# Estimating Channels With Hundreds of Sub-Paths for MU-MIMO Uplink: A Structured High-Rank Tensor Approach

Panqi Chen and Lei Cheng

*Abstract*—This letter introduces a structured high-rank tensor approach for estimating sub-6G uplink channels in multi-user multiple-input and multiple-output (MU-MIMO) systems. To tackle the difficulty of channel estimation in sub-6G bands with hundreds of sub-paths, our approach fully exploits the physical structure of channel and establishes the link between sub-6G channel model and a high-rank four-dimensional (4D) tensor Canonical Polyadic Decomposition (CPD) with three factor matrices being Vandermonde-constrained. Accordingly, a stronger uniqueness property is derived in this work. This model supports an efficient one-pass algorithm for estimating sub-path parameters, which ensures plug-in compatibility with the widelyused baseline. Our method performs much better than the stateof-the-art tensor-based techniques on the simulations adhering to the 3GPP 5G protocols.

*Index Terms*—MU-MIMO, uplink channel estimation, structured high-rank tensor decomposition.

## I. INTRODUCTION

**MU** Ulti-user multiple-input and multiple-output (MU-<br>
MIMO) communications holds the promise of significantly boosting uplink capacity to meet the growing demands of 5.5G/6G applications, including broadband Internet of Things [\[1\]](#page-4-0). Despite its enormous potential, MU-MIMO communications presents significant challenges to the physicallayer signal processing at the base station, especially in estimating sub-6G channels for multiple users simultaneously.

Sub-6G bands, with superior coverage and lower susceptibility to interference than higher frequency bands, are primarily used in real-life wireless communication systems [\[2\]](#page-4-1). However, channel estimation in sub-6G bands is challenging due to the typically hundreds of sub-paths involved [\[2\]](#page-4-1). In MU-MIMO systems, where multiple users in various cells transmit data simultaneously, interference of adjacent cells can further complicate channel estimation.

To address these challenges, we propose a structured highrank tensor approach to estimate sub-6G uplink channels in MU-MIMO systems. We focus on a MIMO-OFDM system with a polarized planar antenna array, which is widely used i n real-life wireless systems [\[2\]](#page-4-1). Previous works have shown that MIMO-OFDM channel models can be recast as tensor canonical polyadic decompositions (CPDs) [\[9\]](#page-4-2)–[\[14\]](#page-4-3), leveraging the associated uniqueness properties to facilitate parameter estimation. However, these studies primarily consider mmWave

channels with only a few sub-paths. While recent works [\[9\]](#page-4-2), [\[10\]](#page-4-4) have explored the Vandermonde structure of a *single factor matrix* in the channel CPD [\[3\]](#page-4-5) model to enable stronger uniqueness properties, their findings face challenges in solving our problem involving hundreds of sub-paths.

To better utilize the algebraic structure of channel models , we propose to model the channel as a fourth-order CPD with *three factor matrices* being Vandermonde-constrained. This structure significantly enhances the uniqueness of the channel tensor model, which is theoretically and empirically verified in this letter, thus making identifying hundreds of sub-paths viable. To exploit the Vandermonde structure wit h low-cost computation, we extend the tensor ESPRIT method [\[15\]](#page-4-6), which is a non-iterative algorithm originally designed for a third-order tensor CPD with one Vandermonde factors, to acquire the channel parameters from a fourth-order tenso r CPD model with three Vandermonde factors. Note that unlike recent related works [\[9\]](#page-4-2), [\[10\]](#page-4-4) that focus on single-user downlink transmissions with fewer sub-paths, our letter addresses challenging MU-MIMO uplink transmissions with *numerous sub-paths* and under *notorious interferences* .

Simulations that strictly follow the 3GPP protocols [\[4\]](#page-4-7)–[\[6\]](#page-4-8), including the pilot signal (DeModulation Reference Signal , DMRS), the channel model (3GPP Cluster Delay Line (CDL) model), and the baseline pipeline are conducted to evaluate the proposed algorithm. Numerical results demonstrate tha t our proposed method can significantly reduce the channel estimation error, making it well-suited for real-life applications.

*Notations:* Lower-case, upper-case bold and upper-case bold calligraphic letters (e.g. x,  $\overline{X}$  and  $\mathcal{X}$ ) are used to denote vectors, matrices, higher-order tensors. Operations ⊙, ∗, ⊗ denote Khatri-Rao, Hadmard and Kronecker product respectively.

#### II. SYSTEM MODEL AND PROBLEM FORMULATION

A MU-MIMO-OFDM system is considered, where the base station (BS) at the targeted cell has a multi-polarized uniform planar array (UPA) with  $N_{\text{row}} \times N_{\text{col}}$  antennas and serves U user equipments (UEs). Each UE is assumed to have a single antenna. The frequency-domain received data model at the t-th symbol duration can be expressed as:

$$
\mathbf{Y}(t) = \sum_{u=1}^{U} \mathbf{H}^{u}(t)\mathbf{S}^{u}(t) + \mathbf{N}(t) + \mathbf{I}(t),
$$
 (1)

where  $\mathbf{H}^u(t) \in \mathbb{C}^{N_{\text{col}}N_{\text{row}}N_{\text{pol}}\times K}$  denotes the channel matrix of the u-th UE at the t-th symbol duration;  $S^{u}(t)$  =

P. Chen and L. Cheng are with the College of Information Science and Electronic Engineering, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou, China. E-mails: {Panqi Chen, lei cheng}@zju.edu.cn

diag $\{s_1^u(t), s_2^u(t), ..., s_K^u(t)\} \in \mathbb{C}^{K \times K}$  is the transmitted symbol matrix of the u-th UE, with  $s_k^u(t)$  being transmitted symbol(e.g., QPSK, QAM) at the  $k$ -th subcarrier and the  $t$ -th duration;  $\mathbf{N}(t) \in \mathbb{C}^{N_{\text{col}}N_{\text{row}}N_{\text{pol}} \times K}$  denotes the additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) and  $I(t) \in \mathbb{C}^{N_{\text{col}}N_{\text{row}}N_{\text{pol}} \times K}$  denotes the interferences from other cells.

In this study, we adopt the CDL channel model specified in the 3GPP protocol [\[4\]](#page-4-7). Specifically, the frequency-domain uplink channel coefficient of  $u$ -th UE is modeled as:

$$
h_{n_{\text{pol}},n_{\text{row}},n_{\text{col}},k}^{u}(t) = \sum_{l=1}^{L} \alpha_{l,n_{\text{pol}}}^{u} e^{-2j\pi \tau_l^u(k\Delta f)}
$$

$$
\times e^{\frac{2j\pi f_c n_{\text{col}} d_{\text{col}}}{c} \sin \phi_{\text{AOA},l}^u} e^{\frac{2j\pi f_c n_{\text{row}} d_{\text{row}}}{c} \cos \theta_{\text{LOA},l}^u}, (2)
$$

where  $(n_{\text{col}}, n_{\text{row}}), k, n_{\text{pol}}$  denote BS antenna index, subcarrier index, and direction of polarization, respectively.  $\Delta f$  is subcarrier interval;  $f_c$  is the carrier frequency; and c is the speed of electromagnetic wave.  $d_{\text{col}}$  and  $d_{\text{row}}$  denote column space and row space between antennas, respectively. The channel coefficient  $h_{n_{\text{pol}},n_{\text{row}},n_{\text{col}},k}^u(t)$  can be interpreted as the summation of  $L$  sub-path's responses. For the  $l$ -th sub-path:  $\alpha_{l,n_{\text{pol}}}^u$  and  $\tau_l^u$  represent sub-path gain and time delay from the u-th UE to all antennas in BS, respectively;  $\phi_{AOA, l}^u$  and  $\theta_{\text{ZOA},l}^u$  are Azimuth angle of arrival (AOA) and Zenith angles of arrival (ZOA), respectively.

Our objective is to estimate the channel coefficients for all users, denoted by  $\{\mathbf{H}^u\}_{u=1}^U$ , using the  $T_p$  symbols of pilot signals (i.e.,  $\{S_{\text{pilot}}^u(t)\}_{t=1}^{T_p}$ ) and the received data (i.e.,  ${ {\bf Y}(t) }_{t=1}^{T_p}$  at BS. The channels are assumed to be invariant over the duration of  $T_p$  pilot signals. This leads us to formulate the following optimization problem:

<span id="page-1-0"></span>
$$
\min_{\{\mathbf{H}^u\}_{u=1}^U} \sum_{t=1}^{T_p} \left\| \mathbf{Y}(t) - \sum_{u=1}^U \mathbf{H}^u \mathbf{S}_{\text{pilot}}^u(t) \right\|_{\text{F}}^2.
$$
 (3)

However, the optimization problem [\(3\)](#page-1-0) poses two significant challenges. First, the channel matrices to be estimated have a large number of parameters, i.e.,  $UN_{col}N_{row}N_{pol}K$  (up to millions in typical practical systems [\[4\]](#page-4-7)–[\[6\]](#page-4-8)), while the received data only provide few entries, resulting in an ill-conditioned and under-determined problem. Second, the channel matrices of different UEs  $\{\mathbf{H}^u\}_{u=1}^U$  are coupled in problem [\(3\)](#page-1-0), making it challenging to uniquely identify them.

### III. BASELINE AND THE PROPOSED METHOD

#### *A. Baseline*

The baseline approach to solve problem [\(3\)](#page-1-0) involves the least-squares estimation method and the linear interpolation technique, while utilizing the well-designed pilot signals known as DMRS [\[6\]](#page-4-8). These pilot signals are carefully designed to ensure optimal utilization of the time-frequency resource, guaranteeing orthogonality across the data streams from the  $U$  UEs<sup>[1](#page-1-1)</sup>. Specifically, for each UE, a specific set of indices (referred to as a comb) from the  $K$  subcarriers of the data stream are firstly acquired. The comb indicates the subcarriers

on which the UE transmits its pilot data. A least-squares estimation algorithm is then applied, resulting in incomplete channel estimations denoted as  $\{\hat{H}_{comb}^u \in \mathbb{C}^{N_{row}N_{col}N_{pol} \times N_{sc,eff}}\}_{u=1}^U$ . Here,  $N_{\text{sc,eff}}$  represents the effective number of subcarriers within the comb. Ultimately, full channel estimation  $\{ \hat{H}^u \in$  $\mathbb{C}^{N_{\text{row}}N_{\text{col}}N_{\text{pol}}\times K}$   $\}_{u=1}^U$  is achieved by linearly interpolating the missing values.

#### *B. The Proposed Method*

The baseline method is highly susceptible to noise, resulting in a significant degradation of performance in lower SNR regimes. This drawback stems from two factors: First, the baseline method overlooks the inherent multi-dimensional (M-D) structure of the channel (time, space, and frequency); Second, the trivial linear interpolation used tends to excessively fit the noise, exacerbating the issue.

To unveil the M-D structure of channels, recent works [\[11\]](#page-4-9)– [\[14\]](#page-4-3) have treated received signals as third-order CP tensors but they do not exploit its Vandermonde structure. Ref. [\[10\]](#page-4-4) utilized the compressed tensor decomposition (CTD) method to uniquely estimate channels, leveraging one Vandermonde factor matrix. However, the uniqueness properties of these previous approaches are not sufficiently strong to handle sub-6G channels. In our study, we model the polarization as a factor matrix and discovered that it leads to a fourth-order tensor CPD *with three factor matrices being Vandermonde constraint*, resulting a *much stronger uniqueness property* (see Lemma 1 presented in the next page). Specifically, we propose to reshape each channel matrix  $\mathbf{H}^u \in \mathbb{C}^{N_{\text{row}}N_{\text{col}}N_{\text{pol}} \times \bar{K}}$  to  $\mathcal{H}^u \in \mathbb{C}^{N_{\text{col}} \times N_{\text{row}} \times K \times N_{\text{pol}}},$  and it can be shown that  $\mathcal{H}^u$  can be expressed as follows:

<span id="page-1-3"></span>
$$
\mathcal{H}^{u} = [\![\mathbf{A}^{u}(\phi), \mathbf{A}^{u}(\theta), \mathbf{D}^{u}, \mathbf{P}^{u}]\!], \mathcal{H}^{u} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_{\text{col}} \times N_{\text{row}} \times K \times N_{\text{pol}}},\tag{4}
$$

where  $\mathbf{A}^u(\phi)$ ,  $\mathbf{A}^u(\theta)$ ,  $\mathbf{D}^u$ ,  $\mathbf{P}^u$  are factor matrices being:

<span id="page-1-2"></span>
$$
[\mathbf{A}^{u}(\phi)]_{n_{\text{col}},l} = e^{\frac{2j\pi f_c(n_{\text{col}}-1)d_{\text{col}}}{c}\sin\phi_{\text{AOA},l}^{u}}, \mathbf{A}^{u}(\phi) \in \mathbb{C}^{N_{\text{col}}\times L},
$$
  
\n
$$
[\mathbf{A}^{u}(\theta)]_{n_{\text{row}},l} = e^{\frac{2j\pi f_c(n_{\text{row}}-1)d_{\text{row}}}{c}\cos\theta_{\text{ZOA},l}^{u}}, \mathbf{A}^{u}(\theta) \in \mathbb{C}^{N_{\text{row}}\times L},
$$
  
\n
$$
[\mathbf{D}^{u}]_{k,l} = e^{-j2\pi \tau_l^{u}(k-1)}, \mathbf{D}^{u} \in \mathbb{C}^{K\times L},
$$
  
\n
$$
[\mathbf{P}^{u}]_{n_{\text{pol}},l} = \alpha_{l,n_{\text{pol}}}^{u}, \mathbf{P}^{u} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_{\text{pol}}\times L}.
$$
  
\n(5)

From [\(5\)](#page-1-2), we can observe that all the factor matrices are determined by  $\{\theta_{\text{ZOA},l}^u, \phi_{\text{AOA},l}^u, \alpha_{l,n_{\text{pol}}}^u, \tau_l^u\}_{l=1}^L$ . If we can estimate these parameters, the channel tensor can be reconstructed accordingly. Using the fourth-order tensor channel model (4), we reformulate problem [\(3\)](#page-1-0) in tensor form as follows:

$$
\sum_{t=1}^{\{\theta_{\text{ZOA},l}^u, \phi_{\text{AOA},l}^u, \alpha_{l,n_{\text{pol}}}^u, \tau_l^u\}_{l=1}^L}^{\text{min}} \sum_{u=1}^{\{T_p\}} \left\| \mathbf{\mathcal{Y}}(t) - \sum_{u=1}^U \left[\mathbf{A}^u(\phi), \mathbf{A}^u(\theta), \mathbf{S}_{\text{pilot}}^u(t)\mathbf{D}^u, \mathbf{P}^u\right] \right\|_{\text{F}}^2. \tag{6}
$$

Such a re-parameterization significantly reduces the number of unknowns, e.g., from 1,000,000 to 30,000 under typical settings of real-world systems (see Table [I\)](#page-3-0). This reduction addresses the first challenge in solving problem (3). Additionally, the use of a 4D tensor structure for the channel holds promise in mitigating the issue of noise overfitting encountered by the baseline approach.

<span id="page-1-1"></span> $1$  More details can be referred to Table 6.4.1.1.3-2 of [\[6\]](#page-4-8).

Since baseline approach has tackled the coupling of different UE's channels utilizing orthogonal time-frequency pilot resources, we propose to leverage the baseline approach and subsequently employ the tensor method for refinement. In this way, our approach can be directly plugged into the pipeline of the existing baseline, showing its great compatibility. We first reshape the incomplete channel estimations  $\{\hat{\mathbf{H}}_{\text{comb}}^u\}_{u=1}^U$ to fourth-order tensors  $\{\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\text{comb}}^u\}_{u=1}^U \in \mathbb{C}^{N_{\text{col}} \times N_{\text{row}} \times N_{\text{sc,eff}} \times N_{\text{pol}}}$ and then solve the following optimization problem:

$$
\lim_{\{ \theta_{\text{ZOA},l}^u, \phi_{\text{AOA},l}^u, \alpha_{l,n_{\text{pol}}}^u, \tau_l^u \}_{l=1}^L} \big|_{u=1}^U
$$
\n
$$
\sum_{u=1}^U \left\| \hat{\mathbf{\mathcal{H}}}_{\text{comb}}^u - [\mathbf{A}^u(\phi), \mathbf{A}^u(\theta), \mathbf{D}_{\text{comb}}^u, \mathbf{P}^u] \right\|_{\text{F}}^2, \qquad (7)
$$

where  $D_{\text{comb}}^u = D^u(:, c)$  with c representing the index set of comb subcarriers, including  $N_{\text{sc,eff}}$  items. Note that  $U$  users have been separated leveraging the DMRS and thus solving problem [\(7\)](#page-2-0) is equivalent to solving  $U$  subproblems separately: min

$$
\left\| \hat{\mathbf{\mathcal{H}}}_{\text{ZOA},l}^{u}, \phi_{\text{AOA},l}^{u}, \alpha_{l,n_{\text{pol}}}^{u}, \tau_{l}^{u} \right\|_{l=1}^{L}
$$
\n
$$
\left\| \hat{\mathbf{\mathcal{H}}}_{\text{comb}}^{u} - [\mathbf{A}^{u}(\phi), \mathbf{A}^{u}(\theta), \mathbf{D}_{\text{comb}}^{u}, \mathbf{P}^{u} ] \right\|_{\text{F}}^{2}, \tag{8}
$$

At first glance, problem [\(8\)](#page-2-1) appears to be a typical tensorbased multidimensional harmonic retrieval problem, and many existing tensor decomposition algorithms can be applied [\[17\]](#page-4-10). Among these methods, the alternating least squares (ALS) algorithm [\[17\]](#page-4-10) is the most commonly employed [\[12\]](#page-4-11)–[\[14\]](#page-4-3), which estimates one factor matrix while keeping the others fixed. However, directly apply ALS-based methods to our sub-6G MU-MIMO setting is not a good option. The reason is that previous works primarily focused on mmWave channel estimation, where the channel is assumed to have a small number of sub-paths (e.g., 5-10). Thus, the associated low-rank tensor CPD problem is well-conditioned so that ALS can estimate factor matrices with a uniqueness guarantee. Moreover, Refs. [\[9\]](#page-4-2), [\[10\]](#page-4-4) adopted the smoothed ESPRIT algorithm to enhance the uniqueness property with one factor matrix being Vandermonde constrained. However, in our applications, the channel typically consists of hundreds of sub-paths, leading to a high-rank tensor CPD. Without fully exploiting the structure of tensor decomposition, the uniqueness of factor matrices cannot be guaranteed. Consequently, accurately retrieving these parameters becomes impossible.

Upon closer inspection of problem [\(8\)](#page-2-1), we observe that it differs from existing tensor-based channel estimation [\[9\]](#page-4-2)–[\[14\]](#page-4-3). It involves a 4D tensor CPD with three Vandermonde factor matrices. To determine the uniqueness condition for our new formulation, we extend that derived in [\[15\]](#page-4-6) to the decomposition of a fourth-order tensor with three Vandermonde factor matrices. This extension is presented in Lemma 1.

*Lemma 1:* Considering a fourth-order tensor  $\mathcal{X} = [\![\mathbf{A}_{(1)}, \mathbf{A}_{(2)}, \mathbf{A}_{(3)}, \mathbf{A}_{(4)}]\!] \in \mathbb{C}^{I_1 \times I_2 \times I_3 \times I_4}$  with factor matrices  $\mathbf{A}_{(1)} \in \mathbb{C}^{I_1 \times I_L}, \mathbf{A}_{(2)} \in \mathbb{C}^{I_2 \times I_L}, \mathbf{A}_{(3)} \in$  $\mathbb{C}^{I_3 \times I_L}, \mathbf{A}_{(4)} \in \mathbb{C}^{I_4 \times I_L}, \text{ among which } \mathbf{A}_{(1)}, \mathbf{A}_{(2)}, \mathbf{A}_{(3)}$ are Vandermonde constrained with generators  ${z_{1,l}}_{l=1}^{I_L}, {z_{2,l}}_{l=2}^{I_L}, {z_{3,l}}_{l=1}^{I_L}.$  ${z_{1,l}}_{l=1}^{I_L}, {z_{2,l}}_{l=2}^{I_L}, {z_{3,l}}_{l=1}^{I_L}.$  ${z_{1,l}}_{l=1}^{I_L}, {z_{2,l}}_{l=2}^{I_L}, {z_{3,l}}_{l=1}^{I_L}.$ <sup>2</sup> Under the constraints of smooth parameters  $(K_1, L_1, K_2, L_2, K_3, L_3)$  satisfying  $K_1 + L_1 = I_1 + 1, K_2 + L_2 = I_2 + 1, K_3 + L_3 = I_3 + 1$ , if

<span id="page-2-3"></span>
$$
z_{1,m} \neq z_{1,n}, \forall m \neq n
$$
  
\n
$$
\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{A}_{(K_1-1,1)} \odot \mathbf{A}_{(K_2,2)} \odot \mathbf{A}_{(K_3,3)}) = I_L
$$
 (9)  
\n
$$
\mathbf{r}(\mathbf{A}_{(L_1,1)} \odot \mathbf{A}_{(L_2,2)} \odot \mathbf{A}_{(L_3,3)} \odot \mathbf{A}_{(4)}) = I_L,
$$

then the CPD of  $X$  is unique. Specifically,  $\mathbf{A}_{(K_1-1,1)}$  denotes the first  $K_1-1$  rows of  $\mathbf{A}_{(1)}$ . Generically, condition [\(9\)](#page-2-3) equals to:

$$
\min_{\{K_i + L_i = I_i + 1\}_{i=1}^3} ((K_1 - 1)K_2K_3, L_1L_2L_3I_4) \ge I_L.
$$
 (10)

<span id="page-2-0"></span>After comparing Lemma 1 to Corollary III.4 in [\[15\]](#page-4-6), it can be found that by incorporating an additional factor matrix with Vandermonde structure, the uniqueness condition can be significantly relaxed, thus allowing for the identification of hundreds of sub-paths. To ensure the uniqueness guaranteed by Lemma 1, we are to develop an algorithm explicitly utilizing the Vandermonde structures of the three factor matrices. To achieve this, we extend the algorithm in [\[15\]](#page-4-6) and propose the *Vandermonde Structured Decomposition algorithm for Fourthorder tensors* (VSD-Fort). The key steps of this enhanced algorithm are outlined below.

<span id="page-2-1"></span>Consider  $\mathcal{X} = [\mathbf{A}_{(1)}, \mathbf{A}_{(2)}, \mathbf{A}_{(3)}, \mathbf{A}_{(4)}] \in \mathbb{C}^{I_1 \times I_2 \times I_3 \times I_4}$ with three factor matrices  ${A_{(1)}, A_{(2)}, A_{(3)}}$  being Vandermonde structured, choose pairs  $(K_1, L_1), (K_2, L_2), (K_3, L_3)$ subject to  $K_1 + L_1 = I_1 + 1, K_2 + L_2 = I_2 + 1, K_3 + L_3 = I_3 + 1$ firstly. Then hankerize  $X$  and obtain  $X^{\text{hank}}$ :

<span id="page-2-4"></span>
$$
\mathbf{X}^{\text{hank}} = (\mathbf{A}_{(K_1,1)} \odot \mathbf{A}_{(K_2,2)} \odot \mathbf{A}_{(K_3,3)}) (\mathbf{A}_{(L_1,1)} \odot \n\mathbf{A}_{(L_2,2)} \odot \mathbf{A}_{(L_3,3)} \odot \mathbf{A}_{(4)})^{\text{T}} \in \mathbb{C}^{K_1 K_2 K_3 \times L_1 L_2 L_3 L_4}.
$$
\n(11)

Secondly, compute the SVD of  $X^{\text{hank}}$ :

$$
SVD(\mathbf{X}^{\text{hank}}) = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{\Sigma}\mathbf{V}^{\text{H}} = \mathbf{U}_{l}\mathbf{\Sigma}_{l}\mathbf{V}_{l}^{\text{H}} + \mathbf{U}_{n}\mathbf{\Sigma}_{n}\mathbf{V}_{n}^{\text{H}}, \quad (12)
$$

where  $U_l \Sigma_l V_l^H$  denotes signal subspace and  $U_n \Sigma_n V_n^H$  denotes noise subspace. Determine the potential rank of signal subspace  $(R)$  from  $\Sigma$  by enumerating significant eigenvalues. Then obtain sliced matrices  $U_1, U_2$ 

$$
\mathbf{U}_1 = \mathbf{U}(1 : (K_1 - 1)K_2K_3, 1 : R),
$$
  
\n
$$
\mathbf{U}_2 = \mathbf{U}(1 + K_2K_3 : K_1K_2K_3, 1 : R),
$$
\n(13)

and compute the eigen decomposition of  $\mathbf{U}_1^{\dagger} \mathbf{U}_2$ ,  $EVD(U_1^{\dagger}U_2) = MZM^{-1}$ . The first Vandermonde generators set  $\{z_{1,r}\}_{r=1}^R$  of  $\mathbf{A}_{(1)}$  can thus be determined by normalizing diagonal entries of  $\overline{z}$  :

$$
\{z_{1,r}\}_{r=1}^R = \text{diag}(\mathbf{Z}), z_{1,r} = \frac{z_{1,r}}{|z_{1,r}|}, r = 1, ..., R. \tag{14}
$$

Then, reconstruct  $\hat{A}_{(1)}$  with  $\{z_{1,r}\}_{r=1}^R$  and compute  $(\mathbf{\hat{A}}_{(K_2,2)} \odot \mathbf{\hat{A}}_{(K_3,3)})$  through:

$$
(\hat{\mathbf{A}}_{(K_2,2)} \odot \hat{\mathbf{A}}_{(K_3,3)})_{(:,r)} = (\hat{\mathbf{a}}_{(K_1,r,1)}^{\mathrm{H}} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{K_2K_3}) \mathbf{U} \mathbf{m}_r \quad (15)
$$

where  $\hat{\mathbf{a}}_{(K_1,r,1)}$  denotes  $\hat{\mathbf{A}}_{(1)}(1:K_1,r)$ . Then, determine the second Vandermonde generators set  $\{z_{2,r}\}_{r=1}^R$  of  $\mathbf{A}_{(2)}$ :

$$
z_{2,r} = (\hat{\mathbf{A}}_{(K_2,2)} \odot \hat{\mathbf{A}}_{(K_3,3)})_{(1:(K_2-1)K_3,r)}^{\dagger}
$$
  

$$
(\hat{\mathbf{A}}_{(K_2,2)} \odot \hat{\mathbf{A}}_{(K_3,3)})_{(K_3+1:K_2K_3,r)}.
$$
 (16)

<span id="page-2-2"></span> $2$  The definition of Vandermonde generators is given in Eq.(9) of [\[15\]](#page-4-6).

<span id="page-3-2"></span> $\textbf{Input:} \ \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\text{comb}} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_{\text{col}} \times N_{\text{row}} \times N_{\text{sc,eff}} \times N_{\text{pol}}}.$ 

- 1: Compute the factor matrices  $\hat{\mathbf{A}}(\phi)$ ,  $\hat{\mathbf{A}}(\theta)$ ,  $\hat{\mathbf{D}}_{comb}$ ,  $\hat{\mathbf{P}}$  via  $(11)∼(18);$  $(11)∼(18);$  $(11)∼(18);$  $(11)∼(18);$
- 2: Compute  $\{\hat{\tau}_l^u\}_{k=1}^L$  through the logarithm of Vandermonde generators of  $\dot{\mathbf{D}}_{\text{comb}}$  and then reconstruct  $\dot{\mathbf{D}}$  via [\(5\)](#page-1-2). 3: Reconstruct  $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$  via [\(4\)](#page-1-3).
- **Output:**  $\hat{\mathcal{H}} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_{\mathrm{col}} \times N_{\mathrm{row}} \times K \times N_{\mathrm{pol}}}$

Similarly, reconstruct  $\mathbf{\hat{A}}_{(2)}$  with  $\{z_{2,r}\}_{r=1}^R$ . So far, we have obtained  $\{\hat{A}_{(1)}, \hat{A}_{(2)}\}$ , and r-th vector of  $\hat{A}_{(3)}$  can be derived:  $\overline{z}$   $\vee$   $\overline{z}$ 

$$
\mathbf{\hat{a}}_{(K_3,r,3)} = (\mathbf{\hat{a}}_{(K_2,r,2)}^{\mathrm{H}} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{K_3})(\mathbf{\hat{a}}_{(K_1,r,1)}^{\mathrm{H}} \otimes \mathbf{I}_{K_2K_3}) \mathbf{U} \mathbf{m}_r \tag{17}
$$

Given estimated  $\mathbf{\hat{A}}_{(K_3,3)}$ , the third Vandermonde generators set  $\{z_{3,r}\}_{r=1}^R$  can be extracted for recorrection. Given three estimated factor matrices,  $A_{(4)}$  has a closed-form solution:

$$
\hat{\mathbf{A}}_{(4)} = \mathbf{X}_{(4)} (\hat{\mathbf{A}}_{(3)} \odot \hat{\mathbf{A}}_{(2)} \odot \hat{\mathbf{A}}_{(1)}) \n(\hat{\mathbf{A}}_{(3)}^{\mathrm{T}} \hat{\mathbf{A}}_{(3)} * \hat{\mathbf{A}}_{(2)}^{\mathrm{T}} \hat{\mathbf{A}}_{(2)} * \hat{\mathbf{A}}_{(1)}^{\mathrm{T}} \hat{\mathbf{A}}_{(1)})^{\dagger},
$$
\n(18)

<span id="page-3-1"></span>where  $X_{(4)}$  represents mode-4 unfolding of  $\hat{\mathcal{X}}$ . So far, we have presented our proposed VSD-fort algorithm. In this context, we treat the received signal matrix  $\chi$  as  $\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{\text{coup}}^u$ , and the matrices to be estimated  $\{\hat{\mathbf{A}}(\phi), \hat{\mathbf{D}}_{comb}, \hat{\mathbf{A}}(\theta), \hat{\mathbf{P}}\}$ as  ${A_{(1)}, A_{(2)}, A_{(3)}, A_{(4)}}.$  We can estimate the complete channel based on the model in  $(5)$  after reconstructing  $\hat{D}$ following [\(4\)](#page-1-3) with the estimated Vandermonde generators, as summarized in Algorithm [1](#page-3-2).

<span id="page-3-0"></span>

<b>Parameters</b>	<b>Value</b>
Modulation type	64QAM
<b>BS</b> Antenna	$4 \times 16$ UPA, $\pm 45^{\circ}$ cross-polarized
<b>UE</b> Antenna	single isotropic $\times$ 24UEs
Carrier Frequency	4.9GHz
Subcarrier space	30KHz
Bandwidth	20MHz
Subcarriers allocated for transmission	K: 384
Effective subcarriers allocated for comb	$N_{\text{sc,eff}}$ : 32
Antenna spatial interval $d_{\text{col}}$ , $d_{\text{row}}$	3cm, 9cm

TABLE I: Simulation Parameters

# IV. SIMULATION RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

In this section, we present numerical results to evaluate the performance of the proposed algorithm. Detailed system parameters are provided in Table [I,](#page-3-0) and our simulations strictly adhere to the 3GPP protocols [\[4\]](#page-4-7)–[\[6\]](#page-4-8). According to the settings specified in Table I, our proposed algorithm can identify a maximum of 420 sub-paths, as derived by Lemma 1.

To validate the algorithm's ability to resolve channels with a large number of sub-paths, we simulate a channel containing 420 sub-paths and utilize the VSD-Fort to estimate the first set of Vandermonde generators characterizing the channel. The results displayed in Fig. [1](#page-3-3) show that estimated Vandermonde generators perfectly match all the ground-truth values. This validates our method being able to accurately estimate Vandermonde generators even in scenarios involving hundreds of sub-paths. Then we evaluate the performance of channel estimation using the normalized mean squared error (NMSE) as the performance metric: NMSE =  $\left\|\mathcal{H} - \hat{\mathcal{H}}\right\|$ 2  $\frac{\epsilon}{\mathrm{F}}\big/\left\Vert \mathcal{H}\right\Vert _{\mathrm{F}}^{2},$ 

<span id="page-3-3"></span>

Fig. 1: Illustration of estimations of the first set of Vandermonde generators of a high rank (420) CP tensor  $\mathcal{H} \in$  $\mathbb{C}^{16 \times 32 \times 4 \times 2}$  using our proposed method.

<span id="page-3-4"></span>

Fig. 2: The channel estimation performance of different algorithms versus different SNRs.

where H denotes the ground-truth channel and  $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$  denotes the estimated channel. We consider a typical MU-MIMO uplink transmission scenario with two cells, each consisting of 24 users. The BS in the targeted cell experiences strong interference from the adjacent cell (the power of target signals equal to that of targeted ones). The parameters of channels in the target cell follow the specifications of the 3GPP TR38.901 protocol (more details can be found in Table 7.7.1-3 of [\[4\]](#page-4-7)) and each channel possesses 360 sub-paths. We add random phase biases to the above parameters to create interference channels of adjacent cell to the base station in the target cell.

Fig. [2](#page-3-4) presents the averaging NMSEs of the baseline [\[5\]](#page-4-12), the ALS-based method [\[17\]](#page-4-10), the CTD method [\[10\]](#page-4-4) and our proposed VSD-Fort algorithm over 1000 trials, with varying signal-to-noise ratios (SNRs). The results indicate that our proposed VSD-Fort algorithm outperforms the others in channel estimation, thus further contributing to interference cancellation and reduced symbol detection error [\[18\]](#page-4-13).

# V. CONCLUSIONS

In this letter, we introduce a structured high-rank tensor approach for sub-6G uplink channel estimation in the MU-MIMO communications. By exploring the physical structure of channel, we represent it as a fourth-order CP tensor with three Vandermonde factors. Upon its stronger identifiability, we propose the VSD-Fort to acquire parameters of the channel and subsequently obtain the entire channel estimations. Numerical experiments demonstrates that our proposed algorithm achieves superior performance with robustness against noise and interference. Additionally, our method exhibits plug-in compatibility with the widely-used baseline approach, rendering it highly potential for practical applications.

#### **REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-4-0"></span>[1] H. Zhou, Y. Deng and A. Nallanathan, "Novel listen-before-talk access scheme with adaptive backoff procedure for uplink centric broadband communication," in *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, vol. 10, no. 22, pp. 19981-19992, 15 Nov.15, 2023, doi: 10.1109/JIOT.2023.3282431.
- <span id="page-4-1"></span>[2] "TS 38.101-1: NR; User Equipment (UE) radio transmission and reception; Part 1: Range 1 Standalone" (18.3.0 ed.). 3GPP. 2023-09-29. Retrieved 2023-10-02.
- <span id="page-4-5"></span>[3] N. D. Sidiropoulos, L. De Lathauwer, X. Fu, K. Huang, E. E. Papalexakis, and C. Faloutsos, "Tensor decomposition for signal processing and machine learning," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 65, no. 13, pp. 3551-3582, 1 July 2017.
- <span id="page-4-7"></span>[4] "Study on channel model for frequencies from 0.5 to 100 GHz," 3GPP, Sophia, Antipolis, France, document TR 38.901 V16.1.0, 2019.
- <span id="page-4-12"></span>[5] "Physical layer procedures for data," 3GPP, Sophia, Antipolis, France, document TS 38.901 V15.9.0, 2020.
- <span id="page-4-8"></span>[6] "Physical channel sand modulation," 3GPP, Valbonne, France, document TS 38.211 V16.3.0, 2020.
- [7] "TS 38.101-2: NR; User Equipment (UE) radio transmission and reception; Part 2: Range 2 Standalone" (18.3.0 ed.). 3GPP. 2023-09-29. Retrieved 2023-10-02.
- [8] S. A. Busari, K. M. S. Huq, S. Mumtaz, L. Dai, and J. Rodriguez, "Millimeter-wave MIMO communication for future wireless systems: A survey," IEEE Commun. Surveys Tuts., vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 836–869, Jun. 2018.
- <span id="page-4-2"></span>[9] R. Zhang, et al. "Integrated sensing and communication with massive MIMO: A unified tensor approach for channel and target parameter estimation." *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, 2024, doi: 10.1109/TWC.2024.3351856.
- <span id="page-4-4"></span>[10] C. Qian, X. Fu, N. D. Sidiropoulos and Y. Yang, "Tensor-based channel estimation for dual-polarized massive MIMO systems," in *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 66, no. 24, pp. 6390-6403, 15 Dec.15, 2018, doi: 10.1109/TSP.2018.2873506.
- <span id="page-4-9"></span>[11] D. C. Araújo, A. L. F. de Almeida, J. P. C. L. Da Costa and R. T. de Sousa, "Tensor-based channel estimation for massive MIMO-OFDM systems," in *IEEE Access*, vol. 7, pp. 42133-42147, 2019.
- <span id="page-4-11"></span>[12] Z. Zhou, J. Fang, L. Yang, H. Li, Z. Chen, and R. S. Blum, "Low rank tensor decomposition-aided channel estimation for millimeter wave MIMO-OFDM systems," *IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun*., vol. 35, no. 7, pp. 1524–1538, Jul. 2017.
- [13] Y. Lin, S. Jin, M. Matthaiou and X. You, "Tensor-based channel estimation for millimeter wave MIMO-OFDM with dual-wideband effects," in *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol. 68, no. 7, pp. 4218-4232, July 2020.
- <span id="page-4-3"></span>[14] R. Zhang, L. Cheng, S. Wang, Y. Lou, W. Wu and D.W.K.Ng, "Tensor decomposition-based channel estimation for hybrid mmWave massive MIMO in high-mobility scenarios,"in *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, 2022.
- <span id="page-4-6"></span>[15] M. Sørensen and L. De Lathauwer, "Blind signal separation via tensor decomposition with Vandermonde factor: Canonical polyadic decomposition," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing* 61 (2013): 5507-5519.
- [16] S. Mumtaz, J. Rodriguez, and L. Dai, MmWave Massive MIMO: A Paradigm for 5G. Academic Press, Elsevier, 2016.
- <span id="page-4-10"></span>[17] P. Comon, X. Luciani, A.L.F. de Almeida, "Tensor decompositions, alternating least squares and other tales," *Journal of Chemometrics: A Journal of the Chemometrics Society*, vol. 23 n.7, pp. 393-405, 2009.
- <span id="page-4-13"></span>[18] Y. Chen, L. You, A. -A. Lu and X. Gao, "Widely-linear processing for the uplink of the massive MIMO with IQ imbalance: channel estimation and data detection," in *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 69, pp. 4685-4698, 2021.