

Finite velocity effect of ultralight vector dark matter in pulsar timing arrays

Qing-Hua Zhu*

Department of Physics, Chongqing University, Chongqing 401331, China

Pulsar timing array (PTA) collaborations have recently reported evidence of stochastic gravitational waves. Besides gravitational wave signals from cosmological or astrophysical origins, PTAs are also capable of detecting coherently oscillating ultralight dark matter in our galaxy. This paper investigates pulsar timing influenced by ultralight vector dark matter, based on the pure gravitational effect of the vector field. We extensively consider the finite dark matter velocity v for ultralight vector dark matter in PTAs, rather than the limit $v \rightarrow 0$ in previous studies. It is found that the leading-order shift in pulsar timing turns out to be proportional to negative slope of v . Consequently, one can not distinguish between ultralight vector dark matter and ultralight scalar dark matter with the timing residual amplitude. Assuming the existence of stochastic vector dark matter in our galaxy, the corresponding angular correlation curve in PTAs is found to be similar to that of scalar dark matter. We find that it can be distinguishable, only when the pulsar pairs are distant from the earth.

I. INTRODUCTION

Pulsar timing array (PTA) collaborations have recently reported evidence of stochastic gravitational waves [1–7], which are theoretically based on that the pulse arrival time from pulsars can be influenced by spacetime fluctuations [8], and consequently spatially correlated [9]. In PTA frequency band, besides gravitational wave signals originating from cosmological sources [10, 11] or those generated by supermassive black holes [10, 12, 13], PTAs are also capable of detecting coherently oscillating ultralight dark matter in our galaxy [10, 11, 14–18].

Dark matter plays a crucial role in cosmology and astrophysical physics, as it provides interpretations of the gravitational effects that can not be accounted for by normal matter alone, such as those in rotation curves of galaxies [19], the formation of large-scale structures [20], and the cosmic microwave background [21]. However, at the sub-galactic scale, there are observational discrepancies from the predictions of the standard cold dark matter model [22]. To address the small-scale controversies, the wave effect of dark matter was introduced, leading to the development of the

* zhuqh@cqu.edu.cn

fuzzy or ultralight dark matter [14, 23]. Recently, it has been associated with PTA observations [15, 24–27], suggesting that the dark matter scenario can be tested experimentally [1, 2, 4, 6].

The ultralight dark matter, composed of bosons with masses around 10^{-23}eV , could behave as a wave-like source, affecting pulsar timing in nHz frequency band [15]. Assuming that the wave-like dark matter is deterministic [15–17, 28, 29], it would propagate along a specific direction \hat{k} . As a result, the timing residual amplitude induced by the dark matter might depend on the relative locations between the source \hat{k} and a pulsar on the sky. Theoretically, there are ultralight scalar, vector, and tensor dark matter. The timing residual amplitude induced by scalar dark matter does not have directional dependence [15], whereas those induced by vector or tensor dark matter were shown to be directional dependent [16, 17]. If the source has a stochastic nature [30–33], one should statistically analyze all possible \hat{k} directions on the sky, and the signals from a pulsar pair could be spatially correlated. In this context, it was found that there are signatures in the angular correlations for the ultralight vector and tensor dark matter [30, 31].

Ultralight dark matter have both gravitational effect [15–17, 25, 26, 28, 29, 34, 35], and interaction with normal matter [27–29, 32, 36, 37], both of which can affect pulsar timing. In this study, we focus on the pure gravitational effect of the ultralight vector dark matter. Recent study has shown that there is a missing effect for ultralight scalar dark matter when considering the finite dark matter velocity $v(= k/m \approx 10^{-3})$ [38], rather than the limit $v \rightarrow 0$ used in previous studies [15–17]. In this practical setup, the timing residuals from deterministic source and the angular correlation from stochastic source both shown to be sensitive to the distances between the earth and pulsars. In this paper, we extensively study the pulsar timing influenced by ultralight vector dark matter, by considering a finite v . We find additional missing effects compared previous studies on vector dark matter in PTAs [16, 30]. The leading order of the shift in pulsar timing is found to be proportional to negative slope of v . These terms dominate the directional independence of timing residuals, making it indistinguishable from those caused by ultralight scalar dark matter. For stochastic sources, the angular correlation originating from vector dark matter is no longer determined by the induced tensor metric perturbation [30]. The corresponding angular correlation curves are similar to those from scalar dark matter. The scalar and vector dark matter have distinctive angular correlation curves, only when the pulsar pairs are far away from the earth.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section II, we present the dynamical evolution of metric perturbations induced by ultralight vector dark matter, based on perturbing Einstein field equations to second order. In Section III, we calculate the timing residuals and angular correlations originating from the ultralight vector dark matter and study their characteristic signatures. Finally,

in Section IV, conclusions and discussions are summarized.

II. SPACETIME FLUCTUATIONS INDUCED BY OSCILLATING ULTRALIGHT DARK MATTER

Ultralight dark matter, also known as fuzzy dark matter, was proposed to introduce wave effects on dark matter within our galaxy [14]. In this context, the wave-like dark matter can be assumed to freely propagate in the space, with pure gravitational effect. Thus, the Lagrange density of the vector dark matter takes the form of [16]

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\nu\mu} - \frac{1}{2}m^2 A_\mu A^\mu, \quad (1)$$

where $F_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$. In PTA observation, the pulsars in our galaxy are nearly situated in a flat spacetime. Thus, we consider the perturbed Minkowski metric in the form of

$$ds^2 = -(1 + 2\phi^{(1)} + \phi^{(2)})dt^2 + \left(\delta_{ij}(1 - 2\psi^{(1)} - \psi^{(2)}) + h_{ij}^{(1)} + \frac{1}{2}h_{ij}^{(2)} \right) dx^i dx^j + (2V_i^{(1)} + V_i^{(2)})dt dx^i, \quad (2)$$

where $V_i^{(n)}$ is the n th-order vector perturbation, $h_{ij}^{(n)}$ is the n th-order tensor perturbations, the $\phi^{(n)}$ and $\psi^{(n)}$ are n th-order Newtonian potential perturbation and curvature perturbation, respectively. The perturbed metric is given to the second order, because the spacetime fluctuations induced by the dark matter are of nonlinear effect of gravity [15, 39].

By making use of Eq. (1), we obtain the energy-momentum tensor of the vector field A_μ , namely,

$$T_{\mu\nu} = \nabla_\lambda A_\nu \nabla^\lambda A_\mu + \nabla_\mu A^\lambda \nabla_\nu A_\lambda - \nabla^\lambda A_\nu \nabla_\mu A_\lambda - \nabla^\lambda A_\mu \nabla_\nu A_\lambda + m^2 A_\mu A_\nu + \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}(\nabla_\lambda A_\sigma \nabla^\lambda A^\sigma - \nabla_\lambda A_\sigma \nabla^\sigma A^\lambda - m^2 A_\lambda A^\lambda), \quad (3)$$

where ∇_μ is covariant derivative with respect to metric in Eq. (2). In flat spacetime background, the vector field can be expanded as $A^\mu = A^{(1),\mu} + 1/2 A^{(2),\mu} + \mathcal{O}(3)$. Perturbing the equation of energy-momentum tensor conservation $\nabla_\mu T^{\mu\nu} = 0$ to the second order, one can obtain, $(\nabla_\mu T^{\mu\nu})^{(1)} \equiv 0$,

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_\mu T^{\mu t})^{(2)} &= -\left(\partial_t A_j^{(v)} + \partial_j \partial_t B^{(s)} - \partial_j A^{(s)}\right) \\ &\quad \times \left(m^2 A^{(v),j} + \partial_0^2 A^{(v),j} - \partial_0 \partial^j A^{(s)} + m^2 \partial^j B^{(s)} + \partial^j \partial_0^2 B^{(s)} - \Delta A^{(v),j}\right) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (4a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_\mu T^{\mu a})^{(2)} &= \left(m^2 A^{(v),j} + \partial_0^2 A^{(v),j} - \partial^j \partial_0 A^{(s)} + m^2 \partial^j B^{(s)} + \partial^j \partial_0^2 A^{(s)} - \Delta A^{(v),j}\right) \\ &\quad \times \left(\partial_j A^{(v),a} - \partial^a A_j^{(v)}\right) \\ &\quad + \left(m^2 A^{(s)} - \Delta A^{(s)} + \Delta \partial_0 B^{(s)}\right) \left(\partial_0 A^{(v),a} - \partial^a A^{(s)} + \partial^a \partial_0 B^{(s)}\right) \\ &\quad + m^2 \left(-A^{(s)} + \Delta A^{(s)}\right) \left(A^{(v),a} + \partial^a B^{(s)}\right) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4b)$$

where $\partial^j \equiv \delta^{jl} \partial_l$, and we have used helicity decomposition for the $A_j^{(1)}$. Namely, the vector field is rewritten as

$$A_t^{(1)} \equiv A^{(s)}, \quad A_j^{(1)} = A_j^{(v)} + \partial_j B^{(s)}. \quad (5)$$

For transverse part of the vector field $A_j^{(v)}$, we have $\partial^j A_j^{(v)} = 0$. There is no $A_\mu^{(2)}$ in Eqs. (4), because of $A^{(0)} = 0$. Associating Eqs. (4) with Lorentz gauge,

$$\partial_\mu A^\mu = -\partial_0 A^{(s)} + \Delta B^{(s)} = 0, \quad (6)$$

one can obtain three independent components of $A^{(1),\mu}$ satisfying the wave equations as follows,

$$\partial_0^2 A^{(s)} + (m^2 - \Delta) A^{(s)} = 0, \quad (7a)$$

$$\partial_0^2 A_j^{(v)} + (m^2 - \Delta) A_j^{(v)} = 0. \quad (7b)$$

The wave equations in Eqs. (7) suggest that the vector field could oscillate both in the space and time. Different components of the vector field also evolve independently.

The solution of Eqs. (7) can take the form of plane wave expansion, namely,

$$A^{(I)} = \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \left\{ A_{\mathbf{k}}^{(I)} e^{-i(w_k t - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x})} + A_{-\mathbf{k}}^{(I)} e^{i(w_k t - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x})} \right\}. \quad (8)$$

where I can be superscripts 's' or 'v', and $w_k = \sqrt{k^2 + m^2}$. From above equation, Fourier modes of $A_{\mathbf{k}}^{(I)}$ can be given by

$$\mathcal{F}[A^{(I)}](\mathbf{k}) = 2A_{\mathbf{k}}^{(I)} \cos(w_k t), \quad (9)$$

For a monochromatic vector field propagating in the direction of \hat{k} , the solution of wave equations (Eqs. (7)) can reduce to Eq. (9) with a constant \mathbf{k} .

In order to compute metric perturbation induced by the oscillating vector fields, one should perturb Einstein field equations up to the second order. In the first order, the metric perturbations vanish, $\phi^{(1)} = \psi^{(1)} = V_i^{(1)} = h_{ij}^{(1)} = 0$, due to $T_{\mu\nu}^{(1)} = 0$. Thus, for illustration, we let $V_i \equiv V_i^{(2)}$, $h_{ij} \equiv h_{ij}^{(2)}$, $\phi = \phi^{(2)}$ and $\psi \equiv \psi^{(2)}$. In the second-order, Einstein field equations can be obtained as follows,

$$\frac{1}{4}(h''_{ab} - \Delta h_{ab}) = \kappa \Lambda_{ab}^{cd} T_{cd}^{(2)}, \quad (10a)$$

$$-\frac{1}{4}V'_a = \kappa \Delta^{-1}(\delta_a^c - \partial_a \Delta^{-1} \partial^c) \partial^d T_{cd}^{(2)}, \quad (10b)$$

$$\psi'' = \kappa \partial^c \Delta^{-1} \partial^d T_{cd}^{(2)}, \quad (10c)$$

$$\frac{1}{2}(\psi - \phi) = \frac{\kappa}{2} \Delta^{-1} (3\partial^c \Delta^{-1} \partial^d - \delta^{cd}) T_{cd}^{(2)}, \quad (10d)$$

where the Latin alphabets denote the spatial indices, we have employed the helicity decomposition for the spatial components of Einstein field equations, Λ_{ab}^{cd} is transverse-traceless operator, κ is Einstein gravitational constant, and the energy-momentum tensor is given by

$$T_{bc}^{(2)} \equiv T_{bc}^{(v,v)} + T_{bc}^{(s,s)} + T_{bc}^{(s,v)}, \quad (11)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} T_{bc}^{(v,v)} &= m^2 A_b^{(v)} A_c^{(v)} - \partial_0 A_b^{(v)} \partial_0 A_c^{(v)} + \partial^j A_b^{(v)} \partial_j A_c^{(v)} - \partial^j A_b^{(v)} \partial_c A_j^{(v)} \\ &\quad - \partial^j A_c^{(v)} \partial_b A_j^{(v)} + \partial_b A^{(v),j} \partial_c A_j^{(v)} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \delta_{bc} \left(-m^2 A_j^{(v)} A^{(v),j} + \partial_0 A_j^{(v)} \partial_0 A^{(v),j} + \partial_j A_l^{(v)} \partial^l A^{(v),j} - \partial_l A_j^{(v)} \partial^l A^{(v),j} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (12a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_{bc}^{(s,s)} &= -\partial_b A^{(s)} \partial_c A^{(s)} + \partial_b \partial_0 B^{(s)} \partial_c A^{(s)} + m^2 \partial_b B^{(s)} \partial_c B^{(s)} + \partial_b A^{(s)} \partial_c \partial_0 B^{(s)} - \partial_b \partial_0 B^{(s)} \partial_c \partial_0 B^{(s)} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \delta_{bc} \left(m^2 \left(A^{(s)} \right)^2 + \partial_j A^{(s)} \partial^j A^{(s)} - 2\partial_j \partial_0 B^{(s)} \partial^j B^{(s)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - m^2 \partial_j B^{(s)} \partial^j B^{(s)} + \partial_j \partial_0 B^{(s)} \partial^j \partial_0 B^{(s)} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (12b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} T_{bc}^{(s,v)} &= \partial_0 A_c^{(v)} \partial_b A^{(s)} + \partial_0 A_b^{(v)} \partial_c A^{(s)} + m^2 \left(A_b^{(v)} \partial_c B^{(s)} + A_c^{(v)} \partial_b B^{(s)} \right) \\ &\quad - \partial_0 A_b^{(v)} \partial_c \partial_0 B^{(s)} - \partial_0 A_c^{(v)} \partial_b \partial_0 B^{(s)} \\ &\quad + \delta_{bc} \left(-m^2 A^{(v),j} \partial_j B^{(s)} + \partial_0 A^{(v),j} \left(\partial_b \partial_0 B^{(s)} - \partial_b A^{(s)} \right) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (12c)$$

Eqs. (10) show that the scalar, vector, and tensor metric perturbations all can be induced by

the vector field $A^{(1),\mu}$. Associating it with Eqs. (7), the evolution of these second-order metric perturbations can be obtained. Specifically, using Lorentz gauge in Eq. (6), and substituting Eq. (12) into Eq. (10) in momentum space, we can obtain the Fourier modes of metric perturbations. Since $v \approx k/m \simeq 10^{-3}$, we further expand the metric perturbations as $v \rightarrow 0$, namely,

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{k}}^{(I,J)} = \kappa \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \left\{ \mathcal{M}_{\Psi}^{(I,J)}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}; t, m) \text{trig}_{\Psi}^{(I,J)}(wt) A_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{p}}^{(I)} A_{\mathbf{p}}^{(J)} \right\}, \quad (13)$$

where $\text{trig}_{\Psi}^{(I,J)}$ denotes a trigonometric function sin or cos, the quantity Ψ can represent h_{ab} , V_b , ψ , or ϕ , and

$$w \equiv 2m + \frac{p^2 + |\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}|^2}{2m}. \quad (14)$$

For example, in the case of $I = J = v$ and $\Psi = h_{ab}$, we have $\mathcal{M}_{h,ab}^{(v,v),cd}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}; t, m) \cos(wt) A_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{p},c}^{(v)} A_{\mathbf{p},d}^{(v)}$ in Eq. (13). The explicit expressions of $\mathcal{M}_{\Psi}^{(I,J)}$ are presented as follows,

$$\mathcal{M}_{h,ab}^{(v,v),jl}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}; t, m) = -4\Lambda_{ab}{}^{lj} - \left(\frac{k}{m}\right)^2 \frac{2p_c(2k^l\Lambda_{ab}{}^{cj} + \delta^{jl}\Lambda_{ab}{}^{cm}p_m)}{k^2}, \quad (15a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_{V,d}^{(v,v),jl}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}; t, m) &= \left(\frac{m}{k}\right) \frac{8i(k^2\delta_d^j - k_d k^j)k^l}{k^3} + 4i \left(\frac{k}{m}\right) \left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^5 (k^2\delta_d^l k^j (p^2 - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}) \\ &\quad - k_d((\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p})^2 \delta^{jl} + k^j k^l (p^2 + \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p})) \\ &\quad + k^2(\delta_d^j k^l \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p} + (k^j k^l + \delta^{jl} \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p})p_d)), \end{aligned} \quad (15b)$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\psi}^{(v,v),jl}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}; t, m) = \frac{1}{2}\delta^{jl} - \frac{k^j k^l}{k^2} + \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{k}{m}\right)^2 \left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^4 (4k^j k^l - \delta^{jl}(k^2 - 2\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}))(k^2 - 2\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}), \quad (15c)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_{\phi}^{(v,v),jl}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}; t, m) &= 4 \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2 \left(-\delta^{jl} + \frac{3k^j k^l}{k^2}\right) - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^4 ((k^4 - 12(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p})^2 + 12k^2 p^2)\delta^{jl} \\ &\quad + 4(k^2 - 6p^2)k^j k^l) + \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{k}{m}\right)^2 \left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^6 (k^2 - 2\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p})(-2k^j k^l (k^2 - 6\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}) \\ &\quad + \delta^{jl}(k^4 - 2k^2 \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p})), \end{aligned} \quad (15d)$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{h,ab}^{(s,s)}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}; t, m) = \frac{1}{p^2|\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{p}|^2} \left(4k^2 \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2 \Lambda_{ab}{}^{cm} p_c p_m + 2\Lambda_{ab}{}^{cm} (-p^2 + \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}) p_c p_m \right), \quad (15e)$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{V,d}^{(s,s)}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}; t, m) = \frac{8i}{k} \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^3 \frac{\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p} (k_d \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p} - k^2 p_d)}{p^2|\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{p}|^2}, \quad (15f)$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\psi}^{(s,s)}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}; t, m) = \frac{1}{p^2|\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{p}|^2} \left(\left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2 ((\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p})^2 - \frac{1}{2}k^2(p^2 + \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p})) \right. \\ \left. - \frac{(p^2 - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p})(3k^4 + 4(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p})^2 - 8k^2\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p})}{8k^2} \right), \quad (15g)$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\phi}^{(s,s)}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}; t, m) = \frac{1}{p^2|\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{p}|^2} \left(4 \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^4 (-3(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p})^2 + k^2(p^2 + 2\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p})) \right. \\ \left. - \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^4 (k^2 - 2\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p})(12\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}^3 + k^4(5p^2 + \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}) - 6k^2\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}(p^2 + 2\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p})) \right. \\ \left. + \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2 \left(\frac{6(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p})^2(-p^2 + \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p})}{k^2} + \frac{1}{2}k^2(p^2 + 3\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}) \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + 2(-3(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p})^2 + p^4 + p^2\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}) \right) \right), \quad (15h)$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{h,ab}^{(s,v)}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}; t, m) = \frac{i}{p^2} \left(-8k \left(\frac{m}{k}\right) \Lambda_{ab}{}^{jc} p_c + \left(\frac{k}{m}\right) \frac{4\Lambda_{ab}{}^{jc}(p^2 - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}) p_c}{k} \right), \quad (15i)$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{V,d}^{(s,v)}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}; t, m) = 8 \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2 \frac{1}{p^2} \left(\delta_d^j \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p} + k^j \left(-\frac{2k_d \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}}{k^2} + p_d \right) \right), \quad (15j)$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\psi}^{(s,v)}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}; t, m) = \frac{i}{p^2} \left(\left(\frac{m}{k}\right) \frac{k^j(k^2 - 2\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p})}{k} - \frac{\left(\frac{k}{m}\right) k^j(k^2 - 2\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p})(k^2 + 2p^2 - 2\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p})}{4k^3} \right) \quad (15k)$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\phi}^{(s,v)}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}; t, m) = \frac{i}{p^2} \left(-\left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^3 \frac{8k^j(k^2 - 3\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p})}{k} \right. \\ \left. - \left(\frac{m}{k}\right) \frac{k^j(k^4 + 4k^2(p^2 - 2\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}) + 12\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}(-p^2 + \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}))}{k^3} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{i}{4} \left(\frac{k}{m}\right) \left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^5 k^j(k^6 + 2k^4(p^2 - 5\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}) + 4k^2(7(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p})^2 + p^4 - 4p^2\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}) \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. - 12\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}(2(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p})^2 + p^4 - 2p^2\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}) \right) \right). \quad (15l)$$

And the function $\text{trig}_{\Psi}^{(I,J)}(x)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{trig}_h^{(v,v)}(x) &= \text{trig}_{\psi}^{(v,v)}(x) = \text{trig}_{\phi}^{(v,v)}(x) = \text{trig}_h^{(s,s)}(x) \\ &= \text{trig}_{\psi}^{(s,s)}(x) = \text{trig}_{\phi}^{(s,s)}(x) = \text{trig}_V^{(s,v)}(x) = \cos(x), \end{aligned} \quad (16a)$$

$$\text{trig}_V^{(v,v)}(x) = \text{trig}_V^{(s,s)}(x) = \text{trig}_h^{(v,s)}(x) = \text{trig}_{\psi}^{(v,s)}(x) = \text{trig}_{\phi}^{(v,s)}(x) = \sin(x). \quad (16b)$$

The non-oscillatory and lower-frequency modes, i.e. $w = 0$ and $w = (k^2 - 2\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p})/2m$, have been neglected in Eqs. (15), because these features can not be detected by PTAs in nHz frequency band.

One might find that the metric perturbation induced by double $A^{(v)}$ are proportional to $(m/k)^2$,

while the those induced by double $A^{(s)}$ are proportional to $(m/k)^4$. These dominant terms, proportional to $1/v^2$ or $1/v^4$, were neglected in previous studies [16, 30], as it was found to be divergent and can not be computable in the limit of $k/m \rightarrow 0$. Our approach, based on the expansion at finite v , might be a more robust formalism.

III. PULSAR TIMING ARRAY OBSERVATIONS

In the framework of Einstein's theory of gravity, gravity bends light in the spacetime. For the same reason, in the presence of gravitational fluctuations between the pulsar and the earth, the pulse arrival time can be either delayed or advanced. This effect can be formulated by the perturbed geodesic equation as follows,

$$\delta P^\mu \partial_\mu \bar{P}^\nu + \bar{P}^\mu \partial_\mu \delta P^\nu + \eta^{\nu\rho} \left(\partial_\mu \delta g_{\lambda\rho} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\rho \delta g_{\mu\lambda} \right) \bar{P}^\mu \bar{P}^\lambda = 0, \quad (17)$$

where P^μ is the 4-velocity describing radio beams from a pulsar. Using the perturbed metric in Eq. (2), the shifted arrival time, denoted by z , can be quantified by the temporal component of above equations, namely, [40]

$$\begin{aligned} z &\equiv -\frac{\delta t}{t} \Big|_E^O = \frac{\delta P^0}{\bar{P}^0} \Big|_E^O \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\psi(x_O) - \psi(x_E) - \phi(x_O) + \phi(x_E)) + \frac{1}{4} \hat{n}^i \hat{n}^j (h_{ij}(x_O) - h_{ij}(x_E)) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \int_{t-L}^t d\bar{t} \left\{ \hat{n}^i \partial_j \left(\phi(\bar{t}, \mathbf{x}(\bar{t})) + \psi(\bar{t}, \mathbf{x}(\bar{t})) + \hat{n}^i V_i(\bar{t}, \mathbf{x}(\bar{t})) - \frac{1}{2} \hat{n}^i \hat{n}^j h_{ij}(\bar{t}, \mathbf{x}(\bar{t})) \right) \right\}, \quad (18) \end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{n}^i \equiv -dx^i/dt = -\bar{P}^i/\bar{P}^0$ and $\mathbf{x}(\bar{t}) = -\hat{n}(\bar{t} - t)$. The event of a pulse is set to be $x_E = (t - L, L\hat{n}^i)$, where L represents the distance from the earth to the pulsar, and the receiving event on the earth is $x_E = (t, 0)$. The timing residual, which is the accumulation of the shifted arrival time, can be given by $R = \int_0^t z dt$ [15]. From Eq. (18), it shows that the quantity z originates from both the metric perturbations at the locations of the pulsars and the earth, as well as the accumulation of these metric perturbations along the line-of-sight. These effects are analogous to the Sachs-Wolfe and integrated Sachs-Wolfe effects in the context of cosmology [40].

By making use of Eqs. (13), (15) and (18), we rewrite the z in the form of $z = z^{(v,v)} + z^{(s,s)} + z^{(s,v)}$,

and

$$z^{(v,v)} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} df \int d\Omega \left\{ \int \frac{k^2 dk d^3 p}{(2\pi)^6} \delta\left(f - \frac{w}{2\pi}\right) \kappa A_{c,\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{p}}^{(v)} A_{d,\mathbf{p}}^{(v)} \mathcal{I}^{(v,v),cd}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}, \hat{n}) \mathcal{K}(f, k, \hat{n}) \right\} \quad (19a)$$

$$z^{(s,s)} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} df \int d\Omega \left\{ \int \frac{k^2 dk d^3 p}{(2\pi)^6} \delta\left(f - \frac{w}{2\pi}\right) \kappa A_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{p}}^{(s)} A_{\mathbf{p}}^{(s)} \mathcal{I}^{(s,s)}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}, \hat{n}) \mathcal{K}(f, k, \hat{n}) \right\}, \quad (19b)$$

$$z^{(s,v)} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} df \int d\Omega \left\{ \int \frac{k^2 dk d^3 p}{(2\pi)^6} \delta\left(f - \frac{w}{2\pi}\right) \kappa A_{\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{p}}^{(s)} A_{d,\mathbf{p}}^{(s)} \mathcal{I}^{(s,v),d}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}, \hat{n}) \mathcal{K}(f, k, \hat{n}) \right\}, \quad (19c)$$

where $\mathcal{K}(f, k, \hat{n}) \equiv e^{-2\pi i f t} (1 - e^{iL(2\pi f + \mathbf{k} \cdot \hat{n})})$, and the quantities $\mathcal{I}^{(I,J)}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}^{(v,v),jl}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}, \hat{n}) &= \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2 \left(1 + \frac{\mathbf{k} \cdot \hat{n}}{2\pi f + \mathbf{k} \cdot \hat{n}}\right) \left(-2\delta^{lj} + \frac{6k^j k^l}{k^2}\right) \\ &+ 4 \left(\frac{\mathbf{k} \cdot \hat{n}}{2\pi f + \mathbf{k} \cdot \hat{n}}\right) \left(\frac{m}{k}\right) \left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^3 (k^2 \delta_a^j - k_d k^j) k^l \hat{n}^d \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left(-\delta^{lj} + 2\frac{k^j k^l}{k^2}\right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^4 \left(1 + \frac{\mathbf{k} \cdot \hat{n}}{2\pi f + \mathbf{k} \cdot \hat{n}}\right) \\ &\times (6(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p})^2 \delta^{lj} - 6k^2 p^2 \delta^{lj} - 3k^2 k^j k^l + 12p^2 k^j k^l + 2k^4 \Lambda_{ab}{}^{lj} \hat{n}^a \hat{n}^b) \\ &+ 2 \left(\frac{\mathbf{k} \cdot \hat{n}}{2\pi f + \mathbf{k} \cdot \hat{n}}\right) \left(\frac{k}{m}\right) \left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^5 \hat{n}^d (-k_d ((\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p})^2 \delta^{lj} + k^j k^l (p^2 + \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}))) \\ &+ k^2 (\delta_d^l k^j (p^2 - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}) + \delta_d^j k^l \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p} + (k^j k^l + \delta^{lj} \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}) p_d) \\ &+ \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{k}{m}\right)^2 \left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^4 (-4k^j k^l (k^2 - 2\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}) + \delta^{lj} (k^2 - 2\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p})^2) \\ &+ \frac{1}{8} \left(\frac{k}{m}\right)^2 \left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^6 \left(1 + \frac{\mathbf{k} \cdot \hat{n}}{2\pi f + \mathbf{k} \cdot \hat{n}}\right) (k^2 k^j k^l (k^2 - 2\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}) \\ &+ 6k^j k^l (k^2 - 2\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}) \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p} + 8k^4 k^l \Lambda_{ab}{}^{jm} \hat{n}^a \hat{n}^b p_m + 4k^4 \delta^{lj} \Lambda_{ab}{}^{cm} \hat{n}^a \hat{n}^b p_c p_m) \\ &+ \mathcal{O}\left(\left(\frac{k}{m}\right)^3\right). \end{aligned} \quad (20a)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}^{(s,s)}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}, \hat{n}) &= 2 \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^4 \left(1 + \frac{\mathbf{k} \cdot \hat{n}}{2\pi f + \mathbf{k} \cdot \hat{n}}\right) \frac{1}{p^2 |\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}|^2} (-3(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p})^2 + k^2(p^2 + 2\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p})) \\
&\quad \frac{4}{k} \left(\frac{\mathbf{k} \cdot \hat{n}}{2\pi f + \mathbf{k} \cdot \hat{n}}\right) \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^3 \frac{1}{p^2 |\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}|^2} \hat{n}^d \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p} (k_d \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p} - k^2 p_d) \\
&\quad \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2 \frac{1}{p^2 |\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}|^2} \left(-(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p})^2 + \frac{1}{2} k^2 (p^2 + \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p})\right) \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2 \left(1 + \frac{\mathbf{k} \cdot \hat{n}}{2\pi f + \mathbf{k} \cdot \hat{n}}\right) \frac{1}{p^2 |\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}|^2} \left(-\frac{5}{2} (\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p})^2 + p^4\right) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} (k^2 + 2p^2) \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p} + \frac{3(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p})^2 (-p^2 + \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p})}{k^2} - k^2 \Lambda_{ab}{}^{cm} \hat{n}^a \hat{n}^b p_c p_m \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{8} \frac{1}{p^2 |\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}|^2} \left(3p^2 (k^2 - 2\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}) - 3(k^2 - 2\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}) \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}\right) \\
&\quad - 2 \left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^2 p^2 (k^2 - 2\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}) \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p} + 2 \left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^2 (k^2 - 2\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}) \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}^2 \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{8} \left(1 + \frac{\mathbf{k} \cdot \hat{n}}{2\pi f + \mathbf{k} \cdot \hat{n}}\right) \frac{1}{p^2 |\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}|^2} (-4p^2 (k^2 - 2\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}) + (k^2 - 2\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}) \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}) \\
&\quad + 4 \left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^2 p^2 (k^2 - 2\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}) \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p} + 5 \left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^2 (k^2 - 2\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}) \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}^2 \\
&\quad - 6 \left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^4 (k^2 - 2\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}) \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}^3 + 4 \Lambda_{ab}{}^{cm} \hat{n}^a \hat{n}^b (p^2 - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}) p_c p_m \Big) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{k}{m}\right) \quad (20b)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{I}^{(s,v),j}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}, \hat{n}) &= -\frac{4}{kp^2} \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^3 \left(1 + \frac{\mathbf{k} \cdot \hat{n}}{2\pi f + \mathbf{k} \cdot \hat{n}}\right) k^j (k^2 - 3\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}) \\
&\quad + \frac{4}{k^2 p^2} \left(\frac{\mathbf{k} \cdot \hat{n}}{2\pi f + \mathbf{k} \cdot \hat{n}}\right) \left(\frac{m}{k}\right)^2 \hat{n}^d (k^2 \delta_d^j \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p} + k^j (-2k_d \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p} + k^2 p_d)) \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{m}{k}\right) \frac{1}{p^2} \left(-k k^j + 2 \left(\frac{1}{k}\right) k^j \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}\right) \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{m}{k}\right) \left(1 + \frac{\mathbf{k} \cdot \hat{n}}{2\pi f + \mathbf{k} \cdot \hat{n}}\right) \frac{1}{p^2} \left(-2 \left(\frac{1}{k}\right) p^2 k^j + 3 \left(\frac{1}{k}\right) k^j \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}\right) \\
&\quad - 6 \left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^3 k^j \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p} (-p^2 + \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}) + 2k \Lambda_{ab}{}^{jm} \hat{n}^a \hat{n}^b p_m \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{k}{m}\right) \left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^5 \frac{1}{p^2} (k^6 k^j + 4k^2 (\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p})^2 k^j + 2k^4 p^2 k^j - 4k^4 k^j \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p} - 4k^2 p^2 k^j \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{k}{m}\right) \left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^5 \left(1 + \frac{\mathbf{k} \cdot \hat{n}}{2\pi f + \mathbf{k} \cdot \hat{n}}\right) \frac{1}{p^2} (12k^2 (\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p})^2 k^j + 2k^2 p^4 k^j - 3k^4 k^j \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p} \\
&\quad - 6k^2 p^2 k^j \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p} - 6k^j \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p} (2(\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p})^2 + p^4 - 2p^2 \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}) \\
&\quad - 4k^4 \Lambda_{ab}{}^{jm} \hat{n}^a \hat{n}^b (p^2 - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}) p_m) + \mathcal{O}\left(\left(\frac{k}{m}\right)^2\right), \quad (20c)
\end{aligned}$$

Because the observable in PTAs is the signal strain for a given frequency f , we have transformed the metric perturbation in Eq. (13) into the frequency and direction (f, \hat{k}) . We only consider the positive frequency parts of z where $w > 0$. In the subsequent sections, we will study the timing

residuals from deterministic sources and the angular correlation of pulsar pairs from stochastic sources.

A. Timing residuals

For the oscillating vector dark matter propagating with a specific wavenumber \mathbf{k}_* formulated by Eq. (9), it can be understood as a type of deterministic source given by

$$A_{\mathbf{k},c}^{(v)} = \mathcal{A}_\lambda^{(v)} \epsilon_c^\lambda(\hat{k})(2\pi)^3 \delta^3(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_*) , \quad (21a)$$

$$A_{\mathbf{k}}^{(s)} = \mathcal{A}^{(s)}(2\pi)^3 \delta^3(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}_*) , \quad (21b)$$

where ϵ^λ is the polarization vector. It can serve as a set of orthogonal and normalized vectors spanning a three-dimensional vector space, namely, $\delta_{ab} = \epsilon_a^1 \epsilon_b^1 + \epsilon_a^2 \epsilon_b^2 + \hat{k}_a \hat{k}_b$. Substituting Eqs. (21) into Eqs. (19), we obtain the real part of z in the form of

$$z^{(v,v)} = \kappa \mathcal{A}_\lambda^{(v)} \mathcal{A}_{\lambda'}^{(v)} \epsilon_c^\lambda \epsilon_d^{\lambda'} \mathcal{I}^{(v,v),cd}(\mathbf{2k}_*, \mathbf{k}_*, \hat{n}) (\cos(2\pi f_* t) - \cos(2\pi f_* t - L(2\pi f_* + \mathbf{k} \cdot \hat{n}))) \quad (22a)$$

$$z^{(s,s)} = \kappa \mathcal{A}^{(s)} \mathcal{A}^{(s)} \mathcal{I}^{(s,s)}(\mathbf{2k}_*, \mathbf{k}_*, \hat{n}) (\cos(2\pi f_* t) - \cos(2\pi f_* t - L(2\pi f_* + \mathbf{k} \cdot \hat{n}))) , \quad (22b)$$

$$z^{(s,v)} = \kappa \mathcal{A}^{(s)} \mathcal{A}_\lambda^{(v)} \epsilon_c^\lambda \mathcal{I}^{(s,v),c}(\mathbf{2k}_*, \mathbf{k}_*, \hat{n}) (\cos(2\pi f_* t) - \cos(2\pi f_* t - L(2\pi f_* + \mathbf{k} \cdot \hat{n}))) , \quad (22c)$$

where $f_* \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(2m + \frac{k_*^2}{m} \right)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}^{(v,v),cd}(\mathbf{2k}_*, \mathbf{k}_*, \hat{n}) &= -\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{m}{k_*} \right)^2 \left(1 + \frac{\mathbf{k}_* \cdot \hat{n}}{\pi f_* + \mathbf{k}_* \cdot \hat{n}} \right) \delta^{cd} - \frac{1}{2} \delta^{cd} + \left(1 + \frac{\mathbf{k}_* \cdot \hat{n}}{\pi f_* + \mathbf{k}_* \cdot \hat{n}} \right) \Lambda_{ab}^{cd} \hat{n}^a \hat{n}^c \\ &+ \mathcal{O} \left(\left(\frac{k_*}{m} \right)^3 \right) , \end{aligned} \quad (23a)$$

$$\mathcal{I}^{(s,s)}(\mathbf{2k}_*, \mathbf{k}_*, \hat{n}) = \left(\frac{m}{k_*} \right)^4 \left(1 + \frac{\mathbf{k}_* \cdot \hat{n}}{\pi f_* + \mathbf{k}_* \cdot \hat{n}} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{m}{k_*} \right)^2 + \mathcal{O} \left(\frac{k_*}{m} \right) , \quad (23b)$$

$$\mathcal{I}^{(s,v),d}(\mathbf{2k}_*, \mathbf{k}_*, \hat{n}) = 2 \left(\frac{m}{k_*} \right)^2 \left(\frac{\mathbf{k}_* \cdot \hat{n}}{\pi f_* + \mathbf{k}_* \cdot \hat{n}} \right) \hat{n}^d + \mathcal{O} \left(\left(\frac{k_*}{m} \right)^2 \right) . \quad (23c)$$

We consider unpolarized modes of $\mathcal{A}_\lambda^{(v)}$, indicating $\overline{\mathcal{A}_1^{(v)}} \approx \overline{\mathcal{A}_2^{(v)}}$, and $\overline{\mathcal{A}_1^{(v)} \mathcal{A}_2^{(v)}} \approx 0$. Employing the spherical coordinate, (θ, φ) , defined with

$$\epsilon^1 \cdot \hat{n} = \cos \varphi \sin \theta , \quad \epsilon^2 \cdot \hat{n} = \sin \varphi \sin \theta , \quad \hat{k} \cdot \hat{n} = \cos \theta , \quad (24)$$

we can evaluate Eq. (22) as follows,

$$z = z^{(v,v)} + z^{(s,s)} + z^{(s,v)} = \kappa \mathcal{Z} (\cos(2\pi f_* t) - \cos(2\pi f_* t - L(2\pi f_* + \mathbf{k}_* \cdot \hat{n}))) , \quad (25)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z} = & \frac{|\mathcal{A}^{(v)}|^2}{2} \left(\left(1 + \frac{2v \sin \theta}{2 + v^2 + 2v \cos \theta} \right) (\sin^2 \theta (1 + \sin(2\varphi)) - 1) - 1 - \frac{2 + v^2 + 4v \cos \theta}{v^2(2 + v^2 + 2v \cos \theta)} \right) \\ & + \frac{(\mathcal{A}^{(s)})^2}{2v^2} \left(1 + \frac{2 + v^2 + 4v \cos \theta}{v^2(2 + v^2 + 2v \cos \theta)} \right) + \frac{\sqrt{2} |\mathcal{A}^{(v)}| \mathcal{A}^{(s)} \sin(2\theta) (\cos \varphi + \sin \varphi)}{v(2 + v^2 + 2v \cos \theta)} . \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Here, we have $|\mathcal{A}^{(v)}| \equiv (\delta^{\lambda\lambda'} \mathcal{A}_\lambda^{(v)} \mathcal{A}_{\lambda'}^{(v)})^{1/2}$, and the velocity is $v \equiv k_*/m$. In the limit $v \rightarrow 0$, we obtain the leading order terms,

$$\mathcal{Z} \approx -\frac{|\mathcal{A}^{(v)}|^2}{2v^2} + \frac{(\mathcal{A}^{(s)})^2}{v^4} + \frac{|\mathcal{A}^{(v)}| \mathcal{A}^{(s)} \sin(2\theta) (\cos \varphi + \sin \varphi)}{\sqrt{2}v} . \quad (27)$$

Following the approaches used in Ref. [15], the timing residuals of a pulsar can be computed by using $R = \int_0^t z dt$ and ignoring the non-oscillatory parts, namely,

$$R = \mathcal{R} \cos \left(2\pi f_* t - \frac{L}{2} (2\pi f_* + 2\mathbf{k}_* \cdot \hat{n}) \right) = \mathcal{R} \cos(2\pi f_* t - \pi f_* L(1 + 2\alpha \cos \theta)) , \quad (28)$$

where $\alpha \equiv k_*/(2\pi f_*)$, and the timing residual amplitude is

$$\mathcal{R} = \frac{\kappa \mathcal{Z}}{\pi f_*} \sin(\pi f_* L(2\alpha \cos \theta - 1)) . \quad (29)$$

In the limit $v \rightarrow 0$, the directional dependence of \mathcal{R} is nearly identical to that of scalar dark matter [38]. As known that the dark matter velocity is approximately $v \approx 10^{-3}$, the vector and scalar dark matter might be indistinguishable based on the timing residual amplitude, in PTA observations.

Because the z is derived from all the types of metric perturbations, the timing residual amplitude \mathcal{R} inevitably depends on the polarization vector ϵ^λ . Namely, the \mathcal{R} in Eq. (29) is shown to be a function of polarization angle φ . We show the directional dependence of \mathcal{R} for selected φ in Figure 1. We study the timing residual amplitude originating from $z^{(v,v)}$, $z^{(s,s)}$, and $z^{(s,v)}$, denoted as $\mathcal{R}^{(v,v)}$, $\mathcal{R}^{(s,s)}$ and $\mathcal{R}^{(s,v)}$, respectively. The polarization angle does not change the $\mathcal{R}^{(s,s)}$, and does not affect the shape of directional dependence of the $\mathcal{R}^{(v,v)}$ and $\mathcal{R}^{(s,v)}$. Figure 2 shows the directional dependence of \mathcal{R} for given v and fL . The $\mathcal{R}^{(s,s)}$ dominates the timing residual amplitude \mathcal{R} , as decreasing v . Additionally, the directional dependence of \mathcal{R} for velocity $v = 0.001$ is shown




Figure 1: Timing residual amplitude $\kappa^{-1}\pi f_* |\mathcal{R}|$ as function of $\theta (\equiv \arccos(\hat{k} \cdot \hat{n}))$ with fixed v and fL in polar coordinate. Left panel: we show $\mathcal{R}^{(v,v)}$, $\mathcal{R}^{(s,s)}$, and $\mathcal{R}^{(s,v)}$, respectively. The $\mathcal{R}^{(v,v)}$ and $\mathcal{R}^{(s,s)}$ with varying φ are presented in middle and right panels, respectively.




Figure 2: Timing residual amplitude $\kappa^{-1}\pi f_* |\mathcal{R}|$ as function of θ for selected v and fL in polar coordinate.

in Figure 3. The shapes of $\mathcal{R}^{(v,v)}$ and $\mathcal{R}^{(s,s)}$ are shown to be identical. Besides, it is noticed that the directional dependence of the timing residuals are also the same as that of scalar dark matter [38]. In this sense, the directional dependence of timing residuals can not be used to distinguish the scalar and vector dark matter.




Figure 3: Timing residual amplitude as function of θ with practical values of ν and fL in polar coordinate.

B. Angular correlation

Besides the ultralight vector dark matter A^μ being a potentially deterministic source formulated in Eq. (21), it could also have a stochastic nature [33, 41]. In this context, we set $A_j^{(v)}$ and $A^{(s)}$ both to be stationary, isotropic and Gaussian stochastic variables, and let $A_j^{(v)}$ to be unpolarized. The statistical properties of the vector field can be described by the two-point correlations, namely,

$$\langle A_{c,\mathbf{k}'}^{(v)} A_{d,\mathbf{k}}^{(v)} \rangle = \sum_{\lambda} (2\pi)^3 \delta^3(\mathbf{k}' + \mathbf{k}) \epsilon_c^\lambda \epsilon_d^\lambda P^{(v)}(k) = (2\pi)^3 \delta^3(\mathbf{k}' + \mathbf{k}) \mathcal{T}_{cd}(\hat{k}) P^{(v)}(k), \quad (30a)$$

$$\langle A_{\mathbf{k}'}^{(s)} A_{d,\mathbf{k}}^{(v)} \rangle = 0, \quad (30b)$$

$$\langle A_{\mathbf{k}'}^{(s)} A_{d,\mathbf{k}}^{(s)} \rangle = (2\pi)^3 \delta^3(\mathbf{k}' + \mathbf{k}) P^{(s)}(k), \quad (30c)$$

where $A_{j,\mathbf{k}}^{(v)} = \epsilon_j^\lambda A_{j,\mathbf{k}}^\lambda$, and $\mathcal{T}_{cd} \equiv \delta_{cd} - \hat{k}_c \hat{k}_d = \sum_{\lambda} \epsilon_c^\lambda \epsilon_d^\lambda$ is transverse operator. Eqs. (30a) and (30b) indicate that the different components of the vector field are not correlated.

By making use of Eqs. (19) and (30), the two-point correlation of z can be obtained in the form of

$$\langle z_A z_B \rangle = \langle z_A^{(v,v)} z_B^{(v,v)} \rangle + \langle z_A^{(s,s)} z_B^{(s,s)} \rangle + \langle z_A^{(s,v)} z_B^{(s,v)} \rangle + 0, \quad (31)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \langle z_A^{(v,v)} z_B^{(v,v)} \rangle &= \kappa^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} df \int d\Omega \int \frac{k^2 dk d^3 p}{(2\pi)^6} \left\{ \delta \left(f - \frac{w}{2\pi} \right) \mathcal{T}_{ca}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}) \mathcal{T}_{db}(\mathbf{p}) \right. \\ &\quad \times \mathcal{I}^{(v,v),cd}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}, \hat{n}_A) \left(\mathcal{I}^{(v,v),ab}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}, \hat{n}_B) + \mathcal{I}^{(v,v),ba}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}, \hat{n}_B) \right) \\ &\quad \left. \times P^{(v)}(|\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}|) P^{(v)}(p) \left(1 - e^{iL_A(2\pi f + \mathbf{k} \cdot \hat{n}_A)} \right) \left(1 - e^{-iL_B(2\pi f + \mathbf{k} \cdot \hat{n}_B)} \right) \right\}, \quad (32a) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle z_A^{(s,s)} z_B^{(s,s)} \rangle &= \kappa^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} df \int d\Omega \int \frac{k^2 dk d^3 p}{(2\pi)^6} \left\{ \delta \left(f - \frac{w}{2\pi} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \times \mathcal{I}^{(s,s)}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}, \hat{n}_A) \left(\mathcal{I}^{(s,s)}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}, \hat{n}_B) + \mathcal{I}^{(s,s)}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}, \hat{n}_B) \right) \\ &\quad \left. \times P^{(s)}(|\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}|) P^{(s)}(p) \left(1 - e^{iL_A(2\pi f + \mathbf{k} \cdot \hat{n}_A)} \right) \left(1 - e^{-iL_B(2\pi f + \mathbf{k} \cdot \hat{n}_B)} \right) \right\}, \quad (32b) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle z_A^{(s,v)} z_B^{(s,v)} \rangle &= \kappa^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} df \int d\Omega \int \frac{k^2 dk d^3 p}{(2\pi)^6} \left\{ \delta \left(f - \frac{w}{2\pi} \right) \mathcal{T}_{cd}(\mathbf{p}) \right. \\ &\quad \times \mathcal{I}^{(s,v),d}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}, \hat{n}_A) \mathcal{I}^{(s,v),c}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}, \hat{n}_B) \\ &\quad \left. \times P^{(s)}(|\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}|) P^{(v)}(p) \left(1 - e^{iL_A(2\pi f + \mathbf{k} \cdot \hat{n}_A)} \right) \left(1 - e^{-iL_B(2\pi f + \mathbf{k} \cdot \hat{n}_B)} \right) \right\}. \quad (32c) \end{aligned}$$

According to Eq. (30b), the cross terms of the correlations should vanish, i.e., $\langle z_A^{(v,v)} z_B^{(s,s)} \rangle = \langle z_A^{(v,v)} z_B^{(s,v)} \rangle = \langle z_A^{(s,s)} z_B^{(s,v)} \rangle = 0$. Evaluating the volume element $d^3 p$ as $dp d|\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}| d\varphi$, and adopting the dimensionless power spectrum $\mathcal{P}(k) = (k^3/(2\pi^2))P(k)$, Eqs. (32) can reduce to the form of

$$\begin{aligned} \langle z_A^{(I,J)} z_B^{(I,J)} \rangle &= \frac{\kappa^2}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} df \int \frac{d\Omega}{4\pi} \int_0^{\infty} dk \int_0^{\infty} dp \int_{|k-p|}^{k+p} d|\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}| \left\{ \frac{k}{|\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}|^2 p^2} \delta \left(f - \frac{w}{2\pi} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \times \mathcal{P}^{(I)}(|\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}|) \mathcal{P}^{(J)}(p) (1 - e^{iL_A(2\pi f + k(\hat{k} \cdot \hat{n}_A))}) (1 - e^{-iL_B(2\pi f + k(\hat{k} \cdot \hat{n}_B))}) \\ &\quad \left. \times \int \frac{d\varphi}{2\pi} \mathcal{J}^{(I,J)}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}, \varphi; \hat{n}_A, \hat{n}_B) \right\}, \quad (33) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \int \frac{d\varphi}{2\pi} \mathcal{J}^{(v,v)} &\equiv \int \frac{d\varphi}{2\pi} \left\{ \mathcal{T}_{ca}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}) \mathcal{T}_{db}(\mathbf{p}) \mathcal{I}^{(v,v),cd}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}, \hat{n}_A) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \left(\mathcal{I}^{(v,v),ab}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}, \hat{n}_B) + \mathcal{I}^{(v,v),ba}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}, \hat{n}_B) \right) \right\}, \quad (34a) \end{aligned}$$

$$\int \frac{d\varphi}{2\pi} \mathcal{J}^{(s,s)} \equiv \int \frac{d\varphi}{2\pi} \left\{ \mathcal{I}^{(s,s)}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}, \hat{n}_A) \left(\mathcal{I}^{(s,s)}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}, \hat{n}_B) + \mathcal{I}^{(s,s)}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}, \hat{n}_B) \right) \right\}, \quad (34b)$$

$$\int \frac{d\varphi}{2\pi} \mathcal{J}^{(s,v)} \equiv \int \frac{d\varphi}{2\pi} \left\{ \mathcal{T}_{cd}(\mathbf{p}) \mathcal{I}^{(s,v),d}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}, \hat{n}_A) \mathcal{I}^{(s,v),c}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}, \hat{n}_B) \right\}. \quad (34c)$$

Eqs. (34) can be formally calculated by making use of the formulae presented in Appendix A. The results indicate that the right-hand side of Eqs. (34) depends only on k , p , $\mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}$, $\hat{k} \cdot \hat{n}$ and k/m .

The oscillating ultralight dark matter is expected to be monochromatic, because metric perturbations are induced by its coherent oscillation [15]. In PTA observation, it was reported by NANOGrav collaborations that there is an indication of monopolar signal near 4 nHz frequency [11]. Therefore, we consider the monochromatic power spectrum in the form of

$$\mathcal{P}^{(s)}(k) = \mathcal{A}^{(s)} k_* \delta(k - k_*) , \quad \mathcal{P}^{(v)}(k) = \mathcal{A}^{(v)} k_* \delta(k - k_*) . \quad (35)$$

Using above power spectra, the two-point correlations in Eqs. (32) can be obtained, namely,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle z_A^{(I,J)} z_B^{(I,J)} \rangle &= \frac{\kappa^2}{2} \mathcal{A}^{(I)} \mathcal{A}^{(J)} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} df \int \frac{d\Omega}{4\pi} \int_{k_{\text{Gal}}/k_*}^2 d\tilde{k} \left\{ \tilde{k} \delta \left(f - \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(2m + \frac{k_*^2}{m} \right) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \times (1 - e^{2\pi i f L_A (1 + \alpha \tilde{k} (\hat{k} \cdot \hat{n}_A))}) (1 - e^{-2\pi i f L_B (1 + \alpha \tilde{k} (\hat{k} \cdot \hat{n}_B))}) \\ &\quad \times \left. \left(\int \frac{d\varphi}{2\pi} \mathcal{J}^{(I,J)}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}, \varphi; \hat{n}_A, \hat{n}_B) \right) \Big|_{|\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{p}|=p=k_*} \right\} \\ &= \frac{\kappa^2}{2} \mathcal{A}^{(I)} \mathcal{A}^{(J)} \int \frac{d\Omega}{4\pi} \int_0^2 d\tilde{k} \left\{ \tilde{k} (1 - e^{2\pi i f L_A (1 + \alpha \tilde{k} (\hat{k} \cdot \hat{n}_A))}) (1 - e^{-2\pi i f L_B (1 + \alpha \tilde{k} (\hat{k} \cdot \hat{n}_B))}) \right. \\ &\quad \times \left. \left(\int \frac{d\varphi}{2\pi} \mathcal{J}^{(I,J)}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}, \varphi; \hat{n}_A, \hat{n}_B) \right) \Big|_{|\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{p}|=p=k_*} \right\} . \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

where k_{Gal} is the scale of our galaxy, $\tilde{k} \equiv k/k_*$, $\alpha \equiv k_*/2\pi f = ((2m/k_*) + (k_*/m))^{-1}$, the terms in Eqs. (34) can be simplified as

$$\begin{aligned} v^4 \int \frac{d\varphi}{2\pi} \mathcal{J}^{(v,v)} \Big|_{|\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{p}|=p=k_*} &= \frac{1}{2\tilde{k}^4} C_1 (80 - 16\tilde{k}^2 + \tilde{k}^4) \\ &\quad - \frac{v^2}{2\tilde{k}^2} C_3 (-12 + \tilde{k}^2) + \frac{3v^2}{2\tilde{k}^4} C_1 (-12 + \tilde{k}^2) (-4 + \tilde{k}^2) \\ &\quad + \frac{2v^2}{\tilde{k}^2} C_2 (\tilde{k}^2 - 4) (\hat{k} \cdot \hat{n}_A \hat{k} \cdot \hat{n}_B - \hat{n}_A \cdot \hat{n}_B) + v^4 \\ &\quad - \frac{3v^4}{2\tilde{k}^2} C_3 (\tilde{k}^2 - 4) + \frac{v^4}{4\tilde{k}^4} C_1 (9(-4 + \tilde{k}^2)^2 \\ &\quad + \tilde{k}^6 (-1 + \hat{k} \cdot \hat{n}_B^2 + \hat{k} \cdot \hat{n}_A^2 (1 + \hat{k} \cdot \hat{n}_B^2) \\ &\quad - 4\hat{k} \cdot \hat{n}_A \hat{k} \cdot \hat{n}_B \hat{n}_A \cdot \hat{n}_B + 2\hat{n}_A \cdot \hat{n}_B^2) v^4) + \mathcal{O}(v^6) , \end{aligned} \quad (37a)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
v^8 \int \frac{d\varphi}{2\pi} \mathcal{J}^{(s,s)} \Big|_{|\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{p}|=p=k_*} &= \frac{1}{2\tilde{k}^4} C_1 (4 + \tilde{k}^2)^2 \\
&\quad - \frac{v^2}{2\tilde{k}^2} C_3 (4 + \tilde{k}^2) + \frac{1}{2} C_1 (4 - \tilde{k}^2) (4 + \tilde{k}^2) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} v^4 + \frac{v^4}{8\tilde{k}^4} C_1 (-4 + \tilde{k}^2)^2 + \frac{v^4}{8\tilde{k}^4} C_3 (8\tilde{k}^2 - 2\tilde{k}^4) \\
&\quad + \mathcal{O}(v^6), \tag{37b}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
v^6 \int \frac{d\varphi}{2\pi} \mathcal{J}^{(s,v)} \Big|_{|\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{p}|=p=k_*} &= -\frac{1}{\tilde{k}^2} (1 + v^2) C_1 (-4 + \tilde{k}^2) \\
&\quad - \frac{2v^2}{\tilde{k}^2} C_2 (4 - 3\tilde{k}^2 + \tilde{k}^4) (\hat{k} \cdot \hat{n}_A \hat{k} \cdot \hat{n}_B - \hat{n}_A \cdot \hat{n}_B) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{4} C_1 (4 - \tilde{k}^2) (-1 + \hat{k} \cdot \hat{n}_B^2 + \hat{k} \cdot \hat{n}_A^2 (1 + \hat{k} \cdot \hat{n}_B^2)) \\
&\quad - 4\hat{k} \cdot \hat{n}_A \hat{k} \cdot \hat{n}_B \hat{n}_A \cdot \hat{n}_B + 2\hat{n}_A \cdot \hat{n}_B^2 v^4 + \mathcal{O}(v^6). \tag{37c}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$C_1 \equiv \left(1 + \alpha \tilde{k} \left(\frac{\hat{k} \cdot \hat{n}_A}{1 + \hat{k} \cdot \hat{n}_A} \right) \right) \left(1 + \alpha \tilde{k} \left(\frac{\hat{k} \cdot \hat{n}_B}{1 + \hat{k} \cdot \hat{n}_B} \right) \right). \tag{38}$$

$$C_2 \equiv \left(2 + \alpha \tilde{k} \left(\frac{\hat{k} \cdot \hat{n}_A}{1 + \hat{k} \cdot \hat{n}_A} + \frac{\hat{k} \cdot \hat{n}_B}{1 + \hat{k} \cdot \hat{n}_B} \right) \right), \tag{39}$$

$$C_3 \equiv \left(\frac{\hat{k} \cdot \hat{n}_A}{1 + \hat{k} \cdot \hat{n}_A} \right) \left(\frac{\hat{k} \cdot \hat{n}_B}{1 + \hat{k} \cdot \hat{n}_B} \right). \tag{40}$$

In the limit $v \equiv k_*/m \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$v^4 \int \frac{d\varphi}{2\pi} \mathcal{J}^{(v,v)} \Big|_{|\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{p}|=p=k_*} = \frac{80 - 16\tilde{k}^2 + \tilde{k}^4}{2\tilde{k}^4}, \tag{41a}$$

$$v^8 \int \frac{d\varphi}{2\pi} \mathcal{J}^{(s,s)} \Big|_{|\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{p}|=p=k_*} = \frac{(4 + \tilde{k}^2)^2}{2\tilde{k}^4}, \tag{41b}$$

$$v^6 \int \frac{d\varphi}{2\pi} \mathcal{J}^{(s,v)} \Big|_{|\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{p}|=p=k_*} = \frac{(4 - \tilde{k}^2)}{\tilde{k}^2}. \tag{41c}$$

and $(1 - e^{2\pi i f L_A (1 + \alpha \tilde{k} (\hat{k} \cdot \hat{n}_A))}) (1 - e^{-2\pi i f L_B (1 + \alpha \tilde{k} (\hat{k} \cdot \hat{n}_B))}) \rightarrow (1 - e^{2\pi i f L_A}) (1 - e^{-2\pi i f L_B})$. In this case, the angular correlation would be independent of θ_{AB} . However, in PTA observation, it is expected that the ultralight vector dark matter oscillates in PTA frequency band, $f \approx 2m \simeq 1\text{ nHz}$, $fL \simeq 100\text{--}1000$, and dark matter velocity in our galaxy is $v \simeq 10^{-3}$. Hence, it leads to $2\pi f L \alpha \simeq \mathcal{O}(1)$, suggesting that angular correlation is not a constant with respect to θ_{AB} . Additionally, we have $k_{\text{Gal}}/k_* \simeq 0.7$, indicating existence of a finite-size infrared cutoff in Eq. (36). Since the two-point correlations in Eqs. (36) are shown to be monochromatic, the angular correlation can be quantified




Figure 4: Angular correlations $v^4\Gamma^{(v,v)}$, $v^8\Gamma^{(s,s)}$, and $v^6\Gamma^{(s,v)}$ for selected fL_A , fL_B and v .

by introducing $\Gamma^{(I,J)}(f = k_*/(2\pi\alpha), \theta_{AB}) \equiv \langle z_A^{(I,J)} z_B^{(I,J)} \rangle / (\kappa \mathcal{A}^{(I)} \mathcal{A}^{(J)})$. It is non-vanishing, only if $f = k_*/(2\pi\alpha)$.

Figure 4 shows the angular correlations for selected fL_A , fL_B and v . In the case of $2\pi fL\alpha \gtrsim 1$, the $\Gamma^{(I,J)}$ tends to be a positive constant, while in the case of $2\pi fL\alpha \lesssim 1$, the angular correlation could be negative. We also plot the angular correlations with practical values of v and fL in Figure 5. By normalizing $\Gamma^{(I,J)}(\theta_{AB} = 0)$, one might find that angular correlation curves have nearly identical shapes for different I and J in the regime of $2\pi fL\alpha \lesssim 1$. In this case, the generation mechanism of metric perturbations, as described by Eqs. (34), is not important. The angular correlation can be approximated by letting $\int \frac{d\varphi}{2\pi} \mathcal{J}^{(I,J)} = \text{const.}$. Only in the regime of $2\pi fL\alpha \gtrsim 1$, indicating that the pulsar pairs are distant from the earth, can the different type of $\Gamma^{(I,J)}$ be distinguishable. In Figure 6, we present the angular correlation considering small variations in the distance δL . The angular correlation is shown to sensitive to the δL . We further show the $\Gamma^{(I,J)}(\theta_{AB} = 0)$ as function of fL_A and fL_B in Figure 7. There is a notable peak at $fL_A = fL_B \approx 1500$.




Figure 5: Normalized angular correlations $v^4\Gamma^{(v,v)}$, $v^8\Gamma^{(s,s)}$, and $v^6\Gamma^{(s,v)}$ for practical values of v and fL . The dotted curved is obtained with letting $\int \frac{d\varphi}{2\pi} \mathcal{J}^{(I,J)} = \text{const.}$ in Eq. (36).




Figure 6: Normalized angular correlation $\Gamma^{(v,v)}$ with small variation of the distance δL_B for selected v and fL .

IV. DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

This paper investigates pulsar timing influenced by ultralight vector dark matter in our galaxy, based on the pure gravitational effect of the vector field. We focus on the finite velocity v for ultralight vector dark matter in PTAs. Additional missing effect was found: the leading-order shift in




Figure 7: Angular correlation at $\theta_{AB} = 0$ as function of fL_A and fL_B with $v = 0.001$. We show the $v^4\Gamma^{(v,v)}$, $v^8\Gamma^{(s,s)}$, and $v^6\Gamma^{(s,v)}$ in left, middle and right panel, respectively.

pulsar timing is proportional to negative slope of v . Consequently, one can not distinguish between ultralight vector dark matter and ultralight scalar dark matter with the timing residual amplitude. Assuming the existence of stochastic vector dark matter in our galaxy, the corresponding angular correlation curve in PTAs is found to be similar to that of scalar dark matter [38]. These angular correlations can be distinguishable, only when the pulsar pairs are far away from the earth.

We calculated the angular correlation curves with fixed fL_A and fL_B . This is purely a theoretical result. In practice, PTAs consist of a set of pulsars at various distances from the earth. Above standard approach for calculating the angular correlation curve is robust [9, 40], when the curve is insensitive to distance [42]. However, we showed that the angular correlation curves from oscillating dark matter are sensitive to small variations of distances of the pulsar pairs. It suggests that, to study the angular correlation from ultralight dark matter, an alternative approach should be developed.

We used helicity decomposition to evaluate the Einstein field equations and introduced different types of metric perturbations (also see Refs. [38, 39, 43]). This approach differs from previous studies that adopted the local frame [16, 17, 30]. As noted by Ashtekar and Bonga, the both approaches are used to introduce transverse-traceless modes, but ‘conceptually distinct and the difference persists even in the asymptotic region’ [44]. Therefore, it is expected that distinct approaches might lead to different physical effects also in PTA observations, and motivated to be addressed in future studies.

Acknowledgments. This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under grant No. 12305073.

Appendix A: Azimuthal angle integration for the multipole momentum \mathbf{p}

In Cartesian coordinate, the momentum can be expressed in the form of

$$p^i = p(\sin \varphi \sin \theta \hat{x} + \cos \varphi \sin \theta \hat{y} + \cos \theta \hat{z}) . \quad (\text{A1})$$

By making use of above p^i , we obtain

$$\int \frac{d\varphi}{2\pi} p^i = p \cos \theta \hat{z}^i, \quad (\text{A2a})$$

$$\int \frac{d\varphi}{2\pi} p^i p^j = \frac{1}{2} p^2 \sin^2 \theta \delta^{ij} + \frac{1}{2} p^2 (3 \cos^2 \theta - 1) \hat{z}^i \hat{z}^j \quad (\text{A2b})$$

$$\int \frac{d\varphi}{2\pi} p^i p^j p^l = \frac{1}{2} p^3 \cos \theta \sin^2 \theta (\hat{z}^i \delta^{jl} + \hat{z}^j \delta^{li} + \hat{z}^l \delta^{ij}) + \frac{1}{2} p^3 \cos \theta (5 \cos^2 \theta - 3) \hat{z}^i \hat{z}^j \hat{z}^l, \quad (\text{A2c})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int \frac{d\varphi}{2\pi} p^i p^j p^l p^m &= \frac{1}{8} p^4 \sin^4 \theta (\delta^{ij} \delta^{lm} + \delta^{il} \delta^{jm} + \delta^{im} \delta^{lj}) + \frac{1}{8} p^4 (35 \cos^4 \theta - 30 \cos^2 \theta + 3) \hat{z}^i \hat{z}^j \hat{z}^l \hat{z}^m \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{8} p^4 \sin^2 \theta (5 \cos^2 \theta - 1) (\hat{z}^i \hat{z}^j \delta^{lm} + \hat{z}^i \hat{z}^l \delta^{jm} + \hat{z}^i \hat{z}^m \delta^{jl} \\ &\quad + \hat{z}^j \hat{z}^l \delta^{im} + \hat{z}^j \hat{z}^m \delta^{il} + \hat{z}^l \hat{z}^m \delta^{ij}). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A2d})$$

By making use of Eqs (A2), we can calculate the integration over φ in Eqs. (34) with $\hat{z} \equiv \hat{k}$ and $\theta \equiv \arccos(\hat{k} \cdot \mathbf{p}/p) = \arccos((k^2 + p^2 - |\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{p}|^2)/(2kp))$.

-
- [1] H. Xu *et al.*, *Res. Astron. Astrophys.* **23**, 075024 (2023), arXiv:2306.16216 [astro-ph.HE].
 - [2] A. Zic *et al.*, *Publ. Astron. Soc. Austral.* **40**, e049 (2023), arXiv:2306.16230 [astro-ph.HE].
 - [3] J. Antoniadis *et al.* (EPTA, InPTA), *Astron. Astrophys.* **678**, A49 (2023), arXiv:2306.16225 [astro-ph.HE].
 - [4] J. Antoniadis *et al.* (EPTA, InPTA:), *Astron. Astrophys.* **678**, A50 (2023), arXiv:2306.16214 [astro-ph.HE].
 - [5] D. J. Reardon *et al.*, *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **951**, L6 (2023), arXiv:2306.16215 [astro-ph.HE].
 - [6] G. Agazie *et al.* (NANOGrav), *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **951**, L8 (2023), arXiv:2306.16213 [astro-ph.HE].
 - [7] G. Agazie *et al.* (NANOGrav), *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **956**, L3 (2023), arXiv:2306.16221 [astro-ph.HE].
 - [8] S. L. Detweiler, *Astrophys. J.* **234**, 1100 (1979).
 - [9] R. w. Hellings and G. s. Downs, *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **265**, L39 (1983).
 - [10] J. Antoniadis *et al.* (EPTA), (2023), arXiv:2306.16227 [astro-ph.CO].
 - [11] A. Afzal *et al.* (NANOGrav), *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **951**, L11 (2023), arXiv:2306.16219 [astro-ph.HE].
 - [12] G. Agazie *et al.* (NANOGrav), *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **952**, L37 (2023), arXiv:2306.16220 [astro-ph.HE].
 - [13] G. Agazie *et al.* (NANOGrav), *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **951**, L50 (2023), arXiv:2306.16222 [astro-ph.HE].
 - [14] W. Hu, R. Barkana, and A. Gruzinov, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 1158 (2000), arXiv:astro-ph/0003365.
 - [15] A. Khmelnitsky and V. Rubakov, *JCAP* **02**, 019 (2014), arXiv:1309.5888 [astro-ph.CO].
 - [16] K. Nomura, A. Ito, and J. Soda, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80**, 419 (2020), arXiv:1912.10210 [gr-qc].
 - [17] Y.-M. Wu, Z.-C. Chen, and Q.-G. Huang, *JCAP* **09**, 021 (2023), arXiv:2305.08091 [hep-ph].
 - [18] C. Smarra *et al.* (European Pulsar Timing Array), *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **131**, 171001 (2023), arXiv:2306.16228 [astro-ph.HE].

- [19] Y. Sofue and V. Rubin, *Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.* **39**, 137 (2001), [arXiv:astro-ph/0010594](#).
- [20] G. Hinshaw *et al.* (WMAP), *Astrophys. J. Suppl.* **208**, 19 (2013), [arXiv:1212.5226 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [21] N. Aghanim *et al.* (Planck), *Astron. Astrophys.* **641**, A6 (2020), [Erratum: *Astron. Astrophys.* 652, C4 (2021)], [arXiv:1807.06209 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [22] D. H. Weinberg, J. S. Bullock, F. Governato, R. Kuzio de Naray, and A. H. G. Peter, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* **112**, 12249 (2015), [arXiv:1306.0913 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [23] E. G. M. Ferreira, *Astron. Astrophys. Rev.* **29**, 7 (2021), [arXiv:2005.03254 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [24] N. K. Porayko and K. A. Postnov, *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, 062008 (2014), [arXiv:1408.4670 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [25] N. K. Porayko *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. D* **98**, 102002 (2018), [arXiv:1810.03227 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [26] R. Kato and J. Soda, *JCAP* **09**, 036 (2020), [arXiv:1904.09143 \[astro-ph.HE\]](#).
- [27] D. E. Kaplan, A. Mitridate, and T. Trickle, *Phys. Rev. D* **106**, 035032 (2022), [arXiv:2205.06817 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [28] S. Sun, X.-Y. Yang, and Y.-L. Zhang, *Phys. Rev. D* **106**, 066006 (2022), [arXiv:2112.15593 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [29] C. Unal, F. R. Urban, and E. D. Kovetz, (2022), [arXiv:2209.02741 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [30] H. Omiya, K. Nomura, and J. Soda, *Phys. Rev. D* **108**, 104006 (2023), [arXiv:2307.12624 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [31] R.-G. Cai, J.-R. Zhang, and Y.-L. Zhang, (2024), [arXiv:2402.03984 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [32] J. M. Armaleo, D. López Nacir, and F. R. Urban, *JCAP* **09**, 031 (2020), [arXiv:2005.03731 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [33] H. Kim and A. Mitridate, *Phys. Rev. D* **109**, 055017 (2024), [arXiv:2312.12225 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [34] A. Aoki and J. Soda, *Phys. Rev. D* **93**, 083503 (2016), [arXiv:1601.03904 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [35] Y.-M. Wu, Z.-C. Chen, Q.-G. Huang, X. Zhu, N. D. R. Bhat, Y. Feng, G. Hobbs, R. N. Manchester, C. J. Russell, and R. M. Shannon (PPTA), *Phys. Rev. D* **106**, L081101 (2022), [arXiv:2210.03880 \[astro-ph.CO\]](#).
- [36] P. W. Graham, D. E. Kaplan, J. Mardon, S. Rajendran, and W. A. Terrano, *Phys. Rev. D* **93**, 075029 (2016), [arXiv:1512.06165 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [37] X. Xue *et al.* (PPTA), *Phys. Rev. Res.* **4**, L012022 (2022), [arXiv:2112.07687 \[hep-ph\]](#).
- [38] Q.-H. Zhu, (2024), [arXiv:2404.02646 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [39] Q.-H. Zhu, *Phys. Rev. D* **107**, 103519 (2023), [arXiv:2301.00311 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [40] M. Maggiore, *Gravitational Waves. Vol. 2: Astrophysics and Cosmology* (Oxford University Press, 2018).
- [41] H. N. Luu, T. Liu, J. Ren, T. Broadhurst, R. Yang, J.-S. Wang, and Z. Xie, *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **963**, L46 (2024), [arXiv:2304.04735 \[astro-ph.HE\]](#).
- [42] S. J. Chamberlin and X. Siemens, *Phys. Rev. D* **85**, 082001 (2012), [arXiv:1111.5661 \[astro-ph.HE\]](#).
- [43] Q.-H. Zhu, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **84**, 2 (2024), [arXiv:2207.01836 \[gr-qc\]](#).
- [44] A. Ashtekar and B. Bonga, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **49**, 122 (2017), [arXiv:1707.09914 \[gr-qc\]](#).