Exponents for Shared Randomness-Assisted Channel Simulation

Aadil Oufkir¹, Michael X. Cao¹, Hao-Chung Cheng^{2,3,4}, and Mario Berta¹

¹Institute for Quantum Information, RWTH Aachen University, Aachen, Germany

²Department of Electrical Engineering, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan ³National Center for Theoretical Sciences, Taipei, Taiwan

⁴Hon Hai (Foxconn) Quantum Computing Center, New Taipei City, Taiwan

October 10, 2024

Abstract

We determine the exact error and strong converse exponents of shared randomness-assisted channel simulation in worst case total-variation distance. Namely, we find that these exponents can be written as simple optimizations over the Rényi channel mutual information. Strikingly, and in stark contrast to channel coding, there are no critical rates, allowing a tight characterization for arbitrary rates below and above the simulation capacity. We derive our results by asymptotically expanding the meta-converse for channel simulation [Cao *et al.*, IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory (2024)], which corresponds to non-signaling assisted codes. We prove this to be asymptotically tight by employing the approximation algorithms from [Berta *et al.*, Proc. IEEE ISIT (2024)], which show how to round any non-signaling assisted strategy to a strategy that only uses shared randomness. Notably, this implies that any additional quantum entanglement-assistance does not change the error or the strong converse exponents.

1 Introduction

Channel simulation is a fundamental task in information theory, where the goal is to replicate the behavior of a noisy communication channel using alternative resources such as noiseless communication and shared randomness. This task is pivotal in understanding the limits of communication systems and is one of the two extreme cases in the broader problem of channel interconversion (see, e.g.,[32]), with the other being Shannon's channel coding problem [30]. While the reverse Shannon theorem [3, 2] characterized the asymptotic rate of communication cost required to simulate a noisy channel, recent research has shifted toward analyses in the finite blocklength regime, focusing on various deviation regimes [16, 28]. In particular, asymptotic communication rates in the small- and moderate-deviation regimes have been investigated in [5].

In this paper, we extend the study of randomness-assisted channel simulation to the large deviation regime, where the communication rate r deviates from the channel capacity by some constant. When the rate r exceeds the channel capacity, the distortion in the total variation distance (TVD) between the simulated and the target channels diminishes exponentially fast as the number of channels grows. Conversely, when r is below capacity, the distortion tends to 1 exponentially. In both cases, the rate of convergence can be characterized asymptotically by the

Rényi information of the channel. Specifically, we derive the error exponent and the strong converse exponent as follows (see Theorems 13, 18, 21 and 23):

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} -\frac{1}{n} \log \epsilon^{\mathrm{SR}}(\lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor, W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} -\frac{1}{n} \log \epsilon^{\mathrm{NS}}(\lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor, W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n})$$
$$= \sup_{\alpha \ge 0} \alpha \cdot \left(r - I_{\alpha+1}(W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}})\right)$$
(1)

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} -\frac{1}{n} \log \left(1 - \epsilon^{\mathrm{SR}} (\lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor, W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n}) \right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} -\frac{1}{n} \log \left(1 - \epsilon^{\mathrm{NS}} (\lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor, W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n}) \right)$$
$$= \sup_{\alpha \in [0,1]} \left(1 - \alpha \right) \cdot \left(-r + I_{\alpha}(W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}) \right), \tag{2}$$

where $\epsilon^{\text{SR}}(\lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor, W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n})$ and $\epsilon^{\text{NS}}(\lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor, W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n})$ denote the minimal achievable distortions in TVD for simulating $W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n}$ using a noiseless channel with alphabet size at most $\lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor$ with shared-randomness assistance and non-signaling assistance, respectively; and $I_{\alpha}(W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}})$ is the Rényi channel mutual information of order α , *i.e.*,

$$I_{\alpha}(W_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}}) \coloneqq \sup_{p_{\mathbf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})} \inf_{q_{\mathbf{Y}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})} D_{\alpha}(p_{\mathbf{X}} \cdot W_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}} \| p_{\mathbf{X}} \times q_{\mathbf{Y}})$$
(3)

for all $\alpha \in [0,\infty)$. Here, D_{α} is the Rényi divergence of order α , defined as

$$D_{\alpha}(p\|q) \coloneqq \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \log \left(\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p(x)^{\alpha} q(x)^{1 - \alpha} \right) \qquad \forall \alpha \ge 0.$$
(4)

Our results for randomness-assisted channel simulation are derived indirectly by first analyzing the exponents for channel simulation assisted by a stronger resource of the non-signaling correlation, then connecting the non-signaling and randomness-assisted scenarios via rounding techniques [4]. We commence the analysis by establishing an elegant one-shot characterization for the non-signaling simulation error (Proposition 1). Then, the lower bound to the non-signaling error exponent is derived via Markov's inequality, while the upper bound relies on the de Finetti reduction and the method of types. The lower bound to the non-signaling strong converse exponent is based on the Chernoff bound, while the upper bound relies on the method of types and certain continuity arguments. We note that in contrast to channel coding, the proofs of the converse of the error exponent and the achievability of the strong converse exponent for channel simulation are significantly more involved than the other directions.

Interestingly, the error exponent and the strong converse exponent remain unchanged whether the shared resources between the sender and receiver consist of classical randomness or a potent non-signaling resource. Consequently, this invariance applies to any shared resources that exist "between" SR and NS, namely any shared mechanisms capable of producing shared randomness and that can be generated by non-signaling resources. A specific example of this is unlimited shared entanglement in quantum theory.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 1.1, we discuss related works to channel simulation. In Section 2, we introduce a rigorous formulation for channel simulation and derive an exact expression for the minimal distortion in TVD for non-signaling channel simulation with a fixed message size constraint based on previous work [5]. In Section 3, we study the error exponent for non-signaling channel simulation when the rate is above the capacity. In Section 4,

we study the strong converse exponent for non-signaling channel simulation when the rate is below the capacity. In Section 5, we explore the connection between the exponents of channel simulation under the non-signaling scenario and the shared randomness-assisted scenario. Section 6 concludes the paper.

1.1 Related Works

Channel simulation is related to, and sometimes confused with, a range of tasks often collectively known as the "distributed generation of correlations", with prominent examples including Wyner's common information [35], strong coordination [14, 13], and channel synthesis [34, 20]. These tasks focus on simulating a discrete memoryless channel with a *fixed* independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) input distribution, which then amounts to generating a specific input-output joint distribution. Unlike the previous works, the present paper considers channel simulation agnostic to *arbitrary* (possibly non-i.i.d.) input distributions and aims to recreate the conditional probability (*i.e.*, the channel itself).

The study of the error exponent of some of the aforementioned tasks is available in the literature. Particular examples include the study of Wyner's common information and channel synthesis with a fixed input, which boil down to approximating a specific channel output as a target. A key tool to that end is via employing a random or deterministic codebook of limited size at channel input and mixing the corresponding channel outputs. Those procedures are conventionally termed *soft covering lemma* [35] and *channel resolvability* [19, 21], respectively. The exact error exponents for soft covering under TVD and relative entropy were derived in [36, Theorems 1] and [27, Theorem 4], respectively. We remark that those quantities are expressed in terms of the Rényi divergence of orders between 1 and 2. However, the established error exponent for randomness-assisted channel simulation, *i.e.*, (1), is for all orders greater than 1. Hence, the error exponent for soft covering alone might not be sufficient to imply our result in (1).

Recently, Ref. [23] proved the error exponent for simulating quantum channels with free entanglement under the so-called purified distance for the low rate regime (*i.e.*, rates above channel capacity and below the critical rate). In the special case where the underlying quantum channel is classical, the above result does not imply (1) because purified distance is not equal to TVD even in the commuting setting. Using Fuchs-van de Graaf inequality [17], we can deduce the converse error exponent of Theorem 21 from the converse error exponent in purified distance [23]. However, our converse error exponent is non-asymptotic with polynomial prefactor (see Proposition 5) whereas the converse error exponent of [23] is asymptotic. Ref. [26] improves upon Ref. [23] and establishes the error exponent and strong converse exponent for simulating classical-quantum channels under the purified distance for all rates. The strong converse exponent of [26] is of different nature, *i.e.*, it involves an optimization over $\alpha \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ and a prefactor $\frac{1-\alpha}{\alpha}$ instead of $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ and $(1 - \alpha)$, respectively.

1.2 Notations

We use the following conventions and notation throughout this paper.

- The logarithm (denoted log) in this paper is nature-based and information is measured in nats.
- The set of integers between 1 and an M is denoted [M], *i.e.*, $[M] := \{1, \ldots, M\}$.

- Sets are denoted by calligraphic fonts, e.g., \mathcal{X} reads "set \mathcal{X} ".
- Random variables are denoted in sans serif fonts, e.g., X reads "random variable X".
- Vectors are denoted in boldface letters e.g., x, and X. In particular, we use the subscript and superscript to denote the starting and ending indexes of a vector. Namely, x₁ⁿ ≡ (x₁,...,x_n), and X₁ⁿ ≡ (X₁,...,X_n).
- Given a discrete random variable X, we denote its probability mass function (pmf) by p_{X} .
- Given a finite set \mathcal{X} , $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$ denotes the set of all pmfs on \mathcal{X} . Given another finite set \mathcal{Y} , $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X})$ denotes the set of all conditional pmfs on \mathcal{Y} conditioned on \mathcal{X} .
- For any joint pmf $p_{\mathbf{A}_1^n} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}^n)$ of *n* random variables, each with the same alphabet, $p_{\mathbf{A}_1^n}$ is said to be permutation-invariant if and only if

$$p_{\mathbf{A}_{1}^{n}}(a_{1},\ldots,a_{n}) = p_{\mathbf{A}_{1}^{n}}(a_{\pi_{1}},\ldots,a_{\pi_{n}})$$
(5)

for all permutations π of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$.

2 Channel Simulation and the Exact Distortion in TVD

In this section, we formally define the tasks of channel simulation and derive a one-shot expression of the minimal distortion in TVD for non-signaling channel simulation with constrained message size. Given a channel $W_{Y|X} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X})$, where \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} are some finite sets, the goal of channel simulation is to construct another channel $\widetilde{W}_{Y|X} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X})$ approximating $W_{Y|X}$ using a noiseless channel (*i.e.*, an identity channel) with a *small* alphabet size. Naturally, one prefers a higher quality of the approximation. More specifically, in this paper, we measure the distortion of the simulation in TVD and aim to minimize the following quantity as much as possible:

$$\epsilon = \left\| W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} - \widetilde{W}_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \right\|_{\mathrm{TVD}} \coloneqq \max_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \left\| W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}(\cdot|x) - \widetilde{W}_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}(\cdot|x) \right\|_{\mathrm{TVD}}$$
(6)

where the TVD between two pmf p and q on \mathcal{X} is defined as

$$\|p - q\|_{\text{TVD}} \coloneqq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} |p(x) - q(x)|.$$

$$\tag{7}$$

On the other hand, one would also like to use as little resources as possible for the above task. Specifically, we would like to minimize or restrict the size of the alphabet M of the identity channel $\mathrm{id}_M(\cdot|\cdot) \coloneqq \delta_{\cdot|\cdot} \in \mathcal{P}([M]|[M])$ used in the construction of $\widetilde{W}_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}$. Depending on the additional resources (other than the aforementioned id_M), $\widetilde{W}_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}$ is constructed differently as follows (see Figure 1).

• In the non-assisted scenario, we have the simple construction as $\widetilde{W}_{Y|X} \coloneqq \mathcal{D} \circ \mathrm{id}_M \circ \mathcal{E}$ where $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{P}([M]|\mathcal{X}), \mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}|[M])$ are some uncorrelated encoder and decoder, respectively.



Figure 1: Various channel simulation schemes: (a) Non-assisted Channel Simulation; (b) Randomness-assisted Channel Simulation; (c) Non-signaling-assisted Channel Simulation. (This figure is copied from [5].)

• In the shared randomness-assisted scenario, we allow the encoder and the decoder to be coordinated by some shared random variable, *i.e.*,

$$\widetilde{W}_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}(y|x) \coloneqq \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}} p_{\mathsf{S}}(s) \cdot \sum_{i, j \in [M]} \mathcal{D}(y|j, s) \cdot \mathrm{id}_{M}(j|i) \cdot \mathcal{E}(i|x, s)$$
(8)

where $\mathcal{E} \in \mathcal{P}([M]|\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{S}), \mathcal{D} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}|[M] \times \mathcal{S})$. Despite the fact that the shared random variable S is also a type of communication resource, we do not constrain S (*i.e.*, p_{S} nor \mathcal{S}) in this paper. Hence, such S is often referred to as unconstrained shared randomness.

• In the non-signaling-assisted scenario, we further allow the encoder and the decoder to take a form as a joint encoding-decoding map $\mathcal{N}_{\mathsf{IY}|\mathsf{XJ}} \in \mathcal{P}([M] \times \mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X} \times [M])$, and construct $\widetilde{W}_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}$ as

$$\widetilde{W}_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}}(y|x) = \sum_{i,j \in [M]} \mathcal{N}_{\mathbf{I}\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}\mathbf{J}}(i,y|x,j) \cdot \mathrm{id}_M(j|i),$$
(9)

where we only restrict N as a non-signaling map (see, e.g., [12, 24, 16]), *i.e.*

$$\sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} N_{\mathsf{IY}|\mathsf{XJ}}(i, y|x, j) = N_{\mathsf{I}|\mathsf{X}}(i|x) \qquad \forall j \in [M], \forall i \in [M], \forall x \in \mathcal{X},$$
(10)

$$\sum_{i \in [M]} N_{\mathsf{IY}|\mathsf{X}\mathsf{J}}(i, y|x, j) = N_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{J}}(y|j) \qquad \forall x \in \mathcal{X}, \forall y \in \mathcal{Y}, \forall j \in [M].$$
(11)

Apparently, not all pairs $(\epsilon, M) \in [0, 1] \times \mathbb{N}$ are feasible for the aforementioned tasks; and the study of channel simulation focuses on the trade-off between the accuracy of the simulation ϵ and its cost M. In this paper, we focus on the minimal distortion ϵ for a given message size M. For a given channel $W_{Y|X} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X})$ and message size $M \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote the minimum attainable distortions in TVD in the above three scenarios by $\epsilon(M, W_{Y|X})$, $\epsilon^{SR}(M, W_{Y|X})$, and $\epsilon^{NS}(M, W_{Y|X})$, respectively.

In the remainder of this section, we focus on an explicit expression of $\epsilon^{\rm NS}(M, W_{\rm Y|X})$ as follows.

Proposition 1 (Minimal distortion in TVD for non-signaling channel simulation). Given a channel $W_{Y|X} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X})$ and a positive integer M, the minimal attainable distortion (measured in TVD) of

non-signaling simulation codes for $W_{Y|X}$ with alphabet size at most M can be expressed as

$$\epsilon^{\mathrm{NS}}(M, W_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}}) = \inf_{q_{\mathbf{Y}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})} \max_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \left(W_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}}(y|x) - M \cdot q_{\mathbf{Y}}(y) \right)_{+}$$
(12)

$$= \inf_{q_{\mathsf{Y}}\in\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})} \sup_{p_{\mathsf{X}}\in\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})} \sum_{x\in\mathcal{X},y\in\mathcal{Y}} \left(p_{\mathsf{X}}(x) \cdot W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}(y|x) - M \cdot p_{\mathsf{X}}(x) \cdot q_{\mathsf{Y}}(y) \right)_{+}$$
(13)

where $(x)_+ \coloneqq \max\{x, 0\}$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

We shall need the following lemma for the proof of the above proposition.

Lemma 2. For any function $f : \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ s.t. $\sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} f(a) \geq 1$ where \mathcal{A} is some finite set, it holds that

$$\inf_{\widetilde{p}\in\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}):\ \widetilde{p}\leqslant f} \|\widetilde{p}-p\|_{\mathrm{TVD}} = \sum_{a\in\mathcal{A}} \left(p(a) - f(a) \right)_{+}$$
(14)

for any pmf p on A.

Proof. Firstly, LHS is not smaller, as LHS= $\inf_{\tilde{p} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}): \tilde{p} \leq f} \sum_{a} (p(a) - \tilde{p}(a))_{+} \geq \sum_{a} (p(a) - f(a))_{+} = RHS.$ To show that the LHS is not larger, we construct the following \tilde{p} :

$$\widetilde{p}(a) \coloneqq \begin{cases} f(a) & \text{for } a \in \mathcal{A} \text{ s.t. } f(a) \leqslant p(a) \\ p(a) + (f(a) - p(a)) \cdot \frac{\sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \left(p(a) - f(a) \right)_+}{\sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \left(f(a) - p(a) \right)_+} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(15)

It is straightforward to check that \tilde{p} is a pmf on $\mathcal{A}, \tilde{p} \leq f$, and $\|\tilde{p} - p\|_{\text{TVD}} = \text{RHS}.$

Proof of Proposition 1. For any $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$, let $\mathcal{M}_{\epsilon}^{NS, \star}(W_{Y|X})$ denote the minimal attainable message size of non-signaling ϵ -simulation (in TVD) codes for $W_{Y|X}$. By definition, we can write

$$\epsilon^{\mathrm{NS}}(M, W_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}}) = \inf \left\{ \epsilon \ge 0 \left| \mathcal{M}_{\epsilon}^{\mathrm{NS}, \star}(W_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}}) \le M \right\} \right\}.$$
(16)

We cite Theorem 20 in [5], *i.e.*,

$$\log \mathcal{M}_{\epsilon}^{\mathrm{NS},\star}(W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}) = \lceil I_{\max}^{\epsilon}(W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}) \rceil_{\log \mathbb{Z}_{>0}},\tag{17}$$

where

$$I_{\max}^{\epsilon}(W_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}}) \coloneqq \inf_{\widetilde{W}_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}): \|\widetilde{W}_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}} - W_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}}\|_{\mathrm{TVD}} \leqslant \epsilon} \sup_{p_{\mathbf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})} \inf_{q_{\mathbf{Y}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})} \max_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \max_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \log \frac{p_{\mathbf{X}}(x) \cdot W_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}}(y|x)}{p_{\mathbf{X}}(x) \times q_{\mathbf{Y}}(y)}$$
(18)

$$= \inf_{q_{\mathsf{Y}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})} \inf_{\widetilde{W}_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}):} \|\widetilde{W}_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} - W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}\|_{\mathrm{TVD}} \leq \epsilon} \max_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \max_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} \log \frac{W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}(y|x)}{q_{\mathsf{Y}}(y)}.$$
 (19)

This enables us to write

$$\epsilon^{\mathrm{NS}}(M, W_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}}) = \inf_{q_{\mathbf{Y}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})} \inf_{\widetilde{W}_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}): \widetilde{W}_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}} \leqslant M \cdot q_{\mathbf{Y}}} \|\widetilde{W}_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}} - W_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}}\|_{\mathrm{TVD}}$$
(20)

for each positive integer M. Using the definition that $\|\widetilde{W}_{Y|X} - W_{Y|X}\|_{TVD} = \max_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \|\widetilde{W}_{Y|X}(\cdot|x) - W_{Y|X}(\cdot|x)\|_{TVD}$, and the fact that

$$\min_{a_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1, a_2 \in \mathcal{A}_2, \dots, a_k \in \mathcal{A}_k} \max_{j=1,\dots,k} f_j(a_j) = \max_{j=1,\dots,k} \min_{a \in \mathcal{A}_j} f_j(a)$$
(21)

for any positive integer k and real-valued functions f_1, \ldots, f_k that has a minimal point on each of the domains $\mathcal{A}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{A}_k$, respectively, we have

$$\epsilon^{\mathrm{NS}}(M, W_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}}) = \inf_{q_{\mathbf{Y}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})} \inf_{\widetilde{W}_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}): \widetilde{W}_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}} \leqslant M \cdot q_{\mathbf{Y}}} \max_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \|\widetilde{W}_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}}(\cdot|x) - W_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}}(\cdot|x)\|_{\mathrm{TVD}}$$
(22)

$$= \inf_{q_{\mathsf{Y}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})} \min_{\widetilde{W}_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}): \widetilde{W}_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \leqslant M \cdot q_{\mathsf{Y}}} \max_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \|\widetilde{W}_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}(\cdot|x) - W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}(\cdot|x)\|_{\mathrm{TVD}}$$
(23)

$$= \inf_{q_{\mathbf{Y}}\in\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})} \max_{x\in\mathcal{X}} \min_{\widetilde{W}_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}}(\cdot|x)\in\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}): \ \widetilde{W}_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}}(\cdot|x)\leqslant M \cdot q_{\mathbf{Y}}} \|\widetilde{W}_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}}(\cdot|x) - W_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}}(\cdot|x)\|_{\mathrm{TVD}}.$$
 (24)

where in (23) we replace an infimum by minimum as the inner function is continuous and the domain is compact. Eq. (12) can be obtained from the above expression using Lemma 2. To obtain (13), we relax the domain of the maximization and write

$$\epsilon^{\mathrm{NS}}(M, W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}) \leqslant \inf_{q_{\mathsf{Y}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})} \sup_{p_{\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})} \underbrace{\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}, y \in \mathcal{Y}} \left(p_{\mathsf{X}}(x) \cdot W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}(y|x) - M \cdot p_{\mathsf{X}}(x) \cdot q_{\mathsf{Y}}(y) \right)_{+}}_{\text{linear in } p_{\mathsf{X}}}.$$
 (25)

Note that the target function is linear in p_X , and thus the maximization over p_X is attained at extreme points, *i.e.*, (13) holds.

3 Error Exponent for Non-Signaling Channel Simulation

In the following, we are interested in the behavior of ϵ^{NS} for simulating asymptotically many copies of a channel given a fixed communication rate r > 0. More precisely, we would like to study the exponent $-\frac{1}{n} \log \epsilon^{\text{NS}}(\lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor, W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n})$ as n tends to infinity. Note that we will omit the second argument for ϵ^{NS} , *i.e.*, $W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n}$ when it is clear from the context. The first result of this section, Proposition 3, shows that ϵ^{NS} exponentially decays as $r > I_1(W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}})$ for any finite blocklength n. Then, we will prove in Proposition 5 that the achievable error exponent is asymptotically tight.

3.1 Achievability for the Error Exponent

Proposition 3 (Achievability for the Error Exponents). Let $W_{Y|X} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X})$ be a channel. For all r > 0, it holds that

$$\frac{1}{n}\log\epsilon^{\mathrm{NS}}(\lfloor e^{nr}\rfloor, W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n}) \leqslant -\sup_{\alpha \ge 0} \alpha \cdot \left(r_n - \sup_{p_{\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})} \inf_{q_{\mathsf{Y}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})} D_{\alpha+1}(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \| p_{\mathsf{X}} \times q_{\mathsf{Y}})\right)$$
(26)

for all positive integer n, where $r_n \coloneqq \frac{1}{n} \log \lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor$. Moreover, as n tends to infinity we have that

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \epsilon^{\mathrm{NS}}(\lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor, W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n}) \leqslant -\sup_{\alpha \ge 0} \alpha \cdot \left(r - \sup_{p_{\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})} \inf_{q_{\mathsf{Y}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})} D_{\alpha+1}(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \| p_{\mathsf{X}} \times q_{\mathsf{Y}}) \right).$$
(27)

Remark 4. The established achievable error exponent $\sup_{\alpha \ge 0} \alpha \left(r - I_{\alpha+1}(W_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}})\right)$ is expressed in terms of the Rényi information of channel, $I_{\alpha}(W_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}})$. Moreover, since $I_{\alpha}(W_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}})$ is continuous in $\alpha \in [0, \infty]$ [25, Lemma 16.(g)], the achievable error exponent is positive if and only if the communication rate is strictly greater than the mutual information of channel (which also coincides with Shannon's channel capacity), *i.e.*, $r > I_1(W_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}})$. Proposition 3 then indicates that the non-signaling simulation error ϵ^{NS} decays exponentially for any $r > I_1(W_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}})$.

Proof. By Proposition 1, we have

$$\epsilon^{\mathrm{NS}}(\lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor, W_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}}^{\otimes n}) = \inf_{q_{\mathbf{Y}_{1}^{n}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}^{n})} \max_{\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n} \in \mathcal{X}^{n}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n} \in \mathcal{Y}^{n}} \left(W_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}}^{\otimes n}(\boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n} | \boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n}) - \lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor \cdot q_{\mathbf{Y}_{1}^{n}}(\boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n}) \right)_{+}.$$
 (28)

Restricting the first infimum to i.i.d. $q_{\mathbf{Y}_1^n}$'s, we have

$$\epsilon^{\mathrm{NS}}(\lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor, W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n}) \leqslant \inf_{q_{\mathsf{Y}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})} \max_{\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n} \in \mathcal{X}^{n}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n} \in \mathcal{Y}^{n}} \left(W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n}(\boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n}|\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n}) - \lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor \cdot q_{\mathsf{Y}}^{\otimes n}(\boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n}) \right)_{+}$$
(29)

We further have the following chain of equalities/inequalities for $\alpha \ge 0$

Above =
$$\inf_{q_{\mathsf{Y}}\in\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})} \max_{\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n}\in\mathcal{X}^{n}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n}\in\mathcal{Y}^{n}: W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n}(\boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n}|\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n}) \ge \lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor \cdot q_{\mathsf{Y}}^{\otimes n}(\boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n})} W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n}(\boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n}|\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n}) - \lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor \cdot q_{\mathsf{Y}}^{\otimes n}(\boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n})$$
(30)

$$\leq \inf_{q_{\mathsf{Y}}\in\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})} \max_{\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n}\in\mathcal{X}^{n}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n}\in\mathcal{Y}^{n}: W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n}(\boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n}|\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n}) \geq \lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor \cdot q_{\mathsf{Y}}^{\otimes n}(\boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n})} W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n}(\boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n}|\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n})$$
(31)

$$= \inf_{q_{\mathsf{Y}}\in\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})} \max_{\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n}\in\mathcal{X}^{n}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n}\in\mathcal{Y}^{n}: W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n}(\boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n}|\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n}) \ge \lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor \cdot q_{\mathsf{Y}}^{\otimes n}(\boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n})} \left(W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n}(\boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n}|\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n}) \right)^{\alpha+1} \cdot \left(W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n}(\boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n}|\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n}) \right)^{-\alpha} (32)$$

$$\leq \inf_{q_{\mathsf{Y}}\in\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})} \max_{\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n}\in\mathcal{X}^{n}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n}\in\mathcal{Y}^{n}: W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n}(\boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n}|\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n}) \geq \lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor \cdot q_{\mathsf{Y}}^{\otimes n}(\boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n})} \left(W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n}(\boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n}|\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n}) \right)^{\alpha+1} \cdot \left(\lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor \cdot q_{\mathsf{Y}}^{\otimes n}(\boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n}) \right)^{-\alpha} (33)$$

$$\leq \inf_{q_{\mathsf{Y}}\in\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})} \max_{\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n}\in\mathcal{X}^{n}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n}\in\mathcal{Y}^{n}} \left(W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n}(\boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n}|\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n}) \right)^{\alpha+1} \cdot \left(\lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor \cdot q_{\mathsf{Y}}^{\otimes n}(\boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n}) \right)^{-\alpha} .$$

$$(34)$$

Taking logarithm and dividing by n on both sides, we have for $\alpha \ge 0$

$$\frac{1}{n}\log\epsilon^{\mathrm{NS}}(\lfloor e^{nr}\rfloor, W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n}) \leqslant -\alpha \cdot \frac{\log\lfloor e^{nr}\rfloor}{n} + \inf_{q_{\mathsf{Y}}\in\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})} \max_{\mathbf{x}_{1}^{n}\in\mathcal{X}^{n}} \frac{1}{n}\log\prod_{i=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{y\in\mathcal{Y}} \left(W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}(y|x_{i})\right)^{\alpha+1} \cdot \left(q_{\mathsf{Y}}(y)\right)^{-\alpha}\right)$$
(35)

$$= -\alpha \cdot \frac{\log \lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor}{n} + \inf_{q_{\mathsf{Y}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})} \max_{\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n} \in \mathcal{X}^{n}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha \cdot D_{\alpha+1} \big(W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}(\cdot|x_{i}) \big\| q_{\mathsf{Y}} \big)$$
(36)

$$= -\alpha \cdot \frac{\log \lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor}{n} + \alpha \cdot \inf_{q_{\mathsf{Y}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})} \max_{x \in \mathcal{X}} D_{\alpha+1} \big(W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}(\cdot|x) \big\| q_{\mathsf{Y}} \big)$$
(37)

$$= -\alpha \cdot \frac{\log \lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor}{n} + \alpha \cdot \inf_{q_{\mathsf{Y}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})} \sup_{p_{\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})} D_{\alpha+1} (p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} || p_{\mathsf{X}} \times q_{\mathsf{Y}})$$
(38)

where we distribute y_1, \ldots, y_n into the products in (35); shuffle the maximization inside the summation in (37); and utilize the fact that the logarithm function is concave in (38)¹. We deduce (26) by taking the infimum over $\alpha \ge 0$ in (38).

Taking $n \to \infty$ on both sides, we have for $\alpha \ge 0$

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \epsilon^{\mathrm{NS}}(\lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor, W_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}}^{\otimes n}) \leqslant -\alpha \cdot \left(r - \sup_{p_{\mathbf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})} \inf_{q_{\mathbf{Y}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})} D_{\alpha+1}(p_{\mathbf{X}} \cdot W_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}} \| p_{\mathbf{X}} \times q_{\mathbf{Y}}) \right).$$
(39)

Finally, (27) holds since the previous inequality is true for all $\alpha \ge 0$.

3.2 Converse for the Error Exponent

As the other direction, we prove the following converse statement.

Proposition 5. Let $W_{Y|X} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X})$ be a channel. For all r > 0, it holds that

$$\frac{1}{n}\log\epsilon^{\mathrm{NS}}(\lfloor e^{nr}\rfloor) \ge -\sup_{\alpha\ge 0} \alpha \cdot \left(r + g_n - \inf_{q_{\mathsf{Y}}\in\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})} \sup_{p_{\mathsf{X}}\in\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})} D_{1+\alpha}(p_{\mathsf{X}}\cdot W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \| p_{\mathsf{X}}\times q_{\mathsf{Y}})\right) - f_n \quad (40)$$

for all integer $n \ge 3$, some non-negative sequences $\{f_n\}_n$ and $\{g_n\}_n$ such that $f_n = O\left(\frac{\log n}{n}\right)$ and $g_n = O\left(\frac{\log n}{n}\right)$. In particular, as n tends to infinity, we have

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \epsilon^{\mathrm{NS}}(\lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor) \ge -\sup_{\alpha \ge 0} \alpha \cdot \left(r - \inf_{q_{\mathsf{Y}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})} \sup_{p_{\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})} D_{1+\alpha}(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \| p_{\mathsf{X}} \times q_{\mathsf{Y}}) \right).$$
(41)

We shall need the following post-selection lemma (a.k.a. de Finetti reduction) to prove the above statement.

Lemma 6 (Post-Selection Lemma / de Finetti reduction). Let \mathcal{A} be a finite set. There exists a probability measure ν on the set of all pmfs on \mathcal{A} , i.e., $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$, such that for all positive integers n

$$p_{\mathbf{A}_{1}^{n}} \leqslant \binom{n+|\mathcal{A}|-1}{n} \cdot \int \mathrm{d}\nu(q_{\mathbf{A}}) q_{\mathbf{A}}^{\otimes n} \tag{42}$$

for every permutation invariant pmf $p_{\mathbf{A}_1^n} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}^n)$.

We defer the proof of the above lemma to Appendix A. Interested readers may want to refer to [33, Lemma 14] for a similar result with a so-called "universal probability distribution" on the RHS. The above integral form can be seen as a classical counterpart of [6, 22]. As shown in Remark 24, the universal probability distribution as in [33] actually admits such an integral form as well.

We also utilize the method of types in proving the above proposition. We shall need the following notation and the lemmas thereafter.

¹More precisely, $\max_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \log f(x) = \sup_{p_{\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})} \log \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p_{\mathsf{X}}(x) \cdot f(x)$ for any non-negative function f on \mathcal{X} .

Definition 7 (Types). Given a finite set \mathcal{X} and a positive integer *n*, the set of types with denominator *n* of the alphabet \mathcal{X} is the following subset of pmfs on \mathcal{X} :

$$\mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{X}) \coloneqq \{ p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}) \mid n \cdot p(x) \in \mathbb{Z} \,\,\forall x \in \mathcal{X} \} \,.$$
(43)

Furthermore, the type of a sequence $x_1^n \in \mathcal{X}^n$, denoted by $p_X^{(x_1^n)}$, is defined as the empirical distribution induced by the sequence, *i.e.*,

$$p_{\mathsf{X}}^{(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n})} \coloneqq \frac{1}{n} |\{i \in [n] \mid x_{i} = x\}|.$$
(44)

Finally, for a type $p \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{X})$, we denote the set of sequences of length n and type p by $\mathcal{T}_n(p)$, *i.e.*,

$$\mathcal{T}_n(p) \coloneqq \left\{ \boldsymbol{x}_1^n \in \mathcal{X}^n \mid p_{\mathsf{X}}^{(\boldsymbol{x}_1^n)} = p \right\}.$$
(45)

The set $\mathcal{T}_n(p)$ is also referred to as the type class of p. (Note that $p_{\mathsf{X}}^{(\boldsymbol{x}_1^n)} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$, and should be distinguished from $p_{\mathsf{X}_1}^n$ where the latter is a distribution on \mathcal{X}^n .)

As a first observation, the set of types $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{X})$ servers as an approximation of $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$ as stated in the following lemma.

Lemma 8 ([29]). Let \mathcal{X} be a finite set, and let n be a positive integer. For every $p_{\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$ there exists some $p_{\mathsf{X}}^{(n)} \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{X})$ such that $\left| p_{\mathsf{X}}(x) - p_{\mathsf{X}}^{(n)}(x) \right| \leq \frac{1}{n}$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$.

Proof. This has been pointed out in [29]. The idea is that, for any $p_{\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$, there always exists a sequence $\boldsymbol{x}_1^n \in \mathcal{X}_1^n$ such that

$$\lfloor n \cdot p_{\mathsf{X}} \rfloor \leqslant n \cdot p_{\mathsf{X}}^{(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n})} \leqslant \lceil n \cdot p_{\mathsf{X}} \rceil, \tag{46}$$

and the type $p_X^{(\boldsymbol{x}_1^n)}$ satisfies the lemma.

Definition 9 (Conditional Types). Given finite sets \mathcal{X} , \mathcal{Y} and positive integer *n*, the set of conditional types with denominator *n* of the alphabet \mathcal{Y} over \mathcal{X} is the following subset of conditional pmfs on \mathcal{Y} over \mathcal{Y} :

$$\mathcal{P}_{n}(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}) \coloneqq \left\{ p_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}) \mid p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot p_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}_{n}(\mathcal{Y} \times \mathcal{X}) \exists p_{\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}_{n}(\mathcal{X}) \right\}.$$
(47)

Furthermore, the conditional type of sequence $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ (more often specified as $(\boldsymbol{x}_1^n, \boldsymbol{y}_1^n)$), denoted by $p_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{(\boldsymbol{x}_1^n, \boldsymbol{y}_1^n)}$, is defined as the empirical conditional distribution induced by the sequences, *i.e.*,

$$p_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}}^{(\boldsymbol{x}_1^n,\boldsymbol{y}_1^n)}(y|x) \coloneqq \frac{p_{\mathbf{X}\mathbf{Y}}^{(\boldsymbol{x}_1^n,\boldsymbol{y}_1^n)}}{p_{\mathbf{X}}^{(\boldsymbol{x}_1^n)}}.$$
(48)

Finally, for a conditional type $V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X})$ and a sequence $\boldsymbol{x}_1^n \in \mathcal{X}^n$, we denote the set of sequences \boldsymbol{y}_1^n such that $(\boldsymbol{x}_1^n, \boldsymbol{y}_1^n)$ is of conditional type $V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}$ by $\mathcal{T}_n(V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}, \boldsymbol{x}_1^n)$, *i.e.*,

$$\mathcal{T}_{n}(V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}, \boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n}) \coloneqq \left\{ \boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n} \in \mathcal{Y}^{n} \mid p_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n}, \boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n})}(\boldsymbol{y}|\boldsymbol{x}) = V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \right\}.$$

$$\tag{49}$$

The set $\mathcal{T}_n(V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}, \boldsymbol{x}_1^n)$ is also referred to as the V-shell of \boldsymbol{x}_1^n [11].

Lemma 10 ([11, Lemma 2.5]). For every $\boldsymbol{x}_1^n \in \mathcal{X}^n$ and $V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X})$, it holds that

$$n \cdot H(\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}) - |\mathcal{X}| \cdot |\mathcal{Y}| \cdot \log(n+1) \leq \log \left| \mathcal{T}_n(V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}, \boldsymbol{x}_1^n) \right| \leq n \cdot H(\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X})$$
(50)

where (X, Y) is distributed according to $p_X^{(\boldsymbol{x}_1^n)} \cdot V_{Y|X}$, and H(Y|X) is the conditional entropy.

Lemma 11. Let \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} be two finite sets, and let n be a positive integer. Let $V_{Y|X} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X})$ be a conditional pmf. For each n-denominator type on \mathcal{X} $p_X \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{X})$, there exists an approximation $V_{Y|X}^{(p_X)} \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X})$ of $V_{Y|X}$ such that

$$\left| p_{\mathsf{X}}(x) \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{(p_{\mathsf{X}})}(y|x) - p_{\mathsf{X}}(x) \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}(y|x) \right| \leq \frac{1}{n}$$
(51)

for all $(x, y) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$.

Proof. See Appendix B.

We shall also need the following continuity lemma of the Kullback-Leibler divergence for proving Proposition 5.

Lemma 12. Let \mathcal{A} be a finite set, and $\xi \in (0, \frac{1}{e})$ For any two pmfs $p, p' \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$ such that $|p(a) - p'(a)| \leq \xi$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, it holds for all $q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$, with $p \ll q$ and $p' \ll q$, that

$$\left| D(p \| q) - D(p' \| q) \right| \leq \xi \cdot |\mathcal{A}| \cdot \log \frac{1}{q_{\min}} + |\mathcal{A}| \cdot \xi \cdot \log \frac{1}{\xi}$$

$$(52)$$

where $q_{\min} \coloneqq \min_{a \in \mathcal{A}: q(a) > 0} q(a)$.

Proof. See Appendix B.

Proof of Proposition 5. Again, we start with Proposition 1. By (13), we have

$$\epsilon^{\mathrm{NS}}(\lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor) = \inf_{q_{\mathbf{Y}_{1}^{n}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}^{n})} \sup_{p_{\mathbf{X}_{1}^{n}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}^{n})} \sum_{\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n} \in \mathcal{X}^{n}, \boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n} \in \mathcal{Y}^{n}} \left(p_{\mathbf{X}_{1}^{n}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n}) \cdot W_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}}^{\otimes n}(\boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n}|\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n}) - \lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor \cdot p_{\mathbf{X}_{1}^{n}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n}) \cdot q_{\mathbf{Y}_{1}^{n}}(\boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n}) \right)_{+}$$

$$(53)$$

$$\geq \inf_{\boldsymbol{q}_{\mathbf{Y}_{1}^{n}}\in\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}^{n})} \sup_{\boldsymbol{p}_{\mathbf{X}_{1}^{n}}\in\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}^{n})} \sum_{\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n}\in\mathcal{X}^{n}, \boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n}\in\mathcal{Y}^{n}} \left(p_{\mathbf{X}_{1}^{n}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n}) \cdot W_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}}^{\otimes n}(\boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n}|\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n}) - e^{nr} \cdot p_{\mathbf{X}_{1}^{n}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n}) \cdot q_{\mathbf{Y}_{1}^{n}}(\boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n}) \right)_{+}$$

$$(54)$$

Restricting the supremum to uniform distribution over sequences of a same type (see Definition 7), we have

$$\epsilon^{\mathrm{NS}}(\lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor) \geqslant \inf_{q_{\mathbf{Y}_{1}^{n}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}^{n})} \sup_{p_{\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}_{n}(\mathcal{X})} \sum_{\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n} \in \mathcal{T}_{n}(p_{\mathsf{X}})} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{T}_{n}(p_{\mathsf{X}})|} \sum_{\boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n} \in \mathcal{Y}^{n}} \left(W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n}(\boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n}|\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n}) - e^{nr} \cdot q_{\mathsf{Y}_{1}^{n}}(\boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n}) \right)_{+}.$$
 (55)

convex and permutation invariant w.r.t. $q_{\mathbf{Y}_1^n}$

Since the argument after infimum is a supremum of convex functions of $q_{\mathbf{Y}_1^n}$, and thus remains convex. Observe that it is also permutation invariant. Hence, there exists² a permutation invariant $q_{\mathbf{Y}_1^n}^{\star}$ that achieves the infimum. By Lemma 6, there exists some distribution ν over $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})$ such that

$$q_{\mathbf{Y}_{1}^{n}}^{\star} \leqslant \binom{n+|\mathcal{Y}|-1}{n} \int \mathrm{d}\nu(q_{\mathbf{Y}}) q_{\mathbf{Y}}^{\otimes n} \leqslant (n+1)^{|\mathcal{Y}|-1} \cdot \underbrace{\int \mathrm{d}\nu(q_{\mathbf{Y}}) q_{\mathbf{Y}}^{\otimes n}}_{=:\mu_{\mathbf{Y}_{1}^{n}}}.$$
(56)

Denoting the pmf after the multiplicative factor on the RHS by $\mu_{\mathbf{Y}_1^n}$, we have

$$\epsilon^{\mathrm{NS}}(\lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor) \geqslant \sup_{p_{\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}_{n}(\mathcal{X})} \sum_{\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n} \in \mathcal{T}_{n}(p_{\mathsf{X}})} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{T}_{n}(p_{\mathsf{X}})|} \sum_{\boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n} \in \mathcal{Y}^{n}} \left(W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n}(\boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n}|\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n}) - e^{nr} \cdot (n+1)^{|\mathcal{Y}|-1} \cdot \mu_{\mathsf{Y}_{1}^{n}}(\boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n}) \right)_{+}.$$
(57)

For any $V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{(n)} \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X})$, restricting the second summation to sequences $\boldsymbol{y_1^n}$ to the ones such that $(\boldsymbol{x}_1^n, \boldsymbol{y}_1^n)$ is of conditional type $V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{(n)}$ (see Definition 9), we have

$$\epsilon^{\mathrm{NS}}(\lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor) \geq \sup_{p_{\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}_{n}(\mathcal{X})} \sum_{\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n} \in \mathcal{T}_{n}(p_{\mathsf{X}})} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{T}_{n}(p_{\mathsf{X}})|} \sum_{\boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n} \in \mathcal{T}_{n}(V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{(n)}, \boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n})} \left(W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n}(\boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n}|\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n}) - e^{nr} \cdot (n+1)^{|\mathcal{Y}|-1} \cdot \mu_{\mathsf{Y}_{1}^{n}}(\boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n}) \right)_{+}$$

$$(58)$$

By direct calculation, for each $\boldsymbol{x}_1^n \in \mathcal{T}_n(p_X)$ and $\boldsymbol{y}_1^n \in \mathcal{T}_n(V_{Y|X}^{(n)}, \boldsymbol{x}_1^n)$ (or equivalently, $(\boldsymbol{x}_1^n, \boldsymbol{y}_1^n) \in \mathcal{T}_n(p_X \cdot V_{Y|X}^{(n)})$) it holds that [11]

$$W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n}(\boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n}|\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n}) = \exp\left(-n \cdot H(\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X})\right) \cdot \exp\left(-n \cdot D\left(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{(n)} \middle\| p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}\right)\right),\tag{59}$$

$$\mu_{\mathbf{Y}_{1}^{n}}(\boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n}) = \int d\nu(q_{\mathbf{Y}}) \cdot \exp\left(-n \cdot \left(D\left(p_{\mathbf{X}} \cdot V_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}}^{(n)} \| p_{\mathbf{X}} \cdot q_{\mathbf{Y}}\right) + H(\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X})\right)\right)$$
(60)

$$\leq \exp\left(-n \cdot H(\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X})\right) \cdot \max_{q_{\mathsf{Y}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})} \exp\left(-n \cdot D\left(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{(n)} \| p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot q_{\mathsf{Y}}\right)\right),\tag{61}$$

where the random variables (X, Y) are distributed according to $p_X \cdot V_{Y|X}^{(n)}$ for the conditional entropy H(Y|X) in the above expressions. This allows us to further write

$$\epsilon^{\mathrm{NS}}(\lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor) \geq \sup_{p_{\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}_{n}(\mathcal{X})} \sum_{\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n} \in \mathcal{T}_{n}(p_{\mathsf{X}})} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{T}_{n}(p_{\mathsf{X}})|} \sum_{\boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n} \in \mathcal{T}_{n}(V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{(n)}, \boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n})} \left(\exp\left(-n \cdot \left(D\left(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{(n)} \| p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \right) + H(\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}) \right) \right) - e^{nr} \cdot (n+1)^{|\mathcal{Y}|-1} \cdot \exp\left(-n \cdot H(\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X})\right) \cdot \max_{q_{\mathsf{Y}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})} \exp\left(-n \cdot D\left(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{(n)} \| p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot q_{\mathsf{Y}} \right) \right) \right) + (62)^{+}$$

By Lemma 10 [11, Lemma 2.5], we know

$$\left|\mathcal{T}_{n}(V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{(n)}, \boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n})\right| \geqslant \frac{1}{(n+1)^{|\mathcal{X}| \cdot |\mathcal{Y}|}} \cdot \exp\left(n \cdot H(\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X})\right),\tag{63}$$

²This holds when the infimum can be achieved, which we know to be the case since the target function is continuous and $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}^n)$ is a compact set.

and we can therefore bound $\epsilon^{\rm NS}$ as

$$\epsilon^{\mathrm{NS}}(\lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor) \geq \sup_{p_{\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}_{n}(\mathcal{X})} \frac{1}{(n+1)^{|\mathcal{X}| \cdot |\mathcal{Y}|}} \cdot \left(\exp\left(-n \cdot D\left(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{(n)} \middle\| p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}\right) \right) \dots - e^{nr} \cdot (n+1)^{|\mathcal{Y}|-1} \cdot \max_{q_{\mathsf{Y}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})} \exp\left(-n \cdot D\left(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{(n)} \middle\| p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot q_{\mathsf{Y}}\right) \right) \right) \right) + (64)$$

We can further restrict the maximization over $q_{\mathbf{Y}}$'s to a set of pmfs bounded away from zero. In particular, for each $q_{\mathbf{Y}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})$, we consider the pmf

$$q_{\mathbf{Y}}^{(n)} \coloneqq \frac{q_{\mathbf{Y}} + \frac{1}{n}}{1 + \frac{1}{n} \cdot |\mathcal{Y}|}.$$
(65)

One can show by direct calculation that

$$D\left(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{(n)} \middle\| p_{\mathsf{X}} \times q_{\mathsf{Y}}^{(n)}\right) - D\left(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{(n)} \middle\| p_{\mathsf{X}} \times q_{\mathsf{Y}}\right) \leqslant \frac{|\mathcal{Y}|}{n}.$$
(66)

Note that $q_{\mathbf{Y}}^{(n)}$ is bounded away from zero, in particular $q_{\mathbf{Y}}^{(n)}(y) \ge \frac{1}{n+|\mathcal{Y}|}$ for all $y \in \mathcal{Y}$. Thus, by substituting $q_{\mathbf{Y}}$ by $q_{\mathbf{Y}}^{(\eta)}$ then relaxing the domain, we have

$$\epsilon^{\mathrm{NS}}(\lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor) \geq \sup_{p_{\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}_{n}(\mathcal{X})} \frac{1}{(n+1)^{|\mathcal{X}| \cdot |\mathcal{Y}|}} \cdot \left(\exp\left(-n \cdot D\left(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{(n)} \| p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}\right)\right) \dots - e^{nr} \cdot e^{|\mathcal{Y}|} \cdot (n+1)^{|\mathcal{Y}|-1} \cdot \sup_{q_{\mathsf{Y}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}): q_{\mathsf{Y}} \geq \frac{1}{n+|\mathcal{Y}|}} \exp\left(-n \cdot D\left(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{(n)} \| p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot q_{\mathsf{Y}}\right)\right)\right)_{+}$$
(67)

Note that the above inequality holds for all conditional types $V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{(n)} \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X})$, and thus for the supremum over all these types as well. In particular, for each type $p_{\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{X})$, we can restrict the supremum to approximations $V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{(p_{\mathsf{X}})} \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X})$ of $V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}$ (see Lemma 11) where $V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X})$ can be any conditional pmf such that $V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \ll W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}$, *i.e.*,

$$\epsilon^{\mathrm{NS}}(\lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor) \geq \sup_{p_{\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}_{n}(\mathcal{X})} \sup_{V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}): V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \ll W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}} \frac{1}{(n+1)^{|\mathcal{X}| \cdot |\mathcal{Y}|}} \cdot \left(\exp\left(-n \cdot D\left(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{(p_{\mathsf{X}})} \| p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}\right) \right) - e^{nr} \cdot e^{|\mathcal{Y}|} \cdot (n+1)^{|\mathcal{Y}|-1} \cdot \sup_{q_{\mathsf{Y}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}): q_{\mathsf{Y}} \geq \frac{1}{n+|\mathcal{Y}|}} \exp\left(-n \cdot D\left(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{(p_{\mathsf{X}})} \| p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot q_{\mathsf{Y}}\right) \right) \right)_{+}$$

$$(68)$$

By the construction of $V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{(p_{\mathsf{X}})}$ in Lemma 11, we know $p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{(p_{\mathsf{X}})} \ll p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}$. This implies $p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{(p_{\mathsf{X}})} \ll p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}$. Using (51) and the continuity lemma on the Kullback-Leibler divergence (Lemma 12), we have

$$\left| D\left(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{(p_{\mathsf{X}})} \middle\| p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \right) - D\left(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \middle\| p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \right) \right| \leq \frac{|\mathcal{X}| \cdot |\mathcal{Y}|}{n} \cdot \log \frac{1}{W_{\min}} + |\mathcal{X}| \cdot |\mathcal{Y}| \cdot \frac{1}{n} \log n \quad (69)$$

$$\left| D\left(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{(p_{\mathsf{X}})} \middle\| p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot q_{\mathsf{Y}} \right) - D\left(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \middle\| p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot q_{\mathsf{Y}} \right) \right| \leq \frac{|\mathcal{X}| \cdot |\mathcal{Y}|}{n} \cdot \log (n + |\mathcal{Y}|) + |\mathcal{X}| \cdot |\mathcal{Y}| \cdot \frac{1}{n} \log n \quad (70)$$

for all integers $n \ge 3$, where we use the notation $W_{\min} := \min_{(x,y) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}: W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}(y|x) > 0} W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}(y|x)$. Hence, we have

$$\epsilon^{\mathrm{NS}}(\lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor) \geq \sup_{p_{\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}_{n}(\mathcal{X})} \sup_{\substack{V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}):\\V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \ll W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}}} \frac{1}{(n+1)^{|\mathcal{X}| \cdot |\mathcal{Y}|}} \cdot \left(\left(\frac{W_{\min}}{n}\right)^{|\mathcal{X}| \cdot |\mathcal{Y}|} \cdot \exp\left(-n \cdot D\left(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \| p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}\right)\right) \right)$$

$$-e^{nr} \cdot e^{|\mathcal{Y}|} \cdot (n+1)^{|\mathcal{Y}|-1} \cdot \left(n \cdot (n+|\mathcal{Y}|)\right)^{|\mathcal{X}| \cdot |\mathcal{Y}|} \cdot \sup_{q_{\mathbf{Y}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}): q_{\mathbf{Y}} \geqslant \frac{1}{n+|\mathcal{Y}|}} \exp\left(-n \cdot D\left(p_{\mathbf{X}} \cdot V_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}} \| p_{\mathbf{X}} \cdot q_{\mathbf{Y}}\right)\right) \right)_{+}$$
(71)

Using a similar approach, we can further restrict the first supremum over $p_{\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{X})$, to approximations $p_{\mathsf{X}}^{(n)}$ of $p_{\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$ (see Lemma 8). Using the fact that $\left|p_{\mathsf{X}}^{(n)}(x) - p_{\mathsf{X}}(x)\right| \leq \frac{1}{n}$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$, we have for all $p_{\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$ that

$$\left| D\left(p_{\mathsf{X}}^{(n)} \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \middle\| p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \right) - D\left(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \middle\| p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \right) \right| \leqslant \frac{|\mathcal{X}| \cdot |\mathcal{Y}|}{n} \cdot \log \frac{1}{W_{\min}}$$
(72)

$$\left| D\left(p_{\mathsf{X}}^{(n)} \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \middle\| p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot q_{\mathsf{Y}} \right) - D\left(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \middle\| p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot q_{\mathsf{Y}} \right) \right| \leq \frac{|\mathcal{X}| \cdot |\mathcal{Y}|}{n} \cdot \log\left(n + |\mathcal{Y}| \right)$$
(73)

for all integers $n \ge 3$. Therefore, we can further rewrite

$$\epsilon^{\mathrm{NS}}(\lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor) \geq \sup_{p_{\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})} \sup_{V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X})} \frac{1}{(n+1)^{|\mathcal{X}| \cdot |\mathcal{Y}|}} \cdot \left(\underbrace{\left(\underbrace{W_{\min}^{2}}{n} \right)^{|\mathcal{X}| \cdot |\mathcal{Y}|}}_{=:A_{n}} \cdot \exp\left(-n \cdot D\left(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \| p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \right) \right) \\ -e^{nr} \cdot \underbrace{e^{|\mathcal{Y}|} \cdot (n+1)^{|\mathcal{Y}|-1} \cdot \left(n \cdot (n+|\mathcal{Y}|)^{2} \right)^{|\mathcal{X}| \cdot |\mathcal{Y}|}}_{=:B_{n}} \cdot \sup_{q_{\mathsf{Y}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})} \exp\left(-n \cdot D\left(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \| p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot q_{\mathsf{Y}} \right) \right) \right)_{+} \\ (74)$$

$$= \sup_{p_{\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})} \sup_{V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X})} \frac{1}{(n+1)^{|\mathcal{X}| \cdot |\mathcal{Y}|}} \cdot \left(A_{n} \cdot e^{-n \cdot D\left(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \| p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \right) - \dots$$

$$\sup_{p_{\mathsf{X}}\in\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})} \sup_{V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}\in\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X})} \frac{\sup}{(n+1)^{|\mathcal{X}|\cdot|\mathcal{Y}|}} \cdot \left(A_{n} \cdot e^{-n \cdot D(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}||p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot v_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}}) - \dots e^{nr} \cdot B_{n} \cdot e^{-n \cdot D(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}}||p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot p_{\mathsf{Y}})}\right)_{+}.$$
(75)

Note that in (74) we also relaxed the domains of $V_{Y|X}$ and q_Y in the above expression. The former can be done because all $V_{Y|X} \ll W_{Y|X}$ will either result in zero or can be equivalent to a $V_{Y|X} \ll W_{Y|X}$. The latter can be done due to the minus sign before the maximization. In (75), we use the fact that $\inf_{q_Y \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})} D(p_X \cdot V_{Y|X} || p_X \times q_Y) = D(p_X \cdot V_{Y|X} || p_X \times p_Y)$ where

$$p_{\mathbf{Y}} \coloneqq \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p_{\mathbf{X}}(x) \cdot V_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}}(\cdot|x)$$
(76)

is the output distribution corresponding to input p_X and channel $V_{Y|X}$.

Now, we would like to further bound the above expression by restricting $V_{Y|X}$ to the following subset of conditional pmfs

$$\mathcal{V}_{n} \coloneqq \left\{ V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}) \; \middle| \; A_{n} \cdot e^{-n \cdot D\left(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \| p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}\right)} \geqslant \frac{e}{e-1} \cdot e^{nr} \cdot B_{n} \cdot e^{-n \cdot D\left(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \| p_{\mathsf{X}} \times p_{\mathsf{Y}}\right)} \right\}.$$
(77)

This allows us to write

$$\epsilon^{\mathrm{NS}}(\lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor) \geqslant \sup_{p_{\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})} \sup_{V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{V}_{n}} \frac{1}{(n+1)^{|\mathcal{X}| \cdot |\mathcal{Y}|}} \cdot \frac{1}{e} \cdot \left(\frac{W_{\min}^{2}}{n}\right)^{|\mathcal{X}| \cdot |\mathcal{Y}|} \cdot \exp\left(-n \cdot D\left(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \middle\| p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}\right)\right),$$
(78)

or equivalently

$$-\frac{1}{n}\log\epsilon^{\mathrm{NS}}(\lfloor e^{nr}\rfloor) \leqslant -\frac{|\mathcal{X}|\cdot|\mathcal{Y}|}{n}\cdot\log\frac{W_{\min}^2}{n\cdot(n+1)} + \frac{1}{n} + \inf_{p_{\mathsf{X}}\in\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})}\inf_{V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}\in\mathcal{V}_n} D(p_{\mathsf{X}}\cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}||p_{\mathsf{X}}\cdot W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}).$$
(79)

Applying the method of Lagrange multipliers, the V-dependent term of the RHS of the above inequality can be written as

$$\inf_{\substack{p_{\mathsf{X}}\in\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}) \ V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}\in\mathcal{V}_{n}}} D\left(p_{\mathsf{X}}\cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \| p_{\mathsf{X}}\cdot W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}\right) = \inf_{\substack{p_{\mathsf{X}}\in\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}) \ V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}\in\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}) \ \alpha \ge 0}} D\left(p_{\mathsf{X}}\cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \| p_{\mathsf{X}}\cdot W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}\right) \dots \\
-\alpha \cdot \left(D\left(p_{\mathsf{X}}\cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \| p_{\mathsf{X}} \times p_{\mathsf{Y}}\right) - D\left(p_{\mathsf{X}}\cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \| p_{\mathsf{X}} \times W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}\right) - r + \frac{1}{n}\log\frac{(e-1)A_{n}}{eB_{n}}\right) \qquad (80)$$

$$= \inf_{\substack{(p_{\mathsf{X}},V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}})\in\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})\times\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X}) \ \alpha \ge 0}} \sup_{\substack{(1+\alpha) \cdot D\left(p_{\mathsf{X}}\cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \| p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}\right) - \alpha \cdot D\left(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \| p_{\mathsf{X}} \times p_{\mathsf{Y}}\right) \dots} \\
+\alpha \cdot \left(r - \frac{1}{n}\log\frac{(e-1)A_{n}}{eB_{n}}\right). \qquad (81)$$

Observe that the above function is jointly convex in $(p_X, V_{Y|X})$ for each fixed α and linear in α for each fixed pair of $(p_X, V_{Y|X})$; furthermore, the domain $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}) \times \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X})$ is compact convex and the domain $[0, \infty)$ is convex. Thus, Sion's minimax theorem [31] applies, and we have from (81)

$$\inf_{p_{\mathsf{X}}\in\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})}\inf_{V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}\in\mathcal{V}_{n}} D(p_{\mathsf{X}}\cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \| p_{\mathsf{X}}\cdot W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}) = \sup_{\alpha \ge 0} \inf_{(p_{\mathsf{X}},V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}})\in\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})\times\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X})} (1+\alpha) \cdot D(p_{\mathsf{X}}\cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \| p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}) \dots \\
-\alpha \cdot D(p_{\mathsf{X}}\cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \| p_{\mathsf{X}} \times p_{\mathsf{Y}}) + \alpha \cdot \left(r - \frac{1}{n}\log\frac{(e-1)A_{n}}{eB_{n}}\right), \quad (82)$$

$$= \sup_{\alpha \ge 0} \inf_{(p_{\mathsf{X}},V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}})\in\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})\times\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X})} \sup_{q_{\mathsf{Y}}\in\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})} (1+\alpha) \cdot D(p_{\mathsf{X}}\cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \| p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}) \dots \\
-\alpha \cdot D(p_{\mathsf{X}}\cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \| p_{\mathsf{X}} \times q_{\mathsf{Y}}) + \alpha \cdot \left(r - \frac{1}{n}\log\frac{(e-1)A_{n}}{eB_{n}}\right), \quad (83)$$

$$= \sup_{\alpha \ge 0} \sup_{q_{\mathsf{Y}}\in\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})} \inf_{(p_{\mathsf{X}},V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}})\in\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})\times\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X})} (1+\alpha) \cdot D(p_{\mathsf{X}}\cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \| p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}) \dots \\
-\alpha \cdot D(p_{\mathsf{X}}\cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \| p_{\mathsf{X}} \times q_{\mathsf{Y}}) + \alpha \cdot \left(r - \frac{1}{n}\log\frac{(e-1)A_{n}}{eB_{n}}\right), \quad (84)$$

where we have used Sion's minimax theorem [31] again as the above function is concave in $q_{\rm Y}$ for each fixed $(p_{\rm X}, V_{\rm Y})$, and jointly convex in $(p_{\rm X}, V_{\rm Y})$ for each fixed $q_{\rm Y}$, and all of the domains

involved in this optimization are convex and compact. Using the variational formulation of the Rényi divergence [15, Theorem 30] and starting from (84), we further have the following

$$\inf_{p_{\mathsf{X}}\in\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})} \inf_{V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}\in\mathcal{V}_{n}} D\left(p_{\mathsf{X}}\cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \| p_{\mathsf{X}}\cdot W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}\right) \\
= \sup_{\alpha\geqslant 0} \sup_{q_{\mathsf{Y}}\in\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})} \inf_{p_{\mathsf{X}}\in\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})} -\alpha \cdot \mathbb{E}_{x\sim p_{\mathsf{X}}} \left[D_{1+\alpha} \left(W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}(\cdot|x) \| q_{\mathsf{Y}} \right) \right] + \alpha \cdot \left(r - \frac{1}{n} \log \frac{(e-1)A_{n}}{eB_{n}} \right) \tag{85}$$

$$= \sup_{\alpha \ge 0} \sup_{q_{\mathsf{Y}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})} \inf_{p_{\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})} -\alpha \cdot D_{1+\alpha} \left(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \| p_{\mathsf{X}} \times q_{\mathsf{Y}} \right) + \alpha \cdot \left(r - \frac{1}{n} \log \frac{(e-1)A_n}{eB_n} \right)$$
(86)

where we use [10, Proposition 1] in the last equality. Combining above with (79), we have

$$-\frac{1}{n}\log\epsilon^{\mathrm{NS}}(\lfloor e^{nr}\rfloor) \leqslant \sup_{\alpha \ge 0} \sup_{q_{\mathsf{Y}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})} \inf_{p_{\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})} \alpha \cdot \left(r - D_{1+\alpha} \left(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \middle\| p_{\mathsf{X}} \times q_{\mathsf{Y}}\right) + g_n\right) + f_n \qquad (87)$$

where

$$f_n \coloneqq \frac{|\mathcal{X}| \cdot |\mathcal{Y}|}{n} \cdot \log \frac{n \cdot (n+1)}{W_{\min}^2} + \frac{1}{n} = O\left(\frac{\log n}{n}\right),\tag{88}$$

$$g_n \coloneqq \frac{1}{n} \log \frac{eB_n}{(e-1)A_n} = \frac{1}{n} \log \frac{e \cdot e^{|\mathcal{Y}| \cdot (n+1)|\mathcal{Y}| - 1} \cdot \left(n \cdot (n+|\mathcal{Y}|)\right)^{2|\mathcal{X}| \cdot |\mathcal{Y}|}}{(e-1) \cdot W_{\min}^{2|\mathcal{X}| \cdot |\mathcal{Y}|}} = O\left(\frac{\log n}{n}\right).$$

From Propositions 3 and 5, we deduce the error exponent for non-signaling channel simulation. **Theorem 13.** Let $W_{Y|X} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X})$ be a channel. For all r > 0, it holds that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} -\frac{1}{n} \log \epsilon^{\mathrm{NS}}(\lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor, W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n}) = \sup_{\alpha \ge 0} \alpha \cdot \left(r - \sup_{p_{\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})} \inf_{q_{\mathsf{Y}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})} D_{\alpha+1}(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \| p_{\mathsf{X}} \times q_{\mathsf{Y}}) \right).$$
(89)

4 Strong Converse Exponent for Non-Signaling Channel Simulation

In this section, we study how fast ϵ^{NS} converges to 1 for simulating asymptotically many copies of a channel given a fixed communication rate r > 0. In particular, we would like to study the strong converse exponent $-\frac{1}{n}\log\left(1-\epsilon^{\text{NS}}(\lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor, W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n})\right)$ as n tends to infinity. Proposition 14 below shows that ϵ^{NS} converges to 1 exponentially fast for any finite blocklength n when $r < I_1(W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}})$. Later, Proposition 16 shows that the established convergence rate (as known as the strong converse exponent) is asymptotically tight.

4.1 Converse for the Strong Converse Exponent

In the following, we would like to prove the following lower bound on the strong converse exponent.

Proposition 14 (Converse for the Strong Converse Exponents). Let $W_{Y|X} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X})$ be a channel. For all r > 0, it holds that

$$\frac{1}{n}\log\left(1-\epsilon^{\mathrm{NS}}(\lfloor e^{nr}\rfloor, W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n})\right) \leqslant -\sup_{\alpha\in[0,1]} \alpha \cdot \left(-r + \sup_{p_{\mathsf{X}}\in\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})}\inf_{q_{\mathsf{Y}}\in\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})} D_{1-\alpha}\left(p_{\mathsf{X}}\cdot W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}\|p_{\mathsf{X}}\times q_{\mathsf{Y}}\right)\right).$$
(90)

Remark 15. By following the argument in Remark 4, the established strong converse exponent for non-signaling channel simulation, *i.e.*, $\sup_{\alpha \in [0,1]} \alpha \cdot (I_{\alpha}(W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}) - r)$, is positive if and only if $r < I_1(W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}})$. Hence, ϵ^{NS} converges to 1 exponentially fast as $r < I_1(W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}})$.

Proof. Starting from Proposition 1, we have

$$1 - \epsilon^{\mathrm{NS}}(\lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor, W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n}) = 1 - \inf_{q_{\mathsf{Y}_{1}^{n}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}^{n})} \sup_{p_{\mathsf{X}_{1}^{n}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}^{n})} \sum_{\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n} \in \mathcal{X}^{n}, \boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n} \in \mathcal{Y}^{n}} \left(p_{\mathsf{X}_{1}^{n}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n}) \cdot W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n}(\boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n}|\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n}) - \lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor \cdot p_{\mathsf{X}_{1}^{n}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n}) \cdot q_{\mathsf{Y}_{1}^{n}}(\boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n}) \right)_{+}, \quad (91)$$

$$= \sup_{q_{\mathbf{Y}_1^n} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}^n)} \inf_{p_{\mathbf{X}_1^n} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}^n)} \sum_{\boldsymbol{x}_1^n \in \mathcal{X}_1^n} p_{\mathbf{X}_1^n}(\boldsymbol{x}_1^n) \cdot \sum_{\boldsymbol{y}_1^n} \min\left\{ W_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}}^{\otimes n}(\boldsymbol{y}_1^n|\boldsymbol{x}_1^n), \lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor \cdot q_{\mathbf{Y}_1^n}(\boldsymbol{y}_1^n) \right\}$$
(92)

$$\leq \inf_{p_{\mathsf{X}}\in\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})} \sup_{q_{\mathsf{Y}_{1}^{n}}\in\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}^{n})} \sum_{\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n}\in\mathcal{X}_{1}^{n}} p_{\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n}(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n}) \cdot \sum_{\boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n}} \min\left\{ W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n}(\boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n}|\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n}), e^{nr} \cdot q_{\mathsf{Y}_{1}^{n}}(\boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n}) \right\}$$
(93)

where in (92), we have applied the fact that $1 - \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} (p(a) - f(a))_+ = \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \min \{p(a), f(a)\}$ for any pmf $p \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$ and function $f : \mathcal{A} \to \mathbb{R}$; and where in (93), we swap the supremum inside, restrict the domain of the infimum to product distributions, and use the fact that $\lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor \leq e^{nr}$. Since min $\{a, b\} \leq a^{\alpha} \cdot b^{1-\alpha}$ for any $a, b \geq 0$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, we can further upper bound the above expression as follows

Above
$$\leq \inf_{p_{\mathsf{X}}\in\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})} \sup_{q_{\mathsf{Y}_{1}^{n}}\in\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}^{n})} \sum_{\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n}\in\mathcal{X}_{1}^{n}} p_{\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n}(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n}) \cdot \sum_{\boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n}} \left(W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n}(\boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n}|\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n}) \right)^{1-\alpha} \cdot \left(e^{nr} \cdot q_{\mathsf{Y}_{1}^{n}}(\boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n}) \right)^{\alpha},$$
(94)

$$= e^{\alpha \cdot n \cdot r} \cdot \inf_{p_{\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})} \sup_{q_{\mathsf{Y}_{1}^{n}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}^{n})} \sum_{\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n} \in \mathcal{X}_{1}^{n}, \boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n} \in \mathcal{Y}_{1}^{n}} \left(p_{\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n}(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n}) \cdot W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n}(\boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n}|\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n}) \right)^{1-\alpha} \cdot \left(p_{\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n}(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n}) \cdot q_{\mathsf{Y}_{1}^{n}}(\boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n}|\boldsymbol{y}_{1}) \right)^{\alpha}$$

$$\tag{95}$$

$$= \exp\left(\alpha \cdot n \cdot r - \alpha \cdot \sup_{p_{\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})} \inf_{q_{\mathsf{Y}_{1}^{n}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}^{n})} D_{1-\alpha}\left(p_{\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n} \cdot W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n} \middle\| p_{\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n} \times q_{\mathsf{Y}_{1}^{n}}\right)\right).$$
(96)

By the additivity property of the mutual information of order $1 - \alpha$ [1], *i.e.*,

$$\inf_{q_{\mathbf{Y}_{1}^{n}}\in\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}^{n})} D_{1-\alpha}\left(p_{\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n} \cdot W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n} \middle\| p_{\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n} \times q_{\mathsf{Y}_{1}^{n}}\right) = n \cdot \inf_{q_{\mathsf{Y}}\in\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})} D_{1-\alpha}\left(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \middle\| p_{\mathsf{X}} \times q_{\mathsf{Y}}\right), \tag{97}$$

we have

$$1 - \epsilon^{\mathrm{NS}}(\lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor, W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n}) \leq \exp\left(-\alpha \cdot n \cdot \left(-r + \sup_{p_{\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})} \inf_{q_{\mathsf{Y}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})} D_{1-\alpha}(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \| p_{\mathsf{X}} \times q_{\mathsf{Y}})\right)\right).$$
(98)

Finally, (90) is obtained by taking the logarithm and dividing by n on both sides of the above inequality.

4.2 Achievability for the Strong Converse Exponent

In the following, we move to prove the following upper bound on the strong converse exponent.

Proposition 16. Let $W_{Y|X} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X})$ be a channel. For all r > 0, it holds that

$$\frac{1}{n}\log\left(1-\epsilon^{\mathrm{NS}}(\lfloor e^{nr}\rfloor, W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n})\right) \ge -\sup_{\alpha\in[0,1]}(1-\alpha)\cdot\left(-r_n + \sup_{p_{\mathsf{X}}\in\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})}\inf_{q_{\mathsf{Y}}\in\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})}D_{\alpha}(p_{\mathsf{X}}\cdot W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}||p_{\mathsf{X}}\times q_{\mathsf{Y}})\right) +\alpha\cdot\widetilde{g}_n - \widetilde{f}_n$$
(99)

for all integer $n \ge 3 \cdot |\mathcal{X}|$, for some sequences $\{f_n\}_n$ and $\{\tilde{g}_n\}_n$ such that $f_n = O\left(\frac{\log n}{n}\right)$ and $\tilde{g}_n = O\left(\frac{\log n}{n}\right)$. Here, $r_n \coloneqq \frac{1}{n} \log \lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor$ has already been defined in Proposition 3. In particular, as n tends to infinity, we have

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \left(1 - \epsilon^{\mathrm{NS}}(\lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor, W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n}) \right) \geq -\sup_{\alpha \in [0,1]} (1 - \alpha) \cdot \left(-r + \sup_{p_{\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})} \inf_{q_{\mathsf{Y}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})} D_{\alpha} \left(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \| p_{\mathsf{X}} \times q_{\mathsf{Y}} \right) \right).$$
(100)

We shall need the following continuation lemma on the mutual information for the proof of Proposition 16.

Lemma 17. Let \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} be two finite sets. Let $p_{\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$ be a pmf on \mathcal{X} , and let $V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X})$ be a channel from \mathcal{X} to \mathcal{Y} .

1. For any $\widetilde{V}_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X})$ such that $|p_{\mathsf{X}}(x) \cdot \widetilde{V}_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}(y|x) - p_{\mathsf{X}}(x) \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}(y|x)| \leq \xi \ \forall (x,y) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$ where $0 < \xi \leq \frac{1}{|\mathcal{X}| \cdot e}$, it hold that

$$\left| D\left(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \| p_{\mathsf{X}} \times p_{\mathsf{Y}} \right) - D\left(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot \widetilde{V}_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \| p_{\mathsf{X}} \times \widetilde{p}_{\mathsf{Y}} \right) \right| \leq \xi \cdot |\mathcal{X}| \cdot |\mathcal{Y}| \cdot \left(\log \frac{1}{\xi} + \log \frac{1}{\xi \cdot |\mathcal{X}|} \right),$$
(101)

where $p_{\mathbf{Y}}$ and $\tilde{p}_{\mathbf{Y}}$ are the induced output distributions of the channels $V_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}}$ and $\tilde{V}_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}}$ (with the same input distribution $p_{\mathbf{X}}$), respectively.

2. For any $\widetilde{p}_{\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$ such that $|\widetilde{p}_{\mathsf{X}}(x) - p_{\mathsf{X}}(x)| \leq \xi \ \forall x \in \mathcal{X}$ where $0 < \xi \leq \frac{1}{|\mathcal{X}| \cdot e}$, it hold that

$$\left| D \left(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \| p_{\mathsf{X}} \times p_{\mathsf{Y}} \right) - D \left(\widetilde{p}_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \| \widetilde{p}_{\mathsf{X}} \times \widetilde{p}_{\mathsf{Y}} \right) \right| \leq \xi \cdot |\mathcal{X}| \cdot \log |\mathcal{Y}| + \xi \cdot |\mathcal{X}| \cdot |\mathcal{Y}| \cdot \log \frac{1}{\xi \cdot |\mathcal{X}|}$$
(102)

where p_{Y} and \tilde{p}_{Y} are the induced output distributions corresponding to the input distributions p_{X} and \tilde{p}_{X} (with the same channel $V_{Y|X}$), respectively.

Proof. See Appendix B.

Proof of Proposition 16. We start with (92), i.e.,

$$1 - \epsilon^{\mathrm{NS}}(\lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor, W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n}) = \sup_{q_{\mathsf{Y}_1^n} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}^n)} \inf_{p_{\mathsf{X}_1^n} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}^n)} \sum_{\boldsymbol{x}_1^n \in \mathcal{X}_1^n} p_{\mathsf{X}_1^n}(\boldsymbol{x}_1^n) \cdot \sum_{\boldsymbol{y}_1^n} \min\left\{ W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n}(\boldsymbol{y}_1^n|\boldsymbol{x}_1^n), \lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor \cdot q_{\mathsf{Y}_1^n}(\boldsymbol{y}_1^n) \right\}$$
(92. repeated)

Since the function to be optimized above is linear in $p_{\mathbf{X}_1^n}$ and concave in $q_{\mathbf{Y}_1^n}$, and the sets $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}^n)$ and $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}^n)$ are convex compact, by Sion's minimax theorem [31], we have

$$1 - \epsilon^{\mathrm{NS}}(\lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor, W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n}) = \inf_{p_{\mathsf{X}_{1}^{n}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}^{n})} \sup_{q_{\mathsf{Y}_{1}^{n}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}^{n})} \sum_{\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n} \in \mathcal{X}_{1}^{n}} p_{\mathsf{X}_{1}^{n}}(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n}) \cdot \sum_{\boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n} \in \mathcal{Y}^{n}} \min\left\{ W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n}(\boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n}|\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n}), \lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor \cdot q_{\mathsf{Y}_{1}^{n}}(\boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n}) \right\}$$
(103)

$$\geq \inf_{p_{\mathbf{X}_{1}^{n}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X}^{n})} \sup_{\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{Y}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})} \sum_{\mathbf{x}_{1}^{n} \in \mathcal{X}_{1}^{n}} p_{\mathbf{X}_{1}^{n}}(\mathbf{x}_{1}^{n}) \cdot \sum_{\mathbf{y}_{1}^{n} \in \mathcal{Y}^{n}} \min\left\{ W_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}}^{\otimes n}(\mathbf{y}_{1}^{n}|\mathbf{x}_{1}^{n}), \lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor \cdot q_{\mathbf{Y}}^{\otimes n}(\mathbf{y}_{1}^{n}) \right\}$$
(104)

convex w.r.t. $p_{X_1^n}$ and invariant under all permutations of X_1, \ldots, X_n

where in (104) we restricted the supremum to i.i.d. pmfs. In addition, note that the expression after the infimum is a convex function of $p_{\mathbf{X}_1^n}$, and is invariant under all permutations of X_1, \ldots, X_n . Thus, there exists some permutation invariant $p_{\mathbf{X}_1^n}^{\star}$ that achieves the infimum. As every length-*n* permutation invariant pmf can be written as convex combinations of uniform distributions over sequences of same types (see Definition 7 for notation), *i.e.*

$$p_{\mathbf{X}_{1}^{n}}^{\star}(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n}) = \sum_{p_{\mathsf{X}}\in\mathcal{P}_{n}(\mathcal{X})} \alpha_{p_{\mathsf{X}}} \cdot \frac{1}{|\mathcal{T}_{n}(p_{\mathsf{X}})|} \cdot \mathbb{1}\left\{\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n}\in\mathcal{T}_{n}(p_{\mathsf{X}})\right\} \quad \forall \boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n}\in\mathcal{X}^{n},$$
(105)

for some pmf $\{\alpha_{p_{\mathsf{X}}}\}_{p_{\mathsf{X}}\in\mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{X})}$ on $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{X})$, there exists some type $p_{\mathsf{X}}\in\mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{X})$ such that

$$p_{\mathbf{X}_{1}^{n}}^{\star} \geq \frac{1}{|\mathcal{P}_{n}(\mathcal{X})|} \cdot \frac{1}{|\mathcal{T}_{n}(p_{\mathbf{X}})|} \cdot \mathbb{1}\left\{\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n} \in \mathcal{T}_{n}(p_{\mathbf{X}})\right\} \geq \frac{1}{(n+1)^{|\mathcal{X}|-1}} \cdot \frac{1}{|\mathcal{T}_{n}(p_{\mathbf{X}})|} \cdot \mathbb{1}\left\{\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n} \in \mathcal{T}_{n}(p_{\mathbf{X}})\right\}, \quad (106)$$

where we used $|\mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{X})| = \binom{n+|\mathcal{X}|-1}{n} \leq (n+1)^{|\mathcal{X}|-1}$. This allows us to lower bound (104) by

$$1 - \epsilon^{\mathrm{NS}}(\lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor, W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n}) \geq \frac{1}{(n+1)^{|\mathcal{X}|-1}} \cdot \inf_{p_{\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}_{n}(\mathcal{X})} \sup_{q_{\mathsf{Y}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})} \sum_{\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n} \in \mathcal{T}_{n}(p_{\mathsf{X}})} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{T}_{n}(p_{\mathsf{X}})|} \cdot \dots \\\sum_{\boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n} \in \mathcal{Y}^{n}} \min\left\{ W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n}(\boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n}|\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n}), \lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor \cdot q_{\mathsf{Y}}^{\otimes n}(\boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n}) \right\}$$

$$(107)$$

Now, we apply the method of types in a similar fashion as in Section 3.2. Let $V_{Y|X}^{(n)} \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X})$ be an arbitrary conditional type (see Definition 9). Restricting the summation over y_1^n to the ones such that $(\pmb{x}_1^n, \pmb{y}_1^n)$ is of conditional type $V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{(n)}$ we have

$$1 - \epsilon^{\mathrm{NS}}(\lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor, W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n}) \ge \frac{1}{(n+1)^{|\mathcal{X}|-1}} \cdot \inf_{p_{\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}_{n}(\mathcal{X})} \sup_{q_{\mathsf{Y}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})} \sup_{\mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{(n)} \in \mathcal{P}_{n}(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X})} \sum_{x_{1}^{n} \in \mathcal{T}_{n}(p_{\mathsf{X}})} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{T}_{n}(p_{\mathsf{X}})|} \cdot \cdots$$

$$\sum_{y_{1}^{n} \in \mathcal{T}_{n}(\mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{(n)}, x_{1}^{n})} \min \left\{ \exp\left(-n \cdot \left(D\left(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot \mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{(n)} \| p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot \mathsf{W}_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}\right) + H(\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X})\right)\right), \ldots$$

$$\lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor \cdot \exp\left(-n \cdot \left(D\left(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot \mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{(n)} \| p_{\mathsf{X}} \times q_{\mathsf{Y}}\right) + H(\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X})\right)\right)\right)\right\}$$

$$(108)$$

$$= \frac{1}{(n+1)^{|\mathcal{X}|-1}} \cdot \inf_{p_{\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}_{n}(\mathcal{X})} \sup_{q_{\mathsf{Y}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})} \sup_{\mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{(n)} \in \mathcal{P}_{n}(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X})} \sum_{q_{1}^{n} \in \mathcal{T}_{n}(\mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{(n)}, x_{1}^{n})} \cdots$$

$$\min \left\{\exp\left(-n \cdot \left(D\left(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot \mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{(n)} \| p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot \mathsf{W}_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}\right) + H(\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X})\right)\right), \ldots\right\}$$

$$\left\lfloor e^{nr} \right\rfloor \cdot \exp\left(-n \cdot \left(D\left(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{(n)} \middle\| p_{\mathsf{X}} \times q_{\mathsf{Y}} \right) + H(\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}) \right) \right) \right\}$$
(109)

where we have used (59) and the fact that (also see (60))

$$q_{\mathbf{Y}}^{\otimes n}(\boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n}) = \exp\left(-n \cdot \left(D\left(p_{\mathbf{X}} \cdot V_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}}^{(n)} \middle\| p_{\mathbf{X}} \cdot q_{\mathbf{Y}}\right) + H(\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X})\right)\right),\tag{110}$$

where the random variables (X, Y) are distributed according to $p_X \cdot V_{Y|X}^{(n)}$ for the conditional entropy H(Y|X) in the above expressions. Recall (63) (also see Lemma 10 [11, Lemma 2.5]), and we can further write

$$1 - \epsilon^{\mathrm{NS}}(\lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor, W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n}) \geq \frac{1}{(n+1)^{|\mathcal{X}|-1}} \cdot \inf_{p_{\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}_{n}(\mathcal{X})} \sup_{q_{\mathsf{Y}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})} \sup_{\mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{(n)} \in \mathcal{P}_{n}(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X})} \frac{1}{(n+1)^{|\mathcal{X}| \cdot |\mathcal{Y}|}} \cdot \dots$$

$$\min \left\{ \exp\left(-n \cdot D\left(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{(n)} \| p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}\right)\right), \lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor \cdot \exp\left(-n \cdot D\left(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{(n)} \| p_{\mathsf{X}} \times q_{\mathsf{Y}}\right)\right)\right)\right\}$$

$$(111)$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{(n+1)^{|\mathcal{X}|-1}} \cdot \inf_{p_{\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}_{n}(\mathcal{X})} \sup_{\mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{(n)} \in \mathcal{P}_{n}(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X})} \frac{1}{(n+1)^{|\mathcal{X}| \cdot |\mathcal{Y}|}} \cdot \dots$$

$$\min \left\{ \exp\left(-n \cdot D\left(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{(n)} \| p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}\right)\right), \lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor \cdot \exp\left(-n \cdot D\left(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{(n)} \| p_{\mathsf{X}} \times p_{\mathsf{Y}}^{(n)}\right)\right)\right\}$$

$$(112)$$

where we choose $q_{\mathsf{Y}} = p_{\mathsf{Y}}^{(n)}(\cdot) \coloneqq \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p_{\mathsf{X}}(x) \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{(n)}(\cdot|x)$. Following a similar argument in Section 3.2, we can restrict $V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{(n)}$ to approximations $V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{(p_{\mathsf{X}})}$ of $V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X})$ and p_{X} to approximations $p_{\mathsf{X}}^{(n)}$ of $p_{\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$ (see Lemma 17 together with Lemma 8, Lemma 11, Lemma 12, and (68)–(75)), *i.e.*,

$$1 - \epsilon^{\mathrm{NS}}(\lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor, W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n}) \geq \frac{1}{(n+1)^{|\mathcal{X}| \cdot |\mathcal{Y}| + |\mathcal{X}| - 1}} \cdot \inf_{p_{\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})} \sup_{V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X})} \dots$$

$$\min \left\{ A_{n} \cdot \exp\left(-n \cdot D\left(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \| p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}\right)\right), \lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor \cdot \widetilde{B}_{n}^{-1} \cdot \exp\left(-n \cdot D\left(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \| p_{\mathsf{X}} \times p_{\mathsf{Y}}\right)\right) \right\}$$
(113)

for all $V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X})$, where A_n is the same one as in (74) whereas \widetilde{B}_n turns out to be as follows

$$\widetilde{B}_n \coloneqq n^{3 \cdot |\mathcal{X}| \cdot |\mathcal{Y}|} \cdot |\mathcal{X}|^{-2 \cdot |\mathcal{X}| \cdot |\mathcal{Y}|} \cdot |\mathcal{Y}|^{|\mathcal{X}|}.$$
(114)

Notice that $\min\{x, y\} = \min_{\alpha \in [0,1]} x^{\alpha} \cdot y^{1-\alpha}$ for any positive real numbers x and y. Thus, we can rewrite (113) as

$$1 - \epsilon^{\mathrm{NS}}(\lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor, W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n}) \geq \frac{1}{(n+1)^{|\mathcal{X}| \cdot |\mathcal{Y}| + |\mathcal{X}| - 1}} \cdot \inf_{p_{\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})} \sup_{V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X})} \inf_{\alpha \in [0,1]} \dots$$

$$A_{n}^{\alpha} \cdot \exp\left(-n \cdot \alpha \cdot D\left(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \| p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}\right)\right) \cdot (\lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor)^{1 - \alpha} \dots$$

$$\widetilde{B}_{n}^{\alpha - 1} \cdot \exp\left(-n \cdot (1 - \alpha) \cdot D\left(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \| p_{\mathsf{X}} \times p_{\mathsf{Y}}\right)\right),$$
(115)

or equivalently,

$$-\frac{1}{n}\log\left(1-\epsilon^{\mathrm{NS}}(\lfloor e^{nr}\rfloor, W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n})\right) - \frac{|\mathcal{X}| \cdot |\mathcal{Y}| + |\mathcal{X}| - 1}{n}\log\left(n+1\right) - \frac{\log\widetilde{B}_{n}}{n}$$

$$\leq \sup_{p_{\mathsf{X}}\in\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})}\inf_{V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}\in\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X})}\sup_{\alpha\in[0,1]} -\alpha \cdot \frac{\log\left(A_{n}\widetilde{B}_{n}\right)}{n} + (\alpha-1)\cdot r_{n} + \dots$$

$$\underbrace{\alpha \cdot D\left(p_{\mathsf{X}}\cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}\big\|p_{\mathsf{X}}\cdot W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}\right) + (1-\alpha) \cdot D\left(p_{\mathsf{X}}\cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}\big\|p_{\mathsf{X}}\times p_{\mathsf{Y}}\right)}_{=I(\mathsf{X};\mathsf{Y}) - \alpha \cdot H(\mathsf{Y}) - \alpha \cdot \sum_{x,y}p_{\mathsf{X}}(x) \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}(y|x) \operatorname{vidh}(\mathsf{X},\mathsf{Y}) \sim p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}}$$
(116)

where $r_n \coloneqq \frac{1}{n} \log \lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor$ has already been defined in Proposition 3. Note that the expression to be optimized on the RHS of (116) is linear in α and convex in $V_{Y|X}$ and the sets [0, 1] and $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X})$ are convex compact. We apply Sion's minimax theorem [31] to swap the supremum over α outside, and get

$$-\frac{1}{n}\log\left(1-\epsilon^{\mathrm{NS}}(\lfloor e^{nr}\rfloor, W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n})\right) - \frac{|\mathcal{X}| \cdot |\mathcal{Y}| + |\mathcal{X}| - 1}{n}\log\left(n+1\right) - \frac{\log\widetilde{B}_{n}}{n}$$

$$\leqslant \sup_{\alpha \in [0,1]} -\alpha \cdot \frac{\log\left(A_{n}\widetilde{B}_{n}\right)}{n} + (\alpha - 1) \cdot r_{n} + \dots$$

$$\sup_{p_{\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})} \inf_{V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X})} \inf_{q_{\mathsf{Y}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})} \alpha \cdot D\left(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \| p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}\right) + (1-\alpha) \cdot D\left(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \| p_{\mathsf{X}} \times q_{\mathsf{Y}}\right)$$
(117)

where we have also used the fact that $\inf_{q_{Y} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})} D(p_{X} \cdot V_{Y|X} || p_{X} \times q_{Y}) = D(p_{X} \cdot V_{Y|X} || p_{X} \times p_{Y})$. By the variational formulation of the Rényi divergence [15, Theorem 30], we have for $\alpha \in [0, 1]$

$$\inf_{V_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}}\in\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X})} \alpha \cdot D(p_{\mathbf{X}} \cdot V_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}} \| p_{\mathbf{X}} \cdot W_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}}) + (1-\alpha) \cdot D(p_{\mathbf{X}} \cdot V_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}} \| p_{\mathbf{X}} \times q_{\mathbf{Y}})
= (1-\alpha) \cdot \mathbb{E}_{x \sim p_{\mathbf{X}}} \left[D_{\alpha} (W_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}}(\cdot|x) \| q_{\mathbf{Y}}) \right].$$
(118)

Combine (118) with (117), we have

$$-\frac{1}{n}\log\left(1-\epsilon^{\mathrm{NS}}(\lfloor e^{nr}\rfloor, W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n})\right)$$

$$\leq \sup_{\alpha\in[0,1]}\sup_{p_{\mathsf{X}}\in\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})}\inf_{q_{\mathsf{Y}}\in\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})}(1-\alpha)\cdot\left(\mathbb{E}_{x\sim p_{\mathsf{X}}}\left[D_{\alpha}\left(W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}(\cdot|x)\|q_{\mathsf{Y}}\right)\right]-r_{n}\right)-\alpha\cdot\widetilde{g}_{n}+\widetilde{f}_{n}$$
(119)

$$= \sup_{\alpha \in [0,1]} \sup_{p_{\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})} \inf_{q_{\mathsf{Y}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})} (1-\alpha) \cdot \left(D_{\alpha} \left(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \| p_{\mathsf{X}} \times q_{\mathsf{Y}} \right) - r_n \right) - \alpha \cdot \widetilde{g}_n + f_n$$
(120)

where we use [10, Proposition 1] in the last equality and

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{f}_{n} &\coloneqq \frac{|\mathcal{X}| \cdot |\mathcal{Y}| + |\mathcal{X}| - 1}{n} \log \left(n + 1 \right) + \frac{\log \widetilde{B}_{n}}{n} \\ &= \frac{\left(|\mathcal{X}| \cdot |\mathcal{Y}| + |\mathcal{X}| - 1\right) \cdot \log \left(n + 1 \right)}{n} + \frac{3 \cdot |\mathcal{X}| \cdot |\mathcal{Y}| \cdot \log n}{n} + \frac{-2 \cdot |\mathcal{X}| \cdot |\mathcal{Y}| \cdot \log |\mathcal{X}| + |\mathcal{X}| \cdot \log |\mathcal{Y}|}{n} \\ &= O\left(\frac{\log n}{n}\right), \\ \widetilde{g}_{n} &\coloneqq \frac{1}{n} \log \left(A_{n}\widetilde{B}_{n}\right) = \frac{2 \cdot |\mathcal{X}| \cdot |\mathcal{Y}| \cdot \log \left(W_{\min} \cdot n\right)}{n} + \frac{-2 \cdot |\mathcal{X}| \cdot |\mathcal{Y}| \cdot \log |\mathcal{X}| + |\mathcal{X}| \cdot \log |\mathcal{Y}|}{n} \\ &= O\left(\frac{\log n}{n}\right). \end{split}$$

From Propositions 14 and 16, we deduce strong converse exponent for non-signaling channel simulation.

Theorem 18. Let $W_{Y|X} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X})$ be a channel. For all r > 0, it holds that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} -\frac{1}{n} \log \left(1 - \epsilon^{\mathrm{NS}}(\lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor, W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n}) \right) = \sup_{\alpha \in [0,1]} (1 - \alpha) \cdot \left(-r + \sup_{p_{\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})} \inf_{q_{\mathsf{Y}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})} D_{\alpha} \left(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \| p_{\mathsf{X}} \times q_{\mathsf{Y}} \right) \right)$$
(121)

5 Exponents in the Shared Randomness-Assisted Scenario

In this section, we would like to link the exponents of channel simulation in the shared randomnessassisted scenario to those in the non-signaling scenario. In particular, in [4], the authors have shown the following result connecting the optimal deviations for channel simulation under the two scenarios, *i.e.*,

Theorem 19 ([4, Corollary 1.1], rephrased). Let $W_{Y|X} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X})$ be a channel. For any $M, M' \ge 1$, it holds that

$$\epsilon^{\mathrm{NS}}(M', W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}) \leqslant \epsilon^{\mathrm{SR}}(M', W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}) \leqslant \left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{M}\right)^{M'}\right) \cdot \epsilon^{\mathrm{NS}}(M, W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{M}\right)^{M'}$$
(122)

where $\epsilon^{SR}(M', W_{Y|X})$ denotes the minimal attainable distortion (measured in TVD) of shared randomnessassisted simulation codes for $W_{Y|X}$ with alphabet size at most M'.

5.1 Error Exponent for Shared Randomness-Assisted Channel Simulation

We use Theorem 19 to establish the following relationships between the error exponents for channel simulation under the two scenarios.

Lemma 20. Let $W_{Y|X} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X})$ be a channel. For any r > 0 and $\delta > 0$, it holds that

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} -\frac{1}{n} \log \epsilon^{\mathrm{SR}}(\lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor, W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n}) \leqslant \lim_{n \to \infty} -\frac{1}{n} \log \epsilon^{\mathrm{NS}}(\lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor, W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n}),$$
(123)

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} -\frac{1}{n} \log \epsilon^{\mathrm{SR}}(\lfloor e^{n \cdot (r+\delta)} \rfloor, W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n}) \ge \lim_{n \to \infty} -\frac{1}{n} \log \epsilon^{\mathrm{NS}}(\lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor, W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n}).$$
(124)

Proof. Eq. (123) is an immediate implication of the first inequality of Theorem 19. To show (124), we apply the second inequality in Theorem 19, and get

$$\epsilon^{\mathrm{SR}}(\lfloor e^{n \cdot (r+\delta)} \rfloor, W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n}) \leqslant \left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor}\right)^{\lfloor e^{n \cdot (r+\delta)} \rfloor}\right) \cdot \epsilon^{\mathrm{NS}}(\lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor, W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n}) + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor}\right)^{\lfloor e^{n \cdot (r+\delta)} \rfloor}$$
(125)

$$\leq \epsilon^{\mathrm{NS}}(\lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor, W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n}) + \exp\left(-e^{n \cdot \delta} + e^{-n \cdot r}\right), \tag{126}$$

where we have used the fact that, for $n \ge \frac{1}{r}$,

$$\log\left\{\left(1-\frac{1}{\lfloor e^{nr}\rfloor}\right)^{\lfloor e^{n\cdot(r+\delta)}\rfloor}\right\} = \lfloor e^{n\cdot(r+\delta)}\rfloor \cdot \log\left(1-\frac{1}{\lfloor e^{nr}\rfloor}\right) \leqslant \lfloor e^{n\cdot(r+\delta)}\rfloor \cdot \left(-\frac{1}{\lfloor e^{nr}\rfloor}\right)$$
(127)

$$\leqslant -\frac{e^{n\cdot(r+\delta)}-1}{e^{nr}} = -e^{n\cdot\delta} + e^{-n\cdot r}.$$
(128)

We further claim that for any positive sequence $\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that $\{\frac{1}{n}\log a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is convergent, it holds that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log a_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \left(a_n + \exp \left(-e^{n \cdot \delta} + e^{-n \cdot r} \right) \right)$$
(129)

for any $r, \delta > 0$. We defer the proof of (129) to Appendix C. Finally, (124) is the result of combining (126) and (129).

Lemma 20 enables the following theorem.

Theorem 21. Let $W_{Y|X} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X})$ be a channel. For all r > 0, it holds that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} -\frac{1}{n} \log \epsilon^{\mathrm{SR}}(\lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor, W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n}) = \sup_{\alpha \ge 0} \alpha \cdot \left(r - \sup_{p_{\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})} \inf_{q_{\mathsf{Y}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})} D_{\alpha+1}(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \| p_{\mathsf{X}} \times q_{\mathsf{Y}}) \right).$$
(130)

Proof. By (124) and Theorem 13, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \inf \left\{ -\frac{1}{n} \log \epsilon^{\mathrm{SR}} \left(\lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor, W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n} \right) \\
\geqslant \sup_{\delta \in (0,r)} \lim_{n \to \infty} -\frac{1}{n} \log \epsilon^{\mathrm{NS}} \left(\lfloor e^{n \cdot (r-\delta)} \rfloor, W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n} \right) \tag{131}$$

$$= \sup_{\delta \in (0,r)} \sup_{\alpha \ge 0} \alpha \cdot \left((r-\delta) - \sup_{p_{\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})} \inf_{q_{\mathsf{Y}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})} D_{\alpha+1} (p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \| p_{\mathsf{X}} \times q_{\mathsf{Y}}) \right)$$
(132)

$$= \sup_{\alpha \ge 0} \alpha \cdot \sup_{\delta \in (0,r)} \left((r-\delta) - \sup_{p_{\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})} \inf_{q_{\mathsf{Y}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})} D_{\alpha+1} (p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \| p_{\mathsf{X}} \times q_{\mathsf{Y}}) \right)$$
(133)

$$= \sup_{\alpha \ge 0} \alpha \cdot \left(r - \sup_{p_{\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})} \inf_{q_{\mathsf{Y}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})} D_{\alpha+1} (p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \| p_{\mathsf{X}} \times q_{\mathsf{Y}}) \right).$$
(134)

On the other hand, by (123) and Theorem 13 we have

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} -\frac{1}{n} \log \epsilon^{\mathrm{SR}}(\lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor, W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n}) \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} -\frac{1}{n} \log \epsilon^{\mathrm{NS}}(\lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor, W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n})$$

$$= \sup_{\alpha \geq 0} \alpha \cdot \left(r - \sup_{p_{\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})} \inf_{q_{\mathsf{Y}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})} D_{\alpha+1}(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \| p_{\mathsf{X}} \times q_{\mathsf{Y}}) \right),$$
(135)
(136)

which finishes the proof.

5.2 Strong Converse Exponent for Shared Randomness-Assisted Channel Simulation

By taking M = M' in Theorem 19, we have the following relationships between the deviations for channel simulation under the non-signaling and the shared randomness-assisted scenarios.

Lemma 22. Let $W_{Y|X} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X})$ be a channel. For any $M \ge 1$, it holds that

$$1 - \epsilon^{\mathrm{NS}}(M, W_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}}) \ge 1 - \epsilon^{\mathrm{SR}}(M, W_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}}) \ge \left(1 - \frac{1}{e}\right) \cdot \left(1 - \epsilon^{\mathrm{NS}}(M, W_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}})\right).$$
(137)

Proof. Let M = M' in Theorem 19, we have

$$1 - \epsilon^{\mathrm{NS}}(M, W_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}}) \ge 1 - \epsilon^{\mathrm{SR}}(M, W_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}}) \ge \left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{M}\right)^{M}\right) \cdot \left(1 - \epsilon^{\mathrm{NS}}(M, W_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}})\right).$$
(138)

This results in (137) by noting that $(1 - \frac{1}{M})^M \leq \frac{1}{e}$ for all $M \geq 1$.

Theorem 23. Let $W_{Y|X} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X})$ be a channel. For all r > 0, it holds that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} -\frac{1}{n} \log \left(1 - \epsilon^{\mathrm{SR}} (\lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor, W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n}) \right) = \sup_{\alpha \in [0,1]} (1 - \alpha) \cdot \left(-r + \sup_{p_{\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})} \inf_{q_{\mathsf{Y}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y})} D_{\alpha} \left(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \| p_{\mathsf{X}} \times q_{\mathsf{Y}} \right) \right)$$
(139)

Proof. By Theorem 18, it suffices to show that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} -\frac{1}{n} \log \left(1 - \epsilon^{\mathrm{SR}}(\lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor, W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n}) \right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} -\frac{1}{n} \log \left(1 - \epsilon^{\mathrm{NS}}(\lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor, W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n}) \right), \tag{140}$$

which can be directly shown via Lemma 22, *i.e.*,

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} -\frac{1}{n} \log \left(1 - \epsilon^{\mathrm{SR}}(\lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor, W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n}) \right) \ge \lim_{n \to \infty} -\frac{1}{n} \log \left(1 - \epsilon^{\mathrm{NS}}(\lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor, W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n}) \right), \tag{141}$$

$$\begin{split} \limsup_{n \to \infty} -\frac{1}{n} \log \left(1 - \epsilon^{\mathrm{SR}}(\lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor, W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n}) \right) &\leq \lim_{n \to \infty} -\frac{1}{n} \log \left(\left(1 - \frac{1}{e} \right) \cdot \left(1 - \epsilon^{\mathrm{NS}}(\lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor, W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}) \right) \right) \quad (142) \\ &= \lim_{n \to \infty} -\frac{1}{n} \log \left(1 - \epsilon^{\mathrm{NS}}(\lfloor e^{nr} \rfloor, W_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{\otimes n}) \right). \end{split}$$

6 Conclusion

In this study, we derived the error exponent and the strong converse exponent for channel simulation in the non-signaling and the shared randomness-assisted settings. We expressed the exponents in terms of the Rényi divergences. It should be noted that our expressions of exponents hold for all rates r > 0, *i.e.*, there is no critical rate, despite the fact that the expressions only become nontrivial when r is above or below certain thresholds. Our finding is quite surprising as compared to the error exponent of channel coding, in which the critical rate is involved [18]. The method of types has proven to be a useful tool in analyzing the relevant terms in the non-signaling regimes. Along with earlier findings on small- and moderate-deviation analysis, this research offers a comprehensive study of finite-blocklength analysis of the channel simulation task. For future studies, it would be intriguing to investigate whether the methods and insights presented here can be generalized to address the unresolved issues in channel interconversion, especially, the corresponding error exponent and the strong converse exponent.

Acknowledgments

AO, MC, and MB acknowledge funding by the European Research Council (ERC Grant Agreement No. 948139). MB acknowledges support from the Excellence Cluster - Matter and Light for Quantum Computing (ML4Q). HC is supported by NSTC 113-2119-M-001-009, No. NSTC 113-2628-E-002-029, No. NTU-113V1904-5, No. NTU-CC-113L891605, and No. NTU-113L900702. We thank Marco Tomamichel for discussions.

References

- [1] Suguru Arimoto. "Information measures and capacity of order α for discrete memoryless channels". In: *Topics in information theory* (1977).
- [2] Charles H Bennett, Igor Devetak, Aram W Harrow, Peter W Shor, and Andreas Winter. "The quantum reverse Shannon theorem and resource tradeoffs for simulating quantum channels". In: *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* 60.5 (2014), pp. 2926–2959.
- [3] Charles H Bennett, Peter W Shor, John A Smolin, and Ashish V Thapliyal. "Entanglementassisted capacity of a quantum channel and the reverse Shannon theorem". In: *IEEE trans*actions on Information Theory 48.10 (2002), pp. 2637–2655.

- [4] Mario Berta, Omar Fawzi, and Aadil Oufkir. "Optimality of meta-converse for channel simulation". In: 2024 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory (ISIT). IEEE. 2024, pp. 1209–1214.
- [5] Michael X Cao, Navneeth Ramakrishnan, Mario Berta, and Marco Tomamichel. "Channel simulation: Finite blocklengths and broadcast channels". In: *IEEE Transactions on Informa*tion Theory 70.10 (2024), pp. 6780–6808.
- [6] Matthias Christandl, Robert König, and Renato Renner. "Postselection technique for quantum channels with applications to quantum cryptography". In: *Physical review letters* 102.2 (2009), p. 020504.
- [7] Matthias Christandl and Renato Renner. "Reliable quantum state tomography". In: *Physical Review Letters* 109.12 (2012), p. 120403.
- [8] Benoit Collins, Sho Matsumoto, and Jonathan Novak. "The weingarten calculus". In: *arXiv* preprint arXiv:2109.14890 (2022).
- [9] Benoît Collins, Sho Matsumoto, and Nadia Saad. "Integration of invariant matrices and moments of inverses of Ginibre and Wishart matrices". In: *Journal of Multivariate Analysis* 126 (2014), pp. 1–13.
- [10] Imre Csiszár. "Generalized cutoff rates and Rényi's information measures". In: IEEE Transactions on information theory 41.1 (1995), pp. 26–34.
- [11] Imre Csiszár and János Körner. Information theory: coding theorems for discrete memoryless systems. Cambridge, England, UK: Cambridge University Press, 2011. ISBN: 978-1-13949998-9.
- [12] Toby S Cubitt, Debbie Leung, William Matthews, and Andreas Winter. "Zero-error channel capacity and simulation assisted by non-local correlations". In: *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* 57.8 (2011), pp. 5509–5523.
- [13] Paul Cuff. "Distributed channel synthesis". In: *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* 59.11 (2013), pp. 7071–7096.
- [14] Paul Warner Cuff, Haim H Permuter, and Thomas M Cover. "Coordination capacity". In: IEEE Transactions on Information Theory 56.9 (2010), pp. 4181–4206.
- [15] Tim van Erven and Peter Harremos. "Rényi divergence and Kullback-Leibler divergence". In: IEEE Transactions on Information Theory 60.7 (2014), pp. 3797–3820.
- [16] Kun Fang, Xin Wang, Marco Tomamichel, and Mario Berta. "Quantum channel simulation and the channel's smooth max-information". In: *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* 66.4 (2019), pp. 2129–2140.
- [17] Christopher A Fuchs and Jeroen Van De Graaf. "Cryptographic distinguishability measures for quantum-mechanical states". In: *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* 45.4 (1999), pp. 1216–1227.
- [18] Robert G Gallager. Information theory and reliable communication. Vol. 588. Springer, 1968.
- [19] Te Sun Han and Sergio Verdú. "Approximation theory of output statistics". In: IEEE Transactions on Information Theory 39.3 (1993), pp. 752–772.

- [20] Prahladh Harsha, Rahul Jain, David McAllester, and Jaikumar Radhakrishnan. "The Communication Complexity of Correlation". In: *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* 56.1 (2010), pp. 438–449.
- [21] Masahito Hayashi. "General nonasymptotic and asymptotic formulas in channel resolvability and identification capacity and their application to the wiretap channel". In: *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* 52.4 (2006), pp. 1562–1575.
- [22] Masahito Hayashi. "Universal approximation of multi-copy states and universal quantum lossless data compression". In: Communications in Mathematical Physics 293 (2010), pp. 171– 183.
- [23] Ke Li and Yongsheng Yao. "Reliable simulation of quantum channels". In: arXiv preprint arXiv:2112.04475 (2021).
- [24] William Matthews. "A linear program for the finite block length converse of Polyanskiy– Poor–Verdú via nonsignaling codes". In: *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* 58.12 (2012), pp. 7036–7044.
- [25] Barış Nakiboğlu. "The Rényi capacity and center". In: IEEE Transactions on Information Theory 65.2 (2018), pp. 841–860.
- [26] Aadil Oufkir, Yongsheng Yao, and Mario Berta. "Exponents for classical-quantum channel simulation in purified distance". In: *In preparation* (2024).
- [27] Mani Bastani Parizi, Emre Telatar, and Neri Merhav. "Exact random coding secrecy exponents for the wiretap channel". In: *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* 63.1 (2016), pp. 509–531.
- [28] Navneeth Ramakrishnan, Marco Tomamichel, and Mario Berta. "Moderate deviation expansion for fully quantum tasks". In: *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* 69.8 (2023), pp. 5041–5059.
- [29] Claude Shannon. "The zero error capacity of a noisy channel". In: IRE Transactions on Information Theory 2.3 (1956), pp. 8–19.
- [30] Claude Elwood Shannon. "A mathematical theory of communication". In: *The Bell system* technical journal 27.3 (1948), pp. 379–423.
- [31] Maurice Sion. "On general minimax theorems". In: *Pacific Journal of Mathematics* 1 (1958).
- [32] Madhu Sudan, Himanshu Tyagi, and Shun Watanabe. "Communication for generating correlation: A unifying survey". In: *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* 66.1 (2019), pp. 5– 37.
- [33] Marco Tomamichel and Masahito Hayashi. "Operational interpretation of Rényi information measures via composite hypothesis testing against product and Markov distributions". In: *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* 64.2 (2017), pp. 1064–1082.
- [34] Andreas Winter. "Compression of sources of probability distributions and density operators". In: arXiv preprint quant-ph/0208131 (2002).
- [35] Aaron Wyner. "The common information of two dependent random variables". In: *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* 21.2 (1975), pp. 163–179.
- [36] Semih Yagli and Paul Cuff. "Exact exponent for soft covering". In: IEEE Transactions on Information Theory 65.10 (2019), pp. 6234–6262.

A Classical Post-Selection Lemma with Integral Representation

Lemma (Lemma 6 restated). Let \mathcal{A} be a finite set. There exists a probability measure ν on the set of all pmfs on \mathcal{A} , i.e., $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$, such that for all positive integers n

$$p_{\mathbf{A}_{1}^{n}} \leqslant \binom{n+|\mathcal{A}|-1}{n} \cdot \int \mathrm{d}\nu(q_{\mathsf{A}}) q_{\mathsf{A}}^{\otimes n}$$
(143)

for every permutation invariant pmf $p_{\mathbf{A}_1^n} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A}^n)$.

Proof. We use some elements from quantum information theory to construct the desired measure ν .

Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space with a basis $\{|a\rangle\}_{a\in\mathcal{A}}$ indexed by the set \mathcal{A} , and let A_1, \ldots, A_n be n quantum systems, each with the same state space \mathcal{H} . For each type $p \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{A})$ (see Definition 7 for notations), define $|\psi_p\rangle$ to be the following pure state on the joint system \mathbf{A}_1^n

$$|\psi_p\rangle \coloneqq \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\mathcal{T}_n(p)|}} \sum_{a_1^n \in \mathcal{T}_n(p)} |a_1\rangle \otimes |a_2\rangle \otimes \cdots \otimes |a_n\rangle.$$
(144)

Observe that $|\psi_p\rangle$ is invariant under any permutations of A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_n , *i.e.*, $|\psi_p\rangle$ lies inside the symmetric subspace Symⁿ(\mathcal{H}). Since the pmf $p_{\mathbf{A}_1^n}$ is permutation invariant, we can write

$$p_{\mathbf{A}_{1}^{n}}(\boldsymbol{a}_{1}^{n}) = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_{n}(\mathcal{A})} \alpha_{p} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{1}{|\mathcal{T}_{n}(p)|} \mathbb{1}\{\boldsymbol{a}_{1}^{n} \in \mathcal{T}_{n}(p)\}}_{=:\mathcal{U}_{p}(\boldsymbol{a}_{1}^{n})} \quad \forall \boldsymbol{a}_{1}^{n} \in \mathcal{X}^{n}$$
(145)

for some pmf $\{\alpha_p\}_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{A})}$ on $\mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{A})$. Correspondingly, we define $\rho_{\mathbf{A}_1^n}$ to be the following density operator on \mathbf{A}_1^n

$$\rho_{\mathbf{A}_{1}^{n}} = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_{n}(\mathcal{A})} \alpha_{p} \cdot |\psi_{p}\rangle\!\langle\psi_{p}|.$$
(146)

By construction, $\rho_{\mathbf{A}_1^n}$ is supported on $\operatorname{Sym}^n(\mathcal{H})$. Thus, by [7], it holds that

$$\rho_{\mathbf{A}_{1}^{n}} \preccurlyeq \binom{n+|\mathcal{A}|-1}{n} \cdot \int \mathrm{d}|\phi\rangle \, |\phi\rangle\langle\phi|^{\otimes n} \tag{147}$$

where $d|\phi\rangle$ is the Haar measure on the Hilbert space \mathcal{H} .

Now, we apply the following quantum channel (CPTP map)

$$\mathcal{M}: \rho \mapsto \sum_{\boldsymbol{a}_1^n \in \mathcal{A}^n} \langle \boldsymbol{a}_1^n | \rho | \boldsymbol{a}_1^n \rangle | \boldsymbol{a}_1^n \rangle \langle \boldsymbol{a}_1^n |$$
(148)

on both sides of the inequality (147). By direct calculation, we have from (146) and (144)

$$\mathcal{M}(\text{LHS of } (147)) = \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{A})} \alpha_p \cdot \sum_{\boldsymbol{a}_1^n \in \mathcal{A}^n} |\langle \boldsymbol{a}_1^n | \psi_p \rangle|^2 \cdot |\boldsymbol{a}_1^n \rangle \langle \boldsymbol{a}_1^n |$$
(149)

$$= \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{A})} \alpha_p \cdot \sum_{\boldsymbol{a}_1^n \in \mathcal{T}_n(p)} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{T}_n(p)|} \cdot |\boldsymbol{a}_1^n\rangle \langle \boldsymbol{a}_1^n|$$
(150)

$$=\sum_{p\in\mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{A})}\alpha_p\cdot\mathcal{U}_p=p_{\mathbf{A}_1^n},\tag{151}$$

$$\mathcal{M}(\text{RHS of } (147)) = \binom{n + |\mathcal{A}| - 1}{n} \cdot \int d|\phi\rangle \sum_{\boldsymbol{a}_1^n \in \mathcal{A}^n} \left| \langle \boldsymbol{a}_1^n | \phi \rangle^{\otimes n} \right|^2 \cdot |\boldsymbol{a}_1^n \rangle \langle \boldsymbol{a}_1^n|$$
(152)

$$= \binom{n+|\mathcal{A}|-1}{n} \cdot \int \mathrm{d}|\phi\rangle \sum_{\boldsymbol{a}_{1}^{n} \in \mathcal{A}^{n}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} |\langle a_{i}|\phi\rangle|^{2} \cdot |\boldsymbol{a}_{1}^{n}\rangle\langle \boldsymbol{a}_{1}^{n}|$$
(153)

$$= \binom{n+|\mathcal{A}|-1}{n} \cdot \int \mathrm{d}|\phi\rangle \left(\underbrace{\sum_{a\in\mathcal{A}} |\langle a|\phi\rangle|^2 \cdot |a\rangle\langle a|}_{=:q_{|\phi\rangle}}\right)^{\otimes n},\tag{154}$$

where we have treated pmfs as diagonal density operators, *i.e.*, $p_{\mathbf{A}_1^n} \equiv \sum_{\mathbf{a}_1^n \in \mathcal{A}^n} p_{\mathbf{A}_1^n}(\mathbf{a}_1^n) \cdot |\mathbf{a}_1^n \rangle \langle \mathbf{a}_1^n |$. By linearity and complete-positiveness of \mathcal{M} , we have

$$p_{\mathbf{A}_{1}^{n}} \leqslant \binom{n+|\mathcal{A}|-1}{n} \cdot \int \mathrm{d}|\phi\rangle \; q_{|\phi\rangle}^{\otimes n} \tag{155}$$

where $q_{|\phi\rangle}: a \mapsto |\langle a | \phi \rangle|^2$ is a pmf on \mathcal{A} for all pure state $|\phi\rangle$.

Remark 24. In the following remark, we show the pmf on the RHS of (155) equals the universal probability distribution as in [33] exactly, *i.e.*,

$$\left(\int \mathrm{d}|\phi\rangle \; q_{|\phi\rangle}^{\otimes n}\right)(\boldsymbol{a}_{1}^{n}) = \int \mathrm{d}|\phi\rangle \; \prod_{i=1}^{n} q_{|\phi\rangle}(a_{i}) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{P}_{n}(\mathcal{A})|} \cdot \sum_{p\in\mathcal{P}_{n}(\mathcal{A})} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{T}_{n}(p)|} \mathbb{1}\left\{\boldsymbol{a}_{1}^{n}\in\mathcal{T}_{n}(p)\right\} \quad \forall \boldsymbol{a}_{1}^{n}\in\mathcal{A}^{n}.$$
(156)

Let dU be the Haar measure over the set of unitary operators over \mathcal{H} w.r.t. the Hilbert-Schmidt inner product. This allows us to replace $d\nu$ by dU as follows

$$\int \mathrm{d}|\phi\rangle \prod_{i=1}^{n} q_{|\phi\rangle}(a_i) = \int \mathrm{d}U \prod_{i=1}^{n} q_{U|0\rangle}(a_i) = \int \mathrm{d}U \prod_{i=1}^{n} \langle 0|U^{\dagger}|a_i\rangle\langle a_i|U|0\rangle$$
(157)

where $|0\rangle \in \{|a\rangle\}_{a \in \mathcal{A}}$ is a fixed pure state. Using the Weingarten formula for the unitary group $\mathbb{U}(|\mathcal{A}|)$ (e.g., [8]), we have

$$\int dU \prod_{i=1}^{n} \langle 0|U^{\dagger}|a_{i}\rangle\langle a_{i}|U|0\rangle = \sum_{\sigma,\tau\in S_{n}} \mathbb{1}\left\{\boldsymbol{a}_{1}^{n} = \sigma(\boldsymbol{a}_{1}^{n})\right\} \cdot \operatorname{Wg}(\sigma^{-1}\tau,|\mathcal{A}|)$$
(158)

where S_n is the symmetric group of n elements (permutations), for each permutation $\sigma \in S_n$, we denote $\sigma(a_1^n) \coloneqq (a_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, a_{\sigma(n)})$, and Wg is the Weingarten function. Note that (see, *e.g.*, [9, Eq. (2.3)])

$$\sum_{\tau \in S_n} \operatorname{Wg}(\sigma^{-1}\tau, |\mathcal{A}|) = \sum_{\tau \in S_n} \operatorname{Wg}(\tau, |\mathcal{A}|) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{A}| \cdot (|\mathcal{A}| + 1) \cdots (|\mathcal{A}| + n - 1)}.$$
(159)

Observe that the permutations of the sequence a_1^n shall visit all sequences of the same type for equal number of times. Thus,

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \mathbb{1}\left\{\boldsymbol{a}_1^n = \sigma(\boldsymbol{a}_1^n)\right\} = \frac{|S_n|}{\left|\mathcal{T}_n(p_{\mathsf{A}}^{(\boldsymbol{a}_1^n)})\right|} = \frac{n!}{\left|\mathcal{T}_n(p_{\mathsf{A}}^{(\boldsymbol{a}_1^n)})\right|}$$
(160)

where $p_{A}^{(\boldsymbol{a}_{1}^{n})}$ denotes the type (or the induced empirical distribution) of the sequence \boldsymbol{a}_{1}^{n} . Combining the above, we have

_

$$\int \mathrm{d}|\phi\rangle \prod_{i=1}^{n} q_{|\phi\rangle}(a_i) = \frac{n!}{\left|\mathcal{T}_n(p_{\mathsf{A}}^{(\boldsymbol{a}_1^n)})\right|} \cdot \frac{1}{|\mathcal{A}| \cdot (|\mathcal{A}|+1) \cdots (|\mathcal{A}|+n-1)}$$
(161)

$$\frac{1}{\left|\mathcal{T}_{n}(p_{\mathsf{A}}^{(\boldsymbol{a}_{1}^{n})})\right|} \cdot \frac{1}{\binom{n+|\mathcal{A}|-1}{n}}.$$
(162)

Further note that

$$|\mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{A})| = \binom{n+|\mathcal{A}|-1}{n}.$$
(163)

Therefore, for all $a_1^n \in \mathcal{A}^n$

$$\left(\int \mathrm{d}|\phi\rangle \; q_{|\phi\rangle}^{\otimes n}\right)(\boldsymbol{a}_{1}^{n}) = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{P}_{n}(\mathcal{A})|} \cdot \frac{1}{\left|\mathcal{T}_{n}(p_{\mathsf{A}}^{(\boldsymbol{a}_{1}^{n})})\right|} = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{P}_{n}(\mathcal{A})|} \cdot \sum_{p\in\mathcal{P}_{n}(\mathcal{A})} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{T}_{n}(p)|} \cdot \mathbb{1}\left\{\boldsymbol{a}_{1}^{n}\in\mathcal{T}_{n}(p)\right\}.$$
 (164)

B Proofs of Lemmas 11, 12, and 17

Lemma (Lemma 11 restated). Let \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} be two finite sets, and let n be a positive integer. Let $V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X})$ be a conditional pmf. For each n-denominator type on \mathcal{X} $p_{\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{X})$, there exists an approximation $V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{(p_{\mathsf{X}})} \in \mathcal{P}_n(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X})$ of $V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}$ such that

$$\left| p_{\mathsf{X}}(x) \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{(p_{\mathsf{X}})}(y|x) - p_{\mathsf{X}}(x) \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}(y|x) \right| \leq \frac{1}{n}$$
(165)

for all $(x, y) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$.

Proof of Lemma 11. Pick a sequence \boldsymbol{x}_1^n from $\mathcal{T}_n(p_X)$. We argue that there exists some output sequence $\boldsymbol{y}_1^n \in \mathcal{Y}_1^n$ such that

$$\lfloor n \cdot p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \rfloor \leqslant n \cdot p_{\mathsf{X}\mathsf{Y}}^{(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n},\boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n})} \leqslant \lceil n \cdot p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \rceil$$
(166)

since $\sum_{x,y} n \cdot p_{\mathsf{X}}(x) \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}(y|x) = n$. Eq. (165) is satisfied by defining $V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{(p_{\mathsf{X}})}$ to be the conditional type of $(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n}, \boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n})$, *i.e.*, $V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{(p_{\mathsf{X}})} \coloneqq p_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}^{(\boldsymbol{x}_{1}^{n}, \boldsymbol{y}_{1}^{n})}$.

Lemma 25 (Lemma 12 restated). Let \mathcal{A} be a finite set, and $\xi \in (0, \frac{1}{e})$ For any two pmfs $p, p' \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$ such that $|p(a) - p'(a)| \leq \xi$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$, it holds for all $q \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$, with $p \ll q$ and $p' \ll q$, that

$$\left| D(p||q) - D(p'||q) \right| \leqslant \xi \cdot |\mathcal{A}| \cdot \log \frac{1}{q_{\min}} + |\mathcal{A}| \cdot \xi \cdot \log \frac{1}{\xi}$$
(167)

where $q_{\min} \coloneqq \min_{a \in \mathcal{A}: q(a) > 0} q(a)$.

Proof of Lemma 12. This is a result using the triangular inequality on absolute values and a property of the function $t \mapsto t \cdot \log t$.

$$\left| D(p||q) - D(p'||q) \right| = \left| \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} p(a) \log \frac{p(a)}{q(a)} - p'(a) \log \frac{p'(a)}{q(a)} \right|$$
(168)

$$\leq \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \left| p(a) - p'(a) \right| \cdot \log \frac{1}{q(a)} + \sum_{a \in \mathcal{A}} \left| p(a) \log p(a) - p'(a) \log p'(a) \right|$$
(169)

$$\leq \xi \cdot |\mathcal{A}| \cdot \max_{a \in \mathcal{A}: q(a) > 0} \log \frac{1}{q(a)} + |\mathcal{A}| \cdot \sup_{0 \leq t_0 \leq t_1 \leq 1: t_1 - t_0 \leq \xi} |t_1 \log t_1 - t_0 \log t_0|$$
(170)

$$\leq \xi \cdot |\mathcal{A}| \cdot \log \frac{1}{q_{\min}} + |\mathcal{A}| \cdot \xi \cdot \log \frac{1}{\xi}.$$

Lemma (Lemma 17 restated). Let \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{Y} be two finite sets. Let $p_{\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$ be a pmf on \mathcal{X} , and let $V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X})$ be a channel from \mathcal{X} to \mathcal{Y} .

1. For any $\widetilde{V}_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{Y}|\mathcal{X})$ such that $|p_{\mathsf{X}}(x) \cdot \widetilde{V}_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}(y|x) - p_{\mathsf{X}}(x) \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}(y|x)| \leq \xi \ \forall (x,y) \in \mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{Y}$ where $0 < \xi \leq \frac{1}{|\mathcal{X}| \cdot e}$, it hold that

$$\left| D\left(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \| p_{\mathsf{X}} \times p_{\mathsf{Y}} \right) - D\left(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot \widetilde{V}_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \| p_{\mathsf{X}} \times \widetilde{p}_{\mathsf{Y}} \right) \right| \leq \xi \cdot |\mathcal{X}| \cdot |\mathcal{Y}| \cdot \left(\log \frac{1}{\xi} + \log \frac{1}{\xi \cdot |\mathcal{X}|} \right),$$
(171)

where $p_{\mathbf{Y}}$ and $\tilde{p}_{\mathbf{Y}}$ are the induced output distributions of the channels $V_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}}$ and $\tilde{V}_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}}$ (with the same input distribution $p_{\mathbf{X}}$), respectively.

2. For any $\widetilde{p}_{\mathsf{X}} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{X})$ such that $|\widetilde{p}_{\mathsf{X}}(x) - p_{\mathsf{X}}(x)| \leq \xi \ \forall x \in \mathcal{X}$ where $0 < \xi \leq \frac{1}{|\mathcal{X}| \cdot e}$, it hold that

$$\left| D \left(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \| p_{\mathsf{X}} \times p_{\mathsf{Y}} \right) - D \left(\widetilde{p}_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \| \widetilde{p}_{\mathsf{X}} \times \widetilde{p}_{\mathsf{Y}} \right) \right| \leq \xi \cdot |\mathcal{X}| \cdot \log |\mathcal{Y}| + \xi \cdot |\mathcal{X}| \cdot |\mathcal{Y}| \cdot \log \frac{1}{\xi \cdot |\mathcal{X}|}$$
(172)

where p_{Y} and \tilde{p}_{Y} are the induced output distributions corresponding to the input distributions p_{X} and \tilde{p}_{X} (with the same channel $V_{Y|X}$), respectively.

Proof of Lemma 17. The proof utilizes the triangular inequality on absolute values and the property

of the function $t \mapsto t \cdot \log t$ in a similar way as in the proof of Lemma 12. To prove (171), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| D\left(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \| p_{\mathsf{X}} \times p_{\mathsf{Y}}\right) - D\left(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot \widetilde{V}_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \| p_{\mathsf{X}} \times \widetilde{p}_{\mathsf{Y}}\right) \right| \\ &= \left| \sum_{x,y} p_{\mathsf{X}}(x) \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}(y|x) \cdot \log \frac{V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}(y|x)}{p_{\mathsf{Y}}(y)} - \sum_{x,y} p_{\mathsf{X}}(x) \cdot \widetilde{V}_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}(y|x) \cdot \log \frac{\widetilde{V}_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}(y|x)}{\widetilde{p}_{\mathsf{Y}}(y)} \right| \end{aligned} \tag{173}$$
$$&\leq \sum_{x,y} \left| p_{\mathsf{X}}(x) \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}(y|x) \cdot \log \left(p_{\mathsf{X}}(x) \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}(y|x) \right) - p_{\mathsf{X}}(x) \cdot \widetilde{V}_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}(y|x) \cdot \log \left(p_{\mathsf{X}}(x) \cdot \widetilde{V}_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}(y|x) \right) \right| \\&+ \sum_{y} \left| p_{\mathsf{Y}}(y) \log p_{\mathsf{Y}}(y) - \widetilde{p}_{\mathsf{Y}}(y) \log \widetilde{p}_{\mathsf{Y}}(y) \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq |\mathcal{X}| \cdot |\mathcal{Y}| \cdot \xi \cdot \log \frac{1}{\xi} + \sum_{y} |p_{\mathbf{Y}}(y) \log p_{\mathbf{Y}}(y) - \widetilde{p}_{\mathbf{Y}}(y) \log \widetilde{p}_{\mathbf{Y}}(y)|.$$
(174)
(175)

Note that

$$|p_{\mathbf{Y}}(y) - \widetilde{p}_{\mathbf{Y}}(y)| = \left|\sum_{x} p_{\mathbf{X}}(x) \cdot V_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}}(y|x) - p_{\mathbf{X}}(x) \cdot \widetilde{V}_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}}(y|x)\right|$$
(176)

$$\leq \sum_{x} \left| p_{\mathsf{X}}(x) \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}(y|x) - p_{\mathsf{X}}(x) \cdot \widetilde{V}_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}(y|x) \right|$$
(177)

$$\leqslant |\mathcal{X}| \cdot \xi. \tag{178}$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{y} |p_{\mathbf{Y}}(y) \log p_{\mathbf{Y}}(y) - \widetilde{p}_{\mathbf{Y}}(y) \log \widetilde{p}_{\mathbf{Y}}(y)| \leq |\mathcal{Y}| \cdot |\mathcal{X}| \cdot \xi \cdot \log \frac{1}{|\mathcal{X}| \cdot \xi}.$$
(179)

Combining (179) with (175), we have

$$\left| D(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \| p_{\mathsf{X}} \times p_{\mathsf{Y}}) - D(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot \widetilde{V}_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \| p_{\mathsf{X}} \times \widetilde{p}_{\mathsf{Y}}) \right| \\ \leq |\mathcal{X}| \cdot |\mathcal{Y}| \cdot \xi \cdot \log \frac{1}{\xi} + |\mathcal{Y}| \cdot |\mathcal{X}| \cdot \xi \cdot \log \frac{1}{|\mathcal{X}| \cdot \xi} = \text{RHS of (171).}$$
(180)

To prove (172), we have

$$\left| D(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \| p_{\mathsf{X}} \times p_{\mathsf{Y}}) - D(\tilde{p}_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \| \tilde{p}_{\mathsf{X}} \times \tilde{p}_{\mathsf{Y}}) \right| \\
= \left| \sum_{x,y} p_{\mathsf{X}}(x) \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}(y|x) \cdot \log \frac{V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}(y|x)}{p_{\mathsf{Y}}(y)} - \sum_{x,y} \tilde{p}_{\mathsf{X}}(x) \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}(y|x) \cdot \log \frac{V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}(y|x)}{\tilde{p}_{\mathsf{Y}}(y)} \right|$$
(181)

$$\leq \sum_{x} |p_{\mathsf{X}}(x) - \widetilde{p}_{\mathsf{X}}(x)| \cdot \sum_{y} V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}(y|x) \cdot \log \frac{1}{V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}(y|x)} + \sum_{y} |p_{\mathsf{Y}}(y) \log p_{\mathsf{Y}}(y) - \widetilde{p}_{\mathsf{Y}}(y) \log \widetilde{p}_{\mathsf{Y}}(y)| \quad (182)$$

$$\leq |\mathcal{X}| \cdot \xi \cdot \log |\mathcal{Y}| + \sum_{y} |p_{\mathsf{Y}}(y) \log p_{\mathsf{Y}}(y) - \widetilde{p}_{\mathsf{Y}}(y) \log \widetilde{p}_{\mathsf{Y}}(y)|.$$
(183)

Again, note that

$$|p_{\mathbf{Y}}(y) - \widetilde{p}_{\mathbf{Y}}(y)| = \left|\sum_{x} p_{\mathbf{X}}(x) \cdot V_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}}(y|x) - \widetilde{p}_{\mathbf{X}}(x) \cdot V_{\mathbf{Y}|\mathbf{X}}(y|x)\right|$$
(184)

$$\leq \sum_{x} |p_{\mathsf{X}}(x) - \widetilde{p}_{\mathsf{X}}(x)| \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}}(y|x) \leq |\mathcal{X}| \cdot \xi.$$
(185)

Hence, (179) also holds in this case. Combining (179) with (183), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| D \left(p_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \| p_{\mathsf{X}} \times p_{\mathsf{Y}} \right) - D \left(\widetilde{p}_{\mathsf{X}} \cdot V_{\mathsf{Y}|\mathsf{X}} \| \widetilde{p}_{\mathsf{X}} \times \widetilde{p}_{\mathsf{Y}} \right) \right| &\leq \left| \mathcal{X} \right| \cdot \xi \cdot \log \left| \mathcal{Y} \right| + \left| \mathcal{Y} \right| \cdot \left| \mathcal{X} \right| \cdot \xi \cdot \log \frac{1}{\left| \mathcal{X} \right| \cdot \xi} \end{aligned}$$
(186)
= RHS of (172).

C Proof of Eq. 129

We restate (129) as the following lemma.

Lemma (Eq. (129) restated). Let $\{a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a positive sequence such that $\{\frac{1}{n}\log a_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is convergent. For any $r, \delta > 0$, it holds that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log a_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \left(a_n + \exp \left(-e^{n \cdot \delta} + e^{-n \cdot r} \right) \right).$$
(129, repeated)

Proof. Denote $L := \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log a_n$. Let $\eta \in (0, |L|/2)$ be picked arbitrarily. There exists $N(\eta) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $L - \eta \leq \frac{1}{n} \log a_n \leq L + \eta$ for all $n \geq N(\eta)$. Furthermore, there must also exist some $N'(\eta)$ and N'' such that $e^{n \cdot \delta} \geq -2n \cdot (L - \eta)$ and $e^{-n \cdot r} \leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot e^{n \cdot \delta}$ for $n \geq N'(\eta)$ and $n \geq N''$, respectively. Thus, for all $n \geq \max\{N(\eta), N'(\eta), N''\}$, it holds that

$$\frac{1}{n}\log\left(a_n + \exp\left(-e^{n\cdot\delta} + e^{-n\cdot r}\right)\right) \leqslant \frac{1}{n}\log\left(a_n + \exp\left(n\cdot\left(L - \eta\right)\right)\right)$$
(187)

$$\leq \frac{1}{n}\log\left(2\cdot a_n\right) = \frac{1}{n}\log a_n + \frac{\log 2}{n} \tag{188}$$

$$\leq L + \eta + \frac{\log 2}{n}.\tag{189}$$

Let n tend to infinity, we have

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \left(a_n + \exp \left(-e^{n \cdot \delta} + e^{-n \cdot r} \right) \right) \leqslant L + \eta.$$
(190)

Since the above holds for positive η that are arbitrarily close to 0, it must hold that

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \left(a_n + \exp \left(-e^{n \cdot \delta} + e^{-n \cdot r} \right) \right) \leqslant L.$$
(191)

On the other hand, since $\exp(-e^{n\cdot\delta} + e^{-n\cdot r}) > 0$, it is immediate that

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \left(a_n + \exp \left(-e^{n \cdot \delta} + e^{-n \cdot r} \right) \right) \ge L.$$
(192)

Finally, (129) is the result of combining the above two inequalities.