

INVERSE PROBLEMS FOR QUANTUM GRAPH ASSOCIATED WITH SQUARE AND HEXAGONAL LATTICES

K. ANDO, E. BLÅSTEN, P. EXNER, H. ISOZAKI,
E. KOROTYAEV, M. LASSAS, J. LU AND H. MORIOKA

ABSTRACT. We solve inverse problems from the D-N map for the quantum graph on a finite domain in a square lattice and that on a hexagonal lattice, as well as inverse scattering problems from the S-matrix for a locally perturbed square lattice and a hexagonal lattice.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Gel'fand problem. In the International Congress of Mathematics at Amsterdam in 1954, I.M. Gel'fand raised the following problem (an extended form): Let (M, g) be a compact Riemannian manifold with boundary. Let $\lambda_0 < \lambda_1 \leq \dots$ be the eigenvalues and $\varphi_0(x), \varphi_1(x), \dots$ the associated orthonormal eigenvectors for the operator $H = -c(x)^2 \Delta_g + V(x)$ on M with the Dirichlet boundary condition on ∂M . Then, from the knowledge of the boundary spectral data (BSD) $\{(\lambda_n, \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \varphi_n|_{\partial M}); n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, where ν is the unit normal at the boundary, can one determine the manifold M and the operator H ?

For the case of Riemannian manifold, this problem was solved by Belishev-Kurylev [7] using the boundary control method developed by Belishev [5]. The solution is unique up to a diffeomorphism leaving ∂M invariant, and this is the only obstruction for this problem.

1.2. Quantum graph Hamiltonian and discrete operator. We are interested in the analogue of Gel'fand's problem on graphs. There are two models in which one can do that. The first one concerns graphs $\Gamma = \{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}\}$ understood as a vertex set \mathcal{V} and an edge set \mathcal{E} determined by an adjacency matrix; we are then interested in the *discrete operator* defined on the vertex set,

$$(1.1) \quad \hat{H}\hat{u}(x) = \frac{1}{\mu_x} \sum_{y \sim x} g_{xy}(\hat{u}(y) - \hat{u}(x)) + q(x)\hat{u}(x), \quad x \in \mathcal{V},$$

where $y \sim x$ means that x and y are the endpoints of a same edge $e = e_{xy} \in \mathcal{E}$, $\mu : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ = (0, \infty)$ is a weight on \mathcal{V} , $g : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is a weight on \mathcal{E} and $q : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a scalar potential. The other one concerns the so-called *quantum graphs* [9] in which the edges are identified with line segments and the Hamiltonian is a collection

of one-dimensional Schrödinger operators defined on them,

$$(1.2) \quad \widehat{H}_{\mathcal{E}} = \left\{ h_e = -\frac{d^2}{dz^2} + V_e(z), z \in [0, \ell_e] \right\}_{e \in \mathcal{E}},$$

with a real-valued potential $V_e(z)$. To make such an operator self-adjoint, one has to match the function properly at the vertices; we choose the simplest possibility assuming the δ -coupling condition, see (1.5) below.

It was proved in [10] that in the case of the discrete graph, the graph structure and the coefficients of (1.1) are determined by BSD under the Two-Point Condition introduced there. We emphasize here that the inverse problem for the discrete graph cannot be solved without such conditions, as documented by a counter example given in [10]. Recall that the knowledge of BSD is equivalent to the knowledge of the associated D-N map for all energies. The indicated result has various applications, in particular, those concerning the following three issues:

- (1) Inverse boundary value problems for random walks.
- (2) Inverse scattering problems for locally perturbed discrete periodic graphs.
- (3) Inverse boundary value problems and inverse scattering problems for quantum (metric) graph.

The problem (1) has been discussed in [11], where it was shown that the graph structure and the transition matrix of the random walk can be uniquely recovered from the distribution of the first passing time on the boundary, or from the observation on the boundary of one realization of the random walk.

The problem (2) is considered in [12]. For a locally perturbed discrete periodic graph, the structure of the perturbed subgraph, along with the edge weight g and the potential q can be recovered from the scattering matrix at all energies provided that the Two-Points Condition is preserved under the perturbations. For a fixed energy λ , the S-matrix $S(\lambda)$ of the whole system and the D-N map $\Lambda(\lambda)$ determine each other, and thus the problem is reduced to that of the bounded domain, to which we can apply the result of [10]. In particular, if two locally perturbed periodic lattices have the same S-matrix for all energies, we can conclude: (i) If $\mu = \mu'$, then $g = g'$ and $q = q'$. (ii) If $q = q'$, then $\mu = \mu'$ and $g = g'$. (iii) In particular, if $\mu(v) = \deg(v)$, $\mu'(v') = \deg(v')$, then $g = g'$ and $q = q'$.

The well known duality between the discrete and metric graphs [20] allows to determine the spectrum of an *equilateral* ‘continuous’ graph from that of its discrete counterpart and *vice versa*, and therefore the discrete Gel’fand problem is expected to play a role in inverse problems for quantum graphs. In [12], we have considered such equilateral graphs, i.e. those in which all the edges have the same length and the one-dimensional Hamiltonian has the same potential on them. Letting C_v be the δ -coupling constant (see (1.5) below), and d_v the degree of $v \in \mathcal{V}$, it was assumed that C_v/d_v is a constant independent of $v \in \mathcal{V}$. We then proved that if two such quantum graphs \mathbb{G}_{Γ} and $\mathbb{G}_{\Gamma'}$ have the same D-N map (or the same S-matrix) for all energies, then there exists a bijection $\mathbb{G}_{\Gamma} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{\Gamma'}$ preserving the edge relation.

Moreover, we have $d_v = d_{v'}$ and $C_v = C_{v'} \forall v \in \mathcal{V}$. Therefore, the S-matrix of an equilateral quantum graph determines its graph structure.

In this paper, we continue the investigation of [12], and consider the problem of determining local perturbation of C_v and $V_e(z)$ for lattices such that $\ell_e = 1$ holds for all $e \in \mathcal{E}$ and the degree d_v is the same for all $v \in \mathcal{V}$. Our method is different from that of [12] relying strongly on the explicit form of the lattice. Therefore, although we are convinced that the result will be true for a larger class of lattices, we restrict ourselves to the proof for the square and hexagonal situations.

1.3. Edge and vertex Schrödinger operators in the quantum graph. Let us recall basic facts about quantum graphs. Let $\Gamma = \{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}\}$ be a quantum (or metric) graph with a vertex set \mathcal{V} and edge set \mathcal{E} . We assume that each edge e has unit length and identify it with the interval $[0, 1]$. Consider a quantum-graph Schrödinger operator, called the *edge Schrödinger operator* in this paper,

$$(1.3) \quad \widehat{H}_{\mathcal{E}} = \left\{ h_e = -\frac{d^2}{dz^2} + V_e(z), z \in [0, 1] \right\}_{e \in \mathcal{E}},$$

with a real-valued potential satisfying the symmetry condition

$$(1.4) \quad V_e(z) \in L^2((0, 1)), \quad V_e(z) = V_e(1 - z), \quad \forall e \in \mathcal{E}.$$

The generalized Kirchhoff condition, or the δ -*coupling condition*, is imposed¹:

$$(1.5) \quad \sum_{v \in e} \widehat{u}'_e(v) = C_v \widehat{u}_e(v), \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}^\circ := \mathcal{V} \setminus \partial \mathcal{V},$$

C_v being a real constant. Here, $\partial \mathcal{V}$ is the boundary of \mathcal{V} , which will be chosen suitably later. Let $\phi_e(z, \lambda)$ be the solution of

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{cases} \left(-\frac{d^2}{dz^2} + V_e(z) \right) \phi_e(z, \lambda) = \lambda \phi_e(z, \lambda), & z \in [0, 1], \\ \phi_e(0, \lambda) = 0, \quad \phi'_e(0, \lambda) = 1, & ' = \frac{d}{dz}. \end{cases}$$

We put

$$(1.7) \quad \phi_{e0}(z, \lambda) = \phi_e(z, \lambda), \quad \phi_{e1}(z, \lambda) = \phi_e(1 - z, \lambda).$$

Each edge e is parametrized as $e(z)$, $0 \leq z \leq 1$. Letting $e(0) = v$, $e(1) = w$, any solution $u = \{u_e(z, \lambda)\}_{e \in \mathcal{E}}$ of the equation $(h_e - \lambda)\widehat{u} = 0$ can be written as

$$(1.8) \quad \widehat{u}_e(z, \lambda) = \widehat{u}(v, \lambda) \frac{\phi_{e0}(z, \lambda)}{\phi_{e0}(1, \lambda)} + \widehat{u}(w, \lambda) \frac{\phi_{e1}(z, \lambda)}{\phi_{e1}(1, \lambda)}.$$

The edge Schrödinger operator $\widehat{H}_{\mathcal{E}}$ is related to the *vertex Schrödinger operator* in the following way: we define the operators $\widehat{\Delta}_{\mathcal{V}, \lambda}$ and $\widehat{Q}_{\mathcal{V}, \lambda}$ on \mathcal{V} by

$$(1.9) \quad \left(\widehat{\Delta}_{\mathcal{V}, \lambda} \widehat{u} \right) (v) = \frac{1}{d_v} \sum_{w \sim v} \frac{1}{\phi_{e0}(w, \lambda)} \widehat{u}(w, \lambda),$$

¹Here, $v \in e$ means that v is an end point of an edge e and the derivative is conventionally taken in the outward direction.

$$(1.10) \quad \widehat{Q}_{\mathcal{V},\lambda} = \frac{1}{d_v} \sum_{v \in e} \frac{\phi'_{e0}(1, \lambda)}{\phi_{e0}(1, \lambda)} + \frac{C_v}{d_v},$$

where d_v is the degree of $v \in \mathcal{V}$. Then, the δ -coupling condition (1.5) is rewritten in the form of vertex Schrödinger equation

$$(1.11) \quad \left(-\widehat{\Delta}_{\mathcal{V},\lambda} + \widehat{Q}_{\mathcal{V},\lambda}\right) \widehat{u}(v) = 0, \quad \forall v \in \mathcal{V}^0.$$

In our previous work [12], we studied spectral properties of quantum graphs on a class of locally (i.e. on a bounded part) perturbed periodic lattices including the square and hexagonal ones which are the object of interest in this paper. We have found, in particular, that the N-D map (and the D-N map as well) for the vertex Schrödinger operator on the interior domain and the S-matrix for the edge Schrödinger operator on the whole system determine each other, cf. Corollary 6.16 in [12]. Therefore, one can reduce the inverse boundary value problem for these lattices to that on a domain of the shape to be described below, namely the rectangular domain for the square lattice and the hexagonal parallelogram for the hexagonal lattice.

1.4. Inverse boundary value problem for square and hexagonal lattices.

Let $\Gamma_0 = \{\mathcal{V}_0, \mathcal{E}_0\}$ be the square or the hexagonal lattice in \mathbb{R}^2 with vertex set \mathcal{V}_0 and edge set \mathcal{E}_0 . Assume that we are given a bounded domain Ω in \mathbb{R}^2 and a subgraph $\Gamma = \{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}\}$, where $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_0 \cap \Omega$, $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_0 \cap \Omega$. We define $\partial\mathcal{E}$ to be the set of $v \in \mathcal{V}$ such that v is an end point of some edge in \mathcal{E} , and $\deg_{\Gamma}(v) = 1$, where $\deg_{\Gamma}(v)$ is the degree of v in the graph Γ . We further assume that Γ has the following properties²:

(i) $\partial\mathcal{E} = \partial\mathcal{V}$.

(ii) $d_v = 1$ for $v \in \partial\mathcal{V}$.

(iii) *The unique continuation property holds for the vertex Schrödinger operator in the exterior domain $\mathcal{V}_{ext} := \mathcal{V}_0 \setminus \mathcal{V}$ in the following sense: if \widehat{u} satisfies the equation $(-\widehat{\Delta}_{\mathcal{V},\lambda} + \widehat{Q}_{\mathcal{V},\lambda})\widehat{u} = 0$ in \mathcal{V}_{ext} and $\widehat{u} = 0$ in $\{v \in \mathcal{V}_{ext}; |v| > R\}$ for some $R > 0$, then $\widehat{u} = 0$ on \mathcal{V}_{ext} .*

The D-N map for the edge Schrödinger operator is defined by

$$(1.12) \quad \Lambda_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda) : \widehat{f} \rightarrow \widehat{u}'_e(v), \quad e(0) = v \in \partial\mathcal{V},$$

where \widehat{u}_e is the solution to the equation

$$(1.13) \quad \begin{cases} (h_e - \lambda)\widehat{u}_e = 0, & \text{on } \mathcal{E}, \\ \widehat{u}_e = \widehat{f}, & \text{on } \partial\mathcal{E} = \partial\mathcal{V}, \\ \delta\text{-coupling condition.} \end{cases}$$

The D-N map for the vertex Schrödinger operator is defined by

$$(1.14) \quad \Lambda_{\mathcal{V}}(\lambda) : \widehat{f}(v) \rightarrow \frac{1}{\phi_{e0}(1, \lambda)} \widehat{u}(w), \quad v = e(0) \in \partial\mathcal{V}, \quad w = e(1) \in \mathcal{V}^0.$$

²These assumptions were used in [12]; we state them again to make the paper self-contained.

where \widehat{u} is the solution to the equation

$$(1.15) \quad \begin{cases} (-\widehat{\Delta}_{\mathcal{V},\lambda} + \widehat{Q}_{\mathcal{V},\lambda})\widehat{u}(v) = 0, & v \in \mathcal{V}^0, \\ \widehat{u}(v) = \widehat{f}(v), & v \in \partial\mathcal{V}. \end{cases}$$

Then, by Lemma 3.1 in [12], $\Lambda_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda)$ and $\Lambda_{\mathcal{V}}(\lambda)$ determine each other under the assumptions (i), (ii), (iii).

Our first main result is as follows:

Theorem 1.1. *Let Ω be a bounded domain in the 2-dimensional square or hexagonal lattice having the properties (i), (ii), (iii), and consider the edge Schrödinger operator $\widehat{H}_{\mathcal{E}} = \{-d^2/dz^2 + V_e(z)\}_{e \in \mathcal{E}}$ assuming the δ -coupling condition and the Dirichlet boundary condition on $\partial\mathcal{E}$. Then one can uniquely reconstruct $V_e(z)$ and C_v for all $e \in \mathcal{E}$ and $v \in \mathcal{V}$ from the D-N map of $\widehat{H}_{\mathcal{E}} - \lambda$ for all values of the energy λ , provided we know $V_e(z)$ for all the edges e adjacent to the boundary of \mathcal{V} .*

1.5. Inverse scattering problem. In [12], we have discussed the spectral and scattering theory for Schrödinger operators on a class of locally perturbed periodic quantum graphs. Here we will use these results for perturbations of square and hexagonal lattices quantum graphs preserving the lattice structure. Assume that the length of each edge is one. The assumptions on $V_e(z)$ and C_v are as follows:

(iv) *There exists a constant $C_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $C_v = C_0$ except for a finite number of vertices $v \in \mathcal{V}$.*

(v) *There exists $V_0 \in L^2((0, 1))$ such that $V_e(z) = V_0(z)$ except for a finite number of edges $e \in \mathcal{E}$.*

One can then define the S-matrix $S(\lambda)$ for the Hamiltonian of the quantum graph built on Γ . Consider a bounded domain Ω in \mathcal{E} which contains all the indicated perturbations, in particular, assume that $V_e(z) = V_0(z)$ holds on any edge e adjacent to $\partial\mathcal{V}$. In Corollary 6.16 in [12], we have proven that the S-matrix $S(\lambda)$ and the D-N map $\Lambda_{\mathcal{E}}(\lambda)$ for \mathcal{V} determine each other. Applying Theorem 1.1, we obtain our second main result:

Theorem 1.2. *Consider the Schrödinger operator $\widehat{H}_{\mathcal{E}} = \{-d^2/dz^2 + V_e(z)\}_{e \in \mathcal{E}}$ on the 2-dimensional square or hexagonal lattice Γ satisfying the conditions (i)-(v). Then one can uniquely reconstruct $V_e(z)$ and C_v for all $e \in \mathcal{E}$ and $v \in \mathcal{V}$ from the knowledge of the S-matrix $S(\lambda)$ of $\widehat{H}_{\mathcal{E}}$ for all energies λ .*

Let us remark that by assumption we know $V_0(z)$ and C_0 a priori.

1.6. Related works. The study of spectral and scattering theory on quantum graphs is now making a rapid progress. Although the topics of this paper are restricted to inverse problems on quantum graphs for square and hexagonal lattices, there are plenty of articles devoted to this subject. Our previous work [11, 12], on which the present paper is based, deals with the forward problem and some types of inverse problems. We add here a brief look at the related works.

A general survey of discrete graph and quantum graph properties can be found in the monographs [9, 33, 35, 43], see also the paper [21]. For discussion of the δ -coupling and related topics we refer to [18, 19, 20, 22, 23]. The relation between edge Schrödinger operators and vertex Schrödinger operators was studied in [8, 16, 17, 20, 34, 40]. Spectral properties of quantum graphs are discussed in [29, 30, 32, 31, 38, 39, 41]. The wave operators for discrete Schrödinger operators are investigated in [37, 41, 45]. Various inverse problems for the quantum graphs are studied in [26], see also [25, 44]. Finally, for earlier results on the inverse scattering for discrete Schrödinger operators on locally perturbed periodic graphs see [1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 10, 42, 46, 47, 48, 49].

1.7. Acknowledgement. The authors express their gratitude for the funding obtained. P.E. was supported by the EU under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie Grant No 873071. H.I. was supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) 20K03667 and (C) 24K06768 Japan Society for the Promotion of Science. H.M. was supported by Grant-in-aid for young scientists 20K14327 Japan Society for the Promotion of Science. The work of E.B. was supported by the Research Council of Finland through the Flagship of Advanced Mathematics for Sensing, Imaging and Modelling (decision number 359183).

2. SQUARE LATTICE

As we have proven in Theorem 5.7 of [12], the S-matrix and the D-N map determine each other, if the unique continuation theorem holds in the exterior domain. Then, we can change the domain Ω as long as the conditions (i), (ii), (iii) hold. Therefore, to prove Theorem 1.1, we have only to consider the case in which Ω is a rectangular domain as below.

Given a square lattice $\Gamma_0 = \{\mathcal{V}_0, \mathcal{E}_0\}$ in \mathbb{R}^2 , let Ω be its rectangular domain as sketched in Figure 1, and $\Gamma = \{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}\}$, where $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}_0 \cap \Omega$, $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_0 \cap \Omega$. The black dots there denote the boundary points satisfying $d_v = 1$ for $v \in \partial\mathcal{V}$, while $d_v = 4$ for $v \in \mathcal{V}^\circ$. The boundary $\partial\mathcal{V}$ consists of four parts $(\partial\mathcal{V})_T$, $(\partial\mathcal{V})_B$, $(\partial\mathcal{V})_L$, $(\partial\mathcal{V})_R$, where the top $(\partial\mathcal{V})_T$ and the left side $(\partial\mathcal{V})_L$ are given by

$$(2.1) \quad (\partial\mathcal{V})_T = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m\}, \quad (\partial\mathcal{V})_L = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n\},$$

and the bottom $(\partial\mathcal{V})_B$ and the right side $(\partial\mathcal{V})_R$ are defined similarly.

Let $-\widehat{\Delta}_{\mathcal{V}, \lambda} + \widehat{Q}_{\mathcal{V}, \lambda}$ be the vertex Hamiltonian introduced in Subsection 1.2. By a *cross* with center v_0 we mean the graph shown in Figure 2. Denoting by e_i the edge with the endpoints v_0 and v_i , we can rewrite the equation $(-\widehat{\Delta}_{\mathcal{V}, \lambda} + \widehat{Q}_{\mathcal{V}, \lambda})\widehat{u} = 0$ as

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{i=1}^4 \frac{1}{\phi_{e_i}(1, \lambda)} \widehat{u}(v_i) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^4 \frac{\phi'_{e_i}(1, \lambda)}{\phi_{e_i}(1, \lambda)} + C_{v_0} \right) \widehat{u}(v_0).$$




FIGURE 1. Rectangular domain




FIGURE 2. Cross

The key to the inverse procedure is the following partial data problem [3, Lemma 6.1]. Denoting $\mathcal{V}^\circ = \mathcal{V} \setminus \partial\mathcal{V}$, we define Neumann derivative³ on the boundary for the vertex Hamiltonian by

$$(2.3) \quad (\partial_\nu \hat{u})(v) = -\frac{1}{d_v} \sum_{w \sim v, w \in \mathcal{V}^\circ} \frac{1}{\phi_{e_0}(w, \lambda)} \hat{u}(w), \quad v \in \partial\mathcal{V},$$

where $\phi_{e_0}(w, \lambda)$ is given in (1.7).

Lemma 2.1. (1) *Given partial Dirichlet data \hat{f} on $\partial\mathcal{V} \setminus (\partial\mathcal{V})_R$, and partial Neumann data \hat{g} on $(\partial\mathcal{V})_L$, there is a unique solution \hat{u} on \mathcal{V} to the equation*

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{cases} (-\hat{\Delta}_{\mathcal{V}, \lambda} + \hat{Q}_{\mathcal{V}, \lambda})\hat{u} = 0 & \text{in } \mathcal{V}^\circ, \\ \hat{u} = \hat{f} & \text{on } \partial\mathcal{V} \setminus (\partial\mathcal{V})_R, \\ \partial_\nu \hat{u} = \hat{g} & \text{on } (\partial\mathcal{V})_L. \end{cases}$$

(2) *Given the D-N map $\Lambda_{\mathcal{V}}(\lambda)$, partial Dirichlet data \hat{f}_2 on $\partial\mathcal{V} \setminus (\partial\mathcal{V})_R$ and partial Neumann data \hat{g} on $(\partial\mathcal{V})_L$, there exists a unique \hat{f} on $\partial\mathcal{V}$ such that $\hat{f} = \hat{f}_2$ on*

³Note that this definition of Neumann derivative differs from that in [12]; here we adopt the one employed in [3].

$\partial\mathcal{V} \setminus (\partial\mathcal{V})_R$ and $\Lambda_{\mathcal{V}}(\lambda)\widehat{f} = \widehat{g}$ on $(\partial\mathcal{V})_L$. Moreover, \widehat{f} is uniquely determined by the D-N map.

Let A_k be the line with the slope -1 passing through a_k as sketched in Figure 3. Denote the vertices on $A_k \cap \mathcal{V}$ by

$$a_k = a_{k,0}, a_{k,1}, \dots, a_k^*$$

successively. Then, $A_k \cap \partial\mathcal{V} = \{a_k, a_k^*\}$.




FIGURE 3. Lines A_k and B_ℓ

Lemma 2.2. (1) There exists a unique solution \widehat{u} to the equation

$$(2.5) \quad (-\widehat{\Delta}_{\mathcal{V},\lambda} + \widehat{Q}_{\mathcal{V},\lambda})\widehat{u} = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{V}^\circ,$$

Is this correction OK?

with the partial Dirichlet data \widehat{f} on $\partial\mathcal{V} \setminus (\partial\mathcal{V})_R$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \widehat{f}(a_k) = 1, \\ \widehat{f}(v) = 0 \quad \text{for } v \in \partial\mathcal{V} \setminus ((\partial\mathcal{V})_R \cup \{a_k\}) \end{cases}$$

a_k^* is removed.

and the partial Neumann data $\widehat{g} = 0$ on $(\partial\mathcal{V})_L$.

(2) This solution satisfies

$$\widehat{u}(v) = 0 \quad \text{in vertices below (not including) the line } A_k.$$

(3) Moreover, the values of \widehat{f} on $(\partial\mathcal{V})_R$ and a_k^* are uniquely determined by the D-N map.

Proof. The argument is the same as in Lemma 6.2 of [3], which is in turn based on Lemma 6.1 of the same paper. The assertion (3) follows from claims (2), (3) of the indicated Lemma 6.1. \square

We determine $V_e(z)$ and C_v inductively by sliding down the line A_k . Assuming that we know $V_e(z)$ and C_v for all e and v above A_k , we determine $V_e(z)$ between A_k and A_{k-1} , C_v for $v \in A_k$. Since the D-N map is given, by the induction hypothesis, we can then compute $\widehat{u}(v, \lambda)$ in Lemma 2.2 for all v above A_k , including this line as well, as a meromorphic function of λ by using the equation (2.5)⁴.

Let us compute the values of $\widehat{u}(v, \lambda)$ more carefully. Observing Figure 4, we use




FIGURE 4. Diagonal line in the square lattice

the equation (2.2) for the cross with center r_1 . As $\widehat{u} = 0$ at r_1, r_2, s_1, s_2 , we have

$$(2.6) \quad \frac{1}{\phi_{e_0}(1, \lambda)} \widehat{u}(p_0, \lambda) + \frac{1}{\phi_{e_1}(1, \lambda)} \widehat{u}(p_1, \lambda) = 0.$$

Observing next the cross with center p_1 , we obtain

$$(2.7) \quad \frac{1}{\phi_{e_3}(1, \lambda)} \widehat{u}(p_3, \lambda) + \frac{1}{\phi_{e_4}(1, \lambda)} \widehat{u}(p_4, \lambda) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^4 \frac{\phi'_{e_i}(1, \lambda)}{\phi_{e_i}(1, \lambda)} + C_{p_1} \right) \widehat{u}(p_1, \lambda).$$

Lemma 2.3. (1) Assume that we know $\widehat{u}(v, \lambda)$ for $v = p_0, p_1$, and $V_e(z)$ for $e = e_0$. Then, we can determine $V_e(z)$ for $e = e_1$.

(2) Assume that we know $\widehat{u}(v, \lambda)$ for $v = p_3, p_4, p_1$. Assume also that we know $V_e(z)$ for $e = e_3, e_4, e_1$. Then, we can determine $V_e(z)$ for $e = e_2$, and C_v for $v = p_1$.

Proof. As $\phi_{e_1}(1, \lambda) = -\frac{\widehat{u}(p_1, \lambda)}{\widehat{u}(p_0, \lambda)} \phi_{e_0}(1, \lambda)$, one can compute the zeros of $\phi_{e_1}(1, \lambda)$ from the given data. This means that the Dirichlet eigenvalues referring to the potential $V_{e_1}(z)$ are determined by these data. Indeed, by Borg's theorem (see e.g. [44], p. 55 and [26], p. 27), a symmetric potential is determined by its Dirichlet eigenvalues. This allows to construct $V_{e_1}(z)$ uniquely proving thus the first claim.

Rewrite next relation (2.7) as

$$(2.8) \quad \frac{\phi'_{e_2}(1, \lambda)}{\phi_{e_2}(1, \lambda)} + C_{p_1} = \frac{1}{\phi_{e_3}(1, \lambda)} \frac{\widehat{u}(p_3, \lambda)}{\widehat{u}(p_1, \lambda)} + \frac{1}{\phi_{e_4}(1, \lambda)} \frac{\widehat{u}(p_4, \lambda)}{\widehat{u}(p_1, \lambda)} - \sum_{i=1,3,4} \frac{\phi'_{e_i}(1, \lambda)}{\phi_{e_i}(1, \lambda)}.$$

⁴More detailed explanation is given in the proof of Lemma 3.3 below.

Both sides are meromorphic with respect to $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$ and the singular points of the right-hand side are determined by the given data only. Noting that $\phi'_e(1, \lambda) \neq 0$ if $\phi_e(1, \lambda) = 0$ (recall that $\phi_e(1, \lambda) = \phi'_e(1, \lambda) = 0$ implies $\phi_e(z, \lambda) = 0$ for all z), we see that the zeros of $\phi_{e_2}(1, \lambda)$ are determined exclusively by the given data and that the value of C_{p_1} plays no role in fixing the zeros of $\phi_{e_2}(1, \lambda)$. Since these zeros are the Dirichlet eigenvalues referring to the potential $V_{e_2}(z)$, Borg's theorem implies that $V_{e_2}(z)$ is determined by the data uniquely. The equation (2.8) then gives C_{p_1} which proves the second claim. \square

As in Figure 3, we draw the line, denoted there as c , passing through the upper-left corner of the lattice \mathcal{V}^o , and call it A_0 , and later also B_{n+1} .

We need one more preparatory result before stating the following lemma. Comparing Figures 4 and 5, we rewrite the equation (2.6) above for the cross with the center $a_{k-1, i+1}$ in the form

$$\widehat{u}(a_{k, i+1}, \lambda) = -\frac{\phi'_{e'_{k, i}}(1, \lambda)}{\phi_{e_{k, i}}(1, \lambda)} \widehat{u}(a_{k, i}, \lambda),$$

and the equation (2.7) for the cross with center $a_{k, i+1}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\phi_{e_{k+1, i}}(1, \lambda)} \widehat{u}(a_{k+1, i}, \lambda) + \frac{1}{\phi_{e'_{k+1, i+1}}(1, \lambda)} \widehat{u}(a_{k+1, i+1}, \lambda) \\ = & \left(\frac{\phi'_{e'_{k, i}}(1, \lambda)}{\phi_{e'_{k, i}}(1, \lambda)} + \frac{\phi'_{e_{k, i+1}}(1, \lambda)}{\phi_{e_{k, i+1}}(1, \lambda)} + \frac{\phi'_{e_{k+1, i}}(1, \lambda)}{\phi_{e_{k+1, i}}(1, \lambda)} + \frac{\phi'_{e'_{k+1, i+1}}(1, \lambda)}{\phi_{e'_{k+1, i+1}}(1, \lambda)} + C_{a_{k, i+1}} \right) \widehat{u}(a_{k, i+1}, \lambda). \end{aligned}$$




FIGURE 5. Diagonal line in the square lattice again

Lemma 2.4. *Let $1 \leq k \leq m-1$, and assume that we know $V_e(z)$ and C_v for all e and v above the line A_k (not including the points $v \in A_k$). Then one is able to determine $V_e(z)$ and C_v for all e between A_k and A_{k-1} and C_v for all $v \in A_k$.*

Proof. Observe Figure 5 and put $i = 0$. Considering the cross with the center $a_{k-1,1}$, one can employ the first claim of Lemma 2.3 to determine $V_e(z)$ for $e = e'_{k,0}$. Using further the second claim, one can determine $V_e(z)$ for $e = e_{k,1}$ and C_v for $v = a_{k,1}$. This is the first step; proceeding then inductively with $i = 1, 2, \dots$ we prove obtain the lemma. \square

We have thus determined all the $V_e(z)$ and C_v for the edges e and vertices v above the line A_0 (excluding $v \in A_0$). Modifying the used argument, we can deal with the part below the line $A_0 = B_{n+1}$.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $2 \leq \ell \leq n + 1$, and assume that we know $V_e(z)$ and C_v for all the edges e and vertices v above B_ℓ , where $v \notin B_\ell$. Then we can determine $V_e(z)$ and C_v for all the e between B_ℓ and $B_{\ell-1}$ and C_v for all $v \in B_\ell$.*

Proof. Consider the case $\ell = n + 1$ and denote the points in $\mathcal{V} \cap B_{n+1}$ by

$$c, c_1, \dots, c^*.$$

We use Lemma 2.2 taking the partial Dirichlet data as

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{cases} \widehat{f}(b_n) = 1, \\ \widehat{f}(v) = 0, \quad v \in \partial\mathcal{V} \setminus ((\partial\mathcal{V})_R \cup \{c, c^*\}), \end{cases}$$

and the partial Neumann data as

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{cases} \widehat{g}(b_n) = 0, \\ \widehat{g}(v) = 0, \quad v \in \partial\mathcal{V} \setminus ((\partial\mathcal{V})_L \cup \{b_n\}), \end{cases}$$

to which the solution \widehat{u} constructed there corresponds. Then $\widehat{u} = 0$ holds below B_{n+1} except at b_n , in fact, this is true for the vertices adjacent to $(\partial\mathcal{V})_L$ in view of the Neumann data. Inspecting the equation, the same is true on the next line. Arguing then as in Lemma 2.4 we obtain the claim. \square

We have thus proven the following theorem.

Theorem 2.6. *Let Ω be a rectangular domain as in Figure 1. From the knowledge of the D-N map of $-\widehat{\Delta}_{\mathcal{V},\lambda} + \widehat{Q}_{\mathcal{V},\lambda}$ for any energy λ , one can then determine all the $V_e(z)$ and C_v , provided we know $V_e(z)$ for all the edges e adjacent to the boundary of \mathcal{V} .*

Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 for the square lattice then follow from Theorem 2.6.

3. HEXAGONAL LATTICE

3.1. Hexagonal parallelogram. Let us next consider the hexagonal lattice. The first three steps of the construction are parallel to the square case discussed above. We identify \mathbb{R}^2 with \mathbb{C} , and put

$$\begin{aligned} \omega &= e^{\pi i/3}, \quad \mathbf{v}_1 = 1 + \omega, \quad \mathbf{v}_2 = \sqrt{3}i, \\ p_1 &= \omega^{-1} = \omega^5, \quad p_2 = 1, \end{aligned}$$

Given $n = n_1 + in_2 \in \mathbb{Z}[i] = \mathbb{Z} + i\mathbb{Z}$, we denote

$$\mathcal{L}_0 = \{\mathbf{v}(n); n \in \mathbb{Z}[i]\}, \quad \mathbf{v}(n) = n_1\mathbf{v}_1 + n_2\mathbf{v}_2,$$

and define the vertex set \mathcal{V}_0 by

$$\mathcal{V}_0 = \mathcal{V}_{01} \cup \mathcal{V}_{02}, \quad \mathcal{V}_{0i} = p_i + \mathcal{L}_0.$$

Let \mathcal{D}_0 be the Wigner-Seitz cell of \mathcal{V}_0 . It is a hexagon the six vertices of which are at the points ω^k , $0 \leq k \leq 5$, and the center at the origin. Take the set $\mathcal{D}_N := \{n \in \mathbb{Z}[i]; 0 \leq n_1 \leq N, 0 \leq n_2 \leq N\}$, and put

$$\mathcal{D}_N = \bigcup_{n \in \mathcal{D}_N} (\mathcal{D}_0 + \mathbf{v}(n));$$

the number N we have to choose large enough. This is a parallelogram in the hexagonal lattice as sketched in Figure 6. The piecewise linear loop which is the




FIGURE 6. Hexagonal parallelogram ($N = 2$)

perimeter of \mathcal{D}_N has interior angles switching between the values $2\pi/3$ and $4\pi/3$. Let \mathcal{A} be the set of vertices with the former angle, and to each $z \in \mathcal{A}$ we attach a new edge $e_{z,\zeta}$ with the new vertex $\zeta = t(e_{z,\zeta})$ at its terminal point, naturally laying outside of \mathcal{D}_N . Let

$$\Omega = \{v \in \mathcal{V}_0; v \in \mathcal{D}_N\}$$

be the set of vertices in the interior of the resulting discrete graph; its boundary consists of the added vertices, $\partial\mathcal{V} = \{t(e_{z,\zeta}); z \in \mathcal{A}\}$, and one is able to divide it

into four parts, naturally labeled as top, bottom, right, and left:

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial\mathcal{V})_T &= \{\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_N\}, \\ (\partial\mathcal{V})_B &= \{2\omega^5 + k(1 + \omega); 0 \leq k \leq N\}, \\ (\partial\mathcal{V})_R &= \{2 + N(1 + \omega) + k\sqrt{3}i; 1 \leq k \leq N\} \cup \{2 + N(1 + \omega) + N\sqrt{3}i + 2\omega^2\}, \\ (\partial\mathcal{V})_L &= \{2\omega^4\} \cup \{\beta_0, \dots, \beta_N\}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha_k = \beta_N + 2\omega + k(1 + \omega)$ and $\beta_k = -2 + k\sqrt{3}i$ for $0 \leq k \leq N$. The perturbations we are going to consider are again supposed to be of compact support, hence taking N large enough one can ensure that they are supported within \mathcal{D}_N .

For $0 \leq k \leq N$, consider the line A_k as sketched in Figures 6 and 7:




FIGURE 7. The line A_k

$$A_k = \{x_1 + ix_2; x_1 + \sqrt{3}x_2 = a_k\},$$

where a_k is chosen so that A_k passes through

$$\alpha_k = \alpha_0 + k(1 + \omega) \in (\partial\mathcal{V})_T.$$

The vertices belonging to $A_k \cap \Omega$ are written as

$$\alpha_{k,\ell} = \alpha_k + \ell(1 + \omega^5), \quad \ell = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

3.2. Special solutions to the vertex Schrödinger equation. We consider the following Dirichlet problem for the discrete Schrödinger equation

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} (-\widehat{\Delta}_{\mathcal{V},\lambda} + \widehat{Q}_{\mathcal{V},\lambda})\widehat{u} = 0 & \text{in } \mathcal{V}^\circ, \\ \widehat{u} = \widehat{f} & \text{on } \partial\mathcal{V}. \end{cases}$$

As in the case of the square lattice, the following lemmata hold.

Lemma 3.1. (1) Given partial Dirichlet data \widehat{f} on $\partial\mathcal{V} \setminus (\partial\mathcal{V})_R$, and partial Neumann data \widehat{g} on $(\partial\mathcal{V})_L$, there is a unique solution \widehat{u} on \mathcal{V} to the equation

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{cases} (-\widehat{\Delta}_{\mathcal{V},\lambda} + \widehat{Q}_{\mathcal{V},\lambda})\widehat{u} = 0, & \text{in } \mathcal{V}^\circ, \\ \widehat{u} = \widehat{f}, & \text{on } \partial\mathcal{V} \setminus (\partial\mathcal{V})_R, \\ \partial_\nu \widehat{u} = \widehat{g}, & \text{on } (\partial\mathcal{V})_L. \end{cases}$$

(2) Given the D-N map $\Lambda_{\mathcal{V}}(\lambda)$, partial Dirichlet data \widehat{f}_2 on $\partial\mathcal{V} \setminus (\partial\mathcal{V})_R$ and partial Neumann data \widehat{g} on $(\partial\mathcal{V})_L$, there exists a unique \widehat{f} on $\partial\mathcal{V}$ such that $\widehat{f} = \widehat{f}_2$ on $\partial\mathcal{V} \setminus (\partial\mathcal{V})_R$ and $\Lambda_{\mathcal{V}}(\lambda)\widehat{f} = \widehat{g}$ on $(\partial\mathcal{V})_L$. Moreover, \widehat{f} is uniquely determined by the D-N map.

Lemma 3.2. Let $A_k \cap \partial\mathcal{V} = \{\alpha_{k,0}, \alpha_{k,m}\}$.

(1) There exists a unique solution \widehat{u} to the equation

$$(3.3) \quad (-\widehat{\Delta}_{\mathcal{V},\lambda} + \widehat{Q}_{\mathcal{V},\lambda})\widehat{u} = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{V}^\circ,$$

with the partial Dirichlet data \widehat{f} such that

$$\begin{cases} \widehat{f}(\alpha_{k,0}) = 1, \\ \widehat{f}(z) = 0 \quad \text{for } z \in \partial\mathcal{V} \setminus ((\partial\mathcal{V})_R \cup \alpha_{k,0} \cup \alpha_{k,m}) \end{cases}$$

and the partial Neumann data $\widehat{g} = 0$ on $(\partial\mathcal{V})_L$.

(2) *The said solution* satisfies

$$\widehat{u}(x_1 + ix_2) = 0 \quad \text{if } x_1 + \sqrt{3}x_2 < a_k.$$

(3) Moreover, the values of \widehat{f} on $(\partial\mathcal{V})_R$ and $\alpha_{k,m}$ are uniquely determined by the D-N map.

Lemma 3.3. The values of \widehat{u} on A_k can be computed by only using the D-N map, the edge potentials $V_e(z)$ for the edges e in the halfplane $x_1 + \sqrt{3}x_2 \geq a_k$, and the vertex potentials C_v for vertices v in the halfplane $x_1 + \sqrt{3}x_2 > a_k$.

Proof. The claim is obtained using equation (3.3) and the D-N map. Specifically, we regard the said equation as the Cauchy problem with initial data on the top and left sides of \mathcal{V} . More precisely, we argue in the same way as in [3], Lemma 6.1. Let us inspect Figure 6. Given the D-N map, one can compute the values of $\widehat{u}(v)$ at the vertices v on the line $x_1 = -1$. They are zero in the halfplane $x_1 + \sqrt{3}x_2 < a_k$, since the same is true for relevant boundary data. Next we observe the values of $\widehat{u}(v)$ on the *adjacent line*, $x_1 = -1/2$. We start from ω^4 and go up. Then, by using the D-N map for ω^4 and then the equation (3.3) for the vertices above ω^4 , we see that $\widehat{u}(v) = 0$ holds for v in the halfplane $x_1 + \sqrt{3}x_2 < a_k$ when $x_1 = -1/2$. Repeating this procedure, we conclude that $\widehat{u}(v) = 0$ holds in the lower halfplane $x_1 + \sqrt{3}x_2 < a_k$. To compute the values of $\widehat{u}(v)$ in the upper halfplane, $x_1 + \sqrt{3}x_2 \geq a_k$, we observe the top boundary $(\partial\mathcal{V})_T$. We first compute $\widehat{u}(v)$ for vertices v adjacent to $(\partial\mathcal{V})_T$. They are determined by the D-N map. Next we observe $\widehat{u}(v)$ on the neighboring line, the second adjacent to the boundary. This

As in Lemma 2.2, $\alpha_{k,m}$ is removed.

time, we start from the rightmost vertex (for which, by virtue of claim (3) from Lemma 2.2, the value $\widehat{u}(v)$ is determined by the D-N map) and go down to the left. With the aid of the equation, we can then determine $\widehat{u}(v)$ by using the values of $V_e(z)$ and C_v in the halfplane $x_1 + \sqrt{3}x_2 > a_k$, which are known by assumption. Finally, using the equation, the values of $\widehat{u}(v)$ on the line $x_1 + \sqrt{3}x_2 = a_k$ are computed from those in the halfplane $x_1 + \sqrt{3}x_2 > a_k$ and $V_e(z), C_v$ specified in the lemma. \square

Now we pass to the reconstruction procedure.

Let \widehat{u} be the solution to the equation (3.3) specified in Lemma 3.2. If a_k is large enough, all the perturbations lie below the line A_k , hence the support of \widehat{u} is disjoint with them. Let us slide A_k downwards, and observe the equation when we first touch the perturbation. Let $a, b, b', c \in \mathcal{V}$ and $e, e' \in \mathcal{E}$ be as in Figure 8. Then, evaluating the equation (3.3) at $v = a$, we obtain




FIGURE 8. The values $\widehat{u}(b)$ and $\widehat{u}(b')$ considered

$$\widehat{u}(b) = -\frac{\phi_{e0}(1, \lambda)}{\phi_{e'0}(1, \lambda)} \widehat{u}(b').$$

Let $e_{k,1}, e'_{k,1}, e_{k,2}, e'_{k,2}, \dots$ be the series of edges just below A_k starting from the vertex α_k , and put

$$(3.4) \quad f_{k,m}(\lambda) = -\frac{\phi_{e_{k,m}0}(1, \lambda)}{\phi_{e'_{k,m}0}(1, \lambda)}.$$


Then we see that the solution \widehat{u} in Lemma 3.2 satisfies

$$(3.5) \quad \widehat{u}(\alpha_{k,\ell}) = f_{k,1}(\lambda) \cdots f_{k,\ell}(\lambda);$$

note that the right-hand side does not depend on C_v .

To proceed, observe the graph detail sketched in Figure 9.

Lemma 3.4. *As in Lemma 3.3, assume that we know $V_e(z)$ and C_v for all edges e and vertices v above A_k . Then, assuming that we know $V_e(z)$ for $e = e_{k,1}$, one can determine $V_e(z)$ for $e = e'_{k,1}$ and $e = e_{k,2}$, and also C_v for $v = \alpha_{k,2}$.*


 FIGURE 9. From $e_{k,1}$ to $e'_{k,1}$, $e_{k,2}$

Proof. In view of (3.5), since we know $\widehat{u}(\alpha_{k,\ell})$ for all ℓ , we also know the corresponding $f_{k,\ell}(\lambda)$. Furthermore, since we know $\phi_{e_{k,1}}(1, \lambda)$, we get from (3.4) $\phi_{e'_{k,1}0}(1, \lambda)$ for all values of the energy λ . The zeros of $\phi_{e'_{k,1}0}(1, \lambda)$ are the Dirichlet eigenvalues of $-(d/dz)^2 + V_e(z)$, $e = e'_{k,1}$. By Borg's theorem, these eigenvalues determine the potential $V_e(z)$ at the edge $e = e'_{k,1}$.

Making the equation (3.3) explicit at the vertex $v = \alpha_{k,2}$ and noting that $\widehat{u} = 0$ holds below A_k , we have

$$(3.6) \quad \frac{\phi'_{e_{k,2}0}(1, \lambda)}{\phi_{e_{k,2}0}(1, \lambda)} = \frac{1}{\phi_{e''_{k,2}0}(1, \lambda)} \frac{\widehat{u}(v'')}{\widehat{u}(v)} - \frac{\phi'_{e''_{k,2}0}(1, \lambda)}{\phi_{e''_{k,2}0}(1, \lambda)} - \frac{\phi'_{e'_{k,1}0}(1, \lambda)}{\phi_{e'_{k,1}0}(1, \lambda)} - C_v,$$


where $v = \alpha_{k,2} = e''_{k,2}(0)$, $v'' = e''_{k,2}(1)$. The both sides of (3.6) are meromorphic function in the complex plane, and we note that \widehat{u} is also meromorphic with respect to λ by construction. The singularities of the left-hand side are thus determined by those of the right-hand side. Furthermore, the singularities of the right-hand side can be computed without using C_v . Therefore, the zeros of $\phi_{e_{k,2}0}(1, \lambda)$ are determined by $V_e(z)$ for edges e in the halfplane $x_1 + \sqrt{3}x_2 \geq a_k$ and $e = e_{k,1}, e'_{k,1}$ as well as C_w for w in the open halfplane $x_1 + \sqrt{3}x_2 > a_k$. As before, Borg's theorem determines $V_{e_{k,2}}(z)$, which in turn determines $\phi_{e_{k,2}0}(1, \lambda)$ and $\phi'_{e_{k,2}0}(1, \lambda)$. The value of C_v is then computed from the formula (3.6) for regular points λ . \square

Lemma 3.4 implies the following result.

Lemma 3.5. *Let \widehat{u} be as in Lemma 3.2, and assume that we know $V_e(z)$ for all the edges e above A_k , and C_v for all the v above A_k but not on A_k . Then, one can determine all the $V_e(z)$ for e between A_k and A'_k , and C_v for all the v on A_k .*

Let A_k, B_l be as in Figure 10. By Lemma 3.5, we can compute (from the knowledge of the D-N map) the edge potentials between A_k, A'_k and between B_l, B'_l , and also compute C_v for the vertices on A_k, B_l . We call this *the initial procedure*.


Next we make a step inside \mathcal{D}_N . For the notational reason, we determine the edge potentials below B'_ℓ . To be able to repeat the described procedure after passing


 FIGURE 10. From B_ℓ to $B_{\ell-1}$.

to the neighboring “lower” line $B_{\ell-1}$, it suffices to know the edge potential for the edges below B'_ℓ and A'_k with the endpoints on B'_ℓ , as well as C_v for such endpoints on B'_ℓ in Figure 10.

The following “local” version of Lemma 3.5 holds.

Lemma 3.6. *In Figure 11, if one knows the edge potentials for the edges ab and ac , one can compute the edge potential of the edge ac' and the vertex potential C_v for $v = a$.*


 FIGURE 11. Solving the edge potential of ac' and C_v at $v = a$.

Proof. As in Lemma 3.5, consider the equation for A_{k-1} , as shown in Figure 11; for the sake of clarity and brevity, we denote it by $\hat{u} := \hat{u}_{A_{k-1}}$. Using the edge identification $e = ab$, we also use the symbol $\phi_{ab}(1, \lambda)$ for $\phi_{e0}(1, \lambda)$.

The procedure to “to compute ϕ ” means to express it using the known quantities in the successive steps:

- (i) Evaluating the equation (3.3) at b_2 , we can compute $\hat{u}_{A_{k-1}}(b_1)$.
- (ii) Evaluating the equation at b_4 , we can compute $\hat{u}_{A_{k-1}}(b_5)$.

- (iii) Evaluating the equation at b_5 , we can compute $\widehat{u}_{A_{k-1}}(b)$.
- (iv) The potential at the edges bb_1, ba and the vertex potential C_v at b are found during the initial procedure for the lines B . Hence solving the equation at b , we can compute $\widehat{u}_{A_{k-1}}(a)$.
- (v) The potentials at the edges ab, ac are found during the initial procedure for the lines B . Since $\widehat{u}_{A_{k-1}}(c) = \widehat{u}_{A_{k-1}}(c') = 0$ and $\widehat{u}_{A_{k-1}}$ at a, b are known, one can determine the potential at ac' and C_v at $v = a$ by the same argument as in Lemma 3.7.

Let us explain the step (v) in detail. We consider the equation for \widehat{u} at vertex a :

$$(-\widehat{\Delta}_{\mathcal{V}, \lambda} + \widehat{Q}_{a, \lambda})\widehat{u}(a) = 0,$$

where

$$(\widehat{\Delta}_{\mathcal{V}, \lambda} u)(a) = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{w \sim a} \frac{1}{\phi_{aw}(1, \lambda)} \widehat{u}(w) = \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{\phi_{ab}(1, \lambda)} \widehat{u}(b),$$

since $\widehat{u}(c) = \widehat{u}(c') = 0$. By (1.10),

$$\begin{aligned} \widehat{Q}_{a, \lambda} &= \frac{1}{3} \sum_{w \sim a} \frac{\phi'_{aw}(1, \lambda)}{\phi_{aw}(1, \lambda)} + \frac{C_a}{3} \\ &= \frac{1}{3} \left(\frac{\phi'_{ab}(1, \lambda)}{\phi_{ab}(1, \lambda)} + \frac{\phi'_{ac}(1, \lambda)}{\phi_{ac}(1, \lambda)} + \frac{\phi'_{ac'}(1, \lambda)}{\phi_{ac'}(1, \lambda)} + C_a \right). \end{aligned}$$

This equation yields

$$(3.7) \quad \frac{\phi'_{ac'}(1, \lambda)}{\phi_{ac'}(1, \lambda)} = \frac{1}{\phi_{ab}(1, \lambda)} \frac{\widehat{u}(b)}{\widehat{u}(a)} - \frac{\phi'_{ab}(1, \lambda)}{\phi_{ab}(1, \lambda)} - \frac{\phi'_{ac}(1, \lambda)}{\phi_{ac}(1, \lambda)} - C_a.$$

By the above construction, $\widehat{u}(a)$ is a meromorphic function of λ . All the quantities on the right-hand side of (3.7) except C_a are known. This means the singularities of the right-hand side of (3.7) are known. Hence we can determine the singularities of the left-hand side of (3.7) without knowing C_a . The singularities of the left-hand side are the Dirichlet eigenvalues for the edge ac' , which determine the edge potential of ac' by Borg's theorem. This shows $\phi_{ac'}(z, \lambda)$, $z \in [0, 1]$ can be computed. Inserting $\phi_{ac'}(1, \lambda), \phi'_{ac'}(1, \lambda)$ back into (3.7) gives C_a . \square

Note that in the above proof, we use only the knowledge of edges between B_ℓ and B_ν . We emphasize that the knowledge of edges below both of A_k and B_ℓ , which is still unknown, is not used. Then we repeat Lemma 3.6 by taking the function \widehat{u} for A_{k-2}, A_{k-3}, \dots , and thus we recover the edge potential for all the edges just below B'_ℓ and left to A_{k-1} , and C_v for all vertices between B_ℓ and B'_ℓ in Figure 10. This shows that we can push the lines B_l as “low” as needed.

By a symmetric reasoning, choosing the function \widehat{u} in the different direction (i.e., choosing \widehat{u}_{B_j} for the proper j), one can show that the lines A_k can also be pushed “down” as low as needed. But in fact, this is not needed, since pushing the lines B_l “down” alone can already recover the whole perturbed region.

We arrive thus at the following conclusions:

Theorem 3.7. *Let Ω be a hexagonal parallelogram as in Figure 6. Then from the D - N map of $-\widehat{\Delta}_{,\lambda} + \widehat{Q}_{\mathcal{V},\lambda}$, one can determine all the $V_e(z)$ and C_v , provided we know $V_e(z)$ for all the edge e adjacent to the boundary of \mathcal{V} .*

Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 for the hexagonal lattice then follow from Theorem 3.7.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. Ando, *Inverse scattering theory for discrete Schrödinger operators on the hexagonal lattice*. Ann. Henri Poincaré **14** (2013), 347–383.
- [2] K. Ando, H. Isozaki, H. Morioka, *Spectral properties for Schrödinger operators on perturbed lattices*. Ann. Henri Poincaré **17** (2016), 2103–2171.
- [3] K. Ando, H. Isozaki and H. Morioka, *Inverse scattering for Schrödinger operators on perturbed periodic lattices*, Ann. Henri Poincaré **19** (2018), 3397–3455.
- [4] S. Avdonin, B. P. Belinskiy, and J. V. Matthews, *Dynamical inverse problem on a metric tree*, Inverse Problems **27** (2011), 075011.
- [5] M. Belishev, *An approach to multidimensional inverse problems for the wave equation*. (Russian) Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR. **297** (1987), 524–527.
- [6] M. I. Belishev, *Boundary spectral inverse problem on a class of graphs (trees) by the BC method*, Inverse Problems **20** (2004), 647–672.
- [7] M. Belishev and Y. Kurylev, *To the reconstruction of a Riemannian manifold via its spectral data (BC-method)*, Comm. PDE. **17** (1992), 767–804.
- [8] J. von Below, *A characteristic equation associated to an eigenvalue problem on c^2 -networks*, Linear Algebra Appl. **71** (1985), 309–325.
- [9] G. Berkolaiko and P. Kuchment, *Introduction to Quantum Graphs*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I. (2013).
- [10] E. Blåsten, H. Isozaki, M. Lassas and J. Lu, *The Gel'fand's inverse problem for the graph Laplacian*, J. Spectral Theory **13** (2023), 1–45.
- [11] E. Blåsten, H. Isozaki, M. Lassas and J. Lu, *Inverse problems for discrete heat equations and random walks for a class of discrete graphs*, SIAM Discrete Math. **37** (2023), 831–863.
- [12] E. Blåsten, P. Exner, H. Isozaki, M. Lassas and J. Lu, *Inverse problems for locally perturbed lattices - Discrete Hamiltonian and quantum graph*, to appear in Ann. Henri Lebesgue.
- [13] N. Bondarenko and C. T. Shieh, *Partial inverse problem for Sturm-Liouville operators on trees*, Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh **147A** (2017), 917–933.
- [14] N. Bondarenko, *Spectral data characterization for the Sturm-Liouville operator on the star-shaped graph*, Anal. Math. Phys. **10** (2020), 83.
- [15] B. M. Brown and R. Weikard, *A Borg-Levinson theorem for trees*, Proc. Royal Soc. Lond. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci. **461** 2062 (2005), 3231–3243.
- [16] J. Brüning, V. Geyler and K. Pankrashkin, *Spectra of self-adjoint extensions and applications to solvable Schrödinger operators*, Rev. Math. Phys. **20** (2008), 1–70.
- [17] C. Cattaneo, *The spectrum of the continuous Laplacian on a graph*, Monatsh. Math. **124** (1997), 215–235.
- [18] T. Cheon, P. Exner and O. Turek, *Approximation of a general singular vertex coupling in quantum graphs*, Ann. Phys. **325** (2010), 548–578.
- [19] P. Exner, *Weakly coupled states on branching graphs*, Lett. Math. Phys. **38** (1996), 313–320.
- [20] P. Exner, *A duality between Schrödinger operators on graphs and certain Jacobi matrices*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré **66** (1997), 359–371.
- [21] P. Exner, A. Kostenko, M. Malamud and H. Neidhardt, *Spectral theory for infinite quantum graph*, Ann. Henri Poincaré **19** (2018), 3457–3510.
- [22] P. Exner and H. Kovařík, *Quantum Waveguides*, Springer, Cham Heidelberg New York Dordrecht London (2015).
- [23] P. Exner and O. Post, *Approximation of quantum graph vertex couplings by scaled Schrödinger operators on thin branched manifolds*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **42** (2009), 415305.
- [24] M.S. Eskina, *The direct and the inverse scattering problem for a partial difference equation*, Soviet Math. Doklady, **7** (1966), 193–197.

- [25] B. Gutkin and U. Smilansky, *Can one hear the shape of a graph?* J. Phys. A **34** (2001), 6061-6068.
- [26] G. Freiling and V. Yurko, *Inverse Sturm-Liouville Problems and their Applications*, Nova Science Publishers, Hauppauge (2001).
- [27] H. Isozaki, E. Korotyaev, *Inverse problems, trace formulae for discrete Schrödinger operators*. Ann. Henri Poincaré **13** (2012), 751–788.
- [28] H. Isozaki and H. Morioka, *Inverse scattering at a fixed energy for discrete Schrödinger operators on the square lattice*. Ann. l'Inst. Fourier **65** (2015), 1153–1200.
- [29] E. Korotyaev and I. Lobanov, *Schrödinger operators on zigzag nanotubes*, Ann. Henri Poincaré **8** (2007), 1151-1076.
- [30] E. Korotyaev and N. Saburova, *Schrödinger operators on periodic discrete graphs*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **420** (2014), 576-611.
- [31] E. Korotyaev and N. Saburova, *Estimates of bands for Laplacians on periodic equilateral metric graphs*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **114** (2016), 1605-1617.
- [32] E. Korotyaev and N. Saburova, *Scattering on periodic metric graphs*, Rev. Math. Phys. **32** (2020), 2050024 (51 p.).
- [33] A. Kostenko, N. Nicolussi, *Laplacians on Infinite Graphs*, Mem. EMS, Berlin 2022.
- [34] V. Kostrykin, R. Schrader, *Kirchhoff's rule for quantum wires*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **32** (1999), 595-630.
- [35] P. Kurasov, *Spectral Geometry of Graphs*, Birkhäuser, Berlin 2024.
- [36] K. Mochizuki and I.Yu. Trooshin: *On the scattering on a loop-shaped graph*, Progress of Math. **301** (2012), 227-245.
- [37] S. Nakamura, *Modified wave operators for discrete Schrödinger operators with long-range perturbations*, J. Math. Phys. **55** (2014), 112101.
- [38] H. Niikuni, *Spectral band structure of periodic Schrödinger operators with two potentials on the degenerate zigzag nanotube*, J. Appl. Math. Comput. (2016) 50:453-482.
- [39] K. Pankrashkin, *Spectra of Schrödinger operators on equilateral quantum graphs*, Lett. Math. Phys. **77** (2006), 139-154.
- [40] K. Pankrashkin: *An example of unitary equivalence between self-adjoint extensions and their parameters*, J. Funct. Anal. **265** (2013), 2910–2936.
- [41] D. Parra and S. Richard, *Spectral and scattering theory for Schrödinger operators on perturbed topological crystals*, Rev. Math. Phys. **30** (2018), Article No. 1850009, pp 1-39.
- [42] V. Pivovarchik, *Inverse problem for the Sturm-Liouville equation on a simple graph*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **32** (2000), 801-819.
- [43] O. Post, *Spectral Analysis on Graph-like Spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **2039**, Springer, Heidelberg (2012).
- [44] J. Pöschel and E. Trubowitz, *Inverse Spectral Theory*, Academic Press, Boston, (1987).
- [45] Y. Tadano, *Long-range scattering for discrete Schrödinger operators*, Ann. Henri Poincaré **20** (2019), 1439-1469.
- [46] F. Visco-Comandini, M. Mirrahimi, and M. Sorine, *Some inverse scattering problems on star-shaped graphs*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **387** (2011), 343-358.
- [47] X. C. Xu and C. F. Yang, *Determination of the self-adjoint matrix Schrödinger operators without the bound state data*, Inverse Problems **34** (2018), 065002 (20pp).
- [48] V. Yurko, *Inverse spectral problems for Sturm-Liouville operators on graphs*, Inverse Problems **21** (2005), 1075-1086.
- [49] V. Yurko, *Inverse spectral problems for differential operators on spatial networks*, Russ. Math. Surveys **71**, No 3 (2016), 539-584.

KAZUNORI ANDO: DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC ENGINEERING AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, EHIME UNIVERSITY, MATSUYAMA, 790-8577, JAPAN

Email address: ando@cs.ehime-u.ac.jp

EMILIA BLÅSTEN: COMPUTATIONAL ENGINEERING, SCHOOL OF ENGINEERING SCIENCE, LUT UNIVERSITY, LAHTI CAMPUS, 15210 LAHTI, FINLAND

Email address: emilia.blasten@iki.fi

EVGENY KOROTYAEV: DEPARTMENT OF MATH. ANALYSIS, SAINT-PETERSBURG STATE UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSITETSKAYA NAB. 7/9, ST. PETERSBURG, 199034, RUSSIA, NATIONAL RESEARCH UNIVERSITY HIGHER SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS, ST. PETERSBURG, RUSSIA

Email address: e.korotyaev@spbu.ru

PAVEL EXNER: DOPPLER INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICAL PHYSICS AND APPLIED MATHEMATICS, CZECH TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY IN PRAGUE, BŘEHOVÁ 7, 115 19 PRAGUE, CZECHIA, AND NUCLEAR PHYSICS INSTITUTE, CZECH ACADEMY OF SCIENCE, 250 68 ŘEŽ, CZECHIA

Email address: exner@ujf.cas.cz

HIROSHI ISOZAKI: GRADUATE SCHOOL OF PURE AND APPLIED SCIENCES, PROFESSOR EMERITUS, UNIVERSITY OF TSUKUBA, TSUKUBA, 305-8571, JAPAN

Email address: isozakih@math.tsukuba.ac.jp

MATTI LASSAS: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI, FI-00014 HELSINKI, FINLAND

Email address: matti.lassas@helsinki.fi

JINPENG LU: DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI, FI-00014 HELSINKI, FINLAND

Email address: jinpeng.lu@helsinki.fi

HISASHI MORIOKA: DEPARTMENT OF ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC ENGINEERING AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, EHIME UNIVERSITY, MATSUYAMA, 790-8577, JAPAN

Email address: morioka@cs.ehime-u.ac.jp