

# SelectTTS: Synthesizing Anyone’s Voice via Discrete Unit-Based Frame Selection

Ismail Rasim Ulgen\*, *Student Member, IEEE*, Shreeram Suresh Chandra\* *Student Member, IEEE*, Junchen Lu *Student Member, IEEE* and Berrak Sisman *Member, IEEE*

**Abstract**—Synthesizing the voices of unseen speakers is a persisting challenge in multi-speaker text-to-speech (TTS). Most multi-speaker TTS models rely on modeling speaker characteristics through speaker conditioning during training. Modeling unseen speaker attributes through this approach has necessitated an increase in model complexity, which makes it challenging to reproduce results and improve upon them. We design a simple alternative to this. We propose SelectTTS, a novel method to select the appropriate frames from the target speaker and decode using frame-level self-supervised learning (SSL) features. We show that this approach can effectively capture speaker characteristics for unseen speakers, and achieves comparable results to other multi-speaker TTS frameworks in both objective and subjective metrics. With SelectTTS, we show that frame selection from the target speaker’s speech is a direct way to achieve generalization in unseen speakers with low model complexity. We achieve better speaker similarity performance than SOTA baselines XTTS-v2 and VALL-E with over an 8x reduction in model parameters and a 270x reduction in training data.

**Index Terms**—Multi-speaker TTS, frame selection, self-supervised learning

## I. INTRODUCTION

Recent text-to-speech approaches [1]–[3] have demonstrated that given sufficient data and large enough model capacity, TTS models are capable of producing speech of remarkably high quality and naturalness. While the development of large-scale TTS models [4] offers its benefits, it also introduces challenges associated with model reproducibility. This issue becomes especially relevant in multi-speaker TTS frameworks.

Multi-speaker TTS for unseen speakers is a challenging problem as the objective is multifold - learning text-to-speech semantic prediction while simultaneously capturing the speaker timbre and acoustics [5]. Previously, multi-speaker TTS predominantly relied on speaker labels and embeddings [6], [7] to condition models to learn speaker traits [8], [9]. Speaker embeddings were initially created for tasks such as speaker recognition [10], but applying these embeddings to model unseen speaker characteristics in synthesis has proven to be an uphill task [11]. More recently, zero-shot TTS

frameworks have started to exploit neural codec language modeling [2], [12]. They replace traditional mel-spectrograms with audio codec codes and use in-context learning capability to enable prompt-based zero-shot TTS.

Both the embedding and language modeling approach rely on speaker conditioning, either in the form of speaker embeddings or acoustic prompts, requiring the network to learn the modeling of speaker timbre given the condition. While this has led to state-of-the-art (SOTA) performance [13]–[15], with the cost of increased model capacity and complexity, as well as data requirements [13], [16], hindering reproducibility and further development of those methods. With SelectTTS, we propose a straightforward and effective alternative to conditional learning of speaker traits where speaker modeling is performed by non-parametric frame selection of SSL features. This approach allows training a more parameter efficient and less complex model without huge data requirements, while still achieving performance comparable to larger models in reproducing speaker timbre for multi-speaker TTS.

This is enabled by the advancements in self-supervised learning speech models [17], [18]. These SSL features show tremendous potential in capturing the linguistic, speaker, and prosody information [19]. Their masked prediction objective [20] ensures that they possess strong semantic information while also being able to capture speaker acoustic information, which is necessary for multi-speaker TTS. A recent work, kNN-VC [21], has directly leveraged this capability of SSL features in unit selection-based voice conversion [22] by replacing each frame-level feature in the source utterance with the closest neighbours in the reference speech to construct the target feature sequence. It demonstrates that selecting SSL features on a frame-by-frame basis from the reference speech can synthesize voices with a high degree of similarity to the target speaker. Persuaded by the idea of selecting frames in the SSL feature space, we develop frame selection algorithms to leverage the generalization ability of SSL for synthesizing voices of unseen speakers through multi-speaker TTS.

With SelectTTS, we introduce a new paradigm of frame selection-based multi-speaker TTS that directly utilizes frames from unseen target speakers to clone their voice. Rather than conditioning input text with speaker representations or using speech tokens as prompts to model speaker timbre, our method splits the task into two stages. In the first stage, we predict frame-level semantic units from text to model

**Speech Samples:** <https://kodhandarama.github.io/selectTTSdemo/>  
Codes and pre-trained models will be released upon acceptance.


Manuscript submitted on 30 Aug.

\*Equal Contribution

This work is supported by NSF CAREER award IIS-2338979.

I. Rasim Ulgen, Shreeram S. Chandra and Berrak Sisman are with the Electrical and Computer Engineering Department, University of Texas at Dallas, Richardson, TX 75080 USA (e-mail: ismailrasim.ulgen@utdallas.edu; shreeramsuresh.chandra@utdallas.edu; berrak.sisman@utdallas.edu).

Junchen Lu is with the Electrical and Computer Engineering Department, National University of Singapore, Singapore 117583 (e-mail: junchen@u.nus.edu)



(a) Semantic unit tokenizers

(b) SelectTTS inference

Fig. 1: Proposed SelectTTS framework with the frame-selection method. In the frame selection, frames  $z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4$  are chosen through sub-sequence matching and frames  $z_7, z_9, z_6$  and  $z_{10}$  are chosen via inverse k-means sampling.

speech semantic content. In the second stage, we obtain intermediate representations with the necessary speaker and acoustic information by selecting frame-level features from the reference speech according to the predicted semantic units. Combining frame selection with rich SSL features achieves SOTA speaker similarity, as it uses the target speaker’s frames directly. This framework, with its multi-stage structure and non-parametric approach, offers reduced model complexity and greater customization compared to larger baselines. The main contributions of the paper are summarized as: 1) We propose a multi-speaker text-to-speech strategy that completely separates and simplifies the tasks of semantic prediction and speaker modeling in TTS, making the overall framework easily reproducible and open to further development; 2) We introduce novel frame selection algorithms, sub-sequence matching and inverse k-means sampling, that directly select frames from the target speaker to accurately reproduce speaker timbre; 3) We utilize the discrete SSL features for text-to-semantic modeling and frame selection; the continuous SSL features for vocoding, showing the benefits of leveraging both feature spaces.

## II. RELATED WORK

Learning speaker characteristics has been the primary goal in multi-speaker TTS research. In the deep learning era, traditional methods are mostly based on conditioning the neural networks with speaker representations [3], [23], [24] or pre-trained speaker embeddings [7], [25]. On the other hand, inspired by the success of LLMs, in-context learning with large codec-based models, such as VALL-E [2], VoiceCraft [13] and Voicebox [1], leverages bi-directional context for speech-infilling tasks using speaker information in the form of acoustic prompts, achieving SOTA speaker similarity with the cost of training in very large-scale data. Methods such as SPEAR-TTS [26], UniCATS [27] reduce the data requirement compared to those large models while using acoustic prompt conditioning, however, they remain to be complex models. Additionally, methods like UnitSpeech [28] and HierSpeech [29] perform speaker adaptation through fine-tuning. In this work, we explore a new alternative approach and show that

a simple non-parametric frame selection method can achieve SOTA speaker similarity results.

## III. SELECTTTS

We propose SelectTTS, a multi-speaker TTS framework that directly utilizes frames from the unseen speaker for decoding speech. The proposed method includes two training stages and an offline intermediate stage. In the first training stage, we train a text-to-semantic-unit model. We perform frame selection using the predicted semantic units to choose the frames from the target speaker. Frame selection is performed in an offline manner and helps us recover the continuous SSL feature from its discrete counterpart, as illustrated in Fig. 1(b). Finally, we train the vocoder to map these frame-level continuous SSL features to speech waveform. In this section, we describe in detail about each one of these stages.

### A. Semantic unit tokenizers

SelectTTS relies on its frame selection pipeline to choose the correct frames from the reference speech. We perform frame selection in the discrete semantic-unit space. We use the following tokenizers to bridge the modality gap between text and speech.

1) *Speech-unit-tokenizer*: The speech-unit tokenizer converts speech into frame-level sequences of semantic units. Continuous SSL features are extracted from the speech at the frame level using a pre-trained SSL model. These features are then converted into discrete semantic units through k-means clustering, as illustrated in Fig. 1(a). We denote this transformation as  $z = \text{SpeechUnitTokenizer}(Z)$ , where  $z$  represents the discrete unit sequence and  $Z$  is the continuous SSL feature sequence.

2) *Text-unit-tokenizer*: We train a non-auto-regressive model that learns to predict frame-level discrete semantic units from text. For this task, we use an architecture that is based on FastSpeech2 [30]. This model consists of a text encoder, a duration prediction model, and a unit decoder. This tokenizer is trained on a parallel dataset containing text and speech discrete units. The speech discrete units are obtained by using the *SpeechUnitTokenizer* transform. We use an external alignment

tool [31] to obtain phoneme durations which is used as the target to train the duration predictor. At inference, this tokenizer consumes non-aligned phoneme sequences and predicts frame-level speech discrete units. The duration model predicts the phoneme durations and accordingly upsamples the phonemes. This tokenizer is denoted by *TextUnitTokenizer*. We emphasize that predicting discrete units instead of continuous features simplifies the task and reduces overall complexity, allowing for more effective frame selection algorithms. With discrete units, we can easily select sequences of frames together, rather than individually as with continuous features which would be limited for capturing intra-frame dependencies.

### B. Frame selection algorithms

We propose a novel frame selection pipeline that leverages two algorithms in sequence: sub-sequence matching followed by inverse k-means sampling. The pipeline selects an appropriate frame from the reference speech corresponding to each predicted unit produced by the *TextUnitTokenizer*.

1) *Sub-sequence matching*: We formulate the sub-sequence matching problem as follows: Let  $\hat{z} = \text{TextUnitTokenizer}(\text{text})$  represent a sequence of predicted semantic units obtained from the *TextUnitTokenizer*. Similarly, let  $z_{\text{ref}} = \text{SpeechUnitTokenizer}(\text{speech})$  denote the semantic unit sequence derived from the reference speech of the target speaker using the speech-unit tokenizer. In our sub-sequence matching algorithm, we find sub-sequences of the predicted sequence  $\hat{z}$  that is present in the reference unit sequence  $z_{\text{ref}}$ . Once we find these sub-sequences, we replace the sub-sequence-matched discrete unit sequence with the corresponding continuous SSL features from the reference speech as shown in Fig. 1(b). We start from the longest sub-sequence length and iteratively replace the discrete units till there are no more sub-sequences. In our sub-sequence matching implementation, we begin by searching for matches with a maximum length of 10 to maintain computational efficiency, with a minimum length set at 2. The intuition behind sub-sequence matching is that - by choosing chunks of speech segments at a time, we hope to get the most accurate match in the form of real speech segments instead of relying on only frame-level selection to reduce artifacts and improve segment-level prosody.

2) *Inverse k-means sampling*: For frames that do not have sub-sequence matches, we replace the discrete unit with a continuous SSL feature belonging to the same discrete unit cluster. This method is referred to as inverse k-means sampling because it performs the reverse operation of k-means clustering, recovering the continuous SSL features from the discretized SSL feature space. We also notice that the discrete unit cluster may be an empty set in the case when the reference speech is short. In this case, we replace the discrete unit with an SSL feature from the nearest k-means cluster that is not empty. For SSL feature sampling, we experimented with two different approaches: randomly sampling one of the frames from the target unit cluster or using the average of all SSL features from the target unit cluster in the reference speech.

### C. Vocoder

The vocoder is used to convert the continuous WavLM features into an audio waveform. The vocoder can be trained

without any dependence on frame selection algorithms as we can compute the frame-level features of the ground truth audio and feed that as the input to vocoding. However, this causes a mismatch between the inputs during training and inference. To overcome this mismatch, we perform frame selection with the ground truth audio before training the vocoder. Given an input utterance in a set of utterances from a speaker, we choose the reference speech to be every other utterance from the same speaker. We extract ground truth WavLM units using *SpeechUnitTokenizer* from the input utterance and perform frame selection described in section III-B. The selected frame-level SSL features are then used as input to the vocoder. The target for the vocoder is the ground-truth audio. In our preliminary listening experiments, we noticed that fine-tuning the vocoder with frames selected with sub-sequence matching and inverse k-means sampling significantly reduces artifacts.

## IV. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

### A. SelectTTS implementation

The choice of SSL feature is an important decision in our work. Since we are training a text-to-semantic-unit model, initially we experimented with layers 22 and 23 of WavLM-Large [18] since these layers achieve the best performance on the phone recognition task and have the most content information. However, our preliminary synthesis experiments suggested that this causes poor reproduction of speaker and prosody [32], which is essential in multi-speaker TTS. Finally, we use layer 6 on WavLM-large, like kNN-VC, since it has a high degree of speaker information as indicated by its speaker identification performance.

1) *Speech-unit-tokenizer*: The WavLM-Large encoder produces continuous feature vectors for every 20ms of 16kHz of audio. We discretize this using k-means as described in Section III-A1. We use 2000 cluster centers for our proposed method after initially experimenting with 100, 500 clusters as more fine-grained units resulted in better intelligibility.

2) *Text-unit-tokenizer*: We modify the original FastSpeech2 [30] architecture to design a text-to-semantic unit predictor. We replace the prediction of spectrum with the prediction of semantic units. The ground truth units are derived by applying the *SpeechTokenizer* on the ground truth audio. The network is optimized using the Adam optimizer. We use a learning rate of  $5e-4$  and a batch size of 10k phonemes. On an Nvidia RTX 3090 GPU, the network reaches convergence within 20 minutes or after approximately 10,000 steps. We build on top of SpeechLM’s [33] implementation for this tokenizer.

### B. Vocoder

We use a HiFi-GAN V1 architecture from [34]. We start with the pre-trained vocoder model from the kNN-VC implementation<sup>1</sup>. We fine-tune two vocoders with frames selected by proposed algorithms: one where frame selection is based solely on inverse k-means sampling, and another where frame selection incorporates both inverse k-means sampling and sub-sequence matching.

<sup>1</sup><https://github.com/bshall/knn-vc>

Method	WER(%)↓	SECS ↑	UTMOS ↑
<i>Ground Truth</i>	3.55	68.12	4.22
VALL-E	4.72	58.24	4.08
XTTS-v2	4.23	60.26	4.16
YourTTS	9.66	50.83	3.61
SelectTTS (only inv k-means (rand))	7.19	62.84	3.46
SelectTTS (only inv k-means (avg))	6.49	64.74	3.89
SelectTTS (inv k-means (rand) + sub-match)	7.31	61.57	3.99
SelectTTS (inv k-means (avg) + sub-match)	6.67	61.59	4.13

TABLE I: Objective evaluation results (arrows indicate whether higher or lower metric values are better)

Method	#Parameters	Training data (hours)	RTF ↓
VALL-E	594M	45k	1.325
XTTS-v2	466M	27k	0.186
YourTTS	86M	474	0.120
SelectTTS w/o sub-match	57M	100	0.290
SelectTTS w sub-match	57M	100	0.334

TABLE II: Analysis of model complexity

### C. Baselines

We use three SOTA multi-speaker TTS baselines to compare the performance of our proposed framework: the official releases of YourTTS<sup>2</sup> [7] and XTTS-v2<sup>3</sup> [3] with default parameters. Since there is no official release of VALL-E [2], we use Amphion’s implementation<sup>4</sup>.

### D. Training and test data

We utilize LibriSpeech train-clean-100 dataset [35] for training both the text-to-semantic-unit tokenizer and HiFi-GAN vocoder. This dataset comprises of 100 hours of text-audio pairs, sampled at 16kHz, and features 251 speakers. We use the LibriSpeech dev-clean subset for validation and LibriTTS-R-test-clean [36] for testing. For the test set, we select speakers from the subset who have at least 20 sentences, each with a word count between 3 and 30. This criteria results in 31 eligible speakers and a total of 620 utterances. Additionally, for the reference speech, we use 5 minutes of audio across all variants of SelectTTS, YourTTS, and XTTS-v2. However, for VALL-E, due to constraints of the language modeling, the reference speech was limited to a duration of 10-15 seconds.

## V. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We include four variants of the proposed model in our evaluations. The first variant (inv-kmeans (rand)) uses inverse k-means sampling with a randomly selected frame from the predicted class. The second variant (inv-kmeans (avg)) also uses inverse k-means sampling but averages all frames within the predicted class for decoding. The third and fourth variants build on the first two by incorporating sub-sequence matching.

### A. Objective evaluation

Table I presents the objective evaluation results, where we assess the intelligibility of the synthesized speech using WER (word error rate) calculated with the Wav2Vec 2.0 Large ASR model<sup>5</sup>. Additionally, we measure naturalness with UTMOS [37], and observe that sub-sequence matching significantly improves the performance. These results indicate that our proposed method beats YourTTS in intelligibility

<sup>2</sup><https://github.com/Edresson/YourTTS>

<sup>3</sup><https://huggingface.co/coqui/XTTS-v2>

<sup>4</sup>[https://github.com/open-mmlab/Amphion/tree/main/egs/tts/VALL\\_E\\_V2](https://github.com/open-mmlab/Amphion/tree/main/egs/tts/VALL_E_V2)

<sup>5</sup><https://huggingface.co/facebook/wav2vec2-large-960h-1v60-self>

Method	WER(%)↓			SECS ↑		
	3min	1min	30s	3min	1min	30s
SelectTTS w/o sub-match	6.55	8.86	13.35	63.85	62.17	58.62
SelectTTS w sub-match	6.99	8.87	11.73	60.96	59.96	57.34

TABLE III: Analysis of objective performance with varying reference speech lengths

Method	MOS↑	SMOS↑
<i>Ground Truth</i>	4.36 ± 0.09	-
VALL-E	4.22 ± 0.08	3.74 ± 0.11
XTTS-v2	4.14 ± 0.09	3.77 ± 0.10
YourTTS	3.15 ± 0.10	3.25 ± 0.11
SelectTTS w/o sub-match	3.90 ± 0.09	3.85 ± 0.09
SelectTTS w sub-match	4.04 ± 0.09	3.82 ± 0.08

TABLE IV: Subjective evaluations (95% confidence interval)

and naturalness and comes close to much larger baselines like VALL-E and XTTS-v2. We highlight that SelectTTS outperforms all baselines in SECS (Speaker Encoder Cosine Similarity) computed using ECAPA-TDNN speaker embeddings [38]. Moreover, model complexity analysis in Table II shows that SelectTTS, with 8x fewer parameters than XTTS-v2 and 10x fewer than VALL-E, while requiring 270x and 450x less training data respectively, is significantly lighter while maintaining a comparable Real-Time Factor (RTF). The ablation study in Table III, demonstrates how the reference speech duration impacts WER and SECS performance. Even when the reference speech is short, SelectTTS remains competitive with 30 seconds of reference speech, despite the higher WER and lower SECS due to limited frames in the predicted cluster.

### B. Subjective evaluation

We conduct listening experiments with 15 subjects to assess the naturalness and speaker similarity of the synthesized speech, using a total of 120 utterances from 6 unseen speakers (3 male, 3 female) for the tests. In the Mean Opinion Score (MOS) evaluation [7], participants rate the naturalness of the speech using a 5-point scale for 12 generated speech samples per method. As indicated in Table IV, the proposed SelectTTS generates speech with a high level of naturalness comparable with strong baselines, achieving an MOS score close to 4. We can also see the positive effect of sub-sequence matching algorithm in naturalness. We also conduct a Speaker Mean Opinion Score (SMOS) [7] in which participants are presented with the ground truth alongside the synthesized speech from the proposed method and baselines. They are then asked to rate the speaker similarity on a 5-point scale for 12 speech samples per method. As the results in Table IV indicate, our method has very strong SMOS with high speaker resemblance to the target speaker, better or on par with larger baselines.

## VI. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we propose SelectTTS, a multi-speaker TTS framework with lower model complexity that directly utilizes frames from the unseen target speaker to synthesize high-quality speech that closely resembles the target speaker’s voice. We demonstrate that our approach of combining frame selection based on semantic units with vocoding using a sequence of SSL features offers a much simpler yet effective method for modeling unseen speakers in the multi-speaker TTS task attaining SOTA target speaker similarity.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Le, A. Vyas, B. Shi, B. Karrer, L. Sari, R. Moritz, M. Williamson, V. Manohar, Y. Adi, J. Mahadekar *et al.*, “Voicebox: Text-guided multilingual universal speech generation at scale,” *Advances in neural information processing systems*, vol. 36, 2024.
- [2] C. Wang, S. Chen, Y. Wu, Z. Zhang, L. Zhou, S. Liu, Z. Chen, Y. Liu, H. Wang, J. Li *et al.*, “Neural codec language models are zero-shot text to speech synthesizers,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2301.02111*, 2023.
- [3] E. Casanova, K. Davis, E. Gölge, G. Gökner, I. Gulea, L. Hart, A. Aljafari, J. Meyer, R. Morais, S. Olayemi *et al.*, “Xtts: a massively multilingual zero-shot text-to-speech model,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.04904*, 2024.
- [4] M. Łajszczak, G. Cámara, Y. Li, F. Beyhan, A. van Korlaar, F. Yang, A. Joly, Á. Martín-Cortinas, A. Abbas, A. Michalski *et al.*, “Base tts: Lessons from building a billion-parameter text-to-speech model on 100k hours of data,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.08093*, 2024.
- [5] M. Chen, X. Tan, Y. Ren, J. Xu, H. Sun, S. Zhao, and T. Qin, “MultiSpeech: Multi-Speaker Text to Speech with Transformer,” in *Proc. Interspeech 2020*, 2020, pp. 4024–4028.
- [6] Y. Jia, Y. Zhang, R. Weiss, Q. Wang, J. Shen, F. Ren, P. Nguyen, R. Pang, I. Lopez Moreno, Y. Wu *et al.*, “Transfer learning from speaker verification to multispeaker text-to-speech synthesis,” *Advances in neural information processing systems*, vol. 31, 2018.
- [7] E. Casanova, J. Weber, C. D. Shulby, A. C. Junior, E. Gölge, and M. A. Ponti, “Yourtts: Towards zero-shot multi-speaker tts and zero-shot voice conversion for everyone,” in *International Conference on Machine Learning*. PMLR, 2022, pp. 2709–2720.
- [8] A. Gibiansky, S. Arik, G. Diamos, J. Miller, K. Peng, W. Ping, J. Raiman, and Y. Zhou, “Deep voice 2: Multi-speaker neural text-to-speech,” *Advances in neural information processing systems*, vol. 30, 2017.
- [9] E. Cooper, C.-I. Lai, Y. Yasuda, F. Fang, X. Wang, N. Chen, and J. Yamagishi, “Zero-shot multi-speaker text-to-speech with state-of-the-art neural speaker embeddings,” in *ICASSP 2020-2020 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*. IEEE, 2020, pp. 6184–6188.
- [10] L. Wan, Q. Wang, A. Papir, and I. L. Moreno, “Generalized end-to-end loss for speaker verification,” in *2018 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, 2018, pp. 4879–4883.
- [11] W. Wang, Y. Song, and S. Jha, “Usat: A universal speaker-adaptive text-to-speech approach,” *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, 2024.
- [12] D. Xin, X. Tan, K. Shen, Z. Ju, D. Yang, Y. Wang, S. Takamichi, H. Saruwatari, S. Liu, J. Li *et al.*, “Rall-e: Robust codec language modeling with chain-of-thought prompting for text-to-speech synthesis,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2404.03204*, 2024.
- [13] P. Peng, P.-Y. Huang, D. Li, A. Mohamed, and D. Harwath, “Voicecraft: Zero-shot speech editing and text-to-speech in the wild,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.16973*, 2024.
- [14] S. Chen, S. Liu, L. Zhou, Y. Liu, X. Tan, J. Li, S. Zhao, Y. Qian, and F. Wei, “Vall-e 2: Neural codec language models are human parity zero-shot text to speech synthesizers,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.05370*, 2024.
- [15] X. Wang, M. Thakker, Z. Chen, N. Kanda, S. E. Eskimez, S. Chen, M. Tang, S. Liu, J. Li, and T. Yoshioka, “Speechx: Neural codec language model as a versatile speech transformer,” *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, 2024.
- [16] Z. Du, Q. Chen, S. Zhang, K. Hu, H. Lu, Y. Yang, H. Hu, S. Zheng, Y. Gu, Z. Ma *et al.*, “Cosyvoice: A scalable multilingual zero-shot text-to-speech synthesizer based on supervised semantic tokens,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.05407*, 2024.
- [17] A. Baevski, Y. Zhou, A. Mohamed, and M. Auli, “wav2vec 2.0: A framework for self-supervised learning of speech representations,” *Advances in neural information processing systems*, vol. 33, pp. 12 449–12 460, 2020.
- [18] S. Chen, C. Wang, Z. Chen, Y. Wu, S. Liu, Z. Chen, J. Li, N. Kanda, T. Yoshioka, X. Xiao *et al.*, “Wavlm: Large-scale self-supervised pre-training for full stack speech processing,” *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Signal Processing*, vol. 16, no. 6, pp. 1505–1518, 2022.
- [19] A. Pasad, J.-C. Chou, and K. Livescu, “Layer-wise analysis of a self-supervised speech representation model,” in *2021 IEEE Automatic Speech Recognition and Understanding Workshop (ASRU)*. IEEE, 2021, pp. 914–921.
- [20] W.-N. Hsu, B. Bolte, Y.-H. H. Tsai, K. Lakhota, R. Salakhutdinov, and A. Mohamed, “Hubert: Self-supervised speech representation learning by masked prediction of hidden units,” *IEEE/ACM transactions on audio, speech, and language processing*, vol. 29, pp. 3451–3460, 2021.
- [21] M. Baas, B. van Niekerk, and H. Kamper, “Voice Conversion With Just Nearest Neighbors,” in *Proc. INTERSPEECH 2023*, 2023, pp. 2053–2057.
- [22] B. Sisman, J. Yamagishi, S. King, and H. Li, “An overview of voice conversion and its challenges: From statistical modeling to deep learning,” *IEEE/ACM Transactions on Audio, Speech, and Language Processing*, vol. 29, pp. 132–157, 2021.
- [23] S.-H. Lee, H.-Y. Choi, S.-B. Kim, and S.-W. Lee, “Hierspeech++: Bridging the gap between semantic and acoustic representation of speech by hierarchical variational inference for zero-shot speech synthesis,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.12454*, 2023.
- [24] Z. Jiang, J. Liu, Y. Ren, J. He, Z. Ye, S. Ji, Q. Yang, C. Zhang, P. Wei, C. Wang *et al.*, “Mega-tts 2: Boosting prompting mechanisms for zero-shot speech synthesis,” in *The Twelfth International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2024.
- [25] M. Kim, M. Jeong, B. J. Choi, D. Lee, and N. S. Kim, “Transduce and speak: Neural transducer for text-to-speech with semantic token prediction,” in *2023 IEEE Automatic Speech Recognition and Understanding Workshop (ASRU)*, 2023, pp. 1–7.
- [26] E. Kharitonov, D. Vincent, Z. Borsos, R. Marinier, S. Girgin, O. Pietquin, M. Sharifi, M. Tagliasacchi, and N. Zeghidour, “Speak, read and prompt: High-fidelity text-to-speech with minimal supervision,” *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, vol. 11, pp. 1703–1718, 2023.
- [27] C. Du, Y. Guo, F. Shen, Z. Liu, Z. Liang, X. Chen, S. Wang, H. Zhang, and K. Yu, “Unicats: A unified context-aware text-to-speech framework with contextual vq-diffusion and vocoding,” *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, vol. 38, no. 16, p. 17924–17932, Mar. 2024. [Online]. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1609/aaai.v38i16.29747>
- [28] H. Kim, S. Kim, J. Yeom, and S. Yoon, “Unitspeech: Speaker-adaptive speech synthesis with untranscribed data,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.16083*, 2023.
- [29] S.-H. Lee, S.-B. Kim, J.-H. Lee, E. Song, M.-J. Hwang, and S.-W. Lee, “Hierspeech: Bridging the gap between text and speech by hierarchical variational inference using self-supervised representations for speech synthesis,” *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, vol. 35, pp. 16 624–16 636, 2022.
- [30] Y. Ren, C. Hu, X. Tan, T. Qin, S. Zhao, Z. Zhao, and T.-Y. Liu, “Fastspeech 2: Fast and high-quality end-to-end text to speech,” in *International Conference on Learning Representations*, 2021. [Online]. Available: <https://openreview.net/forum?id=piLPYqxtWuA>
- [31] M. McAuliffe, M. Socolof, S. Mihuc, M. Wagner, and M. Sonderegger, “Montreal forced aligner: Trainable text-speech alignment using kaldii,” in *Interspeech*, vol. 2017, 2017, pp. 498–502.
- [32] G.-T. Lin, C.-L. Feng, W.-P. Huang, Y. Tseng, T.-H. Lin, C.-A. Li, H.-Y. Lee, and N. G. Ward, “On the utility of self-supervised models for prosody-related tasks,” *arXiv [cs.CL]*, Oct. 2022.
- [33] Z. Zhang, S. Chen, L. Zhou, Y. Wu, S. Ren, S. LIU, Z. Yao, X. Gong, L. Dai, J. Li, and F. Wei, “SpeechLM: Enhanced speech pre-training with unpaired textual data,” 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://openreview.net/forum?id=Ctr4H2enI90>
- [34] J. Kong, J. Kim, and J. Bae, “Hifi-gan: Generative adversarial networks for efficient and high fidelity speech synthesis,” *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, vol. 33, pp. 17 022–17 033, 2020.
- [35] V. Panayotov, G. Chen, D. Povey, and S. Khudanpur, “Librispeech: An asr corpus based on public domain audio books,” *2015 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, pp. 5206–5210, 2015. [Online]. Available: <https://api.semanticscholar.org/CorpusID:2191379>
- [36] Y. Koizumi, H. Zen, S. Karita, Y. Ding, K. Yatabe, N. Morioka, M. Bacchiani, Y. Zhang, W. Han, and A. Bapna, “LibriTTS-r: A restored multi-speaker text-to-speech corpus,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2305.18802*, 2023.
- [37] T. Saeki, D. Xin, W. Nakata, T. Koriyama, S. Takamichi, and H. Saruwatari, “Utmos: Utokyo-sarulab system for voicemos challenge 2022,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.02152*, 2022.
- [38] B. Desplanques, J. Thienpondt, and K. Demuynck, “ECAPA-TDNN: Emphasized Channel Attention, Propagation and Aggregation in TDNN Based Speaker Verification,” in *Proc. Interspeech 2020*, 2020, pp. 3830–3834.