# Distributionally Robust Joint Chance-Constrained Optimization for Electricity Imbalance in Iran: Integrating Renewables and Storage

A. Noori, B. Tavassoli<sup>\*</sup>, A. Fereidunian

Faculty of Electrical Engineering, K.N. Toosi University of Technology, Tehran, Iran. E-mail: <u>anoori@email.kntu.ac.ir</u>, <u>tavassoli@kntu.ac.ir</u>, <u>Fereidunian@eetd.kntu.ac.ir</u> \*Corresponding author

Received day month year, Revised day month year, Accepted day month year.

#### Abstract

Iran's power grid faces mounting challenges due to the widening gap between rapidly increasing peak demand and lagging sustainable capacity expansion or load management. Prosumers have become key players in reducing grid load and offering valuable flexible services, but their effectiveness is hampered by a lack of knowledge about uncertain parameters and their probability distributions. This study introduces a novel two-stage multi-time scale distributionally robust optimization framework integrated with joint chance constraints to effectively manage the operation of prosumers and their energy sharing to mitigate overall peak load imbalances under uncertainties. In a data-driven setting and by leveraging historical data, the proposed model is reformulated as a tractable second-order conic constrained quadratic programing (SOCP). By considering real-world complexities based on realistic-data such as diverse load profiles and intermittent renewable generation, our approach demonstrates enhanced energy management system performance, even in out-of-sample scenarios. The synergy of distributed energy resources and coordinated flexibility within the network is instrumental in achieving substantial reductions in peak load and improving grid resilience.

### Keywords

Joint chance-constrained (JCC), distributionally robust optimization (DRO), peer-to-peer (P2P) energy, second-order cone program (SOCP)

#### 1. Introduction

#### 1.1. Motivation and Background

Electricity is a critical enabler of economic growth and social well-being. Maintaining a delicate balance between energy supply and demand is imperative for ensuring energy security and sustainability. While many regions grapple with this challenge, Iran faces a particularly acute issue, especially during peak summer periods. A substantial gap between electricity supply and demand, exacerbated by rapid urbanization, economic expansion, and the proliferation of electricity-intensive technologies, has resulted in severe energy deficits. Historical data, such as the 14,000-to-17,000-megawatt shortfall experienced in the summer of 2024 [1], underscores the urgency of addressing this problem.

Traditional demand-side management strategies have demonstrated limited effectiveness in mitigating peak load challenges. To unlock the full potential of distributed energy resources and enhance grid resilience, innovative approaches are required. This study proposes a novel framework for collaborative energy management among prosumers as a promising solution to this complex issue.

#### 1.2. Related Works

With the escalating demand for electrical energy, various segments of the power industry, including

generation, transmission, and distribution, have undergone significant expansion. The advent of novel energy sources and consumption patterns in recent years has further accelerated these changes. Among these segments, the electric power distribution network stands out as the most extensive, encompassing a diverse range of stakeholders and loads. Consequently, optimizing the internal operations of this network, as well as its interactions with other segments, can yield substantial benefits. For instance, demand-side management (DSM) [2] has emerged as a pivotal strategy for enhancing energy efficiency and mitigating peak loads. This approach encompasses a suite of measures aimed at incentivizing consumers to curtail their energy consumption during peak periods or shift their usage to off-peak times.

In [3], linear programming is employed to optimally allocate renewable sources to fill the gap between peak load power demand and availability of power at the regional level. Managing uncertainty in power systems is also essential. The paper [4] demonstrated that robust optimization can ensure optimal performance while minimizing upstream price uncertainties in hybrid renewable energy systems. Increasing electricity consumption in residential and transportation sectors is altering energy demand profiles and overloading the grid. In [5] a coordination mechanism for electric vehicle charging and discharging is proposed to reduce energy costs and manage peak demand based on a mixed-integer optimization approach. Respecting large energy consumers, [6] has shown that demand response programs can significantly reduce costs using robust optimization. A novel approach to demand-side management with personalized pricing has been introduced in [7]. Each end-user receives a tailored pricing plan, helping to reduce demand peaks and prevent price discrimination. This approach, leveraging advanced metering infrastructure, has demonstrated superior performance compared to traditional demand-side management methods.

The impact of forecasting errors on residential electricity demand management has been investigated in [8]. The proposed model includes battery energy storage systems, electric vehicles, and photovoltaics, using forecasting techniques for peak demand management. Results showed that forecasting errors can be as high as 300%, increasing costs and reducing battery life. Moreover, a daily uncertainty detection technique based on weather conditions is suggested for improved demand management. A two-stage distributionally robust optimization model for managing peak consumption and reducing continuous load of thermal units under renewable energy uncertainty has been introduced in [9]. This model, using column and constraint generation improves optimal performance and algorithms, renewable energy absorption. In the same vein, [10] proposed a distributionally robust optimization strategy for thermal energy storage systems combined with wind and photovoltaic. This strategy enhances peak load management, renewable energy integration, and carbon reduction.

In [11], home energy management using a new hierarchical coordination approach and distributionally robust optimization is introduced. This method, by optimizing non-thermal load schedules and responding to changes in thermal zone temperature, reduces daily electricity costs by 11%. The role of intelligently combining battery control and solar energy generation in optimal home energy management has been investigated in [12]. The use of distributionally robust optimization and chance-constrained programming improves energy efficiency and reduces electricity costs. In [13], a dayahead optimization strategy for distribution system operators using an aggregated electric vehicle model is proposed. This model uses a Gaussian mixture model to model net load uncertainty and employs distributionally robust optimization methods to improve economic performance and computational efficiency. Considering operational challenges in distribution systems arising from the integration of fluctuating renewable energy resources and increased peak demand due to electrification, a new probabilistic-robust framework for coordinated distribution energy management is proposed in [14]. This framework facilitates seamless interaction between peer-to-peer (P2P) energy trading and the operation of distributed flexible resources. While adhering to network constraints and maintaining energy trading consistency, a distributed algorithm is provided to preserve user data privacy. A two-stage stochastic program was developed in [19] to ensure the safe operation of power systems with high renewable

penetration, using distributionally robust joint chance constraints with Wasserstein ambiguity sets to avoid load shedding and renewable spillage.

While prior research has explored aspects of peak management, including renewable energy load integration and the emergence of new load types, the intricate interplay between consumption patterns, energy resources, regulatory frameworks, and regional characteristics remains underexplored. Specifically, the strategic management of energy storage, shiftable loads, and demand response under uncertainty requires a multitime scale approach to effectively address the impacts of charging, discharging, or load shifting. Our paper addresses this gap by integrating these elements into a distributionally robust optimization framework, enhancing system reliability and mitigating peak load imbalances through the incorporation of battery energy storage and flexible loads. Furthermore, we advance the field by introducing a multi-time scale, distributionally robust, chance-constrained approach tailored to Iran's electricity distribution system, considering the unique PV generation profiles, consumption uncertainties, and peak load behaviors specific to the region, as well as different energy storage systems, including battery and virtual storage (e.g., shiftable loads).

#### 1.3. Contributions

This paper offers three key contributions:

- *Novel Framework*: A two-stage distributionally robust optimization model is introduced to address the intricate challenge of managing time-coupled uncertainties inherent to emerging distributed flexible resources, including energy storage and shiftable loads.

- *Robust Risk Management*: A chance-constrained approach is integrated to proactively mitigate the cumulative effects of uncertainties within the system.

- *Rigorous Evaluation*: The model's performance is comprehensively assessed through numerical simulations using realistic data from Iranian residential and commercial prosumers. The impact of key model parameters on system outcomes is quantified.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: Section 2 presents the model development and mathematical framework. Section 3 formulates the problem as a two-stage, multi-time scale distributionally robust optimization problem and its corresponding second-order conic programming reformulation. Section 4 discusses simulation results and a case study. Finally, Section 5 concludes the paper.

## 2. Model Development and Mathematical Framework

#### 2.1. System Model

We consider an energy community within a distribution network consisting of a set of prosumers (Fig. 1). Our objective is to investigate and evaluate the energy efficiency of the community through local cooperation among prosumers within a limited time horizon denoted by  $\mathcal{T}$ , which is divided into several time intervals  $t \in \mathcal{T}$ . It is assumed that prosumers are equipped with Home Energy Management Systems



Fig. 1 Conceptual overview of the energy trading and distributed flexibility platform

(HEMS), distributed generation resources such as photovoltaic (PV) panels, and various energy storage systems like battery storage systems (BSS) and electric vehicles (EVs), as well as flexible loads that can be deferred for a short period. However, each prosumer may have excess or insufficient energy depending on their energy resources and consumption patterns. Local energy trading and coordination of flexible loads among prosumers may offer more advantages in some cases compared to trading with the utility company. Therefore, we assume that each prosumer n has a set of peers denoted by  $\mathcal{N}_n$ .

In a power system with peak load hours, the system may encounter power imbalances that can destabilize the grid. Therefore, it is necessary to schedule the consumption of each prosumer in collaboration with other prosumers, with knowledge of peak load times, which can often be determined through historical data, in a way that reduces the probability of peak demand.

Thus, we ensure that the energy balance equation is always satisfied, favoring supply across all time periods  $\sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}} p_n + q_n + p_n^g + p_n^b + P_n^e \ge \sum_{n \in \mathcal{N}} p_n^l + p_n^s$ (1)where  $p_n, q_n$  represent day-ahead and real-time energy exchanges with the main grid, respectively.  $p_n^g$  denotes the distributed energy generation of prosumer  $n \, . \, p_n^b$ denotes the distributed energy generation of prosumer n.  $p_n^l, p_n^s$  denote the inflexible and flexible loads of prosumer n, respectively.  $P_n^e$  represents the total P2P energy exchange of prosumer n with its neighbor.

#### 2.2. Prosumers Model

The role of prosumers in a distributed energy system is crucial, since these prosumers can manage their energy resources to actively participate in grid operations and potentially benefit from this involvement. A typical prosumer equipped with a variety of energy resources, coordinated by a Home Energy Management System (HEMS) is investigated. To engage actively in grid operations, prosumers must contribute their resources and manage their energy usage according to their own and their partners' preferences to maximize benefits. We assume prosumers have complete control over their resources and can freely share energy and flexibility with others.

The power balance in distribution networks is disrupted by distributed generation from local renewable energy sources (like PV systems) and fluctuating demand. To restore local power balance, utility grid capacity, or flexible local resources such as battery storage systems, shiftable loads (SL), and P2P energy trading can be leveraged. Prosumers, even when not experiencing energy imbalances themselves, can share these resources to assist the grid or their peers in maintaining power equilibrium, thereby stabilizing the overall local network.

The objective of a prosumer can be represented using the profit they earn  $U_n$  or the cost they incur  $C_n$ , as shown in the following equation Jn

$$u = C_n - U_n \tag{2}$$

A prosumer can generate profit by selling energy to the grid the day before or to their energy partners, as expressed in equation

$$U_n = c_p^{\mathsf{T}} p_n + \sum_{m \in \mathcal{N}_n} c_{nm}^{\mathsf{T}} p_{nm}^e \tag{3}$$

Here, the coefficients  $c_p$  and  $c_{nm}$  are positive and represent the cost of energy exchange with the grid and the prosumers. The prosumer also incurs costs for battery storage system degradation, the dis-utility caused from shifting usage of flexible loads, real-time energy purchases, and deviations from the schedules, as shown in

 $C_n = c_q^{\mathsf{T}} q_n + \gamma_n^b ||p_n^b||_2^2 + \gamma_n^s ||S_n||_2^2 + \gamma_n^p ||p_n - p_n^r||_2^2 \quad (4)$ In this context,  $\gamma_n^b$ ,  $\gamma_n^s$  and  $\gamma_n^p$  are positive coefficients.  $S_n$  is a variable representing the energy shift state of the flexible loads between desired  $p_n^{s,r}$  and actual values  $p_n^s$ . It is defined as follows

$$S_{n,t+1} = S_{n,t} + \Delta t \left( p_{n,t}^s - p_{n,t}^{s,r} \right), \quad \forall t \in \mathcal{T}$$
(5)  
where  $\Delta t$  is the sampling time interval. The state-of-

where  $\Delta t$  is the sampling time interval. The state-of charge (SoC) of battery storage systems is defined as

$$E_{n,t+1} = E_{n,t} + \eta \ p_{n,t}^b, \quad \forall t \in \mathcal{T}$$
(6)

where  $\eta$  is the charging/discharging efficiency. To ensure energy balance in the network during P2P energy trading, the following reciprocity relationship is considered by prosumers

$$p_{nm}^e + p_{mn}^e = 0, \quad m \in \mathcal{N}_n \tag{6}$$

Some other constraints on decision variables and system parameters, due to technical or contractual limitations, are as follows

$$\underline{p}_n \le p_n \le \overline{p}_n \tag{8a}$$

$$\underline{q}_n \le q_n \le \overline{q}_n \tag{8b}$$

$$\underline{p}_{nm}^{e} \le p_{nm}^{e} \le \overline{p}_{nm}^{e}, \quad m \in \mathcal{N}_{n}$$
(8c)

$$p_n^b \le p_n^b \le \overline{p}_n^b$$
 (8d)

$$0 \le p_n^s \le \overline{p}_n^s \tag{8e}$$

$$\underline{E}_n \leq E_n \leq E_n \tag{81}$$

$$S_n \leq S_n \leq \overline{S}_n \tag{89}$$

$$\underline{S}_n \leq S_n \leq S_n \tag{8g}$$

#### **Distributed Decision-Making under Uncertainty** 3.

This section formulates a distributionally robust optimization problem for balancing energy generation and consumption. By modelling uncertainties as probability distributions, this approach provides a more flexible and informative solution compared to traditional robust optimization, which often relies on conservative worst-case assumptions.

#### 3.1. Distributionally Robust Optimization

Consider a set of possible decisions denoted by  $\mathcal{X}$  and an uncertain parameter vector  $\xi$  whose distribution is unknown but is assumed to belong to a set of distributions  $\mathcal{P}$ . The objective of a distributionally robust optimization problem is defined as follows

 $\min_{x \in x} \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \mathbb{E}_{P}[f(x,\xi)]$ (9)

where  $f(x,\xi)$  is the objective function of the optimization problem, and  $\mathbb{E}_{P}[f(x,\xi)]$  is the expected value of  $f(x,\xi)$  under the distribution *P*.

To evaluate the expected value of a random variable  $\xi$ , its probability distribution is required. However, in practical applications, the true distribution *P* is often unknown, and only a set of historical samples  $\xi = \xi_1, ..., \xi_l$  is available. In this paper, the Wasserstein metric is employed to construct an ambiguous set  $\mathcal{P}$ , as it offers desirable properties such as out-of-sample performance guarantees, asymptotic guarantees, and analytical tractability, allowing for a tractable reformulation of the problem [15].

Given a set of historical samples, an empirical distribution  $\hat{P}^I = I^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^{I} \delta_{\hat{\xi}_i}$  can be used to estimate *P*, where  $\delta_{\hat{\xi}_i}$  denotes the Dirac measure at point  $\hat{\xi}_i$ , and *I* denotes the number of samples. Generally, the Wasserstein metric quantifies the distance between the empirical and true distributions and is defined as

$$W(P, \hat{P}^{I}) = \min_{\Pi} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^{T} \times \mathbb{R}^{T}} \|\xi - \hat{\xi}\| \Pi(d\xi, d\hat{\xi}) \right\}$$
(10)

Here,  $\Pi$  is a joint distribution on  $\mathbb{R}^T \times \mathbb{R}^T$  with marginal distributions *P* and  $\hat{P}^I$ . Subsequently, the ambiguous set is constructed as

 $\mathcal{P}_{I} = \left\{ W(P, \hat{P}^{I}) \leq \rho(N) \right\}$ (11) where  $\rho(N)$  is the radius of the ambiguous set centered at  $\hat{P}^{I}$  [16].

#### 3.2. Two-stage Stochastic Program

The potential imbalance in the problem is primarily attributed to the mismatch between the power generation of distributed renewable resources and inflexible loads. We model this imbalance as  $C_n(\mu_n + \xi_n)$ , where  $C_n \in R$ represents the nominal power capacity,  $\mu_n$  is the relative forecast value determined in the first stage, and  $\xi_n$  is the deviation from this forecast, revealed in the second stage.

In the first stage, decisions regarding energy exchange between the prosumer and the grid, as well as other partners, are made, and the state of the battery storage system and flexible loads is determined accordingly. In the second stage, real-time power adjustment with the grid is made, influenced by the uncertainty represented by  $\xi$ .

Consequently, the prosumer *n* 's optimization problem can be formulated as a two-stage stochastic program with probabilistic constraints (See (12)). By using the linear decision rule  $q_n(\xi_n) = Q_n\xi_n$  and substituting  $C_n(\mu_n + \xi_n) = p_n^l - p_n^g$ , we obtain (13). The presence of expected values and probabilistic constraints makes this problem difficult to solve exactly. We will explore approximation and simplification methods in the next section.

#### 3.1. Problem Reformulation

In stochastic optimization, one common approach to estimate the expected value in problem (13) is through sample average approximation. While this method is straightforward and provides asymptotic guarantees, it tends to perform inadequately with out-of-sample data. In this section, we introduce an alternative reformulation of the problem as a conic program, utilizing the approach outlined in [15]. Let the uncertainty set be a polytope, that is,  $\Xi = \{C \ \xi \le d\}$  where *C* is a matrix and *d* a vector of appropriate dimensions. Then the second stage of the problem (13) can be expressed as (14), where  $\| \|_*$ denotes the dual norm of the used norm || ||. Moreover, the probabilistic constraints in problem (13) consist of T linear inequalities that collectively form the joint probabilistic constraint (15). To address this, we utilize a set of acceptable thresholds for individual constraint violations,  $\{\epsilon_t, \forall t \in \mathcal{T}\}$ . The Bonferroni inequality can then be employed to decompose the joint probabilistic constraint into a collection of simpler, albeit more conservative, individual probabilistic constraints as presented in (16). Even with  $\rho = 0$ , computing these constraints remains challenging. Thus, an alternative approach involves approximating the worst-case probabilistic constraints using Conditional Value-at-Risk (CVaR) constraints. Specifically, the worst-case CVaR approximation is given by (17).

This approximation provides the best internal approximation based on the criteria established in [17]. [18] have demonstrated that if  $\epsilon \leq N^{-1}$  for all  $t \in \mathcal{T}$ , the two aforementioned approximations are equivalent. Finally, reformulating the solution set of equation (17) into a conic program using the method proposed by [15] and [19] yields (18).

$$\min_{\substack{p_{n},\{p_{nm}^{e},\forall m\},p_{n}^{b},p_{n}^{s},q_{n}(\xi_{n})\\\text{subject to}}} c_{p}^{\mathsf{T}}p_{n} + \sum_{m\in\mathcal{N}_{n}} c_{nm}^{\mathsf{T}}p_{nm}^{e} + \gamma_{n}^{b} \|p_{n}^{b}\|_{2}^{2} + \gamma_{n}^{s} \|S_{n}\|_{2}^{2} + \max_{P\in\mathcal{P}} \mathbb{E}_{P}[c_{q}^{\mathsf{T}}q_{n}(\xi_{n})] \tag{12}$$

$$\mathbb{P}\left[p_{n}^{l} - p_{n}^{g} \leq p_{n} + q_{n} + p_{n}^{b} - p_{n}^{s} + P_{n}^{e}\right] \geq 1 - \epsilon$$
Eqs. (5) (6) (7) and (8)

$$\min_{\substack{p_n, \{p_{nm}^e, \forall m\}, p_n^b, p_n^s, Q_n \\ \text{subject to}}} c_n^{\mathsf{T}} p_n + \sum_{m \in \mathcal{N}_n} c_{nm}^{\mathsf{T}} p_{nm}^e + \gamma_n^b \|p_n^b\|_2^2 + \gamma_n^s \|S_n\|_2^2 + \max_{\substack{P \in \mathcal{P} \\ P \in \mathcal{P}}} \mathbb{E}_P [c_q^{\mathsf{T}} Q_n \xi_n]$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{P \in \mathcal{P} \\ P \in \mathcal{P}}} \mathbb{E}_P [c_n(\mu_n + \xi_n) \le p_n + q_n + p_n^b - p_n^s + P_n^e] \ge 1 - \epsilon \\ = Eqs. (5) \cdot (6) \cdot (7) \text{ and } (8)$$
(13)

(15)

$$\max_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \mathbb{E}_{P} \left[ c_{q}^{\mathsf{T}} Q_{n} \xi_{n} \right] = \begin{cases} \min_{\lambda^{o}, s^{o}, \gamma^{o}} \lambda^{o} \rho + \frac{1}{I} \Sigma_{i=1}^{I} s_{i}^{o} \\ \text{subject to } c_{q}^{\mathsf{T}} Q_{n} \widehat{\xi}_{i} + \gamma_{i}^{o} \left[ (d - C \widehat{\xi}_{i}) \le s_{i}^{o}, \quad \forall i \le I \\ & \left\| C^{\mathsf{T}} \gamma_{i}^{o} - Q_{n} c_{q} \right\|_{*} \le \lambda^{o}, \quad \forall i \le I \\ & \gamma_{i}^{o} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}, \quad \forall i \le I \\ & \lambda^{o} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}, s^{o} \in \mathbb{R}^{I} \end{cases}$$
(14)

 $\min_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \mathbb{P} \Big[ C_n \big( \mu_n + \xi_n \big) \le p_n + q_n + p_n^b - p_n^s + P_n^e \Big] \ge 1 - \epsilon$ 

$$\min_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \mathbb{P} \Big[ \mathcal{C}_{n,t} \big( \mu_{n,t} + \xi_{n,t} \big) \le p_{n,t} + q_{n,t} + p_{n,t}^b - p_{n,t}^s + P_{n,t}^e \Big] \ge 1 - \epsilon_t, \quad \forall t \in \mathcal{T}$$

$$\tag{16}$$

$$\min_{P \in \mathcal{P}} \mathbb{P} - \text{CVaR}_{\epsilon_t} \Big[ C_{n,t} \Big( \mu_{n,t} + \xi_{n,t} \Big) - (p_{n,t} + q_{n,t} + p_{n,t}^b - p_{n,t}^s + P_{n,t}^e) \Big] \le 0, \quad \forall t \in \mathcal{T}$$
(17)

This conic program can be efficiently solved using computational tools designed for conic programming. The key difference between individual chance constraints (15) and reformulated joint chance constraints (18) is that the former allows significant violations in some intervals as long as the overall probability remains  $1 - \epsilon$ . In contrast, joint chance constraints ensure simultaneous compliance across all time slots, with the probability of violations in each joint constraint below thresholds  $1 - \epsilon_{n,t}$ . Joint chance constraints are more expressive and less conservative, ensuring high-probability compliance with multiple safety conditions, unlike individual chance constraints, which may permit substantial risk in specific cases.

#### 4. Numerical Simulation

#### 4.1. Case Study

To assess the proposed model's performance, we conducted a case study on an energy system comprising ten prosumers in Iran. This study used real-world data on residential and commercial electricity consumption, alongside solar panel energy generation data. We also employed time-varying pricing mechanisms and P2P energy sharing with flexible resource allocation among participants to manage peak demand imbalances. This strategy facilitates demand-supply balancing and reduces costs for both individual and collective prosumers. The study's primary objective is to evaluate the effectiveness of the distributionally robust optimization model in managing peak load imbalances by leveraging renewable energy sources and energy storage systems, considering uncertainties within distribution networks.

This study utilizes household and commercial electricity consumption data, along with solar energy generation data, for the summer of 2019 in Tehran, Iran [14]. This dataset, encompassing 90 days of hourly generation and consumption data for both residential and commercial sectors, is depicted in Fig. 2 and serves as the input for a distributionally robust optimization model. Approximately [20 - 40]% of the daily energy consumption is considered flexible load. Additional model parameters are detailed in Table 1. The model, implemented in MATLAB using the Mosek conic programming solver, is designed to mitigate peak demand imbalances by leveraging energy trading, flexible loads, and energy storage in the presence of renewable energy sources.

Table 1. Data and Model parameters	
$\rho \in [0-1], \epsilon \in [0-10\%]$	$\underline{p}_n = -\overline{p}_n \in [40 - 60]kW$
$C \in [-10 - 10] kW$	$\underline{p}_{nm}^{e} = -\overline{p}_{nm}^{e} \in [5 - 10] \ kW, \ \forall m \in \mathcal{N}_{n}$
$E \in [-200 - 200] kWh$	$\underline{p}_n^b = -\overline{p}_n^b \in [10 - 40]kW$
$S \in [0 - 200]kWh$	$\overline{p}_n^b \in [10 - 40]kW$
$c_{nm} \in [4-16]\phi$	$c_p \in [6-12] \phi$ , $c_q \in [6-25] \phi$



(a) Residential (top) and commercial (bottom)

(b) PV production

Fig. 2. Illustration of daily and seasonal variations in (a) energy consumption and (b) production, with a focus on summer.



Fig. 3. Illustration of fluctuations in day-ahead (top) and real-time (bottom) energy prices throughout a day.



Fig. 4. Analysis of the energy generation and consumption patterns of two typical prosumers over a 24-hour period (Under baseline conditions)

#### 4.2. Simulation Results

Fig. 4a and 4b depict the energy generation and consumption patterns of a residential and a commercial prosumer, respectively, over a 24-hour period under normal conditions. While the peak consumption hours of

these two consumer types do not perfectly align, their energy consumption is concentrated at specific times. This concentrated consumption can be significantly impacted by various uncertainties, leading to pronounced peak demand. Conversely, consumption is relatively low during other hours.

Fig. 5 illustrates the energy generation and consumption patterns of the same prosumers when the proposed approach is applied. This approach not only distributes energy consumption more evenly throughout

the day but also reduces the likelihood of simultaneous peak consumption in the presence of uncertainties. The utilization of flexible loads, energy storage, and P2P energy trading, in addition to reducing costs for prosumers (see Table 2), has led to a reduction and even elimination of imbalances in peak demand.



Fig. 5. Analysis of the energy generation and consumption patterns of two typical prosumers over a 24-hour period (The proposed strategy).

Table 2 Comparison of prosumers total cost(¢)		
Baseline conditions	The proposed strategy	
76835	53492	

Fig. 6(a) and 6(b) present the energy demand of prosumers over a 24-hour period, respectively, before and after applying the proposed approach, demonstrating a significant reduction in peak load imbalance. These

results indicate that the proposed approach can effectively manage peak load imbalances and enhance grid stability.





(a) Baseline conditions (b) Fig. 6. Energy demand of prosumers and peak load over a 24-hour period.

#### 4.3. Out-of-sample performance

A key advantage of the distributionally robust optimization (DRO) framework is its flexibility in handling uncertainty without relying on a specific dataset or probability distribution, unlike traditional stochastic or robust optimization approaches that typically require precise probabilistic information or data-specific assumptions. This feature makes DRO particularly powerful in scenarios where the underlying distribution of uncertain parameters is ambiguous or only partially known. To evaluate the performance of our DRO framework, we first solved problems (14) and (18) using data from 2019 as the training set. Subsequently, we generated 100 additional test samples  $\mathcal{I}^{o}$  using data from 2020 to 2022 to assess the model's robustness and generalizability. We computed the out-of-sample cost and the probability of constraint violations for this test set using the sample average approximation (SAA) method, given by equations (19) and (20). This approach allows us to rigorously assess the out-of-sample performance, measuring both the cost efficiency and the reliability of the constraints under varying real-world conditions that differ from the training environment.

Fig. 7 illustrates the trade-off between out-of-sample costs and violation probabilities, averaged over 100 simulations based on the Wasserstein radius  $\rho$ . As the  $\rho$  decreases, costs decline, but violation probabilities rise. This is because larger Wasserstein radii lead to more conservative solutions, using more expensive resources like battery storage systems. For large  $\rho$  values, this approximation also guarantees out-of-sample satisfaction of all chance constraints and empirical violation probabilities are below 5%.

$$\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_{n}(\rho) = c_{p}^{\mathsf{T}}\hat{p}_{n}(\rho) + \sum_{m\in\mathcal{N}_{n}} c_{nm}^{\mathsf{T}}\hat{p}_{nm}^{e}(\rho) + \gamma_{n}^{b} \|\hat{p}_{n}^{b}(\rho)\|_{2}^{2} + \gamma_{n}^{s} \|\hat{S}_{n}(\rho)\|_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{1^{o}} \sum_{i=1}^{I^{o}} c_{q}^{\mathsf{T}}\widehat{Q}_{n}(\rho)\xi_{i}$$
(19)
$$\widehat{\mathfrak{B}}_{n,t}(\rho) = \frac{1}{1^{o}} \sum_{i=1}^{I^{o}} \mathbb{I}_{(C_{n,t}(\mu_{n,t}+\xi_{n,t})\leq\hat{p}_{n,t}+\hat{q}_{n,t}+\hat{p}_{n,t}^{b}-\hat{p}_{n,t}^{s}+\hat{p}_{n,t}^{e})}, \quad \forall t \in \mathcal{T}$$
(20)



Fig. 7 Out-of-sample costs and violation probabilities (by decreasing  $\rho$  (left-to-right))

#### 4.4. Discussion

Simulation results demonstrate that the proposed model can significantly reduce power imbalances during peak hours. This is achieved through the synergy between different loads in the network and increased coordination between energy generation and consumption. In some scenarios, the power imbalance (expressed as the peak-toaverage ratio) was reduced by up to 30%, indicating the model's high efficiency in managing peak electricity demand. Moreover, the reduction in prosumers' costs by significantly incentivizes their more than 35% participation in this solution. Additionally, the use of energy storage systems has significantly enhanced system stability in the face of fluctuations in generation and consumption. For instance, during peak demand hours, energy stored in batteries was injected into the grid, helping to mitigate imbalances. The results of this study indicate that the distributionally robust optimization model can be effectively used as a solution for managing power imbalances in the presence of severe uncertainties in Iran. Given the increasing electricity demand and the limitations of expanding generation capacity, the utilization of renewable resources and energy storage can play a significant role in improving the stability and efficiency of the power grid.

By adopting the DRO framework, we avoid the limitations inherent in models that depend heavily on the accuracy of a specific data set or distributional assumption. Instead, our method accounts for a range of potential distributions within an ambiguity set, ensuring that the solution remains robust even under distributional shifts or when faced with data that deviates from historical patterns. This flexibility is particularly critical in dynamic environments, such as energy systems, where conditions and uncertainties evolve over time, making traditional approaches less reliable. Through this rigorous evaluation process, we demonstrate that the DRO framework not only provides a more reliable and cost-effective solution but also effectively mitigates the risk of constraint violations across different test scenarios, showcasing its superior adaptability and robustness in uncertain environments. This study shows that the combination of historical data and distributionally robust optimization models can effectively contribute to mitigating energy supply problems and optimizing peak load management. This approach can be considered as a comprehensive and scientific strategy for energy management in other developing countries.

#### 5. Conclusion

This study addresses the critical challenges facing Iran's power grid, particularly the growing disparity between peak demand and capacity expansion. By introducing a novel two-stage multi-time scale distributionally robust optimization framework with joint chance constraints, we have effectively managed prosumer operations and energy sharing to mitigate peak load imbalances under imperfect knowledge of uncertain parameters and their probability distributions. The datadriven model, which leverages historical data, was reformulated as a tractable second-order cone programming to handle the real-world complexities of uncertain and diverse load profiles and intermittent renewable generation.

The case study demonstrated that our approach significantly enhances energy management system performance, resulting in a 30% reduction in power imbalances and a 35% decrease in prosumers' operational costs. Moreover, the model's ability to integrate flexible loads and energy storage contributes to system stability, making it a viable solution for managing power imbalances not only in Iran but also in other developing countries. These findings verify the potential of the proposed framework to improve grid resilience, stability, and efficiency, paving the way for more sustainable

energy management practices in regions facing similar challenges.

#### 6. References

 Bayat, H., Asadi, F. "Investigation of electricity supply security aspects during peak consumption in summer 1403". *Report of the Research Center of the Iranian Chamber of Commerce*, August 2024 (in Persian).
 Siano, P. Demand response and smart grids—A survey. *Renewable and sustainable energy reviews*, 30, 461-478, 2014.

[3] Dudhani, S., Sinha, A. K., & Inamdar, S. S." Renewable energy sources for peak load demand management in India". *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*, 28(6), 396-400, 2006.

[4] Taghizadeh, M., Bahramara, S., Adabi, F., & Nojavan, S. "Optimal operation of storage-based hybrid energy system considering market price uncertainty and peak demand management". *J. Energy Storage*, *30*, 101519, 2020.

[5] Rafique, S., Nizami, M. S. H., Irshad, U. B., Hossain, M. J., & Mukhopadhyay, S. C. "EV scheduling framework for peak demand management in LV residential networks", *IEEE Systems Journal*, *16*(1), 1520-1528, 2021.

[6] Farham, H., Mohammadian, L., Alipour, H., & Pouladi, J. "Energy procurement of large industrial consumer via interval optimization approach considering peak demand management", *Sustainable Cities and Society*, *46*, 101421, 2019.

[7] Hayes, B., Melatti, I., Mancini, T., Prodanovic, M., & Tronci, E. "Residential demand management using individualized demand aware price policies", *IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid*, 8(3), 1284-1294, 2016.

[8] Mahmud, K., Ravishankar, J., Hossain, M. J., & Dong, Z. Y. "The impact of prediction errors in the domestic peak power demand management", *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Informatics*, *16*(7), 4567-4579, 2019.

[9] Zhu, Y., Liu, J., Hu, Y., Xie, Y., Zeng, D., & Li, R. "Distributionally robust optimization model considering deep peak shaving and uncertainty of renewable energy", *Energy*, 288, 129935, 2024.

[10] Zhang, Z., & Zhu, R. "A Distributionally Robust Optimization Strategy for a Wind–Photovoltaic Thermal Storage Power System Considering Deep Peak Load Balancing of Thermal Power Units", *Processes*, *12*(3), 534, 2024.

[11] Saberi, H., Zhang, C., & Dong, Z. Y. "Data-driven distributionally robust hierarchical coordination for home energy management", *IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid*, *12*(5), 4090-4101, 2021.

[12] Zhao, P., Wu, H., Gu, C., & Hernando-Gil, I. "Optimal home energy management under hybrid photovoltaic-storage uncertainty: a distributionally robust chance-constrained approach", *IET Renewable Power Generation*, *13*(11), 1911-1919, 2019.

[13] Shi, X., Xu, Y., Guo, Q., Sun, H., & Zhang, X. "Dayahead distributionally robust optimization-based scheduling for distribution systems with electric vehicles", *IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid*, *14*(4), 2837-2850, 2022. [14] Noori, A., Tavassoli, B., & Fereidunian, A. "Joint flexibility-risk managed distributed energy trading considering network constraints and uncertainty", *Electric Power Systems Research*, 231, 110355, 2024.

[15] Mohajerin Esfahani, P., & Kuhn, D. "Data-driven distributionally robust optimization using the Wasserstein metric: Performance guarantees and tractable reformulations", *Mathematical Programming*, *171*(1), 115-166, 2018.

[16] Nemirovski, A., & Shapiro, A. "Convex approximations of chance constrained programs", *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, 17(4), 969-996, 2007.

[17] Chen, Z., Kuhn, D., & Wiesemann, W. "Data-driven chance constrained programs over Wasserstein balls", *Operations Research*, 72(1), 410-424, 2024.

[18] Duan, C., Fang, W., Jiang, L., Yao, L., & Liu, J. "Distributionally robust chance-constrained approximate AC-OPF with Wasserstein metric", *IEEE Transactions on Power Systems*, *33*(5), 4924-4936, 2018.

[19] Ordoudis, C., Nguyen, V. A., Kuhn, D., & Pinson, P. "Energy and reserve dispatch with distributionally robust joint chance constraints", *Operations Research Letters*, *49*(3), 291-299, 2021.