

A relativistic theory of dark matter superfluidity

Seturumane Tema*

*Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics
University of Cape Town*

We extend the theory of dark matter superfluidity to include the relativistic corrections.

I. INTRODUCTION

The dynamics of the theory of dark matter superfluidity [1–4] are based on the non-relativistic Lagrangian density:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{2\Lambda (2m)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{3} \left(\dot{\theta} - m\Phi - \frac{(\nabla\theta)^2}{2m} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \quad (1)$$

where θ represents the superfluid phonon modes, m is the mass of the phonons and Φ is the Newtonian gravitational potential. θ can be expanded as

$$\theta = \mu t + \psi \quad (2)$$

where μ is the chemical potential and ψ are excitations of the phonon modes. When 1 is expressed in terms of 2 we get

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{2\Lambda (2m)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{3} \left(\mu + \dot{\psi} - m\Phi - \frac{(\nabla\psi)^2}{2m} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}}. \quad (3)$$

If we set the gravitational potential Φ and the excitations ψ to zero in the above equation (3) we end up with

$$\mathcal{L} = P(\mu) = \frac{2\Lambda (2m)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{3} \mu^{\frac{3}{2}} \quad (4)$$

which is the grand-canonical equation of state of the superfluid dark matter[5, 6], from which its thermodynamics properties can be studied. From equation (1) the superfluid phonon modes can be coupled to baryons in the manner

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{2\Lambda (2m)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{3} \left(\dot{\theta} - m\Phi - \frac{(\nabla\theta)^2}{2m} \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} - \alpha \frac{\theta \rho_b}{M_{\text{Pl}}} \quad (5)$$

where ρ_b is the mass density of baryons and M_{Pl} is the Planck mass. The authors of [1] further showed that 5

reproduces MOND (Modified Newtonian Dynamics)[7, 8] provided that $X = \dot{\theta} - m\Phi - \frac{(\nabla\theta)^2}{2m} < 0$ and

$$\alpha = 0.86 \left(\frac{\Lambda}{\text{meV}} \right)^{-\frac{3}{2}}. \quad (6)$$

This suggests that, the superfluid dark matter phonons exert a MOND-like force on baryons, thus unifying the theory of superfluidity and MOND on galactic scale. On cosmological scale in FLRW spacetime the equations of motion of Lagrangian (5) yields

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[(2m)^{\frac{3}{2}} a^3 \dot{\theta}^{\frac{1}{2}} \right] = -\frac{\alpha}{M_{\text{Pl}}} a^3 \rho_b. \quad (7)$$

Integrating the above equation with respect to time results in

$$\rho_{DM} = -\frac{\alpha\Lambda}{M_{\text{Pl}}} m \rho_b t + \frac{m\Lambda C}{a^3} \quad (8)$$

where C is the integration constant. The superfluid dark matter as in equation (8) behaves like dust when the second term dominates the first term. This is possible when

$$\alpha \ll 2.4 \times 10^{-4} \left(\frac{m}{\text{eV}} \right)^2 \quad (9)$$

which is different from the galactic scale constraint (6). In short, dark matter undergoes Bose-Einstein condensation in galaxies to form a superfluid that reproduces MOND dynamics but retains its usual phase on cosmological scale. The mechanism on how this occurs is not well understood. The non-relativistic Lagrangian (1) can be retrieved from taking the weak-field limit of the relativistic Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}_{free} - \frac{\Lambda^4}{(\Lambda_c + |\phi|^2)^6} \mathcal{L}_{free}^3 \quad (10)$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_{free} = -|\partial_\mu \phi|^2 + m^2 |\phi|^2 \quad (11)$$

and ϕ is a complex scalar field [1]. In the next sections we investigate the dynamics of Lagrangian (10) in FLRW background.

* Email:tmxset001@myuct.ac.za

II. THE RELATIVISTIC BACKGROUND THEORY

When we vary the relativistic Lagrangian (10) with respect to ϕ^* for $\Lambda_c \gg \phi\phi^*$ (the opposite of the galactic scale limit taken in [1]) we get the equation of motion

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}\square\phi + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\Lambda^4\square\phi}{\Lambda_c^{12}}(g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi^* + m^2\phi\phi^*)^2 \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}m^2\phi - \frac{1}{2}\frac{\Lambda^4m^2\phi}{\Lambda_c^{12}}(g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi^* + m^2\phi\phi^*)^2 \\ & \quad + \frac{\Lambda^4\phi}{\Lambda_c^{14}}(g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi^* + m^2\phi\phi^*)^3. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

The imaginary part of (12) in FLRW spacetime is

$$\begin{aligned} & (2\dot{\rho}\dot{\lambda} + \bar{\rho}\ddot{\lambda} + 3H\bar{\rho}\dot{\lambda}) \times \\ & \left[1 + \frac{\Lambda^4}{(\Lambda_c^2 + \rho^2)^6} (-\dot{\rho}^2 - \bar{\rho}^2\dot{\lambda}^2 + m^2\bar{\rho}^2) \right] = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where we have expressed the complex scalar field ϕ in terms of its modulus $\bar{\rho}$ and phase $\bar{\lambda}$, $H = \frac{\dot{a}}{a}$ is the Hubble parameter. Equation (13) holds when

$$2\dot{\rho}\dot{\lambda} + \bar{\rho}\ddot{\lambda} + 3H\bar{\rho}\dot{\lambda} = 0 \quad (14)$$

or

$$1 + \frac{\Lambda^4}{(\Lambda_c^2 + \bar{\rho}^2)^6} (-\dot{\rho}^2 - \bar{\rho}^2\dot{\lambda}^2 + m^2\bar{\rho}^2) = 0. \quad (15)$$

One can rewrite (14) as

$$\frac{d\bar{\rho}}{\bar{\rho}} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{d\dot{\lambda}}{\dot{\lambda}} + \frac{3}{2}\frac{da}{a} = 0. \quad (16)$$

Integrating (16) results in

$$\ln(\bar{\rho}^2\dot{\lambda}a^3) = C \quad (17)$$

The perturbed form of equation (12) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}\bar{g}^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\delta\phi + \frac{1}{2}\delta g^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\bar{\phi} + \frac{\Lambda^4}{2\Lambda_c^{12}}\bar{g}^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\delta\phi(\bar{g}^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\bar{\phi}\partial_\nu\bar{\phi} + m^2\bar{\phi}\bar{\phi}^*)^2 + \frac{\Lambda^4}{2\Lambda_c^{12}}g^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\bar{\phi}\delta(g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi + m^2\phi\phi^*)^2 \\ & + \frac{\Lambda^4}{2\Lambda_c^{12}}\delta g^{\mu\nu}\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu\bar{\phi}(\bar{g}^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\bar{\phi}\partial_\nu\bar{\phi} + m^2\bar{\phi}\bar{\phi}^*)^2 = -\frac{1}{2}m^2\delta\phi - \frac{\Lambda^4}{2\Lambda_c^{12}}m^2\delta\phi(\bar{g}^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\bar{\phi}\partial_\nu\bar{\phi} + m^2\bar{\phi}\bar{\phi}^*)^2 \\ & - \frac{\Lambda^4}{2\Lambda_c^{12}}m^2\bar{\phi}\delta(g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi + m^2\phi\phi^*)^2 + \frac{\Lambda^4}{2\Lambda_c^{12}}\bar{\phi}\delta(g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi + m^2\phi\phi^*)^3 + \frac{\Lambda^4}{2\Lambda_c^{12}}\delta\phi(\bar{g}^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\bar{\phi}\partial_\nu\bar{\phi} + m^2\bar{\phi}\bar{\phi}^*)^3. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

When (23) is expressed in terms of the scalar field's modulus ρ and phase λ , it splits into two equations: the real part equation:

where C is the integration constant. If we set the phase $\dot{\lambda} = m$ as in [1] we find that

$$\boxed{\bar{\rho} = \sqrt{\frac{Q}{m}}a^{-\frac{3}{2}}} \quad (18)$$

where $Q = e^C$. The zero-zero component of the energy-momentum tensor derived from (10) is

$$\bar{\mu} = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\rho}^2 + m^2\bar{\rho}^2 + \frac{\Lambda^4}{\Lambda_c^{12}}\bar{\rho}^2m^2\dot{\rho}^4 + \frac{5\Lambda^4\bar{\rho}^6}{6\Lambda_c^{12}} \quad (19)$$

where $\bar{\mu}$ in (19) represents the mass density of the complex scalar field. The pressure of the scalar field is given by

$$\bar{p} = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\rho}^2 + \frac{\Lambda^4\dot{\rho}^6}{6\Lambda_c^{12}}. \quad (20)$$

In order for the complex scalar field to behave like dust the term

$$m^2\bar{\rho}^2 = mQa^{-3} \quad (21)$$

should dominate all the terms in (19). This translates to the constraint

$$\boxed{\left(\frac{m}{H_0}\right)^2 \gg \frac{9}{8}(1+z_{eq})^3 \simeq 10^9}. \quad (22)$$

which implies that the complex scalar field has to have a very large mass. In the next section we explore how structures develop based on (10).

III. PERTURBATION THEORY

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left[1 + \frac{\Lambda^4 m^2 Q^2}{\Lambda_c^{12} a^6} \right] \frac{d^2}{da^2} \delta\rho \\
& + \frac{5}{2a} \left[1 + \frac{\Lambda^4 m^2 Q^2}{\Lambda_c^{12} a^6} - \frac{36\Lambda^4 m^2 Q^2}{5\Lambda_c^{12} a^9} - \frac{36\Lambda^4 m^2 Q^3}{5\Lambda_c^{14} a^9} + \frac{12\Lambda^4 m^2 Q^2}{5\Lambda_c^{14} a^6} + \frac{24\Lambda^4 m^2 Q^2}{5\Lambda_c^{12} a^6} \right] \frac{d}{da} \delta\rho \\
& + \left[-\frac{2m\sqrt{Q}}{H_0 a} \left(1 + \frac{\Lambda^4 m^2 Q^2}{\Lambda_c^{12} a^6} \right) - \frac{12\Lambda^4 Q^2 H_0 m}{\Lambda_c^{12} a^{10}} \sqrt{\frac{Q}{m}} + \frac{12\Lambda^4 m^3 Q^2}{H_0 \Lambda_c^{12} a^7} \right] \frac{d}{da} \delta\lambda \\
& - \frac{a}{H_0^2} \left[-k^2 + 2m^2 + \frac{\Lambda^4 m^2 Q^2}{\Lambda_c^{12} a^6} (-k^2 + m^2) - \frac{\Lambda^4 m^4 Q^2}{\Lambda_c^{12} a^6} + \frac{6\Lambda^4 m^3 Q^3}{\Lambda_c^{12} a^9} \right] \delta\rho \\
& - \Phi \sqrt{\frac{Q}{m}} \left[\left(\frac{3}{a^{\frac{7}{2}}} - \frac{2}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left(\frac{m}{H_0} \right)^2 \right) \left(1 - \frac{10\Lambda^4 m^2 Q^2}{\Lambda_c^{12} a^6} \right) + \frac{4\Lambda^4 m^2 Q^2}{\Lambda_c^{12} a^{\frac{13}{2}}} \left(\frac{m}{H_0} \right)^2 - \frac{12\Lambda^4 m^3 Q^3}{\Lambda_c^{14} H_0^2 a^{\frac{19}{2}}} \right] = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

and the imaginary part equation:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left[1 + \frac{\Lambda^4 m^2 Q^2}{\Lambda_c^{12} a^6} \right] \frac{d^2 \delta\lambda}{da^2} - \frac{1}{2a} \left[1 + \frac{2\Lambda^4 m^2 Q^2}{\Lambda_c^{12} a^5} - \frac{12\Lambda^4 m^2 Q^2}{\Lambda_c^{12} a^6} \right] \frac{d\delta\lambda}{da} \\
& - \frac{a}{H_0^2} \left[-k^2 + 2m^2 + \frac{\Lambda^4 m^2 Q^2}{\Lambda_c^{12} a^6} (-k^2 + m^2) + \frac{\Lambda^4 m^4 Q^2}{\Lambda_c^{12} a^4} \right] \delta\lambda \\
& + \left[\frac{2m}{H_0 \sqrt{\frac{Q}{m}}} a^2 \left(1 + \frac{\Lambda^4 m^2 Q^2}{\Lambda_c^{12} a^6} \right) + \frac{18\Lambda^4 Q H_0 m^2}{\Lambda_c^{12} a^8} \sqrt{\frac{Q}{m}} \right] \frac{d\delta\rho}{da} \\
& + \left[\frac{3m}{H_0 \sqrt{\frac{Q}{m}}} a \left(1 + \frac{\Lambda^4 m^2 Q^2}{\Lambda_c^{12} a^6} \right) \right] \delta\rho + 6\Phi \frac{m}{H_0} a^{-12} \left(1 + \frac{\Lambda^4 m^2 Q^2}{\Lambda_c^{12} a^6} \right) = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

where we have performed the transformations $\eta \rightarrow a$, $\nabla^2 \rightarrow -k^2$ (in Fourier space) and used the fact that $mQa^{-3} \gg m^2$.

In the quasi-Newtonian limit, the gravitational potential Φ could be determined from Poisson's equation

$$\Phi = -\frac{4\pi G}{k^2} a^2 \delta\mu \tag{26}$$

where $\delta\mu$ is computed from the perturbed energy-momentum tensor $\delta T_{\mu\nu}$ of the scalar field:

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta T_{\mu\nu} &= \delta(\partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi^*) + \frac{\Lambda^4}{\Lambda_c^{12}} \delta(\partial_\mu \phi \partial_\nu \phi^*) (\bar{g}^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \bar{\phi} \partial_\beta \bar{\phi}^* + m^2 \bar{\phi} \bar{\phi}^*)^2 + \frac{\Lambda^4}{\Lambda_c^{12}} \partial_\mu \bar{\phi} \partial_\nu \bar{\phi}^* \delta (g^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \phi \partial_\beta \phi^* + m^2 \phi \phi^*)^2 \\
&- \frac{1}{2} \delta g_{\mu\nu} (\bar{g}^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \bar{\phi} \partial_\beta \bar{\phi}^* + m^2 \bar{\phi} \bar{\phi}^*) - \frac{1}{2} \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} \delta (g^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \phi \partial_\beta \phi^* + m^2 \phi \phi^*) \\
&- \frac{\Lambda^4}{6\Lambda_c^{12}} \delta g_{\mu\nu} (\bar{g}^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \bar{\phi} \partial_\beta \bar{\phi}^* + m^2 \bar{\phi} \bar{\phi}^*)^3 - \frac{\Lambda^4}{\Lambda_c^{12}} \bar{g}_{\mu\nu} \delta (\bar{g}^{\alpha\beta} \partial_\alpha \bar{\phi} \partial_\beta \bar{\phi}^* + m^2 \bar{\phi} \bar{\phi}^*)^3
\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

via the zero-zero component of the above equation

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta T_{00} = & \frac{3QH_0}{a^{\frac{3}{2}}} \frac{d}{da} \delta\lambda - \frac{9H_0^2}{2a^{\frac{3}{2}}} \sqrt{\frac{Q}{M}} \frac{d}{da} \delta\rho + 2m^2 \sqrt{\frac{Q}{m}} a^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta\rho + \frac{3\Phi m Q}{a} \\
& + \frac{\Lambda^4 Q^2 m^2}{\Lambda_c^{12} a^6} \left(-\frac{3H_0^2}{a^{\frac{7}{2}}} \sqrt{\frac{Q}{m}} \frac{d}{da} \delta\rho + \frac{2QH_0}{a^{\frac{7}{2}}} \frac{d}{da} \delta\lambda + \frac{2m^2}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \sqrt{\frac{Q}{m}} \delta\rho \right) \\
& + \frac{2\Lambda^4 Q m}{a\Lambda_c^{12}} \left(\frac{3H_0^2}{a^{\frac{7}{2}}} \sqrt{\frac{Q}{m}} \frac{d}{da} \delta\rho - \frac{2QH_0}{a^{\frac{7}{2}}} \frac{d}{da} \delta\lambda + \frac{2\Phi Q m}{a^3} \right) + \frac{\Lambda^4 Q^3 m^3}{3\Lambda_c^{12} a^7} \Phi \\
& + \frac{3\Lambda^4 m^2 Q^2}{\Lambda_c^{12} a^6} \left(\frac{3H_0^2}{a^{\frac{7}{2}}} \sqrt{\frac{Q}{m}} \frac{d}{da} \delta\rho - \frac{2QH_0}{a^{\frac{7}{2}}} \frac{d}{da} \delta\lambda + \frac{2\Phi Q m}{a^3} \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

in the manner $\delta\mu = a^{-2}\delta T_{00}$. In the next section we will use these equations to reproduce the results obtained in ([9]).

IV. STRUCTURE FORMATION

The Friedmann constraint equation (in conformal time)

$$\mathcal{H}^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3} a^2 \bar{\mu} \tag{29}$$

can be reduced to

$$mQ = \frac{3}{8\pi} H_0^2 M_{\text{Pl}} = 1.2 \times 10^{-47} \text{GeV}^4 \tag{30}$$

which suggests that for $\Lambda_c = 1\text{eV}$ [1] we find that

$$\frac{\Lambda^4 m^2 Q^2}{\Lambda_c^{12}} = \frac{m^2 Q^2}{\Lambda_c^8} = \frac{1.44 \times 10^{-94} \text{GeV}^8}{\Lambda_c^8} \ll 1 \tag{31}$$

since Λ_c and Λ are of the same order [1].

This implies that the terms with a factor of Λ in (24), (25) and (28) are very small and can be neglected. Based on this argument, equation (24) reduces to

$$\frac{d^2}{da} \delta\rho + \frac{5}{2a} \frac{d}{da} \delta\rho - \frac{2m\sqrt{\frac{Q}{m}}}{H_0} \frac{d}{da} \delta\lambda - \frac{a}{H_0^2} (2m^2 - k^2) \delta\rho - \Phi \sqrt{\frac{Q}{m}} \left[\frac{3}{a^{\frac{7}{2}}} - \frac{2}{a^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left(\frac{m}{H_0} \right)^2 \right] = 0 \tag{32}$$

and equation (25) takes the form

$$\frac{d^2}{da} \delta\lambda - \frac{1}{2a} \frac{d}{da} \delta\lambda - \frac{a}{H_0^2} (2m^2 - k^2) \delta\lambda + \frac{2m}{H_0 \sqrt{\frac{Q}{m}}} a^2 \frac{d}{da} \rho + \frac{3m}{H_0 \sqrt{\frac{Q}{m}}} a \delta\rho + 6\Phi \frac{m}{H_0} a^{-\frac{1}{2}} = 0. \tag{33}$$

The perturbed mass density becomes

$$\delta\mu = \frac{3QH_0}{a^{\frac{7}{2}}} \frac{d}{da} \delta\lambda - \frac{9H_0^2}{a^{\frac{7}{2}}} \frac{d}{da} \delta\rho + \frac{2m^2}{a^{\frac{3}{2}}} \sqrt{\frac{Q}{m}} \delta\rho + \frac{3\Phi m Q}{a^3}. \tag{34}$$

Inserting (34) into (26) for the case when $k \ll m$ leads us to

$$\Phi = \frac{3H_0^2}{2mQ} \sqrt{\frac{Q}{m}} a^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{d}{da} \delta\rho - \frac{H_0}{m} a^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{d}{da} \delta\lambda - \frac{2}{3} \left(\frac{Q}{m} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} a^{\frac{3}{2}} \delta\rho. \tag{35}$$

If we further substitute (35) into (32) we get

$$\frac{d^2}{da} \delta\rho + \frac{5}{2a} \frac{d}{da} \delta\rho - \frac{10}{3} \left(\frac{m}{H_0} \right)^2 \left[1 + \frac{3H_0}{5ma} \sqrt{\frac{Q}{m}} \frac{1}{\delta\rho} \frac{d}{da} \delta\lambda + \frac{3H_0}{5ma^2} \sqrt{\frac{Q}{m}} \frac{1}{\delta\rho} \frac{d}{da} \delta\lambda \right] a \delta\rho = 0 \tag{36}$$

The last two terms in (36) are very small and are neglected, resulting in

$$\frac{d^2}{da}\delta\rho + \frac{5}{2a}\frac{d}{da}\delta\rho - \frac{10}{3}\left(\frac{m}{H_0}\right)^2 a\delta\rho = 0 \quad (37)$$

which has a growing mode solution

$$\delta\rho(a) = \frac{Ae^{a^{\frac{3}{2}}\sqrt{\frac{10}{3}}\frac{m}{H_0}}}{a^{\frac{3}{2}}}. \quad (38)$$

Similarly, (33) reduces to

$$\frac{d^2}{da}\delta\lambda - \frac{13}{2a}\frac{d}{da}\delta\lambda - 2\left(\frac{m}{H_0}\right)^2 a\delta\lambda = 0 \quad (39)$$

with a growing mode solution

$$\delta\lambda(a) = Be^{a^{\frac{3}{2}}\sqrt{2}\frac{m}{H_0}} \left[8\left(\frac{m}{H_0}\right)^3 a^3 - 18\sqrt{2}\frac{m}{H_0}a^{\frac{3}{2}} + 27 \right] \quad (40)$$

where A and B are integration constants. These solutions are not what we expect in the quasi-Newtonian limit and so we discard them. Let us now consider $k \gg m$. In that case, equation (32) reduces to

$$\frac{d^2}{da}\delta\rho + \frac{5}{2a}\frac{d}{da}\delta\rho + \frac{k^2}{H_0^2}\delta\rho = 0 \quad (41)$$

Substituting these solutions into (34) for the case when $k \gg \frac{12\pi GmQ}{a}$ results in

$$\begin{aligned} \delta\mu = & \frac{27}{4}H_0^2\sqrt{\frac{Q}{m}}Aa^{-\frac{11}{2}}\cos\left(\frac{2k}{3H_0}a^{\frac{3}{2}}\right) + \frac{9}{2}\sqrt{\frac{Q}{m}}H_0kAa^{-\frac{9}{2}}\sin\left(\frac{2k}{3H_0}a^{\frac{3}{2}}\right) \\ & - 3QkDa^{-3}\sin\left(\frac{2k}{3H_0}a^{\frac{3}{2}} - x\right) + 2m^2\sqrt{\frac{Q}{m}}A\cos\left(\frac{2k}{3H_0}a^{\frac{3}{2}}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

The density contrast by definition is $\delta = \frac{\delta\mu}{\bar{\mu}}$ where $\bar{\mu} = mQa^{-3}$, this lead us to

$$\begin{aligned} \delta = & \frac{27}{4}\frac{H_0^2}{mQ}\sqrt{\frac{Q}{m}}Aa^{-\frac{5}{2}}\cos\left(\frac{2k}{3H_0}a^{\frac{3}{2}}\right) + \frac{9}{2}\sqrt{\frac{Q}{m}}\frac{H_0}{mQ}kAa^{-\frac{3}{2}}\sin\left(\frac{2k}{3H_0}a^{\frac{3}{2}}\right) \\ & - 3\frac{k}{m}D\sin\left(\frac{2k}{3H_0}a^{\frac{3}{2}} - x\right) + 2a^{-3}\sqrt{\frac{m}{Q}}A\cos\left(\frac{2k}{3H_0}a^{\frac{3}{2}}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

Analysing the density contrast term by term we notice that all the terms in (48) decay except for term 3 and so

$$\delta = \frac{3k}{m}D\sin\left(-\frac{2k}{3H_0}a^{\frac{3}{2}} + x\right). \quad (49)$$

Taking the average of (49) results in

$$\langle\delta\rangle = \frac{3k}{m}\frac{D}{\Delta T}\int_0^{\Delta T}\sin\left(-\frac{2k}{3H_0}a^{\frac{3}{2}} + x\right)da = \text{Constant}. \quad (50)$$

which has a solution

$$\delta\rho(a) = Ae^{\frac{2ik}{3H_0}a^{\frac{3}{2}}}a^{-\frac{3}{2}}. \quad (42)$$

The real part of (42) is

$$\delta\rho(a) = Aa^{-\frac{3}{2}}\cos\left(\frac{2k}{3H_0}a^{\frac{3}{2}}\right). \quad (43)$$

Equation (33) becomes

$$\frac{d^2}{da}\delta\lambda - \frac{1}{2a}\frac{d}{da}\delta\lambda + \frac{k^2a}{H_0^2}\delta\lambda = 0. \quad (44)$$

Its solution is

$$\delta\lambda(a) = B\sin\left(\frac{2k}{3H_0}a^{\frac{3}{2}}\right) + C\cos\left(\frac{2k}{3H_0}a^{\frac{3}{2}}\right) \quad (45)$$

which can be expressed as

$$\delta\lambda(a) = D\cos\left(\frac{2k}{3H_0}a^{\frac{3}{2}} - x\right) \quad (46)$$

where $D = \sqrt{C^2 + B^2}$ and $x = \tan\left(\frac{C}{B}\right)$.

which is in agreement with [9] when baryon couplings are neglected but different from the density contrast deduced by the Λ CDM model [10–12]. This implies that structures do not grow in the quasi-Newtonian limit of the theory of dark matter super-fluidity when baryon couplings are turned off.

V. CONCLUSION

We studied the dynamics of the relativistic theory of dark matter super-fluidity modelled by a complex scalar field in FLRW background and found that on cosmological scale the complex scalar field behaves like dust. We further investigated how matter perturbations grow with respect to the background FLRW in the quasi-Newtonian limit and got the results which are in agreement with [9] when baryon couplings to the scalar field are ignored. We

wish to report on possible baryon couplings to the complex scalar field in our relativistic perturbation theory in the future.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is based on a problem suggested by Dr. Julien Larena as a topic for the author’s Master’s thesis.

-
- [1] L. Berezhiani and J. Khoury, Theory of dark matter superfluidity, *Physical Review D* **92**, 103510 (2015).
 - [2] L. Berezhiani, B. Famaey, and J. Khoury, Phenomenological consequences of superfluid dark matter with baryon-phonon coupling, *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics* **2018** (09), 021.
 - [3] T. Mistele, S. McGaugh, and S. Hossenfelder, Galactic mass-to-light ratios with superfluid dark matter, *Astronomy & Astrophysics* **664**, A40 (2022).
 - [4] J. Khoury, Dark matter superfluidity, *SciPost Physics Lecture Notes*, 042 (2022).
 - [5] D. Son, Low-energy quantum effective action for relativistic superfluids, arXiv preprint hep-ph/0204199 (2002).
 - [6] M. Greiter, F. Wilczek, and E. Witten, Hydrodynamic relations in superconductivity, *Modern Physics Letters B* **3**, 903 (1989).
 - [7] M. Milgrom, A modification of the newtonian dynamics as a possible alternative to the hidden mass hypothesis, *Astrophysical Journal*, Part 1 (ISSN 0004-637X), vol. 270, July 15, 1983, p. 365-370. Research supported by the US-Israel Binational Science Foundation. **270**, 365 (1983).
 - [8] B. Famaey and S. S. McGaugh, Modified newtonian dynamics (mond): observational phenomenology and relativistic extensions, *Living reviews in relativity* **15**, 1 (2012).
 - [9] S. Banerjee, S. Bera, and D. F. Mota, Growth of linear perturbations in a universe with superfluid dark matter, *Journal of Cosmology and Astroparticle Physics* **2020** (07), 034.
 - [10] E. Bertschinger, Simulations of structure formation in the universe, *Annual Review of Astronomy and Astrophysics* **36**, 599 (1998).
 - [11] R. H. Brandenberger, Formation of structure in the universe, arXiv preprint astro-ph/9508159 (1995).
 - [12] J. A. Peacock, An introduction to the physics of cosmology, *Electron–Positron Physics at the Z*, 9 (2002).