

Universal approximation theorem for neural networks with inputs from a topological vector space

VUGAR E. ISMAILOV

Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics, Baku, Azerbaijan
 Center for Mathematics and its Applications, Khazar University, Baku, Azerbaijan
 e-mail: vugaris@mail.ru

Abstract. We study feedforward neural networks with inputs from a topological vector space (TVS-FNNs). Unlike traditional feedforward neural networks, TVS-FNNs can process a broader range of inputs, including sequences, matrices, functions and more. We prove a universal approximation theorem for TVS-FNNs, which demonstrates their capacity to approximate any continuous function defined on this expanded input space.

Mathematics Subject Classifications: 41A30, 41A65, 68T05

Keywords: feedforward neural network, universal approximation theorem, density, topological vector space, Hahn-Banach extension property

1. Introduction

Neural networks have become a cornerstone of modern machine learning and artificial intelligence, offering powerful tools for modeling and solving complex problems. Among the various types of neural network architectures, the multilayer feedforward perceptron (MLP) stands out as one of the most widely used and fundamental models. The MLP is particularly valued for its ability to approximate complex, nonlinear functions and perform tasks such as classification, regression, and pattern recognition. This model is structured with a finite number of sequential layers: the first layer is the input layer, the last layer is the output layer, and the layers in between are referred to as hidden layers. In the MLP, information flows from the input layer through the hidden layers to the output layer. During this process, each neuron in a layer receives inputs from neurons in the preceding layer, applies a weight to these inputs, adds a bias, and then passes the result through an activation function. The activation function introduces non-linearity into the model, enabling it to learn and represent complex patterns. The output of each neuron in one layer becomes the input for the neurons in the subsequent layer. This sequential flow continues until the final output is produced by the output layer.

The simplest case of an MLP is a *single hidden layer neural network*. In this configuration, each output neuron computes a function of the form:

$$\sum_{i=1}^r c_i \sigma(\mathbf{w}^i \cdot \mathbf{x} - \theta_i), \quad (1.1)$$

where $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$ represents the input vector, r is the number of neurons in the hidden layer, \mathbf{w}^i are *weight vectors* in \mathbb{R}^d , θ_i are *thresholds*, c_i are coefficients, and σ is the *activation function*, a real univariate function. In this model, the activation function σ introduces non-linearity, allowing the network to approximate more complex functions by combining the outputs from the hidden layer neurons.

The theoretical foundation for neural networks is the *universal approximation property* (UAP), also known as the density property. This property asserts that a neural network with a single hidden layer can approximate any continuous function on a compact domain to any desired level of accuracy. That is, the set $\text{span}\{\sigma(\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x} - \theta) : \theta \in \mathbb{R}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$, which consists of functions of the form given in equation (1.1), is dense in $C(K)$ for every compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$. In neural network theory, this result is known as the *universal approximation theorem* (UAT). There is a substantial body of research addressing UAT for various activation functions σ . Numerous results have explored how different choices of activation functions affect the approximation capabilities of neural networks. For example, see the papers [1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 11, 13]. The most general result of this type was obtained by Leshno, Lin, Pinkus and Schocken [16]. They proved that a continuous activation function σ possesses the density property if and only if it is not a polynomial. This result highlights the effectiveness of the single hidden layer perceptron model for a wide range of activation functions σ . It should be remarked that, in fact, the universal approximation theorem in [16] was proved for a broader class of functions than just continuous functions. This includes activation functions that may have discontinuities on sets of Lebesgue measure zero. However, in this paper, we restrict our focus to continuous activation functions. For a detailed, step-by-step proof of this theorem, see also [19, 20].

Previously, it was widely believed and emphasized in many studies that achieving the universal approximation property requires large networks with sufficiently many hidden neurons (see, e.g., [7, Chapter 6.4.1]). Note that in the aforementioned earlier papers, the number of hidden neurons was considered unbounded. However, more recent studies [9, 10, 12] have shown that neural networks with practically computable activation functions and a very small number of hidden neurons can approximate any continuous function on any compact set to an arbitrary degree of accuracy.

Note that the inner product $\mathbf{w}^i \cdot \mathbf{x}$ in (1.1) represents a linear continuous functional on \mathbb{R}^d . This is a well known result in functional analysis that every linear continuous functional f on a Hilbert space H can be expressed as $f(x) = \langle a, x \rangle$, where a is a unique element of H and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ denotes the inner product (see [21, Theorem 13.32]). Consequently, every linear functional on \mathbb{R}^d is of the form $\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}$, where $\mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$ is the variable. This observation tells the following generalization of single hidden layer networks from \mathbb{R}^d to any topological vector space X . The architecture of such a generalized feedforward neural network is as follows:

- **Input Layer:** This layer consists of an element $x \in X$. Note that in the case where $X = \mathbb{R}^d$, x is a d -dimensional vector. Thus, only in this particular case, the layer has d neurons, each receiving an input signal x_1, \dots, x_d .
- **Hidden layer:** Each neuron in the hidden layer receives the input x from the input

layer and applies a functional $f \in X^*$ to x (where X^* is the dual space of linear continuous functionals on X). A shift θ and then a fixed activation function $\sigma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are applied to $f(x)$ and the resulting value $\sigma(f(x) - \theta)$ represents the output signal of the neuron.

- **Output layer:** Each neuron in this layer receives weighted signals from each neuron in the hidden layer, sums them, and produces the final output value.

In the following discussion, the term “feedforward neural network with inputs from a topological vector space” will be abbreviated as TVS-FNN. Note that in the architecture of TVS-FNNs, the element $x \in X$ carries all the information of the input layer. This structure allows the network to handle a wide range of input types. In particular, x may be an infinite dimensional vector (x_1, x_2, \dots) , indicating an input with infinitely many signals, or it may be even a function. Detailed examples will be provided later in the form of corollaries from the main result (see Section 3).

Thus a TVS-FNN with a single hidden layer evaluates a function of the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^r c_i \sigma(f_i(x) - \theta_i), \quad (1.2)$$

where $x \in X$ is the input, $f_i \in X^*$, $c_i, \theta_i \in \mathbb{R}$ are the parameters of the network, and $\sigma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a fixed activation function.

The aim of this paper is to show that for broad classes of topological vector spaces X and activation functions σ , neural networks of the form presented in (1.2) can approximate any continuous function on a compact subset $K \subset X$ with arbitrary precision. In other words, the set

$$\mathcal{N}_1(\sigma) = \text{span}\{\sigma(f(x) - \theta) : f \in X^*, \theta \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

is dense in $C(K)$ for every compact set $K \subset X$.

Let us remark that TVS-FNNs with more than one hidden layer are defined recursively, similar to traditional networks. Thus, TVS-FNNs with l hidden layers can be represented as elements of the space

$$\mathcal{N}_l(\sigma) = \text{span}\{\sigma(G(x) - \theta) : G \in \mathcal{N}_{l-1}(\sigma), \theta \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

However, this paper does not address the approximation capabilities of deep TVS-FNNs.

It should be remarked that UAP of neural networks acting between Banach spaces has been investigated in several studies. For example, in [24], the fundamentality of ridge functions was established in a Banach space and then applied to shallow networks with a sigmoidal activation function (see also [17]). In [2], the authors showed that any continuous nonlinear function mapping a compact set V in a Banach space of continuous functions $C(K_1)$ into $C(K_2)$ can be approximated arbitrarily well by shallow feedforward neural networks. Here K_1 and K_2 are two compact sets in an abstract Banach space X and the Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^d , respectively. In [18], this construction was extended to deep

neural networks and named DeepONet. In [15], the authors analyzed DeepONet within the framework of an encoder-decoder type of network and examined its approximation properties for the case where the input space is a Hilbert space. In [14], quantitative estimates (i.e., convergence rates) for the approximation of nonlinear operators using single-hidden layer networks acting between infinite-dimensional Banach spaces were obtained, extending some existing results from the finite-dimensional case.

Recent studies have established UAT for certain hypercomplex-valued neural networks such as complex-, quaternion-, tessarine-, and Clifford-valued neural networks, as well as more general vector-valued neural networks (V-nets) defined on and with values from a finite-dimensional algebra (see [25] and references therein). We hope that the findings of this paper will encourage further research on TVS-FNNs with outputs from these and other general spaces.

2. Main result

In this section, we show that shallow TVS-FNNs, when using any non-polynomial continuous activation function, possess the universal approximation property. This result generalizes the well-known theorem by Leshno, Lin, Pinkus, and Schocken [16]. In the proof, we utilize their result in the one-dimensional case. For completeness, we also provide a short proof for this special case.

In the following theorem, we consider a topological vector space (TVS) with the *Hahn-Banach Extension Property* (HBEP). A TVS X is said to have the HBEP if every continuous linear functional defined on a closed subspace of X can be extended to the whole space while remaining continuous. According to the Hahn-Banach theorem, every (locally) convex TVS has the HBEP (see [22, Theorem 3.6]). However, there also exist nonconvex TVSs that possess the HBEP (see [8]).

Theorem 2.1. *Assume X is a TVS with the HBEP, Θ is any open interval in \mathbb{R} and σ is a continuous univariate function that is not a polynomial on Θ . Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, any compact set $K \subset X$ and any function $g \in C(K)$ there exist $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $f_i \in X^*$, $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$, $\theta_i \in \Theta$, $i = 1, \dots, r$, such that*

$$\max_{x \in K} \left| g(x) - \sum_{i=1}^r c_i \sigma(f_i(x) - \theta_i) \right| < \varepsilon.$$

Proof. Assume σ is not a polynomial on Θ . The proof is carried out in four steps.

Step 1: The case when $X = \mathbb{R}$ and $\sigma \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. We first prove that if $\sigma \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, then the set

$$\mathcal{M}(\sigma) = \text{span}\{\sigma(w \cdot x - \theta) : w \in \mathbb{R}, \theta \in \Theta\}$$

is dense in $C(K)$ for every compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}$. To show this, note that

$$[\sigma((w+h)x - \theta) - \sigma(wx - \theta)]/h \in \mathcal{M}(\sigma)$$

for every $w \in \mathbb{R}$, $\theta \in \Theta$ and $h \neq 0$. Since $\sigma \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, it follows that $\frac{d}{dw}\sigma(wx - \theta) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}(\sigma)}$. By the same argument $\frac{d^k}{dw^k}\sigma(wx - \theta) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}(\sigma)}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $w \in \mathbb{R}$, $\theta \in \Theta$. Obviously,

$$\frac{d^k}{dw^k}\sigma(wx - \theta) = x^k \sigma^{(k)}(wx - \theta)$$

and since σ is not a polynomial, there exists $-\theta_k \in \Theta$ such that $\sigma^{(k)}(-\theta_k) \neq 0$. Therefore,

$$x^k \sigma^{(k)}(-\theta_k) = x^k \sigma^{(k)}(wx - \theta)|_{w=0, \theta=\theta_k} \in \overline{\mathcal{M}(\sigma)}.$$

We see that $\overline{\mathcal{M}(\sigma)}$ contains all monomials and thus all algebraic polynomials. By the Weierstrass theorem this implies that $\mathcal{M}(\sigma)$ is dense in $C(K)$ for every compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}$.

Step 2: The case when $X = \mathbb{R}$ and $\sigma \in C(\mathbb{R})$. Let us now prove that if $\sigma \in C(\mathbb{R})$, then the set $\mathcal{M}(\sigma)$ is dense in $C(K)$ for any compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}$. We prove this assertion by contradiction. Assume, on the contrary, that $\mathcal{M}(\sigma)$ is not dense. Consider the convolution of σ with a function $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, that is, an infinitely differentiable function with compact support

$$(\sigma * \varphi)(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \sigma(t - y)\phi(y)dy.$$

Since σ and φ are continuous functions and φ has compact support the above integral converges for all t . Taking Riemann sums, it is easy to understand that $(\sigma * \varphi)(t)$ is contained in $\overline{\mathcal{M}(\sigma)}$. By the same way, we obtain that $(\sigma * \varphi)(wt - \theta)$ is contained in $\overline{\mathcal{M}(\sigma)}$. Thus, $\overline{\mathcal{M}(\sigma * \varphi)} \subset \overline{\mathcal{M}(\sigma)}$. Since $\sigma * \varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, we have from Step 1 that $t^k(\sigma * \varphi)^{(k)}(-\theta) \in \overline{\mathcal{M}(\sigma * \varphi)}$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $\theta \in \Theta$. Since $\overline{\mathcal{M}(\sigma)}$ is not dense, the monomial t^k is not in $\overline{\mathcal{M}(\sigma * \varphi)}$ for some k . Therefore, t^k is not in $\overline{\mathcal{M}(\sigma * \varphi)}$ for each $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. This means that $(\sigma * \varphi)^{(k)}(-\theta) = 0$ for all $\theta \in \Theta$. Hence for any $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ the convolution $\sigma * \varphi$ is a polynomial of degree at most $k - 1$ on Θ .

It is well known that there exists a sequence $\{\varphi_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ for which $\sigma * \varphi_n$ converges uniformly to σ on any compact set in \mathbb{R} . Since all $\sigma * \varphi_n$ are polynomials of degree at most $k - 1$, the limit must be also a polynomial of degree at most $k - 1$. Thus we obtain that σ is a polynomial on Θ . This contradicts our assumption.

Step 3: Exponential functions. Let us show that the set

$$E = \text{span}\{e^{r(x)} : r \in X^*\}.$$

is dense in $C(K)$ for every compact set $K \subset X$.

It is not difficult to see that E is a subalgebra of $C(X)$. Indeed, for any $r_1, r_2 \in X^*$

$$e^{r_1(x)}e^{r_2(x)} = e^{r_1(x)+r_2(x)} \in E,$$

since $r_1 + r_2 \in X^*$. Therefore, the linear space E is closed under multiplication, indicating that E is an algebra.

Moreover, if r is the zero functional, then $e^{r(x)} = 1$, showing that E contains all constant functions. Since X has the HBEP, for any distinct points $x_1, x_2 \in X$ there exists a functional $r \in X^*$ such that $r(x_1) \neq r(x_2)$. Hence, the algebra E separates points in X .

By the Stone-Weierstrass theorem [23], for any compact $K \subset X$, the algebra E restricted to K is dense in $C(K)$.

Step 4: The general case. According to Step 3 for any compact $K \subset X$, any $g \in C(K)$ and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist finitely many functionals $r_i \in X^*$ and numbers $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\left| g(x) - \sum_{i=1}^n a_i e^{r_i(x)} \right| < \varepsilon/2 \quad (2.1)$$

for all $x \in K$. Since r_i are continuous, the images $r_i(K)$ are compact sets in \mathbb{R} . Put $R = \cup_{i=1}^n r_i(K)$. Note that R is also compact. By Step 2, each univariate function $a_i e^t$, $t \in R$, can be approximated by single hidden layer networks with the activation σ . Thus, there exist coefficients $c_{ij}, w_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$, $\theta_{ij} \in \Theta$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq j \leq k$, such that

$$\left| a_i e^t - \sum_{j=1}^k c_{ij} \sigma(w_{ij} t - \theta_{ij}) \right| < \varepsilon/2n$$

for all $t \in R$. Hence,

$$\left| a_i e^{r_i(x)} - \sum_{j=1}^k c_{ij} \sigma(w_{ij} r_i(x) - \theta_{ij}) \right| < \varepsilon/2n \quad (2.2)$$

for each $i = 1, \dots, n$, and all $x \in K$. It follows from (2.1) and (2.2) that

$$\left| g(x) - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k c_{ij} \sigma(w_{ij} r_i(x) - \theta_{ij}) \right| < \varepsilon$$

for any $x \in K$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Remark. Let B be a bounded set in X such that no nontrivial linear functional vanishes on B . In Theorem 1, X^* can be replaced by a subset $S = \{f \in X^* : \sup_{x \in B} |f(x)| = 1\}$. To prove this, instead of using $r_i(x)$ in (2.1), let us use $\alpha_i v_i(x)$, where $\alpha_i = \sup_{x \in B} |r_i(x)|$ and $v_i(x) = \frac{1}{\alpha_i} r_i(x)$. We then obtain

$$\left| g(x) - \sum_{i=1}^n u_i(v_i(x)) \right| < \varepsilon/2,$$

where $u_i(t) = a_i e^{\alpha_i t}$ are continuous univariate functions.

Since v_i are continuous, the images $v_i(K)$ are compact sets in \mathbb{R} . Put $V = \cup_{i=1}^n v_i(K)$. By Step 2, each univariate function $u_i(t)$, $t \in V$, can be approximated by single hidden layer networks with the activation σ . The remainder of the proof follows similarly to Step

4 above. This remark implies, for instance, that in the classical UAT all weights can be taken from a sphere (in particular, $B \subset X$ can be a sphere).

3. Some corollaries

We present several corollaries of Theorem 2.1, considering various topological vector spaces X , which are also Banach spaces. These corollaries rely on the structure of the continuous dual X^* of the considered X . The form of functionals in such X^* is well-known and extensively studied in Linear Algebra and Functional Analysis textbooks. Before stating the results, we note that in $C(X)$, we will use the topology of uniform convergence on compact sets. This topology is induced by the seminorms

$$\|g\|_K = \max_{x \in K} |g(x)|,$$

where K are compact sets in X . A subbasis at the origin for this topology is given by the sets

$$U(K, r) = \{g \in C(X) : \|g\|_K < r\},$$

where $K \subset X$ is compact and $r > 0$. A sequence (or net) $\{g_n\}$ in this topology converges to g iff $\|g_n - g\|_K \rightarrow 0$ for every compact set $K \subset X$. Thus, in what follows, when we say that B is dense in $C(X)$, we will mean that B is dense with respect to the aforementioned topology of uniform convergence on compact sets.

The following corollaries are valid. For simplicity, we will assume that the set of thresholds $\Theta = \mathbb{R}$.

Corollary 3.1. *Let $M_{n \times m}(\mathbb{K})$ be the vector space of $n \times m$ matrices over a field \mathbb{K} and σ be any continuous non-polynomial activation function. Then the set of TVS-FNNs*

$$\mathcal{N}(\sigma, M_{n \times m}(\mathbb{K})) = \text{span}\{\sigma(\text{trace}(W^T X) - \theta) : W \in M_{n \times m}(\mathbb{K}), \theta \in \mathbb{R}\},$$

with inputs $X \in M_{n \times m}(\mathbb{K})$, is dense in $C(M_{n \times m}(\mathbb{K}))$.

Corollary 3.2. *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ be a real number and l_p be the Banach space of all sequences $\mathbf{x} = (x_n)$ for which*

$$\|\mathbf{x}\|_p = \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty.$$

Assume σ is any continuous non-polynomial activation function and let $q = \frac{p}{p-1}$. Then the set of TVS-FNNs

$$\mathcal{N}(\sigma, l_p) = \text{span} \left\{ \sigma \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n x_n - \theta \right) : (a_n) \in l_q, \theta \in \mathbb{R} \right\},$$

with inputs $(x_n) \in l_p$, is dense in $C(l_p)$.

Corollary 3.3. *Let c_0 denote the space of all sequences (x_n) that converge to zero and let σ be any continuous non-polynomial activation function. Then the set of TVS-FNNs*

$$\mathcal{N}(\sigma, c_0) = \text{span} \left\{ \sigma \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n x_n - \theta \right) : (a_n) \in l_1, \theta \in \mathbb{R} \right\},$$

with inputs $(x_n) \in c_0$, is dense in $C(c_0)$.

Corollary 3.4. *Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ be a real number and $L_p(X, \mu)$ be the space of all μ -measurable functions $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that the p -norm is finite, i.e.,*

$$\|f\|_p = \left(\int_X |f(x)|^p d\mu \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty.$$

Assume σ is any continuous non-polynomial activation function and let $q = \frac{p}{p-1}$. Then the set of TVS-FNNs

$$\mathcal{N}(\sigma, L_p(X, \mu)) = \text{span} \left\{ \sigma \left(\int_X f(x)g(x)d\mu - \theta \right) : g(x) \in L_q(X, \mu), \theta \in \mathbb{R} \right\},$$

with inputs $f \in L_p(X, \mu)$, is dense in $C(L_p(X, \mu))$.

Corollary 3.5. *Let X be a compact Hausdorff space, $C(X)$ be the Banach space of continuous functions on X and $\mathcal{B}(X)$ be the class of regular real-valued measures of finite total variation defined on Borel subsets of X . Assume σ is any continuous non-polynomial activation function. Then the set of TVS-FNNs*

$$\mathcal{N}(\sigma, C(X)) = \text{span} \left\{ \sigma \left(\int_X f(x)d\mu - \theta \right) : \mu \in \mathcal{B}(X), \theta \in \mathbb{R} \right\},$$

with inputs $f \in C(X)$, is dense in $C(C(X))$.

It should be remarked that in the above corollaries and in Theorem 2.1, we can use any activation function $\sigma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (whether continuous or discontinuous) with the property that the $\text{span}\{\sigma(wx - \theta) : w \in \mathbb{R}, \theta \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is dense in every $C[a, b]$. Such functions are called Tauber-Wiener (TW) functions (see [2]). It follows from the main result of [16] that a continuous nonpolynomial function is a TW function.

TVS-FNNs with inputs from $C(X)$ was also discussed in [2]. Note that Corollary 3.5 is stronger than the result in [2, Theorem 4], which included the expression $\sigma \left(\sum_j \xi_j f(x_j) - \theta \right)$, with $\xi_j \in \mathbb{R}$, $x_j \in X$, in place of $\sigma \left(\int_X f(x)d\mu - \theta \right)$.

References

- [1] T. Chen and H. Chen, Approximation of continuous functionals by neural networks with application to dynamic systems, *IEEE Trans. Neural Networks* **4** (1993), 910-918.
- [2] T. Chen and H. Chen, Universal approximation to nonlinear operators by neural networks with arbitrary activation functions and its application to dynamical systems, *IEEE Trans. Neural Netw.* **6** (1995), no. 4, 911-917.
- [3] C. K. Chui and X. Li, Approximation by ridge functions and neural networks with one hidden layer, *J. Approx. Theory* **70** (1992), 131-141.
- [4] N. E. Cotter, The Stone-Weierstrass theorem and its application to neural networks, *IEEE Trans. Neural Netw.* **1** (1990), 290-295.
- [5] G. Cybenko, Approximation by superpositions of a sigmoidal function, *Math. Control, Signals, and Systems* **2** (1989), 303-314.
- [6] K. Funahashi, On the approximate realization of continuous mapping by neural networks, *Neural Netw.* **2** (1989), 183-192.
- [7] I. Goodfellow, Y. Bengio and A. Courville, *Deep Learning*, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 2016.
- [8] D. A. Gregory and J. H. Shapiro, Nonconvex linear topologies with the Hahn Banach extension property, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **25** (1970), 902-905.
- [9] N. J. Guliyev and V. E. Ismailov, On the approximation by single hidden layer feed-forward neural networks with fixed weights, *Neural Netw.* **98** (2018), 296-304.
- [10] N. J. Guliyev and V. E. Ismailov, Approximation capability of two hidden layer feed-forward neural networks with fixed weights, *Neurocomputing* **316** (2018), 262-269.
- [11] K. Hornik, Approximation capabilities of multilayer feedforward networks, *Neural Networks* **4** (1991), 251-257.
- [12] V. E. Ismailov, *Ridge Functions and Applications in Neural Networks*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 263. American Mathematical Society, 2021.
- [13] Y. Ito, Approximation of continuous functions on \mathbb{R}^d by linear combinations of shifted rotations of a sigmoid function with and without scaling, *Neural Netw.* **5** (1992), 105-115.
- [14] Y. Korolev, Two-layer neural networks with values in a Banach space, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **54** (2022), no. 6, 6358-6389.

- [15] S. Lanthaler, S. Mishra and G. E. Karniadakis, Error estimates for DeepONets: a deep learning framework in infinite dimensions, *Trans. Math. Appl.* **6** (2022), no. 1, tnac001, 141 pp.
- [16] M. Leshno, V. Ya. Lin, A. Pinkus and S. Schocken, Multilayer feedforward networks with a nonpolynomial activation function can approximate any function, *Neural Netw.* **6** (1993), 861-867.
- [17] W. Light, Ridge functions, sigmoidal functions and neural networks, Approximation theory VII (Austin, TX, 1992), 163-206, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1993.
- [18] L. Lu, P. Jin, G. Pang, Z. Zhang and G. E. Karniadakis, Learning nonlinear operators via DeepONet based on the universal approximation theorem of operators, *Nat. Mach. Intell.* **3** (2021), no. 3, 218-229.
- [19] P. Petersen and J. Zech, Mathematical theory of deep learning, arXiv preprint, arXiv:2407.18384 [cs.LG], 2024.
- [20] A. Pinkus, Approximation theory of the MLP model in neural networks, *Acta Numerica* **8** (1999), 143-195.
- [21] S. Roman, *Advanced linear algebra*, Third edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 135. Springer, New York, 2008.
- [22] W. Rudin, *Functional analysis*, Second edition. International Series in Pure and Applied Mathematics. McGraw-Hill, Inc., New York, 1991, 424 pp.
- [23] M. H. Stone, The generalized Weierstrass approximation theorem, *Math. Mag.* **21** (1948), 167-184, 237-254.
- [24] X. Sun, E. W. Cheney, The fundamentality of sets of ridge functions, *Aequationes Math.* **44** (1992), no. 2-3, 226-235.
- [25] M. E. Valle, W. L. Vital and G. Vieira, Universal approximation theorem for vector- and hypercomplex-valued neural networks, *Neural Netw.* **180** (2024), 106632.