

# STRETCHING OF POLYMERS AND TURBULENCE: FOKKER PLANCK EQUATION, SPECIAL STOCHASTIC SCALING LIMIT AND STATIONARY LAW

FRANCO FLANDOLI AND YASSINE TAHRAOUI

**ABSTRACT.** The aim of this work is understanding the stretching mechanism of stochastic models of turbulence acting on a simple model of polymer. We consider a turbulent model that is white noise in time and activates frequencies in a shell  $N \leq |k| \leq 2N$  and investigate the scaling limit as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , under suitable intensity assumption, such that the stretching term has a finite limit covariance. The polymer density equation, initially an SPDE, converges weakly to a limit deterministic equation with a new term. Stationary solutions can be computed and show power law decay in the polymer length.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The effect of turbulence on polymer stretching is a widely investigated problem in the physical literature, by modeling, numerics and experiments; see for instance [1], [5], [9], [15], [22]. Recently, following [14], a rigorous scaling limit in the framework of turbulence models has been investigated in several directions, which provides results about questions like eddy dissipation and eddy viscosity (see [12] for a review), the dynamo problem [4] and particle coalescence [21]. In this paper we want to investigate the application of this scaling limit in the framework of polymer stretching.

A key technical novelty is that we have to modify the scaling. In all past works, the scaling was devised so that noise covariance remains bounded and not zero in the limit (it provided an additional dissipation term having the covariance function as coefficients). In this paper we investigate the new regime when the noise covariance goes to zero but a suitable covariance built on derivatives of the noise converges to a non zero limit. This is the first work in this new regime. Its physical relevance is confirmed by the results of [subsection 3.1](#).

The final result is a limit model, of Fokker-Planck type, with a new diffusion term in the radial variable of the polymer, with non-homogeneous and degenerate coefficients. Its radially symmetric stationary solutions are explicit and have power-law tails. This fact was predicted in the physical literature based on other models and assumptions; in our model we identify a simple link between the power of the tail and parameters of the turbulence model.

---

SCUOLA NORMALE SUPERIORE, PIAZZA DEI CAVALIERI, 7, 56126 PISA, ITALIA  
 SCUOLA NORMALE SUPERIORE, PIAZZA DEI CAVALIERI, 7, 56126 PISA, ITALIA  
*E-mail addresses:* [franco.flandoli at sns.it](mailto:franco.flandoli@sns.it), [yassine.tahraoui at sns.it](mailto:yassine.tahraoui@sns.it).

*Date:* October 2, 2024.

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35Q84, 60H15, 60H30, 76F25.

*Key words and phrases.* Fokker-Planck equation, Turbulence, Transport Noise, Stretching Noise, Polymer flow.

More specifically, we consider in dimension 2 the model

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} dR_t &= \nabla u(X_t, t)R_t dt - \frac{1}{\beta}R_t dt + \sqrt{2}\sigma d\mathcal{W}_t, \\ dX_t &= u(X_t, t)dt, \end{cases}$$

where  $X_t$  is the polymer position (the center of mass) and  $R_t$  is the end-to-end vector, representing the orientation and elongation of the chain, see e.g. [2, Section 4.2]. The polymer is embedded into a fluid having velocity  $u(t, x)$ , which stretches  $R_t$  by  $\nabla u(x, t)$ . The equation for  $R_t$  contains also a damping (restoring) term with relaxation time  $\beta$  and Brownian fluctuations  $\sqrt{2}\sigma d\mathcal{W}_t$  where, to simplify the notations we have denoted by  $\sigma^2$  the product  $\frac{kT}{\beta}$ ,  $k$  being Boltzmann constant and  $T$  being the temperature.

Then we assume that  $u(x, t)$  contains also a turbulent component modelled by a stochastic process, white noise in time (Stratonovich product is taken), with a space-dependence made of frequencies in a shell  $N \leq |k| \leq 2N$ . We therefore aim to understand the stretching power on the polymer of such a shell of frequencies, in the limit as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .

We base our analysis on the Fokker-Planck (FP) equation for polymer density  $f^N(x, r, t)$ . If  $u^N(x, t)$  were non-white in time, the equation had the form

$$(2) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t f^N(x, r, t) + \operatorname{div}_x(u^N(x, t)f^N(x, r, t)) + \operatorname{div}_r((\nabla u^N(x, t)r - \frac{1}{\beta}r)f^N(x, r, t)) = \sigma^2 \Delta_r f^N(x, r, t) \\ f^N|_{t=0} = f_0. \end{cases}$$

In the stochastic case it takes the form of an SPDE in Stratonovich form that we interpret in Itô form after computing the Itô-Stratonovich corrector.

We wish to draw the reader's attention to the following: introduce the mean of the structure tensor  $\mathbf{T}(t, x) := \mathbb{E}[R_t(x) \otimes R_t(x)]$  then  $\mathbf{T}^{N1}$  satisfies the following closed system of PDEs

$$(3) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t \mathbf{T}^N + u^N \cdot \nabla \mathbf{T}^N = (\nabla u^N) \mathbf{T}^N + \mathbf{T}^N (\nabla u^N)^t - \frac{2}{\beta} (\mathbf{T}^N - kT\mathbf{I}) \\ \mathbf{T}^N|_{t=0} = \mathbf{T}_0, \end{cases}$$

The last equation (3) is a macroscopic Oldroyd B model and the tensor  $\mathbf{T}$  characterize the viscoelastic (non-Newtonian part) of the flow (1). Many rheological behavior can be detected such as shear viscosity, normal stress difference and overshoot phenomenon in contrast with Newtonian flows, see e.g. [2] and we refer e.g. to [6],[25], [24] for other types of non-Newtonian flows. As we discuss in subsection 3.1, the explicit rotation-invariant solution of the stationary equation associated with the limit equation has a power-law density and therefore it is not sufficient to study the system (3) only, this is another reason we base our analysis on FP equation (2). We will comment about the limit equation associated with (3) in Remark 11.

Under the assumptions described in section 2, we prove that  $f^N(x, r, t)$  weakly converges to the solution of a deterministic equation of the form (the results below contain also a deterministic

---

<sup>1</sup>The subscript  $N$  to stress the dependence on  $N$  because of the presence of  $u^N$ .

term in the velocity, which is omitted here in the Introduction for notational simplicity)

$$(4) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t \bar{f}(x, r, t) - \operatorname{div}_r \left( \frac{1}{\beta} r \bar{f}(x, r, t) \right) = \sigma^2 \Delta_r \bar{f}(x, r, t) + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div}_r (A(r) \nabla \bar{f}(x, r, t)) \\ \bar{f}|_{t=0} = f_0 \end{cases}$$

where  $A(r) = k_T(3|r|^2 I - 2r \otimes r)$  and  $k_T = \frac{\pi \log(2)}{8} a^2$  where  $a$  is an intensity parameter of the noise. The new diffusion term in the  $r$  variable is the most important novelty of this work. It captures the statistical properties of the stretching mechanism. We compute the explicit rotation-invariant solution of the associated stationary equation and find it has a power law decay for large  $|r|$ :

$$\bar{f}(r) \sim |r|^{-\frac{2}{k_T \beta}}$$

indicating large values with high probability. The constants in the power are directly associated to those of the stochastic model of  $u^N(t, x)$ .

*Structure of the paper.* The manuscript is organized as follows: in [section 2](#), we present the functional and stochastic settings, then we introduce the stochastic FP in Itô form after presenting some properties of the covariance operator. [section 3](#) collects the main results of our work, the computation of the explicit rotation-invariant solution of the associated stationary equation of (9), the ideas of the proof and some formal computations. [section 4](#) is devoted to the proof of the existence and uniqueness of *quasi-regular* solution to the stochastic FP (8). In [section 5](#), we prove the convergence of the stochastic FP (8) to the limit PDE (9). Finally, we add in [Appendix A](#) the proof of [Lemma 2](#) and [Lemma 3](#).

## 2. NOTATIONS, DEFINITIONS AND PROBLEM FORMULATION

2.0.1. *Notations and functional setting.* We will consider the periodic boundary conditions with respect to the spacial variable  $x$ , namely  $x$  belongs to the 2-dimensional torus  $\mathbb{T}^2 = (\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z})^2$ . On the other hand, the end-to-end vector variable  $r$  belongs to  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Let  $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$  and introduce the following Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces with polynomial weight, namely

$$L_{r,m}^2(\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2) := \{f : \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : \int_{\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2} |f(x, r)|^2 (1 + |r|^2)^{m/2} dx dr := \|f\|_{L_{r,m}^2}^2 < \infty\},$$

$$H_{r,m}^l(\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2) := \{f : \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : \sum_{|\gamma|+|\beta| \leq l} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2} |\partial_x^\gamma \partial_r^\beta f(x, r)|^2 (1 + |r|^2)^{m/2} dx dr = \|f\|_{H_{r,m}^l}^2 < \infty\},$$

where  $l \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . Now, let us precise the functional setting to study (8). We will use the following notations

$$H_{r,4}^2(\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2) := V, \quad L_{r,2}^2(\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2) := H.$$

We recall the definition of inner products defined on the spaces  $V$  and  $H$ .

$$(h, g)_V := \sum_{|\gamma|+|\beta| \leq 2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2} \partial_x^\gamma \partial_r^\beta h(x, r) \partial_x^\gamma \partial_r^\beta g(x, r) (1 + |r|^2)^2 dx dr, \quad \forall g, h \in V;$$

$$(h, g)_H := \int_{\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2} h(x, r) g(x, r) (1 + |r|^2) dx dr, \quad \forall g, h \in H;$$

$$(h, g) := \int_{\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2} h(x, r) g(x, r) dx dr, \quad \forall g, h \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2).$$

Since  $L^\infty(0, T; H)$  is not separable, it is convenient to introduce the following space:

$$L^2_{w-*}(\Omega; L^\infty(0, T; H)) = \{u : \Omega \rightarrow L^\infty(0, T; H) \text{ is weakly-}^* \text{ measurable and } \mathbb{E}\|u\|_{L^\infty(0, T; H)}^2 < \infty\},$$

where weakly- $^*$  measurable stands for the measurability when  $L^\infty(0, T; H)$  is endowed with the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by the Borel sets of weak- $^*$  topology, see *e.g.* [8, Thm. 8.20.3].

For  $y = (y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $y^\perp$  stands for  $(-y_2, y_1)$ . We recall the following notations:

- $(\nabla_x g)_{i=1,2} = (\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_i})_{i=1,2}$ ;  $(\nabla_r g)_{i=1,2} = (\frac{\partial g}{\partial r_i})_{i=1,2}$  for scalar function  $g$ .
- $(\nabla_x g)_{i,j=1,2} = (\frac{\partial g^i}{\partial x_j})_{i,j=1,2}$ ;  $(\nabla_r g)_{i,j=1,2} = (\frac{\partial g^i}{\partial r_j})_{i,j=1,2}$  for vector valued function  $g$ .
- $\Delta_x g = \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial^2 x_i}$ ;  $\Delta_r g = \sum_{i=1}^2 \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial^2 r_i}$  for scalar function  $g$ .

and  $\operatorname{div}_{x/r} g = \nabla_{x/r} \cdot g$  for vector valued function  $g$ . We don't stress the subscript in  $\nabla_x$  when it is clear from the context.

In order to prove a uniqueness results, we will need some regularization kernel. More precisely, let  $\delta > 0$  and  $\rho$  be a smooth density of a probability measure on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , compactly supported in  $B(0, 1)$  and define the approximation of identity for the convolution on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  as  $\rho_\delta(y) = \frac{1}{\delta^2} \rho(\frac{y}{\delta})$  (We also assume that  $\rho$  is radially symmetric). Since we are working on  $\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ , we recall that for any integrable function  $g$  on  $\mathbb{T}^2$ ,  $g$  can be extended periodically to a locally integrable function on the whole  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and convolution  $\rho_\delta * g$  is meaningful and  $\rho_\delta * g$  is still a  $C^\infty$ -periodic function.

Finally, throughout the article, we denote by  $C, C_i, i \in \mathbb{N}$ , generic constants, which may vary from line to line.

2.0.2. *Assumptions on the noise.* Consider  $\mathbb{Z}_0^2 := \mathbb{Z}^2 - \{(0, 0)\}$  divided into its four quadrants (write  $k = (k_1, k_2)$ )

$$\begin{aligned} K_{++} &= \{k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^2 : k_1 \geq 0, k_2 > 0\}; & K_{-+} &= \{k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^2 : k_1 < 0, k_2 \geq 0\} \\ K_{--} &= \{k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^2 : k_1 \leq 0, k_2 < 0\}; & K_{+-} &= \{k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^2 : k_1 > 0, k_2 \leq 0\} \end{aligned}$$

and set

$$K_+ = K_{++} \cup K_{+-}; \quad K_- = K_{-+} \cup K_{--} \quad \text{and} \quad K = K_+ \cup K_-.$$

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_t, P)$  be a complete filtered probability space. Define

$$\sigma_k^N(x) = \theta_k^N \frac{k^\perp}{|k|} \cos k \cdot x, \quad k \in K_+, \quad \sigma_k^N(x) = \theta_k^N \frac{k^\perp}{|k|} \sin k \cdot x, \quad k \in K_-$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_k^N &= \frac{a}{|k|^2}, & N \leq |k| \leq 2N, & & N \in \mathbb{N}^* \\ \theta_k^N &= 0 & \text{else.} & & \end{aligned}$$

This is the main assumption about the shell structure of the noise; and  $a$  is a positive constant measuring the intensity.

Since  $\theta_k^N$  depends only on  $|k|$ , sometimes we write  $\theta_{|k|}$ . Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_i \sigma_k^\alpha(x) &= -\theta_k \frac{k_i (k^\perp)_\alpha}{|k|} \sin k \cdot x, & k \in K_+, \quad \alpha, i = 1, 2, \\ \partial_i \sigma_k^\alpha(x) &= \theta_k \frac{k_i (k^\perp)_\alpha}{|k|} \cos k \cdot x, & k \in K_-. \end{aligned}$$

Let us also consider a family  $(W_t^k)_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_0^2}^{k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^2}$  of independent Brownian motions on the probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ . On the same probability space we shall soon assume that there exists another independent 2-dimensional Brownian motion  $(\mathcal{W}_t)_t$ .

2.0.3. *Dense subsets in the space  $L^2(\Omega)$ .* We will prove that uniqueness holds a particular class of solution, see [Definition 5](#). It is sometimes called Wiener uniqueness and was used for instance by [\[16\]](#), [\[17\]](#), [\[20\]](#), [\[10\]](#), [\[13\]](#). For that, let  $\mathcal{G}_t$  be the filtration associated with  $(W_t^k)_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_0^2}^{k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^2}$  namely

$$\mathcal{G}_t = \sigma\{W_s^k; s \in [0, t], k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^2\},$$

and denote by  $\overline{\mathcal{G}}_t$  its completed filtration<sup>2</sup>. For  $T > 0$ , let us introduce

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H} &= L^2(\Omega, \overline{\mathcal{G}}_T, P), \quad M_n = \{k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^2; |k| \leq n\} \\ G &= \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} G_n; \quad G_n = \{g = (g_k)_{k \in M_n}; g_k \in L^2(0, T); \quad \forall k \in M_n\}.\end{aligned}$$

For  $n \in \mathbb{N}, g \in G_n$ , we set

$$\begin{aligned}e_g(t) &= \exp\left(\sum_{k \in M_n} \int_0^t g_k(s) dW^k(s) - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in M_n} \int_0^t |g_k(s)|^2 ds\right), \text{ for } t \in [0, T]; \\ \mathcal{D} &= \{e_g(T); \quad g \in G\}.\end{aligned}$$

From Itô formula, we get  $de_g(t) = \sum_{k \in M_n} g_k(t) e_g(t) dW^k(t)$ . Based on the Wiener chaos decomposition, we recall the following result, see [\[18, Ch. 1\]](#).

**Lemma 1.**  $\mathcal{D}$  is dense in  $\mathcal{H}$ .

2.0.4. *Lagrangian description and Fokker Planck equation.* Let  $X_t \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $R_t \in \mathbb{R}^2$  be the position and end-to-end vector of the polymer. In the Introduction we have generically stated that they satisfies [\(1\)](#). Here we shall be more specific. We assume that the velocity field is the sum of a large scale divergence-free component  $u_L(x, t)$  (deterministic, with a reasonable smoothness specified below) plus a stochastic small-scale component, precisely given by the noise coefficients introduced above

$$u^N(x, t) = u_L(x, t) + \circ \sum_{k \in K} \sigma_k^N(x) \partial_t W^k,$$

where we choose the Stratonovich multiplication both in virtue of Wong-Zakai principle (a white noise is the idealization of smooth noise) and because of conservation laws. The system for  $X_t^N, R_t^N$  (now parametrized by  $N$  because of the noise) is now given by

$$(5) \quad \begin{cases} dR_t^N &= \nabla u_L(X_t^N, t) R_t^N dt + \sum_{k \in K} \nabla \sigma_k^N(X_t^N) R_t^N \circ dW_t^k - \frac{1}{\beta} R_t^N dt + \sqrt{2} \sigma d\mathcal{W}_t, \\ dX_t^N &= u_L(X_t^N, t) dt + \sum_{k \in K} \sigma_k^N(X_t^N) \circ dW_t^k \end{cases}$$

<sup>2</sup>We assume that  $\mathcal{F}_0$  contains all the  $P$ -null subset of  $\Omega$ .

where  $\nabla$  is the gradient with respect to  $x$ -variable. Formally speaking, to this system we may associate a stochastic Fokker-Planck equation in Stratonovich form:

$$(6) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t f^N(x, r, t) + \operatorname{div}_x(u_L(x, t)f^N(x, r, t)) + \operatorname{div}_r((\nabla u_L(t, x)r - \frac{1}{\beta}r)f^N(x, r, t)) = \sigma^2 \Delta_r f^N(x, r, t) \\ \quad - \sum_{k \in K} \sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x f^N(x, r, t) \circ \partial_t W^k - \sum_{k \in K} (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r f^N(x, r, t) \circ \partial_t W^k \\ f^N|_{t=0} = f_0. \end{cases}$$

Here we have used the properties  $\operatorname{div}_x(\sigma_k^N) = 0, k \in K$ ,  $\operatorname{div}_x(u_L) = 0$  and  $\operatorname{div}_r(\nabla \sigma_k^N r) = 0^3$ .

Before we formulate rigorously the meaning of the equations, let us rewrite the previous equation (formally) from the Stratonovich to the Itô form. The question is the form of the Itô-Stratonovich corrector. This computations requires some additional care with respect to previously known cases developed in the literature, hence we devote to it a separate section.

2.0.5. *Itô-Stratonovich corrector.* Let  $\psi$  be a given smooth function and set

$$Q(x, y) := \sum_{k \in K} \sigma_k^N(x) \otimes \sigma_k^N(y).$$

In this part, we will write the Itô form associated with (6) when  $Q$  is space-homogeneous and has a mirror symmetry property.

Denote by  $L_k \psi = -(\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x \psi + (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r \psi)$  then the corrector term is given by  $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in K} L_k L_k \psi$ . Recall that  $\operatorname{div}_x(\sigma_k^N) = 0$  and let us compute  $L_k L_k \psi$ .

$$\begin{aligned} L_k L_k \psi &= -(\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x L_k \psi + (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r L_k \psi) \\ &= (\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x (\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x \psi + (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r \psi) + (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r (\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x \psi + (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r \psi)) \\ &= \operatorname{div}_x((\sigma_k^N \otimes \sigma_k^N) \nabla_x \psi) + \operatorname{div}_r(((\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \otimes (\nabla \sigma_k^N r)) \nabla_r \psi) \\ &\quad + \sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x ((\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r \psi) + (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r (\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x \psi). \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 2.** *Assume the noise is space-homogeneous i.e.  $Q(x, y) = Q(x - y)$  and  $Q(x, x) = Q(0)$ , be a constant matrix, then*

$$\begin{aligned} I(\psi) &= I^1(\psi) + I^2(\psi) = \sum_{k \in K} \sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x ((\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r \psi) + (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r (\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x \psi) \\ &= 2 \sum_{l, \gamma, i=1}^2 \partial_{x_\gamma} Q_{i,l}(0) \partial_{x_i} (r_\gamma \partial_{r_i} \psi). \end{aligned}$$

If moreover  $Q$  has the mirror symmetry property i.e.  $Q(x) = Q(-x)$ , then  $I(\psi) = 0$ .

Moreover, the correctors have a special form.

**Lemma 3.** *The following equalities hold*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div}_x \left( \sum_{k \in K} (\sigma_k^N \otimes \sigma_k^N) \nabla_x f \right) &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in K_{++}} (\theta_{|k|}^N)^2 \Delta_x f := \alpha_N \Delta_x f, \\ \sum_{k \in K} ((\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \otimes (\nabla \sigma_k^N r)) &= A(r) + O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right) P(r), \end{aligned}$$

<sup>3</sup> $\operatorname{div}_r(\nabla \sigma_k^N r) = 0$  is consequence of  $\operatorname{div}_x(\sigma_k^N) = 0$ .

where  $A(r) = k_T(3|r|^2 I - 2r \otimes r) = k_T(|r|^2 I + 2r^\perp \otimes r^\perp)$ ,  $k_T = \frac{\pi \log(2)}{8} a^2$ ,  $r = (r_1, r_2)$  and  $P$  is a polynomial of second degree.

The proofs are given in [Appendix A](#).

**Remark 4.** When  $\theta_k^N = \frac{a}{|k|^2}$  if  $N \leq |k| \leq 2N$ ,  $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$  and  $\theta_k^N = 0$  elsewhere, we get

$$(7) \quad 0 < \frac{\pi a^2}{64 N^3} \leq \alpha_N \leq \frac{\pi a^2}{4 N^3} < a^2 \text{ and } \alpha_N \rightarrow 0.$$

Based on these two lemmata, the Itô form (still formulated only formally) of the stochastic Fokker Planck equation (6), by assuming that the noise is space-homogeneous and satisfies mirror symmetry property, is given by<sup>4</sup>

$$(8) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} df^N + \operatorname{div}_x(u_L(x, t)f^N)dt + \operatorname{div}_r((\nabla u_L(t, x)r - \frac{1}{\beta}r)f^N)dt \\ = \sigma^2 \Delta_r f^N dt - \sum_{k \in K} \sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x f^N dW^k - \sum_{k \in K} (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r f^N dW^k \\ + \alpha_N \Delta_x f^N dt + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div}_r(\sum_{k \in K} ((\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \otimes (\nabla \sigma_k^N r)) \nabla_r f^N)dt \\ f^N|_{t=0} = f_0. \end{array} \right.$$

where

$$\sum_{k \in K} ((\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \otimes (\nabla \sigma_k^N r)) = A(r) + O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)P(r).$$

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

Let  $T > 0$ . In the following, we assume that  $u_L \in C([0, T], C^2(\mathbb{T}^2; \mathbb{R}^2))$  such that  $\operatorname{div}_x(u_L) = 0$ .

Following [20], [10], [13]. we introduce the concept of "quasi-regular weak solution" to (8), where we prove the well-posedness. Notice that uniqueness in this class (sometimes called Wiener uniqueness) is weaker than pathwise uniqueness.

**Definition 5.** (Quasi-regular weak solution) Let  $f_0 \in H$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ . We say that  $f^N$  is quasi-regular weak solution to (8) if  $f^N$  is  $(\mathcal{F}_t)_t$ -adapted and

- (1)  $f^N \in L^2_{w-*}(\Omega; L^\infty([0, T]; H))$ ,  $\nabla_r f^N \in L^2(\Omega \times [0, T]; H)$ ,
- (2)  $P$  a.s. in  $\Omega$ :  $f^N \in C_w([0, T]; H)$ <sup>5</sup>,

<sup>4</sup>Recall that  $f$  depends on  $t, x, r, \omega$  and  $N$  but we don't stress the dependence on the above variables for the simplicity of notation, that is, with slight abuse of notation  $f^N := f^N(t, x, r, \omega)$ .

<sup>5</sup> $C_w([0, T]; H)$  denotes the Bochner space of weakly continuous functions with values in  $H$ .

(3) *P*-a.s: for any  $t \in ]0, T]$  the following equation holds:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f^N(x, r, t) \phi dr dx - \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_0 \phi dr dx \\
& - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f^N(x, r, s) \left( u_L(x, s) \cdot \nabla_x \phi \psi + (\nabla u_L(s, x) r - \frac{1}{\beta} r) \cdot \nabla_r \phi \right) dr dx ds \\
& = -\sigma^2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_r f^N(x, r, s) \cdot \nabla_r \phi dr dx ds + \alpha_N \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f^N(x, r, s) \cdot \Delta_x \phi dr dx ds \\
& + \sum_{k \in K} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (f^N(x, r, s) \sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x \phi + f^N(x, r, s) (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r \phi) dr dx dW^k(s) \\
& - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left( \sum_{k \in K} ((\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \otimes (\nabla \sigma_k^N r)) \nabla_r f^N(x, r, t) \right) \cdot \nabla_r \phi dr dx ds, \quad \text{for any } \phi \in U.
\end{aligned}$$

(4) (Regularity in Mean) For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$  and each function  $g \in G_n$ , the deterministic function  $V^N(t, x, r) = \mathbb{E}[f^N(t, x, r) e_g(t)]$  is a measurable function, which belongs to  $L^\infty([0, T]; H) \cap C_w([0, T]; H)$  and  $\nabla_r V^N \in L^2([0, T]; H)$  and satisfies the following equation

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{dV^N}{dt} + \operatorname{div}_x([u_L - h_n]V^N) + \operatorname{div}_r([( \nabla u_L r) - y_n] - \frac{1}{\beta} r)V^N \\
& = \sigma^2 \Delta_r V^N + \alpha_N \Delta_x V^N + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div}_r \left( \sum_{k \in K} ((\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \otimes (\nabla \sigma_k^N r)) \nabla_r V^N \right),
\end{aligned}$$

in a weak sense (see [Proposition 16](#)), where

$$\sum_{k \in K_n} g_k \sigma_k^N = h_n \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k \in K_n} g_k (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) = y_n, \quad \text{where } K_n = \{k \in K : \min(n, N) \leq |k| \leq \max(2N, n)\}.$$

**Remark 6.** The point (3) in [Definition 5](#) is satisfied for larger class of test functions, namely  $\phi \in V$  thanks to the regularity properties of  $f^N$ .

The main results are given by the following theorems. The proofs will be presented in the next sections.

**Theorem 7.** There exists at least one solution  $f^N$  to (8) in the sense of [Definition 5](#). Moreover,  $(f^N)_N$  and  $(\nabla_r f^N)_N$  are bounded in  $L^2_{w-*}(\Omega; L^\infty([0, T]; H))$  and  $L^2(\Omega \times [0, T]; H)$  respectively.

*Proof.* See [subsection 4.3](#). □

**Theorem 8.** Under the assumptions of [Theorem 7](#), let  $f_i^N, i = 1, 2$ , be two quasi-regular weak solutions of (8) with the same initial data  $f_0$ . Assume that  $(f_i^N(t), \varphi)$  is  $\overline{\mathcal{G}}_t$ -adapted, for both  $i = 1, 2$ , for any  $\varphi \in V$ . Then  $f_1^N = f_2^N$ .

*Proof.* See [subsection 4.5](#). □

Concerning the scaling limit as  $N \rightarrow +\infty$ , we have

**Theorem 9.** There exists a new probability space, denoted by the same way (for simplicity)  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ ,  $\overline{f} \in L^2_{w-*}(\Omega; L^\infty([0, T]; H))$ ,  $\nabla_r \overline{f} \in L^2(\Omega; L^2([0, T]; H))$  such that the following convergence holds (up to a sub-sequence):  $f^N \rightarrow \overline{f}$  in  $C([0, T]; U)$   $P$ -a.s. and

$$f^N \rightharpoonup \overline{f} \text{ in } L^2_{w-*}(\Omega; L^\infty([0, T]; H)), \quad \nabla_r f^N \rightharpoonup \nabla_r \overline{f} \text{ in } L^2(\Omega; L^2([0, T]; H)).$$



Moreover  $\bar{f}$  is the unique solution of the following problem: *P*-a.s.

$$(9) \quad \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \bar{f}(x, r, t) \phi \psi dr dx - \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_0(x, r) \phi \psi dr dx \\ = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \bar{f} \left( u_L(x, s) \cdot \nabla_x \phi \psi + \left( \nabla u_L(s, x) r - \frac{1}{\beta} r \right) \cdot \nabla_r \psi \phi \right) dr dx ds \\ - \sigma^2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_r \bar{f}(x, r, s) \cdot \nabla_r \psi \phi dr dx ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} A(r) \nabla_r \bar{f}(x, r, s) \cdot \nabla_r \psi \phi dr dx ds,$$

for any  $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)$  and  $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and  $A(r)$  is given in [Lemma 3](#).

*Proof.* See [subsubsection 5.2.1](#) for the existence proof and [Lemma 30](#) for the uniqueness.  $\square$

**Remark 10.** By taking into account the regularity of  $\bar{f}$ , (9) can be written as

$$(10) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t \bar{f}(x, r, t) + \operatorname{div}_x(u_L(x, t) \bar{f}(x, r, t)) + \operatorname{div}_r((\nabla u_L(t, x) r - \frac{1}{\beta} r) \bar{f}(x, r, t)) \\ = \sigma^2 \Delta_r \bar{f}(x, r, t) + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div}_r(A(r) \nabla_r \bar{f}(x, r, t)) \\ f|_{t=0} = f_0, \end{cases}$$

in  $\mathcal{Y}'$ -sense, where  $\mathcal{Y} = \{\varphi \in H : \nabla_r \varphi \in H, \nabla_x \varphi \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2)\}$ . Moreover, since  $\bar{f} \in L^\infty(0, T; H)$  and  $\bar{f} \in C([0, T]; U')$ , we get  $\bar{f} \in C_w([0, T]; H)$  *P*-a.s. (see [\[26, Lem. 1.4 p. 263\]](#)).

- Since (10) is Fokker-Planck equation of (11) then  $\bar{f} \geq 0$  and  $\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2} \bar{f}(t, x, r) dx dr = 0$ .

**Remark 11.** (The limit FP, Lagrangian description and macroscopic equation)

- From [Lemma 3](#), we get for each  $r \in \mathbb{R}^2$

$$A(r) = \frac{a^2 \pi \log 2}{8} |r|^2 \frac{r \otimes r}{|r|^2} + \frac{3a^2 \pi \log 2}{8} |r|^2 \left( \frac{r^\perp \otimes r^\perp}{|r|^2} \right).$$

Therefore  $A(r) = \mathcal{Q}(r) \mathcal{Q}(r)$ , where  $\mathcal{Q}(r) = \frac{a\sqrt{\pi \log 2}}{2\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{r \otimes r}{|r|} + \sqrt{3} \frac{r^\perp \otimes r^\perp}{|r|} \right)$ . Notice that  $\mathcal{Q}(r)$  is a symmetric, non negative matrix for each  $r \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and the function  $r \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}(r)$  is Lipschitz with linear growth.

- Since for each  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ ,  $\sum_{j=1}^2 \partial_j A_{i,j}(r) = 0$ , if  $\widetilde{W}$  and  $\widetilde{\widetilde{W}}$  are 2D independent Brownian motions, then the stochastic differential equation (SDE)

$$(11) \quad \begin{cases} d\bar{X}_t = u_L(t, \bar{X}_t) dt; & \bar{X}_0 = x \\ d\bar{R}_t = (\nabla u_L(t, \bar{X}_t) \bar{R}_t - \frac{1}{\beta} \bar{R}_t) dt + \mathcal{Q}(\bar{R}_t) d\widetilde{\widetilde{W}}_t + \sqrt{2}\sigma d\widetilde{W}_t; & \bar{R}_0 = r, \end{cases}$$

has (10) as Fokker-Planck equation.

- Define  $\bar{\mathbf{T}} = \mathbb{E}_r(\bar{R}_t \otimes \bar{R}_t)$ , a standard computations gives that  $\bar{\mathbf{T}}$  satisfies

$$(12) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t \bar{\mathbf{T}} + u_L \cdot \nabla \bar{\mathbf{T}} = (\nabla u_L) \bar{\mathbf{T}} + \bar{\mathbf{T}} (\nabla u_L)^t - \frac{2}{\beta} (\bar{\mathbf{T}} - kT\mathbf{I}) + \mathbb{E}A(\bar{R}_t) \\ \bar{\mathbf{T}}|_{t=0} = \mathbf{T}_0, \end{cases}$$

which means that  $\mathbf{T}^N$  solution to (3) converges weakly to  $\bar{\mathbf{T}}$  in appropriate (weak) sense and the turbulent velocity generates the term  $\mathbb{E}A(\bar{R}_t)$ , as  $N \rightarrow +\infty$ , at the macroscopic level.

Let us briefly interpret the previous results. The additional term  $\mathcal{Q}(\bar{R}_t) d\widetilde{\widetilde{W}}_t$  in the stochastic equation contributes to a higher dispersion of the values of  $\bar{R}_t$ , increasing the variance; and not

in a "Gaussian" way, since the diffusion matrix depends on  $\overline{R}_t$  and its quadratic form evaluated in the  $\overline{R}_t$  direction increases with  $\overline{R}_t$ . This is coherent with the power law result for the stationary solution illustrated in the next subsection.

Similarly, the additional term  $\mathbb{E}_r A(\overline{R}_t)$  in the equation for the tensor  $\mathbb{E}_r(\overline{R}_t \otimes \overline{R}_t)$  is non-negative definite, mostly positive definite, hence it contributes to a higher value of  $\partial_t \overline{\mathbf{T}}$  hence to an increase of  $\overline{R}_t$ , coherent with the picture above: turbulent stretching statistically increases polymer length.

**3.1. Stationary solutions and Power law.** In this part we consider the limit equation (9) in the simplest case  $u_L = 0$  and look for stationary solutions  $\overline{f}(r)$ , independent of  $x$ :

$$\frac{k_T}{2} \operatorname{div} \left( (3|r|^2 \operatorname{Id} - 2r \otimes r) \nabla \overline{f}(r) \right) + \sigma^2 \Delta \overline{f}(r) = -\operatorname{div} \left( \frac{r}{\beta} \overline{f}(r) \right).$$

Then

$$\frac{k_T}{2} (3|r|^2 \operatorname{Id} - 2r \otimes r) \nabla \overline{f}(r) + \sigma^2 \nabla \overline{f}(r) = -\frac{r}{\beta} \overline{f}(r) + w(r)$$

with  $\operatorname{div} w(r) = 0$ . We look for rotation-invariant solutions  $\overline{f}(r) = g(|r|)$ , so that  $g$  should satisfy

$$\frac{k_T}{2} r |r| g'(|r|) + \sigma^2 g'(|r|) \frac{r}{|r|} = -\frac{r}{\beta} g(|r|) + w(r).$$

Let us try to solve this (for simplicity with  $w = 0$ ) and using the simplified equation ( $t = |r|$ )

$$\left( \frac{k_T}{2} t + \frac{\sigma^2}{t} \right) g'(t) = -\frac{1}{\beta} g(t) \text{ for } t > 0.$$

The solution is

$$g(t) = C \left( \sigma^2 + \frac{k_T}{2} t^2 \right)^{-\frac{1}{k_T \beta}}, \quad C = g(0) \exp \left( \frac{\log \sigma^2}{k_T \beta} \right).$$

Therefore the function

$$\overline{f}(r) = C \left( \sigma^2 + \frac{k_T}{2} |r|^2 \right)^{-\frac{1}{k_T \beta}}$$

is a solution. It is invariant by rotations and power law at infinity:

$$\overline{f}(r) \sim |r|^{-\frac{2}{k_T \beta}}$$

The power  $\frac{2}{k_T \beta}$  depends on interpretable constants, namely the relaxation time  $\beta$  of the polymer and the number  $k_T$  proportional to the square-intensity of the turbulent eddies. In particular, the tail is longer (larger values are more probable) when  $k_T \beta$  is large, which happens either when the relaxation time  $\beta$  is large (namely the polymer is slow in recovering its equilibrium position) or the intensity  $k_T$  of the turbulent fluid is large, or both.

**3.2. Outline of the proof.** Let us outline the ideas of how to prove the main results, and the details will be provided in [section 4](#) and [section 5](#). Note that (8) is stochastic Fokker Planck, has a hyperbolic nature with respect to the space variable  $x$ , it cannot regularize the initial condition. Moreover, its well-posedness is not a standard result and we need to construct a solution in an appropriate sense, see [Definition 5](#).

The first step concerns the construction of weak solutions (see [Definition 5](#), point (3)) by using Galerkin approximation scheme. We proceed as follows: we construct an orthonormal

basis of  $H$  (the weight used to give sense to the terms including the coefficient with  $r$ -variable, where  $r \in \mathbb{R}^2$ ). It's worth to mention we consider a general setting to prove the existence of solution and up to a cosmetic modifications, the same result follows by using other weights e.g.  $(1 + |r|^2)^m$ ,  $m > 1$  which corresponds to  $L^2_{r,m}(\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2) \hookrightarrow L^1(\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2)$  if  $m > 2$ . The first problem concerns the proof of some a priori estimates, for that we add the extra term  $\mathcal{Y}^m$  given by (22) to control the term coming from the interaction between the two part of the stochastic integral, in order to get the desired estimates. Then, we may pass to the limit as  $m \rightarrow +\infty$  and construct solution to our problem, after showing that  $\mathcal{Y}^m$  vanishes as  $m \rightarrow +\infty$  (we exploit that  $Q$  is space-homogeneous and has mirror symmetry property). Consequently, we construct weak solution, see [Theorem 7](#).

After that, we face the problem of uniqueness due to lack of regularity with respect to the space variable  $x$  and the presence of noise, which motivates the introduction of the notion "quasi-regular weak solution", which is characterized by the point (4) of [Definition 5](#) to show uniqueness in this subclass of solution, see [Theorem 8](#) (we take the advantage of the linearity of (8)). On the other hand, we should use commutators estimates and techniques associated with hyperbolic equations to prove in the beginning the uniqueness of solution to the equation satisfied by some appropriate mean ([Definition 5](#), point (4)) and we combine that with some density arguments in the  $L^2$ -space of random variables to deduce the well-posedness in the sense of [Definition 5](#). We recall that this notion of uniqueness is weaker than the classical notion of pathwise uniqueness, see [[13](#), Rmk. 7]. Another key point concerns the uniform estimate with respect to  $N$ , which follows directly from the construction of the solution since Itô formula is classical at the level of Galerkin approximation in contrast with infinite dimension where the solution  $f^N$  is not smooth and one needs to be careful in the application of Itô formula.

Finally, after obtaining some estimates regarding to  $N$ , we can pass to the limit as  $N \rightarrow +\infty$  (in weak sense) by considering the new regime when the noise covariance goes to zero but a suitable covariance built on derivatives of the noise converges to a non zero limit and we obtain a degenerate PDE with respect to the variable  $r$ , see [Theorem 9](#) where the stationary solution of the limit equation (9) has a power-law, with an explicit power depending on the friction parameter  $\beta$  and the number  $k_T$  which is related to the turbulent kinetic energy, see [subsection 3.1](#).

**3.3. Formal computations and estimates.** Before the presentation of the proof of main results and the proof, let us present some formal computations related to (8). Let us compute formally  $\|f^N\|^2$ , by applying Itô formula (formally), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|f^N(t)\|^2 - \|f_0\|^2 &= 2 \int_0^t (f^N(s), u_L \cdot \nabla_x f^N(s) + (\nabla_x u_L r - \frac{1}{\beta} r) \cdot \nabla_r f^N(s)) ds \\ &\quad - 2\sigma^2 \int_0^t \|\nabla_r f^N(s)\|^2 ds - 2\alpha_N \int_0^t \|\nabla_x f^N(s)\|^2 ds - \int_0^t (A_k^N(x, r) \nabla_r f^N(s), \nabla_r f^N(s)) ds \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{k \in K} \int_0^t [(f^N(s), \sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x f^N(s)) + (f^N(s), (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r f^N(s))] dW^k(s) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k \in K} \int_0^t \|\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x f^N(s)\|^2 + \|(\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r f^N(s)\|^2 ds + 2 \sum_{k \in K} \int_0^t (\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x f^N(s), (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r f^N(s)) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\operatorname{div}_x(u_L) = \operatorname{div}_r(\nabla_x u_L r) = \operatorname{div}_x(\sigma_k^N) = \operatorname{div}_r(\nabla \sigma_k^N r) = 0$ , one has

$$(f^N, u_L \cdot \nabla_x f^N) = (f^N, \sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x f^N) = 0, \quad (f^N, (\nabla_x u_L r) \cdot \nabla_r f^N) = (f^N, (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r f^N) = 0.$$

On the other hand, since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \in K} \int_0^t \|\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x f^N(s)\|^2 ds &= \sum_{k \in K} \int_0^t (\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x f^N(s), \sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x f^N(s)) ds \\ &= \int_0^t \left( \sum_{k \in K} \sigma_k^N(x) \otimes \sigma_k^N(x) \nabla_x f^N(s), \nabla_x f^N(s) \right) ds \\ &= \sum_{k \in K_{++}} (\theta_{|k|}^N)^2 \int_0^t (\nabla_x f^N(s), \nabla_x f^N(s)) ds = 2\alpha_N \int_0^t \|\nabla_x f^N(s)\|^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $-2\alpha_N \int_0^t \|\nabla_x f_m(s)\|^2 ds + \sum_{k \in K} \int_0^t \|\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x f_m(s)\|^2 ds = 0$ . Similarly, we have

$$\sum_{k \in K} \int_0^t \|(\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r f^N(s)\|^2 ds = \int_0^t (A_k^N(x, r) \nabla_r f^N(s), \nabla_r f^N(s)) ds,$$

where  $A_k^N$  is given by

$$(13) \quad A_k^N(x, r) := \sum_{k \in K} ((\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \otimes (\nabla \sigma_k^N r));$$

thus  $-\int_0^t (A_k^N(x, r) \nabla_r f^N(s), \nabla_r f^N(s)) ds + \sum_{k \in K} \int_0^t \|(\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r f^N(s)\|^2 ds = 0$ .

Summing up, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\|f^N(t)\|^2 + 2\sigma^2 \int_0^t \|\nabla_r f^N(s)\|^2 ds - \|f_0\|^2 \\ &\leq -\frac{2}{\beta} \int_0^t (f^N(s), r \cdot \nabla_r f^N(s)) ds + 2 \sum_{k \in K} \int_0^t (\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x f^N(s), (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r f^N(s)) ds. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, notice that

$$(14) \quad \sum_{k \in K} (\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x f^N(s), (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r f^N(s)) = -\left( \sum_{k \in K} (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r (\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x f^N(s)), f^N(s) \right) = 0,$$

since the covariance matrix  $Q$  is space-homogeneous and has mirror symmetry property, see [Lemma 2](#). By using (14), we obtain

$$\|f^N(t)\|^2 + 2\sigma^2 \int_0^t \|\nabla_r f^N(s)\|^2 ds \leq \|f_0\|^2 - \frac{2}{\beta} \int_0^t (f^N(s), r \cdot \nabla_r f^N(s)) ds.$$

Let us compute the last term of the RHS. We have

$$(f^N, r \cdot \nabla_r f^N) = \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2} f^N(x, r) r_i \cdot \partial_{r_i} f^N(x, r) dr dx = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2} r_i \partial_{r_i} (f^N)^2(x, r) dr dx = -\|f^N\|^2.$$

Consequently,  $\|f^N(t)\|^2 + 2\sigma^2 \int_0^t \|\nabla_r f^N(s)\|^2 ds \leq \|f_0\|^2 + \frac{2}{\beta} \int_0^t \|f^N(s)\|^2 ds$ . Grönwall lemma ensures the following: P-a.s.

$$(15) \quad \forall t \geq 0 : \quad \|f^N(t)\|^2 + 2\sigma^2 \int_0^t \|\nabla_r f^N(s)\|^2 ds \leq \|f_0\|^2 e^{\frac{2}{\beta}t}.$$

Note that the last inequality (15) is sufficient, *a priori*, to construct a weak solution and also ensure the uniqueness, since (8) is a linear equation. Unfortunately, (15) is not rigorous. Indeed, if  $f^N$  satisfies (15) only then we are not able to apply directly Itô formula to (8) and we need either to approximate or regularize (8) in appropriate way and prove the existence of  $f^N$  and (15), after passing to the limit with respect to the approximation or regularization parameters. It turns out that it is not sufficient to consider  $f_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{T} \times \mathbb{R}^2)$  and we need more regular initial data, namely  $f_0 \in H$  to construct a weak solution, see Definition 5. Uniqueness is more delicate, since (8) has a hyperbolic character with respect to  $x$ -variable and we will prove uniqueness in particular class of solution, see Theorem 8. For the convenience of the reader, let us explain the arguments that failed when we tried to obtain (15) in rigorous way.

3.3.1. *A priori estimates via Galerkin approximation.* The first step concerns the projection of (8) onto a finite dimensional space, see (21). Then, we apply finite dimensional Itô formula which leads to the presence of the term

$$(16) \quad \sum_{k \in K} (\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x P_m[(\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r f_m], w_j)_H \quad (f_m = P_m f^N)$$

instead of  $\sum_{k \in K} (\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x f^N(s), (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r f^N(s))$  and (14) is not valid anymore. We remedy this issue by subtraction this term (16) at the level of Galerkin approximation to get an appropriate estimate, see Lemma 14 and we need to show that  $\lim_m (\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x P_m[(\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r f_m], w_j)_H = 0$  to recover the original problem (8). It's worth to mention that since  $r \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and it acts as coefficient then we need to use initial data  $f_0 \in H$  to prove that the extra term (16) vanishes as  $m \rightarrow +\infty$ , see (39) and one obtains the desired estimates of Lemma 14. We refer to section 4 for more details.

3.3.2. *Uniqueness via commutators and quasi-regular weak solution.* Once the existence of weak solution satisfying the points (1),(2) and (3) of Definition 5 is established, one seeks to prove the uniqueness of this class of solutions. Since (8) has an hyperbolic nature, one uses the commutators estimates in order to prove pathwise uniqueness. Let us explain why using this technique does not give uniqueness of this class of solution, for that we mention only the terms that cause problems. Let  $\delta > 0$  and  $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ , if we denote  $X = (x, r) \in \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$ ,

$\rho_\delta(X) = \rho_\delta(x)$  and  $\varphi_\delta := \rho_\delta * \varphi$  then we need to pass to the limit as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$  in the equality

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \| [f^N(t)]_\delta \|^2 + \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \langle [u_L(s) \cdot \nabla_x f^N(s)]_\delta + [\operatorname{div}_r(\nabla u_L(s)r - \frac{1}{\beta}r)f^N(s)]_\delta, [f^N(s)]_\delta \rangle ds \\ &= \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \langle \sigma^2 [\Delta_r f^N(s)]_\delta + \alpha_N [\Delta_x f^N(s)]_\delta, [f^N(s)]_\delta \rangle ds \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \langle [\operatorname{div}_r \sum_{k \in K} ((\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \otimes (\nabla \sigma_k^N r)) \nabla_r f^N(s)]_\delta, [f^N(s)]_\delta \rangle ds \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in K} \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \| [\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x f^N(s)]_\delta + [(\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r f^N(s)]_\delta \|^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

We can estimate all the terms in convenient way except the terms

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \langle \alpha_N [\Delta_x f^N(s)]_\delta, [f^N(s)]_\delta \rangle ds + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in K} \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \| [\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x f^N(s)]_\delta \|^2 ds \\ & \quad + \sum_{k \in K} \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \langle [\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x f^N(s)]_\delta, [(\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r f^N(s)]_\delta \rangle ds. \end{aligned}$$

The last two terms come from the term  $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in K} \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \| [\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x f^N(s)]_\delta + [(\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r f^N(s)]_\delta \|^2 ds$ .

*A priori*, we expect that the sum of the first two terms in (17) vanishes as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$  (balance of energy of Itô Stratonovich corrector) but in order to get that, we need more regularity of  $f^N$ , namely  $\nabla_x f^N \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ , which is not our case. Concerning the last term in (17), it is expected to disappear due to the space homogeneity and the mirror symmetry of the covariance  $Q$  but also it requires  $\nabla_x f^N \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2)$  as well. Consequently, we take the advantage of the linearity of the equation (8) and we prove uniqueness in weaker sense, see [Theorem 8](#).

#### 4. PROOF OF THE EXISTENCE AND UNIQUENESS OF SOLUTIONS TO (8)

Our aim in this section is to prove the existence and uniqueness of solution to (8) in the sense of [Definition 5](#), namely [Theorem 7](#) and [Theorem 8](#). We divide the proof into several steps. First, we introduce the Galerkin approximation. Then, we prove some estimates in the appropriate spaces. Next, we show the existence of analytically weak solution to (8). Finally, we prove the uniqueness of the class of quasi-regular weak solutions after showing the existence and uniqueness of the solution to an appropriate mean equation associated with (8), see [Proposition 16](#) and [Lemma 20](#).

**4.1. Galerkin basis and approximation.** We need to construct an orthonormal basis of  $H$  such that all the terms in our approximation scheme are meaningful. For that, note that  $V \xhookrightarrow{\text{cont.}} H$ . On the other hand,  $(V, (\cdot, \cdot)_V)$  is a separable Hilbert space. By using [[3](#), Lem. C.1.], there exists a Hilbert space  $(U, (\cdot, \cdot)_U)$  such that  $U \xhookrightarrow{\text{cont.}} V$ ,  $U$  is dense in  $V$  and the embedding  $U \xhookrightarrow{\text{cont.}} V$  is compact. Thus the embedding  $U \xhookrightarrow{\text{cont.}} H$  is also compact and we can construct an orthonormal basis for  $H$  by using the eigenvectors of the compact embedding operator. More precisely, there exists an orthonormal basis  $\{w_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $H$  such that  $w_i \in U$  and satisfies

$$(18) \quad (v, w_i)_U = \lambda_i (v, w_i)_H, \quad \forall v \in U, \quad i \in \mathbb{N},$$

where the sequence  $\{\lambda_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  of the corresponding eigenvalues fulfils the properties:  $\lambda_i > 0, \forall i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Note that  $\{\tilde{w}_i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_i}} w_i\}$  is an orthonormal basis for  $U$ , see [[3](#), Subsect. 2.3] for similar argument

of construction.

Now, let  $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$  and denote by  $H_m = \text{span}\{w_1, \dots, w_m\}$  and the operator  $P_m$  defined from  $U'$  to  $H_m$  defined by

$$P_m : U' \rightarrow H_m; \quad u \mapsto P_m u = \sum_{i=1}^m \langle u, w_i \rangle_{U', U} w_i.$$

In particular, the restriction of  $P_m$  to  $H$ , denoted by the same way, is the  $(\cdot, \cdot)$ -orthogonal projection from  $H$  to  $H_m$  and given by

$$(19) \quad P_m : H \rightarrow H_m; \quad u \mapsto P_m u = \sum_{i=1}^m (u, w_i)_H w_i.$$

We notice that  $\|P_m u\|_H \leq \|u\|_H, \forall u \in H$ , then  $\|P_m\|_{L(H, H)} \leq 1$ .

**Remark 12.** *It is worth to mention that the restriction of  $P_m$  to  $U$  is also an orthogonal projection, thanks to (18) and thus  $\|P_m\|_{L(U, U)} \leq 1$ .*

We have the following continuous embedding  $U \hookrightarrow V \hookrightarrow H \hookrightarrow L^2(\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ . Since  $U$  is dense subset of  $L^2(\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ , we can consider the following compact Lions-Gelfand triple, namely

$$U \underset{\text{dense}}{\hookrightarrow} L^2(\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2) \equiv L^2(\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2) \hookrightarrow U'.$$

To simplify the notation, the duality between  $U$  and  $U'$  will be denoted  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  instead of  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{U', U}$ . Thus, we have the following equality

$$(20) \quad \langle f, u \rangle = (f, u), \quad \forall f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2), \forall u \in U.$$

We use Faedo-Galerkin method, we introduce the approximation  $f_m(t) = \sum_{j=1}^m g_{mj}(t) w_j$  and set  $f_m(0) = P_m f_0 \in H_m$ . We consider the following finite dimensional SDE

$$(21) \quad \begin{aligned} & (f_m(t), w_j)_H - (f_0, w_j)_H - \int_0^t (f_m(s), u_L \cdot \nabla_x w_j)_H ds - \int_0^t (f_m(s), \mathcal{Y}^m w_j)_H ds; \quad 1 \leq j \leq m \\ &= - \int_0^t ((\nabla_x u_L r) \cdot \nabla_r f_m(s), w_j)_H + \frac{2}{\beta} (f_m(s), w_j)_H + \frac{1}{\beta} (r \cdot \nabla_r f_m(s), w_j)_H ds \\ & - \int_0^t [\sigma^2 (\nabla_r f_m(s), \nabla_r w_j)_H + 2\sigma^2 (\nabla_r f_m(s), r w_j) + \alpha_N (\nabla_x f_m(s), \nabla_x w_j)_H] ds \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t (A_k^N(x, r) \nabla_r f_m(s), \nabla_r w_j)_H ds - \int_0^t (A_k^N(x, r) \nabla_r f_m(s), r w_j) ds, \\ & + \sum_{k \in K} \int_0^t ((f_m(s), \sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x w_j)_H - ((\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r f_m(s), w_j)_H) dW^k(s), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(22) \quad \mathcal{Y}^m \phi := \sum_{k \in K} P_m \left[ (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r (P_m(\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x \phi)) + (2 \frac{(\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot r}{1 + |r|^2} P_m(\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x \phi)) \right], \forall \phi \in U.$$

Note that (21) is a linear system of SDE, by classical result (see e.g. [19, Chapter V]), we get the existence and uniqueness of  $\mathcal{F}_t$ -adapted solution  $f_m \in C([0, T], L^2(\Omega; H_m))$ .

**Remark 13.** *Note that*

$$\begin{aligned}
(f_m, \mathcal{Y}^m w_j)_H &= \sum_{k \in K} (f_m, P_m \left[ (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r (P_m(\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x w_j)) + 2 \frac{(\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot r}{1 + |r|^2} P_m(\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x w_j) \right]_H) \\
&= \sum_{k \in K} (f_m, (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r (P_m(\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x w_j)))_H + 2 (f_m, \frac{(\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot r}{1 + |r|^2} P_m(\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x w_j))_H \\
&= \sum_{k \in K} (\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x P_m[(\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r f_m], w_j)_H.
\end{aligned}$$

**4.2. A priori estimates.** We apply the finite dimensional Itô formula to the process  $f_m$  to get

$$\begin{aligned}
(23) \quad \|f_m(t)\|_H^2 - \|P_m f_0\|_H^2 &= 2 \int_0^t (f_m(s), u_L \cdot \nabla_x f_m(s))_H ds \\
&\quad - 2 \int_0^t ((\nabla_x u_L r) \cdot \nabla_r f_m(s), f_m(s))_H + \frac{2}{\beta} \|f_m(s)\|_H^2 + \frac{1}{\beta} (r \cdot \nabla_r f_m(s), f_m(s))_H ds \\
+ 2 \int_0^t (f_m(s), \mathcal{Y}^m f_m)_H ds &- 2 \int_0^t [\sigma^2 \|\nabla_r f_m(s)\|_H^2 + 2\sigma^2 (\nabla_r f_m(s), r f_m(s)) + \alpha_N \|\nabla_x f_m(s)\|_H^2] ds \\
&\quad - \int_0^t (A_k^N(x, r) \nabla_r f_m(s), \nabla_r f_m(s))_H ds - 2 \int_0^t (A_k^N(x, r) \nabla_r f_m(s), r f_m(s)) ds \\
&\quad + 2 \sum_{k \in K} \int_0^t ((f_m(s), \sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x f_m(s))_H - ((\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r f_m(s), f_m(s))_H) dW^k(s) \\
&\quad + \sum_{k \in K} \int_0^t \|P_m \sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x f_m(s)\|_H^2 + \|P_m(\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r f_m(s)\|_H^2 ds \\
&\quad + 2 \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{k \in K} \int_0^t (\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x f_m(s), e_j)_H ((\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r f_m(s), e_j)_H ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\operatorname{div}_x(u_L) = \operatorname{div}_x(\sigma_k^N) = 0$ , one has  $(f_m, u_L \cdot \nabla_x f_m)_H = (f_m, \sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x f_m)_H = 0$ . On the other hand, note that

$$\sum_{k \in K} \int_0^t \|\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x f_m(s)\|_H^2 ds = \sum_{k \in K_{++}} (\theta_k^N)^2 \int_0^t (\nabla_x f_m(s), \nabla_x f_m(s))_H ds = 2\alpha_N \int_0^t \|\nabla_x f_m(s)\|_H^2 ds,$$

where we used that  $(\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x f_m, \sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x f_m)_H = (\sigma_k^N \otimes \sigma_k^N \nabla_x f_m, \nabla_x f_m)_H$ . Therefore

$$-2\alpha_N \int_0^t \|\nabla_x f_m(s)\|_H^2 ds + \sum_{k \in K} \int_0^t \|P_m \sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x f_m(s)\|_H^2 ds \leq 0,$$

since  $\|P_m \sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x f_m(s)\|_H^2 \leq \|\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x f_m(s)\|_H^2$  due to that  $P_m$  is the  $(\cdot, \cdot)_H$ -orthogonal projection from  $H$  to  $H_m$ . Similarly, we have

$$\sum_{k \in K} \int_0^t \|(\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r f_m(s)\|_H^2 ds = \int_0^t (A_k^N(x, r) \nabla_r f_m(s), \nabla_r f_m(s))_H ds,$$

where  $A_k^N$  is given by (13), thus

$$- \int_0^t (A_k^N(x, r) \nabla_r f_m(s), \nabla_r f_m(s))_H ds + \sum_{k \in K} \int_0^t \|P_m(\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r f_m(s)\|_H^2 ds \leq 0.$$



On the other hand, note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{k \in K} \int_0^t (\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x f_m(s), e_j)_H ((\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r f_m(s), e_j)_H ds \\
&= \sum_{k \in K} \int_0^t \left( \sum_{j=1}^m (\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x f_m(s), e_j)_H e_j, (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r f_m(s) \right)_H ds \\
&= - \sum_{k \in K} \int_0^t (f_m(s), P_m \left[ (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r (P_m(\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x f_m(s))) + 2 \frac{(\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot r}{1 + |r|^2} P_m(\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x f_m(s)) \right])_H ds \\
&= - \int_0^t (f_m(s), \mathcal{Y}^m f_m(s)) ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Summing up, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(24) \quad & \|f_m(t)\|_H^2 - \|P_m f_0\|_H^2 + 2\sigma^2 \int_0^t \|\nabla_r f_m(s)\|_H^2 ds = -2 \int_0^t ((\nabla_x u_L r) \cdot \nabla_r f_m(s), f_m(s))_H \\
& + \frac{2}{\beta} \|f_m(s)\|_H^2 + \frac{1}{\beta} (r \cdot \nabla_r f_m(s), f_m(s))_H ds - 4\sigma^2 \int_0^t (\nabla_r f_m(s), r f_m(s)) ds \\
& - 2 \int_0^t (A_k^N(x, r) \nabla_r f_m(s), r f_m(s)) ds - 2 \sum_{k \in K} \int_0^t ((\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r f_m(s), f_m(s))_H dW^k(s).
\end{aligned}$$

Let us estimate the terms of the right hand side in (24). First, note that

$$\begin{aligned}
(25) \quad & \int_0^t ((\nabla_x u_L r) \cdot \nabla_r f_m(s), f_m(s))_H ds = - \int_0^t (f_m(s), f_m(s) (\nabla_x u_L r) \cdot r) ds \\
& \leq \|\nabla_x u_L\|_\infty \int_0^t \|f_m(s)\|_H^2 ds.
\end{aligned}$$

A standard integration by parts gives

$$\int_0^t \frac{1}{\beta} (r \cdot \nabla_r f_m(s), f_m(s))_H ds = -\frac{1}{\beta} \int_0^t (\|f_m(s)\|_H^2 + (|r|^2 f_m(s), f_m(s))) ds,$$

and  $|\int_0^t \frac{1}{\beta} (r \cdot \nabla_r f_m(s), f_m(s))_H ds| \leq \frac{2}{\beta} \int_0^t \|f_m(s)\|_H^2 ds$ . Let us estimate  $\int_0^t (\nabla_r f_m(s), r f_m(s)) ds$ .

Note that  $\int_0^t (\nabla_r f_m(s), r f_m(s)) ds = - \int_0^t (f_m(s), f_m(s)) ds$ , thus

$$(26) \quad -4\sigma^2 \int_0^t (\nabla_r f_m(s), r f_m(s)) ds = 4\sigma^2 \int_0^t \|f_m(s)\|_H^2 ds.$$

Next, the term  $-2 \int_0^t (A_k^N(x, r) \nabla_r f_m(s), r f_m(s)) ds$ . Since  $\operatorname{div}_r[\nabla \sigma_k^N r] = 0$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t (A_k^N(x, r) \nabla_r f_m(s), r f_m(s)) ds = \sum_{k \in K} \int_0^t ((\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r f_m(s), (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot r f_m(s)) ds \\
&= - \sum_{k \in K} \int_0^t \left( ((\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r f_m(s), (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot r f_m(s)) + ((\nabla \sigma_k^N r) f_m(s), f_m(s) \nabla_r [(\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot r]) \right) ds,
\end{aligned}$$

and  $2 \int_0^t (A_k^N(x, r) \nabla_r f_m(s), r f_m(s)) ds = - \sum_{k \in K} \int_0^t ((\nabla \sigma_k^N r) f_m(s), f_m(s) \nabla_r [(\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot r]) ds$ . On the other hand, note that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{k \in K} \int_0^t ((\nabla \sigma_k^N r) f_m(s), f_m(s) \nabla_r [(\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot r]) ds \\
&= \sum_{k \in K_+} \int_0^t (\theta_{|k|}^N)^2 |k|^2 \left( \frac{k}{|k|} \cdot r \frac{k^\perp}{|k|} \sin k \cdot x f_m(s), f_m(s) \sin k \cdot x \nabla_r \left[ \frac{k}{|k|} \cdot r \frac{k^\perp}{|k|} \cdot r \right] \right) ds \\
&\quad + \sum_{k \in K_-} \int_0^t (\theta_{|k|}^N)^2 |k|^2 \left( \frac{k}{|k|} \cdot r \frac{k^\perp}{|k|} \cos k \cdot x f_m(s), f_m(s) \cos k \cdot x \nabla_r \left[ \frac{k}{|k|} \cdot r \frac{k^\perp}{|k|} \cdot r \right] \right) ds \\
&= \sum_{k \in K_+} \int_0^t (\theta_{|k|}^N)^2 |k|^2 \left( \frac{k}{|k|} \cdot r \frac{k^\perp}{|k|} f_m(s), f_m(s) \nabla_r \left[ \frac{k}{|k|} \cdot r \frac{k^\perp}{|k|} \cdot r \right] \right) ds \\
&= \sum_{k \in K_+} \int_0^t (\theta_{|k|}^N)^2 |k|^2 \left( \left( \frac{k}{|k|} \cdot r \frac{k^\perp \cdot k}{|k|^2} f_m(s), f_m(s) \frac{k^\perp}{|k|} \cdot r \right) + \left( \frac{k}{|k|} \cdot r \frac{k^\perp \cdot k^\perp}{|k|^2} f_m(s), f_m(s) \frac{k}{|k|} \cdot r \right) \right) ds \\
&\leq 2 \sum_{k \in K_+} \int_0^t (\theta_{|k|}^N)^2 |k|^2 \|r f_m(s)\|^2 ds \leq \sum_{\substack{k \in K_+ \\ N \leq |k| \leq 2N}} \frac{2}{|k|^2} \int_0^t \|f_m(s)\|_H^2 ds \leq C \int_0^t \|f_m(s)\|_H^2 ds,
\end{aligned}$$

thus

$$(27) \quad \left| \sum_{k \in K} \int_0^t ((\nabla \sigma_k^N r) f_m(s), f_m(s) \nabla_r [(\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot r]) ds \right| \leq C \int_0^t \|f_m(s)\|_H^2 ds,$$

where  $C > 0$  independent of  $N$  satisfies  $\sum_{\substack{k \in K_+ \\ N \leq |k| \leq 2N}} \frac{2}{|k|^2} \leq C$ . Concerning the stochastic integral, note that  $((\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r f_m(s), f_m(s))_H = -(f_m(s), f_m(s) (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot r)$ . Recall that

$$(28) \quad (\nabla \sigma_k^N r)(x) = -k \cdot r \theta_{|k|}^N \frac{k^\perp}{|k|} \sin k \cdot x, \quad k \in K_+; \quad (\nabla \sigma_k^N r)(x) = k \cdot r \theta_{|k|}^N \frac{k^\perp}{|k|} \cos k \cdot x, \quad k \in K_-$$

and

$$\sum_{k \in K} \int_0^t -((\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r f_m(s), f_m(s))_H dW^k(s) = 2 \sum_{k \in K} \int_0^t ((f_m(s), f_m(s) (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot r) dW^k(s).$$

By using the Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality, we get

$$2\mathbb{E} \sup_{q \in [0, t]} \left| \int_0^q \sum_{k \in K} (f_m(s), f_m(s) (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot r) dW^k(s) \right| \leq 2\mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^t \sum_{k \in K} |(f_m(s), f_m(s) (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot r)|^2 ds \right]^{1/2},$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t \sum_{k \in K} |(f_m(s), f_m(s)(\nabla \sigma_k^N r)) \cdot r|^2 ds \\
&= \sum_{k \in K_+} (\theta_{|k|}^N)^2 |k|^2 \int_0^t \left( -\frac{k}{|k|} \cdot \frac{r}{(1+|r|^2)} (1+|r|^2)^{1/2} f_m(s), r \cdot \frac{k^\perp}{|k|} \sin k \cdot x (1+|r|^2)^{1/2} f_m(s) \right)^2 ds \\
&+ \sum_{k \in K_-} (\theta_{|k|}^N)^2 |k|^2 \int_0^t \left( \frac{k}{|k|} \cdot \frac{r}{(1+|r|^2)} (1+|r|^2)^{1/2} f_m(s), r \cdot \frac{k^\perp}{|k|} \cos k \cdot x (1+|r|^2)^{1/2} f_m(s) \right)^2 ds \\
&\leq \sum_{k \in K} (\theta_{|k|}^N)^2 |k|^2 \int_0^t \|(1+|r|^2)^{1/2} f_m(s)\|^2 \|(1+|r|^2)^{1/2} f_m\|^2 ds \\
&\leq \sum_{k \in K} (\theta_{|k|}^N)^2 |k|^2 \int_0^t \|(1+|r|^2)^{1/2} f_m(s)\|^4 ds = \sum_{\substack{k \in K \\ N \leq |k| \leq 2N}} (\theta_{|k|}^N)^2 |k|^2 \int_0^t \|f_m(s)\|_H^2 \|f_m(s)\|_H^2 ds \\
&\leq \sum_{\substack{k \in K \\ N \leq |k| \leq 2N}} \frac{1}{|k|^2} \int_0^t \|f_m(s)\|_H^2 \|f_m(s)\|_H^2 ds \leq C \int_0^t \|f_m(s)\|_H^2 \|f_m(s)\|_H^2 ds \\
&\leq C \sup_{q \in [0, t]} \|f_m(q)\|_H^2 \int_0^t \|f_m(s)\|_H^2 ds,
\end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$(29) \quad \int_0^t \sum_{k \in K} |(f_m(s), f_m(s)(\nabla \sigma_k^N r)) \cdot r|^2 ds \leq C \sup_{q \in [0, t]} \|f_m(q)\|_H^2 \int_0^t \|f_m(s)\|_H^2 ds,$$

where we used  $\sum_{\substack{k \in K \\ N \leq |k| \leq 2N}} \frac{1}{|k|^2} \leq C$ , with  $C > 0$  independent of  $N$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
2\mathbb{E} \sup_{q \in [0, t]} \left| \int_0^q \sum_{k \in K} (f_m(s), f_m(s)(\nabla \sigma_k^N r)) \cdot r dW^k(s) \right| &\leq 2\mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^t \sum_{k \in K} |(f_m(s), f_m(s)(\nabla \sigma_k^N r)) \cdot r|^2 ds \right]^{1/2} \\
&\leq 2\mathbb{E} \left[ C \sup_{q \in [0, t]} \|f_m(q)\|_H^2 \int_0^t \|f_m(s)\|_H^2 ds \right]^{1/2} \\
&\leq \epsilon \mathbb{E} \sup_{q \in [0, t]} \|f_m(q)\|_H^2 + \frac{C}{\epsilon} \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \|f_m(s)\|_H^2 ds,
\end{aligned}$$

for any  $\epsilon > 0$  (to be chosen later). By using (24) and gathering the previous estimates, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& (1 - \epsilon) \mathbb{E} \sup_{q \in [0, t]} \|f_m(q)\|_H^2 + 2\sigma^2 \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \|\nabla_r f_m(s)\|_H^2 \\
&\leq \|P_m f_0\|_H^2 + [2\|\nabla_x u_L\|_\infty + \frac{8}{\beta} + 4\sigma^2 + 2C + \frac{C}{\epsilon}] \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \|f_m(s)\|_H^2 ds.
\end{aligned}$$

By choosing  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$  and setting

$$(30) \quad \lambda = 2[2\|\nabla_x u_L\|_\infty + \frac{8}{\beta} + 4\sigma^2 + 4C],$$

we obtain

$$\mathbb{E} \sup_{q \in [0, t]} \|f_m(q)\|_H^2 + 4\sigma^2 \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \|\nabla_r f_m(s)\|_H^2 ds \leq 2\|f_0\|_H^2 + \lambda \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \|f_m(s)\|_H^2 ds.$$

Finally, Grönwall lemma ensures

$$\forall t \geq 0 : \mathbb{E} \sup_{q \in [0, t]} \|f_m(q)\|_H^2 + 4\sigma^2 \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \|\nabla_r f_m(s)\|_H^2 ds \leq 2\|f_0\|_H^2 e^{\lambda t}.$$

As a conclusion, we get

**Lemma 14.** *For every  $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , there exists a unique solution  $f_m \in C([0, T], L^2(\Omega; H_m))$  to (21), which is adapted to the filtration and satisfy*

$$(31) \quad \forall t \geq 0 : \mathbb{E} \sup_{q \in [0, t]} \|f_m(q)\|_H^2 + 4\sigma^2 \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \|\nabla_r f_m(s)\|_H^2 ds \leq 2\|f_0\|_H^2 e^{\lambda t},$$

where  $\lambda$  is given by (30).

In order to handle the stochastic integral and the limit as  $N \rightarrow +\infty$ , it is convenient to show the following lemma.

**Lemma 15.** *The unique solution  $f_m \in C([0, T], L^2(\Omega; H_m))$  to (21) satisfies*

$$(32) \quad \forall t \in [0, T] : \mathbb{E} \sup_{q \in [0, t]} \|f_m(q)\|_H^{2p} + (2\sigma^2)^p \mathbb{E} \left( \int_0^t \|\nabla_r f_m(s)\|_H^2 ds \right)^p \leq \mathbf{C} \|f_0\|_H^{2p} e^{\mathbf{C}t}, \forall 1 < p < +\infty$$

where  $\mathbf{C} > 0$  is independent of  $N$  and  $m$ .

*Proof.* From (24), by using (25), (26) and (27) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_m(t)\|_H^2 + 2\sigma^2 \int_0^t \|\nabla_r f_m(s)\|_H^2 ds &\leq \|f_0\|_H^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_0^t \|f_m(s)\|_H^2 ds \\ &\quad + 2 \left| \sum_{k \in K} \int_0^t -((\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r f_m(s), f_m(s))_H dW^k(s) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $p > 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_m(t)\|_H^{2p} + (2\sigma^2)^p \left( \int_0^t \|\nabla_r f_m(s)\|_H^2 ds \right)^p &\leq C_p \|f_0\|_H^{2p} + \left[ \frac{\lambda}{2} \right]^{p-1} \int_0^t \|f_m(s)\|_H^{2p} ds \\ &\quad + 2^p C_p \left| \sum_{k \in K} \int_0^t -((\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r f_m(s), f_m(s))_H dW^k(s) \right|^p. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by using Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality and (29), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &2^p C_p \mathbb{E} \sup_{q \in [0, t]} \left| \int_0^q \sum_{k \in K} (f_m(s), f_m(s) (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot r) dW^k(s) \right|^p \\ &\leq 2^p C_p \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^t \sum_{k \in K} |(f_m(s), f_m(s) (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot r)|^2 ds \right]^{p/2} \\ &\leq 2^p C_p C^{p/2} t^{(p-1)/2} \mathbb{E} \left[ \sup_{q \in [0, t]} \|f_m(q)\|_H^{2p} \int_0^t \|f_m(s)\|_H^{2p} ds \right]^{1/2} \\ &\leq \epsilon \mathbb{E} \sup_{q \in [0, t]} \|f_m(q)\|_H^{2p} + \frac{(2^p C_p)^2 C^{p/2} t^{p-1}}{\epsilon} \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \|f_m(s)\|_H^{2p} ds, \end{aligned}$$

for any  $\epsilon > 0$  (to be chosen later). Consequently, there exists  $\mathbf{C} > 0$  independent of  $m$  and  $N$  such that

$$(1 - \epsilon)\mathbb{E} \sup_{q \in [0, t]} \|f_m(q)\|_H^{2p} + (2\sigma^2)^p \mathbb{E} \left( \int_0^t \|\nabla_r f_m(s)\|_H^2 ds \right)^p \leq \mathbf{C} \|f_0\|_H^{2p} + \left(\mathbf{C} + \frac{\mathbf{C}}{\epsilon}\right) \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \|f_m(s)\|_H^{2p} ds.$$

Hence, (32) follows by choosing  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{2}$  and applying Grönwall lemma.  $\square$

**4.3. Existence.** We have the following equation:

$$(33) \quad \begin{aligned} df_m(t) &+ P_m \operatorname{div}_x(u_L f_m(t)) dt + P_m \operatorname{div}_r \left( (\nabla u_L r - \frac{1}{\beta} r) f_m(t) \right) dt \\ &= \sum_{k \in K} P_m (\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x P_m [(\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r f_m]) dt + \sigma^2 P_m \Delta_r f_m(t) dt \\ &\quad - \sum_{k \in K} P_m \sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x f_m^N(t) dW^k - \sum_{k \in K} P_m (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r f_m(t) dW^k \\ &\quad + \alpha_N P_m \Delta_x f_m(t) dt + \frac{1}{2} P_m \operatorname{div}_r \left( \sum_{k \in K} ((\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \otimes (\nabla \sigma_k^N r)) \nabla_r f_m(t) \right) dt, \\ f_m|_{t=0} &= P_m f_0. \end{aligned}$$

From (33) we get for  $1 \leq j \leq m$ :

$$(34) \quad \begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_m(x, r, t) w_j dr dx - \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} P_m f_0 w_j dr dx \\ &\quad - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_m(x, r, s) \left( u_L(x, s) \cdot \nabla_x w_j + (\nabla u_L(s, x) r - \frac{1}{\beta} r) \cdot \nabla_r w_j \right) dr dx ds \\ &= - \sum_{k \in K} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_r f_m(x, r, s), (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) P_m [\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x w_j] dr dx ds \\ &\quad - \sigma^2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_r f_m(x, r, s) \cdot \nabla_r w_j dr dx ds + \alpha_N \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_m(x, r, s) \cdot \Delta_x w_j dr dx ds \\ &\quad + \sum_{k \in K} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} [f_m(x, r, s) \sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x w_j + f_m(x, r, s) (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r w_j] dr dx dW^k(s) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left( \sum_{k \in K} ((\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \otimes (\nabla \sigma_k^N r)) \nabla_r f_m(x, r, t) \right) \cdot \nabla_r w_j dr dx ds. \end{aligned}$$

Let us pass to the limit in the last equation.

4.3.1. *1<sup>st</sup> step.* By using Lemma 14, we are able to get the following convergences

$$(35) \quad f_m \rightharpoonup \tilde{f} \text{ in } L^2(\Omega; L^2([0, T]; H)),$$

$$(36) \quad f_m \rightharpoonup^* \tilde{f} \text{ in } L_{w^*}^2(\Omega; L^\infty([0, T]; H)),$$

$$(37) \quad \nabla_r f_m \rightharpoonup \nabla_r \tilde{f} \text{ in } L^2(\Omega; L^2([0, T]; H)),$$

On the other hand, from Lemma 15, we obtain also

$$(38) \quad \forall t \in [0, T] : \mathbb{E} \sup_{q \in [0, t]} \|\tilde{f}(q)\|_H^{2p} + (2\sigma^2)^p \mathbb{E} \left( \int_0^t \|\nabla_r \tilde{f}(s)\|_H^2 ds \right)^p \leq \mathbf{C} \|f_0\|_H^{2p} e^{\mathbf{C}t}, \forall 1 < p < +\infty$$

where  $\mathbf{C} > 0$  is independent of  $N$  and  $m$ .

4.3.2. *2<sup>nd</sup> step.* For  $\phi \in U$ , note that  $\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_m(x, r, t) \phi(x, r) dx dr$  is adapted with respect to  $(\mathcal{F}_t)_t$  and recall that the space of adapted processes is a closed convex subspace of  $L^2(\Omega \times [0, T])$ , hence weakly closed. Therefore  $\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \tilde{f}(x, r, t) \phi(x, r) dx dr$  is also adapted and its Itô integral is well defined and bounded. Let us consider the following mapping

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} : L^2(\Omega \times [0, T]; H) &\rightarrow L^2(\Omega \times [0, T]; \mathbb{R}) \\ f_m &\mapsto \sum_{k \in K} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_m(x, r, s) (\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x \phi + (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r \phi) dr dx dW^k(s), \end{aligned}$$

which is linear and bounded. Therefore, by using (35), we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k \in K} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_m(x, r, s) (\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x \phi + (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r \phi) dr dx dW^k(s) \\ &\rightarrow \sum_{k \in K} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \tilde{f}(x, r, s) (\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x \phi + (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r \phi) dr dx dW^k(s) \text{ in } L^2(\Omega \times [0, T]). \end{aligned}$$

4.3.3. *3<sup>rd</sup> step.* For  $\phi \in H_m$   $t \in [0, T]$ , let us set

$$B_m(t) := \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_m(x, r, t) \phi dr dx - \sum_{k \in K} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_m(x, r, s) (\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x \phi + (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r \phi) dr dx dW^k(s).$$

From (34), we write (in distributional sense with respect to  $t$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} B_m &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_m(x, r, \cdot) \left( u_L(x, \cdot) \cdot \nabla_x \phi + (\nabla u_L(\cdot, x) r - \frac{1}{\beta} r) \cdot \nabla_r \phi \right) dr dx \\ &\quad - \sum_{k \in K} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_r f_m(x, r, \cdot), (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) P_m[\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x \phi] dr dx \\ &\quad - \sigma^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_r f_m(x, r, \cdot) \cdot \nabla_r \phi dr dx ds + \alpha_N \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_m(x, r, \cdot) \Delta_x \phi dr dx \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left( \sum_{k \in K} ((\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \otimes (\nabla \sigma_k^N r)) \nabla_r f_m(x, r, \cdot) \right) \cdot \nabla_r \phi dr dx. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $A \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $\xi \in \mathcal{D}(0, T)$ <sup>6</sup>, by multiplying the last equation by  $\mathbb{I}_A \xi$  and integrating over  $\Omega \times [0, T]$  we derive

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \int_A \int_0^T [B_m \frac{d\xi}{ds}] ds dP \\
& = \int_A \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_m(x, r, s) \left( u_L(x, s) \cdot \nabla_x \phi + (\nabla u_L(s, x) r - \frac{1}{\beta} r) \cdot \nabla_r \phi \right) \xi dr dx ds dP \\
& - \int_A \int_0^T \sum_{k \in K} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_r f_m(x, r, s), (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) P_m [\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x \phi] \xi dr dx ds dP \\
& - \int_A \int_0^T \sigma^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_r f_m(x, r, s) \cdot \nabla_r \phi \xi dr dx ds dP + \int_A \int_0^T \alpha_N \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_m(x, r, s) \cdot \Delta_x \phi \xi dr dx ds dP \\
& - \int_A \int_0^T \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left( \sum_{k \in K} ((\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \otimes (\nabla \sigma_k^N r)) \nabla_r f_m(x, r, s) \right) \cdot \nabla_r \phi \xi dr dx ds dP, \quad \forall \phi \in H_m.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, let us prove the following.

$$(39) \quad \int_A \int_0^T \sum_{k \in K} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_r f_m(x, r, s), (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) P_m [\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x \phi] \xi dr dx ds dP \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } m \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Indeed, it's sufficient to pass to the limit with  $(w_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  as test functions. Thus, for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , we recall

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \int_A \int_0^T \sum_{k \in K} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_r f_m(x, r, s), (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) P_m [\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x \phi] \xi dr dx ds dP \\
& = - \sum_{k \in K} \mathbb{E} \int_0^T (\nabla_r f_m, (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) P_m [\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x w_i]) 1_A \xi ds \\
& = \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \left\langle \sum_{k \in K} P_m (\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x P_m [(\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r f_m]), w_i \right\rangle 1_A \xi ds
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathbb{E}$  denotes the expectation. On the other hand, the following convergence holds

$$\sum_{k \in K} (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) P_m [\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x w_i] \rightarrow \sum_{k \in K} (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x w_i \text{ in } L^2(\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2).$$

Indeed, denote by  $\|\cdot\|_2$  the norm in  $L^2(\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\| \sum_{k \in K} (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) (P_m - I) [\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x w_i] \|_2^2 & \leq \sum_{k \in K} (\theta_{|k|}^N)^2 |k|^2 \|r\| (P_m - I) [\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x w_i] \|_2^2 \\
& \leq \sum_{k \in K} (\theta_{|k|}^N)^2 |k|^2 \| (P_m - I) [\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x w_i] \|_H^2 \\
& \leq \sum_{k \in K} (\theta_{|k|}^N)^4 |k|^2 \|P_m - I\|_{L(H, H)}^2 \| \nabla_x w_i \|_H^2 \\
& \leq \sum_{k \in K} (\theta_{|k|}^N)^4 |k|^2 \|P_m - I\|_{L(H, H)}^2 \|w_i\|_U^2 \\
& \leq C \|P_m - I\|_{L(H, H)}^2 \|w_i\|_U^2 \rightarrow 0,
\end{aligned}$$

<sup>6</sup> $\mathcal{D}(0, T)$  denotes the space of  $\mathcal{C}^\infty$ -functions with compact support in  $]0, T[$ .

where  $C > 0$ <sup>7</sup> and since  $P_m$  is an orthogonal projection on  $H$ . On the other hand,  $\nabla_r f_m$  converges weakly to  $\nabla_r \tilde{f}$  in  $L^2(\Omega \times [0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ , thanks to (37). Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_m - \int_A \int_0^T \sum_{k \in K} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_r f_m(x, r, s), (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) P_m [\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x \phi] \xi dr dx ds dP \\ &= - \sum_{k \in K} \int_A \int_0^T (\nabla_r \tilde{f}, (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x w_i) \xi ds dP \\ &= \int_A \int_0^T (\tilde{f}, \sum_{k \in K} (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r \sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x w_i) \xi ds dP = 0 \quad \forall i \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, for given function  $\psi$  we have  $\sum_{k \in K} (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r (\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x \psi) = \sum_{k \in K} \sum_{l, \gamma, i=1}^2 \partial_{x_\gamma} \sigma_k^i r_\gamma \partial_{r_i} (\sigma_k^l \partial_{x_l} \psi)$

and

$$\sum_{k \in K} \sum_{l, \gamma, i=1}^2 \partial_{x_\gamma} \sigma_k^i r_\gamma \partial_{r_i} (\sigma_k^l \partial_{x_l} \psi) = \sum_{k \in K} \sum_{l, \gamma, i=1}^2 \partial_{x_\gamma} \sigma_k^i \sigma_k^l \partial_{x_l} (r_\gamma \partial_{r_i} \psi) = \sum_{l, \gamma, i=1}^2 \partial_{x_\gamma} Q_{i,l}(0) \partial_{x_l} (r_\gamma \partial_{r_i} \psi).$$

Since the covariance matrix  $Q$  satisfies  $Q(x) = Q(-x)$  then  $\partial_{x_\gamma} Q_{i,l}(0) = 0$ . As a result we get

$$\begin{aligned} & - \int_A \int_0^T [B \frac{d\xi}{ds}] ds dP \\ &= \int_A \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \tilde{f}(x, r, s) \left( u_L(x, s) \cdot \nabla_x \phi + (\nabla u_L(s, x) r - \frac{1}{\beta} r) \cdot \nabla_r \phi \right) \xi dr dx ds dP \\ & - \int_A \int_0^T \sigma^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_r \tilde{f}(x, r, s) \cdot \nabla_r \phi \xi dr dx ds dP + \int_A \int_0^T \alpha_N \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \tilde{f}(x, r, s) \cdot \Delta_x \phi \xi dr dx ds dP \\ & - \int_A \int_0^T \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left( \sum_{k \in K} ((\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \otimes (\nabla \sigma_k^N r)) \nabla_r \tilde{f}(x, r, s) \right) \cdot \nabla_r \phi \xi dr dx ds dP, \quad \forall \phi \in U, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$B(t) := \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \tilde{f}(x, r, t) \phi dr dx - \sum_{k \in K} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \tilde{f}(x, r, s) (\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x \phi + (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r \phi) dr dx dW^k(s).$$

Then, taking into account the regularity of  $\tilde{f}$ , we infer that the distributional derivative  $\frac{dB}{dt}$  belongs to the space  $L^2(\Omega \times [0, T])$ . Recalling that  $B \in L^2(\Omega \times [0, T])$ , we conclude that

$$B(\cdot) \in L^2(\Omega; C([0, T])).$$

Considering the properties of Itô's integral, we deduce

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \tilde{f}(x, r, t) \phi dr dx \in L^2(\Omega; C([0, T])),$$

which means that  $\tilde{f} \in L^2(\Omega; C([0, T]; U'))$  and therefore  $\tilde{f} \in L^2(\Omega; C_w([0, T]; H))$ , thanks to (36) and [26, Lemma. 1.4 p. 263]. We finish the proof by showing some continuous convergence in

<sup>7</sup>Recall that  $\sum_{k \in K} (\theta_{|k|}^N)^4 |k|^2 = \sum_{k \in K} \frac{1}{|k|^6} \leq C$ .



time. Indeed, let  $\xi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty([0, t])$  for  $t \in ]0, T]$  and note that the following integration by parts formula holds

$$(40) \quad \int_0^t \frac{dB}{ds}(s)\xi(s)ds = - \int_0^t B(s)\frac{d\xi}{ds}ds + B(t)\xi(t) - \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_0 \phi dr dx \xi(0).$$

Now, by standard arguments (see e.g. [28, proof of Prop. 3.] ) we get for any  $t \in ]0, T]$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \tilde{f}_m(x, r, t) \phi dr dx \rightharpoonup \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \tilde{f}(x, r, t) \phi dr dx \text{ in } L^2(\Omega, H), \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

and  $\tilde{f}(0) = f_0$  in  $H$ -sense. In conclusion, there exists a solution in the sense of [Definition 5](#) ( $\tilde{f} = f^N$  to stress the dependence  $N$ , since we will pass to the limit as  $N \rightarrow +\infty$  in [section 5](#).)

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f^N(x, r, t) \phi dr dx - \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_0 \phi dr dx \\ & - \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f^N(x, r, s) \left( u_L(x, s) \cdot \nabla_x \phi \psi + (\nabla u_L(s, x)r - \frac{1}{\beta}r) \cdot \nabla_r \phi \right) dr dx ds \\ & = -\sigma^2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_r f^N(x, r, s) \cdot \nabla_r \phi dr dx ds + \alpha_N \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f^N(x, r, s) \cdot \Delta_x \phi dr dx ds \\ & + \sum_{k \in K} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} [f^N(x, r, s) \sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x \phi + f^N(x, r, s) (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r \phi] dr dx dW^k(s) \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left( \sum_{k \in K} ((\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \otimes (\nabla \sigma_k^N r)) \nabla_r f^N(x, r, s) \right) \cdot \nabla_r \phi dr dx ds, \end{aligned}$$

for any  $\phi \in U$ . In particular,  $f^N$  is adapted with respect to the given filtration.

**4.4. On the uniqueness of quasi-regular weak solution.** In order to prove uniqueness of quasi-regular weak solution to (8), we need first to prove the existence and uniqueness of solution  $V^N$  to an appropriate mean equation associated with (8). Namely, we prove the following result.

**Proposition 16.** *For any  $t \in [0, T]$ , there exists  $V^N(t) = \mathbb{E}[f^N(t)e_g(t)]$  such that*

- (1)  $V^N \in L^\infty([0, T]; H)$ ,  $\nabla_r V^N \in L^2([0, T]; H)$  and  $V^N \in C_w([0, T]; H)$ .
- (2) For any  $t \in [0, T]$ , it holds

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} V^N(t) \phi dx dr - \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_0 \phi dx dr \\ & = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} V^N(x, r, s) \left( [u_L(x, s) - h_n] \cdot \nabla_x \phi + ([\nabla u_L(s, x)r - y_n] - \frac{1}{\beta}r) \cdot \nabla_r \phi \right) dr dx ds \\ & - \int_0^t \sigma^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_r V^N(x, r, s) \cdot \nabla_r \phi dr dx ds + \int_0^t \alpha_N \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} V^N(x, r, s) \cdot \Delta_x \phi dr dx ds \\ & - \int_0^t \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left( \sum_{k \in K} ((\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \otimes (\nabla \sigma_k^N r)) \nabla_r V^N(x, r, s) \right) \cdot \nabla_r \phi dr dx ds, \quad \forall \phi \in V, \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 17.** *A priori, the last point holds for any  $\phi \in U$  and by taking into account the regularity of the solution  $V^N$ , it holds as well for all  $\phi \in V$ .*

*Proof of Proposition 16.* Let  $g \in G_n$ , by using Itô formula to the product, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& d[e_g(t)f_m(t)] + e_g(t)P_m \operatorname{div}_x(u_L f_m(t))dt + e_g(t)P_m \operatorname{div}_r((\nabla u_L r - \frac{1}{\beta}r)f_m(t))dt \\
&= \sum_{k \in K} e_g(t)P_m(\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x P_m[(\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r f_m])dt + \sigma^2 e_g(t)P_m \Delta_r f_m(t)dt \\
&- \sum_{k \in K} e_g(t)P_m \sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x f^N(t)dW^k - \sum_{k \in K} e_g(t)P_m(\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r f_m(t)dW^k \\
&+ \alpha_N e_g(t)P_m \Delta_x f_m(t)dt + \frac{1}{2}e_g(t)P_m \operatorname{div}_r(\sum_{k \in K} ((\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \otimes (\nabla \sigma_k^N r)) \nabla_r f_m(t))dt, \\
&+ \sum_{k \in M_n} f_m(t)g_k(t)e_g(t)dW^k(t) \\
&- [\sum_{k \in M_n} g_k(t)e_g(t)dW^k(t), \sum_{k \in K} e_g(t)P_m \sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x f^N(t) + e_g(t)P_m(\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r f_m(t)dW^k] \\
&e_g(t)f_m|_{t=0} = P_m f_0.
\end{aligned}$$

Denote  $K_n = \{k \in K : \min(n, N) \leq |k| \leq \max(2N, n)\}$  and set  $V_m(t) = \mathbb{E}(f_m(t)e_g(t))$  then

$$\begin{aligned}
& d[V_m(t)] + P_m \operatorname{div}_x(u_L V_m(t))dt + P_m \operatorname{div}_r((\nabla u_L r - \frac{1}{\beta}r)V_m(t))dt \\
&= \sum_{k \in K} P_m(\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x P_m[(\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r V_m])dt + \sigma^2 P_m \Delta_r V_m(t)dt \\
&+ \alpha_N P_m \Delta_x V_m(t)dt + \frac{1}{2}P_m \operatorname{div}_r(\sum_{k \in K} ((\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \otimes (\nabla \sigma_k^N r)) \nabla_r V_m(t))dt, \\
&- \sum_{k \in K_n} P_m g_k \sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x V_m(t) + P_m g_k(\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r V_m(t) \\
&V_m|_{t=0} = P_m f_0.
\end{aligned}$$

Denote  $\sum_{k \in K_n} g_k \sigma_k^N = h_n$  and  $\sum_{k \in K_n} g_k(\nabla \sigma_k^N r) = y_n$ . Then  $V_m$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
(41) \quad & \frac{dV_m}{dt} + P_m \operatorname{div}_x([u_L - h_n]V_m(t)) + P_m \operatorname{div}_r([( \nabla u_L r) - y_n] - \frac{1}{\beta}r)V_m(t) \\
&= \sum_{k \in K} P_m(\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x P_m[(\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r V_m]) + \sigma^2 P_m \Delta_r V_m(t) \\
&+ \alpha_N P_m \Delta_x V_m(t) + \frac{1}{2}P_m \operatorname{div}_r(\sum_{k \in K} ((\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \otimes (\nabla \sigma_k^N r)) \nabla_r V_m(t)), \\
&V_m|_{t=0} = P_m f_0.
\end{aligned}$$

(41) is linear system of ODE, by using a classical results (see e.g. [19, Chapter V]) we get

**Lemma 18.** *There exists a unique  $V_m \in C([0, T]; H_m)$  to (41).*

**Lemma 19.** *For every  $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ , there exists a unique solution  $V_m = \mathbb{E}[e_g f_m] \in C([0, T]; H_m)$  to (41), which satisfy*

$$(42) \quad \forall t \geq 0 : \sup_{q \in [0, t]} \|V_m(q)\|_H^2 + 4\sigma^2 \int_0^t \|\nabla_r V_m(s)\|_H^2 \leq 2\|f_0\|_H^2 e^{\lambda(t)},$$

where

$$\bar{\lambda}(t) = [2\|\nabla_x u_L\|_\infty + \frac{8}{\beta} + 4\sigma^2 + 2C]t + \int_0^t (\|g(s)\|^2 + 1)ds < +\infty.$$

*Proof.* The proof consists of arguments analogous to the proof of [Lemma 14](#) but for the reader's convenience, let us sketch it. By applying Itô formula with  $\|\cdot\|_H^2$  to the process  $V_m$  satisfying [\(41\)](#), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|V_m(t)\|_H^2 - \|P_m f_0\|_H^2 \\ &= 2 \int_0^t (V_m(s), u_L \cdot \nabla_x V_m(s))_H ds - 2 \int_0^t (V_m(s), h_n \cdot \nabla_x V_m(s))_H ds - 2 \int_0^t ((\nabla_x u_L r) \cdot \nabla_r V_m(s), V_m(s))_H \\ & \quad + 2 \int_0^t (V_m(s), y_n \cdot \nabla_r V_m(s))_H ds + \frac{2}{\beta} \|V_m(s)\|_H^2 + \frac{1}{\beta} (r \cdot \nabla_r V_m(s), V_m(s))_H ds \\ & \quad + 2 \sum_{k \in K} \int_0^t (V_m(s), \sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x P_m[(\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \nabla_r V_m])_H ds \\ & \quad - 2 \int_0^t [\sigma^2 \|\nabla_r V_m(s)\|_H^2 + 2\sigma^2 (\nabla_r V_m(s), r V_m(s)) + \alpha_N \|\nabla_x V_m(s)\|_H^2] ds \\ & \quad - \int_0^t (A_k^N(x, r) \nabla_r V_m(s), \nabla_r V_m(s))_H ds - 2 \int_0^t (A_k^N(x, r) \nabla_r V_m(s), r V_m(s)) ds \end{aligned}$$

The last equation has similar terms as [\(23\)](#) (without the stochastic integrals and Itô correctors) but with the two new terms

$$2 \int_0^t (V_m(s), h_n \cdot \nabla_x V_m(s))_H ds + 2 \int_0^t (V_m(s), y_n \cdot \nabla_r V_m(s))_H ds.$$

By noticing that  $\operatorname{div}_x(h_n) = 0$ , the first term vanishes. Concerning the second one, note that  $|y_n| \leq |r| \sum_{|k| \leq n} |g_k| = |r| \|g\|$  and

$$\int_0^t (V_m(s), y_n \cdot \nabla_r V_m(s))_H ds = - \sum_{k \in K_n} (V_m(s), g_k (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot r V_m(s)) ds,$$

which ensures that  $\int_0^t |(V_m(s), y_n \cdot \nabla_r V_m(s))_H| ds \leq \int_0^t (\|g(s)\|^2 + 1) \|V_m(s)\|_H^2 ds$ . Thus, the other terms can be estimated by similar arguments as in [subsection 4.2](#) and we obtain [Lemma 19](#).  $\square$

By using [Lemma 19](#), we are able to get the following convergences

$$(43) \quad V_m \rightharpoonup \tilde{V} \text{ in } L^2([0, T]; H),$$

$$(44) \quad V_m \rightharpoonup^* \tilde{V} \text{ in } L^\infty([0, T]; H),$$

$$(45) \quad \nabla_r V_m \rightharpoonup \nabla_r \tilde{V} \text{ in } L^2([0, T]; H),$$

moreover, by using the linearity of the expectation we get  $\tilde{V} = \mathbb{E}[e_g \tilde{f}]$  (recall that  $V_m = \mathbb{E}(e_g f_m)$  and  $e_g \in L^2(\Omega)$ ). Now, we have all the ingredient in hand to argue as in [subsection 4.3](#) and obtain [Proposition 16](#).

**Lemma 20.** *Let  $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$ . Then, the solution  $V^N$  given by [Proposition 16](#) is unique.*

*Proof of Lemma 20.* Let  $V_1^N$  and  $V_2^N$  be two solutions, with the same initial data, given by Proposition 16 and denote by  $V^N$  be their difference. Then for any  $t \in ]0, T]$  and  $\phi \in V$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(46) \quad & \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} V^N(t) \phi dx dr \\
&= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} V^N(x, r, s) \left( [u_L(x, s) - h_n] \cdot \nabla_x \phi + ([\nabla u_L(s, x)r - y_n] - \frac{1}{\beta} r) \cdot \nabla_r \phi \right) dr dx ds \\
&- \int_0^t \sigma^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_r V^N(x, r, s) \cdot \nabla_r \phi dr dx ds + \int_0^T \alpha_N \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} V^N(x, r, s) \cdot \Delta_x \phi dr dx ds \\
&- \int_0^t \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left( \sum_{k \in K} ((\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \otimes (\nabla \sigma_k^N r)) \nabla_r V^N(x, r, s) \right) \cdot \nabla_r \phi dr dx ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Since the above equation is understood in weak form, we need first to consider an appropriate regularization of  $V^N$ , denoted by  $[V^N]_\delta$ . Then, take the  $L^2$ -inner product of the above equation with  $[V^N]_\delta$  and finally pass to the limit with respect to the regularization parameters  $\delta$ .

*Step 1: Regularization.* Let  $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ , if we denote  $X = (x, r) \in \mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $\rho_\delta(X) = \rho_\delta(x)$ , then  $\varphi_\delta := \rho_\delta * \varphi$  is an appropriate test function in (46), namely we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& (V^N(t), \varphi_\delta) \\
&= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} V^N(x, r, s) \left( [u_L(x, s) - h_n] \cdot \nabla_x \varphi_\delta + ([\nabla u_L(x, s)r - y_n] - \frac{1}{\beta} r) \cdot \nabla_r \varphi_\delta \right) dr dx ds \\
&- \int_0^t \sigma^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_r V^N(x, r, s) \cdot \nabla_r \varphi_\delta dr dx ds + \int_0^T \alpha_N \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} V^N(x, r, s) \cdot \Delta_x \varphi_\delta dr dx ds \\
&- \int_0^t \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left( \sum_{k \in K} ((\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \otimes (\nabla \sigma_k^N r)) \nabla_r V^N(x, r, s) \right) \cdot \nabla_r \varphi_\delta dr dx ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\rho$  is radially symmetric then the operator of convolution with  $\rho_\delta$  is self-adjoint on  $L^2(\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
& ([V^N(t)]_\delta, \varphi) + \int_0^t \left( [(u_L(s) - h_n) \cdot \nabla_x V^N(s)]_\delta + [\operatorname{div}_r((\nabla u_L(s)r - y_n - \frac{1}{\beta} r)V^N(s))]_\delta, \varphi \right) ds \\
&= \int_0^t \sigma^2 ([\Delta_r V^N(s)]_\delta, \varphi) ds + \int_0^T \alpha_N ([\Delta_x V^N(s)]_\delta, \varphi) ds \\
&+ \int_0^t \frac{1}{2} [\operatorname{div}_r \left( \sum_{k \in K} ((\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \otimes (\nabla \sigma_k^N r)) \nabla_r V^N(s) \right)]_\delta, \varphi ds = \int_0^t ([d(s)]_\delta, \varphi) ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Consider the following space  $X := \{\varphi \in H; \nabla_r \varphi \in H\}$  and note that  $X \hookrightarrow L^2(\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2) \hookrightarrow X'$  is Gelfand triple. Since

$$V^N \in L^\infty([0, T]; H), \nabla_r V^N \in L^2([0, T]; H),$$

and by using the regularization properties of  $\rho$ , one gets that  $[d(\cdot)]_\delta \in L^2([0, T]; X')$ . therefore, we can set  $\varphi = [V^N(\cdot)]_\delta$  to get

$$\begin{aligned}
(47) \quad & \frac{1}{2} \|[V^N(t)]_\delta\|^2 + \int_0^t \left( [(u_L(s) - h_n) \cdot \nabla_x V^N(s)]_\delta + [\operatorname{div}_r((\nabla u_L(s)r - y_n - \frac{1}{\beta}r)V^N(s))]_\delta, [V^N(s)]_\delta \right) ds \\
& = \int_0^t \sigma^2([\Delta_r V^N(s)]_\delta, [V^N(s)]_\delta) ds + \int_0^t \alpha_N([\Delta_x V^N(s)]_\delta, [V^N(s)]_\delta) ds \\
& + \int_0^t \frac{1}{2} [\operatorname{div}_r(\sum_{k \in K} ((\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \otimes (\nabla \sigma_k^N r)) \nabla_r V^N(s))]_\delta, [V^N(s)]_\delta ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, let us pass to the limit in the last equality (47). The proof is based on commutator estimates and using the properties of  $(\sigma_k)_{k \in K}$ .

*Step 2: Passage to the limit as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ .* First, recall that  $V^N(\cdot) \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ , by properties of convolution product, we get  $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} [V^N(r, \cdot)]_\delta = V^N(r, \cdot)$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$  uniformly for a.e.  $r \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and we get  $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \|[V^N(t)]_\delta\|^2 = \|V^N(t)\|^2$ . Next, we will prove the following

$$(48) \quad \lim_{\delta} \int_0^t \langle [u_L(s) \cdot \nabla_x V^N(s)]_\delta, [V^N(s)]_\delta \rangle ds = 0.$$

Since  $\operatorname{div}_x u_L = 0$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^t \langle [u_L(s) \cdot \nabla_x V^N(s)]_\delta, [V^N(s)]_\delta \rangle ds \\
& = \int_0^t \langle \rho_\delta * [u_L(s) \cdot \nabla_x V^N(s)] - u_L(s) \cdot \nabla_x \rho_\delta * [V^N(s)], \rho_\delta * [V^N(s)] \rangle ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Let us introduce the commutator  $r_\delta(s) = \rho_\delta * [u_L(s) \cdot \nabla_x V^N(s)] - u_L(s) \cdot \nabla_x \rho_\delta * [V^N(s)]$ . Thus, (48) is a consequence of the following: a.e.  $s \in [0, T]$

$$(49) \quad \|r_\delta(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2)} \leq C \|\nabla u_L(s)\|_\infty \|V^N(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2)},$$

$$(50) \quad \lim_{\delta} r_\delta(s) = 0 \text{ in } L^2(\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2),$$

where  $C > 0$  independent of  $\delta$ . Indeed, let us show (49), note that

$$r_\delta(s, x, r) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (u_L(x, s) - u_L(y, s)) \cdot \nabla_x \rho_\delta(x - y) V^N(s, y, r) dy$$

Consider the following change of variables  $z = \frac{x - y}{\delta}$  to get

$$\begin{aligned}
r_\delta(s, x, r) & = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{u_L(y + \delta z, s) - u_L(y, s)}{\delta} \cdot \nabla_x \rho(z) V^N(s, y, r) dz \\
& = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_0^1 \nabla u_L(y + \alpha \delta z, s) z d\alpha \cdot \nabla_x \rho(z) V^N(s, y, r) dz.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $|r_\delta(s, x, r)| \leq \|\nabla u_L(s)\|_\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |z| |\nabla_x \rho(z)| |V^N(s, y, r)| dz$ . Since  $x = y + \delta z$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|r_\delta(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2)}^2 &\leq \|\nabla u_L(s)\|_\infty^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |z| |\nabla_x \rho(z)| |V^N(s, y, r)| dz \right)^2 dy dr \\ &\leq \|\nabla u_L(s)\|_\infty^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} z \cdot \nabla_x \rho(z) V^N(s, y, r) dz \right)^2 dy dr \\ &\leq \|\nabla u_L(s)\|_\infty^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |z|^2 |\nabla_x \rho(z)|^2 dz \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} |V^N(s, y, r)|^2 dy dr, \\ &\leq C^2 \|\nabla u_L(s)\|_\infty^2 \|V^N(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2)}^2, \end{aligned}$$

since  $\text{supp}[\rho] \subset B(0, 1)$  and denoted by  $C^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |z|^2 |\nabla_x \rho(z)|^2 dz < +\infty$ . Concerning (50), we have

$$L^2(\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2)\text{-}\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} r_\delta(s) = -V^N(s, \cdot) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla u_L(s, \cdot) z \cdot \nabla_x \rho(z) dz \right).$$

Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_0^1 \nabla u_L(y + \alpha \delta z, s) z d\alpha \cdot \nabla_x \rho(z) V^N(s, y, r) dz \right. \\ &\quad \left. - V^N(s, x, r) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla u_L(s, x) z \cdot \nabla_x \rho(z) dz \right) \right|^2 dx dr \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_0^1 \nabla u_L(y + \alpha \delta z, s) z d\alpha \cdot \nabla_x \rho(z) V^N(s, y, r) dz \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} V^N(s, x, r) \nabla u_L(s, x) z \cdot \nabla_x \rho(z) dz \right|^2 dx dr \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_0^1 |\nabla u_L(y + \alpha \delta z, s) z \cdot \nabla_x \rho(z) V^N(s, y, r) dz - V^N(s, x, r) \nabla u_L(s, x) z \cdot \nabla_x \rho(z)|^2 d\alpha dz dx dr \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_0^1 |\nabla u_L(y + \alpha \delta z, s) V^N(s, y, r) - V^N(s, y + \delta z, r) \nabla u_L(s, y + \delta z)|^2 d\alpha dy dr |z|^2 |\nabla_x \rho(z)|^2 dz \\ &\leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_0^1 |\nabla u_L(y + \alpha \delta z, s) V^N(s, y, r) - V^N(s, y, r) \nabla u_L(s, y)|^2 d\alpha dy dr |z|^2 |\nabla_x \rho(z)|^2 dz \\ &\quad + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_0^1 |V^N(s, y, r) \nabla u_L(s, y) - V^N(s, y + \delta z, r) \nabla u_L(s, y + \delta z)|^2 d\alpha dy dr |z|^2 |\nabla_x \rho(z)|^2 dz \\ &= I_\delta^1 + I_\delta^2. \end{aligned}$$

Using the continuity of translations in  $L^2(\mathbb{T}^2)$  for the function  $V^N \nabla u_L$ , we get  $\limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0} I_\delta^2 = 0$

Concerning  $I_\delta^1$ , note that

$$I_\delta^1 \leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_0^1 |\nabla u_L(y + \alpha \delta z, s) - \nabla u_L(s, y)|^2 |V^N(s, y, r)|^2 d\alpha dy dr |z|^2 |\nabla_x \rho(z)|^2 dz.$$

On the other hand, by mean-value theorem we get

$$|\nabla u_L(y + \alpha \delta z, s) - \nabla u_L(s, y)| \leq \alpha \delta |z| \|\nabla^2 u_L\|_{C^2}$$

Thus  $I_\delta^1 \leq \delta^2 \|u_L\|_{C^2}^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{T^2} |V^N(s, y, r)|^2 dy dr \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |z|^4 |\nabla_x \rho(z)|^2 dz \rightarrow 0$ . Finally, since  $\rho$  is smooth density of a probability measure, we get  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} z_i \partial_j \rho(z) dz = -\delta_{ij}$  and so

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla u_L(s, \cdot) z \cdot \nabla_x \rho(z) dz = -\operatorname{div} u_L = 0,$$

which gives (50). The next step is proving the following

$$(51) \quad \lim_{\delta} \int_0^t \langle [h_n(s) \cdot \nabla_x V^N(s)]_\delta, [V^N(s)]_\delta \rangle ds = 0.$$

We recall that  $\sum_{k \in K_n} g_k \sigma_k^N = h_n$  and  $\operatorname{div}_x h_n = 0$ , hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \langle [h_n(s) \cdot \nabla_x V^N(s)]_\delta, [V^N(s)]_\delta \rangle ds \\ &= \int_0^t \langle \rho_\delta * [h_n(s) \cdot \nabla_x V^N(s)] - h_n(s) \cdot \nabla_x \rho_\delta * [V^N(s)], \rho_\delta * [V^N(s)] \rangle ds \end{aligned}$$

Let us introduce the commutator

$$r_\delta^h(s) = \rho_\delta * [h_n(s) \cdot \nabla_x V^N(s)] - u_L(s) \cdot \nabla_x \rho_\delta * [V^N(s)].$$

(51) is a consequence of the following: a.e.  $s \in [0, T]$

$$(52) \quad \|r_\delta^h(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2)} \leq C \|g\| \|V^N(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2)},$$

$$(53) \quad \lim_{\delta} r_\delta^h(s) = 0 \text{ in } L^2(\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2),$$

where  $C > 0$  independent of  $\delta$ . Indeed, similarly to (49), we get

$$r_\delta^h(s, x, r) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} (h_n(x, s) - h_n(y, s)) \cdot \nabla_x \rho_\delta(x - y) V^N(s, y, r) dy$$

thus, we obtain ( $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  denotes the  $L^\infty$ -norm with respect to the  $x$ -variable)

$$\|r_\delta^h(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2)}^2 \leq C^2 \|\nabla h_n(s)\|_\infty^2 \|V^N(s)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2)}^2,$$

since  $\operatorname{supp}[\rho] \subset B(0, 1)$  and denoted by  $C^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |z|^2 |\nabla_x \rho(z)|^2 dz < +\infty$ . On the other hand, note that

$$\|\nabla_x h_n\|_\infty \leq \sum_{k \in K_n} |g_k| \|\nabla \sigma_k^N\|_\infty \leq \sum_{k \in K_n} \frac{1}{|k|} |g_k| \leq \sum_{|k| \leq n} |g_k| := \|g\| \in L^2(0, T).$$

The proof (53) is analogous to the proof (50) and we omit this detail. Let us prove that

$\lim_{\delta} \int_0^t \langle [\operatorname{div}_r(\nabla u_L(s)r) V^N(s)]_\delta, [V^N(s)]_\delta \rangle ds = 0$ . Since  $\operatorname{div}_r(\nabla u_L(s)r) = 0$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \langle [\operatorname{div}_r(\nabla u_L(s)r) V^N(s)]_\delta, [V^N(s)]_\delta \rangle ds \\ &= \int_0^t \langle \operatorname{div}_r \rho_\delta * (\nabla u_L(s)r) V^N(s) - \operatorname{div}_r(\nabla u_L(s)r) \rho_\delta * V^N(s), \rho_\delta * V^N(s) \rangle ds \\ &= - \int_0^t \langle \rho_\delta * (\nabla u_L(s)r) V^N(s) - (\nabla u_L(s)r) \rho_\delta * V^N(s), \rho_\delta * \nabla_r V^N(s) \rangle ds. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, note that

$$\begin{aligned} & (\rho_\delta * (\nabla u_L(\cdot)r)V^N)(s, x, r) - (\nabla u_L(s, x)r)(\rho_\delta * V^N)(s, x, r) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} [\nabla u_L(x-y, s) - \nabla u_L(x, s)]r\rho_\delta(y)V^N(s, x-y, r)dy. \end{aligned}$$

By mean-value theorem we get  $|\nabla u_L(x-y, s) - \nabla u_L(x, s)| \leq |y|\|u_L\|_{C^2}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} & |(\rho_\delta * (\nabla u_L(\cdot)r)V^N)(s, x, r) - (\nabla u_L(s, x)r)(\rho_\delta * V^N)(s, x, r)| \\ & \leq \|u_L\|_{C^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |y||r|\rho_\delta(y)|V^N(s, x-y, r)|dy \leq \delta\|u_L\|_{C^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \rho_\delta(y)|r||V^N(s, x-y, r)|dy, \end{aligned}$$

since  $\text{supp}[\rho] \subset B(0, 1)$ . Therefore, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t |\langle \rho_\delta * (\nabla u_L(s)r)V^N(s) - (\nabla u_L(s)r)\rho_\delta * V^N(s), \rho_\delta * \nabla_r V^N(s) \rangle| ds \\ & \leq \delta\|u_L\|_{C^2} \int_0^t \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \rho_\delta(y)|r||V^N(s, x-y, r)|dy \right\| \|\rho_\delta * \nabla_r V^N(s)\| ds \\ & \leq \delta\|u_L\|_{C^2} \int_0^t \|\rho_\delta * |r||V^N(s)\| \|\rho_\delta * \nabla_r V^N(s)\| ds \\ & \leq \delta\|u_L\|_{C^2} \int_0^t \|V^N(s)\|_H \|\nabla_r V^N(s)\| ds \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \delta \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Concerning the term  $\int_0^t \langle [\text{div}_r(y_n V^N(s))]_\delta, [V^N(s)]_\delta \rangle ds$ . We recall that  $\sum_{k \in K_n} g_k(\nabla \sigma_k^N r) = y_n$ .

Since  $\text{div}_r(y_n) = 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \langle [\text{div}_r y_n V^N(s)]_\delta, [V^N(s)]_\delta \rangle ds = \int_0^t \langle [\text{div}_r y_n V^N(s)]_\delta - \text{div}_r y_n [V^N(s)]_\delta, [V^N(s)]_\delta \rangle ds \\ &= \int_0^t \langle \text{div}_r \rho_\delta * y_n V^N(s) - \text{div}_r y_n \rho_\delta * V^N(s), \rho_\delta * V^N(s) \rangle ds \\ &= - \int_0^t \langle \rho_\delta * y_n V^N(s) - y_n \rho_\delta * V^N(s), \rho_\delta * \nabla_r V^N(s) \rangle ds. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, note that

$$(\rho_\delta * y_n V^N)(s, x, r) - y_n(\rho_\delta * V^N)(s, x, r) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} [y_n(x-y, s) - y_n(x, s)]\rho_\delta(y)V^N(s, x-y, r)dy.$$

By mean-value theorem we get

$$\begin{aligned} |y_n(x-y, s) - y_n(x, s)| &= \left| \sum_{k \in K_n} (g_k(\nabla \sigma_k^N r)(x-y, s) - g_k(\nabla \sigma_k^N r)(x, s)) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{k \in K_n} |g_k| \|D^2 \sigma_k^N\|_\infty |y||r| \leq |y||r|\|g\| \text{ since } \|D^2 \sigma_k^N\|_\infty \leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & |(\rho_\delta * (\nabla u_L(\cdot)r)V^N)(s, x, r) - (\nabla u_L(s, x)r)(\rho_\delta * V^N)(s, x, r)| \\ & \leq \|g\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |y||r|\rho_\delta(y)|V^N(s, x-y, r)|dy \leq \delta\|g\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \rho_\delta(y)|r||V^N(s, x-y, r)|dy, \end{aligned}$$



since  $\text{supp}[\rho] \subset B(0, 1)$ . Therefore, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t |\langle \rho_\delta * (\nabla u_L(s)r)V^N(s) - (\nabla u_L(s)r)\rho_\delta * V^N(s), \rho_\delta * \nabla_r V^N(s) \rangle| ds \\ & \leq \delta \int_0^t \|g\| \|\rho_\delta * |r| \|V^N(s)\| \|\rho_\delta * \nabla_r V^N(s)\| ds \\ & \leq \delta \int_0^t \|g\| \|V^N(s)\|_H \|\nabla_r V^N(s)\| ds \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \delta \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now, notice that  $[\text{div}_r r V^N(s)]_\delta = \text{div}_r r [V^N(s)]_\delta$ . Hence

$$\frac{1}{\beta} \int_0^t \langle [\text{div}_r r V^N(s)]_\delta, [V^N(s)]_\delta \rangle ds = \frac{1}{\beta} \int_0^t \| [V^N(s)]_\delta \|^2 ds \leq \frac{1}{\beta} \int_0^t \|V^N(s)\|^2 ds.$$

Next, we have  $\langle \sigma^2 [\Delta_r V^N(s)]_\delta, [V^N(s)]_\delta \rangle = \langle \sigma^2 \Delta_r [V^N(s)]_\delta, [V^N(s)]_\delta \rangle = -\sigma^2 \|\nabla_r [V^N(s)]_\delta\|^2$ , thus

$$\int_0^t \langle \sigma^2 [\Delta_r V^N(s)]_\delta, [V^N(s)]_\delta \rangle ds = -\sigma^2 \int_0^t \|\nabla_r [V^N(s)]_\delta\|^2 ds \rightarrow -\sigma^2 \int_0^t \|\nabla_r V^N(s)\|^2 ds \text{ as } \delta \rightarrow 0,$$

since  $\nabla_r V^N \in L^2(0, T; H)$ . We have  $([\Delta_x V^N(s)]_\delta, [V^N(s)]_\delta) = (\Delta_x [V^N(s)]_\delta, [V^N(s)]_\delta)$  which gives

$$\int_0^t \alpha_N ([\Delta_x V^N(s)]_\delta, [V^N(s)]_\delta) ds = - \int_0^t \alpha_N \|\nabla_x [V^N(s)]_\delta\|^2 ds \leq 0.$$

Concerning the last term, first we prove the following

$$\int_0^t \langle [\text{div}_r \sum_{k \in K} ((\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \otimes (\nabla \sigma_k^N r)) \nabla_r V^N(s)]_\delta, [V^N(s)]_\delta \rangle ds + \sum_{k \in K} \int_0^t \|[(\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r V^N(s)]_\delta\|^2 ds \xrightarrow{\delta \rightarrow 0} 0.$$

Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^t \langle [\text{div}_r \sum_{k \in K} ((\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \otimes (\nabla \sigma_k^N r)) \nabla_r V^N(s)]_\delta, [V^N(s)]_\delta \rangle ds + \sum_{k \in K} \int_0^t \|[(\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r V^N(s)]_\delta\|^2 ds \\ & = - \sum_{k \in K} \int_0^t \langle [(\nabla \sigma_k^N r)(\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r V^N(s)]_\delta, \nabla_r [V^N(s)]_\delta \rangle ds + \sum_{k \in K} \int_0^t \|[(\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r V^N(s)]_\delta\|^2 ds \\ & = - \sum_{k \in K} \int_0^t \langle [(\nabla \sigma_k^N r)(\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r V^N(s)]_\delta - (\nabla \sigma_k^N r)[(\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r V^N(s)]_\delta, \nabla_r [V^N(s)]_\delta \rangle ds \\ & \quad - \sum_{k \in K} \int_0^t \langle (\nabla \sigma_k^N r)[(\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r V^N(s)]_\delta, \nabla_r [V^N(s)]_\delta \rangle ds \\ & \quad + \sum_{k \in K} \int_0^t \|[(\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r V^N(s)]_\delta, [(\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r V^N(s)]_\delta\|^2 ds \\ & = - \sum_{k \in K} \int_0^t \langle [(\nabla \sigma_k^N r)(\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r V^N(s)]_\delta - (\nabla \sigma_k^N r)[(\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r V^N(s)]_\delta, \nabla_r [V^N(s)]_\delta \rangle ds \\ & \quad - \sum_{k \in K} \int_0^t \langle [(\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r V^N(s)]_\delta, (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r [V^N(s)]_\delta - [(\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r V^N(s)]_\delta \rangle ds \\ & = J_\delta^1 + J_\delta^2. \end{aligned}$$

Let us prove that  $\limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0} |J_\delta^1| = 0$ . Recall that  $(\nabla \sigma_k^N r)$  has the form  $\theta_{|k|}^N |k| \frac{k}{|k|} \cdot r \frac{k^\perp}{|k|} h(k \cdot x)$ <sup>8</sup> for any  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^2$ . Thus,  $|\nabla \sigma_k^N r| \leq \theta_{|k|}^N |k| |r|$ . On the other hand, we have

$$|J_\delta^1| \leq \sum_{k \in K} \int_0^t |[(\nabla \sigma_k^N r)(\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r V^N(s)]_\delta - (\nabla \sigma_k^N r)[(\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r V^N(s)]_\delta, \nabla_r [V^N(s)]_\delta| ds$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & [(\nabla \sigma_k^N r)(\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r f^N(s)]_\delta - (\nabla \sigma_k^N r)[(\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r V^N(s)]_\delta(x, r) \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} [(\nabla \sigma_k^N(x)r) - (\nabla \sigma_k^N(x-y)r)](\nabla \sigma_k^N(x-y)r) \cdot \nabla_r V^N(s, x-y, r) \rho_\delta(y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

By mean-value theorem, we get

$$|(\nabla \sigma_k^N(x)r) - (\nabla \sigma_k^N(x-y)r)| \leq \theta_{|k|}^N |k|^2 \cdot |r| |y| \leq 2N |k| \theta_{|k|}^N \cdot |r| |y|.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & |[(\nabla \sigma_k^N r)(\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r V^N(s)]_\delta - (\nabla \sigma_k^N r)[(\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r V^N(s)]_\delta(x, r)| \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} 2N |k| \theta_{|k|}^N \cdot |r| |y| |(\nabla \sigma_k^N(x-y)r) \cdot \nabla_r V^N(s, x-y, r)| \rho_\delta(y) dy \\ & \leq 2\delta N (\theta_{|k|}^N)^2 |k|^2 \cdot |r| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \|r\| |\nabla_r V^N(s, x-y, r)| \rho_\delta(y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & |[(\nabla \sigma_k^N r)(\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r V^N(s)]_\delta - (\nabla \sigma_k^N r)[(\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r V^N(s)]_\delta, \nabla_r [V^N(s)]_\delta| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} [(\nabla \sigma_k^N r)(\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r V^N(s)]_\delta - (\nabla \sigma_k^N r)[(\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r V^N(s)]_\delta \nabla_r [V^N(s)]_\delta dx dr \right| \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} |(\nabla \sigma_k^N r)(\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r V^N(s)]_\delta - (\nabla \sigma_k^N r)[(\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r V^N(s)]_\delta| |\nabla_r [V^N(s)]_\delta| dx dr \\ & \leq 2\delta N (\theta_{|k|}^N)^2 |k|^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} |r| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \|r\| |\nabla_r V^N(s, x-y, r)| \rho_\delta(y) dy |\nabla_r [V^N(s)]_\delta| dx dr \\ & \leq 2\delta N (\theta_{|k|}^N)^2 |k|^2 \|\rho_\delta * |r| |\nabla_r V^N(s)|\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2)}^2 \\ & \leq 2\delta N (\theta_{|k|}^N)^2 |k|^2 \|r\| |\nabla_r V^N(s)|\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2)}^2 \leq 2\delta N (\theta_{|k|}^N)^2 |k|^2 \|\nabla_r V^N(s)\|_H^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we deduce  $|J_\delta^1| \leq 2\delta N \sum_{k \in K} (\theta_{|k|}^N)^2 |k|^2 \int_0^t \|\nabla_r V^N(s)\|_H^2 ds \rightarrow 0$  as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ . Concerning  $J_\delta^2$ , we recall that

$$|J_\delta^2| \leq \sum_{k \in K} \int_0^t |[(\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r V^N(s)]_\delta, (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r [V^N(s)]_\delta - [(\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r V^N(s)]_\delta| ds.$$

<sup>8</sup> $h(\cdot) = \cos(\cdot)$  or  $h(\cdot) = -\sin(\cdot)$ , see (28).

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |(\nabla\sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r[V^N(s)]_\delta - [(\nabla\sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r V^N(s)]_\delta| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} [(\nabla\sigma_k^N(x)r) - (\nabla\sigma_k^N(x-y)r)] \cdot \nabla_r V^N(s, x-y, r) \rho_\delta(y) dy \right| \\ &\leq \delta |k|^2 \theta_{|k|}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |r| |\nabla_r V^N(s, x-y, r)| \rho_\delta(y) dy, \end{aligned}$$

consequently, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & | \langle [(\nabla\sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r f^N(s)]_\delta, (\nabla\sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r [V^N(s)]_\delta - [(\nabla\sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r V^N(s)]_\delta \rangle | \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} |[(\nabla\sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r V^N(s)]_\delta| |(\nabla\sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r [V^N(s)]_\delta - [(\nabla\sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r V^N(s)]_\delta| dx dr \\ &\leq \delta |k|^2 \theta_{|k|}^N \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} |[(\nabla\sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r V^N(s)]_\delta| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |r| |\nabla_r V^N(s, x-y, r)| \rho_\delta(y) dy dx dr \\ &\leq \delta |k|^3 (\theta_{|k|}^N)^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |r| |\nabla_r V^N(s, x-y, r)| \rho_\delta(y) dy \right|^2 dx dr \\ &\leq \delta |k|^3 (\theta_{|k|}^N)^2 \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |r| |\nabla_r V^N(s, x-y, r)| \rho_\delta(y) dy \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2)}^2 \\ &\leq \delta |k|^3 (\theta_{|k|}^N)^2 \|\nabla_r V^N(s)\|_H^2 \leq 2\delta N |k|^2 (\theta_{|k|}^N)^2 \|\nabla_r V^N(s)\|_H^2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|J_\delta^2| \leq 2\delta N \sum_{k \in K} (\theta_{|k|}^N)^2 |k|^2 \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \|\nabla_r V^N(s)\|_H^2 ds \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \delta \rightarrow 0.$$

Finally, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \int_0^t \langle [\operatorname{div}_r \sum_{k \in K} ((\nabla\sigma_k^N r) \otimes (\nabla\sigma_k^N r)) \nabla_r V^N(s)]_\delta, [V^N(s)]_\delta \rangle ds \\ &= - \sum_{k \in K} \int_0^t \|(\nabla\sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r V^N(s)\|^2 ds \leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

where we used  $\nabla_r V^N \in L^2(0, T; H)$  and

$$\sum_{k \in K} \int_0^t \|[(\nabla\sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r V^N(s)]_\delta\|^2 ds \xrightarrow{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sum_{k \in K} \int_0^t \|(\nabla\sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r V^N(s)\|^2 ds.$$

In conclusion, by passing to the limit as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$  in (47) and using the above estimates, we get

$$(54) \quad \frac{1}{2} \|V^N(t)\|^2 + \sigma^2 \int_0^t \|\nabla_r V^N(s)\|^2 ds \leq \frac{1}{\beta} \int_0^t \|V^N(s)\|^2 ds.$$

The last inequality (65) and Grönwall lemma ensure that  $V^N \equiv 0$  in  $L^\infty(0, T; H)$ -sense and  $\nabla V^N \equiv 0$  in  $L^2(0, T; H)$ -sense as well, which ends the proof of uniqueness.

**4.5. Uniqueness of quasi-regular weak solutions of (8).** We will use Lemma 20 to prove that the solution to (8) in the sense of Definition 5 is unique (in particular we use the point (4)). First, note that the set of *quasi-regular weak* solutions forms a linear subspace of  $L^2(0, T; H)$ , since (8) is a linear equation, and the regularity conditions is a linear constraint. Therefore, it is enough to show that a quasi-regular weak solution  $f^N \equiv 0$  if the initial data  $f_0 \equiv 0$ .

Let  $g \in G_n$ , by using [Lemma 20](#) we proved that  $\mathbb{E}[f^N(t)e_g(t)] = 0$  in  $L^\infty(0, T; H)$ -sense. Our aim is to prove that  $f^N \equiv 0$ . We recall that from [Lemma 20](#): for any  $t \in [0, T]$ , we have

$$(\mathbb{E}[f^N(t)e_g(t)], \varphi) = 0, \forall \varphi \in V \text{ and for any } g \in \mathcal{D}.$$

Now, let  $G$  be a random variable, which can be written as a linear combination of finite number of  $e_g(t)$ , it follows (by linearity)

$$(\mathbb{E}[f^N(t)G], \varphi) = 0, \forall \varphi \in V.$$

Next, by density of  $\mathcal{D}$  in  $L^2(\Omega, \overline{\mathcal{G}}_t)$ , the last equality holds for any  $G \in L^2(\Omega, \overline{\mathcal{G}}_t)$ , namely

$$\mathbb{E}[(f^N(t), \varphi)G] = 0, \forall \varphi \in V, \quad \forall G \in L^2(\Omega, \overline{\mathcal{G}}_t).$$

Since  $(f^N(t), \varphi)$  is  $\overline{\mathcal{G}}_t$ -adapted, we get  $(f^N(t), \varphi) = 0$ , for any  $\varphi \in V$ . Recall that  $V$  is dense subspace in  $H$ , thus, we deduce that  $f^N \equiv 0$  and the uniqueness holds.

## 5. DIFFUSION SCALING LIMIT AS $N \rightarrow +\infty$

Our aim in this section is to show that the unique solution of stochastic FP equation [\(8\)](#), in the sense of [Definition 5](#), converges weakly to the unique solution of [\(9\)](#), under the following scaling of the noise coefficients:  $\theta_{|k|}^N = \frac{\alpha}{|k|^2}$  if  $N \leq |k| \leq 2N$  and  $\theta_{|k|}^N = 0$  else. First, note that

$$(55) \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \sum_{k \in K_{++}} (\theta_{|k|}^N)^2 = 0; \quad \lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{k \in K} (\theta_{|k|}^N)^2 = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} \sup_{k \in K} |k|^2 (\theta_{|k|}^N)^2 = 0.$$

We begin this section by showing the following result about regularity in time of  $(f^N)_N$ .

**Lemma 21.** *Let  $2 < p < +\infty$ , there exists  $C > 0$ , independent of  $N$  such that*

$$\mathbb{E}\|f^N\|_{\mathcal{C}^\eta([0, T], U')}^p \leq C \text{ for any } 0 < \eta < \min\left(\frac{p-2}{p}, \frac{1}{2}\right).$$

*Proof.* Let  $0 < h < 1$  and  $t \in [0, T-h]$  and . From [Definition 5](#), point (3), the following equality holds in  $U'$ -sense:

$$\begin{aligned} f^N(t+h) - f^N(t) &= - \int_t^{t+h} ((u_L \cdot \nabla_x f^N(s)) - \operatorname{div}_r[(\nabla_x u_L r - \frac{1}{\beta} r) f^N(s)]) ds \\ &+ \int_t^{t+h} [\sigma^2 \Delta_r f^N(s) + \alpha_N (\Delta_x f^N(s))] ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_t^{t+h} \operatorname{div}_r(A_k^N(x, r) \nabla_r f^N(s)) ds \\ &- \sum_{k \in K} \int_t^{t+h} (\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x f^N(s) + (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r f^N(s)) dW^k(s) := I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4. \end{aligned}$$

On the one hand, we have P-a.s.

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_1\|_{U'} &\leq \int_t^{t+h} \|u_L \cdot \nabla_x f^N(s) + \operatorname{div}_r[(\nabla_x u_L r - \frac{1}{\beta} r) f^N(s)]\|_{U'} ds \\ &\leq [\|u_L\|_\infty + \|\nabla_x u_L\|_\infty + \frac{1}{\beta}] \int_t^{t+h} \|f^N(s)\|_H ds \\ &\leq h[\|u_L\|_\infty + \|\nabla_x u_L\|_\infty + \frac{1}{\beta}] \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|f^N(t)\|_H, \end{aligned}$$

since  $u_L$  is a smooth function. Concerning  $I_2$ , note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|I_2\|_{U'} &\leq \int_t^{t+h} \|\sigma^2 \Delta_r f^N(s) + \alpha_N \Delta_x f^N(s)\|_{U'} ds \leq (\sigma^2 + \alpha_N) \int_t^{t+h} \|f^N(s)\|_H ds \\ &\leq (\sigma^2 + 1) \int_t^{t+h} \|f^N(s)\|_H ds \leq h(\sigma^2 + 1) \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|f^N(t)\|_H. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover  $\|I_3\|_{U'} \leq \int_t^{t+h} \|\operatorname{div}_r(A_k^N(x, r) \nabla_r f^N(s))\|_{U'} ds$ , we recall that

$$\|\operatorname{div}_r(A_k^N(x, r) \nabla_r f^N(s))\|_{U'} = \sup_{\|\phi\|_U \leq 1} |\langle \operatorname{div}_r(A_k^N(x, r) \nabla_r f^N(s), \phi) \rangle|$$

but  $\langle \operatorname{div}_r(A_k^N(x, r) \nabla_r f^N), \phi \rangle = (\operatorname{div}_r(A_k^N(x, r) \nabla_r f^N), \phi) = (f^N, \operatorname{div}_r(A_k^N(x, r) \nabla_r \phi))$ , therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \int_t^{t+h} \|\operatorname{div}_r(A_k^N(x, r) \nabla_r f^N(s))\|_{U'} ds &\leq \sum_{k \in K} |k|^2 (\theta_{|k|}^N)^2 \int_t^{t+h} \|f^N(s)\|_H ds \\ &\leq h \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \|f^N(t)\|_H \sum_{k \in K} |k|^2 (\theta_{|k|}^N)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Concerning the stochastic integral  $I_4$ , let  $\phi \in U$  and note that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k \in K} \int_t^{t+h} \langle (\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x f^N(s) + (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r f^N(s), \phi) dW^k(s) \\ &= \sum_{k \in K} \int_t^{t+h} \langle \sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x f^N(s) + (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r f^N(s), \phi \rangle dW^k(s) \\ &= - \sum_{k \in K} \int_t^{t+h} (f^N(s), \sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x \phi + (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r \phi) dW^k(s). \end{aligned}$$

We recall

$$\begin{aligned} &\| \sum_{k \in K} \int_t^{t+h} (\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x f^N(s) + (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r f^N(s)) dW^k(s) \|_{U'} \\ &= \sup_{\|\phi\|_U \leq 1} | \sum_{k \in K} \int_t^{t+h} (f^N(s), \sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x \phi + (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r \phi) dW^k(s) |. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\phi \in U$  such that  $\|\phi\|_U \leq 1$  and  $1 < p < +\infty$ . Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality ensures

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathbb{E} | \sum_{k \in K} \int_t^{t+h} (f^N(s), \sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x \phi + (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r \phi) dW^k(s) |^p \\ &\leq \mathbb{E} [ \int_t^{t+h} \sum_{k \in K} (f^N(s), \sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x \phi + (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r \phi)^2 ds ]^{p/2} \\ &\leq [ \sum_{k \in K} (|k|^2 + 1) (\theta_{|k|}^N)^2 ]^{p/2} \mathbb{E} [ \int_t^{t+h} (f^N(s), \nabla_x \phi + |r| |\nabla_r \phi|)^2 ds ]^{p/2} \\ &\leq C_1 \mathbb{E} [ \int_t^{t+h} \|f^N(s)\|^2 \|\phi\|_U^2 ds ]^{p/2} \leq C_1 \mathbb{E} [ \int_t^{t+h} \|f^N(s)\|^2 \|\phi\|_U^2 ds ]^{p/2} \leq C_1 \mathbb{E} [ \int_t^{t+h} \|f^N(s)\|^2 ds ]^{p/2}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_1 := [\sum_{k \in K} (|k|^2 + 1) (\theta_{|k|}^N)^2]^{p/2}$ . Thus, we obtain

$$(56) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{k \in K} \int_t^{t+h} (\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x f^N(s) + (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r f^N(s)) dW^k(s) \right\|_{U'}^p &\leq C_1 \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_t^{t+h} \|f^N(s)\|^2 ds \right]^{p/2} \\ &\leq C_1 h^{p/2} \mathbb{E} \sup_{q \in [0, T]} \|f^N(q)\|^p. \end{aligned}$$

Recall the definition of  $W^{s,p}(0, T; U')$ , the Sobolev space of all  $u \in L^p(0, T; U')$  such that

$$\int_0^T \int_0^T \frac{\|u(t) - u(r)\|_{U'}^p}{|t - r|^{1+sp}} dt dr < +\infty,$$

endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_{W^{s,p}(0, T; U')}^p = \|u\|_{L^p(0, T; U')}^p + \int_0^T \int_0^T \frac{\|u(t) - u(r)\|_{U'}^p}{|t - r|^{1+sp}} dt dr.$$

Now, denote by  $I(f^N)(\cdot) = \sum_{k \in K} \int_0^\cdot (\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x f^N(s) + (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r f^N(s)) dW^k(s)$ .

Thanks to (38) and Burkholder-Davis-Gundy inequality, one has

$$\mathbb{E} \|I(f^N)\|_{L^p(0, T; U')}^p \leq \mathbf{M}, \quad \mathbf{M} > 0 \text{ independent of } m \text{ and } N.$$

Concerning the second part, note that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_0^T \frac{\|I(f^N)(t) - I(f^N)(r)\|_{U'}^p}{|t - r|^{1+sp}} dt dr &= \int_0^T \int_0^T \frac{\mathbb{E} \|I(f^N)(t) - I(f^N)(r)\|_{U'}^p}{|t - r|^{1+sp}} dt dr \\ &\leq C_1 \mathbb{E} \sup_{q \in [0, T]} \|f^N(q)\|^p \int_0^T \int_0^T \frac{|t - r|^{p/2}}{|t - r|^{1+sp}} dt dr \\ &\leq C_1 \mathbb{E} \sup_{q \in [0, T]} \|f^N(q)\|^p \int_0^T \int_0^T |t - r|^{p(\frac{1}{2}-s)-1} dt dr \leq C, \end{aligned}$$

if  $p(\frac{1}{2} - s) > 0$ , which holds for any  $s \in ]0, \frac{1}{2}[$ .

Let  $p > 2$ , then  $0 < sp - 1 < \frac{p-2}{2}$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{C}^\eta([0, T], U')$  the space of  $\eta$ -Hölder continuous functions with values in  $U'$ . and we recall that (see e.g. [11])

$$W^{s,p}(0, T; U') \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}^\eta([0, T], U') \quad \text{if } 0 < \eta < sp - 1.$$

Let us take  $s \in [0, \frac{1}{2}[$  such that  $sp > 1$ . For  $\eta \in ]0, sp - 1[$ , it follows from the previous estimates

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \sum_{k \in K} \int_0^\cdot (\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x f^N(s) + (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r f^N(s)) dW^k(s) \right\|_{W^{s,p}(0, T; U')}^p \leq C + \mathbf{M}.$$

Thus  $\mathbb{E} \|I(f^N)\|_{\mathcal{C}^\eta([0, T], U')}^p \leq C + \mathbf{M}$ . Consequently, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E} \|f^N\|_{\mathcal{C}^\eta([0, T], U')}^p \leq C + \mathbf{M} \text{ for any } 0 < \eta < \min\left(\frac{p-2}{p}, \frac{1}{2}\right),$$

which gives that  $(f^N)_N$  is bounded in  $L^p(\Omega, C^\eta([0, T], U'))$ ,  $\eta \in ]0, \min(\frac{p-2}{p}, \frac{1}{2})[$  with  $2 < p < +\infty$ .  $\square$

As a consequence of [Lemma 14](#), [Lemma 15](#), [Lemma 21](#) and the lower semi-continuity of the weak convergence, we obtain

**Proposition 22.** *Let  $p \geq 2$ , the unique solution  $(f^N)_N$  to (8) is bounded in*

$$(f^N)_N \text{ is bounded by } \mathbf{K} \text{ in } L^p(\Omega, L^\infty(0, T; H)) \cap L^p(\Omega, C^\eta([0, T], U')), 0 < \eta < \min\left(\frac{p-2}{p}, \frac{1}{2}\right).$$

Moreover,  $(\nabla_r f^N)_N$  is bounded in  $L^2(\Omega, L^2(0, T; H))$ .

**5.1. Compactness.** Denote by  $\mu_{f^N}$  the law of  $f^N$ ,  $\mu_W$  the law of  $W := (W^k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^2}$  and their joint law  $\mu_N$  defined on  $C([0, T], U') \times C([0, T]; H_0)$ .

**Lemma 23.** *The sets  $\{\mu_{f^N}; N \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is tight on  $C([0, T], U')$ .*

*Proof.* First, we have  $H \xrightarrow{\text{compact}} U'$ , since  $U \xrightarrow{\text{compact}} H$ . Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be a subset  $C([0, T]; U')$ . Following [\[23, Thm. 3\]](#) (the case  $p = \infty$ ),  $\mathbf{A}$  is relatively compact in  $C([0, T]; U')$  if the following conditions hold.

- (1)  $\mathbf{A}$  is bounded in  $L^\infty(0, T; H)$ .
- (2) Let  $h > 0$ ,  $\|f(\cdot + h) - f(\cdot)\|_{L^\infty(0, T-h; U')} \rightarrow 0$  as  $h \rightarrow 0$  uniformly for  $f \in \mathbf{A}$ .

The following embedding is compact

$$\mathbf{Z} := L^\infty(0, T; H) \cap C^\eta([0, T], U') \hookrightarrow C([0, T], U'), \quad 0 < \eta < \min\left(\frac{p-2}{p}, \frac{1}{2}\right).$$

Indeed, Let  $\mathbf{A}$  be a bounded set of  $\mathbf{Z}$ . First, note that (1) is satisfied by assumptions. Concerning the second condition, let  $h > 0$  and  $f \in \mathbf{A}$ , by using that  $f \in C^\eta([0, T], U')$  we infer

$$\|f(\cdot + h) - f(\cdot)\|_{L^\infty(0, T-h; U')} = \sup_{r \in [0, T-h]} \|f(r+h) - f(r)\|_{U'} \leq Ch^\eta \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } h \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $C > 0$  is independent of  $f$ . From [Proposition 22](#), we have

$$(f^N)_N \text{ is bounded by } \mathbf{K} \text{ in } L^p(\Omega, L^\infty(0, T; H)) \cap L^p(\Omega, C^\eta([0, T], U')), 0 < \eta < \min\left(\frac{p-2}{p}, \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

Let  $R > 0$  and set  $B_{\mathbf{Z}}(0, R) := \{v \in \mathbf{Z} \mid \|v\|_{\mathbf{Z}} \leq R\}$ . Then  $B_{\mathbf{Z}}(0, R)$  is a compact subset of  $C([0, T], U')$  and the following relation holds

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{f^N}(B_{\mathbf{Z}}(0, R)) &= 1 - \mu_{f^N}(B_{\mathbf{Z}}(0, R)^c) = 1 - \int_{\{\omega \in \Omega, \|f^N\|_{\mathbf{Z}} > R\}} 1 dP \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{1}{R^p} \int_{\{\omega \in \Omega, \|f^N\|_{\mathbf{Z}} > R\}} \|f^N\|_{\mathbf{Z}}^p dP \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{1}{R^p} \mathbb{E} \|f^N\|_{\mathbf{Z}}^p = 1 - \frac{\mathbf{K}^p}{R^p}, \quad \text{for any } R > 0, \quad \text{and any } N \in \mathbb{N}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for any  $\delta > 0$  we can find  $R_\delta > 0$  such that

$$\mu_{f^N}(B_{\mathbf{Z}}(0, R_\delta)) \geq 1 - \delta, \text{ for all } N \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Thus the family of laws  $\{\mu_{f^N}; N \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is tight on  $C([0, T], U')$ .  $\square$

Notice that the family of Brownian motions  $W := (W^k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^2}$  can be seen as cylindrical Wiener process defined on the filtered probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P; (\mathcal{F}_t)_t)$  with values in appropriate separable Hilbert space  $H_0$ , more precisely  $W^k = We_k, k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^2$ , where  $(e_k)_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^2}$  is complete orthonormal system in a separable Hilbert space  $\mathbb{H}$  and recall that the sample paths of  $W$  take values in a larger Hilbert space  $H_0$  such that  $\mathbb{H} \hookrightarrow H_0$  defines a Hilbert–Schmidt embedding. Hence,  $P$ -a.s.

the trajectories of  $W$  belong to the space  $C([0, T], H_0)$ , see e.g. [7, Chapter 4]. By taking into account that the law  $\mu_W$  is a Radon measure on  $C([0, T]; H_0)$ , we obtain

**Lemma 24.** *The set  $\{\mu_W\}$  is tight on  $C([0, T]; H_0)$ .*

**5.2. Prokhorov and Skorokhod's representation's theorem.** Thanks to Lemma 23 and Lemma 24, by Skorokhod's representation's theorem (see e.g. [29, Thm. 1.10.4, p. 59]), by passing to the limit up to subsequences (denoted by the same way), we can find a new probability space, denoted by the same way "for simplicity"  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  and processes

$$\left(\tilde{f}^N, W^N := \{W^{N,k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^2}\right), \quad \left(\bar{f}, \bar{W} := \{\bar{W}^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^2}\right),$$

such that:

$$(a) \quad \mathcal{L}\left(\tilde{f}^N, W^N := \{W^{N,k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^2}\right) = \mathcal{L}\left(f^N, W := \{W^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{Z}_0^2}\right)^9 \text{ on } C([0, T], U') \times C([0, T], H_0).$$

(b) the following convergence holds

$$(57) \quad \tilde{f}^N \rightarrow \bar{f} \quad \text{in } C([0, T]; U') \quad P - a.s.$$

$$(58) \quad W^N \rightarrow \bar{W} \quad \text{in } C([0, T]; H_0) \quad P - a.s.$$

On the other hand, thanks to Proposition 22,  $\mathcal{L}(f^N)(\mathcal{X}) = 1$  where  $\mathcal{X} \xrightarrow[cont.]{\hookrightarrow} C([0, T], U')$ , with

$$\mathcal{X} = \{g : g \in L^\infty(0, T; H) \cap C^\eta([0, T], U'); \nabla_r g \in L^2(0, T; H)\}.$$

By using the point (a) above, one gets  $\mathcal{L}(\tilde{f}^N)(\mathcal{X}) = 1$  and  $(\tilde{f}^N, W^N)$  satisfies the point (3) of Definition 5. Moreover,  $(\tilde{f}^N)_N$  satisfies the estimates of Proposition 22 in the new probability space. Thus, we have the following result.

**Lemma 25.** *There exists  $\bar{f} \in L^2(\Omega; L^2([0, T]; H))$ ,  $\nabla_r \bar{f} \in L^2(\Omega; L^2([0, T]; H))$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}^N &\rightharpoonup \bar{f} \text{ in } L^2(\Omega; L^2([0, T]; H)), \\ \nabla_r \tilde{f}^N &\rightharpoonup \nabla_r \bar{f} \text{ in } L^2(\Omega; L^2([0, T]; H)). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,  $\bar{f} \in L^2_{w-*}(\Omega; L^\infty([0, T]; H))$  and  $\tilde{f}^N \rightharpoonup^* \bar{f}$  in  $L^2_{w-*}(\Omega; L^\infty([0, T]; H))$ .

*Proof.* By using Proposition 22, diagonal extraction argument and Banach–Alaoglu theorem in the spaces  $L^2(\Omega; L^2([0, T]; H))$  and  $L^2_{w-*}(\Omega; L^\infty([0, T]; H))$ , there exist  $\bar{f}, \nabla_r \bar{f} \in L^2(\Omega; L^2([0, T]; H))$  such that

$$(59) \quad \tilde{f}^N \rightharpoonup \bar{f} \text{ in } L^2(\Omega; L^2([0, T]; H)),$$

$$(60) \quad \nabla_r \tilde{f}^N \rightharpoonup \nabla_r \bar{f} \text{ in } L^2(\Omega; L^2([0, T]; H)).$$

Moreover,  $\bar{f} \in L^2_{w-*}(\Omega; L^\infty([0, T]; H))$  and the following convergence holds

$$(61) \quad \tilde{f}^N \rightharpoonup^* \bar{f} \text{ in } L^2_{w-*}(\Omega; L^\infty([0, T]; H)),$$

since  $(\tilde{f}^N)_N$  is bounded in  $L^2(\Omega; L^\infty([0, T]; H))$  and  $L^2_{w-*}(\Omega; L^\infty([0, T]; H)) \simeq (L^2(\Omega; L^1([0, T]; H')))'$ .  $\square$

In the following, we will establish some lemmas to pass to the limit as  $N \rightarrow +\infty$ . For that, consider  $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)$ ,  $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)^{10}$ , and  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ .

<sup>9</sup>Given a random variable  $\xi$  with values in space  $E$ ,  $\mathcal{L}(\xi)$  denotes its law  $\mathcal{L}(\xi)(\Gamma) = P(\xi \in \Gamma)$  for any Borel subset  $\Gamma$  of  $E$ .

<sup>10</sup>Note that  $\phi \otimes \psi \in V$ , thus it is an appropriate test function in Definition 5, point (3).



**Lemma 26.** *Let  $t \in ]0, T[$ , the following convergence holds:*

$$\lim_N \alpha_N \int_A \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_x \tilde{f}^N(x, r, s) \cdot \nabla_x \phi \psi dr dx ds dP = 0.$$

*Proof.* Thanks to Fubini's theorem and by using integration by part with respect to  $x$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \alpha_N \int_A \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_x \tilde{f}^N(x, r, s) \cdot \nabla_x \phi \psi dr dx ds dP \right| \\ &= \left| \alpha_N \int_A \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \tilde{f}^N(x, r, s) \Delta_x \phi \psi dx dr ds dP \right| \\ &\leq C \alpha_N \|\tilde{f}^N\|_{L^2(\Omega \times [0, T]; L^2(\mathbb{T}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2))} \|\Delta_x \phi\|_\infty \|\psi\|_\infty, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C$  is a constant depends only on the measure of  $\text{supp}(\psi)$ ,  $T$  and the volume of  $\mathbb{T}^2$ . We recall from (7) that  $\lim_N \alpha_N = 0$  and the result follows.  $\square$

**Lemma 27.** *Let  $t \in ]0, T[$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_N -\frac{1}{2} \int_A \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left( \sum_{k \in K} ((\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \otimes (\nabla \sigma_k^N r)) \nabla_r \tilde{f}^N(x, r, s) \right) \cdot \nabla_r \psi \phi dr dx ds dP \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_A \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} A(r) \nabla_r \bar{f}(x, r, s) \cdot \nabla_r \psi \phi dr dx ds dP. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* By using Lemma 3, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{2} \int_A \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left( \sum_{k \in K} ((\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \otimes (\nabla \sigma_k^N r)) \nabla_r \tilde{f}^N(x, r, s) \right) \cdot \nabla_r \psi \phi dr dx ds dP \\ (62) \quad &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_A \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} A(r) \nabla_r \tilde{f}^N(x, r, s) \cdot \nabla_r \psi \phi dr dx ds dP + R_N, \end{aligned}$$

where  $R_N$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} |R_N| &\leq \frac{C}{N} \int_A \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} |P(r)| |\tilde{f}^N(x, r, s)| \cdot |\Delta_r \psi \phi| dr dx ds dP \\ &\leq \frac{C}{N} \|\tilde{f}^N\|_{L^2(\Omega \times [0, T]; H)} \|\Delta_x \psi\|_\infty \|\phi\|_\infty \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } N \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Now, by passing to the limit in (62) and using Lemma 25, the conclusion follows.  $\square$

Concerning the stochastic integral part, we have the following result.

**Lemma 28.** *Let  $t \in ]0, T[$ , the following convergence holds*

$$\lim_N \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \sum_{k \in K} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \tilde{f}^N(x, r, l) (\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x \phi \psi + (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r \psi \phi) dr dx dW^{N,k}(s) 1_A = 0.$$

*Proof.* Let  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)$  and  $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , by using Itô isometry we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E} \left( \int_0^t \sum_{k \in K} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \tilde{f}^N(x, r, l) (\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x \phi \psi + (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r \psi \phi) dr dx dW^{N,k}(s) 1_A \right)^2 \\
&= \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \sum_{k \in K} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} 1_A \tilde{f}^N(x, r, l) (\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x \phi \psi + (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r \psi \phi) dx dr \right]^2 ds \\
&= \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \sum_{k \in K} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (1_A \tilde{f}^N(x, r, l) \nabla_x \phi \psi) \cdot \sigma_k^N + (1_A \tilde{f}^N(x, r, l) \nabla_r \psi \phi) \cdot (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) dx dr \right]^2 ds \\
&\leq 2 \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \sum_{k \in K} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (1_A \tilde{f}^N(x, r, l) \nabla_x \phi \psi) \cdot \sigma_k^N dx dr \right]^2 ds \\
&\quad + 2 \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \sum_{k \in K} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (1_A \tilde{f}^N(x, r, l) \nabla_r \psi \phi) \cdot (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) dx dr \right]^2 ds := 2(I_1^N + I_2^N).
\end{aligned}$$

By using the definition of  $\sigma_k^N$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1^N &= \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \sum_{k \in K} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (1_A \tilde{f}^N(x, r, l) \nabla_x \phi \psi) \cdot \sigma_k^N dx dr \right]^2 ds \\
&= \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \sum_{k \in K_+} (\theta_{|k|}^N)^2 \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (1_A \tilde{f}^N(x, r, l) \nabla_x \phi \psi) \cdot \frac{k^\perp}{|k|} \cos k \cdot x dx dr \right]^2 ds \\
&\quad + \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \sum_{k \in K_-} (\theta_{|k|}^N)^2 \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (1_A \tilde{f}^N(x, r, l) \nabla_x \phi \psi) \cdot \frac{k^\perp}{|k|} \sin k \cdot x dx dr \right]^2 ds.
\end{aligned}$$

By using that  $(\frac{k^\perp}{|k|} \cos k \cdot x, \frac{k^\perp}{|k|} \sin k \cdot x)_{k \in K}$  is an (incomplete) orthonormal system in  $L^2(\mathbb{T}^2; \mathbb{R}^2)$ , we obtain by using (55)

$$\begin{aligned}
|I_1^N| &\leq \sup_{k \in K} (\theta_{|k|}^N)^2 \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (\tilde{f}^N(x, r, l) \nabla_x \phi \psi)^2 dx dr ds \\
&\leq \|\nabla_x \phi \psi\|_\infty^2 \sup_{k \in K} (\theta_{|k|}^N)^2 \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \tilde{f}^N(x, r, l)^2 dx dr ds \\
&\leq \mathbf{K}^2 \|\nabla_x \phi \psi\|_\infty^2 \sup_{k \in K} (\theta_{|k|}^N)^2 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } N \rightarrow +\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Concerning  $I_2^N$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_2^N &= \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \sum_{k \in K} \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} (1_A \tilde{f}^N(x, r, l) \nabla_r \psi \phi) \cdot (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) dx dr \right]^2 ds \\
&= \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \sum_{k \in K_+} (\theta_{|k|}^N)^2 |k|^2 \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{k}{|k|} \cdot r (1_A \tilde{f}^N(x, r, l) \nabla_r \psi \phi) \cdot \frac{k^\perp}{|k|} \sin k \cdot x dx dr \right]^2 ds \\
&\quad + \mathbb{E} \int_0^t \sum_{k \in K_-} (\theta_{|k|}^N)^2 |k|^2 \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \frac{k}{|k|} \cdot r (1_A \tilde{f}^N(x, r, l) \nabla_r \psi \phi) \cdot \frac{k^\perp}{|k|} \cos k \cdot x dx dr \right]^2 ds.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, by using the same argument as above we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |I_1^N| &\leq \sup_{k \in K} [(\theta_{|k|}^N)^2 |k|^2] \mathbb{E} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} |r|^2 \left( \tilde{f}^N(x, r, l) \nabla_r \psi \phi \right)^2 dx dr ds \\ &\leq \sup_{k \in K} [(\theta_{|k|}^N)^2 |k|^2] \|\nabla_r \psi \phi\|_\infty^2 \|\tilde{f}^N\|_{L^2(\Omega \times [0, T]; H)}^2 \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } N \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Lemma 29.** *For any  $t \in ]0, T[$ , the following convergence holds (up to a subsequence)*

$$\lim_N \int_A \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \tilde{f}^N(x, r, t) \phi \psi dr dx dP = \int_A \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \bar{f}(x, r, t) \phi \psi dr dx dP.$$

*Proof.* From (57),  $\tilde{f}^N \rightarrow \bar{f}$  in  $C([0, T]; U')$   $P$ -a.s. Since  $(\tilde{f}^N)_N$  is bounded in  $L^2(\Omega; L^2([0, T]; H))$ , Vitali's convergence theorem ensures the convergence of  $\tilde{f}^N$  to  $\bar{f}$  in  $L^1(\Omega; C([0, T]; U'))$  and the result follows. □

5.2.1. *Proof of Theorem 9.* Let  $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{T}^2)$  and  $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ , Let  $t \in ]0, T]$  and  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ . From Definition 5, point (3) and by multiplying by  $I_A$  and integrating over  $\Omega \times [0, t]$ , we derive

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_A \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \tilde{f}^N(x, r, t) \phi \psi dr dx dP - \int_A \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} f_0(x, r) \phi \psi dr dx dP \\ &- \int_A \int_0^t \sum_{k \in K} \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \tilde{f}^N(x, r, s) (\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x \phi \psi + (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r \psi \phi) dr dx dW^{N, k}(s) dP \\ &= \int_A \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \tilde{f}^N(x, r, s) \left( u_L(x, s) \cdot \nabla_x \phi \psi + (\nabla u_L(s, x) r - \frac{1}{\beta} r) \cdot \nabla_r \psi \phi \right) \xi dr dx ds dP \\ &- \sigma^2 \int_A \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_r \tilde{f}^N(x, r, s) \cdot \nabla_r \psi \phi \xi dr dx ds dP \\ &- \alpha_N \int_A \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_x \tilde{f}^N(x, r, s) \cdot \nabla_x \phi \psi \xi dr dx ds dP \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \int_A \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left( \sum_{k \in K} ((\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \otimes (\nabla \sigma_k^N r)) \nabla_r \tilde{f}^N(x, r, s) \right) \cdot \nabla_r \psi \phi \xi dr dx ds dP \\ &:= J_N^1 + J_2^N + J_3^N + J_4^N. \end{aligned}$$

We pass to the limit as  $N \rightarrow +\infty$  in the RHS of the last equation. By using Lemma 25, one has

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_N \int_A \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \tilde{f}^N(x, r, s) \left( u_L(x, s) \cdot \nabla_x \phi \psi + (\nabla u_L(s, x) r - \frac{1}{\beta} r) \cdot \nabla_r \psi \phi \right) \xi dr dx ds dP \\ &= \int_A \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \bar{f}(x, r, s) \left( u_L(x, s) \cdot \nabla_x \phi \psi + (\nabla u_L(s, x) r - \frac{1}{\beta} r) \cdot \nabla_r \psi \phi \right) \xi dr dx ds dP. \end{aligned}$$

Additionally, we have

$$\lim_N \int_A \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_r \tilde{f}^N(x, r, s) \cdot \nabla_r \psi \phi \xi dr dx ds dP = \int_A \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \nabla_r \bar{f}(x, r, s) \cdot \nabla_r \psi \phi \xi dr dx ds dP.$$

From Lemma 26 and Lemma 27, we get

$$\lim_N (J_3^N + J_4^N) = -\frac{1}{2} \int_A \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{T}^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} A(r) \nabla_r \bar{f}(x, r, s) \cdot \nabla_r \psi \phi \xi dr dx ds dP.$$

Finally, we use [Lemma 28](#) and [Lemma 29](#) to pass to the limit in LHS to complete the proof.

Let us conclude this section by showing that the limit equation [\(9\)](#) has at most one solution.

**Lemma 30.** *The solution  $\bar{f}$  to [\(9\)](#) is unique.*

*Proof.* Let  $\bar{f}_1$  and  $\bar{f}_2$  be two solutions to [\(9\)](#) and denote by  $\bar{f}$  be their difference. Then  $P$ -a.s for any  $t \in ]0, T]$  and  $\phi \in Y$ , we have

$$(63) \quad \begin{aligned} & (\bar{f}(t), \phi) - \int_0^t \langle \bar{f}(s), u_L(s) \cdot \nabla_x \phi + (\nabla u_L(s)r - \frac{1}{\beta}r) \cdot \nabla_r \phi \rangle ds \\ &= - \int_0^t \sigma^2 \langle \nabla_r \bar{f}(s), \nabla_r \phi \rangle ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \langle A(r) \nabla_r f^N(s), \nabla_r \phi \rangle ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since the above equation is in weak form, we need first to consider an appropriate regularization to get an equation for  $\|\bar{f}(t)\|^2$  for any  $t \in [0, T]$ . We use analogous argument to "Step 1" in the proof of [Lemma 20](#) to obtain

$$(64) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \|\bar{f}(t)\|_\delta^2 + \int_0^t \langle [u_L(s) \cdot \nabla_x \bar{f}(s)]_\delta + [\operatorname{div}_r(\nabla u_L(s)r - \frac{1}{\beta}r)\bar{f}(s)]_\delta, [\bar{f}(s)]_\delta \rangle ds \\ &= \int_0^t \langle \sigma^2 [\Delta_r \bar{f}(s)]_\delta, [\bar{f}(s)]_\delta \rangle ds + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \langle [\operatorname{div}_r A(r) \nabla_r \bar{f}(s)]_\delta, [\bar{f}(s)]_\delta \rangle ds. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that  $[\operatorname{div}_r A(r) \nabla_r \bar{f}(s)]_\delta, [\bar{f}(s)]_\delta = \langle \operatorname{div}_r A(r) \nabla_r [\bar{f}(s)]_\delta, [\bar{f}(s)]_\delta \rangle$  hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \langle [\operatorname{div}_r A(r) \nabla_r \bar{f}(s)]_\delta, [\bar{f}(s)]_\delta \rangle ds &= -\frac{3k_T}{2} \int_0^t \| |r| \nabla_r [\bar{f}(s)]_\delta \|^2 ds + k_T \int_0^t \| r \cdot \nabla_r [\bar{f}(s)]_\delta \|^2 ds \\ &\leq -\frac{k_T}{2} \int_0^t \| |r| \nabla_r \bar{f}(s) \|^2 ds \rightarrow -\frac{k_T}{2} \int_0^t \| |r| \nabla_r \bar{f}(s) \|^2 ds \text{ as } \delta \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Next, arguments similar to that used in "Step 2" of the proof of [Lemma 20](#) allow to pass to the limit as  $\delta \rightarrow 0$  in [\(64\)](#) and we get

$$(65) \quad \frac{1}{2} \|\bar{f}(t)\|^2 + \sigma^2 \int_0^t \|\nabla_r \bar{f}(s)\|^2 ds + \frac{k_T}{2} \int_0^t \| |r| \nabla_r \bar{f}(s) \|^2 ds \leq \frac{1}{\beta} \int_0^t \|\bar{f}(s)\|^2 ds.$$

The last inequality [\(65\)](#) and Grönwall lemma completes the proof of [Lemma 30](#).  $\square$

**Remark 31.** *Another way to prove that uniqueness to [\(9\)](#) holds is to notice that [\(11\)](#) has [\(9\)](#) as FP equation associated. We have uniqueness in law of weak solutions of the SDE [\(11\)](#) due to the properties of  $\Sigma(r)$ . The latter ensures uniqueness of solutions of [\(9\)](#) due to [\[27, Thm. 2.5\]](#).*

## APPENDIX A. PROOF OF [LEMMA 2](#) AND [LEMMA 3](#)

**A.1. Proof of [Lemma 2](#).** Let  $\psi$  be a smooth function (we drop the dependence of  $\sigma_k$  on  $N$  here for the simplicity of notation). We have

$$\begin{aligned} I^1(\psi) &= \sum_{k \in K} \sum_{l, \gamma, i=1}^2 \sigma_k^l \partial_{x_l} (\partial_{x_\gamma} \sigma_k^i r_\gamma \partial_{r_i} \psi) \\ &= \sum_{k \in K} \sum_{l, \gamma, i=1}^2 \sigma_k^l (\partial_{x_l} \partial_{x_\gamma} \sigma_k^i) r_\gamma \partial_{r_i} \psi + \sum_{k \in K} \sum_{l, \gamma, i=1}^2 \sigma_k^l \partial_{x_\gamma} \sigma_k^i \partial_{x_l} (r_\gamma \partial_{r_i} \psi). \end{aligned}$$

First, let us compute the second term in the last equation. We have

$$\sum_{k \in K} \sigma_k^l(y) \partial_{x_\gamma} \sigma_k^i(x) = \partial_{x_\gamma} \sum_{k \in K} \sigma_k^l(y) \sigma_k^i(x) = \partial_{x_\gamma} Q_{i,l}(x - y),$$

which gives ( we recall that  $Q$  is space-homogeneous)

$$(66) \quad \sum_{k \in K} \sigma_k^l(x) \partial_{x_\gamma} \sigma_k^i(x) = \partial_{x_\gamma} Q_{i,l}(0).$$

Thus  $\sum_{k \in K} \sum_{l, \gamma, i=1}^2 \sigma_k^l \partial_{x_\gamma} \sigma_k^i \partial_{x_l} (r_\gamma \partial_{r_i} \psi) = \sum_{l, \gamma, i=1}^2 \partial_{x_\gamma} Q_{i,l}(0) \partial_{x_l} (r_\gamma \partial_{r_i} \psi)$ . Next, let us compute  $I^2$

$$\begin{aligned} I^2(\psi) &= \sum_{k \in K} (\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \cdot \nabla_r (\sigma_k^N \cdot \nabla_x \psi) = \sum_{k \in K} \sum_{l, \gamma, i=1}^2 \partial_{x_\gamma} \sigma_k^i r_\gamma \partial_{r_i} (\sigma_k^l \partial_{x_l} \psi) \\ &= \sum_{k \in K} \sum_{l, \gamma, i=1}^2 \partial_{x_\gamma} \sigma_k^i \sigma_k^l \partial_{x_l} (r_\gamma \partial_{r_i} \psi) = \sum_{l, \gamma, i=1}^2 \partial_{x_\gamma} Q_{i,l}(0) \partial_{x_l} (r_\gamma \partial_{r_i} \psi). \end{aligned}$$

Now, let us prove that the first part of  $I^1$  vanishes. Namely

$$\sum_{k \in K} \sum_{l, \gamma, i=1}^2 \sigma_k^l (\partial_{x_l} \partial_{x_\gamma} \sigma_k^i) r_\gamma \partial_{r_i} \psi = \sum_{\gamma, i=1}^2 \left( \sum_l \sum_{k \in K} \sigma_k^l \partial_{x_l} \partial_{x_\gamma} \sigma_k^i \right) r_\gamma \partial_{r_i} \psi = 0.$$

It is sufficient to show that  $\sum_l \sum_{k \in K} \sigma_k^l \partial_{x_l} \partial_{x_\gamma} \sigma_k^i = 0$ . Indeed, notice that

$$\sum_l \sum_{k \in K} \sigma_k^l \partial_{x_l} \partial_{x_\gamma} \sigma_k^i = \sum_l \partial_{x_\gamma} \sum_{k \in K} \sigma_k^l \partial_{x_l} \sigma_k^i - \sum_l \sum_{k \in K} \partial_{x_\gamma} \sigma_k^l \partial_{x_l} \sigma_k^i = - \sum_l \sum_{k \in K} \partial_{x_\gamma} \sigma_k^l \partial_{x_l} \sigma_k^i,$$

where we used similar arguments to the one used to obtain (66) to get

$$\sum_{k \in K} \sigma_k^l(x) \partial_{x_l} \sigma_k^i(x) = \partial_{x_l} Q_{i,l}(0) \text{ and } \partial_{x_\gamma} \sum_{k \in K} \sigma_k^l \partial_{x_l} \sigma_k^i = 0.$$

On the other hand, note that

$$\sum_l \sum_{k \in K} \partial_{x_\gamma} \sigma_k^l \partial_{x_l} \sigma_k^i = \sum_l \partial_{x_l} \sum_{k \in K} \partial_{x_\gamma} \sigma_k^l \sigma_k^i - \sum_{k \in K} \partial_{x_\gamma} \left( \sum_l \partial_{x_l} \sigma_k^l \right) \sigma_k^i = \sum_l \partial_{x_l} \sum_{k \in K} \partial_{x_\gamma} \sigma_k^l \sigma_k^i,$$

since  $\operatorname{div}_x(\sigma_k) = 0$ . Again, note that  $\sum_{k \in K} \partial_{x_\gamma} \sigma_k^l \sigma_k^i = \partial_{x_\gamma} Q_{l,i}(0)$ . Therefore

$$\partial_{x_l} \sum_{k \in K} \partial_{x_\gamma} \sigma_k^l \sigma_k^i = \partial_{x_l} (\partial_{x_\gamma} Q_{l,i}(0)) = 0.$$

Summing up, we get  $I(\psi) = 2 \sum_{l, \gamma, i=1}^2 \partial_{x_\gamma} Q_{i,l}(0) \partial_{x_l} (r_\gamma \partial_{r_i} \psi)$ . If  $Q(x) = Q(-x)$  and  $Q$  is smooth function, we see that  $\partial_{x_\gamma} Q_{i,l}(0) = 0$  and the second part of [Lemma 2](#) follows.

A.2. **Proof of Lemma 3.** Let us simplify the expressions of

$$\mathcal{S}(f) := \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div}_r \left( \sum_{k \in K} ((\nabla \sigma_k^N r) \otimes (\nabla \sigma_k^N r)) \nabla_r f \right) \text{ and } \mathcal{B}(f) := \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div}_x \left( \sum_{k \in K} (\sigma_k^N \otimes \sigma_k^N) \nabla_x f \right).$$

First, we consider the term  $\mathcal{B}$ . Recall that

$$\sigma_k^N(x) = \theta_{|k|}^N \frac{k^\perp}{|k|} \cos k \cdot x, \quad k \in K_+, \quad \sigma_k^N(x) = \theta_{|k|}^N \frac{k^\perp}{|k|} \sin k \cdot x, \quad k \in K_-.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k \in K} \sigma_k^N \otimes \sigma_k^N &= \sum_{k \in K_+} (\theta_{|k|}^N)^2 \frac{k^\perp \otimes k^\perp}{|k|^2} \cos^2 k \cdot x + \sum_{k \in K_-} (\theta_{|k|}^N)^2 \frac{k^\perp \otimes k^\perp}{|k|^2} \sin^2 k \cdot x \\ (k \in K_- \rightarrow -k \in K_+) &= \sum_{k \in K_+} (\theta_{|k|}^N)^2 \frac{k^\perp \otimes k^\perp}{|k|^2} \\ (k \in K_{+-} \rightarrow k^\perp \in K_{++}) &= \sum_{k \in K_{++}} (\theta_{|k|}^N)^2 \left( \frac{k^\perp \otimes k^\perp}{|k|^2} + \frac{k \otimes k}{|k|^2} \right) \quad (K_+ = K_{++} \cup K_{+-}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\sum_{k \in K} \sigma_k^N \otimes \sigma_k^N = \sum_{k \in K_{++}} (\theta_{|k|}^N)^2 I$ ;  $I = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and therefore

$$\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{div}_x \left( \sum_{k \in K} (\sigma_k^N \otimes \sigma_k^N) \nabla_x f \right) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in K_{++}} (\theta_{|k|}^N)^2 \Delta_x f := \alpha_N \Delta_x f.$$

On the other hand, let us present some properties of  $\mathcal{S}$ . We have (with slight abuse of notation we use  $\sigma_k$  instead of  $\sigma_k^N$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum_{k \in K} (\nabla \sigma_k r) \otimes (\nabla \sigma_k r) \right)_{i,l} &= \sum_{j,\alpha=1}^2 \sum_{k \in K} (\partial_{x_j} \sigma_k^i r_j \partial_{x_\alpha} \sigma_k^l r_\alpha) \\ &= \sum_{k \in K_+} (\theta_{|k|}^N)^2 (k \cdot r)^2 \frac{k^\perp \otimes k^\perp}{|k|^2} \sin^2 k \cdot x + \sum_{k \in K_-} (\theta_{|k|}^N)^2 (k \cdot r)^2 \frac{k^\perp \otimes k^\perp}{|k|^2} \cos^2 k \cdot x \\ (k \in K_- \rightarrow -k \in K_+) &= \sum_{k \in K_+} (\theta_{|k|}^N)^2 (k \cdot r)^2 \frac{k^\perp \otimes k^\perp}{|k|^2} = a^2 \sum_{\substack{k \in K_+ \\ N \leq |k| \leq 2N}} \frac{1}{|k|^6} (k \cdot r)^2 k^\perp \otimes k^\perp. \end{aligned}$$

Now, let us compute  $\sum_{\substack{k \in K_+ \\ N \leq |k| \leq 2N}} \frac{1}{|k|^6} (k \cdot r)^2 k^\perp \otimes k^\perp$ . Note that

$$\sum_{\substack{k \in K_+ \\ N \leq |k| \leq 2N}} \frac{1}{|k|^6} (k \cdot r)^2 k^\perp \otimes k^\perp = \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{\substack{k \in K_+ \\ 1 \leq \frac{|k|}{N} \leq 2}} \frac{N^6}{|k|^6} \frac{1}{N^2} (k \cdot r)^2 \frac{1}{N^2} (k^\perp \otimes k^\perp).$$

Note that  $h_r(x) = \frac{1}{x^6}(x \cdot r)^2(x^\perp \otimes x^\perp)$  is smooth function for  $1 \leq |x| \leq 2$ . By using Riemann sum, we get

$$\frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{\substack{k \in K_+ \\ 1 \leq \frac{|k|}{N} \leq 2}} \frac{N^6}{|k|^6} \frac{1}{N^2} (k \cdot r)^2 \frac{1}{N^2} (k^\perp \otimes k^\perp) = \int_D h_r(x) dx + O\left(\frac{1}{N}\right)P(r),$$

where  $D = \{x = (|x| \cos(\varphi), |x| \sin(\varphi)) : 1 \leq |x| \leq 2 \text{ and } \varphi \in D_\pi := ]0, \frac{\pi}{2}] \cup ]\frac{3\pi}{2}, 2\pi]\}$  and  $P$  is a polynomial of second degree. On the other hand, we have

$$\int_D h_r(x) dx = \int_1^2 \frac{1}{z} \int_{D_\pi} (r_1 \cos(\varphi) + r_2 \sin(\varphi))^2 \begin{pmatrix} \sin^2(\varphi) & -\sin(\varphi) \cos(\varphi) \\ -\sin(\varphi) \cos(\varphi) & \cos^2(\varphi) \end{pmatrix} d\varphi dz.$$

Let us compute the following integral

$$I(r) := \int_{D_\pi} (r_1 \cos(\varphi) + r_2 \sin(\varphi))^2 \begin{pmatrix} \sin^2(\varphi) & -\sin(\varphi) \cos(\varphi) \\ -\sin(\varphi) \cos(\varphi) & \cos^2(\varphi) \end{pmatrix} d\varphi.$$

A standard integration with respect to  $\varphi$  gives

$$I(r) = \frac{\pi}{8} \begin{pmatrix} 3|r|^2 - 2r_1^2 & -2r_1r_2 \\ -2r_1r_2 & 3|r|^2 - 2r_2^2 \end{pmatrix}; r = (r_1, r_2).$$

Since  $\int_1^2 \frac{1}{z} dz = \log(2)$ , we get the final expression of  $A(r)$ .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The research of F.F. and Y.T. is funded by the European Union (ERC, NoisyFluid, No. 101053472). Views and opinions expressed are however those of the authors only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Research Council. Neither the European Union nor the granting authority can be held responsible for them.

## REFERENCES

- [1] E Balkovsky, A Fouxon, and V Lebedev. Turbulent dynamics of polymer solutions. *Physical review letters*, 84(20):4765–4768, 2000.
- [2] C. L. Bris and T. Lelievre. Multiscale modelling of complex fluids: a mathematical initiation. *Multiscale modeling and simulation in science*, pages 49–137, 2009.
- [3] Z. Brzeźniak and E. Motyl. Existence of a martingale solution of the stochastic Navier–Stokes equations in unbounded 2D and 3D domains. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 254(4):1627–1685, 2013.
- [4] F. Butori, F. Flandoli, and E. Luongo. On the Itô–Stratonovich Diffusion Limit for the Magnetic Field in a 3D Thin Domain. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.15701*, 2024.
- [5] M. Chertkov. Polymer stretching by turbulence. *Physical review letters*, 84(20):4761–4764, 2000.
- [6] D. Cioranescu, V. Girault, and K. R. Rajagopal. *Mechanics and mathematics of fluids of the differential type*, volume 35. Springer, 2016.
- [7] G. Da Prato and J. Zabczyk. *Stochastic equations in infinite dimensions*, volume 44. Cambridge university press, 1992.
- [8] R. E. Edwards. *Functional analysis*. New York: Dover Publications Inc., 1995.
- [9] G. Falkovich, K. Gawedzki, and M. Vergassola. Particles and fields in fluid turbulence. *Reviews of modern Physics*, 73(4):913–975, 2001.
- [10] E. Fedrizzi, C. Olivera, and V. Neves. On a class of stochastic transport equations for L2loc vector fields. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci.*, 18(2):397–419, 2015.
- [11] F. Flandoli and D. Gatarek. Martingale and stationary solutions for stochastic navier-stokes equations. *Probability Theory and Related Fields*, 102:367–391, 1995.
- [12] F. Flandoli and E. Luongo. *Stochastic partial differential equations in fluid mechanics*, volume 2330. Springer Nature, 2023.

- [13] F. Flandoli and C. Olivera. Well-posedness of the vector advection equations by stochastic perturbation. *Journal of Evolution Equations*, 18:277–301, 2018.
- [14] L. Galeati. On the convergence of stochastic transport equations to a deterministic parabolic one. *Stochastics and Partial Differential Equations: Analysis and Computations*, 8(4):833–868, 2020.
- [15] S. Gerashchenko, C. Chevillard, and V. Steinberg. Single-polymer dynamics: Coil-stretch transition in a random flow. *Europhysics Letters*, 71(2):221–227, 2005.
- [16] Y. Le Jan and O. Raimond. Integration of brownian vector fields. *Ann. Probab.*, 30(2):826–873, 2002.
- [17] M. Maurelli. Wiener chaos and uniqueness for stochastic transport equation. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 349:669–672, 2011.
- [18] D. Nualart. *The Malliavin calculus and related topics*, volume 1995. Springer, 2006.
- [19] B. Oksendal. *Stochastic differential equations: an introduction with applications*. Fourth Edition, Springer Science & Business Media, 1995.
- [20] C. Olivera and V. Neves. Wellposedness for stochastic continuity equations with ladyzhenskaya-prodi-serrin condition. *Nonlinear Diff. Eq. Appl.*, 22:1247–1258, 2015.
- [21] A. Papini, F. Flandoli, and R. Huang. Turbulence enhancement of coagulation: The role of eddy diffusion in velocity. *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena*, 448:133726, 2023.
- [22] J. R. Picardo, L.C. VI M. Plan Emmanuel, and D. Vincenzi. Polymers in turbulence: stretching statistics and the role of extreme strain rate fluctuations. *Journal of Fluid Mechanics*, 969:A24, 2023.
- [23] J. Simon. Compact sets in the space  $L^p(0, T; B)$ . *Annali di Matematica pura ed applicata*, 146(1):65–96, 1986.
- [24] Y. Tahraoui and F. Cipriano. Optimal control of two dimensional third grade fluids. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 523(2):127032, 2023.
- [25] Y. Tahraoui and F. Cipriano. Local strong solutions to the stochastic third grade fluid equations with navier boundary conditions. *Stochastics and Partial Differential Equations: Analysis and Computations*, 12:1699–1744, 2024.
- [26] R. Temam. Navier-stokes equations: Theory and numerical analysis(book). *Amsterdam, North-Holland Publishing Co.(Studies in Mathematics and Its Applications*, 2:510, 1977.
- [27] D. Trevisan. Well-posedness of multidimensional diffusion processes with weakly differentiable coefficients. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 21:Paper No. 22, 41, 2016.
- [28] G. Vallet and A. Zimmermann. Well-posedness for a pseudomonotone evolution problem with multiplicative noise. *Journal of Evolution Equations*, 19:153–202, 2019.
- [29] A. W Van Der Vaart and J. A. Wellner. *Weak convergence and empirical processes*. Springer, 1996.