Enhancement of superconductivity coexisting with charge density wave in lattice expanded NbTe2

Revised 9/28/2024 14:23:00

Takaya Shimokawa¹‡, Yukiko Obata¹, Hayato Makino¹, Kaito Sato¹, Kazutoshi Shimamura² Hiroyuki Okamoto³, Masao Obata^{4, 5}, Tatsuki Oda^{4, 5}, and Yasuo Yoshida^{1*}

1 Department of Physics, Kanazawa University, Kanazawa, Ishikawa 920-1192, Japan.

² Institute of Science and Engineering, Kanazawa University, Kakuma-machi, Kanazawa, 920-1192, Japan.

³ Division of Health Sciences, Kanazawa University, Kakuma-machi, Kanazawa, 920-1192, Japan.

⁴ Graduate School of Natural Science and Technology, Kanazawa University, Kanazawa 920-1192, Japan 5 Institute of Science and Engineering, Kanazawa University, Kanazawa 920-1192, Japan

We report a significant enhancement of superconducting transition temperature (T_c) of transition metal dichalcogenide (TMD) superconductor NbTe2 from 0.56 K to 2.8 K. Detailed x-ray structure analysis reveals that our *T*c-enhanced sample has an anisotropic lattice distortion inducing ~1% expansion of the unit cell volume and multi-domain formation in the *ab* planes. Despite the unit cell expansion, the distorted 1T structure, closely related to the charge density wave (CDW) order in this material, persists. Hall measurements show almost identical behaviors for both samples indicating that electronic structure does not change much due to the unit cell expansion. These results suggest that the CDW still coexists with the enhanced superconductivity unlike the other TMD superconductors.

I. INTRODUCTION

Superconductivity and charge density wave (CDW) orders often coexist in transition metal dichalcogenide (TMD) twodimensional (2D) superconductors. Opening an energy gap due to CDW formation removes parts of the Fermi surface in 2D systems, lowering the possibility of electron pair formations. This is one of the reasons that TMD superconductors have relatively low superconducting transition temperatures (T_c) which are normally below 10 K. By using external tuning parameters like pressure [1,2], intercalation [3–5], electric field [6–8], and reduction of sample dimensions [9,10], CDW tends to be suppressed accompanying with a large enhancement of T_c . In most of the cases, the T_c s reach the highest when the CDW completely disappears indicating that the superconductivity competes with CDW and the Cooper pairing can be mediated with CDW fluctuations in these superconductors [11,12].

 NbTe2 belongs to TMD and has the superconducting transition around $0.5 K$ [13,14] and CDW transition with 3×1 superstructure at 550 K [15–17]. At the CDW transition, the structure changes from a high symmetry 1T to a distorted 1T structure, so-called 1T" (C2/m), with double zigzag chains as shown in Fig. 1. Theoretical predictions suggest the existence of topologically nontrivial bands [18], which is confirmed experimentally as a linear magnetoresistance at high magnetic fields [19]. Hydrostatic pressure ~20 GPa induces in NbTe2 the polytype transitions from 1T" to 1T' $(P2₁/m)$ with single zigzag chains, accompanying with the collapse of CDW [20,21]. However, unlike other TMD superconductors, the suppression of CDW does not enhance the T_c of NbTe₂ at least down to 2 K. Instead, the

Fig. 1. Room-temperature crystal structure of the 1T" NbTe2 (space group C2/m).

[‡]Email: shimokawa@stu.kanazawa-u.ac.jp

^{}Corresponding author: yyoshida@se.kanazawa-u.ac.jp*

superconductivity of NbTe₂ is easily suppressed with relatively small pressure ~ 2 GPa [14]. The T_c of NbTe₂ drastically increases up to \sim 3 K by Cu intercalation with the expansion of the interlayer distance, maintaining the 1T" structure and CDW [22,23]. These reports are unique among TMD superconductors and may suggest that the superconductivity and CDW are cooperative in NbTe₂.

Here, we report a large enhancement of T_c from 0.56 K to 2.8 K in NbTe2 coexisting with CDW. X-ray structure analyses show \sim 1% of the unit cell volume expansion in our *T*c-enhanced sample due to anisotropic distortion of the lattice while maintaining the 1T" structure. We find no significant variation in Hall coefficient *R*H, also supporting that CDW still coexists with the enhanced superconductivity. Our results suggest that the large enhancement of T_c in NbTe₂ differs in the mechanism compared with similar T_c enhancements in other TMD superconductors.

II. EXPERIMENTAL AND NUMERICAL DETAILS

We grew standard ($T_c = 0.56$ K) and T_c -enhanced ($T_c = 2.8$) K) NbTe2 single crystals by chemical vapor transport (CVT) method. For standard samples, we first prepared NbTe2 polycrystals with a simple solid-state reaction method by heating as follows. Mixtures of niobium (Nb) powder (99.99 %) and tellurium (Te) powder (99.99 %) were sealed inside a vacuumed $(5.0\times10^{-4} \text{ Pa})$ quartz ample (16 mm in inner diameter). And then we heated the ample in a muffle furnace at a temperature of 520 \degree C for 92 h and quenched by furnace cooling to obtain a polycrystalline powder. We heated the polycrystalline powder and iodine (I_2) (2 mg/cm³) in a simple tube furnace at reaction end temperature of 920 °C and growth end temperature of 850 °C for 9 days and quenched by furnace cooling. For *T*_c-enhanced samples, we skipped the process of growing polycrystals and grew NbTe2 single crystals by CVT with mixtures of niobium powder, Te powder and I₂. Single crystals of standard and *T*_c-enhanced samples were mainly flaky, with a maximum size of about 1 cm. We also used NbTe₂ purchased from HQ-graphene as a standard sample. All results of the HQ-graphene sample agree with those of the standard sample we grew.

We characterized crystal structures with out-of-plane Xray diffraction (XRD) patterns and single-crystal XRD patterns using a Rigaku MiniFlex Ⅱ equipped with Cu Kα, and Rigaku VariMax RAPID-DW equipped with Mo Kα, respectively. We carried out transport measurements and magnetization measurements with Physical Property Measurement System (PPMS, Quantum Design), and Magnetic Property Measurement System (MPMS, Quantum Design), respectively. We measured resistivity and Hall resistivity using a standard four-probe technique and a sixprobe technique, respectively, in magnetic fields applied normal to the 2D layers.

 The first-principles electronic structure calculation package, Ecalj [24], was used with the all-electron and

Fig. 2. Temperature and magnetic-field dependence of resistivity in NbTe2 samples. (a) Comparison of superconductivity transition temperature (T_c) in standard samples (black line) and *T*_c-enhanced samples (red line). The inset shows the resistivities of the samples at higher temperatures. (b) The critical temperatures of the *T*cenhanced in magnetic fields.

mixed basis set methods. The PBE version of the generalized gradient approximation was used. The spin-orbit interaction was considered using the perturbation approach in the density of states (DOS) calculation. The number of k-points was set to $10 \times 10 \times 6$ for the self-consistent field calculation and $30 \times 30 \times 18$ for the DOS calculation. The structural optimization was performed by QUANTUM ESPRESSO [25] within the scalar-relativistic approximation, with cutoff energies of 60 Ryd and 400 Ryd for the wave function and the charge density, respectively.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Fig. 2(a) shows the temperature dependence of resistivity of the standard and T_c -enhanced samples obtained with two different growth procedures. The T_c -enhanced sample has the onset temperature at 2.8 K which is 5 times higher than that of the standard sample $(T_c = 0.56 \text{ K})$. To further characterize the T_c -enhanced sample, we estimate the critical field H_{c2} by measuring the critical temperatures in magnetic fields as shown in Fig. 2(b). We estimate the critical magnetic field $(H_{c2}(0))$ based on Ginzburg Landau expression:

$$
H_{c2}(T) = H_{c2}(0) \frac{[1 - (T/T_c)^2]}{[1 + (T/T_c)^2]}
$$

The *T*c-enhanced sample shows a vast enhancement of *H*c2 $(0) \sim 2.5$ T compared to that of 0.04 T of the standard one. We also estimate the residual resistance ratio (RRR) of the samples based on the higher temperature resistivities shown in the inset of Fig. 2(a)*.* The RRR of the *T*c-enhanced sample is as small as \sim 1 while the RRR of the standard sample is 5-6 which is identical to the values reported in the previous works [13,14,19], obviously suggesting that the *T*_c-enhanced sample contains more disorders than the standard sample does.

To confirm the superconductivity of the T_c -enhanced sample, we performed magnetization measurements. Figure 3 shows that the temperature dependence of the magnetization of the *T*c-enhanced sample. The magnetization in zero-field cooling (ZFC) starts deviating from the one in field cooling (FC) at \sim 2.5 K clearly indicating that the shielding effect. We estimate the superconducting volume fraction to be 0.025%. Since the superconducting transition observed in the resistivity measurements is not quite sharp for the T_c -enhanced sample, we probably need to measure the magnetization at lower temperatures to evaluate the volume fraction more precisely.

To understand the difference in T_c between the samples, we first performed out-of-plane XRD measurements. Figure 4(a) shows the XRD patterns on the (00*l*) of *T*c-enhanced and standard samples. The clear peaks for the standard sample indicate a single phase. The clear main peaks with the tiny sub peaks at higher angles for the T_c -enhanced sample indicate that the crystal is nearly a single phase but contains small lattice constant fluctuations, which is consistent with the Laue analysis and magnetoresistance measurements described later. The peaks of the standard sample are consistent with previous studies, but those of the *T_c*-

Fig. 3. Temperature dependence of magnetization in zero field cooling (ZFC) and field cooling (FC).

Fig. 4. (a) The out-of-plane XRD patterns of the standard (black line) and T_c -enhanced (red line) samples at room temperatures. The *d* is interlayer distance. (b) Schematic of the observed structural modification in $NbTe₂$. The blue atom and the sky blue atom show Nb of the standard and the *T*cenhanced samples, respectively. (c) Laue diffraction patterns of the standard and T_c -enhanced samples.

enhanced sample shift to a lower angle [19,22,23]. The interlayer distances d of the standard and T_c -enhanced samples are estimated to be 6.628 Å and 6.687 Å ,

Table 1. Lattice parameters and unit cell volume of the standard and the *T*_c-enhanced NbTe₂ crystals determined with single-crystal XRD at room temperatures.

Parameter	Standard	T_c -enhanced	Reference
	sample	sample	[24]
a(A)	19.436(3)	19.276(6)	19.392
$b(\AA)$	3.6451(5)	3.6732(8)	3.6420
$c(\AA)$	9.327(2)	9.313(2)	9.375
β (°)	134.58(1)	133.89(2)	134.57
Interlayer distance (\AA)	6.643(2)	6.711(3)	6.678
Unit cell volume (\AA^3)	470.6(2)	475.1(3)	471.7

respectively. The T_c -enhanced sample expands in the $(00l)$ direction by ~ 0.06 Å, which is similar order to the values reported in intercalated and doped TMD material [3,4,22].

We performed single-crystal XRD analysis for further structural characterization as summarized in Table. 1. The grain size used for the measurement is order of $\sim 10 \mu$ m. As schematically shown in Fig. $4(b)$, the T_c -enhanced sample has a shorter lattice constant along the *a*-axis and a smaller *β* angle, resulting in a unit cell volume approximately 1% larger than the standard sample [26]. The increase in the interlayer distance is consistent with that obtained from the out-of-plane measurements. Regardless of this unit cell volume expansion, the crystal structure preserves the same space group strongly suggesting that the T_c -enhanced sample has the CDW order below room temperatures.

The Laue analysis clarifies the multi-domain formation on the *ab*-plane in the *T*c-enhanced sample. The Laue diffraction pattern of the *T*_c-enhanced sample shows much fewer spots compared to that of the standard (Fig. 4(c)). Multiple domains in the *ab*-plane should exist also in the standard sample as observed microscopically in the previous work using a scanning tunneling microscope (STM) as a consequence of the 1T-1T" structure transition [17]. This is like in the case of iron-based superconductors, in which many domains with twin boundaries are induced by the lattice deformation due to the structure phase transition from the tetragonal to the orthorhombic lattices [27]. For the *T*cenhanced sample, this effect is probably more enhanced due to the significant change in *β* angle leading to the further reduction in the domain size.

Fig. 5. Magnetoresistance (MR) of NbTe₂ samples at different temperatures. (a) Standard sample. (b) *T*cenhanced sample.

various temperatures for (a) standard and (b) T_c -enhanced NbTe2 crystals.

This reduction in the domain size shortens the mean free path and reduces RRR. This also affects the MR. Based on the standard two carrier model for MR, if the relaxation times τs of the carriers decrease drastically due to multidomain formations or impurities, MR also will be reduced significantly [28]. Figures 5 shows magnetoresistance (MR) defined as $(\rho_{xx}(B,T) - \rho_{xx}(0,T)) / \rho_{xx}(0,T)$ of the samples at various temperatures in fields up to 8 T. MR of the standard sample reaches \sim 5% which is comparable to the previous report [19], while strongly suppressed in the *T*_c-enhanced sample. In other TMD materials, multi-domain formation and grain size reduction lead the suppression of CDW [9,10,29]. At the same time, a strong T_c enhancement or induced superconductivity occur in most of the cases. However, in the T_c -enhanced NbTe₂ sample, CDW persists as we see from the structure analysis. **Example 20** of the Hall resistivity β_{c} is a strength suggesting that the 7-schanar correspondence of Hall resistivity β_{c} where the attack of the multi-domain formation on the domain size shortens the mult

To better understand the differences in the electronic structures of the two samples, we performed Hall resistivity measurements. The Hall coefficient R_H is defined as the magnetic field derivative of hall resistivity. As shown in Fig. 6, both the standard and T_c -enhanced samples show a positive *R*H, positive linear dependence of the Hall resistivity on the magnetic field, indicating that holes are the dominant carriers in these samples. A weak temperature dependence of the *R*^H exists for the standard sample while it is absent for the *T*_c-enhanced sample. The carrier density $\sim 1/R_H$ for the *T*c-enhanced sample slightly increases compared with the standard sample. But other than those small changes, there is no significant difference between the two samples. Sign reversal of *R*^H associated with CDW transitions and loss of sign reversal of R_H associated with suppression of CDW by external perturbations have been reported in TMD materials. [30–32]. The sign reversal occurs due to the gap opening or closing due to CDW formation or collapsing. The gap opening (closing) removes (increases) the carrier in the part of the Fermi surface and changes the dominant carrier. Such R_H sign reversal is also reported for in NbTe₂ at the external pressure where CDW disappears [21]. If CDW transition is suppressed in the T_c -enhanced sample, the sign of the R_H can be negative, but that is not the case here. This also suggests

that the CDW still coexists with the superconductivity in the T_c -enhanced sample. A sign reversal of R_H is also absent for copper-doped $NbTe₂$ in which the T_c is strongly enhanced [22]. We do not know exactly the CDW transition temperature of the *T*_c-enhanced sample, but at least, we don't see any clear anomaly in the resistivity related to CDW transition up to 300 K as in the case for copper doped NbTe2 [22,23].

To investigate possible origins for the *T*_c-enhancement, we performed first principles calculations for both samples based on the lattice parameters determined experimentally in Table 1. According to BCS theory, the DOSs at *E*^F increases, the more T_c improves. This could happen if the lattice parameter changes. However, as we can see in Fig. 7, the DOS at the Fermi level E_F ($E = 0$) has no difference between two samples. The expansion of the unit cell volume softens the lattice leading a stronger electron-phonon coupling λ , which also improves T_c . To check this possibility, it requires more calculations or thermodynamic measurements in future works.

In the monolayer limit of NbTe₂ [33], various CDW phases appear at different growth temperatures. A peculiar long periodic CDW ($\sqrt{19} \times \sqrt{19}$) called David star is stabilized at higher temperatures, which is discussed related to the Mott phases [34]. Although no experimental reports show the relationship between such CDW and superconductivity, it is well-known that the Mott phase is closely related to high- T_c cuprates [35]. As described before, we used basically the same method to synthesize NbTe₂ crystals, but we skipped one process for the T_c -enhanced sample. A possible reason for the difference between the two samples is the difference in temperature gradient during crystal growth. If the growth temperatures are slightly different, different types of CDWs can exist in the samples. Hence it will be very informative if we observe which types of CDWs are stable in our *T*c-enhanced sample and the relationship with the spatial distribution of the superconducting gaps. For further studies, a microscopic investigation using an STM will be a key to unveil the mechanism of the observed T_c enhancement, but it is beyond the scope of this paper.

IV. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we find that NbTe₂ with the unit cell expansion (\sim 1%) has 5 times higher T_c compared to that of

Fig. 7. The density of states calculations of NbTe₂ based on DFT theory with spin-orbit interaction. Red and blue lines indicate the results for T_c -enhanced and standard samples. The lattice parameters experimentally obtained are used in the calculation. The result near E_F ($E = 0$) is enlarged in the inset.

the standard sample. We conclude that the enhanced superconductivity and CDW order coexist in the *T*cenhanced sample based on the results of the structure analysis and transport and magnetic measurements unlike the *T*^c enhancements observed in other TMD superconductors. The first principles calculations show that the unit cell volume expansion does not give the enhancement of DOS at *E*F, excluding that as a possible origin of the observed T_c enhancement. For a deeper understanding, direct observation of the CDW and superconducting gap using STM is essential.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Koichiro Ienega for fruitful discussions. We also thank Yuji Matsumoto for technical helps for X-ray analyses. The computation in this work was performed using the facilities of the Supercomputer Center, Institute for Solid State Physics, The University of Tokyo, Japan. This work was supported by The Mitani Foundation for Research and Development, and a JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (KAKENHI) Grant Number 23K26541, 23H05459, 24K06955.

^[1] B. Sipos, A. F. Kusmartseva, A. Akrap, H. Berger, L. Forró, and E. Tutiš, From Mott state to superconductivity in 1T-TaS2, Nat. Mater. **7**, 960 (2008).

^[2] Y. Qi *et al*., Superconductivity in Weyl semimetal candidate MoTe2, Nat Commun **7**, 11038 (2016).

^[3] W. Z. Hu, G. Li, J. Yan, H. H. Wen, G. Wu, X. H. Chen, and N. L. Wang, Optical study of the charge-densitywave mechanism in $2H-TaS_2$ and Na_xTaS_2 , Phys. Rev. B **76**, 045103 (2007).

[4] X. C. Li, M. H. Zhou, L. H. Yang, and C. Dong, Significant enhancement of superconductivity in copperdoped 2H-TaSe2, Supercond. Sci. Technol. **30**, 125001 (2017).

[5] Y. Zhang *et al*., Enhanced Superconductivity and Upper Critical Field in Ta‐Doped Weyl Semimetal Td‐MoTe2, Adv. Mater. **35**, e2207841 (2023).

[6] J. T. Ye, Y. J. Zhang, R. Akashi, M. S. Bahramy, R. Arita, and Y. Iwasa, Superconducting Dome in a Gate-Tuned Band Insulator, Science **338**, 1193 (2012).

[7] W. Shi, J. Ye, Y. Zhang, R. Suzuki, M. Yoshida, J. Miyazaki, N. Inoue, Y. Saito, and Y. Iwasa, Superconductivity Series in Transition Metal Dichalcogenides by Ionic Gating, Sci. Rep. **5**, 12534 (2015).

[8] L. J. Li, E. C. T. O'Farrell, K. P. Loh, G. Eda, B. Özyilmaz, and A. H. C. Neto, Controlling many-body states by the electric-field effect in a two-dimensional material, Nature **529**, 185 (2016).

[9] E. Navarro-Moratalla *et al*., Enhanced superconductivity in atomically thin TaS2, Nat Commun **7**, 11043 (2016).

[10] D. A. Rhodes *et al.*, Enhanced Superconductivity in Monolayer Td-MoTe2, Nano Lett **21**, 2505 (2021).

[11] K. E. Wagner *et al*., Tuning the charge density wave and superconductivity in CuxTaS2, Phys. Rev. B **78**, 104520 (2008).

[12] D. C. Freitas *et al*., Strong enhancement of superconductivity at high pressures within the chargedensity-wave states of $2H$ -TaS₂ and $2H$ -TaSe₂, Phys. Rev. B **93**, 184512 (2016).

[13] S. Nagata, T. Abe, S. Ebisu, Y. Ishihara, and K. Tsutsumi, Superconductivity in the metallic layered compound NbTe2, J. Phys. Chem. Solids **54**, 895 (1993).

[14] X. Zhang *et al*., Superconductivity and Fermi Surface Anisotropy in Transition Metal Dichalcogenide NbTe2, Chin. Phys. Lett. **36**, 057402 (2019).

[15] K. Selte, A. Kjekshus, H. Listou, H. Kyyhkynen, R. A. Hoffman, and A. Westerdahl, On the Magnetic Properties of Niobium Selenides and Tellurides., Acta Chem. Scand. **19**, 258 (1965).

[16] C. Battaglia, H. Cercellier, F. Clerc, L. Despont, M. G. Garnier, C. Koitzsch, P. Aebi, H. Berger, L. Forró, and C. Ambrosch-Draxl, Fermi-surface-induced lattice distortion in NbTe2, Phys. Rev. B **72**, 195114 (2005).

[17] W.-J. Jang, J. Sim, J. E. Heo, H. Noh, R. Kim, S. H. Chang, S. Jeon, and H. W. Kim, Direct observation of multiband charge density waves in NbTe2, Phys. Rev. B **106**, 125110 (2022).

[18] F. Tang, H. C. Po, A. Vishwanath, and X. Wan, Comprehensive search for topological materials using symmetry indicators., Nature **566**, 486 (2018).

[19] H. Chen, Z. Li, X. Fan, L. Guo, and X. Chen, Quantum linear magnetoresistance in NbTe₂, Solid State Commun **275**, 16 (2018).

[20] R. Vaidya, N. Bhatt, S. G. Patel, A. R. Jani, A. Garg, V. Vijayakumar, and B. K. Godwal, Electrical resistance measurements under pressure on NbTe2 single crystal, High Press. Res. **23**, 379 (2003).

[21] S. Li *et al*., Evolution of Structural and Electronic Properties in NbTe2 under High Pressure, Inorg. Chem. **60**, 7857 (2021).

[22] J. Chen, J. Si, Y. Zhang, Y. Chen, R. Jia, S. Cao, and Z. Feng, Electric transport properties of Cu-doped NbTe2 single crystals, Phys. B: Condens. Matter **658**, 414827 (2023).

[23] J. Chen, J. Si, A. Pal, Y. Gao, Y. Zhang, Y. Chen, S. Cao, and Z. Feng, Evidence of Superconductivity Induced by Copper Doping in NbTe2 Single Crystals, J. Supercond. Nov. Magn. **36**, 1103 (2023).

[24] D. Deguchi, K. Sato, H. Kino, and T. Kotani, Accurate energy bands calculated by the hybrid quasiparticle selfconsistent GW method implemented in the Ecalj package, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. **55**, 051201 (2016).

[25] P. Giannozzi *et al*., QUANTUM ESPRESSO: a modular and open-source software project for quantum simulations of materials, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **21**, 395502 (2009).

[26] B. E. Brown, The crystal structure of NbTe₂ and TaTe2, Acta Cryst **20**, 264 (1966).

[27] M. A. Tanatar, A. Kreyssig, S. Nandi, N. Ni, S. L. Bud'ko, P. C. Canfield, A. I. Goldman, and R. Prozorov, Direct imaging of the structural domains in the iron pnictides AFe2As2(A=Ca,Sr,Ba), Phys. Rev. B **79**, 180508 (2009).

[28] J. M. Ziman, *Principles of the Theory of Solids*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1972).

[29] M. Mandal, S. Marik, K. P. Sajilesh, Arushi, D. Singh, J. Chakraborty, N. Ganguli, and R. P. Singh, Enhancement of the superconducting transition temperature by Re doping

in Weyl semimetal MoTe2, Phys. Rev. Mater. **2**, 094201 (2018).

[30] S. Ni, M. Zhou, Z. Lin, B. Ruan, Z. Li, Z. Zou, Z. Xu, and Z. Ren, Crystal growth, superconductivity, and charge density wave of pristine and Pd-intercalated 2H-TaS₂, Phys. Rev. B **108**, 075103 (2023).

[31] M. Naito and S. Tanaka, Electrical Transport Properties in 2H-NbS₂, -NbSe₂, -TaS₂ and -TaSe₂, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **51**, 219 (1982).

[32] X.-M. Zhao, K. Zhang, Z.-Y. Cao, Z.-W. Zhao, V. V. Struzhkin, A. F. Goncharov, H.-K. Wang, A. G. Gavriliuk, H.-K. Mao, and X.-J. Chen, Pressure tuning of the charge density wave and superconductivity in 2H-TaS₂, Phys. Rev. B **101**, 134506 (2020).

[33] Y. Bai *et al*., Realization of Multiple Charge-Density Waves in NbTe2 at the Monolayer Limit, Nano Lett. **23**, 2107 (2023).

[34] T. Taguchi *et al*., Charge order with unusual star-of-David lattice in monolayer NbTe2, Phys Rev B **107**, L041105 (2023).

[35] P. W. Anderson, The Resonating Valence Bond State in La2CuO4 and Superconductivity, Science **235**, 1196 (1987).