

A MULTIPLICITY FORMULA FOR THE MILNOR NUMBER OF SMOOTHABLE CURVES

ANDREI BENGUŞ-LASNIER, TERENCE GAFFNEY, AND ANTONI RANGACHEV

ABSTRACT. For a reduced complex analytic curve germ we introduce an algebraic invariant we call the *complete intersection discrepancy*. We determine some of its basic properties. As an application we derive a multiplicity formula for the Milnor number of a reduced smoothable curve singularity generalizing a well-known formula due to Lê, Greuel and Teissier for complete intersection curves. We obtain a multiplicity characterization of Whitney equisingularity for families of locally smoothable curves.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Conormal spaces, polar varieties, and multiplicities	3
2.1. Conormal spaces	3
2.2. Polar varieties	4
2.3. Multiplicities	5
3. A flatness result	7
4. Proofs	9
5. Examples	12
6. Appendix	13
References	14

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $(X_0, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ be a reduced complex analytic curve singularity. Let $(Z_0, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ be a complete intersection curve which is defined by $n-1$ generic \mathbb{C} -linear combinations of a set of defining equations for $(X_0, 0)$. Then $(Z_0, 0)$ contains $(X_0, 0)$ as an analytic subset and $(Z_0, 0)$ is reduced (see [BLR24, Sct. 3]). Set $W_0 := \overline{Z_0} \setminus X_0$. Denote by $I_0(X_0, W_0)$ the intersection multiplicity of X_0 and W_0 at 0 (see [Hir57, pg. 179]). It is equal to $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n, 0} / (I_{X_0} + I_{W_0})$, where I_{X_0} and I_{W_0} are the ideals of X_0 and W_0 in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n, 0}$, respectively. We will call $I_0(X_0, W_0)$ *the complete intersection discrepancy* of X_0 .

We say that $(X_0, 0)$ is *smoothable* if there exists a flat deformation $h: (X, 0) \rightarrow (Y, 0)$ with Y smooth of dimension one such that $(h^{-1}(0), 0) = (X_0, 0)$ and the fiber $h^{-1}(y) := X_y$ is smooth for $y \neq 0$ close enough to 0.

In this paper we initiate the study of the complete intersection discrepancy. We show that it is constant under one-parameter flat deformations of $(X_0, 0)$. When $(X_0, 0)$ is smoothable

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 32S15, 32S30, 32S60, 14C17, 13H15.

Key words and phrases. Milnor number, Euler characteristic, delta invariant, complete intersection discrepancy, conormal space, polar varieties, Hilbert–Samuel and Buchsbaum–Rim multiplicities, Gorenstein, Linkage, Whitney equisingularity.

we show how to compute it without having to find I_{W_0} , and using linkage we also show that the complete intersection discrepancy is an intrinsic invariant of $(X_0, 0)$ (the latter is done in full generality in [RT24]). As a first application of these results we derive in this paper a multiplicity formula for the Milnor number of a smoothable $(X_0, 0)$. In [BLR24] we use the complete intersection discrepancy to obtain a generalization of the classical genus-degree formula for plane curves. In [PR24] we use the complete intersection discrepancy to show in a new way the uniqueness of the Milnor fiber of a smoothable curve up to a diffeomorphism.

In [BG80] Buchweitz and Greuel define the Milnor number of $(X_0, 0)$ as follows. Let $n: (\overline{X_0}, 0) \rightarrow (X_0, 0)$ be the normalization morphism. Denote by $\omega_{X_0, 0}$ the dualizing module of Grothendieck. Denote by d the composition of $\Omega_{X_0, 0}^1 \rightarrow n_*\Omega_{\overline{X_0}, 0}^1 \cong n_*\omega_{\overline{X_0}, 0} \rightarrow \omega_{X_0, 0}$ and the exterior derivation $\mathcal{O}_{X_0, 0} \rightarrow \Omega_{X_0, 0}^1$. Then the Milnor number μ of $(X_0, 0)$ is defined as

$$\mu = \mu(X_0, 0) := \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\omega_{X_0, 0}/d\mathcal{O}_{X_0, 0}).$$

In [BG80, Prp. 1.2.1] Buchweitz and Greuel showed that $\mu = 2\delta - r + 1$, where $\delta := \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\overline{\mathcal{O}_{X_0, 0}}/\mathcal{O}_{X_0, 0})$ and r is the number of branches of $(X_0, 0)$. This is a formula discovered for plane curves by Milnor. When $(X_0, 0)$ is smoothable, Bassein [Bas77] proved that μ equals the first Betti number of the smoothing; in particular, for complete intersection curves μ coincides with the usual Milnor number.

In [AK70, VIII]) it is shown that $\omega_{X_0, 0}$ is canonically isomorphic to the module of Rosenlicht's regular differential forms $\omega_{X_0, 0}^R$ on $(X_0, 0)$. When the parametrization (normalization) of $(X_0, 0)$ is known, using residues, one can compute μ in practice. If the parametrization of $(X_0, 0)$ is not known, one would like to have an efficient way for computing μ (or δ) just from the defining equations of $(X_0, 0)$ in $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$.

Denote by $\text{Jac}(X_0)$ the Jacobian ideal of $(X_0, 0)$ in $\mathcal{O}_{X_0, 0}$, which is the first Fitting ideal of $\Omega_{X_0, 0}^1$. Denote by $e(\text{Jac}(X_0))$ the *Hilbert–Samuel (HS) multiplicity* of $\text{Jac}(X_0)$ and denote by m the multiplicity of $(X_0, 0)$. Because $(X_0, 0)$ is Cohen–Macaulay these multiplicities are easy to compute. Each one of them is the colength of a principal ideal in $\mathcal{O}_{X_0, 0}$ - the HS multiplicity of $\text{Jac}(X_0)$ is the colength of the ideal generated by a general \mathbb{C} -linear combination of the $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ minors of the Jacobian matrix of X_0 ; the multiplicity of $(X_0, 0)$ is the colength of the ideal generated by a general \mathbb{C} -linear combination of generators for the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{X_0, 0}$. Teissier [T73, Proposition II.1.2] for plane curves and more generally, Lê [Lê74] and Greuel [Gre73] for complete intersection curves, derived the following formula

$$\mu = e(\text{Jac}(X_0)) - m + 1.$$

The main result of this paper generalizes the formula above to the case of smoothable curves by inserting in it $I_0(X_0, W_0)$ as a correction term.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose $(X_0, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ is a reduced smoothable curve. Then*

$$(1) \quad \mu = e(\text{Jac}(X_0)) - I_0(X_0, W_0) - m + 1.$$

When $(X_0, 0)$ is a complete intersection, then $I_{W_0} = (1)$ and so $I_0(X_0, W_0)$ vanishes. Thus (1) recovers the Lê–Greuel–Teissier formula. In [BLR24] (1) is proved for Gorenstein curves.

Let $(X, 0) \rightarrow (Y, 0)$ be a one-parameter embedded flat deformation of $(X_0, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ with X_y smooth for small enough $y \neq 0$. Denote by Z the induced deformation on Z_0 and define W accordingly. Key to the proof of Theorem 1.1 is interpreting $e(\text{Jac}(X_0))$ as the Buchsbaum–Rim multiplicity of a module whose presentation matrix corresponds to the Jacobian matrix of Z_0 . Then Gaffney's Multiplicity-Polar Theorem implies that $e(\text{Jac}(X_0))$ equals to the sum of the covering degree over $(Y, 0)$ of the relative polar curve of $(X, 0)$ and $I(X_y, W_y)$, which

by a flatness argument is equal to $I_0(X_0, W_0)$. By Morse theory, the covering degree of the polar curve is equal to $\chi(X_y \cap H) - \chi(X_y)$, where H is general hyperplane in \mathbb{C}^n , which in turn equal to $\mu + m - 1$ by the result of Bassein. Combining these equalities we obtain (1).

Whitney equisingularity. Throughout the paper when dealing with complex analytic germs we will work with suitably small representatives of these germs. Let $(X, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^{n+k}, 0)$ be a reduced complex analytic space of pure dimension $k + 1$, and let $(Y, 0)$ be a smooth subspace of $(X, 0)$ of dimension k such that $X - Y$ is smooth. Choose an embedding of $(X, 0)$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n+k} = \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^k$, so that $(Y, 0)$ is represented by $0 \times U$, where U is an open neighborhood of 0 in \mathbb{C}^k . Let $\text{pr} : \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^k$ be the projection on the second factor and let $i : \mathbb{C}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^k$ be the inclusion $i(y) = (0, y)$. Denote by π_Y be the retraction $i \circ \text{pr}|_X$. View X as the total space of the family $\pi_Y : (X, 0) \rightarrow (Y, 0)$. For each $y \in Y$ set $X_y := \pi_Y^{-1}(y)$. We say H is a tangent hyperplane at $x \in X - Y$ if H is a hyperplane in \mathbb{C}^{n+k} that contains the tangent space $T_x X$. Let (x_i) be a sequence of points from $X - Y$ and (y_i) be a sequence of points from Y both converging to 0. Suppose that the sequence of secants $(\overline{x_i y_i})$ has limit l and the sequence of tangent hyperplanes $\{T_{x_i} X\}$ has limit T . We say that $(X, 0) \rightarrow (Y, 0)$ is *Whitney equisingular* at 0, or that the pair of strata $(X - Y, Y)$ satisfies the Whitney conditions at 0 if $l \subset T$.

By a result of Lê and Teissier [LT88] $(X, 0) \rightarrow (Y, 0)$ is Whitney equisingular if and only if X_y is equimultiple along Y and there exists a homeomorphism $g : (\mathbb{C}^{n+k}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^{n+k}, 0)$ whose restriction to X induces the homeomorphism $(X, 0) \cong (X_0 \times Y, 0)$. For families of reduced curves it is shown in [BGG80, Thm. III.3] that $(X, 0) \rightarrow (Y, 0)$ is Whitney equisingular if and only if the Milnor number $\mu(X_y)$ and the multiplicity $m(X_y)$ at 0 are constant along Y .

We say that X_y is *locally smoothable* if the germ of X_y at each of its singular points is smoothable. Denote by $e(\text{Jac}(X_y, 0))$ the HS-multiplicity of the Jacobian ideal of the germ $(X_y, 0)$. As a direct consequence of Theorem 1.1 and [BGG80, Thm. III.3] we have the following corollary.

Corollary 1.2. Assume that the fibers X_y are reduced curves with locally smoothable singularities. The following holds:

- (i) Assume $e(\text{Jac}(X_y, 0)) - I_0(X_y, W_y)$ is independent of y near 0. Then the union of the singular points of X_y is Y and the pair $(X - Y, Y)$ satisfies the Whitney conditions at 0.
- (ii) Suppose $(X - Y, Y)$ satisfies the Whitney conditions at 0. Then $e(\text{Jac}(X_y, 0)) - I_0(X_y, W_y)$ is independent of y near 0.

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank Steven Kleiman and Bernard Teissier for helpful and stimulating discussions. The first author was supported by the Bulgarian Ministry of Education and Science Scientific Programme "Enhancing the Research Capacity in Mathematical Sciences (PIKOM)", No. DO1-67/05.05.2022. The third author was supported by a "Petar Beron i NIE" fellowship [KP-06-D15-1] from the Bulgarian Science Fund and was partially funded by a Marie Skłodowska-Curie fellowship GTSP-101111114 from the European Commission.

2. CONORMAL SPACES, POLAR VARIETIES, AND MULTIPLICITIES

2.1. Conormal spaces. Let $h : (X, 0) \rightarrow (Y, 0)$ be a flat embedded deformation of a reduced complex analytic curve $(X_0, 0) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ with $(Y, 0)$ smooth of dimension one. First we describe the *relative conormal variety* $C_{\text{rel}}(X)$ of X in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} using the relative Jacobian module of X .

Suppose X is reduced. Let X be defined by the vanishing of some analytic functions f_1, \dots, f_p on a Euclidean neighborhood of 0 in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} . Consider the following conormal exact sequence

$$(2) \quad I/I^2 \xrightarrow{\delta} \Omega_{\mathbb{C}^n \times Y/Y}^1|_X \longrightarrow \Omega_{X/Y}^1 \longrightarrow 0$$

where I is the ideal of X in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^n \times Y, 0}$ and the map δ sends a function f vanishing on X to its differential df . Dualizing we obtain the following nested sequence of torsion-free sheaves:

$$\text{Image}(\delta^*) \subset (\text{Image } \delta)^* \subset (I/I^2)^*.$$

Observe that locally the sheaf $\text{Image}(\delta^*)$ can be viewed as the column space of the *relative Jacobian matrix* of X . If (x_1, \dots, x_n, t) are coordinates on $(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$, where t is the Y -coordinate, then the relative Jacobian matrix is simply the p by n matrix $(\partial f_i(\mathbf{x}, t)/\partial x_j)$.

Denote $\text{Image}(\delta^*)$ by $J_{\text{rel}}(X)$ and call it *the relative Jacobian module* of X . Because $\mathcal{O}_{X,0}^p \twoheadrightarrow I/I^2$, we have $(I/I^2)^* \subset \mathcal{O}_{X,0}^p$. So $J_{\text{rel}}(X)$ is contained in $\mathcal{O}_{X,0}^p$. Define the *Rees algebra* $\mathcal{R}(J_{\text{rel}}(X))$ to be the subalgebra of $\text{Sym}(\mathcal{O}_{X,0}^p)$ generated by $J_{\text{rel}}(X)$ placed in degree 1. Define the *relative conormal space* $C_{\text{rel}}(X)$ of X to be the closure in $X \times \check{\mathbb{P}}^{n-1}$ of the set of pairs (x, H) where x is a smooth point of $X_{h(x)}$ and H is a (tangent) hyperplane in \mathbb{C}^n at x containing $T_x X_{h(x)}$. We have

$$C_{\text{rel}}(X) = \text{Projan}(\mathcal{R}(J_{\text{rel}}(X))).$$

Indeed, observe that both sides are equal over the smooth part of the fibers $(X_y)_{\text{sm}}$ to the set of pairs (x, H) where H is a tangent hyperplane in \mathbb{C}^n to the simple point $x \in (X_y)_{\text{sm}}$. The left side is the closure of this set, and so is the right side simply because the Rees algebra is by construction a subalgebra of the symmetric algebra $\text{Sym}(\mathcal{O}_{X,0}^p)$.

2.2. Polar varieties. Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_{\text{rel}}(X) & \hookrightarrow & X \times \check{\mathbb{P}}^{n-1} \\ \downarrow \pi & \searrow \lambda & \downarrow pr_2 \\ X & & \check{\mathbb{P}}^{n-1}. \end{array}$$

The dimension of the generic fiber of π is $n - 2$, so $\dim C_{\text{rel}}(X)$ is n . By Kleiman's transversality theorem [Kl74] (take the transitive action $PGL(n, \mathbb{C})$ on $\check{\mathbb{P}}^{n-1}$ considered as the set of hyperplanes of \mathbb{C}^n), for a generic point $H \in \check{\mathbb{P}}^{n-1}$, the pullback $\lambda^{-1}(H)$ is going to be of dimension at most $\dim C_{\text{rel}}(X) - \text{codim}(H) = n - (n - 1) = 1$. The projection to X of this curve $\Gamma_{\text{rel}}^1(X) := \pi(\lambda^{-1}(H))$ is called the *relative polar variety* of dimension one, or simply the *relative polar curve* of $h: (X, 0) \rightarrow (Y, 0)$. Again by Thm. 2 (ii) and Rmk. 7 in [Kl74], choosing H generic enough guarantees that $\Gamma_{\text{rel}}^1(X)$ is reduced because by assumption X is reduced. An equivalent way to obtain $\Gamma_{\text{rel}}^1(X)$ is to consider a linear form F on \mathbb{C}^n that defines a hyperplane that is not a limiting tangent hyperplane to X_0 at 0. Then $\Gamma_{\text{rel}}^1(X)$ is the closure of the set of critical points of F restricted to the smooth part of the fibers of h ([FT18, Prp. 3.4]).

Suppose $h: (X, 0) \rightarrow (Y, 0)$ is an embedded deformation of $(X_0, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$, which is a smoothing of $(X_0, 0)$. Let $F: (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ be a general linear functional. Denote by $\deg_Y \Gamma_{\text{rel}}^1(X)$ the number of points in the fiber $\Gamma_{\text{rel}}^1(X)_y$ for $y \neq 0$ generic. Denote by m the multiplicity of $(X_0, 0)$.

Proposition 2.1. *We have the following Euler characteristic-degree relation.*

$$(3) \quad \deg_Y \Gamma_{\text{rel}}^1(X) = \chi(X_y \cap F^{-1}(0)) - \chi(X_y) = \mu + m - 1.$$

Proof. Assume $(X, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$. Let X_0 be embedded in a small open ball $B_0 := B(0, \epsilon) \subset (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$. Replace Y by a small enough open disk centered at 0. We may assume that X is a closed analytic subset of $B_0 \times Y$ and we can assume that X is smooth outside the origin. Let (x_1, \dots, x_n, t) be coordinates for $(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0)$. Let $F: (\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ be the linear functional $(x_1, \dots, x_n, t) \rightarrow (\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i)$ where α_i are complex numbers subject to finitely many genericity conditions specified below. Denote by $\Sigma_X(F)$ and by $\Sigma_{X_y}(F)$ the critical loci of F on X and X_y , respectively. Note that by ([FT18, Prp. 3.4]) $\Gamma_{\text{rel}}^1(X)$ is the closure of $\Sigma_{X_y}(F)$ for $y \neq 0$ close enough to 0. Thus $\deg_Y \Gamma_{\text{rel}}^1(X) = \#(\Sigma_{X_y}(F))$. Because $\Gamma_{\text{rel}}^1(X)$ meets $\partial B_0 \times Y$ at finitely many points, for y close enough to 0, the functional $F|_{X_y}$ does not have critical points on S_ϵ^{2n-1} . Note that in case $Y = 0 \times \mathbb{C} \subset X$, then by choosing the α_i s generic enough we can guarantee that $\Sigma_X(F) \cap (Y, 0) = 0$ and thus $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ is not in $\Sigma_{X_y}(F)$ for small enough $y \neq 0$. By [NOT13, Thm. A.5] (cf. [Kav04, Thm. 1.4])

$$\#(\Sigma_{X_y}(F)) = \chi(F^{-1}(0) \cap X_y) - \chi(X_y).$$

By [Bas77] (cf. [BG80, Cor. 4.2.3]) $\mu(X_0, 0) = 1 - \chi(X_y)$. Thus it remains to show that $\chi(F^{-1}(0) \cap X_y) = m$.

Set $f := \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i$. For each $y \in Y$ denote by f_y the image of f in \mathcal{O}_{X_y} . Because $(X_0, 0)$ is a Cohen–Macaulay curve, for generic α_i we have $m = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{X_0, 0}/f_0$. Because h is flat and X_0 is reduced, then (t, f) is a regular sequence in $\mathcal{O}_{X, 0}$, and so is (f, t) , because $\mathcal{O}_{X, 0}$ is local. By taking sufficiently small representatives of the germs $(X, 0)$ and $(Y, 0)$ we can ensure that $\mathcal{O}_{X, 0}/f$ is a finite $\mathcal{O}_{Y, 0}$ -module (see [GrR84, Prp. 1], p. 63]). Because $\mathcal{O}_{X, 0}/f$ is a torsion-free $\mathcal{O}_{Y, 0}$ -module, we have $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{X_0, 0}/f_0 = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{X_y}/f_y$. But $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{X_y}/f_y = \#(F^{-1}(0) \cap X_y) = \chi(F^{-1}(0) \cap X_y)$. Thus $\chi(F^{-1}(0) \cap X_y) = m$. \square

Note that the proof above establishes the first equality in (3) for a smoothable $(X_0, 0)$ of arbitrary dimension. Denote by $\mu(F|X_0)$ the Milnor number of $F|X_0$ (see [MvS01, Dfn. 2.1]). One can get the equality between $\deg_Y \Gamma_{\text{rel}}^1(X)$ and $\mu + m - 1$ for curves by observing that $\deg_Y \Gamma_{\text{rel}}^1(X) = \mu(F|X_0)$ by [MvS01, Prp. 2.2] and $\mu(F|X_0) = \mu + m - 1$ by [NT08, Dfn. 3.1 and Cor. 3.2].

2.3. Multiplicities. Let $(V, 0)$ be a reduced complex analytic variety. Let M be an \mathcal{O}_V -module such that its rank at the generic point of each irreducible component of V is e . Assume M is contained in a free module \mathcal{O}_V^p for some p . Denote by $[M] \in \text{Mat}(p \times c, \mathcal{O}_V)$ a presentation matrix of \mathcal{O}_V^p/M . Let $A \in \text{Mat}(e \times p, \mathbb{C})$ be a generic matrix such that $[M_e] := A[M]$ has rank e at the generic point of each irreducible component of $(V, 0)$. Let M_e be the \mathcal{O}_V -module generated by the columns of $[M_e]$. Then M_e is contained in a free module $F := \mathcal{O}_V^e$. Denote by $\mathcal{R}(M)$ and $\mathcal{R}(M_e)$ the Rees algebras of M and M_e , respectively.

Proposition 2.2. *We have*

$$\text{Projan}(\mathcal{R}(M)) = \text{Projan}(\mathcal{R}(M_e)).$$

Proof. By construction the row spaces of $[M]$ and of $[M_e]$ agree on the Zariski open dense subset of V where the rank of these matrices is e . Over this Zariski open set the spaces $\text{Projan}(\mathcal{R}(M))$ and $\text{Projan}(\mathcal{R}(M_e))$ are just the projectivization of these row spaces, hence they are equal. Since the spaces agree on a Zariski open dense set they agree everywhere. \square

Suppose now that $(V, 0)$ is a reduced curve. Then $\text{Supp}_V(F/M_e) = \{0\}$. The inclusion $M_e \subset F$ induces an inclusion of the Rees algebra $\mathcal{R}(M_e)$ in the symmetric algebra $\text{Sym}(F)$. Denote by M_e^l and F^l the l th graded components of $\mathcal{R}(M_e)$ and $\text{Sym}(F)$, respectively. Then by results of [BR64] for l large enough

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} F^l/M_e^l = e(M_e, F)l^e/e! + O(l^{e-1}).$$

The coefficient $e(M_e, F)$ is called the *Buchsbaum–Rim (BR) multiplicity* of the pair (M_e, F) . The BR-multiplicity generalizes the HS-multiplicity of ideals to modules. A submodule M'_e of M_e is called a *reduction* of M_e if $\mathcal{R}(M_e)$ is an integral extension of $\mathcal{R}(M'_e)$. By [SH06, Cor. 16.4.7] there exists a reduction of M_e generated by e generic linear combinations of the columns of $[M_e]$, or in other words for a generic matrix $B \in \text{Mat}(c \times e, \mathbb{C})$ such that the module generated by the columns of $[M_e]B$ is a reduction of M_e . By [SH06, Cor. 16.5.7] $e(M_e, F) = e(M'_e, F)$. Because $(V, 0)$ is Cohen–Macaulay by [BR64, 4.5, p. 223] $e(M'_e, F) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}_{V,0}/\text{Fitt}_0(F/M'_e))$, where $\text{Fitt}_0(F/M'_e)$ is the determinant of the $e \times e$ matrix $[M'_e]$.

The Fitting ideal $\text{Fitt}_{p-e}(\mathcal{O}_V^p/M)$ is generated by the $e \times e$ minors of $[M]$. It's an ideal in $\mathcal{O}_{V,0}$ primary to the maximal ideal; thus it has a well-defined HS-multiplicity $e(\text{Fitt}_{p-e}(\mathcal{O}_V^p/M))$.

Proposition 2.3. *For a generic choice of A we have $e(M_e, F) = e(\text{Fitt}_{p-e}(\mathcal{O}_V^p/M))$.*

Proof. Recall that $[M] \in \text{Mat}(p \times c, \mathcal{O}_V)$. By K and L we will denote e -element subsets of $\{1, \dots, p\}$ and $\{1, \dots, c\}$, respectively. Denote by $[M]_{K,L}$ the $e \times e$ minor of $[M]$ with K rows and L columns. Denote by A_K the $e \times e$ minor of A with K columns, and denote by B_L the $e \times e$ minor with L rows. By construction $[M'_e] = A[M]B$. Applying the Cauchy–Binet formula we obtain

$$\det[M'_e] = \sum_{K,L} A_K [M]_{K,L} B_L.$$

Note that $[M]_{K,L}$ give the $e \times e$ minors of $[M]$. Set $I := \text{Fitt}_{p-e}(\mathcal{O}_V^p/M)$. The exceptional divisor D of $\text{Bl}_I V$ is set-theoretically a finite set of points in \mathbb{P}^{l-1} . Let $[\alpha_{K,L}] \in \mathbb{P}^{l-1}$ be such a point. In order for a general linear combination $\sum_{K,L} \beta_{K,L} M_{K,L}$ to be a reduction, one needs to have $\alpha \cdot \beta := \sum_{K,L} \alpha_{K,L} \beta_{K,L} \neq 0$ for all $[\alpha_{K,L}] \in D$. The linear combinations that come from matrices A and B are of the form $\beta_{K,L} = A_K \cdot B_L$ so in fact we have the following generic condition on A and B

$$(4) \quad \sum_{K,L} \alpha_{K,L} A_K B_L \neq 0.$$

The sum is a polynomial expression $P(A, B)$ in the entries of A and B so it is enough to show that $P(A, B) \neq 0$, or that there exists a pair of matrices (A_0, B_0) such that $P(A_0, B_0) \neq 0$. Without loss of generality suppose that $\alpha_{K_0, L_0} \neq 0$ for $K_0 = L_0 = \{1, \dots, e\}$. Set $A_0 = (\delta_{i,j})_{1 \leq i \leq e, 1 \leq j \leq p}$ and $B_0 = (\delta_{s,t})_{1 \leq s \leq e, 1 \leq t \leq e}$, where $\delta_{p,q}$ is the Kronecker delta symbol. A direct computation of the minors gives

$$(A_0)_K = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } K = K_0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad (B_0)_L = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } L = L_0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Thus $P(A_0, B_0) = \alpha_{K_0, L_0} \neq 0$ and so (4) holds for generic A and B . Intersecting finitely many open sets in $\text{Mat}(e \times p, \mathbb{C})$ and $\text{Mat}(c \times e, \mathbb{C})$ we get that (4) holds for each $[\alpha_{K,L}] \in D$ and for generic A and B . Therefore, for such A and B the ideal generated by $\det[M'_e]$ is a reduction of $\text{Fitt}_{p-e}(\mathcal{O}_V^p/M)$. By [SH06, Prp. 11.1.10] $e(\text{Fitt}_{p-e}(\mathcal{O}_V^p/M)) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{V,0}/(\det[M'_e])$. But as observed above

$$e(M_e, F) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}_{V,0}/\text{Fitt}_0(F/M'_e)) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{V,0}/(\det[M'_e]).$$

Therefore, $e(M_e, F) = e(\text{Fitt}_{p-e}(\mathcal{O}_V^p/M))$. □

To get the equality in Proposition 2.3 selecting A so that Proposition 2.2 holds is not enough as indicated in the (3, 4, 5) example in Section 5. Finally, we remark that $e(M_e, F)$ was considered in a more general setting in [KT94, Sct. 7]. To define $e(M_e, F)$ from the point of view of Kleiman and Thorup, one intersects $\text{Projan}(\text{Sym}(\mathcal{O}_V^p)) = V \times \mathbb{P}^{p-1}$ with $p - e$ general hyperplanes from \mathbb{P}^{p-1} , blowing up this intersection with the image of the ideal generated by M in $\text{Sym}(\mathcal{O}_V^p)$, and then deriving $e(M_e, F)$ as a sum of certain intersection numbers of the exceptional divisor.

3. A FLATNESS RESULT

Let $(X_0, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ be a complex analytic curve such that the origin is not an embedded point of X_0 . Let $(X, 0) \rightarrow (Y, 0)$ be a flat deformation of $(X_0, 0)$ with $(Y, 0)$ smooth of dimension one. Let $(Z, 0) \rightarrow (Y, 0)$ be a flat family of analytic curves such that $(Z, 0)$ contains $(X, 0)$ as a closed analytic subspace. Assume that $(Z, 0)$ is Gorenstein (e.g. a complete intersection). Further, assume that X_y is a union of irreducible components of Z_y for each $y \in Y$. Set $W := \overline{Z} \setminus \overline{X}$ and $S := X \times_Z W$. Define $I(X_y, W_y) := \sum_{s_y \in S_y} I_{s_y}(X_y, W_y)$ where $I_{s_y}(X_y, W_y)$ is the intersection multiplicity of X_y and W_y at s_y .

Proposition 3.1. *In the setup above, S is flat over Y . In particular, $I(X_y, W_y)$ is constant for all y close to 0. Furthermore, $W_y = \overline{Z_y} \setminus \overline{X_y}$ for all y .*

Proof. Denote by I_X and I_W the ideals of X and W in $\mathcal{O}_{Z,0}$. Let t be the uniformizing parameter of $\mathcal{O}_{Y,0}$. Identify t with its image in $\mathcal{O}_{Z,0}$. We have $\mathcal{O}_{Z_0,0} = \mathcal{O}_{Z,0}/t\mathcal{O}_{Z,0}$. Denote by I_{X_0} and I_{W_0} the images of I_X and I_W in $\mathcal{O}_{Z_0,0}$, respectively. Set $W'_0 := \overline{Z_0} \setminus \overline{X_0}$. Note that the primary decompositions of I_{X_0} and $I_{W'_0}$ provide a primary decomposition of (0) in $\mathcal{O}_{Z_0,0}$ via the identity $(0) = I_{X_0} \cap I_{W'_0}$. Because $(Z, 0) \rightarrow (Y, 0)$ is flat and $(Z, 0)$ is Cohen-Macaulay, we have $\text{depth}(\mathcal{O}_{Z_0,0}) = 1$. Hence the associated primes of $\mathcal{O}_{Z_0,0}$ are all minimal. Thus, by prime avoidance, there exists $\bar{a} \in I_{X_0}$ such that \bar{a} avoids the minimal primes of $I_{W'_0}$. So if $\bar{a}\bar{b} = 0$ in $\mathcal{O}_{Z_0,0}$, then $\bar{b} \in I_{W'_0}$. But $I_X I_W = 0$, so $I_{X_0} I_{W_0} = \bar{0}$ in $\mathcal{O}_{Z_0,0}$. Therefore, $I_{W_0} \subset I_{W'_0}$.

If S is empty, there is nothing to prove, so suppose otherwise. Observe that the ideal of $(S, 0)$ in $\mathcal{O}_{Z,0}$ is $I_X + I_W$. We will show that t is a nonzerodivisor in $\mathcal{O}_{S,0} = \mathcal{O}_{Z,0}/I_X + I_W$. Suppose there exists $z \in \mathcal{O}_{Z,0}$ such that $tz = x + w$ with $x \in I_X$ and $w \in I_W$. Denote by \bar{x} and \bar{w} the images of x and w in $\mathcal{O}_{Z_0,0}$. We have $\bar{x} + \bar{w} = \bar{0}$. Note that $\bar{w} \in I_{W_0} \subset I_{W'_0}$ and $\bar{x} + \bar{w} \in I_{W'_0}$. So $\bar{x} \in I_{W'_0}$. But $\bar{x} \in I_{X_0}$, so $\bar{x} = \bar{0}$. Thus we can write $x = tx_1$ for some $x_1 \in \mathcal{O}_{Z,0}$. Because X is flat over Y , we have that t is a nonzerodivisor in $\mathcal{O}_{X,0}$. Hence $x_1 \in I_X$. Now $tz = x + w$ gives $t(z - x_1) = w$. Because $(Z, 0) \rightarrow (Y, 0)$ is flat, t avoids the associated primes of $\mathcal{O}_{Z,0}$. Because I_W is obtained from the primary decomposition of (0) by removing I_X , we get that t avoids the associated primes of I_W . Thus, there exists $w_1 \in I_W$ such that $z - x_1 = w_1$, i.e. $z = x_1 + w_1 \in I_X + I_W$. So, t is a nonzerodivisor in $\mathcal{O}_{S,0}$.

In particular, $(S, 0)$ has no vertical components and $(S, 0)$ cannot be equal set-theoretically to 0 . Thus $(S, 0) \rightarrow (Y, 0)$ is quasi-finite with nonempty fibers. Therefore, by taking sufficiently small representatives of the germs $(X, 0)$ and $(Y, 0)$ we can ensure that $(S, 0) \rightarrow (Y, 0)$ is finite (see [GrR84, Prp. 1), p. 63]). So $\mathcal{O}_{S,0}$ is a finite $\mathcal{O}_{Y,0}$ -module which is torsion-free because t is nonzerodivisor in $\mathcal{O}_{S,0}$. Therefore, $(S, 0) \rightarrow (Y, 0)$ is flat, and thus $y \rightarrow I(X_y, W_y)$ is constant for all y close to 0.

Next we prove that the formation of W specializes with passage to the fibers of $(X, 0) \rightarrow (Y, 0)$. We will prove it below for $y = 0$, i.e. we will show that $W_0 = W'_0$. For generic $y \neq 0$ the proof follows from the fact that the primary decomposition of the ideal (0) in $\mathcal{O}_{Z,0}$ specializes

with passage to generic fibers (see [BouAC, Ch. IV, §2, Prp. 11, p. 333]). We have already established above the inclusion $I_{W_0} \subset I_{W'_0}$. Thus to prove that $W_0 = W'_0$ it's enough to show $I_{W'_0} \subset I_{W_0}$.

Because $\text{depth}(\mathcal{O}_{X_0,0}) = 1$ and $(X, 0) \rightarrow (Y, 0)$ is flat, we have that $\mathcal{O}_{X,0}$ is Cohen-Macaulay. By [E95, Thm. 21.23 (b) (Linkage)] $\mathcal{O}_{W,0}$ is Cohen-Macaulay because $\mathcal{O}_{Z,0}$ is Gorenstein. So $\text{depth}(\mathcal{O}_{W,0}) = 2$. Because $\mathcal{O}_{W,0}$ is a flat $\mathcal{O}_{Y,0}$ -module, we have that t is a nonzerodivisor in $\mathcal{O}_{W,0}$ and $\text{depth}(\mathcal{O}_{W_0,0}) = 1$. In particular, the maximal ideal in $\mathcal{O}_{Z_0,0}$ is not an associated prime of I_{W_0} . Observe that set-theoretically $Z_0 = X_0 \cup W_0$. But S has no irreducible components in Z_0 . This shows that W_0 and W'_0 are set-theoretically equal. By prime avoidance we can select $r \in I_X$ such that r avoids the minimal primes of I_W and such that its image \bar{r} in $\mathcal{O}_{Z_0,0}$ avoids the associated primes of I_{W_0} . Thus \bar{r} is a nonzerodivisor in $\mathcal{O}_{W_0,0}$. Therefore, (t, r) is a regular sequence in $\mathcal{O}_{W,0}$ and so is (r, t) because $\mathcal{O}_{W,0}$ is local.

We claim that t is a nonzerodivisor in $\mathcal{O}_{Z,0}/r\mathcal{O}_{Z,0}$. Suppose there exists $z \in \mathcal{O}_{Z,0}$ such that $tz \in r\mathcal{O}_{Z,0}$. Because $r \in I_X$ and t is a nonzerodivisor in $\mathcal{O}_{X,0}$ we have $z \in I_X$. Also, we have $tz = 0$ in $\mathcal{O}_{Z,0}/(r, I_W) = \mathcal{O}_{W,0}/r\mathcal{O}_{W,0}$. Because (r, t) is a regular sequence in $\mathcal{O}_{W,0}$ we get that $z = rz_1 + w$ where $z_1 \in \mathcal{O}_{Z,0}$ and $w \in I_W$. But z and r belong to I_X . Thus $w \in I_X$. But $I_X \cap I_W = (0)$. Therefore, $w = 0$ and so $z = rz_1$ which establishes our claim.

Let $v \in \mathcal{O}_{Z,0}$ such that its image \bar{v} in $\mathcal{O}_{Z_0,0}$ is in $I_{W'_0}$. By our choice of r we have $\bar{r}\bar{v} = 0$ in $\mathcal{O}_{Z_0,0}$. Thus $rv = tz_2$ for some $z_2 \in \mathcal{O}_{Z,0}$. By what we have just proved above, there exists $z_3 \in \mathcal{O}_{Z,0}$ such that $z_2 = rz_3$. Hence $r(v - tz_3) = 0$. By our choice of r we must have $v - tz_3 \in I_W$. Thus $\bar{v} \in I_{W_0}$ and so $I_{W'_0} \subset I_{W_0}$, which finishes the proof. \square

In our applications $(X_0, 0)$ is a reduced curve defined in $(\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ by the vanishing of analytic functions $\tilde{f}_1, \dots, \tilde{f}_p$. Let $A \in \text{Mat}((n-1) \times p, \mathbb{C})$ and let $(Z_0, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ be the analytic set defined by the vanishing of $A(\tilde{f}_1, \dots, \tilde{f}_p)^T$. Obviously, $(Z_0, 0)$ contains $(X_0, 0)$ set-theoretically. For a generic A we show below in Prp. 3.2 that $(Z_0, 0)$ is a complete intersection which is reduced along $(X_0, 0)$.

Fix such an A . Observe that any $(X, 0) \rightarrow (Y, 0)$ flat embedded deformation of $(X_0, 0)$ with $(Y, 0)$ smooth and $(X, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^n \times Y, 0)$ induces an embedded deformation on the complete intersection $(Z_0, 0)$ which we denote by $(Z, 0) \rightarrow (Y, 0)$. Alternatively, if $(X, 0)$ is cut out from $(\mathbb{C}^n \times Y, 0)$ by f_1, \dots, f_p , then $(Z, 0)$ is cut out in $(\mathbb{C}^n \times Y, 0)$ by $A(f_1, \dots, f_p)^T$. One can readily check that $(Z, 0)$ is reduced along $(X, 0)$ because $(Z_0, 0)$ is reduced along $(X_0, 0)$ using the Jacobian criterion for smoothness, for example.

Proposition 3.2. *Suppose $(X_0, 0)$ is reduced and suppose A is generic. Then $(Z_0, 0)$ is a complete intersection which is reduced along $(X_0, 0)$.*

Proof. Successive applications of prime avoidance imply that $(Z_0, 0)$ is a complete intersection for generic A . Next, observe that the following relation between the Jacobian matrices of $(X_0, 0)$ and $(Z_0, 0)$ holds: $J(Z_0)|_{X_0} = AJ(X_0)$. Let $(X, 0) = \bigcup_{i=1}^s X_i$ be the decomposition of $(X, 0)$ into irreducible components. For each $i = 1, \dots, s$ pick a smooth point $x_i \in X_i$. By the Cauchy-Binet formula for generic A we have that $J(Z_0)$ evaluated at x_i is of maximal rank $n-1$. But $(Z_0, 0)$ is a complete intersection, so it does not have embedded components. Thus $(Z_0, 0)$ is reduced along $(X_0, 0)$. \square

Remarks. In [BLR24, Sct. 3] we show that Bertini's theorem yields a stronger result: for generic A the complete intersection Z_0 is reduced. In [BLR24, Sct. 4] we prove that $y \rightarrow I(X_y, W_y)$ is constant for projective curves using a formula for the arithmetic genus of a projective curve.

4. PROOFS

Let $h: (X, 0) \rightarrow (Y, 0)$ be a one-parameter embedded flat deformation of a reduced curve $(X_0, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ with X_y smooth for small enough $y \neq 0$. Suppose $(X, 0)$ is cut out from $(\mathbb{C}^n \times Y, 0)$ by the vanishing of the analytic functions f_1, \dots, f_p . Let Z be the complete intersection curve in $(\mathbb{C}^n \times Y, 0)$ with equations given by $A(f_1, \dots, f_p)^T$ for some generic $A \in \text{Mat}((n-1) \times p, \mathbb{C})$. Set $W := \overline{Z \setminus X}$ and set $S := X \times_Z W$. By Prp. 3.2, Z_0 is reduced along X_0 , and so is Z along X as remarked above. We will show below that for generic A the fibers S_y are reduced for all $y \neq 0$ close enough to 0.

Denote by $J_{\text{rel}}(X)$ the relative Jacobian module and denote by $J_{\text{rel}}(Z)$ the \mathcal{O}_X -module generated by the columns of the relative Jacobian matrix of Z restricted to X . In terms of presentation matrices we have $[J_{\text{rel}}(Z)] = A[J_{\text{rel}}(X)]$. For each y denote by $J(Z_y)$ the image of $J_{\text{rel}}(Z)$ in $\mathcal{O}_{X_y}^{n-1}$. It's the \mathcal{O}_{X_y} -module generated by the columns of the Jacobian matrix of Z_y restricted to X_y . We will repeatedly use the fact that the formation of Fitting ideals commutes with base change. In particular, $\text{Fitt}_0(\mathcal{O}_X^{n-1}/J_{\text{rel}}(Z)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_y} = \text{Fitt}_0(\mathcal{O}_{X_y}^{n-1}/J(Z_y))$.

Proposition 4.1. *Let X_y be a smooth fiber and let $s_y \in S_y$. Let u be a uniformizing parameter of \mathcal{O}_{X_y, s_y} . Suppose*

$$(5) \quad \text{Fitt}_0(\mathcal{O}_X^{n-1}/J_{\text{rel}}(Z)) \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X_y, s_y} = (u).$$

Then (Z_y, s_y) is isomorphic to a normal crossing divisor in (\mathbb{C}^2, s_y) . In particular, we have $I_{s_y}(X_y, W_y) = 1$.

Proof. Without loss of generality we can assume that $(Z, 0) = \mathbb{V}(f_1, \dots, f_{n-1})$ and $(X, 0) = \mathbb{V}(f_1, \dots, f_p)$. Because \mathcal{O}_{X_y, s_y} is a PID, then locally at s_y , the matrix $[J(Z_y)]$ has a Smith normal form with invariant factors u^{a_1}, \dots, u^{a_r} . The matrix $[J(Z_y)]$ is of size $(n-1) \times n$. The ideal of $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ minors of $[J(Z_y)]$ is the same as that of its Smith normal form. By (5) we get $r = n-1$ and all the exponents a_i vanish but one, which is equal to 1. Thus the rank of $[J(Z_y)]$ at s_y is $n-2$. Without loss of generality we can assume that Jacobian matrix of the variety $\mathbb{V}(f_2, \dots, f_{n-1})$ has the maximal possible rank $n-2$ at s_y . So $\mathbb{V}(f_2, \dots, f_{n-1})$ is smooth at s_y . After an analytic change of variables in (\mathbb{C}^n, s_y) we can assume that the f_i for $2 \leq i \leq n-1$ are part of a coordinate system for (\mathbb{C}^n, s_y) . Thus (Z_y, s_y) is contained in (\mathbb{C}^2, s_y) . Because X_y is smooth at s_y we can assume that (u, x) are local coordinates on (\mathbb{C}^2, s_y) with $(X_y, s_y) = \mathbb{V}(x)$. Then $(Z_y, s_y) = \mathbb{V}(xg(u, x))$ where $\mathbb{V}(g) = (W_y, s_y)$. But then

$$\text{Fitt}_0(\mathcal{O}_{X_y, s_y}^{n-1}/J(Z_y)) = (\partial_x g(u, x)/\partial u, \partial_x g(u, x)/\partial x)|_{x=0} = (g(u, 0)).$$

By assumption $\text{Fitt}_0(\mathcal{O}_{X_y, s_y}^{n-1}/J(Z_y)) = (u)$, thus $\text{ord}_u(g(u, 0)) = 1$. This implies that $\deg(\text{in}(g(u, x))) = 1$ and so (W_y, s_y) is smooth at s_y . Also $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^2, s_y}/(x, g(x, u)) = 1$. So (X_y, s_y) and (W_y, s_y) intersect transversally at s_y . \square

Let $M \subset \mathcal{O}_X^p$ be a finitely generated \mathcal{O}_X -module which is locally free of rank e at the generic point of each irreducible component of X . For $A \in \text{Mat}(e \times p, \mathbb{C})$ set $[M_e] := A[M]$, where $[M]$ is a presentation matrix of \mathcal{O}_X^p/M . Let $M_e \subset \mathcal{O}_X^e$ be the \mathcal{O}_X -module generated by the columns of $[M_e]$. Note that by the Cauchy-Binet formula $\text{Fitt}_0(\mathcal{O}_X^e/M_e) \subset \text{Fitt}_{p-e}(\mathcal{O}_X^p/M)$. Let S' be the analytic subspace of X defined by $\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} (\text{Fitt}_0(\mathcal{O}_X^e/M_e) :_{\mathcal{O}_X} \text{Fitt}_{p-e}(\mathcal{O}_X^p/M)^i)$.

Proposition 4.2. *Suppose A is generic. Then $(S', 0)$ is reduced.*

Proof. Let η be the generic point of an irreducible component of X . Because X is reduced, $\mathcal{O}_{X, \eta}$ is a field and so $M_{\eta} := M \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X, \eta}$ and $(M_e)_{\eta} := M_e \otimes \mathcal{O}_{X, \eta}$ are $\mathcal{O}_{X, \eta}$ -vector spaces. So

$\mathcal{O}_{X,\eta}^p/M_\eta$ is $\mathcal{O}_{X,\eta}$ -vector space of dimension $p - e$ and $\mathcal{O}_{X,\eta}^e = (M_e)_\eta$. Thus the closed subsets of X defined by $\text{Fitt}_{p-e}(\mathcal{O}_X^p/M)$ and $\text{Fitt}_0(\mathcal{O}_X^e/M_e)$ are nowhere dense in X . Therefore, S' is either empty or of dimension 1. If S' is empty, there is nothing to prove. If S' is of dimension one, then 0 is not an embedded point of S' (see [E95, Prp. 3.13a]).

Suppose $[M] \in \text{Mat}(p \times l, \mathcal{O}_X)$. Denote by M^* the \mathcal{O}_X -submodule of \mathcal{O}_X^l generated by the columns of $[M]^{\text{tr}}$. We have $\text{Fitt}_{p-e}(\mathcal{O}_X^p/M) = \text{Fitt}_{l-e}(\mathcal{O}_X^l/M^*)$. Denote by M' the \mathcal{O}_X -submodule of M^* generated by the columns of $[M^*]A^{\text{tr}}$. Because $[M^*]A^{\text{tr}} = (A[M])^{\text{tr}}$, we have $\text{Fitt}_0(\mathcal{O}_X^e/M_e) = \text{Fitt}_{l-e}(\mathcal{O}_X^l/M')$.

Let $x \neq 0$ be a point in S' . Then $x \in \mathbb{V}(\text{Fitt}_{l-e}(\mathcal{O}_X^l/M'))$ and $x \notin \mathbb{V}(\text{Fitt}_{l-e}(\mathcal{O}_X^l/M^*))$. Consider the following short exact sequence

$$(6) \quad 0 \longrightarrow M_x^*/M_x' \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^l/M_x' \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,x}^l/M_x^* \longrightarrow 0.$$

Because $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^l/M_x^*$ is free, (6) is a split exact sequence. By [Stks, Tag 07ZA] we have

$$\text{Fitt}_{l-e}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^l/M_x') = \sum_{i+j=l-e} \text{Fitt}_i(M_x^*/M_x') \text{Fitt}_j(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^l/M_x^*) = \text{Fitt}_0(M_x^*/M_x')$$

because $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^l/M_x^*$ is free of rank $l - e$ so the only nonzero term in the sum above is the one corresponding to $(i, j) = (0, l - e)$. Thus locally at x , the subspace S' is defined in $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ by $\text{Fitt}_0(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^e/(M_e)_x) = \text{Fitt}_{l-e}(\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^l/M_x') = \text{Fitt}_0(M_x^*/M_x')$. Let $U := X \setminus \mathbb{V}(\text{Fitt}_{l-e}(\mathcal{O}_X^l/M^*))$. Then the sheaf induced by M^* on U is locally free of rank e . Because X is reduced so is U . If A is generic, by Kleiman's transversality theorem [K174, Rmks. 6 and 7], the analytic subspace in U defined by the ideal sheaf $\text{Fitt}_0(M^*/M')$ is either empty or reduced and of dimension 1. So S' is reduced at x . Thus S' satisfies Serre's (S_1) and (R_0) conditions. So $(S', 0)$ is reduced. \square

Let $A \in \text{Mat}((n-1) \times p, \mathbb{C})$. Set $M := J_{\text{rel}}(X)$ and $M_e := J_{\text{rel}}(Z)$. Then S' is the subscheme of X cut out by $\text{Fitt}_0(\mathcal{O}_X^{n-1}/J_{\text{rel}}(Z))$. Recall that $S = X \times_Z W$ and by Prp. 3.1 $W_y = \overline{Z}_y \setminus X_y$ for all y . The next result shows that when $(X_0, 0)$ is smoothable we can compute the complete intersection discrepancy $I_0(X_0, W_0)$ as the number of singular points of Z_y that are in X_y for $y \neq 0$ generic.

Proposition 4.3. *Suppose A is generic. Then $S_y = S'_y$. In particular, $I(X_0, W_0) = \#(S'_y)$ for $y \neq 0$ close enough to 0.*

Proof. Choose y close enough to 0. Select a generic $A \in \text{Mat}((n-1) \times p, \mathbb{C})$. Denote by S' the subscheme of X cut out by $\text{Fitt}_0(\mathcal{O}_X^{n-1}/J_{\text{rel}}(Z))$. Since $[J_{\text{rel}}(Z)]$ is the relative Jacobian matrix of Z , then S' is the union along Y of the singular points of Z_y in X_y . As X_y is smooth for $y \neq 0$, then S'_y is set-theoretically S_y - the intersection of W_y and X_y .

If S'_y is empty for $y \neq 0$, then so is S_y . Thus, by Prp. 3.1 S is empty. So each X_y is therefore a complete intersection and $I(X_y, W_y) = 0$ for all y . If S'_y is nonempty, then so is S_y . By Prp. 4.2 applied with $M = J_{\text{rel}}(X)$ and $M_e = J_{\text{rel}}(Z)$, we get that S' is generically reduced. Thus by generic smoothness S'_y is reduced for all $y \neq 0$ after possibly replacing Y by a smaller neighborhood of $0 \in Y$. By Prp. 4.1 $I_{s_y}(X_y, W_y) = 1$ for each $s_y \in S_y$. Thus S_y is reduced, too. So, $S'_y = S_y$ for $y \neq 0$. By Prp. 3.1 and Prp. 4.1 for $y \neq 0$ we have

$$(7) \quad I_0(X_0, W_0) = I(X_y, W_y) = \sum_{s_y \in S_y} I_{s_y}(X_y, W_y) = \#(S_y)$$

which completes the proof of the proposition. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1

By Prp. 2.2 $C_{\text{rel}}(X) = \text{Projan}(\mathcal{R}(J_{\text{rel}}(Z)))$. Let $B \in \text{Mat}(n \times e, \mathbb{C})$. Consider the \mathcal{O}_X -module $J_{\text{rel}}(Z)'$ generated by the columns of $[J_{\text{rel}}(Z)]B$. Denote the images of $J_{\text{rel}}(Z)'$ and $J_{\text{rel}}(Z)$ in $\mathcal{O}_{X_0}^{n-1}$ by $J(Z_0)'$ and $J(Z_0)$, respectively. Choose B generic so that $J(Z_0)'$ is a reduction $J(Z_0)$ in $\mathcal{O}_{X_0}^{n-1}$. Because $J_{\text{rel}}(Z)'$ is generated by $n - 1$ elements, each of which defines a generic hyperplane \mathbb{P}^{n-1} , then the ideal $J_{\text{rel}}(Z)'\mathcal{R}(J_{\text{rel}}(Z))$ cuts out $\Gamma_{\text{rel}}^1(X)$ before the projection to X . Thus $\text{Supp}_X(J_{\text{rel}}(Z)/J_{\text{rel}}(Z)') = \Gamma_{\text{rel}}^1(X)$. The genericity condition on B and Kleiman's transversality theorem ensure that $\Gamma_{\text{rel}}^1(X)$ meets S only at 0 after possibly replacing the representative for $(X, 0)$ by a smaller one.

Choosing B generic enough by [Kl74, Rmks. 6 and 7] it follows that the principle ideal $(g) := \text{Fitt}_0(\mathcal{O}_{X_0}^{n-1}/J_{\text{rel}}(Z)')$ defines a reduced subvariety of X , which is therefore Cohen-Macaulay, and thus it is flat over Y because Y is smooth. For $y \in Y$ denote the image of g in \mathcal{O}_{X_y} by g_y . By flatness $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{X_0}/(g_0) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{X_y}/(g_y)$. But $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{X_0}/(g_0) = e(J(Z_0), \mathcal{O}_{X_0}^{n-1})$. By Prp. 2.3 we have $e(J(Z_0), \mathcal{O}_{X_0}^{n-1}) = e(\text{Jac}(X_0))$. Thus

$$(8) \quad e(\text{Jac}(X_0)) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{X_y}/(g_y).$$

It remains to interpret the right-hand side of (8). We need to count the points cut out from X_y by $\text{Fitt}_0(\mathcal{O}_{X_y}^{n-1}/J(Z_y)')$ for $y \neq 0$. There are two disjoint set of points: those that constitute the support of $J_{\text{rel}}(Z_y)/J_{\text{rel}}(Z_y)'$ and those that are not in it. The first set of points is precisely $\Gamma_{\text{rel}}^1(X)_y$. By definition the latter set is S'_y which is the same as S_y as shown in Prp. 4.3. Thus

$$(9) \quad \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{X_y}/(g_y) = \#(\Gamma_{\text{rel}}^1(X)_y) + \#(S_y).$$

Combining (7), (8), (9) and (3) we obtain $e(\text{Jac}(X_0)) - I_0(X_0, W_0) = \#(\Gamma_{\text{rel}}^1(X)_y) = \mu + m - 1$.

Remarks. By Prp. 3.1 $W_0 = \overline{Z_0} \setminus X_0$. Thus a consequence of the formula we just proved is that the complete intersection discrepancy $I_0(X_0, W_0)$ of X_0 is an intrinsic invariant for a reduced smoothable $(X_0, 0)$ because μ , m and $e(\text{Jac}(X_0))$ are intrinsic invariants of $(X_0, 0)$.

Let $F: (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ be a general linear functional. In [NT08, Cor. 3.2] it's shown that $\mu(F|X_0) = \mu + m - 1$ where $\mu(F|X_0)$ is the Milnor number of $F|X_0$. Thus by Thm. 1.1 we obtain that $\mu(F|X_0) = e(\text{Jac}(X_0)) - I_0(X_0, W_0)$. This formula can be used to compute $\mu(F|X_0)$ in case the parametrization of X_0 is not known.

The approach of selecting a generic complete intersection Z_0 to define an invariant of $(X_0, 0)$ does not work in dimension greater than 1, because the components of Z_0 must intersect in codimension 1, so $J(Z_0)$ will not have finite colength in $\mathcal{O}_{X_0}^e$ where $e = \text{codim}(X_0, \mathbb{C}^n)$.

Proof of Corollary 1.2

By Theorem 1.1 we have $e(\text{Jac}(X_y)) - I_0(X_y, W_y) = \mu(X_y) + m(X_y) - 1$, where $\mu(X_y)$ and $m(X_y)$ are the Milnor number and the multiplicity of X_y at $0 \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Because $\mu(X_y)$ and $m(X_y)$ are upper semi-continuous invariants (see [BG80, Thm. 6.1.7.(b)] for the upper semi-continuity of μ) the function $y \rightarrow e(\text{Jac}(X_y)) - I_0(X_y, W_y)$ is constant along Y if and only if $\mu(X_y)$ and $m(X_y)$ are constant along Y . Note that if $\mu(X_y)$ is constant along Y , by [BG80, Lem. 5.2.1] the singular locus does not split, i.e. the union of singularities of the fibers X_y is Y . The rest of the proof follows from [BGG80, Thm. III.3] (see also [NT08, Thm. 4.3]).

5. EXAMPLES

Three lines in \mathbb{C}^3

Let X_0 be the union of the three axis of \mathbb{C}^3 given by the equations $f_1 = xy, f_2 = yz, f_3 = xz$. The Jacobian matrix of X_0 is

$$\begin{pmatrix} y & x & 0 \\ 0 & z & y \\ z & 0 & x \end{pmatrix}.$$

The Jacobian ideal $\text{Jac}(X_0)$ in \mathcal{O}_{X_0} is generated by x^2, y^2 and z^2 . A reduction of it is generated by $x^2 + y^2 + z^2$. Thus $e(\text{Jac}(X_0)) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[x, y, z]/(x^2 + y^2 + z^2, xy, yz, xz) = 6$. The multiplicity of $(X_0, 0)$ is 3. To compute the intersection multiplicity term we chose $Z_0 = \mathbb{V}(f_1 + f_2, f_1 + f_3)$ so that $W_0 = \mathbb{V}(x - y, y + z)$ and thus $I_0(X_0, W_0) = 2$. Thus

$$\mu(X_0) = e(\text{Jac}(X_0)) - I_0(X_0, W_0) - m + 1 = 6 - 2 - 3 + 1 = 2.$$

This agrees with [BG80, Lemma 1.2.4]. A smoothing of X_0 is given by the equations $F_1 = xy + tx + t^2, F_2 = yz + ty + t^2, F_3 = zx + tz + t^2$, where X_t for $t \neq 0$ is a smooth irreducible complete intersection curve. For this family W is the plane $\mathbb{V}(y + z, x + z + 2t)$. The line W_t for $t \neq 0$ intersects X_t in two distinct points.

The (3, 4, 5) curve in \mathbb{C}^3

Next we consider the space curve X_0 given by the following equations $f_1 = x^2y - z^2, f_2 = x^3 - yz, f_3 = y^2 - xz$, which come from the 2×2 minors of the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} x & y & z \\ y & z & x^2 \end{pmatrix}$. The parametrization for X_0 is given by $\{(t^3, t^4, t^5) | t \in \mathbb{C}\} \subset \mathbb{C}^3$. It is a well known example of a non-planar curve, which is Cohen-Macaulay of codimension 2, but not Gorenstein. Its Jacobian matrix is

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2xy & x^2 & -2z \\ 3x^2 & -z & -y \\ -z & 2y & -x \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $f_0 = 2y^2 + xz + 3yz + z^2 - 4x^3 - 7x^2y - 2xy^2 - 7x^2z - 2xyz - 3x^4$. It is a generic combination of the 2×2 minors of the Jacobian matrix. Then (via a SINGULAR computation [DGPS]) $e(\text{Jac}(X_0)) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{X_0, 0}/(f_0) = 8$.

To compute the intersection term use the complete intersection $Z_0 = \mathbb{V}(f_1 + f_2, f_1 + f_3)$, which gives a generic complete intersection, such that $e(J(X_0)_2, \mathcal{O}_{X_0}^2) = e(\text{Jac}(X_0))$, where $\mathcal{O}_{X_0}^2/J(X_0)_2$ has presentation matrix $[J(X_0)_2] := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} [J(X_0)]$. By a quotient ideal computation we find

$$W_0 = \mathbb{V}(x + y + z, y + z + x^2) \text{ and } I_0(X_0, W_0) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}^3, 0}/I(X_0) + I(W_0) = 2.$$

Thus

$$\mu(X_0) = e(\text{Jac}(X_0)) - I_0(X_0, W_0) - m + 1 = 8 - 2 - 3 + 1 = 4.$$

Now let's choose $Z'_0 = \mathbb{V}(f_1, f_3)$. Denote by $J(X_0)'_2$ the submodule in $\mathcal{O}_{X_0}^2$ generated by the columns of the matrix obtained from the Jacobian matrix of X_0 by erasing the second row. Then

$$e(J(X_0)'_2, \mathcal{O}_{X_0}^2) = 9 > e(J(X_0)_2, \mathcal{O}_{X_0}^2).$$

But $W'_0 = (y, z)$. So $I_0(X_0, W'_0) = 3$. A smoothing of X_0 is given by the 2×2 minors of $\begin{pmatrix} x & y & z \\ y & z & x^2 - t \end{pmatrix}$. Then

$$X \times_{\mathbb{C}^4} W' = \mathbb{V}(x, y, z) \cup \mathbb{V}(y, z, x^2 - t)$$

and X_t and W'_t meet locally transversally at 3 points for $t \neq 0$. As predicted by Gaffney's Multiplicity-Polar Theorem (see Theorem 6.1)

$$e(J(X_0)_2, \mathcal{O}_{X_0}^2) - I_0(X_0, W_0) = e(J(X_0)'_2, \mathcal{O}_{X_0}^2) - I_0(X_0, W'_0) = 6.$$

The BR-multiplicities $e(J(X_0)_2, \mathcal{O}_{X_0}^2)$ and $e(J(X_0)'_2, \mathcal{O}_{X_0}^2)$ are computed through a sum of intersection numbers of the exceptional divisors of the blowup of $\text{Projan}(\text{Sym}(\mathcal{O}_{X_0}^2)) = X_0 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ with respect to the ideals $J(X_0)_2 \text{Sym}(\mathcal{O}_{X_0}^2)$ and $J(X_0)'_2 \text{Sym}(\mathcal{O}_{X_0}^2)$ ([KT94, Sct. 5]). The reason $e(J(X_0)_2, \mathcal{O}_{X_0}^2) \neq e(J(X_0)'_2, \mathcal{O}_{X_0}^2)$ is that although $\text{Projan}(\mathcal{R}(J(X_0)_2)) = \text{Projan}(\mathcal{R}(J(X_0)'_2))$ the two blowups are not isomorphic.

Finally, observe that for the special choice $Z'' = \mathbb{V}(f_1, f_2)$, in the induced deformation of Z'' by the smoothing of X_0 above, we have $W'' = \mathbb{V}(z, x^2 - t)$ and $X \times_{\mathbb{C}^4} W'' = \mathbb{V}(z, y^2, x^2 - t)$. For $t \neq 0$, one finds that W''_t consists of two lines each tangent to X_t , i.e. X_t and W''_t do not intersect locally transversally. As $t \rightarrow 0$, these two lines degenerate to the double line $W''_0 = \mathbb{V}(z, x^2)$.

A Whitney equisingular family

The following example is taken from [BG80, Sct. 7]. Consider the one-parameter family of irreducible curves $(X, 0) \rightarrow (D, 0)$ given parametrically by $\mathbb{C}\{u^4, u^7 + tu^6, u^9, u^{10}\}$, where t is the uniformizing parameter of \mathcal{O}_D . Using SINGULAR we compute that the base space of miniversal deformations is irreducible and that X_0 is smoothable. Thus X_t is smoothable for each t . We compute that for each t the delta invariant of X_t is 5 and so $\mu(X_t, 0) = 10$ (in [BG80] it is wrongly claimed that $\mu(X_t, 0) = 12$). Thus, for each $t \in D$ we have

$$e(\text{Jac}(X_t, 0)) - I_0(X_t, W_t) = \mu(X_t, 0) + m(X_t, 0) - 1 = 10 + 4 - 1 = 13.$$

However, a computation with SINGULAR reveals that $e(\text{Jac}(X_0)) = 21$ and $I_0(X_0, W_0) = 8$, whereas $e(\text{Jac}(X_t, 0)) = 19$ and $I_0(X_t, W_t) = 6$ for $t \neq 0$. This computation shows that apart from the case of families of complete intersection curves, $e(\text{Jac}(X_t, 0))$ is not a Whitney equisingularity invariant in general. So the presence of the correcting term $I_0(X_t, W_t)$ to $e(\text{Jac}(X_t, 0))$ is necessary for obtaining numerical control of Whitney equisingularity.

6. APPENDIX

In the proof of Theorem 1.1 we implicitly used a special case of Gaffney's Multiplicity-Polar Theorem. Here we give a new proof of this particular version of his result inspired by our proof of Theorem 1.1.

Let $h: (X, x_0) \rightarrow (Y, y_0)$ be a morphism with equidimensional fibers of positive dimension d between equidimensional complex analytic varieties such that X is generically reduced and Y is smooth of dimension k . Let $N \subset F$ be coherent \mathcal{O}_X -modules such that F is free of rank e . Suppose $T := \text{Supp}_X(F/N)$ is finite over Y . Let $\mathcal{R}(N)$ be the Rees algebra of N - it is the subalgebra of $\text{Sym}(F)$ generated in degree one by the generators of N .

For each $y \in Y$ denote by $F(y)$ the restriction of F to X_y and by $N(y)$ the image of N in $F(y)$. As before, define the Buchsbaum–Rim multiplicity $e(N(y), F(y))$ as the normalized leading coefficient of $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(F^l(y)/N^l(y))$ which is a polynomial of degree $r := d + e - 1$ for l

large enough, where $F^l(y)$ and $N^l(y)$ are the l th graded components of $\text{Sym}(F(y))$ and the Rees algebra $\mathcal{R}(N(y))$, respectively. Note that $\text{Projan}(\mathcal{R}(N)) \subset X \times \mathbb{P}^{g(N)-1}$ where $g(N)$ is the cardinality of a generating set for N as an \mathcal{O}_X -module. Denote by $\pi: \text{Projan}(\mathcal{R}(N)) \rightarrow X$ the structure morphism. Consider the composition of maps

$$\pi^{-1}(T) \hookrightarrow X \times \mathbb{P}^{g(N)-1} \xrightarrow{pr_2} \mathbb{P}^{g(N)-1}.$$

As N is generically free of rank e , by Kleiman's Transversality Theorem [Kl74], the intersection of $\pi^{-1}(T)$ with a general plane H_r from $\mathbb{P}^{g(N)-1}$ of codimension r is of dimension at most $\dim Y - 1$. Denote by $\Gamma^k(N)$ the projection of $\text{Proj}(\mathcal{R}(N)) \cap H_r$ to X . This is what Gaffney [Gaf04] calls the k -dimensional polar variety of N . For y in a Zariski open subset U of Y , the fiber of $\Gamma^k(N)$ over y consists of the same number of points, each of them appearing with multiplicity one because X is generically reduced, and because locally at each one of them N is free. Denote this number by $\deg_Y \Gamma^k(N)$. The following is a special case of Gaffney's Multiplicity-Polar Theorem (see [Gaf07] and [Ran22, Sct. 2] for generalizations).

Theorem 6.1 (Gaffney). *Suppose X is Cohen–Macaulay and T is finite over Y . Then for each y in Zariski open subset U in Y we have*

$$e(N(y_0), F(y_0)) - e(N(y), F(y)) = \deg_Y \Gamma^k(N).$$

Proof. We follow the proof of Theorem 1.1. Let N' be a submodule of N generated by r generic linear combinations of generators for N such that $N'(y_0)$ is a reduction of $N(y_0)$. Set $\mathcal{I} := \text{Fitt}_0(F/N')$. Denote by T' the subspace of X defined by \mathcal{I} . Because $\text{Supp}(F(y_0)/N'(y_0))$ is finite and because $T \subset \text{Supp}(F/N')$, then $\dim T' = k$. Since the codimension of $\mathcal{O}_X/\mathcal{I}$ is right, then T' is determinantal, and so it is Cohen–Macaulay because X is. Because Y is smooth, then $T' \rightarrow Y$ is flat. Thus $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}_{X_{y_0}}/\mathcal{I}(y_0)) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}_{X_y}/\mathcal{I}(y))$ for each $y \in Y$. Also, $h: (X, x_0) \rightarrow (Y, y_0)$ is flat, because X is Cohen–Macaulay, X and the fibers of h are equidimensional, and Y is smooth. Thus X_y is Cohen–Macaulay for each $y \in Y$. By [BR64, 4.5, p. 223] $e(N(y_0), F(y_0)) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}_{X_{y_0}}/\mathcal{I}(y_0))$. It remains to interpret $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}_{X_y}/\mathcal{I}(y))$ for generic $y \in Y$.

Set $T'' := \text{Supp}(N/N')$. Note that set-theoretically, $T' = T \cup T''$. The generators of N' give the ideal of the plane H_r in $\mathbb{P}^{g(N)-1}$ used to define $\Gamma^k(N)$. Denote by U the complement in Y of the Zariski closure of $h \circ \pi(\pi^{-1}(T) \cap H_r)$. Then $T''_y = \Gamma^k(N)_y$ for $y \in U$. By construction T_y and T''_y are disjoint for $y \in U$. Thus

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}_{X_y}/\mathcal{I}(y)) = \sum_{t_y \in T_y} \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}_{X_y, t_y}/\mathcal{I}(y, t_y)) + \deg_Y \Gamma^k(N)$$

where $\mathcal{I}(y, t_y)$ is the image of \mathcal{I} in \mathcal{O}_{X_y, t_y} . Because the formation of Fitting ideals commutes with base change and because \mathcal{O}_{X_y, t_y} is Cohen–Macaulay, [BR64, 4.5, p. 223] gives $e(N(y), F(y)) = \sum_{t_y \in T_y} \dim_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathcal{O}_{X_y, t_y}/\mathcal{I}(y, t_y))$. The proof of the theorem is now complete. \square

REFERENCES

- [AK70] Altman, A., Kleiman, S., “Introduction to Grothendieck Duality Theory,” SLN **146**, Berlin Heidelberg New York: Springer–Verlag, 1970.
- [Bas77] Bassein, R., *On Smoothable Curve Singularities: Local Methods*, Math. Ann. **230**, (1977), 273–277.
- [BLR24] Benguş–Lasnier, A., Rangachev, A., *The complete intersection discrepancy of a curve*, (2024), https://drive.google.com/file/d/127FAcov8zSPvyKR5xzs_SjtbqopU89eQ/view.
- [BouAC] Bourbaki, N., *Algèbre Commutative Ch. 1 à 4*, Springer, 2006, (re-impression of the original Masson 1985 edition)

- [BGG80] Briançon, J., Galligo, A., Granger, M., “Déformations équisingulières des germes de courbes gauches réduites”, Mémoires de la S. M. F. 2e série, tome 1 (1980), 1–69.
- [BS12] Brodmann, M., Sharp, R., “Local cohomology: An algebraic introduction with geometric applications”, 2nd ed., Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Cambridge University Press, 2012.
- [BR64] Buchsbaum, D.A., and Rim, D.S., *A generalized Koszul complex. II. Depth and multiplicity*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **111** (1964), 197–224.
- [BG80] Buchweitz, R., Greuel, G., *The Milnor Number and Deformations of Complex Curve Singularities*, Invent. Math. **58**, (1980), 241–281.
- [DGPS] Decker, W.; Greuel, G.-M.; Pfister, G.; Schönemann, H.: SINGULAR 4-3-0 — A computer algebra system for polynomial computations. <https://www.singular.uni-kl.de> (2022).
- [E95] Eisenbud, D., “Commutative Algebra with a view toward Algebraic Geometry,” GTM, **150**. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [FT18] Flores, A.G., Teissier, B., *Local polar varieties in the geometric study of singularities*, Annales de la Faculté des Sciences de Toulouse, **27**, no. 4 (2018), 679–775.
- [Gaf07] Gaffney, T., *The Multiplicity polar theorem*, arXiv:math/0703650v1 [math.CV]
- [Gaff04] Gaffney, T., *Polar methods, invariants of pairs of modules and equisingularity*, in Real and complex singularities, eds T. Gaffney and M.A.S. Ruas, Contemp. Math., **354**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2004, 113–135.
- [Gaf92] Gaffney, T., *Integral closure of modules and Whitney equisingularity*, Invent. Math., **107** (1992), 301–322.
- [GrR84] Grauert, H, Remmert, R., “Coherent Analytic Sheaves,” Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 1984.
- [Gre73] Greuel, G.M., *Der Gauss-Manin Zusammenhang isolierter Singularitäten von vollständigen Durchschnitten*. Dissertation. Göttingen, 1973. Math. Ann. **214** (1975) 235–266.
- [Hir57] Hironaka, H., *On the arithmetic genera and the effective genera of algebraic curves*, Memoirs of the College of Science, Univ. of Kyoto, Series A: Mathematics **30** (2), (1957) 177–195.
- [Kav04] Kaveh, K., *Morse theory and Euler characteristic of sections of spherical varieties*, Transformation Groups **9** (2004), 47–63.
- [KT94] Kleiman, S., Thorup, A., *A geometric theory of the Buchsbaum-Rim multiplicity*, J. Algebra **167** (1994), 168–231.
- [K174] Kleiman, S., *The transversality of a general translate*, Compositio Mathematica **28** (1974), no. 3, 287–297.
- [LT88] Lê, D. T., Teissier, B., *Limites de’espaces tangent en géométrie analytique*, Comment. Math. Helvetici **63** (1988), 540–578.
- [MvS01] Mond, D. and van Straten, D., *Milnor number equals Tjurina number for functions on space curves*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. II. Ser. 63, No. 1, (2001) 177–187.
- [Lê74] Lê D. T., *Calculation of Milnor number of isolated singularity of complete intersection*, Functional Analysis and Its Applications, **8** (1974), 127–131.
- [NOT13] Nuño-Ballesteros, J.J., Oréface-Okamoto, B., Tomazella, J.N., *The vanishing Euler characteristic of an isolated determinantal singularity*, Israel Journal of Mathematics, **197** (2013), 475–495.
- [NT08] Nuño-Ballesteros, J.J., Tomazella, J.N., *The Milnor number of a function on a space curve germ*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **40** (2008), no. 1, 129–138.
- [PR24] Portilla, P., Rangachev, A., *The Milnor fiber of a smoothable curve*, (in preparation).
- [RT24] Rangachev, A., Teissier, B., *A Plücker formula for curves*, (in progress).
- [Ran22] Rangachev, A., *Local volumes, equisingularity and generalized smoothability*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2105.08749>.
- [Stks] The Stacks Project Authors, *Stacks Project*, <http://stacks.math.columbia.edu>, 2016.
- [SH06] Swanson, I., and Huneke, C., “Integral closure of ideals, rings, and modules.” London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 336, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.
- [T73] B. Teissier, *Cycles évanescents, sections planes et conditions de Whitney*. (French) Singularités à Cargèse (Rencontre Singularités en Géométrie Analytique, Institut d’études Scientifiques de Cargèse, 1972), pp. 285–362. Asterisque, Nos. 7 et 8, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1973.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NORTHEASTERN UNIVERSITY, BOSTON, MA 02115, UNITED STATES,
EMAIL: T.GAFFNEY@NORTHEASTERN.EDU

INSTITUT DE MATHÉMATIQUES DE JUSSIEU-PARIS RIVE GAUCHE, CENTRE NATIONAL DE LA RECHERCHE
SCIENTIFIQUE (CNRS), PARIS, FRANCE, INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS, BULGARIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, EMAIL: RANGACHEV@IMJ-PRG.FR