

On 4D, $\mathcal{N} = 2$ deformed vector multiplets and partial supersymmetry breaking in off-shell supergravity

Gregory Gold, Saurish Khandelwal, and Gabriele Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli

*School of Mathematics and Physics, University of Queensland,
St Lucia, Brisbane, Queensland 4072, Australia*

g.gold@uq.edu.au; s.khandelwal@uq.edu.au; g.tartaglino-mazzucchelli@uq.edu.au

Abstract

Electric and magnetic Fayet-Ilioupoulos (FI) terms are used to engineer partial breaking of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ global supersymmetry for systems of vector multiplets. The magnetic FI term induces a deformation of the off-shell field transformations associated with an imaginary constant shift of the triplet of auxiliary fields of the vector multiplet. In this paper, we elaborate on the deformation of off-shell vector multiplets in supergravity, both in components and superspace. In a superconformal framework, the deformations are associated with (composite) linear multiplets. We engineer an off-shell model that exhibits partial local supersymmetry breaking with a zero cosmological constant. This is based on the hyper-dilaton Weyl multiplet introduced in arXiv:2203.12203, coupled to the $SU(1,1)/U(1)$ special-Kähler sigma model in a symplectic frame admitting a holomorphic prepotential, with one compensating and one physical vector multiplet, the latter magnetically deformed.

Dedicated to the memory of Luciano Girardello

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Superconformal multiplets in 4D, $\mathcal{N} = 2$	10
2.1	The standard Weyl multiplet	10
2.1.1	The standard Weyl multiplet in superspace	10
2.1.2	The standard Weyl multiplet in components	12
2.2	The abelian vector multiplet	17
2.2.1	One-form geometry of the abelian vector multiplet and its descendents	17
2.2.2	The abelian vector multiplet in components	18
2.3	The linear multiplet	20
2.3.1	Two-form geometry of the linear multiplet	20
2.3.2	Linear multiplet in components	22
2.4	On-shell hypermultiplet and hyper-dilaton Weyl multiplet	24
2.4.1	Transformation check	29
3	The deformed abelian vector multiplet	30
3.1	EM duality in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superspace	30
3.2	Deformed abelian vector multiplet in conformal superspace	34
4	Superconformal actions	37
4.1	Chiral action principle	37
4.2	Deformed abelian vector multiplet action	38
4.3	Standard BF action/electric FI term	40
5	Deformed $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity in a hyper-dilaton Weyl multiplet background	43
5.1	Equations of motion	44

6	Off-shell model with on-shell partial-susy breaking	47
6.1	SU(1,1)/U(1) model	48
6.2	Gauge fixing and fermion shifts	51
6.3	On-shell theory and fermionic mass matrix	54
7	Conclusion and outlook	56
A	Notations and conventions	59
B	Conformal superspace	61
C	S-supersymmetry transformations	64
D	Local superconformal transformations	65

1 Introduction

Partial supersymmetry (SUSY) breaking is a fascinating subject that has been studied for several decades. It has witnessed no-go theorems [1,2] and their eventual disproof [3–7], and to date, still remains a subject with various interesting open questions. In the case of four space-time dimensions (4D), which is the subject of this work, partial breaking of global $\mathcal{N} = 2 \rightarrow \mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry requires the deformation of supersymmetry transformations [5, 8–11, 20, 22, 23]. This can be engineered with off-shell supersymmetry, meaning that the algebra of supersymmetry transformations closes without the aid of any equations of motion. In particular, possibly the simplest model exhibiting spontaneous global supersymmetry breaking is the one introduced by Antoniadis-Partouche-Taylor (APT) in 1995 [5] where a single $\mathcal{N} = 2$ vector multiplet deformed by both electric and a magnetic Fayet-Iliopoulos (FI) terms suffices to engineer the $\mathcal{N} = 2 \rightarrow \mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry breaking. A motivation for our work is to look for APT-type models in supergravity engineered with manifest off-shell local supersymmetry.

An alternative way to construct partial supersymmetry breaking models employs non-linear realization techniques, including nilpotent Goldstone multiplet analyses, where only

one supersymmetry is manifestly preserved and linearly realized [12–23]. The non-linear realization of partial supersymmetry breaking also naturally takes place in theories with supersymmetric extended objects (like membranes), which lead to supersymmetric Dirac-Born-Infeld (DBI) type actions [15, 16, 24, 25]. Moreover, understanding the mechanisms of partial supersymmetry breaking is also motivated by phenomenology as it would be welcome to have feasible mechanisms to control the breaking of extended supersymmetries in some high-energy scale while allowing a single $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry at low energy, see, e.g., [26] and references therein.

Returning to the APT-type model, it is worth mentioning that electric and magnetic FI terms are related to each other under the electric-magnetic duality of the associated vector multiplets [5, 8, 9]. However, the two possess different features in the context of supersymmetry. The electric FI term is a supersymmetric deformation of an $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Lagrangian that on shell can induce a vev for the triplet of real auxiliary fields of a vector multiplet. The magnetic FI term is a deformation of the supersymmetry algebra that results from a constant imaginary shift of the same vector multiplet, resulting in an inherited deformation of the supersymmetric constraint off shell. The possibility of turning on both types of FI terms in an off-shell setting and tuning them appropriately allows for the simple engineering of general matter systems with global spontaneous supersymmetry breaking. To the best of our knowledge, one of the remaining open questions on the subject is to explicitly engineer fully off-shell models that lead to local partial supersymmetry breaking in the supergravity context. In our paper, we revisit this question and propose a solution to this problem.

Local partial supersymmetry breaking in supergravity is a subject that has obtained substantial attention with a non-geodesic history. A limited set of references on the subject can be found here [2, 6, 7, 27–38]. In line with the early result of [1], in 1984 Cecotti-Girardello-Porrati did prove a no-go theorem for local partial supersymmetry breaking in supergravity [2]. These were based on a clever analysis of the general aspects of local supersymmetry algebras with different field content and the employment of superconformal techniques [38–43]. The next year, it was realised by the same authors that local partial supersymmetry breaking with a zero cosmological constant can be realised if one lifts the technical assumption of the existence of a holomorphic prepotential for the special-Kähler geometry of the vector multiplets [6]. The resulting model has one physical vector multiplet parametrising a $SU(1, 1)/U(1)$ special-Kähler sigma model together with a hypermultiplet parametrising a $SO(4, 1)/SO(4)$ quaternion-Kähler manifold and a set of (electric) gaugings. This set-up has seen several generalisations, see, e.g., [29–31, 33–38],

however two common features are: (i) these local partial supersymmetry breaking models include physical vector multiplets and at least one physical hypermultiplet; (ii) due to the presence of the hypermultiplet, which in the component superconformal tensor calculus with a finite number of auxiliary fields is on shell [38],¹ the resulting models have local supersymmetry that only closes on shell. We will see in our work that the second restriction can be lifted for an off-shell model of supergravity that has partial supersymmetry breaking and, in fact, is closely related to the original set-up of [6]. However, for the model in our paper, we will employ an off-shell magnetically deformed vector multiplet, together with a compensating vector multiplet in a hyper-dilaton Weyl multiplet background (which we will comment about shortly) with no other matter multiplets. The structure resembles the APT model with both electric and magnetic FI terms, and the resulting construction is fully off shell, due to the modification of one of the building blocks in the superconformal tensor calculus approach that leads to a new spectrum of fields.

Other than the examples mentioned above, it is worth reminding the reader that conformal supergravity has played an important role in several research avenues in the last five decades — we refer the reader to a few books and reviews for a more detailed discussion and list of references [38, 43–47]. Similar to superspace approaches (see [44–47] for introductory reviews and, e.g., [48–66] and references therein, for the 4D, $\mathcal{N} = 2$ case) a main advantage of the superconformal tensor calculus is to provide an off-shell description of potentially general supergravity-matter couplings. This allows one to formulate models where local supersymmetry is engineered in a completely model-independent way. The approach has been very successful in helping to decipher many of the intricate geometrical structures associated to (two-derivative) sigma models in supergravity-matter systems with eight real supercharges, see, e.g., [38, 40, 42, 67–69]. The off-shell nature of the formalism has been a central ingredient in its employment in the study of supersymmetric localisation and supersymmetric quantum field theories on curved space-times — see [70] for a recent extensive review. Moreover, off-shell supersymmetry has also been a crucial ingredient when using superconformal tensor calculus to construct higher-derivative supergravity invariants [71–99]. These play an important role, e.g., in the study of black-hole entropy and other applications in next to leading order AdS/CFT — see the recent works [95, 100–109] and references therein.

Within the superconformal tensor calculus, general supergravity-matter couplings are

¹One way to overcome this difficulty is to employ multiplets with gauged central charges, see for example [41], but, to the best of our knowledge, it remains an open question whether most general supergravity-matter couplings can be engineered this way, see also the discussion in [38].

engineered by a few ingredients. First, one needs a multiplet of conformal supergravity — named the *Weyl* multiplet — which forms an off-shell representation of the local superconformal algebra and contains the vielbein as one of its independent fields. This multiplet defines the geometry (soft algebra) associated with the gauging of the superconformal space-time symmetry. Next, one identifies off-shell matter multiplets with local superconformal transformation rules in a Weyl multiplet background. These two ingredients provide the kinematic data of a specific supergravity-matter system. Finally, one engineers locally superconformal invariant action principles constructed out of these multiplets to obtain well-defined supergravity theories.²

Assuming the matter multiplets contain enough “compensating” degrees of freedom, one can suitably gauge fix part of the superconformal group, specifically dilatations, special conformal transformations, S -supersymmetry, and R -symmetry, to obtain supergravity models where only the super-Poincaré symmetry survives and is gauged. For instance, pure 4D, $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Poincaré supergravity can arise by the coupling of the *standard Weyl multiplet* [39, 41, 114–116] to two compensating multiplets. There is significant freedom in doing so. Typically, one uses a vector multiplet and a hypermultiplet (the hyper can take several forms, e.g., a linear, non-linear, or hypermultiplet with or without a central charge) as compensators — see [38, 47] for recent reviews. Note that, in this approach, historically the first step has predominantly been the same (standard Weyl multiplet), while most of the freedom that has been used concerned the matter (compensators) side of this story. However, it is known that variant Weyl multiplets exist and can be used to engineer theories of Poincaré supergravity. These go by the name of dilaton Weyl multiplets.

The first example of a dilaton Weyl multiplet was introduced for 6D, $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ supergravity in 1986 [117], and similar ideas were then employed to construct a variant dilaton Weyl multiplet for 5D, $\mathcal{N} = 1$ conformal supergravity [118, 119]. For the 4D, $\mathcal{N} = 2$ case, the existence of a variant representation of the Weyl multiplet of conformal supergravity was argued in [120] and was explicitly constructed only recently in [121] by coupling an on-shell vector multiplet to a standard Weyl multiplet — for this reason, we sometimes refer to this as the *vector-dilaton Weyl* multiplet. Two years ago, we did show the existence of a so-called *hyper-dilaton Weyl* multiplet for 4D, $\mathcal{N} = 2$ conformal supergravity

²These tasks can be simplified by manifestly gauging the superconformal algebra in superspace through so-called *conformal superspace*. Conformal superspace was first introduced for 4D, $\mathcal{N} = 1, 2$ supergravity in [50, 110] (see also the seminal work [111]) and it was then developed for 3D, \mathcal{N} -extended supergravity [112], 5D, $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supergravity [84], 6D, $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ supergravity [86, 88], and recently 4D $\mathcal{N} = 3$ supergravity [113] — see [46, 47] for recent reviews.

engineered by coupling an on-shell hypermultiplet to the standard Weyl multiplet and by reinterpreting the resulting system as a variant off-shell Weyl multiplet [48]. This is the Weyl multiplet that we will use to engineer an off-shell model for local partial supersymmetry breaking. A similar analysis was then performed to define hyper-dilaton Weyl multiplets also in five and six dimensions [122]. It is also worth mentioning that new dilaton Weyl multiplets were recently engineered for maximal conformal supergravity in four and five space-time dimensions [123, 124].

Considering the role played by the hyper-dilaton Weyl multiplet in our paper, let us now review some of its key features. The off-shell standard Weyl multiplet of 4D, $\mathcal{N} = 2$ conformal supergravity comprises $24 + 24$ independent fields. Besides the vielbein, gravitini, $U(1)_R \times SU(2)_R$, and dilatation symmetry connections, the multiplet comprises a set of covariant matter (auxiliary) fields: a real antisymmetric tensors, W_{ab} , the real scalar field, D , and the spinor fields that we denote by $(\Sigma^{\alpha i}, \bar{\Sigma}_{\dot{\alpha} i})$. The presence of the matter fields is key to obtaining a set of local superconformal field transformations that close off shell. To define the hyper-dilaton Weyl multiplet one starts with an on-shell hypermultiplet [125, 126] in a standard Weyl multiplet background [39, 41, 67, 115, 116]. The constraints that arise by requiring the algebra of local superconformal transformations to close on the fields of the hypermultiplet can then be interpreted as algebraic equations for some of the fields of the standard Weyl multiplet. More precisely, the standard Weyl multiplet's matter fields $(\Sigma^{\alpha i}, \bar{\Sigma}_{\dot{\alpha} i})$ and D , together with the $SU(2)_R$ symmetry connection $\phi_m{}^{ij}$ become composite fields. On the other hand, the four bosonic $q^{i\dot{i}}$ and four fermionic $(\rho_{\dot{\alpha}}^i, \bar{\rho}_{\dot{i}}^{\dot{\alpha}})$ fields of the hypermultiplet, together with an emerging triplet of real gauge two-forms $b_{mn}{}^{\dot{i}\dot{j}} = b_{mn}{}^{\underline{j}\underline{i}} = -b_{nm}{}^{\dot{i}\dot{j}}$, are independent and not subject to any equations of motion. In turn, the new set of independent fields describes another $24 + 24$ representation of the local superconformal algebra that closes off shell. An interesting feature of the hyper-dilaton Weyl multiplet is that not only dilatation but also $SU(2)_R$ becomes pure gauge, while a triplet of one-form symmetry takes place.

To construct a multiplet of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Poincaré supergravity, where only local Lorentz symmetry and local Q -supersymmetry are unbroken, it then suffices to couple the hyper-dilaton Weyl multiplet to a single compensating vector multiplet. The result is an off-shell $32 + 32$ hyper-dilaton Poincaré supergravity multiplet, which was originally constructed by Müller in [127] with a different approach. Even though the off-shell field content is minimal, the on-shell theory is non-minimal and comprises the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Poincaré supergravity multiplet with a vielbein, gravitini, and a graviphoton together with an on-shell hypermultiplet where three of the real scalar field are dualised to a triplet of gauge two-forms

and one of the scalars plays the role of a dilaton. This is precisely the Poincaré supergravity that we will use to engineer an off-shell model that comprises an extra physical vector multiplet (similar to the one of the APT model) where local partial supersymmetry breaking easily takes place.

An interesting feature of Müller’s supergravity, and our implementation in terms of the hyper-dilaton Weyl multiplet, is the alternative way with which it is possible to generate scalar field potentials with a mechanism different than that of gauging the R -symmetry. It is well known that in the standard engineering of general supergravity-matter couplings in 4D, extended ($\mathcal{N} = 2$) supergravity, scalar potentials are associated with moment maps of the embedding of the scalar fields sigma model gauged isometries in the $SU(2)_R$ group. In a superconformal setting based on the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ standard Weyl multiplet, this emerges by integrating out the $SU(2)_R$ gauge connection, which in a two-derivative theory is an auxiliary field — see [38] for review. In the hyper-dilaton Weyl and Müller multiplets, $SU(2)_R$ can be fixed without the aid of a compensating multiplet as, in fact, its gauge field is a composite field that turns into the Hodge dual of the field strength of a triplet of gauge two-forms $b_{mn}{}^{ij}$. The result is a coupling of the supergravity multiplet to new two-form physical fields and not a mechanism that makes fields (as, for example, the gravitini) charged under the physical gauge group. This was explained in [48], and it generalises to generic couplings with vector multiplets. The result is an alternative, yet simple, off-shell engineering of non-trivial scalar potentials in 4D, $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity.

In our work, we will focus on off-shell Poincaré supergravity based on the hyper-dilaton Weyl multiplet coupled to a system of off-shell Abelian vector multiplets, one of which is a conformal compensator. We will not add other matter fields in the system, in particular, no hypermultiplets other than the on-shell one which defines the hyper-dilaton Weyl multiplet. It is well known that, by using the standard Weyl multiplet, for pure systems of physical vector multiplets, non-trivial scalar potentials in 4D, $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity are engineered through gauging by local FI terms. As in the rigid case, FI terms are either electric or magnetic. To the best of our knowledge, in supergravity, the off-shell description of 4D, $\mathcal{N} = 2$ magnetic FI terms (and magnetic gaugings) has not been developed in full generality yet, though they are expected to play an important role in engineering scalar potentials in supergravity models possessing vacua with both positive and negative cosmological constants – see, for instance the recent discussion of magnetic 4D, $\mathcal{N} = 1$ FI terms [128]. Part of our work is to extend this analysis to the 4D, $\mathcal{N} = 2$ case and elaborate on off-shell magnetically deformed vector multiplets.

The curved superspace constraints for off-shell magnetic FI-type terms were intro-

duced in [19, 129] and in depth supergravity analyses in components (though not fully off shell) were presented earlier in [130–132]. By using a hyper-dilaton Weyl multiplet it is straightforward to engineer generic electric and magnetic FI-type terms by means of composite linear multiplets. The result is similar to the global case, where the two types of deformations induce a real or imaginary shift of the vector multiplets. The supergravity extensions of electric FI terms, which we will parameterize with ξ , can be obtained by using the BF -coupling between a vector and a linear multiplet. In a hyper-dilaton Weyl background, one can construct composite linear multiplets by using a quadratic combination of the fields of the on-shell hypermultiplet. In the case of a ξ -deformation, the bottom component of such a composite linear multiplet is given by $G_{\xi ij} = \xi_{ij} q_i^{\dot{i}} q_j^{\dot{j}}$. We will see that off-shell magnetic FI-type deformations in a hyper-dilaton Weyl multiplet background can easily be engineered in terms of the same type of composite linear multiplet. This would, for example, appear as an imaginary deformation of the X^{ij} -auxiliary real field of a vector multiplet. These deformations are parametrised by the composite field $G_{\zeta ij} = \zeta_{ij} q_i^{\dot{i}} q_j^{\dot{j}}$ with $\zeta_{ij} = \zeta_{ji}$, $(\zeta_{ij})^* = \zeta^{\dot{i}\dot{j}}$ constants that generalise the magnetic FI terms of global supersymmetry. Given a system of $n + 1$ vector multiplets with scalar fields ϕ^I (with $I = 0, 1, \dots, n$) coupled to the off-shell hyper-dilaton Weyl multiplet, it is then straightforward to introduce $3(n + 1)$ off-shell deformations each associated to either a $\xi^{\dot{i}\dot{j}}$ electric deformation or a ζ^I_{ij} magnetic deformation. These in general induce non-trivial scalar potentials and vacuum structures.

Remarkably, due to the fact that each of the ξ and ζ deformations can take three $SU(2)$ directions independently, there is enough freedom to obtain local partial supersymmetry breaking. We will prove this by considering a very simple model given by one physical and one compensating vector multiplets, the first magnetically deformed, the second having an electric deformation turned on. By taking a special-Kähler holomorphic prepotential of the form $\mathcal{F} = c\phi\phi$ (the reader can look at [38, 43, 46, 47] for reviews on structures of general Lagrangians for off-shell vector multiples), with c a nonzero real constant while ϕ being the complex scalar field of the compensating vector multiplet and ϕ the same for the physical vector multiplets, and by choosing the determinant of the matrix

$$\mathbf{M}_{ij} = -\frac{2}{c}\xi_{ij} + i\zeta_{ij}, \quad (1.1)$$

to be zero, $\det \mathbf{M} = 0$, we find local partial supersymmetry breaking in a Minkowski vacua. A zero determinant condition of a matrix given by a linear combination of an electric and a magnetic FI term, is precisely the one for partial breaking in the global APT model. In fact, in the local model that we consider, the mechanism is very similar,

since all shift symmetry terms in the supersymmetry variation of the fermions, together with the fermionic mass matrices, are all parametrized by the matrix \mathbf{M}_{ij} given above. A difference, however, is the fact that here, one FI term belongs to the physical multiplet and the other to the compensator. Still, the simplicity of the construction is inspiring, also because at all steps supersymmetry is off shell, allowing one to potentially add other couplings to the model, including higher-derivative ones, in a fairly straightforward way.

Before moving to the technical part of our paper, we would like to comment on the form of the special-Kähler potential, $\mathcal{F} = c\phi\phi$, that we have chosen for the example that we discuss in detail in this paper. This is a natural choice. In fact, as mentioned, e.g., in footnote four of [7], this holomorphic prepotential is precisely the one of the $SU(1,1)/U(1)$ special-Kähler sigma model which was employed in the seminal work on local partial supersymmetry breaking [6] but in a symplectic frame, obtained after an electric-magnetic duality, where a holomorphic potential actually exists. From the point of view of the special-Kähler geometry, our exemplary model is inspired by the one of [6] after a duality transformation, where, however, the hypermultiplet sector in our case becomes part of the conformal supergravity multiplet with three scalar fields turned into gauge two-forms. The emergence of a magnetically deformed vector multiplet is then expected. However, the absence of gauging in our setup, as well as the new spectrum of the on-shell theory, are intriguing features of working with hyper-dilaton supergravity.

This paper is organised as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the relevant superconformal multiplets in superspace and components. This includes the standard Weyl multiplet, the abelian vector multiplet, the linear multiplet (often referred to tensor multiplet), and the hyper-dilaton Weyl multiplet (constructed by an on-shell hypermultiplet). The reader familiar with these results can skip this review section, but note that this section does review a wealth of results in our notations. In Section 3, we introduce the deformed abelian vector multiplet with which we induce the previously mentioned magnetic deformation. In Section 4, we give the component actions used in this paper being the Abelian deformed vector multiplet action and the standard FI term by a linear multiplet action. In this section, the linear multiplet is considered as a general one (not necessarily composite), whereas in the successive sections of the paper, all deformations will be parametrised by linear multiplets that are composite of a hypermultiplet. The general off-shell action for deformed $\mathcal{N} = 2$ conformal supergravity in a hyper-dilaton Weyl multiplet background is then given in Section 5 followed by the covariant equations of motion for this general model's auxiliary fields. In Section 6, we then proceed with a specific choice being the $SU(1,1)/U(1)$ special-Kähler sigma model and give the corresponding

off-shell action. This is followed by a process of gauge fixing and integrating out auxiliary fields resulting in an on-shell supergravity model with partial supersymmetry breaking. Finally, in Section 7, we collect concluding comments and an outlook for our paper. We also present a few technical appendices. This first includes our notations and conventions and details on 4D, $\mathcal{N} = 2$ conformal superspace in Appendices A and B, respectively. For the reader's convenience, we then give the S -supersymmetry and local superconformal transformations of various multiplet fields seen throughout this paper in Appendices C and D, respectively. Lastly, we accompany our paper with a supplementary file where we give the fermionic counterparts to various component actions, the bosonic parts of which are given in Sections 5 and 6 of this paper.

2 Superconformal multiplets in 4D, $\mathcal{N} = 2$

We review here various superconformal multiplets which serve as the building blocks for the invariants of 4D, $\mathcal{N} = 2$ conformal supergravity both in superspace and components.

2.1 The standard Weyl multiplet

2.1.1 The standard Weyl multiplet in superspace

The 4D, $\mathcal{N} = 2$ conformal superspace is parametrised by local bosonic (x^m) and fermionic ($\theta_i^\mu, \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\mu}}^i$) coordinates $z^M = (x^{\hat{m}}, \theta_i^\mu, \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\mu}}^i)$, where $m = 0, 1, 2, 3$, $\mu, \dot{\mu} = 1, 2$, and $i = 1, 2$. To gauge the superconformal algebra, one introduces the covariant derivatives $\nabla_A = (\nabla_a, \nabla_\alpha^i, \bar{\nabla}_{\dot{\alpha}}^i)$ which have the form

$$\nabla_A = E_A - \omega_A{}^b X_{\underline{b}} = E_{\hat{A}} - \frac{1}{2} \Omega_A{}^{ab} M_{ab} - i \Phi_A Y - \Phi_A{}^{ij} J_{ij} - B_A \mathbb{D} - \mathfrak{F}_A{}^B K_B. \quad (2.1)$$

Here $E_A = E_A{}^M \partial_M$ is the inverse super-vielbein, M_{ab} are the Lorentz generators, Y is the generator of the chiral rotation group $U(1)_R$, J_{ij} are generators of the $SU(2)_R$ R -symmetry group, \mathbb{D} is the dilatation generator, and $K_A = (K_a, S_i^\alpha, \bar{S}_{\dot{\alpha}}^i)$ are the special superconformal generators. The super-vielbein one-form is $E^A = dz^M E_M{}^A$ with $E_M{}^A E_A{}^N = \delta_M^N$ and $E_A{}^M E_M{}^B = \delta_A^B$. Associated with each generator $X_{\underline{a}} = (M_{ab}, Y, J_{ij}, \mathbb{D}, K^a, S_i^\alpha, \bar{S}_{\dot{\alpha}}^i)$ is the connection super one-form $\omega^{\underline{a}} = (\Omega^{ab}, \Phi, \Theta^{ij}, B, \mathfrak{F}_A) = (\Omega^{ab}, \Phi, \Theta^{ij}, B, \mathfrak{F}_a, \mathfrak{F}_\alpha^i, \bar{\mathfrak{F}}_{\dot{\alpha}}^i) = dz^M \omega_M{}^{\underline{a}} = E^A \omega_A{}^{\underline{a}}$.

The algebra of covariant derivatives is

$$\begin{aligned} [\nabla_A, \nabla_B] = & -\mathcal{T}_{AB}{}^C \nabla_C - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{R}(M)_{AB}{}^{cd} M_{cd} - \mathcal{R}(J)_{AB}{}^{kl} J_{kl} - \mathcal{R}(\mathbb{D})_{AB} \mathbb{D} \\ & - i\mathcal{R}(Y)_{AB} \mathbb{Y} - \mathcal{R}(S)_{AB\alpha}{}^i S_i^\alpha - \mathcal{R}(\bar{S})_{ABi}{}^{\dot{\alpha}} S_{\dot{\alpha}}^i - \mathcal{R}(K)_{AB}{}^c K_c, \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

and is constrained to be expressed in terms of a single primary superfield, the super-Weyl tensor $(W_{\alpha\beta}, \bar{W}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}})$,³ which has the following properties

$$W_{\alpha\beta} = W_{\beta\alpha}, \quad K_A W_{\alpha\beta} = 0, \quad \mathbb{D} W_{\alpha\beta} = W_{\alpha\beta}, \quad Y W_{\alpha\beta} = -2W_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (2.3a)$$

$$\bar{W}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} = \bar{W}^{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}}, \quad K_A \bar{W}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} = 0, \quad \mathbb{D} \bar{W}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} = \bar{W}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}, \quad Y \bar{W}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} = 2\bar{W}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}. \quad (2.3b)$$

The super-Weyl tensor also obeys the additional constraints

$$\bar{\nabla}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} W_{\beta\gamma} = 0, \quad \nabla_{\alpha\beta} W^{\alpha\beta} = \bar{\nabla}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \bar{W}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}, \quad (2.4)$$

where we have introduced the following notation,

$$\nabla_{\alpha\beta} := \nabla_{(\alpha}^k \nabla_{\beta)k}, \quad \bar{\nabla}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} := \bar{\nabla}_k^{(\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\nabla}^{\dot{\beta})k}. \quad (2.5)$$

In eq. (2.2), $\mathcal{T}_{AB}{}^C$ is the torsion, while $\mathcal{R}(M)_{AB}{}^{cd}$, $\mathcal{R}(J)_{AB}{}^{kl}$, $\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{D})_{AB}$, $\mathcal{R}(Y)_{AB}$, $\mathcal{R}(S)_{AB\alpha}{}^i$, $\mathcal{R}(\bar{S})_{ABi}{}^{\dot{\alpha}}$, and $\mathcal{R}(K)_{AB}{}^c$ are the curvatures associated with Lorentz, $SU(2)_R$, dilatation, $U(1)_R$, S -supersymmetry, and special conformal boosts, respectively. The full algebra of covariant derivatives (2.2) (including the explicit expressions for the torsion and curvature tensors) are given in Appendix B of our paper.

Let us introduce the descendant superfields constructed by acting successive spinor covariant derivatives on $W_{\alpha\beta}$. These are the dimension-3/2 descendant superfields

$$W_{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}^k := \nabla_{(\alpha}^k W_{\beta\gamma)}, \quad \Sigma^{\alpha i} := \frac{1}{3} \nabla_{\beta}^i W^{\alpha\beta}, \quad (2.6a)$$

$$\bar{W}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}}{}_k := \bar{\nabla}_k^{(\dot{\alpha}} \bar{W}^{\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma})}, \quad \bar{\Sigma}_{\dot{\alpha} i} := -\frac{1}{3} \bar{\nabla}_i^{\dot{\beta}} \bar{W}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}, \quad (2.6b)$$

other dimension-2 descendant superfields

$$W_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} := \nabla_{(\alpha}^k W_{\beta\gamma\delta)k}, \quad \Sigma_{\alpha\beta}{}^{ij} := \nabla_{(\alpha}^i \Sigma_{\beta)}^j, \quad \Sigma_{\alpha\beta} := \nabla_{(\alpha}^i \Sigma_{\beta)i}, \quad (2.6c)$$

³Here and in what follows, an antisymmetric rank-2 tensor $T_{ab} = -T_{ba}$ can equivalently be written as: $T_{ab} = (\sigma_{ab})^{\alpha\beta} T_{\alpha\beta} - (\sigma_{ab})_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \bar{T}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}$ with $T_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma^{ab})_{\alpha\beta} T_{ab}$ and $\bar{T}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} = -\frac{1}{2}(\bar{\sigma}^{ab})_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} T_{ab}$.

$$\overline{W}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}\dot{\delta}} := \overline{\nabla}_k^{(\dot{\alpha}} \overline{W}^{\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}\dot{\delta})k}, \quad \overline{\Sigma}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}_{ij} := \overline{\nabla}_{(i}^{(\dot{\alpha}} \overline{\Sigma}^{\dot{\beta})j)}, \quad \overline{\Sigma}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} := \overline{\nabla}_i^{(\dot{\alpha}} \overline{\Sigma}^{\dot{\beta})i}, \quad (2.6d)$$

$$D := \frac{1}{12} \nabla^{\alpha\beta} W_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{12} \overline{\nabla}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \overline{W}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} = \frac{1}{4} \nabla_{\alpha}^k \Sigma_k^{\alpha} = -\frac{1}{4} \overline{\nabla}_k^{\dot{\alpha}} \overline{\Sigma}_{\dot{\alpha}}^k, \quad (2.6e)$$

and the dimension-5/2 descendant superfields

$$\Sigma_{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}^k := \nabla_{(\alpha}^k \Sigma_{\beta\gamma)}, \quad \overline{\Sigma}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}}{}_k := \overline{\nabla}_k^{(\dot{\alpha}} \overline{\Sigma}^{\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma})}. \quad (2.6f)$$

It can be checked that only the superfields (2.6) and their vector derivatives appear upon taking successive spinor derivatives of $W_{\alpha\beta}$. The independent descendant superfields of $(W_{\alpha\beta}, W^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}})$ are all annihilated by K_a . However, under S -supersymmetry, they transform non-trivially, as given in the Appendix C.

The gauge group of conformal supergravity is denoted by \mathcal{G} . It is generated by *covariant general coordinate transformations*, δ_{cgct} , associated with a local superdiffeomorphism parameter ξ^A and *standard superconformal transformations*, $\delta_{\mathcal{H}}$, associated with the following other local superfield parameters: the dilatation σ , Lorentz $\Lambda^{ab} = -\Lambda^{ba}$, $\text{SU}(2)_{\text{R}}$ $\Lambda^{ij} = \Lambda^{ji}$, $\text{U}(1)_{\text{R}}$ Λ , and special conformal (bosonic and fermionic) transformations $\Lambda^A = (\eta_{\alpha}^i, \bar{\eta}_i^{\dot{\alpha}}, \Lambda_K^a)$. The covariant derivatives transform as

$$\delta_{\mathcal{G}} \nabla_A = [\mathcal{K}, \nabla_A], \quad (2.7a)$$

with

$$\mathcal{K} = \xi^C \nabla_C + \frac{1}{2} \Lambda^{ab} M_{ab} + \Lambda^{ij} J_{ij} + \sigma \mathbb{D} + \Lambda Y + \Lambda^A K_A. \quad (2.7b)$$

A covariant (or tensor) superfield U transforms as

$$\delta_{\mathcal{G}} U = (\delta_{\text{cgct}} + \delta_{\mathcal{H}}) U = \mathcal{K} U. \quad (2.8)$$

The superfield U is said to be *superconformal primary* of dimension Δ and $\text{U}(1)_{\text{R}}$ charge q_{R} if $K_A U = 0$ (it suffices to require that $S_i^{\alpha} U = \bar{S}_{\dot{\alpha}}^i U = 0$), $\mathbb{D} U = \Delta U$, and $Y U = q_{\text{R}} U$.

2.1.2 The standard Weyl multiplet in components

The standard Weyl multiplet of 4D, $\mathcal{N} = 2$ conformal supergravity is associated with the local off-shell gauging in space-time of the superconformal group $\text{SU}(2, 2|2)$ [114], see also [39, 41, 115, 116] and [38, 43] for reviews. The multiplet is comprised of $24 + 24$ physical components described by a set of independent gauge fields: the vielbein $e_m{}^a$

and a dilatation connection b_m ; the gravitino $(\psi_{m_i}^\alpha, \bar{\psi}_{m\dot{\alpha}}^i)$, associated with the gauging of Q -supersymmetry; a $U(1)_R$ gauge field A_m ; and $SU(2)_R$ gauge fields $\phi_m^{ij} = \phi_m^{j\bar{i}}$. The fields associated to the remaining generators of $SU(2, 2|2)$ are composite and include the Lorentz connections ω_m^{cd} , S -supersymmetry connection $(\phi_{m\alpha}^i, \bar{\phi}_{m\dot{\alpha}}^i)$, and the special conformal connection \mathfrak{f}_{ma} . The connections define the locally superconformal covariant derivatives⁴

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_a = e_a{}^m \nabla_m = e_a{}^m \left(\partial_m - \frac{1}{2} \psi_{m_i}^\alpha Q_\alpha^i - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}_{m\dot{\alpha}}^i \bar{Q}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{2} \omega_m^{cd} M_{cd} - i A_m Y - \phi_m^{kl} J_{kl} \right. \\ \left. - b_m \mathbb{D} - \frac{1}{2} \phi_{m\alpha}^i S_i^\alpha - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\phi}_{m\dot{\alpha}}^i \bar{S}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} - \mathfrak{f}_{mc} K^c \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

In addition to the independent gauge connections, the standard Weyl multiplet is comprised of a set of covariant matter fields that are needed to close the local superconformal algebra off shell. These include an anti-symmetric real tensor $W_{ab} = W_{ab}^+ + W_{ab}^-$, which decomposes into its imaginary-(anti-)self-dual components W_{ab}^\pm , a real scalar field D , and fermions $(\Sigma^{\alpha i}, \bar{\Sigma}_{\dot{\alpha} i})$. The covariant derivatives satisfy the algebra

$$\begin{aligned} [\nabla_a, \nabla_b] = -R(P)_{ab}{}^c \nabla_c - R(Q)_{ab}{}^\alpha Q_\alpha^i - R(\bar{Q})_{ab}{}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{Q}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{2} R(M)_{ab}{}^{cd} M_{cd} - R(\mathbb{D})_{ab} \mathbb{D} \\ - i R(Y)_{ab} Y - R(J)_{ab}{}^{kl} J_{kl} - R(S)_{ab}{}^i S_i^\alpha - R(\bar{S})_{ab}{}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{S}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} - R(K)_{abc} K^c. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

It is also useful to list the non-trivial conjugation properties

$$(\psi_{m_i}^\alpha)^* = \bar{\psi}_m^{\dot{\alpha} i}, \quad (\phi_{m\alpha}^i)^* = \bar{\phi}_{m\dot{\alpha} i}, \quad (\phi_m^{ij})^* = \phi_{m\bar{i}\bar{j}}, \quad (\Sigma^{\alpha i})^* = \bar{\Sigma}_i^{\dot{\alpha}}, \quad (2.11a)$$

$$(R(Q)_{ab}{}^\alpha)^* = R(\bar{Q})_{ab}{}^{\dot{\alpha}}, \quad (R(S)_{ab}{}^i)^* = R(\bar{S})_{ab}{}^{\dot{\alpha} i}, \quad (R(J)_{ab}{}^{kl})^* = R(J)_{abkl}, \quad (2.11b)$$

while all other fields and curvatures are real.

A set of conventional constraints express the superconformal curvatures in terms of connections and covariant matter fields and render the connections ω_m^{cd} , $(\phi_{m\alpha}^i, \bar{\phi}_{m\dot{\alpha}}^i)$, and \mathfrak{f}_{ma} composite. There is considerable freedom in the choice of these conventional constraints which is apparent from the fact that different papers often make different choices. The conventional constraints from [49] are adopted in this paper but adapted to our conventions (see Appendix A). These constraints descend from those used in super-

⁴The notations of this paper are adopted from [49] as well as the generator algebra of $SU(2, 2|2)$. We, for example, use two-component $SL(2, \mathbb{C})$ spinor indices according to the notation of [45]. In general, we closely adhere to the conventions given in [49] with the exception of the overall signs in the definition of the connections, ω_m^{cd} , b_m , A_m , ϕ_m^{kl} , $(\phi_{m\alpha}^i, \bar{\phi}_{m\dot{\alpha}}^i)$, and \mathfrak{f}_{ma} , as well as the overall signs for all the superconformal curvatures.

space, see, e.g., [50]. It is, in fact, straightforward to obtain the component structures from the superspace ones by appropriately identifying component fields with the lowest components of superfields. The vielbein (e_m^a) and gravitini ($\psi_{m_i}^\alpha, \bar{\psi}_{m\dot{\alpha}}^i$) appear as the $\theta = 0$ projections of the coefficients of dx^m in the supervielbein E^A one-form,

$$e^a = dx^m e_m^a = E^a \parallel, \quad \psi_i^\alpha = dx^m \psi_{m_i}^\alpha = 2E_i^\alpha \parallel, \quad \bar{\psi}_{\dot{\alpha}}^i = dx^m \bar{\psi}_{m\dot{\alpha}}^i = 2E_{\dot{\alpha}}^i \parallel. \quad (2.12)$$

Here we have defined the double bar projection of a superform as $\Omega \parallel \equiv \Omega|_{\theta=d\theta=0}$. On the other hand, a single bar next to a superfield denotes the usual bar projection $X \bar{|} \equiv X|_{\theta=0}$. The remaining component one-forms are defined as

$$A := \Phi \parallel, \quad \phi^{kl} := \Theta^{kl} \parallel, \quad b := B \parallel, \quad \omega^{cd} := \Omega^{cd} \parallel, \quad (2.13)$$

$$\phi_\gamma^k := 2\mathfrak{F}_\gamma^k \parallel, \quad \bar{\phi}_{\dot{k}}^{\dot{\gamma}} := 2\bar{\mathfrak{F}}_{\dot{k}}^{\dot{\gamma}} \parallel, \quad \mathfrak{f}_c := \mathfrak{F}_c \parallel. \quad (2.14)$$

The covariant matter fields W_{ab} , D , and $(\Sigma^{\alpha i}, \bar{\Sigma}_{\dot{\alpha} i})$ (we denote these component fields with the same symbols as the super-Weyl superfield and its descendants) arise as some of the components of the multiplet described by the super-Weyl tensor. In particular, it holds that

$$W_{ab}(x) := W_{ab}(z) \bar{|}, \quad D = \frac{1}{12} \nabla^{\alpha\beta} W_{\alpha\beta} \bar{|} = \frac{1}{12} \bar{\nabla}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \bar{W}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \bar{|}, \quad (2.15a)$$

$$\Sigma^{\alpha i} = \frac{1}{3} \nabla_\beta^i W^{\alpha\beta} \bar{|}, \quad \bar{\Sigma}_{\dot{\alpha} i} = -\frac{1}{3} \bar{\nabla}_{\dot{i}}^{\dot{\beta}} \bar{W}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \bar{|}. \quad (2.15b)$$

The local superconformal transformations of the gauge fields listed above can be straightforwardly derived by taking the $\theta = 0$ projection of the superspace transformations (2.7). The transformations of W_{ab} , D , and $(\Sigma^{\alpha i}, \bar{\Sigma}_{\dot{\alpha} i})$ can be obtained by applying the transformation rule for covariant superfields, eq. (2.8), and the definition of the descendant fields in eq. (2.15).

By taking the double bar projection of the superspace covariant derivative one-form ∇ , eq. (2.1), and by appropriately interpreting the projected spinor covariant derivatives $\nabla_\alpha^i \bar{|}$ and $\bar{\nabla}_{\dot{\alpha}}^i \bar{|}$ as the generators of Q -supersymmetry,⁵ one obtains the component vector covariant derivative (2.9), where again we use the same symbols for the superspace and component structures. With these reduction rules, the algebra of component covariant derivatives acting on a covariant field is also completely determined by the geometry of conformal superspace. All the component torsions and curvatures are simply the $\theta = 0$

⁵Given a covariant superfield U , and its lowest component $\mathcal{U} = U \bar{|}$, one defines $Q_\alpha^i \mathcal{U} = \nabla_\alpha^i \bar{|} \mathcal{U} := (\nabla_\alpha^i U) \bar{|}$ and $\bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}^i \mathcal{U} = \bar{\nabla}_{\dot{\alpha}}^i \bar{|} \mathcal{U} := (\bar{\nabla}_{\dot{\alpha}}^i U) \bar{|}$. The other generators $X_{\underline{a}}$ act on \mathcal{U} as $X_{\underline{a}} \mathcal{U} := (X_{\underline{a}} U) \bar{|}$.

projections of the superspace ones. The resulting algebra coincides with (2.10) subject to the following conventional constraints

$$R(P)_{ab}{}^c = 0 , \quad (2.16a)$$

$$R(Q)_{abj}\sigma^b = -\frac{3}{4}\Sigma_j\sigma_a , \quad R(\bar{Q})_{ab}{}^j\tilde{\sigma}^b = \frac{3}{4}\bar{\Sigma}^j\tilde{\sigma}_a , \quad (2.16b)$$

$$R(M)_{acb}{}^c = R(\mathbb{D})_{ab} + 3\eta_{ab}D - \eta^{cd}W_{ac}^-W_{bd}^+ . \quad (2.16c)$$

We later will present the expressions for the superconformal curvatures needed in this paper but refer the reader to [49, 50] for more detail and their relation to the results presented in [114].

In presenting the multiplet we restrict to all local superconformal transformations except local translations (covariant general coordinate transformations). These transformations are identified with δ and are defined by the following operator

$$\delta = \xi_i^\alpha Q_\alpha^i + \bar{\xi}_\alpha^i \bar{Q}_i^\alpha + \frac{1}{2}\lambda^{ab}M_{ab} + \lambda^{ij}J_{ij} + \lambda_{\mathbb{D}}\mathbb{D} + i\lambda_Y Y + \lambda_a K^a + \eta_\alpha^i S_i^\alpha + \bar{\eta}_i^\alpha \bar{S}_\alpha^i . \quad (2.17)$$

The local superconformal transformation of the fundamental fields of the standard Weyl multiplet are then given in the Appendix D. The composite Lorentz and S -supersymmetry connections are, respectively,

$$\omega_{abc} = \omega(e)_{abc} - 2\eta_{a[b}b_{c]} - \frac{i}{2}(\psi_{aj}\sigma_{[b}\bar{\psi}_{c]}^j + \psi_{[bj}\sigma_{c]}\bar{\psi}_a^j + \psi_{[bj}\sigma_{|a|}\bar{\psi}_{c]}^j) , \quad (2.18)$$

and

$$\phi_{m\beta}{}^j = \frac{i}{4} \left(\sigma^{bc}\sigma_m - \frac{1}{3}\sigma_m\tilde{\sigma}^{bc} \right)_{\beta\dot{\beta}} \bar{\Psi}_{bc}{}^{\dot{\beta}j} + \frac{1}{3}W_{mb}^-\psi_{\dot{\beta}}^{bj} - \frac{1}{3}W_{mb}^-(\sigma^{bc}\psi_{c\dot{\beta}}^j)_{\beta} + \frac{i}{4}(\sigma_m\bar{\Sigma}^j)_{\beta} , \quad (2.19a)$$

$$\bar{\phi}_{m\dot{\beta}}{}^j = \frac{i}{4} \left(\tilde{\sigma}^{bc}\tilde{\sigma}_m - \frac{1}{3}\tilde{\sigma}_m\sigma^{bc} \right)^{\dot{\beta}\beta} \Psi_{bc\beta j} - \frac{1}{3}W_{mb}^+\bar{\psi}_{\dot{\beta}}^{bj} + \frac{1}{3}W_{mb}^+(\tilde{\sigma}^{bc}\bar{\psi}_{c\dot{\beta}}^j)^{\dot{\beta}} - \frac{i}{4}(\tilde{\sigma}_m\Sigma_j)^{\dot{\beta}} . \quad (2.19b)$$

The field $\omega(e)_{abc}$ in (2.18) is the usual torsion-free Lorentz connection given in terms of the anholonomy tensor $\mathcal{C}_{mn}{}^a(e)$ as

$$\omega(e)_{abc} = -\mathcal{C}_{a[bc]}(e) + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{C}_{bca}(e) , \quad \mathcal{C}_{mn}{}^a(e) := 2\partial_{[m}e_n]{}^a , \quad \mathcal{C}_{ab}{}^c(e) := e_a{}^m e_b{}^n \mathcal{C}_{mn}{}^c(e) , \quad (2.20)$$

while the fields $(\Psi_{ab\dot{k}}{}^\gamma, \bar{\Psi}_{ab\dot{\gamma}}{}^k)$ are the gravitini field strengths

$$\Psi_{ab\dot{k}}{}^\gamma = 2e_a{}^m e_b{}^n \mathcal{D}_{[m}\psi_{n]\dot{k}}{}^\gamma , \quad \bar{\Psi}_{ab\dot{\gamma}}{}^k = 2e_a{}^m e_b{}^n \mathcal{D}_{[m}\bar{\psi}_{n]\dot{\gamma}}{}^k . \quad (2.21)$$

Also, the derivatives \mathcal{D}_a are⁶

$$\mathcal{D}_a = e_a^m \mathcal{D}_m = e_a^m \left(\partial_m - \frac{1}{2} \omega_m^{cd} M_{cd} - \phi_m^{ij} J_{ij} - i A_m Y - b_m \mathbb{D} \right). \quad (2.22)$$

Note that $(R(Q)_{abk}^\gamma, R(\bar{Q})_{ab\dot{\gamma}}^k)$ are the Q -supersymmetry curvatures and satisfy

$$R(Q)_{abk}^\gamma = \frac{1}{2} \Psi_{abk}^\gamma - i(\bar{\phi}_{[ak} \tilde{\sigma}_{b]})^\gamma + \frac{i}{4} (\bar{\psi}_{[ak} \tilde{\sigma}_{b]} \sigma^{cd})^\gamma W_{cd}^+, \quad (2.23a)$$

$$R(\bar{Q})_{ab\dot{\gamma}}^k = \frac{1}{2} \bar{\Psi}_{ab\dot{\gamma}}^k - i(\phi_{[a}^k \sigma_{b]})_{\dot{\gamma}} - \frac{i}{4} (\psi_{[a}^k \sigma_{b]} \tilde{\sigma}^{cd})_{\dot{\gamma}} W_{cd}^-, \quad (2.23b)$$

while $R(Y)_{ab}$ and $R(J)_{ab}{}^{kl}$ are

$$R(Y)_{ab} = 2e_a^m e_b^n \partial_{[m} A_{n]} - \frac{i}{2} \psi_{[aj} \phi_{b]}^j + \frac{i}{2} \bar{\psi}_{[a}^j \bar{\phi}_{b]j} + \frac{3}{8} \psi_{[aj} \sigma_{b]} \bar{\Sigma}^j + \frac{3}{8} \bar{\psi}_{[a}^j \tilde{\sigma}_{b]} \Sigma_j, \quad (2.24a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} R(J)_{ab}{}^{kl} &= 2e_a^m e_b^n \partial_{[m} \phi_{n]}{}^{kl} - 2\phi_a^{(k} \phi_b^{l)p} + 2\psi_{[a}^{(k} \phi_{b]}^{l)} - 2\bar{\psi}_{[a}^{(k} \bar{\phi}_{b]}^{l)} \\ &\quad - \frac{3i}{2} \psi_{[a}^{(k} \sigma_{b]} \bar{\Sigma}^{l)} - \frac{3i}{2} \bar{\psi}_{[a}^{(k} \tilde{\sigma}_{b]} \Sigma^{l)}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.24b)$$

We refrain from presenting the expression for the composite special conformal connection \mathfrak{f}_{ma} and other superconformal curvatures. We will however use $e_a^m \mathfrak{f}_m^a$ which is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{f}_a^a &= -\frac{1}{12} R + D - \frac{1}{24} \varepsilon^{mnpq} (\bar{\psi}_m^j \tilde{\sigma}_n \mathcal{D}_p \psi_{qj}) + \frac{1}{24} \varepsilon^{mnpq} (\psi_{mj} \sigma_n \mathcal{D}_p \bar{\psi}_q^j) \\ &\quad - \frac{i}{8} \psi_{aj} \sigma^a \bar{\Sigma}^j + \frac{i}{8} \bar{\psi}_a^j \tilde{\sigma}^a \Sigma_j - \frac{1}{12} W^{ab+} (\bar{\psi}_a^j \bar{\psi}_{bj}) + \frac{1}{12} W^{ab-} (\psi_{aj} \psi_b^j), \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

where $R = e_a^m e_b^n R_{mn}{}^{ab}$ is the scalar curvature constructed from the Lorentz curvature

$$R_{mn}{}^{cd} = 2\partial_{[m} \omega_{n]}{}^{cd} - 2\omega_{[m}{}^{ce} \omega_{n]e}{}^d. \quad (2.26)$$

Also do remember that the spin connection $\omega_m{}^{cd}$ is a composite field of the vielbein, the gravitini, and the dilatation connection, eq. (2.18).

It is important to note that the transformations (D.1) form an algebra that closes off shell on a local extension of $SU(2, 2|2)$. We will not need the explicit form of the algebra here, though it is straightforward to derive using the results of [114] and [49, 50]. To conclude this subsection, for convenience, we include Table 1 which provides a summary

⁶In many cases, such as eqs. (D.2), we do not explicitly write the expressions for the \mathcal{D}_a derivatives acting on different fields. However, it is straightforward to find the results by use of the chiral and dilatation weights in Table 1 together with the Lorentz and $SU(2)_R$ representations of fields as well as by using the action of M_{ab} and J_{ij} generators corresponding to the notations of [49].

of the non-trivial chiral and dilatation weights of the fields and local gauge parameters of the standard Weyl multiplet.

	e_m^a	ψ_{mi}, ξ_i	ψ_m^i, ξ^i	ϕ_m^i, η^i	$\phi_{mi}, \bar{\eta}_i$	\mathfrak{f}_{mc}	W_{ab}^+	W_{ab}^-	Σ^i	$\bar{\Sigma}_i$	D
\mathbb{D}	-1	-1/2	-1/2	1/2	1/2	1	1	1	3/2	3/2	2
Y	0	-1	1	1	-1	0	-2	2	-1	1	0

Table 1: Summary of the non-trivial dilatation and chiral weights in the standard Weyl multiplet.

2.2 The abelian vector multiplet

2.2.1 One-form geometry of the abelian vector multiplet and its descendents

The field strength two-form F of an Abelian vector multiplet is given in terms of its one-form potential $V = dz^M V_M = E^A V_A$ by $F = dV = \frac{1}{2} E^B \wedge E^A F_{AB}$, or equivalently,

$$F_{AB} = 2\nabla_{[A} V_{B]} - T_{AB}{}^C V_C . \quad (2.27)$$

Due to the existence of the one-form potential the field strength must satisfy the Bianchi identity

$$dF = 0 \implies \nabla_{[A} F_{BC]} - T_{[AB}{}^D F_{D|C]} = 0 . \quad (2.28)$$

At mass dimension-1 we impose the constraints

$$F_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} = -2\varepsilon^{ij}\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\bar{W} , \quad F_i^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} = 2\varepsilon_{ij}\varepsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}W , \quad F_{\alpha j}^{i\dot{\beta}} = 0 , \quad (2.29)$$

where W is a primary superfield with dimension 1 and $U(1)_R$ weight -2 ,

$$K_A W = 0 , \quad \mathbb{D}W = W , \quad YW = -2W . \quad (2.30)$$

Then the Bianchi identities may be solved giving

$$F_{a\beta}^j = \frac{i}{2}(\sigma_a)_{\beta}{}^{\dot{\gamma}}\bar{\nabla}_{\dot{\gamma}}^j \bar{W} , \quad F_{a j}^{\dot{\beta}} = -\frac{i}{2}(\sigma_a)_{\gamma}{}^{\dot{\beta}}\nabla_j^{\gamma} W , \quad (2.31a)$$

$$F_{ab} = -\frac{1}{8}(\sigma_{ab})_{\alpha\beta}(\nabla^{\alpha\beta}W + 4W^{\alpha\beta}\bar{W}) + \frac{1}{8}(\tilde{\sigma}_{ab})_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}(\bar{\nabla}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\bar{W} + 4\bar{W}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}W) . \quad (2.31b)$$

The Bianchi identities also require W to be a reduced chiral superfield,

$$\bar{\nabla}_{\dot{\alpha}}^i W = 0 , \quad \nabla^{ij}W = \bar{\nabla}^{ij}\bar{W} . \quad (2.32)$$

Note that we have introduced the notation

$$\nabla^{ij} := \nabla^{\gamma(i} \nabla^j)_{\gamma}, \quad \bar{\nabla}^{ij} := \bar{\nabla}_{\dot{\gamma}}^{(i} \bar{\nabla}^j)_{\dot{\gamma}}, \quad \nabla^{\alpha\beta} := \nabla^{(\alpha k} \nabla^{\beta)}_k, \quad \bar{\nabla}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} := \bar{\nabla}_{\dot{k}}^{(\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\nabla}^{\dot{\beta})k}. \quad (2.33)$$

Acting with spinor covariant derivatives on W gives the following independent descendants:

$$\lambda_{\alpha}^i := \nabla_{\alpha}^i W, \quad \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha}}^i := \bar{\nabla}_{\dot{\alpha}}^i \bar{W}, \quad X^{ij} := \nabla^{ij} W = \bar{\nabla}^{ij} \bar{W}, \quad (2.34a)$$

$$F_{ab} := -\frac{1}{8}(\sigma_{ab})_{\alpha\beta}(\nabla^{\alpha\beta} W + 4W^{\alpha\beta} \bar{W}) + \frac{1}{8}(\tilde{\sigma}_{ab})_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}(\bar{\nabla}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \bar{W} + 4\bar{W}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} W), \quad (2.34b)$$

$$F_{\alpha\beta} := \frac{1}{2}(\sigma^{ab})_{\alpha\beta} F_{ab} = -\frac{1}{8}(\nabla_{\alpha\beta} W + 4W_{\alpha\beta} \bar{W}), \quad (2.34c)$$

$$\bar{F}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} := -\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{\sigma}^{ab})^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} F_{ab} = -\frac{1}{8}(\bar{\nabla}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \bar{W} + 4\bar{W}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} W). \quad (2.34d)$$

These superfields satisfy the following tower relations that are particularly useful in analysing the structure of invariants:

$$\nabla_{\alpha}^i \lambda_{\beta}^j = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} X^{ij} + 4\epsilon^{ij} F_{\alpha\beta} + 2\epsilon^{ij} W_{\alpha\beta} \bar{W}, \quad (2.35a)$$

$$\bar{\nabla}_{\dot{\alpha}}^i \lambda_{\beta}^j = -2i\delta_j^i \nabla_{\beta}^{\dot{\alpha}} W, \quad \nabla_{\alpha}^i \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\beta}}^j = -2i\delta_j^i \nabla_{\alpha}^{\dot{\beta}} \bar{W}, \quad (2.35b)$$

$$\bar{\nabla}_{\dot{\alpha}}^i \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\beta}}^j = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} X_{ij} + 4\epsilon_{ij} \bar{F}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} + 2\epsilon_{ij} \bar{W}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} W, \quad (2.35c)$$

$$\nabla_{\alpha}^i X^{jk} = -4i\epsilon^{i(j} \nabla_{\alpha}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{k)}, \quad \bar{\nabla}_{\dot{\alpha}}^i X^{jk} = 4i\delta_i^{(j} \nabla_{\alpha}^{\dot{\alpha}} \lambda^{k)\alpha}, \quad (2.35d)$$

$$\nabla_{\gamma}^i F_{\alpha\beta} = \epsilon_{\gamma(\alpha} \Sigma_{\beta)}^i \bar{W} - \frac{1}{2} W_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^i \bar{W} + \frac{1}{2} i\epsilon_{\gamma(\alpha} \nabla_{\beta)}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha}}^i, \quad (2.35e)$$

$$\bar{\nabla}_{\dot{k}}^{\dot{\gamma}} F_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{i}{2} \nabla_{(\alpha}^{\dot{\gamma}} \lambda_{\beta)k} - \frac{1}{2} W_{\alpha\beta} \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{k}}^{\dot{\gamma}}, \quad (2.35f)$$

$$\nabla_{\gamma}^i \bar{F}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} = \frac{i}{2} \nabla_{\gamma}^{(\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\beta})k} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{W}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \lambda_{\gamma}^k, \quad (2.35g)$$

$$\bar{\nabla}_{\dot{k}}^{\dot{\gamma}} \bar{F}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} = -\epsilon^{\dot{\gamma}(\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\Sigma}_{\dot{k}}^{\dot{\beta})} W - \frac{1}{2} \bar{W}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \lambda_{\dot{k}}^{\dot{\gamma}} W + \frac{1}{2} i\epsilon^{\dot{\gamma}(\dot{\alpha}} \nabla_{\dot{k}}^{\dot{\beta})} \lambda_{\dot{k}}^{\alpha}. \quad (2.35h)$$

These descendant superfields transform under S -supersymmetry as given in the Appendix C of our work.

2.2.2 The abelian vector multiplet in components

We define the component fields of the abelian vector multiplet as follows

$$\phi := W|, \quad \lambda_{\alpha}^i := \lambda_{\alpha}^i| = \nabla_{\alpha}^i W|, \quad X^{ij} := X^{ij}| = \nabla^{ij} W|, \quad F_{ab} := F_{ab}|. \quad (2.36)$$

See [40–42, 114, 125, 133] for seminal works on the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ vector multiplet. The reality of X^{ij} follows from the Bianchi identity. The remaining component field being the gauge connection v_m is given by the lowest component of the corresponding superspace connection, $v_m = V_m|$. It is worth underlining that the following definition for F_{ab} from (2.34b) can be directly projected to components

$$F_{ab} = -\frac{1}{8}(\sigma_{ab})_{\alpha\beta}(\nabla^{\alpha\beta}W + 4W^{\alpha\beta}\overline{W}) + \frac{1}{8}(\tilde{\sigma}_{ab})_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}(\tilde{\nabla}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\overline{W} + 4\overline{W}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}W) . \quad (2.37)$$

The component two-form field strength is constructed from a projection of the superspace two-form,

$$f_{mn} = F_{mn}| = 2\partial_{[m}V_{n]}| = 2\partial_{[m}v_{n]} . \quad (2.38)$$

Making use of the identity

$$F_{mn} = E_m^A E_n^B F_{AB}(-)^{ab} , \quad (2.39)$$

and projecting to its lowest component, we may solve for $F_{ab}|$ to give

$$\begin{aligned} F_{ab} := F_{ab}| &= e_a^m e_b^n f_{mn} - \frac{i}{2}(\sigma_{[a})_{\alpha}{}^{\dot{\alpha}}\psi_{b]k}^{\alpha}\bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha}}^k + \frac{i}{2}(\tilde{\sigma}_{[a})_{\dot{\alpha}}{}^{\alpha}\lambda_{\alpha}^k\bar{\psi}_{b]k}^{\dot{\alpha}} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}\psi_{a\dot{\alpha}}^{\gamma}\psi_{b\dot{\gamma}}^k\bar{\phi} + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\psi}_{a\dot{\alpha}}^{\dot{\gamma}}\bar{\psi}_{b\dot{\gamma}}^k\phi . \end{aligned} \quad (2.40)$$

In the superconformal tensor calculus' language, F_{ab} is referred to as the supercovariant field strength (as it transforms covariantly under any local superconformal transformations) whereas $f_{mn} = 2\partial_{[m}v_{n]}$ is the conventional field strength. By construction F_{ab} satisfies the Bianchi identity

$$\nabla_{[a}F_{bc]} = -\frac{i}{2}R(Q)_{[abj}\sigma_{c]}\bar{\lambda}^j + \frac{i}{2}R(\bar{Q})_{[ab}{}^j\tilde{\sigma}_{c]}\lambda_j . \quad (2.41)$$

The local superconformal transformations of the fundamental fields of the vector multiplet fields in a standard Weyl multiplet background are given in Appendix D.

2.3 The linear multiplet

2.3.1 Two-form geometry of the linear multiplet

The field strength three-form H is given in terms of its two-form gauge potential $B = \frac{1}{2}E^B \wedge E^A B_{AB}$ by

$$H = dB = \frac{1}{3!}E^C \wedge E^B \wedge E^A H_{ABC}, \quad H_{ABC} = 3\nabla_{[A}B_{BC]} - 3T_{[AB}{}^D B_{D|C]} . \quad (2.42)$$

The field strength remains invariant under gauge transformations $\delta B = dV$ with V a one-form gauge parameter. The existence of the gauge potential requires that the Bianchi identity

$$dH = 0 \implies \nabla_{[A}H_{BCD]} - \frac{3}{2}T_{[AB}{}^E H_{E|CD]} = 0, \quad (2.43)$$

be satisfied. As with the gauge one-form, we must impose constraints to reduce the multiplet. At mass dimension- $\frac{3}{2}$ they consist of

$$H_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^{ijk} = H_{i\ j\ k}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}} = H_{\alpha\beta k}^{i\ j\ \dot{\gamma}} = H_{i\ j\ \gamma}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}k} = 0. \quad (2.44)$$

The Bianchi identities for H can then be solved. The solution is

$$H_{a\alpha\beta}^{ij} = 0, \quad H_{a\ i\ j}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} = 0, \quad H_{a\alpha j}^{i\ \dot{\alpha}} = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_a)_\alpha{}^{\dot{\alpha}} \mathcal{G}^i_j, \quad (2.45a)$$

$$H_{ab\alpha}^i = \frac{i}{6}(\sigma_{ab})_\alpha{}^\beta \nabla_\beta^k \mathcal{G}^i_k, \quad H_{abi}^{\dot{\alpha}} = \frac{i}{6}(\tilde{\sigma}_{ab})^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}} \bar{\nabla}_{\dot{\beta}}^k \mathcal{G}^k_i, \quad (2.45b)$$

$$H_{abc} = \frac{i}{96}\varepsilon_{abcd}(\sigma^d)^\alpha{}_\beta [\nabla_\alpha^i, \bar{\nabla}_{\dot{\beta}}^j] \mathcal{G}^j_i = \varepsilon_{abcd} H^d, \quad (2.45c)$$

where \mathcal{G}^{ij} is a real symmetric conformally primary dimension-2 superfield, i.e.,

$$K_A \mathcal{G}^{ij} = 0, \quad \mathbb{D} \mathcal{G}^{ij} = 2\mathcal{G}^{ij}, \quad Y \mathcal{G}^{ij} = 0, \quad (\mathcal{G}^{ij})^* = \mathcal{G}_{ij} = \varepsilon_{ik} \varepsilon_{jl} \mathcal{G}^{kl}. \quad (2.46)$$

The superfield \mathcal{G}^{ij} also obeys the constraint

$$\bar{\nabla}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{(i} \mathcal{G}^{jk)} = \nabla_{\alpha}^{(i} \mathcal{G}^{jk)} = 0, \quad (2.47)$$

which defines the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ linear multiplet. By acting with spinor covariant derivatives on \mathcal{G}^{ij} gives the following descendants:

$$\chi_{\alpha i} := \frac{1}{3} \nabla_{\alpha}^j \mathcal{G}_{ij}, \quad \bar{\chi}^{\dot{\alpha} i} := \frac{1}{3} \bar{\nabla}_{\dot{\beta}}^j \mathcal{G}^{ij}, \quad (2.48a)$$

$$F := \frac{1}{12} \nabla^{ij} \mathcal{G}_{ij} , \quad \bar{F} := \frac{1}{12} \bar{\nabla}^{ij} \mathcal{G}_{ij} , \quad (2.48b)$$

$$H_{abc} := \frac{i}{96} \varepsilon_{abcd} (\sigma^d)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\beta}} [\nabla_\alpha^i, \bar{\nabla}_j^{\dot{\beta}}] \mathcal{G}^j{}_i = \varepsilon_{abcd} H^d , \quad (2.48c)$$

$$H_a = \frac{i}{96} (\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\beta}} [\nabla_\alpha^i, \bar{\nabla}_j^{\dot{\beta}}] \mathcal{G}^j{}_i = \frac{1}{6} \varepsilon_{abcd} H^{bcd} . \quad (2.48d)$$

These superfields satisfy the following tower relations and are particularly useful in analysing the structure of invariants:

$$\nabla_\alpha^i \mathcal{G}^{jk} = -2\epsilon^{i(j} \chi_\alpha^{k)} , \quad \bar{\nabla}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} \mathcal{G}_{jk} = -2\epsilon_{i(j} \bar{\chi}_{k)}^{\dot{\alpha}} , \quad (2.49a)$$

$$\nabla_\alpha^i \chi_{\beta j} = \delta_j^i \epsilon_{\alpha\beta} F , \quad \bar{\nabla}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} \chi_{\beta j} = -4i\epsilon_{ij} H_a (\sigma^a)_{\beta}{}^{\dot{\alpha}} - i\nabla_\beta^{\dot{\alpha}} \mathcal{G}_{ij} , \quad (2.49b)$$

$$\bar{\nabla}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\chi}^{\dot{\beta} j} = \delta_i^j \epsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \bar{F} , \quad \nabla_{i\beta} \bar{\chi}_j^{\dot{\alpha}} = -4i\epsilon_{ij} H_a (\sigma^a)_{\beta}{}^{\dot{\alpha}} - i\nabla_\beta^{\dot{\alpha}} \mathcal{G}_{ij} , \quad (2.49c)$$

$$\nabla_\alpha^i F = 0 , \quad \bar{\nabla}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} F = 2i\nabla_\alpha^{\dot{\alpha}} \chi_i^\alpha - 2\bar{W}^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\gamma}} \bar{\chi}_i^{\dot{\gamma}} - 6\bar{\Sigma}^{\dot{\alpha} j} G_{ij} , \quad (2.49d)$$

$$\bar{\nabla}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{F} = 0 , \quad \nabla_\alpha^i \bar{F} = 2i\nabla_\alpha^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\chi}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} + 2W_{\alpha\gamma} \chi^{\gamma i} - 6\Sigma_\alpha^j G^i{}_j , \quad (2.49e)$$

$$\nabla_\alpha^i H_a = \frac{1}{2} (\sigma_{ab})_\alpha{}^\beta \nabla^b \chi_\beta^i - \frac{i}{8} (\sigma_a)_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} \left[\bar{W}^{\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}} \bar{\chi}_i^{\dot{\gamma}} + 3\bar{\Sigma}_l^{\dot{\beta}} G^{li} \right] , \quad (2.49f)$$

$$\bar{\nabla}_{\dot{\alpha} i} H_a = -\frac{1}{2} (\tilde{\sigma}_{ab})_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \nabla^b \bar{\chi}_i^{\dot{\beta}} + \frac{i}{8} (\tilde{\sigma}_a)_{\dot{\alpha}\beta} \left[W^{\beta\gamma} \chi_{\gamma i} + 3\Sigma^{bl} G_{li} \right] . \quad (2.49g)$$

These descendant superfields transform under S -supersymmetry as given in the Appendix C of our work.

It is possible to construct a superfield which automatically obeys the above constraints (2.47) by imposing constraints on the two-form B_{AB} itself. It holds that

$$B_{\alpha\beta}^{ij} = -2\epsilon^{ij} \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} \bar{\Psi} , \quad B_i^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} = 2\varepsilon_{ij} \varepsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \Psi , \quad B_{\alpha j}^{i\dot{\beta}} = 0 , \quad (2.50)$$

where Ψ is a chiral superfield, $\bar{\nabla}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} \Psi = 0$, of dimension 1 and $U(1)_R$ weight -2, but otherwise arbitrary

$$K_A \Psi = 0 , \quad \mathbb{D}\Psi = \Psi , \quad Y\Psi = -2\Psi . \quad (2.51)$$

Constraints of this kind are quite natural since the gauge transformation $\delta B = d\tilde{V} = \tilde{F}$ amounts to

$$\delta\Psi = \tilde{W} , \quad (2.52)$$

with \tilde{W} a generic vector multiplet chiral field strength satisfying the Bianchi identities of eq. (2.32). We can then proceed to solve (2.42) for the full two-form B :

$$B_{\alpha\alpha}^i = \frac{i}{2} (\sigma_a)_{\alpha}{}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\nabla}_{\dot{\alpha}}^i \bar{\Psi} , \quad B_{a\dot{\alpha}}^i = -\frac{i}{2} (\sigma_a)_{\alpha}{}^{\dot{\alpha}} \nabla_i^\alpha \Psi , \quad (2.53a)$$

$$B_{ab} = -\frac{1}{8}(\sigma_{ab})^{\alpha\beta}(\nabla_{\alpha\beta}\Psi + 4W_{\alpha\beta}\bar{\Psi}) + \frac{1}{8}(\tilde{\sigma}_{ab})_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}(\bar{\nabla}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\bar{\Psi} + 4\bar{W}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\Psi) . \quad (2.53b)$$

Inserting this solution into the definition of H leads to an expression for the linear multiplet in terms of an unconstrained chiral prepotential

$$\mathcal{G}^{ij} = -\frac{i}{2}(\nabla^{ij}\Psi - \bar{\nabla}^{ij}\bar{\Psi}) = \text{Im}[\nabla^{ij}\Psi] , \quad \nabla^{ij}\Psi - \bar{\nabla}^{ij}\bar{\Psi} = 2i\mathcal{G}^{ij} . \quad (2.54)$$

One can check that \mathcal{G}^{ij} indeed obeys (2.46) and (2.47) and is invariant under (2.52).

2.3.2 Linear multiplet in components

The components of the linear multiplet are defined as follows — see [39, 57, 58, 115, 116, 126, 134–139] for seminal works. The linear multiplet is described by a real primary superfield \mathcal{G}^{ij} of dimension 2 (2.46) satisfying the constraint (2.47). The corresponding 3-form field strength H in superspace is given by (2.44) and (2.45). Within the superfield \mathcal{G}^{ij} are the matter components of the linear multiplet: a real isotriplet field G^{ij} , a fermion $\chi_{\alpha i}$, and a complex scalar F :

$$G^{ij} := \mathcal{G}^{ij}| , \quad (2.55a)$$

$$\chi_{\alpha i} := \frac{1}{3}\nabla_{\alpha}^j \mathcal{G}_{ij}| , \quad \bar{\chi}^{\dot{\alpha}i} := \frac{1}{3}\bar{\nabla}_{\dot{j}}^{\dot{\alpha}} \mathcal{G}^{ij}| , \quad (2.55b)$$

$$F := \frac{1}{12}\nabla^{ij} \mathcal{G}_{ij}| , \quad \bar{F} := \frac{1}{12}\bar{\nabla}^{ij} \mathcal{G}_{ij}| , \quad (2.55c)$$

$$H_{abc} := \frac{i}{96}\varepsilon_{abcd}(\sigma^d)^{\alpha}_{\dot{\beta}}[\nabla_{\alpha}^i, \bar{\nabla}_{\dot{j}}^{\dot{\beta}}]\mathcal{G}^j_i| = \varepsilon_{abcd}H^d , \quad (2.55d)$$

$$H_a = \frac{i}{96}(\sigma_a)^{\alpha}_{\dot{\beta}}[\nabla_{\alpha}^i, \bar{\nabla}_{\dot{j}}^{\dot{\beta}}]\mathcal{G}^j_i| = \frac{1}{6}\varepsilon_{abcd}H^{bcd} . \quad (2.55e)$$

The remaining component field, the two-form, is given by $b_{mn} := B_{mn}|$. Owing to the superspace identity

$$\nabla_{\alpha}^i \nabla_{\beta}^j \mathcal{G}^{kl} = -\frac{1}{6}\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\varepsilon^{i(k}\varepsilon^{l)j}\nabla^{pq}\mathcal{G}_{pq} , \quad (2.56)$$

there are no other independent component fields. The local superconformal transformations of the fundamental fields of the linear multiplet in a standard Weyl multiplet background are given in Appendix D.

The covariant conservation equation for H_a is

$$\nabla^a H_a = \frac{3}{8} \Sigma^i \chi_i + \frac{3}{8} \bar{\Sigma}_i \bar{\chi}^i . \quad (2.57)$$

The constraint locally implies the existence of a gauge two-form potential, $b_{mn} = -b_{nm}$, and its exterior derivative $h_{mnp} := 3\partial_{[m} b_{np]}$. The solution of (2.57) is

$$H_a = \frac{1}{6} \varepsilon_a{}^{bcd} \left(h_{bcd} - \frac{3i}{4} \psi_{bi} \sigma_{cd} \chi^i - \frac{3i}{4} \bar{\psi}_b{}^i \bar{\sigma}_{cd} \bar{\chi}_i - \frac{3}{4} (\psi_b{}^i \sigma_c \bar{\psi}_d{}^j) G_{ij} \right) , \quad (2.58)$$

where $h_{abc} = e_a{}^m e_b{}^n e_c{}^p h_{mnp}$. The local superconformal transformations of b_{mn} are

$$\delta b_{mn} = \frac{i}{2} \xi_i \sigma_{mn} \chi^i + \frac{i}{2} \bar{\xi}^i \bar{\sigma}_{mn} \bar{\chi}_i + \frac{1}{2} \left(\bar{\psi}_{[m}{}^i \sigma_{n]} \xi^j - \psi_{[m}{}^i \sigma_{n]} \bar{\xi}^j \right) G_{ij} + 2\partial_{[m} l_{n]} , \quad (2.59)$$

where we have also included the vector gauge transformation $\delta_l b_{mn} = 2\partial_{[m} l_{n]}$ that leaves h_{mnp} and H^a invariant. In constructing the superspace three-form $H_{mnp} = 3\partial_{[m} B_{np]}$ we can make use of the superspace identity

$$H_{mnp} = E_m{}^A E_n{}^B E_p{}^C H_{ABC} (-)^{ab+ac+bc} . \quad (2.60)$$

Projecting this equation to the lowest component, and defining

$$h_{mnp} := H_{mnp}| = 3\partial_{[m} b_{np]} , \quad h_{abc} := e_a{}^m e_b{}^n e_c{}^p h_{mnp} , \quad (2.61)$$

it is easy to show that

$$\begin{aligned} h_{mnp} &= \varepsilon_{mnpq} H^a | e_a{}^q + \frac{3i}{4} (\sigma_{[mn})_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} \psi_{p]}^{\alpha} \chi_{\beta}^j + \frac{3i}{4} (\bar{\sigma}_{[mn})^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}} \bar{\psi}_{p]}^{\dot{\beta}} \bar{\chi}_{\dot{\alpha}}^j \\ &\quad - \frac{3}{4} (\sigma_{[m})_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \psi_n{}^{\alpha i} \bar{\psi}_{p]}^{\dot{\alpha} j} G_{ij} , \end{aligned} \quad (2.62)$$

or, equivalently,

$$\begin{aligned} H^a &:= H^a| = \frac{1}{6} \varepsilon^{abcd} H_{bcd} | \\ &= \frac{1}{6} \varepsilon^{abcd} \left(h_{bcd} - \frac{3i}{4} (\sigma_{cd})_{\alpha}{}^{\beta} \psi_{b\dot{k}}^{\alpha} \chi_{\beta}^k - \frac{3i}{4} (\bar{\sigma}_{cd})^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}} \bar{\psi}_b{}^{\dot{\beta} k} \bar{\chi}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\dot{\beta}} + \frac{3}{4} (\sigma_b)_{\alpha}{}^{\dot{\beta}} \psi_{c\dot{k}}^{\alpha} \bar{\psi}_d{}^{\dot{\beta} l} G_{kl} \right) . \end{aligned} \quad (2.63)$$

In the paper, we will denote the Hodge dual of a three-form component field h_{abc} with

$$\tilde{h}^a = \frac{1}{6} \varepsilon^{abcd} h_{bcd} . \quad (2.64)$$

We also keep using the same notation for the superfield H^a and the covariant component field $H^a|$, but we hope the reader will understand what we refer to depending on the context.

We have emphasized that the construction of the two-form multiplet is completely geometrical, but it is worth noting that, as discussed in Subsection 2.3.1, the two-form multiplet can be encoded in a chiral superfield Ψ . By making use of the gauge transformations (2.52), one can choose for the components of B_{AB} that $B_{\alpha\beta}| = \bar{B}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}| = 0$ and $B_{a\beta}| = \bar{B}^{a\dot{\beta}}| = 0$ while $B_{ab}|$ remains unconstrained by imposing the component constraints⁷

$$\Psi| = 0, \quad \nabla_\alpha^i \Psi| = 0, \quad \nabla^{ij} \Psi| = -\bar{\nabla}^{ij} \bar{\Psi}|. \quad (2.65)$$

One may easily construct b_{mn} using $b_{mn} = e_m^a e_n^b B_{ab}|$. As usual, the supersymmetry transformation laws of the component fields may be derived by using the constraints.

2.4 On-shell hypermultiplet and hyper-dilaton Weyl multiplet

In this subsection, we review the construction of the 4D, $\mathcal{N} = 2$ hyper-dilaton Weyl multiplet of [48]. This plays a central work in our work.

A single on-shell hypermultiplet is comprised of $4 + 4$ degrees of freedom described by a Lorentz scalar field $q^{i\dot{i}}$ and spinor fields $(\rho_\alpha^i, \bar{\rho}_{\dot{i}}^{\dot{\alpha}})$ — see [39, 41, 115, 116, 125, 126] together with [38, 43, 67] and references therein for superconformal approaches to systems of on-shell hypermultiplets. The index $\dot{i} = \underline{1}, \underline{2}$ is a $SU(2)$ flavour index, and the fields satisfy the following reality conditions

$$(q^{i\dot{i}})^* = q_{i\dot{i}}, \quad (\rho_\alpha^i)^* = \bar{\rho}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{i}}. \quad (2.66)$$

They also satisfy the following dilatation and chiral weight identities

$$\mathbb{D}q^{i\dot{i}} = q^{i\dot{i}}, \quad \mathbb{D}\rho_\alpha^i = \frac{3}{2}\rho_\alpha^i, \quad \mathbb{D}\bar{\rho}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{i}} = \frac{3}{2}\bar{\rho}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{i}}, \quad (2.67a)$$

$$Yq^{i\dot{i}} = 0, \quad Y\rho_\alpha^i = \rho_\alpha^i, \quad Y\bar{\rho}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{i}} = -\bar{\rho}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{i}}. \quad (2.67b)$$

The multiplet, which has the field $q^{i\dot{i}}$ as its superconformal primary, is characterised by

⁷The third constraint is not actually necessary to eliminate the other components of the two-form, but it does substantially simplify the component evaluation later.

the following local superconformal transformations [38, 39, 41, 43, 67, 115, 116]

$$\delta q^{i\bar{i}} = \frac{1}{2}\xi^i \rho^{\bar{i}} - \frac{1}{2}\bar{\xi}^{\bar{i}} \rho^i + \lambda^i{}_k q^{k\bar{i}} + \lambda_{\mathbb{D}} q^{i\bar{i}}, \quad (2.68a)$$

$$\delta \rho^i{}_{\alpha} = -4i(\sigma^a \bar{\xi}_k)_{\alpha} \nabla_a q^{k\bar{i}} + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{ab}(\sigma^{ab} \rho^i)_{\alpha} + i\lambda_Y \rho^i{}_{\alpha} + \frac{3}{2}\lambda_{\mathbb{D}} \rho^i{}_{\alpha} + 8\eta_{\alpha}^k q^{k\bar{i}}, \quad (2.68b)$$

$$\delta \bar{\rho}^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\bar{i}} = 4i(\tilde{\sigma}^a \xi^k)^{\dot{\alpha}} \nabla_a q_{k\bar{i}} + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{ab}(\tilde{\sigma}^{ab} \bar{\rho}^{\dot{\alpha}})_{\bar{i}} - i\lambda_Y \bar{\rho}^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\bar{i}} + \frac{3}{2}\lambda_{\mathbb{D}} \bar{\rho}^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\bar{i}} - 8\bar{\eta}_{\bar{i}}^k q^k{}_{\bar{i}}, \quad (2.68c)$$

where

$$\nabla_a q^{i\bar{i}} = \mathcal{D}_a q^{i\bar{i}} - \frac{1}{4}\psi_a{}^i \rho^{\bar{i}} + \frac{1}{4}\bar{\psi}_a{}^{\bar{i}} \rho^i. \quad (2.69)$$

In conformal superspace, the multiplet is described by a dimension one primary superfield $Q^{i\bar{i}}$, neutral under $U(1)_{\text{R}}$, and satisfying the following analyticity constraint

$$\nabla_{\dot{\alpha}}^{(i} Q^{j)\bar{i}} = \bar{\nabla}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{(i} Q^{j)\bar{i}}. \quad (2.70)$$

The transformation rules in (2.68) simply derive from the equation above together with self-consistency of the conformal superspace algebra of covariant derivatives and the following definition of the component fields

$$q^{i\bar{i}} := Q^{i\bar{i}}|, \quad \rho^i{}_{\alpha} := \nabla_{\alpha}^i Q_{i\bar{i}}|, \quad \bar{\rho}^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\bar{i}} = \bar{\nabla}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\bar{i}} Q_{i\bar{i}}|. \quad (2.71)$$

In contrast with the standard Weyl multiplet described in a previous subsection, the algebra of the local superconformal transformations (2.68) closes only when equations of motion for the fields are imposed, see for example [38, 67] for a detailed analysis. In our notations, the covariant equations of motion of $q^{i\bar{i}}$ and $(\rho^i{}_{\alpha}, \bar{\rho}^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\bar{i}})$ are:

$$(\nabla_a \rho^i{}_{\alpha})^{\alpha} = \frac{i}{2}(\bar{\rho}^{\bar{i}} \tilde{\sigma}^{cd})_{\alpha} W_{cd}^{-} + 6i\bar{\Sigma}_{\dot{\alpha}k} q^{k\bar{i}}, \quad (2.72a)$$

$$(\nabla_a \bar{\rho}^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\bar{i}})^{\alpha} = -\frac{i}{2}(\rho_{\bar{i}} \sigma^{cd})^{\alpha} W_{cd}^{+} + 6i\Sigma^{\alpha k} q_{k\bar{i}}, \quad (2.72b)$$

$$\square q^{i\bar{i}} = -\frac{3}{2}D q^{i\bar{i}}, \quad \square := \nabla^a \nabla_a. \quad (2.72c)$$

The expressions for $\nabla_a \rho^i{}_{\alpha}$, $\nabla_a \bar{\rho}^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\bar{i}}$, and $\square q^{i\bar{i}}$ in terms of the derivatives \mathcal{D}_a are given by

$$\nabla_a \rho^i{}_{\alpha} = \mathcal{D}_a \rho^i{}_{\alpha} + 2i(\sigma^b \bar{\psi}_{ak})_{\alpha} \left(\mathcal{D}_b q^{k\bar{i}} - \frac{1}{4}\psi_b{}^k \rho^i + \frac{1}{4}\bar{\psi}_b{}^{\bar{k}} \bar{\rho}^{\dot{\alpha}} \right) + 4\phi_{a\alpha k} q^{k\bar{i}}, \quad (2.73a)$$

$$\nabla_a \bar{\rho}_{\underline{i}}^{\dot{\alpha}} = \mathcal{D}_a \bar{\rho}_{\underline{i}}^{\dot{\alpha}} - 2i(\tilde{\sigma}^b \psi_a{}^k)^{\dot{\alpha}} \left(\mathcal{D}_b q_{k\underline{i}} - \frac{1}{4} \psi_{bk} \rho_{\underline{i}} + \frac{1}{4} \bar{\psi}_{bk} \bar{\rho}_{\underline{i}} \right) - 4\bar{\phi}_a{}^{\dot{\alpha}k} q_{k\underline{i}}, \quad (2.73b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \square q^{i\underline{i}} &= \mathcal{D}^a \mathcal{D}_a q^{i\underline{i}} - 2\mathfrak{f}_a{}^a q^{i\underline{i}} - \frac{1}{4} \rho^i \mathcal{D}_a \psi^{ai} + \frac{1}{4} \bar{\rho}^i \mathcal{D}_a \bar{\psi}^{ai} - \frac{1}{2} \psi^{ai} \mathcal{D}_a \rho^i + \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}^{ai} \mathcal{D}_a \bar{\rho}^i \\ &\quad - \frac{i}{4} \phi_a{}^i \sigma^a \bar{\rho}^i + \frac{i}{4} \bar{\phi}_a{}^i \tilde{\sigma}^a \rho^i + i(\psi_a{}^i \sigma^b \bar{\psi}^k{}^a) \mathcal{D}_b q_{k\underline{i}} + \frac{3i}{4} (\psi_a{}^i \sigma^a \bar{\Sigma}_l) q^{l\underline{i}} + \frac{3i}{4} (\bar{\psi}_a{}^i \tilde{\sigma}^a \Sigma_l) q^{l\underline{i}} \\ &\quad - \frac{i}{16} (\bar{\psi}_a{}^i \tilde{\sigma}^a \sigma^{cd} \rho^i) W_{cd}^+ - \frac{i}{16} (\psi_a{}^i \sigma^a \tilde{\sigma}^{cd} \bar{\rho}^i) W_{cd}^- - (\psi_a{}^i \phi^a{}_k) q^{k\underline{i}} + (\bar{\psi}_a{}^i \bar{\phi}^a{}_k) q^{k\underline{i}} \\ &\quad - \frac{i}{4} (\psi_a{}^i \sigma^b \bar{\psi}^k{}^a) (\psi_{bk} \rho^i) + \frac{i}{4} (\psi_a{}^i \sigma^c \bar{\psi}^k{}^a) (\bar{\psi}_{ck} \bar{\rho}^i). \end{aligned} \quad (2.73c)$$

It is important to stress that eqs. (2.72) are typically read as equations of motion for the hypermultiplet fields, see for example, [38, 39, 41, 43, 67, 115, 116]. They certainly are dynamical equations for $q^{i\underline{i}}$ and $(\rho_{\underline{\alpha}}^i, \bar{\rho}_{\underline{i}}^{\dot{\alpha}})$ in a flat background (with no central charges as in our case) where all conformal supergravity fields are set to zero [125, 126]. For this reason, the multiplet is typically referred to as the on-shell hypermultiplet. However, such an interpretation is not necessary in a curved background described by the standard Weyl multiplet. In fact, the eqs. (2.72) can be interpreted as algebraic equations for the standard Weyl multiplet that determine the fields $(\Sigma^{\alpha i}, \bar{\Sigma}_{\dot{\alpha} i})$ and D in terms of $q^{i\underline{i}}$ and $(\rho_{\underline{\alpha}}^i, \bar{\rho}_{\underline{i}}^{\dot{\alpha}})$ together with the other independent fields of the standard Weyl multiplet. If we assume that $q^{i\underline{i}}$ is an invertible matrix, which is equivalent to imposing

$$q^2 := q^{i\underline{i}} q_{i\underline{i}} = \varepsilon_{ij} \varepsilon_{\underline{i}\underline{j}} q^{i\underline{i}} q^{j\underline{j}} = 2 \det q^{i\underline{i}} \neq 0, \quad (2.74)$$

then the following relations hold

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma^{\alpha i} &= 2q^{-2} q^{i\underline{i}} \left[-\frac{i}{2} (\mathcal{D}_a \bar{\rho}_{\underline{i}} \tilde{\sigma}^a)^{\alpha} + (\psi_a{}^j \sigma^b \tilde{\sigma}^a)^{\alpha} \left(\mathcal{D}_b q_{j\underline{i}} - \frac{1}{4} \psi_{bj} \rho_{\underline{i}} + \frac{1}{4} \bar{\psi}_{bj} \bar{\rho}_{\underline{i}} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{2}{3} (\Psi_{abj} \sigma^{ab})^{\alpha} q^j{}_{\underline{i}} + \frac{1}{4} (\rho_{\underline{i}} \sigma^{cd})^{\alpha} W_{cd}^+ + \frac{i}{6} (\bar{\psi}_{aj} \tilde{\sigma}^a \sigma^{cd})^{\alpha} q^j{}_{\underline{i}} W_{cd}^+ \right], \end{aligned} \quad (2.75a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\Sigma}_{\dot{\alpha} i} &= 2q^{-2} q_{i\underline{i}} \left[-\frac{i}{2} (\mathcal{D}_a \rho^i \sigma^a)_{\dot{\alpha}} - (\bar{\psi}_{aj} \tilde{\sigma}^b \sigma^a)_{\dot{\alpha}} \left(\mathcal{D}_b q^{j\underline{i}} - \frac{1}{4} \psi_b{}^j \rho^i + \frac{1}{4} \bar{\psi}_b{}^j \bar{\rho}^i \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{2}{3} (\bar{\Psi}_{ab}{}^j \tilde{\sigma}^{ab})_{\dot{\alpha}} q_j{}^{\underline{i}} - \frac{1}{4} (\bar{\rho}^i \tilde{\sigma}^{cd})_{\dot{\alpha}} W_{cd}^- + \frac{i}{6} (\psi_a{}^j \sigma^a \tilde{\sigma}^{cd})_{\dot{\alpha}} q_j{}^{\underline{i}} W_{cd}^- \right], \end{aligned} \quad (2.75b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} D &= q^{-2} q_{i\underline{i}} \left[\mathcal{D}^a \mathcal{D}_a q^{i\underline{i}} + \frac{1}{6} R q^{i\underline{i}} - \frac{i}{8} (\bar{\psi}_a{}^i \tilde{\sigma}^a \sigma^{cd} \rho^i) W_{cd}^+ - \frac{i}{2} \phi_a{}^i \sigma^a \bar{\rho}^i - \frac{1}{2} \rho^i \mathcal{D}_a \psi^{ai} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \psi^{ai} \mathcal{D}_a \rho^i + 2(\psi_a{}^i \phi^{aj}) q_j{}^{\underline{i}} + \frac{3i}{2} (\psi_a{}^i \sigma^a \bar{\Sigma}_j) q^{j\underline{i}} + \frac{i}{2} (\psi_{aj} \sigma^a \bar{\Sigma}^j) q^{i\underline{i}} \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{6} \varepsilon^{mnpq} (\bar{\psi}_m^j \tilde{\sigma}_n \mathcal{D}_p \psi_{qj}) q^{i\bar{i}} + \frac{1}{3} W^{ab+} (\bar{\psi}_a^j \bar{\psi}_{bj}) q^{i\bar{i}} + i (\psi_a^i \sigma^b \bar{\psi}^{ja}) \mathcal{D}_b q_j^{\bar{i}} \\
& - \frac{i}{2} (\psi_a^i \sigma^b \bar{\psi}^{ja}) (\psi_{bj} \rho^{\bar{i}}) \Big] + \text{c.c.} . \tag{2.75c}
\end{aligned}$$

In the expression for D , eq. (2.75c), remember that $(\Sigma^i, \bar{\Sigma}_i)$ and $(\phi^i, \bar{\phi}_i)$, together with the spin connection ω_m^{cd} , are composite fields. Note that so far we have only used one of the four equations that are equivalent to (2.72c) to solve for D in eq. (2.75c). It is simple to show that the remaining independent three equations are equivalent to the following

$$\nabla^a (q^{i\bar{i}} \nabla_a q_i^{\bar{j}}) = 0 . \tag{2.76}$$

As we are going to explain in detail below, this equation is solved by turning the $SU(2)_R$ connection ϕ_m^{kl} into a composite field.

As a next step in the construction of the hyper-dilaton Weyl multiplet, we note that, accompanied to an on-shell hypermultiplet there is always a triplet of composite linear multiplets [39, 115, 116, 126]. The following composite fields define a triplet of linear multiplets [41]

$$G_{ij}^{\bar{i}\bar{j}} = q_{(i}^{\bar{i}} q_{j)}^{\bar{j}} = q_i^{(\bar{i}} q_j^{\bar{j})} , \quad (G_{ij}^{\bar{i}\bar{j}})^* = G^{\bar{i}\bar{j}}_{ij} , \tag{2.77a}$$

$$\chi_{\alpha i}^{\bar{i}\bar{j}} = \frac{1}{2} q_i^{(\bar{i}} \rho_{\alpha}^{\bar{j})} , \quad \bar{\chi}^{\alpha i}_{\bar{i}\bar{j}} = -\frac{1}{2} q^i_{(\bar{i}} \bar{\rho}_{\bar{j})}^{\alpha} , \quad (\chi_{\alpha i}^{\bar{i}\bar{j}})^* = \bar{\chi}^{\alpha i}_{\bar{i}\bar{j}} , \tag{2.77b}$$

$$F^{\bar{i}\bar{j}} = \frac{1}{8} \rho^{(\bar{i}} \rho^{\bar{j})} , \quad \bar{F}_{\bar{i}\bar{j}} = \frac{1}{8} \bar{\rho}_{(\bar{i}} \bar{\rho}_{\bar{j})} , \quad (F^{\bar{i}\bar{j}})^* = \bar{F}_{\bar{i}\bar{j}} , \tag{2.77c}$$

$$H^{a\bar{i}\bar{j}} = -\frac{1}{4} q^{i(\bar{i}} \nabla^a q_i^{\bar{j})} + \frac{i}{32} \rho^{(\bar{i}} \sigma^a \bar{\rho}_{\bar{j})} , \quad (H^{a\bar{i}\bar{j}})^* = H^a_{\bar{i}\bar{j}} . \tag{2.77d}$$

These fields all transform according to linear multiplet transformation (D.5) and each of the previous fields is symmetric in \bar{i} and \bar{j} . Within the previous composite fields, the field $H^{a\bar{i}\bar{j}}$ is particularly interesting. In fact, eq. (2.77d) together with (2.58) represent the solution to the constraint (2.76) and can be used to express the $SU(2)_R$ connection ϕ_m^{ij} as a composite field. By introducing the derivative

$$\mathbf{D}_a = e_a^m \left(\partial_m - \frac{1}{2} \omega_m^{cd} M_{cd} - b_m \mathbb{D} \right) = \mathcal{D}_a + e_a^m \phi_m^{ij} J_{ij} + i A_m Y , \tag{2.78}$$

and by using eq. (2.69), eq. (2.77d) can be rearranged for the $SU(2)_R$ gauge connection

as follows

$$\phi_a^{ij} = 4q^{-4} q^i q^j \left[q^{ki} \mathbf{D}_a q_k^j - \frac{1}{4} q^{ki} (\psi_{ak} \rho^j) + \frac{1}{4} q^{ki} (\bar{\psi}_{ak} \bar{\rho}^j) - \frac{i}{8} \rho^i \sigma_a \bar{\rho}^j + 4H_a^{ij} \right], \quad (2.79)$$

with

$$H_a^{ij} = \tilde{h}_a^{ij} + \frac{1}{6} \varepsilon_{abcd} \left(-\frac{3i}{4} (\sigma^{cd})_{\alpha\beta} \psi_k^{b\alpha} \chi_{\beta}^{kij} - \frac{3i}{4} (\tilde{\sigma}^{cd})^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \bar{\psi}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{bk} \bar{\chi}_{\dot{\beta}}^{\dot{\beta}ij} + \frac{3}{4} (\sigma^b)_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} \psi_k^{c\alpha} \bar{\psi}_{\dot{\beta}}^{dl} G^k l^{ij} \right), \quad (2.80)$$

which plugged back into (2.79) along with (2.77) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^{aij} &= 2q^{-2} q^i \mathbf{D}^a q^j - \frac{1}{2} \psi^{a\alpha} (q^{-2} q^j)_{\dot{i}} \rho_{\alpha}^{\dot{i}} - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}^a (q^{-2} q^j)_{\dot{i}} \bar{\rho}_{\dot{i}}^{\dot{\alpha}} + 16q^{-4} q^i q^j \tilde{h}^{aij} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} i (\sigma^a)^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} q^{-4} q^i q^j \rho_{\alpha}^{\dot{i}} \bar{\rho}_{\dot{j}}^{\dot{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{abcd} (\sigma_b)^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \psi_{c\alpha} (q^i \bar{\psi}_{d\dot{\alpha}})^{\dot{\beta}} \\ &\quad + q^{-2} (\sigma^{ab})^{\beta\alpha} \psi_{b\beta} q^i \rho_{\alpha}^{\dot{i}} + q^{-2} (\tilde{\sigma}^{ab})_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\psi}_{\dot{b}}^{\dot{\beta}} (q^j)_{\dot{i}} \bar{\rho}_{\dot{i}}^{\dot{\alpha}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.81)$$

The existence of the linear multiplets (2.77) is crucial in the analysis of deformations of vector multiplets that we will perform in our paper. Note that in terms of superfields, the composite linear multiplet is defined by

$$\mathcal{G}_{ij}^{\dot{i}\dot{j}} = Q_{(i} Q_{j)}^{\dot{j}} = Q_i (Q_j)^{\dot{j}}, \quad \nabla_{\alpha}^{(i} \mathcal{G}^{jk)}_{\dot{i}\dot{j}} = \bar{\nabla}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{(i} \mathcal{G}^{jk)}_{\dot{i}\dot{j}} = 0, \quad (2.82)$$

where all component fields in (2.77) arise from the equations (2.55) together with (2.70) and (2.71).

This concludes the definition of the hyper-dilaton Weyl multiplet. The result of the analysis is a representation of the off-shell local 4D, $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal algebra in terms of the following independent fields: e_m^a , b_m , A_m , W_{ab} , $q^{\dot{i}\dot{j}}$, $b_{mn}^{\dot{i}\dot{j}}$, $(\psi_{mi}, \bar{\psi}_m^i)$, and $(\rho^{\dot{i}}, \bar{\rho}_{\dot{i}})$. The multiplet has precisely the same number of off-shell degrees of freedom as the standard Weyl multiplet, $24 + 24$. Table 2 summarises the counting of degrees of freedom, underlining the symmetries acting on the fields. Note that with the ingredients provided so far, it is a straightforward exercise to obtain the locally superconformal transformations of the fundamental fields of the hyper-dilaton Weyl multiplet written only in terms of fundamental fields. These are given by (D.1a)–(D.1c), (D.1e)–(D.1g), (2.59), and (2.68a)–(2.68c) after using the appropriate identities for all the composite fields ω_m^{cd} , \mathfrak{f}_{ma} , ϕ_m^{ij} , $(\phi_{mi}, \bar{\phi}_m^i)$, $(\Sigma^{\alpha i}, \bar{\Sigma}_{\dot{\alpha} i})$, and D respectively given by eqs. (2.18), (2.79), (2.19), and (2.75).

It is important to underline that the local gauge transformations of the hyper-dilaton

e_m^a	ω_m^{ab}	b_m	f_{ma}	ϕ_m^{ij}	A_m	ψ_{mi}	ϕ_m^i	W_{ab}	$\rho^{\underline{i}}$	$q^{\underline{ij}}$	$b_{mn}^{\underline{ij}}$
$16B$	0	$4B$	0	0	$4B$	$32F$	0	$6B$	$8F$	$4B$	$18B$
P_a	M_{ab}	\mathbb{D}	K_a	J^{ij}	Y	Q	S	$\lambda_m^{\underline{ij}}$ -sym			
$-4B$	$-6B$	$-1B$	$-4B$	$-3B$	$-1B$	$-8F$	$-8F$	$-9B$			
Result: $24 + 24$ degrees of freedom											

Table 2: Degrees of freedom and symmetries of the hyper-dilaton Weyl multiplet. Row one gives all the fields in the multiplet. Row two gives the number of independent components of these fields – composite connections are counted with zero degrees of freedom. Row three gives the gauge symmetries. Note that the parameter $\lambda_m^{\underline{ij}}$ describes the vector symmetry associated with the gauge two-forms $b_{mn}^{\underline{ij}}$ with field strength three-forms $h_{mnp}^{\underline{ij}}$ and $H^{a\underline{ij}}$. Row four gives the number of gauge degrees of freedom to be subtracted when counting the total degrees of freedom. Row five gives the resulting number of degrees of freedom.

Weyl multiplet form an algebra that closes off-shell on a local extension of $SU(2, 2|2)$, the 4D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal group. In fact, by construction the resulting algebra is identical to the one of the standard Weyl multiplet transformations (D.1) (see [114] and [49, 50] for detail on the local algebra), with the only important subtlety being that the structure functions will have more composite fields. We also stress that the existence of the triplet of composite linear multiplets in eqs. (2.77) is a key ingredient to engineer FI-type terms in supergravity-matter couplings based on the hyper-dilaton Weyl multiplet.

2.4.1 Transformation check

As a side, here we comment more on the consistency of the composite linear multiplet constructed out of the on-shell hypermultiplet.

It is necessary to use the on-shell condition on the hypermultiplet to prove that the composite multiplet with its lowest component being $G_{ij}^{\underline{ij}}$ is a linear multiplet. It is straightforward to show that its descendant fields $\chi_{\alpha i}^{\underline{ij}}$, $\bar{\chi}^{\dot{\alpha} i}{}_{\underline{ij}}$, $F^{\underline{ij}}$, and $\bar{F}_{\underline{ij}}$ transform correctly as linear multiplet fields using only the hypermultiplet supersymmetry transformation rules. No equations of motion are needed. However, for the composite three-form field, one does need to use an equation of motion.

The local supersymmetry transformation of the composite three-form of the linear multiplet in flat space⁸ is given by

$$\delta H^{a\underline{ij}} = -\frac{1}{4}\delta q^{i(\underline{i}}\nabla^a q_i^{\underline{j})} - \frac{1}{4}q^{i(\underline{i}}\delta\nabla^a q_i^{\underline{j})} + \frac{i}{32}\delta\rho^{(\underline{i}}\sigma^a\bar{\rho}^{\underline{j})} + \frac{i}{32}\rho^{(\underline{i}}\sigma^a\delta\bar{\rho}^{\underline{j})}$$

⁸For simplicity we restrict to a flat geometry where the derivatives should be $\nabla_a \rightarrow \partial_a$, but it is straightforward to extend this analysis to a Weyl multiplet background.

$$= \frac{1}{8} \xi^{\alpha i} q_i (\underline{i} \nabla^a \rho_{\dot{\alpha}}^j) + \frac{1}{4} (\sigma^{ab})_{\alpha\gamma} \xi^{\gamma i} \rho^{\alpha} (\underline{i} \nabla_b q_i^j) + \text{c.c.} . \quad (2.83)$$

We use the on-shell hypermultiplet equation of motion to bring the first term into the desired form. In flat space, it is as follows

$$(\nabla_a \rho^i \sigma^a)_{\dot{\alpha}} = 0 \quad \implies \quad \nabla^a \rho_{\dot{\alpha}}^i = 2(\sigma^{ab})_{\alpha\beta} \nabla_b \rho^{\beta i} .$$

Inserting this back into the transformation rule we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta H^{aij} &= \frac{1}{4} (\sigma^{ab})_{\alpha\beta} \xi^{\alpha i} (\nabla_b \rho^{\beta(i} q_i^{j)}) + \rho^{\beta(i} \nabla_b q_i^{j)}) + \text{c.c.} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \xi_i \sigma_{ab} \nabla^b \chi^{ij} + \text{c.c.} , \end{aligned} \quad (2.84)$$

as required. Besides working as a consistency check, the previous calculation indicates that we must interpret the on-shell hypermultiplet as matter fields of the hyper-dilaton Weyl multiplet when using the composite linear multiplet to construct supersymmetric invariants and deformations. This will be used in following sections.

3 The deformed abelian vector multiplet

In this section, we first revisit how the electric-magnetic duality is implemented in superspace for 4D, $\mathcal{N} = 2$ vector multiplets and how deformed off-shell abelian vector multiplets arise from this duality in the presence of an (electric) Fayet-Iliopoulos term.

3.1 EM duality in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superspace

The purpose of this subsection is to review and motivate the magnetic deformations of vector multiplets, which we will study in more detail in the next subsection. We refer the reader to [8, 9] for a more extensive discussion of the duality in flat superspace.

We start from a gauge invariant $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superfield strength W which is chiral

$$\bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}}^i W = 0 , \quad (3.1)$$

and satisfies the additional constraint

$$D^{ij} W - \bar{D}^{ij} \bar{W} = 0 , \quad D^{ij} := D^{\alpha i} D_{\alpha}^j , \quad \bar{D}_{ij} = \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha} i} \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha} j} , \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$D_\alpha^i = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_\alpha^i} + i(\sigma^b)_{\alpha\beta} \bar{\theta}^{\beta i} \partial_b, \quad \bar{D}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\theta}_i^{\dot{\alpha}}} + i(\tilde{\sigma}^b)^{\dot{\alpha}\beta} \theta_{\beta i} \partial_b, \quad (3.3)$$

are the flat $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superspace spinor covariant derivatives. The constraints on W can be solved through the Mezincescu prepotential [140]

$$W = \frac{1}{4} \bar{\Delta} D^{ij} V_{ij}, \quad (3.4)$$

where V_{ij} satisfies $V_{ij} = V_{ji}$, $(V_{ij})^* = V^{ij}$, while

$$\bar{\Delta} := \frac{1}{48} \bar{D}^{ij} \bar{D}_{ij} = -\frac{1}{48} \bar{D}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}, \quad \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} = \bar{D}_{\dot{\alpha}k} \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}}^k, \quad (3.5)$$

is the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ chiral projecting operator such that

$$\int d^4x d^4\theta d^4\bar{\theta} \mathcal{L} = \int d^4x d^4\theta \bar{\Delta} \mathcal{L} = \int d^4x d^4\bar{\theta} \Delta \mathcal{L}. \quad (3.6)$$

The dynamics of a free abelian vector multiplet are described by the superspace Lagrangian

$$S_v^0 = -\text{Im} \left[\frac{1}{2} \int d^4x d^4\theta \tau W^2 \right], \quad \tau := \frac{i}{g^2} + \vartheta. \quad (3.7)$$

In the case of a self-interacting theory, the previous model can be lifted to

$$S_v = -\text{Im} \left[\int d^4x d^4\theta F(W) \right], \quad (3.8)$$

where $F(W)$ is an arbitrary function of W which is the special-Kähler geometry holomorphic prepotential. An $\mathcal{N} = 2$ (electric) FI term is defined by

$$S_{\text{FI}} = \int d^4x d^4\theta d^4\bar{\theta} \xi^{ij} V_{ij}, \quad (3.9)$$

with ξ^{ij} being a triplet of real constants. The theory described by $S_e = S_v + S_{\text{FI}}$ is referred to as electrically deformed [5, 8, 9].

The magnetic dual of S_e is described in terms of the Lagrangian

$$S_m = -\text{Im} \left[\int d^4x d^4\theta \hat{F}(\mathbf{W}) \right], \quad (3.10a)$$

where, however, the superfield \mathbf{W} satisfies a modified reduced chiral constraint as follows

$$D^{ij}\mathbf{W} - \bar{D}^{ij}\bar{\mathbf{W}} = 2i\hat{\zeta}^{ij} , \quad (3.10b)$$

with $\hat{\zeta}^{ij}$ being a real triplet of constants [8, 9]. Here, we have used a hat to denote the function of the deformed vector multiplet as it can be proven to be related by a duality transformation to $F(W)$ of the electric S_e action. The duality between S_e and S_m can be implemented through the action

$$S_{\text{duality}} = -\text{Im} \left[\int d^4x d^4\theta \hat{F}(\Upsilon) \right] - \frac{i}{8} \int d^4x d^4\theta d^4\bar{\theta} U_{ij} \left[D^{ij}\Upsilon - \bar{D}^{ij}\bar{\Upsilon} - 2i\hat{\zeta}^{ij} \right] , \quad (3.11)$$

where U_{ij} is an unconstrained real $(U_{ij})^* = U^{ij}$ superfield and Υ is an arbitrary (and long) chiral superfield. Υ can be represented by using the chiral projecting operator and an arbitrary complex prepotential superfield as

$$\Upsilon = \bar{\Delta}\Psi . \quad (3.12)$$

When integrating out U_{ij} and renaming $\Upsilon = \mathbf{W}$ in the previous action, one obtains the $\mathcal{N} = 2$ vector multiplet action deformed by a magnetic FI term in eq. (3.10). After integration by parts and using (3.6) one can rewrite (3.11) as

$$S_{\text{duality}} = -\text{Im} \left[\int d^4x d^4\theta \left(\hat{F}(\Upsilon) - \Upsilon W_U \right) \right] - \frac{1}{4} \int d^4x d^4\theta d^4\bar{\theta} \zeta^{ij} U_{ij} , \quad (3.13a)$$

with

$$W_U = \frac{1}{4} \bar{\Delta} D^{ij} U_{ij} . \quad (3.14)$$

The variation of the previous action with respect to Υ , after using (3.12) and integrating by parts, is

$$\delta S_{\text{duality}} = \frac{i}{2} \int d^4x d^4\theta d^4\bar{\theta} \delta\Psi \left(\frac{\partial \hat{F}(\Upsilon)}{\partial \Upsilon} - W_U \right) , \quad (3.15)$$

implying that on shell it holds

$$\frac{\partial \hat{F}(\Upsilon)}{\partial \Upsilon} = W_U , \quad W_U = \frac{1}{4} \bar{\Delta} D^{ij} U_{ij} , \quad (3.16)$$

which turns (3.13a) into

$$S_e = -\text{Im} \left[\int d^4x d^4\theta F(W_U) \right] + \int d^4x d^4\theta d^4\bar{\theta} \tilde{\xi}^{ij} U_{ij} , \quad \tilde{\xi}^{ij} = -\frac{1}{4} \zeta^{ij} . \quad (3.17)$$

This is equivalent to (3.8) plus a standard FI term if we define

$$F(W_U) := \hat{F}[\Upsilon(W_U)] - \Upsilon(W_U) W_U , \quad \frac{\partial F(W_U)}{\partial W_U} = -\Psi . \quad (3.18)$$

This is a usual Legendre transform of the special-Kähler holomorphic prepotential F and its dual \hat{F} , and $\Upsilon(W_U)$ is an implicit solution (which we assume to exist) of $\frac{\partial \hat{F}(\Upsilon)}{\partial \Upsilon} = W_U$ satisfying

$$\frac{\partial \Upsilon(W_U)}{\partial W_U} = \left[\frac{\partial W_U}{\partial \Upsilon} \right]^{-1} = [\tau(\Upsilon)]^{-1} \equiv -\tilde{\tau}(W_U) , \quad (3.19)$$

where

$$\tau(\Upsilon) = \frac{\partial^2 \hat{F}(\Upsilon)}{(\partial \Upsilon)^2} , \quad \tilde{\tau}(W_U) = \frac{\partial^2 \hat{F}(W_U)}{(\partial W_U)^2} . \quad (3.20)$$

These are standard results for the EM duality of a vector multiplet. They show that electric and magnetic FI terms are interchanged with the duality. The same arguments are well known to generalise to several (abelian) vector multiplets. An important comment is that for flat supersymmetry one can consider a vector multiplet that has both electric and magnetic deformations while still having preserved off-shell supersymmetry [5, 8, 9]

$$S = -\text{Im} \left[\int d^4x d^4\theta F(\mathbf{W}) \right] + \int d^4x d^4\theta d^4\bar{\theta} \xi^{ij} V_{ij} , \quad (3.21a)$$

$$D^{ij} \mathbf{W} - \bar{D}^{ij} \bar{\mathbf{W}} = 2i \zeta^{ij} , \quad \mathbf{W} = \frac{1}{4} \bar{\Delta} D^{ij} V_{ij} + \frac{i}{2} \theta_{ij} \zeta^{ij} , \quad (3.21b)$$

where $\theta_{ij} := \theta_i^\alpha \theta_{\alpha j}$. The presence of both an electric and a magnetic deformation is the key to obtaining partial supersymmetry breaking in flat superspace with a single physical vector multiplet [5]. We stress that this is a feature of the globally supersymmetric case.

Note that the previous derivation can straightforwardly be lifted to conformal supergravity defined in conformal superspace. The key ingredient is to realise that the electric and magnetic deformations will turn into linear multiplets. This is potentially straightforward, though there will be various subtleties related to the choices of a conformal supergravity background and compensators which we will discuss in the coming sections.

For instance, given a set of vector multiplets in a hyper-dilaton Weyl background, an electric FI-type deformation will be associated to the following full conformal superspace invariant

$$\int d^4x d^4\theta d^4\bar{\theta} E \mathcal{G}_{\xi_I}^{ij} V_{ij}^I, \quad \mathcal{G}_{\xi_I}^{ij} := \xi_I^{ij} Q^i_{\underline{i}} Q^j_{\underline{j}}, \quad (3.22)$$

where $Q^{i\underline{i}}$ is the on-shell hypermultiplet in conformal superspace, while $\xi_I^{ij} = \xi_I^{j\underline{i}}$ is a triplet of real constant.

Let us now proceed by introducing the modification of the magnetic deformation of an abelian vector multiplet in a conformal supergravity background.

3.2 Deformed abelian vector multiplet in conformal superspace

Consider the following deformation of abelian vector multiplets [19, 129]

$$\nabla^{ij} \mathbf{W} - \bar{\nabla}^{ij} \bar{\mathbf{W}} = 2i \mathcal{G}^{ij}, \quad (3.23)$$

where \mathbf{W} is only required to be covariantly chiral. The constraint now holds in conformal supergravity where the ∇_A derivatives are the conformal superspace ones that we introduced before. This multiplet can be thought of as a deformation of a standard vector multiplet described by W by means of the shift

$$\mathbf{W} = W + \Psi, \quad (3.24)$$

where Ψ is the prepotential of \mathcal{G}^{ij} and is a chiral superfield, $\bar{\nabla}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} \Psi = 0$, of dimension 1 and $U(1)_R$ weight -2, but otherwise arbitrary — see eqs. (2.50)–(2.54).

It is straightforward to deduce that

$$\nabla^{ij} \mathbf{W} - \bar{\nabla}^{ij} \bar{\mathbf{W}} = \nabla^{ij} \Psi - \bar{\nabla}^{ij} \bar{\Psi} = 2i \mathcal{G}^{ij}, \quad (3.25)$$

where \mathcal{G}^{ij} is the linear multiplet superfield. Acting with spinor covariant derivatives on \mathbf{W} gives the following independent descendants:

$$\lambda_{\alpha}^i = \nabla_{\alpha}^i \mathbf{W}, \quad \bar{\lambda}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} = \bar{\nabla}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\mathbf{W}}, \quad (3.26a)$$

$$\mathbf{X}^{ij} = \frac{1}{2} (\nabla^{ij} \mathbf{W} + \bar{\nabla}^{ij} \bar{\mathbf{W}}), \quad \mathcal{G}^{ij} = -\frac{i}{2} (\nabla^{ij} \mathbf{W} - \bar{\nabla}^{ij} \bar{\mathbf{W}}), \quad (3.26b)$$

$$\mathbf{F}_{ab} = -\frac{1}{8}(\sigma_{ab})^{\alpha\beta}(\nabla_{\alpha\beta}\mathbf{W} + 4W_{\alpha\beta}\bar{\mathbf{W}}) + \frac{1}{8}(\tilde{\sigma}_{ab})_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}(\bar{\nabla}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\bar{\mathbf{W}} + 4\bar{W}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\mathbf{W}), \quad (3.26c)$$

$$\mathbf{F}_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma^{ab})_{\alpha\beta}\mathbf{F}_{ab} = -\frac{1}{8}(\nabla_{\alpha\beta}\mathbf{W} + 4W_{\alpha\beta}\bar{\mathbf{W}}), \quad (3.26d)$$

$$\bar{\mathbf{F}}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} = -\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{\sigma}^{ab})^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\mathbf{F}_{ab} = -\frac{1}{8}(\bar{\nabla}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\bar{\mathbf{W}} + 4\bar{W}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\mathbf{W}), \quad (3.26e)$$

$$\chi_{\alpha i} = \frac{1}{3}\nabla_{\alpha}^j\mathcal{G}_{ij}, \quad \bar{\chi}^{\dot{\alpha}i} = \frac{1}{3}\bar{\nabla}_{\dot{j}}^{\dot{\alpha}}\mathcal{G}^{ij}, \quad (3.26f)$$

$$F = \frac{1}{12}\nabla^{ij}\mathcal{G}_{ij}, \quad \bar{F} = \frac{1}{12}\bar{\nabla}^{ij}\mathcal{G}_{ij}, \quad (3.26g)$$

$$H_{abc} = \frac{i}{96}\varepsilon_{abcd}(\sigma^d)^{\alpha}_{\dot{\beta}}[\nabla_{\alpha}^i, \bar{\nabla}_{\dot{j}}^{\dot{\beta}}]\mathcal{G}^j_i = \varepsilon_{abcd}H^d, \quad (3.26h)$$

$$H_a = \frac{i}{96}(\sigma_a)^{\alpha}_{\dot{\beta}}[\nabla_{\alpha}^i, \bar{\nabla}_{\dot{j}}^{\dot{\beta}}]\mathcal{G}^j_i = \frac{1}{6}\varepsilon_{abcd}H^{bcd}. \quad (3.26i)$$

These superfields satisfy the following tower relations that are particularly useful in analyzing the structure of invariants:

$$\nabla_{\alpha}^i\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\beta}^j = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\mathbf{X}^{ij} + \frac{i}{2}\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\mathcal{G}^{ij} + 4\epsilon^{ij}\mathbf{F}_{\alpha\beta} + 2\epsilon^{ij}W_{\alpha\beta}\bar{\mathbf{W}}, \quad (3.27a)$$

$$\bar{\nabla}_{\dot{i}}^{\dot{\alpha}}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\beta}^j = -2i\delta_{\dot{i}}^j\nabla_{\beta}^{\dot{\alpha}}\mathbf{W}, \quad (3.27b)$$

$$\nabla_{\alpha}^i\bar{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}_{\dot{j}}^{\dot{\beta}} = -2i\delta_j^i\nabla_{\alpha}^{\dot{\beta}}\bar{\mathbf{W}}, \quad (3.27c)$$

$$\bar{\nabla}_{\dot{i}}^{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}_{\dot{j}}^{\dot{\beta}} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\mathbf{X}^{ij} - \frac{i}{2}\epsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\mathcal{G}^{ij} + 4\epsilon_{ij}\bar{\mathbf{F}}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} + 2\epsilon_{ij}\bar{W}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\mathbf{W}, \quad (3.27d)$$

$$\nabla_{\alpha}^i\mathbf{X}^{jk} = -2i\epsilon^{i(j}\chi_{\alpha}^{k)} - 4i\epsilon^{i(j}\nabla_{\alpha}^{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{k)}, \quad (3.27e)$$

$$\bar{\nabla}_{\dot{i}}^{\dot{\alpha}}\mathbf{X}_{jk} = 2i\epsilon_{i(j}\bar{\chi}_{\dot{k}}^{\dot{\alpha}} - 4i\epsilon_{i(j}\nabla_{\alpha}^{\dot{\alpha}}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\dot{k}}^{\alpha}), \quad (3.27f)$$

$$\nabla_{\gamma}^i\mathbf{F}_{\alpha\beta} = \epsilon_{\gamma(\alpha}\Sigma_{\beta)}^i\bar{\mathbf{W}} - \frac{1}{2}W_{\alpha\beta\gamma}^i\bar{\mathbf{W}} + \frac{1}{2}i\epsilon_{\gamma(\alpha}\chi_{\beta)}^i + \frac{1}{2}i\epsilon_{\gamma(\alpha}\nabla_{\beta)}^{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}_{\dot{\alpha}}^i, \quad (3.27g)$$

$$\bar{\nabla}_{\dot{k}}^{\dot{\gamma}}\mathbf{F}_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{i}{2}\nabla_{(\alpha}\dot{\gamma}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\beta)k} - \frac{1}{2}W_{\alpha\beta}\bar{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}_{\dot{k}}^{\dot{\gamma}}, \quad (3.27h)$$

$$\nabla_{\gamma}^i\bar{\mathbf{F}}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} = \frac{i}{2}\nabla_{\gamma}^{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^{\dot{\beta})k} - \frac{1}{2}\bar{W}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\gamma}^k, \quad (3.27i)$$

$$\bar{\nabla}_{\dot{k}}^{\dot{\gamma}}\bar{\mathbf{F}}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} = -\epsilon^{\dot{\gamma}(\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\Sigma}_{\dot{k}}^{\dot{\beta})}\mathbf{W} - \frac{1}{2}\bar{W}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\dot{\gamma}\mathbf{W} - \frac{1}{2}i\epsilon^{\dot{\gamma}(\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\chi}_{\dot{k}}^{\dot{\beta})} + \frac{1}{2}i\epsilon^{\dot{\gamma}(\dot{\alpha}}\nabla_{\alpha}^{\dot{\beta})}\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\dot{k}}^{\alpha}. \quad (3.27j)$$

The tower of S -supersymmetry transformations is identical to the cases of a standard vector multiplet and a linear multiplet, up to appropriately renaming some descendant with bold symbols. As a result, the local superconformal transformation of the fundamental component fields of the deformed vector multiplet fields in a standard Weyl multiplet

background are

$$\delta\phi = \xi_i \boldsymbol{\lambda}^i + \lambda_{\mathbb{D}} \phi - 2i\lambda_Y \phi, \quad (3.28a)$$

$$\delta\bar{\phi} = \bar{\xi}^i \bar{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}_i + \lambda_{\mathbb{D}} \bar{\phi} + 2i\lambda_Y \bar{\phi}, \quad (3.28b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\boldsymbol{\lambda}_\alpha^i &= 2(\sigma^{ab}\xi^i)_\alpha \mathbf{F}_{ab} + (\sigma^{ab}\xi^i)_\alpha W_{ab}^+ \bar{\phi} - \frac{1}{2}\xi_{\alpha j} \mathbf{X}^{ij} - \frac{i}{2}\xi_{\alpha j} G^{ij} + 2i(\sigma^a \bar{\xi}^i)_\alpha \nabla_a \phi \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\lambda^{ab}(\sigma_{ab}\boldsymbol{\lambda}^i)_\alpha + \lambda^i_j \boldsymbol{\lambda}_\alpha^j + \frac{3}{2}\lambda_{\mathbb{D}} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_\alpha^i - i\lambda_Y \boldsymbol{\lambda}_\alpha^i + 4\eta_\alpha^i \phi, \end{aligned} \quad (3.28c)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\bar{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} &= -2(\tilde{\sigma}^{ab}\bar{\xi}_i)^{\dot{\alpha}} \mathbf{F}_{ab} - (\tilde{\sigma}^{ab}\bar{\xi}_i)^{\dot{\alpha}} W_{ab}^- \phi - \frac{1}{2}\bar{\xi}^{\dot{\alpha} j} \mathbf{X}_{ij} + \frac{i}{2}\bar{\xi}^{\dot{\alpha} j} G_{ij} + 2i(\tilde{\sigma}^a \xi_i)^{\dot{\alpha}} \nabla_a \bar{\phi} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}\lambda^{ab}(\tilde{\sigma}_{ab}\bar{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}_i)^{\dot{\alpha}} - \lambda_i^j \bar{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}_j^{\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{3}{2}\lambda_{\mathbb{D}} \bar{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} + i\lambda_Y \bar{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} + 4\bar{\eta}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\phi}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.28d)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\mathbf{X}^{ij} &= 2i\xi^{\alpha(i}\chi_{\alpha}^{j)} + 4i\xi^{\alpha(i}\nabla_{\alpha}^{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}_j^{\dot{\alpha}} - 2i\xi_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\dot{\alpha}(i}\bar{\chi}^{\dot{\alpha}j)} + 4i\xi_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\dot{\alpha}(i}\nabla_{\alpha}^{\dot{\alpha}}\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{j)\alpha} \\ &\quad + 2\lambda^{(i}_k \mathbf{X}^{j)k} + 2\lambda_{\mathbb{D}} \mathbf{X}^{ij}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.28e)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\mathbf{F}^{ab} &= \left[-i\xi_k \sigma_{[a} \nabla_{b]} \bar{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}^k + \left(\xi_k \sigma_{ab} \Sigma^k - \frac{1}{2}\xi_k^{\alpha} (\sigma_{ab})^{\beta\gamma} W_{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}^k \right) \bar{\phi} - \frac{1}{2}(\xi_k \boldsymbol{\lambda}^k) W_{ab}^- \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{i}{2}\xi_k \sigma_{ab} \chi^k + 2\eta^k \sigma_{ab} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_k + \text{c.c.} \right] + 2\lambda_{\mathbb{D}} \mathbf{F}_{ab} - 2\lambda_{[a}{}^c \mathbf{F}_{b]c}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.28f)$$

while all the transformations of the descendants of \mathbf{W} and $\bar{\mathbf{W}}$ associated to \mathcal{G}^{ij} are exactly the same transformations as the linear multiplet component fields G^{ij} , $\chi_{\alpha i}$, $\bar{\chi}^{\dot{\alpha} i}$, F , and \bar{F} given in (D.5).

Note that, in a hyper-dilaton Weyl background, thanks to the existence of the composite triplet of linear multiplets, see, e.g., eq. (2.82), it is natural to consider the deformations of a set of vector multiplets associated to the following deformed constraints

$$\nabla^{ij} \mathbf{W}^I - \bar{\nabla}^{ij} \bar{\mathbf{W}}^I = 2i\mathcal{G}_\zeta^{Iij}, \quad \mathcal{G}_\zeta^{Iij} := \zeta_{ij}^I Q^{i\dot{i}} Q^{j\dot{j}}, \quad (3.29)$$

where $Q^{i\dot{i}}$ is the on-shell hypermultiplet in conformal superspace, while $\zeta_{ij}^I = \zeta_{j\dot{i}}^I$ is a triplet of real constants which plays a similar role to the global magnetic FI terms. This will be one of the ingredients that we use in the coming sections.

We proceed next with the definition of several locally superconformal action principles both in superspace and components.

4 Superconformal actions

In this section, we review the local superconformal action principles that we use to engineer the supergravity-matter systems studied in the rest of the paper. This includes the abelian vector multiplet action and the linear multiplet action with magnetic and electric deformations, respectively.

4.1 Chiral action principle

We introduce here the chiral action involving an integral over the chiral subspace

$$S = S_c + \text{c.c.} , \quad S_c = \int d^8z \mathcal{E} \mathcal{L}_c , \quad d^8z := d^4x d^4\theta , \quad (4.1)$$

where \mathcal{L}_c is covariantly chiral, $\bar{\nabla}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} \mathcal{L}_c = 0$, and \mathcal{E} is a suitably chosen chiral measure [50, 141–143]. The Lagrangian \mathcal{L}_c must be a conformally primary Lorentz and $SU(2)_R$ chiral scalar with conformal dimension two and $U(1)_R$ weight -4 :

$$\mathbb{D}\mathcal{L}_c = 2\mathcal{L}_c , \quad Y\mathcal{L}_c = -4\mathcal{L}_c , \quad J^{ij}\mathcal{L}_c = M_{ab}\mathcal{L}_c = K_a\mathcal{L}_c = S_\alpha^i\mathcal{L}_c = \bar{S}_i^{\dot{\alpha}}\mathcal{L}_c = 0 . \quad (4.2)$$

Any action involving an integral over the full superspace may be converted to one over the chiral subspace by the rule [50]

$$\int d^{12}z E \mathcal{L} = \int d^8z \mathcal{E} \bar{\nabla}^4 \mathcal{L} , \quad d^{12}z := d^4x d^4\theta d^4\bar{\theta} , \quad \bar{\nabla}^4 := \frac{1}{48} \bar{\nabla}^{ij} \bar{\nabla}_{ij} . \quad (4.3)$$

The chiral action in components [50], and in our notation that follow the ones of [49], takes the form of the following density formula

$$\begin{aligned} S_c = \int d^4x e \left(\frac{1}{48} \nabla^{ij} \nabla_{ij} - \frac{i}{12} \bar{\psi}_{d\dot{\delta}}^l (\tilde{\sigma}^d)^{\dot{\delta}\alpha} \nabla_\alpha^q \nabla_{lq} + \frac{i}{2} \bar{\psi}_{d\dot{\delta}}^l (\sigma^d)_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \bar{W}^{\dot{\alpha}\delta} \nabla_l^\alpha + \bar{W}^{\dot{\alpha}\beta} \bar{W}_{\dot{\alpha}\beta} \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{4} \bar{\psi}_{c\dot{\gamma}}^k \bar{\psi}_{d\dot{\delta}}^l \left((\tilde{\sigma}^{cd})^{\dot{\gamma}\delta} \nabla_{kl} - \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{\dot{\gamma}\delta} \varepsilon_{kl} (\sigma^{cd})_{\beta\gamma} \nabla^{\beta\gamma} - 4\varepsilon^{\dot{\gamma}\delta} \varepsilon_{kl} (\tilde{\sigma}^{cd})_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \bar{W}^{\dot{\alpha}\beta} \right) \right. \\ \left. - \frac{1}{4} \varepsilon^{abcd} (\tilde{\sigma}_a)^{\dot{\beta}\alpha} \bar{\psi}_{b\dot{\beta}}^j \bar{\psi}_{c\dot{\gamma}}^k \bar{\psi}_{d\dot{\delta}}^l \nabla_{\alpha j} - \frac{i}{4} \varepsilon^{abcd} \bar{\psi}_{a\dot{\alpha}}^i \bar{\psi}_{b\dot{\beta}}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\psi}_{c\dot{\beta}}^j \bar{\psi}_{d\dot{\delta}}^{\dot{\beta}} \right) \mathcal{L}_c . \quad (4.4) \end{aligned}$$

Efficient ways to obtain this result make use of either a normal coordinate expansion in superspace, see [143], or alternatively by using the superform approach to constructing

supersymmetric invariants, see [144, 145]. We stress that the component action (4.4) is the primary building block for the superconformal invariant actions considered throughout this work wherein a consistent choice for \mathcal{L}_c in conformal superspace satisfying the above properties determines its structure.

4.2 Deformed abelian vector multiplet action

Let us now consider the general, superconformal chiral action $\mathcal{L}_c = \mathcal{F}(\mathbf{W}^I)$ of n deformed abelian vector multiplets \mathbf{W}^I . Note that $\mathcal{F}(\mathbf{W}^I)$ must be homogeneous of degree two in \mathbf{W}^I ,

$$\mathbf{W}^I \mathcal{F}_I \equiv \mathbf{W}^I \frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{W}^I} \mathcal{F} = 2\mathcal{F} , \quad (4.5)$$

with

$$\nabla^{ij} \mathbf{W}^I - \bar{\nabla}^{ij} \bar{\mathbf{W}}^I = 2i\mathcal{G}^{I,ij} . \quad (4.6)$$

Recall that the above follows from the fact that the deformed abelian vector multiplet can be defined by the shift

$$\mathbf{W}^I = W^I + \Psi^I , \quad (4.7)$$

where Ψ^I are the prepotential for the linear multiplets $\mathcal{G}^{I,ij}$

$$\mathcal{G}^{I,ij} = -\frac{i}{2} (\nabla^{ij} \Psi^I - \bar{\nabla}^{ij} \bar{\Psi}^I) . \quad (4.8)$$

Here we do not specify whether the linear multiplets are composite (as for the hyperdilatation Weyl case that we will study later on) or fundamental. The model is manifestly invariant under the gauge transformation

$$\hat{\delta} \mathbf{W}^I = 0 , \quad (4.9)$$

which is apparent from

$$\hat{\delta} \Psi^I = \hat{W}^I , \quad \hat{\delta} W^I = -\hat{W}^I , \quad (4.10)$$

for a vector multiplet field strength \hat{W}^I satisfying

$$\bar{\nabla}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} \hat{W}^I = 0 , \quad \nabla^{ij} \hat{W}^I = \bar{\nabla}^{ij} \bar{\hat{W}}^I . \quad (4.11)$$

By the component action principle of eq. (4.4), this action in components was gener-

ated computationally by the computer algebra software, *Cadabra* [146–148]. Note that a specific code repository [99] has been developed in parallel to this work that automatically generates 4D, $\mathcal{N} = 2$ actions in components. It has been directly applied here and to all further results in this paper. After further cleaning up by hand, we obtain the following result in components

$$\begin{aligned}
S_c = \int d^4x e \left[\mathcal{F}_I \square \bar{\phi}^I - 2\mathcal{F}_I \bar{W}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\gamma}} \bar{\mathbf{F}}^{I\dot{\alpha}\dot{\gamma}} - \mathcal{F} \bar{W}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \bar{W}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} + 3\mathcal{F}_I D \bar{\phi}^I + \frac{3}{2} \mathcal{F}_I \bar{\Sigma}_{\dot{\alpha}}^k \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha}k} \right. \\
- \frac{i}{2} \mathcal{F}_{IJ} \lambda^{I\alpha j} \nabla_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha}j}^{J\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{32} \mathcal{F}_{IJ} \mathbf{M}^{Iij} \mathbf{M}_{ij}^J - 2\mathcal{F}_{IJ} \mathbf{F}^{I\alpha\beta} \mathbf{F}_{\alpha\beta}^J \\
- 2\mathcal{F}_{IJ} \bar{\phi}^I W^{\alpha\beta} \mathbf{F}_{\alpha\beta}^J - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}_{IJ} \bar{\phi}^I \bar{\phi}^J W^{\alpha\beta} W_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{16} \mathcal{F}_{IJK} (\lambda^{Ii} \lambda^{Jj}) \mathbf{M}_{ij}^K \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}_{IJK} \lambda^{I\alpha k} \lambda_k^{J\beta} \mathbf{F}_{\alpha\beta}^K + \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{F}_{IJK} \bar{\phi}^I \lambda^{J\alpha k} \lambda_k^{K\beta} W_{\alpha\beta} \\
+ \frac{1}{48} \mathcal{F}_{IJKL} \lambda^{\alpha i, L} \lambda_{\alpha}^{j, K} \lambda_i^{\beta, J} \lambda_{\beta j}^K - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}_I (\bar{\psi}_m^j \tilde{\sigma}^m \sigma^b)_{\dot{\alpha}} \nabla_b \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{I\dot{\alpha}} \\
- \frac{i}{8} \mathcal{F}_{IJ} (\bar{\psi}_m^i \tilde{\sigma}^m \lambda^{Ij}) \mathbf{M}_{ij}^J - i \mathcal{F}_{IJ} (\bar{\psi}_m^k \tilde{\sigma}^m)^{\alpha} \lambda_k^{I\beta} \mathbf{F}_{\alpha\beta}^J \\
- \frac{i}{2} \mathcal{F}_{IJ} \bar{\phi}^I (\bar{\psi}_m^k \tilde{\sigma}^m)^{\alpha} \lambda_k^{J\beta} W_{\alpha\beta} - \frac{i}{12} \mathcal{F}_{IJK} (\bar{\psi}_m^i \tilde{\sigma}^m \lambda^{Ij}) (\lambda_i^J \lambda_j^K) \\
+ \frac{i}{2} \mathcal{F}_I \bar{\psi}_{b\dot{\gamma}}^j \bar{W}_{\dot{\beta}}^{\dot{\gamma}} (\tilde{\sigma}^b)^{\dot{\beta}\alpha} \lambda_{\alpha j}^I - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{F}_I (\bar{\psi}_m^i \tilde{\sigma}^{mn} \bar{\psi}_n^j) \mathbf{M}_{ij}^I \\
- \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{F}_{IJ} (\bar{\psi}_m^i \tilde{\sigma}^{mn} \bar{\psi}_n^j) (\lambda_i^I \lambda_j^J) + \mathcal{F}_I (\bar{\psi}_m \bar{\psi}_n) (\sigma^{mn})^{\alpha\beta} \mathbf{F}_{\alpha\beta}^I \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}_I \bar{\phi}^I (\bar{\psi}_m \bar{\psi}_n) (\sigma^{mn})^{\alpha\beta} W_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{1}{8} \mathcal{F}_{IJ} (\bar{\psi}_m \bar{\psi}_n) (\lambda^{Ik} \sigma^{mn} \lambda_k^J) \\
- \mathcal{F} (\bar{\psi}_m \bar{\psi}_n) (\tilde{\sigma}^{mn})^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \bar{W}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} + \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{F}_I \varepsilon^{mnpq} (\bar{\psi}_m \bar{\psi}_n) (\bar{\psi}_p^i \tilde{\sigma}_q \lambda_i^I) \\
- \frac{i}{4} \mathcal{F} \varepsilon^{mnpq} (\bar{\psi}_m \bar{\psi}_n) (\bar{\psi}_p \bar{\psi}_q) \\
\left. + \frac{i}{2} \mathcal{F}_I F^I + \frac{i}{2} \mathcal{F}_{IJ} (\lambda^{j, I} \chi_j^J) + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}_I (\bar{\psi}_m^j \tilde{\sigma}^m \chi_j^I) \right], \tag{4.12}
\end{aligned}$$

where we have introduced the following complex triplet of scalar fields

$$\mathbf{M}_{ij}^I = \mathbf{X}_{ij}^I + iG_{ij}^I, \quad \bar{\mathbf{M}}_{ij}^I = \mathbf{X}_{ij}^I - iG_{ij}^I. \tag{4.13}$$

Note that the effect of the deformation is simply a shift by an imaginary unit times a linear multiplet and that the final line in (4.12) is comprised of terms that originated from the deformation. Otherwise, this action is equivalent to the chiral component action of the abelian vector multiplets without deformations, $\mathcal{L}_c = \mathcal{F}(W^I)$, as found in previous

literature, see, e.g., [49], up to making various fields appropriately bold through the shift by a linear multiplet. It is useful to use the following properties in components in the action (4.12)

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_b \bar{\lambda}_j^{I\dot{\alpha}} &= \mathcal{D}_b \bar{\lambda}_j^{I\dot{\alpha}} - 2\bar{\phi}_{b\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\phi}^I + \frac{1}{4} \bar{\psi}_a^{\dot{\alpha}k} \bar{\mathbf{M}}_{jk}^I + \bar{\psi}_{a\dot{\gamma}j} (2\mathbf{F}^{I\dot{\gamma}\dot{\alpha}} + W^{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\alpha}} \phi^I) \\ &\quad - i\psi_{a\gamma j} \left(\mathcal{D}^{\dot{\alpha}\gamma} \bar{\phi}^I + \frac{1}{2} (\sigma^c)^{\dot{\alpha}\gamma} (\bar{\psi}_{ck} \bar{\lambda}^{Ik}) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (4.14a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \square \bar{\phi}^I &= \mathcal{D}^a \mathcal{D}_a \bar{\phi}^I + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}_b (\bar{\psi}_k^b \bar{\lambda}^{Ik}) + \frac{i}{2} \left(\phi_m^j \sigma^m \bar{\lambda}_j^I \right) + \frac{i}{4} (\psi_{mj} \sigma^m)_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{W}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\beta}}^{Ij} \\ &\quad - \frac{3i}{4} (\psi_{mj} \sigma^m \bar{\Sigma}^j) \bar{\phi}^I - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\psi}_a^j \nabla^a \bar{\lambda}_j^I - 2\mathfrak{f}_a^a \bar{\phi}^I. \end{aligned} \quad (4.14b)$$

As a final note, we underline that after covariant vector derivatives are degauged as seen above, due to the component gauge fixing conditions (2.65), the bold, “deformed” fields of this vector multiplet may be thought of as equal to their non-bold, “non-deformed” counterparts in components with one exception being

$$\mathbf{F}_{ab}^I = F_{ab}^I + B_{ab}^I, \quad (4.15)$$

though one should keep in mind that the triplet of scalar auxiliary fields receives an imaginary shift.

Before we proceed, it is useful to make a comment on the effect that the deformation has on the theory’s scalar potential. A relevant term is given by $\frac{1}{32} \mathcal{F}_{IJ} \mathbf{M}^{Ij} \mathbf{M}_{ij}^J + \text{c.c.}$ from (4.12). The quadratic term in X_{ij}^I is the one that, if the auxiliary fields acquire a vev (typically through an electric gauging by a standard FI term), could ubiquitously lead to a contribution to the vacuum energy. Now, the effect of the “magnetic” deformation is similar, but leads to different signs due to the imaginary unit. the difference is that the contribution is already in the Lagrangian without having to integrate out any auxiliary field. Depending on the structure of the model and its holomorphic prepotential, potentially the deformation can lead to Minkowski, anti de Sitter (AdS) or even de Sitter (dS) vacua.

4.3 Standard BF action/electric FI term

Let us now consider the supersymmetric BF action [49, 75]

$$S_{\text{standard FI}} = -2i \int d^8 z \mathcal{E} \Psi_I W^I + \text{c.c.} = \int d^{12} z E G_I^{ij} V_{ij}^I, \quad (4.16)$$

where

$$G_I^{ij} := -\frac{i}{2} (\nabla^{ij} \Psi_I - \bar{\nabla}^{ij} \bar{\Psi}_I) , \quad W^I := \frac{1}{4} \bar{\Delta} \nabla^{ij} V_{ij}^I . \quad (4.17)$$

This is defined as a locally superconformal completion of a BF term and emerges as an appropriate product of a linear and a (undeformed) vector multiplet. Here we do not specify whether the linear multiplets, nor vector multiplets are composite. In all cases the previous action proves to be locally superconformal invariant.

The component action for $S_{\text{standard FI}}$ can be obtained by using eq. (4.4) in the first definition in (4.16). The result is

$$S_{\text{standard FI}} = \int d^4x e \left[F_I \phi^I + \chi_{iI}^\alpha \lambda_\alpha^{iI} + \frac{1}{8} G_I^{ij} X_{ij}^I - \epsilon^{mnpq} b_{mnI} f_{pq}^I \right. \\ \left. - \frac{i}{2} \bar{\psi}_{d\dot{\delta}}^l (\tilde{\sigma}^d)^{\dot{\delta}\alpha} [2\chi_{\alpha l I} \phi^I + G_{lqI} \lambda_\alpha^{qI}] + \bar{\psi}_{c\dot{\gamma}}^k \bar{\psi}_{d\dot{\delta}}^l (\tilde{\sigma}^{cd})^{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\delta}} G_{klI} \phi^I \right] + \text{c.c.} , \quad (4.18a)$$

or equivalently

$$S_{\text{standard FI}} = \int d^4x e \left[F_I \phi^I + \chi_{iI}^\alpha \lambda_\alpha^{iI} + \frac{1}{8} G_I^{ij} X_{ij}^I + \frac{2}{3} \epsilon^{mnpq} h_{mnpI} v_q^I \right. \\ \left. - \frac{i}{2} \bar{\psi}_{d\dot{\delta}}^l (\tilde{\sigma}^d)^{\dot{\delta}\alpha} [2\chi_{\alpha l I} \phi^I + G_{lqI} \lambda_\alpha^{qI}] + \bar{\psi}_{c\dot{\gamma}}^k \bar{\psi}_{d\dot{\delta}}^l (\tilde{\sigma}^{cd})^{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\delta}} G_{klI} \phi^I \right] + \text{c.c.} . \quad (4.18b)$$

Notably, and consistently, the previous action is invariant under the defining shift symmetry of the linear multiplet prepotentials by vector multiplets,

$$\tilde{\delta} \Psi_I = \tilde{W}_I . \quad (4.19)$$

This is manifestly an invariance, assuming that $\tilde{\delta} W^I = 0$ and

$$\bar{\nabla}_i^\alpha \tilde{W}_I = 0 , \quad \nabla^{ij} \tilde{W}_I = \bar{\nabla}^{ij} \bar{\tilde{W}}_I . \quad (4.20)$$

Here we have used the symbol \tilde{W}_I to distinguish the closed, super two-form field strength vector multiplet gauge parameter from the physical vector multiplet W^I . The invariance can be trivially seen when looking at the second equation in (4.16) and by noticing that G_I^{ij} is identically zero if Ψ_I is replaced with the vector multiplet, \tilde{W}_I , in (4.17). Moreover, the action (4.16) is also invariant under the following gauge transformations of the vector

multiplets prepotentials

$$\delta_\Lambda V_{ij}^I = \nabla_k^\alpha \Lambda_\alpha^{Ijk} + \bar{\nabla}_{\dot{\alpha}k} \bar{\Lambda}^{I\dot{\alpha}ijk} , \quad \Lambda_\alpha^{Ijk} = \Lambda_\alpha^{I(ijk)} , \quad \bar{\Lambda}^{I\dot{\alpha}}_{ijk} = (\Lambda^{I\alpha ijk})^* , \quad (4.21)$$

for a set of complex gauge parameter superfields Λ_α^{Ijk} being arbitrary up to the algebraic and reality conditions stated above. This transformation leaves the field strengths W^I in (4.17) invariant and, after superspace integration by parts and using $\nabla_\alpha^{(i} G_I^{jk)} = 0$, $\bar{\nabla}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{(i} G_I^{jk)} = 0$, one can directly show the invariance of the second form of (4.16). The invariances under $\tilde{\delta}$ and δ_Λ manifest themselves in the component action (4.18) by the fact that the only term transforming would be $\epsilon^{mnpq} b_{mnI} f_{pq}^I$, equivalent to $\epsilon^{mnpq} h_{mnpI} v_q^I$, which transform as total derivatives under the $\tilde{\delta}$ and δ_Λ variations.

We did stress that in the global case, it is possible to simultaneously turn on an electric and a magnetic FI term preserving (and deforming) supersymmetry off shell [5, 8, 9]. This is a fundamental ingredient in engineering global partial supersymmetry breaking by the use of vector multiplets. It is natural to ask whether the same is possible in the local off-shell superconformal setting that we have described above. In contrast to the global case, due to the gauge symmetries of the linear multiplets involved in the two types of deformations, in the local case, it does not seem possible to have the two FI-type deformations turned on at the same time. Let us comment more on this.

Suppose that we consider magnetically deformed vector multiplets

$$\mathbf{W}^I = W^I + \Psi^I , \quad \nabla^{ij} \mathbf{W}^I - \bar{\nabla}^{ij} \bar{\mathbf{W}}^I = 2i \mathcal{G}^{Iij} , \quad (4.22)$$

which possess the gauge transformation

$$\hat{\delta} \mathbf{W}^I = 0 , \quad \hat{\delta} \Psi^I = \hat{W}^I , \quad \hat{\delta} W^I = -\hat{W}^I , \quad (4.23)$$

for a vector multiplet field strength \hat{W}^I satisfying

$$\bar{\nabla}_{\dot{i}} \hat{W}^I = 0 , \quad \nabla^{ij} \hat{W}^I = \bar{\nabla}^{ij} \bar{\hat{W}}^I . \quad (4.24)$$

We do use $\hat{\delta}$ to distinguish from $\tilde{\delta}$ and also to distinguish \hat{W}^I from \tilde{W}^I and W^I . Assuming Ψ^I and Ψ_I are unrelated, the possible candidates for an electric FI-type deformation would be

$$-2i \int d^8 z \mathcal{E} \Psi_I W^I + \text{c.c.} = \int d^{12} z E G_I^{ij} V_{ij}^I , \quad W^I := \frac{1}{4} \bar{\Delta} \nabla^{ij} V_{ij}^I , \quad (4.25)$$

which, is invariant under the $\tilde{\delta} \Psi_I = \tilde{W}_I$ transformation, but, with $\hat{\delta} \Psi_I = 0$, it is not

invariant under $\hat{\delta}$ transformations, and

$$-2i \int d^8z \mathcal{E} \Psi_I \mathbf{W}^I + \text{c.c.} , \quad (4.26)$$

which, is invariant under the $\hat{\delta}$ transformation but not $\tilde{\delta}$. Note that the previous no-go argument holds also in cases where the electric and magnetic deformations are defined in terms of the same Ψ building block. This is for instance the case of the hyper-dilaton Weyl composite linear multiplet $\mathcal{G}_{ij}{}^{\underline{ij}}$ that leads to $\mathcal{G}_{\xi I}{}^{ij} = \xi_I^{\underline{ij}} \mathcal{G}^{ij}{}_{\underline{ij}}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{\zeta}{}^{Iij} = \zeta_{\underline{ij}}^I \mathcal{G}^{ij}{}_{\underline{ij}}$. In this case, assuming the existence of a potential $\Psi^{\underline{ij}}$ for $\mathcal{G}^{ij}{}_{\underline{ij}}$, the $\tilde{\delta}$ and $\hat{\delta}$ transformations would coincide with a single one generated by $\underline{\delta}\Psi^{\underline{ij}} = \underline{W}^{\underline{ij}}$ for a triplet of vector multiplets with field strengths $\underline{W}^{\underline{ij}}$. The reader can check that by choosing $\Psi_{\xi I} := \xi_I^{\underline{ij}} \Psi_{ij}$ and $\Psi_{\zeta}^I := \zeta_{\underline{ij}}^I \Psi^{\underline{ij}}$, together with $\mathbf{W}^I = W^I + \Psi_{\zeta}^I$, both (4.25) and (4.26) are in general not invariant under $\underline{\delta}$ transformations. Given the discussion above, in this paper, we will consider the existence of off-shell “electric” and “magnetic” deformations as mutually exclusive. Despite this difference compared to the off-shell global case, we will see that, also due to the presence of the compensating vector multiplet in supergravity, there is still enough freedom to obtain an off-shell model exhibiting local partial supersymmetry breaking.

5 Deformed $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity in a hyper-dilaton Weyl multiplet background

The action for a deformed abelian vector multiplet in a hyper-dilaton Weyl multiplet background can be derived by substituting the expressions (2.75) and (2.79) into (4.12). Because the special conformal \mathfrak{f}_{mc} and S -supersymmetry $(\phi_{m\alpha}^i, \bar{\phi}_{m\dot{\alpha}}^{\dot{\alpha}})$ connections depend on D and $(\Sigma^{\alpha i}, \bar{\Sigma}_{\dot{\alpha} i})$ and because the $SU(2)_R$ connection $\phi_m{}^{ij}$ is composite in the hyper-dilaton Weyl background, we degauge the derivative ∇_a to \mathbf{D}_a as defined in eq. (2.78). Also, note that the linear multiplet fields are composite of hypermultiplet fields, eq. (2.77) and $G_{\zeta}{}^{ijI} = \zeta_{\underline{ij}}^I G^{ij}{}_{\underline{ij}}$, $\chi_{\alpha i} = \zeta_{\underline{ij}}^I \chi_{\alpha i}{}^{\underline{ij}}$, $\bar{\chi}^{\dot{\alpha} i I} = \zeta_{\underline{ij}}^I \bar{\chi}^{\dot{\alpha} i}{}_{\underline{ij}}$, and $F^I = \zeta_{\underline{ij}}^I F^{\underline{ij}}$. With this in mind, the bosonic part of the action follows:⁹

$$\mathcal{L}_{c,\text{bosons}} = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}_I \bar{\mathbf{W}}^I R + \frac{1}{2} i \mathcal{F}_I F_{\zeta}^I + \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{F} W_{ab} W^{ab} - \frac{1}{8} i \mathcal{F} \epsilon_{abcd} W^{ab} W^{cd} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}_I W_{ab} \mathbf{F}^{abI}$$

⁹In the discussion in this section, we should use ϕ^I rather than \mathbf{W}^I since we are projecting to components several supersymmetric invariants. However, since we will obtain covariant superfields equations of motions, we continue to use \mathbf{W}^I with the hope that it will be clear from the context whether we denote the superfield or its lowest component field.

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{4} i \mathcal{F}_I \epsilon_{abcd} W^{ab} \mathbf{F}^{cdI} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}_{IJ} \mathbf{F}_{ab}{}^I \mathbf{F}^{abJ} - \frac{1}{4} i \mathcal{F}_{IJ} \epsilon_{abcd} \mathbf{F}^{abI} \mathbf{F}^{cdJ} - \frac{1}{32} \mathcal{F}_{IJ} G_{\zeta ij}^I G_{\zeta}^{ijJ} \\
& + \frac{1}{32} \mathcal{F}_{IJ} \mathbf{X}_{ij}{}^I \mathbf{X}^{ijJ} + \mathcal{F}_I \mathbf{D}_a \mathbf{D}^a \bar{\mathbf{W}}^I - 4 \mathcal{F}_I \bar{\mathbf{W}}^I A_a A^a - \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{F}_I W_{ab} W^{ab} \mathbf{W}^I \\
& + \frac{1}{8} i \mathcal{F}_I \epsilon_{abcd} W^{ab} W^{cd} \mathbf{W}^I - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}_{IJ} W_{ab} \bar{\mathbf{W}}^I \mathbf{F}^{abJ} - \frac{1}{4} i \mathcal{F}_{IJ} \epsilon_{abcd} W^{ab} \bar{\mathbf{W}}^I \mathbf{F}^{cdJ} \\
& + \frac{1}{16} i \mathcal{F}_{JI} \mathbf{X}_{ij}{}^J G_{\zeta}^{ijI} - \frac{1}{8} \mathcal{F}_{IJ} W_{ab} W^{ab} \bar{\mathbf{W}}^I \bar{\mathbf{W}}^J - \frac{1}{16} i \mathcal{F}_{IJ} \epsilon_{abcd} W^{ab} W^{cd} \bar{\mathbf{W}}^I \bar{\mathbf{W}}^J \\
& - 4i \mathcal{F}_I A_a \mathbf{D}^a \bar{\mathbf{W}}^I - 2i \mathcal{F}_I \bar{\mathbf{W}}^I \mathbf{D}_a A^a - 64 \mathcal{F}_I \bar{\mathbf{W}}^I q^{-4} H_{a\bar{i}\bar{j}} H^{a\bar{i}\bar{j}} \\
& + \mathcal{F}_I \bar{\mathbf{W}}^I q^{-2} \left(2q_{\bar{i}\bar{j}} \mathbf{D}_a \mathbf{D}^a q^{i\bar{i}} + \mathbf{D}_a q^{i\bar{i}} \mathbf{D}^a q_{\bar{i}\bar{j}} - 2q^{-2} q_{\bar{i}\bar{j}} q_{j\bar{j}} \mathbf{D}_a q^{i\bar{j}} \mathbf{D}^a q^{j\bar{i}} \right) . \tag{5.1}
\end{aligned}$$

Note that implicit fermions exist here and can be seen by converting $H^{a\bar{i}\bar{j}}$, F^{ab} , and \mathbf{F}^{ab} to $\tilde{h}^{a\bar{i}\bar{j}}$, f^{ab} , and \mathbf{f}^{ab} , respectively, by eqs. (2.63), (2.64), and (2.40). This notably includes the coupling of $\tilde{h}^{a\bar{i}\bar{j}}$ to two gravitini. Otherwise, the fermionic counterpart of the action is given in Section II of the supplementary file. The component action for $S_{\text{standard FI}}$ in the hyper-dilaton Weyl background will remain the same as in the standard Weyl multiplet background (4.18), as it does not depend on the composite fields D , $(\Sigma^{\alpha i}, \bar{\Sigma}_{\dot{\alpha} i})$, and $\phi_m{}^{ij}$. Keep also in mind that some of the fields in the previous bosonic Lagrangian are composite and include fermions. For example, F_{ζ}^I in a hyper-dilaton Weyl background is purely quadratic in fermions while the \mathbf{D}_a derivative is defined in terms of $\omega_m{}^{cd}$ which contains the torsion quadratic in gravitini.

5.1 Equations of motion

The goal of this section is to obtain superconformal primary equations of motion that describe gauged $\mathcal{N} = 2$ deformed supergravity based on a hyper-dilaton Weyl multiplet and defined by the action

$$\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}_c + \text{c.c.} + \mathcal{S}_{\text{standard FI}} . \tag{5.2}$$

In all the expressions in this section, we will formally allow for arbitrary ‘‘electric’’ ($\mathcal{G}_{\xi I}^{ij}$) and ‘‘magnetic’’ ($\mathcal{G}_{\zeta ij}^I$) deformations but, as discussed before, the reader should keep in mind that we consider them to be mutually exclusive. Given a fixed value of the index I , we allow for either of the two to be turned on, but not both at the same time.

We obtain the equations of motion by the variation of the action (5.2) in components with respect to the auxiliary fields, i.e., the highest dimension independent fields, of each multiplet. The resulting equations of motion then describe the primary fields, i.e., the bottom components, of the multiplets of the equations of motion that arise from the

variation of the full superfields. It is then straightforward to reinterpret them as the primary superfields of the equations of motion. See [94, 96] for a recent analysis in a five-dimensional setting.

In components, the EOM for the vector multiplet is obtained by varying the action with respect to the auxiliary field \mathbf{X}^{ijI} . The \mathbf{X}^{ijI} -dependent terms in the action are

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_X = & \frac{1}{16} \left(\mathcal{F}_{IJK} \lambda_i^{\alpha I} \lambda_{\alpha j}^J - \bar{\mathcal{F}}_{IJK} \bar{\lambda}_i^{\dot{\alpha} I} \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha} j}^J \right) \mathbf{X}^{ijK} + \frac{1}{32} N_{IJ} \mathbf{X}^I \mathbf{X}^{ijJ} \\ & + \frac{1}{16} i \left(\mathcal{F}_{IJ} - \bar{\mathcal{F}}_{IJ} \right) \mathbf{X}_{ij}^I G_{\zeta}^{ijJ} + \frac{1}{4} G_{\xi I}^{ij} \mathbf{X}_{ij}^I, \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

where G_{ζ}^{ijI} and $G_{\xi I}^{ij}$ are the magnetic and electric deformations, respectively. Thus the equations of motion follow (one for each selection of I):

$$0 = \left(\mathcal{F}_{IJK} \lambda_i^{\alpha J} \lambda_{\alpha j}^K + \bar{\mathcal{F}}_{IJK} \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha} i}^J \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha} j}^{K} \right) + N_{IJ} \mathbf{X}_{ij}^J + i \left(\mathcal{F}_{IJ} - \bar{\mathcal{F}}_{IJ} \right) G_{\zeta ij}^J + 4G_{\xi ij I}, \quad (5.4)$$

where we have defined the special Kähler metric

$$N_{IJ} = \mathcal{F}_{IJ} + \bar{\mathcal{F}}_{IJ}. \quad (5.5)$$

Next, we find the Euler-Lagrange equations of motion for the auxiliary fields $W_{\alpha\beta}$ and $\bar{W}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}$. It is worth pointing out that in superspace the equations of motion derived by varying prepotentials are manifestly covariant. Hence, one expects the same to be true once the superspace results are reduced to component fields. However, in the component approach of finding the EOMs, the component action computed from eq. (4.4) includes hundreds of terms when fermions are considered, and it is not manifestly covariant due to the presence of naked gravitini. Although the action lacks manifest covariance, it has recently been explicitly demonstrated in components that for any supergravity theory, there exist covariant equations of motion that are equivalent to the regular field equations [149, 150]. These covariant equations are obtained by covariantising the regular field equations, resulting in a multiplet of field equations [149, 150].

To find the covariant equations of motion for $W_{\alpha\beta}$, $\bar{W}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}$, we can directly use the above action as degauging is already completed. Collecting all terms up to all orders in fermions with $W_{\alpha\beta}$ and $\bar{W}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}$, we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{W_{\alpha\lambda}} = -2\mathcal{F}_{IJ} W_{\alpha\lambda} \bar{\mathbf{W}}^I \mathbf{F}^{\alpha\lambda J} - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}_{IJ} W_{\alpha\lambda} W^{\alpha\lambda} \bar{\mathbf{W}}^I \bar{\mathbf{W}}^J + \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{F}_{IJK} \epsilon_{ij} W_{\alpha\lambda} \bar{\mathbf{W}}^I \lambda^{i\alpha J} \lambda^{j\lambda K}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \mathcal{F}_{IJ} W_{\alpha\lambda} \bar{\mathbf{W}}^I q_{i\bar{i}} \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{i\alpha J} \rho^{i\lambda} q^{-2} + \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{F}_I \epsilon_{i\bar{j}} W_{\alpha\lambda} \bar{\mathbf{W}}^I \rho^{i\alpha} \rho^{j\lambda} q^{-2} \\
& + \bar{\mathcal{F}}_I W_{\alpha\lambda} \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\alpha i I} q_{i\bar{i}} \rho^{\lambda i} q^{-2} - 2 \bar{\mathcal{F}}_I W_{\alpha\lambda} \mathbf{F}^{\alpha\lambda I} - \bar{\mathcal{F}} W_{\alpha\lambda} W^{\alpha\lambda} + \text{c.c.} .
\end{aligned}$$

The Euler-Lagrange equations of motion for the auxiliary fields $W_{\alpha\beta}$, $\bar{W}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}$ follow

$$\begin{aligned}
N_{IJ} W^{\alpha\lambda} \bar{\mathbf{W}}^I \bar{\mathbf{W}}^J &= -2 N_{IJ} \bar{\mathbf{W}}^I \mathbf{F}^{\alpha\lambda J} + \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{F}_{IJK} \epsilon_{ij} \bar{\mathbf{W}}^I \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{iJ(\alpha} \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{\lambda)jK} \\
&+ (\bar{\mathcal{F}}_{IJ} - \mathcal{F}_{IJ}) \bar{\mathbf{W}}^I q_{i\bar{i}} \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{iJ(\alpha} \rho^{\lambda)i} q^{-2} + \frac{1}{4} \mathcal{F}_I \epsilon_{i\bar{j}} \bar{\mathbf{W}}^I \rho^{i(\alpha} \rho^{\lambda)j} q^{-2} , \quad (5.6)
\end{aligned}$$

together with its complex conjugate. Note that the EOM for $W_{\alpha\beta}$ and $\bar{W}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}$ are manifestly covariant and do not depend on the gravitini as expected.

Next, to prove that the equation of motion for A_m is covariant, we need to perform integration by parts, which makes it essential to degauge the covariant derivative \mathbf{D}_a with respect to M_{ab} in the above action and insert the composite expression for the spin connection ω_m^{ab} in terms of $\omega(e)_m^{ab}$ together with bilinear terms in gravitini, eq. (2.18). Once these steps are carried out, the terms involving $A_a = e_a^m A_m$ in the Lagrangian take the following form:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{A_a} &= -i \mathcal{F}_{IJ} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \epsilon_{ij} \psi_{\alpha}^{ai} \bar{\mathbf{W}}^I \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\beta}^{jJ} A_a - \mathcal{F}_{IJ} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \epsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \epsilon_{ij} (\sigma_a)_{\alpha}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\mathbf{W}}^I \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\beta}^{iJ} q^{j\bar{i}} \bar{\rho}_{\dot{i}}^{\dot{\beta}} A^a q^{-2} \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}_{IJ} \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \epsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} (\sigma_a)_{\alpha}^{\dot{\alpha}} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\beta}^{iI} \bar{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}_{\dot{i}}^{\dot{\beta}J} A^a + i \mathcal{F}_I \epsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \epsilon^{ij} \bar{\psi}^{a\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}_{\dot{j}}^{\dot{\beta}I} A_a \\
&+ \mathcal{F}_I \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \epsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \epsilon_{i\bar{j}} (\sigma_a)_{\alpha}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}_{\dot{i}}^{\dot{\beta}I} q^{i\bar{i}} \rho_{\bar{j}}^j A^a q^{-2} + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}_I \epsilon^{\alpha\beta} \epsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} (\sigma_a)_{\alpha}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\mathbf{W}}^I \rho_{\beta}^i \bar{\rho}_{\dot{i}}^{\dot{\beta}} A^a q^{-2} \\
&- 4i \mathcal{F}_I A^a e_a^m \partial_m \bar{\mathbf{W}}^I - 4 \mathcal{F}_I \bar{\mathbf{W}}^I A^a A_a - 2i \mathcal{F}_I \bar{\mathbf{W}}^I e_a^m \partial_m A^a + \text{c.c.} . \quad (5.7)
\end{aligned}$$

The Euler-Lagrange equation of the auxiliary fields A^a give:

$$\begin{aligned}
8N A_a &= -i \mathcal{F}_{IJ} \psi_{a\alpha}^i \bar{\mathbf{W}}^I \boldsymbol{\lambda}_i^{\alpha J} - \mathcal{F}_{IJ} (\sigma_a)_{\alpha}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\mathbf{W}}^I \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{i\alpha J} q_{i\bar{i}} \bar{\rho}_{\dot{i}\dot{\alpha}} q^{-2} + \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}_{IJ} (\sigma_a)_{\alpha}^{\dot{\alpha}} \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{i\alpha I} \bar{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}_{\dot{i}\dot{\alpha}}^J \\
&+ i \mathcal{F}_I \bar{\psi}_{a\dot{\alpha}}^i \bar{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}_{\dot{\alpha}}^{iI} + \mathcal{F}_I (\sigma_a)_{\alpha}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\boldsymbol{\lambda}}_{\dot{i}\dot{\alpha}}^I q^{i\bar{i}} \rho_{\bar{i}}^{\alpha} q^{-2} \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}_I (\sigma_a)_{\alpha}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\mathbf{W}}^I \rho^{i\alpha} \bar{\rho}_{\dot{i}\dot{\alpha}} q^{-2} - 2i \mathcal{F}_{IJ} \mathbf{W}^J \mathcal{D}'_a \bar{\mathbf{W}}^I + 2i \mathcal{F}_{IJ} \bar{\mathbf{W}}^I \mathcal{D}'_a \mathbf{W}^J + \text{c.c.} , \quad (5.8)
\end{aligned}$$

where we have defined

$$N = N_{IJ} \mathbf{W}^I \bar{\mathbf{W}}^J , \quad (5.9)$$

and the covariant derivative \mathcal{D}'_a contains only the Lorentz connection (without gravitini

torsion) and the inverse vielbein, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{D}'_a = e_a{}^m \left(\partial_m - \frac{1}{2} \omega_m{}^{cd}(e) M_{cd} \right). \quad (5.10)$$

Finally, we uplift the derivative to the superconformal covariant derivative. This will absorb leftover gravitini terms to get the covariant equation of motion for A^a

$$\begin{aligned} & N_{IJ}(\sigma_a)_{\alpha}{}^{\dot{\alpha}} \left(\overline{\mathbf{W}}^I \lambda_i^{\alpha J} \overline{\rho}_{i\dot{\alpha}} + \mathbf{W}^I \overline{\lambda}_{i\dot{\alpha}}^J \rho_i^{\alpha} \right) q^{i\dot{i}} q^{-2} + \frac{1}{2} N_{IJ}(\sigma_a)_{\alpha}{}^{\dot{\alpha}} \lambda^{i\alpha I} \overline{\lambda}_{i\dot{\alpha}}^J \\ & + \frac{1}{2} N(\sigma_a)_{\alpha}{}^{\dot{\alpha}} \rho^{i\alpha} \overline{\rho}_{i\dot{\alpha}} q^{-2} - 2i N_{IJ} \mathbf{W}^I \nabla^a \overline{\mathbf{W}}^J + 2i N_{IJ} \overline{\mathbf{W}}^I \nabla_a \mathbf{W}^J = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

With these covariant equations of motion computed, we are in a position to integrate out the auxiliary fields prerequisite to going on shell. This is explored in the following section in the context of a $SU(1,1)/U(1)$ model leading to partial supersymmetry breaking. We leave for future work a general analysis of the on-shell action for the model described by (5.2) in a hyper-dilaton Weyl setup.

6 Off-shell model with on-shell partial-susy breaking

In this section, we move to present a new off-shell model for partial supersymmetry breaking engineered by using off-shell deformed vector multiples in a hyper-dilaton Weyl background. Before presenting the details of the construction, it is worth stressing some of the key features of local supersymmetry breaking that guide our analysis.

For simplicity, we seek for a model of local partial supersymmetry breaking on a Minkowski vacuum. Hence, once auxiliary fields are integrated out, we want an on-shell theory possessing a Minkowski solution and no (effective) cosmological constant. Partial supersymmetry breaking emerges once the on-shell transformations of the fermions, which we collectively denote here as f , all possess a shift symmetric term schematically of the form

$$\delta_{\xi} f = \mathbf{M} \xi + \dots. \quad (6.1)$$

Here $\xi = (\xi_i^{\alpha}, \overline{\xi}_{\dot{\alpha}}^i)$ refers to the supersymmetry transformation parameters and \mathbf{M} is a (field dependent) matrix. If \mathbf{M} is degenerate, $\det \mathbf{M} = 0$, but non-trivial, then part of local supersymmetry is spontaneously broken. As a consequence of this fact, the fermionic mass terms are all parametrised in terms of \mathbf{M} , hence with part of the spectrum remaining

massless. For instance, in the case of local $\mathcal{N} = 2 \rightarrow \mathcal{N} = 1$ susy breaking, one gravitino acquires a mass-like term while one remains massless.

As reviewed in the introduction, finding models based on vector multiples, potentially coupled to appropriate hypermultiplets, that possess local partial supersymmetry breaking is a non-trivial task — see, for example, [2, 6, 7, 27–38]. Three of the features of our new construction given in this section for supergravity with partial supersymmetry breaking are: (i) our model is manifestly off shell, which, to the best of our knowledge, is a first explicit example; (ii) the spectrum of the on-shell theory, which includes a triplet of gauged two-forms, differs from previous examples described in the literature; (iii) though based on electric and magnetic deformations of vector multiples, our model is not based on a standard gauging procedure, and in fact the fermions, e.g. the gravitini, are not charged under any of the $U(1)$ symmetries of the vector multiples (a generic feature of working with an hyper-dilaton multiplet). Let us now move to the description of our construction to see these properties unfolding.

6.1 $SU(1, 1)/U(1)$ model

We consider two vector multiples, and the holomorphic prepotential

$$\mathcal{F} = c\phi\phi, \tag{6.2}$$

where ϕ is a compensator, ϕ is a deformed physical vector multiplet, and c is a real, nonzero constant which we decide to leave as a free normalisation parameter. This model is directly inspired by the well-known $SU(1,1)/U(1)$ special Kähler sigma model, which, in a different set up, is known to lead to partial supersymmetry breaking. In particular, as described in the introduction, (6.2) arises from the $SU(1,1)/U(1)$ special-Kähler sigma model after performing a duality transformation in the geometry used in [6, 7] ending up into a symplectic frame where a holomorphic prepotential exists and is given by (6.2).

As shown in [6, 7], within the context of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity in the standard Weyl multiplet background, the minimal matter content required for partial supersymmetry breaking includes a physical vector multiplet and a hypermultiplet. In this scenario, the vector multiplet parametrizes the $SU(1,1)/U(1)$ special Kähler manifold, while the physical hypermultiplet parametrizes the $SO(4,1)/SO(4)$ quaternionic manifold. This model was further generalized in [30] by coupling the standard Weyl multiplet to $n + 1$ vector multiplets and m hypermultiplets in a set-up that inherently has supersymmetry closing (partially) on shell.

Considering the minimal field content mentioned above, it is natural to argue that in a hyper-dilaton Weyl multiplet background, only a single physical vector multiplet plus a compensator, which would parametrize the special Kähler manifold $SU(1,1)/U(1)$, might be sufficient to achieve partial supersymmetry breaking. In fact, the hypermultiplet is already a part of the hyper-dilaton Weyl multiplet itself, though in an off-shell setting, and in a new type of matter content. We will see in this section that this intuition is correct.

Note that instead of using numbered indices for the vector multiplets, we employ a bold symbol to denote the physical “1” multiplet, while the unbold symbol represents the compensating “0” multiplet, which, after taking derivatives of the holomorphic prepotential and using eqs. (5.5) and (5.9), implies

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_0 &= c\phi, \quad \mathcal{F}_1 = c\phi, \quad \mathcal{F}_{00} = 0, \quad \mathcal{F}_{10} = \mathcal{F}_{01} = c, \quad \mathcal{F}_{11} = 0, \\ N_{00} &= 0, \quad N_{10} = N_{01} = 2c, \quad N_{11} = 0, \quad N = 2c(\phi\bar{\phi} + \phi\bar{\phi}). \end{aligned} \quad (6.3)$$

We also choose the electric and magnetic deformations to be $G_{\xi I}^{ij} = (\xi_{ij} q^{ii} q^{jj}, 0)$ and $G_{\zeta ij}^I = (0, \zeta_{ij} q_i^i q_j^j)$, respectively. This means that the compensator is “electrically deformed”, which generically induces a negative contribution to the vacuum energy, while the physical vector multiplet is “magnetically” deformed, which generically induces a positive contribution to the vacuum energy. By tuning appropriately ξ_{ij} and ζ_{ij} we will find zero vacuum energy and partial supersymmetry breaking.

For simplicity, we consider the case where $c \neq 0$ is real. The off-shell component action for the $SU(1,1)/U(1)$ model can be obtained by first substituting eq. (6.2) and its derivatives into the general, deformed off-shell action of eqs. (5.1) and the results given in the supplementary file (for bosons and fermions, respectively) along with their complex conjugates, and then adding the standard FI action of eq. (4.18). The bosonic part of the action takes the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{bosons}} &= \frac{N}{2}R - 2cF_{ab}\mathbf{F}^{ab} + \frac{1}{8}cX_{ij}\mathbf{X}^{ij} - 4NA_aA^a \\ &\quad - c(\bar{\phi}F^{ab} + \bar{\phi}\mathbf{F}^{ab})W_{ab} - \frac{1}{2}ic\epsilon_{abcd}(\bar{\phi}F^{ab} + \bar{\phi}\mathbf{F}^{ab})W^{cd} \\ &\quad - c(\phi F^{ab} + \phi\mathbf{F}^{ab})W_{ab} + \frac{1}{2}ic\epsilon_{abcd}(\phi F^{ab} + \phi\mathbf{F}^{ab})W^{cd} \\ &\quad + c\phi\mathbf{D}_a\mathbf{D}^a\bar{\phi} + c\bar{\phi}\mathbf{D}_a\mathbf{D}^a\phi + c\phi\mathbf{D}_a\mathbf{D}^a\bar{\phi} + c\bar{\phi}\mathbf{D}_a\mathbf{D}^a\phi \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}cW_{ab}W^{ab}(\bar{\phi}\bar{\phi} + \phi\phi) - \frac{1}{4}ic\epsilon_{abcd}W^{ab}W^{cd}(\bar{\phi}\bar{\phi} - \phi\phi) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -4ic(\phi - \bar{\phi})A_a\mathbf{D}^a\bar{\phi} - 4ic(\phi - \bar{\phi})A_a\mathbf{D}^a\phi \\
& -64Nq^{-4}H_{a\underline{ij}}H^{a\underline{ij}} + Nq^{-2}\mathbf{D}_a q_{\underline{ii}}\mathbf{D}^a q^{\underline{ii}} + 2Nq^{-2}q_{\underline{ij}}\mathbf{D}_a\mathbf{D}^a q^{\underline{ij}} \\
& -2Nq^{-4}q_{\underline{ii}}q_{\underline{jj}}\mathbf{D}_a q^{\underline{ij}}\mathbf{D}^a q^{\underline{ji}} \\
& + \frac{1}{4}\xi_{\underline{ij}}q_i^{\underline{i}}q_j^{\underline{j}}X^{ij} + \frac{4}{3}\xi_{\underline{ij}}\epsilon^{mnpq}h_{mnp}^{\underline{ij}}v_q .
\end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

Note that, once again, implicit fermions exist from the conversion of $H^{a\underline{ij}}$, F^{ab} , and \mathbf{F}^{ab} to $\tilde{h}^{a\underline{ij}}$, f^{ab} , and \mathbf{f}^{ab} , respectively, by eqs. (2.63), (2.64), and (2.40). Otherwise, the fermionic counterpart to this action can be found in Section III of the supplementary file. The Euler-Lagrange equations of motion for the vector multiplet auxiliary fields X^{ij} and \mathbf{X}^{ij} can be obtained by substituting (6.2) into the general equation of motion (5.4) and are given by the following two equations

$$X_{ij} = 0 , \tag{6.5a}$$

$$\mathbf{X}_{ij} = -\frac{2}{c}\xi_{\underline{ij}}q_i^{\underline{i}}q_j^{\underline{j}} . \tag{6.5b}$$

The second equation leads to the following expression for the shifted auxiliary field of eq. (4.13)

$$\mathbf{M}_{ij} := \left(-\frac{2}{c}\xi_{\underline{ij}} + i\zeta_{\underline{ij}} \right) q_i^{\underline{i}}q_j^{\underline{j}} . \tag{6.5c}$$

The Euler-Lagrange equations of motion for the remaining auxiliary fields $W_{\alpha\beta}$, $\bar{W}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}$, and A_a can once again be obtained by substituting (6.2) into the general equations of motion for (5.6), its complex conjugate, and (5.8), respectively. They are all given by the following:

$$4c\bar{\phi}\bar{\phi}W^{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{3}{2}c(\phi\bar{\phi} + \bar{\phi}\phi)q^{-2}\rho_{\underline{j}}^{(\alpha}\rho^{\beta)j} - 4c(\bar{\phi}\mathbf{F}^{\alpha\beta} + \bar{\phi}F^{\alpha\beta}) , \tag{6.6a}$$

$$4c\phi\phi\bar{W}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} = -\frac{3}{2}c(\phi\bar{\phi} + \bar{\phi}\phi)q^{-2}\bar{\rho}^{\dot{j}(\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\rho}_{\underline{j}}^{\dot{\beta})} - 4c(\phi\bar{\mathbf{F}}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} + \phi\bar{F}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}) , \tag{6.6b}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
8NA_a = & 4ic(\bar{\phi}\mathcal{D}'_a\phi + \bar{\phi}\mathcal{D}'_a\phi - \phi\mathcal{D}'_a\bar{\phi} - \phi\mathcal{D}'_a\bar{\phi}) \\
& -2ic\psi_{a\dot{\alpha}}^i(\bar{\phi}\lambda_i^\alpha + \bar{\phi}\lambda_i^\alpha) + 2ic\bar{\psi}_{a\dot{\alpha}}^i(\phi\bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha}}^i + \phi\bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha}}^i) \\
& + c(\sigma_a)_{\alpha}^{\dot{\alpha}}(\lambda^{i\alpha}\bar{\lambda}_{i\dot{\alpha}} + \lambda^{i\alpha}\bar{\lambda}_{i\dot{\alpha}}) + c(\sigma_a)_{\alpha}^{\dot{\alpha}}(\phi\bar{\phi} + \bar{\phi}\phi)\rho^{i\alpha}\bar{\rho}_{i\dot{\alpha}}q^{-2} \\
& + 2c(\sigma_a)_{\alpha}^{\dot{\alpha}}(\bar{\phi}\lambda^{i\alpha} + \bar{\phi}\lambda^{i\alpha})q_{\underline{ii}}\bar{\rho}_{\dot{\alpha}}^i q^{-2} \\
& + 2c(\sigma_a)_{\alpha}^{\dot{\alpha}}(\phi\bar{\lambda}_{i\dot{\alpha}} + \phi\bar{\lambda}_{i\dot{\alpha}})q^{\underline{ii}}\rho_i^\alpha q^{-2} .
\end{aligned} \tag{6.6c}$$

Note that from the action in eq. (6.4) we see that the scalar potential

$$S_{\text{potential}} = \int d^4x e \left[\frac{1}{16} c X^{ij} (\mathbf{M}_{ij} + \overline{\mathbf{M}}_{ij}) + \frac{1}{4} \xi_{ij} q_i^i q_j^j X^{ij} \right] = 0 , \quad (6.7)$$

is zero on the vacuum where $X^{ij} = 0$. Hence, we have zero cosmological constant. By analysing in the following subsection the supersymmetry variation of the fermions, we will see that the condition $\det \mathbf{M} = 0$, together with the assumption that the rank of the matrix \mathbf{M} is one, will ensure local partial supersymmetry breaking in Minkowski space-time, for any scalar fields configurations.

6.2 Gauge fixing and fermion shifts

In this section, we give the explicit expressions for the gauge fixing that lead to Poincaré supergravity. In particular, we will gauge fix all superconformal structure group transformations except local Q -supersymmetry and Lorentz. For the dilatations, we aim to have a standard kinetic term for gravity. Hence, we collect the terms with the scalar curvature and obtain the following gauge condition for dilatation

$$\mathbb{D}\text{-gauge:} \quad (\phi \bar{\phi} + \bar{\phi} \phi) = -\frac{1}{2c} . \quad (6.8a)$$

The S -gauge can be obtained by simply taking the Q -supersymmetry transformation of the \mathbb{D} -gauge

$$S\text{-gauge:} \quad \lambda_\alpha^i \bar{\phi} + \bar{\phi} \lambda_\alpha^i = 0 . \quad (6.8b)$$

With this choice, the \mathbb{D} -gauge is invariant under Q -supersymmetry. Next, the consistent gauge choice for the $U(1)_R$ symmetry [38] is

$$U(1)\text{-gauge:} \quad \phi = \bar{\phi} = y , \quad (6.8c)$$

which clearly imposes the compensating vector multiplet field to be real. A characterising feature of the hyper-dilaton Weyl multiplet is that it contains an $SU(2)_R$ compensator being the $q_{i\bar{i}}$ fields. We then impose

$$SU(2)\text{-gauge:} \quad q_{i\bar{i}} = \epsilon_{i\bar{i}} e^{-U} , \quad (6.8d)$$

which gauge fixes $SU(2)_R$. Lastly, we take the standard choice of gauge fixing condition

$$K\text{-gauge : } \quad b_m = 0 , \quad (6.8e)$$

to fix special conformal symmetry.

The transformation rules of the resulting Poincaré supergravity multiplet [127] are those that preserve the previous gauge conditions of eqs. (6.8). To preserve the gauge condition (6.8a) we need to impose $\lambda_{\mathbb{D}} \equiv 0$. Because Q -supersymmetry does not preserve the gauge, it is necessary to accompany these transformations with appropriate S -supersymmetry, $U(1)_R$, special conformal, and $SU(2)_R$ compensating transformations. To preserve (6.8b), by examining the transformations of eqs. (D.3) and (3.28), it is straightforward to show that any Q -supersymmetry transformation has to be accompanied by a compensating S -supersymmetry transformation with the following parameter

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_{\alpha}^i = & \frac{c}{2} \left(2(\sigma^{ab}\xi^i)_{\alpha} (F_{ab}\bar{\phi} + \bar{\phi}\mathbf{F}_{ab}) + 2(\sigma^{ab}\xi^i)_{\alpha} W_{ab}^+(\bar{\phi}\bar{\phi}) - \frac{1}{2}\xi_{\alpha j} (X^{ij}\bar{\phi} + \bar{\phi}\mathbf{M}^{ij}) \right. \\ & \left. + 2i(\sigma^a\xi^i)_{\alpha} (\nabla_a\phi\bar{\phi} + \bar{\phi}\nabla_a\phi) + \lambda_{\alpha}^i (\bar{\xi}^j\bar{\lambda}_j) + (\bar{\xi}^j\bar{\lambda}_j)\lambda_{\alpha}^i \right) . \end{aligned} \quad (6.9)$$

To preserve the gauge condition (6.8c), by examining the transformations of eqs. (D.3) and (3.28), it is straightforward to show that any Q -supersymmetry transformation has to be accompanied by a compensating $U(1)_R$ -symmetry transformation with parameter

$$\lambda_Y = -\frac{i}{4y} (\xi_i\lambda^i - \bar{\xi}^i\bar{\lambda}_i) . \quad (6.10)$$

A similar analysis shows that to preserve the gauge condition (6.8e) one needs to enforce non-trivial compensating special conformal K -transformations with a parameter $\lambda^a(\xi)$. However, because all the other supergravity fields are conformal primaries (though not necessarily superconformal primaries) that do not transform under special conformal boosts, in practice, we will never have to worry about inserting the compensating $\lambda^a(\xi)$ parameter (whose expression is quite involved) in any Poincaré supergravity transformations. The last gauge fixing condition that is not preserved is (6.8d). It is straightforward to check that we can consistently have $\delta q^{(ii)} = 0$ by implementing a compensating $SU(2)_R$ transformation with the following parameter

$$\lambda^{ij}(\xi) = -\frac{e^U}{2} \left[\xi^{(i}\rho^{j)} - \bar{\xi}^{(i}\bar{\rho}^{j)} \right] , \quad (6.11)$$

where $\rho^i = \delta_{\bar{i}}^i \rho^{\bar{i}}$ and $\bar{\rho}_i = \delta_i^{\bar{i}} \bar{\rho}_{\bar{i}}$.

The local super-Poincaré transformations of the fermionic fields after gauge fixing are given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta\lambda_\alpha^i &= 2(\sigma^{ab}\xi^i)_\alpha F_{ab} + (\sigma^{ab}\xi^i)_\alpha W_{ab}^+\bar{\phi} - \frac{1}{2}\xi_{\alpha j}X^{ij} + \frac{1}{2}\lambda^{ab}(\sigma_{ab}\lambda^i)_\alpha \\
&\quad + 2i(\sigma^a\bar{\xi}^i)_\alpha(\mathcal{D}'_a\phi + 2iA_a\phi - \frac{1}{2}\psi_{a_i}^\beta\lambda_\beta^i) + \frac{e^U}{2}(\xi^{(i}\rho^{j)} - \bar{\xi}^{(i}\bar{\rho}^{j)})\lambda_{\alpha j} - \frac{1}{4y}(\xi_k\lambda^k - \bar{\xi}^k\bar{\lambda}_k)\lambda_\alpha^i \\
&\quad + 2c\left[2(\sigma^{ab}\xi^i)_\alpha(F_{ab}\bar{\phi} + \bar{\phi}\mathbf{F}_{ab}) + 2(\sigma^{ab}\xi^i)_\alpha W_{ab}^+(\bar{\phi}\bar{\phi}) - \frac{1}{2}\xi_{\alpha j}(X^{ij}\bar{\phi} + \bar{\phi}\mathbf{M}^{ij})\right]\phi \\
&\quad + 2c\left[2i(\sigma^a\bar{\xi}^i)_\alpha\left((\mathcal{D}'_a\phi + 2iA_a\phi - \frac{1}{2}\psi_{a_i}^\beta\lambda_\beta^i)\bar{\phi} + \bar{\phi}(\mathcal{D}'_a\phi + 2iA_a\phi - \frac{1}{2}\psi_{a_i}^\beta\lambda_\beta^i)\right)\right]\phi \\
&\quad + 2c\left[\lambda_\alpha^i(\bar{\xi}^j\bar{\lambda}_j) + (\bar{\xi}^j\bar{\lambda}_j)\lambda_\alpha^i\right]\phi, \tag{6.12a}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta\psi_{m_i}^\alpha &= \left(2\partial_m\xi_i^\alpha + \omega_m{}^{ab}(\xi_i\sigma_{ab})^\alpha + 2\phi_{m_i}{}^j\xi_j^\alpha + 2iA_m\xi_i^\alpha + b_m\xi_i^\alpha\right) - \frac{i}{2}(\bar{\xi}_i\tilde{\sigma}_m\sigma^{cd})^\alpha W_{cd}^+ \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2}\lambda^{ab}(\psi_{m_i}\sigma_{ab})^\alpha - \frac{e^U}{2}(\xi_{(i}\rho_{j)} - \bar{\xi}_{(i}\bar{\rho}_{j)})\psi_{m}^{\alpha j} - \frac{1}{4y}(\xi_i\lambda^i - \bar{\xi}^i\bar{\lambda}_i)\psi_{m_i}^\alpha \\
&\quad - ic(\sigma_m)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\left[-2(\tilde{\sigma}^{ab}\bar{\xi}_i)^{\dot{\alpha}}(\phi\mathbf{F}_{ab} + F_{ab}\phi + W_{ab}^-\phi\phi) - \frac{1}{2}\bar{\xi}^{\dot{\alpha}j}(X_{ij}\phi + \phi\bar{\mathbf{M}}_{ij})\right. \\
&\quad \left.+ 2i(\tilde{\sigma}^a\xi_i)^{\dot{\alpha}}((\phi(\mathcal{D}'_a\bar{\phi} - 2iA_a\bar{\phi} - \frac{1}{2}\bar{\psi}_{a\dot{\beta}}^i\bar{\lambda}_i^{\dot{\beta}}) + (\mathcal{D}'_a\bar{\phi} - 2iA_a\bar{\phi} - \frac{1}{2}\bar{\psi}_{a\dot{\beta}}^i\bar{\lambda}_i^{\dot{\beta}})\phi)\right. \\
&\quad \left.+ (\xi_i\lambda^i)\bar{\lambda}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} + \bar{\lambda}_i^{\dot{\alpha}}(\xi_i\lambda^i)\right], \tag{6.12b}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta\rho_\alpha^i &= -4i(\sigma^a\bar{\xi}_k)_\alpha\nabla_a q^{ki} + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{ab}(\sigma^{ab}\rho^i)_\alpha + \frac{1}{4y}(\xi_i\lambda^i - \bar{\xi}^i\bar{\lambda}_i)\rho_\alpha^i \\
&\quad + 4c\left[2(\sigma^{ab}\xi^i)_\alpha(F_{ab}\bar{\phi} + \bar{\phi}\mathbf{F}_{ab}) + 2(\sigma^{ab}\xi^i)_\alpha W_{ab}^+(\bar{\phi}\bar{\phi}) - \frac{1}{2}\xi_{\alpha j}(X^{ij}\bar{\phi} + \bar{\phi}\mathbf{M}^{ij})\right. \\
&\quad \left.+ 2i(\sigma^a\bar{\xi}^i)_\alpha((\mathcal{D}'_a\phi + 2iA_a\phi - \frac{1}{2}\psi_{a_i}^\beta\lambda_\beta^i)\bar{\phi} + \bar{\phi}(\mathcal{D}'_a\phi + 2iA_a\phi - \frac{1}{2}\psi_{a_i}^\beta\lambda_\beta^i))\right. \\
&\quad \left.+ \lambda_\alpha^i(\bar{\xi}^j\bar{\lambda}_j) + (\bar{\xi}^j\bar{\lambda}_j)\lambda_\alpha^i\right]q_i^i, \tag{6.12c}
\end{aligned}$$

together with their complex conjugates. Note that we have only given the transformation of λ_α^i since the S -supersymmetry gauge condition (6.8b) implies that the other gaugino is not independent. In principle, we should substitute all the auxiliary fields equations of motion and gauge conditions, but we are mainly interested in the shift terms (where we ignore higher fermionic terms and tensorial structures, as, for example, F_{ab} , W_{ab} , etc.) as these are the ones to investigate the supersymmetry breaking pattern in the model. The resulting equations are

$$\delta\lambda_\alpha^i = -cy^2\mathbf{M}^{ij}\xi_{\alpha j} + \dots, \tag{6.13a}$$

$$\delta\psi_{m_i}^\alpha = \frac{cy\bar{i}}{2}(\sigma_m)^\alpha_{\bar{\alpha}}\bar{\mathbf{M}}_{ij}\bar{\xi}^{\bar{\alpha}j} + \dots , \quad (6.13b)$$

$$\delta\rho_\alpha^i = -2cyq^{i\bar{i}}\mathbf{M}_{ij}\xi_\alpha^j + \dots . \quad (6.13c)$$

We see immediately that all these terms are proportional to a single complex matrix, \mathbf{M}_{ij} . To achieve partial supersymmetry breaking and zero vacuum energy, we impose a vanishing determinant of this matrix, \mathbf{M}_{ij} . This implies the following expression is zero

$$\mathbf{M}_{ij}\mathbf{M}^{ij} = \frac{1}{c^2}\xi_{i\bar{j}}\xi^{\bar{i}j} - \frac{1}{4}\zeta_{i\bar{j}}\zeta^{\bar{i}j} - \frac{1}{c}i\xi_{i\bar{j}}\zeta^{\bar{i}j} = 0 , \quad (6.14)$$

thereby giving the two independent conditions on the magnetic and electric deformation parameters

$$\frac{4}{c^2}\xi_{i\bar{j}}\xi^{\bar{i}j} - \zeta_{i\bar{j}}\zeta^{\bar{i}j} = 0 , \quad (6.15a)$$

$$\xi_{i\bar{j}}\zeta^{\bar{i}j} = 0 . \quad (6.15b)$$

It follows that for generic solutions of the previous equations, $\det \mathbf{M} = 0$ while the rank of the matrix \mathbf{M} is one. This is irrespective of the values of the scalars in the model. In this case, we have one supersymmetry preserved and one broken on a Minkowski vacuum, as only one of the two supersymmetry transformations has a local shift term.

6.3 On-shell theory and fermionic mass matrix

The on-shell component action for the $SU(1,1)/U(1)$ model can be derived by substituting the equations of motion for all auxiliary fields as given in eqs. (6.5) and (6.6) into the off-shell action of eq. (6.4). This is followed by the imposition of gauge-fixing conditions as seen in eqs. (6.8) of the previous subsection. We give here some details of this process.

After imposing the $U(1)_R$ gauge condition, the \mathbb{D} -gauge simplifies to the following:

$$y(\bar{\phi} + \phi) = -\frac{1}{2c} . \quad (6.16)$$

This implies that the real part of ϕ is nonzero being a unique characteristic of this sigma model in the symplectic frame chosen. We should also then interpret this condition as requiring y to be a function of the real part of the physical vector multiplet field while its

imaginary part remains independent. That is,

$$y := y(\phi, \bar{\phi}) = -\frac{1}{2c(\phi + \bar{\phi})} = -\frac{1}{4c \operatorname{Re}\phi}. \quad (6.17)$$

One should therefore interpret y as in eq. (6.17) in all equations that follow. Next, one algebraically solve for $W_{\alpha\beta}$ and $\bar{W}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}$ in terms of the other independent fields by using (6.6a) and (6.6b). After imposing the $U(1)_R$ gauge condition, we will have the inverse vector multiplet field and its conjugate, ϕ^{-1} and $\bar{\phi}^{-1}$, in our action as a direct result of integrating out these auxiliary fields. Remember that eq. (6.16) requires the real part of ϕ to be nonzero, so their presence is not problematic. This condition both on the compensator and physical fields is a feature of the $SU(1,1)/U(1)$ target space sigma model in the symplectic frame that we have chosen which admits the holomorphic prepotential (6.2). It is also straightforward to obtain the algebraic expression for A_a in terms of the independent component fields by solving (6.6c) after imposing all the gauge fixings. Finally, also note that the S -gauge (6.8b) can be applied in the following way

$$\lambda_\alpha^i = -y^{-1}\bar{\phi}\lambda_\alpha^i, \quad (6.18)$$

thereby removing λ_α^i from the final result. At the end of all these substitutions, the bosonic part of the on-shell action is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{bosons}} = & -\frac{1}{2}R + \frac{1}{2}cy^{-1}\bar{\phi}f_{ab}f^{ab} + \frac{1}{4}icy^{-1}\epsilon_{abcd}\bar{\phi}f^{ab}f^{cd} + \frac{1}{2}cy\bar{\phi}^{-1}\mathbf{f}_{ab}\mathbf{f}^{ab} \\ & + \frac{1}{4}icy\epsilon_{abcd}\bar{\phi}^{-1}\mathbf{f}^{ab}\mathbf{f}^{cd} + \frac{1}{2}cy^{-1}\phi f_{ab}f^{ab} - \frac{1}{4}icy^{-1}\epsilon_{abcd}\phi f^{ab}f^{cd} \\ & + \frac{1}{2}cy\phi^{-1}\mathbf{f}_{ab}\mathbf{f}^{ab} - \frac{1}{4}icy\epsilon_{abcd}\phi^{-1}\mathbf{f}^{ab}\mathbf{f}^{cd} + cy\mathcal{D}'_a\mathcal{D}'^a(\phi + \bar{\phi}) \\ & + c^2y^2\mathcal{D}'_a\bar{\phi}\mathcal{D}'^a\bar{\phi} - 2c^2y^2\mathcal{D}'_a\phi\mathcal{D}'^a\bar{\phi} + c^2y^2\mathcal{D}'_a\phi\mathcal{D}'^a\phi \\ & + 16e^{4U}\tilde{h}^{aij}\tilde{h}_{aij} - 2\mathcal{D}'_aU\mathcal{D}'^aU + 2\mathcal{D}'_a\mathcal{D}'^aU + \frac{4}{3}\epsilon^{mnpq}\xi_{ij}h_{mnp}{}^{ij}v_q. \end{aligned} \quad (6.19)$$

Note that the Lagrangian has no scalar potential, as in the analogue model described in [6, 7]. The rest of the Lagrangian, including all fermionic terms, is given in Section IV of the supplementary file. Here we only present the fermionic mass terms, meaning quadratic terms in the fermions that do not have any derivative coupling or coupling to fields other than scalars. These terms take the form

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{fermions mass terms}} = -\frac{1}{16}cy\bar{\mathbf{M}}_{ij}e^{2U}\rho^{i\alpha}\rho^j{}_\alpha - \frac{1}{16}cy\mathbf{M}^{ij}e^{2U}\bar{\rho}_{i\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\rho}_j{}^{\dot{\alpha}}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{1}{4}ce^U \overline{\mathbf{M}}_{ij} \lambda^{j\alpha} \rho^i{}_\alpha + \frac{1}{4}ce^U \mathbf{M}^{ij} \bar{\lambda}_{j\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\rho}^i{}_{\dot{\alpha}} \\
& - \frac{1}{4}ic \mathbf{M}_{ij} (\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}} \psi^{aj}{}_\alpha \bar{\lambda}^{i\dot{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{4}ic \overline{\mathbf{M}}_{ij} (\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\psi}^{aj\dot{\alpha}} \lambda^i{}_\alpha \\
& + \frac{1}{4}icye^U \mathbf{M}_{ij} (\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}} \psi^{aj}{}_\alpha \bar{\rho}^{i\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{4}icye^U \overline{\mathbf{M}}_{ij} (\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\psi}^{aj\dot{\alpha}} \rho^i{}_\alpha \\
& - \frac{1}{2}cy \mathbf{M}_{ij} (\sigma_{ab})^{\alpha\beta} \psi^{ai}{}_\alpha \psi^{bj}{}_\beta - \frac{1}{2}cy \overline{\mathbf{M}}^{ij} (\tilde{\sigma}_{ab})_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \bar{\psi}^{a\dot{\alpha}}{}_i \bar{\psi}^{b\dot{\beta}}{}_j . \tag{6.20}
\end{aligned}$$

They are all proportional to either \mathbf{M}_{ij} or its complex conjugate $\overline{\mathbf{M}}^{ij}$. This is expected from the analysis in subsection 6.2, where we did show that in the on-shell theory, for any scalar field configurations, half of the local supersymmetry is spontaneously broken while half is preserved. Imposing the zero determinant (but rank one) condition that we discussed in the previous subsections implies that half of the fermions remain massless, in agreement with local partial supersymmetry breaking in a Minkowski vacuum.

We repeat that the complete action up to all orders in fermions is given in Section IV of the supplementary file accompanying our paper. This notably includes the coupling between two gravitini and the three-form fields $h_{abc}{}^{ij}$ that appear through their Hodge duals, $\tilde{h}_a{}^{ij}$. Remember that, roughly, in the hyper-dilaton Weyl multiplet $\tilde{h}_a{}^{ij}$ takes the place of the $SU(2)_R$ connection $\phi_a{}^{ij}$ which within the supergravity-matter systems engineered in terms of the standard Weyl multiplet (where matter fields have ubiquitous couplings with $\phi_a{}^{ij}$) becomes a linear combination of the vector multiplet gauge connections together with terms arising from the hypermultiplet moment map of the quaternion-Kähler geometry. In the hyper-dilaton Weyl case, there is no gauging and only the coupling with $\tilde{h}_a{}^{ij}$ appears in place of FI-type terms. It would be interesting in the future to explore in more detail such property of this off-shell engineering of 4D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity-matter systems.

7 Conclusion and outlook

In this paper, we have elaborated on the deformation of off-shell vector multiplets in supergravity, both in components and superspace. In a superconformal framework, the deformations are associated with (composite) linear multiplets. Analogue to the globally supersymmetric case where an interplay of electric and magnetic deformations can lead to (partial) breaking of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ global supersymmetry for systems of vector multiplets [5, 8, 9, 125, 151], the aim of our work was to explore the off-shell engineering of local partial supersymmetry breaking. To construct new off-shell models, we made use of

superconformal tensor calculus techniques where the multiplet of conformal supergravity was chosen to be the hyper-dilaton Weyl multiplet introduced in 2022 in [48], while general off-shell vector multiplets were deformed with what proves to be local analogous to global electric and magnetic FI terms. The hyper-dilaton Weyl multiplet was chosen since it naturally contains a triplet of composite linear multiples, and one can easily engineer non-parallel deformations, a prerequisite to obtaining partial supersymmetry breaking, in a fashion very similar to the global case of [5, 8, 9]. As a proof of concept, in this work, we did show that by considering the $SU(1,1)/U(1)$ special-Kähler sigma model, originally employed in [6, 7], however working in a symplectic frame which admits a holomorphic prepotential given by (6.2), and with both electric and magnetic deformations appropriately turned on, we obtain local partial supersymmetry breaking.

It is inspiring that an off-shell model with partial supersymmetry breaking can be engineered by the hyper-dilaton Weyl multiplet and off-shell deformations, as there is potential in extending this simple example to more complicated supergravity-matter couplings. Our set-up is related to the off-shell work of Müller from 1986 [127] that has not been appreciated so far. We expect our results can be extended in various directions.

First of all, it would be interesting to extend the analysis of Section 6 to general special-Kähler target spaces leading to scalar potentials and also with more physical vector multiplets. In principle, one could revisit all the analyses performed in the past, see, e.g., [7, 29–35], by employing the off-shell setting offered by vector multiplets in a hyper-dilaton Weyl background. This might provide a new description of sectors of compactified string theories with fluxes and their various patterns of supersymmetry breaking, see, e.g., [32] and references therein.

Several features of our analysis resemble the global partial supersymmetry breaking APT model. It is natural to expect that one can obtain this theory as the global limit of our construction, as it was done with a different setting in [29] and more recently revisited in [35] for the case of a single physical vector multiplet. We aim to look at the global limit of our construction in the future.

It would also be intriguing to understand if and how the dilaton and triplet of gauge two-forms sector of our models, and Müller’s Poincaré supergravity, is mapped to the quaternion-Kähler hypermultiplet target spaces that characterise the work in [7, 29, 30, 35]. For example, at least at the level of the on-shell Lagrangians, the $SO(4, 1)/SO(4)$ hypermultiplet sector could arise by taking our model and dualising the triplet of physical gauge two-forms into scalars which could then organise with the dilaton to parametrise the conventional target space with two isometries. For general models based on the hyper-dilaton

Weyl multiplet, it also remains unclear how various fermions would become charged in accordance with the standard gauging in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity, see, e.g., [38, 152–157]. It is certainly an interesting option to further analyse, in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity, this and the surprising and intriguing mechanism that leads to scalar potentials without gauging the $SU(2)_R$ symmetry in the hyper-dilaton and Müller frameworks. Moreover, perhaps similar, so far missed, structures might be found also in $\mathcal{N} > 2$ extended supergravities.

As repeatedly mentioned, the fact that we have an off-shell construction allows us to straightforwardly extend our model without changing the local transformations of the multiplets. For example, it would be possible to add higher-derivative actions to these models based on the hyper-dilaton Weyl and the hyper-dilaton Poincaré multiplets. Higher-derivative supergravity naturally arise in the low-energy description of string theory but, despite its importance, is still poorly understood. Other types of dilaton Weyl multiplets have been key to the construction of several off-shell higher-derivative supergravities in $4 \leq D \leq 6$ dimensions, see, e.g., [71, 76, 77, 80, 82–84, 89, 90, 93]. One can look at this problem starting from a hyper-dilaton Weyl multiplet coupled to systems of vector multiplets with electric and magnetic FI-type terms. Among higher-derivative couplings, it would also be interesting to study the interplay between the FI-type terms used in our paper and the new $\mathcal{N} = 2$ FI term introduced in [158].

To conclude, it would be interesting to engineer other off-shell constructions for local partial supersymmetry breaking. In fact, though we do value the simplicity of the deformations in our hyper-dilaton Weyl set-up (which works well for constructions with vector multiplets but no arbitrary sector for physical charged hypermultiplets), an approach based on the standard Weyl multiplet and different types of off-shell matter multiplets would be welcome. In our paper, we have avoided the option of admitting the (composite) linear multiplets to be charged under central charge transformations, see for example [41]. It would be interesting to understand in detail if and how off-shell magnetic deformations could be implemented when charged under the action of a gauged central charge in a standard Weyl multiplet background. It is also worth mentioning that the most general $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity-matter couplings are expected to be engineered off shell by coupling the standard Weyl multiplet to matter multiplets defined by harmonic or projective superfields [49, 50, 53–66], which can include an infinite number of auxiliary fields. Though in this setup the electric gauging has been studied, to the best of our knowledge, the off-shell magnetic one has not. If one wanted to construct some composite multiplet similar to the linear ones but quadratic in a hypermultiplet with an infinite number of auxiliary fields, then an off-shell “magnetic” deformation would be associated with an extended vector

multiplet field strength that should also have an infinite amount of component fields. This might lead to some new extended vector multiplet, and possibly new implementations of a central charge in the supergravity algebra. If understood, all these off-shell extensions might lead to new mechanisms of partial supersymmetry breaking, possibly even engineered with only (extended) vector multiplets and no physical hypers, in line with some of the on-shell results obtained in [159, 160]. We hope to come back to these various questions in the future.

Acknowledgements:

We thank W. Kitchin for collaboration at the early stage of this project and J. Hutomo for collaboration on related projects. G.T.-M. is also grateful to I. Antoniadis, J.-P. Derendinger, L. Girardello, F. Farakos, H. Jiang, S. Kuzenko, and A. Van Proeyen for several discussions and collaborations on topics related to this work. We are also grateful to F. Farakos and S. Kuzenko for the careful reading and the useful feedback on our manuscript. This work has been supported by the Australian Research Council (ARC) Future Fellowship FT180100353, ARC Discovery Project DP240101409, and the Capacity Building Package of the University of Queensland. G.G. and S.K. have been supported by postgraduate scholarships at the University of Queensland. We acknowledge the kind hospitality and financial support at the MATRIX Program “New Deformations of Quantum Field and Gravity Theories,” that took place in Creswick (Australia) between 22 January and 2 February 2024, and the meeting “Integrability in low-supersymmetry theories,” held in Trani between 22 July and 2 Aug 2024 and funded by the COST Action CA22113 by INFN and by Salento University, where part of this work was performed.

A Notations and conventions

Our notations and conventions follow mostly those in [45]. We briefly summarize them here.

We use two-component notation where dotted and undotted spinor indices are raised and lowered by ε tensors

$$\psi_\alpha = \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\psi^\beta, \quad \bar{\chi}^{\dot{\alpha}} = \varepsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\bar{\chi}_{\dot{\beta}}, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

obeying

$$\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} = -\varepsilon_{\beta\alpha}, \quad \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\varepsilon^{\beta\gamma} = \delta_\alpha^\gamma, \quad \varepsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\varepsilon^{\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}} = \delta_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\dot{\gamma}}, \quad \varepsilon^{12} = 1.$$

Similarly SU(2) indices are raised and lowered by ε_{ij} and ε^{ij} having the same properties

as $\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}$. Spinor indices are contracted as

$$\psi\chi := \psi^\alpha\chi_\alpha, \quad \bar{\psi}\bar{\chi} = \bar{\psi}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\chi}^{\dot{\alpha}}. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

For spinors which are also isospinors, we define

$$\psi\chi = \psi_i^\alpha\chi_\alpha^i, \quad \bar{\psi}\bar{\chi} = \bar{\psi}_{\dot{\alpha}}^i\bar{\chi}_i^{\dot{\alpha}}. \quad (\text{A.3})$$

The metric is $\eta_{ab} = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1)$. The sigma matrices are defined as

$$(\sigma^a)_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = (1, \vec{\sigma}), \quad (\tilde{\sigma}^a)^{\dot{\alpha}\alpha} = \varepsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\beta}\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta}(\sigma^a)_{\beta\dot{\beta}} = (1, -\vec{\sigma}), \quad (\text{A.4})$$

and have the properties

$$(\sigma_a)_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}(\tilde{\sigma}_b)^{\dot{\beta}\beta} = -\eta_{ab}\delta_\alpha^\beta - 2(\sigma_{ab})_{\alpha}{}^\beta, \quad (\text{A.5})$$

$$(\tilde{\sigma}_a)^{\dot{\alpha}\beta}(\sigma_b)_{\beta\dot{\beta}} = -\eta_{ab}\delta_{\dot{\beta}}^{\dot{\alpha}} - 2(\tilde{\sigma}_{ab})^{\dot{\alpha}}{}_{\dot{\beta}}, \quad (\text{A.6})$$

together with the following useful identities

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma^a)_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}(\sigma_a)_{\beta\dot{\beta}} &= -2\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\varepsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}, \\ (\sigma_{ab})_{\alpha\beta}(\sigma^{ab})_{\gamma\delta} &= -2\varepsilon_{\gamma(\alpha}\varepsilon_{\beta)\delta}, \\ (\tilde{\sigma}_{ab})_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}(\tilde{\sigma}^{ab})_{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\delta}} &= -2\varepsilon_{\dot{\gamma}(\dot{\alpha}}\varepsilon_{\dot{\beta})\dot{\delta}}, \\ (\sigma_{ab})_{\alpha\beta}(\tilde{\sigma}^{ab})_{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\delta}} &= 0, \\ \text{tr}(\sigma_{ab}\sigma_{cd}) &= (\sigma_{ab})_{\alpha}{}^\beta(\sigma_{cd})_{\beta}{}^\alpha = -\eta_{a[c}\eta_{d]b} - \frac{i}{2}\varepsilon_{abcd}, \\ \text{tr}(\tilde{\sigma}_{ab}\tilde{\sigma}_{cd}) &= (\tilde{\sigma}_{ab})_{\dot{\alpha}}{}^{\dot{\beta}}(\tilde{\sigma}_{cd})_{\dot{\beta}}{}^{\dot{\alpha}} = -\eta_{a[c}\eta_{d]b} + \frac{i}{2}\varepsilon_{abcd}, \\ (\sigma^a)_{\alpha}{}^{\dot{\alpha}}(\sigma_{ab})_{\beta\gamma} &= \varepsilon_{\alpha(\beta}(\sigma_b)_{\gamma)}{}^{\dot{\alpha}}, \\ (\sigma^a)_{\alpha}{}^{\dot{\alpha}}(\tilde{\sigma}_{ab})^{\dot{\beta}\gamma} &= \varepsilon^{\dot{\alpha}(\dot{\beta}}(\sigma_b)_{\alpha}{}^{\dot{\gamma})}, \\ (\sigma_{[a})_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}(\sigma_{bc]})_{\gamma\delta} &= \frac{i}{3}\varepsilon_{abcd}\varepsilon_{\alpha(\gamma}(\sigma^d)_{\delta)\dot{\beta}}, \\ \varepsilon^{abcd}\varepsilon_{a'b'c'd'} &= -4!\delta_{[a'}^a\delta_{b'}^b\delta_{c'}^c\delta_{d']}^d, \\ (\sigma_{ab}\sigma_c)_{\alpha}{}^{\dot{\alpha}} &= (\sigma_{ab})_{\alpha}{}^\beta(\sigma_c)_{\beta}{}^{\dot{\alpha}} = -\eta_{c[a}(\sigma_b)_{\alpha]}{}^{\dot{\alpha}} - \frac{i}{2}\varepsilon_{abcd}(\sigma^d)_{\alpha}{}^{\dot{\alpha}}, \\ (\tilde{\sigma}_{ab}\sigma_c)_{\dot{\alpha}}{}^{\alpha} &= (\tilde{\sigma}_{ab})_{\dot{\beta}}{}^{\alpha}(\sigma_c)_{\alpha}{}^{\dot{\beta}} = -\eta_{c[a}(\sigma_b)_{\dot{\alpha}]}{}^{\alpha} + \frac{i}{2}\varepsilon_{abcd}(\sigma^d)_{\dot{\alpha}}{}^{\alpha}, \\ \varepsilon_{abcd}(\sigma^{cd})_{\alpha\beta} &= -2i(\sigma_{ab})_{\alpha\beta}, \quad \varepsilon_{abcd}(\tilde{\sigma}^{cd})_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} = 2i(\tilde{\sigma}_{ab})_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}. \end{aligned}$$

The antisymmetric tensor is

$$\varepsilon^{0123} = -\varepsilon_{0123} = 1 , \quad (\text{A.8})$$

and (anti-)symmetrization includes a normalization factor, for example

$$V_{[ab]} = \frac{1}{2!}(V_{ab} - V_{ba}) , \quad \psi_{(\alpha\beta)} = \frac{1}{2!}(\psi_{\alpha\beta} + \psi_{\beta\alpha}) . \quad (\text{A.9})$$

For superform indices, we introduce graded antisymmetrization, e.g.,

$$V_{[AB]} = \frac{1}{2!}(V_{AB} - (-)^{ab}V_{BA}) . \quad (\text{A.10})$$

When an index is not included, we separate it with vertical bars, e.g.,

$$T_{[AB}{}^D F_{|D|C]} = \frac{1}{3!} \left(T_{AB}{}^D F_{DC} - (-)^{ab} T_{BA}{}^D F_{DC} + (-)^{ca+cb} T_{CA}{}^D F_{DB} \right. \\ \left. - (-)^{cb} T_{AC}{}^D F_{DB} + (-)^{ab+ac} T_{BC}{}^D F_{DA} - (-)^{ab+ac+cb} T_{CB}{}^D F_{DA} \right) . \quad (\text{A.11})$$

A vector V_a can be rewritten with spinor indices as

$$V_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} = (\sigma^a)_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} V_a , \quad V_a = -\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{\sigma}_a)^{\dot{\beta}\alpha} V_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} . \quad (\text{A.12})$$

A real antisymmetric tensor, $F_{ab} = -F_{ba}$ is converted to spinor indices as

$$F_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma^{ab})_{\alpha\beta} F_{ab} , \quad \bar{F}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} = -\frac{1}{2}(\tilde{\sigma}^{ab})_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} F_{ab} , \quad F_{ab} = (\sigma_{ab})^{\alpha\beta} F_{\alpha\beta} - (\tilde{\sigma}_{ab})_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \bar{F}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} . \quad (\text{A.13})$$

B Conformal superspace

In this appendix, we collect results about conformal superspace relevant to our discussion in the paper. The Lorentz generators obey

$$[M_{ab}, M_{cd}] = 2\eta_{c[a}M_{b]d} - 2\eta_{d[a}M_{b]c} , \quad [M_{ab}, \nabla_c] = 2\eta_{c[a}\nabla_{b]} , \\ [M_{ab}, \nabla_\alpha^i] = (\sigma_{ab})_\alpha{}^\beta \nabla_\beta^i , \quad [M_{ab}, \bar{\nabla}_i^{\dot{\alpha}}] = (\tilde{\sigma}_{ab})_{\dot{\alpha}}{}^{\dot{\beta}} \bar{\nabla}_i^{\dot{\beta}} . \quad (\text{B.1})$$

The $SU(2)_R$, $U(1)_R$, and dilatation generators obey

$$[J_{ij}, J_{kl}] = -\varepsilon_{k(i}J_{j)l} - \varepsilon_{l(i}J_{j)k} , \quad [J_{ij}, \nabla_\alpha^k] = -\delta_{(i}^k \nabla_{\alpha j)} , \quad [J_{ij}, \bar{\nabla}_k^{\dot{\alpha}}] = -\varepsilon_{k(i} \bar{\nabla}_{j)}^{\dot{\alpha}} , \\ [Y, \nabla_\alpha^i] = \nabla_\alpha^i , \quad [Y, \bar{\nabla}_i^{\dot{\alpha}}] = -\bar{\nabla}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} ,$$

$$[\mathbb{D}, \nabla_a] = \nabla_a, \quad [\mathbb{D}, \nabla_\alpha^i] = \frac{1}{2} \nabla_\alpha^i, \quad [\mathbb{D}, \bar{\nabla}_i^\alpha] = \frac{1}{2} \bar{\nabla}_i^\alpha. \quad (\text{B.2})$$

The special superconformal generators K^A transform in the obvious way under Lorentz and $\text{SU}(2)_R$ rotations,

$$\begin{aligned} [M_{ab}, K_c] &= 2\eta_{c[a}K_{b]}, & [M_{ab}, S_i^\gamma] &= -(\sigma_{ab})_\beta{}^\gamma S_i^\beta, & [M_{ab}, \bar{S}_{\dot{\gamma}}^i] &= -(\tilde{\sigma}_{ab})^{\dot{\beta}}{}_{\dot{\gamma}} \bar{S}_{\dot{\beta}}^i, \\ [J_{ij}, S_k^\gamma] &= -\varepsilon_{k(i}S_{j)}^\gamma, & [J_{ij}, \bar{S}_{\dot{\gamma}}^k] &= -\delta_{(i}^k \bar{S}_{\dot{\gamma}j)}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.3})$$

while their transformation under $\text{U}(1)_R$ and dilatations is opposite that of ∇_A :

$$\begin{aligned} [Y, S_i^\alpha] &= -S_i^\alpha, & [Y, \bar{S}_{\dot{\alpha}}^i] &= \bar{S}_{\dot{\alpha}}^i, \\ [\mathbb{D}, K_a] &= -K_a, & [\mathbb{D}, S_i^\alpha] &= -\frac{1}{2} S_i^\alpha, & [\mathbb{D}, \bar{S}_{\dot{\alpha}}^i] &= -\frac{1}{2} \bar{S}_{\dot{\alpha}}^i. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.4})$$

Among themselves, the generators K^A obey the algebra

$$\{S_i^\alpha, \bar{S}_{\dot{\alpha}}^j\} = 2i\delta_i^j (\sigma^a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}} K_a. \quad (\text{B.5})$$

Finally, the algebra of K^A with ∇_B is given by

$$\begin{aligned} [K^a, \nabla_b] &= 2\delta_b^a \mathbb{D} + 2M^a{}_b, \\ \{S_i^\alpha, \nabla_\beta^j\} &= 2\delta_i^j \delta_\beta^\alpha \mathbb{D} - 4\delta_i^j M^\alpha{}_\beta - \delta_i^j \delta_\beta^\alpha Y + 4\delta_\beta^\alpha J_i^j, \\ \{\bar{S}_{\dot{\alpha}}^i, \bar{\nabla}_j^{\dot{\beta}}\} &= 2\delta_j^i \delta_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\dot{\beta}} \mathbb{D} + 4\delta_j^i \bar{M}_{\dot{\alpha}}{}^{\dot{\beta}} + \delta_j^i \delta_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\dot{\beta}} Y - 4\delta_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\dot{\beta}} J^i{}_j, \\ [K^a, \nabla_\beta^j] &= -i(\sigma^a)_{\beta}{}^{\dot{\beta}} \bar{S}_{\dot{\beta}}^j, & [K^a, \bar{\nabla}_j^{\dot{\beta}}] &= -i(\sigma^a)^{\dot{\beta}}{}_{\beta} S_j^\beta, \\ [S_i^\alpha, \nabla_b] &= i(\sigma_b)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\beta}} \bar{\nabla}_i^{\dot{\beta}}, & [\bar{S}_{\dot{\alpha}}^i, \nabla_b] &= i(\sigma_b)_{\dot{\alpha}}{}^\beta \nabla_\beta^i, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.6})$$

where all other (anti-)commutations vanish.

The covariant derivatives obey (anti-)commutation relations of the form

$$\begin{aligned} [\nabla_A, \nabla_B] &= -T_{AB}{}^C \nabla_C - \frac{1}{2} R_{AB}{}^{cd} M_{cd} - R_{AB}{}^{kl} J_{kl} \\ &\quad - iR_{AB}(Y)Y - R_{AB}(\mathbb{D})\mathbb{D} - R_{AB}{}^C K_C, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.7})$$

where $T_{AB}{}^C$ is the torsion, and $R_{AB}{}^{cd}$, $R_{AB}{}^{kl}$, $R_{AB}(Y)$, $R_{AB}(\mathbb{D})$ and $R_{AB}{}^C$ are the curvatures. Some of the components of the torsion and curvature must be constrained.

Following [50], the spinor derivative torsions and curvatures are chosen to obey

$$\{\nabla_\alpha^i, \nabla_\beta^j\} = -2\varepsilon^{ij}\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\bar{\mathcal{W}}, \quad \{\bar{\nabla}_i^{\dot{\alpha}}, \bar{\nabla}_j^{\dot{\beta}}\} = 2\varepsilon_{ij}\varepsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\mathcal{W}, \quad \{\nabla_\alpha^i, \bar{\nabla}_j^{\dot{\beta}}\} = -2i\delta_j^i\nabla_\alpha^{\dot{\beta}}, \quad (\text{B.8})$$

where \mathcal{W} is some operator valued in the superconformal algebra. In [50], it was shown how to constrain \mathcal{W} entirely in terms of a superfield $W_{\alpha\beta}$ so that the component structure reproduces $\mathcal{N} = 2$ conformal supergravity. In our notation, the constraints lead to

$$\{\nabla_\alpha^i, \nabla_\beta^j\} = 2\varepsilon^{ij}\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\bar{W}_{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\delta}}\bar{M}^{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\delta}} + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{ij}\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\bar{\nabla}_{\dot{\gamma}k}\bar{W}^{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\delta}}\bar{S}_\delta^k - \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{ij}\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}\delta}\bar{W}^{\dot{\delta}}K^{\gamma\dot{\gamma}}, \quad (\text{B.9a})$$

$$\{\bar{\nabla}_i^{\dot{\alpha}}, \bar{\nabla}_j^{\dot{\beta}}\} = -2\varepsilon_{ij}\varepsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}W^{\gamma\delta}M_{\gamma\delta} + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{ij}\varepsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\nabla^{\gamma k}W_{\gamma\delta}S_k^\delta - \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{ij}\varepsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\nabla^{\gamma\dot{\gamma}}W_\gamma^\delta K_{\delta\dot{\gamma}}, \quad (\text{B.9b})$$

$$\{\nabla_\alpha^i, \bar{\nabla}_j^{\dot{\beta}}\} = -2i\delta_j^i\nabla_\alpha^{\dot{\beta}}, \quad (\text{B.9c})$$

$$\begin{aligned} [\nabla_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, \nabla_\beta^i] &= -i\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\bar{W}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\bar{\nabla}^{\dot{\beta}i} - \frac{i}{2}\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\bar{\nabla}^{\dot{\beta}i}\bar{W}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\mathbb{D} - \frac{i}{4}\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\bar{\nabla}^{\dot{\beta}i}\bar{W}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}Y + i\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\bar{\nabla}_j^{\dot{\beta}}\bar{W}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}J^{ij} \\ &\quad - i\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\bar{\nabla}_i^{\dot{\beta}}\bar{W}_{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\alpha}}\bar{M}^{\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}} - \frac{i}{4}\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\bar{\nabla}_i^{\dot{\beta}}\bar{\nabla}_k^{\dot{\beta}}\bar{W}_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}}\bar{S}^{\dot{\gamma}k} + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\nabla^{\gamma\dot{\beta}}\bar{W}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}S_\gamma^i \\ &\quad + \frac{i}{4}\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\bar{\nabla}_i^{\dot{\beta}}\nabla_\gamma^{\dot{\beta}}\bar{W}^{\dot{\gamma}\dot{\beta}}K_{\gamma\dot{\beta}}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.9d})$$

$$\begin{aligned} [\nabla_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, \bar{\nabla}_i^{\dot{\beta}}] &= i\delta_\alpha^{\dot{\beta}}W_{\alpha\beta}\nabla_i^\beta + \frac{i}{2}\delta_\alpha^{\dot{\beta}}\nabla_i^\beta W_{\alpha\beta}\mathbb{D} - \frac{i}{4}\delta_\alpha^{\dot{\beta}}\nabla_i^\beta W_{\alpha\beta}Y + i\delta_\alpha^{\dot{\beta}}\nabla^{\beta j}W_{\alpha\beta}J_{ij} \\ &\quad + i\delta_\alpha^{\dot{\beta}}\nabla_i^\beta W_\alpha^\gamma M_{\beta\gamma} + \frac{i}{4}\delta_\alpha^{\dot{\beta}}\nabla_{\alpha i}\nabla^{\beta j}W_\beta^\gamma S_{\gamma j} - \frac{1}{2}\delta_\alpha^{\dot{\beta}}\nabla_\gamma^\beta W_{\alpha\beta}\bar{S}_i^{\dot{\gamma}} \\ &\quad + \frac{i}{4}\delta_\alpha^{\dot{\beta}}\nabla_{\alpha i}\nabla_\gamma^{\dot{\beta}}W_{\beta\gamma}K^{\beta\dot{\gamma}}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.9e})$$

The complex superfield $W_{\alpha\beta} = W_{\beta\alpha}$ and its complex conjugate $\bar{W}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} := \overline{W_{\alpha\beta}}$ are superconformally primary, $K_A W_{\alpha\beta} = 0$, and obey the additional constraints

$$\bar{\nabla}_i^{\dot{\alpha}}W_{\beta\gamma} = 0, \quad \nabla_{\alpha\beta}W^{\alpha\beta} = \bar{\nabla}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\bar{W}_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}, \quad (\text{B.10})$$

where we introduce the notation

$$\nabla_{\alpha\beta} := \nabla_{(\alpha}^k \nabla_{\beta)k}, \quad \bar{\nabla}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} := \bar{\nabla}_k^{(\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\nabla}^{\dot{\beta})k}. \quad (\text{B.11})$$

Despite the appearance of the S -supersymmetry and special conformal K_a generators, the algebra of covariant derivatives (B.9) is significantly simpler to work with than the corresponding algebras of $SU(2)$ [61, 161] or $U(2)$ superspace [51, 52, 63].

C S -supersymmetry transformations

The S -supersymmetry transformations of the descendant superfields of the super-Weyl tensor are given as follows:

$$S_\delta^k W_{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}^i = 8\epsilon^{ki}\epsilon_{\delta(\alpha}W_{\beta\gamma)} , \quad \bar{S}_k^{\dot{\delta}} W_{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}^i = 0 , \quad (\text{C.1a})$$

$$\bar{S}_k^{\dot{\delta}} \bar{W}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}}{}_i = 8\epsilon_{ki}\epsilon^{\dot{\delta}(\dot{\alpha}}\bar{W}^{\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma})} , \quad S_\delta^k \bar{W}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}}{}_i = 0 , \quad (\text{C.1b})$$

$$S_\alpha^k \Sigma_\beta^i = -\frac{4}{3}\epsilon^{ki}W_{\alpha\beta} , \quad \bar{S}_k^{\dot{\alpha}} \Sigma_\beta^i = 0 , \quad (\text{C.1c})$$

$$\bar{S}_k^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\Sigma}_i^{\dot{\beta}} = \frac{4}{3}\epsilon_{ki}\bar{W}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} , \quad S_\alpha^k \bar{\Sigma}_i^{\dot{\beta}} = 0 , \quad (\text{C.1d})$$

$$S_\lambda^k W_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = 24\epsilon_{\lambda(\alpha}W_{\beta\gamma\delta)}{}^k , \quad \bar{S}_k^{\dot{\lambda}} W_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = 0 , \quad (\text{C.1e})$$

$$\bar{S}_k^{\dot{\lambda}} \bar{W}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}\dot{\delta}} = 24\epsilon^{\dot{\lambda}(\dot{\alpha}}\bar{W}^{\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}\dot{\delta})}{}_k , \quad S_\lambda^k \bar{W}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}\dot{\delta}} = 0 , \quad (\text{C.1f})$$

$$S_\lambda^k \Sigma_{\alpha\beta}{}^{ij} = \frac{28}{3}\epsilon^{k(i}\epsilon_{\lambda(\alpha}\Sigma_{\beta)}^j) + \frac{4}{3}\epsilon^{k(i}W_{\alpha\beta\lambda}{}^{j)} , \quad \bar{S}_k^{\dot{\lambda}} \Sigma_{\alpha\beta}{}^{ij} = 0 , \quad (\text{C.1g})$$

$$\bar{S}_k^{\dot{\lambda}} \bar{\Sigma}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}{}_{ij} = \frac{28}{3}\epsilon_{k(i}\epsilon^{\dot{\lambda}(\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\Sigma}_{j)}^{\dot{\beta}}) - \frac{4}{3}\epsilon_{k(i}\bar{W}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}\dot{\lambda}}{}_{j)} , \quad S_\lambda^k \bar{\Sigma}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}{}_{ij} = 0 , \quad (\text{C.1h})$$

$$S_\lambda^k \Sigma_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{32}{3}\epsilon_{\lambda(\alpha}\Sigma_{\beta)}^k - \frac{4}{3}W_{\alpha\beta\lambda}{}^k , \quad \bar{S}_k^{\dot{\lambda}} \Sigma_{\alpha\beta} = 0 , \quad (\text{C.1i})$$

$$\bar{S}_k^{\dot{\lambda}} \bar{\Sigma}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} = \frac{32}{3}\epsilon^{\dot{\lambda}(\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\Sigma}_{\dot{\beta}}^{\dot{\lambda}}) + \frac{4}{3}\bar{W}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}\dot{\lambda}}{}_k , \quad S_\lambda^k \bar{\Sigma}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} = 0 , \quad (\text{C.1j})$$

$$S_\lambda^k D = 0 , \quad \bar{S}_k^{\dot{\lambda}} D = 0 , \quad (\text{C.1k})$$

$$S_\lambda^l \Sigma_{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}^k = \frac{2}{3}\epsilon^{lk}W_{\alpha\beta\gamma\lambda} + 3\epsilon^{lk}\epsilon_{\lambda(\alpha}\Sigma_{\beta\gamma)}{}^{lk} - 12\epsilon_{\lambda(\alpha}\Sigma_{\beta\gamma)}{}^{lk} , \quad \bar{S}_l^{\dot{\lambda}} \Sigma_{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}^k = 0 , \quad (\text{C.1l})$$

$$\bar{S}_l^{\dot{\lambda}} \bar{\Sigma}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}}{}_k = -\frac{2}{3}\epsilon_{lk}\bar{W}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}\dot{\lambda}} + 3\epsilon_{lk}\epsilon^{\dot{\lambda}(\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\Sigma}_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma})}{}^{lk} - 12\epsilon^{\dot{\lambda}(\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\Sigma}_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma})}{}^{lk} , \quad S_\lambda^l \bar{\Sigma}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}\dot{\gamma}}{}_k = 0 . \quad (\text{C.1m})$$

The S -supersymmetry transformations of the descendants of the abelian vector multiplet are given as follows:

$$S_i^\alpha \lambda_\beta^j = 4\delta_\beta^\alpha \delta_i^j W , \quad \bar{S}_\alpha^i \lambda_\alpha^j = 0 , \quad S_i^\alpha \bar{\lambda}_i^\alpha = 0 , \quad \bar{S}_\alpha^i \bar{\lambda}_j^\beta = 4\delta_\alpha^\beta \delta_j^i \bar{W} , \quad (\text{C.2})$$

$$S_i^\gamma F_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{(\alpha}^\gamma \lambda_{\beta)i} , \quad \bar{S}_\alpha^i F_{\alpha\beta} = 0 , \quad S_i^\gamma \bar{F}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} = 0 , \quad \bar{S}_\gamma^i \bar{F}^{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} = \frac{1}{2}\delta_\gamma^{(\dot{\alpha}} \lambda^{\dot{\beta})i} , \quad (\text{C.3})$$

$$S_i^\alpha X^{kl} = 0 , \quad \bar{S}_\alpha^i X^{kl} = 0 . \quad (\text{C.4})$$

The S -supersymmetry transformations of the descendants of the tensor multiplet are given as follows:

$$S_i^\alpha \chi_{\beta j} = 4\delta_\beta^\alpha G_{ij} , \quad \bar{S}_\alpha^i \bar{\chi}^{\dot{\beta}j} = 4\delta_\alpha^{\dot{\beta}} G^{ij} , \quad S_i^\alpha \bar{\chi}^{\dot{\beta}j} = 0 , \quad \bar{S}_\alpha^i \chi_{\beta j} = 0 , \quad (\text{C.5})$$

$$S_i^\alpha F = -4\chi_i^\alpha, \quad \bar{S}_{\dot{\alpha}}^i \bar{F} = -4\bar{\chi}_{\dot{\alpha}}^i, \quad S_i^\alpha \bar{F} = 0, \quad \bar{S}_{\dot{\alpha}}^i F = 0, \quad (\text{C.6})$$

$$S_i^\alpha H_a = \frac{3i}{4}(\sigma_a)^\alpha_{\dot{\beta}} \bar{\chi}_i^{\dot{\beta}}, \quad \bar{S}_{\dot{\alpha}}^i H_a = -\frac{3i}{4}(\bar{\sigma}_a)_{\dot{\alpha}}^\beta \chi_i^\beta. \quad (\text{C.7})$$

D Local superconformal transformations

The local superconformal transformations of the fundamental fields of the standard Weyl multiplet are given by

$$\delta e_m^a = i\xi_i \sigma^a \bar{\psi}_m^i + i\bar{\xi}^i \tilde{\sigma}^a \psi_{mi} - \lambda_{\mathbb{D}} e_m^a + \lambda^a_b e_m^b, \quad (\text{D.1a})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \psi_{mi}^\alpha &= \left(2\partial_m \xi_i^\alpha + \omega_m^{ab} (\xi_i \sigma_{ab})^\alpha + 2\phi_{mi}^j \xi_j^\alpha + 2iA_m \xi_i^\alpha + b_m \xi_i^\alpha \right) - \frac{i}{2} (\bar{\xi}_i \tilde{\sigma}_m \sigma^{cd})^\alpha W_{cd}^+ \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \lambda^{ab} (\psi_{mi} \sigma_{ab})^\alpha - \lambda_i^j \psi_{mj}^\alpha - i\lambda_Y \psi_{mi}^\alpha - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{\mathbb{D}} \psi_{mi}^\alpha + 2i(\bar{\eta}_i \tilde{\sigma}_m)^\alpha, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D.1b})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \bar{\psi}_{m\dot{\alpha}}^i &= \left(2\partial_m \bar{\xi}_{\dot{\alpha}}^i + \omega_m^{ab} (\bar{\xi}^i \tilde{\sigma}_{ab})_{\dot{\alpha}} - 2\phi_m^i{}_j \bar{\xi}_{\dot{\alpha}}^j - 2iA_m \bar{\xi}_{\dot{\alpha}}^i + b_m \bar{\xi}_{\dot{\alpha}}^i \right) + \frac{i}{2} (\xi^i \sigma_m \tilde{\sigma}^{cd})_{\dot{\alpha}} W_{cd}^- \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \lambda^{ab} (\bar{\psi}_{m\dot{\alpha}}^i \tilde{\sigma}_{ab})_{\dot{\alpha}} + \lambda^i_j \bar{\psi}_{m\dot{\alpha}}^j + i\lambda_Y \bar{\psi}_{m\dot{\alpha}}^i - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{\mathbb{D}} \bar{\psi}_{m\dot{\alpha}}^i + 2i(\eta^i \sigma_m)_{\dot{\alpha}}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D.1c})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \phi_m^{ij} &= \left(\partial_m \lambda^{ij} - 2\phi_m^{(i} \lambda^{j)k} \right) + \frac{3i}{2} \xi^{(i} \sigma_m \bar{\Sigma}^{j)} + \frac{3i}{2} \bar{\xi}^{(i} \tilde{\sigma}_m \Sigma^{j)} - \phi_m^{(i} \xi^{j)} + \bar{\phi}_m^{(i} \bar{\xi}^{j)} \\ &\quad + 2\psi_m^{(i} \eta^{j)} - 2\bar{\psi}_m^{(i} \bar{\eta}^{j)}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D.1d})$$

$$\delta A_m = \partial_m \lambda_Y - \frac{3}{8} \xi_i \sigma_m \bar{\Sigma}^i - \frac{3}{8} \bar{\xi}^i \tilde{\sigma}_m \Sigma_i + \frac{i}{2} \xi_i \phi_m^i - \frac{i}{2} \bar{\xi}^i \bar{\phi}_{mi} - \frac{i}{2} \psi_{mi} \eta^i + \frac{i}{2} \bar{\psi}_m^i \bar{\eta}_i, \quad (\text{D.1e})$$

$$\delta b_m = \partial_m \lambda_{\mathbb{D}} - \frac{3i}{4} \xi_i \sigma_m \bar{\Sigma}^i + \frac{3i}{4} \bar{\xi}^i \tilde{\sigma}_m \Sigma_i + \xi_i \phi_m^i + \bar{\xi}^i \bar{\phi}_{mi} - \psi_{mi} \eta^i - \bar{\psi}_m^i \bar{\eta}_i - 2\lambda_m, \quad (\text{D.1f})$$

$$\delta W_{ab} = -4\xi_k R(Q)_{ab}{}^k - 4\bar{\xi}^k R(\bar{Q})_{abk} - 2\lambda_{[a}{}^c W_{b]c} + \lambda_{\mathbb{D}} W_{ab} - 2i\lambda_Y W_{ab}^+ + 2i\lambda_Y W_{ab}^-, \quad (\text{D.1g})$$

$$\delta D = -i\xi^k \sigma^a \nabla_a \bar{\Sigma}_k - i\bar{\xi}_k \tilde{\sigma}^a \nabla_a \Sigma^k + 2\lambda_{\mathbb{D}} D, \quad (\text{D.1h})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \Sigma^{\alpha i} &= \xi^{\alpha i} D + \frac{4i}{3} (\xi^i \sigma^{ab})^\alpha R(Y)_{ab} + \frac{2}{3} (\xi_j \sigma^{ab})^\alpha R(J)_{ab}{}^{ji} - \frac{i}{3} (\bar{\xi}^i \tilde{\sigma}^a \sigma^{cd})^\alpha \nabla_a W_{cd}^+ \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \lambda^{ab} (\Sigma^i \sigma_{ab})^\alpha + \lambda^i_j \Sigma^{\alpha j} + \frac{3}{2} \lambda_{\mathbb{D}} \Sigma^{\alpha i} - i\lambda_Y \Sigma^{\alpha i} + \frac{2}{3} (\eta^i \sigma^{cd})^\alpha W_{cd}^+, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D.1i})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \bar{\Sigma}_{\dot{\alpha} i} &= -\bar{\xi}_{\dot{\alpha} i} D + \frac{4i}{3} (\bar{\xi}_i \tilde{\sigma}^{ab})_{\dot{\alpha}} R(Y)_{ab} + \frac{2}{3} (\bar{\xi}^j \tilde{\sigma}^{ab})_{\dot{\alpha}} R(J)_{abji} - \frac{i}{3} (\xi_i \sigma^a \tilde{\sigma}^{cd})_{\dot{\alpha}} \nabla_a W_{cd}^- \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \lambda^{ab} (\bar{\Sigma}_{\dot{\alpha} i} \tilde{\sigma}_{ab})_{\dot{\alpha}} - \lambda_i^j \bar{\Sigma}_{\dot{\alpha} j} + \frac{3}{2} \lambda_{\mathbb{D}} \bar{\Sigma}_{\dot{\alpha} i} + i\lambda_Y \bar{\Sigma}_{\dot{\alpha} i} + \frac{2}{3} (\bar{\eta}_i \tilde{\sigma}^{cd})_{\dot{\alpha}} W_{cd}^-, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D.1j})$$

where

$$\nabla_a W_{bc} = \mathcal{D}_a W_{bc} + 2\psi_{ak} R(Q)_{bc}{}^k + 2\bar{\psi}_a{}^k R(\bar{Q})_{bck}, \quad (\text{D.2a})$$

$$\nabla_a \Sigma^{\alpha i} = \mathcal{D}_a \Sigma^{\alpha i} - \frac{1}{2} \psi_a{}^{\alpha i} D - \frac{2i}{3} (\psi_a{}^i \sigma^{cd})^\alpha R(Y)_{cd} - \frac{1}{3} (\psi_{aj} \sigma^{cd})^\alpha R(J)_{cd}{}^{ji}$$

$$+\frac{i}{6}(\bar{\psi}_a{}^i\tilde{\sigma}^b\sigma^{cd})^\alpha\nabla_bW_{cd}^++(\phi_a{}^i\sigma^{cd})^\alpha W_{cd}^+, \quad (\text{D.2b})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_a\bar{\Sigma}_{\dot{\alpha}i} &= \mathcal{D}_a\bar{\Sigma}_{\dot{\alpha}i} + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\psi}_{a\dot{\alpha}i}D - \frac{2i}{3}(\bar{\psi}_{ai}\tilde{\sigma}^{cd})_{\dot{\alpha}}R(Y)_{cd} - \frac{1}{3}(\bar{\psi}_a{}^j\tilde{\sigma}^{cd})_{\dot{\alpha}}R(J)_{cdji} \\ &+ \frac{i}{6}(\psi_{ai}\sigma^b\tilde{\sigma}^{cd})_{\dot{\alpha}}\nabla_bW_{cd}^- + (\bar{\phi}_{ai}\tilde{\sigma}^{cd})_{\dot{\alpha}}W_{cd}^-. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D.2c})$$

The local superconformal transformations of the fundamental fields of the vector multiplet fields in a standard Weyl multiplet background are

$$\delta\phi = \xi_i\lambda^i + \lambda_{\mathbb{D}}\phi - 2i\lambda_Y\phi, \quad (\text{D.3a})$$

$$\delta\bar{\phi} = \bar{\xi}^i\bar{\lambda}_i + \lambda_{\mathbb{D}}\bar{\phi} + 2i\lambda_Y\bar{\phi}, \quad (\text{D.3b})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\lambda_\alpha^i &= 2(\sigma^{ab}\xi^i)_\alpha F_{ab} + (\sigma^{ab}\xi^i)_\alpha W_{ab}^+\bar{\phi} - \frac{1}{2}\xi_{\alpha j}X^{ij} + 2i(\sigma^a\bar{\xi}^i)_\alpha\nabla_a\phi \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\lambda^{ab}(\sigma_{ab}\lambda^i)_\alpha + \lambda^i{}_j\lambda_\alpha^j + \frac{3}{2}\lambda_{\mathbb{D}}\lambda_\alpha^i - i\lambda_Y\lambda_\alpha^i + 4\eta_i^i\phi, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D.3c})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\bar{\lambda}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} &= -2(\tilde{\sigma}^{ab}\bar{\xi}_i)^{\dot{\alpha}}F_{ab} - (\tilde{\sigma}^{ab}\bar{\xi}_i)^{\dot{\alpha}}W_{ab}^-\phi - \frac{1}{2}\bar{\xi}^{\dot{\alpha}j}X_{ij} + 2i(\tilde{\sigma}^a\xi_i)^{\dot{\alpha}}\nabla_a\bar{\phi} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\lambda^{ab}(\tilde{\sigma}_{ab}\bar{\lambda}_i)^{\dot{\alpha}} - \lambda_i{}^j\bar{\lambda}_j^{\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{3}{2}\lambda_{\mathbb{D}}\bar{\lambda}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} + i\lambda_Y\bar{\lambda}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} + 4\bar{\eta}_i^{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\phi}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D.3d})$$

$$\delta X^{ij} = -4i\xi^{(i}\sigma^a\nabla_a\bar{\lambda}^{j)} - 4i\bar{\xi}^{(i}\tilde{\sigma}^a\nabla_a\lambda^{j)} + 2\lambda^{(i}{}_kX^{j)k} + 2\lambda_{\mathbb{D}}X^{ij}, \quad (\text{D.3e})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta F_{ab} &= \left[-i\xi_k\sigma_{[a}\nabla_{b]}\bar{\lambda}^k + 2(\xi_k R(Q)_{ab}{}^k)\bar{\phi} - \frac{1}{2}(\xi_k\lambda^k)W_{ab}^- + 2\eta^k\sigma_{ab}\lambda_k + \text{c.c.} \right] \\ &+ 2\lambda_{\mathbb{D}}F_{ab} - 2\lambda_{[a}{}^cF_{b]c}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D.3f})$$

$$\delta v_m = (\xi_k\psi_m{}^k)\bar{\phi} - (\bar{\xi}^k\bar{\psi}_{mk})\phi + \partial_m\lambda_V, \quad (\text{D.3g})$$

where

$$\nabla_a\phi = \mathcal{D}_a\phi - \frac{1}{2}\psi_{ai}\lambda^i, \quad (\text{D.4a})$$

$$\nabla_a\bar{\phi} = \mathcal{D}_a\bar{\phi} - \frac{1}{2}\bar{\psi}_a{}^i\bar{\lambda}_i, \quad (\text{D.4b})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_a\lambda_\alpha^i &= \mathcal{D}_a\lambda_\alpha^i - (\sigma^{cd}\psi_a{}^i)_\alpha\left(F_{cd}^+ + \frac{1}{2}W_{cd}^+\bar{\phi}\right) + \frac{1}{4}\psi_{a\alpha j}X^{ij} \\ &- i(\sigma^b\bar{\psi}_a{}^i)_\alpha\nabla_b\phi - 2\phi_{a\alpha}^i, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D.4c})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_a\bar{\lambda}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} &= \mathcal{D}_a\bar{\lambda}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} + (\tilde{\sigma}^{cd}\bar{\psi}_{ai})^{\dot{\alpha}}\left(F_{cd}^- + \frac{1}{2}W_{cd}^-\phi\right) + \frac{1}{4}\bar{\psi}_a{}^{\dot{\alpha}j}X_{ij} \\ &- i(\tilde{\sigma}^b\psi_{ai})^{\dot{\alpha}}\nabla_b\bar{\phi} - 2\bar{\phi}_{a\dot{\alpha}}^i\bar{\phi}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D.4d})$$

and we have also included in (D.3g) the gauge field transformation parametrised by the local real parameter λ_V .

The local superconformal transformations of the fundamental fields of the tensor multiplet in a standard Weyl multiplet background are given by [116]

$$\delta G_{ij} = 2\xi(i\chi_j) + 2\bar{\xi}(\bar{i}\bar{\chi}_j) - 2\lambda_{(i}{}^k G_{j)k} + 2\lambda_{\mathbb{D}} G_{ij} , \quad (\text{D.5a})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\chi_{\alpha i} = & -\xi_{\alpha i} F - 4iH_a(\sigma^a \bar{\xi}_i)_{\alpha} + i(\sigma^a \bar{\xi}^j)_{\alpha} \nabla_a G_{ij} + 4\eta_{\alpha}^j G_{ji} \\ & + \frac{1}{2}\lambda^{ab}(\sigma_{ab}\chi_i)_{\alpha} - \lambda_i{}^j \chi_{j\alpha} + \frac{5}{2}\lambda_{\mathbb{D}}\chi_{\alpha i} + i\lambda_Y\chi_{\alpha i} , \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D.5b})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\bar{\chi}^{\dot{\alpha}i} = & -\bar{\xi}^{\dot{\alpha}i} \bar{F} + 4iH_a(\tilde{\sigma}^a \xi^i)^{\dot{\alpha}} + i(\tilde{\sigma}^a \xi_j)^{\dot{\alpha}} \nabla_a G^{ij} + 4\bar{\eta}_j^{\dot{\alpha}} G^{ji} \\ & + \frac{1}{2}\lambda^{ab}(\tilde{\sigma}_{ab}\bar{\chi}^i)^{\dot{\alpha}} + \lambda^i{}_j \bar{\chi}^{j\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{5}{2}\lambda_{\mathbb{D}}\bar{\chi}^{\dot{\alpha}i} - i\lambda_Y\bar{\chi}^{\dot{\alpha}i} , \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D.5c})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta F = & -2i\bar{\xi}^i \tilde{\sigma}^a \nabla_a \chi_i + (\bar{\xi}^i \tilde{\sigma}^{cd} \bar{\chi}_i) W_{cd}^- - 6(\bar{\xi}^i \bar{\Sigma}^j) G_{ij} + 4\eta^i \chi_i \\ & + 3\lambda_{\mathbb{D}} F + 2i\lambda_Y F , \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D.5d})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta \bar{F} = & -2i\xi_i \sigma^a \nabla_a \bar{\chi}^i - (\xi_i \sigma^{cd} \chi^i) W_{cd}^+ + 6(\xi^i \Sigma^j) G_{ij} + 4\bar{\eta}_i \bar{\chi}^i \\ & + 3\lambda_{\mathbb{D}} \bar{F} - 2i\lambda_Y \bar{F} , \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D.5e})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta H_a = & \frac{1}{2}\xi_i \sigma_{ab} \nabla^b \chi^i - \frac{i}{16}(\xi_i \sigma_a \tilde{\sigma}^{cd} \bar{\chi}^i) W_{cd}^- - \frac{3i}{8}(\xi_i \sigma_a \bar{\Sigma}_j) G^{ij} \\ & - \frac{1}{2}\bar{\xi}^i \tilde{\sigma}_{ab} \nabla^b \bar{\chi}_i - \frac{i}{16}(\bar{\xi}^i \tilde{\sigma}_a \sigma^{cd} \chi_i) W_{cd}^+ - \frac{3i}{8}(\bar{\xi}^i \tilde{\sigma}_a \Sigma^j) G_{ij} \\ & + \lambda_a{}^b H_b + 3\lambda_{\mathbb{D}} H_a - \frac{3i}{4}\eta^i \sigma_a \bar{\chi}_i + \frac{3i}{4}\bar{\eta}_i \tilde{\sigma}_a \chi^i , \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D.5f})$$

where

$$\nabla_a G_{ij} = \mathcal{D}_a G_{ij} - \psi_{a(i}\chi_{j)} - \bar{\psi}_{a(i}\bar{\chi}_{j)} , \quad (\text{D.6a})$$

$$\nabla_a \chi_{\alpha i} = \mathcal{D}_a \chi_{\alpha i} + \frac{1}{2}\psi_{a\alpha i} F + 2i(\sigma^b \bar{\psi}_{ai})_{\alpha} H_b - \frac{i}{2}(\sigma^b \bar{\psi}_a{}^j)_{\alpha} \nabla_b G_{ij} - 2\phi_{a\alpha}{}^j G_{ij} , \quad (\text{D.6b})$$

$$\nabla_a \bar{\chi}^{\dot{\alpha}i} = \mathcal{D}_a \bar{\chi}^{\dot{\alpha}i} + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\psi}_a{}^{\dot{\alpha}i} \bar{F} - 2i(\tilde{\sigma}^b \psi_a{}^i)_{\alpha} H_b - \frac{i}{2}(\tilde{\sigma}^b \psi_{aj})^{\dot{\alpha}} \nabla_b G^{ij} - 2\bar{\phi}_{aj}{}^{\dot{\alpha}} G^{ij} . \quad (\text{D.6c})$$

References

- [1] E. Witten, ‘‘Dynamical Breaking of Supersymmetry,’’ Nucl. Phys. B **188**, 513 (1981).
- [2] S. Cecotti, L. Girardello and M. Porrati, ‘‘TWO INTO ONE WON’T GO,’’ Phys. Lett. B **145**, 61-64 (1984)
- [3] J. Hughes, J. Liu and J. Polchinski, ‘‘Supermembranes,’’ Phys. Lett. B **180**, 370 (1986).
- [4] J. Hughes and J. Polchinski, ‘‘Partially Broken Global Supersymmetry and the Superstring,’’ Nucl. Phys. B **278**, 147 (1986).
- [5] I. Antoniadis, H. Partouche and T. R. Taylor, ‘‘Spontaneous breaking of N=2 global supersymmetry,’’ Phys. Lett. B **372**, 83 (1996) [hep-th/9512006].

- [6] S. Cecotti, L. Girardello and M. Porrati, “An Exceptional $N = 2$ Supergravity With Flat Potential and Partial Superhiggs,” *Phys. Lett. B* **168**, 83 (1986)
- [7] S. Ferrara, L. Girardello and M. Porrati, “Minimal Higgs branch for the breaking of half of the supersymmetries in $N=2$ supergravity,” *Phys. Lett. B* **366**, 155 (1996) [hep-th/9510074].
- [8] E. A. Ivanov and B. M. Zupnik, “Modified $N=2$ supersymmetry and Fayet-Iliopoulos terms,” *Phys. Atom. Nucl.* **62**, 1043 (1999) [*Yad. Fiz.* **62**, 1110 (1999)] [hep-th/9710236].
- [9] E. Ivanov and B. Zupnik, “Modifying $N=2$ supersymmetry via partial breaking,” In *Buckow 1997, Theory of elementary particles* 64-69 [hep-th/9801016].
- [10] I. Antoniadis, J. P. Derendinger and T. Maillard, “Nonlinear $N = 2$ supersymmetry, effective actions and moduli stabilization,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **808** (2009) 53; [arXiv:0804.1738 [hep-th]].
- [11] S. M. Kuzenko, “The Fayet-Iliopoulos term and nonlinear self-duality,” *Phys. Rev. D* **81**, 085036 (2010) [arXiv:0911.5190 [hep-th]].
- [12] J. Bagger and A. Galperin, “A New Goldstone multiplet for partially broken supersymmetry,” *Phys. Rev. D* **55**, 1091 (1997) [hep-th/9608177].
- [13] J. Bagger and A. Galperin, “The Tensor Goldstone multiplet for partially broken supersymmetry,” *Phys. Lett. B* **412**, 296 (1997) [hep-th/9707061].
- [14] J. Bagger and A. Galperin, “Linear and nonlinear supersymmetries,” *Lect. Notes Phys.* **524**, 3 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9810109 [hep-th]].
- [15] F. Gonzalez-Rey, I. Y. Park and M. Rocek, “On dual 3-brane actions with partially broken $N=2$ supersymmetry,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **544**, 243 (1999) [hep-th/9811130].
- [16] M. Roček and A. A. Tseytlin, “Partial breaking of global $D = 4$ supersymmetry, constrained superfields, and three-brane actions,” *Phys. Rev. D* **59**, 106001 (1999) [hep-th/9811232].
- [17] N. Ambrosetti, I. Antoniadis, J.-P. Derendinger and P. Tziveloglou, “Nonlinear Supersymmetry, Brane-bulk Interactions and Super-Higgs without Gravity,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **835** (2010) 75 [arXiv:0911.5212 [hep-th]].
- [18] S. Ferrara, M. Porrati and A. Sagnotti, “ $N = 2$ Born-Infeld attractors,” *JHEP* **1412**, 065 (2014) [arXiv:1411.4954 [hep-th]].
- [19] S. M. Kuzenko and G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, “Nilpotent chiral superfield in $N=2$ supergravity and partial rigid supersymmetry breaking,” *JHEP* **1603**, 092 (2016) [arXiv:1512.01964 [hep-th]].
- [20] I. Antoniadis, J. P. Derendinger and C. Markou, “Nonlinear $\mathcal{N} = 2$ global supersymmetry,” *JHEP* **1706**, 052 (2017) [arXiv:1703.08806 [hep-th]].
- [21] E. Dudas, S. Ferrara and A. Sagnotti, “A superfield constraint for $\mathcal{N} = 2 \rightarrow \mathcal{N} = 0$ breaking,” *JHEP* **1708** (2017) 109 [arXiv:1707.03414 [hep-th]].
- [22] S. M. Kuzenko and G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, “New nilpotent $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superfields,” *Phys. Rev. D* **97** (2018) no.2, 026003 [arXiv:1707.07390 [hep-th]].
- [23] I. Antoniadis, H. Jiang and O. Lacombe, “ $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Supersymmetry Deformations, Electromagnetic Duality and Dirac-Born-Infeld Actions,” arXiv:1904.06339 [hep-th].

- [24] S. Cecotti and S. Ferrara, “Supersymmetric Born-Infeld Lagrangians,” *Phys. Lett. B* **187**, 335 (1987).
- [25] S. Deser and R. Puzalowski, “Supersymmetric Nonpolynomial Vector Multiplets and Causal Propagation,” *J. Phys. A* **13** (1980) 2501.
- [26] I. Antoniadis, J. P. Derendinger and J. C. Jacot, “N=2 supersymmetry breaking at two different scales,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **863**, 471 (2012) [arXiv:1204.2141 [hep-th]].
- [27] S. Cecotti, L. Girardello and M. Porrati, “Some Features of SUSY Breaking in $N = 2$ Supergravity,” *Phys. Lett. B* **151**, 367-371 (1985)
- [28] S. Cecotti, L. Girardello and M. Porrati, “CONSTRAINTS ON PARTIAL SUPERHIGGS,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **268**, 295-316 (1986)
- [29] S. Ferrara, L. Girardello and M. Porrati, “Spontaneous breaking of N=2 to N=1 in rigid and local supersymmetric theories,” *Phys. Lett. B* **376**, 275 (1996) [hep-th/9512180].
- [30] P. Fre, L. Girardello, I. Pesando and M. Trigiante, “Spontaneous N=2 \rightarrow N=1 local supersymmetry breaking with surviving compact gauge group,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **493**, 231-248 (1997) [arXiv:hep-th/9607032 [hep-th]].
- [31] J. Louis, P. Smyth and H. Triendl, “Spontaneous N=2 to N=1 supersymmetry breaking in supergravity and type II string theory,” *JHEP* **1002**, 103 (2010) [arXiv:0911.5077 [hep-th]].
- [32] J. Louis, P. Smyth and H. Triendl, “Supersymmetric Vacua in N=2 Supergravity,” *JHEP* **08**, 039 (2012) [arXiv:1204.3893 [hep-th]].
- [33] T. Hansen and J. Louis, “Examples of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ to $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry breaking,” *JHEP* **1311**, 075 (2013) [arXiv:1306.5994 [hep-th]].
- [34] L. Andrianopoli, R. D’Auria, S. Ferrara and M. Trigiante, “Observations on the partial breaking of $N = 2$ rigid supersymmetry,” *Phys. Lett. B* **744**, 116 (2015) [arXiv:1501.07842 [hep-th]].
- [35] I. Antoniadis, J. P. Derendinger, P. M. Petropoulos and K. Siampos, “All partial breakings in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity with a single hypermultiplet,” *JHEP* **08**, 045 (2018) [arXiv:1806.09639 [hep-th]].
- [36] H. Abe, S. Aoki, S. Imai and Y. Sakamura, “Interpolation of partial and full supersymmetry breakings in $\mathcal{N} = \epsilon$ supergravity,” *Nucl. Phys. B*, 114690 (2019) [arXiv:1901.05679 [hep-th]].
- [37] H. Abe, S. Aoki, S. Imai and Y. Sakamura, “Behaviors of two supersymmetry breaking scales in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity,” *JHEP* **11**, 101 (2019) [arXiv:1907.07887 [hep-th]].
- [38] E. Lauria and A. Van Proeyen, “ $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Supergravity in $D = 4, 5, 6$ Dimensions,” *Lect. Notes Phys.* **966** (2020), pp. [arXiv:2004.11433 [hep-th]].
- [39] B. de Wit, P. G. Lauwers, R. Philippe, S. Q. Su and A. Van Proeyen, “Gauge and Matter Fields Coupled to N=2 Supergravity,” *Phys. Lett. B* **134**, 37-43 (1984).
- [40] B. de Wit and A. Van Proeyen, “Potentials and Symmetries of General Gauged N=2 Supergravity: Yang-Mills Models,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **245**, 89-117 (1984).
- [41] B. de Wit, P. G. Lauwers and A. Van Proeyen, “Lagrangians of N=2 Supergravity - Matter Systems,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **255**, 569-608 (1985).

- [42] E. Cremmer, C. Kounnas, A. Van Proeyen, J. P. Derendinger, S. Ferrara, B. de Wit and L. Girardello, “Vector Multiplets Coupled to $N=2$ Supergravity: SuperHiggs Effect, Flat Potentials and Geometric Structure,” Nucl. Phys. B **250**, 385-426 (1985).
- [43] D. Z. Freedman and A. Van Proeyen, “Supergravity,” Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, UK (2012).
- [44] S. J. Gates, M. T. Grisaru, M. Rocek and W. Siegel, “Superspace Or One Thousand and One Lessons in Supersymmetry,” Front. Phys. **58**, 1-548 (1983) [arXiv:hep-th/0108200 [hep-th]].
- [45] I. Buchbinder and S. M. Kuzenko. *Ideas and methods of supersymmetry and supergravity: Or a walk through superspace*, IOP, Bristol (1998).
- [46] S. M. Kuzenko, E. S. N. Raptakis and G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, “Superspace Approaches to $\mathcal{N} = 1$ Supergravity,” [arXiv:2210.17088 [hep-th]].
- [47] S. M. Kuzenko, E. S. N. Raptakis and G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, “Covariant Superspace Approaches to $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Supergravity,” [arXiv:2211.11162 [hep-th]].
- [48] G. Gold, S. Khandelwal, W. Kitchin and G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, “Hyper-dilaton Weyl multiplet of 4D, $\mathcal{N} = 2$ conformal supergravity,” JHEP **09**, 016 (2022) [arXiv:2203.12203 [hep-th]].
- [49] D. Butter and J. Novak, “Component reduction in $N=2$ supergravity: the vector, tensor, and vector-tensor multiplets,” JHEP **05** (2012), 115 [arXiv:1201.5431 [hep-th]].
- [50] D. Butter, “ $N=2$ Conformal Superspace in Four Dimensions,” JHEP **10** (2011), 030 [arXiv:1103.5914 [hep-th]].
- [51] P. S. Howe, “A superspace approach to extended conformal supergravity,” Phys. Lett. B **100**, 389 (1981).
- [52] P. S. Howe, “Supergravity in Superspace,” Nucl. Phys. B **199**, 309-364 (1982).
- [53] A. Galperin, E. Ivanov, S. Kalitsyn, V. Ogievetsky and E. Sokatchev, “Unconstrained $N=2$ Matter, Yang-Mills and Supergravity Theories in Harmonic Superspace,” Class. Quant. Grav. **1**, 469-498 (1984); [erratum: Class. Quant. Grav. **2**, 127 (1985)].
- [54] A. S. Galperin, E. A. Ivanov, V. I. Ogievetsky and E. Sokatchev, “ $N = 2$ Supergravity in Superspace: Different Versions and Matter Couplings,” Class. Quant. Grav. **4**, 1255 (1987).
- [55] A. S. Galperin, N. A. Ky and E. Sokatchev, “ $N = 2$ Supergravity in Superspace: Solution to the Constraints,” Class. Quant. Grav. **4**, 1235 (1987)
- [56] A. S. Galperin, E. A. Ivanov, V. I. Ogievetsky and E. S. Sokatchev, *Harmonic superspace*, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics. Cambridge University Press, 2007.
- [57] A. Karlhede, U. Lindström and M. Roček, “Self-interacting tensor multiplets in $N = 2$ superspace,” Phys. Lett. B **147**, 297 (1984).
- [58] U. Lindström and M. Roček, “New hyperkähler metrics and new supermultiplets,” Commun. Math. Phys. **115**, 21 (1988).
- [59] U. Lindstrom and M. Rocek, “ $N=2$ Superyang-mills Theory In Projective Superspace,” Commun. Math. Phys. **128**, 191 (1990).

- [60] S. M. Kuzenko, “On superconformal projective hypermultiplets,” JHEP **0712**, 010 (2007) [arXiv:0710.1479 [hep-th]].
- [61] S. M. Kuzenko, U. Lindstrom, M. Rocek, and G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, “4D $N = 2$ Supergravity and Projective Superspace,” JHEP **09**, 051 (2008) [arXiv:0805.4683 [hep-th]].
- [62] S. M. Kuzenko, “On $N = 2$ supergravity and projective superspace: Dual formulations,” Nucl. Phys. B **810**, 135-149 (2009) [arXiv:0807.3381 [hep-th]].
- [63] S. M. Kuzenko, U. Lindstrom, M. Rocek and G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, “On conformal supergravity and projective superspace,” JHEP **08**, 023 (2009) [arXiv:0905.0063 [hep-th]].
- [64] D. Butter, “New approach to curved projective superspace,” Phys. Rev. D **92**, no.8, 085004 (2015) [arXiv:1406.6235 [hep-th]].
- [65] D. Butter, “Projective multiplets and hyperkähler cones in conformal supergravity,” JHEP **06**, 161 (2015) [arXiv:1410.3604 [hep-th]].
- [66] D. Butter, “On conformal supergravity and harmonic superspace,” JHEP **03**, 107 (2016) [arXiv:1508.07718 [hep-th]].
- [67] B. de Wit, B. Kleijn and S. Vandoren, “Superconformal hypermultiplets,” Nucl. Phys. B **568**, 475-502 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/9909228 [hep-th]].
- [68] B. de Wit, M. Rocek and S. Vandoren, “Hypermultiplets, hyperKähler cones and quaternion Kähler geometry,” JHEP **02**, 039 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0101161 [hep-th]].
- [69] B. de Wit, M. Rocek and S. Vandoren, “Gauging isometries on hyperKähler cones and quaternion Kähler manifolds,” Phys. Lett. B **511**, 302-310 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0104215 [hep-th]].
- [70] V. Pestun, M. Zabzine, F. Benini, T. Dimofte, T. T. Dumitrescu, K. Hosomichi, S. Kim, K. Lee, B. Le Floch and M. Marino, *et al.* “Localization techniques in quantum field theories,” J. Phys. A **50**, no.44, 440301 (2017) [arXiv:1608.02952 [hep-th]].
- [71] E. Bergshoeff, A. Salam and E. Sezgin, “A supersymmetric R^2 -action in six dimensions and torsion,” Phys. Lett. B **173**, 73 (1986).
- [72] G. Lopes Cardoso, B. de Wit and T. Mohaupt, “Corrections to macroscopic supersymmetric black hole entropy,” Phys. Lett. B **451**, 309-316 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9812082 [hep-th]].
- [73] T. Mohaupt, “Black hole entropy, special geometry and strings,” Fortsch. Phys. **49**, 3-161 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0007195 [hep-th]].
- [74] K. Hanaki, K. Ohashi and Y. Tachikawa, “Supersymmetric Completion of an R^{*2} term in Five-dimensional Supergravity,” Prog. Theor. Phys. **117**, 533 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0611329 [hep-th]].
- [75] D. Butter and S. M. Kuzenko, “New higher-derivative couplings in 4D $N = 2$ supergravity,” JHEP **1103**, 047 (2011) [arXiv:1012.5153 [hep-th]].
- [76] F. Coomans and A. Van Proeyen, “Off-shell $N = (1, 0)$, $D = 6$ supergravity from superconformal methods,” JHEP **1102**, 049 (2011) Erratum: [JHEP **1201**, 119 (2012)] [arXiv:1101.2403 [hep-th]].
- [77] E. Bergshoeff, F. Coomans, E. Sezgin and A. Van Proeyen, “Higher Derivative Extension of 6D Chiral Gauged Supergravity,” JHEP **1207**, 011 (2012). [arXiv:1203.2975 [hep-th]].

- [78] D. Butter, B. de Wit, S. M. Kuzenko and I. Lodato, “New higher-derivative invariants in $N=2$ supergravity and the Gauss-Bonnet term,” *JHEP* **1312** (2013) 062 [arXiv:1307.6546 [hep-th]].
- [79] S. M. Kuzenko, J. Novak and G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, “ $N=6$ superconformal gravity in three dimensions from superspace,” *JHEP* **01**, 121 (2014) [arXiv:1308.5552 [hep-th]].
- [80] M. Ozkan and Y. Pang, “Supersymmetric Completion of Gauss-Bonnet Combination in Five Dimensions,” *JHEP* **1303** (2013) 158 Erratum: [*JHEP* **1307** (2013) 152] [arXiv:1301.6622 [hep-th]].
- [81] D. Butter, S. M. Kuzenko, J. Novak and G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, “Conformal supergravity in three dimensions: Off-shell actions,” *JHEP* **10**, 073 (2013) [arXiv:1306.1205 [hep-th]].
- [82] M. Ozkan and Y. Pang, “All off-shell R^2 invariants in five dimensional $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity,” *JHEP* **1308** (2013) 042 [arXiv:1306.1540 [hep-th]].
- [83] M. Ozkan, “Supersymmetric curvature squared invariants in five and six dimensions,” *PhD Thesis*, Texas A&M University, 2013.
- [84] D. Butter, S. M. Kuzenko, J. Novak and G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, “Conformal supergravity in five dimensions: New approach and applications,” *JHEP* **1502**, 111 (2015). [arXiv:1410.8682 [hep-th]].
- [85] S. M. Kuzenko and J. Novak, “On curvature squared terms in $N=2$ supergravity,” *Phys. Rev. D* **92**, no.8, 085033 (2015) [arXiv:1507.04922 [hep-th]].
- [86] D. Butter, S. M. Kuzenko, J. Novak and S. Theisen, “Invariants for minimal conformal supergravity in six dimensions,” *JHEP* **1612**, 072 (2016) [arXiv:1606.02921 [hep-th]].
- [87] D. Butter, F. Ciceri, B. de Wit and B. Sahoo, “Construction of all $N=4$ conformal supergravities,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **118**, no.8, 081602 (2017) [arXiv:1609.09083 [hep-th]].
- [88] D. Butter, J. Novak and G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, “The component structure of conformal supergravity invariants in six dimensions,” *JHEP* **1705**, 133 (2017) [arXiv:1701.08163 [hep-th]].
- [89] J. Novak, M. Ozkan, Y. Pang and G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, “Gauss-Bonnet supergravity in six dimensions,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **119**, no. 11, 111602 (2017). [arXiv:1706.09330 [hep-th]].
- [90] D. Butter, J. Novak, M. Ozkan, Y. Pang and G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, “Curvature squared invariants in six-dimensional $\mathcal{N} = (1, 0)$ supergravity,” *JHEP* **04**, 013 (2019) [arXiv:1808.00459 [hep-th]].
- [91] D. Butter, F. Ciceri and B. Sahoo, “ $N = 4$ conformal supergravity: the complete actions,” *JHEP* **01**, 029 (2020) [arXiv:1910.11874 [hep-th]].
- [92] S. Hegde and B. Sahoo, “New higher derivative action for tensor multiplet in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ conformal supergravity in four dimensions,” *JHEP* **01**, 070 (2020) [arXiv:1911.09585 [hep-th]].
- [93] M. Mishra and B. Sahoo, “Curvature squared action in four dimensional $N = 2$ supergravity using the dilaton Weyl multiplet,” *JHEP* **04**, 027 (2021) [arXiv:2012.03760 [hep-th]].
- [94] G. Gold, J. Hutomo, S. Khandelwal and G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, “Curvature-squared invariants of minimal five-dimensional supergravity from superspace,” *Phys. Rev. D* **107**, no.10, 106013 (2023) [arXiv:2302.14295 [hep-th]].

- [95] G. Gold, J. Hutomo, S. Khandelwal, M. Ozkan, Y. Pang and G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, “All Gauged Curvature-Squared Supergravities in Five Dimensions,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **131**, no.25, 251603 (2023) [arXiv:2309.07637 [hep-th]].
- [96] G. Gold, J. Hutomo, S. Khandelwal and G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, “Components of curvature-squared invariants of minimal supergravity in five dimensions,” *JHEP* **07**, 221 (2024) [arXiv:2311.00679 [hep-th]].
- [97] M. Ozkan, Y. Pang and E. Sezgin, “Higher derivative supergravities in diverse dimensions,” *Phys. Rept.* **1086**, 1-95 (2024) [arXiv:2401.08945 [hep-th]].
- [98] L. Casarin, C. Kennedy and G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, “Conformal anomalies for (maximal) 6d conformal supergravity,” [arXiv:2403.07509 [hep-th]].
- [99] G. Gold, S. Khandelwal and G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, “Supergravity Component Reduction with Computer Algebra,” [arXiv:2406.19687 [hep-th]].
- [100] N. Bobev, A. M. Charles, K. Hristov and V. Reys, “The Unreasonable Effectiveness of Higher-Derivative Supergravity in AdS₄ Holography,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **125**, no.13, 131601 (2020) [arXiv:2006.09390 [hep-th]].
- [101] N. Bobev, A. M. Charles, K. Hristov and V. Reys, “Higher-derivative supergravity, AdS₄ holography, and black holes,” *JHEP* **08**, 173 (2021) [arXiv:2106.04581 [hep-th]].
- [102] N. Bobev, K. Hristov and V. Reys, “AdS₅ Holography and Higher-Derivative Supergravity,” [arXiv:2112.06961 [hep-th]].
- [103] J. T. Liu and R. J. Saskowski, “Four-derivative corrections to minimal gauged supergravity in five dimensions,” *JHEP* **05**, 171 (2022) [arXiv:2201.04690 [hep-th]].
- [104] K. Hristov, “ABJM at finite N via 4d supergravity,” *JHEP* **10**, 190 (2022) doi:10.1007/JHEP10(2022)190 [arXiv:2204.02992 [hep-th]].
- [105] D. Cassani, A. Ruipérez and E. Turetta, “Corrections to AdS₅ black hole thermodynamics from higher-derivative supergravity,” *JHEP* **11**, 059 (2022) [arXiv:2208.01007 [hep-th]].
- [106] D. Cassani, A. Ruipérez and E. Turetta, “Higher-derivative corrections to flavoured BPS black hole thermodynamics and holography,” *JHEP* **05**, 276 (2024) [arXiv:2403.02410 [hep-th]].
- [107] L. Ma, P. J. Hu, Y. Pang and H. Lu, “Effectiveness of Weyl gravity in probing quantum corrections to AdS black holes,” *Phys. Rev. D* **110**, no.2, L021901 (2024) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.110.L021901 [arXiv:2403.12131 [hep-th]].
- [108] R. J. Saskowski, “Explorations in Precision Holography and Higher-derivative Supergravity,” [arXiv:2404.04134 [hep-th]].
- [109] K. Hristov, “Equivariant localization and gluing rules in 4d $\mathcal{N} = 2$ higher derivative supergravity,” [arXiv:2406.18648 [hep-th]].
- [110] D. Butter, “N=1 Conformal Superspace in Four Dimensions,” *Annals Phys.* **325**, 1026-1080 (2010) [arXiv:0906.4399 [hep-th]].

- [111] T. Kugo and S. Uehara, “ $N = 1$ Superconformal Tensor Calculus: Multiplets With External Lorentz Indices and Spinor Derivative Operators,” *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **73**, 235 (1985).
- [112] D. Butter, S. M. Kuzenko, J. Novak and G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, “Conformal supergravity in three dimensions: New off-shell formulation,” *JHEP* **09**, 072 (2013) [arXiv:1305.3132 [hep-th]].
- [113] S. M. Kuzenko and E. S. N. Raptakis, “ $\mathcal{N} = 3$ conformal superspace in four dimensions,” *JHEP* **03**, 026 (2024) [arXiv:2312.07242 [hep-th]].
- [114] B. de Wit, J. W. van Holten and A. Van Proeyen, “Transformation Rules of N=2 Supergravity Multiplets,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **167** (1980), 186.
- [115] B. de Wit, J. W. van Holten and A. Van Proeyen, “Central Charges and Conformal Supergravity,” *Phys. Lett. B* **95**, 51-55 (1980).
- [116] B. de Wit, J. W. van Holten and A. Van Proeyen, “Structure of N=2 Supergravity,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **184**, 77 (1981) [erratum: *Nucl. Phys. B* **222**, 516 (1983)].
- [117] E. Bergshoeff, E. Sezgin and A. Van Proeyen, “Superconformal Tensor Calculus and Matter Couplings in Six-dimensions,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **264**, 653 (1986) [erratum: *Nucl. Phys. B* **598**, 667 (2001)].
- [118] E. Bergshoeff, T. de Wit, R. Halbersma, S. Cucu, M. Derix and A. Van Proeyen, “Weyl multiplets of N=2 conformal supergravity in five-dimensions,” *JHEP* **06**, 051 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0104113 [hep-th]].
- [119] F. Coomans and M. Ozkan, “An off-shell formulation for internally gauged D=5, N=2 supergravity from superconformal methods,” *JHEP* **01**, 099 (2013) [arXiv:1210.4704 [hep-th]].
- [120] W. Siegel, “Curved extended superspace from Yang-Mills theory a la strings,” *Phys. Rev. D* **53**, 3324-3336 (1996) [arXiv:hep-th/9510150 [hep-th]].
- [121] D. Butter, S. Hegde, I. Lodato and B. Sahoo, “ $N = 2$ dilaton Weyl multiplet in 4D supergravity,” *JHEP* **03**, 154 (2018) [arXiv:1712.05365 [hep-th]].
- [122] J. Hutomo, S. Khandelwal, G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli and J. Woods, “Hyperdilaton Weyl multiplets of 5D and 6D minimal conformal supergravity,” *Phys. Rev. D* **107**, no.4, 046009 (2023) [arXiv:2209.05748 [hep-th]].
- [123] S. Adhikari and B. Sahoo, “ $\mathcal{N} = 2$ conformal supergravity in five dimensions,” *JHEP* **07**, 028 (2024) [arXiv:2312.01879 [hep-th]].
- [124] F. Ciceri, A. Kleinschmidt, S. Murugesan and B. Sahoo, “Torus reduction of maximal conformal supergravity,” [arXiv:2408.06026 [hep-th]].
- [125] P. Fayet, “Fermi-Bose Hypersymmetry,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **113**, 135 (1976).
- [126] M. F. Sohnius, “Supersymmetry and Central Charges,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **138**, 109-121 (1978).
- [127] M. Müller, “Minimal N=2 Off-Shell Supergravity,” *Phys. Lett. B* **172**, 353 (1986).
- [128] I. Antoniadis, J. P. Derendinger, H. Jiang and G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, “Magnetic deformation of super-Maxwell theory in supergravity,” *JHEP* **08**, no.08, 079 (2020) [arXiv:2005.11374 [hep-th]].

- [129] S. M. Kuzenko, “Super-Weyl anomalies in N=2 supergravity and (non)local effective actions,” JHEP **1310** (2013) 151 [arXiv:1307.7586 [hep-th]].
- [130] B. de Wit and F. Saueressig, “Off-shell N = 2 tensor supermultiplets,” JHEP **0609**, 062 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0606148].
- [131] M. de Vroome and B. de Wit, “Lagrangians with electric and magnetic charges of N=2 supersymmetric gauge theories,” JHEP **08**, 064 (2007) [arXiv:0707.2717 [hep-th]].
- [132] B. de Wit and M. van Zalk, “Electric and magnetic charges in N=2 conformal supergravity theories,” JHEP **10**, 050 (2011) [arXiv:1107.3305 [hep-th]].
- [133] R. Grimm, M. Sohnius and J. Wess, “Extended Supersymmetry and Gauge Theories,” Nucl. Phys. B **133**, 275-284 (1978).
- [134] J. Wess, “Supersymmetry and internal symmetry,” Acta Phys. Austriaca **41**, 409 (1975).
- [135] W. Siegel, “Superfields In Higher Dimensional Space-time,” Phys. Lett. B **80** (1979) 220.
- [136] W. Siegel, “Off-shell central charges,” Nucl. Phys. B **173**, 51 (1980).
- [137] M. F. Sohnius, K. S. Stelle and P. C. West, “Representations of extended supersymmetry,” in *Superspace and Supergravity*, S. W. Hawking and M. Roček (Eds.) Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1981, p. 283.
- [138] M. Sohnius, K. S. Stelle and P. C. West, “Off-mass-shell formulation of extended supersymmetric gauge theories,” Phys. Lett. B **92**, 123 (1980); “Dimensional reduction by Legendre transformation generates off-shell supersymmetric Yang-Mills theories,” Nucl. Phys. B **173**, 127 (1980).
- [139] B. de Wit, R. Philippe and A. Van Proeyen, “The Improved Tensor Multiplet in N = 2 Supergravity,” Nucl. Phys. B **219**, 143-166 (1983).
- [140] L. Mezincescu, “ON THE SUPERFIELD FORMULATION OF O(2) SUPERSYMMETRY,” JINR-P2-12572.
- [141] M. Müller, “Chiral actions for minimal N=2 supergravity,” Nucl. Phys. B **289**, 557 (1987).
- [142] M. Müller, “Consistent Classical Supergravity Theories,” Lect. Notes Phys. **336** 1-125 (1989).
- [143] S. M. Kuzenko, G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, “Different representations for the action principle in 4D N = 2 supergravity,” JHEP **0904**, 007 (2009). [arXiv:0812.3464 [hep-th]].
- [144] S. J. Gates, Jr., S. M. Kuzenko and G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, “Chiral supergravity actions and superforms,” Phys. Rev. D **80**, 125015 (2009) [arXiv:0909.3918 [hep-th]].
- [145] D. Butter, S. M. Kuzenko and J. Novak, “The linear multiplet and ectoplasm,” JHEP **09**, 131 (2012) [arXiv:1205.6981 [hep-th]].
- [146] K. Peeters, “A field-theory motivated approach to symbolic computer algebra,” (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0701238 [hep-th]].
- [147] K. Peeters, “Introducing cadabra: a symbolic computer algebra system for field theory problems,” Comput. Phys. Commun. **176**, 550 (2007) [arXiv:cs/0608005 [cs]].

- [148] K. Peeters, “Cadabra2: computer algebra for field theory revisited,” *J. Open Source Softw.* **3**, 1118 (2018)
- [149] S. Ferrara, M. Samsonyan, M. Tournoy and A. Van Proeyen, “The Supercurrent and Einstein equations in the Superconformal formulation,” *JHEP* **08**, 119 (2017) doi:10.1007/JHEP08(2017)119 [arXiv:1705.02272 [hep-th]].
- [150] B. Vanhecke and A. Van Proeyen, “Covariant field equations in supergravity,” *Fortsch. Phys.* **65** (2017) no.12, 1700071 [arXiv:1705.06675 [hep-th]].
- [151] P. Fayet and J. Iliopoulos, “Spontaneously Broken Supergauge Symmetries and Goldstone Spinors,” *Phys. Lett.* **51B** (1974) 461.
- [152] R. D’Auria, S. Ferrara and P. Fre, “Special and quaternionic isometries: General couplings in N=2 supergravity and the scalar potential,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **359** (1991) 705.
- [153] L. Andrianopoli, M. Bertolini, A. Ceresole, R. D’Auria, S. Ferrara and P. Fre’, “General matter coupled N=2 supergravity,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **476** (1996) 397 [hep-th/9603004].
- [154] L. Andrianopoli, M. Bertolini, A. Ceresole, R. D’Auria, S. Ferrara, P. Fre and T. Magri, “N=2 supergravity and N=2 superYang-Mills theory on general scalar manifolds: Symplectic covariance, gaugings and the momentum map,” *J. Geom. Phys.* **23** (1997) 111 [hep-th/9605032].
- [155] G. Dall’Agata, R. D’Auria, L. Sommovigo and S. Vaula, “D=4, N=2 gauged supergravity in the presence of tensor multiplets,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **682** (2004) 243 [hep-th/0312210].
- [156] M. Trigiante, “Gauged Supergravities,” *Phys. Rept.* **680** (2017) 1 [arXiv:1609.09745 [hep-th]].
- [157] A. Van Proeyen, “Supergravity with Fayet-Iliopoulos terms and R-symmetry,” *Fortsch. Phys.* **53** (2005) 997 [hep-th/0410053].
- [158] I. Antoniadis, J. P. Derendinger, F. Farakos and G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, “New Fayet-Iliopoulos terms in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity,” *JHEP* **07**, 061 (2019) [arXiv:1905.09125 [hep-th]].
- [159] R. Altendorfer and J. Bagger, “Dual supersymmetry algebras from partial supersymmetry breaking,” *Phys. Lett. B* **460**, 127-134 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9904213 [hep-th]].
- [160] R. Altendorfer and J. Bagger, “Dual anti-de Sitter superalgebras from partial supersymmetry breaking,” *Phys. Rev. D* **61**, 104004 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/9908084 [hep-th]].
- [161] R. Grimm, “Solution of the Bianchi identities in SU(2) extended superspace with constraints,” in *Unification of the Fundamental Particle Interactions*, S. Ferrara, J. Ellis and P. van Nieuwenhuizen (Eds.), Plenum Press, New York, 1980, pp. 509-523.

Supplementary file for “On 4D, $\mathcal{N} = 2$ deformed vector multiplets and partial supersymmetry breaking in off-shell supergravity”

Gregory Gold^a, Saurish Khandelwal^a,
and Gabriele Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli^a

*School of Mathematics and Physics, University of Queensland,
St Lucia, Brisbane, Queensland 4072, Australia*

g.gold@uq.edu.au; s.khandelwal@uq.edu.au;
g.tartaglino-mazzucchelli@uq.edu.au

Contents

I	Overview of the structure of this file: Fermionic component actions	2
II	General off-shell vector multiplet action	2
II.1	$\mathcal{L}_{c,0}^{\text{off-shell}}$	3
II.2	$\mathcal{L}_{c,2}^{\text{off-shell}}$	3
II.3	$\mathcal{L}_{c,4}^{\text{off-shell}}$	4
III	Off-Shell $SU(1,1)/U(1)$ sigma model	5
III.1	$\mathcal{L}_0^{\text{off}, SU(1,1)/U(1)}$	5
III.2	$\mathcal{L}_2^{\text{off}, SU(1,1)/U(1)}$	6
III.3	$\mathcal{L}_4^{\text{off}, SU(1,1)/U(1)}$	8

IV On-Shell SU(1,1)/U(1) sigma model	9
IV.1 $\mathcal{L}_{\text{bosons}}^{\text{on, SU(1,1)/U(1)}}$	10
IV.2 $\mathcal{L}_{\text{2ferms}}^{\text{on, SU(1,1)/U(1)}}$	10
IV.3 $\mathcal{L}_{\text{4ferms}}^{\text{on, SU(1,1)/U(1)}}$	11

I Overview of the structure of this file: Fermionic component actions

Here we provide the fermionic parts to component actions corresponding to those seen in the main body as generated computationally by *Cadabra* [2–4] — see [5] for information on the types of codes we use and detail of the repository where they are stored. First, we give the fermionic terms of the general gauged off-shell $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supergravity component action for deformed abelian vector multiplets in a hyper-dilaton Weyl multiplet background as discussed in Section 5 of the main paper. Second, we give the fermionic terms of the off-shell action with the specific choice of the SU(1,1)/U(1) model as discussed in Section 6.1. Lastly, we give the remaining fermionic terms of the same SU(1,1)/U(1) model but on shell as discussed in Section 6.3. Note that given their computationally generated origin, further reduction and simplification of these expressions can likely be achieved.

II General off-shell vector multiplet action

Here, we give the entire fermionic Lagrangian for the general off-shell vector multiplet action of eq. (5.1) in the paper divided as follows

$$\mathcal{L}^{\text{off-shell}} = \mathcal{L}_{c,0}^{\text{off-shell}} + \mathcal{L}_{c,2}^{\text{off-shell}} + \mathcal{L}_{c,4}^{\text{off-shell}} + \text{c.c.} , \tag{II.1}$$

where the subscript of the Lagrangian refers the order in explicit fermions. Note that implicit fermions exist here and can be seen by converting $H^{\underline{a}\underline{i}\underline{j}}$, F^{ab} , and \mathbf{F}^{ab} to $\tilde{h}^{\underline{a}\underline{i}\underline{j}}$, f^{ab} , and \mathbf{f}^{ab} , respectively, by eqs. (2.67), (2.68), and (2.44) in the main paper as well by the fact that the \mathbf{D}_a derivative in eq. (2.82) has the gravitini torsion in the spin connection.

II.1 $\mathcal{L}_{c,0}^{\text{off-shell}}$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_I\bar{\mathbf{W}}^I R + \frac{1}{2}i\mathcal{F}_I F^I + \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{F}W_{ab}W^{ab} - \frac{1}{8}i\mathcal{F}\epsilon_{abcd}W^{ab}W^{cd} - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_I W_{ab}\mathbf{F}^{abI} + \\
& \frac{1}{4}i\mathcal{F}_I\epsilon_{abcd}W^{ab}\mathbf{F}^{cdI} - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_{IJ}\mathbf{F}^{abI}\mathbf{F}^{abJ} - \frac{1}{4}i\mathcal{F}_{IJ}\epsilon_{abcd}\mathbf{F}^{abI}\mathbf{F}^{cdJ} - \frac{1}{32}\mathcal{F}_{IJ}G_{ij}{}^I G^{ijJ} + \\
& \frac{1}{32}\mathcal{F}_{IJ}\mathbf{X}_{ij}{}^I \mathbf{X}^{ijJ} + \mathcal{F}_I \mathbf{D}_a \mathbf{D}^a \bar{\mathbf{W}}^I - 4\mathcal{F}_I \bar{\mathbf{W}}^I A_a A^a - \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{F}_I W_{ab}W^{ab}\mathbf{W}^I + \\
& \frac{1}{8}i\mathcal{F}_I\epsilon_{abcd}W^{ab}W^{cd}\mathbf{W}^I - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_{IJ}W_{ab}\bar{\mathbf{W}}^I \mathbf{F}^{abJ} - \frac{1}{4}i\mathcal{F}_{IJ}\epsilon_{abcd}W^{ab}\bar{\mathbf{W}}^I \mathbf{F}^{cdJ} + \\
& \frac{1}{16}i\mathcal{F}_{JI}\mathbf{X}_{ij}{}^J G^{ijI} - \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{F}_{IJ}W_{ab}W^{ab}\bar{\mathbf{W}}^I \bar{\mathbf{W}}^J - \frac{1}{16}i\mathcal{F}_{IJ}\epsilon_{abcd}W^{ab}W^{cd}\bar{\mathbf{W}}^I \bar{\mathbf{W}}^J - \\
& 4i\mathcal{F}_I A_a \mathbf{D}^a \bar{\mathbf{W}}^I - 2i\mathcal{F}_I \bar{\mathbf{W}}^I \mathbf{D}_a A^a - 64\mathcal{F}_I \bar{\mathbf{W}}^I q^{-4} H_{aij}{}^I H^{aij} + \mathcal{F}_I \epsilon_{ij}{}^I \epsilon_{ij}{}^I \bar{\mathbf{W}}^I q^{-2} \mathbf{D}_a q^{ii} \mathbf{D}^a q^{jj} + \\
& 2\mathcal{F}_I \bar{\mathbf{W}}^I q^{-2} q_{ii} \mathbf{D}_a \mathbf{D}^a q^{ii} - 2\mathcal{F}_I \bar{\mathbf{W}}^I q^{-4} q_{ij} q_{jj} \mathbf{D}_a q^{ij} \mathbf{D}^a q^{ji}
\end{aligned}$$

II.2 $\mathcal{L}_{c,2}^{\text{off-shell}}$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_I(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^{\dot{\alpha}I}\chi_\alpha^i I + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_{IJ}(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\lambda_\alpha^i I \bar{\lambda}_i^{\dot{\alpha}J} A^a - \frac{1}{2}i\mathcal{F}_{IJ}\lambda_i{}^{\alpha I}\chi_\alpha^i J - \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{F}_{IJK}(\sigma_{ab})^{\alpha\beta}\lambda_{i\alpha}{}^I \lambda_\beta^i J \mathbf{F}^{abK} + \\
& \frac{1}{16}\mathcal{F}_{IJK}\lambda_i{}^{\alpha I}\lambda_{j\alpha}{}^J \mathbf{X}^{ijK} + \frac{1}{2}i\mathcal{F}_{IJ}(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\lambda_\alpha^i I \mathbf{D}^a \bar{\lambda}_i^{\dot{\alpha}J} + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_I(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\mathbf{W}}^I q^{-2}\rho_{\dot{\alpha}}^i \bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} A^a + \\
& \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_I(\tilde{\sigma}_{ab})_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}}W^{ab}\bar{\lambda}_i^{\dot{\beta}I} q^{-2} q^{ii} \bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{F}_{JI}(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^{\dot{\alpha}I}\lambda_{i\alpha}{}^J G^{ijI} - \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{F}_{IJK}(\sigma_{ab})^{\alpha\beta}W^{ab}\bar{\mathbf{W}}^I \lambda_{i\alpha}{}^J \lambda_\beta^i K + \\
& \frac{1}{2}i\mathcal{F}_I(\tilde{\sigma}_{ab})_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\bar{\psi}^{\dot{\alpha}I}\bar{\psi}^{\dot{\beta}J} G^{ijI} + \frac{1}{16}i\mathcal{F}_{JKI}\lambda_i{}^{\alpha J}\lambda_{j\alpha}{}^K G^{ijI} + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}\bar{\psi}_a{}^i \bar{\psi}_{bi}{}^{\dot{\alpha}} W^{ab} - \\
& \frac{1}{4}i\mathcal{F}\epsilon_{cdab}\bar{\psi}^{\dot{c}i}\bar{\psi}^{\dot{d}i} W^{ab} + \frac{1}{16}\mathcal{F}_I \bar{\mathbf{W}}^I q^{-4}\rho_{\dot{\alpha}}^i \rho_{\dot{\alpha}}^j \bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\rho}_j{}^{\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_I \bar{\lambda}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}I} \mathbf{D}_a \bar{\psi}^{\dot{\alpha}i} - \\
& \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_I \bar{\psi}_a{}^i \mathbf{D}^a \bar{\lambda}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}I} + \mathcal{F}_I(\sigma_b)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\psi_a{}^i \bar{\psi}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\mathbf{W}}^I A^a - \mathcal{F}_I(\sigma_b)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\psi_{\dot{\alpha}ai}{}^i \bar{\mathbf{W}}^I A^a - \\
& \mathcal{F}_I(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\lambda}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}I} q^{-2} q^i \rho_{\dot{\alpha}}^i A^a - \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{F}_I(\sigma_{ab})^{\alpha\beta}W^{ab}\bar{\mathbf{W}}^I q^{-2}\rho_{i\alpha}\rho_{\dot{\beta}}^i + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_I \bar{\psi}_a{}^i \bar{\psi}_{bi}{}^{\dot{\alpha}} \mathbf{F}^{abI} + \\
& \frac{1}{4}i\mathcal{F}_I\epsilon_{cdab}\bar{\psi}^{\dot{c}i}\bar{\psi}^{\dot{d}i} \mathbf{F}^{abI} - \mathcal{F}_I(\tilde{\sigma}_{ba})_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^{\dot{b}i}\mathbf{D}^a \bar{\lambda}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}I} - \mathcal{F}_I(\tilde{\sigma}_{cd})_{\dot{\rho}\dot{\alpha}}(\tilde{\sigma}_{ab})_{\dot{\beta}}^{\dot{\rho}}\bar{\psi}^{\dot{c}i}\bar{\psi}^{\dot{d}i} \mathbf{F}^{abI} + \\
& \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{F}_I(\tilde{\sigma}_{ab})_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}W^{ab}\bar{\mathbf{W}}^I q^{-2}\bar{\rho}_{\dot{\alpha}}^i \bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\beta}} - \frac{1}{48}\mathcal{F}_{IJKL}\lambda_i{}^{\alpha I}\lambda^{i\beta J}\lambda_{j\alpha}{}^K \lambda_\beta^j L - \frac{1}{4}i\mathcal{F}_I(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\mathbf{W}}^I q^{-2}\bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} \mathbf{D}^a \rho_{\dot{\alpha}}^i - \\
& \frac{1}{4}i\mathcal{F}_I(\sigma_b)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}_{ai}{}^{\dot{\alpha}} W^{ab}\lambda_\alpha^i I - \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{F}_I\epsilon^c{}_{dab}(\sigma_c)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^{\dot{\alpha}I} W^{ab}\lambda_\alpha^i I - \frac{1}{4}i\mathcal{F}_I(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\mathbf{W}}^I q^{-2}\rho_{\dot{\alpha}}^i \mathbf{D}^a \bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} + \\
& \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_I\epsilon^c{}_{dab}(\sigma_c)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^{\dot{\alpha}I} \lambda_\alpha^i \mathbf{F}^{abJ} - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_I(\tilde{\sigma}_{cd})_{\dot{\rho}\dot{\alpha}}(\tilde{\sigma}_{ab})_{\dot{\beta}}^{\dot{\rho}}\bar{\psi}^{\dot{c}i}\bar{\psi}^{\dot{d}i} W^{ab}\mathbf{W}^I - \\
& 8\mathcal{F}_I \bar{\psi}_{ai\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\mathbf{W}}^I q^{-4} q^i \bar{\rho}_{\dot{\alpha}}^j H^{aij} + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_{IJ}\psi_{ai}{}^{\alpha} \lambda_\alpha^i \mathbf{D}^a \bar{\mathbf{W}}^J + \mathcal{F}_{IJ}(\sigma_{ba})^{\beta\alpha}\psi_{i\beta} \lambda_\alpha^i \mathbf{D}^a \bar{\mathbf{W}}^J + \\
& \mathcal{F}_{IJ}(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\mathbf{W}}^I \lambda_{i\alpha}{}^J q^{-2} q^{ii} \bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} A^a + i\mathcal{F}_I \bar{\psi}_a{}^i \bar{\lambda}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}I} A^a + \frac{1}{2}i\mathcal{F}_I(\sigma_b)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\psi_a{}^i \bar{\psi}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} \mathbf{D}^a \bar{\mathbf{W}}^I - \\
& \frac{1}{2}i\mathcal{F}_I(\sigma_b)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\psi_{\dot{\alpha}ai}{}^i \bar{\mathbf{D}}^a \bar{\mathbf{W}}^I - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_I\epsilon^b{}_{cda}(\sigma_b)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\psi_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\dot{c}i}\bar{\psi}^{\dot{d}i} \mathbf{D}^a \bar{\mathbf{W}}^I - i\mathcal{F}_I(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\lambda}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}I} q^{-2} q^i \mathbf{D}^a \rho_{\dot{\alpha}}^i - \\
& \frac{1}{4}i\mathcal{F}_{IJ}(\sigma_b)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}_{ai}{}^{\dot{\alpha}} W^{ab}\mathbf{W}^I \lambda_\alpha^i J + \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{F}_{IJ}\epsilon^c{}_{dab}(\sigma_c)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^{\dot{\alpha}I} W^{ab}\mathbf{W}^I \lambda_\alpha^i J + \\
& \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_I \bar{\mathbf{W}}^I q^{-2} q^{ii} \bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} \mathbf{D}_a \bar{\psi}^{\dot{\alpha}i} + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_I \bar{\psi}_{ai\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\mathbf{W}}^I q^{-2} \bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} \mathbf{D}^a q^{ii} + \mathcal{F}_I(\tilde{\sigma}_{ba})_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^{\dot{b}i}\bar{\mathbf{W}}^I q^{-2} \bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} \mathbf{D}^a q^{ii} + \\
& \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_I \bar{\psi}_{ai\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\mathbf{W}}^I q^{-2} q^{ii} \mathbf{D}^a \bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} + \mathcal{F}_I(\tilde{\sigma}_{ba})_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^{\dot{b}i}\bar{\mathbf{W}}^I q^{-2} q^{ii} \mathbf{D}^a \bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} - 16\mathcal{F}_I \bar{\psi}_{ai\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\lambda}_j{}^{\dot{\alpha}I} q^{-4} q^i q^j H^{aij} - \\
& \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_{IJ}(\sigma_{ab})^{\beta\alpha}W^{ab}\bar{\mathbf{W}}^I \lambda_{i\beta}{}^J q^{-2} q^i \rho_{\dot{\alpha}}^i - 4i\mathcal{F}_I(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\mathbf{W}}^I q^{-4}\rho_{i\alpha}\bar{\rho}_j{}^{\dot{\alpha}} H^{aij} - \\
& i\mathcal{F}_{IJ}\psi_{ai}{}^{\alpha} \bar{\mathbf{W}}^I \lambda_\alpha^i J A^a - i\mathcal{F}_{IJ}(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\mathbf{W}}^I \lambda_{i\alpha}{}^J q^{-2} q^{ii} \mathbf{D}^a \bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} + \mathcal{F}_I \bar{\psi}_{aj\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\lambda}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}I} q^{-2} q^i \mathbf{D}^a q^{ji} + \\
& 4\mathcal{F}_I(\tilde{\sigma}_{ba})_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^{\dot{b}i}\bar{\lambda}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}I} q^{-2} q^i \mathbf{D}^a q^{ji} - \mathcal{F}_I \bar{\psi}_{ai\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\lambda}_j{}^{\dot{\alpha}I} q^{-2} q^i \mathbf{D}^a q^{ji} + 8\mathcal{F}_I \psi_{ai}{}^{\alpha} \bar{\mathbf{W}}^I q^{-4} q^i \rho_{\dot{\alpha}}^j H^{aij} - \\
& \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{F}_{IJ}\lambda_i{}^{\alpha I}\bar{\lambda}_j{}^{\dot{\alpha}J} q^{-4} q^i q^j \rho_{\dot{\alpha}}^i \bar{\rho}_j{}^{\dot{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{F}_{IJ}\lambda_i{}^{\alpha I}\bar{\lambda}_j{}^{\dot{\alpha}J} q^{-4} q^i q^j \rho_{\dot{\alpha}}^i \bar{\rho}_j{}^{\dot{\alpha}} - \\
& \frac{1}{2}i\mathcal{F}_{IJ}(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\lambda_{i\alpha}{}^I \bar{\lambda}_j{}^{\dot{\alpha}J} q^{-2} q^i \mathbf{D}^a q^{ji} - \frac{1}{2}i\mathcal{F}_{IJ}(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\lambda_{j\alpha}{}^I \bar{\lambda}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}J} q^{-2} q^i \mathbf{D}^a q^{ji} -
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_I\bar{\mathbf{W}}^I q^{-2}q_{ii}\rho^{\dot{\alpha}}\mathbf{D}_a\psi_{\dot{\alpha}}^{ai} + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_I\psi_{ai}\alpha\bar{\mathbf{W}}^I q^{-2}q^i\mathbf{D}^a\rho^{\dot{\alpha}}_{\dot{\alpha}} + \mathcal{F}_I(\sigma_{ba})^{\beta\alpha}\psi^b{}_{i\beta}\bar{\mathbf{W}}^I q^{-2}q^i\mathbf{D}^a\rho^{\dot{\alpha}}_{\dot{\alpha}} - \\
& \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_I\psi_{ai}\alpha\bar{\mathbf{W}}^I q^{-2}\rho_{i\alpha}\mathbf{D}^a q^{ii} - \mathcal{F}_I(\sigma_{ba})^{\beta\alpha}\psi^b{}_{i\beta}\bar{\mathbf{W}}^I q^{-2}\rho_{i\alpha}\mathbf{D}^a q^{ii} + \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{F}_I\epsilon^b{}_{cad}(\sigma_b)^\alpha\psi^c{}_{i\alpha}\bar{\mathbf{W}}^I\mathbf{D}^a\bar{\psi}^{\dot{\alpha}}_i - \\
& \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{F}_I\epsilon^b{}_{cad}(\sigma_b)^\alpha\bar{\psi}^c{}_{i\alpha}\bar{\mathbf{W}}^I\mathbf{D}^a\psi^d{}_i + 16\mathcal{F}_{IJ}\psi_{ai}\alpha\bar{\mathbf{W}}^I\lambda_{j\alpha}{}^J q^{-4}q^i q^j H^{aij} - \\
& 8i\mathcal{F}_{IJ}(\sigma_a)^\alpha\lambda_{i\alpha}{}^I\bar{\lambda}_j{}^J q^{-4}q^i q^j H^{aij} - \mathcal{F}_{IJ}\psi_{aj}\alpha\bar{\mathbf{W}}^I\lambda_{i\alpha}{}^J q^{-2}q^i\mathbf{D}^a q^{ji} - \\
& 4\mathcal{F}_{IJ}(\sigma_{ba})^{\beta\alpha}\psi^b{}_{j\beta}\bar{\mathbf{W}}^I\lambda_{i\alpha}{}^J q^{-2}q^i\mathbf{D}^a q^{ji} + \mathcal{F}_{IJ}\psi_{ai}\alpha\bar{\mathbf{W}}^I\lambda_{j\alpha}{}^J q^{-2}q^i\mathbf{D}^a q^{ji} - \\
& i\mathcal{F}_I(\sigma_b)^\alpha\psi_{ai\alpha}\bar{\psi}^b{}_{i\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\mathbf{W}}^I q^{-2}q^i\mathbf{D}^a q^{ji} + i\mathcal{F}_I(\sigma_b)^\alpha\psi^b{}_{i\alpha}\bar{\psi}_{aj}{}^{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\mathbf{W}}^I q^{-2}q^i\mathbf{D}^a q^{ji} - \\
& \mathcal{F}_I\epsilon^b{}_{cda}(\sigma_b)^\alpha\psi^c{}_{i\alpha}\bar{\psi}^d{}_{i\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\mathbf{W}}^I q^{-2}q^i\mathbf{D}^a q^{ji} + i\mathcal{F}_I(\sigma_b)^\alpha\psi_{aj\alpha}\bar{\psi}^b{}_{i\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\mathbf{W}}^I q^{-2}q^i\mathbf{D}^a q^{ji} - \\
& i\mathcal{F}_I(\sigma_b)^\alpha\psi^b{}_{j\alpha}\bar{\psi}_{ai}{}^{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\mathbf{W}}^I q^{-2}q^i\mathbf{D}^a q^{ji} - \mathcal{F}_I\epsilon^b{}_{cda}(\sigma_b)^\alpha\psi^c{}_{j\alpha}\bar{\psi}^d{}_{i\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\mathbf{W}}^I q^{-2}q^i\mathbf{D}^a q^{ji} - \\
& \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{F}_I\epsilon^b{}_{cde}(\sigma_b)^\alpha\psi^c{}_{i\alpha}\bar{\mathbf{W}}^I\bar{\Psi}^{de\dot{\alpha}}_i - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_I(\tilde{\sigma}_{cd})_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\bar{\lambda}^{\dot{\alpha}I}\bar{\Psi}^{cd\dot{\beta}}_i + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_I(\tilde{\sigma}_{cd})_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\bar{\mathbf{W}}^I q^{-2}q^{ii}\bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\Psi}^{cd\dot{\beta}}_i - \\
& \mathcal{F}_{IJ}(\sigma_{cd})^{\alpha\beta}\bar{\mathbf{W}}^I\lambda_{i\alpha}{}^J\Psi^{cdi}_\beta + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_I\epsilon^b{}_{cde}(\sigma_b)^\alpha\bar{\psi}^c{}_{i\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\mathbf{W}}^I\Psi^{dei}_\alpha - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_I(\sigma_{cd})^{\alpha\beta}\bar{\mathbf{W}}^I q^{-2}q_{ii}\rho^{\dot{\alpha}}_{\dot{\alpha}}\Psi^{cdi}_\beta
\end{aligned}$$

where,

$$\Psi_{abk}{}^\gamma = 2e_a{}^m e_b{}^n \mathbf{D}_{[m}\psi_{n]k}{}^\gamma, \quad \bar{\Psi}_{ab\dot{\gamma}}{}^k = 2e_a{}^m e_b{}^n \mathbf{D}_{[m}\bar{\psi}_{n]k}{}^{\dot{\gamma}}. \quad (\text{II.2})$$

II.3 $\mathcal{L}_{c,4}^{\text{off-shell}}$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{F}_{IJ}(\tilde{\sigma}_{ab})_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\bar{\psi}^a{}_{i\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^b{}_{j\dot{\beta}}\lambda^{i\alpha}{}^I\lambda_{\alpha}{}^J + \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{F}_I\psi_a{}^{i\alpha}\bar{\psi}^a{}_{i\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\mathbf{W}}^I q^{-2}\rho^{\dot{\alpha}}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{F}_{IJ}\psi_{ai}\alpha\bar{\psi}^a{}_{i\dot{\alpha}}\lambda_{\alpha}{}^I\bar{\lambda}_j{}^{\dot{\alpha}J} + \\
& \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{F}_I(\sigma_{ab})^{\beta\alpha}\psi^a{}_{i\beta}\bar{\psi}^b{}_{i\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\mathbf{W}}^I q^{-2}\rho^{\dot{\alpha}}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{F}_I(\tilde{\sigma}_{ab})_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}}\psi^a{}_{i\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^b{}_{i\dot{\beta}}\bar{\mathbf{W}}^I q^{-2}\rho^{\dot{\alpha}}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} - \\
& \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{F}_I\psi_a{}^{j\alpha}\bar{\psi}^a{}_{j\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\lambda}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}I} q^{-2}q^i\rho^{\dot{\alpha}}_{\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{F}_I\psi_a{}^{j\alpha}\bar{\psi}^a{}_{i\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\lambda}_j{}^{\dot{\alpha}I} q^{-2}q^i\rho^{\dot{\alpha}}_{\dot{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{F}_I\bar{\psi}_a{}^j{}_{\dot{\beta}}\bar{\psi}^a{}_{j\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\lambda}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}I} q^{-2}q^{ii}\bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} + \\
& \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{F}_I\bar{\psi}_a{}^j{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^a{}_{i\dot{\beta}}\bar{\lambda}_j{}^{\dot{\beta}I} q^{-2}q^{ii}\bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_{IJ}(\sigma_{ab})^{\beta\alpha}\psi^a{}_{i\beta}\bar{\psi}^b{}_{i\dot{\alpha}}\lambda_{\alpha}{}^I\bar{\lambda}_j{}^{\dot{\alpha}J} + \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{F}_{IJ}(\sigma_{ab})^{\alpha\beta}\bar{\psi}^a{}_{i\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^b{}_{j\dot{\beta}}\lambda_{i\alpha}{}^I\lambda_{\beta}{}^J - \\
& \frac{1}{4}i\mathcal{F}_I\epsilon_{abcd}\bar{\psi}^a{}_{i\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^b{}_{i\dot{\beta}}\bar{\psi}^c{}_{j\dot{\gamma}}\bar{\psi}^d{}_{j\dot{\delta}} + \frac{1}{12}i\mathcal{F}_{IJK}(\sigma_a)^\alpha\bar{\psi}^a{}_{i\dot{\alpha}}\lambda_{i\alpha}{}^I\lambda_{\beta}{}^J\lambda_{\beta}{}^K + \mathcal{F}_I(\tilde{\sigma}_{ab})_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}}\psi^a{}_{j\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^b{}_{j\dot{\beta}}\bar{\lambda}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}I} q^{-2}q^i\rho^{\dot{\alpha}}_{\dot{\alpha}} - \\
& \mathcal{F}_I(\tilde{\sigma}_{ab})_{\dot{\rho}\dot{\beta}}\bar{\psi}^a{}_{i\dot{\rho}}\bar{\psi}^b{}_{j\dot{\beta}}\bar{\lambda}_i{}^{\dot{\rho}I} q^{-2}q^{ii}\bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{16}\mathcal{F}_I\psi_{ai}\alpha\psi^{ai\beta}\bar{\mathbf{W}}^I q^{-2}\rho_{i\alpha}\rho^{\dot{\alpha}}_{\dot{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{16}\mathcal{F}_I\bar{\psi}_a{}^i{}_{\dot{\beta}}\bar{\psi}^a{}_{i\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\mathbf{W}}^I q^{-2}\bar{\rho}^{\dot{\alpha}}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\beta}} + \\
& \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{F}_I\bar{\psi}_{ai\dot{\beta}}\bar{\psi}^a{}_{j\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\mathbf{W}}^I q^{-4}q^{ii}q^{jj}\bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\rho}_j{}^{\dot{\beta}} + \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{F}_I\epsilon^a{}_{bcd}(\sigma_a)^\alpha\psi^b{}_{i\beta}\bar{\psi}^c{}_{i\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^d{}_{j\dot{\beta}}\bar{\lambda}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}I} - \\
& \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{F}_I\epsilon^a{}_{bcd}(\sigma_a)^\alpha\bar{\psi}^b{}_{i\dot{\beta}}\bar{\psi}^c{}_{i\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^d{}_{j\dot{\gamma}}\lambda_{\alpha}{}^I - \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{F}_{IJ}\psi_{ai}\alpha\bar{\psi}^a{}_{i\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\mathbf{W}}^I\lambda_{j\alpha}{}^J q^{-2}q^{ii}\bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} + \\
& \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{F}_{IJ}\psi_a{}^{j\alpha}\bar{\psi}^a{}_{j\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\mathbf{W}}^I\lambda_{i\alpha}{}^J q^{-2}q^{ii}\bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{4}i\mathcal{F}_I(\sigma_b)^\alpha\psi_a{}^j{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^a{}_{j\dot{\beta}}\bar{\lambda}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}I} - \\
& \frac{1}{4}i\mathcal{F}_I(\sigma_a)^\alpha\psi^a{}_{i\dot{\beta}}\bar{\psi}^a{}_{i\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\lambda}_j{}^{\dot{\beta}I} - \frac{1}{8}i\mathcal{F}_{IJ}(\sigma_a)^\alpha\bar{\psi}^a{}_{j\dot{\alpha}}\lambda_{\alpha}{}^J\bar{\lambda}_i{}^{\dot{\beta}I} q^{-2}q^{ii}\bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} - \\
& \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{F}_I(\sigma_{ab})^{\rho\alpha}\psi^a{}_{i\rho}\psi^{bi\beta}\bar{\mathbf{W}}^I q^{-2}\rho_{i\alpha}\rho^{\dot{\alpha}}_{\dot{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{F}_I(\tilde{\sigma}_{ab})_{\dot{\rho}\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^a{}_{i\dot{\rho}}\bar{\psi}^b{}_{i\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\mathbf{W}}^I q^{-2}\bar{\rho}^{\dot{\alpha}}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\beta}} + \\
& \mathcal{F}_{IJ}(\sigma_{ab})^{\beta\alpha}\psi^a{}_{j\beta}\bar{\psi}^b{}_{j\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\mathbf{W}}^I\lambda_{i\alpha}{}^J q^{-2}q^{ii}\bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{8}i\mathcal{F}_I(\sigma_a)^\alpha\bar{\psi}^a{}_{i\dot{\beta}}\bar{\mathbf{W}}^I q^{-4}q^{ij}\rho^{\dot{\alpha}}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\rho}_j{}^{\dot{\beta}} - \\
& \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{F}_I\psi_{ai}\alpha\bar{\psi}^a{}_{j\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\mathbf{W}}^I q^{-4}q^{ij}q^j\rho^{\dot{\alpha}}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\rho}_j{}^{\dot{\beta}} - \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{F}_I\epsilon^a{}_{bcd}(\sigma_a)^\alpha\psi^b{}_{i\beta}\bar{\psi}^c{}_{j\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^d{}_{i\dot{\beta}}\bar{\mathbf{W}}^I q^{-2}q^{ii}\bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} - \\
& \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{F}_{IJ}\psi_{aj}{}^{\beta}\psi^a{}_{j\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\mathbf{W}}^I\lambda_{i\beta}{}^J q^{-2}q^i\rho^{\dot{\alpha}}_{\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{F}_{IJ}\psi_{aj}\alpha\psi^a{}_{i\dot{\beta}}\bar{\mathbf{W}}^I\lambda_{\beta}{}^J q^{-2}q^i\rho^{\dot{\alpha}}_{\dot{\alpha}} + \\
& \frac{1}{4}i\mathcal{F}_I(\sigma_a)^\alpha\bar{\psi}^a{}_{i\dot{\beta}}\bar{\lambda}_j{}^{\dot{\beta}I} q^{-4}q^i q^j\rho^{\dot{\alpha}}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\rho}_j{}^{\dot{\beta}} + \frac{1}{4}i\mathcal{F}_I(\sigma_a)^\alpha\bar{\psi}^a{}_{i\dot{\beta}}\bar{\lambda}_j{}^{\dot{\beta}I} q^{-4}q^{ij}q^j\rho^{\dot{\alpha}}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\rho}_j{}^{\dot{\beta}} - \\
& \frac{1}{4}i\mathcal{F}_I(\sigma_b)^\alpha\psi_a{}^j{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^a{}_{j\dot{\beta}}\bar{\psi}^b{}_{i\dot{\gamma}}\bar{\mathbf{W}}^I q^{-2}q^{ii}\bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{4}i\mathcal{F}_I(\sigma_a)^\alpha\psi^a{}_{i\dot{\beta}}\bar{\psi}^a{}_{j\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^b{}_{j\dot{\gamma}}\bar{\mathbf{W}}^I q^{-2}q^{ii}\bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} +
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{8}i\mathcal{F}_{IJ}(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\beta}}\bar{\psi}^{aj}{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\lambda_{i\alpha}{}^I\bar{\lambda}_j^{\dot{\beta}J}q^{-2}q^{i\dot{i}}\bar{\rho}_{\dot{i}\dot{\alpha}}{}^\alpha - \frac{1}{8}i\mathcal{F}_{IJ}(\sigma_a)^\beta{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\psi^a{}_j{}^\alpha\lambda_j^{\dot{\beta}I}\bar{\lambda}_i^{\dot{\alpha}J}q^{-2}q^i{}_{\dot{i}}\rho^{\dot{i}\alpha}{}_{\dot{\beta}} + \\
& \frac{1}{8}i\mathcal{F}_{IJ}(\sigma_a)^\beta{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\psi^{aj\alpha}{}_{\dot{\beta}}\lambda_{i\beta}{}^I\bar{\lambda}_j^{\dot{\alpha}J}q^{-2}q^i{}_{\dot{i}}\rho^{\dot{i}\alpha}{}_{\dot{\beta}} - \mathcal{F}_{IJ}(\sigma_{ab})^{\rho\beta}\psi^a{}_{j\rho}\psi^{bj\alpha}\bar{\mathbb{W}}^I\lambda_{i\beta}{}^Jq^{-2}q^i{}_{\dot{i}}\rho^{\dot{i}\alpha}{}_{\dot{\beta}} - \\
& \frac{1}{8}i\mathcal{F}_I(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\beta}}\bar{\psi}^a{}_{i\dot{\beta}}\bar{\mathbb{W}}^Iq^{-4}q^i{}_{\dot{i}}\rho^{\dot{i}\alpha}{}_{\dot{\beta}}\bar{\rho}_{\dot{j}\dot{\alpha}}{}^{\dot{\beta}} + \frac{1}{8}i\mathcal{F}_I(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\beta}}\psi^a{}_{i\dot{\beta}}\bar{\mathbb{W}}^Iq^{-4}q^i{}_{\dot{i}}\rho^{\dot{i}\alpha}{}_{\dot{\beta}}\rho^{\dot{j}\beta}{}_{\dot{\alpha}} - \\
& \frac{1}{8}i\mathcal{F}_I(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\beta}}\psi^a{}_{i\dot{\beta}}\bar{\mathbb{W}}^Iq^{-4}q^{ij}{}_{\dot{i}\dot{j}}\rho_{i\alpha}\rho^{\dot{j}\beta}{}_{\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{8}\mathcal{F}_I\psi_{ai}{}^\beta\psi^a{}_j{}^\alpha\bar{\mathbb{W}}^Iq^{-4}q^i{}_{\dot{i}}q^j{}_{\dot{j}}\rho^{\dot{i}\alpha}{}_{\dot{\beta}}\rho^{\dot{j}\beta}{}_{\dot{\alpha}} - \\
& \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{F}_I\epsilon^a{}_{bcd}(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\beta}}\psi^b{}_{i\alpha}\bar{\psi}^{cj\dot{\beta}d}{}_{j\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\mathbb{W}}^Iq^{-2}q^{i\dot{i}}\bar{\rho}_{\dot{i}\dot{\alpha}}{}^\alpha + \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{F}_I\epsilon^a{}_{bcd}(\sigma_a)^\beta{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\psi^b{}_{j\beta}\psi^{cj\alpha}\bar{\psi}^d{}_{i\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\mathbb{W}}^Iq^{-2}q^i{}_{\dot{i}}\rho^{\dot{i}\alpha}{}_{\dot{\beta}} + \\
& \frac{1}{4}\mathcal{F}_I\epsilon^a{}_{bcd}(\sigma_a)^\beta{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\psi^b{}_{i\beta}\psi^{cj\alpha}\bar{\psi}^d{}_{j\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\mathbb{W}}^Iq^{-2}q^i{}_{\dot{i}}\rho^{\dot{i}\alpha}{}_{\dot{\beta}} - \frac{1}{4}i\mathcal{F}_I(\sigma_b)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\beta}}\psi_{ai\alpha}\bar{\psi}^{aj}{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^b{}_{j\dot{\beta}}\bar{\mathbb{W}}^Iq^{-2}q^{i\dot{i}}\bar{\rho}_{\dot{i}\dot{\alpha}}{}^\alpha + \\
& \frac{1}{4}i\mathcal{F}_I(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\beta}}\psi^a{}_{i\alpha}\bar{\psi}_b{}^{j\dot{\beta}}\bar{\psi}^b{}_{j\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\mathbb{W}}^Iq^{-2}q^{i\dot{i}}\bar{\rho}_{\dot{i}\dot{\alpha}}{}^\alpha + \frac{1}{4}i\mathcal{F}_I(\sigma_b)^\beta{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\psi_{aj\beta}\psi^{aj\alpha}\bar{\psi}^b{}_{i\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\mathbb{W}}^Iq^{-2}q^i{}_{\dot{i}}\rho^{\dot{i}\alpha}{}_{\dot{\beta}} - \\
& \frac{1}{4}i\mathcal{F}_I(\sigma_b)^\beta{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\psi_{ai\beta}\psi^{aj\alpha}\bar{\psi}^b{}_{j\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\mathbb{W}}^Iq^{-2}q^i{}_{\dot{i}}\rho^{\dot{i}\alpha}{}_{\dot{\beta}} - \frac{1}{4}i\mathcal{F}_I(\sigma_b)^\beta{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\psi_{aj}{}^\alpha\psi^{bj}{}_{\dot{\beta}}\bar{\psi}^a{}_{i\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\mathbb{W}}^Iq^{-2}q^i{}_{\dot{i}}\rho^{\dot{i}\alpha}{}_{\dot{\beta}} - \\
& \frac{1}{4}i\mathcal{F}_I(\sigma_b)^\beta{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\psi_a{}^{j\alpha}\psi^b{}_{i\beta}\bar{\psi}^a{}_{j\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\mathbb{W}}^Iq^{-2}q^i{}_{\dot{i}}\rho^{\dot{i}\alpha}{}_{\dot{\beta}} - \frac{1}{4}i\mathcal{F}_{IJ}(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\beta}}\psi^a{}_{i\dot{\beta}}\bar{\mathbb{W}}^I\lambda_{j\beta}{}^Jq^{-4}q^i{}_{\dot{i}}q^j{}_{\dot{j}}\rho^{\dot{i}\alpha}{}_{\dot{\beta}}\bar{\rho}_{\dot{j}\dot{\alpha}}{}^\alpha - \\
& \frac{1}{4}i\mathcal{F}_{IJ}(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\beta}}\psi^a{}_{i\dot{\beta}}\bar{\mathbb{W}}^I\lambda_{j\beta}{}^Jq^{-4}q^{ij}{}_{\dot{i}\dot{j}}\rho^{\dot{i}\alpha}{}_{\dot{\beta}}\bar{\rho}_{\dot{j}\dot{\alpha}}{}^\alpha
\end{aligned}$$

III Off-Shell SU(1,1)/U(1) sigma model

Here, we give the entire fermionic Lagrangian for the SU(1,1)/U(1) off-shell model corresponding to eq. (6.3) of the main paper divided as follows

$$\mathcal{L}^{\text{off-shell, SU(1,1)/U(1)}} = \mathcal{L}_0^{\text{off, SU(1,1)/U(1)}} + \mathcal{L}_2^{\text{off, SU(1,1)/U(1)}} + \mathcal{L}_4^{\text{off, SU(1,1)/U(1)}}, \quad (\text{III.1})$$

where once again the subscript of the Lagrangian refers the order in explicit fermions. Note again that implicit fermions exist here and can be seen by converting $H^{ai\dot{j}}$, F^{ab} , and \mathbf{F}^{ab} to $\tilde{h}^{ai\dot{j}}$, f^{ab} , and \mathbf{f}^{ab} , respectively, by eqs. (2.67), (2.68), and (2.44) in the main paper and that the \mathbf{D}_a derivative has gravitini hidden in the spin connection.

III.1 $\mathcal{L}_0^{\text{off, SU(1,1)/U(1)}}$

$$\begin{aligned}
& c\phi\bar{\phi}R + c\bar{\phi}\phi R - 2cF_{ab}\mathbf{F}^{ab} + \frac{1}{8}cX_{ij}\mathbf{X}^{ij} - cW_{ab}\bar{\phi}F^{ab} - \frac{1}{2}ic\epsilon_{cdab}W^{cd}\bar{\phi}F^{ab} - \\
& cW_{ab}\bar{\phi}\mathbf{F}^{ab} - \frac{1}{2}ic\epsilon_{abcd}W^{ab}\bar{\phi}\mathbf{F}^{cd} - cW_{ab}\phi F^{ab} + \frac{1}{2}ic\epsilon_{cdab}W^{cd}\phi F^{ab} - \\
& cW_{ab}\phi\mathbf{F}^{ab} + \frac{1}{2}ic\epsilon_{abcd}W^{ab}\phi\mathbf{F}^{cd} + c\phi\mathbf{D}_a\mathbf{D}^a\bar{\phi} + c\bar{\phi}\mathbf{D}_a\mathbf{D}^a\phi + c\phi\mathbf{D}_a\mathbf{D}^a\bar{\phi} - \\
& 8c\phi\bar{\phi}A_aA^a + c\bar{\phi}\mathbf{D}_a\mathbf{D}^a\phi - 8c\bar{\phi}\phi A_aA^a - \frac{1}{2}cW_{ab}W^{ab}\bar{\phi}\bar{\phi} - \frac{1}{4}ic\epsilon_{abcd}W^{ab}W^{cd}\bar{\phi}\bar{\phi} - \\
& \frac{1}{2}cW_{ab}W^{ab}\phi\phi + \frac{1}{4}ic\epsilon_{abcd}W^{ab}W^{cd}\phi\phi - 4ic\phi A_a\mathbf{D}^a\bar{\phi} + 4ic\bar{\phi}A_a\mathbf{D}^a\phi - \\
& 4ic\phi A_a\mathbf{D}^a\bar{\phi} + 4ic\bar{\phi}A_a\mathbf{D}^a\phi - 128c\phi\bar{\phi}q^{-4}H_{ai\dot{j}}H^{ai\dot{j}} - 128c\bar{\phi}\phi q^{-4}H_{ai\dot{j}}H^{ai\dot{j}} + \\
& 2c\epsilon_{ij}\epsilon_{ij}\bar{\phi}\bar{\phi}q^{-2}\mathbf{D}_a q^{i\dot{i}}\mathbf{D}^a q^{j\dot{j}} + 4c\phi\bar{\phi}q^{-2}q_{i\dot{i}}\mathbf{D}_a\mathbf{D}^a q^{i\dot{i}} + 2c\epsilon_{ij}\epsilon_{ij}\bar{\phi}\phi q^{-2}\mathbf{D}_a q^{i\dot{i}}\mathbf{D}^a q^{j\dot{j}} + \\
& 4c\phi\bar{\phi}q^{-2}q_{i\dot{i}}\mathbf{D}_a\mathbf{D}^a q^{i\dot{i}} - 4c\phi\bar{\phi}q^{-4}q_{i\dot{i}}q_{j\dot{j}}\mathbf{D}_a q^{i\dot{i}}\mathbf{D}^a q^{j\dot{j}} - 4c\bar{\phi}\phi q^{-4}q_{i\dot{i}}q_{j\dot{j}}\mathbf{D}_a q^{i\dot{i}}\mathbf{D}^a q^{j\dot{j}} + \\
& \frac{1}{4}\xi_{ij}q^{i\dot{i}}q^{j\dot{j}}X_{ij} + \frac{4}{3}\xi_{ij}\epsilon^{mnpq}h_{mnp}{}^{\dot{i}\dot{j}}v_q
\end{aligned}$$

III.2 $\mathcal{L}_2^{\text{off, SU}(1,1)/\text{U}(1)}$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{1}{16} \text{ic} \zeta_{\underline{i}\underline{j}} + \frac{1}{8} \xi_{\underline{i}\underline{j}} \right) \phi \rho^{\dot{\alpha}} \rho^{\dot{\alpha}} - \left(\frac{1}{4} \text{ic} \zeta_{\underline{i}\underline{j}} + \frac{1}{2} \xi_{\underline{i}\underline{j}} \right) \lambda_i{}^\alpha q^{i\dot{j}} \rho^{\dot{\alpha}} + \left(\frac{1}{4} \text{ic} \zeta_{\underline{i}\underline{j}} - \frac{1}{2} \xi_{\underline{i}\underline{j}} \right) \bar{\lambda}_{\dot{\alpha}} q^{i\dot{j}} \bar{\rho}^{\dot{\alpha}} + \\
& \left(\frac{1}{4} \text{c} \zeta_{\underline{i}\underline{j}} - \frac{1}{2} \text{i} \xi_{\underline{i}\underline{j}} \right) (\sigma_a)^\alpha \bar{\psi}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} \phi q^{i\dot{j}} \rho^{\dot{\alpha}} + \left(-\frac{1}{16} \text{ic} \zeta_{\underline{i}\underline{j}} + \frac{1}{8} \xi_{\underline{i}\underline{j}} \right) \bar{\phi} \bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} + \\
& \left(\frac{1}{4} \text{c} \zeta_{\underline{i}\underline{j}} + \frac{1}{2} \text{i} \xi_{\underline{i}\underline{j}} \right) (\sigma_a)^\alpha \psi_a{}_{i\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\lambda}_j{}^{\dot{\alpha}} q^{i\dot{j}} - \left(\frac{1}{4} \text{c} \zeta_{\underline{i}\underline{j}} - \frac{1}{2} \text{i} \xi_{\underline{i}\underline{j}} \right) (\sigma_a)^\alpha \bar{\psi}_j{}^{\dot{\alpha}} \lambda_{i\dot{\alpha}} q^{i\dot{j}} - \\
& \left(\frac{1}{4} \text{c} \zeta_{\underline{i}\underline{j}} + \frac{1}{2} \text{i} \xi_{\underline{i}\underline{j}} \right) (\sigma_a)^\alpha \psi_a{}_{i\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\phi} q^{i\dot{j}} \bar{\rho}^{\dot{\alpha}} + \left(\frac{1}{2} \text{ic} \zeta_{\underline{i}\underline{j}} + \xi_{\underline{i}\underline{j}} \right) (\tilde{\sigma}_{ab})_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \bar{\psi}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\psi}_j{}^{\dot{\beta}} \phi q^{i\dot{j}} + \\
& \left(-\frac{1}{2} \text{ic} \zeta_{\underline{i}\underline{j}} + \xi_{\underline{i}\underline{j}} \right) (\sigma_{ab})^{\alpha\beta} \psi_a{}_{i\dot{\alpha}} \psi_b{}_{j\dot{\beta}} \bar{\phi} q^{i\dot{j}} + c(\sigma_a)^\alpha \lambda_i{}^\alpha \bar{\lambda}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} A^a - \\
& c(\sigma_a)^\alpha \bar{\lambda}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} \lambda_i{}^\alpha A^a + \frac{1}{2} \text{ic} (\sigma_a)^\alpha \bar{\lambda}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} \mathbf{D}^a \lambda_i{}^\alpha + \frac{1}{2} \text{ic} (\sigma_a)^\alpha \bar{\lambda}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} \mathbf{D}^a \lambda_i{}^\alpha + \\
& \frac{1}{2} \text{ic} (\sigma_a)^\alpha \lambda_i{}^\alpha \mathbf{D}^a \bar{\lambda}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{2} \text{ic} (\sigma_a)^\alpha \lambda_i{}^\alpha \mathbf{D}^a \bar{\lambda}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} + c(\sigma_a)^\alpha \phi \bar{\phi} q^{-2} \rho^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} A^a + \\
& c(\sigma_a)^\alpha \bar{\phi} \phi q^{-2} \rho^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} A^a + c(\tilde{\sigma}_{ab})_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}} W^{ab} \phi \bar{\lambda}_i{}^{\dot{\beta}} q^{-2} q^{i\dot{j}} \bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} + c(\tilde{\sigma}_{ab})_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}} W^{ab} \phi \bar{\lambda}_i{}^{\dot{\beta}} q^{-2} q^{i\dot{j}} \bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} + \\
& \frac{1}{2} c \epsilon^c{}_{dab} (\sigma_c)^\alpha \psi^{di} \bar{\lambda}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} F^{ab} + \frac{1}{2} c \epsilon^c{}_{dab} (\sigma_c)^\alpha \psi^{di} \bar{\lambda}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} F^{ab} + \frac{1}{2} c \epsilon^c{}_{dab} (\sigma_c)^\alpha \bar{\psi}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} \lambda_i{}^\alpha F^{ab} + \\
& \frac{1}{2} c \epsilon^c{}_{dab} (\sigma_c)^\alpha \bar{\psi}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} \lambda_i{}^\alpha F^{ab} + \frac{1}{2} c \bar{\phi} \lambda_i{}^\alpha \mathbf{D}_a \psi^{ai} - \frac{1}{2} c \psi_{ai}{}^\alpha \bar{\phi} \mathbf{D}^a \lambda_i{}^\alpha - \\
& c(\sigma_{ba})^{\beta\alpha} \psi_b{}_{i\dot{\beta}} \bar{\phi} \mathbf{D}^a \lambda_i{}^\alpha - \frac{1}{2} c \psi_{ai}{}^\alpha \bar{\phi} \mathbf{D}^a \lambda_i{}^\alpha + \frac{1}{2} c \psi_{ai}{}^\alpha \lambda_i{}^\alpha \mathbf{D}^a \bar{\phi} + c(\sigma_{ba})^{\beta\alpha} \psi_b{}_{i\dot{\beta}} \lambda_i{}^\alpha \mathbf{D}^a \bar{\phi} + \\
& \frac{1}{2} c \bar{\phi} \lambda_i{}^\alpha \mathbf{D}_a \psi^{ai} - \frac{1}{2} c \psi_{ai}{}^\alpha \psi_b{}_{i\dot{\beta}} \bar{\phi} F^{ab} + \frac{1}{4} \text{ic} \epsilon_{cdab} \psi_c{}_{i\dot{\alpha}} \psi^{di} \bar{\phi} F^{ab} - \\
& \frac{1}{2} c \psi_{ai}{}^\alpha \psi_b{}_{i\dot{\beta}} \bar{\phi} F^{ab} + \frac{1}{4} \text{ic} \epsilon_{cdab} \psi_c{}_{i\dot{\alpha}} \psi^{di} \bar{\phi} F^{ab} + \frac{1}{2} c \phi \bar{\lambda}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} \mathbf{D}_a \bar{\psi}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{2} c \bar{\psi}_a{}_{i\dot{\alpha}} \phi \mathbf{D}^a \bar{\lambda}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} + \\
& \frac{1}{2} c \bar{\psi}_a{}_{i\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\lambda}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} \mathbf{D}^a \phi + c(\tilde{\sigma}_{ba})_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\psi}^{bi\dot{\beta}} \bar{\lambda}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} \mathbf{D}^a \phi + \frac{1}{2} c \bar{\psi}_a{}_{i\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\lambda}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} \mathbf{D}^a \phi + c(\tilde{\sigma}_{ba})_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\psi}^{bi\dot{\beta}} \bar{\lambda}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} \mathbf{D}^a \phi - \\
& \frac{1}{2} c \bar{\psi}_a{}_{i\dot{\alpha}} \phi \mathbf{D}^a \bar{\lambda}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{2} c \phi \bar{\lambda}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} \mathbf{D}_a \bar{\psi}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} - 2c(\sigma_a)^\alpha \phi \bar{\lambda}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} q^{-2} q^i \rho^{\dot{\alpha}} A^a - \\
& 2c(\sigma_a)^\alpha \phi \bar{\lambda}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} q^{-2} q^i \rho^{\dot{\alpha}} A^a + \frac{1}{8} c \phi \bar{\phi} q^{-4} \rho^{\dot{\alpha}} \rho^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\rho}_j{}^{\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{8} c \phi \bar{\phi} q^{-4} \rho^{\dot{\alpha}} \rho^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\rho}_j{}^{\dot{\alpha}} + \\
& c(\sigma_{cd})^{\rho\alpha} (\sigma_{ab})_\rho{}^\beta \psi_c{}_{i\dot{\alpha}} \psi^{di} \bar{\phi} F^{ab} + c(\sigma_{cd})^{\rho\alpha} (\sigma_{ab})_\rho{}^\beta \psi_c{}_{i\dot{\alpha}} \psi^{di} \bar{\phi} F^{ab} - c(\sigma_{ba})^{\beta\alpha} \psi_b{}_{i\dot{\beta}} \bar{\phi} \mathbf{D}^a \lambda_i{}^\alpha - \\
& \frac{1}{4} c(\sigma_{ab})^{\alpha\beta} W^{ab} \bar{\phi} \phi q^{-2} \rho_{i\alpha} \rho^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\rho}_j{}^{\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{2} c \psi_{ai}{}^\alpha \lambda_i{}^\alpha \mathbf{D}^a \bar{\phi} + \\
& c(\sigma_{ba})^{\beta\alpha} \psi_b{}_{i\dot{\beta}} \lambda_i{}^\alpha \mathbf{D}^a \bar{\phi} + \frac{1}{2} c \bar{\psi}_a{}_{i\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\psi}_{bi}{}^{\dot{\alpha}} \phi F^{ab} + \frac{1}{4} \text{ic} \epsilon_{cdab} \bar{\psi}^{ci} \bar{\psi}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} \phi F^{ab} + \\
& \frac{1}{2} c \bar{\psi}_a{}_{i\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\psi}_{bi}{}^{\dot{\alpha}} \phi F^{ab} + \frac{1}{4} \text{ic} \epsilon_{cdab} \bar{\psi}^{ci} \bar{\psi}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} \phi F^{ab} - c(\tilde{\sigma}_{ba})_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\psi}^{bi\dot{\beta}} \phi \mathbf{D}^a \bar{\lambda}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} - \\
& c(\tilde{\sigma}_{ba})_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\psi}^{bi\dot{\beta}} \phi \mathbf{D}^a \bar{\lambda}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} + 2c(\sigma_a)^\alpha \bar{\phi} \lambda_{i\dot{\alpha}} q^{-2} q^{i\dot{j}} \bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} A^a + 2c(\sigma_a)^\alpha \bar{\phi} \lambda_{i\dot{\alpha}} q^{-2} q^{i\dot{j}} \bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} A^a - \\
& c(\tilde{\sigma}_{cd})_{\dot{\rho}\dot{\alpha}} (\tilde{\sigma}_{ab})_{\dot{\beta}}{}^\rho \bar{\psi}^{ci\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\psi}_i{}^{\dot{\beta}} \phi F^{ab} - c(\tilde{\sigma}_{cd})_{\dot{\rho}\dot{\alpha}} (\tilde{\sigma}_{ab})_{\dot{\beta}}{}^\rho \bar{\psi}^{ci\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\psi}_i{}^{\dot{\beta}} \phi F^{ab} + \frac{1}{4} c(\tilde{\sigma}_{ab})_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} W^{ab} \bar{\phi} \phi q^{-2} \bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\rho}_j{}^{\dot{\beta}} + \\
& \frac{1}{4} c(\tilde{\sigma}_{ab})_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} W^{ab} \bar{\phi} \phi q^{-2} \bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\rho}_j{}^{\dot{\beta}} - \frac{1}{2} \text{ic} (\sigma_a)^\alpha \phi \bar{\phi} q^{-2} \bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} \mathbf{D}^a \rho^{\dot{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{2} \text{ic} (\sigma_a)^\alpha \phi \bar{\phi} q^{-2} \bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} \mathbf{D}^a \rho^{\dot{\alpha}} - \\
& \frac{1}{2} \text{ic} (\sigma_a)^\alpha \phi \bar{\phi} q^{-2} \rho^{\dot{\alpha}} \mathbf{D}^a \bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{2} \text{ic} (\sigma_a)^\alpha \phi \bar{\phi} q^{-2} \rho^{\dot{\alpha}} \mathbf{D}^a \bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{12} c \psi_{ai}{}^\alpha \psi_b{}_{i\dot{\beta}} W^{ab} \bar{\phi} \bar{\phi} - \\
& \frac{1}{12} c \psi_{ai}{}^\alpha \psi_b{}_{i\dot{\beta}} W^{ab} \bar{\phi} \bar{\phi} + c(\sigma_{cd})^{\rho\alpha} (\sigma_{ab})_\rho{}^\beta \psi_c{}_{i\dot{\alpha}} \psi^{di} W^{ab} \bar{\phi} \bar{\phi} - \frac{1}{2} c \psi_{ai}{}^\alpha \psi_b{}_{i\dot{\beta}} W^{ab} \bar{\phi} \bar{\phi} - \\
& \frac{1}{4} \text{ic} \epsilon_{cdab} \psi_c{}_{i\dot{\alpha}} \psi^{di} W^{ab} \bar{\phi} \bar{\phi} - c(\sigma_{ab})^{\beta\alpha} W^{ab} \bar{\phi} \lambda_{i\dot{\beta}} q^{-2} q^i \rho^{\dot{\alpha}} - c(\sigma_{ab})^{\beta\alpha} W^{ab} \bar{\phi} \lambda_{i\dot{\beta}} q^{-2} q^i \rho^{\dot{\alpha}} - \\
& c(\tilde{\sigma}_{cd})_{\dot{\rho}\dot{\alpha}} (\tilde{\sigma}_{ab})_{\dot{\beta}}{}^\rho \bar{\psi}^{ci\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\psi}_i{}^{\dot{\beta}} W^{ab} \phi \phi + \frac{1}{2} c \bar{\psi}_a{}_{i\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\psi}_{bi}{}^{\dot{\alpha}} W^{ab} \phi \phi - \frac{1}{4} \text{ic} \epsilon_{cdab} \bar{\psi}^{ci} \bar{\psi}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} W^{ab} \phi \phi - \\
& 16c \bar{\psi}_{ai\dot{\alpha}} \phi \bar{\phi} q^{-4} q^i \bar{\rho}_j{}^{\dot{\alpha}} H^{aij} - 16c \bar{\psi}_{ai\dot{\alpha}} \phi \bar{\phi} q^{-4} q^i \bar{\rho}_j{}^{\dot{\alpha}} H^{aij} - 2\text{ic} \psi_{ai}{}^\alpha \bar{\phi} \lambda_i{}^\alpha A^a - \\
& 2\text{ic} \psi_{ai}{}^\alpha \bar{\phi} \lambda_i{}^\alpha A^a + \frac{1}{2} \text{ic} (\sigma_b)^\alpha \psi_a{}_{i\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\psi}_i{}^{\dot{\beta}} \bar{\phi} \mathbf{D}^a \phi - \frac{1}{2} \text{ic} (\sigma_b)^\alpha \psi_a{}_{i\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\psi}_i{}^{\dot{\beta}} \bar{\phi} \mathbf{D}^a \phi + \\
& \frac{1}{2} c \epsilon^b{}_{cda} (\sigma_b)^\alpha \psi^{ci} \bar{\psi}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\phi} \mathbf{D}^a \phi + \frac{1}{2} \text{ic} (\sigma_b)^\alpha \psi_a{}_{i\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\psi}_i{}^{\dot{\beta}} \bar{\phi} \mathbf{D}^a \phi - \frac{1}{2} \text{ic} (\sigma_b)^\alpha \psi_a{}_{i\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\psi}_i{}^{\dot{\beta}} \bar{\phi} \mathbf{D}^a \phi + \\
& \frac{1}{2} c \epsilon^b{}_{cda} (\sigma_b)^\alpha \psi^{ci} \bar{\psi}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\phi} \mathbf{D}^a \phi + 2\text{ic} \bar{\psi}_a{}_{i\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\phi} \bar{\lambda}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} A^a + 2\text{ic} \bar{\psi}_a{}_{i\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\phi} \bar{\lambda}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} A^a + \\
& \frac{1}{2} \text{ic} (\sigma_b)^\alpha \psi_a{}_{i\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\psi}_i{}^{\dot{\beta}} \bar{\phi} \mathbf{D}^a \bar{\phi} - \frac{1}{2} \text{ic} (\sigma_b)^\alpha \psi_a{}_{i\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\psi}_i{}^{\dot{\beta}} \bar{\phi} \mathbf{D}^a \bar{\phi} - \frac{1}{2} c \epsilon^b{}_{cda} (\sigma_b)^\alpha \psi^{ci} \bar{\psi}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} \phi \mathbf{D}^a \bar{\phi} +
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2}c\epsilon^a{}_{bcd}(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_\beta\psi^{bj}\bar{\psi}^c{}_{j\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^{d\dot{\beta}}{}_i\bar{\phi}\bar{\phi}q^{-2}q^{ii}\bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{2}c\epsilon^a{}_{bcd}(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_\beta\psi^{bj}\bar{\psi}^c{}_{j\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^{d\dot{\beta}}{}_i\bar{\phi}\bar{\phi}q^{-2}q^{ii}\bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} + \\
& \frac{1}{2}ic(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^a{}_{i\dot{\beta}}\bar{\phi}\bar{\lambda}_j{}^{\dot{\beta}}q^{-4}q^i{}_{\underline{i}}q^{jj}\rho^i{}_{\alpha}\bar{\rho}_j{}^{\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{2}ic(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^a{}_{i\dot{\beta}}\bar{\phi}\bar{\lambda}_j{}^{\dot{\beta}}q^{-4}q^{ij}q^j{}_{\underline{i}}\rho^i{}_{\alpha}\bar{\rho}_j{}^{\dot{\alpha}} + \\
& \frac{1}{2}ic(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^a{}_{i\dot{\beta}}\bar{\phi}\bar{\lambda}_j{}^{\dot{\beta}}q^{-4}q^i{}_{\underline{i}}q^{jj}\rho^i{}_{\alpha}\bar{\rho}_j{}^{\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{2}ic(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^a{}_{i\dot{\beta}}\bar{\phi}\bar{\lambda}_j{}^{\dot{\beta}}q^{-4}q^{ij}q^j{}_{\underline{i}}\rho^i{}_{\alpha}\bar{\rho}_j{}^{\dot{\alpha}} - \\
& \frac{1}{2}ic(\sigma_b)^\alpha{}_\beta\psi_a{}^j{}_\alpha\bar{\psi}^a{}_{j\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^{b\dot{\beta}}{}_i\bar{\phi}\bar{\phi}q^{-2}q^{ii}\bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{2}ic(\sigma_b)^\alpha{}_\beta\psi_a{}^j{}_\alpha\bar{\psi}^a{}_{j\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^{b\dot{\beta}}{}_i\bar{\phi}\bar{\phi}q^{-2}q^{ii}\bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} + \\
& \frac{1}{2}ic(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_\beta\psi^{aj}{}_\alpha\bar{\psi}_{bj\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^{b\dot{\beta}}{}_i\bar{\phi}\bar{\phi}q^{-2}q^{ii}\bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{2}ic(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_\beta\psi^{aj}{}_\alpha\bar{\psi}_{bj\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^{b\dot{\beta}}{}_i\bar{\phi}\bar{\phi}q^{-2}q^{ii}\bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} - \\
& \frac{1}{4}ic(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^a{}_{i\dot{\beta}}\bar{\phi}\bar{\phi}q^{-4}q^i{}_{\underline{i}}\rho^i{}_{\alpha}\bar{\rho}_j{}^{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\rho}_j{}^{\dot{\beta}} - \frac{1}{4}ic(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^a{}_{i\dot{\beta}}\bar{\phi}\bar{\phi}q^{-4}q^i{}_{\underline{i}}\rho^i{}_{\alpha}\bar{\rho}_j{}^{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\rho}_j{}^{\dot{\beta}} + \\
& \frac{1}{4}ic(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^a{}_{i\dot{\beta}}\bar{\phi}\bar{\phi}q^{-4}q^i{}_{\underline{i}}\rho^i{}_{\alpha}\rho^j{}_{\beta}\bar{\rho}_j{}^{\dot{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{4}ic(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^a{}_{i\dot{\beta}}\bar{\phi}\bar{\phi}q^{-4}q^{ij}\rho_{i\alpha}\rho^j{}_{\beta}\bar{\rho}_j{}^{\dot{\alpha}} + \\
& \frac{1}{4}ic(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^a{}_{i\dot{\beta}}\bar{\phi}\bar{\phi}q^{-4}q^i{}_{\underline{i}}\rho^i{}_{\alpha}\rho^j{}_{\beta}\bar{\rho}_j{}^{\dot{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{4}ic(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^a{}_{i\dot{\beta}}\bar{\phi}\bar{\phi}q^{-4}q^{ij}\rho_{i\alpha}\rho^j{}_{\beta}\bar{\rho}_j{}^{\dot{\alpha}} + \\
& \frac{1}{4}c\psi_{ai}{}^\beta\psi^a{}_j{}^\alpha\bar{\phi}\bar{\phi}q^{-4}q^i{}_{\underline{i}}q^j{}_{\underline{j}}\rho^i{}_{\alpha}\rho^j{}_{\beta} + \frac{1}{4}c\psi_{ai}{}^\beta\psi^a{}_j{}^\alpha\bar{\phi}\bar{\phi}q^{-4}q^i{}_{\underline{i}}q^j{}_{\underline{j}}\rho^i{}_{\alpha}\rho^j{}_{\beta} - \\
& \frac{1}{2}c\epsilon^a{}_{bcd}(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_\beta\psi^b{}_{i\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^{cj\dot{\beta}}\bar{\psi}^d{}_{j\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\phi}\bar{\phi}q^{-2}q^{ii}\bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{2}c\epsilon^a{}_{bcd}(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_\beta\psi^b{}_{i\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^{cj\dot{\beta}}\bar{\psi}^d{}_{j\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\phi}\bar{\phi}q^{-2}q^{ii}\bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} + \\
& \frac{1}{2}c\epsilon^a{}_{bcd}(\sigma_a)^\beta{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\psi^b{}_{j\beta}\bar{\psi}^{cj\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^d{}_{i\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\phi}\bar{\phi}q^{-2}q^i{}_{\underline{i}}\rho^i{}_{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}c\epsilon^a{}_{bcd}(\sigma_a)^\beta{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\psi^b{}_{j\beta}\bar{\psi}^{cj\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^d{}_{i\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\phi}\bar{\phi}q^{-2}q^i{}_{\underline{i}}\rho^i{}_{\alpha} + \\
& \frac{1}{2}c\epsilon^a{}_{bcd}(\sigma_a)^\beta{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\psi^b{}_{i\beta}\bar{\psi}^{cj\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^d{}_{j\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\phi}\bar{\phi}q^{-2}q^i{}_{\underline{i}}\rho^i{}_{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}c\epsilon^a{}_{bcd}(\sigma_a)^\beta{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\psi^b{}_{i\beta}\bar{\psi}^{cj\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^d{}_{j\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\phi}\bar{\phi}q^{-2}q^i{}_{\underline{i}}\rho^i{}_{\alpha} - \\
& \frac{1}{2}ic(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^a{}_{i\dot{\beta}}\bar{\phi}\bar{\lambda}_{j\beta}q^{-4}q^i{}_{\underline{i}}q^{jj}\rho^i{}_{\alpha}\bar{\rho}_j{}^{\dot{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{2}ic(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^a{}_{i\dot{\beta}}\bar{\phi}\bar{\lambda}_{j\beta}q^{-4}q^{ij}q^j{}_{\underline{i}}\rho^i{}_{\alpha}\bar{\rho}_j{}^{\dot{\alpha}} - \\
& \frac{1}{2}ic(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^a{}_{i\dot{\beta}}\bar{\phi}\bar{\lambda}_{j\beta}q^{-4}q^i{}_{\underline{i}}q^{jj}\rho^i{}_{\alpha}\bar{\rho}_j{}^{\dot{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{2}ic(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^a{}_{i\dot{\beta}}\bar{\phi}\bar{\lambda}_{j\beta}q^{-4}q^{ij}q^j{}_{\underline{i}}\rho^i{}_{\alpha}\bar{\rho}_j{}^{\dot{\alpha}} - \\
& \frac{1}{2}ic(\sigma_b)^\alpha{}_\beta\psi_{ai\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^{aj}{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^{b\dot{\beta}}{}_j\bar{\phi}\bar{\phi}q^{-2}q^{ii}\bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{2}ic(\sigma_b)^\alpha{}_\beta\psi_{ai\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^{aj}{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^{b\dot{\beta}}{}_j\bar{\phi}\bar{\phi}q^{-2}q^{ii}\bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} + \\
& \frac{1}{2}ic(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_\beta\psi^a{}_{i\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}_b{}^{j\dot{\beta}}\bar{\psi}^b{}_{j\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\phi}\bar{\phi}q^{-2}q^{ii}\bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{2}ic(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_\beta\psi^a{}_{i\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}_b{}^{j\dot{\beta}}\bar{\psi}^b{}_{j\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\phi}\bar{\phi}q^{-2}q^{ii}\bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}} + \\
& \frac{1}{2}ic(\sigma_b)^\beta{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\psi_{aj\beta}\bar{\psi}^{aj\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^{b\dot{\beta}}{}_i\bar{\phi}\bar{\phi}q^{-2}q^i{}_{\underline{i}}\rho^i{}_{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}ic(\sigma_b)^\beta{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\psi_{aj\beta}\bar{\psi}^{aj\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^{b\dot{\beta}}{}_i\bar{\phi}\bar{\phi}q^{-2}q^i{}_{\underline{i}}\rho^i{}_{\alpha} - \\
& \frac{1}{2}ic(\sigma_b)^\beta{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\psi_{ai\beta}\bar{\psi}^{aj\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^{b\dot{\beta}}{}_j\bar{\phi}\bar{\phi}q^{-2}q^i{}_{\underline{i}}\rho^i{}_{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}ic(\sigma_b)^\beta{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\psi_{ai\beta}\bar{\psi}^{aj\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^{b\dot{\beta}}{}_j\bar{\phi}\bar{\phi}q^{-2}q^i{}_{\underline{i}}\rho^i{}_{\alpha} - \\
& \frac{1}{2}ic(\sigma_b)^\beta{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\psi_{aj}{}^\alpha\bar{\psi}^{bj\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^a{}_{i\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\phi}\bar{\phi}q^{-2}q^i{}_{\underline{i}}\rho^i{}_{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}ic(\sigma_b)^\beta{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\psi_{aj}{}^\alpha\bar{\psi}^{bj\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^a{}_{i\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\phi}\bar{\phi}q^{-2}q^i{}_{\underline{i}}\rho^i{}_{\alpha} - \\
& \frac{1}{2}ic(\sigma_b)^\beta{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\psi_a{}^j\bar{\psi}^a{}_{i\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\phi}\bar{\phi}q^{-2}q^i{}_{\underline{i}}\rho^i{}_{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2}ic(\sigma_b)^\beta{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\psi_a{}^j\bar{\psi}^a{}_{i\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\phi}\bar{\phi}q^{-2}q^i{}_{\underline{i}}\rho^i{}_{\alpha}
\end{aligned}$$

IV On-Shell SU(1,1)/U(1) sigma model

The bosonic and some of the fermionic terms of the on-shell SU(1,1)/U(1) sigma model are given in eqs. (6.18) and (6.19) of the Subsection 6.3 in the main paper. The on-shell action is derived by substituting the equations of motion for all auxiliary fields eqs. (6.4) and (6.5) followed by the imposition of gauge-fixing conditions as seen in eqs. (6.7) into the off-shell action of eq. (6.3). These terms along with the remaining higher fermionic terms of the SU(1,1)/U(1) on-shell component action are given by

$$\mathcal{L}^{\text{on-shell, SU(1,1)/U(1)}} = \mathcal{L}_{\text{bosons}}^{\text{on, SU(1,1)/U(1)}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{2ferms}}^{\text{on, SU(1,1)/U(1)}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{4ferms}}^{\text{on, SU(1,1)/U(1)}} , \quad (\text{IV.1})$$

where once again the subscript of the Lagrangian denotes the order in explicit fermions. In this section, there are no implicit fermions as the conversion has been performed for

H^{ij} , F^{ab} , and \mathbf{F}^{ab} to \tilde{h}^{ij} , f^{ab} , and \mathbf{f}^{ab} , respectively, by eqs. (2.67), (2.68), and (2.44) in the main paper and \mathbf{D}_a has been replaced by \mathcal{D}'_a plus gravitini by using eq. (2.14) for the spin connection with $b_a = 0$.

IV.1 $\mathcal{L}_{\text{bosons}}^{\text{on, SU(1,1)/U(1)}}$

$$16e^{4U}\tilde{h}_{aij}\tilde{h}^{aij}-\frac{1}{2}R+\frac{1}{2}cy^{-1}\bar{\phi}f_{ab}f^{ab}+\frac{1}{4}icy^{-1}\epsilon_{abcd}\bar{\phi}f^{ab}f^{cd}+\frac{1}{2}cy\bar{\phi}^{-1}\mathbf{f}_{ab}\mathbf{f}^{ab}+\frac{1}{4}icy\epsilon_{abcd}\bar{\phi}^{-1}\mathbf{f}^{ab}\mathbf{f}^{cd}+\frac{1}{2}cy^{-1}\phi f_{ab}f^{ab}-\frac{1}{4}icy^{-1}\epsilon_{abcd}\phi f^{ab}f^{cd}+\frac{1}{2}cy\phi^{-1}\mathbf{f}_{ab}\mathbf{f}^{ab}-\frac{1}{4}icy\epsilon_{abcd}\phi^{-1}\mathbf{f}^{ab}\mathbf{f}^{cd}+cy\mathcal{D}'_a\mathcal{D}'^a\bar{\phi}+c^2y^2\mathcal{D}'_a\bar{\phi}\mathcal{D}'^a\bar{\phi}-2c^2y^2\mathcal{D}'_a\phi\mathcal{D}'^a\bar{\phi}+c^2y^2\mathcal{D}'_a\phi\mathcal{D}'^a\phi+cy\mathcal{D}'_a\mathcal{D}'^a\phi-2\mathcal{D}'_aU\mathcal{D}'^aU+2\mathcal{D}'_a\mathcal{D}'^aU+\frac{4}{3}\xi_{ij}\epsilon^{mnpq}h_{mnp}{}^{ij}\nu_q$$

IV.2 $\mathcal{L}_{\text{2ferms}}^{\text{on, SU(1,1)/U(1)}}$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{4}c\bar{\mathbf{M}}_{ij}e^U\lambda^{j\alpha}\rho^i{}_{\alpha}-\frac{1}{16}cye^{2U}\bar{\mathbf{M}}_{ij}\rho^{i\alpha}\rho^j{}_{\alpha}-\frac{1}{4}ic\mathbf{M}_{ij}(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\psi^{aj}\bar{\lambda}^{i\dot{\alpha}}-\frac{1}{4}ic\bar{\mathbf{M}}_{ij}(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^{aj}\lambda^i{}_{\alpha}+ \\ & \frac{1}{4}ce^U\mathbf{M}^{ij}\bar{\lambda}_{j\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}}-\frac{1}{16}cye^{2U}\mathbf{M}^{ij}\bar{\rho}_{i\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\rho}_j{}^{\dot{\alpha}}+\frac{1}{4}icye^U\mathbf{M}_{ij}(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\psi^{aj}\bar{\rho}^{i\dot{\alpha}}+ \\ & \frac{1}{4}icye^U\bar{\mathbf{M}}_{ij}(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^{aj}\rho^i{}_{\alpha}-\frac{1}{2}cy\mathbf{M}_{ij}(\sigma_{ab})^{\alpha\beta}\psi^{ai}\psi^{bj}{}_{\beta}-\frac{1}{2}cy\bar{\mathbf{M}}^{ij}(\tilde{\sigma}_{ab})_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\bar{\psi}^{a\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^{b\dot{\beta}}{}_j+ \\ & \frac{1}{4}e^U\rho_i{}^{\alpha}\mathcal{D}'_a\psi^{ai}{}_{\alpha}-\frac{1}{4}e^U\psi_{ai}{}^{\alpha}\mathcal{D}'^a\rho^i{}_{\alpha}+\frac{1}{4}e^U\bar{\rho}^i{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\mathcal{D}'_a\bar{\psi}^{a\dot{\alpha}}{}_i-\frac{1}{4}e^U\bar{\psi}_a{}^i{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\mathcal{D}'^a\bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}}+ \\ & 2e^{3U}\bar{\psi}_{aj\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}}\tilde{h}^{aji}+c\psi_{ai}{}^{\alpha}\lambda^i{}_{\alpha}\mathcal{D}'^a\bar{\phi}+c\bar{\psi}_a{}^i{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\lambda}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}}\mathcal{D}'^a\phi+\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^a{}_{bcd}(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\psi^{bi}\mathcal{D}'^c\bar{\psi}^{d\dot{\alpha}}{}_i- \\ & \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^a{}_{bcd}(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^{b\dot{\alpha}}{}_i\mathcal{D}'^c\psi^{di}{}_{\alpha}+\frac{1}{2}e^U(\sigma_{ab})^{\alpha\beta}\rho_{i\alpha}\mathcal{D}'^a\psi^{bi}{}_{\beta}-\frac{1}{2}e^U(\sigma_{ba})^{\beta\alpha}\psi^b{}_{i\beta}\mathcal{D}'^a\rho^i{}_{\alpha}+ \\ & \frac{1}{2}e^U(\tilde{\sigma}_{ab})_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\bar{\rho}^{i\dot{\alpha}}\mathcal{D}'^a\bar{\psi}^{b\dot{\beta}}{}_i-\frac{1}{2}e^U(\tilde{\sigma}_{ba})_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^{bi\dot{\beta}}\mathcal{D}'^a\bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}}+\frac{1}{4}e^U\psi_{ai}{}^{\alpha}\rho^i{}_{\alpha}\mathcal{D}'^aU+ \\ & \frac{1}{4}e^U\bar{\psi}_a{}^i{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}}\mathcal{D}'^aU+4e^{3U}(\tilde{\sigma}_{ba})_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^{b\dot{\beta}}{}_j\bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}}\tilde{h}^{aji}+\frac{1}{8}ie^{2U}(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\rho^i{}_{\alpha}\mathcal{D}'^a\bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}}+ \\ & \frac{1}{8}ie^{2U}(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}}\mathcal{D}'^a\rho^i{}_{\alpha}+2c(\sigma_{ba})^{\beta\alpha}\psi^b{}_{i\beta}\lambda^i{}_{\alpha}\mathcal{D}'^a\bar{\phi}+2c(\tilde{\sigma}_{ba})_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^{bi\dot{\beta}}\bar{\lambda}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}}\mathcal{D}'^a\phi+ \\ & \frac{1}{2}e^U(\sigma_{ba})^{\beta\alpha}\psi^b{}_{i\beta}\rho^i{}_{\alpha}\mathcal{D}'^aU+\frac{1}{2}e^U(\tilde{\sigma}_{ba})_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^{bi\dot{\beta}}\bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}}\mathcal{D}'^aU-2e^{3U}\psi_{aj}{}^{\alpha}\rho_{i\alpha}\tilde{h}^{aji}- \\ & \frac{1}{4}y^{-1}\bar{\psi}_a{}^i{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}_{bi}{}^{\dot{\alpha}}f^{ab}+i(\sigma_b)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\psi_a{}^i{}_{\alpha}\bar{\psi}^{b\dot{\alpha}}{}_i\mathcal{D}'^aU-i(\sigma_b)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\psi^{bi}{}_{\alpha}\bar{\psi}_{ai}{}^{\dot{\alpha}}\mathcal{D}'^aU- \\ & \frac{1}{8}iy^{-1}\epsilon_{abcd}\bar{\psi}^{ai}{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^{b\dot{\alpha}}{}_i f^{cd}-\frac{1}{2}c\psi_{ai}{}^{\alpha}\psi_b{}^i{}_{\alpha}\phi f^{ab}-\frac{1}{2}c\psi_{ai}{}^{\alpha}\psi_b{}^i{}_{\alpha}\bar{\phi}f^{ab}+\frac{1}{4}c\epsilon^c{}_{dab}(\sigma_c)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\psi^{di}{}_{\alpha}\bar{\lambda}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}}\mathbf{f}^{ab}+ \\ & \frac{1}{4}c\epsilon^c{}_{dab}(\sigma_c)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^{d\dot{\alpha}}{}_i\lambda^i{}_{\alpha}\mathbf{f}^{ab}-\frac{1}{2}cy\psi_{ai}{}^{\alpha}\psi_b{}^i{}_{\alpha}\mathbf{f}^{ab}+\frac{1}{2}cy\bar{\psi}_a{}^i{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}_{bi}{}^{\dot{\alpha}}\mathbf{f}^{ab}-\frac{1}{16}e^{2U}(\sigma_{ab})^{\alpha\beta}\bar{\phi}^{-1}\mathbf{f}^{ab}\rho_{i\alpha}\rho^i{}_{\beta}+ \\ & \frac{1}{16}e^{2U}(\tilde{\sigma}_{ab})_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\bar{\phi}^{-1}\mathbf{f}^{ab}\bar{\rho}^{i\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\beta}}-4e^{3U}(\sigma_{ba})^{\beta\alpha}\psi^b{}_{j\beta}\rho_{i\alpha}\tilde{h}^{aji}-\frac{1}{16}y^{-1}e^{2U}(\sigma_{ab})^{\alpha\beta}f^{ab}\rho_{i\alpha}\rho^i{}_{\beta}+ \\ & \frac{1}{16}y^{-1}e^{2U}(\tilde{\sigma}_{ab})_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}f^{ab}\bar{\rho}^{i\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\rho}_i{}^{\dot{\beta}}+\frac{1}{4}ic\epsilon_{abcd}\psi_a{}^i{}_{\alpha}\psi^{bi}{}_{\alpha}\phi f^{cd}+\frac{1}{4}ic\epsilon_{abcd}\psi_a{}^i{}_{\alpha}\psi^{bi}{}_{\alpha}\bar{\phi}f^{cd}+ \\ & \frac{1}{2}ic(\sigma_b)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\psi_a{}^i{}_{\alpha}\bar{\lambda}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}}\mathbf{f}^{ab}-\frac{1}{2}ic(\sigma_b)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}_{ai}{}^{\dot{\alpha}}\lambda^i{}_{\alpha}\mathbf{f}^{ab}-\frac{1}{2}icy^{-1}(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\phi\lambda^i{}_{\alpha}\mathcal{D}'^a\bar{\lambda}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}}- \\ & \frac{1}{2}icy^{-1}(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\phi\bar{\lambda}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}}\mathcal{D}'^a\lambda^i{}_{\alpha}-\frac{1}{2}icy^{-1}(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\phi}\lambda^i{}_{\alpha}\mathcal{D}'^a\bar{\lambda}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}}-\frac{1}{2}icy^{-1}(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\phi}\bar{\lambda}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}}\mathcal{D}'^a\lambda^i{}_{\alpha}- \\ & \frac{1}{2}icy^{-1}(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\lambda^i{}_{\alpha}\bar{\lambda}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}}\mathcal{D}'^a\phi+\frac{1}{2}icy^{-1}(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\lambda^i{}_{\alpha}\bar{\lambda}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}}\mathcal{D}'^a\bar{\phi}+\frac{1}{4}icy\epsilon_{cdab}\psi^c{}_{i\alpha}\psi^{di}{}_{\alpha}\mathbf{f}^{ab}+ \\ & \frac{1}{4}icy\epsilon_{cdab}\bar{\psi}^{ci}{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^{d\dot{\alpha}}{}_i\mathbf{f}^{ab}+\frac{1}{2}ic^2(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\phi\lambda^i{}_{\alpha}\bar{\lambda}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}}\mathcal{D}'^a\phi-\frac{1}{2}ic^2(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\phi\lambda^i{}_{\alpha}\bar{\lambda}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}}\mathcal{D}'^a\bar{\phi}+ \\ & \frac{1}{2}ic^2(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\phi}\lambda^i{}_{\alpha}\bar{\lambda}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}}\mathcal{D}'^a\phi-\frac{1}{2}ic^2(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\phi}\lambda^i{}_{\alpha}\bar{\lambda}_i{}^{\dot{\alpha}}\mathcal{D}'^a\bar{\phi}+ie^{4U}(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\rho_{i\alpha}\bar{\rho}_j{}^{\dot{\alpha}}\tilde{h}^{aij}+ \\ & \frac{1}{2}cy\epsilon^a{}_{bcd}(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\psi^{bi}{}_{\alpha}\bar{\psi}^{c\dot{\alpha}}{}_i\mathcal{D}'^d\phi-\frac{1}{2}cy\epsilon^a{}_{bcd}(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\psi^{bi}{}_{\alpha}\bar{\psi}^{c\dot{\alpha}}{}_i\mathcal{D}'^d\bar{\phi}+4e^{2U}\epsilon^a{}_{bcd}(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\psi^b{}_{i\alpha}\bar{\psi}^{c\dot{\alpha}}{}_j\tilde{h}^{dij}+ \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2}icy(\sigma_b)^\alpha \dot{\alpha} \psi_a^i \alpha \bar{\psi}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}^a \phi + \frac{1}{2}icy(\sigma_b)^\alpha \dot{\alpha} \psi_a^i \alpha \bar{\psi}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} \mathcal{D}^a \bar{\phi} - \frac{1}{2}icy(\sigma_b)^\alpha \dot{\alpha} \psi^{bi} \bar{\psi}_{ai} \dot{\alpha} \mathcal{D}^a \phi - \\
& \frac{1}{2}icy(\sigma_b)^\alpha \dot{\alpha} \psi^{bi} \bar{\psi}_{ai} \dot{\alpha} \mathcal{D}^a \bar{\phi} + \frac{1}{8}icye^{2U}(\sigma_a)^\alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho^i \alpha \bar{\rho}_i \dot{\alpha} \mathcal{D}^a \phi - \frac{1}{8}icye^{2U}(\sigma_a)^\alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho^i \alpha \bar{\rho}_i \dot{\alpha} \mathcal{D}^a \bar{\phi} + \\
& \frac{1}{4}c\epsilon^c \text{dab}(\sigma_c)^\alpha \dot{\alpha} \psi^{di} \alpha \phi \bar{\phi}^{-1} \bar{\lambda}_i \dot{\alpha} \mathbf{f}^{ab} + \frac{1}{4}c\epsilon^c \text{dab}(\sigma_c)^\alpha \dot{\alpha} \bar{\psi}^d \dot{\alpha} \phi^{-1} \bar{\phi} \lambda^i \alpha \mathbf{f}^{ab} - \frac{1}{4}cy^{-1} \epsilon^a \text{bcd}(\sigma_a)^\alpha \dot{\alpha} \psi^{bi} \alpha \phi \bar{\lambda}_i \dot{\alpha} f^{cd} - \\
& \frac{1}{4}cy^{-1} \epsilon^a \text{bcd}(\sigma_a)^\alpha \dot{\alpha} \psi^{bi} \alpha \bar{\phi} \lambda^i \dot{\alpha} f^{cd} - \frac{1}{4}cy^{-1} \epsilon^a \text{bcd}(\sigma_a)^\alpha \dot{\alpha} \bar{\psi}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} \phi \lambda^i \alpha f^{cd} - \frac{1}{4}cy^{-1} \epsilon^a \text{bcd}(\sigma_a)^\alpha \dot{\alpha} \bar{\psi}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\phi} \lambda^i \alpha f^{cd} - \\
& \frac{1}{2}cy\psi_{ai} \alpha \psi_b^i \alpha \phi^{-1} \bar{\phi} \mathbf{f}^{ab} + \frac{1}{2}cy\bar{\psi}_a^i \alpha \bar{\psi}_{bi} \dot{\alpha} \phi \bar{\phi}^{-1} \mathbf{f}^{ab} + \frac{1}{2}ic(\sigma_b)^\alpha \dot{\alpha} \psi_a^i \alpha \phi \bar{\phi}^{-1} \bar{\lambda}_i \dot{\alpha} \mathbf{f}^{ab} - \\
& \frac{1}{2}ic(\sigma_b)^\alpha \dot{\alpha} \bar{\psi}_{ai} \dot{\alpha} \phi^{-1} \bar{\phi} \lambda^i \alpha \mathbf{f}^{ab} - \frac{1}{2}icy^{-1}(\sigma_b)^\alpha \dot{\alpha} \psi_a^i \alpha \phi \bar{\lambda}_i \dot{\alpha} f^{ab} - \frac{1}{2}icy^{-1}(\sigma_b)^\alpha \dot{\alpha} \psi_a^i \alpha \bar{\phi} \lambda^i \dot{\alpha} f^{ab} + \\
& \frac{1}{2}icy^{-1}(\sigma_b)^\alpha \dot{\alpha} \bar{\psi}_{ai} \dot{\alpha} \phi \lambda^i \alpha f^{ab} + \frac{1}{2}icy^{-1}(\sigma_b)^\alpha \dot{\alpha} \bar{\psi}_{ai} \dot{\alpha} \bar{\phi} \lambda^i \alpha f^{ab} + \frac{1}{4}icy\epsilon_{cdab}\psi^c \alpha \psi^{di} \alpha \phi^{-1} \bar{\phi} \mathbf{f}^{ab} + \\
& \frac{1}{4}icy\epsilon_{cdab}\bar{\psi}^c \dot{\alpha} \bar{\psi}^d \dot{\alpha} \phi \bar{\phi}^{-1} \mathbf{f}^{ab} + 4icy^{-1}e^{2U}(\sigma_a)^\alpha \dot{\alpha} \phi \lambda_{i\alpha} \bar{\lambda}_j \dot{\alpha} \tilde{h}^{aij} + 4icy^{-1}e^{2U}(\sigma_a)^\alpha \dot{\alpha} \bar{\phi} \lambda_{i\alpha} \bar{\lambda}_j \dot{\alpha} \tilde{h}^{aij}
\end{aligned}$$

IV.3 $\mathcal{L}_{4\text{ferms}}^{\text{on, SU}(1,1)/\text{U}(1)}$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\frac{1}{8}e^{2U}\sigma^c \alpha \rho^\alpha(\sigma_{cb})^{\lambda\beta}\psi^a \rho_j \psi^{bj} \lambda \rho_{i\alpha} \rho^i \beta - \frac{1}{8}e^{2U}\sigma^c \alpha \rho^\beta(\sigma_{cb})^{\lambda\alpha}\psi^a \rho_j \psi^b \lambda \rho^i \alpha \rho^j \beta - \\
& \frac{1}{32}e^{2U}(\sigma_a)^\beta \dot{\beta}(\sigma_b)^\alpha \dot{\alpha} \psi^{aj} \bar{\psi}^b \dot{\beta} \rho^i \alpha \bar{\rho}_i \dot{\alpha} - \frac{1}{32}e^{2U}(\sigma_a)^\alpha \dot{\alpha}(\sigma_b)^\beta \dot{\beta} \psi^{aj} \bar{\psi}^b \dot{\beta} \rho^i \alpha \bar{\rho}_i \dot{\alpha} - \\
& \frac{1}{32}e^{2U}(\sigma_a)^\beta \dot{\beta}(\sigma_b)^\alpha \dot{\alpha} \psi^{aj} \bar{\psi}^b \dot{\beta} \rho^i \alpha \bar{\rho}_i \dot{\alpha} - \frac{1}{32}e^{2U}(\sigma_a)^\alpha \dot{\alpha}(\sigma_b)^\beta \dot{\beta} \psi^{aj} \bar{\psi}^b \dot{\beta} \rho^i \alpha \bar{\rho}_i \dot{\alpha} - \\
& \frac{1}{32}e^{2U}(\sigma_a)^\beta \dot{\beta}(\sigma_b)^\alpha \dot{\alpha} \psi^{aj} \bar{\psi}^b \dot{\beta} \rho^i \alpha \bar{\rho}_j \dot{\alpha} - \frac{1}{32}e^{2U}(\sigma_a)^\alpha \dot{\alpha}(\sigma_b)^\beta \dot{\beta} \psi^{aj} \bar{\psi}^b \dot{\beta} \rho^i \alpha \bar{\rho}_j \dot{\alpha} - \\
& \frac{1}{32}e^{2U}(\sigma_a)^\beta \dot{\beta}(\sigma_b)^\alpha \dot{\alpha} \psi^{aj} \bar{\psi}^b \dot{\beta} \rho^i \alpha \bar{\rho}_j \dot{\alpha} - \frac{1}{32}e^{2U}(\sigma_a)^\alpha \dot{\alpha}(\sigma_b)^\beta \dot{\beta} \psi^{aj} \bar{\psi}^b \dot{\beta} \rho^i \alpha \bar{\rho}_j \dot{\alpha} + \\
& \frac{1}{8}e^U \epsilon^{ab} \text{cd}(\sigma_{ae})^\rho \alpha(\sigma_b)^\beta \dot{\beta} \psi^c \rho_j \psi^{ej} \bar{\psi}^d \dot{\alpha} \rho^i \alpha + \frac{1}{8}e^U \epsilon^{ab} \text{cd}(\sigma_{ae})^\rho \alpha(\sigma_b)^\beta \dot{\beta} \psi^c \rho_j \psi^{ej} \bar{\psi}^d \dot{\alpha} \rho^i \alpha - \\
& \frac{1}{8}e^{2U} \tilde{\sigma}^c \alpha \rho^\alpha(\tilde{\sigma}_{cb})^{\lambda\beta}\bar{\psi}^a \rho_j \bar{\psi}^b \lambda \bar{\rho}_i \dot{\alpha} \bar{\rho}_i \dot{\beta} - \frac{1}{8}e^{2U} \tilde{\sigma}^c \alpha \rho^\beta(\tilde{\sigma}_{cb})^{\lambda\alpha}\bar{\psi}^a \rho_j \bar{\psi}^b \lambda \bar{\rho}_i \dot{\alpha} \bar{\rho}_j \dot{\beta} - \\
& \frac{1}{4}e^U \epsilon^{ab} \text{cd}(\sigma_a)^\alpha \dot{\alpha}(\tilde{\sigma}_{be})^{\rho\alpha} \psi^c \rho_j \bar{\psi}^{dj} \bar{\psi}^e \rho_i \dot{\alpha} - \frac{1}{4}e^U \epsilon^{ab} \text{cd}(\sigma_a)^\alpha \dot{\alpha}(\tilde{\sigma}_{be})^{\rho\alpha} \psi^c \rho_j \bar{\psi}^{dj} \bar{\psi}^e \rho_i \dot{\alpha} - \\
& \frac{1}{8}e^U \epsilon^{ab} \text{cd}(\sigma_a)^\beta \dot{\beta}(\sigma_{be})^\rho \alpha \psi^c \rho_j \psi^{ej} \bar{\psi}^d \dot{\alpha} \rho^i \alpha - \frac{1}{8}e^U \epsilon^{ab} \text{cd}(\sigma_a)^\beta \dot{\beta}(\sigma_{be})^\rho \alpha \psi^c \rho_j \psi^{ej} \bar{\psi}^d \dot{\alpha} \rho^i \alpha - \\
& \frac{1}{8}\psi_{ai} \alpha \psi_b^i \alpha \bar{\psi}^{aj} \dot{\alpha} \bar{\psi}_j^{\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{8}(\sigma_a)^\beta \dot{\beta}(\sigma_b)^\alpha \dot{\alpha} \psi^a \rho_j \psi^{bj} \bar{\psi}^c \rho_i \dot{\alpha} \bar{\psi}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{4}(\sigma_b)^\alpha \dot{\alpha}(\sigma_c)^\beta \dot{\beta} \psi_{ai} \alpha \psi^{bi} \bar{\psi}^a \dot{\alpha} \bar{\psi}_j^{\dot{\alpha}} + \\
& \frac{1}{8}(\sigma_b)^\alpha \dot{\alpha}(\sigma_c)^\beta \dot{\beta} \psi_{ai} \alpha \psi^{bi} \bar{\psi}^a \dot{\alpha} \bar{\psi}_j^{\dot{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{2}\psi_a^i \alpha \psi^{aj} \bar{\psi}_{bi} \dot{\alpha} \bar{\psi}_j^{\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{4}\psi_a^i \alpha \psi_b^j \alpha \bar{\psi}^a \dot{\alpha} \bar{\psi}_j^{\dot{\alpha}} - \\
& \frac{1}{8}(\sigma_a)^\beta \dot{\beta}(\sigma_b)^\alpha \dot{\alpha} \psi^{ai} \alpha \psi^{bj} \bar{\psi}_{ci} \dot{\alpha} \bar{\psi}_j^{\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{4}(\sigma_b)^\alpha \dot{\alpha}(\sigma_c)^\beta \dot{\beta} \psi_a^i \alpha \psi^{bj} \bar{\psi}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\psi}_j^{\dot{\alpha}} - \\
& \frac{1}{8}(\sigma_b)^\alpha \dot{\alpha}(\sigma_c)^\beta \dot{\beta} \psi_a^i \alpha \psi^{aj} \bar{\psi}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\psi}_j^{\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{8}e^{2U}(\sigma_{ab})^\beta \alpha \psi^{aj} \bar{\psi}^b \dot{\alpha} \rho^i \alpha \bar{\rho}_j \dot{\alpha} - \\
& \frac{1}{8}e^{2U}(\tilde{\sigma}_{ab})^{\rho\beta} \bar{\psi}^a \rho_j \bar{\psi}^b \dot{\alpha} \bar{\rho}_j \dot{\beta} + \frac{5}{32}e^U \epsilon^a \text{bcd}(\sigma_a)^\alpha \dot{\alpha} \psi^{bj} \bar{\psi}^c \dot{\alpha} \bar{\psi}_j^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\psi}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} \dot{\alpha} + \\
& \frac{1}{4}e^U \epsilon^a \text{bcd}(\sigma_a)^\alpha \dot{\alpha} \psi^{bi} \bar{\psi}^c \dot{\alpha} \bar{\psi}_j^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\psi}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} - \frac{3}{128}ie^{3U}(\sigma_a)^\beta \dot{\beta} \psi^a \rho_i \alpha \rho^j \beta \bar{\rho}_j \dot{\alpha} + \\
& \frac{5}{128}ie^{3U}(\sigma_a)^\beta \dot{\beta} \psi^a \rho_i \beta \rho^j \dot{\alpha} \bar{\rho}_j \dot{\alpha} + \frac{1}{16}ie^{3U}(\sigma_a)^\alpha \dot{\alpha} \psi^{aj} \rho_{i\alpha} \rho^i \beta \bar{\rho}_j \dot{\alpha} + \\
& \frac{1}{16}ie^{3U}(\sigma_a)^\alpha \dot{\alpha} \bar{\psi}^a \rho_i \beta \rho^j \dot{\alpha} \bar{\rho}_j \dot{\alpha} + \frac{5}{128}ie^{3U}(\sigma_a)^\alpha \dot{\alpha} \bar{\psi}^{aj} \rho^i \alpha \bar{\rho}_j \dot{\alpha} \bar{\rho}_i \dot{\alpha} - \\
& \frac{3}{128}ie^{3U}(\sigma_a)^\alpha \dot{\alpha} \bar{\psi}^{aj} \rho^i \alpha \bar{\rho}_j \dot{\alpha} \bar{\rho}_i \dot{\beta} - \frac{1}{16}ie^{2U} \epsilon^{ab} \text{cd}(\sigma_a)^\beta \dot{\beta}(\sigma_b)^\alpha \dot{\alpha} \psi^c \rho_j \bar{\psi}^{dj} \dot{\beta} \rho^i \alpha \bar{\rho}_j \dot{\alpha} - \\
& \frac{1}{16}ie^{2U} \epsilon^{ab} \text{cd}(\sigma_a)^\beta \dot{\beta}(\sigma_b)^\alpha \dot{\alpha} \psi^{cj} \bar{\psi}^d \dot{\beta} \rho^i \alpha \bar{\rho}_j \dot{\alpha} - \frac{1}{8}e^{2U}(\sigma_{ab})^{\rho\beta} \psi^a \rho_j \psi^b \rho^i \alpha \rho^j \beta - \\
& \frac{1}{8}e^{2U}(\tilde{\sigma}_{ab})^{\rho\beta} \psi^{aj} \bar{\psi}^b \dot{\beta} \rho^i \alpha \bar{\rho}_j \dot{\alpha} - \frac{1}{4}e^U \epsilon^a \text{bcd}(\sigma_a)^\beta \dot{\beta} \psi^b \rho_j \psi^{cj} \bar{\psi}^d \dot{\alpha} \rho^i \alpha - \\
& \frac{5}{32}e^U \epsilon^a \text{bcd}(\sigma_a)^\beta \dot{\beta} \psi^b \rho_j \psi^{cj} \bar{\psi}^d \dot{\alpha} \rho^i \alpha + \frac{1}{8}icy^{-1}e^U(\sigma_a)^\alpha \dot{\alpha} \psi^a \rho_j \phi \lambda^j \beta \bar{\lambda}_i \dot{\alpha} \rho^i \alpha - \\
& \frac{1}{8}icy^{-1}e^U(\sigma_a)^\beta \dot{\beta} \psi^a \rho_j \phi \lambda^j \alpha \bar{\lambda}_i \dot{\alpha} \rho^i \alpha - \frac{1}{8}icy^{-1}e^U(\sigma_a)^\alpha \dot{\alpha} \psi^{aj} \phi \lambda_{i\alpha} \bar{\lambda}_j \dot{\alpha} \rho^i \alpha +
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{8}icy^{-1}e^U(\sigma_a)^\beta \dot{\alpha}\psi_{\dot{\beta}}^{aj}\phi\lambda_i^\alpha \bar{\lambda}_j^\alpha \rho^i_\alpha + \frac{1}{8}icy^{-1}e^U(\sigma_a)^\alpha \dot{\alpha}\bar{\psi}^a_{j\dot{\beta}}\phi\lambda^j_\alpha \bar{\lambda}^{i\dot{\beta}}\bar{\rho}_i^\alpha - \\
& \frac{1}{8}icy^{-1}e^U(\sigma_a)^\alpha \dot{\beta}\bar{\psi}^{\dot{\beta}}_{j\dot{\alpha}}\phi\lambda^j_\alpha \bar{\lambda}^i_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\rho}_i^\alpha - \frac{1}{8}icy^{-1}e^U(\sigma_a)^\alpha \dot{\alpha}\bar{\psi}^{aj}_{\dot{\beta}}\phi\lambda^i_\alpha \bar{\lambda}_j^\beta \bar{\rho}_i^\alpha + \\
& \frac{1}{8}icy^{-1}e^U(\sigma_a)^\alpha \dot{\beta}\bar{\psi}^{aj\dot{\beta}}\phi\lambda^i_\alpha \bar{\lambda}_j^\alpha \bar{\rho}_i^\alpha - \frac{1}{4}icy^{-1}\epsilon^{ab}_{cd}(\sigma_a)^\beta \dot{\beta}(\sigma_b)^\alpha \dot{\alpha}\psi^c_{i\dot{\beta}}\bar{\psi}^{dj\dot{\beta}}\phi\lambda^i_\alpha \bar{\lambda}_j^\alpha - \\
& \frac{1}{4}icy^{-1}\epsilon^{ab}_{cd}(\sigma_a)^\beta \dot{\beta}(\sigma_b)^\alpha \dot{\alpha}\psi^c_{\dot{\beta}}\bar{\psi}^{dj\dot{\beta}}\phi\lambda^i_\alpha \bar{\lambda}_j^\alpha + \frac{1}{8}icy^{-1}e^U(\sigma_a)^\alpha \dot{\alpha}\psi^a_{j\dot{\beta}}\bar{\phi}\lambda^j_\beta \bar{\lambda}_i^\alpha \rho^i_\alpha - \\
& \frac{1}{8}icy^{-1}e^U(\sigma_a)^\beta \dot{\alpha}\psi^a_{j\dot{\beta}}\bar{\phi}\lambda^j_\alpha \bar{\lambda}_i^\alpha \rho^i_\alpha - \frac{1}{8}icy^{-1}e^U(\sigma_a)^\alpha \dot{\alpha}\psi^{aj\dot{\beta}}\bar{\phi}\lambda_{i\dot{\beta}}\bar{\lambda}_j^\alpha \rho^i_\alpha + \\
& \frac{1}{8}icy^{-1}e^U(\sigma_a)^\beta \dot{\alpha}\psi_{\dot{\beta}}^{aj}\bar{\phi}\lambda_i^\alpha \bar{\lambda}_j^\alpha \rho^i_\alpha + \frac{1}{8}icy^{-1}e^U(\sigma_a)^\alpha \dot{\alpha}\bar{\psi}^a_{j\dot{\beta}}\bar{\phi}\lambda^j_\alpha \bar{\lambda}^{i\dot{\beta}}\bar{\rho}_i^\alpha - \\
& \frac{1}{8}icy^{-1}e^U(\sigma_a)^\alpha \dot{\beta}\bar{\psi}^{\dot{\beta}}_{j\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\phi}\lambda^j_\alpha \bar{\lambda}^i_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\rho}_i^\alpha - \frac{1}{8}icy^{-1}e^U(\sigma_a)^\alpha \dot{\alpha}\bar{\psi}^{aj}_{\dot{\beta}}\bar{\phi}\lambda^i_\alpha \bar{\lambda}_j^\beta \bar{\rho}_i^\alpha + \\
& \frac{1}{8}icy^{-1}e^U(\sigma_a)^\alpha \dot{\beta}\bar{\psi}^{aj\dot{\beta}}\bar{\phi}\lambda^i_\alpha \bar{\lambda}_j^\alpha \bar{\rho}_i^\alpha - \frac{1}{4}icy^{-1}\epsilon^{ab}_{cd}(\sigma_a)^\beta \dot{\beta}(\sigma_b)^\alpha \dot{\alpha}\psi^c_{i\dot{\beta}}\bar{\psi}^{dj\dot{\beta}}\bar{\phi}\lambda^i_\alpha \bar{\lambda}_j^\alpha - \\
& \frac{1}{4}icy^{-1}\epsilon^{ab}_{cd}(\sigma_a)^\beta \dot{\beta}(\sigma_b)^\alpha \dot{\alpha}\psi^c_{\dot{\beta}}\bar{\psi}^{dj\dot{\beta}}\bar{\phi}\lambda^i_\alpha \bar{\lambda}_j^\alpha - \frac{1}{8}cy^{-3}\phi\lambda^{i\alpha}\lambda^j_\alpha \bar{\lambda}_i^\alpha \bar{\lambda}_j^\alpha - \\
& \frac{1}{16}cy^{-1}e^{2U}\phi\lambda^{j\alpha}\bar{\lambda}_i^\alpha \rho^i_\alpha \bar{\rho}_i^\alpha - \frac{1}{8}cy^{-3}\bar{\phi}\lambda^{i\alpha}\lambda^j_\alpha \bar{\lambda}_i^\alpha \bar{\lambda}_j^\alpha - \frac{1}{16}cy^{-1}e^{2U}\bar{\phi}\lambda^{j\alpha}\bar{\lambda}_i^\alpha \rho^i_\alpha \bar{\rho}_i^\alpha + \\
& \frac{1}{8}cy^{-1}\psi_a^{i\alpha}\psi_\alpha^{aj}\bar{\phi}\lambda_{i\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\lambda}_j^\alpha - \frac{1}{16}cy^{-1}(\sigma_a)^\beta \dot{\beta}(\sigma_b)^\alpha \dot{\alpha}\psi^{ai}\psi^{bj}\bar{\phi}\lambda_i^\alpha \bar{\lambda}_j^\beta - \\
& \frac{1}{2}cy^{-1}\psi_a^{j\alpha}\bar{\psi}^a_{i\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\phi}\lambda^i_\alpha \bar{\lambda}_j^\alpha - \frac{1}{4}cy^{-1}(\sigma_a)^\alpha \dot{\beta}(\sigma_b)^\beta \dot{\alpha}\psi^{aj}\bar{\psi}^{b\dot{\beta}}\bar{\phi}\lambda^i_\alpha \bar{\lambda}_j^\alpha + \\
& \frac{1}{8}iy^{-1}(\sigma_b)^\alpha \dot{\alpha}\psi_a^i \psi_i^{aj}\bar{\psi}^{b\dot{\beta}}\bar{\lambda}_i^\alpha + \frac{1}{4}cy^{-1}\bar{\psi}_{ai\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^a_{j\dot{\beta}}\bar{\phi}\lambda^{i\alpha}\lambda^j_\alpha - \frac{1}{8}cy^{-1}(\sigma_a)^\beta \dot{\beta}(\sigma_b)^\alpha \dot{\alpha}\bar{\psi}^a_{i\dot{\beta}}\bar{\psi}^{b\dot{\beta}}\bar{\phi}\lambda^i_\alpha \lambda^j_\beta + \\
& \frac{1}{8}iy^{-1}(\sigma_b)^\alpha \dot{\beta}\bar{\psi}_a^j \psi_{\dot{\alpha}}^{aj}\bar{\psi}^{b\dot{\beta}}\lambda^i_\alpha - \frac{1}{4}cy\psi_{ai} \psi_a^{aj}\psi_b^i \psi^{bj}\bar{\phi} - \frac{1}{4}cy\psi_{ai} \psi_b^i \psi^{aj}\bar{\psi}^{b\dot{\beta}}\phi - \\
& \frac{1}{8}cy\bar{\psi}_a^i \dot{\alpha}\bar{\psi}^{aj}\bar{\psi}_{bi} \dot{\alpha}\bar{\psi}^{b\dot{\beta}}\bar{\phi} - \frac{1}{16}icy^{-1}\epsilon^{ab}_{cd}(\sigma_a)^\alpha \dot{\alpha}(\sigma_b)^\beta \dot{\beta}\psi^c_{\dot{\beta}}\psi^{dj}\bar{\phi}\lambda_i^\alpha \bar{\lambda}_j^\beta + \\
& \frac{1}{16}y^{-1}\epsilon^a_{bcd}(\sigma_a)^\alpha \dot{\alpha}\psi^{bi}\bar{\psi}^{cj}\bar{\psi}^{d\dot{\beta}}\bar{\lambda}_i^\alpha + \frac{3}{8}icy^{-1}\epsilon^{ab}_{cd}(\sigma_a)^\alpha \dot{\alpha}(\sigma_b)^\beta \dot{\beta}\bar{\psi}^c_{i\dot{\beta}}\bar{\psi}^{d\dot{\beta}}\bar{\phi}\lambda^i_\alpha \lambda^j_\beta + \\
& \frac{1}{16}y^{-1}\epsilon^a_{bcd}(\sigma_a)^\alpha \dot{\beta}\bar{\psi}^{bj}\dot{\alpha}\bar{\psi}^c_{j\dot{\beta}}\dot{\alpha}\bar{\psi}^{d\dot{\beta}}\lambda^i_\alpha - \frac{1}{8}icy\epsilon_{abcd}\psi^a_i \psi^{bi}\psi^c_j \psi^{dj}\bar{\phi} - \\
& \frac{1}{16}i\epsilon_{abcd}\psi^a_i \psi^{bi}\bar{\psi}^{cj}\dot{\alpha}\bar{\psi}^d_{j\dot{\beta}} - \frac{1}{8}icy\epsilon_{abcd}\psi^a_i \psi^{bi}\bar{\psi}^{cj}\dot{\alpha}\bar{\psi}^d_{j\dot{\beta}}\phi + \frac{1}{16}icy\epsilon_{abcd}\bar{\psi}^{ai}\dot{\alpha}\bar{\psi}^b_{i\dot{\beta}}\bar{\psi}^{cj}\dot{\alpha}\bar{\psi}^{d\dot{\beta}}\bar{\phi} - \\
& \frac{1}{32}iy^{-1}e^{2U}(\sigma_a)^\alpha \dot{\alpha}\psi^{aj\dot{\beta}}\bar{\lambda}_j^\alpha \rho_i \rho^i_\beta - \frac{1}{32}e^{2U}(\sigma_{ab})^{\alpha\beta}\psi^a_j \rho_\rho \psi^{bj}\rho_i \rho^i_\beta - \\
& \frac{1}{32}e^{2U}(\sigma_{ab})^{\alpha\beta}\bar{\psi}^{aj}\dot{\alpha}\bar{\psi}^{b\dot{\beta}}\rho_i \rho^i_\beta + \frac{1}{8}cy^{-1}\psi_a^{i\alpha}\psi^{aj}\phi^2\bar{\phi}^{-1}\bar{\lambda}_i^\alpha \bar{\lambda}_j^\alpha - \\
& \frac{1}{16}cy^{-1}(\sigma_a)^\beta \dot{\beta}(\sigma_b)^\alpha \dot{\alpha}\psi^{ai}\psi^{bj}\phi^2\bar{\phi}^{-1}\bar{\lambda}_i^\alpha \bar{\lambda}_j^\beta - \frac{1}{2}cy^{-1}\psi_a^{j\alpha}\bar{\psi}^a_{i\dot{\alpha}}\phi\lambda^i_\alpha \bar{\lambda}_j^\alpha - \\
& \frac{1}{4}cy^{-1}(\sigma_a)^\alpha \dot{\beta}(\sigma_b)^\beta \dot{\alpha}\psi^{aj}\bar{\psi}^{b\dot{\beta}}\phi\lambda^i_\alpha \bar{\lambda}_j^\alpha + \frac{1}{4}ic(\sigma_b)^\alpha \dot{\alpha}\psi_a^i \psi^{aj}\bar{\psi}^{b\dot{\beta}}\phi^2\bar{\phi}^{-1}\bar{\lambda}_i^\alpha + \\
& \frac{1}{4}ic(\sigma_b)^\alpha \dot{\beta}\bar{\psi}_a^j \psi_{\dot{\alpha}}^{aj}\bar{\psi}^{b\dot{\beta}}\phi\lambda^i_\alpha - \frac{1}{8}cy\bar{\psi}_a^i \bar{\psi}^{aj}\bar{\psi}_{bi} \dot{\alpha}\bar{\psi}^{b\dot{\beta}}\phi^2\bar{\phi}^{-1} - \\
& \frac{1}{16}icy^{-1}\epsilon^{ab}_{cd}(\sigma_a)^\alpha \dot{\alpha}(\sigma_b)^\beta \dot{\beta}\psi^c_{\dot{\beta}}\psi^{dj}\phi^2\bar{\phi}^{-1}\bar{\lambda}_i^\alpha \bar{\lambda}_j^\beta + \frac{1}{8}c\epsilon^a_{bcd}(\sigma_a)^\alpha \dot{\alpha}\psi^{bi}\bar{\psi}^{cj}\dot{\alpha}\bar{\psi}^{d\dot{\beta}}\phi^2\bar{\phi}^{-1}\bar{\lambda}_i^\alpha + \\
& \frac{1}{8}c\epsilon^a_{bcd}(\sigma_a)^\alpha \dot{\beta}\bar{\psi}^{bj}\dot{\alpha}\bar{\psi}^c_{j\dot{\beta}}\dot{\alpha}\bar{\psi}^{d\dot{\beta}}\phi\lambda^i_\alpha + \frac{1}{16}icy\epsilon_{abcd}\bar{\psi}^{ai}\dot{\alpha}\bar{\psi}^b_{i\dot{\beta}}\bar{\psi}^{cj}\dot{\alpha}\bar{\psi}^{d\dot{\beta}}\phi^2\bar{\phi}^{-1} + \\
& \frac{1}{32}iy^{-1}e^{2U}(\sigma_a)^\alpha \dot{\alpha}\psi^{aj\dot{\beta}}\phi\bar{\phi}^{-1}\bar{\lambda}_j^\alpha \rho_i \rho^i_\beta - \frac{1}{32}e^{2U}(\sigma_{ab})^{\alpha\beta}\bar{\psi}^{aj}\dot{\alpha}\bar{\psi}^{b\dot{\beta}}\phi\bar{\phi}^{-1}\rho_i \rho^i_\beta + \\
& \frac{1}{4}cy^{-1}\psi_a^{i\alpha}\psi^{aj}\phi\bar{\lambda}_i^\alpha \bar{\lambda}_j^\alpha - \frac{1}{8}cy^{-1}(\sigma_a)^\beta \dot{\beta}(\sigma_b)^\alpha \dot{\alpha}\psi^{ai}\psi^{bj}\phi\bar{\lambda}_i^\alpha \bar{\lambda}_j^\beta + \\
& \frac{1}{8}cy^{-1}\bar{\psi}_{ai\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^a_{j\dot{\beta}}\phi\lambda^{i\alpha}\lambda^j_\alpha - \frac{1}{16}cy^{-1}(\sigma_a)^\beta \dot{\beta}(\sigma_b)^\alpha \dot{\alpha}\bar{\psi}^a_{i\dot{\beta}}\bar{\psi}^{b\dot{\beta}}\phi\lambda^i_\alpha \lambda^j_\beta - \\
& \frac{1}{4}ic(\sigma_b)^\alpha \dot{\alpha}\psi_{aj} \psi^{bj}\bar{\psi}^a_{i\dot{\beta}}\phi\lambda^i_\alpha - \frac{1}{8}cy\psi_{ai} \psi_a^{aj}\psi_b^i \psi^{bj}\phi - \frac{1}{4}cy\bar{\psi}_a^i \bar{\psi}^{aj}\bar{\psi}_{bi} \dot{\alpha}\bar{\psi}^{b\dot{\beta}}\phi - \\
& \frac{3}{8}icy^{-1}\epsilon^{ab}_{cd}(\sigma_a)^\alpha \dot{\alpha}(\sigma_b)^\beta \dot{\beta}\psi^c_{\dot{\beta}}\psi^{dj}\phi\bar{\lambda}_i^\alpha \bar{\lambda}_j^\beta + \frac{1}{16}icy^{-1}\epsilon^{ab}_{cd}(\sigma_a)^\alpha \dot{\alpha}(\sigma_b)^\beta \dot{\beta}\bar{\psi}^c_{i\dot{\beta}}\bar{\psi}^{d\dot{\beta}}\phi\lambda^i_\alpha \lambda^j_\beta + \\
& \frac{1}{8}c\epsilon^a_{bcd}(\sigma_a)^\alpha \dot{\alpha}\psi^b_{j\dot{\beta}}\psi^{cj}\bar{\psi}^d_{i\dot{\beta}}\phi\lambda^i_\alpha - \frac{1}{16}icy\epsilon_{abcd}\psi^a_i \psi^{bi}\psi^c_j \psi^{dj}\phi + \\
& \frac{1}{8}icy\epsilon_{abcd}\bar{\psi}^{ai}\dot{\alpha}\bar{\psi}^b_{i\dot{\beta}}\bar{\psi}^{cj}\dot{\alpha}\bar{\psi}^{d\dot{\beta}}\phi - \frac{1}{32}iy^{-1}e^{2U}(\sigma_a)^\alpha \dot{\alpha}\bar{\psi}^a_{j\dot{\beta}}\lambda^j_\alpha \bar{\rho}_i^\alpha \bar{\rho}_i^\beta - \\
& \frac{1}{32}e^{2U}(\tilde{\sigma}_{ab})_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\psi^a_j \psi^{bj}\bar{\rho}_i^\alpha \bar{\rho}_i^\beta - \frac{1}{32}e^{2U}(\tilde{\sigma}_{ab})_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\bar{\psi}^{aj}\dot{\alpha}\bar{\psi}^b_{j\dot{\beta}}\bar{\rho}_i^\alpha \bar{\rho}_i^\beta +
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{4}ic(\sigma_b)^\alpha \psi_a^i \bar{\psi}^{aj} \bar{\psi}_j^{\dot{\beta}} \bar{\psi}_i^{\dot{\beta}} \phi \bar{\lambda}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{8}cy^{-1} \bar{\psi}_{ai\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\psi}_j^{\dot{\alpha}} \phi^{-1} \bar{\phi}^2 \lambda^{i\alpha} \lambda_j^\alpha - \\
& \frac{1}{16}cy^{-1}(\sigma_a)^\beta \bar{\psi}_\beta(\sigma_b)^\alpha \bar{\psi}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\psi}_j^{\dot{\beta}} \phi^{-1} \bar{\phi}^2 \lambda^i \alpha \lambda_j^\beta + \frac{1}{4}ic(\sigma_b)^\alpha \psi_{aj}^\beta \psi_\beta^{bj} \bar{\psi}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} \phi^{-1} \bar{\phi}^2 \lambda^i \alpha + \\
& \frac{1}{4}ic(\sigma_b)^\alpha \bar{\psi}_a^j \bar{\psi}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\psi}_j^{\dot{\beta}} \bar{\phi} \lambda^i \alpha - \frac{1}{8}cy \psi_{ai}^\alpha \psi_a^\beta \psi_b^i \alpha \psi_\beta^{bj} \phi^{-1} \bar{\phi}^2 - \\
& \frac{1}{4}cy \psi_{ai}^\alpha \psi_b^i \alpha \bar{\psi}^{aj} \bar{\psi}_j^{\dot{\beta}} \bar{\phi} + \frac{1}{8}c\epsilon^a{}_{bcd}(\sigma_a)^\alpha \psi^{bi} \bar{\psi}^{cj} \bar{\psi}_j^{\dot{\beta}} \bar{\phi} \bar{\lambda}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} + \\
& \frac{1}{16}icy^{-1} \epsilon^{ab}{}_{cd}(\sigma_a)^\alpha \bar{\psi}_\beta(\sigma_b)^\beta \bar{\psi}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\psi}_j^{\dot{\beta}} \phi^{-1} \bar{\phi}^2 \lambda^i \alpha \lambda_j^\beta - \frac{1}{8}c\epsilon^a{}_{bcd}(\sigma_a)^\alpha \psi^b \psi_j^\beta \psi_\beta^{cj} \bar{\psi}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} \phi^{-1} \bar{\phi}^2 \lambda^i \alpha + \\
& \frac{1}{8}c\epsilon^a{}_{bcd}(\sigma_a)^\alpha \bar{\psi}_\beta^{bj} \bar{\psi}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\psi}_j^{\dot{\beta}} \bar{\phi} \lambda^i \alpha - \frac{1}{16}icy \epsilon_{abcd} \psi_a^i \alpha \psi_\alpha^{bi} \psi^c \psi_j^\beta \psi_\beta^{dj} \phi^{-1} \bar{\phi}^2 - \\
& \frac{1}{8}icy \epsilon_{abcd} \psi_a^i \alpha \psi_\alpha^{bi} \bar{\psi}^{cj} \bar{\psi}_j^{\dot{\beta}} \bar{\phi} + \frac{1}{32}iy^{-1} e^{2U} (\sigma_a)^\alpha \bar{\psi}_j \phi^{-1} \bar{\phi} \lambda_j^\alpha \bar{\rho}_i^{\dot{\beta}} - \\
& \frac{1}{32}e^{2U} (\tilde{\sigma}_{ab})_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \psi_a^j \alpha \psi_\alpha^{bj} \phi^{-1} \bar{\phi} \bar{\rho}_i^{\dot{\beta}} - \frac{1}{32}y^{-4} \lambda^{i\alpha} \lambda_j^\alpha \bar{\lambda}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\lambda}_j^{\dot{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{128}e^{4U} \rho^{i\alpha} \rho_j^\alpha \bar{\rho}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\rho}_j^{\dot{\alpha}} - \\
& \frac{3}{512}c^{-1}y^{-1}e^{4U} \bar{\phi}^{-1} \rho_i^\alpha \rho^{i\beta} \rho_{j\alpha} \rho_j^\beta - \frac{3}{512}c^{-1}y^{-1}e^{4U} \phi^{-1} \bar{\rho}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\rho}_j^{\dot{\beta}} \bar{\rho}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\rho}_j^{\dot{\beta}} + \\
& \frac{1}{8}icy^{-1} \epsilon^{ab}{}_{cd}(\sigma_a)^\beta \bar{\psi}_\beta(\sigma_b)^\alpha \psi_\beta^{cj} \bar{\psi}_j^{\dot{\beta}} \phi \lambda^i \alpha \bar{\lambda}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{8}icy^{-1} \epsilon^{ab}{}_{cd}(\sigma_a)^\beta \bar{\psi}_\beta(\sigma_b)^\alpha \psi_\beta^{cj} \bar{\psi}_j^{\dot{\beta}} \bar{\phi} \lambda^i \alpha \bar{\lambda}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} - \\
& \frac{1}{2}cy^{-1}(\sigma_{ab})^{\alpha\beta} \psi_\alpha^{aj} \psi_\beta^{bj} \phi \bar{\lambda}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\lambda}_j^{\dot{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{2}cy^{-1}(\tilde{\sigma}_{ab})_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \bar{\psi}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\psi}_j^{\dot{\beta}} \bar{\phi} \lambda^{i\alpha} \lambda_j^\alpha - \\
& \frac{1}{8}y^{-2}(\sigma_{ab})^{\beta\alpha} \psi_\alpha^{aj} \bar{\psi}_j^{\dot{\beta}} \lambda^i \alpha \bar{\lambda}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{16}e^{2U} (\sigma_{ab})^{\beta\alpha} \psi_\beta^{aj} \bar{\psi}_j^{\dot{\beta}} \lambda^i \alpha \bar{\rho}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} + \\
& \frac{1}{8}y^{-2}(\tilde{\sigma}_{ab})_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\psi}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\psi}_j^{\dot{\beta}} \lambda^i \alpha \bar{\lambda}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{16}e^{2U} (\tilde{\sigma}_{ab})_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}} \psi_\alpha^{aj} \bar{\psi}_j^{\dot{\beta}} \rho_i^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\rho}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} - \\
& \frac{1}{2}cy^{-1} \psi_{ai}^\alpha \bar{\psi}^{aj} \alpha \phi \lambda^i \alpha \bar{\lambda}_j^{\dot{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{2}cy^{-1} \psi_{ai}^\alpha \bar{\psi}^{aj} \alpha \bar{\phi} \lambda^i \alpha \bar{\lambda}_j^{\dot{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{2}cy^{-1}(\sigma_{ab})^{\beta\alpha} \psi_a^i \bar{\psi}_i^{\dot{\beta}} \alpha \phi \lambda^i \alpha \bar{\lambda}_j^{\dot{\alpha}} - \\
& \frac{1}{4}cy^{-1}(\tilde{\sigma}_{ab})_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \psi_a^j \alpha \psi_\alpha^{bj} \phi \bar{\lambda}_i^{\dot{\beta}} \bar{\lambda}_i^{\dot{\beta}} - \frac{5}{128}c^{-1}y^{-1}e^{2U} (\tilde{\sigma}_{ab})_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}} \psi_a^j \alpha \psi_\alpha^{bj} \phi^{-1} \bar{\rho}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\rho}_i^{\dot{\beta}} + \\
& \frac{1}{2}cy^{-1}(\tilde{\sigma}_{ab})_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}} \psi_a^i \alpha \bar{\psi}_i^{\dot{\beta}} \phi \lambda^i \alpha \bar{\lambda}_j^{\dot{\alpha}} - \frac{3}{16}e^{2U} (\sigma_{ab})^{\rho\alpha} \psi_a^j \rho_j \psi_\beta^{bj} \rho_{i\alpha} \rho_i^\beta - \\
& \frac{1}{2}cy^{-1}(\sigma_{ab})^{\beta\alpha} \psi_a^i \bar{\psi}_i^{\dot{\beta}} \alpha \bar{\phi} \lambda^i \alpha \bar{\lambda}_j^{\dot{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{4}cy^{-1}(\sigma_{ab})^{\alpha\beta} \bar{\psi}_j^{\dot{\beta}} \alpha \bar{\psi}_j^{\dot{\beta}} \bar{\phi} \lambda_{i\alpha} \lambda_i^\beta - \\
& \frac{1}{32}c^{-1}y^{-1}e^{2U} (\sigma_{ab})^{\alpha\beta} \bar{\psi}_j^{\dot{\beta}} \alpha \bar{\psi}_j^{\dot{\beta}} \phi^{-1} \rho_{i\alpha} \rho_i^\beta + \frac{1}{2}cy^{-1}(\tilde{\sigma}_{ab})_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}} \psi_a^i \alpha \bar{\psi}_i^{\dot{\beta}} \bar{\phi} \lambda^i \alpha \bar{\lambda}_j^{\dot{\alpha}} - \\
& \frac{3}{16}e^{2U} (\tilde{\sigma}_{ab})_{\dot{\rho}\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\psi}^{aj} \bar{\psi}_j^{\dot{\beta}} \bar{\rho}_i^{\dot{\beta}} - \frac{1}{16}e^{2U} \psi_a^j \alpha \bar{\psi}_j^{\dot{\beta}} \lambda^i \alpha \bar{\rho}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{8}ie^U (\sigma_a)^\alpha \psi_\beta^{aj} \bar{\psi}_i^{\dot{\beta}} \alpha \bar{\psi}_j^{\dot{\beta}} \bar{\rho}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} - \\
& \frac{1}{8}ie^U (\sigma_b)^\alpha \psi_\beta^a \alpha \bar{\psi}_j^{\dot{\beta}} \bar{\rho}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{8}cy^{-1}e^{2U} \phi \lambda_i \alpha \bar{\lambda}_j^{\dot{\alpha}} \rho_i^\alpha \bar{\rho}_j^{\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{8}cy^{-1}e^{2U} \phi \lambda^j \alpha \bar{\lambda}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} \rho_i^\alpha \bar{\rho}_j^{\dot{\alpha}} + \\
& \frac{1}{8}cy^{-1}e^{2U} \bar{\phi} \lambda_i \alpha \bar{\lambda}_j^{\dot{\alpha}} \rho_i^\alpha \bar{\rho}_j^{\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{8}cy^{-1}e^{2U} \bar{\phi} \lambda^j \alpha \bar{\lambda}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} \rho_i^\alpha \bar{\rho}_j^{\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{16}ie^U (\sigma_b)^\alpha (\tilde{\sigma}_{ac})_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\rho}} \psi_\alpha^{aj} \bar{\psi}_j^{\dot{\beta}} \bar{\psi}_i^{\dot{\rho}} \bar{\rho}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} - \\
& \frac{1}{16}ie^U (\sigma_a)^\alpha \alpha (\tilde{\sigma}_{bc})_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\rho}} \psi_\alpha^{aj} \bar{\psi}_j^{\dot{\beta}} \bar{\psi}_i^{\dot{\rho}} \bar{\rho}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} + \frac{1}{16}ie^U (\sigma_b)^\alpha \beta (\tilde{\sigma}_{ac})_{\dot{\rho}\dot{\alpha}} \psi_\alpha^{aj} \bar{\psi}_j^{\dot{\beta}} \bar{\psi}_i^{\dot{\rho}} \bar{\rho}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} + \\
& \frac{3}{32}e^U \epsilon^a{}_{bcd}(\sigma_a)^\alpha \psi_\beta^{bj} \bar{\psi}_j^{\dot{\beta}} \bar{\rho}_i^{\dot{\beta}} + \frac{1}{8}icy^{-1}e^U (\sigma_a)^\alpha \bar{\psi}_j^{\dot{\beta}} \alpha \bar{\phi} \lambda_j^\alpha \bar{\lambda}_i^{\dot{\beta}} \bar{\rho}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} + \\
& \frac{1}{8}icy^{-1}e^U (\sigma_a)^\alpha \bar{\psi}_j^{\dot{\beta}} \alpha \bar{\phi} \lambda_j^\alpha \bar{\lambda}_i^{\dot{\beta}} \bar{\rho}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{64}c^{-1}y^{-1}e^{2U} (\sigma_a)^\alpha (\sigma_b)^\beta \psi_a^j \alpha \psi_\beta^{bj} \phi^{-1} \bar{\rho}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\rho}_i^{\dot{\beta}} + \\
& \frac{1}{64}c^{-1}y^{-1}e^{2U} (\sigma_a)^\beta (\sigma_b)^\alpha \psi_a^j \alpha \psi_\beta^{bj} \phi^{-1} \bar{\rho}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} \bar{\rho}_i^{\dot{\beta}} - \frac{1}{64}c^{-1}y^{-1}e^{2U} (\sigma_a)^\alpha (\sigma_b)^\beta \bar{\psi}_j^{\dot{\beta}} \alpha \bar{\psi}_j^{\dot{\beta}} \phi^{-1} \rho_{i\alpha} \rho_i^\beta + \\
& \frac{1}{64}c^{-1}y^{-1}e^{2U} (\sigma_a)^\alpha \bar{\psi}_j^{\dot{\beta}} (\sigma_b)^\beta \bar{\psi}_j^{\dot{\beta}} \alpha \bar{\psi}_j^{\dot{\beta}} \phi^{-1} \rho_{i\alpha} \rho_i^\beta + \frac{1}{32}e^{2U} \psi_{aj}^\alpha \psi^{aj\beta} \rho_{i\alpha} \rho_i^\beta + \\
& \frac{1}{32}e^{2U} \bar{\psi}_a^j \alpha \bar{\psi}_j^{\dot{\beta}} \bar{\rho}_i^{\dot{\beta}} - \frac{1}{32}e^{2U} \bar{\psi}_a^i \bar{\psi}_j^{\dot{\beta}} \alpha \bar{\rho}_i^{\dot{\beta}} \bar{\rho}_j^{\dot{\beta}} + \frac{1}{64}iy^{-2}e^U (\sigma_a)^\alpha \bar{\psi}_j^{\dot{\beta}} \alpha \lambda_j^\alpha \bar{\lambda}_j^{\dot{\beta}} \bar{\rho}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} - \\
& \frac{1}{64}iy^{-2}e^U (\sigma_a)^\alpha \bar{\psi}_j^{\dot{\beta}} \alpha \lambda_j^\alpha \bar{\lambda}_j^{\dot{\beta}} \bar{\rho}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{16}ie^U (\sigma_{ab})^{\beta\rho} (\sigma_c)^\alpha \psi_a^i \psi_\beta^{bj} \bar{\psi}_j^{\dot{\beta}} \rho_i^\alpha + \\
& \frac{1}{16}ie^U (\sigma_{ac})^{\beta\rho} (\sigma_b)^\alpha \psi_a^i \psi_\beta^{bj} \bar{\psi}_j^{\dot{\beta}} \rho_i^\alpha + \frac{1}{16}ie^U (\sigma_{ac})^{\beta\alpha} (\sigma_b)^\rho \psi_\alpha^{aj} \psi_\beta^b \bar{\psi}_i^{\dot{\beta}} \rho_i^\alpha - \\
& \frac{3}{32}e^U \epsilon^a{}_{bcd}(\sigma_a)^\alpha \psi_\beta^b \psi_\beta^{cj} \bar{\psi}_j^{\dot{\beta}} \rho_i^\alpha - \frac{1}{8}ie^U (\sigma_b)^\beta \psi_{ai}^\alpha \psi_\beta^{bj} \bar{\psi}_j^{\dot{\beta}} \rho_i^\alpha + \\
& \frac{1}{8}ie^U (\sigma_b)^\beta \psi_{ai}^\alpha \psi_\beta^{aj} \bar{\psi}_j^{\dot{\beta}} \rho_i^\alpha + \frac{1}{8}icy^{-1}e^U (\sigma_a)^\beta \psi_a^j \alpha \phi \lambda_j^\beta \bar{\lambda}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} \rho_i^\alpha - \\
& \frac{1}{8}icy^{-1}e^U (\sigma_a)^\beta \psi_\alpha^{aj} \phi \lambda_{i\beta} \bar{\lambda}_j^{\dot{\alpha}} \rho_i^\alpha - \frac{1}{8}icy^{-1}e^U (\sigma_a)^\alpha \bar{\psi}_j^{\dot{\beta}} \alpha \phi \lambda^i \alpha \bar{\lambda}_j^{\dot{\beta}} \bar{\rho}_i^{\dot{\alpha}} -
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{8}icy^{-1}e^U(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\beta}}\bar{\psi}^{aj}{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\phi}\lambda^i{}_{\alpha}\bar{\lambda}_j{}_{\dot{\beta}}\bar{\rho}_i{}_{\dot{\alpha}}-\frac{5}{128}ie^{3U}(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^{aj}{}_{\dot{\beta}}\rho^i{}_{\alpha}\bar{\rho}_j{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\rho}_i{}_{\dot{\beta}}+ \\
& \frac{1}{8}icy^{-1}e^U(\sigma_a)^\beta{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\psi^a{}_{\dot{j}}{}^\alpha\bar{\phi}\lambda^j{}_{\beta}\bar{\lambda}_i{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\rho^i{}_{\alpha}-\frac{1}{8}icy^{-1}e^U(\sigma_a)^\beta{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\psi^{aj}{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\phi}\lambda_{i\beta}\bar{\lambda}_j{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\rho^i{}_{\alpha}+ \\
& \frac{1}{16}e^{2U}\psi_a{}^j{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^a{}_{\dot{i}}\rho^i{}_{\alpha}\bar{\rho}_j{}_{\dot{\alpha}}+\frac{1}{8}ie^a{}_{bcd}(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\beta}}(\sigma_e)^\beta{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\psi^bi{}_{\alpha}\psi^cj{}_{\beta}\bar{\psi}^d{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^e{}_{\dot{\beta}}+ \\
& \frac{1}{4}(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}(\sigma_b)^\beta{}_{\dot{\beta}}\psi^{ai}{}_{\alpha}\psi^{bj}{}_{\beta}\bar{\psi}^c{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^d{}_{\dot{\beta}}+\frac{1}{2}(\sigma_c)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\beta}}(\sigma_b)^\beta{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\psi_a{}^i{}_{\alpha}\psi^{bj}{}_{\beta}\bar{\psi}^c{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^d{}_{\dot{\beta}}- \\
& \frac{1}{8}(\sigma_a)^\beta{}_{\dot{\beta}}(\sigma_b)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\psi^{ai}{}_{\alpha}\psi^{bj}{}_{\beta}\bar{\psi}^c{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^d{}_{\dot{\beta}}-\frac{1}{4}(\sigma_b)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\beta}}(\sigma_c)^\beta{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\psi_a{}^i{}_{\alpha}\psi^{bj}{}_{\beta}\bar{\psi}^c{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^d{}_{\dot{\beta}}+ \\
& \frac{1}{4}(\sigma_b)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}(\sigma_c)^\beta{}_{\dot{\beta}}\psi_a{}^i{}_{\alpha}\psi^{aj}{}_{\beta}\bar{\psi}^b{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^c{}_{\dot{\beta}}+\frac{1}{8}(\sigma_b)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\beta}}(\sigma_c)^\beta{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\psi_a{}^i{}_{\alpha}\psi^{aj}{}_{\beta}\bar{\psi}^b{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^c{}_{\dot{\beta}}+ \\
& \frac{1}{4}\psi_a{}^i{}_{\alpha}\psi_b{}^j{}_{\beta}\bar{\psi}^a{}_{\dot{j}}{}^\alpha\bar{\psi}^b{}_{\dot{i}}{}^\beta-\frac{1}{8}ie^a{}_{bcd}(\sigma_a)^\beta{}_{\dot{\beta}}(\sigma_e)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\psi^bi{}_{\alpha}\psi^ej{}_{\beta}\bar{\psi}^c{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^d{}_{\dot{\beta}}+ \\
& \frac{1}{64}iy^{-2}e^U(\sigma_a)^\beta{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\psi^a{}_{\dot{i}}{}^\alpha\lambda^j{}_{\beta}\bar{\lambda}_j{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\rho^i{}_{\alpha}-\frac{1}{64}iy^{-2}e^U(\sigma_a)^\beta{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\psi^a{}_{\dot{i}}{}^\alpha\lambda^j{}_{\beta}\bar{\lambda}_j{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\rho^i{}_{\alpha}+ \\
& \frac{1}{8}ie^U(\sigma_b)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\beta}}\psi_a{}^j{}_{\alpha}\bar{\psi}^a{}_{\dot{j}}{}^\alpha\bar{\psi}^{bi}{}_{\dot{\beta}}{}^\beta\bar{\rho}_i{}_{\dot{\alpha}}+\frac{1}{8}ie^U(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\beta}}\psi^{aj}{}_{\alpha}\bar{\psi}^b{}_{\dot{\beta}}{}^\beta\bar{\rho}_i{}_{\dot{\alpha}}- \\
& \frac{5}{128}ie^{3U}(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\psi^a{}_{\dot{i}}{}^\beta\rho^i{}_{\alpha}\rho^j{}_{\beta}\bar{\rho}_j{}_{\dot{\alpha}}-\frac{1}{16}iy^{-2}\epsilon^{ab}{}_{cd}(\sigma_a)^\beta{}_{\dot{\beta}}(\sigma_b)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\psi^cj{}_{\beta}\bar{\psi}^d{}_{\dot{\beta}}\lambda^i{}_{\alpha}\bar{\lambda}_i{}_{\dot{\alpha}}- \\
& \frac{1}{32}e^{2U}\psi_{ai}{}^\beta\psi^a{}_{\dot{j}}{}^\alpha\rho^i{}_{\alpha}\rho^j{}_{\beta}+\frac{1}{8}ie^U(\sigma_b)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\beta}}\psi_a{}^i{}_{\alpha}\bar{\psi}^{aj}{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^b{}_{\dot{\beta}}{}^\beta\bar{\rho}_i{}_{\dot{\alpha}}-\frac{1}{8}ie^U(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\beta}}\psi^{ai}{}_{\alpha}\bar{\psi}^b{}_{\dot{\beta}}{}^\beta\bar{\rho}_i{}_{\dot{\alpha}}- \\
& \frac{1}{8}ie^U(\sigma_b)^\beta{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\psi_{aj}{}^\beta\psi^{aj}{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^b{}_{\dot{\alpha}}{}^\beta\rho^i{}_{\alpha}+\frac{1}{8}ie^U(\sigma_b)^\beta{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\psi_{ai}{}^\beta\psi^{aj}{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^b{}_{\dot{\alpha}}{}^\beta\rho^i{}_{\alpha}+\frac{1}{8}ie^U(\sigma_b)^\beta{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\psi_{aj}{}^\alpha\psi^{bj}{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^a{}_{\dot{\alpha}}{}^\beta\rho^i{}_{\alpha}+ \\
& \frac{1}{8}ie^U(\sigma_b)^\beta{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\psi_a{}^j{}_{\alpha}\psi^b{}_{\dot{i}}{}^\beta\bar{\psi}^a{}_{\dot{j}}{}^\alpha\rho^i{}_{\alpha}+\frac{1}{256}ic^{-1}y^{-1}e^{2U}\epsilon^{ab}{}_{cd}(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}(\sigma_b)^\beta{}_{\dot{\beta}}\psi^c{}_{\dot{j}}{}^\alpha\psi^d{}_{\dot{i}}{}^\beta\bar{\rho}^{-1}{}_{\dot{i}}{}^\alpha\bar{\rho}_i{}_{\dot{\beta}}- \\
& \frac{1}{64}iy^{-2}e^U(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}^{ai}{}_{\dot{\beta}}{}^\beta\lambda^j{}_{\alpha}\bar{\lambda}_j{}_{\dot{\beta}}\bar{\rho}_i{}_{\dot{\alpha}}+\frac{1}{64}iy^{-2}e^U(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\psi^a{}_{\dot{i}}{}^\beta\lambda^j{}_{\beta}\bar{\lambda}_j{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\rho^i{}_{\alpha}+ \\
& \frac{1}{16}ie^U(\sigma_b)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\rho}}(\tilde{\sigma}_{ac})_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha}}\psi^{aj}{}_{\alpha}\bar{\psi}^b{}_{\dot{\beta}}{}^\beta\bar{\psi}^{ci}{}_{\dot{\rho}}{}^\alpha\bar{\rho}_i{}_{\dot{\alpha}}-\frac{1}{16}ie^U(\sigma_a)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\beta}}(\tilde{\sigma}_{bc})_{\dot{\rho}\dot{\alpha}}\psi^{aj}{}_{\alpha}\bar{\psi}^{bi}{}_{\dot{\beta}}{}^\beta\bar{\psi}^c{}_{\dot{\rho}}{}^\alpha\bar{\rho}_i{}_{\dot{\alpha}}+ \\
& \frac{1}{16}ie^U(\sigma_b)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}(\tilde{\sigma}_{ac})_{\dot{\beta}\dot{\rho}}\psi^{aj}{}_{\alpha}\bar{\psi}^{bi}{}_{\dot{\beta}}{}^\beta\bar{\psi}^c{}_{\dot{\rho}}{}^\alpha\bar{\rho}_i{}_{\dot{\alpha}}-\frac{1}{16}ie^U(\sigma_{ab})^{\rho\alpha}(\sigma_c)^\beta{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\psi^a{}_{\dot{i}}{}^\beta\psi^b{}_{\dot{j}}{}^\alpha\bar{\psi}^c{}_{\dot{j}}{}^\alpha\rho^i{}_{\alpha}+ \\
& \frac{1}{16}ie^U(\sigma_{ac})^{\rho\alpha}(\sigma_b)^\beta{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\psi^a{}_{\dot{i}}{}^\beta\psi^b{}_{\dot{j}}{}^\alpha\bar{\psi}^c{}_{\dot{j}}{}^\alpha\rho^i{}_{\alpha}+\frac{1}{16}ie^U(\sigma_{ac})^{\beta\rho}(\sigma_b)^\alpha{}_{\dot{\alpha}}\psi^{aj}{}_{\beta}\psi^b{}_{\dot{i}}{}^\alpha\bar{\psi}^c{}_{\dot{j}}{}^\alpha\rho^i{}_{\alpha}
\end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] G. Gold, S. Khandelwal, and G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, “On 4D, $\mathcal{N} = 2$ deformed vector multiplets and partial supersymmetry breaking in off-shell supergravity,” [arXiv:2409.???? [hep-th]]
- [2] K. Peeters, “A field-theory motivated approach to symbolic computer algebra,” (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0701238 [hep-th]].
- [3] K. Peeters, “Introducing cadabra: a symbolic computer algebra system for field theory problems,” Comput. Phys. Commun. **176**, 550 (2007) [arXiv:cs/0608005 [cs]].
- [4] K. Peeters, “Cadabra2: computer algebra for field theory revisited,” J. Open Source Softw. **3**, 1118 (2018)
- [5] G. Gold, S. Khandelwal and G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, “Supergravity Component Reduction with Computer Algebra,” [arXiv:2406.19687 [hep-th]].