

**THE  $L_p$ -BRUNN-MINKOWSKI INEQUALITIES  
FOR VARIATIONAL FUNCTIONALS WITH  $0 \leq p < 1$**

JINRONG HU

ABSTRACT. The infinitesimal forms of the  $L_p$ -Brunn-Minkowski inequalities for variational functionals, such as the  $q$ -capacity, torsional rigidity, and the first eigenvalue of the Laplace operator, are investigated for  $p \geq 0$ . These formulations yield Poincaré-type inequalities related to these functionals. As an application, the  $L_p$ -Brunn-Minkowski inequalities for torsional rigidity with  $0 \leq p < 1$  are confirmed for small  $C^2$ -perturbations of the unit ball.

1. INTRODUCTION

The classical Minkowski problem of prescribing surface area measure and the Brunn-Minkowski inequality for volume are two foundational results in Brunn-Minkowski theory. The former was originally proposed and addressed by Minkowski himself [49, 50]. This problem underwent significant progress through a series of papers (see, e.g., [1, 2, 4, 24]) for which mostly study the existence, regularity and uniqueness. The latter states that if  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ , then

$$(1.1) \quad V((1-t)\Omega_1 + t\Omega_2)^{1/n} \geq (1-t)V(\Omega_1)^{1/n} + tV(\Omega_2)^{1/n},$$

with equality if and only if  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$  are homothetic. It can be expressed in an equivalent form

$$(1.2) \quad V((1-t)\Omega_1 + t\Omega_2) \geq V(\Omega_1)^{1-t}V(\Omega_2)^t,$$

and for  $t \in (0, 1)$ , there is equality if and only if  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$  are translates. For standard references on the proof of (1.1), see [28, 52]. (1.1) yields the uniqueness result for the classical Minkowski problem. In this beautiful survey, Gardner [27] illustrated that (1.1) has many applications in *Geometry and Analysis*. For instance, the classical isoperimetric inequality can be deduced by using (1.1). Besides, by means of (1.1), Colesanti [16] obtained

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 52A20, 26D10.

*Key words and phrases*.  $L_p$ -Brunn-Minkowski inequality, Variational functionals.

This work is supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF): Project P36545.

Poincaré type inequalities on the boundary of convex bodies. The Poincaré and Brunn-Minkowski inequalities on the boundary of weighted Riemannian manifolds were derived by Kolesnikov-Milman [42].

As an extension of the Brunn-Minkowski theory, the  $L_p$ -Brunn-Minkowski theory has been actively investigated since the 1990s but dates back to the 1950s. In 1960s, Firey [26] extended the Minkowski combination of convex bodies to the  $L_p$ -Minkowski sum  $(1-t) \cdot \Omega_1 +_p t \cdot \Omega_2$  for  $p > 1$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$  and established the following  $L^p$ -Brunn-Minkowski inequality when  $p \geq 1$ :

$$(1.3) \quad V((1-t) \cdot \Omega_1 +_p t \cdot \Omega_2)^{\frac{p}{n}} \geq (1-t)V(\Omega_1)^{\frac{p}{n}} + tV(\Omega_2)^{\frac{p}{n}},$$

with equality if and only if  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$  are dilates. The  $L_p$  Minkowski problem of prescribing  $L_p$  surface area measure was first introduced and solved by Lutwak [48]. The case  $p = 1$  is the classical Minkowski problem, and the case  $p = 0$  is the log-Minkowski problem first solved by Böröczky-Lutwak-Yang-Zhang [8]. Building upon Lutwak's seminal work, the  $L_p$  Minkowski problem has been the breeding ground with many meaningful outputs. However, the uniqueness result of the  $L_p$  Minkowski problem for  $p \in [0, 1)$  is not completely solved; the reason behind this is that whether the  $L_p$ -Brunn-Minkowski inequality for  $p \in [0, 1)$  hold is open at large. In this regard, Böröczky-Lutwak-Yang-Zhang [9] proposed the following conjectured  $L_p$ -Brunn-Minkowski inequality for  $p \in [0, 1)$ .

**The conjectured  $L_p$ -Brunn-Minkowski inequality for  $p \in [0, 1)$ .** The  $L_p$ -Brunn-Minkowski inequality holds for all origin-symmetric convex bodies  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$

$$(1.4) \quad V((1-t) \cdot \Omega_1 +_p t \cdot \Omega_2)^{\frac{p}{n}} \geq (1-t)V(\Omega_1)^{\frac{p}{n}} + tV(\Omega_2)^{\frac{p}{n}}, \quad \forall t \in [0, 1].$$

In the case  $p = 0$ , called the log-Brunn-Minkowski conjecture, (1.4) is interpreted as

$$(1.5) \quad V((1-t) \cdot \Omega_1 +_0 t \cdot \Omega_2) \geq V(\Omega_1)^{1-t}V(\Omega_2)^t, \quad \forall t \in [0, 1].$$

Note that (1.5) is stronger than (1.2), and the  $L_p$ -Brunn-Minkowski inequality is equivalent to the related  $L_p$ -Minkowski inequality. The above-conjectured inequalities were established for plane convex bodies in [9]. Kolesnikov-Milman [43] made important progress towards (1.4) and (1.5) by establishing the local versions of these inequalities. Chen-Huang-Li-Liu [12] extended the local results of Kolesnikov-Milman's to the global version for  $p$  close to 1. Recently, Ivaki-Milman [38] obtained the even  $L_p$ -Minkowski inequalities and established the uniqueness in the even  $L^p$ -Minkowski problems for  $p < 1$  under a curvature pinching. See also [19, 30, 33, 36, 37].

Several notable studies have explored extensions and analogues of the aforementioned Minkowski type problems and Brunn-Minkowski type inequalities associated with various geometric invariants within the *Calculus of Variations*. These include investigations into the Newton capacity, torsional rigidity, the first eigenvalue of the Laplacian and their generalizations, such as see [15, 17, 25, 31, 32, 34, 35, 39, 40] and their references. In this paper, we aim to contribute to this research area. Recall that within the context of a compact convex set  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  ( $n \geq 3$ ), the Newton capacity  $C(\Omega)$  of  $\Omega$  is defined as

$$(1.6) \quad C(\Omega) = \inf \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla U|^2 dX : U \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ and } U \geq 1 \text{ on } \Omega \right\}.$$

From the perspective of analysis, by [45], there exists a unique function  $U \in W_0^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bar{\Omega})$  such that

$$(1.7) \quad C(\Omega) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bar{\Omega}} |\nabla U|^2 dX,$$

where the associated equilibrium potential  $U$  satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equation,

$$\begin{cases} \Delta U(X) = 0, & X \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bar{\Omega}, \\ U(X) = 1, & X \in \partial\Omega, \\ \lim_{|X| \rightarrow \infty} U(X) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Jerison [40] established the Hadamard variational formula of  $C(\cdot)$ , which is given as

$$(1.8) \quad \frac{d}{dt} C(\Omega + t\Omega_1) \Big|_{t=0} = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h_{\Omega_1}(\xi) d\mu^{cap}(\Omega, \xi),$$

here the capacity measure  $\mu^{cap}(\Omega, \eta)$  is defined by

$$(1.9) \quad \mu^{cap}(\Omega, \eta) = \int_{\nu_{\bar{\Omega}}^{-1}(\eta)} |\nabla U(X)|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(X) = \int_{\eta} |\nabla U(\nu_{\bar{\Omega}}^{-1}(\xi))|^2 dS(\Omega, \xi)$$

for every Borel subset  $\eta \subset \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ ,  $S(\Omega, \cdot)$  is the surface area measure of  $\Omega$ . Now, one sees that  $\nabla U$  has finite non-tangential limit  $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$  a.e. on  $\partial\Omega$  by using the estimates of harmonic functions proved by Dahlberg [22] and  $|\nabla U| \in L^2(\partial\Omega, \mathcal{H}^{n-1})$ , which imply that (1.9) is well-defined a.e. on the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ .

Jerison [40] proposed the Minkowski problem characterizing capacity measure and dealt with the existence and regularity of the solution via the variational method, which was firstly developed by Aleksandrov [1, 2]. Uniqueness was settled by Caffarelli-Jerison-Lieb in [11]. The associated Brunn-Minkowski inequality is stated as follows.

The Brunn-Minkowski inequality for  $C$  : given  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ , then

$$(1.10) \quad C((1-t)\Omega_1 + t\Omega_2)^{1/(n-2)} \geq (1-t)C(\Omega_1)^{1/(n-2)} + tC(\Omega_2)^{1/(n-2)},$$

with equality if and only if  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$  are homothetic. A proof of (1.10) was given by Borell [5]; subsequently, the equality case was characterized by Caffarelli-Jerison-Lieb [11].

Based on Jerison's work, Colesanti-Nyström-Salani-Xiao-Yang-Zhang [20] studied the  $q$ -capacity for  $1 < q < n$ ,

$$(1.11) \quad C_q(\Omega) = \inf \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla U|^q dX : U \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ and } U \geq 1 \text{ on } \Omega \right\}.$$

Here  $C := C_2$ . The associated  $q$ -equilibrium potential  $U$  satisfies the boundary value problem (see e.g., [45]):

$$(1.12) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta_q U(X) = 1, & X \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bar{\Omega}, \\ U(X) = 1, & X \in \partial\Omega, \\ \lim_{|X| \rightarrow \infty} U(X) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $\Delta_q$  is the  $q$ -Laplace operator. In [20], the authors established the Hadamard variational formula of  $C_q(\cdot)$  as

$$(1.13) \quad \left. \frac{d}{dt} C_q(\Omega + t\Omega_1) \right|_{t=0} = (q-1) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h_{\Omega_1}(\xi) d\mu^{cap_q}(\Omega, \xi),$$

where the  $q$ -capacitary measure  $\mu^{cap_q}(\Omega, \cdot)$  is defined as

$$(1.14) \quad \mu^{cap_q}(\Omega, \eta) = \int_{\nu_{\Omega}^{-1}(\eta)} |\nabla U(X)|^q d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(X) = \int_{\eta} |\nabla U(\nu_{\Omega}^{-1}(\xi))|^q dS(\Omega, \xi)$$

for every Borel subset  $\eta$  of  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , and defined the mixed  $q$ -capacity  $C_q(\Omega, \Omega_1)$  as

$$C_q(\Omega, \Omega_1) = \frac{q-1}{n-q} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h_{\Omega_1}(\xi) d\mu^{cap_q}(\Omega, \xi).$$

The case  $q = 2$  is classical with landmark contributions in Jerison's work [40]. Here we write  $\mu^{cap} := \mu^{cap_2}$ . In [46, 47], Lewis-Nyström generalized Dahlberg's results [22] ( $q = 2$ ) to the case  $1 < q < \infty$ , this tells us that  $\nabla U$  has finite non-tangential limits  $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$  a.e. on  $\partial\Omega$  and  $|\nabla U| \in L^q(\partial\Omega, \mathcal{H}^{n-1})$ .

The Minkowski problem for  $q$ -capacitary measure for  $1 < q < n$  was first introduced in [20]. There the existence and regularity of the solution were studied when  $1 < q < 2$ . Later Akman-Gong-Hineman-Lewis-Vogel [3] investigated the existence's result to  $1 < q < n$  and replacing the  $q$ -capacity by a generalized nonlinear capacity. The uniqueness for  $1 < q < n$  was settled in [20] and the associated Brunn-Minkowski inequality is as follows.

The Brunn-Minkowski inequality for  $C_q$  [18] due to Colesanti and Salani : given  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$ , for  $1 < q < n$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ , then

$$(1.15) \quad C_q((1-t)\Omega_1 + t\Omega_2)^{1/(n-q)} \geq (1-t)C_q(\Omega_1)^{1/(n-q)} + tC_q(\Omega_2)^{1/(n-q)},$$

with equality if and only if  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$  are homothetic.

Later, Zou-Xiong [56] extended the Colesanti-Nyström-Salani-Xiao-Yang-Zhang's work [20], they established the  $L_p$ -Hadamard variational formula of  $C_q$  for  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $1 < q < n$  as

$$\left. \frac{dC_q(\Omega +_p t \cdot \Omega_1)}{dt} \right|_{t=0} = \frac{q-1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h_{\Omega_1}^p(\xi) d\mu_p^{cap_q}(\Omega, \xi),$$

where the  $(p, q)$ -capacitary measure is defined as

$$(1.16) \quad \mu_p^{cap_q}(\Omega, \eta) = \int_{\nu_{\Omega}^{-1}(\eta)} h_{\Omega}(\nu_{\Omega}(X))^{1-p} d\mu^{cap_q}(\Omega, \eta) = \int_{\eta} h_{\Omega}(\xi)^{1-p} d\mu^{cap_q}(\Omega, \eta),$$

and defined the mixed  $(p, q)$ -capacity  $C_{p,q}(\Omega, \Omega_1)$  as

$$C_{p,q}(\Omega, \Omega_1) = \frac{q-1}{n-q} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h_{\Omega_1}^p(\xi) d\mu_p^{cap_q}(\Omega, \xi).$$

Here we write  $\mu_p^{cap} := \mu_p^{cap_2}$ . The authors in [56] also settled the existence of the solution to the  $L_p$  Minkowski problem for  $q$ -capacitary measure when  $p \geq 1$  and  $1 < q < n$ , Xiong-Xiong [55] complemented the case  $0 < p < 1$  and  $1 < q < 2$ . While the uniqueness is only obtained in case  $p \geq 1$  and  $1 < q < n$  in [56], which is associated with the following inequality:

The  $L_p$ -Brunn-Minkowski inequality for  $C_q$  [56] : given  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$ , for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  $1 < q < n$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ , then

$$(1.17) \quad C_q((1-t) \cdot \Omega_1 +_p t \cdot \Omega_2)^{p/(n-q)} \geq (1-t)C_q(\Omega_1)^{p/(n-q)} + tC_q(\Omega_2)^{p/(n-q)},$$

with equality if and only if  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$  are dilates.

Very recently, there have been some results on the existence of a solution to the log-Minkowski problem prescribing cone  $q$ -capacitary measure in the case  $p = 0$  in (1.16). The cone  $q$ -capacitary measure  $G^{cap_q}(\Omega, \cdot)$  of a convex body  $\Omega$  is defined for a Borel set  $\eta \subset \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  by

$$(1.18) \quad G^{cap_q}(\Omega, \eta) = \frac{q-1}{n-q} \int_{X \in \nu_{\Omega}^{-1}(\eta)} h_{\Omega}(\nu_{\Omega}(X)) d\mu^{cap_q}(\Omega, \eta).$$

Here we write  $G^{cap} := G^{cap_2}$ . Xiong-Xiong [54] solved this problem for polytopes. Note that the uniqueness question is open due to lack of a log-Brunn-Minkowski inequality for  $q$ -capacity — analogous to the conjectured log-Brunn-Minkowski inequality.

The torsional rigidity (abbreviated as torsion later) of  $\Omega$  is defined as

$$(1.19) \quad \frac{1}{T(\Omega)} = \inf \left\{ \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla U|^2 dX}{\left( \int_{\Omega} |U| dX \right)^2} : U \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega), \int_{\Omega} |U| dX > 0 \right\}.$$

For problem (1.19), from [15], we know that there exists a unique function  $U$  such that

$$T(\Omega) = \int_{\Omega} U dX,$$

where  $U$  is the solution of the boundary-value problem

$$(1.20) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta U(X) = -1, & X \in \Omega, \\ U(X) = 0, & X \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

For two arbitrary convex bodies  $\Omega, \Omega_1$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , in analogy with the variational formula of volume (see, e.g., [52]), Colesanti-Fimiani [17] obtained the variational formula of torsion,

$$(1.21) \quad \left. \frac{d}{dt} T(\Omega + t\Omega_1) \right|_{t=0^+} = \int_{\partial\Omega} h_{\Omega_1}(\nu_{\Omega}(X)) |\nabla U(X)|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(X),$$

where the torsional measure  $\mu^{tor}(\Omega, \eta)$  is defined on the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  by

$$(1.22) \quad \mu^{tor}(\Omega, \eta) = \int_{\nu_{\Omega}^{-1}(\eta)} |\nabla U(X)|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(X) = \int_{\eta} |\nabla U(\nu_{\Omega}^{-1}(\xi))|^2 dS(\Omega, \xi)$$

for every Borel subset  $\eta$  of  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ . In addition, they also defined the mixed torsion  $T(\Omega, \Omega_1)$  as

$$T(\Omega, \Omega_1) = \frac{1}{n+2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h_{\Omega_1}(\xi) d\mu^{tor}(\Omega, \xi).$$

Moreover, the estimates on harmonic function established by Dahlberg [22] illustrate that  $\nabla U$  has finite non-tangential limit at  $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$ -a.e. on  $\partial\Omega$  with  $|\nabla U| \in L^2(\partial\Omega, \mathcal{H}^{n-1})$ , which is not limited by the smooth condition on  $\Omega$ . Hence, (1.22) is well-defined a.e. on the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ .

The Minkowski problem prescribing torsional measure was first posed by Colesanti-Fimiani [17]. They proved the existence and uniqueness of the solution up to translations via the variational method. The uniqueness is associated with the following Brunn-Minkowski inequality:

The Brunn-Minkowski inequality for  $T$ : given  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$ , for  $t \in [0, 1]$ , then

$$(1.23) \quad T((1-t)\Omega_1 + t\Omega_2)^{1/(n+2)} \geq (1-t)T(\Omega_1)^{1/(n+2)} + tT(\Omega_2)^{1/(n+2)}.$$

The above inequality was first gained by Borell [6], and Colesanti [15] proved that equality occurs in (1.23) if and only if  $\Omega_1$  is homothetic to  $\Omega_2$ .

Similar to the  $L_p$  surface area measure (see, e.g., [52]), Chen-Dai [13] defined the  $L_p$  torsional measure  $\mu_p^{tor}(\Omega, \cdot)$  by

$$(1.24) \quad \mu_p^{tor}(\Omega, \eta) = \int_{\nu_{\Omega}^{-1}(\eta)} h_{\Omega}(\nu_{\Omega}(X))^{1-p} d\mu^{tor}(\Omega, \eta) = \int_{\eta} h_{\Omega}(\xi)^{1-p} d\mu^{tor}(\Omega, \eta)$$

for every Borel subset  $\eta$  of  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ . They also defined the  $L_p$  mixed torsion  $T_p(\Omega, \Omega_1)$  as

$$T_p(\Omega, \Omega_1) = \frac{1}{n+2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h_{\Omega_1}^p(\xi) d\mu_p^{tor}(\Omega, \xi).$$

The  $L_p$  Minkowski problem prescribing  $L_p$  torsional measure was first introduced in [13]. The authors proved the existence and uniqueness of the solution when  $p > 1$ . The uniqueness corresponds to the following inequality:

The  $L_p$ -Brunn-Minkowski inequality for  $T$  [13]: given  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$ , for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , and  $t \in [0, 1]$ , then

$$(1.25) \quad T((1-t) \cdot \Omega_1 +_p t \cdot \Omega_2)^{p/(n+2)} \geq (1-t)T(\Omega_1)^{p/(n+2)} + tT(\Omega_2)^{p/(n+2)},$$

with equality if and only if  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$  are dilates. For the case  $0 < p < 1$ , Hu-Liu [32] gave a sufficient condition for existence of a solution to the  $L_p$  torsional Minkowski problem, while the uniqueness for  $0 < p < 1$  is unknown since there is no related  $L_p$ -Brunn-Minkowski inequality. Specially, if  $p = 0$ , the  $L_p$  torsional measure of a convex body  $\Omega$  corresponds to cone torsional measure  $G^{tor}(\Omega, \cdot)$ , which is defined for a Borel set  $\eta \subset \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  by

$$(1.26) \quad G^{tor}(\Omega, \eta) = \frac{1}{n+2} \int_{X \in \nu_{\Omega}^{-1}(\eta)} h_{\Omega}(\nu_{\Omega}(X)) d\mu^{tor}(\Omega, \eta).$$

The Minkowski problem prescribing cone torsional measure was recently studied by [44] with the evenness assumption and [31] without even assumption. The uniqueness of the torsion log-Minkowski problem is also challenging. The key could be to prove a log-Brunn-Minkowski inequality for torsion.

The first eigenvalue of the Laplace operator (abbreviated as first eigenvalue later)  $\lambda(\Omega)$  of  $\Omega$  is defined as follows

$$(1.27) \quad \lambda(\Omega) = \inf \left\{ \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla U|^2 dX}{\int_{\Omega} U^2 dX} : U \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega), \int_{\Omega} U^2 dx > 0 \right\}.$$

Sakaguchi [51] proved that the infimum is attained for some function  $U \in W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$ , satisfying

$$(1.28) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta U(X) = -\lambda U, & X \in \Omega, \\ U(X) = 0, & X \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

If we restrict the minimizer  $U$  with  $\int_{\Omega} U^2 dX = 1$ , then

$$\lambda(\Omega) = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla U|^2 dX.$$

Jerison [41] established the Hadamard variational formula of  $\lambda(\cdot)$ , which is given as

$$(1.29) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \lambda(\Omega + t\Omega_1) \Big|_{t=0} = - \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h_{\Omega_1}(\xi) d\mu^{eig}(\Omega, \xi),$$

here the eigenvalue measure  $\mu^{eig}(\Omega, \eta)$  is defined by

$$(1.30) \quad \mu^{eig}(\Omega, \eta) = \int_{\nu_{\Omega}^{-1}(\eta)} |\nabla U(X)|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(X) = \int_{\eta} |\nabla U(\nu_{\Omega}^{-1}(\xi))|^2 dS(\Omega, \xi)$$

for every Borel subset  $\eta \subset \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ . Based on that, the mixed first eigenvalue  $\lambda(\Omega, \Omega_1)$  is defined as

$$\lambda(\Omega, \Omega_1) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h_{\Omega_1}(\xi) d\mu^{eig}(\Omega, \xi),$$

and for a Borel set  $\eta \subset \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , define the cone eigenvalue measure as

$$(1.31) \quad G^{eig}(\Omega, \eta) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{X \in \nu_{\Omega}^{-1}(\eta)} h_{\Omega}(\nu_{\Omega}(X)) d\mu^{eig}(\Omega, \eta).$$

We remark that the Minkowski problem for the eigenvalue measure was also studied in [41]. The uniqueness of this problem is related to the following inequality:

The Brunn-Minkowski inequality for  $\lambda$  : For  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ , then

$$(1.32) \quad \lambda((1-t)\Omega_1 + t\Omega_2)^{-1/2} \geq (1-t)\lambda(\Omega_1)^{-1/2} + t\lambda(\Omega_2)^{-1/2},$$

with equality if and only if  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$  are homothetic. A proof of (1.32) was given by Brascamp-Lieb [10], another proof was shown by Borell [7], and subsequently, the equality case was characterized by Colesanti [15].

As far as we know, there are very few results on the  $L_p$ -Brunn-Minkowski inequalities for the above variational functionals with  $0 \leq p < 1$ . Very recently, Crasta-Fragalà [21] made some progress; they proved that if the above cone variational measure is absolutely continuous with constant density and if the body is origin-centered, the underlying body is a ball. In addition, in conjunction with the Saint-Venant inequality between volume and torsion, they obtained the following inequality for torsion:

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \log \frac{h_{\Omega}}{h_{B^n}} d\bar{G}^{tor}(B^n, \cdot) \geq \frac{1}{n+2} \log \frac{T(\Omega)}{T(B^n)}$$

for a centrally symmetric convex body  $\Omega$  and a unit ball  $B^n$ , where  $d\bar{G}^{tor}(\Omega, \cdot) = \frac{dG^{tor}(\Omega, \cdot)}{T(\Omega)}$ .

In this paper, the infinitesimal formulations on the  $L_p$ -Brunn-Minkowski inequalities of the above variational functionals are derived for  $p \geq 0$ . As applications, the local  $L_p$ -Brunn-Minkowski inequalities for torsion with  $0 \leq p < 1$  in small enough  $C^2$ -perturbations of the unit ball can be derived:

**Theorem 1.1** (The  $L_p$ -Brunn-Minkowski inequalities for torsion for  $0 < p < 1$ ). *Let  $\varphi \in C^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$  be even. Then there exists a sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for every*



$s_1, s_2 \in [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$  and for every  $t \in [0, 1]$ , there is

$$(1.33) \quad T(t \cdot \Omega_1 +_p (1-t) \cdot \Omega_2)^{\frac{p}{n+2}} \geq tT(\Omega_1)^{\frac{p}{n+2}} + (1-t)T(\Omega_2)^{\frac{p}{n+2}},$$

where  $\Omega_1$  is the convex body with the support function  $h_1 = (1 + s_1\varphi)^{\frac{1}{p}}$  and  $\Omega_2$  is the convex body with the support function  $h_2 = (1 + s_2\varphi)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ .

**Theorem 1.2** (The log-Brunn-Minkowski inequality for torsion). *Let  $\phi \in C^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$  be even. Then there exists a sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for every  $s_1, s_2 \in [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$  and for every  $t \in [0, 1]$ , there is*

$$(1.34) \quad T(t \cdot \Omega_1 +_0 (1-t) \cdot \Omega_2) \geq T(\Omega_1)^t T(\Omega_2)^{1-t},$$

where  $\Omega_1$  is the convex body with the support function  $h_1 = e^{s_1\phi}$  and  $\Omega_2$  is the convex body with the support function  $h_2 = e^{s_2\phi}$ .

**Remark 1.3.** *In fact, by the monotonically increasing properties of torsion and the  $L_p$  sum of convex bodies in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $p \geq 0$ , one sees that the log-Brunn-Minkowski inequality for torsion leads to the  $L_p$ -Brunn-Minkowski inequalities for torsion with  $0 < p < \infty$ . It is very interesting to strengthen the results of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, and investigate the  $L_p$ -Brunn-Minkowski inequality of  $q$ -capacity and first eigenvalue for  $0 \leq p < 1$ .*

The organization of this paper is as follows: Sec. 2 includes some preliminaries on convex body and variational functionals. In Sec. 3, we obtain the first and second variational formulas of variational functionals under  $L_p$  sum. In Sec. 4, we give a class of Poincaré type inequalities for variational functionals coming from their related  $L_p$ -Brunn-Minkowski inequalities. Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 shall be proved in Sec. 5.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

This section recalls some basics on convex body and variational functionals.

**2.1. Basics of convex bodies.** There are many standard references about the theory of convex bodies, for instance, Gardner [28] and Schneider [52].

Write  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for the  $n$ -dimensional Euclidean space. The unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is denoted by  $B^n$ . We write  $\omega_n$  for the  $n$ -dimensional volume of  $B^n$ . For  $Y, Z \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $Y \cdot Z$  denotes the standard inner product. For vectors  $X \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $|X| = \sqrt{X \cdot X}$  is the Euclidean norm. Let  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  be the unit sphere, and  $C(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$  be the set of continuous functions defined on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ . The set of even functions in  $C^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$  is denoted by  $C_e^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ . A convex body is a compact convex

set of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with a non-empty interior. The set of all convex bodies in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{K}^n$ . The set of all convex bodies that contain the origin in the interior in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{K}_0^n$ . Let  $\mathcal{K}_{+,e}^2$  denote the class of origin-symmetric convex bodies with  $C^2$  smooth boundary and strictly positive Gauss curvature.

If  $\Omega \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$ , for  $\xi \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , the support function of  $\Omega$  (with respect to the origin) is defined by

$$h_\Omega(\xi) = \max\{\xi \cdot Y : Y \in \Omega\}.$$

We may extend this definition to a homogeneous function of degree one in  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$  by the equation  $h_\Omega(\xi) = |\xi| h_\Omega\left(\frac{\xi}{|\xi|}\right)$ .

The  $L_p$  sum of  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$  for  $a, b > 0$  and  $p \neq 0$  is defined as (see [26])

$$a \cdot \Omega_1 +_p b \cdot \Omega_2 = \bigcap_{\xi \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \left\{ X \in \mathbb{R}^n : X \cdot \xi \leq (ah_{\Omega_1}(\xi)^p + bh_{\Omega_2}(\xi)^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right\},$$

and the  $L_0$  sum (log-Minkowski sum) of  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$  for  $a, b > 0$  is defined as

$$a \cdot \Omega_1 +_0 b \cdot \Omega_2 = \bigcap_{\xi \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \left\{ X \in \mathbb{R}^n : X \cdot \xi \leq h_{\Omega_1}(\xi)^a h_{\Omega_2}(\xi)^b \right\}.$$

Given a convex body  $\Omega$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , for  $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$  almost all  $X \in \partial\Omega$ , the unit outer normal of  $\Omega$  at  $X$  is unique. In such case, we use  $\nu_\Omega$  to denote the Gauss map that takes  $X \in \partial\Omega$  to its unique unit outer normal. Meanwhile, for  $\omega \subset \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , the inverse Gauss map  $\nu_\Omega^{-1}$  is expressed as

$$\nu_\Omega^{-1}(\omega) = \{X \in \partial\Omega : \nu_\Omega(X) \text{ is defined and } \nu_\Omega(X) \in \omega\}.$$

Let  $h^* := h_{\Omega^*}$  denote the support function of  $\Omega^*$ , where  $\Omega^*$  is the polar body of  $\Omega$  defined by

$$\Omega^* = \{X \in \mathbb{R}^n, X \cdot Y \leq 1 \text{ for all } Y \in \Omega\}.$$

Notice that the Gauss map can be defined on  $\partial\Omega$  as

$$\nu_\Omega = \frac{\nabla h^*}{|\nabla h^*|}.$$

Due to  $h_\Omega(\nabla h^*(X)) = 1$  for  $X \in \partial\Omega$ , it follows that

$$h_\Omega(\nu_\Omega(X)) = \frac{1}{|\nabla h^*|}.$$

In particular, for a convex body  $\Omega$  of class  $\mathcal{K}_+^2$ , i.e., its boundary is  $C^2$ -smooth and is of positive Gauss curvature, for simplicity in the subsequence, we abbreviate  $\nu_\Omega^{-1}$  as  $F$ , and

$h := h_\Omega$ . Then the support function of  $\Omega$  can be written as

$$(2.1) \quad h(\xi) = \xi \cdot F(\xi) = \nu_\Omega(X) \cdot X, \text{ where } \xi \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \nu_\Omega(X) = \xi \text{ and } X \in \partial\Omega.$$

Let  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{n-1}\}$  be a local orthonormal frame on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ ,  $h_i$  be the first order covariant derivatives of  $h_\Omega(\cdot)$  with respect to a local orthonormal frame on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ . Differentiating (2.1) with respect to  $e_i$ , we get

$$h_i = e_i \cdot F(\xi) + \xi \cdot F_i(\xi).$$

Since  $F_i$  is tangent to  $\partial\Omega$  at  $F(x)$ , we obtain

$$(2.2) \quad h_i = e_i \cdot F(\xi).$$

Combining (2.1) and (2.2), we have (see also [53, p. 97])

$$(2.3) \quad F(\xi) = \sum_i h_i e_i + h\xi = \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h + h\xi.$$

Here  $\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}$  is the (standard) spherical gradient. On the other hand, since we can extend  $h_\Omega(\cdot)$  to  $\mathbb{R}^n$  as a 1-homogeneous function  $h(\cdot)$ , then restrict the gradient of  $h(\cdot)$  on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , it yields that (see for example, [14])

$$(2.4) \quad \nabla h(\xi) = F(\xi), \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1},$$

where  $\nabla$  is the gradient operator in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Let  $h_{ij}$  be the second-order covariant derivatives of  $h_\Omega$  with respect to a local orthonormal frame on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ . Then, applying (2.3) and (2.4), we have (see, e.g., [39, p. 382])

$$(2.5) \quad \nabla h(\xi) = \sum_i h_i e_i + h\xi, \quad F_i(\xi) = \sum_j (h_{ij} + h\delta_{ij})e_j.$$

Also, the reverse Weingarten map of  $\Omega$  at  $\xi$  is given by

$$h_{ij} + h\delta_{ij} = D(\nu_\Omega^{-1}(\xi)) \quad \text{on } \mathbb{S}^{n-1}.$$

We define the matrix

$$Q(\tilde{h}; \xi) = (\tilde{h}_{ij}(\xi) + \tilde{h}(\xi)\delta_{ij})_{i,j=1,\dots,n-1}, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1},$$

and the set

$$\mathcal{S} = \{\tilde{h} \in C^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}) : \tilde{h}_{ij} + \tilde{h}\delta_{ij} > 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{S}^{n-1}\}.$$

The second fundamental form  $\Pi_{\partial\Omega}$  at  $X \in \partial\Omega$  is defined as  $\Pi_X(Y, Z) = \nabla_Y \nu_\Omega \cdot Z$  with  $Y, Z \in T_X \partial\Omega$ . We write  $\Pi_{\partial\Omega}^{-1}$  for the inverse  $\Pi_{\partial\Omega}$ . From the definition of the Weingarten map, one sees that the second fundamental form of  $\partial\Omega$  is equivalent to Weingarten map, i.e.,  $\Pi_{\partial\Omega} = D\nu_\Omega$ .

The Gauss curvature of  $\partial\Omega$ ,  $\kappa$ , i.e., the Jacobian determinant of Gauss map of  $\partial\Omega$ , is revealed as

$$\kappa = \frac{1}{\det(h_{ij} + h\delta_{ij})}.$$

**2.2. Basics of variational functionals.** For the reader's convenience, we collect some facts about the properties of capacity, torsion and first eigenvalue.

Now, according to [22, 39, 40], we first list some properties corresponding to the solution  $U$  of (1.12), as shown below.

For any  $X \in \partial\Omega$ ,  $0 < b < 1$ , the non-tangential cone is defined as

$$\Gamma(X) = \{Y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bar{\Omega} : \text{dist}(Y, \partial\Omega) > b|X - Y|\}.$$

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\Omega \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$  and  $U$  be the solution of (1.12) in  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bar{\Omega}$ . Then, the non-tangential limit*

$$\nabla U(X) = \lim_{Y \rightarrow X, Y \in \Gamma(X)} \nabla U(Y)$$

*exists for  $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$  almost all  $X \in \partial\Omega$ . Furthermore, for  $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}$  almost all  $X \in \partial\Omega$ ,*

$$\nabla U(X) = -|\nabla U(X)|\nu_\Omega(X) \quad \text{and} \quad |\nabla U| \in L^q(\partial\Omega, \mathcal{H}^{n-1}).$$

With respect to  $q$ -capacity  $C_q$  for  $1 < q < n$ , there are the following properties.

**Lemma 2.2.** [20] *(i) It is positively homogenous of order  $(n - q)$ , i.e.,*

$$C_q(m\Omega_0) = m^{n-q}C_q(\Omega_0), \quad m > 0.$$

*(ii) It is translation invariant. That is*

$$C_q(\Omega_0 + x_0) = C_q(\Omega_0), \quad \forall x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

*(iii) It is monotonically increasing, i.e.,  $C_q(\Omega_1) \leq C_q(\Omega_2)$  if  $\Omega_1 \subset \Omega_2$ .*

Let  $\Omega \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$  of class  $C_+^2$ , and  $U$  be the solution of (1.12) in  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bar{\Omega}$ . By a direct calculation in conjunction with Lemma 2.1 and the divergence theorem, for  $1 < q < n$ , [20] showed that

$$(2.6) \quad C_q(\Omega) = \frac{q-1}{n-q} \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla U(X)|^q (X \cdot \nu_\Omega(X)) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(X).$$

Since for every  $f \in C(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f(\xi) dS_\Omega(\xi) = \int_{\partial\Omega} f(\nu_\Omega(X)) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(X).$$

(2.6) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
C_q(h) &:= C_q(\Omega) = \frac{q-1}{n-q} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\nabla U(F(\xi))|^q h(\xi) dS(\Omega, \xi) \\
(2.7) \qquad &= \frac{q-1}{n-q} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\nabla U(F(\xi))|^q h(\xi) \det(h_{ij}(\xi) + h(\xi)\delta_{ij}) d\xi,
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $\Omega \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$  of class  $C_+^2$ , and  $U$  be the solution of (1.20) in  $\Omega$ , then  $T(\Omega)$  can be expressed as (for more details, such as see [15, 17])

$$\begin{aligned}
T(h) &:= T(\Omega) = \frac{1}{n+2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\nabla U(F(\xi))|^2 h(\xi) dS(\Omega, \xi) \\
(2.8) \qquad &= \frac{1}{n+2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\nabla U(F(\xi))|^2 h(\xi) \det(h_{ij}(\xi) + h(\xi)\delta_{ij}) d\xi.
\end{aligned}$$

The torsion is positively homogeneous of order  $n+2$  and is translation invariant and is monotonically increasing.

Let  $\Omega \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$  of class  $C_+^2$ , and  $U$  is the solution of (1.28) in  $\Omega$ ,  $\lambda(\Omega)$  can be written as (for more details, such as see [15, 41])

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda(h) &:= \lambda(\Omega) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\nabla U(F(\xi))|^2 h(\xi) dS(\Omega, \xi) \\
(2.9) \qquad &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\nabla U(F(\xi))|^2 h(\xi) \det(h_{ij}(\xi) + h(\xi)\delta_{ij}) d\xi.
\end{aligned}$$

The first eigenvalue is homogeneous of order  $-2$  and is monotonically decreasing.

### 3. THE FIRST AND SECOND VARIATIONAL FORMULAS OF VARIATIONAL FUNCTIONALS UNDER $L_p$ SUM

In this section, we calculate the first and second variational formulas of variational functionals under  $L_p$  sum.

**3.1.  $q$ -capacity.** We first list the following results proved in [20, Lemma 3.3] or [40, Lemma 2.5]. For the reader's convenience, we give the proof process.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Suppose  $1 < q < n$ . Let  $\Omega_t \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$  of class  $C_+^2$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $|t| < \varepsilon$  for  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and  $U(\cdot, t)$  be the solution of (1.12) in  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bar{\Omega}_t$ , then*

- (i)  $(\nabla^2 U(F(\xi, t), t)e_i) \cdot e_j = -\kappa |\nabla U(F(\xi, t), t)| c_{ij}(\xi, t);$
- (ii)  $(\nabla^2 U(F(\xi, t), t)\xi) \cdot \xi = (q-1)^{-1} \kappa |\nabla U(F(\xi, t), t)| \sum_i c_{ii}(\xi, t);$
- (iii)  $(\nabla^2 U(F(\xi, t), t)e_i) \cdot \xi = -\kappa \sum_j |\nabla U(F(\xi, t), t)|_j c_{ij}(\xi, t),$

where  $c_{ij}(\cdot, t)$  is the cofactor matrix of  $((h_t)_{ij} + h_t \delta_{ij})$ .

*Proof.* First notice that  $\Omega_t \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$  is of class  $C_+^2$ , from an elementary barrier argument and boundary Schauder estimate for quasi-linear elliptic equations, such as see [29], one sees that partial derivatives of  $U$  up to, and including, order two are pointwise well-defined on  $\partial\Omega_t$ . By virtue of this fact, the proof can be shown as below. Assume that  $h_t(\xi) \in C^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$  for each  $t \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$  with  $\varepsilon > 0$  is the support function of  $\Omega_t$  and let  $h'_t := \frac{\partial h_t(\xi)}{\partial t}$ . Then, recall (2.4) and (2.5), we get  $F(\xi, t) = \sum_i (h_t)_i e_i + h_t \xi$ ,  $\frac{\partial F(\xi, t)}{\partial t} := \dot{F}(\xi, t) = \sum_i (h'_t)_i e_i + h'_t \xi$ ,  $F_i(\xi, t) = \sum_j w_{ij} e_j$  with  $w_{ij} := (h_t)_{ij} + h_t \delta_{ij}$ , and  $F_{ij}(\xi, t) = \sum_k w_{ijk} e_k - w_{ij} \xi$ , where  $w_{ijk}$  are the covariant derivatives of  $w_{ij}$ . Note that, from Lemma 2.1, we know that  $\nabla U(F(\xi, t), t) = -|\nabla U(F(\xi, t), t)|\xi$ , thus we have

$$\nabla U \cdot F_i = 0,$$

and

$$((\nabla^2 U)F_j) \cdot F_i + \nabla U \cdot F_{ij} = 0.$$

It follows that

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{k,l} w_{ik} w_{jl} ((\nabla^2 U)e_l) \cdot e_k + w_{ij} |\nabla U| = 0.$$

Multiplying both sides of (3.1) by  $c_{ip} c_{jq}$  and sum for  $i, j$ , we get

$$\sum_{k,l} \delta_{kp} \delta_{ql} ((\nabla^2 U)e_l) \cdot e_k = -\kappa \sum_j \delta_{jp} c_{jq} |\nabla U|.$$

Hence,

$$(\nabla^2 U e_i) \cdot e_j = -\kappa c_{ij} |\nabla U|.$$

This proves (i).

Second, recall that

$$|\nabla U(F(\xi, t), t)| = -\nabla U(F(\xi, t), t) \cdot \xi.$$

Taking the covariant derivative of both sides, we have

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} |\nabla U|_j &= -\nabla U \cdot e_j - (\nabla^2 U)F_j \cdot \xi \\ &= -\sum_i w_{ij} ((\nabla^2 U)e_i \cdot \xi). \end{aligned}$$

Multiplying both sides of (3.2) by  $c_{lj}$  and sum for  $j$ , and combining

$$\sum_j c_{lj} w_{ij} = \delta_{li} \det((h_t)_{ij} + h_t \delta_{ij}).$$

Then, we get

$$\sum_j c_{ij} |\nabla U|_j = -\det((h_t)_{ij} + h_t \delta_{ij}) (\nabla^2 U)e_i \cdot \xi.$$

Hence

$$(\nabla^2 U)e_i \cdot \xi = -\kappa \sum_j c_{ij} |\nabla U|_j.$$

This proves (iii).

Last, using the  $q$ -Laplace equation for  $U$ , we get

$$(q-2)((\nabla^2 U)\xi \cdot \xi) + \Delta U = 0.$$

Employing (i), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (q-1)(\nabla^2 U)\xi \cdot \xi &= (\nabla^2 U)\xi \cdot \xi - \Delta U \\ &= -\sum_i (\nabla^2 U)e_i \cdot e_i = \kappa \sum_i c_{ii} |\nabla U|. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the proof is completed.  $\square$

We have the following result along the same line of proof as in [20, Lemma 3.1].

**Lemma 3.2.** *Suppose  $1 < q < n$ . Let  $\Omega_t \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$  of class  $C_+^2$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $|t| < \varepsilon$  for  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and  $U(\cdot, t)$  be the solution of (1.12) in  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bar{\Omega}_t$ . Then for each fixed  $X \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bar{\Omega}_t$ , the function  $t \rightarrow U(X, t)$  is differentiable with respect to  $t$ . Let  $\dot{U}(X, t) = \frac{\partial U}{\partial t}(X, t)$ . The function  $\dot{U}(\cdot, t) : \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bar{\Omega}_t \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  can be extended to  $\partial\Omega_t$  so that  $\dot{U}(\cdot, t) \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega_t)$ . Moreover,*

$$\begin{cases} \nabla \cdot ((q-2)|\nabla U|^{q-4}(\nabla U \cdot \nabla \dot{U})\nabla U + |\nabla U|^{q-2}\nabla \dot{U}) = 0, & X \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bar{\Omega}_t, \\ \dot{U}(X, t) = |\nabla U(X, t)|h'_t, & X \in \partial\Omega_t, \end{cases}$$

where  $h_t$  is the support function of  $\Omega_t$ , and  $h'_t$  denotes the derivative of  $h_t$  with respect to  $t$ . Furthermore, there exists  $c = c(n, q)$  such that

$$0 \leq \dot{U} \leq c|X|^{\frac{q-n}{q-1}} \text{ as } |X| \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$0 \leq |\nabla \dot{U}| \leq c|X|^{\frac{1-n}{q-1}} \text{ as } |X| \rightarrow \infty.$$

In addition,

$$\nabla \dot{U}(X) := \lim_{Y \rightarrow X, Y \in \Gamma(X)} \nabla \dot{U}(Y) \text{ exists for every } X \in \partial\Omega_t,$$

and

$$\int_{\partial\Omega_t} |\nabla U|^{q-1} |\nabla \dot{U}| d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} < \infty.$$

Inspired by [20, Lemma 3.2], the following lemma can also be obtained.

**Lemma 3.3.** Suppose  $1 < q < n$ . Let  $\Omega_t \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$  of class  $C_+^2$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $|t| < \varepsilon$  for  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and  $U(\cdot, t)$  be the solution of (1.12) in  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bar{\Omega}_t$ . Let  $\Omega_{t,i} \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$  of class  $C_+^2$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for  $i = 1, 2$ , and  $h_{t,i}$  be the support function of  $\Omega_{t,i}$ . Let  $U_i(\cdot, t)$  be the solution of (1.12) in  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bar{\Omega}_{t,i}$ . Let  $\dot{U}_i(X, t) = \frac{\partial U_i}{\partial t}(X, t)$  for all  $X \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \Omega_t$ . Then,

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\partial\Omega_t} h'_{t,1}(\nu_{\Omega_t}(X)) |\nabla U(X, t)|^{q-1} (\nu_{\Omega_t}(X) \cdot \nabla \dot{U}_2(X, t)) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(X) \\ &= \int_{\partial\Omega_t} h'_{t,2}(\nu_{\Omega_t}(X)) |\nabla U(X, t)|^{q-1} (\nu_{\Omega_t}(X) \cdot \nabla \dot{U}_1(X, t)) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(X). \end{aligned}$$

Now, applying Lemmas 3.2, 3.3, we can get the first and second variational formulas of  $C_q$  under  $L_p$  sum.

**Lemma 3.4.** Suppose  $p > 0$  and  $1 < q < n$ . Let  $h \in \mathcal{S}$  be positive,  $\phi \in C^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $(h^p + t\phi^p)^{1/p} \in \mathcal{S}$  for every  $t \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ . Set  $h_t = (h^p + t\phi^p)^{1/p}$  and  $f_{p,q}(t) = C_q(h_t)$ . Then

$$f'_{p,q}(0) = \frac{q-1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \phi^p h^{1-p} \frac{|\nabla U(F(\xi))|^q}{\kappa} d\xi,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f''_{p,q}(0) &= \frac{(1-p)(q-1)}{p^2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h^{1-2p} \phi^{2p} |\nabla U(F(\xi))|^q \frac{1}{\kappa} d\xi \\ &\quad - \frac{q(q-1)}{p} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h^{1-p} \phi^p \frac{|\nabla U(F(\xi))|^{q-1}}{\kappa} \nabla \dot{U}(F(\xi)) \cdot \xi d\xi \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{p^2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \sum_i |\nabla U(F(\xi))|^q c_{ii} h^{2-2p} \phi^{2p} d\xi \\ &\quad + \frac{(q-1)}{p^2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \sum_{i,j} h^{1-p} \phi^p (c_{ij} |\nabla U(F(\xi))|^q (h^{1-p} \phi^p)_i)_j d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\dot{U}$  satisfies the following equation

$$\begin{cases} \nabla \cdot ((q-2)|\nabla U|^{q-4} (\nabla U \cdot \nabla \dot{U}) \nabla U + |\nabla U|^{q-2} \nabla \dot{U}) = 0, & X \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bar{\Omega}, \\ \dot{U}(X) = \frac{1}{p} \phi^p(\nu_{\Omega}(X)) h^{1-p}(\nu_{\Omega}(X)) |\nabla U(X)|, & X \in \partial\Omega, \\ \lim_{|X| \rightarrow \infty} \dot{U}(X) = 0. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* In view of Lemma 3.2, we first conclude that  $U(F(\xi, t), t)$  is differentiable with respect to  $t$ . Based on this fact and using (2.7), by a direct calculation, we have

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} f'_{p,q}(t) &= \frac{d}{dt} C_q(h_t) \\ &= \frac{q-1}{n-q} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} (h'_t G(h_t) + h_t \frac{d}{dt} G(h_t)) d\xi, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(3.5) \quad G(h_t) = |\nabla U(F(\xi, t), t)|^q \det((h_t)_{ij} + h_t \delta_{ij})(\xi),$$



with

$$F(\xi) = \nu_{\Omega}^{-1}(\xi) = \nabla h(\xi) = \sum_i h_i(\xi) e_i(\xi) + h(\xi) \xi.$$

By (3.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (3.6) \quad \frac{d}{dt} G(h_t) &= \frac{d}{dt} [|\nabla U(F(\xi, t), t)|^q \det((h_t)_{ij} + h_t \delta_{ij})] \\ &= |\nabla U(F(\xi, t), t)|^q (c_{ij}^t((h'_t)_{ij} + (h'_t) \delta_{ij})) + \det((h_t)_{ij} + h_t \delta_{ij}) \frac{d}{dt} (|\nabla U(F(\xi, t), t)|^q) \\ &= |\nabla U(F(\xi, t), t)|^q (c_{ij}^t((h'_t)_{ij} + (h'_t) \delta_{ij})) \\ &\quad + q |\nabla U(F(\xi, t), t)|^{q-1} \det((h_t)_{ij} + h_t \delta_{ij}) \frac{d}{dt} (|\nabla U(F(\xi, t), t)|), \end{aligned}$$

where  $c_{ij}^t := c_{ij}^t(\cdot, t)$  is the cofactor matrix of  $((h_t)_{ij} + h_t \delta_{ij})$ .

Since  $|\nabla U(F(\xi, t), t)| = -\nabla U(F(\xi, t), t) \cdot \xi$ ,  $F(\xi, t) = \sum_i (h_t)_i e_i + h_t \xi$ , and  $\dot{F}(\xi, t) = \sum_i (h'_t)_i e_i + h'_t \xi$ , then we get

$$\begin{aligned} (3.7) \quad &\frac{d}{dt} (|\nabla U(F(\xi, t), t)|) \\ &= -\nabla^2 U(F(\xi, t), t) \xi \cdot \dot{F}(\xi, t) - \nabla \dot{U}(F(\xi, t), t) \cdot \xi \\ &= -\nabla^2 U(F(\xi, t), t) \xi \cdot \left( \sum_i (h'_t)_i e_i + h'_t \xi \right) - \nabla \dot{U}(F(\xi, t), t) \cdot \xi. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 3.1 into (3.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (3.8) \quad &\frac{d}{dt} (|\nabla U(F(\xi, t), t)|) \\ &= -\nabla^2 U(F(\xi, t), t) \xi \cdot \left( \sum_i (h'_t)_i e_i + h'_t \xi \right) - \nabla \dot{U}(F(\xi, t), t) \cdot \xi \\ &= \kappa \sum_{i,j} c_{ij}^t |\nabla U|_j (h'_t)_i - (q-1)^{-1} \kappa |\nabla U| \sum_i c_{ii}^t h'_t - \nabla \dot{U}(F(\xi, t), t) \cdot \xi. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (3.8) into (3.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.9) \quad & \frac{d}{dt}G(h_t) \\
&= |\nabla U(F(\xi, t), t)|^q \sum_{i,j} c_{ij}^t ((h'_t)_{ij} + (h'_t)_{ij} \delta_{ij}) \\
&\quad + q |\nabla U(F(\xi, t), t)|^{q-1} \det((h_t)_{ij} + h_t \delta_{ij}) \left[ \kappa \sum_{i,j} c_{ij}^t |\nabla U|_j (h'_t)_i \right. \\
&\quad \left. - (q-1)^{-1} \kappa |\nabla U(F(\xi, t), t)| \sum_i c_{ii}^t (h'_t)_i - \nabla \dot{U}(F(\xi, t), t) \cdot \xi \right] \\
&= -q \frac{|\nabla U(F(\xi, t), t)|^{q-1}}{\kappa} \nabla \dot{U}(F(\xi, t), t) \cdot \xi - \frac{q}{q-1} |\nabla U(F(\xi, t), t)|^q \sum_i c_{ii}^t (h'_t)_i \\
&\quad + q \sum_{i,j} c_{ij}^t |\nabla U(F(\xi, t), t)|^{q-1} |\nabla U(F(\xi, t), t)|_j (h'_t)_i + |\nabla U(F(\xi, t), t)|^q \sum_{i,j} c_{ij}^t ((h'_t)_{ij} + (h'_t)_{ij} \delta_{ij}).
\end{aligned}$$

For simplifying (3.9), we apply the conclusion that  $\sum_j c_{ijj} = 0$  proved by [14], where  $c_{ijl}$  is the covariant derivative tensor of  $c_{ij}$ .

We calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.10) \quad & \frac{d}{dt}G(h_t) \\
&= -q \frac{|\nabla U(F(\xi, t), t)|^{q-1}}{\kappa} \nabla \dot{U}(F(\xi, t), t) \cdot \xi - (q-1)^{-1} |\nabla U(F(\xi, t), t)|^q \sum_i c_{ii}^t (h'_t)_i \\
&\quad + \sum_{i,j} (c_{ij}^t |\nabla U(F(\xi, t), t)|^q (h'_t)_i)_j \\
&:= \mathcal{L}^t(h'_t).
\end{aligned}$$

We show that the operator  $\mathcal{L}^t$  is self-adjoint on  $L^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, d\xi)$  equipped with the standard scalar product. To see this, define for  $j = 1, 2, 3$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_1^t(h'_t) &= -q \frac{|\nabla U(F(\xi, t), t)|^{q-1}}{\kappa} \nabla \dot{U}(F(\xi, t), t) \cdot \xi, \\
\mathcal{L}_2^t(h'_t) &= -(q-1)^{-1} |\nabla U(F(\xi, t), t)|^q \sum_i c_{ii}^t (h'_t)_i,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_3^t(h'_t) = \sum_{i,j} (c_{ij}^t |\nabla U(F(\xi, t), t)|^q (h'_t)_i)_j.$$

We verify that  $\mathcal{L}_1^t, \mathcal{L}_2^t$  and  $\mathcal{L}_3^t$  are self-adjoint on  $L^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, d\xi)$ , i.e., for  $h'_{t,1}(\xi), h'_{t,2}(\xi) \in \mathcal{S}$ :

$$(3.11) \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h'_{t,1} \mathcal{L}_j^t(h'_{t,2}) d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h'_{t,2} \mathcal{L}_j^t(h'_{t,1}) d\xi, \quad j = 1, 2, 3.$$

Clearly,  $\mathcal{L}_2^t$  is self-adjoint,  $\mathcal{L}_3^t$  is self-adjoint by applying integration by parts, and Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3 indicate that  $\mathcal{L}_1^t$  is self-adjoint. We conclude that,  $\mathcal{L}^t$  is self-adjoint on  $L^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}, d\xi)$ . In view of (3.5),  $G(h_t)$  is positively homogeneous of order  $(n - q - 1)$ , for  $s \geq 0$ , set  $G(h_t + sh_t) = G((1 + s)h_t) = (1 + s)^{n-q-1}G(h_t)$ , then we have

$$(3.12) \quad \frac{d}{ds}G((1 + s)h_t)\Big|_{s=0^+} = (n - q - 1)G(h_t) = \mathcal{L}^t(h_t).$$

Applying (3.12) into (3.4), we obtain

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}f_{p,q}(t) &= \frac{q-1}{n-q} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} (h'_t G(h_t) + h_t \mathcal{L}^t(h'_t)) d\xi \\ &= \frac{q-1}{n-q} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} (h'_t G(h_t) + h'_t \mathcal{L}^t(h_t)) d\xi \\ &= \frac{q-1}{n-q} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} (h'_t G(h_t) + (n-q-1)(h'_t)G(h_t)) d\xi \\ &= (q-1) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h'_t G(h_t) d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

namely,

$$(3.14) \quad f'_{p,q}(t) = (q-1) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} (h'_t)(\xi) |\nabla U(F(\xi, t), t)|^q \det((h_t)_{ij}(\xi) + h_t(\xi)\delta_{ij}) d\xi.$$

Using (3.13), we get

$$(3.15) \quad f''_{p,q}(t) = (q-1) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} (h''_t)G(h_t) d\xi + (q-1) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} (h'_t)\mathcal{L}(h'_t) d\xi.$$

Then

$$f'_{p,q}(0) = \frac{q-1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h^{1-p} \phi^p |\nabla U(F(\xi))|^q \det(h_{ij}(\xi) + h(\xi)\delta_{ij}) d\xi,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f''_{p,q}(0) &= \frac{(1-p)(q-1)}{p^2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h^{1-2p} \phi^{2p} |\nabla U(F(\xi))|^q \frac{1}{\kappa} d\xi \\ &\quad - \frac{q(q-1)}{p} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h^{1-p} \phi^p \frac{|\nabla U(F(\xi))|^{q-1}}{\kappa} \nabla \dot{U}(F(\xi)) \cdot \xi d\xi \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{p^2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \sum_i |\nabla U(F(\xi))|^q c_{ii} h^{2-2p} \phi^{2p} d\xi \\ &\quad + \frac{(q-1)}{p^2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \sum_{i,j} h^{1-p} \phi^p (c_{ij} |\nabla U(F(\xi))|^q (h^{1-p} \phi^p)_i)_j d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, Lemma 3.4 is completed. □

**3.2. Torsion.** We calculate the first and second variational formulas of torsion under  $L_p$  sum. We first list the following results proved similarly in Lemma 3.1.

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $\Omega_t \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$  of class  $C_+^2$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $|t| < \varepsilon$  for  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and  $U(\cdot, t)$  be the solution of (1.20) in  $\Omega_t$ , then*

- (i)  $(\nabla^2 U(F(\xi, t), t)e_i) \cdot e_j = -\kappa |\nabla U(F(\xi, t), t)| c_{ij}(\xi, t);$
- (ii)  $(\nabla^2 U(F(\xi, t), t)\xi) \cdot \xi = \kappa |\nabla U(F(\xi, t), t)| \sum_i c_{ii}(\xi, t) - 1;$
- (iii)  $(\nabla^2 U(F(\xi, t), t)e_i) \cdot \xi = -\kappa \sum_j |\nabla U(F(\xi, t), t)|_j c_{ij}(\xi, t).$

Building upon (1.20), we can get the following result.

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $\Omega_t \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$  of class  $C_+^2$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $|t| < \varepsilon$  for  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and  $U(\cdot, t)$  be the solution of (1.20) in  $\Omega_t$ . Then, for each fixed  $X \in \Omega_t$ , the function  $t \rightarrow U(X, t)$  is differentiable with respect to  $t$ . Let  $\dot{U}(X, t) = \frac{\partial U}{\partial t}(X, t)$ , then*

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \dot{U} = 0, & X \in \Omega_t, \\ \dot{U}(X, t) = |\nabla U(X, t)| h'_t, & X \in \partial\Omega_t, \end{cases}$$

where  $h_t$  is the support function of  $\Omega_t$ , and  $h'_t$  denotes the derivative of  $h_t$  with respect to  $t$ .

*Proof.* Following similar lines as [20, Lemma 3.1] or [39, Lemm B]. With the aid of the maximum principle of linear elliptic equation (see [29, Chapter 3]) with respect to (1.20),  $U(\cdot, t) > 0$  in  $\Omega_t$ , together with the comparison principle of linear elliptic equation, the Schauder estimates of linear elliptic equation (see for instance, [29, Theorem 3.7, Theorem 6.2]), and using [17, Lemma 2.3] to obtain the upper bound of  $\left| \frac{U(\cdot, t+s) - U(\cdot, t)}{s} \right|$  and the Schauder estimates of  $\frac{U(\cdot, t+s) - U(\cdot, t)}{s}$  in  $C^{2, \alpha}$  norm space for  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , whenever  $t \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$  and  $s \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \setminus \{0\}$  with  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then, applying the Arzelà-Ascoli Theorem and a standard diagonalization procedure, we obtain a sequence  $\{s_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ , tending to 0 as  $k$  tends to infinity, and a function  $\dot{U}(\cdot, t) : \Omega_t \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , such that, as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , one sees that  $\frac{U(\cdot, t+s_k) - U(\cdot, t)}{s_k}$  converges to  $\dot{U}(\cdot, t)$  uniformly on compact sets of  $\Omega_t$ . Since  $\Delta \left( \frac{U(\cdot, t+s) - U(\cdot, t)}{s} \right) = 0$  in a compact subset of  $\Omega_t$ , we have  $\Delta \dot{U}(X, t) = 0$  for  $X \in \Omega_t$ . Thus, the existence of the limit of  $U(\cdot, t+s)$  as  $s \rightarrow 0$ , at least up to choosing a suitable sequence of  $s$  in the interior of  $\Omega_t$  is proved. So for each fixed  $X \in \Omega_t$ , the differentiability of the function  $t \mapsto U(X, t)$  with respect to  $t$  at  $X$  has been derived. Furthermore, the function  $\dot{U}(\cdot, t) : \Omega_t \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  can be extended to  $\partial\Omega_t$  so that  $\dot{U}(\cdot, t) \in C^2(\bar{\Omega}_t)$ . Since  $U(F(\xi, t), t) = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega_t$ , take the derivative of both sides with respect to  $t$ , there is

$$\dot{U}(F(\xi, t), t) + \nabla U(F(\xi, t), t) \cdot \dot{F}((\xi, t), t) = 0.$$

It is further deduced as

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{U}(F(\xi, t), t) &= -\nabla U(F(\xi, t), t) \cdot \left( \sum_i (h'_t)_i e_i + h'_t \xi \right) \\
&= |\nabla U(F(\xi, t), t)| \xi \cdot \left( \sum_i (h'_t)_i e_i + h'_t \xi \right) \\
&= |\nabla U(F(\xi, t), t)| h'_t.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, the proof is completed.  $\square$

Based on the above lemmas, along similar lines as Lemma 3.4, the first and second derivatives of torsion under  $L_p$  sum are shown as follows.

**Lemma 3.7.** *Suppose  $p > 0$ . Let  $h \in \mathcal{S}$  be positive,  $\phi \in C^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $(h^p + t\phi^p)^{1/p} \in \mathcal{S}$  for every  $t \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ . Set  $h_t = (h^p + t\phi^p)^{1/p}$  and  $g_p(t) = T(h_t)$ . Then*

$$(3.16) \quad g'_p(0) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \phi^p h^{1-p} \frac{|\nabla U(F(\xi))|^2}{\kappa} d\xi,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.17) \quad g''_p(0) &= \frac{(1-p)}{p^2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h^{1-2p} \phi^{2p} |\nabla U(F(\xi))|^2 \frac{1}{\kappa} d\xi \\
&\quad - \frac{2}{p} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h^{1-p} \phi^p \frac{|\nabla U(F(\xi))|}{\kappa} \nabla \dot{U}(F(\xi)) \cdot \xi d\xi \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{p^2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \sum_i |\nabla U(F(\xi))|^2 c_{ii} h^{2-2p} \phi^{2p} d\xi \\
&\quad + \frac{2}{p^2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h^{2-2p} \phi^{2p} |\nabla U(F(\xi))| \frac{1}{\kappa} d\xi \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{p^2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \sum_{i,j} h^{1-p} \phi^p (c_{ij} |\nabla U(F(\xi))|^2 (h^{1-p} \phi^p)_i)_j d\xi,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\dot{U}$  satisfies the following equation

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \dot{U} = 0, & X \in \Omega, \\ \dot{U}(X) = \frac{1}{p} \phi^p(\nu_\Omega(X)) h^{1-p}(\nu_\Omega(X)) |\nabla U(X)|, & X \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

**3.3. First eigenvalue.** Our aim is to calculate the first and second variational formulas of the first eigenvalue of the Laplace operator under  $L_p$  sum. We need some preparations.

**Lemma 3.8.** *Let  $\Omega_t \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$  of class  $C^2_+$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $|t| < \varepsilon$  for  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and  $U(\cdot, t)$  be the solution of (1.28) in  $\Omega_t$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned}
(i) & (\nabla^2 U(F(\xi, t), t) e_i) \cdot e_j = -\kappa |\nabla U(F(\xi, t), t)| c_{ij}(\xi, t); \\
(ii) & (\nabla^2 U(F(\xi, t), t) \xi) \cdot \xi = \kappa |\nabla U(F(\xi, t), t)| \sum_i c_{ii}(\xi, t) - \lambda U;
\end{aligned}$$

$$(iii)(\nabla^2 U(F(\xi, t), t)e_i) \cdot \xi = -\kappa \sum_j |\nabla U(F(\xi, t), t)|_j c_{ij}(\xi, t).$$

Based on (1.28), we have the following result.

**Lemma 3.9.** *Let  $\Omega_t \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$  be of class  $C_+^2$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with  $|t| < \varepsilon$  for  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and  $U(\cdot, t)$  be the solution of (1.28) in  $\Omega_t$ . Then, for each fixed  $X \in \Omega_t$ , the function  $t \rightarrow U(X, t)$  is differentiable with respect to  $t$ . Let  $\dot{U}(X, t) = \frac{\partial U}{\partial t}(X, t)$ , then*

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \dot{U} = -\lambda \dot{U}, & X \in \Omega_t, \\ \dot{U}(X, t) = |\nabla U(X, t)| h'_t, & X \in \partial \Omega_t, \end{cases}$$

where  $h_t$  is the support function of  $\Omega_t$ , and  $h'_t$  denotes the derivative of  $h_t$  with respect to  $t$ .

Analogously, based on above lemmas, the first and second derivatives of the first eigenvalue are obtained as follows.

**Lemma 3.10.** *Suppose  $p > 0$ . Let  $h \in \mathcal{S}$  be positive,  $\phi \in C^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $(h^p + t\phi^p)^{1/p} \in \mathcal{S}$  for every  $t \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ . Set  $h_t = (h^p + t\phi^p)^{1/p}$  and  $\Lambda_p(t) = \lambda(h_t)$ . Then*

$$(3.18) \quad \Lambda'_p(0) = -\frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \phi^p h^{1-p} \frac{|\nabla U(F(\xi))|^2}{\kappa} d\xi,$$

and

$$(3.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \Lambda''_p(0) = & -\frac{(1-p)}{p^2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h^{1-2p} \phi^{2p} |\nabla U(F(\xi))|^2 \frac{1}{\kappa} d\xi \\ & + \frac{2}{p} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h^{1-p} \phi^p \frac{|\nabla U(F(\xi))|}{\kappa} \nabla \dot{U}(F(\xi)) \cdot \xi d\xi \\ & + \frac{1}{p^2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \sum_i |\nabla U(F(\xi))|^2 c_{ii} h^{2-2p} \phi^{2p} d\xi \\ & - \frac{2}{p^2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \lambda U h^{2-2p} \phi^{2p} |\nabla U(F(\xi))| \frac{1}{\kappa} d\xi \\ & - \frac{1}{p^2} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \sum_{i,j} h^{1-p} \phi^p (c_{ij} |\nabla U(F(\xi))|^2 (h^{1-p} \phi^p)_i)_j d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\dot{U}$  satisfies the following equation

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \dot{U} = -\lambda \dot{U}, & X \in \Omega, \\ \dot{U}(X) = \frac{1}{p} \phi^p (\nu_\Omega(X)) h^{1-p} (\nu_\Omega(X)) |\nabla U(X)|, & X \in \partial \Omega. \end{cases}$$

#### 4. POINCARÉ TYPE INEQUALITIES FOR VARIATIONAL FUNCTIONALS

In the section, we will obtain some Poincaré type inequalities for variational functionals by using the  $L_p$ -Brunn-Minkowski inequalities for variational functions with  $p \geq 1$ .

4.1.  **$q$ -capacity.** Our first aim is to give the infinitesimal form of the  $L_p$ -Brunn-Minkowski inequality for  $C_q$  for  $1 < q < n$ . Some preparations are necessary.

Let  $h \in \mathcal{S}$  with  $h > 0$  be the support function of a convex body  $\Omega \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$  of class  $C_+^2$ , and let  $\phi \in C^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ , then there exists a sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$h_t := (h^p + t\phi^p)^{1/p} \in \mathcal{S}, \quad \forall t \in [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon].$$

For an interval  $I := [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$ , we set the one-parameter family of convex bodies  $\Omega_t \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$  of class  $C_+^2$  :

$$(4.1) \quad \Pi(h, \phi, I) = \{\Omega_t : h_{\Omega_t} = h_t = (h^p + t\phi^p)^{1/p}, t \in I\}.$$

Recall the  $L_p$ -Brunn-Minkowski inequality for  $C_q$  with  $p \geq 1$  (1.17), then we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.1.** (1.17) *implies that for every one-parameter family  $\Pi(h, \phi, I)$ , with  $h, \phi$ , and  $\Omega_t \in \Pi(h, \phi, I)$ ,*

$$(4.2) \quad \left. \frac{d^2}{dt^2}[C_q(\Omega_t)] \right|_{t=0} C_q(\Omega) \leq \frac{n-q-p}{n-q} \left( \left. \frac{d}{dt}[C_q(\Omega_t)] \right|_{t=0} \right)^2.$$

*Proof.* Let  $h \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $\phi \in C^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ . In view that there exists a sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $h_t := (h^p + t\phi^p)^{1/p} \in \mathcal{S}$  is the support function a convex body  $\Omega_t$  for all  $t \in [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$ . Then for  $t \in [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$  and  $s \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$h_{s \cdot \Omega_t +_p (1-s) \cdot \Omega} = (sh_t^p + (1-s)h^p)^{1/p}.$$

Since (1.17) holds,

$$C_q(s \cdot \Omega_t +_p (1-s) \cdot \Omega)^{\frac{p}{n-q}} \geq sC_q(\Omega_t)^{\frac{p}{n-q}} + (1-s)C_q(\Omega)^{\frac{p}{n-q}},$$

this implies that the second derivative of  $C_q(s \cdot \Omega_t +_p (1-s) \cdot \Omega)^{\frac{p}{n-q}}$  at  $s = 0$  is non-positive, yielding (4.2). □

Now we give the infinitesimal formulation of the  $L_p$ -Brunn-Minkowski inequality for  $C_q$  as follows.

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $\Omega \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$  of class  $C^2_{\pm}$  and  $U$  be the solution of (1.12) in  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bar{\Omega}$ . Let  $p \geq 1$  and  $1 < q < n$ . Then for every function  $\phi \in C^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ , we have

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{(p+q-n)}{(n-q)C_q(\Omega)} \left( \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \phi^p h^{1-p} d\mu^{cap_q} \right)^2 + (1-p)(q-1) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \phi^{2p} h^{1-2p} d\mu^{cap_q} \\ & - q(q-1) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \phi^{2p} h^{2-2p} \frac{(\nabla \dot{U}(F(\xi)) \cdot \xi)}{\dot{U}(F(\xi))} d\mu^{cap_q} - \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \text{tr}((Q^{-1}(h)) \phi^{2p} h^{2-2p} d\mu^{cap_q} \\ & \leq (q-1) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} Q^{-1}(h) \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} (\phi^p h^{1-p}) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} (\phi^p h^{1-p}) d\mu^{cap_q}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\dot{U}$  satisfies the following equation

$$\begin{cases} \nabla \cdot ((q-2)|\nabla U|^{q-4} (\nabla U \cdot \nabla \dot{U}) \nabla U + |\nabla U|^{q-2} \nabla \dot{U}) = 0, & X \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bar{\Omega}, \\ \dot{U}(X) = \frac{1}{p} \phi^p (\nu_{\Omega}(X)) h^{1-p} (\nu_{\Omega}(X)) |\nabla U(X)|, & X \in \partial\Omega, \\ \lim_{|X| \rightarrow \infty} \dot{U}(X) = 0. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Assume first that  $\phi \in C^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be such that  $(h^p + t\phi^p)^{1/p} \in \mathcal{S}$  for every  $t \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ . Set  $f_{p,q}(t) = C_q((h^p + t\phi^p)^{1/p})$  and  $\Upsilon_{p,q}(t) = f_{p,q}(t)^{p/(n-q)}$  for  $t \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ . Recall Lemma 4.1, we know that  $\Upsilon_{p,q}$  is concave at  $t = 0$ , then we get

$$\Upsilon''_{p,q}(0) = \frac{p(p+q-n)}{(n-q)^2} f_{p,q}(0)^{\frac{p}{n-q}-2} (f'_{p,q}(0))^2 + \frac{p}{n-q} f_{p,q}(0)^{\frac{p}{n-q}-1} f''_{p,q}(0) \leq 0.$$

By using Lemma 3.4, we have

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{(p+q-n)}{(n-q)C_q(\Omega)} \left( \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h^{1-p} \phi^p |\nabla U(F(\xi))|^q \det(h_{ij}(\xi) + h(\xi)\delta_{ij}) d\xi \right)^2 \\ & + (1-p)(q-1) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h^{1-2p} \phi^{2p} |\nabla U(F(\xi))|^q \det(h_{ij}(\xi) + h(\xi)\delta_{ij}) d\xi \\ & - pq(q-1) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h^{1-p} \phi^p \frac{|\nabla U(F(\xi))|^{q-1}}{\kappa} \nabla \dot{U}(F(\xi)) \cdot \xi d\xi \\ & - \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \text{tr}(c_{ij}) |\nabla U(F(\xi))|^q h^{2-2p} \phi^{2p} d\xi \\ & \leq -(q-1) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \sum_{i,j} h^{1-p} \phi^p (c_{ij} |\nabla U(F(\xi))|^q (h^{1-p} \phi^p)_{i,j}) d\xi. \end{aligned}$$



Now, using  $\sum_j c_{ijj} = 0$  (see e.g., [14]) into (4.4) with an integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{(p+q-n)}{(n-q)C_q(\Omega)} \left( \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h^{1-p} \phi^p |\nabla U(F(\xi))|^q \det(h_{ij}(\xi) + h(\xi)\delta_{ij}) d\xi \right)^2 \\
& + (1-p)(q-1) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h^{1-2p} \phi^{2p} |\nabla U(F(\xi))|^q \det(h_{ij}(\xi) + h(\xi)\delta_{ij}) d\xi \\
(4.5) \quad & - pq(q-1) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h^{1-p} \phi^p |\nabla U(F(\xi))|^{q-1} \det(h_{ij}(\xi) + h(\xi)\delta_{ij}) \nabla \dot{U}(F(\xi)) \cdot \xi d\xi \\
& - \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \text{tr}(c_{ij}) |\nabla U(F(\xi))|^q h^{2-2p} \phi^{2p} d\xi \\
& \leq (q-1) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \sum_{i,j} (h^{1-p} \phi^p)_j (c_{ij} |\nabla U(F(\xi))|^q (h^{1-p} \phi^p)_i) d\xi.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$(4.6) \quad c_{ij} = \det(h_{ij} + h\delta_{ij})(h_{ij} + h\delta_{ij})^{-1}.$$

Then (4.3) holds by substituting (4.6) and the definition of  $\mu^{cap_q}$  into (4.5). The proof is completed.  $\square$

Now we prove the Poincaré type inequalities for  $q$ -capacity originating from the corresponding  $L_p$ -Brunn-Minkowski inequalities for  $p \geq 1$ .

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $\Omega \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$  of class  $C_+^2$  and  $U$  be the solution of (1.12) in  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bar{\Omega}$ . Suppose  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $1 < q < n$ . For every function  $\psi \in C^2(\partial\Omega)$ , then we obtain*

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{(p+q-n)}{(n-q)C_q(\Omega)} \left( \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\psi^p}{|\nabla h^*|^{1-p}} |\nabla U|^q d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(X) \right)^2 \\
& + (1-p)(q-1) \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\psi^{2p}}{|\nabla h^*|^{1-2p}} |\nabla U|^q d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(X) \\
(4.7) \quad & - pq(q-1) \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\psi^p}{|\nabla h^*|^{1-p}} (\nabla \dot{U}(X) \cdot \nu_\Omega) |\nabla U|^{q-1} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(X) \\
& - \int_{\partial\Omega} \text{tr}(\Pi_{\partial\Omega}) \frac{\psi^{2p}}{|\nabla h^*|^{2-2p}} |\nabla U|^q d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(X) \\
& \leq (q-1) \int_{\partial\Omega} (\Pi_{\partial\Omega}^{-1}) \nabla_{\partial\Omega} \left( \frac{\psi^p}{|\nabla h^*|^{1-p}} \right) \cdot \nabla_{\partial\Omega} \left( \frac{\psi^p}{|\nabla h^*|^{1-p}} \right) |\nabla U|^q d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(X),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\nabla_{\partial\Omega}$  denotes the induced Levi-Civita connection on the boundary  $\partial\Omega$ ,  $h^*$  is the support function of the polar body of  $\Omega$ , and  $\dot{U}$  satisfies the following equation

$$\begin{cases} \nabla \cdot ((q-2)|\nabla U|^{q-4} (\nabla U \cdot \nabla \dot{U}) \nabla U + |\nabla U|^{q-2} \nabla \dot{U}) = 0, & X \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bar{\Omega}, \\ \dot{U}(X) = \frac{\psi^p}{p|\nabla h^*|^{1-p}} |\nabla U(X)|, & X \in \partial\Omega, \\ \lim_{|X| \rightarrow \infty} \dot{U}(X) = 0. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Let  $\psi \in C^2(\partial\Omega)$  and set  $\phi(\xi) = \psi(F(\xi))$  for every  $\xi \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , then  $\phi \in C^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ . By applying  $\xi = \nu_\Omega(X)$ , we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \phi(\xi) \det(h_{ij}(\xi) + h(\xi)\delta_{ij}) d\xi = \int_{\partial\Omega} \psi d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(X).$$

Then

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h^{1-p} \phi^p |\nabla U(F(\xi))|^q \det(h_{ij}(\xi) + h(\xi)\delta_{ij}) d\xi \\ &= \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\psi^p}{|\nabla h^*|^{1-p}} |\nabla U|^q d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(X), \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h^{1-2p} \phi^{2p} |\nabla U(F(\xi))|^q \det(h_{ij}(\xi) + h(\xi)\delta_{ij}) d\xi \\ &= \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\psi^{2p}}{|\nabla h^*|^{1-2p}} |\nabla U|^q d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(X), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h^{1-p} \phi^p |\nabla U(F(\xi))|^{q-1} \det(h_{ij}(\xi) + h(\xi)\delta_{ij}) \nabla \dot{U}(F(\xi)) \cdot \xi d\xi \\ &= \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\psi^p}{|\nabla h^*|^{1-p}} (|\nabla U|^{q-1} \nabla \dot{U} \cdot \nu_\Omega) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(X). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$(4.11) \quad c_{ij} = \det(h_{ij} + h\delta_{ij})(h_{ij} + h\delta_{ij})^{-1}.$$

From (4.11), we get

$$(4.12) \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \text{tr}(c_{ij}(\xi)) |\nabla U(F(\xi))|^q h^{2-2p} \phi^{2p}(\xi) d\xi = \int_{\partial\Omega} \text{tr}(\Pi_{\partial\Omega}) |\nabla U(X)|^q \frac{\psi^{2p}}{|\nabla h^*|^{2-2p}} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(X).$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i,j} c_{ij}(\xi) |\nabla U(F(\xi))|^q (h^{1-p} \phi^p)_i(\xi) (h^{1-p} \phi^p)_j(\xi) \\ &= \det(h_{ij}(\xi) + h(\xi)\delta_{ij}) |\nabla U(F(\xi))|^q D(\nu_\Omega^{-1}(\xi)) \nabla_{\partial\Omega} \left( \frac{\psi(\nu_\Omega^{-1}(\xi))^p}{|\nabla h^*(\nu_\Omega^{-1}(\xi))|^{1-p}} \right) \cdot \nabla_{\partial\Omega} \left( \frac{\psi(\nu_\Omega^{-1}(\xi))^p}{|\nabla h^*(\nu_\Omega^{-1}(\xi))|^{1-p}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(4.13) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \sum_{i,j} c_{ij}(\xi) |\nabla U(F(\xi))|^q (h^{1-p} \phi^p)_i(\xi) (h^{1-p} \phi^p)_j(\xi) d\xi \\ &= \int_{\partial\Omega} |\nabla U(X)|^q \Pi_{\partial\Omega}^{-1} \nabla_{\partial\Omega} \left( \frac{\psi^p}{|\nabla h^*|^{1-p}} \right) \cdot \nabla_{\partial\Omega} \left( \frac{\psi^p}{|\nabla h^*|^{1-p}} \right) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(X). \end{aligned}$$

Now substituting (4.8), (4.9), (4.10), (4.12) and (4.13) into Theorem 4.2, we get Theorem 4.3 in the case  $\psi \in C^2(\partial\Omega)$ . The proof is completed.  $\square$

As a consequence of Theorem 4.3, we obtain:

**Corollary 4.4.** *Suppose  $1 < q < n$ . Let  $\Omega \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$  of class  $C_+^2$  and  $U$  be the solution of (1.12) in  $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bar{\Omega}$ . For every function  $\psi \in C^2(\partial\Omega)$ , if*

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \psi |\nabla U|^q d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(X) = 0,$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -q(q-1) \int_{\partial\Omega} \psi (\nabla \dot{U}(X) \cdot \nu_\Omega) |\nabla U|^{q-1} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(X) - \int_{\partial\Omega} \text{tr}(\Pi_{\partial\Omega}) \psi^2 |\nabla U|^q d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(X) \\ & \leq (q-1) \int_{\partial\Omega} (\Pi_{\partial\Omega}^{-1} \nabla_{\partial\Omega} \psi \cdot \nabla_{\partial\Omega} \psi) |\nabla U|^q d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(X), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\dot{U}$  satisfies the following equation

$$\begin{cases} \nabla \cdot ((q-2)|\nabla U|^{q-4} (\nabla U \cdot \nabla \dot{U}) \nabla U + |\nabla U|^{q-2} \nabla \dot{U}) = 0, & X \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \bar{\Omega}, \\ \dot{U}(X) = |\nabla U(X)| \psi, & X \in \partial\Omega, \\ \lim_{|X| \rightarrow \infty} \dot{U}(X) = 0. \end{cases}$$

**4.2. Torsion.** Our second aim is to give the Poincaré-type inequality derived by the  $L^p$ -Brunn-Minkowski inequality for torsion with  $p \geq 1$ .

Recall the  $L_p$ -Brunn-Minkowski inequality for  $T$  (1.25). We obtain the following result along similar lines of proof as in Lemma 4.1.

**Lemma 4.5.** (1.25) *implies that for every one-parameter family  $\Pi(h, \phi, I)$  as defined in (4.1), with  $h, \phi$  and  $\Omega_t \in \Pi(h, \phi, I)$ ,*

$$(4.14) \quad \left. \frac{d^2}{dt^2} [T(\Omega_t)] \right|_{t=0} T(\Omega_0) \leq \frac{n+2-p}{n+2} \left( \left. \frac{d}{dt} [T(\Omega_t)] \right|_{t=0} \right)^2.$$

Now, we give the infinitesimal form of the  $L_p$ -Brunn-Minkowski inequality for torsion as follows.

**Theorem 4.6.** *Let  $\Omega \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$  of class  $C_+^2$  and  $U$  be the solution of (1.20) in  $\Omega$ . Let  $p \geq 1$ . Then for every function  $\phi \in C^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ , we have*

$$(4.15) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{(p-n-2)}{(n+2)T(\Omega)} \left( \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \phi^p h^{1-p} d\mu^{tor} \right)^2 + (1-p) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \phi^{2p} h^{1-2p} d\mu^{tor} \\ & - 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \phi^{2p} h^{2-2p} \frac{(\nabla \dot{U}(F(\xi)) \cdot \xi)}{\dot{U}(F(\xi))} d\mu^{tor} - \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \text{tr}((Q^{-1}(h)) \phi^{2p} h^{2-2p} d\mu^{tor} \\ & + \frac{2}{p} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h^{3-3p} \phi^{3p} \frac{1}{\dot{U}(F(\xi))} d\mu^{tor} \leq \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} Q^{-1}(h) \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} (\phi^p h^{1-p}) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} (\phi^p h^{1-p}) d\mu^{tor}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\dot{U}$  satisfies the following equation

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \dot{U} = 0, & X \in \Omega, \\ \dot{U}(X) = \frac{1}{p} \phi^p(\nu_\Omega(X)) h^{1-p}(\nu_\Omega(X)) |\nabla U(X)|, & X \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Assume first that  $\phi \in C^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be such that  $(h^p + t\phi^p)^{1/p} \in \mathcal{S}$  for every  $t \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ . Set  $g_p(t) = T((h^p + t\phi^p)^{1/p})$  and  $Q_p(t) = g_p(t)^{p/(n+2)}$  for  $t \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ . Recall Lemma 4.5, we know that  $Q_p$  is concave at  $t = 0$ , then we get

$$(4.16) \quad Q_p''(0) = \frac{p(p-n-2)}{(n+2)^2} g_p(0)^{\frac{p}{n+2}-2} (g_p'(0))^2 + \frac{p}{n+2} g_p(0)^{\frac{p}{n+2}-1} g_p''(0) \leq 0.$$

Substituting (3.16) and (3.17) into (4.16), thus

$$(4.17) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{(p-n-2)}{(n+2)T(\Omega)} \left( \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h^{1-p} \phi^p |\nabla U(F(\xi))|^2 \det(h_{ij}(\xi) + h(\xi)\delta_{ij}) d\xi \right)^2 \\ & + (1-p) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h^{1-2p} \phi^{2p} |\nabla U(F(\xi))|^2 \det(h_{ij}(\xi) + h(\xi)\delta_{ij}) d\xi \\ & - 2p \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h^{1-p} \phi^p \frac{|\nabla U(F(\xi))|}{\kappa} \nabla \dot{U}(F(\xi)) \cdot \xi d\xi \\ & + 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h^{2-2p} \phi^{2p} |\nabla U(F(\xi))| \det(h_{ij}(\xi) + h(\xi)\delta_{ij}) d\xi \\ & - \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \text{tr}(c_{ij}) |\nabla U(F(\xi))|^2 h^{2-2p} \phi^{2p} d\xi \\ & \leq - \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \sum_{i,j} h^{1-p} \phi^p (c_{ij} |\nabla U(F(\xi))|^2 (h^{1-p} \phi^p)_i)_j d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Now, using  $\sum_j c_{ij} = 0$  with an integration by parts, we have

$$(4.18) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{(p-n-2)}{(n+2)T(\Omega)} \left( \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h^{1-p} \phi^p |\nabla U(F(\xi))|^2 \det(h_{ij}(\xi) + h(\xi)\delta_{ij}) d\xi \right)^2 \\ & + (1-p) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h^{1-2p} \phi^{2p} |\nabla U(F(\xi))|^2 \det(h_{ij}(\xi) + h(\xi)\delta_{ij}) d\xi \\ & - 2p \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h^{1-p} \phi^p \frac{|\nabla U(F(\xi))|}{\kappa} \nabla \dot{U}(F(\xi)) \cdot \xi d\xi \\ & + 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h^{2-2p} \phi^{2p} |\nabla U(F(\xi))| \det(h_{ij}(\xi) + h(\xi)\delta_{ij}) d\xi \\ & - \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \text{tr}(c_{ij}) |\nabla U(F(\xi))|^2 h^{2-2p} \phi^{2p} d\xi \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \sum_{i,j} (h^{1-p} \phi^p)_j (c_{ij} |\nabla U(F(\xi))|^2 (h^{1-p} \phi^p)_i) d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$(4.19) \quad c_{ij} = \det(h_{ij} + h\delta_{ij})(h_{ij} + h\delta_{ij})^{-1}.$$

Then (4.15) holds by substituting (4.19) and the definition of  $\mu^{tor}$  into (4.18). The proof is completed.  $\square$

We obtain the following result via Theorem 4.6 and along similar lines of proof in proving Theorem 4.3.

**Theorem 4.7.** *Let  $\Omega \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$  of class  $C_+^2$  and  $U$  be the solution of (1.20) in  $\Omega$ . Suppose  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . For every function  $\psi \in C^2(\partial\Omega)$ , then we obtain*

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.20) \quad & \frac{(p-n-2)}{(n+2)T(\Omega)} \left( \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\psi^p}{|\nabla h^*|^{1-p}} |\nabla U|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(X) \right)^2 \\
& + (1-p) \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\psi^{2p}}{|\nabla h^*|^{1-2p}} |\nabla U|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(X) \\
& - 2p \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\psi^p}{|\nabla h^*|^{1-p}} (\nabla \dot{U}(X) \cdot \nu_\Omega) |\nabla U| d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(X) \\
& + 2 \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\psi^{2p}}{|\nabla h^*|^{2-2p}} |\nabla U| d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(X) - \int_{\partial\Omega} \text{tr}(\Pi_{\partial\Omega}) \frac{\psi^{2p}}{|\nabla h^*|^{2-2p}} |\nabla U|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(X) \\
& \leq \int_{\partial\Omega} (\Pi_{\partial\Omega}^{-1}) \nabla_{\partial\Omega} \left( \frac{\psi^p}{|\nabla h^*|^{1-p}} \right) \cdot \nabla_{\partial\Omega} \left( \frac{\psi^p}{|\nabla h^*|^{1-p}} \right) |\nabla U|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(X),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\dot{U}$  satisfies the following equation

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \dot{U} = 0, & X \in \Omega, \\ \dot{U}(X) = \frac{\psi^p}{p|\nabla h^*|^{1-p}} |\nabla U(X)|, & X \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

**Remark 4.8.** *Notice that if  $\Omega = B^n$  in (4.20), when  $\psi(\xi)^p = \xi \cdot v_0$  for a fixed vector  $v_0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\xi \in B^n$ , in such case, by the maximum principle, it follows that  $\dot{U} = \frac{\psi^p}{pn}$  in  $B^n$ , then (4.20) always holds with  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Remark also that a class of Poincaré-type inequalities for torsion derived by the related Brunn-Minkowski inequality were previously studied in [23]. In addition, we can use Theorem 4.7 to give a new proof on (1.25) in small enough  $C^2$ -perturbations of unit ball (see Sec. 5).*

**4.3. The first eigenvalue.** We establish a Poincaré type inequality coming from the Brunn-Minkowski inequality for the first eigenvalue.

Recall the Brunn-Minkowski inequality for  $\lambda$  (1.32). With a proof similar to the proof of Lemma 4.1, there the following result holds.

**Lemma 4.9.** (1.32) implies that for every one-parameter family  $\Pi(h, \phi, I)$  as given in (4.1), with  $h, \phi$  and  $\Omega_t \in \Pi(h, \phi, I)$ ,

$$(4.21) \quad \frac{d^2}{dt^2}[\lambda(\Omega_t)] \Big|_{t=0} \lambda(\Omega_0) \geq \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{d}{dt}[\lambda(\Omega_t)] \Big|_{t=0} \right)^2.$$

The related Poincaré type inequality's form is as follows.

**Theorem 4.10.** Let  $\Omega \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$  of class  $C_+^2$  and  $U$  be the solution of (1.28) in  $\Omega$ . Then for every function  $\phi \in C^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ , we have

$$(4.22) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{3}{\lambda(\Omega)} \left( \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \phi d\mu^{eig} \right)^2 - 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \phi^2 \frac{(\nabla \dot{U}(F(\xi)) \cdot \xi)}{\dot{U}(F(\xi))} d\mu^{eig} - \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \text{tr}((Q^{-1}(h))\phi^2) d\mu^{eig} \\ & + 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \lambda U \phi^3 \frac{1}{\dot{U}(F(\xi))} d\mu^{eig} \leq \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} Q^{-1}(h) \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \phi \cdot \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \phi d\mu^{eig}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\dot{U}$  satisfies the following equation

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \dot{U} = -\lambda \dot{U}, & X \in \Omega, \\ \dot{U}(X) = \phi(\nu_\Omega(X)) |\nabla U(X)|, & X \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Assume first that  $\phi \in C^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be such that  $h + t\phi \in \mathcal{S}$  for every  $t \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ . Set  $\Lambda(t) = \lambda(h + t\phi)$  and  $W(t) = \Lambda(t)^{-1/2}$  for  $t \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ . Recall Lemma 4.9, we know that  $W$  is concave at  $t = 0$ , then we get

$$(4.23) \quad W''(0) = \frac{3}{4} \Lambda(0)^{-\frac{1}{2}-2} (\Lambda'(0))^2 - \frac{1}{2} \Lambda(0)^{-\frac{1}{2}-1} \Lambda''(0) \leq 0.$$

Substituting (3.18) and (3.19) into (4.23), we derive

$$(4.24) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{3}{2\lambda(\Omega)} \left( \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \phi |\nabla U(F(\xi))|^2 \det(h_{ij}(\xi) + h(\xi)\delta_{ij}) d\xi \right)^2 \\ & - 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \phi \frac{|\nabla U(F(\xi))|}{\kappa} \nabla \dot{U}(F(\xi)) \cdot \xi d\xi \\ & + 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \lambda U \phi^2 |\nabla U(F(\xi))| \det(h_{ij}(\xi) + h(\xi)\delta_{ij}) d\xi \\ & - \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \text{tr}(c_{ij}) |\nabla U(F(\xi))|^2 \phi^2 d\xi \\ & \leq - \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \sum_{i,j} \phi(c_{ij}) |\nabla U(F(\xi))|^2 \phi_i)_j d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Now, employing  $\sum_j c_{ijj} = 0$  into (4.24) with an integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.25) \quad & \frac{3}{2\lambda(\Omega)} \left( \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \phi |\nabla U(F(\xi))|^2 \det(h_{ij}(\xi) + h(\xi)\delta_{ij}) d\xi \right)^2 \\
& - 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \phi \frac{|\nabla U(F(\xi))|}{\kappa} \nabla \dot{U}(F(\xi)) \cdot \xi d\xi \\
& + 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \lambda U \phi^2 |\nabla U(F(\xi))| \det(h_{ij}(\xi) + h(\xi)\delta_{ij}) d\xi \\
& - \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \text{tr}(c_{ij}) |\nabla U(F(\xi))|^2 \phi^2 d\xi \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \sum_{i,j} \phi_j c_{ij} |\nabla U(F(\xi))|^2 \phi_i d\xi.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$(4.26) \quad c_{ij} = \det(h_{ij} + h\delta_{ij})(h_{ij} + h\delta_{ij})^{-1}.$$

Then (4.22) holds by substituting (4.26) and the definition of  $\mu^{eig}$  into (4.25). The proof is completed.  $\square$

With the aid of Theorem 4.10, following again the proof of Theorem 4.3, we can also get the following result.

**Theorem 4.11.** *Let  $\Omega \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$  of class  $C_+^2$  and  $U$  be the solution of (1.28) in  $\Omega$ . For every function  $\psi \in C^2(\partial\Omega)$ , then we obtain*

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.27) \quad & \frac{3}{2\lambda(\Omega)} \left( \int_{\partial\Omega} \psi |\nabla U|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(X) \right)^2 - 2 \int_{\partial\Omega} \psi (\nabla \dot{U}(X) \cdot \nu_\Omega) |\nabla U| d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(X) \\
& - \int_{\partial\Omega} \text{tr}(\Pi_{\partial\Omega}) \psi^2 |\nabla U|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(X) \\
& \leq \int_{\partial\Omega} (\Pi_{\partial\Omega}^{-1}) \nabla_{\partial\Omega} \psi \cdot \nabla_{\partial\Omega} \psi |\nabla U|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(X).
\end{aligned}$$

In particular, if

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \psi |\nabla U|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(X) = 0,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
& - 2 \int_{\partial\Omega} \psi (\nabla \dot{U}(X) \cdot \nu_\Omega) |\nabla U| d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(X) - \int_{\partial\Omega} \text{tr}(\Pi_{\partial\Omega}) \psi^2 |\nabla U|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(X) \\
& \leq \int_{\partial\Omega} (\Pi_{\partial\Omega}^{-1}) \nabla_{\partial\Omega} \psi \cdot \nabla_{\partial\Omega} \psi |\nabla U|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(X),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\dot{U}$  satisfies the following equation

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \dot{U} = -\lambda \dot{U}, & X \in \Omega, \\ \dot{U}(X) = |\nabla U(X)|\psi, & X \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

## 5. LOCAL $L_p$ -BRUNN-MINKOWSKI INEQUALITIES FOR TORSION WITH $0 \leq p < 1$

Before proving Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, we first introduce the following problem about the infinitesimal formulations of the  $L_p$ -Brunn-Minkowski inequalities for torsion.

**Problem A:** Let  $p \in [0, 1)$ . For all  $\Omega \in \mathcal{K}_{+,e}^2$ :

$$(5.1) \quad \forall \varphi^p \in C_e^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}) \quad \frac{d^2}{(dt)^2} \Big|_{t=0} T(\Omega +_p t \cdot \varphi)^{\frac{p}{n+2}} \leq 0.$$

When  $p = 0$ , (5.1) is interpreted as

$$(5.2) \quad \forall \text{ positive } \varphi \in C_e^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}) \quad \frac{d^2}{(dt)^2} \Big|_{t=0} \log T(\Omega +_0 t \cdot \varphi) \leq 0.$$

**Proposition 5.1.** Let  $\Omega \in \mathcal{K}_{+,e}^2$  and  $U$  be the solution of (1.20) in  $\Omega$ . Given  $0 < p < 1$ , (5.1) for  $\Omega$  is equivalent to the assertion that for  $\phi \in C_e^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ ,

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{(p-n-2)}{(n+2)T(\Omega)} \left( \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \phi^p h^{1-p} d\mu^{tor} \right)^2 + (1-p) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \phi^{2p} h^{1-2p} d\mu^{tor} \\ & - 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \phi^{2p} h^{2-2p} \frac{(\nabla \dot{U}(F(\xi)) \cdot \xi)}{\dot{U}(F(\xi))} d\mu^{tor} - \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \text{tr}((Q^{-1}(h))\phi^{2p} h^{2-2p} d\mu^{tor} \\ & + \frac{2}{p} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h^{3-3p} \phi^{3p} \frac{1}{\dot{U}(F(\xi))} d\mu^{tor} \leq \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} Q^{-1}(h) \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} (\phi^p h^{1-p}) \cdot \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} (\phi^p h^{1-p}) d\mu^{tor}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\dot{U}$  satisfies the following equation

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \dot{U} = 0, & X \in \Omega, \\ \dot{U}(X) = \frac{1}{p} \phi^p (\nu_\Omega(X)) h^{1-p} (\nu_\Omega(X)) |\nabla U(X)|, & X \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 4.6. □

Proposition 5.1 leads to the following outcome.



**Proposition 5.2.** Let  $\Omega \in \mathcal{K}_{+,e}^2$  and  $U$  be the solution of (1.20) in  $\Omega$ . Given  $0 < p < 1$ ,

(5.1) for  $\Omega$  is equivalent to the assertion that for  $\psi \in C_e^2(\partial\Omega)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{(p-n-2)}{(n+2)T(\Omega)} \left( \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\psi^p}{|\nabla h^*|^{1-p}} |\nabla U|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(X) \right)^2 \\
& + (1-p) \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\psi^{2p}}{|\nabla h^*|^{1-2p}} |\nabla U|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(X) \\
(5.4) \quad & - 2p \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\psi^p}{|\nabla h^*|^{1-p}} (\nabla \dot{U}(X) \cdot \nu_\Omega) |\nabla U| d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(X) \\
& + 2 \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\psi^{2p}}{|\nabla h^*|^{2-2p}} |\nabla U| d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(X) - \int_{\partial\Omega} \text{tr}(\Pi_{\partial\Omega}) \frac{\psi^{2p}}{|\nabla h^*|^{2-2p}} |\nabla U|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(X) \\
& \leq \int_{\partial\Omega} (\Pi_{\partial\Omega}^{-1}) \nabla_{\partial\Omega} \left( \frac{\psi^p}{|\nabla h^*|^{1-p}} \right) \cdot \nabla_{\partial\Omega} \left( \frac{\psi^p}{|\nabla h^*|^{1-p}} \right) |\nabla U|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(X),
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\dot{U}$  satisfies the following equation

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \dot{U} = 0, & X \in \Omega, \\ \dot{U}(X) = \frac{\psi^p}{p|\nabla h^*|^{1-p}} |\nabla U(X)|, & X \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

The proof of the following lemma is similar to the one for Lemma 3.7.

**Lemma 5.3.** Let  $\Omega_t \in \mathcal{K}_{+,e}^2$  with support function  $h_t$ , and  $U(\cdot, t)$  be the solution of (1.20) in  $\Omega_t$ . Let  $\tilde{\phi} \in C_e^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$  be positive, and for  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $h\tilde{\phi}^t \in \mathcal{S}$  for every  $t \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ . Set  $h_t = h\tilde{\phi}^t$  and  $g(t) = T(h_t)$ . Then

$$(5.5) \quad g'(0) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h \log \tilde{\phi} \frac{|\nabla U(F(\xi))|^2}{\kappa} d\xi,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.6) \quad g''(0) &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h (\log \tilde{\phi})^2 |\nabla U(F(\xi))|^2 \frac{1}{\kappa} d\xi \\
& - 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h \log \tilde{\phi} \frac{|\nabla U(F(\xi))|}{\kappa} \nabla \dot{U}(F(\xi)) \cdot \xi d\xi \\
& - \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \sum_i |\nabla U(F(\xi))|^2 c_{ii} (h \log \tilde{\phi})^2 d\xi \\
& + 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} (h \log \tilde{\phi})^2 \frac{|\nabla U(F(\xi))|}{\kappa} d\xi \\
& + \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \sum_{i,j} h \log \tilde{\phi} (c_{ij} |\nabla U(F(\xi))|^2 (h \log \tilde{\phi})_i)_j d\xi,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\dot{U}$  satisfies the following equation

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \dot{U} = 0, & X \in \Omega, \\ \dot{U}(X) = |\nabla U(X)| h \log \tilde{\phi}(\nu_\Omega(X)), & X \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

The infinitesimal formulation of (5.2) is as follows.

**Proposition 5.4.** *Let  $\Omega \in \mathcal{K}_{+,e}^2$  and  $U$  be the solution of (1.20) in  $\Omega$ . (5.2) for  $\Omega$  is equivalent to the assertion that for  $\phi \in C_e^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ ,*

$$(5.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{\phi^2}{h^2} dG^{tor} - \frac{(n+2)}{T(\Omega)} \left( \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{\phi}{h} dG^{tor} \right)^2 + 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{\phi^3}{h} \frac{1}{\dot{U}(F(\xi))} dG^{tor} \\ & - 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{\phi^2}{h} \frac{(\nabla \dot{U}(F(\xi)) \cdot \xi)}{\dot{U}(F(\xi))} dG^{tor} - \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \text{tr}(Q^{-1}(h)) \frac{\phi^2}{h} dG^{tor} \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{1}{h} Q^{-1}(h) \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \phi \cdot \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \phi dG^{tor}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\dot{U}$  satisfies the following equation

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \dot{U} = 0, & X \in \Omega, \\ \dot{U}(X) = |\nabla U(X)| \phi(\nu_\Omega(X)), & X \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Assume that  $\tilde{\phi} \in C_e^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$  with  $\tilde{\phi} > 0$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be such that  $h\tilde{\phi}^t \in \mathcal{S}$  for every  $t \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$ . Set  $Q(t) = T(h\tilde{\phi}^t)$ , so  $\log Q(t)$  is concave at  $t = 0$ , then we get

$$(5.8) \quad Q''(0) - \frac{(Q'(0))^2}{Q(0)} \leq 0.$$

Applying (5.5) and (5.6) into (5.8), there is

$$(5.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h(\log \tilde{\phi})^2 |\nabla U(F(\xi))|^2 \frac{1}{\kappa} d\xi \\ & - 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h \log \tilde{\phi} \frac{|\nabla U(F(\xi))|}{\kappa} \nabla \dot{U}(F(\xi)) \cdot \xi d\xi \\ & + 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} (h \log \tilde{\phi})^2 \frac{|\nabla U(F(\xi))|}{\kappa} d\xi \\ & - \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \sum_i |\nabla U(F(\xi))|^2 c_{ii} (h \log \tilde{\phi})^2 d\xi - \frac{(\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} h \log \tilde{\phi} \frac{|\nabla U(F(\xi))|^2}{\kappa} d\xi)^2}{T(h)} \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \sum_{i,j} (h \log \tilde{\phi})_j (c_{ij} |\nabla U(F(\xi))|^2 (h \log \tilde{\phi})_i) d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Now let  $\phi \in C_e^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$  and set  $h(\xi) \log \tilde{\phi}(\xi) = \phi(\xi)$  for every  $\xi \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ . Using  $dG^{tor} = \frac{1}{n+2} h d\mu^{tor}$  and along the lines in proving Theorem 4.6, we can transfer (5.9) into

$$\begin{aligned} & (n+2) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{\phi^2}{h^2} dG^{tor} - \frac{(n+2)^2}{T(\Omega)} \left( \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{\phi}{h} dG^{tor} \right)^2 + 2(n+2) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{\phi^3}{h} \frac{1}{\dot{U}(F(\xi))} dG^{tor} \\ & - 2(n+2) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{\phi^2}{h} \frac{(\nabla \dot{U}(F(\xi)) \cdot \xi)}{\dot{U}(F(\xi))} dG^{tor} - (n+2) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \text{tr}(Q^{-1}(h)) \frac{\phi^2}{h} dG^{tor} \\ & \leq (n+2) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{1}{h} Q^{-1}(h) \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \phi \cdot \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \phi dG^{tor}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed.  $\square$

A natural consequence of Proposition 5.4 is as follows.

**Proposition 5.5.** *Let  $\Omega \in \mathcal{K}_{+,e}^2$  and  $U$  be the solution of (1.20) in  $\Omega$ . (5.2) for  $\Omega$  is equivalent to the assertion that for  $\psi \in C_e^2(\partial\Omega)$ ,*

$$(5.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\psi^2}{|\nabla h^*|} |\nabla U|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} - \frac{1}{T(\Omega)} \left( \int_{\partial\Omega} \psi |\nabla U|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \right)^2 + 2 \int_{\partial\Omega} \psi^2 |\nabla U| d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \\ & - 2 \int_{\partial\Omega} \psi^2 \frac{(\nabla \dot{U}(X) \cdot \nu_\Omega)}{\dot{U}(X)} |\nabla U|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} - \int_{\partial\Omega} \text{tr}(\Pi_{\partial\Omega}) \psi^2 |\nabla U|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \\ & \leq \int_{\partial\Omega} ((\Pi_{\partial\Omega}^{-1}) \nabla_{\partial\Omega} \psi \cdot \nabla_{\partial\Omega} \psi) |\nabla U|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $h^*$  is the support function of the polar body of  $\Omega$ , and  $\dot{U}$  satisfies the following equation

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \dot{U} = 0, & X \in \Omega, \\ \dot{U}(X) = |\nabla U(X)| \psi, & X \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

**Remark 5.6.** *In (5.3), set  $\hat{\phi} := h^{1-p} \phi^p$ , and apply  $dG^{tor} = \frac{1}{n+2} h d\mu^{tor}$ . Then for  $\hat{\phi} \in C_e^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ , we get the corresponding infinitesimal  $L_p$ -Brunn-Minkowski inequality for torsion as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \frac{p}{T(\Omega)} - \frac{(n+2)}{T(\Omega)} \right) \left( \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{\hat{\phi}}{h} dG^{tor} \right)^2 + (1-p) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{\hat{\phi}^2}{h^2} dG^{tor} \\ & - 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{\hat{\phi}^2 (\nabla \dot{U}(F(\xi)) \cdot \xi)}{h \dot{U}(F(\xi))} dG^{tor} + 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{\hat{\phi}^3}{h} \frac{1}{\dot{U}(F(\xi))} dG^{tor} - \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \text{tr}(Q^{-1}(h)) \frac{\hat{\phi}^2}{h} dG^{tor} \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{1}{h} (Q^{-1}(h) \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \hat{\phi} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \hat{\phi}) dG^{tor}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\dot{U}$  satisfies the following equation

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \dot{U} = 0, & X \in \Omega, \\ \dot{U}(X) = |\nabla U(X)| \hat{\phi}(\nu_\Omega(X)), & X \in \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, one sees

$$\begin{aligned} & p \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{\hat{\phi}^2}{h^2} dG^{tor} - \frac{p}{T(\Omega)} \left( \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{\hat{\phi}}{h} dG^{tor} \right)^2 \\ & = pT(\Omega) \left[ \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{\hat{\phi}^2}{h^2} d\bar{G}^{tor} - \left( \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \frac{\hat{\phi}}{h} d\bar{G}^{tor} \right)^2 \right] \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that (5.7) is indeed a strengthening of (5.3).

The following lemmas are essential in proving Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.

**Lemma 5.7.** *Suppose  $0 < p < 1$ . If for every one-parameter family  $\Pi(1, \phi, I)$  as defined in (4.1) with  $h = 1$  and even  $\phi$  such that  $\Omega_t \in \Pi(1, \phi, I)$ ,*

$$(5.11) \quad \left. \frac{d^2}{dt^2} [T(\Omega_t)] \right|_{t=0} T(B^n) < \frac{n+2-p}{n+2} \left( \left. \frac{d}{dt} [T(\Omega_t)] \right|_{t=0} \right)^2,$$

*then for any  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \in \Pi(1, \phi, I)$ , inequality (1.33) holds for  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that for  $\Pi(1, \phi, I)$  as defined in (4.1) with  $h = 1$  and even  $\phi$ , the function  $T(\Omega_t)^{\frac{p}{n+2}}$  has negative second derivative at 0, i.e., (5.11) holds. Now, given  $t_0$  in the interior of  $I$ , consider  $\hat{1} = (1 + t_0 \phi^p)^{1/p}$ , and set a new parameter system  $\Pi(\hat{1}, \phi, \hat{I})$ , where  $\hat{I}$  is a new interval such that  $(\hat{1}^p + t \phi^p)^{1/p} = (1 + (t + t_0) \phi^p)^{1/p} \in \mathcal{S}$  for every  $t \in \hat{I}$ . By (5.11), one sees that the second derivative of  $T^{\frac{p}{n+2}}(\Omega_t)$  at  $t = t_0$  is non-positive, which is equivalent to the non-positivity of second derivative of  $T^{\frac{p}{n+2}}(\hat{\Omega}_t)$  at  $t = 0$  with  $\hat{\Omega}_t \in \Pi(\hat{1}, \phi, \hat{I})$ , i.e.,

$$(5.12) \quad \left. \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \right|_{t=0} T(\Omega +_p t \cdot \phi)^{\frac{p}{n+2}} \leq 0$$

holds in for all  $\Omega \in \Pi(1, \phi, I)$ . Along similar lines as [43, Lemma 3.5], for all  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \in \Pi(1, \phi, I)$ , the global concavity of  $[0, 1] \ni s \mapsto T((1-s) \cdot \Omega_1 +_p s \cdot \Omega_2)^{\frac{p}{n+2}}$  follows by testing its second derivative at a given  $s \in [0, 1]$ , which is non-positive by (5.12) for the body  $\Omega = (1-s) \cdot \Omega_1 +_p s \cdot \Omega_2$  and the function  $\phi^p := (h_{\Omega_2}^p - h_{\Omega_1}^p) \in C_e^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$ . So, we assert that this lemma holds.  $\square$

Let  $h \in \mathcal{S}$  with  $h > 0$  be the support function of a convex body  $\Omega \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$  of class  $C_+^2$ , and let  $\tilde{\phi} \in C^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$  with  $\tilde{\phi} > 0$ , then there exists a sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$h_t := h \tilde{\phi}^t \in \mathcal{S}, \quad \forall t \in [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon].$$

For an interval  $I := [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$ , we set the one-parameter family of convex bodies  $\Omega_t \in \mathcal{K}_0^n$  of class  $C_+^2$ :

$$(5.13) \quad \Pi(h, \tilde{\phi}, I) = \{\Omega_t : h_{\Omega_t} = h_t = h \tilde{\phi}^t, t \in I\}.$$

Similar to Lemma 5.7, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.8.** *If for every one-parameter family  $\Pi(1, \tilde{\phi}, I)$  as defined in (5.13) with  $h = 1$  and even  $\tilde{\phi}$  such that  $\Omega_t \in \Pi(1, \tilde{\phi}, I)$*

$$(5.14) \quad \left. \frac{d^2}{dt^2} [\log T(\Omega_t)] \right|_{t=0} < 0,$$

*then for any  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \in \Pi(1, \tilde{\phi}, I)$ , inequality (1.34) holds for  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2$ .*

**Proof of Theorem 1.1**

In the case  $0 < p < 1$ : on the one hand, if  $\varphi^p = \text{constant}$ , the  $L_p$ -Brunn-Minkowski inequalities for torsion (1.33) hold in the case of Euclidean balls. On the other hand, if  $\varphi^p \neq \text{constant}$ , by Lemma 5.7 and Proposition 5.2, we conclude that to prove (1.33), it suffices to verify the (strict) validity of (5.4) in the case that  $\Omega$  is the unit ball  $B^n$ . Then,  $\partial\Omega = \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ ,  $T(B^n) = \frac{\omega_n}{n(n+2)}$ ,  $|\nabla h^*(X)| = 1$ ,  $\nu_\Omega$  is identity map, and  $|\nabla U(X)| = \frac{1}{n}$  for  $X \in \partial\Omega$ . In such a case, we verify that the following theorem holds.

**Theorem 5.9.** *Suppose  $p > 0$ . Let  $\varphi^p \in C^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$  be even with  $\varphi^p \neq \text{constant}$ . Then, the following inequality*

$$(5.15) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{(p-2-n)}{n\omega_n} \left( \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \varphi^p d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \right)^2 + (n+2-p) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \varphi^{2p} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \\ & - 2pn \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \varphi^p (\nabla \dot{U}(\xi) \cdot \xi) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} < \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \varphi^p \cdot \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \varphi^p d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

holds, where  $\dot{U}$  satisfies the following equation

$$(5.16) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta \dot{U} = 0, & \xi \in B^n, \\ \dot{U}(\xi) = \frac{\varphi^p}{pn}, & \xi \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* By using (5.16) and the divergence theorem, there holds

$$(5.17) \quad \begin{aligned} & 2pn \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \varphi^p (\nabla \dot{U}(\xi) \cdot \xi) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \\ & = 2(pn)^2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \dot{U}(\xi) \nabla \dot{U}(\xi) \cdot \xi d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \\ & = 2(pn)^2 \int_{B^n} \operatorname{div}(\dot{U} \nabla \dot{U}) d\xi \\ & = 2(pn)^2 \int_{B^n} |\nabla \dot{U}|^2 d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (5.17) into (5.15), hence the validity of (5.15) is to prove

$$(5.18) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{(p-2-n)}{n\omega_n} \left( \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \varphi^p d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \right)^2 + (n+2-p) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \varphi^{2p} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \\ & < \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \varphi^p \cdot \nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \varphi^p d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} + 2(pn)^2 \int_{B^n} |\nabla \dot{U}|^2 d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

By direct computation, the validity of (5.15) is invariant under  $\varphi^p \mapsto \varphi^p + c$  for a constant  $c$ . Consequently, (5.18) is equivalent to

$$(5.19) \quad \begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \varphi^p d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \\ & (n+2-p) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \varphi^{2p} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} < \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \varphi^p|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} + 2(pn)^2 \int_{B^n} |\nabla \dot{U}|^2 d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we assume  $\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \varphi^p d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} = 0$ . Denote by  $\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}$  the spherical Laplace operator on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ . The first eigenvalue of  $(-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}})$  is 0, and the corresponding eigenspace is constant functions. Thus, the zero-mean condition on  $\varphi^p$  illustrates that  $\varphi^p$  is orthogonal to such eigenspace. The second eigenvalue of  $(-\Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}})$  is  $n - 1$ , and the corresponding eigenspace consists of the restriction of linear functions of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , since each of them is odd and  $\varphi^p$  is even,  $\varphi^p$  is orthogonal to this eigenspace as well. The third eigenvalue is  $2n$ , then by the divergence theorem on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , one sees that

$$(5.20) \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \varphi^p|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} = - \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \varphi^p \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \varphi^p d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \geq 2n \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \varphi^{2p} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}.$$

Substituting (5.20) into (5.19), we conclude that Theorem 5.9 holds. Hence, the proof of Theorem 1.1 is completed.  $\square$

### Proof of Theorem 1.2

In the case  $p = 0$ : similarly, on the one hand, if  $\phi = \text{constant}$ , the log-Brunn-Minkowski inequality for torsion (1.34) holds in the case of Euclidean balls. On the other hand, if  $\phi \neq \text{constant}$ , using Lemma 5.8 and Proposition 5.5, we conclude that to prove (1.34), it suffices to verify the (strict) validity of (5.10) in the case that  $\Omega$  is the unit ball  $B^n$ . In this regard we prove that the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.10.** *Let  $\phi \in C^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$  be even with  $\phi \neq \text{constant}$ , then the following inequality*

$$(5.21) \quad \begin{aligned} & (n+2) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \phi^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} - \frac{(n+2)}{n\omega_n} \left( \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \phi d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \right)^2 \\ & - 2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \phi^2 \frac{\nabla \dot{U} \cdot \xi}{\dot{U}} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} < \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \phi|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \end{aligned}$$

holds, where  $\dot{U}$  satisfies the following equation

$$(5.22) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta \dot{U} = 0, & \xi \in B^n, \\ \dot{U}(\xi) = \frac{1}{n} \phi, & \xi \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* By (5.22) and divergence theorem, there is

$$2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \phi^2 \frac{\nabla \dot{U} \cdot \xi}{\dot{U}} d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} = 2n^2 \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \dot{U} (\nabla \dot{U} \cdot \xi) d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} = 2n^2 \int_{B^n} |\nabla \dot{U}|^2 d\xi.$$

Then the validity of (5.21) is to verify

$$(5.23) \quad \begin{aligned} & (n+2) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \phi^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} - \frac{(n+2)}{n\omega_n} \left( \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \phi d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \right)^2 \\ & < 2n^2 \int_{B^n} |\nabla \dot{U}|^2 d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \phi|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

By direct computation, the validity of (5.21) is invariant under  $\phi \mapsto \phi + c$  for a constant  $c$ . Consequently, (5.23) is equivalent to

$$(5.24) \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \phi d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} = 0 \Rightarrow (n+2) \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \phi^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} < 2n^2 \int_{B^n} |\nabla \dot{U}|^2 d\xi + \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \phi|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}.$$

Now, suppose  $\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \phi d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} = 0$ . Similarly, based on the zero-mean condition and even assumption on  $\phi$ , then

$$(5.25) \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\nabla_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \phi|^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} = - \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \phi \Delta_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \phi d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} \geq 2n \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \phi^2 d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}.$$

Substituting (5.25) into (5.24), we conclude that Theorem 5.10 holds. Hence, Theorem 1.2 is completely proved.  $\square$

Following [8, Lemma 3.1, Lemma 3.2], as a consequence of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, we obtain the following  $L_p$ -Minkowski inequalities for torsion near the unit ball with  $0 \leq p < 1$ .

**Corollary 5.11.** *Let  $\varphi \in C^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$  be even. Then there exists a sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for every  $s_1, s_2 \in [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$ , the  $L_p$ -Minkowski inequalities for torsion for  $0 < p < 1$  hold true:*

$$T_p(\Omega_1, \Omega_2)^{n+2} \geq T(\Omega_1)^{n+2-p} T(\Omega_2)^p,$$

where  $\Omega_1$  is the convex body with the support function  $h_1 = (1 + s_1 \varphi)^{\frac{1}{p}}$  and  $\Omega_2$  is the convex body with the support function  $h_2 = (1 + s_2 \varphi)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ .

**Corollary 5.12.** *Let  $\phi \in C^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$  be even. Then there exists a sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for every  $s_1, s_2 \in [-\varepsilon, \varepsilon]$ , the log-Minkowski inequality for torsion holds true:*

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} \log \frac{h_{\Omega_1}}{h_{\Omega_2}} d\bar{G}^{tor}(\Omega_2, \cdot) \geq \frac{1}{n+2} \log \frac{T(\Omega_1)}{T(\Omega_2)},$$

where  $\Omega_1$  is the convex body with the support function  $h_1 = e^{s_1 \phi}$  and  $\Omega_2$  is the convex body with the support function  $h_2 = e^{s_2 \phi}$ .

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The author would like to thank Yong Huang, Mohammad N. Ivaki for their helpful and valuable comments on this work.

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. D. Aleksandrov, On the theory of mixed volumes. III. Extensions of two theorems of Minkowski on convex polyhedra to arbitrary convex bodies, *Mat. Sb.* **3** (1938), 27–46.
- [2] A. D. Aleksandrov, On the surface area measure of convex bodies, *Mat. Sb. (N.S.)* **6** (1939), 167–174.
- [3] M. Akman, J. Gong, J. Hineman, J. Lewis and A. Vogel, The Brunn-Minkowski inequality and a Minkowski problem for nonlinear capacity, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **275** (2022), no. 1348, vi+115 pp.
- [4] T. Bonnesen and W. Fenchel, *Theory of convex bodies*, translated from the German and edited by L. Boron, C. Christenson and B. Smith, BCS Associates, Moscow, ID, 1987.
- [5] C. Borell, Capacitary inequalities of the Brunn-Minkowski type, *Math. Ann.* **263** (1983), no. 2, 179–184.
- [6] C. Borell, Greenian potentials and concavity, *Math. Ann.* **272** (1985), no. 1, 155–160.
- [7] C. Borell, Diffusion equations and geometric inequalities, *Potential Anal.* **12** (2000), no. 1, 49–71.
- [8] K. Böröczky, E. Lutwak, D. Yang and G. Zhang, The log-Brunn-Minkowski inequality, *Adv. Math.* **231** (2012), no. 3-4, 1974–1997.
- [9] K. Böröczky, E. Lutwak, D. Yang and G. Zhang, The logarithmic Minkowski problem, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **26** (2013), no. 3, 831–852.
- [10] H. J. Brascamp and E. H. Lieb, On extensions of the Brunn-Minkowski and Prékopa-Leindler theorems, including inequalities for log concave functions, and with an application to the diffusion equation, *J. Functional Analysis* **22** (1976), no. 4, 366–389.
- [11] L. A. Caffarelli, D. S. Jerison and E. H. Lieb, On the case of equality in the Brunn-Minkowski inequality for capacity, *Adv. Math.* **117** (1996), no. 2, 193–207.
- [12] S. Chen, Y. Huang, Q. Li and J. Liu, The  $L_p$ -Brunn-Minkowski inequality for  $p < 1$ , *Adv. Math.* **368** (2020), 107166, 21 pp.
- [13] Z. Chen and Q. Dai, The  $L_p$  Minkowski problem for torsion, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **488** (2020), no. 1, 124060, 26 pp.
- [14] S. Y. Cheng and S. T. Yau, On the regularity of the solution of the  $n$ -dimensional Minkowski problem, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **29** (1976), no. 5, 495–516.
- [15] A. Colesanti, Brunn-Minkowski inequalities for variational functionals and related problems, *Adv. Math.* **194** (2005), no. 1, 105–140.
- [16] A. Colesanti, From the Brunn-Minkowski inequality to a class of Poincaré-type inequalities, *Commun. Contemp. Math.* **10** (2008), no. 5, 765–772.
- [17] A. Colesanti and M. Fimiani, The Minkowski problem for torsional rigidity, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **59** (2010), no. 3, 1013–1039.
- [18] A. Colesanti and P. Salani, The Brunn-Minkowski inequality for  $p$ -capacity of convex bodies, *Math. Ann.* **327** (2003), no. 3, 459–479.
- [19] A. Colesanti, G. V. Livshyts and A. Marsiglietti, On the stability of Brunn-Minkowski type inequalities, *J. Funct. Anal.* **273** (2017), no. 3, 1120–1139.
- [20] A. Colesanti, K. Nyström, P. Salani, J. Xiao, D. Yang, and G. Zhang, The Hadamard variational formula and the Minkowski problem for  $p$ -capacity, *Adv. Math.* **285** (2015), 1511–1588.
- [21] G. Crasta and I. Fragalà, Variational worn stones, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* **248** (2024), no. 3, Paper No. 48, 30 pp.
- [22] B. E. J. Dahlberg, Estimates of harmonic measure, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **65** (1977), no. 3, 275–288.
- [23] N. F. Fang, J. Hu and L. Zhao, From the Brunn-Minkowski inequality to a class of generalized Poincaré-type inequalities for torsional rigidity, *J. Geom. Anal.* **34** (2024), no. 4, Paper No. 114, 16 pp.
- [24] W. Fenchel and B. Jessen, Mengenfunktionen und konvexe Körper, *Danske Vid. Selsk. Mat.-Fys. Medd.* **16** (1938), 1–31.
- [25] Y. Feng, Y. Zhou and B. He, The  $L_p$  electrostatic  $q$ -capacitary Minkowski problem for general measures, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **487** (2020), no. 1, 123959, 18 pp.
- [26] W. J. Firey,  $p$ -means of convex bodies, *Math. Scand.* **10** (1962), 17–24.
- [27] R. J. Gardner, The Brunn-Minkowski inequality, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)* **39** (2002), no. 3, 355–405.



- [28] R. J. Gardner, *Geometric tomography*, second edition, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 58, Cambridge University Press, New York, 2006.
- [29] D. Gilbarg and N. S. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, reprint of the 1998 edition, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001.
- [30] P. Guan and L. Ni, Entropy and a convergence theorem for Gauss curvature flow in high dimension, *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* **19** (2017), no. 12, 3735–3761.
- [31] J. Hu, The torsion log-Minkowski problem, *J. Geom. Anal.* **34** (2024), no. 7, Paper No.
- [32] J. Hu and J. Liu, On the  $L_p$  torsional Minkowski problem for  $0 < p < 1$ , *Adv. in Appl. Math.* **128** (2021), Paper No. 102188, 22 pp.
- [33] Y. Hu and M. N. Ivaki, On the uniqueness of solutions to the isotropic  $L_p$  dual Minkowski problem, *Nonlinear Anal.* **241** (2024), Paper No. 113493, 6 pp.
- [34] Y. Huang, C. Song and L. Xu, Hadamard variational formulas for  $p$ -torsion and  $p$ -eigenvalue with applications, *Geom. Dedicata* **197** (2018), 61–76.
- [35] H. Hong, D. Ye and N. Zhang, The  $p$ -capacitary Orlicz-Hadamard variational formula and Orlicz-Minkowski problems, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations* **57** (2018), no. 1, Paper No. 5, 31 pp.
- [36] M. N. Ivaki, Uniqueness of solutions to a class of non-homogeneous curvature problems, arXiv:2307.06252 (2023).
- [37] M. N. Ivaki and E. Milman, Uniqueness of solutions to a class of isotropic curvature problems, *Adv. Math.* **35**(2023), Paper No. 109350, 11pp.
- [38] M. N. Ivaki and E. Milman,  $L^p$ -Minkowski problem under curvature pinching, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* **2024**, no. 10, 8638–8652.
- [39] D. Jerison, Prescribing harmonic measure on convex domains, *Invent. Math.* **105** (1991), no. 2, 375–400.
- [40] D. Jerison, A Minkowski problem for electrostatic capacity, *Acta Math.* **176** (1996), no. 1, 1–47.
- [41] D. S. Jerison, The direct method in the calculus of variations for convex bodies, *Adv. Math.* **122** (1996), no. 2, 262–279.
- [42] A. V. Kolesnikov and E. Milman, Poincaré and Brunn-Minkowski inequalities on the boundary of weighted Riemannian manifolds, *Amer. J. Math.* **140** (2018), no. 5, 1147–1185.
- [43] A. V. Kolesnikov and E. Milman, Local  $L^p$ -Brunn-Minkowski inequalities for  $p < 1$ , *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **277** (2022), no. 1360, v+78 pp.
- [44] D. Langharst and J. Ulivelli, The (self-similar, variational) Rolling Stones, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* **2024**, no. 11, 9178–9193.
- [45] J. L. Lewis, Capacitary functions in convex rings, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **66** (1977), no. 3, 201–224.
- [46] J. L. Lewis and K. Nyström, Boundary behaviour for  $p$  harmonic functions in Lipschitz and starlike Lipschitz ring domains, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)* **40** (2007), no. 5, 765–813.
- [47] J. L. Lewis and K. Nyström, Regularity and free boundary regularity for the  $p$  Laplacian in Lipschitz and  $C^1$  domains, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* **33** (2008), no. 2, 523–548.
- [48] E. Lutwak, The Brunn-Minkowski-Firey theory. I. Mixed volumes and the Minkowski problem, *J. Differential Geom.* **38** (1993), no. 1, 131–150.
- [49] H. Minkowski, Allgemeine Lehrsätze über die convexen Polyeder, *Nachr. Ges. Wiss. Göttingen* (1897), 198–219.
- [50] H. Minkowski, Volumen und Oberfläche, *Math. Ann.* **57** (1903), no. 4, 447–495.
- [51] S. Sakaguchi, Concavity properties of solutions to some degenerate quasilinear elliptic Dirichlet problems, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4)* **14** (1987), no. 3, 403–421 (1988).
- [52] R. Schneider, *Convex bodies: the Brunn-Minkowski theory*, second expanded edition, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, 151, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2014.
- [53] J. I. E. Urbas, An expansion of convex hypersurfaces, *J. Differential Geom.* **33** (1991), no. 1, 91–125.
- [54] G. Xiong and J. Xiong, The logarithmic capacitary Minkowski problem for polytopes, *Acta Math. Sin. (Engl. Ser.)* **38** (2022), no. 2, 406–418.
- [55] G. Xiong, J. Xiong and L. Xu, The  $L_p$  capacitary Minkowski problem for polytopes, *J. Funct. Anal.* **277** (2019), no. 9, 3131–3155.
- [56] D. Zou and G. Xiong, The  $L_p$  Minkowski problem for the electrostatic  $\mathcal{P}$ -capacity, *J. Differential Geom.* **116** (2020), no. 3, 555–596.

INSTITUT FÜR DISKRETE MATHEMATIK UND GEOMETRIE, TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT WIEN, WIEDNER  
HAUPTSTRASSE 8-10, 1040 WIEN, AUSTRIA

*Email address:* `jinrong.hu@tuwien.ac.at`