

# Hamiltonian Structure of the Guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell Equations with Polarization and Magnetization

Alain J. Brizard<sup>a</sup>

*Department of Physics, Saint Michael's College, Colchester, VT 05439, USA*

<sup>a</sup>*Author to whom correspondence should be addressed: abrizard@smcvt.edu*

(Dated: October 4, 2024)

The Hamiltonian formulation of guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell equations, which contain dipole contributions to the guiding-center polarization and magnetization, is presented in terms of a guiding-center Hamiltonian functional that is derived from the exact guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell energy conservation law, and an antisymmetric functional bracket that satisfies the Jacobi property. Exact energy-momentum and angular momentum conservation laws are expressed in Hamiltonian form and the guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell entropy functional is shown to be a Casimir functional.

## I. INTRODUCTION

The investigation of the noncanonical Hamiltonian structure of important dissipation's plasma dynamical systems began with the equations of ideal magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) [1] and the Vlasov-Maxwell equations [2–4]. These structures have led to the development of advanced structure-preserving algorithms [5–9] that allow for sophisticated numerical methods for solving dissipationless plasma equations that possess Hamiltonian and Lagrangian structures.

Based on the asymptotic separation of orbital time scales in a magnetically-confined plasma [10–13], reduced Vlasov-Maxwell equations have played a crucial role in the analysis of the long-time behavior of magnetized plasmas [13–15]. On the one hand, through the introduction of adiabatic invariants, constructed by standard Lie-transform perturbation methods [16] associated with widely-separated orbital time scales, fast orbital time scales are asymptotically removed from the Vlasov kinetic equation to produce a reduced Vlasov equation. On the other hand, this dynamical reduction introduces important polarization and magnetization effects into the reduced Maxwell equations [15]. In addition, the variational structure of these reduced Vlasov-Maxwell equations allows the derivation of exact energy-momentum conservation laws through the application of the Noether method [15].

The purpose of the present paper is to explore the Hamiltonian structure of the guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell equations. One approach is to proceed by Lie-transform methods [17] on the Hamiltonian structure of the Vlasov-Maxwell equations [2–4]. A more direct approach, however, is to construct the Hamiltonian structure from the guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell equations themselves. (The construction of the Hamiltonian structure for the Vlasov-Maxwell equations followed by Morrison [3] is reviewed in App. A.) This direct approach yielded the Hamiltonian structure for the guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell equations [18] in the simplest case when the guiding-center polarization appears only as a correction to the guiding-center magnetization [19]. A direct approach also yielded the Hamiltonian structure for the

gauge-free gyrokinetic Vlasov-Maxwell theory [20], which was made possible only because the gyrokinetic equations were expressed solely in terms of the perturbed electromagnetic fields  $(\mathbf{E}_1, \mathbf{B}_1)$ , instead of the standard gyrokinetic representation [14] involving the perturbed electromagnetic potentials  $(\Phi_1, \mathbf{A}_1)$ .

In order to take into account the full guiding-center polarization, it is a standard procedure [21–24] to describe particle motion in a local frame moving with the  $E \times B$  velocity, expressed as  $\mathbf{E} \times \hat{\mathbf{c}}b/B$  (in terms of the electric field  $\mathbf{E}$  and the magnetic field  $\mathbf{B} \equiv B\hat{\mathbf{b}}$ ). In this moving frame, a generic expression for the guiding-center Lagrangian is expressed as [21–24]

$$L_{gc} \equiv \left( \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{A} + P_{\parallel} \hat{\mathbf{b}} + \mathbf{\Pi}_{gc} \right) \cdot \dot{\mathbf{X}} - \left( e\Phi + K_{gc} \right), \quad (1)$$

where  $(\mathbf{X}, P_{\parallel})$  denote the guiding-center position and guiding-center parallel kinetic momentum, while the guiding-center *symplectic* momentum  $\mathbf{\Pi}_{gc}$  and the guiding-center kinetic energy  $K_{gc}$  may depend on the electric field  $\mathbf{E}$ . Hence, in this *symplectic* polarization representation, each term separately contributes to guiding-center polarization, which is defined in terms of the partial derivative of Eq. (1) with respect to  $\mathbf{E}$ :

$$\partial L_{gc} / \partial \mathbf{E} \equiv \dot{\mathbf{X}} \cdot \partial \mathbf{\Pi}_{gc} / \partial \mathbf{E} - \partial K_{gc} / \partial \mathbf{E}. \quad (2)$$

In addition, we note that, despite the fact that the electromagnetic potentials  $(\Phi, \mathbf{A})$  appear in the guiding-center Lagrangian (1), the guiding-center equations of motion derived from it are gauge invariant since Eq. (1) transforms as  $L_{gc} \rightarrow L_{gc} + (e/c) d\chi/dt$  under the gauge transformation  $(\Phi, \mathbf{A}) \rightarrow (\Phi - c^{-1} \partial\chi/\partial t, \mathbf{A} + \nabla\chi)$ , and the guiding-center Euler-Lagrange equations are invariant under the addition of an exact time derivative to the Lagrangian  $L_{gc}$ .

In the present paper, we adopt the alternate *Hamiltonian* polarization representation in which the guiding-center polarization is introduced explicitly only through the guiding-center kinetic energy  $K_{gc}$ , i.e., Eq. (2) simplifies to  $\partial L_{gc} / \partial \mathbf{E} \equiv -\partial K_{gc} / \partial \mathbf{E}$  when  $\partial \mathbf{\Pi}_{gc} / \partial \mathbf{E} \equiv 0$ . These dual polarization representations are analogous to the Hamiltonian and symplectic representations of gyrokinetic Vlasov-Maxwell theory [14, 25, 26], in which

the perturbed magnetic field, respectively, appears either in the gyrocenter Hamiltonian only or in both the gyrocenter Hamiltonian and the gyrocenter Poisson bracket [25, 26]. We note that, in the gyrocenter and guiding-center symplectic representations, the inductive electric field and the polarization drift velocity appear explicitly in their respective Euler-Lagrange equations, while these terms are absent in the Hamiltonian representations. Hence, because of the simplicity of the Hamiltonian polarization representation, we will explore the Hamiltonian structure of the guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell equations derived from the guiding-center Lagrangian (1) with  $\Pi_{\text{gc}} \equiv 0$  used for further simplicity, and leave the symplectic polarization case to future work.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, the guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell equations are presented, with the guiding-center polarization and magnetization defined in terms of derivatives of the guiding-center Lagrangian with respect to electric and magnetic fields, respectively. In Sec. III, the energy-momentum conservation laws of the guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell equations, which are derived by Noether method, are presented. In addition, we show that the angular momentum conservation law follows from the explicit symmetry of the guiding-center stress tensor. In Sec. IV, we construct the functional bracket for the guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell equations, following a direct procedure adopted for other reduced Vlasov-Maxwell equations [18, 20]. The form of the new guiding-center functional bracket will guarantee the Jacobi property.

## II. GUIDING-CENTER VLASOV-MAXWELL EQUATIONS

The guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell equations describe the time evolution of the guiding-center Vlasov phase-space density  $F_{\text{gc}} = \mathcal{J}_{\text{gc}} F$ , which is defined in terms of the guiding-center Vlasov function  $F(\mathbf{X}, P_{\parallel}, J, t)$  and the guiding-center phase-space Jacobian  $\mathcal{J}_{\text{gc}}$  on a  $4 + 1$  reduced guiding-center phase space  $(\mathbf{X}, P_{\parallel}; J)$ , where  $J \equiv \mu B / \Omega = \mu(m c / e)$  denotes the guiding-center gyroaction adiabatic invariant, and the Maxwell fields  $(\mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}, \mathbf{B})$ , where the guiding-center displacement field  $\mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}} \equiv \mathbf{E} + 4\pi \mathbf{P}_{\text{gc}}$  is defined in terms of the guiding-center polarization  $\mathbf{P}_{\text{gc}}$ , while  $\mathbf{B}$  denotes the magnetic field. We note here that, in contrast to gyrokinetic Vlasov-Maxwell theory [14], the electric and magnetic fields are not separated into background (unperturbed) and fluctuating (perturbed) fields, where only the fluctuating fields are considered as variational fields.

As discussed by Morrison [27], the functional bracket for the guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell equations considered here will act on arbitrary functionals  $\mathcal{F}[F_{\text{gc}}, \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}, \mathbf{B}]$  and, in the Hamiltonian representation adopted here, the electric field  $\mathbf{E}[F_{\text{gc}}, \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}, \mathbf{B}]$  will be shown to be expressed as a simple functional of the guiding-center fields  $(F_{\text{gc}}, \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}, \mathbf{B})$ . Lastly, we will show that the guiding-

center Vlasov-Maxwell equations

$$\frac{\partial F_{\text{gc}}}{\partial t} = -\nabla \cdot (F_{\text{gc}} \dot{\mathbf{X}}) - \frac{\partial}{\partial P_{\parallel}} (F_{\text{gc}} \dot{P}_{\parallel}), \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}}{\partial t} = c \nabla \times \mathbf{H}_{\text{gc}} - 4\pi \mathbf{J}_{\text{gc}}, \quad (4)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = -c \nabla \times \mathbf{E}, \quad (5)$$

can be expressed in Hamiltonian form

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial t} \equiv \left[ \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{H}_{\text{gc}} \right]_{\text{gc}}, \quad (6)$$

where  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{gc}}$  denotes the guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell Hamiltonian functional and  $[, ]_{\text{gc}}$  denotes the guiding-center Hamiltonian functional bracket. In Eq. (3), the guiding-center equations of motion  $(\dot{\mathbf{X}}, \dot{P}_{\parallel})$  will be derived (see App. B for details) from the guiding-center Lagrangian

$$L_{\text{gc}} = \left( \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{A} + P_{\parallel} \hat{\mathbf{b}} \right) \cdot \dot{\mathbf{X}} - (e \Phi + K_{\text{gc}}). \quad (7)$$

In Eq. (4), on the other hand, the guiding-center electromagnetic fields

$$\mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}} \equiv \mathbf{E} + 4\pi \mathbf{P}_{\text{gc}}, \quad (8)$$

$$\mathbf{H}_{\text{gc}} \equiv \mathbf{B} - 4\pi \mathbf{M}_{\text{gc}}, \quad (9)$$

are defined in terms of the guiding-center polarization and magnetization

$$(\mathbf{P}_{\text{gc}}, \mathbf{M}_{\text{gc}}) \equiv \int_P F_{\text{gc}} \left( -\partial K_{\text{gc}} / \partial \mathbf{E}, \partial L_{\text{gc}} / \partial \mathbf{B} \right), \quad (10)$$

where the guiding-center polarization is defined in the Hamiltonian representation, and we use the notation  $\int_P(\dots) = \sum \int(\dots) 2\pi dP_{\parallel} dJ$ , which includes a summation over charged-particle species and excludes the guiding-center Jacobian  $\mathcal{J}_{\text{gc}}$  since it is included in the definition of the guiding-center Vlasov density  $F_{\text{gc}}$ . The guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell equations (3)-(5) are complemented by the remaining Maxwell equations

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}} = 4\pi \varrho_{\text{gc}}, \quad (11)$$

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0, \quad (12)$$

where the guiding-center charge and current densities

$$(\varrho_{\text{gc}}, \mathbf{J}_{\text{gc}}) = \int_P F_{\text{gc}} (e, e \dot{\mathbf{X}}), \quad (13)$$

satisfy the charge conservation law

$$\frac{\partial \varrho_{\text{gc}}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{J}_{\text{gc}} = 0, \quad (14)$$

which can be obtained directly from Eqs. (4) and (11).

### A. Guiding-center Hamilton equations

According to the Hamiltonian polarization representation adopted here, the guiding-center kinetic energy appearing in the guiding-center Lagrangian (7) is expressed as [13]

$$K_{\text{gc}} = \mu B + \frac{P_{\parallel}^2}{2m} - \frac{m}{2} |\mathbf{E} \times \widehat{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{b}/B|^2, \quad (15)$$

which includes a ponderomotive contribution associated with the  $E \times B$  velocity. The details of the guiding-center transformation leading to Eq. (15) are presented in App. B.

The Euler-Lagrange equations derived from the guiding-center Lagrangian (7) yield the guiding-center Hamilton equations of motion in reduced guiding-center phase space  $(\mathbf{X}, P_{\parallel})$ , which include the guiding-center velocity

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mathbf{X}} &= \frac{\partial K_{\text{gc}}}{\partial P_{\parallel}} \frac{\mathbf{B}^*}{B_{\parallel}^*} + \left( e \mathbf{E}^* - \nabla K_{\text{gc}} \right) \times \frac{\widehat{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{b}}{e B_{\parallel}^*} \\ &\equiv \{ \mathbf{X}, K_{\text{gc}} \}_{\text{gc}} + e \mathbf{E}^* \cdot \{ \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X} \}_{\text{gc}}, \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

and the guiding-center parallel force equation

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{P}_{\parallel} &= \left( e \mathbf{E}^* - \nabla K_{\text{gc}} \right) \cdot \frac{\mathbf{B}^*}{B_{\parallel}^*} \\ &\equiv \{ P_{\parallel}, K_{\text{gc}} \}_{\text{gc}} + e \mathbf{E}^* \cdot \{ \mathbf{X}, P_{\parallel} \}_{\text{gc}}, \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

where  $\widehat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \dot{\mathbf{X}} = P_{\parallel}/m$  defines the parallel guiding-center velocity and the modified electric and magnetic fields are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}^* &\equiv \mathbf{E} - \frac{P_{\parallel}}{e} \frac{\partial \widehat{\mathbf{b}}}{\partial t} = \mathbf{E} - \frac{P_{\parallel}}{eB} \left( \mathbb{I} - \widehat{\mathbf{b}}\widehat{\mathbf{b}} \right) \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t}, \quad (18) \\ \mathbf{B}^* &\equiv \mathbf{B} + \frac{c P_{\parallel}}{e} \nabla \times \widehat{\mathbf{b}}. \quad (19) \end{aligned}$$

In accordance with standard guiding-center theory [28], we use the standard guiding-center ordering  $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}_{\perp} + \epsilon E_{\parallel} \widehat{\mathbf{b}}$ , which holds that the parallel electric field is small compared with its components perpendicular to the magnetic field.

The reduced guiding-center Poisson bracket introduced in Eqs. (16)-(17) is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \{F, G\}_{\text{gc}} &= \frac{\mathbf{B}^*}{B_{\parallel}^*} \cdot \left( \nabla F \frac{\partial G}{\partial P_{\parallel}} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial P_{\parallel}} \nabla G \right) \\ &\quad - \frac{\widehat{\mathbf{c}}\mathbf{b}}{e B_{\parallel}^*} \cdot \nabla F \times \nabla G, \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

where  $F$  and  $G$  are arbitrary functions of the reduced guiding-center phase-space coordinates  $Z^{\alpha} = (\mathbf{X}, P_{\parallel})$ . The guiding-center Jacobian  $\mathcal{J}_{\text{gc}} = (e/c) B_{\parallel}^*$ , on the other hand, where  $B_{\parallel}^* \equiv \widehat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \mathbf{B}^*$ , satisfies the guiding-center Liouville equation

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{J}_{\text{gc}}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left( \mathcal{J}_{\text{gc}} \dot{\mathbf{X}} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial P_{\parallel}} \left( \mathcal{J}_{\text{gc}} \dot{P}_{\parallel} \right) = 0, \quad (21)$$

where  $(\dot{\mathbf{X}}, \dot{P}_{\parallel})$  are given by Eqs. (16)-(17). As noted in App. A, the extended guiding-center Poisson bracket (B17) is not needed in what follows in order to guarantee the antisymmetry of the Hamiltonian functional bracket (65).

We note that the reduced guiding-center Poisson bracket (20) can be expressed in divergence form as

$$\{F, G\}_{\text{gc}} = \frac{1}{B_{\parallel}^*} \frac{\partial}{\partial Z^{\alpha}} \left( B_{\parallel}^* F \{Z^{\alpha}, G\}_{\text{gc}} \right), \quad (22)$$

and that it automatically satisfies the Jacobi identity expressed in terms of the Jacobiator

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Jac}[F, G, K] &\equiv \left\{ F, \{G, K\}_{\text{gc}} \right\}_{\text{gc}} + \left\{ G, \{K, F\}_{\text{gc}} \right\}_{\text{gc}} \\ &\quad + \left\{ K, \{F, G\}_{\text{gc}} \right\}_{\text{gc}} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

which holds for any three arbitrary functions  $(F, G, K)$  and follows from the condition  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}^* = \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} \equiv 0$ .

### B. Guiding-center polarization and magnetization

According to Eq. (10), the guiding-center polarization  $\mathbf{P}_{\text{gc}}$  and magnetization  $\mathbf{M}_{\text{gc}}$  can be derived from the guiding-center Lagrangian (7) by considering the electric and magnetic variations

$$\delta_{\mathbf{E}} L_{\text{gc}} = -\delta_{\mathbf{E}} K_{\text{gc}}, \quad (24)$$

$$\delta_{\mathbf{B}} L_{\text{gc}} = \delta_{\mathbf{B}} (P_{\parallel} \widehat{\mathbf{b}}) \cdot \dot{\mathbf{X}} - \delta_{\mathbf{B}} K_{\text{gc}}, \quad (25)$$

where the guiding-center velocity  $\dot{\mathbf{X}}$  is kept constant. Here, the variation of the guiding-center symplectic momentum

$$\delta_{\mathbf{B}} (P_{\parallel} \widehat{\mathbf{b}}) = (P_{\parallel}/B) \left( \mathbb{I} - \widehat{\mathbf{b}}\widehat{\mathbf{b}} \right) \cdot \delta \mathbf{B}$$

yields the moving electric-dipole contribution to the guiding-center magnetization

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\mathbf{B}} (P_{\parallel} \widehat{\mathbf{b}}) \cdot \dot{\mathbf{X}} &= \dot{\mathbf{X}} \cdot \frac{P_{\parallel}}{B} \left( \mathbb{I} - \widehat{\mathbf{b}}\widehat{\mathbf{b}} \right) \cdot \delta \mathbf{B} = \left( \frac{e}{c} \dot{\mathbf{X}} \cdot \mathbb{P}_{\parallel} \right) \cdot \delta \mathbf{B} \\ &\equiv \left( \boldsymbol{\pi}_{\text{gc}} \times P_{\parallel} \widehat{\mathbf{b}}/mc \right) \cdot \delta \mathbf{B}, \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\pi}_{\text{gc}} \equiv (e \widehat{\mathbf{b}}/\Omega) \times \dot{\mathbf{X}} \quad (27)$$

denotes the guiding-center electric dipole moment [19, 29, 30] and we introduced the symmetric dyadic tensor

$$\mathbb{P}_{\parallel} = \frac{P_{\parallel}}{m\Omega} \left( \mathbb{I} - \widehat{\mathbf{b}}\widehat{\mathbf{b}} \right). \quad (28)$$

In Eq. (26), and in what follows, we will use the dyadic double-dot product notation  $\mathbf{a} \mathbf{b} : \mathbb{W} = \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbb{W} \cdot \mathbf{a} = b_i W^{ij} a_j$ , where  $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$  is an arbitrary pair of vectors and

$\mathbb{W}$  is an arbitrary second-rank tensor, and summation over repeated indices is assumed.

Next, the variations of the guiding-center kinetic energy are

$$\delta_{\mathbf{E}} K_{\text{gc}} = -\delta_{\mathbf{E}} \cdot \frac{e\hat{\mathbf{b}}}{\Omega} \times \left( \mathbf{E} \times \frac{c\hat{\mathbf{b}}}{B} \right) \equiv -\delta_{\mathbf{E}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi}_{\mathbf{E}}, \quad (29)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_{\mathbf{B}} K_{\text{gc}} &= \mu \hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \delta \mathbf{B} - \delta \mathbf{B} \cdot \frac{\delta}{\delta \mathbf{B}} \left[ \frac{mc^2}{2B^2} \mathbf{E} \cdot (\mathbb{I} - \hat{\mathbf{b}}\hat{\mathbf{b}}) \cdot \mathbf{E} \right] \\ &\equiv -\delta \mathbf{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\text{gc}}. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

where  $\boldsymbol{\pi}_{\mathbf{E}}$  denotes the electric-dipole moment and the intrinsic guiding-center magnetic dipole is defined as

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\text{gc}} \equiv -\mu \hat{\mathbf{b}} - \frac{\mathbf{E}}{B} \cdot (\hat{\mathbf{b}} \boldsymbol{\pi}_{\mathbf{E}} + \boldsymbol{\pi}_{\mathbf{E}} \hat{\mathbf{b}}), \quad (31)$$

which includes the standard magnetization  $-\mu \hat{\mathbf{b}}$  as well as contributions from the electric-dipole moment  $\boldsymbol{\pi}_{\mathbf{E}}$ .

Using the definitions (24)-(25), we, therefore, find

$$\delta_{\mathbf{E}} L_{\text{gc}} = \delta_{\mathbf{E}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi}_{\mathbf{E}}, \quad (32)$$

$$\delta_{\mathbf{B}} L_{\text{gc}} = \delta \mathbf{B} \cdot \left( \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\text{gc}} + \boldsymbol{\pi}_{\text{gc}} \times \frac{P_{\parallel} \hat{\mathbf{b}}}{mc} \right), \quad (33)$$

so that the guiding-center polarization is defined as

$$\mathbf{P}_{\text{gc}} = \int_P F_{\text{gc}} \boldsymbol{\pi}_{\mathbf{E}}, \quad (34)$$

while the guiding-center magnetization is defined as

$$\mathbf{M}_{\text{gc}} = \int_P F_{\text{gc}} \left( \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\text{gc}} + \boldsymbol{\pi}_{\text{gc}} \times \frac{P_{\parallel} \hat{\mathbf{b}}}{mc} \right). \quad (35)$$

Finally we note that the particle charge density  $\varrho$  and the particle current density  $\mathbf{J}$  are now expressed in guiding-center form as

$$\varrho = \varrho_{\text{gc}} - \nabla \cdot \mathbf{P}_{\text{gc}}, \quad (36)$$

$$\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{J}_{\text{gc}} + \partial \mathbf{P}_{\text{gc}} / \partial t + c \nabla \times \mathbf{M}_{\text{gc}}, \quad (37)$$

which guarantees the guiding-center charge conservation law (14).

### III. EXACT ENERGY-MOMENTUM CONSERVATION LAWS

Using the Noether method [31], the guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell equations (3)-(5) can be shown to possess exact energy-momentum conservation laws [15]. We note that these conservation laws are exact since the guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell Lagrangian and Hamiltonian are assumed independent of external electromagnetic fields. In what follows, we will also assume vanishing contributions at spatial boundaries, so that energy-momentum sources are omitted.

The guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell energy conservation law

$$\partial \mathcal{E}_{\text{gc}} / \partial t + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{S}_{\text{gc}} = 0 \quad (38)$$

is expressed in terms of the guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell energy density

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{\text{gc}} &= \int_P F_{\text{gc}} K_{\text{gc}} + \frac{\mathbf{E}}{4\pi} \cdot \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}} - \frac{1}{8\pi} (|\mathbf{E}|^2 - |\mathbf{B}|^2) \\ &= \int_P F_{\text{gc}} K_{\text{gc}} + \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{P}_{\text{gc}} + \frac{1}{8\pi} (|\mathbf{E}|^2 + |\mathbf{B}|^2), \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

and the guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell energy density-flux

$$\mathbf{S}_{\text{gc}} = \int_P F_{\text{gc}} \dot{\mathbf{X}} K_{\text{gc}} + \frac{c\mathbf{E}}{4\pi} \times \mathbf{H}_{\text{gc}}. \quad (40)$$

The guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell energy density  $\mathcal{E}_{\text{gc}}$  will be used in Eq. (48) to define the guiding-center Hamiltonian functional  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{gc}} \equiv \int_X \mathcal{E}_{\text{gc}}$ .

The guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell momentum conservation law

$$\partial \mathbf{P}_{\text{gc}} / \partial t + \nabla \cdot \mathbb{T}_{\text{gc}} = 0 \quad (41)$$

is expressed in terms of the guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell momentum density

$$\mathbf{P}_{\text{gc}} = \int_P F_{\text{gc}} P_{\parallel} \hat{\mathbf{b}} + \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}} \times \frac{\mathbf{B}}{4\pi c}, \quad (42)$$

and the guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell stress tensor is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{T}_{\text{gc}} &\equiv \int_P F_{\text{gc}} \dot{\mathbf{X}} P_{\parallel} \hat{\mathbf{b}} - \frac{1}{4\pi} (\mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}} \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{B} \mathbf{H}_{\text{gc}}) \\ &\quad + \frac{\mathbb{I}}{4\pi} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (|\mathbf{E}|^2 - |\mathbf{B}|^2) + \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{H}_{\text{gc}} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

which can be written in symmetric dyadic form as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{T}_{\text{gc}} = & \int_P F_{\text{gc}} \left[ \frac{P_{\parallel}^2}{m} \widehat{\mathbf{b}} \widehat{\mathbf{b}} + \mu B (\mathbb{I} - \widehat{\mathbf{b}} \widehat{\mathbf{b}}) + P_{\parallel} (\widehat{\mathbf{b}} \dot{\mathbf{X}}_{\perp} + \dot{\mathbf{X}}_{\perp} \widehat{\mathbf{b}}) \right] \\ & + \frac{1}{4\pi} \left[ (|\mathbf{E}|^2 + |\mathbf{B}|^2) \frac{\mathbb{I}}{2} - (\mathbf{E}\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{B}) \right] + \chi_{\text{gc}} [|\mathbf{E}_{\perp}|^2 (\mathbb{I} - \widehat{\mathbf{b}} \widehat{\mathbf{b}}) + E_{\parallel}^2 \widehat{\mathbf{b}} \widehat{\mathbf{b}} - \mathbf{E}\mathbf{E}]. \end{aligned} \quad (44)$$

Here, we introduced the classical guiding-center susceptibility tensor  $\overleftrightarrow{\chi}_{\text{gc}} \equiv \chi_{\text{gc}} (\mathbb{I} - \widehat{\mathbf{b}} \widehat{\mathbf{b}})$ , where [10, 11]

$$\chi_{\text{gc}}[F_{\text{gc}}, \mathbf{B}] \equiv \frac{mc^2}{B^2} \int_P F_{\text{gc}} = \frac{c^2}{4\pi v_A^2} \quad (45)$$

is a functional of the magnetic field  $\mathbf{B}$  as well as a functional of the guiding-center Vlasov phase-space density  $F_{\text{gc}}$ . Because of this symmetry property, we are also guaranteed that the guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell toroidal angular momentum density

$$P_{\text{gc}\varphi} \equiv \int_P F_{\text{gc}} P_{\parallel} b_{\varphi} + \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}} \times \frac{\mathbf{B}}{4\pi c} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}}{\partial \varphi}. \quad (46)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{\text{gc}}[F_{\text{gc}}, \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}, \mathbf{B}] &= \int_Z F_{\text{gc}} K_{\text{gc}} + \int_X \left[ \frac{\mathbf{E}}{4\pi} \cdot \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}} - \frac{1}{8\pi} (|\mathbf{E}|^2 - |\mathbf{B}|^2) \right] \\ &\equiv \int_Z F_{\text{gc}} \left( \mu B + \frac{P_{\parallel}^2}{2m} \right) + \int_X \left[ \frac{|\mathbf{B}|^2}{8\pi} + \frac{\mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}}{8\pi} \cdot (\overleftrightarrow{\epsilon}_{\text{gc}})^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

where the guiding-center permittivity tensor  $\overleftrightarrow{\epsilon}_{\text{gc}}$  is defined through the relation

$$\mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}} \equiv \overleftrightarrow{\epsilon}_{\text{gc}} \cdot \mathbf{E} = \left[ \mathbb{I} + 4\pi \chi_{\text{gc}} (\mathbb{I} - \widehat{\mathbf{b}} \widehat{\mathbf{b}}) \right] \cdot \mathbf{E}, \quad (49)$$

which is inverted

$$\mathbf{E}[F_{\text{gc}}, \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}, \mathbf{B}] \equiv \overleftrightarrow{\epsilon}_{\text{gc}}^{-1} [F_{\text{gc}}, \mathbf{B}] \cdot \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}} \quad (50)$$

in order to obtain the guiding-center Hamiltonian functional (48).

The guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell momentum and angular momentum conservation laws (41) and (47), on the other hand, yield the guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell momentum and angular momentum functionals

$$\mathcal{P}_{\text{gc}} = \int_Z F_{\text{gc}} P_{\parallel} \widehat{\mathbf{b}} + \int_X \left( \frac{\mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}} \times \mathbf{B}}{4\pi c} \right). \quad (51)$$

$$\mathcal{P}_{\text{gc}\varphi} = \int_Z F_{\text{gc}} P_{\parallel} b_{\varphi} + \int_X \left( \frac{\mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}} \times \mathbf{B}}{4\pi c} \right) \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}}{\partial \varphi}. \quad (52)$$

The three guiding-center functionals (48) and (51)-(52) will play an important role in constructing the Hamiltonian formulation of the guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell equations (3), (4), and (5).

satisfies the guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell toroidal angular momentum conservation law

$$\frac{\partial P_{\text{gc}\varphi}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left( \mathbb{T}_{\text{gc}} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}}{\partial \varphi} \right) = \mathbb{T}_{\text{gc}}^{\top} : \nabla \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}}{\partial \varphi} \right) \equiv 0, \quad (47)$$

which follows from the dyadic symmetry of the guiding-center stress tensor (44), and the antisymmetry of the dyadic tensor  $\nabla(\partial_{\varphi} \mathbf{X})$ , i.e., the dyadic tensor identity  $(\mathbf{V}\mathbf{W} + \mathbf{W}\mathbf{V}) : \nabla(\partial_{\varphi} \mathbf{X}) \equiv 0$  follows from the vector identity  $\mathbf{W}\mathbf{V} : \nabla(\partial_{\varphi} \mathbf{X}) = \widehat{\mathbf{z}} \cdot (\mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{W})$ , which holds for arbitrary vectors  $(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{W})$ .

The guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell energy conservation law (38) yields the guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell Hamiltonian functional

#### IV. HAMILTONIAN FORMULATION OF THE GUIDING-CENTER VLASOV-MAXWELL EQUATIONS

We note that the conserved guiding-center functionals  $\mathcal{I}_{\text{gc}} = (\mathcal{H}_{\text{gc}}, \mathcal{P}_{\text{gc}}, \mathcal{P}_{\text{gc}\varphi})$ , defined in Eqs. (48) and (51)-(52), are assumed to satisfy the guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell functional conservation law

$$[\mathcal{I}_{\text{gc}}, \mathcal{H}_{\text{gc}}]_{\text{gc}} = 0, \quad (53)$$

While the conservation of  $\mathcal{H}_{\text{gc}}$  is automatically satisfied by the antisymmetry of the guiding-center Hamiltonian functional bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\text{gc}}$ , the remaining conservation laws present constraints on the guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell bracket.

In addition, the guiding-center entropy functional

$$\mathcal{S}_{\text{gc}}[F_{\text{gc}}, \mathbf{B}] \equiv - \int_Z F_{\text{gc}} \ln(F_{\text{gc}}/\mathcal{J}_{\text{gc}}), \quad (54)$$

must be a Casimir functional of the guiding-center Hamiltonian functional bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\text{gc}}$ , i.e.,  $[\mathcal{S}_{\text{gc}}, \mathcal{K}]_{\text{gc}} = 0$  must vanish for all functionals  $\mathcal{K}$ .

### A. Bracket construction

In order to construct the Hamiltonian structure for the guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell equations (3), (4), and (5), we now follow the same procedure used to construct the Hamiltonian functional bracket [ , ] for the Vlasov-Maxwell equations (reviewed in App. A), and we consider the time evolution of an arbitrary functional  $\mathcal{F}[F_{\text{gc}}, \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}, \mathbf{B}]$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta t} &= \int_Z \frac{\partial F_{\text{gc}}}{\partial t} \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta F_{\text{gc}}} + \int_X \frac{\partial \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}}{\partial t} \cdot \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \cdot \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \mathbf{B}} \\ &= \int_Z F_{\text{gc}} \left[ \dot{Z}^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial Z^\alpha} \left( \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta F_{\text{gc}}} \right) - 4\pi e \dot{\mathbf{X}} \cdot \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}} \right] \\ &\quad + c \int_X \left( \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{H}_{\text{gc}} - \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \mathbf{B}} \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{E} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

after inserting the guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell equations (3), (4), and (5).

Using the Hamiltonian functional (48) explicitly as a functional of the guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell fields  $(F_{\text{gc}}, \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}, \mathbf{B})$ , and using the matrix identity  $\delta(\hat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_{\text{gc}}^{\leftrightarrow})^{-1} \equiv -(\hat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_{\text{gc}}^{\leftrightarrow})^{-1} \cdot \delta(\hat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_{\text{gc}}^{\leftrightarrow}) \cdot (\hat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_{\text{gc}}^{\leftrightarrow})^{-1}$ , we find the Hamiltonian functional derivatives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}_{\text{gc}}}{\delta F_{\text{gc}}} &= \mu B + \frac{P_{\parallel}^2}{2m} + \frac{\mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}}{8\pi} \cdot \frac{\delta(\hat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_{\text{gc}}^{\leftrightarrow})^{-1}}{\delta F_{\text{gc}}} \cdot \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}} \\ &= \mu B + \frac{P_{\parallel}^2}{2m} - \frac{\mathbf{E}}{8\pi} \cdot \frac{\delta \hat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_{\text{gc}}^{\leftrightarrow}}{\delta F_{\text{gc}}} \cdot \mathbf{E} \\ &= \mu B + \frac{P_{\parallel}^2}{2m} - \frac{mc^2}{2B^2} \mathbf{E} \cdot (\mathbb{I} - \hat{\mathbf{b}}\hat{\mathbf{b}}) \cdot \mathbf{E} \\ &= \mu B + \frac{P_{\parallel}^2}{2m} - \frac{m}{2} |\mathbf{u}_{\text{E}}|^2 = K_{\text{gc}}, \end{aligned} \quad (56)$$

$$\frac{\delta \mathcal{H}_{\text{gc}}}{\delta \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}} = (\hat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_{\text{gc}}^{\leftrightarrow})^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}} / 4\pi = \mathbf{E} / 4\pi, \quad (57)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}_{\text{gc}}}{\delta \mathbf{B}} &= \frac{\mathbf{B}}{4\pi} + \frac{\delta}{\delta \mathbf{B}} \left[ \frac{\mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}}{8\pi} \cdot (\hat{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}_{\text{gc}}^{\leftrightarrow})^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}} \right] + \int_P F_{\text{gc}} \mu \hat{\mathbf{b}} \\ &= \frac{\mathbf{B}}{4\pi} - \int_P F_{\text{gc}} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\text{gc}}, \end{aligned} \quad (58)$$

where we used the identity (50) in obtaining Eq. (56). In Eq. (58), the intrinsic magnetic-dipole moment  $\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\text{gc}}$  is defined in Eq. (30), which yields the relation

$$\frac{\mathbf{H}_{\text{gc}}}{4\pi} = \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}_{\text{gc}}}{\delta \mathbf{B}} - \int_P F_{\text{gc}} \left( \mathbb{P}_{\parallel} \cdot \frac{e}{c} \dot{\mathbf{X}} \right), \quad (59)$$

where we used the definition (35). Hence, the last line of Eq. (55) is expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} &4\pi c \int_X \left( \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}} \cdot \nabla \times \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}_{\text{gc}}}{\delta \mathbf{B}} - \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \mathbf{B}} \cdot \nabla \times \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}_{\text{gc}}}{\delta \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}} \right) \\ &\quad - 4\pi e \int_Z \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}} \cdot \nabla \times \left( F_{\text{gc}} \mathbb{P}_{\parallel} \cdot \frac{e}{c} \dot{\mathbf{X}} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (60)$$

while the remaining terms are expressed as

$$\dot{Z}^\alpha = \left\{ Z^\alpha, \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}_{\text{gc}}}{\delta F_{\text{gc}}} \right\}_{\text{gc}} + 4\pi e \frac{\delta^* \mathcal{H}_{\text{gc}}}{\delta \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}} \cdot \{\mathbf{X}, Z^\alpha\}_{\text{gc}}, \quad (61)$$

$$\dot{\mathbf{X}} = \left\{ \mathbf{X}, \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}_{\text{gc}}}{\delta F_{\text{gc}}} \right\}_{\text{gc}} + 4\pi e \frac{\delta^* \mathcal{H}_{\text{gc}}}{\delta \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}} \cdot \{\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}\}_{\text{gc}}, \quad (62)$$

where, using Faraday's law (5), we introduced the definition of an effective functional derivative  $\delta^*(\cdot) / \delta \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} e \mathbf{E}^* &= e \mathbf{E} - \frac{P_{\parallel}}{B} (\mathbb{I} - \hat{\mathbf{b}}\hat{\mathbf{b}}) \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \\ &= e \mathbf{E} + \frac{c P_{\parallel}}{B} (\mathbb{I} - \hat{\mathbf{b}}\hat{\mathbf{b}}) \cdot \nabla \times \mathbf{E} \\ &= 4\pi e \left( \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}_{\text{gc}}}{\delta \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}} + \mathbb{P}_{\parallel} \cdot \nabla \times \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}_{\text{gc}}}{\delta \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}} \right) \equiv 4\pi e \frac{\delta^* \mathcal{H}_{\text{gc}}}{\delta \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

By integrating by parts the last term in Eq. (60), and inserting the definition of the effective functional derivative  $\delta^*(\cdot) / \delta \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}$ , we obtain

$$\int_Z \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}} \cdot \nabla \times \left( F_{\text{gc}} \mathbb{P}_{\parallel} \cdot \frac{e}{c} \dot{\mathbf{X}} \right) = \int_Z F_{\text{gc}} \frac{\delta^* \mathcal{F}}{\delta \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}} \cdot \frac{e}{c} \dot{\mathbf{X}},$$

where  $\dot{\mathbf{X}}$  is given by Eq. (62).

By combining these expressions, with summation over particle species implied wherever appropriate, we find the final expression for Eq. (55)

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial t} &= \int_Z F_{\text{gc}} \left\{ \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta F_{\text{gc}}}, \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}_{\text{gc}}}{\delta F_{\text{gc}}} \right\}_{\text{gc}} + 4\pi e \int_Z F_{\text{gc}} \left( \frac{\delta^* \mathcal{H}_{\text{gc}}}{\delta \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}} \cdot \left\{ \mathbf{X}, \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta F_{\text{gc}}} \right\}_{\text{gc}} - \frac{\delta^* \mathcal{F}}{\delta \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}} \cdot \left\{ \mathbf{X}, \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}_{\text{gc}}}{\delta F_{\text{gc}}} \right\}_{\text{gc}} \right) \\ &\quad + (4\pi e)^2 \int_Z F_{\text{gc}} \left( \frac{\delta^* \mathcal{F}}{\delta \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}} \cdot \{\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}\}_{\text{gc}} \cdot \frac{\delta^* \mathcal{H}_{\text{gc}}}{\delta \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}} \right) + 4\pi c \int_X \left( \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}} \cdot \nabla \times \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}_{\text{gc}}}{\delta \mathbf{B}} - \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}_{\text{gc}}}{\delta \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}} \cdot \nabla \times \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \mathbf{B}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

from which Eq. (6) yields the guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell bracket

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}]_{\text{gc}} &= \int_Z F_{\text{gc}} \left\{ \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta F_{\text{gc}}}, \frac{\delta \mathcal{G}}{\delta F_{\text{gc}}} \right\}_{\text{gc}} + 4\pi e \int_Z F_{\text{gc}} \left( \frac{\delta^* \mathcal{G}}{\delta \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}} \cdot \left\{ \mathbf{X}, \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta F_{\text{gc}}} \right\}_{\text{gc}} - \frac{\delta^* \mathcal{F}}{\delta \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}} \cdot \left\{ \mathbf{X}, \frac{\delta \mathcal{G}}{\delta F_{\text{gc}}} \right\}_{\text{gc}} \right) \\ &\quad + (4\pi e)^2 \int_Z F_{\text{gc}} \left( \frac{\delta^* \mathcal{F}}{\delta \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}} \cdot \{\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X}\}_{\text{gc}} \cdot \frac{\delta^* \mathcal{G}}{\delta \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}} \right) + 4\pi c \int_X \left( \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}} \cdot \nabla \times \frac{\delta \mathcal{G}}{\delta \mathbf{B}} - \frac{\delta \mathcal{G}}{\delta \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}} \cdot \nabla \times \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \mathbf{B}} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

where  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  are arbitrary functionals of the guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell fields  $(F_{\text{gc}}, \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}, \mathbf{B})$ . We note that the antisymmetry,  $[\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}]_{\text{gc}} = -[\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{F}]_{\text{gc}}$ , of the guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell bracket (65) depends crucially on the separation (16)-(17) [see also Eq. (61)] between contributions from the guiding-center kinetic energy  $K_{\text{gc}} \equiv \delta\mathcal{H}_{\text{gc}}/\delta F_{\text{gc}}$  and the modified electric field  $\mathbf{E}^* \equiv 4\pi\delta^*\mathcal{H}_{\text{gc}}/\delta\mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}$  to the guiding-center Hamiltonian dynamics in reduced guiding-center phase space  $(\mathbf{X}, P_{\parallel})$ . The same separation of the particle equations of motion was responsible for the antisymmetric bracket derived by Morrison [2, 3] and Marsden and Weinstein [4] for the Vlasov-Maxwell equations (see Eqs. (A10) and (A12) in App. A).

The guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell bracket (65) is identical in form to the guiding-center bracket previously derived for the guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell equations without polarization [18], except that functional derivatives  $(\delta/\delta\mathbf{E}, \delta^*/\delta\mathbf{E})$  with respect to the electric field  $\mathbf{E}$  are now replaced with functional derivatives  $(\delta/\delta\mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}, \delta^*/\delta\mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}})$  with respect to the guiding-center displacement field  $\mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}$ . Once again, this replacement is

caused by the fact that, in the noncanonical formulation of the guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell equations adopted here, the guiding-center magnetization  $\mathbf{M}_{\text{gc}}$  is no longer uniquely defined by the functional derivative  $\partial K_{\text{gc}}/\partial\mathbf{B}$  of the guiding-center kinetic energy [see Eqs. (30) and (35)], as would be the case in the canonical formulation adopted in Ref. [22] and assumed in Refs. [27] and [32].

## B. Jacobi property

The proof of the Jacobi property

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \mathcal{J}ac[\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{K}] \\ &\equiv \left[ \mathcal{F}, [\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{K}]_{\text{gc}} \right]_{\text{gc}} + \left[ \mathcal{G}, [\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{F}]_{\text{gc}} \right]_{\text{gc}} + \left[ \mathcal{K}, [\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}]_{\text{gc}} \right]_{\text{gc}} \end{aligned} \quad (66)$$

for the guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell bracket (65), which must hold for any guiding-center functionals  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{K})$ , is, therefore, identical to the proof presented in Ref. [33].

The Jacobi property (66), which is inherited from the Jacobi property (23), can thus be expressed as a series in powers of  $(4\pi e)$  up to third order [33]:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}ac[\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{K}] &= \int_Z F_{\text{gc}} \mathcal{J}ac[f, g, k] + 4\pi e \int_Z F_{\text{gc}} (F_i^* \mathcal{J}ac[X^i; g, k] + \text{cyclic}) \\ &+ (4\pi e)^2 \int_Z F_{\text{gc}} (F_i^* G_j^* \mathcal{J}ac[X^i, X^j; k] + \text{cyclic}) + (4\pi e)^3 \int_Z F_{\text{gc}} (F_i^* G_j^* K_\ell^* \mathcal{J}ac[X^i, X^j, X^\ell]), \end{aligned} \quad (67)$$

where we use the notation  $(f, g, k) \equiv (\delta\mathcal{F}/\delta F_{\text{gc}}, \delta\mathcal{G}/\delta F_{\text{gc}}, \delta\mathcal{K}/\delta F_{\text{gc}})$  and  $(\mathbf{F}^*, \mathbf{G}^*, \mathbf{K}^*) \equiv (\delta^*\mathcal{F}/\delta\mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}, \delta^*\mathcal{G}/\delta\mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}, \delta^*\mathcal{K}/\delta\mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}})$ , the guiding-center Jacobiator  $\mathcal{J}ac[\ , \ , \ ]$  is defined in Eq. (23). In addition, the  $i^{\text{th}}$ -component of the guiding-center position  $\mathbf{X}$  is denoted as  $X^i$ , summation over repeated indices is assumed, and the symbol  $\text{cyclic}$  denotes a cyclic permutation of the functionals  $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{K})$ . In Eq. (67), it is, therefore, clear that the Jacobi property of the guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell bracket (65) is inherited from the Jacobi property (23) of the guiding-center Poisson bracket (20), since each term in Eq. (67) vanishes identically because of this latter property, i.e.,  $\mathcal{J}ac[F, G, K] = 0$  for all functions  $(F, G, K)$ . Hence, the Jacobi property for the guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell bracket (65) holds under the condition  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}^* = 0$ .

With this same replacement ( $\mathbf{E} \rightarrow \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}$ ), we can easily see that the guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell momentum functional (51) is a Hamiltonian functional invariant, while the guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell entropy functional (54) is a Casimir invariant of the guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell bracket (65), with the explicit proofs

presented in [18].

## C. Hamiltonian conservation laws

The energy-momentum and angular-momentum conservation laws of the guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell equations can be expressed in Hamiltonian form (53). In this Section, we show that the guiding-center angular momentum functional (52) satisfies the guiding-center Hamiltonian conservation law (53).

First, we rearrange terms in Eq. (65) and we evaluate the functional derivatives of the guiding-center angular momentum functional (52)

$$\frac{\delta\mathcal{P}_{\text{gc}\varphi}}{\delta F_{\text{gc}}} = P_{\parallel} b_{\varphi}, \quad (68)$$

$$4\pi c \frac{\delta\mathcal{P}_{\text{gc}\varphi}}{\delta\mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}} = \mathbf{B} \times \frac{\partial\mathbf{X}}{\partial\varphi}, \quad (69)$$

$$4\pi c \frac{\delta\mathcal{P}_{\text{gc}\varphi}}{\delta\mathbf{B}} = 4\pi e \int_P F_{\text{gc}} \mathbb{P}_{\parallel} \cdot \frac{\partial\mathbf{X}}{\partial\varphi} + \frac{\partial\mathbf{X}}{\partial\varphi} \times \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}, \quad (70)$$

which yields the guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell bracket expression

$$\begin{aligned}
\left[ \mathcal{P}_{\text{gc}\varphi}, \mathcal{H}_{\text{gc}} \right]_{\text{gc}} &= \int_Z F_{\text{gc}} \left( \left\{ \frac{\delta \mathcal{P}_{\text{gc}\varphi}}{\delta F_{\text{gc}}}, \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}_{\text{gc}}}{\delta F_{\text{gc}}} \right\}_{\text{gc}} - 4\pi e \frac{\delta^* \mathcal{P}_{\text{gc}\varphi}}{\delta \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}} \cdot \left\{ \mathbf{X}, \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}_{\text{gc}}}{\delta F_{\text{gc}}} \right\}_{\text{gc}} \right) \\
&+ 4\pi e \int_Z F_{\text{gc}} \left( 4\pi e \frac{\delta^* \mathcal{P}_{\text{gc}\varphi}}{\delta \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}} \cdot \{ \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X} \}_{\text{gc}} + \left\{ \mathbf{X}, \frac{\delta \mathcal{P}_{\text{gc}\varphi}}{\delta F_{\text{gc}}} \right\}_{\text{gc}} \right) \cdot \frac{\delta^* \mathcal{H}_{\text{gc}}}{\delta \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}} \\
&+ 4\pi c \int_X \left( \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}_{\text{gc}}}{\delta \mathbf{B}} \cdot \nabla \times \frac{\delta \mathcal{P}_{\text{gc}\varphi}}{\delta \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}} - \frac{\delta \mathcal{P}_{\text{gc}\varphi}}{\delta \mathbf{B}} \cdot \nabla \times \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}_{\text{gc}}}{\delta \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}} \right), \tag{71}
\end{aligned}$$

Next, using the definition  $\delta^*(\dots)/\delta \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}$  given in Eq. (63), we find the expression

$$4\pi e \frac{\delta^* \mathcal{P}_{\text{gc}\varphi}}{\delta \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}} = \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{B}^* \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}}{\partial \varphi} + P_{\parallel} \nabla b_{\varphi}, \tag{72}$$

which can be used to obtain the following identities

$$\begin{aligned}
4\pi e \frac{\delta^* \mathcal{P}_{\text{gc}\varphi}}{\delta \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}} \cdot \left\{ \mathbf{X}, \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}_{\text{gc}}}{\delta F_{\text{gc}}} \right\}_{\text{gc}} &\equiv \left\{ \frac{\delta \mathcal{P}_{\text{gc}\varphi}}{\delta F_{\text{gc}}}, \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}_{\text{gc}}}{\delta F_{\text{gc}}} \right\}_{\text{gc}} \\
&+ \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}}{\partial \varphi} \cdot \nabla K_{\text{gc}}, \\
4\pi e \frac{\delta^* \mathcal{P}_{\text{gc}\varphi}}{\delta \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}} \cdot \{ \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{X} \}_{\text{gc}} &\equiv \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}}{\partial \varphi} \cdot \left\{ \mathbf{X}, \frac{\delta \mathcal{P}_{\text{gc}\varphi}}{\delta F_{\text{gc}}} \right\}_{\text{gc}},
\end{aligned}$$

so that Eq. (71) becomes

$$\left[ \mathcal{P}_{\text{gc}\varphi}, \mathcal{H}_{\text{gc}} \right]_{\text{gc}} = \int_Z F_{\text{gc}} \left( e \mathbf{E}^* - \nabla K_{\text{gc}} \right) \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}}{\partial \varphi} + 4\pi c \int_X \left( \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}_{\text{gc}}}{\delta \mathbf{B}} \cdot \nabla \times \frac{\delta \mathcal{P}_{\text{gc}\varphi}}{\delta \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}} - \frac{\delta \mathcal{P}_{\text{gc}\varphi}}{\delta \mathbf{B}} \cdot \nabla \times \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}_{\text{gc}}}{\delta \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}} \right). \tag{73}$$

Next, we evaluate the remaining terms in Eq. (73):

$$\begin{aligned}
4\pi c \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}_{\text{gc}}}{\delta \mathbf{B}} \cdot \nabla \times \frac{\delta \mathcal{P}_{\text{gc}\varphi}}{\delta \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}} &= \left( \frac{\mathbf{B}}{4\pi} - \int_P F_{\text{gc}} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\text{gc}} \right) \cdot \nabla \times \left( \mathbf{B} \times \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}}{\partial \varphi} \right) = \left( \frac{\mathbf{B}}{4\pi} - \int_P F_{\text{gc}} \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\text{gc}} \right) \cdot \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial \varphi} + \hat{\mathbf{z}} \times \mathbf{B} \right) \\
&= \int_P F_{\text{gc}} \left[ \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}}{\partial \varphi} \cdot \nabla K_{\text{gc}} + \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial \varphi} + \hat{\mathbf{z}} \times \mathbf{E} \right) \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi}_E \right] + \nabla \cdot \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}}{\partial \varphi} \frac{|\mathbf{B}|^2}{8\pi} \right), \\
-4\pi c \frac{\delta \mathcal{P}_{\text{gc}\varphi}}{\delta \mathbf{B}} \cdot \nabla \times \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}_{\text{gc}}}{\delta \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}} &= - \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}}{\partial \varphi} \times \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}} \right) \cdot \nabla \times \left( \frac{\mathbf{E}}{4\pi} \right) + \int_P F_{\text{gc}} e \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}}{\partial \varphi} \cdot \left( \mathbf{E} - \mathbf{E}^* \right) \\
&= - \int_P F_{\text{gc}} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial \varphi} + \hat{\mathbf{z}} \times \mathbf{E} \right) \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi}_E + e \mathbf{E}^* \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}}{\partial \varphi} \right] + \nabla \cdot \left[ \frac{\mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}}{4\pi} \mathbf{E} \cdot \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}}{\partial \varphi} - \frac{\partial \mathbf{X}}{\partial \varphi} \left( \frac{|\mathbf{E}|^2}{8\pi} \right) \right],
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the definitions (63) and

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{X}}{\partial \varphi} \cdot \nabla K_{\text{gc}} = \frac{\partial K_{\text{gc}}}{\partial \varphi} \equiv - \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial \varphi} \cdot \boldsymbol{\pi}_E - \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial \varphi} \cdot \boldsymbol{\mu}_{\text{gc}},$$

which follows from Eqs. (29)-(30). Hence, after omitting exact spatial divergences, Eq. (73) becomes the guiding-center Hamiltonian conservation law

$$\left[ \mathcal{P}_{\text{gc}\varphi}, \mathcal{H}_{\text{gc}} \right]_{\text{gc}} \equiv 0, \tag{74}$$

which proves that the guiding-center angular momentum functional (52) is a functional invariant.

## V. SUMMARY AND FUTURE WORK

In the present work, we showed that the guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell equations (3)-(5), which were previously derived from a variational principle [20], can be expressed in Hamiltonian form (6) in terms of the Hamiltonian functional (48) and the guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell bracket (65). The present guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell Hamiltonian structure generalizes our previous work [18], which allows a more consistent treatment of guiding-center polarization and magnetization.

We remind the Reader that we adopted a *Hamiltonian* polarization representation, here, in which the guiding-center polarization is introduced explicitly through the guiding-center Hamiltonian. In the alternate *symplectic* polarization representation [24], the guiding-center po-



larization effects appear in both the symplectic (Poisson-bracket) structure as well as the guiding-center Hamiltonian, which implies that the polarization drift now appears as a guiding-center drift. This fact increases the complexity of the task of finding the Hamiltonian structure of the guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell equations in the *symplectic* polarization representation, which will be left for future work.

In future work, we will also extend the work on the metriplectic foundations of gyrokinetic Vlasov–Maxwell–Landau theory [34] to the metriplectic foundations of guiding-center Vlasov–Maxwell–Landau theory to explore neoclassical transport in general magnetic geometry.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The present work was supported by the National Science Foundation grant PHY-2206302.

## AUTHOR DECLARATIONS

### Conflict of Interest

The author has no conflicts to disclose.

## Appendix A: Vlasov-Maxwell Hamiltonian Theory

In this Appendix, the Hamiltonian structure for the Vlasov-Maxwell equations, which was originally discovered by Morrison [3] & Marsden and Weinstein [4], is presented using a direct construction that will be used in Sec. IV to construct the Hamiltonian structure of the guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell equations. In particular, we will show that the Hamiltonian structure for the Vlasov-Maxwell equations cannot be based on an extended Hamiltonian description of charged-particle dynamics in eight-dimensional phase space  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}; t, w)$  that includes the time-energy canonically-conjugate coordinates  $(t, w)$ .

### 1. Hamiltonian Particle Motion in Extended Phase Space

The equations of motion for a charged particle (of mass  $m$  and charge  $e$ ) can be expressed in Hamiltonian form

$$dz^a/d\tau = \{z^a, H_e\}_e \quad (\text{A1})$$

in extended phase space  $z^a = (\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}; t, w)$ , where  $\mathbf{p}$  denotes the kinetic momentum,  $(t, w)$  denote the time-energy canonical coordinates, and  $\tau$  is the Hamiltonian orbit parameter. Here, the extended Hamiltonian is defined as

$$H_e \equiv K + e\Phi - w, \quad (\text{A2})$$

where  $K \equiv |\mathbf{p}|^2/2m$  denotes the kinetic energy, and the extended (noncanonical) Poisson bracket is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \{F, G\}_e &\equiv \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial w} \frac{\partial G}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} \frac{\partial G}{\partial w} \right) \\ &+ \left( \nabla_e F \cdot \frac{\partial G}{\partial \mathbf{p}} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial \mathbf{p}} \cdot \nabla_e G \right) \\ &+ \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{B} \cdot \left( \frac{\partial F}{\partial \mathbf{p}} \times \frac{\partial G}{\partial \mathbf{p}} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A3})$$

where  $(F, G)$  are arbitrary functions on extended phase space  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}; t, w)$ , and the extended gradient  $\nabla_e$  is defined as

$$\nabla_e \equiv \nabla - \frac{e}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} \frac{\partial}{\partial w},$$

so that, using Eq. (A2), we obtain  $\nabla_e H_e \equiv -e \mathbf{E}$ .

The equations of motion (A1) can thus be explicitly expressed in extended Hamiltonian form as

$$\frac{d\mathbf{x}}{d\tau} = \{\mathbf{x}, H_e\}_e = \frac{\partial H_e}{\partial \mathbf{p}} = \frac{\mathbf{p}}{m}, \quad (\text{A4})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{d\tau} &= \{\mathbf{p}, H_e\}_e = -\nabla_e H_e + \frac{\partial H_e}{\partial \mathbf{p}} \times \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{B} \\ &= e \mathbf{E} + \frac{e}{c} \frac{d\mathbf{x}}{d\tau} \times \mathbf{B}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A5})$$

$$\frac{dt}{d\tau} = \{t, H_e\}_e = -\frac{\partial H_e}{\partial w} = 1, \quad (\text{A6})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dw}{d\tau} &= \{w, H_e\}_e = \frac{\partial H_e}{\partial t} - \frac{e}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} \cdot \frac{\partial H_e}{\partial \mathbf{p}} \\ &= e \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t} - \frac{e}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} \cdot \frac{d\mathbf{x}}{d\tau}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A7})$$

where, according to Eq. (A6), the Hamiltonian orbit parameter  $\tau$  is simply related to the time coordinate  $t$ . In addition, Eq. (A7) implies that, without any explicit time dependence ( $\partial/\partial t \equiv 0$ ), the energy coordinate  $w$  is a constant of the motion. We note that, in accordance with the physical interpretation of the Vlasov equation, the Vlasov distribution  $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, t)$  satisfies the extended Vlasov equation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{df}{d\tau}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, t) &= \{f, H_e\}_e \\ &= \frac{dt}{d\tau} \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} + \frac{d\mathbf{x}}{d\tau} \cdot \nabla f + \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{d\tau} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{p}} \equiv 0, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A8})$$

i.e., the Vlasov distribution  $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, t)$  is constant along particle orbits in extended phase space.

### 2. Hamiltonian Particle Motion in Regular Phase Space

In their original works, Morrison [2, 3] & Marsden and Weinstein [4] considered regular (noncanonical) phase space  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p})$ , for which the equations of motion (A4)-(A5) cannot be expressed in simple Hamiltonian form

(A1). However, by using the regular (noncanonical) Poisson bracket on arbitrary functions  $(f, g)$  on phase space  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p})$ :

$$\{f, g\} \equiv \left( \nabla f \cdot \frac{\partial g}{\partial \mathbf{p}} - \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{p}} \cdot \nabla g \right) + \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{B} \cdot \left( \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{p}} \times \frac{\partial g}{\partial \mathbf{p}} \right), \quad (\text{A9})$$

the equations of motion (A4)-(A5) can instead be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dz^\alpha}{dt} &= \{z^\alpha, K\} + e \mathbf{E} \cdot \{\mathbf{x}, z^\alpha\} \\ &\equiv \left\{ z^\alpha, \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta f} \right\} + 4\pi e \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta \mathbf{E}} \cdot \{\mathbf{x}, z^\alpha\}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A10})$$

Here, the contributions from the kinetic energy  $K$  and the electric field  $\mathbf{E}$  are separately expressed in terms of functional derivatives of the Vlasov-Maxwell Hamiltonian functional

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}[f, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B}] &\equiv \int f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, t) K(\mathbf{p}) d^3 \mathbf{x} d^3 \mathbf{p} \quad (\text{A11}) \\ &\quad + \int \left( |\mathbf{E}|^2(\mathbf{x}, t) + |\mathbf{B}|^2(\mathbf{x}, t) \right) \frac{d^3 \mathbf{x}}{8\pi}, \end{aligned}$$

where summation over charged particle species is implied wherever appropriate. Hence, the regular Vlasov equation for  $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, t)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}, t) &= - \frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} \cdot \nabla f - \frac{d\mathbf{p}}{dt} \cdot \frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{p}} \quad (\text{A12}) \\ &\equiv - \left\{ f, \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta f} \right\} - 4\pi e \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta \mathbf{E}} \cdot \{\mathbf{x}, f\}, \end{aligned}$$

and the Maxwell equations for  $\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}, t)$  and  $\mathbf{B}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} &= c \nabla \times \mathbf{B} - 4\pi e \int f \frac{d\mathbf{x}}{dt} d^3 \mathbf{p} \quad (\text{A13}) \\ &\equiv 4\pi c \nabla \times \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta \mathbf{B}} - 4\pi e \int f \left\{ \mathbf{x}, \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta f} \right\} d^3 \mathbf{p}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} = -c \nabla \times \mathbf{E} \equiv -4\pi c \nabla \times \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta \mathbf{E}}, \quad (\text{A14})$$

can all be expressed in terms of functional derivatives of the Vlasov-Maxwell Hamiltonian functional (A11) with respect to  $(f, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B})$ :  $\delta \mathcal{H}/\delta f = K$ ,  $\delta \mathcal{H}/\delta \mathbf{E} = \mathbf{E}/4\pi$ , and  $\delta \mathcal{H}/\delta \mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}/4\pi$ .

### 3. Vlasov-Maxwell Hamiltonian Theory

We now directly construct the Hamiltonian structure of the Vlasov-Maxwell equations (A12)-(A14). For this purpose, we consider the time evolution of an arbitrary Vlasov-Maxwell functional  $\mathcal{F}[f, \mathbf{E}, \mathbf{B}]$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial t} &\equiv \int \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta f} d^3 \mathbf{x} d^3 \mathbf{p} + \int \left( \frac{\partial \mathbf{E}}{\partial t} \cdot \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \mathbf{E}} + \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} \cdot \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \mathbf{B}} \right) d^3 \mathbf{x} \\ &= - \int \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta f} \left( \left\{ f, \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta f} \right\} + 4\pi e \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta \mathbf{E}} \cdot \{\mathbf{x}, f\} \right) d^3 \mathbf{x} d^3 \mathbf{p} \quad (\text{A15}) \\ &\quad + \int \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \mathbf{E}} \cdot \left( 4\pi c \nabla \times \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta \mathbf{B}} - 4\pi e \int f \left\{ \mathbf{x}, \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta f} \right\} d^3 \mathbf{p} \right) d^3 \mathbf{x} - 4\pi c \int \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \mathbf{B}} \cdot \nabla \times \frac{\delta \mathcal{H}}{\delta \mathbf{E}} d^3 \mathbf{x}, \end{aligned}$$

which can thus be expressed in Hamiltonian form in terms of functional derivatives of the Vlasov-Maxwell Hamiltonian functional (A11):

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial t} \equiv [\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{H}], \quad (\text{A16})$$

where the antisymmetric Vlasov-Maxwell functional bracket [3, 4] is defined as

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}] &\equiv \int f \left\{ \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta f}, \frac{\delta \mathcal{G}}{\delta f} \right\} d^3 \mathbf{x} d^3 \mathbf{p} + 4\pi e \int f \left( \frac{\delta \mathcal{G}}{\delta \mathbf{E}} \cdot \left\{ \mathbf{x}, \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta f} \right\} - \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \mathbf{E}} \cdot \left\{ \mathbf{x}, \frac{\delta \mathcal{G}}{\delta f} \right\} \right) d^3 \mathbf{x} d^3 \mathbf{p} \\ &\quad + 4\pi c \int \left( \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \mathbf{E}} \cdot \nabla \times \frac{\delta \mathcal{G}}{\delta \mathbf{B}} - \frac{\delta \mathcal{G}}{\delta \mathbf{E}} \cdot \nabla \times \frac{\delta \mathcal{F}}{\delta \mathbf{B}} \right) d^3 \mathbf{x}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A17})$$

In addition, the Vlasov-Maxwell functional bracket (A17) satisfies the Jacobi property

$$[\mathcal{F}, [\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H}]] + [\mathcal{G}, [\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{F}]] + [\mathcal{H}, [\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}]] \equiv 0,$$

which holds for arbitrary Vlasov-Maxwell functionals

$(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{H})$  provided the magnetic field  $\mathbf{B}$  satisfies the divergenceless condition  $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0$  (see App. B of Ref. [27] for a proof of the Jacobi property for the Hamiltonian bracket (A17)). This condition is inherited from the condition for which the noncanonical Poisson bracket (A9) satisfies the Jacobi property  $\{f, \{g, h\}\} + \{g, \{h, f\}\} + \{h, \{f, g\}\} = 0$ .

#### 4. Antisymmetry of the Vlasov-Maxwell Bracket

We now note that the antisymmetry property of the Vlasov-Maxwell functional bracket (A17) depends crucially on the expressions (A10) and (A12), where the contributions from the kinetic energy  $K \equiv \delta\mathcal{H}/\delta f$  and the electric field  $\mathbf{E} \equiv 4\pi\delta\mathcal{H}/\delta\mathbf{E}$  must be explicitly separated. Hence, even though the particle dynamics has a simple Hamiltonian form (A1) in eight-dimensional extended phase space  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}; t, w)$ , the Hamiltonian formulation of the Vlasov-Maxwell equations (A12)-(A14) is expressed in terms of a Hamiltonian functional (A11) and a Vlasov-Maxwell functional bracket (A17), whose antisymmetric structure requires considering particle Hamiltonian dynamics in regular phase space  $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p})$ , defined in Eq. (A10).

### Appendix B: Guiding-center Phase-space Transformation

In this Appendix, we present the derivation of the guiding-center kinetic energy (15), which is obtained through the guiding-center transformation in extended phase space (which includes energy  $w$  and time  $t$  as conjugate canonical coordinates [11]). Here, the transformation proceeds from the local particle phase-space coordinates  $z^\alpha \equiv (\mathbf{x}, p_\parallel \equiv \mathbf{p} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}}, J_0 \equiv m|\mathbf{p}_\perp - \mathbf{p}_E|^2/2\Omega, \zeta_0; w, t)$ , defined in a frame moving with the  $E \times B$  momentum  $\mathbf{p}_E = e\mathbf{E} \times \hat{\mathbf{b}}/\Omega$ , to the guiding-center coordinates  $Z^\alpha \equiv (\mathbf{X}, P_\parallel, J, \zeta; W, t)$ , in terms of which we obtain the guiding-center Lagrangian (7), with the guiding-center kinetic energy (15), as they appear in Ref. [13]. In this drifting frame, the perpendicular particle kinetic momentum  $\mathbf{q}_\perp \equiv \mathbf{p}_\perp - \mathbf{p}_E$  is assumed to be explicitly dependent on the local gyroangle  $\zeta_0$ , while  $J_0$  is the lowest-order gyroaction that is canonically conjugate to  $\zeta_0$ .

#### 1. Guiding-center transformation in extended phase space

We begin with the extended Poincaré-Cartan one-form in the local drifting frame [11]

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma &= \left[ \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{A} + \epsilon \left( p_\parallel \hat{\mathbf{b}} + \mathbf{p}_E + \mathbf{q}_\perp \right) \right] \cdot d\mathbf{x} - w dt \\ &\equiv \gamma_0 + \epsilon \gamma_1, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B1})$$

while the extended phase-space Hamiltonian is

$$\begin{aligned} H &= e\Phi - w + \frac{\epsilon}{2m} \left( p_\parallel^2 + |\mathbf{p}_E|^2 + |\mathbf{q}_\perp|^2 + 2\mathbf{p}_E \cdot \mathbf{q}_\perp \right) \\ &\equiv H_0 + \epsilon H_1. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B2})$$

Here, the dimensionless ordering parameter  $\epsilon$  is introduced through the mass renormalization  $m \rightarrow \epsilon m$  [23, 35], which is meant to represent the mass-over-charge ratio  $m/e$  used as an expansion parameter in standard guiding-center theory [13].

We now proceed with the transformation to the extended guiding-center phase-space coordinates  $Z^\alpha \equiv z^\alpha + \epsilon G_1^\alpha + \dots$ , where  $\Gamma_{\text{gc}0} = (e/c)\mathbf{A} \cdot d\mathbf{X} - W dt$  and  $H_{\text{gc}0} = e\Phi - W$  at lowest order in  $\epsilon$  [11]. Next, the standard Lie-transform perturbation theory [24] yields the first-order expressions

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\text{gc}1} &= \left( P_\parallel \hat{\mathbf{b}} + \mathbf{p}_E + \mathbf{q}_\perp \right) \cdot d\mathbf{X} - \left( \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{B} \times G_1^\mathbf{X} \right) \cdot d\mathbf{X} \\ &\quad + \left( G_1^W + \frac{e}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} \cdot G_1^\mathbf{X} \right) dt, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B3})$$

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{gc}1} &= \frac{P_\parallel^2}{2m} + \mu B + \frac{|\mathbf{p}_E|^2}{2m} + \frac{\mathbf{p}_E}{m} \cdot \mathbf{q}_\perp \\ &\quad - e G_1^\mathbf{X} \cdot \nabla \Phi + G_1^W, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B4})$$

where the first-order components  $G_1^\mathbf{X}$  and  $G_1^W$  are primarily chosen to eliminate the gyroangle  $\zeta$ -dependence introduced by  $\mathbf{q}_\perp$ , with the lowest-order definition  $\mu \equiv |\mathbf{q}_\perp|^2/(2mB)$  for the guiding-center magnetic moment. In our earlier work [23, 24], where we adopted the symplectic polarization representation, we selected a guiding-center transformation that kept the  $E \times B$  kinetic momentum  $\mathbf{p}_E$  in the guiding-center Poincaré-Cartan one-form [21, 22]. In the present work, however, we adopt the Hamiltonian polarization representation, and we remove  $\mathbf{p}_E$  from the right side of Eq. (B3), so that the first-order guiding-center Poincaré-Cartan one-form becomes

$$\Gamma_{\text{gc}1} \equiv P_\parallel \hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot d\mathbf{X} \quad (\text{B5})$$

and we find the first-order components

$$G_1^\mathbf{X} = \left( \mathbf{p}_E + \mathbf{q}_\perp \right) \times \frac{c\hat{\mathbf{b}}}{eB} \equiv - \left( \boldsymbol{\rho}_E + \boldsymbol{\rho}_\perp \right), \quad (\text{B6})$$

$$G_1^W = - \frac{e}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t} \cdot G_1^\mathbf{X} = \left( \boldsymbol{\rho}_E + \boldsymbol{\rho}_\perp \right) \cdot \frac{e}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}}{\partial t}. \quad (\text{B7})$$

When these components are inserted into Eq. (B4), we obtain the first-order guiding-center Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{gc}1} &= \frac{P_\parallel^2}{2m} + \mu B + \frac{|\mathbf{p}_E|^2}{2m} - \boldsymbol{\rho}_E \cdot e\mathbf{E} \\ &\quad + \frac{\mathbf{p}_E}{m} \cdot \mathbf{q}_\perp - \boldsymbol{\rho}_\perp \cdot e\mathbf{E} \\ &= \frac{P_\parallel^2}{2m} + \mu B - \frac{|\mathbf{p}_E|^2}{2m} \equiv K_{\text{gc}}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B8})$$

where we used  $(\mathbf{p}_E/m) \cdot \mathbf{q}_\perp = \boldsymbol{\rho}_\perp \cdot e\mathbf{E}$  and  $|\mathbf{p}_E|^2/m = \boldsymbol{\rho}_E \cdot e\mathbf{E}$ , which eliminates the gyroangle-dependence on

the right side of Eq. (B8) and introduces the negative sign in front of the last term in the guiding-center kinetic energy  $K_{\text{gc}}$ . The additional components ( $G_1^{P_{\parallel}}, G_1^J, G_1^{\zeta}$ ), which are obtained at higher order in  $\epsilon$ , will not be needed in what follows. The Reader may refer to our recent work [24] for additional details.

## 2. Guiding-center dynamics in extended phase space

As a result of this guiding-center transformation, we obtain the extended guiding-center (egc) Lagrangian

$$L_{\text{egc}} = \frac{e}{c} \mathbf{A}^* \cdot \frac{d\mathbf{X}}{d\tau} - w \frac{dt}{d\tau} - \left( e \Phi + K_{\text{gc}} - w \right), \quad (\text{B9})$$

where  $\tau$  denotes the orbit parameter in extended phase space, the guiding-center kinetic energy  $K_{\text{gc}}$  is given by Eq. (15), and we use the definition  $(e/c) \mathbf{A}^* = (e/c) \mathbf{A} + P_{\parallel} \hat{\mathbf{b}}$ . From this extended Lagrangian, we obtain the Euler-Lagrange equations in extended guiding-center phase space  $Z^a = (\mathbf{X}, P_{\parallel}; w, t)$ :

$$\frac{dP_{\parallel}}{d\tau} \hat{\mathbf{b}} = e \mathbf{E}^* - \nabla K_{\text{gc}} + \frac{e}{c} \frac{d\mathbf{X}}{d\tau} \times \mathbf{B}^*, \quad (\text{B10})$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \frac{d\mathbf{X}}{d\tau} = \frac{\partial K_{\text{gc}}}{\partial P_{\parallel}} = P_{\parallel}/m, \quad (\text{B11})$$

$$\frac{dt}{d\tau} = 1, \quad (\text{B12})$$

$$\frac{dw}{d\tau} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( e \Phi + K_{\text{gc}} \right) - \frac{e}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}^*}{\partial t} \cdot \frac{d\mathbf{X}}{d\tau}, \quad (\text{B13})$$

where  $\mathbf{E}^* \equiv \mathbf{E} - e^{-1} P_{\parallel} \partial \hat{\mathbf{b}} / \partial t$  and  $\mathbf{B}^* \equiv \nabla \times \mathbf{A}^*$ .

Next, from the extended Euler-Lagrange equations (B10)-(B11), we obtain the guiding-center equations of

motion

$$\frac{d\mathbf{X}}{d\tau} = \frac{P_{\parallel}}{m} \frac{\mathbf{B}^*}{B_{\parallel}^*} + \left( e \mathbf{E}^* - \nabla K_{\text{gc}} \right) \times \frac{\hat{\mathbf{c}} \mathbf{b}}{e B_{\parallel}^*}, \quad (\text{B14})$$

$$\frac{dP_{\parallel}}{d\tau} = \left( e \mathbf{E}^* - \nabla K_{\text{gc}} \right) \cdot \frac{\mathbf{B}^*}{B_{\parallel}^*}, \quad (\text{B15})$$

where  $B_{\parallel}^* \equiv \hat{\mathbf{b}} \cdot \mathbf{B}^*$ . These equations can also be expressed in Hamiltonian form

$$dZ^a/d\tau = \{Z^a, H_{\text{egc}}\}_{\text{egc}} \quad (\text{B16})$$

in terms of the extended guiding-center Hamiltonian  $H_{\text{egc}} \equiv e \Phi + K_{\text{gc}} - w$  and the extended Poisson bracket

$$\begin{aligned} \{F, G\}_{\text{egc}} &\equiv \frac{\partial F}{\partial w} \frac{\partial G}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial t} \frac{\partial G}{\partial w} \\ &+ \frac{\mathbf{B}^*}{B_{\parallel}^*} \cdot \left( \nabla^* F \frac{\partial G}{\partial P_{\parallel}} - \frac{\partial F}{\partial P_{\parallel}} \nabla^* G \right) \\ &- \frac{\hat{\mathbf{c}} \mathbf{b}}{e B_{\parallel}^*} \cdot \nabla^* F \times \nabla^* G, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B17})$$

where

$$\nabla^* \equiv \nabla - \frac{e}{c} \frac{\partial \mathbf{A}^*}{\partial t} \frac{\partial}{\partial w},$$

so that  $e \mathbf{E}^* - \nabla K_{\text{gc}} = -\nabla^* H_{\text{egc}}$ . The reduced guiding-center equations of motion (B14)-(B15) can, of course, be expressed in terms of the reduced guiding-center Poisson bracket (20), as shown in Eqs. (16)-(17). As discussed in Sec. A 4, the separation of  $K_{\text{gc}} \equiv \delta \mathcal{H}_{\text{gc}} / \delta \mathbf{F}_{\text{gc}}$  and  $\mathbf{E}^* \equiv 4\pi \delta^* \mathcal{H}_{\text{gc}} / \delta \mathbf{D}_{\text{gc}}$  plays a crucial role in guaranteeing the antisymmetry of the guiding-center Hamiltonian functional bracket (65).

- 
- [1] P. J. Morrison and J. M. Greene. Noncanonical Hamiltonian density formulation of hydrodynamics and ideal magnetohydrodynamics. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 45:790, 1980. Erratum: **48**, 569 (1982).
- [2] P. J. Morrison. The Maxwell-Vlasov equations as a continuous Hamiltonian system. *Phys. Lett. A*, 80:383, 1980.
- [3] P. J. Morrison. Poisson brackets for fluids and plasmas. *AIP Conf. Proc.*, 88:13–46, 1982.
- [4] J. E. Marsden and A. Weinstein. The Hamiltonian structure of the Maxwell-Vlasov equations. *Physica D*, 4:394, 1982.
- [5] E.G. Evstatiev and B.A. Shadwick. Variational formulation of particle algorithms for kinetic plasma simulations. *J. Comp. Phys.*, 245:376, 2013.
- [6] M. Kraus, K. Kormann, P. J. Morrison, and E. Sonnendrücker. GEMPIC: geometric electromagnetic particle-in-cell methods. *J. Plasma Phys.*, 83:905830401, 2017.
- [7] P. J. Morrison. Structure and structure-preserving algorithms for plasma physics. *Phys. Plasmas*, 24:055502, 2017.
- [8] J. Xiao, H. Qin, and J. Liu. Structure-preserving geometric particle-in-cell methods for Vlasov-Maxwell systems. *Plasma Science and Technology*, 20(11):110501, 2018.
- [9] K. Kormann and E. Sonnendrücker. Energy-conserving time propagation for a structure-preserving particle-in-cell Vlasov-Maxwell solver. *J. Comput. Phys.*, 425:109890, 2021.
- [10] T. G. Northrop. *The adiabatic motion of charged particles*. Interscience Publishers, 1963.
- [11] R. G. Littlejohn. A Hamiltonian formulation of guiding center motion. *Phys. Fluids*, 24:1730, 1981.
- [12] R. G. Littlejohn. Variational principles of guiding centre motion. *J. Plasma Phys.*, 29:111, 1983.
- [13] J. R. Cary and A. J. Brizard. Hamiltonian theory of guiding-center motion. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 81:693, 2009.
- [14] A. J. Brizard and T. S. Hahm. Foundations of nonlinear gyrokinetic theory. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 79:421, 2007.
- [15] A. J. Brizard. On the dynamical reduction of the Vlasov equation. *Comm. Nonlin. Sci. Num. Sim.*, 13:24, 2008.

- [16] R. G. Littlejohn. Hamiltonian perturbation theory in noncanonical coordinates. *J. Math. Phys.*, 23:742, 1982.
- [17] A. J. Brizard, P. J. Morrison, J. W. Burby, L. de Guillebon, and M. Vittot. Lifting of the Vlasov-Maxwell bracket by Lie-transform method. *J. Plasma Phys.*, 82:905820608, 2016.
- [18] A. J. Brizard. Hamiltonian structure of the guiding-center Vlasov–Maxwell equations. *Phys. Plasmas*, 28:102303, 2021.
- [19] A. J. Brizard and C. Tronci. Variational formulations of guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell theory. *Phys. Plasmas*, 23:062107, 2016.
- [20] A. J. Brizard. Hamiltonian structure of a gauge-free gyrokinetic Vlasov-Maxwell equations. *Phys. Plasmas*, 28:122107, 2021.
- [21] D. Pfirsch. New variational formulation of Maxwell-Vlasov and guiding center theories local charge and energy conservation laws. *Zeitschrift Naturforschung Teil A*, 39:1, 1984.
- [22] D. Pfirsch and P. J. Morrison. Local conservation laws for the Maxwell-Vlasov and collisionless kinetic guiding-center theories. *Phys. Rev. A*, 32:1714, 1985.
- [23] A. J. Brizard. Nonlinear gyrokinetic Vlasov equation for toroidally rotating axisymmetric tokamaks. *Phys. Plasmas*, 2:459, 1995.
- [24] A. J. Brizard. Polarization effects in higher-order guiding-center Lagrangian dynamics. *J. Plasma Phys.*, 90:905900107, 2024.
- [25] T. S. Hahm, W. W. Lee, and A. J. Brizard. Nonlinear gyrokinetic theory for finite-beta plasmas. *Phys. Fluids*, 31:1940, 1988.
- [26] A. J. Brizard. Nonlinear gyrokinetic Maxwell-Vlasov equations using magnetic coordinates. *J. Plasma Phys.*, 41:541, 1989.
- [27] P. J. Morrison. A general theory for gauge-free lifting. *Phys. Plasmas*, 20:012104, 2013.
- [28] R. M. Kulsrud. MHD description of plasma. *Handbook of Plasma Physics* (eds. M.N. Rosenbluth and R.Z. Sagdeev), vol. 1: *Basic Plasma Physics I*, edited by A.A. Galeev and R.N. Sudan, page 115, 1983.
- [29] A. J. Brizard. Beyond linear gyrocenter polarization in gyrokinetic theory. *Phys. Plasmas*, 20:092309, 2013.
- [30] N. Tronko and A. J. Brizard. Lagrangian and Hamiltonian constraints for guiding-center Hamiltonian theories. *Phys. Plasmas*, 22:112507, 2015.
- [31] A. J. Brizard. New variational principle for the Vlasov-Maxwell equations. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 84(25):5768, 2000.
- [32] J. W. Burby, A. J. Brizard, P. J. Morrison, and H. Qin. Hamiltonian formulation of the gyrokinetic Vlasov-Maxwell equations. *Phys. Lett. A*, 379:2073, 2015.
- [33] A. J. Brizard. Proof of the Jacobi property for the guiding-center Vlasov-Maxwell bracket (arxiv:2107.08129), 2021.
- [34] E. Hirvijoki, J. W. Burby, and A. J. Brizard. Metriplectic foundations of gyrokinetic Vlasov–Maxwell–Landau theory. *Phys. Plasmas*, 29:060701, 2022.
- [35] A. J. Brizard. Comment on “Modification of Lie’s transform perturbation theory for charged particle motion in a magnetic field”[Phys. Plasmas 30, 042515 (2023)]. *Phys. Plasmas*, 30:104701, 2023.