

# SHEAR-INDUCED FORCE AND DISPERSION DUE TO BUOYANCY IN A HORIZONTAL HELE-SHAW CELL

by Prabakaran Rajamanickam<sup>†</sup>

(*Department of Mathematics, University of Manchester,  
Manchester M13 9PL, UK*)

[Received . Revise ]

## Summary

This paper investigates shear flow in a Hele-Shaw cell, driven by varying horizontal buoyancy forces resulting from a horizontal density gradient induced by a scalar field. By employing asymptotic methods and taking the dependence of density and transport coefficients on the scalar field into account, effective two-dimensional hydrodynamic equations coupled with the scalar conservation equation are derived. These equations determine an effective diffusion coefficient for the scalar field accounting for shear-induced diffusion, and an effective shear-induced buoyancy force that modifies the classical Darcy's law. The derived equations provide a foundation for future research into various problems involving scalar transport in horizontal Hele-Shaw cells.


## 1. Introduction

When a horizontal density gradient exists within a fluid, mechanical equilibrium is impossible due to varying buoyancy forces acting on fluid elements at different locations but the same altitude **(1)**. Such a gradient may develop from a spatial variation of any scalar field, such as the fluid temperature or the concentration of a dispersing solute, upon which the density depends on. For instance, in natural-convection (or, indirect-convection) boundary layers, a horizontal pressure gradient develops when there is a horizontal temperature gradient **(2)**. An interesting phenomenon occurs when fluid motion due to buoyancy force occurs in narrow horizontal geometries: Taylor or shear-induced dispersion. This arises due to a local-scale interaction between a strong shear flow and a slowly-varying scalar field, as first elucidated by Taylor in 1953 **(3)**. The local-scale interaction effectively appears as a diffusion process for the scalar on the large scale. Taylor calculated the effective diffusion coefficient, which was found to be proportional to the square of the flow Peclet number.

In the original Taylor's analysis, the shear flow was driven by an externally imposed pressure gradient. However, it should be noted that the dispersing scalar field itself can induce shear flow due to the indirect buoyancy forces mentioned above. This fact was first appreciated by Erdogan and Chatwin **(4, 5)**, who derived an effective diffusion coefficient for a buoyancy-induced flow in a horizontal pipe. In a two-dimensional Hele-Shaw cell

---

<sup>†</sup>Present address: Department of Mathematics & Statistics, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow G1 1XQ, UK.



**Fig. 1** Schematic illustration of a scalar field dispersing in a horizontal Hele-Shaw cell.

configuration, the effective diffusion coefficient  $D_{\text{eff},x^*}$ , say for the  $x^*$ -direction, for the (non-dimensional) scalar field  $\theta$ , is given by

$$D_{\text{eff},x^*}^* = D^* \left[ 1 + \frac{\gamma g^2 h^8 \alpha^2}{\nu^{*2} D^{*2}} \left( \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial x^*} \right)^2 \right], \quad (1.1)$$

where  $D^*$  is the molecular diffusivity of the scalar,  $\gamma = 2/2835$  is a numerical factor,  $g$  is the gravitational acceleration,  $\nu^*$  is the kinematic viscosity of the fluid,  $h$  is the channel half-width and  $\alpha$  is an expansion coefficient. This formula, derived under the Boussinesq approximation, leads to a nonlinear diffusion equation for  $\theta$ , known as the Erdogan–Chatwin equation (6, 7, 8). A simple derivation of the above formula can be found in (9).

This paper aims to generalise the results of Erdogan and Chatwin beyond the Boussinesq approximation, allowing density and all transport coefficients, to depend on the scalar field. By relaxing the Boussinesq approximation, we achieve a more comprehensive coupling between hydrodynamics and the scalar field. The plan of the paper is to obtain the effective two-dimensional depth-averaged governing equations in a Hele-Shaw cell, starting from the full three-dimensional Navier–Stokes equations, by employing the well-known long-time (or large-scale) asymptotic method (10, 11). The study will uncover an effective buoyancy force appearing in Darcy’s law and an effective diffusion coefficient appearing in the scalar-field equation.

## 2. Problem formulation

As mentioned in the introduction, we consider a narrow fluid layer parallel to the  $x^*y^*$ -plane within a Hele-Shaw cell with a half-width  $h$ . The gravity vector points along the negative  $z^*$ -axis, as depicted in Fig. 1. Let the characteristic length, time and velocity scales associated with the scalar field  $\theta(x^*, y^*, z^*, t^*)$  be

$$l_m, \quad t_m = \frac{l_m^2}{D_c^*}, \quad U_m = \frac{D_c^*}{l_m} \quad (2.1)$$

where  $D_c^*$  is a reference value for the diffusion coefficient of the scalar. Generally speaking, shear-induced dispersion arises under two basic limiting conditions. First of all, the mixing length scale  $l_m$  must be significantly greater than the channel half-width  $h$ . Equivalently, the mixing time scale  $t_m$  should be greater than the diffusion time  $t_h = h^2/D_c^*$  across the

channel. This limit is represented by a small parameter  $\varepsilon$ , which is defined by

$$\varepsilon = \frac{h}{l_m} = \sqrt{\frac{t_h}{t_m}} \ll 1. \quad (2.2)$$

Secondly, the shearing motion induced by the buoyancy force must be stronger than the mixing speed  $U_m$ . The characteristic velocity scale,  $U_b$  for a representative relative density difference  $\Delta\rho^*/\rho_c^*$ , may be defined as

$$U_b = \frac{\Delta\rho^*}{\rho_c^*} \frac{gh^3}{\nu_c^* l_m}, \quad (2.3)$$

which is obtained by balancing hydrostatic and viscous forces. The second limiting condition is then expressed as

$$\frac{U_b}{U_m} \sim \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \gg 1. \quad (2.4)$$

The two limits (2.2) and (2.4) simply indicate a strong buoyancy-induced shear flow within a narrow, horizontal fluid layer. To represent the second limit, we introduce a non-dimensional number, say a Rayleigh number  $Ra$ , but otherwise also a Prandtl number  $Pr$  (or equivalently a Schmidt number  $Sc$ ). These numbers are defined by

$$Ra = \frac{h}{l_m} \frac{gh^3}{\nu_c^* D_c^*}, \quad Pr = \frac{\nu_c^*}{D_c^*}. \quad (2.5)$$

In defining the Rayleigh number, the ratio  $\Delta\rho^*/\rho_c^*$  is excluded as it can be of order one in gaseous flows. However, for liquids, this ratio is typically small and an alternative Rayleigh number  $Ra_l = \varepsilon U_b / U_m = Ra \Delta\rho^* / \rho_c^*$  can be introduced at a later stage if necessary.

To facilitate the analysis, we introduce the following non-dimensionalization:

$$\begin{aligned} t &= \frac{t^*}{t_m}, & (x, y) &= \frac{1}{l_m}(x^*, y^*), & z &= \frac{z^*}{h}, & \hat{\mathbf{v}} &= \frac{\hat{\mathbf{v}}^*}{U_m}, & p &= \frac{p^* h^2}{\rho_c^* \nu_c^* D_c^*}, \\ \rho &= \frac{\rho^*}{\rho_c^*}, & \mu &= \frac{\rho^* \nu^*}{\rho_c^* \nu_c^*}, & \lambda &= \frac{\rho^* D^*}{\rho_c^* D_c^*}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

in terms of which the low Mach-number Navier–Stokes equations can be written as

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \hat{\nabla} \cdot (\rho \hat{\mathbf{v}}) = 0, \quad (2.7)$$

$$\frac{\rho}{Pr} \left( \frac{\partial \hat{\mathbf{v}}}{\partial t} + \hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \hat{\nabla} \hat{\mathbf{v}} \right) = -\frac{\hat{\nabla} p}{\varepsilon^2} + \hat{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau} - \frac{Ra}{\varepsilon^4} \rho \mathbf{e}_z, \quad (2.8)$$

$$\rho \left( \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} + \hat{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \hat{\nabla} \theta \right) = \hat{\nabla} \cdot (\lambda \hat{\nabla} \theta) + Q(\mathbf{x}, t, \theta), \quad (2.9)$$

$$\rho = \rho(\theta), \quad \mu = \mu(\theta) \quad \lambda = \lambda(\theta), \quad (2.10)$$

where the viscous stress tensor is defined by

$$\boldsymbol{\tau} = \mu \left[ \hat{\nabla} \hat{\mathbf{v}} + \hat{\nabla} \hat{\mathbf{v}}^T - \frac{2}{3} (\hat{\nabla} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{v}}) \mathbf{I} \right]. \quad (2.11)$$

In these equations,  $\widehat{\nabla} = (\nabla, \partial_z/\varepsilon)$  denotes the three-dimensional gradient operator in which  $\nabla = (\partial_x, \partial_y)$  denotes the two-dimensional gradient operator and  $\widehat{\mathbf{v}} = (\mathbf{v}, w)$  denotes the three-dimensional velocity field in which  $\mathbf{v} = (u, v)$  denotes the two-dimensional velocity field. Similarly  $\widehat{\mathbf{x}} = (\mathbf{x}, z)$  is the three-dimensional position vector and  $\mathbf{x} = (x, y)$  is the two-dimensional position vector. Furthermore, a term  $Q(\mathbf{x}, t, \theta)$  is included in the scalar equation, to represent any volumetric source or sinks such as chemical reaction, that may be present. Equation (2.10) represents the equation of state and the constitutive relations for the transport coefficients.

Since the fluid is bound between two parallel rigid walls, we have

$$\mathbf{v} = w = 0 \quad \text{at} \quad z = \pm 1, . \quad (2.12)$$

If we permit for small leakages of the scalar field, then we have

$$-\frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z} = \pm \varepsilon S^\pm(\mathbf{x}, t, \theta) \quad \text{at} \quad z = \pm 1 \quad (2.13)$$

where  $S^+(\mathbf{x}, t, \theta)$  and  $S^-(\mathbf{x}, t, \theta)$  are prescribed functions;  $-(S^+ + S^-)/2$  measures the average flux of scalar leaking through the walls.

### 3. Asymptotic solution in the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$

The solution to the non-dimensional problem written down in the previous section is developed here in the asymptotic limit  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , while regarding the non-dimensional numbers (2.5) as free order-unity parameters in the problem. The solution is sought in the form of a regular perturbation series

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v} &= \varepsilon^{-1} \mathbf{v}_0(\mathbf{x}, z, t) + \mathbf{v}_1(\mathbf{x}, z, t) + \dots, & w &= 0 + w_1(\mathbf{x}, z, t) + \dots, \\ p &= \varepsilon^{-1} p_0(\mathbf{x}, z, t) + p_1(\mathbf{x}, z, t) + \dots, & \theta &= \theta_0(\mathbf{x}, t) + \varepsilon \theta_1(\mathbf{x}, z, t) + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

in which the leading-order scaling for  $\mathbf{v}$  and  $p$  indicates the presence of strong buoyancy-induced shear flow. The expansion for density is given by  $\rho = \rho_0 + \varepsilon \rho_1 + \varepsilon^2 \rho_2 + \dots$  where

$$\rho_0 = \rho(\theta_0), \quad \rho_1 = \theta_1 \frac{\partial \rho_0}{\partial \theta_0}, \quad \rho_2 = \theta_2 \frac{\partial \rho_0}{\partial \theta_0} + \frac{\theta_1^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \rho_0}{\partial \theta_0^2}, \quad \text{etc.} \quad (3.2)$$

Similar expansions can be written down for the functions  $\mu(\theta)$ ,  $\lambda(\theta)$ ,  $Q(\mathbf{x}, t, \theta)$  and  $S^\pm(\mathbf{x}, t, \theta)$ .

Substituting the expansions (3.1) into equations (2.7)-(2.13) and collecting terms of different orders of  $\varepsilon$ , we obtain a set of equations at different orders, which are solved below. To facilitate further calculations, it is convenient to define the depth-averaged value of a physical variable, say  $\varphi$ , across the fluid layer, by

$$\langle \varphi \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-1}^{+1} \varphi dz. \quad (3.3)$$

#### 3.1 Leading-order problem: Ostroumov flow

At leading order, we obtain

$$\nabla \cdot (\rho_0 \mathbf{v}_0) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (\rho_0 w_1) = 0, \quad \nabla p_0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \mu_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_0}{\partial z} \right), \quad \frac{\partial p_0}{\partial z} = -Ra \rho_0, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \lambda_0 \frac{\partial \theta_0}{\partial z} \right) = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

Integration of the last equation subject to the condition  $-\lambda_0 \partial \theta_0 / \partial z = 0$  at  $z = \pm 1$  shows that  $\theta_0 = \theta_0(\mathbf{x}, t)$ ,  $\rho_0 = \rho_0(\mathbf{x}, t)$ ,  $\mu_0 = \mu_0(\mathbf{x}, t)$  and  $\lambda_0 = \lambda_0(\mathbf{x}, t)$ . The continuity and the momentum equations can be integrated using the boundary conditions  $\mathbf{v}_0 = w_1 = 0$  at  $z = \pm 1$  and the assumption of no externally imposed pressure gradients or shear stresses. This leads to a solution that was originally studied in **(12, 13, 14)**, where  $\mathbf{v}_0$  is a cubic polynomial in  $z$ . This is due to the horizontal pressure gradient  $\nabla p_0$  being proportional to  $\nabla \rho_0 z$  which arises from differential hydrostatic balance. Specifically, the solution is given by

$$\nabla p_0 = -Ra \nabla \rho_0 z, \quad \mu_0 \mathbf{v}_0 = \frac{Ra}{6} \nabla \rho_0 (z - z^3), \quad \rho_0 w_1 = \frac{Ra}{24} \nabla \cdot \left( \frac{\rho_0}{\mu_0} \nabla \rho_0 \right) (z^2 - 1)^2 \quad (3.5)$$

The net discharge of this flow is zero, i.e.,  $\langle \mathbf{v}_0 \rangle = 0$ . This would be non-zero in the presence of external factors (which are not considered in this paper) such as imposed pressure gradients such as in a Poiseuille flow or direct gravitational pressure gradients in inclined or vertical configurations. Equations (3.5) describe the leading-order solution for the flow field, provided  $\rho_0$  and  $\mu_0$  is known; the remaining analysis in this section will be devoted to deriving the governing equations for these quantities.

The flow described by the solution (3.5) is referred to as the Ostroumov–Birikh flow, primarily in Russian literature. However, it may also be referred to as Ostroumov–Birikh–Hansen–Rattray flows or simply Ostroumov flows\*. The Ostroumov-type flows are distinct from the Poiseuille-type flows. In Poiseuille-type flows,  $\mathbf{v}_0$  is a quadratic function of  $z$  due to a constant pressure gradient at least on the local scale (i.e.,  $x, y \sim \varepsilon$  and  $z \sim 1$ ), although it may vary on the large scale (i.e.,  $x, y \sim 1$ ) in variable-density flows **(15, 16)**. Moreover, we can deduce from (3.5) that since  $\nabla \rho_0$  is constant, in the first approximation, on the local scale,  $w_1$  is zero on the local scale, although it can vary on the large scale **(5)**. In contrast, in Poiseuille-type flows,  $w_1$  is proportional to the first-derivative of  $\rho_0$ , leading to non-zero values on the local scale; see, for example, **(17, 15, 16)**.

### 3.2 First-order problem

At the next order, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial \rho_0}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho_1 \mathbf{v}_0 + \rho_0 \mathbf{v}_1) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial z} (\rho_1 w_1 + \rho_0 w_2), \quad (3.6)$$

$$\frac{\rho_0}{Pr} \left( \mathbf{v}_0 \cdot \nabla \mathbf{v}_0 + w_1 \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_0}{\partial z} \right) + \nabla p_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \mu_0 \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_1}{\partial z} + \mu_1 \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_0}{\partial z} \right), \quad (3.7)$$

$$\frac{\partial p_1}{\partial z} = -Ra \rho_1, \quad (3.8)$$

$$\rho_0 \mathbf{v}_0 \cdot \nabla \theta_0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \lambda_0 \frac{\partial \theta_1}{\partial z} \right). \quad (3.9)$$

The last three equations can be integrated using the boundary conditions (2.12)-(2.13) to determine  $\mathbf{v}_1$ ,  $p_1$  and  $\theta_1$ , which are presented in Appendix A. These solutions, introduce three depth-averaged quantities, namely

$$\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{x}, t) \equiv \langle \mathbf{v}_1 \rangle, \quad 3P(\mathbf{x}, t) \equiv \langle p_1 \rangle, \quad \Theta(\mathbf{x}, t) \equiv \langle \theta_1 \rangle. \quad (3.10)$$

---

\*Ostroumov**(12)** himself credits the solution to G. N. Guk in his textbook.

where  $\mathbf{V}$  is given in (6.4) and  $\Theta$  is not needed in further analysis. By an inspection of the continuity equation (3.6), we can also define an effective depth-averaged mass flux  $\rho_0 \mathbf{u}$  as

$$\rho_0 \mathbf{u} = \langle \rho_0 \mathbf{v}_1 + \rho_1 \mathbf{v}_0 \rangle = \rho_0 \mathbf{V} + \frac{\partial \rho_0}{\partial \theta_0} \langle \mathbf{v}_0 \theta_1 \rangle \quad \text{so that} \quad \frac{\partial \rho_0}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho_0 \mathbf{u}) = 0 \quad (3.11)$$

ensuring  $w_2(\mathbf{x}, \pm 1, t) = 0$ . The expression for  $\mathbf{u}$  can be calculated using the solutions obtained so far and is presented below in (4.2).

### 3.3 Second-order problem

For the second-order problem, it is sufficient to consider only the scalar equation (2.9) which simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_0 \frac{\partial \theta_0}{\partial t} + (\rho_0 \mathbf{v}_1 + \rho_1 \mathbf{v}_0) \cdot \nabla \theta_0 + \nabla \cdot (\rho_0 \mathbf{v}_0 \theta_1) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} (\rho_0 w_1 \theta_1) \\ = \nabla \cdot (\lambda_0 \nabla \theta_0) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \lambda_0 \frac{\partial \theta_2}{\partial z} + \lambda_1 \frac{\partial \theta_1}{\partial z} \right) + Q_0(\mathbf{x}, t, \theta_0). \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

The solvability condition for this equation, subject to the boundary condition  $-\lambda_0 \partial \theta_2 / \partial z = \pm S_0^\pm(\mathbf{x}, t, \theta_0)$  at  $z = \pm 1$ , determines the governing equation for  $\theta_0(\mathbf{x}, t)$ . Depth-averaging the above equation, the term  $(\rho_0 \mathbf{v}_1 + \rho_1 \mathbf{v}_0) \cdot \nabla \theta_0$  on the left side becomes  $\rho \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \theta_0$  (see (3.11)), whereas the term  $\nabla \cdot (\rho_0 \mathbf{v}_0 \theta_1)$  manifests as a buoyancy-induced diffusion term. Specifically, we find

$$\langle \mathbf{v}_0 \theta_1 \rangle = -\gamma Ra^2 \frac{\rho_0 \nabla \rho_0}{\mu_0^2 \lambda_0} \nabla \rho_0 \cdot \nabla \theta_0, \quad \text{where} \quad \gamma = \frac{2}{2835}. \quad (3.13)$$

The  $z$ -derivative term on the left side of (3.12) vanishes upon averaging whereas the  $z$ -derivative terms on the right side incorporate contributions from the surface fluxes. All other terms in the equation remain unaffected by the averaging operation since they are independent of  $z$ . The resultant equation for  $\theta_0(\mathbf{x}, t)$  thus obtained is presented in (4.3).

## 4. Two-dimensional depth-averaged governing equations

Collecting (3.11) and the depth-averaged version of (3.12), we obtain the required two-dimensional depth-averaged governing equations. In writing down these equations, we shall omit the subscript 0 for clarity. The governing equations then read

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u}) = 0, \quad (4.1)$$

$$-\mu \mathbf{u} = \nabla P + \mathcal{F}_{\text{eff}}, \quad (4.2)$$

$$\rho \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} + \rho \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \theta = \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathcal{D}_{\text{eff}} \cdot \nabla \theta) + Q - S_{\text{avg}}, \quad (4.3)$$

$$\rho = \rho(\theta), \quad \mu = \mu(\theta) \quad \lambda = \lambda(\theta), \quad (4.4)$$

in which  $-S_{\text{avg}} = -(S^+ + S^-)/2$  quantifies the average flux of scalar leaking through the walls and  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{eff}}$  is the effective diffusion matrix, which is defined by

$$\rho \mathcal{D}_{\text{eff}} = \lambda \left( \mathbf{I} + \frac{\gamma Ra^2 \rho^2}{\mu^2 \lambda^2} \nabla \rho \otimes \nabla \rho \right). \quad (4.5)$$

The first part of the matrix represents normal molecular diffusion, whereas the second part represents buoyancy-induced diffusion. The matrix  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{eff}}$  is evidently symmetric and also positive definite as can be demonstrated; the term  $\nabla\rho \otimes \nabla\rho$  is also known as the structure tensor or second moment matrix. The effective buoyancy force  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{eff}}$  that appears in Darcy's equation (4.2) is given by

$$\frac{\mathcal{F}_{\text{eff}}}{\gamma Ra^2} = -\rho \nabla \left[ \frac{(\nabla\rho)^2}{\mu\lambda} \right] - \frac{3\rho \nabla\rho}{2\mu^2\lambda} \nabla\rho \cdot \nabla\mu + \frac{\rho(\nabla\rho \cdot \nabla)}{\mu Pr} \left( \frac{\nabla\rho}{\mu} \right) + \frac{3\nabla\rho}{2\mu Pr} \nabla \cdot \left( \frac{\rho}{\mu} \nabla\rho \right). \quad (4.6)$$

It is crucial to note that the effective buoyancy force depends not only on  $\rho$ , but also those of  $\mu$  and  $\lambda$ , although the later dependences evanesce, as expected, in constant-density flows ( $\nabla\rho = 0$ ).

Equations (4.1)-(4.6) solve the unknown variables  $\mathbf{u}$ ,  $P$ ,  $\theta$ ,  $\rho$ ,  $\mu$  and  $\lambda$ , subject to suitable initial condition and boundary conditions in the  $xy$ -plane. It is worth noting that the Rayleigh number appears in the equations only as  $Ra^2$  and as such the sign of the gravity vector, illustrated in Fig. 1, is irrelevant in this leading-order problem. Of course, the leading-order flow field (3.5), which is determined once (4.1)-(4.6) is solved, does depend on the sign of the gravity vector.

As can be seen from (4.5)-(4.6), when  $Ra \sim \varepsilon$ , i.e., when the buoyancy-induced motion  $U_b$  is comparable to the mixing speed  $U_m$  as per the discussion made in section 2, the two effective quantities become  $\rho\mathcal{D}_{\text{eff}} = \lambda\mathbf{I} + O(\varepsilon^2)$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{eff}} = O(\varepsilon^2)$ . This suggests that both the shear-induced dispersion and buoyancy force disappear (4.1)-(4.6), recovering the classical equations including normal Darcy's law.

The case in which density may be regarded as a variable physical quantity, but not the transport coefficients is of some interest. Then  $\mu = \lambda = 1$  and the effective diffusion matrix and buoyancy force simplify to

$$\rho\mathcal{D}_{\text{eff}} = \mathbf{I} + \gamma Ra^2 \rho^2 \nabla\rho \otimes \nabla\rho, \quad \frac{\mathcal{F}_{\text{eff}}}{\gamma Ra^2} = \left( \frac{1}{2Pr} - 1 \right) \rho \nabla(\nabla\rho)^2 + \frac{3\nabla\rho}{4Pr} \nabla^2 \rho^2. \quad (4.7)$$

#### 4.1 Multi-scalar system

Suppose that there are  $N$  additional scalar fields  $\varphi_i$ , having a dimensional diffusion coefficient  $D_i^*$ , besides the scalar  $\theta \equiv \varphi_0$ .<sup>‡</sup> The density and the transport coefficients may, in general, depend on all  $N + 1$  variables, i.e.,

$$\rho = \rho(\varphi_i), \quad \mu = \mu(\varphi_i), \quad \lambda = \lambda(\varphi_i), \quad i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N \quad (4.8)$$

and so are the volumetric source functions  $Q_i = Q_i(\mathbf{x}, t, \varphi_i)$ , and the average surface-flux functions  $\tilde{S}_{\text{avg},i} = S_{\text{avg},i}(\mathbf{x}, t, \varphi_i)$ . Defining  $Le_i = D_i^*/D_0^*$  and assuming it to be constant, the equation for  $\varphi_i$ , in the absence of cross-diffusion between different scalar fields, can be written as

$$\rho \frac{\partial \varphi_i}{\partial t} + \rho \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \varphi_i = \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathcal{D}_{\text{eff},i} \cdot \nabla \varphi_i) + Q_i - S_{\text{avg},i} \quad (4.9)$$

<sup>‡</sup>The subscripts  $i$ , including 0, labelling different scalar fields should not be confused with the subscripts used in the asymptotic analysis.

where

$$\rho \mathcal{D}_{\text{eff},i} = \frac{\lambda}{Le_i} \left( \mathbf{I} + \frac{\gamma Ra^2 Le_i^2 \rho^2}{\mu^2 \lambda^2} \nabla \rho \otimes \nabla \rho \right). \quad (4.10)$$

The formula for the effective buoyancy force (4.6) also needs modification with regards to those terms containing  $\lambda$ ; specifically, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathcal{F}_{\text{eff}}}{\gamma Ra^2} = & -\rho \nabla \left[ \frac{\nabla \rho}{\mu \lambda} \cdot \left( \sum_{i=0}^N Le_i \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \varphi_i} \nabla \varphi_i \right) \right] - \frac{3\rho \nabla \rho}{2\mu^2 \lambda} \nabla \rho \cdot \left( \sum_{i=0}^N Le_i \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \varphi_i} \nabla \varphi_i \right) \\ & + \frac{\rho(\nabla \rho \cdot \nabla)}{\mu Pr} \left( \frac{\nabla \rho}{\mu} \right) + \frac{3\nabla \rho}{2\mu Pr} \nabla \cdot \left( \frac{\rho}{\mu} \nabla \rho \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

which reduces to (4.6) only for equi-diffusional system, i.e., for  $Le_1 = Le_2 = \dots = Le_N = 1$ ; obviously,  $Le_0 = 1$  always.

## 5. Concluding remarks

The development of an effective two-dimensional description, presented herein, is expected to pave way for exploring a range of intriguing problems involving scalar transport in a Hele-Shaw cell. Future research can delve canonical problems such as the evolution of non-axisymmetric hot spots and stability of horizontal convection.

While the concept of shear-induced dispersion and its implications are well-established, the notion of a shear-induced force in Darcy's law is relatively novel, having been briefly mentioned in the previous work (18). The implications of this force are not immediately evident, as they depend on many specific physical quantities and may vary across different problems.

Appendix B provides a useful exploration of the role of strong wall leakages in a specific case study. The challenge of investigating the impact of strong leakages under general conditions, as briefly mentioned in the footnote in Appendix B, warrants further investigation in future work.

## 6. Acknowledgements

The author is thankful to Joel Daou for some fruitful discussion regarding the problem addressed here.



### Appendix A: Solutions for the first-order problem

Solutions to equations (3.7)-(3.9) subject to (2.12)-(2.13) that arose in the first-order problem, are presented here. These are

$$\theta_1 = \Theta - \frac{Ra}{360} \frac{\rho_0}{\mu_0 \lambda_0} \nabla \theta_0 \cdot \nabla \rho_0 (3z^5 - 10z^3 + 15z), \quad (6.1)$$

$$p_1 = 3P - Ra \frac{\partial \rho_0}{\partial \theta_0} \left[ \Theta z - \frac{Ra}{5040} \frac{\rho_0}{\mu_0 \lambda_0} \nabla \theta_0 \cdot \nabla \rho_0 (7z^6 - 35z^4 + 105z^2 - 29) \right], \quad (6.2)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_0 \mathbf{v}_1 = & \frac{3}{2} \nabla P (z^2 - 1) + \frac{Ra}{6} \left[ \nabla \left( \Theta \frac{\partial \rho_0}{\partial \theta_0} \right) - \frac{\Theta \nabla \rho_0}{\mu_0} \frac{\partial \mu_0}{\partial \theta_0} \right] (z - z^3) \\ & + \frac{Ra^2}{120960} \nabla \left[ \frac{\rho_0}{\mu_0 \lambda_0} \frac{\partial \rho_0}{\partial \theta_0} \nabla \theta_0 \cdot \nabla \rho_0 \right] (z^2 - 1)^2 (3z^4 - 22z^2 + 163) \\ & - \frac{Ra^2}{17280} \frac{\rho_0}{\mu_0^2 \lambda_0} \nabla \rho_0 \frac{\partial \mu_0}{\partial \theta_0} (\nabla \theta_0 \cdot \nabla \rho_0) (z^2 - 1) (9z^6 - 35z^4 + 75z^2 + 15) \\ & + \frac{Ra^2}{30240 Pr} \frac{\rho_0}{\mu_0} \nabla \rho_0 \cdot \nabla \left( \frac{\nabla \rho_0}{\mu_0} \right) (z^2 - 1) (15z^6 - 41z^4 + 29z^2 + 29) \\ & - \frac{Ra^2}{120960 Pr} \frac{\nabla \rho_0}{\mu_0} \nabla \cdot \left( \frac{\rho_0}{\mu_0} \nabla \rho_0 \right) (z^2 - 1) (45z^6 - 151z^4 + 199z^2 - 221), \end{aligned} \quad (6.3)$$

in which  $\Theta(\mathbf{x}, t) = \langle \theta_1 \rangle$  and  $3P(\mathbf{x}, t) = \langle p_1 \rangle$  are integration constants, whereas  $\mathbf{V}(\mathbf{x}, t) = \langle \mathbf{v}_1 \rangle$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_0 \mathbf{V} = & -\nabla P + \gamma Ra^2 \nabla \left[ \frac{\rho_0}{\mu_0 \lambda_0} \frac{\partial \rho_0}{\partial \theta_0} \nabla \theta_0 \cdot \nabla \rho_0 \right] + \frac{3}{2} \gamma Ra^2 \frac{\rho_0}{\mu_0^2 \lambda_0} \nabla \rho_0 \frac{\partial \mu_0}{\partial \theta_0} (\nabla \theta_0 \cdot \nabla \rho_0) \\ & - \frac{1}{Pr} \gamma Ra^2 \frac{\rho_0}{\mu_0} \nabla \rho_0 \cdot \nabla \left( \frac{\nabla \rho_0}{\mu_0} \right) - \frac{3}{2 Pr} \gamma Ra^2 \frac{\nabla \rho_0}{\mu_0} \nabla \cdot \left( \frac{\rho_0}{\mu_0} \nabla \rho_0 \right) \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

where  $\gamma = 2/2835$ .

### Appendix B: Role of strong leakages at the walls in a special scenario

The analysis carried out in the main text assumes that the flux leakage through channel walls at  $z = \pm 1$  is small, as indicated in (2.13). Let us explore how the results might change if the flux leakage is instead described by

$$-\frac{\lambda}{\varepsilon} \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial z} = R(\mathbf{x}, t, \theta) \quad \text{at } z = \pm 1 \quad (6.5)$$

where  $R$  is an order-unity function. This boundary condition pertains to a specific scenario where the average flux across the channel is zero. In other words, the flux entering one side of the channel is precisely balanced by the flux exiting the other side.<sup>§</sup>

<sup>§</sup>A more general condition,  $-(\lambda/\varepsilon)\partial\theta/\partial z = \pm R^\pm(\mathbf{x}, t, \theta)$  at  $z = \pm 1$ , is not considered here since it would generate a non-zero leading-order discharge,  $\langle \mathbf{v}_0 \rangle \neq 0$ , as implied by the solvability condition,  $\rho_0 \langle \mathbf{v}_0 \rangle \cdot \nabla \theta_0 = -R_{0,\text{avg}}$ , of equation (3.9). This introduces a Poiseuille-type contribution to the leading-order Ostroumov flow (3.5), indicating that the flow is not only driven by buoyancy forces, but also due to strong flux leakages. The primary challenge in incorporating non-zero values of  $\langle \mathbf{v}_0 \rangle$  lies in the fact that the unknown function  $\Theta = \langle \theta_1 \rangle$  would appear in the leading-order depth-averaged equations. This would create a closure problem, as we would need additional information to determine  $\Theta$ . Addressing this problem is beyond the scope of this work.

With the revised condition for  $\theta$ , only the specific steps in the analysis from §3 that require modifications will be highlighted. The first changes occur in the first-order problem discussed in §3.2. Equation (3.9) for  $\theta_1$  must now be supplemented with the boundary conditions  $-\lambda_0 \partial \theta_1 / \partial z = R_0$  at  $z = \pm 1$ . The resulting solution for  $\theta_1$ ,  $p_1$  and  $\mathbf{v}_1$  is given by (6.1)-(6.3) with the addition of the following three terms, respectively, to their right-hand side:

$$-\frac{R_0}{\lambda_0} z, \quad -Ra \frac{\partial \rho_0}{\partial \theta_0} \frac{R_0}{6\lambda_0} (1 - 3z^2), \quad \frac{Ra}{24} \nabla \left( \frac{R_0}{\lambda_0} \frac{\partial \rho_0}{\partial \theta_0} \right) (z^2 - 1)^2. \quad (6.6)$$

Turning to the second-order problem, we note that equation (3.12) is now subject to the boundary condition  $-(\lambda_0 \partial \theta_2 / \partial z + \lambda_1 \partial \theta_1 / \partial z) = R_1$ . When enforcing the solvability condition for equation (3.12), we obtain a new contribution to the term  $\langle \mathbf{v}_0 \theta_1 \rangle$ , given by

$$\langle \mathbf{v}_0 \theta_1 \rangle = -\gamma Ra^2 \frac{\rho_0 \nabla \rho_0}{\mu_0^2 \lambda_0} \nabla \rho_0 \cdot \nabla \theta_0 - \gamma_s Ra \frac{R_0 \nabla \rho_0}{\mu_0 \lambda_0}, \quad \text{where } \gamma = \frac{2}{2835}, \quad \gamma_s = \frac{1}{45}. \quad (6.7)$$

The new constant  $\gamma_s$  pertains to the contribution from the surface fluxes.

**Depth-averaged equations:** We can now write down the two-dimensional governing equations. As before, omitting the subscript 0 for clarity, we find

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{u}) = 0, \quad (6.8)$$

$$-\mu \mathbf{u} = \nabla P + \mathcal{F}_{\text{eff}}, \quad (6.9)$$

$$\rho \frac{\partial \theta}{\partial t} + \rho \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \theta = \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathcal{D}_{\text{eff}} \cdot \nabla \theta) + Q, \quad (6.10)$$

$$\rho = \rho(\theta), \quad \mu = \mu(\theta) \quad \lambda = \lambda(\theta), \quad (6.11)$$

where

$$\rho \mathcal{D}_{\text{eff}} = \lambda \left( \mathbf{I} + \frac{\gamma Ra^2 \rho^2}{\mu^2 \lambda^2} \nabla \rho \otimes \nabla \rho \right) + \gamma_s Ra \frac{\rho R}{\mu \lambda} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \theta} \mathbf{I} \quad (6.12)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{\text{eff}} = \gamma Ra^2 \left\{ -\rho \nabla \left[ \frac{(\nabla \rho)^2}{\mu \lambda} \right] - \frac{3\rho \nabla \rho}{2\mu^2 \lambda} \nabla \rho \cdot \nabla \mu + \frac{\rho(\nabla \rho \cdot \nabla)}{\mu Pr} \left( \frac{\nabla \rho}{\mu} \right) + \frac{3\nabla \rho}{2\mu Pr} \nabla \cdot \left( \frac{\rho}{\mu} \nabla \rho \right) \right\}, \\ + \gamma_s Ra \left[ \frac{R \nabla \rho}{\rho \lambda} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \theta} - \nabla \left( \frac{R}{\lambda} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \theta} \right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (6.13)$$

Thus, the primary modifications to the governing equations due to strong flux leakage through walls are the additional terms involving the function  $R$  in the definitions of  $\mathcal{D}_{\text{eff}}$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{eff}}$ . These terms, proportional to  $Ra$ , depend on the direction of gravity vector illustrated in Fig. 1.

It is worth noting that although  $\rho \mathcal{D}_{\text{eff}}$  remains symmetric, it need not be positive definite. The potential for negative contribution arises the product  $Ra R \partial \rho / \partial \theta$ . For most fluids, the expansion coefficient  $-\rho^{-1} \partial \rho / \partial \theta > 0$  and therefore the aforementioned product becomes negative when  $Ra R > 0$ . As to when this condition is satisfied can be inferred from the following: (1)  $Ra > 0$  when gravity points downwards as shown in Fig. 1 and  $Ra < 0$  otherwise, and (2)  $R > 0$  when the flux leaks out of the Hele-Shaw channel and  $R < 0$  when it leaks into the channel.

**Multi-scalar system:** For the multi-scalar system discussed in §4.1, we now include the boundary conditions  $(-\lambda/\varepsilon Le_i)\partial\varphi_i/\partial z = R_i(\mathbf{x}, t, \varphi_i)$  at  $z = \pm 1$ . The formula for the effective buoyancy force  $\mathcal{F}_{\text{eff}}$  is then obtained by adding, to the right-hand side of (4.11), the term,

$$\gamma_s Ra \left[ \frac{\nabla\rho}{\rho\lambda} \sum_{i=0}^N Le_i R_i \frac{\partial\rho}{\partial\varphi_i} - \nabla \cdot \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{i=0}^N Le_i R_i \frac{\partial\rho}{\partial\varphi_i} \right) \right]. \quad (6.14)$$

The governing equation (4.9) for  $\varphi_i$  must now be modified due to the emergence of cross-diffusion terms. The modified equations are given by

$$\rho \frac{\partial\varphi_i}{\partial t} + \rho \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla\varphi_i = \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathcal{D}_{\text{eff}} \cdot \nabla\varphi_i) + \sum_{j=0, j \neq i}^N \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathcal{D}_{c, \text{eff}, j} \cdot \nabla\varphi_j) + Q_i \quad (6.15)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \rho \mathcal{D}_{\text{eff}, i} &= \frac{\lambda}{Le_i} \left( \mathbf{I} + \frac{\gamma Ra^2 Le_i^2 \rho^2}{\mu^2 \lambda^2} \nabla\rho \otimes \nabla\rho \right) + \gamma_s Ra Le_i \frac{\rho R_i}{\mu\lambda} \frac{\partial\rho}{\partial\varphi_i} \mathbf{I}, \\ \rho \mathcal{D}_{c, \text{eff}, j} &= \gamma_s Ra Le_i \frac{\rho R_i}{\mu\lambda} \frac{\partial\rho}{\partial\varphi_j} \mathbf{I}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.16)$$

**Remarks for extremely strong leakages:** We define the extremely strong leakage as the condition  $-\lambda\partial\theta/\partial z = T^\pm(\mathbf{x}, t, \theta) \sim O(1)$  at  $z = \pm 1$  holds. This implies that  $\theta$  varies significantly on the scale  $z \sim 1$  (or  $z^* \sim h$  in dimensional units), rendering the notion of a thick mixing region less relevant. In such cases, the problem becomes fundamentally three-dimensional, and deviations from the depth-averaged value are expected to be of order one. Moreover, the flow induced by these extremely strong leakages will also be exceptionally strong, i.e.,  $\mathbf{v} \sim O(1/\varepsilon^2)$  and  $p \sim O(1/\varepsilon^2)$ . This means that the leading-order flow is no longer driven by buoyancy forces but rather by density variations, such as thermal expansion, imposed by the intense leakages.

## References

1. L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz. *Fluid Mechanics*, volume 6. Pergamon press, 1987.
2. K. Stewartson. On the free convection from a horizontal plate. *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.*, 9:276–282, 1958.
3. G. I. Taylor. Dispersion of soluble matter in solvent flowing slowly through a tube. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A. Math. Phys. Sci.*, 219(1137):186–203, 1953.
4. M. E. Erdogan and P. C. Chatwin. The effects of curvature and buoyancy on the laminar dispersion of solute in a horizontal tube. *J. Fluid Mech.*, 29(3):465–484, 1967.
5. P. C. Chatwin. Some remarks on the maintenance of the salinity distribution in estuaries. *Estuar. Coast. Mar. Sci.*, 4(5):555–566, 1976.
6. R. Smith. Asymptotic solutions of the Erdogan–Chatwin equation. *J. Fluid Mech.*, 88(2):323–337, 1978.
7. M. N. Crowe and J. R. Taylor. The evolution of a front in turbulent thermal wind balance. Part 1. Theory. *J. Fluid Mech.*, 850:179–211, 2018.
8. J-B. Salmon and F. Doumenc. Buoyancy-driven dispersion in confined drying of liquid binary mixtures. *Phys. Rev. Fluids*, 5(2):024201, 2020.

9. W. R. Young and S. Jones. Shear dispersion. *Phys. Fluids*, 3(5):1087–1101, 1991.
10. P. C. Chatwin. The approach to normality of the concentration distribution of a solute in a solvent flowing along a straight pipe. *J. Fluid Mech.*, 43(2):321–352, 1970.
11. A. Liñán, P. Rajamanickam, A. D. Weiss, and A. L. Sánchez. Taylor-diffusion-controlled combustion in ducts. *Combust. Theory Model.*, 24(6):1054–1069, 2020.
12. G. A. Ostroumov. Svobodnaya konvektsiya v usloviyakh vnutrennei zadachi (Free convection under the conditions of an inner problem), moscow: Gos. Izd. Tekh.-Teor. Lit, 1952.
13. R. V. Birikh. Thermocapillary convection in a horizontal layer of liquid. *J. Appl. Mech. Tech. Phys.*, 7(3):43–44, 1966.
14. D. V. Hansen and M. Rattray Jr. Gravitational circulation in straits and estuaries. *J. Mar. Res.*, 79(2):69–87, 1966.
15. P. Rajamanickam and A. D. Weiss. Effects of thermal expansion on Taylor dispersion-controlled diffusion flames. *Combust. Theory Model.*, 26(1):50–66, 2022.
16. P. Rajamanickam and J. Daou. A thick reaction zone model for premixed flames in two-dimensional channels. *Combust. Theory Model.*, 27(4):487–507, 2023.
17. P. Pearce and J. Daou. Taylor dispersion and thermal expansion effects on flame propagation in a narrow channel. *J. Fluid Mech.*, 754:161–183, 2014.
18. P. Rajamanickam and J. Daou. Effect of a shear flow on the Darrieus–Landau instability in a Hele–Shaw channel. *Proc. Combust. Inst.*, 40(1-4):105671, 2024.