

Defrosting frozen stars: spectrum of non-radial oscillations

Ram Brustein⁽¹⁾, A.J.M. Medved^(2,3), Tom Shindelman⁽¹⁾

(1) Department of Physics, Ben-Gurion University, Beer-Sheva 84105, Israel

(2) Department of Physics & Electronics, Rhodes University, Grahamstown 6140, South Africa

(3) National Institute for Theoretical Physics (NITheP), Western Cape 7602, South Africa

ramyb@bgu.ac.il, j.medved@ru.ac.za, tomshin@post.bgu.ac.il

Abstract

The frozen star model describes a type of black hole mimicker; that is, a regular, horizonless, ultracompact object that behaves just like a Schwarzschild black hole from an external-observer's perspective. In particular, the frozen star is bald, meaning that it cannot be excited. To mimic the possible excitations of the frozen star, it needs to be “defrosted” by allowing deviations from the maximally negative radial pressure and vanishing tangential pressure of the fluid sourcing the star. Here, we extend a previous study on non-radial oscillations of the defrosted star by considering, in addition to the fluid modes, the even-parity metric perturbations and their coupling to the fluid modes. At first, general equations are obtained for the perturbations of the energy density and pressure along with the even-parity perturbations of the metric for a static, spherically symmetric but otherwise generic background with an anisotropic fluid. This formal framework is then applied to the case of a defrosted star. The spectrum of non-radial oscillations is obtained to leading order in an expansion in terms of γ , which is the small relative deviation away from maximally negative radial pressure. We find that the sound velocity of the modes is non-relativistic, and proportional to γ , while their lifetime is parametrically long, proportional to $1/\gamma^2$. This result was anticipated by previous discussions on the collapsed polymer model, whose strongly non-classical interior is argued to provide a microscopic description of the frozen and defrosted star geometries. Our results will serve as a starting point for calculating the spectrum of emitted gravitational waves from an excited frozen star.

1 Introduction

The “frozen star” is a particular class of black hole (BH) mimickers, by which we mean regular, horizonless, ultracompact objects that, from the perspective of an externally situated observer, behave just like the BHs of general relativity, including their standard semiclassical aspects. The original discussions on the frozen star model, although not yet called as such, can be found in [1, 2]. Subsequent treatments that do indeed incorporate the name frozen star, which was adopted as an homage to early literature [3], can be found in [4, 5, 6, 7]. Even more recent discussions have allowed for the incorporation of rotation [8] and provided a formal description of the matter source in terms of a fluid of electric-flux tubes [9].

One of the signature features of the frozen star model is a radial pressure that is maximally negative, $p \equiv p_r = -\rho$, ρ being the energy density, and a vanishing pressure in the tangential directions $q \equiv p_\theta = p_\phi = 0$. The former property allows the model to evade the singularity theorems [10, 11] and Buchdahl-like compactness-of-matter bounds [12, 13, 14, 15, 16], while still respecting the null-energy condition, whereas the latter is a consequence of the microscopic description of the star’s interior being effectively two dimensional [17]. Another important feature is that the frozen star solution deviates from the Schwarzschild geometry over horizon-sized length scales, meaning that it is not plagued by conflicts with the conservation of energy that other ultracompact objects have while slowly evaporating [18, 19].

Yet another prominent feature is that the frozen star metric is nearly null throughout the interior, as each radial surface is timelike up to an extremely small, dimensionless parameter ε^2 . As explained in detail elsewhere [1, 2] and vindicated in [7], this strange property is just what is needed to account for an area-law’s worth of entropy without having a formal horizon. As far as we know, the frozen star may be the only model of its kind that can reproduce all of the standard properties of a BH — including all of its thermodynamic

properties — but with none of the usual pathologies. For an incomplete list of other models, see [20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30]. Further references can be found in the review articles [31, 32, 33, 34].

It is worthwhile to recall that the frozen star’s microscopic description, what is known as the collapsed polymer model [35, 17, 36], is provided by a fluid of highly excited, interacting, long, closed, fundamental strings. In this sense, the frozen star metric is meant to represent how an external observer would effectively describe the interior geometry, even though the inside is lacking a classically geometrical description at a fundamental level. From this perspective, it would be inaccurate to claim that astrophysical BHs are filled with some highly anisotropic classical fluid. The importance of the metric is then not to paint a geometrical portrait but to enable one to perform precise calculations within the realms of general relativity and quantum field theory in curved space. Nevertheless, a recent discovery [9] suggests that a particular arrangement of open strings, or lines of electric flux, that are radially directed from the center of the star to its outer surface (or *vice versa*) can act as the matter source for the frozen star geometry. This description of the interior also has its origins in string theory [37, 38] but, unlike the polymer model, is directly endowed with a well-defined metric via Einstein’s equations. It is also worth mentioning that this radial-string picture is consistent with a hedgehog-like description of the frozen star interior, as presented in [5] and originally discussed in [39, 40].

A direct consequence of the frozen star equation of state $p + \rho = 0$ is the ultrastability of the model; any perturbations of the interior geometry or matter densities vanish identically [1, 4]. This feature agrees with the polymer model, which is completely stable, behaving like a hairless BH, in the absence of quantum effects or, equivalently, as the closed-string coupling goes to zero, $g_s^2 \rightarrow 0$. When quantum effects are included, it was shown that the polymer supports a spectrum of non-radial oscillations whose sound velocity scales as g_s (in $c = 1$ units) and whose lifetime scales as $1/g_s^2$ [41].

So that, to mimic the quantum effects of the polymer model and study the spectrum of oscillatory modes of the frozen star, one must allow for deviations away from its maximally negative radial pressure by, effectively, “defrosting” the star. For the calculations to make sense, such deviations — which will be denoted by the dimensionless, non-negative parameter γ — need to be small enough to be regarded as perturbative, but not so extremely small that γ dominates over ε^2 . The consequences of these constraints will be a point of emphasis in Section 2. What is already clear though is that γ^2 plays the same role as g_s^2 does in the polymer model; as demonstrated explicitly in Eqs. (2.13) and (2.14), which inform us that radial velocities scale as γ .

The model of a frozen star with a relaxed equation of state has already been introduced in [6], where we determined the nature of the non-radial oscillatory modes of the fluid perturbations in the energy density, radial pressure and tangential pressure: $\delta\rho$, δp and δq , respectively. In [6], following [42], we applied the Cowling approximation [43], meaning that the metric perturbations are ignored completely. This is a reasonable approximation for BH mimickers, as it becomes more accurate as the object becomes more compact [44]. Nevertheless, a central goal of the current paper is to relax this approximation and thus allow for coupling between the fluid and geometric sectors.

Another objective of the the current paper, which was not pursued in [6], is to solve for the spectrum of the ringdown modes, as this can only be obtained by coupling oscillatory modes to the external spacetime; in which case, the gravitational perturbations can no longer be dismissed. The ringdown modes are of great interest because these determine the spectrum of gravitational waves (GWs) that are emitted from an excited star; for example, in the final phase of a merger event in a binary system. Then, if astrophysical BHs are indeed described by frozen stars, their emission of GWs could possibly lead to observable predictions (*e.g.*, [45, 46]). Put differently, the ringdown modes provide a means for probing the star’s interior.

In this regard, a word of caution is in order: It is our expectation that a BH mimicker of the frozen star class would, for all practical purposes, be indistinguishable from a Schwarzschild BH of the same mass when it is in its equilibrium state [47]. If this is strictly true, what will be required to discriminate between our model and the Schwarzschild solution, as well as between frozen stars and other candidate models, is precise data from BH mergers that is capable of probing their spectrum of excitations.

As the frozen star and polymer models are suppose to be complementary descriptions of the same object, an important consistency check would be if they produced similar spectra for their respective ringdown modes. What is then pertinent is the aforementioned article [41] (also see [48]) in which the mode spectrum for the polymer model was evaluated.¹ In the present article, we show that the two spectra are indeed very similar, given that γ^2 is identified as the star's counterpart to the polymer's g_s^2 .

Although much of the discussion in the current work revolves around the particular choice of model being the frozen (or, rather, defrosted) star, the equations presented below are applicable to any anisotropic stellar model, given the specification of an equation of state and suitable boundary conditions. Our generic analysis is supplemented by a detailed appendix, in which our derivations are shown to differ from previous attempts at this sort of description [49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54]. In most cases, this can be attributed to the specificity of the analysis; for instance, [53] considers the particular case of polytropes. On the other hand, in [54], more general calculations are performed; however, the authors make a restricting assumption that the perturbations respect the spherical symmetry of the background.²

The rest of the paper is arranged as follows: We start by introducing the

¹Albeit with some heuristic inputs due to the lack of an interior geometry.

²More specifically, they set the perturbations δs_θ and δs_ϕ to be *a priori* vanishing, where the vector s_μ is the unit normal to the fluid velocity as expressed in the notation of [54] (we rather use k_μ).

relevant background solutions; those of a spherically symmetric, static but otherwise generic anisotropic star (Sec. 2.1) and the defrosted star model (Sec. 2.2). The second section ends with a discussion on the pair of dimensionless, perturbative parameters, ε^2 and γ^2 , that are needed to describe the defrosted star geometry (Sec. 2.3). The perturbative analysis is then carried out; first for the generic background (Sec. 3), with many of the details deferred to the Appendix. The generic results are then applied to the defrosted star (Sec. 4), culminating with a derivation of the spectrum for the ringdown modes (Sec. 4.3). The main part of the paper ends with a discussion (Sec. 5).

2 Background geometry

2.1 A generic anisotropic star

The perturbation equations of an anisotropic star will eventually be derived in Section 3 and the Appendix. For now, we begin with the background solution, which is static, spherically symmetric but otherwise generic,

$$ds^2 = -e^{2\Phi} dt^2 + e^{2\Lambda} dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2, \quad (2.1)$$

where $\Phi = \Phi(r)$ and $\Lambda = \Lambda(r)$.

The advertised anisotropy enters through the energy–momentum (EM) tensor

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \rho u_\mu u_\nu + p k_\mu k_\nu + q (g_{\mu\nu} + u_\mu u_\nu - k_\mu k_\nu), \quad (2.2)$$

where the tangential pressure q is allowed to differ from the radial pressure p , u^μ is the fluid 4-velocity and k^μ is a radial unit vector, $k^\mu k_\mu = +1$, that satisfies $u^\mu k_\mu = 0$.

We will denote metric perturbations by $h_{\mu\nu}$ so that the components of the metric can be written as

$$g_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu}^{(0)} + h_{\mu\nu} , \quad (2.3)$$

where the $g_{\mu\nu}^{(0)}$ components are defined in Eq. (2.1).

The unperturbed Einstein equations are (a prime denotes a radial derivative)

$$(re^{-2\Lambda})' = 1 - 8\pi G r^2 \rho , \quad (2.4)$$

$$e^{-2(\Lambda+\Phi)} (re^{2\Phi})' = 1 + 8\pi G r^2 p , \quad (2.5)$$

$$\frac{dp}{dr} = -\Phi' (p + \rho) - \frac{2}{r} (p - q) . \quad (2.6)$$

Now, supplemented by a mass function,

$$m(r) = 4\pi \int_0^r \rho(s) s^2 ds , \quad (2.7)$$

Eq. (2.4) translates into

$$e^{-2\Lambda} = 1 - 2Gm(r)/r , \quad (2.8)$$

while Eq. (2.5) adopts the form

$$1 + 2r \frac{d\Phi}{dr} = \frac{1 + 8\pi r^2 p}{1 - 2Gm(r)/r} . \quad (2.9)$$

Combining the previous equation with Eq. (2.6), one obtains a modified version of the standard Tolman–Oppenheimer–Volkoff equation,

$$\frac{dp}{dr} = -G \frac{(p + \rho) (m(r) + 4\pi r^3 p)}{r (r - 2Gm(r))} - \frac{2}{r} (p - q) . \quad (2.10)$$

Ultimately, in order to complete the full perturbative analysis as described below, an equation of state of the form $\rho = \rho(p)$, $q = q(p)$ would have to be specified.

2.2 The defrosted star model

In this case, the relevant metric components are

$$-g_{tt} = e^{2\Phi} = \varepsilon^2 + \gamma \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^a, \quad (2.11)$$

$$g^{rr} = e^{2\Lambda} = \varepsilon^2 + \gamma \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^b, \quad (2.12)$$

where all parameters are dimensionless besides R (the radius of the star) and the original frozen star model is retrieved by setting $\gamma = 0$. Although a and b are *a priori* undetermined numbers, it was shown in [6] that self-consistency requires the specific choices of $a = 2$ and $b = 0$, so that

$$-g_{tt} = \gamma \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^2, \quad (2.13)$$

$$g^{rr} = \gamma, \quad (2.14)$$

with ε^2 now neglected (both here and in what follows) because $\varepsilon \ll \gamma$. Subsequent expressions will also be to linear order in γ because $\gamma \ll 1$. This hierarchy of scales is discussed at length in Section 2.3.

It should be noted that the form of the metric is altered near the center of the star [5] and close to its outer surface [4]. However, the former has no bearing on the current analysis and similarly for the latter given that the thin-wall approximation has been implemented, as we choose to do here.

Let us now consider two components of the EM tensor,

$$8\pi Gr^2\rho = 1 - \gamma, \quad (2.15)$$

and

$$8\pi Gr^2 p = -1 + 3\gamma, \quad (2.16)$$

which can be combined into

$$p = \rho(-1 + 2\gamma) \quad (2.17)$$

or

$$8\pi Gr^2(\rho + p) = 2\gamma, \quad (2.18)$$

which makes the intended deviation from $\rho + p = 0$ quite evident. Also note that $\rho + p \geq 0$, as required by causality.

Via the background conservation equation for the EM tensor,

$$\frac{dp}{dr} = -\partial_r \Phi (\rho + p) - \frac{(p - q)}{r}, \quad (2.19)$$

one finds that the tangential pressure q is no longer vanishing, as it is in the frozen star model. Rather,

$$8\pi Gr^2 q = \gamma \quad (2.20)$$

or

$$q = \frac{1}{2}(\rho + p), \quad (2.21)$$

from which it can be deduced that (also using Eq. (2.17))

$$\frac{\partial q}{\partial p} = -\gamma. \quad (2.22)$$

Another useful relation is

$$\partial_r \Phi = \frac{2}{r}. \quad (2.23)$$

The radius R of a defrosted star of mass M is larger than its Schwarzschild size, as can be shown by matching the radial component of the defrosted star metric to the standard Schwarzschild form at $r = R$. This leads to, at linear order in γ , $R = 2GM(1 + \gamma)$. That the star's mass is indeed M (again at linear order) follows from

$$\int_0^R dr 4\pi r^2 \rho(r) = \frac{1}{2G} \int_0^R dr (1 - \gamma) = \frac{1 - \gamma}{2G} R = M, \quad (2.24)$$

where $\rho(r)$ has been obtained from Eq. (2.15). Since the defrosted star is meant to be regarded as an excited state of the frozen star [41], the implication is that the ground state had an initial mass of less than M .

2.3 Interpretation of ε^2 and γ^2

To gain some insight into the defrosted star model, it is useful to discuss the interpretation and relative size of the model's pair of small, dimensionless parameters ε^2 and γ^2 . Although we cannot be precise on either, our working assumption is that these two parameters correspond to the two small parameters of the polymer model: ε^2 corresponds to $\epsilon = \frac{l_P}{R}$, the Planck length measured in Schwarzschild units, and γ^2 corresponds to g_s^2 , the closed-string coupling. It is necessary for the self-consistency of the polymer model that $\epsilon \ll g_s^2$. This would certainly be true for any observable BH given that g_s^2 is not abnormally small. For example, a solar-mass BH would have $\epsilon \sim 10^{-38}$ and we expect that $g_s^2 \lesssim \frac{1}{10}$, implying that $\varepsilon^2 < 10^{-38}$ (typically) and $\gamma^2 \lesssim \frac{1}{10}$.

It should then be emphasized that the defrosted star background must be viewed as a geometry which enables the frozen star to mimic some quantum effects of the polymer model by allowing it to be perturbed away from its bald equilibrium state. Clearly, any star for which $|g_{tt}|_{r=R} \sim \frac{1}{10}$ is not

sufficiently compact to mimic a BH. The relevant physical quantities are the perturbations, which we discuss in the following sections, while the deformed background geometry is just an enabling agent.

It is also of interest to consider differences in the internal tortoise coordinate, $r_* = \int \frac{dr}{\sqrt{-g_{tt}g^{rr}}}$, which has important implications for the causal structure of the interior spacetime. In the case of the frozen star, this is $r_* = \frac{r}{\varepsilon^2}$. As for the defrosted star, $r_* = \frac{R}{\gamma} \ln \frac{r}{R}$, which differs greatly from the linear relation of the frozen star model, being more reminiscent of the familiar relation for the Schwarzschild geometry. In the analysis of Section 4, we find that redefining the tortoise coordinate as $r_* = R \ln \frac{r}{R}$, up to a suitable integration constant, is a more convenient choice.

3 Metric perturbations

First note that, for the rest of the paper, including the Appendix, we employ units for which $8\pi G = 1$. The sole exception is Section 4.3, where Newton's constant is briefly restored for clarity.

The metric perturbation $h_{\mu\nu}$ can be expanded in terms of tensorial spherical harmonics of either even or odd parity. The Regge–Wheeler gauge [55] can be used to simplify these expansions significantly. Our focus here will be on the even-parity metric perturbations, as these are the ones that can couple to the scalar density and pressure perturbations [56] and so the most relevant to an analysis that extends beyond the Cowling approximation. The total perturbed metric in the Regge–Wheeler gauge is, to first order [56, 57],

$$g_{\mu\nu}^{(\text{even})} = \begin{pmatrix} -e^{2\Phi} (1 + H_0 Y_{\ell m} e^{i\omega t}) & -i\omega H_1 Y_{\ell m} e^{i\omega t} & 0 & 0 \\ -i\omega H_1 Y_{\ell m} e^{i\omega t} & e^{2\Lambda} (1 - H_0 Y_{\ell m} e^{i\omega t}) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & r^2 (1 - K Y_{\ell m} e^{i\omega t}) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & r^2 \sin^2 \theta (1 - K Y_{\ell m} e^{i\omega t}) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.1)$$

It is useful to introduce a fluid displacement vector of the form $\xi^i = \frac{\delta u^i}{u^t}$, where $i = 1, 2, 3$ or $i = r, \theta, \phi$. Their Regge–Wheeler counterparts are presented below in Eq. (3.4).

The four radial functions characterizing the even-parity metric perturbations, H_0, H_1, H_2, K , and the pair of functions describing the even-parity part of the displacement vector, W, V , remain to be determined by the linearized equations of motion. These emerge out of the variation of the Einstein equations,

$$\delta G_{\mu\nu} = \delta T_{\mu\nu}, \quad (3.2)$$

along with the variation of the conservation equations for the EM tensor,

$$\delta (\nabla_\nu T^\nu{}_\mu) = 0. \quad (3.3)$$

As mentioned, the even-parity perturbations of the metric can be found in Eq. (3.1), whereas the even-parity fluid displacements are expressible as [56, 57]

$$\begin{aligned} \xi^r &= \frac{e^{-\Lambda} W(r)}{r^2} e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m}(\theta, \phi), \\ \xi^\theta &= -\frac{V(r)}{r^2} e^{i\omega t} \partial_\theta Y_{\ell m}(\theta, \phi), \\ \xi^\phi &= -\frac{V(r)}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} e^{i\omega t} \partial_\phi Y_{\ell m}(\theta, \phi). \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

To relate spacetime and matter perturbations, one makes use of Eqs. (3.2)

and (3.3) such that

$$T^\nu_\mu = \text{diag} \{-\rho, p, q, q\} . \quad (3.5)$$

For instance, Eq. (3.2) leads to a set of four initial-value equations (see Section A.4 in the Appendix for details),

$$\begin{aligned} & H'_0 + r^{-1} e^{2\Lambda} \left[1 - r^2 \rho + \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{2} + \frac{\sigma}{2} r^2 \right] H_0 \\ &= rK'' + e^{2\Lambda} \left(3 - \frac{5m(r)}{8\pi r} - \frac{r^2 \rho}{2} \right) K' + r^{-1} e^{2\Lambda} \left[1 - \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{2} - r^2(\rho+q) \right] K \\ &- r^{-1} \left[\frac{2\sigma}{r} - \frac{d\rho}{dr} \right] e^\Lambda W + r^{-1} e^{2\Lambda} (\rho+q) \ell(\ell+1) V + r^{-1} (\rho+p) e^\Lambda W' , \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

$$\ell(\ell+1) H_1 = -2r [H_0 + (r\Phi' - 1)K - rK'] + 2(\rho+p) e^\Lambda W , \quad (3.7)$$

$$\omega^2 H_1 = -2e^{\Lambda+2\Phi} (p-q) \widehat{\delta k_\theta} - e^{2\Phi} (2\Phi' H_0 + H'_0 - K') , \quad (3.8)$$

$$H_0 = H_2 . \quad (3.9)$$

Here and in what follows, $\widehat{\delta k_\theta}$ denotes δk_θ stripped of its angular dependence,

$$\delta k_\theta(r, \theta, \phi) = \widehat{\delta k_\theta}(r) \partial_\theta Y_{\ell m}(\theta, \phi) . \quad (3.10)$$

Similarly for other hatted quantities, although in some cases it would be the spherical harmonic function that gets stripped off rather than one that is

differentiated.

Equations (3.8) and (3.9) determine $\widehat{\delta k_\theta}$ and H_2 , respectively, allowing for their elimination from all the other equations (the latter, rather trivially). The remaining two initial-value equations could, in principle, be used in a similar fashion. For instance, Eq. (3.7) could be used to eliminate H_1 from the rest of the equations if supplemented by the relation (see Eq. (A.63))

$$H_1' = -r^{-1}e^{2\Lambda} \left(\frac{1}{2}r^2(p - \rho) + \frac{m(r)}{4\pi r} \right) H_1 + e^{2\Lambda} (H_0 + K - 2(\rho + q)V) . \quad (3.11)$$

Similarly, Eq. (3.6) could be used to eliminate any one of K, W, V . In practice, we will include this pair of initial-value relations in our system of equations to be solved, leaving us with two extra unknowns.

Meanwhile, the r_r component of Eq. (3.2) gives us the first of three propagation equations for what could have been the remaining three unknowns (H_0 and the ‘‘other two’’ from the set K, W, V),

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{-2\Phi}\omega^2 K - e^{-2\Lambda}K'' - 2r^{-1} \left(e^{-2\Lambda} - \frac{r^2(\rho + p)}{4} \right) K' \\ & - \left[r^2(\rho + p) - \ell(\ell + 1) - \frac{\sigma}{2}r^2 \left(1 + \frac{dp}{d\rho} \right) \right] \frac{H_0}{r^2} + r^2(\rho + q) \left(1 + \frac{dp}{d\rho} \right) \frac{K}{r^2} \\ & + \left(1 + \frac{dp}{d\rho} \right) \left[\frac{2\sigma}{r} - \frac{d\rho}{dr} \right] \frac{e^{-\Lambda}W}{r^2} - \left(1 + \frac{dp}{d\rho} \right) (\rho + p) \frac{e^{-\Lambda}W'}{r^2} \\ & - \left(1 + \frac{dp}{d\rho} \right) (\rho + q) \ell(\ell + 1) \frac{V}{r^2} - 2\omega^2 e^{-2(\Lambda+\Phi)} \frac{H_1}{r} \\ & = 0 . \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

The variation of the energy-conservation equations, as given by

$$\begin{aligned}
0 = \delta (T_{\mu}{}^{\nu}{}_{;\nu}) &= \partial_t \delta (\rho + q) u_{\mu} u^t + \partial_{\nu} (\rho + q) u_{\mu} \delta u^{\nu} \\
&+ \delta (\rho + q) a_{\mu} + (\rho + q) (u^t \delta \nabla_t u_{\mu} + \nabla_{\nu} u_{\mu} \delta u^{\nu}) \\
&+ \partial_r \delta \sigma k_{\mu} k^r + \partial_{\nu} \sigma (\delta k_{\mu} k^r \delta_r^{\nu} + k_{\mu} \delta k^{\nu}) \\
&+ \delta \sigma (k^r \delta_r^{\nu} \nabla_{\nu} k_{\mu} + k_{\mu} \nabla_{\nu} k^{\nu}) + \partial_{\mu} \delta q \\
&+ \sigma (k^r \delta (\nabla_r k_{\mu}) + \delta k_{\mu} (\nabla_{\nu} k^{\nu}) + \nabla_{\nu} k_{\mu} \delta k^{\nu} + k_{\mu} \delta (\nabla_{\nu} k^{\nu})) ,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

accounts for the remaining two propagation equations (again, see the Appendix for details).

The first of these corresponds to the choice $\mu = r$,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 = \delta (T_r{}^{\nu}{}_{;\nu}) &= \left\{ \partial_r + \Phi' \left(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial p} + 1 \right) + \frac{2}{r} \left(1 - \frac{\partial q}{\partial p} \right) \right\} \delta p \\
&+ \omega^2 e^{-2\Phi} (\rho + p) H_1 e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m} - \omega^2 e^{-2\Phi} (\rho + p) r^{-2} e^{\Lambda} W e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m} \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} (\rho + p) H_0' e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m} - \sigma K' e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m} - \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{r^2} \sigma e^{\Lambda} \widehat{\delta k}_{\theta} e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m} \\
&= \left\{ \partial_r + \Phi' \left(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial p} + 1 \right) + \frac{2}{r} \left(1 - \frac{\partial q}{\partial p} \right) \right\} \left[-\frac{dp}{d\rho} (\rho + p) \frac{e^{-\Lambda} W'}{r^2} \right. \\
&- \frac{dp}{d\rho} (\rho + q) \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{r^2} V + \frac{dp}{d\rho} \frac{2\sigma}{r^3} e^{-\Lambda} W \\
&\left. - \frac{dp}{dr} e^{-\Lambda} \frac{W}{r^2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{dp}{d\rho} \sigma H_0 + \frac{dp}{d\rho} (\rho + q) K \right] \\
&+ \omega^2 e^{-2\Phi} (\rho + p) H_1 - \omega^2 e^{-2\Phi} (\rho + p) r^{-2} e^{\Lambda} W \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} (\rho + p) H_0' - \sigma K' - \ell(\ell+1) \sigma e^{\Lambda} r^{-2} \widehat{\delta k}_{\theta} ,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

and the second to $\mu = \theta$,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 = \delta (T_{\theta}^{\nu}{}_{;\nu}) &= \partial_{\theta} \delta q + (\rho + q) e^{-2\Phi} \omega^2 V e^{i\omega t} \partial_{\theta} Y_{\ell m} + \frac{1}{2} (\rho + p) H_0 e^{i\omega t} \partial_{\theta} Y_{\ell m} \\
&+ e^{-\Lambda} \sigma \partial_r \delta k_{\theta} + e^{-\Lambda} \sigma \delta k_{\theta} \left(\frac{2}{r} + \Phi' + \partial_r \ln \sigma \right) \\
&= -\frac{dq}{d\rho} \left[(\rho + p) \frac{e^{-\Lambda} W'}{r^2} + (\rho + q) \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{r^2} V \right] \\
&+ \frac{dq}{d\rho} \left(\frac{2\sigma}{r} - \frac{d\rho}{dr} \right) e^{-\Lambda} \frac{W}{r^2} + \frac{dq}{d\rho} (\rho + q) K \\
&+ (\rho + q) e^{-2\Phi} \omega^2 V + \frac{H_0}{2} \left[\rho + p + \sigma \frac{dq}{d\rho} - e^{-2\Lambda} \left(2\Phi' \left(\Lambda' - \frac{\partial_r \sigma}{\sigma} \right) - 2\Phi'' \right) \right] \\
&+ \frac{e^{-2\Lambda-2\Phi}}{2} \left\{ e^{2\Phi} H_0'' - e^{2\Phi} K'' - \left(\frac{\partial_r \sigma}{\sigma} + \Lambda' + 2\Phi' \right) \omega^2 H_1 + \omega^2 H_1' \right. \\
&- \left. \left[\Lambda' - 2\Phi' + \frac{\partial_r \sigma}{\sigma} \right] e^{2\Phi} H_0' + \left(\Lambda' + \frac{\partial_r \sigma}{\sigma} \right) e^{2\Phi} K' \right\} \\
&+ \frac{e^{-2\Lambda-2\Phi}}{2} [\omega^2 H_1 + e^{2\Phi} (2\Phi' H_0 + H_0' - K')] \left(\frac{2}{r} + \Phi' + \partial_r \ln \sigma \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

Note that these last two equations have introduced matter fluctuations into the mix; namely, $\delta\rho$, δq and δp . Importantly, $\delta\rho$ and δq can be expressed in terms of δp , as we do in the next four equations below, given that the equation-of-state relations are known.

It is convenient for our upcoming analysis to rewrite some of the above equations. Using Eq. (A.53) for $\delta\rho$ along with $\delta\rho = (\partial\rho/\partial p) \delta p$, we obtain

a revised form for Eq. (3.6),

$$2r^2 \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial p} \delta p = e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m} \left\{ (2 - \ell(\ell + 1)) K + [2e^{-2\Lambda} (2r\Lambda' - 1) - \ell(\ell + 1)] H_0 - 2e^{-2\Lambda} r (H'_0 + (r\Lambda' - 3) K' - rK'') \right\} . \quad (3.16)$$

Also, Eq. (A.65) for δp allows us to reexpress Eq. (3.12) as

$$2r^2 \delta p = e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m} \left\{ - (2 - \ell(\ell + 1) + 2r^2 e^{-2\Phi} \omega^2) K + 4r\omega^2 e^{-2(\Lambda+\Phi)} H_1 + 2e^{-2\Lambda} [rH'_0 - r(1 + r\Phi') K'] + [2e^{-2\Lambda} (1 + 2r\Phi') - \ell(\ell + 1)] H_0 \right\} . \quad (3.17)$$

Furthermore, Eqs. (3.14) and (3.15) can be rewritten by using Eq. (3.8) to eliminate δk_θ . Respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \partial_r + \Phi' \left(\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial p} + 1 \right) + \frac{2}{r} \left(1 - \frac{\partial q}{\partial p} \right) \right\} \widehat{\delta p} = -e^{-2\Phi} \omega^2 H_1 \left[\frac{\ell(\ell + 1)}{2r^2} + (\rho + p) \right] \\ & + \omega^2 e^{-2\Phi} (\rho + p) \frac{e^\Lambda W}{r^2} - \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{\ell(\ell + 1)}{r^2} + (\rho + p) \right] H'_0 \\ & + \left[\sigma + \frac{\ell(\ell + 1)}{2r^2} \right] K' - \frac{\ell(\ell + 1)}{r^2} \Phi' H_0 \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial q}{\partial p} \widehat{\delta p} &= -(\rho + q) e^{-2\Phi} \omega^2 V - \frac{1}{2} (\rho + p) H_0 \\
&- \frac{1}{2} \omega^2 \left(\Lambda' + \Phi' - \frac{2}{r} \right) e^{-2\Lambda - 2\Phi} H_1 + \frac{1}{2} \omega^2 e^{-2\Lambda - 2\Phi} H_1' \\
&+ e^{-2\Lambda} \left(\Phi'' H_0 + \Phi' H_0' + \frac{1}{2} H_0'' - \frac{1}{2} K'' \right) \\
&+ e^{-2\Lambda} \left(\Phi' H_0 + \frac{1}{2} H_0' - \frac{1}{2} K' \right) \left(\frac{2}{r} + \Phi' - \Lambda' \right) . \tag{3.19}
\end{aligned}$$

Equations (3.16)–(3.19), along with Eqs. (3.7) and (3.11), as well as the following expression for δp (see Eq. (A.50)),

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\delta p} &= \frac{dp}{d\rho} \left[\frac{2\sigma}{r} - \frac{d\rho}{dr} \right] e^{-\Lambda} \frac{W}{r^2} - \frac{dp}{d\rho} (\rho + p) \frac{e^{-\Lambda} W'}{r^2} - \frac{dp}{d\rho} (\rho + q) \frac{\ell(\ell + 1)}{r^2} V \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \frac{dp}{d\rho} \sigma H_0 + \frac{dp}{d\rho} (\rho + q) K , \tag{3.20}
\end{aligned}$$

form a set of seven equations for the seven variables $H_0, H_1, K, V, W, \delta p$ and the frequency ω . This will be the foundation for the upcoming analysis on the oscillation modes of the defrosted star.

4 Defrosting a star

We will now proceed to apply the formalism of Section 3 to our defrosted star model. We calculate the equations and the solutions to leading order in

γ .

4.1 Perturbation equations for the defrosted star

Let us recall the set of seven equations from the end of Section 3; namely, Eqs. (3.16-3.19), (3.7), (3.11) and (3.20). Plugging in the expressions for Λ, Φ and ρ, p, q for the defrosted star model (see Section 2.2), we obtain the following set of seven respective relations :

$$-2r^2\widehat{\delta p} = (2 - \ell(\ell + 1))K - \ell(\ell + 1)H_0, \quad (4.1a)$$

$$-2r^2\widehat{\delta p} = (2 - \ell(\ell + 1))K + \ell(\ell + 1)H_0, \quad (4.1b)$$

$$\partial_r (r^2\widehat{\delta p}) = -\gamma\widetilde{\omega}^2 \frac{\ell(\ell + 1)}{2r^2} H_1 - \frac{\ell(\ell + 1)}{2} \frac{\partial_r (r^2 H_0)}{r^2} - \frac{1}{2} (2 - \ell(\ell + 1)) K', \quad (4.1c)$$

$$\begin{aligned} -r^2\widehat{\delta p} &= -\widetilde{\omega}^2 \frac{V}{r^2} + H_0 + \frac{5}{2} r H'_0 + \frac{1}{2} r^2 H''_0 \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \gamma \widetilde{\omega}^2 \frac{\partial_r (r H_1)}{r} - \frac{3}{2} r K' - \frac{1}{2} r^2 K'', \end{aligned} \quad (4.1d)$$

$$\ell(\ell + 1) H_1 = -2r H_0 + 2r^2 K' + \frac{4\gamma^{1/2}}{r^2} W, \quad (4.1e)$$

$$\gamma \frac{\partial_r (r H_1)}{r} = H_0 + K - \frac{2V}{r^2}, \quad (4.1f)$$

$$r^2\widehat{\delta p} = \ell(\ell + 1) \frac{V}{r^2} + \frac{1}{2} H_0 - K, \quad (4.1g)$$

where

$$\tilde{\omega}^2 = \frac{R^2 \omega^2}{\gamma^2}. \quad (4.2)$$

The combination of Eqs. (4.1a) and (4.1b) inevitably leads to

$$2\ell(\ell + 1)H_0 = 0, \quad (4.3)$$

which means that, for any $\ell > 0$, $H_0 = 0$ to leading order in γ .

Restricting to $\ell \geq 2$ in Eq. (4.1e) informs us that $H_1 \sim \mathcal{O}(\gamma)$ and, therefore, the terms containing γH_1 are negligible in the rest of the equations.

In light of our new knowledge, Eq. (4.1d) reduces to

$$-r^2 \widehat{\delta p} = -\tilde{\omega}^2 \frac{V}{r^2} - \frac{3}{2} r K' - \frac{1}{2} r^2 K'', \quad (4.4)$$

where K and V are directly related through Eq. (4.1f),

$$\frac{V}{r^2} = \frac{K}{2}, \quad (4.5)$$

and where, by virtue of Eq. (4.1b), K and $r^2 \widehat{\delta p}$ are also related through

$$r^2 \widehat{\delta p} = \frac{1}{2} (\ell(\ell + 1) - 2) K. \quad (4.6)$$

The previous relation allows us to recast Eq. (4.4) into a simple equation for K ,

$$r^2 K'' + 3r K' + [\tilde{\omega}^2 - (\ell(\ell + 1) - 2)] K = 0. \quad (4.7)$$

We will discuss the solutions of Eq. (4.7) in Section 4.3.

4.2 Back to the Cowling approximation

One might expect that setting all metric perturbations to zero in Eqs. (3.6-3.15) would lead to the same results as those obtained under the Cowling approximation, where the working assumption is that all gravitational perturbations are negligibly small and so effectively vanishing. However, when H_0, H_1 and K vanish, Eq. (3.7) then implies that W vanishes. This dubious result, along with Eq. (3.6), would further imply that V also vanishes. But, as firmly established in other works such as [6], this is not what one finds when the Cowling approximation is correctly applied.

The resolution to this nonsensical result is the observation that the initial-value and propagation equations, as presented in the previous section, are describing the **back-reaction** on the metric perturbations and not their source. Indeed, it was argued in [58] that the Cowling approximation is only valid when the self-gravitation of the modes is negligible. This is not necessarily the case in the current situation, which means that one cannot connect the current framework to the Cowling approximation simply by setting H_0, H_1 and K to zero.

4.3 Spectrum of non-radial oscillations of the defrosted star

Let us next determine the solutions of Eq. (4.7) by imposing suitable boundary conditions.

To help facilitate this process, it is useful to introduce a new radial coordinate

in the star's interior, $r \rightarrow r_*^{in}$, which will serve as the analogue of the tortoise coordinate in the Schwarzschild exterior, $r_*^{out} = r + 2MG \ln \left(1 - \frac{2MG}{r}\right)$. As per our definition for the interior tortoise coordinate at the end of Section 2.3, $r_*^{in} = \ln \frac{r}{R} + \text{Const.}$, the line element for the defrosted star,

$$ds_{DS}^2 = -\gamma \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^2 dt^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma} dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2, \quad (4.8)$$

transforms into

$$ds_{DS}^2 = \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^2 \left[-\gamma dt^2 + \frac{1}{\gamma} (dr_*^{in})^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2 \right]. \quad (4.9)$$

This definition for the internal tortoise coordinate is motivated by the expectation that the modes are non-relativistic as suggested by Eq. (4.2). Additionally, we require that r_*^{in} joins r_*^{out} in a continuous way at $r = R \simeq 2MG(1 + \gamma)$, where $r_*^{out} \simeq R + 2MG \ln \gamma$. This leads to

$$r_*^{in} = R \ln \left(\frac{r}{R}\right) + R(1 + \ln \gamma). \quad (4.10)$$

In these coordinates, Eq. (4.7) becomes

$$\partial_*^2 K + \frac{2}{R} \partial_* K + \frac{1}{R^2} [\tilde{\omega}^2 - (\ell(\ell + 1) - 2)] K = 0, \quad (4.11)$$

for which the general solution takes the form

$$K_{in}(r_*^{in}) = \frac{1}{r} \left(A e^{ik_{in} r_*^{in}} + B e^{-ik_{in} r_*^{in}} \right), \quad (4.12)$$

where A and B are complex constants and the frequency is given by

$$\omega_{in}^2 = \gamma^2 \left[k_{in}^2 + \frac{1}{R^2} (\ell(\ell + 1) - 2) \right]. \quad (4.13)$$

The boundary conditions that are imposed on K_{in} determine the coefficients A and B . First, we impose the standard boundary condition at $r \rightarrow 0$, which is the vanishing of the current at the star's center, which means that the ingoing and outgoing parts of the solution are equal and opposite, leading to $B = -A$ and thus

$$K_{in} = A \frac{\sin(k_{in} r_*^{in})}{r}. \quad (4.14)$$

At the $r = R$ outer boundary, we impose the standard condition of an outgoing wave, along with the continuity of the solution and its first-order derivative across the surface. The external solution then takes on its usual form of $K_{out}(r_*^{out}) \sim \frac{1}{r} e^{-i(\omega_{out} t - k_{out} r_*^{out})}$ with $k_{out} = \omega_{out}$. First, comparing the time dependence of the inner and outgoing solutions, we observe that

$$\omega_{in} = \omega_{out}. \quad (4.15)$$

Next, continuity of the solutions and of their logarithmic r_* -derivative at the surface leads to the following relation:

$$\cot(k_{in} R (1 + \ln \gamma)) = i \frac{k_{out}}{k_{in}} = i \frac{\omega_{out}}{k_{in}} = i \frac{\omega_{in}}{k_{in}}, \quad (4.16)$$

which fixes the allowed values of k_{in} and thus those of ω_{in} . This form of

relation was anticipated in [41] in the context of the closely related polymer model.

Equation (4.16) implies that the real part of k_{in} satisfies

$$\text{Re}(k_{in}) = m \frac{\pi}{2R(1 + \ln \gamma)}, \quad m = \pm 1, 3, 5, \dots, \quad (4.17)$$

so that the real part of ω_{in} is given by (also see Eq. (4.13))

$$[\text{Re}(\omega_{in})]^2 = \frac{\gamma^2}{R^2} \left[\frac{m^2 \pi^2}{4(1 + \ln \gamma)^2} + \ell(\ell + 1) - 2 \right], \quad m = 1, 3, 5, \dots. \quad (4.18)$$

The result is therefore that the sound velocity is non-relativistic and scales as γ , $v_{sound} = \text{Re}(\omega_{in}) / \text{Re}(k_{in}) \sim \gamma$.

Meanwhile, the imaginary part of the frequency goes as

$$\text{Im}(\omega_{in}) = \frac{1}{2R(1 + \ln \gamma)} \ln \left[\frac{\frac{k_{in}}{\omega_{in}} + 1}{\frac{k_{in}}{\omega_{in}} - 1} \right]. \quad (4.19)$$

It has been assumed throughout the discussion that γ is a small parameter; hence, we may expand the imaginary part of the frequency in $\frac{\omega_{in}}{k_{in}} \sim \gamma$. The result is

$$\text{Im}(\omega_{in}) = \frac{1}{R(1 + \ln \gamma)} \left(\frac{\text{Re}(\omega_{in})}{\text{Re}(k_{in})} \right)^2. \quad (4.20)$$

The interesting feature here is that the imaginary part is parametrically smaller than the real part, since the former scales as γ^2 while the latter scales as γ . We arrive at the conclusion that non-relativistic modes do couple to waves in the external spacetime and should leak out of the interior at a slow rate which goes as $\text{Im}(\omega) \sim \gamma^2$. This is exactly what was found in [41]

for the polymer model; except that, there, g_s^2 is the perturbative parameter rather than γ^2 .

In a similar discussion in [41], $\frac{k_{in}}{\omega_{in}}$ was denoted by n (the refraction index). In that discussion, two limiting cases were considered: $n \gtrsim 1$ and $n \gg 1$, which now translate into $\frac{1}{\gamma} \gtrsim 1$ and $\frac{1}{\gamma} \gg 1$. Momentarily, to simplify the notation, the *in* subscripts will be implied and $\frac{k_{in}}{\omega_{in}}$ will be denoted by n .

Then, for the former, nearly relativistic case, one finds that

$$\text{Im}(\omega) \simeq \frac{1}{2R(1 + \ln \gamma)} \ln \left(\frac{2}{n-1} \right). \quad (4.21)$$

The logarithm in the imaginary part appears to diverge in the relativistic limit, $n \rightarrow 1$. However, as discussed in [41], this is just an apparent problem because the amplitude of these waves scales as $A(r=R) \sim (n-1)^{t/2R}$, which goes rapidly to zero in time as n approaches unity. The suppression of relativistic fluid modes is actually ubiquitous in the literature [59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66].

For the latter case of a large index, $n \gg 1$, one can expand the logarithm in terms of $\frac{1}{n}$, which leads to

$$\text{Im}(\omega) = \left[\frac{1}{R(1 + \ln \gamma) n^2} + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{1}{n^4} \right) \right], \quad (4.22)$$

which is the result found in Eq. (4.20).

This feature was anticipated not only because of the analysis in [41] but because of a physical argument in [47]. The gist of the argument is the following: Because their frequency is parametrically small, these modes are

viewed by an external observer as having a parametrically long wavelength, $\lambda \sim nR$, when compared to the size R of the compact object. This reduces the transmission cross-section through a surface of area R^2 by a factor of $\frac{R^2}{\lambda^2} \sim \frac{1}{n^2}$. As the cross-section determines the power loss, it follows that $\frac{dE}{dt} \sim \frac{R^2}{\lambda^2} \sim \frac{1}{n^2}$, which in turn determines the inverse of the damping-time scale as $\frac{1}{\tau} \sim \frac{1}{n^2}$. In other words, $\text{Im}(\omega) \sim \frac{1}{n^2}$.

5 Discussion

We have calculated the spectrum for the even-parity non-radial oscillatory modes of a defrosted star, which is our name for a suitably deformed — or excited — version of a BH mimicker whose ground state is described by the frozen star model. Due to the ultrastability of the frozen star geometry, a deformation of the background solution is necessary for the star to support perturbative, oscillatory modes. As the star’s ultrastability is directly linked to its radial pressure being maximally negative, it is straightforward to quantify the deformation in terms of the deviation from maximal negative pressure, as denoted by γ . What we have found is that the mode frequencies scale with this small strength-of-deformation parameter γ , whereas their imaginary parts scale as γ^2 . The lifetime of these modes is thus predicted to be parametrically long. We expect these characteristics of the oscillation modes to be reflected in the properties of the spectrum of emitted GWs from excited frozen stars, which may have important implications when it comes to the potential observability of these emissions via GW detectors.

The current analysis follows an earlier one [6] that aimed at similar goals but did so by applying the Cowling approximation, for which the interior oscillatory modes are not coupled to the external geometry. This coupling is a necessary step for the calculation of the production of GWs. Relaxing the approximation in the case of highly anisotropic stars is a technical challenge in its own right. It is then our hope that the detailed presentation of the formalism, which applies to a static, spherically symmetric but otherwise-generic anisotropic star, will prove to have merit independently of any particular model.

The main results that we obtained here were forecast by an earlier study which determined the mode spectrum for our polymer model [41], as well as by a later article which provided physical arguments in support of those earlier findings [47]. As the polymer model is supposed to provide a microscopic description of the frozen star, this should not have been a surprise. On the other hand, the spectral derivation in the polymer framework seemed at times to be rather heuristic. The current analysis is thus serving to vindicate the earlier one, as well as substantiating our contention that the polymer and frozen star models are really different descriptions of the same class of objects, and likewise for their excited states.

As most astrophysical BHs are rotating at large fractions of the speed of light, it will be difficult to make a precise connection with the empirical data until rotation is formally incorporated into the calculation. Nevertheless, we expect that the lifetime of the modes will retain its scaling, while the frequencies will be brought up to the rotation frequency of a BH. Fortunately, a model that will allow us to verify this expectation for the rotating frozen

star is already available [8], and its defrosted counterpart should not be too far behind. It will also be interesting to recast this problem in the formalism of a recently introduced open-string description of the frozen star geometry [9], as this framework includes a matter Lagrangian of the Born–Infeld class.

Acknowledgments

The research is supported by the German Research Foundation through a German-Israeli Project Cooperation (DIP) grant “Holography and the Swampland” and by VATAT (Israel planning and budgeting committee) grant for supporting theoretical high energy physics. The research of AJMM received support from an NRF Evaluation and Rating Grant 119411 and a Rhodes Discretionary Grant SD07/2022. AJMM thanks Ben Gurion University for their hospitality during past visits.

A Even-parity, linear perturbations

Here, we are working to first order in the perturbations, a point that will only sometimes be made explicit. The notation $\mathcal{O}(\delta^n)$ is to be understood as indicating the order of a given expression in terms of the relevant perturbative parameter, *e.g.*, $H_0, H_1, K, W, V, \gamma$, *etc.*

A.1 Perturbation to the fluid velocity u^μ

The total perturbed metric in the Regge–Wheeler gauge is, to first order,

$$g_{\mu\nu}^{(\text{even})} = \begin{pmatrix} -e^{2\Phi} (1 + H_0 Y_{\ell m} e^{i\omega t}) & -i\omega H_1 Y_{\ell m} e^{i\omega t} & 0 & 0 \\ -i\omega H_1 Y_{\ell m} e^{i\omega t} & e^{2\Lambda} (1 - H_0 Y_{\ell m} e^{i\omega t}) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & r^2 (1 - K Y_{\ell m} e^{i\omega t}) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & r^2 \sin^2 \theta (1 - K Y_{\ell m} e^{i\omega t}) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

$$g^{\mu\nu(\text{even})} = \begin{pmatrix} -e^{-2\Phi} (1 - H_0 Y_{\ell m} e^{i\omega t}) & -i\omega e^{-2(\Lambda+\Phi)} H_1 Y_{\ell m} e^{i\omega t} & 0 & 0 \\ -i\omega e^{-2(\Lambda+\Phi)} H_1 Y_{\ell m} e^{i\omega t} & e^{-2\Lambda} (1 + H_0 Y_{\ell m} e^{i\omega t}) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & r^{-2} (1 + K Y_{\ell m} e^{i\omega t}) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & r^{-2} \sin^{-2} \theta (1 + K Y_{\ell m} e^{i\omega t}) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Choosing to work in the rest frame, one has

$$u^\mu = u^t \delta_t^\mu, \quad u_t = g_{t\mu} u^\mu = g_{\mu t} u^t \delta_t^\mu = g_{tt} u^t, \quad (\text{A.3})$$

and thus can obtain all non-vanishing components of the velocity,

$$u_t = g_{tt} u^t, \quad (\text{A.4})$$

$$u_r = g_{rt} u^t. \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Using the normalization of the velocity, one can show that

$$-1 = u_\mu u^\mu = g_{\mu\nu} \delta_t^\nu \delta_t^\mu (u^t)^2, \quad (\text{A.6})$$

which leads to

$$u^t = \sqrt{\frac{1}{g_{tt}}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{e^{2\Phi} (1 + H_0 Y_{\ell m} e^{i\omega t})}} \approx e^{-\Phi} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} H_0 e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m} \right), \quad (\text{A.7})$$

from which $u_\alpha = g_{\alpha t} u^t$ can be used to yield explicit expressions for the remaining components of the velocity,

$$u_t \approx -e^\Phi \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} H_0 e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m} \right), \quad u_r \approx -i\omega e^{-\Phi} H_1 Y_{\ell m} e^{i\omega t}, \quad u_{\theta, \phi} = 0. \quad (\text{A.8})$$

By the definition provided in Section 3 for the displacement vector ξ^i , the radial and angular variations of the velocity are, to first order,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta u^r &= u^t \partial_t \xi^r \\ &= i\omega r^{-2} e^{-(\Phi+\Lambda)} W e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m} + \mathcal{O}(\delta^2), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.9})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta u^\theta &= u^t \partial_t \xi^\theta \\ &= -i\omega r^{-2} e^{-\Phi} V e^{i\omega t} \partial_\theta Y_{\ell m} + \mathcal{O}(\delta^2), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.10})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta u^\phi &= u^t \partial_t \xi^\phi \\ &= -i\omega r^{-2} \sin^{-2} \theta e^{-\Phi} V e^{i\omega t} \partial_\phi Y_{\ell m} + \mathcal{O}(\delta^2). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.11})$$

Lowering the indices on the previous variations, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \delta u_r &= \delta(u^\mu g_{\mu r}) \\ &= \delta u^\mu g_{\mu r} + u^\mu \delta g_{\mu r} \\ &= \delta u^r g_{rr} + u^t h_{tr} \\ &\approx i\omega r^{-2} e^{-(\Phi-\Lambda)} W e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m} - i\omega e^{-\Phi} H_1 Y_{\ell m} e^{i\omega t}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.12})$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta u_\theta &= \delta (u^\mu g_{\mu\theta}) \\
&= \delta u^\theta g_{\theta\theta} + u^\theta \delta g_{\theta\theta} \\
&= -i\omega r^{-2} e^{-\Phi} V e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m} r^2 (1 - K e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m}) \\
&\approx -i\omega e^{-\Phi} V e^{i\omega t} \partial_\theta Y_{\ell m} ,
\end{aligned} \tag{A.13}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta u_\phi &= \delta u^\phi g_{\phi\phi} \\
&\approx -i\omega e^{-\Phi} V e^{i\omega t} \partial_\phi Y_{\ell m} .
\end{aligned} \tag{A.14}$$

A.2 Perturbations to the radial vector k^μ

Using the normalization condition $k^\mu k_\mu = 1$ and that, in the rest frame, $k^\mu = \delta_r^\mu k^r$ is a purely radial vector, one finds that

$$\begin{aligned}
k^r &= \sqrt{\frac{1}{g_{rr}}} \\
&= \sqrt{\frac{1}{e^{2\Lambda} [1 - H_0 e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m}]}} \\
&\approx e^{-\Lambda} \left[1 + \frac{1}{2} H_0 e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m} \right] ,
\end{aligned} \tag{A.15}$$

as well as

$$\begin{aligned}
k_r &= g_{rr}k^r \\
&= \sqrt{e^{2\Lambda} [1 - H_0 e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m}]} \\
&\approx e^\Lambda \left[1 - \frac{1}{2} H_0 e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m} \right] , \tag{A.16}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
k_t &= g_{rt}k^r \\
&\approx -i\omega e^{-\Lambda} H_1 e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m} \sim \mathcal{O}(\delta) . \tag{A.17}
\end{aligned}$$

In order to obtain δk^μ , one can use the normalization and orthogonality relations to deduce

$$\delta(k^\mu k_\mu) = 0 , \tag{A.18}$$

$$\delta(u^\mu k_\mu) = 0 , \tag{A.19}$$

from which it follows that

$$k^\mu \delta k_\mu = -\delta k^\mu k_\mu , \tag{A.20}$$

or, more explicitly,

$$k^r \delta k_r = -\delta k^r k_r - \delta k^t k_t . \tag{A.21}$$

One can now use the knowledge that

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta k_r &= \delta (k^\mu g_{\mu r}) \\
&= \delta k^\mu g_{\mu r} + k^\mu \delta g_{\mu r} \\
&= \delta k^r g_{rr} + \delta k^t g_{tr} + k^r h_{rr} ,
\end{aligned} \tag{A.22}$$

in order to rewrite Eq. (A.21) as

$$\delta k^r (k^r g_{rr} + k_r) + (k^r)^2 h_{rr} = -\delta k^t (k^r g_{tr} + k_t) . \tag{A.23}$$

The previous equation can be further rewritten by using $k_r = k^r g_{rr}$ and $k_t = g_{tr} k^r$ to arrive at

$$2\delta k^r g_{rr} + k^r h_{rr} = -2\delta k^t g_{tr} . \tag{A.24}$$

From the orthogonality of u^μ and k_μ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \delta (u^\mu k_\mu) \\
&= \delta u^\mu k_\mu + u^\mu \delta k_\mu \\
&= \delta u^t k_t + \delta u^r k_r + u^t \delta k_t ,
\end{aligned} \tag{A.25}$$

which then yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta k_t &= -\frac{\delta u^r}{u^t} k_r \\
&= -k_r \partial_t \xi^r \\
&= -\partial_t \left(\frac{e^{-\Lambda} W}{r^2} e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m} \right) e^\Lambda \left[1 - \frac{1}{2} H_0 e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m} \right] \\
&\approx -i\omega \frac{W}{r^2} e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m} .
\end{aligned} \tag{A.26}$$

One can also use

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta k^t &= \delta (g^{t\mu} k_\mu) \\
&= \delta g^{t\mu} k_\mu + g^{t\mu} \delta k_\mu \\
&= -h^{tt} k_t + g^{tt} \delta k_t - h^{tr} k_r + h^{tr} \delta k_r
\end{aligned} \tag{A.27}$$

and then substitute for $\delta k_t, \delta k_r$, with their respective expressions from Eqs. (A.26) and (A.22), to obtain

$$\delta k^t (1 - h^{tr} h_{tr}) = -h^{tt} k_t + g^{tt} \delta k_t - h^{tr} k_r + h^{tr} \delta k_r g_{rr} + h^{tr} k^r h_{rr} . \tag{A.28}$$

In this way, one now possesses a pair of equations, (A.24) and (A.28), for δk^t and δk^r , with solutions

$$\delta k^r = \frac{1}{2} e^{-\Lambda} H_0 e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m} , \tag{A.29}$$

and

$$\delta k^t \approx i\omega e^{-2\Phi} [r^{-2} W - e^{-\Lambda} H_1] e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m} . \tag{A.30}$$

From Eq. (A.22) and the above solutions, it now follows that

$$\delta k_r = -\frac{1}{2}e^\Lambda H_0 e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m} . \quad (\text{A.31})$$

To derive $\delta k_{\theta, \phi}$, one requires the use of the Einstein equations. In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta G_\phi^r &= \frac{1}{2}e^{-2(\Lambda+\Phi)} e^{i\omega t} \partial_\phi Y_{\ell m} [-\omega^2 H_1 + e^{2\Phi} (-2\Phi' H_0 - H_0' + K')] \\ &= \delta T_\phi^r = (p - q) \delta k_\phi k^r , \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.32})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \delta G_\theta^r &= \frac{1}{2}e^{-2(\Lambda+\Phi)} e^{i\omega t} \partial_\theta Y_{\ell m} [-\omega^2 H_1 + e^{2\Phi} (-2\Phi' H_0 - H_0' + K')] \\ &= \delta T_\theta^r = (p - q) \delta k_\theta k^r , \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.33})$$

from which it follows that

$$\widehat{\delta k_\phi} = \widehat{\delta k_\theta} , \quad (\text{A.34})$$

where a hat denotes a quantity stripped of its angular dependence (*i.e.*, stripped of its spherical harmonic or derivative thereof), so that $\delta k_\phi(r, \theta, \phi) = \widehat{\delta k_\phi}(r) \partial_\phi Y_{\ell m}(\theta, \phi)$ and $\delta k_\theta(r, \theta, \phi) = \widehat{\delta k_\theta}(r) \partial_\theta Y_{\ell m}(\theta, \phi)$. Let us remind the reader that, unlike the choice made in [54], $\delta k_{\theta, \phi}$ are allowed to be non-vanishing, which directly affects the resulting Einstein equations (see Section A.4).

A.3 Matter perturbations

In the following, the perturbed energy-conservation equation,

$$\delta (\nabla_\nu T^\nu{}_\mu) = 0, \quad (\text{A.35})$$

is used to obtain the variations of matter-related quantities, such as the energy density $\delta\rho$ and the pressure components $\delta p, \delta q$.

Beginning with the EM tensor as defined in Eq. (2.2), one obtains the zeroth-order conservation equation,

$$\nabla_\nu T^\nu{}_\mu = \nabla_\nu ((\rho + q) u_\mu u^\nu) + \nabla_\nu ((p - q) k_\mu k^\nu) + \nabla_\mu q = 0. \quad (\text{A.36})$$

Its variation, when projected along the direction of the velocity u^μ , is then given by (with $\sigma = p - q$)

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= u^\mu \delta (\nabla_\nu T^\nu{}_\mu) \\ &= -u^\nu \nabla_\nu \delta\rho - \nabla_\nu \{ [(\rho + q) \delta^\nu{}_\mu + \sigma k_\mu k^\nu] \delta u^\mu \} - (\rho + q) a_\mu \delta u^\mu - (\nabla_\nu u^\mu) \delta (\sigma k_\mu k^\nu) \\ &\quad - (\rho + q) \delta \Gamma^\nu{}_{\alpha\nu} u^\alpha - u^\alpha \sigma k_\mu k^\nu \delta \Gamma^\mu{}_{\alpha\nu} + \frac{1}{2} h_{\mu\alpha} u^\mu u^\alpha u^\nu \nabla_\nu (\rho + q). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.37})$$

In deriving Eq. (A.37), we have used that, for any vector V_μ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta(\nabla_\nu V_\mu) &= \delta(\partial_\nu V_\mu - \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\alpha V_\alpha) \\
&= \partial_\nu \delta V_\mu - \delta(\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\alpha V_\alpha) \\
&= \partial_\nu \delta V_\mu - \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\alpha \delta V_\alpha - \delta\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\alpha V_\alpha \\
&= \nabla_\nu \delta V_\mu - \delta\Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\alpha V_\alpha,
\end{aligned} \tag{A.38}$$

and that the velocity satisfies $u^\mu \nabla_\nu u_\mu = 0$, as well as both

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \delta(\nabla_\nu u^\nu) = \nabla_\nu \delta u^\nu + \delta\Gamma_{\alpha\nu}^\nu u^\alpha \\
&\implies \nabla_\nu \delta u^\nu = -\delta\Gamma_{\alpha\nu}^\nu u^\alpha,
\end{aligned} \tag{A.39}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta(u^\mu u_\mu) &= 0 \\
&= \delta u^\mu u_\mu + u^\mu \delta u_\mu \\
&= \delta u^\mu u_\mu + u^\mu \delta(g_{\mu\alpha} u^\alpha) \\
&= \delta u^\mu u_\mu + u^\mu h_{\mu\alpha} u^\alpha + u_\alpha \delta u^\alpha \\
\implies \delta u^\mu u_\mu &= -\frac{1}{2} h_{\mu\alpha} u^\mu u^\alpha.
\end{aligned}$$

One is then able to use Eq. (A.37) so as to isolate the derivative of $\delta\rho$ (and

recall that $u^\mu = u^t \delta_t^\mu$ while $k^\mu = k^r \delta_r^\mu$),

$$\begin{aligned}
u^t \partial_t \delta \rho &= -\nabla_\nu \{ [(\rho + q) \delta_\mu^\nu + \sigma k_\mu k^\nu] \delta u^\mu \} - (\rho + q) a_\mu \delta u^\mu - (\nabla_\nu u^\mu) \delta (\sigma k_\mu k^\nu) \\
&\quad - (\rho + q) \delta \Gamma_{t\nu}^\nu u^t - u^t \sigma k_r k^r \delta \Gamma_{tr}^r + \frac{1}{2} h_{tt} (u^t)^3 \underbrace{\partial_t (\rho + q)}_{=0} \\
&= -\nabla_j \{ [(\rho + q) \delta_i^j + \sigma k_i k^j] u^t \partial_t \xi^i \} - u^t (\rho + q) a_r \partial_t \xi^r - (\partial_\nu u^\mu + \Gamma_{\alpha\nu}^\mu u^\alpha) \delta (\sigma k_\mu k^\nu) \\
&\quad - (\rho + q) \left[\delta \Gamma_{tt}^t + \delta \Gamma_{tr}^r + \delta \Gamma_{t\theta}^\theta + \delta \Gamma_{t\phi}^\phi \right] u^t - \sigma u^t \delta \Gamma_{tr}^r \\
&= -\nabla_j \{ [(\rho + q) \delta_i^j + \sigma k_i k^j] u^t \partial_t \xi^i \} - u^t (\rho + q) a_r \partial_t \xi^r \\
&\quad - \underbrace{\delta \sigma k_t}_{\sim \mathcal{O}(\delta^2)} \partial_r u^t k^r - \underbrace{\delta \sigma k_t}_{\sim \mathcal{O}(\delta^2)} \Gamma_{tr}^t u^t k^r - \underbrace{\delta \sigma \Gamma_{tr}^r}_{\sim \mathcal{O}(\delta^2)} u^t k_r k^r - \sigma k^r \delta k_t \partial_r u^t \\
&\quad - \sigma k^r u^t \left[\Gamma_{tr}^t \delta k_t + \Gamma_{tr}^r \delta k_r + \Gamma_{tr}^\theta \delta k_\theta + \Gamma_{tr}^\phi \delta k_\phi \right] - \sigma \partial_\nu u^t \underbrace{k_t \delta k^\nu}_{\sim \mathcal{O}(\delta^2)} - \sigma u^t \left[\Gamma_{t\nu}^t \underbrace{k_t \delta k^\nu}_{\sim \mathcal{O}(\delta^2)} + \Gamma_{t\nu}^r k_r \delta k^\nu \right] \\
&\quad - (\rho + q) \left[\delta \Gamma_{tt}^t + \delta \Gamma_{tr}^r + \delta \Gamma_{t\theta}^\theta \delta \Gamma_{t\phi}^\phi \right] u^t - \sigma u^t k^r \left(\underbrace{k_t \delta \Gamma_{tr}^t}_{\sim \mathcal{O}(\delta^2)} + k_r \delta \Gamma_{tr}^r \right), \tag{A.40}
\end{aligned}$$

where it was also used that ρ and q are stationary background quantities.

Discarding all higher-order expressions and dividing by u^t , one then has

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t \delta \rho &= -\frac{1}{u^t} \nabla_j \{ [(\rho + q) \delta_i^j + \sigma k_i k^j] u^t \partial_t \xi^i \} - (\rho + q) a_r \partial_t \xi^r \\
&\quad - \sigma \left[k^r \Gamma_{tr}^t \delta k_t + \underbrace{k^r \Gamma_{tr}^r \delta k_r + k^r \Gamma_{tr}^\theta \delta k_\theta + k^r \Gamma_{tr}^\phi \delta k_\phi}_{\sim \mathcal{O}(\delta^2)} + \delta k_t k^r \partial_r (\ln u^t) + \Gamma_{t\nu}^r k_r \delta k^\nu + \delta \Gamma_{tr}^r \right] \\
&\quad - (\rho + q) \left[\delta \Gamma_{tt}^t + \delta \Gamma_{tr}^r + \delta \Gamma_{t\theta}^\theta + \delta \Gamma_{t\phi}^\phi \right]. \tag{A.41}
\end{aligned}$$

Let us next rewrite the previous equation whereby all spacetime perturbations are expressed explicitly in terms of the Regge–Wheeler gauge (*cf.* Eqs. (3.1) and (3.4)) such that

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_t \delta \rho &= -\frac{1}{u^t} \nabla_j \{ [(\rho + q) \delta_i^j + \sigma k_i k^j] u^t \partial_t \xi^i \} - (\rho + q) a_r \partial_t \xi^r \\
&\quad - \sigma \left[k_r \left[\Gamma_{tt}^r \delta k^t + \underbrace{\Gamma_{tr}^r \delta k^r}_{\sim \mathcal{O}(\delta^2)} + \underbrace{\Gamma_{t\theta}^r \delta k^\theta}_{\sim \mathcal{O}(\delta^2)} \right] + \delta \Gamma_{tr}^r + k^r \delta k_t \left[\partial_r (\ln u^t) + \Gamma_{tr}^t \right] \right] \\
&\quad - (\rho + q) \left[\delta \Gamma_{tt}^t + \delta \Gamma_{tr}^r + \delta \Gamma_{t\theta}^\theta + \delta \Gamma_{t\phi}^\phi \right] \\
&= -\frac{i\omega}{u^t} \nabla_j \{ [(\rho + q) \delta_i^j + \sigma k_i k^j] u^t \xi^i \} - (\rho + q) a_r \partial_t \xi^r \\
&\quad - \underbrace{i\omega \sigma \Phi' r^{-2} e^{-\Lambda} W e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m}}_{= \sigma a_r \partial_t \xi^r} + \sigma \frac{1}{2} i\omega H_0 e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m} + i\omega (\rho + q) K e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m} ,
\end{aligned} \tag{A.42}$$

where we have used that

$$\begin{aligned}
a_r &= u^t (\partial_t u_r - \Gamma_{rt}^t u_t - \Gamma_{rt}^r u_r) \\
&= \Phi' + \mathcal{O}(\delta) ,
\end{aligned} \tag{A.43}$$

as well as both of

$$\begin{aligned}
a_\theta &= u^t (\partial_t u_\theta - \Gamma_{\theta t}^t u_t - \Gamma_{\theta t}^r u_r) \\
&= u^t \left(\frac{1}{2} H_0 u_t + \frac{1}{2} i\omega e^{-2\Lambda} H_1 u_r \right) \partial_\theta Y_{\ell m} \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} H_0 e^{i\omega t} \partial_\theta Y_{\ell m} + \mathcal{O}(\delta^2) ,
\end{aligned} \tag{A.44}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
a_\phi &= u^t (\partial_t u_\phi - \Gamma_{\phi t}^t u_t - \Gamma_{\phi t}^r u_r) \\
&= u^t \left(\frac{1}{2} H_0 u_t + \frac{1}{2} i \omega e^{-2\Lambda} H_1 u_r \right) \partial_\phi Y_{\ell m} \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} H_0 e^{i\omega t} \partial_\phi Y_{\ell m} + \mathcal{O}(\delta^2) .
\end{aligned} \tag{A.45}$$

Integrating Eq. (A.42) and thus $\partial_t \rho$ over time, one obtains that, to leading order,

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta\rho &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \partial_j \{ \sqrt{-g} [(\rho + q) \delta_i^j + \sigma k_i k^j] \xi^i \} \\
&\quad - \{ [(\rho + q) \delta_i^j + \sigma k_i k^j] \xi^i \} \nabla_j (\ln u^t) - (\rho + p) a_r \xi^r \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \sigma H_0 e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m} + (\rho + q) K e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m} ,
\end{aligned} \tag{A.46}$$

or, more explicitly (but again to leading order),

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta\rho &= \left[- \left(\Lambda' + \Phi' + \frac{2}{r} \right) (\rho + p) - \partial_r (\rho + p) \right] \frac{e^{-\Lambda} W}{r^2} e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m} - (\rho + p) \partial_r \left(\frac{e^{-\Lambda} W}{r^2} \right) e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m} \\
&+ (\rho + q) \frac{V}{r^2} e^{i\omega t} \underbrace{\left\{ \frac{1}{\sin\theta} \partial_\theta (\sin\theta \partial_\theta Y_{\ell m}) + \frac{1}{\sin^2\theta} \partial_\phi^2 Y_{\ell m} \right\}}_{= -\ell(\ell+1)Y_{\ell m}} \\
&- \left\{ -\Phi' (\rho + p) \frac{e^{-\Lambda} W}{r^2} e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m} + (\rho + q) \underbrace{\xi^\theta \nabla_\theta (\ln u^t)}_{\sim \mathcal{O}(\delta^2)} \right\} - (\rho + p) \Phi' \frac{e^{-\Lambda} W}{r^2} e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m} \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \sigma H_0 e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m} + (\rho + q) K e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m} \\
&= \left\{ -(\rho + p) \left[\frac{e^{-\Lambda} W'}{r^2} + \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{r^2} V \right] - \frac{d\rho}{dr} e^{-\Lambda} \frac{W}{r^2} + \frac{2\sigma}{r^3} e^{-\Lambda} W + \sigma \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{r^2} V \right\} e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m} \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \sigma H_0 e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m} + (\rho + q) K e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m} , \tag{A.47}
\end{aligned}$$

where the zeroth-order energy-conservation equation,

$$\frac{dp}{dr} = -\Phi' (p + \rho) - \frac{2}{r} \sigma , \tag{A.48}$$

has been applied.

One may now obtain δp from $\delta\rho$ by using the relation between the Eulerian

and Lagrangian variations of the radial pressure (δp and Δp , respectively),

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta p &= \Delta p - \xi^r \partial_r p \\
&= \frac{dp}{d\rho} \Delta \rho - \xi^r \partial_r p \\
&= \frac{dp}{d\rho} (\delta \rho + \xi^r \partial_r \rho) - \xi^r \partial_r p \\
&= \frac{dp}{d\rho} \delta \rho .
\end{aligned} \tag{A.49}$$

Therefore, the variation of the radial pressure is, to leading order,

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta p &= \frac{dp}{d\rho} \left\{ -(\rho + p) \left[\frac{e^{-\Lambda} W'}{r^2} + \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{r^2} V \right] + \frac{2\sigma}{r^3} e^{-\Lambda} W + \sigma \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{r^2} V \right\} e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m} \\
&\quad - \frac{dp}{dr} e^{-\Lambda} \frac{W}{r^2} e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m} + \frac{dp}{d\rho} \left[\frac{1}{2} \sigma H_0 e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m} + (\rho + q) K e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m} \right] .
\end{aligned} \tag{A.50}$$

By the same token, $\delta q = (\partial q / \partial p) \delta p$, so that

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta q &= \frac{dq}{d\rho} \left\{ -(\rho + p) \left[\frac{e^{-\Lambda} W'}{r^2} + \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{r^2} V \right] + \frac{2\sigma}{r^3} e^{-\Lambda} W + \sigma \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{r^2} V \right\} e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m} \\
&\quad - \frac{dq}{dr} e^{-\Lambda} \frac{W}{r^2} e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m} + \frac{dq}{d\rho} \left[\frac{1}{2} \sigma H_0 e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m} + (\rho + q) K e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m} \right] .
\end{aligned} \tag{A.51}$$

A.4 The perturbed Einstein equations

Let us recall our convention that $8\pi G = 1$.

We begin here with the identity $\delta G^\theta_\theta - \delta G^\phi_\phi = \delta T^\theta_\theta - \delta T^\phi_\phi = 0$, which is, quite simply,

$$H_0 - H_2 = 0 . \tag{A.52}$$

This relationship will be used to eliminate H_2 from all subsequent equations.

Let us next consider $\delta G^t_t = \delta T^t_t = -\delta\rho$, which yields

$$\begin{aligned} -\delta\rho = & -\frac{1}{2r^2}e^{i\omega t}Y_{\ell m} \{2K - \ell(\ell+1)(H_0 + K) \\ & + 2e^{-2\Lambda} [H_0(2r\Lambda' - 1) - r(H'_0 + (r\Lambda' - 3)K' - rK'')]\} . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.53})$$

When the above is combined with Eq. (A.47), one finds that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = & H'_0 + r^{-1}e^{2\Lambda} \left[1 - r^2\rho + \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{2} + \frac{\sigma}{2}r^2 \right] H_0 \\ & - e^{2\Lambda} \left(3 - \frac{5m(r)}{8\pi r} - \frac{r^2\rho}{2} \right) K' - rK'' + r^{-1}e^{2\Lambda} \left[\frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{2} - 1 + r^2(\rho + q) \right] K \\ & + r^{-1} \left[\frac{2\sigma}{r} - \frac{d\rho}{dr} \right] e^\Lambda W - r^{-1}e^{2\Lambda} (\rho + q) \ell(\ell+1) V - r^{-1}(\rho + p) e^\Lambda W' . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.54})$$

The third equation of interest is $\delta G^r_t = \delta T^r_t$, for which the relevant tensors,

$$\delta G^r_t = -i\omega \frac{e^{-2\Lambda}}{2r^2} \{ \ell(\ell+1) H_1 + 2r [H_0 + (r\Phi' - 1)K - rK'] \} e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m} , \quad (\text{A.55})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \delta T^r_t = & (\rho + q) u_t \delta u^r + (p - q) \delta k_t k^r \\ = & -(\rho + p) r^{-2} e^{-\Lambda} \partial_t W Y_{\ell m} \\ = & -i\omega (\rho + p) r^{-2} e^{-\Lambda} W e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m} , \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.56})$$

can be combined into

$$\ell(\ell+1)H_1 = -2r[H_0 + (r\Phi' - 1)K - rK'] + 2(\rho + p)e^\Lambda W. \quad (\text{A.57})$$

The last such initial-value equation to consider is $\delta G^r_\theta = \delta T^r_\theta$, where

$$\delta G^r_\theta = -\frac{e^{-2(\Lambda+\Phi)}}{2}e^{i\omega t}\partial_\theta Y_{\ell m} [\omega^2 H_1 + e^{2\Phi}(2\Phi' H_0 + H'_0 - K')] , \quad (\text{A.58})$$

and

$$\delta T^r_\theta = (p - q)\delta k_\theta k^r. \quad (\text{A.59})$$

Continuing with the other non-vanishing members of the Einstein equations, we can use $\delta G^t_\phi = \delta T^t_\phi$ to relate

$$\delta T^t_\phi = (\rho + q)\delta u_\phi u^t, \quad (\text{A.60})$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} G^t_\phi &= -\frac{i\omega}{2}e^{-2(\Lambda+\Phi)}e^{i\omega t}\partial_\phi Y_{\ell m} [H_1(\Lambda' - \Phi') + e^{2\Lambda}(H_0 + K) - H'_1] \\ &= (\rho + q)\delta u_\phi u^t \\ &= -i\omega(\rho + q)e^{-2\Phi}V e^{i\omega t}\partial_\phi Y_{\ell m}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.61})$$

as well as apply

$$\begin{aligned}\Lambda' - \Phi' &= r^{-1}e^{2\Lambda} \left(\frac{1}{2}r^2 (\rho - p) + e^{-2\Lambda} - 1 \right) \\ &= -r^{-1}e^{2\Lambda} \left(\frac{1}{2}r^2 (p - \rho) + \frac{m(r)}{4\pi r} \right),\end{aligned}\quad (\text{A.62})$$

so as to obtain

$$H'_1 = -r^{-1}e^{2\Lambda} \left(\frac{1}{2}r^2 (p - \rho) + \frac{m(r)}{4\pi r} \right) H_1 + e^{2\Lambda} (H_0 + K - 2(\rho + q)V) . \quad (\text{A.63})$$

The equation $\delta G^r_r = \delta T^r_r$ plus the result

$$\begin{aligned}\delta T^r_r &= \delta p + (\rho + q) u_r \delta u^r - (p - q) (\delta k^t k_t) \\ &= \delta p + \mathcal{O}(\delta^2),\end{aligned}\quad (\text{A.64})$$

amounts to

$$\begin{aligned}\delta p = \delta G^r_r &= \frac{e^{-2(\Lambda+\Phi)}}{8r^2} e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m} \left\{ 4r\omega^2 H_1 - e^{2\Lambda} (2r^2\omega^2 + e^{2\Phi} (2 - \ell(\ell + 1))) K \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2e^{2\Phi} [rH'_0 - r(1 + r\Phi') K'] + [-e^{2(\Lambda+\Phi)}\ell(\ell + 1) + 2e^{2\Phi} (1 + 2r\Phi')] H_0 \right\} .\end{aligned}\quad (\text{A.65})$$

Substituting for δp via Eq. (A.50) and for H'_0 via Eq. (A.54), we then end

up with

$$\begin{aligned}
& e^{-2\Phi}\omega^2 K - e^{-2\Lambda}K'' - 2r^{-1}\left(e^{-2\Lambda} - \frac{r^2(\rho+p)}{4}\right)K' \\
& - \left[r^2(\rho+p) - \ell(\ell+1) - \frac{\sigma}{2}r^2\left(1 + \frac{dp}{d\rho}\right)\right]\frac{H_0}{r^2} + r^2(\rho+q)\left(1 + \frac{dp}{d\rho}\right)\frac{K}{r^2} \\
& + \left(1 + \frac{dp}{d\rho}\right)\left[\frac{2\sigma}{r} - \frac{d\rho}{dr}\right]\frac{e^{-\Lambda}W}{r^2} - \left(1 + \frac{dp}{d\rho}\right)(\rho+p)\frac{e^{-\Lambda}W'}{r^2} \\
& - \left(1 + \frac{dp}{d\rho}\right)(\rho+q)\ell(\ell+1)\frac{V}{r^2} - 2\omega^2 e^{-2(\Lambda+\Phi)}\frac{H_1}{r} \\
& = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.66}$$

Let us now pivot to the variation of the energy-conservation equation,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 = \delta(T_\mu{}^\nu{}_{;\nu}) &= \partial_\nu(\delta\rho + \delta q)u_\mu u^\nu + (\delta\rho + \delta q)a_\mu \\
& + \partial_\nu(\rho+q)\delta(u_\mu u^\nu) + (\rho+q)\delta(\nabla_\nu u_\mu u^\nu) \\
& + \partial_\nu\delta\sigma k_\mu k^\nu + \partial_\nu\sigma\delta k_\mu k^\nu + \partial_\nu\sigma k_\mu\delta k^\nu \\
& + \delta\sigma\nabla_\nu k_\mu k^\nu + \sigma\delta(\nabla_\nu k_\mu)k^\nu + \sigma\nabla_\nu k_\mu\delta k^\nu \\
& + \delta\sigma k_\mu\nabla_\nu k^\nu + \sigma\delta k_\mu\nabla_\nu k^\nu + \sigma k_\mu\delta(\nabla_\nu k^\nu) \\
& + \partial_\mu\delta q.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.67}$$

Choosing the free index to be r , we then have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \delta (T_r^\nu{}_{;\nu}) = \partial_\nu (\delta\rho + \delta q) u_r u^\nu + (\delta\rho + \delta q) a_r + \partial_\nu (\rho + q) \delta (u_r u^\nu) \\
&+ (\rho + q) \delta (\nabla_\nu u_r u^\nu) + \partial_\nu \delta\sigma k_r k^\nu + \partial_\nu \sigma \delta k_r k^\nu + \partial_\nu \sigma k_r \delta k^\nu \\
&+ \delta\sigma \nabla_\nu k_r k^\nu + \sigma \delta (\nabla_\nu k_r) k^\nu + \sigma \nabla_\nu k_r \delta k^\nu \\
&+ \delta\sigma k_r \nabla_\nu k^\nu + \sigma \delta k_r \nabla_\nu k^\nu + \sigma k_r \delta (\nabla_\nu k^\nu) + \partial_r \delta q \\
&= \overbrace{u^t u_r \partial_t (\delta\rho + \delta q)}^{\sim\mathcal{O}(\delta^2)} + (\delta\rho + \delta q) a_r \\
&+ \delta u_r \overbrace{u^t \partial_t (\rho + q)}{=0} + \partial_r (\rho + q) \overbrace{u_r \delta u^r}^{\sim\mathcal{O}(\delta^2)} \\
&+ (\rho + q) (u^t \delta (\nabla_t u_r) + \delta u^\nu \nabla_\nu u_r) + k_r k^r \partial_r \delta\sigma \\
&+ \delta (k_r k^r) \partial_r \sigma + k^r (\nabla_r k_r) \delta\sigma + \sigma \delta (\nabla_r k_r) k^r + \sigma \nabla_\nu k_r \delta k^\nu \\
&+ \delta\sigma k_r \nabla_\nu k^\nu + \sigma \delta k_r \nabla_\nu k^\nu + \sigma k_r \delta (\nabla_\nu k^\nu) + \partial_r \delta q \\
&= (\delta\rho + \delta q) a_r + (\rho + q) u^t \left[\partial_t \delta u_r - \delta \Gamma_{tr}^t u_t - \overbrace{\Gamma_{tr}^r \delta u_r}^{\sim\mathcal{O}(\delta^2)} - \overbrace{\Gamma_{tr}^\theta \delta u_\theta}^{\sim\mathcal{O}(\delta^2)} - \overbrace{\Gamma_{tr}^\phi \delta u_\phi}^{\sim\mathcal{O}(\delta^2)} \right] \\
&+ (\rho + q) \left[\overbrace{\delta u^r \partial_r u_r}^{\sim\mathcal{O}(\delta^2)} - \overbrace{\delta u^r \Gamma_{rr}^t u_t}^{\sim\mathcal{O}(\delta^2)} + \overbrace{\delta u^\theta \partial_\theta u_r}^{\sim\mathcal{O}(\delta^2)} - \overbrace{\delta u^\theta \Gamma_{r\theta}^t u_t}^{\sim\mathcal{O}(\delta^2)} + \overbrace{\delta u^\phi \partial_\phi u_r}^{\sim\mathcal{O}(\delta^2)} - \overbrace{\delta u^\phi \Gamma_{r\phi}^t u_t}^{\sim\mathcal{O}(\delta^2)} \right] \\
&+ \partial_r \delta p + k^r (\partial_r k_r - \Gamma_{rr}^r k_r) \delta\sigma + \sigma (\partial_r \delta k_r - \delta \Gamma_{rr}^r k_r - \Gamma_{rr}^\alpha \delta k_\alpha) k^r \\
&+ \sigma \left[\overbrace{\partial_t k_r \delta k^t}^{\sim\mathcal{O}(\delta^2)} - \overbrace{k_r \Gamma_{rt}^r \delta k^t}^{\sim\mathcal{O}(\delta^2)} + (\partial_r k_r - \Gamma_{rr}^r k_r) \delta k^r \right] \\
&+ \sigma \left[\overbrace{\delta k^\theta \partial_\theta k_r - k_r \Gamma_{r\theta}^r \delta k^\theta}^{\sim\mathcal{O}(\delta^2)} + \overbrace{\delta k^\phi \partial_\phi k_r - k_r \Gamma_{r\phi}^r \delta k^\phi}^{\sim\mathcal{O}(\delta^2)} \right] \\
&+ (\delta\sigma k_r + \sigma \delta k_r) \nabla_\nu k^\nu \stackrel{47}{=} \sigma k_r (\partial_\nu \delta k^\nu + \delta \Gamma_{r\nu}^\nu k^r + \Gamma_{\alpha\nu}^\nu \delta k^\alpha) ,
\end{aligned} \tag{A.68}$$

or, more explicitly,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \delta (T_r^\nu{}_{;\nu}) = (\delta\rho + \delta q) a_r + (\rho + q) u^t [\partial_t \delta u_r - \delta \Gamma_{tr}^t u_t] + \partial_r \delta p \\
&+ k^r (\partial_r k_r - \Gamma_{rr}^r k_r) \delta\sigma + \sigma (\partial_r \delta k_r - \delta \Gamma_{rr}^r k_r - \Gamma_{rr}^\alpha \delta k_\alpha) k^r \\
&+ \sigma (\partial_r k_r - \Gamma_{rr}^r k_r) \delta k^r + (\delta\sigma k_r + \sigma \delta k_r) \nabla_\nu k^\nu \\
&+ \sigma k_r (\partial_\nu \delta k^\nu + \delta \Gamma_{r\nu}^\nu k^r + \Gamma_{\alpha\nu}^\nu \delta k^\alpha) \\
&= \left\{ \partial_r + \Phi' \left(\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial p} + 1 \right) + \frac{2}{r} \left(1 - \frac{\partial q}{\partial p} \right) \right\} \delta p \\
&+ \omega^2 e^{-2\Phi} (\rho + p) H_1 e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m} - \omega^2 e^{-2\Phi} (\rho + p) r^{-2} e^\Lambda W e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m} \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} (\rho + p) H_0' e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m} - \sigma K' e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m} \\
&+ \sigma e^\Lambda \widehat{\delta k^\theta} \left[\overbrace{\frac{1}{\sin\theta} \partial_\theta (\sin\theta \partial_\theta Y_{\ell m}) + \sin^{-2}\theta \partial_\phi^2 Y_{\ell m}}^{= -\ell(\ell+1)Y_{\ell m}} \right] \\
&= \left\{ \partial_r + \Phi' \left(\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial p} + 1 \right) + \frac{2}{r} \left(1 - \frac{\partial q}{\partial p} \right) \right\} \left[-\frac{dp}{d\rho} (\rho + p) \frac{e^{-\Lambda} W'}{r^2} e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m} \right. \\
&- \frac{dp}{d\rho} (\rho + q) \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{r^2} V e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m} + \frac{dp}{d\rho} \frac{2\sigma}{r^3} e^{-\Lambda} W e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m} \\
&- \left. \frac{dp}{dr} e^{-\Lambda} \frac{W}{r^2} e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{dp}{d\rho} \sigma H_0 e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m} + \frac{dp}{d\rho} (\rho + q) K e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m} \right] \\
&+ \omega^2 e^{-2\Phi} (\rho + p) H_1 e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m} - \omega^2 e^{-2\Phi} (\rho + p) r^{-2} e^\Lambda W e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m} \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} (\rho + p) H_0' e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m} - \sigma K' e^{i\omega t} Y_{\ell m} \\
&+ \sigma e^\Lambda \widehat{\delta k^\theta} \left[\overbrace{\frac{1}{\sin\theta} \partial_\theta (\sin\theta \partial_\theta Y_{\ell m}) + \sin^{-2}\theta \partial_\phi^2 Y_{\ell m}}^{= -\ell(\ell+1)Y_{\ell m}} \right], \quad (\text{A.69})
\end{aligned}$$

where the last step has incorporated the definition of δk^θ from Eq. (A.58).

If the free index is instead θ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \delta (T_{\theta}{}^{\nu}{}_{;\nu}) = u^{\nu} \partial_{\nu} (\delta\rho + \delta q) u_{\theta} + \overbrace{(\delta\rho + \delta q) a_{\theta}}^{\sim\mathcal{O}(\delta^2)} \\
&+ \partial_{\nu} (\rho + q) \delta (u_{\theta} u^{\nu}) + (\rho + q) \delta (\nabla_{\nu} u_{\theta} u^{\nu}) \\
&+ \partial_{\nu} \delta\sigma k_{\theta} k^{\nu} + \partial_{\nu} \sigma \delta k_{\theta} k^{\nu} + \partial_{\nu} \sigma k_{\theta} \delta k^{\nu} \\
&+ \delta\sigma \nabla_{\nu} k_{\theta} k^{\nu} + \sigma \delta (\nabla_{\nu} k_{\theta}) k^{\nu} + \sigma \nabla_{\nu} k_{\theta} \delta k^{\nu} \\
&+ \delta\sigma k_{\theta} \nabla_{\nu} k^{\nu} + \sigma \delta k_{\theta} \nabla_{\nu} k^{\nu} + \sigma k_{\theta} \delta (\nabla_{\nu} k^{\nu}) + \partial_{\theta} \delta q \\
&= \overbrace{u^t \partial_t (\rho + q)}^{=0} \delta u_{\theta} + (\rho + q) (\delta (\nabla_t u_{\theta}) u^t + \nabla_{\nu} u_{\theta} \delta u^{\nu}) \\
&+ \partial_r \sigma \delta k_{\theta} k^r + \delta\sigma \nabla_r k_{\theta} k^r + \sigma \delta (\nabla_r k_{\theta}) k^r + \sigma \nabla_r k_{\theta} \delta k^r \\
&+ \sigma \delta k_{\theta} \nabla_r k^r + \partial_{\theta} \delta q \\
&= (\rho + q) u^t \left(\partial_t \delta u_{\theta} - \overbrace{\Gamma_{\theta t}^r \delta u_r}^{\sim\mathcal{O}(\delta^2)} - \overbrace{\Gamma_{\theta t}^{\theta} \delta u_{\theta}}^{\sim\mathcal{O}(\delta^2)} - \overbrace{\Gamma_{\theta t}^{\phi} \delta u_{\phi}}^{=0} - \delta \Gamma_{\theta t}^t u_t \right) \\
&+ (\rho + q) \left[\overbrace{-u_t \Gamma_{\theta r}^t \delta u^r}^{\sim\mathcal{O}(\delta^2)} - \overbrace{u_t \Gamma_{\theta \theta}^t \delta u^{\theta}}^{\sim\mathcal{O}(\delta^2)} - \overbrace{\Gamma_{\theta \phi}^t u_t \delta u^{\phi}}^{=0} \right] + \partial_r \sigma \delta k_{\theta} k^r - \overbrace{\Gamma_{\theta r}^r \delta \sigma}^{\sim\mathcal{O}(\delta^2)} \\
&+ \sigma \left(\partial_r \delta k_{\theta} - \delta \Gamma_{\theta r}^r k_r - \overbrace{\Gamma_{\theta r}^t \delta k_t}^{\sim\mathcal{O}(\delta^2)} - \overbrace{\Gamma_{\theta r}^r \delta k_r}^{\sim\mathcal{O}(\delta^2)} - \Gamma_{\theta r}^{\theta} \delta k_{\theta} - \overbrace{\Gamma_{\theta r}^{\phi} \delta k_{\phi}}^{=0} \right) k^r \\
&+ \sigma \left[\overbrace{-k_r \Gamma_{\theta t}^r \delta k^t}^{\sim\mathcal{O}(\delta^2)} - \overbrace{k_r \Gamma_{\theta r}^r \delta k^r}^{\sim\mathcal{O}(\delta^2)} - \Gamma_{\theta \theta}^r k_r \delta k^{\theta} - \overbrace{\Gamma_{\theta \phi}^r k_r \delta k^{\phi}}^{=0} \right] \\
&+ \sigma \delta k_{\theta} [\partial_r k^r + \Gamma_{r\nu}^{\nu} k^r] + \partial_{\theta} \delta q, \tag{A.70}
\end{aligned}$$

which can be somewhat simplified to

$$\begin{aligned}
0 = \delta (T_{\theta}^{\nu}{}_{;\nu}) &= \partial_{\theta} \delta q + (\rho + q) e^{-2\Phi} \omega^2 V e^{i\omega t} \partial_{\theta} Y_{\ell m} + \frac{1}{2} (\rho + p) H_0 e^{i\omega t} \partial_{\theta} Y_{\ell m} \\
&+ e^{-\Lambda} \sigma \partial_r \delta k_{\theta} + e^{-\Lambda} \sigma \delta k_{\theta} \left(\frac{2}{r} + \Phi' + \partial_r \ln \sigma \right) \\
&= -\frac{dq}{d\rho} \left[(\rho + p) \frac{e^{-\Lambda} W'}{r^2} + (\rho + q) \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{r^2} V \right] \\
&+ \frac{dq}{d\rho} \left(\frac{2\sigma}{r} - \frac{d\rho}{dr} \right) e^{-\Lambda} \frac{W}{r^2} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{dq}{d\rho} \sigma H_0 + \frac{dq}{d\rho} (\rho + q) K \\
&+ (\rho + q) e^{-2\Phi} \omega^2 V + \frac{1}{2} (\rho + p) H_0 \\
&+ \frac{e^{-2\Lambda-2\Phi}}{2} \left\{ e^{2\Phi} H_0'' - e^{2\Phi} K'' - \left(\frac{\partial_r \sigma}{\sigma} + \Lambda' + 2\Phi' \right) \omega^2 H_1 + \omega^2 H_1' \right. \\
&- e^{2\Phi} H_0 \left[2\Phi' \left(\Lambda' - \frac{\partial_r \sigma}{\sigma} \right) - 2\Phi'' \right] \\
&- \left[\Lambda' - 2\Phi' + \frac{\partial_r \sigma}{\sigma} \right] e^{2\Phi} H_0' + \left(\Lambda' + \frac{\partial_r \sigma}{\sigma} \right) e^{2\Phi} K' \left. \right\} \\
&+ \frac{e^{-2\Lambda-2\Phi}}{2} \left[\omega^2 H_1 + e^{2\Phi} (2\Phi' H_0 + H_0' - K') \right] \left(\frac{2}{r} + \Phi' + \partial_r \ln \sigma \right), \tag{A.71}
\end{aligned}$$

where the term involving H_1' has been eliminated by using Eq. (3.11).

References

- [1] R. Brustein and A. J. M. Medved, “Resisting collapse: How matter inside a black hole can withstand gravity,” *Phys. Rev. D* **99**, no.6, 064019 (2019) [arXiv:1805.11667 [hep-th]].
- [2] R. Brustein and A. J. M. Medved, “Non-Singular Black Holes Interiors

- Need Physics Beyond the Standard Model,” *Fortsch. Phys.* **67**, no.10, 1900058 (2019) [arXiv:1902.07990 [hep-th]].
- [3] R. Ruffini and J. A. Wheeler, “Introducing the black hole,” *Phys. Today* **24**, no.1, 30 (1971)
- [4] R. Brustein, A. J. M. Medved and T. Simhon, “Black holes as frozen stars,” *Phys. Rev. D* **105**, no.2, 024019 (2022) [arXiv:2109.10017 [gr-qc]].
- [5] R. Brustein, A. J. M. Medved, T. Shindelman and T. Simhon, “Black holes as frozen stars: Regular interior geometry,” [arXiv:2301.09712 [gr-qc]].
- [6] R. Brustein, A. J. M. Medved and T. Shindelman, “Defrosting frozen stars: Spectrum of internal fluid modes,” *Phys. Rev. D* **108**, no.4, 044058 (2023) [arXiv:2304.04984 [gr-qc]].
- [7] R. Brustein, A. J. M. Medved and T. Simhon, “Thermodynamics of frozen stars,” *Phys. Rev. D* **110**, no.2, 024066 (2024) [arXiv:2310.11572 [gr-qc]].
- [8] R. Brustein and A. J. M. Medved, “Sourcing the Kerr geometry,” [arXiv:2310.16467 [gr-qc]].
- [9] R. Brustein and A. J. M. Medved, “Frozen stars: Black hole mimickers sourced by a string fluid,” [arXiv:2404.15985 [hep-th]].
- [10] R. Penrose, “Gravitational Collapse and Space-Time Singularities,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **14**, 57 (1965).

- [11] S. W. Hawking and R. Penrose, “The singularities of gravitational collapse and cosmology,” *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A* **314**, 529 (1970).
- [12] H. Buchdahl, “General Relativistic Fluid Spheres,” *Phys. Rev.* **116**, 1027 (1959).
- [13] S. Chandrasekhar “Dynamical Instability of Gaseous Masses Approaching the Schwarzschild Limit in General Relativity,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **12**, 114 (1964).
- [14] S. Chandrasekhar, “The Dynamical Instability of Gaseous Masses Approaching the Schwarzschild Limit in General Relativity,” *Astrophys. J.* **140**, 417 (1964).
- [15] H. Bondi, “Massive spheres in general relativity,” *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A* **282**, 303 (1964).
- [16] P. O. Mazur and E. Mottola, “Surface tension and negative pressure interior of a non-singular ,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **32**, no. 21, 215024 (2015) [arXiv:1501.03806 [gr-qc]].
- [17] R. Brustein and A. J. M. Medved, “Black holes as collapsed polymers,” *Fortsch. Phys.* **65**, no. 1, 1600114 (2017) [arXiv:1602.07706 [hep-th]].
- [18] V. P. Frolov and A. Zelnikov, “Quantum radiation from an evaporating nonsingular black hole,” *Phys. Rev. D* **95**, no. 12, 124028 (2017) [arXiv:1704.03043 [hep-th]].
- [19] R. Carballo-Rubio, F. Di Filippo, S. Liberati, C. Pacilio and M. Visser,

- “On the viability of regular black holes,” *JHEP* **1807**, 023 (2018) [arXiv:1805.02675 [gr-qc]].
- [20] J.M. Bardeen, “Non-singular general-relativistic gravitational collapse,” in *Proceedings of International Conference GR5*, p. 174 (Tbilisi, USSR,1968).
- [21] F. P. Gonzalez-Diaz, “The space-time metric inside a black hole,” *Lett. Nuovo Cimento* **32**, 161 (1981).
- [22] V. P. Frolov, M. A. Markov and V. F. Mukhanov, “Black Holes as Possible Sources of Closed and Semiclosed Worlds,” *Phys. Rev. D* **41**, 383 (1990).
- [23] E. Ayon-Beato and A. Garcia, “Regular black hole in general relativity coupled to nonlinear electrodynamics,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 5056 (1998) [gr-qc/9911046].
- [24] P. O. Mazur and E. Mottola, “Gravitational condensate stars: An alternative to black holes,” arXiv:gr-qc/0109035.
- [25] M. R. Mbyonye and D. Kazanas, “Nonsingular black hole model as a possible end product of gravitational collapse,” *Phys. Rev. D* **72**, 024016 (2005); “Can Gravitational Collapse Sustain Singularity-Free Trapped Surfaces?,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **17**, 165 (2008).
- [26] S. A. Hayward, “Formation and evaporation of regular black holes,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 031103 (2006) [gr-qc/0506126].

- [27] C. Barcelo, S. Liberati, S. Sonego and M. Visser, “Fate of gravitational collapse in semiclassical gravity,” *Phys. Rev. D* **77**, 044032 (2008) [arXiv:0712.1130 [gr-qc]]; “Black Stars, Not Holes,” *Sci. Am.* **301**, no.4, 38-45 (2009).
- [28] E. Guendelman, A. Kaganovich, E. Nissimov and S. Pacheva, “Non-singular black holes from gravity-matter-brane lagrangians,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **25**, 1571 (2010) [arXiv:0908.4195 [hep-th]].
- [29] R. Carballo-Rubio, “Stellar equilibrium in semiclassical gravity,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **120**, no. 6, 061102 (2018) [arXiv:1706.05379 [gr-qc]].
- [30] P. Beltracchi and P. Gondolo, “Formation of dark energy stars,” *Phys. Rev. D* **99**, no.4, 044037 (2019) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.99.044037 [arXiv:1810.12400 [gr-qc]].
- [31] V. P. Frolov, “Notes on nonsingular models of black holes,” *Phys. Rev. D* **94**, no. 10, 104056 (2016) [arXiv:1609.01758 [gr-qc]].
- [32] E. Spallucci and A. Smailagic, “Regular black holes from semi-classical down to Planckian size,” *Int. J. Mod. Phys. D* **26**, no. 07, 1730013 (2017) [arXiv:1701.04592 [hep-th]].
- [33] D. Malafarina, “Classical collapse to black holes and quantum bounces: A review,” *Universe* **3**, no. 2, 48 (2017) [arXiv:1703.04138 [gr-qc]].
- [34] V. Cardoso and P. Pani, “Testing the nature of dark compact objects: a status report,” *Living Rev. Rel.* **22**, no.1, 4 (2019) [arXiv:1904.05363 [gr-qc]].

- [35] R. Brustein and A. J. M. Medved, “Quantum state of the black hole interior,” *JHEP* **1508**, 082 (2015) [arXiv:1505.07131 [hep-th]].
- [36] R. Brustein and A. J. M. Medved, “Emergent horizon, Hawking radiation and chaos in the collapsed polymer model of a black hole,” *Fortsch. Phys.* **65**, no.2, n/a, 1600116 (2017) [arXiv:1607.03721 [hep-th]].
- [37] G. W. Gibbons, K. Hori and P. Yi, “String fluid from unstable D-branes,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **596**, 136 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0009061 [hep-th]].
- [38] H. U. Yee and P. Yi, “Open / closed duality, unstable D-branes, and coarse grained closed strings,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **686**, 31 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0402027 [hep-th]].
- [39] E. I. Guendelman and A. Rabinowitz, “The Gravitational field of a hedgehog and the evolution of vacuum bubbles,” *Phys. Rev. D* **44**, 3152 (1991).
- [40] E. I. Guendelman and A. I. Rabinowitz, “Hedgehog compactification,” *Phys. Rev. D* **47**, 3474 (1993) [erratum: *Phys. Rev. D* **48**, 2961 (1993)].
- [41] R. Brustein, A. J. M. Medved and K. Yagi, “When black holes collide: Probing the interior composition by the spectrum of ringdown modes and emitted gravitational waves,” *Phys. Rev. D* **96**, no.6, 064033 (2017) [arXiv:1704.05789 [gr-qc]].
- [42] D. D. Doneva and S. S. Yazadjiev, “Gravitational wave spectrum of anisotropic neutron stars in Cowling approximation,” *Phys. Rev. D* **85**, 124023 (2012) [arXiv:1203.3963 [gr-qc]].

- [43] T.G. Cowling, “The non-radial oscillations of polytropic stars,” MNRAS **101**, 367 (1941).
- [44] K. D. Kokkotas and B. F. Schutz, “Normal modes of a model radiating system,” Gen. Rel. and Grav. **18**, 913 (1986).
- [45] B. P. Abbott *et al.* [LIGO Scientific and Virgo Collaborations], “Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Black Hole Merger,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, no. 6, 061102 (2016) [arXiv:1602.03837 [gr-qc]].
- [46] B. P. Abbott *et al.* [LIGO Scientific and Virgo Collaborations], “Tests of general relativity with GW150914,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, no. 22, 221101 (2016) [arXiv:1602.03841 [gr-qc]].
- [47] R. Brustein and A. J. M. Medved, “Quantum hair of black holes out of equilibrium,” Phys. Rev. D **97**, no.4, 044035 (2018) [arXiv:1709.03566 [hep-th]].
- [48] R. Brustein, A. J. M. Medved and K. Yagi, “Discovering the interior of black holes,” Phys. Rev. D **96**, no.12, 124021 (2017) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.96.124021 [arXiv:1701.07444 [gr-qc]].
- [49] J. R. Ipser, “Gravitational Radiation from Slowly Rotating, Fully Relativistic Stars,” The Astrophysical Journal 166, 175 (1971)
- [50] Y. Kojima, S. Yoshida and T. Futamase, “Nonradial pulsation of a boson star. 1: Formulation,” Prog. Theor. Phys. **86**, 401-410 (1991).
- [51] S. Yoshida, Y. Eriguchi and T. Futamase, “Quasinormal

- modes of boson stars,” *Phys. Rev. D* **50**, 6235-6246 (1994)
doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.50.6235
- [52] C. F. B. Macedo, P. Pani, V. Cardoso and L. C. B. Crispino, “Astrophysical signatures of boson stars: quasinormal modes and inspiral resonances,” *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, no.6, 064046 (2013)
doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.88.064046 [arXiv:1307.4812 [gr-qc]].
- [53] AA. Isayev, “General relativistic polytropes in anisotropic stars,” *Phys. Rev. D* **96**, no.8, 083007 (2017) [arXiv:1801.03745].
- [54] S. Mondal and M. Bagchi, “f-mode oscillations of anisotropic neutron stars in full general relativity,” [arXiv:2309.00439 [gr-qc]].
- [55] T. Regge and J. Wheeler, “Stability of a Schwarzschild singularity”, *Phys. Rev.* **108**, 1063 (1957).
- [56] K. Thorne and A. Campolattaro, “Non-radial pulsation of general-relativistic stellar models. I. Analytic analysis for $L \geq 2$,” *Astrophys. J.* **149**, pp. 591 (1967).
- [57] S. L. Detweiler and L. Lindblom, “On the nonradial pulsations of general relativistic stellar models,” *Astrophys. J.* **292**, 12-15 (1985).
- [58] J. Ipser and L. Lindblom, “On the pulsations of relativistic accretion disks and rotating stars-The Cowling approximation,” *Astrophys. J.* **389** pp. 392-399 (1992).
- [59] N. Andersson, K. D. Kokkotas and B. F. Schutz, “Space-time modes

- of relativistic stars,” *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **280**, 1230 (1996) [gr-qc/9601015].
- [60] G. Allen, N. Andersson, K. D. Kokkotas and B. F. Schutz, “Gravitational waves from pulsating stars: Evolving the perturbation equations for a relativistic star,” *Phys. Rev. D* **58**, 124012 (1998) [gr-qc/9704023].
- [61] K. D. Kokkotas and B. G. Schmidt, “Quasinormal modes of stars and black holes,” *Living Rev. Rel.* **2**, 2 (1999) [gr-qc/9909058].
- [62] H.-P. Nollert, “Quasinormal modes: the characteristic ‘sound’ of black holes and neutron stars,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **16**, R159 (1999).
- [63] K. D. Kokkotas and N. Andersson, “Oscillation and instabilities of relativistic stars,” gr-qc/0109054.
- [64] P. Pani, E. Berti, V. Cardoso, Y. Chen and R. Norte, “Gravitational wave signatures of the absence of an event horizon. I. Nonradial oscillations of a thin-shell gravastar,” *Phys. Rev. D* **80**, 124047 (2009) [arXiv:0909.0287 [gr-qc]].
- [65] V. Cardoso, E. Franzin and P. Pani, “Is the gravitational-wave ringdown a probe of the event horizon?,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, no. 17, 171101 (2016) Erratum: [*Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, no. 8, 089902 (2016)] [arXiv:1602.07309 [gr-qc]].
- [66] V. Cardoso, S. Hopper, C. F. B. Macedo, C. Palenzuela and P. Pani, “Gravitational-wave signatures of exotic compact objects and of quantum corrections at the horizon scale,” *Phys. Rev. D* **94**, no. 8, 084031 (2016) [arXiv:1608.08637 [gr-qc]].