

# Spatio-Temporal Communication Compression for Distributed Prime-Dual Optimization

Zihao Ren, Lei Wang, Deming Yuan, Hongye Su, Guodong Shi<sup>\*†§</sup>

September 4, 2024

## Abstract

In this paper, for the problem of distributed computing, we propose a general spatio-temporal compressor and discuss its compression methods. This compressor comprehensively considers both temporal and spatial information, encompassing many existing specific compressors. We use the average consensus algorithm as a starting point and further studies distributed optimization algorithms, the Prime-Dual algorithm as an example, in both continuous and discrete time forms. We find that under stronger additional assumptions, the spatio-temporal compressor can be directly applied to distributed computing algorithms, while its default form can also be successfully applied through observer-based differential compression methods, ensuring the linear convergence of the algorithm when the objective function is strongly convex. On this basis, we also discuss the acceleration of the algorithm, filter-based compression methods in the literature, and the addition of randomness to the spatio-temporal compressor. Finally, numerical simulations illustrate the generality of the spatio-

temporal compressor, compare different compression methods, and verify the algorithm's performance in the convex objective function scenario.

**keywords** Communication compression; distributed optimization; linear convergence; spatio-temporal compressors; average consensus.

## 1 Introduction

Distributed intelligent systems, such as drone swarms, smart grids, and cyber-physical systems, have been extensively researched across disciplines such as control, signal processing, and machine learning [1, 2, 3, 4]. The mathematical representation of a distributed system involves a network connecting multiple agents, where each node symbolizes an individual agent, and the edges depict communication lines between these nodes. When distributed systems are required to implement tasks such as cluster optimization and collaborative control, it requires the foundational functionality of distributed computing. In this process, each node stores localized information and communicates messages with connected nodes through the network, and collaboratively solves a mathematical problem [1]. This paper focuses on addressing distributed optimization problems, where each node possesses a function, aiming to identify solutions that collectively minimize the sum of network node functions through constant communication across the network. Extensive research has been devoted to the study of distributed optimization algorithms, primarily rooted in the consensus algorithm. The goal of this algorithm is to foster consistency in

<sup>\*</sup>A preliminary work has been submitted to the 63rd IEEE Conference on Decision and Control, December 16-19, 2024, Allianz MiCo, Milan Convention Centre, Italy. Corresponding author L. Wang.

<sup>†</sup>Zihao Ren, Lei Wang and Hongye Su are with the College of Control Science and Engineering, Zhejiang University, P.R. China. (E-mail: zhren2000; lei.wangzju; hysu69@zju.edu.cn).

<sup>‡</sup>Deming Yuan is with the School of Automation, Nanjing University of Science and Technology, P.R. China (Email: dmyuan1012@gmail.com).

<sup>§</sup>Guodong Shi is with Australia Centre for Field Robotics, The University of Sydney, Australia (Email: guodong.shi@sydney.edu.au).

the states across nodes within the network. A combination of the consensus algorithm with the classical gradient descent method in optimization problems, coupled with stability tactics, results in the distributed subgradient algorithm (DSG), achieving sublinear convergence under a strongly convex global cost function [5, 6]. To address distributed optimization problems with faster rate requirements, more sophisticated algorithms have been introduced. The distributed gradient tracking algorithm (DGT) incorporates an additional state to trace the gradient of the objective function [7, 8], akin to integral action [9]. For diverse equivalent forms of distributed optimization problems, various Lagrangian functions have been proposed, giving rise to multiple algorithms based on the saddle point dynamic method. Examples include the Wang-Eila algorithm in [10] and primal dual algorithm in [11], distinct in communication states.

In practical implementation, the network bandwidth for communication in distributed systems is limited and numerous communication compression strategies have been developed to handle such issues. In [12, 13, 14, 15], the idea of quantifying the communication is combined with DSG and DGT algorithms. Specifically, [12] introduced adaptive quantization and [13] applied random quantization, where the quantizer codebook changes when approaching the solution. In [15], the authors developed a dynamic encoding and decoding scheme for quantization. In addition to quantization, there are also several other types of compressors capable of reducing communication bits by synthesizing concepts from quantization, sparsity, and randomization [16, 17, 18, 19]. These compressors exclusively focus on the spatial dimension, encompassing the information within transmitted messages. Notably, the compressor in [20] incorporates temporal dimensions, utilizing information across time. Other research aims to identify commonalities among specific compressors, thereby proposing a generalized definition of compressors [21, 22, 23]. This definition allows any function that satisfies these properties to be considered as a compressor and applied to algorithms. Our goal is to propose such a definition, characterized by properties that simultaneously consider both temporal and spatial dimen-

sions.

In addition, how to combine the compressors with distributed optimization algorithms has become a noteworthy area of study. This is because refining the application method can facilitate the successful integration of more general compressors and enhance the overall effectiveness of the algorithm. Beyond the direct application of compressors to the communication state, there exist intriguing techniques, as direct application often poses challenges to stability [24, 25]. For instance, [12, 19] incorporate a weighted sum of the updated value and the original value into the original value, while [13, 26] compress the difference between iterations rather than the original value. In the work of [15, 27], the difference is scaled and then compressed, with the results communicated after a reverse reduction, further ensuring the convergence of the algorithm. [16, 28] adopts a differential compression method based on filtering, and through additional equivalent transformations, ensures that only compressed values are exchanged between nodes. The proposal of a compressor application method based on observers is also a main focus of this paper.

Our research in this paper contributes in two aspects: proposing a general compressor definition and exploring its application methods. Firstly, we propose a unified spatio-temporal compressor theory for communication compression in distributed optimization. Such ST compressor uses a constructive exponential stability perspective from nonlinear systems, and various static communication compressors in the literature are categorized into it. We discuss the compression method of direct compression and our proposed observer-based compression, and establish convergence conditions for a class of distributed Primal-Dual optimization algorithms with explicit convergence rates. Our results and analysis are presented to the large class of ST communication compression, without relying on the specific form of a particular compressor. We also discuss the extensions to accelerated algorithm and stochastic compressor. Finally, we validate the above conclusions through simulation experiments.

The paper is structured as follows. Section 2 formulates the distributed optimization problem of in-

terest and proposes the spatio-temporal compressor of both original form and stronger form for message communication. In Section 3, we start from the distributed consensus to illustrate the stronger conditions required when applying this compressor directly, as well as the successful combination with observer-based compression methods. In Section 4, we respectively discuss the applicability of these two compression methods to the Prime-Dual flow and discuss several extension. In Section 5, we discretize the Flows based on Euler method, discuss its acceleration method, and introduce randomness to our compressor. Numerical simulations are presented to show the effectiveness of the proposed approaches in Section 6. Finally, a brief conclusion is made in Section 7. All technical proofs are collected in the Appendices.

**Notation.** In this paper,  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes Euclidean norm. The notation  $\mathbf{1}_n(\mathbf{0}_n)$ ,  $\mathbf{I}_n$  and  $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_m\}$  denote the column one (zero) vector, identity matrix and base vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ , respectively. The expression  $\text{diag}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$  is a diagonal matrix with the  $i$ -th diagonal element being  $x_i$ . The symbol  $\otimes$  denotes the Kronecker product. For differential function,  $\nabla(\cdot)$  denotes its gradient.  $\odot$  denotes Hadamard product.

## 2 Problem Formulation

### 2.1 Distributed Optimization

In this paper, we consider a network of agents indexed by  $V = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , where each agent  $i \in V$  holds a cost function  $f_i : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , and aims to solve the following distributed optimization problem

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\mathbf{x}_i) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \mathbf{x}_i = \mathbf{x}_j, \quad \forall i, j \in V. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Particularly, each local cost function  $f_i$  is assumed to fulfill the following requirements.

**Assumption 1** *The following properties are satisfied.*

- i) The global cost function  $f(\mathbf{x}) := \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(\mathbf{x})$  is strongly convex, i.e., there exists  $\mu > 0$  such that  $f(\mathbf{y}) \geq f(\mathbf{x}) + \nabla f(\mathbf{x})^T(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}) + \frac{\mu}{2}\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\|^2$  for all  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .*

- ii) Each local gradient  $\nabla f_i$  is globally Lipschitz continuous, i.e., there exists  $L_f > 0$  such that  $\|\nabla f_i(\mathbf{x}) - \nabla f_i(\mathbf{y})\| \leq L_f \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|$  for all  $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .*  $\square$

If Assumption 1 holds, then the considered optimization problem (1) turns out a strongly convex optimization problem, allowing an optimal solution  $s^* \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $\nabla f(s^*) = 0$  and  $f(s^*) = f^*$ , where  $f^*$  is the optimal value.

As each agent has the information of only local cost function, to solve such a distributed optimization problem (1), a communication network is usually required for transmitting messages. Denote the communication graph  $G = (V, E)$ , where  $E$  denotes the set of edges. Let  $[a_{ij}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  denote the weight matrix complying with graph  $G$ , i.e.,  $a_{ij} > 0$  if  $(j, i) \in E$  and  $a_{ij} = 0$  if  $(j, i) \notin E$ . Then denote by  $\mathbf{L}$  the Laplacian matrix of graph  $G$ , satisfying  $[\mathbf{L}]_{ij} = -a_{ij}$  for all  $i \neq j$ , and  $[\mathbf{L}]_{ii} = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}$  for all  $i \in V$ . Denote the neighbor set of agent  $i$  as  $N_i$ , satisfying  $j \in N_i$  if and only if  $[\mathbf{L}]_{ij} \neq 0$  for all  $i, j \in V$ . For simplicity, we make the following assumption on the communication graph.

**Assumption 2** *The graph  $G$  is undirected, connected and time-invariant.*

The above Assumption 2 indicates that the Laplacian matrix  $\mathbf{L}$  is symmetric positive semi-definite, with  $[\mathbf{L}]_{ij} = [\mathbf{L}]_{ji}$ ,  $\mathbf{L}\mathbf{1}_n = \mathbf{0}_n$  and its eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$ ,  $i \in V$  in an ascending order satisfying  $0 = \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n$  by [1]. We let  $\mathbf{S} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times (n-1)}$  be a matrix whose rows are eigenvectors corresponding to nonzero eigenvalues of  $\mathbf{L}$ , satisfying

$$\mathbf{S}^T \mathbf{1}_n = \mathbf{0}_{n-1}, \quad \mathbf{I}_n = \mathbf{S}\mathbf{S}^T + \mathbf{1}_n \mathbf{1}_n^T / n.$$

With the communication graph  $G$ , several distributed optimization algorithms have been developed in the literature [7, 8, 9, 10, 11] to compute the solution  $s^*$  for (1). In this paper, we mainly focus on the distributed Prime-Dual algorithm, which enables to achieve exponential convergence and further generalizations to the case with optimization constraints [29, 30]. A common distributed Prime-Dual flow for

(1) takes the form [11]

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\mathbf{x}}_i &= -\sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{L}_{ij} \mathbf{x}_{j,c} - \beta \mathbf{v}_i - \eta \nabla f_i(\mathbf{x}_i) \\ \dot{\mathbf{v}}_i &= \beta \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{L}_{ij} \mathbf{x}_{j,c}, \\ \mathbf{x}_{i,c} &= \mathbf{x}_i\end{aligned}\quad (2)$$

where  $\beta, \eta > 0$  are parameters to be fixed and the initial condition  $\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{v}_i(0) = \mathbf{0}_d$ .

## 2.2 Communication Compressors

In this paper, we are particularly interested in the Spatio-Temporal (ST) compressors.

**Definition 1 (ST compressor)** *Given a uniformly linearly bounded mapping  $\mathbf{C} : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ , i.e., there exists a  $L_c > 0$  such that  $\|\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{x}_e, t)\| \leq L_c \|\mathbf{x}_e\|$  for all  $\mathbf{x}_e \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and any  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . Then we have the following statements.*

*CT). The mapping  $\mathbf{C}$  is said to be a ST compressor in continuous time, if the induced continuous-time non-autonomous system  $\dot{\mathbf{x}}_e = -\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{x}_e, t)$  is uniformly globally exponentially stable at the origin.*

*DT). The mapping  $\mathbf{C}$  is said to be a ST compressor in discrete time, if the induced discrete time non-autonomous system  $\mathbf{x}_e(t+1) = \mathbf{x}_e(t) - \kappa_0 \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{x}_e, t)$  is uniformly globally exponentially stable at the origin for some stepsize  $\kappa_0 > 0$ .  $\square$*

In the following, a stronger version of the spatio-temporal compressors, i.e., the Strongly Spatio-Temporal (SST) compressors, is introduced.

**Definition 2 (SST compressor)** *Given a uniformly globally Lipschitz mapping  $\mathbf{C} : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ , i.e., there exists a  $L_c > 0$  such that  $\|\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{x}_e, t) - \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{x}'_e, t)\| \leq L_c \|\mathbf{x}_e - \mathbf{x}'_e\|$  for all  $\mathbf{x}_e, \mathbf{x}'_e \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and any  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . Then we have the following statements.*

*CT). The mapping  $\mathbf{C}$  is said to be a SST compressor in continuous time, if the induced continuous-time non-autonomous system  $\dot{\mathbf{x}}_e = -k\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{x}_e, t)$  is uniformly globally exponentially stable at the origin for any  $k > 0$ .*

*DT). The mapping  $\mathbf{C}$  is said to be a SST compressor in discrete time, if there exists  $\kappa_0^* > 0$  such that the induced discrete time non-autonomous system  $\mathbf{x}_e(t+1) = \mathbf{x}_e(t) - \kappa_0 \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{x}_e, t)$  is uniformly globally exponentially stable at the origin for any stepsize  $\kappa_0 \in (0, \kappa_0^*)$ .  $\square$*

It is clear that the mapping  $\mathbf{C}$ , satisfying either of both Definitions 1 and 2, needs to vanish at the origin, i.e.,  $\mathbf{C}(0, t) \equiv 0$  uniformly in  $t$ . This immediately shows that the uniformly globally Lipschitz property in Definition 2 implies the uniformly linearly bounded property. Besides, the exponential stability of the induced non-autonomous  $\mathbf{x}_e$ -systems in Definition 2 is more restrictive than Definition 1. In view of both points, the notion of the SST compressor in Definition 2, is stronger than that of Definition 1.

In the literature there are also some other classes of compressors, that are indeed special cases of our (strong) ST compressors.

**Example 1 The scalarized compressor  $\mathbf{C}_1$**  :  $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  satisfies  $\mathbf{C}_1(\mathbf{x}_e, t) = \boldsymbol{\psi}(t)\boldsymbol{\psi}(t)^T \mathbf{x}_e$ , where the compression vector  $\boldsymbol{\psi} : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  is uniformly bounded and persistently excited, i.e., either of the followings holds

- For continuous time,

$$\alpha_2 \mathbf{I}_d \geq \int_t^{t+T_1} \boldsymbol{\psi}(s)\boldsymbol{\psi}^\top(s) ds \geq \alpha_1 \mathbf{I}_d, \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$

- For discrete time,

$$\alpha_2 \mathbf{I}_d \geq \sum_{s=t}^{t+T_1-1} \boldsymbol{\psi}(s)\boldsymbol{\psi}^\top(s) \geq \alpha_1 \mathbf{I}_d, \quad \forall t \geq 0,$$

for some constants  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, T_1 > 0$  (see [20]).  $\square$

**Example 2 The contractive compressor  $\mathbf{C}_2$**  :  $\mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  satisfies

$$\left\| \frac{\mathbf{C}_2(\mathbf{x}_e)}{p} - \mathbf{x}_e \right\|^2 \leq (1 - \varphi) \|\mathbf{x}_e\|^2 \quad (3)$$

for some  $\varphi \in (0, 1]$  and  $p > 0$  (see [16, 19, 31], with the expectation operator removed). By [16], the following  $\mathbf{C}_{2a}$  and  $\mathbf{C}_{2b}$  are specific examples of  $\mathbf{C}_2$ , and  $\mathbf{C}_{2c}$  is a specific example of  $\mathbf{C}_2$  with  $p = 1$  and  $\varphi = \frac{3}{4}$ :

2a). **Greedy (Top-k) sparsifier** [32]  $\mathbf{C}_{2a}(\mathbf{x}_e) = \sum_{s=1}^k [\mathbf{x}_e]_{i_s} \mathbf{e}_{i_s}$  where  $i_1, \dots, i_k$  are the indices of largest  $t$  coordinates in the absolute value of  $\mathbf{x}_e$ .

2b). **Standard uniform quantizer** [16]  $\mathbf{C}_{2b}(\mathbf{x}_e) = \frac{\|\mathbf{x}_e\|_\infty}{2} \text{sgn}(\mathbf{x}_e)$ , where  $\text{sgn}(\cdot)$  denotes the element-wise sign.

2c). **Saturated quantizer**

$$[\mathbf{C}_{2c}(\mathbf{x}_e)]_i = \begin{cases} [\mathbf{x}_e]_i, & [\mathbf{x}_e]_i \leq \Delta. \\ \Delta \lfloor \frac{[\mathbf{x}_e]_i}{\Delta} \rfloor, & [\mathbf{x}_e]_i > \Delta. \end{cases}$$

where  $i = 1, 2, \dots, d$ ,  $\Delta \in \mathbb{R}$  denotes the quantization precision and  $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$  denotes the the floor sign.

□

**Proposition 1** *The following statements are true.*

a). *The scalarized compressor  $\mathbf{C}_1$  belongs to the SST compressor.*

b). *The contractive compressor  $\mathbf{C}_2$  belongs to the ST compressor.* □

**Remark 1** *A specific example of discrete time cases of  $\mathbf{C}_1$ , denoted by  $\mathbf{C}_{1a}$ , can be derived by letting  $\psi(t) = \mathbf{e}_i$  with  $i = 1 + (t \bmod d)$  for  $t \in \mathbb{N}$ . In addition to the above mentioned compressors, there are also some other forms of compressors that satisfy Definition 2. For example,  $\mathbf{C}_1(\mathbf{x}_e, t) = \theta(t)\psi(\mathbf{x}_e, t)$  where  $\psi(\mathbf{x}_e, t)$  is a scalarized mapping and  $\theta(t)\psi(\mathbf{x}_e, t)$  is strongly  $P$ -monotonic (see [33]).* □

**Remark 2** *We stress that when the compressor  $\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{x}_e, t)$  is used, we do not mean to using  $\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{x}_e, t)$  to encode  $\mathbf{x}_e$  for communication and then transmitting the whole vector of  $\mathbf{C}$  directly. Instead, the  $\mathbf{C}$  represents the communication information, whose transmission can be implemented requiring less bandwidths than directly transmitting  $\mathbf{x}_e$  of  $d$  dimensions, leading to the so-called communication compression. For example, if the scalarized compressor  $\mathbf{C}_1$  is adopted, the actual communication message at each round is a scalar  $\psi(t)^\top \mathbf{x}_e(t)$  with each agent holding a common  $\psi(t)$ , while for the standard uniform quantizer  $\mathbf{C}_{2b}$ , the actual communication message consists of a*

*scalar  $\|\mathbf{x}_e\|_\infty$  and a vector  $\text{sgn}(\mathbf{x}_e)$ . In view of this, with a bit abuse of notation, we insist on saying the mapping  $\mathbf{C}$  to be a compressor throughout the paper.* □

**Remark 3** *In contrast with the conventional compressors, e.g., the contractive compressor, the ST compressor exhibits two distinctive features. Firstly, it synthesizes information from both the time and space domains, broadening its applicability and expanding the design possibilities. Secondly, its key characteristic is elucidated through a non-autonomous system, which can simplify the design procedure while providing the flexibility to incorporate control-related tools into distributed optimization.*

### 3 Distributed Compressed Consensus

Distributed consensus is a fundamental algorithm that acts as a subroutine in numerous distributed optimization problems. In view of this, in this section we investigate how to combine the ST compressors with the consensus algorithm, which motivates the subsequent developments of distributed optimization algorithms with ST compressors. Moreover, due to its convenience of analysis, we focus on the continuous-time distributed consensus, taking the form

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mathbf{x}}_i &= - \sum_{j \in \mathcal{N}_i} \mathbf{L}_{ij} \mathbf{x}_{j,c} \\ \mathbf{x}_{i,c} &= \mathbf{x}_i, \quad i \in \mathcal{V} \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where  $\mathbf{x}_{i,c} \in \mathbb{R}^d$  denotes the message transmitted by agent  $i \in \mathcal{V}$ . It is clear that over the graph  $\mathcal{G}$  under Assumption 2, each node state exponentially reaches consensus at the average  $\mathbf{x}^* := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=0}^n \mathbf{x}_j(0)$ .

#### 3.1 Distributed Consensus with Direct Compression

An intuitive design of compressed consensus algorithm is to directly replace the transmitted message  $\mathbf{x}_i$  by the compressed one, i.e.,  $\mathbf{x}_{i,c} = \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{x}_i, t)$  in (4), leading to the following distributed consensus flow

with direct compression (DC-DC) as

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\mathbf{x}}_i &= -\sum_{j \in N_i} \mathbf{L}_{ij} \mathbf{x}_{j,c} \\ \mathbf{x}_{i,c} &= \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{x}_i, t) \quad i \in V.\end{aligned}\quad (5)$$

Then a natural question arises: given a ST compressor  $\mathbf{C}$ , whether or when the DC-DC flow (5) maintains the exponential convergence to the average. Before we answer such a question, we make the following observation on the SST compressor. Given a SST compressor  $\mathbf{C}$  in continuous time, it is clear that the system

$$\dot{\mathbf{y}}_e = -\Lambda \mathcal{C}^-(\mathbf{y}_e, t),$$

where  $\mathbf{y}_e \in \mathbb{R}^{(n-1)d}$ ,  $\Lambda := \text{diag}(\lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) \otimes \mathbf{I}_d$  and  $\mathcal{C}^-(\mathbf{y}, t) := [\mathbf{C}^T(\mathbf{y}_1, t), \dots, \mathbf{C}^T(\mathbf{y}_{n-1}, t)]^T$ , is uniformly globally exponentially stable at the zero equilibrium. By the converse Lyapunov Theorem for exponential stability [34, Theorem 4.14], this implies the existence of a Lyapunov function  $V_e : \mathbb{R}^{(n-1)d} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}c_1 \|\mathbf{y}_e\|^2 &\leq V_e(\mathbf{y}_e, t) \leq c_2 \|\mathbf{y}_e\|^2 \\ \frac{\partial V_e}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial V_e}{\partial \mathbf{y}_e} \Lambda \mathcal{C}^-(\mathbf{y}_e, t) &\leq -c_3 \|\mathbf{y}_e\|^2 \\ \left\| \frac{\partial V_e}{\partial \mathbf{y}_e} \right\| &\leq c_4 \|\mathbf{y}_e\|\end{aligned}\quad (6)$$

for some  $c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4 > 0$ .

With this in mind, and defining  $\mathbb{S} := \mathbf{S} \otimes \mathbf{I}_d$  and  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{x}, t) := [\mathbf{C}^T(\mathbf{x}_1, t), \dots, \mathbf{C}^T(\mathbf{x}_n, t)]^T$ , we are ready to propose the following theorem for Flow (5), answering the question by showing that an extra condition on the communication network  $\mathbb{G}$  and the SST compressor  $\mathbf{C}$  is still required to maintain an exponential convergence to the average.

**Theorem 1** *Let Assumption 2 hold, then for the DC-DC Flow (5) with a SST compressor in continuous time  $\mathbf{C}$ , if there holds*

$$\|\mathcal{C}^-(\mathbb{S}^T \mathbf{x}, t) - \mathbb{S}^T \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{x}, t)\| \leq \delta \|\mathbb{S}^T \mathbf{x}\|, \quad \forall (\mathbf{x}, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{nd} \times \mathbb{R}_+ \quad (7)$$

for  $\delta < \frac{c_3}{c_4 \lambda_n}$ , then there holds

$$\|\mathbf{x}_i(t) - \mathbf{x}^*\|^2 = \mathcal{O}(e^{-\gamma t})$$

for some  $\gamma > 0$ . □

From the extra condition (7), it can be seen that not only the SST compressor  $\mathbf{C}$  but also the network graph (see  $\mathbb{S}$ ) play a role of determining the exponential convergence property of the DC-DC flow (5) in general. Moreover, by taking a linear form of SST compressor  $\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{x}_e, t) = M(t)\mathbf{x}_e$ , e.g. the scalarized compressor  $\mathbf{C}_1$ , we note that the extra condition (7) reduces to

$$\|[(\mathbf{I}_{n-1} \otimes M(t))\mathbb{S}^T - \mathbb{S}^T(\mathbf{I}_{n-1} \otimes M(t))]\mathbf{x}\| \leq \delta \|\mathbb{S}^T \mathbf{x}\|,$$

which holds for all  $(\mathbf{x}, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{nd} \times \mathbb{R}_+$ , since  $(\mathbf{I}_{n-1} \otimes M(t))\mathbb{S}^T - \mathbb{S}^T(\mathbf{I}_{n-1} \otimes M(t)) = 0$ . This immediately implies that the linear SST compressor, e.g. the scalarized compressor  $\mathbf{C}_1$ , is applicable to the DC-DC flow (5) with no need of any extra condition.

### 3.2 Distributed Consensus with Observer-based Compression

In the previous subsection, it has been shown that the SST compressor can be directly applied, subject to an extra condition (7) which poses limitations on the range of feasible compressors and communication graphs. In this subsection, such limitations will be removed by proposing a new distributed compressed consensus, taking the form

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\mathbf{x}}_i &= -\alpha \sum_{j \in N_i} \mathbf{L}_{ij} \mathbf{x}_{j,c}^i \\ \dot{\mathbf{x}}_{j,c}^i &= \mathbf{x}_{j,c}, \quad j \in N_i \\ \mathbf{x}_{i,c} &= \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_{i,c}^i, t)\end{aligned}\quad (8)$$

where  $\alpha > 0$  is a gain parameter, and  $\mathbf{x}_{j,c}^i(0) = \mathbf{x}_{i,c}^{j'}(0), \forall j, j' \in N_i, i \in V$ .

The proposed compressed consensus flow (8) is comprised of two sets of states for each agent  $i$ . The state  $\mathbf{x}_i$  denotes the estimate of consensus solution as in (4), while the states  $\mathbf{x}_{j,c}^i$  are introduced to each agent  $i$  to estimate its neighboring solution state  $\mathbf{x}_j$ ,  $j \in N_i$ . To have a better view of this, let first ignore the compressor and have  $\mathbf{x}_{i,c} = \mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_{i,c}^i$  in (8). Then it is clear that the  $\mathbf{x}_{j,c}^i$  acts as an observer to estimate  $\mathbf{x}_j$ . Our proposed compression strategy is thus established by compressing the observation error  $\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_{i,c}^i$  between the solution state  $\mathbf{x}_i$  and the corresponding observer state  $\mathbf{x}_{i,c}^i$  of agent  $i$ , as message for communication with neighbors. □

We are ready to propose the following theorem for Flow (8).

**Theorem 2** *Let Assumption 2 hold, then for the DC-OC flow (8) with the **ST compressor**  $\mathbf{C}$  in continuous time, there exists  $\alpha^* > 0$  such that for all  $\alpha \leq \alpha^*$ , there holds*

$$\|\mathbf{x}_i(t) - \mathbf{x}^*\|^2 = \mathcal{O}(e^{-\gamma t}),$$

for some  $\gamma > 0$ .  $\square$

A rigorous proof of Theorem 2 is presented in Appendix C. Intuitively, from the perspective of control system, we stress that the corresponding system (8) can be regarded as an interconnection of two subsystems:  $\mathbf{x}_i$ -subsystem and  $\mathbf{x}_{j,c}^i$ -subsystem, with  $\alpha$  a low gain that is tuned to be small such that the supply functions of the two interconnected subsystems satisfy some small-gain condition for closed-loop exponential stability [34, Theorem 5.6].

## 4 Compressed Prime-Dual Flows

### 4.1 Direct Compression

In this subsection, we aim to present distributed compressed Prime-Dual optimization flow for the problem (1) by applying the SST compressor to directly compress the communication message in the conventional Prime-Dual optimization algorithms, as in Subsection 3.1.

The proposed distributed Prime-Dual flow with direct compression takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mathbf{x}}_i &= -\sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{L}_{ij} \mathbf{x}_{j,c} - \beta \mathbf{v}_i - \eta \nabla f_i(\mathbf{x}_i) \\ \dot{\mathbf{v}}_i &= \beta \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{L}_{ij} \mathbf{x}_{j,c} \\ \mathbf{x}_{i,c} &= \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{x}_i, t), \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where the initial condition  $\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{v}_i(0) = \mathbf{0}_d$ .

We are ready to propose the following theorem for Flow (9).

**Theorem 3** *Let Assumption 1 and 2 hold, and  $\mathbf{C}$  be a **SST compressor** in continuous time, who also satisfies (7) with some  $\delta > 0$ . Then there exists some*

*$\beta, \eta > 0$  such that the flow (9) converges to the optimal solution  $s^*$  exponentially, i.e.,*

$$\|\mathbf{x}_i(t) - s^*\|^2 = \mathcal{O}(e^{-\gamma t})$$

for some  $\gamma > 0$ .  $\square$

### 4.2 Observer-based Compression

In this subsection, we propose compressed distributed Prime-Dual optimization flow by applying the ST compressor to compress the communication message in the conventional Prime-Dual optimization algorithms, based on distributed observer-based compressed consensus (8) in Subsection 3.2.

The proposed distributed Prime-Dual flow in continuous form with observer-based compression takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mathbf{x}}_i &= -\alpha \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{L}_{ij} \mathbf{x}_{j,c}^i - \beta \mathbf{v}_i - \eta \nabla f_i(\mathbf{x}_i) \\ \dot{\mathbf{v}}_i &= \beta \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{L}_{ij} \mathbf{x}_{j,c}^i \\ \dot{\mathbf{x}}_{j,c}^i &= \mathbf{x}_{j,c}, \quad j \in \mathbf{N}_i \\ \mathbf{x}_{i,c} &= \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_{i,c}^i, t), \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where the initial condition is  $\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{v}_i(0) = \mathbf{0}_d$  and for every  $i$ ,  $\mathbf{x}_{j,c}^i(0) = \mathbf{x}_{i,c}^{j'}(0), \forall j, j' \in \mathbf{V}$ .

We are ready to propose the following theorem for Flow (10).

**Theorem 4** *Let Assumptions 1 and 2 hold, and  $\mathbf{C}$  be a **ST compressor** in continuous time. Then there exist  $\alpha, \beta, \eta > 0$  such that the flow (10) converges to the optimal solution  $s^*$  exponentially, i.e.,*

$$\|\mathbf{x}_i(t) - s^*\|^2 = \mathcal{O}(e^{-\gamma t})$$

for some  $\gamma > 0$ .  $\square$

**Remark 4** *The theorems in this section only discuss the case of strongly convex object functions and demonstrate the exponential convergence of the flows. Since the convergence of the system is composed of the convergence of the Prime-Dual flow and the convergence to optimal solution of the compressor, and the Prime-Dual flow achieves asymptotic convergence to optimal solution for convex functions, it is not difficult to infer that both (9) and (10), and their discretization forms in next section, can achieve asymptotic convergence to optimal solution for convex functions.*

## 5 Discrete Prime-Dual Algorithms with Spatio-Temporal Compression

### 5.1 Euler Discretization of Compressed Prime-Dual Flows

In practice, algorithms are always implemented in a discrete time form. In the following, we discretize Flow (9) based on Euler method, and derive the following discrete-time solver

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_i(t+1) &= \mathbf{x}_i(t) - \kappa_0 \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{L}_{ij} \mathbf{x}_{j,c}(t) \\ &\quad - \kappa [\beta \mathbf{v}_i(t) + \eta \nabla f_i(\mathbf{x}_i(t))] \\ \mathbf{v}_i(t+1) &= \mathbf{v}_i(t) + \kappa_0 \beta \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{L}_{ij} \mathbf{x}_{j,c}(t) \\ \mathbf{x}_{i,c}(t) &= \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{x}_i(t), t), \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where the initial condition  $\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{v}_i(t) = \mathbf{0}_d$ .

**Theorem 5** *Let Assumptions 1 and 2 hold, and  $\mathbf{C}$  be a **SST compressor** in discrete time, who also satisfies (7) with some  $\delta > 0$ . Then there exists some  $\kappa, \kappa_0, \beta, \eta > 0$  such that DPD-DC (11) converges to the optimal solution  $s^*$  linearly, i.e.,*

$$\|\mathbf{x}_i(t) - s^*\|^2 = \mathcal{O}((1 - \gamma)^t)$$

for some  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ .  $\square$

Next, we discretize Flow (10) based on Euler method, yielding the following discrete time algorithm

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_i(t+1) &= \mathbf{x}_i(t) - \kappa [\sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{L}_{ij} \mathbf{x}_{j,c}^i(t) \\ &\quad + \beta \mathbf{v}_i(t) + \eta \nabla f_i(\mathbf{x}_i(t))] \\ \mathbf{v}_i(t+1) &= \mathbf{v}_i(t) + \kappa \beta \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{L}_{ij} \mathbf{x}_{j,c}^i(t) \\ \mathbf{x}_{j,c}^i(t+1) &= \mathbf{x}_{j,c}^i(t) + \kappa_0 \mathbf{x}_{j,c}(t), \quad j \in \mathbf{N}_i \\ \mathbf{x}_{i,c}(t) &= \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{x}_i(t) - \mathbf{x}_{i,c}^i(t), t) \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where the initial condition is  $\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{v}_i(t) = \mathbf{0}_d$  and for every  $i$ ,  $\mathbf{x}_{i,c}^j(0) = \mathbf{x}_{i,c}^{j'}(0), \forall j, j' \in \mathbf{V}$ .

**Theorem 6** *Let Assumption 1 and 2 hold, and  $\mathbf{C}$  be a **ST compressor** in discrete time with some  $\kappa_0 > 0$ . Then there exists some  $\kappa, \beta, \eta > 0$  such that DPD-OC (12) converges to the optimal solution  $s^*$  linearly.  $\square$*

### 5.2 Accelerated Compressed Prime-Dual Algorithm

When the ST compressor is enhanced to a SST compressor, it can be directly applied to distributed optimization algorithms as shown in DPD-DC (11), where the  $-\sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{L}_{ij} \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{x}_i, t)$  term ensures the convergence of system consensus. However, for the ST compressor, we need to ensure the convergence of the system through the method of compressing differences by observer, as shown in DPD-OC (12). Therefore, we consider the case of using the SST compressor for DPD-OC (12) and add the  $-\sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{L}_{ij} \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{x}_i, t)$  term to accelerate the convergence of the system consensus. We obtain the following solver

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_i(t+1) &= \mathbf{x}_i(t) - \kappa [\sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{L}_{ij} (\mathbf{x}_{j,c1}^i(t) + k_p \mathbf{x}_{j,c2}(t)) \\ &\quad + \beta \mathbf{v}_i(t) + \eta \nabla f_i(\mathbf{x}_i(t))] \\ \mathbf{v}_i(t+1) &= \mathbf{v}_i(t) + \kappa \beta \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{L}_{ij} (\mathbf{x}_{j,c1}^i(t) + k_p \mathbf{x}_{j,c2}(t)) \\ \mathbf{x}_{j,c1}^i(t+1) &= \mathbf{x}_{j,c1}^i(t) + \kappa_0 \mathbf{x}_{j,c1}(t), \quad j \in \mathbf{N}_i \\ \mathbf{x}_{i,c1}(t) &= \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{x}_i(t) - \mathbf{x}_{i,c1}^i(t), t) \\ \mathbf{x}_{i,c2}(t) &= \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{x}_i(t), t) \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where  $k_p \geq 0$  is the proportional gain and the initial condition is  $\sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{v}_i(t) = \mathbf{0}_d$  and for every  $i$ ,  $\mathbf{x}_{i,c1}^j(0) = \mathbf{x}_{i,c1}^{j'}(0), \forall j, j' \in \mathbf{V}$ .

**Lemma 1** *Let Assumption 1 and 2 hold, and  $\mathbf{C}$  be a **SST compressor** in discrete time, who also satisfies (7) with some  $\delta$ . Then there exists some  $k_p, \kappa, \kappa_0, \beta, \eta > 0$  such that A-DPD-DC (13) converges to the optimal solution  $s^*$  linearly.  $\square$*

The proof of Lemma 1 can be obtained with the proof of Theorem 5 in Appendix F and Theorem 6 in Appendix G. In numerical simulations, we can observe that under certain parameter conditions, this algorithm indeed converges noticeably faster than other algorithms.

### 5.3 Another Compression Method

Observe (9) and (10), and we can see that they apply different compression methods to (2). There are many different compression methods documented in the literature. For example, let us discuss the combination of our ST compressor with a commonly used



method [16]. This method introduces a distributed filter and a distributed integrator and compresses the state errors. The proposed distributed Prime-Dual flow with error state compression (DPD-ESC) takes the form.

$$\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{\sigma}_i(t+1) &= \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i(t) + \kappa_0 \mathbf{q}_i(t) \\
\mathbf{z}_i(t+1) &= \mathbf{z}_i(t) + \kappa_0 [\mathbf{q}_i(t) - \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{L}_{ij} \mathbf{q}_j(t)] \\
\mathbf{x}_i(t+1) &= \mathbf{x}_i(t) - \kappa [(\boldsymbol{\sigma}_i(t) - \mathbf{z}_i(t) + \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{L}_{ij} \mathbf{q}_j(t)) \\
&\quad + \beta \mathbf{v}_i(t) + \eta \nabla f_i(\mathbf{x}_i(t))] \\
\mathbf{v}_i(t+1) &= \mathbf{v}_i(t) + \kappa \beta (\boldsymbol{\sigma}_i(t) - \mathbf{z}_i(t) + \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{L}_{ij} \mathbf{q}_j(t)) \\
\mathbf{q}_i(t) &= \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{x}_i(t) - \boldsymbol{\sigma}_i(t), t),
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where  $\kappa, \kappa_0, \beta, \eta > 0$  are parameters to be fixed.

Similar theorems as those in previous context can be proved. For interested readers, the validity of the continuous form of the Algorithm above is proved in previous work [35].

## 5.4 Stochastic ST Compressors and Algorithms

It should be noticed that many literature on compressor assumption take into account the presence of randomness. Therefore, we extend the ST compressor to randomness and research its effectiveness in applications. In this section, we study the randomization of the ST compressor and the application of DPD-OC as a example.

Introduce randomness to Definition 1, we obtain the definition of Stochastic Spatio-Temporal (StST) Compressor, with focus on discrete time.

**Definition 3 (StST Compressor)** *Given a linearly mean-square bounded mapping  $\mathbf{C} : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ , i.e., there exists a  $L_c > 0$  such that  $\mathbb{E} \|\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{x}_e, t)\|^2 \leq L_c^2 \|\mathbf{x}_e\|^2$  for all  $\mathbf{x}_e \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and any  $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$ . Then,  $\mathbf{C}$  is said to be a StST compressor, if the induced non-autonomous system  $\mathbf{x}_e(t+1) = \mathbf{x}_e(t) - \kappa_0 \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{x}_e, t)$  is uniformly globally exponentially stable at the origin in the mean-square sense<sup>1</sup>, for some stepsize  $\kappa_0 > 0$ .  $\square$*

The ST compressor is a special case of the StST compressor. Moreover, some compressor assumptions in literature belongs to the StST compressor.

<sup>1</sup>See [36] for the definition of mean square convergence

**Example 3 The stochastic contractive compressor  $\mathbf{C}_3 : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$  satisfies**

$$\mathbb{E} \left\| \frac{\mathbf{C}_3(\mathbf{x}_e)}{p} - \mathbf{x}_e \right\|^2 \leq (1 - \varphi) \|\mathbf{x}_e\|^2 \tag{15}$$

for some  $\varphi \in (0, 1]$  and  $p > 0$ . By [16], the followings are specific examples of  $\mathbf{C}_3$ :

3a). Unbiased  $l$ -bits quantizer [37]

$$\mathbf{C}_{3a}(\mathbf{x}_e) = \frac{\|\mathbf{x}_e\|_\infty}{2^{l-1}} \text{sign}(\mathbf{x}_e) * \lfloor \frac{2^{l-1} |\mathbf{x}_e|}{\|\mathbf{x}_e\|_\infty} + \bar{\omega} \rfloor,$$

where  $\bar{\omega}$  is a random perturbation vector uniformly sampled from  $[0, 1]^d$ .

**Proposition 2** *Compressor  $\mathbf{C}_3$  belongs to the StST compressor.  $\square$*

The proof of Proposition 2 is similar to that of Proposition b). in Appendix A and is omitted for simplicity.

We apply the StST compressor to Algorithm (12) and propose the following theorem for DPD-OC.

**Theorem 7** *Let Assumption 1 and 2 hold, and  $\mathbf{C}$  be a StST compressor with some  $\kappa_0 > 0$ . Then for  $\kappa, \beta, \eta > 0$ , the mean square of  $\mathbf{x}_i(t)$  in the DPD-OC algorithm (12) converges to the optimal solution  $\mathbf{s}^*$  linearly.  $\square$*

## 6 Numerical Simulations

### 6.1 Verification of ST Compressors

In this subsection, we will verify that the compressors mentioned in this paper,  $\mathbf{C}_{1a}$ ,  $\mathbf{C}_{2a}$ ,  $\mathbf{C}_{2b}$ ,  $\mathbf{C}_{2c}$ ,  $\mathbf{C}_{3a}$ , satisfy the core property, i.e. the exponential stability of induced system, of ST compressor. Specifically, we let  $d = 5$ ,  $k = 2$  for  $\mathbf{C}_{2a}$ ,  $\Delta = 1$  for  $\mathbf{C}_{2c}$  and  $l = 4$  for  $\mathbf{C}_{3a}$ .

The figures respectively demonstrate the exponential convergence system  $\dot{\mathbf{x}}_e = -\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{x}_e, t)$  with different compressors, validating our conclusions. Other properties of the ST compressor can also be easily verified through theoretical analysis. Additionally, the satisfaction of these compressors with the conditions of the ST compressor in discrete form can also be verified through simulation, but it is omitted here.

## 6.2 Simulations under Different Compression Methods

In this subsection, we consider a network of  $n$  nodes over a circle communication graph and dimension of local state is  $d$ , where each edge is assigned with the same unit weight and each node holds a local function  $f_i(\mathbf{x}_i) = \frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{H}_i^T \mathbf{x}_i - b_i\|^2$  with some randomly generated  $\mathbf{H}_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $b_i \in \mathbb{R}$ . Assume that the linear equation  $\mathbf{H}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  has a unique solution  $s^*$ , where  $\mathbf{H} = [\mathbf{H}_1 \dots \mathbf{H}_n]^T \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$  and  $\mathbf{b} = [b_1 \dots b_n]^T \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , then we can conclude that the functions  $f_i(\mathbf{x}_i)$  satisfy Assumption 1 with  $\mu > 0$  and optimal solution  $s^*$ . Specifically, we let  $n = 10$ ,  $d = 5$  and  $s^* = [2, -4, -4, 2, -3]^T$ . Next, we will apply different compression methods to the compressors and compare their effects.

We use the scalar compressor  $\mathbf{C}_{1a}$  and greedy sparsifier compressor  $\mathbf{C}_{2a}$  as examples. In this application, we integrate DPD-DC (11), A-DPD-OC (13), DPD-ESC (14) with  $\mathbf{C}_{1a}$ , and integrate A-DPD-OC (13), DPD-ESC (14), with  $\mathbf{C}_{2a}$ . Specifically, we let the parameters  $k_p = 10$ ,  $\kappa_0 = 1$ ,  $\kappa = 0.002$ ,  $\alpha = 1$ ,  $\beta = 10$ ,  $\eta = 5$ . The plot illustrates the sum of squared distances from the current  $\mathbf{x}_i(t)$  to  $s^*$ , denoted as  $\sum_{i=1}^n \|\mathbf{x}_i(t) - s^*\|^2$  over time. We also simulated the Prime-Dual algorithm in discrete time without compressor under the same parameters for comparison. Notably, the algorithms exhibits exponential convergence to the optimal solution, verifying the theorems. Furthermore, we can observe that observer-based compression method has significant advantages on convergence rate under the same parameters.

## 6.3 Simulations with Convex Object Functions

Next, we discuss the case where the objective function is convex but not strongly convex, while other settings is same as that in the previous subsection. We take the objective function from [38] as

$$f_i(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{j=1}^{d-1} [100([\mathbf{x}]_{j+1} - [\mathbf{x}]_j^2)^2 + ([\mathbf{x}]_j - 1)^2],$$

whose optimal solution is  $s^* = \mathbf{1}_d$ . The simulate results of DPD-DC (11) and DPD-OC (12) with  $\mathbf{C}_{1a}$ ,

as an example, are shown. From the figure, we can see that the above algorithm can achieve asymptotic convergence to the optimal solution for convex function

## 7 Conclusion

In this paper, we have introduced a type of spatio-temporal compressor that integrates both spatial and temporal characteristics, effectively compresses information by leveraging information from both the time and space domains. This class of compressors has covered several assumptions in literature on compressors. Our proposed compressor has been implemented in the Prime-Dual algorithm by direct compression and observer-based compression. In the future, we will investigate a broader spectrum of compressor types or enhanced algorithms tailored to the characteristics of this compressor, and to have extended its application to more classical distributed optimization algorithms, examining its universality across different algorithms.

## A Proof of Proposition 1

*Proof of a).* The proof of the statement in Definition 2 is obvious by recalling [39] that system  $\dot{\mathbf{x}}_e = -k\psi(t)\psi(t)^T \mathbf{x}_e$  is globally exponentially stable at the zero equilibrium for any  $k > 0$  under the condition in continuous time cases, and  $\mathbf{x}_e(t+1) = \mathbf{x}_e(t) - \kappa_0\psi(t)\psi(t)^T \mathbf{x}_e(t)$  is globally exponentially stable at the zero equilibrium for any  $\kappa_0 \leq \kappa_0^*$  with some  $\kappa_0^* > 0$  under the condition in discrete time cases. The proof of linearly boundedness property can be shown by noting that  $\psi(t)$  is uniformly bounded.

*Proof of b).* We proceed to show the compressor  $\mathbf{C}_2(\mathbf{x}_e)$  satisfying properties Definition 1. Note that the contractive compressor (3) is equivalent to

$$\|\mathbf{C}_2(\mathbf{x}_e)/p\|^2 - 2\mathbf{x}_e^T \mathbf{C}_2(\mathbf{x}_e)/p \leq -\varphi\|\mathbf{x}_e\|^2. \quad (16)$$

First, we prove that  $\mathbf{C}_2$  is the ST compressor in continuous time by proving the system  $\dot{x}_e =$

$-\mathbf{C}_2(\mathbf{x}_e, t)$  is exponentially stable at the zero equilibrium. By choosing the Lyapunov function  $V_e(\mathbf{x}_e) = \|\mathbf{x}_e\|^2/p$  and using (16), we have

$$\dot{V}_e = -2\frac{\mathbf{x}_e^T \mathbf{C}_2(\mathbf{x}_e)}{p} \leq -\varphi \|\mathbf{x}_e\|^2.$$

Thus  $\mathbf{x}_e$ -system is globally exponentially stable at the zero equilibrium with  $\varphi > 0$ .

Next, we prove that  $\mathbf{C}_2$  is the ST compressor in discrete time by proving the system  $\mathbf{x}_e(t+1) = \mathbf{x}_e(t) - \kappa_0 \mathbf{C}_2(\mathbf{x}_e(t))$  is exponentially stable at the zero equilibrium with  $\kappa_0 = \frac{1}{p}$ . By (16),

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{x}_e(t+1)\|^2 - \|\mathbf{x}_e(t)\|^2 &= -\frac{2\mathbf{C}_2(\mathbf{x}_e(t))^T \mathbf{x}_e(t)}{p} + \|\frac{\mathbf{C}_2(\mathbf{x}_e(t))}{p}\|^2 \\ &\leq -\varphi \|\mathbf{x}_e(t)\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\mathbf{x}_e$ -system is exponentially stable at the zero equilibrium with  $\varphi \in (0, 1]$ .

Then, by (16) and using the Young's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{C}_2(\mathbf{x}_e)/p\|^2 &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{C}_2(\mathbf{x}_e)/p\|^2 - (\varphi - 2) \|\mathbf{x}_e\|^2 \\ \Rightarrow \|\mathbf{C}_2(\mathbf{x}_e)\| &\leq p\sqrt{2(2-\varphi)} \|\mathbf{x}_e\| \leq 2p \|\mathbf{x}_e\|, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where the last inequality is obtained by  $\varphi \in (0, 1]$ . Thus the linearly boundedness property is proved with  $L_c = 2p > 0$ . This completes the proof.

## B Proof of Theorem 1

Flow (5) can be written in tight form as

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mathbf{x}} &= -\mathcal{L}\mathbf{x}_c \\ \mathbf{x}_c &= \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{x}, t) \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

where  $\mathbf{x} := [\mathbf{x}_1^T, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n^T]^T$ ,  $\mathbf{x}_c := [\mathbf{x}_{1,c}^T, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{n,c}^T]^T$  and  $\mathcal{L} := \mathbf{L} \otimes \mathbf{I}_d$ .

We decompose  $\mathbf{x}$  by defining  $\mathbf{x}_\perp := \mathbb{S}^T \mathbf{x} = [\mathbf{x}_{\perp,1}^T, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{\perp,n-1}^T]^T$  and  $\mathbf{x}_\parallel := \mathbb{I}^T \mathbf{x}$ , where  $\mathbb{I} := \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \mathbf{1}_n \otimes \mathbf{I}_d$ .

We can obtain that  $\dot{\mathbf{x}}_\parallel = \mathbf{0}_d$  in (18) by the fact

$$\mathbb{I}^T \mathcal{L} = \mathbf{0} \quad \mathcal{L} \mathbb{I} = \mathbf{0}, \quad (19)$$

Then with the fact

$$\mathbb{S} \mathbb{S}^T + \mathbb{I} \mathbb{I}^T = \mathbf{I}_{nd}. \quad (20)$$

it can be noticed that we can prove  $\mathbf{x}_i$  converges to average consensus by proving  $\mathbf{x}_\perp$  converges to zero equilibrium.

We can obtain the derivation of  $\mathbf{x}_\perp$  along with time .

$$\dot{\mathbf{x}}_\perp = -\mathbb{S}^T \mathcal{L} \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{x}, t). \quad (21)$$

Define the Lyapunov function of (21)  $V(\mathbf{x}_\perp, t) := V_e(\mathbf{x}_\perp, t)$ . which is defined in (6), then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V} &= \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial V}{\partial \mathbf{x}_\perp} \Lambda \mathcal{C}^-(\mathbf{x}_\perp, t) + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \mathbf{x}_\perp} [\Lambda \mathcal{C}^-(\mathbf{x}_\perp, t) - \mathbb{S}^T \mathcal{L} \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{x}, t)] \\ &\leq -(c_3 - c_4 \delta \lambda_n) \|\mathbf{x}_\perp\|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where the inequality is obtained by the fact

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Lambda \mathcal{C}^-(\mathbf{y}_e, t) - \mathbb{S}^T \mathcal{L} \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{x}, t)\| &\leq \lambda_n \|\mathcal{C}^-(\mathbf{x}_\perp, t) - \mathbb{S}^T \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{x}, t)\| \\ &\leq \delta \lambda_n \|\mathbf{x}_\perp\|. \end{aligned}$$

If  $\delta \leq \frac{c_3}{c_4 \lambda_n}$ ,  $\dot{V}$  is negative definite. With (6), we have

$$\dot{V} \leq -\frac{c_3 - c_4 \delta \lambda_n}{c_1} V$$

then  $\|\mathbf{x}_\perp(t)\|^2 = \mathcal{O}(e^{-\gamma t})$ , where  $\gamma = \frac{c_3 - c_4 \delta \lambda_n}{c_1}$ . Thus the theorem is proved.

## C Proof of Theorem 2

From Flow (8) and its initial condition, we can obtain that for every  $i \in \mathbb{V}$ ,  $\mathbf{x}_i^j(0) = \mathbf{x}_{i,c}^{j'}(0), \forall j, j' \in \mathbb{V}$ , i.e. the stored value of  $\mathbf{x}_i$  is same in every node. Thus the stored value of each node can be written as  $\mathbf{x}_c := [\mathbf{x}_{1,c}^T, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{n,c}^T]^T$ . Then Flow (8) can be written in a tight form as

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mathbf{x}} &= -\alpha \mathcal{L} \mathbf{x}_c \\ \dot{\mathbf{x}}_c &= \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_c, t), \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

where  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_c, t) := [\mathbf{C}^T(\mathbf{x}_1 - \mathbf{x}_{1,c}, t) \dots \mathbf{C}^T(\mathbf{x}_n - \mathbf{x}_{n,c}, t)]^T$ .

Similarly, we decompose  $\mathbf{x}$  by defining  $\mathbf{x}_\perp := \mathbb{S}^T \mathbf{x}$  and  $\mathbf{x}_\parallel := \mathbb{I}^T \mathbf{x}$ , still  $\dot{\mathbf{x}}_\parallel = \mathbf{0}_d$  and we will prove the convergence of  $\mathbf{x}_\perp$ . By (23), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mathbf{x}}_\perp &= -\alpha \mathbb{S}^T \mathcal{L} \mathbf{x}_c \\ \dot{\mathbf{x}}_c &= \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_c, t). \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

We choose  $V_1(\mathbf{x}_\perp) := \frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{x}_\perp\|^2$ , then we have

$$\dot{V}_1 \leq \frac{\alpha}{2}(-\lambda_2\|\mathbf{x}_\perp\|^2 + \lambda_n\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_c\|^2). \quad (25)$$

As  $\dot{\mathbf{x}}_e = -\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{x}_e, t)$  is exponentially convergent at the zero equilibrium, where  $\mathbf{x}_e \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , then there exists a Lyapunov function  $V_e(\mathbf{x}_e, t) : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  which satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} c_1\|\mathbf{x}_e\|^2 &\leq V_e(\mathbf{x}_e, t) \leq c_2\|\mathbf{x}_e\|^2 \\ \frac{\partial V_e}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial V_e}{\partial \mathbf{x}_e}\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{x}_e, t) &\leq -c_3\|\mathbf{x}_e\|^2 \\ \left\|\frac{\partial V_e}{\partial \mathbf{x}_e}\right\| &\leq c_4\|\mathbf{x}_e\| \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

for some  $c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4 > 0$ .

We choose  $V_2(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_c, t) := \sum_{i=1}^n V_e(\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_{i,c}, t)$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}_2 &\leq -c_3\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_c\|^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2}\lambda_n c_4 \sqrt{n}\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_c\|^2 + \frac{\alpha}{2}c_4 \sqrt{n}\|\mathbf{x}_c\| \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_c\| \\ &\leq -c_3\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_c\|^2 + \frac{3\alpha}{2}\lambda_n c_4 \sqrt{n}\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_c\|^2 + \alpha\lambda_n c_4 \sqrt{n}\|\mathbf{x}_c\| \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_c\| \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

where the first inequality is obtained by (26) and the second inequality is obtained by the fact

$$\|\mathbf{x}_c\|_L^2 \leq 2\lambda_n\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_c\|^2 + 2\lambda_n\|\mathbf{x}_\perp\|^2. \quad (28)$$

Define the Lyapunov function of (24)  $V := \chi_0 V_1 + V_2$  with  $\chi_0 = \frac{4\lambda_n c_4 \sqrt{n}}{\lambda_2}$ . If we let  $\alpha \leq \min\{\frac{2c_3}{9\lambda_n c_4 \sqrt{n}}, \frac{2c_3}{3\lambda_n}\}$  then with (25) and (27), we have

$$\dot{V} \leq -\frac{\alpha}{4}\chi_0\lambda_2\|\mathbf{x}_\perp\|^2 - \frac{c_3}{3}\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_c\|^2 \quad (29)$$

With (26), we have

$$V \geq \frac{\chi_0}{2}\|\mathbf{x}_\perp\|^2 + c_1\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_c\|^2,$$

then  $\|\mathbf{x}_\perp(t)\|^2 = \mathcal{O}(e^{-\gamma t})$ , where  $\gamma = \min\{\frac{\alpha\lambda_2}{2}, \frac{c_3}{3c_1}\}$ . The theorem is proved.

## D Proof of Theorem 3

Flow (9) can be written in a tight form as

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mathbf{x}} &= -\mathcal{L}\mathbf{x}_c - \beta\mathbf{v} - \eta\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}) \\ \dot{\mathbf{v}} &= \beta\mathcal{L}\mathbf{x}_c \\ \mathbf{x}_c &= \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{x}, t), \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

where  $\mathbf{v} := [\mathbf{v}_1^T, \dots, \mathbf{v}_n^T]^T$  and  $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}) := [\nabla f_1^T(\mathbf{x}_1) \dots \nabla f_n^T(\mathbf{x}_n)]^T$ .

As  $f(x)$  is strongly convex, there exists a unique  $s^* \in \mathbb{R}^d$  that  $\nabla f(s^*) = \mathbf{0}_d$ , i.e.  $\mathbb{I}^T \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{s}) = \mathbf{0}_d$ , where  $\mathbf{s} := \sqrt{n}\mathbb{I}s^*$ . It can be noticed that  $\mathbf{x}^* = \mathbf{s}$ , and  $\mathbf{v}^* = -\frac{\eta\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}^*)}{\beta}$  is the equilibrium point of system (30). We introduce the state error by defining  $\bar{\mathbf{x}} := \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{s}$ ,  $\bar{\mathbf{v}} := \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}^*$ .

Taking the time derivative of the state errors along (30) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\bar{\mathbf{x}}} &= -\mathcal{L}\mathbf{x}_c - \beta\bar{\mathbf{v}} - \eta\tilde{\mathbf{F}}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) \\ \dot{\bar{\mathbf{v}}} &= \beta\mathcal{L}\mathbf{x}_c \\ \mathbf{x}_c &= \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{x}, t), \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

where  $\tilde{\mathbf{F}}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) := \mathbf{F}(\bar{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{s}) - \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{s})$ .

We decompose  $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$  and  $\bar{\mathbf{v}}$  by defining  $\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp := \mathbb{S}^T \bar{\mathbf{x}}$ ,  $\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\parallel := \mathbb{I}^T \bar{\mathbf{x}}$ ,  $\bar{\mathbf{v}}_\perp := \mathbb{S}^T \bar{\mathbf{v}}$  and  $\bar{\mathbf{v}}_\parallel := \mathbb{I}^T \bar{\mathbf{v}}$ .

It can be noticed that the convergence of  $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$  and  $\bar{\mathbf{v}}$  can be shown as if  $\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\parallel$ ,  $\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp$ ,  $\bar{\mathbf{v}}_\perp$  and  $\bar{\mathbf{v}}_\parallel$  converge to the zero equilibrium by (20).

By the initial condition we know that  $\mathbb{I}^T \mathbf{v}(0) = \mathbf{0}_d$ . With system (30) and (19), we conclude that  $\mathbb{I}^T \mathbf{v}(t) = \mathbf{0}_d$ , then

$$\bar{\mathbf{v}}_\parallel(t) = \mathbb{I}^T \bar{\mathbf{v}}(t) = \mathbb{I}^T(\mathbf{v}(t) - \frac{\eta\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{s})}{\beta}) = \mathbf{0}_d. \quad (32)$$

By (19) and (32), the system (31) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\bar{\mathbf{x}}}_\perp &= -\mathbb{S}^T \mathcal{L}\mathbf{x}_c - \beta\bar{\mathbf{v}}_\perp - \eta\mathbb{S}^T \tilde{\mathbf{F}}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) \\ \dot{\bar{\mathbf{x}}}_\parallel &= -\eta\mathbb{I}^T \tilde{\mathbf{F}}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) \\ \dot{\bar{\mathbf{v}}}_\perp &= \beta\mathbb{S}^T \mathcal{L}\mathbf{x}_c \\ \mathbf{x}_c &= \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{x}, t) - \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{I}\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\parallel + \mathbf{s}, t), \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

where the fact  $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{I}\bar{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{s}, t) = \mathbf{0}_{nd}$  is used.

Let  $\mathbf{z} := \frac{1}{\beta}\bar{\mathbf{v}}_\perp + \bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp$ . The system (33) then becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\bar{\mathbf{x}}}_\perp &= -\mathbb{S}^T \mathcal{L}\mathbf{x}_c + \beta^2\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp - \beta^2\mathbf{z} - \eta\mathbb{S}^T \tilde{\mathbf{F}}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) \\ \dot{\bar{\mathbf{x}}}_\parallel &= -\eta\mathbb{I}^T \tilde{\mathbf{F}}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) \\ \dot{\mathbf{z}} &= -\beta^2\mathbf{z} + \beta^2\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp - \eta\mathbb{S}^T \tilde{\mathbf{F}}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) \\ \mathbf{x}_c &= \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{x}, t) - \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{I}\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\parallel + \mathbf{s}, t) \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

It can be noticed that the exponential stability of the system (33) and the system (34) are equal.

Define  $V_1(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp, \mathbf{z}) = \frac{1}{2}(\|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp\|^2 + \|\mathbf{z}\|^2)$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{V}_1 &\leq -\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp^T \mathbb{S} \mathcal{L} \mathbf{x}_c - \beta^2 \|\mathbf{z}\|^2 + \beta^2 \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp\|^2 \\
&\quad - \eta \mathbf{z}^T \mathbb{S}^T \tilde{\mathbf{F}}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) - \eta \bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp^T \mathbb{S}^T \tilde{\mathbf{F}}(\bar{\mathbf{x}})] \\
&\leq L_c \lambda_n \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp\|^2 - (\beta^2 - \frac{\eta}{2}) \|\mathbf{z}\|^2 \\
&\quad + (\beta^2 + \frac{\eta}{2} + \eta L_f^2) \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp\|^2 + \eta L_f^2 \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\parallel\|^2
\end{aligned} \tag{35}$$

where the second inequality is obtained by the fact

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\mathbf{x}_c\| &= \|\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{x}, t) - \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{I}\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\parallel + \mathbf{s}, t)\| \\
&\leq L_c \|\mathbf{x} - \mathbb{I}\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\parallel - \mathbf{s}\| \leq L_c \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp\|
\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

from property of  $\mathbf{C}$  and

$$\|\tilde{\mathbf{F}}(\bar{\mathbf{x}})\|^2 \leq L_f^2 (\|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp\|^2 + \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\parallel\|^2) \tag{37}$$

Define  $V_2(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp, t) := V_e(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp, t)$ , where  $V_e$  is defined in (6). then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{V}_2 &= \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial V}{\partial \bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp} \Lambda \mathcal{C}^-(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp, t) + \frac{\partial V}{\partial \bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp} [\Lambda \mathcal{C}^-(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp, t) \\
&\quad - \mathbb{S}^T \mathcal{L} \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{x}, t)] + \frac{\partial V_2}{\partial \bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp} (\beta^2 \bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp - \beta^2 \mathbf{z} - \eta \mathbb{S}^T \tilde{\mathbf{F}}(\bar{\mathbf{x}})) \\
&\leq -(c'_3 - c_4 \beta^2 - c_4 \beta^2 / r - c_4 \eta / r - c_4 \eta L_f^2 r) \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp\|^2 \\
&\quad + c_4 \beta^2 r \|\mathbf{z}\|^2 + c_4 \eta r L_f^2 \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\parallel\|^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{38}$$

where we let  $\delta \leq \frac{c_3}{c_4 \lambda_n}$  and then  $c'_3 := c_3 - c_4 \delta \lambda_n > 0$ , and the last inequality is obtained by (22) with the fact  $\mathbb{S}^T \mathbf{x} = \mathbb{S}^T \bar{\mathbf{x}} = \bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp$ , (37) and Young's Inequality, where  $r > 0$  is a parameter which will be determined later.

Define  $V_3(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\parallel) := \frac{1}{2} \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\parallel\|^2$ , as  $f(x)$  is  $\mu$ -strongly convex, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{V}_3 &= -\eta \bar{\mathbf{x}}_\parallel^T \mathbb{I}^T \tilde{\mathbf{F}}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) \\
&= -\eta \bar{\mathbf{x}}_\parallel^T \mathbb{I}^T [\mathbf{F}(\bar{\mathbf{x}} + \mathbf{s}) - \mathbf{F}(\mathbb{S}\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp + \mathbf{s}) \\
&\quad + \mathbf{F}(\mathbb{S}\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp + \mathbf{s}) - \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{s})] \\
&\leq -\frac{\eta \mu_n}{2} \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\parallel\|^2 + \frac{\eta}{2\mu_n} L_f^2 \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp\|^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

where the last inequality is obtained by property i). and ii). of  $f(x)$  with  $\mu_n := \frac{\mu}{n}$ .

We introduce some positive parameters that have nothing to do with  $\beta$ ,  $r$  and  $\eta$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi_0 &= 2L_c \lambda_n / c'_3, \quad \chi_1 = \frac{4L_f^2}{\mu_n} + \frac{4\chi_0 c_4 L_f^2}{\mu_n}, \\
\xi_1 &= \frac{3}{2} + L_f^2 + \chi_0 (c_4 + c_4 L_f^2) + \chi_1 \frac{L_f^2}{2\mu_n}, \\
\xi_2 &= 2\chi_0 c_4, \quad \xi_3 = \chi_0 c_4, \quad \xi_4 = \frac{1}{2}.
\end{aligned}$$

We define the Lyapunov functions of system (34)  $V := V_1 + \chi_0 V_2 + \chi_1 V_3$ . It is easy to prove that  $V$  is positive definite. In fact

$$V \geq (\frac{1}{2} + \chi_0 c_1) \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{z}\|^2 + \frac{\chi_1}{2} \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\parallel\|^2. \tag{40}$$

First, let's impose some prime limit,

$$\eta \leq \beta^2 \quad r \leq 1, \tag{41}$$

By (35), (38), (39), (41), we can derive

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{V} &\leq -(\frac{1}{2} \chi_0 c'_3 - \xi_1 \beta^2 - \xi_2 \beta^2 / r) \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp\|^2 \\
&\quad - (\beta^2 - \xi_3 \beta^2 r - \xi_4 \eta) \|\mathbf{z}\|^2 \\
&\quad - (\eta \frac{\mu_n}{4} \chi_1) \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\parallel\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

$\dot{V}$  is negative when we choose  $r = \min\{\frac{1}{4\xi_3}, 1\}$ ,  $\beta^2 \leq \min\{\frac{\chi_0 c'_3}{8\xi_1}, \frac{\chi_0 c'_3 r}{8\xi_2}\}$ ,  $\eta \leq \min\{\beta^2, \frac{\beta^2}{4\xi_4}\}$ . With (40), we have

$$\dot{V} \leq -\gamma V, \quad \gamma = \min\{\frac{\chi_0 c'_3}{2(1 + 2\chi_0 c_1)}, \beta^2, \eta \frac{\mu_n}{2}\}$$

With the definition of  $V$ , we derive  $\|\bar{\mathbf{x}}(t)\|^2 = \mathcal{O}(e^{-\gamma t})$ . With the definition  $\bar{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{s}$  before, we know that  $\mathbf{x}_i(t)$  in Flow (9) converge exponentially to the optimal solution  $s^*$  with the SST compressor.

## E Proof of Theorem 4

As analyzed in Appendix C, Flow (10) satisfies that for every  $i \in \mathbf{V}$ ,  $\mathbf{x}_{i,c}^j(0) = \mathbf{x}_{i,c}^{j'}(0), \forall j, j' \in \mathbf{V}$ . Then Flow (10) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\mathbf{x}} &= -\alpha \mathcal{L} \mathbf{x}_c - \beta \mathbf{v} - \eta \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}) \\
\dot{\mathbf{v}} &= \beta \mathcal{L} \mathbf{x}_c \\
\dot{\mathbf{x}}_c &= \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_c, t),
\end{aligned} \tag{42}$$

We carry out a similar analysis process as in Appendix D with  $\mathbf{z} := \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \bar{\mathbf{v}}_\perp + \bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp$ , and the system (42) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{\hat{\mathbf{x}}}_\perp &= -\alpha \mathbb{S}^T \mathcal{L} \bar{\mathbf{x}}_c + \beta_\alpha^2 \bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp - \beta_\alpha^2 \mathbf{z} - \eta \mathbb{S}^T \tilde{\mathbf{F}}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) \\
\dot{\hat{\mathbf{x}}}_\parallel &= -\eta \mathbb{I}^T \tilde{\mathbf{F}}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) \\
\dot{\hat{\mathbf{z}}} &= -\beta_\alpha^2 \mathbf{z} + \beta_\alpha^2 \bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp - \eta \mathbb{S}^T \tilde{\mathbf{F}}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) \\
\dot{\hat{\mathbf{x}}}_c &= \mathcal{C}(\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_c, t),
\end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

where  $\bar{\mathbf{x}}_c := \mathbf{x}_c - \mathbf{s}$  and  $\beta_\alpha^2 := \beta^2/\alpha$ .

Define  $V_1(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp, \mathbf{z}) = \frac{1}{2}(\|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp\|^2 + \|\mathbf{z}\|^2)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}_1 &\leq -\alpha\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp^T \mathbb{S} \mathcal{L} \bar{\mathbf{x}}_c - \beta_\alpha^2 \|\mathbf{z}\|^2 + \beta_\alpha^2 \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp\|^2 \\ &\quad - \eta \mathbf{z}^T \mathbb{S}^T \tilde{\mathbf{F}}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) - \eta \bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp^T \mathbb{S}^T \tilde{\mathbf{F}}(\bar{\mathbf{x}})] \\ &\leq -\frac{\alpha\lambda_2}{2} \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp\|^2 - (\beta_\alpha^2 - \frac{\eta}{2}) \|\mathbf{z}\|^2 \\ &\quad + (\beta_\alpha^2 + \frac{\eta}{2} + \eta L_f^2) \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp\|^2 + \eta L_f^2 \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\parallel\|^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{\alpha\lambda_n}{2} \|\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_c\|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

where the second inequality is obtained by the fact

$$-\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp^T \mathbb{S}^T \mathcal{L} \bar{\mathbf{x}}_c \leq -\frac{1}{2}\lambda_2(\|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp\|^2) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_n(\|\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_c\|^2). \quad (45)$$

For  $V_2(\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_c, t)$ , which is defined in Appendix C. then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}_2 &\leq -c_3 \|\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_c\|^2 \\ &\quad + c_4 \sqrt{n} \|\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_c\| \|\alpha \mathcal{L} \bar{\mathbf{x}}_c + \beta_\alpha^2 \mathbb{S} \mathbf{z}_k - \beta_\alpha^2 \mathbb{S} \bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp + \eta \tilde{\mathbf{F}}(\bar{\mathbf{x}})\| \\ &\leq -[c_3 - c_4 \sqrt{n} (\frac{\alpha}{r} + \frac{2\beta_\alpha^2}{r} + \frac{\eta}{r} + 2\alpha r \lambda_n^2)] \|\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_c\|^2 \\ &\quad + c_4 \sqrt{n} \beta_\alpha^2 r \|\mathbf{z}\|^2 + (2c_4 \sqrt{n} \alpha r \lambda_n^2 + c_4 \beta_\alpha^2 r) \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp\|^2 \\ &\quad + c_4 \sqrt{n} \eta r L_f^2 \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp\|^2 + c_4 \sqrt{n} \eta r L_f^2 \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\parallel\|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

where the first inequality is obtained by  $\bar{\mathbf{v}} = \mathbb{S} \bar{\mathbf{v}}_\perp$  and (26), and the last inequality is obtained by (37), the fact

$$\|\mathbf{x}_c\|_{L^2}^2 \leq 2\lambda_n^2 \|\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_c\|^2 + 2\lambda_n^2 \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp\|^2. \quad (47)$$

and Young's Inequality, where  $r > 0$  is a parameter which will be determined later.

Define  $V_3(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\parallel) := \frac{1}{2} \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\parallel\|^2$ , then (39) still holds.

We introduce some positive parameters that have nothing to do with  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $r$  and  $\eta$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_1 &= \frac{4L_f^2}{\mu_n} + \frac{4c_4\sqrt{n}L_f^2}{\mu_n}, \quad \xi_1 = \frac{\lambda_2}{2}, \\ \xi_2 &= \frac{3}{2} + L_f^2 + c_4\sqrt{n} + c_4\sqrt{n}L_f^2 + \chi_1 \frac{L_f^2}{2\mu_n}, \\ \xi_3 &= 2c_4\sqrt{n}\lambda_n^2, \quad \xi_4 = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \xi_5 = c_4\sqrt{n}, \\ \xi_6 &= 4c_4\sqrt{n}, \quad \xi_7 = \frac{\lambda_n}{2} + 2c_4\sqrt{n}\lambda_n^2. \end{aligned}$$

We define the Lyapunov functions of system (43)  $V := V_1 + V_2 + \chi_1 V_3$ . It is easy to prove that  $V$  is positive definite. In fact

$$V \geq \frac{1}{2} \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{z}\|^2 + \frac{\chi_1}{2} \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\parallel\|^2 + c_1 \|\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_c\|. \quad (48)$$

First, let's impose some prime limit,

$$\eta \leq \beta_\alpha^2 \leq \alpha \quad r \leq 1, \quad (49)$$

By (44), (46), (39) (49), we can derive

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V} &\leq -(\xi_1\alpha - \xi_2\beta_\alpha^2 - \xi_3\alpha r) \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp\|^2 \\ &\quad - (\beta_\alpha^2 - \xi_4\eta - \xi_5\beta_\alpha^2 r) \|\mathbf{z}\|^2 \\ &\quad - (\eta \frac{\mu_n}{4} \chi_1) \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\parallel\|^2 - (c_3 - \xi_6\alpha/r - \xi_7\alpha) \|\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_c\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

$\dot{V}$  is negative when we choose  $r = \min[\frac{\xi_1}{4\xi_3}, \frac{1}{4\xi_5}, 1]$ ,

$\alpha \leq \min[\frac{c_3 r}{4\xi_6}, \frac{c_3}{4\xi_7}]$ ,  $\beta^2 \leq \min[\alpha^2, \frac{\xi_1 \alpha^2}{4\xi_2}]$ ,  $\eta \leq \min[\beta_\alpha^2, \frac{1}{4\xi_4}]$ . With (48), we have

$$\dot{V} \leq -\gamma V, \quad \gamma = \min[\xi_1\alpha, \beta_\alpha^2, \eta \frac{\mu_n}{2}, \frac{c_3}{2c_1}]$$

With the definition of  $V$ , we derive  $\|\bar{\mathbf{x}}(t)\|^2 = \mathcal{Q}(e^{-\gamma t})$ . With the definition  $\bar{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{s}$  before, we know that  $\mathbf{x}_i(t)$  in Flow (10) converge exponentially to the optimal solution  $s^*$  with the ST compressor.

## F Proof for Theorem 5

Flow (11) can be written in a tight form as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}(t+1) &= \mathbf{x}(t) - \kappa_0 \mathcal{L} \mathbf{x}_c(t) - \kappa [\beta \mathbf{v}(t) + \eta \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{x}(t))] \\ \mathbf{v}(t+1) &= \mathbf{v}(t) + \kappa_0 \beta \mathcal{L} \mathbf{x}_c(t) \\ \mathbf{x}_c(t) &= \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{x}(t), t). \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

Similar to the proof of continuous time form in Appendix D. We introduce the state error by defining  $\bar{\mathbf{x}} := \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{s}$ ,  $\bar{\mathbf{v}} := \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}^*$ . Then decompose  $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$  and  $\bar{\mathbf{v}}$  by defining  $\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp := \mathbb{S}^T \bar{\mathbf{x}}$ ,  $\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\parallel := \mathbb{I}^T \bar{\mathbf{x}}$ ,  $\bar{\mathbf{v}}_\perp := \mathbb{S}^T \bar{\mathbf{v}}$  and  $\bar{\mathbf{v}}_\parallel := \mathbb{I}^T \bar{\mathbf{v}}$ . The convergence of  $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$  and  $\bar{\mathbf{v}}$  can be shown as if  $\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\parallel$ ,  $\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp$ ,  $\bar{\mathbf{v}}_\perp$  and  $\bar{\mathbf{v}}_\parallel$  converge to the zero equilibrium. Also we can conclude (32).

Let  $\mathbf{z} := \frac{1}{\beta} \bar{\mathbf{v}}_\perp + \bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp$ , with (34), the system (50) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp(t+1) &= \bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp(t) - \kappa_0 \mathbb{S}^T \mathcal{L} \mathbf{x}_c(t) \\ &\quad + \kappa [\beta^2 \bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp(t) - \beta^2 \mathbf{z}(t) - \eta \mathbb{S}^T \tilde{\mathbf{F}}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}(t))] \\ \bar{\mathbf{x}}_\parallel(t+1) &= \bar{\mathbf{x}}_\parallel(t) - \kappa \eta \mathbb{I}^T \tilde{\mathbf{F}}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}(t)) \\ \mathbf{z}(t+1) &= \mathbf{z}(t) + \kappa [-\beta^2 \mathbf{z}(t) + \beta^2 \bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp(t) - \eta \mathbb{S}^T \tilde{\mathbf{F}}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}(t))] \\ \mathbf{x}_c(t) &= \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{x}(t), t) - \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{I} \bar{\mathbf{x}}_\parallel(t) + \mathbf{s}, t). \end{aligned} \quad (51)$$

It can be noticed that the exponential stability of the system (50) and the system (51) are equal.

Define  $V_{1,t}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\perp}, \mathbf{z}) = \frac{1}{2}(\|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\perp}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{z}\|^2)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta V_{1,t} &\leq -\kappa_0 \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\perp}^T \mathbb{S}^T \mathcal{L} \mathbf{x}_c + 2\lambda_n^2 \kappa_0^2 \|\mathbf{x}_c\|^2 \\ &\quad + \kappa[-\beta^2 \|\mathbf{z}\|^2 + \beta^2 \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\perp}\|^2 \\ &\quad - \eta \mathbf{z}^T \mathbb{S}^T \tilde{\mathbf{F}}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) - \eta \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\perp}^T \mathbb{S}^T \tilde{\mathbf{F}}(\bar{\mathbf{x}})] \\ &\quad + \kappa^2 [\frac{7}{2} \beta^4 \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\perp}\|^2 + \frac{7}{2} \beta^4 \|\mathbf{z}\|^2 + \frac{7}{2} \eta^2 \|\tilde{\mathbf{F}}(\bar{\mathbf{x}})\|^2] \\ &\leq (L_c \lambda_n \kappa_0 + 2L_c^2 \lambda_n^2 \kappa_0^2) \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\perp}\|^2 \\ &\quad + \kappa[-(\beta^2 - \frac{\eta}{2}) \|\mathbf{z}\|^2 + (\beta^2 + \frac{\eta}{2} + \eta L_f^2) \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\perp}\|^2 \\ &\quad + \eta L_f^2 \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\parallel}\|^2] + \frac{1}{2} \kappa^2 [(7\eta^2 L_f^2 + 7\beta^4) \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\perp}\|^2 \\ &\quad + 7\beta^4 \|\mathbf{z}\|^2 + 7\eta^2 L_f^2 \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\parallel}\|^2] \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

where the second inequality is obtained by (36) and (37).

Before we introduce the second Lyapunov function, we will show that the following system

$$\mathbf{y}_e(t+1) = \mathbf{y}_e(t) - \kappa_0 \mathbb{S}^T \mathcal{L} \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{x}_e(t), t), \quad (53)$$

where  $\mathbf{y}_e \in \mathbb{R}^{(n-1)d}$ ,  $\mathbf{x}_e \in \mathbb{R}^{nd}$  and  $\mathbf{y}_e = \mathbb{S}^T \mathbf{x}_e$ , achieves exponential convergence at the zero equilibrium for some positive  $\kappa_0, \delta$  in Theorem 5.

By definition of  $\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{x}_e, t)$ , it is easy to find the following system exponential convergence at the zero equilibrium if  $\kappa_0 \leq \kappa_0^*/\lambda_n$ ,

$$\mathbf{y}_e(t+1) = \mathbf{y}_e(t) - \kappa_0 \Lambda \mathcal{C}^-(\mathbf{y}_e(t), t),$$

Then there exists positive constants  $C, \gamma_D < 1$ , for any  $t$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}_+$ , the solution satisfies

$$(\|\mathbf{y}_e(t+N)\|^2) \leq C(\|\mathbf{y}_e(t)\|^2) \gamma_D^N.$$

Assume  $\phi_t^{t+T}(\mathbf{y}_e(t))$  is the state of system  $\mathbf{y}_e(t+1) = \mathbf{y}_e(t) - \kappa_0 \Lambda \mathcal{C}^-(\mathbf{y}_e(t), t)$  in  $t+N$  moment with the state in  $t$  moment is  $\mathbf{y}_e(t)$ . It is easy to verified that

$$\|\phi_t^{t+N}(\mathbf{y})\|^2 \leq L_{\phi} \|\mathbf{y}\|^2$$

for any  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{(n-1)d}$  and some  $L_{\phi} > 0$  by property of compressor  $\mathbf{C}$ .

We find Lyapunov function  $V_e(\mathbf{y}_e, t) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \|\phi_t^{t+j}(\mathbf{y}_e)\|^2$  satisfies

$$c_1 \|\mathbf{y}_e\|^2 \leq V_{e,t} \leq c_2 \|\mathbf{y}_e\|^2 \quad (54)$$

for  $c_1 = 1, c_2 = NL_{\phi}$ .

Moreover, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta V_{e,t} &= \sum_{j=1}^N \|\phi_{t+1}^{t+j}(\mathbf{y}_e(t+1))\|^2 - \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \|\phi_t^{t+j}(\mathbf{y}_e(t))\|^2 \\ &= \|\mathbf{y}_e(t+N)\|^2 - \|\mathbf{y}_e(t)\|^2 \\ &\leq -(1 - C\gamma_D^N) \|\mathbf{y}_e(t)\|^2 \leq -c_3 \|\mathbf{y}_e(t)\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

We choose a  $N \in \mathbb{N}_+$  large enough and then  $c_3 = 1 - C\gamma_D^N > 0$ , i. e.

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{j=1}^N \|\phi_{t+1}^{t+j}(\mathbf{y}_e - \kappa_0 \Lambda \mathcal{C}^-(\mathbf{y}_e, t))\|^2 - \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \|\phi_t^{t+j}(\mathbf{y}_e)\|^2 \\ &\leq -c_3 \|\mathbf{y}_e\|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

Besides,

$$\|\mathbf{y}_e - \kappa_0 \Lambda \mathcal{C}^-(\mathbf{y}_e, t)\|^2 \leq \theta \|\mathbf{y}_e\|^2, \quad (56)$$

for  $\theta = 2 + 2L_c^2 \kappa_0^2 \lambda_n^2 > 0$  by property of  $\mathbf{C}$ .

For system (53), we apply Lyapunov function  $V_e(\mathbf{y}_e, t)$  and obtain the difference of  $V_e(\mathbf{y}_e, t)$

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{j=1}^N \|\phi_{t+1}^{t+j}(\mathbf{y}_e - \kappa_0 \mathbb{S}^T \mathcal{L} \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{x}_e(t), t))\|^2 \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \|\phi_t^{t+j}(\mathbf{y}_e)\|^2 \\ &\leq -c_3 \|\mathbf{y}_e\|^2 + c_4 \kappa_0^2 \lambda_n^2 \|\mathcal{C}^-(\mathbb{S}^T \mathbf{x}_e(t), t) - \mathbb{S}^T \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{x}_e(t), t)\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2c_4 \kappa_0 \lambda_n \|\mathbf{y}_e\| \|\mathcal{C}^-(\mathbb{S}^T \mathbf{x}_e(t), t) - \mathbb{S}^T \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{x}_e(t), t)\| \\ &\leq -(c_3 - 2c_4 \kappa_0 \lambda_n \delta - c_4 \kappa_0^2 \lambda_n^2 \delta^2) \|\mathbf{y}_e\|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

for  $c_4 := NL_{\phi} \theta$ , where the first inequality is obtained by (55) and the second inequality is obtained by (7). It is obvious that for

$$0 < \delta < \frac{c_4 + \sqrt{c_4^2 + c_3 c_4}}{c_4 \kappa_0 \lambda_n}$$

that the difference of  $V_e(\mathbf{y}_e, t)$  is negative definite with  $c'_3 := c_3 - 2c_4 \kappa_0 \lambda_n \delta - c_4 \kappa_0^2 \lambda_n^2 \delta^2 > 0$ , thus system (53) achieves exponential convergence at the zero equilibrium.

Next we continue to choose Lyapunov function by defining  $V_{2,t} := V_e(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\perp}, t)$ , then

First, let's impose prime limit (41).

By (52), (58), (59), (41) we can derive

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta V_{2,t} &= \sum_{j=1}^N \|\phi_{t+1}^{t+j}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\perp}(t+1))\|^2 - \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \|\phi_t^{t+j}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\perp}(t))\|^2 \\
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^N \|\phi_{t+1}^{t+j}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\perp} - \kappa_0 \mathbb{S}^T \mathcal{L} \mathbf{x}_c \\
&\quad + \kappa(\beta^2 \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\perp} - \beta^2 \mathbf{z} - \eta \mathbb{S}^T \tilde{\mathbf{F}}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}))\|^2 \\
&\quad - \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \|\phi_t^{t+j}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\perp})\|^2 \\
&\leq -c'_3 \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\perp}\|^2 + 2\kappa c_4 \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\perp}\| \|\beta^2 \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\perp} - \beta^2 \mathbf{z} - \eta \mathbb{S}^T \tilde{\mathbf{F}}(\bar{\mathbf{x}})\| \\
&\quad + \kappa^2 N L_{\phi} \|\beta^2 \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\perp} - \beta^2 \mathbf{z} - \eta \mathbb{S}^T \tilde{\mathbf{F}}(\bar{\mathbf{x}})\|^2 \\
&\leq -(c'_3 - \kappa c_4 \beta^2 - \kappa c_4 \beta^2 / r - \kappa c_4 \eta / r - \kappa c_4 \eta r L_f^2) \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\perp}\|^2 \\
&\quad + \kappa [c_4 \beta^2 r \|\mathbf{z}\|^2 + c_4 \eta r L_f^2 \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\parallel}\|^2] \\
&\quad + \kappa^2 N L_{\phi} [(3\beta^4 + 3\eta^2 L_f^2) \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\perp}\|^2 \\
&\quad + 3\beta^4 \|\mathbf{z}\|^2 + 3\eta^2 L_f^2 \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\parallel}\|^2], \tag{58}
\end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality is obtained by (56) and (57), and the last inequality is obtained by (37) and Young's Inequality, where  $r > 0$  is a parameter which will be determined later.

Define  $V_{3,t}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\parallel}) := \frac{1}{2} \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\parallel}\|^2$ , with (39), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta V_{3,t} &= \frac{1}{2} (\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\parallel} - \kappa \eta \mathbb{I}^T \tilde{\mathbf{F}}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}))^T (\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\parallel} - \kappa \eta \mathbb{I}^T \tilde{\mathbf{F}}(\bar{\mathbf{x}})) - \frac{1}{2} \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\parallel}^T \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\parallel} \\
&= \kappa (-\eta \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\parallel}^T \mathbb{I}^T \tilde{\mathbf{F}}(\bar{\mathbf{x}})) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \kappa^2 \eta^2 L_f^2 (\|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\perp}\|^2 + \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\parallel}\|^2) \\
&\leq \kappa (-\eta \frac{\mu_n}{2} \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\parallel}\|^2 + \eta \frac{1}{2\mu_n} L_f^2 \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\perp}\|^2) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \kappa^2 \eta^2 L_f^2 (\|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\perp}\|^2 + \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\parallel}\|^2), \tag{59}
\end{aligned}$$

where the second equality is obtained by (37) and the first inequality is obtained by (39).

We introduce some parameters  $\chi_0, \chi_1, \xi_1, \xi_2, \dots > 0$  that have nothing to do with  $\beta, r$  and  $\eta$ , and some parameters  $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots > 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi_0 &= (2L_c \lambda_n \kappa_0 + 4L_c^2 \lambda_n^2 \kappa_0^2) / c'_3, \quad \chi_1 = \frac{4L_f^2}{\mu_n} + \frac{4\chi_0 c_4 \kappa_0^2}{\mu_n}, \\
\xi_1 &= \frac{3}{2} + L_f^2 + \chi_0 (c_4 + c_4 L_f^2) + \chi_1 \frac{L_f^2}{2\mu_n}, \\
\xi_2 &= 2\chi_0 c_4, \quad \xi_3 = \chi_0 c_4, \quad \xi_4 = \frac{1}{2}, \\
\zeta_1 &= \frac{7}{2} \eta^2 L_f^2 + \frac{7}{2} \beta^4 + \chi_0 N L_{\phi} (3\beta^4 + 3\eta^2 L_f^2) + \frac{1}{2} \chi_1 \eta^2 L_f^2, \\
\zeta_2 &= \frac{7}{2} \beta^4 + 3\chi_0 N L_{\phi} \beta^4, \\
\zeta_3 &= \frac{7}{2} \eta^2 L_f^2 + 3\eta^2 \chi_0 N L_{\phi} L_f^2 + \frac{1}{2} \eta^2 \chi_1 L_f^2.
\end{aligned}$$

We define the Lyapunov functions of system (51)  $V_t := V_{1,t} + \chi_0 V_{2,t} + \chi_1 V_{3,t}$ . It is easy to prove that  $V$  is positive definite. In fact

$$V_t \geq (\frac{1}{2} + \chi_0 c_1) \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\perp}\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{z}\|^2 + \frac{\chi_1}{2} \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\parallel}\|^2. \tag{60}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta V_t &\leq -(\frac{1}{2} \chi_0 c'_3 - \kappa(\xi_1 \beta^2 + \xi_2 \beta^2 / r) - \kappa^2 \zeta_1) \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\perp}\|^2 \\
&\quad - (\kappa(\beta^2 - \xi_3 \beta^2 r - \xi_4 \eta) - \kappa^2 \zeta_2) \|\mathbf{z}\|_{\mathcal{P}}^2 \\
&\quad - (\kappa \eta \frac{\mu_n}{4} \chi_1 - \kappa^2 \zeta_3) \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\parallel}\|^2.
\end{aligned}$$

$\mathbb{K}$  is negative definite when we choose  $r = \min\{\frac{1}{4\xi_3}, 1\}$ ,  $\beta^2 \leq \min\{\frac{\chi_0 c'_3}{8\xi_1}, \frac{\chi_0 c'_3 r}{8\xi_2}\}$ ,  $\kappa \leq \kappa_1 := \frac{1}{2} \min\{\frac{\chi_0 c'_3}{4\zeta_1}, \frac{\beta^2}{2\zeta_2}, \eta \frac{\mu_n \chi_1}{4\zeta_3}, 1\}$ . With (60), then

$$\Delta V_t \leq -\gamma V_t, \quad \gamma = \frac{1}{2} \kappa \min\{\frac{\chi_0 c'_3}{2(1+2\chi_0 c_1)}, \beta^2, \eta \frac{\mu_n}{2}\}.$$

Let  $\kappa_2 := 2 / \min\{\frac{\chi_0 c'_3}{2(1+2\chi_0 c_1)}, \beta^2, \eta \frac{\mu_n}{2}\}$ . When  $\kappa \leq \min\{\kappa_1, \kappa_2\}$ , we can derive for  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ ,  $V_t = \mathcal{O}((1-\gamma)^t)$ . With the definition of  $V_t$ , we derive  $\|\bar{\mathbf{x}}(t)\|^2 = \mathcal{O}((1-\gamma)^t)$ . With the definition  $\bar{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{s}$  before, we know that  $\mathbf{x}_i(t)$  in Algorithm (12) converge exponentially to the optimal solution  $\mathbf{s}^*$  with the SST compressor.

## G Proof of Theorem 6

Based on the proof of Theorem 5 and Theorem 4, with (42) in mind, the system (12) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\perp}(t+1) &= \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\perp}(t) - \kappa \mathbb{S}^T \mathcal{L} \bar{\mathbf{x}}_c(t) \\
&\quad + \kappa [\beta^2 \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\perp}(t) - \beta^2 \mathbf{z}(t) - \eta \mathbb{S}^T \tilde{\mathbf{F}}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}(t))] \\
\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\parallel}(t+1) &= \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\parallel}(t) - \kappa \eta \mathbb{I}^T \tilde{\mathbf{F}}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}(t)) \\
\mathbf{z}(t+1) &= \mathbf{z}(t) + \kappa [-\beta^2 \mathbf{z}(t) + \beta^2 \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\perp}(t) - \eta \mathbb{S}^T \tilde{\mathbf{F}}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}(t))] \\
\bar{\mathbf{x}}_c(t+1) &= \bar{\mathbf{x}}_c(t) + \kappa_0 \mathcal{C}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}(t) - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_c(t), t). \tag{61}
\end{aligned}$$

Define  $V_{1,t}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\perp}, \mathbf{z}) = \frac{1}{2} (\|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\perp}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{z}\|^2)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta V_{1,t} &\leq -\kappa \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\perp}^T \mathbb{S}^T \mathcal{L} \bar{\mathbf{x}}_c + 2\kappa^2 \bar{\mathbf{x}}_c^T L^2 \bar{\mathbf{x}}_c \\
&\quad + \kappa [\beta^2 \|\mathbf{z}\|^2 + \beta^2 \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\perp}\|^2 \\
&\quad - \eta \mathbf{z}^T \mathbb{S}^T \tilde{\mathbf{F}}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}) - \eta \bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\perp}^T \mathbb{S}^T \tilde{\mathbf{F}}(\bar{\mathbf{x}})] \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \kappa^2 [7\beta^4 \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\perp}\|^2 + 7\beta^4 \|\mathbf{z}\|^2 + 7\eta^2 \|\tilde{\mathbf{F}}(\bar{\mathbf{x}})\|^2] \\
&\leq \kappa \frac{1}{2} \lambda_n \|\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_c\|^2 + 2\kappa^2 \lambda_n^2 \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_c\|^2 \\
&\quad + \kappa [-(\beta^2 - \frac{\eta}{2}) \|\mathbf{z}\|^2 \\
&\quad + (-\frac{1}{2} \lambda_2 + \beta^2 + \frac{\eta}{2} + \eta L_f^2) \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\perp}\|^2 + \eta L_f^2 \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\parallel}\|^2] \\
&\quad + \frac{7}{2} \kappa^2 [(\eta^2 L_f^2 + \beta^4) \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\perp}\|^2 + \beta^4 \|\mathbf{z}\|^2 + \eta^2 L_f^2 \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_{\parallel}\|^2] \tag{62}
\end{aligned}$$



where the second inequality is obtained by (37) and (45).

Now that  $\mathbf{x}_e(t+1) - \mathbf{x}_e(t) = -\kappa_0 \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{x}_e(t), t)$ , where  $\mathbf{x}_e \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , achieves exponential convergence at the zero equilibrium, then clearly  $\mathbf{y}_e(t+1) - \mathbf{y}_e(t) = -\kappa_0 \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{y}_e(t), t)$ , where  $\mathbf{y}_e \in \mathbb{R}^{nd}$ , achieves also. Then there exists positive constants  $C$ ,  $\gamma_D < 1$ , for any  $t$  and  $N \in \mathbb{N}_+$ , the solution satisfies

$$\|\mathbf{y}_e(t+N)\|^2 \leq C(\|\mathbf{y}_e(t)\|^2)\gamma_D^N.$$

Assume  $\phi_t^{t+N}(\mathbf{y}_e(t))$  is the state of system  $\mathbf{y}_e(t+1) - \mathbf{y}_e(t) = -\kappa_0 \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{y}_e(t), t)$  in  $t+N$  moment with the state in  $t$  moment is  $\mathbf{y}_e(t)$ . It is easy to verified that

$$\|\phi_t^{t+N}(\mathbf{y})\|^2 \leq L_\phi \|\mathbf{y}\|^2$$

for any  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{nd}$  and some  $L_\phi > 0$  by property of compressor  $\mathbf{C}$ .

With (55) in mind, we can proof Lyapunov function  $V_e(\mathbf{y}_e, t) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \|\phi_t^{t+j}(\mathbf{y}_e)\|^2$  with some  $N > 0$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 \|\mathbf{y}_e\|^2 &\leq V_{e,t} \leq c_2 \|\mathbf{y}_e\|^2 \\ \sum_{j=1}^N \|\phi_{t+1}^{t+j}(\mathbf{y}_e - \kappa_0 \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{y}_e, t))\|^2 - \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \|\phi_t^{t+j}(\mathbf{y}_e)\|^2 & \\ \leq -c_3 \|\mathbf{y}_e\|^2 & \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

for  $c_1 = 1, c_2 = NL_\phi, c_3 > 0$ .

Besides,

$$\|\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_c + \kappa_0 \mathcal{C}(\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_c, t)\|^2 \leq \theta \|\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_c\|^2, \quad (64)$$

for  $\theta = 2 + 2L_c^2 \kappa_0^2 > 0$  by property of  $\mathbf{C}$ . Moreover, (47) still holds.

Define  $V_2(\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_c, t) := V_e(\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_c, t)$ , we can derive

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta V_{2,t} &= \sum_{j=1}^N \|\phi_{t+1}^{t+j}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}(t+1) - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_c(t+1))\|^2 \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \|\phi_t^{t+j}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}(t) - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_c(t))\|^2 \\ &\leq \sum_{j=1}^N \|\phi_{t+1}^{t+j}(\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_c + \kappa_0 \mathcal{C}(\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_c, t))\|^2 \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \|\phi_t^{t+j}(\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_c)\|^2 \\ &\quad + 2\kappa c_4 \|\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_c\| \|L\bar{\mathbf{x}}_c + \beta^2 \mathbf{z} - \beta^2 \bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp + \eta \tilde{\mathbf{F}}(\bar{\mathbf{x}})\| \\ &\quad + \kappa^2 NL_\phi \|L\bar{\mathbf{x}}_c + \beta^2 \mathbf{z} - \beta^2 \bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp + \eta \tilde{\mathbf{F}}(\bar{\mathbf{x}})\|^2 \\ &\leq -[c_3 - \kappa(c_4 r + 2c_4 \beta^2 / r + c_4 \eta / r + \\ &\quad 2c_4 r \lambda_n^2)] \|\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_c\|^2 + \kappa(c_4 \beta^2 r \|\mathbf{z}\|^2 \\ &\quad + (2c_4 r \lambda_n^2 + c_4 \beta^2 r) \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp\|^2 + c_4 \eta r L_f^2 \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp\|^2 \\ &\quad + c_4 \eta r L_f^2 \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\parallel\|^2) \\ &\quad + \kappa^2 NL_\phi (4\lambda_n^2 \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_c\|^2 + 4\beta^4 \|\bar{\mathbf{v}}\|^2 + (4\beta^4 \\ &\quad + 4\eta^2 L_f^2) \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp\|^2 + 4\eta^2 L_f^2 \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\parallel\|^2), \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

for  $c_4 := NL_\phi \theta$ , where the first inequality is obtained by  $\bar{\mathbf{v}} = \mathbb{S}\bar{\mathbf{v}}_\perp$ , (63) and (64), and the last inequality is obtained by (37), (47) and Young's Inequality, where  $r > 0$  is a parameter which will be determined later.

Define  $V_{3,t}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\parallel) := \frac{1}{2} \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\parallel\|^2$ , then (59) still holds.

We introduce some parameters  $\chi_1, \xi_1 \dots > 0$  that have nothing to do with  $\alpha, \beta, r$  and  $\eta$ , and some parameters  $\zeta_1, \zeta_2 \dots > 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_1 &= \frac{4L_f^2}{\mu_n} + \frac{4c_4 L_f^2}{\mu_n}, \quad \xi_1 = \frac{\lambda_2}{2}, \\ \xi_2 &= \frac{3}{2} + L_f^2 + c_4 + c_4 L_f^2 + \chi_1 \frac{L_f^2}{2\mu_n}, \quad \xi_3 = 2c_4 \lambda_n^2, \\ \xi_4 &= \frac{1}{2}, \quad \xi_5 = c_4, \quad \xi_6 = 4c_4, \quad \xi_7 = \frac{\lambda_n}{2} + 2c_4 \lambda_n^2, \\ \zeta_1 &= \frac{7}{2} \eta^2 L_f^2 + \frac{7}{2} \beta^4 + 4\lambda_n^2 \\ &\quad + NL_\phi (8\lambda_n^2 + 4\beta^4 + 4\eta^2 L_f^2) + \frac{1}{2} \chi_1 \eta^2 L_f^2, \\ \zeta_2 &= \frac{7}{2} \beta^4 + 4NL_\phi \beta^4, \\ \zeta_3 &= \frac{7}{2} \eta^2 L_f^2 + 4NL_\phi \eta^2 L_f^2 + \frac{1}{2} \eta^2 \chi_1 L_f^2, \\ \zeta_4 &= 4\lambda_n + 8NL_\phi \lambda_n^2. \end{aligned}$$

We define the Lyapunov functions of system (43)

$V_t := V_{1,t} + V_{2,t} + \chi_1 V_{3,t}$ . It is easy to prove that  $V_t$  is positive definite. In fact

$$V \geq \frac{1}{2} \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp\|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\mathbf{z}\|^2 + \frac{\chi_1}{2} \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\parallel\|^2 + c_1 \|\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_c\|. \quad (66)$$

First, let's impose some prime limit (49).

By (62), (65), (59) (49), we can derive

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta V_t &\leq \kappa(-(\xi_1 - \xi_2 \beta^2 - \xi_3 r) \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp\|^2 \\ &\quad - (\beta^2 - \xi_4 \eta - \xi_5 \beta^2 r) \|\mathbf{z}\|^2 \\ &\quad - \eta \frac{\mu_n}{4} \chi_1 \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\parallel\|^2 \\ &\quad - (c_3 / \kappa - \xi_6 / r - \xi_7)) \|\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_c\|^2 \\ &\quad + \kappa^2 (\zeta_1 \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp\|^2 + \zeta_2 \|\bar{\mathbf{v}}\|^2 + \zeta_3 \|\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_c\|^2 + \zeta_4 \|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\parallel\|^2) \end{aligned}$$

$\Delta V_t$  is negative when we choose  $r = \min\{\frac{\xi_1}{4\xi_3}, \frac{1}{4\xi_5}, 1\}$ ,  $\kappa \leq \kappa_1 = \frac{c_3}{2\xi_6 r + 2\xi_7}$ ,  $\eta \leq \min\{\beta^2, \frac{1}{4\xi_4}\}$  and  $\kappa \leq \kappa_2 := \frac{1}{2} \min\{\frac{\xi_1}{2c_1}, \frac{\beta^2}{2c_2}, \eta \frac{\chi_1 \mu_n}{4c_3}, \frac{c_3}{2c_4}\}$ . With (66), then

$$\Delta V_t \leq -\gamma V_t, \quad \gamma = \frac{1}{2} \kappa \min\{\frac{\lambda_2}{2}, \beta^2, \eta \frac{\mu_n}{2}, \frac{c_3}{2c_1}\}.$$

Let  $\kappa_3 := 2 / \min\{\xi_1, \beta^2, \eta \frac{\mu_n}{2}, \frac{c_3}{2c_1}\}$ , When  $\kappa \leq \min\{\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \kappa_3\}$ , we can derive for  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ ,  $V_t = \mathcal{O}((1 - \gamma)^t)$ . With the definition of  $V_t$ , we derive

$\|\bar{\mathbf{x}}(t)\|^2 = \mathcal{O}((1-\gamma)^t)$ . With the definition  $\bar{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{s}$  before, we know that  $\mathbf{x}_i(t)$  in Algorithm (12) converge exponentially to the optimal solution  $\mathbf{s}^*$  with the ST compressor.

## H Proof of Theorem 7

The idea of proof is quite similar to that in Appendix G. We just recalculate  $\Delta V_{2,t}$  with stochastic impact while the other proof process is the same.

Now that  $\mathbf{x}_e(t+1) - \mathbf{x}_e(t) = -\kappa_0 \mathbf{C}(\mathbf{x}_e(t), t)$ , where  $\mathbf{x}_e(t) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ , achieves mean square exponential convergence at the zero equilibrium, then clearly  $\mathbf{y}_e(t+1) - \mathbf{y}_e = -\kappa_0 \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{y}_e, t)$ , where  $\mathbf{y}_e \in \mathbb{R}^{nd}$ , achieves also. Then there exists positive constants  $C, \gamma < 1$ , for any  $t$  and  $T \in \mathbb{N}_+$ , the solution satisfies

$$\mathbb{E}\|\mathbf{y}_e(t+T)\|^2 \leq C(\|\mathbf{y}_e(t)\|^2)\gamma^T.$$

Assume  $\phi_t^{t+N}(\mathbf{y}_e)$  is the state of system  $\mathbf{y}_e(t+1) - \mathbf{y}_e(t) = -\kappa_0 \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{y}_e(t), t)$  in  $t+N$  moment with the state in  $t$  moment is  $\mathbf{y}_e(t)$ . It is easy to verified that

$$\mathbb{E}\|\phi_t^{t+N}(\mathbf{y})\|^2 \leq L_\phi \|\mathbf{y}\|^2$$

for any  $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{(n-1)d}$  and some  $L_\phi > 0$  by property of compressor  $\mathbf{C}$ .

With (55) in mind, we can proof Lyapunov function  $V_e(\mathbf{y}_e, t) = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \|\phi_t^{t+j}(\mathbf{y}_e)\|^2$  with some  $N > 0$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 \|\mathbf{y}_e\|^2 &\leq \mathbb{E}(V_{e,t}) \leq c_2 \|\mathbf{y}_e\|^2 \\ &\mathbb{E} \sum_{j=1}^N \|\phi_{t+1}^{t+j}(\mathbf{y}_e - \kappa_0 \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{y}_e, t))\|^2 - \mathbb{E} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \|\phi_t^{t+j}(\mathbf{y}_e)\|^2 \\ &\leq -c_3 \|\mathbf{y}_e\|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

for  $c_1 = 1, c_2 = NL_\phi, c_3 > 0$ .

Besides,

$$\mathbb{E}\|\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_c + \kappa_0 \mathcal{C}(\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_c, t)\|^2 \leq \theta \|\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_c\|^2, \quad (68)$$

for  $\theta = 2 + 2L_c^2 \kappa_0^2 > 0$  by property of  $\mathbf{C}$ .

Define  $V_2(\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_c, t) := V_e(\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_c, t)$ , with (65) in

mind, we can derive

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}\Delta V_{2,t} &= \mathbb{E} \sum_{j=1}^N \|\phi_{t+1}^{t+j}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}(t+1) - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_c(t+1))\|^2 \\ &\quad - \mathbb{E} \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \|\phi_t^{t+j}(\bar{\mathbf{x}}(t) - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_c(t))\|^2 \\ &\leq -[c_3 - \kappa(c_4/r + 2c_4\beta^2/r + c_4\eta/r)]\|\bar{\mathbf{x}} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}_c\|^2 \\ &\quad + \kappa(c_4r\lambda_n^2\|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_c\|^2 + c_4\beta^2r\|\mathbf{z}\|^2 + c_4\beta^2r\|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp\|^2 + \\ &\quad c_4\eta r L_f^2\|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp\|^2 + c_4\eta r L_f^2\|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\parallel\|^2) \\ &\quad + \kappa^2 N L_\phi (4\lambda_n^2\|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_c\|^2 + 4\beta^4\|\bar{\mathbf{v}}\|^2 + (4\beta^4 \\ &\quad + 4\eta^2 L_f^2)\|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\perp\|^2 + 4\eta^2 L_f^2\|\bar{\mathbf{x}}_\parallel\|^2), \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

for  $c_4 := NL_\phi\theta$ , where the first inequality is obtained (67) and (68), and  $r > 0$  is a parameter which will be determined later.

We define the same  $V_t$  as that in Appendix G, then (66) holds and we have

$$\mathbb{E}\Delta V_t \leq -\gamma V_t, \quad \gamma = \frac{1}{2}\kappa \min\left\{\frac{\lambda_2}{2}, \beta^2, \eta\frac{\mu_n}{2}, \frac{c_3}{2c_1}\right\}.$$

with the same parameters. Then we can derive  $\mathbb{E}\|\bar{\mathbf{x}}(t)\|^2 = \mathcal{O}((1-\gamma)^t)$ . With the definition  $\bar{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{s}$  before, we know that the mean square of  $\mathbf{x}_i(t)$  in Algorithm (12) converge exponentially to the optimal solution  $\mathbf{s}^*$  with the StST compressor.

## References

- [1] M. Mesbahi and M. Egerstedt. *Graph Theoretic Methods in Multiagent Networks*. Princeton University Press, 2010.
- [2] S. Martinez, J. Cortés, and F. Bullo, "Motion coordination with distributed information," *IEEE Control Systems Magazine*, vol. 27, no. 4, pp. 75-88, 2007.
- [3] S. Kar, J. M. F. Moura and K. Ramanan, "Distributed parameter estimation in sensor networks: nonlinear observation models and imperfect communication," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 58, no. 6, pp. 3575-52, 2012.
- [4] A. G. Dimakis, S. Kar, J. M. F. Moura, M. G. Rabbat, and A Scaglione, "Gossip algorithms for distributed signal processing," *Proceedings of IEEE*, vol. 98, no. 11, pp. 1847-1864, 2010.

- [5] B. Johansson, T. Keviczky, M. Johansson, and K. H. Johansson, "Subgradient methods and consensus algorithms for solving convex optimization problems," In *Proceedings of the 2008 IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*, pp. 4185–4190, 2008.
- [6] A. Nedić, A. Ozdaglar, and P. A. Parrilo, "Constrained consensus and optimization in multi-agent networks," *IEEE Transactions On Automatic Control*, vol. 55, no. 4, pp. 922–938, 2010.
- [7] M. Bin, I. Notarnicola, L. Marconi and G. Notarstefano, "A system theoretical perspective to gradient-tracking algorithms for distributed quadratic optimization," In *Proceedings of the 2019 IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*, pp. 2994–2999, 2019.
- [8] S. Pu, W. Shi, J. Xu, and A. Nedic, "Push-pull gradient methods for distributed optimization in networks," *IEEE Transactions On Automatic Control*, vol. 66, no. 1, pp. 1–16, 2021.
- [9] I. Notarnicola, M. Bin, L. Marconi and G. Notarstefano, "The gradient tracking is a distributed integral action," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 68, no. 12, pp. 7911–7918, 2023.
- [10] J. Wang and N. Elia, "Control approach to distributed optimization," In *Proceedings of 2010 Annual Allerton Conference on Communication, Control, and Computing*, pp. 557–561, 2010.
- [11] X. Yi, S. Zhang, T. Yang, T. Chai and K. H. Johansson, "Linear convergence of first- and zeroth-order primal–dual algorithms for distributed nonconvex optimization," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 67, no. 8, pp. 4194–4201, 2022.
- [12] T. T. Doan, S. T. Maguluri and J. Romberg, "Convergence rates of distributed gradient methods under random quantization: a stochastic approximation approach," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 66, no. 10, pp. 4469–4484, 2021.
- [13] T. Doan, S. Maguluri and J. Romberg, "Fast convergence rates of distributed subgradient methods with adaptive quantization," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 66, no. 5, pp. 2191–2205, 2021.
- [14] C. S. Lee, N. Michelusi and G. Scutari, "Finite rate quantized distributed optimization with geometric convergence," In *52nd Asilomar Conference on Signals, Systems, and Computers* pp. 1876–1880, 2018.
- [15] Y. Kajiyama, N. Hayashi and S. Takai, "Linear convergence of consensus-based quantized optimization for smooth and strongly convex cost functions," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 66, no. 3, pp. 1254–1261, 2021.
- [16] X. Yi, S. Zhang, T. Yang, T. Chai and K. H. Johansson, "Communication compression for distributed nonconvex optimization," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 68, no. 9, pp. 5477–5492, 2023.
- [17] Kovalev D , Koloskova A , Jaggi M ,et al, "A linearly convergent algorithm for decentralized optimization: sending less bits for free!" In *Proceedings of The 24th International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics* pp. 4087–4095, 2021.
- [18] Koloskova A , Stich S U , Jaggi M, "Decentralized stochastic optimization and gossip algorithms with compressed communication" In *Proceedings of the 36th International Conference on Machine Learning*, pp. 3478–3487, 2019.
- [19] A. Reisizadeh, A. Mokhtari, H. Hassani and R. Pedarsani, "An exact quantized decentralized gradient descent algorithm," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 67, no. 19, pp. 4934–4947, 2019.
- [20] L. Wang, Z. Ren, D. Yuan, G. Shi, "Distributed solvers for network linear equations with scalarized compression". preprint arXiv 2401.06332 , 2024.

- [21] J. Zhang, K. You and L. Xie, “Innovation Compression for Communication-Efficient Distributed Optimization With Linear Convergence,” *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 68, no. 11, pp. 6899-6906, 2023.
- [22] Y. Liao, Z. Li and K. Huang and S. Pu, “A Compressed Gradient Tracking Method for Decentralized Optimization With Linear Convergence,” *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 67, no. 10, pp. 5622-5629, 2022.
- [23] S. Khirirat, S. Magnússon and M. Johansson, “Compressed Gradient Methods With Hessian-Aided Error Compensation,” *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 69, pp. 998-1011, 2021.
- [24] A. Nedic, A. Olshevsky, A. Ozdaglar and J. N. Tsitsiklis, “Distributed subgradient methods and quantization effects,” In *Proceedings of the 2008 IEEE Conference on Decision and Control*, pp. 4177-4184, 2008.
- [25] M. G. Rabbat and R. D. Nowak, “Quantized incremental algorithms for distributed optimization,” *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 798-808, 2005.
- [26] D. Thanou, E. Kokopoulou, Y. Pu and P. Frossard, “Distributed average consensus with quantization refinement,” *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 61, no. 1, pp. 194-205, 2013.
- [27] T. Li, M. Fu, L. Xie and J. -F. Zhang, “Distributed consensus with limited communication data rate,” *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 56, no. 2, pp. 279-292, 2011.
- [28] L. Chen, G. Wen, H. Liu, W. Yu and J. Cao, “Compressed Gradient Tracking Algorithm for Distributed Aggregative Optimization,” *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 2024.
- [29] D. Jakovetić, D. Bajović, J. Xavier, and J. M. Moura, “Primal–dual methods for large-scale and distributed convex optimization and data analytics,” *Proceedings of the IEEE*, vol. 108, no. 11, pp. 1923–1938, 2020.
- [30] P. Cisneros-Velarde, S. Jafarpour and F. Bullo, “A contraction analysis of Prime-Dual dynamics in distributed and time-Varying implementations,” *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 67, no. 7, pp. 3560-3566, 2022.
- [31] Y. Liao, Z. Li, K. Huang, and S. Pu, “A compressed gradient tracking method for decentralized optimization with linear convergence,” *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 67, no. 10, pp. 5622–5629, 2022.
- [32] A. Beznosikov, S. Horvath, P. Richtarik, and M. Safaryan, “On biased compression for distributed learning” *The Journal of Machine Learning Research*, vol. 24, no. 276, pp. 12974–13023, 2024.
- [33] L. Wang and C. M. Kellett, “Robust I&I adaptive tracking control of systems with nonlinear parameterization: An ISS perspective,” *Automatic*, vol. 158, p.111273, 2023.
- [34] H. K. Khalil, *Nonlinear Systems*, Third Edition, Prentice Hall, 2002.
- [35] Z. Ren, L. Wang, D. Yuan, H. Su and G. Shi, “Spatio-Temporal Communication Compression in Distributed Prime-Dual Flows,” preprint arXiv, 2024.
- [36] H. Deng, M. Krstic and R. Williams, “Stabilization of stochastic nonlinear systems driven by noise of unknown covariance,” *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 46, no. 8, pp. 1237-1253, 2001.
- [37] X. Liu, Y. Li, R. Wang, J. Tang, and M. Yan, “Linear convergent decentralized optimization with compression,” in *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2021.
- [38] G. Wu, R. Mallipeddi and P. Suganthan, “Problem definitions and evaluation criteria for the CEC 2017 competition on constrained real-parameter optimization”. National University of

Defense Technology, Kyungpook National University and Nanyang Technological, 2017.

- [39] B. Anderson, "Exponential stability of linear equations arising in adaptive identification," *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, vol. 22, no. 1, pp. 83-88, 1977.