

# Symmetries for the gKPZ equation via multi-indices

October 2, 2024

**Carlo Bellingeri, Yvain Bruned**

IECL (UMR 7502), Université de Lorraine  
 Email: [carlo.bellingeri@univ-lorraine.fr](mailto:carlo.bellingeri@univ-lorraine.fr),  
[yvain.bruned@univ-lorraine.fr](mailto:yvain.bruned@univ-lorraine.fr)

## Abstract

In this work, we study the two main symmetries for the one-dimensional generalised KPZ equation (gKPZ): the chain rule and the Itô Isometry. We consider the equation in the full-subcritical regimes and use multi-indices that avoid an over-parametrization of the renormalised equation to compute the dimension of the two spaces associated with these two symmetries. Our proof is quite elementary and shows that multi-indices provide in this case a simplification in comparison to the results obtained via decorated trees. It also completes the program on the study of the chain rule initiated in [8] and continued in [6].

## Contents

|          |   |           |
|----------|---|-----------|
| <b>1</b> | <b>Introduction</b>   | <b>1</b>  |
| 1.1      | Outline of the paper . . . . .                                    | 4         |
| 1.2      | Further consequences . . . . .                                    | 5         |
| <b>2</b> | <b>Solution theory with multi-indices</b>                         | <b>7</b>  |
| 2.1      | Multi-indices and trees associated to gKPZ . . . . .              | 7         |
| 2.2      | BPHZ model over general noise and reduced multi-indices . . . . . | 10        |
| 2.3      | The renormalised equation and its convergence . . . . .           | 15        |
| <b>3</b> | <b>Symmetries of the multi-indices spaces</b>                     | <b>18</b> |
| 3.1      | Itô terms . . . . .   | 19        |
| 3.2      | Geometric terms . . . . .   | 20        |

## 1 Introduction

In this paper, we consider the symmetries of the following equation:

$$\partial_t u - \partial_x^2 u = \Gamma(u)(\partial_x u)^2 + g(u)\partial_x u + h(u) + \sigma(u)\xi, \quad (1.1)$$

which we call the generalised-KPZ equation. Here,  $(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{T}$ , where  $\mathbb{T}$  is the one dimensional torus and the non-linearities  $\sigma, \Gamma, h, g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are

smooth functions, the noise  $\xi$  is a random distributional admissible noise which is subcritical, and space symmetric, see Definitions 2.1 and 2.4. This equation is solved in the subcritical regime via the theory of Regularity Structures invented by Martin Hairer. It was one of the main motivations for developing a self contained solution theory in a series of papers [30, 13, 21, 4] that cover a large class of singular stochastic partial differential equations (SPDEs). Surveys on these results can be found in [24, 10]. By subcritical, we mean that the regularity of the noise is such that one can apply the theory of Regularity Structures. Indeed, the regularity of the noise governs the length of local approximations of the solution. This length increases when the regularity of the noise decreases. When it reaches a critical value, it becomes infinite. In the context of gKPZ, one has to have a Hölder space-time regularity of the noise  $\xi$  to be greater than  $-2$ . For a more precise description, one can look at Definition 2.1. The resolution of this equation produces a renormalised equation where the space-time noise  $\xi$  is replaced by a regularised version  $\xi_\varepsilon$  that converges to  $\xi$  when  $\varepsilon$  converges to zero. This is performed by convolution via a class of mollifier defined precisely at the beginning of Section 2.2. Then, one writes a renormalised equation of the form:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u_\varepsilon &= \partial_x^2 u_\varepsilon + \Gamma(u_\varepsilon) (\partial_x u_\varepsilon)^2 + g(u_\varepsilon) \partial_x u_\varepsilon + h(u_\varepsilon) + \sigma(u_\varepsilon) \xi_\varepsilon \\ &+ \sum_{\tau \in \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbb{V}, \circ}^-} C_\varepsilon(\tau) \Upsilon_{\Gamma, \sigma}(\tau)(u_\varepsilon). \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

where  $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbb{V}, \circ}^-$  is a combinatorial set formed of decorated trees whose cardinal depends on the regularity of the noise. The coefficients (elementary differentials)  $\Upsilon_{\Gamma, \sigma}(\tau)(u_\varepsilon)$  depend on  $\Gamma, \sigma$  and the solution  $u_\varepsilon$ . The counter-terms described by  $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbb{V}, \circ}^-$  are needed for renormalising the various distributional products of the equation. The solution  $u_\varepsilon$  of the random PDEs (1.2) converges as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  in probability, locally in time, to a nontrivial limit  $u$ . In the end, from the applications of the results contained in [30, 13, 21, 4], one gets a finite dimensional space of solutions with the parameters  $C_\varepsilon(\tau)$ . Now, we want to use symmetries for selecting a more relevant (physical) solution. The two symmetries studied for this equations in high dimension, when one considers a system, are

- Chain rule: The equation (1.1) transform according to the chain rule under composition with diffeomorphisms.
- Itô Isometry: The law of the solution  $u_\varepsilon$  of (1.1) depends on  $\sigma^2$ .

The geometric motivation of equation (1.1) is to provide a natural stochastic process taking values in the space of loops in a compact Riemannian manifold. Its invariant measure is expected to be the Brownian loop measure. The first attempt of this construction is in [25] for noise colored in space. The construction for space time white noise is performed in [8] and announced in [31]. The connection with the Brownian loop measure remains an open problem. Let us show below in which works these symmetries has been studied:

|                | Space-time white noise |              | Full subcritical regime |              |
|----------------|------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|--------------|
|                | Chain rule             | Itô Isometry | Chain rule              | Itô Isometry |
| Dimension one  | This work              | This work    | This work               | This work    |
| High dimension | [8]                    | [8]          | [6]                     | Open         |

By high dimension, we mean that we solve a vector-valued equation of type (1.1) and the number of the components has to be sufficiently large such that the law of the solution  $u$ , denoted by  $U(\Gamma, \sigma, h)$ , is injective with respect to the non-linearity  $h$ .

Let us mention that in [8] combining the two symmetries, one can get a unique solution in a specific geometric context. In general, one does not expect to get this unique choice of solutions combining the two symmetries. The work [8] provides valid combinatorial conditions for both symmetries. The proofs in [8] for computing the vector space of solutions associated with these symmetries are performed by hand for the space-time white noise. One cannot treat the full subcritical regime with them.

In [6], by using advanced algebra tools such as operad theory and homological algebra, one can view the key map for the chain rule symmetry as a derivation in a homological complex and compute its kernel which characterises this symmetry. This structure can be understood as a deformation of the so-called operadic twisting. The Itô Isometry remains an open problem in the context of high dimension. Both results from [8, 6] can be applied in one dimension but one gets an over-parametrisation of the equation by losing the injectivity of the coefficients.

In dimension one, the study of the chain rule started with [19] where the dimension is derived formally for space-time white noise. Let us also mention [32] where the Itô product is recovered for the multiplicative stochastic heat equation and [3] where an Itô formula with KPZ type non-linearities is derived for the additive stochastic heat equation. It turns out that if one wants to preserve this crucial property of injectivity, one has to replace decorated trees with a new combinatorial set called multi-indices. They have been introduced in the context of singular SPDEs in [40] for studying quasilinear equations. Since then, works related to multi-indices have appeared both on the analytical/probabilistic side and the algebraic side in [36, 38, 14, 34, 15, 5, 35, 29, 28, 12]. For comprehensive surveys on multi-indices, interested readers can refer to [37, 41, 18]. Multi-indices have appeared in different forms in previous works. In [23], the authors characterise the free Novikov algebra with multi-indices. In numerical analysis in [39], the authors used composition maps for characterising affine equivariant methods. These composition maps are connected to multi-indices (see also [7] where multi-index B-series are introduced and this connection is made explicit).

For intermediate dimensions (between one and high), no nice combinatorial set is known that will preserve injectivity and will have nice algebraic properties as multi-indices and decorated trees for constructing solutions of singular SPDEs. Therefore, we omit this case in the summary of the various results. We can now state our main theorem involving multi-indices for the gKPZ equation.

**Theorem 1.1** *Let  $u_0$  be an initial datum belonging to the Hölder space  $\mathcal{C}^r(\mathbb{T})$  for some  $r > 0$  and  $\xi$  an admissible noise which is spatial symmetric (see Definitions 2.1 and 2.4). Then there exists a finite combinatorial set  $\mathfrak{B}_\xi$  given in 3.4 whose dimension as a vector space can be computed and a finite number of renormalisation constants  $C_\varepsilon(v)$  depending on  $v \in \mathfrak{B}_\xi$  and the choice of the noise such that by considering the renormalised equation of (1.1)*

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u_\varepsilon &= \partial_x^2 u_\varepsilon + \Gamma(u_\varepsilon) (\partial_x u_\varepsilon)^2 + g(u_\varepsilon) \partial_x u_\varepsilon + h(u_\varepsilon) + \sigma(u_\varepsilon) \xi_\varepsilon \\ &+ \sum_{v \in \mathfrak{B}_\xi} C_\varepsilon(v) \Upsilon_{\Gamma, \sigma}(v)(u_\varepsilon) \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

where  $\Upsilon_{\Gamma, \sigma}(v)(u_\varepsilon)$  are computed using  $\sigma$  and the covariant derivative  $\nabla_X Y$  defined for  $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$  by

$$\nabla_X Y(u) = X(u) \partial_u Y(u) + \Gamma(u) X(u) Y(u) .$$

*It converges as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  in probability, locally in time, to a nontrivial limit  $u$  which is independent of the mollifying procedure. Moreover, the equations (1.2) transform according to the chain rule under composition with diffeomorphisms. The law of the solution depends on  $\sigma^2$  when the noise  $\xi$  is Gaussian. In the case of space-time white noise, the solution exists for all time.*

As there is no solution theory for multi-indices for the moment, one has to use most of the arguments of [8] for proving Theorem 1.1 and to go first via decorated trees.

### 1.1 Outline of the paper

Let us summarise the content of this paper. In Section 2, we introduce the multi-indices for solving the equation (1.1). We follow the formalism introduced in [15]. It is formed of more general multi-indices than in [40, 36]. The main new contribution of this section is the introduction of convenient notations that will be used throughout the rest of the paper to characterise the symmetries. The multi-indices are viewed as polynomials in the variables  $z_{(\mathbf{V}, k)}$  and  $z_{(\circ, k)}$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . The first variable is associated with the product  $\sigma(u_\varepsilon) \xi_\varepsilon$  and the second one with  $\Gamma(u_\varepsilon) (\partial_x u_\varepsilon)^2$ . They are also associated with some coefficients described below:

$$z_{(\mathbf{V}, k)} \leftrightarrow 2\Gamma^{(k)}(u_\varepsilon), \quad z_{(\circ, k)} \leftrightarrow \sigma^{(k)}(u_\varepsilon).$$

The coefficient 2 in front of  $\Gamma^{(k)}(u_\varepsilon)$  comes from the exponent of  $(\partial_x u_\varepsilon)^2$ . We recall in (2.4) the definition of the map  $\Psi$  that allows us to associate a decorated tree with a multi-indices. Then, we introduce the BPHZ model over general noise and reduced multi-indices. The model is given in (2.6). After putting the correct assumptions on the noise  $\xi$  in Definition 2.1, we recall the BPHZ choice of the renormalisation constants in Theorem 2.3. We consider symmetric noise given in Definition 2.4 and in Proposition 2.6, we show that noises given by Definition 2.1 are actually

symmetric. We then prove in Proposition 2.8 that some BPHZ constants are equal to zero which allows us to work with a reduced set of multi-indices in Definition 2.7. We finish the section by defining the map  $\Upsilon_{\Gamma,\sigma}$  on the reduced multi-indices (see Definition 2.9) and we provide a proof of Theorem 1.1.

In Section 3, we start by defining precisely the symmetries considered in this work which are Chain rule and Itô Isometry. This is performed in Definition 3.1 which required first to have  $\Upsilon_{\Gamma,\sigma}$  given in Definition 2.9. We start with the Itô Isometry and we show in Theorem 3.2 that it cannot discriminate solutions as most of the counter-terms satisfy it (terms with an even number of variables  $z_{(\circ,k)}$ ). Then, we continue with the Chain rule. It will be considered via infinitesimal change of coordinates that requires the introduction of new variables  $z_{(\blacktriangle,k)}$  associated with  $h^{(k)}$ . Here,  $h$  has to be understood as the first order of a family of diffeomorphism  $(\psi_t)_{t \geq 0}$  satisfying  $\psi_t = \text{id} + th$ . We use an important map  $\hat{\varphi}_{\text{geo}}$  given in Definition 3.5 whose kernel characterises the Chain rule see Theorem 3.6. The proof of this Theorem crucially relies on Theorem 3.4 which shows the injectivity of the  $\Upsilon_{\Gamma,\sigma}^h$ . The map  $\Upsilon_{\Gamma,\sigma}^h$  is the extension of  $\Upsilon_{\Gamma,\sigma}$  to multi-indices containing variables of the form  $z_{(\blacktriangle,k)}$ . We then identify a natural subspace of geometric counter-terms via Proposition 3.7 given by iteration of covariant derivatives defined in (3.3). The rest of the paper is then dedicated to show that this subspace is the space of geometric counter-terms and to compute its dimension, both given by Theorem 3.8. In Proposition 3.9, we show a lower bound on the dimension. Example 3.11 illustrates this bound on multi-indices coming from the space-time white noise. The upper bound is proved in Proposition 3.12 via the writing of a system. This system is written fully in Example 3.15 again for space-time white noise. Most of the ideas of the proofs are coming from [8] but due to the use of multi-indices, one can observe major simplifications. The most critical part is the upper bound and the proof of Proposition 3.12 will not give an easy answer for decorated trees which shows the need for more advanced algebra.

## 1.2 Further consequences

Multi-indices have been introduced to simplify the construction with decorated trees for singular SPDEs and propose a more natural expansion in dimension one. So far, most of the (new) proofs/constructions concerning multi-indices have their equivalent at the level of decorated trees and one cannot argue clearly that one is simpler than the other.

- The Hopf algebras at the core of Regularity Structures have been understood in [30, 13]. The equivalent construction could be found in [36] but without the renormalisation via Hopf algebras which is better understood in [35] in the context of Rough Paths. These Hopf algebras have been understood in [16, 14] as originating from the same type of post-Lie product.
- The proof of the renormalised equation in [4] has been largely simplified in [1] thanks to preparation maps introduced in [20]. A different approach is advocated in [38]: The top-down approach which is to find first the correct ansatz for the

equation that will produce renormalised multi-indices. In [4], it is a bottom-up approach that has been chosen: One first renormalises divergent stochastic iterated integrals and then sees how this transformation acts on the equation. In [15] where the renormalised equation is computed for a large class of equations via multi-indices, the renormalisation map is close in spirit to a preparation map.

- In [38], the authors have proposed a diagram-free approach for the convergence via a spectral gap inequality and the use of the Reconstruction Theorem. This multi-index-based proof has been extended and rewritten in the context of decorated trees in [17, 33, 11]. So far, these proofs do not work in a non-translation invariant setting. The approach in [2] combining spectral gap and diagrams provides another way to tackle this problem.

In the present work, one can notice substantial simplifications due to multi-indices. In Definition 2.9, the map  $\Upsilon_{\Gamma, \sigma}$  is given as a polynomial map. The proof of its injectivity in Theorem 3.4 is immediate. The key map  $\varphi_{\text{geo}}$  is defined without any algebra: In [8], it was given as a  $T$ -algebra infinitesimal morphism and in [6] it respects the operadic structure. The most dramatic simplification is in Proposition 3.12 for the proof of the upper bound on the dimension of geometric elements.

In the end, we can provide an elementary self-contained proof of [6, Conj. 1.4] which looks at first sight quite complicated to establish. Indeed, the operadic and homological approach is not clear for multi-indices as one has to replace the operad of pre-Lie algebras with the operad of Novikov algebras. The homological method of [6] relies on knowing the homology of the operadic twisting of the operad of pre-Lie algebras. For the operad of Novikov algebras, the homology of operadic twisting is not known and seems more difficult than the one for decorated trees. The main result of this work could give insight into this open problem.

As mentioned before, one does not have a complete solution theory for multi-indices. However, once it is completed, one can use the chain rule to get important results. Indeed, the approach for solving quasi-linear SPDEs in [27, 26, 9] depends on the chain rule for getting local counter-terms in the solution. Also, in [9], the chain rule is the key to obtaining long-time existence results. Such results could be obtained in the context of multi-indices.

### Acknowledgements

C.B. and Y.B. gratefully acknowledge funding support from the European Research Council (ERC) through the ERC Starting Grant Low Regularity Dynamics via Decorated Trees (LoRDeT), grant agreement No. 101075208. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Research Council. Neither the European Union nor the granting authority can be held responsible for them.

## 2 Solution theory with multi-indices

To analyse rigorously the symmetries of (1.1), we consider its multi-index expansion via the notion of generalised multi-index, as explained in [15] and we put it in relation with the usual tree expansion of (1.1), given in [8] via the .

### 2.1 Multi-indices and trees associated to gKPZ

The main idea is to rewrite (1.1) as the equation

$$\partial_t u - \partial_x^2 u = a_0(u, \partial_x u) + a_{\Xi}(u)\xi \quad (2.1)$$

and then expand formally each non-linearity according to a Taylor polynomial in the generalised variables i.e.

$$a_0(u, \partial_x u) = \sum_{k=(k_1, k_2) \in \mathbb{N}^2} \alpha_{0,k} u^{k_1} (\partial_x u)^{k_2}, \quad a_{\Xi}(u) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_{\Xi, l} u^l$$

for some coefficients  $\alpha_{0,k}, \alpha_{\Xi, l}$  with  $k \in \mathbb{N}^2, l \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since the expansions contain some constraints when we write it in terms of the variables  $z$  (i.e.  $k_2 \leq 2$ ), it is better to encode them in the combinatorial object of generalised multi-indices.

We start from the finite set  $\mathcal{L} = \{0, \Xi\}$  to which we associate at each element  $l \in \mathcal{L}$  a countable set  $\mathcal{N}_l \subset M(\mathbb{N}^2)$  of standard multi-indices which encode all possible non-linearities acting on  $u$  and its derivatives. For equation (2.1) we have naturally

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_0 &:= \{ke_{(0,0)} + le_{(0,1)} : k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad l \in \{0, 1, 2\}\} \\ \mathcal{N}_{\Xi} &:= \{me_{(0,0)} : m \in \mathbb{N}\} \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

where  $e_{\mathbf{n}} : \mathbb{N}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is the indicator function of the singleton  $\mathbf{n}$ . Combining the non-linearities with the usual polynomials to describe all possible remainder with smooth functions, we introduce the set of decorations

$$\mathcal{N} := \mathcal{N}_0 \sqcup \mathcal{N}_{\Xi} \subset \mathcal{L} \times M(\mathbb{N}^2), \quad \mathcal{R} := \mathcal{N} \sqcup \mathbb{N}^2$$

and we define  $\mathfrak{M}$  as the set of multi-indices over  $\mathcal{R}$ , i.e. the set of functions  $\beta : \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  non-vanishing only on a finite number of elements of  $\mathcal{R}$ . Each element  $\beta \in \mathfrak{M}$  will be in a one-to-one correspondence with the canonical basis of the polynomial algebra

$$\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{R}[z_k, z_{\mathbf{n}}]_{k \in \mathcal{N}, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^2}$$

via the classical identification

$$\beta \rightarrow z_{\beta} := \prod_{k \in \mathcal{N}, \mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^2} z_k^{\beta(k)} z_{\mathbf{n}}^{\beta(\mathbf{n})},$$

which we will use all along the paper. Variables associated to  $\mathcal{N}_{\Xi}$  and  $\mathcal{N}_0$  will be denoted by  $z_{(\Xi, \mathbf{m})}, z_{(0, \mathbf{m})}$  respectively. Moreover, we can further simplify the abstract monomial notations by using the following conventions

$$z_{(\Xi, me_{(0,0)})} = z_{(\mathbf{e}, m)}, \quad z_{(0, ke_{(0,0)} + 2e_{(0,1)})} = z_{(\mathbf{V}, k)}, \quad z_{(0, ke_{(0,0)} + e_{(0,1)})} = z_{(\mathbf{\setminus}, k)}.$$

Each multi-index comes with several quantities associated to it, each one with a key-role to describe the solution (2.1): a **noise factor** depending only on the values of  $\beta$  over  $\mathcal{N}_\Xi$

$$((\beta)) := \sum_{k \in \mathcal{N}_\Xi} \beta(k),$$

a **fertility factor**

$$[\beta] := \sum_{k \in \mathcal{N}} (1 - \ell(k))\beta(k) + \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^2} \beta(\mathbf{n}),$$

where  $\ell(k) = \sum_{u \in \mathcal{R}} k(u)$  is the length of an element in  $M(\mathbb{N}^2)$ . Finally, given the regularity values  $\alpha_\Xi = -2 + \delta/2$  with  $0 < \delta < 1$  and  $\alpha_0 = 0$ , we consider the **homogeneity factor**

$$|\beta| := \sum_{(l,k) \in \mathcal{N}} \left( \alpha_l + \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^2} (2 - \|\mathbf{n}\|)k(\mathbf{n})\beta(k) \right) + \sum_{\mathbf{n}} (\|\mathbf{n}\| - 2)\beta(\mathbf{n}),$$

where we put the parabolic degree  $\|\mathbf{n}\| = 2n_1 + n_2$ . Following [15, Definition 2.22], the following set of multi-indices

$$T = \{\beta \in \mathfrak{M} : [\beta] = 1, ((\beta)) > 0\}$$

and the associated vector space  $\mathcal{T} = \langle T \rangle$  contain the abstracts symbols to describe the equation (2.1). A key-role for renormalisation is played by  $T_-$ , the elements of  $T$  satisfying  $|\beta| < 0$ . Indeed we can easily apply [15, Lemma 2.23] directly to this context and deduce that for any  $0 < \delta < 1$  the set  $T_-$  is finite (with a cardinality depending on  $\delta$ ). In order to understand what type of multi-indices are present we list in Table 1 the negative multi-indices when  $\delta = 1 - \kappa$  for  $\kappa > 0$  sufficiently small (the notation  $\gamma^-$  stands for expressions of the form  $\gamma - m\kappa$  with  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ). This choice of the parameter  $\delta$  is directly given when  $\xi$  is the space-time white noise, see also [15, Table 1]. We denote the linear span of  $T_-$  by  $\mathcal{T}_-$ .

The elements of  $T$  can be easily linked with a class of trees introduced in [8] to consider a more general version of (1.1). We will refer to [13, Section 4], [4, Section 4] for usual references to decorated trees.

Starting from a symbol  $\Xi$  denoting the noise and  $\Xi_0$  to describe the non-linearity without noise, we consider the set of decorated trees whose vertices are decorated by the set  $\mathcal{L} \sqcup \{X^{\mathbf{n}}\}_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^2}$  (the symbol  $X^{\mathbf{n}}$  stands for the monomial  $X^{\mathbf{n}} = X^{n_1} X^{n_2}$ ), and whose edges are decorated by  $\mathbb{N}^2$ . We denote by  $\mathfrak{B}$  the set of trees of this form and by  $\mathcal{B}$  the vector space generated by  $\mathfrak{B}$ . Using the standard operation of tree product  $\tau \cdot \sigma$  among the trees  $\tau, \sigma$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{m}}(\tau)_{\mathbf{m} \in \mathbb{N}^2}$  for the operation of creating a new tree with an extra edge decorated with  $\mathbf{m}$  pointing to the root which is decorated with  $\Xi_0$ , we can recursively construct  $\mathfrak{B}$  starting from  $\mathcal{L} \sqcup \{X^{\mathbf{n}}\}_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^2}$  and setting

$$\tau = \sigma \cdot X^{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{m}_1}(\tau_1) \cdots \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{m}_N}(\tau_N) \quad (2.3)$$



| $ \beta $          | $\beta$  | $\#\{\beta\}$ |
|--------------------|--|---------------|
| $(-3/2)^-$         | $z_{(\circ,0)}$  | 1             |
| $(-1)^-$           | $z_{(\circ,0)}z_{(\circ,1)}, \quad z_{(\circ,0)}^2z_{(\mathbf{V},0)}$  | 2             |
| $(-\frac{1}{2})^-$ | $z_{(\circ,0)}^2z_{(\circ,2)}, \quad z_{(\circ,0)}z_{(\circ,1)}^2, \quad z_{(\circ,0)}z_{(\mathbf{\Lambda},0)}, \quad z_{(\circ,1)}z_{(0,1)}$<br>$z_{(\circ,0)}^2z_{(\circ,1)}z_{(\mathbf{V},0)}, \quad z_{(\circ,0)}^3z_{(\mathbf{V},0)}^2, \quad z_{(\circ,0)}^3z_{(\mathbf{V},1)}$  | 7             |
| $(0)^-$            | $z_{(\circ,0)}z_{(\circ,1)}^3, \quad z_{(\circ,0)}z_{(\circ,1)}z_{(\mathbf{\Lambda},0)}, \quad z_{(\circ,0)}^3z_{(\circ,3)}$<br>$z_{(\circ,0)}^2z_{(\circ,1)}z_{(\circ,2)}, \quad z_{(\circ,0)}^2z_{(\circ,1)}^2z_{(\mathbf{V},0)}, \quad z_{(\circ,0)}^2z_{(\mathbf{\Lambda},0)}z_{(\mathbf{V},0)}$<br>$z_{(\circ,0)}^3z_{(\circ,1)}z_{(\mathbf{V},0)}^2, \quad z_{(\circ,0)}^3z_{(\circ,1)}z_{(\mathbf{V},0)}, \quad z_{(\circ,0)}^3z_{(\circ,2)}z_{(\mathbf{V},0)}$<br>$z_{(\circ,0)}^2z_{(\mathbf{\Lambda},1)}, \quad z_{(\circ,0)}^4z_{(\mathbf{V},0)}^3, \quad z_{(\circ,0)}^4z_{(\mathbf{V},2)}$<br>$z_{(\circ,0)}^4z_{(\mathbf{V},0)}z_{(\mathbf{V},1)}, \quad z_{(\circ,0)}z_{(\circ,2)}z_{(0,1)}, \quad z_{(\circ,1)}^2z_{(0,1)}$<br>$z_{(\circ,0)}^2z_{(\mathbf{V},1)}z_{(0,1)}, \quad z_{(\circ,0)}z_{(\circ,1)}z_{(\mathbf{V},0)}z_{(0,1)}$ | 17            |

Table 1: All the elements of  $T_-$  when  $\delta = 1^-$ 

with  $\sigma \in \mathcal{L}$ ,  $N \geq 0$ ,  $\tau_i \in B$  and  $\mathbf{m}_i \in \mathbb{N}^2$ .

Following [14, Section 3], the explicit connection between multi-indices and trees is done by an explicit linear map  $\Psi: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ . We define it as the unique linear map satisfying  $\Psi(X^{\mathbf{n}}) = z_{\mathbf{n}}$ ,  $\Psi(\Xi) = z_{(\circ,0)}$ ,  $\Psi(\Xi_0) = z_{(0,0)}$ , and setting recursively on any  $\tau$  of the form (2.3)

$$\Psi(\tau) = z_{(\sigma,k)} X^{\mathbf{n}} \prod_{i=1}^N \Psi(\tau_i), \quad (2.4)$$

with  $k = \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{m}_i + |\mathbf{n}|e_0$  and  $z_{(\sigma,k)}$  is the associated monomial in the variables related to  $\mathcal{N}$ . For instance, using the shorthand notation  $\mathcal{F}_1 = \mathcal{F}_{(0,1)}$  we have the identities

$$\Psi(\mathcal{F}_1(\mathcal{F}_1(\Xi)))^2 \cdot \mathcal{F}_1(\mathcal{F}_1(\Xi))^2 = \Psi(\mathcal{F}_1(\Xi)) \cdot \mathcal{F}_1(\mathcal{F}_1(\Xi)) \cdot \mathcal{F}_1(\mathcal{F}_1(\Xi))^2 = z_{(\circ,0)}^4 z_{(\mathbf{V},0)}^3.$$

From this we immediately see that  $\Psi$  is not injective.

Concerning the surjectivity of  $\Psi$ , the condition  $[\beta] = 1$  in  $T$  ensure the existence of a tree with  $\ell(\beta)$  nodes and  $\sum_{k \in \mathcal{N}} \ell(k)\beta(k)$  edges where the nodes and edges are decorated according to the variables in  $\beta$ . In particular, in absence of space time variables (i.e.  $\beta(\mathbf{n}) = 0$  for all  $\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^2$ ) the integer values in the variables  $z_{(\sigma,m)}$ , with  $\sigma \in \{\circ, \mathbf{\Lambda}, \mathbf{V}\}$  denotes the number of incoming edges of the type  $\mathcal{F}$  (i.e. the

fertility) to nodes of type  $\Xi$  and edges of form  $\mathcal{F}_1$  and  $\mathcal{F}_1 \cdot \mathcal{F}_1$  respectively, otherwise one needs to add to the nodes polynomials  $X^n$  to match the fertility parameters. For example, using the symbols  $\{\circ, \setminus, \mathbf{V}\}$  for the node  $\Xi$  and edges of form  $\mathcal{F}_1$  and  $\mathcal{F}_1 \cdot \mathcal{F}_1$  the previous example becomes

$$\Psi(\text{tree}) = \Psi(\text{tree}) = z_{(\circ,0)}^4 z_{(\mathbf{V},0)}^3.$$

This explicit map will play a fundamental role in adapting the techniques on trees to multi-indices in section 3.

## 2.2 BPHZ model over general noise and reduced multi-indices

Once given a description of the combinatorial objects associated to the generalised KPZ equation, we explain how to construct the associated BPHZ model over the multi-indices, in the same way as described in [38], using the formalism introduced in [15]. This construction provides a consistent way to attach at each  $\beta \in T$  a proper distributional random field  $x \mapsto \Pi_{x\beta}$  describing some non linear functionals of the underlying noise  $\xi$ , which will encode the solution<sup>1</sup>. This choice will also drastically reduce the underlying vector space of symmetries where we can focus our analysis but still it does not trivialise it. Since the association  $\beta \mapsto \Pi_{x\beta}$  will be linear in  $\beta$  we work naturally with the dual spaces  $\mathcal{T}^*$  and  $\mathcal{T}_-^*$ , denoting the variables of the dual space with monomials of the form  $z_\beta^*$  and the canonical pairing by  $\langle z_{\beta_1}^*, z_{\beta_2} \rangle = \delta_{\beta_1\beta_2}$ . We note that by construction  $\mathcal{T}_-^*$  is finite dimensional but  $\mathcal{T}^*$  is naturally identified to a space of formal series.

To obtain a model similar to what introduced in [8], we fix a decomposition of the heat Kernel  $P$  over the real line as  $P = K + R$  where  $K$  is compactly supported around the origin, it integrates to zero all polynomials up to parabolic degree 1, it is symmetric in the space variable (i.e.  $K(t, x) = K(t, -x)$ ) and  $R$  is globally smooth, see [30, Lemma 5.5]. Moreover, we also consider  $\varrho: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a smooth function integrating to 1, symmetric in the space variable and such that  $\varrho = 0$  for  $t \leq 0$ . Given these technical ingredients, we fix  $\xi$ , a generic random distribution over  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}$  and we use it to introduce the mollified noise  $\xi_\varepsilon := \varrho_\varepsilon * \xi$  with  $*$  the convolution operation over  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $\varrho_\varepsilon = \varepsilon^{-3} \varrho(\varepsilon^{-2}t, \varepsilon^{-1}x)$  the parabolic rescaling of  $\varrho$  to preserve its  $L^1$  norm and  $\xi$  is the periodic extension over  $\mathbb{R}^2$  of  $\xi$  (which we denote by the same notation).

The trajectories of  $\xi_\varepsilon$  will be always a.s. smooth for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ . From this property we can immediately use it to define the centered model  $\Pi_x^\varepsilon \in \mathcal{T}^*$ . Following [15], we can easily introduce it by imposing  $\Pi_{z_n}^\varepsilon(y) := y_1^{n_1} y_2^{n_2}$  and for any  $\beta \in \mathcal{N}$  the value  $\Pi_x^\varepsilon$  is obtained by looking at a proper recentering via polynomials of the following coupled object  $(\Pi^\varepsilon, \Pi^{-,\varepsilon}): \mathcal{T}^* \times \mathcal{T}_-^* \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2) \times C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , defined by

<sup>1</sup>Even if the notion of model [30, Definition 2.17] involves a couple of objects  $(\Pi, \Gamma)$ , since we will not use the operator  $\Gamma$  all along our results, we will simply refer to the map  $\Pi$  as the model.

imposing the recursive condition

$$\begin{cases} \Pi_\beta^\varepsilon &= K * \Pi_\beta^{-,\varepsilon} \\ \Pi_\beta^{-,\varepsilon} &= \sum_{(l,k) \in \mathcal{N}} \sum_{z_\beta = z_{(l,k)}} \prod_{\mathbf{n}} \prod_{i=1}^{k(\mathbf{n})} z_{\beta_i} \prod_{\mathbf{n}} \prod_{i=1}^{k(\mathbf{n})} \left( \frac{1}{\mathbf{n}!} \partial^{\mathbf{n}} \Pi_{\beta_i}^\varepsilon \right) \xi_l \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

where we impose  $\xi_\Xi = \xi_\varepsilon$  and  $\xi_0 = 1$ . The constraint  $z_\beta = z_{(l,k)} \prod_{\mathbf{n}} \prod_{i=1}^{k(\mathbf{n})} z_{\beta_i}$  in (2.5) might seem non trivial but the explicit structure of  $T$  allows a systematic construction of the terms  $\Pi_\beta$  which implies uniquely the construction of  $\Pi_{x,\beta}$ . This model and its recursion (2.5) arise euristically by considering the trivial regularisation of equation (1.1) without renormalisation i.e. the random pde

$$\partial_t u_\varepsilon = \partial_x^2 u_\varepsilon + \Gamma(u_\varepsilon) (\partial_x u_\varepsilon)^2 + g(u_\varepsilon) \partial_x u_\varepsilon + h(u_\varepsilon) + \sigma(u_\varepsilon) \xi_\varepsilon$$

whose limit is in general ill-defined. In order to take in account the actual renormalised equation (1.3) we need to modify it according to particular choice of constants and non-linearities in the original equation.

The way we use to implement the constants is directly imported from [15]. We fix  $c \in \mathcal{T}_-^*$  of the form

$$c = \sum_{\beta' \in \mathcal{T}_-} c_{\beta'} z_{\beta'}^*$$

and we perturb  $\Pi^\varepsilon$  to a functional  $\Pi_\beta^{\varepsilon,c}$  in a consistent way to encode the new constants at the level of the equation. This is in few words the main result in [15, Thm. 4.1]. Even in this case, it is possible to obtain  $\Pi_\beta^{\varepsilon,c}$  via a more sophisticated recursive construction of the form

$$\begin{cases} \Pi_\beta^{\varepsilon,c} &= K * \Pi_\beta^{-,\varepsilon,c} \\ \Pi_\beta^{-,\varepsilon,c} &= \Pi_\beta^{-,\varepsilon} + (\Gamma_{\Pi}^{*,\varepsilon} c)(\beta) \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

where  $\Gamma_{\Pi}^{*,\varepsilon} : \mathcal{T}_-^* \rightarrow (\mathcal{T})^*$  is given by the sum

$$(\Gamma_{\Pi}^{*,\varepsilon} c)(\beta) = \sum_{\langle z_{\beta_1}^* \dots z_{\beta_l}^* D^{(\mathbf{n}_1)} \dots D^{(\mathbf{n}_l)} z_{\beta'}^* z_{\beta} \rangle \neq 0} \left( \frac{1}{l!} \prod_{i=0}^l \frac{1}{\mathbf{n}_i!} \partial^{\mathbf{n}_i} \Pi_{\beta_i}^{\varepsilon,c} \right) c_{\beta'} \quad (2.7)$$

with the derivation maps  $(D^{(\mathbf{n})})_{\mathbf{n} \in \mathbb{N}^2}$  explicitly given by

$$D^{(\mathbf{n})} = \sum_{(l,k) \in \mathcal{N}} (k(\mathbf{n}) + 1) z_{(l,k+\mathbf{e}_n)}^* \partial_{z_{(l,k)}^*} + \partial_{z_{\mathbf{n}}^*}$$

see [15, Lemma 3.15, Example 3.29] for further explications on the identity (2.7).

To fix the component of a generic element  $c \in \mathcal{T}_-^*$  to obtain convergence for  $\Pi_{x,\beta}^{\varepsilon,c}$  we will impose a recursive condition involving the stochastic properties of the underlying noise  $\xi$ . For this reason, we finally introduce a general class of admissible noise where our results are valid. We recall that, we write  $\mathbb{E}[X_1 \dots X_n]$  to denote the expectation of  $n$  random variables  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  and we will use the notation  $\mathbb{K}[X_1, \dots, X_n]$  for the joint cumulants of the variables  $X_1, \dots, X_n$ .

**Definition 2.1** A random distribution  $\xi$  over  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is said an admissible noise if it satisfies the following properties.

- For all test functions  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ ,  $\xi(\varphi)$  has moments of all orders and it is centered.
- The law  $\xi$  is stationary, i.e. invariant under the action of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  on  $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^2)$ . by translation.
- For every  $n \geq 2$  there exists a distribution  $\Gamma^n$  such that for every collection of test functions  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^2)$  one has

$$\mathbb{K}[\xi(\varphi_1), \dots, \xi(\varphi_n)] = \Gamma^n\left(\prod_{i=1}^n \varphi_i\right).$$

- $\Gamma^n$  is locally integrable when  $n \geq 3$  and there exists a distribution  $\mathcal{C}$  with singular support contained in 0 such that

$$\mathbb{E}[\xi(f)\xi(g)] = \Gamma^2(fg) = \mathcal{C}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f(z - \cdot)g(z)dz\right) \quad (2.8)$$

and outside 0 the distribution  $\mathcal{C}$  is a smooth function that satisfies for some  $0 < \kappa < 1$  and any  $k \in \mathbb{N}^2$

$$\sup_{z=(t,x) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \{0\}} \partial_{t,x}^k \mathcal{C}(z)(|t|^{2+k_2+k_1/2-\kappa} + |x|^{4+2k_2+k_1-\kappa}) < \infty. \quad (2.9)$$

**Remark 2.2** Definition 2.1 is directly recalled from [21, Definition 2.17] so that we can apply all the convergence results of that paper in our context. Moreover, the condition (2.9) links directly the a.s. analytic regularity of  $\xi$  with the algebraic index  $\alpha_{\Xi}$ . The periodic extension of a space-time white noise over  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}$  is trivially an admissible noise.

Once given this general class of noises, the usual way to define a converging choice is the BPHZ renormalisation choice, see [38, Prop.5.1], [8, Prop. 2.1], [13, Prop. 2.1]. In our context this is simply obtained by imposing recursively the expectation of  $\Pi_{\beta}^{-,\varepsilon,c}$  to zero.

**Theorem 2.3** For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and any admissible noise  $\xi$  there exists a unique element  $c_{\varepsilon}^* \in \mathcal{T}_-^*$  such that for any  $\beta \in T_-$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}^2$  one has

$$\mathbb{E}[\Pi_{\beta}^{-,\varepsilon,c_{\varepsilon}^*}(y)] = 0 \quad (2.10)$$

we call  $c_{\varepsilon}^*$  the BPHZ renormalisation constants.

*Proof.* The proof follows a classical standard argument on trees, see [13, Thm 6.18], which we can adapt in this simpler context. Following [15, Cor. 4.6] there exists an order  $|\beta|_{\prec}$  over the elements of  $T_-$  such that for any  $y \in \mathbb{R}^2$  one has

$$(\Gamma_{\Pi}^{*,\varepsilon} c)(\beta) = c_{\beta} + \sum_{|\beta'|_{\prec} < |\beta|_{\prec}} g_{\beta'}(y)c_{\beta'},$$

for some random integrable coefficients  $g_{\beta'}(y)$ . Using the integrability of the admissible noise  $\xi$  and this triangular structure we can indeed solve the recursive system

$$\mathbb{E}[\Pi_{\beta}^{-,\varepsilon}(\mathbf{0})] + c_{\beta} + \sum_{|\beta'| \prec \prec |\beta| \prec} \mathbb{E}[g_{\beta'}(\mathbf{0})]c_{\beta'} = 0 \quad (2.11)$$

which is a system of linear equations admitting a unique solution which we denote by  $c_{\varepsilon}^*$ . By construction of  $c_{\varepsilon}^*$ , we have then  $\mathbb{E}[\Pi_{\beta}^{-,\varepsilon,c_{\varepsilon}^*}(\mathbf{0})] = 0$ . In order to prove the equality (2.10), it is sufficient to remark that the hypothesis of translation invariance implies the existence for any  $h \in \mathbb{R}^2$  of a deterministic linear map  $T_h: \mathcal{T}_- \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_-$  with  $T_h \circ T_{h'} = T_{h+h'}$  and  $T_{\mathbf{0}} = \text{id}$  such that for any  $y \in \mathbb{R}^2$

$$\mathbb{E}[\Pi_{\beta}^{-,\varepsilon}(\mathbf{0})] = \mathbb{E}[(\Pi^{-,\varepsilon})_{T_{-y}\beta}(y)]$$

since the convolution operation sends polynomials to zero and it is deterministic one has also recursively  $\mathbb{E}[g_{\beta'}(\mathbf{0})] = \mathbb{E}[g_{T_{-y}\beta'}(y)]$  and we obtain again that by construction

$$\mathbb{E}[\Pi_{T_{-y}\beta}^{-,\varepsilon,c_{\varepsilon}^*}(y)] = 0.$$

Writing now

$$\mathbb{E}[\Pi_{\beta}^{-,\varepsilon,c_{\varepsilon}^*}(y)] = \mathbb{E}[\Pi_{T_y T_{-y}\beta}^{-,\varepsilon,c_{\varepsilon}^*}(y)]$$

by expanding the operator  $T_y$  the term on the right-hand side is a linear combination of terms whose expectation is zero, hence the result. The uniqueness of  $c_{\varepsilon}^*$  follows from the uniqueness of the system (2.11).  $\square$

In order to preserve the space symmetry of the underlying Kernel  $K$ , we also focus on a special class of noises which preserve the space symmetry.

**Definition 2.4** An admissible noise  $\xi$  is said a spatially symmetric noise if it satisfies the equality in law as stochastic process

$$\Pi_{\beta}^{-,\varepsilon}(t, -y) \stackrel{(d)}{=} -\Pi_{\beta}^{-,\varepsilon}(t, y) \quad (2.12)$$

for any  $\beta \in T_-$  containing at least one factor of the form  $z_{(\setminus,k)}$  with  $k \geq 0$  or a factor of the form  $z_{(0,1)}$ .

**Remark 2.5** The main idea behind the condition (2.12) is that if a multi-index  $\beta \in T_-$  contains a factor of the form  $z_{(\setminus,k)}$  with  $k \geq 0$  or a factor of the form  $z_{(0,1)}$  then by construction of  $T_-$  this symbol should appear only once for homogeneity reasons and they can never appear together. Since both  $z_{(0,1)}$  and  $z_{(\setminus,k)}$  are associated with two odd functions by construction, the hypothesis of spatial asymmetry is then reasonable to be imposed.

This condition is non-trivial since we can find some examples where it is satisfied.

**Proposition 2.6** *The space-time white noise and the periodic extension of any Gaussian admissible random distribution over  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{T}$  such that the function  $\mathcal{C}$  given by (2.8) satisfies  $\mathcal{C}(t, -x) = \mathcal{C}(t, x)$  are spatially symmetric noises.*

*Proof.* In the case of space time-white noise, one has  $\delta = 1^-$  and one can simply check the condition (2.12) on few cases. In the general case, as explained in Remark 2.5, the multi-index  $\beta$  will contain only once the symbol  $z_{(0,1)}$  or  $z_{(\Lambda,k)}$ . Since the condition  $\mathcal{C}(t, -x) = \mathcal{C}(t, x)$  with the Gaussianity implies that  $\xi_\varepsilon(t, -y) = \xi_\varepsilon(t, y)$  with equality as stochastic process, the process  $\Pi_\beta^{-,\varepsilon}$  will contain only one odd function which will be multiplied or convoluted with function that are even functions or even in law (in the same sense as  $\xi_\varepsilon$ ), therefore the result satisfies (2.12).  $\square$

Thanks to this hypothesis, we can indeed reduce the set of possible renormalisation constants to a smaller one with more symmetries.

**Definition 2.7** We define the set reduced multi-indices as the following subset of  $T$

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\mathbf{V},\circ} = \left\{ z_\beta = \prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}} (z_{(\mathbf{V},k)})^{\beta(\mathbf{V},k)} \prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}} (z_{(\circ,k)})^{\beta(\circ,k)} : [\beta] = 1, \quad ((\beta)) > 2 \right\}$$

its linear space will be denoted by  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{V},\circ}$ .

The reduction induced by  $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathbf{V},\circ}$  can be trivially described via the BPHZ renormalisation constants.

**Proposition 2.8** *For any spatially asymmetric noise one has  $c_\varepsilon^*(\beta) = 0$  for any  $\beta \in T_- \setminus \mathfrak{M}_{\mathbf{V},\circ}^-$  with  $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathbf{V},\circ}^- := \{\tau \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{V},\circ} : |\tau| < 0\}$ .*

*Proof.* The result follows trivially from our hypothesis on the noise. Indeed, the only elements  $\beta \in T_-$  with  $((\beta)) = 1$  are

$$z_{(\circ,0)}, \quad z_{(\circ,1)}z_{(0,1)}, \quad z_{(\circ,0)}z_{(\Lambda,0)}.$$

Since for these symbols the renormalisation is only additive one has

$$c_\varepsilon^*(\beta) = -\mathbb{E}[\Pi_\beta^{-,\varepsilon}(\mathbf{0})]$$

and these quantities are zero because the noise is centered. Concerning all other elements  $\beta \in T_- \setminus \mathfrak{M}_{\mathbf{V},\circ}^-$  they exactly coincide with the elements containing at least one factor of the form  $z_{(\Lambda,k)}$  with  $k \geq 0$  or a factor of the form  $z_{(0,1)}$ . For all these elements we can then use the asymmetry hypothesis from which we deduce  $\mathbb{E}[\Pi_\beta^{-,\varepsilon}(\mathbf{0})] = 0$ . Plugging this condition in the linear system (2.11), we obtain

$$c_\varepsilon^*(\beta) = - \sum_{|\beta'| \prec |\beta| \prec} \mathbb{E}[g_{\beta'}(\mathbf{0})] c_\varepsilon^*(\beta').$$

By simply working recursively on  $|\beta'| \prec$  the non zero terms  $c_\varepsilon^*(\beta')$  will satisfy  $\beta' \in \mathfrak{M}_{\mathbf{V},\circ}^-$ , therefore the term  $g_{\beta'}(\mathbf{0})$  will always contain terms of the form  $K * \Pi_\gamma^{-,\varepsilon}(\mathbf{0})$  for some  $\gamma \in T_- \setminus \mathfrak{M}_{\mathbf{V},\circ}^-$ , which are zero in expectation because the convolution with  $K$  does not destroy the spatial symmetry.  $\square$



a generic constant  $c \in (\mathcal{T}_-)^*$  into the renormalised model, the solution ansatz on multi-indices, given in [15, 36] produces the following renormalised equation

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u_\varepsilon &= \partial_x^2 u_\varepsilon + \Gamma(u_\varepsilon) (\partial_x u_\varepsilon)^2 + g(u_\varepsilon) \partial_x u_\varepsilon + h(u_\varepsilon) + \sigma(u_\varepsilon) \xi_\varepsilon \\ &+ \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{T}_-} \frac{c_\beta}{\sigma(\beta)} \Upsilon_{\Gamma, \sigma}(z_\beta)(u_\varepsilon, \partial_x u_\varepsilon) \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

with  $\sigma(\beta)$  a **symmetry factor**, depending only on the values of  $\beta$  over  $\mathcal{N}$

$$\sigma(\beta) := \prod_{(l, k) \in \mathcal{N}_l} ((k(\mathbf{0})!)(k_\Xi!))^{\beta(k)};$$

Thanks to Proposition 2.8, the only relevant renormalisation constants will be contained in  $(\mathfrak{M}_{\mathbf{V}, \circ}^-)^*$ , the dual of  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{V}, \circ}^- = \langle \mathfrak{M}_{\mathbf{V}, \circ}^- \rangle$  and we will focus our analysis over this vector space.

**Definition 2.9** We define the evaluation map  $\Upsilon_{\Gamma, \sigma}: \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{V}, \circ}^- \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$  as

$$\Upsilon_{\Gamma, \sigma}(z_\beta)(u) = \prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left( 2\Gamma^{(k)}(u) \right)^{\beta(\mathbf{V}, k)} \prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left( \sigma^{(k)}(u) \right)^{\beta(\circ, k)} \quad (2.15)$$

for any monomial  $z_\beta \in \mathfrak{M}_{\mathbf{V}, \circ}^-$ .

Using the constants

$$C_\varepsilon(z_\beta) := \frac{c_\varepsilon^*(z_\beta)}{\sigma(z_\beta)} \quad (2.16)$$

we can finally express (2.14) into its BPHZ version

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u_\varepsilon &= \partial_x^2 u_\varepsilon + \Gamma(u_\varepsilon) (\partial_x u_\varepsilon)^2 + g(u_\varepsilon) \partial_x u_\varepsilon + h(u_\varepsilon) + \sigma(u_\varepsilon) \xi_\varepsilon \\ &+ \sum_{\beta \in \mathfrak{M}_{\mathbf{V}, \circ}^-} C_\varepsilon(z_\beta) \Upsilon_{\Gamma, \sigma}(z_\beta)(u_\varepsilon) \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

Combining several results in the literature with the algebraic results in section 3, we finish this section by proving Theorem 1.1.

*Proof Theorem 1.1.* From [8] and the black box [30, 13, 21, 4], one can rewrite the renormalised equation for the reduces set of decorated trees:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u_\varepsilon &= \partial_x^2 u_\varepsilon + \Gamma(u_\varepsilon) \partial_x u_\varepsilon \partial_x u_\varepsilon + g(u_\varepsilon) \partial_x u_\varepsilon + h(u_\varepsilon) + \sigma(u_\varepsilon) \xi_\varepsilon \\ &+ \sum_{\tau \in \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{V}, \circ}^-} C_\varepsilon(\tau) \tilde{\Upsilon}_{\Gamma, \sigma}(\tau)(u_\varepsilon). \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

where  $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{V}, \circ}^-$  is a finite subset of  $\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{V}, \circ}$ ,  $\tilde{\Upsilon}_{\Gamma, \sigma}$  is defined on decorated trees with the following recursion

$$\tilde{\Upsilon}_{\Gamma, \sigma} \left( \begin{array}{c} \sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_m \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \circ \end{array} \right) (u) = g^{(m)}(u) \prod_{i=1}^m \tilde{\Upsilon}_{\Gamma, \sigma}(\sigma_i),$$



$$\tilde{\Upsilon}_{\Gamma,\sigma}\left(\begin{array}{c} \tau_1 \tau_2 \cdots \tau_n \\ \swarrow \searrow \\ \end{array}\right)(u) = f^{(n-2)}(u) \prod_{i=1}^n \tilde{\Upsilon}_{\Gamma,\sigma}(\tau_i).$$

see e.g. [8, Sec. 3] and constants  $C_\varepsilon(\tau)$  are chosen to be the BPHZ renormalisation constant, see [13, 21], then the solution the sequence  $u_\varepsilon$  converges to proper continuous random field  $u^{\text{BPHZ}}(\Gamma, \sigma, h)$ , whose law  $U^{\text{BPHZ}}(\Gamma, \sigma, h)$  is injective in  $h$  from [8, Thm. 3.5]. This fact is crucial for arguing that the solution will be equivariant under the action of diffeomorphism.

The next step is to rewrite the counter-terms via multi-indices by noticing that

$$\tilde{\Upsilon}_{\Gamma,\sigma}(\tau) = \Upsilon_{\Gamma,\sigma}(\Psi(\tau)) \quad (2.19)$$

The identity (2.19) is proved by induction noticing that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Upsilon}_{\Gamma,\sigma}\left(\begin{array}{c} \tau_1 \tau_2 \cdots \tau_n \\ \swarrow \searrow \\ \end{array}\right)(u) &= f^{(n-2)}(u) \prod_{i=1}^n \tilde{\Upsilon}_{\Gamma,\sigma}(\tau_i) = f^{(n-2)}(u) \prod_{i=1}^n \Upsilon_{\Gamma,\sigma}(\Psi(\tau_i)) \\ &= \Upsilon_{\Gamma,\sigma}(z_{(\mathbf{V}, n+2)}) \prod_{i=1}^n \Psi(\tau_i)(u) = \Upsilon_{\Gamma,\sigma}\left(\Psi\left(\begin{array}{c} \tau_1 \tau_2 \cdots \tau_n \\ \swarrow \searrow \\ \end{array}\right)\right)(u). \end{aligned}$$

where for the first line, we have used the induction hypothesis on the  $\tau_i$ ,  $\tilde{\Upsilon}_{\Gamma,\sigma}(\tau_i) = \Upsilon_{\Gamma,\sigma}(\Psi(\tau_i))$ . The second line is just the multiplicativity of  $\Upsilon_{\Gamma,\sigma}$  on multi-indices. Then, we use the recursive definition of the map  $\Psi$  given in (2.4). The computation for the decorated trees without brown edges work exactly the same. Then, by simply checking that  $\Psi(\mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{V},\circ}^-) = \mathfrak{M}_{\mathbf{V},\circ}^-$  one rewrites the counter-terms as

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\tau \in \mathfrak{B}_{\mathbf{V},\circ}^-} C_\varepsilon(\tau) \tilde{\Upsilon}_{\Gamma,\sigma}(\tau)(u_\varepsilon) &= \sum_{z_\beta \in \mathfrak{M}_{\mathbf{V},\circ}^-} \sum_{z_\beta = \Psi(\tau)} C_\varepsilon(\tau) \tilde{\Upsilon}_{\Gamma,\sigma}(\tau)(u_\varepsilon) \\ &= \sum_{z_\beta \in \mathfrak{M}_{\mathbf{V},\circ}^-} \left( \sum_{z_\beta = \Psi(\tau)} C_\varepsilon(\tau) \right) \Upsilon_{\Gamma,\sigma}(z_\beta)(u_\varepsilon) \end{aligned}$$

where one has

$$C_\varepsilon(z_\beta) = \sum_{z_\beta = \Psi(\tau)} C_\varepsilon(\tau).$$

Then, changing one of the constants  $C_\varepsilon(\tau)$  with  $z_\beta = \Psi(\tau)$  corresponds to change  $C_\varepsilon(z_\beta)$ . From [8, Prop. 3.9], one can get rid of the counter-terms on the orthogonal of  $V_{\text{geo}}$  and get a choice of renormalisation constants  $C_\varepsilon(\tau)$  that guarantees the convergence of  $u_\varepsilon$  and such that

$$\sum_{z_\beta \in \mathfrak{M}_{\mathbf{V},\circ}^-} C_\varepsilon(z_\beta) \Upsilon_{\Gamma,\sigma}(z_\beta)(u_\varepsilon) = \sum_{v \in \mathfrak{B}_\xi} C_\varepsilon(v) \Upsilon_{\Gamma,\sigma}(v)(u_\varepsilon)$$

where the constants  $C_\varepsilon(v)$  depend on the  $C_\varepsilon(z_\beta)$  and here  $\mathfrak{B}_\xi$  defined in (3.4) is a basis of  $V_{\text{geo}}^\xi$  defined in (3.1) whose dimension is given in Theorem 3.8. This guarantees that the law of the limit solution  $U$  is invariant under the action of diffeomorphism. From Theorem 3.2, we deduce that when  $\xi$  is Gaussian noise, one has all the counter-terms satisfying Itô and therefore the elements  $\Upsilon_{\Gamma,\sigma}(v)$  with  $v \in \mathfrak{B}_\xi$ . In that case, one can disregard the  $\mathfrak{B}_\xi^{2p+1}$  with  $2p+1 \leq N_\xi$ . The existence for all time is a consequence of [9]. Indeed, by using the chain rule and [9, Assumpt. 2], one rewrite the equation into a stochastic heat equation with multiplicative noise that exists for all time.  $\square$

**Remark 2.10** Ideally, one may want to give a proof that depends only on multi-indices which will avoid to use (2.19). The main ingredient missing is the solution theory for multi-indices that will be used crucially in an adaptation of [8, Thm. 3.5] to this new combinatorial context. The decorated trees are used for the solution theory as a black box for constructing renormalised models and equations. Then, one reorganises the counter-terms with multi-indices. The decorated trees disappear at the level of the renormalised equations.

### 3 Symmetries of the multi-indices spaces

In the sequel, we will make infinitesimal changes of coordinates in the proof of Theorem 3.6 on the coefficients  $\Upsilon_{\Gamma,\sigma}(z_\beta)$  and therefore, we need an extra variable for encoding the perturbation  $h \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$ . To encode the perturbation we add a new symbol to  $\mathcal{L}$ . This variable is denoted by  $\blacktriangle$  and its non-linearities are given by

$$\mathcal{N}_{\blacktriangle} := \{ke_{(1,0)} : k \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

As before, we will use the shorthand notation  $z_{(\blacktriangle,k)}$  to denote  $z_{(\blacktriangle,ke_{(0,0)})}$ . Below, we list the various spaces of multi-indices and their vector spaces, that we will need in the following.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{M}_\circ &= \{z_\beta = \prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}} (z_{(\circ,k)})^{\beta(\circ,k)} : [\beta] = 1\}, & \mathcal{M}_\circ &= \langle \mathfrak{M}_\circ \rangle, \\ \mathfrak{M}_\circ^N &= \{z_\beta \in \mathfrak{M}_\circ : ((\beta)) = N\}, & \mathcal{M}_\circ^N &= \langle \mathfrak{M}_\circ^N \rangle, \\ \mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{V},\circ}^N &= \{z_\beta \in \mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{V},\circ} : ((\beta)) = N\}, & \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{V},\circ}^N &= \langle \mathfrak{M}_{\mathbb{V},\circ}^N \rangle, \\ \mathfrak{M}_{\blacktriangle,\mathbb{V},\circ} &= \{z_\beta = \prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}} (z_{(\blacktriangle,k)})^{\beta(\blacktriangle,k)} \prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}} (z_{(\mathbb{V},k)})^{\beta(\mathbb{V},k)} \prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}} (z_{(\circ,k)})^{\beta(\circ,k)} : [\beta] = 1\}, \\ \mathfrak{M}_{\blacktriangle,\mathbb{V},\circ}^N &= \{z_\beta \in \mathfrak{M}_{\blacktriangle,\mathbb{V},\circ} : ((\beta)) = N\}, & \mathcal{M}_{\blacktriangle,\mathbb{V},\circ} &= \langle \mathfrak{M}_{\blacktriangle,\mathbb{V},\circ} \rangle, & \mathcal{M}_{\blacktriangle,\mathbb{V},\circ}^N &= \langle \mathfrak{M}_{\blacktriangle,\mathbb{V},\circ}^N \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Let us stress that in practice, we will consider only elements in  $\mathfrak{M}_{\blacktriangle,\mathbb{V},\circ}$  that contain only one variable of type  $z_{(\blacktriangle,k)}$ . Given a  $v$  in one of this space and a multi-indices  $z_\beta$ , we denote by  $\langle z_\beta, v \rangle$ , the coefficient of  $z_\beta$  in  $v$ . Given a diffeomorphism  $\varphi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , we introduce the new non-linearities

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi \cdot \Gamma)(\varphi(u))(\varphi'(u))^2 &= \varphi'(u) \Gamma(u) - \varphi^{(2)}(u), \\ (\varphi \cdot \sigma)(\varphi(u)) &= \varphi'(u) \sigma(u). \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 3.1** We define the subspace  $V_{\text{geo}} \subset \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{V},\circ}$  as the subspace generated by those monomials  $z_\beta$  such that for all choices of  $\Gamma, \sigma$  and all diffeomorphisms  $\varphi$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  that are homotopic to the identity, one has

$$\varphi \cdot \Upsilon_{\Gamma,\sigma}(z_\beta) = \Upsilon_{\varphi \cdot \Gamma \cdot \varphi \cdot \sigma}(z_\beta).$$

Similarly, we define the space  $V_{\text{Itô}} \subset \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{V},\circ}$  consists of those monomials  $z^\beta$  such that, for all  $\Gamma, \sigma$  and  $\bar{\sigma}$  the equality  $\sigma^2 = \bar{\sigma}^2$  implies the identity  $\Upsilon_{\Gamma,\sigma}(z_\beta) = \Upsilon_{\Gamma,\bar{\sigma}}(z_\beta)$ .

Given  $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , we set  $V_{\text{geo}}^N$  to be the elements of  $V_{\text{geo}}$  containing exactly  $N$  variables of the form  $z_{(\circ,k)}$ . For a subcritical noise  $\xi$ , there exists  $N_\xi \in \mathbb{N}^*$  such that  $\cup_{i=1}^{N_\xi} \mathfrak{M}_{\mathbf{V},\circ}^N$  contains all the multi-indices needed for the renormalised equation. We denote this set as  $\mathfrak{M}_\xi$  and its linear span by  $\mathcal{M}_\xi$ . We will also use in the sequel the following notation:

$$V_{\text{geo}}^\xi := \bigoplus_{i=1}^{N_\xi} V_{\text{geo}}^i. \quad (3.1)$$

### 3.1 Itô terms

For the Itô Isometry, we consider only Gaussian noises and therefore, one has to consider multi-indices with an even number of variables of type  $z_{(\circ,k)}$ . We define the following spaces

$$\mathfrak{M}_{\mathbf{V},\circ}^{\text{even}} := \{z_\beta \in \mathfrak{M}_{\mathbf{V},\circ} : ((\beta)) > 0 \text{ is even}\}, \quad \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{V},\circ}^{\text{even}} = \langle \mathfrak{M}_{\mathbf{V},\circ}^{\text{even}} \rangle.$$

In the next Theorem, we show that  $V_{\text{Itô}}$  is actually quite big equal almost to the full space of multi-indices

**Theorem 3.2** *One has  $V_{\text{Itô}} = \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{V},\circ}^{\text{even}}$ .*

*Proof.* We first show the inclusion  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{V},\circ}^{\text{even}} \subset V_{\text{Itô}}$ . Let  $\beta \in \mathfrak{M}_{\mathbf{V},\circ}^{\text{even}}$  and  $\sigma, \bar{\sigma}$  two functions satisfying the equality  $\sigma^2 = \bar{\sigma}^2$ . The quadratic constraint and the smoothness of  $\sigma, \bar{\sigma}$  imply the identity  $\sigma(u) = (\pm 1)\bar{\sigma}(u)$  for any  $u \in \mathbb{R}$  and similarly  $\sigma^{(k)}(u) = (\pm 1)\bar{\sigma}^{(k)}(u)$  for any  $k \geq 1$  with the same sign as  $\sigma$  and  $\bar{\sigma}$ . Plugging this identity in the definition (2.15), we immediately obtain the equality

$$\Upsilon_{\Gamma,\sigma}(z_\beta)(u) = (\pm 1)^{((\beta))} \Upsilon_{\Gamma,\bar{\sigma}}(z_\beta)(u) \quad (3.2)$$

from which we obtain the equality since  $((\beta))$  is even. Conversely, we can use the same identity (3.2) to show that if  $\beta \notin \mathfrak{M}_{\mathbf{V},\circ}^{\text{even}}$  we can find two functions  $\sigma, \bar{\sigma}$  such that  $\sigma^2 = \bar{\sigma}^2$  but  $\Upsilon_{\Gamma,\sigma}(z_\beta)(u) \neq \Upsilon_{\Gamma,\bar{\sigma}}(z_\beta)(u)$  for some  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ . Hence the other inclusion  $V_{\text{Itô}} \subset \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{V},\circ}^{\text{even}}$  holds.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.3** *If we assume that the noise  $\xi$  is Gaussian then  $c_\varepsilon^*(\beta) = 0$  for any  $\beta \in \mathfrak{M}_{\mathbf{V},\circ} \setminus V_{\text{Itô}} = \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{V},\circ}^{\text{even}}$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is performed by induction using identity (2.11). For a given multi-index with an odd number of variables  $z_{(\circ,k)}$ , one has

$$\mathbb{E}[\Pi_{\beta}^{-,\varepsilon}(\mathbf{0})] = 0$$

which is due to the fact that  $\Pi_{\beta}^{-,\varepsilon}(\mathbf{0})$  is a monomial of odd degree in the noise  $\xi$ . Then, one has

$$c_{\beta} = \sum_{|\beta'| \prec \prec |\beta| \prec} \mathbb{E}[\alpha_{\beta'}(\mathbf{0})] c_{\beta'}.$$

If  $\beta'$  has an odd number of variables  $z_{(\circ,k)}$  then  $c_{\beta'} = 0$  by the induction hypothesis. Otherwise,  $\alpha_{\beta'}$  is necessarily an odd monomial in the noise  $\xi$  and  $\mathbb{E}[\alpha_{\beta'}(\mathbf{0})] = 0$  which allows us to conclude.  $\square$

### 3.2 Geometric terms

The map  $\Upsilon_{\Gamma,\sigma}^h : \mathcal{M}_{\blacktriangle,\mathbf{V},\circ} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$  is defined on a different set of multi-indices given by

$$z_{\beta} = \prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}} (z_{(\blacktriangle,k)})^{\beta(\blacktriangle,k)} \prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}} (z_{(\mathbf{V},k)})^{\beta(\mathbf{V},k)} \prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}} (z_{(\circ,k)})^{\beta(\circ,k)}$$

and now

$$\Upsilon_{\Gamma,\sigma}^h(z_{\beta}) = \prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}} (h^{(k)})^{\beta(\blacktriangle,k)} \prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}} (2\Gamma^{(k)})^{\beta(\mathbf{V},k)} \prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}} (\sigma^{(k)})^{\beta(\circ,k)}.$$

**Theorem 3.4** *The map  $\Upsilon_{\Gamma,\sigma}^h$  is injective in the sense that for every  $v$  linear combinations of multi-indices, one has*

$$\forall \Gamma, \sigma, h \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}), \quad \Upsilon_{\Gamma,\sigma}^h(v) = 0 \Rightarrow v = 0.$$

*Proof.* We have to prove that elementary differentials are linear independent. This means that one has

$$\forall \Gamma, \sigma, h \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}) \quad \sum_{\beta} \lambda_{\beta} \Upsilon_{\Gamma,\sigma}^h(z_{\beta}) = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_{\beta} = 0$$

where the sum runs over all populated multi-indices  $\beta$  and  $\lambda$ . has finite support. We can therefore choose specific  $\Gamma, \sigma, h$  for discriminating the various  $z_{\beta}$ . Let  $m$  be the highest integer such that there exists  $z_{\beta}$  with  $\lambda_{\beta} \neq 0$  and  $\beta(m) \neq 0$ . We define

$$\begin{aligned} h(x) &:= h_{r_0, \dots, r_m}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^m r_k \frac{x^k}{k!}, & \Gamma(x) &:= \Gamma_{s_0, \dots, s_m}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^m s_k \frac{x^k}{k!}, \\ \sigma(x) &:= \sigma_{t_0, \dots, t_m}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^m t_k \frac{x^k}{k!} \end{aligned}$$

where  $r_0 = s_0 = t_0 = 1$ . We have chosen functions  $\Gamma, \sigma, h$  parametrised by  $r_1, s_1, t_1, \dots, r_m, s_m, t_m$ . Then, one has

$$\Upsilon_{\Gamma, \sigma}^h(z_\beta)(0) = \prod_{k=0}^m \left( (r_k)^{\beta(\blacktriangle, k)} (2s_k)^{\beta(\mathbf{V}, k)} (t_k)^{\beta(\circ, k)} \right).$$

One can observe that we obtain a monomial in  $r_1, s_1, t_1, \dots, r_m, s_m, t_m$  which is uniquely associated to a multi-index  $\beta$ . This family of monomials is clearly free which allows us to conclude.  $\square$

We define  $[\cdot, \cdot]$  as

$$[v_1, v_2] = v_1 D v_2 - v_2 D v_1$$

where  $D$  is the derivation on multi-indices defined by

$$Dz_{(\blacktriangle, k)} = z_{(\blacktriangle, k+1)}, \quad Dz_{(\mathbf{V}, k)} = z_{(\mathbf{V}, k+1)}, \quad Dz_{(\circ, k)} = z_{(\circ, k+1)}$$

and then extended via the Leibniz rule.

**Definition 3.5** We first define  $\varphi_{\text{geo}} : \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{V}, \circ} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\blacktriangle, \mathbf{V}, \circ}$  by setting

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{\text{geo}}(z_{(\circ, k)}) &= D^k [z_{(\circ, 0)}, z_{(\blacktriangle, 0)}] \\ \varphi_{\text{geo}}(z_{(\mathbf{V}, k)}) &= -D^{k+1} (z_{(\mathbf{V}, 0)} z_{(\blacktriangle, 0)}) - 2z_{(\blacktriangle, k+2)} \end{aligned}$$

and then it is extended via the Leibniz rule. We define the geometric map  $\hat{\varphi}_{\text{geo}} : \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{V}, \circ} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\blacktriangle, \mathbf{V}, \circ}$  by

$$\hat{\varphi}_{\text{geo}}(z_\beta) = \varphi_{\text{geo}}(z_\beta) - [z_\beta, z_{(\blacktriangle, 0)}].$$

We observe that

$$D^k [z_{(\circ, 0)}, z_{(\blacktriangle, 0)}] = z_{(\circ, 0)} z_{(\blacktriangle, k+1)} - z_{(\circ, k+1)} z_{(\blacktriangle, 0)}$$

This identity is useful for the computation of the examples later on. Moreover, it is straightforward to check that the maps  $\varphi_{\text{geo}}$  and  $\hat{\varphi}_{\text{geo}}$  are well-defined preserving the populated condition.

**Theorem 3.6** *One has*

$$v \in V_{\text{geo}} \Leftrightarrow \hat{\varphi}_{\text{geo}}(v) = 0.$$

*Proof.* We only prove  $\Rightarrow$  and the proof for  $\Leftarrow$  is verbatim the same as the proof of [8, Prop. 6.2]. One can replace  $\varphi$  by a family  $(\psi_t)_{t \geq 0}$  with  $\psi_0 = \text{id}$ ,  $\partial_t \psi|_{t=0} = h$ . For  $v \in V_{\text{geo}}$ , one has

$$\psi_t \cdot \Upsilon_{\Gamma, \sigma}(v) = \Upsilon_{\psi_t \cdot \Gamma, \psi_t \cdot \sigma}(v).$$

Then, the main idea is to take the derivative at time  $t = 0$  on both side of the previous equality:

$$\partial_t(\psi_t \cdot \Upsilon_{\Gamma,\sigma}(v))|_{t=0} = \partial_t(\Upsilon_{\psi_t \cdot \Gamma, \psi_t \cdot \sigma}(v))|_{t=0}.$$

In the sequel, we compute the two parts of the equality separately. One first has

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t(\psi_t \cdot \Upsilon_{\Gamma,\sigma}^h(v))|_{t=0} &= \partial_t((\psi_t' \Upsilon_{\Gamma,\sigma}^h(v)) \circ \psi_t^{-1})|_{t=0} \\ &= h' \Upsilon_{\Gamma,\sigma}(v) - \partial_u \Upsilon_{\sigma,\Gamma}(v)h \\ &= \Upsilon_{\Gamma,\sigma}^h([v, z_{(\blacktriangle,0)}]). \end{aligned}$$

Then, on the other hand, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \Upsilon_{\psi_t \cdot \Gamma, \psi_t \cdot \sigma}(z_{(\circ,k)})|_{t=0} &= \partial_t((\psi_t' g) \circ \psi_t^{(-1)})^{(k)}|_{t=0} \\ &= \left( \partial_t((\psi_t' g) \circ \psi_t^{(-1)})|_{t=0} \right)^{(k)} \\ &= (h'g - hg')^{(k)} \\ &= \Upsilon_{\Gamma,\sigma}^h([z_{(\circ,0)}, z_{(\blacktriangle,0)}])^{(k)} \\ &= \Upsilon_{\Gamma,\sigma}^h(D^k[z_{(\circ,0)}, z_{(\blacktriangle,0)}]) \\ &= \Upsilon_{\Gamma,\sigma}^h[\varphi_{\text{geo}}(z_{(\circ,k)})]. \end{aligned}$$

One has also

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \Upsilon_{\psi_t \cdot \Gamma, \psi_t \cdot \sigma}(z_{(\mathbf{V},k)})|_{t=0} &= 2 \partial_t \left( \frac{\psi_t' f - \psi_t^{(2)}}{(\psi_t')^2} \circ \psi_t^{-1} \right)^{(k)}|_{t=0} \\ &= 2 \left( \partial_t \left( \frac{\psi_t' f - \psi_t^{(2)}}{(\psi_t')^2} \circ \psi_t^{-1} \right) |_{t=0} \right)^{(k)} \\ &= 2(h'f - hf' - h^{(2)} - 2h'f)^{(k)} \\ &= \Upsilon_{\Gamma,\sigma}^h(-z_{(\mathbf{V},0)}z_{(\blacktriangle,1)} - z_{(\mathbf{V},1)}z_{(\blacktriangle,0)} - 2z_{(\blacktriangle,2)})^{(k)} \\ &= \Upsilon_{\Gamma,\sigma}^h(-D^{k+1}(z_{(\mathbf{V},0)}z_{(\blacktriangle,0)}) - 2z_{(\blacktriangle,k+2)}) \\ &= \Upsilon_{\Gamma,\sigma}^h(\varphi_{\text{geo}}(z_{(\mathbf{V},k)})). \end{aligned}$$

In the end, gathering the various terms, one gets

$$\Upsilon_{\Gamma,\sigma}^h(\varphi_{\text{geo}}(v)) = \Upsilon_{\Gamma,\sigma}^h([v, z_{(\blacktriangle,0)}]).$$

We conclude from the injectivity of the map  $\Upsilon_{\Gamma,\sigma}^h$  from Theorem 3.4

$$\Upsilon_{\Gamma,\sigma}^h(\hat{\varphi}_{\text{geo}}(v)) = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{\varphi}_{\text{geo}}(v) = 0.$$

□

We define the covariant derivatives as

$$\nabla_{v_1} v_2 = v_1 D v_2 + \frac{1}{2} z_{(\mathbf{V},0)} v_1 v_2. \quad (3.3)$$

Iterating three times the covariant derivatives on  $z_{(\circ,0)}$  gives

$$\mathfrak{B}_4 = \{ \nabla_{\circ} \nabla_{\circ} \nabla_{\circ} \nabla_{\circ} \circ, \nabla_{\circ} \nabla_{\nabla_{\circ} \circ} \circ, \nabla_{\nabla_{\circ} \circ} \nabla_{\circ} \circ, \nabla_{\nabla_{\circ} \nabla_{\circ} \circ} \circ, \nabla_{\nabla_{\nabla_{\circ} \circ} \circ} \circ \}.$$

Here, we have made the following abuse of notations replacing  $z_{(\circ,0)}$  by  $\circ$ . For a subcritical noise  $\xi$ , we set  $\mathfrak{B}_{\xi}$  to be

$$\mathfrak{B}_{\xi} := \cup_{i=1}^{N_{\xi}} \mathfrak{B}_i. \quad (3.4)$$

**Proposition 3.7** *For every  $v_1, v_2 \in V_{\text{geo}}$ , one has*

$$\nabla_{v_1} v_2 \in V_{\text{geo}}.$$

*Proof.* One has to check that  $\nabla_{v_1} v_2$  belongs to the kernel of  $\hat{\varphi}_{\text{geo}}$ . One first has

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{\text{geo}}(\nabla_{v_1} v_2) &= \nabla_{\varphi_{\text{geo}}(v_1)} v_2 + \nabla_{v_1} \varphi_{\text{geo}}(v_2) + \frac{1}{2} \varphi_{\text{geo}}(z_{(\mathbf{V},0)}) v_1 v_2 \\ &= \nabla_{[v_1, z_{(\blacktriangle,0)}]} v_2 + \nabla_{v_1} [v_2, z_{(\blacktriangle,0)}] + \frac{1}{2} \varphi_{\text{geo}}(z_{(\mathbf{V},0)}) v_1 v_2 \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that  $v_1, v_2 \in V_{\text{geo}}$

$$\varphi_{\text{geo}}(v_i) = [v_i, z_{(\blacktriangle,0)}], \quad i \in \{1, 2\}.$$

Then, continuing the computation

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{[v_1, z_{(\blacktriangle,0)}]} v_2 &= v_1 D z_{(\blacktriangle,0)} D v_2 - D v_1 z_{(\blacktriangle,0)} D v_2 + \frac{1}{2} z_{(\mathbf{V},0)} v_1 D z_{(\blacktriangle,0)} v_2 \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} z_{(\mathbf{V},0)} D v_1 z_{(\blacktriangle,0)} v_2 \\ \nabla_{v_1} [v_2, z_{(\blacktriangle,0)}] &= z_{(\blacktriangle,2)} v_1 v_2 + z_{(\blacktriangle,1)} v_1 D v_2 - z_{(\blacktriangle,1)} v_1 D v_2 - z_{(\blacktriangle,0)} D v_1 D v_2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} z_{(\mathbf{V},0)} v_1 D z_{(\blacktriangle,0)} v_2 - \frac{1}{2} z_{(\mathbf{V},0)} v_1 z_{(\blacktriangle,0)} D v_2 \\ \frac{1}{2} \varphi_{\text{geo}}(z_{(\mathbf{V},0)}) v_1 v_2 &= -\frac{1}{2} D(z_{(\mathbf{V},0)} z_{(\blacktriangle,0)}) v_1 v_2 - z_{(\blacktriangle,2)} v_1 v_2. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, one has

$$\begin{aligned} [\nabla_{v_1} v_2, z_{(\blacktriangle,0)}] &= v_1 D v_2 D z_{(\blacktriangle,0)} + \frac{1}{2} z_{(\mathbf{V},0)} v_1 v_2 D z_{(\blacktriangle,0)} \\ &\quad - D(v_1 D v_2) z_{(\blacktriangle,0)} + \frac{1}{2} D(z_{(\mathbf{V},0)} v_1 v_2) z_{(\blacktriangle,0)}. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude from the previous computations that

$$\varphi_{\text{geo}}(\nabla_{v_1} v_2) = [\nabla_{v_1} v_2, z_{(\blacktriangle,0)}].$$

□

**Theorem 3.8** *One has:*

$$\dim(V_{\text{geo}}^N) = \text{Card}(\mathfrak{M}_{\circ}^N).$$

*Proof.* This is a consequence from the lower bound on the dimension proved in Proposition 3.9 and the upper bound proved in Proposition 3.12.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.9** *One has:*

$$\dim(V_{\text{geo}}^N) \geq \text{Card}(\mathfrak{M}_{\circ}^N).$$

*Proof.* We consider the projection  $\pi$  onto  $\mathfrak{M}_{\circ}$ . Given  $v_1, v_2$ , one has

$$\pi(\nabla_{v_1} v_2) = v_1 D v_2$$

Let  $z_{\beta} \in \mathfrak{M}_{\circ}$ , one has

$$z_{\beta} = \frac{1}{C_{\beta}} \sum_{z_{\beta} = \Psi(\tau)} \Psi(\tau), \quad C_{\beta} = \text{Card}(\{\tau : z_{\beta} = \Psi(\tau)\}).$$

One then define

$$\Lambda(z_{\beta}) = \frac{1}{C_{\beta}} \sum_{z_{\beta} = \Psi(\tau)} \Psi(\tilde{\psi}(\tau)). \quad (3.5)$$

where the map  $\tilde{\psi}$  is defined on decorated trees by setting

$$\tilde{\psi}(\circ) = \circ, \quad \tilde{\psi}(\tau \curvearrowright \sigma) = \nabla_{\tilde{\psi}(\tau)} \tilde{\psi}(\sigma). \quad (3.6)$$

The grafting product  $\curvearrowright$  between two trees  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  is defined as

$$\sigma \curvearrowright \tau = \sum_{u \in N_{\tau}} \sigma \curvearrowright_u \tau$$

where  $N_{\tau}$  are the nodes of  $\tau$  and  $\curvearrowright_u$  connects the root of  $\sigma$  via a new blue edge to the node  $u$ . As an example, one has

$$\circ \curvearrowright \circ = \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ | \\ \circ \end{array} + \begin{array}{c} \circ \\ | \\ \circ \\ | \\ \circ \end{array}.$$

The map  $\tilde{\psi}$  is well defined by (3.6) because  $(\circ, \curvearrowright)$  uniquely generates the space  $T_{\circ}$  see [22]. One can remark that  $\Lambda$  is well defined by (3.5) as we run the sum over all the decorated trees  $\tau$  associated to  $z_{\beta}$ . From Proposition 3.7, one has  $\Lambda(z_{\beta}) \in V_{\text{geo}}$ . One can notice that  $\Lambda$  is a right inverse to  $\pi$ . Indeed, one has

$$\pi(\Lambda(z_{\beta})) = \frac{1}{C_{\beta}} \sum_{z_{\beta} = \Psi(\tau)} \pi(\Psi(\tilde{\psi}(\tau))).$$



Then, we use the fact that  $\pi \circ \Psi = \Psi \circ \tilde{\pi}$  and  $\tilde{\pi} \circ \tilde{\psi} = \text{id}$ , where  $\tilde{\pi}$  is the projection on decorated trees without brown edges. Then

$$\pi(\Lambda(z_\beta)) = \frac{1}{C_\beta} \sum_{z_\beta = \Psi(\tau)} \Psi(\tilde{\pi} \circ \tilde{\psi}(\tau)) = \frac{1}{C_\beta} \sum_{z_\beta = \Psi(\tau)} \Psi(\tau) = z_\beta.$$

In the end, one has for  $v \in \langle \mathfrak{M}_\circ^N \rangle$

$$\langle v, \Lambda(v) \rangle = \langle v, \pi(\Lambda(v)) \rangle = \langle v, v \rangle = \|v\|^2$$

So if  $v$  is orthogonal to  $V_{\text{geo}}^N$  as  $\Lambda(v) \in V_{\text{geo}}^N$ , we deduce from the previous computation that  $v = 0$ . This shows that  $\langle \mathfrak{M}_\circ^N \rangle$  is linearly independent over  $V_{\text{geo}}^N$  and therefore  $\dim(V_{\text{geo}}^N) \geq \text{Card}(\mathfrak{M}_\circ^N)$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.10** The proof is an adaptation of the proof of [8, Prop. 6.13]. The main difference is the introduction of the use of the map  $\Psi$  for transferring the argument to the context of multi-indices.

**Example 3.11** We illustrate the previous proposition by computing by hand the dimension of  $\mathfrak{B}_4$ . One can observe that

$$\nabla_{\nabla_\circ} \nabla_\circ = \nabla_{\nabla_\circ \nabla_\circ \circ}, \quad 2 \nabla_{\nabla_\circ \nabla_\circ \circ} - \nabla_\circ \nabla_{\nabla_\circ \circ} = \nabla_{\nabla_{\nabla_\circ \circ \circ}},$$

Then, we want to check that the family  $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_4 = \{\nabla_\circ \nabla_\circ \nabla_\circ, \nabla_\circ \nabla_{\nabla_\circ \circ}, \nabla_{\nabla_{\nabla_\circ \circ \circ}}\}$  is free. We compute the covariant derivatives and project with  $\pi$  which give

$$\begin{aligned} \pi \nabla_\circ \nabla_\circ \nabla_\circ &= \pi \nabla_\circ \nabla_\circ (z_{(\circ,0)} z_{(\circ,1)}) \\ &= \pi \nabla_\circ (z_{(\circ,0)}^2 z_{(\circ,2)} + z_{(\circ,0)} z_{(\circ,1)}^2) \\ &= z_{(\circ,0)}^3 z_{(\circ,3)} + 4 z_{(\circ,0)}^2 z_{(\circ,1)} z_{(\circ,2)} + z_{(\circ,0)} z_{(\circ,1)}^3 \\ \pi \nabla_{\nabla_{\nabla_\circ \circ \circ}} &= z_{(\circ,1)} \pi \nabla_{\nabla_\circ \circ} = z_{(\circ,1)}^2 \pi \nabla_\circ = z_{(\circ,0)} z_{(\circ,1)}^3 \\ \pi \nabla_\circ \nabla_{\nabla_\circ \circ} &= \pi \nabla_\circ (z_{(\circ,0)} z_{(\circ,1)}^2) = 2 z_{(\circ,0)}^2 z_{(\circ,1)} z_{(\circ,2)} + z_{(\circ,0)} z_{(\circ,1)}^3. \end{aligned}$$

One can observe a triangular structure in terms of  $z_{(\circ,0)}^3 z_{(\circ,3)}$ ,  $z_{(\circ,0)}^2 z_{(\circ,1)} z_{(\circ,2)}$ ,  $z_{(\circ,0)} z_{(\circ,1)}^3$ . Then, we can conclude that  $\tilde{\mathfrak{B}}_4$  is free and  $\dim(\langle \mathfrak{B}_4 \rangle) = 3$ .

**Proposition 3.12** *One has:*

$$\dim(V_{\text{geo}}^N) \leq \text{Card}(\mathfrak{M}_\circ^N).$$

*Proof.* Let  $v \in V_{\text{geo}}^N$ , one has  $\hat{\varphi}_{\text{geo}}(v) = 0$ . Given one multi-indices  $z_\beta$ ,  $\langle z_\beta, \hat{\varphi}_{\text{geo}}(v) \rangle$  is the coefficient of  $z^\beta$  in  $\hat{\varphi}_{\text{geo}}(v)$ . Due to the fact that  $v$  is geometric, one has

$$\langle z^{\tilde{\beta}}, \hat{\varphi}_{\text{geo}}(v) \rangle = 0.$$

On the other hand, one can decompose  $v$  into

$$v = \sum_{z_\beta \in \mathfrak{M}_{\mathbf{V}, \circ}^N} \langle z_\beta, v \rangle z_\beta$$

which gives us

$$\sum_{z_\beta \in \mathfrak{M}_{\mathbf{V}, \circ}^N} \langle z_\beta, v \rangle \langle z^{\tilde{\beta}}, \hat{\varphi}_{\text{geo}}(z_\beta) \rangle = 0 \quad (3.7)$$

where we keep only terms such that  $\langle z^{\tilde{\beta}}, \hat{\varphi}_{\text{geo}}(z_\beta) \rangle \neq 0$ . Now, if  $z^{\tilde{\beta}}$  is of the form

$$z^{\tilde{\beta}} = z_{(\blacktriangle, k+2)} z^\eta$$

then,  $z_\beta$  could be of the form

$$z^{\beta_0} = z_{(\mathbf{V}, k)} z^\eta, \quad z^{\beta_m} = z_{(\mathbf{V}, k+m)} z^{\eta_m}, \quad z^{\hat{\beta}} = z_{(\circ, k+1)} z^{\hat{\eta}}$$

where  $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ ,  $z_{(\circ, 0)} z^{\hat{\eta}} = z^\eta$  and  $z_{(\mathbf{V}, m-1)} z^{\eta_m} = z^\eta$ . Then, one can see that multi-indices containing a factor  $z_{(\mathbf{V}, k)}$  are connected to multi-indices with a number of  $z_{(\mathbf{V}, k')}$  strictly smaller. Indeed, from the definition of  $\hat{\varphi}_{\text{geo}}$  and (3.7), one has

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\varphi}_{\text{geo}}(z_{(\mathbf{V}, k)} z^\eta) &= \beta_0(\mathbf{V}, k) \varphi_{\text{geo}}(z_{(\mathbf{V}, 2)} z^\eta) + (\dots) = -2\beta_0(\mathbf{V}, k) z_{(\blacktriangle, k+2)} z^\eta + (\dots) \\ \hat{\varphi}_{\text{geo}}(z_{(\circ, k+1)} z^{\hat{\eta}}) &= \hat{\beta}(\circ, k+1) \varphi_{\text{geo}}(z_{(\circ, k+1)} z^{\hat{\eta}}) + (\dots) \\ &= \hat{\beta}(\circ, k+1) z_{(\blacktriangle, k+2)} z_{(\circ, 0)} z^{\hat{\eta}} + (\dots) \\ &= \hat{\beta}(\circ, k+1) z_{(\blacktriangle, k+2)} z^\eta + (\dots) \end{aligned}$$

In both computations, the  $(\dots)$  mean extra terms that will not be equal to  $z_{(\blacktriangle, k+2)} z^\eta$ . The computations for  $z_{(\mathbf{V}, k+m)}$  are a bit more involved and give

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\varphi}_{\text{geo}}(z_{(\mathbf{V}, k+m)} z^{\eta_m}) &= \beta_m(\mathbf{V}, k+m) \varphi_{\text{geo}}(z_{(\mathbf{V}, k+m)} z^{\eta_m}) + (\dots) \\ &= \beta_m(\mathbf{V}, k+m) \binom{k+m+1}{k+2} z_{(\blacktriangle, k+2)} z_{(\mathbf{V}, m-1)} z^{\eta_m} + (\dots) \\ &= \beta_m(\mathbf{V}, k+m) \binom{k+m+1}{k+2} z_{(\blacktriangle, k+2)} z^\eta + (\dots). \end{aligned}$$

Combining the previous computations, one gets

$$\begin{aligned} 2\beta_0(\mathbf{V}, k) \langle z_{(\mathbf{V}, k)} z^\eta, v \rangle + \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}^*} \binom{k+m+1}{k+2} \beta_m(\mathbf{V}, k+m) \langle z_{(\mathbf{V}, k+m)} z^{\eta_m}, v \rangle \\ + \hat{\beta}(\circ, k+1) \langle z_{(\circ, k+1)} z^{\hat{\eta}}, v \rangle = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

One can observe that the first term of this linear equation contains one more variable of type  $z_{(\mathbf{V}, k)}$  than the other two. The sum over  $m$  is finite as  $k+m$  must be smaller

than  $N(\beta_m(\mathbf{V}, \ell) = 0$  for  $\ell > N$ ). We have to guarantee that such a system contains all the elements of  $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathbf{V}, \circ}^N$  as variables. First, by construction, it contains all the multi-indices with at least one variable of type  $z_{(\mathbf{V}, k)}$ . For the elements of  $\mathfrak{M}_{\circ}^N$ , one can use (3.8). Indeed, one can notice that when  $z^\eta$  does not contain any variables of type  $z_{(\mathbf{V}, k)}$ , it is the same for  $z^{\hat{\eta}}$  and therefore  $z_{(\circ, k+1)}z^{\hat{\eta}}$  runs over all  $\mathfrak{M}_{\circ}^N$  when one varies  $k$  and  $\hat{\eta}$ . In the end, one obtains a triangular system for the elements of  $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathbf{V}, \circ}^N$  that terminates on the variables given by  $\mathfrak{M}_{\circ}^N$  whose cardinal provides an upper bound on the dimension of the space of solutions for this system.  $\square$

**Remark 3.13** One observes that we did not use the equations given for  $z^{\tilde{\beta}} = z_{(\blacktriangle, k)}z^\eta$  with  $k = 1$ . This is not needed as we have used enough relations to establish the upper bound on  $\dim(V_{\text{geo}}^N)$ .

**Remark 3.14** The proof of Proposition 3.12 is inspired by a proof from Franck Gabriel that was part of a preliminary draft of [8]. The proof was to give an upper bound on the dimension of geometric elements for decorated trees. It is more involved and not so general as one has to determine by hand different orbits. Indeed, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\varphi}_{\text{geo}} \left( \begin{array}{c} \tau_1 \quad \tau_2 \quad \tau_3 \quad \tau_4 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \bullet \end{array} \right) &= -2 \begin{array}{c} \tau_1 \quad \tau_2 \quad \tau_3 \quad \tau_4 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \bullet \end{array} + (\dots) \\ \hat{\varphi}_{\text{geo}} \left( \begin{array}{c} \tau_1 \quad \tau_3 \quad \tau_2 \quad \tau_4 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \bullet \end{array} \right) &= -2 \begin{array}{c} \tau_1 \quad \tau_2 \quad \tau_3 \quad \tau_4 \\ \diagdown \quad \diagup \quad \diagdown \quad \diagup \\ \bullet \end{array} + (\dots). \end{aligned}$$

If the two trees  $\tau_2$  and  $\tau_3$  are different then these trees produce two variables in the system with the same number of brown edges. This is where one has to determine the orbits. Then, one can understand why powerful algebraic tools are needed such as operad and homological algebra used in [6].

**Example 3.15** We illustrate the previous proposition by writing up the system in the case of four noises. We want to compute the pre-image by  $\hat{\varphi}_{\text{geo}}$  of multi-indices of the form

$$z_{(\blacktriangle, 4)}z^{\beta_4}, \quad z_{(\blacktriangle, 3)}z^{\beta_3}, \quad z_{(\blacktriangle, 2)}z^{\beta_2}$$

where  $z^{\beta_i}$  does not contain any variable  $z_{(\blacktriangle, k)}$ . Then, for a given element  $v \in V_{\text{geo}}^4$ , this will give us the relations that  $\langle z_\beta, v \rangle$  should satisfy. For  $z_{(\blacktriangle, 4)}$ , one has

$$2 \langle z_{(\mathbf{V}, 2)}z_{(\circ, 0)}^4, v \rangle + \langle z_{(\circ, 0)}^3z_{(\circ, 3)}, v \rangle = 0.$$

Let us briefly explain how the previous equation is obtained as a direct application of (3.8). One has

$$z^{\beta_0} = z_{(\mathbf{V}, 2)}z_{(\circ, 0)}^4 = z_{(\mathbf{V}, 2)}z^\eta, \quad z^{\tilde{\beta}} = z_{(\circ, 0)}^3z_{(\circ, 3)} = z_{(\circ, 3)}z^{\hat{\eta}},$$

with

$$z^\eta = z_{(\mathfrak{o},0)}^4, \quad z^{\hat{\eta}} = z_{(\mathfrak{o},0)}^3, \quad \beta_0(\mathbf{V}, 2) = \hat{\beta}(\mathfrak{o}, 3) = 1.$$

One easily checks that

$$z_{(\mathfrak{o},0)} z^{\hat{\eta}} = z_{(\mathfrak{o},0)} z_{(\mathfrak{o},0)}^3 = z_{(\mathfrak{o},0)}^4 = z^\eta.$$

We do not have terms containing  $z_{(\mathbf{V},2+m)}$  with  $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$  because such terms do not belong to  $\mathfrak{M}_{\mathbf{V},\mathfrak{o}}^4$ . For  $z_{(\blacktriangle,3)}$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \langle z_{(\mathbf{V},1)} z_{(\mathfrak{o},0)}^3 z_{(\mathfrak{o},1)}, v \rangle + \langle z_{(\mathfrak{o},0)}^2 z_{(\mathfrak{o},1)} z_{(\mathfrak{o},2)}, v \rangle &= 0 \\ 2 \langle z_{(\mathbf{V},0)} z_{(\mathbf{V},1)} z_{(\mathfrak{o},0)}^4, v \rangle + \langle z_{(\mathbf{V},2)} z_{(\mathfrak{o},0)}^4, v \rangle + \langle z_{(\mathbf{V},0)} z_{(\mathfrak{o},0)}^3 z_{(\mathfrak{o},2)}, v \rangle &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

The computation for the first line is the same as before. We explain only how one obtains the second line: One has

$$\begin{aligned} z^{\beta_0} &= z_{(\mathbf{V},0)} z_{(\mathbf{V},1)} z_{(\mathfrak{o},0)}^4 = z_{(\mathbf{V},1)} z^\eta, \quad z^{\beta_1} = z_{(\mathbf{V},2)} z_{(\mathfrak{o},0)}^4 = z_{(\mathbf{V},2)} z^{\eta_1}, \\ z^{\hat{\beta}} &= z_{(\mathbf{V},0)} z_{(\mathfrak{o},0)}^3 z_{(\mathfrak{o},2)} = z_{(\mathfrak{o},2)} z^{\hat{\eta}}, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} z^\eta &= z_{(\mathbf{V},0)} z_{(\mathfrak{o},0)}^4, \quad z^{\eta_1} = z_{(\mathfrak{o},0)}^4, \quad z^{\hat{\eta}} = z_{(\mathbf{V},0)} z_{(\mathfrak{o},0)}^3, \\ \binom{1+1+1}{1+2} &= 1, \quad \beta_0(\mathbf{V}, 1) = \beta_1(\mathbf{V}, 1) = \hat{\beta}(\mathfrak{o}, 2) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

One easily checks that

$$z_{(\mathfrak{o},0)} z^{\hat{\eta}} = z_{(\mathfrak{o},0)} z_{(\mathbf{V},0)} z_{(\mathfrak{o},0)}^3 = z_{(\mathbf{V},0)} z_{(\mathfrak{o},0)}^4 = z^\eta, \quad z_{(\mathbf{V},0)} z^{\eta_1} = z_{(\mathbf{V},0)} z_{(\mathfrak{o},0)}^4 = z^\eta.$$

For  $z_{(\blacktriangle,2)}$ , one has

$$\begin{aligned} 6 \langle z_{(\mathbf{V},0)}^3 z_{(\mathfrak{o},0)}^4, v \rangle + \langle z_{(\mathbf{V},0)} z_{(\mathbf{V},1)} z_{(\mathfrak{o},0)}^4, v \rangle + \langle z_{(\mathbf{V},0)}^2 z_{(\mathfrak{o},0)}^3 z_{(\mathfrak{o},1)}, v \rangle &= 0 \\ 4 \langle z_{(\mathbf{V},0)}^2 z_{(\mathfrak{o},0)}^3 z_{(\mathfrak{o},1)}, v \rangle + \langle z_{(\mathbf{V},1)} z_{(\mathfrak{o},0)}^3 z_{(\mathfrak{o},1)}, v \rangle + 2 \langle z_{(\mathbf{V},0)} z_{(\mathfrak{o},0)}^2 z_{(\mathfrak{o},1)}^2, v \rangle &= 0 \\ 2 \langle z_{(\mathbf{V},0)} z_{(\mathfrak{o},0)}^2 z_{(\mathfrak{o},1)}^2, v \rangle + 3 \langle z_{(\mathfrak{o},0)} z_{(\mathfrak{o},1)}^3, v \rangle &= 0 \\ 2 \langle z_{(\mathbf{V},0)} z_{(\mathfrak{o},0)}^3 z_{(\mathfrak{o},2)}, v \rangle + \langle z_{(\mathfrak{o},0)}^2 z_{(\mathfrak{o},1)} z_{(\mathfrak{o},2)}, v \rangle &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

## References

- [1] I. Bailleul, Y. Bruned *Locality for singular stochastic PDEs*. arXiv:2109.00399.
- [2] I. Bailleul, Y. Bruned *Random models for singular SPDEs*. arXiv:2301.09596.
- [3] C. Bellingeri *An Itô type formula for the additive stochastic heat equation*. Electron. J. Probab. **25**, (2020), 1-52. doi:10.1214/19-EJP404/25.
- [4] Y. Bruned, A. Chandra, I. Chevyrev, M. Hairer. *Renormalising SPDEs in regularity structures*. J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS), **23**, no. 3, (2021), 869-947. doi:10.4171/JEMS/1025.

- [5] Y. Bruned, V. Dotsenko. *Novikov algebras and multi-indices in regularity structures* arXiv:2311.09091.
- [6] Y. Bruned, V. Dotsenko. *Chain rule symmetry for singular SPDEs* arXiv:2403.17066.
- [7] Y. Bruned, K. Ebrahimi-Fard, Y. Hou *Multi-indices B-series* arXiv:2402.13971.
- [8] Y. Bruned, F. Gabriel, M. Hairer, L. Zambotti, *Geometric stochastic heat equations*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. (JAMS) **35**, no. 1, (2022), 1–80. doi:10.1090/jams/977.
- [9] Y. Bruned, M. Gerencsér, U. Nadeem. *Quasi-generalised KPZ equation*. arXiv:2401.13620.
- [10] I. Bailleul, M. Hoshino *A tourist's guide to regularity structures and singular stochastic PDEs*. arXiv:2006.03524.
- [11] I. Bailleul, M. Hoshino *Random models on regularity-integrability structures*. arXiv:2310.10202.
- [12] Y. Bruned, Y. Hou. *Multi-indices coproducts from ODEs to singular SPDEs*. arXiv:2405.11314.
- [13] Y. Bruned, M. Hairer, L. Zambotti. *Algebraic renormalisation of regularity structures*. Invent. Math. **215**, no. 3, (2019), 1039–1156. doi:10.1007/s00222-018-0841-x.
- [14] Y. Bruned, F. Katsetsiadis. *Post-Lie algebras in Regularity Structures*. Forum of Mathematics, Sigma **11**, e98, (2023), 1–20. doi:10.1017/fms.2023.93.
- [15] Y. Bruned, P. Linares. *A top-down approach to algebraic renormalization in regularity structures based on multi-indices*. To appear in Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. (2024). arXiv:2307.03036.
- [16] Y. Bruned, D. Manchon. *Algebraic deformation for (S)PDEs*. J. Math. Soc. Japan. **75**, no. 2, (2023), 485–526. doi:10.2969/jmsj/88028802.
- [17] Y. Bruned, U. Nadeem, *Diagram-free approach for convergence of tree-based models in Regularity Structures*. J. Math. Soc. Japan, (2024), 31 pages. doi:10.2969/jmsj/91129112.
- [18] L. Broux, F. Otto, M. Tempelmayr. *Lecture notes on Malliavin calculus in regularity structures* arXiv:2401.05935.
- [19] Y. Bruned. *Singular KPZ Type Equations*. 205 pages, PhD thesis, Université Pierre et Marie Curie - Paris VI, 2015. <https://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/tel-01306427>.
- [20] Y. Bruned. *Recursive formulae in regularity structures*. Stoch. Partial Differ. Equ. Anal. and Comput. **6**, no. 4, (2018), 525–564. doi:10.1007/s40072-018-0115-z.
- [21] A. Chandra, M. Hairer. *An analytic BPHZ theorem for Regularity Structures*. arXiv:1612.08138v5.
- [22] F. Chapoton, M. Livernet. *Pre-Lie algebras and the rooted trees operad*. Internat. Math. Res. Notices. **2001**, no. 8, (2001), 395–408. doi:10.1155/S1073792801000198.
- [23] A. Dzhumadil'daev, C. Löfwall. *Trees, free right-symmetric algebras, free Novikov algebras and identities*. Homology Homotopy Appl. **4**, no. 2, (2002), 165–190.
- [24] P. K. Friz, M. Hairer. *A Course on Rough Paths*. Springer International Publishing, 2020. doi:10.1007/978-3-030-41556-3.

- [25] T. Funaki. *A stochastic partial differential equation with values in a manifold*. J. Funct. Anal. **109**, (1992), 257–288.
- [26] M. Gerencsér. *Nondivergence form quasilinear heat equations driven by space-time white noise*. Annales de l’Institut Henri Poincaré, Analyse non linéaire **37**, no. 3. (2020), 663–682 doi:10.1016/j.anihpc.2020.01.003.
- [27] M. Gerencsér, M. Hairer. *A solution theory for quasilinear singular SPDEs*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **72**, no. 9, (2019), 1983–2005. doi:10.1002/cpa.21816.
- [28] X. Gao, D. Manchon, Z. Zhu. *Free Novikov algebras and the Hopf algebra of decorated multi-indices*. arXiv:2404.09899.
- [29] R. S. Gvalani, M. Tempelmayr. *Stochastic estimates for the thin-film equation with thermal noise*. arXiv:2309.15829.
- [30] M. Hairer. *A theory of regularity structures*. Invent. Math. **198**, no. 2, (2014), 269–504. doi:10.1007/s00222-014-0505-4.
- [31] M. Hairer. *The motion of a random string*. arXiv:1605.02192.
- [32] M. Hairer, É. Pardoux. *A Wong-Zakai theorem for stochastic PDEs*. J. Math. Soc. Japan **67**, no. 4, (2015), 1551–1604. doi:10.2969/jmsj/06741551.
- [33] M. Hairer, R. Steele. *The BPHZ Theorem for Regularity Structures via the Spectral Gap Inequality*. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **248**, no. 9, (2024), 1–81. doi:10.1007/s00205-023-01946-w.
- [34] J.-D. Jacques, L. Zambotti. *Post-Lie algebras of derivations and regularity structures*. arXiv:2306.02484.
- [35] P. Linares. *Insertion pre-Lie products and translation of rough paths based on multi-indices*. arXiv:2307.06769.
- [36] P. Linares, F. Otto, M. Tempelmayr. *The structure group for quasi-linear equations via universal enveloping algebras*. Comm. Amer. Math. **3**, (2023), 1–64. doi:10.1090/cams/16.
- [37] P. Linares F. Otto. *A tree-free approach to regularity structures: The regular case for quasi-linear equations*. arXiv:2207.10627.
- [38] P. Linares, F. Otto, M. Tempelmayr, P. Tsatsoulis *A diagram-free approach to the stochastic estimates in regularity structures*. Invent. Math. **237**, (2024), 1469–1565. doi:10.1007/s00222-024-01275-z.
- [39] H. Munthe-Kaas, O. Verdier. *Aromatic Butcher Series*. Found. Comput. Math. **16**, (2016), 183–215. doi:10.1007/s10208-015-9245-0.
- [40] F. Otto, J. Sauer, S. Smith, H. Weber. *A priori bounds for quasi-linear SPDEs in the full sub-critical regime*. arXiv:2103.11039.
- [41] F. Otto, K. Seong, M. Tempelmayr. *Lecture notes on tree-free regularity structures*. Mat. Contemp. **58**, (2023), 150–196. doi:10.21711/231766362023/rmc584.