

General monotonicity

M.D. Voisei

Abstract

This article employs techniques from convex analysis to present characterizations of (maximal) n -monotonicity, similar to the well-established characterizations of (maximal) monotonicity found in the existing literature. These characterizations are further illustrated through examples.

Keywords dual system, maximal cyclically monotone operator, Fitzpatrick function, Rockafellar's antiderivative, planar rotation, skew double-cone

Mathematics Subject Classification (2020) 47H05, 46N99, 47N10.

1 Introduction and preliminaries

The primary objective of this paper is to offer methods for identifying (maximal) n -monotone operators via convex analysis techniques, following the framework outlined in [6, 9, 7], where $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{+\infty\}$, $n \geq 1$, denoted as $n \in \overline{1, \infty}$.

In specific contexts, such as when the spaces are reflexive or when the domain or range is convex, maximal cyclical monotonicity coincides with maximal monotonicity (see e.g. [18]). There is a substantial body of literature addressing maximal monotonicity in reflexive spaces. Consequently, our focus is on the general context of dual systems that may not necessarily stem from reflexive spaces or dualities between a space and its topological dual. The central contribution of this article is the functional characterization of maximal n -monotonicity within this broad context.

This article addresses topics that have been explored in several previous works, including [1, 2, 5, 4]. What distinguishes our approach is not only the extensive scope of the context and the range of results presented, but also the introduction of new, significant functions and operators that are deeply connected to the theory of maximal n -monotone operators. These innovations offer fresh insights and strengthen the link between convex analysis and n -monotonicity.

In what follows, we adopt the following concepts and notations: for a multi-valued operator (or multi-function) $T : X \rightrightarrows Y$ we associate it with its *values*: $T(x) \subset Y$, $x \in X$, *graph*: $\text{Graph} T = \{(x, y) \in X \times Y \mid y \in T(x)\}$, *inverse*: $T^{-1} : Y \rightrightarrows X$, $\text{Graph}(T^{-1}) = \{(y, x) \mid (x, y) \in \text{Graph} T\}$, *domain*: $D(T) := \{x \in X \mid T(x) \neq \emptyset\} = \text{Pr}_X(\text{Graph} T)$,

range: $R(T) := \{y \in Y \mid y \in T(x), \text{ for some } x \in X\} = \text{Pr}_Y(\text{Graph } T)$, *direct-image*: for $A \subset X$, $T(A) = \cup_{x \in A} T(x) \subset Y$, *inverse-image*: for $B \subset Y$, $T^{-1}(B) = \{x \in X \mid T(x) \in B\} \subset X$. Here $\text{Pr}_X(x, y) := x$ and $\text{Pr}_Y(x, y) := y$, $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ are the projections of $X \times Y$ onto X and Y , respectively.

We do not identify an operator with its graph. Generally, a subset $S \subset X \times Y$ is said to have a certain property if the operator $T : X \rightrightarrows Y$, whose $\text{Graph } T = S$, has that same property. Conversely, $T : X \rightrightarrows Y$ is said to have a certain property if $\text{Graph } T \subset X \times Y$ possesses that property.

For a topologically vector space (E, μ) , $A \subset E$, and $f, g : E \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ we denote by:

E^* – the topological dual of E .

$\text{cl}_\mu A$ – the μ -closure of A ; $x \in \text{cl}_\mu A$ iff $x_i \xrightarrow{\mu} x$, for some net $(x_i)_i \subset A$. Here “ $\xrightarrow{\mu}$ ” denotes the convergence of nets in the μ topology.

$\text{conv } A$ – the *convex hull* of A ; $x \in \text{conv } A$ iff $(x, 1) = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k (x_k, 1)$ for some $(\lambda_k)_{k \in \overline{1, n}} \subset \mathbb{R}^+$:= $[0, +\infty)$:= $\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x \geq 0\}$, $(x_k)_{k \in \overline{1, n}} \subset A$.

$\text{Epi } f := \{(x, t) \in X \times \mathbb{R} \mid f(x) \leq t\} \subset X \times \mathbb{R}$ – the *epigraph* of f .

$\text{cl}_\mu f$ – the μ -lower *semicontinuous hull* of f , which is the greatest μ -lower semicontinuous function majorized by f ; $\text{Epi}(\text{cl}_\mu f) = \text{cl}_{\mu \times \tau_0}(\text{Epi } f)$, where τ_0 is the usual topology of \mathbb{R} .

$\text{conv } f$ – the *convex hull* of f , i.e., the greatest convex function majorized by f ;
 $(\text{conv } f)(x) := \inf\{t \in \mathbb{R} \mid (x, t) \in \text{conv}(\text{Epi } f)\}$, for $x \in X$; $\text{Epi}(\text{conv } f) = \text{conv}(\text{Epi } f)$.

$\text{cl}_\mu \text{conv } f$ – the μ -lower *semicontinuous convex hull* of f , which is the greatest μ -lower semicontinuous convex function majorized by f ; $\text{Epi}(\text{cl}_\mu \text{conv } f) := \text{cl}_{\mu \times \tau_0} \text{conv}(\text{Epi } f)$.

The set $[f \leq g] := \{x \in E \mid f(x) \leq g(x)\}$; the sets $[f = g]$, $[f < g]$, $[f > g]$, $[f \geq g]$ are similarly defined; $f \leq (<, >, \geq)g$ means $[f \leq (<, >, \geq)g] = E$, or, equivalently, for every $x \in E$, $f(x) \leq (<, >, \geq)g(x)$.

$\Lambda(E)$ – the class of proper convex functions $f : E \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$. Recall that f is *proper* if $\text{dom } f := \{x \in E \mid f(x) < \infty\}$ is nonempty and f does not take the value $-\infty$; f is *convex* if, for every $x, y \in E$, $t \in [0, 1]$, we have $f(tx + (1 - t)y) \leq tf(x) + (1 - t)f(y)$ while observing the conventions: $\infty - \infty = \infty$, $0 \cdot \pm\infty = 0$.

$\Gamma_\mu(E)$ – the class of functions $f \in \Lambda(E)$ that are μ -lower semicontinuous.

We avoid using the μ -notation when the topology is implicitly understood.

A *dual system* is a triple (X, Y, c) , where X, Y are vector spaces, and $c = \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : X \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a bilinear map. The weakest topology on X , for which all linear forms $\{X \ni x \rightarrow \langle x, y \rangle \mid y \in Y\}$ are continuous, is denoted by $\sigma(X, Y)$ and it is called *the weak topology of X* with respect to the duality (X, Y, c) . The weak topology $\sigma(Y, X)$ on Y is defined in a similar manner. The spaces X and Y are regarded as locally convex spaces, endowed with their respective weak topologies.

Given a dual system $(X, Y, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$, $S \subset X$, and $f : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$

- $f^* : Y \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is the *convex conjugate* of $f : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ with respect to the dual system $(X, Y, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$, $f^*(y) := \sup\{\langle x, y \rangle - f(x) \mid x \in X\}$ for $y \in Y$; similarly, the convex conjugate of $g : Y \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is $g^*(x) := \sup\{\langle x, y \rangle - g(y) \mid y \in Y\}$ for $x \in X$;
- $\partial f(x)$ is the *subdifferential* of $f : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ at $x \in X$;

$$\partial f(x) := \{y \in Y \mid \forall w \in X, \langle w - x, y \rangle + f(x) \leq f(w)\}, \quad (1)$$

for $x \in X$ with $f(x) \in \mathbb{R}$; $\partial f(x) := \emptyset$ whenever $f(x) \notin \mathbb{R}$. Note that for an improper f we have $\text{Graph } \partial f = \emptyset$.

- $Z := X \times Y$ forms naturally a dual system (Z, Z, \cdot) where

$$z \cdot w := \langle x, v \rangle + \langle u, y \rangle, \quad z = (x, y) \in Z, \quad w = (u, v) \in Z. \quad (2)$$

- $S^\perp := \{y \in Y \mid \forall x \in S, \langle x, y \rangle = 0\}$ is the *orthogonal* of S , $\sigma_S(y) := \iota_S^*(y) = \sup_{x \in S} \langle x, y \rangle$, $y \in Y$ is the *support functional* of S ; note that $\sigma_S = \iota_{S^\perp}$ whenever S is a linear subspace of X .

The space Z is endowed with a locally convex topology μ compatible with the natural duality (Z, Z, \cdot) , meaning that $(Z, \mu)^* = Z$. For example $\mu = \sigma(Z, Z) = \sigma(X, Y) \times \sigma(Y, X)$. All notions associated with a proper function $f : Z \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ are similarly defined as above. Furthermore, with respect to the natural dual system (Z, Z, \cdot) , the conjugate of f is

$$f^\square : Z \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}, \quad f^\square(z) = \sup\{z \cdot z' - f(z') \mid z' \in Z\}. \quad (3)$$

By the biconjugate formula, $f^{\square\square} = \text{cl conv } f$, whenever f^\square (or $\text{cl conv } f$) is proper (see e.g. [19]).

To $A \subset Z$ we associate $c_A : Z \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, $c_A := c + \iota_A$, where $\iota_A(z) = 0$, for $z \in A$, $\iota_A(z) = +\infty$, otherwise. The *Fitzpatrick function* of A (see [6]) is

$$\varphi_A : Z \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}, \quad \varphi_A(z) := c_A^\square(z) = \sup\{z \cdot w - c(w) \mid w \in A\},$$

and $\psi_A : Z \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, $\psi_A := \varphi_A^\square = c_A^{\square\square}$.

In particular when φ_A is proper (or $\text{cl conv } c_A$ is proper),

$$\psi_A = \text{cl conv } c_A, \quad \varphi_A = \psi_A^\square.$$

Similarly, for a multifunction $T : X \rightrightarrows Y$ we define $c_T := c_{\text{Graph } T}$, $\psi_T := \psi_{\text{Graph } T}$, and the *Fitzpatrick function* of T , $\varphi_T := \varphi_{\text{Graph } T}$. By convention $\varphi_\emptyset = -\infty$, $c_\emptyset = \text{conv } c_\emptyset = \psi_\emptyset = +\infty$ in agreement with the usual conventions of $\inf_\emptyset = +\infty$, $\sup_\emptyset = -\infty$.

In expanded form, for $T : X \rightrightarrows Y$ and $z = (x, y) \in Z$,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_T(z) &= \sup\{z \cdot w - c(w) \mid w \in \text{Graph } T\} \\ \varphi_T(x, y) &= \sup\{\langle x - u, v \rangle + \langle u, y \rangle \mid (u, v) \in \text{Graph } T\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Let $(X, Y, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a dual system and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 1$. An operator $T : X \rightrightarrows Y$ is

- *n-monotone* (in $(X, Y, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$) if, for every $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i \in \overline{1, n}} \subset \text{Graph } T$ with $x_{n+1} = x_1$

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \langle x_i - x_{i+1}, y_i \rangle = \langle x_1 - x_2, y_1 \rangle + \dots + \langle x_{n-1} - x_n, y_{n-1} \rangle + \langle x_n - x_1, y_n \rangle \geq 0. \quad (5)$$

Equivalently, using (5) with the pairs in reversed order, T is *n-monotone* iff for every $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i \in \overline{1, n}} \subset \text{Graph } T$ with $x_0 = x_n$

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle x_n - x_{n-1}, y_n \rangle + \dots + \langle x_2 - x_1, y_2 \rangle + \langle x_1 - x_n, y_1 \rangle \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \langle x_{n+1-k} - x_{n-k}, y_{n+1-k} \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x_i - x_{i-1}, y_i \rangle \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

- *cyclically or (∞) -monotone* if, for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k \geq 2$, T is *k-monotone*.
- *maximal n-monotone* if T is *n-monotone* and it does not allow for a proper *n-monotone* extension in terms of graph inclusion. Here $n \in \overline{1, \infty}$.

Every operator is inherently 1-monotone and $Z = X \times Y$ is the only maximal 1-monotone set. The term "monotone" typically refers to 2-monotone operators. For $n, m \in \overline{2, \infty}$, with $n \leq m$, every *m-monotone* operator is also *n-monotone*. Moreover, an operator that is both *m-monotone* and maximal *n-monotone* is also maximal *m-monotone*. The prototype for cyclically monotone operators is given by the convex subdifferential, as described in [7, Theorem 1, p. 500] or Theorem 15 below.

Definition 1 For $n \in \overline{1, \infty}$, the *n-th Fitzpatrick function* associated with $T : X \rightrightarrows Y$ is denoted as $\overset{\langle n \rangle}{\varphi}_T : X \times Y \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ and is defined as follows:

$$\overset{\langle 1 \rangle}{\varphi}_T(x, y) := \varphi_T(x, y) := \sup\{\langle x - u, v \rangle + \langle u, y \rangle \mid (u, v) \in \text{Graph } T\}; \quad (7)$$

for $2 \leq n < \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} \overset{\langle n \rangle}{\varphi}_T(x, y) &:= \sup_{\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i \in \overline{1, n}} \subset \text{Graph } T} \{\langle x - x_1, y_1 \rangle + \langle x_1 - x_2, y_2 \rangle + \dots + \langle x_{n-1} - x_n, y_n \rangle + \langle x_n, y \rangle\}; \\ &= \sup_{\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i \in \overline{1, n}} \subset \text{Graph } T} \{\langle x - x_1, y_1 \rangle + \sum_{i=2}^n \langle x_{i-1} - x_i, y_i \rangle + \langle x_n, y \rangle\}; \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

and

$$\overset{\langle \infty \rangle}{\varphi}_T = \sup_{n \geq 1} \overset{\langle n \rangle}{\varphi}_T. \quad (9)$$

Note that $\overset{\langle 1 \rangle}{\varphi}_T = c_T^\square$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 2$, after using a reversed pair order,

$$\begin{aligned} \overset{\langle n \rangle}{\varphi}_T(x, y) &:= \sup_{\{(x_k, y_k)\}_{k \in \overline{1, n}} \subset \text{Graph } T} \left\{ \langle x - x_n, y_n \rangle + \sum_{k=2}^n \langle x_{n+2-k} - x_{n+1-k}, y_{n+1-k} \rangle + \langle x_1, y \rangle \right\} \\ &= \sup_{\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i \in \overline{1, n}} \subset \text{Graph } T} \left\{ \langle x - x_n, y_n \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \langle x_{i+1} - x_i, y_i \rangle + \langle x_1, y \rangle \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

For $n, m \in \overline{1, \infty}$, with $n \leq m$, it follows that $\overset{\langle n \rangle}{\varphi}_T \leq \overset{\langle m \rangle}{\varphi}_T$. As a result $\overset{\langle \infty \rangle}{\varphi}_T = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \overset{\langle n \rangle}{\varphi}_T$.

In general, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 1$, and $x \in D(T)$, $y \in Y$, if we pick $(x_i, y_i) = (x, v)$, $k \in \overline{1, n}$, for some $v \in T(x)$, then, from (7), (8), $\overset{\langle n \rangle}{\varphi}_T(x, y) \geq \langle x, y \rangle$. Therefore

$$\forall T : X \rightrightarrows Y, \forall n \in \overline{1, \infty}, \text{Graph } T \subset (D(T) \times Y) \cup (X \times R(T)) \subset [\overset{\langle n \rangle}{\varphi}_T \geq c] \subset [\overset{\langle \infty \rangle}{\varphi}_T \geq c]. \quad (11)$$

Notice also that, for $n \in \overline{1, \infty}$,

$$\overset{\langle n \rangle}{\varphi}_T(x, y) = \overset{\langle n \rangle}{\varphi}_{T^{-1}}(y, x), \quad x \in X, \quad y \in Y. \quad (12)$$

Definition 2 For $n \in \overline{1, \infty}$, $\overset{\langle n \rangle}{\psi}_T : X \times Y \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is the convex conjugate of the n -th Fitzpatrick function, defined as

$$\overset{\langle n \rangle}{\psi}_T = (\overset{\langle n \rangle}{\varphi}_T)^\square, \quad (13)$$

relative to the natural dual system (Z, Z, \cdot) .

Definition 3 For $n \in \overline{1, \infty}$, consider $\overset{\langle n \rangle}{\chi}_T : X \times Y \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ given by

$$\overset{\langle 1 \rangle}{\chi}_T = c_T, \quad \overset{\langle 2 \rangle}{\chi}_T(x, y) = \inf \{ \langle x_1, y \rangle + \langle x - x_1, y_2 \rangle \mid x_1 \in T^{-1}(y), \quad y_2 \in T(x) \}, \quad (14)$$

$$\text{for } 3 \leq n < \infty, \quad \overset{\langle n \rangle}{\chi}_T(x, y) = \inf \left\{ \langle x_1, y \rangle + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \langle x_i - x_{i-1}, y_i \rangle + \langle x - x_{n-1}, y_n \rangle \mid \right. \quad (15)$$

$$\left. x_1 \in T^{-1}(y), \quad y_n \in T(x), \quad \{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i \in \overline{2, n-1}} \subset \text{Graph } T \right\},$$

$$\text{and } \overset{\langle \infty \rangle}{\chi}_T(x, y) = \inf_{n \geq 1} \overset{\langle n \rangle}{\chi}_T(x, y). \quad (16)$$

Note that $\text{dom } \overset{\langle 1 \rangle}{\chi}_T = \text{Graph } T$ and, for $n \in \overline{2, \infty}$, $\text{dom } \overset{\langle n \rangle}{\chi}_T = [\overset{\langle n \rangle}{\chi}_T < \infty] = D(T) \times R(T)$.

Lemma 4 Let $(X, Y, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a dual system, let $n \in \overline{1, \infty}$, and let $T : X \rightrightarrows Y$. Then

$$\forall n \in \overline{1, \infty}, \quad \overset{\langle n \rangle}{\varphi}_T = (\overset{\langle n \rangle}{\chi}_T)^\square, \quad \overset{\langle n \rangle}{\psi}_T = (\overset{\langle n \rangle}{\chi}_T)^{\square\square} \quad (17)$$

and, whenever $\overset{\langle n \rangle}{\varphi}_T$ or $\text{cl conv}(\overset{\langle n \rangle}{\chi}_T)$ is proper, $\overset{\langle n \rangle}{\psi}_T = \text{cl conv}(\overset{\langle n \rangle}{\chi}_T)$.

For $n, m \in \overline{1, \infty}$, with $n \leq m$, $\overset{\langle m \rangle}{\chi}_T \leq \overset{\langle n \rangle}{\chi}_T$; in particular

$$\forall n \in \overline{1, \infty}, \quad \overset{\langle n \rangle}{\psi}_T \leq \overset{\langle n \rangle}{\chi}_T \leq \overset{\langle 1 \rangle}{\chi}_T = c_T, \quad \text{Graph } T \subset [\overset{\langle n \rangle}{\chi}_T \leq c] \subset [\overset{\langle n \rangle}{\psi}_T \leq c]. \quad (18)$$

Proof. For (17) we use (7), (8), (9), (14), (15), (16) followed by the biconjugate formula.

For every $(x, y) \in \text{Graph } T$, pick $x_1 = x$ or $y_2 = y$ in the definition of $\mathcal{X}_T^{(2)}$ to get $\mathcal{X}_T^{(2)} \leq c_T = \mathcal{X}_T^{(1)}$.

Let $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that $2 \leq n < m$. For every $(x, y) \in D(T) \times R(T)$ and every $x_1 \in T^{-1}(y)$, $y_m \in T(x)$, $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i \in \overline{2, m-1}} \subset \text{Graph } T$,

$$\mathcal{X}_T^{(m)}(x, y) \leq \langle x_1, y \rangle + \sum_{i=2}^{m-1} \langle x_i - x_{i-1}, y_i \rangle + \langle x - x_{m-1}, y_m \rangle.$$

For $k \in \overline{n, m-1}$ take $(x_k, y_k) = (x_{n-1}, y_{n-1})$ to get

$$\forall (x, y) \in D(T) \times R(T), \forall x_1 \in T^{-1}(y), \forall y_n \in T(x), \forall \{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i \in \overline{2, n-1}} \subset \text{Graph } T,$$

$$\mathcal{X}_T^{(m)}(x, y) \leq \langle x_1, y \rangle + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \langle x_i - x_{i-1}, y_i \rangle + \langle x - x_{n-1}, y_n \rangle.$$

Pass to infimum over $x_1 \in T^{-1}(y)$, $y_n \in T(x)$, $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i \in \overline{2, n-1}} \subset \text{Graph } T$ to find

$$\forall (x, y) \in D(T) \times R(T), \mathcal{X}_T^{(m)}(x, y) \leq \mathcal{X}_T^{(n)}(x, y).$$

Since elsewhere $\mathcal{X}_T^{(m)}(x, y) = \mathcal{X}_T^{(n)}(x, y) = +\infty$ the proof is complete. ■

Lemma 5 *Let $(X, Y, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a dual system, and let $f \in \Gamma(Z)$ be such that $f \geq c$. Then*

$$[f = c] \subset [f^\square = c]. \quad (19)$$

Proof. Let $z \in [f = c]$. Then

$$\forall w \in Z, f'(z; w) := \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} (f(z + tw) - f(z)) \geq \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} (c(z + tw) - c(z)) = z \cdot w,$$

which shows that $z \in \partial f(z)$, because f is convex. Hence $f(z) + f^\square(z) = z \cdot z = 2c(z)$ and so, $z \in [f^\square = c]$. ■

2 n -Monotonicity

Theorem 6 Let $(X, Y, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a dual system and let $n \in \overline{1, \infty}$.

The operator $T : X \rightrightarrows Y$ is n -monotone iff $\mathcal{X}_T^{(n)} \geq c$.

In this case, $\text{Graph } T \subset [\mathcal{X}_T^{(n)} = c]$ and, whenever $\text{Graph } T \neq \emptyset$, $\mathcal{X}_T^{(n)}$ is proper.

Proof. If $n = 1$ or $\text{Graph } T = \emptyset$, then the conclusion is straightforward, as $\mathcal{X}_T^{(1)} = c_T$.

Otherwise, suppose that $n \in \overline{2, \infty}$ and $T : X \rightrightarrows Y$ has $\text{Graph } T \neq \emptyset$.

Assume first that n is finite. If T is n -monotone then, for every $(x, y) \in D(T) \times R(T)$ and every $x_1 \in T^{-1}(y)$, $y_n \in T(x)$, $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i \in \overline{2, n-1}} \subset \text{Graph } T$, the n -monotonicity of T on the pairs (x_1, y) , (x, y_n) , $(x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}), \dots, (x_2, y_2)$ provides

$$\langle x_1 - x, y \rangle + \langle x - x_{n-1}, y_n \rangle + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \langle x_i - x_{i-1}, y_i \rangle \geq 0.$$

Pass to infimum over $x_1 \in T^{-1}(y)$, $y_n \in T(x)$, $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i \in \overline{2, n-1}} \subset \text{Graph } T$ to obtain

$(\mathcal{X}_T^{(n)} - c)(x, y) \geq 0$. Therefore $\mathcal{X}_T^{(n)} \geq c$.

Conversely, suppose that $\mathcal{X}_T^{(n)} \geq c$. For every $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i \in \overline{1, n}} \subset \text{Graph } T$,

$$\sum_{i=2}^n \langle x_i - x_{i-1}, y_i \rangle + \langle x_1 - x_n, y_1 \rangle \geq (\mathcal{X}_T^{(n)} - c)(x_n, y_1) \geq 0.$$

According to (6), T is n -monotone.

The case $n = \infty$ follows directly as a consequence of the cases where $1 \leq n < \infty$.

In general, for every $n \in \overline{1, \infty}$, $\mathcal{X}_T^{(n)} \leq \mathcal{X}_T^{(1)} = c_T$ which implies that $\text{Graph } T \subset [\mathcal{X}_T^{(n)} \leq c]$.

When T is n -monotone, meaning that $\mathcal{X}_T^{(n)} \geq c$, we obtain $\text{Graph } T \subset [\mathcal{X}_T^{(n)} = c]$. ■

Theorem 7 Let $(X, Y, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a dual system and let $n, m \in \overline{1, \infty}$. The operator $T : X \rightrightarrows Y$ is $(n + m)$ -monotone iff one of the following conditions holds:

- (i) $\varphi_T^{(n)} \leq \psi_T^{(m)}$, (ii) $\varphi_T^{(n)} \leq \text{conv } \mathcal{X}_T^{(m)}$, (iii) $\varphi_T^{(n)} \leq \psi_T^{(m)}$.

In this case,

$$\forall k \in \overline{1, n + m - 1}, \text{Graph } T \subset [\varphi_T^{(k)} = c] \cap [\mathcal{X}_T^{(k)} = c] \cap [\text{conv } \mathcal{X}_T^{(k)} = c] \cap [\psi_T^{(k)} = c], \quad (20)$$

and, whenever $\text{Graph } T \neq \emptyset$, $\varphi_T^{(k)}$, $\psi_T^{(k)}$, $\text{conv } \mathcal{X}_T^{(k)}$, $\mathcal{X}_T^{(k)}$ are proper, $k \in \overline{1, n + m - 1}$.

Proof. If $\text{Graph } T = \emptyset$, then $\langle \varphi_T \rangle = -\infty$, $\langle \chi_T \rangle = \text{conv } \langle \chi_T \rangle = \langle \psi_T \rangle = +\infty$, and the conclusion follows. Otherwise, suppose that $\text{Graph } T \neq \emptyset$.

Assume that T is $(n+m)$ -monotone, where $m \geq 1$, $n \geq 1$ are finite integers.

For every $(x, y) \in D(T) \times R(T)$, $x'_1 \in T^{-1}(y)$, $y'_m \in T(x)$ and every $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i \in \overline{1, n}} \cup \{(x'_j, y'_j)\}_{j \in \overline{2, m-1}} \subset \text{Graph } T$, the $(n+m)$ -monotonicity condition (5) for the pairs

$$(x_n, y_n), (x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}), \dots, (x_1, y_1), (x, y'_m), (x'_{m-1}, y'_{m-1}), \dots, (x'_2, y'_2), (x'_1, y)$$

provides

$$\sum_{i=2}^n \langle x_i - x_{i-1}, y_i \rangle + \langle x_1 - x, y_1 \rangle + \langle x - x'_{m-1}, y'_m \rangle + \sum_{j=2}^{m-1} \langle x'_j - x'_{j-1}, y'_j \rangle + \langle x'_1 - x_n, y \rangle \geq 0,$$

$$\langle x - x_1, y_1 \rangle + \sum_{i=2}^n \langle x_{i-1} - x_i, y_i \rangle + \langle x_n, y \rangle \leq \langle x'_1, y \rangle + \sum_{j=2}^{m-1} \langle x'_j - x'_{j-1}, y'_j \rangle + \langle x - x'_{m-1}, y'_m \rangle.$$

Pass to supremum over $\{(x_k, y_k)\}_{k \in \overline{1, n}} \subset \text{Graph } T$ on the left hand side and to infimum over $x'_1 \in T^{-1}(y)$, $y'_m \in T(x)$, $\{(x'_j, y'_j)\}_{j \in \overline{2, m-1}} \subset \text{Graph } T$ on the right hand side to get $\langle \varphi_T \rangle(x, y) \leq \langle \chi_T \rangle(x, y)$. Since $\langle \chi_T \rangle = +\infty$ outside $D(T) \times R(T)$, we infer that (i) is true. In particular, $\text{Graph } T \subset [\langle \varphi_T \rangle = c] \cap [\langle \chi_T \rangle = c]$, since, according to (11), (18), $\text{Graph } T \subset [\langle \varphi_T \rangle \geq c] \cap [\langle \chi_T \rangle \leq c]$, and $\langle \varphi_T \rangle$ is proper.

Assume that (i) holds. For every $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i \in \overline{1, n}} \cup \{(x'_j, y'_j)\}_{j \in \overline{1, m}} \subset \text{Graph } T$

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle x'_m - x_1, y_1 \rangle + \sum_{i=2}^n \langle x_{i-1} - x_i, y_i \rangle + \langle x_n, y'_1 \rangle \leq \langle \varphi_T \rangle(x'_m, y'_1) \leq \langle \chi_T \rangle(x'_m, y'_1) \\ & \leq \langle x'_1, y'_1 \rangle + \sum_{j=2}^{m-1} \langle x'_j - x'_{j-1}, y'_j \rangle + \langle x'_m - x'_{m-1}, y'_m \rangle = \langle x'_1, y'_1 \rangle + \sum_{j=2}^m \langle x'_j - x'_{j-1}, y'_j \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

which leads to

$$\sum_{i=2}^n \langle x_i - x_{i-1}, y_i \rangle + \langle x_1 - x'_m, y_1 \rangle + \sum_{j=2}^m \langle x'_j - x'_{j-1}, y'_j \rangle + \langle x'_1 - x_n, y'_1 \rangle \geq 0,$$

i.e., the $(n+m)$ -monotonicity condition for the pairs

$$(x_n, y_n), (x_{n-1}, y_{n-1}), \dots, (x_1, y_1), (x'_m, y'_m), (x'_{m-1}, y'_{m-1}), \dots, (x'_1, y'_1),$$

holds. Therefore T is $(n+m)$ -monotone.

(i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii) are plain, $\langle \psi_T \rangle$, $\text{conv } \langle \chi_T \rangle$, $\langle \chi_T \rangle$ are proper, and (20) is true in this case, since $\langle \varphi_T \rangle \in \Gamma(Z)$ and $\langle \varphi_T \rangle \leq \langle \psi_T \rangle = \text{cl conv } \langle \chi_T \rangle \leq \text{conv } \langle \chi_T \rangle \leq \langle \chi_T \rangle$.

In case $m = \infty$ (or $n = \infty$) we use the above argument for any finite integer $1 \leq m < \infty$ ($1 \leq n < \infty$) and pass to infimum (supremum) over $m \geq 1$ ($n \geq 1$) to conclude. ■

Corollary 8 Let $(X, Y, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a dual system and let $n \in \overline{1, \infty}$. The operator $T : X \rightrightarrows Y$ is $(n+1)$ -monotone iff one of the following conditions holds:

- (i) $\overset{(n)}{\varphi}_T \leq c_T$, (ii) $\text{Graph } T \subset [\overset{(n)}{\varphi}_T \leq c]$, (iii) $\text{Graph } T \subset [\overset{(n)}{\varphi}_T = c]$.

Proof. We use Theorem 7 for $m = 1$ to infer that T is $(n+1)$ -monotone iff $\overset{(n)}{\varphi}_T \leq \overset{(1)}{\varphi}_T = c_T$, that is, (i) holds; (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii) is plain; and (ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii) follows with the aid of (11). ■

Definition 9 Given $(X, Y, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ a dual system, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 2$, and $T : X \rightrightarrows Y$, the pair $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ is n -monotonically related (m.r. for short) to T if, for every $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i \in \overline{1, n-1}} \subset \text{Graph } T$,

$$\langle x - x_{n-1}, y \rangle + \langle x_{n-1} - x_{n-2}, y_{n-1} \rangle + \dots + \langle x_2 - x_1, y_2 \rangle + \langle x_1 - x, y_1 \rangle \geq 0. \quad (21)$$

The pair $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ is cyclically (∞) -monotonically related (m.r. for short) to T if, for every $n \geq 2$, (x, y) is n -m.r. to T .

For $n \in \overline{2, \infty}$, if T is n -monotone, then (x, y) is n -m.r. to T iff $\text{Graph } T \cup \{(x, y)\}$ is n -monotone.

Theorem 10 Let $(X, Y, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a dual system, let $n \in \overline{2, \infty}$, and let $T : X \rightrightarrows Y$. Then

- (i) $[\overset{(n-1)}{\varphi}_T \leq c] = \{(x, y) \in X \times Y \mid (x, y) \text{ is } n\text{-m.r. to } T\}$;
(ii) T is n -monotone iff $\text{Graph } T \subset [\overset{(n-1)}{\varphi}_T \leq c]$ iff $\text{Graph } T \subset [\overset{(n-1)}{\varphi}_T = c]$.

Proof. (i) From (8), (21), is easily checked that, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 2$, (x, y) is n -m.r. to T iff $(x, y) \in [\overset{(n-1)}{\varphi}_T \leq c]$. As a consequence the same holds for $n = \infty$.

(ii) This fact has already been observed in Corollary 8. Alternatively, it follows from (i) and (11). ■

The concept of (2) -monotonicity has been extensively studied in [9, 10, 11, 12, 17, 15, 16, 8, 13] with the key findings summarized in the following theorem.

Theorem 11 Let $(X, Y, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a dual system and let $T : X \rightrightarrows Y$. The operator $T : X \rightrightarrows Y$ is (2) -monotone iff one of the following conditions holds:

- (i) $\text{Graph } T \subset [\varphi_T = c]$, (v) $\text{conv } c_T \geq c$,
(ii) $\varphi_T \leq c_T$, (vi) there is $h \in \Lambda(Z)$ such that $h \geq c$ and $\text{Graph } T \subset [h = c]$,
(iii) $\varphi_T \leq \psi_T$, (vii) $\varphi_{T^+} \geq c$,
(iv) $\psi_T \geq c$, (viii) T^{++} is monotone.

Here $\text{Graph}(T^+) = [\varphi_T \leq c]$ and $T^{++} = (T^+)^+$.

Theorem 12 Let $(X, Y, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a dual system and let $T : X \rightrightarrows Y$. The operator $T : X \rightrightarrows Y$ is cyclically monotone iff one of the following conditions holds:

- (i) $\text{Graph } T \subset [\overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_T(\leq) = c]$, (iv) $\overset{(\infty)}{\psi}_T \geq c$,
- (ii) $\overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_T \leq \overset{(\infty)}{\mathcal{X}}_T$, (v) $\text{conv } \overset{(\infty)}{\mathcal{X}}_T \geq c$,
- (iii) $\overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_T \leq \overset{(\infty)}{\psi}_T$, (vi) there is $h \in \Lambda(Z)$ such that $c \leq h \leq \overset{(\infty)}{\mathcal{X}}_T$.

Proof. We may assume that $\text{Graph } T \neq \emptyset$, otherwise the argument is plain.

In this case and under any of the given conditions, $\overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_T, \overset{(\infty)}{\psi}_T, \overset{(\infty)}{\mathcal{X}}_T$ are proper. The equivalencies of T being cyclically monotone with (i), (ii), (iii) are consequences of Theorems 10, 7 for $n = m = \infty$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv) For every $z \in Z$,

$$\overset{(\infty)}{\psi}_T(z) \geq \frac{1}{2}(\overset{(\infty)}{\psi}_T(z) + \overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_T(z)) = \frac{1}{2}((\overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_T)^\square(z) + \overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_T(z)) \geq \frac{1}{2}z \cdot z = c(z).$$

(iv) \Rightarrow (v) follows from $\overset{(\infty)}{\psi}_T = \text{cl conv } \overset{(\infty)}{\mathcal{X}}_T \leq \text{conv } \overset{(\infty)}{\mathcal{X}}_T$.

(v) \Rightarrow (vi) is straightforward with $h = \text{conv } \overset{(\infty)}{\mathcal{X}}_T$.

If (vi) holds then T is cyclically monotone due to Theorem 6. ■

Notice that condition (vi) in both Theorems 11, 12 shares the same structure, because $h \geq c$ and $\text{Graph } T \subset [h = c]$ iff $c \leq h \leq c_T = \overset{(1)}{\mathcal{X}}_T$.

Remark 13 For $n \in \overline{2, \infty}$, let $T_n^+ : Z \rightrightarrows Z$ be defined by $\text{Graph}(T_n^+) = [\overset{(n-1)}{\varphi}_T \leq c]$, i.e., $z \in \text{Graph}(T_n^+)$ iff z is n -m.r. to T , and let $T_n^{++} = (T_n^+)_n^+$.

For any operator T , we have $\text{Graph } T \subset \text{Graph}(T_{(2)}^{++})$, However, for $n \in \overline{3, \infty}$, it is generally not true that $\text{Graph } T \subset \text{Graph}(T_n^{++})$. More precisely,

$$\exists T : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightrightarrows \mathbb{R}^2, \forall n \in \overline{3, \infty}, \text{Graph } T \not\subset \text{Graph}(T_n^{++}). \quad (22)$$

Take $T : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightrightarrows \mathbb{R}^2$ given by $\text{Graph } T = \{(0, 0), (5, 5)\}$. Then $\{(1, 1), (2, 0.32)\} \subset \text{Graph}(T_\infty^+) = \cap_{n=2}^\infty \text{Graph}(T_n^+)$, because

$$\text{Graph } T \cup \{(1, 1)\} \subset \text{Graph}(\partial(\frac{1}{2}x^2)) = \{(x, x) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}\},$$

$$\text{Graph } T \cup \{(2, 0.32)\} \subset \text{Graph}(\partial(\frac{1}{100}x^4)) = \{(x, \frac{1}{25}x^3) \mid x \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

However, for every $n \in \overline{3, \infty}$, we have $(0, 0) \in \text{Graph } T \setminus \text{Graph}(T_n^{++})$, since

$$\text{Graph}(T_n^{++}) \subset \text{Graph}((T_\infty^+)_n^+) \subset \text{Graph}((T_\infty^+)_3^+),$$

and $(0, 0) \notin \text{Graph}((T_\infty^+)_3^+)$, i.e., $(0, 0)$ is not 3-m.r. to T_∞^+ , as evidenced when we verify (21) for $(x, y) = (0, 0)$, $(x_1, y_1) = (2, 0.32)$, $(x_2, y_2) = (1, 1)$. Indeed, in this case

$$(x - x_2)y + (x_2 - x_1)y_2 + (x_1 - x)y_1 = -0.36 < 0.$$

Definition 14 Let $(X, Y, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a dual system. For $T : X \rightrightarrows Y$ and $w = (x_0, y_0) \in \text{Graph } T$ define *Rockafellar's antiderivative* $r_T^w : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ as

$$r_T^w(x) := \sup \left\{ \langle x - x_n, y_n \rangle + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \langle x_{i+1} - x_i, y_i \rangle \mid n \geq 1, \{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i \in \overline{1, n}} \subset \text{Graph } T \right\}. \quad (23)$$

It is worthwhile to recall Rockafellar's result, which characterizes the convex subdifferential as a template for cyclically monotone operators. For completeness and in consideration of the broader context, we include a proof.

Theorem 15 ([7, Theorem 1, p. 500]) *Let $(X, Y, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a dual system. Then $T : X \rightrightarrows Y$ is cyclically monotone iff there is $h : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ ($h \in \Gamma_{\sigma(X, Y)}(X)$) such that $\text{Graph } T \subset \text{Graph}(\partial h)$. In this case,*

$$\forall w = (x_0, y_0) \in \text{Graph } T, \quad r_T^w(x_0) = 0, \quad \text{Graph } T \subset \text{Graph}(\partial r_T^w), \quad (24)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} r_T^w(x) &= \min \{ h(x) \mid h : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}, h(x_0) = 0, \text{Graph } T \subset \text{Graph}(\partial h) \} \\ &= \min \{ h(x) \mid h \in \Gamma_{\sigma(X, Y)}(X), h(x_0) = 0, \text{Graph } T \subset \text{Graph}(\partial h) \}. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Here “ ∂ ” represents the convex subdifferential taken with respect to $(X, Y, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$.

Proof. Assume that $\text{Graph } T \subset \text{Graph}(\partial h)$ for some $h : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$. For every integer $n \geq 2$ and $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i \in \overline{1, n}} \subset \text{Graph } T$, with $x_{n+1} = x_1$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \langle x_i - x_{i+1}, y_i \rangle \geq \sum_{i=1}^n h(x_i) - h(x_{i+1}) = 0,$$

that is, T is cyclically monotone.

Directly, let T be non-empty cyclically monotone and let $w = (x_0, y_0) \in \text{Graph } T$. For every $(x, y) \in \text{Graph } T$, $n \geq 1$, $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i \in \overline{1, n}} \subset \text{Graph } T$ we have from the cyclic monotonicity of T on the family $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i \in \overline{0, n+1}} \subset \text{Graph } T$, where $(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) = (x, y)$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \langle x_i - x_{i+1}, y_i \rangle + \langle x_n - x, y_n \rangle + \langle x - x_0, y \rangle \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow \\ \langle x - x_n, y_n \rangle + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \langle x_{i+1} - x_i, y_i \rangle \leq \langle x - x_0, y \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

After passing to supremum in (26) over $n \geq 1$, $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i \in \overline{1, n}} \subset \text{Graph } T$, we get that, for every $(x, y) \in \text{Graph } T$, $r_T^w(x) \leq \langle x - x_0, y \rangle < \infty$. This shows that $D(T) \subset \text{dom}(r_T^w)$ and $r_T^w(x_0) \leq 0$.

Take $n = 1$ and $(x_1, y_1) = (x_0, y_0)$ in the definition of r_T^w to find $r_T^w(x) \geq \langle x - x_0, y_0 \rangle$, from which $r_T^w(x_0) \geq 0$, and so, $r_T^w(x_0) = 0$. Note that $r_T^w \in \Gamma_{\sigma(X, Y)}(X)$ since it is a supremum of continuous affine functions.

Arbitrarily fix $x \in X$ and $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \text{Graph } T$. For every $n \geq 1$, $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i \in \overline{1, n}} \subset \text{Graph } T$, from the definition of r_T^w used for $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i \in \overline{1, n+1}} \subset \text{Graph } T$, where $(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}) = (\bar{x}, \bar{y})$, we find

$$r_T^w(x) \geq \langle x - \bar{x}, \bar{y} \rangle + \langle \bar{x} - x_n, y_n \rangle + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \langle x_{i+1} - x_i, y_i \rangle.$$

Pass to supremum over $n \geq 1$, $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i \in \overline{1, n}} \subset \text{Graph } T$ to get

$$r_T^w(x) \geq \langle x - \bar{x}, \bar{y} \rangle + r_T^w(\bar{x}),$$

that is, $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \in \text{Graph}(\partial r_T^w)$. Therefore $\text{Graph } T \subset \text{Graph}(\partial r_T^w)$.

Let $h : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be such that $h(x_0) = 0$ and $\text{Graph } T \subset \text{Graph}(\partial h)$. For every $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i \in \overline{1, n}} \subset \text{Graph } T$ we have $\langle x_{i+1} - x_i, y_i \rangle \leq h(x_{i+1}) - h(x_i)$, $i \in \overline{1, n}$ and so

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \langle x_{i+1} - x_i, y_i \rangle \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} [h(x_{i+1}) - h(x_i)] = h(x_n).$$

Together with $\langle x - x_n, y_n \rangle \leq h(x) - h(x_n)$ one gets that for every $x \in X$

$$\langle x - x_n, y_n \rangle + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \langle x_{i+1} - x_i, y_i \rangle \leq h(x).$$

Hence $r_T^w \leq h$. ■

Theorem 16 *Let $(X, Y, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a dual system, let $T : X \rightrightarrows Y$, and let $w = (x_0, y_0) \in \text{Graph } T$. Then*

$$r_T^{(x_0, y_0)}(x) = \overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_T(x, y_0) - \langle x_0, y_0 \rangle. \quad (27)$$

Proof. Relation (27) is an immediate consequence of (10), (23). ■

Corollary 17 *Let $(X, Y, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a dual system and let $T : X \rightrightarrows Y$ be cyclically monotone. Then,*

$$\forall x_0 \in D(T), \forall y_0, y'_0 \in T(x_0), r_T^{(x_0, y_0)} = r_T^{(x_0, y'_0)}. \quad (28)$$

Equivalently,

$$\forall x_0 \in D(T), \forall y_0, y'_0 \in T(x_0), \overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_T(x, y_0) - \langle x_0, y_0 \rangle = \overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_T(x, y'_0) - \langle x_0, y'_0 \rangle. \quad (29)$$

For every $h : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $\text{Graph } T \subset \text{Graph}(\partial h)$ we have

$$\forall x \in X, \forall (x_0, y_0) \in \text{Graph } T, h(x) \geq h(x_0) + r_T^{(x_0, y_0)}(x). \quad (30)$$

Proof. Relations (28), (30) are direct consequences of (25).

According to (27), (29) is equivalent to (28). ■

Theorem 18 Let $(X, Y, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a dual system and let $T : X \rightrightarrows Y$. Define the operator $K_T : D(K_T) = D(T) \times R(T) \subset Z = X \times Y \rightrightarrows Z$ as $K_T(x, y) := T^{-1}(y) \times T(x)$, $(x, y) \in Z$, or, equivalently

$$((x, y), (u, v)) \in \text{Graph } K_T \Leftrightarrow (x, v), (u, y) \in \text{Graph } T. \quad (31)$$

Then T is cyclically monotone in $(X, Y, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ iff K_T is cyclically monotone in (Z, Z, \cdot) iff $D(T) \times R(T) \subset \text{dom } \overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_T$ iff

$$\text{Graph } K_T \subset \text{Graph}(\partial \overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_T) \quad (32)$$

iff

$$\text{Graph } K_T \subset \text{Graph}(\partial \overset{(\infty)}{\psi}_T). \quad (33)$$

Here “ ∂ ” represents the convex subdifferential taken with respect to (Z, Z, \cdot) .

Proof. We may assume that $\text{Graph } T \neq \emptyset$, otherwise the argument is straightforward.

Fix $((x, y), (u, v)) \in \text{Graph } K_T$, that is, fix $(x, v), (u, y) \in \text{Graph } T$. For every $n \geq 1$, $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i \in \overline{1, n}} \subset \text{Graph } T$, use (8), the definition of $\overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_T$, on the pairs

$$(x, v), (x_n, y_n), \dots, (x_1, y_1), (u, y)$$

to get that, for every $(a, b) \in Z$,

$$\overset{(n+2)}{\varphi}_T(a, b) \geq \langle a - x, v \rangle + \langle x - x_n, y_n \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \langle x_{i+1} - x_i, y_i \rangle + \langle x_1 - u, y \rangle + \langle u, b \rangle.$$

Pass to supremum over $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i \in \overline{1, n}} \subset \text{Graph } T$ to find

$$\forall (a, b) \in Z, \overset{(n+2)}{\varphi}_T(a, b) \geq \langle a - x, v \rangle + \overset{(n)}{\varphi}_T(x, y) + \langle u, b - y \rangle,$$

$$\forall (x, v), (u, y) \in \text{Graph } T, \forall (a, b) \in Z, \overset{(n+2)}{\varphi}_T(a, b) \geq (u, v) \cdot ((a, b) - (x, y)) + \overset{(n)}{\varphi}_T(x, y). \quad (34)$$

Pass to supremum over $n \geq 1$ to obtain

$$\forall (x, v), (u, y) \in \text{Graph } T, \forall (a, b) \in Z, \overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_T(a, b) \geq (u, v) \cdot ((a, b) - (x, y)) + \overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_T(x, y). \quad (35)$$

From (35),

$$\begin{aligned} D(T) \times R(T) \subset \text{dom } \overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_T &\Leftrightarrow \forall (x, v), (u, y) \in \text{Graph } T, (u, v) \in \partial \overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_T(x, y) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \text{Graph } K_T \subset \text{Graph}(\partial \overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_T). \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

Conditions (32) and (33) are equivalent because $(\partial \overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_T)^{-1} = \partial \overset{(\infty)}{\psi}_T$ and $(K_T)^{-1} = K_T$.

Assume that T is cyclically monotone. According to Theorem 7, $\overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_T \leq \overset{(\infty)}{\mathcal{X}}_T$. In particular, $D(T) \times R(T) = \text{dom } \overset{(\infty)}{\mathcal{X}}_T \subset \text{dom } \overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_T$. From (36), $\text{Graph } K_T \subset \text{Graph}(\partial \overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_T)$.

Assume that $\text{Graph } K_T \subset \text{Graph}(\partial \overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_T)$. Then K_T is cyclically monotone, since so is $\partial \overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_T$. Also, $D(T) \times R(T) = D(K_T) \subset \text{dom } \overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_T$.

Pick $b = y$ in (35) to get $v \in \partial \overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_T(\cdot, y)(x)$; whence, according to (27),

$$\text{Graph } T \subset \text{Graph}(\partial \overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_T(\cdot, y)) = \text{Graph}(\partial r_T^{(u,y)}); \quad (37)$$

in particular T is cyclically monotone.

Assume that K_T is cyclically monotone. For every finite integer $n \geq 2$ and for every $\{(x_i, v_i)\}_{i \in \overline{1, n}} \cup \{(u_i, y_i)\}_{i \in \overline{1, n}} \subset \text{Graph } T$, the n -monotonicity condition of K_T on the set $\{((x_i, y_i), (u_i, v_i))\}_{i \in \overline{1, n}} \subset \text{Graph } K_T$ translates into

$$\sum_{i=1}^n ((x_i, y_i) - (x_{i+1}, y_{i+1})) \cdot (u_i, v_i) = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle x_i - x_{i+1}, v_i \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^n \langle u_i, y_i - y_{i+1} \rangle \geq 0, \quad (38)$$

where $(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, u_{n+1}, v_{n+1}) = (x_1, y_1, u_1, v_1)$. For $i \in \overline{1, n}$ we take $(u_i, y_i) = (u, y)$ a fixed element of $\text{Graph } T$ to get $\sum_{i=1}^n \langle x_i - x_{i+1}, v_i \rangle \geq 0$, i.e., T is n -monotone. Therefore T is cyclically monotone. ■

Remark 19 *As seen in (37), we note that (24) is a consequence of (32) via (35). More precisely, given T cyclically monotone and $w = (x_0, y_0) \in \text{Graph } T$, $r_T^w(x_0) = \overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_T(x_0, y_0) - \langle x_0, y_0 \rangle = 0$, because, according to Theorem 12, $\text{Graph } T \subset [\overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_T = c]$.*

Remark 20 *It has been observed in several places (see e.g. [6, Theorem 3.4] or [3, Theorem 3.3]) that $T : X \rightrightarrows Y$ is monotone in $(X, Y, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ iff $\Delta_T := \{(z, z) \mid z \in \text{Graph } T\}$ is (cyclically) monotone in (Z, Z, \cdot) , mainly, because, for every $n \geq 1$ and $\{z_1, \dots, z_n\} \subset Z$, $z_{n+1} = z_1$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^n c(z_i - z_{i+1}) &= \sum_{i=1}^n [c(z_i) + c(z_{i+1}) - z_i \cdot z_{i+1}] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n [2c(z_i) - z_i \cdot z_{i+1}] = \sum_{i=1}^n (z_i - z_{i+1}) \cdot z_i \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

Although Δ_T cannot differentiate between various types of monotonicities, its extension K_T can, namely, for $n \in \overline{2, \infty}$

$$T \text{ is } n\text{-monotone in } (X, Y, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle) \Leftrightarrow K_T \text{ is } n\text{-monotone in } (Z, Z, \cdot). \quad (40)$$

Indeed, the converse implication in (40) is established within the proof of Theorem 18.

Directly, if T is n -monotone then so is T^{-1} . For every $\{(x_i, v_i)\}_{i \in \overline{1, n}} \cup \{(u_i, y_i)\}_{i \in \overline{1, n}} \subset \text{Graph } T$, with $(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1}, u_{n+1}, v_{n+1}) = (x_1, y_1, u_1, v_1)$, $\sum_{i=1}^n \langle x_i - x_{i+1}, v_i \rangle \geq 0$ because T is n -monotone, $\sum_{i=1}^n \langle u_i, y_i - y_{i+1} \rangle \geq 0$, since T^{-1} is n -monotone, and so, (38) holds, that is, K_T is n -monotone.

Analogous to Theorem 18, the following variant of Theorem 15 can be established.

Theorem 21 *Let $(X, Y, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a dual system. Then $T : X \rightrightarrows Y$ is cyclically monotone iff*

$$\forall (\exists) y_0 \in R(T), \quad D(T) \subset \text{dom } \overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_T(\cdot, y_0), \quad (41)$$

iff

$$\forall (\exists) y_0 \in R(T), \quad \text{Graph } T \subset \text{Graph}(\partial \overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_T(\cdot, y_0)), \quad (42)$$

iff

$$\forall (\exists) w = (x_0, y_0) \in \text{Graph } T, \quad \text{Graph } T \subset \text{Graph}(\partial r_T^w). \quad (43)$$

Here “ ∂ ” represents the convex subdifferential taken with respect to $(X, Y, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$.

Theorem 22 *Let $(X, Y, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a dual system, let $n \in \overline{1, \infty}$, let $T : X \rightrightarrows Y$, and let $K_T : Z \rightrightarrows Z$ be defined as in (31). Then*

$$\overset{(n)}{\varphi}_{K_T}(x, y, u, v) = \overset{(n)}{\varphi}_T(x, v) + \overset{(n)}{\varphi}_T(u, y), \quad x, u \in X, \quad y, v \in Y, \quad (44)$$

$$\overset{(n)}{\psi}_{K_T}(x, y, u, v) = \overset{(n)}{\psi}_T(x, v) + \overset{(n)}{\psi}_T(u, y), \quad x, u \in X, \quad y, v \in Y, \quad (45)$$

$$r_{K_T}^{((x_0, y_0), (u_0, v_0))}(x, y) = r_T^{(x_0, v_0)}(x) + r_{T^{-1}}^{(y_0, u_0)}(y), \quad (x, y) \in X \times Y, \quad (x_0, v_0), (u_0, y_0) \in \text{Graph } T, \quad (46)$$

and T is (maximal) n -monotone (in $(X, Y, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$) iff K_T is (maximal) n -monotone (in (Z, Z, \cdot)).

If T is cyclically monotone in $(X, Y, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ then, for every $(x, y) \in X \times Y$, $(x_0, v_0) \in \text{Graph } T$, $(u_0, y_0) \in \text{Graph } T$,

$$r_{T^{-1}}^{(y_0, u_0)}(y) \leq (r_T^{(x_0, v_0)})^*(y) - (r_T^{(x_0, v_0)})^*(y_0), \quad (47)$$

$$\overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_T(x, v_0) + \overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_T(u_0, y) - \langle x_0, v_0 \rangle - \langle u_0, y_0 \rangle \leq \overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_T(x, y) - \overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_T(x_0, y_0). \quad (48)$$

Proof. For every finite integer $n \geq 1$, for every $(x, y), (u, v) \in X \times Y$, and for every $\{(x_i, y_i), (u_i, v_i)\}_{i \in \overline{1, n}} \subset \text{Graph } K_T$, i.e., $\{(x_i, v_i)\}_{i \in \overline{1, n}} \cup \{(u_i, y_i)\}_{i \in \overline{1, n}} \subset \text{Graph } T$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & ((x, y) - (x_n, y_n)) \cdot (u_n, v_n) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} ((x_{i+1}, y_{i+1}) - (x_i, y_i)) \cdot (u_i, v_i) + (x_1, y_1) \cdot (u, v) = \\ & = \langle x - x_n, v_n \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \langle x_{i+1} - x_i, v_i \rangle + \langle x_1, v \rangle + \langle u_n, y - y_n \rangle + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \langle u_i, y_{i+1} - y_i \rangle + \langle u, y_1 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

After we pass to supremum over $\{(x_i, v_i)\}_{i \in \overline{1, n}} \cup \{(u_i, y_i)\}_{i \in \overline{1, n}} \subset \text{Graph } T$, we find that

$$\overset{(n)}{\varphi}_{K_T}(x, y, u, v) = \overset{(n)}{\varphi}_T(x, v) + \overset{(n)}{\varphi}_{T^{-1}}(y, u) = \overset{(n)}{\varphi}_T(x, v) + \overset{(n)}{\varphi}_T(u, y).$$

Let $n \rightarrow \infty$ to complete (44). Relation ((45)) is obtained from (44) by convex conjugation.

The equality in (46) is similarly verified or it is yielded by (44), (27), (12).

If $n = 1$ then T is maximal 1-monotone in $(X, Y, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ iff $\text{Graph } T = X \times Y$ iff $\text{Graph } K_T = Z \times Z$ iff K_T is maximal 1-monotone in (Z, Z, \cdot) .

Assume that T is maximal n -monotone in $(X, Y, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$, for some $n \in \overline{2, \infty}$. According to Theorem 24 below, $[\overset{\langle n-1 \rangle}{\varphi}_T \leq c] \subset \text{Graph } T$ and $\overset{\langle n-1 \rangle}{\varphi}_T \geq c$. Similarly, since T^{-1} is maximal n -monotone, $[\overset{\langle n-1 \rangle}{\varphi}_{T^{-1}} \leq c] \subset \text{Graph}(T^{-1})$ and $\overset{\langle n-1 \rangle}{\varphi}_{T^{-1}} \geq c$. After using (44), (12), and $\overset{\langle n-1 \rangle}{\varphi}_T \geq c$, $\overset{\langle n-1 \rangle}{\varphi}_{T^{-1}} \geq c$, one finds that $[\overset{\langle n-1 \rangle}{\varphi}_{K_T} \leq C] \subset \text{Graph } K_T$. Here $C(z, w) = z \cdot w$, $z, w \in Z$. Therefore, again from Theorem 24, K_T is maximal n -monotone in (Z, Z, \cdot) .

Conversely, if K_T is maximal n -monotone then $[\overset{\langle n-1 \rangle}{\varphi}_{K_T} \leq C] \subset \text{Graph } K_T$, which yields, after considering (44), that $[\overset{\langle n-1 \rangle}{\varphi}_T \leq c] \subset \text{Graph } T$, i.e., T is maximal n -monotone.

If T is non-empty cyclically monotone then, for every $(x_0, v_0) \in \text{Graph } T$, we have $\text{Graph } T \subset \text{Graph}(\partial r_T^{(x_0, v_0)})$. Since $r_T^{(x_0, v_0)} \in \Gamma(X)$, we know that $\text{Graph } K_T \subset \text{Graph}(\partial f)$, where

$$f(x, y) = r_T^{(x_0, v_0)}(x) + (r_T^{(x_0, v_0)})^*(y), \quad x \in X, \quad y \in Y.$$

Using (25) for K_T yields that, for every $x \in X, y \in Y, (x_0, v_0), (u_0, y_0) \in \text{Graph } T$,

$$\begin{aligned} r_{K_T}^{((x_0, y_0), (u_0, v_0))}(x, y) &= r_T^{(x_0, v_0)}(x) + r_{T^{-1}}^{(y_0, u_0)}(y) \leq f(x, y) - f(x_0, y_0) \\ &= r_T^{(x_0, v_0)}(x) + (r_T^{(x_0, v_0)})^*(y) - (r_T^{(x_0, v_0)})^*(y_0), \end{aligned}$$

from which (47) follows.

Similarly, from $\text{Graph } K_T \subset \text{Graph}(\partial \overset{\langle \infty \rangle}{\varphi}_T)$ we get

$$r_{K_T}^{((x_0, y_0), (u_0, v_0))}(x, y) = r_T^{(x_0, v_0)}(x) + r_{T^{-1}}^{(y_0, u_0)}(y) \leq \overset{\langle \infty \rangle}{\varphi}_T(x, y) - \overset{\langle \infty \rangle}{\varphi}_T(x_0, y_0)$$

which provides (48) via (27). ■

Proposition 23 *Let $(X, Y, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a dual system and let $h \in \Gamma_{\sigma(X, Y)}(X)$. Then $K_{\partial h} = \partial f$, where $f(x, y) := h(x) + h^*(y)$, $x \in X, y \in Y$.*

Proof. It suffices to note that $\text{Graph}(\partial h^*) = \text{Graph}(\partial h)^{-1}$. ■

3 Maximal n -monotonicity

Theorem 24 *Let $(X, Y, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a dual system and let $n \in \overline{2, \infty}$. The operator $T : X \rightrightarrows Y$ is maximal n -monotone iff one of the following conditions holds:*

- (i) $\text{Graph } T = (\supset)[\overset{\langle n-1 \rangle}{\varphi}_T \leq c]$;
- (ii) $\overset{\langle n-1 \rangle}{\varphi}_T \geq c$ and $\text{Graph } T = [\overset{\langle n-1 \rangle}{\varphi}_T = c]$;
- (iii) $\overset{\langle n-1 \rangle}{\varphi}_T \geq c$ and T is n -representable, which is defined as T is n -monotone and

$$\exists f \in \Gamma(Z), \quad c \leq f \leq \max\{\overset{\langle n-1 \rangle}{\varphi}_T, \overset{\langle n-1 \rangle}{\psi}_T\}, \quad \text{Graph } T = [f = c]; \quad (49)$$

- (iv) T is n -representable and, for every $(x, y) \in X \times Y$, condition $C_n(x, y)$ holds, where

$$C_2(x, y) : \exists (x_1, y_1) \in \text{Graph } T, \quad \langle x - x_1, y_1 \rangle + \langle x_1 - x, y \rangle \geq 0, \quad (50)$$

$$\text{For } 3 \leq n < \infty, \quad C_n(x, y) : \begin{cases} \exists \{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i \in \overline{1, n-1}} \subset \text{Graph } T, \\ \langle x - x_1, y_1 \rangle + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \langle x_{i-1} - x_i, y_i \rangle + \langle x_{n-1} - x, y \rangle \geq 0, \end{cases} \quad (51)$$

$$C_\infty(x, y) : \exists m \in \mathbb{N}, \quad m \geq 2, \quad C_m(x, y) \text{ holds.} \quad (52)$$

Proof. Assume that T is maximal n -monotone. Every $(x, y) \in [\overset{\langle n-1 \rangle}{\varphi}_T \leq c]$ is n -m.r. to T which is n -monotone. Hence $\text{Graph } T \cup \{(x, y)\}$ is n -monotone and contains $\text{Graph } T$, from which $(x, y) \in \text{Graph } T$. We proved $[\overset{\langle n-1 \rangle}{\varphi}_T \leq c] \subset \text{Graph } T$. Using Corollary 8 or Theorem 10, we deduce the converse inclusion from the n -monotonicity of T , thus completing the proof of (i).

Suppose that (i) holds. Let M be any n -monotone extension of T . Every $(x, y) \in \text{Graph } M$ is n -m.r. to T , because M is n -monotone and contains T . From Theorem 10 (i), $(x, y) \in [\overset{\langle n-1 \rangle}{\varphi}_T \leq c] \subset \text{Graph } T$. We proved that $\text{Graph } M \subset \text{Graph } T$. Therefore T is maximal n -monotone.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) is plain, since $\overset{\langle n-1 \rangle}{\varphi}_T \geq c$ provides $[\overset{\langle n-1 \rangle}{\varphi}_T \leq c] = [\overset{\langle n-1 \rangle}{\varphi}_T = c]$.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii) According to (11), $[\overset{\langle n-1 \rangle}{\varphi}_T \leq c] \subset \text{Graph } T \subset [\overset{\langle n-1 \rangle}{\varphi}_T \geq c]$. Hence $[\overset{\langle n-1 \rangle}{\varphi}_T < c] = \emptyset$, i.e., $\overset{\langle n-1 \rangle}{\varphi}_T \geq c$, and $\text{Graph } T = [\overset{\langle n-1 \rangle}{\varphi}_T = c]$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) is straightforward from Theorem 10 (ii) with $f = \overset{\langle n-1 \rangle}{\varphi}_T$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii) Let $\overset{\langle n-1 \rangle}{\gamma}_T := \max\{\overset{\langle n-1 \rangle}{\varphi}_T, \overset{\langle n-1 \rangle}{\psi}_T\}$.

Since T is n -monotone we know from Theorem 10 (ii) that $\text{Graph } T \subset [\overset{\langle n-1 \rangle}{\varphi}_T = c]$.

Conversely, let $z \in [\overset{\langle n-1 \rangle}{\varphi}_T = c]$. Then T is non-empty, $\overset{\langle n-1 \rangle}{\varphi}_T \in \Gamma(Z)$, and, according to Lemma 5, $z \in [\overset{\langle n-1 \rangle}{\psi}_T = c]$; whence $z \in [\overset{\langle n-1 \rangle}{\gamma}_T = c] \subset [f = c] \subset \text{Graph } T$.

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv) Assume that n is finite. If $(x, y) \in \text{Graph } T$ then we take $(x_i, y_i) = (x, y)$, $i \in \overline{1, n-1}$, for which

$$\langle x - x_1, y_1 \rangle + \langle x_1 - x, y \rangle = \langle x - x_1, y_1 \rangle + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \langle x_{i-1} - x_i, y_i \rangle + \langle x_{n-1} - x, y \rangle = 0.$$

If $(x, y) \notin \text{Graph } T$ then, since T is maximal n -monotone, $\{(x, y)\} \cup \text{Graph } T$ is not n -monotone. Hence, there is $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i \in \overline{1, n-1}} \subset \text{Graph } T$ such that

$$\langle x - x_{n-1}, y \rangle + \langle x_{n-1} - x_{n-2}, y_{n-1} \rangle + \dots + \langle x_2 - x_1, y_1 \rangle + \langle x_1 - x, y_1 \rangle < 0.$$

In both cases

$$\langle x - x_1, y_1 \rangle + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \langle x_{i-1} - x_i, y_i \rangle + \langle x_{n-1} - x, y \rangle \geq 0.$$

When $n = \infty$ and $(x, y) \notin \text{Graph } T$, $\{(x, y)\} \cup \text{Graph } T$ is not ∞ -monotone. Therefore, for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq 2$, $\{(x, y)\} \cup \text{Graph } T$ is not m -monotone and we proceed as above.

(iv) \Rightarrow (iii) It suffices to prove that $\overset{\langle n-1 \rangle}{\varphi}_T \geq c$.

For every $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq 2$ and $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i \in \overline{1, m-1}} \subset \text{Graph } T$ be such that (52) holds, with $m = n$ when n is finite. According to $C_n(x, y)$, we have

$$\overset{\langle n-1 \rangle}{\varphi}_T(x, y) \geq \overset{\langle m-1 \rangle}{\varphi}_T(x, y) \geq \langle x - x_1, y_1 \rangle + \sum_{i=2}^{m-1} \langle x_{i-1} - x_i, y_i \rangle + \langle x_{m-1}, y \rangle \geq \langle x, y \rangle.$$

■

Remark 25 In the specific cases where $n \in \{2, \infty\}$,

$$T \text{ is } n\text{-representable iff } \exists f \in \Gamma(Z), c \leq f \leq \overset{\langle n-1 \rangle}{\psi}_T, \text{Graph } T = [f = c]; \quad (53)$$

because, in this case, according to Theorems 11, 12, T is n -monotone iff $\overset{\langle n-1 \rangle}{\psi}_T \geq \overset{\langle n-1 \rangle}{\varphi}_T$ iff $\overset{\langle n-1 \rangle}{\psi}_T \geq c$. In particular

$$\forall n \in \{2, \infty\}, (T \text{ is } n\text{-representable} \Rightarrow T \text{ is } n\text{-monotone}). \quad (54)$$

Because $f \in \Gamma(Z)$, we can relax $f \leq \overset{\langle n-1 \rangle}{\psi}_T$ in (53) to $f \leq \overset{\langle n-1 \rangle}{\chi}_T$, and $\text{Graph } T = [f = c]$ to $\text{Graph } T \supset [f = c]$ because from $c \leq f \leq \overset{\langle n-1 \rangle}{\psi}_T \leq \overset{\langle n-1 \rangle}{\chi}_T \leq \overset{\langle 1 \rangle}{\chi}_T = c_T$ we know that $\text{Graph } T \subset [f = c]$. More precisely,

$$\forall n \in \{2, \infty\}, (T \text{ is } n\text{-representable iff } \exists f \in \Gamma(Z), c \leq f \leq \overset{\langle n-1 \rangle}{\chi}_T, \text{Graph } T \supset [f = c]).$$

The 2–representability definition aligns with that in [9], i.e.,

$$T \text{ is 2 – representable iff } \exists f \in \Gamma(Z), f \geq c, \text{ Graph } T = [f = c], \quad (55)$$

since, from $\text{Graph } T \subset [f = c]$, we obtain $f \leq c_T = \overset{\langle 1 \rangle}{\succ} T$. Consequently, the condition $f \leq \overset{\langle 1 \rangle}{\psi}_T$ is redundant.

Note also that, for $n \in \overline{2, \infty}$

$$T \text{ is } \infty \text{ – representable} \Rightarrow T \text{ is } n \text{ – representable} \Rightarrow (49) \Rightarrow T \text{ is 2 – representable.} \quad (56)$$

Indeed, the penultimate implication is plain, and the final implication follows from (55). For the first implication assume that T is ∞ –representable, i.e., $\text{Graph } T = [f = c]$, for some $f \in \Gamma(Z)$ with $c \leq f \leq \overset{\langle \infty \rangle}{\psi}_T$. Since T is ∞ –monotone, for every $n \in \overline{2, \infty}$, we know that T is n –monotone and

$$\overset{\langle n-1 \rangle}{\varphi}_T \leq \overset{\langle \infty \rangle}{\varphi}_T \leq \overset{\langle \infty \rangle}{\psi}_T \leq \overset{\langle n-1 \rangle}{\psi}_T.$$

Therefore $f \leq \overset{\langle n-1 \rangle}{\psi}_T = \max\{\overset{\langle n-1 \rangle}{\varphi}_T, \overset{\langle n-1 \rangle}{\psi}_T\}$ and so T is n –representable.

Theorem 26 Let $(X, Y, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a dual system, let $n \in \{2, \infty\}$, and let $T : X \rightrightarrows Y$ be such that $\text{Graph } T \neq \emptyset$. Then T is n –representable iff $\overset{\langle n-1 \rangle}{\psi}_T \geq c$ and $\text{Graph } T(\supset) = [\overset{\langle n-1 \rangle}{\psi}_T = c]$.

Proof. The converse implication is plain; take $f = \overset{\langle n-1 \rangle}{\psi}_T$ (see also (18)).

Assume that T is n –representable, that is, $\text{Graph } T \supset [f = c]$, for some $f \in \Gamma(Z)$ with $c \leq f \leq \overset{\langle n-1 \rangle}{\psi}_T$. Together with (18) we conclude that $\text{Graph } T = [\overset{\langle n-1 \rangle}{\psi}_T = c]$, since

$$[\overset{\langle n-1 \rangle}{\psi}_T = c] \subset [f = c](\subset) = \text{Graph } T \subset [\overset{\langle n-1 \rangle}{\psi}_T \leq c] = [\overset{\langle n-1 \rangle}{\psi}_T = c].$$

■

Proposition 27 Let $(X, Y, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a dual system and let $T : X \rightrightarrows Y$. If $T = \partial h$, for some $h \in \Gamma_{\sigma(X, Y)}(X)$ then T is (cyclically) ∞ –representable.

Proof. Let $f(x, y) := h(x) + h^*(y)$, $x \in X$, $y \in Y$. Clearly $f \in \Gamma(Z)$, $f \geq c$, and $\text{Graph } T = [f = c]$. It suffices to prove that $f \leq \overset{\langle \infty \rangle}{\succ} T$.

For every $n \geq 3$, every $(x, y) \in D(\partial h) \times R(\partial h)$, and every

$$x_1 \in (\partial h)^{-1}(y), y_n \in \partial h(x), \{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i \in \overline{2, n-1}} \subset \text{Graph}(\partial h),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle x_1, y \rangle + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \langle x_i - x_{i-1}, y_i \rangle + \langle x - x_{n-1}, y_n \rangle \geq \\
& \geq h(x_1) + h^*(y) + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} [h(x_i) - h(x_{i-1})] + h(x) + h^*(y_n) - \langle x_{n-1}, y_n \rangle \\
& = h(x_1) + h^*(y) + [h(x_{n-1}) - h(x_1)] + h(x) + h^*(y_n) - \langle x_{n-1}, y_n \rangle \\
& = f(x, y) + h(x_{n-1}) + h^*(y_n) - \langle x_{n-1}, y_n \rangle \geq f(x, y).
\end{aligned}$$

Pass to infimum to get $f \leq \mathcal{Y}_{\partial f}^{(n)}$. Therefore $f \leq \inf_{n \geq 3} \mathcal{Y}_{\partial h}^{(n)} = \mathcal{Y}_{\partial h}^{(\infty)}$. ■

Theorem 28 *Let $(X, Y, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a dual system and let $T : X \rightrightarrows Y$ be cyclically monotone. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) T is maximal cyclically monotone,
- (ii) $T = \partial h$, for some $h \in \Gamma_{\sigma(X, Y)}(X)$, and, for every $(x, y) \in X \times Y$, there are $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 2$, and $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i \in \overline{1, n-1}} \subset \text{Graph } T$ such that

$$\langle x - x_1, y_1 \rangle + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \langle x_{i-1} - x_i, y_i \rangle + \langle x_{n-1} - x, y \rangle \geq 0, \quad (57)$$

where for $n = 2$ the previous inequality reads $\langle x - x_1, y_1 \rangle + \langle x_1 - x, y \rangle \geq 0$.

- (iii) $T = \partial h$, for some $h \in \Gamma_{\sigma(X, Y)}(X)$, and $\mathcal{Y}_T^{(\infty)} \geq c$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) is a consequence of Theorems 15, 24.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) and (iii) \Rightarrow (i) follow from Proposition 27, Theorem 24. ■

Example 29 *Let $T : D(T) = [0, +\infty) \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $T(x) = 0$. For every $n \in \overline{2, \infty}$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$*

$$\mathcal{Y}_T^{(n)}(x, y) = \sup_{u \geq 0} uy = \iota_{(-\infty, 0]}(y) := \begin{cases} 0, & y \leq 0 \\ +\infty, & y > 0 \end{cases},$$

$$\mathcal{Y}_T^{(n)}(x, y) = (\mathcal{Y}_T^{(n)})^\square(x, y) = \sup_{v \leq 0, u \in \mathbb{R}} (xv + uy) = \begin{cases} \iota_{[0, +\infty)}(x), & y = 0 \\ +\infty, & y \neq 0 \end{cases},$$

T is ∞ -representable, since $\mathcal{Y}_T^{(\infty)} \geq c$ and $\text{Graph } T = [\mathcal{Y}_T^{(\infty)} = c]$, and T is not maximal ∞ -monotone, because $\mathcal{Y}_T^{(\infty)} \not\geq c$. Consequently, T is neither a maximal monotone operator nor the subdifferential of any proper, convex, and lower semicontinuous function.

4 Upper envelopes and cyclical monotonicity

Let $(X, Y, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a dual system and let $h : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$. To h we associate $h^\cup : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ defined as the upper envelope of all continuous affine functions determined by ∂h , given by

$$\begin{aligned} h^\cup(x) &:= \sup\{\langle x - u, y \rangle + h(u) \mid (u, y) \in \text{Graph}(\partial h)\} \\ &= \sup\{\langle x, y \rangle - h^*(y) \mid y \in R(\partial h)\} = (h^* + \iota_{R(\partial h)})^*(x), \quad x \in X. \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

Similarly, for $g : Y \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, the upper envelope of all continuous affine functions determined by ∂g is

$$\begin{aligned} g^\cup(y) &:= \sup\{\langle x, y - v \rangle + g(v) \mid (v, x) \in \text{Graph}(\partial g)\} \\ &= \sup\{\langle x, y \rangle - g^*(x) \mid x \in R(\partial g)\} = (g^* + \iota_{R(\partial g)})^*(y), \quad y \in Y. \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

For $h \in \Gamma_{\sigma(X, Y)}(X)$, it is natural to consider

$$h^{*\cup} := (h^*)^\cup, \quad h^{\cup*} := (h^\cup)^*, \quad h^\circ := h^{*\cup*} = (h^{*\cup})^*. \quad (60)$$

Their explicit forms are

$$\begin{aligned} h^{*\cup}(y) &= \sup\{\langle x, y - v \rangle + h^*(v) \mid (x, v) \in \text{Graph}(\partial h)\} \\ &= \sup\{\langle x, y \rangle - h(x) \mid x \in D(\partial h)\} = (h + \iota_{D(\partial h)})^*(y), \quad y \in Y; \end{aligned} \quad (61)$$

$$h^\circ = (h + \iota_{D(\partial h)})^{**} = \text{cl conv}(h + \iota_{D(\partial h)}). \quad (62)$$

In particular $h^{*\cup}(0) = -\inf_{D(\partial h)} h$.

Proposition 30 *Let $(X, Y, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a dual system and let $h \in \Gamma_{\sigma(X, Y)}(X)$. Then*

- (i) $h^\cup \leq h \leq h^\circ$ and, for $x \in D(\partial h)$, $h^\cup(x) = h(x) = h^\circ(x)$.
- (ii) h^\cup is proper iff $\text{Graph}(\partial h) \neq \emptyset$ iff h° is proper. In this case $h^\cup, h^\circ \in \Gamma_{\sigma(X, Y)}(X)$.
- (iii) For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 1$, $h^{n\cup} = h^\cup$, where

$$\begin{aligned} h^{n\cup}(x) &:= \sup\{\langle x - x_1, y_1 \rangle + \langle x_1 - x_2, y_2 \rangle + \dots + \langle x_{n-1} - x_n, y_n \rangle + h(x_n) \\ &\quad \mid \{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i \in \overline{1, n}} \subset \text{Graph}(\partial h)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

- (iv) $\text{Graph}(\partial h) \subset \text{Graph}(\partial h^\cup) \cap \text{Graph}(\partial h^\circ)$.

Proof. (i) $h^\cup \leq h$ follows directly from the definition of ∂h . Hence $h^{*\cup} \leq h^*$, and by conjugation, $h = h^{**} \leq h^{*\cup*} = h^\circ$. If $x \in D(\partial h)$ then pick $u = x$ in the definition of $h^\cup(x)$ to get $h^\cup(x) = h(x)$. Together with $h^\cup \leq h \leq h^\circ \leq h + \iota_{D(\partial h)}$ that yields $h^\cup(x) = h(x) = h^\circ(x)$.

- (ii) If $\text{Graph}(\partial h) = \emptyset$ then $h^\cup = -\infty$, $h^\circ = +\infty$.

Conversely, if $\text{Graph}(\partial h) \neq \emptyset$ then h is proper. Using $h^\cup \leq h$ we see that h^\cup is proper. Similarly, from $h \leq h^\circ \leq h + \iota_{D(\partial h)}$ we get that h° is proper.

(iii) Let $(u, y) \in \text{Graph}(\partial h)$. If we pick $(x_i, y_i) = (u, y)$, $i \in \overline{1, n}$, in the definition of $h^{n\cup}$ and pass to supremum over $(u, y) \in \text{Graph}(\partial h)$, then we obtain $h^{n\cup} \geq h^\cup$.

Conversely, for every $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i \in \overline{1, n}} \subset \text{Graph}(\partial h)$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \langle x_i - x_{i+1}, y_{i+1} \rangle \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} h(x_i) - h(x_{i+1}) = h(x_1) - h(x_n),$$

from which $h^{n\cup} \leq h^\cup$.

(iv) Since $h \leq h^\circ$ and $h = h^\circ$ on $D(\partial h)$, we find $\text{Graph}(\partial h) \subset \text{Graph}(\partial h^\circ)$.

For every $(x, y) \in \text{Graph}(\partial h)$, $w \in X$, we have $h^\cup(x) = h(x)$ and $\langle w - x, y \rangle + h(x) \leq h^\cup(w)$. Hence $(x, y) \in \text{Graph}(\partial h^\cup)$. ■

Remark 31 Whenever $h \in \Gamma_{\sigma(X, Y)}(X)$ and $\text{Graph}(\partial h) \neq \emptyset$ we have

$$h = h^\cup, h^* = h^{*\cup} \Leftrightarrow h^\cup = h^\circ \Leftrightarrow h^{\cup*} = h^{*\cup}. \quad (64)$$

Indeed, for the first equivalence, if $h = h^\cup$ and $h^* = h^{*\cup}$ then, by the biconjugate formula, $h = h^\circ$. Conversely, if $h^\cup = h^\circ$, from $h^\cup \leq h \leq h^\circ$ we know that $h = h^\cup$ and $h = h^{*\cup*}$. The latter implies $h^* = h^{*\cup}$. The second equivalence follows again from the biconjugate formula applied for $h^\cup \in \Gamma(X)$ and $h^{*\cup} \in \Gamma(Y)$.

Theorem 32 Let $(X, Y, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a dual system and let $h \in \Gamma_{\sigma(X, Y)}(X)$. For every $(x, y) \in X \times Y$,

$$\overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_{\partial h}(x, y) = h^\cup(x) + h^{*\cup}(y), \quad (65)$$

$$\overset{(\infty)}{\psi}_{\partial h}(x, y) = h^\circ(x) + h^{\cup*}(y). \quad (66)$$

Proof. If $\text{Graph}(\partial h) = \emptyset$ then (65), (66) are trivially verified with $\overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_{\partial h} = h^\cup = h^{*\cup} = -\infty$, $\overset{(\infty)}{\psi}_{\partial h} = h^\circ = h^{\cup*} = +\infty$.

Assume that $\text{Graph}(\partial h) \neq \emptyset$. Then $h^\cup, h^\circ \in \Gamma(X)$.

For every $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ and every $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i \in \overline{1, n}} \subset \text{Graph}(\partial h)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle x - x_1, y_1 \rangle + \sum_{i=2}^n \langle x_{i-1} - x_i, y_i \rangle + \langle x_n, y \rangle \\ &= [\langle x - x_1, y_1 \rangle + \sum_{i=2}^n \langle x_{i-1} - x_i, y_i \rangle + h(x_n)] + [\langle x_n, y \rangle - h(x_n)] \\ &\leq h^{n\cup}(x) + (h + \iota_{D(\partial h)})^*(y) = h^\cup(x) + h^{*\cup}(y). \end{aligned}$$

Pass to supremum over $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i \in \overline{1, n}} \subset \text{Graph}(\partial h)$ to find that, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 1$,

$\overset{(n)}{\varphi}_{\partial h}(x, y) \leq h^\cup(x) + h^{*\cup}(y)$, from which

$$\overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_{\partial h}(x, y) \leq h^\cup(x) + h^{*\cup}(y). \quad (67)$$

Let us prove that, for every $x \in X$, $y \in Y$,

$$\sup_{v_0 \in R(\partial h)} \langle \overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_{\partial h}(x, v_0) - h^*(v_0) = h^\cup(x), \quad \sup_{u_0 \in D(\partial h)} \langle \overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_{\partial h}(u_0, y) - h(u_0) = h^{*\cup}(y). \quad (68)$$

According to (11), for every $x \in X$, $v_0 \in R(\partial h)$, $\langle \overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_{\partial h}(x, v_0) \geq \langle x, v_0 \rangle$, and so $\sup_{v_0 \in R(\partial h)} \langle \overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_{\partial h}(x, v_0) - h^*(v_0) \geq \sup_{v_0 \in R(\partial h)} \langle x, v_0 \rangle - h^*(v_0) = h^\cup(x)$. The converse inequality is a consequence of (67) and $h^* = h^{*\cup}$ on $R(\partial h)$ or $h^{*\cup} \leq h^*$. We proceed similarly for the second part in (68), or, we use the first part with h replaced by h^* and (12).

For the converse inequality in (67) we use (48) for $x \in X$, $(x_0, v_0), (u_0, y_0) \in \text{Graph}(\partial h)$, and $y = y_0$ to get that, for every $x \in X$, $y_0 \in R(\partial h)$, $(x_0, v_0) \in \text{Graph}(\partial h)$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_{\partial h}(x, y_0) &\geq \langle \overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_{\partial h}(x_0, y_0) + \langle \overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_{\partial h}(x, v_0) - \langle x_0, v_0 \rangle \\ &= [\langle \overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_{\partial h}(x, v_0) - h^*(v_0)] + [\langle \overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_{\partial h}(x_0, y_0) - h(x_0)]. \end{aligned}$$

Pass to supremum over $(x_0, v_0) \in \text{Graph}(\partial h)$ to obtain, according to (68), (67), that, for every $x \in X$, $y_0 \in R(\partial h)$,

$$\langle \overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_{\partial h}(x, y_0) = h^\cup(x) + h^{*\cup}(y_0) = h^\cup(x) + h^*(y_0). \quad (69)$$

Similarly, for every $y \in Y$, $x_0 \in D(\partial h)$,

$$\langle \overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_{\partial h}(x_0, y) = h(x_0) + h^{*\cup}(y). \quad (70)$$

For every $(x, y) \in X \times Y$, we use (48) for $(x_0, v_0) = (u_0, y_0) \in \text{Graph}(\partial h)$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_{\partial h}(x, y) - \langle x_0, y_0 \rangle &= \langle \overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_{\partial h}(x, y) - \langle \overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_{\partial h}(x_0, y_0) \geq \langle \overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_{\partial h}(x, v_0) + \langle \overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_{\partial h}(u_0, y) - \langle x_0, v_0 \rangle - \langle u_0, y_0 \rangle \\ &= h^\cup(x) + h^*(v_0) + h(u_0) + h^{*\cup}(y) - \langle x_0, v_0 \rangle - \langle u_0, y_0 \rangle = h^\cup(x) + h^{*\cup}(y) - h(x_0) - h^*(y_0), \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\langle \overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_{\partial h}(x, y) \geq h^\cup(x) + h^{*\cup}(y).$$

The equality in (66) is obtained by convex conjugation from (65). ■

Corollary 33 *Let $(X, Y, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a dual system and let $h \in \Gamma_{\sigma(X, Y)}(X)$. Then, for every $x \in X$, $(x_0, y_0) \in \text{Graph}(\partial h)$*

$$r_{\partial h}^{(x_0, y_0)}(x) = h^\cup(x) + h^{*\cup}(y_0) - \langle x_0, y_0 \rangle = h^\cup(x) - h(x_0), \quad (71)$$

and

$$\forall x_0 \in D(\partial h), \quad h^\cup(x) = \min\{\alpha(x) \mid \alpha : X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}, \alpha(x_0) = h(x_0), \text{Graph}(\partial \alpha) \subset \text{Graph}(\partial h)\}. \quad (72)$$

Proof. Relation (71) follows from (27), (65), and $h^{*\cup} = h^*$ on $R(\partial h)$. Relation (72) is a translation of (25) with the aid of (71). ■

Corollary 34 *Let $(X, Y, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a dual system and let $h, g \in \Gamma_{\sigma(X, Y)}(X)$. Then $\partial h = \partial g$ iff $\overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_{\partial h} = \overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_{\partial g}$ iff $h^\cup - g^\cup = h^\circ - g^\circ$ is a constant function.*

Proof. The conclusion is straightforward if $\text{Graph}(\partial h) = \emptyset$ or $\text{Graph}(\partial g) = \emptyset$; in which case $\overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_{\partial h} = \overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_{\partial g} = -\infty$ and $h^\cup - g^\cup = h^\circ - g^\circ = +\infty$.

Assume that $\text{Graph}(\partial h) \neq \emptyset$ and $\text{Graph}(\partial g) \neq \emptyset$.

If $\partial h = \partial g$ then $\overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_{\partial h} = \overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_{\partial g}$. From (65) we get that $h^\cup = g^\cup + k$, where $k = g^{*\cup}(y_0) - h^{*\cup}(y_0) = g^*(y_0) - h^*(y_0) \in \mathbb{R}$, for any fixed $y_0 \in R(\partial h) = R(\partial g)$. Hence $h^{*\cup} = g^{*\cup} - k$, $h^\circ = g^\circ + k$.

Conversely, if $h^\cup - g^\cup = h^\circ - g^\circ = k \in \mathbb{R}$ then $h^{*\cup} = g^{*\cup} - k$, $\overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_{\partial h} = \overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_{\partial g}$, followed by $\overset{(\infty)}{\psi}_{\partial h} = \overset{(\infty)}{\psi}_{\partial g}$. According to Proposition 27 and Theorem 26,

$$\text{Graph}(\partial h) = [\overset{(\infty)}{\psi}_{\partial h} = c] = [\overset{(\infty)}{\psi}_{\partial g} = c] = \text{Graph}(\partial g).$$

■

Theorem 35 *Let $(X, Y, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a dual system and let $h \in \Gamma_{\sigma(X, Y)}(X)$. The following are equivalent:*

- (i) ∂h is maximal cyclically monotone,
- (ii) $h^\cup = h^\circ$,
- (iii) $\forall (x, y) \in X \times Y$, $\overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_{\partial h}(x, y) = h(x) + h^*(y)$,
- (iv) $\forall (x, y) \in X \times Y$, $\forall (x_0, y_0) \in \text{Graph}(\partial h)$,

$$r_{\partial h}^{(x_0, y_0)}(x) = h(x) - h(x_0), \quad r_{\partial h^*}^{(y_0, x_0)}(y) = h^*(y) - h^*(y_0),$$

- (v) $\forall (x, y) \in X \times Y$, $\forall x_0 \in D(\partial h)$, $\forall y_0 \in R(\partial h)$,

$$\overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_{\partial h}(x, y_0) = h(x) + h^*(y_0), \quad \overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_{\partial h}(x_0, y) = h(x_0) + h^*(y),$$

- (vi) $\forall (x, y) \in X \times Y$, $\exists (x_0, y_0) \in \text{Graph}(\partial h)$

$$\overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_{\partial h}(x, y_0) = h(x) + h^*(y_0), \quad \overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_{\partial h}(x_0, y) = h(x_0) + h^*(y).$$

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Since ∂h is maximal cyclically monotone, we know that $\text{Graph}(\partial h) \neq \emptyset$ and $h^\cup, h^\circ \in \Gamma(X)$.

We use Theorem 24 for every $x \in X$ and $y = 0$, to get $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq 2$ and $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i \in \overline{1, m-1}} \subset \text{Graph}(\partial h)$ such that

$$\langle x - x_1, y_1 \rangle + \sum_{i=2}^{m-1} \langle x_{i-1} - x_i, y_i \rangle \geq 0.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} h(x) &\geq h^\cup(x) = h^{(m-1)\cup}(x) \geq \langle x - x_1, y_1 \rangle + \sum_{i=2}^{m-1} \langle x_{i-1} - x_i, y_i \rangle + h(x_m) \\ &\geq h(x_m) \geq \inf_{D(\partial h)} h \geq \inf_X h, \end{aligned}$$

and so $\inf_X h = \inf_{D(\partial h)} h = \inf_X h^\cup$ or $h^{\cup*}(0) = h^{*\cup}(0)$.

But ∂h maximal cyclically monotone implies (is equivalent to) that $\partial(h - y)$ is maximal cyclically monotone, for every (some) $y \in Y$; where $(h - y)(x) := h(x) - \langle x, y \rangle$, $x \in X$.

Hence, for every $y \in Y$, $(h - y)^{\cup*}(0) = (h - y)^{*\cup}(0)$.

Note that, for $x \in X$, $y, v \in Y$, $(h - y)^\cup(x) = h^\cup(x) - \langle x, y \rangle$, $(h - y)^{\cup*}(v) = h^{\cup*}(y + v)$, $(h - y)^*(v) = h^*(y + v)$, $(h - y)^{*\cup}(v) = h^{*\cup}(y + v)$. Set $v = 0$ to get $h^{\cup*} = h^{*\cup}$ followed by $h^\cup = h^{*\cup*} = h^\circ$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) follows from (65) (see also Remark 31).

(iv) and (v) are equivalent due to (27).

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) is a direct consequence of Theorem 24.

(iii) \Rightarrow (v) \Rightarrow (vi) are plain.

(vi) \Rightarrow (iii) For every $(x, y) \in X \times Y$, we use (48) for $(x_0, v_0) = (u_0, y_0) \in \text{Graph}(\partial h)$ to get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_{\partial h}(x, y) - \langle x_0, y_0 \rangle &= \langle \overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_{\partial h}(x, y) - \langle \overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_{\partial h}(x_0, y_0) \rangle \geq \langle \overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_{\partial h}(x, v_0) + \langle \overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_{\partial h}(u_0, y) - \langle x_0, v_0 \rangle - \langle u_0, y_0 \rangle \\ &= h(x) + h^*(v_0) + h(u_0) + h^*(y) - \langle x_0, v_0 \rangle - \langle u_0, y_0 \rangle = h(x) + h^*(y) - h(x_0) - h^*(y_0), \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\langle \overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_{\partial h}(x, y) \geq h(x) + h^*(y).$$

Together with (65) and $h^\cup \leq h$, $h^{*\cup} \leq h^*$ we find $\langle \overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_{\partial h}(x, y) = h(x) + h^*(y)$ and (ii). ■

Corollary 36 *Let $(X, Y, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a dual system and let $h, g \in \Gamma_{\sigma(X, Y)}(X)$ be such that ∂h is maximal cyclically monotone. Then $\partial h(\subset) = \partial g$ iff $h - g$ is a constant function.*

Proof. The converse is plain. For the direct implication, given that $\partial h = \partial g$ are both maximal cyclically monotone, from Theorem 35 and Proposition 30 (i), we infer that $h^\cup = h^\circ = h$, $g^\cup = g^\circ = g$, and use Corollary 34 to conclude. ■

5 Examples

Example 37 (MONOTONE SUBSET OF \mathbb{R}^2) *If $T : \mathbb{R} \rightrightarrows \mathbb{R}$ is monotone, then T is cyclically monotone.*

Proof. We prove by induction over $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 2$ that T is n -monotone. Note that the base case is provided. Assume that T is n -monotone, for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 2$.

Let $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i \in \overline{1, n+1}} \subset \text{Graph } T$ be arbitrarily chosen with $x_{n+2} = x_1$. Pick $k \in \overline{1, n+1}$ such that $x_k = \min_{i \in \overline{1, n+1}} x_i$ and define $(x'_j, y'_j) = (x_{(j+k-1) \bmod n}, y_{(j+k-1) \bmod n})$, $j \in \overline{1, n+1}$. Then $\{(x'_j, y'_j)\}_{j \in \overline{1, n+1}} \subset \text{Graph } T$, $x'_1 = \min_{j \in \overline{1, n+1}} x'_j$, and, because T is monotone, $y'_1 = \min_{j \in \overline{1, n+1}} y'_j$. We have $\sum_{j=2}^n (x'_j - x'_{j+1})y'_j + (x'_{n+1} - x'_2)y'_{n+1} \geq 0$, since T is n -monotone, and so

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (x_i - x_{i+1})y_i &= \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} (x'_j - x'_{j+1})y'_j \\ &= (x'_1 - x'_2)(y'_1 - y'_{n+1}) + \sum_{j=2}^n (x'_j - x'_{j+1})y'_j + (x'_{n+1} - x'_2)y'_{n+1} \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

because $x'_1 \leq x'_2$, $y'_1 \leq y'_{n+1}$. Therefore T is $(n+1)$ -monotone and the proof is complete. \blacksquare

Theorem 38 *Let $(X, Y, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a dual system, let $T : X \rightrightarrows Y$, and let $n \in \overline{1, \infty}$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}_T^{(n+1)}(x, y) &= \inf_{u \in T^{-1}(y), v \in R(T)} \left\{ \mathcal{X}_T^{(n)}(x, v) + \langle u, y - v \rangle \right\} \\ &= \inf_{u \in D(T), v \in T(x)} \left\{ \mathcal{X}_T^{(n)}(u, y) + \langle x - u, v \rangle \right\}; \end{aligned} \tag{73}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_T^{(n+1)}(x, y) &= \sup_{(u, v) \in \text{Graph } T} \left\{ \mathcal{F}_T^{(n)}(x, v) + \langle u, y - v \rangle \right\} \\ &= \sup_{(u, v) \in \text{Graph } T} \left\{ \mathcal{F}_T^{(n)}(u, y) + \langle x - u, v \rangle \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{74}$$

Proof. For $n = 1$, (73) is directly verified. For $n = 2$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{X}_T^{(3)}(x, y) &= \inf_{x_1 \in T^{-1}(y), y_3 \in T(x), (x_2, y_2) \in \text{Graph } T} \left\{ \langle x_1, y \rangle + \langle x_2 - x_1, y_2 \rangle + \langle x - x_2, y_3 \rangle \right\} \\ &= \inf_{x_2 \in D(T), y_3 \in T(x)} \left(\inf_{x_1 \in T^{-1}(y), y_2 \in T(x_2)} \left\{ \langle x_1, y \rangle + \langle x_2 - x_1, y_2 \rangle \right\} + \langle x - x_2, y_3 \rangle \right) \\ &= \inf_{x_2 \in D(T), y_3 \in T(x)} \left\{ \mathcal{X}_T^{(2)}(x_2, y) + \langle x - x_2, y_3 \rangle \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 3$ the second equality in (73) holds analogously, as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{X}_T^{(n+1)}(x, y) &= \inf \left\{ \langle x_1, y \rangle + \sum_{i=2}^n \langle x_i - x_{i-1}, y_i \rangle + \langle x - x_n, y_{n+1} \rangle \mid \right. \\
&\quad \left. x_1 \in T^{-1}(y), y_{n+1} \in T(x), (x_i, y_i)_{i \in \overline{2, n}} \subset \text{Graph } T \right\} \\
&= \inf_{x_n \in D(T), y_{n+1} \in T(x)} \left[\inf \left\{ \langle x_1, y \rangle + \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \langle x_i - x_{i-1}, y_i \rangle + \langle x_n - x_{n-1}, y_n \rangle \mid \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. x_1 \in T^{-1}(y), y_n \in T(x_n), (x_i, y_i)_{i \in \overline{2, n-1}} \subset \text{Graph } T \right\} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \langle x - x_n, y_{n+1} \rangle \right] = \inf_{x_n \in D(T), y_{n+1} \in T(x)} \left\{ \mathcal{X}_T^{(n)}(x_n, y) + \langle x - x_n, y_{n+1} \rangle \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

The equalities in (74) and the first equality in (73) follow similar reasoning. Alternatively, in both (73) and (74), we first prove one equality, and then use it for T replaced with T^{-1} , which then translates into the the other equality for T . Also, (73) implies (74) via convex conjugation.

If we pass to infimum over $n \geq 1$ in (73) we obtain the corresponding (73) for $n = \infty$; similarly with supremum for (74). ■

In what follows, we apply mathematical induction and the recurrence relations in (73), (74) to derive closed forms for the n -th Fitzpatrick function $\varphi_T^{(n)}$ and $\mathcal{X}_T^{(n)}$. These closed forms are then employed to verify or refute (maximal) n -monotonicity with $\mathcal{X}_T^{(n)}$ being more convenient for establishing monotonicity and $\varphi_T^{(n)}$ for demonstrating maximality.

Example 39 (NORMAL CONE) *Let $(X, Y, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a dual system and let $C \subset X$ be closed convex. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{N_C}^{(n)}(x, y) &= \iota_{C^\#}(x) + \sigma_C(y), \quad \psi_{N_C}^{(n)}(x, y) = \iota_C(x) + \sigma_{C^\#}(y), \quad x \in X, y \in Y, n \in \overline{1, \infty}; \quad (75) \\
\mathcal{X}_{N_C}^{(n)}(x, y) &= \iota_C(x) + \sigma_C(y) + \iota_{R(N_C)}(y) = \begin{cases} \iota_C(x) + \sigma_C(y), & y \in R(N_C) \\ +\infty, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \quad x \in X, n \in \overline{2, \infty}; \quad (76)
\end{aligned}$$

where, for $A \subset X$, $\sigma_A(y) := \sup_{u \in A} \langle u, y \rangle$, and

$$C^\# := \{x \in X \mid \forall (u, v) \in \text{Graph } N_C, \langle x - u, v \rangle \leq 0\}$$

is the portable hull of C which is the intersection of all the supporting half-spaces that contain C and are supported at points in C (see [14]).

As a consequence, N_C is maximal cyclically monotone iff N_C is maximal monotone.

Proof. By the same argument used in [14, Theorem 1] to prove that $\varphi_{N_C}^{(1)}(x, y) = \iota_{C^\#}(x) + \sigma_C(y)$, $x \in X$, $y \in Y$, namely that, for every $a \in C$, $N_C(a)$ is a cone, we find that, for

$n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 2$, and $(x, y) \in X \times Y$

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{N_C}^{(n)}(x, y) &= \sup_{\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i \in \overline{1, n}} \subset \text{Graph } N_C} \left\{ \langle x - x_1, y_1 \rangle + \sum_{i=2}^n \langle x_{i-1} - x_i, y_i \rangle + \langle x_n, y \rangle \right\} \\ &\leq \sup_{(x_1, y_1) \in \text{Graph } N_C} \left\{ \langle x - x_1, y_1 \rangle \right\} + \sup_{x_n \in C} \langle x_n, y \rangle = \iota_{C^\#}(x) + \sigma_C(y). \end{aligned}$$

For every $(x, y) \in \text{dom } \varphi_{N_C}^{(n)} \subset \text{dom } \varphi_{N_C}^{(1)}$ we infer that $x \in C^\#$ and $\varphi_{N_C}^{(n)}(x, y) \geq \varphi_{N_C}^{(1)}(x, y) \geq \sigma_C(y)$; whence $\varphi_{N_C}^{(n)}(x, y) \geq \iota_{C^\#}(x) + \sigma_C(y)$.

Therefore the first part in (75) holds.

The second part in (75) follows by convex conjugation.

To prove (76) we use induction over $n \geq 2$. According to (14),

$$\varkappa_{N_C}^{(2)}(x, y) = \inf_{x_1 \in (N_C)^{-1}(y), y_2 \in N_C(x)} \left\{ \langle x_1, y \rangle + \langle x - x_1, y_2 \rangle \right\}.$$

To complete the basis step, it suffices to prove $\varkappa_{N_C}^{(2)}(x, y) = \sigma_C(y)$ when $x \in C$, $y \in R(N_C)$. To this end note that, when $x \in C$, for every $y_2 \in N_C(x)$, $\langle x - x_1, y_2 \rangle \geq 0$, because $x_1 \in C$. Therefore, since $0 \in N_C(x)$, $\inf_{y_2 \in N_C(x)} \langle x - x_1, y_2 \rangle = 0$ and so $\varkappa_{N_C}^{(2)}(x, y) = \inf_{x_1 \in (N_C)^{-1}(y)} \langle x_1, y \rangle = \sigma_C(y)$.

Assume that $\varkappa_{N_C}^{(n)}(x, y) = \iota_C(x) + \sigma_C(y) + \iota_{R(N_C)}(y)$, for some integer $n \geq 2$. From 73

$$\varkappa_{N_C}^{(n+1)}(x, y) = \sigma_C(y) + \iota_{R(N_C)}(y) + \inf_{u \in C, v \in N_C(x)} \langle x - u, v \rangle = \iota_C(x) + \sigma_C(y) + \iota_{R(N_C)}(y),$$

since, for $x \in C$, $\inf_{u \in C, v \in N_C(x)} \langle x - u, v \rangle = 0$. The inductive step is complete.

Hence (76) holds for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 2$ and also for $n = \infty$.

Assume that N_C is maximal cyclically monotone. According to Theorem 35,

$$\iota_{C^\#} = \iota_C^\cup = \iota_C^\circ = \iota_C,$$

that is, $C^\# = C$. Hence, for every $x \in X$, $y \in Y$, we have $\varphi_{N_C}^{(1)}(x, y) = \iota_C(x) + \sigma_C(y) \geq \langle x, y \rangle$. We conclude that N_C is maximal monotone with the aid of Theorem 24 (ii). ■

Lemma 40 *Let (H, \cdot) be a Hilbert space with induced norm $\|\cdot\|$. For every $v \in H$,*

$$\sup_{u \in H} \{ \alpha \|u\|^2 \pm u \cdot v \} = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{4\alpha} \|v\|^2, & \alpha < 0 \\ \iota_{\{0\}}(v), & \alpha = 0 \\ \infty, & \alpha > 0 \end{cases}, \quad \inf_{u \in H} \{ \alpha \|u\|^2 \pm u \cdot v \} = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{4\alpha} \|v\|^2, & \alpha > 0 \\ -\iota_{\{0\}}(v), & \alpha = 0 \\ -\infty, & \alpha < 0 \end{cases}. \quad (77)$$

Proof. Note that, for $a \neq 0$, $u, v \in H$, we have $a\|u\|^2 \pm u \cdot v = a\|u \pm \frac{1}{2a}v\|^2 - \frac{1}{4a}\|v\|^2$. ■

Example 41 (IDENTITY) Let (H, \cdot) be a Hilbert space with induced norm $\|\cdot\|$ and let $I : H \rightarrow H$, $I(x) = x$. For every $x, y \in H$

$$\langle \varphi_I^{(n)}(x, y) = \frac{n}{2(n+1)}\|x - y\|^2 + x \cdot y, \quad n \geq 1; \quad (78)$$

$$\langle \psi_I^{(1)}(x, y) = \langle \chi_I^{(1)} = c_I, \quad \langle \psi_I^{(n)}(x, y) = \langle \chi_I^{(n)}(x, y) = \frac{n}{2(n-1)}\|x - y\|^2 + x \cdot y, \quad n \geq 2; \quad (79)$$

$$\langle \varphi_I^{(\infty)}(x, y) = \langle \chi_I^{(\infty)}(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}\|x\|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|y\|^2; \quad (80)$$

and I is cyclically and maximal monotone.

Proof. For (78) we use induction on $n \geq 1$. From (77), for every $x, y \in H$

$$\langle \varphi_I^{(1)}(x, y) = \sup_{u \in H} \{(x - u) \cdot u + u \cdot y\} = \sup_{u \in H} \{-\|u\|^2 + u \cdot (x + y)\} = \frac{1}{4}\|x + y\|^2 = \frac{1}{4}\|x - y\|^2 + x \cdot y.$$

Assume that, for every $x, y \in H$, $\langle \varphi_I^{(n)}(x, y) = \frac{n}{2(n+1)}\|x - y\|^2 + x \cdot y$, for some finite $n \geq 1$. From 74, (77) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi_I^{(n+1)}(x, y) &= \sup_{w \in H} \left\{ \frac{n}{2(n+1)}\|w - y\|^2 + w \cdot y + (x - w) \cdot w \right\} \\ &= \sup_{u \in H} \left\{ \frac{n}{2(n+1)}\|u\|^2 + (y + u) \cdot y + (x - y - u) \cdot (y + u) \right\} \\ &= \sup_{u \in H} \left\{ -\frac{n+2}{2(n+1)}\|u\|^2 + u \cdot (x - y) \right\} + x \cdot y = \frac{n+1}{2(n+2)}\|x - y\|^2 + x \cdot y. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the inductive step and proves (78).

We proceed similarly to prove, by induction on $n \geq 2$, that

$$\langle \chi_I^{(n)}(x, y) = \frac{n}{2(n-1)}\|x - y\|^2 + x \cdot y. \quad (81)$$

By a direct computation $\langle \chi_I^{(2)}(x, y) = \|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2 - x \cdot y = \|x - y\|^2 + x \cdot y$.

Assume that (81) is true for some finite integer $n \geq 2$. Use (73), (77) to get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \chi_I^{(n+1)}(x, y) &= \inf_{w \in H} \left\{ \frac{n}{2(n-1)}\|w - y\|^2 + w \cdot (y - x) + \|x\|^2 \right\} \\ &= \inf_{u \in H} \left\{ \frac{n}{2(n-1)}\|u\|^2 + u \cdot (y - x) \right\} + \|x - y\|^2 + x \cdot y \\ &= -\frac{n-1}{2n}\|x - y\|^2 + \|x - y\|^2 + x \cdot y = \frac{n+1}{2n}\|x - y\|^2 + x \cdot y. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\langle \chi_I^{(n)} \in \Gamma(H)$, e.g. because its Gâteaux derivative $\langle \nabla \chi_I^{(n)}(x, y) = \frac{1}{(n-1)}(nx - y, ny - x)$, $x, y \in H$, is linear and monotone with

$$\langle \langle \nabla \chi_I^{(n)}(x, y), (x, y) \rangle \rangle_{H \times H} = \|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2 + \frac{1}{n-1}\|x - y\|^2 \geq 0, \quad x, y \in H,$$

Hence $\langle \psi_I^{(n)} = \langle \chi_I^{(n)}$ and the proof of (79) is complete. ■

Example 42 (ROTATION) Let R_θ be the counterclockwise vector rotation in \mathbb{R}^2 by an angle of $\theta \in [-\pi, \pi]$, i.e., $R_\theta : \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$,

$$R_\theta(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta) & -\sin(\theta) \\ \sin(\theta) & \cos(\theta) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \cos \theta - x_2 \sin \theta \\ x_1 \sin \theta + x_2 \cos \theta \end{pmatrix}, \quad x = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^2$

$$\langle^n \varphi_{R_\theta}(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2(n+1)} \|y - R_\theta(x)\|^2 + x \cdot y, & \theta = 0 \\ \frac{\sin(n\theta)}{2 \sin((n+1)\theta)} \|y - R_\theta(x)\|^2 + x \cdot y, & \theta \in (-\frac{\pi}{n+1}, \frac{\pi}{n+1}) \setminus \{0\} \\ c_{R_\theta}(x, y), & \theta = \pm \frac{\pi}{n+1} \\ +\infty, & \theta \in [-\pi, -\frac{\pi}{n+1}) \cup (\frac{\pi}{n+1}, \pi] \end{cases}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 1; \quad (82)$$

$$\langle^\infty \varphi_{R_\theta}(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \|x\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|y\|^2, & \theta = 0 \\ +\infty, & \theta \in [-\pi, \pi] \setminus \{0\} \end{cases}; \quad (83)$$

$$\langle^2 \mathcal{Y}_{R_\theta}(x, y) = \cos \theta \|y - R_\theta(x)\|^2 + x \cdot y, \quad \theta \in [-\pi, \pi]; \quad (84)$$

$$\langle^n \mathcal{Y}_{R_\theta}(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2(n-1)} \|y - R_\theta(x)\|^2 + x \cdot y, & \theta = 0 \\ \frac{\sin(n\theta)}{2 \sin((n-1)\theta)} \|y - R_\theta(x)\|^2 + x \cdot y, & \theta \in (-\frac{\pi}{n-1}, \frac{\pi}{n-1}) \setminus \{0\} \\ c - \iota_{\{y - R_\theta(x)\}}, & \theta = \pm \frac{\pi}{n-1} \\ -\infty, & \theta \in [-\pi, -\frac{\pi}{n-1}) \cup (\frac{\pi}{n-1}, \pi] \end{cases}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geq 3; \quad (85)$$

$$\langle^\infty \mathcal{Y}_{R_\theta}(x, y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \|x\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|y\|^2, & \theta = 0 \\ -\infty, & \theta \in [-\pi, \pi] \setminus \{0\} \end{cases}. \quad (86)$$

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 2$, R_θ is (maximal) n -monotone iff $\theta \in [-\frac{\pi}{n}, \frac{\pi}{n}]$; R_θ is n -monotone and not $(n+1)$ -monotone iff $\theta \in [-\frac{\pi}{n}, -\frac{\pi}{n+1}) \cup (\frac{\pi}{n+1}, \frac{\pi}{n}]$; and R_θ is (maximal) cyclically monotone iff $\theta = 0$.

Proof. We repeatedly use the fact that both the norm and inner product in \mathbb{R}^2 are invariant under any rotation, namely, $\|R_\theta(x)\| = \|x\|$ and $R_\theta(x) \cdot R_\theta(y) = x \cdot y$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^2$.

By a direct computation $x \cdot R_\theta(x) = \cos \theta \|x\|^2$ and so, together with $R_\theta^* = R_\theta^{-1} = R_{-\theta}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle^2 \mathcal{Y}_{R_\theta}(x, y) &= R_{-\theta}(y) \cdot y + (x - R_{-\theta}(y)) \cdot R_\theta(x) \\ &= (y - R_\theta(x)) \cdot R_\theta(y - R_\theta(x)) + x \cdot y = \cos \theta \|y - R_\theta(x)\|^2 + x \cdot y \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, according to Theorem 6, R_θ is (2-)monotone iff $\theta \in [-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$; in this case, it is maximal (2-)monotone because it is single-valued and defined on \mathbb{R}^2 .

Alternatively, R_θ is maximal monotone for $\theta \in [-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$, since $c_{R_\theta} \in \Gamma(\mathbb{R}^2)$, which implies that $\langle^1 \psi_{R_\theta} = \langle^1 \mathcal{Y}_{R_\theta} = c_{R_\theta} \geq c$. Hence R_θ is 2-representable, due to $\text{Graph } R_\theta = [\psi_{R_\theta} = c]$ and $\langle^1 \psi_{R_\theta} \geq c$ (see Theorem 26). In addition, from (82), $\langle^1 \varphi_{R_\theta} \geq c$. Theorem 24 completes the argument.

We restrict ourselves to $\theta \in (0, \pi]$, since $R_0 = I$ is covered in Example 41 and we can extend our conclusions to $\theta \in [-\pi, 0)$ via $R_{-\theta} = R_\theta^{-1} = R_\theta^*$ and $\overset{\langle n \rangle}{\chi}_{R_\theta}(x, y) = \overset{\langle n \rangle}{\chi}_{R_{-\theta}}(y, x)$,
 $\overset{\langle n \rangle}{\chi}_{R_\theta}(x, y) = \overset{\langle n \rangle}{\chi}_{R_{-\theta}}(y, x)$.

According to (73), (77)

$$\begin{aligned}
\overset{\langle 3 \rangle}{\chi}_{R_\theta}(x, y) &= \inf_{v \in \mathbb{R}^2} \left\{ \overset{\langle 2 \rangle}{\chi}_{R_\theta}(x, v) + R_\theta^{-1}(y) \cdot (y - v) \right\} \\
&= \inf_{v \in \mathbb{R}^2} \left\{ \cos \theta \|v - R_\theta(x)\|^2 + v \cdot (x - R_\theta^{-1}(y)) + y \cdot R_\theta(y) \right\} \\
&= \inf_{u \in \mathbb{R}^2} \left\{ \cos \theta \|u\|^2 + u \cdot (x - R_\theta^{-1}(y)) \right\} + \overset{\langle 2 \rangle}{\chi}_{R_\theta}(x, y) \\
&= \inf_{u \in \mathbb{R}^2} \left\{ \cos \theta \|u\|^2 + u \cdot (x - R_\theta^{-1}(y)) \right\} + \cos \theta \|y - R_\theta(x)\|^2 + x \cdot y \\
&= \begin{cases} (\cos \theta - \frac{1}{4 \cos \theta}) \|y - R_\theta(x)\|^2 + x \cdot y, & \cos \theta > 0 \\ c - \iota_{\{y - R_\theta(x)\}}, & \cos \theta = 0 \\ -\infty & \cos \theta < 0 \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} \frac{\sin(3\theta)}{2 \sin(2\theta)} \|y - R_\theta(x)\|^2 + x \cdot y, & \theta \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2}) \\ c - \iota_{\{y - R_\theta(x)\}}, & \theta = \frac{\pi}{2} \\ -\infty & \theta \in (\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi] \end{cases},
\end{aligned}$$

after we used the identity $\cos \theta - \frac{1}{4 \cos \theta} = \frac{\sin(3\theta)}{2 \sin(2\theta)}$. Hence the base case for an induction argument on $n \geq 3$ used for (85) is complete.

Assume that (85) holds for some finite integer $n \geq 3$. From (73)

$$\overset{\langle n+1 \rangle}{\chi}_{R_\theta}(x, y) = \inf_{v \in \mathbb{R}^2} \left\{ \overset{\langle n \rangle}{\chi}_T(x, v) + R_\theta^{-1}(y) \cdot (y - v) \right\};$$

from which $\overset{\langle n+1 \rangle}{\chi}_{R_\theta}(x, y) = -\infty$, whenever $\theta \in [\frac{\pi}{n-1}, \pi]$.

Therefore, for $\theta \in (0, \frac{\pi}{n-1})$

$$\begin{aligned}
\overset{\langle n+1 \rangle}{\chi}_{R_\theta}(x, y) &= \inf_{v \in \mathbb{R}^2} \left\{ \frac{\sin(n\theta)}{2 \sin((n-1)\theta)} \|v - R_\theta(x)\|^2 + v \cdot (x - R_\theta^{-1}(y)) + y \cdot R_\theta(y) \right\} \\
&= \inf_{u \in \mathbb{R}^2} \left\{ \frac{\sin(n\theta)}{2 \sin((n-1)\theta)} \|u\|^2 + u \cdot (x - R_\theta^{-1}(y)) \right\} + \overset{\langle 2 \rangle}{\chi}_{R_\theta}(x, y) \\
&= \begin{cases} (\cos \theta - \frac{\sin((n-1)\theta)}{2 \sin(n\theta)}) \|y - R_\theta(x)\|^2 + x \cdot y, & \frac{\sin(n\theta)}{\sin((n-1)\theta)} > 0 \\ c - \iota_{\{y - R_\theta(x)\}}, & \frac{\sin(n\theta)}{\sin((n-1)\theta)} = 0 \\ -\infty & \frac{\sin(n\theta)}{\sin((n-1)\theta)} < 0 \end{cases} \\
&= \begin{cases} \frac{\sin((n+1)\theta)}{2 \sin(n\theta)} \|y - R_\theta(x)\|^2 + x \cdot y, & \theta \in (0, \frac{\pi}{n}) \\ c - \iota_{\{y - R_\theta(x)\}}, & \theta = \frac{\pi}{n} \\ -\infty & \theta \in (\frac{\pi}{n}, \frac{\pi}{n-1}) \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

where the identity $\cos \theta - \frac{\sin((n-1)\theta)}{2 \sin(n\theta)} = \frac{\sin((n+1)\theta)}{2 \sin(n\theta)}$ is used and, since $\theta \in (0, \frac{\pi}{n-1})$, i.e., $\sin((n-1)\theta) > 0$, we know that the sign of $\frac{\sin(n\theta)}{\sin((n-1)\theta)}$ is given by the sign of $\sin(n\theta)$.

Hence (85) holds for every finite integer $n \geq 3$.

We establish (82) by applying a similar argument. For $n = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{R_\theta}^{(1)}(x, y) &= \sup_{u \in \mathbb{R}^2} \{(x - u) \cdot R_\theta(u) + u \cdot y\} = \sup_{u \in \mathbb{R}^2} \{-\cos \theta \|u\|^2 + u \cdot (y + R_\theta^*(x))\} \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4 \cos \theta} \|y + R_\theta^*(x)\|^2, & \cos \theta > 0 \\ \iota_{\{0\}}(y + R_\theta^*(x)), & \cos \theta = 0 \\ +\infty, & \cos \theta < 0 \end{cases} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4 \cos \theta} \|y - R_\theta(x)\|^2 + x \cdot y, & \theta \in (0, \frac{\pi}{2}) \\ c_{R_{\frac{\pi}{2}}}(x, y), & \theta = \frac{\pi}{2} \\ +\infty, & \theta \in (\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi] \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

since $R_\theta(x) + R_\theta^*(x) = (2 \cos \theta)x$, $R_{\frac{\pi}{2}}^* = R_{-\frac{\pi}{2}} = -R_{\frac{\pi}{2}}$, and $\frac{1}{4 \cos \theta} = \frac{\sin \theta}{2 \sin(2\theta)}$.

Assume that (82) holds for a finite integer $n \geq 1$. From (73)

$$\varphi_{R_\theta}^{(n+1)}(x, y) = \sup_{u \in \mathbb{R}^2} \left\{ \varphi_{R_\theta}^{(n)}(u, y) + (x - u) \cdot R_\theta(u) \right\};$$

from which $\varphi_{R_\theta}^{(n+1)}(x, y) = +\infty$, whenever $\theta \in [\frac{\pi}{n+1}, \pi]$.

For $\theta \in (0, \frac{\pi}{n+1})$, $\sin((n+1)\theta) > 0$. According to (73), (77) and the identity

$$\frac{\sin(n\theta)}{2 \sin((n+1)\theta)} - \cos \theta = -\frac{\sin((n+2)\theta)}{2 \sin((n+1)\theta)}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{R_\theta}^{(n+1)}(x, y) &= \sup_{u \in \mathbb{R}^2} \left\{ \frac{\sin(n\theta)}{2 \sin((n+1)\theta)} \|R_\theta(u) - R_\theta(x)\|^2 + x \cdot R_\theta(u) + u \cdot (y - R_\theta(u)) \right\} \\ &= \sup_{u \in \mathbb{R}^2} \left\{ \frac{\sin(n\theta)}{2 \sin((n+1)\theta)} \|u - x\|^2 + x \cdot R_\theta(u) + u \cdot (y - R_\theta(u)) \right\} \\ &= \sup_{v \in \mathbb{R}^2} \left\{ \frac{\sin(n\theta)}{2 \sin((n+1)\theta)} \|v\|^2 - v \cdot R_\theta(v) + v \cdot (y - R_\theta(x)) + x \cdot y \right\} \\ &= \sup_{v \in \mathbb{R}^2} \left\{ \left(\frac{\sin(n\theta)}{2 \sin((n+1)\theta)} - \cos \theta \right) \|v\|^2 + v \cdot (y - R_\theta(x)) \right\} + x \cdot y \\ &= \sup_{v \in \mathbb{R}^2} \left\{ -\frac{\sin((n+2)\theta)}{2 \sin((n+1)\theta)} \|v\|^2 + v \cdot (y - R_\theta(x)) \right\} + x \cdot y \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{\sin((n+1)\theta)}{2 \sin((n+2)\theta)} \|y - R_\theta(x)\|^2 + x \cdot y, & \sin((n+2)\theta) > 0 \\ \iota_{\{0\}}(y - R_\theta(x)) + x \cdot y, & \sin((n+2)\theta) = 0 \\ +\infty, & \sin((n+2)\theta) < 0 \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{\sin((n+1)\theta)}{2 \sin((n+2)\theta)} \|y - R_\theta(x)\|^2 + x \cdot y, & \theta \in (0, \frac{\pi}{n+2}) \\ c_{R_\theta}(x, y), & \theta = \frac{\pi}{n+2} \\ +\infty, & \theta \in (\frac{\pi}{n+2}, \frac{\pi}{n+1}) \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and the inductive step is complete. Therefore (82) is true.

Note that, from (85), for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \geq 3$, R_θ is n -monotone iff $\mathcal{K}_{R_\theta}^{(n)} \geq c$ which comes to $\theta \in (-\frac{\pi}{n-1}, \frac{\pi}{n-1})$ and either $\theta = 0$ or $\sin(n\theta) > 0$, that is, $\theta \in [-\frac{\pi}{n}, \frac{\pi}{n}]$. ■

A subset A of $Z = X \times Y$ is a *double-cone* if $\mathbb{R}A = A$, i.e., for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $z \in A$ we have $\lambda z \in A$. A subset A of Z is called *non-negative* if, for every $z \in A$, $c(z) \geq 0$, and *skew* if, for every $z \in A$, $c(z) = 0$.

For $A \subset Z$ we set

$$\begin{aligned} \neg A &:= \{z = (x, y) \in Z \mid \neg z := (x, -y) \in A\}, \\ A^\perp &:= \{z' \in Z \mid \forall z \in A, z \cdot z' = 0\}, \text{ and} \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\neg A \neq -A := (-1)A$, $A^\perp = (\text{cl}_{\sigma(Z, Z)} \text{lin} A)^\perp$, $A^{\perp\perp} = \text{cl}_{\sigma(Z, Z)} \text{lin} A$, A^\perp is a $\sigma(Z, Z)$ -closed linear subspace of Z , and $\neg(A^\perp) = (\neg A)^\perp$.

Example 43 (SKEW DOUBLE-CONE) Let $(X, Y, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a dual system and let $D \subset Z := X \times Y$ be a skew double-cone. Then

(i)

$$\mathcal{K}_D^{(1)} = \iota_D, \quad \varphi_D^{(1)} = \iota_{D^\perp}, \quad \psi_D^{(1)} = \iota_{D^{\perp\perp}}, \quad (87)$$

D is monotone iff $D \subset D^\perp$; D is representable iff $D = D^{\perp\perp}$ iff D is a $\sigma(Z, Z)$ -closed linear subspace of Z ; D is maximal monotone iff D is a $\sigma(Z, Z)$ -closed linear subspace of Z and $\neg D^\perp$ is monotone.

(ii)

$$\varphi_D^{(2)}(x, y) = \sup_{u \in \text{Pr}_X(D)} \iota_{D^\perp}(u, y) + \iota_{(\text{Pr}_Y(D))^\perp}(x), \quad (x, y) \in Z; \quad (88)$$

where $\text{Pr}_X(x, y) := x$ and $\text{Pr}_Y(x, y) := y$, $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ are the projections of $X \times Y$ onto X and Y , respectively.

(iii) D is 3-monotone iff $\text{Pr}_X(D) \subset (\text{Pr}_Y(D))^\perp$ iff, for every $(x, y) \in \text{Pr}_X(D) \times \text{Pr}_Y(D)$, $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$ iff $D \subset S \times S^\perp$, for some S a $\sigma(X, Y)$ -closed linear subspace of X iff D is cyclically monotone.

(iv) D is maximal 3-monotone iff $D = S \times S^\perp$, for some S a $\sigma(X, Y)$ -closed linear subspace of X iff D is maximal cyclically monotone. In this case $\varphi_D^{(2)} = \varphi_D^{(\infty)} = \iota_{S \times S^\perp}$.

Proof. (i) Since D is a skew double-cone, we have $\mathcal{K}_D^{(1)} = c_D = \iota_D$, and

$$\varphi_D^{(1)}(z) = \sup\{z \cdot z' - c(z') \mid z' \in D\} = \sup\{z \cdot z' \mid z' \in D\} = \iota_{D^\perp}(z), \quad z \in Z.$$

Because D^\perp is a linear subspace of Z we get $\psi_D^{(1)} = (\varphi_D^{(1)})^\square = \iota_{D^{\perp\perp}} = \sigma_{D^\perp} = \iota_{D^{\perp\perp}}$.

According to Theorem 7, D is monotone iff $\iota_{D^\perp} = \varphi_D^{(1)} \leq \mathcal{K}_D^{(1)} = \iota_D$ iff $D \subset D^\perp$.

According to Theorem 26, D is representable iff $\overset{(1)}{\psi}_D = \iota_{D^{\perp\perp}} \geq c$ and $D = [\iota_{D^{\perp\perp}} = c]$. Clearly, since D is skew, if $D = D^{\perp\perp}$ then D is representable.

Conversely, assume that D is representable, that is, for every $z \in L := D^{\perp\perp} = \text{cl}_{\sigma(Z,Z)} \text{lin } D$, we have $c(z) \leq 0$, and $D = [\iota_L = c]$. It suffices to prove that L is skew, since, in that case, $[\iota_L = c] = L$. To this end note that, because D is skew monotone (recall (54)), for every $z, w \in D$, we have

$$c(z - w) = c(z) + c(w) + z \cdot w = z \cdot w \geq 0.$$

Since $-z \in D$, we know that $z \cdot w = 0$. Therefore, because $\neg D$ is skew, for every $u, v \in \neg D$

$$c(u - v) = c(u) + c(v) - u \cdot v = \neg u \cdot \neg v = 0,$$

in particular, $\neg D$ is a skew monotone double-cone. According to (87) and Theorem 11, $\overset{(1)}{\psi}_{\neg D} = \iota_{(\neg D)^{\perp\perp}} = \iota_{\neg L} \geq c$, which comes to, for every $z \in \neg L$, $c(z) \leq 0$. Hence, for every $z \in L$, $c(z) = -c(\neg z) \geq 0$, and so, L is skew.

According to Theorem 24, D is maximal monotone iff $\overset{(1)}{\varphi}_D = \iota_{D^\perp} \geq c$ and D is representable. Because D^\perp is linear, $\iota_{D^\perp} \geq c$ is equivalent to $\neg D^\perp$ is monotone.

(ii) From (74) and the fact that D is a skew double-cone, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \overset{(2)}{\varphi}_D(x, y) &= \sup_{(u,v) \in D} \{ \iota_{D^\perp}(u, y) + \langle x - u, v \rangle \} = \sup_{(u,v) \in D} \{ \iota_{D^\perp}(u, y) + \langle x, v \rangle \} \\ &= \sup_{u \in \text{Pr}_X(D)} \iota_{D^\perp}(u, y) + \sigma_{\text{Pr}_Y(D)}(x) = \sup_{u \in \text{Pr}_X(D)} \iota_{D^\perp}(u, y) + \iota_{(\text{Pr}_Y(D))^\perp}(x), \quad (x, y) \in Z, \end{aligned}$$

because $\text{Pr}_X(D)$ is a double-cone.

(iii) According to Theorem 7, D is 3-monotone iff $\overset{(2)}{\varphi}_D \leq \overset{(1)}{\chi}_D = \iota_D$ iff, for every $z \in D$, $\overset{(2)}{\varphi}_D \leq 0$.

If $\text{Pr}_X(D) \subset (\text{Pr}_Y(D))^\perp$, i.e., for every $(x, y) \in \text{Pr}_X(D) \times \text{Pr}_Y(D)$, $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$, then, for every $(x, y) \in D$, $u \in \text{Pr}_X(D)$, we have $(u, y) \in D^\perp$ and $x \in \text{Pr}_X(D) \subset (\text{Pr}_Y(D))^\perp$. Hence $\overset{(2)}{\varphi}_D(x, y) = 0$, that is, D is 3-monotone.

Conversely, assume that D is 3-monotone, i.e., for every $z = (x, y) \in D$, $\overset{(2)}{\varphi}_D \leq 0$. Since $\iota_{D^\perp} \geq 0$, in particular, that yields $\iota_{(\text{Pr}_Y(D))^\perp}(x) \leq 0$, namely, $x \in (\text{Pr}_Y(D))^\perp$. We proved $\text{Pr}_X(D) \subset (\text{Pr}_Y(D))^\perp$.

In this case $D \subset S \times S^\perp = \text{Graph}(\partial \iota_S)$ is cyclically monotone, where $S = \text{Pr}_X(D)^{\perp\perp}$ is linear $\sigma(X, Y)$ -closed.

(iv) The direct implications are plain due to (i), (iii). Conversely, assume that D is maximal ∞ -monotone. In particular, D is 3-monotone. As previously seen in (iii), $D \subset S \times S^\perp$, where $S = \text{Pr}_X(D)^{\perp\perp}$. Since $S \times S^\perp$ is ∞ -monotone, we infer that $D = S \times S^\perp = \text{Graph}(\partial \iota_S) = D^\perp$ is maximal ∞ -monotone. But, according to (88) and Theorem 35,

$$\overset{(2)}{\varphi}_{S \times S^\perp}(x, y) = \iota_S(x) + \iota_{S^\perp}(y) = \overset{(\infty)}{\varphi}_{S \times S^\perp}(x, y), \quad (x, y) \in Z.$$

We conclude that D is maximal 3-monotone with the aid of Theorem 24, after we note that $D = [\overset{(2)}{\varphi}_D = c]$ and $\overset{(2)}{\varphi} \geq c$. ■

Corollary 44 *Let $(X, Y, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a dual system and let $A : X \rightarrow Y$ be a single-valued linear skew operator, that is, for every $x \in X$, $\langle x, A(x) \rangle = 0$. Then*

(i) *A is maximal monotone iff $\text{Graph } A$ is $\sigma(Z, Z)$ -closed in Z and $A^* : X \rightrightarrows Y$ is monotone, where the adjoint A^* is defined by $\text{Graph}(A^*) = \neg(\text{Graph } A)^\perp$, or*

$$(x, y) \in \text{Graph}(A^*) \Leftrightarrow \forall u \in X, \langle x, Au \rangle = \langle u, y \rangle. \quad (89)$$

(ii) *A is (maximal) 3-monotone iff A is (maximal) cyclically monotone iff $R(A) \subset (=)X^\perp$.*

Proof. We use Example 43 for $D = \text{Graph } A$. For (ii) note that $D(A) = \text{Pr}_X(D) = X$. ■

References

- [1] Edgar Asplund. A monotone convergence theorem for sequences of nonlinear mappings. In *Nonlinear Functional Analysis (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. XVIII, Part 1, Chicago, Ill., 1968)*, pages 1–9. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1970.
- [2] Sedi Bartz, Heinz H. Bauschke, Jonathan M. Borwein, Simeon Reich, and Xianfu Wang. Fitzpatrick functions, cyclic monotonicity and Rockafellar’s antiderivative. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 66(5):1198–1223, 2007.
- [3] Sedi Bartz and Simeon Reich. Minimal antiderivatives and monotonicity. 74(1):59–66.
- [4] Heinz H. Bauschke, Yves Lucet, and Xianfu Wang. Primal-dual symmetric intrinsic methods for finding antiderivatives of cyclically monotone operators. *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, 46(6):2031–2051, 2007.
- [5] Heinz H. Bauschke, D. Alexander McLaren, and Hristo S. Sendov. Fitzpatrick functions: inequalities, examples, and remarks on a problem by S. Fitzpatrick. *J. Convex Anal.*, 13(3-4):499–523, 2006.
- [6] Simon Fitzpatrick. Representing monotone operators by convex functions. In *Workshop/Miniconference on Functional Analysis and Optimization (Canberra, 1988)*, volume 20 of *Proc. Centre Math. Anal. Austral. Nat. Univ.*, pages 59–65. Austral. Nat. Univ., Canberra, 1988.
- [7] R. T. Rockafellar. Characterization of the subdifferentials of convex functions. *Pacific J. Math.*, 17:497–510, 1966.
- [8] M. D. Voisei. Characterizations and continuity properties for maximal monotone operators with non-empty domain interior. 391(1):119–138.

- [9] M. D. Voisei. A maximality theorem for the sum of maximal monotone operators in non-reflexive Banach spaces. *Math. Sci. Res. J.*, 10(2):36–41, 2006.
- [10] M. D. Voisei. Calculus rules for maximal monotone operators in general Banach spaces. *J. Convex Anal.*, 15(1):73–85, 2008.
- [11] M. D. Voisei. The sum and chain rules for maximal monotone operators. *Set-Valued Anal.*, 16(4):461–476, 2008.
- [12] M. D. Voisei. Characterizations and continuity properties for maximal monotone operators with non-empty domain interior. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 391:119–138, 2012.
- [13] M. D. Voisei. Location, Identification, and Representability of Monotone Operators in Locally Convex Spaces. *Set-Valued Var. Anal.*, 27(1):151–168, 2019.
- [14] M. D. Voisei. Maximal monotone normal cones in locally convex spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 476(2):811–818, 2019.
- [15] M. D. Voisei and C. Zălinescu. Strongly-representable monotone operators. *J. Convex Anal.*, 16(3-4):1011–1033, 2009.
- [16] M. D. Voisei and C. Zălinescu. Linear monotone subspaces of locally convex spaces. *Set-Valued Var. Anal.*, 18(1):29–55, 2010.
- [17] M. D. Voisei and C. Zălinescu. Maximal monotonicity criteria for the composition and the sum under weak interiority conditions. *Math. Program.*, 123(1, Ser. B):265–283, 2010.
- [18] Mircea Dan Voisei. Extension theorems for k -monotone operators. *Stud. Cercet. Științ. Ser. Mat. Univ. Bacău*, (9):235–242 (2001), 1999.
- [19] C. Zălinescu. *Convex analysis in general vector spaces*. World Scientific Publishing Co. Inc., River Edge, NJ, 2002.