

# THE WELL-POSEDNESS AND SCATTERING THEORY OF NONLINEAR SCHRÖDINGER EQUATIONS ON LATTICE GRAPHS

JIAJUN WANG

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper, we introduce a novel first-order derivative for functions on a lattice graph, which extends the discrete Laplacian and generalizes the theory of discrete PDEs on the lattices. First, we establish the well-posedness of generalized quasilinear Schrödinger equations and give a new proof of the global well-posedness of semilinear Schrödinger equations. Then we provide explicit expressions of higher order derivatives of the solution map and prove the analytic dependence between solution and initial data. At the end, we show the existence of wave operator and prove the asymptotic completeness for solutions with small data.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equation (DNLS) is an important model. In physics, DNLS has strong connections with amorphous materials [KA00, KA99] and discrete self-trapped beams [CJ88, ADAP<sup>+</sup>96]. In mathematics, the importance of DNLS is reflected in two aspects. The first aspect is that it's a direct analogue of the nonlinear Schrödinger equation (NLS), which possesses a substantial amount of interesting theories, like the scattering and soliton theories [Bou98, DR15a, Mur21, RSS03, GHW04], and has wide range of applications in describing water waves [SW11, Per83] and polarized waves in optical fibers [Men87, Gaz13]. Another aspect is that an increasing number of mathematicians are engaged in the studies of DNLS. For example, R.Grande studies the continuum limit of discrete fractional semilinear Schrödinger equations in 1 dimension [Gra19]. B.Choi and A.Aceves extend it to 2 dimension, with sharp dispersive estimates [CA23].

In this paper, we first introduce the following novel first-order derivative, which we call the discrete partial derivative.

**Definition 1.1.** The discrete partial derivative  $\partial_j u$  for  $u \in \bigcup_{0 < p \leq \infty} \ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^d)$  is defined by the convolution operator  $u * \varphi_j$ , where  $\varphi_j$  is given by

$$\varphi_j(m) := \begin{cases} \frac{-4i}{\pi(4a^2-1)}, & \text{if } m = ae_j, a \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^d$  is the standard coordinate basis of  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ .

For the motivation of this definition, we shall briefly recall the discrete Fourier transform  $\mathcal{F}$  and its inverse  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ .

**Definition 1.2.** For  $u \in \ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)$  and  $g \in L^1(\mathbb{T}^d)$ , the discrete Fourier transform  $\mathcal{F}$  and inverse discrete Fourier transform  $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$  are defined as

$$\mathcal{F}(u)(x) := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} u(k) e^{-ikx}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{T}^d,$$

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}(g)(k) := \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} g(x) e^{ikx} dx, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}^d,$$

where  $\mathbb{T}^d$  is the  $d$ -dimensional torus parametrized by  $[0, 2\pi)^d$ . Besides, the discrete Fourier transform  $\mathcal{F}$  can be extended to an isometric isomorphism between  $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$  and  $L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$ .

Then we point out the connection between discrete partial derivative  $\partial_j$  and discrete Laplacian  $\Delta$ , see Proposition 2.1 in [HW24].

**Proposition 1.3.** *For any  $u \in C_0(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ ,*

$$\partial_j u = \mathcal{F}^{-1} \left( 2i \cdot \sin\left(\frac{x_j}{2}\right) \mathcal{F}(u)(x) \right), \quad j = 1, \dots, d,$$

where  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$ ,  $C_0(\mathbb{Z}^d)$  denotes the set of finitely supported functions on  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ . In particular, we have  $\Delta = \sum_{j=1}^d \partial_j \circ \partial_j$ .

*Remark 1.4.* We recall that the standard difference operator  $D_j$  is defined as

$$D_j u(m) = u(m + e_j) - u(m), \quad u : \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}^d.$$

However, direct calculation shows that  $\Delta \neq \sum_{j=1}^d D_j \circ D_j$ , which is one of major reasons for introducing the discrete partial derivative  $\partial_j$ . Besides, in some sense, the standard difference operator  $D_j$  and discrete partial derivative  $\partial_j$  are equivalent. To be more concrete, we have

$$\|\partial_j u\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \approx \|D_j u\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^d)}, \quad \|\partial u\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \approx \|Du\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^d)}, \quad 1 < p < \infty,$$

where  $\partial = (\partial_1, \partial_2, \dots, \partial_d)$ ,  $D = (D_1, D_2, \dots, D_d)$ . For more details, we refer to Theorem 2.6 in [HW24] or [Rus00, Dun04].

*Remark 1.5.* The discrete partial derivative  $\partial_j$  has direct connection with the famous fractional discrete Laplacian  $(-\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}$ , which is extensively studied, for example, in [CA23, CR18].

Before we present our main results, we shall briefly introduce the definition of weighted  $\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^d)$  space.

**Definition 1.6.** For  $0 < p \leq \infty$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , the  $\ell^{p,\alpha}$  norm is defined as

$$\|f\|_{\ell^{p,\alpha}(\mathbb{Z}^d)} := \|f_\alpha\|_{\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}^d)},$$

where  $f_\alpha(m) := f(m) \langle m \rangle^\alpha$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ . The definition of  $\langle m \rangle$  refers to the end of this introduction. We write

$$\ell^{p,\alpha}(\mathbb{Z}^d) := \{f : \mathbb{Z}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}; \|f\|_{\ell^{p,\alpha}(\mathbb{Z}^d)} < \infty\}.$$

*Remark 1.7.* In the following, we will mainly focus on the  $\ell^{2,k}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$  space,  $k = 0$  or  $1$ , which has an isomorphism with Sobolev  $H^k(\mathbb{T}^d)$  space, see Theorem 2.10 in [HW24].

Now, in the regime of  $\ell^{2,k}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$  space, we prove the local well-posedness of generalized quasilinear Schrödinger equations and give a new proof of the global well-posedness of semilinear Schrödinger equations.

**Theorem 1.8.**

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u(x, t) + g^{jk}(u, \partial u) \partial_{jk} u(x, t) = F(u, \partial u), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{R}, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where we follow the Einstein's summation convention, with  $\partial_{jk} = \partial_j \circ \partial_k$ . Then if  $g^{jk} \in C^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $F \in C^1(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ ,  $F(0, 0) = 0$  and initial data  $u_0 \in \ell^{2,k}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ ,  $k = 0$  or  $1$ , then there exists  $T > 0$  and the equation (1.1) has a unique classical solution  $u \in C^1([0, T]; \ell^{2,k}(\mathbb{Z}^d))$ . Furthermore, we have the continuation criterion that if maximal existence time  $T^*$  is finite, then  $\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{\ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^d)}$  is unbounded in  $[0, T^*)$ .

**Theorem 1.9.** *For the semilinear Schrödinger equation*

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u(x, t) + \frac{1}{2}\Delta u(x, t) = \mu|u|^{p-1}u, & \mu = \pm 1, p > 1, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), & (x, t) \in \mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{R}, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

where the case  $\mu = -1$  is called the focusing case and  $\mu = 1$  is called the defocusing case, if  $u_0 \in \ell^{2,k}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ ,  $k = 0$  or  $1$ , then we have a unique classical solution  $u \in C^1([0, +\infty); \ell^{2,k}(\mathbb{Z}^d))$ .

Besides, we also give an explicit expression of higher order derivatives of the solution map.

**Theorem 1.10.** *For any  $T > 0$ , the map  $\Phi : \ell^{2,m}(\mathbb{Z}^d) \rightarrow C([0, T]; \ell^{2,m}(\mathbb{Z}^d))$ ,  $m = 0$  or  $1$ , is defined as the solution map of the equation (1.2), i.e. for any  $u_0 \in \ell^{2,m}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ ,  $\Phi(u_0)$  satisfies*

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t \Phi(u_0)(x, t) + \frac{1}{2}\Delta \Phi(u_0)(x, t) = \mu|\Phi(u_0)|^{p-1}\Phi(u_0), & \mu = \pm 1, p > 1, \\ \Phi(u_0)(x, 0) = u_0(x), & (x, t) \in \mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{R}. \end{cases}$$

If  $p$  is a positive odd integer, then  $\Psi^k$ , see Definition 4.4, is  $k^{\text{th}}$ -order Fréchet derivative of  $\Phi$  and, for any fixed  $u_0 \in \ell^{2,m}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ ,  $\Psi_{u_0}^k = \Psi^k(u_0)$  is a bounded  $k$ -linear map from  $\underbrace{\ell^{2,m}(\mathbb{Z}^d) \times \dots \times \ell^{2,m}(\mathbb{Z}^d)}_{k \text{ times}}$  to  $C([0, T]; \ell^{2,m}(\mathbb{Z}^d))$ .

In a special form, we can even prove the analytic dependence on parameter  $\varepsilon$ .

**Theorem 1.11.** *Let  $p$  be a positive odd integer and  $u^{(\varepsilon)} \in C^1([0, T]; \ell^{2,m}(\mathbb{Z}^d))$ ,  $m = 0$  or  $1$ ,  $\forall \varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ , be the classical solution of the equation (1.2) with initial data  $\varepsilon u_0(x)$ , i.e.*

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u^{(\varepsilon)}(x, t) + \frac{1}{2}\Delta u^{(\varepsilon)}(x, t) = \mu|u^{(\varepsilon)}|^{p-1}u^{(\varepsilon)}, & \mu = \pm 1, p > 1, \\ u^{(\varepsilon)}(x, 0) = \varepsilon u_0(x), & (x, t) \in \mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{R}, \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

where  $u_0 \in \ell^{2,m}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$  is fixed. Then  $u^{(\varepsilon)}$  is a real analytic function with respect to  $\varepsilon$ , which shows the solution will analytically depend on initial data.

Before we state other results, we shall introduce the definitions of scattering, wave operator and asymptotic completeness.

**Definition 1.12.** We say a global classical solution  $u \in C^1([0, +\infty); \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d))$  to the semilinear Schrödinger equation (1.2) with initial data  $u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$  scatters in  $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$  to a solution of the free Schrödinger equation with initial data  $u_+ \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$  if

$$\|u(\cdot, t) - e^{it\Delta/2}u_+\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \rightarrow 0, \quad t \rightarrow \infty,$$

or equivalently

$$\|e^{-it\Delta/2}u(\cdot, t) - u_+\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \rightarrow 0, \quad t \rightarrow \infty.$$

**Definition 1.13.** If for every asymptotic state  $u_+ \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ , there exists a unique initial data  $u_0 \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ , whose corresponding global classical solution  $u \in C^1([0, +\infty); \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d))$  scatters to  $e^{it\Delta/2}u_+$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ . Then the wave operator  $\Omega_+ : \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d) \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$  is defined by  $\Omega_+(u_+) := u_0$ .

**Definition 1.14.** If the wave operator  $\Omega_+ : \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d) \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$  exists and is surjective i.e. for any classical solution  $u \in C^1([0, +\infty); \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d))$  to equation (1.2), there exists  $u_+ \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$  and  $u$  scatters to  $e^{it\Delta/2}u_+$ , then we say that we have the asymptotic completeness for  $u$ .

Now, we state our results about the existence of wave operator and the asymptotic completeness for solutions with small data.

**Theorem 1.15.** *If  $6 \leq (p-1)d$ , then the wave operator  $\Omega_+ : \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d) \rightarrow \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$  exists and it's continuous in the  $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ -norm.*

**Theorem 1.16.** *If  $6 \leq (p-1)d$ , then there exists  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(d) > 0$ , such that whenever  $\|u_0\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \leq \varepsilon$ , we have the asymptotic completeness for  $u$ .*

We organize the paper as follows. In Section 2, we establish the useful conservation law and energy estimate, which play a central role in establishing the well-posedness theory of generalized DNLS. In Section 3, we give the detailed proofs of Theorem 1.8 and Theorem 1.9. In Section 4, we present the explicit expression of  $\Psi^k$  and give the proofs of Theorem 1.10 and Theorem 1.11. In Section 5, we finish the proofs of Theorem 1.15 and Theorem 1.16. Finally, some related results about the long-time well-posedness theory will be presented.

### Notation.

- By  $u \in C^k([0, T]; B)$  (or  $L^p([0, T]; B)$ ) for a Banach space  $B$ , we mean  $u$  is a  $C^k$  (or  $L^p$ ) map from  $[0, T]$  to  $B$ ; see page 301 in [Eva22].
- By  $u \in C_0(\mathbb{Z}^d \times [0, T])$ , we mean  $u$  has compact support on  $\mathbb{Z}^d \times [0, T]$ .
- By  $A \lesssim B$  (resp.  $A \approx B$ ), we mean there is a positive constant  $C$ , such that  $A \leq CB$  (resp.  $C^{-1}B \leq A \leq CB$ ). If the constant  $C$  depends on  $p$ , then we write  $A \lesssim_p B$  (resp.  $A \approx_p B$ ).
- By  $T \in \mathcal{B}(U, V)$  for normed spaces  $U, V$ , we mean  $T : U \rightarrow V$  is a bounded linear map.
- Set  $\langle m \rangle := (1 + |m|^2)^{1/2}$  and  $|m| := (\sum_{j=1}^d |m_j|^2)^{1/2}$  for  $m = (m_1, \dots, m_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$ .

## 2. THE CONSERVATION LAW AND ENERGY ESTIMATE

In this section, we establish two conservation laws (mass conservation & energy conservation) and the energy estimates of discrete Schrödinger equations. For conservation law, the energy conservation follows directly from time translation invariance and Noether theorem, see [Tao06, Arn13], but the mass conservation may fail to simply correspond with any usual symmetries of nonrelativistic motions, like space-time translation, space rotation. In fluid mechanics, the mass conservation may correspond to gauge invariance of some field, see [Kam20]. For energy estimate, we will establish it on a more general framework and it will be rather useful in the theories of well-posedness and scattering.

For  $u \in C^1([0, T]; \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d))$ , we define the mass and energy as follows

$$M_{disc}[t] := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |u(k, t)|^2, \quad (2.1)$$

$$E_{disc}[t] := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\partial u(k, t)|^2 + \frac{2\mu}{p+1} |u(k, t)|^{p+1}. \quad (2.2)$$

Since for any  $v \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ , we have the following identity

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |\partial v(k)|^2 = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |Dv(k)|^2,$$

the energy can be rewritten as

$$E_{disc}[t] := \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |Du(k, t)|^2 + \frac{2\mu}{p+1} |u(k, t)|^{p+1}. \quad (2.3)$$

**Theorem 2.1.** *If  $u_0 \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$  and  $u \in C^1([0, T]; \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d))$  is a classical solution to the equation (1.2), then we have the following two conservations,*

$$M_{disc}[t] \equiv M[0], \quad E_{disc}[t] \equiv E_{disc}[0].$$

*Proof.* We refer to Theorem 1.1 in [HS15] and Proposition 4.1 in [KLS13] for the two conservations or we can follow the simple calculations below. For the first conservation, we differentiate  $M_{disc}[t]$  and obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} M_{disc}[t] = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \partial_t u(k, t) \overline{u(k, t)} + u(k, t) \overline{\partial_t u(k, t)}. \quad (2.4)$$

Substituting the equation (1.2) into (2.4) and applying integration by part formula for the discrete partial derivative, see Theorem 2.7 in [HW24], we can derive

$$= \frac{i}{2} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} \Delta u(k, t) \overline{u(k, t)} - u(k, t) \overline{\Delta u(k, t)} = 0.$$

For the second conservation, we only deal with the case  $d = 1$ , as the general case is parallel. We first apply the discrete Fourier transform on the equation (1.2) and get

$$i \partial_t \mathcal{F}(u)(x, t) - 2 \sin^2\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \cdot \mathcal{F}(u)(x, t) = \mu \mathcal{F}(|u|^{p-1}u). \quad (2.5)$$

Then we differentiate (2.2) and use the fact that discrete Fourier transform  $\mathcal{F}$  is an isometric isomorphism between  $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$  and  $L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$ ,

$$\frac{d}{dt} E_{disc}[t] = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} |\mathcal{F}(\partial u)(x, t)|^2 dx \right) + \frac{d}{dt} \left( \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{2\mu}{p+1} |u(k, t)|^{p+1} \right) \equiv (I) + (II).$$

Substituting (2.5) into (I), we can further obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (I) &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} 4 \sin^2\left(\frac{x}{2}\right) \cdot \left( \partial_t \mathcal{F}(u)(x, t) \overline{\mathcal{F}(u)(x, t)} + \mathcal{F}(u)(x, t) \overline{\partial_t \mathcal{F}(u)(x, t)} \right) dx \\ &= \frac{-2\mu}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \partial_t \mathcal{F}(u)(x, t) \cdot \overline{\mathcal{F}(|u|^{p-1}u)} + \mathcal{F}(|u|^{p-1}u) \overline{\partial_t \mathcal{F}(u)(x, t)} dx \\ &= -2\mu \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \partial_t u(k, t) \cdot \overline{|u|^{p-1}u} + |u|^{p-1}u \cdot \overline{\partial_t u(k, t)} = -(II). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we proved the mass and energy of the equation (1.2) are conserved.  $\square$

In the rest of this section, we first develop the energy estimate for the following discrete inhomogeneous Schrödinger equation

$$\begin{cases} i \partial_t u(x, t) + \frac{1}{2} \Delta u(x, t) = F(x, t), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{R}. \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

**Theorem 2.2.** *If  $u_0 \in \ell^{2,k}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ ,  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , and  $u \in C^1([0, T]; \ell^{2,k}(\mathbb{Z}^d))$  is a classical solution to the equation (2.6), then we have the following estimate*

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{\ell^{2,k}(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \lesssim (1 + t^k) \left( \|u_0\|_{\ell^{2,k}(\mathbb{Z}^d)} + \int_0^t \|F(\cdot, s)\|_{\ell^{2,k}(\mathbb{Z}^d)} ds \right).$$

*Proof.* Notice that, applying the fact that discrete Fourier transform  $\mathcal{F}$  is an isomorphism between  $\ell^{2,k}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$  and  $H^k(\mathbb{T}^d)$ , see Remark 1.7. We can immediately derive the estimate as follows

$$\|e^{it\Delta/2}u_0\|_{\ell^{2,k}(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \lesssim (1+t^k)\|u_0\|_{\ell^{2,k}(\mathbb{Z}^d)},$$

where the operator  $e^{it\Delta/2}$  is defined as

$$e^{it\Delta/2}u := \mathcal{F}^{-1}\left(e^{itK(\xi)/2}\mathcal{F}(u)(\xi)\right), \quad \xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d) \in \mathbb{T}^d,$$

with  $K(\xi) = \sum_{j=1}^d (2 - 2\cos(\xi_j))$ . Then the whole theorem is a direct consequence of the following Dunamel formula

$$u(x, t) = e^{it\Delta/2}u_0 - i\mu \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta/2}F(x, s)ds.$$

□

Next, we will establish the energy estimate, which comes with a price of additional exponential term, for a more generalized framework as follows

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u(x, t) + g^{jk}(x, t)\partial_{jk}u(x, t) = F(x, t), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{R}. \end{cases} \quad (2.7)$$

**Theorem 2.3.** *For  $k = 0$  or  $1$ , if  $g^{jk} \in L^1([0, T]; \ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^d))$ ,  $F \in L^1([0, T]; \ell^{2,k}(\mathbb{Z}^d))$ ,  $u_0 \in \ell^{2,k}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$  and  $u \in C^1([0, T]; \ell^{2,k}(\mathbb{Z}^d))$  is a classical solution to equation (2.7), then we have the following estimate with some constant  $C > 0$*

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{\ell^{2,k}(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \lesssim \left( \|u_0\|_{\ell^{2,k}(\mathbb{Z}^d)} + \int_0^t \|F(\cdot, s)\|_{\ell^{2,k}(\mathbb{Z}^d)} ds \right) \cdot \exp\left( C \int_0^t \sum_{j,k} \|g^{jk}(\cdot, s)\|_{\ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^d)} ds \right).$$

*Proof.* We only consider the case  $k = 0$ , as the general case is similar. We still focus on the total mass  $M(t)$  of the solution  $u$  as follows

$$M(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} |u(k, t)|^2.$$

Then we differentiate the total mass with respect to time variable  $t$  and substitute the equation (2.7)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dM(t)}{dt} &= i \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}^d} (g^{jk}(k, t)\partial_{jk}u(k, t) - F(k, t)) \cdot \overline{u(k, t)} - u(k, t) \cdot \overline{(g^{jk}(k, t)\partial_{jk}u(k, t) - F(k, t))} \\ &\lesssim \|F(\cdot, t)\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)} M(t)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \sum_{j,k} \|g^{jk}(\cdot, t)\|_{\ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^d)} M(t). \end{aligned}$$

In the above inequality, we use the estimate  $\|\partial_{jk}u\|_{\ell^{2,k}(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \lesssim \|\partial_j u\|_{\ell^{2,k}(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \lesssim \|u\|_{\ell^{2,k}(\mathbb{Z}^d)}$  from Theorem 2.14 in [HW24]. Then the energy estimate can be directly derived by the Gronwall inequality. □

### 3. THE EXISTENCE OF SOLUTION TO GENERALIZED DISCRETE SCHRÖDINGER EQUATIONS

As the uniqueness part is a direct consequence of previous section's energy estimates, in this section, we will focus on establishing the local existence of generalized discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equation (1.1) and the global existence of discrete semilinear Schrödinger equation (1.2) i.e. Theorem 1.8 and Theorem 1.9.

Before we establish the local existence of generalized discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equations, we shall first establish the existence of solution to generalized discrete inhomogeneous Schrödinger equation (2.7), which is the foundation of essential iteration argument. To derive this existence, we need to introduce the concept of weak solution.

**Definition 3.1.**  $u$  is a weak solution to the equation (2.7) with vanishing initial data, if it satisfies the following identity for any  $\phi \in C_0^\infty((0, T) \times \mathbb{Z}^d)$

$$\int_0^T \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^d} F(m, t) \overline{\phi(m, t)} dt = \int_0^T \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^d} u(m, t) \overline{L\phi(m, t)} dt,$$

where  $L\phi(x, t) := i\partial_t \phi(x, t) + \partial_{j_k}(\overline{g^{j_k}(x, t)} \phi(x, t))$ .

**Theorem 3.2.** For  $k = 0$  or  $1$ , if  $g^{j_k} \in L^1([0, T]; \ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^d)) \cap C([0, T]; \ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^d))$ ,  $F \in L^1([0, T]; \ell^{2, k}(\mathbb{Z}^d)) \cap C([0, T]; \ell^{2, k}(\mathbb{Z}^d))$ ,  $u_0 \in \ell^{2, k}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ , then the equation (2.7) has a classical solution  $u \in C^1([0, T]; \ell^{2, k}(\mathbb{Z}^d))$ .

*Proof.* We first establish the existence of weak solution and then lift it to classical solution. Notice that we can assume the initial data is vanishing, otherwise we can consider  $\tilde{u} = u - u_0$ . Next, we claim that

$$\|\phi(\cdot, t)\|_{\ell^{2, -k}(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \lesssim_T \int_0^T \|L\phi(\cdot, s)\|_{\ell^{2, -k}(\mathbb{Z}^d)} ds, \quad \forall \phi \in C_0^\infty((0, T) \times \mathbb{Z}^d), \forall t \in [0, T]. \quad (3.1)$$

It suffices to prove the following estimate for any  $v \in C^1([0, T]; \ell^{2, -k}(\mathbb{Z}^d))$

$$\|v(\cdot, t)\|_{\ell^{2, -k}(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \lesssim_{T, g^{j_k}} \left( \|v(\cdot, 0)\|_{\ell^{2, -k}(\mathbb{Z}^d)} + \int_0^T \|Lv(\cdot, s)\|_{\ell^{2, -k}(\mathbb{Z}^d)} ds \right).$$

The proof of this estimate is similar with the proof in Theorem 2.3, with the consideration of total mass and differentiation with respect to  $t$ , so we omitted here.

Then we define the following linear space  $V$  and linear functional  $\ell_F$  on it

$$V := L^* C_0^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^d \times (0, T)) = \{Lv; v \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^d \times (0, T))\},$$

$$\ell_F(Lv) := \int_0^T \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}^d} F(m, t) \overline{v(m, t)} dt.$$

Based on the estimate (3.1), we can use the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality to derive the following boundedness

$$|\ell_F(Lv)| \leq \int_0^T \|F(\cdot, t)\|_{\ell^{2, k}(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \|v(\cdot, t)\|_{\ell^{2, -k}(\mathbb{Z}^d)} dt \lesssim_{T, F, g^{j_k}} \int_0^T \|Lv(\cdot, t)\|_{\ell^{2, -k}(\mathbb{Z}^d)} dt.$$

Since the space  $V$  can be naturally embedded in  $L^1([0, T]; \ell^{2, -k}(\mathbb{Z}^d))$ , then from Hahn-Banach Theorem,  $\ell_F$  can be extended to the whole  $L^1([0, T]; \ell^{2, -k}(\mathbb{Z}^d))$ . Notice that, the dual space of  $L^1([0, T]; \ell^{2, -k}(\mathbb{Z}^d))$  is  $L^\infty([0, T]; \ell^{2, k}(\mathbb{Z}^d))$ , we can obtain the weak solution  $u \in L^\infty([0, T]; \ell^{2, k}(\mathbb{Z}^d))$  to the equation (2.7). Considering the following equation in weak derivative sense

$$i\partial_t u(x, t) + g^{j_k}(x, t)u(x, t) = F(x, t), \quad (3.2)$$

we can immediately deduce that  $u \in C([0, T]; \ell^{2, k}(\mathbb{Z}^d))$ . Applying above identity (3.2) again, with the regularity assumptions on  $g^{j_k}$ ,  $F$ , we can lift the weak solution  $u$  to the classical solution, which belongs to  $C^1([0, T]; \ell^{2, k}(\mathbb{Z}^d))$ .  $\square$

Next, we will give a proof of Theorem 1.8.

*Proof of Theorem 1.8.* We decompose the whole proof into 4 steps.

**Step 1:** We first set  $u_{-1} \equiv 0$  and construct iteration as follows to approximate exact solution to the equation (1.1)

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u_m(x, t) + g^{jk}(u_{m-1}, \partial u_{m-1}) \partial_{jk} u_m(x, t) = F(u_{m-1}, \partial u_{m-1}), \\ u_m(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{R}. \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

Based on the existence and uniqueness theory in Theorem 3.2, the above iteration (3.3) is well-defined and each  $u_m$  is a classical solution. Similarly, we introduce the weighted total mass for each  $u_m$  as follows

$$M_m(t) := \|u_m(\cdot, t)\|_{\ell^{2,k}(\mathbb{Z}^d)}.$$

We will show that there exist  $T > 0, M > 0$  such that  $M_m(t) \leq M, \forall m, \forall t \in [0, T]$ .

**Step 2:** We prove this statement by induction. Taking  $M$  large enough that  $\|u_0\|_{\ell^{2,k}(\mathbb{Z}^d)}, 1 \ll M$ , then we can ensure the  $M_0(t) \leq M, \forall t \in [0, 1]$  from Theorem 2.3. Then we suppose that there exists  $0 < T < 1$ , such that for  $m \leq n - 1, \forall t \in [0, T]$ , we have  $M_m(t) \leq M$ . Then we will prove the case  $m = n$ .

Using the energy estimate in Theorem 2.3, we obtain the inequality

$$M_n(t) \lesssim \left( M_n(0) + \int_0^t \|F(u_{n-1}, \partial u_{n-1})\|_{\ell^{2,k}(\mathbb{Z}^d)} ds \right) \cdot \exp \left( C \int_0^t \sum_{j,k} \|g^{jk}(u_{n-1}, \partial u_{n-1})\|_{\ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^d)} ds \right). \quad (3.4)$$

From the assumptions on  $F, g^{jk}$  and induction hypothesis, the following inequalities hold

$$|F(u_{n-1}, \partial u_{n-1})| \lesssim_M |u_{n-1}| + |\partial u_{n-1}|, \quad \sum_{j,k} \|g^{jk}(u_{n-1}, \partial u_{n-1})\|_{\ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \lesssim_M 1.$$

Then we insert above inequalities into the energy estimate (3.4) and derive

$$M_n(t) \leq C_1(M_n(0) + C_2 M t) \cdot e^{C_3 t},$$

where  $C_1$  is independent of  $M$  and  $C_2, C_3$  depend on  $M$ . Notice that  $M_n(0)$  is independent of  $n, T, M$ , thus we can take  $T \ll M, 1$ , which is also independent of  $n$ , such that  $M_n(t) \leq M$ . Thus, we complete the induction and  $M_n(t) \leq M, \forall t \in [0, T], \forall n$ .

**Step 3:** Next, we will show that  $\{u_m\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $C^1([0, T]; \ell^{2,k}(\mathbb{Z}^d))$ . Notice that, from the iteration in (3.3), it suffices to show  $\{u_m\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $C([0, T]; \ell^{2,k}(\mathbb{Z}^d))$  and iteration gives the following equation

$$i\partial_t(u_m - u_{m-1}) + g^{jk}(u_{m-1}, \partial u_m) \cdot \partial_{jk}(u_m - u_{m-1}) = (*),$$

$$(*) = F(u_{m-1}, \partial u_{m-1}) - F(u_{m-2}, \partial u_{m-2}) + [g^{jk}(u_{m-2}, \partial u_{m-2}) - g^{jk}(u_{m-1}, \partial u_{m-1})] \cdot \partial_{jk} u_{m-1}.$$

Thus, from the uniform boundedness of  $\|u_m(\cdot, t)\|_{\ell^{2,k}(\mathbb{Z}^d)}$  and assumptions on  $g^{jk}, F$ , we deduce that

$$(*) = O_M(|u_{m-1} - u_{m-2}| + |\partial u_{m-1} - \partial u_{m-2}|).$$

Then we define  $C_m(t)$  as follows to describe the difference of each term in  $\{u_m\}$

$$C_m(t) := \|u_m(\cdot, t) - u_{m-1}(\cdot, t)\|_{\ell^{2,k}(\mathbb{Z}^d)}.$$

From the above estimate, we can apply the energy estimate in Theorem 2.3 and obtain

$$C_m(t) \leq C \int_0^t C_{m-1}(s) ds, \quad (3.5)$$



where  $C$  is a positive constant that only depends on  $M, T$ . Then we apply (3.5) for  $m$  times and get the following inequality

$$C_m(t) \leq C^m \int \int \cdots \int_{0 \leq s_1 \leq s_2 \leq \cdots \leq s_m \leq t} C_0(s_1) ds_1 ds_2 \cdots ds_m \lesssim \frac{(Ct)^m}{m!}.$$

Thus,  $\{u_m\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $C^1([0, T]; \ell^{2,k}(\mathbb{Z}^d))$  and we denote its limit as  $u$ , then  $u \in C^1([0, T]; \ell^{2,k}(\mathbb{Z}^d))$  is a classical solution to the equation (1.1).

**Step 4:** In the last step, we will show the continuation criterion. From the above argument, we have seen that if  $\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{\ell^{2,k}(\mathbb{Z}^d)}$  is bounded in  $[0, T^*)$ , then we can extend the solution over  $T^*$ . Therefore, we just need to show the weaker assumption  $\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{\ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^d)}$  is bounded in  $[0, T^*)$  can imply stronger assumption  $\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{\ell^{2,k}(\mathbb{Z}^d)}$  is bounded in  $[0, T^*)$ .

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in the estimate (3.4), we can obtain

$$M(t) \lesssim \left( M(0) + \int_0^t \|F(u, \partial u)\|_{\ell^{2,k}(\mathbb{Z}^d)} ds \right) \cdot \exp \left( C \int_0^t \sum_{j,k} \|g^{jk}(u, \partial u)\|_{\ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^d)} ds \right),$$

where  $M(t) = \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{\ell^{2,k}(\mathbb{Z}^d)}$ . Notice that the following inequalities

$$|F(u, \partial u)| \lesssim_M |u| + |\partial u|, \quad \sum_{j,k} \|g^{jk}(u, \partial u)\|_{\ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \lesssim_M 1,$$

only require  $\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{\ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^d)}$  is uniformly bounded in  $t \in [0, T]$ . Therefore, we can further derive the estimate as follows

$$M(t) \lesssim_{M, T^*} \left( M(0) + \int_0^t M(s) ds \right).$$

Then the Gronwall inequality directly ensures the boundedness of  $\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{\ell^{2,k}(\mathbb{Z}^d)}$ , that's prove the continuation criterion.  $\square$

As a direct corollary of above Theorem 1.8, we can obtain the global existence of discrete semilinear Schrödinger equation (1.2).

*Proof of Theorem 1.9.* Based on the continuation criterion in Theorem 1.8, it suffices to show  $\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)}$  is bounded. Notice that, we have the mass conservation in Theorem 2.1, which completes the whole proof.  $\square$

*Remark 3.3.* Notice that, the following backward discrete semilinear Schrödinger equation

$$\begin{cases} -i\partial_t u(x, t) + \frac{1}{2}\Delta u(x, t) = \mu |u|^{p-1} u, \quad \mu = \pm 1, \quad p > 1, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{R}, \end{cases} \quad (3.6)$$

also has a global classical solution with initial data  $u_0 \in \ell^{2,k}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ . To be more concrete, the equation (3.6) also has the following mass conservation

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \equiv \|u_0\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)},$$

which can be similarly derived as in Theorem 2.1. Then based on the continuation criterion given by Theorem 1.8, we can immediately obtain the existence of global solution to the backward discrete semilinear Schrödinger equation (3.6).

## 4. THE SMOOTH DEPENDENCE AND ANALYTIC DEPENDENCE

In this section, we will show that the solution to the equation (1.2) depends smoothly on the initial data, when  $p$  is odd positive integer, which we call the algebraic case. To specifically illustrate this idea, we need to briefly recall the definition of Fréchet derivative and its higher order extension, see page 619, 627 in [Suh13].

**Definition 4.1.** Let  $U$  and  $V$  be normed spaces and map  $T : U \rightarrow V$  is possibly nonlinear. If there exists a bounded linear operator  $T'(u) \in \mathcal{B}(U, V)$ , for some  $u \in U$ , s.t.

$$\lim_{\|\Delta u\| \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|T(u + \Delta u) - T(u) - T'(u)\Delta u\|}{\|\Delta u\|} = 0,$$

for all  $\Delta u \in U$ , then  $T'(u)$  is called the Fréchet derivative of  $T$  at  $u$ . The second order Fréchet derivative of  $T$  is defined as the Fréchet derivative of  $T'$  and so on so forth. Equivalently, we can express the higher order Fréchet derivatives as multilinear maps, which provide a more explicit idea of higher order Fréchet derivatives.

Suppose the Fréchet derivative exists, then we define the second order Fréchet derivative at  $u \in U$  as

$$T''(u)(v_1, v_2) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{T'(u + tv_2)(v_1) - T'(u)(v_1)}{t},$$

for all  $v_1, v_2 \in U$ , if the above limit exists and  $T''(u) \in \mathcal{B}(U \times U, V)$ . For convenience, we denote  $T^{(1)} = T'$  and  $T^{(2)} = T''$ , then we inductively define higher order derivatives. Suppose  $T^{(n-1)}$  exists, the  $n^{\text{th}}$ -order Fréchet derivative at  $u \in U$  is defined by

$$T^{(n)}(u)(v_1, \dots, v_n) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{T^{(n-1)}(u + tv_n)(v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}) - T^{(n-1)}(u)(v_1, \dots, v_{n-1})}{t},$$

for all  $v_1, \dots, v_n \in U$ , if the above limit exists and  $T^{(n)} \in \mathcal{B}(U^n, V)$ , where  $U^n = \underbrace{U \times \dots \times U}_{n \text{ times}}$ .

Before we state the definition of  $\Psi^k$ , we shall first introduce some useful notations to simplify their expressions.

**Definition 4.2.** For  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $\Upsilon = (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_n)$  is called  $n$ -signal, if  $\epsilon_j \in \{0, 1\}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

Suppose  $1 \leq k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_{p-1} < k_p = n$ , then we call

$$\tau = [(i_1, \dots, i_{k_1}); (i_{k_1+1}, \dots, i_{k_2}); \dots; (i_{k_{p-1}+1}, \dots, i_{k_p})],$$

the  $n$ -partition, if  $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{k_{p-1}}, i_{k_p}\} = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Additionally, we use  $\|\tau\| := p$  to denote the number of groups in this partition.

For example,  $\tau = [(1, 3); (2); (4)]$  is 4-partition, with  $\|\tau\| = 3$  and  $\tau' = [(1, 5, 6); (3, 2); (4); (7)]$  is 7-partition, with  $\|\tau'\| = 4$ . It's noteworthy that we will distinguish the cases with same group but different arrangements, such as

$$[(1, 3); (2); (4)] \neq [(3, 1); (2); (4)], \quad [(1, 5, 6); (3, 2); (4); (7)] \neq [(1, 5, 6); (3, 2); (7); (4)].$$

We define the set  $\tilde{\tau}$ , which consists of  $p$  elements with the following form

$$[(i_1, \dots, i_{k_1}); \dots; (i_{k_{m-1}+1}, \dots, i_{k_m}, n+1); \dots; (i_{k_{p-1}+1}, \dots, i_{k_p})],$$

where  $1 \leq m \leq p$ . For example, if  $\tau = [(1, 3); (2); (4)]$ , then  $\tilde{\tau}$  is the set

$$\{[(1, 3, 5); (2); (4)], [(1, 3); (2, 5); (4)], [(1, 3); (2); (4, 5)]\}.$$

**Definition 4.3.** For  $m = 0$  or  $1$ , suppose  $U = \ell^{2,m}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ ,  $V = C([0, T]; \ell^{2,m}(\mathbb{Z}^d))$ ,  $L = \{L^k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is a family of multilinear maps, where  $L^k : U^k \rightarrow V$  is  $k$ -linear map. Then for  $n$ -partition  $\tau$  and  $\|\tau\|$ -signal  $\Upsilon$

$$\tau = [(i_1, \dots, i_{k_1}); (i_{k_1+1}, \dots, i_{k_2}); \dots; (i_{k_{p-1}+1}, \dots, i_{k_p})], \quad \Upsilon = (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \dots, \epsilon_p),$$

we define  $L^{\tau, \Upsilon} : U^n \rightarrow V$ , for all  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n \in U$ , as follows,

$$L^{\tau, \Upsilon}(v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) := [L^{k_1}(v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_{k_1}})]^{(\epsilon_1)} \dots [L^{k_p - k_{p-1}}(v_{i_{k_{p-1}+1}}, \dots, v_{i_{k_p}})]^{(\epsilon_p)},$$

where  $[A]^{(\epsilon)}$  means  $A$ , if  $\epsilon = 0$ , or  $\overline{A}$ , if  $\epsilon = 1$ . For example, if  $\tau = [(1, 3); (2); (4)]$  and  $\Upsilon = (0, 1, 1)$ , then we have

$$L^{\tau, \Upsilon}(v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4) = L^2(v_1, v_3) \cdot \overline{L^1(v_2)} \cdot \overline{L^1(v_4)}. \quad (4.1)$$

**Definition 4.4.** For  $m = 0$  or  $1$ ,  $u_0 \in \ell^{2,m}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ , the  $k$ -linear map  $\Psi^k(u_0) : \underbrace{\ell^{2,m}(\mathbb{Z}^d) \times \dots \times \ell^{2,m}(\mathbb{Z}^d)}_{k \text{ times}} \rightarrow C([0, T]; \ell^{2,m}(\mathbb{Z}^d))$ , for convenience we sometimes denote it as  $\Psi_{u_0}^k$ , is defined by following induction. For  $k = 1$ , we define  $\Psi_{u_0}^1$  as the solution map of the equation (4.2), i.e.  $\forall v_1 \in \ell^{2,m}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ ,  $\Psi_{u_0}^1(v_1)$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t \Psi_{u_0}^1(v_1)(x, t) + \frac{1}{2} \Delta \Psi_{u_0}^1(v_1)(x, t) = \mu \left( \frac{p+1}{2} |\Phi(u_0)|^{p-1} \cdot \Psi_{u_0}^1(v_1) + \frac{p-1}{2} |\Phi(u_0)|^{p-3} \Phi(u_0)^2 \cdot \overline{\Psi_{u_0}^1(v_1)} \right), \\ \Psi_{u_0}^1(v_1)(x, 0) = v_1(x), \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{R}. \end{cases} \quad (4.2)$$

Suppose  $\Psi_{u_0}^k$  is defined and for any  $v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}, v_k \in \ell^{2,m}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ ,  $\omega = \Psi_{u_0}^k(v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}, v_k)$  satisfies the following equation (4.3)

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t \omega(x, t) + \frac{1}{2} \Delta \omega(x, t) = \mu \left( \sum_{\tau^k} \sum_{\Upsilon} F_{\tau^k, \Upsilon}(\Phi(u_0)) \Psi_{u_0}^{\tau^k, \Upsilon} \right), \\ \omega(x, 0) = p_k(x), \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{R}, \end{cases} \quad (4.3)$$

where we follow the notations in Definition 4.2 and Definition 4.3, with  $L = \Psi_{u_0}$ ,  $L^k = \Psi_{u_0}^k$ . The first summation in the equation (4.3) is over all  $k$ -partitions and the second summation is over all  $\|\tau^k\|$ -signals.

Then we define  $\Psi_{u_0}^{k+1}$  as the solution map of the equation (4.4), i.e. for any  $v_1, \dots, v_k, v_{k+1} \in \ell^{2,m}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ ,  $\omega = \Psi_{u_0}^{k+1}(v_1, \dots, v_k, v_{k+1})$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t \omega(x, t) + \frac{1}{2} \Delta \omega(x, t) = \mu \left( \sum_{\tau^k} \sum_{\Upsilon} \left( \frac{dF_{\tau^k, \Upsilon}}{dz} \Psi_{u_0}^1(v_{k+1}) + \frac{dF_{\tau^k, \Upsilon}}{d\bar{z}} \overline{\Psi_{u_0}^1(v_{k+1})} \right) \Psi_{u_0}^{\tau^k, \Upsilon} + (*_{\tau^k, \Upsilon} \right), \\ \omega(x, 0) = 0, \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{R}. \end{cases} \quad (4.4)$$

The last term  $(*_{\tau^k, \Upsilon})$  is given by  $(*_{\tau^k, \Upsilon}) = \sum_{\tau' \in \widetilde{\tau^k}} F_{\tau', \Upsilon}(\Phi(u_0)) \Psi_{u_0}^{\tau', \Upsilon}$ , where the meaning of notation  $\widetilde{\tau^k}$  follows from Definition (4.2).

*Remark 4.5.* To better illustrate the above notations in definition, we will calculate  $\Psi_{u_0}^2(v_1, v_2)$ , for any  $v_1, v_2 \in \ell^{2,m}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ , with  $p$  big enough to avoid triviality. First, we notice that, in this case, there are only one partition  $\tau^1$  and two signals  $\Upsilon$  as follows

$$\tau^1 = [(1)], \quad \Upsilon = (0) \text{ or } (1).$$

The corresponding  $F_{\tau^1, \Upsilon}(\Phi(u_0))$  and  $\Psi_{u_0}^{\tau^1, \Upsilon}$  are given by

$$F_{\tau^1, \Upsilon}(\Phi(u_0)) = \frac{p+1}{2} |\Phi(u_0)|^{p-1} \text{ or } \frac{p-1}{2} |\Phi(u_0)|^{p-3} \Phi(u_0)^2, \quad \Psi_{u_0}^{\tau^1, \Upsilon} = \Psi_{u_0}^1(v_1) \text{ or } \overline{\Psi_{u_0}^1(v_1)}.$$

Thus, from the definition and calculation, we have the following correspondences

$$\frac{dF_{\tau^k, \Upsilon}}{dz} = \frac{dF_{\tau^k, \Upsilon}}{dz}(\Phi(u_0)) = \frac{p^2-1}{4} |\Phi(u_0)|^{p-3} \overline{\Phi(u_0)} \text{ or } \frac{p^2-1}{4} |\Phi(u_0)|^{p-3} \Phi(u_0).$$

The calculation of  $\frac{dF_{\tau^k, \Upsilon}}{dz}$  is similar, we omitted. For the term in  $(*)_{\tau^1, \Upsilon}$ , we have  $\tilde{\tau}^1 = \{[(1, 2)]\}$  and the following correspondences

$$\begin{aligned} (*)_{\tau^1, \Upsilon} &= \frac{p+1}{2} |\Phi(u_0)|^{p-1} \Psi_{u_0}^2(v_1, v_2) \left( = \frac{p+1}{2} |\Phi(u_0)|^{p-1} \omega \right) \\ &\text{or} \\ &= \frac{p-1}{2} |\Phi(u_0)|^{p-3} \Phi(u_0)^2 \overline{\Psi_{u_0}^2(v_1, v_2)} \left( = \frac{p-1}{2} |\Phi(u_0)|^{p-3} \Phi(u_0)^2 \overline{\omega} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then substituting the above calculation into the equation (4.4), we derive the solution map given by  $\Psi_{u_0}^2$ .

Then we state the relationship between  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$ , which will lead to the result of smooth dependence on initial data.

*Proof of Theorem 1.10.* We just check the case  $k = 1, m = 0$ , as the rest of case is similar and even simpler. From the definition of Fréchet derivative, we just need to prove for  $v_0, u_0 \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$  and  $\|v_0 - u_0\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \rightarrow 0$ , we have

$$\|\Phi(v_0) - \Phi(u_0) - \Psi_{u_0}^1(v_0 - u_0)\|_{C([0, T]; \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d))} = o(\|v_0 - u_0\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)}). \quad (4.5)$$

For convenience, we denote  $\omega := \Phi(v_0) - \Phi(u_0) - \Psi_{u_0}^1(v_0 - u_0)$ .

From the Duhamel formula and definition of  $\Phi$ , we have the following identity

$$[\Phi(v_0) - \Phi(u_0)](x, t) = e^{it\Delta/2}(v_0 - u_0) - i\mu \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta/2} (|\Phi(u_0)|^{p-1} \Phi(u_0) - |\Phi(v_0)|^{p-1} \Phi(v_0)) ds.$$

Similarly, using the Duhamel formula of  $\Psi_{u_0}^1(v_0 - u_0)$  and the following first order Taylor expansion of  $|z|^{p-1}z$

$$|z + \Delta z|^{p-1}(z + \Delta z) = |z|^{p-1}z + \frac{p+1}{2}|z|^{p-1} \cdot \Delta z + \frac{p-1}{2}|z|^{p-3}z^2 \cdot \overline{\Delta z} + o(|\Delta z|),$$

we can obtain the identity as follows

$$\omega(x, t) = -i\mu \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta/2} \left( \frac{p+1}{2} |\Phi(u_0)|^{p-1} \cdot \omega(x, s) + \frac{p-1}{2} |\Phi(u_0)|^{p-3} \Phi(u_0)^2 \cdot \overline{\omega(x, s)} + o(\Phi(v_0) - \Phi(u_0)) \right) ds$$

Applying  $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ -norm on both side and using the Minkowski inequality, we can deduce that

$$\|\omega(\cdot, t)\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \lesssim_{u_0} \int_0^t \|\omega(\cdot, s)\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)} ds + o_T(\|v_0 - u_0\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)}), \quad (4.6)$$

where the last term  $o_T(\|v_0 - u_0\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)})$  comes from the energy estimate in Theorem 2.2 and Gronwall inequality. Then we apply the Gronwall inequality again to (4.6), we can immediately deduce (4.5).

Next, we will obtain the boundedness of  $\Psi_{u_0}^1$ . Still using the Duhamel formula of the equation (4.2) and applying  $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ -norm on both side, with the Minkowski inequality, we can similarly get

$$\|\Psi_{u_0}^1(v_1)(\cdot, t)\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \lesssim_{u_0} \|v_1\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)} + \int_0^t \|\Psi_{u_0}^1(v_1)(\cdot, s)\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)} ds.$$

Thus, the Gronwall inequality will directly yield the boundedness of  $\Psi_{u_0}^1$ .  $\square$

Based on the above smooth dependence result, we can actually establish the analytic dependence result in some sense. Before we formally state this result, we shall briefly recall the famous Cauchy-Kovalevskaya Theorem, see Theorem 1 in [KZ21].

**Lemma 4.6.** *Suppose  $T, \delta > 0$  and  $F : (a - \delta, a + \delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is real analytic near  $a$  and  $y = y(x)$  is the unique solution to the following ordinary differential equation (ODE)*

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dy}{dx} = F(y(x)), \\ y(0) = a, \quad x \in [-T, T], \end{cases} \quad (4.7)$$

then  $y = y(x)$  is also real analytic near zero.

*Proof of Theorem 1.11.* For simplicity, we only show the analyticity of  $u^{(\varepsilon)}$  in the case  $p = 3, m = 0, \mu = 1$ , the general case is parallel. From the definition of  $k^{\text{th}}$ -order Fréchet derivative, we can immediately obtain the following simple identity

$$\left. \frac{d^k}{d\varepsilon^k} u^{(\varepsilon)}(x, t) \right|_{\varepsilon=\lambda} = \Psi^k(\lambda u_0)(u_0, \dots, u_0), \quad k = 0, 1, \dots$$

Therefore Theorem 1.10 directly implies that  $u^{(\varepsilon)}$  is a smooth function with respect to  $\varepsilon$ . Next, we will use Taylor expansion to express every order derivative of  $u^{(\varepsilon)}$ . Suppose that we have the Taylor expansion as follows, for convenience we require  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ ,

$$u^{(\lambda+\varepsilon)}(x, t) = u^{(\lambda)}(x, t) + \varepsilon u_1^{(\lambda)}(x, t) + \varepsilon^2 u_2^{(\lambda)}(x, t) + \dots + \varepsilon^n u_n^{(\lambda)}(x, t) + o(\varepsilon^n). \quad (4.8)$$

Inserting (4.8) into equation (1.3) and comparing coefficients, we can obtain the following equations (for simplicity we just state first three equations, which are representative enough)

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u^{(\lambda)}(x, t) + \frac{1}{2}\Delta u^{(\lambda)}(x, t) = (u^{(\lambda)})^2 \overline{u^{(\lambda)}}, \\ u^{(\lambda)}(x, 0) = \lambda u_0(x), \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{R}, \end{cases} \quad (4.9)$$

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u_1^{(\lambda)}(x, t) + \frac{1}{2}\Delta u_1^{(\lambda)}(x, t) = (u^{(\lambda)})^2 \overline{u_1^{(\lambda)}} + 2u^{(\lambda)} \overline{u^{(\lambda)}} u_1^{(\lambda)}, \\ u_1^{(\lambda)}(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{R}, \end{cases} \quad (4.10)$$

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u_2^{(\lambda)}(x, t) + \frac{1}{2}\Delta u_2^{(\lambda)}(x, t) = (u^{(\lambda)})^2 \overline{u_2^{(\lambda)}} + 2u^{(\lambda)} \overline{u^{(\lambda)}} u_2^{(\lambda)} + (u_1^{(\lambda)})^2 \overline{u^{(\lambda)}} + 2u_1^{(\lambda)} \overline{u_1^{(\lambda)}} u^{(\lambda)}, \\ u_2^{(\lambda)}(x, 0) = 0, \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{R}. \end{cases} \quad (4.11)$$

Next, we inductively control the  $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ -norm of each  $u_k$ . Choosing  $R > 0$ , s.t.  $\lambda, \|u_0\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \ll R$ . First, applying the mass conservation in Theorem 1.1 into the above equation (4.9), we notice that  $\|u^{(\lambda)}\|_{C([0, T]; \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d))} = \lambda \|u_0\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \leq C_0$ , where  $C_0$  is some constant only depends on  $R$ . Using the Duhamel formula of the equation (4.10), we can obtain

$$u_1^{(\lambda)}(x, t) = e^{it\Delta/2} u_0 - i\mu \int_0^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta/2} \left[ (u^{(\lambda)})^2 \overline{u_1^{(\lambda)}} + 2u^{(\lambda)} \overline{u^{(\lambda)}} u_1^{(\lambda)} \right] ds. \quad (4.12)$$

Then we take  $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ -norm on both side of (4.12) and use the Minkowski inequality, we obtain the inequality as follows,

$$\|u_1^{(\lambda)}(\cdot, t)\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \lesssim_R \|u_0\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)} + \int_0^t \|u_1^{(\lambda)}(\cdot, s)\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)} ds. \quad (4.13)$$

Applying the Gronwall inequality on (4.13), we can immediately conclude that there exists some constant  $C_1$ , s.t.  $\|u_1^{(\lambda)}\|_{C([0, T]; \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d))} \leq C_1 \|u_0\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)}$ , where  $C_1$  only depends on  $R, T$ .

Next, we suppose that  $\|u_k^{(\lambda)}\|_{C([0, T]; \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d))} \leq C_k \|u_0\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)}^k$ ,  $k \leq n$ , where we regard  $u_0^\lambda$  as  $u^{(\lambda)}$ . Then we need to estimate  $\|u_{n+1}^{(\lambda)}\|_{C([0, T]; \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d))}$  by  $C_{n+1} \|u_0\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)}^{n+1}$ , with some suitable constant  $C_{n+1}$ .

From the similar estimates as in (4.12)-(4.13) and simple product estimate as follows

$$\left\| \prod_{i=1}^m f_i \right\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \leq \prod_{i=1}^m \|f_i\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)},$$

we can derive the estimate  $\|u_{n+1}^{(\lambda)}\|_{C([0, T]; \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d))} \leq C_{n+1} \|u_0\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)}^{n+1}$  by appearance law of the inhomogeneous terms in the equations (4.9)-(4.11) and induction, where constant  $C_{n+1}$  is defined as follows

$$C_{n+1} = K \sum_{k_1+k_2+k_3=n+1, 0 \leq k_i < n+1} C_{k_1} C_{k_2} C_{k_3}, \quad n \geq 1, \quad (4.14)$$

for some constant  $K > 0$  only depends on  $R, T$ . Then we just need to show that the following series, given by the identity (4.14),

$$f(x) \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_k x^k, \quad (4.15)$$

will converge in  $x \in (-\delta, \delta)$ , for some  $\delta > 0$ . Indeed, to show the Taylor expansion of  $u^{(\lambda+\varepsilon)}$  is equal to  $u^{(\lambda+\varepsilon)}$  itself, it suffices to prove the Lagrange remainder

$$\frac{\varepsilon^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} \frac{d^{n+1}}{d\varepsilon^{n+1}} u^{(\varepsilon)}(x, t) \Big|_{\varepsilon=\lambda'} = \varepsilon^{n+1} u_{n+1}^{(\lambda')}(x, t), \quad \lambda < \lambda' < \lambda + \varepsilon,$$

will converge to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Notice that the above estimate is uniformly hold for any  $u^{(\lambda')}$ , as the choice of  $\{C_k\}$  only depends on  $R, T$  and is consistent for  $\lambda < \lambda' < \lambda + \varepsilon$ .

Therefore, if we can show the convergence of (4.15), then by d'Alembert criterion, we can immediately derive

$$|u_{n+1}^{(\lambda')}(x, t)|^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \leq \|u_{n+1}^{(\lambda')}\|_{C([0, T]; \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d))}^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \leq (C_{n+1})^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \cdot \|u_0\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \leq \frac{1}{\delta} \|u_0\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)}.$$

Thus for any  $\varepsilon < \frac{\delta}{\|u_0\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)}}$ , the Lagrange remainder will converge to zero as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , which ensure the analyticity of  $u^\varepsilon$  at point  $\lambda$ .

Notice that  $y = f(x)$  is the implicit function of the following equation

$$Ay - By^3 = Cx + D, \quad (4.16)$$

where the constant  $A, B, C, D$  are given by

$$A := 3KC_0^2 + 1, \quad B := K, \quad C := C_1, \quad D := 2KC_0^3 + C_0.$$

Differentiating the equation (4.16) with respect to  $x$ , we can immediately show that  $y$  satisfies the following ODE

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dy}{dx} = \frac{C}{A - 3By^2}, \\ y(0) = \gamma, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \end{cases} \quad (4.17)$$

where  $\gamma$  satisfies  $A\gamma - B\gamma^3 = D$ . To ensure that  $A - 3By^2 > 0$  in some neighborhood of  $x = 0$ , it suffices to check  $D \leq \frac{2A}{3} \sqrt{\frac{A}{3B}}$ , which is a direct result of calculation. Thus we can apply Lemma 4.6 to ODE (4.17) and derive the analyticity of solution  $y$  in some neighborhood of  $x = 0$ .

We now finish the whole proof of analyticity of  $u^\varepsilon$ , for all fixed  $u_0 \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ .  $\square$

*Remark 4.7.* From the proof of Theorem 1.11, we can further conclude that the lower bound of convergence radius of  $u^\varepsilon(x, t)$  is uniform in  $x \in \mathbb{Z}^d$  and  $t$  in any compact time interval.

## 5. THE SCATTERING THEORY OF DISCRETE SEMILINEAR SCHRÖDINGER EQUATIONS

In this section, we will use the Strichartz estimates to establish the existence of wave operator and asymptotic completeness in the energy space  $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ .

We first state the following useful Strichartz estimates, see Theorem 1.2 in [KT98], which is induced by the decay estimate of propagator  $e^{it\Delta/2}$  in Theorem 1 from [SK05].

**Theorem 5.1.** *For the discrete free Schrödinger equation*

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u + \frac{1}{2}\Delta u = 0, \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{R}, \end{cases} \quad (5.1)$$

there exist two estimates as follows

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{\ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \lesssim \frac{1}{|t|^{d/3}} \|u_0\|_{\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)}, \quad \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)} = \|u_0\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)}.$$

**Corollary 5.2.** *We call the exponent pair  $(q, r)$  is admissible, if the pair satisfies*

$$q, r \geq 2, \quad (q, r, d) \neq (2, \infty, 3), \quad \frac{1}{q} + \frac{d}{3r} \leq \frac{d}{6}.$$

*If the equality holds in the last inequality above, we say exponent pair  $(q, r)$  is sharp admissible. Then there exist the following three Strichartz estimates*

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{it\Delta/2} f\|_{L_t^q \ell_x^r(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}^d)} &\lesssim \|f\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)}, \\ \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-s\Delta/2} F(\cdot, s) ds \right\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)} &\lesssim \|F\|_{L_t^{q'} \ell_x^{r'}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}^d)}, \\ \left\| \int_{s < t} e^{i(t-s)\Delta/2} F(\cdot, s) ds \right\|_{L_t^q \ell_x^r(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}^d)} &\lesssim \|F\|_{L_t^{\tilde{q}'} \ell_x^{\tilde{r}'}(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}^d)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $(q, r), (\tilde{q}, \tilde{r})$  are admissible exponent pairs.

For convenience, we introduce the Strichartz space and its dual space, which can state the above Strichartz estimates in a uniform manner.

**Definition 5.3.** For some time interval  $I$ , the Strichartz space  $S(I \times \mathbb{Z}^d)$  is defined as the closure of Schwartz function with the following norm

$$\|v\|_{S(I \times \mathbb{Z}^d)} := \sup_{(q, r) \text{ admissible}} \|v\|_{L_t^q \ell_x^r(I \times \mathbb{Z}^d)}.$$

And we define  $N(I \times \mathbb{Z}^d)$  as the dual space of Strichartz space  $S(I \times \mathbb{Z}^d)$ . By definition, we see that

$$\|F\|_{N(I \times \mathbb{Z}^d)} \leq \|F\|_{L_t^{q'} \ell_x^{r'}(I \times \mathbb{Z}^d)}.$$

Thus, the Strichartz estimates in Corollary 5.2 can be rewritten as the following uniform estimates, with some positive constant  $C_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{it\Delta/2} f\|_{S(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}^d)} &\leq C_0 \|f\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)}, \\ \left\| \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-s\Delta/2} F(\cdot, s) ds \right\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)} &\leq C_0 \|F\|_{N(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}^d)}, \\ \left\| \int_{s < t} e^{i(t-s)\Delta/2} F(\cdot, s) ds \right\|_{S(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}^d)} &\leq C_0 \|F\|_{N(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}^d)}. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we use above Strichartz estimates to give a proof of the existence and continuity of wave operator.

*Proof of Theorem 1.15.* From the Duhamel formula and definition of the scattering, it suffices to solve the following equation

$$u(x, t) = e^{it\Delta/2} u_+ + i\mu \int_t^\infty e^{i(t-s)\Delta/2} (|u(\cdot, s)|^{p-1} u(\cdot, s)) ds, \quad t \in [0, +\infty). \quad (5.2)$$

To solve the above equation (5.2), we decompose the whole question into two parts. Fixing  $T$  large enough, we first solve the equation (5.2) in time interval  $[T, +\infty)$  and then extend it to the whole time interval  $[0, +\infty)$ .

With the assumption  $6 \leq (p-1)d$ , it's not hard to verify the existence of exponents  $0 < q_i, r_i < \infty, i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$  satisfying the following conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{q_4} + \frac{1}{q_5} &= \frac{1}{q'_3}, & \frac{1}{r_4} + \frac{1}{r_5} &= \frac{1}{r'_3}, \\ q_1 &= (p-1)q_4, & r_1 &= (p-1)r_4, \end{aligned}$$

and  $(q_1, r_1), (q_2, r_2), (q_3, r_3), (q_5, r_5), (pq'_2, pr'_2)$  are admissible exponent pairs. Indeed, we can take

$$q_1 = r_1 = q_3 = r_3 = q_5 = r_5 = p + 1, \quad q_2 = r_2 = \frac{2(d+3)}{d}, \quad q_4 = r_4 = \frac{p+1}{p-1}.$$

Then we introduce the following norm, which is obviously controlled by the Strichartz norm

$$\|v\|_{S_0(I \times \mathbb{Z}^d)} := \|v\|_{L_t^{q_1} \ell_x^{r_1}(I \times \mathbb{Z}^d)} + \|v\|_{L_t^{pq'_2} \ell_x^{pr'_2}(I \times \mathbb{Z}^d)},$$

where  $I$  is time interval. Then from the Strichartz estimates in Corollary 5.2, we can fix  $T$  large enough that

$$\|e^{it\Delta/2} u_+\|_{S_0([T, +\infty) \times \mathbb{Z}^d)} \leq \varepsilon,$$

where  $\varepsilon$  will be determined later. Then we will use the iteration below to construct a solution to the equation (5.2)

$$u_n(x, t) = e^{it\Delta/2} u_+ + i\mu \int_t^\infty e^{i(t-s)\Delta/2} (|u_{n-1}(\cdot, s)|^{p-1} u_{n-1}(\cdot, s)) ds, \quad n \geq 0, \quad (5.3)$$

where we set  $u_{-1} \equiv 0$ . For convenience, we introduce the following maps  $D, N$  to simplify our next discussion

$$\begin{aligned} D : F(x, t) &\mapsto i\mu \int_t^\infty e^{i(t-s)\Delta/2} F(\cdot, s) ds, \\ N : v(x, t) &\mapsto |v(x, t)|^{p-1} v(x, t). \end{aligned}$$



Then the equation (5.3) can be equivalently written as

$$u_n(x, t) = e^{it\Delta/2}u_+ + DN(u_{n-1}). \quad (5.4)$$

Next, we claim that if  $\varepsilon$  small enough, then the following propositions are true

$$(P_n) : \quad \|u_n\|_{S_0([T, +\infty) \times \mathbb{Z}^d)} \leq 4\varepsilon,$$

$$(\widetilde{P}_n) : \quad \|N(u_n)\|_{L_t^{q'_2} \ell_x^{r'_2}([T, +\infty) \times \mathbb{Z}^d)} = \| |u_n|^{p-1} u_n \|_{L_t^{q'_2} \ell_x^{r'_2}([T, +\infty) \times \mathbb{Z}^d)} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{C_0},$$

where  $C_0$  is the constant in Strichartz estimates, see Definition 5.4.

First, from the choice of  $T$ , we see that  $(P_0)$  is true, then we just need to prove the above propositions by induction, with the following chain

$$(P_n) \Rightarrow (\widetilde{P}_n) \Rightarrow (P_{n+1}).$$

For  $(P_n) \Rightarrow (\widetilde{P}_n)$ , we just need to let  $\varepsilon \ll \frac{1}{C_0}$  and observe that

$$\|N(u_n)\|_{L_t^{q'_2} \ell_x^{r'_2}([T, +\infty) \times \mathbb{Z}^d)} = \|u_n\|_{L_t^{pq'_2} \ell_x^{pr'_2}([T, +\infty) \times \mathbb{Z}^d)}^p \leq (4\varepsilon)^p \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{C_0}.$$

For  $(\widetilde{P}_n) \Rightarrow (P_{n+1})$ , we apply  $S_0([T, +\infty) \times \mathbb{Z}^d)$ -norm on iteration (5.4) and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n\|_{S_0([T, +\infty) \times \mathbb{Z}^d)} &\leq \|e^{it\Delta/2}u_+\|_{S_0([T, +\infty) \times \mathbb{Z}^d)} + \|DN(u_n)\|_{S_0([T, +\infty) \times \mathbb{Z}^d)} \\ &\leq \varepsilon + 2C_0 \|N(u_n)\|_{L_t^{q'_2} \ell_x^{r'_2}([T, +\infty) \times \mathbb{Z}^d)} \leq \varepsilon + 2C_0 \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{C_0} < 4\varepsilon. \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

Thus, we complete the induction and, from the propositions  $(P_n)$  and  $(\widetilde{P}_n)$ , control the norms of  $u_n$  and  $|u_n|^{p-1}u_n$  in a very small scale, which will be essential in our following contraction argument.

From the Hölder inequality and proposition  $(P_n)$ , we also notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \|N(u_n) - N(u_{n-1})\|_{N([T, +\infty) \times \mathbb{Z}^d)} &\leq \|N(u_n) - N(u_{n-1})\|_{L_t^{q'_3} \ell_x^{r'_3}([T, +\infty) \times \mathbb{Z}^d)} \\ &\lesssim \| |u_n|^{p-1} |u_n - u_{n-1}| \|_{L_t^{q'_3} \ell_x^{r'_3}([T, +\infty) \times \mathbb{Z}^d)} + \| |u_{n-1}|^{p-1} |u_n - u_{n-1}| \|_{L_t^{q'_3} \ell_x^{r'_3}([T, +\infty) \times \mathbb{Z}^d)} \\ &\lesssim \left( \|u_n\|_{L_t^{q_1} \ell_x^{r_1}([T, +\infty) \times \mathbb{Z}^d)}^{p-1} + \|u_{n-1}\|_{L_t^{q_1} \ell_x^{r_1}([T, +\infty) \times \mathbb{Z}^d)}^{p-1} \right) \cdot \|u_n - u_{n-1}\|_{L_t^{q_5} \ell_x^{r_5}([T, +\infty) \times \mathbb{Z}^d)} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon^{p-1} \cdot \|u_n - u_{n-1}\|_{S([T, +\infty) \times \mathbb{Z}^d)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then if  $\varepsilon \ll 1$ , we can have

$$\|N(u_n) - N(u_{n-1})\|_{N([T, +\infty) \times \mathbb{Z}^d)} \leq \frac{1}{2C_0} \cdot \|u_n - u_{n-1}\|_{S([T, +\infty) \times \mathbb{Z}^d)}.$$

Based on above estimate, we can immediately have the following contraction

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{n+1} - u_n\|_{S([T, +\infty) \times \mathbb{Z}^d)} &\leq \|DN(u_n) - DN(u_{n-1})\|_{S([T, +\infty) \times \mathbb{Z}^d)} \\ &\leq C_0 \|N(u_n) - N(u_{n-1})\|_{N([T, +\infty) \times \mathbb{Z}^d)} \leq \frac{1}{2} \cdot \|u_n - u_{n-1}\|_{S([T, +\infty) \times \mathbb{Z}^d)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\{u_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $S([T, +\infty) \times \mathbb{Z}^d) \subseteq C([T, +\infty); \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d))$ . We denote its limit as  $u$ , which solve the equation (5.2). Then we shall show that  $u$  solves the original equation (1.2). From the expression of identity (5.2), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-it\Delta/2}u(\cdot, t) &= u_+ + i\mu \int_t^\infty e^{-is\Delta/2} (|u(\cdot, s)|^{p-1}u(\cdot, s)) ds, \\ u_+(x) &= e^{-iT\Delta/2}u(\cdot, T) - i\mu \int_T^\infty e^{-is\Delta/2} (|u(\cdot, s)|^{p-1}u(\cdot, s)) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Then we combine the above two identities and obtain

$$u(x, t) = e^{i(t-T)\Delta/2}u(\cdot, T) - i\mu \int_T^t e^{i(t-s)\Delta/2} (|u(\cdot, s)|^{p-1}u(\cdot, s)) ds, \quad (5.6)$$

which directly shows  $u$  satisfies the equation (1.2).

Finally, we just need use the global existence theory of backward discrete semilinear Schrödinger equation in Remark 3.3 and extend the solution  $u$  to the whole time interval  $[0, +\infty)$ . Then we can take  $u_0(x) = u(0)$  and the above proof shows the solution  $u$ , with initial data  $u_0$ , scatters to  $e^{it\Delta/2}u_+$ .

The uniqueness of such initial data  $u_0$  comes immediately from the conservation of total mass. Besides, the continuity of wave operator  $\Omega_+$  can be similarly deduced from above iteration procedure.  $\square$

*Remark 5.4.* Notice that, in the discrete setting  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ , if  $u \in C([T, +\infty); \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d))$  and satisfies the equation like (5.6), then it's actually a classical solution, as  $|u(x, t)|^{p-1}u(x, t)$  in left hand side also belongs to  $C([T, +\infty); \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d))$ .

Before we give the proof of asymptotic completeness for solutions with small initial data, we shall introduce several key lemmas.

**Lemma 5.5.** *If the exponent pair  $(p_0, q_0)$  satisfies one of the following conditions*

$$\begin{cases} \frac{6+d}{6p} \leq \frac{1}{p_0} + \frac{d}{3q_0}, & p \leq p_0, q_0 \leq 2p, \quad (p_0, q_0, d) \neq (2p, p, 3), \\ p-1 < q_0, p_0 < \infty, & \frac{1}{p-1} \leq \frac{1}{p_0} + \frac{d}{3q_0}, \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{d}{6} + \frac{p-1}{p_0}, \quad 6 \leq (p-1)d, \end{cases} \quad (5.7)$$

and  $u$ , the classical solution to the equation (1.2), with initial data  $u_0 \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ , has boundedness in the norm below

$$\|u\|_{L_t^{p_0} \ell_x^{q_0}([0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{Z}^d)} < \infty, \quad (5.8)$$

then we have the asymptotic completeness for  $u$ .

*Proof.* If the exponent pair  $(p_0, q_0)$  satisfies the first condition, then it's not difficult to check the existence of admissible exponent pair  $(q, r)$  s.t.  $(p_0, q_0) = (pq', pr')$ .

From the Duhamel formula, solution  $u$  scatters to some asymptotic state  $u_+$  is equivalent to conditional convergence of the integral  $\int_0^\infty e^{it\Delta/2}(|u(\cdot, t)|^{p-1}u(\cdot, t))dt$  in  $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ -norm. Thus, from the Strichartz estimates, it suffices to show  $|u|^{p-1}u \in N([0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{Z}^d)$ . Then we have following estimate

$$\||u|^{p-1}u\|_{N([0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{Z}^d)} \leq \||u|^{p-1}u\|_{L_t^{q'} \ell_x^{r'}([0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{Z}^d)} = \|u\|_{L_t^{p_0} \ell_x^{q_0}([0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{Z}^d)}^p < \infty.$$

Thus, we complete the proof of first part.

If exponent pair  $(p_0, q_0)$  satisfies the second condition, then there exist  $p_i, q_i > 0, i = 1, 2, 3$  satisfying the following requirements

$$\frac{1}{p_2} + \frac{1}{p_3} = \frac{1}{q_1'}, \quad \frac{1}{q_2} + \frac{1}{q_3} = \frac{1}{r_1'}, \quad (5.9)$$

$$p_0 = (p-1)p_2, \quad q_0 = (p-1)q_2, \quad (5.10)$$

with the admissible exponent pairs  $(q_1, r_1), (p_3, q_3)$ . Indeed, the second condition is equivalent to

$$1 < q_2, p_2 < \infty, \quad 1 \leq \frac{1}{p_2} + \frac{d}{3q_2}, \quad \frac{1}{2} \leq \frac{d}{6} + \frac{1}{p_2}, \quad 6 \leq (p-1)d, \quad (5.11)$$

and, to prove the requirements (5.9) and (5.10), it's suffice to show the existence of  $p_1, q_1 > 0$  satisfying the following requirements

$$\max\{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p_2}, 0\} \leq \frac{1}{q_1} \leq \min\{\frac{1}{2}, 1 - \frac{1}{p_2}\}, \quad \max\{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{q_2}, 0\} \leq \frac{1}{r_1} \leq \min\{\frac{1}{2}, 1 - \frac{1}{q_2}\},$$

$$1 + \frac{d}{6} - (\frac{1}{p_2} + \frac{d}{3q_2}) \leq \frac{1}{q_1} + \frac{d}{3r_1} \leq \frac{d}{6}.$$

Based on condition (5.11), we can use the intermediate value theorem to show the existence of such  $p_1, q_1$ .

From the assumption  $6 \leq (p-1)d$  in the second condition, we can obtain some admissible exponent pair  $(q, r)$ , such that  $(pq', pr')$  is also admissible. Then, just like the proof in first part, we can derive that

$$\| |u|^{p-1}u \|_{N([0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{Z}^d)} \leq \|u\|_{S([0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{Z}^d)}^p.$$

Therefore, it suffices to show the boundedness of  $\|u\|_{S([0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{Z}^d)}$ . From the assumption  $p_0 < \infty$ , we can decompose the whole positive real axis  $[0, +\infty)$  into finite number of sub-intervals, s.t. for each sub-interval  $I$ , we have

$$\|u\|_{L_t^{p_0} \ell_x^{q_0}(I \times \mathbb{Z}^d)} \leq \varepsilon,$$

where  $\varepsilon > 0$  to be determined later. Fixing one of above sub-intervals  $I$  and applying the Strichartz estimates and Hölder inequality, we can obtain the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|u\|_{S(I \times \mathbb{Z}^d)} &\lesssim \|u_0\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)} + \| |u|^{p-1}u \|_{L_t^{q'_1} \ell_x^{r'_1}(I \times \mathbb{Z}^d)} \\ &\lesssim \|u_0\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)} + \|u\|_{L_t^{p_0} \ell_x^{q_0}(I \times \mathbb{Z}^d)}^{p-1} \|u\|_{L_t^{p_3} \ell_x^{q_3}(I \times \mathbb{Z}^d)} \lesssim \|u_0\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)} + \varepsilon^{p-1} \|u\|_{S(I \times \mathbb{Z}^d)}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we can take  $\varepsilon \ll 1$  and deduce that

$$\|u\|_{S(I \times \mathbb{Z}^d)} \lesssim_{u_0} 1.$$

Summing over all sub-intervals, we can obtain the boundedness of  $\|u\|_{S([0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{Z}^d)}$ .  $\square$

*Remark 5.6.* Obviously, the exponent pair  $(p_0, q_0)$  satisfies one of two conditions in (5.7) exists. For example, when  $d = p = 3$ , exponent pairs  $(p_0, q_0) = (4, 4), (\frac{5}{2}, 5)$  can satisfy the second condition. Another immediate but important fact is that the second condition is stronger than the first condition, when  $3 \leq d$  and  $6 \leq (p-1)d$ .

Next, we introduce a key lemma from Theorem 3 in [SK05], which shows the stability of global classical solutions with small data.

**Lemma 5.7.** *If  $6 \leq (p-1)d$ , there exists  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(d) > 0$  and constant  $C = C(d)$ , such that whenever  $\|u_0\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \leq \varepsilon$ , the corresponding global solution  $u$  satisfies the following bounds*

$$\|u\|_{L_t^q \ell_x^r([0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{Z}^d)} \leq C\varepsilon,$$

for all admissible pairs  $(q, r)$ .

*Proof of Theorem 1.16.* From Lemma 5.5 and Lemma 5.7, it suffices to find admissible pairs  $(p_0, q_0)$  satisfying the second condition in Lemma 5.5.

For the case  $d \leq 3$ , we can take the ternary pairs  $(d, p_0, q_0)$  as follows

$$(d, p_0, q_0) = (1, \frac{6(p-1)}{5}, 2(p-1)), \quad (2, 2(p-1), \frac{4(p-1)}{3}), \quad (3, 2(p-1), 2(p-1)).$$

For the remaining case  $d \geq 4$ , we let the ternary pairs  $(d, p_0, q_0)$  be

$$(d, p_0, q_0) = \begin{cases} \left(d, \frac{2(d+3)}{d}, \frac{2(d+3)}{d}\right) & \text{if } 1 + \frac{6}{d} \leq p < 3 \\ \left(d, 2(p-1), \frac{2d(p-1)}{3}\right) & \text{if } p \leq 3. \end{cases}$$

From direct calculation, we see that the above ternary pairs are admissible and satisfy the second condition in Lemma 5.5.  $\square$

*Remark 5.8.* In the focusing case  $\mu = -1$ , for any  $p > 1$ , there is no asymptotic completeness. To be more concrete, we can construct the following soliton solution for focusing Schrödinger equation,

$$u(x, t) = Q(x)e^{it\tau}, \tau > 0,$$

where  $Q$  satisfies the ground state equation as follows

$$-\Delta Q(x) + 2\tau Q(x) = -2\mu|Q|^{p-1}Q. \quad (5.12)$$

From Theorem 1.2 in [HX23], we see that the above ground state equation has a solution  $Q \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ , which implies the soliton solution  $u \in C^1([0, \infty); \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d))$  is a classical solution. Applying the discrete Fourier transform, we can immediately show that there is no asymptotic state  $u_+ \in \ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$  for the soliton solution  $u$ . Combined with the Theorem 1.16, we directly show that the mass of soliton solution  $u$  or the  $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ -norm of the solution for above ground state equation (5.12) have strict lower bound as follows

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)} = \|Q\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \geq \varepsilon = \varepsilon(d) > 0,$$

where the lower bound  $\varepsilon$  is independent of nonlinearity order  $p$ . In conclusion, for the focusing case, it's necessary to pose an upper bound for  $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ -norm of initial data  $u_0$ , ensuring the asymptotic completeness for corresponding  $u$ . Naturally, there is a problem of finding the threshold of scattering for the focusing case, which was solved in the continuous background, see [DR15b], but is still unsolved for discrete background.

*Remark 5.9.* There still remains a tough problem of establishing scattering theory for solutions with large initial data in defocusing case. The challenge stems from two inadequacies. The first is absence of the strong decay estimate, like the Morawetz estimate in [LS78, CKS<sup>+</sup>04], to remove the requirement of norm boundedness (5.8). The second is insufficiency of the distinction between focusing and defocusing. For instance, in continuous background, the positivity of conserved energy for defocusing case can control the  $H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -norm of the global solution  $u$ , which surpasses the  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -norm control provided by mass conservation. However, in discrete background,  $H^1(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ -norm is equivalent to  $\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ -norm, meaning that the positivity of conserved discrete energy (2.2) fails to provide more control than conserved discrete mass (2.1).

## 6. SOME RELATED RESULT

In this section, we will establish the long-time well-posedness of the following discrete nonlinear Schrödinger equation (6.1) with small initial data, as a direct consequence of the continuation criterion given in Theorem 1.8

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t u(x, t) + g^{jk}(u, \partial u)\partial_{jk}u(x, t) = F(u, \partial u), \\ u(x, 0) = \varepsilon u_0(x), \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{R}. \end{cases} \quad (6.1)$$

**Theorem 6.1.** *For  $k = 0$  or  $1$ , if  $u_0 \in \ell^{2,k}(\mathbb{Z}^d)$ , then there exist  $\delta > 0$  and constant  $K = K(g^{jk}, F, u_0, d) > 0$  such that  $\forall 0 < \varepsilon < \delta$ , the maximal existence time  $T^* \geq K \log(\log(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}))$ .*

*Proof.* For simplicity, we just deal with the case when  $g^{jk}(u, \partial u) = g^{jk}(u)$  and  $F(u, \partial u) = F(u)$ , as the general case is parallel. Based on the continuation criterion, it suffices to show the total mass  $M(t) = \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)}$  is bounded in time interval  $[0, K \log(\log(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}))]$ .

Notice that, with the continuity method, if for time interval  $[0, T]$ , there exists  $R > 0$  s.t.  $M(0) < \frac{R}{2}$  and the property (P) that  $\forall t \in [0, T]$ ,  $M(t) \leq R$  would imply  $M(t) \leq \frac{R}{2}$ , then we can obtain  $M(t) \leq R, \forall t \in [0, T]$ . Therefore, the energy  $M(t)$  is bounded in  $[0, T]$ , which will ensure the existence of classical solution in  $[0, T]$ . Thus, in the following, we choose  $A$  satisfying  $\|u_0\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \ll A$  (to ensure  $M(0) < \frac{A\varepsilon}{2}$ ) and  $R = A\varepsilon = \frac{1}{10}$  (this can be done if  $\varepsilon$  is small enough). The whole theorem comes to show that for  $T \lesssim \log(\log(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}))$ , the property (P) is true on  $[0, T]$ .

For convenience, we introduce the following constant

$$M := \max \left\{ \max_{j,k; |x| \leq 1} |g^{jk}(x)|, \max_{|x| \leq 1} |\nabla F(x)|, 1, d \right\}.$$

Then from the hypothesis  $M(t) \leq A\varepsilon = \frac{1}{10}$ , we immediately have the estimates below

$$\|g^{jk}(u(\cdot, t))\|_{\ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \lesssim M, \quad \|F(u(\cdot, t))\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)} \leq M \|u(\cdot, t)\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)} = M \cdot M(t). \quad (6.2)$$

Besides, applying the energy estimate in Theorem 2.3, we obtain

$$M(t) \leq C \left( M(0) + \int_0^t \|F(u(\cdot, s))\|_{\ell^2(\mathbb{Z}^d)} ds \right) \cdot \exp \left( C' \int_0^t \sum_{j,k} \|g^{jk}(u(\cdot, s))\|_{\ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}^d)} ds \right). \quad (6.3)$$

Combining (6.2) and (6.3), we can further get

$$M(t) \leq C e^{C' M T} \left( M(0) + \int_0^t M \cdot M(s) ds \right) \leq C M e^{C' M T} \left( M(0) + \int_0^t M(s) ds \right).$$

We denote  $F := C M e^{C' M T}$ , then the Gronwall inequality directly implies

$$M(t) \leq F e^{F t} M(0) \leq F e^{F T} M(0).$$

Notice that we have the following equivalences

$$F e^{F T} M(0) \leq \frac{A\varepsilon}{2} \iff F e^{F T} \lesssim \frac{A}{2} \iff T \lesssim \log(\log(A)) \approx \log(\log(\frac{1}{\varepsilon})).$$

Thus, we complete the proof of property (P), which ensure the existence of classical solution in time interval  $[0, K \log(\log(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}))]$ .  $\square$

If we consider the traditional case with  $g^{jk} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \delta_{jk}$ , then we can derive better lower bound of the maximal existence time.

**Theorem 6.2.** *If  $g^{jk} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \delta_{jk}$ , then we have  $T^* \geq K \log(\frac{1}{\varepsilon})$ , where  $K = K(F, u_0, d) > 0$ .*

*Proof.* We still follow the continuity method in Theorem 6.1, with the energy estimate in Theorem 2.2 instead of the energy estimate in Theorem 2.3. Then we similarly choose  $A$  such that  $A\varepsilon = \frac{1}{10}$  to ensure the (6.2) and can obtain

$$M(t) \leq M \left( M(0) + \int_0^t M(s) ds \right).$$

Applying the Gronwall inequality, we can immediately derive the lower bound  $T^* \geq K \log(\frac{1}{\varepsilon})$ .  $\square$

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author is supported by NSFC, No. 123B1035 and is grateful to Prof. Bobo Hua for helpful suggestions.

## CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The author does not have any possible conflict of interest.

## DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The manuscript has no associated data.

## REFERENCES

- [ADAP<sup>+</sup>96] AB Aceves, Costantino De Angelis, T Peschel, R Muschall, F Lederer, Stefano Trillo, and Stefan Wabnitz. Discrete self-trapping, soliton interactions, and beam steering in nonlinear waveguide arrays. *Physical Review E*, 53(1):1172, 1996.
- [Arn13] Vladimir Igorevich Arnol'd. *Mathematical methods of classical mechanics*, volume 60. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [Bou98] J Bourgain. Scattering in the energy space and below for 3d nls. *Journal d'Analyse Mathématique*, 75(1):267–297, 1998.
- [CA23] Brian Choi and Alejandro Aceves. Continuum limit of 2d fractional nonlinear schrödinger equation. *Journal of Evolution Equations*, 23(2):30, 2023.
- [CJ88] DN Christodoulides and RI Joseph. Discrete self-focusing in nonlinear arrays of coupled waveguides. *Optics letters*, 13(9):794–796, 1988.
- [CKS<sup>+</sup>04] J Colliander, M Keel, G Staffilani, H Takaoka, and T Tao. Scattering for the 3d cubic nls below the energy norm. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math*, 57:987–1014, 2004.
- [CR18] Óscar Ciaurri and Luz Roncal. Hardy's inequality for the fractional powers of a discrete laplacian. *The Journal of Analysis*, 26:211–225, 2018.
- [DR15a] Thomas Duyckaerts and Svetlana Roudenko. Going beyond the threshold: scattering and blow-up in the focusing nls equation. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 334:1573–1615, 2015.
- [DR15b] Thomas Duyckaerts and Svetlana Roudenko. Going beyond the threshold: scattering and blow-up in the focusing nls equation. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 334:1573–1615, 2015.
- [Dun04] Nick Dungey. Riesz transforms on a discrete group of polynomial growth. *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society*, 36(6):833–840, 2004.
- [Eva22] Lawrence C Evans. *Partial differential equations*, volume 19. American Mathematical Society, 2022.
- [Gaz13] Maxime Gazeau. Numerical simulation of nonlinear pulse propagation in optical fibers with randomly varying birefringence. *JOSA B*, 30(9):2443–2451, 2013.
- [GHW04] Roy H Goodman, Philip J Holmes, and Michael I Weinstein. Strong nls soliton–defect interactions. *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena*, 192(3–4):215–248, 2004.
- [Gra19] Ricardo Grande. Continuum limit for discrete nls with memory effect. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.05681*, 2019.
- [HS15] Younghun Hong and Yannick Sire. On fractional schrodinger equations in sobolev spaces. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1501.01414*, 2015.
- [HW24] Bobo Hua and Jiajun Wang. The well-posedness of generalized nonlinear wave equations on the lattice graph. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2407.09815*, 2024.
- [HX23] Bobo Hua and Wendi Xu. Existence of ground state solutions to some nonlinear schrödinger equations on lattice graphs. *Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations*, 62(4):127, 2023.
- [KA99] G Kopidakis and S Aubry. Intraband discrete breathers in disordered nonlinear systems. i. delocalization. *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena*, 130(3–4):155–186, 1999.
- [KA00] G Kopidakis and S Aubry. Discrete breathers and delocalization in nonlinear disordered systems. *Physical Review Letters*, 84(15):3236, 2000.

- [Kam20] Tsutomu Kambe. New perspectives on mass conservation law and waves in fluid mechanics. *Fluid Dynamics Research*, 52(3):031401, jun 2020.
- [KLS13] Kay Kirkpatrick, Enno Lenzmann, and Gigliola Staffilani. On the continuum limit for discrete nls with long-range lattice interactions. *Communications in mathematical physics*, 317(3):563–591, 2013.
- [KT98] Markus Keel and Terence Tao. Endpoint strichartz estimates. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 120(5):955–980, 1998.
- [KZ21] Shane Kepley and Tianhao Zhang. A constructive proof of the cauchy–kovalevskaya theorem for ordinary differential equations. *Journal of Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, 23(1):7, 2021.
- [LS78] Jeng-Eng Lin and Walter A Strauss. Decay and scattering of solutions of a nonlinear schrödinger equation. *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 30(2):245–263, 1978.
- [Men87] C Menyuk. Nonlinear pulse propagation in birefringent optical fibers. *IEEE Journal of Quantum electronics*, 23(2):174–176, 1987.
- [Mur21] Jason Murphy. Threshold scattering for the 2d radial cubic-quintic nls. *Communications in Partial Differential Equations*, 46(11):2213–2234, 2021.
- [Per83] D Howell Peregrine. Water waves, nonlinear schrödinger equations and their solutions. *The ANZIAM Journal*, 25(1):16–43, 1983.
- [RSS03] I Rodnianski, W Schlag, and A Soffer. Asymptotic stability of n-soliton states of nls. *arXiv preprint math/0309114*, 2003.
- [Rus00] Emmanuel Russ. Riesz transforms on graphs for  $1 \leq p \leq 2$ . *Mathematica Scandinavica*, pages 133–160, 2000.
- [SK05] Atanas Stefanov and Panayotis G Kevrekidis. Asymptotic behaviour of small solutions for the discrete nonlinear schrödinger and klein–gordon equations. *Nonlinearity*, 18(4):1841, 2005.
- [Suh13] Erdogan Suhubi. *Functional analysis*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [SW11] Guido Schneider and C Eugene Wayne. Justification of the nls approximation for a quasilinear water wave model. *Journal of differential equations*, 251(2):238–269, 2011.
- [Tao06] Terence Tao. Nonlinear dispersive equations, volume 106 of cbms regional conference series in mathematics. In *Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC*, pages 7–9, 2006.

JIAJUN WANG: SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, FUDAN UNIVERSITY, SHANGHAI 200433, CHINA.  
 Email address: 21300180146@m.fudan.edu.cn