

Extending the European Competence Framework for Quantum Technologies: new proficiency triangle and qualification profiles

Franziska Greinert^{1*}, Simon Goorney^{2,3}, Dagmar Hilfert-Rüppell¹,
Malte S. Ubben¹, Rainer Müller¹

¹Institut für Fachdidaktik der Naturwissenschaften, Technische
Universität Braunschweig, Bienroder Weg 82, Braunschweig, 38106,
Germany.

²Department of Management, Aarhus University, Fuglesangs Allé 4,
Aarhus, 8000, Denmark.

³Niels Bohr Institute, Copenhagen University, Blegdamsvej 17,
København, 2100, Denmark.

*Corresponding author(s). E-mail(s): f.greinert@tu-braunschweig.de;

Abstract

With the increasing industrial relevance of quantum technologies (QTs), a new quantum workforce with special qualification will be needed. Building this workforce requires educational efforts, from short-term training to degree programs. In order to plan, map and compare such efforts, personal qualifications or job requirements, standardization is necessary. The European Competence Framework for Quantum Technologies (CFQT) provides a common language for QT education. The 2024 update to version 2.5 extends it with the new proficiency triangle and qualification profiles: The proficiency triangle proposes six proficiency levels for three proficiency areas, specifying knowledge and skills for each level. Nine qualification profiles show prototypical qualifications or job roles relevant to the quantum industry with the required proficiency, examples, and suggestions. This is an important step towards the standardization of QT education. The CFQT update is based on the results of an analysis of 34 interviews on industry needs. The initial findings from the interviews were complemented by iterative refinement and expert consultation.

Keywords: quantum technologies, competence framework, CFQT, qualification profiles, proficiency triangle, quantum industry, education, interview analysis, LLM

1 Introduction

Modern Quantum Technologies (QTs), such as quantum computers, quantum sensors or quantum communication devices, are gaining increasing industrial relevance. Building the future quantum workforce requires not only intensive educational efforts such as training and study programs. QT education also needs a common language as well as standardization to make efforts and qualifications comparable: The European Competence Framework for Quantum Technologies (CFQT) [1] is the “reference framework for planning, mapping and comparing QT-related educational activities, personal qualification and job requirements”. This paper documents the update from version 2.0 to 2.5 and the advances in the new version.

The CFQT aims to structure QT competences as well as related competences relevant to the future quantum workforce. It was already used, for example, to map course content [2], to categorize research [3], or as the backbone for a curriculum transformation framework [4]. Version 2.5 (2024) of the CFQT was published by the European Commission, EU Publications Office (see Ref. [1]). It was developed within the European Quantum Flagship [5] Coordination and Support Action (CSA) projects QTedu [6] and QUCATS [7]. Within QUCATS, the European Quantum Readiness Center (EQRC) [8] has been launched to establish best practices, including standardization, using the CFQT as a tool.

Version 2.0 of the CFQT focused on content relevant in the context of QT, structured in the *content map*. The content map and the details for the eight content domains have remained consistent from version 2.0 (2023) to 2.5 (2024). With the update, two key additions have been made: the *proficiency triangle* (see Sec. 3) and the *qualification profiles* (see Sec. 4). Appendix A.1 provides an overview of the general structure of the CFQT document.

Crucial input for the CFQT extension in version 2.5 came from the analysis of 34 interviews focusing on QT educational needs in industry. The primary objective of the interview analysis was to report on the qualification and training needs in industry, as documented in Ref. [9]. However, the insights from the interviews provided great input for the CFQT update. In addition to the manual analysis, a GPT was employed to analyze anonymized interview transcripts and extract typical job roles to improve the initial qualification profiles.

2 Methods and procedure for updating to version 2.5

The development of the CFQT started with an iterative study in 2020/2021 [10], leading to version 1.0 [11] compiled within the QTedu CSA [6]. Within the QUCATS project, it was updated to version 2.0, as documented in Ref. [12]. The update to version 2.5, which brought the proficiency triangle and qualification profiles into the framework, was released in April 2024. The process and results of the update are documented below, including some excerpts from the CFQT document for illustration. A version history and related publications are given in Appendix A.2.

2.1 From ‘competence types’ to the proficiency triangle

In summer 2023, 34 interviews with industry representatives were conducted and analyzed to answer research questions including *What QT qualification and training needs are reported by industry?* An in-depth analysis is documented in Ref. [9], including details about the methodology, which was based on qualitative content analysis [13]. The interviewees represent QT companies or companies with QT departments from different European countries, from start-ups to very large companies, covering technical, management or business roles.

In some of the interviews, the material ‘competence types’ (reproduced in Fig. B2) was shown to stimulate the flow of the interviews. This was an outline for structuring typical competences that we expected to be relevant in industry. Three levels were listed for each of the three ‘competence types’: build/develop QT (level B–Build..., C–Component improvement..., D–Develop...), overview/communicate about QT (level N–Notice..., O–Overview..., P–Perform comparison...), and use/adapt QT (U–Use/run..., V–Variate/adapt..., W–Wrap...together...), see Appendix B.1. The key competences and qualifications discussed in the interviews were categorized according to this structure. However, it turned out that it was incomplete and not disjunctive, so refinement was needed.

Through an iterative process, this initial structure was refined into what we named the *proficiency triangle*, see Sec. 3. It consists of three proficiency areas with six proficiency levels each. For each of these 18 levels, a competence statement was formulated using action verbs [14]. They are complemented by descriptions of knowledge and skills whose formulations are based on the European Qualifications Framework (EQF) [15]. Already for the CFQT version 2.0 [16], the EQF was used to describe six proficiency levels, as documented in Ref. [12]. The proficiency level labels A1, A2, B1, B2, C1 and C2 are associated with the levels commonly used to specify qualifications in languages in Europe [17]. The DigCompEdu [18] framework was used as a template which also provided the initial version of the level keywords, e.g. awareness for level A1. Those were also updated to better match the level descriptions.

All proficiency levels were formulated in a generic way, adapted from the EQF definitions for QT in general. In the new version 2.5, they are specified for the three proficiency areas, and key competences identified in the interviews were incorporated.

2.2 From the identification of job roles to qualification profiles

During the qualitative content analysis of the interview transcripts, twelve categories related to job roles were used. These categories were mainly based on the structure of the ‘competence types’ (Fig. B2), as well as some frequently discussed combinations of two ‘competence type’ levels as additional categories. In Appendix B.2, these job role categories are shown, together with references to related ‘competence type’ levels.

In the next step, 30 anonymized interview transcripts were analyzed using a large language model (LLM, namely GPT3.5) to identify frequently mentioned personas representing categories or clusters of job roles. This resulted in the descriptions of the 10 personas provided in Appendix B.3. These personas were checked against the initial job role categories, in addition to intensive discussion of the initial categories. In

this way, the job role categories were refined, and a second iteration of categorizing the interview passages was performed. Several categories in the second iteration are already named similarly to the final profiles, as documented in Table B2. Based on these categories, or more precisely, the categorized interview passages, the qualification profile descriptions version 2.1 were created.

These profiles were then refined through discussions with several colleagues and by gathering feedback from experts in both academia and industry. Table 1 shows the evolution of the profile titles from version 2.1 to the published version 2.5. The titles and descriptions were refined, and example personas and suggestions were added based on the results of the interview analysis documented in Ref [9].

Table 1 Evolution of titles of the qualification profiles. Although the titles may not have changed between two iterations, the descriptions may have changed significantly. These descriptions of the profiles in versions 2.1, 2.2, and 2.3 are available in the supplementary material on Zenodo [19].

No.	v2.1	v2.2	v2.3	v2.5 (published in Ref [1])
1			QT aware person	
2		QT aware decision maker		QT informed decision maker
3	QT-literate communicator		QT communicator	QT literate person
4		QT (market) analyst		renumbered to P5
5	QT user, engineer working with QT		QT business analyst	P4 QT practitioner
6	QT engineer (generalist)		QT technician	QT engineering professional
			QT engineer/scientist (generalist)	
7		senior QT engineer/QT architect		QT (HW or SW) specialist
8		QT strategist		QT (product) strategist
9			QT core innovator	

2.3 Limitations

The update of the CFQT is primarily based on the analysis of 34 interviews with participants from the quantum industry. This is a strength of the dataset, as it is directly constructed from interviews with experts in the field. However, we should anticipate and acknowledge that there may be a bias due to the group of interviewees, as discussed in Ref. [9]. Most of the perspectives included come from people who have a very positive perception of QT, both in the interviews and in the iterative refinement. Therefore, they may identify personas more readily than those without direct involvement in the industry would.

The extraction of personas from the interview transcripts by an LLM improves the objectivity of the qualitative content analysis by having a kind of second coder analyze most of the interviews (the 30 transcripts that could be anonymized) and prepare a second set of categories.

In addition, the QT field is very active and rapidly changing. As the interviews were conducted in 2023, the identified needs that influenced the CFQT update may also change in a short period of time. To capture these dynamic developments, annual updates of the CFQT are planned as part of the QUCATS project, with the next one due in April 2025, and feedback is taken continuously.

3 Proficiency triangle

The new proficiency triangle adds a second dimension, proficiency, to the content map from the CFQT version 2.0. It consists of three proficiency areas (I) quantum concepts, (II) QT hardware and software (HW & SW) engineering, and (III) QT applications & strategies. The proficiency areas are described in the CFQT document, for example:

Area **(III) QT applications & strategies** addresses the business dimension of QT applications (5.6, 6.7, 7.4, 7.6). It focuses on the question of “how to generate value with QT” (domain 8). This also includes considerations of impact and responsibility, extending to the exploration of novel applications and the design of new products utilizing QT. [quoted from 1, p. 14]

The proficiency of an individual may grow in these three areas independently, however, typically someone working in the QT industry would have proficiency in all three areas. For each of these three proficiency areas, six proficiency levels A1, A2, B1, B2, C1 and C2 are specified. Together they form the proficiency triangle shown in Fig. 1.




Fig. 1 The three proficiency areas (left) and their visualization in the proficiency triangle from the CFQT [1, p. 30] (right). The proficiency levels are labeled A1, A2, B1, B2, C1, and C2, with A levels representing beginner, B levels intermediate and C levels advanced. Proficiency first grows in breadth up to level A2, preparing for specialization in the B levels. At the tip of the triangle (level C2), proficiency reaches and extends the state of the art, with strong specialization. However, proficiency also grows continuously in breadth, so that additional, e.g. rather B1 level, proficiency contributes to the higher levels, e.g. level C2.

With the coverage of the triangle, a QT-specific set of knowledge and skills, or a qualification can be visualized. The qualification profiles show prototypical coverage of the proficiency triangle: Each profile is visualized by a partially colored proficiency triangle, indicating the level of proficiency with respect to each of the three proficiency areas, see Sec. 4.

Each proficiency level consists of a competence statement, as well as knowledge and skills descriptions based on the EQF level definitions (as described in Sec. 2.1). The competence statements are reproduced in Table 2. In addition, for each of the proficiency levels, relations to the content map (sub)domains (5.6, 6.7, ...) and examples of

Table 2 Competence statements (short version) from the CFQT [1, p. 14]. There are three additional pages in the CFQT that provide more detail on the proficiency levels. A ‘QT facet’ stands for a QT core, component, system, or application which can be HW and/or SW focused.

Proficiency level	Area (I)	Area (II)	Area (III)
A1 Awareness	Reproduce basic q. concepts & terminology	Reproduce basic functionalities of a QT facet	Recognize potential of QT
A2 Literacy	Describe fundamental q. concepts	Perform basic tasks on a QT facet	Identify value of QT
B1 Utilization	Apply quantum methods to problems	Modify/apply a QT facet	Classify available QT applications/approaches
B2 Investigation	Analyze problems with quantum	Analyze performance, improve QT	Analyze QT market and opportunities
C1 Specialization	Refine and extend quantum methods	Conceptualize integrated QT systems	Advise on QT appl. selection or strategies
C2 Innovation	Develop innovative solutions	Develop new QT facet	Develop and assess QT (product) strategies

how the levels could be reached are given. For example, the description of the lowest proficiency level A1 for the proficiency area (III) QT applications & strategies is:

A1 Awareness: Recognize potential of QT

K: Basic idea of the potential of QT systems and applications, overview of possibilities, challenges and limitations.

S: Ability to follow public media and discussions with critical awareness of hype with the related content (sub)domains & examples:

Applications for a QT, see 5.6, 6.7, 7.4, 7.6, or a selected (sub)topic (concrete application area, e.g. quantum optimization in logistics 5.6), with relevance for business 8.2 or education 8.4; hype (especially for computing).

Multiple choice questions on possibilities/limitations. [quoted from 1, p. 17]

Examples of how to test or measure whether someone has reached this level are included (in italics). They are a first step towards a certification scheme. The proficiency level descriptions are formulated independently of, e.g., a concrete QT. To specify, e.g., a specific qualification of a person or the aim of a course or the requirements for a job, the proficiency triangle must be combined with a selection from the content map. For each level, there are references to related content (sub)domains. In the example above these are:

5.6 applications of quantum computing and simulation, including material science, engineering and design, optimization ... [p. 10]

6.7 applications of quantum sensors, including metrology at single quantum level, medicine and molecular biology, ... [p. 11]

7.4, 7.6 applications of quantum cryptography ...; system networks (composite systems), quantum internet applications, ... [p. 12]

8.2 business strategy, entrepreneurship and management (business level), including risk management and strategic decision making, ... [p. 13]

8.4 responsibility and awareness, including ethics, outreach... [p. 13]

All these subdomains of the content map influence the ‘flavor’ of an individual’s experience or the learning outcomes of a course, i.e. the specific technologies or concepts

covered. A course may cover only applications in quantum computing (subdomain 5.6), or even only quantum optimization in logistics and thus only (sub)topics from subdomain 5.6 regarding applications. However, to cover level A1 in the business-related proficiency area (III), the relevance to business (8.2) needs to be covered with a critical perspective, e.g. addressing the hype in quantum computing. The focus would be on the value and impact of QT for an industry sector or the own company/business.

Alternatively, the focus could be on the value and impact for society and education, i.e. subdomain 8.4 instead of 8.2. With 8.4 one can map qualifications outside of industry, e.g. a school teacher who recognizes the potential of QT as a context for teaching quantum concepts and who has an overview of how QT can impact society as a whole. This would be relevant, e.g., to discuss QT and their expected impact already in high school and thus to get more school students interested in quantum (and STEM). Raising this interest was rated as very necessary (40 of 52 participants rated ‘high need’, 11 rated ‘low need’, one rated ‘no need’) in our follow-up survey to the interview analysis, see Ref. [9, Sec. 5.4].

4 Qualification profiles

The qualification of a person or by a training can be described by a coverage of the proficiency triangle, which specifies the proficiency level for each of the three proficiency areas. Since these are formulated independently of the concrete subject matter, they have to be combined with a selection from the content map. Nine qualification profiles included in the CFQT version 2.5 show the prototypical coverage of the proficiency triangle. They are listed in Table 3 with the required proficiency levels for each of the three proficiency areas. An overview page shows these nine profiles with the different, partly colored proficiency triangles as well as the relations between the profiles [1, p. 18]. Relations mean how people may evolve from one profile to another. As in the example in Fig. 2, such a potential evolution is visualized by arrows.

Table 3 Qualification profiles and related proficiency levels in the CFQT version 2.5.

No.	Profile title	Proficiency level in area		
		(I) q. backgr.	(II) QT eng.	(III) appl./strat.
P1	QT aware person	A1	A1	A1
P2	QT informed decision maker	–	–	A1
P3	QT literate person (QT literate business role, advocator, enthusiast)	A2	A2	A2
P4	QT practitioner (working with QT, technician, QT user)	A1	B1	A1
P5	QT business analyst	A2	A2	B2
P6	QT engineering professional (e.g. QT engineer, quantum computer or information scientist)	B1	B2	A2
P7	QT (HW or SW) specialist (e.g. senior QT engineer, QT architect)	B2	C2	B1
P8	QT (product) strategist (e.g. advisor, business development expert)	B2	B2	C2
P9	QT core innovator	C2	B1	A2




Fig. 2 Three qualification profiles with profile relations, from the CFQT summary [1, p. 30]. The arrows visualize the profile relation, the solid line shows a typical progression, the dashed line shows another conceivable qualification path. The color of the lines corresponds to the color of the proficiency area in which the qualification grows from one profile to another.

For each profile, a detail page provides the partially colored proficiency triangle with the descriptions of the highest proficiency level covered for each of the three proficiency areas. The profile is described generally, and example personas provide more concrete descriptions of what a job with that qualification might look like, for example for profile 4:

General description The **QT practitioner** is someone who works around the development, assembly and operation of QT (technicians or ‘classical’ engineers with some QT specific additional qualifications), or uses QT with some customization:

- is QT aware, thus is able to follow team discussions and has an idea of the potential of the QT working on,
- has an overview of the relevant parts (hardware and/or software) for QT, and
- focuses on the specific QT relevant to their own work, and knows how to work with it.

Example personas ‘Classical’ engineer with QT add-on, QT lab technician (e.g. for operation and maintenance), QT assembly and test technician, ...

An **engineer working on QT development**, could be an electronic or mechanical engineer or a software engineer/computer scientist, working on the control hardware/software for a qubit, needs mainly traditional engineering skills, but works together with the quantum people, so needs an idea of the special challenges in QT development (but does not need to understand the details, has a supervisor who ensures compliance with quantum requirements) and also has an idea of the applications etc. to know what they are working for. [...] [1, p. 22]

The profile description is complemented by a ‘needs and suggestions’ section, describing the suggested previous qualification (i.e. another profile and/or a specific background), training modules to reach the profile starting from the suggested previous qualification. In addition, recommendations regarding language and certificates are provided. All these suggestions are based on the interview analysis. Some of the results documented in Ref. [9] are included in these sections of the qualification profile detail pages. They include what training is considered relevant or what language is deemed appropriate.

Finally it should be emphasized that the qualification profiles – like the proficiency level description – are formulated independent of a specific technology in which they are applied. In order to describe a specific qualification, the qualification profile – or, more generally, the coverage of the proficiency triangle – must be combined with a topical selection from the content map. Two examples are given in the CFQT: the *quantum control electronics practitioner for NV sensors* and the *quantum optimization in logistics & production analyst* [1, p. 28 & 29]. Figure 3 shows the coverage of the content map along with the focus topics for the first of these examples. The clear focus on quantum sensing and control technologies is visible. They complement the partially colored proficiency triangle for the QT practitioner (P4, right triangle in Fig. 2): it shows the coverage of proficiency level A1 for the proficiency areas (I) and (III) along with level B1 in area (II). Each of the two examples is completed by a description of the example and the associated QT specific qualification.




Fig. 3 Content map coverage and focus topics for the *quantum control electronics practitioner for NV sensors* [1, p. 28]. On the left side, the covered domains from the content map are depicted: domains 1, 3, 4, 6 and 8. While the domains 1 and 2 are only covered at a low level, as indicated in the proficiency triangle (right triangle for P4 in Fig. 2) with level A1 for proficiency area (I) and (III), the specialization is in area (II) up to level B1. This specialization is also shown on the right side (focus contents). Here, the selected subdomains with selected topics provide information about the concrete topics in the focus of the qualification.

5 Discussion and conclusion

Prior to implementing new courses and programs to develop the workforce pipeline in QT, it is critical to answer a key question: What specific knowledge and skills are needed for industry growth? Different studies were conducted to analyze these needs [10, 20–24], including our analysis of 34 interviews with industry representatives [9]. This research provided the primary input for the extension of the CFQT with the proficiency triangle and qualification profiles. The proficiency levels organized in the proficiency triangle cover key knowledge and skills discussed in the interviews, and the qualification profiles are supplemented by example personas and needs and suggestions extracted from the interview analysis.

The CFQT provides a common language for QT-related qualification and education. It is a tool for planning educational activities such as workshops, training or study programs. It can be used to specify the qualification of an individual, or what is required for a job. For example, it is useful for ...

- ... educators to plan educational activities and map their objectives;
- ... individuals to specify what qualification they have and what they want to achieve;
- ... learners to identify what training or course they should take;
- ... companies to specify what qualifications an employee or team covers and compare that to what they would need to identify what qualifications are missing;
- ... companies to specify job requirements and compare them with a candidate's qualifications;
- ... job seekers to map their qualifications and compare them to job requirements.

Early users are educators [e.g. 2, 4]. Two EU-funded projects agreed to use the framework to map their activities: DigiQ [25], developing 16 QT master's programs across Europe, and QTIndu [26], developing industrial training courses on QT.

The CFQT is an important step towards the standardization of QT education. This need was also addressed in one of the interviews analyzed for the update to version 2.5:

I think it is always better to have a standard. So the question is how to standardize these trainings? [...] It comes back to the ECTS systems from education. I mean, they are standards. [quote from an interview with a QT start-up; 9, p. 24]

For the update to version 2.0, we already aligned the proficiency level descriptions with the EQF and thus the European Credit Transfer and Accumulation System (ECTS), as described in Ref. [12, p. 3]. Following the EQF [15, p. 16], the three highest EQF levels are associated with the first, second and third cycles of the Qualifications Framework of the European Higher Education Area, which are based on the ECTS. Therefore, as the CFQT levels are formulated based on the EQF. The level B2 is linked to bachelor, C1 to master and C2 to doctoral programs. However, it should be emphasized that this mapping is not necessarily one-to-one, and that a degree program is not the only way to reach these levels. Especially in the business-related area (III), the high levels are more likely to be achieved through years of experience.

In version 2.0 of the CFQT, these links were explicitly stated in the proficiency level descriptions. For example, it was noted for level B2: "e.g. through a short research project as for a bachelor thesis, internship with project" [16, p. 5]. As mentioned above, this brings the risk of assuming a study program as the only way to reach a level, while there is a variety of opportunities to gain such a qualification.

In version 2.5, such comments are less prominent and vary across the three proficiency areas. For the same proficiency level B2 Investigation, these are for area (I) "Short research project with documentation, e.g. student research project or bachelor thesis", for area (II) "Write report on requirements analysis and performance results" and for area (III) "Document an analysis for a concrete potential use case covering potential advances, risks, [...]" [1, p. 15-17]. With the update, the focus in these remarks shifts from an approximate duration to what an individual should be able to answer, perform or prepare for a qualification covering the corresponding proficiency level.

Suggestions for training formats, the need for study programs or work experience are provided for the qualification profiles, rather than being fixed for a proficiency level.

The qualification profiles, together with the suggestions listed on the detail pages, are the starting point for a certification scheme to ensure comparability of industry training across Europe. This scheme is currently being developed within the Quantum Flagship coordination project, QUCATS [7]. As a part of QUCATS, the EQRC also highlights “accords” (best practices) of organizations across the EU [8, subpage Accords]. Within these accords, the CFQT is a tool that can provide structure and standardization. As a recent example, the accord submitted by the QuantUM Group at the University of Minho provides a profile of a software engineer in quantum computing as a representative graduate from the new master degree established there [8, subpage Accords: Educational Accords]. In addition, the EQRC website hosts a curated playlist of videos, sorted based on the content map of the CFQT [8, subpage Resources].

The CFQT, consisting of the content map and the proficiency triangle with associated qualification profiles, provides a reference framework for greater standardization across Europe. However, adoption is critical to its success. Industry representatives seeking new employees should consider using the CFQT to understand their needs and to formulate the required QT qualifications in their job advertisements. Educational institutions also have an important role to play. Here, the qualification profiles can be a step towards the future of standardized learning outcomes in QTs.

Abbreviations

CFQT	European Competence Framework for Quantum Technologies [1]
CSA	Coordination and Support Action
DigiQ	Digitally enhanced quantum technology master (project title) [25]
EQF	European Qualifications Framework [15]
EQRC	European Quantum Readiness Center [8]
HW/SW	Hardware/Software
LLM	Large Language Model, e.g., GPT (Generative Pre-trained Transformer)
ML	Machine Learning
QT/QTs	Quantum Technology/-ies
QTEdu	Quantum Technology Education (QTEdu CSA, project title) [6]
QTIndu	Quantum Technologies courses for Industry (project title) [26]
QUCATS	Quantum Flagship Coordination AcTion and Support (project title) [5]
STEM	Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics

Declarations

Data availability. The three versions v2.1-v2.3 of profile descriptions are available on Zenodo [19]. Here, also data from the industry needs analysis (Ref. [9]) is available.

Acknowledgements. We thank Carolyn Levy, Dennis Green, Dion Timmermann, and all experts from industry and academia for their valuable feedback within the iterative refinement of the descriptions of the proficiency level or qualification profiles.

Author contributions. FG prepared, conducted and analyzed the interviews, prepared the CFQT drafts, collected feedback and wrote the original manuscript. SG conducted the machine learning based analysis (Sec. 2.2). All authors contributed to the study design and analysis, i.e. they discussed the methods and results, revised the manuscript, and read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding. This work has received funding from the European Union's Horizon Europe research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 101070193.



This publication reflects only the views of the authors, the European Commission is not responsible for any use that may be made of the information it contains.

Competing interests. The authors have no competing interests to declare that are relevant to the content of this article.

Financial Interests. The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

Ethics approval. Ethical review and approval was not required for the study on human participants in accordance with the local legislation and institutional requirements.

References

- [1] Greinert, F., Müller, R.: European Competence Framework for Quantum Technologies (CFQT). Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg (2024). <https://doi.org/10.2759/389764>
- [2] Hellstern, G., Hettel, J., Just, B.: Introducing quantum information and computation to a broader audience with MOOCs at OpenHPI. *EPJ Quantum Technology* **11**(1), 1–25 (2024) <https://doi.org/10.1140/epjqt/s40507-024-00270-w>
- [3] Engelsberger, A., Villmann, T.: Quantum-ready vector quantization: Prototype learning as a binary optimization problem. In: *ESANN 2023 Proceedings*, pp. 257–262. Ciaco - i6doc.com, Bruges (Belgium) and online (2023). <https://doi.org/10.14428/esann/2023.ES2023-108>
- [4] Goorney, S., Bley, J., Heusler, S., Sherson, J.: A Framework for Curriculum Transformation in Quantum Information Science and Technology Education. *arXiv* (2024). <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2308.10371>
- [5] QUCATS: Quantum Flagship: The Future Is Quantum. <https://qt.eu/> (2024)
- [6] QTedu CSA: Quantum Technology Education. <https://qtedu.eu/>
- [7] European Commission: Quantum Flagship Coordination Action and Support | QUCATS Project. <https://cordis.europa.eu/project/id/101070193> (2024)

- [8] Quantum Flagship: European Quantum Readiness Center. <https://quantumready.eu/#/>
- [9] Greinert, F., Ubben, M.S., Dogan, I.N., Hilfert-Rüppell, D., Müller, R.: Advancing Quantum Technology Workforce: Industry Insights into Qualification and Training Needs. arXiv (2024)
- [10] Greinert, F., Müller, R., Bitzenbauer, P., Ubben, M.S., Weber, K.-A.: Future quantum workforce: Competences, requirements, and forecasts. *Physical Review Physics Education Research* **19**(1), 010137 (2023) <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevPhysEducRes.19.010137>
- [11] Greinert, F., Müller, R.: Competence Framework for Quantum Technologies: Methodology and Version History. Publications Office, European Union (2021). <https://doi.org/10.2759/130432>
- [12] Greinert, F., Müller, R., Goorney, S., Sherson, J., Ubben, M.S.: Towards a quantum ready workforce: The updated European Competence Framework for Quantum Technologies. *Frontiers in Quantum Science and Technology* **2** (2023) <https://doi.org/10.3389/frqst.2023.1225733>
- [13] Mayring, P.: *Qualitative Content Analysis: Theoretical Foundation, Basic Procedures and Software Solution*, Klagenfurt (2014)
- [14] Green, D., Levy, C.: *eCampusOntario Open Competency Toolkit*, (2021)
- [15] European Commission (ed.): *The European Qualifications Framework: Supporting Learning, Work and Cross-Border Mobility: 10th Anniversary*, Manuscript completed in february 2018, 1st edition edn. Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg (2018). <https://doi.org/10.2767/750617>
- [16] Greinert, F., Müller, R.: *European Competence Framework for Quantum Technologies (v2.0)* (2023) <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.7827254>
- [17] Council of Europe (ed.): *Common European Framework of Reference for Languages: Learning, Teaching, Assessment vol. companion volume*. Council of Europe Publishing, Strasbourg (2020)
- [18] Redecker, C.: *European Framework for the Digital Competence of Educators: DigCompEdu*. Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg (2017)
- [19] Greinert, F.: Supplementary Material for "Advancing Quantum Technology Workforce: Industry Insights into Qualification and Training Needs" and "Extending the European Competence Framework for Quantum Technologies: New Proficiency Triangle and Qualification Profiles". Zenodo (2024). <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13950021>

- [20] Fox, M.F.J., Zwickl, B.M., Lewandowski, H.J.: Preparing for the quantum revolution: What is the role of higher education? *Physical Review Physics Education Research* **16**(2), 020131 (2020) <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevPhysEducRes.16.020131>
- [21] Aiello, C.D., Awschalom, D.D., Bernien, H., Brower, T., Brown, K.R., Brun, T.A., Caram, J.R., Chitambar, E., Felice, R.D., Edmonds, K.M., Fox, M.F.J., Haas, S., Holleitner, A.W., Hudson, E.R., Hunt, J.H., Joynt, R., Koziol, S., Larsen, M., Lewandowski, H.J., McClure, D.T., Palsberg, J., Passante, G., Pudenz, K.L., Richardson, C.J.K., Rosenberg, J.L., Ross, R.S., Saffman, M., Singh, M., Steuerman, D.W., Stark, C., Thijssen, J., Vamivakas, A.N., Whitfield, J.D., Zwickl, B.M.: Achieving a quantum smart workforce. *Quantum Science and Technology* **6**(3), 030501 (2021) <https://doi.org/10.1088/2058-9565/abfa64>
- [22] Hughes, C., Finke, D., German, D.-A., Merzbacher, C., Vora, P.M., Lewandowski, H.J.: Assessing the Needs of the Quantum Industry. *IEEE Transactions on Education* **65**(4), 592–601 (2022) <https://doi.org/10.1109/TE.2022.3153841>
- [23] Hasanovic, M., Panayiotou, C.A., Silberman, D.M., Stimers, P., Merzbacher, C.I.: Quantum technician skills and competencies for the emerging Quantum 2.0 industry. *Optical Engineering* **61**(8), 081803 (2022) <https://doi.org/10.1117/1.OE.61.8.081803>
- [24] Masiowski, M., Mohr, N., Soller, H., Zesko, M.: Quantum computing funding remains strong, but talent gap raises concern. McKinsey Digital, McKinsey & Company (2022)
- [25] Sherson, J., Goorney, S.: DigiQ: Digitally Enhanced Quantum Technology Master. <https://www.digiq.eu/> (2024)
- [26] QURECA: QTIndu: Quantum Technologies Courses for Industry. <https://qtindu.eu/> (2024)
- [27] Greinert, F., Müller, R.: Qualification Profiles for Quantum Technologies. Zenodo (2022) <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6834686>
- [28] Greinert, F., Müller, R.: European Competence Framework for Quantum Technologies (version 2.5) (2024) <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10976836>
- [29] Greinert nee Gerke, F., Müller, R.: Beta version of the European Competence Framework for Quantum Technologies (December 2020). Zenodo (2020) <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.6602979>
- [30] Gerke, F., Müller, R., Bitzenbauer, P., Ubben, M.S., Weber, K.-A.: Requirements for future quantum workforce – a Delphi study. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* **2297**, 012017 (2022) <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/2297/1/012017>

Appendix A Structure and version history

A.1 Principal structure of the CFQT

The CFQT [1] consists of three main parts:

1. The **content map** provides a structured overview of QT related topics and contents. It is a kind of extended table of contents with up to four layers: domains 1, 2, ..., 8 with 42 subdomains 1.1, 1.2, ..., (p. 5 in the CFQT document) and, on the detail pages for each domain (p. 6–13), additional topics and sometimes subtopics provide more details for the subdomains. A selection of domains from the content map and of subdomains with topics are included in an example shown in Fig. 3.
2. The **proficiency triangle** visualizes six proficiency levels for three proficiency areas (p. 14; Fig. 1). In-depth level descriptions and more are provided for each of the three proficiency areas (p. 15-17). See Sec. 3.
3. The **qualification profiles** show typical qualification, i.e. coverage of the proficiency triangle. An overview (p. 18) and detailed descriptions including example personas and (training) suggestions (p. 19–27) are available. See Sec. 4.

Furthermore, two examples how to combine a profile with a content selection are given (p. 28–29 in the CFQT). In addition, the CFQT pages 3 and 4 provide some information on how to use the framework, including an introduction of the terminology and the level system, and information on the methodology and related publications. The coloring of the proficiency triangle corresponds to the coloring of the content map as shown in Fig. A1.




Fig. A1 Coloring relation between content map (left) and proficiency triangle (right) [1, p. 4].

A.2 Version history and related publications

For the Qualification Profiles, a beta version was published in 2022 [27] as a separate document based on the CFQT version 1.0. The new profiles replace this beta version, they are not an update of the beta version and were created with a completely different approach. While four of the six profiles in the beta version were those of an engineer

in QT hardware or one of the QT pillars, the new profiles focus on the proficiency that a QT engineer needs in general. These four beta version profiles would be the same profile in the new version. Now the pillar is the context to be added by a topical selection of the content map. In the beta version, the profiles consisted mainly of the topical selection of the content map, and only provided a very limited specification with proficiency levels, which themselves were not specified at that time.

Version 2.5 of the CFQT was released in April 2024 on Zenodo [28] and in August 2024 as an ‘official version’ by the Publications Office of the European Union [1]. They differ only in the placement or addition of some editorial information (mainly moved from page 3 to the new page 1), but the framework itself has not changed between the EU and Zenodo publications. Table A1 provides a version history and an overview about related publications.

Table A1 Version history and publications related to the CFQT, with CFQT standing for ‘European Competence Framework for Quantum Technologies’ and ‘QT(s)’ for ‘Quantum Technology(-is)’ (in the titles). [*] Older versions of the CFQT are also available on Zenodo [28].

Date	[Ref.] Title/version	Comment
Dec. 2020	[29] Beta version of the CFQT	Content map with seven domains and details pages, one keyword for each of six proficiency levels. Available on request on Zenodo.
June 2022 (Nov. 2020)	[30] Requirements for future quantum workforce – a Delphi study	Interim report on the iterative study (Ref. [10]), conference proceedings of GIREP, Nov. 2020.
May 2021	CFQT version 1.0 (before graphical update)	Update of content map, restructuring the QT pillar domains to a total of eight domains.
Sep. 2021	[*] CFQT version 1.0	Only graphical update.
Sep. 2021	[11] Competence framework for quantum technologies: methodology and version history	Documentation of the development process until version 1.0, published by the EU Publications Office.
Jan. 2022	[27] Qualification Profiles for QTs (beta version)	Six profiles: content map selection with proficiency level indication, outdated.
June 2023 (Aug. 2022)	[10] Future quantum workforce: Competences, requirements, and forecasts	Paper on the iterative study collecting input for the CFQT beta version and also version 1.0, submitted in Aug. 2022
April 2023	[*] CFQT version 2.0	Update of content map, addition of descriptions for six proficiency levels.
July 2023	[12] Towards a quantum ready workforce: the updated CFQT	Paper on the update to version 2.0.
April 2024	[28] CFQT version 2.5 (Zenodo)	Extension by proficiency triangle, new qualification profiles, content map unchanged.
July 2024	[9] Advancing QT workforce: industry insights into qualification and training needs	Preprint on the analysis of interviews with industry that were also used to update the CFQT to version 2.5
Aug. 2024	[1] CFQT version 2.5	Official published by EU publications office.

Appendix B From competence types through categories to qualification profiles

B.1 Competence types

In some of the interviews (22 out of 34), the material ‘Competence types’ was shown, reproduced in Fig. B2. They formed the initial system that was refined and extended to the proficiency triangle through the CFQT update process, as described in Sec. 2.1.




Fig. B2 Competence types as a material shown in the interviews, June 2023, outdated. For better readability, the descriptions are reproduced below.

The three competence types shown in Fig. B2 with three levels each are:

Overview, communicate (overview on applications and use cases)

- N Notice the basic idea and potential of an application and (possible) use cases.
- O Overview of an application’s landscape and use cases, critical perspective on potential and limitations, know and communicate with basic quantum vocabulary.
- P Perform a comparison of different applications based on a deeper understanding of the various functions, assess which application is suitable for which use case.

Build, develop (build application)

- B Build an application component, assemble.
- C Component improvement for an application.
- D Develop new components or applications through research, selection and integration of components.

Use, adapt (use an application)

- U Use/run an application for one specific use case that is customized for that use case.
- V Variate/adapt an application for several related use cases.
- W Wrap applications and components together to enable new use cases.

B.2 Categories and qualification profiles

As described in Ref. [9], the interviews 34 interviews with industry representatives were analyzed using qualitative content analysis. Table B2 shows an extract from the category system related to the qualification profiles (left column) as well as a revised version of these categories used for a second iteration of the categorization (middle column). In addition, the qualification profiles in the CFQT version 2.5 are listed (right column). For the first iteration, also the related ‘competence type’ level is given, e.g. [B] for ‘Build an application...’, as specified above. The progression from competence type levels to qualification profiles is visible in rows.

Table B2 Category system and statistics for the two iterations related to the development of the qualification profiles together with the final profile number (No.) title in the CFQT version 2.5. In the columns called N, the number of segments categorized in the category is given. If no number is given, the code is a supportive code only to structure the codes on the next level.

First iteration Category	N	Second iteration Category	N	Qualification Profile No. Title in CFQT
quantum aware workforce				
basic user (click a button) [U]	6	other: not quantum specific/ no quantum needed	16	
engineers with (almost) no quantum [B]	22			
hype, basic idea (not) for all, incl. admin people [N]	24	QT aware person	18	P1 QT aware person
		QT aware decision maker, manager	17	P2 QT informed decision maker
quantum literate workforce				
management (non-QT comp.), business, sales, pol- icy makers [N/O]	42	QT literate (sales) communi- cator	27	P3 QT literate per- son
use-case identifier [O/W]	19	use case identification	5	
eng. with overview on QT (also e.g. cryo physicists; incl. programming) [O/B]	73	QT user, engineer working with QT	69	P4 QT practitioner
advanced user (with adap- tion) [V]	23	QT user (with adaptation)	19	
q. expert workforce (needs QT study program or PhD)				
strategist, consultants [P] (comparison, assessment)	27	QT analyst (market, use cases, strategies)	15	P5 QT business analyst
		QT engineer (generalist)	6	P6 QT engineering professional
customer/sales eng. [C/V]	12	senior QT engineer, QT architect		P7 QT (HW or SW) specialist
		... focus on customer and applications (incl. consult- ing, perform comparison)	21	
quantum algorithm develop- ers (high-level) [D]	22	QT application special- ist (e.g. programming)	22	
systems eng. (integration, industrialization) [C]	13	... focus on systems and integration	12	
		QT strategist (e.g. consul- tant)	2	P8 QT (product) strategist
quantum experts/ expertise needs [D]	35	QT core innovator	29	P9 QT core innova- tor

B.3 GPT extracted personas

As described in Sec. 2.2, 30 anonymized interview transcripts were provided to an LLM (gpt3.5-turbo-16k) to extract ten personas as typical job roles. These are:

1. Quantum Engineers/Researchers/Scientists: These individuals are involved in the design and development of quantum technologies, requiring expertise in quantum physics, engineering, and material science.
2. Project Managers: Responsible for overseeing the successful development and implementation of quantum-enabled devices, coordinating efforts between different teams or departments.
3. Decision Makers/Management: Individuals in managerial or executive roles responsible for making strategic decisions based on market needs and technology trends in the quantum industry.
4. Training and Development Professionals: Facilitate the learning process for existing employees or new hires, designing hybrid training programs, online content, workshops, or lectures.
5. Generalists: Individuals with a broader understanding of quantum technologies, bridging the gap between technical and market-oriented aspects of quantum technologies.
6. Market Analysts: Assess market demand and guide the development of quantum-enabled devices by understanding market trends, potential applications, and customer needs.
7. Company Representatives: Engaged in technology development, consulting, and strategic planning for quantum technology companies, addressing workforce development and skilled personnel needs.
8. End-users: Users in various industries, such as medical imaging, interested in understanding and benefiting from quantum-enhanced technologies.
9. Technical Developers: Involved in building physical devices and components for quantum technologies, such as lasers and high-precision optical and frequency components.
10. Sales Managers and Marketing Personnel: Responsible for understanding the market landscape, guiding sales engineers, shaping product strategy, and communicating the value of quantum technologies to potential customers.

These personas were used to refine the initial categories from the qualitative content analysis of the interviews (see Table B2) and thus influenced the preparation of version 2.1 of the qualification profile descriptions.