

# Modeling Platelet P2Y<sub>1/12</sub> Pathway to Integrin Activation

Keshav B. Patel<sup>1</sup>, Wolfgang Bergmeier<sup>2,3</sup>, and Aaron L. Fogelson<sup>1,4\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT, 84112

<sup>2</sup>Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, 27599

<sup>3</sup>Blood Research Center, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, 27599

<sup>4</sup>Department of Bioengineering, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT, 84112

\*Correspondence: fogelson@math.utah.edu

**ABSTRACT** Through experimental studies, many details of the pathway of integrin  $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta_3$  activation by ADP during the platelet aggregation process have been mapped out. ADP binds to two separate G protein coupled receptors on platelet surfaces, leading to alterations in the regulation of the small GTPase RAP1. We seek to (1) gain insights into the relative contributions of both pathways to RAP1-mediated integrin activation and to (2) predict cell behavior in response to a continuous range of external agonist concentrations. To this end, we develop a dynamical systems model detailing the action of each protein in the two pathways up to the regulation of RAP1. We perform a parameter estimation using flow cytometry data to determine a number of unknown rate constants. We then validate with already published data; in particular, the model confirmed the effect of impaired P2Y<sub>1</sub> receptor desensitization or reduced RASA3 expression on RAP1 activation. We then predict the effect of protein expression levels on integrin activation and show that components of the P2Y<sub>12</sub> pathway are critical to the regulation of integrin. This model aids in our understanding of interindividual variability in platelet response to ADP and therapeutic P2Y<sub>12</sub> inhibition. It also provides a more detailed view of platelet activation in the ongoing mathematical study of platelet aggregation.

**SIGNIFICANCE** A detailed dynamical systems model of integrin  $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta_3$  activation mediated by ADP is presented in this report. This model takes in years of experimental literature on the relevant pathways into simulations that are efficient to run and simple to manipulate parameters to match a platelet mutation. Unlike in experiments, our model can finely adjust any parameter and analyze how a platelet responds to any number of experimental settings. We present the utility of such a model through parameter study on the copy number of proteins key to integrin activation and show that integrin response changes more significantly in response to changes in the P2Y<sub>12</sub> pathway over the P2Y<sub>1</sub> pathway.

## INTRODUCTION

Platelets are the primary cellular component in arterial blood clots, supporting the coagulation response and recruitment of other cells/proteins to a growing thrombus. They perform a variety of processes that aid in clot growth and stabilization, such as releasing agonists into the environment to recruit other platelets, changing shape through cytoskeletal remodeling to increase surface area for reactions, and activating integrins on their surfaces for binding crosslinking proteins. For the purposes of this work, we will use the words “integrin activation” to refer to the conversion of integrin  $\alpha\text{IIb}\beta_3$  from a low fibrinogen affinity state to a high-affinity state.

Several molecules serve as receptor ligands that lead to integrin activation (1). Most ligands, like thrombin or collagen, initiate pathways that leads to irreversible activation of the small protein RAP1, which complexes with the integrin on its cytosolic end and leads to a conformation change on the extracellular end. Signaling pathways for other receptor-ligand bindings have been extensively studied by both experimentalists and modelers. Dunster et al. modeled the activation signals associated with collagen binding the GPVI receptor (2) and Lenoci et al. and Sveshnikova et al. modeled the irreversible activation of small GAP protein RAPI by the PAR1 receptor (3, 4).

ADP binds to two different receptors, the G-protein coupled receptors (GPCR) P2Y<sub>1</sub> and P2Y<sub>12</sub>, and is unique in that it leads to a partial, transient activation of integrin that lasts only a few minutes. Experimental work has uncovered much about

the pathways that lead to the activation of integrin. Activation of P2Y<sub>1</sub> induces activation of RAP1 via the calcium-dependent RAP-GEF, CalDAG-GEFI (CDGI), while activation of P2Y<sub>12</sub> induces RAP1 activation through the inhibition of the RAP-GAP, RASA3 (5). The regulation of RAP1 is vital to maintaining platelet quiescence in the absence of clot formation, and therefore understanding the individual contribution of CalDAG-GEFI and RASA3 in integrin activation is of interest. Other models have been developed to study platelet activation and aggregation mediated by ADP (6), but to the authors' knowledge, no detailed signaling pathways for ADP dependent activation have been developed.

In this work, we convert the collected information on both pathways to a system of ordinary differential equations (ODEs) that can be solved numerically to simulate a single platelet's response to a given amount of P2Y<sub>1</sub> and/or P2Y<sub>12</sub> agonist. We use experimental data to estimate unknown parameters and validate the model against recently published data. We then explore how a simulated platelet responds to agonists under a change to the expression level of specified proteins. Unlike in experimental settings, we are able to tune expression with much finer precision to gain a more quantitative measure of sensitivity. We begin by describing the chemical signaling pathways upon platelet GPCR binding to ADP.

## Biological Background

Figure 1 shows schematically how the P2Y<sub>1</sub> and P2Y<sub>12</sub> receptors are activated by ADP and, through different pathways, cause changes to both the activator and inhibitor of RAP1.

The extracellular domains of the platelet P2Y<sub>1</sub> and P2Y<sub>12</sub> receptors bind to ADP in the blood plasma. Upon binding to ADP, these receptors act as GEF enzymes on the membrane-associated G proteins G<sub>q</sub> and G<sub>i</sub>, respectively. The G proteins then unbind from the receptor and bind to membrane-associated enzymes PLC and PI3K, respectively. Both PLC and PI3K use the lipid PIP<sub>2</sub> as substrate: PLC converts PIP<sub>2</sub> to the membrane-associated molecule DAG and the cytosolic molecule IP<sub>3</sub>, and PI3K converts PIP<sub>2</sub> to membrane-associated PIP<sub>3</sub>. These inositol lipids are part of a large cycle of formation and degradation. IP<sub>3</sub> binds to IP<sub>3</sub> receptors (IP<sub>3</sub>R) embedded in the dense tubular system (DTS) membrane and triggers the release of calcium ions from the DTS into the cytosolic space. Platelets contain other intracellular calcium stores, e.g., the mitochondrial and acidic stores (7), which impact calcium levels on a significantly longer timescale and therefore are ignored for this study. The chemically-gated IP<sub>3</sub>R channel is a four-subunit protein; each subunit contains an activating binding site for IP<sub>3</sub>, an activating binding site for calcium, and a slower inactivating binding site for calcium (8). Thus, the initial release of calcium from the DTS leads to a stage of positive feedback followed by negative feedback.

At rest, cytosolic calcium levels are maintained by exchanges with the plasma, in which calcium is assumed to have a concentration around 1 mM. The model incorporates the action of the PMCA pump, a passive leak current on the plasma membrane, and the action of the SERCA pump across the DTS membrane.

Calcium's effect on integrin activation is mediated through its binding to the GEF enzyme CalDAG-GEFI. CalDAG-GEFI contains two EF domains which each binds to calcium with a dissociation constant  $K_{\text{GEF,M}} = 80$  nM (9). Calcium-bound CalDAG-GEFI converts RAP1 from its inactive GDP-bound form to its active GTP-bound form. The active form of RAP1 complexes with a variety of proteins on the cytosolic tail of  $\alpha_{\text{IIb}}\beta_3$ , namely TALIN and KINDLIN3, to trigger integrin activation. RASA3 is a membrane-associated protein constitutively active in converting active RAP1 to its inactive GDP-bound form. RASA3 can be inactivated by interacting with PIP<sub>3</sub>, although the exact mechanism has not been determined (10). CalDAG-GEFI and RASA3 have nearly equal copy numbers in mouse platelets (approximately 30,000  $\text{plt}^{-1}$ ) whereas RAP1 in its two major isoforms has a total copy number of approximately 200,000  $\text{plt}^{-1}$  (11).

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

We used Mass Action or Michaelis-Menten kinetics to describe each reaction in the P2Y<sub>1</sub> and P2Y<sub>12</sub> pathways. The concentration, denoted by  $[\cdot]$ , or surface density, denoted by  $[\cdot]_S$ , of each type of molecule in its various states was tracked using an ordinary differential equation (ODE). The overall model, therefore, comprised an extensive coupled system of ODEs that we must solve simultaneously, and we accomplished this using LLNL's DLSODE solver package (12).

Here, we discuss the setup of the differential equation system. We used the model of Purvis et al. (13) as a starting point for our modeling; below, we discuss explicitly where we deviated and extended their model. The system was described by 82 differential equations; see the supplemental material for a complete list of the model's equations. We then describe the statistical methods used to inform unknown model parameters. Finally, we describe the experimental methods used to generate the data against which we validate the model.

### Model components and numerical setup

**ADP Receptors:** Following prior modeling work on G protein coupled receptors (14), we explicitly tracked the state changes of the P2Y<sub>1</sub> and P2Y<sub>12</sub> receptors as they bind to ADP and their specific G protein. Each binding, unbinding, and catalytic




Figure 1: **Schematic of intracellular pathways involved in integrin activation.** ADP binds to G protein Coupled Receptors P2Y<sub>1</sub> and P2Y<sub>12</sub> on platelet surfaces, each initiating its own signaling pathway and both contributing to a change in in RAP1-GTP concentration.

reaction is described using the Law of Mass Action. Receptors bound to an inactive G protein can activate it, regardless of whether ADP is also bound to the receptor. However, the presence of ADP significantly increases the receptor's efficiency.

We made two notable changes to the model as implemented in Purvis et al. (13). First, this and other previous models include an "inactive" GPCR state converted into an "active" state through a reversible, unimolecular reaction. We noted through numerical simulations (not shown) that the concentration for each inactive state quickly reached an equilibrium value proportional to the concentration for the corresponding active state. Therefore, we performed a quasi-steady-state (QSS) reduction to reduce the number of explicit states.

Second, we included a PKC-dependent inactivated state for P2Y<sub>1</sub>. We assumed that ADP-bound P2Y<sub>1</sub> can be inactivated via phosphorylation of an intracellular site and reactivated via dephosphorylation. PKC mediates the phosphorylation process. The kinetic parameters for this reaction were estimated in this study.

**Second Messengers:** The model explicitly tracked the second messenger proteins PLC and PI3K in their inactive state and active (i.e., bound to G protein) state (15). Binding and unbinding of G proteins were tracked through Mass Action kinetics, with an additional irreversible hydrolysis term, in which the second messenger both converts the  $\alpha$  subunit to a GDP bound form and releases it into the cytosol. Once activated, PLC and PI3K act upon the phosphoinositol species PIP<sub>2</sub>, converting it to IP<sub>3</sub> and PIP<sub>3</sub>, respectively. These inositol species are recycled through a variety of states by various other phosphatases and kinases (16–23).

**Calcium Dynamics:** The flux of calcium ions across passive elements (namely, the IP<sub>3</sub>R and PM leak) are governed by the Nernst Equation. For example, the rate at which calcium moves across the DTS membrane through conducting IP<sub>3</sub>R channels is given by:

$$R_{DTS} = \frac{N_{IP_3R}}{4} P_0 \gamma_{IP_3R} \frac{RT}{(zF)^2} \log \left( \frac{[Ca^{2+}]_{dts}}{[Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}} \right), \quad (1)$$

where  $N_{IP_3R}$  is the copy number of IP<sub>3</sub>R subunits,  $P_0$  is the probability a single channel is in a conducting state,  $\gamma$  is the conductance of the channel,  $R$  is the universal gas constant,  $T$  is the temperature,  $z$  is the charge of a calcium ion,  $F$  is Faraday's constant, and  $[Ca^{2+}]_{dts}$  and  $[Ca^{2+}]_{cyt}$  are the free calcium concentrations in the DTS and cytosol, respectively. We model the IP<sub>3</sub>R channel using the six-state model given by Sneyd and Dufour (8) and use their formula for computing  $P_0$ , which is dependent on the fraction of receptors in the two conducting states.

Once calcium is released into the cytosol, it can bind to various pumps, enzymes, and buffers. We modeled both the SERCA and PMCA pumps using 6-state transport models (24), where two calcium ions at a time are transported across their respective membranes. We explicitly modeled PKC binding of calcium and DAG using Mass Action kinetics. As described above, PKC molecules bound to both calcium and DAG can inactivate the P2Y<sub>1</sub> receptor. To match the experimental setup, we included the binding of calcium to a fluorescent experimental probe with a concentration of 5  $\mu$ M and a dissociation constant of 100 nM.

This probe was only included during the parameter estimation that relied on calcium data. In all other experiments, we excluded the probe.

**GEF/GAP Enzymes:** We modeled both the binding of calcium with CalDAG-GEFI (9) and the binding of PIP<sub>3</sub> with RASA3 using Mass Action kinetics. We then modeled the activation and inactivation of RAP1 using Michalis-Menten reaction terms, such that the differential equation for the surface density of RAP1-GTP is given by:

$$S_{PM} \frac{d}{dt} [\text{RAP1-GTP}]_S = V_{\text{cyt}} \frac{k_{\text{GEF}} [\text{CalDAG-GEFI} - 2\text{Ca}] [\text{RAP1-GDP}]_S}{\left(\frac{V_{\text{cyt}}}{S_{PM}}\right) K_{\text{GEF},M} + [\text{RAP1-GDP}]_S} - S_{PM} \frac{k_{\text{GAP}} [\text{RASA3}]_S [\text{RAP1-GTP}]_S}{\left(\frac{V_{\text{cyt}}}{S_{PM}}\right) K_{\text{GAP},M} + [\text{RAP1-GTP}]_S}, \quad (2)$$

where  $S_{PM}$  is the surface area of the cell membrane,  $V_{\text{cyt}}$  is the volume of the cytosol, and the catalytic rates and Michalis-Menten constants are estimated in this work.

**Simulation Protocol:** The copy number of each protein within mouse platelets has been documented using proteomics (11). From the data, we computed the concentration or surface density of every species in the model. The model is run in two stages: an equilibrium stage and an experimental stage. In the equilibrium stage, we started by assuming that every molecule is in a form that is not bound to any other molecule, and we assumed there is no external ADP. We then ran the system to steady-state, which we determined by computing the relative change in protein states at each iteration and stopping when changes decreased below a threshold. The concentration and surface density values at steady state were then used as the initial conditions in the experimental stage, where at  $t = 30$  s, the external ADP concentration was instantaneously increased to a set value, and the simulation was run for 600 s.

**Sensitivity Analysis:** We briefly describe the Method of Morris, a one-at-a-time global sensitivity analysis algorithm. The algorithm gives a way to estimate the derivative of a model output with respect to model parameters when no closed form of the output exists and when dealing with many model parameters. In this study, we are interested in describing the derivative of the maximum RAP1-GTP value with respect to protein copy numbers.

The method begins by defining a hypercube in parameter space; for each dimension, the center is given by the average value of a specified protein's copy number, and the half-width is given by the standard deviation of that protein's copy number. Beginning at the center, the algorithm defines several paths to the boundary of the hypercube such that only one parameter changes with each step along a path. The paths were computed using Python's SALib package (25, 26). Each vertex on a path specifies a parameter set used for a single simulation. In each simulation, we recorded the peak number of RAP1-GTP and then used finite differences to approximate the derivative of the maximum RAP1-GTP concentration with respect to the single parameter changed in that step. This process generated a sampling of the derivative of peak RAP1-GTP as a function of each parameter within the hypercube; for each parameter, we reported the mean  $\mu$  and standard deviation  $\sigma$  of the approximation to the derivative. A large value of  $\mu$  suggests a high sensitivity with respect to the given parameter, and a large value of  $\sigma$  suggests a high correlation between the given parameter and other parameters in the model. We again relied on SALib to compute  $\mu$  and  $\sigma$  for each parameter.

**Total integrin response metric:** JON/A is a fluorescent probe that binds tightly to the integrin  $\alpha_{\text{IIb}}\beta_3$  in its high-affinity state. JON/A is assumed to bind irreversibly to the integrin, and enough JON/A is added to ensure rapid binding between the two species. To compare model outputs to previously published JON/A binding assays, we reported the integral with respect to time of RAP1-GTP over the 600 s after agonist application. See the supplemental for further details.

## Experimental procedures and data extraction

**Flow cytometry experiments:** Washed platelets in Tyrode's buffer were diluted to  $10^7$  platelets/mL and loaded with  $5 \mu\text{M}$  Fluo-4 (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 30 minutes at  $37^\circ\text{C}$  in the dark. Afterward, the samples were diluted to  $10^6$  platelets/mL in Tyrode's buffer and activated with  $10 \mu\text{M}$  ADP in the presence of  $1 \text{ mM}$   $\text{Ca}^{2+}$  and Alexa647-labeled fibrinogen ( $100 \mu\text{g/ml}$ , Sigma) while being continuously sampled on a BD C6 Plus flow cytometer. Kinetic calcium mobilization and fibrinogen binding were analyzed in Flow Jo (Version 10) as mean fluorescence intensities over time.

**Parameter estimation:** Previous models (13) of calcium signaling in cells and experimental work (11) with mice platelets have provided many of the rate constants and concentrations needed for this study. However, the rates governing the GEF and GAP action on RAPI have yet to be entirely determined, and parameters estimated to fit prior calcium data were inconsistent with ours. Therefore, we performed a parameter estimation study to compare model outputs to our experimental data given in Figure 2. We first describe the method of converting fluorescence intensity to a measure of concentration and then the parameter estimation method.

For each dataset provided, we recorded the maximum intensity at select times to generate a single representative curve for each experiment. To convert calcium probe fluorescence intensity to concentration, we assumed that a relative increase in fluorescent intensity corresponds to an equivalent relative increase in the protein of interest. For calcium, we assumed a resting concentration of 40 nM and a peak concentration of 200 nM, in line with the literature (1). This data was used to estimate kinetic parameters for P2Y<sub>1</sub>, G<sub>q</sub>, SERCA, and PMCA. To reduce the number of parameters to estimate, we make the simplifying assumption that the kinetic parameters for P2Y<sub>12</sub>, G<sub>i</sub>, and PI3K are the same as those for P2Y<sub>1</sub>, G<sub>q</sub>, and PLC.

For fibrinogen data, we performed a similar rescaling, then assumed 1) that there are no fibrinogen bound to resting platelets and 2) a WT platelet activated by a saturating level of ADP allows an *a priori* known quantity of integrins to activate and 3) fibrinogen binds instantly to such an integrin. Finally, we used a 1:1 stoichiometric relationship between RAPI-GTP and integrin to arrive at the amount of RAPI-GTP that is active intracellularly. We assume that either a maximum of 1% or 10% of integrins become activated, which equated to a maximum of 500 or 5000 RAPI-GTP, respectively; for either assumption, we ran a separate parameter estimation for rates governing CalDAG-GEFI, RASA3, and RAPI.

For any choice of parameters, we ran the model according to the simulation protocol described above. From the list of model outputs, we take the concentration of free cytosolic calcium and the number of activated RAPI proteins, then compute the least squared error between the model and the datasets at specified times. The goal of the parameter estimation algorithm is to minimize this error, and for this, we relied on MATLAB's `fmincon` function.





Figure 2: Flow cytometry experiments for WT (red) and RASA3<sup>+/-</sup> (blue) platelets when 10  $\mu$ M of ADP was applied at 30 s. Scatter points indicate data that were collected for parameter estimation. Points were selected at approximately the peak fluorescence intensity for each specified time. (a) Fluorescent intensity of calcium-bound probe over time. (b) Fluorescent intensity of fibrinogen over time.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

**Model outputs estimated to match experimental data:** Figure 3a compares a calcium spike dataset to the model's calcium spike. Because the data and simulated calcium timecourse in the wildtype and RASA3 heterozygote are nearly identical, only the wildtype timecourse is given. The simulated calcium curves approximated the data well but smoothed over some of the dynamics near the peak.

Figures 3b-c show a comparison between the fibrinogen binding data and the simulated RAPI-GTP spike using the lower bound approximation (see Methods) of the data in Figure 3b and the upper bound approximation of the data in Figure 3c. For each of these assumptions about the number of integrins activated by ADP, our estimation procedure sought to minimize the sum of the mean squared differences between data and simulation for *both* the WT and RASA3<sup>+/-</sup> cases. Our model approximated the data well when ADP was applied to the peak RAPI-GTP response. However, simulations showed a slower return to baseline than the experimental data. For estimated parameter values, see Supplemental Tables ?? and ??.



**Figure 3: Parameter estimation allows for agreement between data and computational model.** Solution to ODE system when using best-fit parameters. Using selected time points from the flow cytometry data, fluorescence intensity readings were rescaled and presented as scatter points. Solid curves depict results from the computational model. Black traces represent data/simulated calcium data, red traces represent data/simulated wildtype platelet RAP1-GTP, and blue traces represent data/simulated RASA3<sup>+/-</sup> platelet RAP1-GTP. In simulations and experiments, 10  $\mu$ M of ADP was assumed to be added instantaneously at 30 s. (a) Calcium data was rescaled, assuming a resting concentration of 40 nM and peak concentration of 200 nM, and then it was overlaid with the computed free calcium concentration. (b) Fibrinogen binding data was assumed to correspond directly to RAP1-GTP levels at any given time and rescaled assuming no activated RAP1 at rest and a peak number of activated RAP1 of 500. (c) Using the same fibrinogen binding data, we assume a peak number of activated RAP1 of 5000.


**Model sensitivity to protein copy number is unaffected by our data approximation:** Many of the simulations conducted in this work involve adjusting protein copy numbers. Therefore, understanding how our assumptions about the copy number data affected model outputs was useful. Thus, the global sensitivity analysis algorithm, the Method of Morris, was used to determine how changes in protein copy numbers change the maximum amount of RAP1-GTP seen in simulations. All protein copy numbers in the model were selected from the experimental literature (11), and each copy number was increased or decreased by one standard deviation from the mean.

Figure 4 shows the sensitivity of the maximum RAP1 response to the protein copy numbers. Comparing the two subfigures, we see little difference in the sensitivity of the model to copy numbers. We also note that in both figures, the same proteins have the most sensitivity, namely  $G_i$ , P2Y<sub>12</sub>, PMCA, CalDAG-GEFI, and RASA3, meaning that a change in copy number of these proteins should yield similar relative responses. This served as evidence that under the appropriate normalization, the results generated by one estimated parameter set are similar to those generated by the other. We therefore present results using only our upper bound estimate parameter set for the remainder of this work.

Moreover, Figure 4 shows that the peak level of RAP1-GTP was most sensitive to changes in the P2Y<sub>12</sub> receptor and  $G_i$  protein. This implies that the variability in expression of P2Y<sub>12</sub> or  $G_i$  had the most significant effect on RAP1 activation. In particular, equivalent variability in the expression of RASA3, the immediate effector of RAP1, did not produce the same variation in the RAP1-GTP signal.

**model predicts saturating integrin response to ADP:** We then examined how our simulated platelet responds to a range of applied agonist concentrations. Figure 5 shows how the numbers or concentrations of various chemicals changed over time for a given application of ADP at  $t = 30$  s. Figures 5a and 5c show that immediately upon application of ADP into the system, there was a rapid rise in the number of P2Y<sub>1</sub> and P2Y<sub>12</sub> receptors, respectively, bound with ADP. When 200  $\mu$ M of ADP was applied, essentially all P2Y<sub>1</sub> and P2Y<sub>12</sub> receptors were bound to ADP at the peak. Due to PKC's desensitization of the P2Y<sub>1</sub> receptor, the number of active P2Y<sub>1</sub> receptors decreased from its peak value as the simulation progressed. Interestingly, the number of active P2Y<sub>1</sub> receptors decreased most rapidly for the highest concentration of ADP. This decrease was matched by a fast rise in the number of desensitized P2Y<sub>1</sub> receptors, as shown in Figure 5b. Therefore, as the concentration of ADP applied increased, the maximum response increased, but the time interval on which a near-peak level was maintained decreased.

Following receptor activation, second messengers PLC and PI3K became active by binding the appropriate G protein, leading to a rise in the production of the inositol species IP<sub>3</sub> and PIP<sub>3</sub>, respectively. Figure 5d shows that the IP<sub>3</sub> concentration rose with a slight delay compared to the receptor concentrations. Later in the simulations, as the P2Y<sub>1</sub> receptors become desensitized, the rate of formation of IP<sub>3</sub> decreased, leading to a decline in its concentration.



**Figure 4: Sensitivity analysis reveals agreement between model outputs using either parameter set.** Sensitivity of the maximum RAP1 response to protein copy numbers. Protein copy numbers are adjusted one at a time within a standard deviation of the literature value. For each adjustment made, the ratio of the relative change in maximum RAP1-GTP over the relative change in copy number is computed. The process is repeated for each protein at many points in parameter space. The ratios' average ( $\mu$ ) and standard deviation ( $\sigma$ ) are presented. (a) Sensitivity of the model when using the lower bound estimated parameters as shown in Figure 3b. (b) Sensitivity of the model when using the upper bound estimated parameters as shown in Figure 3c. While thirteen proteins were examined for this study, only the proteins with a significant sensitivity were explicitly labeled.

IP<sub>3</sub> then activated IP<sub>3</sub>R, which led to an influx of calcium into the cytosol from the DTS. As seen in Figure 5f, the level of free cytosolic calcium increased, plateaued, and then decreased throughout the simulation. The rise in cytosolic calcium levels led to the activation of proteins PKC and CalDAG-GEFI, the latter of which is seen in Figure 5g following a similar pattern of rise, plateau, and decrease as the corresponding calcium trace.

Concurrently with IP<sub>3</sub>, calcium, and CalDAG-GEFI spiking, the P2Y<sub>12</sub> pathway led to the formation of the PIP<sub>3</sub> inositol species as seen in Figure 5e, followed by the inactivation of RASA3, as seen in Figure 5h. Unlike in the P2Y<sub>1</sub> pathway, the lack of a desensitization mechanism for P2Y<sub>12</sub> meant that PIP<sub>3</sub> was constantly created by PI3K during the simulation, leading to a permanent decrease in the number of active RASA3 molecules.


The activation of CalDAG-GEFI and inactivation of RASA3 caused an increase in the amount of RAP1 bound to GTP, shown in Figure 5i. When the CalDAG-GEFI levels decreased, RAP1-GTP levels also decreased and approached their baseline levels. As shown more explicitly in Figure 8, the model's response to ADP saturated around 10  $\mu$ M, so the 200  $\mu$ M ADP simulated experiment represents the maximum ADP response.

**RAP1 activation response increases with applied agonist:** We next attempted to validate our model's output by comparison to data previously published (27). To do this, we simulated platelets' exposure to ADP, which binds to both P2Y<sub>1</sub> and P2Y<sub>12</sub> receptors, and platelets' exposure to the synthetic agonist MRS2365, which binds only to the P2Y<sub>1</sub> receptor. To accommodate the synthetic agonist, the model was changed in two ways: 1) by setting the binding rate between agonist and P2Y<sub>12</sub> to zero, i.e.,  $k_{ADP}^{P2Y_{12}} = 0$ , and 2) by lowering the dissociation constant for the agonist binding to P2Y<sub>1</sub> from 0.6 to 0.01  $\mu$ M, i.e.  $K_{ADP}^{P2Y_1} = 0.01 \mu$ M.

Figure 6 shows how WT platelets respond to the synthetic agonist. We found that the application of a saturating amount of MRS2365 yielded similar levels of P2Y<sub>1</sub> and CalDAG-GEFI activation compared to those evoked by the application of a saturating amount of ADP (compare Figure 6a with Figure 5a and Figure 6b with Figure 5g). However, because the concentration of RASA3 remained high throughout the MRS2365 simulations, RAP1 activation was greatly limited.

We next considered platelets with a mutation to the intracellular tail of their P2Y<sub>1</sub> receptor, which makes it unable to be phosphorylated and therefore the receptor is prevented from being desensitized to agonists and being taken up into the cell (27). These platelets are known as P2Y<sub>1</sub><sup>340-0P/340-0P</sup> platelets or simply 340-0P. Figure 7 shows how a 340-0P platelet responds to ADP. While the peak value of various proteins was similar to the wildtype case seen in Figure 5, the simulated mutant platelet's P2Y<sub>1</sub> signal never declined, and consequently the downstream calcium, CDGI, and RAP1 signals also did not decline.

To gauge variations in platelet responses due to variations in agonist concentration, we performed computational experiments for a wide range of applied ADP and MRS2365 concentrations. In the corresponding physical experiments, the readout was the amount of the integrin probe JON/A bound irreversibly to activated integrin. As a proxy for the amount of JON/A bound at



**Figure 5: Model predicts transient activation of RAPI-GTP across ADP concentrations.** At  $t = 30$  s, a specified concentration of ADP was instantaneously added to the extracellular space. The curves shown are for ADP at  $0.1 \mu\text{M}$  (squares),  $0.5 \mu\text{M}$  (diamonds),  $1 \mu\text{M}$  (circles), and  $200 \mu\text{M}$  (triangles). (a) the total number of P2Y<sub>1</sub> receptors bound to ADP and not desensitized, (b) the total number of desensitized P2Y<sub>1</sub> receptors, (c) the total number of P2Y<sub>12</sub> receptors bound to ADP, (d) the total concentration of IP<sub>3</sub>, (e) the total surface density of PIP<sub>3</sub>, (f) the concentration of free cytosolic calcium, (g) the number of CalIDAG-GEFI molecules bound with two calcium ions, (h) the number of RASA3 not bound to PIP<sub>3</sub>, and (i) the number of RAP1 bound to GTP. See Section ?? for details on which model equations were used in calculating total number or concentration.




Figure 6: **Simulated platelets exposed to MRS2365 show significantly reduced RAP1-GTP response.** At  $t = 30$  s, a specified concentration of MRS2365 was instantaneously added to the extracellular space. The curves shown are for MRS2365 at 0.001  $\mu\text{M}$  (squares), 0.005  $\mu\text{M}$  (diamonds), 0.01  $\mu\text{M}$  (circles), and 2  $\mu\text{M}$  (triangles). (a) the total number of P2Y<sub>1</sub> receptors bound to MRS2365 and not desensitized, (b) the number of CalDAG-GEFI molecules bound with two calcium ions, (c) the number of RASA3 not bound to PIP<sub>3</sub>, and (d) the number of RAP1 bound to GTP. See Section ?? for details on which model equations were used in calculating total number or concentration.





Figure 7: **Simulated platelets lacking PKC-dependent P2Y<sub>1</sub> desensitization show a significantly prolonged RAP1-GTP signal.** At  $t = 30$  s, a specified concentration of ADP was instantaneously added to the extracellular space of P2Y<sub>1</sub><sup>340-0P/340-0P</sup> platelets. The curves shown are for ADP at 0.1 μM (squares), 0.5 μM (diamonds), 1 μM (circles), and 200 μM (triangles). (a) the total number of P2Y<sub>1</sub> receptors bound to ADP, (b) the number of CalDAG-GEFI molecules bound with two calcium ions, (c) the number of RASA3 not bound to PIP<sub>3</sub>, and (d) the number of RAP1 bound to GTP. See Section ?? for details on which model equations were used in calculating total number or concentration.

time  $t$  we used the time-integral of the RAP1-GTP curve up to that time. Figure 8 shows the results of these experiments. As expected, for both agonists, the RAP1-GTP response increased as the concentration of the agonist was increased. This response was consistently greater for 340-0P platelets than for WT ones. This is attributed to the fact that for the P2Y<sub>1</sub><sup>340-0P/340-0P</sup> platelets, the P2Y<sub>1</sub> receptors remained active and continued to perform their GEF action on the G<sub>q</sub> protein for the duration of the simulation. Consequently, the IP<sub>3</sub>, calcium, and RAP1-GTP responses are prolonged, and therefore, the area under the RAP1-GTP curve increased.

Two differences were evident in how both wildtype and 340-0P platelets responded to the two stimuli. First, the response to MRS2365 began at lower concentrations than that to ADP, consistent with the higher affinity of MRS2365 for the P2Y<sub>1</sub> receptor. Second, the response to a saturating concentration of MRS2365 was lower than for a saturating concentration of ADP, consistent with unabated RASA3 GAP activity in the MRS2365-stimulated platelets.

We compared the results of Figures 2c and 4c in (27), recreated in Figure 8a and 8b, to the results of Figures 8c and 8d. First, comparing the experiments in which platelets are stimulated with ADP in Figure 8a and 8c, we saw an increase in the integrin response over the same range of applied ADP concentration for both wildtype and P2Y<sub>1</sub><sup>340-0P/340-0P</sup> platelets. Additionally, we saw that for a given applied ADP concentration, the 340-0P platelet signal was consistently larger than the wildtype platelet. We note that in Figure 8b and 8d, we saw a similar relationship between mutant and wildtype platelets. Deviations between the model and experiment are evident when comparing simulated versus experimental mutant platelets stimulated with MRS2365, where the experiments show a more gradual increase in integrin activation as a function of applied agonist concentration compared to simulations. In general, comparing our model to results from (27) showed good agreement, even when a single experimental modification is considered. As more modifications are combined into simulated platelets, the results may deviate from experiments.



**Figure 8: Total integrin response displays a saturating response to two types of P2Y agonists.** Wildtype and P2Y<sub>1</sub><sup>340-0P/340-0P</sup> platelets are stimulated with a specified concentration of agonists for 10 minutes, and the time-integral of the RAP1-GTP curve is recorded. Subfigures (a) and (b) are recreated from (27) Figures 2c and 4c. (a) Mean Fluorescence Intensity of JON/A-PE binding to active  $\alpha_{\text{IIb}}\beta_3$  integrins after a specified concentration of ADP is applied. (b) Mean Fluorescence Intensity of JON/A-PE binding to active  $\alpha_{\text{IIb}}\beta_3$  integrins after a specified concentration of MRS2365 is applied. (c) Platelets are exposed to ADP, and (d) platelets are exposed to P2Y<sub>1</sub> agonist MRS2365. Note the differences in vertical scales.

### Changes to protein copy number in the P2Y<sub>12</sub> pathway affect RAP1-GTP response more than in the P2Y<sub>1</sub> pathway:

The model allowed for the continuous variation of parameters, specifically protein copy numbers. We leveraged this to assess the effects of protein expression levels on the integrin activation response to a specified stimulus. In each set of simulations, we set the copy number of one of the proteins RASA3, CDGI, P2Y<sub>1</sub>, or P2Y<sub>12</sub> to a value between 5% and 150% of its literature value (while holding other copy numbers at their literature values). We stimulated the platelet with 10  $\mu$ M ADP.

Figure 9 shows the results of varying the RASA3 or CDGI copy numbers. We saw that a decrease in the number of RASA3 molecules or an increase in the number of CDGI molecules elicits an increase in the RAP1-GTP response. Figure 9a shows that when the copy number of RASA3 is decreased by 50%, integrin activation was stronger and more prolonged than the same simulations with 100% RASA3 expression. In contrast, Figure 9b shows that increasing the amount of CDGI by 50% did not significantly change the peak level but did increase the length of the RAP1-GTP response.

Figure 9c plots the RAP1-GTP response as a function of a specified protein's copy number, where we saw that the sensitivity of the response to RASA3 copy number variations was much greater than that for CDGI variations. Changes in the CDGI copy number led to a small and approximately linear change in RAP1 activation. In contrast, responses to decreases in the RASA3 copy number are nonlinear, with a sharp increase in sensitivity as the RASA3 copy number decreases to and beyond 50% of its baseline value.

Figure 10 shows a similar dichotomy between responses to variations in copy numbers of the ADP receptors P2Y<sub>1</sub> and P2Y<sub>12</sub>. With P2Y<sub>12</sub> at 150%, integrin activation was much greater at all times, and high levels were maintained for an extended period compared to 100%. With P2Y<sub>12</sub> at 50%, integrin activation is weak. With P2Y<sub>1</sub> at 50%, integrin activation was approximately half that of activation at 100%. There was only a small difference in integrin activation for P2Y<sub>1</sub> 100% and 150%. Examining model outputs, in this case, showed that although the amount of activated IP<sub>3</sub> increased, the peak concentration of calcium did not increase significantly beyond 200 nM (data not shown).




Figure 9: **Total integrin response is more sensitive to RASA3 levels than CalDAG-GEFI levels.** In each simulation, the starting copy number of one protein is varied between 5% and 150% of the literature value before applying 10  $\mu$ M ADP. (a) Timecourse of RAP1-GTP levels in platelets expressing a specified percentage of the base RASA3 copy number. (b) Timecourse of RAP1-GTP levels in platelets expressing a certain percentage of the base CalDAG-GEFI copy number. (c) Integral of the RAP1-GTP curve as one of the two protein copy numbers is varied from 5% to 150% of literature value.

## CONCLUSION

In this report, we developed a mathematical model of integrin activation on a platelet surface and fitted it to experimental data. Thanks to years of experimental studies, a chemical pathway has been defined sufficiently to allow such a model to exist. Previous platelet activation models rely on other major indicators, such as calcium signals or aggregometry readings (28, 29). While effective, inferring integrin activation information from only one of the two ADP-dependent pathways does not fully encapsulate the regulatory pathway's complexity, making it difficult to extend to particular mutant platelets. Our model can be used in testing and making predictions without the need for a large number of experimental trials. It is quite economical to run; for reference, a single simulation takes approximately one minute to run ten simulated minutes on a standard personal computer. Thus, data generation is significantly faster than generation of an equivalent amount of data in the lab.

While our estimated parameters yielded a model that matched our experimental dataset from the point of ADP addition up




Figure 10: **Total integrin response is more sensitive to P2Y<sub>12</sub> levels than to P2Y<sub>1</sub> levels.** In each simulation, the starting copy number of one protein is varied between 5% and 150% of the literature-derived copy number before the application of 10  $\mu$ M ADP. (a) Timecourse of RAP1-GTP levels in platelets expressing a specified percentage of the base P2Y<sub>12</sub> copy number. (b) Timecourse of RAP1-GTP levels in platelets expressing a certain percentage of the base P2Y<sub>1</sub> copy number. (c) Integral of the RAP1-GTP curve as one of the two protein copy numbers is varied.

to the peak, our model tended to lengthen the duration of the RAP1-GTP signal. Under the assumption that our estimated parameters are the ideal parameters for the model, a mechanism for desensitization of some signaling protein in the P2Y<sub>12</sub> pathway, leading to a recovery of RASA3 levels, could shorten the RAP1-GTP signal duration. Without sufficient evidence for a biological mechanism, we save modeling this portion of the pathway for future work.

In experiments where we varied the applied ADP concentration, we found that a platelet's response saturates beyond 10  $\mu$ M, consistent with experiments. Our highly detailed model allowed us to examine the individual responses of each protein in time. We showed the usefulness of our model beyond directly comparing to experimental data by varying parameters that would be difficult to vary continuously in the lab, like protein expression levels, and making predictions on integrin activation. In particular, we showed that the total integrin response varies more significantly with changes to proteins in the P2Y<sub>12</sub> pathway compared to the P2Y<sub>1</sub> pathway.

This model can be used to conduct initial tests on the behavior of platelets under any form of experimental condition before running experiments. In addition, the outputs of this model describe integrin activation over time in far more detail than is typical in mathematical models for hemostasis and thrombosis (30, 31), where the tracking of platelet aggregation and fibrin formation is of interest. This may lead to improvements in these larger-scale models.

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Patel and Fogelson constructed the mathematical model and wrote the article. Patel carried out all simulations. Bergmeier conducted all experiments.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (grant R35 HL144976 to WB and R01 HL151984 to AF), as well as the National Science Foundation's Graduate Research Fellowship to KP.

## REFERENCES

1. Lee, R. H., L. Stefanini, and W. Bergmeier, 2019. Platelet Signal Transduction. In A. D. Michelson, M. Cattaneo, A. L. Frelinger III, and P. J. Newman, editors, *Platelets*, Elsevier, London, chapter 18, 329–348.
2. Dunster, J. L., F. Mazet, M. J. Fry, J. M. Gibbins, and M. J. Tindall, 2015. Regulation of early steps of GPVI signal transduction by phosphatases: a systems biology approach. *PLoS computational biology* 11:e1004589.
3. Lenoci, L., M. Duvernay, S. Satchell, E. DiBenedetto, and H. E. Hamm, 2011. Mathematical model of PAR1-mediated activation of human platelets. *Molecular BioSystems* 7:1129–1137.

4. Sveshnikova, A. N., F. I. Ataullakhanov, and M. A. Panteleev, 2015. Compartmentalized calcium signaling triggers subpopulation formation upon platelet activation through PAR1. *Molecular BioSystems* 11:1052–1060.
5. Stefanini, L., D. S. Paul, R. F. Robledo, E. R. Chan, T. M. Getz, R. A. Campbell, D. O. Kechele, C. Casari, R. Piatt, K. M. Caron, N. Mackman, A. S. Weyrich, M. C. Parrott, Y. Boulaftali, M. D. Adams, L. L. Peters, and W. Bergmeier, 2015. RASA3 is a critical inhibitor of RAP1-dependent platelet activation. *The Journal of Clinical Investigation* 125:1419–1432. <https://www.jci.org/articles/view/77993>.
6. Filkova, A. A., A. A. Martyanov, A. K. Garzon Dasgupta, M. A. Panteleev, and A. N. Sveshnikova, 2019. Quantitative dynamics of reversible platelet aggregation: mathematical modelling and experiments. *Scientific reports* 9:6217.
7. Braun, A., D. Varga-Szabo, C. Kleinschnitz, I. Pleines, M. Bender, M. Austinat, M. Bösl, G. Stoll, and B. Nieswandt, 2009. Orai1 (CRACM1) is the platelet SOC channel and essential for pathological thrombus formation. *Blood* 113:2056–2063.
8. Sneyd, J., and J.-F. Dufour, 2002. A dynamic model of the type-2 inositol trisphosphate receptor. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 99:2398–2403.
9. Iwig, J., Y. Vercoulen, R. Das, T. Barros, A. Limnander, Y. Che, J. Pelton, D. Wemmer, J. Roose, and J. Kuriyan, 2013. Structural analysis of autoinhibition in the RAS-specific exchange factor RASGRP1. *eLife* 2:e00813.
10. Battram, A. M., T. N. Durrant, E. O. Agbani, K. J. Heesom, D. S. Paul, R. Piatt, A. W. Poole, P. J. Cullen, W. Bergmeier, S. F. Moore, and I. Hers, 2017. The Phosphatidylinositol 3,4,5-trisphosphate (PI(3,4,5)P<sub>3</sub>) Binder RASA3 Regulates Phosphoinositide 3-kinase (PI3K)-dependent Integrin  $\alpha$ IIb $\beta$ 3 Outside-in Signaling. *J Biol Chem* 292:1691–1704.
11. Zeiler, M., M. Moser, and M. Mann, 2014. Copy Number Analysis of the Murine Platelet Proteome Spanning the Complete Abundance Range. *Molecular and Cellular Proteomics* 13:3435–3445.
12. Hindmarsh, A. C., 1983. ODEPACK, A Systematized Collection of ODE Solvers. *Scientific Computing* 55–64.
13. Purvis, J. E., M. S. Chatterjee, L. F. Brass, and S. L. Diamond, 2008. A molecular signaling model of platelet phosphoinositide and calcium regulation during homeostasis and P2Y<sub>1</sub> activation. *Blood* 112:4069–4079.
14. Kinzer-Ursem, T. L., and J. J. Linderman, 2007. Both ligand- and cell-specific parameters control ligand agonism in a kinetic model of G protein-coupled receptor signaling. *PLoS Comput Biol* 3:84–94.
15. Bhalla, U. S., and R. Iyengar, 1999. Emergent Properties of Networks of Biological Signaling Pathways. *Science* 283:381–387. <https://www.science.org/doi/abs/10.1126/science.283.5400.381>.
16. Kanoh, H., Y. Banno, M. Hirata, and Y. Nozawa, 1990. Partial purification and characterization of phosphatidylinositol kinases from human platelets. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Lipids and Lipid Metabolism* 1046:120–126. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/000527609090178Z>.
17. Urumow, T., and O. Wieland, 1990. Purification and partial characterization of phosphatidylinositol-4-phosphate kinase from rat liver plasma membranes. Further evidence for a stimulatory G-protein. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Molecular Cell Research* 1052:152–158. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/016748899090070T>.
18. Matzaris, M., S. Jackson, K. Laxminarayan, C. Speed, and C. Mitchell, 1994. Identification and characterization of the phosphatidylinositol-(4, 5)-bisphosphate 5-phosphatase in human platelets. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 269:3397–3402. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0021925817418758>.
19. Atack, J. R., S. I. Rapoport, and C. L. Varley, 1993. Characterization of inositol monophosphatase in human cerebrospinal fluid. *Brain Research* 613:305–308. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/000689939390916B>.
20. Moyer, J. D., O. Reizes, N. M. Dean, and N. Malinowski, 1987. D-myo-inositol (1,4)-bisphosphate 1-phosphatase. Partial purification from rat liver and characterization. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications* 146:1018–1026. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0006291X87907492>.
21. Mitchell, C. A., T. M. Connolly, and P. W. Majerus, 1989. Identification and Isolation of a 75-kDa Inositol Polyphosphate-5-phosphatase from Human Platelets. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 264:8873–8877. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0021925818818749>.

22. Kelley, M. J., and G. M. Carman, 1987. Purification and characterization of CDP-diacylglycerol synthase from *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 262:14563–14570. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0021925818478337>.
23. Vargas, L. A., L. X. Miao, and A. F. Rosenthal, 1984. Inhibition of platelet phosphatidylinositol synthetase by an analog of CDP-diacylglycerol. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Lipids and Lipid Metabolism* 796:123–128. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0005276084903382>.
24. Dode, L., B. Vilsen, K. Van Baelen, F. Wuytack, J. D. Clausen, and J. P. Anderson, 2002. Dissection of the functional differences between sarco(endo)plasmic reticulum Ca<sup>2+</sup>-ATPase (SERCA) 1 and 3 isoforms by steady-state and transient kinetic analyses. *J Biol Chem* 277:45579–45591.
25. Iwanaga, T., W. Usher, and J. Herman, 2022. Toward SALib 2.0: Advancing the accessibility and interpretability of global sensitivity analyses. *Socio-Environmental Systems Modelling* 4:18155. <https://sesmo.org/article/view/18155>.
26. Herman, J., and W. Usher, 2017. SALib: An open-source Python library for Sensitivity Analysis. *The Journal of Open Source Software* 2. <https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.00097>.
27. Paul, D. S., T. N. Blatt, W. J. Schug, E. G. Clark, T. Kawano, N. Mackman, S. Murcia, K. O. Poe, J. M. N. Mwiza, T. K. Harden, and et al., 2023. Loss of P2Y1 receptor desensitization does not impact hemostasis or thrombosis despite increased platelet reactivity in vitro. *Journal of Thrombosis and Haemostasis* 21:1891–1902.
28. Lee, M. Y., and S. L. Diamond, 2015. A Human Platelet Calcium Calculator Trained by Pairwise Agonist Scanning. *PLoS Comput Biol* 11:e1004118.
29. Filkova, A. A., A. A. Martyanov, A. K. Garzon Dasgupta, M. A. Panteleev, and A. N. Sveshnikova, 2019. Quantitative dynamics of reversible platelet aggregation: mathematical modelling and experiments. *Scientific Reports* 9:6217. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-42701-0>.
30. Du, J., and A. L. Fogelson, 2017. A Two-phase mixture model of platelet aggregation. *Mathematical Medicine and Biology: A Journal of the IMA* 35:225–256. <https://doi.org/10.1093/imammb/dqx001>.
31. Xu, Z., N. Chen, M. M. Kamocka, E. D. Rosen, and M. Alber, 2008. A multiscale model of thrombus development. *J. R. Soc. Interface* 5:705–722.

## Contents

S1	Comparing integral of RAP1-GTP to JON/A binding assay . . . . .	2
S2	Grouped quantities reported in main text . . . . .	2
S3	Estimated Parameters . . . . .	2
S4	Full List of Model Equations . . . . .	4
S4.1	CalDAG-GEFI, RASA3, and RAP1 . . . . .	5
S4.1.1	CalDAG-GEFI . . . . .	5
S4.1.2	RASA3 . . . . .	6
S4.1.3	RAP1 . . . . .	7
S4.2	ADP . . . . .	8
S4.3	Proteins in P2Y <sub>12</sub> Pathway . . . . .	9
S4.3.1	P2Y <sub>12</sub> . . . . .	9
S4.3.2	G <sub>i</sub> . . . . .	13
S4.3.3	PI3K . . . . .	15
S4.4	Proteins in P2Y <sub>1</sub> pathway . . . . .	17
S4.5	P2Y <sub>1</sub> . . . . .	17
S4.5.1	G <sub>q</sub> . . . . .	21
S4.5.2	PLC . . . . .	23
S4.5.3	PKC . . . . .	26
S4.6	Calcium and Inositol Species . . . . .	28
S4.6.1	Inositol . . . . .	28
S4.6.2	Calcium . . . . .	33
S4.7	Calcium channels/pumps . . . . .	35
S4.7.1	IP <sub>3</sub> R . . . . .	35
S4.7.2	SERCA . . . . .	38
S4.7.3	PMCA . . . . .	40
S5	Quasi Steady State Approximation . . . . .	42

## S1 Comparing integral of RAP1-GTP to JON/A binding assay

Given that we already assume a one-to-one stoichiometric relationship between RAP1-GTP and integrin, we can write down the following ODE for the rate at which integrin binds to JON/A:

$$\frac{d}{dt}[\text{integrin} - \text{probe}]_S = k[\text{probe}][\text{RAP1} - \text{GTP}]_S. \quad (1)$$

Assuming that 1) ADP is instantaneously added to the extracellular space at  $t = 30$  s and 2) the total amount of probe is large compared to the number of integrins, we can explicitly integrate the equation over 600 s to find that:

$$[\text{integrin} - \text{probe}]_S(630) = k[\text{probe}] \int_{30}^{630} [\text{RAP1} - \text{GTP}]_S(t) dt. \quad (2)$$

Thus, to find the amount of integrin bound to probe, we simply integrate the RAP1-GTP curve.

## S2 Grouped quantities reported in main text

In some cases in our model, a chemical species of interest (say, the P2Y<sub>1</sub> receptor), is further split into multiple states. If we would like to know the total number of receptors with an ADP bound to it at time  $t$ , we sum up the amounts from each state and report the summed quantity. In particular, we make use of the following sums:

- $\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1 = [\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1]_S + [\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1 - \text{G}_q\text{GDP}]_S + [\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1 - \text{G}_q\text{GTP}]_S + [\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1 - \text{G}_q]_S$
- $\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_{12} = [\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_{12}]_S + [\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_{12} - \text{G}_i\text{GDP}]_S + [\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_{12} - \text{G}_i\text{GTP}]_S + [\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_{12} - \text{G}_i]_S$
- $\text{Desensitized P2Y}_1 = [\text{ADP} - \text{pP2Y}_1]_S + [\text{ADP} - \text{pP2Y}_1 - \text{G}_q\text{GDP}]_S$
- $\text{IP}_3 = [\text{IP}_3] + \frac{\text{SIM}}{\text{V}_{\text{cvt}}} \left( [\text{IP}_3\text{R}_o]_S + [\text{IP}_3\text{R}_a]_S + [\text{IP}_3\text{R}_{i2}]_S + [\text{IP}_3\text{R}_s]_S \right)$

## S3 Estimated Parameters

Parameter	Value	Value	Units
	(Max Calcium 100 nM)	(Max Calcium 200 nM)	
$K_{\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} \& K_{\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_{12}}$	$4.906 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$4.806 \cdot 10^{-3}$	n.d.
$\alpha^{\text{P2Y}_1} \& \alpha^{\text{P2Y}_{12}}$	1.782	1.782	n.d.
$\beta^{\text{P2Y}_1} \& \beta^{\text{P2Y}_{12}}$	8.573	8.508	n.d.
$\delta^{\text{P2Y}_1} \& \delta^{\text{P2Y}_{12}}$	$1.46 \cdot 10^1$	$1.466 \cdot 10^1$	n.d.
$\gamma^{\text{P2Y}_1} \& \gamma^{\text{P2Y}_{12}}$	$2.324 \cdot 10^1$	$2.361 \cdot 10^1$	n.d.
$k_{\text{G}_q\text{GDP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} \& k_{\text{G}_i\text{GDP}}^{\text{P2Y}_{12}}$	$6.947 \cdot 10^{13}$	$6.947 \cdot 10^{13}$	dm <sup>2</sup> /mol-s
$k_{-\text{G}_q\text{GDP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} \& k_{-\text{G}_i\text{GDP}}^{\text{P2Y}_{12}}$	$5.858 \cdot 10^3$	$5.858 \cdot 10^3$	1/s
$k_{\text{ADP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} \& k_{\text{ADP}}^{\text{P2Y}_{12}}$	$5.716 \cdot 10^5$	$5.983 \cdot 10^5$	1/M-s
$k_{-\text{ADP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} \& k_{-\text{ADP}}^{\text{P2Y}_{12}}$	0.362	0.362	1/s
$k_{-\text{GDP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} \& k_{-\text{GDP}}^{\text{P2Y}_{12}}$	$2.625 \cdot 10^1$	$2.601 \cdot 10^1$	1/s
$k_{\text{GDP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} \& k_{\text{GDP}}^{\text{P2Y}_{12}}$	$1.248 \cdot 10^6$	$1.30 \cdot 10^6$	1/M-s
$k_{-\text{GTP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} \& k_{-\text{GTP}}^{\text{P2Y}_{12}}$	8.057	8.077	1/s
$k_{\text{GTP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} \& k_{\text{GTP}}^{\text{P2Y}_{12}}$	$2.185 \cdot 10^4$	$2.189 \cdot 10^4$	1/M-s
$k_{-\text{G}_q\text{GTP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} \& k_{-\text{G}_i\text{GTP}}^{\text{P2Y}_{12}}$	$3.358 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$3.315 \cdot 10^{-1}$	1/s
$k_{\text{G}_q\text{GTP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} \& k_{\text{G}_i\text{GTP}}^{\text{P2Y}_{12}}$	$2.65 \cdot 10^{15}$	$2.65 \cdot 10^{15}$	dm <sup>2</sup> /mol-s
$k_{-\text{G}_q\alpha}^{\text{PLC}} \& k_{-\text{G}_i\alpha}^{\text{PI3K}}$	$1.247 \cdot 10^1$	$1.247 \cdot 10^1$	1/s

$k_{-G_{q\alpha}GTP}^{PLC}$ & $k_{-G_{i\alpha}GTP}^{PI3K}$	$1.207 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.207 \cdot 10^{-3}$	1/s
$k_{G_{q\alpha}GDP}^{PLC}$ & $k_{G_{i\alpha}GDP}^{PI3K}$	$6.098 \cdot 10^{11}$	$6.098 \cdot 10^{11}$	dm <sup>2</sup> /mol-s
$k_{G_{q\alpha}GTP}^{PLC}$ & $k_{G_{i\alpha}GTP}^{PI3K}$	$6.044 \cdot 10^{16}$	$6.044 \cdot 10^{16}$	dm <sup>2</sup> /mol-s
$k_{hydrolyze}^{PLC}$ & $k_{hydrolyze}^{PI3K}$	1.293	1.189	1/s
$k_{GTP}$	$1.776 \cdot 10^1$	$1.851 \cdot 10^1$	1/s
$k_{phos}^{P2Y_1}$	$2.78 \cdot 10^8$	$1.485 \cdot 10^8$	1/M-s
$k_{dephos}^{P2Y_1}$	$1.313 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$4.152 \cdot 10^{-3}$	1/s
$\gamma^{IP_3R}$	$4.286 \cdot 10^{-10}$	$4.171 \cdot 10^{-10}$	S
$\gamma^{leak}$	$7 \cdot 10^{-12}$	$7.026 \cdot 10^{-12}$	S/dm <sup>2</sup>
$k_{2f}^{SERCA}$	$1.033 \cdot 10^{12}$	$8.877 \cdot 10^{11}$	1/M <sup>2</sup> -s
$k_{2r}^{SERCA}$	$1.375 \cdot 10^2$	$1.368 \cdot 10^2$	1/s
$k_{3f}^{SERCA}$	$5.532 \cdot 10^2$	$5.59 \cdot 10^2$	1/s
$k_{3r}^{SERCA}$	$1.003 \cdot 10^1$	9.887	1/s
$k_{4f}^{SERCA}$	$3.563 \cdot 10^2$	$3.829 \cdot 10^2$	1/s
$k_{4r}^{SERCA}$	$8.559 \cdot 10^1$	$8.419 \cdot 10^1$	1/s
$k_{5f}^{SERCA}$	$5.724 \cdot 10^2$	$2.193 \cdot 10^1$	1/s
$k_{5r}^{SERCA}$	$3.662 \cdot 10^9$	$3.619 \cdot 10^9$	1/M <sup>2</sup> -s
$k_{2f}^{PMCA}$	$4.484 \cdot 10^{10}$	$4.704 \cdot 10^{10}$	1/M <sup>2</sup> -s
$k_{2r}^{PMCA}$	$4.742 \cdot 10^2$	$4.423 \cdot 10^2$	1/s
$k_{3f}^{PMCA}$	$2.071 \cdot 10^9$	$2.23 \cdot 10^9$	1/s
$k_{3r}^{PMCA}$	$2.773 \cdot 10^2$	$2.641 \cdot 10^2$	1/s
$k_{4f}^{PMCA}$	1.456	1.337	1/s
$k_{4r}^{PMCA}$	$1.355 \cdot 10^3$	$1.338 \cdot 10^3$	1/s
$k_{5f}^{PMCA}$	$7.614 \cdot 10^{-1}$	1.925	1/s
$k_{5r}^{PMCA}$	$1.843 \cdot 10^5$	$1.105 \cdot 10^4$	1/M <sup>2</sup> -s

Tab. S1: Parameter values estimated against calcium data. The parameter estimation was run twice; once where it was assumed the peak calcium concentration reached 100 nM, and the second where it was assumed the peak calcium concentration reached 200 nM. The estimated values from the 200 nM assumption were used in the results of the main paper.

Parameter	max RAP1-GTP = 500	max RAP1-GTP = 5000	max RAP1-GTP = 500	max RAP1-GTP = 5000	Units
	(Max Ca 100 nM)	(Max Ca 100 nM)	(Max Ca 200 nM)	(Max Ca 200 nM)	
$k_{\text{PIP}_2}^{\text{PI3K}}$	$1 \cdot 10^8$	$1 \cdot 10^8$	$1 \cdot 10^8$	$1 \cdot 10^8$	1/M-s
$k_{-\text{PIP}_2}^{\text{PI3K}}$	6870	6870	6870	6870	1/s
$k_{\text{PIP}_2, \text{cat}}^{\text{PI3K}}$	33.15	33.15	33.15	33.15	1/s
$k_{-\text{PIP}_3, \text{cat}}^{\text{PIP}_3 \text{ Phosphatase}}$	20	20	20	20	1/s
$K_{-\text{PIP}_3, \text{M}}^{\text{PIP}_3 \text{ Phosphatase}}$	$2.5 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$2.5 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$2.5 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$2.5 \cdot 10^{-7}$	M
$k_{\text{PIP}_3}^{\text{RASA3}}$	$4.8 \cdot 10^{10}$	$4.8 \cdot 10^{10}$	$4.8 \cdot 10^{10}$	$4.8 \cdot 10^{10}$	1/M-s
$k_{-\text{PIP}_3}^{\text{RASA3}}$	$1.6 \cdot 10^3$	$1.6 \cdot 10^3$	$1.6 \cdot 10^3$	$1.6 \cdot 10^3$	1/s
$k_{\text{cat}}^{\text{GAP}}$	$1.6 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$1.011 \cdot 10^{-1}$	$1.585 \cdot 10^1$	$1.239 \cdot 10^1$	1/s
$K_{\text{M}}^{\text{GAP}}$	$4.922 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$8.078 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$2.441 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$1.666 \cdot 10^{-4}$	M
$k_{\text{cat}}^{\text{GEF}}$	$2.305 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$1.5 \cdot 10^{-2}$	$6.652 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$4.0 \cdot 10^{-2}$	1/s
$K_{\text{M}}^{\text{GEF}}$	$4.896 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$2.412 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$3.848 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$1.915 \cdot 10^{-4}$	M

Tab. S2: Parameter values estimated against integrin binding data. The parameter estimation was run four times, or twice for each choice of peak calcium concentration assumption. For each choice, the parameter estimation was conducted under the assumption that the peak RAP1-GTP number was 500, and again under the assumption that the peak RAP1-GTP number was 5000. The estimated values assuming a peak calcium concentration of 200 nM and a peak RAP1-GTP number of 5000 were used in the results of the main paper unless otherwise stated.

## S4 Full List of Model Equations

All volume concentrations are denoted by  $[\cdot]$  and all surface densities are denoted by  $[\cdot]_S$ . The volumes of this system are the platelet rich plasma (PRP), cytosol, and dense tubular structure (DTS), the volumes of which are denoted by  $V_{\text{PRP}}$ ,  $V_{\text{cyt}}$ , and  $V_{\text{DTS}}$ , respectively. The surfaces of this system are the plasma membrane and DTS membrane, the surface areas of which are denoted by  $S_{\text{PM}}$  and  $S_{\text{IM}}$ , respectively.

Parameter	Value	Units
$V_{\text{PRP}}$	$1 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$\text{dm}^3$
$S_{\text{PM}}$	$7.35 \cdot 10^{-9}$	$\text{dm}^2$
$V_{\text{cyt}}$	$6 \cdot 10^{-15}$	$\text{dm}^3$
$S_{\text{IM}}$	$7.35 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$\text{dm}^2$
$V_{\text{DTS}}$	$3.7 \cdot 10^{-16}$	$\text{dm}^3$

Tab. S3: Parameter values associated with the volumes of each container.

## S4.1 CalDAG-GEFI, RASA3, and RAP1

### S4.1.1 CalDAG-GEFI

Parameter	Value	Units	Reference
$k_{Ca}^{\text{CalDAG-GEFI}}$	$6 \cdot 10^6$	1/M-s	[3]
$k_{-Ca}^{\text{CalDAG-GEFI}}$	0.48	1/s	[3]
$N^{\text{CalDAG-GEFI}}$	31595	#	[17]

Tab. S4: Parameter values associated with CalDAG-GEFI. At time  $t = 0$  s in the steady-state simulation,  $[\text{CalDAG}] = N^{\text{CalDAG-GEFI}}/V_{\text{cyt}}$  and all other states are initialized to 0.

$$V_{\text{cyt}} \frac{d}{dt} [\text{CalDAG} - \text{GEFI}] = - V_{\text{cyt}} k_{Ca}^{\text{CalDAG-GEFI}} [\text{Ca}_{\text{cyt}}^{2+}] [\text{CalDAG} - \text{GEFI}] + \underbrace{V_{\text{cyt}} k_{-Ca}^{\text{CalDAG-GEFI}} [\text{CalDAG} - \text{GEFI} - \text{Ca}]}_{\text{CalDAG-GEFI binding calcium}} \quad (3)$$

$$V_{\text{cyt}} \frac{d}{dt} [\text{CalDAG} - \text{GEFI} - \text{Ca}] = V_{\text{cyt}} k_{Ca}^{\text{CalDAG-GEFI}} [\text{Ca}_{\text{cyt}}^{2+}] [\text{CalDAG} - \text{GEFI}] - \underbrace{V_{\text{cyt}} k_{-Ca}^{\text{CalDAG-GEFI}} [\text{CalDAG} - \text{GEFI} - \text{Ca}]}_{\text{CalDAG-GEFI binding calcium}} - V_{\text{cyt}} k_{Ca}^{\text{CalDAG-GEFI}} [\text{Ca}_{\text{cyt}}^{2+}] [\text{CalDAG} - \text{GEFI} - \text{Ca}] + \underbrace{V_{\text{cyt}} k_{-Ca}^{\text{CalDAG-GEFI}} [\text{CalDAG} - \text{GEFI} - 2\text{Ca}]}_{\text{CalDAG-GEFI-Ca binding calcium}} \quad (4)$$

$$V_{\text{cyt}} \frac{d}{dt} [\text{CalDAG} - \text{GEFI} - 2\text{Ca}] = V_{\text{cyt}} k_{Ca}^{\text{CalDAG-GEFI}} [\text{Ca}_{\text{cyt}}^{2+}] [\text{CalDAG} - \text{GEFI} - \text{Ca}] - \underbrace{V_{\text{cyt}} k_{-Ca}^{\text{CalDAG-GEFI}} [\text{CalDAG} - \text{GEFI} - 2\text{Ca}]}_{\text{CalDAG-GEFI-Ca binding calcium}} \quad (5)$$

### S4.1.2 RASA3

RASA3 inactivation is not well known experimentally, so for now we will treat it as a mass-action binding between RASA3 and PIP<sub>3</sub>.

Parameter	Value	Units	Reference
$k_{\text{PIP}_3}^{\text{RASA3}}$	See Table S2	1/M-s	
$k_{-\text{PIP}_3}^{\text{RASA3}}$	See Table S2	1/s	
$N^{\text{RASA3}}$	26959	#	[17]

Tab. S5: Parameter values associated with RASA3. At time  $t = 0$  s in the steady-state simulation,  $[\text{RASA3}]_S = N^{\text{RASA3}}/S_{\text{PM}}$  and all other states are initialized to 0.

$$S_{\text{PM}} \frac{d}{dt} [\text{RASA3}]_S = \underbrace{S_{\text{PM}} k_{-\text{PIP}_3}^{\text{RASA3}} [\text{RASA3} - \text{PIP}_3]_S - S_{\text{PM}} \left( \frac{S_{\text{PM}}}{V_{\text{cyt}}} k_{\text{PIP}_3}^{\text{RASA3}} \right) [\text{RASA3}]_S [\text{PIP}_3]_S}_{\text{PIP}_3 \text{ inactivation of RASA3}} \quad (6)$$

$$S_{\text{PM}} \frac{d}{dt} [\text{RASA3} - \text{PIP}_3]_S = \underbrace{-S_{\text{PM}} k_{-\text{PIP}_3}^{\text{RASA3}} [\text{RASA3} - \text{PIP}_3]_S + S_{\text{PM}} \left( \frac{S_{\text{PM}}}{V_{\text{cyt}}} k_{\text{PIP}_3}^{\text{RASA3}} \right) [\text{RASA3}]_S [\text{PIP}_3]_S}_{\text{PIP}_3 \text{ inactivation of RASA3}} \quad (7)$$

## S4.1.3 RAP1

Parameter	Value	Units	Reference
$k_{\text{cat}}^{\text{GAP}}$	See Table S2	1/s	
$K_{\text{M}}^{\text{GAP}}$	See Table S2	M	
$k_{\text{cat}}^{\text{GEF}}$	See Table S2	1/s	
$K_{\text{M}}^{\text{GEF}}$	See Table S2	M	
$N^{\text{RAP1}}$	209601	#	[17]

Tab. S6: Parameter values associated with RAP1. At time  $t = 0$  s in the steady-state simulation,  $[\text{RAP1} - \text{GDP}]_S = N^{\text{RAP1}}/S_{\text{PM}}$  and all other states are initialized to 0.

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\text{PM}} \frac{d}{dt} [\text{RAP1} - \text{GTP}]_S &= \underbrace{-S_{\text{PM}} \frac{k_{\text{cat}}^{\text{GAP}} [\text{RASA3}]_S}{\left(\frac{V_{\text{cyt}}}{S_{\text{PM}}} K_{\text{M}}^{\text{GAP}}\right) + [\text{RAP1} - \text{GTP}]_S} [\text{RAP1} - \text{GTP}]_S}_{\text{RASA3 inactivation of RAP1}} \\
&\quad + \underbrace{V_{\text{cyt}} \frac{k_{\text{cat}}^{\text{GEF}} [\text{CalDAG} - \text{GEFI} - 2\text{Ca}]}{\left(\frac{V_{\text{cyt}}}{S_{\text{PM}}} K_{\text{M}}^{\text{GEF}}\right) + [\text{RAP1} - \text{GDP}]_S} [\text{RAP1} - \text{GDP}]_S}_{\text{CalDAG activation of RAP1}}
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\text{PM}} \frac{d}{dt} [\text{RAP1} - \text{GDP}]_S &= \underbrace{S_{\text{PM}} \frac{k_{\text{cat}}^{\text{GAP}} [\text{RASA3}]_S}{\left(\frac{V_{\text{cyt}}}{S_{\text{PM}}} K_{\text{M}}^{\text{GAP}}\right) + [\text{RAP1} - \text{GTP}]_S} [\text{RAP1} - \text{GTP}]_S}_{\text{RASA3 inactivation of RAP1}} \\
&\quad - \underbrace{V_{\text{cyt}} \frac{k_{\text{cat}}^{\text{GEF}} [\text{CalDAG} - \text{GEFI} - 2\text{Ca}]}{\left(\frac{V_{\text{cyt}}}{S_{\text{PM}}} K_{\text{M}}^{\text{GEF}}\right) + [\text{RAP1} - \text{GDP}]_S} [\text{RAP1} - \text{GDP}]_S}_{\text{CalDAG activation of RAP1}}
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

## S4.2 ADP

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{\text{PRP}} \frac{d}{dt} [\text{ADP}] = & \underbrace{-S_{\text{PM}} \left( k_{\text{ADP}}^{\text{P2Y}_{12}} \frac{\alpha^{\text{P2Y}_{12}} K_{\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_{12}} + 1}{K_{\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_{12}} + 1} \right) [\text{ADP}] [\text{P2Y}_{12}]_S + S_{\text{PM}} k_{-\text{ADP}}^{\text{P2Y}_{12}} [\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_{12}]_S}_{\text{ADP Binding to P2Y}_{12}} \\
& - S_{\text{PM}} \left( k_{\text{ADP}}^{\text{P2Y}_{12}} \frac{\alpha^{\text{P2Y}_{12}} \beta^{\text{P2Y}_{12}} K_{\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_{12}} + 1}{\beta^{\text{P2Y}_{12}} K_{\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_{12}} + 1} \right) [\text{ADP}] [\text{P2Y}_{12} - \text{G}_i \text{GDP}]_S \\
& + S_{\text{PM}} \left( \frac{k_{-\text{ADP}}^{\text{P2Y}_{12}}}{\gamma^{\text{P2Y}_{12}}} \frac{\alpha^{\text{P2Y}_{12}} \beta^{\text{P2Y}_{12}} K_{\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_{12}} + 1}{\alpha^{\text{P2Y}_{12}} \beta^{\text{P2Y}_{12}} \delta^{\text{P2Y}_{12}} K_{\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_{12}} + 1} \right) [\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_{12} - \text{G}_i \text{GDP}]_S \\
& \underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{\text{ADP Binding to P2Y}_{12} - \text{G}_i \text{GDP}} \\
& - S_{\text{PM}} \left( k_{\text{ADP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} \frac{\alpha^{\text{P2Y}_1} K_{\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} + 1}{K_{\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} + 1} \right) [\text{ADP}] [\text{P2Y}_1]_S + S_{\text{PM}} k_{-\text{ADP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} [\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1]_S \\
& \underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{\text{ADP Binding to P2Y}_1} \\
& - S_{\text{PM}} \left( k_{\text{ADP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} \frac{\alpha^{\text{P2Y}_1} \beta^{\text{P2Y}_1} K_{\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} + 1}{\beta^{\text{P2Y}_1} K_{\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} + 1} \right) [\text{ADP}] [\text{P2Y}_1 - \text{G}_q \text{GDP}]_S \\
& + S_{\text{PM}} \left( \frac{k_{-\text{ADP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}}{\gamma^{\text{P2Y}_1}} \frac{\alpha^{\text{P2Y}_1} \beta^{\text{P2Y}_1} K_{\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} + 1}{\alpha^{\text{P2Y}_1} \beta^{\text{P2Y}_1} \delta^{\text{P2Y}_1} K_{\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} + 1} \right) [\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1 - \text{G}_q \text{GDP}]_S \\
& \underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{\text{ADP Binding to P2Y}_1 - \text{G}_q \text{GDP}}
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

### S4.3 Proteins in P2Y<sub>12</sub> Pathway

#### S4.3.1 P2Y<sub>12</sub>

The P2Y<sub>12</sub> model is exactly the same as what Purvis et al. used for P2Y<sub>1</sub> [12]. However, we performed a Quasi-Steady State reduction to reduce the number of equations by four. See later in the supplemental for further discussion.




Fig. S1: P2Y<sub>12</sub> reaction diagram

Parameter	Value	Units	Reference
$K_{\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_{12}}$	See Table S1	n.d.	
$\alpha^{\text{P2Y}_{12}}$	See Table S1	n.d.	
$\beta^{\text{P2Y}_{12}}$	See Table S1	n.d.	
$\delta^{\text{P2Y}_{12}}$	See Table S1	n.d.	
$\gamma^{\text{P2Y}_{12}}$	See Table S1	n.d.	
$k_{\text{G}_i\text{GDP}}^{\text{P2Y}_{12}}$	See Table S1	dm <sup>2</sup> /mol-s	
$k_{-\text{G}_i\text{GDP}}^{\text{P2Y}_{12}}$	See Table S1	1/s	
$k_{\text{G}_i\text{GTP}}^{\text{P2Y}_{12}}$	See Table S1	dm <sup>2</sup> /mol-s	
$k_{-\text{G}_i\text{GTP}}^{\text{P2Y}_{12}}$	See Table S1	1/s	
$k_{\text{ADP}}^{\text{P2Y}_{12}}$	See Table S1	1/M-s	
$k_{-\text{ADP}}^{\text{P2Y}_{12}}$	See Table S1	1/s	
$k_{-\text{GDP}}^{\text{P2Y}_{12}}$	See Table S1	1/s	
$k_{\text{GDP}}^{\text{P2Y}_{12}}$	See Table S1	1/M-s	
$k_{-\text{GTP}}^{\text{P2Y}_{12}}$	See Table S1	1/s	
$k_{\text{GTP}}^{\text{P2Y}_{12}}$	See Table S1	1/M-s	
[GDP]	$1.3362 \cdot 10^{-5}$	M	[12]
[GTP]	$7.117 \cdot 10^{-4}$	M	[12]
$N^{\text{P2Y}_{12}}$	2681	#	[17]

Tab. S7: Parameter values associated with P2Y<sub>12</sub>. At time  $t = 0$  s in the steady-state simulation,  $[\text{P2Y}_{12}]_S = N^{\text{P2Y}_{12}}/S_{\text{PM}}$  and all other states are initialized to 0.

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{PM} \frac{d}{dt} [P2Y_{12}]_S &= - \underbrace{S_{PM} \left( k_{ADP}^{P2Y_{12}} \frac{\alpha^{P2Y_{12}} K_{act}^{P2Y_{12}} + 1}{K_{act}^{P2Y_{12}} + 1} \right) [ADP][P2Y_{12}]_S + S_{PM} k_{-ADP}^{P2Y_{12}} [ADP - P2Y_{12}]_S}_{ADP \text{ Binding}} \\
&\quad - S_{PM} \left( k_{G_iGDP}^{P2Y_{12}} \frac{\beta^{P2Y_{12}} K_{act}^{P2Y_{12}}}{K_{act}^{P2Y_{12}} + 1} \right) [G_iGDP]_S [P2Y_{12}]_S \\
&\quad + \underbrace{S_{PM} \left( k_{-G_iGDP}^{P2Y_{12}} \frac{\beta^{P2Y_{12}} K_{act}^{P2Y_{12}}}{\beta^{P2Y_{12}} K_{act}^{P2Y_{12}} + 1} \right) [P2Y_{12} - G_iGDP]_S}_{G_iGDP \text{ Binding}} \\
&\quad - S_{PM} \left( k_{G_iGTP}^{P2Y_{12}} \frac{K_{act}^{P2Y_{12}}}{K_{act}^{P2Y_{12}} + 1} \right) [G_iGTP]_S [P2Y_{12}]_S \\
&\quad + \underbrace{S_{PM} k_{-G_iGTP}^{P2Y_{12}} [P2Y_{12} - G_iGTP]_S}_{G_iGTP \text{ Binding}}
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{PM} \frac{d}{dt} [ADP - P2Y_{12}]_S &= \underbrace{S_{PM} \left( k_{ADP}^{P2Y_{12}} \frac{\alpha^{P2Y_{12}} K_{act}^{P2Y_{12}} + 1}{K_{act}^{P2Y_{12}} + 1} \right) [ADP][P2Y_{12}]_S - S_{PM} k_{-ADP}^{P2Y_{12}} [ADP - P2Y_{12}]_S}_{ADP \text{ Binding}} \\
&\quad - S_{PM} \left( k_{G_iGDP}^{P2Y_{12}} \frac{\alpha^{P2Y_{12}} \beta^{P2Y_{12}} K_{act}^{P2Y_{12}}}{\alpha^{P2Y_{12}} K_{act}^{P2Y_{12}} + 1} \right) [G_iGDP]_S [ADP - P2Y_{12}]_S \\
&\quad + \underbrace{S_{PM} \left( \frac{k_{-G_iGDP}^{P2Y_{12}}}{\gamma^{P2Y_{12}}} \frac{\alpha^{P2Y_{12}} \beta^{P2Y_{12}} K_{act}^{P2Y_{12}}}{\alpha^{P2Y_{12}} \beta^{P2Y_{12}} \delta^{P2Y_{12}} K_{act}^{P2Y_{12}} + 1} \right) [ADP - P2Y_{12} - G_iGDP]_S}_{G_iGDP \text{ Binding}} \\
&\quad - S_{PM} \left( k_{G_iGTP}^{P2Y_{12}} \frac{\alpha^{P2Y_{12}} K_{act}^{P2Y_{12}}}{\alpha^{P2Y_{12}} K_{act}^{P2Y_{12}} + 1} \right) [G_iGTP]_S [ADP - P2Y_{12}]_S \\
&\quad + \underbrace{S_{PM} k_{-G_iGTP}^{P2Y_{12}} [ADP - P2Y_{12} - G_iGTP]_S}_{G_iGTP \text{ Binding}}
\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{PM} \frac{d}{dt} [P2Y_{12} - G_iGDP]_S &= - \underbrace{S_{PM} \left( k_{ADP}^{P2Y_{12}} \frac{\alpha^{P2Y_{12}} \beta^{P2Y_{12}} K_{act}^{P2Y_{12}} + 1}{\beta^{P2Y_{12}} K_{act}^{P2Y_{12}} + 1} \right) [ADP][P2Y_{12} - G_iGDP]_S + S_{PM} \left( \frac{k_{-ADP}^{P2Y_{12}}}{\gamma^{P2Y_{12}}} \frac{\alpha^{P2Y_{12}} \beta^{P2Y_{12}} K_{act}^{P2Y_{12}} + 1}{\alpha^{P2Y_{12}} \beta^{P2Y_{12}} \delta^{P2Y_{12}} K_{act}^{P2Y_{12}} + 1} \right) [ADP - P2Y_{12} - G_iGDP]_S}_{ADP \text{ Binding}} \\
&\quad + S_{PM} \left( k_{G_iGDP}^{P2Y_{12}} \frac{\beta^{P2Y_{12}} K_{act}^{P2Y_{12}}}{K_{act}^{P2Y_{12}} + 1} \right) [G_iGDP]_S [P2Y_{12}]_S \\
&\quad - \underbrace{S_{PM} \left( k_{-G_iGDP}^{P2Y_{12}} \frac{\beta^{P2Y_{12}} K_{act}^{P2Y_{12}}}{\beta^{P2Y_{12}} K_{act}^{P2Y_{12}} + 1} \right) [P2Y_{12} - G_iGDP]_S}_{G_iGDP \text{ Binding}} \\
&\quad + S_{PM} k_{GDP}^{P2Y_{12}} [GDP]_S [P2Y_{12} - G_i]_S \\
&\quad - \underbrace{S_{PM} \left( k_{-GDP}^{P2Y_{12}} \frac{\beta^{P2Y_{12}} K_{act}^{P2Y_{12}}}{\beta^{P2Y_{12}} K_{act}^{P2Y_{12}} + 1} \right) [P2Y_{12} - G_iGDP]_S}_{GDP \text{ Binding}}
\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{PM} \frac{d}{dt} [ADP - P2Y_{12} - G_i GDP]_S &= S_{PM} \left( k_{ADP}^{P2Y_{12}} \frac{\alpha^{P2Y_{12}} \beta^{P2Y_{12}} K_{act}^{P2Y_{12}} + 1}{\beta^{P2Y_{12}} K_{act}^{P2Y_{12}} + 1} \right) [ADP] [P2Y_{12} - G_i GDP]_S \\
&\quad - \underbrace{S_{PM} \left( \frac{k_{-ADP}^{P2Y_{12}}}{\gamma^{P2Y_{12}}} \frac{\alpha^{P2Y_{12}} \beta^{P2Y_{12}} K_{act}^{P2Y_{12}} + 1}{\alpha^{P2Y_{12}} \beta^{P2Y_{12}} \delta^{P2Y_{12}} K_{act}^{P2Y_{12}} + 1} \right)}_{ADP \text{ Binding}} [ADP - P2Y_{12} - G_i GDP]_S \\
&\quad + S_{PM} \left( k_{G_i GDP}^{P2Y_{12}} \frac{\alpha^{P2Y_{12}} \beta^{P2Y_{12}} K_{act}^{P2Y_{12}}}{\alpha^{P2Y_{12}} K_{act}^{P2Y_{12}} + 1} \right) [G_i GDP]_S [ADP - P2Y_{12}]_S \\
&\quad - \underbrace{S_{PM} \left( \frac{k_{-G_i GDP}^{P2Y_{12}}}{\gamma^{P2Y_{12}}} \frac{\alpha^{P2Y_{12}} \beta^{P2Y_{12}} K_{act}^{P2Y_{12}}}{\alpha^{P2Y_{12}} \beta^{P2Y_{12}} \delta^{P2Y_{12}} K_{act}^{P2Y_{12}} + 1} \right)}_{G_i GDP \text{ Binding}} [ADP - P2Y_{12} - G_i GDP]_S \\
&\quad + S_{PM} k_{GDP}^{P2Y_{12}} [GDP] [ADP - P2Y_{12} - G_i]_S \\
&\quad - \underbrace{S_{PM} \left( k_{-GDP}^{P2Y_{12}} \frac{\alpha^{P2Y_{12}} \beta^{P2Y_{12}} \delta^{P2Y_{12}} K_{act}^{P2Y_{12}}}{\alpha^{P2Y_{12}} \beta^{P2Y_{12}} \delta^{P2Y_{12}} K_{act}^{P2Y_{12}} + 1} \right)}_{GDP \text{ Binding}} [ADP - P2Y_{12} - G_i GDP]_S
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{PM} \frac{d}{dt} [P2Y_{12} - G_i GTP]_S &= \underbrace{S_{PM} k_{GTP}^{P2Y_{12}} [P2Y_{12} - G_i]_S [GTP] - S_{PM} k_{-GTP}^{P2Y_{12}} [P2Y_{12} - G_i GTP]_S}_{GTP \text{ Binding}} \\
&\quad + S_{PM} \left( k_{G_i GTP}^{P2Y_{12}} \frac{K_{act}^{P2Y_{12}}}{K_{act}^{P2Y_{12}} + 1} \right) [G_i GTP]_S [P2Y_{12}]_S \\
&\quad - \underbrace{S_{PM} k_{-G_i GTP}^{P2Y_{12}} [P2Y_{12} - G_i GTP]_S}_{G_i GTP \text{ binding}}
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{PM} \frac{d}{dt} [ADP - P2Y_{12} - G_i GTP]_S &= S_{PM} k_{GTP}^{P2Y_{12}} [ADP - P2Y_{12} - G_i]_S [GTP] \\
&\quad - \underbrace{S_{PM} k_{-GTP}^{P2Y_{12}} [ADP - P2Y_{12} - G_i GTP]_S}_{GTP \text{ Binding}} \\
&\quad + S_{PM} \left( k_{G_i GTP}^{P2Y_{12}} \frac{\alpha^{P2Y_{12}} K_{act}^{P2Y_{12}}}{\alpha^{P2Y_{12}} K_{act}^{P2Y_{12}} + 1} \right) [G_i GTP]_S [ADP - P2Y_{12}]_S \\
&\quad - \underbrace{S_{PM} k_{-G_i GTP}^{P2Y_{12}} [ADP - P2Y_{12} - G_i GTP]_S}_{G_i GTP \text{ binding}}
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\text{PM}} \frac{d}{dt} [\text{P2Y}_{12} - \text{G}_i]_S = & -S_{\text{PM}} k_{\text{GTP}}^{\text{P2Y}_{12}} [\text{P2Y}_{12} - \text{G}_i]_S [\text{GTP}] \\
& \underbrace{+ S_{\text{PM}} k_{-\text{GTP}}^{\text{P2Y}_{12}} [\text{P2Y}_{12} - \text{G}_i \text{GTP}]_S}_{\text{GTP Binding}} \\
& + S_{\text{PM}} \left( k_{-\text{GDP}}^{\text{P2Y}_{12}} \frac{\beta^{\text{P2Y}_{12}} K_{\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_{12}}}{\beta^{\text{P2Y}_{12}} K_{\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_{12}} + 1} \right) [\text{P2Y}_{12} - \text{G}_i \text{GDP}]_S \\
& \underbrace{- S_{\text{PM}} k_{\text{GDP}}^{\text{P2Y}_{12}} [\text{P2Y}_{12} - \text{G}_i]_S [\text{GDP}]}_{\text{GDP binding}}
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\text{PM}} \frac{d}{dt} [\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_{12} - \text{G}_i]_S = & -S_{\text{PM}} k_{\text{GTP}}^{\text{P2Y}_{12}} [\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_{12} - \text{G}_i]_S [\text{GTP}] \\
& \underbrace{+ S_{\text{PM}} k_{-\text{GTP}}^{\text{P2Y}_{12}} [\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_{12} - \text{G}_i \text{GTP}]_S}_{\text{GTP Binding}} \\
& + S_{\text{PM}} \left( k_{-\text{GDP}}^{\text{P2Y}_{12}} \frac{\alpha^{\text{P2Y}_{12}} \beta^{\text{P2Y}_{12}} \delta^{\text{P2Y}_{12}} K_{\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_{12}}}{\alpha^{\text{P2Y}_{12}} \beta^{\text{P2Y}_{12}} \delta^{\text{P2Y}_{12}} K_{\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_{12}} + 1} \right) [\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_{12} - \text{G}_i \text{GDP}]_S \\
& \underbrace{- S_{\text{PM}} k_{\text{GDP}}^{\text{P2Y}_{12}} [\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_{12} - \text{G}_i]_S [\text{GDP}]}_{\text{GDP binding}}
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

S4.3.2  $G_i$ 

Parameter	Value	Units	Reference
$k_{GTP}$	See Table S1	1/s	
$k_{G_i\beta\gamma}^{G_i}$	103584	1/M-s	[7]
$k_{-G_i\beta\gamma}^{G_i}$	7.7832	1/s	[7]
$N^{G_i}$	27752	#	[17]

Tab. S8: Parameter values associated with  $G_i$ . At time  $t = 0$  s in the steady-state simulation,  $[G_iGDP]_S = N^{G_i}/S_{PM}$  and all other states are initialized to 0.

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{PM} \frac{d}{dt} [G_iGDP]_S = & - S_{PM} \left( k_{G_iGDP}^{P2Y_{12}} \frac{\beta^{P2Y_{12}} K_{act}^{P2Y_{12}}}{K_{act}^{P2Y_{12}} + 1} \right) [G_iGDP]_S [P2Y_{12}]_S \\
& + \underbrace{S_{PM} \left( k_{-G_iGDP}^{P2Y_{12}} \frac{\beta^{P2Y_{12}} K_{act}^{P2Y_{12}}}{\beta^{P2Y_{12}} K_{act}^{P2Y_{12}} + 1} \right) [P2Y_{12}]_S}_{G_iGDP \text{ Binding}} \\
& - S_{PM} \left( k_{G_iGDP}^{P2Y_{12}} \frac{\alpha^{P2Y_{12}} \beta^{P2Y_{12}} K_{act}^{P2Y_{12}}}{\alpha^{P2Y_{12}} K_{act}^{P2Y_{12}} + 1} \right) [G_iGDP]_S [ADP - P2Y_{12}]_S \\
& + \underbrace{S_{PM} \left( \frac{k_{-G_iGDP}^{P2Y_{12}}}{\gamma^{P2Y_{12}}} \frac{\alpha^{P2Y_{12}} \beta^{P2Y_{12}} K_{act}^{P2Y_{12}}}{\alpha^{P2Y_{12}} \beta^{P2Y_{12}} \delta^{P2Y_{12}} K_{act}^{P2Y_{12}} + 1} \right) [ADP - P2Y_{12} - G_iGDP]_S}_{G_iGDP \text{ Binding}} \\
& + \underbrace{S_{PM} \left( \frac{S_{PM}}{V_{cyt}} k_{G_i\beta\gamma}^{G_i} \right) [G_{i\alpha}GDP]_S [G_{i\beta\gamma}]_S - S_{PM} k_{-G_i\beta\gamma}^{G_i} [G_iGDP]_S}_{G_{i\beta\gamma} \text{ binding } G_{i\alpha}GDP}
\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{PM} \frac{d}{dt} [G_iGTP]_S = & - S_{PM} \left( k_{G_iGTP}^{P2Y_{12}} \frac{K_{act}^{P2Y_{12}}}{K_{act}^{P2Y_{12}} + 1} \right) [G_iGTP]_S [P2Y_{12}]_S \\
& + \underbrace{S_{PM} k_{-G_iGTP}^{P2Y_{12}} [P2Y_{12}]_S}_{G_iGTP \text{ Binding}} \\
& - S_{PM} \left( k_{G_iGTP}^{P2Y_{12}} \frac{\alpha^{P2Y_{12}} K_{act}^{P2Y_{12}}}{\alpha^{P2Y_{12}} K_{act}^{P2Y_{12}} + 1} \right) [G_iGTP]_S [ADP - P2Y_{12}]_S \\
& + \underbrace{S_{PM} k_{-G_iGTP}^{P2Y_{12}} [ADP - P2Y_{12} - G_iGTP]_S}_{G_iGTP \text{ Binding}} \\
& - \underbrace{S_{PM} k_{autohydrolysis}^{G_i} [G_iGTP]_S}_{\text{Autohydrolysis of } G_iGTP} \\
& + \underbrace{S_{PM} \left( \frac{S_{PM}}{V_{cyt}} k_{G_i\beta\gamma}^{G_i} \right) [G_{i\alpha}GTP]_S [G_{i\beta\gamma}]_S - S_{PM} k_{-G_i\beta\gamma}^{G_i} [G_iGTP]_S}_{G_{i\beta\gamma} \text{ binding } G_{i\alpha}GTP}
\end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\text{PM}} \frac{d}{dt} [\text{G}_{i\alpha} \text{GTP}]_S = & \underbrace{-S_{\text{PM}} \left( k_{\text{G}_{i\beta\gamma}}^{\text{G}_i} \frac{S_{\text{PM}}}{V_{\text{cyt}}} \right) [\text{G}_{i\alpha} \text{GTP}]_S [\text{G}_{i\beta\gamma}]_S + S_{\text{PM}} k_{-\text{G}_{i\beta\gamma}}^{\text{G}_i} [\text{G}_i \text{GTP}]_S}_{\text{G}_{i\beta\gamma} \text{ binding G}_{i\alpha} \text{GTP}} \\
& + \underbrace{S_{\text{PM}} k_{-\text{G}_{i\alpha} \text{GTP}}^{\text{PI3K}} [\text{PI3K} - \text{G}_{i\alpha} \text{GTP}]_S - S_{\text{PM}} k_{\text{G}_{i\alpha} \text{GTP}}^{\text{PI3K}} [\text{PI3K}]_S [\text{G}_{i\alpha} \text{GTP}]_S}_{\text{GTP binding (activation)}}
\end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\text{PM}} \frac{d}{dt} [\text{G}_{i\alpha} \text{GDP}]_S = & \underbrace{S_{\text{PM}} k_{\text{autohydrolysis}}^{\text{G}_i} [\text{G}_i \text{GTP}]_S}_{\text{Autohydrolysis of G}_i \text{GTP}} \\
& - \underbrace{S_{\text{PM}} k_{\text{G}_{i\beta\gamma}}^{\text{G}_i} [\text{G}_{i\alpha} \text{GDP}]_S [\text{G}_{i\beta\gamma}]_S + S_{\text{PM}} k_{-\text{G}_{i\beta\gamma}}^{\text{G}_i} [\text{G}_i \text{GDP}]_S}_{\text{G}_{i\beta\gamma} \text{ binding G}_{i\alpha} \text{GDP}} \\
& + \underbrace{S_{\text{PM}} k_{-\text{G}_{i\alpha} \text{GDP}}^{\text{PI3K}} [\text{PI3K} - \text{G}_{i\alpha} \text{GDP}]_S - S_{\text{PM}} k_{\text{G}_{i\alpha} \text{GDP}}^{\text{PI3K}} [\text{PI3K}]_S [\text{G}_{i\alpha} \text{GDP}]_S}_{\text{GDP binding}}
\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\text{PM}} \frac{d}{dt} [\text{G}_{i\beta\gamma}]_S = & \underbrace{S_{\text{PM}} k_{\text{autohydrolysis}}^{\text{G}_i} [\text{G}_i \text{GTP}]_S}_{\text{Autohydrolysis of G}_i \text{GTP}} \\
& - \underbrace{S_{\text{PM}} \left( k_{\text{G}_{i\beta\gamma}}^{\text{G}_i} \frac{S_{\text{PM}}}{V_{\text{cyt}}} \right) [\text{G}_{i\alpha} \text{GTP}]_S [\text{G}_{i\beta\gamma}]_S + S_{\text{PM}} k_{-\text{G}_{i\beta\gamma}}^{\text{G}_i} [\text{G}_i \text{GTP}]_S}_{\text{G}_{i\beta\gamma} \text{ binding G}_{i\alpha} \text{GTP}} \\
& - \underbrace{S_{\text{PM}} \left( k_{\text{G}_{i\beta\gamma}}^{\text{G}_i} \frac{S_{\text{PM}}}{V_{\text{cyt}}} \right) [\text{G}_{i\alpha} \text{GDP}]_S [\text{G}_{i\beta\gamma}]_S + S_{\text{PM}} k_{-\text{G}_{i\beta\gamma}}^{\text{G}_i} [\text{G}_i \text{GDP}]_S}_{\text{G}_{i\beta\gamma} \text{ binding G}_{i\alpha} \text{GDP}}
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

## S4.3.3 PI3K

Parameter	Value	Units	Reference
$k_{\text{hydrolyze}}^{\text{PI3K}}$	See Table S1	1/s	
$k_{-\text{G}_{i\alpha}\text{GDP}}^{\text{PI3K}}$	See Table S1	1/s	
$k_{-\text{G}_{i\alpha}\text{GTP}}^{\text{PI3K}}$	See Table S1	1/s	
$k_{\text{G}_{i\alpha}\text{GDP}}^{\text{PI3K}}$	See Table S1	dm <sup>2</sup> /mol-s	
$k_{\text{G}_{i\alpha}\text{GTP}}^{\text{PI3K}}$	See Table S1	dm <sup>2</sup> /mol-s	
$k_{\text{PIP}_3}^{\text{PI3K}}$	See Table S2	1/M-s	
$k_{-\text{PIP}_3}^{\text{PI3K}}$	See Table S2	1/s	
$k_{\text{PIP}_2, \text{cat}}^{\text{PI3K}}$	See Table S2	1/s	
$N^{\text{PI3K}}$	1916	#	[17]

Tab. S9: Parameter values associated with PI3K. At time  $t = 0$  in the steady-state simulation,  $[\text{PI3K}]_S = N^{\text{PI3K}}/S_{\text{PM}}$  and all other states are initialized to 0.

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\text{PM}} \frac{d}{dt} [\text{PI3K}]_S &= S_{\text{PM}} k_{-\text{G}_{i\alpha}\text{GTP}}^{\text{PI3K}} [\text{PI3K} - \text{G}_{i\alpha}\text{GTP}]_S \\
&\quad \underbrace{- S_{\text{PM}} k_{\text{G}_{i\alpha}\text{GTP}}^{\text{PI3K}} [\text{PI3K}]_S [\text{G}_{i\alpha}\text{GTP}]_S}_{\text{G}_{i\alpha}\text{GTP binding (activation)}} \\
&+ S_{\text{PM}} k_{-\text{G}_{i\alpha}\text{GDP}}^{\text{PI3K}} [\text{PI3K} - \text{G}_{i\alpha}\text{GDP}]_S \\
&\quad \underbrace{- S_{\text{PM}} k_{\text{G}_{i\alpha}\text{GDP}}^{\text{PI3K}} [\text{PI3K}]_S [\text{G}_{i\alpha}\text{GDP}]_S}_{\text{G}_{i\alpha}\text{GDP binding}}
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\text{PM}} \frac{d}{dt} [\text{PI3K} - \text{G}_{i\alpha}\text{GTP}]_S &= - S_{\text{PM}} k_{-\text{G}_{i\alpha}\text{GTP}}^{\text{PI3K}} [\text{PI3K} - \text{G}_{i\alpha}\text{GTP}]_S \\
&\quad \underbrace{+ S_{\text{PM}} k_{\text{G}_{i\alpha}\text{GTP}}^{\text{PI3K}} [\text{PI3K}]_S [\text{G}_{i\alpha}\text{GTP}]_S}_{\text{GTP binding (activation)}} \\
&\quad \underbrace{- S_{\text{PM}} k_{\text{hydrolyze}}^{\text{PI3K}} [\text{PI3K} - \text{G}_{i\alpha}\text{GTP}]_S}_{\text{GTP hydrolysis (inactivation)}} \\
&- S_{\text{PM}} \left( \frac{S_{\text{PM}}}{V_{\text{cyt}}} \right) k_{\text{PIP}_2}^{\text{PI3K}} [\text{PI3K} - \text{G}_{i\alpha}\text{GTP}]_S [\text{PIP}_2]_S \\
&\quad \underbrace{+ S_{\text{PM}} k_{-\text{PIP}_2}^{\text{PI3K}} [\text{PI3K} - \text{G}_{i\alpha}\text{GTP} - \text{PIP}_2]_S}_{\text{PI3K binding PIP}_2} \\
&\quad \underbrace{+ S_{\text{PM}} k_{\text{PIP}_2, \text{cat}}^{\text{PI3K}} [\text{PI3K} - \text{G}_{i\alpha}\text{GTP} - \text{PIP}_2]_S}_{\text{Formation of PIP}_3}
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\text{PM}} \frac{d}{dt} [\text{PI3K} - \text{G}_{i\alpha}\text{GDP}]_S &= \underbrace{S_{\text{PM}} k_{\text{hydrolyze}}^{\text{PI3K}} [\text{PI3K} - \text{G}_{i\alpha}\text{GTP}]_S}_{\text{GTP hydrolysis (inactivation)}} \\
&- S_{\text{PM}} k_{-\text{G}_{i\alpha}\text{GDP}}^{\text{PI3K}} [\text{PI3K} - \text{G}_{i\alpha}\text{GDP}]_S \\
&\quad \underbrace{+ S_{\text{PM}} k_{\text{G}_{i\alpha}\text{GDP}}^{\text{PI3K}} [\text{PI3K}]_S [\text{G}_{i\alpha}\text{GDP}]_S}_{\text{G}_{i\alpha}\text{GDP binding}}
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\text{PM}} \frac{d}{dt} [\text{PI3K} - \text{G}_{i\alpha} \text{GTP} - \text{PIP}_2]_S = & S_{\text{PM}} \left( \frac{S_{\text{PM}}}{V_{\text{cyt}}} \right) k_{\text{PIP}_2}^{\text{PI3K}} [\text{PI3K} - \text{G}_{i\alpha} \text{GTP}]_S [\text{PIP}_2]_S \\
& \underbrace{- S_{\text{PM}} k_{\text{PIP}_2}^{\text{PI3K}} [\text{PI3K} - \text{G}_{i\alpha} \text{GTP} - \text{PIP}_2]_S}_{\text{PI3K binding PIP}_2} \\
& \underbrace{- S_{\text{PM}} k_{\text{PIP}_2, \text{cat}}^{\text{PI3K}} [\text{PI3K} - \text{G}_{i\alpha} \text{GTP} - \text{PIP}_2]_S}_{\text{Formation of PIP}_3}
\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

## S4.4 Proteins in P2Y<sub>1</sub> pathway

### S4.5 P2Y<sub>1</sub>

We continue to use the model from Purvis et al. with a Quasi-Steady State assumption on certain states that rapidly switch between “active” and “inactive” states. We also introduce two new states, ADP-pP2Y<sub>1</sub> and ADP-pP2Y<sub>1</sub>-G<sub>q</sub>GDP, that represent desensitization via PKC phosphorylation.




Fig. S2: P2Y<sub>1</sub> reaction diagram

Parameter	Value	Units	Reference
$K_{\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}$	See Table S1	n.d.	
$\alpha^{\text{P2Y}_1}$	See Table S1	n.d.	
$\beta^{\text{P2Y}_1}$	See Table S1	n.d.	
$\delta^{\text{P2Y}_1}$	See Table S1	n.d.	
$\gamma^{\text{P2Y}_1}$	See Table S1	n.d.	
$k_{\text{G}_q\text{GDP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}$	See Table S1	dm <sup>2</sup> /mol-s	
$k_{-\text{G}_q\text{GDP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}$	See Table S1	1/s	
$k_{\text{G}_q\text{GTP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}$	See Table S1	dm <sup>2</sup> /mol-s	
$k_{-\text{G}_q\text{GTP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}$	See Table S1	1/s	
$k_{\text{ADP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}$	See Table S1	1/M-s	
$k_{-\text{ADP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}$	See Table S1	1/M-s	
$k_{-\text{GDP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}$	See Table S1	1/s	
$k_{\text{GDP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}$	See Table S1	1/M-s	
$k_{-\text{GTP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}$	See Table S1	1/s	
$k_{\text{GTP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}$	See Table S1	1/M-s	
$k_{\text{phos}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}$	See Table S1	1/M-s	
$k_{\text{dephos}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}$	See Table S1	1/s	
[GDP]	$1.3362 \cdot 10^{-5}$	M	[12]
[GTP]	$7.117 \cdot 10^{-4}$	M	[12]
$N^{\text{P2Y}_1}$	983	#	[17]

Tab. S10: Parameter values associated with P2Y<sub>12</sub>. At time  $t = 0$  s in the steady-state simulation,  $[\text{P2Y}_1]_S = N^{\text{P2Y}_1}/S_{\text{PM}}$  and all other states are initialized to 0.

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\text{PM}} \frac{d}{dt} [\text{P2Y}_1]_S = & \underbrace{-S_{\text{PM}} \left( k_{\text{ADP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} \frac{\alpha^{\text{P2Y}_1} K_{\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} + 1}{K_{\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} + 1} \right) [\text{ADP}] [\text{P2Y}_1]_S + S_{\text{PM}} k_{-\text{ADP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} [\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1]_S}_{\text{ADP Binding}} \\
& - S_{\text{PM}} \left( k_{\text{G}_q\text{GDP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} \frac{\beta^{\text{P2Y}_1} K_{\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}}{K_{\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} + 1} \right) [\text{G}_q\text{GDP}]_S [\text{P2Y}_1]_S \\
& + \underbrace{S_{\text{PM}} \left( k_{-\text{G}_q\text{GDP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} \frac{\beta^{\text{P2Y}_1} K_{\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}}{\beta^{\text{P2Y}_1} K_{\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} + 1} \right) [\text{P2Y}_1 - \text{G}_q\text{GDP}]_S}_{\text{G}_q\text{GDP Binding}} \\
& - S_{\text{PM}} \left( k_{\text{G}_q\text{GTP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} \frac{K_{\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}}{K_{\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} + 1} \right) [\text{G}_q\text{GTP}]_S [\text{P2Y}_1]_S \\
& + \underbrace{S_{\text{PM}} k_{-\text{G}_q\text{GTP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} [\text{P2Y}_1 - \text{G}_q\text{GTP}]_S}_{\text{G}_q\text{GTP Binding}}
\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\text{PM}} \frac{d}{dt} [\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1]_S = & \underbrace{S_{\text{PM}} \left( k_{\text{ADP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} \frac{\alpha^{\text{P2Y}_1} K_{\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} + 1}{K_{\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} + 1} \right) [\text{ADP}] [\text{P2Y}_1]_S - S_{\text{PM}} k_{-\text{ADP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} [\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1]_S}_{\text{ADP Binding}} \\
& - S_{\text{PM}} \left( k_{\text{G}_q\text{GDP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} \frac{\alpha^{\text{P2Y}_1} \beta^{\text{P2Y}_1} K_{\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}}{\alpha^{\text{P2Y}_1} K_{\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} + 1} \right) [\text{G}_q\text{GDP}]_S [\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1]_S \\
& + \underbrace{S_{\text{PM}} \left( \frac{k_{-\text{G}_q\text{GDP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}}{\gamma^{\text{P2Y}_1}} \frac{\alpha^{\text{P2Y}_1} \beta^{\text{P2Y}_1} K_{\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}}{\alpha^{\text{P2Y}_1} \beta^{\text{P2Y}_1} \delta^{\text{P2Y}_1} K_{\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} + 1} \right) [\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1 - \text{G}_q\text{GDP}]_S}_{\text{G}_q\text{GDP Binding}} \\
& - S_{\text{PM}} \left( k_{\text{G}_q\text{GTP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} \frac{\alpha^{\text{P2Y}_1} K_{\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}}{\alpha^{\text{P2Y}_1} K_{\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} + 1} \right) [\text{G}_q\text{GTP}]_S [\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1]_S \\
& + \underbrace{S_{\text{PM}} k_{-\text{G}_q\text{GTP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} [\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1 - \text{G}_q\text{GTP}]_S}_{\text{G}_q\text{GTP Binding}} \\
& - S_{\text{PM}} k_{\text{phos}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} [\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1]_S [\text{PKCa} - \text{Ca} - \text{DAG}] \\
& + \underbrace{S_{\text{PM}} k_{\text{dephos}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} [\text{ADP} - \text{pP2Y}_1]_S}_{\text{Inactivation by PKC}}
\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{PM} \frac{d}{dt} [P2Y_1 - G_qGDP]_S &= -S_{PM} \left( k_{ADP}^{P2Y_1} \frac{\alpha^{P2Y_1} \beta^{P2Y_1} K_{act}^{P2Y_1} + 1}{\beta^{P2Y_1} K_{act}^{P2Y_1} + 1} \right) [ADP][P2Y_1 - G_qGDP]_S \\
&\quad + \underbrace{S_{PM} \left( \frac{k_{-ADP}^{P2Y_1}}{\gamma^{P2Y_1}} \frac{\alpha^{P2Y_1} \beta^{P2Y_1} K_{act}^{P2Y_1} + 1}{\alpha^{P2Y_1} \beta^{P2Y_1} \delta^{P2Y_1} K_{act}^{P2Y_1} + 1} \right) [ADP - P2Y_1 - G_qGDP]_S}_{ADP \text{ Binding}} \\
&\quad + S_{PM} \left( k_{G_qGDP}^{P2Y_1} \frac{\beta^{P2Y_1} K_{act}^{P2Y_1}}{K_{act}^{P2Y_1} + 1} \right) [G_qGDP]_S [P2Y_1]_S \\
&\quad - \underbrace{S_{PM} \left( k_{-G_qGDP}^{P2Y_1} \frac{\beta^{P2Y_1} K_{act}^{P2Y_1}}{\beta^{P2Y_1} K_{act}^{P2Y_1} + 1} \right) [P2Y_1 - G_qGDP]_S}_{G_qGDP \text{ Binding}} \\
&\quad + S_{PM} k_{GDP}^{P2Y_1} [GDP][P2Y_1 - G_q]_S \\
&\quad - \underbrace{S_{PM} \left( k_{-GDP}^{P2Y_1} \frac{\beta^{P2Y_1} K_{act}^{P2Y_1}}{\beta^{P2Y_1} K_{act}^{P2Y_1} + 1} \right) [P2Y_1 - G_qGDP]_S}_{GDP \text{ Binding}}
\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{PM} \frac{d}{dt} [ADP - P2Y_1 - G_qGDP]_S &= S_{PM} \left( k_{ADP}^{P2Y_1} \frac{\alpha^{P2Y_1} \beta^{P2Y_1} K_{act}^{P2Y_1} + 1}{\beta^{P2Y_1} K_{act}^{P2Y_1} + 1} \right) [ADP][P2Y_1 - G_qGDP]_S \\
&\quad - \underbrace{S_{PM} \left( \frac{k_{-ADP}^{P2Y_1}}{\gamma^{P2Y_1}} \frac{\alpha^{P2Y_1} \beta^{P2Y_1} K_{act}^{P2Y_1} + 1}{\alpha^{P2Y_1} \beta^{P2Y_1} \delta^{P2Y_1} K_{act}^{P2Y_1} + 1} \right) [ADP - P2Y_1 - G_qGDP]_S}_{ADP \text{ Binding}} \\
&\quad + S_{PM} \left( k_{G_qGDP}^{P2Y_1} \frac{\alpha^{P2Y_1} \beta^{P2Y_1} K_{act}^{P2Y_1}}{\alpha^{P2Y_1} K_{act}^{P2Y_1} + 1} \right) [G_qGDP]_S [ADP - P2Y_1]_S \\
&\quad - \underbrace{S_{PM} \left( \frac{k_{-G_qGDP}^{P2Y_1}}{\gamma^{P2Y_1}} \frac{\alpha^{P2Y_1} \beta^{P2Y_1} K_{act}^{P2Y_1}}{\alpha^{P2Y_1} \beta^{P2Y_1} \delta^{P2Y_1} K_{act}^{P2Y_1} + 1} \right) [ADP - P2Y_1 - G_qGDP]_S}_{G_qGDP \text{ Binding}} \\
&\quad + S_{PM} k_{GDP}^{P2Y_1} [GDP][ADP - P2Y_1 - G_q]_S \\
&\quad - \underbrace{S_{PM} \left( k_{-GDP}^{P2Y_1} \frac{\alpha^{P2Y_1} \beta^{P2Y_1} \delta^{P2Y_1} K_{act}^{P2Y_1}}{\alpha^{P2Y_1} \beta^{P2Y_1} \delta^{P2Y_1} K_{act}^{P2Y_1} + 1} \right) [ADP - P2Y_1 - G_qGDP]_S}_{GDP \text{ Binding}} \\
&\quad - S_{PM} k_{phos}^{P2Y_1} [ADP - P2Y_1 - G_qGDP]_S [PKCa - Ca - DAG] \\
&\quad + \underbrace{S_{PM} k_{dephos}^{P2Y_1} [ADP - pP2Y_1 - G_qGDP]_S}_{Inactivation by PKC}
\end{aligned} \tag{31}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\text{PM}} \frac{d}{dt} [\text{P2Y}_1 - \text{G}_q \text{GTP}]_S &= \underbrace{S_{\text{PM}} k_{\text{GTP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} [\text{P2Y}_1 - \text{G}_q]_S [\text{GTP}] - S_{\text{PM}} k_{-\text{GTP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} [\text{P2Y}_1 - \text{G}_q \text{GTP}]_S}_{\text{GTP Binding}} \\
&+ S_{\text{PM}} \left( k_{\text{G}_q \text{GTP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} \frac{K_{\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}}{K_{\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} + 1} \right) [\text{G}_q \text{GTP}]_S [\text{P2Y}_1]_S \\
&\underbrace{- S_{\text{PM}} k_{-\text{G}_q \text{GTP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} [\text{P2Y}_1 - \text{G}_q \text{GTP}]_S}_{\text{G}_q \text{GTP binding}}
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\text{PM}} \frac{d}{dt} [\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1 - \text{G}_q \text{GTP}]_S &= S_{\text{PM}} k_{\text{GTP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} [\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1 - \text{G}_q]_S [\text{GTP}] \\
&\underbrace{- S_{\text{PM}} k_{-\text{GTP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} [\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1 - \text{G}_q \text{GTP}]_S}_{\text{GTP Binding}} \\
&+ S_{\text{PM}} \left( k_{\text{G}_q \text{GTP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} \frac{\alpha^{\text{P2Y}_1} K_{\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}}{\alpha^{\text{P2Y}_1} K_{\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} + 1} \right) [\text{G}_q \text{GTP}]_S [\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1]_S \\
&\underbrace{- S_{\text{PM}} k_{-\text{G}_q \text{GTP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} [\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1 - \text{G}_q \text{GTP}]_S}_{\text{G}_q \text{GTP binding}}
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\text{PM}} \frac{d}{dt} [\text{P2Y}_1 - \text{G}_q]_S &= - S_{\text{PM}} k_{\text{GTP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} [\text{P2Y}_1 - \text{G}_q]_S [\text{GTP}] \\
&\underbrace{+ S_{\text{PM}} k_{-\text{GTP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} [\text{P2Y}_1 - \text{G}_q \text{GTP}]_S}_{\text{GTP Binding}} \\
&+ S_{\text{PM}} \left( k_{-\text{GDP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} \frac{\beta^{\text{P2Y}_1} K_{\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}}{\beta^{\text{P2Y}_1} K_{\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} + 1} \right) [\text{P2Y}_1 - \text{G}_q \text{GDP}]_S \\
&\underbrace{- S_{\text{PM}} k_{\text{GDP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} [\text{P2Y}_1 - \text{G}_q]_S [\text{GDP}]}_{\text{GDP binding}}
\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\text{PM}} \frac{d}{dt} [\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1 - \text{G}_q]_S &= - S_{\text{PM}} k_{\text{GTP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} [\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1 - \text{G}_q]_S [\text{GTP}] \\
&\underbrace{+ S_{\text{PM}} k_{-\text{GTP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} [\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1 - \text{G}_q \text{GTP}]_S}_{\text{GTP Binding}} \\
&- S_{\text{PM}} k_{\text{GDP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} [\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1 - \text{G}_q]_S [\text{GDP}] \\
&\underbrace{+ S_{\text{PM}} \left( k_{-\text{GDP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} \frac{\alpha^{\text{P2Y}_1} \beta^{\text{P2Y}_1} \delta^{\text{P2Y}_1} K_{\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}}{\alpha^{\text{P2Y}_1} \beta^{\text{P2Y}_1} \delta^{\text{P2Y}_1} K_{\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} + 1} \right) [\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1 - \text{G}_q \text{GDP}]_S}_{\text{GDP binding}}
\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\text{PM}} \frac{d}{dt} [\text{ADP} - \text{pP2Y}_1]_S &= S_{\text{PM}} k_{\text{phos}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} [\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1]_S [\text{PKCa} - \text{Ca} - \text{DAG}] \\
&\underbrace{- S_{\text{PM}} k_{\text{dephos}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} [\text{ADP} - \text{pP2Y}_1]_S}_{\text{Inactivation by PKC}}
\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\text{PM}} \frac{d}{dt} [\text{ADP} - \text{pP2Y}_1 - \text{G}_q \text{GDP}]_S &= S_{\text{PM}} k_{\text{phos}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} [\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1 - \text{G}_q \text{GDP}]_S [\text{PKCa} - \text{Ca} - \text{DAG}] \\
&\underbrace{- S_{\text{PM}} k_{\text{dephos}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} [\text{ADP} - \text{pP2Y}_1 - \text{G}_q \text{GDP}]_S}_{\text{Inactivation by PKC}}
\end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

S4.5.1  $G_q$ 

Parameter	Value	Units	Reference
$k_{GTP}$	See Table S1	1/s	
$k_{G_q\beta\gamma}^{G_q}$	103584	1/M-s	[7]
$k_{-G_q\beta\gamma}^{G_q}$	7.7832	1/s	[7]
$N^{G_q}$	18583	#	[17]

Tab. S11: Parameter values associated with  $G_q$ . At time  $t = 0$  s in the steady-state simulation,  $[G_qGDP]_S = N^{G_q}/S_{PM}$  and all other states are initialized to 0.

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{PM} \frac{d}{dt} [G_{q\alpha}GTP]_S = & \underbrace{-S_{PM} \left( k_{G_q\beta\gamma}^{G_q} \frac{S_{PM}}{V_{cyt}} \right) [G_{q\alpha}GTP]_S [G_{q\beta\gamma}]_S + S_{PM} k_{-G_q\beta\gamma}^{G_q} [G_qGTP]_S}_{G_{q\beta\gamma} \text{ binding } G_{q\alpha}GTP} \\
& + \underbrace{S_{PM} k_{-G_{q\alpha}GTP}^{PLC} [PLC - G_{q\alpha}GTP]_S - S_{PM} k_{G_{q\alpha}GTP}^{PLC} [PLC]_S [G_{q\alpha}GTP]_S}_{PLC \text{ binding } G_{q\alpha}GTP}
\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{PM} \frac{d}{dt} [G_{q\alpha}GDP]_S = & \underbrace{S_{PM} k_{\text{autohydrolysis}}^{G_q} [G_qGTP]_S}_{\text{Autohydrolysis of } G_q \text{ GTP}} \\
& - \underbrace{S_{PM} \left( k_{G_q\beta\gamma}^{G_q} \frac{S_{PM}}{V_{cyt}} \right) [G_{q\alpha}GDP]_S [G_{q\beta\gamma}]_S + V_{cyt} k_{-G_q\beta\gamma}^{G_q} [G_qGDP]_S}_{G_{q\beta\gamma} \text{ binding } G_{q\alpha}GDP} \\
& + \underbrace{S_{PM} k_{-G_{q\alpha}GDP}^{PLC} [PLC - G_{q\alpha}GDP]_S - S_{PM} k_{G_{q\alpha}GDP}^{PLC} [PLC]_S [G_{q\alpha}GDP]_S}_{PLC \text{ binding } G_{q\alpha}GDP}
\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{PM} \frac{d}{dt} [G_{q\beta\gamma}]_S = & \underbrace{S_{PM} k_{\text{autohydrolysis}}^{G_q} [G_qGTP]_S}_{\text{Autohydrolysis of } G_q \text{ GTP}} \\
& - \underbrace{S_{PM} \left( k_{G_q\beta\gamma}^{G_q} \frac{S_{PM}}{V_{cyt}} \right) [G_{q\alpha}GTP]_S [G_{q\beta\gamma}]_S + S_{PM} k_{-G_q\beta\gamma}^{G_q} [G_qGTP]_S}_{G_{q\beta\gamma} \text{ binding } G_{q\alpha}GTP} \\
& - \underbrace{S_{PM} \left( k_{G_q\beta\gamma}^{G_q} \frac{S_{PM}}{V_{cyt}} \right) [G_{q\alpha}GDP]_S [G_{q\beta\gamma}]_S + S_{PM} k_{-G_q\beta\gamma}^{G_q} [G_qGDP]_S}_{G_{q\beta\gamma} \text{ binding } G_{q\alpha}GDP}
\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{PM} \frac{d}{dt} [G_q \text{GDP}]_S = & - S_{PM} \left( k_{G_q \text{GDP}}^{P2Y_1} \frac{\beta^{P2Y_1} K_{act}^{P2Y_1}}{K_{act}^{P2Y_1} + 1} \right) [G_q \text{GDP}]_S [P2Y_1]_S \\
& + S_{PM} \left( k_{-G_q \text{GDP}}^{P2Y_1} \frac{\beta^{P2Y_1} K_{act}^{P2Y_1}}{\beta^{P2Y_1} K_{act}^{P2Y_1} + 1} \right) [P2Y_1 - G_q \text{GDP}]_S \\
& \underbrace{\hspace{15em}}_{G_q \text{GDP Binding } P2Y_1} \\
& - S_{PM} \left( k_{G_q \text{GDP}}^{P2Y_1} \frac{\alpha^{P2Y_1} \beta^{P2Y_1} K_{act}^{P2Y_1}}{\alpha^{P2Y_1} K_{act}^{P2Y_1} + 1} \right) [G_q \text{GDP}]_S [ADP - P2Y_1]_S \\
& + S_{PM} \left( k_{-G_q \text{GDP}}^{P2Y_1} \frac{\alpha^{P2Y_1} \beta^{P2Y_1} K_{act}^{P2Y_1}}{\gamma^{P2Y_1} \alpha^{P2Y_1} \beta^{P2Y_1} \delta^{P2Y_1} K_{act}^{P2Y_1} + 1} \right) [ADP - P2Y_1 - G_q \text{GDP}]_S \\
& \underbrace{\hspace{15em}}_{G_q \text{GDP Binding } ADP - P2Y_1} \\
& + S_{PM} \left( k_{G_q \beta \gamma}^{G_q} \frac{S_{PM}}{V_{cyt}} \right) [G_{q\alpha} \text{GDP}]_S [G_{q\beta\gamma}]_S - S_{PM} k_{-G_q \beta \gamma}^{G_q} [G_q \text{GDP}]_S \\
& \underbrace{\hspace{15em}}_{G_{q\beta\gamma} \text{ binding } G_{q\alpha} \text{GDP}}
\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{PM} \frac{d}{dt} [G_q \text{GTP}]_S = & - S_{PM} \left( k_{G_q \text{GTP}}^{P2Y_1} \frac{K_{act}^{P2Y_1}}{K_{act}^{P2Y_1} + 1} \right) [G_q \text{GTP}]_S [P2Y_1]_S \\
& + S_{PM} k_{-G_q \text{GTP}}^{P2Y_1} [P2Y_1 - G_q \text{GTP}]_S \\
& \underbrace{\hspace{15em}}_{G_q \text{GTP Binding}} \\
& - S_{PM} \left( k_{G_q \text{GTP}}^{P2Y_1} \frac{\alpha^{P2Y_1} K_{act}^{P2Y_1}}{\alpha^{P2Y_1} K_{act}^{P2Y_1} + 1} \right) [G_q \text{GTP}]_S [ADP - P2Y_1]_S \\
& + S_{PM} k_{-G_q \text{GTP}}^{P2Y_1} [ADP - P2Y_1 - G_q \text{GTP}]_S \\
& \underbrace{\hspace{15em}}_{G_q \text{GTP Binding}} \\
& - S_{PM} k_{\text{autohydrolysis}}^{G_q} [G_q \text{GTP}]_S \\
& \underbrace{\hspace{15em}}_{\text{Autohydrolysis of } G_q \text{GTP}} \\
& + S_{PM} \left( k_{G_q \beta \gamma}^{G_q} \frac{S_{PM}}{V_{cyt}} \right) [G_{q\alpha} \text{GTP}]_S [G_{q\beta\gamma}]_S - S_{PM} k_{-G_q \beta \gamma}^{G_q} [G_q \text{GTP}]_S \\
& \underbrace{\hspace{15em}}_{G_{q\beta\gamma} \text{ binding } G_{q\alpha} \text{GTP}}
\end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

## S4.5.2 PLC

Parameter	Value	Units	Reference
$k_{\text{hydrolyze}}^{\text{PLC}}$	See Table S1	1/s	
$k_{-\text{G}_{q\alpha}\text{GDP}}^{\text{PLC}}$	See Table S1	1/s	
$k_{-\text{G}_{q\alpha}\text{GTP}}^{\text{PLC}}$	See Table S1	1/s	
$k_{\text{G}_{q\alpha}\text{GDP}}^{\text{PLC}}$	See Table S1	dm <sup>2</sup> /mol-s	
$k_{\text{G}_{q\alpha}\text{GTP}}^{\text{PLC}}$	See Table S1	dm <sup>2</sup> /mol-s	
$k_{\text{PI}}^{\text{PLC}}$	$1 \cdot 10^8$	1/M-s	[4]
$k_{-\text{PI}}^{\text{PLC}}$	$7.05 \cdot 10^4$	1/s	[4]
$k_{\text{PI,cat}}^{\text{PLC}}$	1.43	1/s	[4]
$k_{\text{PIP}}^{\text{PLC}}$	$1 \cdot 10^8$	1/M-s	[4]
$k_{-\text{PIP}}^{\text{PLC}}$	$1.9 \cdot 10^7$	1/s	[4]
$k_{\text{PIP,cat}}^{\text{PLC}}$	0.35	1/s	[4]
$k_{\text{PIP}_2}^{\text{PLC}}$	$1 \cdot 10^8$	1/M-s	[4]
$k_{-\text{PIP}_2}^{\text{PLC}}$	$5 \cdot 10^4$	1/s	[4]
$k_{\text{PIP}_2,\text{cat}}^{\text{PLC}}$	9.8505	1/s	[4]
$N^{\text{PLC}}$	1579	#	[17]

Tab. S12: Parameter values associated with PLC. At time  $t = 0$  s in the steady-state simulation,  $[\text{PLC}]_S = N^{\text{PLC}}/S_{\text{PM}}$  and all other states are initialized to 0.

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\text{PM}} \frac{d}{dt} [\text{PLC}]_S = & \underbrace{S_{\text{PM}} k_{-\text{G}_{q\alpha}\text{GTP}}^{\text{PLC}} [\text{PLC} - \text{G}_{q\alpha}\text{GTP}]_S - S_{\text{PM}} k_{\text{G}_{q\alpha}\text{GTP}}^{\text{PLC}} [\text{PLC}]_S [\text{G}_{q\alpha}\text{GTP}]_S}_{G_{q\alpha}\text{GTP binding}} \\
& + \underbrace{S_{\text{PM}} k_{-\text{G}_{q\alpha}\text{GDP}}^{\text{PLC}} [\text{PLC} - \text{G}_{q\alpha}\text{GDP}]_S - S_{\text{PM}} k_{\text{G}_{q\alpha}\text{GDP}}^{\text{PLC}} [\text{PLC}]_S [\text{G}_{q\alpha}\text{GDP}]_S}_{G_{q\alpha}\text{GDP binding}}
\end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\text{PM}} \frac{d}{dt} [\text{PLC} - \text{G}_{\text{q}\alpha} \text{GTP}]_S = & \underbrace{-S_{\text{PM}} k_{-\text{G}_{\text{q}\alpha} \text{GTP}}^{\text{PLC}} [\text{PLC} - \text{G}_{\text{q}\alpha} \text{GTP}]_S + S_{\text{PM}} k_{\text{G}_{\text{q}\alpha} \text{GTP}}^{\text{PLC}} [\text{PLC}]_S [\text{G}_{\text{q}\alpha} \text{GTP}]_S}_{\text{G}_{\text{q}\alpha} \text{GTP binding}} \\
& \underbrace{-S_{\text{PM}} k_{\text{hydrolyze}}^{\text{PLC}} [\text{PLC} - \text{G}_{\text{q}\alpha} \text{GTP}]_S}_{\text{GTP hydrolysis (inactivation)}} \\
& - S_{\text{PM}} \left( \frac{S_{\text{PM}}}{V_{\text{cyt}}} \right) k_{\text{PI}}^{\text{PLC}} [\text{PLC} - \text{G}_{\text{q}\alpha} \text{GTP}]_S [\text{PI}]_S \\
& \underbrace{+ S_{\text{PM}} k_{-\text{PI}}^{\text{PLC}} [\text{PLC} - \text{G}_{\text{q}\alpha} \text{GTP} - \text{PI}]_S}_{\text{PLC binding PI}} \\
& \underbrace{+ S_{\text{PM}} k_{\text{PI,cat}}^{\text{PLC}} [\text{PLC} - \text{G}_{\text{q}\alpha} \text{GTP} - \text{PI}]_S}_{\text{Formation of I}_1\text{P}} \\
& - S_{\text{PM}} \left( \frac{S_{\text{PM}}}{V_{\text{cyt}}} \right) k_{\text{PIP}}^{\text{PLC}} [\text{PLC} - \text{G}_{\text{q}\alpha} \text{GTP}]_S [\text{PIP}]_S \\
& \underbrace{+ S_{\text{PM}} k_{-\text{PIP}}^{\text{PLC}} [\text{PLC} - \text{G}_{\text{q}\alpha} \text{GTP} - \text{PIP}]_S}_{\text{PLC binding PIP}} \\
& \underbrace{+ S_{\text{PM}} k_{\text{PIP,cat}}^{\text{PLC}} [\text{PLC} - \text{G}_{\text{q}\alpha} \text{GTP} - \text{PIP}]_S}_{\text{Formation of IP}_2} \\
& - S_{\text{PM}} \left( \frac{S_{\text{PM}}}{V_{\text{cyt}}} \right) k_{\text{PIP}_2}^{\text{PLC}} [\text{PLC} - \text{G}_{\text{q}\alpha} \text{GTP}]_S [\text{PIP}_2]_S \\
& \underbrace{+ S_{\text{PM}} k_{-\text{PIP}_2}^{\text{PLC}} [\text{PLC} - \text{G}_{\text{q}\alpha} \text{GTP} - \text{PIP}_2]_S}_{\text{PLC binding PIP}_2} \\
& \underbrace{+ S_{\text{PM}} k_{\text{PIP}_2,cat}^{\text{PLC}} [\text{PLC} - \text{G}_{\text{q}\alpha} \text{GTP} - \text{PIP}_2]_S}_{\text{Formation of IP}_3}
\end{aligned} \tag{44}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\text{PM}} \frac{d}{dt} [\text{PLC} - \text{G}_{\text{q}\alpha} \text{GDP}]_S = & \underbrace{S_{\text{PM}} k_{\text{hydrolyze}}^{\text{PLC}} [\text{PLC} - \text{G}_{\text{q}\alpha} \text{GTP}]_S}_{\text{GTP hydrolysis (inactivation)}} \\
& - S_{\text{PM}} k_{-\text{G}_{\text{q}\alpha} \text{GDP}}^{\text{PLC}} [\text{PLC} - \text{G}_{\text{q}\alpha} \text{GDP}]_S \\
& \underbrace{+ S_{\text{PM}} k_{\text{G}_{\text{q}\alpha} \text{GDP}}^{\text{PLC}} [\text{PLC}]_S [\text{G}_{\text{q}\alpha} \text{GDP}]_S}_{\text{G}_{\text{q}\alpha} \text{GDP binding}}
\end{aligned} \tag{45}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\text{PM}} \frac{d}{dt} [\text{PLC} - \text{G}_{\text{q}\alpha} \text{GTP} - \text{PI}]_S = & S_{\text{PM}} \left( \frac{S_{\text{PM}}}{V_{\text{cyt}}} \right) k_{\text{PI}}^{\text{PLC}} [\text{PLC} - \text{G}_{\text{q}\alpha} \text{GTP}]_S [\text{PI}]_S \\
& \underbrace{- S_{\text{PM}} k_{-\text{PI}}^{\text{PLC}} [\text{PLC} - \text{G}_{\text{q}\alpha} \text{GTP} - \text{PI}]_S}_{\text{PLC binding PI}} \\
& \underbrace{- S_{\text{PM}} k_{\text{PI,cat}}^{\text{PLC}} [\text{PLC} - \text{G}_{\text{q}\alpha} \text{GTP} - \text{PI}]_S}_{\text{Formation of I}_1\text{P}}
\end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\text{PM}} \frac{d}{dt} [\text{PLC} - \text{G}_{\text{q}\alpha} \text{GTP} - \text{PIP}]_S &= S_{\text{PM}} \left( \frac{S_{\text{PM}}}{V_{\text{cyt}}} \right) k_{\text{PIP}}^{\text{PLC}} [\text{PLC} - \text{G}_{\text{q}\alpha} \text{GTP}]_S [\text{PIP}]_S \\
&\quad - \underbrace{S_{\text{PM}} k_{-\text{PIP}}^{\text{PLC}} [\text{PLC} - \text{G}_{\text{q}\alpha} \text{GTP} - \text{PIP}]_S}_{\text{PLC binding PI}} \\
&\quad - \underbrace{S_{\text{PM}} k_{\text{PIP,cat}}^{\text{PLC}} [\text{PLC} - \text{G}_{\text{q}\alpha} \text{GTP} - \text{PIP}]_S}_{\text{Formation of IP}_2}
\end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\text{PM}} \frac{d}{dt} [\text{PLC} - \text{G}_{\text{q}\alpha} \text{GTP} - \text{PIP}_2]_S &= S_{\text{PM}} \left( \frac{S_{\text{PM}}}{V_{\text{cyt}}} \right) k_{\text{PIP}_2}^{\text{PLC}} [\text{PLC} - \text{G}_{\text{q}\alpha} \text{GTP}]_S [\text{PIP}_2]_S \\
&\quad - \underbrace{S_{\text{PM}} k_{-\text{PIP}_2}^{\text{PLC}} [\text{PLC} - \text{G}_{\text{q}\alpha} \text{GTP} - \text{PIP}_2]_S}_{\text{PLC binding PIP}_2} \\
&\quad - \underbrace{S_{\text{PM}} k_{\text{PIP}_2,\text{cat}}^{\text{PLC}} [\text{PLC} - \text{G}_{\text{q}\alpha} \text{GTP} - \text{PIP}_2]_S}_{\text{Formation of IP}_3}
\end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

### S4.5.3 PKC

PKC exists in the cytosol at approximately the same copy number as CalDAG; its concentration in this model is controlled by the volume clustering coefficient  $\beta_v$ . PKC inactivates P2Y<sub>1</sub> through phosphorylation [11]

Parameter	Value	Units	Reference
$k_{Ca}^{PKC}$	$6 \cdot 10^5$	1/M-s	[2]
$k_{-Ca}^{PKC}$	0.5	1/s	[2]
$k_{DAG}^{PKC-Ca}$	$8 \cdot 10^3$	1/M-s	[2]
$k_{-DAG}^{PKC-Ca}$	8.6348	1/s	[2]
$k_{act}^{PKC}$	1	1/s	[2]
$k_{-act}^{PKC}$	2	1/s	[2]
$k_{act}^{PKC-Ca}$	1.2706	1/M-s	[2]
$k_{-act}^{PKC_{Ca}}$	3.5026	1/s	[2]
$k_{act}^{PKC-Ca-DAG}$	1	1/M-s	[2]
$k_{-act}^{PKC-Ca-DAG}$	0.1	1/s	[2]
$N^{PKC}$	36135	#	[17]

Tab. S13: Parameter values associated with PKC. At time  $t = 0$  s in the steady-state simulation,  $[PKC] = N^{PKC}/V_{cyt}$  and all other states are initialized to 0.

$$\begin{aligned}
 V_{cyt} \frac{d}{dt} [PKC] = & \underbrace{-V_{cyt} k_{act}^{PKC} [PKC] + V_{cyt} k_{-act}^{PKC} [PKCa]}_{\text{activation of PKC}} \\
 & \underbrace{-V_{cyt} k_{Ca}^{PKC} [PKC][Ca_{cyt}] + V_{cyt} k_{-Ca}^{PKC} [PKC - Ca]}_{\text{Ca binding to PKC}}
 \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 V_{cyt} \frac{d}{dt} [PKCa] = & \underbrace{V_{cyt} k_{act}^{PKC} [PKC] - V_{cyt} k_{-act}^{PKC} [PKCa]}_{\text{activation of PKC}} \\
 & \underbrace{-V_{cyt} k_{Ca}^{PKC} [PKCa][Ca_{cyt}] + V_{cyt} k_{-Ca}^{PKC} [PKCa - Ca]}_{\text{Ca binding to PKCa}}
 \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{\text{cyt}} \frac{d}{dt} [\text{PKC} - \text{Ca}] &= \underbrace{-V_{\text{cyt}} k_{\text{act}}^{\text{PKC}-\text{Ca}} [\text{PKC} - \text{Ca}] + V_{\text{cyt}} k_{-\text{act}}^{\text{PKC}-\text{Ca}} [\text{PKCa} - \text{Ca}]}_{\text{activation of PKC-Ca}} \\
&\quad + \underbrace{V_{\text{cyt}} k_{\text{Ca}}^{\text{PKC}} [\text{PKC}] [\text{Ca}_{\text{cyt}}] - V_{\text{cyt}} k_{-\text{Ca}}^{\text{PKC}} [\text{PKC} - \text{Ca}]}_{\text{Ca binding to PKC}} \\
&\quad - \underbrace{S_{\text{PM}} k_{\text{DAG}}^{\text{PKC}} [\text{PKC} - \text{Ca}] [\text{DAG}]_S + V_{\text{cyt}} k_{-\text{DAG}}^{\text{PKC}} [\text{PKC} - \text{Ca} - \text{DAG}]}_{\text{DAG binding to PKC-Ca}}
\end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{\text{cyt}} \frac{d}{dt} [\text{PKCa} - \text{Ca}] &= \underbrace{V_{\text{cyt}} k_{\text{act}}^{\text{PKC}-\text{Ca}} [\text{PKC} - \text{Ca}] - V_{\text{cyt}} k_{-\text{act}}^{\text{PKC}-\text{Ca}} [\text{PKCa} - \text{Ca}]}_{\text{activation of PKC}} \\
&\quad + \underbrace{V_{\text{cyt}} k_{\text{Ca}}^{\text{PKC}} [\text{PKCa}] [\text{Ca}_{\text{cyt}}] - V_{\text{cyt}} k_{-\text{Ca}}^{\text{PKC}} [\text{PKCa} - \text{Ca}]}_{\text{Ca binding to PKCa}} \\
&\quad - \underbrace{S_{\text{PM}} k_{\text{DAG}}^{\text{PKC}} [\text{PKCa} - \text{Ca}] [\text{DAG}]_S + V_{\text{cyt}} k_{-\text{DAG}}^{\text{PKC}} [\text{PKCa} - \text{Ca} - \text{DAG}]}_{\text{DAG binding to PKCa-Ca}}
\end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{\text{cyt}} \frac{d}{dt} [\text{PKC} - \text{Ca} - \text{DAG}] &= -V_{\text{cyt}} k_{\text{act}}^{\text{PKC}-\text{Ca}-\text{DAG}} [\text{PKC} - \text{Ca} - \text{DAG}] \\
&\quad + \underbrace{V_{\text{cyt}} k_{-\text{act}}^{\text{PKC}-\text{Ca}-\text{DAG}} [\text{PKCa} - \text{Ca} - \text{DAG}]}_{\text{activation of PKC-Ca-DAG}} \\
&\quad + \underbrace{S_{\text{PM}} k_{\text{DAG}}^{\text{PKC}} [\text{PKC} - \text{Ca}] [\text{DAG}]_S - V_{\text{cyt}} k_{-\text{DAG}}^{\text{PKC}} [\text{PKC} - \text{Ca} - \text{DAG}]}_{\text{DAG binding to PKC-Ca}}
\end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{\text{cyt}} \frac{d}{dt} [\text{PKCa} - \text{Ca} - \text{DAG}] &= V_{\text{cyt}} k_{\text{act}}^{\text{PKC}-\text{Ca}-\text{DAG}} [\text{PKC} - \text{Ca} - \text{DAG}] \\
&\quad - \underbrace{V_{\text{cyt}} k_{-\text{act}}^{\text{PKC}-\text{Ca}-\text{DAG}} [\text{PKCa} - \text{Ca} - \text{DAG}]}_{\text{activation of PKC-Ca-DAG}} \\
&\quad + \underbrace{S_{\text{PM}} k_{\text{DAG}}^{\text{PKC}} [\text{PKCa} - \text{Ca}] [\text{DAG}]_S - V_{\text{cyt}} k_{-\text{DAG}}^{\text{PKC}} [\text{PKCa} - \text{Ca} - \text{DAG}]}_{\text{DAG binding to PKCa-Ca}}
\end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

## S4.6 Calcium and Inositol Species

### S4.6.1 Inositol




Fig. S3: Inositol reaction diagram

Parameter	Value	Units	Reference
$k_{I,cat}^{PI\Synthase}$	13.6	1/s	[15]
$k_{PI,cat}^{PI4Kinase}$	2.77	1/s	[5]
$K_{PI,M}^{PI4Kinase}$	$1.6 \cdot 10^{-5}$	M	[5]
$k_{PIP,cat}^{PIP5Kinase}$	1.021	1/s	[14]
$K_{PIP,cat}^{PIP5Kinase}$	$1 \cdot 10^{-5}$	M	[14]
$k_{-PIP_2,cat}^{PIP_2Phosphatase}$	1	1/s	[8]
$K_{-PIP_2,M}^{PIP_2Phosphatase}$	0.00025	M	[8]
$k_{-I_1P,cat}^{IPPhosphatase}$	1	1/s	[1]
$K_{-I_1P,M}^{IPPhosphatase}$	0.00012	M	[1]
$k_{-I_4P,cat}^{IPPhosphatase}$	1	1/s	[1]
$K_{-I_4P,M}^{IPPhosphatase}$	0.00012	M	[1]
$k_{-IP_3,cat}^{IP_35Phosphatase}$	31.25	1/s	[9]
$K_{-IP_3,M}^{IP_35Phosphatase}$	$2.4 \cdot 10^{-5}$	M	[9]
$k_{-IP_2,cat}^{IP_21Phosphatase}$	0.05027	1/s	[10]
$K_{IP_2,M}^{IP_21Phosphatase}$	$9 \cdot 10^{-7}$	M	[10]
$k_{-PIP_3,cat}^{PTEN}$	See Table S2	1/s	
$K_{-PIP_3,m}^{PTEN}$	See Table S2	M	
$k_{DAG,cat}^{DAGKinase}$	0.2618	1/s	[16]
$K_{DAG,M}^{DAGKinase}$	0.00025	M	[16]
$k_{PA,cat}^{CDPDGSynthase}$	8.917	1/s	[6]
$K_{PA,M}^{CDPDGSynthase}$	0.0005	M	[6]
$K_{CTP,M}^{CDPDGSynthase}$	0.001	M	[6]
$K_{I,M}^{PI\Synthase}$	$1.3 \cdot 10^{-5}$	M	[15]
$K_{CDPDG,M}^{PI\Synthase}$	0.00028	M	[15]
[CTP]	0.000278	M	[12]
[PI\Synthase]	$1.482896 \cdot 10^{-6}$	M	[17]
[PI4Kinase]	$491.53105 \cdot 10^{-9}$	M	[17]
[PIP5Kinase]	$329.3479 \cdot 10^{-9}$	M	[17]
[PIP <sub>2</sub> Phosphatase]	$698.273 \cdot 10^{-9}$	M	[17]
[IPPhosphatase]	$4.55939 \cdot 10^{-6}$	M	[17]
[IP <sub>3</sub> 5Phosphatase]	$698.273 \cdot 10^{-9}$	M	[17]
[IP <sub>2</sub> 1Phosphatase]	$2.420569 \cdot 10^{-6}$	M	[17]
[DGKinase]	$692.737739 \cdot 10^{-9}$	M	[17]
[CDPDGSynthase]	$2.159028 \cdot 10^{-6}$	M	[17]
[PTEN]	$100.1882 \cdot 10^{-9}$	M	[17]
$N^{Ins}$	291460	#	

Tab. S14: Parameter values associated with inositol. At time  $t = 0$  s in the steady-state simulation,  $[I]_S = N_{Ins}/S_{PM}$  and all other states are initialized to 0.

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{PM} \frac{d}{dt} [PI]_S &= S_{PM} \underbrace{\frac{\left(\frac{S_{PM}}{V_{cyt}}\right) k_{I,cat}^{PI\text{Synthase}} [PI\text{Synthase}] [CDPDG]_S}{K_{CDPDG,M}^{PI\text{Synthase}} K_{I,M}^{PI\text{Synthase}} + K_{CDPDG,M}^{PI\text{Synthase}} [I] + \left(K_{I,M}^{PI\text{Synthase}} [CDPDG]_S + [I] [CDPDG]_S\right) \left(\frac{S_{PM}}{V_{cyt}}\right) [I]}}_{\text{Synthesis of PI}} \\
&\quad - \underbrace{V_{cyt} \frac{k_{PI,cat}^{PI4\text{Kinase}} [PI4\text{Kinase}]}{\left(\frac{V_{cyt}}{S_{PM}}\right) K_{PI,M}^{PI4\text{Kinase}} + [PI]_S}}_{\text{Synthesis of PIP}} [PI]_S \\
&\quad - \underbrace{S_{PM} \left(\frac{S_{PM}}{V_{cyt}}\right) k_{PI}^{PLC} [PLC - G_{q\alpha} GTP]_S [PI]_S + S_{PM} k_{-PI}^{PLC} [PLC - G_{q\alpha} GTP - PI]_S}_{\text{PLC binding PI}}
\end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{PM} \frac{d}{dt} [PIP]_S &= V_{cyt} \underbrace{\frac{k_{PI,cat}^{PI4\text{Kinase}} [PI4\text{Kinase}]}{\left(\frac{V_{cyt}}{S_{PM}}\right) K_{PI,M}^{PI4\text{Kinase}} + [PI]_S} [PI]_S}_{\text{Synthesis of PIP}} - V_{cyt} \underbrace{\frac{k_{PIP,cat}^{PIP5\text{Kinase}} [PIP5\text{Kinase}]}{\left(\frac{V_{cyt}}{S_{PM}}\right) K_{PIP,M}^{PIP5\text{Kinase}} + [PIP]_S} [PIP]_S}_{\text{Synthesis of PIP}_2} \\
&\quad + \underbrace{V_{cyt} \frac{k_{PIP_2,cat}^{PIP_2\text{Phosphatase}} [PIP_2\text{Phosphatase}]}{\left(\frac{V_{cyt}}{S_{PM}}\right) K_{PIP_2,M}^{PIP_2\text{Phosphatase}} + [PIP_2]_S} [PIP_2]_S}_{\text{Dephosphorylation of PIP}_2} \\
&\quad - \underbrace{S_{PM} \left(\frac{S_{PM}}{V_{cyt}}\right) k_{PIP}^{PLC} [PLC - G_{q\alpha} GTP]_S [PIP]_S + S_{PM} k_{-PIP}^{PLC} [PLC - G_{q\alpha} GTP - PIP]_S}_{\text{PLC binding PIP}}
\end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{PM} \frac{d}{dt} [PIP_2]_S &= V_{cyt} \underbrace{\frac{k_{PIP}^{PIP5\text{Kinase}} [PIP5\text{Kinase}]}{\left(\frac{V_{cyt}}{S_{PM}}\right) K_{PIP,M}^{PIP5\text{Kinase}} + [PIP]_S} [PIP]_S}_{\text{Synthesis of PIP}_2} - V_{cyt} \underbrace{\frac{k_{PIP_2}^{PIP_2\text{Phosphatase}} [PIP_2\text{Phosphatase}]}{\left(\frac{V_{cyt}}{S_{PM}}\right) K_{PIP_2,M}^{PIP_2\text{Phosphatase}} + [PIP_2]_S} [PIP_2]_S}_{\text{Dephosphorylation of PIP}_2} \\
&\quad + \underbrace{V_{cyt} \frac{k_{PIP_3}^{PTEN} [PTEN]}{\left(\frac{V_{cyt}}{S_{PM}}\right) K_{PIP_3,M}^{PTEN} + [PIP_3]_S} [PIP_3]_S}_{\text{PIP}_3 \text{ degradation}} \\
&\quad - \underbrace{S_{PM} \left(\frac{S_{PM}}{V_{cyt}}\right) k_{PIP_2}^{PLC} [PLC - G_{q\alpha} GTP]_S [PIP_2]_S + S_{PM} k_{-PIP_2}^{PLC} [PLC - G_{q\alpha} GTP - PIP_2]_S}_{\text{PLC binding PIP}_2} \\
&\quad - \underbrace{S_{PM} \left(\frac{S_{PM}}{V_{cyt}}\right) k_{PIP_2}^{PI3K} [PI3K - G_{i\alpha} GTP]_S [PIP_2]_S + S_{PM} k_{-PIP_2}^{PI3K} [PI3K - G_{i\alpha} GTP - PIP_2]_S}_{\text{PI3K binding PIP}_2}
\end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

$$V_{\text{cyt}} \frac{d}{dt} [\text{I}_1\text{P}] = \underbrace{S_{\text{PM}} k_{\text{PI,cat}}^{\text{PLC}} [\text{PLC} - \text{G}_{\text{q}\alpha} \text{GTP} - \text{PI}]_S}_{\text{Formation of I}_1\text{P}} - \underbrace{V_{\text{cyt}} \frac{k_{\text{I}_1\text{P,cat}}^{\text{IPPhosphatase}} [\text{IPPhosphatase}]}{K_{\text{I}_1\text{P,M}}^{\text{IPPhosphatase}} + [\text{I}_1\text{P}]} [\text{I}_1\text{P}]}_{\text{Dephosphorylation of I}_1\text{P}} \quad (58)$$

$$V_{\text{cyt}} \frac{d}{dt} [\text{I}_4\text{P}] = \underbrace{V_{\text{cyt}} \frac{k_{\text{IP}_2,\text{cat}}^{\text{IP}_2\text{Phosphatase}} [\text{IP}_2\text{Phosphatase}]}{K_{\text{IP}_2,\text{M}}^{\text{IP}_2\text{Phosphatase}} + [\text{IP}_2]} [\text{IP}_2]}_{\text{Dephosphorylation of IP}_2} - \underbrace{V_{\text{cyt}} \frac{k_{\text{I}_4\text{P,cat}}^{\text{IPPhosphatase}} [\text{IPPhosphatase}]}{K_{\text{I}_4\text{P,M}}^{\text{IPPhosphatase}} + [\text{I}_4\text{P}]} [\text{I}_4\text{P}]}_{\text{Dephosphorylation of I}_4\text{P}} \quad (59)$$

$$V_{\text{cyt}} \frac{d}{dt} [\text{IP}_2] = \underbrace{S_{\text{PM}} k_{\text{PIP,cat}}^{\text{PLC}} [\text{PLC} - \text{G}_{\text{q}\alpha} \text{GTP} - \text{PIP}]_S}_{\text{Formation of IP}_2} - \underbrace{V_{\text{cyt}} \frac{k_{\text{IP}_2,\text{cat}}^{\text{IP}_2\text{Phosphatase}} [\text{IP}_2\text{Phosphatase}]}{K_{\text{IP}_2,\text{M}}^{\text{IP}_2\text{Phosphatase}} + [\text{IP}_2]} [\text{IP}_2]}_{\text{Dephosphorylation of IP}_2} \quad (60)$$

$$+ \underbrace{V_{\text{cyt}} \frac{k_{\text{IP}_3,\text{cat}}^{\text{IP}_3\text{5Phosphatase}} [\text{IP}_3\text{5Phosphatase}]}{K_{\text{IP}_3,\text{M}}^{\text{IP}_3\text{5Phosphatase}} + [\text{IP}_3]} [\text{IP}_3]}_{\text{Dephosphorylation of IP}_3}$$

$$V_{\text{cyt}} \frac{d}{dt} [\text{I}] = \underbrace{V_{\text{cyt}} \frac{k_{\text{I}_1\text{P,cat}}^{\text{IPPhosphatase}} [\text{IPPhosphatase}]}{K_{\text{I}_1\text{P,M}}^{\text{IPPhosphatase}} + [\text{I}_1\text{P}]} [\text{I}_1\text{P}]}_{\text{Dephosphorylation of I}_1\text{P}} + \underbrace{V_{\text{cyt}} \frac{k_{\text{I}_4\text{P,cat}}^{\text{IPPhosphatase}} [\text{IPPhosphatase}]}{K_{\text{I}_4\text{P,M}}^{\text{IPPhosphatase}} + [\text{I}_4\text{P}]} [\text{I}_4\text{P}]}_{\text{Dephosphorylation of I}_4\text{P}} - \underbrace{S_{\text{PM}} \frac{(\frac{S_{\text{PM}}}{V_{\text{cyt}}}) k_{\text{I,cat}}^{\text{PISynthase}} [\text{PISynthase}] [\text{CDPDG}]_S}{K_{\text{CDPDG,M}}^{\text{PISynthase}} K_{\text{I,M}}^{\text{PISynthase}} + K_{\text{CDPDG,M}}^{\text{PISynthase}} [\text{I}] + (K_{\text{I,M}}^{\text{PISynthase}} [\text{CDPDG}]_S + [\text{I}] [\text{CDPDG}]_S) (\frac{S_{\text{PM}}}{V_{\text{cyt}}}) [\text{I}]}}_{\text{Synthesis of PI}} \quad (61)$$

$$V_{\text{cyt}} \frac{d}{dt} [\text{IP}_3] = \underbrace{S_{\text{PM}} k_{\text{PIP}_2,\text{cat}}^{\text{PLC}} [\text{PLC} - \text{G}_{\text{q}\alpha} \text{GTP} - \text{PIP}_2]_S}_{\text{Formation of IP}_3} - \underbrace{V_{\text{cyt}} \frac{k_{\text{IP}_3}^{\text{IP}_3\text{5Phosphatase}} [\text{IP}_3\text{5Phosphatase}]}{K_{\text{IP}_3,\text{M}}^{\text{IP}_3\text{5Phosphatase}} + [\text{IP}_3]} [\text{IP}_3]}_{\text{Dephosphorylation of IP}_3} - \underbrace{S_{\text{IM}} \frac{(k_2 L_3 + l_4) [\text{Ca}_{\text{cyt}}^{2+}]}{L_3 + [\text{Ca}_{\text{cyt}}^{2+}] (1 + L_1/L_3)} [\text{IP}_3 \text{R}_n]_S [\text{IP}_3] + S_{\text{IM}} \frac{k_{-2} + l_{-4} [\text{Ca}_{\text{cyt}}^{2+}]}{1 + [\text{Ca}_{\text{cyt}}^{2+}]/L_5} [\text{IP}_3 \text{R}_o]_S}_{\text{IP}_3 \text{ binding to IP}_3 \text{ receptor}} \quad (62)$$

$$S_{\text{PM}} \frac{d}{dt} [\text{PIP}_3]_S = \underbrace{S_{\text{PM}} k_{\text{PIP}_2,\text{cat}}^{\text{PI3K}} [\text{PI3K} - \text{G}_{\text{i}\alpha} \text{GTP} - \text{PIP}_2]_S}_{\text{PIP}_3 \text{ generation}} - \underbrace{V_{\text{cyt}} \frac{k_{\text{PIP}_3,\text{cat}}^{\text{PTEN}} [\text{PTEN}]}{(\frac{V_{\text{cyt}}}{S_{\text{PM}}}) K_{\text{PIP}_3,\text{M}}^{\text{PTEN}} + [\text{PIP}_3]_S}}_{\text{PIP}_3 \text{ degradation}} + S_{\text{PM}} k_{\text{-PIP}_3}^{\text{RASA3}} [\text{RASA3} - \text{PIP}_3]_S - \underbrace{S_{\text{PM}} \left( \frac{S_{\text{PM}}}{V_{\text{cyt}}} \right) k_{\text{PIP}_3}^{\text{RASA3}} [\text{RASA3}]_S [\text{PIP}_3]_S}_{\text{PIP}_3 \text{ inactivation of RASA3}} \quad (63)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{PM} \frac{d}{dt} [DAG]_S = & \underbrace{S_{PM} k_{PIP_2, cat}^{PLC} [PLC - G_{q\alpha} GTP - PIP_2]_S}_{\text{Conversion to IP}_3} + \underbrace{S_{PM} k_{PIP, cat}^{PLC} [PLC - G_{q\alpha} GTP - PIP]_S}_{\text{Conversion to IP}_2} \\
& + \underbrace{S_{PM} k_{PI, cat}^{PLC} [PLC - G_{q\alpha} GTP - PI]_S}_{\text{Conversion to I}_1P} - \underbrace{V_{cyt} \frac{k_{DAG, cat}^{DAGKinase} [DAGKinase]}{\left(\frac{V_{cyt}}{S_{PM}}\right) K_{DAG, M}^{DAGKinase} + [DAG]_S}}_{\text{Phosphorylation of DAG}} [DAG]_S \\
& - \underbrace{S_{PM} k_{DAG}^{PKC-Ca} [PKC - Ca] [DAG]_S + V_{cyt} k_{-DAG}^{PKC-Ca} [PKC - Ca - DAG]}_{\text{DAG binding to PKC-Ca}} \\
& - \underbrace{S_{PM} k_{DAG}^{PKC-Ca} [PKCa - Ca] [DAG]_S + V_{cyt} k_{-DAG}^{PKC-Ca} [PKCa - Ca - DAG]}_{\text{DAG binding to PKCa-Ca}}
\end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{PM} \frac{d}{dt} [PA]_S = & \underbrace{V_{cyt} \frac{k_{DAG, cat}^{DAGKinase} [DAGKinase]}{\left(\frac{V_{cyt}}{S_{PM}}\right) K_{DAG, M}^{DAGKinase} + [DAG]_S}}_{\text{Phosphorylation of DAG}} [DAG]_S \\
& - \underbrace{S_{PM} \frac{k_{PA, cat}^{CDPDGSynthase} [CDPDGSynthase] [CTP]}{K_{PA, M}^{CDPDGSynthase} K_{CTP, M}^{CDPDGSynthase} + K_{PA, M}^{CDPDGSynthase} [CTP] + (K_{CTP, M}^{CDPDGSynthase} [PA]_S + [PA]_S [CTP]) \left(\frac{S_{PM}}{V_{cyt}}\right)}}_{\text{Synthesis of CDPDG}} [PA]_S
\end{aligned} \tag{65}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{PM} \frac{d}{dt} [CDPDG]_S = & \underbrace{S_{PM} \frac{k_{PA, cat}^{CDPDGSynthase} [CDPDGSynthase] [CTP]}{K_{PA, M}^{CDPDGSynthase} K_{CTP, M}^{CDPDGSynthase} + K_{PA, M}^{CDPDGSynthase} [CTP] + (K_{CTP, M}^{CDPDGSynthase} [PA]_S + [PA]_S [CTP]) \left(\frac{S_{PM}}{V_{cyt}}\right)}}_{\text{Synthesis of CDPDG}} [PA]_S \\
& - \underbrace{S_{PM} \frac{\left(\frac{S_{PM}}{V_{cyt}}\right) k_{I, cat}^{PISynthase} [PISynthase] [CDPDG]_S}{K_{CDPDG, M}^{PISynthase} K_{I, M}^{PISynthase} + K_{CDPDG, M}^{PISynthase} [I] + (K_{I, M}^{PISynthase} [CDPDG]_S + [I] [CDPDG]_S) \left(\frac{S_{PM}}{V_{cyt}}\right)}}_{\text{Synthesis of PI}} [I]
\end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

### S4.6.2 Calcium

$R$  is the ideal gas constant,  $T$  is temperature,  $z$  is the charge of a Calcium ion, and  $F$  is the Faraday constant. To calculate the flux of calcium into the cytosol, the Nernst Potentials across the two membranes of our models are needed:

$$\psi_{\text{IM}} = \frac{RT}{zF} \ln \left( \frac{[\text{Ca}_{\text{dts}}]}{[\text{Ca}_{\text{cyt}}]} \right) \quad \psi_{\text{PM}} = \frac{RT}{zF} \ln \left( \frac{[\text{Ca}_{\text{prp}}]}{[\text{Ca}_{\text{cyt}}]} \right)$$

Parameter	Value	Units	Reference
$\gamma^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}}$	See Table S1	S	
$\gamma^{\text{leak}}$	See Table S1	S/dm <sup>2</sup>	
$k_{\text{Ca}}^{\text{Fura}}$	$6 \cdot 10^8$	1/M-s	
$k_{-\text{Ca}}^{\text{Fura}}$	180	1/s	

Tab. S15: Parameter values associated with calcium. At time  $t = 0$  s in the steady-state simulation,  $[\text{Ca}_{\text{PRP}}^{2+}] = 1 \cdot 10^{-3}$  M,  $[\text{Ca}_{\text{cyt}}^{2+}] = 40 \cdot 10^{-9}$  M,  $[\text{Ca}_{\text{DTS}}^{2+}] = 100 \cdot 10^{-6}$  M,  $[\text{Fura} - \text{Ca}] = 0$  M. At each time step, we compute  $[\text{Fura}] = 5 \cdot 10^{-6} - [\text{Fura} - \text{Ca}]$ . Fura (the fluorescent calcium probe) is only considered during the parameter estimation of calcium data. For all other cases,  $k_{\text{Ca}}^{\text{Fura}} = 0$ .

$$V_{\text{cyt}} \frac{d}{dt} [\text{Fura} - \text{Ca}] = \underbrace{V_{\text{cyt}} k_{\text{Ca}}^{\text{Fura}} [\text{Fura}] [\text{Ca}_{\text{cyt}}^{2+}] - V_{\text{cyt}} k_{-\text{Ca}}^{\text{Fura}} [\text{Fura} - \text{Ca}]}_{\text{Calcium binding to calcium probe}} \quad (67)$$

$$V_{\text{DTS}} \frac{d}{dt} [\text{Ca}_{\text{DTS}}^{2+}] = \underbrace{2S_{\text{IM}} k_{5f}^{\text{SERCA}} [\text{SERCA}_{\text{E2PCa}_2}]_S - 2S_{\text{IM}} k_{5r}^{\text{SERCA}} [\text{SERCA}_{\text{E2P}}]_S [\text{Ca}_{\text{DTS}}^{2+}]}_{2\text{Ca}_{\text{DTS}}^{2+} \text{ ions binding to SERCA}} - \underbrace{\frac{N^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}}}{4} \frac{\gamma^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}}}{zF} \left( \frac{0.1[\text{IP}_3\text{R}_o]_S + 0.9[\text{IP}_3\text{R}_a]_S}{[\text{IP}_3\text{R}]_{\text{S,tot}}} \right)^4 \psi_{\text{IM}}}_{\text{Calcium release from IP}_3\text{R}} \quad (68)$$

$$V_{\text{PRP}} \frac{d}{dt} [\text{Ca}_{\text{PRP}}^{2+}] = \underbrace{2S_{\text{PM}} k_{5f}^{\text{PMCA}} [\text{PMCA}_{\text{E2PCa}_2}]_S - 2S_{\text{PM}} k_{5r}^{\text{PMCA}} [\text{PMCA}_{\text{E2P}}]_S [\text{Ca}_{\text{PRP}}^{2+}]}_{2\text{Ca}_{\text{PRP}}^{2+} \text{ ions binding to PMCA}} - \underbrace{S_{\text{PM}} \frac{\gamma^{\text{leak}}}{zF} \psi_{\text{PM}}}_{\text{Calcium leak into cytoplasm}} \quad (69)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{\text{cyt}} \frac{d}{dt} [\text{Ca}_{\text{cyt}}^{2+}] = & \underbrace{\frac{N_{\text{IP}_3\text{R}} \gamma^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}}}{4} \frac{\gamma^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}}}{zF} \left( \frac{0.1[\text{IP}_3\text{R}_o]_S + 0.9[\text{IP}_3\text{R}_a]_S}{[\text{IP}_3\text{R}]_{S,\text{tot}}} \right)^4 \psi_{\text{IM}}}_{\text{Calcium release from IP}_3\text{R}} + \underbrace{S_{\text{PM}} \frac{\gamma^{\text{leak}}}{zF} \psi_{\text{PM}}}_{\text{Calcium leak into cytoplasm}} \\
& - \underbrace{S_{\text{IM}} \frac{(k_1^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}} L_1^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}} + l_2^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}}) [\text{Ca}_{\text{cyt}}^{2+}]}{L_1^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}} + [\text{Ca}_{\text{cyt}}^{2+}] (1 + L_1^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}} / L_3^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}})} [\text{IP}_3\text{R}_n]_S + S_{\text{IM}} (k_{-1}^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}} + l_{-2}^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}}) [\text{IP}_3\text{R}_{i1}]_S}_{\text{Calcium inactivating resting IP}_3\text{R}} \\
& - \underbrace{S_{\text{IM}} \frac{(k_4^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}} L_5^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}} + l_6^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}}) [\text{Ca}_{\text{cyt}}^{2+}]}{L_5^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}} + [\text{Ca}_{\text{cyt}}^{2+}]} [\text{IP}_3\text{R}_o]_S + S_{\text{IM}} \frac{L_1^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}} (k_{-4}^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}} + l_{-6}^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}})}{L_1^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}} + [\text{Ca}_{\text{cyt}}^{2+}]} [\text{IP}_3\text{R}_a]_S}_{\text{Calcium activating receptor}} \\
& - \underbrace{S_{\text{IM}} \frac{k_1^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}} L_1^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}} + l_2^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}}}{L_1^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}} + [\text{Ca}_{\text{cyt}}^{2+}]} [\text{IP}_3\text{R}_a]_S [\text{Ca}_{\text{cyt}}^{2+}] + S_{\text{IM}} (k_{-1}^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}} + l_{-2}^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}}) [\text{IP}_3\text{R}_{i2}]_S}_{\text{Calcium inactivating active IP}_3\text{R}} \\
& - \underbrace{2S_{\text{IM}} k_{2f}^{\text{SERCA}} [\text{SERCA}_{\text{E1}}]_S [\text{Ca}_{\text{cyt}}^{2+}]^2 + 2S_{\text{IM}} k_{2r}^{\text{SERCA}} [\text{SERCA}_{\text{E1}} - 2\text{Ca}]_S}_{2\text{Ca}_{\text{cyt}} \text{ ions binding to SERCA}} \\
& - \underbrace{2S_{\text{IM}} k_{2f}^{\text{PMCA}} [\text{PMCA}_{\text{E1}}]_S [\text{Ca}_{\text{cyt}}^{2+}]^2 + 2S_{\text{IM}} k_{2r}^{\text{PMCA}} [\text{PMCA}_{\text{E1}} - 2\text{Ca}]_S}_{2\text{Ca}_{\text{cyt}} \text{ ions binding to PMCA}} \\
& - \underbrace{V_{\text{cyt}} k_{\text{Ca}}^{\text{PKC}} [\text{PKC}] [\text{Ca}_{\text{cyt}}^{2+}] + V_{\text{cyt}} k_{-\text{Ca}}^{\text{PKC}} [\text{PKC} - \text{Ca}]}_{\text{Ca binding to PKC}} \\
& - \underbrace{V_{\text{cyt}} k_{\text{Ca}}^{\text{PKCa}} [\text{PKCa}] [\text{Ca}_{\text{cyt}}^{2+}] + V_{\text{cyt}} k_{-\text{Ca}}^{\text{PKCa}} [\text{PKCa} - \text{Ca}]}_{\text{Ca binding to PKCa}} \\
& - \underbrace{V_{\text{cyt}} k_{\text{Ca}}^{\text{CalDAG-GEFI}} [\text{Ca}_{\text{cyt}}^{2+}] [\text{CalDAG} - \text{GEFI}] + V_{\text{cyt}} k_{-\text{Ca}}^{\text{CalDAG-GEFI}} [\text{CalDAG} - \text{GEFI} - \text{Ca}]}_{\text{CalDAG-GEFI binding calcium}} \\
& - \underbrace{V_{\text{cyt}} k_{\text{Ca}}^{\text{CalDAG-GEFI}} [\text{Ca}_{\text{cyt}}^{2+}] [\text{CalDAG} - \text{GEFI} - \text{Ca}] + V_{\text{cyt}} k_{-\text{Ca}}^{\text{CalDAG-GEFI}} [\text{CalDAG} - \text{GEFI} - 2\text{Ca}]}_{\text{CalDAG-GEFI-Ca binding calcium}} \\
& - \underbrace{V_{\text{cyt}} k_{\text{Ca}}^{\text{Fura}} [\text{Ca}_{\text{cyt}}^{2+}] [\text{Fura}] + V_{\text{cyt}} k_{-\text{Ca}}^{\text{Fura}} [\text{Fura} - \text{Ca}]}_{\text{Fluorescent Probe binding calcium}}
\end{aligned} \tag{70}$$

## S4.7 Calcium channels/pumps

### S4.7.1 IP<sub>3</sub>R




Fig. S4: IP<sub>3</sub>R reaction diagram. Model setup and states are exactly the same as in Sneyd and Dufour [13]

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_{\text{IM}} \frac{d}{dt} [\text{IP}_3\text{R}_n]_S = & \underbrace{-S_{\text{IM}} \frac{(k_1^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}} L_1^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}} + l_2^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}}) [\text{Ca}_{\text{cyt}}^{2+}]}{L_1^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}} + [\text{Ca}_{\text{cyt}}^{2+}] (1 + L_1^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}} / L_3^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}})} [\text{IP}_3\text{R}_n]_S + S_{\text{IM}} (k_{-1}^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}} + l_{-2}^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}}) [\text{IP}_3\text{R}_{i1}]_S}_{\text{Calcium inactivating resting IP}_3\text{R}} \\
 & - S_{\text{IM}} \frac{k_2^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}} L_3^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}} + l_4^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}} [\text{Ca}_{\text{cyt}}^{2+}]}{L_3^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}} + [\text{Ca}_{\text{cyt}}^{2+}] (1 + L_3^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}} / L_1^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}})} [\text{IP}_3\text{R}_n]_S [\text{IP}_3] \\
 & + S_{\text{IM}} \frac{k_{-2}^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}} + l_{-4}^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}} [\text{Ca}_{\text{cyt}}^{2+}]}{1 + [\text{Ca}_{\text{cyt}}^{2+}] / L_5^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}}} [\text{IP}_3\text{R}_o]_S \\
 & \underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{\text{IP}_3 \text{ binding to receptor}}
 \end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_{\text{IM}} \frac{d}{dt} [\text{IP}_3\text{R}_{i1}]_S = & \underbrace{S_{\text{IM}} \frac{(k_1^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}} L_1^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}} + l_2^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}}) [\text{Ca}_{\text{cyt}}^{2+}]}{L_1^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}} + [\text{Ca}_{\text{cyt}}^{2+}] (1 + L_1^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}} / L_3^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}})} [\text{IP}_3\text{R}_n]_S - S_{\text{IM}} (k_{-1}^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}} + l_{-2}^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}}) [\text{IP}_3\text{R}_{i1}]_S}_{\text{Calcium inactivating resting IP}_3\text{R}}
 \end{aligned} \tag{72}$$

Parameter	Value	Units	Reference
$k_1^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}}$	640000	1/M-s	[13]
$k_{-1}^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}}$	0.04	1/s	[13]
$k_2^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}}$	37400000	1/M-s	[13]
$k_{-2}^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}}$	1.4	1/s	[13]
$k_3^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}}$	0.11	1/M-s	[13]
$k_{-3}^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}}$	29.8	1/s	[13]
$k_4^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}}$	4000000	1/M-s	[13]
$k_{-4}^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}}$	0.54	1/s	[13]
$L_1^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}}$	1.2e-07	M	[13]
$L_3^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}}$	2.5e-08	M	[13]
$L_5^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}}$	5.47e-05	M	[13]
$l_2^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}}$	1.7	1/s	[13]
$l_4^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}}$	1700000	1/M-s	[13]
$l_6^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}}$	4707	1/s	[13]
$l_{-2}^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}}$	0.8	1/s	[13]
$l_{-4}^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}}$	2500000	1/M-s	[13]
$l_{-6}^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}}$	11.4	1/s	[13]
$N^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}}$	3361	#	[17]

Tab. S16: Parameter values associated with  $\text{IP}_3\text{R}$ . At time  $t = 0$  s in the steady-state simulation,  $[\text{IP}_3\text{R}_n]_S = N^{\text{IP}_3\text{R}}/S_{\text{IM}}$  and all other states are initialized to 0.

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{IM} \frac{d}{dt} [IP_3R_o]_S &= S_{IM} \frac{k_2^{IP_3R} L_3^{IP_3R} + l_4^{IP_3R} [Ca_{cyt}^{2+}]}{L_3^{IP_3R} + [Ca_{cyt}^{2+}] (1 + L_3^{IP_3R} / L_1^{IP_3R})} [IP_3R_n]_S [IP_3] \\
&\quad - S_{IM} \frac{k_{-2}^{IP_3R} + l_{-4}^{IP_3R} [Ca_{cyt}^{2+}]}{1 + [Ca_{cyt}^{2+}] / L_5^{IP_3R}} [IP_3R_o]_S \\
&\quad \underbrace{\hspace{15em}}_{IP_3 \text{ binding to receptor}} \\
&\quad - S_{IM} \frac{(k_4^{IP_3R} L_5^{IP_3R} + l_6^{IP_3R})}{L_5^{IP_3R} + [Ca_{cyt}^{2+}]} [IP_3R_o]_S [Ca_{cyt}^{2+}] + S_{IM} \frac{L_1^{IP_3R} (k_{-4}^{IP_3R} + l_{-6}^{IP_3R})}{L_1^{IP_3R} + [Ca_{cyt}^{2+}]} [IP_3R_a]_S \\
&\quad \underbrace{\hspace{15em}}_{\text{Calcium activating receptor}} \\
&\quad - S_{IM} \frac{k_3^{IP_3R} L_5^{IP_3R}}{L_5^{IP_3R} + [Ca_{cyt}^{2+}]} [IP_3R_o]_S + S_{IM} k_{-3}^{IP_3R} [IP_3R_s]_S \\
&\quad \underbrace{\hspace{15em}}_{\text{receptor closing}}
\end{aligned} \tag{73}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{IM} \frac{d}{dt} [IP_3R_a]_S &= S_{IM} \frac{(k_4^{IP_3R} L_5^{IP_3R} + l_6^{IP_3R})}{L_5^{IP_3R} + [Ca_{cyt}^{2+}]} [IP_3R_o]_S [Ca_{cyt}^{2+}] - S_{IM} \frac{L_1^{IP_3R} (k_{-4}^{IP_3R} + l_{-6}^{IP_3R})}{L_1^{IP_3R} + [Ca_{cyt}^{2+}]} [IP_3R_a]_S \\
&\quad \underbrace{\hspace{15em}}_{\text{Calcium activating receptor}} \\
&\quad - S_{IM} \frac{k_1^{IP_3R} L_1^{IP_3R} + l_2^{IP_3R}}{L_1^{IP_3R} + [Ca_{cyt}^{2+}]} [IP_3R_a]_S [Ca_{cyt}^{2+}] + S_{IM} (k_{-1}^{IP_3R} + l_{-2}^{IP_3R}) [IP_3R_{i2}]_S \\
&\quad \underbrace{\hspace{15em}}_{\text{Calcium inactivating active IP}_3R}
\end{aligned} \tag{74}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{IM} \frac{d}{dt} [IP_3R_s]_S &= -S_{IM} \frac{k_3^{IP_3R} L_5^{IP_3R}}{L_5^{IP_3R} + [Ca_{cyt}^{2+}]} [IP_3R_o]_S + S_{IM} k_{-3}^{IP_3R} [IP_3R_s]_S \\
&\quad \underbrace{\hspace{15em}}_{\text{receptor closing}}
\end{aligned} \tag{75}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{IM} \frac{d}{dt} [IP_3R_{i2}]_S &= S_{IM} \frac{k_1^{IP_3R} L_1^{IP_3R} + l_2^{IP_3R}}{L_1^{IP_3R} + [Ca_{cyt}^{2+}]} [IP_3R_a]_S [Ca_{cyt}^{2+}] - S_{IM} (k_{-1}^{IP_3R} + l_{-2}^{IP_3R}) [IP_3R_{i2}]_S \\
&\quad \underbrace{\hspace{15em}}_{\text{Calcium inactivating active IP}_3R}
\end{aligned} \tag{76}$$

### S4.7.2 SERCA

The full SERCA pump model is a 6 state model that involves shuttling two calcium ions across the DTS membrane at a time. The three states without a calcium bound are assumed to be in a quasi-steady state equilibrium with each other. Let  $[\text{SERCA}]_S = [\text{SERCA}_{E1}]_S + [\text{SERCA}_{E2}]_S + [\text{SERCA}_{E2P}]_S$ . Then the ratios are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{SERCA}_{E1}]_S &= (0.24996)[\text{SERCA}]_S \\ [\text{SERCA}_{E2}]_S &= (0.74989)[\text{SERCA}]_S \\ [\text{SERCA}_{E2P}]_S &= (1.4998 \cdot 10^{-4})[\text{SERCA}]_S \end{aligned}$$




Fig. S5: SERCA reaction diagram. The three states without a calcium bound are all assumed to be in equilibrium with each other.

Parameter	Value	Units	Reference
$k_{2f}^{\text{SERCA}}$	See Table S1	1/M <sup>2</sup> -s	
$k_{2r}^{\text{SERCA}}$	See Table S1	1/s	
$k_{3f}^{\text{SERCA}}$	See Table S1	1/s	
$k_{3r}^{\text{SERCA}}$	See Table S1	1/s	
$k_{4f}^{\text{SERCA}}$	See Table S1	1/s	
$k_{4r}^{\text{SERCA}}$	See Table S1	1/s	
$k_{5f}^{\text{SERCA}}$	See Table S1	1/s	
$k_{5r}^{\text{SERCA}}$	See Table S1	1/M <sup>2</sup> -s	
$N^{\text{SERCA}}$	57083	#	[17]

Tab. S17: Parameter values associated with SERCA. At time  $t = 0$  s in the steady-state simulation,  $[\text{SERCA}]_S = N^{\text{SERCA}}/S_{\text{IM}}$  and all other states are initialized to 0.

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\text{IM}} \frac{d}{dt} [\text{SERCA}]_S = & \underbrace{-S_{\text{IM}} k_{2f}^{\text{SERCA}} [\text{SERCA}_{\text{E1}}]_S [\text{Ca}_{\text{cyt}}^{2+}]^2 + S_{\text{IM}} k_{2r}^{\text{SERCA}} [\text{SERCA}_{\text{E1}} - 2\text{Ca}]_S}_{2\text{Ca}_{\text{cyt}} \text{ ions binding to SERCA}} \\
& + \underbrace{S_{\text{IM}} k_{5f}^{\text{SERCA}} [\text{SERCA}_{\text{E2P}} - 2\text{Ca}]_S - S_{\text{IM}} k_{5r}^{\text{SERCA}} [\text{SERCA}_{\text{E2P}}]_S [\text{Ca}_{\text{DTS}}^{2+}]^2}_{2\text{Ca}_{\text{dts}} \text{ ions binding to SERCA}}
\end{aligned} \tag{77}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\text{IM}} \frac{d}{dt} [\text{SERCA}_{\text{E1}} - 2\text{Ca}]_S = & \underbrace{S_{\text{IM}} k_{2f}^{\text{SERCA}} [\text{SERCA}_{\text{E1}}]_S [\text{Ca}_{\text{cyt}}^{2+}]^2 - S_{\text{IM}} k_{2r}^{\text{SERCA}} [\text{SERCA}_{\text{E1}} - 2\text{Ca}]_S}_{2\text{Ca}_{\text{cyt}} \text{ ions binding to SERCA}} \\
& - \underbrace{S_{\text{IM}} k_{3f}^{\text{SERCA}} [\text{SERCA}_{\text{E1}} - \text{Ca}_2]_S + S_{\text{IM}} k_{3r}^{\text{SERCA}} [\text{SERCA}_{\text{E1P}} - 2\text{Ca}]_S}_{\text{SERCA phosphorylation}}
\end{aligned} \tag{78}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\text{IM}} \frac{d}{dt} [\text{SERCA}_{\text{E1P}} - 2\text{Ca}]_S = & \underbrace{S_{\text{IM}} k_{3f}^{\text{SERCA}} [\text{SERCA}_{\text{E1}} - 2\text{Ca}]_S + S_{\text{IM}} k_{3r}^{\text{SERCA}} [\text{SERCA}_{\text{E1P}} - 2\text{Ca}]_S}_{\text{SERCA phosphorylation}} \\
& - \underbrace{S_{\text{IM}} k_{4f}^{\text{SERCA}} [\text{SERCA}_{\text{E1P}} - 2\text{Ca}]_S + S_{\text{IM}} k_{4r}^{\text{SERCA}} [\text{SERCA}_{\text{E2P}} - 2\text{Ca}]_S}_{\text{SERCA shuttling into DTS}}
\end{aligned} \tag{79}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\text{IM}} \frac{d}{dt} [\text{SERCA}_{\text{E2P}} - 2\text{Ca}]_S = & \underbrace{S_{\text{IM}} k_{4f}^{\text{SERCA}} [\text{SERCA}_{\text{E1P}} - 2\text{Ca}]_S - S_{\text{IM}} k_{4r}^{\text{SERCA}} [\text{SERCA}_{\text{E2P}} - 2\text{Ca}]_S}_{\text{SERCA shuttling into DTS}} \\
& - \underbrace{S_{\text{IM}} k_{5f}^{\text{SERCA}} [\text{SERCA}_{\text{E2P}} - 2\text{Ca}]_S + S_{\text{IM}} k_{5r}^{\text{SERCA}} [\text{SERCA}_{\text{E2P}}]_S [\text{Ca}_{\text{DTS}}^{2+}]^2}_{2\text{Ca}_{\text{dts}} \text{ ions binding to SERCA}}
\end{aligned} \tag{80}$$

### S4.7.3 PMCA

The full PMCA pump model is a 6 state model that involves shuttling two calcium ions across the plasma membrane at a time. The three states without a calcium bound are assumed to be in a quasi-steady state equilibrium with each other. Let  $[PMCA]_S = [PMCA_{E1}]_S + [PMCA_{E2}]_S + [PMCA_{E2P}]_S$ . Then the ratios are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} [PMCA_{E1}]_S &= (0.24996)[PMCA]_S \\ [PMCA_{E2}]_S &= (0.74989)[PMCA]_S \\ [PMCA_{E2P}]_S &= (1.4998 \cdot 10^{-4})[PMCA]_S \end{aligned}$$




Fig. S6: PMCA reaction diagram. The three states without a calcium bound are all assumed to be in equilibrium with each other.

Parameter	Value	Units	Reference
$k_{2f}^{PMCA}$	See Table S1	$1/M^2 \cdot s$	
$k_{2r}^{PMCA}$	See Table S1	$1/s$	
$k_{3f}^{PMCA}$	See Table S1	$1/s$	
$k_{3r}^{PMCA}$	See Table S1	$1/s$	
$k_{4f}^{PMCA}$	See Table S1	$1/s$	
$k_{4r}^{PMCA}$	See Table S1	$1/s$	
$k_{5f}^{PMCA}$	See Table S1	$1/s$	
$k_{5r}^{PMCA}$	See Table S1	$1/M^2 \cdot s$	
$N^{PMCA}$	611	#	[17]

Tab. S18: Parameter values associated with PMCA. At time  $t = 0$  s in the steady-state simulation,  $[PMCA]_S = N^{PMCA}/S_{PM}$  and all other states are initialized to 0.

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\text{PM}} \frac{d}{dt} [\text{PMCA}]_S = & \underbrace{-S_{\text{PM}} k_{2f}^{\text{PMCA}} [\text{PMCA}_{\text{E1}}]_S [\text{Ca}_{\text{cyt}}^{2+}]^2 + S_{\text{PM}} k_{2r}^{\text{PMCA}} [\text{PMCA}_{\text{E1}} - 2\text{Ca}]_S}_{2\text{Ca}_{\text{cyt}} \text{ ions binding to PMCA}} \\
& + \underbrace{S_{\text{PM}} k_{5f}^{\text{PMCA}} [\text{PMCA}_{\text{E2P}} - 2\text{Ca}]_S - S_{\text{PM}} k_{5r}^{\text{PMCA}} [\text{PMCA}_{\text{E2P}}]_S [\text{Ca}_{\text{PRP}}^{2+}]^2}_{2\text{Ca}_{\text{dts}} \text{ ions binding to PMCA}}
\end{aligned} \tag{81}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\text{PM}} \frac{d}{dt} [\text{PMCA}_{\text{E1}} - 2\text{Ca}]_S = & \underbrace{S_{\text{PM}} k_{2f}^{\text{PMCA}} [\text{PMCA}_{\text{E1}}]_S [\text{Ca}_{\text{cyt}}^{2+}]^2 - S_{\text{PM}} k_{2r}^{\text{PMCA}} [\text{PMCA}_{\text{E1}} - 2\text{Ca}]_S}_{2\text{Ca}_{\text{cyt}} \text{ ions binding to PMCA}} \\
& - \underbrace{S_{\text{PM}} k_{3f}^{\text{PMCA}} [\text{PMCA}_{\text{E1}} - 2\text{Ca}]_S + S_{\text{PM}} k_{3r}^{\text{PMCA}} [\text{PMCA}_{\text{E1P}} - 2\text{Ca}]_S}_{\text{PMCA phosphorylation}}
\end{aligned} \tag{82}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\text{PM}} \frac{d}{dt} [\text{PMCA}_{\text{E1P}} - 2\text{Ca}]_S = & \underbrace{S_{\text{PM}} k_{3f}^{\text{PMCA}} [\text{PMCA}_{\text{E1}} - 2\text{Ca}]_S + S_{\text{PM}} k_{3r}^{\text{PMCA}} [\text{PMCA}_{\text{E1P}} - 2\text{Ca}]_S}_{\text{PMCA phosphorylation}} \\
& - \underbrace{S_{\text{PM}} k_{4f}^{\text{PMCA}} [\text{PMCA}_{\text{E1P}} - 2\text{Ca}]_S + S_{\text{PM}} k_{4r}^{\text{PMCA}} [\text{PMCA}_{\text{E2P}} - 2\text{Ca}]_S}_{\text{PMCA shuttling into PRP}}
\end{aligned} \tag{83}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
S_{\text{PM}} \frac{d}{dt} [\text{PMCA}_{\text{E2P}} - 2\text{Ca}]_S = & \underbrace{S_{\text{PM}} k_{4f}^{\text{PMCA}} [\text{PMCA}_{\text{E1P}} - 2\text{Ca}]_S - S_{\text{PM}} k_{4r}^{\text{PMCA}} [\text{PMCA}_{\text{E2P}} - 2\text{Ca}]_S}_{\text{PMCA shuttling into PRP}} \\
& - \underbrace{S_{\text{PM}} k_{5f}^{\text{PMCA}} [\text{PMCA}_{\text{E2P}} - 2\text{Ca}]_S + S_{\text{PM}} k_{5r}^{\text{PMCA}} [\text{PMCA}_{\text{E2P}}]_S [\text{Ca}_{\text{PRP}}^{2+}]^2}_{2\text{Ca}_{\text{dts}} \text{ ions binding to PMCA}}
\end{aligned} \tag{84}$$

## S5 Quasi Steady State Approximation




Fig. S7: P2Y<sub>1</sub> reaction diagram without a quasi steady state approximation. Four states are added on top of the model used in Purvis et al. [12],  $p\text{-P2Y}_1\text{-G}_q\text{GDP}$ ,  $ADP\text{-pP2Y}_1\text{-G}_q\text{GDP}$ ,  $p\text{-P2Y}_{1a}\text{-G}_q\text{GDP}$ , and  $ADP\text{-pP2Y}_{1a}\text{-G}_q\text{GDP}$ . These states correspond to a desensitized receptor mediated by PKC.

We briefly outline the process of reducing the number of equations in Purvis et al. [12] by utilizing a quasi steady state (QSS) assumption. The work here is for the P2Y<sub>1</sub> receptor only; the exact same computation can be done to the P2Y<sub>12</sub> receptor to yield analogous equations. Figure S7 shows the entire reaction diagram for the P2Y<sub>1</sub> receptor. It is generally assumed for G-protein coupled receptors that the receptors must be in an “active” conformation in order to process G protein [7]. Our assumption is that the receptor in the “active” conformation is in a quasi-steady state equilibrium with the corresponding “inactive” conformation, showed by the grey boxes in the figure. Under this assumption (to be made more precise below), we can reduce the number of equations by six.

Below, we write out each of the 12 equations that are contained in the grey boxes in Figure S7. For concision, we divide all terms by  $S_{PM}$ . The full equations are as follows:

$$\frac{d}{dt}[\text{P2Y}_1]_S = \underbrace{-k_{\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{P2Y}_1]_S + k_{-\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{P2Y}_1\text{a}]_S}_{\text{activation}} - \underbrace{k_{\text{ADP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{P2Y}_1]_S[\text{ADP}] + k_{-\text{ADP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1]_S}_{\text{ADP Binding}} \quad (85)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}[\text{P2Y}_1\text{a}]_S &= \underbrace{k_{\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{P2Y}_1]_S - k_{-\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{P2Y}_1\text{a}]_S}_{\text{activation}} - \underbrace{\alpha k_{\text{ADP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{P2Y}_1\text{a}]_S[\text{ADP}] + k_{-\text{ADP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1\text{a}]_S}_{\text{ADP Binding}} \\ &\quad - \underbrace{\beta k_{\text{G}_q\text{GDP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{P2Y}_1\text{a}]_S[\text{G}_q\text{GDP}]_S + k_{-\text{G}_q\text{GDP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{P2Y}_1\text{a} - \text{G}_q\text{GDP}]_S}_{\text{G}_q\text{GDP binding}} \\ &\quad - \underbrace{k_{\text{G}_q\text{GTP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{P2Y}_1\text{a}]_S[\text{G}_q\text{GTP}]_S + k_{-\text{G}_q\text{GTP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{P2Y}_1\text{a} - \text{G}_q\text{GTP}]_S}_{\text{G}_q\text{GTP binding}} \end{aligned} \quad (86)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}[\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1]_S &= \underbrace{-\alpha k_{\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1]_S + k_{-\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1\text{a}]_S}_{\text{activation}} + \underbrace{k_{\text{ADP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{P2Y}_1]_S[\text{ADP}] - k_{-\text{ADP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1]_S}_{\text{ADP Binding}} \\ &\quad - \underbrace{k_{\text{phos}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1]_S[\text{PKCa} - \text{Ca} - \text{DAG}] + k_{\text{dephos}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{ADP} - \text{pP2Y}_1]_S}_{\text{Desensitization by PKC}} \end{aligned} \quad (87)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}[\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1\text{a}]_S &= \underbrace{\alpha k_{\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1]_S - k_{-\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1\text{a}]_S}_{\text{activation}} + \underbrace{\alpha k_{\text{ADP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{P2Y}_1\text{a}]_S[\text{ADP}] - k_{-\text{ADP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1\text{a}]_S}_{\text{ADP Binding}} \\ &\quad - \underbrace{k_{\text{phos}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1\text{a}]_S[\text{PKCa} - \text{Ca} - \text{DAG}] + k_{\text{dephos}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{ADP} - \text{pP2Y}_1\text{a}]_S}_{\text{Desensitization by PKC}} \\ &\quad - \underbrace{\beta k_{\text{G}_q\text{GDP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1\text{a}]_S[\text{G}_q\text{GDP}]_S + \frac{k_{-\text{G}_q\text{GDP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}}{\delta\gamma}[\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1\text{a} - \text{G}_q\text{GDP}]_S}_{\text{G}_q\text{GDP binding}} \\ &\quad - \underbrace{k_{\text{G}_q\text{GTP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1\text{a}]_S[\text{G}_q\text{GTP}]_S + k_{-\text{G}_q\text{GTP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1\text{a} - \text{G}_q\text{GTP}]_S}_{\text{G}_q\text{GTP binding}} \end{aligned} \quad (88)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}[\text{P2Y}_1 - \text{G}_q\text{GDP}]_S = & \underbrace{-\beta k_{\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{P2Y}_1 - \text{G}_q\text{GDP}]_S + k_{-\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{P2Y}_{1a} - \text{G}_q\text{GDP}]_S}_{\text{activation}} \\ & - \underbrace{k_{\text{ADP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{P2Y}_1 - \text{G}_q\text{GDP}]_S[\text{ADP}] + \frac{k_{-\text{ADP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}}{\gamma}[\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1 - \text{G}_q\text{GDP}]_S}_{\text{ADP Binding}} \end{aligned} \quad (89)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}[\text{P2Y}_{1a} - \text{G}_q\text{GDP}]_S = & \underbrace{\beta k_{\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{P2Y}_1 - \text{G}_q\text{GDP}]_S - k_{-\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{P2Y}_{1a} - \text{G}_q\text{GDP}]_S}_{\text{activation}} \\ & - \underbrace{\alpha k_{\text{ADP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{P2Y}_{1a} - \text{G}_q\text{GDP}]_S[\text{ADP}] + \frac{k_{-\text{ADP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}}{\delta\gamma}[\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_{1a} - \text{G}_q\text{GDP}]_S}_{\text{ADP Binding}} \\ & + \underbrace{\beta k_{\text{G}_q\text{GDP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{P2Y}_{1a}]_S[\text{G}_q\text{GDP}]_S - k_{-\text{G}_q\text{GDP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{P2Y}_{1a} - \text{G}_q\text{GDP}]_S}_{\text{G}_q\text{GDP binding}} \\ & - \underbrace{k_{\text{GDP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{P2Y}_{1a} - \text{G}_q\text{GDP}]_S + k_{-\text{GDP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{P2Y}_{1a} - \text{G}_q]_S[\text{GDP}]}_{\text{GDP binding}} \end{aligned} \quad (90)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}[\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1 - \text{G}_q\text{GDP}]_S = & - \underbrace{\alpha\beta\delta k_{\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1 - \text{G}_q\text{GDP}]_S + k_{-\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_{1a} - \text{G}_q\text{GDP}]_S}_{\text{activation}} \\ & + \underbrace{k_{\text{ADP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{P2Y}_1 - \text{G}_q\text{GDP}]_S[\text{ADP}] - \frac{k_{-\text{ADP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}}{\gamma}[\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1 - \text{G}_q\text{GDP}]_S}_{\text{ADP Binding}} \\ & - \underbrace{k_{\text{phos}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1 - \text{G}_q\text{GDP}]_S[\text{PKCa} - \text{Ca} - \text{DAG}] + k_{\text{dephos}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{ADP} - \text{pP2Y}_1 - \text{G}_q\text{GDP}]_S}_{\text{Desensitization by PKC}} \end{aligned} \quad (91)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}[\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_{1a} - \text{G}_q\text{GDP}]_S = & \underbrace{\alpha\beta\delta k_{\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1 - \text{G}_q\text{GDP}]_S - k_{-\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_{1a} - \text{G}_q\text{GDP}]_S}_{\text{activation}} \\ & + \underbrace{\alpha k_{\text{ADP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{P2Y}_{1a} - \text{G}_q\text{GDP}]_S[\text{ADP}] - \frac{k_{-\text{ADP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}}{\delta\gamma}[\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_{1a} - \text{G}_q\text{GDP}]_S}_{\text{ADP Binding}} \\ & - \underbrace{k_{\text{phos}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_{1a} - \text{G}_q\text{GDP}]_S[\text{PKCa} - \text{Ca} - \text{DAG}] + k_{\text{dephos}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{ADP} - \text{pP2Y}_{1a} - \text{G}_q\text{GDP}]_S}_{\text{Desensitization by PKC}} \\ & + \underbrace{\beta k_{\text{G}_q\text{GDP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_{1a}]_S[\text{G}_q\text{GDP}]_S - \frac{k_{-\text{G}_q\text{GDP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}}{\delta\gamma}[\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_{1a} - \text{G}_q\text{GDP}]_S}_{\text{G}_q\text{GDP binding}} \\ & - \underbrace{k_{\text{G}_q\text{GDP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_{1a} - \text{G}_q\text{GDP}]_S + k_{-\text{GDP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1 - \text{G}_q]_S[\text{GDP}]}_{\text{GDP binding}} \end{aligned} \quad (92)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}[\text{ADP} - \text{pP2Y}_1]_S = & \underbrace{-\alpha k_{\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{ADP} - \text{pP2Y}_1]_S + k_{-\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{ADP} - \text{pP2Y}_1\text{a}]_S}_{\text{activation}} \\ & \underbrace{-k_{\text{phos}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1]_S[\text{PKCa} - \text{Ca} - \text{DAG}] + k_{\text{dephos}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{ADP} - \text{pP2Y}_1]_S}_{\text{Desensitization by PKC}} \end{aligned} \quad (93)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}[\text{ADP} - \text{pP2Y}_1\text{a}]_S = & \underbrace{\alpha k_{\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{ADP} - \text{pP2Y}_1]_S - k_{-\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{ADP} - \text{pP2Y}_1\text{a}]_S}_{\text{activation}} \\ & \underbrace{-k_{\text{phos}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1\text{a}]_S[\text{PKCa} - \text{Ca} - \text{DAG}] + k_{\text{dephos}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{ADP} - \text{pP2Y}_1\text{a}]_S}_{\text{Desensitization by PKC}} \end{aligned} \quad (94)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}[\text{ADP} - \text{pP2Y}_1 - \text{G}_q\text{GDP}]_S = & \underbrace{-\alpha\beta\delta k_{\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{ADP} - \text{pP2Y}_1 - \text{G}_q\text{GDP}]_S + k_{-\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{ADP} - \text{pP2Y}_1\text{a} - \text{G}_q\text{GDP}]_S}_{\text{activation}} \\ & \underbrace{+k_{\text{phos}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1 - \text{G}_q\text{GDP}]_S[\text{PKCa} - \text{Ca} - \text{DAG}] - k_{\text{dephos}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{ADP} - \text{pP2Y}_1 - \text{G}_q\text{GDP}]_S}_{\text{Desensitization by PKC}} \end{aligned} \quad (95)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}[\text{ADP} - \text{pP2Y}_1\text{a} - \text{G}_q\text{GDP}]_S = & \underbrace{\alpha\beta\delta k_{\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{ADP} - \text{pP2Y}_1 - \text{G}_q\text{GDP}]_S - k_{-\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{ADP} - \text{pP2Y}_1\text{a} - \text{G}_q\text{GDP}]_S}_{\text{activation}} \\ & \underbrace{+k_{\text{phos}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1\text{a} - \text{G}_q\text{GDP}]_S[\text{PKCa} - \text{Ca} - \text{DAG}] - k_{\text{dephos}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}[\text{ADP} - \text{pP2Y}_1\text{a} - \text{G}_q\text{GDP}]_S}_{\text{Desensitization by PKC}} \end{aligned} \quad (96)$$

If we make the assumption that  $k_{\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}$  is large compared to other reaction rates (i.e.  $k_{-\text{ADP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}$  and  $k_{-\text{G}_q\text{GDP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}$ ) under the condition that  $k_{-\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}/k_{\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} = K_{\text{act}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}$  is constant, then Equations 85, 93, 89, and 95 reduce to the following algebraic relationships:

$$[\text{P2Y}_1\text{a}]_S = K_{\text{act}}[\text{P2Y}_1]_S \quad (97a)$$

$$[\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1\text{a}]_S = \alpha K_{\text{act}}[\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1]_S \quad (97b)$$

$$[\text{P2Y}_1\text{a} - \text{G}_q\text{GDP}]_S = \beta K_{\text{act}}[\text{P2Y}_1 - \text{G}_q\text{GDP}]_S \quad (97c)$$

$$[\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1\text{a} - \text{G}_q\text{GDP}]_S = \alpha\beta\delta K_{\text{act}}[\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1 - \text{G}_q\text{GDP}]_S \quad (97d)$$

$$[\text{ADP} - \text{pP2Y}_1\text{a}]_S = \alpha K_{\text{act}}[\text{ADP} - \text{pP2Y}_1]_S \quad (97e)$$

$$[\text{ADP} - \text{pP2Y}_1\text{a} - \text{G}_q\text{GDP}]_S = \alpha\beta\delta K_{\text{act}}[\text{ADP} - \text{pP2Y}_1 - \text{G}_q\text{GDP}]_S \quad (97f)$$

We then define the concentrations  $\overline{[\text{P2Y}_1]}_S = [\text{P2Y}_1\text{a}]_S + [\text{P2Y}_1]_S$ , and similar for the other three equations above. Plugging in the above equations into these definitions yield the following relations:

$$[P2Y_{1a}]_S = \frac{K_{\text{act}}}{K_{\text{act}} + 1} \overline{[P2Y_1]}_S \quad (98a)$$

$$[P2Y_1]_S = \frac{1}{K_{\text{act}} + 1} \overline{[P2Y_1]}_S \quad (98b)$$

$$[ADP - P2Y_{1a}]_S = \frac{\alpha K_{\text{act}}}{\alpha K_{\text{act}} + 1} \overline{[ADP - P2Y_1]}_S \quad (98c)$$

$$[ADP - P2Y_1]_S = \frac{1}{\alpha K_{\text{act}} + 1} \overline{[ADP - P2Y_1]}_S \quad (98d)$$

$$[P2Y_{1a} - GDP]_S = \frac{\beta K_{\text{act}}}{\beta K_{\text{act}} + 1} \overline{[P2Y_1 - GDP]}_S \quad (98e)$$

$$[P2Y_1 - GDP]_S = \frac{1}{\beta K_{\text{act}} + 1} \overline{[P2Y_1 - GDP]}_S \quad (98f)$$

$$[ADP - P2Y_{1a} - G_q \text{GDP}]_S = \frac{\alpha \beta \delta K_{\text{act}}}{\alpha \beta \delta K_{\text{act}} + 1} \overline{[P2Y_1 - G_q \text{GDP}]}_S \quad (98g)$$

$$[ADP - P2Y_1 - G_q \text{GDP}]_S = \frac{1}{\alpha \beta \delta K_{\text{act}} + 1} \overline{[P2Y_1 - G_q \text{GDP}]}_S \quad (98h)$$

$$[ADP - pP2Y_{1a}]_S = \frac{\alpha K_{\text{act}}}{\alpha K_{\text{act}} + 1} \overline{[ADP - pP2Y_1]}_S \quad (98i)$$

$$[ADP - pP2Y_1]_S = \frac{1}{\alpha K_{\text{act}} + 1} \overline{[ADP - pP2Y_1]}_S \quad (98j)$$

$$[ADP - pP2Y_{1a} - G_q \text{GDP}]_S = \frac{\alpha \beta \delta K_{\text{act}}}{\alpha \beta \delta K_{\text{act}} + 1} \overline{[pP2Y_1 - G_q \text{GDP}]}_S \quad (98k)$$

$$[ADP - pP2Y_1 - G_q \text{GDP}]_S = \frac{1}{\alpha \beta \delta K_{\text{act}} + 1} \overline{[pP2Y_1 - G_q \text{GDP}]}_S \quad (98l)$$

Finally, we compute  $\frac{d}{dt} \overline{[P2Y_1]}_S$  by adding the derivatives of  $[P2Y_{1a}]_S$  and  $[P2Y_1]_S$  and substituting for  $\overline{[P2Y_1]}_S$  (and equivalently for the other reduced states):

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}[\overline{P2Y_1}]_S &= - \left( k_{ADP}^{P2Y_1} \frac{1}{K_{act} + 1} + k_{ADP}^{P2Y_1} \frac{\alpha K_{act}}{K_{act} + 1} \right) [ADP][\overline{P2Y_1}]_S \\
&+ \left( k_{-ADP}^{P2Y_1} \frac{1}{\alpha K_{act} + 1} + k_{-ADP}^{P2Y_1} \frac{\alpha K_{act}}{\alpha K_{act} + 1} \right) [\overline{ADP - P2Y_1}]_S \\
&- \left( \beta k_{G_qGDP}^{P2Y_1} \frac{1}{K_{act} + 1} \right) [G_qGDP][\overline{P2Y_1}]_S \\
&+ \left( k_{-G_qGDP}^{P2Y_1} \frac{\beta K_{act}}{\beta K_{act} + 1} \right) [\overline{P2Y_1 - G_qGDP}]_S \\
&- \left( k_{G_qGTP}^{P2Y_1} \frac{K_{act}}{K_{act} + 1} \right) [G_qGTP][\overline{P2Y_1}]_S \\
&+ k_{-G_qGTP}^{P2Y_1} [P2Y_1a - G_qGTP]_S
\end{aligned} \tag{99}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}[\overline{ADP - P2Y_1}]_S &= \left( k_{ADP}^{P2Y_1} \frac{1}{K_{act} + 1} + \alpha k_{ADP}^{P2Y_1} \frac{K_{act}}{K_{act} + 1} \right) [ADP][\overline{P2Y_1}]_S \\
&- \left( k_{-ADP}^{P2Y_1} \frac{1}{\alpha K_{act} + 1} + k_{-ADP}^{P2Y_1} \frac{\alpha K_{act}}{\alpha K_{act} + 1} \right) [\overline{ADP - P2Y_1}]_S \\
&- \left( \beta k_{G_qGDP}^{P2Y_1} \frac{\alpha K_{act}}{\alpha K_{act} + 1} \right) [G_qGDP][\overline{ADP - P2Y_1}]_S \\
&+ \left( \frac{k_{-G_qGDP}^{P2Y_1}}{\delta \gamma} \frac{\alpha \beta \delta K_{act}}{\alpha \beta \delta k_{act} + 1} \right) [\overline{ADP - P2Y_1 - G_qGDP}]_S \\
&- \left( k_{G_qGTP}^{P2Y_1} \frac{\alpha K_{act}}{\alpha K_{act} + 1} \right) [G_qGTP][\overline{ADP - P2Y_1}]_S \\
&+ k_{-G_qGTP}^{P2Y_1} [ADP - P2Y_1a - G_qGTP]_S \\
&- k_{phos}^{P2Y_1} [\overline{ADP - P2Y_1}]_S [PKCa - Ca - DAG] + k_{dephos}^{P2Y_1} [\overline{ADP - pP2Y_1}]_S
\end{aligned} \tag{100}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}[\overline{P2Y_1 - G_qGDP}]_S &= - \left( k_{ADP}^{P2Y_1} \frac{1}{\beta K_{act} + 1} + \alpha k_{ADP}^{P2Y_1} \frac{\beta K_{act}}{\beta K_{act} + 1} \right) [ADP][\overline{P2Y_1 - G_qGDP}]_S \\
&+ \left( \frac{k_{-ADP}^{P2Y_1}}{\gamma} \frac{1}{\alpha \beta \delta K_{act} + 1} + \frac{k_{-ADP}^{P2Y_1}}{\delta \gamma} \frac{\alpha \beta \delta K_{act}}{\alpha \beta \delta K_{act} + 1} \right) [\overline{ADP - P2Y_1 - G_qGDP}]_S \\
&+ \left( \beta k_{G_qGDP}^{P2Y_1} \frac{K_{act}}{K_{act} + 1} \right) [G_qGDP][\overline{P2Y_1}]_S \\
&- \left( k_{-G_qGDP}^{P2Y_1} \frac{\beta K_{act}}{\beta K_{act} + 1} \right) [\overline{P2Y_1 - G_qGDP}]_S \\
&+ k_{GDP}^{P2Y_1} [GDP][P2Y_1a - G_q]_S - \left( k_{-GDP}^{P2Y_1} \frac{\beta K_{act}}{\beta K_{act} + 1} \right) [\overline{P2Y_1 - G_qGDP}]_S
\end{aligned} \tag{101}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}[\overline{\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1 - \text{G}_q\text{GDP}}]_S &= \left( k_{\text{ADP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} \frac{1}{\beta K_{\text{act}} + 1} + k_{-\text{ADP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} \frac{\alpha \beta K_{\text{act}}}{\beta K_{\text{act}} + 1} \right) [\text{ADP}] [\overline{\text{P2Y}_1 - \text{G}_q\text{GDP}}]_S \\
&\quad - \left( \frac{k_{-\text{ADP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}}{\gamma} \frac{1}{\alpha \beta \delta K_{\text{act}} + 1} + \frac{k_{-\text{ADP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}}{\delta \gamma} \frac{\alpha \beta \delta K_{\text{act}}}{\alpha \beta \delta K_{\text{act}} + 1} \right) [\overline{\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1 - \text{G}_q\text{GDP}}]_S \\
&\quad \left( k_{\text{G}_q\text{GDP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} \frac{\alpha \beta K_{\text{act}}}{\alpha K_{\text{act}} + 1} \right) [\text{G}_q\text{GDP}] [\overline{\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1}]_S \\
&\quad - \left( \frac{k_{-\text{G}_q\text{GDP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1}}{\delta \gamma} \frac{\alpha \beta \delta K_{\text{act}}}{\alpha \beta \delta K_{\text{act}} + 1} \right) [\overline{\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1 - \text{G}_q\text{GDP}}]_S \\
&\quad + k_{\text{GDP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} [\text{GDP}] [\overline{\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1\text{a} - \text{G}_q}]_S \\
&\quad - \left( k_{-\text{GDP}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} \frac{\alpha \beta \delta K_{\text{act}}}{\alpha \beta \delta K_{\text{act}} + 1} \right) [\overline{\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1 - \text{G}_q\text{GDP}}]_S \\
&\quad - k_{\text{phos}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} [\overline{\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1 - \text{G}_q\text{GDP}}]_S [\text{PKCa} - \text{Ca} - \text{DAG}] \\
&\quad + k_{\text{dephos}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} [\overline{\text{ADP} - \text{pP2Y}_1 - \text{G}_q\text{GDP}}]_S
\end{aligned} \tag{102}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}[\overline{\text{ADP} - \text{pP2Y}_1}]_S = k_{\text{phos}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} [\overline{\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1}]_S [\text{PKCa} - \text{Ca} - \text{DAG}] - k_{\text{dephos}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} [\overline{\text{ADP} - \text{pP2Y}_1}]_S \tag{103}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}[\overline{\text{ADP} - \text{pP2Y}_1 - \text{G}_q\text{GDP}}]_S = k_{\text{phos}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} [\overline{\text{ADP} - \text{P2Y}_1 - \text{G}_q\text{GDP}}]_S [\text{PKCa} - \text{Ca} - \text{DAG}] - k_{\text{dephos}}^{\text{P2Y}_1} [\overline{\text{ADP} - \text{pP2Y}_1 - \text{G}_q\text{GDP}}]_S \tag{104}$$

Which, after simplifying and dropping the bars and “a” from activated states, yields the equations in the earlier sections.

## References

- [1] J. R. Atack, S. I. Rapoport, and C. L. Varley. Characterization of inositol monophosphatase in human cerebrospinal fluid. *Brain Research*, 613(2):305–308, 1993.
- [2] U. S. Bhalla and R. Iyengar. Emergent properties of networks of biological signaling pathways. *Science*, 283(5400):381–387, 1999.
- [3] A. A. Cook, W. Deng, J. Ren, R. Li, J. Sondek, and W. Bergmeier. Calcium-induced structural rearrangements release autoinhibition in the RAP-GEF CalDAG-GEFI. *J Biol Chem*, 293(22):8521–8529, 2018.
- [4] R. S. E. Human platelets contain phospholipase c that hydrolyzes polyphosphoinositides. *PNAS*, 80(17):5417–5420, 1983.
- [5] H. Kanoh, Y. Banno, M. Hirata, and Y. Nozawa. Partial purification and characterization of phosphatidylinositol kinases from human platelets. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Lipids and Lipid Metabolism*, 1046(2):120–126, 1990.
- [6] M. J. Kelley and G. M. Carman. Purification and characterization of cdp-diacylglycerol synthase from *saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 262(30):14563–14570, 1987.
- [7] T. L. Kinzer-Ursem and J. J. Linderman. Both ligand- and cell-specific parameters control ligand agonism in a kinetic model of g protein-coupled receptor signaling. *PLoS Comput Biol*, 3(6):84–94, 2007.
- [8] M. Matzaris, S. Jackson, K. Laxminarayan, C. Speed, and C. Mitchell. Identification and characterization of the phosphatidylinositol-(4, 5)-bisphosphate 5-phosphatase in human platelets. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 269(5):3397–3402, 1994.
- [9] C. A. Mitchell, T. M. Connolly, and P. W. Majerus. Identification and isolation of a 75-kda inositol polyphosphate-5-phosphatase from human platelets. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 264(15):8873–8877, 1989.
- [10] J. D. Moyer, O. Reizes, N. M. Dean, and N. Malinowski. D-myo-inositol (1,4)-bisphosphate 1-phosphatase. partial purification from rat liver and characterization. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, 146(3):1018–1026, 1987.
- [11] D. S. Paul, T. N. Blatt, W. J. Schug, E. G. Clark, T. Kawano, N. Mackman, S. Murcia, K. O. Poe, J. M. N. Mwiza, T. K. Harden, and et al. Loss of p2y1 receptor desensitization does not impact hemostasis or thrombosis despite increased platelet reactivity in vitro. *Journal of Thrombosis and Haemostasis*, 21(7):1891–1902, Jul 2023.

- [12] J. E. Purvis, M. S. Chatterjee, L. F. Brass, and S. L. Diamond. A molecular signaling model of platelet phosphoinositide and calcium regulation during homeostasis and p2y1 activation. *Blood*, 112(10):4069–4079, 2008.
- [13] J. Sneyd and J.-F. Dufour. A dynamic model of the type-2 inositol trisphosphate receptor. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 99:2398–2403, 2002.
- [14] T. Urumow and O. Wieland. Purification and partial characterization of phosphatidylinositol-4-phosphate kinase from rat liver plasma membranes. further evidence for a stimulatory g-protein. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Molecular Cell Research*, 1052(1):152–158, 1990.
- [15] L. A. Vargas, L. X. Miao, and A. F. Rosenthal. Inhibition of platelet phosphatidylinositol synthetase by an analog of cdp-diacylglycerol. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Lipids and Lipid Metabolism*, 796(2):123–128, 1984.
- [16] J. Wissing, S. Heim, and K. G. Wagner. Diacylglycerol kinase from suspension cultured plant cells: purification and properties. *Plant Physiology*, 90(4):1546–1551, Aug 1989.
- [17] M. Zeiler, M. Moser, and M. Mann. Copy number analysis of the murine platelet proteome spanning the complete abundance range. *Molecular and Cellular Proteomics*, 13(12):3435–3445, 2014.