equalities

$$\begin{aligned}
R_r(\varphi) &= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \varphi(\eta'_{T,r}(t)) dt = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(u) \nu_T(du) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(u) \nu_\infty(du) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(u) p_\infty(u) du,
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

which solves the problem of finding the limit (5); convergence of sojourn measures is not related to particular functions  $\varphi$ . For example, substituting the quadratic function from (3), we find (cf. Section 7.2 below)

$$4r^{-2}\mathcal{C}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} u^2 r \frac{(ru \coth(ru) - 1)}{\sinh^2(ru)} du = \frac{\pi^2}{6r^2}.$$

Thus,

$$\mathcal{C} = \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{24}} \approx 0.641,$$

which agrees well with the simulated value from [1] mentioned above.

Our approach to the rigorous confirmation of (8) goes through consideration of special  $\varphi$  which belong to the family of functions

$$\rho_\mu^+(u) := (u - \mu)^+, \quad \mu \in \mathbb{R}.$$

For handling them, we need the notion and properties of truncated variation defined and studied in the works of R.Łochowski, cf. [6–9]. We will show that the results of [8] yield

$$R_r(\rho_\mu^+) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_\mu^+(u) p_\infty(u) du = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(\mu \coth r\mu - \mu), & \mu \neq 0; \\ \frac{1}{2}r^{-1}, & \mu = 0, \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

as a special case of (8). Furthermore, for similar functions  $\rho_\mu^-(u) := (u - \mu)^- = \rho_{-\mu}^+(-u)$  one has  $R_r(\rho_\mu^-) = R_r(\rho_{-\mu}^+)$  by the symmetry of Wiener process distribution. The weak convergence  $\nu_T \Rightarrow \nu_\infty$ , as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ , also follows from (9).

Since sufficiently general convex functions can be represented as a mix of the functions  $\rho_\mu^+$  and  $\rho_\mu^-$ , by using linearity of the functional  $R_r(\cdot)$ , one can obtain (8) for those functions, too.

Unfortunately, when considering the limits like (8) rigorously, one has to distinguish a.s.-convergence and convergence in probability. Our main result in this direction is as follows.

**Theorem 1.1.** *For every convex function  $\varphi$  it is true that*

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \varphi(\eta'_{T,r}(t)) dt \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(u) p_{\infty}(u) du \quad (10)$$

and

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \varphi(\eta'_{T,r}(t)) dt \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{\geq} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(u) p_{\infty}(u) du. \quad (11)$$

*If a function  $\varphi$  is continuous almost everywhere, locally bounded and satisfies power growth condition (4), then*

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \varphi(\eta'_{T,r}(t)) dt \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(u) p_{\infty}(u) du. \quad (12)$$

In general case one can not replace in (10) convergence in probability by a.s.-convergence because of the following result.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $\lambda > 1$ ,  $r > 0$  and  $\varphi(u) \geq e^{\lambda r u}$  for all sufficiently large  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then*

$$\limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \varphi(\eta'_{T,r}(t)) dt \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{=} +\infty.$$

Notice that if  $\varphi(u) = e^{\lambda r u}$  with  $\lambda \in (1, 2)$ , then the assumption of Theorem 1.2 is satisfied and, in view of the density asymptotics of the limiting measure,  $p_{\infty}(u) \sim \frac{4r^2 u}{e^{2ru}}$  as  $u \rightarrow +\infty$ , it is true that

$$\int_0^T \varphi(u) p_{\infty}(u) du < \infty.$$

Therefore, there is no a.s. convergence in (10). It would be interesting to identify an exact upper bound for the growth of  $\varphi$  beyond which a.s.-convergence may be lost.

The article is organized as follows. In Section 2 we recall the necessary information on truncated variation; this notion is applied to our problem in

Section 3. Convergence of sojourn measures and the lower bound (11) are obtained in Section 4. In Section 5 we prove the main result of the article – the weak law of large numbers (10) for arbitrary convex functions. In Section 6 we prove the strong law of large numbers (12). Finally, Section 7 contains some secondary but necessary proofs including the proof of Theorem 1.2.

The study was carried out with the financial support of the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Russian Federation in the framework of a scientific project under agreement No. 075-15-2024-631.

## 2 Truncated variation

### 2.1 Basic notions and properties

Let us recall the main concepts and results from the articles [6–9]. *Truncated variation* of a càdlàg function  $f$  on an interval  $a, b]$  for a given  $r \geq 0$  is defined as

$$TV^r(f, [a, b]) := \sup_n \sup_{a \leq t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n \leq b} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \rho_r^+(|f(t_{k+1}) - f(t_k)|),$$

where  $\rho_r^+(x) = \max\{x - r, 0\}$ . Recall that  $TV^0(f, [a, b])$  is the standard total variation of  $f$ .

Truncated variation can be expressed as the solution of the following optimization problem

$$TV^r(f, [a, b]) = \inf \left\{ TV^0(g, [a, b]); \|g - f\|_{[a, b]} \leq \frac{r}{2} \right\}. \quad (13)$$

For continuous  $f$ , there is a continuous function (lazy function) at which the minimum in (13) is attained.

Moreover, if  $f$  is continuous, then we can consider the infimum in (13) only over the class of absolutely continuous functions. Recall that for AC functions the total variation can be represented as

$$TV^0(g, [a, b]) = \int_a^b |g'(t)| dt, \quad g \in AC[a, b]. \quad (14)$$

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $f$  be continuous on an interval  $[a, b]$ ; Then for any  $r > 0$*

$$TV^r(f, [a, b]) = \inf \left\{ \int_a^b |g'(t)| dt; g \in AC[a, b], \|g - f\|_{[a, b]} \leq \frac{r}{2} \right\}. \quad (15)$$

The *upward truncated variation*  $UTV^r(f, [a, b])$  and the *downward truncated variation*  $DTV^r(f, [a, b])$  are defined in the same way:

$$UTV^r(f, [a, b]) := \sup_n \sup_{a \leq t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n \leq b} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \rho_r^+(f(t_{k+1}) - f(t_k))$$

and  $DTV^r(f, [a, b]) := UTV^r(-f, [a, b])$ .

Similarly to (13), there is the following representation

$$UTV^r(f, [a, b]) = \inf \left\{ UTV^0(g, [a, b]); \|g - f\|_{[a, b]} \leq \frac{r}{2} \right\}.$$

Similarly to (14), there is an integral representation for AC functions:

$$UTV^0(g, [a, b]) = \int_a^b g'(t)^+ dt, \quad g \in \text{AC}[a, b]. \quad (16)$$

Finally, similarly to (15), there is the following representation

$$UTV^r(f, [a, b]) = \inf \left\{ \int_a^b g'(t)^+ dt; g \in \text{AC}[a, b], \|g - f\|_{[a, b]} \leq \frac{r}{2} \right\}. \quad (17)$$

Since the representations (15) and (17) are not considered in the mentioned Lochowski's articles, we will prove them in Section 7.3.

## 2.2 Truncated variation of the Wiener process

In the article [8], the asymptotics of truncated variations of a Wiener process with a drift is found. This result is important for our subsequent calculations.

**Theorem 2.1** ([8]). *Let  $(W_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a Wiener process; for  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  define*

$$X_t := W_t - \mu t, \quad t \geq 0.$$

*Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{T} TV^r(X_t, [0, T]) &\xrightarrow{a.s.} m_r(\mu), \\ \frac{1}{T} UTV^r(X_t, [0, T]) &\xrightarrow{a.s.} q_r(\mu), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$m_r(\mu) = \begin{cases} \mu \coth(r\mu), & \mu \neq 0; \\ r^{-1}, & \mu = 0; \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

$$q_r(\mu) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(\mu \coth(r\mu) - \mu), & \mu \neq 0; \\ \frac{1}{2}r^{-1}, & \mu = 0. \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

In the next section we will establish a connection between truncated variation and taut strings. Also, using Theorem 2.1, we will find the value of  $R_r(\rho_\mu^+)$ .

### 3 Application of truncated variation

Our first asymptotic result consists in the computation of the functional  $R_r$  for two fundamental families of functions  $\rho_\mu^+(u) := (u - \mu)^+$  and  $\kappa_\mu(u) := |u - \mu|$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $r > 0$ ,  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then*

$$R_r(\kappa_\mu) = m_r(\mu), \quad (20)$$

$$R_r(\rho_\mu^+) = q_r(\mu), \quad (21)$$

where  $m_r(\mu)$  and  $q_r(\mu)$  are defined in (18) and (19), respectively.

*Proof.* First of all, notice that the limits in the definitions of  $R_r(\kappa_\mu)$  and  $R_r(\rho_\mu^+)$ , exist by Schertzer's theorem [2, Theorem 1.2], since the functions  $\kappa_\mu$  and  $\rho_\mu^+$  satisfy condition (4).

Consider a Wiener process with a drift  $\widetilde{W}_t := W_t - \mu t$ . According to Theorem 2.1

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} TV^r(\widetilde{W}, T) \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{=} m_r(\mu). \quad (22)$$

By applying Lemma 2.1 and making the variable change  $g(t) = h(t) - \mu t$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} TV^r(\widetilde{W}, T) &= \inf \left\{ \int_0^T |g'(t)| dt ; g \in \text{AC}[0, T], \|g - \widetilde{W}\|_T \leq \frac{r}{2} \right\} \\ &= \inf \left\{ \int_0^T |h'(t) - \mu| dt ; h \in \text{AC}[0, T], \|h - W\|_T \leq \frac{r}{2} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$



Therefore, for the taut string  $\eta_{T,r}$  we have

$$\int_0^T \kappa_\mu(\eta'_{T,r}(t)) dt = \int_0^T |\eta'_{T,r}(t) - \mu| dt \geq TV^r(\widetilde{W}, T).$$

From (22), it follows now that  $R_r(\kappa_\mu) \geq m_r(\mu)$ .

In order to get the opposite inequality, let us fix a small number  $\delta > 0$  and find a function  $h \in \text{AC}[0, T]$ , such that  $\|h - W\|_T \leq r/2$  and

$$\int_0^T |h'(t) - \mu| dt \leq TV^r(\widetilde{W}, T) + \delta.$$

Next, we construct an absolutely continuous "pseudostring"  $\zeta_{T,r}$  satisfying the right border conditions  $\zeta_{T,r}(0) = 0$ ,  $\zeta_{T,r}(T) = W_T$  and such that  $\zeta_{T,r} = h$  on  $[1, T-1]$ . The connection parts must satisfy border conditions  $\zeta_{T,r}(1) = h(1)$ ,  $\zeta_{T,r}(T-1) = h(T-1)$ , be differentiable so that

$$\max_{t \in [0,1]} |\zeta'_{T,r}(t)| < \infty; \quad \max_{t \in [T-1, T]} |\zeta'_{T,r}(t)| < \infty;$$

and

$$\max \{ \|\zeta_{T,r} - W\|_{[0,1]}; \|\zeta_{T,r} - W\|_{[T-1, T]} \} \leq \frac{r+\delta}{2}.$$

Such construction provides  $\|\zeta_{T,r} - W\|_T \leq \frac{r+\delta}{2}$  and  $\zeta_{T,r} \in \text{AC}[0, T]$ .

Let us construct  $\zeta_{T,r}$  on  $[0, 1]$ . By stationarity of the increments of a Wiener process, the construction on  $[T-1, T]$  is completely similar and the result has the same properties. We choose a continuously differential function  $w$  on  $[0, 1]$  such that  $w(0) = 0$ ,  $w(1) = W_1$  and  $\|w - W\|_{[0,1]} \leq \frac{\delta}{2}$ . Let

$$\zeta_{T,r}(t) := w(t) + (h(1) - W_1)t.$$

Since  $|h(1) - W_1| \leq \frac{r}{2}$ , it is easy to check that this function has all required properties.

A "pseudostring" being constructed, let us start our estimations. By

optimality property of the true taut string we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^T \kappa_\mu(\eta'_{T,r+\delta}(t)) dt &\leq \int_0^T \kappa_\mu(\zeta'_{T,r}(t)) dt \\
&= \int_{[0,1] \cup [T-1,T]} |\zeta'_{T,r}(t) - \mu| dt + \int_1^{T-1} |h'(t) - \mu| dt \\
&\leq \int_{[0,1] \cup [T-1,T]} |\zeta'_{T,r}(t) - \mu| dt + \int_0^T |h'(t) - \mu| dt \\
&\leq \int_{[0,1] \cup [T-1,T]} |\zeta'_{T,r}(t) - \mu| dt + TV^r(\widetilde{W}, T) + \delta.
\end{aligned}$$

Divide by  $T$  and write the obtained inequality as

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \kappa_\mu(\eta'_{T,r+\delta}(t)) dt - \frac{1}{T} TV^r(\widetilde{W}, T) - \frac{\delta}{T} \leq \frac{1}{T} \int_{[0,1] \cup [T-1,T]} |\zeta'_{T,r}(t) - \mu| dt.$$

As  $T \rightarrow \infty$ , the left hand side converges a.s. to the constant  $R_{r+\delta}(\kappa_\mu) - m_r(\mu)$ , while the right hand side converges to zero in probability. We infer that

$$R_{r+\delta}(\kappa_\mu) \leq m_r(\mu).$$

By switching notation from  $r + \delta$  to  $r$ , we have

$$R_r(\kappa_\mu) \leq m_{r-\delta}(\mu).$$

Finally, letting  $\delta \searrow 0$  and using continuity of the expression  $m_r(\mu)$  with respect to  $r$ , we arrive at the required estimate  $R_r(\kappa_\mu) \leq m_r(\mu)$ . Therefore, (20) is proved.

In order to derive (21), let us consider identity function  $\chi(t) := t$ . By the properties of Wiener process, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
R_r(\chi) &= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \eta'_{T,r}(t) dt \\
&= \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\eta_{T,r}(T) - \eta_{T,r}(0)}{T} = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{W_T}{T} = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Therefore,

$$R_r(\rho_\mu^+) = R_r\left(\frac{1}{2}(\kappa_\mu + \chi - \mu)\right) = \frac{1}{2}(R_r(\kappa_\mu) - \mu) = \frac{1}{2}(m_r(\mu) - \mu) = q_r(\mu).$$

□

**Remark 1.** For the function  $\rho_\mu^-(u) := (u - \mu)^-$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} R_r(\rho_\mu^-) &= R_r\left(\frac{1}{2}(\kappa_\mu - (\chi - \mu))\right) = \frac{1}{2}(R_r(\kappa_\mu) + \mu) = \frac{1}{2}(m_r(\mu) + \mu) \\ &= q_r(-\mu). \end{aligned}$$

## 4 Asymptotic distribution of taut string's derivative

Consider normalized sojourn measures  $\nu_T$  for the derivative of the taut string defined by (6). The following result is true.

**Theorem 4.1.** *As  $T \rightarrow \infty$ , the normalized sojourn measures  $\nu_T$  a.s. converge to the probability measure  $\nu_\infty$  having the density  $p_\infty$  given in (7). Moreover, for every  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  it is true that*

$$R_r(\rho_\mu^+) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_\mu^+(u) p_\infty(u) du.$$

*Proof.* For all  $v \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\delta > 0$  we have inequalities

$$\frac{1}{\delta}(\rho_v^+(u) - \rho_{v+\delta}^+(u)) \leq \mathbb{1}_{u \geq v} \leq \frac{1}{\delta}(\rho_{v-\delta}^+(u) - \rho_v^+(u)).$$

By integrating the left hand side of the inequality over the measure  $\nu_T$ , we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\delta} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\rho_v^+(u) - \rho_{v+\delta}^+(u)) \nu_T(du) = \frac{1}{T\delta} \int_0^T (\rho_v^+ - \rho_{v+\delta}^+)(\eta'_{T,r}(t)) dt.$$

Let us compute the limit, as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ , of the right hand side of this equality:

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\delta} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\rho_v^+(u) - \rho_{v+\delta}^+(u)) \nu_T(du) \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{=} \frac{1}{\delta} (R_r(\rho_v^+) - R_r(\rho_{v+\delta}^+)).$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{\delta}(R_r(\rho_v^+) - R_r(\rho_{v+\delta}^+)) \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{\leq} \liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{1}_{u \geq v} \nu_T(du) = \liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \nu_T[v, \infty).$$

In the same way, we obtain

$$\limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \nu_T[v, \infty) \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{\leq} \frac{1}{\delta}(R_r(\rho_{v-\delta}^+) - R_r(\rho_v^+)).$$

By letting  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ , we see that the limiting values of both the upper and lower bounds coincide with  $-\frac{d}{dv}R_r(\rho_v^+)$ . Therefore,

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \nu_T[v, \infty) \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{=} -\frac{d}{dv}R_r(\rho_v^+) = \int_v^\infty \frac{d^2}{du^2}R_r(\rho_u^+) du := \int_v^\infty p_\infty(u) du. \quad (24)$$

This relation shows convergence

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \nu_T[v, \infty) \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{=} \nu_\infty[v, \infty) \quad (25)$$

for every fixed  $v$ . Therefore, with probability one (25) holds simultaneously for all rational  $v$ . Furthermore since the distribution tail  $\nu_\infty[v, \infty)$  is continuous in  $v$ , the convergence holds a.s. simultaneously for all  $v \in \mathbb{R}$ , which proves weak convergence.

Formulas (21) and (24) provide the asserted expression for  $p_\infty$ . Finally, for every  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  integration by parts yields

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \rho_\mu^+(u) p_\infty(u) du = - \int_{\mu}^{\infty} \frac{d}{du} R_r(\rho_u^+) du = R_r(\rho_\mu^+).$$

□

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $\varphi$  be either an almost everywhere continuous non-negative or a convex function. Then for every  $r > 0$*

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \varphi(\eta'_{T,r}(t)) dt \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{\geq} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(u) p_\infty(u) du. \quad (26)$$

*Proof.* If  $\varphi$  is almost everywhere continuous and *bounded*, by the well known property of weak convergence,

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \varphi(\eta'_{T,r}(t)) dt = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(u) \nu_T(du) \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(u) p_\infty(u) du.$$

Dropping the boundedness assumption, consider for  $M > 0$  the truncated function  $\varphi_M(u) := \min\{\varphi(u), M\}$ . Since  $\varphi$  is assumed to be non-negative,  $\varphi_M$  is bounded and we may apply the previous chain to it. Therefore,

$$\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \varphi(\eta'_{T,r}(t)) dt \geq \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \varphi_M(\eta'_{T,r}(t)) dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_M(u) p_\infty(u) du.$$

Furthermore, since

$$\lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_M(u) p_\infty(u) du = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(u) p_\infty(u) du,$$

we obtain (26)

On the other hand, a convex function can be represented as a sum of a linear function and a non-negative continuous function. For linear functions we have a.s. convergence, cf. (23), thus the assertion follows.  $\square$

We stress that this corollary is still true in the case when the limiting integral is infinite.

## 5 Weak law of large numbers

In this section, we prove the weak law of large numbers for the energy of the taut string accompanying Wiener process. It was already stated in (10) as a part of Theorem 1.1. For reading convenience, we repeat it here as a separate theorem.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $\varphi$  be a convex non-negative function and let  $\eta_{T,r}$  be the taut string accompanying Wiener process in the strip of width  $r$  on  $[0, T]$ ; then for any  $r > 0$*

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \varphi(\eta'_{T,r}(t)) dt \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(u) p_\infty(u) du, \quad \text{as } T \rightarrow \infty.$$

*Proof.* If the limiting integral is infinite, then the result follows from (26). In the sequel, we assume that the limiting integral is finite.

Without loss of generality one may assume that  $\varphi(u) = 0$  for  $u \leq 0$ . Indeed, any convex function  $\varphi$  can be represented as a sum of a linear function  $\ell(u) := \varphi(0) + cu$  for  $c \in [\varphi'_-(0), \varphi'_+(0)]$  and two convex functions  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  such that  $\varphi_1(u) = 0$  for  $u \leq 0$  and  $\varphi_2(u) = 0$  for  $u \geq 0$ . By (23), we have  $R_r(\ell) \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{=} \varphi(0)$ . Therefore, it is enough to prove the theorem for the functions  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  separately.

From now on, we suppose that  $\varphi(u) = 0$  for  $u \leq 0$ . In this case, the function  $\varphi$  may be represented as

$$\varphi(u) = \int_0^\infty \rho_\mu^+(u) \varphi''(d\mu), \quad (27)$$

where  $\varphi''$  is a locally finite measure.

Let  $\theta(u)$  be a map from  $[0, \infty)$  to  $(0, \infty)$  such that

$$\Theta := \int_0^\infty \theta(\mu) \varphi''(d\mu) < \infty.$$

As in the proof of Theorem 3.1, for each  $\mu \geq 0$ , using (17), we find an absolutely continuous function  $h_\mu$  such that  $\|h_\mu - W\|_T \leq \frac{r}{2}$  and

$$\int_0^T \rho_\mu^+(h'_\mu(t)) dt \leq UTV^r(\widetilde{W}_\mu, [0, T]) + \theta(\mu),$$

where  $\widetilde{W}_\mu(t) = W_t - \mu t$  is a Wiener process with a drift.

For fixed  $T > 2$ ,  $\delta > 0$  we construct a "pseudostring"  $\zeta_{T,r,\mu}$  in the strip of width  $r + \delta$ . As in the proof of Theorem 3.1, let  $\zeta_{T,r,\mu}(t) = h_\mu(t)$  on  $[1, T-1]$  and for  $t \in [0, 1]$

$$\zeta_{T,r,\mu}(t) := w(t) + (h_\mu(1) - W_1)t$$

where  $w$  is a continuously differentiable function such that  $w(0) = 0$ ,  $w(1) = W_1$  and  $\|w - W\|_{[0,1]} \leq \frac{\delta}{2}$ . Hence

$$\max_{t \in [0,1]} |\zeta'_{T,r,\mu}(t)| \leq \max_{t \in [0,1]} |w'(t)| + \frac{r}{2} := M. \quad (28)$$

This estimate is random but uniform in  $\mu, T$ .

By stationarity of the increments of a Wiener process, the construction on  $[T-1, T]$  is completely similar.

From optimality of the taut string  $\eta_{T,r+\delta}$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \rho_\mu^+(\eta'_{T,r+\delta}(t)) dt &\leq \int_0^T \rho_\mu^+(\zeta'_{T,r,\mu}(t)) dt \\ &= \int_{[0,1] \cup [T-1,T]} \rho_\mu^+(\zeta'_{T,r,\mu}(t)) dt + \int_1^{T-1} \rho_\mu^+(h'_\mu(t)) dt \end{aligned}$$

for any  $\mu$ . Using representation (27), we get

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \varphi(\eta'_{T,r+\delta}(t)) dt \leq A_{T,r}^1 + B_{T,r} + A_{T,r}^T,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_{T,r}^1 &:= \frac{1}{T} \int_0^\infty \int_0^1 \rho_\mu^+(\zeta'_{T,r,\mu}(t)) dt \varphi''(d\mu), \\ A_{T,r}^T &:= \frac{1}{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{T-1}^T \rho_\mu^+(\zeta'_{T,r,\mu}(t)) dt \varphi''(d\mu), \\ B_{T,r} &:= \frac{1}{T} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_1^{T-1} \rho_\mu^+(h'_\mu(t)) dt \varphi''(d\mu). \end{aligned}$$

Let us estimate the expectation of  $B_{T,r}$ . Since  $\rho_\mu^+$  is non-negative, by the definition of  $h_\mu$  we have the following inequality

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_1^{T-1} \rho_\mu^+(h'_\mu(t)) dt \leq \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \rho_\mu^+(h'_\mu(t)) dt \leq \frac{1}{T} UTV^r(\widetilde{W}_\mu, [0, T]) + \frac{\theta(\mu)}{T}.$$

Since  $UTV^r(\widetilde{W}_\mu, [0, T])$  is superadditive in  $T$  (see [8]), we see that (cf. [10, Lemma 3.6.II]) for every  $T > 0$

$$\frac{1}{T} UTV^r(\widetilde{W}_\mu, [0, T]) \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{\leq} q_r(\mu).$$

Fubini's theorem and double integration by parts yield

$$\mathbb{E}B_{T,r} \leq \int_0^\infty q_r(\mu) \varphi''(d\mu) + \frac{\Theta}{T} = \int_0^\infty \varphi(u) q_r''(u) du + \frac{\Theta}{T} = \int_0^\infty \varphi(u) p_\infty(u) du + \frac{\Theta}{T}.$$

Let us now estimate the remainder terms  $A_{T,r}^1$  and  $A_{T,r}^T$ . From (28) and the definition of  $\rho_\mu^+$ , it follows that for every  $t \in [0, 1]$

$$0 \leq \rho_\mu^+(\zeta'_{T,r,\mu}(t)) \leq \begin{cases} M, & 0 \leq \mu \leq M, \\ 0, & \mu > M. \end{cases}$$

Therefore,

$$0 \leq \int_0^\infty \int_0^1 \rho_\mu^+(\zeta'_{T,r,\mu}(t)) dt \varphi''(d\mu) \leq M \varphi''[0, M],$$

whence

$$0 \leq A_{T,r}^1 \leq \frac{M \varphi''[0, M]}{T} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0, \quad \text{as } T \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since  $A_{T,r}^1$  and  $A_{T,r}^T$  have the same distributions (by stationarity of the increments of a Wiener process), we also obtain  $A_{T,r}^T \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0$ .

We stress that limiting measure  $\nu_\infty$  depends on  $r$ : let us temporarily denote this measure by  $\nu_\infty^r$ . Also, let us denote the limiting integral as a function of  $r$ :

$$J(r) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(u) \nu_\infty^r(du).$$

In our case, the mapping  $r \mapsto J(r)$  is continuous and non-increasing.

Let us define

$$S_{T,r} := \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \varphi(\eta_{T,r}(t)) dt,$$

$$A_{T,r} := A_{T,r}^1 + A_{T,r}^T$$



and summarize the results obtained above as follows

- 1)  $S_{T,r+\delta} \leq A_{T,r} + B_{T,r}$ ;
- 2)  $A_{T,r} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0$ ;
- 3)  $\mathbb{E}B_{T,r} \leq J(r) + \frac{\Theta}{T}$ ;
- 4)  $\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} S_{T,r+\delta} \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{\geq} J(r + \delta)$ ,

where the last inequality follows from (26). If we replace  $r + \delta$  by  $r$ , we obtain

- 1)  $S_{T,r} \leq A_{T,r-\delta} + B_{T,r-\delta}$ ;
- 2)  $A_{T,r-\delta} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} 0$ ;
- 3)  $\mathbb{E}B_{T,r-\delta} \leq J(r - \delta) + \frac{\Theta}{T}$ ;
- 4)  $\liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} S_{T,r} \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{\geq} J(r)$ .

We will prove now that  $S_{T,r} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} J(r)$  using only properties 1) – 4).

For each  $y < J(r)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(B_{T,r-\delta} < y) &\leq \mathbb{P}(S_{T,r} - A_{T,r-\delta} < y) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}\left(A_{T,r-\delta} > \frac{J(r) - y}{2}\right) + \mathbb{P}\left(S_{T,r} < \frac{J(r) + y}{2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

From properties 2) and 4) it follows that both terms tend to zero as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(B_{T,r-\delta} - J(r - \delta))^- &\leq J(r - \delta)\mathbb{P}(B_{T,r-\delta} < y) + (J(r - \delta) - y) \cdot 1 \\ &= o(1) + (J(r - \delta) - y). \end{aligned}$$

By letting  $y \nearrow J(r)$ , we obtain

$$\limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}(B_{T,r-\delta} - J(r - \delta))^- \leq J(r - \delta) - J(r).$$

Now, using equality

$$\mathbb{E}(B_{T,r-\delta} - J(r - \delta))^+ = \mathbb{E}(B_{T,r-\delta} - J(r - \delta)) + \mathbb{E}(B_{T,r-\delta} - J(r - \delta))^-$$

and property 3), we get

$$\limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}(B_{T,r-\delta} - J(r-\delta))^+ \leq J(r-\delta) - J(r). \quad (29)$$

Let us fix two small parameters  $\varepsilon > \varepsilon_1 > 0$  and choose  $\delta > 0$  such that  $J(r-\delta) - J(r) < \varepsilon_1$ . Using Markov's inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(B_{T,r-\delta} > J(r) + \varepsilon) &= \mathbb{P}(B_{T,r-\delta} > (J(r) - J(r-\delta)) + J(r-\delta) + \varepsilon) \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}(B_{T,r-\delta} > -\varepsilon_1 + J(r-\delta) + \varepsilon) \\ &= \mathbb{P}(B_{T,r-\delta} - J(r-\delta) > \varepsilon - \varepsilon_1) \\ &\leq \frac{\mathbb{E}(B_{T,r-\delta} - J(r-\delta))^+}{\varepsilon - \varepsilon_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by (29),

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(B_{T,r-\delta} > J(r) + \varepsilon) &\leq \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{E}(B_{T,r-\delta} - J(r-\delta))^+}{\varepsilon - \varepsilon_1} \\ &\leq \frac{J(r-\delta) - J(r)}{\varepsilon - \varepsilon_1} \leq \frac{\varepsilon_1}{\varepsilon - \varepsilon_1}. \end{aligned}$$

From properties 1) and 2), it follows that

$$\limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(S_{T,r} > J(r) + 2\varepsilon) \leq \frac{\varepsilon_1}{\varepsilon - \varepsilon_1}.$$

By letting  $\varepsilon_1 \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{P}(S_{T,r} > J(r) + 2\varepsilon) = 0.$$

This fact and property 4) prove that

$$S_{T,r} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} J(r).$$

□

## 6 Strong law of large numbers

In this section we prove the following result which is a part of the main Theorem 1.1, cf. (12).

**Theorem 6.1.** *Let a function  $\varphi$  be continuous almost everywhere, locally bounded and satisfy the condition of power growth (4). Then for every  $r > 0$  it is true that*

$$R_r(\varphi) \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(u) p_{\infty}(u) du.$$

*Proof.* First of all, let us note that the limit  $R_r(\varphi)$  exists a.s. by Schertzer's result. We only need to identify it with the integral from Theorem's assertion.

Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\varphi \geq 0$ .

Furthermore, let us note that in two cases the theorem's assertion follows immediately from our previous results.

- If  $\varphi$  is almost everywhere continuous and *bounded*, then the asserted form of the limit follows from the a.s. convergence of the sojourn measures (Theorem 4.1);
- If  $\varphi$  is *convex*, then we have convergence in probability to the required limit by Theorem 5.1, hence the a.s.-limit  $R_r(\varphi)$  is the same.

Let us now consider the general case. Let  $M > 0$ . We represent  $\varphi$  as  $\varphi = \varphi_{1,M} + \varphi_{2,M}$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{1,M}(u) &= \min\{\varphi(u), M\}; \\ \varphi_{2,M}(u) &= (\varphi(u) - M)^+. \end{aligned}$$

Since the function  $\varphi_{1,M}$  is almost everywhere continuous and bounded, we have

$$R_r(\varphi_{1,M}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi_{1,M}(u) p_{\infty}(u) du \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(u) p_{\infty}(u) du.$$

By the power growth condition, for some  $c_1, c_2 > 0, \alpha \geq 1$  for all  $u \in \mathbb{R}$  it is true that  $\varphi(u) \leq c_1 + c_2|u|^\alpha := L(u)$ . Therefore,

$$\varphi_{2,M}(u) \leq (L(u) - M)^+,$$

where the right hand side is a convex function. Hence,

$$R_r(\varphi_{2,M}) \leq R_r((L(\cdot) - M)^+) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (L(u) - M)^+ p_{\infty}(u) du.$$

Thus,

$$R_r(\varphi) = R_r(\varphi_{1,M}) + R_r(\varphi_{2,M}) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(u) p_{\infty}(u) du + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (L(u) - M)^+ p_{\infty}(u) du.$$

Take a limit in  $M \nearrow +\infty$  and notice that the latter integral tends to zero. Therefore,

$$R_r(\varphi) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(u) p_{\infty}(u) du.$$

The opposite estimate follows from (26).  $\square$

## 7 Various proofs

### 7.1 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Let us fix  $r > 0$  and denote  $a := \frac{r^2}{2}$ . Let  $T_n := n$  and consider the events

$$A_n := \left\{ W(T_n) - W\left(T_n - \frac{a}{\ln T_n}\right) \geq r \right\}.$$

Since for large  $n$  the intervals  $[T_n - \frac{a}{\ln T_n}, T_n]$  do not overlap, the corresponding events  $A_n$  are independent. We also have

$$\mathbb{P}(A_n) = \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{N} \geq \frac{r}{(a/\ln T_n)^{1/2}}\right),$$

where  $\mathcal{N}$  is a standard normal variable. By substituting the values of  $a$  and  $T_n$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(A_n) &\sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \frac{(a/\ln n)^{1/2}}{r} \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{2a} \ln n\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} (\ln n)^{1/2} n^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The series  $\sum_n \mathbb{P}(A_n)$  diverges, thus by Borel–Cantelli lemma the events  $A_n$  occur infinitely often.

Let now  $T > 0$  be such that

$$W(T) - W\left(T - \frac{a}{\ln T}\right) \geq r.$$

Then for every function  $g$  such that

$$\left| g\left(T - \frac{a}{\ln T}\right) - W\left(T - \frac{a}{\ln T}\right) \right| \leq \frac{r}{2}; \quad g(T) = W(T), \quad (30)$$

it is true that

$$g(T) - g\left(T - \frac{a}{\ln T}\right) \geq W(T) - \left(W\left(T - \frac{a}{\ln T}\right) + \frac{r}{2}\right) \geq r - \frac{r}{2} = \frac{r}{2}.$$

If  $g \in \text{AC}[0, T]$ , then by convexity of the function  $\varphi$  Jensen inequality yields

$$\int_{T - \frac{a}{\ln T}}^T \varphi(g'(t)) dt \geq \frac{a}{\ln T} \varphi\left(\frac{g(T) - g\left(T - \frac{a}{\ln T}\right)}{a/\ln T}\right).$$

For sufficiently large  $T$  we infer

$$\int_{T - \frac{a}{\ln T}}^T \varphi(g'(t)) dt \geq \frac{a}{\ln T} \exp\left(\frac{\lambda r \cdot r/2}{a/\ln T}\right) = \frac{a}{\ln T} T^\lambda.$$

Since the taut string  $\eta_{T,r}$  satisfies conditions (30), it also obeys inequalities

$$\int_0^T \varphi(\eta'_{T,r}(t)) dt \geq \int_{T - \frac{a}{\ln T}}^T \varphi(\eta'_{T,r}(t)) dt \geq \frac{a}{\ln T} T^\lambda \gg T,$$

where in the last step we used the assumption  $\lambda > 1$ . Therefore, since the events  $A_n$  occur infinitely often, the stated estimates hold for arbitrary  $r > 0$  and some arbitrarily large  $T$ , which proves the theorem.

## 7.2 The limit computation for quadratic function $\vartheta_2$

As we know from (12),

$$R_r(\vartheta_2) \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \vartheta_2(u) p_\infty(u) du = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u^2 r \frac{(ru \coth(ru) - 1)}{\sinh^2(ru)} du := I.$$

Let us show that  $I = \frac{\pi^2}{6r^2}$ . Indeed,

$$I = r^{-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} v^2 \frac{(v \coth(v) - 1)}{\sinh^2(v)} dv = r^{-2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{v^3 \cosh(v)}{\sinh^3(v)} dv - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{v^2}{\sinh^2(v)} dv \right).$$

Integration by parts yields

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{v^3 \cosh(v)}{\sinh^3(v)} dv = \int_{\mathbb{R}} v^3 d\left(\frac{1}{2 \sinh^2(v)}\right) = \frac{3}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{v^2}{\sinh^2(v)} dv.$$

Therefore,

$$I = \frac{r^{-2}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{v^2}{\sinh^2(v)} dv = r^{-2} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{v^2}{\sinh^2(v)} dv = \frac{\pi^2}{6r^2}.$$

where the last equality is a special case of [11, formula (3.527.2)].

### 7.3 Truncated variations and absolutely continuous functions

*Proof of Lemma 2.1.* Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and a function  $h$  on  $[a, b]$  attains the value of  $TV^{r-\varepsilon}(f, [a, b])$ , i.e.  $TV^0(h, [a, b]) = TV^{r-\varepsilon}(f, [a, b])$  and  $\|h - f\|_{[a, b]} \leq \frac{r-\varepsilon}{2}$ .

Let us extend  $h$  by the constants from  $[a, b]$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  and approximate  $h$  by convolutions with smooth kernels

$$h^\delta(t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Phi^\delta(v) h(t+v) dv = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Phi^\delta(s-t) h(s) ds.$$

We assume the usual properties of the kernels:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^\delta(\cdot) &\geq 0; \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Phi^\delta(v) dv = 1; \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\Phi^\delta)'(v)| dv < \infty; \\ \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_{|v| > \theta} \Phi^\delta(v) dv &= 0 \quad \text{for every } \theta > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let us prove that

- 1)  $h^\delta \in \text{AC}[a, b]$ ;
- 2)  $TV^0(h^\delta, [a, b]) \leq TV^0(h, [a, b]) = TV^{r-\varepsilon}(f, [a, b])$ ;
- 3) For sufficiently small  $\delta$  it is true that  $\|h^\delta - f\|_{[a, b]} \leq \frac{r}{2}$ .

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \inf \left\{ \int_a^b |g'(t)| dt ; g \in \text{AC}[a, b], \|g - f\|_{[a, b]} \leq \frac{r}{2} \right\} &\leq TV^0(h^\delta, [a, b]) \\ &\leq TV^{r-\varepsilon}(f, [a, b]). \end{aligned}$$

Taking into account that the function  $r \mapsto TV^r(f, [a, b])$  is continuous (cf. [8]), by letting  $\varepsilon$  to zero, we find

$$\inf \left\{ \int_a^b |g'(t)| dt ; g \in AC[a, b], \|g - f\|_{[a, b]} \leq \frac{r}{2} \right\} \leq TV^r(f, [a, b]).$$

The opposite inequality is obvious.

It remains to prove properties 1) – 3).

1) The function  $h^\delta$  is differentiable and its derivative is uniformly bounded because

$$\begin{aligned} |(h^\delta)'(t)| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\Phi^\delta)'(s-t) h(s) ds \right| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\Phi^\delta)'(s-t)| ds \max_{s \in [a, b]} |h(s)| \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} |(\Phi^\delta)'(v)| dv \max_{s \in [a, b]} |h(s)|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $h^\delta \in \text{Lip}[a, b] \subset AC[a, b]$ .

2) For all  $a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_m$  from  $[a, b]$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} |h^\delta(a_{i+1}) - h^\delta(a_i)| &= \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Phi^\delta(v) (h(a_{i+1} + v) - h(a_i + v)) dv \right| \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Phi^\delta(v) \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} |h(a_{i+1} + v) - h(a_i + v)| dv \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Phi^\delta(v) dv TV^0(h, [a, b]) = TV^0(h, [a, b]). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $TV^0(h^\delta, [a, b]) \leq TV^0(h, [a, b])$ .

3) We have to prove that for small  $\delta$  and all  $\tau \in [a, b]$  it is true that

$$f(\tau) - \frac{r}{2} \leq h^\delta(\tau) \leq f(\tau) + \frac{r}{2}.$$

We will prove only the first inequality; the second one can be proved in the same way. For every  $\tau$  it is true that

$$\begin{aligned} h^\delta(\tau) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Phi^\delta(v) h(\tau + v) dv \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Phi^\delta(v) \left[ f(\tau + v) - \frac{r - \varepsilon}{2} \right] dv \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Phi^\delta(v) f(\tau + v) dv - \frac{r - \varepsilon}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$h^\delta(\tau) - f(\tau) \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Phi^\delta(v)[f(\tau + v) - f(\tau)] dv - \frac{r - \varepsilon}{2}.$$

Moreover, for every  $\theta > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Phi^\delta(v)[f(\tau + v) - f(\tau)] dv \right| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Phi^\delta(v) |f(\tau + v) - f(\tau)| dv \\ &\leq \max_{|v| \leq \theta} |f(\tau + v) - f(\tau)| + 2 \max_{[a, b]} |f| \int_{|v| > \theta} \Phi^\delta(v) dv. \end{aligned}$$

Here, by a kernels' property, the second term converges to zero for every  $\theta$ . The first term can be made arbitrarily small uniformly in  $\tau$  by the choice of  $\theta$  (it is here when the continuity of  $f$  is used).

Therefore, for sufficiently small  $\delta$  and all  $\tau \in [a, b]$  it is true that

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Phi^\delta(v)[f(\tau + v) - f(\tau)] dv \right| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2},$$

which yields the desired

$$h^\delta(\tau) - f(\tau) \geq -\frac{r}{2}.$$

□

The representation (17) can be proved exactly in the same way, just with replacement of the function  $x \mapsto |x|$  with the function  $x \mapsto x^+$ .

Finally, let us prove representation (16). Let  $g \in \text{AC}[a, b]$  and  $a \leq t_1 < \dots < t_n \leq b$ . Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (g(t_{k+1}) - g(t_k))^+ \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} g'(t)^+ dt \leq \int_a^b g'(t)^+ dt.$$

By maximizing over partitions, we obtain

$$UTV^0(g) \leq \int_a^b g'(t)^+ dt.$$

In order to prove the opposite bound, let us fix an  $\varepsilon > 0$  and choose a step function  $h$  such that  $\|g' - h\|_1 := \|g' - h\|_{L_1[a, b]} \leq \varepsilon$ . Let  $a = t_1 < \dots < t_n = b$



be a partition such that the function  $h$  is constant on all corresponding intervals.

If an interval  $[t_k, t_{k+1}]$  is such that  $h|_{[t_k, t_{k+1}]} \geq 0$ , then

$$\int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} g'(t)^- dt \leq \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} |h(t) - g'(t)| dt,$$

hence,

$$\begin{aligned} (g(t_{k+1}) - g(t_k))^+ &\geq g(t_{k+1}) - g(t_k) = \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} g'(t) dt \\ &= \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} g'(t)^+ dt - \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} g'(t)^- dt \\ &\geq \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} g'(t)^+ dt - \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} |h(t) - g'(t)| dt. \end{aligned}$$

Otherwise, if an interval  $[t_k, t_{k+1}]$  is such that  $h|_{[t_k, t_{k+1}]} < 0$ , then

$$\int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} g'(t)^+ dt \leq \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} |h(t) - g'(t)| dt.$$

By summing up over all over all intervals and splitting the sum in two cor-

responding groups of terms we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
UTV^0(g) &\geq \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (g(t_{k+1}) - g(t_k))^+ \geq \sum_{k:h|_{[t_k, t_{k+1}] \geq 0}} (g(t_{k+1}) - g(t_k))^+ \\
&\geq \sum_{k:h|_{[t_k, t_{k+1}] \geq 0}} \left[ \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} g'(t)^+ dt - \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} |h(t) - g'(t)| dt \right] \\
&\geq \left[ \sum_{k:h|_{[t_k, t_{k+1}] \geq 0} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} g'(t)^+ dt \right] - \|h - g'\|_1 \\
&\geq \int_a^b g'(t)^+ dt - \left[ \sum_{k:h|_{[t_k, t_{k+1}] < 0} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} g'(t)^+ dt \right] - \|h - g'\|_1 \\
&\geq \int_a^b g'(t)^+ dt - \left[ \sum_{k:h|_{[t_k, t_{k+1}] < 0} \int_{t_k}^{t_{k+1}} |h(t) - g'(t)| dt \right] - \|h - g'\|_1 \\
&\geq \int_a^b g'(t)^+ dt - 2\|h - g'\|_1 \geq \int_a^b g'(t)^+ dt - 2\varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

By letting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain

$$UTV^0(g) \geq \int_a^b g'(t)^+ dt.$$

## References

- [1] Lifshits M., Setterqvist E. Energy of taut strings accompanying Wiener process // Stochastic Processes and their Applications. — 2015. — Vol. 125, no. 2. — P. 401–427.
- [2] Schertzer E. Renewal structure of the Brownian taut string // Stochastic Processes and their Applications. — 2018. — Vol. 128, no. 2. — P. 487–504.

- [3] D.I. Blinova, M.A. Lifshits. Energy of taut strings accompanying Wiener process and random walk in a band of variable width // Journal of Mathematical Sciences N.Y. — 2022. — Vol. 268, no. 5. — P. 573–588.
- [4] M.A. Lifshits, A.A. Siuniaev. Energy of taut strings accompanying random walk // Probability and Mathematical Statistics. — 2021. — Vol. 41, no. 1. — P. 9–23.
- [5] M.A. Lifshits, S.E. Nikitin. Energy saving approximation of Wiener process under unilateral constraints // Theory of Probability and its Applications. — 2024. — Vol. 69, no. 1. — P. 59–70.
- [6] Lochowski R. On truncated variation of Brownian motion with drift // Bulletin of the Polish Academy of Sciences. Mathematics. — 2008. — Vol. 56, no. 3-4. — P. 267–281.
- [7] Lochowski R. On the double Laplace transform of the truncated variation of a Brownian motion with drift // LMS Journal of Computation and Mathematics. — 2011. — Vol. 19, no. 1. — P. 281–292.
- [8] Lochowski R., Miłoś P. On truncated variation, upward truncated variation and downward truncated variation for diffusions // Stochastic Processes and their Applications. — 2013. — Vol. 123, no. 2. — P. 446–474.
- [9] Lochowski R. On a generalization of the Hahn-Jordan decomposition for real càdlàg functions // Colloquium Mathematicum. — 2013. — Vol. 132, no. 1. — P. 121–137.
- [10] Daley D. J., Vere-Jones D. An Introduction to The Theory of Point Processes: Volume I: Elementary Theory and Methods. — 2. corr. print. edition. — New York NY : Springer, 2005.
- [11] Gradshteyn I., Ryzhik I. Table of integrals, series, and products. — Eighth edition. — Waltham, MA : Academic Press, 2015. — ISBN: 0123849349.

**Mikhail Lifshits**, mikhail@lifshits.org.

**Andrei Podchishchailov**, andrei.podch@yandex.ru.

St.Petersburg State University. Russia, 191023, St.Petersburg, Universitetskaya emb. 7/9.