

GENERALIZED REYNOLDS ALGEBRAS FROM VOLTERRA INTEGRALS AND THEIR FREE CONSTRUCTION BY COMPLETE SHUFFLE PRODUCT

LI GUO, RICHARD GUSTAVSON, AND YUNNAN LI

ABSTRACT. This paper introduces algebraic structures for Volterra integral operators with separable kernels, in the style of differential algebra for derivations and Rota-Baxter algebra for operators with kernels dependent solely on a dummy variable. We demonstrate that these operators satisfy a generalization of the algebraic identity defining the classical Reynolds operator, which is rooted in Reynolds's influential work on fluid mechanics. To study Volterra integral operators and their integral equations through this algebraic lens, particularly in providing a general form of these integral equations, we construct free objects in the category of algebras equipped with generalized Reynolds operators and the associated differential operators, termed differential Reynolds algebras. Due to the cyclic nature of the Reynolds identity, the natural rewriting rule derived from it does not terminate. To address this challenge, we develop a completion for the underlying space, where a complete shuffle product is defined for the free objects. We also include examples and applications related to Volterra integral equations.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
1.1. Algebraic operators from analysis	2
1.2. Volterra operators and Reynolds operators	3
1.3. Free objects for differential Reynolds algebras	4
1.4. Outline of the paper	4
2. Algebraic structures from Volterra integral equations	5
2.1. Algebraically defined operators	5
2.2. Realizations from integral operators	8
2.3. Properties of the algebraic operators	10
3. Free commutative differential Reynolds algebras	12
3.1. The categories of weighted Reynolds algebras and differential Reynolds algebras	12
3.2. The construction of free commutative weighted Reynolds algebras and free differential Reynolds algebras	16
References	28

1. INTRODUCTION

This paper studies the algebraic linear identities satisfied by separable Volterra integral operators. Motivated by giving an algebraic framework for the integral equations of such integral operators, the free objects in the corresponding algebraic categories are constructed by completing the shuffle product. More precisely, this paper provides

Date: November 6, 2024.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 45D05, 17B38, 12H05, 16S10, 47G20, 47A62.

Key words and phrases. Volterra integral equation, Volterra operator, Reynolds algebra, Rota-Baxter algebra, differential algebra, completion, shuffle product, free object.

- (i) a precise algebraic framework for studying separable Volterra integral equations via differential Reynolds algebras. This generalization of the standard Reynolds algebra allows for an algebraic version of the separable Volterra integral operator that takes into account the kernel of the integral, as well as a modified differential operator that together produce a generalized algebraic version of the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus;
- (ii) the construction of the free objects in the category of commutative differential Reynolds algebras. Due to the recursive nature of the Reynolds identity, this requires taking the completion of the underlying space, with corresponding complete shuffle product. In the case of separable Volterra integral equations, this completion is made explicit as the space of formal power series with corresponding integral and differential operators.

1.1. Algebraic operators from analysis. A remarkable phenomenon in the development of mathematics is that interesting algebraic operators have mostly been introduced from analytic studies, providing examples, stimulations and motivations to the general study of algebraic operators that satisfy operator identities. In the other direction, the study of these algebraic operators provides a general framework to understand the analytic phenomena.

A case study is the relationship between differential algebras and differential equations. Almost a century ago, from his study of differential equations, Ritt [40] introduced the algebraic notion of **differential operators**, by extracting the Leibniz rule

$$d(xy) = d(x)y + xd(y)$$

satisfied by the derivation in analysis. In the following decades, the theory has been fully developed to include branches such as differential Galois theory, differential algebraic geometry and differential algebraic groups [30, 35], with broad connections to areas in mathematics and mathematical physics [16, 31, 51]. On the other hand, differential algebra has powerful applications back to differential equations as shown already in the work of Ritt. On a concrete and fundamental level, the construction of free differential algebras provides a uniform framework to consider all differential equations, leading to such developments as differential decomposition algorithms to help solve systems of algebraic differential equations and solutions to the parameter identifiability problem for input-output equations [7, 33].

Algebraic abstractions for integral operators have appeared in various forms due to the different notions of integrations. The most well-known and also the simplest is the **Rota-Baxter operator of weight zero**:

$$P(x)P(y) = P(xP(y)) + P(P(x)y),$$

modeled after the integration by parts formula for the simple integral operator $I(f)(x) := \int_a^x f(t) dt$. In general, a **Rota-Baxter operator of weight λ** , defined by

$$P(x)P(y) = P(xP(y)) + P(P(x)y) + \lambda P(xy)$$

for a fixed scalar λ , arose from the probability study of G. Baxter [4] in 1960 and pursued further by Atkinson, Cartier, and especially Rota, in the following decades [2, 9, 45]. The algebraic abstraction with the varying weight leads to broad applications in combinatorics, number theory and quantum field theory [14, 19, 44].

The construction of free Rota-Baxter algebras of weight zero by tensor powers and the shuffle product [21, 45] underlies the structure and multiplication of iterated integrals with simple kernels (depending only on the dummy variable; see Definition 2.6), which can be tracked back to the work of K.-T. Chen [10, 11] in differential geometry and have found broad applications from

multiple zeta values and Hodge theory to rough paths [15, 26, 28, 37]. The free objects also give a general form of Volterra equations with simple kernels [20].

Furthermore, the First Fundamental Theorem of Calculus abstracts to the notions of **differential Rota-Baxter algebras** and **integro-differential algebras**, applied to the algebraic study of boundary problems for linear ordinary differential equations [17, 23, 24, 27, 38, 41]. This algebraic theory has produced applications such as finding solutions to integro-differential equations and contributions to parameter estimation techniques for nonlinear dynamical systems [3, 6, 8, 36, 42].

1.2. **Volterra operators and Reynolds operators.** More general than the simple integral operator $I(f)(x) = \int_a^x f(t) dt$ is the **Volterra operator**

$$P_K(f)(x) := P_{K,a}(f)(x) := \int_a^x K(x, t)f(t) dt,$$

where $K(x, t)$ is a fixed function, called the kernel. Such operators and the Fredholm integral operators are the two primary types of integral operators and integral equations, named after the two founders of the theory of integral equations. See [47, 49, 50, 52] for the role the operators play in the general theory of integral equations, and [20] for a recent algebraic study of Volterra operators of which the present paper is a sequel. As noted in [20], the operator P_K satisfies the Rota-Baxter operator only in the very special case when $K(x, t)$ depends on t only.

Our *first goal* in this study is to establish the operator identity satisfied by P_K when $K(x, t)$ is separable in the sense that $K(x, t) = k(x)h(t)$ for single variable functions $k(x)$ and $h(t)$ (instead of the more general form of $\sum_i k_i(x)h_i(t)$). Then the Volterra operator is called **separable**. It is here that the Reynolds operator enters the picture.

The **Reynolds operator of weight λ** is defined by the operator identity

$$R(x)R(y) = R(xR(y)) + R(R(x)y) - \lambda R(R(x)R(y))$$

for a given scalar λ . The operator (when $\lambda = 1$) first arose from O. Reynolds' famous work on turbulence theory in fluid mechanics [39] and attracted interests of R. Birkhoff and G.-C. Rota [5, 43, 46] in the 1960s. See [1, 13, 48, 53, 54] for recent studies related to algebra, combinatorics and invariant theory.

An important example of the Reynolds operator is the separable Volterra operator P_K when $K(x, t) = e^{x-t}$ [43]. In this paper we generalize the notion of a Reynolds algebra to have more general weights, defined by the **weighted Reynolds identity**

$$(1) \quad R(f)R(g) = R(R(f)g) + R(fR(g)) - R(\lambda R(f)R(g))$$

for a fixed element λ in the underlying algebra, instead of only a scalar. We will show (Theorem 2.8) that, when $K(x, t)$ is separable, the Volterra operator P_K is a weighted Reynolds operator of weight λ . To determine the weight λ , associated to this weighted Reynolds operator is a weighted differential operator D_K which serves as the left inverse of the weighted Reynolds operator, generalizing the First Fundamental Theorem of Calculus. Then the weight λ is $D_K(1)$. The two operators D_K and P_K , together with their coupling, form the key notions of this paper, called the **unit-modified differential operator**, the **weighted Reynolds operator** and the **differential Reynolds operator** respectively (see Definition 2.2). As a general phenomenon, these operators also capture the algebraic properties of a differential or Rota-Baxter operator of weight zero after pre- or post-composed by a left multiplication operator by a fixed element (Theorem 2.5).

1.3. Free objects for differential Reynolds algebras. The *second goal* of this paper is to construct the free objects in the category of commutative differential Reynolds algebras. Here as in the case of differential equations and Volterra integral equations with simple kernels mentioned above, our motivation is to use the free objects to provide a general framework for Volterra integral equations with separable kernels and to express such equations in terms of iterated integrals.

Free objects in an algebraic category are often obtained by regarding the defining identity of the algebraic structure as a rewriting system from which the irreducible elements form a linear basis of the free object. This is the approach for the previously discussed differential, Rota-Baxter and several other operators.

However, since the left-hand side of the defining identity of the weighted Reynolds operator in Eq. (1) also appears on the right-hand side, the resulting cyclic rewriting system,

$$(2) \quad R(f)R(g) \mapsto R(R(f)g) + R(fR(g)) - R(\lambda R(f)R(g)),$$

by taking the left-hand side as the leading term, will not terminate. In previous studies of the free Reynolds algebras (in the classical case) [53, 54], a different rewriting system was extracted from the Reynolds identity in order to bypass this difficulty. In the more recent paper [20], the cyclic rewriting system in Eq. (2) is converted to a finite rewriting system at the presence of additional restrictions.

In this paper, this cyclic difficulty is tackled directly and is used as an opportunity to understand the topological aspect of rewriting systems [12, 29]. In order for the infinite process to make sense, we introduce a complete space for which the leading term reappears after the rewriting but with a higher order for the completion (Definition 3.1). Repeated applications of the rewriting lead to a formal series in the complete space. This can be regarded as an algebraic counterpart of the method of successive substitution in solving integral equations [50, §3.7].

In a similar context, complete Rota-Baxter algebras were studied in [22], generalizing the process of completing the polynomial algebra to the power series algebra. In contrast to the Rota-Baxter case, a complete topological vector space is indispensable to define the multiplication in the free objects for Reynolds algebras. Many years ago, Rota made the observation that the Reynolds operator is an “infinitesimal analog” of the Rota-Baxter operator [46]. In confirmation to this observation, we show that the shuffle product that defines the multiplication in a free Rota-Baxter algebra expands to a complete shuffle product that defines the multiplication in a free Reynolds algebra.

1.4. Outline of the paper. Here is a summary of the paper.

In Section 2, we first introduce a class of linear operators arising from Volterra operators with separable kernels, including the unit-modified differential operator, the weighted Reynolds operator and the differential Reynolds operator. The unit-modified differential operator is a left inverse of the weighted Reynolds operator with a special weight, generalizing the algebraic formulation of the First Fundamental Theorem of Calculus as given in [23, 38]. We then give their realizations as Volterra operators with separable kernels. In particular, a Volterra integral operator is a weighted Reynolds operator with a corresponding unit-modified differential operator (Theorem 2.8). So differential Reynolds algebras provide an algebraic context to study separable Volterra integral equations. Properties of these new operators are obtained.

Section 3 has its goal of constructing free differential Reynolds algebras. To overcome the difficulty caused by the cyclic property of the weighted Reynolds identity, we begin with a general notion of an operated module that is complete with respect to a filtration which is compatible with the linear operator. Then the usual shuffle product defined on tensor-power polynomials is given a complete version on tensor-power series, which then is applied to construct the multiplication

in the free objects in a subcategory of the differential Reynolds algebras, called the category of commutative compatible differential Reynolds algebras (Theorem 3.13). We finally apply the free construction to the analytic setting of Volterra integral equations by examples.

Notations. In this paper, we fix a ground field \mathbf{k} of characteristic 0. All the objects under discussion, including vector spaces, algebras and tensor products, are taken over \mathbf{k} unless otherwise specified. An algebra is assumed to be a unitary associative algebra.

2. ALGEBRAIC STRUCTURES FROM VOLTERRA INTEGRAL EQUATIONS

Studies on integral operators and integral equations motivate us to introduce a new class of algebraic operators, generalizing the well-known concepts of the differential operator, Reynolds operator and Rota-Baxter operator.

2.1. Algebraically defined operators. We present the various algebraic structures that have arisen from the study of Volterra integral operators, by listing the existing ones first and then introducing the new ones. Together, they will be the main algebraic structures treated in this study.

Definition 2.1. (i) A **pointed algebra** is an algebra R together with a fixed element $\lambda \in R$.

(ii) An **operated algebra** [18] is a pair (R, P) consisting of an algebra R and a linear operator P on R .

(iii) For a fixed $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$, a **Rota-Baxter algebra of weight λ** is an algebra R together with a linear operator $P : R \rightarrow R$ satisfying

$$(3) \quad P(f)P(g) = P(fP(g)) + P(P(f)g) + \lambda P(fg) \quad \text{for all } f, g \in R.$$

(iv) A **Reynolds algebra** is an algebra R together with a linear operator $P : R \rightarrow R$ satisfying

$$(4) \quad P(f)P(g) = P(fP(g)) + P(P(f)g) - P(P(f)P(g)) \quad \text{for all } f, g \in R.$$

(v) For a given $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$, a **differential algebra of weight λ** [23] is an algebra R equipped with a linear operator d satisfying

$$(5) \quad d(xy) = d(x)y + xd(y) + \lambda d(x)d(y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in R.$$

(vi) An **integro-differential algebra of weight λ** [24, 41] is a differential algebra (R, d) of weight λ with a linear operator p on R satisfying $dp = \text{id}_R$ and the **integro-differential identity**

$$(6) \quad p(d(x))p(d(y)) = p(d(x))y + xp(d(y)) - p(d(xy)) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in R.$$

A submodule I of an operated algebra (R, P) is called an **operated ideal** if I is an (algebraic) ideal of R such that $P(I) \subseteq I$. Then the quotient module R/I has the induced operated algebra structure. The same notions apply to any of the special classes of operated algebras listed above and those to be introduced later.

Each of the above classes of algebras forms a category with the morphisms defined as follows.

(i) A homomorphism from a pointed algebra (A, λ_A) to (B, λ_B) is an algebra homomorphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ such that $f(\lambda_A) = \lambda_B$.

(ii) For operated algebras (R_1, P_1) and (R_2, P_2) , an algebra homomorphism $\varphi : R_1 \rightarrow R_2$ is called an **operated algebra homomorphism** if $\varphi P_1 = P_2 \varphi$.

(iii) The same definition also applies when operated algebra is replaced by any of the special cases in Items (iii) – (vi).

We now introduce new types of operated algebras which also have realizations as Volterra integral operators. Note that, while traditionally, the weight λ of a differential or Rota-Baxter operator is referring to an element in the base ring \mathbf{k} ; here the notion has been generalized to allow λ to be in the algebra R itself.

Definition 2.2. (i) A **modified differential algebra of weight** $\lambda \in R$ is a pointed algebra (R, λ) with a linear operator $D : R \rightarrow R$, called a **modified differential operator**¹ satisfying

$$(7) \quad D(xy) = D(x)y + xD(y) - x\lambda y, \quad x, y \in R.$$

(ii) A unital modified differential algebra (R, D, λ) with $\lambda = D(1_R)$ is called a **unit-modified differential algebra**. So D satisfies

$$(8) \quad D(xy) = D(x)y + xD(y) - xD(1_R)y, \quad x, y \in R.$$

(iii) A **Reynolds algebra of weight** λ or simply a **weighted Reynolds algebra** is a triple (R, P, λ) consisting of a pointed algebra (R, λ) and a linear operator $P : R \rightarrow R$, called a **weighted Reynolds operator** (of weight λ), satisfying

$$(9) \quad P(x)P(y) = P(P(x)y) + P(xP(y)) - P(P(x)\lambda P(y)), \quad x, y \in R.$$

(iv) A **modified differential Reynolds algebra of weight** λ , which we will simply call a **differential Reynolds algebra of weight** λ , is a quadruple (R, D, P, λ) such that (R, D, λ) is a modified differential algebra of weight λ , (R, P, λ) is a Reynolds algebra of weight λ , and $DP = \text{id}_R$ holds.

(v) A **unit-modified differential Reynolds algebra**, which we will simply call a **differential Reynolds algebra**, is a unital differential Reynolds algebra $(R, D, P, D(1_R))$ of weight $D(1_R)$. So both Eq. (8) and the following equations hold.

$$(10) \quad P(x)P(y) = P(P(x)y) + P(xP(y)) - P(P(x)D(1_R)P(y)), \quad DP = \text{id}_R, \quad x, y \in R.$$

(vi) A **modified integro-differential algebra** (R, D, P) is a unit-modified differential algebra (R, D) with a linear operator P on R , called an **integration**, satisfying $DP = \text{id}_R$ and the **modified integro-differential identity**

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} &P(D(x))P(D(y)) \\ &= P(D(x))y + xP(D(y)) - P(D(xy)) - P(D(1_R))(xy - P(D(xy))), \quad x, y \in R. \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.3. (i) Note the difference between a differential algebra of weight λ and a modified differential algebra as indicated in the last terms of their defining equations (5) and (7).

(ii) If a modified differential algebra (R, D, λ) of weight λ is unital, then taking $x = y = 1_R$ in Eq. (7) yields $\lambda = D(1_R)$. Thus being a unital modified differential algebra is the same as being a unit-modified differential algebra. For the same reason, being a unital modified differential Reynolds algebra of weight λ is the same as being a unit-modified differential Reynolds algebra. Nevertheless, we will retain the terms of the unit-modified differential algebra and the unit-modified differential Reynolds algebra since they are the main notions in this study.

¹When λ is a scalar, the operator is one of the differential type operators in [25] and the term modified differential operator is used in [34]. This terminology is justified by Lemma 2.13.

- (iii) It will be shown in Corollary 2.9 that the differential Reynolds algebra and modified integro-differential algebra both arise from separable Volterra integral operators. The focus of this paper will be on differential Reynolds algebras only. Modified integro-differential algebra is a variation of the integro-differential algebra [24, 38, 41] and will be treated in more detail separately.

Each of the above classes of algebras also forms a category with the corresponding morphisms defined as follows.

- (i) For modified differential algebras (R_1, D_1, λ_1) and (R_2, D_2, λ_2) , an algebra homomorphism $\varphi : R_1 \rightarrow R_2$ is called a **modified differential algebra homomorphism** if $\varphi(\lambda_1) = \lambda_2$ and $\varphi D_1 = D_2 \varphi$. Note that if R_1 and R_2 are unit-modified differential algebras, then $\varphi(\lambda_1) = \lambda_2$ follows immediately from $\varphi D_1 = D_2 \varphi$ since $\varphi(1_{R_1}) = 1_{R_2}$.
- (ii) For weighted Reynolds algebras (R_1, P_1, λ_1) and (R_2, P_2, λ_2) , an algebra homomorphism $\varphi : R_1 \rightarrow R_2$ is called a **weighted Reynolds algebra homomorphism** if $\varphi(\lambda_1) = \lambda_2$ and $\varphi P_1 = P_2 \varphi$.
- (iii) For differential Reynolds algebras (R_1, D_1, P_1) and (R_2, D_2, P_2) , a unit-modified differential algebra homomorphism $\varphi : R_1 \rightarrow R_2$ is called a **differential Reynolds algebra homomorphism** if $\varphi P_1 = P_2 \varphi$. The same applies to modified integro-differential algebras.

Example 2.4. The identity operator id_A on an algebra A is a unit-modified differential operator, making any algebra a unit-modified differential algebra. Also, any algebra A has a natural differential Reynolds algebra structure $(A, \text{id}_A, \text{id}_A)$.

For a fixed element λ in an algebra R , let

$$L_\lambda : R \rightarrow R, x \mapsto \lambda x,$$

denote the operator of left multiplication by λ . It is well known that post-composing L_λ to a differential operator of weight zero is still such an operator. Likewise, pre-composing a Rota-Baxter operator of weight zero by L_λ is easily checked to be again a Rota-Baxter operator of weight zero [20]. We now give the algebraic structures from composing L_λ in the opposite directions.

- Theorem 2.5.** (i) Let (R, d) be a differential algebra of weight 0 with a central element λ . Then $(R, dL_\lambda, d(\lambda))$ is a modified differential algebra of weight $d(\lambda)$.
- (ii) Let (R, d, P) be a unital integro-differential algebra of weight 0 with an invertible central element λ . Then $(R, dL_{\lambda^{-1}}, L_\lambda P)$ is a modified integro-differential algebra, and also a differential Reynolds algebra.

Proof. (i) For any $x, y \in R$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} dL_\lambda(x)y + xL_\lambda(y) - xd(\lambda)y &= d(\lambda x)y + xd(\lambda y) - xd(\lambda)y \\ &\stackrel{(5)}{=} d(\lambda x)y + x(d(\lambda)y + \lambda d(y)) - xd(\lambda)y \\ &= d(x\lambda)y + x\lambda d(y) \\ &\stackrel{(5)}{=} d(x\lambda y) = d(\lambda xy) = dL_\lambda(xy). \end{aligned}$$

So dL_λ is a modified differential operator of weight $d(\lambda)$.

(ii) For clarity, we tentatively denote $D := dL_{\lambda^{-1}}$ and $\Pi := L_\lambda P$ for the proof. Since $D(1_R) = d(\lambda^{-1})$, we see that (R, D) is a unit-modified differential algebra by Item (i). By [24, Theorem 2.5],

the evaluation map $e := \text{id}_R - Pd$ is an algebra homomorphism. Correspondingly, we define

$$(12) \quad E := \text{id}_R - \Pi D = \lambda e \lambda^{-1}.$$

Then for any $x, y \in R$, we obtain

$$E(xy) = \lambda e(\lambda^{-1}xy) = \lambda e(\lambda)e(\lambda^{-1}x)e(\lambda^{-1}y) = E(1_R)^{-1}E(x)E(y),$$

since $E(1_R)^{-1} = \lambda^{-1}e(\lambda^{-1})^{-1} = \lambda^{-1}e(\lambda)$. This is just Eq. (11) thanks to Eq. (12), showing that (R, D, Π) is a modified integro-differential algebra.

On the other hand, substituting $\Pi(x)$ for x and $\Pi(y)$ for y in Eq. (11), we get

$$\Pi(x)\Pi(y) = \Pi(x)\Pi(y) + \Pi(x)\Pi(y) - \Pi(D(\Pi(x)\Pi(y))) - \Pi(D(1_R))(\Pi(x)\Pi(y) - \Pi(D(\Pi(x)\Pi(y)))).$$

Namely, $E(1_R)(\Pi(x)\Pi(y) - \Pi(D(\Pi(x)\Pi(y)))) = 0$. Since $E(1_R)$ is invertible and D is a modified differential operator, we have

$$\Pi(x)\Pi(y) = \Pi(D(\Pi(x)\Pi(y))) \stackrel{(8)}{=} \Pi(\Pi(x)y) + \Pi(x\Pi(y)) - \Pi(\Pi(x)D(1_R)\Pi(y)).$$

Thus (R, D, Π) is a differential Reynolds algebra. \square

2.2. Realizations from integral operators. We show that all the above algebraic notions find natural realizations as Volterra integral operators. We consider continuous functions $C(I)$ on an open interval I in \mathbb{R} or an open square in \mathbb{R}^2 . In fact we usually take $I = \mathbb{R}$ or \mathbb{R}^2 for simplicity.

Definition 2.6. Let $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an open interval. Fix $K(x, t) \in C(I^2)$.

(i) A **Volterra (integral) operator** is a linear operator $P_K := P_{K,a} : C(I) \rightarrow C(I)$ defined by

$$P_{K,a}(f)(x) = \int_a^x K(x, t)f(t) dt,$$

for some $a \in I$. Here K is called the **kernel**.

- (ii) A kernel $K(x, t)$ and the corresponding Volterra operator are called **separable** if it can be decomposed as $K(x, t) = k(x)h(t)$ for some functions k and h in $C(I)$.
- (iii) A kernel $K(x, t)$ and the corresponding Volterra operator are called **phantom** if it is a function of only the variable t of integration (i.e. the ‘‘dummy’’ variable). It is the special case of a separable kernel $K(x, t) = k(x)h(t)$ when $k(x)$ is a constant.
- (iv) An integral equation is called **separable** (resp. **phantom**) if all the integral operators in the equation are separable (resp. phantom) and share the same lower limit.

More details about Volterra integral equations with separable kernels can be found in e.g. [52].

For the simple case when $K(x, t)$ is phantom, as noted before Theorem 2.5, P_K is still a Rota-Baxter operator of weight zero. On the other hand, when the kernel $K(x, t)$ is indeed a function of x in addition to t , the Volterra integral operator P_K is no longer a Rota-Baxter operator, as shown in the simple counterexample.

Example 2.7. Let $K(x, t) = x$ and $f = g = 1$, then the integral operator P_K on $C(\mathbb{R})$ with lower limit $a = 0$ gives $P_K(f)(x)P_K(g)(x) = x^4$ and $P_K(fP_K(g))(x) + P_K(P_K(f)g)(x) = \frac{2}{3}x^4$.

In general, a Volterra operator with separable kernel $K(x, t) = k(x)h(t)$ is a Rota-Baxter operator only in very special circumstances, as shown in Corollary 2.11. Nevertheless, the operator is a weighted Reynolds operator.

Theorem 2.8. Let $a \in I$ and let $K(x, t) = k(x)h(t)$ with $k \in C^1(I)$ and $h \in C(I)$ both zero free, where $C^1(I)$ consists of all differentiable functions over I . Define

$$(13) \quad D_K : C^1(I) \rightarrow C(I), \quad D_K(f)(x) := \frac{1}{h(x)} \left(\frac{f(x)}{k(x)} \right)' = \frac{k(x)f'(x) - k'(x)f(x)}{h(x)k(x)^2}.$$

(i) The operator D_K is a unit-modified differential operator:

$$(14) \quad D_K(fg) = D_K(f)g + fD_K(g) - D_K(1)fg, \quad f, g \in C^1(I).$$

(ii) The Volterra integral operator

$$P_K : C(I) \rightarrow C^1(I), \quad P_K(f) := \int_a^x k(x)h(t)f(t)dt$$

is a differential Reynolds operator:

$$(15) \quad P_K(f)P_K(g) = P_K(fP_K(g)) + P_K(P_K(f)g) - P_K(D_K(1)P_K(f)P_K(g)), \quad f, g \in C(I),$$

and $D_KP_K = \text{id}_{C(I)}$.

(iii) The operators D_K and P_K are also subject to the modified integro-differential identity (11), namely, for $f, g \in C^1(I)$, we have

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} & P_K(D_K(f))P_K(D_K(g)) \\ &= P_K(D_K(f))g + fP_K(D_K(g)) - P_K(D_K(fg)) - P_K(D_K(1))(fg - P_K(D_K(fg))). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Define two linear maps

$$d_h : C^1(I) \rightarrow C(I), \quad d_h(f)(x) := h(x)^{-1}f'(x),$$

and

$$p_h : C(I) \rightarrow C^1(I), \quad p_h(f)(x) := \int_a^x h(t)f(t)dt.$$

Then d_h satisfies the classical Leibniz rule for derivations. Moreover, we have $d_hp_h = \text{id}_{C(I)}$ and

$$p_h(d_h(f)) = \int_a^x f'(t)dt = f(x) - f(a), \quad f \in C^1(I),$$

so the integro-differential identity (6) holds for d_h and p_h .

Furthermore, we have

$$D_K(f(x)) = d_h(k(x)^{-1}f(x)), \quad P_K(f(x)) = k(x)p_h(f(x)).$$

Thus $D_K = d_hL_{k(x)^{-1}}$ and $P_K = L_{k(x)}p_h$ with the notations in Theorem 2.5. Then the conclusions follow from the same proof as the one for Theorem 2.5. \square

The algebra $C^1(I)$ in Theorem 2.8 is not closed under D_K . To obtain a differential Reynolds algebra and also a modified integro-differential algebra, we restrict the domain and range.

Corollary 2.9. Let $R := C^\infty(I)$ and $C^\infty(I^2)$ be the algebra of infinitely differentiable functions on $I \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ and $I^2 \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$. Then for $K(x, t) = k(x)h(t) \in C^\infty(I^2)$ zero free, the tuple (R, D_K, P_K) is a differential Reynolds algebra and a modified integro-differential algebra.

We also give specific examples.

Example 2.10. (i) For $K(x, t) = e^{-x+t} = e^t/e^x$, we have $D_K(1) = 1$. So the operator $P_K : C(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C^1(\mathbb{R})$ satisfies the original Reynolds identity in Eq. (4) [46, 53].

- (ii) For $K(x, t) = \frac{1}{x^2+1}$, we have $D_K(1) = 2x$. So the operator $P_K : C(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C^1(\mathbb{R})$ satisfies the identity

$$P_K(f)P_K(g) = P_K(P_K(f)g) + P_K(fP_K(g)) - P_K(2xP_K(f)P_K(g)).$$

Eq. (13) specializes to

$$(17) \quad D_K(1) = -\frac{k'(x)}{h(x)k(x)^2} = \frac{1}{h(x)} \left(\frac{1}{k(x)} \right)'.$$

Then we obtain the characterization for a Volterra operator to satisfy the Rota-Baxter operator.

Corollary 2.11. *With the same assumption as Theorem 2.8, we have $D_K(1) = 0$ if and only if $k(x)$ is a nonzero constant. Thus when K is separable, the Volterra operator P_K is a Rota-Baxter operator (of weight zero) if and only if K is phantom.*

2.3. Properties of the algebraic operators. We now present more properties of the algebraic operators.

First a modified differential operator is a formal inverse of a weighted Reynolds operator.

Proposition 2.12. *Let D be an invertible linear operator on an algebra R . Then D is a modified differential operator if and only if its inverse D^{-1} is a weighted Reynolds operator.*

Proof. Let $D : R \rightarrow R$ be invertible. If D is a modified differential operator on R , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(D^{-1}(x)D^{-1}(y)) &\stackrel{(7)}{=} D(D^{-1}(x))D^{-1}(y) + D^{-1}(x)D(D^{-1}(y)) - D^{-1}(x)\lambda D^{-1}(y) \\ &= xD^{-1}(y) + D^{-1}(x)y - D^{-1}(x)\lambda D^{-1}(y). \end{aligned}$$

So

$$(18) \quad D^{-1}(x)D^{-1}(y) = D^{-1}(xD^{-1}(y) + D^{-1}(x)y - D^{-1}(x)\lambda D^{-1}(y)), \quad x, y \in R,$$

and D^{-1} is a weighted Reynolds operator.

Conversely, if D^{-1} is a weighted Reynolds operator, applying D to Eq. (18) and taking $u = D^{-1}(x)$, $v = D^{-1}(y)$ show that D is a modified differential operator on R . \square

The term modified differential operator is justified by the relation with the usual differential operator in the following lemma, in analog to the relation between the modified Rota-Baxter operator and the Rota-Baxter operator of weight zero (see [19]). The proof is direct as in [34] where λ is assumed to be a scalar.

Lemma 2.13. *Let λ be in the center of R . A linear operator D on an algebra R is a modified differential operator of weight λ if and only if the operator $D - \lambda \text{id}_R$ is a differential operator (of weight 0).*

Remark 2.14. Applying Proposition 2.12 and Lemma 2.13 to the classical case of weight $\lambda = 1_R$, we recover a classical result in [32]: if d is a derivation, then the formal inverse $P = (\text{id}_R + d)^{-1}$ is a Reynolds operator. Conversely, if P is an invertible Reynolds operator, then $d = P^{-1} - \text{id}_R$ is a derivation.

The following result shows that the operator identity (9) for a weighted Reynolds algebra R is compatible with the associativity.

Let (R, P, λ) be a weighted Reynolds algebra. Define a new multiplication \star on R by

$$(19) \quad x \star y := P(x)y + xP(y) - P(x)\lambda P(y) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in R.$$

Thus the Reynolds identity (9) is simply $P(x)P(y) = P(x \star y)$.

Proposition 2.15. *For a weighted Reynolds algebra (R, P, λ) , the pair (R, \star) is an algebra. If in addition, either $P(\lambda) = \lambda$ or $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$, then the tuple (R, \star, P, λ) also a weighted Reynolds algebra.*

Proof. For $x, y, z \in R$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (x \star y) \star z &= P(x \star y)z + (x \star y)P(z) - P(x \star y)\lambda P(z) \\ &\stackrel{(9)}{=} P(x)P(y)z + (P(x)y + xP(y) - P(x)\lambda P(y))P(z) - P(x)P(y)\lambda P(z) \\ &= P(x)P(y)z + P(x)yP(z) + xP(y)P(z) - P(x)\lambda P(y)P(z) - P(x)P(y)\lambda P(z), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} x \star (y \star z) &= P(x)(y \star z) + xP(y \star z) - P(x)\lambda P(y \star z) \\ &\stackrel{(9)}{=} P(x)(P(y)z + yP(z) - P(y)\lambda P(z)) + xP(y)P(z) - P(x)\lambda P(y)P(z) \\ &= P(x)P(y)z + P(x)yP(z) + xP(y)P(z) - P(x)\lambda P(y)P(z) - P(x)P(y)\lambda P(z), \end{aligned}$$

showing the associativity of \star .

We further have

$$P(x) \star P(y) = P^2(x)P(y) + P(x)P^2(y) - P^2(x)\lambda P^2(y),$$

while

$$P(P(x) \star y + x \star P(y) - P(x) \star \lambda \star P(y)) \stackrel{(9)}{=} P^2(x)P(y) + P(x)P^2(y) - P^2(x)P(\lambda)P^2(y).$$

Then we see that (R, \star, P, λ) is a weighted Reynolds algebra under either of the additional conditions stated in the proposition. \square

Remark 2.16. For a *commutative* differential Reynolds algebra (R, D, P) , Eq. (10) becomes

$$(20) \quad P(x)P(y) = P(P(x)y) + P(xP(y)) - P(D(1_R)P(x)P(y)), \quad x, y \in R.$$

The rewriting of $P(x)P(y)$ from this equality will not terminate since the left-hand side also appears on the right-hand side. Assuming $D(1_R) = 1_R$ for simplicity and repeatedly applying Eq. (20), we formally have

$$\begin{aligned} P(x)^2 &= 2P(xP(x)) - P(P(x)^2) \\ &= 2P(xP(x)) - 2P(P(xP(x))) + P^2(P(x)^2) \\ &= \dots \\ &= 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} P^n(xP(x)). \end{aligned}$$

In particular, taking P to be the Volterra operator $P_K(f)(u) = \int_0^u K(u, t)f(t) dt$ with $K(u, t) = e^{-u+t}$ as in Example 2.10, squaring the integral has the formal series expansion

$$(21) \quad P_K(f)^2 = 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n-1} P_K^n(fP_K(f))$$

into iterated integrals.

In principle, any integral expression with this kernel should also be a formal series of iterated integrals by the same idea. In order to make this idea rigorous and for such series to make sense, we need to work in a complete space. This leads to the general discussion in Section 3. Then this particular case will be revisited in Proposition 3.6 and Example 3.15.

3. FREE COMMUTATIVE DIFFERENTIAL REYNOLDS ALGEBRAS

Due to the cyclic property of the Reynolds identity in Eqs. (9) and (10), the resulting rewriting leads to infinite iterations that require a completeness condition of the underlying space in order for the process to possibly converge. This especially applies to the construction of free differential Reynolds algebras. We first introduce a category with a suitable completeness condition, and then construct the free objects in this category.

3.1. The categories of weighted Reynolds algebras and differential Reynolds algebras. Beginning with the category of A -modules, we successively build the category of operated A -modules, of complete operated A -modules with respect to a filtration, and of such complete operated A -modules in which the filtration is compatible with the operators. On top of the latter, we consider weighted Reynolds algebras and differential Reynolds algebras that are compatible complete operated A -modules. They will serve as the category for the construction of the free weighted Reynolds algebras and free differential Reynolds algebras.

3.1.1. Compatible complete operated modules. We first define compatible operated complete A -modules.

Definition 3.1. Fix a \mathbf{k} -algebra A .

- (i) A **\mathbf{k} -linear operated A -module**, or simply an **operated A -module**, is a pair (R, P) with an A -module R and a \mathbf{k} -linear operator P on R . Note that the operator is only required to be \mathbf{k} -linear, not A -linear. A **homomorphism $f : (R, P) \rightarrow (R', P')$ of operated A -modules** is a homomorphism $f : R \rightarrow R'$ of A -modules such that $fP = P'f$.
- (ii) An A -module R with a decreasing filtration $\{R_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ of A -submodules is called **complete** if the natural A -linear homomorphism $\kappa_R : R \rightarrow \varprojlim R/R_n$ is an isomorphism.
- (iii) A **complete operated A -module** is a triple (R, \overline{R}_n, P) where (R, P) is an operated A -module and $\{R_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a decreasing filtration of operated A -submodules such that (R, R_n) is a complete A -module.
- (iv) Let (R, R_n, P) be a complete operated A -module. For $n \geq 0$, recursively define

$$(22) \quad \overline{\text{Fil}}_p^0 R := R, \quad \overline{\text{Fil}}_p^n R := \text{cl}(AP(\overline{\text{Fil}}_p^{n-1} R)), \quad n \geq 1.$$

Here $\text{cl}(N)$ denotes the closure of an A -submodule N in R with respect to the topology given by the filtration $\{R_n\}_{n \geq 0}$.

- (v) A complete operated A -module (R, R_n, P) is said to have an **operator generated filtration** if $R_n = \overline{\text{Fil}}_p^n R$, $n \geq 0$. Then we call (R, R_n, P) a **compatible complete operated A -module**. To be specific for later use, a compatible complete operated A -module is a triple (R, R_n, P) where
 - (a) the pair (R, P) is an operated A -module,
 - (b) the pair (R, R_n) is a complete A -module,
 - (c) the above two structures are **compatible** in the sense that $R_n = \overline{\text{Fil}}_p^n R$, $n \geq 0$.

We give some notions on complete A -modules for later use. Let (R, R_n) be a filtered A -module. For $m > n \geq 0$, let

$$\kappa_{m,n} : R/R_m \rightarrow R/R_n, \quad \kappa_n : R \rightarrow R/R_n,$$

be the canonical projections. Then $\kappa_{m,n}\kappa_m = \kappa_n$. Let

$$(23) \quad \hat{R} := \varprojlim R/R_n = \left\{ (r_k + R_k)_{k \geq 0} \in \prod_{k \geq 0} R/R_k \mid r_{k+1} - r_k \in R_k, k \geq 0 \right\}$$

be the inverse limit that gives the completion of (R, R_n) . Then the natural A -module homomorphism κ_R takes the form

$$(24) \quad \kappa_R : R \rightarrow \hat{R}, \quad r \mapsto (r + R_k)_{k \geq 0}, \quad r \in R.$$

Also let

$$\hat{\kappa}_n : \hat{R} \rightarrow \prod_{k \geq 0} R/R_k \rightarrow R/R_n, \quad n \geq 0,$$

be the canonical projections. Then $\kappa_{m,n} \hat{\kappa}_m = \hat{\kappa}_n$ and $\hat{\kappa}_n \kappa_R = \kappa_n$.

Lemma 3.2. *If (R, R_n, P) is a compatible complete operated A -module, then $P(R_n) \subseteq R_{n+1}$ for each $n \geq 0$.*

Proof. By the definition of $\overline{\text{Fil}}_P^{n+1} R$ in Eq. (22), we have $P(R_n) = P(\overline{\text{Fil}}_P^n R) \subseteq \overline{\text{Fil}}_P^{n+1} R = R_{n+1}$ for $n \geq 0$. \square

3.1.2. *Compatible weighted Reynolds algebras and compatible differential Reynolds algebras.* Adding a Reynolds algebra or a differential Reynolds algebra structure to a compatible complete operated A -module gives us the main structures of interest.

Definition 3.3. Fix a commutative pointed algebra (A, λ) . A **compatible weighted Reynolds algebra** over (A, λ) is a quintuple $(R, R_n, P, \lambda_R, i_R)$ where

- (i) (R, λ_R) is an (A, λ) -algebra, in the sense that R is an A -algebra of which the structure map $i_R : A \rightarrow R$ sends λ to λ_R ;
- (ii) with respect to the A -module structure on R as an A -algebra, the triple (R, R_n, P) is a compatible complete operated A -module;
- (iii) (R, P, λ_R) is a λ_R -weighted Reynolds algebra.

Let \mathcal{CR}_A denote the category of compatible weighted Reynolds algebras over (A, λ) in which a morphism is defined to be a morphism for each of the three components (ii)–(iii).

Definition 3.4. Fix a commutative unit-modified differential algebra (A, d) . A **compatible differential Reynolds algebra** over (A, d) is a quintuple (R, R_n, D, P, i_R) where

- (i) (R, D) is an (A, d) -unit-modified differential algebra, in the sense that R is an A -algebra such that $i_R d = D i_R$ for the structure map $i_R : A \rightarrow R$. Note that i_R is at the same time a homomorphism of pointed algebras $(A, d(1_A)) \rightarrow (R, D(1_R))$;
- (ii) with respect to the A -module structure on R as an A -algebra, the triple (R, R_n, P) is a compatible complete operated A -module;
- (iii) (R, D, P) is a differential Reynolds algebra.

Let \mathcal{CDR}_A denote the category of compatible differential Reynolds algebras over (A, d) in which a morphism is defined to be a morphism for each of the three components (ii)–(iii).

Example 3.5. By Corollary 2.9, the triple $(C^\infty(\mathbb{R}), D_K, P_K)$ with $K(x, t) = k(x)h(t) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ free of zeros, is a commutative differential Reynolds algebra.

Consider the following decreasing filtration of operated \mathbb{R} -submodules of $(C^\infty(\mathbb{R}), P_K)$,

$$(25) \quad C^\infty(\mathbb{R})_0 = C^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \quad C^\infty(\mathbb{R})_n = \{f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \mid f^{(i)}(0) = 0, 0 \leq i \leq n-1\}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Note that, for the homomorphism κ_R in Eq. (24), we have

$$\ker \kappa_{C^\infty(\mathbb{R})} = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} C^\infty(\mathbb{R})_n = \{f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \mid f^{(n)}(0) = 0, n \geq 0\} \neq \{0\}.$$

For example, the function $f(x) = \begin{cases} e^{-x^{-2}}, & x \neq 0, \\ 0, & x = 0, \end{cases}$ is in such an intersection. Hence,

$$\kappa_{C^\infty(\mathbb{R})} : C^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \varprojlim C^\infty(\mathbb{R})/C^\infty(\mathbb{R})_n$$

is not injective and $(C^\infty(\mathbb{R}), P_K)$ is not a complete operated \mathbb{R} -module.

We next give an example of a compatible differential Reynolds algebra. It is applicable for the formal series in Eq. (21) of Remark 2.16 to converge.

Proposition 3.6. *Let $\mathbb{R}[[x]]$ be the formal power series algebra with its term-by-term differentiation and integration. Let $K(x, t) = k(x)h(t)$ with $k(x) \in \mathbb{R}[[x]]$ and $h(t) \in \mathbb{R}[[t]]$ both invertible (that is, with nonzero constant terms). As in Theorem 2.8, define*

$$D_K : \mathbb{R}[[x]] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[[x]], \quad D_K(f)(x) := \frac{1}{h(x)} \left(\frac{f(x)}{k(x)} \right)' = \frac{k(x)f'(x) - k'(x)f(x)}{h(x)k(x)^2}$$

and

$$P_K : \mathbb{R}[[x]] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[[x]], \quad P_K(f) := \int_0^x k(x)h(t)f(t)dt.$$

Let A be an intermediate ring between \mathbb{R} and $\mathbb{R}[[x]]$ that is D_K -invariant and denote $d := (D_K)|_A$. Then with the filtration $\mathbb{R}[[x]]_n := x^n\mathbb{R}[[x]]$, $n \geq 0$ and the natural inclusion $i_{\mathbb{R}[[x]]} : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[[x]]$, the quintuple

$$(\mathbb{R}[[x]], \mathbb{R}[[x]]_n, D_K, P_K, i_{\mathbb{R}[[x]]})$$

is a compatible differential Reynolds algebra over the unit-modified differential algebra (A, d) .

Proof. Since A is D_K -invariant, the restriction d of D_K to A defines a unit-modified differential operator on A . Hence for the inclusion $i_{\mathbb{R}[[x]]} : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[[x]]$, we have $i_{\mathbb{R}[[x]]}d = D_K i_{\mathbb{R}[[x]]}$. Thus $(\mathbb{R}[[x]], D_K)$ is an (A, d) -unit-modified differential algebra. Also, Theorem 2.8 can be applied to elements of $\mathbb{R}[[x]]$ to directly show that $(\mathbb{R}[[x]], D_K, P_K)$ is a commutative differential Reynolds algebra.

It remains to check that $(\mathbb{R}[[x]], \mathbb{R}[[x]]_n, P_K)$ is a compatible complete operated A -module. Termwise integration gives

$$(26) \quad P_K(x^n\mathbb{R}[[x]]) \subseteq x^{n+1}\mathbb{R}[[x]], \quad n \geq 0,$$

and hence the filtration $\{\mathbb{R}[[x]]_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a decreasing filtration of operated A -submodules (actually ideals) of $(\mathbb{R}[[x]], P_K)$. Then the natural A -module isomorphism

$$\kappa_{\mathbb{R}[[x]]} : \mathbb{R}[[x]] \rightarrow \varprojlim \mathbb{R}[[x]]/\mathbb{R}[[x]]_n$$

shows that $(\mathbb{R}[[x]], \mathbb{R}[[x]]_n)$ is a complete operated A -module.

Finally for the compatibility between the operated module and the complete module structure, we will verify the equalities

$$(27) \quad \overline{\text{Fil}}_{P_K}^n \mathbb{R}[[x]] = x^n \mathbb{R}[[x]] = \mathbb{R}[[x]]_n, \quad n \geq 0,$$

by induction on n .

For the initial step, for any $f \in \mathbb{R}[[x]]$, we have

$$(28) \quad P_K\left(\left(\frac{f(x)}{k(x)}\right)' \frac{1}{h(x)}\right) = k(x) \int_0^x \left(\frac{f(t)}{k(t)}\right)' dt = f(x) - \frac{f(0)}{k(0)}k(x).$$

From this and $x\mathbb{R}[[x]] = \{f \in \mathbb{R}[[x]] \mid f(0) = 0\}$, we obtain that $x\mathbb{R}[[x]]$ is contained in $P_K(\mathbb{R}[[x]])$ and hence in $\overline{\text{Fil}}_{P_K}^1 \mathbb{R}[[x]]$. For the opposite inclusion, since $P_K(\mathbb{R}[[x]]) \subseteq x\mathbb{R}[[x]]$ from Eq. (26), we have $\overline{\text{Fil}}_{P_K}^1 \mathbb{R}[[x]] = \text{cl}(AP_K(\mathbb{R}[[x]])) \subseteq x\mathbb{R}[[x]]$ as $x\mathbb{R}[[x]]$ is closed.

For the inductive step, suppose that Eq. (27) holds for a given $n \geq 1$. Then $g \in x^{n+1}\mathbb{R}[[x]]$ implies $(g/k)'h^{-1} \in x^n\mathbb{R}[[x]] = \overline{\text{Fil}}_{P_K}^n \mathbb{R}[[x]]$. Thus by Eq. (28), g is in $P_K(\overline{\text{Fil}}_{P_K}^n \mathbb{R}[[x]]) \subseteq \overline{\text{Fil}}_{P_K}^{n+1} \mathbb{R}[[x]]$. Hence, we have the inclusion

$$x^{n+1}\mathbb{R}[[x]] \subseteq \overline{\text{Fil}}_{P_K}^{n+1} \mathbb{R}[[x]].$$

For the opposite inclusion, the induction hypothesis and Eq. (26) imply that

$$P_K(\overline{\text{Fil}}_{P_K}^n \mathbb{R}[[x]]) = P_K(x^n\mathbb{R}[[x]]) \subseteq x^{n+1}\mathbb{R}[[x]],$$

and hence $AP_K(\overline{\text{Fil}}_{P_K}^n \mathbb{R}[[x]]) \subseteq x^{n+1}\mathbb{R}[[x]]$. Thus $\overline{\text{Fil}}_{P_K}^{n+1} \mathbb{R}[[x]] = \text{cl}(AP_K(\overline{\text{Fil}}_{P_K}^n \mathbb{R}[[x]])) \subseteq x^{n+1}\mathbb{R}[[x]]$, since for the topology given by the filtration $\{x^n\mathbb{R}[[x]]\}_{n \geq 0}$, every $x^n\mathbb{R}[[x]]$ is closed as the complement of the open set $\bigcup_{0 \neq f \in \mathbb{R}[[x]], \deg f < n} (f + x^n\mathbb{R}[[x]])$. This completes the induction and thereby completes the proof. \square

3.1.3. *The free objects.* The above preparations give us the suitable category in which to construct the free objects. We give their definitions here and provide a construction in the next subsection.

Definition 3.7. Fix a commutative pointed algebra (A, λ) . The **free compatible weighted Reynolds algebra** over (A, λ) is defined to be the initial object in the category \mathcal{CR}_A of compatible weighted Reynolds algebras. More precisely, it is a compatible weighted Reynolds algebra over (A, d)

$$(R(A, \lambda), R(A, \lambda)_n, P_{R(A, \lambda)}, \bar{\lambda}, i_{R(A, \lambda)})$$

that satisfies the desired universal property that, for every compatible weighted Reynolds algebra $(R, R_n, P_R, \lambda_R, i_R)$ over (A, λ) (with the structural pointed algebra homomorphism $(f =)i_R : (A, \lambda) \rightarrow (R, \lambda_R)$),² there exists a unique homomorphism of compatible weighted Reynolds algebras over (A, d)

$$\bar{f} : (R(A, \lambda), R(A, \lambda)_n, P_{R(A, \lambda)}, \bar{\lambda}, i_{R(A, \lambda)}) \rightarrow (R, R_n, P_R, \lambda_R, i_R).$$

In particular, as a homomorphism over (A, λ) , we have $\bar{f} i_{R(A, \lambda)} = i_R$.

We similarly define the notion of the free compatible differential Reynolds algebra over a unit-modified differential algebra. Recall that a unit-modified differential algebra (R, D) is naturally a pointed algebra $(R, D(1_R))$.

Definition 3.8. Fix a unit-modified differential algebra (A, d) . The **free compatible differential Reynolds algebra** over (A, d) is defined to be the initial object in the category \mathcal{CDR}_A of compatible differential Reynolds algebras over (A, d) . More precisely, it is a compatible differential Reynolds algebra over (A, d)

$$(R(A, d), R(A, d)_n, D_{R(A, d)}, P_{R(A, d)}, i_{R(A, d)})$$

with the universal property that, for every compatible differential Reynolds algebra (R, R_n, D_R, P_R, i_R) over (A, d) (with the structural unit-modified differential algebra homomorphism $i_R : (A, d) \rightarrow$

²In the usual description of the universal property of a free object, i_R plays the role of f from which a morphism \bar{f} on the free object is induced.

(R, D_R)), there exists a unique homomorphism of compatible differential Reynolds algebras over (A, d)

$$\bar{f} : (R(A, d), R(A, d)_n, D_{R(A, d)}, P_{R(A, d)}, i_{R(A, d)}) \rightarrow (R, R_n, D_R, P_R, i_R).$$

In particular, $\bar{f} i_{R(A, d)} = i_R$.

As a shorthand notation, we will denote $j_A = i_{R(A, \lambda)}$ in Definitions 3.7 and $j_A = i_{R(A, d)}$ in Definition 3.8 in the sequel.

3.2. The construction of free commutative weighted Reynolds algebras and free differential Reynolds algebras. In this subsection we construct the free objects in the category of commutative compatible weighted Reynolds algebras, and then in the category of commutative compatible differential Reynolds algebras.

Notation. For the rest of this section all algebras are assumed to be commutative.

Fix a base field \mathbf{k} and a pointed \mathbf{k} -algebra (A, λ) with $\lambda \in A$. Consider the infinite product of vector spaces

$$(29) \quad \overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A) := \prod_{k \geq 1} A^{\otimes k}.$$

Here the tensor powers are taken over \mathbf{k} .

Our goal is to equip $\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)$ with the structure of a free compatible differential Reynolds algebra. The statement is given in Theorem 3.13 which will be proved in the following steps.

Step 1. For the given algebra A , equip $\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)$ with the structure of a compatible complete operated A -module (§ 3.2.1);

Step 2. Fix $\lambda \in A$. Use the topology from Step 1 to equip the operated A -module $\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)$ with a product \diamond , making it into a weighted Reynolds algebra (§ 3.2.2);

Step 3. Show that the compatible weighted Reynolds algebra $(\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A), \overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)_n, \diamond, P_{\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)})$, together with the natural inclusion $j_A : A \rightarrow \overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)$, has the desired universal property as a free compatible weighted Reynolds algebra over (A, λ) (§ 3.2.3);

Step 4. Assume that (A, d) is a unit-modified differential algebra. Further introduce a unit-modified differential operator $D_{\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)}$ on $\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)$, and show that

$$(\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A), \overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)_n, \diamond, D_{\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)}, P_{\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)}, j_A)$$

is a free compatible differential Reynolds algebra over (A, d) (§ 3.2.4).

3.2.1. Step 1. $\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)$ as a compatible complete operated A -module. Let A be a unitary \mathbf{k} -algebra. We first equip the \mathbf{k} -module

$$(30) \quad \overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A) := \prod_{k \geq 1} A^{\otimes k}$$

with an operated A -module structure. Define the A -module action on $\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)$ by multiplying on the left-most tensor factors: for $a \in A$ and a pure tensor $\alpha = a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_k, a_i \in A, 1 \leq i \leq k, k \geq 1$, we define

$$a\alpha := aa_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_k.$$

Define a \mathbf{k} -linear operator

$$(31) \quad P_{\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)} : \overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A) \rightarrow \overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A), \quad \alpha \mapsto 1_A \otimes \alpha, \quad \alpha \in A^{\otimes k}, k \geq 1.$$

Denote

$$\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)_n := \prod_{k \geq n+1} A^{\otimes k}, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Then we get a decreasing filtration $\{\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ of operated A -submodules of $\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)$.

Note that $\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)$ is naturally identified with the inverse limit of A -modules:

$$\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A) \cong \varprojlim \bigoplus_{i=1}^n A^{\otimes i} \cong \varprojlim \overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A) / \overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)_n.$$

So $(\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A), \overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)_n, P_{\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)})$ is a complete operated A -module. It can be regarded as the space of \mathbf{k} -linear formal series from tensor powers of A , of the form $\sum_{k \geq 0} \alpha_k$ with $\alpha_k \in A^{\otimes k}, k \geq 0$.

Also, denote

$$\overleftarrow{\text{III}}^+(A) := \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} A^{\otimes k} \text{ and } \overleftarrow{\text{III}}^+(A) := \mathbf{k} \oplus \prod_{k \geq 1} A^{\otimes k},$$

again identified with the inverse limit

$$\overleftarrow{\text{III}}^+(A) \cong \varprojlim \bigoplus_{i=0}^k A^{\otimes i} \cong \varprojlim \left(\bigoplus_{i \geq 0} A^{\otimes i} / \bigoplus_{i > k} A^{\otimes i} \right),$$

with the convention that $A^{\otimes 0} := \mathbf{k}$. Then as a complete vector space, we have the identification

$$(32) \quad \overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A) = A \hat{\otimes} \overleftarrow{\text{III}}^+(A) \cong \varprojlim \left(\left(A \otimes \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} A^{\otimes i} \right) / \left(A \otimes \bigoplus_{i > k} A^{\otimes i} \right) \right).$$

Note that here $\hat{\otimes}$ is the complete tensor product with respect to the inverse limit topology on $\overleftarrow{\text{III}}^+(A)$. So a typical element of $A \hat{\otimes} \overleftarrow{\text{III}}^+(A)$ is of the form $\sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_i a_{k,i} \otimes \alpha_{k,i}$, where \sum_i is a finite sum with $a_{k,i} \in A$ and $\alpha_{k,i} \in A^{\otimes k}, k \geq 0$.

Proposition 3.9. *The triple $(\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A), \overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)_n, P_{\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)})$ is a compatible complete operated A -module. Namely, we have*

$$(33) \quad \overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)_n = \overline{\text{Fil}}_{P_{\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)}}^n \overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A), \quad n \geq 0.$$

Proof. For clarity, in the proof we will use the abbreviations $\overleftarrow{\text{III}} = \overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)$, $\overleftarrow{\text{III}}_n = \overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)_n$, $n \geq 0$, and $P = P_{\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)}$.

By the definition of $(\overleftarrow{\text{III}}, P)$, we have $P(\overleftarrow{\text{III}}_n) \subseteq \overleftarrow{\text{III}}_{n+1}$, $n \geq 0$. So $\{\overleftarrow{\text{III}}_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ is a decreasing filtration of operated A -submodules of $\overleftarrow{\text{III}}$.

The inclusions $\overline{\text{Fil}}_P^n \overleftarrow{\text{III}} \subseteq \overleftarrow{\text{III}}_n$, $n \geq 0$, follow from starting with $\overline{\text{Fil}}_P^0 \overleftarrow{\text{III}} = \overleftarrow{\text{III}}_0 = \overleftarrow{\text{III}}$ and then applying the induction on n to yield

$$\overline{\text{Fil}}_P^n \overleftarrow{\text{III}} = \text{cl}(AP(\overline{\text{Fil}}_P^{n-1} \overleftarrow{\text{III}})) \subseteq \text{cl}(AP(\overleftarrow{\text{III}}_{n-1})) \subseteq \overleftarrow{\text{III}}_n, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Here the last inclusion follows from $AP(\overleftarrow{\text{III}}_{n-1}) \subseteq \overleftarrow{\text{III}}_n$ and the fact that $\overleftarrow{\text{III}}_n$ is closed since it is the complement of the open set $\bigcup_{0 \neq f \in \bigoplus_{i=1}^n A^{\otimes i}} (f + \overleftarrow{\text{III}}_n)$.

We next prove the opposite inclusion $\overleftarrow{\text{III}}_n \subseteq \overline{\text{Fil}}_p^n \overleftarrow{\text{III}}$ by contradiction. Suppose that there is $f \in \overleftarrow{\text{III}}_n \setminus \overline{\text{Fil}}_p^n \overleftarrow{\text{III}} = \overleftarrow{\text{III}}_n \cap (\overline{\text{Fil}}_p^n \overleftarrow{\text{III}})^c$. Write $f = \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} f_i$ with $f_i \in A^{\otimes i}$. Since $(\overline{\text{Fil}}_p^n \overleftarrow{\text{III}})^c$ is open, there exists an open neighborhood $f + \overleftarrow{\text{III}}_m$ of f with $m > n$ that is contained in $(\overline{\text{Fil}}_p^n \overleftarrow{\text{III}})^c$. Therefore,

$$\sum_{i=n+1}^m f_i = f - \sum_{i=m+1}^{\infty} f_i \in f + \overleftarrow{\text{III}}_m \subseteq (\overline{\text{Fil}}_p^n \overleftarrow{\text{III}})^c.$$

However, for $\mathfrak{a} = a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_{i-1} \in A^{\otimes i}$ with $i \geq n+1$, we have

$$\mathfrak{a} = a_0 P(a_1 P(\cdots P(a_{i-1}) \cdots)) \in \overline{\text{Fil}}_p^n \overleftarrow{\text{III}}.$$

Thus $\sum_{i=n+1}^m f_i$ is in $\overline{\text{Fil}}_p^n \overleftarrow{\text{III}}$. This is a contradiction. In summary, we have obtained that $\overleftarrow{\text{III}}_n = \overline{\text{Fil}}_p^n \overleftarrow{\text{III}}$, $n \geq 0$. This completes the proof. \square

3.2.2. Step 2. $\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)$ as a weighted Reynolds algebra. For the algebraic product on $\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)$, we will use a complete version of the shuffle product. Recall the usual shuffle product III on the tensor space $T(A)$ (here denoted $\text{III}^+(A)$), recursively defined by

$$(34) \quad \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{k}} \text{III} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{k}} = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{k}}, \quad \mathfrak{a} \text{III} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{k}} = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{k}} \text{III} \mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}, \quad \mathfrak{a} \text{III} \mathfrak{b} = a_1 \otimes (\mathfrak{a}' \text{III} \mathfrak{b}) + b_1 \otimes (\mathfrak{a} \text{III} \mathfrak{b}'),$$

for $\mathfrak{a} = a_1 \otimes \mathfrak{a}' \in A^{\otimes m}$, $\mathfrak{b} = b_1 \otimes \mathfrak{b}' \in A^{\otimes n}$ with $m, n > 0$. To make the product explicit, denote

$$\mathfrak{c} := c_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes c_{m+n} := \mathfrak{a} \otimes \mathfrak{b} = a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_m \otimes b_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_n,$$

and let

$$S_{m,n} := \left\{ \sigma \in S_{m+n} \mid \sigma^{-1}(1) < \cdots < \sigma^{-1}(m), \sigma^{-1}(m+1) < \cdots < \sigma^{-1}(m+n) \right\}$$

be the set of (m, n) -unshuffles. Then $c_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes c_{\sigma(m+n)}$ are called the **shuffles** of \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} and the shuffle product of \mathfrak{a} and \mathfrak{b} is

$$(35) \quad \mathfrak{a} \text{III} \mathfrak{b} = \sum_{\sigma \in S_{m,n}} c_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes c_{\sigma(m+n)}.$$

We give another description of the shuffle product for later applications. Partition $S_{m,n}$ into the disjoint subsets

$$S' := \{ \sigma \in S_{m,n} \mid \sigma^{-1}(m) = m+n \}, \quad S'' := \{ \sigma \in S_{m,n} \mid \sigma^{-1}(m+n) = m+n \}.$$

So S' (resp. S'') consists of unshuffles σ for which $c_{\sigma(m+n)} = a_m$ (resp. $c_{\sigma(m+n)} = b_n$) in $c_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes c_{\sigma(m+n)}$. For $\sigma \in S'$, let $k = \sigma^{-1}(m+n)$, that is, $c_{\sigma(k)} = b_n$. Likewise, for $\sigma \in S''$, let $\ell = \sigma^{-1}(m)$, so that $c_{\sigma(\ell)} = a_m$. Then the shuffle product in Eq. (35) can be rewritten as

$$(36) \quad \mathfrak{a} \text{III} \mathfrak{b} = \sum_{\sigma \in S'} c_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \underbrace{c_{\sigma(k)}}_{=b_n} \otimes a_{k-n+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_m + \sum_{\sigma \in S''} c_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes \underbrace{c_{\sigma(\ell)}}_{=a_m} \otimes b_{\ell-m+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes b_n.$$

Now fix a pointed algebra (A, λ) . With the above notations, we define a binary operation, called the **complete shuffle product**,

$$\hat{\sqcup} : \overleftarrow{\sqcup}^+(A) \otimes \overleftarrow{\sqcup}^+(A) \rightarrow \overleftarrow{\sqcup}^+(A).$$

Here the notion of underlined tensor \otimes is used to distinguish it from the tensor symbol in $\overleftarrow{\sqcup}^+(A)$. For $\mathbf{a} = a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_m \in A^{\otimes m}$ and $\mathbf{b} = b_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes b_n \in A^{\otimes n}$ with $m, n \geq 1$, define

$$(37) \quad \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{\sqcup} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{k}} := \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{k}}, \quad \mathbf{a} \hat{\sqcup} \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{k}} = \mathbf{1}_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{\sqcup} \mathbf{a} := \mathbf{a},$$

$$(38) \quad \mathbf{a} \hat{\sqcup} \mathbf{b} := \sum_{\sigma \in S_{m,n}} \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in I_{\sigma}} (-\lambda)^{\otimes i_1} \otimes c_{\sigma(1)} \otimes (-\lambda)^{\otimes i_2} \otimes c_{\sigma(2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes (-\lambda)^{\otimes i_{m+n}} \otimes c_{\sigma(m+n)}, \quad m, n > 0,$$

where, for $\sigma \in S_{m,n}$, the set I_{σ} consists of all tuples $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_{m+n}) \in \mathbb{N}^{m+n}$ such that $i_j = 0$ whenever $j > \min\{\sigma^{-1}(m), \sigma^{-1}(m+n)\}$.

Alternatively, for a shuffle $c_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes c_{\sigma(m+n)}$ of tensors \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} with $m, n \geq 1$, an **extension** of this shuffle is obtained by inserting an arbitrary tensor power $(-\lambda)^{\otimes j}$ in front of each of the tensor factors $c_{\sigma(j)}$ until $c_{\sigma(j)}$ is either a_m or b_n , after which no insertion is allowed. Then $\mathbf{a} \hat{\sqcup} \mathbf{b}$ is the sum of all the extensions of all the shuffles. More precisely, applying the notions in Eq. (36), Eq. (38) can be rewritten as

$$(39) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{a} \hat{\sqcup} \mathbf{b} &= \sum_{\sigma \in S'} \sum_{i_j \geq 0, 1 \leq j \leq k} (-\lambda)^{\otimes i_1} \otimes c_{\sigma(1)} \otimes (-\lambda)^{\otimes i_2} \otimes c_{\sigma(2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes (-\lambda)^{\otimes i_k} \otimes c_{\sigma(k)} \otimes a_{k-n+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes a_m \\ &+ \sum_{\sigma \in S''} \sum_{i_j \geq 0, 1 \leq j \leq \ell} (-\lambda)^{\otimes i_1} \otimes c_{\sigma(1)} \otimes (-\lambda)^{\otimes i_2} \otimes c_{\sigma(2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes (-\lambda)^{\otimes i_{\ell}} \otimes c_{\sigma(\ell)} \otimes b_{\ell-m+1} \otimes \cdots \otimes b_n. \end{aligned}$$

By Eq. (38), for each $r \geq 1$, the number of pure tensors in $\mathbf{a} \hat{\sqcup} \mathbf{b}$ of length r is 0 for $r < m + n$. For $r \geq m + n$, this number is no more than the number of tuples $(i_1, \dots, i_{m+n}) \in \mathbb{N}^{m+n}$ with $i_1 + \cdots + i_{m+n} = r - m - n$, that is, the number of weak compositions of length $m + n$ and weight (sum) $r - m - n$. Hence this number is finite. Thus $\mathbf{a} \hat{\sqcup} \mathbf{b}$ is a well-defined element of $\prod_{r \geq m+n} A^{\otimes r}$. Then we can linearly extend $\hat{\sqcup}$ to the general case when \mathbf{a} and \mathbf{b} are formal series of pure tensors and obtain a well-defined binary operation $\hat{\sqcup}$ on $\overleftarrow{\sqcup}^+(A)$.

As illustrations of Eq. (38), we give some examples for small values of m and n . When $m = n = 1$, then from $\mathbf{a} \sqcup \mathbf{b} = a \otimes b + b \otimes a$, we have

$$\mathbf{a} \hat{\sqcup} \mathbf{b} = \sum_{k \geq 0} (-\lambda)^{\otimes k} \otimes (a \otimes b + b \otimes a), \quad a, b \in A.$$

When $m = n = 2$, then $\mathbf{a} = a_1 \otimes a_2$, $\mathbf{b} = b_1 \otimes b_2 \in A^{\otimes 2}$. Then from the shuffle product

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a} \sqcup \mathbf{b} &= a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes b_1 \otimes b_2 + b_1 \otimes b_2 \otimes a_1 \otimes a_2 + a_1 \otimes b_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes b_2 \\ &+ a_1 \otimes b_1 \otimes b_2 \otimes a_2 + b_1 \otimes a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes b_2 + b_1 \otimes a_1 \otimes b_2 \otimes a_2, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a} \hat{\sqcup} \mathbf{b} &= \sum_{i_1, i_2 \geq 0} (-\lambda)^{\otimes i_1} \otimes a_1 \otimes (-\lambda)^{\otimes i_2} \otimes a_2 \otimes b_1 \otimes b_2 \\ &+ (-\lambda)^{\otimes i_1} \otimes b_1 \otimes (-\lambda)^{\otimes i_2} \otimes b_2 \otimes a_1 \otimes a_2 \\ &+ \sum_{i_1, i_2, i_3 \geq 0} (-\lambda)^{\otimes i_1} \otimes a_1 \otimes (-\lambda)^{\otimes i_2} \otimes b_1 \otimes (-\lambda)^{\otimes i_3} \otimes a_2 \otimes b_2 \\ &+ (-\lambda)^{\otimes i_1} \otimes a_1 \otimes (-\lambda)^{\otimes i_2} \otimes b_1 \otimes (-\lambda)^{\otimes i_3} \otimes b_2 \otimes a_2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + (-\lambda)^{\otimes i_1} \otimes b_1 \otimes (-\lambda)^{\otimes i_2} \otimes a_1 \otimes (-\lambda)^{\otimes i_3} \otimes a_2 \otimes b_2 \\
& + (-\lambda)^{\otimes i_1} \otimes b_1 \otimes (-\lambda)^{\otimes i_2} \otimes a_1 \otimes (-\lambda)^{\otimes i_3} \otimes b_2 \otimes a_2.
\end{aligned}$$

We now give a recursive characterization of $\hat{\mu}$.

Proposition 3.10. *The binary operation $\hat{\mu}$ on $\overleftarrow{\text{III}}^+(A)$ defined in Eqs. (37) and (38) has the following recursion. For $\alpha = a_1 \otimes \alpha' \in A^{\otimes m}$ and $\mathfrak{b} = b_1 \otimes \mathfrak{b}' \in A^{\otimes n}$, $m, n \geq 1$, with the convention that $\alpha' = 1_{\mathbf{k}}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{b}' = 1_{\mathbf{k}}$) when $m = 1$ (resp. $n = 1$), we have*

$$(40) \quad \alpha \hat{\mu} \mathfrak{b} = a_1 \otimes (\alpha' \hat{\mu} \mathfrak{b}) + b_1 \otimes (\alpha \hat{\mu} \mathfrak{b}') - \lambda \otimes (\alpha \hat{\mu} \mathfrak{b}).$$

Note that, even though the left-hand side $\alpha \hat{\mu} \mathfrak{b}$ also appears on the right, the latter has a higher tensor order, giving rise to a recursion in the complete space.

Proof. When $m = n = 1$, we have $\alpha = a$ and $\mathfrak{b} = b$ with $a, b \in A$, and the recursion in (40) is verified by

$$\begin{aligned}
a \hat{\mu} b &= \sum_{k \geq 0} (-\lambda)^{\otimes k} \otimes (a \otimes b + b \otimes a) \\
&= a \otimes b + b \otimes a + (-\lambda) \otimes \left(\sum_{k \geq 0} (-\lambda)^{\otimes k} \otimes (a \otimes b + b \otimes a) \right) \\
&= a \otimes (1_{\mathbf{k}} \hat{\mu} b) + b \otimes (a \hat{\mu} 1_{\mathbf{k}}) - \lambda \otimes (a \hat{\mu} b).
\end{aligned}$$

One can similarly check the case when exactly one of m or n is 1. Now for $\alpha = a_1 \otimes \alpha' \in A^{\otimes m}$ and $\mathfrak{b} = b_1 \otimes \mathfrak{b}' \in A^{\otimes n}$ with $m, n > 1$, we first divide the right-hand side of (38) into two summands:

$$\alpha \hat{\mu} \mathfrak{b} = T_1 + T_2,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
T_1 &:= \sum_{\sigma \in S_{m,n}} \sum_{\substack{i \in I_{\sigma} \\ i_1=0}} (-\lambda)^{\otimes i_1} \otimes c_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes (-\lambda)^{\otimes i_{m+n}} \otimes c_{\sigma(m+n)}, \\
T_2 &:= \sum_{\sigma \in S_{m,n}} \sum_{\substack{i \in I_{\sigma} \\ i_1>0}} (-\lambda)^{\otimes i_1} \otimes c_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes (-\lambda)^{\otimes i_{m+n}} \otimes c_{\sigma(m+n)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Writing $\alpha' \otimes \mathfrak{b} = d_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes d_{m+n-1}$ and $\alpha \otimes \mathfrak{b}' = e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{m+n-1}$, for the first summand T_1 , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
T_1 &= \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in S_{m,n} \\ \sigma(1)=1}} \sum_{\substack{i \in I_{\sigma} \\ i_1=0}} (-\lambda)^{\otimes i_1} \otimes c_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes (-\lambda)^{\otimes i_{m+n}} \otimes c_{\sigma(m+n)} \\
&+ \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in S_{m,n} \\ \sigma(1)=m+1}} \sum_{\substack{i \in I_{\sigma} \\ i_1=0}} (-\lambda)^{\otimes i_1} \otimes c_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \cdots \otimes (-\lambda)^{\otimes i_{m+n}} \otimes c_{\sigma(m+n)} \\
&\stackrel{(34)}{=} a_1 \otimes \left(\sum_{\tau \in S_{m-1,n}} \sum_{(j_1, \dots, j_{m+n-1}) \in I_{\tau}} (-\lambda)^{\otimes j_1} \otimes d_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes (-\lambda)^{\otimes j_{m+n-1}} \otimes d_{m+n-1} \right) \\
&+ b_1 \otimes \left(\sum_{\omega \in S_{m,n-1}} \sum_{(k_1, \dots, k_{m+n-1}) \in I_{\omega}} (-\lambda)^{\otimes k_1} \otimes e_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes (-\lambda)^{\otimes k_{m+n-1}} \otimes e_{m+n-1} \right) \\
&= a_1 \otimes (\alpha' \hat{\mu} \mathfrak{b}) + b_1 \otimes (\alpha \hat{\mu} \mathfrak{b}').
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$T_2 = (-\lambda) \otimes \left(\sum_{\sigma \in S_{m,n}} \sum_{\substack{i \in I_{\sigma} \\ i_1>0}} (-\lambda)^{\otimes i_1-1} \otimes c_{\sigma(1)} \otimes (-\lambda)^{\otimes i_2} \otimes c_{\sigma(2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes (-\lambda)^{\otimes i_{m+n}} \otimes c_{\sigma(m+n)} \right) \stackrel{(38)}{=} -\lambda \otimes (\alpha \hat{\mu} \mathfrak{b}).$$

Hence, we have obtained the desired equality (40). \square

We next define a binary operation on $\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)$ by an extension of scalar from \hat{m} .

$$(41) \quad \begin{aligned} \diamond : \overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A) \otimes \overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A) &\rightarrow \overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A), \\ \alpha \diamond \beta &:= a_0 b_0 \otimes (\alpha' \hat{m} \beta'), \quad \alpha = a_0 \otimes \alpha' \in A^{\otimes m}, \beta = b_0 \otimes \beta' \in A^{\otimes n}, m, n \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

with the convention in Eq. (32).

Proposition 3.11. *Given a pointed algebra (A, λ) , the quadruple $(\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A), \diamond, P_{\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)}, \lambda)$ is an (A, λ) -weighted Reynolds algebra.*

Proof. First we show that \hat{m} is associative, and hence so is \diamond defined in Eq. (41).

If at least one of α, β or c is $1_{\mathbf{k}}$, then it is clear that $(\alpha \hat{m} \beta) \hat{m} c = \alpha \hat{m} (\beta \hat{m} c)$. In the remaining case, we write $\alpha = a_1 \otimes \alpha' \in A^{\otimes m}$, $\beta = b_1 \otimes \beta' \in A^{\otimes n}$ and $c = c_1 \otimes c' \in A^{\otimes l}$, $m, n, l > 0$. The associativity of \hat{m} can be checked by induction on $m + n + l \geq 3$ as follows.

First applying the equality (40) to $(\alpha \hat{m} \beta) \hat{m} c$ and $\alpha \hat{m} (\beta \hat{m} c)$ twice respectively, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\alpha \hat{m} \beta) \hat{m} c &= a_1 \otimes ((\alpha' \hat{m} \beta) \hat{m} c) + b_1 \otimes ((\alpha \hat{m} \beta') \hat{m} c) - \lambda \otimes ((\alpha \hat{m} \beta) \hat{m} c) \\ &\quad + c_1 \otimes ((\alpha \hat{m} \beta) \hat{m} c') - \lambda \otimes ((\alpha \hat{m} \beta) \hat{m} c), \\ \alpha \hat{m} (\beta \hat{m} c) &= a_1 \otimes (\alpha' \hat{m} (\beta \hat{m} c)) \\ &\quad + (b_1 \otimes (\alpha \hat{m} (\beta' \hat{m} c)) + c_1 \otimes (\alpha \hat{m} (\beta \hat{m} c'))) - \lambda \otimes (\alpha \hat{m} (\beta \hat{m} c)) \\ &\quad - \lambda \otimes (\alpha \hat{m} (\beta \hat{m} c)). \end{aligned}$$

In terms of the linear operator

$$Q_\lambda : \overleftarrow{\text{III}}^+(A) \rightarrow \overleftarrow{\text{III}}^+(A), \quad \alpha \mapsto \alpha + 2\lambda \otimes \alpha,$$

above equalities are equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} Q_\lambda((\alpha \hat{m} \beta) \hat{m} c) &= a_1 \otimes ((\alpha' \hat{m} \beta) \hat{m} c) + b_1 \otimes ((\alpha \hat{m} \beta') \hat{m} c) + c_1 \otimes ((\alpha \hat{m} \beta) \hat{m} c'), \\ Q_\lambda(\alpha \hat{m} (\beta \hat{m} c)) &= a_1 \otimes (\alpha' \hat{m} (\beta \hat{m} c)) + b_1 \otimes (\alpha \hat{m} (\beta' \hat{m} c)) + c_1 \otimes (\alpha \hat{m} (\beta \hat{m} c')). \end{aligned}$$

Now applying the induction hypothesis to the second tensor factor of each term on the right hand sides, we obtain

$$Q_\lambda((\alpha \hat{m} \beta) \hat{m} c) = Q_\lambda(\alpha \hat{m} (\beta \hat{m} c)).$$

Note that the operator Q_λ has the inverse operator

$$Q_\lambda^{-1} : \overleftarrow{\text{III}}^+(A) \rightarrow \overleftarrow{\text{III}}^+(A), \quad \alpha \mapsto \alpha + \sum_{r \geq 1} (-2\lambda)^{\otimes r} \otimes \alpha$$

and hence is injective. Thus the associativity of \hat{m} is verified.

Second, we show that $P_{\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)}$ is a weighted Reynolds operator on $\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)$ satisfying condition (9). Indeed, for $\alpha = a_0 \otimes \alpha' \in A^{\otimes m}$ and $\beta = b_0 \otimes \beta' \in A^{\otimes n}$, $m, n \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)}(\alpha) \diamond P_{\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)}(\beta) &\stackrel{(41), (31)}{=} 1_A \otimes (\alpha \hat{m} \beta) \\ &\stackrel{(40)}{=} 1_A \otimes (a_0 \otimes (\alpha' \hat{m} \beta) + b_0 \otimes (\alpha \hat{m} \beta') - \lambda \otimes (\alpha \hat{m} \beta)) \\ &\stackrel{(41), (31)}{=} P_{\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)}(\alpha \diamond P_{\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)}(\beta) + P_{\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)}(\alpha) \diamond \beta - \lambda \diamond P_{\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)}(\alpha) \diamond P_{\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)}(\beta)). \end{aligned}$$

In summary, we have shown that $(\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A), \diamond, P_{\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)}, \lambda)$ is a weighted Reynolds algebra. \square

3.2.3. Step 3. $\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)$ as the free compatible weighted Reynolds algebra. Let $j_A : A \rightarrow \overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)$, $a \mapsto a$ be the natural injection.

Theorem 3.12. *Given a pointed algebra (A, λ) , the sextuple $(\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A), \overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)_n, \diamond, P_{\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)}, \lambda, j_A)$ is the free object in the category \mathcal{CR}_A of compatible weighted Reynolds algebra over (A, λ) .*

Proof. By Proposition 3.11, it remains to verify the universal property of $\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)$ as the free compatible weighted Reynolds algebra over (A, λ) .

Let $(R, R_n, P_R, \lambda_R, i_R) \in \mathcal{CR}_A$ be a compatible weighted Reynolds algebra over (A, λ) (with a pointed algebra homomorphism $i_R : (A, \lambda) \rightarrow (R, \lambda_R)$ as the structure map), we will construct an A -module map $\tilde{f} : \overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A) \rightarrow R$ as follows. First define the A -module map

$$\tilde{f} : \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} A^{\otimes i} \rightarrow R, A^{\otimes(n+1)} \ni \alpha = a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n \mapsto i_R(a_0)P_R(i_R(a_1))P_R(\cdots P_R(i_R(a_n))\cdots), \quad n \geq 0.$$

Then $\tilde{f}(A^{\otimes(n+1)}) \subseteq AP_R(\tilde{f}(A^{\otimes n}))$, $n \geq 1$. Thus from $\tilde{f}(A) = i_R(A) \subseteq R_0 = R$, we recursively obtain

$$\tilde{f}(A^{\otimes(n+1)}) \subseteq AP_R(\tilde{f}(A^{\otimes n})) \subseteq \text{cl}(AP(\overline{\text{Fil}}_p^{n-1} R)) = \overline{\text{Fil}}_p^n R = R_n, \quad n \geq 1,$$

and hence

$$\tilde{f}\left(\bigoplus_{i \geq n+1} A^{\otimes i}\right) \subseteq \overline{\text{Fil}}_p^n R = R_n, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Correspondingly, we have an induced A -module map

$$\prod_{n \geq 0} \left(\bigoplus_{i \geq 1} A^{\otimes i} / \bigoplus_{i \geq n+1} A^{\otimes i} \right) \rightarrow \prod_{n \geq 0} R/R_n, \quad \left(\alpha_n + \bigoplus_{i \geq n+1} A^{\otimes i} \right)_{n \geq 0} \mapsto (\tilde{f}(\alpha_n) + R_n)_{n \geq 0}.$$

If $(\alpha_n + \bigoplus_{i \geq n+1} A^{\otimes i})_{n \geq 0} \in \varprojlim \left(\bigoplus_{i \geq 1} A^{\otimes i} / \bigoplus_{i \geq n+1} A^{\otimes i} \right)$, then $\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n \in \bigoplus_{i \geq n+1} A^{\otimes i}$ implies that $\tilde{f}(\alpha_{n+1}) - \tilde{f}(\alpha_n) = \tilde{f}(\alpha_{n+1} - \alpha_n) \in R_n$ for all $n \geq 0$, so we obtain a A -module map

$$\hat{f} : \overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A) = \varprojlim \left(\bigoplus_{i \geq 1} A^{\otimes i} / \bigoplus_{i \geq n+1} A^{\otimes i} \right) \rightarrow \hat{R} = \varprojlim R/R_n, \quad \left(\alpha_n + \bigoplus_{i \geq n+1} A^{\otimes i} \right)_{n \geq 0} \mapsto (\tilde{f}(\alpha_n) + R_n)_{n \geq 0}.$$

Let $\bar{f} = \kappa_R^{-1} \hat{f}$. We show that it is our desired A -module map from $\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)$ to R such that $i_R = \bar{f} \circ j_A$.

Since $\tilde{f}\left(\bigoplus_{i \geq n+1} A^{\otimes i}\right) \subseteq R_n$ and $\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)_n = \varprojlim \left(\left(\bigoplus_{i \geq n+1} A^{\otimes i} + \bigoplus_{i \geq k+1} A^{\otimes i} \right) / \bigoplus_{i \geq k+1} A^{\otimes i} \right)$, we have

$$\hat{f}(\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)_n) \subseteq \hat{R}_n = \left\{ (r_k + R_k)_{k \geq 0} \in \prod_{k \geq 0} (R_n + R_k) / R_k \mid r_{k+1} - r_k \in R_k \right\}, \quad n \geq 0.$$

On the other hand, we show that $\kappa_R(R_n) = \hat{R}_n$ below, implying $\bar{f}\left(\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)_n\right) \subseteq R_n$, $n \geq 0$. In fact, by the definition of κ_R , it is clear that $\kappa_R(R_n) \subseteq \hat{R}_n$. Conversely, for any $x \in \kappa_R^{-1}(\hat{R}_n)$, we have

$$\kappa_R(x) = (x + R_k)_{k \geq 0} \in \hat{R}_n.$$

When $k \geq n$, we see that $x + R_k \in R_n / R_k$, i.e. $x \in R_n$. Hence, $\kappa_R^{-1}(\hat{R}_n) \subseteq R_n$. We have shown that $\kappa_R(R_n) = \hat{R}_n$.

Fix $\mathfrak{a} = a_0 \otimes \mathfrak{a}' \in A^{\otimes m}$ and $\mathfrak{b} = b_0 \otimes \mathfrak{b}' \in A^{\otimes n}$, $m, n \geq 1$. By the construction of \bar{f} , we have

$$\bar{f}(P_{\overline{\text{III}}(A)}^{\leftarrow}(\mathfrak{a})) = \bar{f}(1_A \otimes \mathfrak{a}) = i_R(1_A)P_R(\bar{f}(\mathfrak{a})) = P_R(\bar{f}(\mathfrak{a})).$$

Hence, $\bar{f}P_{\overline{\text{III}}(A)}^{\leftarrow} = P_R\bar{f}$. Thus we have shown that \bar{f} is a homomorphism of operated A -modules that preserves the filtrations.

Next we check that \bar{f} is a weighted Reynolds algebra homomorphism. We begin with verifying $\bar{f}(\mathfrak{a} \diamond \mathfrak{b}) = \bar{f}(\mathfrak{a})\bar{f}(\mathfrak{b})$ by induction on $m + n$. When one of m or n is 1, it holds simply because i_R is an algebra homomorphism. When m and n are both greater than 1, writing $\mathfrak{a} = a_1 \otimes \mathfrak{a}'$ and $\mathfrak{b} = b_1 \otimes \mathfrak{b}'$, we first prove

$$(42) \quad P_R(\bar{f}(\mathfrak{a}' \hat{\text{m}} \mathfrak{b}')) = P_R(\bar{f}(\mathfrak{a}'))P_R(\bar{f}(\mathfrak{b}')).$$

We rewrite Eq. (40) via (31) and (41) as

$$\mathfrak{a}' \hat{\text{m}} \mathfrak{b}' = \mathfrak{a}' \diamond P_{\overline{\text{III}}(A)}^{\leftarrow}(\mathfrak{b}') + P_{\overline{\text{III}}(A)}^{\leftarrow}(\mathfrak{a}') \diamond \mathfrak{b}' - \lambda \diamond P_{\overline{\text{III}}(A)}^{\leftarrow}(\mathfrak{a}' \hat{\text{m}} \mathfrak{b}').$$

Applying \bar{f} and then P_R to both sides of this equality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} P_R(\bar{f}(\mathfrak{a}' \hat{\text{m}} \mathfrak{b}')) &= P_R\left(\bar{f}(\mathfrak{a}')\bar{f}(P_{\overline{\text{III}}(A)}^{\leftarrow}(\mathfrak{b}')) + \bar{f}(P_{\overline{\text{III}}(A)}^{\leftarrow}(\mathfrak{a}'))\bar{f}(\mathfrak{b}') - \bar{f}(\lambda)\bar{f}(P_{\overline{\text{III}}(A)}^{\leftarrow}(\mathfrak{a}' \hat{\text{m}} \mathfrak{b}'))\right) \\ &= P_R(\bar{f}(\mathfrak{a}')P_R(\bar{f}(\mathfrak{b}'))) + P_R(P_R(\bar{f}(\mathfrak{a}'))\bar{f}(\mathfrak{b}')) - P_R(\lambda P_R(\bar{f}(\mathfrak{a}' \hat{\text{m}} \mathfrak{b}'))), \end{aligned}$$

by the induction hypothesis and the equality $\bar{f}P_{\overline{\text{III}}(A)}^{\leftarrow} = P_R\bar{f}$ just obtained above. Equivalently, $P_R(\bar{f}(\mathfrak{a}' \hat{\text{m}} \mathfrak{b}'))$ satisfies the equation

$$(\text{id}_R + P_R\lambda_R)(P_R(\bar{f}(\mathfrak{a}' \hat{\text{m}} \mathfrak{b}'))) = P_R(\bar{f}(\mathfrak{a}')P_R(\bar{f}(\mathfrak{b}'))) + P_R(P_R(\bar{f}(\mathfrak{a}'))\bar{f}(\mathfrak{b}')).$$

On the other hand, the weighted Reynolds identity (9) gives

$$(\text{id}_R + P_R\lambda_R)(P_R(\bar{f}(\mathfrak{a}'))P_R(\bar{f}(\mathfrak{b}'))) = P_R(\bar{f}(\mathfrak{a}')P_R(\bar{f}(\mathfrak{b}'))) + P_R(P_R(\bar{f}(\mathfrak{a}'))\bar{f}(\mathfrak{b}')).$$

Thus to prove Eq. (42), we just need to prove that the operator $F := \text{id}_R + P_R\lambda_R$ on R is invertible. For this, we directly construct its inverse G . In fact, using the notation given after Definition 3.1, we consider the maps (retaining the composition symbol \circ for precision)

$$\kappa_n \circ \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-P_R\lambda_R)^k \right) : R \rightarrow R/R_n, \quad n \geq 1,$$

and the universal property of \hat{R} as an inverse limit provides a linear map $G' : R \rightarrow \hat{R}$ such that $\hat{\kappa}_n \circ G' = \kappa_n \circ \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-P_R\lambda_R)^k \right)$. Since R is complete and $\kappa_R : R \rightarrow \hat{R}$ is an isomorphism, we take $G := \kappa_R^{-1} \circ G'$. For $n \geq 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_n \circ (G \circ F) &= (\kappa_n \circ \kappa_R^{-1}) \circ G' \circ F = (\hat{\kappa}_n \circ G') \circ F \\ &= \kappa_n \circ \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-P_R\lambda_R)^k \right) \circ (\text{id}_R + P_R\lambda_R) = \kappa_n \circ (\text{id}_R - (-P_R\lambda_R)^n) = \kappa_n, \\ \kappa_n \circ (F \circ G) &= \kappa_n \circ F \circ \kappa_R^{-1} \circ G' = (\text{id}_{R/R_n} + (P_R)_n \times (\lambda_R + R_n)) \circ (\kappa_n \circ \kappa_R^{-1}) \circ G' \\ &= (\text{id}_{R/R_n} + (P_R)_n \times (\lambda_R + R_n)) \circ (\hat{\kappa}_n \circ G') \\ &= \kappa_n \circ (\text{id}_R + P_R\lambda_R) \circ \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-P_R\lambda_R)^k \right) = \kappa_n \circ (\text{id}_R - (-P_R\lambda_R)^n) = \kappa_n. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $F \circ G = G \circ F = \text{id}_R$, as $\ker \kappa_R = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} R_n = \{0\}$. Thus, we obtain Eq. (42), and then

$$\bar{f}(a \diamond b) \stackrel{(41)}{=} \bar{f}(a_0 b_0 \otimes (a' \hat{\text{m}} b')) = i_R(a_0 b_0) P_R(\bar{f}(a' \hat{\text{m}} b')) \stackrel{(42)}{=} i_R(a_0) P_R(\bar{f}(a')) i_R(b_0) P_R(\bar{f}(b')) = \bar{f}(a) \bar{f}(b).$$

In summary, we have shown that \bar{f} is also an algebra homomorphism.

Finally, since \bar{f} is required to be a weighted Reynolds algebra homomorphism such that $i_R = \bar{f} \circ j_A$, we must have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{f}(a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n) &= \bar{f}(a_0 \diamond P_{\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)}(a_1 \diamond P_{\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)}(\cdots P_{\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)}(a_n) \cdots))) \\ &= i_R(a_0) P_R(i_R(a_1) P_R(\cdots P_R(i_R(a_n)) \cdots)), \end{aligned}$$

for $n \geq 0$. Hence, such a map \bar{f} is unique. \square

3.2.4. Step 4. $\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)$ as the free compatible differential Reynolds algebra. We next apply Theorem 3.12 to construct the free commutative compatible differential Reynolds algebra over a unit-modified differential algebra (A, d) . Define a linear operator $D_{\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)}$ on $\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)$ by

$$(43) \quad D_{\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)}(a_0) := d(a_0), \quad D_{\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)}(a) := d(a_0) \otimes a' + a_0 \diamond a' - d(1_A) \otimes a,$$

for $a = a_0 \otimes a' \in A^{\otimes n}$ with $n \geq 2$. Alternatively, we have

$$D_{\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)}(a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_k) = d(a_0) \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_k + a_0 a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_k - d(1_A) a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes a_k,$$

for $a_0, \dots, a_k \in A$ with $k \geq 1$.

By definition we have

$$(44) \quad D_{\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)} P_{\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)} = \text{id}_{\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)}, \quad D_{\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)} \left(\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)_{n+1} \right) \subseteq \overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)_n, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Now we can give the statement and proof of our construction of free differential Reynolds algebras.

Theorem 3.13. *Let (A, d) be a unit-modified differential algebra. The sextuple*

$$\left(\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A), \overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)_n, \diamond, D_{\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)}, P_{\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)}, j_A \right)$$

is the free object in the category CDR_A of compatible differential Reynolds algebras over (A, d) .

Proof. We first check that $D_{\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)}$ is a unit-modified differential operator on $\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)$. Fix $a = a_0 \otimes a' \in A^{\otimes m}$ and $b = b_0 \otimes b' \in A^{\otimes n}$, $m, n \geq 1$. We only deal with the case when $m, n > 1$, since the case when $m = 1$ or $n = 1$ is simpler. Write $a = a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes a''$ and $b = b_0 \otimes b_1 \otimes b''$ with $a_0, a_1, b_0, b_1 \in A$, $a'' \in A^{\otimes(m-1)}$ and $b'' \in A^{\otimes(n-1)}$. We first derive

$$\begin{aligned} & a_0 \diamond a' \diamond b + a \diamond b_0 \diamond b' - d(1_A) \diamond a \diamond b \\ & \stackrel{(41)}{=} a_0 b_0 a_1 \otimes (a'' \hat{\text{m}} b') + a_0 b_0 b_1 \otimes (a' \hat{\text{m}} b'') - d(1_A) a_0 b_0 \otimes (a' \hat{\text{m}} b') \\ & = a_0 b_0 \diamond (a_1 \otimes (a'' \hat{\text{m}} b') + b_1 \otimes (a' \hat{\text{m}} b'')) - d(1_A) \otimes (a' \hat{\text{m}} b') \\ & \stackrel{(40)}{=} a_0 b_0 \diamond (a' \hat{\text{m}} b'). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_{\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)}(a \diamond b) & \stackrel{(41)}{=} D_{\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)}(a_0 b_0 \otimes (a' \hat{\text{m}} b')) \\ & \stackrel{(43)}{=} d(a_0 b_0) \otimes (a' \hat{\text{m}} b') + a_0 b_0 \diamond (a' \hat{\text{m}} b') - d(1_A) \diamond (a \diamond b) \end{aligned}$$

$$= d(a_0 b_0) \otimes (\alpha' \hat{\imath} b') + a_0 \diamond \alpha' \diamond b + \alpha \diamond b_0 \diamond b' - 2d(1_A) \diamond \alpha \diamond b.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} & D_{\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)}(\alpha) \diamond b + \alpha \diamond D_{\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)}(b) - d(1_A) \diamond \alpha \diamond b \\ \stackrel{(43)}{=} & (d(a_0) \otimes \alpha' + a_0 \diamond \alpha' - d(1_A) \diamond \alpha) \diamond b + \alpha \diamond (d(b_0) \otimes b' + b_0 \diamond b' - d(1_A) \diamond b) - d(1_A) \diamond \alpha \diamond b \\ \stackrel{(41)}{=} & (d(a_0)b_0 + a_0 d(b_0) - d(1_A)a_0 b_0) \otimes (\alpha' \hat{\imath} b') + a_0 \diamond \alpha' \diamond b + \alpha \diamond b_0 \diamond b' - 2d(1_A) \diamond \alpha \diamond b \\ \stackrel{(8)}{=} & d(a_0 b_0) \otimes (\alpha' \hat{\imath} b') + a_0 \diamond \alpha' \diamond b + \alpha \diamond b_0 \diamond b' - 2d(1_A) \diamond \alpha \diamond b. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have proved that Eq. (8) holds for $D_{\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)}$.

Furthermore, given any compatible differential Reynolds algebra (R, R_n, D_R, P_R, i_R) (with a unit-modified differential algebra homomorphism $i_R : (A, d) \rightarrow (R, D_R)$ as the structure map), we just need to show that the induced homomorphism $\bar{f} : \overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A) \rightarrow R$ of weighted Reynolds algebras as defined in the proof of Theorem 3.12 is moreover a unit-modified differential algebra homomorphism. That is, $\bar{f}(D_{\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)}(\alpha)) = D_R(\bar{f}(\alpha))$ for all pure tensors $\alpha \in A^{\otimes m}$. The case when $m = 1$ follows from $i_R d = D_R i_R$. For $m \geq 2$, as $\bar{f}(\alpha) = i_R(a_0)P_R(\bar{f}(\alpha'))$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{f}(D_{\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)}(\alpha)) & \stackrel{(43)}{=} \bar{f}(d(a_0) \otimes \alpha' + a_0 \diamond \alpha' - d(1_A) \diamond \alpha) \\ & = i_R(d(a_0))P_R(\bar{f}(\alpha')) + i_R(a_0)\bar{f}(\alpha') - i_R(d(1_A))\bar{f}(\alpha) \\ & = D_R(f(a_0))P_R(\bar{f}(\alpha')) + i_R(a_0)\bar{f}(\alpha') - D_R(1_R)\bar{f}(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} D_R(\bar{f}(\alpha)) & = D_R(i_R(a_0)P_R(\bar{f}(\alpha'))) \\ & \stackrel{(8)}{=} D_R(i_R(a_0))P_R(\bar{f}(\alpha')) + i_R(a_0)D_R(P_R(\bar{f}(\alpha'))) - D_R(1_R)i_R(a_0)P_R(\bar{f}(\alpha')) \\ & \stackrel{(10)}{=} D_R(i_R(a_0))P_R(\bar{f}(\alpha')) + i_R(a_0)\bar{f}(\alpha') - D_R(1_R)\bar{f}(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\bar{f}D_{\overleftarrow{\text{III}}(A)} = D_R\bar{f}$. This completes the proof. \square

We end the paper with applying Theorem 3.13 to the analytic setting in the previous section. Here the construction of the free compatible differential Reynolds algebra can be made explicit.

Proposition 3.14. *Retaining the notions and assumptions of Proposition 3.6, if the coefficient of x in $k(x) \in \mathbb{R}[[x]]$ is nonzero, and $h(x) = \mu \frac{d}{dx}(1/k(x))$ for some $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^*$, then the quintuple $(\mathbb{R}[[x]], \mathbb{R}[[x]]_n, D_K, P_K, i_{\mathbb{R}[[x]]})$ is a compatible differential Reynolds algebra over the unit-modified differential algebra $(\mathbb{R}, \mu^{-1}\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}})$ and is isomorphic to the free commutative compatible differential Reynolds algebra $\overleftarrow{\text{III}}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}, \mu^{-1}\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}})$ over $(\mathbb{R}, \mu^{-1}\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}})$.*

Thus the compatible differential Reynolds algebra $(\mathbb{R}[[x]], \mathbb{R}[[x]]_n, D_K, P_K, i_{\mathbb{R}[[x]]})$ gives an analytic realization of the free commutative compatible differential Reynolds algebra $\overleftarrow{\text{III}}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}, \mu^{-1}\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}})$.

Proof. If the coefficient of x in $k(x)$ is nonzero, then $h(x) = \mu \frac{d}{dx}(1/k(x))$ is invertible. Thus,

$$D_K(1) = \frac{1}{h(x)} \frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{1}{k(x)} \right) = \mu^{-1}$$

and hence is in \mathbb{R} . Then the restriction of D_K to \mathbb{R} gives a unit-modified differential operator

$$d := D_K|_{\mathbb{R}} = \mu^{-1}\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}}$$

on \mathbb{R} . Hence we can take \mathbb{R} to be the intermediate ring A in Proposition 3.6 and conclude that the quintuple $(\mathbb{R}[[x]], \mathbb{R}[[x]]_n, D_K, P_K, i_{\mathbb{R}[[x]])}$ is a compatible differential Reynolds algebra with $(A, d) = (\mathbb{R}, \mu^{-1} \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}})$.

Applying the universal property of the free commutative compatible differential Reynolds algebra $\overleftarrow{\text{III}}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}, \mu^{-1} \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}})$ over $(\mathbb{R}, \mu^{-1} \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}})$, there is a homomorphism of differential Reynolds algebras

$$\phi : \overleftarrow{\text{III}}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}, \mu^{-1} \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[[x]], \quad 1^{\otimes(n+1)} \mapsto P_K^n(1), \quad n \geq 0.$$

Next we prove by induction on n that

$$(45) \quad P_K^n(1) = \mu^n \frac{k(x)}{k(0)} \sum_{s \geq n} \frac{\ln^s(k(0)/k(x))}{s!}, \quad n \geq 0.$$

Here $\ln(k(0)/k(x))$ is regarded as a power series expansion. For $n = 0$, the equality is clear as

$$\frac{k(x)}{k(0)} \sum_{s \geq 0} \frac{\ln^s(k(0)/k(x))}{s!} = \frac{k(x)}{k(0)} e^{\ln(k(0)/k(x))} = \frac{k(x)}{k(0)} \frac{k(0)}{k(x)} = 1.$$

Now for $n > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_K^n(1) &= P_K(P_K^{n-1}(1)) = \frac{\mu^{n-1}}{k(0)} \sum_{s \geq n-1} \frac{P_K(k(x) \ln^s(k(0)/k(x)))}{s!} \\ &= \frac{\mu^{n-1}}{k(0)} \sum_{s \geq n-1} \frac{k(x)}{s!} \int_0^x h(t) k(t) \ln^s(k(0)/k(t)) dt \\ &= \mu^n \frac{k(x)}{k(0)} \sum_{s \geq n-1} \frac{1}{s!} \int_0^x \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{k(t)} \right) k(t) \ln^s(k(0)/k(t)) dt \\ &= \mu^n \frac{k(x)}{k(0)} \sum_{s \geq n-1} \frac{1}{s!} \int_0^x \ln^s(k(0)/k(t)) d(\ln(k(0)/k(t))) \\ &= \mu^n \frac{k(x)}{k(0)} \sum_{s \geq n-1} \frac{1}{(s+1)!} \ln^{s+1}(k(0)/k(t)) \Big|_0^x \\ &= \mu^n \frac{k(x)}{k(0)} \sum_{r \geq n} \frac{1}{r!} \ln^r(k(0)/k(x)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $h(0) = -\mu k'(0)/k^2(0) \in \mathbb{R}^*$ by assumption, and the power series expansion of $k(0)/k(x)$ is $1 - (k'(0)/k(0))x$ modulo higher degree terms, the expansion of $\ln(k(0)/k(x))$ has the lowest term of degree 1. Therefore, using Eq.(45) we see that

$$P_K^n(1) \in x^n \mathbb{R}[[x]] \setminus x^{n+1} \mathbb{R}[[x]].$$

As a result, ϕ is an isomorphism. □

Here is an application to the classical example, revisiting Remark 2.16.

Example 3.15. Taking $K(x, t) = e^{-x+t}$ as in Example 2.10 and Remark 2.16, then P_K is a Reynolds operator (of weight 1), since $D_K(1) = 1$. It is a special case of Proposition 3.14 with $\mu = 1$. Therefore, there is an isomorphism of compatible differential Reynolds algebras over $(\mathbb{R}, \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}})$

$$\phi : \overleftarrow{\text{III}}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}, \text{id}_{\mathbb{R}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[[x]], \quad 1^{\otimes(k+1)} \mapsto P_K^k(1) = \sum_{n \geq k} (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n-1}{k-1} \frac{x^n}{n!}, \quad k \geq 0,$$

with the convention that $\binom{i}{-1} = \delta_{i,-1}$. So the natural (power series) product on the right hand side corresponds to the complete shuffle product on the left hand side.

The next example can be regarded as a degenerated case of Proposition 3.14, reducing to the usual derivation and integration.

Example 3.16. Consider the differential Reynolds algebra $(\mathbb{R}[[x]], D_K, P_K)$ with kernel $K(x, t) = 1$. Then $D_K = \frac{d}{dx}$ and $P_K = \int_0^x dt$. Since $D_K(1) = 0$, the pair $(\mathbb{R}, 0)$ is a unit-modified differential algebra and there is a unit-modified differential algebra monomorphism $i_{\mathbb{R}[[x]]} : (\mathbb{R}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}[[x]], D_K)$. Take the decreasing filtration $\{x^n \mathbb{R}[[x]]\}_{n \geq 0}$ of \mathbb{R} -submodules of $\mathbb{R}[[x]]$. As discussed in Example 3.5, we know that $(\mathbb{R}[[x]], x^n \mathbb{R}[[x]], D_K, P_K, i_{\mathbb{R}[[x]]})$ is a compatible differential Reynolds algebra with $(A, d) = (\mathbb{R}, 0)$. Then the universal property of the free commutative compatible differential Reynolds algebra $\overleftarrow{\text{III}}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}, 0)$ over $(\mathbb{R}, 0)$ gives a homomorphism of compatible weighted Reynolds algebras over $(\mathbb{R}, 0)$,

$$\psi : \overleftarrow{\text{III}}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}, 0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[[x]], \quad 1^{\otimes(n+1)} \mapsto P_K^n(1) = \frac{x^n}{n!}, \quad n \geq 0,$$

which is actually an isomorphism. In particular, $\psi\left(\sum_{k \geq 1} 1^{\otimes k}\right) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{x^n}{n!} = e^x$.

The last example goes beyond the scope of Proposition 3.14, but still falls into the range of discussion in Proposition 3.6.

Example 3.17. Consider the rational kernel $K(x, t) = \frac{1}{x^2+1}$. We have $D_K(x^k) = kx^{k-1} + (k+2)x^{k+1}$ for $k \geq 0$. Hence, D_K restricts to a unit-modified differential operator d on the polynomial algebra $\mathbb{R}[x]$ defined by

$$d(x^k) = kx^{k-1} + (k+2)x^{k+1}, \quad k \geq 0.$$

By Proposition 3.6, there is a unit-modified differential algebra monomorphism $i_{\mathbb{R}[x]} : (\mathbb{R}[x], d) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}[[x]], D_K)$, and $(\mathbb{R}[[x]], \mathbb{R}[[x]]_n, D_K, P_K, i_{\mathbb{R}[x]})$ is a compatible differential Reynolds algebra over $(A, d) = (\mathbb{R}[x], d)$.

Applying the universal property of the free commutative compatible differential Reynolds algebra $\overleftarrow{\text{III}}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}[x], d)$, there is a homomorphism of differential Reynolds algebras

$$\varphi : \overleftarrow{\text{III}}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}[x], d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}[[x]], \quad x^{\otimes \alpha} = x^{a_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes x^{a_r} \mapsto Q_{\alpha}(x), \quad \alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r,$$

where $Q_{\alpha}(x)$ is the following analytic function given by iterated integrals.

$$\begin{aligned} & x^{a_0} P_K \left(x^{a_1} P_K \left(\cdots x^{a_{r-2}} P_K \left(x^{a_{r-1}} P_K \left(x^{a_r} \right) \right) \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{x^{a_0}}{x^2+1} \int_0^x \frac{x_1^{a_1}}{x_1^2+1} dx_1 \cdots \int_0^{x_{r-2}} \frac{x_{r-1}^{a_{r-1}}}{x_{r-1}^2+1} dx_{r-1} \int_0^{x_{r-1}} x_r^{a_r} dx_r. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the multiplication formula of the free objects in Eq. (38), a product of two iterated integrals of this form can be expressed as a series of iterated integrals of the same form.

Acknowledgments. This work is supported by Natural Science Foundation of China (12071094, 12171155) and Guangdong Basic and Applied Basic Research Foundation (2022A1515010357). The authors thank Markus Rosenkranz for helpful discussions.

Declaration of interests. The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

Data availability. No new data were created or analyzed in this study.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Álvarez, C. Sancho and P. Sancho, Reynolds operator on functors, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **10** (2011), 1958–1966. [3](#)
- [2] F. V. Atkinson, Some aspects of Baxter’s functional equation, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **7** (1963), 1–30. [2](#)
- [3] V. V. Bavula, The algebra of integro-differential operators on a polynomial algebra, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **83** (2011), 517–543. [3](#)
- [4] G. Baxter, An analytic problem whose solution follows from a simple algebraic identity, *Pac. J. Math.* **10** (1960), 731–742. [2](#)
- [5] G. Birkhoff, Lattices in applied mathematics II: averaging operators. *Proc. Symp. Pure Math.* **2** (1961), 163–184. [3](#)
- [6] F. Boulier, A. Korporal, F. Lemaire, W. Perruquetti, A. Poteaux and R. Ushirobira, An algorithm for converting nonlinear differential equations to integral equations with an application to parameter estimation from noisy data, in: *International Workshop on Computer Algebra in Scientific Computing* (2014), 28–43, Springer. [3](#)
- [7] F. Boulier, D. Lazard, F. Ollivier and M. Petitot, Representation for the radical of a finitely generated differential ideal, in: *ISSAC’95: Proceedings of the 1995 International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation* (1995), 158–166, ACM Press. [2](#)
- [8] F. Boulier, E. Lemaire, M. Rosenkranz, R. Ushirobira and N. Verdière, On symbolic approaches to integro-differential equations, in: *Algebraic and Symbolic Computation Methods in Dynamical Systems* (2020), 161–182, Springer. [3](#)
- [9] P. Cartier, On the structure of free Baxter algebras, *Adv. Math.* **9** (1972), 253–265. [2](#)
- [10] K.-T. Chen, Iterated integrals of differential forms and loop space homology, *Ann. Math.* **97** (1973), 217–246. [2](#)
- [11] K.-T. Chen, Iterated path integrals, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **83** (1977), 831–879. [2](#)
- [12] C. Chenavier, Topological rewriting systems applied to standard bases and syntactic algebras, *J. Algebra* **550** (2020), 410–431. [4](#)
- [13] H. Chu, S.-J. Hu and M.-C. Kang, A variant of the Reynolds operator, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **133** (2005), 2865–2871. [3](#)
- [14] A. Connes and D. Kreimer, Renormalization in quantum field theory and the Riemann-Hilbert problem I: the Hopf algebra structure of graphs and the main theorem, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **210** (2000), 249–273. [2](#)
- [15] P. K. Friz and M. Hairer, 2020. A Course on Rough Paths, Springer, 2020. [3](#)
- [16] J. Freitag, W. Li and T. Scanlon, Differential Chow varieties exist, *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* **95** (2017), 128–156. [2](#)
- [17] X. Gao, L. Guo and M. Rosenkranz, Free integro-differential algebras and Gröbner-Shirshov bases, *J. Algebra* **442** (2015), 354–396. [3](#)
- [18] L. Guo, Operated semigroups, Motzkin paths and rooted trees, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **29** (2009), 35–62. [5](#)
- [19] L. Guo, An Introduction to Rota-Baxter Algebras, International Press, 2012. [2](#), [10](#)
- [20] L. Guo, R. Gustavson and Y. Li, An algebraic study of Volterra integral equations and their operator linearity, *J. Algebra* **595** (2022), 398–433. [3](#), [4](#), [7](#)
- [21] L. Guo and W. Keigher, Baxter algebras and shuffle products, *Adv. Math.* **150** (2000), 117–149. [2](#)
- [22] L. Guo and W. Keigher, On free Baxter algebras: completions and the internal construction, *Adv. Math.* **151** (2000), 101–127. [4](#)
- [23] L. Guo and W. Keigher, On differential Rota-Baxter algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **212** (2008), 522–540. [3](#), [4](#), [5](#)
- [24] L. Guo, G. Regensburger and M. Rosenkranz, On integro-differential algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **218** (2014), 456–473. [3](#), [5](#), [7](#)
- [25] L. Guo, W. Sit and R. Zhang, Differential type operators and Gröbner-Shirshov bases, *J. Symb. Comput.* **52** (2013), 97–123. [6](#)
- [26] R. Hain, Iterated integrals and algebraic cycles: examples and prospects, In: *Contemporary Trends in Algebraic Geometry and Algebraic Topology* (2002), 55–118, World Scientific. [3](#)
- [27] J. Hossein Poor, C. G. Raab and G. Regensburger, Algorithmic operator algebras via normal forms in tensor rings, *J. Symb. Comput.* **85** (2018), 247–274. [3](#)
- [28] K. Ihara, M. Kaneko and D. Zagier, Derivation and double shuffle relations for multiple zeta values, *Compos. Math.* **142** (2006), 307–338. [3](#)

- [29] Y. Kobayashi, Complete rewriting systems and homology of monoid algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **65** (1990), 263–275. [4](#)
- [30] E. Kolchin, *Differential Algebra and Algebraic Groups*, Academic Press, 1973. [2](#)
- [31] A. Medvedev and T. Scanlon, Invariant varieties for polynomial dynamical systems, *Ann. Math.* **179** (2014), 81–177. [2](#)
- [32] J. B. Miller, Möbius transforms of Reynolds operators, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **218** (1964), 6–16. [10](#)
- [33] A. Ovchinnikov, G. Pogudin and P. Thompson, Input-output equations and identifiability of linear ODE models, *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control* **68** (2023), 812–824. [2](#)
- [34] X. Peng, Y. Zhang, X. Gao and Y. Luo, Universal enveloping of (modified) λ -differential Lie algebras, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* **70** (2022), 1102–1127. [6](#), [10](#)
- [35] M. van der Put and M. Singer, *Galois Theory of Linear Differential Equations*, Springer, 2003. [2](#)
- [36] A. Quadrat and G. Regensburger, Polynomial solutions and annihilators of ordinary integro-differential operators, in *Proceedings of SSSC 2013* (2013), 308–313, IFAC. [3](#)
- [37] C. G. Raab, Nested integrals and rationalizing transformations, in: *Anti-Differentiation and the Calculation of Feynman Amplitudes* (2021), 395–422, Springer. [3](#)
- [38] C. G. Raab and G. Regensburger, The fundamental theorem of calculus in differential rings, *Adv. Math.* **447** (2024), Paper No. 109676, 50 pp. [3](#), [4](#), [7](#)
- [39] O. Reynolds, On the dynamic theory of incompressible viscous fluids, *Phil. Trans. Roy. Soc. A.* **136** (1895), 123–164. [3](#)
- [40] J. F. Ritt, *Differential Equations from the Algebraic Standpoint*, Amer. Math. Soc., 1934. [2](#)
- [41] M. Rosenkranz and G. Regensburger, Solving and factoring boundary problems for linear ordinary differential equations in differential algebras, *J. Symbolic Comput.* **43** (2008), 515–544. [3](#), [5](#), [7](#)
- [42] M. Rosenkranz, G. Regensburger, L. Tec and B. Buchberger, Symbolic analysis for boundary problems: from rewriting to parametrized Gröbner bases, in: *Numerical and Symbolic Scientific Computing: Progress and Prospects* (2012), 273–331, Springer. [3](#)
- [43] G.-C. Rota, Gian-Carlo Rota on Analysis and Probability: Selected Papers and Commentaries, Birkhäuser, 2003. [3](#)
- [44] G.-C. Rota, Baxter operators, an introduction, in: *Gian-Carlo Rota on Combinatorics, Introductory Papers and Commentaries* (1995), 504–512, Birkhäuser. [2](#)
- [45] G.-C. Rota, Baxter algebras and combinatorial identities I, II, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **75** (1969), 325–329, 330–334. [2](#)
- [46] G.-C. Rota, Reynolds operators, *Proc. Symp. Appl. Math.* **26** (1964), 70–83. [3](#), [4](#), [9](#)
- [47] F. G. Tricomi, *Integral Equations*, Interscience Publishers, 1957, reprinted Dover Publications, Inc., 1985. [3](#)
- [48] K. Uchino, Twisting on associative algebras and Rota-Baxter type operators, *J. Noncommut. Geom.* **4** (2010), 349–379. [3](#)
- [49] V. Volterra, Sulle inversione degli integrali definiti, Nota I, *Atti R. Accad. Sci. Torino* **31** (1896), 311–323. [3](#)
- [50] A.-M. Wazwaz, *A First Course in Integral Equations*, 2nd ed, World Scientific, 2015. [3](#), [4](#)
- [51] W.-T. Wu, A constructive theory of differential algebraic geometry based on works of J. F. Ritt with particular applications to mechanical theorem proving of differential geometries, *Lecture Notes in Math.* **1255**, Springer-Verlag, 1987, 173–189. [2](#)
- [52] S. Zemyan, *The Classical Theory of Integral Equations*, Birkhäuser, New York, 2011. [3](#), [8](#)
- [53] T. Zhang, X. Gao and L. Guo, Reynolds algebras and their free objects from bracketed words and rooted trees, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **225** (2021), 106766, 28pp. [3](#), [4](#), [9](#)
- [54] T. Zhang, X. Gao and L. Guo, Construction of free commutative Reynolds algebras by Gröbner-Shirshov bases, *J. Symbolic Comput.* **119** (2023), 64–80. [3](#), [4](#)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, RUTGERS UNIVERSITY, NEWARK, NJ 07102, UNITED STATES
 Email address: liguo@rutgers.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FARMINGDALE STATE COLLEGE, FARMINGDALE, NY 11735, UNITED STATES
 Email address: gustavr@farmingdale.edu

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATION SCIENCE, GUANGZHOU UNIVERSITY, GUANGZHOU 510006, CHINA
 Email address: ynli@gzhu.edu.cn