

Diagnosis of liver disease by computer-assisted imaging techniques: A Review

Behnam Kiani Kalejahi^{1,2}; Saeed Meshgini^{3*}; Sabalan Daneshvar^{4,5}; Shiva Asadzadeh⁶

¹PhD Student, Department of Biomedical Engineering, Faculty of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Tabriz, Tabriz, Iran, Email: b-kiani@tabrizu.ac.ir

²Lecturer, Department of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Khazar University, Baku, Azerbaijan, Email: bkiani@khazar.org

³Assistant Professor, Department of Biomedical Engineering, Faculty of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Tabriz, Tabriz, Iran, Email: meshgini@tabrizu.ac.ir

⁴Associate Professor, Department of Biomedical Engineering, Faculty of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Tabriz, Tabriz, Iran, Email: daneshvar@tabrizu.ac.ir

⁵Research Fellow, Department of Electronic and Computer Engineering, College of Engineering, Design and Physical Sciences, Brunel University, UK, Email: sebelan.danishvar@brunel.ac.uk

⁶PhD Student, Department of Biomedical Engineering, Faculty of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Tabriz, Tabriz, Iran, Email: engshasadzade@tabrizu.ac.ir

Abstract:

Background: In the present article, we have tried to study the principles and methods used in medical sonography images in proposed systems in several stages.

Materials and Methods: The method used in this study is based on manual extraction techniques that depend on the characteristics of the bottom image, such as edge, color, and texture. At each step, we examine- the methods and their effectiveness to diagnose the properties of the liver tissue, and then applied the most recent and available related algorithms.

Results: These methods are innovative because of many features, followed by a selective feature to identify related items that are not often found in similar tasks. Fusion (classification) was also tested with very good results.

Discussion:

As the evidence says that liver disease detection using CAD is one of the efficient techniques but the presence of better organization of studies and the performance parameters to represent the result analysis of the proposed techniques are pointedly missing in most of the recent studies.

Few benchmarked studies have been found in some of the papers as benchmarking makes a reader to understand that under which circumstances their experimental results or outcomes are better and useful for the future implementation and adoption of the work.

Liver diseases and image processing algorithms, especially in medicine, are the most important and important topics of the day. Unfortunately, the necessary data and data, as they are invoked in the articles, are low in this area and require the revision and implementation of policies in order to gather and do more research in this field.

Conclusion: Detection with ultrasound is quite normal in liver diseases and depends on the physician's experience and skills. CAD systems are very important for doctors to understand medical images and improve the accuracy of diagnosing various diseases. In the following, we describe the techniques used in the various stages of a CAD system, namely: extracting features, selecting features, and classifying them. Although there are many techniques that are used to classify medical images, it is still a challenging issue for creating a universally accepted approach.

Keywords: Liver disease, medical imaging systems with CAD, medical sonography images, segmentation algorithms.

Introduction

The liver is one of the body's vital organs which plays quite a few significant roles such as detoxifying drugs, eliminating waste products caused by the destruction and renewal of red blood cells in bile, producing coagulation factors, storing glucose as glycogen, and regulating the metabolism of sugar and fat. Furthermore, the role of the liver in absorbing fat and protecting the body against microbes and food-borne poisons should not be ignored.

Nowadays, liver disease is one of the main world's problems which causes an increase in the deaths and it could be resulted or along with another disease too. For this reason, most related scientists and researchers have been tried to overcome this problem (Pimpin et al. 2018).

The fatty liver disease is a liver disease in humans which has been recently increased in our society as a result of the rise in obesity. The importance of this disease is due to the destruction of liver cells, and if it could not be diagnosed and treated early, it can lead to advanced diseases and other serious issues (European Association for the Study of the Liver 2018).

Liver cirrhosis is a spectrum of mild liver disease which is a liver accumulation in the liver cells, and it may lead to liver cell inflammation in a group of patients and degrade liver cells to chronic and irreversible diseases.

NAFLD (Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver) is a disease that varies from the fatty liver to NASH (Non-Alcoholic Steato Hepatitis). In a study, over 120 patients with the non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, the most important causes of this disease were harmful habits (Consumption of preservative foods and non-compliance with eating habits), stress (Anxiety or depression), and lack of adequate mobility (Lack of proper physical activity such as daily exercise) respectively. The fatty liver disease was first identified and introduced by Ludwig and his colleagues in 1980. It was observed that in a group of patients similar to those who consume alcohol, damage to the liver cells occurs, however, there was no history of alcohol in these patients. There

was no evidence of another liver disease in these patients, but 90% of them were obese, 25% had increased blood lipids, and 25% had diabetes (Shield et al. 2016).

Ultrasound imaging of the liver is the most common diagnostic method that is non-invasive, relatively inexpensive, and available. In ultrasound imaging, the amount of echo parenchyma in the liver increases and, in more severe cases, the size of the liver also enlarges. An accurate ultrasound imaging, in addition to the liver diagnosis, is divided into three milds, moderate and severe grades, ranging from 1 to 3 (EASL Clinical Practice Guidelines. 2018). With the accumulation of fat in the liver, its echogenicity and degree of gravity increases, and hence the intensity of infiltration of the fat in the liver varies. This condition is present in various hepatocellular diseases such as alcohol, obesity, and diabetes mellitus, long-term hunger, gastrointestinal passage, steroid use, increased internal steroids, medications, intravenous feeding, severe hepatitis, glycogen storage disease, and cystic fibrosis.

CAD (Computer Aided Diagnosis), as a diagnostic system, can be used along with different medical imaging modalities including the US (Ultra Sound), CT scan (Computed Tomography), MRI (Magnetic Resonance Imaging), mammography, and tomosynthesis to diagnose symptoms and measurements accurately and, finally, make a great contribution to the images. However, the quality and accuracy of images and data depending on the type of the device, the operator or expert, and physicians who use the images. Automatic data obtained by computer processing is diagnosed by the radiologist based on the heterogeneity in the liver, the weak edges and low contrast, and its proximity to other digestive organs during the liver ultrasound imaging. Therefore, the accuracy of image recognition is efficient, and the time required is reduced in image comprehension using conventional techniques (Marwaha et al. 2012). Hence, the use of CAD has become a focal point for research in disease analysis (Litjens et al. 2014).

In the next part, this paper is going to review some of those researches. Hence, firstly they are going to be defined and explained some relevant keywords in this part, and after reviewing of those mentioned researches in the next part, they will be compared the reviewed reports in the third part. Finally, the conclusion will be made.

Materials and methods

RB/IACUC approval confirmation:

All included studies in the literature review have approved by an ethics committee or institutional review board.

research questions

The initial research questions of this review are as follows:

(RQ1) Which classification methods are used to diagnose liver disease?

(RQ2) What are the beneficial and challenging effects of case studies?

Inclusion criteria

Studies were included in this review if they met the following criteria: (1) presented a method to detect and/or to predict apnea, (2) were based on computerized systems, (3) included data about systems' evaluation, (4) presented preliminary or definitive results and (5) were written in English. These criteria were also applied to studies obtained from reference tracking.

Research Methodology

The principles in the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) statement was used in this review. To determine the seminal works related to image processing techniques on liver diagnosis, a review of the literature was undertaken through a search of following databases: PUBMED Digital Library, IEEE Digital Library, and Science Direct. Only the studies published from the year 2010 until Jun 14th, 2018. This study focused on the inclusion criteria meeting in. Literature was evaluated by two independent researchers, and the agreement of both parties determined studies suitability.

Extraction of study characteristics

The following data were extracted from the studies and tabulated (see Table 1): publications, year, objectives, study details, methodology, results, and conclusions.




Fig. 1. PRISMA diagram of the systematic review. PRISMA, Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses.

Fig.1 shows the selection process for articles included in the systematic review. A total of 412 articles were identified in the original literature search. In this paper, we are looking for researches in the field of liver disease diagnosis using CAD systems. In the collected studies, 4 cases of papers were about modeling and predicting renal diseases without renal image and did not match the defined criteria. Also, we did not consider the papers that are used CT and MRI images in these studies for this systematic review. In summary, our review examined 52 papers.

Table 1. Outcome metrics.

Metric	Definition
Accuracy	$\frac{TP + TN}{TP + FP + FN + TN} \times 100$
Precision	The proportion of correctly classified positive samples to all samples classified as positive
Recall	$\frac{TP}{TP + FN} \times 100$
Average	μ =Mean of values
Standard deviation	$sd = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{j=1}^n (x_j - \mu)^2}{n}}$

As shown in Table 2, only fourteen of the fifteen studies (92.85%) included in this review were published by the end of 2014. Finally, twelve studies (7.14%) were published between the beginning of 2015 and the end of 2018. metrics for evaluating its performance are presented in Table 1.

In the following sections, we briefly outline the principles of these study methods, which include a conceptual map depicted in Fig. 2, closing with the beneficial and challenging effects observed as a result of convergence between clinical science and computer vision.

Table 2. Speckle reduction methods.

Method	Description	Advantage	Disadvantage
Filtering techniques [15, 16]	Use a moving window to convolve the filter with the image to reduce speckles	Simple and fast	1. Single scale representation is difficult to discriminate signal from noise 2.Sensitive to the size and shape of the filter window
Wavelet approaches [10, 11, 12]	Transform image to wavelet domain and remove noise by modifying wavelet coefficients	1.In wavelet domain, the statistics of the signals are simplified 2.Noise and signal are processed at different scales and orientations	DWT and IDWT computations increase time complexity
Compounding approaches [13, 14]	Average images obtained by varying scanning frequency or view angle	Simple	Requires hardware support. Increase time complexity by registration and reconstruction




Fig. 2. Mind map of the Diagnosis of liver disease techniques.

Results

In the CAD system, for the faster and better evaluation of ultrasound images as well as doing the necessary processing on them, the images can be categorized into four stages.

Image acquisition and preprocessing

In the first step, it is better to preprocess the initial acquisition to reduce noise in the images and obtain a high-quality image. At this stage, the Speckle Noise Filter is firstly eliminated to increase the speed, accuracy, segmentation of the image processing stages, and the effects of this noise that causes vague and obscure visions in the images (Tang et al. 2008).

Speckle noise reduction techniques can be categorized into three following groups:

A) Filtering techniques. (Gonzalez et al. 2008).

B) Wavelet domain techniques (Forouzanfar. 2007)

C) Combined approaches (Adam et al. 2006).

A- Filtering techniques

Filters are divided into linear and nonlinear types (Cheng et al. 2010):

A-1 Linear filters:

A linear type is also called spatial convolution. In linear filters, the neighboring pixels of specified weights are combined, normalized, and placed as a pixel value. To determine weights, a matrix, which is called the weight matrix (coefficients), the mask, the kernel, the window, the filter mask, etc., is used in this section. The nearest neighbor dimensions are marked with matrix measurements. For instance, in order to calculate the value of a central pixel in proportion to the specific weights of its eight neighborhoods, it is enough to define a three-to-three-dimensional matrix of weights, multiply the magnitude of the peer in neighborhoods, add the calculated figures together, and set the pixel value to the center. After all, in weighing a neighboring pixel, we get a new value for the target pixel, and this is called linear filtering (Fig. 3).




Fig.3. Linear filtering processes

A-2 Nonlinear filters:

Other types of image processing filters are non-linear filters. In the case of linear filters, all pixels of the image are affected by the coefficients, hence nonlinear filters can be used to avoid this problem. In this type, the value of a neighborhood pixel is considered based on the specific attribute, like the value of the central pixel. For example, the maximum neighborhood value can be considered as a central value. A variety of nonlinear filters can also be found in mid-air and fashion filters.

The middle filter is a downstream filter that uses an $m * n$ neighbor, and its method is to arrange all neighborhoods in ascending order and select the middle element of the ordered numbers to replace the central pixel. It should be noted that a low-pass filter can be used for the removal of Salt & Pepper noise. The figure below shows the image of the noise (Salt & Pepper noise) which has been upgraded using the mid-filter.




Fig.4. Salt & Pepper noise removing using the mid-filter.

B- Wavelet domain techniques

The DWT (Discrete Wavelet Transforms) transforms the image into approximate subclasses of scale factors and a set of sub-sets of detail in different directions and resolution scales consisting of wavelet coefficients (Singah et al. 2014). DWT provides a suitable basis for the separation of noise from an image. As wavelet transforms in good energy density, small coefficients are likely to represent noise, and large coefficients represent important visual characteristics. The coefficients representing the properties are maintained throughout the scaling and are placed in the clusters attached to the location of each subset. This feature makes the DWT more attractive to remove noise. Several of wavelet-based noise cancellation techniques have been developed whose general approaches are as follows: (1) Calculation of discrete wavelet transforms; (2) Noise removal by changing the waveform coefficient; (3) Applying the IDWT reverse wavelet transform for making a non-noised image.

Techniques are grouped as follows (Cheng et al. 2010): (1) Wavelet shrinkage; (2) Wavelet despeckling under Bayesian framework; (3) Wavelet filtering and diffusion.

C- Combined method

In the Combined method, image extraction techniques are used to produce multiple images of an area that is partially correlated or uncorrelated, and the mean of this process is a single image.

In combination, image extraction techniques to produce multiple images of a region that is partially, or non-correlation is used to mean the process is a single image.

There are two general methods for lack of correlation between individual images. While the converter is in different places, the spatial composition is obtained by generating each of the original images (Adam et al. 2006).

A three-dimensional space composition is adapted to reduce noise in 3D ultrasound images (Robert et al. 1997). Frequency mixing occurs when the converter operates at different frequencies (Stetson et al. 1997). The combined technique reduces noise due to the increased complexity of image recording and regenerating. Some noise reduction methods are presented in Table 2.

The 3D space composition has been adapted to reduce noise in 3D ultrasound images. Mixing the frequency occurs when the transducer acts at different frequencies. The combination technique reduces noise due to the complexity of image capture and reconstruction. Some noise reduction methods are presented in Table 2.

Segmentation

Image segmentation is the first and most critical phase of the image analysis whose purpose is to extract information such as the edges, views, and identities of each area from the image by describing the obtained areas to reduce them to an appropriate shape for computer processing. It prepares areas. The result of partitioning will have a significant impact on the accuracy of the evaluation of properties. Segmentation often describes the process of dividing the image into the main components and extracting parts of the objects of interest. There are several ways for the segmentation of the image that can be divided into two categories: (1) Histogram-based methods; (2) Clustering-based methods.

Each of these categories has some subsets. In histogram-based methods, image partitioning is based on the distribution of pixels and the main step in these methods is to find the threshold level suitable for applying to the image. In cluster-based methods, however, similarities and relationships between data are used to group them.

In these methods, the data is grouped in such a way that the ones that fall within a section are most similar types. Segmentation algorithms can be categorized according to different references such as brightness threshold

or domain segmentation, fuzzy methods, water scale method or transformation of water, genetic algorithm, and image segmentation with the help of the minimum spanning tree, and graph-based methods, *etc.*

Different methods of categorizing medical images are divided into four basic categories, as shown in Fig. 5(Johnson et al. 2008).




Fig.5. Different methods of categorizing medical images.

Feature extraction and selection

Feature extraction is one of the basic steps in CAD systems that are used as a single classification entry. Since most liver ultrasound images are composed of irregular and scattered regions, tissue-based methods are the most suitable options for recognizing patterns of dispersion in medical images (Johnson et al. 2008).

Different ultrasound images classification techniques for useful features extracting are:

Classification

After extracting and selecting features, they are introduced into a category to classify images into lesions/non-lesions or benign/malignant classes. Most of the literature focuses on the categorization of malignant and benign lesions (usually referred to as the classification of lesions), and some articles focus on the classification of lesions and non-lesions (usually the diagnosis of injury), and only a few of them focus on both. Diagnosis of the lesion is necessary before the classification of lesions.

After extracting and selecting features, they are introduced into a classification to categorize images into lesions / non-lesions or benign / malignant classes. Most texts refer to the classification of malignant and benign lesions (usually referred to as waste classifications), and some articles focus on the classification of lesions and non-lesions (usually damage detection), and only a few of them focus on both they do. The diagnosis of a lesion is necessary before the classification of lesions.

Assessment method:

To assess a diagnostic method (test), specific sensitivity and specificity have been used. These parameters are based on the following conditions. True positive (TP): There is a disease, and the test is also positive. False positive (FP): There is no disease, but the test is positive. True negative (TN): There is no disease and the test are also negative. False negative (FN): There is a disease, but the test is negative (Owjimehr et al. 2017)

The sensitivity of a diagnostic test is the ability to accurately identify patients with disease (TPR), and the feature of this method is the ability to correctly identify patients without disease (TNR). Accuracy is the ability to classify patients with and without disease (ACC) (Table 3).

To assess the diagnostic method (test), sensitivity and property have been used. These parameters are categorized according to the following conditions. True positive (TP): There is a disease, and the test is also positive. False positive (FP): There is no disease, but a positive test. True negative (TN): There is no disease and the test are also negative. False negative (FN): There is a disease, but the test is negative (Saba et al. 2016).

Table 3. Terminology and derivations from a confusion matrix.

Sensitivity, recall, hit rate, or true positive rate (TPR)	$TPR = \frac{TP}{TP + FN}$
Specificity, selectivity or true negative rate (TNR)	$TNR = \frac{TN}{TPTN + FP}$
Accuracy (ACC)	$ACC = \frac{TP + TN}{TP + FN + FP + TN}$

The sensitivity of a diagnostic test is the ability to accurately identify patients with disease ($TP / TP + FN$), and the feature of this method is the ability to identify patients without disease ($TN / TN + FP$). Accuracy is the ability to classify patients $(TP + TN) / (TP + FP + TN + FN)$.

Related work

In recent years, many studies and efforts have been made regarding the use and effects of CAD diagnostic diagnostics systems in various medical imaging modalities to analyze liver diseases. In this section, we will look at the algorithms and methods proposed to create the best-performing diagnostic system.

In (Singah et al. 2014), a CAD system was developed by Acharya et al in which the GIST method for extraction of normal and abnormal liver characteristics influenced by the fatty liver is presented in ultrasound medical imaging, following the MFA and Wilcoxon method.

In (Acharyaa et al. 2016), a CAD system was developed by Acharya et al. In which the GIST method for extracting the natural and abnormal liver properties of fatty liver in ultrasound imaging was presented following the MFA and Wilcoxon method.

These features are categorized into DT, LDA, QDA, PNN, KNN, NBC, FS, and SVM to evaluate the classification function. It has been observed that the PNN classification has the highest 98% classification, 96% sensitivity, and 100% PPV for the 17 relevant attributes. It is worth noting that, from a clinical point of view, sensitivity is 96%, and 100% is the desired function for clinical needs. The author of the paper (Singah et al. 2014) describes the "integration of information" As a vital tool in the design of a classification algorithm. The article shows two points below.

First, the best tissue features are identified for liver classification. Second, using an integration of information, a new classification method is proposed, which is a linear combination of features with the ability to categorize the class. Finally, a new "DI" indicator has been proposed that can help radiologists to classify the liver.

The main advantage of the proposed method is that in spite of its inherent simplicity, 95% accuracy is at 100% sensitivity, which is better than or at least comparable with existing classifications. In this study, seven different types of known models are used to extract texture characteristics from each ROI (Region of Interest). Output values are then removed from the property data set. To select the best features, linear separation analysis and PCC (Pearson Correlation Coefficient) are used. In the first step, very inseparable features are performed using the FDR (False Discovery Rate) separation approach.

The main advantage of this method, despite its inherent simplicity, is its 95% accuracy at a sensitivity of 100%, which is better or at least comparable with existing classifications. In this study, seven different types of known models have been used to extract texture characteristics from each ROI. Then the output value of the property data set is deleted. To select the best features, linear separation analysis and Pearson PCC correlation coefficient have been used. In the first step, the inseparable properties are accomplished using Fisher's FDR separation method. After analyzing FDR, PCC analysis will be used to reduce features.

The proposed classification is based on the diagnostic power of this property as well as its normalized weight. This classification uses only seven selected features based on FDR, that is, their power to distinguish between two classes. Because each attribute has a different FDR, its weight should be considered in the classification method. Consequently, in the proposed classification, the value of each attribute is weighed with its FDR.

The proposed classification is based on the diagnostic power of this property as well as its normalized weight. This classification uses only seven selected features based on FDR, which means they have the power to distinguish between two classes. Given that each attribute has a different FDR, its weight should be considered in the classification method. Consequently, in the proposed classification, the value of each attribute is FDR.

Hwang et al, (Hwang et al. 2015) have used the First Order Statistics (FOS), Gray Level Co-occurrence Matrix (GLCM), Law's texture features, and Echogenicity algorithms to extract features to improve the diagnostic accuracy by detecting a lesion area from one different area.

In this study, a total of 42 histological features were obtained based on first-order statistics, co-occurrence matrix of Gray, and Law's and Echogenic levels. Fig.6. provides an entire image of processing algorithm that is used to estimate the composite features of the original ultrasound image.




Fig.6 - Flow chart of the proposed algorithm for the classification of focal liver lesions.

In this study, a total of 42 histological characteristics were obtained based on first order statistics, gray matrix, and law and echogenic values. Figure 6 provides a complete image of the processing algorithm used to estimate the characteristics of the composite of the original ultrasound image.

The PCA (Principal component analysis) was performed with Varimax rotation to determine a set of desirable features. PCA can improve the accuracy of classification and reduce computational time. This method converts the feature vector by designing the main data along the lines with more variance. After that, and due to the reduction in the variance of the mean square error to the desired value, the creation of an optimal channel for classification of liver lesions would be possible.

The PCA is performed with the Varimax rotation to determine a set of desirable features. PCA can improve the accuracy of classification and reduce the computational time. This method converts the feature vector by designing the main data along the line with more variance. Subsequently, due to the reduction of the variance of the mean square error to the desired value, it is possible to create an optimal channel for liver lesions to be categorized.

Hwang et al (Hwang et al. 2015), used a two-layer FFNN feeder network with a sigmoid function for classification. The FFNN is widely used as a classifier for wastes and has a simple but resistant to noise structure. The FFNN consists of 29 neuronal inputs, 10 hidden neurons, and 2 output neurons. A total of 29 key features selected by the PCA were used as a set of inputs for the FFNN.

Hwang et al. (Hwang et al. 2015) used a two-layer FFNN feeder network with a sigmoid function for classification. FFNN is widely used as a classifier for wastes and has a simple, but noise-resistant structure. The FFNN contains 29 neuronal inputs, 10 neurons and 2 neurons. A total of 29 key features were selected by the PCA as a set of inputs for the FFNN.

To reduce overfitting, a Bayesian Regulation Learning Algorithm was used for training. The efficacy of this study is 99.7 for Cyst vs. Hemangioma, 98.72 for Cyst vs. Malignant, and 96.13 for Hemangioma vs. Malignant.

In a study by Acharya et al (Acharya et al. 2016), the CT method is presented as an appropriate method for automatic diagnosis of normal liver, FLD, and cytotoxic liver by using tissue analysis from ultrasound images. 9 types of entropies, such as EBS, EPh, Ef, Ek, Emax, Er, ESh, Ev, and Ey are calculated in CT coefficients. The importance of CT is its ability to reduce noise without losing significant information in the image (Alivar et al. 2016). Additionally, it can extract low-intensity curves and components in image pixels.

Entropy is a nonlinear property that shows the complexity of the data, and, in general, the high entropy value indicates more irregularities and vice versa. Moreover, the LDI is developed using two features to classify three classes. The main innovation of this proposed method is to evaluate the inherent signatures in the images. HOS is a nonlinear method with a high signal-to-noise ratio which can extract the interaction from frequency components and phase pairs.

Fuzzy entropy measures asymmetric signals of very irregular degrees and is not affected by noise. Renny's entropy estimates the spectral complexity of the data using measurements. Therefore, the algorithm can categorize normal individuals, FLDS, and cirrhosis using ultrasound images by these notable nonlinear features. In the proposed method, the entropy features are used to detect normal FLD and cirrus images with a precision of 97.33% and a sensitivity of 96.00% which uses only six attributes.

In the proposed method, entropy features are used to recognize natural images of FLD and cirrus with a precision of 97.33% and a sensitivity of 96.00%, which uses only six attributes.

In this study, two methods of fiber combination have been proposed for the classification of fatty, natural, and heterogeneous liver (Alivar et al. 2016). To achieve this goal, two algorithms are used as a combination of a single-level property and parallel combinations and serials two levels fill. Features used include wavelet packet transform, Gabor filters, completed a local binary pattern, and gray level co-occurrence matrix.

In the one level synchronization method, all the features are combined and then, by using the PSO algorithm, a set of selected features for classification is used. The two-level fusion technique has two stages; first, most of the diagnostic features of each feature space are selected and combined by the PSO, and then the optical properties of the combination mode are selected.

In a one-level synchronization method, all the features are combined and then using the PSO algorithm a set of selected features for classification is used. The two-level fusion technique has two stages; firstly, most features of the detection of each feature space are selected and combined by the PSO, and then the optics properties of the combined mode are selected.

A new classification is proposed in a hierarchical state that has two stages: first, focal and diffuse liver images are sorted, and then normal and fat detection is carried out. Experimental results show that the classification accuracy is slightly higher than the confidence coefficient which is obtained without compilation techniques.

They also reveal the efficiency of the hierarchical classification method. This shows that the various features include the complementary and useful information that can be appropriately categorized. The new discussion of this study is that what features in any order (usability) can be more meaningful for more accurate results.

Based on the results of the experiments, the accuracy of the subclass classification is superior to that of each of them. Generally, in both the combined techniques and serial and parallel versions, the accuracy of the results shown in Table 4.

Table 4. Both the combined techniques and serial and parallel versions accuracy.

	Fusion1	Fusion2
Proposed method 1: Serial Fusion of WPT, Gabor, CLBP and GLCM	98.86%	100%
Proposed method 2: Parallel Fusion of WPT, Gabor, CLBP and GLCM	97.72%	98.86%

The CAD system proposed in Fig.7. can successfully extract the characteristics of ultrasound liver images for a machine learning-based system (Saba et al. 2016). An online classification is able to distinguish between a natural and abnormal liver based on a trained set. The efficacy categorized by the ratio of the correct number of classified high-risk CAD to patients with real CAD was determined. To select the desired attribute, a combination of training, validation, and test ratios were implemented. A total of 8 combinations of training, validation, and test ratios were used for FLD classification. Among these eight combinations, the best classification accuracy was 97.58% compared with training ratio 90, validation ratio 5, and test ratio 5.




Fig.7. CAD system.

A diagram of CAD system is presented in Figure 7 that can successfully extract the characteristics of sonication liver images for a machine-based learning system (Saba et al. 2016). An online classification can identify a natural and abnormal liver based on a trained set. The efficacy was classified by the ratio of the correct number of high-risk classified CAD to patients with real CAD. To select the desired feature, a combination of training, validation and test ratios was implemented. A total of 8 combinations of training,

validation and test sequences were used to determine the FLD classification. Among these eight combinations, the best classification accuracy was 97.58% compared with training ratio 90, validation ratio 5, and test ratio 5.

To distinguish between a normal and abnormal image of the liver using a CAD software, an educational-based education system was created. The artificial neural network ANN method was used as shown in Fig. 3.

For the detection of natural and abnormal images of the liver, using CAD software, an educational-based educational system has been created.

To fill in the system, Leven Berg-Marquardt's algorithm was used. This algorithm is selected based on the high degree of successful classification.

An ANN can be considered as an applied graph of weight in which artificial neurons that represent the connection between the neuron output and its input, as nodes and straight edges between the nodes. Depending on the connection pattern, the ANN can be divided into two broad categories, that is, the feed network and the feedback network. An ANN can automatically learn from prior experiences. Learning is a basic state of intelligence.

ANN can be considered as a functional diagram in which artificial neurons that show the relationship between output and input neurons as nodes and straight edges between nodes. Given the connecting pattern, ANN can be divided into two broad categories, the feed network and the feedback grid. Given that learning is a basic mode of intelligence, ANN can automatically learn from past stemming.

This algorithm provides a numerical solution to minimize non-linear performance with a fast and stable synchronization and is used to introduce small and medium issues in ANN.

Using this algorithm, a fast and stable nonlinear numerical solution is provided to minimize the performance of a nonlinear numerical solution and is used to introduce small and medium issues in the ANN.

In a review paper (Santosa et al. 2014), focuses on the detection of osteoarthritis through three distinct methods. The first method involves characteristics extraction after the fifth classification implementation and the fusion classification (dual), whose performance using the number of evaluation features (much lower than the initial characteristics 357) obtained by the feature selection technique.

According to the first set of data and two parameters, the accuracy and area under the AUC curve are evaluated. The results showed that the use of a large number of features is not directly related to the improvement of the additional performance in the classifications.

Given the first set of data and two parameters, the accuracy and area under the AUC curve are evaluated. The results showed that the use of many features does not directly affect the performance of the classifications

The ANN classification describes the best performance with a precision of 0.77 and AUC 0.82 using the 12 features. On the other hand, classifiers, with a weighted vote combination, created a precision of 0.79 and AUC 0.8 84 for the top 18 features.

A similar method whose results were very promising was used for the second set of the images. Thus, the best performance for a combination of 38 attributes with a precision of 0.89 and 0.93 AUC for SVM was calculated. This demonstrates the importance of maintaining unstructured configuration parameters in visual texture analysis. It is important that the results of the evaluations are higher than those presented in the literature using similar classifications.

The three sets of the best features used in this work, because of the feature selection program, are as expected, for example, from 10 to 30. For the second data set, the best result was probably 38 years due to the overcharge.

Three categories of the best features used in this work are expected, because of the feature selection program, for example, from 10 to 30. For the second category of data, the best result may be likely to be 38 years due to overload.

The second method for detecting steatosis activity was using the hepatoma-renal factor, which was based on the echogenic difference between liver parenchyma and renal cortex. This coefficient has been proven to be a good indicator of steatosis so that it is shown with the calculated AUC values for 0.94 and 0.92 of the first and second data sets.

It has also been shown that the hepatorenal factor is not affected by the parameters of the ultrasound device during the acquisition of information. The third method for assessing steatosis was to calculate the attenuation coefficient. This approach resulted in an AUC of 0.74 and 0.83 for the first and second data sets, which is lower than those presented by the second method.

In addition, it has been shown that the parameters of the ultrasound device have not been affected by the hepatorenal parameter during the receipt of information. The third method for evaluating steatosis is to calculate the contraction factor. This method leads to an AUC of 0.74 and 0.83 for the first and second data sets, which is lower than that provided by the second method.

AUC values for the three methods.

Datasets	Methods		
	I (classifiers)	II (HC)	III (AC)
First	0.84 (fusion)	0.94	0.74
Second	0.93 (SVM)	0.92	0.83

Fig.8. AUC value for the three methods.

Compared to the three methods, it can be asserted that the third method produces the worst results. This method can be justified by the method used to compute it (line of pixels), which is definitely influenced by the inherent noise of ultrasound images. Also, the results allowed to conclude that the function of an SVM classification is like that of the hepatorenal coefficient for the second data set.

Comparing these three methods, one can claim that the third method is the worst result. This method can be calculated by the method used to compute it (line of pixels), which is affected by the internal noise of ultrasound images. In addition, the results allow concluding that the performance of an SVM classification is like the counterpart coefficient for the second data category.

Considering ultrasound equipment as the most effective use in a patient's scenario, it is obvious that the second method is less sensitive to the existence and variety of equipment based on the intensity of the pixels of the liver and kidney images. It should be noted that the methods provided are from medical images which have been previously selected and sorted by physicians. These methods showed that the automatic osteoarthritis assessment method could increase the results of the same with physicians and indicates that high levels of performance have been obtained.

We should emphasize on the classification method that the parameters for receiving images should be constant. This can be a severe limitation because physicians tend to adjust the parameters of the ultrasound device to enhance the image display, which can affect the classification function. As it mentioned above, this limitation can be overcome by using the proposed hepatorenal coefficient approach because the two organs are related to a similar image.

This method can create a limitation because physicians tend to have ultrasound device parameters to increase the image display, which can affect the classification function. Of course, this limitation can be overcome by using the proposed correlation coefficient approach because the two organs of the same image are the same.

Finally, it can be said that the proposed approaches, the classifications with a limited number of features, the hepatorenal coefficient, and the coefficient of obstruction can detect the status of the natural channels. In addition, these methods are innovative because of many features, followed by a selective feature to identify related items that are not often found in similar tasks. Fusion (classification) was also tested with very good results.

According to the proposed methods, classification with a limited number of features, coprotoral coefficient and blocking coefficient can detect the status of natural channels. In addition, these methods are due to many innovative features, followed by a selective feature to identify related items that often do not exist in similar tasks. Fusion (classification) has also been tested with excellent results.

In the (Owjimehr et al. 2014), the first part of the implementation was carried out to evaluate the effect of back-scan conversion. In this experiment, after the conversion of the back-scan into liver images, the focal region has been selected and the optimal ROI is 64x64 pixels in this area. In feature extraction, a feature sync is applied to use the WPT and GLCM features.

In the first step, the implementation is carried out to evaluate the effect of the scan conversion behind. In this study, after turning back scans back into liver images, the focal area has been selected and the optimal ROI is 64x64 pixels in the area. In feature extraction, feature sync is applied to use the WPT and GLCM features.

Finally, the SVM classification has been tested for classification. The classification results are obtained using different wavelet filters and SVM cores, and only the highest precision classification is reported using the Daubechies 3 wavelet filter and the polynomial kernel.

In this experiment, two-thirds of the datasets comprise 86 randomly selected images, of which about 30% is as test images and 70% are divided into educational sets. To achieve this goal, the classification is performed using more than 25 tests and 61 educational images. This test is repeated with 100 random partitions so that any changes in the data associated with partitioning are negligible. Although, very close results are obtained from different partitions. They are averaged and rendered.

Tables 4 and 5 represent the confusion matrix, the mean Se and Sp of each class, and the total Acc, ROC, and AUC of the system using the back-scan conversion and section images, respectively (Table 5).

Tables 5. Confusion Matrix for Four Classes Using Back-Scan Conversion.

	Classifier Labels				Specificity (Sp)	Receiver Operating Characteristics (ROC)
	Normal	Steatosis	Fibrosis	Cirrhosis		
True labels						
Normal	6				100%	
Steatosis		8	1		86.67%	
Fibrosis		2	6		88.23%	
Cirrhosis			1	1	100%	
Sensitivity (Se)	100%	88.89%	75%	50%		
Accuracy (Acc)			84%			
Weighted average area under the curve (AUC)			0.9287			

A second experiment was conducted to illustrate the effectiveness of the hierarchical classification scheme. In this test, all the features of the WPT and GLCM are tested at each stage and evaluated by selecting features, and the features of each stage are used based on the characteristics of the classes in that stage.

The second experiment was to demonstrate the effectiveness of the hierarchical classification scheme. In this test, all the features of WPT and GLCM were tested at each stage and evaluated by selecting features, and the characteristics of each step were used based on the characteristics of the classes at that stage.

In the first classification, the normal case is marked as Class 1, and other items are labeled as Class 2 fat. Ultrasound images of the normal liver include liver vessels, biliary tract, and other abnormalities. However, fatty tissue is almost homogeneous with a different degree of echogenicity, which depends on the amount of fat. Therefore, the features used by WPT should be profitable since they have a good distinction between homogeneous and heterogeneous textures. Therefore, only the WPT features are used in the first step.

Type I classifications, normal items are marked as Type I classes, and others are labeled as Type II Fat. Ultrasound images of the natural liver include liver vessels, biliary tract and other disorders. However, fatty

tissue with varying degrees of echo depends on the amount of homogeneous fat. Therefore, the features used by the WPT (wavelet packet transform) should be profitable, since they have a good distinction between homogeneous and heterogeneous textures. So, in the first step, only WPT features are used (Table 6).

Table 6. Confusion Matrix for Four Classes Using Sector Images

	Classifier Labels				Specificity (Sp)	Receiver Operating Characteristics (ROC)
	Normal	Steatosis	Fibrosis	Cirrhosis		
True labels						
Normal	4	2			87.5%	
Steatosis	2	6	1		80%	
Fibrosis		1	6	1	92.3%	
Cirrhosis				2	94.12%	
Sensitivity (Se)	66.67%	66.67%	75%	100%		
Accuracy (Acc)			64.29%			
Weighted average area under the curve (AUC)			0.8459			

If the liver is firstly classified as fat, discriminating between steatosis and other fatty stages is done in the second phase. At this stage, both the features of the WPT and GLCM are used, because we want the various stages of the fatty liver to be different from a homogeneous echogenic. In addition, static hepatotoxic images may contain blood vessels. In the final stage, the classification of fibrosis and cirrhosis is performed using GLCM (Gray-Level Co-Occurrence Matrix) properties only, because these properties vary between homogeneous tissues and different levels of lightness. Confusion Matrix for Four Classes Using Back-Scan Conversion and Hierarchical Classification Scheme (Table 7).

Table 7. Hierarchical classification

	Classifier Labels						Specificity (Sp)	Receiver Operating Characteristics (ROC)
	Normal	Fatty	Steatosis	Other Fatty Livers	Fibrosis	Cirrhosis		
Step 1								
True labels								
Normal	6					100%		
Fatty		19				100%		
Sensitivity (Se)	100%	100%						
Step 2								
True labels								
Steatosis			9			90%		
Other fatty livers			1	9		100%		
Sensitivity (Se)			100%	90%				
Step 3								
True labels								
Fibrosis					7	100%		
Cirrhosis						2	100%	
Sensitivity (Se)					87.5%	100%		
Accuracy (Acc)	100%		94.74%			90%		
Overall accuracy						94.91%		
Area under the curve (AUC)						0.9636		

The results in the table above are based on hierarchical classification. According to this table, the proposed method is reliable and can be used in CAD systems for the diagnosis of various stages of fatty liver based on ultrasound images. As shown in this table, the proposed method shows 100% Se for the detection of normal liver, steatosis, and cirrhosis of the liver. In addition, in the natural diagnosis of Sp, fibrosis, and cirrhosis of the liver are 100%.

Based on the data in the table, the proposed method is reliable and can be used in CAD systems to detect various stages of fatty liver based on ultrasound images (Table 8).

Table 8. CAD techniques results.

Authors	Year	Number of samples	Features	Classifier	Performance
Rivas et al.	2015	7	GLCM	Binary logistic regression	95.45%

Jitender et al.	2014	108	FOS, GLCM, GLRLM, FPS, TEM, Gabor	Neural network ensemble	88.7-95%
Nivedita et al.	2014	42	GLCM	SOM and MLP	81.5%
Singh et al.	2014	180	Texture features		95%
Dan et al.	2013	120	Maximum and minimum attenuation, maximum and minimum grey level, variance, skewness, kurtosis	SVM	87.78%
				Random Forest	90.84%
Jitender et al.	2013	108	FOS, GLCM, GLRLM, FPS, TEM, Gabor	SVM	87.2%
Jitender et al.	2013	108	FOS, GLCM, GLRLM, FPS, TEM, Gabor	BPNN	87.7%
Jitender et al.	2013	31	Wavelet packet transform and Gabor Wavelet transform	SVM	98.3%
Acharya et al.	2012	100	Wavelet and Higher order spectra feature	DT	93.3%
Fayazul et al.	2012	88	Wavelet packet transform	SVM	~95%
Virmani et al.	2012	56	DWT, SWT, WPT	SVM	88.8
Minhas et al.	2012	88	Texture analysis using wavelet packet transform (WPT)	SVM	95%
Acharya et al.	2012	58	Texture, wavelet and HOS features	DT	93.3%
Singh et al.	2012	30	Texture analysis methods (SGLCM, SFM, TEM, FPS and fractals)	Fisher's linear discriminative analysis	92%
Ricardo et al.	2012	75	Texture features		93.54%
Andreia et al.	2012	177	FOS, GLCM, LTE, FD	SVM	79.77%

Discussion

As the evidence says that liver disease detection using CAD is one of the efficient techniques but the presence of better organization of studies and the performance parameters to represent the result analysis of the proposed techniques are pointedly missing in most of the recent studies.

Few benchmarked studies have been found in some of the papers as benchmarking makes a reader to understand that under which circumstances their experimental results or outcomes are better and useful for the future implementation and adoption of the work.

Liver diseases and image processing algorithms, especially in medicine, are the most important and important topics of the day. Unfortunately, the necessary data and data, as they are invoked in the articles, are low in this area and require the revision and implementation of policies In order to gather and do more research in this field.

Wavelet field techniques and texture features are among the most popular methods to date. Therefore, there are many methods in the field of image processing that can be used to provide better analyzes of liver images.

Conclusion

Detection with ultrasound is quite normal in liver diseases and depends on the physician's experience and skills. CAD systems are very important for doctors to understand medical images and improve the accuracy of diagnosing various diseases.

In the following, we describe the techniques used in the various stages of a CAD system, namely: extracting features, selecting features, and classifying them.

Although there are many techniques that are used to classify medical images, it is still a challenging issue for creating a universally accepted approach that can be applied to all types of images and applications. We also summarize CAD algorithms for the diagnosis of the liver disease which has been presented in many

published studies and evaluates the reported performance. These performance criteria are shown which CAD techniques in ultrasound images for liver disease can improve the accuracy, speed, and objectivity of diagnosis, by operator dependence reduction.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by department of biomedical engineering in University of Tabriz, school of electronic and computer engineering in Brunel University, and department of computer engineering at Khazar University. We thank our colleagues from University of Tabriz who provided insight and expertise that greatly assisted the research, although they may not agree with all of the interpretations of this paper.

References

1. Adam D, Beilin-Nissan S, Friedman Z and Behar V, *The combined effect of spatial compounding and nonlinear filtering on the speckle reduction in ultrasound images*. Ultrasonic. 2006; p. 166–181.
2. Alivar A, Danyali H and Helfroush M, *Hierarchical classification of normal, fatty and heterogeneous liver diseases from ultrasound images using serial and parallel feature fusion*. Bio cybernetics and Biomedical Engineering. 2016; pp. 697-707.
3. Andreia A, Jose S, Jaime S and Pedro B, *Classifier approaches for liver steatosis using ultrasound images*, *Procedia technology*. 2012; pp. 5 763-770.
4. Behar V, Adam D and Friedman Z, *A new method of spatial compounding imaging*, *IEEE Transactions on Ultrasonic. Ferroelectrics and Frequency Control*. 2003; p. 377–384.
5. Contos M and Sanyal A, *The clinicopathologic spectrum and management of nonalcoholic Fatty liver diseases*, *Adv Anat Pathol*, pp. 9:37-51, 2002.
6. Dan M, Vasile G, Corneliu I, Alina P and Ioan S, *Computer aided diagnosis method for steatosis rating in ultrasound images using random forests*, *Med Ultrason*, pp. 184-190, 2013.
7. European Association for the Study of the Liver. *EASL Clinical Practice Guidelines: management of alcohol-related liver disease*. *Hepatology*. Jul 2018;69(1):154-18.
8. Gonzalez R and Woods R. *Digital Image Processing*, Third Edition. New Jersey 07458: Pearson Prentice Hall, 2008.
9. Hwang Y. N, Lee J. H, Kim G. Y, Jiang Y. Y and Kim S, *Classification of focal liver lesions on ultrasound images by extracting hybrid textural features and using an artificial neural network*. *Bio-Medical Materials and Engineering*. 2015; pp. vol. 26, pp. S1599–S1611.
10. Jamali R, Khonsari M, Merat S, Khoshnia M, Jafari E and Kalhori B, *Persistent alanine Aminotransferase elevation among Iranian general population: prevalence and causes*. *World J Gastroenterol*. 2008; pp. 14(18): 2867-71.
11. Jitendra Virmani N. K, Kumar V and Kalra N, *PCA -SVM based CAD System for Focal Liver Lesions using B-Mode Ultrasound Images*. *Def. Sci. J*. 2013; pp. vol. 63, pp. 478–486.

12. Johnson R. S. D. J. F. T. B, *A co-occurrence texture semi-invariance to direction, distance, and patient size*, Proc. SPIE 6914, Medical Imaging, Image Processing. 2008; 69141Y, March 11.
13. Lee D, Evans J, Robins S, Wilson P and Albano I, *Gamma glutamyl transferase and metabolic Syndrome, cardiovascular disease, and mortality risk: The Framingham Heart Study*. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol, 2007; pp. 27(1): 127-33.
14. Litjens G, Debats O, Barentsz J, Karssemeije N and Husiman H, *Computer-aided detection of Prostate cancer in MRI*, *IEEE Trans. Med. Imaging*. 2014; pp. vol. 33, no. 5, pp. 1083–1092.
15. Marwaha S, Monga H, Student S. M and Cse H, *Automatic Diagnosis Systems Using Image Processing- A Systematic Study*, *International Journal of Research in Engineering and Technology*. International Journal of Computer Science and Information Technology & Security (IJCSITS). 2012; ISSN: 2249-9555 Vol. 2, No.2.
16. Minhas F, Sabih D and Hussain M, *Automated classification of liver disorders using ultrasound images*. J Med Syst. 2012; pp. 3163-72.
17. Minhas F. U. A. A, Sabih D and Hussain M, *Automated classification of liver disorders using ultrasound images*. J. Med. Syst. 2012; pp. vol. 36, no. 5, pp. 3163–3172.
18. Mohamad Forouzanfar H and, Dehghani M, *Speckle reduction in medical ultrasound images using a new multi scale bivariate Bayesian MMSE-based method*. Signal Processing and Communications Applications. 2007.
19. Morocho V, Vanegas P and Medina R, *Hepatic Steatosis Detection Using the Co-occurrence Matrix in Tomography and Ultrasound Images*. Images and Computer Vision (STSIVA). 2015; p. pp. 1–7.
20. Nakhjavani M, Khalilzadeh O, Esteghamati A, Khajeali L, Morteza A and Jamali A, *Serum oxidized- LDL is associated with diabetes duration independent of maintaining optimized levels of LDL-cholesterol*, *Lipids*, pp. 45(4): 321-7, 2010.
21. Owjimehr M, Danyali H, Helfroush M and Shakibafard A, *Staging of Fatty Liver Diseases Based on Hierarchical Classification and Feature Fusion for Back-Scan-Converted Ultrasound Images*. Ultrason Imaging. 2017; pp. 39(2):79-95.

22. Pimpin, L. et al. *Burden of liver disease in Europe: Epidemiology and analysis of risk factors to identify prevention policies*. J. Hepatol. 2018; 69(3):718-735.
23. Pizurica A, Wink A, Vansteenkiste E, Philips W and Roerdink J, *A review of wavelet de noising in MRI and ultrasound brain imaging*. *Current Medical Imaging Reviews*. 2006; p. 247–260.
24. Prager R, Gee A, Treece G and Berman L, *Analysis of speckle in ultrasound images using fractional order statistics and the homodyned k-distribution*. *Ultrasonics*. 2002; p. 133–137.
25. Rajendra Acharya U, Vinitha Sree U, Ribeiro R, Krishnamurthi G, Tato Marinho R and Sanches J, *Data mining framework for fatty liver disease classification in ultrasound: A Hybrid feature extraction paradigm*. *Med. Phys.* 2012; p. vol. 39.
26. Rajendra Acharya U, Fujitad H, ShreyaBhate, Raghavendraf U, Gudigarf A, Molinarig F, Vijayananthanh A and HoongNg K, *Decision support system for fatty liver disease using GIST descriptors extracted from ultrasound images*. *information Fusion*. 2016; p. 32–39.
27. Rajendra Acharya U, Sree S, Ribeiro R and Krishnamur G, *Data mining framework for fatty liver disease classification in ultrasound: a hybrid feature extraction paradigm*. *Med Phys*. 2012; pp. 39 4255-64.
28. Rajendra Acharya U, Raghavendra U, Fujita H, Hagiwara Y, EW Koh J, Jen Hong T, K Sudarshan V, Vijayananthan A, Hong Yeong C, Gudigar A and Hoong Ng K, *Automated Characterization of Fatty Liver Disease and Cirrhosis Using Curvelet Transform and Entropy Features Extracted from Ultrasound Images*. *Computers in Biology and Medicine*. 2016; pp. 250-258.
29. Rehm J, Samokhvalov, A. V. & Shield, K. D. *Global burden of alcoholic liver diseases*. J. Hepatol 59. 2013; 160–168.
30. Robert Rohlinga A, *Three-dimensional spatial compounding of ultrasound images*. *Medical Image Analysis*. 1997; p. 177–193.
31. Roy M, *Classification of Ultrasonography Images of Human Fatty and Normal Livers using GLCM Textural Features*. *Current Trends in Technology and Science*. 2014; p. vol. 4.
32. Rui R. T.M and Sanches J, *Global and local detection of liver steatosis from ultrasound, in 34 th annual international conference of the IEEE EMBS*. 2012.

33. Saba L, Dey N, Ashour A, Samanta S, Sankar Nath S, Chakraborty S, Sanches J, Kumar D, Marinho D, Suri J and AIMBE F, Automated Stratification of Liver Disease in Ultrasound: An Online Accurate Feature Classification Paradigm. *Computer Methods and Programs in Biomedicine*. 2016; pp. 118-340.
34. Santosa J, Silvestre J, Andreia S, Santos A and Belo-Soares P, *Detection of pathologic liver using ultrasound images. Biomedical Signal Processing and Control*. 2014; pp. 248-255.
35. Stetson P, Graham F and Macovski A, *Lesion contrast enhancement in medical ultrasound imaging. IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging*. 1997; p. 416–425.
36. Singh M, Singh S and Gupta S, *an information fusion-based method for liver classification using texture analysis of ultrasound images. Information Fusion*. 2014; p. 91–96.
37. Singh M, Singh S and Gupta S, *A new quantitative metric for liver classification from ultrasound images. International journal of computer and electrical engineering*. 2012; pp. 4 605-607.
38. Shield Kevin D, Rylett Margaret and Rehm Jürgen. *Public health successes and missed opportunities. Trends in alcohol consumption and attributable mortality in the WHO European Region, 1990–2014*. 2016; ISBN 978 92 890 5167 5.
39. Tang S and Chen S, *An Effective Data Preprocessing Mechanism of Ultrasound Image Recognition, Bioinforma. International Conference on Bioinformatics and Biomedical Engineering*. 2008; pp. 2708–2711, 2008, 2008.
40. Virmani J, Kumar V, Kalra N and Khandelwa N. *Prediction of liver cirrhosis based on Multiresolution texture descriptors from B-mode ultrasound*. *Int. J. Converg. Comput*. 2013; pp., vol. 1, pp. 1– 19.
41. Virmani J, Kumar V, Kalra N and Khandelwa N, *SVM-based characterization of liver ultrasound Images using wavelet packet texture descriptors, J. Digit. Imaging*, pp., vol. 26, no. 3, pp. 530–543, 2012.
42. Virmani J, Kumar V, Kalra N and Khandelwa N, *A comparative study of computer-aided Classification systems for focal hepatic lesions from B-mode ultrasound, J. Med. Eng. Technol*, pp. vol. 37, no. 4, pp. 292–306, 2013.

43. Yong Y, Croitoru M, Bidani A, Zwischenbe J and Clarkjr J, *Nonlinear multiscale wavelet diffusion for speckle suppression and edge enhancement in ultrasound images*. IEEE Transactions on Medical Imaging. 2006; p. 297–311.
44. Yaseen M and Lee H, *Computer Aided Diagnostic System for Ultrasound Liver Images: A Systematic Review*. International Journal for Light and Electron Optics. 2016; p. 000–000.