

# Modeling of Completely Deformed Complexity-free Anisotropic Fluid Spheres

Z. Yousaf,<sup>1,\*</sup> Kazuharu Bamba,<sup>2,†</sup> Bander Almutairi,<sup>3,‡</sup> M. Z. Bhatti,<sup>1,§</sup> and S. Khan<sup>1,¶</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Mathematics, University of the Punjab, Quaid-i-Azam Campus, Lahore-54590, Pakistan.*

<sup>2</sup>*Faculty of Symbiotic Systems Science, Fukushima University, Fukushima 960-1296, Japan.*

<sup>3</sup>*Department of Mathematics, College of Science,  
King Saud University, P.O.Box 2455 Riyadh 11451, Saudi Arabia.*

(Dated: October 2, 2024)

In this work, we analyze the formation of compact, anisotropic stellar configurations by following the scheme of decoupling the gravitational-field sectors within the principles of complete geometric deformation. In pursuit of this aim, we use viable stellar constraints, including density-like constraints and the zero-complexity factor scheme proposed in the context of stellar configurations. We begin with employing an appropriate metric ansatz as the initial metric potential (seed solution). Imposing the zero-complexity factor constraint allows us to fully capture the gravitational behavior of compact stellar distribution featuring anisotropic pressure. Then, the process of completely deforming the gravitational field source leads to the formation of the desired, highly dense stellar configuration. The novelty of our approach is in the implementation of zero-complexity and density-like techniques to derive the deformation functions. By solving the resulting governing equations, we obtain two solutions, which are consistent with the physical viability tests. These tests show that pressure anisotropy within the confined object greatly affects its stability. It is concluded that the direction of energy transfer between the seed-gravitational field region and the unknown generic-field generator is described by the deformation parameter.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Understanding the evolution of gravitationally bound stellar configurations with ultra-compact interiors are of essential significance within Einstein's theory of general relativity (GR) [1–8]. Undoubtedly, the most widely recognized gravitationally bound stellar structures when it comes to studying intense gravitational interactions are black holes (BHs). This recognition is largely attributed to their distinctive properties, such as unstable photon spheres. Astrophysicists analyze gravitational waves (GWs) caused by the collisions of BHs, neutron stars, and other ultra-compact celestial remnants [9]. The findings of GR [10] suggest that the quasinormal modes of GWs correspond to the pathways of light surrounding merging objects. This straightforward relationship is not followed by all gravity theories [11]. Even GR can break when non-linear electrodynamics are taken into account. Unveiling the mysteries associated with interior compositions of self-gravitational configurations is important, particularly for a deep grasp of the relativistic gravitational collapse of compact objects. Stellar interiors are believed to consist of a complex system of different fluids, interacting through non-linear processes. One of the simplest models used to approximate the interior of self-gravitational compact objects is based on the equality of principle stresses, i.e.,  $P = P_r = P_{\perp}$  [12]. However, this assumption significantly reduces the complexity of constructing the dense-matter configurations by solving the GR-field equations. Although ideal fluid models simplify the study of gravitationally bound stellar spheres, some relativistic models based on this assumption do not represent a physically acceptable situation. The insights are given in [13–31] must be taken into consideration to attain a broader perspective of gravitational phenomena and their involvement in the genesis of stellar structures.

The inclusion of pressure anisotropy, resulting from unequal principal stresses denoted as  $\Delta \equiv P_r - P_{\perp}$ , has a long and distinguished history in exploring the dynamics of compact stars [32–38]. The behavior of the stellar pressure may not remain the same within dense-matter stellar formations due to extreme density and the dominance of the gravitational field. These configurations feature non-uniformity of the fluid pressure, which leads to the concept of radial and tangential stresses. These types of compact stars could be valuable tools for exploring phase transitions and the interiors of stellar structures characterized by the combination of two perfect fluids. In this direction, Letelier [39] proposed a two-perfect-fluid model of an anisotropic fluid in which the seed stellar fluid can be expressed as the sum of the stress-energy tensors of two independent perfect fluids. Pioneering research by Lemaître [40] emphasized the significance of this result for the structure and evolution of compact stellar configurations. Afterward,

---

\* Email: zeeshan.math@pu.edu.pk

† Email: bamba@sss.fukushima-u.ac.jp

‡ Email: baalmutairi@ksu.edu.sa

§ Email: mzaeem.math@pu.edu.pk

¶ Email: suraj.pu.edu.pk@gmail.com

the investigations by Bowers and Liang [41] brought renewed attention to the fascinating topic of anisotropic relativistic matter distributions within the arena of Einstein's GR. By extending the general relativistic conservation equation, they constructed a time-independent self-gravitational stellar fluid endowed with spherical symmetry. They also analyzed the variations in the gravitational mass and surface redshift. The theoretical investigations carried out by Ruderman [42] showed that the nuclear matter exhibits anisotropic features at extremely high densities, i.e., of the order of  $10^{15} \text{g/cm}^3$ . Ruderman's work showed that the pressure splits into  $P_r$  and  $P_\perp$  in highly compact stellar distributions. In this context, several physical processes have been proposed as potential candidates for the existence of anisotropy in compact self-gravitational configurations. These include different types of phase transitions [43], the existence of a solid core, the sum of two fluid configurations, the occurrence of type 3A superfluid [44], and other physical factors. In recent years, an increasing amount of research has explored anisotropic stellar models in a variety of contexts [45–47]. The inclusion of anisotropic pressure for the exploration of compact stellar configuration, whether Newtonian or relativistic, is essential because it occurs in many realistic scenarios (see [48] for details). Furthermore, the authors of [49] further show that stellar evolution naturally leads to pressure anisotropy, even if the initial state is isotropic. The key takeaway is that any equilibrium configuration is the endpoint of a dynamic process. Therefore, it is logical to expect that the stellar configuration will maintain its anisotropic properties following this process. Hence, although a system starts with isotropic pressure, the resulting equilibrium configuration should ideally become anisotropic.

Herrera studied the CF by incorporating pressure anisotropy alongside energy density. This allowed him to analyze the CF for both static/non-static, anisotropic stellar formations within the framework of GR. [50, 51]. This extended version of the CF primarily originates from splitting the Riemann tensor orthogonally. This splitting, in turn, provides a structure scalar ( $Y_{\text{TF}}$ ) referred to as the CF. The reason for nominating  $Y_{\text{TF}}$  as the CF is because it relates the anisotropic stresses, density gradient, and the Tolman mass in a specific for static, highly dense stellar configurations. This definition has been built on the assumption that a complexity-free, static stellar distribution is homogeneous in energy density and isotropic in terms of pressure. This implies that a stellar fluid sphere with a zero CF is characterized by either; i) constant density and pressure isotropy, or ii) non-uniformness of density and pressure anisotropy that somehow cancel each other out to produce a zero net effect on the CF. Several researchers have employed the zero CF condition as an additional constraint to close the system of stellar structure equations characterizing the dynamics of anisotropic stellar fluid spheres. By making use of this alternative description of the CF, researchers have formulated several analytical stellar models that describe the evolution of dissipative anisotropic stellar distributions within various gravitational models [52, 53]. In the theory of superdense stellar compositions, this framework inherently leads to a definition of a system with zero CF, thereby establishing the concept of complexity. Furthermore, the CF enables us to identify a family of stellar models that share the same level of complexity. Within this context, it is especially intriguing to probe the implications of zero CF on the inherent structural attributes of the stellar interiors.

We can assign a specific value to the complexity-determining scalar function  $Y_{\text{TF}} = 0$ , indicating a system with no complexity. In this case, it functions like an equation of state (EoS), enabling us to construct the solution of stellar structure equations. However, obtaining analytical solutions for even such a simplified system can still be extremely challenging, if not impossible. To tackle the complexities arising from finding the solutions of GR field equations in an anisotropic domain, gravitational decoupling (GD) [54–57] is considered an effective ingredient. The scheme of GD can be applied within stellar systems in two ways: the minimal geometric deformation (MGD) (the simplest form of GD), and the extended MGD alternatively known as the complete geometric deformation scheme. Driven by the recent surge of interest in anisotropic stresses, this paper applies the CGD approach to decouple gravitational sources incorporating the additional term  $\Theta_{\mu\nu}$ . This method utilizes known solutions as a foundation leading to the development of new ones and simplifies the problem by reducing it to a system of less complex differential equations. Furthermore, we can illustrate the CGD scheme through a specific example. Consider two gravitational-field sources,  $\mathcal{G}_1$  and  $\mathcal{G}_2$ , where  $\mathcal{G}_1$  is associated with standard GR-field equations, while  $\mathcal{G}_2$  corresponds to the quasi-Einstein gravitational system. We solve each of the two field sources separately after defining each of them. The complete model for the system is finally found by joining the two solutions, and it is denoted as  $\mathcal{G}_1 \cup \mathcal{G}_2$ . Furthermore, it is widely recognized that GR-field equations exhibit a highly nonlinear nature. Hence, the CGD approach stands out as a potent methodology for addressing them. The authors of [58] describe the effects of GD on regular BHs within the background of static, self-gravitational sources. Additionally, the influence of geometrical deformations on BH shadow in general stationary and static cases can be found in the studies [59], and [60] explore the influence of a primary hair on a photon sphere, shadow, and intensity distribution. In addition, some interesting analytical solutions characterize the self-gravitational compact configurations have been presented in [61, 62].

This work is concerned with exploring the viable construction of anisotropic interior stellar models by making use of the CGD scheme, which is known as the extended form of the MGD decoupling. The MGD method transformed the known seed-gravitational system into an anisotropic regime by deforming the radial metric component only. In this approach, the energy exchange between the relativistic fluids is zero. However, the CGD approach enables us to obtain the anisotropic stellar models by deforming the radial as well as temporal metric potentials. In this

context, we explore the transfer of energy between the seed-gravitational field and the generic field source  $\Theta_{\mu\nu}$ . This work extends our previous investigation [63] by utilizing either the extended MGD scheme or the CGD decoupling. The previous investigation was grounded in the MGD method of decoupling gravitational sources. The remainder of this paper is structured as follows: In Sec. **II**, we begin by examining the basic principles of the gravitational complexity approach within a dense-matter spherical composition comprising two generic sources. Section **III** presents the classical methodology for decoupling gravitational field sources. This section covers fundamental concepts and equations of motion relevant to anisotropic fluid spheres, including the nature of the fluid within these structures. We construct the completely deformed, complexity-free, Kohler-Chao-Tikekar spherically symmetric solutions within the anisotropic domain by employing the density-like constraint in Sec. **IV**. Section **V** explores the physical characteristics linked to the proposed solutions, whereas Sect. **VI** outlines our main discoveries and conclusions.

## II. THE NOTION OF GRAVITATIONAL COMPLEXITY

In this section, we review the pioneering studies by Herrera and collaborators on the behavior of anisotropic stellar spheres revealing that the mechanism and evolution of these highly dense configurations can be determined using some specific scalar terms [64, 65]. These scalar terms are the primary components of some tensorial quantities and may be written down with the help of the Riemann tensor  $R_{\mu\nu\omega\varepsilon}$  as

$$Y_{\mu\nu} = R_{\mu\varepsilon\nu\omega} U^\varepsilon U^\omega, \quad (1)$$

$$Z_{\mu\nu} = {}^* R_{\mu\varepsilon\nu\omega} U^\varepsilon U^\omega, \quad (2)$$

$$X_{\mu\nu} = {}^* R_{\mu\varepsilon\nu\omega}^* U^\varepsilon U^\omega, \quad (3)$$

which is known as orthogonal splitting of  $R_{\mu\nu\varepsilon\omega}$  [66]. Here,  $U^\mu$  denotes the four-velocity. The symbol  $*$  signifies the dual tensor, i.e.,  $\frac{1}{2}\eta_{\varepsilon\gamma\omega} R_{\mu\nu}{}^{\varepsilon\gamma}$ , with  $\eta_{\varepsilon\gamma\omega}$  being the Levi-Civita tensor. Among the above-stated quantities,  $Y_{\mu\nu}$  is particularly significant as the subject of this study since the variable supposed to determine the CF of anisotropic matter spheres is associated with it. Being the central part of Herrera's ground-breaking notion of gravitational complexity to analyze the physical properties of the anisotropic stellar fluids [50],  $Y_{\mu\nu}$  can be expressed as a sum of its trace and trace-free parts as [65]

$$Y_{\mu\nu} = Y_{\langle\mu\nu\rangle} + \frac{1}{3} Tr(Y) h_{\mu\nu}, \quad (4)$$

where

$$Y_{\langle\mu\nu\rangle} = \left( s_\mu s_\nu - \frac{1}{3} h_{\mu\nu} \right) Y_{TF}; \quad Tr(Y) = Y_\mu^\mu \equiv Y_T, \quad (5)$$

where  $s^\mu$  and  $h_\nu^\mu$  are defined by

$$s^\mu = (0, e^{-\beta/2}, 0, 0); \quad h_\nu^\mu = \delta_\nu^\mu - U^\mu U_\nu, \quad (6)$$

such that  $U_\mu s^\mu = 0$ ,  $s_\mu s^\mu = -1$ . Later on, we will see that  $Y_{TF}$  plays a crucial role in determining the local anisotropy of the self-gravitational compact configuration.

$$ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = e^{\alpha(r)} dt^2 - e^{\beta(r)} dr^2 - r^2 (d\theta^2 - \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2). \quad (7)$$

The metric (7) must satisfy the GR-field equations

$$G_\nu^\mu = 8\pi T_\nu^\mu; \quad T_\nu^\mu = \text{diag}(\sigma, -P_r, -P_\perp, -P_\perp), \quad (8)$$

where the radial and tangential stresses are denoted by  $P_r$  and  $P_\perp$ , respectively, while  $\sigma$  denotes the stellar structure's energy density. The non-zero components of Eq. (8) reads

$$8\pi T_0^0 = \frac{1}{r^2} + e^{-\beta} \left( \frac{\beta'}{r} - \frac{1}{r^2} \right), \quad (9)$$

$$8\pi T_1^1 = -\frac{1}{r^2} + e^{-\beta} \left( \frac{\alpha'}{r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \right), \quad (10)$$

$$8\pi T_2^2 = 8\pi T_3^3 = \frac{e^{-\beta}}{4} \left( 2\alpha'' + \alpha'^2 - \beta'\alpha' + 2\frac{\alpha'}{r} - 2\frac{\beta'}{r} \right). \quad (11)$$

Since the stress-energy tensor satisfies the relation  $\nabla^\mu T_{\mu\nu} = 0$ , which gives

$$(T_1^1)' - \frac{\alpha'}{2} (T_0^0 - T_1^1) - \frac{2}{r} (T_2^2 - T_1^1) = 0, \quad (12)$$

with

$$\alpha' = \frac{2m + 8\pi r^3 P_r}{(r - 2m)}. \quad (13)$$

Next, the formula encoding the mass of spherical stellar fluids according to the Misner and Sharp formalism reads [67]

$$R_{232}^3 = 1 - e^{-\beta} = \frac{2m}{r}, \quad (14)$$

or

$$m(r) = 4\pi \int_0^r t^2 T_0^0(t) dt, \quad (15)$$

which can be expressed in a specific form that captures the contributions of both uniform and non-uniform density as

$$m = \frac{4\pi}{3} r^3 T_0^0(r) - \frac{4\pi}{3} \int_0^r t^3 [T_0^0(t)]' dt. \quad (16)$$

Consequently, the trace-free component of  $Y_{\mu\nu}$  capturing the complexity of static, self-gravitational stellar configurations with anisotropic stresses takes the form

$$Y_{\text{TF}} = 8\pi(T_2^2 - T_1^1) - \frac{4\pi}{r^3} \int_0^r t^3 [T_0^0(t)]' dt, \quad (17)$$

where  $\Delta \equiv T_2^2 - T_1^1$ . This concept is rooted in the principle that the complexity-free stellar configurations satisfy the constraint  $\Delta = 0 \Rightarrow T_1^1 = T_2^2$ . Additionally,  $Y_{\text{TF}}$  signifies how the Tolman mass  $m_{\text{T}}$  is affected by the combined effect of anisotropic factor  $\Delta(r)$  and non-uniformity of density. The symbol  $m_{\text{T}}$  has the following representation for the considered source

$$m_{\text{T}} = 4\pi \int_0^r t^2 e^{(\alpha+\beta)/2} (\sigma + P_r + 2P_\perp) dt, \quad (18)$$

which is a measure of total energy within an anisotropic spherical stellar structure. The Tolman mass can be represented in term of  $m(r)$  with the help of following expression [68]

$$m_{\text{T}} = e^{(\alpha-\beta)/2} [m(r) - 4\pi r^3 T_1^1], \quad (19)$$

which by using the values of  $m$  and  $T_1^1$  takes the form

$$m_{\text{T}} = \frac{\alpha'}{2} r^2 e^{(\alpha-\beta)/2}. \quad (20)$$

The term  $Y_{\text{TF}}$  allows us to calculate the mass function,  $m_{\text{T}}$  as

$$m_{\text{T}} = M_{\text{T}} \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^3 + r^3 \int_0^r \frac{e^{(\alpha+\beta)/2}}{t} Y_{\text{TF}} dt, \quad (21)$$

where  $M_{\text{T}}$  corresponds to the total value of  $m_{\text{T}}$  for a spherical stellar source of radius  $R$ . Next, the zero CF condition reads

$$Y_{\text{TF}} = 0 \Rightarrow \Delta = (T_2^2 - T_1^1) = \frac{1}{r^3} \int_0^r t^3 [T_0^0(t)]' dt. \quad (22)$$

Then, by using the GR-field equations (9)–(11), the scalar  $T_{\text{TF}}$  takes the form

$$Y_{\text{TF}} = \frac{e^{-\beta}}{4r} [\alpha' (r\beta' - r\alpha' + 2) - 2r\alpha'']. \quad (23)$$

Now, by imposing the constant  $T_{\text{TF}} = 0$ , the expression yields

$$\alpha' (r\beta' - r\alpha' + 2) - 2r\alpha'' = 0, \quad (24)$$

or, alternatively

$$\left[ \log \alpha' - \log r + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha - \beta) \right]' = 0, \quad (25)$$

which leads to

$$\log \left( \frac{\alpha'}{r} \right) + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha - \beta) = \log \mathcal{A}_0, \quad (26)$$

where  $\mathcal{A}_0$  signifies the constant of integration.

$$\alpha' e^{\alpha/2} = \mathcal{A}_1 r e^{\beta/2}, \quad \text{with } \mathcal{A}_1 = \text{constant}. \quad (27)$$

Then, after some manipulation, we obtain

$$e^\alpha = \left( \mathcal{A}_1 \int r e^{\beta/2} dr + \mathcal{B}_2 \right)^2. \quad (28)$$

Here,  $\mathcal{B}_2$  denotes an arbitrary integration constant.

### III. GRAVITATIONAL DECOUPLING: A FORMAL FRAMEWORK

The process of GD originates from a simple fluid distribution  $T_{\mu\nu}$ , which is extended to a more generalized form as

$$T_{\mu\nu} = T_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} + T_{\mu\nu}^{(2)} + \dots + T_{\mu\nu}^{(n)}. \quad (29)$$

A clear justification for this result emerges when we consider complex self-gravitational compact sources, such as those that are dissipative, electrically charged, or filled with anisotropic fluid, and apply a relatively straightforward EoS to examine the entire configuration. In this direction, understanding the significance of each fluid in a stellar configuration, and the gravitational interactions between these relativistic sources is particularly useful. By identifying the dominant source within a system, this method allows for the efficient elimination of EoS inconsistent with its properties. In the realm of GR, accomplishing this task in theory poses a significant challenge due to the nonlinear characteristics inherent in the theory. However, as the GD approach [69–79] is specifically developed to couple or decouple the relativistic stellar formations within GR. We will observe that it becomes feasible to clarify the individual roles of each gravitational field sector, without relying on any numerical techniques or perturbation methods. More specifically, when considering two arbitrary sources  $\{M_{\mu\nu}, \Theta_{\mu\nu}\}$  in Eq. (1), the contracted Bianchi identities imply  $\nabla_\mu T_\nu^\mu + \nabla_\mu \Theta_\nu^\mu = 0$ . This situation presents two potential solutions, specifically,

$$\begin{aligned} M_\nu^\mu &= \nabla_\mu \Theta_\nu^\mu = 0, \\ M_\nu^\mu &= -\nabla_\mu \Theta_\nu^\mu. \end{aligned}$$

The initial solution suggests that each source maintains covariant conservation, thus resulting in a purely gravitational interaction between them. On the other hand, the second alternative, found to be both more intriguing and considerably more realistic, suggests an energy transfer between the field sources. Quantifying or even describing this exchange in detail would, in principle, be challenging. Finding closed-form analytical solutions to the GR-field equations is a difficult endeavor due to their non-linear nature. Specifically, analytical solutions with particular physical relevance have only been found for certain situations [80]. In this direction, a particularly relevant case is a spherically symmetric metric ansatz with isotropic stresses  $T_{\mu\nu}$  as the gravitational source. However, in modeling more realistic scenarios, particularly those involving BHs or neutron stars, we need to couple the primary field sector (perfect fluid) with more complex forms of matter and energy

$$T_{\mu\nu} = M_{\mu\nu}^{(s)} + \Theta_{\mu\nu}, \quad (30)$$

where  $\Theta_{\mu\nu}$  is an additional field source whose effects on the primary source  $M_{\mu\nu}^{(s)}$  can be regulated by the deformation constant  $\xi$ . The coupling of the seed stress-energy tensor with an extra field source makes the solution of the system

highly challenging. To address this challenge, the GD scheme for decoupling the stellar distributions emerges as an effective tool. Primarily suggested for Randall-Sundrum brane-world models and generalized to explore the novel BH models, this scheme GD can be used to derive braneworld configurations from standard solutions involving perfect fluids. The GD scheme solved the system (30) by constructing the solutions for the following two systems independently

$$G_{\mu\nu}^{(s)} = 8\pi M_{\mu\nu}^{(s)}, \quad (31)$$

$$G_{\mu\nu}^* = 8\pi\Theta_{\mu\nu}, \quad (32)$$

where  $\{g_{\mu\nu}^{(s)}, M_{\mu\nu}^{(s)}\}$  and  $\{g_{\mu\nu}^*, T_{\mu\nu}^*\}$  are obtained. Consequently, the impact of the additional field source  $\Theta_{\mu\nu}$  on the source  $M_{\mu\nu}^{(s)}$  should be manifested in the measurable geometric deformation, as expressed by

$$g_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow g_{\mu\nu}^{(s)} + g_{\mu\nu}^*. \quad (33)$$

Despite the non-linearity GR relativistic equations the GD scheme allows for a straightforward combination of solutions (31) and (32) as

$$G_{\mu\nu} \equiv G_{\mu\nu}^{(s)} + G_{\mu\nu}^* = 8\pi \left( M_{\mu\nu}^{(s)} + \Theta_{\mu\nu} \right) \equiv 8\pi T_{\mu\nu}, \quad (34)$$

where

$$M_{\nu}^{\mu(s)} = \text{diag} \left( \sigma^{(s)}, -P_r^{(s)}, -P_{\perp}^{(s)}, -P_{\perp}^{(s)} \right), \quad (35)$$

and

$$\Theta_{\nu}^{\mu} = \text{diag} \left( \Theta_0^0, -\Theta_1^1, -\Theta_2^2, -\Theta_3^3 \right). \quad (36)$$

The CGD decoupling strategy modifies the metric ansatz (7) by introducing the following linear transformations

$$\alpha \rightarrow x + \xi u, \quad (37)$$

$$e^{-\beta} \rightarrow e^{-y} + \xi z, \quad (38)$$

in the temporal  $g_{00} \equiv e^{\alpha(r)}$  and radial  $g_{11} \equiv e^{\beta(r)}$  metric potentials, respectively. Here, the functions  $\{u, z\}$  codify the geometric deformations in the respective metric variables. These deformations are the outcomes of introducing the additional field source  $\Theta_{\mu\nu}$ . Interestingly, if we set  $u = 0$ , then only  $g_{11}$  is deformed, while  $g_{00}$  remains unaltered. This particular deformation strategy is referred to as the MGD. However, the deformation of both the metric potentials give rise to the CGD-decoupling scheme. Thus, the modified form of the metric (7) according CGD-decoupling approach reads

$$ds^2 = e^{(x+\xi u)} dt^2 - (e^{-y} + \xi z)^{-1} dr^2 - r^2 (d\theta^2 - \sin^2 \theta d\phi^2), \quad (39)$$

where to maintain staticity,  $x$  and  $y$  must be functions of the radial variable  $r$  only. The gravitational-field equations for this metric can be written as

$$G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi T_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi \left( M_{\mu\nu}^{(s)} + \Theta_{\mu\nu} \right), \quad (40)$$

whose non-zero components are defined as

$$8\pi \left( M_0^{0(s)} + \Theta_0^0 \right) = \frac{1}{r^2} + e^{-\beta} \left( \frac{\beta'}{r} - \frac{1}{r^2} \right), \quad (41)$$

$$8\pi \left( M_1^{1(s)} + \Theta_1^1 \right) = -\frac{1}{r^2} + e^{-\beta} \left( \frac{\alpha'}{r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \right), \quad (42)$$

$$8\pi \left( M_2^{2(s)} + \Theta_2^2 \right) = 8\pi \left( M_3^{3(s)} + \Theta_3^3 \right) = \frac{e^{-\beta}}{4} \left( 2\alpha'' + \alpha'^2 - \beta'\alpha' + 2\frac{\alpha'}{r} - 2\frac{\beta'}{r} \right), \quad (43)$$

along with the conservation equation

$$(T_1^1)' - \frac{\alpha'}{2}(T_0^0 - T_1^1) - \frac{2}{r}(T_2^2 - T_1^1) = 0, \quad (44)$$

which can be split in terms of two field sources defined in (40) as

$$\left(P_r^{(s)}\right)' + \frac{\alpha}{2}\left(\sigma^{(s)} + P_r^{(s)}\right) + \frac{2}{r}\left(P_r^{(s)} - P_\perp^{(s)}\right) - \left[(\Theta_1^1)' - \frac{\alpha'}{2}(\Theta_0^0 - \Theta_1^1) - \frac{2}{r}(\Theta_2^2 - \Theta_1^1)\right] = 0, \quad (45)$$

We can now identify the effective structural quantities appearing in Eqs. (41)–(43) as

$$\sigma = \sigma^{(s)} + \Theta_0^0, \quad (46)$$

$$P_r = P_r^{(s)} - \Theta_1^1, \quad (47)$$

$$P_\perp = P_\perp^{(s)} - \Theta_2^2. \quad (48)$$

Now, by employing the linear transformations (37) and (38) in the gravitational system (9)–(11), we can split the stellar structure equations into two systems. One characterizing the seed source governed by the stress-energy tensor,  $M_{\mu\nu}^{(s)}$

$$8\pi\sigma^{(s)} = \frac{1}{r^2} + e^{-y}\left(\frac{y'}{r} - \frac{1}{r^2}\right), \quad (49)$$

$$8\pi P_r^{(s)} = -\frac{1}{r^2} + e^{-y}\left(\frac{x'}{r} + \frac{1}{r^2}\right), \quad (50)$$

$$8\pi P_\perp^{(s)} = \frac{e^{-y}}{4}\left(2x'' + x'^2 - x'y' + 2\frac{x'}{r} - 2\frac{y'}{r}\right), \quad (51)$$

whose dynamics is described by the following line element

$$ds^2 = e^{x(r)}dt^2 - e^{y(r)}dr^2 - r^2(d\theta^2 - \sin^2\theta d\phi^2), \quad (52)$$

where

$$e^{-y(r)} \equiv 1 - \frac{8\pi}{r} \int_0^r t^2 M_0^0(t) dt, \quad (53)$$

is the generic expression of the Misner-Sharp relativistic mass function in the absence of  $\Theta_{\mu\nu}$ -field source. On the other hand, the second set sourced by the  $\Theta_{\mu\nu}$ -field is given by

$$8\pi\Theta_0^0 = -\xi\left(\frac{z}{r^2} + \frac{z'}{r}\right), \quad (54)$$

$$8\pi\Theta_1^1 + \xi\mathcal{X}_1 = -\xi z\left(\frac{1}{r^2} + \frac{\alpha'}{r}\right), \quad (55)$$

$$8\pi\Theta_2^2 + \xi\mathcal{X}_2 = \frac{\xi z}{r}\left(2\alpha'' + \alpha'^2 + 2\frac{\alpha'}{r}\right) - \frac{\alpha z'}{4}\left(\alpha' + \frac{2}{r}\right), \quad (56)$$

where  $\mathcal{X}_1 = \frac{e^{-y}}{r}u'$  and  $\mathcal{X}_2 = \frac{e^{-y}}{4}(2u'' + \xi u'^2 + \frac{2}{r}u' + 2x'u' + y'u')$ . Next, the conservation relation (45) transforms as

$$-\left(P_r^{(s)}\right)' - \frac{x'}{2}\left(\sigma^{(s)} + P_r^{(s)}\right) - \frac{2}{r}\left(P_r^{(s)} - P_\perp^{(s)}\right) - \frac{\xi u'}{2}\left(\sigma^{(s)} + P_r^{(s)}\right) + (\Theta_1^1)' - \frac{\alpha'}{2}(\Theta_0^0 - \Theta_1^1) - \frac{2}{r}(\Theta_2^2 - \Theta_1^1) = 0. \quad (57)$$

Because  $G_{\mu\nu}^{(s)}$  associated with the geometry  $\{x, y\}$  satisfies the corresponding Bianchi identity, it follows that  $M_{\mu\nu}^{(s)}$  is covariantly conserved, i.e.,

$$\nabla_\mu^{(x,y)} M_\nu^{\mu(s)} = 0, \quad (58)$$

where  $\nabla_{\mu}^{(x,y)}$  signifies the covariant differentiation with respect to the metric (39). In particular, we see that

$$\nabla_{\mu} M_{\nu}^{\mu(s)} = \nabla_{\mu}^{(x,y)} M_{\nu}^{\mu(s)} - \frac{\xi u'}{2} \left( M_0^{0(s)} - M_1^{1(s)} \right) \delta_{\nu}^1, \quad (59)$$

where  $\nabla_{\mu} M_{\nu}^{\mu(s)}$  is determined with respect to the metric (7). Next, the result (58) can be cast into the form

$$\left( M_1^{1(s)} \right)' - \frac{x'}{2} \left( M_0^{0(s)} - M_1^{1(s)} \right) - \frac{2}{r} \left( M_2^{2(s)} - M_1^{1(s)} \right) = 0. \quad (60)$$

This process confirms that the seed source,  $M_{\mu\nu}^{(s)}$  has been effectively separated from the gravitational system (9)–(12). On the other hand, by taking into account Eq. (57) and (58), we obtain

$$\nabla_{\mu} M_{\nu}^{\mu} = -\frac{\xi u'}{2} \left( M_0^{0(s)} - M_1^{1(s)} \right) \delta_{\nu}^1, \quad (61)$$

and

$$\nabla_{\mu} \Theta_{\nu}^{\mu} = -\frac{\xi u'}{2} \left( M_0^{0(s)} - M_1^{1(s)} \right) \delta_{\nu}^1, \quad (62)$$

which contains the information regarding the exchange of stress-energy  $\Delta E$  between the gravitational sources, specifically

$$\Delta E = \frac{u'}{2} \left( \sigma^{(s)} + P_r^{(s)} \right). \quad (63)$$

The above expression can alternatively be defined in terms of the variables  $\{x, y\}$ , as

$$\Delta E = \frac{u'}{16\pi} \frac{e^{-y}}{r} (x' + y'). \quad (64)$$

The explicit form of the expression (62) reads

$$\left( \Theta_1^1 \right)' - \frac{\alpha'}{2} \left( \Theta_0^0 - \Theta_1^1 \right) - \frac{2}{r} \left( \Theta_2^2 - \Theta_1^1 \right) = \frac{\xi u'}{2} \left( \sigma^{(s)} + P_r^{(s)} \right). \quad (65)$$

Hence, we deduce that the decoupling of both sources,  $M_{\mu\nu}^{(s)}$  and  $\Theta_{\mu\nu}$ , is achievable when there is an exchange of energy between them, as demonstrated by Eqs. (63) and (64). Next, the definition of mass function under CGD scheme reads

$$m(r) = \underbrace{4\pi \int_0^r t^2 \sigma^{(s)}(t) dt}_{m^{(s)}} + \underbrace{4\pi \xi \int_0^r t^2 \Theta_0^0(t) dt}_{m^{(\Theta)}}, \quad (66)$$

which implies

$$m = m^{(s)} + \xi m^{(\Theta)}, \quad (67)$$

where  $m_s$  and  $m_{\Theta}$  has the form

$$m^{(s)} = \frac{4\pi}{3} \sigma^{(s)} r^3 - \frac{4\pi}{3} \int_0^r t^3 \sigma^{(s)}(t) dt, \quad (68)$$

$$m^{(\Theta)} = \frac{4\pi}{3} \Theta_0^0 r^3 - \frac{4\pi}{3} \int_0^r t^3 \Theta_0^0(t) dt. \quad (69)$$

Now, the combination of the Eqs. (28), (38) and (37) produces the deformation function  $u(r)$  in terms of the geometric variables  $\{x, y\}$ , given by

$$u(r) = \frac{1}{\xi} \left[ 2 \log \left( \mathcal{A}_1 \int \frac{r}{\sqrt{e^{-y} + \xi z}} + \mathcal{B}_2 \right) - x \right]. \quad (70)$$

To solve for the function  $u(r)$ , we first need to calculate the deformation function  $z(r)$  using a specific EoS that relates  $z(r)$  and its derivatives to density. In this regard, the most suitable EoS is the one that mimics the behavior of the density, i.e.,  $\sigma(r) = \Theta_0^0$ . Furthermore, the choice of the seed solution is also crucial, as it affects the closed-form solution for  $u(r)$ . Building upon the preceding discussion, we will construct interior solutions for self-gravitating stellar configurations with anisotropic stresses, satisfying the constraint  $Y_{\text{TF}}$  by using the EGD-decoupling strategy. This strategy involves the following points:



- Choose the best possible seed metric solutions  $\{x, y\}$ .
- Impose an EoS involving the function  $z(r)$ , particularly the density-like constraint  $\sigma(r) = \Theta_0^0$ .
- Evaluate  $e^{-\beta} = e^{-y} + z$  by substituting the value of  $z$  and replace it in Eq. (28) to construct  $e^\alpha$ .
- Finally obtain  $u(r)$  from Eq. (70) by replacing the values of  $e^{-y}$  and  $z$ .

To demonstrate this protocol, we will employ the Kohler-Chao-Tikekar metric as seed solutions in the coming sections.

#### IV. COMPLETELY DEFORMED KOHLER-CHAO-TIKEKAR STELLAR SOLUTION

This section aims to develop completely deformed stellar models featuring anisotropic stresses. To achieve this, we employ the so-called density-like constraint to derive the radial deformation function  $z(r)$ . This requirement provides us with

$$\sigma^{(s)} = \Theta_0^0, \quad (71)$$

which gives rise to the differential equation (DE)

$$z' + \frac{z}{r} + \frac{1}{r} + e^{-y} \left( y' - \frac{1}{r} \right) = 0, \quad (72)$$

The provided DE allows for the determination of the radial deformation function  $z$  by specifying the metric components of the seed solution. Therefore, in this work, we employ the established Kohler-Chao-Tikekar metric ansatz [81–83] as the known seed solution, characterized by the following metric

$$e^x = H + Kr^2, \quad (73)$$

$$e^y = \frac{H + 2Kr^2}{H + Kr^2}. \quad (74)$$

Substituting the aforementioned metric components in Eq. (72), we achieve

$$z' + \frac{z}{r} = -\frac{Hr(3H + 2Kr^2)}{(H + 2Kr^2)^2}, \quad (75)$$

whose solution is given by

$$z(r) = \frac{C_0}{r} - \frac{r^3 K}{H + 2Kr^2}, \quad (76)$$

where  $C_0$  is an arbitrary constant of integration. To formulate a non-singular stellar model maintaining the regularity constraint  $z(0) = 0$ , we set  $C_0 = 0$ . We can then obtain the deformed version of the metric potential,  $e^{\beta(r)}$ , by substituting the value of the deformation function  $z$  into Eq. (38). This deformed metric potential reads

$$e^{-\beta} = \frac{H + (1 - \xi)Kr^2}{H + 2Kr^2}. \quad (77)$$

Next, following the substitution of Eq. (77) into Eq. (28) and subsequent integration, we get the value of the modified radial metric potential  $e^{\alpha(r)}$ . Then, the value of the corresponding temporal deformation function  $u(r)$  can now be directly determined by utilizing Eq. (70). The resulting values of  $e^{\alpha(r)}$  and  $u(r)$  along with the components of  $\Theta$ -sector are defined in Appendix A. On the other hand, the interior metric must join smoothly with the exterior metric at the boundary surface  $r = R$ . This requires the continuity of both the first and second fundamental forms across the boundary surface. In the framework of GR, the Schwarzschild solution for a vacuum spacetime describes the geometric structure of the exterior geometry surrounding a spherically symmetric mass, which reads

$$ds^2 = \left(1 - \frac{2M_{\text{Sch}}}{r}\right) dt^2 - \left(1 - \frac{2M_{\text{Sch}}}{r}\right)^{-1} dr^2 - r^2 d\Omega^2, \quad (78)$$

where  $M_{\text{Sch}}$  denotes the Schwarzschild mass. Then, the following junction constraints arise from the continuity of the first and second fundamental forms,

$$\left(1 - \frac{2M_{\text{Sch}}}{r}\right) = e^{\alpha(R)}, \quad (79)$$

$$\left(1 - \frac{2M_{\text{Sch}}}{r}\right) = e^{-\beta(R)}, \quad (80)$$

$$P_r(R) = \left(P_r^{(s)} - \xi\Theta_1^1\right) = 0, \quad (81)$$

with

$$M_{\text{Sch}} = m(R) = 4\pi \int_0^R t^2 \left[\sigma^{(s)} + \xi\Theta_0^0\right] dt. \quad (82)$$

The conditions (79)–(81) are both necessary and sufficient for ensuring the smooth matching of two spacetime metrics at the boundary surface  $r = R$ .

## V. ASTROPHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF COMPACT STARS

In this section, we summarize the key findings related to the astrophysical features of the completely deformed compact stars in the context of the Kohler-Chao-Tikekar model. Compact star models are generally considered to be theoretically well-behaved if they meet essential mathematical and physical requirements. The key features of the presented stellar model are obtained by introducing the Kohler-Chao-Tikekar metric ansatz with a zero CF is particularly useful in describing the composition and development of relativistic compact configurations. The following subsections will employ this method to analyze the required criteria.

### A. Behavior of Physical Variables and Anisotropic Factor

This subsection provides a detailed physical examination of our results based on the graphical plots displayed here. We specifically analyze the physical acceptability of the anisotropic Kohler-Chao-Tikekar stellar solution, achieved through the CGD scheme of gravitational decoupling with a zero CF constraint. The variation of energy density corresponding to the deformation parameter  $\xi$  is displayed in FIG. 1 (left panel). This plot determines how the density profile increases as  $\xi$  increases. The density of the self-gravitational distribution as a function of  $r$  increases exhibits a gradual increase as  $\xi$ , is varied from 0.0 to 0.5. FIG. 1 (right panel) illustrates the behavior of radial pressure within the self-gravitational distribution. Moving outward from the core towards the surface, the pressure decreases smoothly, ultimately reaching zero at the boundary. This vanishing pressure at the boundary indicates the absence of energy flux towards the surrounding spacetime, as expected. The impact of the decoupling constant  $\xi$  shows that  $P_r$  increases as  $\xi$  decreases. This observation holds for the  $P_\perp$  as well, with slight variation when  $\xi$  takes on small values. Furthermore, it is worth mentioning that across the entire compact fluid sphere,  $P_\perp$  consistently exceeds its radial counterpart, with minimal deviations as shown in FIG. 2 (left panel). The behavior of anisotropic factor  $\Delta$  as a function of the coordinate,  $r$ , is shown in FIG. 2 (right panel). We observe that  $\Delta$  is positive at every internal location of the compact configuration. The factor  $\Delta$  introduces a repulsive force  $P_r$  exceeds  $P_\perp$ . The repulsive force counterbalances the gravitational collapse of the stellar configuration, thereby enhancing its stability. Furthermore, we highlight that the degree of anisotropy is controlled by the decoupling constant  $\xi$ . The value of  $\Delta$  increases as the values of  $\xi$  increase. Typically, we observe that as one approaches the surface layers of the self-gravitational distribution,  $\Delta$  reaches its maximum.

The various graphs describing physical analysis for the completely deformed Kohler-Chao-Tikekar cosmological solution are described below

### B. Energy Conditions

Within a self-gravitational compact configuration, certain physical constraints, known as energy conditions, must be satisfied at every point in the system. These conditions, rooted in general relativity, relate to the energy density and interior pressure of the compact star. Mathematically, these constraints are defined through the following inequalities:




FIG. 1.  $\sigma$  (left panel) and  $P_r$  (right panel) for the completely deformed Kohler-Chao-Tikekar cosmological solution as a function of the radial coordinate  $r$  for various  $\xi$  values.




FIG. 2.  $P_t$  as a function of  $r$  (left panel) and  $\Delta$  (right panel) versus  $r$  for different values of the deformation parameter  $\xi$ .

- (i): Weak energy condition (WEC):  $\sigma > 0$ ,  $\sigma + P_r \geq 0$ ,  $\sigma + P_\perp \geq 0$ ,
- (ii): Null energy condition (NEC):  $\sigma + P_r \geq 0$ ,  $\sigma + P_\perp \geq 0$ ,
- (iii): Strong energy condition (SEC):  $\sigma + P_r + 2P_\perp \geq 0$ ,
- (iv): Dominant energy condition (DEC):  $\sigma - |P_r| \geq 0$  and  $\sigma - |P_\perp| \geq 0$ .

Notably, for a realistic astrophysical configuration,  $\sigma$ ,  $P_r$ , and  $P_\perp$  are positive, ensuring that WEC and NEC are always satisfied. To assure that our model satisfies these constraints, we present the profiles of  $\sigma + P_r$  and  $\sigma + P_\perp$  in FIG. 3. Because both  $\sigma + P_r$  and  $\sigma + P_\perp$  profiles remain positive throughout the stellar interior, this confirms that the WEC and NEC are satisfied with our model. Furthermore, to validate the satisfaction of the DEC condition, we plotted the profiles of  $\sigma - |P_r|$  and  $\sigma - |P_\perp|$  in FIG. 4. Similarly, FIG. 5 illustrates the profile of  $\sigma + P_r + 2P_\perp$  to verify the satisfaction of the SEC. As the plot confirms, the SEC is indeed satisfied with our model. Consequently, all the energy conditions are fulfilled throughout the dense-matter configuration.

Different plots ensuring the validity of the ECs for the considered physical system are provided as




FIG. 3.  $\sigma + P_r$  (left panel) and  $\sigma + P_{\perp}$  (right panel) versus  $r$  for different values of the deformation parameter  $\xi$ .




FIG. 4.  $\sigma - P_r$  as a function of  $r$  (left panel) and  $\sigma - P_{\perp}$  (right panel) against  $r$  for various  $\xi$  values subject to the Kohler-Chao-Tikekar model.

### C. Energy Exchange

This subsection will explore a fascinating structural aspect of the solution: the energy exchange between the seed gravitational-field source  $M_{\mu\nu}^{(s)}$  and the unknown field component  $\Theta_{\mu\nu}$ . In this respect, the expression for the energy exchange is defined as

$$\Delta E = \frac{A}{B}$$

where

$$A = \left[ K (H + (-3 + r^2)K) \left\{ 2(\xi - 1)(H + 2Kr^2)\sqrt{H - (\xi - 1)Kr^2} ((2\xi - 1)H + (\xi - 1)Kr^2) - \sqrt{2}(1 + \xi)H \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. \times (-H + (\xi - 1)Kr^2) \arctan \left( \frac{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{H - (\xi - 1)Kr^2}}{\sqrt{\xi - 1}\sqrt{H + 2Kr^2}} \right) \right\} \right] \quad (83)$$




FIG. 5.  $\sigma + P_r + 2P_\perp$  as a function of  $r$  for different  $\xi$  values subject to the Kohler-Chao-Tikekar model.

and

$$B = \left[ -16(\xi - 1)\xi(H + 2Kr^2)^3 (H - (\xi - 1)Kr^2)^{3/2} - 8\sqrt{2}\pi\sqrt{\xi - 1}\xi(\xi + 1)H(H + 2r^2K)^{5/2} (H - K(\xi - 1)r^2) \right. \\ \left. \times \arctan \left( \frac{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{H - (\xi - 1)Kr^2}}{\sqrt{\xi - 1}\sqrt{H + 2Kr^2}} \right) \right]. \quad (84)$$

Particularly, the sign of the quantity  $\Delta E$  determines the nature of the energy transfer between the gravitational-field sources:

(i): A positive of  $\Delta E$  signifies that the generic-field source  $\Theta_{\mu\nu}$  transfers energy to its surroundings.

(ii): A negative of  $\Delta E$  signifies that the seed-gravitational source  $M_{\mu\nu}^{(s)}$  gains energy to from its surroundings.

We observe that the seed-gravitational source  $M_{\mu\nu}^{(s)}$  gains energy from the surrounding, whereas there is no energy exchange between the sources at the center and boundary of the stellar configuration. In other words the generic-field source  $\Theta_{\mu\nu}$  is giving the maximum energy for the deformation parameter  $\xi \approx 1.5$ . The density diagram indicates a significant transfer of energy occurring in the core region for  $\xi < 1.1$ . The left panel of the density diagram reveals that  $\Delta E < 0$  on the  $\xi - r$  plane within the object, specifically when  $r$  lies between 0 and 1. This suggests that the extra-field source  $\Theta_{\mu\nu}$  absorbs energy from the environment in the context of zero CF.

## VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this article, we have detailed the GD approach within the formalism of CGD decoupling to construct anisotropic stellar configurations by choosing the zero CF condition as an EoS. Our results confirm that GD through the CGD scheme offers an effective framework for constructing generic solutions to the GR-field equations. This is achieved by employing the density-like constraint and the  $Y_{TF} = 0$  condition. By employing the CGD decoupling approach, we have comprehensively examined the most generic method for decoupling two gravitational-field sources  $\{M_{\mu\nu}, \Theta_{\mu\nu}\}$  in GR. This method is applied to two scenarios:

(i): when both the metric variables  $\{g_{00}, g_{11}\}$  are deformed;

(ii): it remains valid throughout all spacetime regions, regardless of matter presence.

The above-mentioned result was established by a thorough and precise analysis of Bianchi's identities. This examination shows that a successful decoupling of the GR-field Eqs. (41)–(43) can only be achieved through an energy exchange between both stellar-field sources, as depicted in Eqs. (61) and (62). The decoupling of both the stellar-field sectors results in two independent gravitational systems: the already known seed solution associated with  $M_{\mu\nu}$ ,

defined in Eqs. (49)–(51), and extra unknown field source  $\Theta_{\mu\nu}$  that corresponds to the quasi-Einstein stellar system, presented in Eqs. (54)–(56). Each gravitational-field system is accompanied by its corresponding conservation equation, provided in Eqs. (60) and (65), respectively. Particularly, we have employed the CGD scheme, which involves deforming both the metric potentials, i.e., radial as well as temporal, by introducing linear transformations defined in Eqs. (37)–(38). With the help of this scheme, we have successfully formulated the complexity-free Kohler-Chao-Tikekar model within the anisotropic domain. The obtained stellar solution characterizes a self-gravitational sphere with anisotropic stresses. In other words, by employing the CGD decoupling scheme, we have successfully derived an anisotropic generalization of a stellar solution. This model satisfies the basic requirements for a realistic description of a stellar interior. In other words, both the metric and the structural variables associated with the material sector (such as  $\sigma$ ,  $P_r$ , and  $P_\perp$ ) in this solution are finite and exhibit regular behavior as functions of  $r$ . Additionally, the function  $e^{\alpha(r)}$  is a monotonically increasing function of  $r$ , while the functions  $\{e^{-\beta(r)}, \sigma, P_r, P_\perp\}$  are monotonically decreasing functions of  $r$ . Within the stellar compact object, all relevant physical quantities of the matter sector achieve their maxima precisely at the center. Additionally, we also ensured that the anisotropic factor  $\Delta > 0$  is throughout the self-gravitational stellar configuration object, except at the center where it vanishes, which is crucial for the stability of this compact structure.

Our model satisfies all the necessary physical conditions (WEC, DEC, SEC) for a realistic description of matter behavior. It is noteworthy that there is an ongoing debate among scientists regarding the definition of complexity in this context. The formulation we adopt allows for the possibility of zero complexity in highly complicated relativistic fluids, as well as high complexity in relatively simple fluid distributions. This inconsistency indeed limits the broader applicability of this concept, especially when attempting to measure the intricacy of compact self-gravitational sources. While the complexity measure may not always align with our intuitive understanding of the term, it has nonetheless proven useful in certain situations, such as helping to close the system of equations. This highlights the importance of developing a more universally accepted and physically meaningful formulation of complexity for future research, which could address these concerns more comprehensively. Furthermore, it is important to note that the approach employed in this investigation provides another viable tool for obtaining interior solutions within the domain of pressure, anisotropy which is characterized by zero CF. This approach could be particularly interesting from the perspective of exploring the effects of decoupling on the complexity of anisotropic fluid spheres. Furthermore, it would be fascinating to extend this approach to other levels of the CF.

**Data Availability Statement:** This manuscript has no associated data or the data will not be deposited.

**Declaration of Competing Interest:** The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The work of KB was supported by the JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers 21K03547 and 24KF0100. The work by BA was supported by Researchers Supporting Project number: RSPD2024R650, King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

## APPENDIX A

In Appendix A, we represent the value of the modified temporal metric function obtained as a result of the CGD decoupling scheme can be defined as

$$e^{\alpha/2} = \mathcal{A}_1 \left[ \frac{2\mathcal{X}(r) ((\xi - 1)r^2K - H) - \sqrt{2}(1 + \xi) H \sqrt{H - (\xi - 1)Kr^2} \arctan \left( \frac{\sqrt{2H - 2(\xi - 1)Kr^2}}{\mathcal{X}(r)} \right)}{4(\xi - 1)^{1/2} K \mathcal{X}(r) \sqrt{\frac{H - (\xi - 1)Kr^2}{H + 2Kr^2}}} \right] + \mathcal{B}_1, \quad (85)$$

where the auxiliary function  $\mathcal{X}(r)$  is defined as

$$\mathcal{X}(r) = \sqrt{(\xi - 1)(H + 2Kr^2)}. \quad (86)$$

Then, the value of the temporal deformation function  $u(r)$  can be attained with the help of modified metric variable  $e^\alpha$ , which is given by

$$u(r) = \frac{1}{\xi} \left[ 2 \log \left\{ \mathcal{A}_1 \left( \frac{2\mathcal{X}(r) ((\xi - 1)r^2 K - H) - \sqrt{2}(1 + \xi) H \sqrt{H - (\xi - 1)Kr^2} \arctan \left( \frac{\sqrt{2H - 2(\xi - 1)Kr^2}}{\mathcal{X}(r)} \right)}{4(\xi - 1)^{1/2} K \mathcal{X}(r) \sqrt{\frac{H - (\xi - 1)Kr^2}{H + 2Kr^2}}} \right) \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + \mathcal{B}_1 \right\} + \mathcal{B}_1 - \log(H + Kr^2) \right]. \quad (87)$$

The function  $u(r)$  is responsible for measuring the effects of CDG decoupling on the self-gravitational source. The values of the structural variables associated with the  $\Theta$ -sector reads

$$\Theta_0^0 = \frac{K(3H + 2Kr^2)}{(H + 2Kr^2)^2}, \quad (88)$$

$$\Theta_1^1 = [\phi_1 \{HK(-4 + 2(3 + 2r)\xi - r\xi^2)r^2 + (H^2(-2 + (4 + r)\xi) - r^4(\xi - 1)(-2 + 3r\xi)K^2)\} + K((2 + r\xi)H + r^2(2 + 3r\xi)K)\phi_2] / [\xi(H + Kr^2)(H + 2Kr^2) \{2\sqrt{\xi - 1}\sqrt{H + 2Kr^2}(H - (\xi - 1)Kr^2) + \phi_2\}], \quad (89)$$

$$\Theta_2^2 = \frac{2K^2(2H + Kr^2) + K(H + Kr^2)(3H + 2Kr^2)}{2(H + Kr^2)^2(H + 2Kr^2)} - \frac{HK^2r^2 \{-\phi_1((2\xi - 1)H + (\xi - 1)Kr^2) + \phi_2\}}{\xi(H + Kr^2)(H + 2Kr^2)^2 \{\phi_1(H - (\xi - 1)Kr^2) + \phi_2\}} \\ - [rHK(\xi - 1)^{9/2}(\xi + 1)(H + Kr^2)(H + 2Kr^2)^2(H - (\xi - 1)Kr^2)^2 \{2\mathcal{X}(r)((\xi - 1)Kr^2 - H) - \phi_2\} \\ \times \{2\mathcal{X}(r)((2\xi - 1)H + (\xi - 1)Kr^2) + \phi_2\} + r^3HK^2(\xi - 1)^{9/2}(\xi + 1)(H + Kr^2)(H - (\xi - 1)Kr^2)^2 \\ \times (H + 2Kr^2)^2 \{2\mathcal{X}(r)((2\xi - 1)H + (\xi - 1)Kr^2) + \phi_2\}^2 - rHK(1 + \xi)(\xi - 1)^2 \{-(H + Kr^2)^2(H + 2Kr^2)^{3/2} \\ \times 4(H^3 - H^2Kr^2(\xi - 5) - 6HK^2r^4 + 2K^3(\xi - 1)^2r^6)(\xi - 1)^4 \{-2\mathcal{X}(r)((\xi - 1)Kr^2 - H) + \phi_2\} + 4HKr^2 \\ \times (H + Kr^2)^2(H + 2Kr^2)^{3/2}(H - (\xi - 1)Kr^2)(\xi - 1)^4(\xi + 1) \{-2\mathcal{X}(r)((\xi - 1)Kr^2 - H) + \phi_2\} + 2Kr^2 \\ \times (H + 2Kr^2)^2(H - (\xi - 1)Kr^2)^2(\xi - 1)^{5/2} \{-2\mathcal{X}(r)((\xi - 1)Kr^2 - H) + \phi_2\}^2 - (H + Kr^2)(H + 2Kr^2)^2 \\ \times (H - (\xi - 1)Kr^2)^2(\xi - 1)^{5/2} \{-2\mathcal{X}(r)((\xi - 1)Kr^2 - H) + \phi_2\}^2 + 8K(H + Kr^2)^2r^2 \{-2(H + 2Kr^2)^2 \\ \times (H - K(\xi - 1)r^2)^3(\xi - 1)^{9/2} - \sqrt{2}H(H + 2Kr^2)^{3/2}(H - (\xi - 1)Kr^2)^{5/2}(\xi - 1)^4 + (\xi + 1) \\ \times \arctan \left( \frac{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{H - (\xi)Kr^2}}{\sqrt{\xi - 1}\sqrt{H + 2Kr^2}} \right) \} + 4K(H + Kr^2)^2r^2 \{-6(H + 2Kr^2)^3(\xi - 1)^{11/3} - \sqrt{2}H(H + 2Kr^2)^{5/2} \\ \times (H - K(\xi - 1)^{3/2}r^2)(\xi - 1)^5(\xi + 1) \arctan \left( \frac{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{H - (\xi)Kr^2}}{\sqrt{\xi - 1}\sqrt{H + 2Kr^2}} \right) \} \} + HKr^2(H - (\xi - 1)Kr^2)^2 \\ \times (H + 2Kr^2)^2(\xi - 1)^{9/2}(1 + \xi) \{2\mathcal{X}(r)((\xi - 1)Kr^2 - H) - \phi_2\} \{-2\mathcal{X}(r)((\xi - 1)Kr^2 - H) + \phi_2\} \{\mathcal{B}_1H \\ - 2rK + r^2\mathcal{B}_1K - (H + Kr^2) \log(H + Kr^2) + 2(H + Kr^2) \} \log \left\{ \frac{\{-2\mathcal{X}(r)((\xi - 1)Kr^2 - H) - \phi_2\}}{4K\sqrt{H + 2Kr^2}\sqrt{\frac{H - K(\xi - 1)r^2}{H + 2Kr^2}}(\xi - 1)^{3/2}} \right\} \\ / [rH(H + Kr^2)(H + 2Kr^2)^3(H - K(\xi - 1)r^2)^2(\xi - 1)^{9/2}\xi(\xi + 1) \{-2\mathcal{X}(r)((\xi - 1)Kr^2 - H) + \phi_2\}^2]. \quad (90)$$

where  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  are auxiliary functions defined as

$$\phi_1 = -2K\sqrt{\xi - 1}\sqrt{H + 2Kr^2}, \quad (91)$$

$$\phi_2 = \sqrt{2}(1 + \xi)H\sqrt{H - (\xi - 1)Kr^2} \arctan \left( \frac{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{H - (\xi - 1)Kr^2}}{\sqrt{\xi - 1}\sqrt{H + 2Kr^2}} \right). \quad (92)$$

- [2] M. A. Aloy, H.-T. Janka, and E. Müller, “Relativistic outflows from remnants of compact object mergers and their viability for short gamma-ray bursts,” *Astron. Astrophys.*, vol. 436, p. 273, 2005.
- [3] A. Sadowski, et al., “The total merger rate of compact object binaries in the local universe,” *Astrophys. J.*, vol. 676, p. 1162, 2008.
- [4] B. Metzger, A. Piro, and E. Quataert, “Neutron-rich freeze-out in viscously spreading accretion discs formed from compact object mergers,” *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.*, vol. 396, p. 304, 2009.
- [5] C. Adam, J. Sanchez-Guillen, and A. Wereszczyński, “A skyrme-type proposal for baryonic matter,” *Phys. Lett. B*, vol. 691, p. 105, 2010.
- [6] D. Viganò and J. A. Pons, “Central compact objects and the hidden magnetic field scenario,” *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.*, vol. 425, p. 2487, 2012.
- [7] L. Herrera and W. Barreto, “General relativistic polytropes for anisotropic matter: The general formalism and applications,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 88, p. 084022, 2013.
- [8] V. Cardoso, S. Hopper, C. F. Macedo, C. Palenzuela, and P. Pani, “Gravitational-wave signatures of exotic compact objects and of quantum corrections at the horizon scale,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 94, p. 084031, 2016.
- [9] L. Barack *et al.*, “Black holes, gravitational waves and fundamental physics: a roadmap,” *Class. Quantum Grav.*, vol. 36, p. 143001, 2019.
- [10] V. Cardoso, A. S. Miranda, E. Berti, H. Witek, and V. T. Zanchin, “Geodesic stability, lyapunov exponents, and quasinormal modes,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 79, p. 064016, 2009.
- [11] R. Konoplya and Z. Stuchlík, “Are eikonal quasinormal modes linked to the unstable circular null geodesics?,” *Phys. Lett. B*, vol. 771, p. 597, 2017.
- [12] P. Boonserm, M. Visser, and S. Weinfurter, “Generating perfect fluid spheres in general relativity,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 71, p. 124037, 2005.
- [13] M. Takeda *et al.*, “Small-scale anisotropy of cosmic rays above 1019 eV observed with the akeno giant air shower array,” *Astrophys. J.*, vol. 522, p. 225, 1999.
- [14] D. Blas, J. Lesgourgues, and T. Tram, “The cosmic linear anisotropy solving system (CLASS). part II: Approximation schemes,” *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.*, vol. 2011, p. 034, 2011.
- [15] C. Böhmer and T. Harko, “Bounds on the basic physical parameters for anisotropic compact general relativistic objects,” *Class. Quantum Grav.*, vol. 23, p. 6479, 2006.
- [16] T. S. Pereira, C. Pitrou, and J.-P. Uzan, “Theory of cosmological perturbations in an anisotropic universe,” *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.*, vol. 2007, p. 006, 2007.
- [17] M. Sparre and S. H. Hansen, “The behaviour of shape and velocity anisotropy in dark matter haloes,” *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.*, vol. 2012, p. 049, 2012.
- [18] J. D. Arbañil and M. Malheiro, “Radial stability of anisotropic strange quark stars,” *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.*, vol. 2016, p. 012, 2016.
- [19] S. Maurya, Y. Gupta, S. Ray, and D. Deb, “Generalised model for anisotropic compact stars,” *Eur. Phys. J. C*, vol. 76, p. 693, 2016.
- [20] J. Colin, R. Mohayaee, M. Rameez, and S. Sarkar, “Evidence for anisotropy of cosmic acceleration,” *Astron. Astrophys.*, vol. 631, p. L13, 2019.
- [21] N. Popławski, “Gravitational collapse of a fluid with torsion into a universe in a black hole,” *J. Exp. Theor. Phys.*, vol. 132, p. 374, 2021.
- [22] N. J. Popławski, “Four-fermion interaction from torsion as dark energy,” *Gen. Relativ. Gravitation*, vol. 44, p. 491, 2012.
- [23] N. J. Popławski, “Cosmological consequences of gravity with spin and torsion,” *Astron. Rev.*, vol. 8, p. 108, 2013.
- [24] E. Di Grezia, E. Battista, M. Manfredonia, and G. Miele, “Spin, torsion and violation of null energy condition in traversable wormholes,” *Eur. Phys. J. Plus*, vol. 132, p. 537, 2017.
- [25] M. Zumalacárregui, E. Bellini, I. Sawicki, J. Lesgourgues, and P. G. Ferreira, “hi.class: Horndeski in the cosmic linear anisotropy solving system,” *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.*, vol. 2017, p. 019, 2017.
- [26] N. Bartolo, D. Bertacca, S. Matarrese, M. Peloso, A. Ricciardone, A. Riotto, and G. Tasinato, “Anisotropies and non-gaussianity of the cosmological gravitational wave background,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 100, p. 121501, 2019.
- [27] V. De Falco, E. Battista, S. Capozziello, and M. De Laurentis, “General relativistic poynting-robertson effect to diagnose wormholes existence: Static and spherically symmetric case,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 101, p. 104037, 2020.
- [28] A. V. Astashenok and S. D. Odintsov, “Supermassive neutron stars in axion  $F(R)$  gravity,” *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.*, vol. 493, p. 78, 2020.
- [29] S. Nojiri, S. D. Odintsov, and V. K. Oikonomou, “ $F(R)$  gravity with an axion-like particle: Dynamics, gravity waves, late and early-time phenomenology,” *Ann. Phys.*, vol. 418, p. 168186, 2020.
- [30] V. De Falco, E. Battista, S. Capozziello, and M. De Laurentis, “Testing wormhole solutions in extended gravity through the poynting-robertson effect,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 103, p. 044007, 2021.
- [31] N. Bartolo, D. Bertacca, R. Caldwell, C. R. Contaldi, G. Cusin, V. De Luca, E. Dimastrogiovanni, M. Fasiello, D. G. Figueroa, G. Franciolini, *et al.*, “Probing anisotropies of the stochastic gravitational wave background with LISA,” *J. Cosmol. Astropart. Phys.*, vol. 2022, p. 009, 2022.
- [32] K. Dev and M. Gleiser, “Anisotropic stars: exact solutions,” *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.*, vol. 34, p. 1793, 2002.
- [33] M. Mak and T. Harko, “An exact anisotropic quark star model,” *Chinese. J. Astron. Astrophys.*, vol. 2, p. 248, 2002.
- [34] A. R. Zentner, A. V. Kravtsov, O. Y. Gnedin, and A. A. Klypin, “The anisotropic distribution of galactic satellites,” *Astrophys. J.*, vol. 629, p. 219, 2005.



- [35] T. Okumura, T. Matsubara, D. J. Eisenstein, I. Kayo, C. Hikage, A. S. Szalay, and D. P. Schneider, “Large-scale anisotropic correlation function of SDSS luminous red galaxies,” *Astrophys. J.*, vol. 676, p. 889, 2008.
- [36] R. P. Negreiros, F. Weber, M. Malheiro, and V. Usov, “Electrically charged strange quark stars,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 80, p. 083006, 2009.
- [37] C. R. Contaldi, “Anisotropies of gravitational wave backgrounds: a line of sight approach,” *Phys. Lett. B*, vol. 771, p. 9, 2017.
- [38] S. Khan, A. Adeel, and Z. Yousaf, “Structure of anisotropic fuzzy dark matter black holes,” *Eur. Phys. J. C*, vol. 84, p. 572, 2024.
- [39] P. S. Letelier, “Anisotropic fluids with two-perfect-fluid components,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 22, p. 807, 1980.
- [40] G. Lemaître, “L’univers en expansion,” *Ann. Soc. Sci. Bruxelles A*, vol. 53, p. 51, 1933.
- [41] R. L. Bowers and E. Liang, “Anisotropic spheres in general relativity,” *Astrophys. J.*, vol. 188, p. 657, 1974.
- [42] M. Ruderman, “Pulsars: structure and dynamics,” *Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.*, vol. 10, p. 427, 1972.
- [43] A. Sokolov, “Phase transitions in a superfluid neutron liquid,” *Sov. Phys. JETP*, vol. 52, p. 575, 1980.
- [44] R. Kippenhahn, A. Weigert, and A. Weiss, *Stellar structure and evolution*, vol. 192. Springer, 1990.
- [45] S. Khan and Z. Yousaf, “Complexity-free charged anisotropic Finch-Skea model satisfying Karmarkar condition,” *Phys. Scr.*, vol. 99, p. 055303, 2024.
- [46] A. M. Albalahi, M. Bhatti, A. Ali, and S. Khan, “Electromagnetic field on the complexity of minimally deformed compact stars,” *Eur. Phys. J. C*, vol. 84, p. 293, 2024.
- [47] A. M. Albalahi, Z. Yousaf, A. Ali, and S. Khan, “Isotropization and complexity shift of gravitationally decoupled charged anisotropic sources,” *Eur. Phys. J. C*, vol. 84, p. 9, 2024.
- [48] L. Herrera and N. O. Santos, “Local anisotropy in self-gravitating systems,” *Phys. Rep.*, vol. 286, p. 53, 1997.
- [49] L. Herrera, “Stability of the isotropic pressure condition,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 101, p. 104024, 2020.
- [50] L. Herrera, “New definition of complexity for self-gravitating fluid distributions: The spherically symmetric, static case,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 97, p. 044010, 2018.
- [51] L. Herrera, A. Di Prisco, and J. Ospino, “Definition of complexity for dynamical spherically symmetric dissipative self-gravitating fluid distributions,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 98, p. 104059, 2018.
- [52] M. Z. Bhatti, Z. Yousaf, and S. Khan, “Role of quasi-homologous condition to study complex systems in  $f(G, T)$  gravity,” *Eur. Phys. J. Plus*, vol. 136, p. 975, 2021.
- [53] Z. Yousaf, M. Bhatti, and S. Khan, “Non-static charged complex structures in  $f(G, T^2)$  gravity,” *Eur. Phys. J. Plus*, vol. 137, p. 322, 2022.
- [54] J. Ovalle, F. Linares, A. Pasqua, and A. Sotomayor, “The role of exterior Weyl fluids on compact stellar structures in Randall-Sundrum gravity,” *Class. Quantum Grav.*, vol. 30, p. 175019, 2013.
- [55] J. Ovalle, “Decoupling gravitational sources in general relativity: from perfect to anisotropic fluids,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 95, p. 104019, 2017.
- [56] J. Ovalle, R. Casadio, R. d. Rocha, A. Sotomayor, and Z. Stuchlík, “Black holes by gravitational decoupling,” *Eur. Phys. J. C*, vol. 78, p. 960, 2018.
- [57] J. Ovalle, “Decoupling gravitational sources in general relativity: The extended case,” *Phys. Lett. B*, vol. 788, p. 213, 2019.
- [58] M. Misyura, A. Rincon, and V. Vertogradov, “Non-singular black hole by gravitational decoupling and some thermodynamic properties,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.05370*, 2024.
- [59] V. Vertogradov and A. Övgün, “Analyzing the influence of geometrical deformation on photon sphere and shadow radius: A new analytical approach spherically symmetric spacetimes,” *Phys. Dark Universe*, vol. 45, p. 101541, 2024.
- [60] V. Vertogradov, P. Bambhaniya, and M. Misyura, “Influence of primary hair and plasma on intensity distribution of black hole shadows,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.16743*, 2024.
- [61] Y. Heydarzade, M. Misyura, and V. Vertogradov, “Hairy kiselev black hole solutions,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 108, p. 044073, 2023.
- [62] V. Vertogradov and M. Misyura, “Vaidya and generalized vaidya solutions by gravitational decoupling,” *Universe*, vol. 8, p. 567, 2022.
- [63] Z. Yousaf, K. Bamba, B. Almutairi, S. Khan, and M. Bhatti, “Role of complexity on the minimal deformation of black holes,” *Classical and Quantum Gravity*, vol. 41, p. 175001, 2024.
- [64] L. Herrera, A. Di Prisco, J. Martin, J. Ospino, N. Santos, and O. Troconis, “Spherically symmetric dissipative anisotropic fluids: a general study,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 69, p. 084026, 2004.
- [65] L. Herrera, J. Ospino, A. Di Prisco, E. Fuenmayor, and O. Troconis, “Structure and evolution of self-gravitating objects and the orthogonal splitting of the riemann tensor,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 79, p. 064025, 2009.
- [66] L. Bel, “Inductions électromagnétique et gravitationnelle,” in *Ann. Inst. H Poincaré*, vol. 17, p. 37, 1961.
- [67] C. W. Misner and D. H. Sharp, “Relativistic equations for adiabatic, spherically symmetric gravitational collapse,” *Phys. Rev.*, vol. 136, p. B571, 1964.
- [68] L. Herrera, A. Di Prisco, J. L. Hernández-Pastora, and N. O. Santos *Phys. Lett. A*, vol. 237, p. 113, 1998.
- [69] M. Estrada, “A way of decoupling gravitational sources in pure lovelock gravity,” *Eur. Phys. J. C*, vol. 79, p. 918, 2019.
- [70] L. Gabbanelli, J. Ovalle, A. Sotomayor, Z. Stuchlík, and R. Casadio, “A causal Schwarzschild-de Sitter interior solution by gravitational decoupling,” *Eur. Phys. J. C*, vol. 79, p. 486, 2019.
- [71] R. da Rocha, “Minimal geometric deformation of Yang-Mills-Dirac stellar configurations,” *Phys. Rev. D*, vol. 102, p. 024011, 2020.
- [72] E. Contreras and Z. Stuchlík, “A simple protocol to construct solutions with vanishing complexity by gravitational decoupling,” *Eur. Phys. J. C*, vol. 82, p. 706, 2022.

- [73] S. Maurya, K. N. Singh, M. Govender, and S. Hansraj, “Gravitationally decoupled strange star model beyond the standard maximum mass limit in Einstein–Gauss–Bonnet gravity,” *Astrophys. J.*, vol. 925, p. 208, 2022.
- [74] P. León and C. Las Heras, “Spherically symmetric distributions with an invariant and vanishing complexity factor by means of the extended geometric deformation,” *Eur. Phys. J. C*, vol. 83, p. 260, 2023.
- [75] R. Casadio and R. da Rocha, “Axion stars in MGD background,” *Eur. Phys. J. C*, vol. 83, p. 537, 2023.
- [76] E. Contreras, Á. Rincón, and P. Bargueño, “A general interior anisotropic solution for a BTZ vacuum in the context of the minimal geometric deformation decoupling approach,” *Eur. Phys. J. C*, vol. 79, p. 216, 2019.
- [77] L. Gabbanelli, Á. Rincón, and C. Rubio, “Gravitational decoupled anisotropies in compact stars,” *Eur. Phys. J. C*, vol. 78, p. 370, 2018.
- [78] S. Hensh and Z. Stuchlík, “Anisotropic tolmán vii solution by gravitational decoupling,” *Eur. Phys. J. C*, vol. 79, p. 834, 2019.
- [79] F. Tello-Ortiz, S. K. Maurya, and P. Bargueño, “Minimally deformed wormholes,” *Eur. Phys. J. C*, vol. 81, p. 426, 2021.
- [80] H. Stephani, D. Kramer, M. MacCallum, C. Hoenselaers, and E. Herlt, *Exact solutions of Einstein’s field equations*. Cambridge university press, 2009.
- [81] M. Kohler and K. Chao, “Zentralsymmetrische statische schwerefelder mit räumen der klasse 1,” *Z. Naturforsch. A*, vol. 20, p. 1537, 1965.
- [82] R. Tikekar, “A suspected converse of a theorem regarding spherically symmetric space-times,” *Curr. Sci.*, vol. 39, p. 460, 1970.
- [83] J. Andrade, K. Y. Ortega, W. Klínger, R. Copa, S. Medina, and J. Cruz, “Anisotropic extension of Kohler–Chao–Tikekar solution by minimal geometric deformation,” *Eur. Phys. J. C*, vol. 83, p. 1085, 2023.