

Limiting eigenvalue distribution of the general deformed Ginibre ensemble

Roman Sarapin

V.N. Karazin Kharkiv National University, Kharkiv, Ukraine

Abstract

Consider the $n \times n$ matrix $X_n = A_n + H_n$, where A_n is a $n \times n$ matrix (either deterministic or random) and H_n is a $n \times n$ matrix independent from A_n drawn from complex Ginibre ensemble. We study the limiting eigenvalue distribution of X_n . In [40] it was shown that the eigenvalue distribution of X_n converges to some deterministic measure. This measure is known for the case $A_n = 0$. Under some general convergence conditions on A_n we prove a formula for the density of the limiting measure. We also obtain an estimation on the rate of convergence of the distribution. The approach used here is based on supersymmetric integration.

1 Introduction

1.1 General information

Let H_n be a $n \times n$ random matrix with i.i.d. complex random entries h_{ij} satisfying $\mathbb{E}\{h_{ij}\} = 0$ and $\mathbb{E}\{|h_{ij}|^2\} = 1/n$. Consider a random $n \times n$ matrix

$$X_n = A_n + H_n,$$

where A_n is either deterministic or random $n \times n$ matrix with entries independent of h_{ij} . The matrices X_n form the so called deformed Ginibre ensemble.

Matrices of such form play a significant role in communication theory, where A_n and H_n are considered to be the signal matrix and the noise matrix respectively. For the purposes of that theory, the behaviour of the smallest singular value $\sigma_1(X_n) = \lambda_1(X_n X_n^*)$ of X_n has been extensively studied, see [28], [14], [27], [37], [8], [9], [39], [38], [10], [41], [29] for the details.

This paper focuses on the limiting eigenvalue distribution of X_n . The problem of deriving the limiting distribution of eigenvalues is a fundamental problem in Random Matrix Theory. The pioneering work in this area was done by Wigner [42] for $n \times n$ hermitian matrices with i.i.d. gaussian random entries. This result was later extended to the case of arbitrary i.i.d. entries with mean 0 and variance $1/n$ (see [26]). The first hypothesis regarding the eigenvalue distribution of non-hermitian matrices, known as *Circular Law Conjecture*, was posed in 1950's. The conjecture stated that for $n \times n$ matrices with i.i.d. random entries with mean 0 and variance $1/n$, the limiting eigenvalue distribution on the complex plane is given by the following density:

$$\rho(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\pi}, & |z| \leq 1, \\ 0, & |z| > 1, \end{cases}$$

i.e. the eigenvalues are distributed uniformly on the unit disk. The case of complex gaussian entries was established by Mehta [24] in 1967. However, extending this result to an arbitrary entry distribution proved to be more challenging. In certain partial cases, Circular Law Conjecture was verified in [14], [19, 20], [2, 3], [21, 22], [25], [36], and was proven by Tao, Vu, Krishnapur in [40] under the most general assumptions in 2010.

The deformed Ginibre ensemble is in fact a generalisation of the non-hermitian ensemble mentioned above. In case of deterministic A_n , Tao, Vu, Krishnapur established the existence of the limiting eigenvalue distribution independent of the distribution of h_{ij} (see [40, Theorem 1.23, Theorem 1.7]). That distribution has been studied using free probability theory. Śniady [35] showed that if A_n converges in *-moments to some operator x_0 , then the limiting measure of X_n equals the Brown measure of $x_0 + c$, where c is Voiculescu's circular operator which is *-free from x_0 . That Brown measure was obtained in partial cases of self-adjoint or unitary c_0 (see [23]), normal c_0 (see [6]), and in general case in the recent preprint [43].

In this paper, we derive the density of the limiting distribution under different conditions on A_n , using an alternative approach based on supersymmetric integration. Supersymmetry techniques enable us to express the density of normalised counting measure, correlation functions and other spectral characteristics of random matrices as an integral over a set of complex and Grassmann variables. These methods have been successfully applied in various problems in Random Matrix theory, particularly in the study of Gaussian random band matrices (see [4], [12], [13], [30], [31], [32], [33], [34]), for the overlaps of non-Hermitian Ginibre eigenvectors ([16]) and for the smallest singular value of Ginibre and deformed Ginibre ensemble (see [29], [7]).

1.2 Basic notations and main results

Denote

$$X_n = A_n + H_n, \quad (1.1)$$

where H_n is a random $n \times n$ matrix with i.i.d. complex gaussian entries $\{h_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^n$ satisfying the following conditions:

$$\mathbb{E}\{h_{ij}\} = 0, \quad \mathbb{E}\{h_{ij}^2\} = 0, \quad \mathbb{E}\{|h_{ij}|^2\} = 1/n, \quad (1.2)$$

and A_n is $n \times n$ matrix with entries $\{a_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^n$ which are either deterministic or random, independent of h_{ij} . Denote

$$\begin{aligned} Y_0(z) &= (A_n - z)(A_n - z)^*, & Y(z) &= (X_n - z)(X_n - z)^*, \\ \tilde{Y}_0(z) &= (A_n - z)^*(A_n - z), & \tilde{Y}(z) &= (X_n - z)^*(X_n - z). \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

Also we define normalized trace tr_n as $\text{tr}_n B = \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr} B$ for any $n \times n$ matrix B . Our goal is to find the limit of *normalised counting measure* (NCM) of X_n . For the limit to exist, we impose the following conditions on A_n :

(C1) The NCM $\nu_{n,z}$ of $Y_0(z)$ converges weakly to some deterministic measure ν_z for almost all $z \in \mathbb{C}$.

(C2) Denote

$$\Omega_{M,n}^{(0)} = \{\omega \in \Omega \mid n^{-1} \sum_{i,j=1}^n |a_{ij}|^2 < M\}.$$

Then there exists some $M > 0$ such that $\text{Prob}\{\Omega_{M,n}^{(0)}\} \geq 1 - n^{-1-d}$ for some $d > 0$. Here and below Ω stands for the probability space with respect to A_n .

(C3) Denote $\sigma_0 = \{z \mid 0 \in \text{supp } \nu_{n,z}\}$. Let σ_ϵ be the ϵ -neighbourhood of σ_0 and

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{\epsilon,\kappa,n}^{(1)} &= \{\omega \in \Omega \mid \inf_{z \notin \sigma_\epsilon} \text{dist}(0, \text{supp } \nu_{n,z}) \geq \kappa\}, \\ \Omega_{\epsilon,C,n}^{(2)} &= \{\omega \in \Omega \mid \sup_{z \notin \sigma_\epsilon} \left| \text{tr}_n Y_0^{-1}(z) - \int \lambda^{-1} d\nu_z(\lambda) \right| < Cn^{-d_0}\} \end{aligned}$$

for some fixed $d_0 > 0$. Then for some $d > 0$ and for all $\epsilon > 0$ there exist $\kappa(\epsilon) > 0$, $C(\epsilon) > 0$ satisfying

$$\text{Prob}\{\Omega_{\epsilon,\kappa(\epsilon),n}^{(1)} \cap \Omega_{\epsilon,C(\epsilon),n}^{(2)}\} > 1 - n^{-1-d}.$$

(C4) There exist $d_1 > 0$, $\varrho_0, \epsilon_0 > 0$ such that if

$$\Omega_n^{(3)} = \{\omega \in \Omega \mid \inf_{z \in \sigma_{\epsilon_0}} \operatorname{tr}_n(Y_0(z) + \varrho_0^2)^{-1} > 1 + d_1\}$$

then $\operatorname{Prob}\{\Omega_n^{(3)}\} > 1 - n^{-1-d}$ for some $d > 0$.

Remark 1.1. *The conditions above are written for the case of random A_n . If A_n is deterministic, we assume that the inequalities defining $\Omega^{(j)}$ hold for large n .*

Remark 1.2. *Observe that Borel-Cantelli lemma together with (C1)–(C4) implies that*

$$\operatorname{Prob}\{\exists n_0: \omega \in \Omega_{M,n}^{(0)} \cup \Omega_{\epsilon,\kappa,n}^{(1)} \cup \Omega_{\epsilon,C,n}^{(2)} \cup \Omega_n^{(3)} \forall n \geq n_0\} = 1,$$

allowing us to consider only the case $\omega \in \Omega_{M,n}^{(0)} \cup \Omega_{\epsilon,\kappa,n}^{(1)} \cup \Omega_{\epsilon,C,n}^{(2)} \cup \Omega_n^{(3)}$.

Remark 1.3. *Conditions (C1)–(C4) hold for all classical hermitian ensembles. Here are some other examples of A_n satisfying (C1)–(C4) include:*

- A_n are diagonal matrices with eigenvalues having limiting distribution with a compact finitely connected support with a smooth boundary such that large deviation type bounds ((C3), (C4)) are satisfied.
- A_n is a Ginibre matrix with i.i.d. entries having finite moments. In this case bounds of the form (C3), (C4) follow from [1].

Define μ_{n,X_n} as the NCM of X_n . If A_n is deterministic, then by [40, Theorem 1.23] there exists some deterministic measure μ such that μ_{n,X_n} converges to μ weakly. If A_n is random, we may fix $\omega \in \Omega$ (i.e. fix some sequence of A_n as $n \in \mathbb{N}$) and conclude that there exists some deterministic (with respect to H_n) measure μ_ω such that μ_{n,H_n} converges to μ_ω weakly.

Consider a region $D \subset \mathbb{C}$ defined as

$$D = \sigma_0 \cup \{z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma_0: \int \lambda^{-1} d\nu_z(\lambda) \geq 1\}. \quad (1.4)$$

Below, we show that D is the support of the limiting measure μ_ω . This fact was proved in [5] under the additional assumption

$$\operatorname{supp} \mu_\omega = \{z \mid 0 \in \operatorname{supp} \eta_z\}, \text{ where } \eta_z \text{ is the limit of NCM of } Y(z) = (X_n - z)(X_n - z)^*.$$

However, we will not rely on this assumption.

Observe that (C4) implies $\sigma_{\epsilon_0} \subset D$. Moreover, due to (C3), $\int \lambda^{-1} d\nu_z(\lambda) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{tr}_n Y_0(z)^{-1}$ is a smooth function in z for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \sigma_{\epsilon_0}$, thus

$$\partial D = \{z \in \mathbb{C}: \int \lambda^{-1} d\nu_z(\lambda) = 1\} \quad (1.5)$$

and consists of several piecewise smooth curves enclosing σ_0 .

Condition (C1) yields that the limit of

$$\mathcal{G}_n(z_1, z_2, x) = n^{-1} \log \det((z_1 + iz_2 - A_n)(z_1 - iz_2 - A_n^*) + x)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ is equal to $\int \log(\lambda + x) d\nu_{z_1+iz_2}(\lambda)$ for real z_1, z_2 and $x > 0$. Since $\mathcal{G}_n(z_1, z_2, x)$ is analytic in z_1, z_2, x , one can find an analytic continuation $\mathcal{G}(z_1, z_2, x)$ of $\int \log(\lambda + x) d\nu_{z_1+iz_2}(\lambda)$ such that all derivatives of $\mathcal{G}_n(z_1, z_2, x)$ converge to the respective derivatives of $\mathcal{G}(z_1, z_2, x)$. Thus, if we consider

$$T_1(z, x) = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{z_1} + i\partial_{z_2})\partial_x \mathcal{G}(z_1, z_2, x) \Big|_{z_1=\Re z, z_2=\Im z},$$

$$T_2(z, x) = \frac{1}{4x}(\partial_{z_1}^2 + \partial_{z_2}^2)\mathcal{G}(z_1, z_2, x) \Big|_{z_1=\Re z, z_2=\Im z},$$

then $T_1(z, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{tr}_n(A_n - z)(Y_0(z) + x)^{-2}$ and $T_2(z, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{tr}_n(Y_0(z) + x)^{-1}(\tilde{Y}_0(z) + x)^{-1}$ for $x > 0$.

We are ready to formulate the main result of the paper.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that X_n defined in (1.1) satisfies (1.2) and conditions (C1)–(C4). Set*

$$\rho(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\frac{|T_1(z, x_0^2)|^2}{\int (\lambda + x_0^2)^{-2} d\nu_z(\lambda)} + x_0^2 \cdot T_2(z, x_0^2) \right), & z \in \text{Int } D; \\ 0, & z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus D, \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

with $x_0 = x_0(z) > 0$ satisfying the equation $\int (\lambda + x_0^2)^{-1} d\nu_z(\lambda) = 1$. Then, for any $\omega \in \Omega$ and for any smooth compactly supported function $h(z)$ we have

$$\int h(z) d\mu_\omega(z) = \int h(z) \rho(z) \mathbf{d}^2 z.$$

The above result yields that μ_ω does not actually depend on ω . In other words, there is a deterministic measure μ with density $\rho(z)$ given by (1.6) which is a limit of NCM of X_n . More precisely,

Corollary 1.2. *Assume that X_n defined in (1.1) satisfies (1.2) and conditions (C1)–(C4). Then the normalised counting measure of X_n converges weakly to the measure μ with density $\rho(z)$ defined in (1.6).*

We also obtain a bound on the rate of weak convergence of NCM. Naturally, we need some additional condition on the convergence rate of the NCM $\nu_{n,z}$ of $Y_0(z)$:

(C5) For $\kappa > 0$, $C > 0$ denote

$$\Omega_{\kappa, C, n}^{(4)} = \left\{ \omega \in \Omega \mid \sup_{z \in D, \kappa \leq x \leq 2} \left| n^{-1} \log \det(Y_0(z) + x) - \int \log(\lambda + x) d\nu_z(\lambda) \right| < Cn^{-1} \right\}$$

Then for some $d > 0$ and for all $\kappa > 0$ there exists $C(\kappa) > 0$ satisfying

$$\text{Prob} \left\{ \Omega_{\kappa, C(\kappa), n}^{(4)} \right\} > 1 - Cn^{-1-d}.$$

We establish the following result:

Theorem 1.3. *Assume that X_n defined in (1.1) satisfies (1.2) and conditions (C1)–(C5). Let z_1, \dots, z_n be the eigenvalues of X_n and $h(z)$ be a smooth function on \mathbb{C} with a compact support $E \subset D$ such that $\text{dist}(E, \partial D) \geq d > 0$. Then*

$$\left| \mathbb{E} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n h(z_j) \right\} - \int h(z) \rho(z) \mathbf{d}^2 z \right| \leq Cn^{-1/5}.$$

Acknowledgement

The author is grateful to Prof. M. Shcherbina for the statement of the problem and productive discussions. While working on this paper the author was supported by the Project IMPRESS-U: N2401227.

2 Strategy for computation of the limiting density

Let z_1, \dots, z_n be the eigenvalues of X_n . According to the standard potential theory,

$$\rho_{n, X_n}(z) = \frac{1}{4\pi n} \Delta \log \det Y(z) = \frac{1}{4\pi n} \Delta \sum_{j=1}^n \log |z - z_j|^2$$

is the density of NCM μ_{n, X_n} of X_n in a sense of generalized function, i.e.

$$\int h(z) \cdot \frac{1}{4\pi n} \Delta \log \det Y(z) \mathbf{d}^2 z = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n h(z_j) = \int h(z) d\mu_{n, X_n} \quad (2.1)$$

for an arbitrary smooth function $h(z)$ with compact support, where $Y(z)$ is defined in (1.3), Δ is a two-dimensional Laplacian on \mathbb{C} and \mathbf{d}^2z is a standard two-dimensional Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{C} . In this paper the limiting density is found by considering some ‘regularisation’ of the density $\rho_{n, X_n}(z)$ and then taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

One can consider a regularisation $\tilde{\rho}_{\varepsilon, n, X_n}(z) = \frac{1}{4\pi n} \sum_{j=1}^n \Delta \log(|z - z_j|^2 + \varepsilon^2)$ and show that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int h(z) \tilde{\rho}_{\varepsilon, n, X_n}(z) \mathbf{d}^2z = \int h(z) \rho_{n, X_n}(z) \mathbf{d}^2z$$

uniformly in n , which allows to find the density of the limiting measure μ_ω as the double limit $\lim_{\substack{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0 \\ n \rightarrow \infty}} \tilde{\rho}_{\varepsilon, n, X_n}$.

However,

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \log(|z - z_j|^2 + \varepsilon^2) \neq \log \det(Y(z) + \varepsilon^2)$$

in general for non-hermitian matrices X_n , and there is no easy way to express $\tilde{\rho}_{\varepsilon, n, X_n}$ in terms of X_n . This fact shows that the regularisation $\tilde{\rho}_{\varepsilon, n, X_n}$ is not suitable for our problem. Instead, we denote \mathbb{E}_{H_n} the expectation with respect to the entries of H_n and then use the following regularisation of $\mathbb{E}_{H_n} \{\rho_{n, X_n}(z)\}$:

$$\rho_{\varepsilon, n, \omega}(z) = \frac{1}{4\pi n} \Delta \mathbb{E}_{H_n} \left\{ \log \det(Y(z) + \varepsilon^2) \right\}. \quad (2.2)$$

The main technical difficulty in such an approach is to obtain the uniform convergence of $\int h(z) \rho_{\varepsilon, n, \omega}(z) \mathbf{d}^2z$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. The following result is proven in Section 6 using the integral representation of $\partial_\varepsilon \mathbb{E}_{H_n} \{\log \det(Y(z) + \varepsilon^2)\}$:

Proposition 2.1. *Assume that X_n defined in (1.1) satisfies (1.2) and conditions (C1)–(C4). Let z_1, \dots, z_n be the eigenvalues of X_n and $h(z)$ be a smooth compactly supported function on \mathbb{C} . Then there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int h(z) \rho_{\varepsilon, n, \omega}(z) \mathbf{d}^2z = \mathbb{E}_{H_n} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n h(z_j) \right\}$$

uniformly in n for $n \geq n_0$.

This fact allows to change the order of the limits while computing the density of μ_ω . More precisely:

Corollary 2.2. *Let $h(z)$ be a smooth function on \mathbb{C} with a compact support E . Suppose that Proposition 2.1 holds for $\rho_{\varepsilon, n, \omega}(z)$, for almost all $z \in E$ there exists $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{\varepsilon, n, \omega}(z) = \rho_{\varepsilon, \omega}(z)$ such that $|\rho_{\varepsilon, \omega}(z)| \leq C$ for $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ and $z \in E$, and there exists $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \rho_{\varepsilon, \omega}(z) = \rho_\omega(z)$ for $z \in E$. Then*

$$\int h(z) \rho_\omega(z) \mathbf{d}^2z = \int h(z) d\mu_\omega(z).$$

Proof. Again, let $\{z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n\}$ be the set of eigenvalues of X_n , and recall that μ_ω defined in Subsection 1.2 is the weak limit of μ_{n, X_n} . Then dominated convergence theorem implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_{H_n} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n h(z_j) \right\} = \int h(z) d\mu_\omega.$$

One can check that $\rho_{\varepsilon, n, \omega}(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \varepsilon^2 \mathbb{E}_{H_n} \left\{ \text{tr}_n (Y(z) + \varepsilon^2)^{-1} (\tilde{Y}(z) + \varepsilon^2)^{-1} \right\} \leq \frac{1}{\pi \varepsilon^2}$. This bound together with the assumptions of the theorem give us

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int h(z) \rho_{\varepsilon, n, \omega}(z) \mathbf{d}^2z &= \int h(z) \rho_{\varepsilon, \omega}(z) \mathbf{d}^2z, \\ \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int h(z) \rho_{\varepsilon, \omega}(z) \mathbf{d}^2z &= \int h(z) \rho_\omega(z) \mathbf{d}^2z. \end{aligned}$$

Now recall the following fact about interchanging limits of functions known as Moore-Osgood theorem. Let $f(n, \varepsilon)$, $g_1(n)$, $g_2(\varepsilon)$ be functions such that $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} f(n, \varepsilon) = g_1(n)$ uniformly in n for $n \geq n_0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(n, \varepsilon) = g_2(\varepsilon)$ for all $\varepsilon \in (0, \varepsilon_0)$. Then there exist limits $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g_1(n)$ and $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} g_2(\varepsilon)$ and they are equal, i.e.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} f(n, \varepsilon) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(n, \varepsilon).$$

Apply this result to $f(n, \varepsilon) = \int h(z) \rho_{\varepsilon, n, \omega}(z) \mathbf{d}^2 z$, $g_1(n) = \mathbb{E}_{H_n} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n h(z_j) \right\}$, $g_2(\varepsilon) = \int h(z) \rho_{\varepsilon, \omega}(z) \mathbf{d}^2 z$. Proposition 2.1 together with the convergences above yield that $\int h(z) \rho_{\omega}(z) \mathbf{d}^2 z = \int h(z) d\mu_{\omega}$. \square

Remark 2.1. *Comparing Corollary 2.2 with Theorem 1.1 one can see that it is sufficient to check the following facts:*

- *There exist limits $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{\varepsilon, n, \omega}(z) = \rho_{\varepsilon, \omega}(z)$ and $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \rho_{\varepsilon, \omega}(z) = \rho_{\omega}(z)$ for almost all $z \in \mathbb{C}$;*
- *$\rho_{\varepsilon, \omega}(z)$ is bounded uniformly in $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ and almost all z : $|z| \leq C$;*
- *$\rho_{\omega}(z) = \rho(z)$ where $\rho(z)$ is given by (1.6);*
- *Proposition 2.1 holds.*

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 3 the integral representation of $\rho_{\varepsilon, n, \omega}(z)$ is obtained. In Section 4 the derived integral representation and saddle point method are used to study asymptotic behaviour of $\rho_{\varepsilon, n, \omega}(z)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In Section 5 the limits $\rho_{\varepsilon, \omega}(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{\varepsilon, n, \omega}(z)$ and $\rho_{\omega}(z) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \rho_{\varepsilon, \omega}(z)$ are found. Section 6 contains the proof of Proposition 2.1. Section 7 is devoted to the rate of convergence of NCM μ_{n, X_n} .

3 Integral representation of $\rho_{\varepsilon, n, \omega}(z)$

Below the formula for $\rho_{\varepsilon, n, \omega}$ is rewritten to be more suitable for supersymmetric integration. We use the trick introduced by Fyodorov, Sommers in [17]. Recall that $\Delta = 4\partial_{\bar{z}}\partial_z$, where ∂_z and $\partial_{\bar{z}}$ are Wirtinger derivatives defined as $\partial_z f(z) = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_x - i\partial_y)f(x + iy)$, $\partial_{\bar{z}} f(z) = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_x + i\partial_y)f(x + iy)$. Straightforward computation shows that

$$\partial_{\bar{z}}\partial_z \log \det(Y(z) + \varepsilon^2) = \partial_{\bar{z}} \left(\partial_{z_1} \frac{\det(Y(z_1) + \varepsilon^2)}{\det(Y(z) + \varepsilon^2)} \right) \Big|_{z_1=z}. \quad (3.1)$$

This identity together with (2.2) implies

$$\rho_{\varepsilon, n, \omega}(z) = \frac{1}{\pi n} \partial_{\bar{z}} \left((\partial_{z_1} \mathcal{Z}(\varepsilon, \varepsilon, z, z_1)) \Big|_{z_1=z} \right), \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$\mathcal{Z}(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_1, z, z_1) = \mathbb{E}_{H_n} \left\{ \frac{\det(Y(z_1) + \varepsilon_1^2)}{\det(Y(z) + \varepsilon^2)} \right\}. \quad (3.3)$$

The following proposition gives us an integral representation of $\mathcal{Z}(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_1, z, z_1)$.

Proposition 3.1. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z}(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_1, z, z_1) &= \frac{2n^3}{\pi^3} \int_0^\infty dR \int_{-\infty}^\infty dv du_1 du_2 ds \int_L dt \cdot \frac{R}{\sqrt{v^2 + 4R}} \cdot \varphi(u_1^2 + u_2^2, s^2 - t^2, z, z_1) \times \\ &\times \exp \left\{ n \left(\mathcal{L}_n(z_1, u_1^2 + u_2^2) - (u_1 + \varepsilon_1)^2 - u_2^2 \right) \right\} \times \\ &\times \exp \left\{ -n \left(\mathcal{L}_n(z, s^2 - t^2) + (t - i\varepsilon)^2 + (R + it + \varepsilon)^2 + \varepsilon v^2 \right) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

with $L := \mathbb{R} + \varepsilon_0 i$, $\varphi(x, y, z, z_1) = \varphi_1(x, y, z, z_1) - \frac{1}{n} \varphi_2(x, y, z, z_1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1(x, y, z, z_1) &= (1 - \text{tr}_n(A_n - z_1)^* G(z_1, x) G(z, y) (A_n - z)) \times \\ &\quad \times (1 - \text{tr}_n G(z_1, x) (A_n - z_1) (A_n - z)^* G(z, y)) - \\ &\quad - xy \cdot \text{tr}_n G(z_1, x) G(z, y) \cdot \text{tr}_n \tilde{G}(z_1, x) \tilde{G}(z, y); \\ \varphi_2(x, y, z, z_1) &= y \cdot \text{tr}_n G(z_1, x) (A_n - z_1) \tilde{G}(z, y) (A_n - z_1)^* G(z_1, x) G(z, y) + \\ &\quad + x \cdot \text{tr}_n G(z_1, x) G(z, y) (A_n - z) \tilde{G}(z_1, x) (A_n - z)^* G(z, y), \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_n(z, x) &= \frac{1}{n} \log \det(Y_0(z) + x) = \frac{1}{n} \log \det((z - A_n)(\bar{z} - A_n^*) + x), \\ G(z, x) &= (Y_0(z) + x)^{-1} = ((z - A_n)(\bar{z} - A_n^*) + x)^{-1}, \\ \tilde{G}(z, x) &= (\tilde{Y}_0(z) + x)^{-1} = ((\bar{z} - A_n^*)(z - A_n) + x)^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

This integral representation was in fact established in [29] (see the proof of Proposition 2.1). They obtained the integral representation of $\mathcal{Z}(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_1, z, z)$ (which is $\mathcal{Z}(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_1)$ in [29]) and then differentiated the identity with respect to ε_1 in order to get $T(z, \varepsilon)$. One can obtain the representation of $\mathcal{Z}(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_1, z, z_1)$ using the same strategy, changing z to z_1 in the part which corresponds to Grassmann variables. After that just make the following changes of variables:

$$\begin{aligned} (t_1, t_2) &\rightarrow (s, t), \quad s = \frac{t_1 - t_2}{2}, \quad t = \frac{t_1 + t_2}{2}, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}, \quad t \in L, \\ (r_1, r_2) &\rightarrow (v, R), \quad v = r_1 - r_2, \quad R = r_1 r_2, \quad v \in \mathbb{R}, \quad R \in [0, +\infty). \end{aligned}$$

The Jacobians of these changes are $J_1 = 2$ and $J_2 = \frac{1}{(v^2 + 4R)^{1/2}}$. It is easy to see that we obtain (3.4).

Remark 3.1. We need to know $\mathcal{Z}(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_1, z, z_1)$ only for $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon$ in order to find $\rho_{\varepsilon, n, \omega}(z)$. The formula for arbitrary $\varepsilon, \varepsilon_1 > 0$ will be used in Section 6 to prove Proposition 2.1.

Remark 3.2. It follows from the proof of [29, Proposition 2.1] that for $z_1 = z$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1(x, y, z, z) &= \left(1 - \text{tr}_n G(z, y) + x \text{tr}_n G(z, x) G(z, y)\right)^2 - xy (\text{tr}_n G(z, x) G(z, y))^2; \\ \varphi_2(x, y, z, z) &= (x - y) (\text{tr}_n G(z, x) G^2(z, y) - x \text{tr}_n G^2(z, x) G^2(z, y)). \end{aligned}$$

In order to get an integral representation of $\rho_{\varepsilon, n, \omega}$, we need to differentiate (3.4) with respect to z, z_1 as in (3.2). To this end, we need to set $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon$ and separate the parts of the integrand which depend on z, z_1 . Introduce a functional

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f(u_1, u_2, t, s, z, z_1) \rangle &= \frac{2n^3}{\pi^3} \int_0^\infty dR \int_{-\infty}^\infty dv du_1 du_2 ds \int_L dt \cdot \frac{R}{\sqrt{v^2 + 4R}} \cdot f(u_1, u_2, t, s, z, z_1) \times \\ &\quad \times \exp\{-n((u_1 + \varepsilon)^2 + u_2^2 + (t - i\varepsilon)^2 + (R + it + \varepsilon)^2 + \varepsilon v^2)\}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

We can use it to rewrite (3.4) as

$$\mathcal{Z}(\varepsilon, \varepsilon, z, z_1) = \left\langle \varphi(z, z_1) e^{n\mathcal{F}_n(z, z_1)} \right\rangle, \quad (3.8)$$

where $\mathcal{F}_n(z, z_1) = \mathcal{L}_n(z_1, u_1^2 + u_2^2) - \mathcal{L}_n(z, s^2 - t^2)$ and $\varphi(z, z_1)$ is a short notation for the function $\varphi(u_1^2 + u_2^2, s^2 - t^2, z, z_1)$ defined in (3.5). Further we will use the following trivial observation:

$$\left\langle \varphi(z, z) e^{n\mathcal{F}_n(z, z)} \right\rangle = \mathcal{Z}(\varepsilon, \varepsilon, z, z) = 1. \quad (3.9)$$

Now notice that

$$\partial_{\bar{z}}((\partial_{z_1} \mathcal{Z}(\varepsilon, \varepsilon, z, z_1))|_{z_1=z}) = ((\partial_{\bar{z}} + \partial_{\bar{z}_1}) \partial_{z_1} \mathcal{Z}(\varepsilon, \varepsilon, z, z_1))|_{z_1=z}$$

The last identity combined with (3.2) and (3.8) gives us the next result:

Proposition 3.2. *Consider the following integrals:*

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{I}_1 &:= \left\langle \partial_{z_1} \mathcal{F}_n(z, z_1) \cdot (\partial_{\bar{z}} + \partial_{\bar{z}_1})(\varphi(z, z_1) e^{n\mathcal{F}_n(z, z_1)}) \right\rangle \Big|_{z_1=z}; \\
\mathbf{I}_2 &:= \left\langle (\partial_{\bar{z}} + \partial_{\bar{z}_1}) \partial_{z_1} \mathcal{F}_n(z, z_1) \cdot \varphi(z, z_1) e^{n\mathcal{F}_n(z, z_1)} \right\rangle \Big|_{z_1=z}; \\
\mathbf{I}_3 &:= \left\langle \partial_{z_1} \varphi(z, z_1) \cdot (\partial_{\bar{z}} + \partial_{\bar{z}_1}) \mathcal{F}_n(z, z_1) \cdot e^{n\mathcal{F}_n(z, z_1)} \right\rangle \Big|_{z_1=z}; \\
\mathbf{I}_4 &:= \frac{1}{n} \left\langle (\partial_{\bar{z}} + \partial_{\bar{z}_1}) \partial_{z_1} \varphi(z, z_1) \cdot e^{n\mathcal{F}_n(z, z_1)} \right\rangle \Big|_{z_1=z}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

Then $\rho_{\varepsilon, n, \omega}(z) = \frac{1}{\pi}(\mathbf{I}_1 + \mathbf{I}_2 + \mathbf{I}_3 + \mathbf{I}_4)$.

4 Asymptotic behaviour of $\rho_{\varepsilon, n, \omega}(z)$

In this section we perform an asymptotic analysis of $\mathbf{I}_1, \mathbf{I}_2, \mathbf{I}_3, \mathbf{I}_4$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for some fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ and $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \partial D$, which will give us the asymptotic behaviour of $\rho_{\varepsilon, n, \omega}(z)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Below we will write $\mathcal{L}_n(x)$, $G(x)$ and $\tilde{G}(x)$ instead of $\mathcal{L}_n(z, x)$, $G(z, x)$ and $\tilde{G}(z, x)$ to simplify the notations.

4.1 Preparations for the saddle point method

We will use the saddle point method to analyse certain integrals. First, we study the solutions of some equations that will appear further as saddle points. Assume that conditions (C1)–(C4) hold, and consider the following equations:

$$1 - \operatorname{tr}_n G(x^2) = \frac{\varepsilon}{x}, \tag{4.1}$$

$$1 - \int (\lambda + x^2)^{-1} d\nu_z(\lambda) = \frac{\varepsilon}{x}, \tag{4.2}$$

$$\operatorname{tr}_n G(x^2) = 1, \tag{4.3}$$

$$\int (\lambda + x^2)^{-1} d\nu_z(\lambda) = 1, \tag{4.4}$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$.

Let us fix a compact set E_{in} satisfying $E_{in} \subset \operatorname{Int} D$. First we study the solutions of the equations above for $z \in E_{in}$.

Proposition 4.1. *The equations (4.2) and (4.4) have exactly one positive root each for $z \in \operatorname{Int} D$. Moreover, there exists $n_0 = n_0(E_{in})$ such that for $n \geq n_0$ and $z \in E_{in}$ each of the equations (4.1) and (4.3) has exactly one positive root.*

Denote $x_{\varepsilon, n}$, x_{ε} , $x_{0, n}$ and x_0 the positive solutions of (4.1), (4.2), (4.3) and (4.4) correspondingly.

Proposition 4.2. *For a given E_{in} , there exist $\kappa_0 = \kappa_0(E_{in}) > 0$, $n_1 = n_1(E_{in})$ and $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0(E_{in})$ such that for all $z \in E_{in}$, $n \geq n_1$ and $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ the following inequalities hold:*

$$1. \kappa_0 \leq x_{0, n} \leq 1, \quad 2. x_{0, n} \leq x_{\varepsilon, n} \leq x_{0, n} + \frac{\varepsilon}{\kappa_0^2}.$$

Also we have $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} x_{\varepsilon, n} = x_{0, n}$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{0, n} = x_0$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{\varepsilon, n} = x_{\varepsilon}$, $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} x_{\varepsilon} = x_0$.

Now let us fix a compact set E_{out} satisfying $E_{out} \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{D}$. We study the solutions of the equations above for $z \in E_{out}$.

Proposition 4.3. *The equations (4.1) and (4.2) have exactly one positive root each, while (4.4) has no nonnegative roots for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{D}$. Moreover, there exists $n_0 = n_0(E_{out})$ such that for $n \geq n_0$ and $z \in E_{out}$ the equation (4.3) has no nonnegative roots.*

As before, we denote $x_{\varepsilon,n}$, x_ε the positive solutions of (4.1), (4.2) correspondingly.

Proposition 4.4. *For a given E_{out} , there exist $K_0 = K_0(E_{out}) > 0$, $\kappa_0 = \kappa_0(E_{out}) > 0$ and $n_1 = n_1(E_{out})$ such that for all $z \in E_{out}$ and $n \geq n_1$ the following inequality holds: $\varepsilon(1+\kappa_0) \leq x_{\varepsilon,n} \leq \varepsilon(1+K_0)$. Also, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{\varepsilon,n} = x_\varepsilon$.*

One can easily show that Propositions 4.1–4.4 follow from conditions (C1)–(C4) and Rouché’s theorem. Now consider a set $E_{\partial D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{dist}(z, \partial D) \leq d\}$ for some small d . We have the following result:

Proposition 4.5. *For any $z \in E_{\partial D}$ there exists exactly one positive solution $x_{\varepsilon,n}$ of (4.1) and exactly one positive solution x_ε of (4.2). Moreover, one can find $C = C(E_{\partial D}) > 0$ and $c = c(E_{\partial D}) > 0$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} c\varepsilon^{1/3} \leq x_{\varepsilon,n} \leq C, \quad \text{when } \text{tr}_n G(0) \geq 1; \quad (1+c)\varepsilon \leq x_{\varepsilon,n} \leq C\varepsilon^{1/3}, \quad \text{when } \text{tr}_n G(0) < 1; \\ c\varepsilon^{1/3} \leq x_\varepsilon \leq C, \quad \text{when } z \in D \cap E_{\partial D}; \quad (1+c)\varepsilon \leq x_\varepsilon \leq C\varepsilon^{1/3}, \quad \text{when } z \in E_{\partial D} \setminus D. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Suppose that $\text{tr}_n G(0) \geq 1$. The upper bound on $x_{\varepsilon,n}$ is obvious. Next, we have

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{x_{\varepsilon,n}} = 1 - \text{tr}_n G(x_{\varepsilon,n}^2) \leq \text{tr}_n G(0) - \text{tr}_n G(x_{\varepsilon,n}^2) = \text{tr}_n G^2(\xi) \cdot x_{\varepsilon,n}^2 \leq Cx_{\varepsilon,n}^2$$

for some $\xi \in (0, x_{\varepsilon,n}^2)$, which gives us the lower bound.

Now suppose that $\text{tr}_n G(0) < 1$. For the upper bound, observe that

$$\frac{\varepsilon}{x_{\varepsilon,n}} = 1 - \text{tr}_n G(x_{\varepsilon,n}^2) > \text{tr}_n G(0) - \text{tr}_n G(x_{\varepsilon,n}^2) = \text{tr}_n G^2(\xi) \cdot x_{\varepsilon,n}^2 > cx_{\varepsilon,n}^2.$$

For the lower bound, we have $\frac{\varepsilon}{x_{\varepsilon,n}} = 1 - \text{tr}_n G(x_{\varepsilon,n}^2) < 1 - \text{tr}_n G(C^2) < 1 - \kappa$ for some $\kappa > 0$, since $x_{\varepsilon,n} < C\varepsilon^{1/3} < C$.

One can similarly obtain the bounds on x_ε using $\int(\lambda+x)^{-1} d\nu_z(\lambda)$ instead of $\text{tr}_n G(x)$. \square

4.2 Integrals of the form $\langle g \cdot e^{n\mathcal{F}_n(z,z)} \rangle$, case $z \in \text{Int } D$

In order to derive the asymptotic behaviour of \mathbf{I}_k , the integrals of more general form are studied. Set

$$\mathbf{I}_{g_n} = \left\langle g_n(u_1^2 + u_2^2, s^2 - t^2) e^{n\mathcal{F}_n(z,z)} \right\rangle,$$

where a sequence of complex-valued functions $g_n(x, y)$ satisfies the following conditions:

1. $g_n(x, y)$ are analytic in some neighbourhood of $(x_{\varepsilon,n}^2, x_{\varepsilon,n}^2)$;
2. $g_n(x, y)$ are bounded uniformly in n in some neighbourhood of $(x_{\varepsilon,n}^2, x_{\varepsilon,n}^2)$;
3. $\langle |g_n(u_1^2 + u_2^2, s^2 - t^2) e^{N_1 \mathcal{F}_n(z,z)}| \rangle \leq C$ for some fixed N_1 and $C > 0$.

Our goal is to prove that

$$\mathbf{I}_{g_n} = \frac{g_n(u_1^2 + u_2^2, s^2 - t^2)}{\varphi(u_1^2 + u_2^2, s^2 - t^2, z, z)} \Big|_{\substack{u_1 = -x_{\varepsilon,n}, u_2 = 0, \\ t = ix_{\varepsilon,n}, s = 0}} + O(n^{-\alpha}), \quad (4.5)$$

where $x_{\varepsilon,n}$ is the positive root of (4.1) and φ is defined in (3.5).

In this subsection the case $z \in \text{Int } D$ is studied. Let us fix some $z \in \text{Int } D$ and set $E_{in} := \{z\}$. Then we can take $\kappa_0 = \kappa_0(E_{in}) > 0$ and use the result of Subsection 4.1. We also fix some $\varepsilon > 0$. Henceforth in this section, the multiplicative constant in expressions of the form $O(f(n))$ may depend on ε .

Recall the definition (3.7). Make a change of variable $r := R + it + \varepsilon$ and denote

$$\mathcal{R}(t) = \{r : r = it + \varepsilon + \rho, \rho \geq 0\}.$$

Notice that the integrand is even with respect to u_2 , thus we can integrate with respect to u_2 over $[0, +\infty)$ and write a multiplier 2 before the integral. Also we have

$$L_n(u_1^2 + u_2^2) - (u_1 + \varepsilon)^2 - u_2^2 = L_n(u_1^2 + u_2^2) - \left(\sqrt{u_1^2 + u_2^2} - \varepsilon\right)^2 - 2\varepsilon \left(u_1 + \sqrt{u_1^2 + u_2^2}\right).$$

Since $u_1 + \sqrt{u_1^2 + u_2^2} \geq 0$, we can make a change of variables $(u_1, u_2) \rightarrow (u, w)$, $u = \sqrt{u_1^2 + u_2^2} \in [0, +\infty)$, $w = \sqrt{u_1 + u} \in [0, \sqrt{2u}]$. One can see that $u_1 = w^2 - u$, $u_2 = \sqrt{u^2 - u_1^2}$ and the Jacobian of this change is equal to $J = -\frac{2u}{\sqrt{2u - w^2}}$.

Set $\mathbf{x} = (u, t, s, r, v, w)$ and $\mathcal{V} = [0, +\infty) \times L_t \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{R}(t) \times \mathbb{R} \times [0, \sqrt{2u}]$. After all of the changes above, we obtain

$$\mathbf{I}_{g_n} = \frac{8n^3}{\pi^3} \int_{\mathcal{V}} \Phi_n(\mathbf{x}) e^{nF_n(\mathbf{x})} d\mathbf{x},$$

where

$$F_{n,1}(u) = \mathcal{L}_n(u^2) - (u - \varepsilon)^2, \quad F_{n,2}(t, s, r) = -\left(\mathcal{L}_n(s^2 - t^2) + (t - i\varepsilon)^2 + r^2\right),$$

$$F_n(\mathbf{x}) = F_{n,1}(u) + F_{n,2}(t, s, r) - 2\varepsilon w^2 - \varepsilon v^2, \quad \Phi_n(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{r - it - \varepsilon}{\sqrt{v^2 + 4r - 4it - 4\varepsilon}} \cdot \frac{u}{\sqrt{2u - w^2}} \cdot g_n(u^2, s^2 - t^2).$$

Next we analyse $F_n(\mathbf{x})$. Observe that $\lim_{u \rightarrow +\infty} F_{n,1}(u) = -\infty$ and $\partial_u F_{n,1}(u) = 2u \cdot \text{tr}_n G(u^2) - 2u + 2\varepsilon$, which means that $u = x_{\varepsilon, n}$ is the maximum point of $F_{n,1}(u)$, where $x_{\varepsilon, n}$ is the positive solution of (4.1) defined in Subsection 4.1.

We can expand $F_{n,1}(u)$ for u lying in some neighbourhood of $x_{\varepsilon, n}$:

$$F_{n,1}(u) = \mathcal{L}_n(x_{\varepsilon, n}^2) - (x_{\varepsilon, n} - \varepsilon)^2 - \kappa_1(u - x_{\varepsilon, n})^2 + O((u - x_{\varepsilon, n})^3), \quad (4.6)$$

where

$$\kappa_1 = \frac{\varepsilon}{x_{\varepsilon, n}} + \text{tr}_n G^2(x_{\varepsilon, n}^2) \cdot 2x_{\varepsilon, n}^2. \quad (4.7)$$

Proposition 4.2 implies that $\kappa_1 \geq c > 0$ uniformly, thus

$$F_{n,1}(u) \leq F_{n,1}(x_{\varepsilon, n}) - cn^{-1} \log^2 n \quad \text{when } |u - x_{\varepsilon, n}| > n^{-1/2} \log n, \quad (4.8)$$

for large n and small ε , where $c > 0$ does not depend on n, ε .

Dealing with $F_{n,2}(t, s, r)$, we start with the contour shift for t and r . Consider the function

$$h_n(t) = F_{n,2}(t, 0, 0) = -\mathcal{L}_n(-t^2) - (t - i\varepsilon)^2.$$

It is easy to see that $h_n(t)$ is analytic in the upper halfplane and $t = ix_{\varepsilon, n}$ is a stationary point of $h_n(t)$. We can move the integration with respect to t to a contour

$$L_t = L_- \cup L_0 \cup L_+ \subset \{z: \Im z \geq |\Re z| + \varepsilon\}$$

symmetric with respect to the imaginary axis, such that $L_0 = [ix_{\varepsilon, n} - \delta; ix_{\varepsilon, n} + \delta]$, $\Re h_n(t)$ decreases on $[ix_{\varepsilon, n}, ix_{\varepsilon, n} + \delta]$ and $\Re h_n(t) \leq h_n(ix_{\varepsilon, n}) - \sigma$ for $t \in L_{\pm}$. One can check this using level lines of $\Re h_n(t)$ similarly to [29, Lemma 4.1]. Moreover, one can choose $\delta, \sigma > 0$ independent of ε, n since for $\tilde{h}_n(t) = \Re h_n(ix_{\varepsilon, n} + t)$ we have $\tilde{h}'_n(0) = 0$, $\tilde{h}''_n(0) = -2\kappa_1$, where $\kappa_1 \geq c > 0$ is defined in (4.7), and $\tilde{h}'''_n(t)$ is bounded uniformly in ε, n for small t .

Also we deform the r -contour for each $t \in L_t$ as follows: $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(t) = \mathcal{R}_1(t) \cup \mathcal{R}_2(t)$, where $\mathcal{R}_1(t) = [it + \varepsilon, -\delta]$, $\mathcal{R}_2(t) = \{r: r = -\delta + \rho, \rho \geq 0\}$. Such a contour shift is allowed since for each fixed t we have $|\Im r| \leq C$ and thus $-\Re r^2 \leq C - |r|^2$ for big r .

Next we prove that $(ix_{\varepsilon, n}, 0, 0)$ is a maximum point of $\Re F_{n,2}(t, s, r)$ when $t \in L_t$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $r \in \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(t)$ that is ‘good enough’ for the saddle point method. More precisely:

Proposition 4.6. $(ix_{\varepsilon,n}, 0, 0)$ is the maximum point of $\Re F_{n,2}(t, s, r)$ when $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $t \in L_t$ and $r \in \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(t)$. Moreover,

$$\Re F_{n,2}(t, s, r) \leq F_{n,2}(ix_{\varepsilon,n}, 0, 0) - cn^{-1} \log^2 n \quad \text{when} \quad \max\{|t - ix_{\varepsilon,n}|, |s|, |r|\} > n^{-1/2} \log n. \quad (4.9)$$

Proof. The statement above is a straightforward consequence of the following inequalities:

$$\Re F_{n,2}(t, s, r) \leq \Re F_{n,2}(t, s, 0) \quad \text{when } t \in L_t; \quad (4.10)$$

$$\Re F_{n,2}(t, s, 0) \leq \Re F_{n,2}(t, 0, 0) \quad \text{when } t \in L_t; \quad (4.11)$$

$$\Re F_{n,2}(t, 0, 0) \leq \Re F_{n,2}(ix_{\varepsilon,n}, 0, 0) - \sigma \quad \text{when } t \in L_{\pm}; \quad (4.12)$$

$$\Re F_{n,2}(t, 0, 0) \leq \Re F_{n,2}(ix_{\varepsilon,n}, 0, 0) \quad \text{when } t \in L_0; \quad (4.13)$$

$$\Re F_{n,2}(t, s, 0) \leq \Re F_{n,2}(t, 0, 0) - c\epsilon^2 \quad \text{when } t \in L_0, |t - ix_{\varepsilon,n}| < \epsilon, |s| > \epsilon; \quad (4.14)$$

$$\Re F_{n,2}(t, 0, 0) \leq \Re F_{n,2}(ix_{\varepsilon,n}, 0, 0) - c\epsilon^2 \quad \text{when } t \in L_0, |t - ix_{\varepsilon,n}| > \epsilon; \quad (4.15)$$

$$\Re F_{n,2}(t, s, r) \leq \Re F_{n,2}(t, s, 0) - c\epsilon^2 \quad \text{when } t \in L_0, |r| > \epsilon. \quad (4.16)$$

Let us start from the proof of (4.10). It suffices to prove that $\Re r^2 \geq 0$. Set $t = t_1 + it_2$, then $t_2 - |t_1| \geq \varepsilon > 0$ for $t \in L_t$. For $r \in \mathcal{R}_2(t)$ the inequality is obvious. For $r \in \mathcal{R}_1(t)$ we have $r = \alpha(-t_2 + \varepsilon + it_1) - (1 - \alpha)\delta$, thus

$$\Re r^2 = (-\alpha(t_2 - \varepsilon) - (1 - \alpha)\delta)^2 - (\alpha t_1)^2 \geq \alpha^2((t_2 - \varepsilon)^2 - t_1^2) \geq 0.$$

Next, we prove (4.11). Set $t = t_1 + it_2$, then $t_2 - |t_1| \geq \varepsilon > 0$ since $t \in L_t$. Also, $t^2 = t_1^2 - t_2^2 + 2it_1 t_2$, thus

$$\Re F_{n,2}(t, s, 0) = -\frac{1}{2} \int \log((\lambda + t_2^2 - t_1^2 + s^2)^2 + 4t_1^2 t_2^2) d\nu_{n,z}(\lambda) - \Re(t - i\varepsilon)^2$$

Since $t_2^2 - t_1^2 > 0$, then $\Re F_{n,2}(t, s, 0)$ decreases for $s \in [0, +\infty)$, which gives us (4.11).

Notice that $\Re F_{n,2}(t, 0, 0) = \Re h_n(t)$. The inequalities $\Re h_n(t) \leq \Re h_n(ix_{\varepsilon,n}) - \sigma$ for $t \in L_{\pm}$ and $\Re h_n(t) \leq \Re h_n(ix_{\varepsilon,n})$ for $t \in L_0$ imply that (4.12) and (4.13) hold.

The inequality (4.14) follows from the fact that $\Re F_{n,2}(t, s, 0)$ decreases for $s \in [0, +\infty)$ and

$$\partial_s^2 \Re F_{n,2}(t, s, 0)|_{s=0} = -2\Re \text{tr}_n G(-t^2) < -1$$

for t lying in some neighbourhood of $ix_{\varepsilon,n}$, while (4.15) follows from the fact that $\Re F_{n,2}(t, 0, 0) = \Re h_n(t)$ decreases when $t \in [ix_{\varepsilon,n}, ix_{\varepsilon,n} + \delta]$, and $\partial_\tau^2 \Re h_n(ix_{\varepsilon,n} + \tau)|_{\tau=0} = -2\kappa_1 < -c$.

Finally, we prove (4.16). It suffices to show that $\Re r^2 \geq c\epsilon^2$. For $r \in \mathcal{R}_2(t)$ it is obvious. In case $r \in \mathcal{R}_1(t)$ set $t = ix_{\varepsilon,n} + \tau$, $|\tau| < \frac{1}{2}x_{\varepsilon,n}$, then $r = \alpha(-x_{\varepsilon,n} + i\tau + \varepsilon) - (1 - \alpha)\delta$ and for small $\tau > 0$,

$$\Re r^2 = (-\alpha(x_{\varepsilon,n} - \varepsilon) - (1 - \alpha)\delta)^2 - (\alpha\tau)^2 \geq \alpha^2((x_{\varepsilon,n} - \varepsilon)^2 - \tau^2) + (1 - \alpha)^2\delta^2 \geq c > 0. \quad \square$$

It is easy to check that for (t, s, r) lying in some neighbourhood of $(ix_{\varepsilon,n}, 0, 0)$ we have

$$F_{n,2}(t, s, r) = -\mathcal{L}_n(x_{\varepsilon,n}^2) + (x_{\varepsilon,n} - \varepsilon)^2 - \kappa_1(t - ix_{\varepsilon,n})^2 - \kappa_3 s^2 - r^2 + O(|s|^3 + |t - ix_{\varepsilon,n}|^3), \quad (4.17)$$

where κ_1 defined in (4.7) and

$$\kappa_3 = \text{tr}_n G(x_{\varepsilon,n}^2). \quad (4.18)$$

Proposition 4.2 implies that $\kappa_3 \geq c > 0$ uniformly.

Observe that (4.8) and (4.9) give us

$$\Re F_n(\mathbf{x}) \leq -cn^{-1} \log^2 n \quad \text{when} \quad \max\{|u - x_{\varepsilon,n}|, |t - ix_{\varepsilon,n}|, |s|, |r|, |v|, |w|\} > n^{-1/2} \log n,$$

which allows to restrict the integration to the neighbourhood

$$U_n = \{\mathbf{x} \in \tilde{\mathcal{V}}: |u - x_{\varepsilon,n}|, |t - ix_{\varepsilon,n}|, |s|, |r|, |v|, |w| < n^{-1/2} \log n\}.$$

with an error term $O(e^{-c \log^2 n})$. Making the changes of variables $u = x_{\varepsilon, n} + n^{-1/2} \tilde{u}$, $t = ix_{\varepsilon, n} + n^{-1/2} \tilde{t}$, $s = n^{-1/2} \tilde{s}$, $r = n^{-1/2} \tilde{r}$, $v = n^{-1/2} \tilde{v}$, $w = n^{-1/2} \tilde{w}$, using the expansions (4.6), (4.17) and expanding the integrand, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{I}_{g_n} &= g_n(x_{\varepsilon, n}^2, x_{\varepsilon, n}^2) \cdot \frac{x_{\varepsilon, n} - \varepsilon}{\sqrt{4x_{\varepsilon, n} - 4\varepsilon}} \cdot \frac{x_{\varepsilon, n}}{\sqrt{2x_{\varepsilon, n}}} \times \\ &\times \frac{8}{\pi^3} \int_{\tilde{U}_n} e^{-\kappa_1 \tilde{u}^2 - \kappa_1 \tilde{t}^2 - \kappa_3 \tilde{s}^2 - \tilde{r}^2 - \varepsilon \tilde{v}^2 - 2\varepsilon \tilde{w}^2} d\tilde{u} d\tilde{t} d\tilde{s} d\tilde{r} d\tilde{v} d\tilde{w} + O(n^{-1/2} \log^k n) = \\ &= C(\varepsilon, n, z) \cdot g_n(x_{\varepsilon, n}^2, x_{\varepsilon, n}^2) + O(n^{-1/3}), \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

where $C(\varepsilon, n, z) = \sqrt{\frac{(x_{\varepsilon, n} - \varepsilon)x_{\varepsilon, n}}{\kappa_1^2 \kappa_3 \varepsilon^2}}$. Notice that $C(\varepsilon, n, z)$ does not depend on g_n and $C(\varepsilon, n, z) = O(1)$ for fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, since $\kappa_{1,3} \geq c > 0$. Substituting $g_n(u_1^2 + u_2^2, s^2 - t^2) = \varphi(u_1^2 + u_2^2, s^2 - t^2, z, z)$ in (4.19), we obtain

$$\mathbf{I}_{\varphi(z, z)} = C(\varepsilon, n, z) \cdot \varphi(x_{\varepsilon, n}^2, x_{\varepsilon, n}^2, z, z) + O(n^{-1/3})$$

On the other hand, according to (3.8),

$$\mathbf{I}_{\varphi(z, z)} = \left\langle \varphi(u_1^2 + u_2^2, s^2 - t^2, z, z) e^{n\mathcal{F}_n(z, z)} \right\rangle = \mathcal{Z}(\varepsilon, \varepsilon, z, z) = 1.$$

Therefore,

$$\mathbf{I}_{g_n} = \frac{\mathbf{I}_{g_n}}{\mathbf{I}_{\varphi(z, z)}} = \frac{g_n(x_{\varepsilon, n}^2, x_{\varepsilon, n}^2)}{\varphi(x_{\varepsilon, n}^2, x_{\varepsilon, n}^2, z, z)} + O(n^{-1/3}),$$

which gives us (4.5) in case $z \in \text{Int } D$.

4.3 Integrals of the form $\langle g \cdot e^{n\mathcal{F}_n(z, z)} \rangle$, case $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{D}$

In this subsection we derive the same asymptotic formula for $\mathbf{I}_{g_n} = \langle g_n(u_1, u_2, t, s) e^{n\mathcal{F}_n(z, z)} \rangle$ as in Subsection 4.2, but for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{D}$. Recall (3.7), make a change of variable $r := R + it + \varepsilon$ and denote $\mathcal{R}(t) = \{r : r = it + \varepsilon + \rho, \rho \geq 0\}$. Then

$$\mathbf{I}_{g_n} = \frac{2n^3}{\pi^3} \int_{\mathcal{V}} \Phi_n(\mathbf{x}) e^{nF_n(\mathbf{x})} d\mathbf{x},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x} &= (u_1, u_2, t, s, r, v), \quad \mathcal{V} = \mathbb{R}^2 \times L_t \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{R}(t) \times \mathbb{R}, \\ F_{n,1}(u_1, u_2) &= \mathcal{L}_n(u_1^2 + u_2^2) - (u_1 + \varepsilon)^2 - u_2^2, \quad F_{n,2}(t, s, r) = -\left(\mathcal{L}_n(s^2 - t^2) + (t - i\varepsilon)^2 + r^2\right), \\ F_n(\mathbf{x}) &= F_{n,1}(u_1, u_2) + F_{n,2}(t, s, r) - \varepsilon v^2, \quad \Phi_n(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{r - it - \varepsilon}{\sqrt{v^2 + 4r - 4it - 4\varepsilon}} \cdot g_n(u_1^2 + u_2^2, s^2 - t^2). \end{aligned}$$

Fix some $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{D}$. We will use the results of Subsection 4.1 for $E_{out} = \{z\}$.

First we study $F_{n,1}(u_1, u_2)$. The maximum point (u'_1, u'_2) of $F_{n,1}$ must be a solution of the following system:

$$\partial_{u_1} F_{n,1}(u'_1, u'_2) = \partial_{u_2} F_{n,1}(u'_1, u'_2) = 0,$$

which is equivalent to $u'_2 = 0$ and $(-u_1)'$ is a solution of (4.1). According to Proposition 4.3, the equation (4.1) has exactly one positive root $x_{\varepsilon, n}$ and no negative roots, thus $(u'_1, u'_2) = (-x_{\varepsilon, n}, 0)$ is the maximum point of $F_{n,1}(u_1, u_2)$.

Now we can obtain the same expansion for $F_{n,1}$ in the neighbourhood of $(-x_{\varepsilon, n}, 0)$ as in previous subsection:

$$F_{n,1}(u_1, u_2) = \mathcal{L}_n(x_{\varepsilon, n}^2) - (x_{\varepsilon, n} - \varepsilon)^2 - \kappa_1(u + x_{\varepsilon, n})^2 - \kappa_2 u_2^2 + O(|u_1 + x_{\varepsilon, n}|^3 + |u_2|^3),$$

where κ_1 defined in (4.7) and

$$\kappa_2 = \frac{\varepsilon}{x_{\varepsilon,n}}. \quad (4.20)$$

Proposition 4.4 gives us $\kappa_{1,2} \geq c > 0$, hence

$$F_{n,1}(u_1, u_2) \leq F_{n,1}(-x_{\varepsilon,n}, 0) - cn^{-1} \log^2 n \quad \text{when } \max\{|u_1 + x_{\varepsilon,n}|, |u_2|\} > n^{-1/2} \log n,$$

for large n and small ε , where $c > 0$ does not depend on ε and n .

Next we study $F_{n,2}(t, s, r)$. Again, consider the function $h_n(t) = -\mathcal{L}_n(-t^2) - (t - i\varepsilon)^2$ which is analytic in the upper halfplane and $t = ix_{\varepsilon,n}$ is a stationary point of $h_n(t)$. Similarly to Subsection 4.2 we can move the integration with respect to t to a contour $L_t = L_- \cup L_0 \cup L_+ \subset \{z: \Im z \geq |\Re z| + \varepsilon\}$ symmetric with respect to the imaginary axis, such that

$$L_0 = [ix_{\varepsilon,n} + \delta(-1 + i(1 + \varepsilon - x_{\varepsilon,n})); ix_{\varepsilon,n}] \cup [ix_{\varepsilon,n}; ix_{\varepsilon,n} + \delta(1 + i(1 + \varepsilon - x_{\varepsilon,n}))],$$

$\Re h_n(t)$ decreases on $[ix_{\varepsilon,n}, ix_{\varepsilon,n} + \delta(1 + i(1 + \varepsilon - x_{\varepsilon,n}))]$ and $\Re h_n(t) \leq h_n(ix_{\varepsilon,n}) - \sigma$ for $t \in L_{\pm}$. Moreover, we can choose $\delta, \sigma > 0$ independent of ε, n , since for $h_n(\tau) = \Re h_n(ix_{\varepsilon,n} + \tau(1 + i(1 + \varepsilon - x_{\varepsilon,n})))$ we have $\tilde{h}_n''(0) < 0$, $\tilde{h}_n'''(0) < 0$ for small ε , $|\tilde{h}_n^{(V)}(0)|$ is bounded and $\tilde{h}_n^{(IV)}(0) \leq -c < 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Also we can move the integration with respect to r to the contour

$$\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(t) = \mathcal{R}_1(t) \cup \mathcal{R}_2(t), \quad \text{where } \mathcal{R}_1(t) = [it + \varepsilon, -\delta], \quad \mathcal{R}_2(t) = \{r: r = -\delta + \rho, \rho \geq 0\}.$$

One can show that for (t, s, r) lying in some neighbourhood of $(ix_{\varepsilon,n}, 0, 0)$, $t = ix_{\varepsilon,n} + \tau(\pm 1 + i(1 + \varepsilon - x_{\varepsilon,n}))$ we have

$$F_{n,2}(t, s, r) = -\mathcal{L}_n(x_{\varepsilon,n}^2) + (x_{\varepsilon,n} - \varepsilon)^2 - (\kappa_3 s^2 + \kappa_4 \tau^2 + r^2) + O(|s|^3 + \tau^3),$$

where κ_1, κ_3 are defined in (4.7), (4.18) and

$$\kappa_4 = \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{x_{\varepsilon,n}} + 2x_{\varepsilon,n}^2 \cdot \text{tr}_n G^2(x_{\varepsilon,n}^2) \right) \cdot (\pm 2i + 2(1 \mp i)(x_{\varepsilon,n} - \varepsilon) + (x_{\varepsilon,n} - \varepsilon)^2). \quad (4.21)$$

One can check that $\Re \kappa_4 \geq c\varepsilon$ for small ε and some $c > 0$ independent of n, ε . Using the expansion above and the estimations similar to the ones from the previous subsection, one can show that

$$\Re F_{n,2}(t, s, r) \leq F_{n,2}(ix_{\varepsilon,n}, 0, 0) - c\varepsilon n^{-1} \log^2 n \quad \text{when } \max\{|s|, |r|, |t - ix_{\varepsilon,n}|\} > n^{-1/2} \log n. \quad (4.22)$$

Now consider the set $U_n = \{\mathbf{x} \in \tilde{\mathcal{V}}: |u_1 + x_{\varepsilon,n}|, |u_2|, |t - ix_{\varepsilon,n}|, |s|, |r|, |v| < n^{-1/2} \log n\}$. The estimations above show that $\Re F_n(\mathbf{x}) \leq c\varepsilon n^{-1} \log^2 n$ for $\mathbf{x} \in \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \setminus U_n$ and a fixed $\varepsilon > 0$, which allows us restrict the integration to U_n . Make the change of variables: $u_1 = n^{-1/2} \tilde{u}_1$, $u_2 = n^{-1/2} \tilde{u}_2$, $s = n^{-1/2} \tilde{s}$, $r = n^{-1/2} \tilde{r}$, $v = n^{-1/2} \tilde{v}$, $t = ix_{\varepsilon,n} + n^{-1/2} \tilde{t}_{\pm}(\pm 1 + i(1 + \varepsilon - x_{\varepsilon,n}))$ for $t \in L_0$. Repeating the argument from Subsection 4.3 one can obtain that

$$\mathbf{I}_{g_n} = \frac{g_n(x_{\varepsilon,n}^2, x_{\varepsilon,n}^2)}{\varphi(x_{\varepsilon,n}^2, x_{\varepsilon,n}^2, z, z)} + O(n^{-1/3}),$$

which gives us (4.5) for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{D}$.

4.4 Asymptotic behaviour of \mathbf{I}_k and $\rho_{\varepsilon,n,\omega}(z)$

Using the formula (4.5), we can now easily obtain the following result.

Proposition 4.7. *For $\mathbf{I}_2, \mathbf{I}_3, \mathbf{I}_4$ defined in (3.10) and $z \notin \partial D$ we have*

$$\mathbf{I}_2 = x_{\varepsilon,n}^2 \cdot \text{tr}_n G(x_{\varepsilon,n}^2) \tilde{G}(x_{\varepsilon,n}^2) + O(n^{-\alpha}), \quad \mathbf{I}_3 = O(n^{-\alpha}), \quad \mathbf{I}_4 = O(n^{-1}). \quad (4.23)$$

Proof. One can recall the definition of \mathbf{I}_k and apply (4.5) for the following functions:

$$\begin{aligned} g_{n,2}(x, y) &= \left((\partial_{\bar{z}} + \partial_{\bar{z}_1}) \partial_{z_1} \mathcal{F}_n(z, z_1) \cdot \varphi(z, z_1) \right) \Big|_{z_1=z} = x \cdot \operatorname{tr}_n G(x) \tilde{G}(x) \cdot \varphi(x, y, z, z); \\ g_{n,3}(x, y) &= \left(\partial_{z_1} \varphi(z, z_1) \cdot (\partial_{\bar{z}} + \partial_{\bar{z}_1}) \mathcal{F}_n(z, z_1) \right) \Big|_{z_1=z} = \\ &= \left(\operatorname{tr}_n(z - A_n) G(x) - \operatorname{tr}_n(z - A_n) G(y) \right) \partial_{z_1} \varphi(x, y, z, z); \\ g_{n,4}(x, y) &= \frac{1}{n} \left((\partial_{\bar{z}} + \partial_{\bar{z}_1}) \partial_{z_1} \varphi(z, z_1) \right) \Big|_{z_1=z} = O(n^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

□

Next, we are going to find an asymptotic formula for \mathbf{I}_1 . If we set

$$g_{n,1} = \partial_{z_1} \mathcal{F}_n(z, z) \left(\partial_{\bar{z}} + \partial_{\bar{z}_1} \right) \left(\varphi(z, z_1) e^{n\mathcal{F}_n(z, z_1)} \right) \cdot e^{-n\mathcal{F}_n(z, z)}$$

then we cannot apply (4.5) for $g_n = g_{n,1}$ since $g_{n,1}$ is not bounded as $n \rightarrow \infty$. However, we will implement a method similar to the one in Subsection 4.2 and Subsection 4.3.

Proposition 4.8. *For \mathbf{I}_1 defined in (3.10) and $z \notin \partial D$ we have*

$$\mathbf{I}_1 = \frac{\left| \operatorname{tr}_n(A_n - z) G^2(x_{\varepsilon, n}^2) \right|^2}{\operatorname{tr}_n G^2(x_{\varepsilon, n}^2) + \varepsilon / (2x_{\varepsilon, n}^3)} + O(n^{-\alpha}). \quad (4.24)$$

Proof. The idea of the proof is to show that the integrand of \mathbf{I}_1 has a zero of the second order at the saddle point, which will neutralise an extra multiplier n before the integral.

Denote $p(x) = \operatorname{tr}_n((z - A_n)G(x))$. Then $\overline{p(x)} = \operatorname{tr}_n((\bar{z} - A_n^*)G(x))$, $\partial_{z_1} \mathcal{F}_n(z, z) = \overline{p(u_1^2 + u_2^2)}$ and

$$\mathbf{I}_1 = \left\langle \overline{p(u_1^2 + u_2^2)} \left(\partial_{\bar{z}} + \partial_{\bar{z}_1} \right) \left(\varphi(z, z_1) e^{n\mathcal{F}_n(z, z_1)} \right) \right\rangle \Big|_{z_1=z} \quad (4.25)$$

We start with creating an extra root of the integrand at the saddle point. The identity (3.9) implies that $(\partial_{\bar{z}} + \partial_{\bar{z}_1}) \mathcal{Z}(\varepsilon, \varepsilon, z, z_1) \Big|_{z=z_1} = 0$, which can be rewritten as

$$\left\langle \left(\partial_{\bar{z}} + \partial_{\bar{z}_1} \right) \left(\varphi(z, z_1) e^{n\mathcal{F}_n(z, z_1)} \right) \right\rangle \Big|_{z_1=z} = 0. \quad (4.26)$$

Subtracting (4.26) multiplied by $\overline{p(x_{\varepsilon, n}^2)}$ from (4.25), we get

$$\mathbf{I}_1 = \left\langle \left(\overline{p(u_1^2 + u_2^2)} - \overline{p(x_{\varepsilon, n}^2)} \right) \left(\partial_{\bar{z}} + \partial_{\bar{z}_1} \right) \left(\varphi(z, z_1) e^{n\mathcal{F}_n(z, z_1)} \right) \right\rangle \Big|_{z_1=z}$$

Observe that

$$\left(\partial_{\bar{z}} + \partial_{\bar{z}_1} \right) \left(\varphi(z, z_1) e^{n\mathcal{F}_n(z, z_1)} \right) \Big|_{z_1=z} = \left(n(\partial_{\bar{z}} \mathcal{F}_n + \partial_{\bar{z}_1} \mathcal{F}_n) \varphi + \partial_{\bar{z}} \varphi + \partial_{\bar{z}_1} \varphi \right) \Big|_{z_1=z} e^{n\mathcal{F}_n(z, z)},$$

where

$$\left(\partial_{\bar{z}} \mathcal{F}_n + \partial_{\bar{z}_1} \mathcal{F}_n \right) \Big|_{z_1=z} = p(u_1^2 + u_2^2) - p(s^2 - t^2). \quad (4.27)$$

We can rewrite

$$\mathbf{I}_1 = n \left\langle \left(\overline{p(u_1^2 + u_2^2)} - \overline{p(x_{\varepsilon, n}^2)} \right) \left(p(u_1^2 + u_2^2) - p(s^2 - t^2) + O(n^{-1}) \right) \varphi(z, z) e^{n\mathcal{F}_n(z, z)} \right\rangle$$

First consider the case $z \in \operatorname{Int} D$. We can move contours, restrict the integration and change the variables as in Subsection 4.2. After the change of variables we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{I}_1 &= n \int d\tilde{u} d\tilde{t} d\tilde{s} d\tilde{r} d\tilde{v} d\tilde{w} \left(\overline{p_1(u^2)} - \overline{p_1(x_{\varepsilon, n}^2)} \right) \times \\ &\quad \times \left((p(u^2) - p(x_{\varepsilon, n}^2)) - (p(s^2 - t^2) - p(x_{\varepsilon, n}^2)) \right) \Phi_n(u, t, s, r, v, w) \times \\ &\quad \times \exp\{-\kappa_1 \tilde{u}^2 - \kappa_1 \tilde{t}^2 - \kappa_3 \tilde{s}^2 - \tilde{r}^2 - \varepsilon \tilde{v}^2 - 2\varepsilon \tilde{w}^2 + O(n^{-1/2} \log^k n)\} \end{aligned}$$

for some Φ_n . Observe that $\overline{p(u^2) - p(x_{\varepsilon,n}^2)}$, $p(u^2) - p(x_{\varepsilon,n}^2)$ and $p(s^2 - t^2) - p(x_{\varepsilon,n}^2)$ have zeros of the first order at the saddle point, thus the multiplier n before the integral vanishes. Taking into account that

$$\begin{aligned} p(u^2) - p(x_{\varepsilon,n}^2) &= n^{-1/2} \gamma \tilde{u} + O(n^{-1} \log^k n); \\ p(s^2 - t^2) - p(x_{\varepsilon,n}^2) &= -n^{-1/2} i \gamma \tilde{t} + O(n^{-1} \log^k n), \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma = -2x_{\varepsilon,n} \cdot \text{tr}_n(z - A_n)G^2(x_{\varepsilon,n}^2)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{I}_1 &= \Phi_n(x_{\varepsilon,n}, ix_{\varepsilon,n}, 0, 0, 0, 0) \cdot \int d\tilde{u} d\tilde{t} d\tilde{s} d\tilde{r} d\tilde{v} d\tilde{w} (\bar{\gamma}\gamma \cdot \tilde{u}^2 + i\bar{\gamma}\gamma \cdot \tilde{u}\tilde{t}) \times \\ &\quad \times \exp\{-\kappa_1 \tilde{u}^2 - \kappa_1 \tilde{t}^2 - \kappa_3 \tilde{s}^2 - \tilde{r}^2 - \varepsilon \tilde{v}^2 - 2\varepsilon \tilde{w}^2\} + O(n^{-1/2} \log^k n). \end{aligned} \quad (4.28)$$

Since the gaussian integral $\int x^n e^{-kx^2} dx$ equals zero for odd n , we can omit the summand $i\bar{\gamma}\gamma \cdot \tilde{u}\tilde{t}$. Now recall that (3.9) holds and we can write

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \left\langle \varphi(z, z) e^{n\mathcal{F}_n(z, z)} \right\rangle = \Phi_n(x_{\varepsilon,n}, ix_{\varepsilon,n}, 0, 0, 0, 0) \times \\ &\quad \times \int d\tilde{u} d\tilde{t} d\tilde{s} d\tilde{r} d\tilde{v} d\tilde{w} \exp\{-\kappa_1 \tilde{u}^2 - \kappa_1 \tilde{t}^2 - \kappa_3 \tilde{s}^2 - \tilde{r}^2 - \varepsilon \tilde{v}^2 - 2\varepsilon \tilde{w}^2\} + O(n^{-1/2} \log^k n). \end{aligned} \quad (4.29)$$

Dividing (4.28) by (4.29), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{I}_1 &= \frac{\bar{\gamma}\gamma \int \tilde{u}^2 \exp\{-\kappa_1 \tilde{u}^2\}}{\int \exp\{-\kappa_1 \tilde{u}^2\}} + O(n^{-1/3}) = \frac{|\gamma|^2}{2\kappa_1} + O(n^{-1/3}) = \\ &= \frac{|\text{tr}_n(A_n - z)G^2(x_{\varepsilon,n}^2)|^2}{\text{tr}_n G^2(x_{\varepsilon,n}^2) + \varepsilon/(2x_{\varepsilon,n}^3)} + O(n^{-1/3}). \end{aligned}$$

In the case $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{D}$, we can move contours, restrict the integration and change the variables as in Subsection 4.3. Making similar computations as above and using the fact that $\int \tilde{u}_1 \exp\{-\kappa_1 \tilde{u}_1^2\} d\tilde{u}_1 = 0$, we will obtain the same result. \square

Substituting (4.23) and (4.24) into the formula from Proposition 3.2, we obtain

$$\pi \cdot \rho_{\varepsilon, n, \omega}(z) = \frac{|\text{tr}_n(A_n - z)G^2(x_{\varepsilon,n}^2)|^2}{\text{tr}_n G^2(x_{\varepsilon,n}^2) + \varepsilon/(2x_{\varepsilon,n}^3)} + x_{\varepsilon,n}^2 \cdot \text{tr}_n G(x_{\varepsilon,n}^2) \tilde{G}(x_{\varepsilon,n}^2) + \frac{\beta(\varepsilon, n)}{n^\alpha}, \quad (4.30)$$

where $|\beta(\varepsilon, n)| \leq C(\varepsilon)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

5 Formula for $\rho_\omega(z)$

We continue to implement the plan described in Remark 2.1. In this section, we derive a formula for $\rho_\omega(z)$ using asymptotic formula (4.30) for $\rho_{\varepsilon, n, \omega}(z)$.

5.1 Case $z \in \text{Int } D$

We want to take the limit in (4.30) as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for fixed $\varepsilon > 0$ and $z \in \text{Int } D$. Recall that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{\varepsilon, n} = x_\varepsilon$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{tr}_n(A_n - z)G^2(x) = T_1(z, x)$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{tr}_n G(x) \tilde{G}(x) = T_2(z, x)$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{tr}_n G^2(x) = \int (\lambda + x)^{-2} d\nu_z(\lambda)$, $x > 0$, where T_1, T_2 and ν_z are defined in Subsection (1.2). From the fact that all the functions in those limits are analytic for $x > 0$ one can easily obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{tr}_n(A_n - z)G^2(x_{\varepsilon, n}^2) &= T_1(z, x_\varepsilon^2), \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{tr}_n G(x_{\varepsilon, n}^2) \tilde{G}(x_{\varepsilon, n}^2) &= T_2(z, x_\varepsilon^2), \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{tr}_n G^2(x_{\varepsilon, n}^2) &= \int (\lambda + x_\varepsilon^2)^{-2} d\nu_z(\lambda). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for $\rho_{\varepsilon,\omega}(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho_{\varepsilon,n,\omega}(z)$ we obtain the following identity:

$$\pi \cdot \rho_{\varepsilon,\omega}(z) = \frac{|T_1(z, x_\varepsilon^2)|^2}{\int(\lambda + x_\varepsilon^2)^{-2} d\nu_z(\lambda) + \varepsilon/(2x_\varepsilon^3)} + x_\varepsilon^2 \cdot T_2(z, x_\varepsilon^2). \quad (5.1)$$

We are left to find the limit $\rho_\omega(z) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \rho_{\varepsilon,\omega}(z)$. Recall that $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} x_\varepsilon = x_0$. According to Subsection 1.2, the functions $T_{1,2}(z, x)$ are analytic in z, x for $x > 0$, hence they are continuous for $x > 0$. Obviously, the function $\int(\lambda + x^2)^{-2} d\nu_z(\lambda)$ is also continuous for $x > 0$. Hence,

$$\pi \cdot \rho_\omega(z) = \frac{|T_1(z, x_0^2)|^2}{\int(\lambda + x_0^2)^{-2} d\nu_z(\lambda)} + x_0^2 \cdot T_2(z, x_0^2), \quad (5.2)$$

which shows that $\rho_\omega(z)$ is equal to $\rho(z)$ defined in (1.6) for $z \in \text{Int } D$.

Also we need to check that $\rho_{\varepsilon,\omega}(z)$ is bounded uniformly in $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ and $z \in \text{Int } D$. To estimate $T_j(z, x_{\varepsilon,n}^2)$, it is enough to obtain upper bounds on $|\text{tr}_n(A_n - z)G^2(x_{\varepsilon,n}^2)|$ and $\text{tr}_n G(x_{\varepsilon,n}^2)\tilde{G}(x_{\varepsilon,n}^2)$. Observe that $\text{dist}(\sigma_\varepsilon, \partial D) > 0$, where σ_ε is defined in (C3). Now one can easily obtain upper bounds on $|\text{tr}_n(A_n - z)G^2(x_{\varepsilon,n}^2)|$, $\text{tr}_n G(x_{\varepsilon,n}^2)\tilde{G}(x_{\varepsilon,n}^2)$ and a lower bound on $\int(\lambda + x_\varepsilon^2)^{-2} d\nu_z(\lambda)$, using (C2), Proposition 4.2 for $z \in \sigma_\varepsilon$ (taking $E_{in} = \sigma_\varepsilon$) and (C3) for $z \notin \sigma_\varepsilon$.

5.2 Case $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{D}$

Similarly to the case $z \in \text{Int } D$ we obtain

$$\pi \cdot \rho_{\varepsilon,\omega}(z) = \frac{|T_1(z, x_\varepsilon^2)|^2}{\int(\lambda + x_\varepsilon^2)^{-2} d\nu_z(\lambda) + \varepsilon/(2x_\varepsilon^3)} + x_\varepsilon^2 \cdot T_2(z, x_\varepsilon^2).$$

To find the limit $\rho_\omega(z) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \rho_{\varepsilon,\omega}(z)$, use the bound

$$\pi \cdot \rho_{\varepsilon,\omega}(z) \leq \frac{|T_1(z, x_\varepsilon^2)|^2}{\varepsilon/(2x_\varepsilon^3)} + x_\varepsilon^2 \cdot T_2(z, x_\varepsilon^2) = |T_1(z, x_\varepsilon^2)|^2 \cdot 2x_\varepsilon^2 \cdot \frac{x_\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} + x_\varepsilon^2 \cdot T_2(z, x_\varepsilon^2). \quad (5.3)$$

According to Proposition 4.4, $x_\varepsilon \leq (1 + K_0)\varepsilon$ for a fixed $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{D}$. Using condition (C3) one can show that $|T_{1,2}(z, x_\varepsilon^2)|$ are bounded uniformly in ε . Then (5.3) gives us

$$|\rho_{\varepsilon,\omega}(z)| \leq C\varepsilon^2 \quad (5.4)$$

for some $C > 0$, which shows that $\rho_\omega(z) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \rho_{\varepsilon,\omega}(z) = 0$, and $\rho_\omega(z)$ is equal to $\rho(z)$ defined in (1.6) for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus D$.

Again, we need to prove that $\rho_{\varepsilon,\omega}(z)$ is bounded uniformly in $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ and $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{D}$: $|z| \leq C$. Proposition 4.4 and Proposition 4.5 imply that $x_\varepsilon \leq C\varepsilon^{1/3}$ for $z \notin D$, $|z| \leq C$. We obtain a bound

$$\pi \cdot \rho_{\varepsilon,\omega}(z) \leq \frac{|T_1(z, x_\varepsilon^2)|^2}{\varepsilon/(2x_\varepsilon^3)} + x_\varepsilon^2 \cdot T_2(z, x_\varepsilon^2) \leq C|T_1(z, x_\varepsilon^2)|^2 + C\varepsilon^{2/3}T_2(z, x_\varepsilon^2). \quad (5.5)$$

The fact that $|T_j(z, x_\varepsilon^2)|$ are uniformly bounded follows from (C3) and (C2).

6 Proof of Proposition 2.1

Recall that we have a random matrix $X_n = A_n + H_n$ with eigenvalues z_1, \dots, z_n and

$$Y(z) = (X_n - z)(X_n - z)^*.$$

Also, we have a smooth function $h(z)$ on \mathbb{C} with a compact support E . According to (2.1), it suffices to prove that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_E h(z) \cdot \frac{1}{4\pi n} \Delta \mathbb{E}_{H_n} \left\{ \log \det(Y(z) + \varepsilon^2) \right\} \mathbf{d}^2 z = \int_E h(z) \cdot \frac{1}{4\pi n} \Delta \mathbb{E}_{H_n} \left\{ \log \det Y(z) \right\} \mathbf{d}^2 z$$

uniformly in n for $n \geq n_0$, which is equivalent to the fact that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{4\pi n} \mathbb{E}_{H_n} \left\{ \int_E \Delta h(z) \cdot \log \det(Y(z) + \varepsilon^2) \mathbf{d}^2 z \right\} = \frac{1}{4\pi n} \mathbb{E}_{H_n} \left\{ \int_E \Delta h(z) \cdot \log \det Y(z) \mathbf{d}^2 z \right\} \quad (6.1)$$

uniformly in n for $n \geq n_0$.

Let us split the proof into two parts: in Subsection 6.1 we check that the convergence (6.1) holds for each n , and in Subsection 6.2 we check that the convergence is uniform in n .

6.1 Pointwise convergence

Since $\log \det(Y(z) + \varepsilon^2) \rightarrow \log \det Y(z)$ pointwise as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, it suffices to estimate the integrand in (6.1) by some integrable over E function such that the integral has a finite H_n -expectation.

Since h is smooth with compact support, we have $|\Delta h(z)| \leq C$, and it is sufficient to estimate $\log \det(Y(z) + \varepsilon^2)$. For $\varepsilon \in (0; 1)$ we have

$$|\log \det(Y(z) + \varepsilon^2)| \leq |\log \det Y(z)| + \log \det(Y(z) + 1). \quad (6.2)$$

Obviously, $\log \det(Y(z) + 1) \leq C$ for a fixed X_n and $z \in E$, while $|\log \det Y(z)| \leq \sum_{j=1}^n |\log |z - z_j|^2|$, which means that $|\log \det Y(z)|$ has integrable singularities at z_1, \dots, z_n . This shows that for a fixed X_n , $\log \det(Y(z) + \varepsilon^2)$ is dominated by some integrable over E function, and thus

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_E \Delta h(z) \cdot \log \det(Y(z) + \varepsilon^2) \mathbf{d}^2 z = \int_E \Delta h(z) \cdot \log \det Y(z) \mathbf{d}^2 z.$$

Now we need to estimate $\int_E \Delta h(z) \cdot \log \det(Y(z) + \varepsilon^2) \mathbf{d}^2 z$. We can write $\log \det Y(z) = A + B$, where

$$A = \sum_{j: |z-z_j|>1} \log |z - z_j|^2, \quad B = \sum_{j: |z-z_j|\leq 1} \log |z - z_j|^2.$$

Then $A > 0$, $B \leq 0$ and $|A + B| \leq A - B = A + B - 2B$, which means that

$$|\log \det Y(z)| \leq \log \det Y(z) - 2 \sum_{j: |z-z_j|\leq 1} \log |z - z_j|^2.$$

Using the inequality above, (6.2) and an obvious inequality $\log x < x$, after integrating we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_E \Delta h(z) \cdot \log \det(Y(z) + \varepsilon^2) \mathbf{d}^2 z \right| &\leq C \left(\int_E \det Y(z) \mathbf{d}^2 z + \int_E \det(Y(z) + 1) \mathbf{d}^2 z - \right. \\ &\quad \left. - 2 \sum_{j=1}^n \int_{|z-z_j|\leq 1} \log |z - z_j|^2 \mathbf{d}^2 z \right) \leq \\ &\leq C \left(\int_Q \det Y(z) \mathbf{d}^2 z + \int_Q \det(Y(z) + 1) \mathbf{d}^2 z + 2n\pi \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $Q = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\Re z| \leq r, |\Im z| \leq r\}$ is a square containing E . It is easy to see that $\int_Q \det Y(z) \mathbf{d}^2 z$ and $\int_Q \det(Y(z) + 1) \mathbf{d}^2 z$ are polynomials depending on the entries of X_n , i.e. on $\{a_{ij}\}$ and $\{h_{ij}\}$. Since h_{ij} are independent gaussian random variables, we have $\mathbb{E}_{H_n} \{ \prod |h_{ij}|^{k_{ij}} \} < \infty$, thus

$$\mathbb{E}_{H_n} \left\{ \int_Q \det Y(z) \mathbf{d}^2 z + \int_Q \det(Y(z) + 1) \mathbf{d}^2 z + 2n\pi \right\} < \infty.$$

Dominated convergence theorem then gives us (6.1) for fixed n , which finishes the proof.

6.2 Uniform convergence

It suffices to prove that $\Phi(\varepsilon, n, z) = \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E}_{H_n} \left\{ \log \det(Y(z) + \varepsilon^2) \right\}$ converges uniformly for $n \geq n_0$ and $z \in E$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Set

$$T(\varepsilon, n, z) = \partial_\varepsilon \Phi(\varepsilon, n, z) = \mathbb{E}_{H_n} \left\{ 2\varepsilon \cdot \text{tr}_n(Y(z) + \varepsilon^2)^{-1} \right\}.$$

Similarly to (3.2) one can prove that $T(\varepsilon, n, z) = \frac{1}{n} \left(\partial_{\varepsilon_1} \mathcal{Z}(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_1, z, z) \right) \Big|_{\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon}$, where $\mathcal{Z}(\varepsilon, \varepsilon_1, z, z)$ is defined in (3.3). By differentiating (3.4) with respect to ε_1 , we obtain the following integral representation:

$$\begin{aligned} T(\varepsilon, n, z) = & -\frac{4n^3}{\pi^3} \int_0^\infty dR \int_{-\infty}^\infty dv du_1 du_2 ds \int_L dt \cdot \frac{R}{\sqrt{v^2 + 4R}} \cdot \varphi(u_1^2 + u_2^2, s^2 - t^2, z, z) \times \\ & \times (u_1 + \varepsilon) \cdot \exp \left\{ n \left(\mathcal{L}_n(u_1^2 + u_2^2) - (u_1 + \varepsilon)^2 - u_2^2 \right) \right\} \times \\ & \times \exp \left\{ -n \left(\mathcal{L}_n(s^2 - t^2) + (t - i\varepsilon)^2 + (R + it + \varepsilon)^2 + \varepsilon v^2 \right) \right\}, \end{aligned} \quad (6.3)$$

Suppose that $T(\varepsilon, n, z) \leq \frac{C}{\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}}$ for $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$, $n \geq n_0$, $z \in E$ and some fixed $\alpha, C > 0$. Then, for $\varepsilon_1 < \varepsilon_2 \leq \varepsilon_0$ we have

$$|\Phi(\varepsilon_2, n, z) - \Phi(\varepsilon_1, n, z)| = \left| \int_{\varepsilon_1}^{\varepsilon_2} T(\varepsilon, n, z) d\varepsilon \right| \leq C \int_{\varepsilon_1}^{\varepsilon_2} \frac{d\varepsilon}{\varepsilon^{1-\alpha}} \leq \frac{C}{\alpha} \varepsilon_2^\alpha,$$

which means that $\Phi(\varepsilon, n, z)$ converges uniformly for $n \geq n_0$, $z \in E$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

As we see, it suffices to prove the following facts:

Theorem 6.1. *Fix an arbitrary $d > 0$ and set $E_d := \{z \in E \mid \text{dist}(z, \partial D) \geq d\}$. Then there exist $n_0, \varepsilon_0 > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that*

$$\varepsilon^{1/2} |T(\varepsilon, n, z)| \leq C$$

for $n \geq n_0$, $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ and $z \in E_d$.

Theorem 6.2. *There exist $d > 0$, $n_0, \varepsilon_0 > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that*

$$\varepsilon^{5/6} |T(\varepsilon, n, z)| \leq C$$

for $n \geq n_0$, $0 < \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ and $z \in E \setminus E_d$.

Outline of the proof. We split the proof into several cases depending on the size of ε with respect to n and the location of z . In each of the parts the plan similar to the one in Subsection 4.2 and Subsection 4.3 is implemented. We make the following steps:

1. We make minor changes of variables in (6.3). In particular, for small ε we take $r = R - it$. After that we shift the t -contour and r -contour so that $L_t \subset \{z: \Im z \geq |\Re z|\}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(t) = \mathcal{R}_1(t) \cup \mathcal{R}_2(t)$, where $\mathcal{R}_1(t) = [it; 0]$ and $\mathcal{R}_2(t) = \{\rho: \rho > 0\}$. Then we have $\Re r^2 \geq 0$ and $\Re(r - it) \geq 0$. For large ε we take $r = R - it - \varepsilon$, shift the t -contour and r -contour so that $L_t \subset \{z: \Im z \geq |\Re z| + \varepsilon\}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(t) = \mathcal{R}_1(t) \cup \mathcal{R}_2(t)$, where $\mathcal{R}_1(t) = [it + \varepsilon; 0]$ and $\mathcal{R}_2(t) = \{\rho: \rho > 0\}$. Then we have $\Re r^2 \geq 0$ and $\Re(r - it - \varepsilon) \geq 0$.
2. Then it suffices to prove that $n^\alpha \varepsilon^\beta \int_{\mathcal{V}} \Phi_n(\mathbf{x}) e^{nF_n(\mathbf{x})} d\mathbf{x} \leq C$ uniformly in n, ε, z , where $\mathbf{x} = (u, t, s, r)$ or (u_1, u_2, t, s, r) , \mathcal{V} is a product of certain contours and Φ_n, F_n are some functions.
3. We prove that $nF_n(\mathbf{x}) \leq -c \log^2 n$ for $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{V}$ lying outside of the neighbourhood

$$U = \{|u - x_*| < n^{-\alpha_1} \log^{\beta_1} n, |s| < n^{-\alpha_2} \log^{\beta_2} n, |t - ix_*| < n^{-\alpha_3} \log^{\beta_3} n, r \in \mathcal{R}_{1+}(t)\}$$

of the saddle point $u = x_*$, $t = ix_*$, $s = 0$, $r = 0$, where $\mathcal{R}_{1+}(t) = \mathcal{R}_1(t) \cup [0; n^{-1/2} \log n]$. This allows to restrict the integration to the neighbourhood U , if $n^\alpha \varepsilon^\beta \leq Cn^\gamma$ for some γ .

4. We make a change $u = x_* + n^{-\alpha_1} \tilde{u}$, $t = ix_* + n^{-\alpha_3} \tilde{t}$, $s = n^{-\alpha_2} \tilde{s}$ and estimate $\Phi_n(\mathbf{x})$ by expanding it into Taylor series:

$$\Phi_n(\mathbf{x}) \leq f(\varepsilon, x_*, n) \cdot \mathcal{P}(\tilde{u}, \tilde{t}, \tilde{s}),$$

where $\mathcal{P}(\tilde{u}, \tilde{t}, \tilde{s})$ stands for an arbitrary polynomial in $|\tilde{u}|$, $|\tilde{s}|$, $|\tilde{t}|$. We also expand $nF_n(\mathbf{x})$:

$$nF_n(\mathbf{x}) \leq -a_1 \tilde{u}^{k_1} - a_2 \tilde{t}^{k_2} - a_3 \tilde{s}^2 - nr^2 + O(n^{-1/4} \log^k n),$$

where $k_j \in \{2; 4\}$ and a_j are bounded from below uniformly by some positive constant. This gives the bound

$$n^\alpha \varepsilon^\beta \int_U \Phi_n(\mathbf{x}) e^{nF_n(\mathbf{x})} d\mathbf{x} \leq \frac{n^\alpha \varepsilon^\beta}{n^{\alpha_1} n^{\alpha_2} n^{\alpha_3}} f(\varepsilon, x_*, n) \cdot \int_{\tilde{U}} \mathcal{P}(\tilde{u}, \tilde{t}, \tilde{s}) e^{-a_1 \tilde{u}^{k_1} - a_2 \tilde{t}^{k_2} - a_3 \tilde{s}^2 - nr^2} d\tilde{\mathbf{x}}$$

5. Finally, we either estimate $\int_{\mathcal{R}_{1+(t)}} e^{-nr^2} dr$ as $C(|t| + n^{-1/2})$ simply by considering the length of $\mathcal{R}_1(t)$ or write

$$\left| \int_{\mathcal{R}_1(t)} e^{-nr^2} dr \right| \leq \int_0^{|t|} \exp\{-\Re(\frac{-t^2}{|t|^2}) n \rho^2\} d\rho \leq \frac{Cn^{-1/2}}{\sqrt{\Re(\frac{-t^2}{|t|^2})}}.$$

The first bound is better if x_* is small and the second one is better if $\mathcal{R}_1(t)$ is ‘far enough’ from $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \arg z = \frac{3\pi}{4}\}$. In both cases we get a bound of the form

$$\int_{\mathcal{R}_{1+(t)}} e^{-nr^2} dr \leq g(\varepsilon, x_*, n) \mathcal{P}(\tilde{u}, \tilde{t}, \tilde{s}),$$

and this bound implies that

$$n^\alpha \varepsilon^\beta \int_U \Phi_n(\mathbf{x}) e^{nF_n(\mathbf{x})} d\mathbf{x} \leq \frac{n^\alpha \varepsilon^\beta}{n^{\alpha_1} n^{\alpha_2} n^{\alpha_3}} \cdot f(\varepsilon, x_*, n) g(\varepsilon, x_*, n) \cdot \int_{\tilde{U}} \mathcal{P}(\tilde{u}, \tilde{t}, \tilde{s}) e^{-a_1 \tilde{u}^{k_1} - a_2 \tilde{t}^{k_2} - a_3 \tilde{s}^2} d\tilde{\mathbf{x}}.$$

Then it suffices to prove that $\frac{n^\alpha \varepsilon^\beta}{n^{\alpha_1} n^{\alpha_2} n^{\alpha_3}} \cdot f(\varepsilon, x_*, n) g(\varepsilon, x_*, n)$ is bounded uniformly in ε, n, z .

Set $\tilde{\varphi}(u, t, s) = \varphi(u^2, s^2 - t^2, z, z)$, where $\varphi(x, y, z, z)$ is defined in (3.5). In order to obtain a bound on $\Phi_n(\mathbf{x})$, we need to expand $\tilde{\varphi}(u, t, s)$ in the neighbourhood of the saddle point $(x_*, ix_*, 0)$, where x_* is either $x_{\varepsilon, n}$ or $x_{0, n}$. This bounds on the derivatives of $\varphi(x, y, z, z)$ are given in the following lemma.

Lemma 6.3. *Let $\varphi_1(x, y) = \varphi_1(x, y, z, z)$, $\varphi_2(x, y) = \varphi_2(x, y, z, z)$ be the functions defined as in (3.5), and let $x_{0, n}$, $x_{\varepsilon, n}$ be the roots of (4.3) and (4.1) respectively as in Subsection 4.1. Then $\varphi_{1,2}(x, y)$ together with all their derivatives are bounded at $(x_{0, n}^2, x_{0, n}^2)$ and $(x_{\varepsilon, n}^2, x_{\varepsilon, n}^2)$. Moreover,*

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1(x_{0, n}^2, x_{0, n}^2) &= 0, \quad \partial_x \varphi_1(x_{0, n}^2, x_{0, n}^2) = \partial_y \varphi_1(x_{0, n}^2, x_{0, n}^2) = O(x_{0, n}^2); \\ \varphi_1(x_{\varepsilon, n}^2, x_{\varepsilon, n}^2) &= O\left(\frac{\varepsilon^2}{x_{\varepsilon, n}^2} + \varepsilon x_{\varepsilon, n}\right), \quad \partial_x \varphi_1(x_{\varepsilon, n}^2, x_{\varepsilon, n}^2) = \partial_y \varphi_1(x_{\varepsilon, n}^2, x_{\varepsilon, n}^2) = O\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{x_{\varepsilon, n}} + x_{\varepsilon, n}^2\right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The first half of the statement is obvious. Using Remark 3.2 one can get

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1(x, y) &= \left(1 - \operatorname{tr}_n G(y) + x \operatorname{tr}_n G(x) G(y)\right)^2 - xy (\operatorname{tr}_n G(x) G(y))^2; \\ \partial_x \varphi_1(x, y) &= 2 \left(1 - \operatorname{tr}_n G(y) + x \operatorname{tr}_n G(x) G(y)\right) \left(\operatorname{tr}_n G(x) G(y) - x \operatorname{tr}_n G^2(x) G(y)\right) - \\ &\quad - y (\operatorname{tr}_n G(z, x) G(z, y))^2 + 2xy \operatorname{tr}_n G(x) G(y) \operatorname{tr}_n G^2(x) G(y); \\ \partial_y \varphi_1(x, y) &= 2 \left(1 - \operatorname{tr}_n G(y) + x \operatorname{tr}_n G(x) G(y)\right) \left(\operatorname{tr}_n G^2(y) - x \operatorname{tr}_n G(x) G^2(y)\right) - \\ &\quad - x (\operatorname{tr}_n G(x) G(y))^2 + 2xy \operatorname{tr}_n G(x) G(y) \operatorname{tr}_n G(x) G^2(y). \end{aligned}$$

Now it is easy to obtain more precise bounds on φ_1 and its first derivatives at $(x_{0, n}^2, x_{0, n}^2)$ and $(x_{\varepsilon, n}^2, x_{\varepsilon, n}^2)$ using the identities above. □

Remark 6.1. Lemma 6.3 implies that for the function $\tilde{\varphi}(u, t, s) = \varphi(u^2, s^2 - t^2, z, z)$ we have $\tilde{\varphi}(x_{0,n}, ix_{0,n}, 0) = O(n^{-1})$, the first order derivatives of $\tilde{\varphi}$ at $(x_{0,n}, ix_{0,n}, 0)$ are $O(x_{0,n}^3)$, the second order derivatives of $\tilde{\varphi}$ at $(x_{0,n}, ix_{0,n}, 0)$ are $O(x_{0,n}^2)$, the third order derivatives of $\tilde{\varphi}$ at $(x_{0,n}, ix_{0,n}, 0)$ are $O(x_{0,n})$ and the higher order derivatives are bounded. Also, $\tilde{\varphi}(x_{\varepsilon,n}, ix_{\varepsilon,n}, 0) = O\left(\frac{\varepsilon^2}{x_{\varepsilon,n}^2} + \varepsilon x_{\varepsilon,n}\right)$, the first order derivatives of $\tilde{\varphi}$ at $(x_{\varepsilon,n}, ix_{\varepsilon,n}, 0)$ are $O(\varepsilon + x_{\varepsilon,n}^3)$, the second order derivatives of $\tilde{\varphi}$ at $(x_{\varepsilon,n}, ix_{\varepsilon,n}, 0)$ are $O\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{x_{\varepsilon,n}} + x_{\varepsilon,n}^2\right)$, the third order derivatives of $\tilde{\varphi}$ at $(x_{\varepsilon,n}, ix_{\varepsilon,n}, 0)$ are $O(x_{\varepsilon,n})$ and the higher order derivatives are bounded.

6.2.1 Bounds on $T(\varepsilon, n, z)$ for $\varepsilon < a/n$

We start with the following result:

Proposition 6.4. Fix an arbitrary $a > 0$, $d > 0$, and set $E_{d,in} := \{z \in E \mid z \in D, \text{dist}(z, \partial D) \geq d\}$. Then there exist $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $C > 0$ such that

$$\varepsilon^{1/2} |T(\varepsilon, n, z)| \leq C$$

for $n \geq n_0$, $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{a}{n}$ and $z \in E_{d,in}$.

Proof. Since $E_{d,in}$ is a compact subset of $\text{Int } D$, we can use the results of Subsection 4.1 for $E_{in} = E_{d,in}$.

Set $\hat{\varepsilon} := n\varepsilon$, then $0 < \hat{\varepsilon} < a$. Make a change of variables $(u_1, u_2) \rightarrow (u, \theta)$ where $u_1 = u \cos \theta$, $u_2 = u \sin \theta$, $u \in [0, \infty)$, $\theta \in [0, 2\pi]$. The Jacobian of this change $J = u$. Also we can make a change $r = R + it \in \mathcal{R}(t)$, where $\mathcal{R}(t) = \{-it + \tau, \tau > 0\}$ and integrate with respect to θ . Then we change the t -contour and r -contour to L_t and $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(t)$ respectively, which are defined further in (6.5), so that $\Re(r - it) \geq 0$ for $r \in \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(t)$, and thus $\left| \frac{r - it}{\sqrt{v^2 + 4(r - it)}} \right| \leq \frac{|\sqrt{r - it}|}{2}$. After integrating with respect to v we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^{1/2} |T(\varepsilon, n, z)| &\leq Cn^{5/2} \int_{\mathcal{V}} \Phi_n(\mathbf{x}) e^{n\Re F_n(\mathbf{x})} d\mathbf{x}, \\ \text{where } \mathbf{x} &= (u, s, t, r), \quad \mathcal{V} = [0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R} \times L_t \times \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(t), \\ F_{n,1}(u) &= \mathcal{L}_n(u^2) - u^2, \quad F_{n,2}(t, s, r) = -\mathcal{L}_n(s^2 - t^2) - t^2 - r^2, \\ F_n(\mathbf{x}) &= F_{n,1}(u) + F_{n,2}(t, s, r), \quad \tilde{\varphi}(u, t, s) = \varphi(u^2, s^2 - t^2, z, z), \\ \Phi_n(u, t, s, r) &= \sqrt{|r - it|} \cdot |\tilde{\varphi}(u, t, s)| \cdot (u^2 I_1(2au) + \frac{a}{n} u I_0(2au)). \end{aligned} \tag{6.4}$$

We can study $F_{n,1}$ and $F_{n,2}$ as in Subsection 4.2. Notice that $F'_{n,1}(u) = 2u(\text{tr}_n G(u^2) - 1)$ has exactly one positive root $x_{0,n}$, thus $u = x_{0,n}$ is the maximum point of $F_{n,1}(u)$.

For $F_{n,2}(t, s, r)$ consider $h_n(t) = F_{n,2}(t, 0, 0) = -\mathcal{L}_n(-t^2) - t^2$, then we can move the integration with respect to t to a contour $L_t = L_- \cup L_0 \cup L_+ \subset \{z: \Im z \geq |\Re z|\}$ symmetric with respect to the imaginary axis, satisfying the same properties as in Subsection 4.2 but for $\varepsilon = 0$.

We also change r -contour as follows:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(t) = \mathcal{R}_1(t) \cup \mathcal{R}_2(t), \quad \text{where } \mathcal{R}_1(t) = [it, 0], \quad \mathcal{R}_2(t) = \{\rho: \rho \geq 0\}. \tag{6.5}$$

For (u, t, s, r) lying in a neighbourhood of $(x_{0,n}, ix_{\varepsilon,n}, 0, 0)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{n,1}(u) &= \mathcal{L}_n(x_{0,n}^2) - x_{0,n}^2 - K_1(u - x_{0,n})^2 + O(|u - x_{0,n}|^3), \\ F_{n,2}(t, s, r) &= -\mathcal{L}_n(x_{0,n}^2) + x_{0,n}^2 - K_1(t - ix_{0,n})^2 - s^2 - r^2 + O(|t - x_{0,n}|^3 + |s|^3), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$K_1 = 2x_{0,n}^2 \cdot \text{tr}_n G^2(x_{0,n}^2). \tag{6.6}$$

Since $K_1 \geq c$ uniformly, the following inequalities hold:

$$\begin{aligned} nF_{n,1}(u) &\leq nF_{n,1}(x_{0,n}) - c \log^2 n \quad \text{when } |u - x_{0,n}| > n^{-1/2} \log n, \\ n\Re F_{n,2}(t, s, r) &\leq nF_{n,2}(ix_{0,n}, 0, 0) - c \log^2 n \quad \text{when } \max\{|s|, |t - ix_{0,n}|\} > n^{-1/2} \log n \\ &\quad \text{or } r \in \mathcal{R}_2(t), |r| > n^{-1/2} \log n \end{aligned} \quad (6.7)$$

The estimations (6.7) allow us to restrict the integration to the neighbourhood of $(x_{0,n}, ix_{0,n}, 0, 0)$. Make the change of variables: $u = x_{0,n} + \tilde{u}n^{-1/2}$, $s = \tilde{s}n^{-1/2}$, $t = ix_{0,n} + \tilde{t}n^{-1/2}$, $r = \tilde{r}n^{-1/2}$. Expanding $\tilde{\varphi}$ up to the first order and using Remark 6.1 one can obtain

$$|\tilde{\varphi}(x_{0,n} + \tilde{u}n^{-1/2}, ix_{0,n} + \tilde{t}n^{-1/2}, \tilde{s}n^{-1/2})| \leq C(|\tilde{u}|n^{-1/2} + |\tilde{t}|n^{-1/2}) + O(n^{-1} \log^2 n),$$

and the other multipliers of Φ_n are bounded in the neighbourhood. We also estimate

$$\left| \int_{\mathcal{R}_{1+}(t)} e^{-nr^2} dr \right| \leq \frac{Cn^{-1/2}}{\sqrt{\Re\left(\frac{-t^2}{|t|^2}\right)}} + Cn^{-1/2} \leq Cn^{-1/2}.$$

According to the outline of the proof, it is left to check that $\frac{n^{5/2}}{n^{3 \cdot 1/2}} \cdot Cn^{-1/2} \cdot Cn^{-1/2}$ is bounded, which is true. \square

A similar result holds for $z \in E$ lying outside of D far enough from ∂D . More precisely,

Proposition 6.5. *Fix an arbitrary $a > 0$, $d > 0$, and set $E_{d,out} := \{z \in E \mid z \notin D, \text{dist}(z, \partial D) \geq d\}$. Then there exist $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $C > 0$ such that*

$$\varepsilon^{1/2} |T(\varepsilon, n, z)| \leq C$$

for $n \geq n_0$, $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{a}{n}$ and $z \in E_{d,out}$.

Proof. Since $E_{d,out}$ is a compact subset of $\mathbb{C} \setminus \overline{D}$, we can use the results of Subsection 4.1 for $E_{out} = E_{d,out}$.

Similarly to the proof of Proposition 6.4 we obtain (6.4). Notice that $u = 0$ is the maximum point of $F_{n,1}(u)$, $u \in [0, +\infty)$ since $F'_{n,1}(u) = 2u(\text{tr}_n G(u^2) - 1) < 0$ for $u > 0$. For $F_{n,2}$, change the t -contour and r -contour as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{L}_t &= \mathbf{L}_1 \cup \mathbf{L}_2, \quad \text{where } \mathbf{L}_{1,2} = \{((\pm 1 + i)\tau, \tau \geq 0)\}; \\ \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(t) &= \mathcal{R}_1(t) \cup \mathcal{R}_2(t), \quad \text{where } \mathcal{R}_1(t) = [it, 0], \mathcal{R}_2(t) = \{r : r \geq 0\}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.8)$$

One can check that for small u, s, t, r we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{n,1}(u) &= \mathcal{L}_n(0) - K_2 u^2 + O(u^4); \\ F_{n,2}(t, s, r) &= -\mathcal{L}_n(0) - K_3 s^2 - iK_2 |t|^2 - K_4 |t|^4 - r^2 + O(|s|^3 + |t|^6); \end{aligned}$$

where

$$K_2 = 1 - \text{tr}_n G(0), \quad K_3 = \text{tr}_n G(0), \quad K_4 = \frac{1}{2} \text{tr}_n G^2(0). \quad (6.9)$$

Obviously, $K_2, K_3, K_4 \geq c > 0$ uniformly in n , hence the following inequalities hold:

$$\begin{aligned} nF_{n,1}(u) &\leq nF_{n,1}(0) - c \log^2 n, \quad \text{when } |u| > n^{-1/2} \log n; \\ n\Re F_{n,2}(t, s, r) &\leq nF_{n,2}(0, 0, 0) - c \log^2 n, \quad \text{when } |s| > n^{-1/2} \log n \text{ or } |t| > n^{-1/4} \log^{1/2} n \\ &\quad \text{or } r \in \mathcal{R}_2(t), |r| > n^{-1/2} \log n. \end{aligned}$$

Then we can restrict the integration to the neighbourhood of $(0, 0, 0, 0)$. Make a change of variables $u = n^{-1/2} \tilde{u}$, $s = n^{-1/2} \tilde{s}$, $t = n^{-1/4} (\pm 1 + i) \tilde{t}_\pm$. Observe that

$$u^2 I_1(2au) + \frac{a}{n} u I_0(2au) = O(n^{-3/2} \log^3 n) = O(n^{-1}),$$

and the other multipliers of Φ_n are bounded. Finally, we estimate

$$\int_{\mathcal{R}_{1+}(t)} e^{-nr^2} dr \leq C(|t| + n^{-1/2}) \leq Cn^{-1/4}(1 + |\tilde{t}_\pm|)$$

for t in the neighbourhood. According to the outline of the proof, we are left to check that $\frac{n^{5/2}}{n^{1/2+1/2+1/4}} \cdot Cn^{-1} \cdot Cn^{-1/4}$ is bounded, which is true. \square

Next, we obtain a bound on $T(\varepsilon, n, z)$ when z is close to the boundary ∂D of D .

Proposition 6.6. *Fix an arbitrary $a > 0$. Then there exist $d > 0$, $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ and $C > 0$ such that*

$$\varepsilon^{3/4} |T(\varepsilon, n, z)| \leq C$$

for $n \geq n_0$, $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{a}{n}$ and $z \in E_{d,\partial}$, where $E_{d,\partial} = \{z \in E \mid \text{dist}(z, \partial D) \leq d\}$.

Proof. Similarly to Proposition 6.4 we have

$$\varepsilon^{3/4} |T(\varepsilon, n, z)| \leq Cn^{9/4} \int_{\mathcal{V}} \Phi_n(\mathbf{x}) e^{n\Re F_n(\mathbf{x})} d\mathbf{x},$$

with the same notations as in (6.4). We split the proof into four cases:

Case 1. $1 - \text{tr}_n G(0) \geq n^{-1/2}$. In this case change t -contour and r -contour as in (6.8), then for small u, t, s, r we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{n,1}(u) &= \mathcal{L}_n(0) - K_2 u^2 + O(u^4); \\ F_{n,2}(t, s, r) &= -\mathcal{L}_n(0) - K_3 s^2 - iK_2 |t|^2 - K_4 |t|^4 - r^2 + O(|s|^3 + |t|^6); \end{aligned}$$

where $K_{2,3,4}$ are defined in (6.9). In this case we have $K_2 \geq n^{-1/2}$, $K_3, K_4 \geq c > 0$. Thus the following inequalities hold:

$$\begin{aligned} nF_{n,1}(u) &\leq nF_{n,1}(0) - c \log^2(K_2 n), \quad \text{when } |u| > (K_2 n)^{-1/2} \log(K_2 n); \\ n\Re F_{n,2}(t, s, r) &\leq nF_{n,2}(0, 0, 0) - c \log^2 n, \quad \text{when } |s| > n^{-1/2} \log n \text{ or } |t| > n^{-1/4} \log^{1/2} n \\ &\quad \text{or } r \in \mathcal{R}_2(t), |r| > n^{-1/2} \log n. \end{aligned}$$

Since $K_2 n \geq n^{1/2}$, the above bounds allow to restrict the integration to the neighbourhood of the saddle point $(0, 0, 0)$. Make a change $u = (K_2 n)^{-1/2} \tilde{u}$, $t = n^{-1/4} (\pm 1 + i) \tilde{t}_\pm$, $s = n^{-1/2} \tilde{s}$ and observe that $\tilde{\varphi}$ is even with respect to $\tilde{u}, \tilde{t}, \tilde{s}$. Then we can estimate the multipliers in $\Phi_n(\mathbf{x})$ as follows: $\sqrt{|r - it|} = O(1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{\varphi}(u, t, s)| &\leq |\tilde{\varphi}(0, 0, 0)| + C \left(\frac{|\tilde{t}_\pm|^2}{n^{1/2}} + \frac{|\tilde{s}|^2}{n} + \frac{|\tilde{u}|^2}{K_2 n} \right) \leq K_2^2 + n^{-1/2} \mathcal{P}(\tilde{u}, \tilde{t}_\pm, \tilde{s}) \leq n^{1/4} K_2^{3/2} \mathcal{P}(\tilde{u}, \tilde{t}_\pm, \tilde{s}), \\ |u^2 I_1(2au) + \frac{a}{n} u I_0(2au)| &\leq C((K_2 n)^{-1} |\tilde{u}|^2 + n^{-1}) \leq C(K_2 n)^{-1} (1 + |\tilde{u}|^2), \end{aligned}$$

since $K_2 \geq n^{-1/2}$. We obtain $\Phi_n(\mathbf{x}) \leq CK_2^{1/2} n^{-3/4} \mathcal{P}(\tilde{u}, \tilde{t}_\pm, \tilde{s})$. We also estimate

$$\left| \int_{\mathcal{R}_{1+}(t)} e^{-nr^2} dr \right| \leq |t| + Cn^{-1/2} \leq Cn^{-1/4} (1 + |\tilde{t}_\pm|)$$

for t in the neighbourhood. We are left to check that $\frac{n^{9/4}}{n^{1/4+1/2} (K_2 n)^{1/2}} \cdot CK_2^{1/2} n^{-3/4} \cdot Cn^{-1/4}$ is bounded, which is true.

Case 2. $0 \leq 1 - \text{tr}_n G(0) \leq n^{-1/2}$. In this case change t -contour and r -contour as in (6.8), then for small u, t, s, r we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{n,1}(u) &= \mathcal{L}_n(0) - K_2 u^2 - K_4 u^4 + O(u^6) \leq \mathcal{L}_n(0) - K_4 u^4 + O(u^6); \\ F_{n,2}(t, s, r) &= -\mathcal{L}_n(0) - K_3 s^2 - iK_2 |t|^2 - K_4 |t|^4 - r^2 + O(|s|^3 + |t|^6); \end{aligned}$$

Since $K_{3,4} \geq C > 0$, the following inequalities hold:

$$\begin{aligned} nF_{n,1}(u) &\leq nF_{n,1}(0) - c \log^2 n, \quad \text{when } u > n^{-1/4} \log^{1/2} n; \\ n\Re F_{n,2}(t, s, r) &\leq nF_{n,2}(0, 0, 0) - c \log^2 n, \quad \text{when } |s| > n^{-1/2} \log n \text{ or } |t| > n^{-1/4} \log^{1/2} n \\ &\quad \text{or } r \in \mathcal{R}_2(t), |r| > n^{-1/2} \log n. \end{aligned}$$

We can restrict the integration to the neighbourhood of $(0, 0, 0, 0)$, make a change $u = n^{-1/4} \tilde{u}$, $t = n^{-1/4} (\pm 1 + i) \tilde{t}_\pm$, $s = n^{-1/2} \tilde{s}$ and estimate the multipliers in $\Phi_n(\mathbf{x})$ as follows: $\sqrt{|r - it|} = O(1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{\varphi}(u, t, s)| &\leq K_2^2 + n^{-1/2} \mathcal{P}(\tilde{u}, \tilde{t}_\pm, \tilde{s}) \leq n^{-1/2} \mathcal{P}(\tilde{u}, \tilde{t}_\pm, \tilde{s}), \\ |u^2 I_1(2au) + \frac{a}{n} u I_0(2au)| &\leq C(n^{-1/2} |\tilde{u}|^2 + n^{-1}) \leq Cn^{-1/2} |\tilde{u}|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\Phi_n(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) \leq Cn^{-1} \mathcal{P}(\tilde{u}, \tilde{t}_\pm, \tilde{s})$. As in Case 1, $\left| \int_{\mathcal{R}_{1+(t)}} e^{-nr^2} dr \right| \leq Cn^{-1/4} (1 + |\tilde{t}_\pm|)$ for t in the neighbourhood. We are left to check that $\frac{n^{9/4}}{n^{1/4+1/4+1/2}} \cdot Cn^{-1} \cdot Cn^{-1/4}$ is bounded, which is true.

Case 3. $\text{tr}_n G(0) \geq 1$, $x_{0,n} \geq n^{-1/4}$. Here we use the fact that for $\text{tr}_n G(0) \geq 1$ the equation (4.3) has exactly one positive root $x_{0,n}$. Set $h_n(t) = -\mathcal{L}_n(-t^2) - t^2$. Similarly to Subsection 4.3 we can move the integration with respect to t to a contour $L_t = L_- \cup L_0 \cup L_+ \subset \{z: \Im z \geq |\Re z|\}$ symmetric with respect to the imaginary axis, such that

$$L_0 = [ix_{0,n} + \delta(-1 + i(1 - x_{0,n})); ix_{\varepsilon,n}] \cup [ix_{0,n}; ix_{0,n} + \delta(1 + i(1 - x_{0,n}))],$$

$\Re h_n(t)$ decreases on $[ix_{0,n}, ix_{0,n} + \delta(1 + i(1 - x_{0,n}))]$ and $\Re h_n(t) \leq h_n(ix_{0,n}) - \sigma$ for $t \in L_\pm$. Moreover, we can choose $\delta, \sigma > 0$ independent of ε, n, z .

We also change r -contour as follows:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(t) = \mathcal{R}_1(t) \cup \mathcal{R}_2(t), \quad \text{where } \mathcal{R}_1(t) = [it, 0], \quad \mathcal{R}_2(t) = \{r: r \geq 0\}.$$

For (u, t, s, r) lying in a neighbourhood of $(x_{0,n}, ix_{0,n}, 0, 0)$ and $t = ix_{0,n} + (\pm 1 + i(1 - x_{0,n}))\tau$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{n,1}(u) &= \mathcal{L}_n(x_{0,n}^2) - x_{0,n}^2 - K_1(u - x_{0,n})^2 + O(u^3); \\ F_{n,2}(t, s, r) &= -\mathcal{L}_n(x_{0,n}^2) + x_{0,n}^2 - K_1(t - ix_{0,n})^2 - s^2 - r^2 + O(|s|^3 + |t - ix_{0,n}|^3) = \\ &= -\mathcal{L}_n(x_{0,n}^2) + x_{0,n}^2 - K_1(2x_{0,n} - x_{0,n}^2 + i(\dots))\tau^2 - s^2 - r^2 + O(|s|^3 + \tau^3) \end{aligned}$$

where K_1 is defined in (6.6). Also, since $K_1 \geq cx_{0,n}^2$ and $\Re((2x_{0,n} - x_{0,n}^2 + i(\dots))) \geq cx_{0,n}$ for small enough d , the following inequalities hold:

$$\begin{aligned} nF_{n,1}(u) &\leq nF_{n,1}(x_{0,n}) - c \log^2(nx_{0,n}^2), \quad \text{when } |u - x_{0,n}| > (nx_{0,n}^2)^{-1/2} \log(nx_{0,n}^2); \\ n\Re F_{n,2}(t, s, r) &\leq nF_{n,2}(ix_{0,n}, 0, 0) - c \log^2(nx_{0,n}^3), \quad \text{when } |t - ix_{0,n}| > (nx_{0,n}^3)^{-1/2} \log(nx_{0,n}^3); \\ n\Re F_{n,2}(t, s, r) &\leq nF_{n,2}(ix_{0,n}, 0, 0) - c \log^2 n, \quad \text{when } |s| > n^{-1/2} \log n \text{ or } r \in \mathcal{R}_2(t), |r| > n^{-1/2} \log n. \end{aligned}$$

The inequalities $nx_{0,n}^2 \geq n^{1/2}$, $nx_{0,n}^3 \geq n^{1/4}$ imply that we can restrict the integration to the neighbourhood of the saddle point $u = x_{0,n}$, $t = ix_{0,n}$, $s = 0$, $r = 0$ and make a change $u = x_{0,n} + (nx_{0,n}^2)^{-1/2} \tilde{u}$, $t = ix_{0,n} + (nx_{0,n}^3)^{-1/2} (\pm 1 + i(1 - x_{0,n})) \tilde{t}_\pm$, $s = n^{-1/2} \tilde{s}$. Next we estimate the multipliers in $\Phi_n(\mathbf{x})$ in the

neighbourhood. Obviously, $\sqrt{|r-it|} \leq C$. Expanding $\tilde{\varphi}$ up to the second order and using Remark 6.1 one can obtain

$$|\tilde{\varphi}(u, t, s)| \leq Cx_{0,n}^3 \left(\frac{|\tilde{u}|}{(nx_{0,n}^2)^{1/2}} + \frac{|\tilde{t}_{\pm}|}{(nx_{0,n}^3)^{1/2}} \right) + C \left(\frac{|\tilde{u}|^2}{nx_{0,n}^2} + \frac{|\tilde{t}_{\pm}|^2}{nx_{0,n}^3} + \frac{|\tilde{s}|^2}{n} \right) \leq x_{0,n}^{-1/2} n^{-3/8} \mathcal{P}(\tilde{u}, \tilde{t}_{\pm}, \tilde{s}).$$

Since $I_1(2au) \leq Cu$, $I_0(2au) \leq C$ for u in a neighbourhood, we have

$$u^2 I_1(2au) + \frac{a}{n} u I_0(2au) \leq Cx_{0,n}^3 (1 + |\tilde{u}|^3).$$

This shows that $\Phi_n(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) \leq x_{0,n}^{5/2} n^{-3/8} \mathcal{P}(\tilde{u}, \tilde{t}_{\pm}, \tilde{s})$. Next we estimate integral with respect to r :

$$\left| \int_{\mathcal{R}_{1+(t)}} e^{-nr^2} dr \right| \leq \frac{Cn^{-1/2}}{\sqrt{\Re\left(\frac{-t^2}{|t|^2}\right)}} + Cn^{-1/2} \leq Cn^{-1/2} \left(1 + \frac{(nx_{0,n}^3)^{-1/2} \tilde{t}_{\pm}}{x_{0,n}} \right) \leq Cn^{-3/8} (1 + |\tilde{t}_{\pm}|)$$

since $x_{0,n} \geq n^{-1/4}$. We are left to check that $\frac{n^{9/4}}{n^{1/2}(nx_{0,n})^{1/2}(nx_{0,n}^3)^{1/2}} \cdot x_{0,n}^{5/2} n^{-3/8} \cdot Cn^{-3/8}$ is bounded, which is true.

Case 4. $\text{tr}_n G(0) \geq 1$, $x_{0,n} \leq n^{-1/4}$. We change t -contour and r -contour as in case 3. Denote $a_k := \text{tr}_n G^k(x_{0,n}^2)$. Observe that $a_k \geq c > 0$ for each k uniformly in n and

$$F_{n,1}(u) = \mathcal{L}_n(u^2) - u^2 = \mathcal{L}_n(x_{0,n}^2) - x_{0,n}^2 - 2a_2 x_{0,n}^2 (u - x_{0,n})^2 - (2a_2 x_{0,n} - \frac{8}{3} a_3 x_{0,n}^3) (u - x_{0,n})^3 - (\frac{1}{2} a_2 + O(x_{0,n})) (u - x_{0,n})^4 + O((u - x_{0,n})^5).$$

One can easily check that for small enough $x_{0,n}$ and $u \geq 0$ we have

$$F_{n,1}(u) \leq \mathcal{L}_n(x_{0,n}^2) - x_{0,n}^2 - (\frac{1}{2} a_2 + O(x_{0,n})) (u - x_{0,n})^4 + O((u - x_{0,n})^5).$$

For $t = ix_{0,n} + (\pm 1 + i(1 - x_{0,n}))\tau$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Re F_{n,2}(t, s, r) &= \mathcal{L}_n(x_{0,n}^2) - x_{0,n}^2 - 2a_2 x_{0,n}^2 (2x_{0,n} + O(x_{0,n}^2))\tau^2 - (4a_2 x_{0,n} + O(x_{0,n}^2))\tau^3 - \\ &\quad - (2a_2 + O(x_{0,n}))\tau^4 - \tilde{s}^2 - \tilde{r}^2 + O(\tau^5 + |\tilde{s}|^3) \leq \\ &\leq \mathcal{L}_n(x_{0,n}^2) - x_{0,n}^2 - (2a_2 + O(x_{0,n}))\tau^4 - \tilde{s}^2 - \tilde{r}^2 + O(\tau^5 + |\tilde{s}|^3). \end{aligned}$$

Since $a_2 \geq c > 0$, then the following inequalities hold:

$$\begin{aligned} nF_{n,1}(u) &\leq nF_{n,1}(x_{0,n}) - c \log^2 n, \quad \text{when } |u - ix_{0,n}| > n^{-1/4} \log^{1/2} n; \\ n\Re F_{n,2}(t, s, r) &\leq nF_{n,2}(ix_{0,n}, 0, 0) - c \log^2 n, \quad \text{when } |s| > n^{-1/2} \log n \text{ or } |t - ix_{0,n}| > n^{-1/4} \log^{1/2} n \\ &\quad \text{or } r \in \mathcal{R}_2(t), |r| > n^{-1/2} \log n. \end{aligned}$$

We can restrict the integration to the neighbourhood of the saddle point $u = x_{0,n}$, $t = ix_{0,n}$, $s = 0$, $r = 0$, make a change $u = x_{0,n} + n^{-1/4} \tilde{u}$, $t = ix_{0,n} + n^{-1/4} (\pm 1 + i(1 - x_{0,n})) \tilde{t}_{\pm}$, $s = n^{-1/2} \tilde{s}$ and estimate the multipliers in $\Phi_n(\mathbf{x})$ as follows: $\sqrt{|r-it|} \leq C$;

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{\varphi}(u, s, t)| &\leq C(n^{-1/4} |\tilde{u}| + n^{-1/4} |\tilde{t}_{\pm}| + n^{-1} \tilde{s}^2) \leq Cn^{-1/4} \mathcal{P}(\tilde{u}, \tilde{t}_{\pm}, \tilde{s}); \\ u^2 I_1(2au) + \frac{a}{n} u I_0(2au) &\leq C(x_{0,n} + n^{-1/4} |\tilde{u}|)^3 + \frac{C}{n} (x_{0,n} + n^{-1/4} |\tilde{u}|) \leq Cn^{-3/4} \mathcal{P}(\tilde{u}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\Phi_n(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) \leq Cn^{-1} \mathcal{P}(\tilde{u}, \tilde{t}_{\pm}, \tilde{s})$. Next we estimate

$$\left| \int_{\mathcal{R}_{1+(t)}} e^{-nr^2} dr \right| \leq |t| + Cn^{-1/2} \leq Cn^{-1/4} (1 + |\tilde{t}_{\pm}|)$$

since $x_{0,n} \leq n^{-1/4}$. We are left to check that $\frac{n^{9/4}}{n^{1/4+1/4+1/2}} \cdot Cn^{-1} \cdot Cn^{-1/4}$ is bounded, which is true. \square

6.2.2 Bounds on $T(\varepsilon, n, z)$ for $\varepsilon > a/n$

Let $\varepsilon > a/n$. We start with the case when $z \in D$ far enough from ∂D .

Proposition 6.7. *Fix an arbitrary $d > 0$, and set $E_{d,in} := \{z \in E \mid z \in D, \text{dist}(z, \partial D) \geq d\}$. Then there exist $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, $a > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that*

$$\varepsilon^{1/2} |T(\varepsilon, n, z)| \leq C$$

for $n \geq n_0$, $\frac{a}{n} < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ and $z \in E_{d,in}$.

Proof. Since $E_{d,in}$ is a compact subset of $\text{Int } D$, we can use the results of Subsection 4.1 for $E_{in} = E_{d,in}$.

Make the same change of variables as in Subsection 4.2: $r = R + it + \varepsilon \in \mathcal{R}(t)$, where $\mathcal{R}(t) = \{-it - \varepsilon + \tau, \tau > 0\}$, $u = \sqrt{u_1^2 + u_2^2} \in [0, +\infty)$, $w = \sqrt{u_1 + u} \in [0, \sqrt{2u}]$. Change t -contour as in Subsection 4.3 and choose the following r -contour: $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(t) = \mathcal{R}_1(t) \cup \mathcal{R}_2(t)$, where $\mathcal{R}_1(t) = [it + \varepsilon, 0]$, $\mathcal{R}_2(t) = \{r : r \geq 0\}$. We can make the following estimations, using the fact that $\Re(r - it - \varepsilon) \geq 0$:

$$\left| \frac{r - it - \varepsilon}{\sqrt{v^2 + 4(r - it - \varepsilon)}} \right| \leq \sqrt{|r - it - \varepsilon|}; \quad \frac{u}{\sqrt{2u - w^2}} \cdot |u - w^2 - \varepsilon| \leq u(u + \varepsilon) \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{2u - w^2}}.$$

Now we can integrate with respect to v, w . One can show that

$$\int e^{-n\varepsilon v^2} dv = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{n\varepsilon}}, \quad \int_0^{\sqrt{2u}} \frac{e^{-2n\varepsilon w^2}}{\sqrt{2u - w^2}} dw = \frac{\pi}{2} e^{-2n\varepsilon u} I_0(2n\varepsilon u),$$

where $I_0(x)$ is a modified Bessel function. Asymptotic formulas for $I_0(x)$ imply that $e^{-x} I_0(x) \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{x}}$ for all $x \geq 0$ and some $C > 0$. We can apply the inequality $e^{-2n\varepsilon u} I_0(2n\varepsilon u) \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{2n\varepsilon u}}$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^{1/2} |T(\varepsilon, n, z)| &\leq Cn^2 \varepsilon^{-1/2} \int_{\mathcal{V}} \Phi_n(\mathbf{x}) \exp\{n F_n(\mathbf{x})\} d\mathbf{x}, \\ \text{where } \mathbf{x} &= (u, t, s, r), \quad \mathcal{V} = [0, +\infty) \times L_t \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{R}(t), \quad F_n(\mathbf{x}) = F_{n,1}(u) + F_{n,2}(t, s, r), \\ F_{n,1}(u) &= \mathcal{L}_n(u^2) - (u - \varepsilon)^2, \quad F_{n,2}(t, s, r) = -\mathcal{L}_n(s^2 - t^2) - (t - i\varepsilon)^2 - r^2, \\ \Phi_n(\mathbf{x}) &= \sqrt{|r - it - \varepsilon|} \cdot \sqrt{u}(u + \varepsilon) \cdot |\tilde{\varphi}(u, t, s)|, \quad \tilde{\varphi}(u, t, s) = \varphi(u^2, s^2 - t^2, z, z) \end{aligned} \quad (6.10)$$

Expand $F_{n,1}$ and $F_{n,2}$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} F_{n,1}(u) &= \mathcal{L}_n(x_{\varepsilon,n}^2) - (x_{\varepsilon,n} - \varepsilon)^2 - \kappa_1(u - x_{\varepsilon,n})^2 + O(|u - x_{\varepsilon,n}|^3), \\ F_{n,2}(t, s, r) &= -\mathcal{L}_n(x_{\varepsilon,n}^2) + (x_{\varepsilon,n} - \varepsilon)^2 - \kappa_1(t - ix_{\varepsilon,n})^2 - \kappa_3 s^2 - r^2 + O(|t - ix_{\varepsilon,n}|^3 + |s|^3), \end{aligned} \quad (6.11)$$

where $\kappa_{1,3}$ are defined in (4.7), (4.18). Proposition 4.2 shows that $\kappa_1, \kappa_3 \geq c > 0$, then we can make the following estimations:

$$\begin{aligned} nF_{n,1}(u) &\leq nF_{n,1}(x_{\varepsilon,n}) - c \log^2 n \quad \text{when } |u - x_{\varepsilon,n}| > n^{-1/2} \log n; \\ n\Re F_{n,2}(t, s, r) &\leq nF_{n,2}(ix_{\varepsilon,n}, 0, 0) - c \log^2 n \quad \text{when } \max\{|s|, |t - ix_{\varepsilon,n}|\} > n^{-1/2} \log n \\ &\quad \text{or } r \in \mathcal{R}_2(t), |r| > n^{-1/2} \log n \end{aligned} \quad (6.12)$$

Hence, we can restrict the integration to the neighbourhood of the saddle point $u = x_{\varepsilon,n}, t = ix_{\varepsilon,n}, s = 0, r = 0$. Make a change of variables $u = x_{\varepsilon,n} + \tilde{u}n^{-1/2}, t = ix_{\varepsilon,n} + \tilde{t}n^{-1/2}, s = \tilde{s}n^{-1/2}$. Remark 6.1 implies that $\tilde{\varphi}(x_{\varepsilon,n}, ix_{\varepsilon,n}, 0) = O(\varepsilon + n^{-1})$ since $c \leq x_{\varepsilon,n} \leq C$. Hence,

$$|\tilde{\varphi}(u, t, s)| \leq C(\varepsilon + n^{-1/2}(|\tilde{u}| + |\tilde{t}|)) + O(n^{-1} \log^2 n).$$

The other multipliers in Φ_n are bounded. We also estimate

$$\left| \int_{\mathcal{R}_{1+}(t)} e^{-nr^2} dr \right| \leq \frac{Cn^{-1/2}}{\sqrt{\Re(\frac{-t^2}{|t|^2})}} + Cn^{-1/2} \leq Cn^{-1/2}.$$

According to the outline of the proof, we are left to check that $\frac{n^2\varepsilon^{-1/2}}{n^{3\cdot 1/2}} \cdot C(\varepsilon + n^{-1/2}) \cdot Cn^{-1/2}$ is bounded, which is true since $\varepsilon > \frac{a}{n}$. □

Next we estimate $T(\varepsilon, n, z)$ when z is close to the boundary ∂D of D .

Proposition 6.8. *There exist $d > 0$, $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, $a > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that*

$$\varepsilon^{5/6} |T(\varepsilon, n, z)| \leq C$$

for $n \geq n_0$, $\frac{a}{n} < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ and $z \in E_{d,\partial}$, where $E_{d,\partial} = \{z \in E \mid \text{dist}(z, \partial D) \leq d\}$.

Proof. We split the proof into two cases:

Case 1. $\text{tr}_n G(0) \geq 1$. Let $x_{\varepsilon,n}$ be the positive root of (4.1). According to Subsection 4.1, we have $c\varepsilon^{1/3} \leq x_{\varepsilon,n} \leq C$ for some $c, C > 0$. We can change t -contour as in Subsection 4.3 and choose the following r -contour: $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(t) = \mathcal{R}_1(t) \cup \mathcal{R}_2(t)$, where $\mathcal{R}_1(t) = [it + \varepsilon, 0]$, $\mathcal{R}_2(t) = \{r : r \geq 0\}$. Similarly to Proposition 6.7 we obtain

$$\varepsilon^{5/6} |T(\varepsilon, n, z)| \leq Cn^2\varepsilon^{-1/6} \int_{\mathcal{V}} \Phi_n(\mathbf{x}) \exp\{nF_n(\mathbf{x})\} d\mathbf{x}, \quad (6.13)$$

with the same notations as in (6.10). Consider the following subcases:

Subcase 1a. Suppose $\varepsilon^{1/5} \leq x_{\varepsilon,n} \leq C$. For (u, t, s, r) lying in a neighbourhood of $(x_{\varepsilon,n}, ix_{\varepsilon,n}, 0, 0)$ and $t = ix_{\varepsilon,n} + (\pm 1 + i(1 + \varepsilon - x_{\varepsilon,n}))\tau$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_{n,1}(u) &= \mathcal{L}_n(x_{\varepsilon,n}^2) - (x_{\varepsilon,n} - \varepsilon)^2 - \kappa_1(u - x_{\varepsilon,n})^2 + O((u - x_{\varepsilon,n})^3); \\ F_{n,2}(t, s, r) &= -\mathcal{L}_n(x_{\varepsilon,n}^2) + (x_{\varepsilon,n} - \varepsilon)^2 - \kappa_4\tau^2 - \kappa_5\tau^3 - \kappa_6\tau^4 - \kappa_3s^2 - r^2 + O(|s|^3 + |t - ix_{\varepsilon,n}|^5). \end{aligned}$$

where $\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \kappa_3, \kappa_4$ are defined in (4.7), (4.20), (4.18), (4.21) and κ_5, κ_6 satisfy

$$\Re\kappa_5 = 4x_{\varepsilon,n} \cdot \text{tr}_n G^2(x_{\varepsilon,n}^2) + O(x_{\varepsilon,n}^2), \quad \kappa_6 = 2 \cdot \text{tr}_n G^2(x_{\varepsilon,n}^2) + O(x_{\varepsilon,n}^2). \quad (6.14)$$

It is easy to see that $\kappa_1 \geq cx_{\varepsilon,n}^2$, $\kappa_3 \geq c$, $\Re\kappa_4 \geq cx_{\varepsilon,n}^3$. Thus the following inequalities hold:

$$\begin{aligned} nF_{n,1}(u) &\leq nF_{n,1}(x_{\varepsilon,n}) - c\log^2(nx_{\varepsilon,n}^2), \quad \text{when } |u - x_{\varepsilon,n}| > (nx_{\varepsilon,n}^2)^{-1/2} \log(nx_{\varepsilon,n}^2); \\ n\Re F_{n,2}(t, s, r) &\leq nF_{n,2}(ix_{\varepsilon,n}, 0, 0) - c\log^2(nx_{\varepsilon,n}^3), \quad \text{when } |t - ix_{\varepsilon,n}| > (nx_{\varepsilon,n}^3)^{-1/2} \log(nx_{\varepsilon,n}^3); \\ n\Re F_{n,2}(t, s, r) &\leq nF_{n,2}(ix_{\varepsilon,n}, 0, 0) - c\log^2 n, \quad \text{when } |s| > n^{-1/2} \log n \text{ or } r \in \mathcal{R}_2(t), |r| > n^{-1/2} \log n. \end{aligned}$$

Since $nx_{\varepsilon,n}^2 \geq n\varepsilon^{1/2} \geq cn^{1/2}$ and $nx_{\varepsilon,n}^3 \geq n\varepsilon^{3/4} \geq cn^{1/4}$, the above bounds allow to restrict the integration to the neighbourhood of $(x_{\varepsilon,n}, ix_{\varepsilon,n}, 0, 0)$. Make a change $u = x_{\varepsilon,n} + (nx_{\varepsilon,n}^2)^{-1/2}\tilde{u}$, $t = ix_{\varepsilon,n} + (nx_{\varepsilon,n}^3)^{-1/2}(\pm 1 + i(1 + \varepsilon - x_{\varepsilon,n}))\tilde{t}_{\pm}$, $s = n^{-1/2}\tilde{s}$. Expanding $\tilde{\varphi}$ up to the second order and using Remark 6.1 together with $x_{\varepsilon,n} \geq c\varepsilon^{1/3}$ one can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{\varphi}(u, t, s)| &\leq C \left(\varepsilon x_{\varepsilon,n} + \frac{x_{\varepsilon,n}^3}{(nx_{\varepsilon,n}^2)^{1/2}} |\tilde{u}| + \frac{x_{\varepsilon,n}^3}{(nx_{\varepsilon,n}^3)^{1/2}} |\tilde{t}_{\pm}| + \frac{x_{\varepsilon,n}^3}{n^{1/2}} |\tilde{s}| + \frac{1}{nx_{\varepsilon,n}^2} |\tilde{u}|^2 + \frac{1}{nx_{\varepsilon,n}^3} |\tilde{t}_{\pm}|^2 + \frac{1}{n} |\tilde{s}|^2 \right) \leq \\ &\leq \varepsilon^{1/6} x_{\varepsilon,n} \cdot \mathcal{P}(\tilde{u}, \tilde{t}_{\pm}, \tilde{s}). \end{aligned}$$

Also we have $u \leq Cx_{\varepsilon,n}(1 + |\tilde{u}|)$, thus $\sqrt{u}(u + \varepsilon) \leq Cx_{\varepsilon,n}^{3/2}(1 + |\tilde{u}|^2)$ and

$$\Phi_n(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) \leq \varepsilon^{1/6} x_{\varepsilon,n}^{5/2} \cdot \mathcal{P}(\tilde{u}, \tilde{t}_{\pm}, \tilde{s}).$$

Next, we estimate integral with respect to r :

$$\left| \int_{\mathcal{R}_{1+}(t)} e^{-nr^2} dr \right| \leq \frac{Cn^{-1/2}}{\sqrt{\Re(-\frac{t^2}{|t|^2})}} + Cn^{-1/2} \leq Cn^{-1/2} \left(1 + \frac{(nx_{\varepsilon,n}^3)^{-1/2}\tilde{t}_{\pm}}{x_{\varepsilon,n}} \right) \leq Cn^{-1/2} (1 + |\tilde{t}_{\pm}|).$$

since $x_{\varepsilon,n} \geq \varepsilon^{1/5}$ and $\varepsilon > an^{-1}$. We are left to check that $\frac{n^2\varepsilon^{-1/6}}{(nx_{\varepsilon,n}^2)^{1/2}(nx_{\varepsilon,n}^3)^{1/2}n^{1/2}} \cdot \varepsilon^{1/6}x_{\varepsilon,n}^{5/2} \cdot Cn^{-1/2}$ is bounded, which is true.

Subcase 1b. Suppose that $\varepsilon > n^{-1/2}$. Then the inequality $x_{\varepsilon,n} \geq c\varepsilon^{1/3} > cn^{-1/6}$ shows that $nx_{\varepsilon,n}^2 > cn^{2/3}$ and $nx_{\varepsilon,n}^3 > cn^{1/2}$. Then we can restrict the integration to the same neighbourhood as in Subcase 1a and make the same change of variables. All the further bounds from the previous subcase still hold, and $\frac{n^2\varepsilon^{-1/6}}{(nx_{\varepsilon,n}^2)^{1/2}(nx_{\varepsilon,n}^3)^{1/2}n^{1/2}} \cdot \varepsilon^{1/6}x_{\varepsilon,n}^{5/2} \cdot Cn^{-1/2}$ is still bounded.

Subcase 1c. Suppose $\frac{a}{n} < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{n^{1/2}}$, $c\varepsilon^{1/3} \leq x_{\varepsilon,n} \leq \varepsilon^{1/5}$. For $t = ix_{\varepsilon,n} + (\pm 1 + i(1 + \varepsilon - x_{\varepsilon,n}))\tau$ we have

$$\Re F_{n,2}(t, s, r) \leq -\mathcal{L}_n(x_{\varepsilon,n}^2) + (x_{\varepsilon,n} - \varepsilon)^2 - \Re \kappa_6 \tau^4 - \kappa_3 s^2 - r^2 + O(|s|^3 + |t - ix_{\varepsilon,n}|^5).$$

Since $\kappa_1 \geq cx_{\varepsilon,n}^2$, $\kappa_3, \Re \kappa_6 \geq c > 0$, then the following inequalities hold:

$$\begin{aligned} nF_{n,1}(u) &\leq nF_{n,1}(x_{\varepsilon,n}) - c \log^2(nx_{\varepsilon,n}^2), \quad \text{when } |u - x_{\varepsilon,n}| > (nx_{\varepsilon,n}^2)^{-1/2} \log(nx_{\varepsilon,n}^2); \\ n\Re F_{n,2}(t, s, r) &\leq nF_{n,2}(ix_{\varepsilon,n}, 0, 0) - c \log^2 n, \quad \text{when } |s| > n^{-1/2} \log n \text{ or } |t - ix_{\varepsilon,n}| > n^{-1/4} \log^{1/2} n \\ &\quad \text{or } r \in \mathcal{R}_2(t), |r| > n^{-1/2} \log n. \end{aligned}$$

We have $nx_{\varepsilon,n}^2 \geq n\varepsilon^{2/3} \geq cn^{1/3}$, thus the above bounds allow to restrict the integration to the neighbourhood of the saddle point $(x_{\varepsilon,n}, ix_{\varepsilon,n}, 0, 0)$. Make a change $u = x_{\varepsilon,n} + (nx_{\varepsilon,n}^2)^{-1/2}\tilde{u}$, $t = ix_{\varepsilon,n} + n^{-1/4}(\pm 1 + i(1 + \varepsilon - x_{\varepsilon,n}))\tilde{t}_{\pm}$, $s = n^{-1/2}\tilde{s}$. Expanding $\tilde{\varphi}$ up to the fourth order and using Remark 6.1 one can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{\varphi}(u, t, s)| &\leq \left(\varepsilon x_{\varepsilon,n} + \frac{x_{\varepsilon,n}^3}{n^{1/4}} + \frac{x_{\varepsilon,n}^3}{(nx_{\varepsilon,n}^2)^{1/2}} + \frac{x_{\varepsilon,n}^3}{n^{1/2}} + \frac{x_{\varepsilon,n}^2}{n^{1/2}} + \frac{x_{\varepsilon,n}^2}{nx_{\varepsilon,n}^2} + \frac{x_{\varepsilon,n}^2}{n} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{x_{\varepsilon,n}}{n^{3/4}} + \frac{x_{\varepsilon,n}}{(nx_{\varepsilon,n}^2)^{3/2}} + \frac{x_{\varepsilon,n}}{n^{3/2}} + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{(nx_{\varepsilon,n}^2)^2} + \frac{1}{n^2} \right) \times \mathcal{P}(\tilde{u}, \tilde{t}_{\pm}, \tilde{s}) \leq n^{-1/4}x_{\varepsilon,n} \cdot \mathcal{P}(\tilde{u}, \tilde{t}_{\pm}, \tilde{s}). \end{aligned}$$

We also have $|u| \leq x_{\varepsilon,n} + \frac{1}{n^{1/2}x_{\varepsilon,n}}|\tilde{u}| \leq \varepsilon^{1/6}(1 + |\tilde{u}|)$, thus $\sqrt{u}(u + \varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon^{1/4}(1 + |\tilde{u}|^2)$. Then we can write $\Phi_n(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) \leq \varepsilon^{1/4}n^{-1/4}x_{\varepsilon,n} \cdot \mathcal{P}(\tilde{u}, \tilde{t}_{\pm}, \tilde{s})$. Next, we estimate integral with respect to r :

$$\left| \int_{\mathcal{R}_{1+}(t)} e^{-nr^2} dr \right| \leq \frac{Cn^{-1/2}}{\sqrt{\Re(\frac{-t^2}{|t|^2})}} + Cn^{-1/2} \leq Cn^{-1/2} \left(1 + \frac{n^{-1/4}\tilde{t}_{\pm}}{x_{\varepsilon,n}} \right) \leq Cn^{-1/2}\varepsilon^{-1/12} \left(1 + |\tilde{t}_{\pm}| \right)$$

since $x_{\varepsilon,n} \geq \varepsilon^{1/3}$ and $an^{-1} < \varepsilon$. We are left to check that $\frac{n^2\varepsilon^{-1/6}}{n^{1/4+1/2}(nx_{\varepsilon,n}^2)^{1/2}} \cdot \varepsilon^{1/4}n^{-1/4}x_{\varepsilon,n} \cdot Cn^{-1/2}\varepsilon^{-1/12}$ is bounded, which is true.

Case 2. $\text{tr}_n G(0) < 1$. Let $x_{\varepsilon,n}$ be the positive root of (4.1). According to Proposition 4.5, we have $(1+c)\varepsilon \leq x_{\varepsilon,n} \leq C\varepsilon^{1/3}$ for some $c, C > 0$. Introduce the averaging:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle\langle f(u_1, u_2, t, s, R, v) \rangle\rangle &= \frac{2n^3}{\pi^3} \int_0^\infty dR \int_{-\infty}^\infty dv du_1 du_2 ds \int_L dt \cdot \frac{R}{\sqrt{v^2 + 4R}} \cdot \varphi(u_1^2 + u_2^2, s^2 - t^2, z, z) \times \\ &\quad \times f(u_1, u_2, t, s, R, v) \cdot \exp \left\{ n \left(\mathcal{L}_n(u_1^2 + u_2^2) - (u_1 + \varepsilon)^2 - u_2^2 \right) \right\} \times \\ &\quad \times \exp \left\{ -n \left(\mathcal{L}_n(s^2 - t^2) + (t - i\varepsilon)^2 + (R + it + \varepsilon)^2 + \varepsilon v^2 \right) \right\}, \end{aligned} \tag{6.15}$$

Then

$$\varepsilon^{5/6} T(\varepsilon, n, z) = -2\varepsilon^{5/6} \langle\langle u_1 + \varepsilon \rangle\rangle = 2\varepsilon^{5/6}(x_{\varepsilon,n} - \varepsilon) \langle\langle 1 \rangle\rangle - 2\varepsilon^{5/6} \langle\langle u_1 + x_{\varepsilon,n} \rangle\rangle.$$

Identity (3.4) implies that $\langle\langle 1 \rangle\rangle = \mathcal{Z}(\varepsilon, \varepsilon, z, z) = 1$, hence it suffices to prove that $\varepsilon^{5/6} |\langle\langle u_1 + x_{\varepsilon, n} \rangle\rangle|$ is bounded. Having $\varepsilon^{5/6} \langle\langle u_1 + x_{\varepsilon, n} \rangle\rangle$ one can change t -contour as in Subsection 4.3 and choose the following r -contour: $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}(t) = \mathcal{R}_1(t) \cup \mathcal{R}_2(t)$, where $\mathcal{R}_1(t) = [it + \varepsilon, 0]$, $\mathcal{R}_2(t) = \{r : r \geq 0\}$. For $t \in \mathbf{L}_t$, $r \in \tilde{\mathcal{R}}(t)$ we have $\left| \frac{r - it - \varepsilon}{\sqrt{v^2 + 4(r - it - \varepsilon)}} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{|r - it - \varepsilon|}$. Next we integrate with respect to v and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^{5/6} |\langle\langle u_1 + x_{\varepsilon, n} \rangle\rangle| &\leq C n^{5/2} \varepsilon^{1/3} \int_{\mathcal{V}} \hat{\Phi}_n(\mathbf{x}) \exp\{n \hat{F}_n(\mathbf{x})\} d\mathbf{x}, \\ \text{where } F_{n,1}(u_1, u_2) &= \mathcal{L}_n(u_1^2 + u_2^2) - (u_1 + \varepsilon)^2 - u_2^2, \quad F_{n,2}(t, s, r) = -\left(\mathcal{L}_n(s^2 - t^2) + (t - i\varepsilon)^2 + r^2\right), \\ \hat{F}_n(\mathbf{x}) &= F_{n,1}(u_1, u_2) + F_{n,2}(t, s, r), \quad \tilde{\varphi}(u_1, u_2, t, s) = \varphi(u_1^2 + u_2^2, s^2 - t^2, z, z), \\ \hat{\Phi}_n(\mathbf{x}) &= |u_1 + x_{\varepsilon, n}| \sqrt{|r - it - \varepsilon|} \cdot |\tilde{\varphi}(u_1, u_2, t, s)|. \end{aligned} \tag{6.16}$$

Consider the following subcases:

Subcase 2a. Suppose $\frac{a}{n} \leq \varepsilon \leq \frac{1}{n^{1/3}}$. We have following expansions:

$$\begin{aligned} F_{n,1}(u_1, u_2) &= \mathcal{L}_n(x_{\varepsilon, n}^2) - (x_{\varepsilon, n} - \varepsilon)^2 - \kappa_1(u + x_{\varepsilon, n})^2 - \kappa_2 u_2^2 + O(|u_1 + x_{\varepsilon, n}|^3 + |u_2|^3); \\ F_{n,2}(t, s, r) &= -\mathcal{L}_n(x_{\varepsilon, n}^2) + (x_{\varepsilon, n} - \varepsilon)^2 - (\kappa_3 s^2 + \kappa_4 \tau^2 + \kappa_5 \tau^3 + \kappa_6 \tau^4 + r^2) + O(|s|^3 + |\tau|^5), \end{aligned}$$

where $t = ix_{\varepsilon, n} + (\pm 1 + i(1 + \varepsilon - x_{\varepsilon, n}))\tau$, $\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \kappa_3, \kappa_4$ are defined in (4.7), (4.20), (4.18), (4.21) and κ_5, κ_6 satisfy (6.14). It is easy to see that $\kappa_1 \geq c\varepsilon/x_{\varepsilon, n}$, $\kappa_3 \geq c$, $\Re\kappa_{4,5} > 0$, $\Re\kappa_6 \geq c > 0$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} nF_{n,1}(u_1, u_2) &\leq nF_{n,1}(x_{\varepsilon, n}, 0) - c \log^2 \frac{n\varepsilon}{x_{\varepsilon, n}}, \quad \text{when } \max\{|u_1 + x_{\varepsilon, n}|, |u_2|\} > (n\varepsilon/x_{\varepsilon, n})^{-1/2} \log(n\varepsilon/x_{\varepsilon, n}), \\ n\Re F_{n,2}(t, s, r) &\leq nF_{n,2}(ix_{\varepsilon, n}, 0, 0) - c \log^2 n, \quad \text{when } |s| > n^{-1/2} \log n \text{ or } r \in \mathcal{R}_2(t), |r| > n^{-1/2} \log n, \\ n\Re F_{n,2}(t, s, r) &\leq nF_{n,2}(ix_{\varepsilon, n}, 0, 0) - c \log^2 n, \quad \text{when } |t - ix_{\varepsilon, n}| > n^{-1/4} \log^{1/2} n. \end{aligned}$$

Since $n\varepsilon/x_{\varepsilon, n} \geq cn\varepsilon^{2/3} \geq cn^{1/3}$, the above bounds allow to restrict the integration to the neighbourhood of the saddle point $u_1 = -x_{\varepsilon, n}$, $u_2 = 0$, $t = ix_{\varepsilon, n}$, $s = 0$, $r = 0$. Make a change $u_1 = -x_{\varepsilon, n} + (n\varepsilon/x_{\varepsilon, n})^{-1/2} \tilde{u}_1$, $u_2 = (n\varepsilon/x_{\varepsilon, n})^{-1/2} \tilde{u}_2$, $t = ix_{\varepsilon, n} + n^{-1/4} (\pm 1 + i(1 + \varepsilon - x_{\varepsilon, n})) \tilde{t}_{\pm}$, $s = n^{-1/2} \tilde{s}$. Expanding $\tilde{\varphi}$ up to the fourth order and using Remark 6.1 one can obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{\varphi}(u_1, u_2, t, s)| &\leq \left(\varepsilon^2 x_{\varepsilon, n}^{-2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{n^{1/4}} + \frac{\varepsilon}{(n\varepsilon/x_{\varepsilon, n})^{1/2}} + \frac{\varepsilon}{n^{1/2}} + \frac{\varepsilon/x_{\varepsilon, n}}{n^{1/2}} + \frac{\varepsilon/x_{\varepsilon, n}}{n\varepsilon/x_{\varepsilon, n}} + \frac{\varepsilon/x_{\varepsilon, n}}{n} + \frac{x_{\varepsilon, n}}{(n\varepsilon/x_{\varepsilon, n})^{3/2}} + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{x_{\varepsilon, n}}{n^{3/4}} + \frac{x_{\varepsilon, n}}{n^{3/2}} + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{(n\varepsilon/x_{\varepsilon, n})^2} + \frac{1}{n^2} \right) \times \mathcal{P}(\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2, \tilde{t}_{\pm}, \tilde{s}) \leq \frac{\varepsilon^{7/6}}{n^{1/4} x_{\varepsilon, n}^{3/2}} \min\{x_{\varepsilon, n}^{-1}; n^{1/4}\} \cdot \mathcal{P}(\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2, \tilde{t}_{\pm}, \tilde{s}) \end{aligned}$$

since $(1+c)\varepsilon \leq x_{\varepsilon, n} \leq C\varepsilon^{1/3}$ and $\frac{a}{n} < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{n^{1/3}}$. Also observe that $|u_1 + x_{\varepsilon, n}| = \frac{|\tilde{u}_1|}{(n\varepsilon/x_{\varepsilon, n})^{1/2}}$, which gives us

$$\hat{\Phi}_n(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) \leq \frac{\varepsilon^{2/3}}{n^{3/4} x_{\varepsilon, n}} \min\{x_{\varepsilon, n}^{-1}; n^{1/4}\} \cdot \mathcal{P}(\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2, \tilde{t}_{\pm}, \tilde{s}).$$

We also estimate

$$\left| \int_{\mathcal{R}_{1+}(t)} e^{-nr^2} dr \right| \leq |t| + Cn^{-1/2} \leq C \max\{x_{\varepsilon, n}; n^{-1/4}\} (1 + |\tilde{t}_{\pm}|)$$

for t in the neighbourhood. We are left to check that

$$\frac{n^{5/2} \varepsilon^{1/3}}{(n\varepsilon/x_{\varepsilon, n})^{2 \cdot 1/2} n^{1/4 + 1/2}} \cdot \frac{\varepsilon^{2/3}}{n^{3/4} x_{\varepsilon, n}} \min\{x_{\varepsilon, n}^{-1}; n^{1/4}\} \cdot \max\{x_{\varepsilon, n}; n^{-1/4}\}$$

is bounded, which is true.

Subcase 2b. Suppose $\varepsilon > \frac{1}{n^{1/3}}$. We have following expansions:

$$\begin{aligned} F_{n,1}(u_1, u_2) &= \mathcal{L}_n(x_{\varepsilon,n}^2) - (x_{\varepsilon,n} - \varepsilon)^2 - \kappa_1(u + x_{\varepsilon,n})^2 - \kappa_2 u_2^2 + O(|u_1 + x_{\varepsilon,n}|^3 + |u_2|^3); \\ F_{n,2}(t, s, r) &= -\mathcal{L}_n(x_{\varepsilon,n}^2) + (x_{\varepsilon,n} - \varepsilon)^2 - (\kappa_3 s^2 + \kappa_4 \tau^2 + \kappa_5 \tau^3 + \kappa_6 \tau^4 + r^2) + O(|s|^3 + |\tau|^5), \end{aligned}$$

where $t = ix_{\varepsilon,n} + (\pm 1 + i(1 + \varepsilon - x_{\varepsilon,n}))\tau$, $\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \kappa_3, \kappa_4$ are defined in (4.7), (4.20), (4.18), (4.21) and κ_5, κ_6 satisfy (6.14). It is easy to see that $\kappa_1 \geq c\varepsilon/x_{\varepsilon,n}$, $\kappa_3 \geq c$, $\Re\kappa_4 \geq c\varepsilon$, thus

$$\begin{aligned} nF_{n,1}(u_1, u_2) &\leq nF_{n,1}(x_{\varepsilon,n}, 0) - c \log^2 \frac{n\varepsilon}{x_{\varepsilon,n}}, \quad \text{when } \max\{|u_1 + x_{\varepsilon,n}|, |u_2|\} > (n\varepsilon/x_{\varepsilon,n})^{-1/2} \log(n\varepsilon/x_{\varepsilon,n}), \\ n\Re F_{n,2}(t, s, r) &\leq nF_{n,2}(ix_{\varepsilon,n}, 0, 0) - c \log^2 n, \quad \text{when } |s| > n^{-1/2} \log n \text{ or } r \in \mathcal{R}_2(t), |r| > n^{-1/2} \log n, \\ n\Re F_{n,2}(t, s, r) &\leq nF_{n,2}(ix_{\varepsilon,n}, 0, 0) - c \log^2(n\varepsilon), \quad \text{when } |t - ix_{\varepsilon,n}| > (n\varepsilon)^{-1/2} \log(n\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Since $n\varepsilon/x_{\varepsilon,n} \geq cn^{7/9}$ and $n\varepsilon \geq n^{2/3}$, the above bounds allow to restrict the integration to the neighbourhood of the saddle point $u_1 = -x_{\varepsilon,n}$, $u_2 = 0$, $t = ix_{\varepsilon,n}$, $s = 0$, $r = 0$. Make a change $u_1 = -x_{\varepsilon,n} + (n\varepsilon/x_{\varepsilon,n})^{-1/2} \tilde{u}_1$, $u_2 = (n\varepsilon/x_{\varepsilon,n})^{-1/2} \tilde{u}_2$, $t = ix_{\varepsilon,n} + (n\varepsilon)^{-1/2} (\pm 1 + i(1 + \varepsilon - x_{\varepsilon,n})) \tilde{t}_{\pm}$, $s = n^{-1/2} \tilde{s}$. Expanding $\tilde{\varphi}$ up to the first order and using Remark 6.1 one can obtain

$$|\tilde{\varphi}(u_1, u_2, t, s)| \leq C \left(\frac{\varepsilon^2}{x_{\varepsilon,n}^2} + \frac{|\tilde{u}_1| + |\tilde{u}_2|}{(n\varepsilon/x_{\varepsilon,n})^{1/2}} + \frac{|\tilde{t}_{\pm}|}{(n\varepsilon)^{1/2}} + \frac{|\tilde{s}|}{n^{1/2}} \right) \leq \varepsilon^{5/3} x_{\varepsilon,n}^{-5/2} \cdot \mathcal{P}(\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2, \tilde{t}_{\pm}, \tilde{s})$$

since $(1+c)\varepsilon \leq x_{\varepsilon,n} \leq C\varepsilon^{1/3}$ and $\varepsilon \geq \frac{1}{n^{1/3}}$. Also observe that $|u_1 + x_{\varepsilon,n}| = \frac{|\tilde{u}_1|}{(n\varepsilon/x_{\varepsilon,n})^{1/2}}$, which gives us $\widehat{\Phi}_n(\tilde{\mathbf{x}}) \leq n^{-1/2} x_{\varepsilon,n}^{-2} \varepsilon^{7/6} \mathcal{P}(\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2, \tilde{t}_{\pm}, \tilde{s})$. Next we estimate the integral with respect to r :

$$\left| \int_{\mathcal{R}_{1+}(t)} e^{-nr^2} dr \right| \leq |t| + Cn^{-1/2} \leq C(x_{\varepsilon,n} + (n\varepsilon)^{-1/2} |\tilde{t}_{\pm}| + n^{-1/2}) \leq Cx_{\varepsilon,n}(1 + |\tilde{t}_{\pm}|).$$

We are left to prove that $\frac{n^{5/2} \varepsilon^{1/3}}{(n\varepsilon/x_{\varepsilon,n})^{2 \cdot 1/2} (n\varepsilon)^{1/2} n^{1/2}} \cdot Cn^{-1/2} x_{\varepsilon,n}^{-2} \varepsilon^{7/6} \cdot Cx_{\varepsilon,n}$ is bounded, which is true. \square

Finally, we consider the case when z lies outside of D far enough from ∂D .

Proposition 6.9. *Fix an arbitrary $d > 0$, and set $E_{d,out} := \{z \in E \mid z \notin D, \text{dist}(z, \partial D) \geq d\}$. Then there exist $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$, $a > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that*

$$\varepsilon^{1/2} |T(\varepsilon, n, z)| \leq C$$

for $n \geq n_0$, $\frac{a}{n} < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$ and $z \in E_{d,out}$.

Proof. Let $x_{\varepsilon,n}$ be the positive root of (4.1). According to Proposition 4.4, we have $(1 + \kappa_0)\varepsilon \leq x_{\varepsilon,n} \leq (1 + K_0)\varepsilon$ for some $\kappa_0, K_0 > 0$. Next one can simply repeat the argument from Case 2 of the proof of Proposition 6.8 considering Subcase 2a and Subcase 2b and improving the bounds using $c\varepsilon \leq x_{\varepsilon,n} \leq C\varepsilon$. \square

It is easy to see that Theorem 6.1 and Theorem 6.2 now follow from Propositions 6.4–6.9.

7 Rate of convergence

In this section we provide a proof of Theorem 1.3. We split proof into three parts using the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \mathbb{E}_{H_n} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n h(z_j) \right\} - \int h(z) \rho(z) \mathbf{d}^2 z \right| \leq \left| \mathbb{E}_{H_n} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n h(z_j) \right\} - \int h(z) \rho_{\varepsilon,n,\omega}(z) \mathbf{d}^2 z \right| + \\ &+ \int |h(z)| \cdot |\rho_{\varepsilon,n,\omega}(z) - \widehat{\rho}_{\varepsilon,n,\omega}(z)| \mathbf{d}^2 z + \int |h(z)| \cdot |\widehat{\rho}_{\varepsilon,n,\omega}(z) - \rho_{\varepsilon,\omega}(z)| \mathbf{d}^2 z \\ &+ \int |h(z)| \cdot |\rho_{\varepsilon,\omega}(z) - \rho_{\omega}(z)| \mathbf{d}^2 z \end{aligned} \quad (7.1)$$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$, where $\widehat{\rho}_{\varepsilon,n,\omega}(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\frac{|\text{tr}_n(A_n - z)G^2(x_{\varepsilon,n}^2)|^2}{\text{tr}_n G^2(x_{\varepsilon,n}^2) + \varepsilon/(2x_{\varepsilon,n}^3)} + x_{\varepsilon,n}^2 \cdot \text{tr}_n G(x_{\varepsilon,n}^2)\widetilde{G}(x_{\varepsilon,n}^2) \right)$. Take $\varepsilon = n^{-2/5}$.

In order to estimate the first summand, observe that $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int h(z)\rho_{\varepsilon,n,\omega}(z) \mathbf{d}^2 z = \mathbb{E}_{H_n} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n h(z_j) \right\}$ uniformly in n according to Proposition 2.1, and Theorem 6.1 shows that

$$\left| \mathbb{E}_{H_n} \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n h(z_j) \right\} - \int h(z)\rho_{\varepsilon,n,\omega}(z) \mathbf{d}^2 z \right| \leq C\varepsilon^{1/2} = Cn^{-1/5}.$$

To estimate $|\rho_{\varepsilon,n,\omega}(z) - \widehat{\rho}_{\varepsilon,n,\omega}(z)|$, we adjust the argument in Subsection 4.2 and Proposition 4.8 for $\varepsilon = n^{-2/5}$ instead of fixed ε . As in Subsection 4.2, we have

$$\mathbf{I}_{g_n} = Cn^3 \int_{\mathcal{V}} \Phi_n(\mathbf{x}) e^{nF_n(\mathbf{x})} d\mathbf{x},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x} &= (u, t, s, r, v, w), \quad \mathcal{V} = [0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R} \times L_t \times \mathcal{R}(t) \times \mathbb{R} \times [0, \sqrt{2u}], \\ F_{n,1}(u) &= \mathcal{L}_n(u^2) - (u - \varepsilon)^2, \quad F_{n,2}(s, t, r) = -\left(\mathcal{L}_n(s^2 - t^2) + (t - i\varepsilon)^2 + r^2 \right), \\ F_n(\mathbf{x}) &= F_{n,1}(u) + F_{n,2}(t, s, r) - 2\varepsilon w^2 - \varepsilon v^2, \quad \Phi_n(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{r - it - \varepsilon}{\sqrt{v^2 + 4r - 4it - 4\varepsilon}} \cdot \frac{u}{\sqrt{2u - w^2}} \cdot g_n(u^2, s^2 - t^2). \end{aligned}$$

We can change contours as in Subsection 4.2, since $x_{\varepsilon,n} \geq \kappa_0 > 0$. It is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned} nF_{n,1}(u) &\leq nF_{n,1}(x_{\varepsilon,n}) - c \log^2 n, \quad \text{when } |u - x_{\varepsilon,n}| > n^{-1/2} \log n, \\ n\Re F_{n,2}(t, s, r) &\leq nF_{n,2}(ix_{\varepsilon,n}, 0, 0) - c \log^2 n, \quad \text{when } \max\{|t - ix_{\varepsilon,n}|, |s|, |r|\} > n^{-1/2} \log n, \\ -n\varepsilon v^2 - 2n\varepsilon w^2 &\leq -c \log^2(n\varepsilon), \quad \text{when } \max\{|v|, |w|\} > (n\varepsilon)^{-1/2} \log(n\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Since $n\varepsilon = n^{3/5}$, we can restrict the integration to a neighbourhood

$$\{\mathbf{x} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{V}}: |u - x_{\varepsilon,n}|, |s|, |t - ix_{\varepsilon,n}|, |r| < n^{-1/2} \log n, |v| < (n\varepsilon)^{-1/2} \log(n\varepsilon), 0 \leq w < (n\varepsilon)^{-1/2} \log(n\varepsilon)\}.$$

Make a change $u = x_{\varepsilon,n} + n^{-1/2}\widetilde{u}$, $s = n^{-1/2}\widetilde{s}$, $t = ix_{\varepsilon,n} + n^{-1/2}\widetilde{t}$, $r = n^{-1/2}\widetilde{r}$, $v = (n\varepsilon)^{-1/2}\widetilde{v}$, $w = (n\varepsilon)^{-1/2}\widetilde{w}$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_n(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}) &= \alpha_{n,\varepsilon} g_n(x_{\varepsilon,n}^2, x_{\varepsilon,n}^2) + n^{-1/2} \mathcal{P}_1(\widetilde{u}, \widetilde{t}, \widetilde{r}) + n^{-1} \mathcal{P}_2(\widetilde{u}, \widetilde{t}, \widetilde{r}, \widetilde{s}) \\ &\quad + (n\varepsilon)^{-1} \mathcal{Q}_2(\widetilde{v}, \widetilde{w}) + O((n\varepsilon)^{-3/2} \log^3(n\varepsilon)), \\ e^{nF_n(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}})} &= e^{-\kappa_1 \widetilde{u}^2 - \kappa_1 \widetilde{t}^2 - \kappa_3 \widetilde{s}^2 - \widetilde{r}^2 - \varepsilon \widetilde{v}^2 - 2\varepsilon \widetilde{w}^2} \cdot (1 + n^{-1/2} \mathcal{P}_3(\widetilde{u}, \widetilde{t}, \widetilde{s}) + O(n^{-1} \log^4 n)), \end{aligned}$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_n(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}) e^{nF_n(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}})} &= e^{-\kappa_1 \widetilde{u}^2 - \kappa_1 \widetilde{t}^2 - \kappa_3 \widetilde{s}^2 - \widetilde{r}^2 - \varepsilon \widetilde{v}^2 - 2\varepsilon \widetilde{w}^2} \left(\alpha_{n,\varepsilon} g_n(x_{\varepsilon,n}^2, x_{\varepsilon,n}^2) + n^{-1/2} (\mathcal{R}_1(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}) + \mathcal{R}_3(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}})) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + n^{-1} (\mathcal{R}_2(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}) + \mathcal{R}_4(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}})) + (n\varepsilon)^{-1} \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_2(\widetilde{v}, \widetilde{w}) + O((n\varepsilon)^{-3/2} \log^3(n\varepsilon)) \right) \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{P}_{1,2,3}$, $\mathcal{R}_{1,2,3,4}$, \mathcal{Q}_2 , $\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_2$ are homogeneous polynomials with bounded coefficients, $\deg \mathcal{P}_k = k$, $\deg \mathcal{R}_k = k$, $\deg \mathcal{Q}_2 = \widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_2 = 2$. Taking into account that $\int u^{2k+1} e^{-cu^2} du = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{I}_{g_n} &= \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathcal{V}} \Phi_n(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}) e^{nF_n(\widetilde{\mathbf{x}})} d\widetilde{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{C}{\varepsilon} \left(\beta_{\varepsilon,n} g_n(x_{\varepsilon,n}^2, x_{\varepsilon,n}^2) + O((n\varepsilon)^{-1}) \right) = \\ &= C(\varepsilon, n, z) g_n(x_{\varepsilon,n}^2, x_{\varepsilon,n}^2) + O(n^{-1} \varepsilon^{-2}) = C(\varepsilon, n, z) g_n(x_{\varepsilon,n}^2, x_{\varepsilon,n}^2) + O(n^{-1/5}) \end{aligned}$$

Taking $g_n(\cdot) = \varphi(\cdot, z, z)$ and applying the same argument as in Subsection 4.2, we obtain

$$\mathbf{I}_{g_n} = \frac{g_n(x_{\varepsilon,n}^2, x_{\varepsilon,n}^2)}{\varphi(x_{\varepsilon,n}^2, x_{\varepsilon,n}^2, z, z)} + O(n^{-1/5})$$

The last identity gives us (4.23) with $\alpha = 1/5$. We can also adjust the proof of Proposition 4.8 the same way and obtain (4.24) with $\alpha = 1/5$. Thus, in (4.30) we have

$$\rho_{\varepsilon,n,\omega}(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\frac{|\operatorname{tr}_n(A_n - z)G^2(x_{\varepsilon,n}^2)|^2}{\operatorname{tr}_n G^2(x_{\varepsilon,n}^2) + \varepsilon/(2x_{\varepsilon,n}^3)} + x_{\varepsilon,n}^2 \cdot \operatorname{tr}_n G(x_{\varepsilon,n}^2) \tilde{G}(x_{\varepsilon,n}^2) \right) + O(n^{-1/5}) = \widehat{\rho}_{\varepsilon,n,\omega}(z) + O(n^{-1/5}), \quad (7.2)$$

which gives as an estimation of the second summand in (7.1).

We proceed to estimate the last two summands in (7.1). Recall the relations (5.1) and (5.2). Condition (C5) together with the fact that all derivatives of $n^{-1} \log \det(Y_0(z) + x)$ are bounded implies that the first order derivatives of $n^{-1} \log \det(Y_0(z) + x)$ converge with the rate $O(n^{-1/2})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and the second order derivatives converge with the rate $O(n^{-1/4})$. This shows that $|x_{\varepsilon,n} - x_\varepsilon| \leq Cn^{-1/2}$ and $|\widehat{\rho}_{\varepsilon,n,\omega}(z) - \rho_{\varepsilon,\omega}(z)| \leq Cn^{-1/4}$, which gives us the bound for the third summand. Finally, Proposition 4.2 implies that $|x_\varepsilon - x_0| \leq C\varepsilon$, hence $|\rho_{\varepsilon,\omega}(z) - \rho_\omega(z)| \leq C\varepsilon = Cn^{-2/5}$, which gives as a bound on the last summand.

References

- [1] Alt J., Erdős L., Kruger T.: Spectral radius of random matrices with independent entries, *Probab. Math. Phys.* **2**, no. 2, 221 – 280 (2021)
- [2] Bai, Z.: Circular law, *Ann. Probab.* **25**, 494 – 529 (1997)
- [3] Bai, Z., Silverstein, J. W.: Spectral analysis of large dimensional random matrices, *Mathematics Monograph Series 2*, Science Press, Beijing (2006)
- [4] Bao, J., Erdős, L.: Delocalization for a class of random block band matrices. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* **167**, 673 – 776 (2017)
- [5] Bordenave, C., Capitaine, M.: Outlier eigenvalues for deformed i.i.d. random matrices, arXiv 1403.6001 (2014)
- [6] Bordenave, C., Caputo, P., Chafaï, D.: Spectrum of Markov generators on sparse random graphs, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **67**, 4, p. 621 – 669 (2014)
- [7] Cipolloni, G., Erdős, L., Schröder, D.: Optimal lower bound on the least singular value of the shifted Ginibre ensemble, *Probability and Mathematical Physics* **1:1**, 101 – 146 (2020)
- [8] Cipolloni, G., Erdős, L., Schröder, D.: On the condition number of the shifted real Ginibre ensemble, arXiv: 2105.13719 (2021)
- [9] Cipolloni, G., Erdős, L., Schröder, D.: Density of small singular values of the shifted real Ginibre ensemble, arXiv: 2105.13720 (2021)
- [10] Cook, N.: Lower bounds for the smallest singular value of structured random matrices, *Ann. Probab.* **46**, 3442 – 3500 (2018)
- [11] Dozier, R. B., Silverstein, J. W.: On the empirical distribution of eigenvalues of large dimensional information-plus-noise-type matrices, *J. Multivariate Anal.* **98**, 678 – 694 (2007)
- [12] Disertori, M., Lager, M.: Density of states for random band matrices in two dimensions, *Ann. Henri Poincaré*, **18:7**, p. 2367 – 2413 (2017)
- [13] Disertori, M., Pinson, H., and Spencer, T.: Density of states for random band matrices, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, vol. 232, 83 – 124 (2002)
- [14] Edelman, A.: Eigenvalues and condition numbers of random matrices, *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* **9**, 543 – 560 (1988)

- [15] Efetov, K.: Supersymmetry in disorder and chaos, Cambridge university press, New York (1997)
- [16] Fyodorov, Y. V.: On statistics of bi-orthogonal eigenvectors in real and complex Ginibre ensembles: combining partial Schur decomposition with supersymmetry, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **363**, 579 – 603 (2018)
- [17] Fyodorov, Y. V., Sommers, H.-J.: Random matrices close to Hermitian or unitary: overview of methods and results, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **36**, 3303–3347 (2003)
- [18] Ginibre, J.: Statistical Ensembles of Complex, Quaternion, and Real Matrices, *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **6**, 440-449 (1965)
- [19] Girko, V. L.: Circular law, *Theory Probab. Appl.*, 694 – 706 (1984)
- [20] Girko, V. L.: The strong circular law. Twenty years later, II. *Random Oper. Stochastic Equations* **12**, no. 3, 255 – 312 (2004)
- [21] Götze, F., Tikhomirov, A. N.: On the circular law, arXiv: math/0702386 (2007)
- [22] Götze, F., Tikhomirov, A. N.: The Circular Law for Random Matrices, *Annals of Probability* 2010, Vol. 38, No. 4, 1444-1491 (2010)
- [23] Ho, C.-W., Zhong, P.: Brown measures of free circular and multiplicative brownian motions with self-adjoint and unitary initial conditions, *J. Eur. Math. Soc.*, **25**, 6, 2163 – 2227 (2022)
- [24] Mehta, M. L.: *Random Matrices and the Statistical Theory of Energy Levels*, Academic Press, New York, NY (1967)
- [25] Pan, G., Zhou, W.: Circular law, Extreme singular values and potential theory, arXiv: 0705.3773 (2007)
- [26] Pastur, L. A.: On the spectrum of random matrices, *Teoret. Mat. Fiz.* **10**, 102 – 112 (1973)
- [27] Rudelson, M., Vershynin, R.: The Littlewood-Offord problem and invertibility of random matrices, *Adv. Math.* **218**, 600 – 633 (2008)
- [28] Sankar, A., Spielman, D. A., and Teng, S.-H.: Smoothed analysis of the condition numbers and growth factors of matrices, *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* **28**, 446 – 476 (2006)
- [29] Shcherbina, M., Shcherbina, T.: The Least Singular Value of the General Deformed Ginibre Ensemble, *J.Stat.Phys* **189**, 30 (2022).
- [30] Shcherbina, M., Shcherbina, T.: Transfer matrix approach to 1d random band matrices: density of states, *J. Stat. Phys.* **164**, p. 1233 – 1260 (2016)
- [31] Shcherbina, M., Shcherbina, T.: Characteristic polynomials for 1d random band matrices from the localization side, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **351**, p. 1009 – 1044 (2017)
- [32] Shcherbina, M., Shcherbina, T.: Universality for 1d random band matrices: sigma-model approximation, *J. Stat. Phys.* **172**, p. 627 – 664 (2018)
- [33] Shcherbina, T.: Universality of the local regime for the block band matrices with a finite number of blocks, *J. Stat. Phys.* **155**, 3, p. 466 – 499 (2014)
- [34] Shcherbina, T.: On the second mixed moment of the characteristic polynomials of the 1D band matrices, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **328**, p. 45 – 82 (2014)
- [35] Śniady, P.: Random regularization of Brown spectral measure, *J. Funct. Anal.* **193**, 2, p. 291 — 313 (2002)

- [36] Tao, T., Vu, V.: Random Matrices: The circular Law, *Communications in Contemporary Mathematics*, **10**, 261 – 307 (2008)
- [37] Tao, T., Vu, V.: Random matrices: The distribution of the smallest singular values, *Geom. Funct. Anal.* **20**, 260 – 297 (2010)
- [38] Tao, T., Vu, V.: Smooth analysis of the condition number and the least singular value, *Math. Comp.* **79**, 2333 - 2352 (2010)
- [39] Tao, T., Vu, V.: The condition number of a randomly perturbed matrix, *STOC'07 – Proceedings of the 39th Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing (ACM, New York, 2007)*, pp. 248 – 255 (2007)
- [40] Tao T., Vu V., Krishnapur M.: Random matrices: Universality of ESDs and the circular law, *Annals of Probability* **38**, no. 5, 2023, (2010)
- [41] Tikhomirov, K.: Invertibility via distance for non-centred random matrices with continuous distributions, *Random Struct. Algorithms* **57**, 526 - 562 (2020).
- [42] Wigner, P.: On the distribution of the roots of certain symmetric matrices, *The Annals of Mathematics* **67**, 325 – 327 (1958)
- [43] Zhong, P.: Brown measure of the sum of an elliptic operator and a free random variable in a finite von Neumann algebra, *arXiv: 2108.09844* (2022)