

EXISTENCE AND STABILITY OF MULTISOLITON SOLUTIONS OF THE GRAVITATIONAL HARTREE EQUATION IN THREE DIMENSIONS

YUTONG WU

ABSTRACT. We prove the existence of multisoliton solutions of the three-dimensional gravitational Hartree equation whose trajectories follow many body dynamics of hyperbolic, parabolic or hyperbolic-parabolic types. The existence of such dynamics was recently proved by Polimeni-Terracini. We also prove the orbital stability of multisolitons whose minimal distance between centers grows linearly in time, with the hyperbolic type as a special case. This work generalizes and improves the result of Krieger-Martel-Raphaël on two-soliton solutions, and resolves a question posed in their paper.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Background. In 1927, soon after the Schrödinger equation was proposed, Douglas Hartree derived the Hartree equation, which provided a way to study many body quantum systems. It has then attracted the interest of both physicists and mathematicians.

In this paper, we consider the gravitational Hartree equation in 3D

$$(1.1) \quad iu_t + \Delta u - \phi_{|u|^2}u = 0,$$

where $u : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and

$$\phi_{|u|^2} = \Delta^{-1}(|u|^2) = -\frac{1}{4\pi|x|} * |u|^2.$$

We begin with some properties of the equation.

The equation possesses a large family of symmetries. Namely, if u solves (1.1), then for any $(t_0, \alpha_0, \beta_0, \lambda_0, \gamma_0) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}_+ \times (\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z})$,

$$(1.2) \quad v(t, x) = \lambda_0^2 u(\lambda_0^2 t + t_0, \lambda_0 x - \alpha_0 - \beta_0 t) e^{i(\frac{1}{2}\beta_0 \cdot x - \frac{1}{4}|\beta_0|^2 t + \gamma_0)}$$

also solves (1.1). In view of Noether's theorem, we expect the equation to have some conservation laws. The following quantities are conserved by the equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Mass:} & \quad \mathcal{M}(u) = \int |u(t, x)|^2 dx, \\ \text{Momentum:} & \quad \mathcal{P}(u) = \int \text{Im}(\nabla u(t, x) \overline{u(t, x)}) dx, \\ \text{Hamiltonian:} & \quad \mathcal{H}(u) = \int |\nabla u(t, x)|^2 dx - \frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla \phi_{|u|^2}(t, x)|^2 dx, \end{aligned}$$

In other words, if u solves (1.1), then these quantities are independent of t .

The equation (1.1) is mass (L^2) -subcritical. By Theorem 6.1.1 in [2], we know the Cauchy problem of (1.1) is wellposed in H^1 . To be more precise, for any $u_0 \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, there exists a unique $u \in C(\mathbb{R}; H^1(\mathbb{R}^3))$ satisfying (1.1) and $u(0, x) = u_0(x)$. Moreover, this u depends on u_0 continuously.

Key words and phrases. Hartree equation, multisoliton, m -body problem.

There is a special type of solutions called solitary waves. A solitary wave is a solution to (1.1) of the form $u(t, x) = e^{it}W(x)$. We deduce that W satisfies $\Delta W - \phi_{|W|^2}W = W$. From [12] we know there exists a unique radial and positive solution Q of

$$(1.3) \quad \Delta Q - \phi_{Q^2}Q = Q,$$

called the ground state. One of the properties of Q is the exponential decay:

$$(1.4) \quad Q(x) \leq Ce^{-c|x|}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

It is proved in [13] that this Q can also be characterized as the radial minimizer of the Hamiltonian subject to a given L^2 norm. More precisely, it minimizes

$$\mathcal{H}(u) = \int |\nabla u|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla \phi_{|u|^2}|^2,$$

among all $u \in H^1$ with the same L^2 norm as Q . Using (1.2) we can construct a family of ground state solitary waves.

The main object of interest in this paper is the multisolitary wave, or multisoliton, which can be roughly understood as the sum of several solitary waves.

Multisolitary waves are believed to be important components of generic solutions as claimed in the soliton resolution conjecture. It plays an crucial role when we try to understand the long time behavior of solutions. We cite [26], [24], [25], [8], [6] and [4] as references for some partial results on soliton resolution for some nonlinear dispersive equations. Another aspect is to study the existence and stability of solutions that approach to given multisolitons. In this direction, [17], [5] and [16] were on existence and [9], [18], [23] were on stability.

We point out that the above literature only considered equations with local nonlinearity. For such equations, the sum of two ground state solitary waves moving away at a constant speed solves the equation up to a term that decays exponentially in time. This reflects that the nonlinearity does not affect the asymptotic behavior dramatically. On the other hand, the long time behavior of the Hartree equation is difficult to study because of the long range effect of the nonlinearity. More precisely, we have

$$\phi_{Q^2}(x) \sim \frac{1}{|x|} \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty,$$

so the error term at most admits a polynomial decay. A quantitative estimate of such errors is given in Lemma 2.3. This is the main difficulty we have to deal with.

1.2. Main results. As a starting point of the study of long time dynamics, Krieger, Martel and Raphaël [10] studied the existence of 2-soliton solutions of (1.1). This pioneer paper revealed that one should expect a gravitational 2-body interaction within the two solitons.

Based on their method, we generalize their result to m -soliton solutions. We also improve their result in the parabolic dynamic by a careful calculation of the error terms. Our result seems a satisfactory counterpart of [17] and [16], which dealt with the existence of multisolitary waves of (NLS) and (gKdV), respectively. Moreover, by [20], a radiation term is not expected for multisoliton solutions of the Hartree equation. Thus in the spirit of the soliton resolution conjecture, we have constructed a relatively complete class of solutions.

The other part of this paper addresses the stability of such solutions, which has been left open since [10] was presented. It is proved in [3] that the ground state solitary wave is orbitally stable. Our result claims that the hyperbolic type multisolitons are orbitally stable as well. In fact, we will prove the orbital stability of a more general class, that is, multisolitons of which the minimal distance between different centers grows linearly in time.

Now we start to state our main results.

First we introduce some notations. For $\alpha_j, \beta_j, \lambda_j$ and γ_j (may depending on time), we denote

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha &= (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m), & \beta &= (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m), \\ \lambda &= (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m), & \gamma &= (\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m), \\ P &= (\alpha, \beta, \lambda), & g &= (P, \gamma), & g_j &= (\alpha_j, \beta_j, \lambda_j, \gamma_j), \\ \alpha_{jk} &= \alpha_j - \alpha_k, & \beta_{jk} &= \beta_j - \beta_k, & a &= \min_{j \neq k} |\alpha_{jk}|. \end{aligned}$$

We use similar notation when there are superscripts.

For $u : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we define $g_j u : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$g_j u(t, x) = \frac{1}{\lambda_j^2} u\left(t, \frac{x - \alpha_j}{\lambda_j}\right) e^{-i\gamma_j + i\beta_j \cdot x}.$$

In particular, $g_j Q$ represents a solitary wave and $\sum_{j=1}^m g_j Q$ is a multisoliton. We will focus on the solution of (1.1) closed to such multisolitons.

Next we recall the equation of the m -body problem.

Definition (m-body problem).

Let $m \geq 2$. The m -body problem is an ODE system

$$(1.6) \quad \dot{\alpha}_j(t) = 2\beta_j(t), \quad \dot{\beta}_j(t) = - \sum_{k \neq j} \frac{\|Q\|_{L^2}^2}{4\pi\lambda_k} \cdot \frac{\alpha_j(t) - \alpha_k(t)}{|\alpha_j(t) - \alpha_k(t)|^3}, \quad \forall 1 \leq j \leq m,$$

where $\alpha_j, \beta_j \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R}^3)$ and $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{R}_+$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$.

In this paper, we will consider the following three types of solutions.

- **hyperbolic:** for all $j \neq k$, we have $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{|\alpha_j(t) - \alpha_k(t)|}{t} \in (0, +\infty)$.
- **parabolic:** for all $j \neq k$, we have $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{|\alpha_j(t) - \alpha_k(t)|}{t^{2/3}} \in (0, +\infty)$.
- **hyperbolic-parabolic:** both cases appear, and they are the only cases.

In striking contrast to the 2-body problem where solutions are classified, the m -body problem for $m \geq 3$ is known to be unsolvable. Even for 3-body, chaotic dynamics may occur [21]. Despite of the difficulty, many papers [7], [14], [1], [15], [22] investigated the existence of the above types of solutions. The best result so far is due to Polimeni-Terracini [22], which took a variational approach originating from Maderna-Venturelli [15]. We summarize the main results as follows.

Consider the sets of configuration centered at the origin

$$\mathcal{X} = \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbb{R}^{3m} \mid \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j^{-1} x_j = 0 \right\},$$

$$\mathcal{Y} = \left\{ (x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathcal{X} \mid x_j \neq x_k, \forall j \neq k \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta = \mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{Y}.$$

We say $(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \mathcal{Y}$ is **minimal** if

$$\sum_{j < k} \frac{1}{\lambda_j \lambda_k |y_j - y_k|} \geq \sum_{j < k} \frac{1}{\lambda_j \lambda_k |x_j - x_k|}$$

for any $(y_1, \dots, y_m) \in \mathcal{Y}$ such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j^{-1} |y_j|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j^{-1} |x_j|^2.$$

Theorem (Thm 1.1, [15]; Thm 1.6, 1.7, 1.8, [22]). *Given $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{R}_+$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$.*

(1) *There exists a hyperbolic solution to (1.6) of the form*

$$(1.7) \quad \alpha_j(t) = a_j t + o(t) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +\infty$$

for any $(a_1, \dots, a_m) \in \mathcal{Y}$ and initial configuration in \mathcal{X} .

(2) *There exists a parabolic solution to (1.6) of the form*

$$(1.8) \quad \alpha_j(t) = c b_j t^{\frac{2}{3}} + o(t^{\frac{1}{3}+}) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +\infty$$

for any minimal $(b_1, \dots, b_m) \in \mathcal{Y}$ and initial configuration in \mathcal{X} , where $c > 0$ is determined by b_1, \dots, b_m .

(3) *There exists a hyperbolic-parabolic solution to (1.6) of the form*

$$(1.9) \quad \alpha_j(t) = a_j t + c_j b_j t^{\frac{2}{3}} + o(t^{\frac{1}{3}+}) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +\infty$$

for any $(a_1, \dots, a_m) \in \Delta$, minimal $(b_1, \dots, b_m) \in \mathcal{Y}$ and initial configuration in \mathcal{X} , where $c_j > 0$ is determined by $a_1, \dots, a_m, b_1, \dots, b_m$ and $c_j = c_k$ whenever $a_j = a_k$.

Our first result asserts the existence of multisoliton solutions to (1.1) reproducing the above three non-trapped dynamics. For the last two cases, an assumption on the masses of the bodies is needed. We state the result in the following condensed way.

Theorem 1. *Let $P^\infty(t)$ be a solution to (1.6) of one of the three types (1.7), (1.8) or (1.9). Suppose $\lambda_j^\infty = \lambda_k^\infty$ whenever $|\alpha_{jk}^\infty(t)| \sim t^{\frac{2}{3}}$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. Then there exists a solution u to (1.1) and $\gamma^\infty(t)$ that is C^1 in t such that*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \left\| u(t, \cdot) - \sum_{j=1}^m g_j^\infty Q(t, \cdot) \right\|_{H^1} = 0.$$

Remark.

1. *In the hyperbolic case (1.7), there is no additional assumption on the masses. In the parabolic case (1.8), we need to assume all the masses are equal. In the hyperbolic-parabolic case (1.9), we need to assume $\lambda_j^\infty = \lambda_k^\infty$ whenever $a_j = a_k$.*

2. *In the parabolic case, Theorem 1 improves the result in [10] as we take α_j (in their statement) to be identical to α_j^∞ , which trivially answers their Comment 2.*

3. *The assumption that (b_1, \dots, b_m) is minimal is not directly used when dealing with the parabolic case and the hyperbolic-parabolic case. But this assumption is needed in [22] to guarantee the existence of solutions of the m -body problem.*

Our second result is on the stability of the solution constructed in Theorem 1. The conclusion is that the hyperbolic type solution is orbitally stable, and in fact we can deal with multisolitons with more general trajectories.

Theorem 2. *Let $\alpha^0 \in C^1(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^{3m})$, $\lambda^0 \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$ and $\gamma^0 \in (\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z})^m$. Set $\beta^0(t) = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\alpha}^0(t)$. Assume that $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \beta_j^0(t)$ exists (finite) and the limits are distinct for $1 \leq j \leq m$. Then there exist $T_0 > 0$, $\delta_0 > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that for any $T > T_0$, $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$ and any solution $u \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, H^1(\mathbb{R}^3))$ of (1.1) satisfying*

$$\left\| u(T, \cdot) - \sum_{j=1}^m g_j^0 Q(T, \cdot) \right\|_{H^1} < \delta,$$

there exist C^1 functions $\alpha(t)$ and $\gamma(t)$ such that if setting $\beta(t) = \frac{1}{2}\dot{\alpha}(t)$, $\lambda = \lambda^0$, then

$$|\beta_j(t) - \beta_j^0(T)| \leq C\delta + \frac{C}{\sqrt{T}}, \quad \forall t \geq T, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m,$$

and

$$\left\| u(t, \cdot) - \sum_{j=1}^m g_j Q(t, \cdot) \right\|_{H^1} \leq C\delta + \frac{C}{\sqrt{T}}, \quad \forall t \geq T.$$

Remark.

1. As a special case of Theorem 2, we may take α_j^0 as a hyperbolic solution of (1.6). Then we obtain the orbital stability of asymptotically hyperbolic type multisoliton solution of (1.1) constructed in Theorem 1.

2. There are some follow-up questions after this, including the stability of parabolic and hyperbolic-parabolic type solutions, and asymptotic stability of hyperbolic type solutions. We expect the other two types of solutions to be unstable. Working on asymptotic stability requires a more careful spectral analysis of the linearized operators.

We end the introduction section with some comments on the proof of the two theorems and the organization of the paper.

For Theorem 1, due to the long range effect mentioned before, we need to first construct approximate solutions. The difficulty compared to [10] lies mainly in the parabolic and hyperbolic-parabolic cases. We need to study an approximate system of the m -body problem, which is essentially harder than the 2-body problem. For this purpose, we have to perform delicate computation of the constants involved. We made use of a cancellation of errors displayed in the proof of Proposition 5.1. This is a new observation.

For Theorem 2, the main idea is to control the error via an associated quadratic form. The upper bound is obtained by the monotonicity formula, and the lower bound follows from modulation analysis and coercivity of the linearized operator. We overcome the long range effect mainly by choosing an appropriate functional to which we apply the monotonicity formula. We will see that some of the arguments are similar to those in the proof of Theorem 1.

The article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we construct approximate multisolitary solutions of (1.1) up to the N -th order for any $N \geq 1$ to overcome the long range effect. We then focus on the hyperbolic case. We reduce the problem to a uniform estimate and furthermore a modulation estimate in Section 3. Then the modulation estimate is proved in Section 4, finishing the proof of the hyperbolic case. The other two cases of Theorem 1 are addressed in section 5. Finally, in Section 6, we give the proof of Theorem 2.

2. APPROXIMATE SOLUTIONS

As preparation, we do some basic calculation. Assume $u = g_j v$ and the components of g_j may depend on t . More precisely, we assume

$$u(t, x) = \frac{1}{\lambda_j(t)^2} v \left(t, \frac{x - \alpha_j(t)}{\lambda_j(t)} \right) e^{-i\gamma_j(t) + i\beta_j(t) \cdot x}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.1) \quad u_t &= \frac{1}{\lambda_j^4} \left(\lambda_j^2 v_t - \lambda_j \dot{\alpha}_j \cdot \nabla v - \dot{\lambda}_j (x - \alpha_j) \cdot \nabla v - 2\lambda_j \dot{\lambda}_j v \right. \\
&\quad \left. - i\lambda_j^2 \dot{\gamma}_j v + i\lambda_j^2 \dot{\beta}_j \cdot xv \right) e^{-i\gamma_j + i\beta_j \cdot x}, \\
\nabla u &= \frac{1}{\lambda_j^3} (\nabla v + i\lambda_j \beta_j v) e^{-i\gamma_j + i\beta_j \cdot x}, \\
\Delta u &= \frac{1}{\lambda_j^4} (\Delta v + 2i\lambda_j \beta_j \cdot \nabla v - \lambda_j^2 |\beta_j|^2 v) e^{-i\gamma_j + i\beta_j \cdot x}, \\
\phi_{|u|^2}(x) &= \frac{1}{\lambda_j^2} \phi_{|v|^2} \left(\frac{x - \alpha_j}{\lambda_j} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, if we let

$$u(t, x) := \sum_{j=1}^m u_j(t, x) := \sum_{j=1}^m g_j v_j(t, x),$$

and set $y_j = \frac{x - \alpha_j(t)}{\lambda_j(t)}$, $\Lambda v_j = 2v_j + y_j \cdot \nabla v_j$, then

$$iu_t + \Delta u - \phi_{|u|^2} u = \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{\lambda_j^4} E_j(t, y_j) e^{-i\gamma_j + i\beta_j \cdot x} - \sum_{k \neq j} \phi_{\text{Re}(u_k \bar{u}_j)} u,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
E_j(t, y_j) &= i\lambda_j^2 \partial_t v_j + \Delta v_j - v_j - i\lambda_j \dot{\lambda}_j \Lambda v_j - \lambda_j^3 \dot{\beta}_j \cdot y_j v_j \\
&\quad - i\lambda_j (\dot{\alpha}_j - 2\beta_j) \cdot \nabla v_j + \lambda_j^2 \left(\dot{\gamma}_j + \frac{1}{\lambda_j^2} - |\beta_j|^2 - \dot{\beta}_j \cdot \alpha_j \right) v_j \\
&\quad - \left[\phi_{|v_j|^2} + \sum_{k \neq j} \left(\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_k} \right)^2 \phi_{|v_k|^2} \left(t, \frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_k} y_j + \frac{\alpha_{jk}}{\lambda_k} \right) \right] v_j.
\end{aligned}$$

To be clear, the space variable of the right hand side is y_j unless explicitly written out.

2.1. Definition of approximate solutions. We need to approximate the last term of E_j . Since

$$\left(\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_k} \right)^2 \phi_{|v_k|^2} \left(t, \frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_k} y_j + \frac{\alpha_{jk}}{\lambda_k} \right) = -\frac{\lambda_j^2}{4\pi \lambda_k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|v_k(t, \xi)|^2}{|\lambda_j y_j + \alpha_{jk} - \lambda_k \xi|} d\xi,$$

we consider the Taylor expansion

$$\frac{1}{|\alpha - \zeta|} = \sum_{n=1}^N F_n(\alpha, \zeta) + O\left(\frac{|\zeta|^N}{|\alpha|^{N+1}}\right) \quad \text{as } \zeta \rightarrow 0,$$

where $F_n(\alpha, \zeta)$ is homogeneous of degree $-n$ in α and of degree $n - 1$ in ζ . We define the approximation to be

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{|v_k|^2}^{(N)}(t, y_j) &:= \sum_{n=1}^N \psi_{|v_k|^2}^{(n)}(t, y_j) \\ &:= \sum_{n=1}^N -\frac{\lambda_j^2}{4\pi\lambda_k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v_k(t, \xi)|^2 F_n(\alpha_{jk}, \lambda_k \xi - \lambda_j y_j) d\xi.\end{aligned}$$

Explicit formulae for the first few terms are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\psi_{|v_k|^2}^{(1)}(t, y_j) &= -\frac{\lambda_j^2}{4\pi\lambda_k|\alpha_{jk}|} \int |v_k(t, \xi)|^2 d\xi, \\ \psi_{|v_k|^2}^{(2)}(t, y_j) &= -\frac{\lambda_j^2}{4\pi\lambda_k|\alpha_{jk}|^3} \int \left(\lambda_k(\alpha_{jk} \cdot \xi) |v_k(t, \xi)|^2 - \lambda_j(y_j \cdot \alpha_{jk}) |v_k(t, \xi)|^2 \right) d\xi.\end{aligned}$$

We will need to take $v_k = Q$. In this case we denote $\psi_{|v_k|^2}^{(n)}$ by $\psi_{Q^2, k}^{(n)}$. Namely,

$$\psi_{Q^2, k}^{(n)}(y_j) = -\frac{\lambda_j^2}{4\pi\lambda_k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} Q^2(\xi) F_n(\alpha_{jk}, \lambda_k \xi - \lambda_j y_j) d\xi.$$

We shall let v_j vary in N , and we also assume v_j depends on time only through the parameters $t \mapsto P(t)$, which means $v_j(t, y_j) = V_j^{(N)}(P(t), y_j)$ for some $V_j^{(N)}$.

Define

$$(2.2) \quad R_g^{(N)}(t, x) := \sum_{j=1}^m R_{j, g}^{(N)}(t, x) := \sum_{j=1}^m g_j V_j^{(N)}(P(t), x).$$

Let us omit the subscript g of $R^{(N)}$ for now. We have

$$\begin{aligned}(2.3) \quad & i\partial_t R^{(N)} + \Delta R^{(N)} - \phi_{|R^{(N)}|^2} R^{(N)} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{\lambda_j^4} E_j^{(N)}(t, y_j) e^{-i\gamma_j + i\beta_j \cdot x} - \sum_{k \neq j} \phi_{\operatorname{Re}(R_k^{(N)} \overline{R_j^{(N)}})} R^{(N)} \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{\lambda_j^4} \sum_{k \neq j} \left[\phi_{|V_k^{(N)}|^2} - \left(\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_k} \right)^2 \phi_{|V_k^{(N)}|^2} \left(P(t), \frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_k} y_j + \frac{\alpha_{jk}}{\lambda_k} \right) \right] V_j^{(N)} e^{-i\gamma_j + i\beta_j \cdot x},\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}E_j^{(N)} &= i\lambda_j^2 \partial_t V_j^{(N)} + \Delta V_j^{(N)} - V_j^{(N)} - i\lambda_j \dot{\lambda}_j \Lambda V_j^{(N)} - \lambda_j^3 \dot{\beta}_j \cdot y_j V_j^{(N)} \\ &- i\lambda_j (\dot{\alpha}_j - 2\beta_j) \nabla V_j^{(N)} + \lambda_j^2 \left(\dot{\gamma}_j + \frac{1}{\lambda_j^2} - |\beta_j|^2 - \dot{\beta}_j \cdot \alpha_j \right) V_j^{(N)} \\ &- \left(\phi_{|V_j^{(N)}|^2} + \sum_{k \neq j} \phi_{|V_k^{(N)}|^2} \right) V_j^{(N)}.\end{aligned}$$

For functions $M_j^{(N)}(P)$ and $B_j^{(N)}(P)$ of the parameters, we can decompose

$$E_j^{(N)} = \tilde{E}_j^{(N)} + S_j^{(N)},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{E}_j^{(N)}(t, y_j) = & \Delta V_j^{(N)} - V_j^{(N)} - \phi_{|V_j^{(N)}|^2} V_j^{(N)} - \sum_{k \neq j} \phi_{|V_k^{(N)}|^2} V_j^{(N)} \\
& - i\lambda_j M_j^{(N)} \Lambda V_j^{(N)} - \lambda_j^3 B_j^{(N)} \cdot y_j V_j^{(N)} \\
& + i\lambda_j^2 \sum_{k=1}^m \left(\frac{\partial V_j^{(N)}}{\partial \alpha_k} \cdot 2\beta_k + \frac{\partial V_j^{(N)}}{\partial \beta_k} \cdot B_k^{(N)} + \frac{\partial V_j^{(N)}}{\partial \lambda_k} M_k^{(N)} \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
S_j^{(N)}(t, x) = & -i\lambda_j (\dot{\alpha}_j - 2\beta_j) \nabla V_j^{(N)} - \lambda_j^3 (\dot{\beta}_j - B_j^{(N)}) \cdot y_j V_j^{(N)} \\
& - i\lambda_j (\dot{\lambda}_j - M_j^{(N)}) \Lambda V_j^{(N)} + \lambda_j^2 \left(\dot{\gamma}_j + \frac{1}{\lambda_j^2} - |\beta_j|^2 - \dot{\beta}_j \cdot \alpha_j \right) V_j^{(N)} \\
& + i\lambda_j^2 \sum_{k=1}^m \left[\frac{\partial V_j^{(N)}}{\partial \alpha_k} \cdot (\dot{\alpha}_k - 2\beta_k) + \frac{\partial V_j^{(N)}}{\partial \beta_k} \cdot (\dot{\beta}_k - B_k^{(N)}) \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \frac{\partial V_j^{(N)}}{\partial \lambda_k} (\dot{\lambda}_k - M_k^{(N)}) \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

Note that $\tilde{E}_j^{(N)}$ is set to be a function of y_j instead of x . This is to align with a later statement. It does not matter whether $S_j^{(N)}$ is a function of y_j or x , but we will let it be a function of x for preciseness.

Next we show that we can choose $V_j^{(N)}$, $M_j^{(N)}$ and $B_j^{(N)}$ so that $\tilde{E}_j^{(N)}$ is small. This smallness will be a result of homogeneity, so we give the following definition.

Definition (Admissible functions).

Recalling (1.5), let Ω denote the space of non-collision positions:

$$\Omega := \left\{ P = (\alpha, \beta, \lambda) \in \mathbb{R}^{3m} \times \mathbb{R}^{3m} \times \mathbb{R}_+^m \mid \alpha_j \neq \alpha_k, \forall j \neq k \right\}.$$

(1) Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Define S_n to be the set of functions $\sigma : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that is homogeneous in α of degree $-n$ and is a finite sum of

$$c \prod_{j \neq k} (\alpha_j - \alpha_k)^{p_{jk}} |\alpha_j - \alpha_k|^{-q_{jk}} \prod_{j=1}^m \beta_j^{k_j} \lambda_j^{l_j},$$

where $c \in \mathbb{C}$, $p_{jk} \in \mathbb{N}^3$, $q_{jk} \in \mathbb{N}$, $k_j \in \mathbb{N}^3$, $l_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $|p_{jk}| \leq q_{jk}$.

(2) We say a function $u : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is **admissible** if u is a finite sum of

$$\sigma(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m) \tau(x),$$

where $\sigma \in S_n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\tau \in C^\infty$ satisfies

$$|\nabla^k \tau(x)| \leq e^{-c_k |x|}, \quad \forall k \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

If n is the same for all addends, then we say u is admissible of degree n . Otherwise, taking n as the minimal one among all addends, we say u is admissible of degree $\geq n$.

Here are some properties of admissible functions.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ and u, v be admissible of degree n, m , respectively. Then*

- (1) $\forall j$, $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \alpha_j}$ is admissible of degree $1 + n$, and $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \beta_j}, \frac{\partial u}{\partial \lambda_j}$ are admissible of degree n .
- (2) uv is admissible of degree $n + m$;
- (3) $\phi_u v$ is admissible of degree $n + m$;
- (4) $\forall k \geq 1$, $\psi_u^{(k)} v$ is admissible of degree $k + n + m$;
- (5) $\forall N \geq 1$, $\phi_u^{(N)} v$ is admissible of degree $\geq 1 + n + m$;
- (6) $\exists c > 0$ such that for any compact set $K \subset \mathbb{R}^{3m} \times \mathbb{R}_+^m$, $\exists C_K > 0$ such that

$$(2.6) \quad |u(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, x)| \leq \frac{C_K}{a^n} e^{-c|x|}, \quad \forall (\beta, \gamma) \in K,$$

where $a = \min_{j \neq k} |\alpha_j - \alpha_k|$ as in (1.5).

The proof of these properties is direct so we shall omit it. The point of considering admissible functions is that according to (6), they decay rapidly when n is large.

Consider the linearized operators L_+, L_- around Q defined by

$$L_+ f := -\Delta f + f + \phi_{Q^2} f + 2\phi_{Qf} Q, \quad L_- f := -\Delta f + f + \phi_Q f.$$

By Theorem 4 in [11], $\{\partial_1 Q, \partial_2 Q, \partial_3 Q\}$ spans $\ker L_+$, and $\{Q\}$ spans $\ker L_-$. Moreover, Lemma 2.4 in [10] asserts that when restricted to admissible functions, $\ker(L_\pm)^\perp$ is exactly the range of L_\pm . A precise statement is as follows.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and f be real-valued and admissible of degree n .*

- (1) *If $(f, \nabla Q) = 0$, then $L_+ u = f$ has a real-valued solution u admissible of degree n .*
- (2) *If $(f, Q) = 0$, then $L_- u = f$ has a real-valued solution u admissible of degree n .*

Furthermore, if f is radial, then u can be chosen to be radial.

The following proposition constructs the approximate solutions.

Proposition 2.1. *For $n \geq 1$ and $1 \leq j \leq m$, there exist real-valued $m_j^{(n)}, b_j^{(n)} \in S_n$ and $T_j^{(n)}$ that is admissible of degree n such that: for any $N \geq 1$, if setting*

$$V_j^{(N)}(t, y_j) = Q(y_j) + \sum_{n=1}^N T_j^{(n)}(P(t), y_j),$$

$$M_j^{(N)}(P) = \sum_{n=1}^N m_j^{(n)}(P) \quad \text{and} \quad B_j^{(N)}(P) = \sum_{n=1}^N b_j^{(n)}(P),$$

then $\tilde{E}_j^{(N)}$ defined by (2.4) is admissible of degree $\geq N + 1$.

Proof. We construct the functions by induction in N .

For $N = 1$, we take $m_j^{(1)} = b_j^{(1)} = 0$. Suppose $T_j^{(1)}$ is admissible of degree 1 and real-valued. By Lemma 2.1 and (1.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{E}_j^{(1)} &= \Delta V_j^{(1)} - V_j^{(1)} + i\lambda_j^2 \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\partial V_j^{(1)}}{\partial \alpha_k} \cdot 2\beta_k - \left(\phi_{|V_j^{(1)}|^2} + \sum_{k \neq j} \psi_{|V_k^{(1)}|^2} \right) V_j^{(1)} \\ &= \Delta T_j^{(1)} - T_j^{(1)} - \phi_{Q^2} T_j^{(1)} - 2\phi_{QT_j^{(1)}} Q - \sum_{k \neq j} \psi_{Q^2, k} Q + \text{error} \\ &= -L_+ T_j^{(1)} + \sum_{k \neq j} \frac{\lambda_j^2 \|Q\|_{L^2}^2}{4\pi \lambda_k |\alpha_{jk}|} Q + \text{error}, \end{aligned}$$

where *error* is admissible of degree ≥ 2 . Since $L_+(\Lambda Q) = -2Q$, we may take

$$(2.7) \quad T_j^{(1)} = - \sum_{k \neq j} \frac{\lambda_j^2 \|Q\|_{L^2}^2}{8\pi \lambda_k |\alpha_{jk}|} \Lambda Q$$

to cancel the first two terms. This proves the conclusion when $N = 1$.

Next, we construct $m_j^{(N+1)}, b_j^{(N+1)}$ and $T_j^{(N+1)}$ from the first N terms. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{E}_j^{(N+1)} - \tilde{E}_j^{(N)} &= \Delta T_j^{(N+1)} - T_j^{(N+1)} - i\lambda_j m_j^{(N+1)} \Lambda Q - \lambda_j^3 b_j^{(N+1)} \cdot y_j Q \\ &\quad - \phi_{Q^2} T_j^{(N+1)} - 2\phi_{\operatorname{Re}(QT_j^{(N+1)})} Q - \sum_{k \neq j} \psi_{Q^2, k}^{(N+1)} Q + \text{error} \\ &= - \left(L_+ X_j^{(N+1)} + \lambda_j^3 b_j^{(N+1)} \cdot y_j Q + \sum_{k \neq j} \psi_{Q^2, k}^{(N+1)} Q \right) \\ &\quad - i \left(L_- Y_j^{(N+1)} + \lambda_j m_j^{(N+1)} \Lambda Q \right) + \text{error}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$X_j^{(N+1)} = \operatorname{Re} T_j^{(N+1)}, \quad Y_j^{(N+1)} = \operatorname{Im} T_j^{(N+1)}, \quad \text{error} = I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= -i\lambda_j m_j^{(N+1)} \Lambda \left(V_j^{(N+1)} - Q \right) - i\lambda_j M_j^{(N)} \Lambda T_j^{(N+1)} \\ &\quad - \lambda_j^3 b_j^{(N+1)} \cdot y_j \left(V_j^{(N+1)} - Q \right) - \lambda_j^3 B_j^{(N)} \cdot y_j T_j^{(N+1)}, \\ I_2 &= -\phi_{|V_j^{(N)}|^2 - Q^2} T_j^{(N+1)} - 2\phi_{\operatorname{Re}(V_j^{(N)} \overline{T_j^{(N+1)}})} \left(V_j^{(N+1)} - Q \right) \\ &\quad - 2\phi_{\operatorname{Re}((V_j^{(N)} - Q) \overline{T_j^{(N+1)}})} Q - \phi_{|T_j^{(N+1)}|^2} V_j^{(N+1)}, \\ I_3 &= - \sum_{k \neq j} \left(\psi_{|V_k^{(N)}|^2 - Q^2} V_j^{(N+1)} + \psi_{Q^2, k}^{(N+1)} \left(V_j^{(N+1)} - Q \right) + \phi_{|V_k^{(N)}|^2} T_j^{(N+1)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2\phi_{\operatorname{Re}(V_k^{(N)} \overline{T_k^{(N+1)}})} V_j^{(N+1)} + \phi_{|T_k^{(N+1)}|^2} V_j^{(N+1)} \right), \\ I_4 &= i\lambda_j^2 \sum_{k=1}^m \left(\frac{\partial T_j^{(N+1)}}{\partial \alpha_k} \cdot 2\beta_k + \frac{\partial T_j^{(N+1)}}{\partial \beta_k} \cdot B_k^{(N)} + \frac{\partial V_j^{(N+1)}}{\partial \beta_k} \cdot b_k^{(N+1)} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{\partial T_j^{(N+1)}}{\partial \lambda_k} \cdot M_k^{(N)} + \frac{\partial V_j^{(N+1)}}{\partial \lambda_k} \cdot m_k^{(N+1)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Assume $m_j^{(N+1)}, b_j^{(N+1)} \in S_{N+1}$ and $T_j^{(N+1)}$ is admissible of degree $N + 1$. Using Lemma 2.1, we see *error* is admissible of degree $\geq N + 2$. Thus it suffices to require

$$\begin{cases} L_+ X_j^{(N+1)} = -\lambda_j^3 b_j^{(N+1)} \cdot y_j Q - \sum_{k \neq j} \psi_{Q^2, k}^{(N+1)} Q + \operatorname{Re} \hat{E}_j^{(N)}, \\ L_- Y_j^{(N+1)} = -\lambda_j m_j^{(N+1)} \Lambda Q + \operatorname{Im} \hat{E}_j^{(N)}, \end{cases}$$

where $\hat{E}_j^{(N)}$ is the sum of terms in $\tilde{E}_j^{(N)}$ that are admissible of degree $N + 1$.

Recall that we let the right hand sides be functions of y_j , so they are admissible of degree $N + 1$. By Lemma 2.2, it suffices to require

$$\begin{cases} \left(\lambda_j^3 b_j^{(N+1)} \cdot y_j Q + \sum_{k \neq j} \psi_{Q^2, k}^{(N+1)} Q - \operatorname{Re} \hat{E}_j^{(N)}, \nabla Q \right) = 0, \\ \left(\lambda_j m_j^{(N+1)} \Lambda Q - \operatorname{Im} \hat{E}_j^{(N)}, Q \right) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Such $b_j^{(N+1)}$ and $m_j^{(N+1)}$ exist because $(y_j Q, \nabla Q) \neq 0$ and $(\Lambda Q, Q) \neq 0$. \square

2.2. Accuracy of approximate solutions. We verify the accuracy of $R^{(N)}$ as an approximate solution where $V_j^{(N)}$ is determined in Proposition 2.1. We start with some estimates following from the definition of admissible functions.

Let $\tilde{\Omega} = \Omega \times (\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z})^m$ denote the space of modulation parameters and $g \in \tilde{\Omega}$. If K is a compact set in $\mathbb{R}^{3m} \times \mathbb{R}_+^m$ and $(\beta, \gamma) \in K$, then by (1.4) and (2.6), we have

$$(2.8) \quad |V_j^{(N)}| \leq C e^{-c|y_j|} + C_N a^{-1} e^{-c_N |y_j|},$$

which also yields

$$(2.9) \quad |R_{j, g}^{(N)}| \leq C e^{-c|x-\alpha_j|} + C_N a^{-1} e^{-c_N |x-\alpha_j|}.$$

By definition, $R_g^{(N)}$ is C^1 in g . Thus, if $g' \in \Omega$ and $(\beta', \gamma') \in K$, then

$$(2.10) \quad \left\| R_g^{(N)} - R_{g'}^{(N)} \right\|_{H^1} \leq C_N \|g - g'\|.$$

Finally, since $m_j^{(1)} = b_j^{(1)} = 0$, we have

$$(2.11) \quad |M_j^{(N)}| \leq C a^{-2} + C_N a^{-3}, \quad |B_j^{(N)}| \leq C a^{-2} + C_N a^{-3}.$$

Here, the capital constants depend on K , while the little ones do not.

The next lemma consists of two localization properties. The first item shows that the cross term about R_j in (2.3) does not matter. The second item will be used later.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $p \neq q \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and u, v be functions such that*

$$|u(x)| \leq e^{-|x-p|}, \quad |v(x)| \leq e^{-|x-q|}, \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Then there exist absolute constants $C, c > 0$ such that:

- (1) $\|\phi_{uv}\|_{L^\infty} \leq C e^{-c|p-q|}$;
- (2) *If $f \in L^2$, then $\|\phi_{f_u} f v\|_{L^1} \leq C \max \left\{ \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}|p-q|}}{|p-q|^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \frac{1}{|p-q|} \right\} \|f\|_{L^2}^2$.*

Proof.

(1) Using the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality, we get $\|\phi_{uv}\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \|uv\|_{L^{3/2}}$. Note that either $|x-p| \geq \frac{1}{2}|p-q|$ or $|x-q| \geq \frac{1}{2}|p-q|$. In the first case, we use $|u(x)| \leq e^{-c|p-q|}$ and $\|v\|_{L^{3/2}} \leq C$ to conclude, and the second case is similar.

(2) We have

$$\|\phi_{f_u} f v\|_{L^1} \leq C \iint \frac{|f(x)||f(y)|}{|x-y|} e^{-|x-p|-|y-q|} dx dy$$

The integral on the region $|x-y| \geq \frac{1}{2}|p-q|$ is easily bounded by $\frac{C}{|p-q|} \|f\|_{L^2}^2$.

If $|x - y| < \frac{1}{2}|p - q|$, then $|x - p| + |y - q| \geq \frac{1}{2}|p - q| + \frac{1}{4}|x - p|$, so by Cauchy-Schwarz,

$$\begin{aligned} & \iint_{|x-y| < \frac{1}{2}|p-q|} \frac{|f(x)||f(y)|}{|x-y|} e^{-|x-p|-|y-q|} dx dy \\ & \leq e^{-\frac{1}{2}|p-q|} \int |f(x)| e^{-\frac{1}{4}|x-p|} \left(\int_{|y-x| \leq \frac{1}{2}|p-q|} \frac{|f(y)|}{|y-x|} dy \right) dx \\ & \leq C e^{-\frac{1}{2}|p-q|} |p-q|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{L^2} \int |f(x)| e^{-\frac{1}{4}|x-p|} dx \leq C \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}|p-q|}}{|p-q|^{\frac{1}{2}}} \|f\|_{L^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

We then obtain the conclusion. \square

The following is the main result in this subsection. It estimates the extent to which $R_g^{(N)}$, defined by (2.2), satisfies the Hartree equation (1.1).

Proposition 2.2. *Let $c_0, C_0 > 0$ and suppose $g \in C^1(\mathbb{R}_+, \tilde{\Omega})$ satisfies*

$$(2.12) \quad a \geq c_0, \quad |\beta| \leq C_0, \quad c_0 \leq \lambda_j \leq C_0.$$

Let $V_j^{(N)}, M_j^{(N)}$ and $B_j^{(N)}$ be as in Proposition 2.1, $R_g^{(N)}$ be defined by (2.2), and

$$(2.13) \quad \Psi^{(N)} = i\partial_t R_g^{(N)} + \Delta R_g^{(N)} - \phi_{|R_g^{(N)}|^2} R_g^{(N)} - \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{\lambda_j^4} S_j^{(N)} e^{-i\gamma_j + i\beta_j \cdot x}.$$

Then there exist $c, C > 0$ depending on c_0, C_0 and N such that

$$(2.14) \quad |\Psi^{(N)}(t, x)| \leq \frac{C}{a^{N+1}(t)} \max_j e^{-c|x - \alpha_j(t)|}.$$

Proof. For simplicity, we omit the superscript N and the subscript g .

By (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi &= \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{\lambda_j^4} \tilde{E}_j(t, y_j) e^{-i\gamma_j + i\beta_j \cdot x} + \sum_{j \neq k} \phi_{\text{Re}(R_j \overline{R_k})} R \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{\lambda_j^4} \sum_{k \neq j} \left[\phi_{|V_k|^2}^{(N)} - \left(\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_k} \right)^2 \phi_{|V_k|^2} \left(P(t), \frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_k} y_j + \frac{\alpha_{jk}}{\lambda_k} \right) \right] V_j e^{-i\gamma_j + i\beta_j \cdot x}. \end{aligned}$$

The first term is controlled using Proposition 2.1 and (2.6). The second term is controlled using Lemma 2.3 and (2.9). For the last term, we claim that

$$(2.15) \quad \left| \phi_{|V_k|^2}^{(N)} - \left(\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_k} \right)^2 \phi_{|V_k|^2} \left(\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_k} y_j + \frac{\alpha_{jk}}{\lambda_k} \right) \right| \leq \begin{cases} C(1 + |y_j|)^N, & \lambda_j |y_j| \geq \frac{|\alpha_{jk}|}{3}, \\ \frac{C(1 + |y_j|)^N}{|\alpha_{jk}|^{N+1}}, & \lambda_j |y_j| \leq \frac{|\alpha_{jk}|}{3}. \end{cases}$$

Since F_n is homogeneous of degree n in ζ , using (2.8) and the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality, we see the left hand side of (2.15) is always bounded by $C(1 + |y_j|)^N$, so we focus on

the case when $\lambda_j |y_j| \leq \frac{|\alpha_{jk}|}{3}$. We write

$$\begin{aligned} LHS &= \frac{\lambda_j^2}{4\pi\lambda_k} \int |V_k(\xi)|^2 \left| \frac{1}{\alpha_{jk} - \lambda_k \xi + \lambda_j y_j} - \sum_{n=1}^N F_n(\alpha_{jk}, \lambda_k \xi - \lambda_j y_j) \right| d\xi \\ &= \frac{\lambda_j^2}{4\pi\lambda_k} \left(\int_{\lambda_k |\xi| \geq \frac{|\alpha_{jk}|}{3}} + \int_{\lambda_k |\xi| \leq \frac{|\alpha_{jk}|}{3}} \right) \triangleq \frac{\lambda_j^2}{4\pi\lambda_k} (I_1 + I_2). \end{aligned}$$

By Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev, the definition of F_n and (2.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &\leq C \left\| V_k(\xi) \chi_{\{\lambda_k |\xi| \geq \frac{|\alpha_{jk}|}{3}\}} \right\|_{L^{8/3}}^2 + C \left\| |V_k(\xi)|^2 (1 + |\xi|)^N \chi_{\{\lambda_k |\xi| \geq \frac{|\alpha_{jk}|}{3}\}} \right\|_{L^1} \\ &\leq C e^{-c|\alpha_{jk}|} \leq \frac{C(1 + |y_j|)^N}{|\alpha_{jk}|^{N+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

By the Taylor formula,

$$\left| \frac{1}{|\alpha - \zeta|} - \sum_{n=1}^N F_n(\alpha, \zeta) \right| \leq C \frac{|\zeta|^N}{|\alpha|^{N+1}}, \quad \text{if } |\zeta| \leq \frac{|\alpha|}{3},$$

so by the assumption that $\lambda_j |y_j| \leq \frac{|\alpha_{jk}|}{3}$ and (2.8), we have

$$I_2 \leq \frac{C(1 + |y_j|)^N}{|\alpha_{jk}|^{N+1}} \int |V_k(\xi)|^2 (1 + |\xi|)^N d\xi \leq \frac{C(1 + |y_j|)^N}{|\alpha_{jk}|^{N+1}}.$$

Thus (2.15) holds. Note that this yields

$$(2.16) \quad \left| \phi_{|V_k|^2}^{(N)} - \left(\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_k} \right)^2 \phi_{|V_k|^2} \left(\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_k} y_j + \frac{\alpha_{jk}}{\lambda_k} \right) \right| \leq \frac{C(1 + |y_j|)^{2N}}{a^{N+1}}.$$

Then by (2.8), we can control the first term. \square

From the estimate (2.14), if N is large, then the error $\Psi^{(N)}$ will decay rapidly in time. This helps us overcome the long range effect of (1.1). This is also why we need to construct the approximate solution. From now on, the strategy becomes also similar to that of [17].

3. REDUCTION OF THE PROBLEM

Now we will focus on the hyperbolic case. But we may still state the result in a more general way, for instance writing $a(t)$ instead of t , so that it is easier to apply it to the other two cases.

In this section, we perform two steps of reduction of the hyperbolic problem.

3.1. Uniform estimates. Due to (2.13), we want $S_j^{(N)}$ to vanish. Thus we need the following ODE result.

Proposition 3.1. *Let P^∞ be a hyperbolic solution to (1.6) of the form (1.7), and $B_j^{(N)}, M_j^{(N)}$ be as determined in Proposition 2.1. Then there exist $T_0 = T_0(N) > 0$ and $P^{(N)} \in C^1([T_0, +\infty), \Omega)$ such that*

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{\alpha}_j^{(N)}(t) = 2\beta_j^{(N)}(t), \\ \dot{\beta}_j^{(N)}(t) = B_j^{(N)}(P^{(N)}(t)), \\ \dot{\lambda}_j^{(N)}(t) = M_j^{(N)}(P^{(N)}(t)), \end{cases} \quad \forall t \geq T_0$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad \left\| P^{(N)}(t) - P^\infty(t) \right\| \leq t^{-1/2}, \quad \forall t \geq T_0.$$

We need the exact expression of $b_j^{(2)}$. Since $T_j^{(1)}$ is real-valued, we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \hat{E}_j^{(1)} = -2\phi_{QT_j^{(1)}T_j^{(1)}} - \phi_{|T_j^{(1)}|^2Q} - \sum_{k \neq j} \left(2\psi_{QT_k^{(1)}}^{(1)}Q + \psi_{Q^2,kT_k^{(1)}}^{(1)} \right).$$

Since $T_j^{(1)}$ is even, by the explicit formula of $\psi^{(1)}$, $\operatorname{Re} \hat{E}_j^{(1)}$ is also even, and thus orthogonal to ∇Q . We then obtain by the proof of Proposition 2.1 that

$$\left(\lambda_j^3 b_j^{(2)} \cdot y_j Q + \sum_{k \neq j} \psi_{Q^2,k}^{(2)} Q, \nabla Q \right) = 0.$$

By the explicit formula of $\psi^{(2)}$ and using Q is even, we deduce

$$(3.3) \quad b_j^{(2)}(P) = - \sum_{k \neq j} \frac{\|Q\|_{L^2}^2 \alpha_{jk}}{4\pi \lambda_k |\alpha_{jk}|^3}.$$

Note that this is exactly the gravitational force acting on the j -th body. This explains the reason why we expect the m -body interaction and our choice of the coefficients. Also, (3.1) can be viewed as a perturbation of the m -body equation (1.6).

Proof of Proposition 3.1.

Let $\epsilon = \frac{1}{10}$ and $T_0 > 0$. Define the norm $\|\cdot\|_1$ of $P \in C([T_0, +\infty), \Omega)$ by

$$\|P\|_1 := \sum_{j=1}^m \sup_{t \geq T_0} \left(t^{1-3\epsilon} |\alpha_j(t)| + t^{2-2\epsilon} |\beta_j(t)| + t^{1-\epsilon} |\lambda_j(t)| \right).$$

Let $X = \left\{ P \in C([T_0, +\infty), \Omega) \mid \|P - P^\infty\|_1 \leq 1 \right\}$ and define $\Gamma P(t)$ by

$$\Gamma \alpha_j(t) = \alpha_j^\infty(t) + \int_t^\infty 2(\beta_j^\infty(\tau) - \beta_j(\tau)) d\tau,$$

$$\Gamma \beta_j(t) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \beta_j^\infty(t) - \int_t^\infty B_j^{(N)}(P(\tau)) d\tau,$$

$$\Gamma \lambda_j(t) = \lambda_j^\infty - \int_t^\infty M_j^{(N)}(P(\tau)) d\tau.$$

Because of the decay of a in t , we know $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \beta_j^\infty(t)$ does exist.

We claim that: if $T_0(N)$ is large enough, then Γ maps X into X , and for $P, P' \in X$, we have $\|\Gamma P - \Gamma P'\|_1 \leq \frac{1}{2} \|P - P'\|_1$.

Assume $P \in X$. Since P^∞ is hyperbolic, we have $a(t) \gtrsim t$. First,

$$|\Gamma \alpha_j(t) - \alpha_j^\infty(t)| \leq 2 \int_t^\infty |\beta_j(\tau) - \beta_j^\infty(\tau)| d\tau \leq 2 \int_t^\infty \tau^{2\epsilon-2} d\tau \leq Ct^{2\epsilon-1}.$$

Using $b_j^{(1)} = 0$ and $b_j^{(2)}(P^\infty(t)) = \dot{\beta}_j^\infty(t)$, which comes from (3.3), we have

$$|\Gamma \beta_j(t) - \beta_j^\infty(t)| \leq \int_t^\infty |b_j^{(2)}(P(\tau)) - b_j^{(2)}(P^\infty(\tau))| d\tau + \sum_{n=3}^N \int_t^\infty |b_j^{(n)}(P(\tau))| d\tau.$$

By the fundamental theorem of calculus, we have

$$|b_j^{(2)}(P(\tau)) - b_j^{(2)}(P^\infty(\tau))| \leq C \left(\frac{|\alpha - \alpha^\infty|}{a^3} + \frac{|\beta - \beta^\infty|}{a^2} + \frac{|\lambda - \lambda^\infty|}{a^2} \right) \leq C\tau^{\epsilon-3},$$

and thus, using $b_j^{(n)} \in S_n$, we get

$$|\Gamma\beta_j(t) - \beta_j^\infty(t)| \leq C \int_t^\infty \tau^{\epsilon-3} d\tau + C_N \sum_{n=3}^N \int_t^\infty \tau^{-n} d\tau \leq C_N t^{\epsilon-2}.$$

Using $m_j^{(1)} = 0$ and $m_j^{(n)} \in S_n$, we have

$$|\Gamma\lambda_j(t) - \lambda_j^\infty(t)| \leq \sum_{n=2}^N \int_t^\infty |m_j^{(n)}(P(\tau))| d\tau \leq C_N \sum_{n=2}^N \int_t^\infty \tau^{-n} d\tau \leq C_N t^{-1}.$$

Collecting the above estimates, we get $\|\Gamma P\|_1 \leq C_N T_0^{-\epsilon}$.

Thus for $T_0(N)$ large enough, we have $\Gamma : X \rightarrow X$. The contraction property can be checked in the same way. By the contraction mapping theorem, Γ has a unique fixed point in X . Taking this fixed point as $P^{(N)}$, then the requirements are satisfied. \square

From Proposition 2.2 and 3.1, we know $R_{g^{(N)}}^{(N)}$ is almost a solution of (1.1). We then reduce the hyperbolic case to the following uniform estimate with a bootstrap assumption.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $P^{(N)}$ be defined as in Proposition 3.1 and $\gamma_j^{(N)}(t)$ be such that*

$$\gamma_j^{(N)}(0) = 0, \quad \dot{\gamma}_j^{(N)}(t) = -\frac{1}{\lambda_j^{(N)}(t)^2} + |\beta_j^{(N)}(t)|^2 + \dot{\beta}_j^{(N)}(t) \cdot \alpha_j^{(N)}(t).$$

Let $T_n \rightarrow +\infty$ and u_n be the solution to

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{cases} i\partial_t u_n + \Delta u_n - \phi_{|u_n|^2} u_n = 0, \\ u_n(T_n, \cdot) = R_{g^{(N)}}^{(N)}(T_n, \cdot). \end{cases}$$

Then $\exists T_0 = T_0(N)$ such that for N large and $T_* \in [T_0, T_n]$, if

$$(3.5) \quad \left\| u_n(t) - R_{g^{(N)}}^{(N)}(t) \right\|_{H^1} \leq 2t^{-\frac{N}{9}}, \quad \forall n \geq 1, \quad \forall t \in [T_*, T_n],$$

then

$$\left\| u_n(t) - R_{g^{(N)}}^{(N)}(t) \right\|_{H^1} \leq t^{-\frac{N}{9}}, \quad \forall n \geq 1, \quad \forall t \in [T_*, T_n].$$

Proof of the hyperbolic case by Proposition 3.2.

Fix a large N such that the conclusion holds. By the standard bootstrap argument, we know (3.5) actually holds with $T_* = T_0$. Using (2.9), we know $\exists C > 0$ such that

$$(3.6) \quad \|u_n(t)\|_{H^1} \leq C, \quad \forall n \geq 1, \quad \forall t \in [T_0, T_n].$$

Also, for any $\delta > 0$, there exist $r = r(\delta) > 0$ and $t_0 = t_0(\delta) > T_0$ such that

$$\int_{|x|>r} |u_n(t_0, x)|^2 dx < \delta, \quad \forall n \geq 1.$$

We claim that there exists $r' = r'(\delta) > 0$ such that

$$(3.7) \quad \int_{|x|>r'} |u_n(T_0, x)|^2 dx < 2\delta, \quad \forall n \geq 1.$$

To prove (3.7), let $\Phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be a cutoff such that

$$0 \leq \Phi \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq \Phi' \leq 2, \quad \Phi(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \leq 0, \\ 1, & x \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Let $L > 0$ and define $z(t) = \int |u_n(t, x)|^2 \Phi\left(\frac{|x| - r}{L}\right) dx$. Then $z(t_0) \leq \delta$. Since

$$z'(t) = -2\text{Im} \int \Delta u_n \overline{u_n} \Phi\left(\frac{|x| - r}{L}\right) dx = \frac{2}{L} \text{Im} \int \nabla u_n \overline{u_n} \cdot \frac{x}{|x|} \Phi'\left(\frac{|x| - r}{L}\right) dx,$$

we have $|z'(t)| \leq \frac{4}{L} \|u_n\|_{H^1}^2$. Integrating in t and using (3.6), we get

$$\int_{|x| > L+r} |u_n(T_0, x)|^2 dx \leq z(T_0) \leq \frac{4C^2(t_0 - T_0)}{L} + \delta.$$

We deduce (3.7) by taking $L = L(\delta)$ large enough and $r' = L + r$.

Now, (3.6) and (3.7) imply the existence of a subsequence $u_{n_k}(T_0)$ of $u_n(T_0)$ that converges in L^2 to some U_0 and $U_0 \in H^1$. Let U be the solution to

$$\begin{cases} i\partial_t U + \Delta U - \phi_{|U|^2} U = 0, \\ U(T_0) = U_0. \end{cases}$$

By the well-posedness of (1.1), we have $u_{n_k}(t) \rightarrow U(t)$ in L^2 for any $t \geq T_0$. Thanks to (3.6), by passing to subsequence, we may assume $u_{n_k}(t) \rightarrow U(t)$ in H^1 . Using (3.5) and Fatou's lemma, we deduce

$$\left\| U(t) - R_{g^{(N)}}^{(N)}(t) \right\|_{H^1} \leq 2t^{-\frac{N}{9}}, \quad \forall t \geq T_0.$$

Let $\gamma^\infty(t)$ be such that

$$\gamma_j^\infty(0) = 0, \quad \dot{\gamma}_j^\infty(t) = -\frac{1}{(\lambda_j^\infty)^2} + |\beta_j^\infty(t)|^2 + \dot{\beta}_j^\infty(t) \cdot \alpha_j^\infty(t).$$

Then by (2.10), (3.2) and (2.6), we obtain the conclusion of the hyperbolic case. \square

3.2. Modulation estimates. We want to find a family of modulation parameters α, β, λ and γ such that $R_g^{(N)}$ is an orthogonal projection of u_n . More precisely, we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $N, n \geq 1$. Then there exist $T_0 = T_0(N) > 0$ and a unique modulation parameter $g \in C^1([T_0, +\infty), \tilde{\Omega})$ such that: if*

$$\varepsilon(t, x) = u_n(t, x) - R_g^{(N)}(t, x),$$

then for $t \geq T_0$ and $1 \leq j \leq m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Re}\left(\varepsilon(t), g_j V_j^{(N)}\right) &= \text{Re}\left(\varepsilon(t), g_j (y_j V_j^{(N)})\right) \\ (3.8) \quad &= \text{Im}\left(\varepsilon(t), g_j (\Delta V_j^{(N)})\right) = \text{Im}\left(\varepsilon(t), g_j (\nabla V_j^{(N)})\right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, we have

$$(3.9) \quad g(T_n) = g^{(N)}(T_n), \quad \varepsilon(T_n) = 0.$$

To prove the above result, we first work on a time-independent version.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $N \geq 1$ and $K \subset \mathbb{R}_+^m$ be compact. Then there exist $\delta, A > 0$ such that: if $g^0 \in \tilde{\Omega}$ and $u \in H^1$ satisfy $a^0 > A$, $\lambda^0 \in K$ and $\|u - R_{g^0}^{(N)}\|_{H^1} < \delta$, then there exists a unique parameter $g \in \tilde{\Omega}$ that C^1 -depends on u and*

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}\left(u - R_g^{(N)}, g_j V_j^{(N)}\right) &= \operatorname{Re}\left(u - R_g^{(N)}, g_j (y_j V_j^{(N)})\right) \\ &= \operatorname{Im}\left(u - R_g^{(N)}, g_j (\Lambda V_j^{(N)})\right) = \operatorname{Im}\left(u - R_g^{(N)}, g_j (\nabla V_j^{(N)})\right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $p = (g, u)$ and $\varepsilon(p) = u - R_g^{(N)}$. Set $u_0 = R_{g^0}^{(N)}$ and $p_0 = (g_0, u_0)$. Define

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_j^1(p) &= \operatorname{Re}\left(\varepsilon(p), g_j V_j^{(N)}\right), \quad \rho_j^2(p) = \operatorname{Re}\left(\varepsilon(p), g_j (y_j V_j^{(N)})\right), \\ \rho_j^3(p) &= \operatorname{Im}\left(\varepsilon(p), g_j (\Lambda V_j^{(N)})\right), \quad \rho_j^4(p) = \operatorname{Im}\left(\varepsilon(p), g_j (\nabla V_j^{(N)})\right). \end{aligned}$$

Then $\varepsilon(p_0) = 0$ and $\rho_j^\nu(p_0) = 0$ for $\nu = 1, 2, 3, 4$.

We would like to compute $\frac{\partial \rho_j^\nu}{\partial g}(p_0)$. Since $\varepsilon(p_0) = 0$, the partial derivative of ρ_j^ν evaluated at p_0 only falls on ε . We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial \alpha_j} &= -\frac{1}{\lambda_j} g_j (\nabla V_j^{(N)}) + g_j \left(\frac{\partial V_j^{(N)}}{\partial \alpha_j} \right), \\ \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial \beta_j} &= i \alpha_j g_j V_j^{(N)} + i \lambda_j g_j (y_j V_j^{(N)}) + g_j \left(\frac{\partial V_j^{(N)}}{\partial \beta_j} \right), \\ \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial \lambda_j} &= g_j (\Lambda V_j^{(N)}) + g_j \left(\frac{\partial V_j^{(N)}}{\partial \lambda_j} \right), \\ \frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial \gamma_j} &= -i g_j V_j^{(N)}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.6), (2.8) and that Q is real and even, we can represent $\frac{\partial \rho_j^\nu}{\partial g}(p_0)$ by

	1	2	3	4
α	0	1	0	0
β	0	0	*	1
λ	1	0	0	0
γ	0	0	1	0

where 0, for instance the $(\alpha, 1)$ entry, represents

$$\frac{\partial \rho_j^1}{\partial \alpha_k}(p_0) = o(1), \quad \forall j, k,$$

while 1, for instance the $(\alpha, 2)$ entry, represents

$$\frac{\partial \rho_j^2}{\partial \alpha_k}(p_0) = \begin{cases} o(1), & j \neq k, \\ c_j + o(1), & j = k. \end{cases}$$

Here c_j is invertible and independent of a , and $o(1)$ means goes to 0 as $a \rightarrow +\infty$.

Therefore, for A large enough, $\frac{\partial \rho_j^\nu}{\partial g}(p_0)$ is an invertible matrix. Then we can conclude by the implicit function theorem. The last comment is that $g \in \tilde{\Omega}$ because g is closed to $g^{(N)}$, which means we have $a > \frac{A}{2}$ when δ is small. \square

Proof of Lemma 3.1.

By (3.5), for $T_0(N)$ large enough and δ, A determined in Lemma 3.2, if $t \geq T_0$, then $a^{(N)}(t) > A$ and $\|u_n(t) - R_{g^{(N)}}^{(N)}(t)\|_{H^1} < \delta$. By Lemma 3.2, there exists a unique $g(t) \in \tilde{\Omega}$ such that (3.8) holds. Moreover, $g \in C^1$ because g is C^1 in u_n and u_n is C^1 in t . \square

It follows from the implicit function theorem that g is closed to $g^{(N)}$. But to prove Proposition 3.2, we need a quantitative estimate of $g - g^{(N)}$ and ε .

Proposition 3.3. *For N and $T_0 = T_0(N)$ large enough, $\forall n \geq 1$, $T_* \in [T_0, T_n]$, if*

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{cases} \|\varepsilon(t)\|_{H^1} \leq t^{-\frac{N}{4}}, \\ \sum_{j=1}^m \left| \lambda_j(t) - \lambda_j^{(N)}(t) \right| + \left| \beta_j(t) - \beta_j^{(N)}(t) \right| \leq t^{-1-\frac{N}{8}}, \\ \sum_{j=1}^m \left| \gamma_j(t) - \gamma_j^{(N)}(t) \right| + \left| \alpha_j(t) - \alpha_j^{(N)}(t) \right| \leq t^{-\frac{N}{8}}, \end{cases} \quad \forall t \in [T_*, T_n],$$

then

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{cases} \|\varepsilon(t)\|_{H^1} \leq \frac{1}{2}t^{-\frac{N}{4}}, \\ \sum_{j=1}^m \left| \lambda_j(t) - \lambda_j^{(N)}(t) \right| + \left| \beta_j(t) - \beta_j^{(N)}(t) \right| \leq \frac{1}{2}t^{-1-\frac{N}{8}}, \\ \sum_{j=1}^m \left| \gamma_j(t) - \gamma_j^{(N)}(t) \right| + \left| \alpha_j(t) - \alpha_j^{(N)}(t) \right| \leq \frac{1}{2}t^{-\frac{N}{8}}, \end{cases} \quad \forall t \in [T_*, T_n].$$

Proof of Proposition 3.2 by Proposition 3.3.

As the left hand sides are continuous in t , by a bootstrap argument, we know (3.10) actually holds for any $t \in [T_0, T_n]$. Then by (2.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n(t) - R_{g^{(N)}}^{(N)}\|_{H^1} &\leq \|u_n(t) - R_g^{(N)}\|_{H^1} + \|R_g^{(N)} - R_{g^{(N)}}^{(N)}\|_{H^1} \\ &\leq \|\varepsilon(t)\|_{H^1} + C_N \|g - g^{(N)}\| \leq t^{-\frac{N}{4}} + C_N t^{-\frac{N}{8}} \leq t^{-\frac{N}{9}} \end{aligned}$$

when $T_0(N)$ is large enough. This finishes the proof of Proposition 3.2. \square

So far, we have reduced the hyperbolic case to Proposition 3.3.

4. ESTIMATES OF THE MODULATION

To simplify notations, we write $R_j = R_{j,g}^{(N)}$, $R = R_g^{(N)}$, $M_j = M_j^{(N)}$, $B_j = B_j^{(N)}$, $V_j = V_j^{(N)}$, $S_j = S_j^{(N)}$ and $\Psi = \Psi^{(N)}$. But we will make clear whether a constant depends on N .

By (3.4) and (2.13), we have

$$(4.1) \quad i\partial_t \varepsilon + \Delta \varepsilon - 2\phi_{\text{Re}(\varepsilon \bar{R})} R - \phi_{|R|^2} \varepsilon = \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon) - \Psi - \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{\lambda_j^4} S_j(t, x) e^{-i\gamma_j + i\beta_j \cdot x},$$

where

$$\mathcal{N}(\varepsilon) = 2\phi_{\text{Re}(\varepsilon \bar{R})} \varepsilon + \phi_{|\varepsilon|^2} R + \phi_{|\varepsilon|^2} \varepsilon.$$

By the Sobolev inequality and the Hardy-Littlewood-Sobolev inequality, we have

$$(4.2) \quad \|\mathcal{N}(\varepsilon)\|_{L^2} \leq C_N \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2.$$

By (1.7), (3.2), (3.10) and (2.11), we have the following asymptotic properties:

$$(4.3) \quad a(t) \sim t, \quad |\alpha_j| \lesssim t, \quad |\beta_j| \lesssim 1, \quad |\dot{\beta}_j| \lesssim \frac{1}{t^2}, \quad \lambda_j \sim 1, \quad |\dot{\lambda}_j| \lesssim \frac{1}{t^2}.$$

In particular, (2.12) is satisfied.

4.1. Control of the parameters. In this subsection, we aim at proving the second and the third line of (3.11).

Define the modulation error

$$\begin{aligned} Mod(t) = \sum_{j=1}^m & \left(\left| \dot{\alpha}_j(t) - 2\beta_j(t) \right| + \left| \dot{\beta}_j(t) - B_j(P(t)) \right| + \left| \dot{\lambda}_j(t) - M_j(P(t)) \right| \right. \\ & \left. + \left| \dot{\gamma}_j(t) + \frac{1}{\lambda_j^2(t)} - |\beta_j(t)|^2 - \dot{\beta}_j(t) \cdot \alpha_j(t) \right| \right). \end{aligned}$$

First notice that S_j is controlled by Mod :

$$(4.4) \quad |S_j(t, x)| \leq C_N Mod(t) e^{-c_N |x - \alpha_j(t)|}.$$

Let $\theta(t, x)$ be a function such that

$$(4.5) \quad |\theta(t, x)| \leq C e^{-c|x|} + C_N a^{-1} e^{-c_N |x|}, \quad \forall t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3,$$

which corresponds to (2.8), (2.9), and let $\theta_j = g_j \theta$.

Using (4.1) and integration by parts, we can compute

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \text{Im} \int \varepsilon \bar{\theta}_j &= \text{Re} \int \varepsilon \left(\overline{i\partial_t \theta_j + \Delta \theta_j - 2\phi_{\text{Re}(\theta_j \bar{R})} R - \phi_{|R|^2} \theta_j} \right) \\ &+ \text{Re} \int (\Psi - \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon)) \bar{\theta}_j + \sum_{j=1}^m \text{Re} \int \frac{1}{\lambda_j^4} S_j(t, x) e^{-i\gamma_j + i\beta_j \cdot x} \bar{\theta}_j. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.1), (2.11) and (4.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} i\partial_t \theta_j + \Delta \theta_j &= \frac{1}{\lambda_j^4} (i\lambda_j^2 \partial_t \theta + \Delta \theta - \theta) e^{-i\gamma_j + i\beta_j \cdot x} \\ &+ O\left(\frac{1}{a^2} + \frac{C_N}{a^3} + Mod\right) \left(e^{-c|x - \alpha_j|} + \frac{C_N}{a} e^{-c_N |x - \alpha_j|} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.3, (2.9) and (4.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{\text{Re}(\theta_j \bar{R})} R &= \frac{1}{\lambda_j^4} \phi_{\text{Re}(\theta \bar{V}_j)} V_j e^{-i\gamma_j + i\beta_j \cdot x} + \phi_{\text{Re}(\theta_j \bar{R}_j)} \sum_{k \neq j} R_k \\ &+ O_N \left(e^{-c_N a} \max_k e^{-c_N |x - \alpha_k|} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.3, (2.9), (4.5), (2.16) and the explicit formula of $\psi^{(2)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{|R|^2} \theta_j &= \frac{1}{\lambda_j^4} \left(\phi_{|V_j|^2} + \sum_{k \neq j} \psi_{|V_k|^2}^{(1)} \right) \theta e^{-i\gamma_j + i\beta_j \cdot x} + O\left(\frac{1}{a^2} e^{-c|x - \alpha_j|}\right) \\ &+ O_N \left((e^{-c_N a} + a^{-3}) e^{-c_N |x - \alpha_j|} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We collect the terms of degree 1 in θ

$$L_j\theta := -\Delta\theta + \theta + 2\phi_{\text{Re}(\theta\bar{V}_j)}V_j + \left(\phi_{|V_j|^2} + \sum_{k \neq j} \psi_{|V_k|^2}^{(1)}\right)\theta.$$

With (4.3), if we take $T_0(N)$ large enough, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & i\partial_t\theta_j + \Delta\theta_j - 2\phi_{\text{Re}(\theta_j\bar{R})}R - \phi_{|R|^2}\theta_j \\ &= \frac{1}{\lambda_j^4} (i\lambda_j^2\partial_t\theta - L_j\theta) e^{-i\gamma_j+i\beta_j \cdot x} - 2\phi_{\text{Re}(\theta_j\bar{R}_j)} \sum_{k \neq j} R_k \\ &+ O\left(\frac{1}{a^2} + \text{Mod}\right) e^{-c|x-\alpha_j|} + O_N\left(\frac{1}{a^3} + \frac{\text{Mod}}{a}\right) e^{-c_N \min_j |x-\alpha_j|}. \end{aligned}$$

Inserting this into the previous formula, using (2.14), (4.5), (4.2), and again taking $T_0(N)$ large enough, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \text{Im} \int \varepsilon \bar{\theta}_j &= \text{Re} \int \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_j^4} \overline{(i\lambda_j^2\partial_t\theta - L_j\theta) e^{-i\gamma_j+i\beta_j \cdot x}} - 2\text{Re} \int \varepsilon \phi_{\text{Re}(\theta_j\bar{R}_j)} \sum_{k \neq j} \bar{R}_k \\ &+ \frac{1}{\lambda_j^6} \text{Re} \int S_j \bar{\theta} + O\left(\frac{\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}}{a^2} + \text{Mod}\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}\right) + O_N\left(\frac{1}{a^{N+1}} + \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2\right). \end{aligned}$$

We will take θ to be iV_j , ∇V_j , ΛV_j and $iy_j V_j$. By (3.8), the left hand side always vanishes. By (2.8), (4.3) and (2.11), we always have

$$(4.6) \quad \partial_t\theta = O\left(\frac{1}{a^2} + \frac{C_N}{a^3} + \frac{\text{Mod}}{a}\right) \left(e^{-c|x-\alpha_j|} + \frac{C_N}{a} e^{-c_N|x-\alpha_j|}\right).$$

By the proof of Proposition 2.1, we know

$$W_j := -\Delta V_j + V_j + \left(\phi_{|V_j|^2} + \sum_{k \neq j} \psi_{|V_k|^2}^{(1)}\right)V_j$$

is admissible of degree ≥ 2 . Direct computation yields

$$\begin{aligned} L_j(iV_j) &= iW_j, \quad L_j(\Lambda V_j) = (\Lambda + 2)W_j - 2\left(1 + \sum_{k \neq j} \psi_{|V_k|^2}^{(1)}\right)V_j, \\ L_j(\nabla V_j) &= \nabla W_j, \quad L_j(iy_j V_j) = iy_j W_j - 2i\nabla V_j. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.6), (3.8) and that $\psi^{(1)}$ is constant, we always have

$$L_j\theta = f + O\left(\frac{1}{a^2} + \frac{C_N}{a^3}\right) \left(e^{-c|x-\alpha_j|} + \frac{C_N}{a} e^{-c_N|x-\alpha_j|}\right),$$

where f is a function such that $\text{Re} \int \varepsilon \overline{(g_j f)} = 0$. Thus

$$(4.7) \quad \text{Re} \int \frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda_j^4} \overline{L_j\theta} e^{-i\gamma_j+i\beta_j \cdot x} = O\left(\frac{\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}}{a^2} + \frac{C_N\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}}{a^3}\right).$$

By (2.16) and the explicit formula of $\psi^{(2)}$, we have

$$\phi_{\text{Re}(\theta_j\bar{R}_j)} = \psi_{\text{Re}(\theta_j\bar{R}_j)}^{(1)} + O\left(\frac{1}{a^2} + \frac{C_N}{a^3}\right) (1 + |x - \alpha_j|)^2.$$

Since $\psi^{(1)}$ is constant, by (3.8), we always have

$$(4.8) \quad 2\operatorname{Re} \int \varepsilon \phi_{\operatorname{Re}(\theta_j \bar{R}_j)} \sum_{k \neq j} \bar{R}_k = O \left(\frac{\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}}{a^2} + \frac{C_N \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}}{a^3} \right).$$

Finally, using (2.5), (2.8) and that Q is even, for θ taken as the four functions,

$$(4.9) \quad \sum_{\theta} \sum_{j=1}^m \left| \operatorname{Re} \int S_j \bar{\theta} \right| \geq c \operatorname{Mod} - \frac{C_N \operatorname{Mod}}{a}.$$

Therefore, gathering (4.6), (4.7), (4.8) and (4.9), we obtain

$$\operatorname{Mod}(t) \leq \frac{C \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}}{a^2} + \frac{C_N \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}}{a^3} + \frac{C_N \operatorname{Mod}(t)}{a} + \frac{C_N}{a^{N+1}} + C_N \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2.$$

Taking $T_0(N)$ large enough to absorb some O_N terms, we get

$$(4.10) \quad \operatorname{Mod}(t) \leq \frac{C \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}}{a^2} + \frac{C_N}{a^{N+1}} + C_N \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2.$$

Using (3.10) and (4.3), we can get the decay of Mod :

$$(4.11) \quad \operatorname{Mod}(t) \leq t^{-\frac{N}{4}}, \quad \forall t \in [T_*, T_n].$$

We are now going to deduce the second and third lines of (3.11). By the fundamental theorem of calculus, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |M_j(P) - M_j(P^{(N)})| + |B_j(P) - B_j(P^{(N)})| \\ & \leq C \left(\frac{|\alpha - \alpha^{(N)}|}{a^3} + \frac{|\beta - \beta^{(N)}|}{a^2} + \frac{|\lambda - \lambda^{(N)}|}{a^2} \right) \\ & \quad + C_N \left(\frac{|\alpha - \alpha^{(N)}|}{a^4} + \frac{|\beta - \beta^{(N)}|}{a^3} + \frac{|\lambda - \lambda^{(N)}|}{a^3} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.1), (3.10), (4.3) and (4.11), if $T_0(N)$ is large enough, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} & |\dot{\lambda}_j - \dot{\lambda}_j^{(N)}| + |\dot{\beta}_j - \dot{\beta}_j^{(N)}| \\ & \leq \operatorname{Mod} + |M_j(P) - M_j(P^{(N)})| + |B_j(P) - B_j(P^{(N)})| \leq Ct^{-2-\frac{N}{8}}. \end{aligned}$$

Integrating in t and using (3.9), we deduce

$$(4.12) \quad |\lambda_j - \lambda_j^{(N)}| + |\beta_j - \beta_j^{(N)}| \leq \frac{C}{N} t^{-1-\frac{N}{8}} \leq \frac{1}{2} t^{-1-\frac{N}{8}}$$

when N is large enough. This is the second line of (3.11).

By (4.11) and (4.3), we also have

$$|\dot{\alpha}_j - \dot{\alpha}_j^{(N)}| \leq 2|\beta_j - \beta_j^{(N)}| + t^{-\frac{N}{4}}$$

and

$$|\dot{\gamma}_j - \dot{\gamma}_j^{(N)}| \leq C \left(|\lambda_j - \lambda_j^{(N)}| + |\beta_j - \beta_j^{(N)}| + t|\dot{\beta}_j - \dot{\beta}_j^{(N)}| + |\dot{\alpha}_j - \dot{\alpha}_j^{(N)}| \right) + t^{-\frac{N}{4}}.$$

We then deduce the third line of (3.11) for large N by (3.9) and (4.12).

4.2. Control of the error. In this subsection, we aim at proving the first line of (3.11). We start with the construction of cutoff functions.

Lemma 4.1. *There exist $c, C > 0$ and $\varphi_j \in C^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$ such that*

$$(4.13) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 \leq \varphi_j(t, x) \leq 1, \quad \sum_{j=1}^m \varphi_j(t, x) &\equiv 1, \\ |\partial_t \varphi_j| + |\nabla \varphi_j| &\leq \frac{C}{a}, \quad |\partial_t \sqrt{\varphi_j}| + |\nabla \sqrt{\varphi_j}| \leq \frac{C}{a}, \\ \varphi_j(t, x) &= \begin{cases} 1, & |x - \alpha_j(t)| \leq ca(t), \\ 0, & |x - \alpha_k(t)| \leq ca(t), \quad k \neq j. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. There exist $c_0, C_0 > 0$ such that $c_0 t < a(t) < C_0 t$. Take $c \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ and $r, R > 0$ such that $cC_0 < r < R < \frac{1}{2}c_0$. Let $\Phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$ be such that

$$0 \leq \Phi \leq 1, \quad \text{supp } \Phi \subset B_R, \quad \Phi = 1 \text{ in } B_r, \quad |\nabla \Phi| \leq C\sqrt{1 - \Phi}.$$

Here, the last property can be satisfied by taking Φ_0 satisfying the other properties and setting $\Phi = 1 - (1 - \Phi_0)^2$. Then we define

$$\varphi_j(t, x) = \Phi^2\left(\frac{x - \alpha_j(t)}{t}\right), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m-1,$$

and

$$\varphi_m(t, x) = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \varphi_j(t, x).$$

We claim that (4.13) holds. Only the estimates on φ_m need to be checked.

We have $\varphi_m \in [0, 1]$ because $\text{supp } \varphi_j$ are pairwise disjoint. The derivatives of $\sqrt{\varphi_m}$ are bounded because of the last property of Φ . \square

Combining the properties of the cutoff functions and (2.6), we have

$$(4.14) \quad |\varphi_j R - R_j| \leq C_N e^{-ca(t)}, \quad \forall t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

This means φ_j localizes the multisoliton solutions.

Consider the sum of truncated conserved quantities of the Hartree equation

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(u) &= \int |\nabla u|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla \phi_{|u|^2}|^2 \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^m \left[\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_j^2} + |\beta_j|^2 \right) \int \varphi_j |u|^2 - 2\beta_j \int \varphi_j \text{Im}(\nabla u \bar{u}) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By the decomposition $u = R + \varepsilon$, we can expand \mathcal{E} in terms of ε . Then the second or higher order terms is $\mathcal{G}(\varepsilon) = \mathcal{G}_1 + \mathcal{G}_2 + \mathcal{G}_3$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_1 &= \int |\nabla \varepsilon|^2 + \int \phi_{|R|^2} |\varepsilon|^2 - 2 \int |\nabla \phi_{\text{Re}(\varepsilon \bar{R})}|^2 + 2 \int \phi_{\text{Re}(\varepsilon \bar{R})} |\varepsilon|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla \phi_{|\varepsilon|^2}|^2, \\ \mathcal{G}_2 &= \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_j^2} + |\beta_j|^2 \right) \int \varphi_j |\varepsilon|^2, \quad \mathcal{G}_3 = -2 \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j \int \varphi_j \text{Im}(\nabla \varepsilon \bar{\varepsilon}). \end{aligned}$$

We point out that because of the orthogonality condition (3.8), the first order term of ε would vanish if R solved (1.3). Unfortunately, as R is an approximate solution, it does not solve (1.3),

and the error is too big so that one cannot proceed in this way. However, we will use this idea in Section 6 when we work on stability.

For now, we do not use the functional \mathcal{E} . Instead, we directly prove the following two estimates on \mathcal{G} . The first one states the positiveness of \mathcal{G} , which follows because of orthogonality (3.8). The second follows by a direct calculation and gives an estimate on the upper bound of \mathcal{G} .

Proposition 4.1. *Let $N \geq 2$. For $T_0 = T_0(N)$ large enough, there exists $c_0 > 0$ such that*

$$\mathcal{G}(\varepsilon(t)) \geq c_0 \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2, \quad \forall t \in [T_*, T_n].$$

Proposition 4.2. *Let $N \geq 2$. For $T_0 = T_0(N)$ large enough, if (3.10) holds, then there exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$\left| \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{G}(\varepsilon(t)) \right| \leq C t^{-1-\frac{N}{2}}, \quad \forall t \in [T_*, T_n].$$

If taking these two results for granted, then by (3.9), we have

$$c_0 \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 \leq |\mathcal{G}(\varepsilon(t))| \leq \int_t^{T_n} C \tau^{-1-\frac{N}{2}} d\tau \leq \frac{C}{N} t^{-\frac{N}{2}}.$$

Taking N large enough, then we obtain the first line of (3.11).

The rest of this subsection is for the proof of the two propositions. Once they are proved, we will have completed the proof of the hyperbolic case.

Proof of Proposition 4.1.

The main ingredient of the proof is the following coercivity result on the linearized operators L_- and L_+ .

Lemma 4.2. *There exist $\delta, c > 0$ such that: if $v \in H^1$ is real-valued, then*

$$\begin{aligned} |(v, Q)| + |(v, xQ)| < \delta \|v\|_{H^1} &\implies (L_+ v, v) \geq c \|v\|_{H^1}^2, \\ |(v, \Lambda Q)| < \delta \|v\|_{H^1} &\implies (L_- v, v) \geq c \|v\|_{H^1}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. All functions in this proof are assumed to be real-valued.

It suffices to prove that for some $c > 0$, if $v \in H^1$, then

$$(4.15) \quad \begin{aligned} (v, Q) = (v, xQ) = 0 &\implies (L_+ v, v) \geq c \|v\|_{H^1}^2, \\ (v, \Lambda Q) = 0 &\implies (L_- v, v) \geq c \|v\|_{H^1}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Let us only prove the sufficiency for the estimate on L_+ . For $v \in H^1$, we have

$$(L_+ v, v) = \int |\nabla v|^2 + \int |v|^2 + \int \phi_{Q^2} v^2 - 2 \int |\nabla \phi_{Qv}|^2.$$

If $u = v - w$, then by the Sobolev inequality, we have

$$(L_+ v, v) - (L_+ u, u) \geq -C \|u\|_{H^1} \|w\|_{H^1} - C \|w\|_{H^1}^2.$$

We take

$$w = \frac{(v, Q)}{\|Q\|_{L^2}^2} Q + \frac{(v, xQ)}{\|xQ\|_{L^2}^2} \cdot xQ.$$

Then $\|w\|_{H^1} \leq C \delta \|v\|_{H^1}$, and u is orthogonal to both Q and xQ , so we have $(L_+ u, u) \geq c \|u\|_{H^1}^2$. We thus deduce $(L_+ v, v) \geq \frac{c}{2} \|v\|_{H^1}^2$ by Cauchy-Schwarz and taking δ small.

We then turn to prove (4.15). We only prove the first line, as the proof of the second line is similar and easier.

Recall that Q is a minimizer of

$$\mathcal{H}(u) = \int |\nabla u|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla \phi_{|u|^2}|^2, \quad \text{where } u \in H^1 \text{ and } \|u\|_{L^2} = \|Q\|_{L^2}.$$

Thus, Q is a minimizer in $H^1 \setminus \{0\}$ of

$$\mathcal{J}(u) := \frac{\|Q\|_{L^2}^2}{\|u\|_{L^2}^2} \int |\nabla u|^2 - \frac{\|Q\|_{L^2}^4}{2\|u\|_{L^2}^4} \int |\nabla \phi_{|u|^2}|^2.$$

Assume $f \in H^1$ and $(f, Q) = 0$. By direct computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{d\epsilon^2} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} \mathcal{J}(Q + \epsilon f) &= \int |\nabla f|^2 - \frac{\|\nabla Q\|_{L^2}^2}{\|Q\|_{L^2}^2} \int f^2 \\ &\quad - 2 \int |\nabla \phi_{Qf}|^2 - \int \nabla \phi_{Q^2} \cdot \nabla \phi_{f^2} + \frac{\|\nabla \phi_{Q^2}\|_{L^2}^2}{\|Q\|_{L^2}^2} \int f^2. \end{aligned}$$

Using (1.3) and integration by parts, we get

$$\|\nabla Q\|_{L^2}^2 - \|\nabla \phi_{Q^2}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|Q\|_{L^2}^2 = 0.$$

Thus we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{d\epsilon^2} \Big|_{\epsilon=0} \mathcal{J}(Q + \epsilon f) = (L_+ f, f).$$

By the minimality of $\mathcal{J}(Q)$, we deduce that

$$f \in H^1, (f, Q) = 0 \implies (L_+ f, f) \geq 0.$$

Therefore, if the conclusion fails to be true, then there exist $f_n \in H^1$ such that $(f_n, Q) = (f_n, xQ) = 0$, $\|f_n\|_{H^1} = 1$ and $(L_+ f_n, f_n) \rightarrow 0$. By passing to subsequence, we may assume $f_n \rightharpoonup f_0$ in H^1 . We have $(f_0, Q) = (f_0, xQ) = 0$, and by the Rellich-Kondrachov theorem and the decay of Q , we have

$$\int \phi_{Q^2} f_n^2 \rightarrow \int \phi_{Q^2} f_0^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \int |\nabla \phi_{Qf_n}|^2 \rightarrow \int |\nabla \phi_{Qf_0}|^2.$$

On the other hand, $\|f_0\|_{H^1} \leq \liminf \|f_n\|_{H^1}$. We deduce that $(L_+ f_0, f_0) \leq 0$.

By the non-negativity of L^+ on Q^\perp , we know that f_0 is a nonzero minimizer of $(L_+ u, u)$, where $u \in H^1$ and $(u, Q) = 0$. By computing the first variation, we get

$$L_+ f_0 = aQ \quad \text{for some } a \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Since $L_+(\Lambda Q) = -2Q$ and $\ker L_+$ is spanned by ∇Q (Theorem 4 in [11]), we know f_0 is a linear combination of ΛQ and ∇Q . But using $(f_0, Q) = (f_0, \nabla Q) = 0$, we must have $f_0 = 0$, which is a contradiction. \square

Let $\varepsilon_j = \varepsilon \sqrt{\varphi_j}$ and $\tilde{\varepsilon}_j = g_j^{-1} \varepsilon_j$, or more precisely, define

$$\tilde{\varepsilon}_j(t, y_j) = \lambda_j^2(t) \varepsilon_j(t, \lambda_j(t) y_j + \alpha_j(t)) e^{i\gamma_j(t) - i\beta_j(t) \cdot (\lambda_j(t) y_j + \alpha_j(t))}.$$

By (3.8), (2.6) and (4.3), for t large enough, we can apply Lemma 4.2 to $\text{Re}(\tilde{\varepsilon}_j)$ and $\text{Im}(\tilde{\varepsilon}_j)$. Thus for $T_0(N)$ large enough, we have

$$\left(L_+ \text{Re}(\tilde{\varepsilon}_j), \text{Re}(\tilde{\varepsilon}_j) \right) + \left(L_- \text{Im}(\tilde{\varepsilon}_j), \text{Im}(\tilde{\varepsilon}_j) \right) \geq c \|\tilde{\varepsilon}_j\|_{H^1}^2, \quad \forall t \geq T_0.$$

In the following, we always assume T_0 is large enough so that the above holds.

Set $Q_j = g_j Q$. By computation similar to (2.1) and (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(L_+ \operatorname{Re}(\tilde{\varepsilon}_j), \operatorname{Re}(\tilde{\varepsilon}_j) \right) + \left(L_- \operatorname{Im}(\tilde{\varepsilon}_j), \operatorname{Im}(\tilde{\varepsilon}_j) \right) \\
&= \int |\nabla \tilde{\varepsilon}_j|^2 + \int |\tilde{\varepsilon}_j|^2 + \int \phi_{Q^2} |\tilde{\varepsilon}_j|^2 + 2 \int \phi_{\operatorname{Re}(Q\tilde{\varepsilon}_j)} \operatorname{Re}(Q\tilde{\varepsilon}_j) \\
&= \lambda_j^3 \left(\int |\nabla \varepsilon_j|^2 - 2\beta_j \int \operatorname{Im}(\nabla \varepsilon_j \bar{\varepsilon}_j) + |\beta_j|^2 \int |\varepsilon_j|^2 \right) + \lambda_j \int |\varepsilon_j|^2 \\
&\quad + \lambda_j^3 \int \phi_{Q_j^2} |\varepsilon_j|^2 + 2\lambda_j^3 \int \phi_{\operatorname{Re}(\varepsilon_j \bar{Q}_j)} \operatorname{Re}(\varepsilon_j \bar{Q}_j) \\
&= \lambda_j^3 \left(\int |\nabla \varepsilon_j|^2 + \int \phi_{|R_j|^2} |\varepsilon_j|^2 - 2 \int |\nabla \phi_{\operatorname{Re}(\varepsilon_j \bar{R}_j)}|^2 \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_j^2} + |\beta_j|^2 \right) \int |\varepsilon_j|^2 - 2\beta_j \int \operatorname{Im}(\nabla \varepsilon_j \bar{\varepsilon}_j) \right) + O_N \left(\frac{\|\varepsilon_j\|_{H^1}^2}{a} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

We then deduce that

$$(4.16) \quad \mathcal{H}_j(\varepsilon_j) \geq c \|\varepsilon_j\|_{H^1} - \frac{C_N}{a} \|\varepsilon_j\|_{H^1}^2 \geq c \int \varphi_j (|\nabla \varepsilon|^2 + |\varepsilon|^2) - \frac{C_N}{a} \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_j(\varepsilon_j) &= \int |\nabla \varepsilon_j|^2 + \int \phi_{|R_j|^2} |\varepsilon_j|^2 - 2 \int |\nabla \phi_{\operatorname{Re}(\varepsilon_j \bar{R}_j)}|^2 \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_j^2} + |\beta_j|^2 \right) \int |\varepsilon_j|^2 - 2\beta_j \int \operatorname{Im}(\nabla \varepsilon_j \bar{\varepsilon}_j).
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we consider the truncated functional

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H}_{j,\varphi}(\varepsilon) &= \int \varphi_j |\nabla \varepsilon|^2 + \int \phi_{|R_j|^2} |\varepsilon|^2 - 2 \int |\nabla \phi_{\operatorname{Re}(\varepsilon \bar{R}_j)}|^2 \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_j^2} + |\beta_j|^2 \right) \int \varphi_j |\varepsilon|^2 - 2\beta_j \int \varphi_j \operatorname{Im}(\nabla \varepsilon \bar{\varepsilon}).
\end{aligned}$$

By (4.13), (4.14) and (4.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.17) \quad \mathcal{H}_{j,\varphi}(\varepsilon) &= \int |\nabla \varepsilon_j|^2 + \int \phi_{|R_j|^2} |\varepsilon|^2 - 2 \int |\nabla \phi_{\operatorname{Re}(\varepsilon \bar{R}_j)}|^2 \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_j^2} + |\beta_j|^2 \right) \int |\varepsilon_j|^2 - 2\beta_j \int \operatorname{Im}(\nabla \varepsilon_j \bar{\varepsilon}_j) + O \left(\frac{\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2}{a} \right) \\
&= \mathcal{H}_j(\varepsilon_j) + \int (1 - \sqrt{\varphi_j}) \phi_{|R_j|^2} |\varepsilon|^2 - 2 \int |\nabla \phi_{\operatorname{Re}((1-\sqrt{\varphi_j})\varepsilon R_j)}|^2 \\
&\quad + 4 \int \phi_{\operatorname{Re}(\varepsilon_j R_j)} \operatorname{Re}((1 - \sqrt{\varphi_j})\varepsilon R_j) + O \left(\frac{\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2}{a} \right) \\
&\geq c \int \varphi_j (|\nabla \varepsilon|^2 + |\varepsilon|^2) - \frac{C_N}{a} \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, using the sum-to-1 property of φ_j , we write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}(\varepsilon) &= \sum_{j=1}^m \mathcal{H}_{j,\varphi}(\varepsilon) + 2 \int \phi_{\text{Re}(\varepsilon \bar{R})} |\varepsilon|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla \phi_{|\varepsilon|^2}|^2 \\ &\quad + \sum_{j \neq k} \int \phi_{\text{Re}(R_k \bar{R}_j)} |\varepsilon|^2 - 2 \sum_{j \neq k} \int \nabla \phi_{\text{Re}(\varepsilon \bar{R}_j)} \cdot \nabla \phi_{\text{Re}(\varepsilon \bar{R}_k)}. \end{aligned}$$

The first term is controlled by (4.17). The other terms in the first line are $O(t^{-N/4} \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2)$ because of (3.10). Using Lemma 2.3, we know the two terms in the second line are $O_N(e^{-ca} \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2)$ and $O_N\left(\frac{\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2}{a}\right)$, respectively. We thus have

$$\mathcal{G}(\varepsilon) \geq c \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2 - \frac{C_N}{a} \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2.$$

Thanks to (4.3), we conclude by taking $T_0(N)$ large enough. \square

Proof of Proposition 4.2. We deal with \mathcal{G}_1 , \mathcal{G}_2 and \mathcal{G}_3 separately.

(1) Using integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\mathcal{G}_1}{dt} &= -2 \text{Im} \int i \partial_t \bar{\varepsilon} \left(\Delta \varepsilon - \phi_{|R|^2} \varepsilon - 2 \phi_{\text{Re}(\varepsilon \bar{R})} R - \mathcal{N}(\varepsilon) \right) \\ &\quad + 4 \text{Re} \int \phi_{\text{Re}(\varepsilon \bar{R})} \varepsilon \partial_t \bar{R} + 2 \int \phi_{\text{Re}(\partial_t R \bar{R})} |\varepsilon|^2 + 2 \text{Re} \int \phi_{|\varepsilon|^2} \varepsilon \partial_t \bar{R}. \end{aligned}$$

By (4.1), (2.14), (4.4) and (4.2), the first line is $O_N\left(\left(\frac{1}{a^{N+1}} + \text{Mod}\right) \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}\right)$. For the second line, using (2.1), (4.3) and (2.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t R_j &= \frac{1}{\lambda_j^2} \left(-\dot{\alpha}_j \cdot \nabla V_j - i(\dot{\gamma}_j - \dot{\beta}_j \cdot \alpha_j) V_j \right) e^{-i\gamma_j + i\beta_j \cdot x} + O_N\left(\frac{1}{a^2}\right) e^{-c_N|x-\alpha_j|} \\ &= -2\beta_j \cdot \nabla R_j + i\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_j^2} + |\beta_j|^2\right) R_j + O_N\left(\frac{1}{a^2} + \text{Mod}\right) e^{-c_N|x-\alpha_j|}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining these with (4.10), (2.9) and Lemma 2.3, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\mathcal{G}_1}{dt} &= \sum_{j=1}^m \left(4\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_j^2} + |\beta_j|^2\right) \int \phi_{\text{Re}(\varepsilon \bar{R}_j)} \text{Im}(\varepsilon \bar{R}_j) - 8 \int \phi_{\text{Re}(\varepsilon \bar{R})} \text{Re}(\varepsilon \beta_j \cdot \nabla \bar{R}_j) \right. \\ (4.18) \quad &\quad \left. - 4 \int \phi_{\text{Re}(\beta_j \cdot \nabla R_j \bar{R}_j)} |\varepsilon|^2 \right) + O_N\left(\frac{\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}}{a^{N+1}} + \frac{\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2}{a^2} + \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^3\right). \end{aligned}$$

(2) Using (4.3) and (4.13), we have

$$\frac{d\mathcal{G}_2}{dt} = \sum_{j=1}^m 2\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_j^2} + |\beta_j|^2\right) \int \varphi_j \text{Im}(i \partial_t \varepsilon \bar{\varepsilon}) + O\left(\frac{\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2}{t}\right).$$

Then by (4.1), (2.14), (4.4) and (4.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\mathcal{G}_2}{dt} &= \sum_{j=1}^m 2\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_j^2} + |\beta_j|^2\right) \int \varphi_j \text{Im}(2\phi_{\text{Re}(\varepsilon \bar{R})} R \bar{\varepsilon}) + O\left(\frac{\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2}{t}\right) \\ &\quad + O_N\left(\frac{\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}}{a^{N+1}} + \text{Mod} \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1} + \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^3\right). \end{aligned}$$

Finally using (4.10) and (4.14), we get

$$(4.19) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d\mathcal{G}_2}{dt} &= \sum_{j=1}^m -4 \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_j^2} + |\beta_j|^2 \right) \int \phi_{\text{Re}(\varepsilon \bar{R})} \text{Im}(\varepsilon \bar{R}_j) + O \left(\frac{\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2}{t} \right) \\ &\quad + O_N \left(\frac{\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}}{a^{N+1}} + \frac{\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2}{a^2} + \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^3 \right). \end{aligned}$$

(3) Similarly, we can compute

$$(4.20) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{d\mathcal{G}_3}{dt} &= \sum_{j=1}^m -4\beta_j \int \varphi_j \text{Re}(i\partial_t \varepsilon \nabla \bar{\varepsilon}) + O \left(\frac{\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2}{t} \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^m -4\beta_j \int \varphi_j \text{Re} \left(2\phi_{\text{Re}(\varepsilon \bar{R})} R \nabla \bar{\varepsilon} + \phi_{|R|^2} \varepsilon \nabla \bar{\varepsilon} \right) + O \left(\frac{\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2}{t} \right) \\ &\quad + O_N \left(\frac{\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}}{a^{N+1}} + \text{Mod} \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1} + \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^3 \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^m \left(8 \int \phi_{\text{Re}(\varepsilon \bar{R})} \text{Re}(\varepsilon \beta_j \varphi_j \cdot \nabla \bar{R}) + 4 \int \phi_{\text{Re}(\beta_j \varphi_j \cdot \nabla R \bar{R})} |\varepsilon|^2 \right) \\ &\quad + O \left(\frac{\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2}{t} \right) + O_N \left(\frac{\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}}{a^{N+1}} + \text{Mod} \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1} + \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^3 \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^m \left(8 \int \phi_{\text{Re}(\varepsilon \bar{R})} \text{Re}(\varepsilon \beta_j \cdot \nabla \bar{R}_j) + 4 \int \phi_{\text{Re}(\beta_j \cdot \nabla R_j \bar{R}_j)} |\varepsilon|^2 \right) \\ &\quad + O \left(\frac{\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2}{t} \right) + O_N \left(\frac{\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}}{a^{N+1}} + \frac{\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2}{a^2} + \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^3 \right). \end{aligned}$$

Combining (4.18), (4.19), (4.20) together, we deduce

$$\left| \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{G}(\varepsilon(t)) \right| \leq \frac{C \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2}{t} + C_N \left(\frac{\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}}{a^{N+1}} + \frac{\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2}{a^2} + \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^3 \right)$$

Using (4.3), (3.10) and taking $T_0(N)$, N large enough, we get the desired result. \square

We have finished the proof of the hyperbolic case.

5. THE PARABOLIC AND THE HYPERBOLIC-PARABOLIC CASE

One of the difficulties of dealing with these two cases is to establish Proposition 3.1. Due to the lower rates of expansion, we need more delicate computation.

5.1. The approximate trajectory. The goal of this subsection is to prove the alternative of Proposition 3.1.

Proposition 5.1. *Let P^∞ be a parabolic or hyperbolic-parabolic solution to (1.6) of the form (1.8) or (1.9), and $B_j^{(N)}, M_j^{(N)}$ be as in Proposition 2.1. Then for $N \geq 3$, $\exists T_0 = T_0(N) > 0$ and $P^{(N)} \in C^1([T_0, +\infty), \Omega)$ satisfying (3.1) and for any $t \geq T_0$,*

$$(5.1) \quad |\alpha_j^{(N)}(t) - \alpha_j^\infty(t)| \leq t^{-\frac{1}{4}}, \quad |\beta_j^{(N)}(t) - \beta_j^\infty(t)| + |\lambda_j^{(N)}(t) - \lambda_j^\infty| \leq t^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Remark. *This proposition is stronger than the one in [10] because: (1) we do not need to assume λ_j^∞ are identical in the parabolic case, or λ_j^∞ are identical for $j \in J$ in the hyperbolic-parabolic case; (2) we know $\alpha_j^{(N)}(t) - \alpha_j^\infty(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$.*

While before we have only used the formula of $b_j^{(2)}$, here we also need the explicit expression of $m_j^{(2)}$ and $b_j^{(3)}$. Since $T_j^{(1)}$ is real-valued, by (2.4), we have

$$\operatorname{Im} \hat{E}_j^{(1)} = \lambda_j^2 \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{\partial T_j^{(1)}}{\partial \alpha_k} \cdot 2\beta_k.$$

By the formula of $T_j^{(1)}$ (2.7) and the requirement of $m_j^{(2)}$, we deduce

$$(5.2) \quad m_j^{(2)}(P) = \sum_{k \neq j} \frac{\lambda_j^3 \|Q\|_{L^2}^2 \alpha_{jk} \cdot \beta_{jk}}{4\pi \lambda_k |\alpha_{jk}|^3}.$$

Combining this and calculation in the proof of Proposition 2.1, we have

$$\begin{cases} L_+ \operatorname{Re} T_j^{(2)} = -2\phi_{QT_j^{(1)}T_j^{(1)}} - \phi_{|T_j^{(1)}|^2} Q - \sum_{k \neq j} \left(2\psi_{QT_k^{(1)}}^{(1)} Q + \psi_{Q^2, k}^{(1)} T_k^{(1)} \right), \\ L_- \operatorname{Im} T_j^{(2)} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Thus we may take $T_j^{(2)}$ as a real-valued radial function. Then we compute

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \hat{E}_j^{(2)} &= -\lambda_j^3 b_j^{(2)} \cdot y_j T_j^{(1)} - 2\phi_{T_j^{(1)}T_j^{(2)}} Q - 2\phi_{QT_j^{(2)}T_j^{(1)}} - 2\phi_{QT_j^{(1)}T_j^{(2)}} \\ &\quad - \phi_{|T_j^{(1)}|^2} T_j^{(1)} - \sum_{k \neq j} \left(\psi_{Q^2, k}^{(2)} T_j^{(1)} + 2\psi_{QT_k^{(1)}}^{(2)} Q + 2\psi_{QT_k^{(2)}}^{(1)} Q \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \psi_{|T_j^{(1)}|^2} Q + 2\psi_{QT_k^{(1)}}^{(1)} T_j^{(1)} + \psi_{Q^2, k}^{(1)} T_j^{(2)} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Recall that

$$\left(\lambda_j^3 b_j^{(3)} \cdot y_j Q + \sum_{k \neq j} \psi_{Q^2, k}^{(3)} Q - \operatorname{Re} \hat{E}_j^{(2)}, \nabla Q \right) = 0.$$

Since $Q, T_j^{(1)}, T_j^{(2)}$ are all even, the terms with $\phi, \psi^{(1)}, \psi^{(3)}$ are orthogonal to ∇Q . Removing those terms and using (3.3), we obtain

$$\left(\lambda_j^3 b_j^{(3)} \cdot y_j Q + 2 \sum_{k \neq j} \psi_{QT_k^{(1)}}^{(2)} Q, \nabla Q \right) = 0.$$

Then by (2.7) and $(\Lambda Q, Q) = \frac{1}{2} \|Q\|_{L^2}^2$, a result of integration by parts, we get

$$(5.3) \quad b_j^{(3)}(P) = - \sum_{k \neq j} \frac{(Q, T_k^{(1)}) \alpha_{jk}}{2\pi \lambda_k |\alpha_{jk}|^3} = \frac{\|Q\|_{L^2}^4}{32\pi} \sum_{k \neq j} \left(\sum_{l \neq k} \frac{1}{\lambda_l |\alpha_{kl}|} \right) \frac{\lambda_k \alpha_{jk}}{|\alpha_{jk}|^3}.$$

Then we give the idea of the proof to make it easier to understand.

In the hyperbolic case, the equation can be roughly written as

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\alpha}(t) - \dot{\alpha}^\infty(t) = 2\beta(t) - 2\beta^\infty(t), \\ \dot{\beta}(t) - \dot{\beta}^\infty(t) = O(t^{-3}), \\ \dot{\lambda}(t) - \dot{\lambda}_j^\infty(t) = O(t^{-2}), \end{cases}$$

and then we can apply the fixed point theorem. But in the parabolic and hyperbolic-parabolic cases, we only have

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\alpha}(t) - \dot{\alpha}^\infty(t) = 2\beta(t) - 2\beta^\infty(t), \\ \dot{\beta}(t) - \dot{\beta}^\infty(t) = O(t^{-2}), \\ \dot{\lambda}(t) - \dot{\lambda}_j^\infty(t) = O(t^{-\frac{4}{3}}). \end{cases}$$

The error term is so large that the fixed point theorem becomes invalid.

The recipe is to replace P^∞ by some \tilde{P} which is closed to P^∞ and makes the error terms on the right hand side smaller. More precisely, P^∞ eliminates $B_j^{(N)}$ up to the second term and $M_j^{(N)}$ up to the first term. We want \tilde{P} to eliminate $B_j^{(N)}$ up to the third term and $M_j^{(N)}$ up to the second term. Our \tilde{P} serves as $P^{(app)}$ in [10], but we would like to point out that the existence of such \tilde{P} is not taken for granted when $m \geq 3$. We made a new observation that several terms will cancel. Thanks to this observation, we have an explicit expression of \tilde{P} and more importantly, we know $\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha^\infty$ and $\tilde{\beta} = \beta^\infty$. This is exactly the reason why we can make an improvement.

The explicit form of the approximate equation is (5.6), which is still more complicated than that in the hyperbolic case. We need a more involved application of the fixed point theorem to obtain the conclusion of the proposition.

Proof of Proposition 5.1.

Take $\tilde{P}(t) = (\alpha^\infty(t), \beta^\infty(t), \tilde{\lambda}(t))$, where for each j ,

$$\tilde{\lambda}_j(t) = \lambda_j^\infty - \int_t^\infty \sum_{k \neq j} \frac{(\lambda_j^\infty)^3 \|Q\|_{L^2}^2}{4\pi \lambda_k^\infty} \frac{\alpha_{jk}^\infty(\tau) \cdot \beta_{jk}^\infty(\tau)}{|\alpha_{jk}^\infty(\tau)|^3} d\tau.$$

Using $\dot{\alpha}_j^\infty = 2\beta_j^\infty$, we may simplify the expression as

$$\tilde{\lambda}_j(t) = \lambda_j^\infty - \sum_{k \neq j} \frac{(\lambda_j^\infty)^3 \|Q\|_{L^2}^2}{8\pi \lambda_k^\infty |\alpha_{jk}^\infty(t)|}.$$

Let $\epsilon = \frac{1}{100}$ and $T_0 > 0$. Define the norm $\|\cdot\|_2$ of $P \in C([T_0, +\infty), \Omega)$ by

$$\|P\|_2 := \sum_{j=1}^m \sup_{t \geq T_0} \left(t^{\frac{1}{3}-3\epsilon} |\alpha_j(t)| + t^{\frac{4}{3}-2\epsilon} |\beta_j(t)| + t^{1-\epsilon} |\lambda_j(t)| \right),$$

and let $Y = \left\{ P \in C([T_0, +\infty), \Omega) \mid \|P - \tilde{P}\|_2 \leq 1 \right\}$. Then it suffices to find a solution of (3.1) in Y . We will assume $P \in Y$ hereinafter.

Recalling the expression (3.3), (5.2) and (5.3), if we set

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{b}_j^{(2)}(P) &= b_j^{(2)}(P) + \sum_{k \neq j} \frac{\|Q\|_{L^2}^2 \alpha_{jk}}{4\pi \lambda_k^\infty |\alpha_{jk}|^3} - \sum_{k \neq j} \frac{\|Q\|_{L^2}^2 (\lambda_k - \lambda_k^\infty) \alpha_{jk}}{4\pi (\lambda_k^\infty)^2 |\alpha_{jk}|^3}, \\ \tilde{b}_j^{(3)}(P) &= b_j^{(3)}(P) - \frac{\|Q\|_{L^2}^4}{32\pi} \sum_{k \neq j} \left(\sum_{l \neq k} \frac{1}{\lambda_l^\infty |\alpha_{kl}|} \right) \frac{\lambda_k^\infty \alpha_{jk}}{|\alpha_{jk}|^3}, \\ \tilde{m}_j^{(2)}(P) &= m_j^{(2)}(P) - \sum_{k \neq j} \frac{(\lambda_j^\infty)^3 \|Q\|_{L^2}^2 \alpha_{jk} \cdot \beta_{jk}}{4\pi \lambda_k^\infty |\alpha_{jk}|^3},\end{aligned}$$

then using $\tilde{a}(t) \sim t^{\frac{2}{3}}$ and $\|\tilde{P} - P^\infty\| \leq Ct^{-\frac{2}{3}}$, we get

$$(5.4) \quad \left| \tilde{b}_j^{(2)}(\tilde{P}(t)) \right| + \left| \tilde{b}_j^{(3)}(\tilde{P}(t)) \right| \leq Ct^{-\frac{8}{3}}, \quad \left| \tilde{m}_j^{(2)}(\tilde{P}(t)) \right| \leq Ct^{-2}.$$

Moreover, direct computation yields

$$\dot{\tilde{\beta}}_j = b_j^{(2)}(\tilde{P}) - \tilde{b}_j^{(2)}(\tilde{P}) + b_j^{(3)}(\tilde{P}) - \tilde{b}_j^{(3)}(\tilde{P}), \quad \dot{\tilde{\lambda}}_j = m_j^{(2)}(\tilde{P}) - \tilde{m}_j^{(2)}(\tilde{P}).$$

This is the cancellation of errors we have mentioned.

Write $P^{(N)} = P$ for simplicity. Then we can rewrite (3.1) as

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\alpha}_j(t) - \dot{\tilde{\alpha}}_j(t) = 2\beta_j(t) - 2\tilde{\beta}_j(t), \\ \dot{\beta}_j(t) - \dot{\tilde{\beta}}_j(t) = \left[b_j^{(2)}(P(t)) - b_j^{(2)}(\tilde{P}(t)) \right] + \left[b_j^{(3)}(P(t)) - b_j^{(3)}(\tilde{P}(t)) \right] \\ \quad + \tilde{b}_j^{(2)}(\tilde{P}(t)) + \tilde{b}_j^{(3)}(\tilde{P}(t)) + \sum_{n=4}^N b_j^{(n)}(P(t)), \\ \dot{\lambda}_j(t) - \dot{\tilde{\lambda}}_j(t) = \left[m_j^{(2)}(P(t)) - m_j^{(2)}(\tilde{P}(t)) \right] + \tilde{m}_j^{(2)}(\tilde{P}(t)) + \sum_{n=3}^N m_j^{(n)}(P(t)). \end{cases}$$

By (5.4), estimates of $b_j^{(n)}$, $m_j^{(n)}$ and $a(t) \sim t^{\frac{2}{3}}$, we have

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{\alpha}_j(t) - \dot{\tilde{\alpha}}_j(t) = 2\beta_j(t) - 2\tilde{\beta}_j(t), \\ \dot{\beta}_j(t) - \dot{\tilde{\beta}}_j(t) = b_j^{(2)}(P(t)) - b_j^{(2)}(\tilde{P}(t)) + O(t^{-\frac{8}{3}}), \\ \dot{\lambda}_j(t) - \dot{\tilde{\lambda}}_j(t) = O(t^{-2}). \end{cases}$$

In this proof, $O(t^{-\kappa})$ represents a continuous function of \tilde{P} and P , whose C^1 norm in P is bounded by $Ct^{-\kappa}$ when evaluated at $(\tilde{P}(t), P(t))$.

We still need to estimate $b_j^{(2)}(P(t)) - b_j^{(2)}(\tilde{P}(t))$. We have

$$b_j^{(2)}(P) - b_j^{(2)}(\tilde{P}) = \frac{\|Q\|_{L^2}^2}{4\pi} \sum_{k \neq j} \left[\frac{1}{\tilde{\lambda}_k} \left(\frac{\tilde{\alpha}_{jk}}{|\tilde{\alpha}_{jk}|^3} - \frac{\alpha_{jk}}{|\alpha_{jk}|^3} \right) + \frac{(\lambda_k - \tilde{\lambda}_k) \alpha_{jk}}{\lambda_k \tilde{\lambda}_k |\alpha_{jk}|^3} \right].$$

By the Taylor formula,

$$\frac{\alpha_{jk}}{|\alpha_{jk}|^3} - \frac{\tilde{\alpha}_{jk}}{|\tilde{\alpha}_{jk}|^3} = \frac{\alpha_{jk} - \tilde{\alpha}_{jk}}{|\tilde{\alpha}_{jk}|^3} - \frac{3\tilde{\alpha}_{jk} \cdot (\alpha_{jk} - \tilde{\alpha}_{jk})}{|\tilde{\alpha}_{jk}|^5} \tilde{\alpha}_{jk} + O(t^{-\frac{8}{3}+6\epsilon}).$$

Using (1.8) and (1.9), there exists a matrix $A_{jk} \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ such that

$$\frac{\alpha_{jk}}{|\alpha_{jk}|^3} - \frac{\tilde{\alpha}_{jk}}{|\tilde{\alpha}_{jk}|^3} = \frac{A_{jk}}{t^2}(\alpha_{jk} - \tilde{\alpha}_{jk}) + O(t^{-\frac{7}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{2}}),$$

so there exists $A_j \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3m}$ such that

$$b_j^{(2)}(P) - b_j^{(2)}(\tilde{P}) = \frac{A_j(\alpha - \tilde{\alpha})^T}{t^2} + O(t^{-\frac{7}{3} + \epsilon}).$$

Set $A = (A_1^T, \dots, A_m^T)^T$. Then we can further rewrite (5.5) as

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{cases} \dot{\alpha}(t) - \dot{\tilde{\alpha}}(t) = 2\beta(t) - 2\tilde{\beta}(t), \\ \dot{\beta}(t) - \dot{\tilde{\beta}}(t) = \frac{A(\alpha - \tilde{\alpha})^T}{t^2} + O(t^{-\frac{7}{3} + \epsilon}), \\ \dot{\lambda}(t) - \dot{\tilde{\lambda}}(t) = O(t^{-2}), \end{cases}$$

The following lemma deals with an ODE of the above structure.

Lemma 5.1. *Let $0 < \delta < \kappa$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ and $F \in C(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$. Assume*

$$(5.7) \quad \sup_{|x| \leq 1} (|F(t, x)| + |\nabla_x F(t, x)|) \leq t^{-2-\kappa}, \quad \forall t > 0.$$

Then there exists $T > 0$ and $x \in C^2([T, +\infty), \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that

$$\ddot{x}(t) = \frac{Ax(t)^T}{t^2} + F(t, x(t)) \quad \text{and} \quad |x(t)| \leq t^{-\delta}, \quad \forall t \geq T.$$

Proof. We may work instead on \mathbb{C} by setting $F(t, z) = F(t, \operatorname{Re}(z))$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and allowing $x(t)$ to take value in \mathbb{C}^n . If the complex counterpart is proved, then the lemma follows by taking the real part.

For $T > 0$ and $x \in C([T, +\infty), \mathbb{C}^n)$, define the norm $\|x\|_3 := \sup_{t \geq T} t^\delta |x(t)|$ and let $B =$

$\{x \in C([T, +\infty), \mathbb{C}^n) \mid \|x\|_3 \leq 1\}$. We want to find a solution in B .

First we consider the case when A is diagonalizable over \mathbb{C} . We may take n linear independent eigenvectors $v_1, \dots, v_n \in \mathbb{C}^n$ of A , with eigenvalues c_1, \dots, c_n , respectively. Let a_j, b_j be the two roots of $\lambda^2 - \lambda = c_j$. Write $F(t, x) = \sum_{j=1}^n f_j(t, x)v_j$. Then f_j also satisfies (5.7). For $x \in B$, we define Γx by

$$(\Gamma x)(t) = \sum_{j=1}^n G_{a_j, b_j} f_j(t, x)v_j,$$

where

$$G_{a, b} f(t, x) = \begin{cases} \frac{G_a f(t, x) - G_b f(t, x)}{a - b}, & a \neq b, \\ \frac{d}{da} G_a f(t, x), & a = b, \end{cases}$$

and

$$G_a f(t, x) = \begin{cases} t^a \int_1^t \tau^{1-a} f(\tau, x(\tau)) d\tau, & \operatorname{Re}(a) \leq -\kappa, \\ -t^a \int_t^\infty \tau^{1-a} f(\tau, x(\tau)) d\tau, & \operatorname{Re}(a) > -\kappa, \end{cases}$$

for any $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$, function $f(\cdot, \cdot)$ satisfying (5.7) and $x \in B$.

Note that, if f satisfies (5.7), then $G_a f(t, x)$ is well-defined and satisfies

$$|G_a f(t, x)| \leq Ct^{-\kappa}, \quad |G_a f(t, x) - G_a f(t, y)| \leq Ct^{-\kappa-\delta} \|x - y\|_3, \quad \forall x, y \in B.$$

Same results hold for $\frac{d}{da} G_a f$ with the right hand sides multiplied by $\log t$.

Therefore, Γ is a well-define map on B . Moreover, if T is large enough, then Γ maps B to itself and is a contraction. By direct computation, we have

$$\frac{d^2}{dt^2}(\Gamma x) = \frac{A}{t^2}(\Gamma x) + F(t, x),$$

thus the unique fixed point of Γ in B , guaranteed by the contraction mapping theorem, is the desired $x \in C([T, +\infty), \mathbb{C}^n)$.

For the general case, for any $c_0 > 0$, there exists $\tilde{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ such that \tilde{A} is diagonalizable over \mathbb{C} , $\|A - \tilde{A}\| \leq c_0$, and $-\frac{1}{4}$ is not an eigenvalue of \tilde{A} . Consider

$$\ddot{x}(t) = \frac{\tilde{A}x(t)^T}{t^2} + \tilde{F}(t, x(t)), \quad \text{where } \tilde{F}(t, x) = F(t, x) + \frac{(A - \tilde{A})x^T}{t^2}.$$

Instead of (5.7), we have

$$\sup_{x \in B} \left(|\tilde{F}(t, x(t))| + |\nabla_x \tilde{F}(t, x(t))| \right) \leq c_0 t^{-2-\delta} + t^{-2-\kappa}, \quad \forall t > 0.$$

We repeat the construction of Γ with \tilde{A} and \tilde{F} . Note that there would not appear $G_{a,b} f$ with $a = b$ because $-\frac{1}{4}$ is not an eigenvalue of \tilde{A} . Thus we will get

$$\|\Gamma x\|_3 \leq Cc_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \|\Gamma x - \Gamma y\|_3 \leq Cc_0 T^{-\delta} \|x - y\|_3, \quad \forall x, y \in B$$

for some $C > 0$. We can still conclude upon taking c_0 small enough. \square

Back to the proposition, we easily obtain $\lambda - \tilde{\lambda} = O(t^{-1})$ by (5.6). Also the leading term of $O(t^{-\frac{7}{3}+\epsilon})$ does not depend on β because it comes from $b_j^{(2)}(P) - b_j^{(2)}(\tilde{P})$. Thus we can obtain the conclusion by a slight modification of the lemma. \square

5.2. Review of the hyperbolic case. Now, let us go over the proof of the hyperbolic case and see what has to be changed in the other two cases.

Everything in Section 2 works here, because it does not depend on the dynamics. Proposition 3.1 is replaced by Proposition 5.1. The rest of Section 3 will work because we have only used $a^{(N)}(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, it suffices to prove Proposition 3.3 in the other two settings.

In Section 4, the asymptotic properties (4.3) need to be changed. In the parabolic setting, by (1.8) and (5.1), we have

$$a(t) \sim t^{\frac{2}{3}}, \quad |\alpha_j| \lesssim t^{\frac{2}{3}}, \quad |\beta_j| \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{3}}, \quad |\dot{\beta}_j| \lesssim t^{-\frac{4}{3}}, \quad \lambda_j \sim 1, \quad |\dot{\lambda}_j| \lesssim t^{-\frac{4}{3}}.$$

For hyperbolic-parabolic solutions, the relation on $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ given by $a_j = a_k$ is an equivalence relation. Let M denote the set of equivalent classes. For $J \in M$, let α_J be any of $\{\alpha_j | j \in J\}$ and β_J be any of $\{\beta_j | j \in J\}$. Then by (1.9) and (5.1), we have

$$(5.8) \quad \begin{aligned} a(t) \sim t^{\frac{2}{3}}, \quad |\alpha_J| \lesssim t, \quad |\beta_J| \lesssim 1, \quad |\dot{\beta}_J| \lesssim t^{-\frac{4}{3}}, \quad \lambda_J \sim 1, \quad |\dot{\lambda}_J| \lesssim t^{-\frac{4}{3}}, \\ |\alpha_j - \alpha_J| \lesssim t^{\frac{2}{3}}, \quad |\beta_j - \beta_J| \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{3}}, \quad |\lambda_j - \lambda_J| \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{3}}, \quad \forall j \in J. \end{aligned}$$

With these one can check that all the estimates in Section 4.1, in particular (4.10) and (4.11), hold. This is mainly because we did not use the sharp bounds in (4.3).

However, we need some modification in Section 4.2. Lemma 4.1 is valid for the parabolic case. For the hyperbolic-parabolic case, we prove the following:

Lemma 5.2. *There exist $c, C > 0$ and $\varphi_j \in C^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ for $1 \leq j \leq m$ such that (4.13) holds and, moreover, for any $J \in M$,*

$$(5.9) \quad |\partial_t \varphi_J| + |\nabla \varphi_J| \leq Ct^{-1}, \quad \text{where } \varphi_J = \sum_{j \in J} \varphi_j.$$

Proof. For $J \in M$, let α_J be any of α_j , $j \in J$. Applying Lemma 4.1 to $\{\alpha_J | J \in M\}$, we can find $\varphi_J \in C^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \varphi_J(t, x) \leq 1, \quad \sum_{J \in M} \varphi_J(t, x) &\equiv 1, \\ |\partial_t \varphi_J| + |\nabla \varphi_J| \leq Ct^{-1}, \quad |\partial_t \sqrt{\varphi_J}| + |\nabla \sqrt{\varphi_J}| &\leq Ct^{-1}, \\ \varphi_J(t, x) = \begin{cases} 1, & |x - \alpha_J(t)| \leq ct, \\ 0, & |x - \alpha_K(t)| \leq ct, \quad K \neq J. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Then applying Lemma 4.1 to $\{\alpha_j | j \in J\}$ for each $J \in M$, we find $\psi_j \in C^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq \psi_j(t, x) \leq 1, \quad \sum_{j \in J} \psi_j(t, x) &\equiv 1, \\ |\partial_t \psi_j| + |\nabla \psi_j| \leq Ct^{-\frac{2}{3}}, \quad |\partial_t \sqrt{\psi_j}| + |\nabla \sqrt{\psi_j}| &\leq Ct^{-\frac{2}{3}}, \\ \psi_j(t, x) = \begin{cases} 1, & |x - \alpha_j(t)| \leq ct^{\frac{2}{3}}, \\ 0, & |x - \alpha_k(t)| \leq ct^{\frac{2}{3}}, \quad k \neq j. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Finally, take $\varphi_j = \varphi_J \psi_j$, where J contains j . Then all the conditions are satisfied. \square

It still suffices to prove Proposition 4.1 and 4.2.

We can prove Proposition 4.1 exactly as before. For Proposition 4.2, we need to check (4.18), (4.19) and (4.20). The proof of (4.18) need not to be changed. The difficulty of the other two estimates is that $|\partial_t \varphi_j| + |\nabla \varphi_j|$ does not have an $O(t^{-1})$ decay. By checking the previous computation, we need to show

$$(5.10) \quad \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_j^2} + |\beta_j|^2 \right) \int \left(\partial_t \varphi_j |\varepsilon|^2 + 2 \nabla \varphi_j \operatorname{Im}(\nabla \varepsilon \bar{\varepsilon}) \right) = O \left(\frac{\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2}{t} \right)$$

and

$$(5.11) \quad \sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j \int \left(\nabla \varphi_j \left(2 |\nabla \varepsilon|^2 + 2 \phi_{\operatorname{Re}(\varepsilon \bar{R})} \operatorname{Re}(\varepsilon \bar{R}) + \phi_{|R|^2} |\varepsilon|^2 \right) + \partial_t \varphi_j \operatorname{Im}(\nabla \varepsilon \bar{\varepsilon}) \right) = O \left(\frac{\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2}{t} \right).$$

At this point, we may understand the parabolic case as a special case of the hyperbolic-parabolic case, so we shall focus on the hyperbolic-parabolic case.

Our argument is easier than that in [10]. In fact, it is not clear whether the argument there can be applied here. Using (4.13) and (5.8), we have

$$|\beta_j - \beta_J| \cdot \left(|\partial_t \varphi_j| + |\nabla \varphi_j| \right) \leq \frac{C}{t}.$$

Combining this and (5.9), we derive (5.11). For (5.10), similarly, if we replace λ_j by λ_J and β_j by β_J , then the difference is at most $O(t^{-1})$. Finally the terms with λ_j or β_j are controlled using (5.9). We remark that this is the only place we need the assumption on the masses.

We have thus completed the proof of the parabolic case and the hyperbolic-parabolic case. Therefore, Theorem 1 is proved.

6. STABILITY

6.1. Preliminaries. We turn to the proof of Theorem 2. The purpose of this subsection is to perform some reductions of the problem and list some useful facts.

First notice that Theorem 2 follows from the following proposition.

Proposition 6.1. *Let $c_1, \dots, c_m \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $v_1, \dots, v_m \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $\kappa > 0$. Then there exist $L_0 > 0$, $\delta_0 > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that for any $L > L_0$ and $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$, if $x_1^0, \dots, x_m^0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $\gamma_1^0, \dots, \gamma_m^0 \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ and a unit vector $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^3$ satisfy*

$$(6.1) \quad \eta \times (v_j - v_{j-1}) \neq 0, \quad \eta \cdot (v_j - v_{j-1}) > \kappa, \quad \eta \cdot (x_j^0 - x_{j-1}^0) > L, \quad \forall 2 \leq j \leq m,$$

then for any solution $u \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, H^1(\mathbb{R}^3))$ of (1.1) satisfying

$$\left\| u(0, \cdot) - \sum_{j=1}^m c_j^2 Q(c_j(\cdot - x_j^0)) e^{-i\gamma_j^0 + i\frac{v_j}{2} \cdot x} \right\|_{H^1} < \delta,$$

there exist C^1 functions $\alpha_1(t), \dots, \alpha_m(t), \gamma_1(t), \dots, \gamma_m(t)$ such that

$$|\dot{\alpha}_j(t) - v_j| + \left| \dot{\gamma}_j(t) + c_j^2 - \frac{|v_j|^2}{4} \right| \leq C\delta + \frac{C}{\sqrt{L}}, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m,$$

and

$$\left\| u(t, \cdot) - \sum_{j=1}^m c_j^2 Q(c_j(\cdot - \alpha_j(t))) e^{-i\gamma_j(t) + i\frac{v_j}{2} \cdot x} \right\|_{H^1} \leq C\delta + \frac{C}{\sqrt{L}}, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

In fact, under the assumption of Theorem 2, for T large enough, $v_j := \dot{\alpha}_j^0(T)$ and $x_j^0 := \alpha_j^0(T)$ admit some η so that (6.1) holds. Then we may apply the proposition with $c_j = \frac{1}{\lambda_j^2}$.

In the following, v_j 's will be fixed as constants, so we denote

$$g_j = (\alpha_j, \lambda_j, \gamma_j) \quad \text{and} \quad g = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m).$$

Also, for simplicity, we let λ_j act on a function by multiplication, that is

$$g_j u := \lambda_j^2 u(\lambda_j(x - \alpha_j)) e^{-i\gamma_j + i\frac{v_j}{2} \cdot x}.$$

We do not need approximate solutions, so we simply set

$$R_g := \sum_{j=1}^m R_{j,g} := \sum_{j=1}^m g_j Q = \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j^2 Q(\lambda_j(x - \alpha_j)) e^{-i\gamma_j + i\frac{v_j}{2} \cdot x}.$$

We remind the reader of these slight changes of notations.

For $\delta, L > 0$, define

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_L &:= \left\{ g \in \mathbb{R}^{3m} \times \mathbb{R}_+^m \times (\mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z})^m \mid |\alpha_j - \alpha_k| > L, \quad \forall j \neq k \right\}, \\ \Omega_L^0 &:= \left\{ g \in \Omega_L \mid \lambda_j = c_j, \quad \forall j \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{B}_L(\delta) = \left\{ u \in H^1 \mid \exists g \in \Omega_L^0 \text{ s.t. } \|u - R_g\|_{H^1} < \delta \right\}.$$

By the standard bootstrap argument, we only need to prove:

Proposition 6.2. *Let $c_1, \dots, c_m \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $v_1, \dots, v_m \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $\kappa > 0$. Then there exist $L_0 > 0$, $\delta_0 > 0$ and $C > 0$ such that for any $L > L_0$ and $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$, if $x_1^0, \dots, x_m^0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$, $\gamma_1^0, \dots, \gamma_m^0 \in \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$ and a unit vector $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^3$ satisfy*

$$\eta \times (v_j - v_{j-1}) \neq 0, \quad \eta \cdot (v_j - v_{j-1}) > \kappa, \quad \eta \cdot (x_j^0 - x_{j-1}^0) > 2L, \quad \forall 2 \leq j \leq m,$$

then for any solution $u \in C^1(\mathbb{R}, H^1(\mathbb{R}^3))$ of (1.1) satisfying

$$\left\| u(0, \cdot) - \sum_{j=1}^m c_j^2 Q\left(c_j(\cdot - x_j^0)\right) e^{-i\gamma_j^0 + i\frac{v_j}{2} \cdot x} \right\|_{H^1} < \delta,$$

and $T_* > 0$ satisfying

$$(6.2) \quad u(t) \in \mathcal{B}_L\left(2C_0\delta + \frac{2C_0}{\sqrt{L}}\right), \quad \forall t \in [0, T_*],$$

there exists C^1 functions $\alpha_1(t), \dots, \alpha_m(t), \gamma_1(t), \dots, \gamma_m(t)$ such that

$$(6.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & |\alpha_j(t) - \alpha_k(t)| > L, \quad \forall t \in [0, T_*], \quad j \neq k, \\ & |\dot{\alpha}_j(t) - v_j| + \left| \dot{\gamma}_j(t) + c_j^2 - \frac{|v_j|^2}{4} \right| \leq C\delta + \frac{C}{\sqrt{L}}, \quad \forall t \in [0, T_*], \quad 1 \leq j \leq m, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(6.4) \quad \left\| u(t, \cdot) - \sum_{j=1}^m c_j^2 Q\left(c_j(\cdot - \alpha_j(t))\right) e^{-i\gamma_j(t) + i\frac{v_j}{2} \cdot x} \right\|_{H^1} \leq C_0\delta + \frac{C_0}{\sqrt{L}}, \quad \forall t \in [0, T_*].$$

Note that (6.2) is the additional bootstrap assumption.

For simplicity, we will say something holds when L is large enough or δ is small enough to avoid using the letters L_0 and δ_0 . By default, we allow all constants to depend on c_j 's, v_j 's and κ because they are fixed at the beginning. We additionally allow L and δ to depend on C_0 .

Thanks to (6.2), if δ is small enough and L is large enough, by exactly the same argument as how we prove Lemma 3.1, we can find $g(t) \in \Omega_{L/2}$, $t \in [0, T_*]$ such that

$$(6.5) \quad \operatorname{Re}\left(\varepsilon(t), g_j Q\right) = \operatorname{Re}\left(\varepsilon(t), g_j(\nabla Q)\right) = \operatorname{Im}\left(\varepsilon(t), g_j(\Lambda Q)\right) = 0,$$

where $\varepsilon(t, x) = u(t, x) - R_g(t, x)$. Moreover, g is C^1 in t and we have

$$(6.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & |\lambda_j(t) - c_j| + \|\varepsilon(t)\|_{H^1} \leq C\left(C_0\delta + \frac{C_0}{\sqrt{L}}\right), \\ & |\alpha_j(0) - x_j^0| + |\lambda_j(0) - c_j| + \|\varepsilon(0)\|_{H^1} \leq C\delta. \end{aligned}$$

We always assume δ is this small and L is this large and we fix this $g(t)$. We will show that this $g(t)$ satisfies the desired estimates (6.3) and (6.4).

Using arguments in Subsection 4.1, we have estimates on the modulation parameters.

Proposition 6.3. *There exists $C > 0$ such that if δ, L^{-1} are small enough, then*

$$(6.7) \quad \sum_{j=1}^m \left(|\dot{\alpha}_j - v_j| + |\dot{\lambda}_j| + |\dot{\gamma}_j + \lambda_j^2 - \frac{|v_j|^2}{4}| \right) \leq C \left(\|\varepsilon(t)\|_{H^1} + \frac{1}{L} \right), \quad \forall t \in [0, T_*].$$

As the right hand side is only of first order in ε (cf. (4.10)), we do not need to use the orthogonality between $L_j\theta$ and ε there. Thus the proof is much easier, and we shall omit it.

Write $R = R_g$ and $R_j = R_{j,g}$. By (6.6) and (6.7), if δ and L^{-1} are small enough, then

$$(6.8) \quad |\alpha_j(t) - x_j^0 - v_j t| \leq \frac{\kappa}{6} t + \frac{L}{4},$$

and thus

$$(6.9) \quad \eta \cdot (\alpha_j(t) - \alpha_{j-1}(t)) \geq \frac{2\kappa}{3} t + L.$$

Then by (1.4), we have

$$(6.10) \quad |R_j R_k| \leq C e^{-c(t+L)}, \quad |\phi_{|R_j|^2} R_k| \leq \frac{C}{t+L}, \quad \forall j \neq k.$$

We end this subsection by showing the identity

$$(6.11) \quad \mathcal{M}(Q) = -3\mathcal{H}(Q).$$

Recall that Q is a minimizer of $\mathcal{H}(u)$ among $u \in H^1$ with $\|u\|_{L^2} = \|Q\|_{L^2}$. Consider the function $Q_\lambda(x) = \lambda^{\frac{3}{2}} Q(\lambda x)$. Then $\|Q_\lambda\|_{L^2} = \|Q\|_{L^2}$, and we have

$$\mathcal{H}(Q_\lambda) = \lambda^2 \int |\nabla Q|^2 - \frac{\lambda}{2} \int |\nabla \phi_{Q^2}|^2.$$

Since $\mathcal{H}(Q_\lambda)$ is minimal when $\lambda = 1$, we deduce that $\|\nabla \phi_{Q^2}\|_{L^2}^2 = 4\|\nabla Q\|_{L^2}^2$. By (1.3) and integration by parts, we have $\|\nabla \phi_{Q^2}\|_{L^2}^2 = \|\nabla Q\|_{L^2}^2 + \|Q\|_{L^2}^2$. Thus $\mathcal{M}(Q) = -3\mathcal{H}(Q)$.

6.2. The monotonicity formula. Now we come to the most essential ingredient of the proof of stability. In Section 4, we once proved the estimate

$$\left| \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{G}(\varepsilon(t)) \right| \leq \frac{C \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2}{t} + \text{small terms}.$$

Previously, we have $\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1} \leq t^{-N}$ for a large enough N apriori. Then the above estimate gives a better bound of $\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}$, and thus closes the bootstrap.

On the other hand, we do not expect $\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}$ to go to 0 in the current setting, so the above estimate is not helpful. To fix this issue, we will manage to prove

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{G}(\varepsilon(t)) \leq \frac{C \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2}{t^{3/2}} + \text{small terms}.$$

We only have one direction of the inequality but we can raise the power of t . Note that we were solving the equation from $t = 0$ to $t > 0$, so this direction is the useful direction. This idea comes from [19], and is crucial to our proof.

The rigorous argument begins now.

For $2 \leq j \leq m$, set $w_j = v_j - v_{j-1}$, $p_j = \frac{x_j^0 + x_{j-1}^0}{2}$ and let ξ_j be such that

$$\begin{cases} w_j \cdot \xi_j = 2(\lambda_j^2(0) - \lambda_{j-1}^2(0)) + \frac{|v_j|^2 - |v_{j-1}|^2}{2} \\ \eta \cdot (\xi_j - v_{j-1}) > \frac{\kappa}{3}, \quad \eta \cdot (v_j - \xi_j) > \frac{\kappa}{3}. \end{cases}$$

Such ξ_j exists because η is not parallel to w_j by (6.1).

Let ψ_0 be a smooth cutoff of $[0, +\infty)$. Let $\psi(x) = \psi_0(\eta \cdot x)$, $b = \frac{L^2}{16}$ and

$$\psi_j(t, x) = \psi\left(\frac{x - p_j - \xi_j t}{\sqrt{t+b}}\right).$$

Define

$$\mathcal{F}_j(u(t)) = \frac{\xi_j \cdot w_j}{2} \int \psi_j |u|^2 - w_j \int \psi_j \operatorname{Im}(\nabla u \bar{u}),$$

The following is the monotonicity formula for \mathcal{F}_j .

Proposition 6.4. *There exist $C, c > 0$ such that if (6.8) holds, then*

$$\mathcal{F}_j(u(t)) - \mathcal{F}_j(u(0)) \leq \frac{C}{L} \sup_{\tau \in [0, t]} \|\varepsilon(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2 + C e^{-c(t+L)}, \quad \forall t \in [0, T_*].$$

Proof. Let

$$z(t, x) = u(t, x + p_j + \xi_j t) e^{-i \frac{\xi_j}{2} \cdot (x + p_j + \frac{\xi_j}{2} t)}.$$

Then z also solves (1.1), and we have

$$\mathcal{F}_j(u(t)) = -w_j \int \psi\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t+b}}\right) \operatorname{Im}(\nabla z \bar{z}).$$

Then by (1.1) and integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\mathcal{F}_j}{dt} &= \frac{w_j}{2(t+b)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \int \nabla \psi\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t+b}}\right) \cdot x \operatorname{Im}(\nabla z \bar{z}) \\ &\quad - 2w_j \int \psi\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t+b}}\right) \operatorname{Re}(i \partial_t z \nabla \bar{z}) - \frac{w_j}{\sqrt{t+b}} \int \nabla \psi\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t+b}}\right) \operatorname{Re}(i \partial_t z \bar{z}) \\ &= \frac{w_j}{2(t+b)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \int \nabla \psi\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t+b}}\right) \cdot x \operatorname{Im}(\nabla z \bar{z}) - \frac{2w_j}{\sqrt{t+b}} \int \nabla \psi\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t+b}}\right) |\nabla z|^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{w_j}{2\sqrt{t+b}} \int \nabla \psi\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t+b}}\right) |\nabla \phi_{|z|^2}|^2 + \frac{w_j}{2(t+b)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \int \nabla \Delta \psi\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t+b}}\right) |z|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Using Cauchy-Schwarz and $\nabla \psi \cdot w_j \geq 0$, we get

$$\frac{d\mathcal{F}_j}{dt} \leq \frac{C}{(t+b)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \int_{|\eta \cdot x| < \sqrt{t+b}} |z|^2.$$

We claim that

$$|\eta \cdot x| \leq \sqrt{t+b} \implies |x + p_j + \xi_j t - \alpha_k(t)| \geq c(t+L) - C.$$

If $k \leq j - 1$, then by (6.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |x + p_j + \xi_j t - \alpha_k(t)| &\geq \eta \cdot p_j + \eta \cdot \beta_j t - \eta \cdot x_k(t) - |\eta \cdot x| \\ &\geq \eta \cdot (\xi_j - v_k)t + \eta \cdot (p_j - \alpha_k^0) - \frac{\kappa}{6}t - \frac{L}{4} - \sqrt{t+b} \\ &\geq \frac{\kappa}{6}t + \frac{3L}{4} - \sqrt{t} - \frac{L}{4} \geq \frac{\kappa}{8}t + \frac{L}{2} - C. \end{aligned}$$

The case when $k \geq j$ can be proved similarly. Then by localization of R_j , we get

$$\int_{|\eta \cdot x| < \sqrt{t+b}} |z|^2 \leq \|\varepsilon\|_{L^2}^2 + Ce^{-c(t+L)}.$$

This implies the desired estimate by integrating in t . \square

We remark that this inequality holds without the assumption that the difference of velocities is large compared to the masses (cf. Assumption (A3) and (A3') in [19]). This is possible because of our particular choice of ξ_j and that the dimension is larger than 1.

6.3. Estimates of the error. Set $\psi_1 = 1$ and $\psi_{m+1} = 0$. Let $\varphi_j = \psi_j - \psi_{j+1}$, $1 \leq j \leq m$. By the computation in the proof of Proposition 6.4, we have

$$\varphi_j(t, x) = \begin{cases} 1, & |x - \alpha_j(t)| \leq c(t+L), \\ 0, & |x - \alpha_k(t)| \leq c(t+L), \quad k \neq j. \end{cases}$$

Thus we have

$$(6.12) \quad |\varphi_j R - R_j| \leq Ce^{-c(t+L)}, \quad \forall t \in [0, T_*], \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3.$$

With φ_j as the cutoff functions, we can consider \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{G} as in Subsection 4.2. Define the truncated mass and momentum:

$$\mathcal{M}_j(u(t)) = \int \varphi_j |u|^2, \quad \mathcal{P}_j(u(t)) = \int \varphi_j \text{Im}(\nabla u \bar{u}).$$

Then we let

$$\mathcal{E}(u(t)) = \mathcal{H}(u(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^m \left[\left(\lambda_j^2(0) + \frac{|v_j|^2}{4} \right) \mathcal{M}_j(u(t)) - v_j \mathcal{P}_j(u(t)) \right]$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}(\varepsilon(t)) &= \int |\nabla \varepsilon|^2 + \int \phi_{|R|^2} |\varepsilon|^2 - 2 \int |\nabla \phi_{\text{Re}(\varepsilon \bar{R})}|^2 + 2 \int \phi_{\text{Re}(\varepsilon \bar{R})} |\varepsilon|^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int |\nabla \phi_{|\varepsilon|^2}|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\lambda_j^2 + \frac{|v_j|^2}{4} \right) \mathcal{M}_j(\varepsilon) - \sum_{j=1}^m v_j \mathcal{P}_j(\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Similar to Proposition 4.1, the functional \mathcal{G} is positive definite.

Proposition 6.5. *There exists $c > 0$ such that if L is large enough, then*

$$\mathcal{G}(\varepsilon(t)) \geq c \|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}^2, \quad \forall t \in [0, T_*].$$

The functional \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{E} are related via the following formula.

Proposition 6.6. *We have the following expansion for $t \in [0, T_*]$:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(u(t)) &= -2 \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j^3(0) \mathcal{M}(Q) + \mathcal{G}(\varepsilon(t)) \\ &\quad + O(\|\varepsilon(t)\|_{H^1}^3) + O\left(\sum_{j=1}^m |\lambda_j(t) - \lambda_j(0)|^2\right) + O\left(\frac{1}{t+L}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Using $u = R + \varepsilon$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(u(t)) &= \int |\nabla R|^2 + 2\operatorname{Re}(\nabla \varepsilon \nabla \bar{R}) + |\nabla \varepsilon|^2 - \frac{1}{2} \int \left| \nabla \phi_{|R|^2} + 2\nabla \phi_{\operatorname{Re}(\varepsilon \bar{R})} + \nabla \phi_{|\varepsilon|^2} \right|^2 \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\lambda_j^2(0) + \frac{|v_j|^2}{4} \right) \int \varphi_j |R|^2 + 2\operatorname{Re}(\varepsilon \varphi_j \bar{R}) + \varphi_j |\varepsilon|^2 \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=1}^m v_j \int \varphi_j \operatorname{Im}(\nabla R \bar{R} + \nabla R \bar{\varepsilon} + \nabla \varepsilon \bar{R} + \nabla \varepsilon \bar{\varepsilon}) \\ &= \mathcal{E}(R(t)) + \mathcal{G}(\varepsilon(t)) - 2\operatorname{Re} \int \varepsilon \Delta \bar{R} + 2\operatorname{Re} \int \varepsilon \phi_{|R|^2} \bar{R} \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^m \left[2 \left(\lambda_j^2(0) + \frac{|v_j|^2}{4} \right) \operatorname{Re} \int \varepsilon \varphi_j \bar{R} - v_j \operatorname{Im} \int \varphi_j (\nabla R \bar{\varepsilon} + \nabla \varepsilon \bar{R}) \right] \\ &\quad + O\left(\sum_{j=1}^m |\lambda_j(t) - \lambda_j(0)| \cdot \|\varepsilon(t)\|_{H^1}^2\right) + O(\|\varepsilon(t)\|_{H^1}^3). \end{aligned}$$

By (6.10), (6.11) and (6.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(R(t)) &= \sum_{j=1}^m \left[\mathcal{H}(R_j(t)) + \left(\lambda_j^2(0) + \frac{|v_j|^2}{4} \right) \mathcal{M}(R_j(t)) - v_j \mathcal{P}(R_j(t)) \right] + O(e^{-c(t+L)}) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^m \left(\lambda_j^3(t) \mathcal{H}(Q) + \lambda_j^2(0) \lambda_j(t) \mathcal{M}(Q) \right) + O(e^{-c(t+L)}) \\ &= -2 \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j^3(0) \mathcal{M}(Q) + O\left(\sum_{j=1}^m |\lambda_j(t) - \lambda_j(0)|^2\right) + O(e^{-c(t+L)}). \end{aligned}$$

For the first order term of ε , by (6.10) and (6.12), it is

$$2 \sum_{j=1}^m \operatorname{Re} \int \bar{\varepsilon} \left(-\Delta R_j + \phi_{|R_j|^2} R_j + \left(\lambda_j^2(0) + \frac{|v_j|^2}{4} \right) R_j + i v_j \nabla R_j \right) + O\left(\frac{1}{t+L}\right),$$

and the first term vanishes because of (6.5) and (1.3). Thus the expansion follows. \square

The first order term on ε does not appear because of (6.5). It is also crucial that the error term on λ_j is of second order, which is a result of (6.11).

With this expansion, we can prove the upper bound of $\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}$ by the monotonicity formula as follows. By Abel summation, we have

$$\mathcal{E}(u(t)) = \mathcal{H}(u(t)) + \left(\lambda_1^2(0) + \frac{|v_1|^2}{4} \right) \mathcal{M}(u(t)) - v_1 \mathcal{P}(u(t)) + \sum_{j=2}^m \mathcal{F}_j(u(t)).$$

Then by Proposition 6.5, Proposition 6.6 and the conservation laws, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} c\|\varepsilon(t)\|_{H^1}^2 &\leq C\|\varepsilon(t)\|_{H^1}^3 + \sum_{j=2}^m \left(\mathcal{F}_j(u(t)) - \mathcal{F}_j(u(0)) \right) \\ &\quad + C \sum_{j=1}^m |\lambda_j(t) - \lambda_j(0)|^2 + C\|\varepsilon(0)\|_{H^1}^2 + \frac{C}{t+L}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Proposition 6.4, if $\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}$ is small enough, then

$$\begin{aligned} (6.13) \quad &\|\varepsilon(t)\|_{H^1}^2 + \sum_{j=2}^m |\mathcal{F}_j(u(t)) - \mathcal{F}_j(u(0))| \\ &\leq \frac{C}{L} \sup_{\tau \in [0, t]} \|\varepsilon(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2 + C \sum_{j=1}^m |\lambda_j(t) - \lambda_j(0)|^2 + C\|\varepsilon(0)\|_{H^1}^2 + \frac{C}{t+L}. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to estimate $|\lambda_j(t) - \lambda_j(0)|$. We need an estimate better than (6.7). This is possible thanks to the subcriticality of the 3D Hartree equation.

Proposition 6.7. *There exists $C > 0$ such that*

$$(6.14) \quad \sum_{j=1}^m |\lambda_j(t) - \lambda_j(0)| \leq C\|\varepsilon(t)\|_{H^1}^2 + \frac{C}{t+L}, \quad \forall t \in [0, T_*].$$

Proof. Let ξ_j^+ and ξ_j^- be such that

$$w_j \cdot \xi_j - 2\kappa < w_j \cdot \xi_j^- < w_j \cdot \xi_j - \kappa < w_j \cdot \xi_j + \kappa < w_j \cdot \xi_j^+ < w_j \cdot \xi_j + 2\kappa$$

and

$$\eta \cdot v_{j-1} + \frac{\kappa}{3} < \eta \cdot \xi_j^\pm < \eta \cdot v_j - \frac{\kappa}{3}.$$

Define ψ_j^\pm and \mathcal{F}_j^\pm accordingly. Similar to Proposition 6.4, we have

$$(6.15) \quad \mathcal{F}_j^\pm(u(t)) - \mathcal{F}_j^\pm(u(0)) \leq \frac{C}{L} \sup_{\tau \in [0, t]} \|\varepsilon(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2 + Ce^{-c(t+L)}, \quad \forall t \in [0, T_*].$$

By (6.10) and (6.12), we have

$$\mathcal{F}_j(u(t)) = \sum_{k=j}^m \left(\frac{\xi_k \cdot w_k}{2} \mathcal{M}(R_k(t)) - w_k \mathcal{P}(R_k(t)) \right) + O(\|\varepsilon(t)\|_{H^1}^2) + O(e^{-c(t+L)}).$$

Similar formula holds for \mathcal{F}_j^\pm , so

$$\mathcal{F}_j^\pm(u(t)) - \mathcal{F}_j(u(t)) = \sum_{k=j}^m \frac{w_k \cdot (\xi_k^\pm - \xi_k)}{2} \mathcal{M}(R_k(t)) + O(\|\varepsilon(t)\|_{H^1}^2) + O(e^{-c(t+L)}).$$

By (6.13), (6.15) and induction, we deduce

$$\sum_{j=1}^m |\mathcal{M}(R_j(t)) - \mathcal{M}(R_j(0))| \leq C\|\varepsilon(t)\|_{H^1}^2 + \frac{C}{t+L}.$$

Since $\mathcal{M}(R_j) = \lambda_j \mathcal{M}(Q)$, we get the desired estimate. \square

Now, what remains is to check (6.3) and (6.4).

The first line of (6.3) follows from (6.9). By (6.13) and (6.14), taking δ and L^{-1} small enough (so $\|\varepsilon\|_{H^1}$ is also small), and using (6.6), we get

$$\|\varepsilon(t)\|_{H^1}^2 \leq C\|\varepsilon(0)\|_{H^1}^2 + \frac{C}{L} \leq C\delta^2 + \frac{C}{L}.$$

Note that (6.14) and (6.6) imply $|\lambda_j(t) - c_j| \leq C\delta + \frac{C}{L}$. Combining these with (6.7), we obtain the second line of (6.3).

Take $\bar{g}(t) = (\alpha_1(t), \dots, \alpha_m(t), c_1, \dots, c_m, \gamma_1(t), \dots, \gamma_m(t))$. Then

$$\|u(t) - R_{\bar{g}}(t)\|_{H^1} \leq \|\varepsilon(t)\|_{H^1} + C\|g(t) - \bar{g}(t)\| \leq C\delta + \frac{C}{\sqrt{L}}.$$

Since this C does not depend on C_0 , we may take $C_0 > C$ and then determine δ and L . Then (6.4) holds and the proof is completed.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to thank Professor Wilhelm Schlag for suggesting the problem and for useful discussions. I would also like to thank Professor Joachim Krieger for kind encouragement.

REFERENCES

1. V. Barutello, S. Terracini, and G. Verzini, *Entire minimal parabolic trajectories: the planar anisotropic Kepler problem*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **207** (2013), no. 2, 583–609. MR 3005324
2. T. Cazenave, *Semilinear Schrödinger equations*, Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 10, New York University, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York; American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003. MR 2002047
3. T. Cazenave and P.-L. Lions, *Orbital stability of standing waves for some nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Comm. Math. Phys. **85** (1982), no. 4, 549–561. MR 677997
4. G. Chen and J. Liu, *Soliton resolution for the focusing modified KdV equation*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C Anal. Non Linéaire **38** (2021), no. 6, 2005–2071. MR 4327906
5. R. Côte and C. Muñoz, *Multi-solitons for nonlinear Klein-Gordon equations*, Forum Math. Sigma **2** (2014), Paper No. e15, 38. MR 3264254
6. T. Duyckaerts, C. Kenig, and F. Merle, *Soliton resolution for the radial critical wave equation in all odd space dimensions*, Acta Math. **230** (2023), no. 1, 1–92. MR 4567713
7. D. L. Ferrario and S. Terracini, *On the existence of collisionless equivariant minimizers for the classical n -body problem*, Invent. Math. **155** (2004), no. 2, 305–362. MR 2031430
8. J. Jendrej and A. Lawrie, *Soliton resolution for the energy-critical nonlinear wave equation in the radial case*, Ann. PDE **9** (2023), no. 2, Paper No. 18, 117. MR 4650926
9. H. Koch and D. Tataru, *Multisolitons for the cubic nls in 1-d and their stability*, Publ.math.IHES (2024).
10. J. Krieger, Y. Martel, and P. Raphaël, *Two-soliton solutions to the three-dimensional gravitational Hartree equation*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **62** (2009), no. 11, 1501–1550. MR 2560043
11. E. Lenzmann, *Uniqueness of ground states for pseudorelativistic Hartree equations*, Anal. PDE **2** (2009), no. 1, 1–27. MR 2561169
12. E. H. Lieb, *Existence and uniqueness of the minimizing solution of Choquard’s nonlinear equation*, Studies in Appl. Math. **57** (1976/77), no. 2, 93–105. MR 471785
13. P.-L. Lions, *Applications de la méthode de concentration-compacité à l’existence de fonctions extrémales*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **296** (1983), no. 15, 645–648. MR 705681
14. J. Liu, D. Yan, and Y. Zhou, *Existence of hyperbolic motions to a class of Hamiltonians and generalized N -body system via a geometric approach*, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **247** (2023), no. 4, Paper No. 64, 54. MR 4600219
15. E. Maderna and A. Venturelli, *Viscosity solutions and hyperbolic motions: a new PDE method for the N -body problem*, Ann. of Math. (2) **192** (2020), no. 2, 499–550. MR 4151083
16. Y. Martel, *Asymptotic N -soliton-like solutions of the subcritical and critical generalized Korteweg-de Vries equations*, Amer. J. Math. **127** (2005), no. 5, 1103–1140. MR 2170139

17. Y. Martel and F. Merle, *Multi solitary waves for nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C Anal. Non Linéaire **23** (2006), no. 6, 849–864. MR 2271697
18. Y. Martel, F. Merle, and T.-P. Tsai, *Stability and asymptotic stability in the energy space of the sum of N solitons for subcritical $gKdV$ equations*, Comm. Math. Phys. **231** (2002), no. 2, 347–373. MR 1946336
19. ———, *Stability in H^1 of the sum of K solitary waves for some nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Duke Math. J. **133** (2006), no. 3, 405–466. MR 2228459
20. J. Murphy and K. Nakanishi, *Failure of scattering to solitary waves for long-range nonlinear Schrödinger equations*, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. **41** (2021), no. 3, 1507–1517. MR 4201850
21. H. Poincaré, *The three-body problem and the equations of dynamics*, Astrophysics and Space Science Library, vol. 443, Springer, Cham, 2017, Poincaré’s foundational work on dynamical systems theory, Translated from the 1890 French original and with a preface by Bruce D. Popp. MR 3642673
22. D. Polimeni and S. Terracini, *On the existence of minimal expansive solutions to the n -body problem*, arXiv: 2310.06360 (2023).
23. I. Rodnianski, W. Schlag, and A. Soffer, *Asymptotic stability of n -soliton states of nls*, arXiv: math/0309114 (2003).
24. A. Soffer and X. Wu, *Soliton resolution for nonlinear schrödinger type equations in the radial case*, arXiv: 2304.04245 (2023).
25. T. Tao, *A global compact attractor for high-dimensional defocusing non-linear Schrödinger equations with potential*, Dyn. Partial Differ. Equ. **5** (2008), no. 2, 101–116. MR 2435463
26. ———, *Why are solitons stable?*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **46** (2009), no. 1, 1–33. MR 2457070

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, YALE UNIVERSITY, NEW HAVEN, CT 06511
Email address: yutong.wu.yw894@yale.edu