T-duality on Almost Hermitian Spaces

Tetsuji Kimura*, Shin Sasaki[†], Kenta Shiozawa[‡]

* Department of Information and Computer Science, Osaka Institute of Technology Hirakata, Osaka 573-0196, JAPAN tetsuji.kimura _at_ oit.ac.jp

> † Department of Physics, Kitasato University Sagamihara, Kanagawa 252-0373, JAPAN shin-s _at_ kitasato-u.ac.jp

[‡] College of Liberal Arts and Sciences, Kitasato University Sagamihara, Kanagawa 252-0373, JAPAN shiozawa.kenta _at_ kitasato-u.ac.jp

Abstract

We investigate T-duality transformation on an almost bi-hermitian space with torsion. By virtue of the Buscher rule, we completely describe not only the covariant derivative of geometrical objects but also the Nijenhuis tensor. We apply this description to string sigma model whose target space is a T-dualized system from an integrable bi-hermitian space with isometry. We find that hermiticity is not a sufficient condition to preserve integrability under T-duality transformations. However, in the presence of the Kähler condition, the T-dualized almost complex structures keep integrable even though they become no longer covariantly constant. We also observe that the form of H-flux is suitable for string compactification scenarios.

Contents

1	Introduction	2			
2	Conventions	4			
	2.1 Geometrical objects on almost bi-hermitian space	5			
	2.2 T-duality transformation rule	7			
	2.3 Almost bi-hypercomplex space	8			
3	Full description	8			
	3.1 Metricity condition	8			
	3.2 Covariant derivative of almost complex structures	9			
	3.3 Covariant derivative of fundamental two-forms	9			
	3.4 Nijenhuis tensors	10			
	3.5 <i>C</i> -tensors	11			
4	Integrability after T-duality	12			
	4.1 Bi-hermitian with isometry in the presence of torsion	12			
	4.2 Hermitian with isometry in the absence of torsion	13			
	4.3 Kähler with isometry	15			
	4.4 Examples of Kähler with isometry	17			
	4.4.1 Complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^2$ and its T-duality	17			
	4.4.2 Taub-NUT and its T-duality	21			
5	Conclusion and discussions	25			
A	A T-duality transformation of affine connection				
В	Existing worldsheet supersymmetry				
\mathbf{R}_{0}	Leferences	30			

1 Introduction

String theory is regarded as one of the most promising candidates which describes classical and quantum dynamics of spacetime geometry. In particular, string sigma model with worldsheet supersymmetry restricts several strong conditions on its own target space. It is believed that such conditions are preserved under various string dualities. S- and U-duality are difficult to analyze spacetime geometry directly because they are non-perturbatively treated. However, T-duality, defined perturbatively, is suitable for investigation of geometrical features both in the sigma model viewpoint and target space viewpoint.

Under T-duality, two quantum numbers such as the Kaluza-Klein (KK) momentum number and winding number around a compactified spacetime are exchanged, whilst the string mass spectra are

identical. The Fourier transformation of the KK momentum describes the compactified geometry as gravitational behavior. On the other hand, the "Fourier transformation" of the winding momentum expresses B-field dynamics on the same compactified spacetime. From the T-duality aspect, this viewpoint seems asymmetric. The winding momentum should also be interpreted as a part of spacetime itself, because the role of the KK and winding momenta are identical under T-duality. In conventional analyses, the B-field B, coming from the winding modes, is one of the dynamical fields propagating through spacetime, whereas the metric field g, coming from the KK modes, describes the dynamics of the spacetime geometry itself. These two fields and the dilaton field ϕ are consolidated into the NS-NS sector of string theory. In some advanced frameworks, they describe the considering spacetime much more formally. Generalized geometry (GG) [1, 2] and double field theory (DFT) [3, 4] are two of the most developed theories. GG and DFT would be quite powerful to understand classical and quantum structure of the spacetime dynamics at a deeper level. Unfortunately, however, they are still a bit abstract when we go back to a conventional gravity theory with matter fields. This is partly because that the geometrical feature of the B-field might not be completely utilized, even though the Buscher rule [5] has been well established.

In this article, we would like to explore the geometrical feature of the B-field through its field-strength (called the *H*-flux, in common) under T-duality. We begin with a geometry with almost complex structure(s) and fundamental two-form(s) as well as metric which is compatible with the almost complex structure(s). This geometry is referred to as an almost (bi-)hermitian space on which the *H*-flux would appear as a contorsion tensor. Imposing certain constraints on the almost (bi-)hermitian space, we find many kinds of useful geometries in string theory [6]; Hermitian, Kähler, Calabi-Yau, Kähler with torsion, and so forth. Analogously, hyper-Kähler, K3, Taub-NUT, Eguchi-Hanson, hyper-Kähler with torsion, etc. are realized by some restrictions on an almost (bi-)hypercomplex space. Performing the T-duality transformation on these spaces, we discuss universal property of the contorsion tensor (and of the B-field itself) more directly.

Here we enumerate various geometrical interests in string theory. We perform the T-duality transformation on a space with almost complex structures. It is well known that the existence of worldsheet supersymmetry strongly restricts the property of the target space geometry [7, 8]. For instance, invariance of the worldsheet supersymmetry of the string sigma model provides covariant constancy of the almost complex structures on the considering target space. This constancy is preserved under the T-duality transformation^(*1). It is interesting to understand how the restriction of the target space geometry is relaxed when the worldsheet supersymmetry does not exist in the sigma model. This investigation will be useful when heterotic or bosonic string theory is considered. In order for that, we should analyze the T-duality transformation rule of various geometrical objects in a concrete manner. More generally, we must understand whether integrability of the almost

^(*1)T-duality from a rotational Killing symmetry prevents the invariance of worldsheet extended supersymmetry [9, 10, 11].

complex structures is preserved or broken under T-duality much more carefully. As an example, an exotic 5_2^2 -brane background geometry is derived from the Taub-NUT space by T-duality along infinitely arrayed Taub-NUT cores [12]. In this case the SU(2) R-symmetry on the background geometry seems to disappear. We have to investigate existence and integrability of the almost complex structures on this exotic background.

This article is organized as follows: In Section 2, we write down the conventions in this article. We first prepare the metric g_{mn} , almost complex structure $J^{m}{}_{n}$, fundamental two-form ω_{mn} , and their covariant derivatives with respect to the affine connection with a contorsion tensor C_{mn}^{p} . We also define the Nijenhuis tensor N^p_{mn} , which determines integrability of the almost complex structures. Finally we exhibit the T-duality of (g, B, ϕ) and (J, ω) governed by the Buscher rule. In Section 3, we write down the full description of various tensors under the T-duality transformation rule. They are completely general because we do not impose any restrictions on the string worldsheet or its target space. In Section 4, we consider the case that the geometry before T-duality is a general bi-hermitian space with isometry while the worldsheet supersymmetry is not introduced. Instead, we assume integrability of the almost complex structures before T-duality. We find that the integrability condition on a hermitian space without torsion is broken by T-duality. On the contrary, however, the Kähler condition preserves integrability under the T-duality. We also find a specific relation on the contorsion tensor on the T-dualized Kähler space. Finally, we demonstrate T-duality transformations of the complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^2$ and Taub-NUT space as the typical Kähler geometries with isometry. Section 5 is devoted to the conclusion and discussions. In Appendix A, we exhibit the T-duality transformation of the affine connection derived from the Buscher rule. In Appendix B, we review integrability of the almost complex structures in the presence of worldsheet supersymmetry.

2 Conventions

We consider an even-dimensional almost bi-hermitian space \mathcal{M} with isometry. We always employ adapted local coordinates that make manifest the isometry directions. The local coordinates on \mathcal{M} are expressed by $\{x^m\} \supset \{x^y, x^i\}$. The indices m, n, p, q, r, s, \ldots run the whole directions on \mathcal{M} . y goes along the isometry direction, while i, j, k, l, h, \ldots label the other directions without isometry, respectively. All geometrical objects are assumed to be independent of the x^y -coordinate. Various basics follow from [6].

2.1 Geometrical objects on almost bi-hermitian space

First of all, we prepare geometrical objects on \mathcal{M} . We introduce a metric g_{mn} , two commuting almost complex structures $(J_{\pm})^m{}_n$, and two fundamental two-forms $(\omega_{\pm})_{mn}$. The subscripts \pm are associated with chirality on string worldsheet^(*2). The relation among them are described in such a way that

$$g_{pq}(J_{\pm})^{p}{}_{m}(J_{\pm})^{q}{}_{n} = g_{mn}, \quad (J_{\pm})^{m}{}_{p}(J_{\pm})^{p}{}_{n} = -\delta^{m}_{n},$$
 (2.1a)

$$g_{mn} = (\omega_{\pm})_{mp} (J_{\pm})^{p}_{n}, \quad (J_{\pm})^{m}_{n} = -g^{mp} (\omega_{\pm})_{pn}, \quad (\omega_{\pm})_{mn} = -g_{mp} (J_{\pm})^{p}_{n},$$
 (2.1b)

$$(J_{+})^{m}{}_{p}(J_{-})^{p}{}_{n} - (J_{-})^{m}{}_{p}(J_{+})^{p}{}_{n} = 0.$$
(2.1c)

To investigate geometrical aspects of the space \mathcal{M} , we introduce the Christoffel symbol Γ_{mn}^{p} associated with the metric

$$\Gamma_{mn}^{p} := \frac{1}{2} g^{pq} \left(\partial_{m} g_{qn} + \partial_{n} g_{mq} - \partial_{q} g_{mn} \right) = \Gamma_{nm}^{p}. \tag{2.2}$$

By using this, we define covariant derivatives

$$\nabla_m A^n := \partial_m A^n + \Gamma_{mp}{}^n A^p, \qquad \nabla_m A_n := \partial_m A_n - \Gamma_{mn}{}^p A_p. \tag{2.3}$$

In string theory, there exist many tensors as background fields. In general, a tensor C_{mn}^{p} is regarded as a contorsion tensor and is combined with the Christoffel symbol (2.2) to realize the affine connection

$$\hat{\Gamma}_{mn}^{p} := \Gamma_{mn}^{p} + \xi C_{mn}^{p}. \tag{2.4}$$

Here we introduce a constant ξ . This is arbitrary at this stage. By using the affine connection, we define a torsion tensor by using the contorsion tensor

$$T_{mn}^{p} := 2\hat{\Gamma}_{[mn]}^{p} = \hat{\Gamma}_{mn}^{p} - \hat{\Gamma}_{nm}^{p} = \xi \left(C_{mn}^{p} - C_{nm}^{p} \right) = 2\xi C_{mn}^{p}. \tag{2.5}$$

Now we turn to express the covariant derivatives including the contorsion tensor

$$\hat{\nabla}_m A^n = \partial_m A^n + \hat{\Gamma}_{mp}^n A^p = \nabla_m A^n + \xi C_{mp}^n A^p, \qquad (2.6a)$$

$$\hat{\nabla}_m A_n = \partial_m A_n - \hat{\Gamma}_{mn}{}^p A_p = \nabla_m A_n - \xi C_{mn}{}^p A_p. \tag{2.6b}$$

In this article, we only consider that C_{mn}^p is given by the field-strength of the B-field B_{mn} :

$$C_{mn}^p = H_{mnq} g^{qp}, \quad H_{mnp} := 3\partial_{[m} B_{np]}.$$
 (2.7)

^(*2) The "chirality" of fermions θ^{\pm} in [7, 8] links the "light-cone direction" $\sigma^{\pm} := \tau \pm \sigma$ or "holomorphy" [13, 14, 15] on two-dimensional string worldsheet coordinates. Thus we use the same subscripts \pm even in bosonic string sigma model in Section 4.

This total antisymmetry of the contorsion tensor is natural in string theory.

In general relativity, the equivalence principle is introduced. This is also called the metricity condition given as covariant constancy of the metric

$$\nabla_m g_{np} = 0. (2.8)$$

This leads to a set of equations

$$\Gamma_{ym}{}^{p}g_{pn} = -\Gamma_{yn}{}^{p}g_{pm}, \quad \Gamma_{yy}{}^{m}g_{my} = 0, \quad \partial_{i}\left(\frac{1}{g_{yy}}\right) = -\frac{2}{(g_{yy})^{2}}\Gamma_{yy}{}^{m}g_{mi}, \quad (2.9)$$

where the x^y -direction has isometry, i.e., $\partial_y g_{mn} = 0$. If this set were not involved, the expressions in Section 3 would become complicated extraordinarily. In the presence of contorsion, the metricity condition (2.8) seems to be generalized to

$$\hat{\nabla}_m g_{np} = 0. (2.10)$$

This is indeed identical with (2.8) because the metric is symmetric $g_{mn} = g_{nm}$ whereas the contorsion tensor is antisymmetric $C_{mn}^{\ p} = -C_{nm}^{\ p}$. For later convenience, it is preferred to describe torsionful covariant derivatives $\hat{\nabla}_m(J_{\pm})^p_n$ and $\hat{\nabla}_m(\omega_{\pm})_{np}$ explicitly:

$$\hat{\nabla}_{m}(J_{\pm})^{p}{}_{n} = \partial_{m}(J_{\pm})^{p}{}_{n} + \hat{\Gamma}_{mq}{}^{p}(J_{\pm})^{q}{}_{n} - \hat{\Gamma}_{mn}{}^{q}(J_{\pm})^{p}{}_{q}
= \nabla_{m}(J_{\pm})^{p}{}_{n} + \xi_{\pm} \left\{ C_{mq}{}^{p}(J_{\pm})^{q}{}_{n} - C_{mn}{}^{q}(J_{\pm})^{p}{}_{q} \right\},$$

$$\hat{\nabla}_{m}(\omega_{\pm})_{np} = \partial_{m}(\omega_{\pm})_{np} - \hat{\Gamma}_{mn}{}^{q}(\omega_{\pm})_{qp} - \hat{\Gamma}_{mp}{}^{q}(\omega_{\pm})_{nq}
= \nabla_{m}(\omega_{\pm})_{np} - \xi_{\pm} \left\{ C_{mn}{}^{q}(\omega_{\pm})_{qp} + C_{mp}{}^{q}(\omega_{\pm})_{nq} \right\}.$$
(2.11a)

Notice that the constant ξ in (2.4) is replaced with ξ_{\pm} whose subscripts represent the worldsheet chirality (or holomorphy). We will fix them when the Buscher rule [5] is discussed.

It is now ready to define the Nijenhuis tensor of the respective J_{\pm} in such a way that

$$(N_{\pm})^{p}{}_{mn} := (J_{\pm})^{q}{}_{m} \{ \partial_{q} (J_{\pm})^{p}{}_{n} - \partial_{n} (J_{\pm})^{p}{}_{q} \} - (J_{\pm})^{q}{}_{n} \{ \partial_{q} (J_{\pm})^{p}{}_{m} - \partial_{m} (J_{\pm})^{p}{}_{q} \}$$

$$= -(N_{+})^{p}{}_{nm}. \qquad (2.12a)$$

Introducing the affine connection (2.4), we generalize this form to

$$(\hat{N}_{\pm})^{p}{}_{mn} := (J_{\pm})^{q}{}_{m} \{ \hat{\nabla}_{q} (J_{\pm})^{p}{}_{n} - \hat{\nabla}_{n} (J_{\pm})^{p}{}_{q} \} - (J_{\pm})^{q}{}_{n} \{ \hat{\nabla}_{q} (J_{\pm})^{p}{}_{m} - \hat{\nabla}_{m} (J_{\pm})^{p}{}_{q} \}$$

$$= -(\hat{N}_{+})^{p}{}_{nm}.$$
(2.12b)

The difference between (2.12a) and (2.12b) is nontrivial and will play an important role in analyzing geometrical aspects under T-duality transformations. It is worth introducing a new terminology $(\mathscr{C}_{\pm})^p{}_{mn}$, referred to as \mathscr{C} -tensors in this article^(*3):

$$(\hat{N}_{\pm})^{p}{}_{mn} - (N_{\pm})^{p}{}_{mn} = 2 \xi_{\pm} g^{pq} \left\{ 3 (J_{\pm})^{r}{}_{[m} (J_{\pm})^{s}{}_{n} H_{q]rs} - H_{mnq} \right\}$$

$$=: 2 \xi_{\pm} (\mathscr{C}_{\pm})^{p}{}_{mn}. \qquad (2.12c)$$

^(*3) Originally, this comes from the X-tensors in [8]. X_{mnp}^{\pm} and $(\mathscr{C}_{\pm})^{p}{}_{mn}$ are related with $X_{mnp}^{\pm} = (\mathscr{C}_{\pm})_{mnq} (J_{\pm})^{q}{}_{p}$.

2.2 T-duality transformation rule

Here we exhibit the T-duality transformation rule of the geometrical objects (g, B, ϕ) and (J_{\pm}, ω_{\pm}) on an almost bi-hermitian space \mathcal{M} to (g', B', ϕ') and $(J'_{\pm}, \omega'_{\pm})$ on a T-dualized space \mathcal{M}' . We perform the T-duality transformation along the x^y -direction which has isometry. The rule of the metric g_{mn} , B-field B_{mn} and dilaton ϕ is represented by Buscher [5]:

$$g'_{ij} = g_{ij} - \frac{g_{iy} g_{jy} - B_{iy} B_{jy}}{g_{yy}}, \quad g'_{iy} = \frac{B_{iy}}{g_{yy}} = g'_{yi}, \quad g'_{yy} = \frac{1}{g_{yy}}, \quad (2.13a)$$

$$B'_{ij} = B_{ij} - \frac{B_{iy} g_{jy} - g_{iy} B_{jy}}{g_{yy}}, \quad B'_{iy} = \frac{g_{iy}}{g_{yy}} = -B'_{yi}, \quad \phi' = \phi - \frac{1}{2} \log(g_{yy}), \quad (2.13b)$$

where we assume that all of the fields are independent of the coordinate x^y . We notice that the above expression is obtained by setting the constants ξ_{\pm} to

$$\xi_{\pm} \equiv \pm \frac{1}{2}. \tag{2.13c}$$

It is worth describing the Buscher rule of the inverse metric g^{mn} as follows:

$$g'^{ij} = g^{ij}, \quad g'^{iy} = B_{ym} g^{mi}, \quad g'^{yy} = -B_{ym} g^{mn} B_{ny} + g_{yy}.$$
 (2.13d)

The T-duality transformation rule of $(J_{\pm})^m{}_n$ and $(\omega_{\pm})_{mn}$ is acquired [16, 17]^(*4) as

$$(J'_{\pm})^{i}_{j} = (J_{\pm})^{i}_{j} - \frac{(J_{\pm})^{i}_{y} (g_{jy} \pm B_{jy})}{g_{yy}}, \qquad (2.14a)$$

$$(J'_{\pm})^i{}_y = \mp \frac{(J_{\pm})^i{}_y}{g_{yy}},$$
 (2.14b)

$$(J'_{\pm})^{y}_{j} = \pm (\omega_{\pm})_{yj} + B_{ym} \left\{ (J_{\pm})^{m}_{j} - \frac{(J_{\pm})^{m}_{y} (g_{jy} \pm B_{jy})}{g_{yy}} \right\}, \qquad (2.14c)$$

$$(J'_{\pm})^{y}_{y} = \mp \frac{B_{ym} (J_{\pm})^{m}_{y}}{g_{yy}},$$
 (2.14d)

$$(\omega'_{\pm})_{ij} = (\omega_{\pm})_{ij} - \frac{(\omega_{\pm})_{iy} (g_{jy} \pm B_{jy}) - (g_{iy} \pm B_{iy}) (\omega_{\pm})_{jy}}{g_{yy}},$$
 (2.14e)

$$(\omega_{\pm}')_{iy} = \mp \frac{(\omega_{\pm})_{iy}}{g_{yy}}. \tag{2.14f}$$

Under the above transformation rule, the property of almost bi-hermitian space (2.1) is preserved

$$g'_{pq}(J'_{\pm})^{p}_{m}(J'_{\pm})^{q}_{n} = g'_{mn}, \quad (J'_{\pm})^{m}_{p}(J'_{\pm})^{p}_{n} = -\delta^{m}_{n},$$
 (2.15a)

$$g'_{mn} = (\omega'_{\pm})_{mp} (J'_{\pm})^{p}_{n}, \quad (J'_{\pm})^{m}_{n} = -g'^{mp} (\omega'_{\pm})_{pn}, \quad (\omega'_{\pm})_{mn} = -g'_{mp} (J'_{\pm})^{p}_{n}, \quad (2.15b)$$

$$(J'_{+})^{m}_{p} (J'_{-})^{p}_{n} - (J'_{-})^{m}_{p} (J'_{+})^{p}_{n} = 0.$$
(2.15c)

^(*4) Although the rule (2.14) was first derived in the context of supersymmetric string sigma models, we emphasize that they remain valid even in non-supersymmetric cases [3].

2.3 Almost bi-hypercomplex space

The property of almost bi-hermitian space (2.1) is applied to that of almost bi-hypercomplex space in which three sets of almost complex structures $J_{a,\pm}$ (where the subscript runs a = 1, 2, 3) are involved:

$$g_{pq} (J_{a,\pm})^p{}_m (J_{a,\pm})^q{}_n = g_{mn}, \quad (J_{a,\pm})^m{}_p (J_{b,\pm})^p{}_n = -\delta_{ab} \, \delta_n^m + \epsilon_{abc} (J_{c,\pm})^m{}_n,$$
 (2.16a)

$$g_{mn} = (\omega_{a,\pm})_{mp} (J_{a,\pm})^p{}_n, \quad (J_{a,\pm})^m{}_n = -g^{mp} (\omega_{a,\pm})_{pn}, \quad (\omega_{a,\pm})_{mn} = -g_{mp} (J_{a,\pm})^p{}_n, \quad (2.16b)$$

$$(J_{a,+})^m{}_p (J_{b,-})^p{}_n - (J_{b,-})^m{}_p (J_{a,+})^p{}_n = 0.$$
(2.16c)

 ϵ_{abc} is the Levi-Civita totally antisymmetric symbol with normalization $\epsilon_{123} = +1$. Analogously, the tensors $(N_{a,\pm})^p{}_{mn}$, $(\hat{N}_{a,\pm})^p{}_{mn}$, and $(\mathcal{C}_{a,\pm})^p{}_{mn}$ are constructed as in (2.12). The T-duality transformation rule is completely parallel to (2.13) and (2.14). For detailed discussions, see [17].

3 Full description

In this section, we describe various tensors after T-duality transformation $(*^5)$. Our purpose is to evaluate integrability of the almost complex structures on \mathcal{M}' . Therefore, as a preparation, we perform the T-duality transformation of the covariant derivatives of the metric, almost complex structures, and fundamental two-forms. After that, we evaluate the T-dualized expression of the Nijenhuis tensors and \mathscr{C} -tensors. We notice that we do not impose any conditions beyond (2.1) and (2.10) on the space \mathcal{M} . Hence we expect that the space \mathcal{M}' would also be an almost bi-hermitian space with commuting almost complex structures (2.15) without any further restrictions.

3.1 Metricity condition

First of all, we should discuss the metric g'_{mn} satisfies the metricity condition (2.10) after the T-duality transformation. This is observed in terms of the T-duality transformation of the affine connection in Appendix A in such a way that (*6)

$$\hat{\nabla}'_m g'_{np} = 0. \tag{3.1}$$

By virtue of this result, we can proceed other computations of the T-duality transformation in the presence of torsionful covariant derivatives.

^(*5) The affine connection, which are not tensors, are also transformed by T-duality. They are summarized in Appendix A. They are the basic objects to investigate general covariance in the presence of torsion.

^(*6) We should notice that $\partial'_m := \partial/\partial x'^m$ is a derivative on \mathcal{M}' . Now T-duality is performed along the x^y -direction, rather than x^i -directions; i.e., $x'^i = x^i$. In Section 2, we have assumed that any function f'(x') is independent of x'^y (and x^y). Thus we observe $\partial'_i f'(x') = \partial_i f'(x')$, $\partial'_y f'(x') = 0 = \partial_y f'(x')$, and f'(x') = f'(x). Consequently $\partial'_m f'(x')$ becomes identical with $\partial_m f'(x)$.

3.2 Covariant derivative of almost complex structures

Let us perform the T-duality transformation of the covariant derivatives of the almost complex structures $\hat{\nabla}_m(J_{\pm})^p{}_n$ (2.11a) along the x^y -direction. They will play a central role in string sigma model in the presence of worldsheet supersymmetry [7, 8]. The T-dualized values $\hat{\nabla}'_m(J'_{\pm})^p{}_n$ are represented by the original ones:

$$\hat{\nabla}'_{y}(J'_{\pm})^{y}_{y} = -\frac{1}{(g_{yy})^{2}} B_{ym} \hat{\nabla}_{y}(J_{\pm})^{m}_{y}, \qquad (3.2a)$$

$$\hat{\nabla}'_i (J'_{\pm})^y{}_y = \mp \frac{1}{g_{yy}} B_{ym} \hat{\nabla}_i (J_{\pm})^m{}_y \pm \frac{1}{(g_{yy})^2} (g_{iy} \mp B_{iy}) B_{ym} \hat{\nabla}_y (J_{\pm})^m{}_y, \qquad (3.2b)$$

$$\hat{\nabla}'_{y}(J'_{\pm})^{y}{}_{k} = -\frac{1}{g_{yy}} \left(g_{ym} \mp B_{ym} \right) \hat{\nabla}_{y}(J_{\pm})^{m}{}_{k} \mp \frac{1}{(g_{yy})^{2}} \left(g_{yk} \mp B_{yk} \right) B_{ym} \hat{\nabla}_{y}(J_{\pm})^{m}{}_{y} , \qquad (3.2c)$$

$$\hat{\nabla}'_i(J'_{\pm})^y{}_k = \mp (g_{ym} \mp B_{ym}) \,\hat{\nabla}_i(J_{\pm})^m{}_k - \frac{1}{g_{yy}} (g_{ky} \pm B_{ky}) \, B_{ym} \,\hat{\nabla}_i(J_{\pm})^m{}_y$$

$$\mp \frac{1}{g_{yy}} (g_{iy} \mp B_{iy}) \, \hat{\nabla}_y (\omega_{\pm})_{yk} - \frac{1}{g_{yy}} (g_{iy} \mp B_{iy}) \, B_{ym} \, \hat{\nabla}_y (J_{\pm})^m{}_k$$

$$+ \frac{1}{(g_{yy})^2} (g_{iy} \mp B_{iy}) (g_{ky} \pm B_{ky}) B_{ym} \hat{\nabla}_y (J_{\pm})^m{}_y, \qquad (3.2d)$$

$$\hat{\nabla}'_y (J'_{\pm})^j{}_y = -\frac{1}{(g_{yy})^2} \hat{\nabla}_y (J_{\pm})^j{}_y, \qquad (3.2e)$$

$$\hat{\nabla}'_i (J'_{\pm})^j{}_y = \mp \frac{1}{g_{yy}} \hat{\nabla}_i (J_{\pm})^j{}_y \pm \frac{1}{(g_{yy})^2} (g_{iy} \mp B_{iy}) \hat{\nabla}_y (J_{\pm})^j{}_y, \qquad (3.2f)$$

$$\hat{\nabla}'_{y}(J'_{\pm})^{j}{}_{k} = \pm \frac{1}{g_{yy}} \hat{\nabla}_{y}(J_{\pm})^{j}{}_{k} \mp \frac{1}{(g_{yy})^{2}} (g_{yk} \mp B_{yk}) \hat{\nabla}_{y}(J_{\pm})^{j}{}_{y}, \qquad (3.2g)$$

$$\hat{\nabla}'_{i}(J'_{\pm})^{j}{}_{k} = \hat{\nabla}_{i}(J_{\pm})^{j}{}_{k} - \frac{1}{g_{yy}} (g_{iy} \mp B_{iy}) \hat{\nabla}_{y}(J_{\pm})^{j}{}_{k} - \frac{1}{g_{yy}} (g_{ky} \pm B_{ky}) \hat{\nabla}_{i}(J_{\pm})^{j}{}_{y} + \frac{1}{(g_{yy})^{2}} (g_{iy} \mp B_{iy}) (g_{ky} \pm B_{ky}) \hat{\nabla}_{y}(J_{\pm})^{j}{}_{y}.$$
(3.2h)

We emphasize that the result (3.2) is the most general description of the T-dualized covariant derivatives of the almost complex structures. In later sections we will introduce appropriate conditions in order to find significant property on the T-dualized space \mathcal{M}' .

3.3 Covariant derivative of fundamental two-forms

We perform the T-duality transformation of the covariant derivative of the fundamental twoforms $\hat{\nabla}_m(\omega_{\pm})_{np}$ (2.11b). The result is obtained by the Buscher rule (2.13) and (2.14) applied directly to $\hat{\nabla}_m(\omega_{\pm})_{np}$:

$$\hat{\nabla}'_{y}(\omega'_{\pm})_{jy} = -\frac{1}{(q_{yy})^{2}} \hat{\nabla}_{y}(\omega_{\pm})_{jy}, \qquad (3.3a)$$

$$\hat{\nabla}'_{i}(\omega'_{\pm})_{jy} = \mp \frac{1}{g_{yy}} \hat{\nabla}_{i}(\omega_{\pm})_{jy} \pm \frac{1}{(g_{yy})^{2}} \left(g_{iy} \mp B_{iy} \right) \hat{\nabla}_{y}(\omega_{\pm})_{jy} ,$$

$$\hat{\nabla}'_{y}(\omega'_{\pm})_{jk} = \pm \frac{1}{g_{yy}} \hat{\nabla}_{y}(\omega_{\pm})_{jk} \pm \frac{1}{(g_{yy})^{2}} \left(g_{ky} \pm B_{ky} \right) \hat{\nabla}_{y}(\omega_{\pm})_{yj} \mp \frac{1}{(g_{yy})^{2}} \left(g_{jy} \pm B_{jy} \right) \hat{\nabla}_{y}(\omega_{\pm})_{yk} ,$$

$$\hat{\nabla}'_{i}(\omega'_{\pm})_{jk} = \hat{\nabla}_{i}(\omega_{\pm})_{jk}$$

$$- \frac{1}{g_{yy}} \left(g_{iy} \mp B_{iy} \right) \hat{\nabla}_{y}(\omega_{\pm})_{jk} - \frac{1}{g_{yy}} \left(g_{jy} \pm B_{jy} \right) \hat{\nabla}_{i}(\omega_{\pm})_{yk} - \frac{1}{g_{yy}} \left(g_{ky} \pm B_{ky} \right) \hat{\nabla}_{i}(\omega_{\pm})_{jy}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{(g_{yy})^{2}} \left(g_{iy} \mp B_{iy} \right) \left(g_{ky} \pm B_{ky} \right) \hat{\nabla}_{y}(\omega_{\pm})_{jy} - \frac{1}{(g_{yy})^{2}} \left(g_{iy} \mp B_{iy} \right) \left(g_{jy} \pm B_{jy} \right) \hat{\nabla}_{y}(\omega_{\pm})_{ky} .$$

$$(3.3d)$$

We can check the above expression by substituting the metricity conditions (2.10) and (3.1) into the result (3.2). Inversely, of course, we can reconstruct (3.2) from (3.3).

3.4 Nijenhuis tensors

We write down the T-dualized expression of the Nijenhuis tensors $(N_{\pm})^p{}_{mn}$ in (2.12a). Here we find that each component of $(N'_{\pm})^p{}_{mn}$ is divided into two parts: the terms given by the original Nijenhuis tensors and those by the covariant derivatives:

$$(N'_{\pm})^{y}{}_{jy} = \mp \frac{1}{g_{yy}} B_{ym} (N_{\pm})^{m}{}_{jy} + \frac{3}{g_{yy}} \hat{\nabla}_{[y} (\omega_{\pm})_{jm]} (J_{\pm})^{m}{}_{y} \mp \frac{2}{(g_{yy})^{2}} B_{ym} \hat{\nabla}_{y} (\omega_{\pm})_{yj} (J_{\pm})^{m}{}_{y}, \qquad (3.4a)$$

$$(N'_{\pm})^{i}{}_{jy} = \mp \frac{1}{g_{yy}} (N_{\pm})^{i}{}_{jy} \mp \frac{2}{(g_{yy})^{2}} \hat{\nabla}_{y} (\omega_{\pm})_{yj} (J_{\pm})^{i}{}_{y}, \qquad (3.4b)$$

$$(N'_{\pm})^{y}{}_{jk} = \mp (g_{ym} \mp B_{ym}) (N_{\pm})^{m}{}_{jk} + \frac{1}{g_{yy}} B_{ym} (N_{\pm})^{m}{}_{yj} (g_{yk} \mp B_{yk}) - \frac{1}{g_{yy}} B_{ym} (N_{\pm})^{m}{}_{yk} (g_{yj} \mp B_{yj})$$

$$\mp \hat{\nabla}_{y} (\omega_{\pm})_{jm} (J_{\pm})^{m}{}_{k} \pm \hat{\nabla}_{y} (\omega_{\pm})_{km} (J_{\pm})^{m}{}_{j}$$

$$\pm \frac{3}{g_{yy}} \hat{\nabla}_{[y} (\omega_{\pm})_{jm]} (J_{\pm})^{m}{}_{y} (g_{yk} \mp B_{yk}) \mp \frac{3}{g_{yy}} \hat{\nabla}_{[y} (\omega_{\pm})_{km]} (J_{\pm})^{m}{}_{y} (g_{yj} \mp B_{yj})$$

$$- \frac{2}{g_{yy}} \hat{\nabla}_{y} (\omega_{\pm})_{jk} B_{yp} (J_{\pm})^{p}{}_{y} (g_{yj} \mp B_{yj}), \qquad (3.4c)$$

$$- \frac{2}{(g_{yy})^{2}} \hat{\nabla}_{y} (\omega_{\pm})_{ky} B_{yp} (J_{\pm})^{p}{}_{y} (g_{yj} \mp B_{yj}), \qquad (3.4c)$$

$$(N'_{\pm})^{i}{}_{jk} = (N_{\pm})^{i}{}_{jk} - \frac{1}{g_{yy}} (g_{jy} \pm B_{jy}) (N_{\pm})^{i}{}_{yk} + \frac{1}{g_{yy}} (g_{ky} \pm B_{ky}) (N_{\pm})^{i}{}_{yj} - \frac{2}{g_{yy}} \hat{\nabla}_{y} (\omega_{\pm})_{jk} (J_{\pm})^{i}{}_{y}$$

$$- \frac{2}{(g_{yy})^{2}} (g_{jy} \pm B_{jy}) \hat{\nabla}_{y} (\omega_{\pm})_{ky} (J_{\pm})^{i}{}_{y} + \frac{2}{(g_{yy})^{2}} (g_{ky} \pm B_{ky}) \hat{\nabla}_{y} (\omega_{\pm})_{jy} (J_{\pm})^{i}{}_{y}. \qquad (3.4d)$$

3.5 \mathscr{C} -tensors

Finally we write down the T-dualized \mathscr{C} -tensors $(\mathscr{C}_{\pm})^p{}_{mn}$ in (2.12c). Analogous to the Nijenhuis tensors $(N'_{\pm})^p{}_{mn}$ (3.4), the expression $(\mathscr{C}'_{\pm})^p{}_{mn}$ is divided into two parts: the terms given by the original \mathscr{C} -tensors and those by the covariant derivatives:

$$\begin{split} (\mathscr{C}_{\pm}^{\prime})^{y}{}_{jy} &= \mp \frac{1}{g_{yy}} B_{ym} (\mathscr{C}_{\pm})^{m}{}_{jy} \\ &+ \hat{\nabla}_{y} (\omega_{\pm})_{jy} \left\{ + \frac{2}{(g_{yy})^{2}} (J_{\pm})^{m}{}_{y} B_{my} \right\} + \hat{\nabla}_{y} (J_{\pm})^{m}{}_{y} \left\{ + \frac{2}{(g_{yy})^{2}} (\omega_{\pm})_{yj} B_{my} \right\} \\ &+ \hat{\nabla}_{y} (J_{\pm})^{m}{}_{j} \left\{ - \frac{2}{g_{yy}} (J_{\pm})^{n}{}_{m} B_{ny} \right\} + \hat{\nabla}_{y} (J_{\pm})^{m}{}_{y} \left\{ + \frac{2}{(g_{yy})^{2}} (J_{\pm})^{n}{}_{m} B_{ny} g_{jy} \right\}, \quad (3.5a) \\ (\mathscr{C}_{\pm}^{\prime})^{i}{}_{jy} &= \mp \frac{1}{g_{yy}} (\mathscr{C}_{\pm})^{i}{}_{jy} \\ &+ \hat{\nabla}_{y} (J_{\pm})^{m}{}_{j} \left\{ + \frac{2}{g_{yy}} (J_{\pm})^{i}{}_{m} \right\} + \hat{\nabla}_{y} (J_{\pm})^{m}{}_{y} \left\{ - \frac{2}{(g_{yy})^{2}} (J_{\pm})^{i}{}_{m} g_{jy} \right\} \\ &+ \hat{\nabla}_{y} (J_{\pm})^{i}{}_{y} \left\{ + \frac{2}{(g_{yy})^{2}} (\omega_{\pm})_{jy} \right\} + \hat{\nabla}_{y} (\omega_{\pm})_{jy} \left\{ - \frac{2}{(g_{yy})^{2}} (J_{\pm})^{i}{}_{m} g_{jy} \right\} \\ &+ \hat{\nabla}_{y} (J_{\pm})^{i}{}_{y} \left\{ - \frac{2}{(g_{yy})^{2}} (\omega_{\pm})_{jy} \right\} + \hat{\nabla}_{y} (\omega_{\pm})_{jy} \left\{ - \frac{2}{(g_{yy})^{2}} (J_{\pm})^{i}{}_{y} \right\}, \quad (3.5b) \\ (\mathscr{C}_{\pm}^{\prime})^{y}{}_{jk} &= \mp (g_{my} \pm B_{my}) (\mathscr{C}_{\pm})^{m}{}_{jk} - \frac{1}{g_{yy}} B_{ym} (\mathscr{C}_{\pm})^{m}{}_{yk} (g_{jy} \pm B_{jy}) - \frac{1}{g_{yy}} B_{ym} (\mathscr{C}_{\pm})^{m}{}_{jy} (g_{ky} \pm B_{ky}) \\ &+ \hat{\nabla}_{y} (J_{\pm})^{m}{}_{j} \left\{ - \frac{2}{g_{yy}} (J_{\pm})^{n}{}_{m} B_{ny} B_{ky} \mp \frac{2}{g_{yy}} (\omega_{\pm})_{my} B_{ky} \mp \frac{2}{g_{yy}} (\omega_{\pm})_{ky} B_{my} \right\} \\ &+ \hat{\nabla}_{y} (J_{\pm})^{m}{}_{j} \left\{ - \frac{2}{g_{yy}} (\omega_{\pm})_{mj} (g_{ky} \pm B_{ky}) + \frac{2}{g_{yy}} (\omega_{\pm})_{mk} (g_{jy} \pm B_{jy}) + \frac{2}{(g_{yy})^{2}} (\omega_{\pm})_{my} B_{hy} g_{jy} \mp \frac{2}{(g_{yy})^{2}} (\omega_{\pm})_{my} B_{hy} g_{jy} + \frac{2}{(g_{yy})^{2}} (\omega_{\pm})_{my} B_{hy} g_{jy} + \frac{2}{(g_{yy})^{2}} (\omega_{\pm})_{my} B_{hy} (g_{ky} \pm B_{ky}) \right\} \\ &+ \hat{\nabla}_{y} (\omega_{\pm})_{mj} \left\{ - (J_{\pm})^{m}{}_{k} \right\} + \hat{\nabla}_{y} (\omega_{\pm})_{mj} \left\{ + \hat{\nabla}_{y} (\omega_{\pm})_{mj} + \hat{\nabla}_{y} (\omega_{\pm})_{mj} + \hat{\nabla}_{y} (\omega_{\pm})_{mj} \right\} \\ &+ \hat{\nabla}_{y} (\omega_{\pm})_{jk} \left\{ \mp \frac{2}{g_{yy}} (\omega_{\pm})_{jj} + \hat{\nabla}_{y} (\omega_{\pm})_{mj} + \frac{2}{(g_{yy})^{2}} (J_{\pm})^{m}{}_{y} B_{my} (g_{jy} \pm B_{jy}) \right\} \\ &+ \hat{\nabla}_{y} (\omega_{\pm})_{jk} \left\{ - \frac{2}{g_{yy}} (\omega_{\pm})_{jj} + \hat{\nabla}_{y} (\omega_{\pm})_{jj} + \hat{\nabla}_{y} (\omega_{\pm})_{jj} + \hat{\nabla}_{y} (\omega_{\pm})_{jj}$$

$$+ \hat{\nabla}_{y}(J_{\pm})^{i}{}_{j} \left\{ \mp \frac{2}{g_{yy}} (\omega_{\pm})_{yk} \right\} + \hat{\nabla}_{y}(J_{\pm})^{i}{}_{k} \left\{ \pm \frac{2}{g_{yy}} (\omega_{\pm})_{yj} \right\}$$

$$+ \hat{\nabla}_{y}(J_{\pm})^{i}{}_{m} \left\{ -\frac{2}{g_{yy}} (J_{\pm})^{m}{}_{j} B_{ky} + \frac{2}{g_{yy}} (J_{\pm})^{m}{}_{k} B_{jy} \right.$$

$$- \frac{2}{(g_{yy})^{2}} (J_{\pm})^{m}{}_{y} B_{jy} g_{ky} + \frac{2}{(g_{yy})^{2}} (J_{\pm})^{m}{}_{y} B_{ky} g_{jy} \right\}$$

$$+ \hat{\nabla}_{y}(\omega_{\pm})_{jk} \left\{ \pm \frac{2}{g_{yy}} (J_{\pm})^{i}{}_{y} \right\}$$

$$+ \hat{\nabla}_{y}(\omega_{\pm})_{jy} \left\{ \mp \frac{2}{(g_{yy})^{2}} (J_{\pm})^{i}{}_{y} (g_{ky} \pm B_{ky}) \right\} + \hat{\nabla}_{y}(\omega_{\pm})_{yk} \left\{ \mp \frac{2}{(g_{yy})^{2}} (J_{\pm})^{i}{}_{y} (g_{jy} \pm B_{jy}) \right\}$$

$$+ \hat{\nabla}_{y}(J_{\pm})^{i}{}_{y} \left\{ \mp \frac{2}{(g_{yy})^{2}} (\omega_{\pm})_{yj} (g_{ky} \pm B_{ky}) \pm \frac{2}{(g_{yy})^{2}} (\omega_{\pm})_{yk} (g_{jy} \pm B_{jy}) \right\}.$$

$$(3.5d)$$

We comment that the tensors $(\hat{N}'_{\pm})^p{}_{mn}$ are neither prepared nor needed, because, as defined in (2.12b), they are described in terms of contractions of $(J'_{\pm})^m{}_n$ with $\hat{\nabla}'_p(J'_{\pm})^q{}_r$. We emphasize that the expressions (3.2), (3.3), (3.4) and (3.5) are complicated, but it seems to have some meaningful structures. In Section 4 (and Appendix B), we will impose appropriate conditions on them, and elaborate geometrical significance under T-duality.

In order to consider an almost bi-hypercomplex (2.16) and its T-dualized spaces, we just attach a subscript a (where a runs a = 1, 2, 3) to $(J_{\pm})^m{}_n$. The tensors $(\omega_{\pm})_{mn}$, $(N_{\pm})^p{}_{mn}$ and $(\mathscr{C}_{\pm})^p{}_{mn}$ also carry this subscript as discussed in [17].

4 Integrability after T-duality

In this section, we evaluate T-duality from \mathcal{M} to \mathcal{M}' in the absence of worldsheet supersymmetry. In order to extract remarkable features, we introduce some reductions on the most general result in Section 3. Now we consider three cases. In these cases, we assume the integrability condition of the almost complex structures on \mathcal{M} : (i) bi-hermitian with isometry in the presence of torsion, (ii) hermitian with isometry in the absence of torsion, (iii) Kähler with isometry. Performing T-duality of them, we investigate whether the almost complex structures are integrable or not on the T-dualized space \mathcal{M}' .

4.1 Bi-hermitian with isometry in the presence of torsion

We begin with a bi-hermitian space with isometry. We assume that the almost complex structures $(J_{\pm})^m{}_n$ are integrable, i.e., the Nijenhuis tensors $(N_{\pm})^p{}_{mn}$ vanish. On the other hand, we do not impose any conditions on the contorsion tensor $C_{mn}{}^p$. Then the covariant derivatives $\hat{\nabla}_m (J_{\pm})^p{}_n$ and \mathscr{C} -tensors $(\mathscr{C}_{\pm})^p{}_{mn}$ are generically non-zero. These restrictions are not strong to

reduce property of the T-dualized space \mathcal{M}' : The form of the T-duality transformations (3.2) and (3.3) leads to non-vanishing covariant derivatives on \mathcal{M}' . Moreover, the Nijenhuis tensors (3.4) become nontrivial because the original B-field and the covariant derivatives carry nontrivial values. The \mathscr{C} -tensors (3.5) do not describe any useful relations. In this system, we just understand that an integrable bi-hermitian space is T-dualized to a non-integrable bi-hermitian space in general. Caused by the existence of the B-field and non-vanishing covariant derivatives, T-duality breaks integrability of almost complex structures. In our next consideration, we impose a bit stronger condition on this system.

4.2 Hermitian with isometry in the absence of torsion

Next, we consider a hermitian space with isometry in the absence of the B-field. We again assume that the Nijenhuis tensors vanish and the almost complex structures become integrable. This is a reduced system from an integrable bi-hermitian space discussed above.

Because we impose that the B-field vanishes, the contorsion tensor in the affine connection also vanishes. In this case, the geometrical objects are no longer sensitive to worldsheet chirality. Then the subscripts \pm are omitted and $(J_{\pm})^m{}_n$, $(\omega_{\pm})_{mn}$, $(N_{\pm})^p{}_{mn}$ and $(\mathscr{C}_{\pm})^p{}_{mn}$ are reduced to $J^m{}_n$, ω_{mn} , $N^p{}_{mn}$ and $\mathscr{C}^p{}_{mn}$, respectively. The obtained geometry is a hermitian space in the absence of torsion. Notice that, even without torsion, it is not guaranteed that the complex structures are covariantly constant. In this case, the T-dualized objects are described in the following way:

$$\hat{\nabla}'_{y}(J'_{\pm})^{y}_{y} = 0, \tag{4.1a}$$

$$\hat{\nabla}_{i}'(J_{\pm}')^{y}{}_{y} = 0, \tag{4.1b}$$

$$\hat{\nabla}'_{y}(J'_{\pm})^{y}_{k} = -\frac{1}{g_{yy}} g_{ym} \nabla_{y} J^{m}_{k}, \qquad (4.1c)$$

$$\hat{\nabla}'_{i}(J'_{\pm})^{y}{}_{k} = \mp \left\{ \nabla_{i} J^{m}{}_{k} - \frac{1}{q_{nn}} g_{iy} \nabla_{y} J^{m}{}_{k} \right\} g_{ym}, \qquad (4.1d)$$

$$\hat{\nabla}'_y (J'_{\pm})^j{}_y = -\frac{1}{(g_{yy})^2} \nabla_y J^j{}_y, \qquad (4.1e)$$

$$\hat{\nabla}'_{i}(J'_{\pm})^{j}{}_{y} = \mp \frac{1}{q_{nn}} \left\{ \nabla_{i} J^{j}{}_{y} - \frac{1}{q_{nn}} g_{iy} \nabla_{y} J^{j}{}_{y} \right\}, \tag{4.1f}$$

$$\hat{\nabla}'_{y}(J'_{\pm})^{j}{}_{k} = \pm \frac{1}{g_{yy}} \left\{ \nabla_{y} J^{j}{}_{k} - \frac{1}{g_{yy}} g_{ky} \nabla_{y} J^{j}{}_{y} \right\}, \tag{4.1g}$$

$$\hat{\nabla}'_{i}(J'_{\pm})^{j}{}_{k} = \left\{ \nabla_{i}J^{j}{}_{k} - \frac{1}{g_{yy}}g_{iy}\nabla_{y}J^{j}{}_{k} \right\} - \frac{1}{g_{yy}}\left\{ \nabla_{i}J^{j}{}_{y} - \frac{1}{g_{yy}}g_{iy}\nabla_{y}J^{j}{}_{y} \right\}g_{ky}. \tag{4.1h}$$

This implies that the almost complex structure J_n^m on the original space \mathcal{M} is split into $(J'_+)^m_n$ and $(J'_-)^m_n$ on the T-dualized space \mathcal{M}' , and that both of them are *no longer* covariantly constant. Via the metricity condition (3.1), we observe that the covariant derivative of the fundamental two-

forms $(\omega'_{\pm})_{mn}$ are also non-zero:

$$\hat{\nabla}_y'(\omega_\pm')_{jy} = -\frac{1}{(g_{yy})^2} \nabla_y \omega_{jy}, \qquad (4.2a)$$

$$\hat{\nabla}'_i(\omega'_{\pm})_{jy} = \mp \frac{1}{g_{yy}} \nabla_i \omega_{jy} \pm \frac{1}{(g_{yy})^2} g_{iy} \nabla_y \omega_{jy}, \qquad (4.2b)$$

$$\hat{\nabla}'_{y}(\omega'_{\pm})_{jk} = \pm \frac{1}{g_{yy}} \nabla_{y} \omega_{jk} \pm \frac{1}{(g_{yy})^{2}} g_{ky} \nabla_{y} \omega_{yj} \mp \frac{1}{(g_{yy})^{2}} g_{jy} \nabla_{y} \omega_{yk}, \qquad (4.2c)$$

$$\hat{\nabla}'_{i}(\omega'_{\pm})_{jk} = \nabla_{i}\omega_{jk} - \frac{1}{g_{yy}}g_{iy}\nabla_{y}\omega_{jk} - \frac{1}{g_{yy}}g_{jy}\nabla_{i}\omega_{yk} - \frac{1}{g_{yy}}g_{ky}\nabla_{i}\omega_{jy}
+ \frac{1}{(g_{yy})^{2}}g_{iy}g_{ky}\nabla_{y}\omega_{jy} - \frac{1}{(g_{yy})^{2}}g_{iy}g_{jy}\nabla_{y}\omega_{ky}.$$
(4.2d)

Further, we analyze the Nijenhuis tensors on \mathcal{M}' in the following way:

$$(N'_{\pm})^{y}{}_{jy} = \frac{3}{g_{yy}} \nabla_{[y} \omega_{jm]} J^{m}{}_{y}, \qquad (4.3a)$$

$$(N'_{\pm})^{i}_{jy} = \mp \frac{2}{(g_{yy})^{2}} \nabla_{y} \omega_{yj} J^{i}_{y}, \qquad (4.3b)$$

$$(N'_{\pm})^{y}{}_{jk} = \mp \nabla_{y}\omega_{jm} J^{m}{}_{k} \pm \nabla_{y}\omega_{km} J^{m}{}_{j} \pm \frac{3}{g_{yy}} \left\{ \nabla_{[y}\omega_{jm]} g_{yk} - \nabla_{[y}\omega_{km]} g_{yj} \right\} J^{m}{}_{y}, \qquad (4.3c)$$

$$(N'_{\pm})^{i}{}_{jk} = -\frac{2}{g_{yy}} \left\{ \nabla_{y} \omega_{jk} + \frac{1}{g_{yy}} g_{jy} \nabla_{y} \omega_{ky} - \frac{1}{g_{yy}} g_{ky} \nabla_{y} \omega_{jy} \right\} J^{i}{}_{y}. \tag{4.3d}$$

The form of the T-dualized *C*-tensors is still complicated to extract some useful property:

$$\left(\mathscr{C}'_{\pm}\right)^{y}{}_{jy} = 0, \tag{4.4a}$$

$$(\mathscr{C}'_{\pm})^{i}_{jy} = \mp \frac{1}{g_{yy}} \mathscr{C}^{i}_{jy} + \frac{2}{g_{yy}} \nabla_{y} J^{m}_{j} J^{i}_{m} - \frac{2}{(g_{yy})^{2}} \left\{ \nabla_{y} J^{m}_{y} J^{i}_{m} g_{jy} - \nabla_{y} J^{i}_{y} \omega_{jy} + \nabla_{y} \omega_{jy} J^{i}_{y} \right\},$$

$$(4.4b)$$

$$(\mathscr{C}'_{\pm})^{y}{}_{jk} = \mp g_{my} \mathscr{C}^{m}{}_{jk} - \nabla_{y}\omega_{mj} J^{m}{}_{k} + \nabla_{y}\omega_{mk} J^{m}{}_{j} - \frac{2}{g_{yy}} \nabla_{y} J^{m}{}_{y} \left\{ \omega_{mj} g_{ky} - \omega_{mk} g_{jy} \right\} + \frac{2}{g_{yy}} \left\{ \nabla_{y}\omega_{yk} \omega_{yj} - \nabla_{y}\omega_{yj} \omega_{yk} \right\},$$

$$(4.4c)$$

$$(\mathscr{C}'_{\pm})^{i}{}_{jk} = \mathscr{C}^{i}{}_{jk} + \frac{1}{g_{yy}} g_{ky} \mathscr{C}^{i}{}_{yj} - \frac{1}{g_{yy}} g_{jy} \mathscr{C}^{i}{}_{yk} \mp \frac{2}{g_{yy}} \left\{ \nabla_{y} J^{i}{}_{j} \omega_{yk} - \nabla_{y} J^{i}{}_{k} \omega_{yj} - \nabla_{y} \omega_{jk} J^{i}{}_{y} \right\}$$

$$\pm \frac{2}{(g_{yy})^{2}} J^{i}{}_{y} \left\{ \nabla_{y} \omega_{yj} g_{ky} - \nabla_{y} \omega_{yk} g_{jy} \right\} \mp \frac{2}{(g_{yy})^{2}} \nabla_{y} J^{i}{}_{y} \left\{ \omega_{yj} g_{ky} - \omega_{yk} g_{jy} \right\}.$$
 (4.4d)

This insists that the T-duality transformation again breaks integrability of the almost complex structures. However we also understand that, if the B-field on the original space \mathcal{M} is absent, covariant derivatives are mapped to the sum of the covariant derivatives through T-duality. We summarize the property of the T-duality transformation of a general hermitian space with isometry in the absence of torsion in Table 1.

Table 1 : T-duality transformation	from a general herm	itian space with i	isometry in the a	bsence of torsion.

(g, J, ω) on hermitian with isometry \mathcal{M}	$(g', J'_{\pm}, \omega'_{\pm})$ on T-dualized space \mathcal{M}'
$g_{mn} = \omega_{mp} J^p{}_n$	$g'_{mn} = (\omega'_{\pm})_{mp} \left(J'_{\pm}\right)^p{}_n$
$J^m{}_n = -g^{mp}\omega_{pn}$	$\left \left(J'_{\pm} \right)^m{}_n = -g'^{mp} \left(\omega'_{\pm} \right)_{pn} \right $
$\omega_{mn} = -g_{mp} J^p{}_n$	$\left[(\omega'_{\pm})_{mn} = -g'_{mp} \left(J'_{\pm} \right)^p{}_n \right]$
$\nabla_m g_{np} = 0$	$\hat{\nabla}'_m g'_{np} = 0$
$d\omega \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow \nabla_m J^p{}_n \neq 0$	$\hat{\nabla}'_m (J'_{\pm})^p{}_n \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow \hat{\nabla}'_m (\omega'_{\pm})_{np} \neq 0$
$N^p{}_{mn} = 0$	$(N'_{\pm})^p{}_{mn} \neq 0$
$\mathscr{C}^{p}_{mn} \neq 0$	$(\mathscr{C}'_{\pm})^{p}{}_{mn} \neq 0$

Following from the above results, we understand that the non-vanishing covariant derivatives prevent integrability under T-duality. Then we further restrict that the covariant derivatives of the complex structure on the original space \mathcal{M} are trivial. This is nothing but a Kähler space.

4.3 Kähler with isometry

We discuss general property of the T-duality transformation of a Kähler space with isometry \mathcal{M} . In particular, we investigate the transformation of the Nijenhuis tensor and \mathscr{C} -tensor. We find that integrability of the almost complex structures are preserved even after the T-duality transformation, and the resulting space \mathcal{M}' is also a complex geometry in the presence of torsion. The Kähler condition on the original space \mathcal{M} is so strong that the (non-)existence of worldsheet supersymmetry does not influence on its geometrical feature seriously.

We introduce the Kähler condition represented by

$$(N_{\pm})^{p}_{mn} = 0, \quad d\omega_{\pm} = 0.$$
 (4.5a)

Combining with the metricity condition (2.10), we further obtain

$$C_{mn}^{p} = 0, \quad \hat{\nabla}_{m}(J_{\pm})^{p}{}_{n} = 0.$$
 (4.5b)

We immediately find that the \mathscr{C} -tensors (2.12c) vanish:

$$\left(\mathscr{C}_{\pm}\right)^{p}{}_{mn} = 0. \tag{4.5c}$$

Since the contorsion tensor vanishes, the affine connection $\hat{\Gamma}_{mn}^{p}$ (2.4) is reduced to the Christoffel symbol Γ_{mn}^{p} (2.2). In this case the subscripts " \pm " in (4.5) are again omitted.

Now we evaluate the T-duality transformation from \mathcal{M} to \mathcal{M}' . The Buscher rule (2.13) generates the B-field, and nontrivial contorsion tensor $C'_{mn}{}^p$ on \mathcal{M}' . Since the almost complex structure

on the original space \mathcal{M} is covariantly constant (4.5b), the T-dualized covariant derivatives (3.2) automatically vanish:

$$\hat{\nabla}'_m (J'_{\pm})^p{}_n = 0. {4.6}$$

Analogously, the covariant derivatives $\hat{\nabla}'_m(\omega'_{\pm})_{np}$ (3.3) become trivial:

$$\hat{\nabla}'_m(\omega'_+)_{np} = 0. \tag{4.7}$$

The Nijenhuis tensors $(N'_{\pm})^p_{mn}$ (3.4) and the \mathscr{C} -tensors $(\mathscr{C}'_{\pm})^p_{mn}$ (3.5) also become trivial independently, even though the contorsion tensor $C'_{mn}{}^p$ on \mathcal{M}' exists:

$$(N'_{\pm})^p_{mn} = 0, \quad (\mathscr{C}'_{\pm})^p_{mn} = 0.$$
 (4.8)

This is because the B-field on the original Kähler space \mathcal{M} is trivial. The vanishing Nijenhuis tensors indicate that the almost complex structures on \mathcal{M}' are integrable. Analogously, the vanishing \mathscr{C} -tensors show that the H-flux H_{mnp} has a following relation

$$H'_{mnp} = 3 (J'_{\pm})^q{}_{[m} (J'_{\pm})^r{}_n H'_{p|qr}.$$
 (4.9)

Indeed this relation appears in string compactification scenarios as discussed in [18].

We emphasize that the existence of worldsheet supersymmetry is not important in this system, because the Kähler condition is sufficiently strong to set various tensors trivial. We summarize the property of the T-duality transformation of a general Kähler space with isometry in Table 2.

Table 2: T-duality transformation from a general Kähler space with isometry.

(g, J, ω) on Kähler with isometry \mathcal{M}	$(g',J'_{\pm},\omega'_{\pm})$ on T-dualized space \mathcal{M}'
$g_{mn} = \omega_{mp} J^p{}_n$	$g'_{mn} = (\omega'_{\pm})_{mp} \left(J'_{\pm}\right)^p{}_n$
$J^m{}_n = -g^{mp}\omega_{pn}$	$(J'_{\pm})^m{}_n = -g'^{mp} \left(\omega'_{\pm}\right)_{pn}$
$\omega_{mn} = -g_{mp} J^p{}_n$	$(\omega_{\pm}')_{mn} = -g'_{mp} \left(J'_{\pm}\right)^p{}_n$
$\nabla_m g_{np} = 0$	$\hat{\nabla}'_m g'_{np} = 0$
$\mathrm{d}\omega = 0 \Leftrightarrow \nabla_m J^p{}_n = 0$	$\hat{\nabla}'_m (J'_{\pm})^p{}_n = 0 \Leftrightarrow \hat{\nabla}'_m (\omega'_{\pm})_{np} = 0$
$N^p{}_{mn} = 0$	$(N'_{\pm})^p{}_{mn} = 0$
$\mathscr{C}^{p}{}_{mn}=0$	$\left(\mathscr{C}'_{\pm}\right)^p{}_{mn} = 0$

In Section 4.4, we demonstrate T-duality of two typical examples: $\mathbb{C}P^2$ and Taub-NUT. In both cases, the integrability condition is preserved under T-duality, and the \mathscr{C} -tensors impose the appropriate property (4.9) on the contorsion tensor.

4.4 Examples of Kähler with isometry

In this subsection, we demonstrate T-duality of two typical Kähler spaces with isometry. In the first example, we consider T-duality of the complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^2$. This manifold appears as a useful toy model in string theory. Second, we concretely describe the Taub-NUT space, which gives rise to a Kaluza-Klein (KK) monopole in string theory. We perform T-duality transformations and obtain stringy geometries such as an H-monopole and an exotic 5_2^2 -brane background, as discussed in the literature.

4.4.1 Complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^2$ and its T-duality

Complex projective spaces are compact Kähler manifolds with isometry. It is difficult to apply them to the string sigma model with conformal symmetry, because they are not Ricci-flat. However, it is interesting to explore their T-dualized spaces as examples. In this section, we focus only on the two-dimensional complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^{2(\star 7)}$.

Geometrical aspect of $\mathbb{C}P^2$

The geometrical aspect of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ has been well studied in the literature. We first write down the Kähler potential

$$K(\zeta^{\mathsf{a}}, \overline{\zeta^{\mathsf{b}}}) = \frac{1}{c} \log \left[1 + c \left(|\zeta^{\mathsf{1}}|^2 + |\zeta^{\mathsf{2}}|^2 \right) \right], \tag{4.10}$$

where c is a positive constant and $(\zeta^{\mathsf{a}}, \overline{\zeta^{\mathsf{b}}})$ are the complex local (inhomogeneous) coordinates $(\mathsf{a}=1,2)$. The Kähler potential K gives the Fubini-Study metric $g_{\mathsf{a}\overline{\mathsf{b}}}$ in the following way:

$$ds^{2} = g_{a\overline{b}} d\zeta^{a} d\overline{\zeta}^{\overline{b}} = \frac{\partial^{2} K}{\partial \zeta^{a} \partial \overline{\zeta}^{\overline{b}}} d\zeta^{a} d\overline{\zeta}^{\overline{b}}$$

$$= \frac{|d\zeta^{1}|^{2} + |d\zeta^{2}|^{2} + c |d(\zeta^{1}\overline{\zeta}^{\overline{2}})|^{2} - c d(|\zeta^{1}|^{2}) d(|\zeta^{2}|^{2})}{(1 + c(|\zeta^{1}|^{2} + |\zeta^{2}|^{2}))^{2}}.$$
(4.11)

We often express the Kähler metric as $g_{a\overline{b}}=\partial_a\partial_{\overline{b}}K=:K_{a\overline{b}}$.

In order to express the isometry direction explicitly, we transform the complex coordinates $(\zeta^{\mathsf{a}}, \overline{\zeta^{\mathsf{b}}})$ $(\mathsf{a}, \overline{\mathsf{b}} = 1, 2)$ to the real polar coordinates $\mathsf{x}^m = (r, \vartheta, \varphi, \psi)$ in such a way that

$$\zeta^{1} = r \cos \frac{\vartheta}{2} e^{\frac{i}{2}(\psi + \varphi)}, \qquad \zeta^{2} = r \sin \frac{\vartheta}{2} e^{\frac{i}{2}(\psi - \varphi)}, \qquad (4.12)$$

 $^{^{(\}star7)}$ It is impossible to define a spinor on the $\mathbb{C}P^2$ space. Although we do not touch this issue in the present work, it is interesting to discuss carefully whether spinors could (not) be defined on the T-dualized space.

where the domain of the radial coordinate is $0 \le r < \infty$, while those of the three angular coordinates are $0 \le \vartheta \le \pi$, $0 \le \varphi \le 2\pi$, and $0 \le \psi \le 4\pi$, respectively [19]^(*8). The coordinate transformation from the complex coordinates ζ^{a} to the real coordinates χ^{m} yields the transformation of the metric in such a way that

$$ds^{2} = g_{a\overline{b}} d\zeta^{a} d\overline{\zeta}^{\overline{b}} = g_{a\overline{b}} \frac{\partial \zeta^{a}}{\partial x^{(m)}} \frac{\partial \overline{\zeta}^{\overline{b}}}{\partial x^{(n)}} dx^{m} dx^{n} = g_{mn} dx^{m} dx^{n}, \qquad (4.13a)$$

$$g_{mn} = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{\partial \zeta^{a}}{\partial x^{m}} \frac{\partial \overline{\zeta^{b}}}{\partial x^{n}} + \frac{\partial \zeta^{a}}{\partial x^{n}} \frac{\partial \overline{\zeta^{b}}}{\partial x^{m}} \right\} g_{a\bar{b}}. \tag{4.13b}$$

By using this, the Fubini-Study metric (4.11) in the finite region of r is given as

$$ds^{2} = \frac{dr^{2}}{(1+cr^{2})^{2}} + \frac{r^{2}}{4(1+cr^{2})^{2}} \left(d\psi + \cos\theta \,d\varphi\right)^{2} + \frac{r^{2}}{4(1+cr^{2})} \left(d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta \,d\varphi^{2}\right). \tag{4.14}$$

When r goes to infinity, we further introduce a new coordinate u := 1/r and rewrite (4.14) as

$$ds^{2} = \frac{du^{2}}{(u^{2} + c)^{2}} + \frac{u^{2}}{4(u^{2} + c)^{2}} (d\psi + \cos\theta \, d\varphi)^{2} + \frac{1}{4(u^{2} + c)} (d\theta^{2} + \sin^{2}\theta \, d\varphi^{2}). \tag{4.15}$$

For later convenience, we introduce the function $f(r) := 1 + cr^2 = e^{cK}$ and express the metric (4.14) in the matrix form:

$$g_{mn} = \begin{pmatrix} g_{rr} & g_{r\vartheta} & g_{r\varphi} & g_{r\psi} \\ g_{\vartheta r} & g_{\vartheta\vartheta} & g_{\vartheta\varphi} & g_{\vartheta\psi} \\ g_{\varphi r} & g_{\varphi\vartheta} & g_{\varphi\varphi} & g_{\varphi\psi} \\ g_{\psi r} & g_{\psi\vartheta} & g_{\psi\varphi} & g_{\psi\psi} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{f^2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{r^2}{4f} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{r^2(1+cr^2\sin^2\vartheta)}{4f^2} & \frac{r^2\cos\vartheta}{4f^2} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{r^2\cos\vartheta}{4f^2} & \frac{r^2}{4f^2} \end{pmatrix}.$$
(4.16)

It is clear that both (4.14) and (4.15) do not depend on the angular coordinate ψ . Indeed, the location of $\psi = 4\pi$ coincides with that of $\psi = 0$, i.e., the ψ -direction is periodic under the translation $\psi \to \psi + 4\pi$. This indicates that there exists isometry along the ψ -direction.

Here we describe the almost complex structure on $\mathbb{C}P^2$. In each local patch, we can express its components as $J^{\mathsf{a}}_{\mathsf{b}} = \mathrm{i}\,\delta^{\mathsf{a}}_{\mathsf{b}}$ (and its complex conjugate $J^{\bar{\mathsf{a}}}_{\bar{\mathsf{b}}} = -\mathrm{i}\,\delta^{\bar{\mathsf{a}}}_{\bar{\mathsf{b}}}$). Under the coordinate transformation from the complex coordinates to the real coordinates, the almost complex structure is transformed in the following way:

$$J^{m}{}_{n} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}^{m}}{\partial \zeta^{\mathbf{a}}} \frac{\partial \zeta^{\mathbf{b}}}{\partial \mathbf{x}^{n}} J^{\mathbf{a}}{}_{\mathbf{b}} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{x}^{m}}{\partial \overline{\zeta^{\mathbf{a}}}} \frac{\partial \overline{\zeta^{\mathbf{b}}}}{\partial \mathbf{x}^{n}} J^{\overline{\mathbf{a}}}{}_{\overline{\mathbf{b}}}. \tag{4.17}$$

Applying (4.12) to this transformation, we obtain the real components of the almost complex structure whose matrix form is

$$J_{n}^{m} = \begin{pmatrix} J_{\sigma}^{r} & J_{\vartheta}^{r} & J_{\varphi}^{r} & J_{\psi}^{r} \\ J_{\sigma}^{\vartheta} & J_{\vartheta}^{\vartheta} & J_{\varphi}^{\vartheta} & J_{\psi}^{\vartheta} \\ J_{\sigma}^{\varphi} & J_{\vartheta}^{\varphi} & J_{\varphi}^{\varphi} & J_{\psi}^{\varphi} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -\frac{r}{2}\cos\vartheta & -\frac{r}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & \sin\vartheta & 0 \\ 0 & -\csc\vartheta & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{2}{r} & \cot\vartheta & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.18}$$

^(*8) The polar coordinates are related to the SU(2) left-invariant one-forms σ_i (i = x, y, z) [20].

Of course this expression keeps the property $J^{m}_{p}J^{p}_{n}=-\delta^{m}_{n}$.

In the case of the fundamental two-form, the transformation from the complex coordinates to the real coordinates is given by

$$\omega = \frac{1}{2} \omega_{\mathsf{a}\bar{\mathsf{b}}} \, \mathrm{d}\zeta^{\mathsf{a}} \wedge \mathrm{d}\overline{\zeta}^{\bar{\mathsf{b}}} = \frac{1}{2} \omega_{\mathsf{a}\bar{\mathsf{b}}} \frac{\partial \zeta^{\mathsf{a}}}{\partial \mathrm{x}^{[m}} \frac{\partial \overline{\zeta}^{\bar{\mathsf{b}}}}{\partial \mathrm{x}^{n]}} \, \mathrm{d}\mathrm{x}^{m} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathrm{x}^{n} = \frac{1}{2} \omega_{mn} \, \mathrm{d}\mathrm{x}^{m} \wedge \mathrm{d}\mathrm{x}^{n}, \qquad (4.19a)$$

$$\omega_{mn} = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{\partial \zeta^{\mathsf{a}}}{\partial \mathbf{x}^{m}} \frac{\partial \overline{\zeta^{\mathsf{b}}}}{\partial \mathbf{x}^{n}} - \frac{\partial \zeta^{\mathsf{a}}}{\partial \mathbf{x}^{n}} \frac{\partial \overline{\zeta^{\mathsf{b}}}}{\partial \mathbf{x}^{m}} \right\} (\mathrm{i}K_{\mathsf{a}\bar{\mathsf{b}}}), \tag{4.19b}$$

where we used the fact that the fundamental two-form can be represented by the Kähler form $\omega_{a\bar{b}} = iK_{a\bar{b}}$. We obtain the components of the fundamental two-form whose matrix form is

$$\omega_{mn} = \begin{pmatrix} \omega_{rr} & \omega_{r\vartheta} & \omega_{r\varphi} & \omega_{r\psi} \\ \omega_{\vartheta r} & \omega_{\vartheta\vartheta} & \omega_{\vartheta\varphi} & \omega_{\vartheta\psi} \\ \omega_{\varphi r} & \omega_{\varphi\vartheta} & \omega_{\varphi\varphi} & \omega_{\varphi\psi} \\ \omega_{\psi r} & \omega_{\psi\vartheta} & \omega_{\psi\varphi} & \omega_{\psi\psi} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \frac{r\cos\vartheta}{2f^2} & \frac{r}{2f^2} \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{r^2\sin\vartheta}{4f} & 0 \\ -\frac{r\cos\vartheta}{2f^2} & \frac{r^2\sin\vartheta}{4f} & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{r}{2f^2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
(4.20)

Since $\mathbb{C}P^2$ is Kähler, the set of the metric (4.16), almost complex structure (4.18), and fundamental two-form (4.20) satisfies the almost hermitian structure (2.1). Since the fundamental two-form is closed, there are no torsion terms. Furthermore, since the almost complex structure is covariantly constant with respect to the Christoffel symbol, the Nijenhuis tensor vanishes.

T-duality along ψ -direction

We perform the T-duality transformation along the ψ -direction of the complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^2$. We are interested in the contorsion tensor, the affine connection, and the integrability condition on the T-dualized space. The Buscher rule (2.13) mixes the metric, B-field and dilaton. Analogously, the rule (2.14) mixes the almost complex structure and fundamental two-form. Here, for simplicity, we choose the B-field and dilaton on $\mathbb{C}P^2$ to be trivial. Then the T-duality transformation of the almost hermitian structure is described.

First, we exhibit the metric after the T-duality transformation by the Buscher rule (2.13):

$$g'_{mn} = \begin{pmatrix} g'_{rr} & g'_{r\vartheta} & g'_{r\varphi} & g'_{r\tilde{\psi}} \\ g'_{\vartheta r} & g'_{\vartheta\vartheta} & g'_{\vartheta\varphi} & g'_{\vartheta\tilde{\psi}} \\ g'_{\varphi r} & g'_{\varphi\vartheta} & g'_{\varphi\varphi} & g'_{\varphi\tilde{\psi}} \\ g'_{\tilde{\psi}r} & g'_{\tilde{\psi}\vartheta} & g'_{\tilde{\psi}\varphi} & g'_{\tilde{\psi}\tilde{\psi}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{f^2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{r^2}{4f} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{r^2 \sin^2 \vartheta}{4f} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{4f^2}{r^2} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.21a}$$

The B-field on the T-dualized space is generated by the Buscher rule:

The dilaton is generated as $\phi' = \frac{1}{2} \log(4f^2/r^2)$, which we do not investigate in this work. By using the rule (2.14), we obtain the explicit form of the T-dualized almost complex structures

$$(J'_{\pm})^{m}{}_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} (J'_{\pm})^{r}{}_{r} & (J'_{\pm})^{r}{}_{\vartheta} & (J'_{\pm})^{r}{}_{\varphi} & (J'_{\pm})^{r}{}_{\tilde{\psi}} \\ (J'_{\pm})^{\vartheta}{}_{r} & (J'_{\pm})^{\vartheta}{}_{\vartheta} & (J'_{\pm})^{\vartheta}{}_{\varphi} & (J'_{\pm})^{\vartheta}{}_{\tilde{\psi}} \\ (J'_{\pm})^{\varphi}{}_{r} & (J'_{\pm})^{\varphi}{}_{\vartheta} & (J'_{\pm})^{\varphi}{}_{\varphi} & (J'_{\pm})^{\varphi}{}_{\tilde{\psi}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \pm \frac{2f^{2}}{r} \\ 0 & 0 & \sin \vartheta & 0 \\ 0 & -\csc \vartheta & 0 & 0 \\ \mp \frac{r}{2f^{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (4.23)

We can check that the commuting property of the almost complex structures is preserved. In the same way, we obtain the explicit form of the fundamental two-forms

$$(\omega'_{\pm})_{mn} = \begin{pmatrix} (\omega'_{\pm})_{rr} & (\omega'_{\pm})_{r\vartheta} & (\omega'_{\pm})_{r\varphi} & (\omega'_{\pm})_{r\tilde{\psi}} \\ (\omega'_{\pm})_{\vartheta r} & (\omega'_{\pm})_{\vartheta\vartheta} & (\omega'_{\pm})_{\vartheta\varphi} & (\omega'_{\pm})_{\vartheta\tilde{\psi}} \\ (\omega'_{\pm})_{\varphi r} & (\omega'_{\pm})_{\varphi\vartheta} & (\omega'_{\pm})_{\varphi\varphi} & (\omega'_{\pm})_{\varphi\tilde{\psi}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \mp \frac{2}{r} \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{r^2 \sin \vartheta}{4f} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{r^2 \sin \vartheta}{4f} & 0 & 0 \\ \pm \frac{2}{r} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (4.24)

Notice that they are no longer closed $d\omega'_{+} \neq 0$.

We would like to explore integrability of the almost complex structures (4.23). The Christoffel symbol is given in terms of the T-dualized metric (4.21) as follows:

$$\Gamma'_{mn}{}^{p} = \begin{pmatrix} \Gamma'_{rr}{}^{p} & \Gamma'_{r\vartheta}{}^{p} & \Gamma'_{r\varphi}{}^{p} & \Gamma'_{r\tilde{\psi}}{}^{p} \\ \Gamma'_{\vartheta r}{}^{p} & \Gamma'_{\vartheta\vartheta}{}^{p} & \Gamma'_{\vartheta\varphi}{}^{p} & \Gamma'_{\vartheta\tilde{\psi}}{}^{p} \\ \Gamma'_{\varphi r}{}^{p} & \Gamma'_{\varphi\vartheta}{}^{p} & \Gamma'_{\varphi\varphi}{}^{p} & \Gamma'_{\varphi\tilde{\psi}}{}^{p} \\ \Gamma'_{\tilde{\psi}r}{}^{p} & \Gamma'_{\tilde{\psi}\vartheta}{}^{p} & \Gamma'_{\tilde{\psi}\varphi}{}^{p} & \Gamma'_{\tilde{\psi}\tilde{\psi}}{}^{p} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(4.25a)$$

$$\Gamma'_{mn}{}^{r} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{2cr}{f} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{r}{4} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{r\sin^{2}\vartheta}{4} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{4f^{3}(1-cr^{2})}{r^{3}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Gamma'_{mn}{}^{\vartheta} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{rf} & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{rf} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\cos\vartheta\sin\vartheta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.25b)$$

$$\Gamma'_{mn}{}^{\varphi} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{rf} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \cot \vartheta & 0\\ \frac{1}{rf} & \cot \vartheta & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \Gamma'_{mn}{}^{\tilde{\psi}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{-1+cr^2}{rf}\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\\ \frac{-1+cr^2}{rf} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.25c}$$

The field-strength $H'_{mnp} = 3\partial'_{[m}B'_{np]}$ yields a tensor $C'_{mn}{}^p$ by contracting with the inverse metric g'^{mn} as expressed in (2.7):

$$C'_{mn}^{\ p} = \begin{pmatrix} C'_{rr}^{\ p} & C'_{r\vartheta}^{\ p} & C'_{r\varphi}^{\ p} & C'_{r\tilde{\psi}}^{\ p} \\ C'_{\vartheta r}^{\ p} & C'_{\vartheta\vartheta}^{\ p} & C'_{\vartheta\vartheta}^{\ p} & C'_{\vartheta\tilde{\psi}}^{\ p} \\ C'_{\varphi r}^{\ p} & C'_{\varphi\vartheta}^{\ p} & C'_{\varphi\vartheta}^{\ p} & C'_{\varphi\tilde{\psi}}^{\ p} \\ C'_{\varphi r}^{\ p} & C'_{\vartheta\vartheta}^{\ p} & C'_{\varphi\vartheta}^{\ p} & C'_{\varphi\tilde{\psi}}^{\ p} \\ C'_{\vartheta\tilde{\tau}}^{\ p} & C'_{\vartheta\tilde{\vartheta}}^{\ p} & C'_{\vartheta\tilde{\vartheta}}^{\ p} & C'_{\vartheta\tilde{\vartheta}}^{\ p} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(4.26a)$$

$$C'_{mn}^{\varphi} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{4f \csc \vartheta}{r^2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{4f \csc \vartheta}{r^2} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad C'_{mn}^{\tilde{\psi}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{r^2 \sin \vartheta}{4f^2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{r^2 \sin \vartheta}{4f^2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4.26c}$$

This is regarded as the contorsion tensor on the T-dualized space. Combining this with the Christoffel symbol (4.25), we describe the affine connection $\hat{\Gamma}'_{mn}{}^p$ (see, for details, Appendix A). With respect to this affine connection, we evaluate the covariant derivative of the almost complex structures (4.23):

$$\hat{\nabla}'_{m}(J'_{+})^{p}_{n} = 0. {4.27}$$

Analogously, we evaluate the covariant derivative of the fundamental two-forms (4.24):

$$\hat{\nabla}'_{m}(\omega'_{+})_{np} = 0. {4.28}$$

We also observe that the Nijenhuis tensors and \mathscr{C} -tensors vanish:

$$(N'_{+})^{p}_{mn} = 0, \quad (\mathscr{C}'_{+})^{p}_{mn} = 0.$$
 (4.29)

This implies that the almost complex structures (4.23) are integrable even after the T-duality transformation. Hence we conclude that the T-dualized space along the ψ -direction of $\mathbb{C}P^2$ is a complex space with contorsion (4.26), where the almost complex structures are covariantly constant. This is a typical example of non-Kähler geometries with isometry.

4.4.2 Taub-NUT and its T-duality

In this part, we consider a hyper-Kähler space with isometry and its T-dualized systems. A hyper-Kähler space has a set of three integrable complex structures J_a without torsion, where the subscript runs a = 1, 2, 3. Then the associated fundamental two-forms ω_a are all closed $d\omega_a = 0$. In the literature, string sigma models with worldsheet supersymmetry on KK-monopoles and H-monopoles [21, 22, 23] have been deeply investigated. In an analogous way, an exotic 5^2_2 -brane from KK-monopoles has been also analyzed (see, for instance, [12] and references therein). A gauged linear sigma model on this exotic brane [24] is successfully constructed in terms of two-dimensional chiral superfields, where integrability of the background space of the exotic brane is implicitly admitted. Once the superfield formalism is applicable, we can analyze its worldsheet instanton corrections [25, 26, 27] following from [21, 22, 23]. This is a typical example which represents the existence of the integrability condition implicitly.

Taub-NUT space (KK-monopole)

We introduce the Taub-NUT space given by four-dimensional space with coordinates x^m (m = 1, 2, 3, 4). This space is a noncompact hyper-Kähler, which is characterized by the metric g_{mn} ,

complex structures J_a and fundamental two-forms ω_a (a=1,2,3). On this space the B-field B_{mn} and dilaton ϕ are trivial. They are expressed in the following way:

$$ds^{2} = H dx_{123}^{2} + H^{-1} (dx^{4} + A_{i} dx^{i})^{2}, \quad B_{mn} = 0, \quad e^{2\phi} = 1.$$
 (4.30)

We introduce a harmonic function H and a vector potential A_i on the flat three-dimensional subspace expanded by x^{i} (i = 1, 2, 3). They are given by

$$H = 1 + \frac{\ell_0}{\sqrt{2}r}, \quad r^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{3} (x^i)^2, \quad \partial_{[i}A_{j]} = \frac{1}{2!} \epsilon_{ijk} \partial_k H,$$
 (4.31)

where ℓ_0 is a constant. We notice that ϵ_{ijk} is the Levi-Civita symbol on the three-dimensional subspace. Since the B-field is trivial, its field-strength is also trivial $C_{mn}^{p} = 0$. The matrix form of the metric g_{mn} is useful in later discussions:

$$g_{mn} = \begin{pmatrix} g_{11} & g_{12} & g_{13} & g_{14} \\ g_{21} & g_{22} & g_{23} & g_{24} \\ g_{31} & g_{32} & g_{33} & g_{34} \\ g_{41} & g_{42} & g_{43} & g_{44} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{H} \begin{pmatrix} H^2 + (A_1)^2 & A_1 A_2 & A_1 A_3 & A_1 \\ A_1 A_2 & H^2 + (A_2)^2 & A_2 A_3 & A_2 \\ A_1 A_3 & A_2 A_3 & H^2 + (A_3)^2 & A_3 \\ A_1 & A_2 & A_3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$
(4.32)

Analogously, we also express the matrix form of the complex structures

$$(J_a)^m{}_n = \begin{pmatrix} (J_a)^1{}_1 & (J_a)^1{}_2 & (J_a)^1{}_3 & (J_a)^1{}_4 \\ (J_a)^2{}_1 & (J_a)^2{}_2 & (J_a)^2{}_3 & (J_a)^2{}_4 \\ (J_a)^3{}_1 & (J_a)^3{}_2 & (J_a)^3{}_3 & (J_a)^3{}_4 \\ (J_a)^4{}_1 & (J_a)^4{}_2 & (J_a)^4{}_3 & (J_a)^4{}_4 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(4.33a)$$

whose expressions are given as

$$(J_1)^m{}_n = -\frac{1}{H} \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & A_2 & A_3 & 1\\ 0 & 0 & H & 0\\ 0 & -H & 0 & 0\\ -H^2 - (A_1)^2 & -A_1A_2 + A_3H & -A_1A_3 - A_2H & -A_1 \end{pmatrix},$$
 (4.33b)

$$(J_2)^m{}_n = -\frac{1}{H} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -H & 0 \\ A_1 & A_2 & A_3 & 1 \\ H & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -A_1A_2 - A_3H & -H^2 - (A_2)^2 & -A_2A_3 + A_1H & -A_2 \end{pmatrix},$$
 (4.33c)

$$(J_{2})^{m}{}_{n} = -\frac{1}{H} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -H & 0 \\ A_{1} & A_{2} & A_{3} & 1 \\ H & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -A_{1}A_{2} - A_{3}H & -H^{2} - (A_{2})^{2} & -A_{2}A_{3} + A_{1}H & -A_{2} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (4.33c)$$

$$(J_{3})^{m}{}_{n} = -\frac{1}{H} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & H & 0 & 0 \\ -H & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ A_{1} & A_{2} & A_{3} & 1 \\ -A_{1}A_{3} + A_{2}H & -A_{2}A_{3} - A_{1}H & -H^{2} - (A_{3})^{2} & -A_{3} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (4.33d)$$

and the matrix form of the fundamental two-forms ω_a :

$$(\omega_{1})_{mn} = \begin{pmatrix} (\omega_{1})_{11} & (\omega_{1})_{12} & (\omega_{1})_{13} & (\omega_{1})_{14} \\ (\omega_{1})_{21} & (\omega_{1})_{22} & (\omega_{1})_{23} & (\omega_{1})_{24} \\ (\omega_{1})_{31} & (\omega_{1})_{32} & (\omega_{1})_{33} & (\omega_{1})_{34} \\ (\omega_{1})_{41} & (\omega_{1})_{42} & (\omega_{1})_{43} & (\omega_{1})_{44} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A_{2} & A_{3} & 1 \\ -A_{2} & 0 & H & 0 \\ -A_{3} & -H & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
 (4.34a)

$$(\omega_2)_{mn} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -A_1 & -H & 0 \\ A_1 & 0 & A_3 & 1 \\ H & -A_3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
 (4.34b)

$$(\omega_3)_{mn} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & H & -A_1 & 0 \\ -H & 0 & -A_2 & 0 \\ A_1 & A_2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (4.34c)

Due to (4.31), we immediately find that the fundamental two-forms are closed $d\omega_a = 0$. We also find that the Nijenhuis tensors $(N_a)^p{}_{mn}$, associated with the respective complex structures J_a via (2.12a), vanish. Hence we understand that all J_a are integrable.

H-monopole (smeared NS5-brane)

Let us perform the T-duality transformation along the isometry direction y=4, in order to describe the H-monopole (or, called the smeared NS5-brane) background data $(g', J'_{a,\pm}, \omega'_{a,\pm})$. The metric, B-field and dilaton are described as

$$g'_{mn} = \begin{pmatrix} H & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & H & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & H & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & H \end{pmatrix}, \quad B'_{mn} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & A_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & A_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & A_3 \\ -A_1 & -A_2 & -A_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad e^{2\phi'} = H.$$
 (4.35)

Each complex stricture J_a on the Taub-NUT space is split into commuting objects $J'_{a,\pm}$ in the following way:

$$(J'_{1,+})^m{}_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1\\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0\\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0\\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (J'_{1,-})^m{}_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -1\\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0\\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0\\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
 (4.36a)

$$(J'_{2,+})^m{}_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (J'_{2,-})^m{}_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
 (4.36b)

$$(J'_{3,+})^m{}_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (J'_{3,-})^m{}_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (4.36c)

The fundamental two-forms are also split as follows:

$$(\omega'_{1,+})_{mn} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -H \\ 0 & 0 & H & 0 \\ 0 & -H & 0 & 0 \\ H & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\omega'_{1,-})_{mn} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & H \\ 0 & 0 & H & 0 \\ 0 & -H & 0 & 0 \\ -H & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
 (4.37a)

$$(\omega_{2,+}')_{mn} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -H & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -H \\ H & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & H & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\omega_{2,-}')_{mn} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -H & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & H \\ H & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -H & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
 (4.37b)

$$(\omega_{3,+}')_{mn} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & H & 0 & 0 \\ -H & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -H \\ 0 & 0 & H & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\omega_{3,-}')_{mn} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & H & 0 & 0 \\ -H & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & H \\ 0 & 0 & -H & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (4.37c)

Since the almost complex structures (4.36) are covariantly constant (4.6) in the presence of torsion, worldsheet supersymmetry can be introduced [7]. Furthermore, the vanishing Nijenhuis tensors (4.8) indicate that complex-valued superfields can be utilized to construct the string sigma model for the H-monopole [21].

Exotic 5_2^2 -brane

Here we go back to the original Taub-NUT space (4.30). Smearing the 3-direction of the Taub-NUT space, and performing the T-duality transformation along this direction, we obtain the exotic 5_2^2 -brane background data $(\tilde{g}, \tilde{J}_{a,\pm}, \tilde{\omega}_{a,\pm})$ with two isometry directions m = 3, 4. The metric, B-field and dilaton are nontrivially described as

$$H = h_0 + \sigma \log \left(\frac{\mu}{\rho}\right), \quad A_3 = -\sigma \vartheta, \quad K = H^2 + (A_3)^2,$$
 (4.38b)

$$\varrho^2 = (x^1)^2 + (x^2)^2, \quad \vartheta = \arctan\left(\frac{x^2}{x^1}\right),$$
(4.38c)

where h_0 , σ and μ are constants, while ϱ and ϑ are the radial and angular coordinates on the (x^1, x^2) -plane. Analogous to the H-monopole system, the complex structures J_a are split into $\tilde{J}_{a,\pm}$ in the following way:

$$(\tilde{J}_{1,+})^{m}{}_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & A_{3}/K & -H/K \\ 0 & 0 & H/K & A_{3}/K \\ -A_{3} & -H & 0 & 0 \\ H & -A_{3} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\tilde{J}_{1,-})^{m}{}_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -A_{3}/K & -H/K \\ 0 & 0 & -H/K & A_{3}/K \\ A_{3} & H & 0 & 0 \\ H & -A_{3} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.39a)$$

$$(\tilde{J}_{2,+})^{m}{}_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -H/K & -A_{3}/K \\ 0 & 0 & A_{3}/K & -H/K \\ H & -A_{3} & 0 & 0 \\ A_{3} & H & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\tilde{J}_{2,-})^{m}{}_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & H/K & -A_{3}/K \\ 0 & 0 & -A_{3}/K & -H/K \\ -H & A_{3} & 0 & 0 \\ A_{3} & H & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.39b)$$

$$(\tilde{J}_{2,+})^m{}_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -H/K & -A_3/K \\ 0 & 0 & A_3/K & -H/K \\ H & -A_3 & 0 & 0 \\ A_3 & H & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\tilde{J}_{2,-})^m{}_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & H/K & -A_3/K \\ 0 & 0 & -A_3/K & -H/K \\ -H & A_3 & 0 & 0 \\ A_3 & H & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.39b)$$

$$(\tilde{J}_{3,+})^m{}_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (\tilde{J}_{3,-})^m{}_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (4.39c)

These almost complex structures commute with each other and are integrable [17]. This commuting property is consistent with that of the gauged linear sigma model for the exotic five-brane description [24], where the model is written in terms of $\mathcal{N} = (2,2)$ chiral and twisted chiral superfields (or their relaxed types [28]), rather than $\mathcal{N} = (4,4)$ superfields [16, 9]^(*9). Associated with these complex structures, the fundamental two-forms are also given by

$$(\tilde{\omega}_{1,+})_{mn} = \frac{H}{K} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -A_3 & H \\ 0 & 0 & -H & -A_3 \\ A_3 & H & 0 & 0 \\ -H & A_3 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\tilde{\omega}_{1,-})_{mn} = \frac{H}{K} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & A_3 & H \\ 0 & 0 & H & -A_3 \\ -A_3 & -H & 0 & 0 \\ -H & A_3 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
(4.40a)

$$(\tilde{\omega}_{2,+})_{mn} = \frac{H}{K} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & H & A_3 \\ 0 & 0 & -A_3 & H \\ -H & A_3 & 0 & 0 \\ -A_3 & -H & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\tilde{\omega}_{2,-})_{mn} = \frac{H}{K} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -H & A_3 \\ 0 & 0 & A_3 & H \\ H & -A_3 & 0 & 0 \\ -A_3 & -H & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$
(4.40b)

$$(\tilde{\omega}_{3,+})_{mn} = \frac{H}{K} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & K & 0 & 0 \\ -K & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (\tilde{\omega}_{3,-})_{mn} = \frac{H}{K} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & K & 0 & 0 \\ -K & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (4.40c)

This result is newly appeared because we did not discuss the smearing procedure to generate a new isometry in our previous work [17]. Here we show that our T-duality manipulation is also applicable to a geometry with multiple isometry directions. By concrete analysis, we immediately find that the almost complex structures (4.39) are still integrable, even after the smearing procedure. Notice that they are subject to a nontrivial monodromy structure occurred by the angular coordinate shift $\vartheta \to \vartheta + 2\pi$ on the (x^1, x^2) -plane because K is shifted $H^2 \to H^2 + (2\pi\sigma)^2$.

5 Conclusion and discussions

In this article, we analyzed T-duality transformation on almost bi-hermitian spaces with isometry, in which two almost complex structures commute with each other. First, we wrote down all of the components of geometrical objects after T-duality transformation. Next, we discussed target spaces of string sigma models and introduced certain constraints on them. In the main discussion, we investigated the T-duality transformation of various tensors in the absence of worldsheet supersymmetry. In a general hermitian space, T-duality breaks integrability of the almost complex structures. As a special case, however, if we imposed the Kähler condition on \mathcal{M} , its T-dualized

^(*9)In this article, we do not explore a description in the worldsheet $\mathcal{N}=(4,4)$ superfield formalism.

space \mathcal{M}' admits that the almost complex structures are still integrable, even though they are no longer covariantly constant. We summarized our results in Table 2 (see, as comparisons, Table 1 in Section 4.2 and Table 3 in Appendix B). As typical examples, we demonstrated the T-duality behavior of the complex projective space $\mathbb{C}P^2$ and Taub-NUT space, where the existence of world-sheet supersymmetry is not a serious issue.

We concentrated on behaviors of almost complex structures. As an application, we would like to investigate the almost complex structures on the background geometry of the various defect (p,q) five-branes [29, 30]. There also exist other geometrical objects [31] such as (i) the Bismut torsion, which describes the property of torsion; (ii) the Riemann curvature with torsion, which is directly connected to the Einstein equation; (iii) the Lee-form, which relates the torsion and dilaton in the geometrical viewpoint, and so forth. It would be interesting to investigate them in the framework of conventional geometry and of DFT, as discussed in [32, 33, 34].

In this article, Abelian T-duality associated with a translational Killing symmetry is considered. There exists another type of T-duality associated with a rotational Killing symmetry, which appears in $\mathcal{N}=4$ extended worldsheet supersymmetry [9, 10, 11]. When this type of T-duality is considered, the description in Section 3 should be modified.

It would be also important to study a space with non-commuting almost complex structures [35, 36, 37] when torsionful geometry is classified and understood completely. Such a geometry is suitable with a sigma model given by semi-chiral superfields [38, 35, 36, 39, 40]. They are also applied to a geometry with monodromy [41, 28], for instance. If the considering space possesses vanishing Nijenhuis tensor(s), there exist integrable complex structure(s), and it becomes guaranteed that the string sigma model can be described in terms of *complex-valued* superfields such as chiral, twisted chiral, and/or semi-chiral superfields. Depending on the property of T-duality, some of them are related with each other under the Legendre transformation [13, 14, 15] in the superfield formalism.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics at Kyoto University. Discussions during the YITP workshop YITP-W-24-08 on "Strings and Fields 2024" were useful to complete this work. This work is supported in part by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C), JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP23K03398 (TK).

Appendix

A T-duality transformation of affine connection

In this appendix, we generally write down the T-duality transformation rule of the affine connection on an almost bi-hermitian space. All of them can be derived in terms of the Buscher rule (2.13) and (2.14). We refer to the T-dualized Christoffel symbol, contorsion tensor, and affine connection as $\Gamma'_{mn}{}^p$, $C'_{mn}{}^p$ and $\hat{\Gamma}'_{mn}{}^p$, respectively.

First, we express the Christoffel symbol. All components are given as follows:

$$\Gamma'_{yy}{}^{y} = \frac{1}{(g_{yy})^2} \Gamma_{yy}{}^{m} B_{my},$$
(A.1a)

$$\Gamma'_{yy}^{k} = -\frac{1}{(g_{yy})^2} \Gamma_{yy}^{k}, \tag{A.1b}$$

$$\Gamma'_{iy}{}^{y} = \frac{1}{2g_{yy}} C_{iy}{}^{m} B_{my} + \frac{1}{(g_{yy})^{2}} \Gamma_{yy}{}^{m} (B_{my} B_{iy} + g_{yy} g_{im}), \qquad (A.1c)$$

$$\Gamma'_{iy}^{k} = -\frac{1}{2g_{yy}}C_{iy}^{k} - \frac{1}{(g_{yy})^{2}}\Gamma_{yy}^{k}B_{iy}, \qquad (A.1d)$$

$$\Gamma'_{ij}{}^{y} = -\Gamma_{ij}{}^{m} B_{my} + \frac{1}{g_{yy}} \Gamma_{iy}{}^{m} B_{my} g_{jy} + \frac{1}{g_{yy}} \Gamma_{jy}{}^{m} B_{my} g_{iy} + \frac{1}{g_{yy}} \Gamma_{yy}{}^{m} (g_{mi} B_{jy} + g_{mj} B_{iy}) - \frac{1}{(g_{yy})^{2}} \Gamma_{yy}{}^{m} B_{my} (g_{iy} g_{jy} - B_{iy} B_{jy}) + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{i} B_{jy} + \partial_{j} B_{iy}) - \frac{1}{2g_{my}} B_{my} (C_{yi}{}^{m} B_{jy} + C_{yj}{}^{m} B_{iy}),$$
(A.1e)

$$\Gamma'_{ij}{}^{k} = \Gamma_{ij}{}^{k} - \frac{1}{g_{yy}} \Gamma_{iy}{}^{k} g_{jy} - \frac{1}{g_{yy}} \Gamma_{jy}{}^{k} g_{iy} + \frac{1}{(g_{yy})^{2}} \Gamma_{yy}{}^{k} (g_{iy} g_{jy} - B_{iy} B_{jy}) + \frac{1}{2g_{yy}} (C_{yi}{}^{k} B_{jy} + C_{yj}{}^{k} B_{iy}).$$
(A.1f)

Next, we exhibit the transformation rule of the contorsion tensor. The components are described in the following way:

$$C'_{iy}^{y} = \frac{2}{(g_{yy})^2} (\Gamma_{iy}^{m} g_{yy} - \Gamma_{yy}^{m} g_{iy}) B_{my},$$
 (A.2a)

$$C'_{iy}^{k} = -\frac{2}{(g_{yy})^{2}} \left(\Gamma_{iy}^{k} g_{yy} - \Gamma_{yy}^{k} g_{iy}\right),$$
 (A.2b)

$$C'_{ij}{}^{y} = -C_{ij}{}^{m} B_{my} + \frac{1}{g_{yy}} C_{yj}{}^{m} B_{my} g_{iy} - \frac{1}{g_{yy}} C_{yi}{}^{m} B_{my} g_{jy}$$

$$- \Gamma_{jy}{}^{m} \left(g_{mi} + \frac{2}{g_{yy}} B_{my} B_{iy} \right) + \Gamma_{iy}{}^{m} \left(g_{mj} + \frac{2}{g_{yy}} B_{my} B_{jy} \right)$$

$$+ \frac{2}{g_{yy}} \Gamma_{yy}{}^{m} g_{jy} \left(g_{mi} + \frac{1}{g_{yy}} B_{my} B_{iy} \right) - \frac{2}{g_{yy}} \Gamma_{yy}{}^{m} g_{iy} \left(g_{mj} + \frac{1}{g_{yy}} B_{my} B_{jy} \right), \qquad (A.2c)$$

$$C'_{ij}^{k} = C_{ij}^{k} - \frac{1}{g_{yy}} (g_{iy} C_{yj}^{k} + g_{jy} C_{iy}^{k}) - \frac{2}{(g_{yy})^{2}} \left\{ (\Gamma_{iy}^{k} B_{jy} - \Gamma_{jy}^{k} B_{iy}) g_{yy} + \Gamma_{yy}^{k} (B_{iy} g_{jy} - g_{iy} B_{jy}) \right\}.$$
(A.2d)

Combining the above two descriptions, we obtain the T-duality transformation rule of the affine connection with torsion. The explicit form of the components is written as follows:

$$\hat{\Gamma}'_{yy}^{y} = \frac{1}{(g_{yy})^2} \Gamma_{yy}^{m} B_{my}, \qquad (A.3a)$$

$$\hat{\Gamma}_{yy}^{\prime k} = -\frac{1}{(g_{yy})^2} \Gamma_{yy}^{k}, \qquad (A.3b)$$

$$\hat{\Gamma}'_{iy}{}^{y} = \frac{1}{g_{yy}} \Gamma_{yy}{}^{m} g_{mi} + \frac{2\xi}{g_{yy}} \left(\Gamma_{iy}{}^{m} + \frac{1}{4\xi} C_{iy}{}^{m} \right) B_{my} - \frac{2\xi}{(g_{yy})^{2}} \Gamma_{yy}{}^{m} \left(g_{iy} - \frac{1}{2\xi} B_{iy} \right) B_{my} , \quad (A.3c)$$

$$\hat{\Gamma}'_{iy}{}^{k} = -\frac{2\xi}{g_{yy}} \left(\Gamma_{iy}{}^{k} + \frac{1}{4\xi} C_{iy}{}^{k} \right) + \frac{2\xi}{(g_{yy})^{2}} \Gamma_{yy}{}^{k} \left(g_{iy} - \frac{1}{2\xi} B_{iy} \right), \tag{A.3d}$$

$$\hat{\Gamma}'_{ij}{}^{y} = -(\Gamma_{ij}{}^{m} + \xi C_{ij}{}^{m}) B_{my} + \xi \left(\Gamma_{iy}{}^{m} + \frac{1}{4\xi} C_{iy}{}^{m}\right) g_{mj} - \xi \left(\Gamma_{yj}{}^{m} + \frac{1}{4\xi} C_{yj}{}^{m}\right) g_{mi}
+ \frac{1}{g_{yy}} \left(\Gamma_{iy}{}^{m} + \xi C_{iy}{}^{m}\right) B_{my} g_{jy} + \frac{1}{g_{yy}} \left(\Gamma_{yj}{}^{m} + \xi C_{yj}{}^{m}\right) B_{my} g_{iy}
+ \frac{2\xi}{g_{yy}} \left(\Gamma_{iy}{}^{m} + \frac{1}{4\xi} C_{iy}{}^{m}\right) B_{my} B_{jy} - \frac{2\xi}{g_{yy}} \left(\Gamma_{yj}{}^{m} + \frac{1}{4\xi} C_{yj}{}^{m}\right) B_{my} B_{iy}
+ \frac{2\xi}{g_{yy}} \Gamma_{yy}{}^{m} g_{mi} \left(g_{jy} + \frac{1}{2\xi} B_{jy}\right) - \frac{2\xi}{g_{yy}} \Gamma_{yy}{}^{m} g_{mj} \left(g_{iy} - \frac{1}{2\xi} B_{iy}\right)
- \frac{1}{(g_{yy})^{2}} \Gamma_{yy}{}^{m} B_{my} g_{iy} \left(g_{jy} + 2\xi B_{jy}\right) + \frac{2\xi}{(g_{yy})^{2}} \Gamma_{yy}{}^{m} B_{my} B_{iy} \left(g_{jy} + \frac{1}{2\xi} B_{jy}\right)
+ \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_{i} B_{jy} + \partial_{j} B_{iy}\right), \tag{A.3e}$$

$$\hat{\Gamma}'_{ij}{}^{k} = (\Gamma_{ij}{}^{k} + \xi C_{ij}{}^{k}) - \frac{1}{g_{yy}} (\Gamma_{iy}{}^{k} + \xi C_{iy}{}^{k}) g_{jy} - \frac{1}{g_{yy}} (\Gamma_{yj}{}^{k} + \xi C_{yj}{}^{k}) g_{iy}
- \frac{2\xi}{g_{yy}} (\Gamma_{iy}{}^{k} + \frac{1}{4\xi} C_{iy}{}^{k}) B_{jy} + \frac{2\xi}{g_{yy}} (\Gamma_{yj}{}^{k} + \frac{1}{4\xi} C_{yj}{}^{k}) B_{iy}
+ \frac{1}{(g_{yy})^{2}} \Gamma_{yy}{}^{k} g_{iy} (g_{jy} + 2\xi B_{jy}) - \frac{2\xi}{(g_{yy})^{2}} \Gamma_{yy}{}^{k} B_{iy} (g_{jy} + \frac{1}{2\xi} B_{jy}).$$
(A.3f)

In the above expression we formally used the constant ξ . This has been already set to $\pm \frac{1}{2}$ (2.13c) when the affine connection acts on geometrical objects with subscripts \pm which represent the worldsheet chirality (or holomorphy).

B Existing worldsheet supersymmetry

In this appendix, we briefly review various target spaces of string sigma models with worldsheet supersymmetry as discussed in $[7, 8]^{(*10)}$. In this case, the torsionful covariant derivatives of almost complex structures vanish^(*11). We consider a general discussion without any geometrical restrictions in the presence of worldsheet supersymmetry. We prove that the integrability condition of almost complex structures is preserved under T-duality. We also discuss the Bismut torsion appearing in various string flux compactification scenarios.

Consider a string sigma model with supersymmetry. As discussed in [7, 8], the worldsheet supersymmetry invariance yields

$$\hat{\nabla}_m (J_{\pm})^n_{\ p} = 0. \tag{B.1}$$

This also implies $\hat{\nabla}_m(\omega_{\pm})_{np} = 0$ by the metricity condition (2.10). We thus immediately find that the covariantized $(\hat{N}_{\pm})_{mn}^p$ (2.12b) vanishes:

$$(\hat{N}_{\pm})^{p}_{mn} = 0. (B.2)$$

Since the worldsheet supersymmetry is preserved under T-duality transformation [16, 17], $\hat{\nabla}'_m(J'_{\pm})^n{}_p$ (3.2) should vanish. Then the tensors $(\hat{N}'_{\pm})^p{}_{mn}$ vanish automatically. By using the metricity condition (3.1), $\hat{\nabla}'_m(\omega_{\pm})_{np}$ (3.3) should also vanish. Furthermore, if there exist two commuting supersymmetries acting on the considering string sigma model Lagrangian \mathcal{L} , i.e., if δ and $\hat{\delta}$ denote worldsheet supersymmetry variations and satisfy $[\delta, \hat{\delta}]\mathcal{L} = 0$ [8]^(*12), the \mathscr{C} -tensors before T-duality vanish:

$$(\mathscr{C}_{+})^{p}_{mn} = 0. ag{B.3}$$

This indicates that the \mathscr{C} -tensors after the T-duality transformation (3.5) vanish. Substituting (B.2) and (B.3) into (2.12c), we find that the Nijenhuis tensors $(N_{\pm})^p{}_{mn}$ before the T-duality transformation vanish. Combining the above results with (3.4), we finally obtain the result that the Nijenhuis tensors $(N'_{\pm})^p{}_{mn}$ after the T-duality transformation also vanish:

$$(N'_{\pm})^p{}_{mn} = \mp (\mathscr{C}'_{\pm})^p{}_{mn} = 0.$$
 (B.4)

Therefore, we conclude that the almost complex structures $(J'_{\pm})^m{}_n$ on the T-dualized space \mathcal{M}' are integrable, and we can introduce complex-valued coordinates on it. This guarantees that

 $^{^{(\}star 10)}$ We focus only on rigid supersymmetry. Note that local supersymmetry [8] introduces an additional term in the affine connection (2.4).

^(*11)Covariant constancy is sometimes satisfied even in the absence of worldsheet supersymmetry. For instance, a bosonic sigma model whose target space is Kähler is a typical example, as in Section 4.3.

^(*12) If there exists only one supersymmetry, $\hat{\delta}$ coincides with δ and the commutator is trivially zero.

complex superfields in two-dimensional worldsheet sigma model can be mapped to different complex superfields via the Legendre transformation [13, 14, 15] without breaking integrability.

We have a comment that, if we analyze a supersymmetric string sigma model on a target space with property (B.1) and (B.3), the Bismut torsion plays a central role in string flux compactification scenarios [18, 31, 42]. The definition of the Bismut torsion $T_{mnp}^{(B)}$ is given as

$$(T_{\pm}^{(B)})_{mnp} := \frac{3}{2} (J_{\pm})^{q}{}_{[m} (J_{\pm})^{r}{}_{n} (J_{\pm})^{s}{}_{p]} \partial_{q} (\omega_{\pm})_{rs}$$

$$= \pm \frac{1}{2} H_{mnp} \pm \frac{1}{2} g_{[m|q|} (\mathscr{C}_{\pm})^{q}{}_{np]} + \frac{3}{2} (J_{\pm})^{q}{}_{[m} (J_{\pm})^{r}{}_{n} (J_{\pm})^{s}{}_{p]} \hat{\nabla}_{q} (\omega_{\pm})_{rs}.$$
(B.5)

In the presence of worldsheet supersymmetry, we conclude that (B.5) coincides with the H-flux:

$$(T_{\pm}^{(B)})_{mnp} = \pm \frac{1}{2} H_{mnp}, \quad H_{mnp} = 3 (J_{\pm})^q_{[m} (J_{\pm})^r_n H_{p]qr}.$$
 (B.6)

We summarize the above result in Table 3.

Table 3: T-duality transformation from an almost bi-hermitian space in the presence of worldsheet supersymmetry.

$(g, J_{\pm}, \omega_{\pm})$ on almost bi-hermitian \mathcal{M}	$(g', J'_{\pm}, \omega'_{\pm})$ on T-dualized space \mathcal{M}'
$g_{mn} = (\omega_{\pm})_{mp} \left(J_{\pm}\right)^p{}_n$	$g'_{mn} = (\omega'_{\pm})_{mp} \left(J'_{\pm}\right)^p{}_n$
$(J_{\pm})^m{}_n = -g^{mp} (\omega_{\pm})_{pn}$	$\left(J'_{\pm}\right)^{m}{}_{n} = -g'^{mp}\left(\omega'_{\pm}\right)_{pn}$
$(\omega_{\pm})_{mn} = -g_{mp} \left(J_{\pm}\right)^p{}_n$	$(\omega'_{\pm})_{mn} = -g'_{mp} \left(J'_{\pm}\right)^p{}_n$
$\hat{\nabla}_m g_{np} = 0$	$\hat{\nabla}'_m g'_{np} = 0$
$\hat{\nabla}_m (J_\pm)^p{}_n = 0 \Leftrightarrow \hat{\nabla}_m (\omega_\pm)_{np} = 0$	$\hat{\nabla}'_m (J'_{\pm})^p{}_n = 0 \Leftrightarrow \hat{\nabla}'_m (\omega'_{\pm})_{np} = 0$
$(N_{\pm})^p{}_{mn} = \mp (\mathscr{C}_{\pm})^p{}_{mn}$ (vanish if $[\delta, \hat{\delta}]\mathscr{L} = 0$)	$(N'_{\pm})^p_{mn} = \mp (\mathscr{C}'_{\pm})^p_{mn} \text{ (vanish if } (N_{\pm})^p_{mn} = 0)$

References

- [1] N. Hitchin, "Generalized Calabi-Yau Manifolds," Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. 54 (2003), 281-308, [arXiv:math/0209099 [math.DG]].
- [2] M. Gualtieri, "Generalized Complex Geometry," Ph.D. Thesis in Oxford University, [arXiv:math/0401221 [math.DG]].
- [3] C. Hull and B. Zwiebach, "Double Field Theory," JHEP 09 (2009), 099, [arXiv:0904.4664 [hep-th]].
- [4] W. Siegel, "Two Vierbein Formalism for String Inspired Axionic Gravity," Phys. Rev. D 47 (1993), 5453-5459, [arXiv:hep-th/9302036 [hep-th]],
 "Superspace Duality in Low-energy Superstrings," Phys. Rev. D 48 (1993), 2826-2837, [arXiv:hep-th/9305073 [hep-th]],
 "Manifest Duality in Low-energy Superstrings," [arXiv:hep-th/9308133 [hep-th]].

- [5] T.H. Buscher, "A Symmetry of the String Background Field Equations," Phys. Lett. B **194** (1987), 59-62, "Path Integral Derivation of Quantum Duality in Nonlinear Sigma Models," Phys. Lett. B **201** (1988), 466-472.
- [6] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, "Foundations of Differential Geometry," Wiley Classics Library (vol.I:1963), (vol.II:1969).
 - T. Eguchi, P.B. Gilkey and A.J. Hanson, "Gravitation, Gauge Theories and Differential Geometry," Phys. Rept. 66 (1980), 213.
 - D. Joyce, "Compact Manifolds with Special Holonomy," Oxford University Press (2000).
 - M. Nakahara, "Geometry, Topology and Physics," Second Edition, Institute of Physics (2003).
- [7] S.J. Gates, Jr., C.M. Hull and M. Roček, "Twisted Multiplets and New Supersymmetric Nonlinear Sigma Models," Nucl. Phys. B 248 (1984), 157-186.
- [8] B. de Wit and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, "Rigidly and Locally Supersymmetric Two-dimensional Nonlinear σ Models with Torsion," Nucl. Phys. B **312** (1989), 58-94.
- [9] I. Bakas and K. Sfetsos, "T-duality and Worldsheet Supersymmetry," Phys. Lett. B **349** (1995), 448-457, [arXiv:hep-th/9502065 [hep-th]].
- [10] S.F. Hassan, "T-duality and Nonlocal Supersymmetries," Nucl. Phys. B 460 (1996), 362-378, [arXiv:hep-th/9504148 [hep-th]].
- [11] E. Álvarez, L. Álvarez-Gaumé and I. Bakas, "Supersymmetry and Dualities," Nucl. Phys. B, Proc. Suppl. 46 (1996), 16-29, [arXiv:hep-th/9510028 [hep-th]].
- [12] J. de Boer and M. Shigemori, "Exotic Branes in String Theory," Phys. Rept. **532** (2013), 65-118, [arXiv:1209.6056 [hep-th]].
- [13] M. Roček and E.P. Verlinde, "Duality, Quotients, and Currents," Nucl. Phys. B **373** (1992), 630-646, [arXiv:hep-th/9110053 [hep-th]].
- [14] E. Witten, "Phases of $\mathcal{N}=2$ Theories in Two-dimensions," Nucl. Phys. B **403** (1993), 159-222, [arXiv:hep-th/9301042 [hep-th]].
- [15] K. Hori and C. Vafa, "Mirror Symmetry," [arXiv:hep-th/0002222 [hep-th]].
- [16] S.F. Hassan, "O(d, d; R) Deformations of Complex Structures and Extended Worldsheet Supersymmetry," Nucl. Phys. B **454** (1995), 86-102, [arXiv:hep-th/9408060 [hep-th]].
- [17] T. Kimura, S. Sasaki and K. Shiozawa, "Hyperkähler, Bi-hypercomplex, Generalized Hyperkähler Structures and T-duality," Nucl. Phys. B **981** (2022), 115873, [arXiv:2202.03016 [hep-th]].
- [18] A. Strominger, "Superstrings with Torsion," Nucl. Phys. B 274 (1986), 253.
- [19] G.W. Gibbons and C.N. Pope, " $\mathbb{C}P^2$ as a Gravitational Instanton," Commun. Math. Phys. **61** (1978), 239.
- [20] T. Eguchi and A. J. Hanson, "Self-dual Solutions to Euclidean Gravity," Annals Phys. 120 (1979), 82.
- [21] D. Tong, "NS5-branes, T-duality and Worldsheet Instantons," JHEP 07 (2002), 013, [arXiv:hep-th/0204186 [hep-th]].
- [22] J.A. Harvey and S. Jensen, "Worldsheet Instanton Corrections to the Kaluza-Klein Monopole," JHEP 10 (2005), 028, [arXiv:hep-th/0507204 [hep-th]].
- [23] K. Okuyama, "Linear Sigma Models of H- and KK-monopoles," JHEP 08 (2005), 089, [arXiv:hep-th/0508097 [hep-th]].
- [24] T. Kimura and S. Sasaki, "Gauged Linear Sigma Model for Exotic Five-brane," Nucl. Phys. B 876 (2013), 493-508, [arXiv:1304.4061 [hep-th]].

- [25] T. Kimura and S. Sasaki, "Worldsheet Instanton Corrections to 5²₂-brane Geometry," JHEP **08** (2013), 126, [arXiv:1305.4439 [hep-th]].
- [26] T. Kimura, S. Sasaki and K. Shiozawa, "Worldsheet Instanton Corrections to Five-branes and Waves in Double Field Theory," JHEP **07** (2018), 001, [arXiv:1803.11087 [hep-th]].
- [27] T. Kimura, S. Sasaki and K. Shiozawa, "Complex Structures, T-duality and Worldsheet Instantons in Born Sigma Models," JHEP **06** (2022), 119, [arXiv:2203.03272 [hep-th]].
- [28] T. Kimura, S. Sasaki and K. Shiozawa, "Semi-doubled Gauged Linear Sigma Model for Five-branes of Codimension Two," JHEP 12 (2018), 095, [arXiv:1810.02169 [hep-th]].
- [29] T. Kimura, "Defect (p, q) Five-branes," Nucl. Phys. B **893** (2015), 1-20, [arXiv:1410.8403 [hep-th]].
- [30] T. Kimura, S. Sasaki and M. Yata, "Hyper-Kähler with Torsion, T-duality, and Defect (p,q) Five-branes," JHEP **03** (2015), 076, [arXiv:1411.3457 [hep-th]].
- [31] S. Ivanov and G. Papadopoulos, "Vanishing Theorems and String Backgrounds," Class. Quant. Grav. 18 (2001), 1089-1110, [arXiv:math/0010038 [math.DG]].
- [32] I. Jeon, K. Lee and J.H. Park, "Stringy Differential Geometry, beyond Riemann," Phys. Rev. D 84 (2011), 044022, [arXiv:1105.6294 [hep-th]].
- [33] O. Hohm and B. Zwiebach, "On the Riemann Tensor in Double Field Theory," JHEP **05** (2012), 126, [arXiv:1112.5296 [hep-th]].
- [34] T. Kimura, S. Sasaki and K. Shiozawa, "On Geometries and Monodromies for Branes of Codimension Two," Phys. Lett. B 849 (2024), 138425, [arXiv:2312.03358 [hep-th]].
- [35] I.T. Ivanov, B.b. Kim and M. Roček, "Complex Structures, Duality and WZW Models in Extended Superspace," Phys. Lett. B **343** (1995), 133-143, [arXiv:hep-th/9406063 [hep-th]].
- [36] U. Lindström, M. Roček, R. von Unge and M. Zabzine, "Generalized Kähler Geometry and Manifest $\mathcal{N}=(2,2)$ Supersymmetric Nonlinear Sigma-models," JHEP **07** (2005), 067, [arXiv:hep-th/0411186 [hep-th]].
- [37] M. Göteman, U. Lindström, M. Roček and I. Ryb, "Sigma Models with Off-shell $\mathcal{N} = (4,4)$ Supersymmetry and Noncommuting Complex Structures," JHEP **09** (2010), 055, [arXiv:0912.4724 [hep-th]].
- [38] T. Buscher, U. Lindström and M. Roček, "New Supersymmetric σ Models with Wess-Zumino Terms," Phys. Lett. B **202** (1988), 94-98.
- [39] U. Lindström, "Yano F Structures and Extended Supersymmetry," JHEP **10** (2022), 115, [arXiv:2207.11780 [hep-th]].
- [40] C. Hull and M. Zabzine, " $\mathcal{N} = (2,2)$ Superfields and Geometry Revisited," [arXiv:2404.19079 [hep-th]].
- [41] T. Kimura, "Semi-doubled Sigma Models for Five-branes," JHEP 02 (2016), 013, [arXiv:1512.05548 [hep-th]].
- [42] T. Kimura and P. Yi, "Comments on Heterotic Flux Compactifications," JHEP **07** (2006), 030, [arXiv:hep-th/0605247 [hep-th]].