Importance of the indirect exchange interaction via s-states in altermagnetic HgMnO₃

Danil A. Myakotnikov,^{1,2} Evgenia V. Komleva,^{2,3} Youwen Long,⁴ and Sergey V. Streltsov^{2,3}

¹Department of Physics of Cumulative Processes,
Ural Federal University, Mira St. 19, 620002 Ekaterinburg, Russia

²M.N. Mikheev Institute of Metal Physics UB RAS,
620137, S. Kovalevskaya str. 18, Ekaterinburg, Russia

³Department of Theoretical Physics and Applied Mathematics,
Ural Federal University, Mira St. 19, 620002 Ekaterinburg, Russia

⁴Beijing National Laboratory for Condensed Matter Physics,
Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China

The electronic and magnetic properties of recently synthesized new perovskite phase of ${\rm HgMnO_3}$ are studied. By means of *ab initio* DFT calculations this material was shown to be altermagnetic. We discuss features of its electronic structure and unveil the physical mechanism of anomalous suppression of antiferromagnetic exchange interaction in this material. While it is tempting to ascribe unexpectedly weak exchange interaction between nearest neighbors to crystal structure distortions, this is the indirect ferromagnetic exchange via ${\rm Hg~6}s$ states, which strongly affects the magnetic properties. This effect can be important not only for ${\rm HgMnO_3}$, but also for many other transition metal compounds having empty s states, placed not far above the Fermi level.

(Dated: October 4, 2024)

PACS numbers:

I. INTRODUCTION

The interest to manganese oxides with perovskite crystal structure (commonly known as manganites) in last three decades was mostly related to discovery of the colossal magnetoresistance in these materials [1, 2] and multiferroicity [3]. Both these aspects are attributed to an interplay between different degrees of freedom, such as lattice, charge, spin, and orbital. This makes manganites extremely interesting not only from theoretical point point of view, but also as important class of materials for an applied physics, e.g. spintronics and design of ferroelectromagnets [4–7].

The members of manganese perovskites' family demonstrate rather rich phase diagram with magnetic properties changing from different types of antiferromagnetism to ferromagnetism depending on number of d-electrons and structural distortions, see e.g. [8] for the phase diagram of $\text{La}_{1-x}\text{Ca}_x\text{MnO}_3$ series. Such a variety of their magnetic properties is commonly explained by the competition of two relevant interaction mechanisms: double exchange and superexchange [9], that stabilize either ferromagnetic or antiferromagnetic states.

Among other well-studied manganese oxides with perovskite structure a special attention has been paid to CaMnO₃. The crystal structure of CaMnO₃ possesses rhombohedral symmetry and is characterized by Pnmaspace group [10]. This compound is a well-studied insulator [11]. The d-levels of the Mn⁴⁺ $3d^3$ ion in an octahedral crystal field are split into e_g and t_{2g} sub-shells, with three electrons occupying the t_{2g} levels, giving the half-filled configuration. Therefore, strong antiferromagnetic coupling is expected in the case of CaMnO₃ due to superexchange mechanism. Its Néel temperature is 125 K, Curie-Weiss temperature θ is approximatly 500 K [12]. Interestingly, another manganite $HgMnO_3$ with the same Mn^{4+} exhibits much lower Néel temperature, which is around 60 K. Curie-Weiss temperature is -153 K [13].

In general, mercury based manganites (both pure $HgMnO_3$ and doped with lead $Hg_{1-x}Pb_xMnO_3$) attract much interest due to the possibility of strong octaherdral distortions resulting in polar crystal structure and giving rise to giant electronic polarization and photovoltaic effect [14]. Moreover, it is natural to expect that distortions the crystal structure are responsible for strong modification of the exchange interaction.

 ${\rm HgMnO_3}$ is obtained through the reaction of HgO and MnO₂ at high temperatures (1473 K) and pressures (20 GPa)[13]. Under these conditions, the synthesized system has a rhombohedral crystal structure, the space group $R\bar{3}c$ (no.167)[13]. HgMnO₃ is insulating and one might expect that similar to CaMnO₃ it can be a G-type antiferromagnet. The crystal structures of these two materials are very similar, but it remains unclear what results in a lowering of Néel temperature in HgMnO₃ in comparison with the other manganese perovskites. Indeed, the d-orbitals of ${\rm Hg^{2+}}$ ions are fully occupied, making the ions nonmagnetic. The exchange interaction between the half-filled t_{2g} -orbitals of Mn atoms is expected to result in strong antiferromagnetic exchange.

Our first-principles calculations unveil physical mechanism for suppression of antiferromagnetic exchange in ${\rm HgMnO_3}$. The presence of the ${\rm Hg}\text{-}s$ states not far above the Fermi level provides ferromagnetic contribution to the exchange interaction via their hybridization with the ${\rm Mn-}e_g$ states. Such effect results in the anomalous suppression of the magnetic transition temperature.

II. COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS

The experimental crystal structure from [13] was taken for the calculations. The unit cell used for the total energy calculations contained 6 Hg atoms, 6 Mn atoms, and 18 O atoms, see Fig.1(a). We employed the projector-augmented wave (PAW) implementation of the Vienna ab initio simulation package (VASP)[15–17]. Self-consistent calculations were carried out employing Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE) version of the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) exchange-correlation functional [18]. The cutoff energy for the plane-wave basis set is 500 eV. We used $6\times6\times2$ k-point mesh. The calculations were performed using the tetrahedron method with Blöchl corrections [19].

To take into account correlation effects the rotation-invariant DFT+U method introduced by Liechtenstein et al.[20] was employed. In order to find the ground magnetic state various magnetic configurations, such as AFM-G, AFM-A, AFM-C, and ferromagnetic (FM), were considered. For this purpose the unit cell was doubled along the **a**-axis. For such a cell the k-point mesh $4\times6\times2$ was chosen. The effective Hubbard U was varied from 2.5 to 4.5 eV, with J_H set to 1 eV. The total energy method was used to calculate the isotropic exchange interaction parameters. The spin-orbit coupling (SOC) was added to the calculation scheme to estimate the single-ion anisotropy.

The Néel temperature was computed by calculation of the temperature dependence of the specific heat simulated by the classical Monte-Carlo method using the spinme algorithm as realized in ALPS [21]. We used periodic boundary conditions on the $10\times10\times10$ box (and checked that further increase of its length does not change the result) and 10.000.000 sweeps.




FIG. 1: (a) The crystal structure of $HgMnO_3$. Purple spheres represent Mn atoms surrounded by oxygen (red) octahedra, gray spheres are mercury. (b) Scheme of the isotropic exchange paths J_1 (purple), J_2 (blue), and J_3 (light green) up to the third nearest neighbours drawn for one Mn atom in a cube.




FIG. 2: Total energy (ΔE) of the system relative to the minimum for different values of U. The trend of change for AFM-C is depicted in red, AFM-A in green, AFM-G in blue, and FM in purple. The insert plot shows an enlarged scale, since the values of ΔE at U=2.5 and 3 eV between AFM-G and AFM-C are too small.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Magnetic GGA+U calculations.

It is well known that taking into account strong Coulomb correlations is essential to describe electronic and magnetic properties of most of the transition metal oxides [22, 23]. However, there is always a problem of the estimation of interaction parameters. While a substantial progress has been achieved recent years in this direction [24–26], there is still some arbitrariness in choosing Hubbard U. Therefore, we adopt an another approach and performed a series of calculations for different U. In contrast to Hubbard U, Hund's intra-atomic exchange J_H can hardly be screened in solids (it is composed by several Slater integrals) and we took $J_H = 1$ eV as in many other studies [24, 26].

There are 3 possible antiferromagnetic orders in perovskite structure of HgMnO₃, in addition to FM configuration. In case of AFM-G type, each adjacent atom has oppositely directed magnetic moments (Néel AFM). Another configuration is AFM-C, in which there are antiferromagnetically ordered FM stripes running along cubic **c**-axis. The last AFM-A configuration corresponds to antiferromagnetically ordered FM planes.

Total energies of various magnetic configurations are presented in Fig. 2. As one can see, with increasing U, gradual change of the ground magnetic state from the fully AFM (Néel) to the pure FM is observed. In case of U=2.5 and 3 eV the lowest energy corresponds to AFM-G state with all 6 nearest neighbours being AFM ordered. Further, increasing U up to 3.5 eV gives AFM-C ground state with 4 antiferromagnetically and 2 ferromagnetically ordered nearest neighbours for each Mn. For the AFM-A structure being the ground state for U=4 eV,




FIG. 3: Isotropic exchange interaction parameters (in meV) for various values of U ($J_H = 1$ eV). For comparison, calculated exchange interaction parameters J_1 for real CaMnO₃ (space group Pnma, shown in dark purple dashed line) and for CaMnO₃ in the HgMnO₃ structure (shown in orange) are given.

each Mn has 2 neighbours with the opposite spin orientation and 4 neighbours with the co-directional magnetic moments. Finally, at $U=4.5~\rm eV$ the ground magnetic state becomes FM. Thus, there is a clear tendency to increase the number of ferromagnetic bonds with growing U.

In order to choose the most reasonable U, we calculated the isotropic exchange interaction parameters for $HgMnO_3$ for the different U values. The Heisenberg model is written in the following form:

$$H = \sum_{ij} J_{ij} \mathbf{S_i} \mathbf{S_j},\tag{1}$$

where summation runs twice over each pair. Exchange paths between Mn atoms up to the third neighbours were considered (shown in Fig. 1(b)). The results of the calculations are summarized in Tab. I and Fig. 3. As it was expected, the strongest exchange interaction was found to be between the nearest neighbours. It gradually decreases with U and finally changes sign, going from antiferromagnetic to ferromagnetic.

The Curie-Weiss temperature in the mean-field ap-

$\overline{J_{ij}}$	U = 2.5 eV	3.0 eV	3.5 eV	$4.0~{ m eV}$	$4.5~{ m eV}$
$\overline{J_1}$	0.87	0.60	0.32	0.01	-0.35
J_2	0.24	0.18	0.12	0.05	-0.01
J_3	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.02
θ_{CW}	-241	-173	-104	-26	60

TABLE I: Calculated in the GGA+U approximation parameters of the isotropic exchange interaction (in meV) for various values of Hubbard U ($J_H = 1$ eV). In last row Curie-Weiss temperatures (in K) calculated in the mean-field approximation from these exchange parameters are presented.




FIG. 4: Temperature dependence of the specific heat as obtained by the classical Monte-Carlo simulations taking into account classical to quantum renormalization factor (S^2 to S(S+1)) for exchange interaction parameters obtained in DFT+U calculations with U=3 eV (and taking into account the single-ion anisotropy).

proximation given by

$$\theta_{CW}^{MF} = -\frac{2S(S+1)}{3k_B} \sum_{i} Z_i J_i,$$
 (2)

was estimated for each U (here Z_i is the number of corresponding neighbours). One can see from Tab. I, that the best agreement with the experiment is achieved for Hubbard U=3 eV ($\theta_{CW}^{calc}=-173$ K and $\theta_{CW}^{exp}=-153$ K). Using J_1 , J_2 , and J_3 for the chosen Hubbard parameter U=3 eV, one can recalculate the Néel temperature in the mean-field approximation as

$$T_N^{MF} = -\frac{2S(S+1)}{3k_B} J_{\mathbf{q}=\mathbf{Q}},$$
 (3)

with

$$J_{\mathbf{q}=\mathbf{Q}} = \min(\sum_{j} J_{j} e^{i\mathbf{a}_{j}} \mathbf{q}_{j}), \tag{4}$$

where ${\bf a_j}$ are corresponding lattice vectors and ${\bf Q}$ is the wave vector in reciprocal space at which J_q takes minimal values, ${\bf Q}=(\pi,\pi,\pi)$ in case of U=3 eV. It was found to be $T_N=100$ K and it overestimates the experimental one $(T_N^{exp}=60$ K) by approximately 1.5 times, that is typical for the mean-field approximation. Therefore, U=3 eV seems to be a reasonable choice for the interaction parameter.

Already at this point one can notice a rather unexpected behaviour of the exchange coupling between nearest neighbors J_1 : it is not only much smaller than in the sister material CaMnO₃, but also changes the sign becoming ferromagnetic at large U, which is rather counterintuitive given the fact that the superexchange interaction between half-filled t_{2g} orbitals of Mn⁴⁺ must be antiferromagnetic. The origin of this anomaly is a large




FIG. 5: The partial density of states (PDOS) per formula unit for ${\rm HgMnO_3}$, calculated in the ${\rm GGA+U}$ approach with parameters U=3 eV, $J_H=1$ eV, for AFM-G configuration (PDOS for Mn is given just for one type of atom). Positive and negative DOS corresponds to different spin projections. Position of ${\rm Ca-3}d$ in real ${\rm CaMnO_3}$ is shown in the insert in blue. As one can see they are significantly higher in energy than ${\rm Hg-}s$.

ferromagnetic contribution due to the electron transfer via Hg-s states as we will demonstrate in Sec. III C. However, there is also another important factor, which leads to the suppression of the Néel temperature: frustration. Antiferromagnetic exchange interaction with next nearest neighbors, J_2 , will frustrate the system and this effect can not be treated by the mean-field method.

Therefore, we performed classical Monte-Carlo (MC) simulations taking into account all three exchange parameters and also a single-ion anisotropy (SIA), which was estimated by the total energies in DFT+U+SOC calculations. Mn ions occupy 6b sites in the $R\bar{3}c$ structure, which correspond to the $\bar{3}$ point-group with the C_3 axis pointing along the c axis. Calculating the total energies of configurations with spins directed along and perpendicular the C_3 axis, we found that this is an easy axis and SIA constant D defined as

$$H_i^{SIA} = D(S_i^z)^2 \tag{5}$$

turns out to be -0.6 K for U=3 eV. Resulting temperature dependence of the specific heat in MC calculations is presented in Fig. 4 and one can see that frustrations indeed suppress the Néel temperature leading to $T_N^{MC}=48$ K. This is in line with the experiment, which shows moderate frustrations with the frustration index $|\theta_{CW}|/T_N\approx 2.6$.

Thus, one can see that U=3 eV is a reasonable estimate of the interaction parameter, all the following results will be given for this choice of Hubbard U. The ground magnetic state for $U \sim 3$ eV (or smaller; which probably would give even a closer estimation of T_N , but we do not pursue such an aim here) is AFM-G.




FIG. 6: Calculated in spin-polarized GGA+U (U=3 eV, J_H =1 eV) band structure for HgMnO₃. Black and red colors correspond to the bands for different spin projections, up and down, respectively. T, U and V points are [0, 1/2, 1/2], [1/2, 0, 1/2] and [1/2, 1/2, 0].

B. Electronic structure and altermagnetism

The calculated partial density of states (DOS) are given in Fig. 5. The calculated absolute value of the magnetic moment $\mu_{Mn}=2.86\mu_B$. As one can see, taking into account Coulomb and magnetic interactions immediately gives the insulating solution with a band gap of 0.72 eV (for U=3 eV). The top of the valence band is formed by O-2p states, while the bottom of the conduction band mostly has Mn- e_g character. As one can see, not only empty Mn- e_g (as expected) but also Hg-s states lie rather close above the Fermi energy. This enables hybridization between these states, they play a crucial role for the magnetism as we discuss in Sec. III C.

Next, we analyse the electronic structure of $\mathrm{HgMnO_3}$ obtained for the ground state AFM-G order in detail. First, it has to be noticed that this magnetic order does not increase the primitive unit cell (with respect to non-magnetic situation), which consists of two formula units. Second, there is no inversion symmetry connecting two magnetic ions and, third, there is C_2 axis, which transforms Mn from two different spin-sublattices one to another. Therefore, $\mathrm{HgMnO_3}$ is expected to be altermagnetic according to [30], i.e. there are must be high-symmetry directions in the reciprocal space along which electronic bands for different spin projections do not coincide.

The band structure obtained in GGA+U calculations for AFM-G configuration is presented in Fig. 6. As one can clearly see, bands from the opposite spin channels in the T-U direction (k-vectors [0, 1/2, 1/2] and [1/2, 0, 1/2], correspondingly) do not lie on top of each other, both above and below the Fermi level. The same situation is for the U-V direction. This fact directly demonstrates that $HgMnO_3$ is an altermagnet.

C. Mechanisms of exchange interaction

In fact it is rather unusual that Néel temperature for HgMnO₃ turns out to be as small as 60K. Naively, for Mn^{4+} ions with all t_{2q} orbitals half-filled one would expect strong antiferromagnetic exchange interaction according to the famous Goodenough-Kanamori-Anderson rules [22, 27]. Moreover, ferromagnetic contributions are typically have $1/U^2$ dependence, while antiferromagnetic ones 1/U [28]. Therefore, antiferromagnetic exchange should become smaller, but not change its sign for increasing U, if a conventional superexchange mechanism is operative in HgMnO₃. We see from Tab. I that this is in a strong contrast to results of a direct GGA+U calculations. Indeed, above U = 4 eV the exchange constant J_1 between nearest neighbours becomes ferromagnetic. This suggests that an another mechanism is decisive or at least contributes significantly to the total exchange.

In order to find out origin of this anomaly we additionally calculated exchange parameters for CaMnO₃ and obtained that exchange interaction with the nearest neighbours, J_1 (more detailed study of CaMnO₃ was performed in [29]), decreases slowly and never become FM (see Fig. 3, dark purple dashed line). Thus, we see that the exchange interaction in this material behaves in a conventional way and can be described by the superexchange mechanism. Next, we checked that the result is not related to the difference in volume and other details of the crystal structure between Ca and Hg manganites. We replaced Hg ions by Ca ones in the $R\bar{3}c$ structure of $HgMnO_3$, recalculated J_1 for different U values and also plot them in Fig. 3 by orange dashed line. One can see that while J_1 decreased by absolute value it has the same U-dependence and never becomes FM as the nearest neighbour exchange in real CaMnO₃. We also calculated all previously discussed isotropic exchange interaction parameters for U=3 eV for CaMnO₃ in the $R\bar{3}c$ structure ($J_1=1.23$ meV, $J_2=0.17$ meV and $J_3=-$ 0.01 meV). For this case the estimated by the mean-field approach Néel temperature immediately increases up to 213 K. Therefore, it is Hg ions that lead the exchange interaction anomalies in HgMnO₃ and, consequently, this effect will influence the magnetic transition temperature.

In contrast to Ca, Hg ions have s states lying above the Fermi level, see Fig. 5. Being strongly hybridized with extended Mn e_g orbitals these states can affect exchange interaction via an indirect exchange mechanism, which is sketched in Fig. 7. This mechanism gives FM contribution to the total exchange interaction. Indeed, hybridization between occupied spin majority t_{2g} states and empty Hg-6s states lower t_{2g} band (and therefore decrease total energy of this configuration) in case of FM ordering between two Mn sub-lattices as illustrated in Fig. 7. Neglecting correlation effects, corresponding energy decrease (due to exchange interaction) is $\delta E_{FM} \sim \tilde{t}^2/\Delta_{CFS}$, where Δ_{CFS} is the crystal field $t_{2g} - e_g$ splitting (sometimes called 10Dq) and \tilde{t} is the




FIG. 7: Sketch illustrating mechanism of the indirect exchange to explain substantial ferromagnetic contribution in ${\rm HgMnO_3}$. Left (right) part corresponds to ferromagnetic (antiferromagnetic) ordering. Mn 3d states are shown by blue, while Hg 6s states by green solid lines. There is a hybridization (dotted line) between states of the same spin, which leads to shift for corresponding density of states. Result of hybridization is shown by dashed lines. One can see that it is more efficient in case of ferromagnetic configuration and therefore the indirect exchange via Hg 6s states will stabilize ferromagnetism.

effective hopping between Mn-d states via Hg-6s orbitals. In case of AFM ordering the hybridization will be with a much higher-lying states shifted by a Stoner splitting proportional to IM (I is the Stoner parameter and M is the sub-lattice magnetisation). Thus, for AFM case the energy gain will be only $\delta E_{AFM} \sim \tilde{t}^2/(\Delta_{CFS} + IM)$. We see that this mechanism provides FM contribution and in the first approximation it is independent on Hubbard U (on "atomic" language it would rather depend on Hund's intra-atomic exchange). Corresponding contribution due to this indirect exchange (ie) via Hg-6s states is

$$J_{ie} = \frac{1}{4S^2} \left(\delta E_{AFM} - \delta E_{FM} \right)$$

$$\sim -\sum_{m} \frac{IM\tilde{t}_{m}^2}{\Delta_{CFS} (\Delta_{CFS} + IM)}, \tag{6}$$

where summation runs over all possible hopping channels (between different orbitals). This FM contribution does not directly depend on U, but is rather scaled by Stoner I (or Hund's J_H as it was mentioned above). However, one may expect that increasing U we shift empty Mn d states closer to empty Hg s states, making this mechanism even more efficient.

It is worthwhile mentioning that there is of course a conventional FM superexchange between half-filled t_{2g} and empty e_g orbitals via orthogonal 2p orbitals as explained, e.g., in [32]. However, our GGA+U calculations of CaMnO₃ in structure of HgMnO₃ clearly demonstrate, that these are Hg s states, which are an essential ingredient for suppression of AFM exchange interaction.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

The results of our first principles DFT calculations for both ${\rm HgMnO_3}$ and ${\rm CaMnO_3}$ compounds clearly show that these are not conventional modifications of the crystal structure that are responsible for anomalous suppression of the Néel temperature in ${\rm HgMnO_3}$. It turns out that the electronic structure of the ${\rm A^{2+}}$ site in ${\rm A^{2+}Mn^{4+}O_3}$ manganites strongly affects the resulting exchange interaction and may cause suppression of the antiferromagnetism.

Presence of the Hg-s states near the Fermi level and their hybridization with the $\text{Mn-}e_g$ sub-shell facilitates indirect exchange interaction giving sizeable ferromagnetic contribution in addition to the expected according to the Goodenough-Kanamori-Anderson rules antiferromagnetic exchange interaction. Experimentally, in case of HgMnO_3 synthesised under high pressure the Néel temperature turns out to be two times smaller than in CaMnO_3 . It has to stressed that the physical mechanism lying behind suppression of the antiferromagnetic exchange interaction in HgMnO_3 is universal and can be

applied for many other different materials containing ions with completely filled d states and empty s-orbitals lying just above the Fermi level.

Last but not least, our analysis shows that ${\rm HgMnO_3}$ is expected to be altermagnetic. This makes especially interesting studies of magneto-optical response as well as the spin-transfer torque in this highly unusual material [31].

Acknowledgements

S.V.S. thanks P. Igoshev, A. Ignatenko, and V. Irkhin for fruitful discussions. Calculation of electronic properties of $CaMnO_3$ were supported by Russian Ministry of Science and High Education (program "Quantum" No 122021000038-7), while other investigations by the Russian Science Foundation (project No. 23-42-00069). Long was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12261131499, 11934017, 11921004).

- R. von Helmolt, J. Wecker, B. Holzapfel, L. Schultz, and K. Samwer, Giant negative magnetoresistance in perovskitelike La_{2/3}Ba_{1/3}MnO_x ferromagnetic films, Physical Review Letters 71, 2331 (1993).
- [2] K. Chahara, T. Ohno, M. Kasai, and Y. Kozono, Applied Physics Letters, Magnetoresistance in magnetic manganese oxide with intrinsic antiferromagnetic spin structure, 63, 1990 (1993).
- [3] K. Uusi-Esko, J. Malm, N. Imamura, H. Yamauchi, and M. Karppinen, Characterization of RMnO₃ (R = Sc, Y, Dy-Lu): High-pressure synthesized metastable perovskites and their hexagonal precursor phases, Materials Chemistry and Physics 112, 1029 (2008).
- [4] M. Fiebig, T. Lottermoser, D. Fröhlich, A. V. Goltsev, and R. V. Pisarev, Observation of coupled magnetic and electric domains, Nature 419, 818 (2002).
- [5] N. A. Hill and K. M. Rabe, First-principles investigation of ferromagnetism and ferroelectricity in bismuth manganite, Physical Review B 59, 8759 (1999).
- [6] A. Sharan, J. Lettieri, Y. Jia, W. Tian, X. Pan, D. G. Schlom, V. Gopalan, Bismuth manganite: A multiferroic with a large nonlinear optical response, Physical Review B 69, 214109 (2004).
- [7] M. B. Salamon, M. Jaime, The physics of manganites: Structure and transport, Review of Modern Physics 73, 583 (2001).
- [8] D. I. Khomskii and S. V. Streltsov, in Encyclopedia of Condensed Matter Physics (Second Edition), edited by T. Chakraborty (Academic Press, Oxford, 2024), pp. 98– 111, second edition ed.
- [9] V. Markovich, A. Wisniewski, and H. Szymczak (Elsevier, 2014), vol. 22 of *Handbook of Magnetic Materials*, pp. 1–201.
- [10] S.K. Mishra, M.K. Gupta, R. Mittal, A.I. Kolesnikov, S. L. Chaplot, Spin-phonon coupling and high-pressure

- phase transitions of RMnO₃ (R=Ca and Pr): An inelastic neutron scattering and first-principles study, Physical Review B **93**, 214306 (2016).
- [11] N.T. Trang, B.T. Cong, Th.H. Thao, Ph.Th. Tan, N.D. Tho, H.N. Nhat, Magnetic state of the bulk, surface and nanoclusters of CaMnO₃: A DFT study, Physica B: Condensed Matter 406, 19, 3613-3621 (2011).
- [12] J. Briático, B. Alascio, R. Allub, A. Butera, A. Caneiro, M. T. Causa, and M. Tovar, Double-exchange interaction in electron-doped CaMnO_{3-δ} perovskites, Physical Review B 53, 14020 (1996).
- [13] B. Zhou, S. Qin, T. Ma, X. Ye, J. Guo, X. Yu, H.- J. Lin, C.-T. Chen, Z. Hu, L.-H. Tjeng, G. Zhou, Ch. Dong, Y. Long, High-Pressure Synthesis of Two Polymorphic HgMnO₃ Phases and Distinct Magnetism from 2D to 3D, Inorganic Chemistry 59, 3887 (2020).
- [14] B.W. Zhou, J. Zhang, X.B. Ye, G.X. Liu, X. Xu, J. Wang, Z.H. Liu, L. Zhou, Z.Y. Liao, H.B. Yao, S. Xu, J.J. Shi, X. Shen, X.H. Yu, Z.W. Hu, H.J. Lin, C.T. Chen, X.G. Qiu, C. Dong, J.X. Zhang, R.C. Yu, P. Yu, K.J. Jin, Q.B. Meng, and Y.W. Long, Octahedral Distortion and Displacement-Type Ferroelectricity with Switchable Photovoltaic Effect in a 3d³-Electron Perovskite System, Physical Review Letters 130, 146101 (2023).
- [15] G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, Efficiency of ab-initio total energy calculations for metals and semiconductors using a plane-wave basis set, Computational Materials Science 6, 15 (1996).
- [16] G. Kresse and J. Hafner, Ab initio molecular dynamics for liquid metals, Physical Review B 47, 558 (1993).
- [17] G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, Efficient iterative schemes for ab initio total-energy calculations using a plane-wave basis set, Physical Review B 54, 11169 (1996).
- [18] J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, and M. Ernzerhof, Generalized Gradient Approximation Made Simple, Physical Review

- Letters 78, 1396(E) (1997).
- [19] P. E. Blöchl, O. Jepsen, and O. K. Andersen, Improved tetrahedron method for Brillouin-zone integrations, Physical Review B 49, 16223 (1994).
- [20] A. I. Liechtenstein, V. I. Anisimov, J. Zaanen, Densityfunctional theory and strong interactions: Orbital ordering in Mott-Hubbard insulators, Physical Review B 52, R5467 (1995).
- [21] B. Bauer, L. D. Carr, H. G. Evertz, A. Feiguin, J. Freire, S. Fuchs, L. Gamper, J. Gukelberger, E. Gull, S. Guertler, A. Hehn, R. Igarashi, S. V. Isakov, D. Koop, P. N. Ma, P. Mates, H. Matsuo, O. Parcollet, G. Pawłowski, J. D. Picon, L. Pollet, E. Santos, V. W. Scarola, U. Schollwöck, C. Silva, B. Surer, S. Todo, S. Trebst, M. Troyer, M. L. Wall, P. Werner and S. Wessel, The ALPS project release 2.0: open source software for strongly correlated systems, J. Stat. Mech.: Theory Exp. P05001 (2011).
- [22] D. I. Khomskii, Transition Metal Compounds (Cambridge University Press, 2014).
- [23] D. I. Khomskii and S. V. Streltsov, in Encyclopedia of Condensed Matter Physics (Second Edition), edited by T. Chakraborty (Academic Press, Oxford, 2024), pp. 98– 111, second edition ed.
- [24] S. V. Streltsov, R. E. Ryltsev, and N. M. Chtchelkatchev, Ground-state structure, orbital ordering and metalinsulator transition in double-perovskite PrBaMn₂O₆, Journal of Alloys and Compounds 912, 165150 (2022).
- [25] S. Zhang, J. Wang, T. Lei, X. Li, Y. Liu, F. Guo,

- J. Wang, W. Zhang, F. Dang, H. Seifert, L. Sun, Y. Du, First-principles study of Mn antisite defect in Li_2MnO_3 , Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter **33**, 415201 (2021).
- [26] K. Leung, C.J. Medforth, Ab initio molecular dynamics study of manganese porphine hydration and interaction with nitric oxide, The Journal of Chemical Physics 126, 024501 (2007).
- [27] J. B. Goodenough, Magnetism and the Chemical Bond (Interscience publishers, 1963).
- [28] D.I. Khomskii, S.V. Streltsov, Orbital Effects in Solids: Basics, Recent Progress, and Opportunities, Chemical Reviews 121, 2992, (2021).
- [29] S. Keshavarz, Y. O. Kvashnin, D. C. M. Rodrigues, M. Pereiro, I. Di Marco, C. Autieri, L. Nordstrom, I. V. Solovyev, B. Sanyal, and O. Eriksson, Exchange interactions of CaMnO₃ in the bulk and at the surface, Physical Review B 95, 115120 (2017).
- [30] L. Smejkal, J. Sinova, T. Jungwirth, Emerging Research Landscape of Altermagnetism, Physical Review X 12, 040501 (2022).
- [31] I. Mazin, Altermagnetism—A New Punch Line of Fundamental Magnetism, Physical Review X 12, 040002 (2022).
- [32] S.V. Streltsov, D.I. Khomskii, Orbital physics in transition metal compounds: new trends, Physics-Uspekhi 60, 1121 (2017).