



A Novel Proposal for Exploring Spacetime Microstructure with Scaling

Weihu Ma(马维虎) ^{1,2,*} and Yu-Gang Ma(马余刚) ^{1,2,†}

¹*Key Laboratory of Nuclear Physics and Ion-beam Application (MOE),
Institute of Modern Physics, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China*

²*Shanghai Research Center for Theoretical Nuclear Physics,
NSFC and Fudan University, Shanghai 200438, China*

(Dated: October 1, 2024)

The study of physics at the Planck scale has garnered significant attention due to its implications for understanding the fundamental nature of the universe. At this scale, quantum fluctuations in spacetime become apparent, as suggested by the Heisenberg uncertainty principle. These fluctuations indicate that spacetime is not a smooth manifold but rather has a more complex structure that might be fractal-like, exhibiting self-similarity across different scales. This study investigates the scaling behavior of spacetime microstructure at the Planck scale. By introducing the concept of self-similar spacetime microelement measurements, a scaling-characterized metric tensor is derived from analyzing the Lorentz scalar line element, which imposes constraints on spacetime dimensions and components. In linear scale measurements of spacetime, equivalent equations—such as the geodesic equations, Einstein field equations, Klein-Gordon equation, and Dirac equation—can be expressed in scaling form. Notably, the golden ratio naturally appears in the microstructure of linear scale measurements, suggesting a potential explanation for the Planck length’s significance. This work provides insights into quantum fluctuations and proposes modifications to classical geometric intuitions, potentially advancing our understanding of the microstructure of spacetime.

I. INTRODUCTION

The Planck length is particularly significant, as it is the scale at which quantum gravitational effects are expected to become apparent, thus interactions require a working theory of quantum gravity to be studied. It is also a natural estimate for the fundamental string length scale and the characteristic size of compact extra dimensions [1]. At the Planck scale, current models fail to effectively describe the universe, and a scientific model to explain the behaviour of the physical universe has yet to be established. Our current understanding suggests that the universe began $\sim 10^{-43}$ seconds after the Big Bang. At this scale, the uncertainty principle reveals the quantum fluctuations of spacetime, which are integral to elucidating the original structure of the universe, the center of a black hole, and the structure of nature at a very short scale, in a framework of quantum gravity [2].

Many studies seek to address these challenges in quantum gravity, including two of the most potential of them, i.e., Loop Quantum Gravity (LQG) and String Theory. LQG is an approach to quantum gravity that quantizes spacetime itself by describing it in terms of discrete loops or spin networks [2–6]. It provides a non-perturbative and background-independent framework, where space is quantized, and the geometry emerges from the quantum states of the gravitational field. LQG introduces a new set of variables and applies loop quantization techniques, leading to finite areas and volumes, and avoiding singularities such as those in black holes [7]. String The-

ory posits that the fundamental constituents of the universe are not point particles, but rather one-dimensional “strings” that vibrate at different frequencies to produce different particles. It provides a unified framework for all fundamental forces, including gravity, by incorporating the concept of extra dimensions and supersymmetry [1, 8, 9]. String Theory has successfully incorporated gravity into quantum mechanics, offering a potential solution to the problem of quantum gravity and explaining the existence of different particle types through string vibrations [10]. Recent research in quantum gravity reveals various new approaches and theories [6, 11–24]. Although these studies have greatly improved our understanding of physics at the Planck scale, they are obviously not exhaustive.

Due to its incompleteness, quantum gravity imposes constraints on our understanding of spacetime at the smallest scales. This challenge has piqued the interest of many researchers who are drawn to the field in pursuit of unraveling its mysteries. At this scale, spacetime is hypothesized to deviate from the classical notion of a smooth continuum, potentially exhibiting a foam-like, fluctuating structure [25, 26]. This concept is rooted in the idea that the Heisenberg uncertainty principle could give rise to microscopic irregularities, as postulated by Wheeler. Additionally, Tryon’s hypothesis suggests that our universe may have originated from a quantum vacuum fluctuation, similar to the Big Bang scenario [27]. Quantum fluctuations are not just theoretical curiosities but integral to the fabric of the universe’s microstructure. The significance of these fluctuations is underscored by ongoing research that highlights the necessity for a deeper exploration into the foundational characteristics of spacetime at the Planck scale. This quest for understanding is driven by the goal of reconciling the principles of quan-

*Correspondence email address: mawei.hu@fudan.edu.cn

†Correspondence email address: mayu.gang@fudan.edu.cn

tum mechanics with the geometry of spacetime, with the ultimate goal of revealing the true nature of reality at its most fundamental level.

Studies have shown the presence of fractal geometry in quantum mechanics, especially through research on Hausdorff and spectral dimensions in two-dimensional quantum gravity. The Hausdorff dimension in two-dimensional quantum gravity is approximately 3.8, aligning with theoretical predictions of 4, and highlighting the fractal nature of spacetime at these scales [28]. Further investigations have shown how these dimensions shift with the central charge of coupled matter, revealing dynamic fractal structures within quantum gravity [29]. The importance of universal functions in numerical simulations, scaling laws, and self-similar structures has also been emphasized for understanding the fractal structure of spacetime [30]. These findings provide a basis for introducing self-similar spacetime microelement measurements in this study. In higher derivative gravity, fractal geometry plays a significant role, consistent with quantum gravity principles [31]. Moreover, numerical studies on the c -dependence of fractal dimensions in two-dimensional quantum gravity support theoretical predictions and affirm the dynamic behavior of fractal geometry in quantum systems [32].

Recent research in quantum gravity has offered key insights into scaling in micro measurements. Studies have shown how scaling exponents near two dimensions are reproduced in the strong coupling regime, with implications for understanding central charge and coupling phases [33]. Critical behavior in quantum gravity has been highlighted through 2-point functions, revealing the fractal dimension of spacetime and an entropy exponent tied to baby universes, similar to Fisher's scaling relation [34]. Further analysis addresses the scale necessary to prevent tree unitarity violations in effective field theories, stressing the need for new physics at extremely high energies [35]. Other work explores quantum-gravity corrections by summing over spacetime dimensions, introducing a minimal length in quantum gravity [36]. Additionally, theories suggest gravity is quantized and inversely proportional to the square of quanta wavelength and distance, implying light speed violations due to the instantaneous nature of gravity quanta and entanglement [37]. Foundational studies also explain black hole quantum effects and the origin of the Planck length in two-dimensional quantum gravity [38].

Using renormalization group methods and an ε -expansion around dimension $D = 2$, Kawai and Niomiya [39] showed that spacetime could possess a self-similar structure by introducing the fractal dimension as an order parameter for quantum fluctuations, and the renormalization group flow shows that if the gravitational coupling G is smaller than the value at the fixed point G^* , as the distance gets shorter fluctuation of the spacetime becomes self-similar, while the spacetime looks smooth at large distances. On the other hand, if G is greater than the fixed point it fluctuates severely at large distances,

and at last it may have an infinite fractal dimension such as the Bethe lattice.

The discovery of fractal geometry in quantum mechanics can be attributed to Feynman and Hibbs, who first observed self-similarity (fractal behaviour with a Hausdorff dimension of $d_H = 2$) in the paths described by the path integral [40]. This self-similarity is rooted in Heisenberg's uncertainty relations. The Hausdorff dimension is a critical exponent that has been used to characterize the fractal properties of quantum systems at critical points. The researches in Ref. [40] (and the reference therein) explores the presence of fractal geometry in quantum mechanics, field theory, and spin systems. This concept is integral to understanding the potential fractal nature of spacetime at small scales, as suggested by the quantum fluctuations at the Planck scale. In this study, we extend these ideas to spacetime microstructures, proposing that spacetime may exhibit a fractal or multifractal structure, particularly in nonlinear fluctuating modes.

Self-similarity in spacetime has emerged as a significant concept in understanding the universe's underlying structure, revealing potential connections to fundamental quantum principles such as the Heisenberg uncertainty relation. This relationship suggests that the fractal nature of spacetime might be associated with the intrinsic uncertainties that govern quantum phenomena. For example, self-similar structures like the Koch curve have been proposed to embody uncertainty relations, offering a possible geometric interpretation of quantum uncertainty [41]. Additionally, theoretical frameworks suggest that the statistical geometry of spacetime, which exhibits self-similarity, could naturally lead to uncertainties in the position and momentum of particles, as discussed in studies on Cantorian spacetime with a Hausdorff dimension [42]. Research has also indicated a potential link between self-similarity in spacetime and the Heisenberg uncertainty relation within fractal spacetime structured by a gravitomagnetic background [43]. Furthermore, the relationship between fractals and quantum measurements of noncommuting variables has been explored, suggesting possible experimental manifestations of quantum fractals [44]. These studies collectively indicate that the self-similar patterns of spacetime may be more than mathematical abstractions, potentially offering insights into quantum uncertainties and contributing to the broader understanding of scaling laws and the quantum structure of spacetime.

The Planck scale represents a frontier in theoretical physics, where quantum fluctuations dominate, and classical descriptions of spacetime become inadequate. The present work aims to explore the microstructure of spacetime at the Planck scale through micro-measurements of spacetime characterized by self-similarity in the form of a scaling law. By examining the scaling behavior of micro-geometry, the research aims to provide new possibilities for exploring the nature of spacetime microstructures and offer valuable insights into fundamental spacetime properties. We develop a novel scaling-characterized metric

tensor derived from the Lorentz scalar line element, enabling the analysis of spacetime microstructure. The differential operators are transformed into scaling form, providing a mathematical framework for examining self-similar properties. The content is structured as follows: II. Scaling Characterization in Micro Measurements; III. Scaling Characterization in Metric; IV. The Lorentz Factor at Microscale; V. Micro Measurement in Spacetime with Schwarzschild Metric; VI. Scaling Geodesic Equations and Einstein Field Equations; VII. The Golden Ratio at Microscale; VIII. Scaling Klein-Gordon Equation and Dirac Equation; IX. Summary.

II. SCALING CHARACTERIZATION IN MICRO MEASUREMENTS

Building on insights from research into scaling characterization in micro-measurements, Heisenberg's principle suggests that spacetime exhibits quantum fluctuations on a microscopic scale. These fluctuations result in uncertainties in the positions of points within spacetime coordinates and cause variations in microlengths. At the Planck scale, traditional concepts of spacetime geometry become inadequate due to quantum fluctuations. These fluctuations imply that spacetime is not a smooth manifold but has a more intricate structure that might be fractal-like, characterized by self-similarity across different scales. It is plausible to assume that these spacetime fluctuations exhibit fractal-like behavior, indicating a complex, self-similar structure at various scales.

We model the spacetime fluctuations using the differential dx^α , representing spacetime microelements as microlength measurements relative to a reference length. Here, α (equal to 0, 1, 2, 3, ...) denotes the coordinate index in orthonormal frames. The microelements represent small segments of spacetime, making it a natural choice for modeling fluctuations at quantum scales, where spacetime might exhibit complex, non-continuous behavior. In quantum mechanics, uncertainty and fluctuations are inherent due to the Heisenberg uncertainty principle. Modeling spacetime at quantum scales involves accounting for these uncertainties, which microelements naturally accommodate by allowing for the representation of small changes and variations. Defining spacetime quantum fluctuations using differentials dx^α is a rational choice that fits well with current theoretical frameworks in physics. It allows for a coherent description of spacetime at very small scales, integrating principles of general relativity and quantum mechanics. This definition provides a useful starting point for exploring the quantum nature of spacetime.

Defining that changes in dx^α signify fluctuations, we hypothesize that the structure of spacetime fluctuations is self-similar at the micro-scale. The measurements of dx^α relative to dx_0^α is introduced by the scaling law:

$$r_{l^\alpha} = \frac{dx^\alpha}{dx_0^\alpha} = [X^\alpha(\tau)]^{q^\alpha}. \quad (1)$$

This scaling law suggests a way to quantify these quantum fluctuations, denoted by spacetime scales $X^\alpha(\tau)$, providing a mathematical expression for the self-similarity property. The scaling factor quantifies how the microlength measurements deviate from their reference lengths due to quantum fluctuations. q^α are exponents that characterize the self-similarity and scaling behavior of spacetime fluctuations along the α -axis. dx_0^α are micro reference lengths, serving as the baseline measurements in the absence of fluctuations. It establishes a well-defined reference scale that allows us to measure deviations. To measure the micro proper length, τ is introduced and defined as

$$\tau = r_l = \frac{d\lambda}{d\lambda_0} = [X(s)]^q \quad (2)$$

in a similar way, where $d\lambda$ is the proper length microelement, $d\lambda_0$ is the reference length, and s is defined as the proper time scale.

Consequently, the measurements of dx^α relative to proper length $d\lambda$ is given by

$$\frac{dx^\alpha}{d\lambda} = \frac{[X^\alpha(\tau)]^{q^\alpha}}{[X(s)]^q} \cdot \frac{dx_0^\alpha}{d\lambda_0} = \frac{[X^\alpha(\tau)]^{q^\alpha}}{[X(s)]^q}, \quad (3)$$

which involves choosing consistent reference lengths for $dx_0^\alpha = d\lambda_0$. The Planck length is considered the optimal reference length as it represents the smallest measurable length scale in quantum gravity, making it a natural choice for investigating spacetime at this scale.

With these definitions, there are three types of the spacetime measurement:

(a), When $q^\alpha = 0$ ($q = 0$), $dx^\alpha = dx_0^\alpha$, indicating that micro length measurements are conducted in a static mode without fluctuations, independent of $X^\alpha(X)$, indicating a perfectly stable spacetime region.

(b), When $X^\alpha > 0$ ($X > 0$) and $q^\alpha = 1$ ($q = 1$), micro length measurements are performed in a linear fluctuating mode. This suggests a direct proportionality between the microlengths and their fluctuations.

(c), When $X^\alpha > 0$ ($X > 0$) and $q^\alpha > 0$ and $q^\alpha \neq 1$ ($q > 0$ and $q \neq 1$), micro length measurements occur in a nonlinear fluctuating mode. This indicates complex, possibly chaotic behavior in the spacetime structure, akin to fractal or multifractal systems.

While this study primarily focuses on micro measurements based on self-similar scaling laws, it is important to acknowledge that alternative forms of micro measurements may exist. These forms could deviate from the self-similar framework, potentially incorporating different scaling behaviors or geometric structures that do not adhere strictly to the conditions outlined for the self-similar case. For instance, micro measurements might involve more complex scaling functions, non-linear interactions, or even discrete rather than continuous measurements, leading to distinct spacetime structures. Such alternative forms might exhibit behaviors that are not captured by the current model, possibly revealing additional layers of complexity in spacetime microstructure.

The exploration of these alternative forms would require the development of new mathematical tools and theoretical frameworks, but they could offer complementary insights or even challenge the assumptions of the self-similar model. Understanding the connections and distinctions between these different approaches is crucial for a more comprehensive view of spacetime microstructure.

Under current assumptions, spacetime fluctuation measurement can be described by the scaling law with non-zero measuring exponents. Additionally, the fluctuation induced by X^α with a given q^α signifies self-similar structures along the α -axis. If the given q^α is the same for all axes, it indicates an isotropic self-similar structure. These exponents provide insight into the nature of the fluctuations—whether they are linear or nonlinear—and are crucial for understanding the fractal structure of spacetime at microscopic scales.

Define $d\bar{x}^\alpha = [\zeta^\alpha(\tau)X^\alpha(\tau)]^{q^\alpha} dx_0^\alpha = [\zeta^\alpha(\tau)]^{q^\alpha} [X^\alpha(\tau)]^{q^\alpha} dx_0^\alpha = [\zeta^\alpha(\tau)]^{q^\alpha} dx^\alpha$ with $\zeta^\alpha(\tau)$ being the α -axis rescaling factor of scale transformation. And also define $d\bar{\lambda} = [\chi(s)]^q d\lambda$ with $\chi(s)$ being the rescaling scale transformation on $X(s)$. Then we can get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2 x^\alpha}{d\lambda^2} &= \frac{d}{d\lambda} \left(\frac{dx^\alpha}{d\lambda} \right) = \frac{1}{d\lambda} \left(\frac{d\bar{x}^\alpha}{d\bar{\lambda}} - \frac{dx^\alpha}{d\lambda} \right) \\ &= \{[\zeta^\alpha(\tau)]^{q^\alpha} - 1\} \frac{[X^\alpha(\tau)]^{q^\alpha}}{[X(s)]^{2q}} \frac{1}{d\lambda_0}, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

and the change of r_{l^α} relative to τ is

$$\frac{dr_{l^\alpha}}{d\tau} = \frac{d\bar{x}^\alpha - dx^\alpha}{d\bar{\lambda} - d\lambda} = \frac{[\zeta^\alpha(\tau)]^{q^\alpha} - 1}{[\chi(s)]^q - 1} \frac{r_{l^\alpha}}{\tau}. \quad (5)$$

From $d(dx^\alpha) = d\bar{x}^\alpha - dx^\alpha = \{[\zeta^\alpha]^{q^\alpha} - 1\} dx^\alpha$ and $d(dx^\alpha) = q^\alpha [X^\alpha]^{q^\alpha - 1} dX^\alpha dx_0^\alpha$, we get $\frac{dX^\alpha}{dx^\alpha} = \frac{[\zeta^\alpha]^{q^\alpha} - 1}{q^\alpha [X^\alpha]^{q^\alpha - 1}} \frac{1}{dx_0^\alpha}$, thus we have the first and second-order partial differential operators transformed in scaling form by

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} = \frac{dX^\nu}{dx^\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial X^\nu} = \frac{1}{dx_0^\nu} \hat{a}_\nu \frac{\partial}{\partial X^\nu}, \quad (6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^\nu \partial x^\mu} &= \frac{dX^\mu}{dx^\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial X^\mu} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\nu} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{dx_0^\nu} \frac{1}{dx_0^\mu} \cdot (\hat{a}_\mu \hat{a}_\nu \frac{\partial^2}{\partial X^\nu \partial X^\mu} - \hat{b}_\nu \frac{\partial X^\nu}{\partial X^\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial X^\nu}), \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \hat{a}_\nu = \frac{[\zeta^\nu]^{q^\nu} - 1}{q^\nu [X^\nu]^{q^\nu - 1}}; \\ \hat{b}_\nu = \frac{([\zeta^\nu]^{q^\nu} - 1)(q^\nu - 1)}{q^\nu [X^\nu]^{q^\nu}}; \\ \hat{c}_\nu = \frac{\hat{b}_\nu}{[\hat{a}_\nu]^2} = \frac{[X^\nu]^{q^\nu}}{[\zeta^\nu]^{q^\nu} - 1} \frac{q^\nu (q^\nu - 1)}{[X^\nu]^2}. \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

Eq. (6) and (7) connect with the differential operators to scaling laws, which are introduced to describe how the fluctuation of spacetime microelement can be adjusted

according to a scaling factor ζ^ν . These equations introduce the factors \hat{a}_ν and \hat{b}_ν that modify the behavior of differential operators to account for changes in scale.

Similarly, introduce a scale transformation factor χ acting on $X(s)$. And from $d(d\lambda) = d\bar{\lambda} - d\lambda = ([\chi]^q - 1)d\lambda$ and $d(d\lambda) = q^\alpha [X]^{q-1} dX d\lambda_0$, we can get $\frac{dX}{d\lambda} = \frac{[\chi]^{q-1}}{q[X]^{q-1}} \frac{1}{d\lambda_0}$. Thus, we get the first and second-order differential operators transformed in scaling form by

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda} = \frac{dX}{d\lambda} \frac{d}{dX} = \frac{1}{d\lambda_0} \hat{a} \frac{d}{dX}, \quad (9)$$

$$\frac{d^2}{d\lambda^2} = \frac{dX}{d\lambda} \frac{d}{dX} \left(\frac{d}{d\lambda} \right) = \frac{1}{[d\lambda_0]^2} (\hat{a}^2 \frac{d^2}{dX^2} - \hat{b} \frac{d}{dX}), \quad (10)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \hat{a} = \frac{[\chi]^{q-1}}{q[X]^{q-1}}; \\ \hat{b} = \frac{([\chi]^{q-1})(q-1)}{q[X]^q}; \\ \hat{c} = \frac{\hat{b}}{\hat{a}^2} = \frac{[X]^q}{[\chi]^{q-1}} \frac{q(q-1)}{[X]^2}. \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

Introducing the scale transformation $\bar{X}^\alpha = \zeta^\alpha X^\alpha$ with rescaling factor $\zeta^\alpha = \zeta^\alpha(X^\alpha)$, we obtain $\frac{d\bar{X}^\alpha}{dX^\alpha} = \zeta^\alpha + X^\alpha \frac{d\zeta^\alpha}{dX^\alpha}$ and $\frac{dX^\alpha}{d\bar{X}^\alpha} = \frac{1}{\zeta^\alpha}$. Subsequently, this leads to the derivation of

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{X}^\alpha} = \frac{dX^\alpha}{d\bar{X}^\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial X^\alpha} = \frac{1}{\zeta^\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial X^\alpha}; \quad (12)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \bar{X}^\alpha \partial \bar{X}^\beta} &= \frac{dX^\beta}{d\bar{X}^\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial X^\beta} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{X}^\alpha} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\zeta^\alpha} \frac{1}{\zeta^\beta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial X^\alpha \partial X^\beta} - \left\{ \frac{1}{[\zeta^\alpha]^2} \frac{d\zeta^\alpha}{dX^\alpha} \right\} \frac{\partial X^\alpha}{\partial X^\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial X^\alpha}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Eq. (12) and (13) use the idea of scale transformations by focusing on partial derivatives of scaled coordinates. These equations describe how spacetime coordinates are rescaled using the factor ζ^α . This rescaling is crucial for analyzing how measurements adapt in transitioning between a linear and a nonlinear scaling regime when considering together Eq. (6) and (7), which will be further explained in the following sections VI-VIII.

Similarly, the transformation can be introduced for $\bar{X} = \chi X$ with rescaling factor $\chi = \chi(X)$. Thus $\frac{d\bar{X}}{dX} = \chi + X \frac{d\chi}{dX}$ and $\frac{dX}{d\bar{X}} = \frac{1}{\chi}$. Subsequently, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{d\bar{X}} = \frac{dX}{d\bar{X}} \frac{d}{dX} = \frac{1}{\chi} \frac{d}{dX}; \quad (14)$$

$$\frac{d^2}{d\bar{X}^2} = \frac{dX}{d\bar{X}} \frac{d}{dX} \left(\frac{d}{d\bar{X}} \right) = \frac{1}{[\chi]^2} \frac{d^2}{dX^2} - \frac{1}{[\chi]^2} \frac{d\chi}{dX} \frac{d}{dX}. \quad (15)$$

The introduction of these transformations establishes a mathematical framework for investigating the fractal nature of spacetime and its self-similar properties at the

micro-scale, which are further explored in the subsequent sections.

A brief sam-up in this section: the distance between two infinitesimally close points in spacetime is denoted by dx , and its distance measurement requires definition through a comparison with a reference length. If dx remains unchanging, the measured value only relies on the chosen reference length. By selecting an appropriate fixed-length reference for measuring the distance, we can establish a consistent spacetime measurement and facilitate comparisons of their respective lengths. In cases where the micro distance dx in spacetime undergoes fluctuations for some reason, the measurement of dx , relative to the reference length, is introduced as scaling law. In the framework of quantum gravity theory, the reason for the fluctuations of spacetime microelements is considered to come from the quantum effects of spacetime at the microscopic scale, which can be inferred through the Heisenberg uncertainty principle. The measurement of microelements in quantum gravitational spacetime can be defined as fluctuating, including linear and nonlinear fluctuations. The spacetime microelement measured by scaling laws is a self-similar spacetime structure. The introduction of micro-measurements and the application of fractal geometry to spacetime offer a novel approach to understanding spacetime microstructure. By conceptualizing spacetime as a complex, self-similar structure, new mathematical tools and theories can be developed to explore the nature of spacetime at the microscale.

III. SCALING CHARACTERIZATION IN METRIC

The Lorentz scalar line element, also known as the spacetime invariant interval, is a fundamental concept in the theory of relativity. It measures the infinitesimal distance between two events in spacetime. It is significant due to its invariance under Lorentz transformations, meaning it remains constant for all observers, regardless of their relative motion. This invariance is a cornerstone of Einstein's theory of relativity, ensuring that the laws of physics are the same in all inertial frames of reference.

The Lorentz scalar line element is crucial for maintaining the consistency of physical laws across all scales. It provides a foundational framework for understanding both macroscopic and microscopic phenomena, preserving spacetime's geometric and causal properties across different observational perspectives. Kothawala [45] introduces the concept of a "zero-point" length, suggesting that even at the smallest scales, the Lorentz scalar line element plays a significant role in defining the geometric properties of spacetime. As described by Volovik [46], it is linked to fundamental constants like the Planck length, acting as a natural "zero-point" length. This connection is vital for exploring theories that aim to unify general relativity and quantum mechanics at the Planck scale. The Lorentz scalar line element helps characterize quan-

tum fluctuations by providing a consistent metric that remains invariant under transformations, aiding in the interpretation of quantum behaviors.

The most general form of the Lorentz scalar line element is given by

$$d\lambda^2 = g_{\alpha\beta} dx^\alpha dx^\beta, \quad (16)$$

where $g_{\alpha\beta}$ is the metric tensor, and the Einstein notation is used. The potential fractal-like nature of spacetime at small scales can be studied using the invariant interval. Utilizing the scaling representation defined in previous equations, we derive an equation for the metric tensor related to micro-measurements of spacetime fluctuations

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^2 d\lambda_0^2 &= [X(s)]^{2q} d\lambda_0^2 \\ &= g_{\alpha\beta} [X^\alpha(\tau)]^{q^\alpha} [X^\beta(\tau)]^{q^\beta} dx_0^\alpha dx_0^\beta \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

with Einstein notation used. When $q = q^\alpha = q^\beta = 0$, we define a static measurement as

$$d\lambda_0^2 = g_{\alpha\beta} dx_0^\alpha dx_0^\beta, \quad (18)$$

with requiring $\sum_{\alpha,\beta} g_{\alpha\beta} = 1$ in a consistent choice of $d\lambda_0 = dx_0^\alpha = dx_0^\beta$. Consequently, for a non-static measurement, we have

$$\tau^2 = [X(s)]^{2q} = g_{\alpha\beta} [X^\alpha(\tau)]^{q^\alpha} [X^\beta(\tau)]^{q^\beta}, \quad (19)$$

with the consistent choice of $d\lambda_0 = dx_0^\alpha = dx_0^\beta$.

Define the micro measurement of proper time to be $r_t = \frac{dt}{dt_0} = [s]^q$ with reference time length dt_0 . Here s is the defined proper time scale. Introduce light speed measurement of $\frac{d\lambda}{dt} = r_c \frac{d\lambda_0}{dt_0} = [\omega]^q \frac{d\lambda_0}{dt_0}$ from scale transformation of $\tau = r_l = [\omega \cdot s]^q = [\omega]^q \cdot [s]^q = r_c \cdot r_t$. $\omega = \omega(s)$ is defined as speed scale and a function of s . When $q = 0$, the light speed measurement is defined by $\frac{d\lambda_0}{dt_0}$. Thus we can get $X(s) = \omega(s) \cdot s$ and then

$$r_c^2 = [\omega(s)]^{2q} = g_{\alpha\beta} \frac{[X^\alpha(\tau)]^{q^\alpha} [X^\beta(\tau)]^{q^\beta}}{[X(s)]^{2q}} \quad (20)$$

with Einstein notation.

Define proper speed measurements from $r_{v^\alpha} = \frac{r_l^\alpha}{r_t} = \frac{[X^\alpha(\tau)]^{q^\alpha}}{[s]^q} = [\omega^\alpha(s)]^{q^\alpha}$. Thus we have $X^\alpha[\tau(s)] = \omega^\alpha(s) \cdot [s]^{\frac{q}{q^\alpha}}$. Derivation of s on both sides, we get a relation for fluctuation, expressed by

$$\frac{\dot{\omega}^\alpha}{\omega^\alpha} = \frac{\dot{\omega}}{\omega} - \frac{\dot{X}}{X} + q\tau \frac{\dot{X}}{X} \frac{dX^\alpha(\tau)/d\tau}{X^\alpha(\tau)} + \frac{1 - q/q^\alpha}{s}. \quad (21)$$

This formula represents the scaling behavior of spacetime geometry by showing how the rate of change of the speed scaling factor ω^α with respect to the proper time scale s relates to other scale variables. It shows that the behavior of spacetime on microscopic scales is governed by the interplay between different scaling factors. By considering scale transformations, this equation suggests

how quantum fluctuations might manifest in spacetime geometry, providing a way to describe the complex behavior of spacetime at small scales.

For space components, $r_{v^i} = [\omega^i]^{q^i} = \frac{r_{i^i}}{r_t} = \frac{r_{i^i}}{r_c r_T} \frac{r_c r_T}{r_t} = \frac{v^i r_c r_T}{r_c r_t} = \frac{v^i r_{l^0}}{r_c r_t} = \frac{v^i}{r_c} r_{v^0}$, where $r_c r_T = r_{l^0} = r_{v^0} r_t$ and then the coordinate speed measurement $v^i = \frac{r_{i^i}}{r_T}$ with r_T being the measurement of the coordinate time micro interval. Using $X(s) = \omega(s) \cdot s$, we get $X^\alpha[\tau(s)] = \frac{\omega^\alpha(s)}{\omega(s)} X(s) [s]^{\frac{q}{q^\alpha} - 1}$. Thus we have

$$r_c^2 = [\omega(s)]^{2q} = g_{\alpha\beta} [\omega^\alpha(s)]^{q^\alpha} [\omega^\beta(s)]^{q^\beta} \quad (22)$$

with Einstein notation.

Performing differentiation on both sides of Eq. (19) with respect to s , we aim to find how the metric tensor and scaling components evolve as s changes. Thus, we obtain

$$0 = \left\{ \frac{dg_{\alpha\beta}}{d\tau} [X]^q - 2g_{\alpha\beta} + g_{\alpha\beta} [X]^q \left[q^\alpha \frac{dX^\alpha(\tau)/d\tau}{X^\alpha(\tau)} + q^\beta \frac{dX^\beta(\tau)/d\tau}{X^\beta(\tau)} \right] \right\} q \frac{dX(s)/ds}{X(s)} \frac{[X^\alpha(\tau)]^q [X^\beta(\tau)]^q}{[X]^{2q}} \quad (23)$$

with Einstein notation. This equation governs how the metric tensor evolves under scaling transformations, showing the intricate dependencies between derivatives of scale variables and the metric. The terms represent a balance between metric changes and scaling influences, indicating that the metric must adjust to maintain consistency in spacetime geometry under scaling.

Under conditions of $[X(s)]^q > 0$, $[X^\mu(\tau)]^{q^\mu} > 0$, $q \neq 0$, and $dX(s)/ds \neq 0$, we get equations

$$\frac{dg_{\alpha\beta}/d\tau}{g_{\alpha\beta}} = \frac{2}{\tau} - q^\alpha \frac{dX^\alpha(\tau)/d\tau}{X^\alpha(\tau)} - q^\beta \frac{dX^\beta(\tau)/d\tau}{X^\beta(\tau)}. \quad (24)$$

Conditions $[X(s)]^q > 0$ and $[X^\mu(\tau)]^{q^\mu} > 0$ ensure that the microelements being measured, are positive on the chosen scale. This means that these quantities represent a real, measurable length or time scale, which cannot be zero or negative. $q \neq 0$ prevents the scaling transformation from degenerating into a trivial form. If $q = 0$, the associated scale function becomes constant, losing its ability to describe scale transformations meaningfully. $q \neq 0$ implies that we are dealing with a non-trivial, actual scaling transformation. $dX(s)/ds \neq 0$ ensures that $X(s)$ varies with s in a non-trivial way. This condition ensures that $X(s)$ is not a constant function but varies with the parameter s . Physically, this means that the system is evolving or changing over time or space, representing a fluctuating mode in space or time scales. If $dX(s)/ds = 0$, $X(s)$ would be constant, implying no change or evolution, which would trivialize the analysis. These conditions together ensure that the metric tensor and scaling behavior described by Eq. (24) are physically meaningful. They allow the equation to capture the dynamic, non-trivial structure of spacetime at microscopic scales, where quantum effects and scaling laws

significantly influence the geometry of spacetime. After integral Eq. (24), we get

$$g_{\alpha\beta} = \kappa_{\alpha\beta} \frac{\tau^2}{[X^\alpha(\tau)]^{q^\alpha} [X^\beta(\tau)]^{q^\beta}}, \quad (25)$$

where $g_{\alpha\beta} = g_{\beta\alpha}$, and $\kappa_{\alpha\beta}$ are integral constant and satisfy the relation of

$$\Sigma_{\alpha,\beta} \kappa_{\alpha\beta} = 1. \quad (26)$$

Additionally, we can get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dg_{\alpha\beta}/ds}{g_{\alpha\beta}} &= 2q \frac{d\omega(s)/ds}{\omega(s)} - q^\alpha \frac{d\omega^\alpha(s)/ds}{\omega^\alpha(s)} \\ &\quad - q^\beta \frac{d\omega^\beta(s)/ds}{\omega^\beta(s)}. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

and

$$g_{\alpha\beta} = \kappa_{\alpha\beta} \frac{[\omega(s)]^{2q}}{[\omega^\alpha(s)]^{q^\alpha} [\omega^\beta(s)]^{q^\beta}} \quad (28)$$

through the same procedure. The integral constant $\kappa_{\alpha\beta}$ can be determined by considering specific $g_{\alpha\beta}$.

According to the equivalence principle of general relativity [47–49], the influence of a gravitational field is locally indistinguishable from an inertial frame, meaning the laws of physics reduce to those of special relativity in a sufficiently small local region. This principle allows the use of a local inertial frame where gravitational effects can be approximately neglected. Consequently, in such a locally inertial frame, the metric tensor $g_{\alpha\beta}$ can be approximated by the flat spacetime metric $\eta_{\alpha\beta}$. Therefore, in a local inertial frame or even in a flat spacetime, $\kappa_{\alpha\beta}$ in a D-dimensional flat spacetime with metric $g_{\alpha\beta} = \eta_{\alpha\beta}$ can be determined. Here, $\eta_{\alpha\beta} = (\pm, \mp 1, \dots, \mp 1)$ is the D-dimensional Minkowski spacetime metric for time-like and space-like interval [50].

Considering a special case of $q^\alpha = 0$ for all α , which corresponds to a static spacetime measurement in coordinate, the micro measurements yield $r_{l^\alpha} = 1$. We have $\kappa_{\alpha\beta} = \eta_{\alpha\beta}$ for the D-dimensional flat spacetime. Based on Eq. (19), it results in

$$\tau^2 = \pm(2 - D). \quad (29)$$

This relationship between proper time and dimensionality sets constraints on how spacetime evolves, reflecting fundamental geometric principles and dimensional dependencies. If $q^\alpha \neq 0$, we can get

$$\tau^2 = \pm \{ [X^0]^{2q^0} - \sum_{i=1}^{D-1} [X^i]^{2q^i} \}. \quad (30)$$

It captures the complexity of scaling dynamics across different dimensions, illustrating how spacetime components in a nonlinear mode contribute to the micro proper length measurement. If $q = 1$ and $q^\alpha = 1$, we can get

$$[X]^2 = \pm \{ [X^0]^2 - \sum_{i=1}^{D-1} [X^i]^2 \}. \quad (31)$$

This formula balances the overall scaling effects across spacetime dimensions, capturing how various linearly measured components contribute. The interplay between these dimensions reflects fundamental geometric principles, offering insights into how scaling transformations influence the structure and geometry of spacetime.

IV. THE LORENTZ FACTOR AT MICROSCALE

In the realm of physics, special relativity [51, 52] provides a framework for understanding how time and space are perceived differently by observers in relative motion. The Lorentz factor is a key component of this theory, quantifying the degree of time dilation and length contraction experienced by objects moving at significant fractions of the speed of light. However, at the microscopic scale, where quantum effects play a crucial role, the influence of spacetime fluctuations on these relativistic effects becomes a fascinating subject of study [53–57]. This exploration seeks to extend the understanding of the Lorentz factor by incorporating the impact of quantum fluctuations, offering a nuanced view of how intrinsic measurements of space and time behave at the quantum level. By examining a scenario involving microscopic length measurements along a given spatial axis, we delve into the interplay between relativity and quantum mechanics, introducing scale parameters to capture the essence of intrinsic measurements in a D-dimensional space.

In special relativity, the Lorentz factor describes the relativistic effects on time and length measurements due to an object's velocity. At the microscale, where quantum effects become significant, we explore how spacetime fluctuations influence this factor. We consider a scenario where a microscopic length is measured at a velocity v^1 along a given spatial axis i -axis ($i=1, 2, 3, \dots, D-1$, chose $i = 1$). We introduce the scale parameter $\zeta^i = \sigma^i/\tau$ with σ^i representing the micro space length measurement along the i -axis in the rest frame, i.e., representing the intrinsic measurements. Let τ denote the micro proper length measurement. Thus, the micro intrinsic measurements in a D-dimensional space can be defined as:

$$\sigma^\alpha = (\tau, \sigma^i) = (\tau, \zeta^i \tau). \quad (32)$$

in an instantaneous rest frame. For the relativistic length contraction effect, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} r_{l^1} &= [X^1]^{q^1} = \left[\frac{\omega^1}{\omega}\right]^{q^1} [X]^{q^1} [s]^{q-q^1} = [s]^q [\omega^1]^{q^1} \\ &= \zeta^1 \tau \sqrt{1 - \frac{[v^1]^2}{[v^0]^2}} = \zeta^1 [X]^q \sqrt{1 - \frac{[v^1]^2}{[v^0]^2}} \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

with $v^1 = \frac{r_{l^1}}{r_T} = \frac{r_{v^1} \cdot r_c}{r_{v^0}} = \zeta^1 r_c / \gamma_{Ltz}^2$ and $v^0 = r_c$. For the relativistic time dilation effect, we have:

$$\frac{r_{l^0}}{r_c} = r_t / \sqrt{1 - \frac{[v^1]^2}{[v^0]^2}} \quad (34)$$

Here, the Lorentz factor $\gamma_{Ltz} = 1/\sqrt{1 - \frac{[v^1]^2}{[v^0]^2}}$. Thus we derive equations

$$\begin{cases} \vartheta^0 = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\vartheta^1}{\vartheta^0}}; \\ \vartheta^1 = [\zeta^1]^2 (1 - \frac{\vartheta^1}{\vartheta^0}), \end{cases} \quad (35)$$

where $\vartheta^1 = \left[\frac{r_{l^1}}{\tau}\right]^2 = \left[\frac{r_{v^1}}{r_c}\right]^2 = [\omega^1]^{2q^1} [\omega]^{-2q}$ and $\vartheta^0 = \left[\frac{r_{l^0}}{\tau}\right]^2 = \left[\frac{r_{v^0}}{r_c}\right]^2 = [\omega^0]^{2q^0} [\omega]^{-2q}$. The solutions are:

$$\begin{cases} (\vartheta_1^1, \vartheta_1^0) = \left(\frac{-1 - \sqrt{1+4[\zeta^1]^2}}{2}, \frac{1 - \sqrt{1+4[\zeta^1]^2}}{2}\right); \\ (\vartheta_2^1, \vartheta_2^0) = \left(\frac{-1 + \sqrt{1+4[\zeta^1]^2}}{2}, \frac{1 + \sqrt{1+4[\zeta^1]^2}}{2}\right). \end{cases} \quad (36)$$

From $(\vartheta_2^1, \vartheta_2^0)$ with considering positive solutions, The Lorentz factor is then given by:

$$\gamma_{Ltz}^2 = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\vartheta_2^1}{\vartheta_2^0}} = \frac{1}{2} (1 + \sqrt{1 + 4[\zeta^1]^2}). \quad (37)$$

The form and structure of Eq. (35) render it particularly suitable to be interpreted as an iterative equation, as it demonstrates how a system can approximate a state through recursive calculations under specific conditions. The value of ϑ_0 depends on the preceding value of ϑ_1 , and conversely, the value of ϑ_1 depends on the preceding value of ϑ_0 . This interdependence creates a recursive or cyclic relationship. Through repeated iterations, one can observe whether ϑ_0 and ϑ_1 converge towards a stable value, known as a fixed point. The two solutions in Eq. (36) represent fixed points, with the positive one being a stable point, as determined by analyzing the Jacobian matrix. It provides a framework for modeling the evolution of a system over time through successive calculations. This iterative process helps in approaching a system's state under specific conditions, emphasizing the recursive relationships in spacetime dynamics and highlighting the mutual dependency between ϑ_0 and ϑ_1 , which are scaling variables associated with spacetime measurements. This interdependency might illustrate the stability of these variables through iterative methods, offering potential insights into how spacetime could stabilize or reach fixed points when subjected to quantum fluctuations or scaling transformations.

The Lorentz factor can be obtained from a basic perspective. $\bar{\gamma}_{Ltz}$ is introduced to act as the Lorentz factor. Therefore, the micro space length measurement contracted by scale $\bar{\gamma}_{Ltz}$ gives $r_{l^1} = \sigma^1/\bar{\gamma}_{Ltz} = \zeta^1 \tau/\bar{\gamma}_{Ltz}$, while the micro time inflated by $\bar{\gamma}_{Ltz}$ gives $r_T = r_{l^0}/r_c = r_t \bar{\gamma}_{Ltz}$ (i.e. $r_{l^0} = r_c r_T = r_c r_t \bar{\gamma}_{Ltz} = \tau \bar{\gamma}_{Ltz}$). $v^1 = \zeta^1 r_c / \bar{\gamma}_{Ltz}^2$. Since there is no contraction effect of the micro length along axis $i > 1$, $r_{l^i}^{i>1} = \sigma^{i>1} = \zeta^{i>1} \tau$. Using the D-dimension local flat spacetime matrix $\eta_{\alpha\beta}$, we can get

$$\kappa_{\alpha\beta} = \pm \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\gamma}_{Ltz}^2 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & -[\zeta^1]^2 / \bar{\gamma}_{Ltz}^2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -[\zeta^2]^2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -[\zeta^{D-1}]^2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (38)$$

From the constraint of $\sum_{\alpha,\beta}\kappa_{\alpha\beta} = 1$, we get the constrained relation connecting the dimension and components of spacetime,

$$1 = \pm\{\bar{\gamma}_{Ltz}^2 - [\zeta^1]^2/\bar{\gamma}_{Ltz}^2 - \sum_{k=2}^{D-1}[\zeta^k]^2\}. \quad (39)$$

We call $\kappa_{\alpha\beta}$ the spacetime structure coefficients. Eq. (39) can be deemed as an equation of $\bar{\gamma}_{Ltz}^2$ and its solutions are

$$\bar{\gamma}_{Ltz}^2 = \frac{1}{2}\left\{\left(\sum_{k=2}^{D-1}[\zeta^k]^2 \pm 1\right) \pm \sqrt{4[\zeta^1]^2 + \left(\sum_{k=2}^{D-1}[\zeta^k]^2 \pm 1\right)^2}\right\}. \quad (40)$$

The limit of light speed needs $\bar{\gamma}_{Ltz}^2 > 0$ in Minkowski spacetime. The physical significance of this equation lies in its role in understanding how spacetime fluctuations at microscopic scales can be related to the relativistic effects of time dilation and length contraction. The Lorentz factor is a key component in the theory of relativity, used to describe how measurements of time and space differ for observers in different inertial frames. This equation demonstrates that the Lorentz factor depends on the scaling parameters ζ^k which represent the fluctuations in spacetime geometry across different dimensions. The positive value of $\bar{\gamma}_{Ltz}^2$ is necessary to ensure the consistency of the model with the principles of relativity, particularly in preserving the limit on the speed of light. This relationship highlights how quantum fluctuations at the Planck scale can impact classical relativistic concepts, providing fundamental insight into the nature of spacetime.

Considering two limit situations for $v^1 = 0$ and r_c . If $v^1 = 0$, it corresponds to that $\zeta^1 = 0$ and $\bar{\gamma}_{Ltz}^2 = \sum_{k=2}^{D-1}[\zeta^k]^2 \pm 1$ or $\bar{\gamma}_{Ltz}^2 = 0$. Consequently, $\sigma^1 = 0$, signifying there is no spatial component in this direction. Additionally, we have $\sum_{k=2}^{D-1}[\sigma^k]^2 = (\bar{\gamma}_{Ltz}^2 \mp 1)\tau^2$ for the positive solution. If $v^1 = r_c$, needing $\zeta^1 = \bar{\gamma}_{Ltz}^2$ and following with $\sigma^1 = \bar{\gamma}_{Ltz}^2\tau^2$, then $\sum_{k=2}^{D-1}[\zeta^k]^2 = \mp 1$ and $\sum_{k=2}^{D-1}[\sigma^k]^2 = \mp\tau^2$.

There is a generalization of the Eq. (35). Based on Eq. (39), the generalized Lorentz inflation/contraction transformation becomes

$$\begin{cases} \vartheta^0 = \frac{\sum_{k=2}^{D-1}[\zeta^k]^2 \pm 1}{1 - \frac{\vartheta^1}{\vartheta^0}}; \\ \vartheta^1 = \frac{[\zeta^1]^2}{\sum_{k=2}^{D-1}[\zeta^k]^2 \pm 1} \left(1 - \frac{\vartheta^1}{\vartheta^0}\right), \end{cases} \quad (41)$$

where $\vartheta^0\vartheta^1 = [\zeta^1]^2$. This gives the micro area measurement of the world sheet being $S = r_{l^0}r_{l^1} = \zeta^1\tau^2$.

Comparing Eq. (40) to Eq. (37), when $\sum_{k=2}^{D-1}[\zeta^k]^2$ equals 0 for time-like metric while 2 for space-like metric, we get positive $\bar{\gamma}_{Ltz} = \gamma_{Ltz}$. And then we have expressions

$$[\zeta^1]^2 = \frac{r_c^2[v^1]^2}{(r_c^2 - [v^1]^2)^2} = \frac{[\vartheta^0]^2[\vartheta^1]^2}{([\vartheta^0]^2 - [\vartheta^1]^2)^2}; \quad (42)$$

TABLE I: The relationships in the limit-situation

$v^1 = 0$	$\gamma_{Ltz}^2 = 1$	$\vartheta^1 = 0$	$\zeta^1 = 0$	$\vartheta^0 = 1$
$v^1 = r_c$	$\gamma_{Ltz}^2 = \infty$	$\vartheta^1 = \vartheta^0$	$\zeta^1 = \infty$	$\vartheta^0 = \infty$

$$\gamma_{Ltz}^2 - [\zeta^1]^2\gamma_{Ltz}^{-2} = 1; \quad (43)$$

$$v^1 = \frac{r_{l^1}}{r_T} = \frac{\zeta^1}{\gamma_{Ltz}^2}r_c = \frac{r_{v^1}}{\gamma_{Ltz}} = \frac{\zeta^1 r_c}{\frac{1}{2}(1 + \sqrt{1 + 4[\zeta^1]^2})}; \quad (44)$$

$$v^{i>1} = \frac{r_{l^{i>1}}}{r_T} = \frac{\zeta^{i>1}}{\gamma_{Ltz}}r_c = \frac{r_{v^{i>1}}}{\gamma_{Ltz}}; \quad (45)$$

$$v^0 = \frac{r_{l^0}}{r_T} = r_c. \quad (46)$$

The speed $v^{i>2}$ can be defined as the speed of fluctuation in micro-length measurements ($\sigma^{i>2}$) associated with the fluctuation on $i = 1$ axis with a speed of v^1 ($0 \leq v^1 \leq r_c$, TABLE 1 illustrates the relationships in the limiting situation for $v^1 = 0$ and r_c). This association is expressed via v^α being constrained by the relationship of

$$\frac{r_c^2}{\gamma_{Ltz}^2} = \pm([\vartheta^0]^2 - \sum_{k=1}^{D-1}[\vartheta^k]^2), \quad (47)$$

where $\sum_{k=2}^{D-1}[\vartheta^k]^2 = \frac{2r_c^2}{\gamma_{Ltz}^2} = 2(r_c^2 - [v^1]^2)$ for space-like metric and 0 for time-like metric.

V. MICRO MEASUREMENT IN SPACETIME WITH SCHWARZSCHILD METRIC

The Schwarzschild metric describes the spacetime around a non-rotating, spherically symmetric black hole. If considering the black hole with Schwarzschild Metric [58], the space-like line element has the form of

$$d\lambda^2 = -\left(1 - \frac{r_s}{r}\right)c^2 dt^2 + \left(1 - \frac{r_s}{r}\right)^{-1} dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2 \quad (48)$$

in Schwarzschild coordinates, where $d\Omega^2 = d\theta^2 + \sin^2(\theta)d\phi^2$. And $r_s = \frac{2GM}{c^2}$ is the Schwarzschild radius at where the event horizon is. G is the gravitational constant, M is the object mass, and c is the speed of light. In the presence of gravitational fields, measurements of space and time are affected differently compared to special relativity. Specifically, gravitational fields can cause contraction effects in spatial measurements and dilation effects in time measurements. These effects arise due to the curvature of spacetime in general relativity. In regions with strong gravity, time appears to slow down (gravitational time dilation), while space can be warped, affecting perceived distances. These phenomena differ from the effects predicted by special relativity, which

deals with time dilation and length contraction due to relative motion. However, in the absence of gravitational fields or in regions with weak gravity, the predictions of general relativity converge to those of special relativity. In such scenarios, spacetime is essentially flat, and the familiar Lorentz transformations apply, with the Lorentz factor $\bar{\gamma}_{Ltz}$ describing time dilation and length contraction.

Define a new factor γ_g to replace $\bar{\gamma}_{Ltz}$ to act as the Lorentz factor in the spacetime of Schwarzschild Metric to measure the micro length contraction and micro time inflation effect. It can be seen as a correction factor with respect to $\bar{\gamma}_{Ltz}$ when measuring in a gravitational field. Using the constraint of $\sum_{\alpha,\beta}\kappa_{\alpha\beta} = 1$, we get

$$\gamma_g^2 = (1 - \frac{r_s}{r})^{-1} \bar{\gamma}_{Ltz}^2. \quad (49)$$

When $M = 0$ or $r \rightarrow \infty$, $\gamma_g^2 = \bar{\gamma}_{Ltz}^2$. When $r = r_s$, $\gamma_g^2 = \infty$. When $r = 0$, $\gamma_g^2 = 0$. γ_g^2 is remaining positive when $\bar{\gamma}_{Ltz}^2 < 0$ at $r < r_s$ and $\bar{\gamma}_{Ltz}^2 > 0$ at $r > r_s$. It can be seen that γ_g describes a dual effect of gravitational field in the general relativity frame and local motion in the special relativity frame. From this generalized Lorentz factor γ_g in Schwarzschild Metric, we can still keep Eq. (39) remaining. Consequently, the physical laws satisfy the Lorentz transformation when the physical variables are defined to be scaled by γ_g .

There is also a generalization of Lorentz inflation/contraction transformation in Schwarzschild Metric. Namely,

$$\begin{cases} \vartheta^0 = (1 - \frac{r_s}{r})^{-1} \frac{\sum_{k=2}^{D-1} [\zeta^k]^2 \pm 1}{1 - \frac{\vartheta^1}{\vartheta^0}}; \\ \vartheta^1 = (1 - \frac{r_s}{r})^{-1} \frac{[\zeta^1]^2}{\sum_{k=2}^{D-1} [\zeta^k]^2 \pm 1} (1 - \frac{\vartheta^1}{\vartheta^0}), \end{cases} \quad (50)$$

where $\vartheta^0 \vartheta^1 = [\zeta^1]^2 (1 - \frac{r_s}{r})^{-2}$. The micro area measurement of world sheet becomes $S_g = r_l r_{l^1} = \zeta^1 \tau^2 (1 - \frac{r_s}{r})^{-1}$.

The mass of a particle moving along r scaled by γ_g is expressed by

$$m_g^2 = \gamma_g^2 m_0^2 = (1 - \frac{r_s}{r})^{-1} \bar{\gamma}_{Ltz}^2 m_0^2 = \bar{\gamma}_{Ltz}^2 m_{g0}^2, \quad (51)$$

where $m_{g0}^2 = (1 - \frac{r_s}{r})^{-1} m_0^2$ is introduced as the measured mass of the particle at instantaneous rest in a gravitational field with Schwarzschild metric and m_0 is the mass of the particle at rest in a flat metric. When $r \rightarrow \infty$ or $M = 0$, $m_{g0}^2 = m_0^2$. When $r \rightarrow 0$, $m_{g0}^2 = 0$. Therefore, the energy-momentum relationship measured locally in the gravitational field with the Schwarzschild Metric becomes

$$E_g^2 = p_g^2 c^2 + m_{g0}^2 c^4, \quad (52)$$

where $E_g^2 = \bar{\gamma}_{Ltz}^2 (1 - \frac{r_s}{r})^{-1} m_0^2 c^4 = \bar{\gamma}_{Ltz}^2 m_{g0}^2 c^4$. And $p_{g,1}^2 = \bar{\gamma}_{Ltz}^2 (1 - \frac{r_s}{r})^{-1} m_0^2 v_{g1}^2 = \bar{\gamma}_{Ltz}^2 m_{g0}^2 v_{g,1}^2$ with $v_{g,1} = \frac{\zeta^1 r_c}{\gamma_g}$ and $p_{g,k>1}^2 = \bar{\gamma}_{Ltz}^2 m_{g0}^2 v_{g,k>1}^2$ with $v_{g,k>1} = \frac{\zeta^{k>1} r_c}{\gamma_g}$.

Thus, $p_g^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{D-1} p_{g,k}^2$. This energy-momentum relationship scaled by $(1 - \frac{r_s}{r})$ on both sides can be back to the one in Minkowski spacetime. When $r \rightarrow \infty$ or $M = 0$, the former is also back to the latter. When $r \gg r_s$, we get

$$E_g^2 = p_g^2 c^2 + m_0^2 c^4 [1 + \frac{r_s}{r} + (\frac{r_s}{r})^2 + O(3)], \quad (53)$$

VI. SCALING GEODESIC EQUATIONS AND EINSTEIN FIELD EQUATIONS

Geodesic equations [47–49, 59, 60] are fundamental in the study of general relativity and differential geometry. They describe the path that an object follows under the influence of gravity alone, without any other forces acting on it. They are crucial for understanding the motion of objects in a gravitational field, serving as the foundation for analyzing how gravity shapes the trajectories of particles and light. They provide insights into both macroscopic phenomena, like the orbits of planets, and microscopic effects, such as the influence of gravity on quantum particles. As such, geodesics are integral to bridging the gap between classical and quantum descriptions of gravity.

The geodesic equations can be written in scaling form on a microscale via operators' transformations. Firstly, by using Eq. (6), the Christoffel symbols can be represented in the form of

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha &= \frac{1}{2} g^{\alpha\mu} \frac{1}{dx_0} (\hat{a}_\beta \frac{\partial g_{\gamma\mu}}{\partial X^\beta} + \hat{a}_\gamma \frac{\partial g_{\beta\mu}}{\partial X^\gamma} + \hat{a}_\mu \frac{\partial g_{\beta\gamma}}{\partial X^\mu}) \\ &= \frac{1}{dx_0} \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

with Einstein notation, where $\Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha$ are defined as dimensionless scaling Christoffel symbols. Combining the Eq. (3) and Eq. (4), the scaling geodesic equations are obtained by

$$\{[\zeta^\alpha]^{q^\alpha} - 1\} [X^\alpha]^{q^\alpha} + \Gamma_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha [X^\beta]^{q^\beta} [X^\gamma]^{q^\gamma} = 0 \quad (55)$$

with Einstein notation. The equation demonstrates how geodesic paths (which describe the shortest path between points in spacetime) evolve with scaling laws, offering insights into the complex structure of spacetime at microscopic scales.

In the framework of general relativity, gravity is conceptualized not as a force but as an outcome of the curvature of spacetime, with the stress-energy tensor serving as the source of this curvature. Within the geometric interpretation of gravity, geodesics are indicative of the curved geometry inherent in spacetime. All length-minimizing curves are geodesics, and all geodesics are length-minimizing, at least locally [61]. The local geodesic equations can be viewed as a constraint on microscopic spacetime measurements at a local level. When representing the measurement of spacetime microelements through a scaling law, the geodesic equations

represented by scales elucidate the restrictions on nonlinear fluctuations of scales. The scaled Einstein Field Equations represented by scales X^α with exponent q^α could be obtained in the same way. Which characterizes how the scales of micro geometric measurements of spacetime are influenced by stress-energy tensor.

By considering a special case of a linear mapping between coordinate x^α and scale \bar{X}^α . The latter are new scales obtained by rescaling ζ^α on X^α . ζ^α are the rescaling factors on differential operators, establishing a connection between coordinate x^α and scale \bar{X}^α and making the micro measurements being carried out in a linear way, i.e., $dx^\alpha = d\bar{X}^\alpha dx_0^\alpha$. This will bring the spacetime structure measured by nonlinear kernel $[X^\alpha]^{q^\alpha}$ back to the linear kernel \bar{X}^α . Comparing Eq. (6) and Eq. (12), the conditions

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} = \frac{1}{dx_0^\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{X}^\alpha} \quad (56)$$

could be satisfied, resulting in a transformation making the microelement measurements from a nonlinear mode into a linear one. These linear conditions can be equivalently expressed as $\frac{1}{\zeta^\alpha} = \hat{a}_\alpha$ and then we get equations of

$$[\zeta^\alpha]^{q^\alpha+1} - \zeta^\alpha - q^\alpha [X^\alpha]^{q^\alpha-1} = 0. \quad (57)$$

When $q^\alpha = 1$, we get $\zeta^\alpha = \varphi = \frac{1 \pm \sqrt{5}}{2}$, it is the golden ratio. The nonlinear form of this equation highlights the complexity of scaling effects, emphasizing the intricate relationship between scaling factors and exponents.

It is essential to emphasize that x^α represents the position of a point in the coordinate frame. However, \bar{X}^α and X^α denote the measuring scales of the micro-interval of spacetime near a point along the α -axis direction. Through a linear mapping between x^α and \bar{X}^α , the latter characterizes linear measurements in the spacetime defined by the former. On the other hand, X^α describes a spacetime with a structure of nonlinear measurement. The transformation from Nonlinear to Linear Measurements allows for the application of the same fundamental principles from the microscopic (quantum) scale to the macroscopic (classical) scale, maintaining the consistency of physical laws across these domains. The subsequent instances are the scaled geodesic equations and scaled Einstein field equations within the linear measurement spacetime, as well as the constraint equations derived from their linear measurement conditions.

With the linear measurement conditions of

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} = \frac{1}{dx_0^\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{X}^\alpha}, \\ \frac{d\lambda}{d\bar{X}^\alpha} = \frac{d\bar{X}^\alpha}{dX^\alpha}, \\ \frac{d^2 x^\alpha}{d\lambda^2} = \frac{d^2 \bar{X}^\alpha}{dX^2} \frac{1}{d\lambda_0}, \end{array} \right. \quad (58)$$

the scaling geodesic equations [47–49] can be derived in the equivalent form, e.i.

$$\frac{d^2 \bar{X}^\alpha}{d\bar{X}^2} + \bar{\Gamma}_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha \frac{d\bar{X}^\beta}{d\bar{X}} \frac{d\bar{X}^\gamma}{d\bar{X}} = 0 \quad (59)$$

with Einstein notation, where scaling Christoffel symbols are

$$\bar{\Gamma}_{\beta\gamma}^\alpha = \frac{1}{2} \bar{g}^{\alpha\mu} \left(\frac{\partial \bar{g}_{\gamma\mu}}{\partial \bar{X}^\beta} + \frac{\partial \bar{g}_{\beta\mu}}{\partial \bar{X}^\gamma} + \frac{\partial \bar{g}_{\beta\gamma}}{\partial \bar{X}^\mu} \right) \quad (60)$$

with $\bar{g}_{\alpha\beta}(\bar{X}^\mu, \bar{X}) = g_{\alpha\beta}(x^\mu \rightarrow \bar{X}^\mu, \lambda \rightarrow \bar{X})$. In Eq. (58), $\frac{d\bar{X}^\alpha}{dX^\alpha} = \frac{d\bar{X}^\alpha}{dX^\alpha} \frac{dX^\alpha}{dX^\alpha} = (\zeta^\alpha + X^\alpha \frac{d\zeta^\alpha}{dX^\alpha}) \frac{dX^\alpha}{dX^\alpha} \frac{1}{\chi}$. Utilizing the definitions of r_l and τ and the expression of $\frac{dr_l^\alpha}{d\tau}$, we get $\frac{dX^\alpha}{dX} = \frac{q}{q^\alpha} \frac{X^\alpha}{X} \frac{[\zeta^\alpha]^{q^\alpha-1}}{[\chi]^{q-1}}$. Thus, the linearized requirements of $\frac{dx^\alpha}{d\lambda} = \frac{d\bar{X}^\alpha}{dX}$ and $\frac{1}{\zeta^\alpha} = \hat{a}_\alpha$ result in

$$1 + \frac{X^\alpha}{\zeta^\alpha} \frac{d\zeta^\alpha}{dX^\alpha} = \chi \hat{a}. \quad (61)$$

The requirement of $\frac{d^2 x^\alpha}{d\lambda^2} = \frac{d^2 \bar{X}^\alpha}{dX^2} \frac{1}{d\lambda_0} = \frac{1}{d\lambda_0} \frac{d}{dX} \left(\frac{d\bar{X}^\alpha}{dX} \right) \frac{dX}{dX}$ further leads to

$$(1 - \chi \hat{a}) \{ [\zeta^\alpha]^{q^\alpha} - 1 \} = [\chi]^q - 1. \quad (62)$$

Based on Eq. (57, 61-62), we can get the relations of scale transformation factors ζ^α and χ for obtaining the scaling geodesic equations, e.i.

$$[\chi]^q - 1 + \frac{(q^\alpha - 1) \{ [\zeta^\alpha]^{q^\alpha} - 1 \}^2}{(q^\alpha + 1) [\zeta^\alpha]^{q^\alpha} - 1} = 0. \quad (63)$$

This equation arises within the context of scaling geodesic equations, which are modified to incorporate the effects of scale transformations on the paths that particles or light follow through spacetime. By accounting for these transformations, the equation may influence particle trajectories, potentially offering new insights into the geometry of spacetime under certain conditions. It is part of a framework that transitions from nonlinear measurements, which may be more applicable at quantum scales, to linear approximations used at larger scales. The equation sets conditions that scaling factors should satisfy to maintain the validity of the geodesic equations. This is important for accurately representing physical reality across different scales with the transformed equations.

With the linear measurement conditions of

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} = \frac{1}{dx_0^\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{X}^\alpha}, \\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^\alpha \partial x^\beta} = \frac{1}{dx_0^\alpha dx_0^\beta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \bar{X}^\alpha \partial \bar{X}^\beta}, \end{array} \right. \quad (64)$$

using $\frac{1}{\zeta^\alpha} = \hat{a}_\alpha$ and $\frac{1}{[\zeta^\alpha]^2} \frac{d\zeta^\alpha}{dX^\alpha} = \hat{b}_\alpha$, under the transformation of ζ^α for differential operators between x^α and \bar{X}^α , we can similarly get the scaling Einstein field equations in the absence of any matter,

$$\bar{G}_{\mu\nu} = \bar{R}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} \bar{R} = 0, \quad (65)$$

and the scaling vacuum Einstein equations in the presence of a cosmological constant $\bar{\Lambda}$,

$$\bar{G}_{\mu\nu} = \bar{R}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} \bar{R} + \bar{\Lambda} \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} = 0, \quad (66)$$

where scaling Riemann curvature tensor

$$\bar{R}_{\sigma\mu\nu}^{\rho} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{X}^{\mu}} \bar{\Gamma}_{\nu\sigma}^{\rho} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{X}^{\nu}} \bar{\Gamma}_{\mu\sigma}^{\rho} + \bar{\Gamma}_{\mu\lambda}^{\rho} \bar{\Gamma}_{\nu\sigma}^{\lambda} + \bar{\Gamma}_{\nu\lambda}^{\rho} \bar{\Gamma}_{\mu\sigma}^{\lambda} \quad (67)$$

and $\bar{R} = \bar{g}^{\mu\nu} \bar{R}_{\mu\nu}$, with Einstein notation. Therefore, we conclude that the scaling Einstein field equations in the absence of any matter and in the presence of a cosmological constant $\bar{\Lambda}$ require ζ^{α} to satisfy

$$\begin{cases} [\zeta^{\alpha}]^{q^{\alpha}+1} - \zeta^{\alpha} - q^{\alpha} [X^{\alpha}]^{q^{\alpha}-1} = 0; \\ \frac{d\zeta^{\alpha}}{d\bar{X}^{\alpha}} = [\zeta^{\alpha}]^2 \hat{b}_{\alpha}. \end{cases} \quad (68)$$

When $q^{\alpha} = 1$, we get $\zeta^{\alpha} = \varphi$ and $\frac{d\zeta^{\alpha}}{d\bar{X}^{\alpha}} = 0$.

For the Einstein field equations with some specific stress-energy tensor, more conditions embedded in the stress-energy tensor need to be considered in the linear measurement conditions.

VII. THE GOLDEN RATIO AT MICROSCALE

The micro distance measurement with $q^{\alpha} = 1$ corresponds to a linear mode, expressed as $dx^{\alpha} = X^{\alpha} dx_0^{\alpha}$. By adding the linear transformation $dx^{\alpha} = d\bar{X}^{\alpha} dx_0^{\alpha}$, we obtain the following equations:

$$\begin{cases} x^{\alpha} = X^{\alpha} dx_0^{\alpha} + x_0^{\alpha}; \\ x^{\alpha} = \bar{X}^{\alpha} dx_0^{\alpha} + \bar{x}_0^{\alpha}, \end{cases} \quad (69)$$

where x^{α} are the position coordinates relative to reference position coordinates x_0^{α} or \bar{x}_0^{α} . By letting $dx_0^{\alpha} = x_0^{\alpha} - \bar{x}_0^{\alpha}$, we get

$$[(\bar{X}^{\alpha} - X^{\alpha}) - 1] dx_0^{\alpha} = [(\varphi - 1)X^{\alpha} - 1] dx_0^{\alpha} = 0, \quad (70)$$

resulting in $\frac{dx^{\alpha}}{dx_0^{\alpha}} = X^{\alpha} = \frac{1}{\varphi-1} = \varphi$. Thus $\bar{X}^{\alpha} = \varphi X^{\alpha} = \varphi^2$. Introduce $d\bar{x}^{\alpha} = x^{\alpha} - \bar{x}_0^{\alpha}$, we get $\frac{d\bar{x}^{\alpha}}{dx^{\alpha}} = \frac{\bar{X}^{\alpha}}{X^{\alpha}} = \varphi$. This indicates that within the spacetime of linear scale measurement, the golden ratio naturally arises at the microscopic scale, as illustrated in FIG. 1.

To illustrate this, consider selecting two points \bar{x}_0 and x_0 that are sufficiently close in the coordinate space, the interval $dx_0 = x_0 - \bar{x}_0$ between these two points is defined as the reference length. When a third point is selected, two microscopic intervals, $d\bar{x}$ and dx can be defined. The ratio of these intervals is the golden ratio. We propose that micro-distance measurements in a defined 1-dimensional coordinate system can occur in two distinct scenarios: one where the third point is selected outside the reference interval and another where it is chosen within the interval. These scenarios correspond to the positive φ_R and negative φ_L solutions of the equation Eq. (57) with $q^{\alpha} = 1$, as shown in FIG. 1 (a) and (b) respectively. Here, the subscript "R" indicates that x is to the right of the reference point x_0 , while "L" is to the left. This implies that the measurement of dx is defined




FIG. 1: Golden section at the microscopic scale in the spacetime of linear scale measurement.

in two ways: $dx = x - x_0$ and $dx = x_0 - x$. Meanwhile, the definitions of dx_0 and $d\bar{x}$ remain unchanged.

In this framework, for external measurements, dx_0^{α} functions as the minimum reference length, limiting the measurement of micro-lengths smaller than dx_0^{α} . Conversely, for internal measurements, dx_0^{α} functions as the maximum reference length, constraining the measurement of micro-lengths larger than dx_0^{α} . This insight provides a clue for understanding the existence of the Planck length, which manifests as a lower limit for external measurements and an upper limit for internal measurements in the depiction of microscopic phenomena.

The golden ratio is often associated with fractals, which are known for their self-similarity across scales. Its potential presence in spacetime raises the possibility that spacetime could exhibit a fractal-like structure. If such a fractal nature exists, it may provide new perspectives on the scalability of physical laws. The appearance of the golden ratio at the microscale may suggest that spacetime possesses an intrinsic order characterized by this mathematical constant. Should spacetime patterns or structures be influenced by the golden ratio, this would imply a deep-seated symmetry that could play a role in how physical laws manifest at microscopic scales. The golden ratio might therefore be considered a candidate for a foundational constant in the mathematical description of spacetime, with possible implications for theories of quantum gravity and cosmology. However, the physical significance of these ideas and their connection to fundamental constants like the Planck length would require further investigation and validation within the broader framework of theoretical physics.

VIII. SCALING KLEIN-GORDON EQUATION AND DIRAC EQUATION

The Klein-Gordon and Dirac equations are essential in quantum mechanics and quantum field theory, describing the behavior of particles and fields while incorporating quantum and relativistic effects [62, 63]. Investigating scaling within these equations may offer insights into how particle fields behave in spacetimes with complex geometries. At extremely small scales, such as the Planck

length, the classical notion of continuous spacetime might become inadequate [64], potentially giving rise to a network of quantized geometries that might resemble fractals. If spacetime indeed has a fractal structure, this could lead to modifications in the behavior of fields and particles.

From the operator transformations introduced in the second section, the Klein-Gordon equation and Dirac equation with respect to scale variables at the local micro-scale can be deduced and their forms in linear measuring spacetime can be obtained with transformation constraints. The Klein-Gordon equation [65, 66]

$$\{\square - [\frac{mc}{\hbar}]^2\}\phi = 0 \quad (71)$$

can be written in scaling form of

$$\{\eta^{\mu\nu}\hat{a}_\mu\hat{a}_\nu(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial X^\mu\partial X^\nu} + \hat{c}_\nu\frac{\partial X^\nu}{\partial X^\mu}\frac{\partial}{\partial X^\nu}) - [\frac{r_m r_c}{r\hbar}]^2\frac{[dx_0]^2[m_0]^2[[dx_0]/[dt_0]]^2}{[\hbar_0]^2}\}\phi = 0. \quad (72)$$

Where $\square = \eta^{\mu\nu}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^\mu\partial x^\nu}$, $\phi = \phi(x^\alpha)$, $r_m = \frac{m}{m_0}$ being the scaling measurement for particle mass, and $r\hbar = \frac{\hbar}{\hbar_0}$ being the scaling measurement for Planck constant. After dimensional analysis, we get

$$\{\eta^{\mu\nu}\hat{a}_\mu\hat{a}_\nu(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial X^\mu\partial X^\nu} + \hat{c}_\nu\frac{\partial X^\nu}{\partial X^\mu}\frac{\partial}{\partial X^\nu}) - [\frac{r_m r_c}{r\hbar}]^2\}\phi = 0 \quad (73)$$

Here, $\phi = \phi(x^\sigma \rightarrow x_0^\sigma + [X^\sigma]^{q^\sigma} dx_0^\sigma)$, representing the fluctuation of the ϕ field near x_0^σ as X^σ nonlinear fluctuates.

With the linear measurement conditions of

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} = \frac{1}{dx_0^\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial X^\alpha}, \\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^\alpha \partial x^\beta} = \frac{1}{dx_0^\alpha dx_0^\beta} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial X^\alpha \partial X^\beta}, \end{array} \right. \quad (74)$$

we can similarly get the scaling Klein-Gordon equation expressed as

$$\{\eta^{\mu\nu}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \bar{X}^\mu\partial \bar{X}^\nu} - [\frac{r_m r_c}{r\hbar}]^2\}\bar{\psi}_{KG} = 0, \quad (75)$$

where $\bar{\psi}_{KG}(\bar{X}^\sigma) = \phi(x^\sigma \rightarrow x_0^\sigma + (\bar{X}^\sigma - 1)dx_0^\sigma)$, representing the fluctuation of the ϕ field near x_0^σ as \bar{X}^σ linear fluctuates. Thus the linear measurement conditions can be expressed as

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} [\zeta^\alpha]^{q^\alpha+1} - \zeta^\alpha - q^\alpha[X^\alpha]^{q^\alpha-1} = 0, \\ \frac{d\zeta^\alpha}{d\bar{X}^\alpha} = [\zeta^\alpha]^2 \hat{b}_\alpha. \end{array} \right. \quad (76)$$

These conditions are the same as the ones for scaling Einstein field equations in the absence of any matter and scaling vacuum Einstein equations in the presence of a cosmological constant.

Following the same procedure, the Dirac equation [67, 68]

$$[i\hat{\gamma}^\mu\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu} - \frac{mc}{\hbar}]\psi = 0 \quad (77)$$

with $\hat{\gamma}^\mu$ being gamma matrices, can be written in scaling form of

$$[i\hat{\gamma}^\mu\hat{a}_\mu\frac{\partial}{\partial X^\mu} - \frac{r_m r_c}{r\hbar}\frac{[dx_0][m_0][[dx_0]/[dt_0]]}{[\hbar_0]}\psi = 0. \quad (78)$$

After dimensional analysis, we get

$$[i\hat{\gamma}^\mu\hat{a}_\mu\frac{\partial}{\partial X^\mu} - \frac{r_m r_c}{r\hbar}]\psi = 0. \quad (79)$$

Here, $\psi = \psi(x^\sigma \rightarrow x_0^\sigma + [X^\sigma]^{q^\sigma} dx_0^\sigma)$.

With the linear measurement conditions of

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} = \frac{1}{dx_0^\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{X}^\alpha} \quad (80)$$

the scaling Dirac equation is

$$[i\hat{\gamma}^\mu\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{X}^\mu} - \frac{r_m r_c}{r\hbar}]\bar{\psi}_{Dirca} = 0, \quad (81)$$

where $\bar{\psi}_{Dirca}(\bar{X}^\sigma) = \psi(x^\sigma \rightarrow x_0^\sigma + (\bar{X}^\sigma - 1)dx_0^\sigma)$. Thus, we have

$$[\zeta^\alpha]^{q^\alpha+1} - \zeta^\alpha - q^\alpha[X^\alpha]^{q^\alpha-1} = 0. \quad (82)$$

The scaling transformations of the Klein-Gordon and Dirac equations illustrate how changes in measurement scales can influence their forms. By incorporating scaling factors, this approach offers a potential step toward integrating quantum mechanics with the fractal geometry of spacetime. The shift from nonlinear to linear measurements introduces scaling factors, including the golden ratio, which impact the field equations. These transformations suggest that spacetime may possess a fractal nature, which could affect particle dynamics, thereby providing a new perspective on the relationship between quantum fluctuations and spacetime geometry. This approach may offer fresh insights into fundamental constants and quantum field theory, suggesting a possible connection between measurement scales and fundamental physics. However, further exploration and empirical validation are required to fully understand these implications.

IX. SUMMARY

The Planck length represents the smallest resolution in physics, where the quantum fluctuations of spacetime become evident, as inferred by the uncertainty principle. At scales around this, spacetime may exhibit self-similar characteristics because of these quantum fluctuations. This article explores the scaling behavior of spacetime at the Planck scale, introducing the concept of spacetime microelement measurements based on the assumption of self-similarity.

A scaling-characterized metric tensor is derived from the Lorentz scalar line element, which is expressed

through micro measurements in the form of scaling laws. By applying local Lorentz transformations to these micro measurements, the spacetime structure coefficients can be defined, constraining the dimensions and components of spacetime in the rest frame.

In a spacetime characterized by linear scale measurements, key physical equations such as the geodesic equations, Einstein field equations, Klein-Gordon equation, and Dirac equation can be formulated in scaling form, with specific constraints on the scale transformation factors and exponents. A significant finding is the natural emergence of the golden ratio in the microscopic scale of linear measurements, suggesting a fundamental reference length that restricts micro-length measurements to specific ranges. This insight provides a potential explanation for the existence of the Planck length.

This work offers a coherent framework for understanding spacetime scaling at the Planck scale. While these ideas are theoretically intriguing, they need further ex-

ploration and validation to assess their physical accuracy and relevance to spacetime and quantum gravity. Although direct experimental access to the Planck scale is currently unattainable, indirect evidence for fractal-like structures may be sought through high-energy physics experiments or cosmological observations.

Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. Baiyang Zhang for the interesting discussions and the suggestions for text editing. This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China with Grants No. 12475138 and 12147101, the Strategic Priority Research Program of Chinese Academy of Sciences (No. XDB34000000) and the Science and Technology Commission of Shanghai Municipality (23590780100).

-
- [1] K. Becker, M. Becker, and J. H. Schwarz, String theory and M-theory: A Modern Introduction, [Cambridge U. Press](#), **12**, 2006.
- [2] C. Rovelli and F. Vidotto, Covariant Loop Quantum Gravity: An Elementary Introduction to Quantum Gravity and Spinfoam Theory, [Cambridge U. Press](#), **11**, 2014.
- [3] R. Gambini and J. Pullin, A First Course in Loop Quantum Gravity. Oxford University Press (2011).
- [4] A. Ashtekar and J. Lewandowski, Background independent quantum gravity: A status report. *Classical and Quantum Gravity*, **21**(15), R53 (2004).
- [5] T. Thiemann, LOOP QUANTUM GRAVITY, [International Journal of Modern Physics A](#) **23**, 1113-1129 (2008)
- [6] J. Pullin, Recent developments in canonical quantum gravity, [AIP Conf. Proc.](#) **342**, 459470 (1995)
- [7] R. Gambini and J. Pullin, Emergence of stringlike physics from Lorentz invariance in loop quantum gravity, [International Journal of Modern Physics D](#) **23**, No. 12, 1442023 (2014)
- [8] B. Zwiebach, A First Course in String Theory. Cambridge University Press (2009).
- [9] B. Le Bihan, String theory, loop quantum gravity and eternalism, [Euro Jnl Phil Sci](#) **10**, 17 (2020).
- [10] D. Vaid, Connecting Loop Quantum Gravity and String Theory via Quantum Geometry, In: [Behera, P.K., Bhatnagar, V., Shukla, P., Sinha, R. \(eds\) XXIII DAE High Energy Physics Symposium. DAEBRNS HEPS 2018](#). Springer Proceedings in Physics, vol 261. Springer, Singapore.
- [11] J. R. Klauder, Affine Quantum Gravity, [International Journal of Modern Physics D](#) **12** 1769-1773 (2003)
- [12] D. Oriti, The Bronstein Hypercube of Quantum Gravity, In: [Huggett N, Matsubara K, Wüthrich C, eds. Beyond Spacetime: The Foundations of Quantum Gravity](#). Cambridge University Press; 2020:25-52
- [13] David Berenstein, Lessons in quantum gravity from quantum field theory, [AIP Conf. Proc.](#) **1318**, 2637 (2010)
- [14] A. Shojai, F. Shojai, and M. Golshani, NONLOCAL EFFECTS IN QUANTUM GRAVITY, [Modern Physics Letters A](#) **13** 2965-2969 (1998)
- [15] S. Carlip, Quantum Gravity in 2+1 Dimensions, [arXiv:2312.12596 \[gr-qc\]](#) (2023)
- [16] D. Harlow and T. Numasawa, Gauging spacetime inversions in quantum gravity, [arXiv:2309.10785 \[hep-th\]](#) (2023)
- [17] J. M. Pawłowski and M. Reichert. Quantum Gravity from Dynamical Metric Fluctuations, [arXiv:2309.10785 \[hep-th\]](#) (2023)
- [18] S. Braunstein, M. Faizal, L. Krauss, F. Marino, and N. Shah, Analogue Simulations of Quantum Gravity with Fluids, [Nat Rev Phys](#) **5**, 612622 (2023)
- [19] K. Giesel, H. Liu, P. Singh, and S. Weigl, Generalized Analysis of a Dust Collapse in Effective Loop Quantum Gravity, [arXiv:2308.10953 \[gr-qc\]](#) (2023)
- [20] S. King, R. Roshan, X. Wang, G. White, and M. Yamazaki. Quantum Gravity Effects on Dark Matter and Gravitational Waves, [Phys. Rev. D](#) **109**, 024057 (2023)
- [21] F. Bajardi, and S. Capozziello, Minisuperspace Quantum Cosmology in f(Q) Gravity, [Eur. Phys. J. C](#) **83**, 531 (2023)
- [22] T. Chakraborty, J. Chakravarty, V. Godet, P. Paul, and S. Raju, The Hilbert Space of de Sitter Quantum Gravity, [J. High Energ. Phys.](#) **2024**, 132 (2024)
- [23] F. Saueressig, The Functional Renormalization Group in Quantum Gravity, [arXiv:2302.14152 \[hep-th\]](#) (2023)
- [24] E. Bianchi and E. R. Livine, Loop Quantum Gravity and Quantum Information, In: [Bambi, C., Modesto, L., Shapiro, I. \(eds\) Handbook of Quantum Gravity](#). Springer, Singapore (2023)
- [25] J. A. Wheeler, Geons, [Phys. Rev.](#) **97**, 511 (1955).
- [26] J. A. Wheeler with K. Ford, Geons, Black Holes and Quantum Foam: A Life in Physics, W. W. Norton & Company, 2, 2000.
- [27] E. Tryon, Is the Universe a Vacuum Fluctuation? [Nature](#) **246**, 396-397 (1973).
- [28] S. Catterall, G. Thorleifsson, M. Bowick, V. John, Scaling and the fractal geometry of two-dimensional quantum gravity, [Physics Letters B](#) **354**, 58-68 (1995).

- [29] J. Ambjrn, J. Jurkiewicz, Y. Watabiki, On the fractal structure of two-dimensional quantum gravity, *Nuclear Physics B* **454**, 313-342 (1995).
- [30] Yoshiyuki Watabiki, Fractal Structure of Space-Time in Two-Dimensional Quantum Gravity, [arXiv:hep-th/9605185](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-th/9605185) (1999).
- [31] Maximilian Becker, Carlo Pagani, and Omar Zanusso, Fractal Geometry of Higher Derivative Gravity, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **124**, 151302 (2020).
- [32] Noboru Kawamoto, Kenji Yotsuji,, Numerical study for the c-dependence of fractal dimension in two-dimensional quantum gravity, *Nuclear Physics B* **644**, 533-567 (2002).
- [33] Hikaru Kawai, Yoshihisa Kitazawa, Masao Ninomiya, Scaling exponents in quantum gravity near two dimensions, *Nuclear Physics B* **393**, 280-300 (1993).
- [34] J. Ambjrn, Y. Watabiki, Scaling in quantum gravity, *Nuclear Physics B* **445**, 129-142 (1995).
- [35] Tao Han, Scott Willenbrock, Scale of quantum gravity, *Nuclear Physics B* **616**, 215-220 (2005).
- [36] E. Curiel, F. Finster, J. M. Isidro, Summing over Space-time Dimensions in Quantum Gravity, *Symmetry* **12**, 138 (2020).
- [37] S. A. El-Mongy, Theory of the Unified Gravity: Sayed' s Quantum Gravity Force (SQGF) as a Function in Wavelength and Planck Length with Inevitability of Light Speed Violation, *JOURNAL OF ADVANCES IN PHYSICS*, **21**, 2533 (2023).
- [38] F. Ghaboussi, Foundation of The Two dimensional Quantum Theory of Gravity, [arXiv:gr-qc/9706059](https://arxiv.org/abs/gr-qc/9706059) (1998).
- [39] H. Kawai and M. Ninomiya, Renormalization group and quantum gravity, *Nucl. Phys. B* **336**, 115 (1990).
- [40] H. Kröger, Fractal geometry in quantum mechanics, field theory and spin systems, *Phys. Rep.* **323**, 81-181 (2000), and the reference therein.
- [41] H. Cecena-Álvarez, A. Peimbert-Torres., R. W. Gómez-González, Uncertainty Relations in Self-Similar Convergent Trajectories, [arXiv:0910.1328 \[quant-ph\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/0910.1328) (2009).
- [42] M. Naschie, A note on Heisenberg's uncertainty principle and Cantorian space-time, *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, **3**, 441-444 (1992).
- [43] M. Agop et al., Some properties of the world crystal in fractal spacetime theory, *Australian Journal of Physics* **53(2)** 231-240 (2000).
- [44] I. Licata, Quantum fractals: from Heisenberg' s uncertainty to Barnsley' s fractality, by Arkadiusz Jadczyk: Scope: monograph. Level: postgraduate, researcher, *Contemporary Physics*, **57(2)**, 272274. (2016).
- [45] D. Kothawala, Minimal length and small scale structure of spacetime, *Phys. Rev. D* **88**, 104029 (2013).
- [46] G.E. Volovik, Dimensionless Physics: Planck Constant as an Element of the Minkowski Metric, *Jetp Lett.* **117**, 240244 (2023).
- [47] A. Einstein, Die Grundlage der allgemeinen Relativitätstheorie. *Annalen der Physik*, **354(7)**, 769-822 (1916).
- [48] D. Hilbert, Die Grundlagen der Physik. Königlichen Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen, (1915).
- [49] J. B. Hartle, Gravity: An Introduction to Einstein's General Relativity. Addison-Wesley, (2003).
- [50] H. Minkowski, "Space and Time." *Annalen der Physik*, vol. 4, no. 3, pp. 301-312, (1908).
- [51] A. Einstein, "Zur Elektrodynamik bewegter Körper." *Annalen der Physik*, vol. 17, no. 10, pp. 891-921 (1905).
- [52] H. A. Lorentz, Proceedings of the Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences, vol. 6, pp. 809-831 (1904).
- [53] R. Lieu, The Effect of Planck-Scale Spacetime Fluctuations on Lorentz Invariance at Extreme Speeds, *ApJ* **568** L67 (2002)
- [54] T. Jacobson, S. Liberati, and D. Mattingly, A strong astrophysical constraint on the violation of special relativity by quantum gravity, *Nature* **424**, 10191021 (2003)
- [55] W Zimdahl, Relativistic stochastic Boltzmann equation and fluctuations in general relativity, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **6** 1879 (1989)
- [56] M. Maziashvili, Quantum fluctuations of space-time, [arXiv:hep-ph/0605146](https://arxiv.org/abs/hep-ph/0605146) (2006)
- [57] R. Lieu, Relativity as the quantum mechanics of space-time measurements, [arXiv:physics/0005034 \[physics.gen-ph\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/physics/0005034) (2000)
- [58] K. Schwarzschild (translation and foreword by Antoci S. and Loinger A.), On the gravitational field of a mass point according to Einstein's theory. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.physics/9905030>.
- [59] M. Tsamparlis, A. Paliathanasis, Lie and Noether symmetries of geodesic equations and collineations, *Gen Relativ Gravit* **42**, 29572980 (2010)
- [60] Hackmann, Eva and Hartmann, Betti and Lämmerzahl, Claus and Sirimachan, Parinya, Complete set of solutions of the geodesic equation in the space-time of a Schwarzschild black hole pierced by a cosmic string, *Phys. Rev. D* **81**, 064016 (2010)
- [61] J. M. Lee, Riemannian Manifolds: An Introduction to Curvature. Springer. (1997)
- [62] M. D. Schwartz, Quantum Field Theory and the Standard Model, *Cambridge University Press* (2013).
- [63] S. Weinberg, The Quantum Theory of Fields, *Cambridge University Press* (1995).
- [64] C. Rovelli, Quantum Gravity, *Cambridge University Press* (2004).
- [65] O. Klein, Quantentheorie und fünfdimensionale Relativitätstheorie. *Zeitschrift für Physik*, **37(12)**, 895-906 (1926).
- [66] W. Gordon, Der Comptoneffekt nach der Schrödingerschen Theorie. *Zeitschrift für Physik*, **40(1-2)**, 117-133 (1928).
- [67] P. A. M. Dirac, The quantum theory of the electron. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A, **117(778)**, 610-624 (1928).
- [68] J. D. Bjorken, S. D. Drell, Relativistic quantum mechanics. McGraw-Hill (1964).